

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, JUNE 30, 1981

SESSION OF 1981

165TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 49

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(JOHN HOPE ANDERSON) IN THE CHAIR
PRAYER**

REV. EUGENE A. BEAM, chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of the Faith Evangelical Congregational Church of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Our Heavenly Father, we search for You inside this beautiful building, yet our Lord said search the prison, the hospital, the welfare neighborhood. We seek our reward doing good for our friends, yet You have told us to love our enemies and those who have lied to us.

We see the needs and troubles and pressures of our world, and they are so great. At times we want to be ten people with twenty hands helping in a hundred ways. Teach us that we have the time, but give us the will; we have the ability, give us the nerve; and we have Your example, give us Your love. This we pray in Your holy name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, approval of the Journal for Monday, June 29, 1981, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears none.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE GRANTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority whip.

Mr. CESSAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I request a leave of absence for the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. RYAN, for this morning's session.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the leave will be approved. The Chair hears none.

The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I request a leave for the gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. GEORGE, for this week's session; and for the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. OLASZ, for this week's session.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the leaves will be granted. The Chair hears none.

MASTER ROLL CALL RECORDED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time takes the master roll for the day. Members please report to their seats. Only those in their seats may be recorded. The clerk will take the roll.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—187

Anderson	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Belfanti	Frazier	McClatchy	Serafini
Beloff	Freind	McIntyre	Seventy
Berson	Fryer	McMonagie	Showers
Bittle	Gallagher	McVerry	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallen	Mackowski	Sieminski
Borski	Gamble	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gannon	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Geist	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Gladeck	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Grabowski	Marmion	Snyder
Burd	Greenfield	Merry	Spencer
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Stairs
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Cawley	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stevens
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cimini	Haluska	Morris	Suban
Civera	Harper	Mowery	Swaim
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonic	Sweet
Clymer	Hayes	Mullen	Swift
Cochran	Hoeffel	Murphy	Taddonio
Cohen	Honaman	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafiglia	Horgos	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Treifo
Cornell	Irvic	Pendleton	Van Horne
Coslett	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Cowell	Jackson	Peterson	Wachob
Cunningham	Johnson	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Kanuck	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Kolter	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pistella	White
Dawida	Kukovich	Pitts	Wiggins
Dietz	Lashinger	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Laughlin	Pratt	Wilson
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Punt	Wozniak
Dorr	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Levi	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Evans	Lewis	Ritter	

ADDITIONS—9

Alden	Foster, Jr., A.	Rappaport	Telek
Barber	Gray	Richardson	Williams, H.
Durham			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Deal	Heiser	Ryan,
George	Olasz	Speaker

LEAVE CANCELED—1

Ryan, Speaker

MISS PENNSYLVANIA PRESENTED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Speaker at this time recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Geist, who has a very pleasant duty to perform. The Chair at this time turns the gavel over to the gentleman, Mr. Geist.

Mr. GEIST. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It certainly is an honor for me to be able to present to you, the members of the House, the new 1981 Miss Pennsylvania, who we hope will be able to go to Atlantic City this year and come back Miss America.

Jill Shaffer—we are quite proud of our new Miss Pennsylvania—was born in Altoona, Pennsylvania, the daughter of Reverend and Mrs. Shaffer. They and her sister Jill are over at the side. I would like to offer a warm welcome to the Shaffers. Also, I would like to introduce to you Sandy Durbin, who is the chaperone for Miss Pennsylvania, and Duane Bordell from Altoona, the man who makes this all possible. I would like at this time to give them a warm welcome to the House of Representatives.

Not only is the new Miss Pennsylvania very talented; she also is quite a hunter. Her first night as Miss Pennsylvania, she was staying in the home of the Jack Sears family, and practicing the piano, she had a mouse in the piano and went after the mouse with a tin can. So not only is she going to be very talented and well representative of the state; she is also a good hunter.

At this time I would like to present to you the new Miss Pennsylvania, Jill Shaffer.

Miss SHAFFER. Thank you very much for that kind introduction.

Mr. Speaker, honorable members of the House, guests, and ladies and gentlemen. It is a great thrill for me to be presented this morning, for without great leaders like yourselves, we would not have such a fine state.

Because of my father's ministerial vocation, I have had the opportunity to live in seven different communities within the Commonwealth. Pennsylvania's grand canyon, her coal resources, the Amish area, and Harrisburg itself, her capital, are all familiar to me, and I feel very fortunate to have lived around the state. After a cross-country tour that we took in 1971, it was a family consensus that Pennsylvania was by far the most beautiful state of all. I consider it a very high honor and privilege indeed to be chosen as its representative.

I am thankful for the freedom America has established. Because of that freedom, the Miss Pennsylvania Scholarship Pageant is available for young women. It is a chance to compete for money that we can use for our education. The pageant program instills a sense of competition, self-development, and confidence in every participant. With God's help I will uphold the dignity, genuineness, and American ideals that this crown represents.

I believe there are God-given talents in every one of us, and the key to success, happiness, and peace is to develop and use those talents in a positive way and help others discover and develop their talents and their abilities for constructive purposes. Using my talents and abilities in Atlantic City in representing this fine Commonwealth, if I would become Miss America and have nationwide duties, my true allegiance is definitely to this state.

There is a song I taught all my music classes this year, and the words are programmed forever in my mind, and they are: "Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania, strong and true. Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania, hear our song to you. There is beauty in your mountains; there is peace among your hills, and where'er I roam, my only home is Pennsylvania." Thank you.

Mr. GEIST. Representative Donald Dorr will present a House citation sponsored by Bud Lehr and myself.

Mr. DORR. It is my pleasure this morning to be the Representative of the district to which Jill's parents are about to move. Jill is here this morning at the request of Rick and the York County delegation, but we are going to have the pleasure of having her family in my district very shortly.

I am going to take this opportunity to read to you, Jill, the citation which is passed by the House of Representatives and which we will be pleased to present to you.

WHEREAS, Jill Ann Shaffer, the twenty-two year old daughter of the Reverend and Mrs. Paul Shaffer of York, was crowned Miss Pennsylvania 1981; and

WHEREAS, The selection of Miss Shaffer as Miss Pennsylvania attests to her great beauty, poise and remarkable talents; and

WHEREAS, Miss Shaffer is a 1977 graduate of William Penn High School where she was a member of the National Honor Society, the All County Chorus and Thespian Society and was captain of the Band Front and piano soloist with the Orchestra. She graduated Magna cum Laude from Lebanon Valley College in 1981 where she was on the Dean's List for four years and was a member of the Homecoming Court; and

WHEREAS, An accomplished musician, Miss Shaffer has unselfishly shared her talents with others as a piano soloist with the York Symphony; chief accompanist for many high school productions; soloist and accompanist at many school, church, college and community activities; and as a piano instructor.

Now therefore, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania extends hearty congratulations to Jill Ann Shaffer on being crowned Miss Pennsylvania 1981; wishes her the best in her future endeavors; and further directs that a copy of this citation be delivered to Jill Ann Shaffer, 114 North Newberry Street, York, Pennsylvania 17404.

This citation is signed by Richard Geist, Stanford Lehr, Don Dorr, and the Speaker.

Jill, it is my pleasure along with Bud Lehr, who is your present Representative, to jointly present this citation to you.

(Citation was presented.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time recognizes the gentlemen from Lebanon, Mr. Moehlmann and Mr. Jackson, who I believe also have a citation to present at this time. Will they please come forward?

Mr. MOEHLMANN. Mr. Speaker, we have not asked for a separate citation. However, I would like to make a brief remark, if I may.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MOEHLMANN. Mr. Speaker, it has apparently become a tradition in this House for the gentleman representing Altoona, where the Miss Pennsylvania pageant is held, to introduce the new Miss Pennsylvania. I have no quarrel with that. I also see that the entire York County delegation is up on the rostrum all smiles and beaming. I am disappointed, however, with the previous speakers. They have not mentioned that the new Miss Pennsylvania represents Lebanon Valley. She was formerly Miss Lebanon Valley, and we in Lebanon County are also very proud of her.

I would like to also state that she is the second consecutive Miss Lebanon Valley to be crowned Miss Pennsylvania. Lebanon County also congratulates her.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman, and we would be glad to have the members from Lebanon come up on the rostrum at this time.

The Chair thanks Miss Pennsylvania for coming to visit with us today, and I think this fall we will welcome her back as Miss America. Let us give her a hand.

MEMBERS' PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The following names will be added to the master roll call: The gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Telek; the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster; and the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Barber.

CALENDAR

BILLS AGREED TO ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

HB 1332, PN 1482; SB 7, PN 1114; SB 124, PN 656; and SB 455, PN 861.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 589, PN 893**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of January 24, 1972 (P. L. 3, No. 2), entitled "Capital Budget Act for Fiscal Year 1971-1972, Public

Improvement Project Itemization Supplement - Department of Property and Supplies," adding a project.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. WAMBACH offered the following amendments No. A2801:

Amend Title, page 1, lines 1 through 6, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Amending the act of December 18, 1980 (P.L.1252, No.228), entitled "A supplement to the act of October 6, 1980 (P.L.784, No.145), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1980-1981,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects, to be constructed by the Department of General Services and transportation assistance projects to be acquired or constructed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects to be constructed by the Department of General Services or Department of Transportation, stating the estimated useful life of the projects; providing for the adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Boating Fund and the Fish Fund and making an appropriation," further providing for projects within the Department of Education and the Department of General Services.

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 9 through 19; page 2, lines 1 through 12, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. Clause A, subdivision III (Q) and Project 6 of subdivision V(a) of clause B of section 1, act of December 18, 1980 (P.L.1252, No.228), entitled "A supplement to the act of October 6, 1980 (P.L.784, No.145), entitled 'An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1980-1981,' itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects, to be constructed by the Department of General Services and transportation assistance projects to be acquired or constructed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation together with their estimated financial cost; authorizing the incurring of debt without the approval of the electors for the purpose of financing the projects to be constructed by the Department of General Services or Department of Transportation, stating the estimated useful life of the projects; providing for the adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Boating Fund and the Fish Fund and making an appropriation," are amended to read:

Section 1. Itemization and Authorization of Public Improvement Projects.—Additional capital projects in the category of public improvement projects to be acquired or constructed by the Department of General Services, its successors or assigns, and to be financed by the incurring of debt, are hereby itemized, together with the respective estimated financial cost and the total additional amount authorized for the public improvement projects as follows:

A. Total Authorization \$[348,491,000]
\$378,845,000

B. Itemization of Public Improvement Projects:

Project	Base Project Allocation	Design & Contin- gencies	Total Project Allocation
---------	-------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

* * *

III. Department of Education \$116,033,000 \$23,763,000[\$145,660,000]
\$146,014,000

* * *

Q. University of Pittsburgh
* * *
(4) Titusville Student

Union/Gymnasium (DGS 1103-27)		\$354,000	
* * *			
V. Department of General Services	\$44,243,000	\$8,738,000	\$52,981,000
(a) Harrisburg Area			
* * *			
(6) State Office Building - Harristown Area[Provided, however, That no portion of the building shall be utilized for any commercial purposes].			
Land	4,500,000	450,000	4,950,000
Construction	31,700,000	6,680,000	38,380,000
* * *			

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to explain the amendment, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I would just like to relate to Representative Peterson that I am not touching at all the project currently in SB 589, which is the Titusville Campus. Titusville is the hometown of my mother, and I would not dare to touch it.

