

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, JUNE 17, 1980

Session of 1980

164th of the General Assembly

No. 49

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (H. JACK SELTZER) IN THE CHAIR

PRAYER

FATHER JOSEPH FELTZ, pastor of the Presentation of the Blessed Virgin Mary Church, Midland, Pennsylvania, guest chaplain and guest of the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Lescovitz, offered the following prayer:

Almighty and ever living God, it is You who have created and ordered the universe. And of all the creatures of Your masterly hands, You have deemed that man should be made in Your own image and likeness, and set him over all of Your living things.

Today we ask your assistance and fatherly care to carry out this grave responsibility as guardians of creation.

We ask that you aid our local governing body of men and women from our State of Pennsylvania and upon the legislative bodies throughout the country and throughout the whole world. Send Your spirit and truth upon them to fill their hearts and minds with Your wisdom, mercy and justice so that they may carry out Your divine will, a will which is rooted in harmony and peace, mankind abiding and living together in order and brotherly care, and also in unison with all the lower orders of creation.

Hear, O Lord, this humble prayer. We make it reverently and confidently in Your love and continual assistance. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

JOURNALS APPROVED

The SPEAKER. Are there any corrections to the Journals of June 4, 5, and 9, 1980?

If not, and without objection, the Journals are approved.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Monday, June 16, 1980, will be postponed until printed.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 2716 By Representatives ARTY, MICOZZIE
AND POTT

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the review and enforcement of child support orders.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, June 16, 1980.

No. 2717 By Representatives ARTY, DAVIES,
BENNETT, CALTAGIRONE, DURHAM,
FREIND AND CIVERA

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), extending the right to make purchases to nonprofit agencies furnishing visiting nurse care services.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, June 16, 1980.

No. 2718 By Representatives PRATT, F. TAYLOR,
CALTAGIRONE AND COCHRAN

An Act amending the act of July 12, 1972 (P. L. 762, No. 180), referred to as the Intergovernmental Cooperation Law, authorizing certain contributions by municipalities without volunteer fire companies or fire departments.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 16, 1980.

No. 2719 By Representatives GLADECK, DURHAM,
E. Z. TAYLOR, SIRIANNI, ARTY,
LASHINGER, LEWIS, YOHN, NOYE,
FREIND, RYAN AND CIVERA

An Act exempting certain trucks from the maximum axle weight requirements of Title 75 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes (relating to vehicles).

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, June 16, 1980.

No. 2720 By Representative PRATT

An Act amending the "Public School Code of 1949," approved March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), further providing for transportation for pupils from school.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 16, 1980.

No. 2721 By Representatives GOEBEL, KNIGHT,
SEVENTY AND TRELLO

An Act amending "The Game Law," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1225, No. 316), prohibiting the taking of bear less than one year old.

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, June 16, 1980.

No. 2722 By Representatives GANNON, FREIND, CIVERA, MICOZZIE, SPITZ, ARTY, DURHAM, GRUPPO, SIEMINSKI, COCHRAN, PRATT, F. TAYLOR AND MADIGAN

An act amending the act of June 24, 1976 (P. L. 424, No. 101), entitled "An act providing for the payment of death benefits to the surviving spouse or children of firemen or law enforcement officers killed in the performance of their duties," further providing for benefits.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, June 16, 1980.

No. 2723 By Representatives DiCARLO, ZELLER, ZWIKL, BROWN AND DOMBROWSKI

An Act amending the "Public Welfare Code," approved June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), further providing for eligibility.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, June 16, 1980.

No. 2724 By Representative McCLATCHY

An Act appropriating the Federal Augmentation to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and establishing restricted receipts accounts for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1980.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, June 16, 1980.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip. Mr. S. E. HAYES. I request a leave for the gentlemen from Delaware, Mr. MICOZZIE and Mr. EARLEY, for the day.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I have no requests for leaves of absence.

When we do not need them, they are always here.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman for his most astute observation.

Without objection, leaves are granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The members will please report to the floor. The Chair is about to take the master roll. Only those members in their seats may be recorded. Members may proceed to vote. The members will please report to the floor; the master roll is being taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Ryan
Armstrong	Fryer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	McKelvey	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	McMonagle	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	McVerry	Serafini
Beloff	Gatski	Mackowski	Seventy
Bennett	Geesey	Madigan	Shupnik
Berson	Geist	Maiale	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Manderino	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Milanovich	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mowery	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mullen	Street
Cessar	Grieco	Murphy	Stuban
Chess	Gruppo	Nahill	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Novak	Swift
Civera	Halverson	Noye	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVertter	Kanuck	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams
DiCarlo	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
Davies	Knight	Pott	Wilt
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Kukovich	Punt	Yahner
Dombrowski	Lashingier	Pyles	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Durham	Letterman	Rhodes	Zwikel
Fee	Levi	Richardson	
Fischer	Levin	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fisher	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Rocks	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Jones	Shadding
-------	----------------	-------	----------

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The SPEAKER. One hundred ninety-three members having indicated their presence, a master roll is established.

MISS PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL TEEN-AGER OF 1980 PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair has invited the member from Allegheny County, Mr. Fisher, to make a special presentation to the House at this time.

Mr. D. M. FISHER. It is with great pleasure that I appear here this morning to present to the membership of

the House of Representatives, a constituent of mine, Tara Sue Tyc of Upper St. Clair, who just recently was named Miss Pennsylvania National Teen-Ager of 1980.

Tara Sue will represent Pennsylvania at the National Pageant at Ft. Myers, Florida, August 8 through 16, 1980, in the National Teen-Age America contest. Tara will be a senior at the Upper St. Clair High School.

Tara, I would like you to come forward. She is here today with her mother, Mrs. Vida Tyc. On behalf of the House of Representatives, I would like to present to you this citation, and we would like to have a few words from you.

MISS TARA SUE TYC. Thank you, Representative Fisher. I am very pleased to be here today.

Saturday, June 7, I was crowned Miss Pennsylvania National Teen-Ager of 1980. It was the most exciting and fulfilling moment of my 17 years. I am eagerly looking forward to the national pageant which will be held in Ft. Myers, Florida, August 8-16. I will represent Pennsylvania with pride and will try to make my 1980 reign the best that ever has been shown and I will try to make my reign one that will make you all very proud of me.

Again, I thank you for this opportunity to stand before you today and talk to you. In nationals, I will try my best to impress the nation and to make them know that Pennsylvania is the state of champions. Thank you again.

CALENDAR

The SPEAKER. The Chair turns to page 8 of today's calendar, Appropriation Bills on Third Consideration.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, is that without objection we are going to page 8?

The SPEAKER. Without objection.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I have an objection. I would like to know why we are not taking up the bills in the beginning part of the calendar first, beginning with page 1?

The SPEAKER. Unfortunately, the Chair is unable to stand for interrogation. The Chair welcomes the substitute of the majority leader, Mr. Ryan, to respond to the minority whip's question.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, we met prior to session, the minority leader and I, together with the minority Appropriations Committee chairman and other members of the majority leadership, and agreed that we would move initially to page 8, take care of all of the preferreds and non-preferreds, break then for lunch and caucus, and return and take the calendar up starting on page 1. That is why I advised the Speaker that it was without objection.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I was not at the meeting. Mr. Irvis tells me that that is the agreement. I will abide by the agreement made, with the understanding that when we come back from caucus we start at page 1. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair would like to give notice to the minority whip, Mr. Manderino, that there may be one

slight difference in what has just been agreed to, because there are at least three appropriation bills which we passed over temporarily because there will be amendments offered to those. And it is the Chair's understanding that they too will be caucused on, and then it was the understanding of the Chair that they would be taken up first when we come back after caucus before we would return to page 1.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, that too was discussed with the leadership on the minority side.

Mr. MANDERINO. May we be at ease, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair is informed that the amendments have not been sent to the floor. The Chair does not have them in his possession.

Mr. MANDERINO. Can you give me the number of the appropriation bills you are talking about?

The SPEAKER. It is the Chair's recollection there are three bills involved and the Chair will identify them for the gentleman. The Chair has been informed there has been a fourth one added. Is the gentleman interested in a list of those four bills?

Mr. MANDERINO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Page 10, HB 2665; page 11, HBs 2669 and 2671; and on page 13, HB 2684.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, it appears that those are non-preferred appropriations. I thought you said they were going to be preferred appropriations in addition to all of the non-preferreds?

The SPEAKER. The Chair is unable to determine what the gentleman is trying to arrive at.

Mr. MANDERINO. I am trying to arrive at what is going to be taken up this morning by agreement and what is going to be taken up immediately after caucus by agreement. Now I was not part of that agreement and, obviously, somebody was, and I am asking the people who were part of that agreement to tell me what it was.

The SPEAKER. The House will be at ease awaiting the conference between the minority and majority leaders.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would just as soon do it over the microphone.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. RYAN. The group marking the calendar started on page 8, to run HB 2583, pass over HB 2597 temporarily, and then on and consider all of those appropriations up to the top of page 18, the first bill on page 18. The bills with amendments—there are four in number—it was understood that they would not be run in order but rather we would check the amendments at caucus, come back, run them first, and then go back and take the calendar in order.

Mr. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the minority whip, Mr. Manderino, indicate he will withdraw his objection? The House will be at ease.

Mr. MANDERINO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2583, PN 3409**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 14, 1963 (P. L. 1032, No. 451), entitled "An act amending the act of March 31, 1949 (P. L. 372, No. 34), entitled 'An act to promote the welfare of the people of the Commonwealth; *** for State aided institutions,'" changing the allocation of funds for the Old Museum Building and increasing the allocation for a project.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Fryer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallen	McKelvey	Schmitt
Belardi	Gamble	McMonagle	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	McVerry	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Mackowski	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Geist	Maiale	Sieminski
Borski	George, C.	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Milanovich	Spencer
Burd	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Mullen	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Novak	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	White
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Knepper	Pitts	Wilt
Dawida	Knight	Polite	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kolter	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Yohn
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lashinger	Punt	Zitterman
Dorr	Laughlin	Pyles	Zord
Duffy	Lehr	Reed	Zwikl
Durham	Lescovitz	Richardson	
Fee	Levi	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fischer	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—2

Letterman

Livengood

NOT VOTING—12

Chess
Dumas
Goodman

Johnson, J. J.
Jones
Rappaport

Rasco
Rhodes
Shadding
Street
Williams
Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley
Hayes, D. S.

Helfrick
Micozzie
Weidner

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2657, PN 3526**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1980.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Fryer	McClatchy	Rodgers
Arty	Gallagher	McIntyre	Ryan
Austin	Gallen	McKelvey	Salvatore
Barber	Gamble	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gannon	McVerry	Schmitt
Beloff	Gatski	Mackowski	Schweder
Bennett	Geesey	Madigan	Serafini
Berson	Geist	Maiale	Seventy
Bittle	George, C.	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sirianni
Brandt	Gladeck	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goebel	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Cimini	Hagarty	Novak	Suban
Civera	Halverson	Noye	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Vroon
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wargo

DeWeese	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Davies	Knepper	Polite	White
Dawida	Knight	Pott	Wilson
Dietz	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Punt	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lashingner	Pyles	Zeller
Dorr	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Duffy	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwinkl
Fee	Letterman	Rhodes	
Fischer	Levi	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fisher	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	Livengood		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—13

Chess	Johnson, J. J.	Mullen	Williams
Cunningham	Jones	Shadding	Wright, Jr., J.
Dumas	Levin	Street	Yahner
Goodman			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2658, PN 3527**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1980.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Alden	Fisher	Lewis	Rieger
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks
Arty	Freind	McCall	Rodgers
Austin	Fryer	McClatchy	Ryan
Barber	Gallagher	McIntyre	Salvatore
Belardi	Gallen	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Beloff	Gamble	McMonagle	Schmitt
Bennett	Gannon	McVerry	Schweder
Berson	Gatski	Mackowski	Serafini
Bittle	Geesey	Madigan	Seventy
Borski	Geist	Maiale	Shupnik
Bowser	George, C.	Manderino	Sieminski
Brandt	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brown	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Gladeck	Milanovitch	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Goebel	Miller	Spencer

Caltagirone	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Mowery	Stairs
Cessar	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Steighner
Chess	Grieco	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Stuban
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Novak	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pistella	White
Davies	Knight	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Kolter	Polite	Wilt
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Punt	Zitterman
Dorr	Lehr	Pyles	Zord
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwinkl
Durham	Letterman	Reed	
Fee	Levi	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Fischer	Levin	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—1

Rappaport

NOT VOTING—10

Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Street	Wright, Jr., J.
Goodman	Jones	Williams	Yahner
Irvis	Shadding		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2659, PN 3528**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Labor and Industry from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to provide for the expenses of administering the Pennsylvania Workmen's Compensation Act and the Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1980.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—185

Alden	Fisher	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Rodgers
Arty	Freind	McClatchy	Ryan
Austin	Fryer	McIntyre	Salvatore
Barber	Gallagher	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gallen	McMonagle	Schmitt
Beloff	Gamble	McVerry	Schweder
Bennett	Gannon	Mackowski	Serafini
Berson	Gatski	Madigan	Seventy
Bittle	Geesey	Maiale	Shupnik
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bowser	George, C.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mowery	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Mrkonc	Steighner
Cessar	Gray	Mullen	Stewart
Chess	Greenfield	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Grieco	Nahill	Sweet
Civera	Gruppo	Novak	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Thomas
Cornell	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Coslett	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DiCarlo	Knight	Polite	Wilson
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Dininni	Lashinger	Punt	Zeller
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pyles	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rappaport	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwikl
Duffy	Letterman	Reed	
Durham	Levi	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Fee	Levin	Richardson	Speaker
Fischer	Lewis	Rieger	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—12

Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Pievsky	Williams
Irvis	Jones	Shadding	Wright, D. R.
Johnson, E. G.	Knepper	Street	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2660, PN 3529**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, before you announce this roll call, Mr. Johnson's machine, evidently, is not working and I would ask that he be allowed, on each vote, to indicate which way he would vote.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—188

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Richardson
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Livengood	Rieger
Armstrong	Freind	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter
Arty	Fryer	McCall	Rocks
Austin	Gallagher	McClatchy	Rodgers
Barber	Gallen	McIntyre	Ryan
Belardi	Gamble	McKelvey	Salvatore
Beloff	Gannon	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Bennett	Gatski	McVerry	Schmitt
Berson	Geesey	Mackowski	Schweder
Bittle	Geist	Madigan	Serafini
Borski	George, C.	Maiale	Shupnik
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manderino	Sieminski
Brandt	Giammarco	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Goebel	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Goodman	Miller	Spencer
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Mowery	Stairs
Cessar	Greenfield	Mrkonc	Steighner
Chess	Grieco	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Stuban
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Novak	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	White
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Knepper	Pitts	Wilt
Dawida	Knight	Polite	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kolter	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Yohn
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lashinger	Punt	Zitterman
Dorr	Laughlin	Pyles	Zord
Duffy	Lehr	Rappaport	Zwikl
Durham	Lescovitz	Rasco	
Fee	Letterman	Reed	Seltzer,
Fischer	Levi	Rhodes	Speaker
	Fisher	Levin	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Seventy	Street	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Trello	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. E. G. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2659, my switch was not operating. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please?

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy, rise?

Mr. SEVENTY. On HB 2660, put me in the affirmative, please?

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. His remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2661, PN 3530**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of General Services out of various funds for payment of rental charges to the General State Authority.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Livengood	Rieger
Anderson	Freind	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter
Armstrong	Fryer	McCall	Rocks
Arty	Gallagher	McClatchy	Rodgers
Austin	Gallen	McIntyre	Ryan
Barber	Gamble	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gannon	McMonagle	Schmitt
Beloff	Gatski	McVerry	Schweder
Bennett	Geist	Mackowski	Serafini
Berson	George, C.	Madigan	Seventy
Bittle	George, M. H.	Maiale	Shupnik
Borski	Giammarco	Manderino	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goodman	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.

Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Gray	Moehlmann	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mowery	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mrkonic	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Stewart
Chess	Hagarty	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Halverson	Nahill	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Novak	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoefel	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Coslett	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Knepper	Pistella	White
DiCarlo	Knight	Pitts	Wilson
Davies	Kolter	Polite	Wilt
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Pyles	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zord
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Zwikl
Durham	Levi	Reed	
Fee	Levin	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Fisher	Lewis	Richardson	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Geesey	Salvatore	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2662, PN 3531**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Treasury Department out of various funds to pay replacement checks issued in lieu of outstanding checks when presented and to adjust errors.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lewis	Ritter
Anderson	Freind	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fryer	McCall	Rodgers
Arty	Gallagher	McClatchy	Ryan
Austin	Gallen	McIntyre	Salvatore
Barber	Gamble	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gannon	McMonagle	Schmitt
Beloff	Gatski	McVerry	Schweder
Bennett	Geesey	Mackowski	Serafini
Berson	Geist	Madigan	Seventy
Borski	George, C.	Maiale	Shupnik
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manderino	Sieminski
Brandt	Giammarco	Manmiller	Sirianni
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Goebel	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Goodman	Miller	Spencer
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Mowery	Stairs
Cessar	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Steighner
Chess	Grieco	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Suban
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Novak	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cunningham	Irviss	Phillips	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pitts	White
Davies	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
Dawida	Knight	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Punt	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lashingner	Pyles	Zeller
Dorr	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Duffy	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwinkl
Fee	Letterman	Rhodes	
Fisher	Levi	Richardson	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	Levin	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—1

Fischer

NOT VOTING—10

Bittle	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Lynch, E. R.	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Oliver		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2663, PN 3532**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Erie Philharmonic Orchestra, Erie.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—158

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Rieger
Armstrong	Freind	McKelvey	Ritter
Arty	Fryer	McMonagle	Rocks
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Rodgers
Barber	Gamble	Mackowski	Salvatore
Belardi	Gannon	Madigan	Schmitt
Beloff	Gatski	Maiale	Schweder
Bennett	George, C.	Manderino	Serafini
Berson	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Seventy
Bittle	Giammarco	Milanovich	Shupnik
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Sirianni
Bowser	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Grabowski	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Gray	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burd	Greenfield	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grieco	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Hagarty	Novak	Suban
Chess	Halverson	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Honaman	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Thomas
Cornell	Irviss	Phillips	Trello
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Knepper	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Knight	Polite	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pyles	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zord
Duffy	Levin	Rasco	Zwinkl
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Reed	
Fee	McCall	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Fisher	McClatchy	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—29

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Klingaman	Pitts
Burns	Gallen	Lashingner	Scheaffer
Civera	Geesey	Letterman	Sieminski
DeVerter	Geist	Levi	Smith, L. E.
Dietz	Gladeck	Lewis	Wass
Dininni	Hasay	Livengood	Wilson
Dorr	Kanuck	Manmiller	Wright, Jr., J.
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—10

Dumas	Oliver	Street	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Ryan	Vroon	Yahner
Jones	Shadding		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2664, PN 3533**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lansdowne Philharmonic Orchestra.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—150

Alden	Fisher	McVerry	Ritter
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Rocks
Armstrong	Freind	Madigan	Ryan
Arty	Gallagher	Maiale	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Manderino	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	Manmiller	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Michlovic	Shupnik
Berson	George, C.	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bittle	George, M. H.	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Mowery	Spitz
Brown	Goodman	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Stuban
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Sweet
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Swift
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hoefel	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Vroon
Cornell	Irviss	Pievsky	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Pistella	Wargo
Cowell	Knepper	Polite	Wenger
Cunningham	Knight	Pott	White
DeMedio	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
DiCarlo	Lehr	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Davies	Lescovitz	Pyles	Yohn
Dawida	Levin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dombrowski	McCall	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	McClatchy	Reed	Zwikl
Duffy	McIntyre	Rhodes	
Durham	McKelvey	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	McMonagle	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—34

Austin	Foster, W. W.	Klingaman	Pitts
Belardi	Fryer	Kowalshyn	Scheaffer
Bowser	Gallen	Lashingner	Serafini
Burns	Geist	Letterman	Sieminski
DeVertter	Gladeck	Levi	Smith, L. E.
Dietz	Gruppo	Lewis	Wass
Dininni	Hasay	Livengood	Wilson
Dorr	Johnson, E. G.	Lynch, E. R.	Zord
Fischer	Kanuck		

NOT VOTING—13

Dumas	Laughlin	Rodgers	Street
Geesey	Oliver	Salvatore	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Petrarca	Shadding	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2666, PN 3535**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Schuylkill County Council for Arts.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—154

Anderson	Gallagher	McVerry	Ritter
Armstrong	Gamble	Mackowski	Rocks
Arty	Gannon	Madigan	Rodgers
Barber	Gatski	Maiale	Ryan
Belardi	George, M. H.	Manderino	Salvatore
Beloff	Giammarco	Manmiller	Schmitt
Bennett	Goebel	Michlovic	Schweder
Berson	Goodman	Milanovich	Seventy
Bittle	Grabowski	Miller	Shupnik
Borski	Gray	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Brandt	Greenfield	Mowery	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Grieco	Mullen	Spencer
Burd	Gruppo	Murphy	Spitz
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Nahill	Steighner
Cappabianca	Halverson	Novak	Stewart
Cessar	Harper	Noye	Stuban
Cimini	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Honaman	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Telek
Cole	Irviss	Petrarca	Thomas
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Trello
Coslett	Klingaman	Piccola	Vroon
Cowell	Knepper	Pievsky	Wachob
Cunningham	Knight	Pistella	Wargo
DeMedio	Kolter	Polite	Wenger
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	White
DiCarlo	Laughlin	Pratt	Wilt
Davies	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Levin	Pyles	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lynch, E. R.	Rappaport	Zeller
Duffy	McCall	Rasco	Zitterman
Durham	McClatchy	Reed	Zwikl
Fisher	McIntyre	Rhodes	
Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Richardson	Seltzer,
Freind	McMonagle	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—32

Alden	Fischer	Kanuck	Scheaffer
Austin	Foster, W. W.	Lashinger	Serafini
Bowser	Fryer	Letterman	Sieminski
Burns	Gallen	Levi	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	Geist	Lewis	Stairs
Dietz	George, C.	Livengood	Wass
Dininni	Gladeck	Mrkonic	Wilson
Dorr	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Zord

NOT VOTING—11

Chess	Geesey	Kukovich	Williams
Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Yahner
Fee	Jones	Street	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. HB 2665 is passed over temporarily.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, could you give the House an explanation as to why HB 2665 is being passed over temporarily?

The SPEAKER. It is passed over temporarily.

Mr. ITKIN. No, I asked for an explanation. I heard what you said.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, it is on the list of bills that amendments are being prepared for. So rather than holding up the entire House awaiting the amendments, the Chair has suggested that we continue with the other bills before us and we will return to those bills when the amendments are presented to us.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2667, PN 3536**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Schoolhouse Arts Center, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Zitterman.

Mr. ZITTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the Appropriations chairman, Mr. McClatchy?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for interrogation? The gentleman indicates that he will. Mr. Zitterman may proceed.

Mr. ZITTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, HB 2667 indicates that this money is a new appropriation for the Bethel Park

Schoolhouse Arts Center. May I find out what that money is for, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, that is a request from western Pennsylvania, and I would like at this moment to yield to Mr. Fisher.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Fisher, who indicates that he will stand for interrogation by Mr. Zitterman. The gentleman may proceed.

Did the gentleman, Mr. Fisher, hear the interrogation?

Mr. D. M. FISHER. Would the gentleman, Mr. Zitterman, repeat his question, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, Mr. Zitterman.

Mr. ZITTERMAN. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to know what the new appropriation in HB 2667 for the Bethel Park Schoolhouse Arts Center is going to be used for, and if this is a new appropriation?

Mr. D. M. FISHER. Mr. Speaker, it is, in fact, a new appropriation. It is something, though, that the Bethel Park Schoolhouse Arts Center has been requesting from the Commonwealth, I know, at least in the past fiscal year. It is money that will be used to help them develop their programs. They have a wide variety of programs, 14 different programs, at that Schoolhouse Arts Center that deal with various cultural aspects of the community. It is a center that is used by a large number of residents in the Bethel Park area and others in the South Hills. It is a group of, basically, interested community artists that use this center practically year-round. It is something that I think is very needy and deserving.

The SPEAKER. Has the gentleman, Mr. Zitterman, completed his interrogation?

Mr. ZITTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Mr. Speaker, with all respect to my good friend, Mr. Fisher, I do not know of a community that does not have such a program. We have a program in the Borough of Emmaus that is called an ethnic program, which we have been putting on for many years, and it started back when I was mayor. In other words, we could use money too. We have people coming from all over the state that come into our ethnic program. But the thing is, it is, as Mr. Fisher says, one of the local programs. I have not heard one word about it being a statewide program, so this is for the local people. When you have a local program, it should be local effort, and that is what we have. And it makes a good program when it is local effort. But when you have to depend upon the state to fund it, then they lose the initiative, and this program is going to wind up going down the drain. I think we would do them a great favor if we vote against the program rather than vote for it.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—79

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Rieger
Armstrong	Gamble	Mackowski	Rocks
Arty	Giammarco	Maiale	Ryan
Barber	Goebel	Milanovich	Salvatore
Beloff	Grabowski	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Borski	Greenfield	Mullen	Stairs
Brandt	Hagarty	Nahill	Sweet
Burd	Halverson	Novak	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Telek
Cappabianca	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Vroon
Cessar	Honaman	Perzel	Wachob
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	White
Cornell	Knight	Polite	Wilt
Cunningham	Lehr	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Levin	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	McClatchy	Punt	Zord
Donatucci, R.	McIntyre	Pyles	
Dorr	McKelvey	Rappaport	Seltzer,
Fischer	McMonagle	Rasco	Speaker

NAYS—104

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Laughlin	Rodgers
Austin	Freind	Lescovitz	Scheaffer
Belardi	Fryer	Letterman	Schmitt
Bennett	Gallagher	Levi	Schweder
Berson	Gallen	Lewis	Serafini
Bowser	Gannon	Livengood	Seventy
Brown	Gatski	Lynch, E. R.	Shupnik
Burns	Geist	McCall	Sirianni
Chess	George, C.	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Cimini	George, M. H.	Manderino	Spitz
Civera	Gladeck	Manmiller	Steighner
Clark, B. D.	Goodman	Michlovic	Stewart
Clark, M. R.	Grieco	Murphy	Suban
Cochran	Gruppo	Noye	Swift
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Coslett	Hoeffel	Peterson	Taylor, F.
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Thomas
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Trello
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wargo
Davies	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
Dawida	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Dietz	Knepper	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Kolter	Reed	Yohn
Duffy	Kowalshyn	Rhodes	Zeller
Durham	Kukovich	Richardson	Zitterman
Fee	Lashinger	Ritter	Zwilk

NOT VOTING—14

DiCarlo	Johnson, J. J.	Oliver	Street
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Geesey	Moehlmann	Sieminski	Yahner
Hutchinson, A.	Mrkonic		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

Less than the two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Oliver.