What my amendment does, Mr. Speaker, is it removes the restrictive language which was inserted into the 1980-81 capital budget last session. That bill contained an authorization for the construction of a new headquarters building for the Department of Environmental Resources at a site in downtown Harrisburg. The proposed site of the building at Third and Market Streets is in the core of the retail base of Harrisburg's downtown. Much of this core has been revitalized with the completion of the first phase of Strawberry Square and the linkage of the Pomeroy's Department Store with Strawberry Square's mall. The inclusion of retail space on the first two floors of the new building is important for the city for a number of reasons. First, because the building will be constructed by the state, it will be tax exempt. However, by providing retail space in the building, the local authorities will be able to receive tax revenues. To avoid any confusion, the state would not be making the payments; the retailers on the site would.

Secondly, the Third and Market Street corner is the only location where the important physical and economic tie-ins to Strawberry Square and Market Street can occur simultaneously. Therefore, a prohibition on retail space would have a very negative impact on the efforts to continue to attract businesses back to the downtown.

And finally, the completion of the renovation of Market Street is an important factor in the city's ability to attract developers for a new hotel and a new private office building in the downtown. The removal of this restrictive language will in no way impact the amount of funding contained in the line item in the capital budget. There is absolutely no increase.

I cannot stress to you strongly enough how important this issue is to the leaders of the Harrisburg business community. I would ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola.

Mr. PICCOLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I concur in the remarks of Mr. Wambach and urge the House to adopt the amendment. This amendment is very important to the revitalization of downtown Harrisburg, which up until now has been a very outstanding cooperative effort between private enterprise and government, and this is vital in that effort. I would ask the House to concur.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. JOHNSON. Would the maker of the amendment stand for brief interrogation, please?

Mr. WAMBACH. I shall, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman indicates he will.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, does this mean, if this amendment is adopted, that our Commonwealth will be in competition with private enterprise in renting space?

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, what the amendment will do, of course, is—and the factor that I want to stress more than any other factor here is the economic cohesiveness of the retail space in the downtown area. In other words, the key block at Strawberry Square is now completed. We are looking for the completion of the second half of Market Street, and we would prefer to have the retail space in the DER building. To say that it would be in competition, Mr. Speaker, I would not agree with that at all. I would say that it is simply an addition to existing space that is available, and I would not see it as competition at all.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, may I make a comment on the amendment, please?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. Speaker, I would take exception to this amendment. I think it is time our government gets out of competition instead of going into competition with private ownership of real estate and private business. I would urge a negative vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Scrafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Berson	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Bittle	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.

Brown	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder
Burd	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spencer
Burns	Greenfield	Micozzie	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Cawley	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cessar	Gruppo	Morris	Stewart
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Stuban
Civera	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swaim
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Sweet
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cohen	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Colafella	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cole	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Coslett	Irviss	Petrarca	Vroon
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
DeMedio	Kanuck	Piccola	Wargo
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wass
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wenger
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Weston
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	White
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wiggins
Dininni	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Donatucci	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dorr	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Lewis	Ritter	Zwilk
Evans	Livengood		

NAYS—6

Dietz	Letterman	Swift	Wilson
Johnson	Peterson		

NOT VOTING—8

Alden	Durham	Levin	Richardson
Beloff	Gray	Rappaport	Williams, H.

EXCUSED—5

Deal	Heiser	Ryan,
George	Olasz	Speaker

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Anderson	Evans	Lewis	Rocks
Armstrong	Fee	Livengood	Rybak
Arty	Fleck	Lloyd	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Saurman
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McClatchy	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McIntyre	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McMonagle	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	McVerry	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Mackowski	Sirianni
Borski	Gamble	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Maiale	Smith, E. H.

Boyes	Geist	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Manmiller	Snyder
Brown	Grabowski	Marmion	Spencer
Burd	Greenfield	Merry	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miller	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cimini	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Mowery	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Honaman	Nahill	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	Noye	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Van Horne
Cornell	Irviss	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Johnson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Kanuck	Petrone	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Phillips	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pievsky	White
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwilk
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	

NAYS—3

Fischer	Pitts	Stairs
---------	-------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Alden	Gray	Richardson	Williams, H.
Durham	Rappaport		

EXCUSED—5

Deal	Heiser	Ryan,
George	Olasz	Speaker

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair at this time recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, may I have everyone's attention, please, for the purpose of announcement?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Today is going to be a long and difficult day. We are trying to conclude our spring-summer session no later than tomorrow. To do that we are going to need everyone's cooperation.

Many of the bills which are currently on the calendar have not yet been caucused on. I am going to ask both caucus chairmen to take their respective caucuses to caucus at this

time and meet on all those bills which are currently on the calendar, all the bills, be they second consideration, third consideration, or concurrence in Senate amendments. I would like you to clear your caucuses so that we can come back at 1:30, 1:30, and begin voting on those bills which are on the calendar so that we can have a good voting session today and conclude our work tomorrow. Everything has worked well to this point. I do not see any reason why we cannot conclude our work by the close of business tomorrow, but again, it is going to take everyone's cooperation.

At this time, Mr. Speaker, I move that we recess the House of Representatives until 1:30 p.m.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it will be necessary for the Democrats to meet immediately in caucus, immediately, please. We would like to mark the entire calendar.

I agree with the majority leader. It is going to be a long and very trying day, but we could shorten it if we get to our caucus immediately. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority caucus chairman, Mr. Noye.

Mr. NOYE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Republicans will caucus promptly at 10 to 11. At 10 of, we will be in caucus and do the entire calendar so we can get people out for lunch. Thank you.

STATEMENT ON LEGISLATION TO BE INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola.

Mr. PICCOLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am going to introduce, in the next minute or two, the two bills on sentencing and parole reform. If there are any members who have not yet indicated their wish to cosponsor that legislation, I will leave them at the desk and they may do so before we return to the floor. Thank you.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This House now stands in recess until 1.30 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) IN THE CHAIR LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Speaker's name should be removed from the morning's leave of absence list. The Chair hears none.

WRIT OF ELECTION

The SPEAKER. The Chair places before the House a writ for the special election to fulfill the term caused by the death of Arthur F. Earley, which the clerk shall read.

The following writ of election was read by the clerk:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, SS:

To Honorable William R. Davis, Secretary of the Commonwealth, and to Faith Ryan Whittlesey, Frank Lynch and Lois Peterson, constituting the Board of Elections of Delaware County.

GREETING: WHEREAS, A vacancy exists in the office of Representative of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the 159th Legislative District of the County of Delaware caused by reason of the death of Arthur F. Earley, the Representative from said District, on June 8, 1981.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Matthew J. Ryan, Speaker of the House of Representatives, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and by the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, do hereby command you:

That you cause an election to be held in the said Legislative District of Delaware County on September 15, 1981, to choose a person to represent said Legislative District in the House of Representatives of Pennsylvania for the remainder of the term expiring December 1, 1982, and that you give due and public notice of said election throughout said District, in the form and manner directed by law.

Given under my hand and seal of the House of Representatives at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, this 24th day of June, A. D., one thousand nine hundred eighty-one.

ATTEST:

JOHN J. ZUBECK
Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives
MATTHEW J. RYAN
Speaker of the House of Representatives

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF DAUPHIN)

Robert M. Scheipe, being duly sworn according to law, says that he resides at 208A South Center Street, Pottsville, PA, 17901, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania, that he is a Sergeant-at-Arms of the House of Representatives, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, specially deputized.

That he served on the Honorable William R. Davis, Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on the 29th day of June, 1981, at 11:01 o'clock A.M., at his office in Harrisburg, a writ for a special election for the office of Representative in the General Assembly for the 159th Legislative District of Delaware County, by command of the Honorable Matthew J. Ryan, Speaker of the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

ROBERT M. SCHEIPE
Sergeant-at-Arms

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 30th day of June, 1981.

NANCY K. GROVE, Notary Public
My Commission Expires
Feb. 18, 1982
Harrisburg, PA, Dauphin County

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF DAUPHIN)

Robert M. Scheipe, being duly sworn according to law, says that he resides at 208A South Center St., Pottsville, PA 17901, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania, that he is a Sergeant-at-Arms of the House of Representatives, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, specially deputized.

That he served on the Board of Elections of Delaware County, on the 29th day of June, 1981 at 2:35 o'clock, P.M., at its office in Media, a writ for a special election for the office of Representative in the General Assembly for the 159th Legislative District of Delaware County, by command of the Honorable Matthew J. Ryan, Speaker of the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

ROBERT M. SCHEIPE
Sergeant-at-Arms

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 30th day of June, 1981.

NANCY K. GROVE, Notary Public
My Commission Expires
Feb. 18, 1982
Harrisburg, PA, Dauphin County

COMMUNICATION
LOBBYIST LIST PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of a lobbyist registration list dated June 1, 1981, which will be made part of record.

The following communication was read:

Senate of Pennsylvania
June 1, 1981

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

In compliance with Act No. 712 of the 1961 Session and Act No. 212 of the 1976 Session of the General Assembly titled the "Lobbying Registration and Regulation Act," we herewith jointly present a list containing the names and addresses of the persons who have registered from May 1, 1981 through May 29, 1981 inclusive for the 165th Session of the General Assembly. This list also contains the names and addresses of the organizations represented by these registrants.

Respectfully submitted:
W. Thomas Andrews
Secretary of the Senate
John J. Zubeck
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

(For list, see Appendix.)

COMMUNICATION
LOBBYIST LIST PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of an additional list containing the same subject matter, dated June 30, 1981.

The following communication was read:

Senate of Pennsylvania
June 30, 1981

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
To the Honorable, the House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

In compliance with Act No. 712 of the 1961 Session and Act No. 212 of the 1976 Session of the General Assembly titled the "Lobbying Registration and Regulation Act," we herewith jointly present a list containing the names and addresses of the persons who have registered from June 1, 1981 through June 30, 1981 inclusive for the 165th Session of the General Assembly. This list also contains the names and addresses of the organizations represented by these registrants.

Respectfully submitted:
W. Thomas Andrews
Secretary of the Senate
John J. Zubeck
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

(For list, see Appendix.)