Mr. OLIVER. Mr. Speaker, I am having trouble with my switch. Had I been able to vote, I would have voted in the affirmative on HB 2667.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Greene, Mr. Deweese, rise?

Mr. DeWEESE. Could I ask for 1 minute to get my paper work in order, Mr. Speaker, 1 minute?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman wish to debate the bill?

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. DeWEESE. I have amendments being drafted. They should be here in short order relative to—

The SPEAKER. The Chair would ask the gentleman to suspend then and the Chair will declare that HB 2668 is passed over temporarily.

Mr. DeWEESE. The difficulty looms larger, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair was unable to hear the gentleman.

Mr. DeWEESE. I have amendments being prepared for the medical schools and according to the Reference Bureau, HB 2668, HB 2669, HB 2670, HB 2674, HB 2675, HB 2676, HB 2677, and HB 2681 are affected in a similar fashion by the brief amendment that I am having prepared.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman indicating to the Chair that he has an amendment to HB 2668?

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, and the other bills.

The SPEAKER. The Chair will pass over HB 2668 temporarily. HB 2669 will be passed over temporarily.

Does the gentleman have amendments to HB 2670?

Mr. DeWEESE. The gentleman does.

The SPEAKER. Pass over HB 2670 temporarily. HB 2671 will be passed over temporarily.

Mr. DeWEESE. No, not HB 2671, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. There is another member of the House who has amendments to HB 2671.

Mr. DeWEESE. Sorry about that.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2672, PN 3541**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Delaware Valley College of Science and Agriculture at Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McIntyre	Ryan
Arty	Gallagher	McKelvey	Salvatore
Austin	Gallen	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Barber	Gamble	McVerry	Schmitt
Belardi	Gannon	Mackowski	Schweder
Beloff	Gatski	Madigan	Serafini
Bennett	Geesey	Maiale	Seventy
Berson	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Bittle	George, C.	Manmiller	Sieminski
Borski	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Bowser	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goebel	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Goodman	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Noye	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Peterson	Thomas
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Irvis	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Polite	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
Davies	Knight	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Punt	Yohn
Dininni	Kukovich	Pyles	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Rappaport	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Rhodes	
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	

NAYS—5

Fischer	Johnson, E. G.	Letterman	Livengood
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—11

Chess	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Laughlin	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Miller	Vroon	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2673, PN 3542**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

Alden	Fisher	Levin	Reed
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Richardson
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger
Arty	Freind	McCall	Rocks
Austin	Gallagher	McClatchy	Rodgers
Barber	Gallen	McIntyre	Ryan
Belardi	Gamble	McKelvey	Salvatore
Beloff	Gannon	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Bennett	Gatski	McVerry	Schweder
Berson	Geist	Mackowski	Serafini
Borski	George, C.	Madigan	Sieminski
Bowser	George, M. H.	Maiale	Sirianni
Brandt	Giammarco	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Gladeck	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Goebel	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Mowery	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gray	Mrkonic	Steighner
Cessar	Greenfield	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Grieco	Murphy	Suban
Civera	Gruppo	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Novak	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Telek
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cornell	Hoeffel	Oliver	Vroon
Coslett	Honaman	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wass
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wenger
DeMedio	Irvis	Phillips	White
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wilson
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wilt
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Knight	Pitts	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Kolter	Polite	Yohn
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Zeller
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Zord
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Punt	Zwikl
Dorr	Lehr	Pyles	
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Seltzer,
Durham	Levi	Rasco	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—7

Fischer	Geesey	Letterman	Ritter
Fryer	Klingaman	Livengood	

NOT VOTING—19

Bittle	Jones	Seventy	Trello
Chess	Michlovic	Shadding	Wargo
Dumas	Milanovich	Shupnik	Williams
Itkin	Rhodes	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Schmitt	Taylor, F.	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2678, PN 3547**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—176

Alden	Freind	McIntyre	Rocks
Anderson	Gallagher	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Gallen	McMonagle	Ryan
Arty	Gamble	McVerry	Salvatore
Austin	Gannon	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Gatski	Madigan	Schweder
Belardi	Geist	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	George, C.	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Gladeck	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Mowery	Spitz
Brown	Gray	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Mullen	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Murphy	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Nahill	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	Noye	Swift
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Trello
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Knepper	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Knight	Pitts	White
DiCarlo	Kolter	Polite	Wilson
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pyles	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zitterman
Duffy	Levi	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Levin	Reed	Zwikel
Fee	Lewis	Rhodes	
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rieger	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy		

NAYS—9

Dorr	Geesey	Letterman	Ritter
Fischer	Kanuck	Livengood	Scheaffer
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—12

Cessar	Dumas	Jones	Street
Chess	Hutchinson, A.	Shadding	Williams
Cochran	Johnson, J. J.	Sirianni	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The **SPEAKER**. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Michlovic, rise?

Mr. **MICHLOVIC**. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2673, I inadvertently failed to activate my switch. I would like to be recorded as having voted in the affirmative.

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2679, PN 3548**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Alden	Fisher	Lewis	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallen	McKelvey	Schmitt
Belardi	Gamble	McMonagle	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	McVerry	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Mackowski	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Geist	Maiale	Sieminski
Borski	George, C.	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Milanovich	Spencer
Burd	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gray	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Greenfield	Mullen	Stuban
Chess	Grieco	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Novak	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.

Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Telek
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wargo
Cunningham	Irvis	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pitts	Wilt
Davies	Knight	Polite	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Yohn
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Punt	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zord
Dorr	Lehr	Reed	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rhodes	
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	Levin	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—6

Fischer	Kanuck	Livengood	Ritter
Fryer	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Pyles	Shadding	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Rasco	Street	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2680, PN 3549**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Art, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—161

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Mowery	Spitz

Burd	Gray	Murphy	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Nahill	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Novak	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Noye	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Civera	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Peterson	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Petrarca	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Pievsky	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Pistella	Wargo
DeMedio	Knepper	Pitts	Wass
DeWeese	Knight	Polite	Wenger
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pott	White
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lashingner	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zitterman
Dorr	Levin	Reed	Zord
Duffy	Lewis	Rhodes	
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Rieger	Speaker
Fisher	McClatchy	Rocks	

NAYS—23

Austin	Fryer	Kanuck	Ritter
Bowser	Gallen	Klingaman	Scheaffer
Clark, M. R.	Geesey	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	Geist	Levi	Zeller
Dietz	George, C.	Livengood	Zwinkl
Fischer	Johnson, E. G.	Pratt	

NOT VOTING—13

Chess	Laughlin	Mullen	Williams
Dumas	Madigan	Shadding	Wilson
Johnson, J. J.	Mrkonic	Street	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2682, PN 3551**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Textiles and Science.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—175

Anderson	Freind	McClatchy	Rodgers
Armstrong	Gallagher	McIntyre	Ryan
Arty	Gallen	McKelvey	Salvatore
Austin	Gamble	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Barber	Gannon	McVerry	Schmitt
Belardi	Gatski	Mackowski	Schweder
Beloff	Geist	Madigan	Serafini
Bennett	George, C.	Maiale	Seventy
Berson	George, M. H.	Manderino	Shupnik
Bittle	Giammarco	Manmiller	Sieminski
Borski	Gladeck	Michlovic	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goodman	Miller	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Novak	Sweet
Civera	Halverson	Noye	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Knepper	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Knight	Pitts	White
DiCarlo	Kolter	Polite	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Pyles	Zeller
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zitterman
Duffy	Levi	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Levin	Reed	Zwinkl
Fee	Lewis	Richardson	
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.			

NAYS—11

Bowser	Fryer	Klingaman	Ritter
Dietz	Geesey	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
Fischer	Kanuck	Livengood	

NOT VOTING—11

Alden	Johnson, J. J.	Rhodes	Williams
Chess	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Dumas	Mullen	Street	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2683, PN 3552**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Performing Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance, general operation and student aid.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—158

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Richardson
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Rieger
Armstrong	Freind	McKelvey	Rocks
Arty	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rodgers
Barber	Gamble	McVerry	Ryan
Beloff	Gannon	Mackowski	Salvatore
Bennett	Gatski	Madigan	Schmitt
Berson	George, M. H.	Maiale	Schweder
Bittle	Giammarco	Manderino	Seventy
Borski	Gladeck	Manmiller	Shupnik
Brandt	Goebel	Michlovic	Sieminski
Brown	Goodman	Milanovich	Sirianni
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mullen	Spitz
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Civera	Hagarty	Novak	Stuban
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Noye	Sweet
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cole	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Trello
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wachob
DeMedio	Knepper	Piccola	Wargo
DeWeese	Knight	Pievsky	Wass
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pistella	Wenger
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	White
Dawida	Kukovich	Polite	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pott	Wilt
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Pyles	Yohn
Duffy	Levin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Durham	Lewis	Rasco	
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Reed	Seltzer,
Fisher	McCall	Rhodes	Speaker

NAYS—30

Austin	Gallen	Letterman	Serafini
Belardi	Geesey	Levi	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Livengood	Stairs
Cimini	George, C.	Moehlmann	Swift
DeVerter	Hasay	Pratt	Zeller
Dietz	Johnson, E. G.	Ritter	Zord
Fischer	Kanuck	Scheaffer	Zwinkl
Fryer	Klingaman		

NOT VOTING—9

Chess	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Mrkonic	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Alden.

Mr. ALDEN. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2682, my switch did not work. Had it worked, I would have voted in the affirmative, and may I be recorded as so?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2685, PN 3554**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Downingtown Industrial and Agricultural School, Downingtown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—176

Anderson	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rodgers
Armstrong	Gallen	McVerry	Ryan
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Salvatore
Austin	Gannon	Madigan	Scheaffer
Barber	Gatski	Maiale	Schmitt
Beloff	Geesey	Manderino	Schweder
Bennett	Geist	Manmiller	Serafini
Berson	George, C.	Michlovic	Seventy
Bittle	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Shupnik
Borski	Giammarco	Miller	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Mowery	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goodman	Mrkonic	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grabowski	Mullen	Spencer
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Spitz
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Steighner
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Stewart
Cimini	Gruppo	Noye	Stuban
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cochran	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hoeffel	Peterson	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Vroon
Cunningham	Irvis	Pievsky	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Pistella	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wass
DeWeese	Knepper	Polite	Wenger
DiCarlo	Knight	Pott	White
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Diminni	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Yohn
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zeller

Duffy	Lescovitz	Reed	Zitterman
Durham	Levin	Rhodes	Zord
Fee	Lewis	Richardson	Zwikl
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Ritter	Seltzer,
Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Rocks	Speaker
Freind	McKelvey		

NAYS—10

Belardi	Fryer	Letterman	Livengood
Burns	Kanuck	Levi	Stairs
Fischer	Klingaman		

NOT VOTING—11

Alden	Dumas	McClatchy	Williams
Chess	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Yahner
Davies	Jones	Street	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2686, PN 3555**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson School of Technology of Scranton, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rocks
Armstrong	Freind	McIntyre	Rodgers
Arty	Gallagher	McKelvey	Ryan
Austin	Gamble	McMonagle	Salvatore
Barber	Gannon	McVerry	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gatski	Mackowski	Schmitt
Beloff	Geesey	Madigan	Schweder
Bennett	Geist	Maiale	Serafini
Berson	George, C.	Manderino	Seventy
Bittle	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Borski	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sieminski
Brandt	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sirianni
Brown	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Mowery	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Mrkonic	Steighner
Cessar	Greenfield	Mullen	Stewart
Cimini	Grieco	Murphy	Stuban
Civera	Gruppo	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Novak	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	Noye	Taddonio
Cochran	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Telek
Cornell	Hoeffel	Oliver	Thomas
Coslett	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon

Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wachob
DeMedio	Irvis	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wenger
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pitts	White
Davies	Knight	Polite	Wilson
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Durham	Levin	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Fee	Lewis	Richardson	
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—13

Bowser	Gallen	Letterman	Pratt
Burns	Kanuck	Levi	Smith, L. E.
Fischer	Klingaman	Livengood	Stairs
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—10

Alden	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Williams
Chess	Jones	Street	Yahner
Dumas	Peterson		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. On HB 2685 my switch failed and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2687**, **PN 3556**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—173

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rhodes
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Richardson
Armstrong	Gallagher	McClatchy	Rieger
Arty	Gallen	McIntyre	Ritter
Austin	Gannon	McKelvey	Rocks
Barber	Gatski	McMonagle	Rodgers
Belardi	Geesey	McVerry	Ryan
Beloff	Geist	Mackowski	Salvatore
Bennett	George, C.	Madigan	Schmitt
Berson	George, M. H.	Maiale	Schweder
Bittle	Giammarco	Manderino	Serafini
Borski	Gladeck	Manmiller	Seventy
Brandt	Goebel	Michlovic	Shupnik
Brown	Goodman	Milanovich	Sieminski
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Sirianni
Caltagirone	Gray	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mowery	Spencer
Cessar	Grieco	Mrkonic	Spitz
Civera	Gruppo	Mullen	Steighner
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Murphy	Stewart
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	Nahill	Stuban
Cochran	Harper	Novak	Sweet
Cohen	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Hoeffel	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Coslett	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Thomas
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
DeMedio	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
DeVerter	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
DeWeese	Johnson, E. G.	Phillips	Wargo
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Piccola	Wass
Davies	Knepper	Pievsky	Wenger
Dawida	Knight	Pistella	White
Dietz	Kolter	Pitts	Wilson
Dininni	Kowalyszyn	Polite	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci, R.	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dorr	Laughlin	Punt	Yohn
Duffy	Lehr	Pyles	Zeller
Durham	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zitterman
Fee	Levin	Rasco	Zord
Fisher	Lewis	Reed	Zwinkl
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—14

Bowser	Fryer	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Burns	Kanuck	Pratt	Stairs
Cimini	Letterman	Scheaffer	Swift
Fischer	Levi		

NOT VOTING—10

Chess	Johnson, J. J.	Street	Seltzer,
Dumas	Jones	Williams	Speaker
Gamble	Shadding	Yahner	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2688**, **PN 3557**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

Alden	Fisher	McIntyre	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Freind	McVerry	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	George, C.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Giammarco	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Mullen	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Nahill	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Chess	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Cole	Irvis	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
Coslett	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wargo
Cowell	Klingaman	Pistella	Wass
Cunningham	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
DeMedio	Knight	Polite	White
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wilson
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilt
DiCarlo	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Lehr	Pyles	Yohn
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zeller
Dininni	Letterman	Rasco	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Levi	Reed	Zord
Dorr	Levin	Rhodes	Zwilk
Duffy	Lewis	Richardson	
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
Fischer	McClatchy		

NAYS—4

Fryer	Geist	Johnson, E. G.	Livengood
-------	-------	----------------	-----------

NOT VOTING—13

Donatucci, R.	Hutchinson, A.	Kolter	Street
Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Ryan	Williams
Gallagher	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Geesey			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2689, PN 3558**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the institute.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—177

Alden	Fisher	McIntyre	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Freind	McVerry	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallagher	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Madigan	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	George, C.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Thomas
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
DeWeese	Knight	Pott	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Dorr	Levin	Rhodes	Zwilk
Duffy	Lewis	Richardson	
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
Fischer	McClatchy	Rocks	

NAYS—11

Bowser	Geist	Letterman	Pratt
Dietz	Gladeck	Levi	Swift
Fryer	Klingaman	Livengood	

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Geesey	Kolter	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2690, PN 3559**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to Lankenau Hospital, Philadelphia for research.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—167

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Ryan
Armstrong	Freind	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	Madigan	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Maiale	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Manderino	Serafini
Beloff	George, C.	Manmiller	Seventy
Bennett	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bittle	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gray	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Mullen	Stairs
Burns	Gruppo	Nahill	Steighner
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Novak	Stewart
Cappabianca	Halverson	Noye	Stuban
Cessar	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cimini	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Thomas
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Itkin	Phillips	Vroon
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wachob
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Wass
DeVertter	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
DeWeese	Knight	Polite	White
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zeller
Dorr	Levin	Reed	Zitterman
Duffy	Lewis	Rhodes	Zord
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	

Fee	McClatchy	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fischer	McIntyre	Rocks	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—19

Bowser	Geist	Levi	Ritter
Clark, M. R.	Gladeck	Livengood	Sieminski
Dietz	Goodman	McCall	Swift
Fryer	Lashinger	Murphy	Zwikl
Gatski	Letterman	Pratt	

NOT VOTING—11

Chess	Geesey	Jones	Williams
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Shadding	Yahner
Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Street	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. I ask to be recorded in the affirmative on the last vote, HB 2690.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2691, PN 3560**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardio-vascular studies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Alden	Fischer	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger
Anderson	Fisher	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Rodgers
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Ryan
Austin	Freind	McKelvey	Salvatore
Barber	Gallagher	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gallen	McVerry	Schmitt
Beloff	Gamble	Mackowski	Schweder
Bennett	Gannon	Madigan	Serafini
Berson	Gatski	Maiale	Seventy
Bittle	George, C.	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bowser	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goodman	Miller	Spencer

Burd	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mullen	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Novak	Sweet
Civera	Halverson	Noye	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Telek
Cohen	Hoeffel	Oliver	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knepper	Polite	Wilt
Davies	Knight	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kowalishyn	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Dininni	Kukovich	Punt	Zeller
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pyles	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rappaport	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Reed	
Durham	Levin	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Fee	Lewis	Richardson	Speaker

NAYS—10

Fryer	Gladeck	Livengood	Ritter
Geesey	Lashinger	Murphy	Sieminski
Geist	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—9

Chess	Jones	Street	Williams
Dumas	Shadding	Taylor, E. Z.	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2692, PN 3561**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Zeller, rise?

Mr. ZELLER. Before the vote on this HB 2692, is that a new appropriation? I would like to get someone to answer that, if it is a new appropriation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for interrogation? The gentleman, Mr. Zeller, may proceed.

Mr. McCLATCHY. What is the question?

Mr. ZELLER. The question is: Is this a regular general hospital, a research hospital? I have about, I think, four in the Lehigh Valley that could use some money too.

Mr. McCLATCHY. I will yield to the majority whip, Mr. Hayes.

Mr. S. E. HAYES. Obviously, Mr. Speaker, I am not from the city of Pittsburgh, but I do know that the hospital is a general hospital in that it has daily patient care as the hospitals in your community or mine. And I also know that this appropriation is not new this year. It is a continuing appropriation. It is for \$60,000 this year as it was last year.

Mr. ZELLER. I thank you very much again as far as the question is concerned. But my feelings about it is that, with all respect to the folks in those areas, I have hospitals in my area; everybody does. If they are in research, if they are in areas where you have a definite poverty-level problem, where they have to have people who need and cannot afford it, if you have conditions like that that exist—I have hospitals—we have four in our valley—that could use money too; I mean very badly; and they also have welfare recipients and people who are taken care of that need help. So the list could be endless. Let us give everybody a chance. What do you say? Open it up. So as far as I am concerned, I say I would have to vote against it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. S. E. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, maybe I misled the gentleman, Mr. Zeller. He asked whether it was a regular type hospital and, yes, it is at least that, but it is also more than that. It does conduct research and special studies in cardiovascular health problem areas.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Yes, ASH Hospital - Allentown & Sacred Heart Hospital Center - likewise does research and they also have cardiovascular setups, but not on a large scale such as Lankenau and other hospitals that are known for many, many years in research. I think all of them do research to a sense. But what I am talking about is, this is not what you call a very large operation that takes care of research for national problems, and I say that sincerely. This is just a regular general hospital. So they gave them an appropriation last year. I would like to have one for our hospitals too.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Grabowski.

Mr. GRABOWSKI. Mr. Speaker, St. Francis General Hospital is in my district, and if Mr. Zeller has any questions, maybe I could answer them for him.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Yes, I would like to have questions answered. Is this a general hospital like any other hospital?

Mr. GRABOWSKI. Well, St. Francis General Hospital, aside from providing health-care services, they do a lot of research. This one is particularly for cardiovascular study. They have a drug and alcohol program, MH/MR. They provide many community services, and this is an old appropriation. And I would suggest that if you have hospitals in your district, put in a bill and maybe get them some money.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. That sounds good, and you can yell and carry on all you want, and then when the budget gets to the point to where you cannot handle any more, then blame everybody else for it and not yourself. Now, what I am saying is this: My hospital, ASH Hospital, has MH/MR programs, cardiovascular, they have almost anything you want. They have got a burn center; they have everything. Now, I say it is about time we put the brakes on these things. Now, if you want it, certainly, put your appropriation in, which you did last year, and we voted for it, but as far as I am concerned, I cannot support it. They are my feelings. Okay?

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—161

Anderson	Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Rieger
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Rocks
Austin	Fisher	McIntyre	Rodgers
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Ryan
Beloff	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Bennett	Gallagher	McVerry	Scheaffer
Berson	Gallen	Mackowski	Schmitt
Bittle	Gamble	Madigan	Schweder
Borski	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Brandt	Geesey	Manderino	Seventy
Brown	George, C.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Burd	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Burns	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Caltagirone	Goebel	Miller	Spencer
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cessar	Gray	Mowery	Steighner
Chess	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cimini	Grieco	Mullen	Stuban
Civera	Gruppo	Nahill	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Novak	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Telek
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Trello
Cole	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Oliver	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wargo
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wass
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Wenger
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	White
DeVertter	Klingaman	Piccola	Wilson
DeWeese	Knepper	Pievsky	Wilt
DiCarlo	Knight	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kolter	Polite	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Yohn
Dietz	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Zitterman
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Zord
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pyles	
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Seltzer,
Dorr	Levi	Rasco	Speaker
Duffy	Levin	Rhodes	

NAYS—29

Alden	Goodman	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Belardi	Halverson	Murphy	Spitz
Bowser	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Swift
Freind	Kanuck	Pratt	Taylor, E. Z.
Fryer	Lashinger	Reed	Thomas
Gatski	Letterman	Ritter	Zeller
Geist	Lewis	Sieminski	Zwinkl
Gladeck			

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Jones	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Williams	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2693, PN 3562**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to Inglis House of Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—159

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ritter
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Rocks
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Rodgers
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Ryan
Barber	Gamble	Maiale	Salvatore
Beloff	Gannon	Manderino	Schmitt
Bennett	Gatski	Manmiller	Schweder
Berson	Geesey	Michlovic	Seventy
Bittle	George, C.	Milanovich	Shupnik
Borski	George, M. H.	Miller	Sirianni
Brandt	Giammarco	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goebel	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Stuban
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Thomas
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
DeMedio	Knepper	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Knight	Pistella	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pitts	Wilt
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Polite	Wright, D. R.

Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Pyles	Zitterman
Dorr	Levin	Rappaport	Zord
Duffy	Lynch, E. R.	Rasco	Zwilk
Durham	McCall	Reed	
Fee	McClatchy	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fischer	McIntyre	Rieger	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—26

Alden	Geist	Letterman	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gladeck	Levi	Serafini
Bowser	Hasay	Lewis	Sieminski
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Dietz	Kanuck	Madigan	Swift
Foster, W. W.	Klingaman	Pratt	Wass
Fryer	Lashingner		

NOT VOTING—12

Chess	Hutchinson, A.	Rhodes	Street
Cunningham	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Jones	Spencer	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2694, PN 3563**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Christopher's Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for treatment of Cerebral Palsy.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—169

Alden	Fisher	Levin	Reed
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lewis	Rhodes
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson
Arty	Freind	McCall	Rieger
Austin	Gallagher	McIntyre	Rocks
Barber	Gallen	McKelvey	Rodgers
Belardi	Gamble	McMonagle	Ryan
Beloff	Gannon	McVerry	Salvatore
Bennett	Gatski	Mackowski	Schmitt
Berson	Geesey	Madigan	Schweder
Borski	George, C.	Maiale	Seventy
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manderino	Shupnik
Brandt	Giammarco	Manmiller	Sieminski
Brown	Goebel	Michlovic	Sirianni
Burd	Goodman	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Burns	Grabowski	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Gray	Mowery	Spitz
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stairs
Cessar	Grieco	Mullen	Steighner

Cimini	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Civera	Hagarty	Novak	Street
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Noye	Stuban
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cochran	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Hoefel	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Thomas
Cunningham	Irvis	Petrarca	Trello
DeMedio	Itkin	Phillips	Vroon
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wachob
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wargo
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pistella	Wass
Davies	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
Dawida	Knight	Polite	White
Dietz	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilt
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Duffy	Lehr	Pyles	Zitterman
Durham	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zord
Fee	Levi	Rasco	Zwilk
Fischer			

NAYS—12

Fryer	Lashingner	Moehlmann	Scheaffer
Geist	Letterman	Murphy	Swift
Gladeck	Livengood	Ritter	Zeller

NOT VOTING—16

Bittle	Hutchinson, W.	Shadding	Yohn
Chess	Johnson, J. J.	Spencer	
Coslett	Jones	Williams	Seltzer,
Dorr	McClatchy	Yahner	Speaker
Dumas	Serafini		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB2695, PN 3564**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Children's Hospital, Pittsburgh for Cerebral Dysfunction.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Alden	Fisher	McClatchy	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Salvatore
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Seventy

Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Manniller	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Nahill	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Novak	Street
Cessar	Gruppo	Noye	Stuban
Chess	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Civera	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Peterson	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Pievsky	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Pistella	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wass
DeMedio	Kanuck	Polite	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	White
DeWeese	Knepper	Pratt	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knight	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Davies	Kolter	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kukovich	Rappaport	Yohn
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reed	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zord
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Durham	Levin	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Speaker
Fischer	McCall		

NAYS—6

Fryer	Gladeck	Livengood	Murphy
Geist	Lashingier		

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2696, PN 3565**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Alden	Fischer	McIntyre	Rodgers
Anderson	Fisher	McKelvey	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Scheaffer
Austin	Freind	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Gallagher	Madigan	Schweder
Belardi	Gallen	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	Gannon	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	Gatski	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Geesey	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	George, C.	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	George, M. H.	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Giammarco	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Mullen	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Murphy	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Nahill	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Novak	Street
Cessar	Grieco	Noye	Stuban
Chess	Gruppo	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Civera	Halverson	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Harper	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Peterson	Thomas
Cohen	Hoeffel	Petrarca	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wargo
Cowell	Irvis	Pistella	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Pitts	Wenger
DeMedio	Kanuck	Polite	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	Wilson
DeWeese	Knepper	Pratt	Wilt
DiCarlo	Knight	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kolter	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pyles	Yohn
Dietz	Kukovich	Rappaport	Zeller
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reed	Zord
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Seltzer,
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	Speaker
Fee	McCall	Rocks	

NAYS—8

Fryer	Gladeck	Lashingier	Livengood
Geist	Johnson, E. G.	Letterman	Swift

NOT VOTING—8

Dumas	Jones	McClatchy	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2697, PN 3566**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

Alden	Fisher	McClatchy	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Salvatore
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Bowser	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burd	Goodman	Mowery	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Mullen	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Murphy	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Nahill	Stuban
Chess	Gruppo	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Swift
Civera	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Telek
Cohen	Hoeffel	Peterson	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	Phillips	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wachob
Cowell	Irvin	Pistella	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Pitts	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Polite	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pott	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pratt	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Davies	Knight	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Rappaport	Yohn
Dininni	Kukovich	Rasco	Zeller
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Reed	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rhodes	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Durham	Levin	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Speaker
Fischer	McCall		

NAYS—6

Fryer	Gladeck	Letterman	Livengood
Geist	Lashingner		

NOT VOTING—11

Borski	Jones	Petrarca	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	O'Donnell	Street	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2698, PN 3567**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Alden	Fischer	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Fisher	McIntyre	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Ryan
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Austin	Freind	McVerry	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallagher	Mackowski	Schmitt
Belardi	Gallen	Madigan	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Shupnik
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Street
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Stuban
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Cornell	Irvin	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
DeVerter	Knepper	Polite	White
DeWeese	Knight	Pott	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Laughlin	Pyles	Yohn
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Letterman	Reed	Zord
Dorr	Levi	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	

Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—6

Fryer	Gladeck	Livengood	Swift
Geist	Lashingner		

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams
Gamble	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Hutchinson, A.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2699, PN 3568**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Ryan
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Salvatore
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schmitt
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Schweder
Beloff	Gatski	Manderino	Serafini
Bennett	Geesey	Manmiller	Seventy
Berson	George, C.	Michlovic	Shupnik
Bittle	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sieminski
Borski	Giammarco	Miller	Sirianni
Bowser	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goodman	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spencer
Burd	Gray	Mullen	Spitz
Burns	Greenfield	Murphy	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Stewart
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Street
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Stuban
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cochran	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Irvis	Phillips	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
DiCarlo	Knepper	Polite	White

Davies	Knight	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pyles	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rappaport	Zeller
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zitterman
Duffy	Levi	Reed	Zord
Durham	Levin	Rhodes	Zwikel
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	
Fischer	McCall	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fisher	McClatchy	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—8

Clark, M. R.	Geist	Lashingner	Livengood
Fryer	Gladeck	Letterman	Swift

NOT VOTING—11

Caltagirone	Harper	Lewis	Williams
DeMedio	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding	Yahner
Dumas	Jones	Taylor, F.	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2700, PN 3569**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation of Greater Delaware Valley.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Alden	Fischer	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Fisher	McIntyre	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Ryan
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Austin	Freind	McVerry	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallagher	Mackowski	Schmitt
Belardi	Gallen	Madigan	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Shupnik
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Street
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.

Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Perzel	Thomas
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Irvis	Phillips	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
DeWeese	Knight	Pott	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Yohn
Dietz	Laughlin	Pyles	Zeller
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zord
Donatucci, R.	Letterman	Reed	Zwilk
Dorr	Levi	Rhodes	
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Seltzer,
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Speaker
Fee	McCall	Ritter	

NAYS—7

Fryer	Gladeck	Livengood	Swift
Geist	Lashingner	Sieminski	

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams
Gamble	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Harper			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2701, PN 3570**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Sunshine Foundation, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for chronically and terminally ill children.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—177

Alden	Fisher	McCall	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni

Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Street
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Sweet
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Thomas
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wilson
DeWeese	Knepper	Polite	Wilt
DiCarlo	Knight	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Yohn
Dietz	Kukovich	Pyles	Zeller
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwilk
Duffy	Letterman	Rhodes	
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	Levin	Rieger	Speaker
Fischer	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	

NAYS—8

Fryer	Gladeck	Livengood	Scheaffer
Geist	Lashingner	Pratt	Swift

NOT VOTING—12

Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Lewis	Smith, E. H.
Donatucci, R.	Johnson, J. J.	McClatchy	Williams
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2702, PN 3571**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—169

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Ryan
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Salvatore
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schmitt
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Schweder
Beloff	Geesey	Manderino	Serafini
Bennett	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Seventy
Berson	Giammarco	Michlovic	Shupnik
Bittle	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sieminski
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Sirianni
Brandt	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Grabowski	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Gray	Mullen	Spitz
Burns	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Nahill	Street
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Chess	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Thomas
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wachob
Coslett	Irvis	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	White
DeMedio	Knepper	Pitts	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knight	Polite	Wilt
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Yohn
Dininni	Lashinger	Pyles	Zeller
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rhodes	
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Rieger	Speaker
Fisher	McClatchy	Ritter	

NAYS—20

Bowser	Fryer	Klingaman	Smith, L. E.
Clark, M. R.	Gatski	Letterman	Stairs
DeVerter	Geist	Levi	Stewart
DeWeese	George, C.	Livengood	Swift
Fischer	Kanuck	Pratt	Wass

NOT VOTING—8

Dumas	Jones	Mrkonic	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2703, PN 3572**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Carnegie Museum at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—170

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Ryan
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Salvatore
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schmitt
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Schweder
Beloff	Geesey	Manderino	Serafini
Bennett	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Seventy
Berson	Giammarco	Michlovic	Shupnik
Bittle	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sieminski
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Sirianni
Brandt	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Burd	Grabowski	Mowery	Spencer
Burns	Gray	Mrkonic	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mullen	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Chess	Hagarty	Novak	Street
Cimini	Halverson	Noye	Stuban
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Sweet
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Trello
Cowell	Irvis	Piccola	Vroon
Cunningham	Itkin	Pievsky	Wachob
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wargo
DeWeese	Knepper	Pitts	Wenger
DiCarlo	Knight	Polite	White
Davies	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Duffy	Levin	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	
Fee	McCall	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fisher	McClatchy	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—18

Bowser	Fryer	Klingaman	Pratt
Brown	Gatski	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
Clark, M. R.	Geist	Levi	Swift
DeVerter	George, C.	Livengood	Wass
Fischer	Kanuck		

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Lewis	Shadding	Wright, D. R.
Johnson, J. J.	O'Brien, B. F.	Williams	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2704, PN 3573**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Armstrong	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McVerry	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	Mackowski	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Beloff	Geesey	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goodman	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nahill	Street
Cessar	Hagarty	Novak	Stuban
Chess	Halverson	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Cornell	Irvs	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wachob
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Knepper	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Knight	Polite	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Dorr	Levin	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	
Durham	McCall	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fee	McClatchy	Rocks	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—17

Bowser	Gatski	Letterman	Ritter
Clark, M. R.	Geist	Levi	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	George, C.	Livengood	Swift
Fischer	Kanuck	Pratt	Wass
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Jones	O'Donnell	Williams
Hoeffel	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2705, PN 3574**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—166

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Ryan
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Salvatore
Armstrong	Freind	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Arty	Gallagher	Maiale	Schmitt
Austin	Gamble	Manderino	Schweder
Barber	Gannon	Manmiller	Serafini
Beloff	Geesey	Michlovic	Seventy
Bennett	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Shupnik
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Sieminski
Bittle	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Sirianni
Borski	Goebel	Mowery	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goodman	Mrkonic	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Mullen	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Murphy	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Nahill	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Novak	Street
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Noye	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Chess	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Cole	Irvs	Piccola	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
Coslett	Knepper	Pistella	Wass
Cowell	Knight	Pitts	Wenger
Cunningham	Kolter	Polite	White
DeMedio	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Wilson
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilt
DiCarlo	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Levin	Reed	Zitterman
Dorr	Lynch, E. R.	Rhodes	Zord
Duffy	McCall	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	McClatchy	Rieger	

Fee	McIntyre	Rocks	Seltzer,
Fisher	McKelvey	Rodgers	Speaker
NAYS—23			
Belardi	Gallen	Kanuck	Pratt
Bowser	Gatski	Klingaman	Ritter
DeVerter	Geist	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
Dietz	George, C.	Levi	Stairs
Fischer	Hasay	Livengood	Taylor, F.
Fryer	Johnson, E. G.	Madigan	
NOT VOTING—8			
Davies	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
EXCUSED—5			
Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2706, PN 3575**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—164

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Ryan
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Salvatore
Armstrong	Freind	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Arty	Gallagher	Madigan	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	Maiale	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Manderino	Serafini
Beloff	Geesey	Manmiller	Seventy
Bennett	George, C.	Michlovic	Shupnik
Berson	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sieminski
Bittle	Giammarco	Miller	Sirianni
Borski	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Goodman	Mullen	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Murphy	Steighner
Burns	Gray	Nahill	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Novak	Street
Cappabianca	Grieco	Noye	Stuban
Cessar	Gruppo	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Chess	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Thomas
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Peterson	Trello
Cochran	Honaman	Petrarca	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wachob
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cornell	Irviss	Pievsky	Wass
Coslett	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
Cowell	Knepper	Pitts	White
Cunningham	Knight	Polite	Wilson

DeMedio	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Dorr	Levin	Rhodes	Zwilk
Duffy	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	
Durham	McCall	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fee	McClatchy	Rodgers	Speaker
Fisher	McIntyre		

NAYS—20

Bowser	Gallen	Klingaman	Ritter
DeVerter	Gatski	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
Dietz	Geist	Levi	Stairs
Fischer	Johnson, E. G.	Livengood	Swift
Fryer	Kanuck	Pratt	Taylor, F.

NOT VOTING—13

Austin	Johnson, J. J.	McKelvey	Shadding
Davies	Jones	Mrkonic	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Rocks	Yahner
Halverson			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2707, PN 3576**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of the Philadelphia Civic Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for maintenance and the purchase of apparatus, supplies and equipment.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

YEAS—141

Anderson	Fee	McMonagle	Ryan
Armstrong	Fisher	McVerry	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Schmitt
Austin	Freind	Maiale	Schweder
Barber	Gallagher	Manderino	Serafini
Beloff	Gamble	Manmiller	Seventy
Bennett	Gannon	Michlovic	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bittle	George, M. H.	Mowery	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Giammarco	Mullen	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Nahill	Steighner
Burd	Grabowski	Novak	Stuban
Burns	Gray	Noye	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenfield	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cappabianca	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Chess	Halverson	O'Donnell	Telek
Civera	Harper	Oliver	Thomas
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Trello
Cochran	Hoeffel	Petrarca	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Phillips	Wachob

Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wargo
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wenger
Coslett	Irviss	Pistella	White
Cowell	Itkin	Polite	Wilson
Cunningham	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
DeMedio	Knight	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kolter	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
DiCarlo	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Yohn
Davies	Kukovich	Rappaport	Zeller
Dawida	Laughlin	Reed	Zitterman
Dininni	Lehr	Rhodes	Zord
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci, R.	Levin	Rieger	
Dorr	McClatchy	Ritter	Seltzer,
Duffy	McIntyre	Rocks	Speaker
Durham	McKelvey	Rodgers	

NAYS—46

Alden	Gatski	Letterman	Pratt
Belardi	Geist	Levi	Rasco
Bowser	George, C.	Livengood	Scheaffer
Brown	Gladeck	Lynch, E. R.	Sieminski
Cimini	Goodman	McCall	Smith, L. E.
Clark, M. R.	Grieco	Madigan	Spitz
DeVerter	Gruppo	Miller	Stairs
Dietz	Hasay	Moehlmann	Stewart
Fischer	Johnson, E. G.	Murphy	Swift
Foster, W. W.	Kanuck	Peterson	Taylor, E. Z.
Fryer	Klingaman	Pitts	Wass
Gallen	Lashingar		

NOT VOTING—10

Cessar	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Street	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Mrkonic		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I do not know whether I am moving slowly or you are moving at a rapid speed, but I had a question that I wanted to ask on that bill that we just passed about the Civic Center and the purchase of some apparatus. I do not know what the procedure is at this point. The bill is passed, but I would like to know from Mr. McClatchy, if possible, what apparatus are they talking about?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, respond to the interrogation by Mr. Street?

The Chair recognizes Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Would you repeat your question, please?

Mr. STREET. Yes. We have just dealt with the bill dealing with the purchase of some apparatus, supplies, and equipment. I do not know what they are talking about in terms of the Civic Center in Philadelphia and I just would like to know what they are talking about.

Mr. McCLATCHY. I cannot answer that question. This has been a continuing appropriation for a number of years

and roughly in the same amount, and I would suspect it pays for those general things that are listed.

Mr. STREET. Well, we do not even know whether they are spending that money for apparatus. All we know is that we are allocating it.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. S. E. HAYES. To help answer the gentleman's question, the apparatus and items, which Mr. Street asked questions about, are not specifically itemized in the legislation which we just passed. However, the \$150,000, which would be appropriated by HB 2707, would not go directly to the museum in Philadelphia, but rather it would be allocated through our own Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission, specifically the executive director of that agency. So there would be a mechanism here at the state level which would watch over the dispersement of these funds, and I believe it is safe to say that those moneys would be appropriated for items that directly relate to the purpose and intent of that museum.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman have any further interrogation?

Mr. STREET. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, nothing further.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2708, PN 3577**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Buhl Planetarium and Institute of Popular Science, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Alden	Fischer	McIntyre	Ryan
Anderson	Fisher	McKelvey	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Schmitt
Austin	Freind	Mackowski	Schweder
Barber	Gallagher	Madigan	Serafini
Belardi	Gamble	Maiale	Seventy
Beloff	Gannon	Manderino	Shupnik
Bennett	Geesey	Manmiller	Sieminski
Berson	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Bittle	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Street
Cessar	Grieco	Novak	Stuban
Chess	Gruppo	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Civera	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Telek
Cohen	Hoefel	Petrarca	Thomas

Cole	Honaman	Phillips	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Pistella	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Pitts	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Polite	Wenger
DeVerter	Knepper	Pott	White
DeWeese	Knight	Pucciarelli	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kolter	Punt	Wilt
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pyles	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Lashinger	Rasco	Yohn
Dininni	Laughlin	Reed	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rhodes	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zord
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	
Durham	McCall	Rocks	Seltzer,
Fee	McClatchy	Rodgers	Speaker

NAYS—12

Bowser	Gatski	Klingaman	Livengood
Fryer	Geist	Letterman	Peterson
Gallen	Kanuck	Levi	Pratt

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2709, PN 3578**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Division of Education of the Philadelphia Museum of Art, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—160

Alden	Fisher	McKelvey	Ryan
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Scheaffer
Arty	Freind	Mackowski	Schmitt
Austin	Gallagher	Maiale	Schweder
Barber	Gamble	Manderino	Serafini
Belardi	Gannon	Manmiller	Seventy
Beloff	Geesey	Michlovic	Shupnik
Bennett	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sieminski
Berson	Giammarco	Miller	Sirianni
Bittle	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Mullen	Spitz
Brown	Gray	Murphy	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Nahill	Street

Burns	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cappabianca	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cessar	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Chess	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Telek
Clark, B. D.	Hoeffel	Perzel	Thomas
Cochran	Honaman	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wachob
Cornell	Irvis	Pievsky	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
Cowell	Knepper	Pitts	White
Cunningham	Knight	Polite	Wilson
DeMedio	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Davies	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Dininni	Lehr	Reed	Zitterman
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zord
Donatucci, R.	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Dorr	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	
Duffy	McCall	Rocks	Seltzer,
Durham	McClatchy	Rodgers	Speaker
Fee	McIntyre		

NAYS—28

Bowser	Gallen	Kanuck	Pratt
Cimini	Gatski	Klingaman	Rasco
Clark, M. R.	Geist	Letterman	Smith, L. E.
DeVerter	George, C.	Levi	Stairs
Dietz	Gladeck	Livengood	Stewart
Fischer	Grieco	Madigan	Swift
Fryer	Johnson, E. G.	Peterson	Wass

NOT VOTING—9

Dumas	Lewis	Rhodes	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Mrkonic	Shadding	Yahner
Jones			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2710, PN 3579**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Allentown Museum of Art at Allentown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—160

Alden	Fee	McKelvey	Rocks
Anderson	Fisher	McMonagle	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Ryan
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Salvatore

Barber	Freind	Madigan	Schmitt
Belardi	Gallagher	Maiale	Schweder
Beloff	Gamble	Manderino	Seventy
Bennett	Gannon	Manmiller	Shupnik
Berson	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bittle	Giammarco	Milanovich	Sirianni
Borski	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Mullen	Spitz
Burd	Gray	Murphy	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Nahill	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Novak	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Noye	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Chess	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Civera	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Thomas
Clark, M. R.	Hoefel	Petrarca	Trello
Cochran	Honaman	Phillips	Vroon
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wachob
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wargo
Cornell	Irvis	Pistella	Wenger
Coslett	Itkin	Pitts	White
Cowell	Klingaman	Polite	Wilson
Cunningham	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
DeMedio	Knight	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kolter	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
DiCarlo	Kowalshyn	Pyles	Yohn
Davies	Kukovich	Rappaport	Zeller
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Zitterman
Dininni	Lehr	Reed	Zord
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zwilk
Donatucci, R.	Levin	Richardson	
Dorr	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Duffy	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
Durham	McIntyre		

NAYS—24

Bowser	Gatski	Letterman	Scheaffer
DeVerter	Geesey	Levi	Serafini
Dietz	Geist	Livengood	Smith, L. E.
Fischer	George, C.	Moehlmann	Stairs
Fryer	Johnson, E. G.	Peterson	Swift
Gallen	Kanuck	Pratt	Wass

NOT VOTING—13

Austin	Jones	McClatchy	Street
Dumas	Lashingner	Mrkonic	Williams
Gladeck	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2711, PN 3580**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—185

Alden	Fisher	Levin	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McClatchy	Salvatore
Austin	Fryer	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallagher	McKelvey	Schmitt
Belardi	Gallen	McMonagle	Schweder
Beloff	Gamble	McVerry	Serafini
Bennett	Gannon	Mackowski	Seventy
Berson	Gatski	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Geesey	Maiale	Sieminski
Borski	Geist	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	George, C.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Giammarco	Milanovich	Spencer
Burd	Gladeck	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Goebel	Moehlmann	Stairs
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stewart
Cessar	Gray	Mullen	Stuban
Chess	Greenfield	Murphy	Sweet
Cimini	Grieco	Nahill	Swift
Civera	Gruppo	Novak	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Telek
Cohen	Hasay	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cole	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Trello
Cornell	Hoefel	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Honaman	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Irvis	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Itkin	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kanuck	Polite	Wilt
Davies	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Knepper	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Knight	Punt	Yohn
Dininni	Kolter	Pyles	Zeller
Dombrowski	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Kukovich	Rasco	Zord
Dorr	Lashingner	Reed	Zwilk
Duffy	Laughlin	Rhodes	
Durham	Lehr	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	Lescovitz	Rieger	Speaker
Fischer	Letterman	Ritter	

NAYS—2

Livengood	Pratt
-----------	-------

NOT VOTING—10

Dumas	Levi	Shadding	Williams
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Street	Yahner
Jones	Peterson		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2712, PN 3581**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Alden	Fisher	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallen	Mackowski	Schmitt
Belardi	Gamble	Madigan	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Shupnik
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Street
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Suban
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Piccola	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
DeWeese	Knepper	Polite	White
DiCarlo	Knight	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Duffy	Levi	Rhodes	Zwilk
Durham	Levin	Richardson	
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fischer	McCall	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—6

Fryer	Gladeck	Letterman	Livengood
Geist	Johnson, E. G.		

NOT VOTING—9

DeMedio	Jones	Shadding	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Taylor, E. Z.	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2713, PN 3582**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Home for Crippled Children, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Alden	Fisher	McClatchy	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Mowery	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Mrkonic	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mullen	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Murphy	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Nahill	Street
Chess	Grieco	Novak	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Noye	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Piccola	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
DeWeese	Knepper	Polite	White
DiCarlo	Knight	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pyles	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zord
Duffy	Levi	Rhodes	Zwilk
Durham	Levin	Richardson	
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Seltzer,

Fischer	McCall	Ritter	Speaker
NAYS—6			
Fryer	Johnson, E. G.	Livengood	Scheaffer
Geist	Letterman		
NOT VOTING—9			
Cessar	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Harper			
EXCUSED—5			
Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2714, PN 3583**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to Children's Heart Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Alden	Fischer	McCall	Ritter
Anderson	Fisher	McClatchy	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Rodgers
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McKelvey	Ryan
Austin	Freind	McMonagle	Salvatore
Barber	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Belardi	Gallen	Mackowski	Schweder
Bennett	Gamble	Madigan	Serafini
Berson	Gannon	Maiale	Seventy
Bittle	Gatski	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Geesey	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	George, C.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Brandt	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burns	Goebel	Mowery	Spitz
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mrkonic	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Mullen	Steighner
Cessar	Gray	Nahill	Street
Chess	Greenfield	Novak	Stuban
Cimini	Grieco	Noye	Sweet
Civera	Gruppo	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Halverson	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Thomas
Cole	Hoeffel	Peterson	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Irviss	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Polite	Wilson

DiCarlo	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
Davies	Knight	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Yohn
Dininni	Kukovich	Pyles	Zeller
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Rhodes	
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Seltzer,
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—8

Fryer	Johnson, E. G.	Livengood	Scheaffer
Geist	Letterman	Murphy	Swift

NOT VOTING—11

Beloff	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Harper	Lashinger	Stewart	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2715, PN 3584**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh for the general maintenance and operation of the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—176

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McKelvey	Rodgers
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Ryan
Arty	Freind	McVerry	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	Mackowski	Scheaffer
Barber	Gamble	Madigan	Schmitt
Beloff	Gannon	Maiale	Schweder
Bennett	Gatski	Manderino	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Manmiller	Shupnik
Bittle	George, C.	Michlovic	Sieminski
Borski	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Sirianni
Bowser	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Spitz
Burns	Gray	Mullen	Stairs
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Murphy	Steighner
Cappabianca	Grieco	Nahill	Stewart
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Street
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Stuban
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.

Cochran	Hoeffel	Peterson	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Trello
Coslett	Irvic	Pievsky	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Pistella	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wass
DeVerter	Knepper	Polite	Wenger
DeWeese	Knight	Pott	White
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Levi	Reed	Zitterman
Dorr	Levin	Rhodes	Zord
Duffy	Livengood	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	
Fee	McCall	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fischer	McClatchy	Rocks	Speaker
Fisher	McIntyre		

NAYS—8

Belardi	Geist	Kanuck	Letterman
Fryer	Gladeck	Klingaman	Serafini

NOT VOTING—13

Anderson	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Wargo
DeMedio	Jones	Oliver	Williams
Dumas	Lashinger	Shadding	Yahner
Gallen			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2665, PN 3534**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the American Wind Symphony Orchestra, Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—144

Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Rodgers
Armstrong	Gallagher	Mackowski	Ryan
Arty	Gamble	Maiale	Salvatore
Austin	Gannon	Mandirino	Schmitt
Barber	Gatski	Manmiller	Schweder
Beloff	Geesey	Michlovic	Seventy
Bennett	George, C.	Milanovich	Shupnik
Berson	George, M. H.	Miller	Sirianni
Bittle	Giammarco	Mowery	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Goebel	Mrkonic	Spencer
Brandt	Goodman	Mullen	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Murphy	Stairs

Caltagirone	Gray	Nahill	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Novak	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Noye	Stuban
Chess	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cochran	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Trello
Coslett	Irvic	Pievsky	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Pistella	Wachob
Cunningham	Knepper	Pitts	Wargo
DeMedio	Knight	Polite	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Davies	Laughlin	Pyles	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Levin	Rhodes	Zord
Duffy	McCall	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	McClatchy	Rieger	
Fee	McIntyre	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fischer	McKelvey	Rocks	Speaker
Fisher	McMonagle		

NAYS—46

Alden	Freind	Lashinger	Reed
Belardi	Fryer	Letterman	Scheaffer
Bowser	Gallen	Levi	Serafini
Brown	Geist	Livengood	Sieminski
Burns	Gladeck	Lynch, E. R.	Smith, L. E.
Civera	Gruppo	Madigan	Street
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	Moehlmann	Thomas
DeVerter	Hayes, Jr., S.	Peterson	Wass
Dietz	Johnson, E. G.	Phillips	Wenger
Dininni	Kanuck	Pratt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dorr	Klingaman	Punt	Zeller
Foster, W. W.	Kowalyszyn		

NOT VOTING—7

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Williams	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2706, my switch was inoperative. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Salvatore.

Mr. SALVATORE. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2664, I inadvertently did not pull my switch. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rocks.

Mr. ROCKS. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2706, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I suggest we recess now, and the Republicans report to the caucus room at 1:15, and we return to the floor at 2 o'clock. It is our intention to finish up all of the bills between pages 8 and the top bill on page 18 that we discussed earlier and then move on into the calendar. But those bills are of first priority, and I would ask that both caucuses review them, prepare what amendments they have, and we will stay here today and clean up those 10 pages of bills.

If Mr. Hoeffel is here, I would like to see him—I do not see him at a glance—prior to recess. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, it will be necessary for the Democrats to caucus. We shall be in caucus at 1:15. At 1:15, a Democratic caucus.

SENATE MESSAGE

ADJOURNMENT RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The Senate presented the following resolution for concurrence:

In the Senate, June 16, 1980

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, June 23, 1980 and when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, June 23, 1980.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,

Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?

Resolution was concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate informed that the Senate has concurred in **HB 2107, PN 3004**.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that it was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

HB 2107, PN 3004

An Act amending the act of October 5, 1972 (Sp. Sess. No. 1, P. L. 2019, No. 4), entitled, as amended, "An Act implementing the provisions of clause (1) of subsection (a) of section 7 of Article VIII of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania authorizing the incurring of debt for the rehabilitation of areas affected by the Great Storm and Floods ***; creating certain funds; and making an appropriation," further providing for the disposition of certain funds.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY MR. HAYES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip. Mr. S. E. HAYES. Two announcements: One, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee at the declaration of the recess in the majority leader's office; and, two, those persons who have amendments to bills on the calendar, I would respectfully suggest that you get them to the Appropriations Committee chairman if they pertain to fiscal matters in the nonpreferred package, which is still before us, or to the caucus chairman so that we can caucus on those amendments this afternoon before returning to the floor.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call a meeting of the Appropriations Committee for 1 o'clock in the Appropriations Committee hearing room 245.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. The chairman of the Appropriations Committee calls a meeting of the Appropriations Committee at 1 p.m., in room 245.

Without objection, this House now stands in recess until 2 p.m. The Chair hears none.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

CALENDAR

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION RESUMED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2597, PN 3441**, entitled:

An Act providing for the management of fees charged by administrative agencies.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Hoeffel, who wishes to debate the bill. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Would the majority leader stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Ryan, stand for interrogation on HB 2597? The gentleman indicates that he will. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would prefer to yield to the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy, chairman of the Appropriations Committee, to respond to questions on the bill.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, on the floor?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Hoeffel, who asks if the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy, will stand for interrogation. The gentleman indicates that he will. The gentleman, Mr. Hoeffel, may proceed.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Mr. Speaker, I have some concerns about this bill in the effect it will have on the annual budget process. As I read section 302 of the bill, it says that all the moneys collected from fees shall be deposited as revenue in the appropriate funds and will be available for expenditure only after annual appropriation by the General Assembly. Do you foresee that this language in section 302 will require a new annual appropriation on fees every year?

Mr. McCLATCHY. No.

Mr. HOEFFEL. How will we meet the requirement, then, of the annual appropriation?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, we still will have the authority—we have to according to this bill—to annually appropriate the moneys received from these fees to the different departments.

Mr. HOEFFEL. The bill, Mr. Speaker, deposits the fee money in the various funds. I assume that to mean both the general fund, for those fees currently going to the general fund, and all the special funds, you know, for those fees that currently go to the special funds.

This bill seems to take away from the General Assembly any authority over the revenue coming in, the setting of the fees and the amounts of the fees, but turns around and says we must annually appropriate from those funds in which the fees have been deposited, and I am trying to figure out if that will mean that we will need, for the first time if this bill passes, to have a fee appropriation bill out of all the special funds that are now collecting fees?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct. We will appropriate to each one of these funds the revenues that they receive, according to our appropriation. If we feel that they do not need as much revenue as they have collected, we will not appropriate as much. We will still have the control, or, according to page 4, lines 3 to 5, I believe it is, the annual appropriation of moneys received under particular fee varieties may be waived by the General Assembly with appropriate language in any appropriation act. So we do not have to unless we want to, but we still have that power.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Well, Mr. Speaker, in that case then, we know that the budget bill we passed for next fiscal year contains an appropriation of \$15 million in additional revenue from fees. Do you know what increases in fees will be implemented to raise the \$15 million?

Mr. McCLATCHY. No, I do not at the moment; no.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Does anybody know that at this time?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I think in the budget document—and I do not have it here before me—there is a listing of the additional moneys for each one of those areas, the revenues received from them. Now I am not too sure of the exact fee increase for each one of those areas, but the revenues are there, and I am sure we can track it back.

Mr. HOEFFEL. One moment please.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that the listing you are referring to was not contained in this year's budget bill but last year's budget bill, and I further understand that it is an incomplete list of the fees that will be increased. Do we know if the Governor is going to abide by the list of fees that was published 2 years ago?

Mr. McCLATCHY. This bill gives him the right to raise fees to pay for costs and not anything more. As of this date, I do not have a listing of what those fees will be; no.

Mr. HOEFFEL. All right. Mr. Speaker, since section 302 of the bill says that the fee revenue may not be expended until an annual appropriation of the General Assembly, how will Governor Thornburgh be able to spend the money that he is going to presumably raise, the \$15 million in additional revenue, without an annual appropriation by us to accomplish that?

Mr. McCLATCHY. We will have to appropriate it.

Mr. HOEFFEL. After he then raises the fees and collects the money, he cannot spend the new money until we turn around and appropriate it?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, it is our intention, based upon the information we will receive from him, as to how much revenues he expects to receive from the increased fees to appropriate that money. It would go in this year into the general fund.

Mr. HOEFFEL. It would go this year into the general fund?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is right.

Mr. HOEFFEL. But the bill says that the fees have to go into the appropriate fund.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, it does not say that exactly. It gives you either/or.

Mr. HOEFFEL. No, Mr. Speaker, it does not. It says on page 3, line 29, "All moneys collected from fees authorized by this act shall be deposited as revenue in the appropriate fund of the Commonwealth...." Well, maybe I should ask you, what does that language mean then if it does not mean the fund that currently receives the money from that particular fee? What does that phrase of "appropriate fund" mean then?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, I think you have to continue the language on page 4, and it says, "...shall be available for expenditure only after annual appropriation by the General Assembly."

Mr. HOEFFEL. Well, I am not quarreling at the moment over the expenditure aspect of the equation. You made the statement that the fees that will be generated, \$15 million in additional revenue to help balance next year's budget, will be deposited in the general fund, but that is not what the bill says will happen, and I do not understand how Governor Thornburgh can spend the additional money he will be generating unless we appropriate it.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, for example, like moneys going into the banking fund, we would have to appropriate the money into the banking fund. Now that has not been done this year.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Well, in other words, the Governor will not be able to spend—I am just trying to confirm this, Mr. Speaker. The Governor will not be able to spend—the additional \$15 million he will receive in increased fees until we appropriate from each particular fund that he is going to choose. We do not know which fees he is going to pick so we will not know what funds will be increased. He will not be able to spend that additional money until we appropriate from each individual fund. Is that correct?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, a great number of these areas are a part of the general fund. Some are separate funds, but the ones dealing with the general fund, why, we will be putting the money into the general fund this year. Those others will go into their individual funds.

Mr. HOEFFEL. I realize a lot depends on what fees the Governor chooses, and it is my opinion we should know those at this time. I think it is a bad precedent for us to be approving this without knowing what fees are going up, but if he picks some of these special funds to raise at least some of the money of this \$15 million, how do we appropriate money from these special funds; and we do not do this now, do we? Are not these funds controlled by the agencies?

Mr. McCLATCHY. It is my understanding we appropriate almost all these every year. The banking fund would be an exception. We passed legislation some time ago that said that automatically it would be appropriated.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Yes, Mr. Speaker, that is true. I knew that the banking fund, for example, sort of controls itself. I guess the Secretary of Banking controls that; we gave him the authority. Can you tell me what other special funds are on similar footing as the banking fund; in other words, sort of outside of our control on an annual basis?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Harness racing, horse racing, in addition to banking.

Mr. HOEFFEL. What about the Fish Commission and the Game Commission?

Mr. McCLATCHY. No, they are not.

Mr. HOEFFEL. They are under our direct control?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Right.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Are there others in addition to harness racing and the other?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Not that I am aware of; just those three big ones.

Mr. HOEFFEL. All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, could I make a comment on the bill, please? Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a comment on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Mr. Speaker, I have a number of questions about this legislation and I am very uneasy about our consideration of this today. It seems to me that this bill is giving away a great deal of authority that currently rests in the General Assembly to regulate the fees that are imposed upon Pennsylvania citizens. We are doing this without a clear control over the expenditure of these fees, as I can see it. There are some special funds that currently exist over which we do not have direct control, as enumerated by the Appropriations Committee chairman, and I am very, very leery of giving up the authority to regulate the amount of fees and giving that authority over to the Governor. I am also distressed that we are being asked to vote on this bill which would permanently change the way fees are established in this state while we know that the Governor is counting on fee increases to the tune of \$15 million in the coming fiscal year to balance this budget, and yet we do not know what those fee increases will be.

We do not know what areas he will choose, whether they will be fees going into the general fund or special funds. We just do not have that information, and I am very leery of moving forward at this time without the information at hand. The Legislative Budget and Finance Committee issued a report on fees—they had issued a number of reports on fees, but their final report was issued this March, 1980—and suggested some of the language that is contained in HB 2597, but at the same time, Mr. Speaker, they encouraged and urged the Governor to come forward before adoption of the state budget for next year and tell us which fees he had in mind, which fees he was going to increase, and where that money was going to go. Now, the Governor has not done that. I think we are making a mistake by giving him this much authority with so little protection and I would recommend a negative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will. Mr. Street may proceed.

Mr. STREET. I am very, very concerned when it comes to taxing my constituents one penny more than they are already being taxed and I just want to get a few questions of Mr. McClatchy, if the gentleman would stand for brief interrogation.

This bill is talking about money. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicated that he will, and the gentleman, Mr. Street, may proceed.

Mr. STREET. Okay; Mr. Speaker, is there any way that you can tell me—and I am not sure whether this question was asked before, because I could not hear—what fees will be attached to what? What are we going to attach the fees to?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I do not really understand your question.

Mr. STREET. Well, are we not talking about giving the Governor authority to attach fees?

Mr. McCLATCHY. We are giving him authority to raise fees.

Mr. STREET. Yes, raise them.

Mr. McCLATCHY. To only those that are listed in the bill.

Mr. STREET. All of them are listed that he will have the authority to?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

Mr. STREET. Okay; I did not understand that, even though we went over the bill in caucus. Okay.

Then he can attach, raise the price, and some of them he does not have to? There is a certain amount of money we are seeking, right?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I think the intent is to try to bring up those fees to cover the actual costs of the whole operation. We are not trying to gouge anything, but some of the fees for these different areas have not been changed for many, many, many years, and they are way under the actual cost that is caused to the department through the operation of trying to handle these different areas.

Mr. STREET. Yes, okay. I think you are clearing me up. Mr. Speaker, then what we are doing is we are looking for areas where the costs of the implementation or the collection of the fees outweighs the amount of money we are bringing in?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

Mr. STREET. Then we are not looking for any additional moneys to balance the budget in any way?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, this will certainly help balance our budget this year; it was counted on as part of the revenues in the Governor's estimate. But again, it is revenues from fees that will be brought up to cost. It is not going beyond and taxing people beyond what it costs these departments or these other agencies to handle the service.

Mr. STREET. Yes. Mr. Speaker, what I am trying to get clear, are we raising the fees to operate the department, the administrative costs of the department, or are we raising the fees to bring in additional income over and above the operating costs so that we may have an additional \$15 million to balance the budget? That is what I am trying to find out.

Mr. McCLATCHY. No; these fees are being raised to try and cover the administrative costs, the cost of the operations. Right now we are actually subsidizing some of these services. So it is actually costing the general government money unless these fees are raised. We are not trying—Certainly the money is going to to be used to help balance the budget, but right now these departments are short, because the fees are so low. They have not been changed in years and years and years.

Mr. STREET. All right. Mr. Speaker, if that is the case, can you tell me what department, or give me an example of a department whose administrative costs in figures were more than the amount that they brought in? In figures?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I do not have that information, but the bill will not permit the Governor to go beyond their costs.

Mr. STREET. The bill will not permit the Governor to raise the fees beyond the administrative cost of administering that particular program?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

Mr. STREET. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Has the gentleman, Mr. Street, completed his interrogation?

The Chair rescinds its decision as to the bill being agreed to on third reading.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. RYAN offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 318, page 32, lines 9 through 11, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 318, page 32, line 12, by striking out "(4)" and inserting (3)

Amend Sec. 318, page 32, line 19, by striking out "(5)" and inserting (4)

Amend Sec. 318, page 32, line 22, by striking out "(6)" and inserting (5)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, this amendment is an agreed-to amendment. Let me explain the purpose of it. The bill calls for fees to be charged on the basis of the actual cost or to reimburse the costs of the fee-related activity. In the case of section 3, it deals with fees for motor carrier vehicle markers. We did, in the passage of SB 10, raise those fees from \$2 to \$25. There might be some question as to whether that is the actual cost to reimburse the department for that fee-related activity. This amendment would delete that section from the bill so that any increase or decrease in the fee markers would be done legislatively and not by any executive department.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Alden	Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks
Armstrong	Fryer	McCall	Rodgers
Arty	Gallagher	McClatchy	Ryan
Austin	Gallen	McIntyre	Salvatore
Belardi	Gamble	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Beloff	Gannon	McMonagle	Schmitt
Bennett	Gatski	McVerry	Schweder
Berson	Geesey	Mackowski	Seventy
Bittle	Geist	Madigan	Shupnik
Borski	George, C.	Maiale	Sieminski
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manderino	Sirianni
Brandt	Giammarco	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.

Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Goebel	Milanovich	Spencer
Burns	Goodman	Miller	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gray	Mowery	Steighner
Cessar	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stewart
Chess	Grieco	Mullen	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	Murphy	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Novak	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Brien, D. M.	Telek
Cole	Honaman	O'Donnell	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Phillips	Wass
DeVertter	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pistella	Wilson
Davies	Knight	Pitts	Wilt
Dietz	Kolter	Polite	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pratt	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Zeller
Dorr	Laughlin	Punt	Zitterman
Duffy	Lehr	Pyles	Zord
Durham	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zwikl
Fee	Letterman	Rasco	
Fischer	Levi	Reed	Seltzer,
Fisher	Levin	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—1

Dawida

NOT VOTING—14

Barber	Johnson, J. J.	Richardson	Street
Dumas	Jones	Serafini	Williams
Freind	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Hasay	Rhodes		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, I know that all the members of the House have looked over HB 2597, and I hope that they share my concern that I have about this bill. This bill would take power away from the legislature and get it in the hands of one person, the Governor. I am always concerned when we transfer power from many to the few, and I am sure that in looking over the bill you saw how it would affect the constituents in your area. Just as a reminder, I would like to point out some of the things that we have here.

Let us go to page 16, and there we have our snowmobile registration fees for the individuals and the dealers. Now, would that not be nice to have the registration fees set by the Governor's Office? Or would you rather have that in the hands of the legislature? Now I know that many of your constituents have snowmobiles, and I am sure that if there is an increase planned, that will be nice when that constituent complains to you, and then you say, I am sorry but we do not have that power. That power is in the hands of the Governor. Well, why in the world did you do that? Knowing the caliber of the members, I am sure that they will be able to explain that satisfactorily.

Then we will go on to page 22, and there we have vital statistics, our copies of birth and death records, once again, to be set by the Governor's Office.

Then, on page 32 is a very, very interesting thing, and I know that many of you have dogs or your constituents have dogs, and what they are saying there on page 32, line 22, "Dog license or kennel license fees. The objective of these fees is to reimburse the cost of fee-related activities and to provide an incentive for the spaying of female dogs." What do you think of that, Mr. Mullen? Now, what this indicates, it does not say anything except if you pass this bill that is what they are going to determine, your dog license fees, and I do not have to tell you how politically sensitive that is, but I am sure they have the whole thing worked out. Would it not be much nicer if the department and the Governor's Office would say, we believe the fees are too low and we think we should change those fees. Hear the baying of the hounds.

Now they would come in with their proposal, and then it would go through the legislative process, and your constituents, the people who had the good intelligence to send you here, would have input into the matter, and they could say that is too high or that is too low; but, no, the sponsor of this bill proposes that this power be given to the Governor. Now I have no quarrel with the Governor, none whatsoever. I have quarrel with that power going to one person, and every member of this legislature should be incensed that they have the unmitigated gall to bring this proposal before us.

Now, in a lighter tone, we will go on to page 39. Now, let us see what we have here; here is another goody. I know you have all read this intently, and I do not like to bring up old subjects, but this relates itself, Mr. Speaker, to filing fees that are "charged to interested candidates," whatever that means, "to file nominating petitions and papers...." Then if you turn the page, as I am sure you have, then we will read, "...for: President; United States Senator...." It goes through a listing there, and finally we even get to the alternate delegates to the Republican Convention and to the Democratic Convention. We have the state senator; we have the members of the House. Oh, that is a fine thing. Then, after they have gone through that, I do not know what they propose doing there; I do not know what the costs are connected with that office, but I hope it does not deter candidates from filing, even people who would file against

us. Now then, we also go the point of recording fees charged to recorders of deeds for filing, and we go into quite a listing there; it is quite extensive. But once again you have read it thoroughly and you know what I am talking about. And then we also have notary publics. Now, that is always important to us, the fees for notary publics and what the charge should be.

Now, if they have increased costs here, would it not be far simpler for the Governor's office and the bureau connected to come before the legislature and say, we want to cover our costs, and here is what those costs are, and then we could consider and hopefully give it approval and arrive at a just decision? As it is, I do not know who is going to make those decisions, and that concerns me. And if it is going to be done on a cost-plus basis, you might say, why it is a simple matter to bring those fees before this House and then to the Senate to consider. I do not know; this is a horrible proposal, and I just cannot see how in the world we could follow this, and I have only touched a few of the highlights. Look through it; it covers just about everything including—I believe there is an egg breaker's deal in here; yes, we have gotten around to them. Well, we have got the abattoir license fees, we have got the horse slaughtering license, that is one; and the garbage feeders license fees, those who would feed garbage to swine. How about that, Mr. Thomas? And then for agriculture we have some other goodies: the farm produce dealers license fees; the egg certification fees; and, as mentioned earlier, the egg opening plant license fees.

Now, once again, merely the highlights; there are hundreds of proposals in here. What they have in mind, I do not know. But I do know this, they are asking for a blank check, and if you give it to them, I do not think it is going to be one of your better days. But I think you will agree with me that this bill should be rejected. Let them go back to the drawing board, and let us remind ourselves and the Governor's office that there are three branches of government, and we are not about to downgrade the legislative branch. I ask for a "no" vote on the bill, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Geesey.

Mr. GEESY. Mr. Speaker, the process of setting fees has always been the responsibility of the General Assembly. It should remain the responsibility of the General Assembly to set those fees and to be responsive to their constituency. The bill, I think, is inherently wrong. I agree with the gentleman from Berks, it should be defeated.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Manderino.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the previous speaker indicated that the fee-setting process has always been the prerogative of the General Assembly, and I agree. And I also agree that the fees in the Commonwealth for the various things that are done administratively in regulation, et cetera, are not and have not been properly bringing to the Commonwealth the amount of money that ought to come to the Commonwealth for the activities that we do. But the process is a complicated process.

Now, several years ago this General Assembly required that the administration at the Governor's office have, prior to the presentation of the budget to the General Assembly, a conference with the leaders of the House and the Senate on both sides of the aisle, and for the past three budget processes that meeting was held at the Governor's mansion, and at the Governor's mansion, the Governor, whether it was Dick Thornburgh or Milton Shapp, promised to send to the General Assembly an increase in fees' bill that this General Assembly could pass. Milton Shapp did not send it; Governor Thornburgh did not send it for his first term as he promised he would; and in January of this year, Governor Thornburgh promised again that that bill would be before the General Assembly within 2 or 3 weeks, specifying the raises in fees that this General Assembly should enact. And leaders of this side of the aisle and, I believe, leaders of the other side of the aisle—but I will not speak for them—indicated to the Governor at that time that we would be willing to increase the fees if they were fee increases that measured up to the cost of providing certain services - registration services, licensing services, et cetera. Mr. Speaker, that bill was not forthcoming; it is still not before us, and that which is before us, I agree with all the previous speakers, is an abomination.

We should not pass this particular piece of legislation and abdicate the authority that the General Assembly has to set these fees. The General Assembly cannot legally delegate its authority to the executive branch of government without sufficient guidelines to the executive branch of government or to any agency of government that will allow that agency to understand just exactly what they are to do and how they are to do it, and let us see whether the delegation that takes place in this particular legislation does that.

Mr. Fryer pointed out to you a number of places that he thought the bill was deficient in a manner in which the delegation was made. I point you to the top of page 21 in the bill, where we are talking of the conduct of harness race meetings and pari-mutuel wagering regulated by the commission. The object of the license fee is there for the fee collected, and these are the words of the statute that you had passed, "The objective of the fee is to raise revenue reasonably related to the costs incurred by the commission in performing the fee-related activities." That is not too bad. The next sentence: "The annual rate may vary equitably between associations based on the annual gross handle of each." What the hell does that mean? That means that the Meadows in the western part of the state, whose gross handle is way down here, is going to be charged for the same licensing procedure that the state goes through, and they run the same number of races at the Meadows. They are going to be charged a much smaller fee because their gross handle is smaller than is going to be charged at Liberty Bell in Philadelphia because their gross handle is up. Now how is that anywhere reasonably related to the activity of licensing that association? This is strictly in there as a revenue measure, and you and I should recognize that and not permit the delegation of this kind of authority to the executive branch of government.

I point you to page 32 where we talk about the regulation of the cigarette selling in Pennsylvania, cigarette selling. "Cigarette license fees: wholesale dealer; retail dealer; vending machine; or stamping agent. The objective of these fees is to reimburse the cost of providing the license and the cost of regulation." Now what does that mean? Does that mean for all the agents that we have around this state checking on violations? Does that mean all the prosecution costs that will be in there? Some of these people only pay \$2 for a permit to sell cigarette licenses in the store. Does that mean we are now going to raise that tremendously? I do not know the answer to that and I am not willing to delegate the authority to the Governor unless I know what he is going to do. There is a public policy in many of these instances that the cost of doing these things should be borne by the fund created by the tax on that activity. We tax cigarettes pretty heavily in Pennsylvania, and it would seem to me that the regulation of that industry should be, in part, paid out of the taxes we receive and it should not all come out of the license fee, but what we are delegating to the administration, to the Governor, is the power to take all of that regulation out of the fee and raise the fee commensurate to paying for that activity. I think that is ridiculous.

Look on page 36 where we are talking about the Security Exchange Commission. We are talking there about "Audit, examination, investigation, or prosecution fee paid by persons who have been found to have violated the provisions of the Pennsylvania Securities Act of 1972. The objective of this fee is to reimburse the commission for all costs incurred in conducting such examination, audit, investigation or prosecution."

Can you imagine the millions of dollars that can go into that kind of activity in the Securities Exchange case, and we are going to tag that on as a license fee or at least permit the Governor to? I want to see what that fee is going to be before I would approve it.

Mr. Fryer pointed you to page 39 where for all persons for political office their filing fees are going to be set by the Governor of this Commonwealth. Again I talk about public policy. Are we to prohibit candidates from filing for public office by raising that fee so high that it becomes prohibitive? And what kind of costs could go into that? You think of the costs that are related to being a candidate and running as a candidate for the General Assembly. You have got disclosure papers to file; you have got the cost of audits that take place on all the accounts that are filed; you have the cost of the Ethics Commission who gets all those papers and files all those papers over there of all the candidates. That can all be charged against the filing fee. I do not think we ought to let the Governor do that, but that is what we are saying. Not only do you have the authority to do it, Mr. Governor, but unless you charge all those fee-related things that we have told you to charge, you are violating the delegation of authority we are giving you because we are delegating it with specific guidelines that you must follow, because we know if we do not give you these guide-

lines, what we are doing is unconstitutional. And I am saying what we are doing may well be unconstitutional, and if it turns out that the Governor interprets what we have delegated to him strictly, some of these fees are going to be prohibitive.

Mr. Speaker, on page 44, the last one I want to point out to you, we get into the area of registration related fees for motor vehicle users and we talk about transfer of registration and temporary plates and replacement of license plates and a whole host of things that from time to time, yes, this General Assembly finds it necessary to use as a revenue-raising measure, and we have used it as a revenue-raising measure. Look at what Mr. Ryan had to do by amending today, because we just used one of the registration fees in the Motor Carriers Act to raise revenue for this Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And we do that, not, as our prerogative, and we are giving away that possibility of raising revenue through any one of these kinds of fees in the Department of Transportation that is \$100 million short in the current budget. I think it is ridiculous. The solution to this problem is for the administration, for Governor Thornburgh, to carry out the promise he made to the leaders of the General Assembly and put a bill before us that specifically delineates what the fee will be in each case. Let him raise the \$15 million that is needed. This General Assembly, I think, is able and willing to rise to the responsibility of changing the fees when it is necessary, but we would like to see what is there. We do not want to give blanket authority. I oppose the passage of the bill before us. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, it is very difficult to agree with so many and disagree at the same time. I am bothered a little bit, no question about it, with a bill of this nature. I worry about it; I talk about it; I listen to the comments of each of you and then I come back to where are we? And I will tell you where we are. We are closing in, into the end of June. We have a budget, thank God, that has passed this General Assembly and it is sitting on the Governor's desk, I suppose. We have a revenue raiser in SB 10 that has passed this General Assembly and is sitting on the Governor's desk. We have a PennDOT budget that has passed this House and is sitting, I suppose, today somewhere on a Senate Rules Committee agenda or perhaps on their calendar, I am not sure. And we have a long string of nonpreferred appropriations that we have passed this morning and are intending to pass this afternoon before we adjourn for the week, whenever that might be. We will have addressed the issue of the preferreds and nonpreferreds that are on our calendar that we discussed earlier today. It is generally admitted by both sides of the aisle, both leaderships, that the \$15 million is needed; that the \$15 million is actually included as a revenue item in the makeup of the Governor's budget. Failure to pass this or a substitute bill will, I believe, result in the Governor having to do one of several things: A, he will have to line-veto

some \$15 million from the general appropriation bill, or we will not be able to pass \$15 million worth of nonpreferreds; or we can pass the nonpreferreds and the Governor can strike them to some extent, some proportion, to make up the \$15 million represented by this package.

After I had an opportunity to look this bill over, and I did not look it over in depth, but the philosophy which bothers many of us, giving up certain rights to the executive perhaps, I thought about it selfishly for a moment and thought I am glad to give that right up. I will tell you why I say it, because thee and me, we run every 2 years; and thee and me, we know what some of our licensed people in the Commonwealth can do over a dollar bill; be it a doctor, a lawyer, an undertaker, a barber. Delaware River Pilots Association, I know many of them; I consider and count them as friends, good friends. We have been their friends for many years in the General Assembly. They make good livings and they pay 50 cents a year for their license. A restaurant license fee; a Lombardo's, a Maverick, some of the watering holes that we are all familiar with up here, they pay \$1 for their restaurant license fee. Now I do not think that is right. I think the cost is far higher than that. The River Pilots for 100 years have been paying 50 cents. I think inflation warrants some increase. I do not know how many years since some of the others have increased, but I know that each and every one of us, if a bill comes over enumerated with the amount of the increase, we will have such pressures on us and that bill will be amended so many times that it will not begin to look like it started out to be.