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 638, PN 1074**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILL
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 793, PN 1857**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The SPEAKER. The bill will appear on the calendar.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDED SENATE
BILLS CONCURRED IN

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 133, PN 917; SB 134, PN 916; and SB 458, PN 1023.**

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 638, PN 1074

An Act amending the "Building Energy Conservation Act," approved December 15, 1980 (No. 222), further providing for exclusions from the definition of "building" and for steam and hot water heating systems.

SB 133, PN 917

An Act establishing certain fees to be charged by registers of wills in counties of the fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth class and providing for fees in counties of the second, second A, third and fourth class.

SB 134, PN 916

An Act establishing certain fees to be charged by clerks of the orphans' courts in counties of the fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth class and providing for fees in counties of the second, second A, third and fourth class.

SB 458, PN 1023

An Act amending the act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594, No. 203), entitled "Township State Highway Law," amending and deleting routes in Lancaster County and York County.

SB 854, PN 1040

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp. Sess., P. L. 87, No. 3), entitled "University of Pittsburgh - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

SB 855, PN 1028

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P. L. 843, No. 355), entitled "Temple University - Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect, providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated.

SB 889, PN 1038

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pittsburgh for the general maintenance and operation of the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic.

SB 893, PN 977

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1981.

SB 894, PN 978

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal period July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1981.

SB 895, PN 979

An Act making an appropriation to the Department of Labor and Industry from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to provide for the expenses of administering the Penn-

sylvania Workmen's Compensation Act and the Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act for the fiscal period July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982 and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal period ending June 30, 1981.

SB 896, PN 980

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs.

SB 897, PN 981

An Act making appropriations to the Department of General Services out of various funds for payment of rental charges to The General State Authority.

SB 898, PN 982

An Act making appropriations to the Treasury Department out of various funds to pay replacement checks issued in lieu of outstanding checks when presented and to adjust errors.

MEMBERS' PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Hardy Williams; the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Gray; the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Alden; and the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Durham, who ask that their names be placed on the master roll call.

VIETNAM VETERAN PRESENTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today David Christian of Bucks County, one of the most decorated veterans of the Vietnam conflict and, I am told, the most decorated from Pennsylvania. We are pleased to have Mr. Christian with us today. He is accompanied by John Crocker and Charles Capella of the Governor's Outreach Center. They are here today as the guests of Representative Cordisco and the members of the Bucks County delegation.

Will the gentlemen please stand and be acknowledged? Mr. Christian is here in the well of the House with the members from Bucks County.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Burns, escort Mr. Christian to the rostrum? Mr. Cordisco, would you bring Mr. Christian to the rostrum?

I have asked Mr. Christian to address us briefly prior to taking up today's calendar. Mr. Christian.

Mr. CHRISTIAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It gives me a great honor to be here in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, today. I hail from the great State of Pennsylvania myself. I was born in Florida, and my mother hails from Pennsylvania. She served with MacArthur in World War II, and I went off to be like my mother and my father and served in the Vietnam War. My two brothers also served and are disabled.

A lot has happened, and the great State of Pennsylvania has taken the initiative under the direction of John Cordisco. I see some of my friends out there, State Representative Burns.

Agent Orange legislation has just been introduced here in the State of Pennsylvania. I have a brother who is suffering terribly from Agent Orange poisoning, and I just think it is courageous that the state Representatives here are sending constructive notice to the Federal Government that we have to take care of our boys, not only in the State of Pennsylvania but in the United States. As we are rebuilding a military and a national defense, the best way to rebuild something is to show our children and our children's children that we take care of them, as Lincoln said, that have borne the battle, and I thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, for giving me the honor today.

I was proud to represent the State of Pennsylvania as I wore a uniform in the United States Army. I was the youngest captain to serve in Vietnam, at 20 years of age, and retired because of wounds received in combat as I was shot in the back, both legs, stabbed in the left arm, and had on 40 percent of my body second- and third-degree napalm burns. I am proud to be home, and thank you for what you are doing on behalf of the Vietnam veterans and all the veterans so we can keep America our America. Thank you.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip in connection with a leave of absence.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Olasz, from Allegheny County had asked for a leave of absence, and we wanted to specifically note in the record that his leave was necessitated by a death in the family.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

CALENDAR RESUMED

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 681, PN 1075**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Public Utility Commission.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. McClATCHY offered the following amendment No. A2810:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$18,521,000" and inserting
\$18,721,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McClATCHY. Mr. Speaker, this amendment would give the Public Utility Commission an additional \$200,000 in moneys to give them more leeway in the areas of operation, specifically giving them the leeway to fund the administrative law judges and also community affairs.

There was in the Appropriations hearing some testimony regarding possible layoffs, and this would assure that there would be no layoffs under the present complement of the PUC. It also assures that the 8-percent salary increase is going to be covered. It also insures the continuation of the Scranton-Altoona offices. It also insures the continuation of computer programming of the rate cases, continuation of contract to monitor consumer complaints of utilities, the maintenance of a WATS - Wide Area Telephone Service - line service to handle consumer utility terminations, free lines, and a continuation of conservation activities.

I think it is something that is needed by the Public Utility Commission. I certainly ask for support of this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Dombrowski.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Would the Appropriations Committee chairman stand for brief interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Mr. Speaker, in the Senate there was offered an amendment to include the Erie office. You did not touch that part of the bill, did you?

Mr. McClATCHY. No; that money is still in.

Mr. DOMBROWSKI. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alden	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Barber	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Irvic	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	White
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins

Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Zwinkl
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	
Emerson	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee	Lucyk		

NAYS—1

Brown

NOT VOTING—4

DeWeese Gray Harper Richardson

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PIEVSKY offered the following amendments No. A2742:

Amend Title, page 1, line 1, by striking out "an appropriation" and inserting appropriations

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 5 through 13, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Section 1. The following sums, or as much thereof as may be necessary, are hereby specifically appropriated from the restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Public Utility Commission to provide for the operation of the commission for the fiscal period July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982, for the purposes and in the amounts shown:

(1) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of the Public Utility Commission including the chairman and commissioners, Office of the Director of Operations, Bureau of Public Information and the Secretary's Bureau.....	\$5,569,000
(2) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of the Offices of Counsel and Administrative Law Judge.....	3,976,000
(3) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of rates, research and transportation including the Bureau of Conservation, Economics and Energy Planning, Bureau of Nonrail Transportation, Bureau of Rail Transportation and the Bureau of Rates..	4,740,000
(4) For the salaries, wages and all necessary expenses for the proper administration of investigations, services and enforcement including the Bureau of Audits, the Bureau of Consumer Services and the Bureau of Safety and Compliance including \$46,000 for the Erie Regional Office of the Bureau of Consumer Services.....	4,584,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment makes two changes to SB 681. First, this year is the first year we have ever had the opportunity to pass a budget for the Public Utility Commission on the floor of this House. Given this opportunity, I feel it is appropriate for this chamber to exercise some oversight into how the Public Utility Commission spends its money. To do so, I have split the Public Utility Commission budget into four major functional areas. These four areas are: Number one, general government operations; number two, counsel and administrative law judges; number three, rates, research, and transportation; and number four, investigation, consumer services, and compliance.

Mr. Speaker, the second change made by this amendment is to increase the funding of the Public Utility Commission by \$348,000. The major portion of this increase is directly made in the areas of counsel and administrative law judges, and rates, research, and transportation. It is in these areas, Mr. Speaker, that if underfunding occurs, the consumer is left vulnerable to unnecessary and unwarranted rate increases. The Bureau of Rates researches requests for rate increases submitted by the utilities. Together with the office of counsel, they argue the case as prosecutorial staff before an administrative law judge. The administrative law judge's findings are then presented to the commission for final action. Underfunding the Bureau of Rates or the office of counsel could lead directly to inadequate investigation of requested rate increases and approval of a larger rate increase than would otherwise be approved.

Mr. Speaker, the Bureaus of Rail and Non Rail Transportation provide direct services to the public through inspection of rail lines, grade crossings, and motorized transportation vehicles such as taxis and buses. Underfunding in this area would be a direct threat to the public safety.

Mr. Speaker, SB 681, as passed by the Senate, would cause the layoff of about 15 staff of the Public Utility Commission. During the past 2 years that complement of the Public Utility Commission has already been reduced by some 43 positions. The further reduction proposed by SB 681 represents a direct threat to the utility consumer in Pennsylvania.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment would cost the average utility consumer a total of 2 cents per year, and for that 2 cents, Mr. Speaker, the consumer would receive added protection against tens or even hundreds of dollars of unneeded utility rate increases. Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendment. The Pievsky amendment, if it goes in, will certainly strip out my amendment completely. What we have here is a difference in policy direction. We have argued it back and forth at different times. I feel that separating out in

different areas takes away from the PUC chairman and their members the ability to change from fund to fund or from area to area without coming back to us. I think it certainly gives them more discretion, and I have full faith and belief in the ability of the PUC as it is now constituted to do the proper job. Mr. Speaker, I therefore oppose the Pievsky amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Pievsky amendment. As one who has appeared on many, many days before the Public Utility Commission to represent consumers against rate increases, and as one who has sat as an administrative law judge for the commission, I believe that we are on the verge of being penny-wise and pound-foolish.

The Pievsky amendment will accomplish two things, in my opinion. One, it will provide adequate funding for the ratemaking process. Now, I know that the cost of the ratemaking process and the cost of the Public Utility Commission budget are passed along to utility customers, but the fact of the matter is that in every major rate case pending before the Pennsylvania commission, customers are being asked and required to pay the cost of the attorneys and expert witnesses for the utility companies, which run in every major case anywhere from \$500,000 to in excess of \$1 million a case.

Furthermore, Mr. Speaker, as most of the members of this chamber are aware, earlier this year the Senate cut the budget of the Office of Consumer Advocate, which is responsible for representing our constituents in opposition to utility rate increases. If we do not provide proper funding for the rate counsel staff and the rate experts of the Public Utility Commission, we may save a couple hundred thousand dollars, but we are going to cost the utility customers of this state millions of dollars.

The second thing which the Pievsky amendment does and which is beneficial is it removes the discretion of the Public Utility Commission to move money around as it sees fit. Every time there is a discussion of cutting the budget of the Public Utility Commission, the commission always says, well, we are going to have to lay off rate attorneys or rate experts. Now, I know there is an awful lot of waste and inefficiency at the commission and there are many things which the commission does in the area of transportation regulation which perhaps do not need to be done at all, and I know for a fact an office in Pittsburgh where, if you walk in and you see some of the people involved in the enforcement section, I do not think I have ever seen them do anything other than read magazines and look out the windows.