Now, am I saying we should do this with every tough bill? Obviously, one could draw that conclusion, but I am not suggesting that. I have been up on the board on too many tough ones. This is somewhat unique, this way of handling it, and there is some protection and control in it, the control being that you are not permitted to raise more than the reasonable amount necessary to cover the regulated area. And that is written in line after line after line of this particular bill. I would rather think, and I have said this to some of our members, that perhaps this innovation should be tried. I said to one of our Republican members, if it does not work, if there is executive abuse, I have to believe that we will be incensed enough that a bill will be introduced, that it will go speedily through the House and the Senate and will shortly be on the Governor's desk because of an abuse of the powers granted under this bill. I really do not see the abuse.

I wonder how many of us really know how much it costs to handle the licensing for the Delaware River Pilots Association or the restaurant license fees of each of our restaurants. Does it cost \$5, \$10, \$20, \$25? I do not know that answer. Does it differ between the Restaurant Association and the barbers? I do not know that answer. I suppose the various bureaus of professional licensure are best equipped to say that.

I am willing to give this a try. I am willing to try this innovation of letting the agencies, letting the executive department, set the fee, provided it is not abused. There is

a protection against abuse, although not spelled out in specifics, written in the bill. I am ready to try it, not only because it is the thing to do now to solve a problem rather than face the problem of determining where \$15 million comes from, if we do not pass this, but also because it is innovative and I am willing to try it for a change. And I am also willing to say on the record that if it is abused, I would be the first one to introduce legislation to take it back to the old system, and I say that as a commitment. Mr. Speaker, I would urge a "yes" vote on HB 2597.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, may I request to interrogate the main sponsor of the bill?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for interrogation? The gentleman indicates that he will. Mr. Williams may proceed.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, do I understand that there is or is not any fixed or ascertainable amount of moneys that would be raised in this bill? For instance, if you take next year, if we pass this bill this year, is there any fixed estimate of moneys that overall would be raised?

Mr. McCLATCHY. No. I know of no fixed amount, but if you take the bill, for instance—and we were talking about the restaurant license fee that we have been charging only \$1 for that fee for a long while—it actually costs the Commonwealth about \$100 to process their licenses.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, how did the proponents of the bill arrive at what it costs? You say it costs \$100 in the restaurant license fee. How was that arrived at?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, that is only one example I was able to get.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Pardon me?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is an example I was able to get. Through the cost of inspections, it is the department's estimate of what it would cost to license those restaurants, and I have no idea what their process is at arriving at that. All you have in this bill is a guarantee that it will be reasonable and that it will cover the costs. Those regulations as to what that will be will have to be published. We will have a chance to disagree with it certainly in the future.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, before we get to the future, is there anybody, anybody—you say a department—anybody in that department who has advised the Governor or you on how they arrived at saying that cost was \$100 over and above or are you just telling us by fiat that is \$100? In other words, is there any basis on which the General Assembly could rely, at this point, to say that what they project in cost is in fact the cost?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I think in the language of the bill they will have to provide us with those kinds of analyses. Right now I do not have them.

Mr. WILLIAMS. So that right now, Mr. Speaker, as to any of these particular fees and these particular agencies and these particular departments, is there any information anywhere that you have that could demonstrate to us specifically even in one case where the fees in fact have not

been generated to meet the cost and the cost has been delineated so it can be clearly understood?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Not specifically. I gave you the example of a restaurant fee where we charge \$1, and the department claims that through their analysis of what they have to do, it costs them \$100. Now, the specifics of how they run up to \$100, I do not have that in front of me right now.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, does that \$100 estimate, to your knowledge, include salaries for work and services performed by employees?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I assume it means the cost of inspections and so forth. The employees certainly have to provide those inspections, yes.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Is there any basis on which we can judge what percentage of the cost is from salaries for services performed by employees as over and above the material or equipment or that sort of thing?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I cannot answer that question.

Mr. WILLIAMS. In all the departments and agencies in this particular bill, is there any estimate whatsoever as to where salaries in terms of cost would be in terms of a percentage of the cost in any of these areas that are specified in the bill, to your knowledge?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Not to my knowledge.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, is there anything in this piece of legislation which would prohibit a department or an agency from employing and therefore adding to the cost more employees than would be necessary to perform the service?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I think the Governor certainly has control over that. He is the one who is going to authorize the fee increase based upon cost. Now, if the department tries to hoodwink him, why, he will have the same answer to them as we would, that by saying, no, it is too high or it is not reasonable.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, in terms of the department hoodwinking the Governor possibly, I direct your attention to page 2 of the bill, section 203, which says the Governor shall publish a report, and basically that report depends on the information given by the various departments in section 203. Would that be a fair statement?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Is there anything in the legislation that would require the Governor to check on the accuracy of the information provided by the personnel in those departments?

Mr. McCLATCHY. I think you have here an example of checks and balances. Certainly it will be an indiscretion to do so. If he does not, those published fees are too high and you may be sure that this General Assembly will act to correct that problem, and we will have that as we have done many, many times with fees and rates - put out legislation to correct an inequity that we feel the department or an agency has done.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I understand the discretionary aspect whereby an intelligent Governor would

pursue that information to check it out; a dumb Governor might not; a political Governor might do it to his convenience; a nonpolitical Governor might not. But as to the legislation, is there anything in the legislation which requires the Governor to check on the accuracy of the information provided to him for his report which would reflect cost and therefore might possibly reflect higher fees or revenues? Is there anything in the legislation that would require him to check on the accuracy of the information provided?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Well, I said before, that is inherent, I think, in whatever the Governor presents this General Assembly, fees included. I think it is imperative on any Governor we have and the budget office—and they have done it in the past—to carefully scrutinize the propriety of any fee or any appropriation needed by the executive department or the different departments in government. We carefully go over those every year. This will be public knowledge, and you may be sure that if it is not correct, if it is excessive, this House and the Senate will act.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I understand you are saying that, in the final analysis, the legislature would have the ability to check out whether or not that information is accurate? Is that the heart of your last response?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman wish to debate the bill?

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to debate the bill.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Williams, is in order and may proceed.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, the alleged purpose of this bill is to bring about some kind of more efficient management by putting in the hands of the Governor the power to impose what I suggest is a tax, and, Mr. Speaker, in brief, that power does not lie in the executive branch of government. No matter how you look at it, the wording of the legislation is so fuzzy and so vague that all it really does is to provide a theoretical area which says that things are going to be reasonable and things are just going to come up to a certain amount of cost that is caused by the activity of the agency, and, therefore, the person in that area of activity should pay that. The bill, Mr. Speaker, totally belies and frustrates that purpose and reenforces my belief, as is the belief of many of the speakers before me. All it does is to place absolute power in the hands of the executive branch by alleging some pretty words. It talks about fee-related activities, and it says "any and all tasks performed by a state agency which are required by the circumstances under which a fee is paid." Now, Mr. Speaker, that is just a bunch of bull said in pretty words, and no one understands what that means. At least I do not. It says in the definition, "a fee-related-cost; all cost incurred by an agency in the performance of a fee-related activity." Again, just a bunch of words.

Mr. Speaker, this piece of legislation has the direct capacity to give any executive branch ability to raise a lot

more money than it has ever envisioned to balance a budget, create a surplus, and at the same time impose a tax on the people engaged in the service. Now, I say that, Mr. Speaker, because the answers given by the prime sponsor of the bill says that we are told that we have a problem. And that problem is that the fees do not cover the cost. And not one example indicates that. It said the department, the agency, says it is \$100 more, but we all know in this General Assembly that one of our biggest problems was just reflected in the debate on the cap just yesterday where everyone here is so concerned about money going beyond the point because those who administer it apparently in many, many cases waste it. The history of our state agencies show that many times we have a lot more employees than we need. And the costs, costs for only the purpose of loading up a payroll, get imposed in improper tax or burdensome fee to somebody in one of these categories. And the examples are multitudinous. We are thrown a piece of legislation, which does not even give us precise information from those who allege there is a problem. More than that, the same people are told in section 203 that they are going to give the Governor some information, and he is going to write a report. Well, if things work the same way they always do, the information is given; we rely on it as truth, and we find out that we have sometimes a \$20-million error. The Governor is not even required to look at what they give him. All he has to do is take the information and write a report and go by a standard which says that the spirit of this law is to conform the fee with the cost. There is absolutely no way for those costs to be accurately arrived at and result in what the purpose of this legislation is unless some penetration is made, and that, I suggest, should be made properly by the legislature. Otherwise we run the extreme risk of imposing a tax.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, we just passed a general appropriations bill coming from a conference committee, and many of us pointed out the danger of allowing two or three or four people to write a budget of \$6 billion and to describe accurately and reasonably all the services that the Commonwealth ought to have. I believe that some of those who did not vote the way that some of us spoke also believe that. But I say, right on the heels of that is another dangerous precedent in HB 2597, which once again seeks to gradually erode our obligation as legislators and to take our obligation of raising revenues for this Commonwealth away from us. And even more importantly, HB 2597 has a big gaping hole which will allow the executive branch of this government to impose taxes that are unconstitutional under the constitution of this state and it started in western Pennsylvania, and I believe that it is just improper, irrelevant, and unnecessary.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—50

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Noye	Spencer
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Perzel	Taddonio
Arty	Hagarty	Peterson	Telek
Bittle	Hayes, Jr., S.	Piccola	Vroon
Brandt	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wass
Burns	Knepper	Polite	Wenger
Cessar	Lashinger	Pyles	Wilson
Clark, M. R.	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Wilt
Cornell	McClatchy	Ryan	Yohn
Davies	McKelvey	Salvatore	Zord
Dietz	Miller	Scheaffer	
Dininni	Mowery	Smith, E. H.	Seltzer,
Fisher	Nahill	Smith, L. E.	Speaker

NAYS—137

Alden	Freind	Laughlin	Rappaport
Austin	Fryer	Lehr	Rasco
Barber	Gallagher	Lescovitz	Reed
Belardi	Gallen	Letterman	Rhodes
Beloff	Gamble	Levi	Richardson
Bennett	Gannon	Levin	Rieger
Berson	Gatski	Livengood	Ritter
Borski	Geesey	McCall	Rodgers
Bowser	Geist	McIntyre	Schmitt
Brown	George, C.	McMonagle	Schweder
Burd	George, M. H.	McVerry	Serafini
Caltagirone	Gladeck	Mackowski	Seventy
Cappabianca	Goebel	Madigan	Shupnik
Chess	Goodman	Maiale	Sieminski
Cimini	Grabowski	Manderino	Sirianni
Civera	Gray	Manmiller	Spitz
Clark, B. D.	Greenfield	Michlovic	Stairs
Cochran	Grieco	Milanovich	Steighner
Cohen	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cole	Halverson	Mrkonic	Street
Coslett	Harper	Mullen	Sweet
Cowell	Hasay	Murphy	Swift
Cunningham	Hoeffel	Novak	Taylor, E. Z.
DeMedio	Honaman	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien, D. M.	Thomas
DeWeese	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Trelo
DiCarlo	Irvic	Petrarca	Wachob
Dawida	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Dombrowski	Kanuck	Pievsy	Williams
Donatucci, R.	Klingaman	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Knight	Pott	Wright, Jr., J.
Duffy	Kolter	Pratt	Zeller
Durham	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Zitterman
Fee	Kukovich	Punt	Zwilk
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—10

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	White
Giammarco	Lewis	Stuban	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Oliver		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

Less than the majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the bill falls.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2668, PN 3537**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P. L. 213, No. 227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such

appropriations and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. DeWEESE offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 7, by striking out "instruction" and inserting student aid

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 Section 2. Funds for student aid-Doctor of Medicine are to be loaned to full-time students at a rate not to exceed \$7,465 per student. The loans to the students are to be repaid by the student to the Commonwealth over a period not to exceed 20 years commencing on the date of graduation. The rate of interest to be charged on loans to students shall be identical to the rate of interest charged on loans issued by PHEAA.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 10, by striking out "2." and inserting 3.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 12, by striking out "except for instruction - Doctor of Medicine"

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, lines 14 through 16, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 3, page 2, line 17, by striking out "3." and inserting 4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 23, by striking out "4." and inserting 5.

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 27, by striking out "2 and 3" and inserting 3 and 4

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, line 27, by inserting a period after "costs"

Amend Sec. 4, page 2, lines 28 and 29, by striking out all of line 28 and "program." in line 29

Amend Sec. 5, page 3, line 3, by striking out "5." and inserting 6.

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, line 9, by striking out "6." and inserting 7.

Amend Sec. 6, page 3, line 21, by striking out "2 and 3" and inserting 3 and 4

Amend Sec. 7, page 4, line 9, by striking out "7." and inserting 8.

Amend Sec. 8, page 6, line 16, by striking out "8." and inserting 9.

Amend Sec. 9, page 6, line 29, by striking out "9." and inserting 10.

Amend Sec. 10, page 7, line 3, by striking out "10." and inserting 11.

Amend Sec. 11, page 7, line 16, by striking out "11." and inserting 12.

Amend Sec. 12, page 7, line 24, by striking out "12." and inserting 13.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, there are in the Commonwealth many inequities regarding young men and women who attempt to attend medical school. We give block grants in the Commonwealth to these medical schools, and the students from Pennsylvania and from outside of Pennsylvania benefit through their education by these moneys. To take an example, a for instance, if it was going to cost \$10,000 to educate a student and that student had \$5,000 of his own money, savings, job, from his family, or whatever;

the other \$5,000 would be made up from grant money that the Commonwealth gives to the medical schools. This is not done according to need. If there is any need at all, that is not taken into consideration with these moneys that we give to medical schools. I believe there needs to be drastic reform in the way we handle this matter. A wealthy family could send their son or daughter to a Pennsylvania medical school and they would be subsidized the same as a family from less fortunate circumstances. I do assert, however, Mr. Speaker, that due to the rush of business and the difficulties of the day, these amendments will probably not suffice to change the overall structure that I am attempting to mold.

Therefore, I would like to respectfully withdraw the amendments to HB 2668 and the other amendments that I have drafted, and I will attempt in the very near future to organize my thoughts along with Mr. O'Donnell and some of my other colleagues, and present legislation in the near future to combat this. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, has withdrawn his amendments.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendments:

Amend Bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

Section 12. (a) The university shall provide that 10% of those persons enrolling in the program leading to the degree of Doctor of Medicine shall agree to practice medicine in medically deprived areas within the Commonwealth for four years following graduation. This subsection shall not apply if compliance herewith would be in conflict with any Federal law or regulation.

(b) The university shall not raise its tuition fees for any program during the period covered by this appropriation.

Amend Sec. 12, page 7, line 24, by striking out "12" and inserting 13

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, three times in the last 4 years the majority of us in this body were in agreement that something should be done to provide a greater amount of much needed medical care in the areas of Pennsylvania that are decided and agreed upon to be deprived in nature. What this amendment does, Mr. Speaker, is it insists that 10 percent of the enrollment of the first-year class made by the university or the medical school will give special consideration to those who are in agreement that they will practice medicine for 4 years upon completion of their schooling in these areas of medical deprivation.

Last year the question was raised by some that this amendment would cause or for some reason make a loss of moneys because of the moneys that were applied by the Federal Government. Therefore, this amendment has been drafted to go one step further and it reads that this subsection shall not apply if compliance herein would be in conflict with any Federal law or regulation. And further in

that, Mr. Speaker, this amendment goes one step further in that it insists that this university shall not raise its tuition fees for any program during the period covered by this appropriation.

When I came down here 6 years ago, the appropriations for most of these schools were only half of what they are today. These people come down here every year and they insist on a figure that they consider to be needed to run their schools, and I ask you, Mr. Speaker, to support this amendment, that we have very little say on what these medical schools do, but rather we should be concerned, and so should they, that the reason we are applying all these tax dollars is that we need doctors in Pennsylvania. I ask you to support this amendment.

REQUEST TO DIVIDE AMENDMENTS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Chair if this amendment is divisible and I would ask specifically if it is divisible after the words "Federal law or regulation" so that the language preceding that would be the first part of the amendment, and the language beginning with "(b) The university" would be the second part of the amendment to be considered.

The SPEAKER. In response to the inquiry by the gentleman, as maybe the gentleman can see, the Chair and the Parliamentarian have a difference of opinion, or had a difference of opinion, but the Chair is abiding by the advice of the Parliamentarian and rules that the amendment is not divisible.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I do not quite understand why it would not be divisible. Could we have some elaboration? They seem to be two independent thoughts expressed in those two subparagraphs.

The SPEAKER. The Chair refers to Mason's Manual, section 313, and he quotes: "To be divisible, a question must include points so distinct and separate that, one of them being taken away, the other will stand as a complete proposition." And the word "complete" I think is very important. The Chair was using the same logic the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, was using, that there appear to be two separate and distinct questions there. However, when you tie it in with the amendment of Mr. George and you take the first line of the amendment, which is "Amend bill, page 7, by inserting between lines 23 and 24, Section 12 (a) and (b)", they are now not distinct, because that line can only be used one time. It cannot be used either for subsection (a) and for subsection (b) separately. It can only be used one time, and it is because of that rationale that the Chair must abide by the advice of the Parliamentarian and rule that the amendment is not divisible.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, because I think it is a very narrow interpretation by the Parliamentarian, and because I think it is important that the first half of the George amendment be adopted and because I think the second half of it may endanger that adoption, I would respectfully appeal the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER. Before the gentleman does that, would the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, indicate to the Chair again where he would like the division to take place.

Mr. COWELL. The division would take place after—

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, yield?

Mr. COWELL. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I have advised Mr. George that a way out of this dilemma may be for him to agree to change his amendment to eliminate the language beginning with paragraph (b), "The university shall not raise....", et cetera, from his amendment so that we would be in fact only dealing with the first paragraph as an amendment. That would avoid the complication of deciding whether or not the amendment is divisible. If the Chair would allow him to change his amendment, I think that would solve it.

PART II OF AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. If the sponsor of the amendment is willing to withdraw part of the amendments— Is the gentleman willing to withdraw part of the amendments?

Mr. GEORGE. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would withdraw that second part, part (b).

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is withdrawing that part which is subsection (b)? Does the gentleman indicate that he is taking out subsection (b) which reads, "The university shall not raise its tuition fees for any program during the period covered by this appropriation."?

Without objection, the gentleman is withdrawing that part of his amendments. The Chair hears none.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. ZELLER. As a point of order on the last action.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. ZELLER. Are we not in effect then dividing it without the action of the House? This is what bothers me.

The SPEAKER. The Chair suggested that without objection, the sponsor of the amendment could withdraw that part of it. The Chair heard no objection.

Mr. ZELLER. Okay. If that is the way the House wants it, good enough.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PICCOLA. A point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. PICCOLA. If the amendment is not divisible as ruled by the Chair, how can anyone withdraw a portion of that amendment?

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that since the sponsor of the amendment did not have to offer all of that amendment originally, he has the prerogative, if given permission by the members of this House, to withdraw part of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. As a point then, what we are in effect doing is drawing up a new amendment without going through the Legislative Reference Bureau. Is that what we are doing in effect?

The SPEAKER. The Chair's position is that without objection, this House has permitted Mr. George to withdraw a part of the amendment which he has been offering. The Chair heard no objection. The gentleman has withdrawn a part of his amendment. The question before the House now is the remainder of the amendment.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I recall over the years this amendment or one like it being offered, and although I do not have the statistics today, my recollection of that debate in prior years was to the effect that counties such as Philadelphia, Delaware County, at least in the southeast, I suppose, perhaps, Montgomery, Bucks, Chester, are medically deprived areas. That is my recollection of the definition of medically deprived area, that certain of these law students would have to practice medicine for 4 years in this medically deprived area of Philadelphia.

Now, I have a great deal of trouble—and I had trouble last year, the year before, and the year before that—agreeing that some of the richest areas of this Commonwealth in medicine are medically deprived areas. I understand what Mr. George is attempting to do, I believe. I think that he wants to assure that doctors are available for the rural areas. I assume he wants to assure that positions are available for the poverty areas, but this amendment does not do that. Now, it is true that there are areas of Philadelphia, Delaware, Montgomery, Bucks, Chester, Allegheny, Westmoreland, and the like, that are lacking in general practitioners, but by every definition that is available, they are not medically deprived areas because the hospitals are plentiful in that district or in that geographic area, and there are hundreds and hundreds of physicians attached to these hospitals, and because of it there are physicians available.

The areas that Mr. George is trying to help are not helped by this amendment. The areas that are helped by this amendment are the very areas that do not require that medical assistance. Mr. Bill Shane, over a period of years, offered a similar amendment to this. Each year the same problem came up, and I think it is a worthwhile project, but I think it is not a worthwhile amendment as drawn.

Now, there is another problem that I am faced with here. The university shall provide that 10 percent of those

persons enrolling in the program shall agree to practice in medically deprived areas. Who is to determine which of the students are going to serve in a medically deprived area? Are they going to draw lots? Are those who agree to go to the medically deprived areas going to get some break in tuition? Are they going to be rewarded in some way for having this 4-year hitch attached to their enrollment because the legislature has said 10 percent of you shall serve in a medically deprived area? I think the intention of Mr. George is worthwhile. I think as drafted it is unenforceable; it is incapable of interpretation, and I think it is something that should be voted down.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Austin.

Mr. AUSTIN. Yes. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon to support the George amendment. I think that all medical experts and authorities, including our own statewide health coordinating council here in Pennsylvania, have recognized that the one problem we have is not a shortage of doctors today, but the fact that they are distributed in a way where the metropolitan areas certainly have enough doctors but both the rural and the real urban areas of our society here in Pennsylvania have a shortage of doctors.

I think the George amendment is really the only thing we have going for ourselves here in Pennsylvania to deal with the problem. It is a voluntary amendment. It says that 10 percent of the graduating students will participate in an area described as being medically deprived. That definition of medically deprived is currently being coordinated, currently being formulated, by our own statewide health coordinating council. We will be able to pinpoint these areas where there is a shortage of doctors in the very near future, and I think the only way that we are going to know whether or not the George amendment is a success or a failure is over a period of time. It has been approved on three appropriation bills. Last year it was defeated. I think we have to give it the opportunity. Its success or its failure will not be known for years to come. I do not think it is asking too much that we give Mr. George and his amendment and his idea a chance.

To relate some statistics to you—and I think that perhaps it is important that we do that—in the metropolitan areas of Pittsburgh and Philadelphia and Scranton, areas where the population is plentiful, we have approximately 172 doctors per 100,000 in population. In the rural areas of Pennsylvania and in the areas of low income, the areas of hard-core urban areas of Pennsylvania, we have approximately 79 physicians per 100,000, and that pretty much sums up the problem that our graduates from the medical schools today are choosing to go to the high-priced metropolitan areas and they are not being selective and going to the rural areas and the hard-core urban areas where the people there are not receiving the kinds of medical coverage that other people in this state are, and I think the George amendment really sums up the frustration that is occurring in the medical society to deal with this problem.

This legislature has not dealt with the problem of underserved areas, underserved medical areas; it has not. There certainly are better programs available. Other states have certainly passed statutory language to deal with this problem. We have not, but the George amendment does, and, as documented also in the statewide health coordinating health plan of last year, they mentioned the George amendment as the one thing that this legislature has tried to do over the past several years to deal with this problem and they too pinpointed what I have said earlier, that we will not know about the success or the failure of the George amendment for years to come.

We have to allow this program to grow. We have to allow those 10 percent of medical students to sign up for this program and perhaps get interested in this program, perhaps see for themselves the need that we have in both the urban and rural areas, and upon graduation go out into these areas and serve the people here of Pennsylvania. I think it is very important that we adopt this amendment and give this attempt, give this amendment, a chance to grow. We will find out in the future whether it will work or not, and in the meantime I do not think anyone is being hurt. In talking to the representatives of our medical schools, they do not have a hard-line policy against this program. They do not have a hard-line policy against this amendment. They have taken a hands-off approach to it. They have been willing to allow us to do it and to see what kind of future it will bring, and I do not think it is asking too much that this legislature do the same. So I urge our adoption of the George amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Would the gentleman stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Austin, indicates that he will. Mr. Ryan may proceed.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, as I read this amendment, the university shall provide that 10 percent of those persons enrolling, et cetera. Which 10 percent will the university provide for the medically deprived area for a 4-year term?

Mr. AUSTIN. Well, my knowledge is this, that the students are asked to indicate whether or not they would be willing to serve in underserved or medically deprived areas, and it is my understanding that the success of this, the response, has been very favorable. I would produce as evidence a copy of the Statewide Health Coordinating Council State Health Plan, which stated to the effect that the response to this program, to the George amendment, has been good. And from, say, 100 students who apply, what they seek is 10 percent voluntarily signing up and saying, yes, I am willing to do that.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman is advising me that now on a voluntary basis the students and the medical schools are providing 10 percent or more of their people to the medically deprived areas, then I see no reason for the amendment. But coming back to the letter of the law, which is what is before us, assuming—and that is what you

must do, I believe assume—that there are no volunteers, this law says the school shall provide 10 percent of its students for a 4-year period in a medically deprived area. Which 10 percent shall they provide?

Mr. AUSTIN. I have had conversations with many officials from our state medical schools, Mr. Speaker, and I have not had one of them say to me that they have not been able to get 10 percent of their students to mark and to check that they would be willing to serve in underserved areas. But if they do not, I think at that point then it becomes a judgment call on this legislature whether or not they want the people of Pennsylvania, who are paying hundreds of thousands of dollars to these medical schools, to also benefit from them by having medical school students come back and serve those people in these areas.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker—

Mr. AUSTIN. I am not the maker of the amendment, you understand.

Mr. RYAN. I am not trying to press this issue. I am suggesting to you, however, that you are being nonresponsive. You are saying if the amendment goes in, the medical schools will still do it on a voluntary basis, and if they do not do it on a voluntary basis, then we in the General Assembly have to come back and force them to do it. I am telling you, Mr. Speaker, as I read this, you do not give them that choice. You are telling them now you shall provide 10 percent, and I think we both agree that there is no way in this amendment that anyone is able to determine who the 10 percent are. But I have another question.

Mr. AUSTIN. Could I make a remark to your last remark, Mr. Speaker? Is that okay?

I think you are taking this to the one extreme. I think you are taking this to that crisis extreme that the medical schools cannot come up with 10 percent, and what do we do then? What do they do then? What happens to the United States Constitution then? You are taking it to that extreme, and in the 3 years that the 10-percent provision has been adopted by this legislature, that extreme has never come about, and according to my evidence and my questioning of medical schools in this state and through testimony before the Statewide Health Coordinating Council and discussions with members of that council on this problem, there has never been an inch of indication that that problem will ever arise.

Mr. RYAN. What is a medically deprived area?