I think it is time for this legislature to start appropriating in specific ways to the Public Utility Commission. If we want to gain control of cost overruns over there, then the way to do that is to provide the money for the high priority matters like rate litigation, which has the impact of millions and millions of dollars on our constituents, and to take the money away from the chicken-feed kinds of things which the commission might otherwise choose to make as a priority. So, Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the Pievsky amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I agree completely with the comments of Representative Lloyd, so I will not reiterate the points he made, but I will add just a few others.

I think it is important to keep in mind that in the area of ratemaking, where the PUC sits in a quasi-judicial position, that even at this point in time, without the cuts which will go in if the Pievsky amendment is not adopted, they do not have the wherewithal to deal with all the utilities in this state. They simply cannot do it. To hamstring them even more would be disastrous. If we want to provide proper oversight, we have to start delineating where the money will go in the manner of appropriating the Pievsky amendment. So if you are concerned about oversight, and maybe even more importantly, if you are concerned about open government, you will vote for the Pievsky amendment. And to explain that, right now we see the PUC entering into more and more negotiated settlements with utility companies. What that basically means is that many utilities are coming in before the PUC asking for a large rate request, and because the PUC may not have the resources—and they certainly will not if this bill passes without the Pievsky amendment—they will not be able to go through rate cases; they will not be able to have rate hearings. They currently only have 33 auditors to deal with the myriad of utilities across this state. What they will have to do without the Pievsky amendment is enter into more and more negotiated settlements behind closed doors without public input, without an open hearing.

So, Mr. Speaker, I think whenever you are voting for this amendment, you are going to be voting for simply more than an allocation of funds to those direct sources, and I would appreciate your support for the Pievsky amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I rise in support of the Pievsky amendment. The information that I have received from the Appropriation hearings is that the people from the Public Utility Commission, concerned about the Public Utility budget, had indicated that the Governor's proposal, which is in essence what we find in SB 681, took substantial cuts in the area of ratemaking and in the area of transportation and safety. When Mr. McClatchy says that the Pievsky amendment will in essence destroy the McClatchy amendment, it will only destroy the McClatchy amendment in that it will add an additional \$200,000 to the money in the Public Utility budget and then it will take the entire amount being appropriated to the Public Utility Commission and place it in four categories. Now, those four categories are not all of the categories that one could conjure up to line-item the Public Utility budget. They are four major categories, and as the previous speakers supporting the amendment have indicated, this is going to be the only way that we can guarantee that the ratemaking process and the customer services process in the Public Utility Commission are going to be adequately funded. We have no protection putting all of the money in one line item that money will not be even further shifted from

the concerns of the public to other areas in the Public Utility budget that less concern the public.

I ask for an affirmative vote by all members of the Assembly, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, we are at a tenuous position with the Public Utility Commission appropriation. I think that the other body is somewhat hesitant—and that is mildly put—to give them the extra money that I have asked for. If indeed we separate out into different categories, it will be a further problem.

Again, I have full faith in Mike Johnson and Sue Shanaman to do the job that must be done. We are not, under my amendment, laying off anyone, and I have full confidence that we could provide all the services necessary that we all want and need in the PUC. The Pievsky amendment does rip out my amendment—there is no question about that at all—and insert his, and that is the difference. Again, it depends on whose ox is being gored as to whether we want to give the present chairman discretion or not. I would hope, Mr. Speaker, that we defeat the Pievsky amendment. Thank you.

MEMBER'S PRESENCE RECORDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, who asks that his name be placed on the master roll call.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 681 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, for the second time on the issue, the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, if I could, just a practical example of what is at stake with this amendment and with full funding of the rate procedure at the commission.

I had the opportunity to try cases against the Pennsylvania Electric Company and Metropolitan Edison. In both of those cases, several million dollars which should have gone to utility customers rather than to utility stockholders were lost, and they were lost because we did not have the opportunity to get that argument through to somebody on the ALJ's staff or the ALJs themselves or on the commissioner's staff, in a way that they could understand it, because they were overwhelmed with the amount of work they could do.

If we do not provide adequate funding for the staff of an administrative law judge in a major utility rate case, we are going to lose for utility customers in every case several million dollars. Just one specific example: In a Metropolitan Edison rate case, there was one adjustment worth a couple million dollars which we explained fully to the administrative law judge and which in his final order he forgot; he did not even answer our question or our argument one way or the other. We took that issue on appeal to the commission itself and laid out the arguments again. Once more because of inadequate staffing the issue was ignored. It was an issue which the commission had decided in favor of ratepayers in previous cases and one which absolutely, if the commission had been

aware of it, would have been decided in favor of ratepayers in that case.

We have got to provide adequate staffing over there if you do not want to be confronted with a situation in which your consumers are going to be paying millions of dollars which they should not have to pay. I would be one of the first people here to say let us go over there and clean house, and I am all for doing that, and there are going to be some opportunities, according to some amendments which have been circulated, that we can do that later in this session. But right now the important thing is to make sure that they have the money to do the job.

We spend in this state, taking the Consumer Advocate and the PUC together, less than \$20 million a year in rate regulation. At the present time the two general public utilities customers which are before the commission are asking for \$325 million in higher rates each year.

I think that the Pievsky amendment is a step in the right direction, and if we do not enact it, we are going to be paying a price for a long time to come. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, normally I would not disagree with the Appropriations Committee chairman, Mr. McClatchy, on issues of this particular type. But it has been my experience, Mr. Speaker, that Mr. McClatchy has failed to look at some of the past rate cases that have occurred just in this last year. I call attention specifically to the Bell Telephone and the Western Electric relationship. It took approximately 2 years for the PUC to totally audit and track down the paperwork that was involved in that particular case. It saved the ratepayers of this state just short of \$1 million on the excess costs that were involved. Mr. Speaker, at that time one of the investigators relayed to me the information that had they sufficient staff on that particular job, instead of \$750 it would have been in excess of \$2 million, but they did not have adequate staff to look into the other avenues of Western Electric's relationship with Bell Telephone.

Mr. Speaker, in view of that, I cannot see how we can be so naive as to believe that an expenditure of \$300,000 is not going to be beneficial to the department in saving the ratepayers of this state additional revenues.

Mr. Speaker, I support the Pievsky amendment, and I ask for its passage.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Barber	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Belfanti	Fee	McCall	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	McIntyre	Showers
Berson	Gallagher	McMonagle	Shupnik
Blaum	Gamble	Maiale	Steighner
Borski	Grabowski	Manderino	Stewart
Brown	Gray	Michlovic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Miscevich	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	Mullen	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Murphy	Tigue

Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Irvis	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cordisco	Kolter	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Kowalyshyn	Pievsky	Wargo
DeMedio	Kukovich	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pratt	Wiggins
Dawida	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Levin	Richardson	Wozniak
Duffy	Livengood	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Lloyd	Ritter	Zwinkl

NAYS—100

Alden	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Sieminski
Arty	Frazier	Madigan	Sirianni
Belardi	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Spencer
Burd	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Spitz
Burns	Grieco	Mowery	Stairs
Cessar	Gruppo	Nahill	Stevens
Cimini	Hagarty	Noye	Swift
Civera	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wass
Cunningham	Jackson	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Johnson	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kanuck	Pott	Wilson
Davies	Kennedy	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Klingaman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lashinger	Reber	
Dorr	Lehr	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Levi	Salvatore	Speaker
Fischer	Lewis		

NOT VOTING—1

Harper

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Alden	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Anderson	Fleck	McCall	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Majale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Geist	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gladeck	Merry	Snyder

Boyes	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Greenfield	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Morris	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Swaim
Cimini	Harper	Mullen	Sweet
Civera	Hayes	Murphy	Swift
Clark	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Horgos	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Irvis	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Itkin	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Jackson	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Johnson	Petrone	Wachob
Cowell	Kanuck	Phillips	Wambach
Cunningham	Kennedy	Piccola	Wargo
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wass
DeVerter	Kolter	Pistella	Wenger
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Weston
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pott	White
Davies	Lashinger	Pratt	Wiggins
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wilson
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wogan
Donatucci	Letterman	Rasco	Wozniak
Dorr	Levi	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Levin	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Lewis	Rieger	
Emerson	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—4

Blaum	Brown	Dawida	Hasay
-------	-------	--------	-------

NOT VOTING—6

Gannon	Madigan	Williams, H.	Wright, J. L.
Gray	Shupnik		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. O'Donnell. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. O'DONNELL. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker. I was inadvertently voted in the negative on the Pievsky amendment to SB 681. I would like to be recorded in the positive. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1645, PN 1924**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of (P. L. , No.), entitled "An act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982," itemizing public improvement and furniture and equipment projects to be constructed or acquired by the Department of General Services, ***, stating the estimated useful life of the projects and making appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. LEVI offered the following amendments No. A2899:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$57,287,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 6, line 27, by striking out "\$7,371,000 \$1,474,000 \$10,405,000" and inserting

\$8,775,000 \$1,630,000 \$8,845,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 8, by inserting between lines 2 and 3

(3) Therapeutic Center 1,404,000 156,000 1,560,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$55,703,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$55,703,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Levi.

Mr. LEVI. This is a request for funds of \$1,560,000 to put in a therapeutic center at Polk Center. There has been a citizens advisory board for over 3 years working on this. They have an empty building at Polk Center that is available to put this facility in. I would ask the members of the House to support this worthy cause. Thank you, sir.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Maijale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift

Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayas	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hoefel	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingier	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Emerson	Lloyd		

NAYS—1

Lewis

NOT VOTING—4

Gray

Jackson

Morris

Vroon

EXCUSED—4

Deal

George

Heiser

Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LUCYK offered the following amendments No. A2500:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$63,527,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 6, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Welfare \$13,671,000 \$2,974,000 \$16,645,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 8, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

(I) Locust Mountain

State Hospital

(I) Renovation

and Addition

to Hospital

Building and

Nurses Home 6,300,000 1,500,000 7,800,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$61,943,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$61,943,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk.

Mr. LUCYK. Mr. Speaker, back in 1968 the legislature appropriated approximately \$3 million for the renovation of Locust Mountain State Hospital. Subsequent to that the administration talked the board of governors into not using that money and building a new hospital. The money has sat there over a period of about 12 years. The administration has neglected the hospital over these 12 years with no money being spent in renovations.

What my amendment does is appropriate \$7.8 million, based on the \$3 million appropriated in 1968, based on inflation, so that the hospital could be renovated and, if necessary after renovations, turned back to the local community.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. I would like the members to listen to this announcement, particularly those members who are offering amendments to HB 1645.

The amendments that have been prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau in each instance carry a total figure for the budget in the amount of \$55 million, \$60 million, \$65 million. The problem that the Parliamentarian and I have—and we have conferred with the majority and minority leaders—as each amendment is offered, this total will change. We are suggesting to those of you who are offering amendments that in each case the question be divided so that we exclude from the offering the total amount, and with the understanding of the House, as your amendments are offered, I will automatically divide that question. I will announce the division, but I suggest, do not be alarmed at my dividing the question on your amendments. It is a step that must necessarily be taken to be logical and consistent in the handling of the entire bill.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. RITTER. When we are all finished with the amendment process, at that point will someone offer an amendment for what would then be the total amount of the authorization?

The SPEAKER. We have discussed that, Mr. Ritter, and the suggestion is that that can be done, or if we are interested in processing it, we can allow the bill as amended to speak for itself as to the total. We are 14 or 15 amendments away from having to make that decision, however.

Mr. RITTER. All right, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair, in conference with the majority and minority leaders, the Parliamentarian, and the Legislative Reference Bureau, has made a determination that in the case of the capital budget, the amendments will be offered and the Legislative Reference Bureau will make such adjustments, where there is an area of conflict, as are necessary for the bill as amended to be logical.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In conference with the minority leader, we have decided that there would be no disagreement on our parts if when the

Representative offers his or her amendment, that there not be any need for extended debate as to why the amendment is needed. We are prepared to agree to that amendment, all of those that are listed currently as amendments to HB 1645 with just one exception. The massive amendment which is to be offered with regard to mass transit would be not handled in the same way but rather discussed on the floor of this House of Representatives. But it would certainly curtail the necessity of long hours here this afternoon on HB 1645 and, too, HB 1687 if we could handle the amendments in that way - accept all the amendments without debate.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Speaking for the Democratic Party, we agree with the majority leader, but if there is anyone who has an objection to a particular amendment—each amendment will be read; you will have them distributed—if there is anybody who has a specific objection, then that person should rise and object to it. Otherwise, I will announce that the amendment is agreed to, without debate, so that we may speed up this move, understanding that we are not accepting without debate the SEPTA - Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority - and the PAT - Port Authority Transit - amendments. They are too enormous for us to accept without debate, but all the others we will accept without debate unless there be objection. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question before the House is, will the House agree to the amendments offered by the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alden	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne

Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wass
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	White
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wiggins
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wilson
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Evans	Lucy		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—5

Civera	Gray	Lewis	Wright, J. L.
Freind			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FRAZIER offered the following amendments No. A2913:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$55,877,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 5, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Commission \$425,000 \$91,000 \$516,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 6, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(D) Fort Pit Museum

(1) Additional

Storage for
Cannons and
Dressing Room,
Lockers and
Toilet/Showers
Facility for
100 Personnel
of Royal American
Regiment (Fort Pitt)
Museum Associates,
Inc.) 120,000 30,000 150,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$54,293,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out \$54,143,000" and inserting

\$54,293,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Evans	Lucy	Saurman
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Cattagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Gruitza	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Irvis	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson	Pitts	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pott	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rocks	
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—5

Blaum	Morris	Pistella	Wachob
Letterman			

NOT VOTING—5

Gray	Kanuck	Lewis	Mowery
Hoefel			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WOZNIAK offered the following amendments No. A2807:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Resources \$6,524,000 \$1,033,000 \$7,557,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 4, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(Q) Cheney Run - South Mont Borough, Cambria County Steam Improvement Project 1,300,000 260,000 1,560,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting \$55,703,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting \$55,703,000

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for the amendments, including Alden, Emerson, Lucyk, Salvatore, etc.

NAYS—3

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS': Letterman, Morris, Wachob

NOT VOTING—7

Table listing names of members who did not vote: Gray, Itkin, Lewis, Williams, J. D., Hutchinson, A., Kanuck, Richardson

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were excused: Deal, George, Heiser, Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WASS offered the following amendments No. A2833:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2 by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting \$56,318,844

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(2) Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Construction of Safety Science Building 591,844

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7 by striking out \$54,143,000" and inserting \$56,318,844

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17 by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting \$56,318,844

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' for the amendments, including Alden, Evans, Lucyk, Rybak, etc.

Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashingier	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Emerson	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—2

Blaum Wachob

NOT VOTING—5

Gray Letterman Lewis Williams, J. D.
Kanuck

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeMEDIO offered the following amendments No. A2564:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$61,378,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out "\$6,804,000" and inserting

\$12,461,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(2) Roof Reconstruction 5,657,000

To be Allocated as Follows:

(i)	Blooms- burg	\$561,252
(ii)	Cali- fornia	905,339
(iii)	Cheyney	128,400
(iv)	Clarion	118,061
(v)	East Strouds- burg	90,693
(vi)	Edin- boro	1,640,000
(vii)	Indiana	680,124
(viii)	Kutz- town	67,682
(ix)	Lock Haven	181,900
(x)	Mans- field	93,500
(xi)	Millers- ville	336,920
(xii)	Shippens- burg	120,000
(xiii)	Slippery Rock	108,330
(xiv)	West Chester	513,600

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$59,800,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$59,800,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. It is the understanding of the Chair that the gentleman from Washington, Mr. DeMedio, is submitting remarks for the record.

Mr. DeMEDIO. I just want to present for the record and for the benefit of the Governor's Office some remarks on this amendment and why it should not be line-vetoed. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. DeMEDIO submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

This amendment provides a capital authorization of \$5,657,000 for roof reconstruction at the state-owned colleges and university.

Last fall when we were addressing a capital budget, we were all asked to identify particular problem areas in our districts. A common complaint that we soon discovered was the deterioration of the roofs at the state colleges. We found that the roofs were so bad that many of them were already leaking and that some of them were so bad that parts of buildings had been rendered unusable.

When we passed that capital budget, Mr. Speaker, we included over \$5 million for the reconstruction of those roofs.

When the Governor signed that capital budget, however, he deleted the items for roof reconstruction. His reasoning, Mr. Speaker, was that the projects were maintenance or repair in nature and were intended to preserve or repair existing facilities rather than make a capital improvement or betterment to them!

Mr. Speaker, I maintain that when a roof deteriorates to the point that it is leaking and causing damage to the interior of the structure or has caused a part of the structure to be unusable, we are no longer dealing with a simple maintenance project.

There are individual roofs included in the \$5.6 million that have a cost of two to three hundred thousand dollars. We all know that our state colleges do not have the flexibility in their operating budgets to fund these kinds of projects.

Since these projects have a life expectancy of 20 years, it makes good fiscal sense to get the projects done and stop the deterioration that is taking place and the related increasing costs that accompany the deterioration.

Mr. Speaker, both the Department of Education and the Commission for State Colleges have assured us that there have been no repairs to the projects that were authorized but vetoed in the fall. In fact, they are in even worse condition today!

This amendment reflects that list of projects.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Alden	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder

Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Brandt	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Brown	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Irvis	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Cunningham	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikl
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	Livengood		

NAYS—2

Blaum Wachob

NOT VOTING—7

Gray Lewis Richardson Williams, J. D.
Kanuck Rappaport Saurman

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. IRVIS offered the following amendments No. A2732:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$71,371,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Education \$18,707,000 \$3,741,000 \$22,448,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(2) University of
Pittsburgh, Medi-
cal Research
Facility 13,037,000 2,607,000 15,644,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Murphy.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate Mr. Irvis on this amendment, please.

The SPEAKER. The minority leader indicates he is willing to be interrogated. The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, may proceed.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, could you please describe what this money will be used for?

Mr. IRVIS. Yes, Mr. Speaker. This money is designed to rebuild the University of Pittsburgh medical research facility, which is the research facility used for antipolio research. It has not been rebuilt in the last 50 years. The gentleman's question, I think, alludes to the fact that the medical research facility uses animals, as of course it does, in its research. This is an animal research medical facility.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, is the experimentation in this facility primarily done on animals?

Mr. IRVIS. Yes, Mr. Speaker, that is true.

Mr. MURPHY. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a few comments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, I very simply ask the members to oppose this legislation. I do not feel that we want in this Commonwealth in this day and age to continue to condone the experimentation on living creatures, such as dogs and monkeys and cats and those kinds of creatures, when there are alternative methods of experimentation available to people through various kinds of cultures and things like that, so I ask you to oppose this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Alden	Durham	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Emerson	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Evans	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Fee	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Fischer	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Frazier	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Freind	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Fryer	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gallagher	Manmiller	Snyder
Borski	Gallen	Marmion	Spencer
Bowser	Gamble	Merry	Spitz
Boyes	Gannon	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Geist	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Gladeck	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grabowski	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Grieco	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Gruppo	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hayes	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Horgos	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Irvis	Piccola	Wass

Cowell	Jackson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Lashingner	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	
Dorr	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—11

Brown	Itkin	Michlovic	Wachob
Fleck	Klingaman	Murphy	Williams, H.
Greenwood	Lloyd	Serafini	

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	Kanuck	Lewis	Richardson
------	--------	-------	------------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MANDERINO offered the following amendments No. A2698:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$56,662,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out "\$6,804,000" and inserting

\$7,739,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(2) Westmoreland

County Commu-
nity College:
Construction
of Training
Center for
Emergency
Services

797,000 138,000 935,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$55,078,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$55,078,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Alden	Evans	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.

Blaum	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vron
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Irvic	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Wachob

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Kanuck	Richardson
------	--------	------------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON offered the following amendments No. A2537:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$59,868,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Education \$9,121,000 \$1,824,000 \$10,945,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(2) University

of Pitts-
burgh,
Greensburg,
Common
Facilities
Building
and Park-
ing Lot

3,451,000 690,000 4,141,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out “\$54,143,000” and inserting

\$58,284,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out “\$54,143,000” and inserting

\$58,284,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—192

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Hoefel	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Colafrilla	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Ritter	
Duffy	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Emerson	Lloyd		

NAYS—1

Wachob

NOT VOTING—4

Gray Irvis Kanuck Richardson

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BELFANTI offered the following amendments No. A2620:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out “\$55,727,000” and inserting

\$57,061,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 6, line 27, by striking out “\$7,371,000 \$1,474,000 \$8,845,000” and inserting

\$8,483,000 \$1,696,000 \$10,179,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, by inserting between lines 3 and 4

(B) Ashland State

General

Hospital

(1) Intensive

and Cardiac

Care Unit 302,000 60,000 362,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, line 4, by striking out “(B)” and inserting

(C)

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, line 9, by striking out “(C)” and inserting

(D)

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, line 13, by striking out “(D)” and inserting

(E)

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, line 20, by striking out “(E)” and inserting

(F)

Amend Sec. 1, page 7, line 24, by striking out “(F)” and inserting

(G)

Amend Sec. 1, page 8, by inserting between lines 2 and 3

(H) Shamokin State

General Hospital

(1) Air Conditioning 150,000 30,000 180,000

(2) Expansion of X-ray Department 200,000 40,000 240,000

(3) Renovation of Two Wards into Private and Semiprivate Rooms 460,000 92,000 552,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 8, line 3, by striking out “(G)” and inserting

(I)

Amend Sec. 1, page 8, line 7, by striking out “(H)” and inserting

(J)

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out “\$54,143,000” and inserting

\$55,477,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out “\$54,143,000” and inserting

\$55,477,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northumberland, Mr. Belfanti.