Mr. AUSTIN. The Statewide Health Coordinating Council here in Pennsylvania who adopted the State Health Plan last year, the very first in Pennsylvania, is currently coming to grips with that problem. There are Federal agencies involved who have definitions as to what a medically deprived area is, and I know that we shortly here in Pennsylvania will have those areas actually pinpointed. To my knowledge, we do not right now. I could be wrong, but, to my knowledge, we do not. But I do know for a fact that we are currently—and I am very happy to say that we are—pinpointing those areas and we will have them pinpointed, I am sure, within the next year or 18 months, my information tells me.

Let me go one step further though. Part of that definition is that in Pennsylvania our goal, the goal that we are striving for, is that primary health care coverage is available to every Pennsylvanian within a 30-minute drive. As you are well aware, this is a very big state both urbanly in some of our larger cities and also in our rural areas, and there are people in this state who are outside 30 minutes from primary health care coverage, and I think that that will play an important part in a definition.

Mr. RYAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I hate to nitpick on the law, and late in June I am usually prepared to relax a little bit on the law if it is close. I do not think this is even close. We are going to put in place a law that says you shall provide 10 percent of your people for 4 years to a medically deprived area, that Mr. Austin hopes will be in place in 18 months. Their goal is to have medically deprived areas defined in 18 months, but we are passing a law for 4 years, putting 10 percent of our medical-student population into these areas yet to be defined. They are currently coming to grips with the problem, and while they are coming to grips with the problem, we are going to pass a law that says you have to put them into this undefined area. I think it is ridiculous for us to agree to an amendment like this and simply urge that the sense of this House to the medical schools is they put 10 percent of their student population in areas that need doctors. I understand that language, but I do not understand a medically deprived area when the prime sponsor and spokesman for it says they do not even know what it is; they are coming to grips with it. I oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Yohn.

Mr. YOHN. Mr. Speaker, I also rise to oppose the amendment and, hearing that last discussion with Mr. Austin, I think I would even be more opposed to it because it sounds to me like what he is doing is, one, delegating our power to determine what a medically deprived area is to the Health Coordinating Council, and it seems to me that would be an unconstitutional delegation of power. More important is the fact that this bill is an appropriation bill which only has a life of 1 year, and those definitions are not going to be decided for 18 months. So it seems to me, as Mr. Ryan has pointed out, it is completely unworkable.

It seems to me that a more basic objection to this as well is the fact that it would be completely unenforceable. You would have a situation where the student graduated with some type of agreement to go to this area for 4 years but you could not enforce it in any way. Now, what I would hope the gentleman, Mr. George, might do is to consider an amendment that has been proposed in this House in other years which provided that a certain portion of the funds would be a loan program to the prospective doctor, and then after he has graduated, a portion of that loan would be forgiven for each year that he serves in the medically deprived area. I think that way you would have an effective means of enforcing this and you would achieve the result

that many of us are trying to achieve by having doctors available to all the citizens of the Commonwealth. I would, therefore, oppose the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. DiCarlo.

Mr. DiCARLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the George amendment, and I stand here somewhat amused because I remember 3 or 4 years ago I stood up and made the same comments that the majority leader made this afternoon and at that time Mr. George overwhelmingly defeated me on the floor of the House. Since that time I have followed his language closely, and we did pass that language into appropriation bills, and I want to tell you about enrollment in medical schools and what has happened.

You all know that there are just hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of applications to medical schools in this Commonwealth over the number of slots that are available, and what we are seeing or what we have seen in the past is that when these individuals start to screen candidates for admittance, not only do they look at MEDCAP test scores and not only do they look at curriculum and undergraduate scores, but one of the techniques that they use and one of the questions they ask people is, are you or would you consider going back and practicing in a medically deprived area?

Now let me relate some of the fears that may have come out in the debate between Mr. Austin and Mr. Ryan. This state has established medically deprived areas. We have had that for the last 10 years, and the Department of Health, 8 or 9 years ago under Dr. Bachman, was very specific about, I believe, 47 or 48 counties or areas in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that are described and considered medically deprived areas, and these are areas which presently and in the past have received Federal moneys to build clinics. Local communities have received funds, such as Mr. Swift's area in the Spartansburg area and in Union City and areas in northwest Pennsylvania where communities have gotten funds to build structures and have gotten equipment so they can go out and they can recruit physicians to come back to their areas.

I want to tell you another result that has happened because of language like this, and Mr. Austin has mentioned it. When the State Health Planning Council looked at the allocation of medical care in this state, they found that because of young people making a commitment as they enter medical school that they would go into these areas, communities now have a list available. They actually go out and they recruit, and they can talk to the young people about coming to our rural sections and coming to our less populous sections.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment does not burden anybody. It does not cause any more bureaucracy. What it is stating is a couple of things: one, that consideration is going to be given about a more fair distribution of medical care in this state; and, secondly, it is going to give those of us who do not live in areas where these medical facilities are a chance

to say that our young people will have a better chance of getting accepted to those medical schools because we know they are going to go back home to the Erie Counties and the Bucks and the Berks and the Union cities, and so forth. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the House support the George amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, Mr. DiCarlo covered most of the area that I had wanted to speak to, so I will be very brief, but I am always amazed, sometimes even fascinated, when the majority leader gets up and has his beautiful play on words. We got into a little thing last week. You are very good at that, Mr. Speaker. However, what I interpret this amendment to mean is simply that 10 percent of the people who will be accepted by the university agree that they are going to practice medicine in a certain area. Now I do not see any difference in that and when people sign up to go to the Navy and agree that after they get their degree, they are going to put 4 years in the Navy. I will give you 4 years in the Navy, and you are going to pay for my education. What I am simply saying is, this amendment says to the university that 10 percent of the people who come here from the State of Pennsylvania who receive a degree had to agree before you accepted them that they would practice medicine in a medically deprived area. Now what is wrong with that? I think that is the type of thing that we are supposed to be doing here, and I do not think that we should sit here and let some words by a very articulate majority leader distort the meaning of this amendment. I urge the adoption of this amendment immediately. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Schweder.

Mr. SCHWEDER. Just very briefly, I would like to say that one of the joys I will have next year at this time is not listening to this debate and this amendment being offered for the seventh time in a row, and instead of arguing this position, what we should have done is just pulled out the debate that we had on this last year and the year before, because there are several facts that have not been enunciated here.

To begin with, when we talk about medically depressed areas that Mr. DiCarlo mentions, that report lists Delaware County as a medically depressed area. Because those individuals who practice in Philadelphia but live in Delaware do not have their offices there, that is considered a medically depressed area, and I think we all realize that that is not the case.

This argument in favor of this amendment makes no sense because the individuals who are in medical school who need to borrow money, who come from poor families in the Commonwealth, have to borrow money through Federal programs, and they are required under those programs in certain circumstances to be willing to practice in areas that are listed as medically depressed throughout this country. It was pointed out last year in the debate by

myself and by other individuals that this runs in conflict with that, which will probably cause many poor students who are attending medical school in this state today to lose those Federal loans if we enact this into the legislation. For those reasons and for every reason we have heard for the last 6 years as to why this does not work, I hope everyone in this chamber will vote against this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. George, wish to debate his amendment? The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I am not going to carry this on any further, but I feel obligated to answer these charges that are completely unfounded and untrue. In the first place, the Federal Government does have a program similar to this and it is carried out in Jefferson. And the second point that is made, right at this point I am sure there is a great deal of lobbying, because these institutions do not want the General Assembly to do anything but appropriate the money. I would hope that the colleges themselves would come forward and present us a program where we would not have to do this, but 5 years ago the opponents of this measure delivered the same verbatim and they have not done anything in the last 5 years to come up with a bill that need not be applied every 12 months. Now if they think that there are not any young people who have integrity and after they sign an agreement will not practice in the areas, I insist that they go out to those young people who have gone down to the Caribbean or overseas because they could not get in medical school, and I also insist that I wish that I had the ability to be able to interpret the formula that has been given to me by every college in Pennsylvania as to how they decide who, what, and where they will attend their schools. I wish that those individuals who gave me that answer were the same individuals who really would figure out my tax to the government, because I could use them very badly.

I also insist that, Mr. Speaker, it all amounts to simply this: Yes, indeed, Philadelphia can take advantage of this program; yes, indeed, Delaware County is an area, at times, of medical deprivation. I was very amazed to find out when we put this in 3 years ago that Clearfield County was not an area of deprivation, but I am also amazed to find out that we who intend to do so many good things for our people would dare to stand up and take issue with a program that regardless of whether they go into an area of deprivation in Clearfield or Delaware or Philadelphia, it is the end result that they are going in and they are going to practice medicine in Pennsylvania. Now, if we have little or nothing to do on where they come from, at least we ought to ask that a school which takes in 160 young people who want to be doctors would agree to go along with 16 people, 10 percent.

Mr. Speaker, I do not want to get emotional, but I am very embarrassed to stand here and hear the arguments for our opponent. The same wording was put in 3 years ago and we adopted it, and it was put in 2 years ago and we

adopted it, and this year someone said, well, you know, if there is a fear that we could lose funding, you should do something, so the amendment was proposed in a manner that we would not violate.

Mr. Speaker, we should do our very best to ask those institutions to go along with us, but if they do not, we should pass this amendment. I think it is very important. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mercer, Mr. Bennett.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. George, consent to very brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will attempt to answer the questions. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. BENNETT. Perhaps just two questions. Would the gentleman inform this House, Mr. Speaker, who is going to pick what 10 percent of that particular class will go to what area?

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I did not hear you.

The SPEAKER. Was Mr. George able to hear the question?

Mr. GEORGE. No, sir.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman repeat his question?

Mr. BENNETT. Certainly, Mr. Speaker. The question that I had asked the gentleman was, who is going to pick that 10 percent to serve in what medically deprived area? I cannot see it in the amendment.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I do not think the amendment goes so far as to say where they should go or who they should be. I was advised by one of the bigger colleges that the first year that this was applied, 91 percent of the applicants were agreeable that they would certainly be willing to practice in the areas of medical deprivation. It should not matter which 10 or which 16; all that matters is that they are willing to do so.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. Speaker, let me then pose a hypothetical.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield for a moment?

MR. ANDERSON REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. The Chair has asked the gentleman from York, Mr. Anderson, to preside temporarily.

The gentleman may proceed.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (JOHN HOPE ANDERSON) IN THE CHAIR

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2668 CONTINUED

Mr. BENNETT. As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, posing a hypothetical question, for my county, Mercer County, which is a medically deprived county, when there are a certain amount of doctors graduating from a certain amount of medical schools and I want to get some doctors into my district, what in this amendment is going to get me some doctors in the district that I represent where I need doctors? How is this going to help me, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, the Federal Government insists that it can be done. The Federal Government with the V-6 and the V-12 program insists that it can be done. I insist that I do not know who or why or where or what you can do to entice or what you can provide to any doctor to keep him in an area, and please allow me to respond to your hypothetical in somewhat a reality, if you will. I can remember one time in our town that we bought him a building and we set him up in an office, and as soon as he was doing very well, he was gone. I do not know what we can do to keep them there forever. I just insist we ought to be able to get them there for at least 4 years.

Mr. BENNETT. Well, that is my point, Mr. Speaker. I would love to support the amendment, but as Mr. Schweder said in his debate, we have done this previously. I have been here for 16 years. I have heard of this thing for all of those years, and we still have not, Mr. Speaker, put the doctors where we need them, and I cannot see where this amendment is going to do it. The gentleman referred to the Federal Government in the V-6 program and another one that I did not catch, and I believe, Mr. Speaker, that those are incentives, as we give incentives here, for a student to go to a particular area. That has not worked.

Mr. Speaker, my second question, which the gentleman alluded to, would have been, what in this particular amendment happens if we by law—we, this body here—say to that student or that body of students, 10 percent of you will practice in a given area, and what happens if they do not? I see no penalties in this. I see nothing to put the hammer on them. All we are doing down here, really, is wishful thinking. We are saying in a namby-pamby sort of way, I think, well, if you want to do it, we would like you to do it. Maybe, please, would you? And I do not know that we as a deliberative body want to put our votes up for that kind of a situation. Rather, Mr. Speaker, I think that what Mr. George ought to do is to redraft his amendment, get something that has some teeth into it, give it some study. I am sure the gentleman is sincere and I do not for one moment disparage his sincerity. I am sure that he is sincere. He and I have discussed this privately, but I just do not see where this amendment that the gentleman is seriously trying to put through this House is going to do anything at all to get the doctors that we desperately need in the district that I represent and, I daresay, in the districts that many of us represent in this House of Representatives. So for that reason, Mr. Speaker, I will vote for the darn thing. I just do not think it is going to do any good.

Mr. GEORGE. Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may respond—and may I thank you—for 17 years you have had a concern and for 6 years I have had a concern, and let us hope that there is enough concern here that once and for all we intend to let these institutions know that we care, too.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Mr. Speaker, a point that was brought out very well by Reid Bennett in regard to the problem of not only the 10 percent and who will do the screening and so

forth, but let me give you an example of a problem that will face all of us. A student graduating will serve a 1-year internship at some hospital or somewhere and then has to go to the area of need, the area designated that he decided by the school screening him and said, okay, I will go to that area. They assign him to an area. Who is going to pay for his setup? And I mean it is very costly. Most of them look for a medical clinic or a group of doctors that they can get in with, because they have the establishment there and the cost is not a burden to them. But to go out into the boondocks or area of need and to be able to set up with the cost of not only the building, the office, the rental, the equipment to get set up and a home to live in, this is rough for a person graduating from college. I could not agree more that the need is there. As I told Mr. George—and we worked with Mr. Shane years ago—the problem is, who can afford it? Now if there were something in this amendment that if they would go out into those areas, there was aid in regard to PIDA, possibly the Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority, or something whereby they could give him a loan, a low-interest-rate loan, or some way to set that individual up, because to go out there to be able to help people, that individual should be given help then, because they are not all treated the same. A young person coming into medical school would say, well, I am going to wait for application—who can but say they will now—because they do not want to be in that first 10 percent that are being screened by the colleges. There are going to be all kinds of angles. Say the first 10 percent is screened and the rest of them do not have to go. They are going to say, well, you went there too early. You are going to have all these problems that I see that are just absolutely, as far as I can see it, really unconstitutional. We have one—Bill Klingaman can tell you—from up in my northern area of Lehigh County, the northwestern area, a Dr. Moyer. The Alliance Club up there had to build a building and set everything up in order to get this doctor to come into the area. They could not get a doctor until they did this.

So those are the problems you are going to run into. I just wanted to bring this out as a point, because you have, in other words, a real wild one by the tail here, and I do not see how it is going to work.

On the question,
Will the House agree to Part I of the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—68

Austin	Duffy	Laughlin	Rhodes
Barber	Fee	Lescovitz	Richardson
Bennett	Fischer	Letterman	Rieger
Bowser	Fryer	Livengood	Ritter
Brandt	Gamble	McCall	Seventy
Brown	Gatski	Manderino	Steighner
Caltagirone	George, C.	Michlovic	Stewart
Chess	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Street
Clark, B. D.	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cohen	Hasay	Novak	Taylor, F.
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Cowell	Iris	Pistella	Wachob
DeMedio	Itkin	Pitts	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pratt	Williams
DiCarlo	Knight	Pyles	Wright, D. R.

Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Rappaport	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Kukovich	Reed	Zwilk

NAYS—120

Alden	Gallen	McVerry	Schmitt
Anderson	Gannon	Mackowski	Schweder
Armstrong	Geesey	Madigan	Serafini
Arty	Geist	Maiale	Shupnik
Belardi	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Sieminski
Beloff	Giammarco	Milanovich	Sirianni
Berson	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Goebel	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Burns	Grabowski	Mullen	Spitz
Cappabianca	Gray	Nahill	Stairs
Cessar	Grieco	Noye	Stuban
Cimini	Gruppo	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Davies	Knepper	Polite	White
Dietz	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dorr	Levi	Rasco	Yohn
Durham	Levin	Rocks	Zeller
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers	Zord
Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Ryan	
Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Salvatore	Seltzer,
Freind	McKelvey	Scheaffer	Speaker
Gallagher	McMonagle		

NOT VOTING—9

Borski	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Oliver	Yahner
Halverson			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the negative, and Part I of the amendments was not agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, my switch was not working. I want to be recorded in the negative on the George amendment to HB 2668.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2668 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Fryer	McClatchy	Rodgers
Arty	Gallagher	McIntyre	Ryan
Austin	Gallen	McKelvey	Salvatore
Barber	Gamble	McMonagle	Scheaffter
Belardi	Gannon	McVerry	Schmitt
Beloff	Gatski	Mackowski	Schweder
Bennett	Geist	Madigan	Serafini
Berson	George, C.	Maiale	Seventy
Bittle	George, M. H.	Manderino	Shupnik
Borski	Giammarco	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goodman	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burns	Gray	Mowery	Spitz
Callagirone	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mullen	Steighner
Cessar	Gruppo	Murphy	Stewart
Chess	Hagarty	Nahill	Stuban
Cimini	Halverson	Novak	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Noye	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Iris	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pitts	Williams
Davies	Knight	Polite	Wilson
Dawida	Kolter	Pott	Wilt
Dietz	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Punt	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Pyles	Zeller
Dorr	Lehr	Rappaport	Zitterman
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Letterman	Reed	Zwinkl
Fischer	Levi	Rhodes	
Fisher	Levin	Richardson	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—2

Geesey Street

NOT VOTING—9

DeMedio	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Thomas
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Fee			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Snyder, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I was too late in recording my vote on HB 2668. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2669, PN 3538**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of the University of Pittsburgh ****" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GOEBEL offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 25, by striking out "\$62,948,000" and inserting \$63,577,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out "500,000" and inserting 505,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out "2,960,000" and inserting 2,990,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 3, by striking out "4,061,000" and inserting 4,102,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 5, by striking out "600,000" and inserting 606,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Goebel.

Mr. GOEBEL. This amendment that I prepared for the appropriation to the University of Pittsburgh increases their appropriation by 1 percent. I think it comes to around \$700,000, and the reason is that in meetings with the people at the University of Pittsburgh several months ago, they made it clear to me that they needed about a 16-percent increase just to break even as far as the cost of expenses and the inflation.

The University of Pittsburgh is the number one, the largest, employer of people in the city of Pittsburgh, and it is very important that money is kept there. It is plowed back into wages and improvements on the colleges. I think any additional money that we give them is going to be brought back right into the state by wages, taxes on wages, and other benefits that we will derive.

I would appreciate support for this. The University of Pittsburgh's subsidy has been going down. The gap has been growing between the state participation and the cost of operation. It has been going in opposite directions, and, even though the money which we are appropriating has been increasing, the gap has been increasing also. The

University of Pittsburgh has a fine research center. The Salk vaccine was brought about there. The programs are being cut, people are being laid off, and the buildings are not being kept up to what they should be. The student union is really deplorable, and this money is really gravely needed. They need more than a 1-percent increase, but I would certainly appreciate support for this.

I am having an amendment being prepared for Temple for a 1-percent increase on it also. It is too late for Penn State. I should have thought about it sooner, because they are in about the same condition as the University of Pittsburgh is.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment. All of these nonpreferreds, all of the institutions, were given a 6-percent increase this year, and I do not think in this tight budget year we can afford something like a 16-percent increase. I appreciate all the problems that the universities are having. Maybe next year we can do a little better for them. At this point in time I must insist on a "no" on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—57

Austin	Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson
Bennett	Fee	McVerry	Schweder
Brown	Gamble	Manderino	Seventy
Caltagirone	Goebel	Michlovic	Shupnik
Cappabianca	Grabowski	Milanovich	Steighner
Chess	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stewart
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	Murphy	Street
Cochran	Harper	Novak	Sweet
Cohen	Irvis	Petrarca	Taddonio
Cowell	Itkin	Pistella	Taylor, F.
DeMedio	Knight	Pott	Trello
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Wargo
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Laughlin	Rhodes	Zord
Dombrowski			

NAYS—132

Alden	Gallen	McIntyre	Ryan
Anderson	Gannon	McKelvey	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gatski	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Arty	Geesey	Mackowski	Schmitt
Belardi	Geist	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	George, C.	Maiiale	Sieminski
Berson	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bittle	Giammarco	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Goodman	Mowery	Spencer
Brandt	Gray	Mullen	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Nahill	Stairs
Burns	Gruppo	Noye	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Oliver	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pievsky	White
Davies	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams
Dietz	Knepper	Polite	Wilson

Dininni	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Donatucci, R.	Lashinger	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dorr	Lehr	Pyles	Yohn
Durham	Letterman	Rappaport	Zeller
Fischer	Levi	Reed	Zitterman
Fisher	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Ritter	
Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Seltzer,
Fryer	McCall	Rodgers	Speaker
Gallagher	McClatchy		

NOT VOTING—8

Barber	Freind	Jones	Shadding
Dumas	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Alden	Freind	McClatchy	Rodgers
Anderson	Fryer	McIntyre	Ryan
Armstrong	Gallagher	McKelvey	Salvatore
Arty	Gallen	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Austin	Gamble	McVerry	Schmitt
Barber	Gannon	Mackowski	Schweder
Belardi	Gatski	Madigan	Serafini
Beloff	Geesey	Maiiale	Seventy
Bennett	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	George, C.	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bittle	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Borski	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spencer
Burd	Goodman	Mowery	Spitz
Burns	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stairs
Caltagirone	Gray	Mullen	Steighner
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Murphy	Stewart
Cessar	Grieco	Nahill	Street
Chess	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Civera	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Taylor, F.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Telek
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Thomas
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Cowell	Irvis	Piccola	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wass
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pitts	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Polite	White
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pott	Williams
Davies	Knight	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Yohn
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zeller

Duffy	Lescovitz	Reed	Zitterman
Durham	Letterman	Rhodes	Zord
Fee	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Fischer	Levin	Rieger	
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.			

NAYS—1

Livengood

NOT VOTING—9

Bowser	Johnson, J. J.	Kukovich	Shadding
Dininni	Jones	Lewis	Yahner
Dumas			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2670, PN 3539**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "An Act providing for the establishment and operation of Temple University ***," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

MOTION TO TABLE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I would ask if the House would give me until Monday to work on a problem before they pass this appropriation for Temple University. I am working with some students at Temple University and hopefully will be meeting with Dr. Wachman between now and Monday about the discontinuation of the Penn-African studies at Temple University. I would not want to take a position to hold up the appropriation indefinitely, but I would like consideration on this, at least until Monday, so that I can work out any negotiations in working out this problem that we are confronted with at Temple University at this time.

I think that a motion to table would be in place at this time—if I can get the cooperation of those of you who are so interested—or to pass over until Monday. It does not bother me either way.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I would oppose a motion to table this bill. I do not know the schedule in the other body, but if we are to have the entire fiscal package through the House, I think that it behooves us to continue to work on it today, not to tie anything up for negotiating purposes but rather to pass it over to the Senate. I think it would be wrong to hold Temple hostage for negotiations at this point and I would object to it, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Goebel.

Mr. GOEBEL. Mr. Speaker, I would also request that the bill be passed over temporarily, because I have an amendment coming down for the 1-percent increase for Temple University also. I would greatly appreciate it and I think Temple would probably appreciate it, too.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I guess sometimes when you try to do things properly or try to go through the process without creating any hostility or acting like you are angry, people misunderstand you. I am not interested in holding Temple hostage for anything, but what I want to make clear is that Temple sits right in the heart of my community, sits right in the heart of my district, and what I am simply saying is that we are trying to work out a problem that we are confronted with that will be worked out one way or the other, and I am saying that we have talked with Temple University. We have concerns about the studies there, and we are trying to get the records as to the number of people who are participating in these programs. The problem right now—and I do not want to discuss it in this appropriation necessarily, but is it fact that Temple has asserted that there are not enough blacks participating in the Penn-African studies to continue the program? I need that information. If they are not, then fine; the program does not need to be there. But if there are enough, then my position is that the program should continue. I am saying to you that when we appropriate money to Temple University, is it just for one part of the college or is it for the total university? It is for all of the studies, and we are working on the problem and we are attempting to work it out.

In trying to be nice, apparently I was misunderstood by the majority leader. He feels I am trying to hold somebody hostage. There are other ways of holding Temple hostage. I do not have to hold them hostage on the floor of this House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I apologize to the gentleman. I oppose the motion.

Mr. STREET. Very harsh terms the gentleman used, but I accept his apology.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion before the House is the motion to table the bill. The motion is not a debatable motion.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I rise to a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I think that I understood a different dialogue from the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street, in relationship to the request of asking that the bill be passed over after he first requested that the bill be tabled. Am I not correct, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman repeat his question, please? The Chair did not understand the gentleman. What was the point of order?

Mr. RICHARDSON. I raised a point of order, Mr. Speaker, to find out whether or not the Chair understood what was posed and what is being posed to the members of this House. You said that a motion to table is not debatable. I am raising a point of order as to whether or not a motion to table is before us or whether or not a motion to pass over the bill is before us.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion before us is to table the bill.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I rise to a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, the Speaker, I think, has erroneously designated the motion as one to table. Mr. Street had asked that it be, one, to pass over to the postponed calendar, which is different from the designation of the Speaker, and I would like to comment on his motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman definitely made a motion to table the bill, and that is the motion before the House at this time.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I understood it differently. I yield to Mr. Street to restate or withdraw his motion.

MOTION TO TABLE WITHDRAWN

MOTION TO PLACE ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED CALENDAR

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw the motion to table and I would ask that it be put on the final passage postponed calendar.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman then is withdrawing his motion to table the bill?

Mr. STREET. That is correct, and I am making a new motion that we put it on the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion as made by the gentleman is debatable on the postponement only. Does the gentleman, Mr. Richardson, wish to speak on that?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes, Mr. Speaker. I rose to indicate to the members of this House that we have always afforded every member in this House an opportunity to amend a bill, and certainly it seems to me that we are taking that away today if we do not allow Mr. Street to in fact look into a matter that is involved in his district as well as other members who do have amendments to this bill. It seems to me that it is not a request that is out of line but certainly a request that is in line with relationship to the problems in the community. Each year I have risen on this floor to talk about Temple University and its problems and talk about the problems concerning the SRAP - Special Recruitment and Admissions Program - and also the Penn-African studies program there.

It would seem to me that the members of this House should support that move of a member who particularly represents that legislative district and its constituents. I do not think that it is asking the majority leader too much to ask that this bill be held over until such information can be adopted and also received so that the members can vote intelligently on the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the motion, and I do not make the following statements in any effort to think that Mr. Ryan would withdraw his opposition, but at the same time I just want to state to him very clearly that I believe that his approach and attitude in opposition is one that seeks to hold American people hostage.