Mr. BELFANTI. Mr. Speaker, Representative Hutchinson and I have cosponsored this amendment to restore into the capital budget some much-needed funds for two state hospitals in our respective districts.

I will let Representative Hutchinson speak on the moneys for Ashland State Hospital. However, Shamokin State

Hospital is requesting three items which would pay for themselves in a very short time.

Item No. 3 of the amendment, the largest item, is for half a million dollars, which would enable the hospital to increase its patient complement. The hospital now has two wards which are unused but are being counted as unused beds by the Secretary of Welfare. In 1981, with second- and third-party payers, no one is willing to lie in a room with 8 or 10 beds with no toilet facilities.

Item No. 2 is replacement of 30-year-old X-ray equipment, which had a 10-year life span when it was purchased.

And item No. 1 is for air conditioning in the only section of the hospital where patients are put once the air-conditioned sections are used.

Mr. Speaker, all of these are cost-effective, and I wish to remind the House that even by DPW - Department of Public Welfare - figures, both Shamokin and Ashland State have always turned in a profit to the Commonwealth. Last year Ashland State made over \$460,000, and Shamokin State made over \$260,000 profit. If this amendment is adopted, those figures will increase drastically. I ask for adoption of the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Beloff	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Irvic	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingner	Pratt	Wogan

Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rasco	Zwilk
Dorr	Levi	Reber	
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—3

Fryer	Spencer	Wachob
-------	---------	--------

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	Kanuck	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
------	--------	------------	-----------------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. KUKOVICH offered the following amendments No. A2618:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting

\$28,727,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 4, line 30, by striking out "\$31,313,000" and inserting

\$4,313,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 5, lines 11 through 16, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 1, page 5, line 17, by striking out "(D)" and inserting

(c)

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$27,143,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$27,143,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think it is interesting that this is probably the only amendment that wants to save money and cut money out of this document rather than add money. Basically what the amendment does is remove roughly \$27 million—\$27,143,000 to be exact—from the section of the capital budget bill that provides that money for constructing a new prison facility and approximately 500 cells in Graterford in Montgomery County.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I am not saying that that is necessarily a bad idea. What I am saying is that at this point in time the circumstances are such that it would be rash and fiscally irresponsible to vote to do that in this document. Basically, the \$27-million figure at an 8-percent payback over the 30-year period of the bond, conservatively now we are talking about \$60 million that we would have to pay back. I have checked those figures with two different staff sources, and those are conservative figures, so we are talking about a large expendi-

ture of tax money down the road which the long-term prognostications by the Bureau of Correction and by almost every agency involved with prison reform show will not be necessary in this Commonwealth.

Now, there is no doubt that currently we are facing a slight overcrowding problem. As a matter of fact, there are 73 cells that have been doubled up, but I would note that nobody has stayed in one of those cells for longer than 3 weeks. Those have basically been the diagnostic units where an inmate first goes when he enters a prison. I think what we have to remember also is that in the general appropriations budget which we just passed, we provided for a total of 306 new cells, which would take care of the current problem anyway.

Mr. Speaker, apart from the cell renovations, there are 80 new community service beds provided by the general appropriations budget. There are 40 trailer beds and forestry camps. That in and of itself should take care of the short-term program. I simply do not want us to spend all this money down the road, which it will take at least 3 years to complete, and then find ourselves stuck with a huge tax bill for a facility we do not need. For those reasons and others that I will not state, I think we need this amendment. I would appreciate your support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Obviously there must be consideration given to our penal system as to its current capacity and, if it is not sufficient, what to do about that. There is some concern in this House of Representatives as to whether or not the right site has been suggested. There are not answers definitively to inquiries which have been made, and at this time there is a view by many persons directly affected on this side of the aisle that the Kukovich amendment should be adopted. That is not to say that support for the gentleman's amendment today is to be interpreted as a signal that there is not to be a review of our penal system with regard to capacity. There are problems and they must be addressed, but on this day there are many members on this side of the aisle who have a concern as to the recommended site. Therefore, we urge support of the Kukovich amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Kukovich amendment. I think they picked the proper site. I do not want them in central Pennsylvania. Let them stay there.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Mrs. Lewis.

Mrs. LEWIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Kukovich amendment. Graterford is in my district, and I fully recognize the need for some additional beds and so forth. However, \$22 million is a lot to appropriate, and our delegation was not even consulted, nor were the residents of our community, and they are very much concerned about the security at Graterford as it presently is being handled now. So I would appreciate your support of the Kukovich amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. I told you why they did not want it done. All you have to do is read it; it is plain. That is where most of the crimes are being committed. Let them keep them there. I do not want them up in central Pennsylvania. Let them keep them down there.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. White.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Along with taking exception to my good colleague's comments from Centre County, I would like to submit these comments for the record.

Mr. WHITE submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

House of Representatives
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
June 23, 1981

MEMO

Subject: House Bill 1645, P.N. 1924 - Capital Budget funds for building a new state prison

To: Hon. John White

From: Sam McClea, Judiciary Committee Staff

There are generally two different types of solutions proposed whenever a prison system exceeds capacity.

1. Build more prisons and increase the number of cells.
2. Establish priorities as to which offenders you desire to incarcerate and provide alternative programs for the more minor offenders.

Because the state prison system has exceeded its capacity and has begun to double cell (146 inmates as of 6/19/81), this becomes a timely issue in Pennsylvania.

In deciding which of the two directions to go in solving the overcrowding problem, a series of factors needs to be considered:

1. How many more cells have we provided for in the 1981-82 General Budget?

Answer: 186 cell renovations, 80 community-service beds, 40 trailer beds for forestry camp. Total of 306, plus the use of trailers at Camp Hill for the drug treatment program will free up one complete cell block of 125 more cells. This combined with the 306 cells brings the total to 431 cells.

2. What are the factors that indicate whether or not more prison cells are needed and, if so, how many and for how long?

a) Prison populations projections: The Bureau of Correction's projections suggest they will need another 500 to 600 cells on top of what is provided in the 1981-82 budget.

b) The projections by the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency for the next two decades suggest that the overcrowding should peak between 1985 and 1990 and that new prisons should not be built, but rather temporary alternatives be developed.

c) General population figures for the crime prone age group (18-34) in Pennsylvania will be declining substantially over the next decade.

3. What other changes in the criminal justice system may be substantially impact on the need for more prison cells?

There are series of major changes being discussed at this time. They may have a major impact on planning new prisons. These are

- 1. Possible implementation of mandatory sentencing legislation may increase the need for more prisons, however, the specific number depends on the specific provisions.
- 2. The possible implementation of sentencing guidelines which will be returned to the legislature this fall or early winter.
- 3. Proposed reform of the parole decision-making system and the elimination of the "1/2 minimum sentencing rule". (Proposed by the Governor)
- 4. The impact of the recent U.S. Supreme Court decision on permitting the double-celling of inmates.
- 5. The possible enactment of U.S. Senator Dole's bill on providing millions of dollars to states and local governments for prison construction needs. (Not expected for at least another year)

4. If more prison cells are built and filled, can our neighborhoods be expected to have a lower crime rate?

There is no demonstrable evidence of a correlation between crime rates and imprisonment rates. The two more comprehensive national studies on this subject, both in 1977 by the Institute of Corrections of the American Foundation, and the 1980 study by the National Institute of Justice and Abt Associates of Boston (research requested by Congress), arrive at the same conclusion: Prisons are overcrowded, but that building more prisons is not a long term solution to the problem.

Specifically in Pennsylvania, this lack of any relationship is evident.

Examples:

In 1979, the ten counties which imprisoned the most people for major crimes (Part I) per capita in state and county jails, none were in the top 10 counties with the lowest crime rates; on the contrary, a couple of these counties with the highest imprisonment rate were also victims of the highest crime rate.

5. How much does a new prison cell cost?

Estimates vary a good deal between \$35,000 and \$65,000 per cell depending upon the extent of security and program activities, i.e. schools, work, recreation, etc. The current proposal of \$27,000 is based on a \$54,000 per cell cost. The operation cost, once constructed, would be approximately \$12,000 per year. The cost for paying back a 27 million dollar bond issue at 8% over 30 years is conservatively estimated at 60 million dollars.

Conclusion

In light of the fact that all of the agencies and officials involved in recommending a new prison admit that the overcrowding problem is immediate, but only short term, and since a new prison is neither immediate nor short term, it does not seem to be the appropriate solution to the problem we are now facing.

An alternative solution would be to provide money to the state prison system's operational budget to develop more community treatment centers for select minimum risk inmates who have less than 6 months to go before parole (over 300 of them now go out

of prison on weekend furloughs each month with less than a 1 percent recidivism rate) and for the use of temporary trailers at some of the prisons. This alternative could accomodate up to 500 beds.

By selecting this approach, the legislature will be in a better position to determine the need for more prisons in light of pending reforms and federal funding assistance. This coupled with the recent U.S. Supreme Court decision on the use of double-celling on a planned temporary basis combined with adequate staffing and inmate programs that the 1981-82 budget attempts to implement will prevent us from being subjected to court control of our state prison system.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—169

Alden	Durham	Livengood	Seventy
Anderson	Emerson	Lloyd	Showers
Armstrong	Evans	Lucyk	Shupnik
Arty	Fee	McCall	Sieminski
Barber	Fischer	McIntyre	Smith, B.
Belardi	Fleck	McMonagle	Smith, E. H.
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Smith, L. E.
Beloff	Freind	Maiale	Snyder
Berson	Fryer	Manderino	Spitz
Bittle	Gallagher	Manmiller	Stairs
Blaum	Gallen	Michlovic	Steighner
Borski	Gamble	Miller	Stewart
Boyes	Gannon	Miscevich	Stuban
Brandt	Geist	Moehlmann	Swaim
Brown	Gladeck	Morris	Sweet
Burd	Grabowski	Mullen	Swift
Burns	Greenfield	Murphy	Taddonio
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Grieco	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Gruitza	O'Donnell	Telek
Cessar	Gruppo	Oliver	Tigue
Civiera	Hagarty	Pendleton	Trello
Clark	Haluska	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clymer	Harper	Petrone	Vroon
Cochran	Hasay	Phillips	Wachob
Cohen	Hayes	Pievsky	Wambach
Colafella	Honaman	Pistella	Wargo
Cole	Horgos	Pitts	Wass
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Pott	Wenger
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Pratt	Weston
Coslett	Irvs	Pucciarelli	White
Cowell	Jackson	Punt	Wiggins
DeMedio	Johnson	Rappaport	Williams, H.
DeVerter	Klingaman	Rasco	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Kolter	Reber	Wogan
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Richardson	Wozniak
Dawida	Kukovich	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lashingier	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Laughlin	Rocks	Zwilk
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rybak	
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Salvatore	Ryan,
Dorr	Levin	Saurman	Speaker
Duffy	Lewis	Serafini	