I know that if this particular appropriation had to do with what is happening in Iran or what we are going to do with Iranian students and what is happening in Iran, most certainly the day or so involved in negotiations would be entertained. I know that if this involved something to do with big business, this motion would be entertained. I know that if this had to do with lawyers or judges, this motion would be entertained. Mr. Speaker, you give up nothing except to say that you do not know about the other body's schedule. I do not think that that is very gentlemanly, and I do not think that that is very diplomatic, and I do not think that that is very courteous under the general rules of genteel interchange that we have so often offered as a matter of very common courtesy, as a matter of precedent.

The issue that Mr. Street raised in his own district is an issue that concerns not only Temple but other people. Mr. Street just said very directly to the House that he would like an opportunity to work that problem out. I have seen hundreds of times in this House us do that unless there is some big, serious problem.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House will come to order. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, the sidebar conference in the rear, I would hope, I would hope in the spirit of genteelness and all of that, that the majority leader does not include that in my remarks directed at the gentleman whom I know to be a gentleman except that sometimes in the debate and the tenacity and the skill of articulation of words sometimes, Mr. Speaker, I believe Mr. Ryan does go a bit beyond the point. I, too—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman please stick to the motion before the House, which is to place the bill on the third reading postponed calendar? The Chair has been most lenient.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I am trying to get Mr. Ryan— I will stick to the motion. I just think that it is wrong for you not to give that very simple courtesy until Monday. I say to you directly the problem is a significant problem. It is either worked out or it is not. I say to Mr. Ryan that there is precedence for that. And, personally, as one legislator concerned with this problem, I sort of resent the fact that that room is not there as I have seen happen in the past. And I do not know why. I do not know what Mr. Street said—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is straying from the motion. Will he please confine his remarks to the motion before the House?

Mr. WILLIAMS. When Mr. Street said that we are trying to work out a problem in a subject area that money goes to finance or not finance, concerning the operation of that school and its courses, I do not know what urgency we have not to grant that courtesy. And, Mr. Speaker, directed to the majority leader, based on his past conduct in matters of this kind, I just do not understand it. I am surprised and I do not like it, and I resent it, from a standpoint of one gentleman to another.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. I think the gentleman, Mr. Williams, deserves an answer to some of the inquiries he made. I have not been selective in my position in this regard, Mr. Speaker. Earlier today Mr. Hoeffel had a similar request. I turned him down and said that we must move forward. Sometimes, unfortunately, being in the position of majority leader, one has to do things that one ordinarily would not do. And as we close into this schedule, as we look at the entire budget picture here in Pennsylvania, we look at the status of all the various fiscal bills and revenue raising measures. Somewhere, someday, sometime, someone must take a stand that we are going to finish up our program, and I have taken that stand today. I have not done it selectively with Mr. Richardson or Mr. Street, but rather there are any number of other people on the other side of the aisle and on this side of the aisle—Mr. Hutchinson is an example; my good friend, Mr. Hutchinson—who have had the same answer to their requests. I apologize for the inconvenience that that causes, but, nevertheless, if a majority of the members do not agree with this, they put their votes up on the board. I am simply doing what I have

said I was going to do, and I have no hard feelings if anyone wants to overrule me, provided they get a majority of the members to follow them.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—33

Barber	DiCarlo	Letterman	Pratt
Bennett	Dawida	Livengood	Reed
Brown	Gatski	Manderino	Richardson
Caltagirone	Harper	Mrkonic	Ritter
Cappabianca	Irvis	Mullen	Stewart
Chess	Knight	Novak	Street
Clark, B. D.	Kukovich	Petrarca	Williams
Cohen	Laughlin	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese			

NAYS—156

Alden	Gallagher	McIntyre	Schmitt
Anderson	Gallen	McKelvey	Schweder
Armstrong	Gamble	McMonagle	Serafini
Arty	Gannon	McVerry	Seventy
Belardi	Geesey	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Geist	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	George, C.	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gladeck	Milanovich	Spencer
Brandt	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mowery	Steighner
Cessar	Gray	Murphy	Stuban
Cimini	Greenfield	Nahill	Sweet
Civera	Grieco	Noye	Swift
Clark, M. R.	Gruppo	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cochran	Hagarty	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Halverson	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cornell	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Coslett	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Thomas
Cowell	Hoeffel	Peterson	Trello
Cunningham	Honaman	Phillips	Vroon
DeMedio	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Wachob
DeVerter	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Wargo
Davies	Itkin	Pitts	Wass
Dietz	Johnson, E. G.	Polite	Wenger
Dininni	Kanuck	Pott	White
Dombrowski	Klingaman	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Donatucci, R.	Knepper	Punt	Wilt
Dorr	Kolter	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Duffy	Kowalyshyn	Rappaport	Yohn
Durham	Lashinger	Rasco	Zeller
Fee	Lehr	Rhodes	Zitterman
Fischer	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zord
Fisher	Levi	Rocks	Zwikl
Foster, W. W.	Levin	Rodgers	
Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Salvatore	Seltzer,
Freind	McCall	Scheaffer	Speaker
Fryer	McClatchy		

NOT VOTING—8

Austin	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Ryan	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Stuban.

Mr. STUBAN. The voting record showed on HB 2597 that I was not recorded. I would like the record to show that if I would have voted, I would have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The remarks of the gentleman, Mr. Stuban, will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 2670 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I would rise to speak. While I may not take a position that I want to deny Temple its entire appropriation, higher education is something that I am in favor of. I would speak to address this appropriation to the extent that I am not satisfied that Temple is doing its job across the board as an institution of higher learning for all people in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And I emphasize that because it was over 8 years ago when I personally was involved with the institution of communications on Temple's campus, when, in fact, they were having problems on Temple's campus, and we were dealing with them the best way we could, and the instructors in the communications institution was .000; they had no black instructors. They had no minority instructors whatsoever. We negotiated that out with Dean Harwood, and they have not made any progress even to this day, or very little progress. And I am also saying that it is a very dangerous thing when we come on the floor of the House and we have a group of legislators who will not consider everyone who is involved in trying to be represented on the floor of this House. When I go back to my district and tell people that there are 203 legislators up there, most of whom do not give two hoots about you down here, and we cannot get anything through the legislative process because people are not sensitive enough to that, then it puts us in a position where we have to do the next best thing, and nobody is interested in the next best thing in America.

So I am saying to the Representatives on the floor of the House, all we can do from Philadelphia, from whatever area, those of us who represent the downtrodden, is come here and appeal to you. That is all we can do, appeal and say consider this. Consider this. Consider the fact that my people are not getting a fair break at Temple University. Consider these things. That is all we can do on this floor of this House. And if you choose to just ignore those, then we have to do other things to try to get the type of representation that we need. And while I am speaking against HB 2070, I would suggest that next time an appropriation comes up for anything, let us consider whether everybody in this House and all ethnic groups are getting a fair break with the appropriations that we let go out of this House of Representatives.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers
Anderson	Freind	McCall	Ryan
Armstrong	Fryer	McClatchy	Salvatore
Austin	Gallagher	McIntyre	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallen	McKelvey	Schmitt
Belardi	Gamble	McMonagle	Schweder
Beloff	Gannon	McVerry	Serafini
Bennett	Gatski	Mackowski	Seventy
Berson	Geesey	Madigan	Shupnik
Bittle	Geist	Maiale	Sieminski
Borski	George, C.	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Giammarco	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Milanovich	Spencer
Burd	Goebel	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Goodman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Mowery	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gray	Mullen	Stewart
Cessar	Greenfield	Murphy	Stuban
Chess	Grieco	Nahill	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Novak	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Hoeffel	Oliver	Thomas
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wachob
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Wass
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DiCarlo	Klingaman	Pistella	White
Davies	Knepper	Pitts	Wilson
Dawida	Knight	Polite	Wilt
Dietz	Kolter	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Yohn
Donatucci, R.	Lashingier	Punt	Zeller
Dorr	Laughlin	Pyles	Zitterman
Duffy	Lehr	Rappaport	Zord
Durham	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwilk
Fee	Letterman	Rieger	
Fischer	Levi	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fisher	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, W. W.			

NAYS—9

Arty	Livengood	Rhodes	Street
Cohen	Rasco	Richardson	Williams
Harper			

NOT VOTING—9

DeMedio	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Mrkonic	Yahner
Hutchinson, A.			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GAVEL RETURNED TO THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time returns the gavel back to the Speaker.

THE SPEAKER (H. JACK SELTZER) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman from York, Mr. Anderson, for temporarily presiding.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Arty.

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, on HB 2670 I was recorded as voting "no." I wish to be recorded as voting "yes," please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, I failed to vote on HB 2694. I would like to be recorded in the positive, please.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman. His remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2671, PN 3540**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P. L. 743, No. 176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University, ****" making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation, and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Mr. IRVIS offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out "\$3,602,000" and inserting \$3,902,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, in brief, this amendment would add \$300,000 to the appropriation for Lincoln University, bringing it up to the requested amount of money which was requested by the department of the budget for Lincoln University. There is a very special reason for the request, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask the indulgence of the House for about 45 seconds to read that special reason.

Lincoln is the major black university in Pennsylvania, and one of the major black universities in the United States. The statistics—in comparison of the black males and white male graduates, black female and white female graduates in Pennsylvania—are rather startling. Only 834 black females and only 635 black males are graduated from the higher education schools in Pennsylvania, as opposed to a total of 14,047 women who are graduated and 13,532 men.

As far as family income is concerned, it may interest some of the members that the median white family income is now in this country at 18,368. But the black family median income is only at 10,879, almost 50 percent below that of the whites. It may interest the members also to recognize that of this \$10,879 median income, the black family is expected to contribute \$264 each year for the educational expenses of each child. Lincoln University increased its tuition by more than \$264 just this year. They increased it by \$282.

Lincoln's cost to educate each child on its campus is \$3,100. That is less than any of the other universities, but it is still obviously a very great expense for black families with a median of only \$10,879, as opposed to the white median of \$18,000 to send a child to Lincoln or in fact any other place. Most of the Lincoln students, most of them, 95 percent—I think that is the figure that was given to me—are now under some kind of direct financial aid.

Lincoln obviously is a very special case. It is not an ordinary school. It has not received in the past quite the equitable treatment which we have been attempting to give it in the last several years. It has a lot of catching up to do. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the House approve an increase of \$300,000 for Lincoln University.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I regretfully ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. All of the institutions certainly have a need for more money. Lincoln was given the 6 percent, as were the rest of the institutions. I recognize what Mr. Irvis is saying and hopefully we can do better next year. Again, Mr. Speaker, I ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Austin	Gallagher	McCall	Rieger
Barber	Gamble	McIntyre	Ritter
Beloff	George, C.	McMonagle	Rodgers
Bennett	Giammarco	Maiale	Schmitt
Berson	Goodman	Manderino	Schweder
Borski	Grabowski	Michlovic	Seventy
Brown	Gray	Milanovich	Shupnik
Burns	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Cappabianca	Halverson	Mullen	Street
Chess	Harper	Murphy	Stuban
Clark, B. D.	Hoeffel	Novak	Sweet
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien, B. F.	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cole	Irvis	Oliver	Trello
Cowell	Irkin	Petrarca	Wachob
DeMedio	Knight	Pievsky	Wargo
DeVerter	Kolter	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Letterman	Reed	Zitterman
Duffy	Levin	Rhodes	Zwikl
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Richardson	

NAYS—89

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Anderson	Freind	McKelvey	Sieminski
Armstrong	Fryer	McVerry	Sirianni
Arty	Gallen	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Belardi	Gannon	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gatski	Manmiller	Spencer
Bowser	Geesey	Miller	Spitz
Brandt	Geist	Nahill	Stairs
Burd	George, M. H.	Noye	Swift
Cessar	Gladeck	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Cimini	Gruppo	Perzel	Telek
Civera	Hagarty	Peterson	Thomas
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	Phillips	Vroon
Cornell	Hayes, Jr., S.	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Honaman	Polite	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kanuck	Punt	Wilt
Dietz	Klingaman	Pyles	Yohn
Dorr	Knepper	Rasco	Zord
Durham	Lashingier	Rocks	
Fischer	Lehr	Ryan	Seltzer,
Fisher	Levi	Salvatore	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Scheaffer	

NOT VOTING—9

Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Mrkonic	Yahner
Goebel			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Mr. RICHARDSON offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "175,000" and inserting 250,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for support for this amendment dealing with Lincoln's Eagleville master's program. This is a separate appropriation from the program that we just voted on concerning Lincoln's appropriation at its school. This is a program in collaboration with about eight other human service agencies with the Eagleville Hospital here in Pennsylvania and the rehabilitation center, which jointly developed in 1977 a masters of human services degree for students across the Commonwealth and all those persons who might want to get in the masters program outside the State of Pennsylvania. It was accredited by the Middle States Association. It covers all degree programs.

Many individuals, Mr. Speaker, without advanced academic degrees have become highly skilled practitioners in human services with experience. With these qualifications many nondegree workers have made large contributions to the field of human services and have helped greatly to keep the people they have serviced comfortable and happy.

Many professional schools will not allow people who are quite capable and do quite well in this field, they will not allow them to enter into their school without a baccalaureate. This policy prevents these competent people from furthering their academic learning experience. Lincoln tests their academic skills and admits qualified candidates, both degreed and nondegreed.

I would like to share with you that in 1979-80 fiscal years for those persons this has cost per term, Pennsylvania residents, in their tuition, \$922.50. Non-Pennsylvania residents for their tuition will be paying \$1,522.50. In summer sessions alone, Pennsylvania residents' tuition is \$544, and non-Pennsylvania residents' tuition is \$900. Mr. Speaker, this is up from last year's tuition cost because of the fact that Lincoln University's master's program needs \$250,000 in fact to operate.

I would like to just share for the record, Mr. Speaker, a final point that there are a list of tables that I have here. I would just like to read from one stating that there was a 10-point increase in the number of students at Lincoln in each of the 2 years, 1977-78 and also 1978-79. But in 1978 there was a decrease of 3 points and in 1978-79 a decrease of 4 points in the total number of students in state-related institutions; in 1978-79, an 18-point increase. At the same time, there was a 5-point decrease in units produced in the state-related schools and in 1978-79 a 6-point decrease. For that same period of time at Lincoln there was an 8-point increase in appropriations per unit and a 5-point increase in 1978-79. For the same time period, there was an 8-point increase for the state-related schools, and in 1978-79 a 15-point increase.

If we look at the total undergraduate credit hours produced and the master degrees produced, we will see that Lincoln does better than any other state-related institution in this Commonwealth. For that reason, Mr. Speaker, I ask that the members of this House support this amendment to the Eagleville Lincoln's master's program for Lincoln University. This is a separate appropriation, and we would certainly appreciate your support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—52

Barber	Fee	Levin	Reed
Beloff	Gallagher	McMonagle	Rhodes
Bennett	Gray	Maiale	Richardson
Berson	Greenfield	Manderino	Rieger
Borski	Harper	Milanovich	Ritter
Caltagirone	Hutchinson, A.	Mullen	Schweder
Cappabianca	Irvis	Novak	Shupnik
Chess	Itkin	O'Donnell	Stewart
Cohen	Knight	Pievsky	Street
DeWeese	Kolter	Pistella	Taylor, F.
DiCarlo	Kukovich	Pratt	Wargo
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	White
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Williams

NAYS—133

Alden	Freind	McCall	Seventy
Anderson	Fryer	McClatchy	Sieminski
Armstrong	Gallen	McKelvey	Sirianni
Arty	Gamble	McVerry	Smith, E. H.
Belardi	Gannon	Mackowski	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gatski	Madigan	Spencer
Bowser	Geesey	Manmiller	Spitz
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	George, C.	Miller	Steighner
Burd	George, M. H.	Moehlmann	Stuban
Burns	Gladeck	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Goebel	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Goodman	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Grabowski	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Grieco	O'Brien, B. F.	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Gruppo	O'Brien, D. M.	Thomas
Cochran	Hagarty	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Halverson	Peterson	Vroon
Cornell	Hasay	Petrarca	Wachob
Coslett	Hayes, Jr., S.	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Hoefel	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Honaman	Pitts	Wilson
DeMedio	Hutchinson, W.	Polite	Wilt
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kanuck	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Klingaman	Pyles	Yohn
Dombrowski	Knepper	Rasco	Zeller
Dorr	Kowalshyn	Rocks	Zitterman
Duffy	Lashingner	Rodgers	Zord
Durham	Lehr	Ryan	Zwinkl
Fischer	Letterman	Salvatore	
Fisher	Levi	Scheaffer	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	Livengood	Schmitt	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	Lynch, E. R.	Serafini	

NOT VOTING—12

Austin	Giammarco	Lewis	Oliver
Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	McIntyre	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Mrkonic	Yahner

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Ryan
Armstrong	Freind	McIntyre	Salvatore
Arty	Fryer	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallagher	McMonagle	Schmitt
Barber	Gallen	McVerry	Schweder
Belardi	Gamble	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gannon	Madigan	Seventy
Bennett	Gatski	Maiale	Shupnik
Berson	Geesey	Manderino	Sieminski
Bittle	Geist	Manmiller	Sirianni
Borski	George, C.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Giammarco	Miller	Spencer

Brown	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burd	Goebel	Mowery	Stairs
Burns	Goodman	Mrkonic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Mullen	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gray	Murphy	Street
Cessar	Greenfield	Nahill	Stuban
Cess	Grieco	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Gruppo	Noye	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Halverson	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Thomas
Cole	Hoefel	Peterson	Trello
Cornell	Honaman	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wachob
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Irvis	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Polite	Williams
DiCarlo	Knepper	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Knight	Pratt	Wilt
Dawida	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pyles	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Rappaport	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rasco	Zitterman
Dorr	Lehr	Reed	Zord
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Durham	Letterman	Richardson	
Fee	Levi	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fischer	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	

NAYS—2

Kanuck	Livengood
--------	-----------

NOT VOTING—6

Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Lewis		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2674, PN 3543**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Hahnemann Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Alden	Freind	McIntyre	Salvatore
Anderson	Gallagher	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallen	McMonagle	Schmitt
Arty	Gamble	McVerry	Schweder
Austin	Gannon	Mackowski	Serafini
Barber	Gatski	Madigan	Seventy
Belardi	Geesey	Maiale	Shupnik
Beloff	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bennett	George, C.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Berson	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Bowser	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Mowery	Stairs
Burd	Gray	Mrkonic	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Mullen	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Murphy	Street
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Nahill	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Novak	Sweet
Chess	Halverson	Noye	Swift
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Perzel	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Phillips	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Knepper	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Knight	Polite	Williams
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wilt
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lashingner	Punt	Wright, Jr., J.
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Pyles	Yohn
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zeller
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zitterman
Durham	Letterman	Richardson	Zord
Fee	Levi	Rieger	Zwilk
Fischer	Levin	Ritter	
Fisher	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Seltzer,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rodgers	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Ryan	

NAYS—7

Brown	Fryer	Kanuck	Reed
Cohen	Goodman	Livengood	

NOT VOTING—9

Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dombrowski	Jones	Rappaport	Yahner
Dumas			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Mr. Speaker, can I be recorded in the affirmative on the last vote, please, on HB 2674?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION
CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2675, PN 3544**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rocks
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Rodgers
Armstrong	Freind	McIntyre	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	McMonagle	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	McVerry	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gatski	Madigan	Seventy
Bennett	Geesey	Maiale	Shupnik
Berson	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burd	Goodman	Mowery	Stairs
Burns	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gray	Mullen	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Murphy	Street
Cessar	Grieco	Nahill	Stuban
Chess	Gruppo	Novak	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Swift
Civera	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Thomas
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wachob
Cowell	Irvis	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Knepper	Polite	Wilson
DiCarlo	Knight	Pott	Wilt
Davies	Kolter	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Kukovich	Punt	Yohn
Dombrowski	Lashingner	Pyles	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Rappaport	Zitterman
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Zord
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reed	Zwilk
Durham	Levi	Richardson	
Fee	Levin	Rieger	Seltzer,
Fischer	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—4

Fryer	Kanuck	Letterman	Livengood
-------	--------	-----------	-----------

NOT VOTING—10

Dininni	Jones	Ryan	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Rhodes		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2676**, **PN 3545**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Medical College of Pennsylvania, East Falls, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Rodgers
Anderson	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Ryan
Armstrong	Freind	McIntyre	Salvatore
Arty	Gallagher	McKelvey	Scheaffer
Austin	Gallen	McMonagle	Schmitt
Barber	Gamble	McVerry	Schweder
Belardi	Gannon	Mackowski	Serafini
Beloff	Gatski	Madigan	Seventy
Bennett	Geesey	Maiale	Shupnik
Berson	Geist	Manderino	Sieminski
Bittle	George, C.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Borski	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Brown	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Mowery	Stairs
Burns	Gray	Mrkonic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Mullen	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Murphy	Street
Cessar	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Chess	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cimini	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Civera	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Peterson	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	Petrarca	Thomas
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Pievsky	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Pistella	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson, E. G.	Pitts	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Polite	Wenger
DeVerter	Knepper	Pott	White
DeWeese	Knight	Pratt	Wilson
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wilt
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wright, D. R.

Dawida	Kukovich	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rasco	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Reed	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zord
Duffy	Letterman	Richardson	Zwilk
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Fee	Levin	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fischer	Lynch, E. R.	Rocks	Speaker
Fisher			

NAYS—4

Fryer	Goodman	Kanuck	Livengood
-------	---------	--------	-----------

NOT VOTING—10

Dininni	Jones	Oliver	Williams
Dumas	Lewis	Shadding	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	Nahill		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2677**, **PN 3546**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

Alden	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Salvatore
Anderson	Freind	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Armstrong	Gallagher	McVerry	Schmitt
Arty	Gamble	Mackowski	Schweder
Austin	Gannon	Madigan	Serafini
Barber	Gatski	Maiale	Seventy
Belardi	Geist	Manderino	Shupnik
Beloff	George, C.	Manmiller	Sieminski
Bennett	George, M. H.	Michlovic	Sirianni
Berson	Giammarco	Milanovich	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gladeck	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spencer
Bowser	Goodman	Mowery	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Mrkonic	Stairs
Brown	Gray	Mullen	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Murphy	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Nahill	Street
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Novak	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Noye	Sweet
Cessar	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Swift
Chess	Harper	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes, Jr., S.	Peterson	Taylor, F.
Clark, B. D.	Hoeffel	Petrarca	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Honaman	Phillips	Thomas
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Piccola	Trello

Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Pievsky	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Pistella	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Pitts	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson, E. G.	Polite	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pott	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pratt	White
DeMedio	Knepper	Pucciarelli	Williams
DeVerter	Knight	Punt	Wilson
DeWeese	Kolter	Pyles	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kowalyshyn	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kukovich	Rasco	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Lashinger	Reed	Yohn
Dietz	Laughlin	Rhodes	Zeller
Dombrowski	Lehr	Richardson	Zitterman
Donatucci, R.	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zord
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	Zwilk
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	
Durham	Lynch, E. R.	Rodgers	Seltzer,
Fee	McCall	Ryan	Speaker
Fisher	McClatchy		

NAYS—6

Fischer	Gallen	Letterman	Livengood
Fryer	Geesey		

NOT VOTING—11

Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	McKelvey	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	O'Brien, D. M.	Yahner
Foster, Jr., A.	Lewis	Perzel	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2681, PN 3550**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Ryan
Anderson	Freind	McKelvey	Salvatore
Armstrong	Gallagher	McMonagle	Scheaffer
Arty	Gallen	McVerry	Schmitt
Austin	Gamble	Mackowski	Schweder
Barber	Gannon	Madigan	Serafini
Belardi	Gatski	Maiale	Seventy
Beloff	Geesey	Manderino	Sieminski
Bennett	Geist	Manmiller	Sirianni
Berson	George, C.	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	George, M. H.	Milanovich	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Bowser	Goebel	Moehlmann	Spitz
Brandt	Goodman	Mowery	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Mrkonc	Steighner

Burd	Gray	Mullen	Stewart
Burns	Greenfield	Murphy	Street
Caltagirone	Grieco	Nahill	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Novak	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Swift
Chess	Halverson	O'Brien, B. F.	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	O'Brien, D. M.	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F.
Clark, B. D.	Hayes, Jr., S.	Oliver	Telek
Clark, M. R.	Hoeffel	Perzel	Thomas
Cochran	Honaman	Peterson	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wachob
Cornell	Irvis	Piccola	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Johnson, E. G.	Pistella	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeMedio	Knepper	Polite	Williams
DeVerter	Knight	Pott	Wilson
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Wilt
DiCarlo	Kowalyshyn	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Davies	Kukovich	Pyles	Wright, Jr., J.
Dawida	Lashinger	Rappaport	Yohn
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Rasco	Zeller
Donatucci, R.	Lehr	Reed	Zitterman
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Zord
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Fee	Lynch, E. R.	Ritter	Seltzer,
Fisher	McCall	Rocks	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Rodgers	

NAYS—6

Dietz	Fryer	Letterman	Livengood
Fischer	Kanuck		

NOT VOTING—10

Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	Pucciarelli	Shupnik
Dumas	Jones	Shadding	Yahner
Giammarco	Lewis		

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2684, PN 3553**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Mr. OLIVER offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$520,000," and inserting \$700,000,

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Oliver.

Mr. OLIVER. Mr. Speaker, my amendment would increase the appropriation to Berean Training Institute by a total of \$180,000. The request for the increase from \$520,000 to \$700,000 is badly needed to avoid phasing out additional programs as well as the laying off of more teachers. The problem with Berean really started in 1977 when they did not receive any money from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania from July 1 until December 31, 1977, and for this reason were unable to pay their payroll loan with Fidelity Bank on schedule, which caused tremendous problems to the institution.

The next setback to Berean as a state-aided institution was declared when they were declared not eligible to receive funds from PHEAA - Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency - for students, which amounted to a total of \$17,000. Fidelity Bank requested that Berean Institute grant them a security interest in all of the institute's tangible assets to include real estate owned equipment, furniture, supplies, inventories, and accounts receivable. The granting of such security did not relieve Berean of their responsibilities.