NAYS—23

Cimini	Kennedy	Marmion	Peterson
Cunningham	Letterman	Merry	Piccola
Foster, W. W.	Levi	Micozzie	Sirianni
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Mowery	Spencer
Hoeffel	McVerry	Mrkonic	Stevens
Itkin	Madigan	Perzel	

NOT VOTING—5

Bowser	Gray	Kanuck	Wilson
Davies			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. ROCKS offered the following amendments No. A2604:

Amend Sec. 3, page 9, line 16, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$23,508,751

Amend Sec. 3, page 9, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

(2) South Hills Light Rail Vehicles			
Stage I-B	3,640,000	360,000	4,000,000
(3) South Hills Light Rail Transit System State I-A	3,000,000	300,000	3,300,000
(4) Monongahela Incline Rehabilitation	127,780	12,637	140,417
(5) Avalon Bridge Repair	38,220	3,780	42,000
(6) Ben Avon Bridge Repair	38,220	3,780	42,000
(7) Equipment and Fixed Facilities Phase VII	328,000	33,167	361,167
(8) Support Vehicles Phase VII	515,212	50,955	566,167

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(2) Brill Arsenal Track Modifications Airport Hi-Speed Line	333,000	33,000	366,000
(3) Frankford Elevated Engineering Design	230,000	23,000	253,000
(4) CCTU - Escalation	333,000	33,000	366,000
(5) 30th Street Station Rehabilitation	1,300,000	130,000	1,430,000

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

(2) Marked Frankford Elevated Cars - General Overhaul	1,459,000	146,000	1,605,000
(3) Fire Prevention/ Passenger Safety Market/Frankford and Broad Street Subway	250,000	25,000	275,000
(4) Utility Fleet Renewal	400,000	40,000	440,000
(5) North East Bus/ Garage Land Acquisition/Site Development	583,000	58,000	641,000
(6) FRA Mandated			

Car Modernization Commuter Rail Equipment	50,000	5,000	55,000
(7) Subway Surface Station Modernization - West Philadelphia Light Rail Lines	1,000,000	100,000	1,100,000
(8) P.C.C. Car Rehabilitation Escalation	783,000	78,000	861,000
(9) Buildings and Electrical Modernization	167,000	17,000	184,000
(10) Commuter Rail Car Rehabilitation	633,000	63,000	696,000
Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 15, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting \$23,508,751			
Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 26, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting \$23,508,751			

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.
Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I wish to apologize to the members and to correct the record.

When I was explaining my reason for accepting the SEPTA and the Port Authority amendments, I said that the sum was an exorbitant sum or an extraordinarily high sum—I have forgotten my exact words. At the moment when I spoke, I was under the impression that SEPTA and PAT were asking for \$100 million, which I considered to be a ridiculously high sum of money and therefore debatable. I have now been informed accurately by our staff people—and I believe the majority leader has had the same information—that the SEPTA part of the package would amount to \$6.5 million approximately and the Port Authority's part would be \$9.0 million approximately, for about \$15 1/2 million. I do not consider that to be the exorbitant sum of money which \$100 million would be, and, therefore, I would support this amendment and urge that the other members do likewise. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—176

Alden	Durham	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Emerson	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Evans	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fee	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Fleck	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Steighner
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Greenfield	Mowery	Stewart
Burns	Greenwood	Mrkoncic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mullen	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Murphy	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Swift

Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Haluska	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Pendleton	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Perzel	Tigue
Cochran	Honaman	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen	Horgos	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cordisco	Irvis	Pievsky	Wass
Cornell	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
Coslett	Jackson	Pitts	Weston
Cowell	Johnson	Pott	White
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pratt	Wiggins
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
DeVerter	Kolter	Punt	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Rappaport	Wogan
Daikeler	Kukovich	Rasco	Wozniak
Davies	Lashinger	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Laughlin	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lehr	Rieger	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Ritter	
Donatucci	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Dorr	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Duffy	Lloyd		

NAYS—16

Dininni	Klingaman	Marmion	Sieminski
Fischer	Letterman	Moehlmann	Stairs
Foster, W. W.	Levi	Morris	Wachob
Fryer	Manmiller	Peterson	Wambach

NOT VOTING—5

Blaum	Hoeffel	Lewis	Wilson
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. McCLATCHY offered the following amendments No. A2931:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 2, by striking out "\$55,727,000" and inserting \$67,667,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Education \$16,270,000 \$2,474,000 \$18,744,000

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 9, by striking out "(1)" and inserting

(A)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 11, by inserting before "University"

(1)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

(B) Temple University,

(1) Instructional Facilities, Phase I - Ambler Campus Land and Facilities Acquisition 3,900,000 3,900,000

(2) Armory Renovation 3,500,000 700,000 4,200,000

(3) Baptist Temple Renovation 3,200,000 640,000 3,840,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting \$66,083,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17, by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting \$66,083,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Siirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	White
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wilson
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—3

Letterman	Morris	Wachob
-----------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—7

Bowser	Gray	Kanuck	Zwinkl
Colafella	Hoeffel	Pratt	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CIVERA offered the following amendments No. A2932:

Amend Sec. 3, page 9, line 16, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,282,000

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

(2) Sixty-ninth

Street Terminal

Engineering 61,000 6,000 67,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 15, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,282,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 26, by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,282,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Alden	Emerson	McCall	Saurman
Anderson	Evans	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Fee	McIntyre	Seventy
Arty	Fischer	McMonagle	Showers
Barber	Fleck	McVerry	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Sieminski
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Sirianni
Beloff	Frazier	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Freind	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gallen	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Gamble	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Gannon	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Steighner
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Cimini	Haluska	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Oliver	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Pendleton	Tigue
Cochran	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Horgos	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Wargo
Cordisco	Iris	Phillips	Wass
Cornell	Itkin	Piccola	Wenger
Coslett	Jackson	Pievsy	Weston
Cowell	Johnson	Pistella	White
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
DeVertter	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Wogan
Davies	Lashingier	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dawida	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Levi	Rieger	Zwilk
Dombrowski	Levin	Rocks	
Donatucci	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Dorr	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Durham	Lucyk		

NAYS—4

Fryer Letterman Morris Wachob

NOT VOTING—9

Duffy Kanuck Lewis Ritter
Gray Laughlin Reber Wambach
Hutchinson, A.

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. KANUCK offered the following amendments No. A2951:

Amend Sec. 3, page 9, line 16 by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,179,000

Amend Sec. 3, page 10, line 30; page 11, lines 1 through 5, by striking out all of said lines on said pages

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, line 6, by striking out "VII." and inserting

VI.

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, line 11, by striking out "VIII." and inserting

VII.

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, line 20, by striking out "IX." and inserting

VIII.

Amend Sec. 3, page 11, line 26, by striking out "X." and inserting

IX.

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 7 by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$54,107,000

Amend Sec. 6, page 13, line 15 by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,179,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 17 by striking out "\$54,143,000" and inserting

\$54,107,000

Amend Sec. 9, page 14, line 26 by striking out "\$8,215,000" and inserting

\$8,179,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Alden	Evans	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rocks
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gladeck	Michlovic	Snyder
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spencer
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Spitz
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stairs

Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Steighner
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Stevens
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Stewart
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cimini	Haluska	Mullen	Swaim
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Sweet
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Swift
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Lewis		

NAYS—6

Dininni	Manmiller	Wachob	Wambach
Fryer	Piccola		

NOT VOTING—9

Bittle	Lashinger	Rybak	
Emerson	Letterman	Taddonio	
Gray	McVerry		
		Ryan,	
		Speaker	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Kanuck.

Mr. KANUCK. Mr. Speaker, I submit the following remarks for the Legislative Journal.

Mr. KANUCK submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

House of Representatives
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg
June 30, 1981

RE: House Bill 1645
Printer's Number 1924
Amendment A2951

Statement For the Record

The above statement is authored with the approval and support of all the members of the Lehigh County/Northampton County Legislative Delegation.

The purpose of this amendment is to remove \$36,000 from the 1981-1982 capital budget for transportation assistance projects, which was intended to be granted to the Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority.

By way of background, members of the said Lehigh Valley delegation sponsored and supported successfully the passage of House Bill 1043. That bill provided 3.1 million dollars for the continued operation of rail passenger service between Philadelphia and the Lehigh Valley, as well as certain other areas. One of the requirements of House Bill 1043 was that a local transportation authority was available to contract with a carrier for the said rail services. LANTA is the only remaining transportation authority that qualifies under federal regulations to provide this service.

Although numerous requests were made to LANTA to operate this service, it has refused to meet with the area legislators or with officials from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation to address any potential concerns. Each of the members of the legislative delegation is appalled by this lack of concern and interest in a matter which vitally affects all of the residents of the Lehigh Valley.

In response to this unwillingness to communicate on this issue, we, the undersigned legislators, have sponsored the above amendment removing \$36,000 from the appropriation which would have gone to LANTA. We believe that good government requires a working relationship between agencies, such as LANTA, and members of the state legislature. LANTA has repeatedly refused to cooperate in this important matter, and we, the undersigned, are therefore supporting the above referenced amendment in an effort to inform the public of the said lack of cooperation and to call for a renewed effort to work together for the common good in the future.

- George J. Kanuck
133rd Legislative District
- William Rybak
135th Legislative District
- James Ritter
131st Legislative District
- Kurt Zwinkl
132nd Legislative District
- Donald Snyder
134th Legislative District
- Edmund Sieminski
136th Legislative District
- Leonard Gruppo
137th Legislative District
- Russell Kowalshyn
138th Legislative District

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—183

Alden	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Barber	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Geist	Marmion	Snyder

Borski	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Greenfield	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Gruitza	Miller	Steighner
Brown	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Harper	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hasay	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hayes	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Horgos	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Tigue
Cochran	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Irvis	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cornell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Cowell	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Cunningham	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Ritter	Zwikel
Dorr	Lewis	Rocks	
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	Lucyk	Salvatore	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—8

DeMedio	Fryer	Lloyd	Pendleton
Fischer	Grabowski	Morris	Wachob

NOT VOTING—6

Burd	Gray	Rasco	Taddonio
Emerson	Grieco		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1687, PN 1976**, entitled:

An Act providing for adoption of capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Motor License Fund.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The **SPEAKER**. It is the understanding of the Chair, having consulted with the majority and minority leaders, that the amendments to **HB 1687** will be handled in the same fashion as the prior bill.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **STEVENS** offered the following amendments No. **A2890**:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 17, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,596,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 48, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

(6) Construction of
Pedestrian Over-
pass on State
Highway 924
where it meets
Broad St.,
West Hazleton,
Pa.