Mr. Speaker, at this point what Berean is really trying to do is to balance their budget, and without these funds, Mr. Speaker, Berean is going to have to phase out more programs and also lay off more teachers.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues in this House of Representatives to please try to help me and help Berean settle their financial problems, because without the help from this House of Representatives, Berean is going to be in tremendous trouble. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—125

Alden	Gallen	Maiale	Rieger
Anderson	Gamble	Manderino	Ritter
Arty	George, C.	Manmiller	Rocks
Austin	Giammarco	Michlovic	Rodgers
Barber	Goodman	Milanovich	Salvatore
Beloff	Gray	Miller	Schmitt
Bennett	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Schweder
Berson	Grieco	Mullen	Shupnik
Borski	Halverson	Novak	Sieminski
Bowser	Harper	Noye	Sirianni
Caltagirone	Hoefel	O'Brien, B. F.	Smith, E. H.
Cappabianca	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien, D. M.	Smith, L. E.
Cessar	Irvis	O'Donnell	Spencer
Chess	Itkin	Oliver	Steighner
Cimini	Knight	Perzel	Stewart
Clark, B. D.	Kolter	Peterson	Stuban
Cochran	Kowalyszyn	Petrarca	Sweet
Cohen	Kukovich	Piccola	Swift
Cole	Laughlin	Pievsky	Taylor, E. Z.
DeMedio	Lehr	Pistella	Taylor, F.
DeVerter	Lescovitz	Pitts	Trello
DeWeese	Letterman	Polite	Wachob
DiCarlo	Levi	Pott	Wargo
Davies	Levin	Pucciarelli	White
Dietz	Livengood	Punt	Williams
Dombrowski	McCall	Pyles	Wilson
Donatucci, R.	McClatchy	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	McIntyre	Rasco	Yohn
Duffy	McKelvey	Reed	Zeller
Fee	McMonagle	Rhodes	Zwinkl
Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Richardson	

Gallagher

NAYS—63

Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Johnson, E. G.	Seventy
Belardi	Fryer	Kanuck	Spitz
Bittle	Gannon	Klingaman	Stairs
Brandt	Gatski	Knepper	Taddonio
Brown	Geesey	Lashingier	Telek
Burd	Geist	Lynch, E. R.	Thomas
Burns	George, M. H.	McVerry	Vroon
Civera	Gladeck	Madigan	Wass
Clark, M. R.	Goebel	Moehlmann	Wenger
Cornell	Grabowski	Mowery	Wilt
Coslett	Gruppo	Murphy	Wright, Jr., J.
Cowell	Hagarty	Phillips	Zitterman
Cunningham	Hasay	Pratt	Zord
Dawida	Hayes, Jr., S.	Ryan	
Durham	Honaman	Scheaffer	Seltzer,
Fischer	Hutchinson, W.	Serafini	Speaker
Fisher			

NOT VOTING—9

Dininni	Johnson, J. J.	Lewis	Shadding
Dumas	Jones	Nahill	Yahner
Freind			

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill as amended on third consideration?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—184

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Ritter
Anderson	Freind	McClatchy	Rocks
Armstrong	Gallagher	McIntyre	Rodgers
Arty	Gallen	McKelvey	Ryan
Austin	Gamble	McMonagle	Salvatore
Barber	Gannon	McVerry	Scheaffer
Belardi	Gatski	Mackowski	Schmitt
Beloff	Geesey	Madigan	Schweder
Bennett	Geist	Maiale	Serafini
Berson	George, C.	Manderino	Seventy
Bittle	George, M. H.	Manmiller	Shupnik
Borski	Giammarco	Michlovic	Sieminski
Bowser	Gladeck	Milanovich	Sirianni
Brandt	Goebel	Miller	Smith, E. H.
Brown	Goodman	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Burd	Grabowski	Mowery	Spencer
Caltagirone	Gray	Mrkonic	Spitz
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Mullen	Stairs
Cessar	Grieco	Murphy	Steighner
Chess	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Cimini	Hagarty	Novak	Street
Civera	Halverson	Noye	Stuban
Clark, B. D.	Harper	O'Brien, B. F.	Sweet
Clark, M. R.	Hayes, Jr., S.	O'Brien, D. M.	Swift
Cochran	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Taylor, F.
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Telek
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Thomas
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Trello

DeMedio	Johnson, E. G.	Piccola	Vroon
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wachob
DeWeese	Knepper	Pistella	Wargo
DiCarlo	Knight	Pitts	Wass
Davies	Kolter	Polite	Wenger
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pott	White
Dietz	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Donatucci, R.	Laughlin	Punt	Wilt
Dorr	Lehr	Pyles	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, Jr., J.
Durham	Letterman	Rasco	Yohn
Fee	Levi	Reed	Zeller
Fischer	Levin	Rhodes	Zitterman
Fisher	Livengood	Richardson	Zord
Foster, W. W.	Lynch, E. R.	Rieger	Zwikel

NAYS—4

Burns	Fryer	Hasay	Kanuck
-------	-------	-------	--------

NOT VOTING—9

Cole	Johnson, J. J.	Shadding
Dininni	Jones	Yahner
Dumas	Lewis	

Seltzer,
Speaker

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. RYAN called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **SB 65, PN 1794**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 68 (Real and Personal Property) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to condominiums.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the Report of the Committee of Conference?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the Conference Committee Report on SB 65 be laid on the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 65 be taken from the table and placed on Monday's active calendar.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO RULES COMMITTEE

HB 2645, PN 3514 By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act making an appropriation to the Association for the Blind of Armstrong and Indiana Counties, for the provision of services to the blind.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2724, PN 3598 By Rep. McCLATCHY

An Act appropriating the Federal Augmentation to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and establishing restricted receipts accounts for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1980.

APPROPRIATIONS.

BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

SB 982, PN 1843 By Rep. McCLATCHY

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, authorizing special tax provisions for taxpayers in certain areas.

APPROPRIATIONS.

REPORT FROM RULES COMMITTEE

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be removed from the table, and I so move:

- SB 419, PN 1883;
- SB 517, PN 1884;
- SB 804, PN 1188;
- SB 1135, PN 1388;
- SB 1145, PN 1882; and
- SB 890, PN 1017.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 1587, PN 1897 By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending the act of June 21, 1939 (P. L. 626, No. 294), referred to as the Second Class County Assessment Law, further providing for refunds of taxes.

RULES.

HB 1589, PN 3473

By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending the "Second Class County Code," approved July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), further providing for preparation of the annual budget.

RULES.

HB 2490, PN 3268

By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending the "Public Welfare Code," approved June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), providing for copayments by recipients for prescriptions under the medical assistance program.

RULES.

HB 2607, PN 3451

By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending the act of July 16, 1979 (No. 14A), entitled "An act making appropriations to the Treasury Department out of various funds to pay replacement checks issued in lieu of outstanding checks when presented and to adjust errors," increasing the appropriation for the State Workmen's Insurance Fund.

RULES.

HB 2608, PN 3452

By Rep. RYAN

An Act providing for adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Fish Fund and the Boating Fund.

RULES.

**BILL REREPORTED AND
REREFERRED TO APPROPRIATIONS
COMMITTEE**

HB 2172, PN 2761

By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further defining "bus."

RULES.

**BILL REREPORTED AND RECOMMITTED
TO APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE**

HB 2559, PN 3370

By Rep. RYAN

An Act amending the "State Lottery Law," approved August 26, 1971 (P. L. 351, No. 91), further providing for the purpose, powers and duties of the Secretary of Revenue, the disposition of funds and making an appropriation.

RULES.

RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 91, PN 1682 (Concurrent)

By Rep. RYAN

General Assembly directs Joint State Government Commission investigate fee system for county row officers.

RULES.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MINORITY LEADER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I notice that some members are leaving. I would caution the members that there is going to

be placed upon this floor a motion to suspend the rules, and the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, is going to make that motion. It is incumbent on every one of you to be here. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2534, PN 3402, be removed from the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for immediate suspension of the rules in order that we can today consider a resolution to the House rules.

The reason I am asking for this is because I think it is proper and fitting that we amend the House rules to mandate that our members be—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield until the Chair puts the question.

Mr. DeWEESE. Yes, sir.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. RYAN. What is the scope of permissible debate on a motion to suspend the rules?

The SPEAKER. Before the Chair responds to the majority leader, the Chair was just about to ask the gentleman to yield until the Chair could put the question. There is no question before the House. So if the majority leader will yield and the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, will yield, the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese, moves that rule 35 be suspended in order that he may offer a resolution and have it considered immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The majority leader inquired of the Chair whether or not the question of suspension of the rule is debatable, and the Chair in reviewing the rules would hold that it is debatable.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Briefly, Mr. Speaker, 1,000 years ago—

The SPEAKER. The Chair is reluctant to remind the gentleman that what is debatable is the suspension of the

rules. Unfortunately, the rules do not go back 1,000 years. Within the limitations of the reasons for suspension of the rules, the gentleman is recognized.

Mr. DeWEESE. I think it is necessary, Mr. Speaker, that we suspend the rules in order to consider this resolution. Obviously, we cannot make progress this afternoon. We cannot improve on our chamber and our deliberations and the way we go about each day unless we do it right now.

I do not think that the resolution before us is new to anyone. I think we know how we are going to vote and I would like the opportunity to vote on it now.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, am I to take it that we are not to even understand what the nature of the resolution that he wants to talk about or introduce before this House is before we decide whether it is of such great importance to the members of this Assembly that the rules should be suspended that it would be considered now instead of following its normal route of going to the Rules Committee and having that committee consider it and report to the floor of this House its recommendation?

The SPEAKER. The Chair and all of the members of the House received a copy of this resolution at least 6 hours ago today, and I know the Chair took advantage of it to read it. The Chair can only assume that all of the members of the House are well familiar with what is in the resolution that the gentleman would like to propose. The question before the House is the suspension of the rules.

Mr. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, although I confess not having read in detail the gentleman's resolution, it is my understanding, as explained to me by the individual, that this is a suspension of the rules to make some rule of the House different than the rule of the House presently is regarding voting when a member is not in his seat.

Mr. Speaker, several years ago this House of Representatives considered a very important matter for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania—I am not sure whether it was a budget matter or a tax matter—wherein I was part of a detailed and explained procedure of the House of Representatives whereas a member who was ill and in the hospital at the time, and who expressed his intention to this General Assembly on the manner in which he wanted to be voted on a bill, should record his vote in the Journal and on the rollcall vote. Mr. Speaker, I saw nothing wrong with the casting of that vote in that particular situation and that particular time, because to do otherwise would have meant to threaten a man's life and limb and health by bringing him from the hospital to this House of Representatives to cast a vote which he intelligently knew he wanted to cast, and he was elected by 60,000 people like the rest of us were.

Mr. Speaker, between that extreme circumstance and the circumstance which all of us will consider is an abuse of the rules of the House of Representatives, there are a myriad of situations which may or may not require, may or may not excuse, may or may not necessitate a member from being off the floor of the House when business is carried on here - committee meetings, constituent requests, work in the office, et cetera. These things, I think, are valid considerations for this House of Representatives to make and inquire into in deciding whether or not a rule change should take place, and I think the proper place, the proper forum, for that kind of consideration is in the Rules Committee of this House, where all resolutions go.

We are in the twilight days, so to speak, of this session. We are about to adjourn for the summer and we will come back for a few weeks of cleanup work in the fall, and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that there is no urgency, there is no necessity, to suspend the rules of the House to consider this particular resolution at this time. I think it ought to go into the Rules Committee. It ought to be considered by the Rules Committee—and I say that to the chairman of the Rules Committee—and we ought to come up with some reasonable solution to the problem.

I am not one who will bow to the will of the gentlemen over here in the corner who indicate to us that if we do not play the game by their rules, we are playing it by the wrong rules. I think that is wrong. I think we have the intelligence, the foresight, and the reasonableness to adopt reasonable rules in this House of Representatives, and I think that is what we ought to do. I think it ought to go through the rules process.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, I agree to a great extent with the remarks of Mr. Manderino. There is a Rules Committee, despite the fact that the offerer of this particular resolution has evidently had it for quite some time because I have been reading about it. To the best of my knowledge, I have never seen it introduced.

I am going to caution, however, those of you from the southeast. The press might interpret your vote as not being in favor of maintaining our rules and letting things go through the normal course, but rather might interpret your vote as being something that is against the philosophy of that particular newspaper. We all know that. It is an election year. You get hammered by the press, right or wrong.

I intend to vote "no," and I want the press to understand that I am not voting "no" because I am afraid to be counted as present when I am sitting here in the morning but for the reasons that I have told the gentlemen from the press any number of times, some of which was reported. I do not believe that this House operates efficiently when it has to take an hour, an hour and 15 minutes, to see who is here in the morning. We saw this take place 2 weeks ago. I look around this hall today and I see very few absences, and I daresay those who are absent are up on the rollcall machine as being excused for one reason or another.

I object to the pressure of the press to force the suspension of our rules to consider immediately anything, unless we want it, that is, we, the members. I object to considering anything out of the ordinary course unless there is some kind of an emergency as opposed to a PR - public relations - gimmick. I am really disappointed that there is a motion to suspend the rules when I have been reading for the past 2 or 3 weeks the articles of Mr. Loeb, who quotes the offerer of this suggested suspension, and I do not know why I have not seen it in the Rules Committee. It has not been offered; it has not been introduced; it has never been before our committee, maybe because you cannot get any press out of simply offering it in the ordinary course.

I would not want any of our people—I do not care if some of you guys get beaten—but I would not want any of our people to follow my lead if you think you are going to get a fair shot when it is reported, because you probably will not. So watch yourselves on this, but if you can vote against suspension of the rules, I ask you to vote that way.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Zeller.

Mr. ZELLER. Mr. Speaker, no, we are not going to get anybody, but we are going to get ourselves, and the simple reason is, I respect the young man. I served in the same office with him for some time, but I absolutely am against—and we do it many times here—using the press as our lobbyist to get something through, which I feel is wrong, when it should go through the process. If it has gone through the process and you cannot get it, then I, like everybody else, would try to get it on amendments and so forth and this route. But I cannot see anyone here being afraid of the press, because either you are going to run your government or you are going to let the press do it. Either way, you have got to have guts to stand up here. I am not saying that I down the press as a group in toto. There are individuals who have abused the press themselves as reporters, have abused that privilege, and that is why the First Amendment is in trouble, in deep trouble, in this country, because of members of the press who have abused that privilege.

Now, getting down to the amendment, my problem is this, and I agree—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield? The Chair would like to caution the gentleman. The question before us is suspension of the rules, not the merits of the proposed resolution.

Mr. ZELLER. In closing, I would say this to every member: Let us show our colors today. Let us show that we have got the good old American moxie that our 59,000 or 60,000 people sent us down here to do for them, that we are not going to be run by the press, that we are going to act in their behalf, and sincerely, with all respect to the gentleman who wants to set this in motion, I believe it is the wrong way to do it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. I rise in support of suspension of the rules, because I think basically the request before us is a modest approach. I agree with the minority whip that there are myriad situations that arise on the floor of this House which may cause one to be absent from one's seat for a specific vote, but we are not talking about that situation. We are talking about getting on the master roll call in the morning. Now, I do not think—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield? Will the gentleman please confine his remarks to the suspension of the rules?

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. I support the suspension of the rules, and I would like to disassociate myself from any statement that the gentleman from Greene is doing it for publicity purposes.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I have served in this House as a back-bencher; I have served as the caucus chairman, whip, majority leader, minority leader, speaker. No one over the years has complained more bitterly than I have about the failure of certain members to attend the sessions. I have complained about that not because of the media; I have complained about it because it is unfair to those people who elect us to be here for us not to be here when we promised that we shall be.

I am in sympathy with the problems which the leaders face and which the members face by absentee voting, but this is the wrong way to correct it. There is no need for us to suspend the rules at this time. It is an extremely dramatic gesture, and I can sympathize with the Representative who offers it, but I would pledge openly on this floor that if he files his resolution, I will persist—and I am sure the majority leader will persist—in bringing the resolution to a decision in the Rules Committee. I am not opposed to his idea; I am opposed to the methodology of bringing it to the floor of the House and I am going to vote "no" on that methodology even though I shall pursue the idea at a later time. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Chess.

Mr. CHESSE. Mr. Speaker, I feel a need to echo the comments of Mr. Foster to try to clear up the misimpression that was given by the majority leader and the minority whip. I think it is important that this be brought out. Mr. DeWeese is not asking anything about you have to be in your seat for every vote, and he is only saying that if you are going to be up here and you are going to be paid for the day, you come here; you give your voice. And for certain members who find it impossible to come down and flick their switch during the day, it does not affect it, but if you are healthy enough to come up here and collect your \$44 a day, then surely you are healthy enough to come down to the floor for 10 minutes and say "aye."

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Donnell.

Mr. O'DONNELL. Mr. Speaker, I think the majority leader has framed the issue quite precisely for us. The issue here is whether or not we want the normal process to operate. What we are trying to do here is to suspend the rules for immediate consideration. That is an abnormality. The question is, do we want the normal process to operate here? He was very precise and very correct when he framed the issue in that way. The answer to that, I think, is "no." The normal process is the process that gave us a budget, a budget that we have no opportunity to amend. That is the normal process. The normal process is the process in which the majority leader stands up and casts doubts about the motivation of a member offering an amendment. The normal process is the process that brought you to the floor at 11 o'clock this morning, and I want you to check the record and find out, under the normal process, when the first vote was run. When you come here at 11 o'clock in the morning or 1 o'clock in the afternoon and you sit in that seat and get on the master roll call, how long does it take under the normal process to even get around to calling the first vote? It is the normal process that has produced that kind of nonsense, and it is the normal process that has got to be changed, starting now.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Street.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, I do not understand all the debate. Normally what we do is vote to suspend the rules and then vote down whatever it is that we do not want. Normally that is what we do. I think it is my understanding that normally on a suspension, that is a giving; that is a courtesy. We will suspend the rule for you and then vote down what we do not approve of.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, suspension of the rules is very seldom a courtesy that is offered to a member.

Mr. STREET. Oh, no?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. STREET. Mr. Speaker, can I amend this thing?

The SPEAKER. The Chair was unable to hear the gentleman.

Mr. STREET. I would in all sincerity ask that we go on and do what we are going to do, suspend the rules, vote for it or vote against it. I mean, why are we debating this? It seems like we are standing here addressing the press. You know, let us just vote, vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I would like to just clarify a couple of things. We have heard some comments. We have already had a great deal of latitude on the part of the Speaker with respect to some of the gentlemen who have spoken. So I think everybody has a pretty good idea that we are only talking about changing the process by which a master roll is taken, but some of the speakers would have us believe that this suggestion to suspend the rules and perhaps to adopt this resolution as change to the rules is just the idea of Mr. DeWeese after he read about it in a

newspaper somewhere. I would hasten to remind our colleagues that Mr. DeWeese did not come up with this idea last week or a couple of weeks ago or a couple of months ago. In fact, he proposed this exact same language on the floor of this House when we were debating the rules at the beginning of the session, and 66 people voted in favor of it. Perhaps some of those people are making noises now; I do not know, but 66 people voted in favor of it. Additionally, there are about 32 cosponsors to Mr. DeWeese's resolution. I think there are 33 names on it altogether, and I see some of those names are of individuals who originally voted "no" back early in 1979. There is nothing wrong with changing one's mind. That simply says that in addition to the 66 people who voted for this originally, there must be a number of others who support it. Probably at least 70 or 75 in one way or another have indicated support for the DeWeese language. I think that in itself suggests that the issue is important enough and the potential solution provided by Mr. DeWeese viable enough that it deserves consideration by this full House.

It has been suggested that we should go with the normal process, and that is, put it in the Rules Committee. Normally, I guess, I would be willing to go along with that, except that Rules Committee has existed for quite some time. It has not addressed this issue adequately in prior sessions. It has failed to address this issue since the DeWeese language was originally offered some 15 or 16 months ago.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman please confine his remarks to the suspension of the rules?

Mr. COWELL. I am speaking about why we should suspend the rules.

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that the gentleman is debating the merits of the resolution. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, with all due respect, I am debating why it is important that this entire body consider this issue now rather than depend on the Rules Committee.

The SPEAKER. The question before us is not the issue. The question before us is the suspension of the rules. The gentleman will please confine his remarks to the suspension of the rules. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. COWELL. Finally, Mr. Speaker, I think it ought to be considered now because already some of the leaders on the Rules Committee have been publicly quoted in the newspapers—and I have no reason to believe that the public comments or quotes were inaccurate—quoted to the effect that there really is not an answer to this problem and we cannot do anything about it; we are frustrated about it as leaders, but we really do not think it is our role or we really do not see an appropriate role for us to play.

For that reason I do not have confidence that the Rules Committee will take under consideration this issue and report a solution to this full House. I have every confidence that Mr. Irvis will bring it to their attention, but I am afraid the decision made there would preclude a decision by this full membership. I therefore think it is proper and most

appropriate that the full membership be permitted the opportunity to address this issue today by suspending the rules.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. I have no comments at this time, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Finally, I believe that we should suspend the rules in order that we can realize exactly what Mr. O'Donnell commented about. I believe that the normal process has not worked. We need to suspend the rules to get something today that will work. We need to suspend the rules for reasons that escape Mr. Ryan. I am proud to have been nominated by his brothers and sisters in the Republican Party in Greene County, and I face no opposition in the fall. This is not done to react to the inky vituperations of the press. It is done because I feel solid about what we are trying to do. This is a substantial reform move, and we need to suspend the rules so that this House of Representatives can react not only with justice but with alacrity now. Thank you very much, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, rule 25 of this House says that when a bill or a resolution is defeated by this House—and I think we defeated your proposition earlier in the session—it shall not be reintroduced except as provided in rule 26, and that is on a reconsideration. That is not what you are doing. We are giving you the latitude of putting it in again, sending it to Rules Committee.

You know, I would like to debate the merits of this resolution and the merits of one coming in in the morning and getting up and saying, I am here, and going out and playing golf all day, or whatever some members are prone to do.

Mr. Speaker, there are many ways to serve one's constituency, and I think we ought to all serve our constituency, and our constituency ought to be a judge of our service to them. I do not think we ought to play the game of I do it better than you do it, and it is all right for me to go to my office and serve my constituency but it is not all right for you not to come up here and serve your constituency on a particular day. But that is debating the merits of the proposed resolution, and I am sorry and I excuse myself for that, Mr. Speaker. But I do know that there is no emergency here. I know there is no urgency for the suspension of the rules for immediate consideration. I say to you that this resolution was considered once before. We did stand up and either vote "yea" or "nay" on whether or not this was a good resolution, and we decided in the negative, and I think we ought not to suspend the rules to consider it a second time when it is against our rules to do so.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—71

Arty	Gallagher	Manmiller	Serafini
Berson	Gamble	Michlovic	Seventy
Brown	Gatski	Mrkonic	Sieminski
Burns	George, C.	Murphy	Sirianni
Cappabianca	George, M. H.	Nahill	Steighner
Chess	Gladeck	Novak	Stewart
Civera	Goebel	O'Donnell	Swift
Cochran	Grabowski	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Greenfield	Peterson	Taylor, F.
Cowell	Gruppo	Phillips	Telek
Cunningham	Hoefel	Piccola	Trello
DeWeese	Itkin	Pievsky	Wachob
Dawida	Kanuck	Pistella	Wass
Durham	Klingaman	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Fischer	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Wright, Jr., J.
Fisher	Kukovich	Reed	Yohn
Foster, Jr., A.	Livengood	Richardson	Zwinkl
Fryer	McCall	Ritter	

NAYS—111

Alden	Duffy	Levi	Rocks
Anderson	Fee	Levin	Ryan
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Lynch, E. R.	Salvatore
Austin	Freind	McClatchy	Scheaffer
Barber	Gallen	McIntyre	Schmitt
Belardi	Gannon	McKelvey	Schweder
Beloff	Geesey	McMonagle	Shupnik
Bennett	Geist	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Goodman	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gray	Maiale	Spencer
Bowser	Grieco	Manderino	Spitz
Brandt	Hagarty	Milanovich	Stairs
Burd	Halverson	Moehlmann	Street
Caltagirone	Harper	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Hasay	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Hayes, Jr., S.	Noye	Taddonio
Clark, B. D.	Honaman	O'Brien, B. F.	Thomas
Clark, M. R.	Hutchinson, A.	O'Brien, D. M.	Wargo
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Wenger
Cole	Irvs	Petrarca	White
Coslett	Johnson, E. G.	Polite	Williams
DeMedio	Knepper	Pott	Wilt
DeVerter	Knight	Pratt	Zeller
DiCarlo	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Zitterman
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Zord
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rhodes	Seltzer,
Donatucci, R.	Letterman	Rieger	Speaker
Dorr			

NOT VOTING—15

Dininni	Jones	Miller	Vroon
Dumas	Lashingier	Pyles	Wilson
Giammarco	Lewis	Rodgers	Yahner
Johnson, J. J.	McVerry	Shadding	

EXCUSED—5

Earley	Helfrick	Micozzie	Weidner
Hayes, D. S.			

The question was determined in the negative, and the motion was not agreed to.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over.

The Chair hears no objection.

COMMUNICATION

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
 Department of Health
 Harrisburg
 June 11, 1980

The Honorable Charles F. Mebus
 Chief Clerk
 House of Representatives
 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
 Room 131 Capitol Building
 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Mr. Mebus:

In accordance with Section 601(c) of the Health Care Facilities Act of 1979, I am hereby submitting the Department's proposed administrative regulations for issuance of certificates of need. These regulations define facilities and new institutional health services subject to review; describe the functions of the Department and the Health Systems Agencies; describe the review procedures; and define the required findings and review criteria for projects subject to review.

Pursuant to Section 601(a) of the Act, the Department met and consulted with the Health Care Policy Board prior to proposed rulemaking. That notice of proposed rulemaking occurred in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on Saturday, May 3, 1980. Following the end of the public comment period, the Department analyzed suggested comments and once again consulted with the Health Care Policy Board prior to making any revisions. All of the revisions agreed to by the Policy Board have been incorporated into the enclosed regulations.

If any member should desire an explanation regarding any provision of these regulations, I would be happy to have Mr. Eugene Devine, Deputy Secretary for Planning and Quality Assurance discuss the regulations with that member.

If I may be of further assistance to the House of Representatives, please let me know.

Sincerely,
 H. Arnold Miller, M.D.
 Secretary of Health

Enclosure

(Letter and copies of Proposed Regulations were received officially by the chief clerk on Thursday, June 12, 1980, and are on file with the Journal clerk.)

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair welcomes to the balcony representatives from the Girl Scout Council of Philadelphia, Mrs. Trois, Coleen Logan, Maria Trois, Donna Rosenberger and Diane Kinney, who are here today as the guests of Mr. McKelvey.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Punt.

Mr. PUNT. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, June 23, 1980, at 1 p.m., e.d.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:55 p.m., e.d.t, the House adjourned.