900,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Swaim
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwikel
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Ryan,
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Speaker
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Haluska	Morris
---------	-------	---------	--------

NOT VOTING—8

Clymer	Lewis	Sweet	White
Gray	Madigan	Wachob	Wright, J. L.

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Stevens.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, may I submit some remarks for the record?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be made part of the record.

Mr. STEVENS submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

The purpose of my amendment is to correct a dangerous situation.

Route 924 and the intersection of S. Broad St. has been the scene of numerous accidents - tragic accidents - several children have died there.

The residents of Cranberry have no way to walk to West Hazleton without crossing this dangerous intersection.

For many years Cranberry residents have sought help from government. While government officials recognize there is a problem, no solution has yet been given.

I ask the support of this House to help the good people in my district.

The proposed pedestrian walkway will provide a means of safety and security for the residents of Cranberry. It is a commitment to saving lives!

Join me in this commitment to saving lives. Support my efforts to construct a pedestrian walkway on Route 924. Thank you.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PETERSON offered the following amendments No. A2808:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,356,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 70, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

(2) L.R.1094

(BOO), T.R.6

Warren Bypass from Main St.

to Rt. 6

vicinity of

Warren: 2-

lane reloca-

tion on 4-

lane R/W:

Length

2.2 Miles. 535,000 79,000 46,000 660,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Alden	Emerson	Livengood	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Majale	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Manderino	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Manmiller	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Marmion	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Merry	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Struban
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cordisco	Iris	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunnaingham	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lewis	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—6

Burd	Michlovic	Rasco	Sweet
Gray	Pistella		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pistella. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, my vote was not recorded on the Peterson amendment A2808. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1687 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON offered the following amendments No. A2828:

Amend Bill, page 78, by inserting between lines 8 and 9 Section 3. Construction of toll roads and bridges.

The Department of Transportation shall have the power to construct and maintain toll roads and bridges in such locations throughout the Commonwealth as it deems are in the public interest. Such toll roads and bridges may be constructed with current revenues of the Commonwealth. All tolls collected on a given toll road or bridge shall be pledged to the repayment of any revenues used to construct said road or bridge. Whenever the costs incurred to construct a given toll road or bridge have been retired, the department may at its option cancel the imposition of tolls or continue to collect them at the same or lower rate to offset the cost of maintaining such toll road or bridge. Any construction of toll roads or bridges shall be approved by the General Assembly.

Amend Sec. 3, page 78, line 9, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 4, page 78, line 21, by striking out "4" and inserting

5

Amend Sec. 4, page 79, line 2, by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 5, page 79, line 8, by striking out "5" and inserting

6

Amend Sec. 6, page 79, line 12, by striking out "6" and inserting

7

Amend Sec. 7, page 79, line 19, by striking out "7" and inserting

8

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Emerson	Livengood	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lloyd	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	Lucyk	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Boyce	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim

Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wargo
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	White
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dawida	Lashingier	Punt	Wozniak
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Spitz	Wachob
---------	-------	-------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Gray	McIntyre	Rieger	Sweet
Lewis	McMonagle		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MILLER offered the following amendments No. A2870:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$183,869,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 44, lines 1 through 8, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(9)	L.R.36184, Harrisburg Pike, Railroad Structure over Harrisburg Pike, Bridge Replacement, Length 0.1 Mile.	675,000	25,000	50,000	750,000
(10)	L.R.1124, T.R.23: Traffic Route 23 relocated from New Holland to T.R.322: 2 Lane Relocation on 4 Lane R/W: Length 2.8 Miles.		328,000	168,000	496,000
(11)	L.R.215, T.R.30: Lincoln Highway from Lancaster to T.R.41: Widening and Jug Handles: Length 15.2 Miles.	6,000,000	2,400,000	600,000	9,000,000

- (12) L.R.442 Spur E,
36182, 36183;
T.R.30 By-pass,
Fruitville Pike
to Route 222:
Safety Provisions,
Modification and
Widening: Length
1.0 Mile. 850,000 25,000 75,000 950,000
- (13) L.R.792, T.R.30:
Traffic Route 30 and
Centerville Rd.:
Add Interchange
Ramp: Length
0.1 Mile. 250,000 25,000 40,000 315,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—183

Alden	Emerson	Lucyk	Saurman
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Borski	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Suban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Clymer	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cochran	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cowell	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeMedio	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Lashingner	Rappaport	Wilson
Davies	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dawida	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Levi	Ritter	Zwikel
Donatucci	Levin	Rocks	
Dorr	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Duffy	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—9

Cappabianca	Itkin	Marmion	Pratt
Frazier	Lewis	Merry	Sweet
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TAYLOR offered the following amendments No. A2888:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$187,074,000

Amend Sec. 2 (Fayette County), page 35, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

(4) L.R.1071, T.R.40:

Section C01, Browns-
ville to Haddons-
ville: 4 Lane

Relocation 4,590,000 330,000 458,000 5,378,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Suban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	White

DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—2

Fryer	Wachob
-------	--------

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	Lewis	Merry	Sweet
------	-------	-------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SNYDER offered the following amendment No. A2864:

Amend Sec. 2, page 46, by inserting between lines 28 and 29
 (5) L.R.153, T.R.309;
 From intersection
 of T.R.22, South
 Whitehall Twp.
 to Oakhurst Drive,
 Upper Saucon Twp.
 Safety Improvements:
 Length 7.5 Miles. 1,900,000 100,000 2,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek

Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Irvis	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
---------	-------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Gray	Lewis	Vroon	Williams, H.
Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STUBAN offered the following amendments No. A2809:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting
 \$185,041,000
 Amend Sec. 2, page 27, by inserting between lines 13 and 14
 (5) L.R.183 (012)
 Catawissa
 River Bridge
 Structure
 Replacement 2,859,000 200,000 286,000 3,345,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Evans	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart

Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Harper	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Horgos	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Irviss	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Zwikl
Dorr	Livengood	Ritter	
Duffy	Lloyd	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lucyk	Rybak	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Haluska	Wachob
---------	-------	---------	--------

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Lewis
------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. BOWSER offered the following amendments No. A2660:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$183,346,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 34, by inserting between lines 14 and 15

(6) L.R.304,

Station 754 +
57: Bridge
over Baily
Brook, Green-
field Twp.:
Bridge Replace-
ment

200,000

(7) L.R.304,
Station 992 +
95: Bridge
over French
Creek, West
Branch, Green-
field Twp.:
Bridge Replace-
ment

600,000

(8) L.R.84,
Station 354:

Bridge over
LeBeouf Creek,
Waterford Twp.:
Bridge Replace-
ment

600,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 70, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

(2) L.R.61014,

Station 353 +:

Bridge over
Hare Creek,
Columbus Twp.:
Bridge Replace-
ment

250,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Irviss	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Ritter	
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Gray Lewis

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LIVENGOOD offered the following amendments No. A2866:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$183,446,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 6, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

(4) L.R.1037(A15)

Allegheny Valley Expy.

1.51 Miles 1,448,000 182,000 120,000 1,750,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Alden	Emerson	McClatchy	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	McIntyre	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McMonagle	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McVerry	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	Mackowski	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Madigan	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Maiale	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Manderino	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Merry	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Miller	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Morris	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Honaman	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Horgos	Pendleton	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Tigue
Cohen	Irvis	Peterson	Trello
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Petrone	Vroon
Cordisco	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Cowell	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Cunningham	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeMedio	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeVertter	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dawida	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dietz	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Livengood	Ritter	

Dorr
Duffy
Durham

Lloyd
Lucyk
McCall

Rocks
Rybak

Ryan,
Speaker

NAYS—4

Fischer Fryer Haluska Wachob

NOT VOTING—5

Gallagher
Gray

Hutchinson, W. Lewis

Wargo

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Miss SIRIANNI offered the following amendments No. A2678:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,056,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 63, by inserting between lines 20 and 21

(2) L.R.9, T.R.706:

New Milford Twp.

Bridge over

Railroad:

Bridge Replace-

ment, Length

0.1 Mile. 300,000 20,000 40,000 360,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Evans	McClatchy	Saurman
Anderson	Fee	McIntyre	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gladeck	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Grabowski	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Greenfield	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Gruitza	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Hagarty	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Harper	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Hasay	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Hayes	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hoeffel	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Horgos	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Tigue
Cohen	Irvis	Peterson	Trello
Colafella	Itkin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	Jackson	Petrone	Vroon
Cordisco	Johnson	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wargo
Coslett	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Kolter	Pistella	Wenger
Cunningham	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Weston

DeMedio	Kukovich	Pott	White
DeVerter	Lashing	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lehr	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wilson
Dawida	Letterman	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Levi	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Levin	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lewis	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Livengood	Ritter	Zwilk
Dorr	Lloyd	Rocks	
Duffy	Lucyk	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	McCall	Salvatore	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
---------	-------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Arty	Freind	Gray	Kanuck
Frazier	Gannon		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GRUITZA offered the following amendment No. A2865:

Amend Sec. 2, page 50, by inserting between lines 4 and 5
 (2) L.R.238, T.R.418:
 Spur D, City of
 Farrell, Broadway
 Ave. Length: 1.75
 Miles. 460,000 40,000 500,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello

Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashing	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwilk
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
---------	-------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Kanuck	Tigue	
------	--------	-------	--

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Frazier. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. FRAZIER. Mr. Speaker, on the Sirianni amendment, unfortunately, I did not push my switch. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1687 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GRUITZA offered the following amendment No. A2875:

Amend Sec. 2, page 50, by inserting between lines 4 and 5
 (2) L.R.74: Business
 Route 62, East
 State St., City
 of Sharon: Street
 reconstruction:
 Length 0.38 Mile. 489,000 49,000 538,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini

Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingner	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Morris	Sieminski	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Letterman
------	-----------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GRUITZA offered the following amendment No. A2874:

Amend Sec. 2, page 50, by inserting between lines 4 and 5 (2) L.R.43081:
Sec. B Walnut St. Extension High St. to Buckeye Dr. Borough of Sharpville: 2 lane relocation and new bridge struc-

ture: Length:
0.4 Mile. 2,359,000 186,000 235,000 2,780,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Morris	Sieminski	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Kanuck
------	--------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CESSAR offered the following amendments No. A2689:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,146,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

(4) L.R.02153(27)

Grant Ave.
Bridge, Boro.
of Etna - over
Pine Creek,
Replacement,

400,000 30,000 20,000 450,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

(A roll-call vote was taken.)

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rasco. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. RASCO. Mr. Speaker, on final passage of HB 1645, I was not in my seat. I would like to be recorded as a "yes" vote on that bill.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. RASCO. Thank you, sir.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1687 CONTINUED

VOTE RETAKEN

The SPEAKER. We got ahead of the machine. It will be necessary to revote Mr. Cessar's amendment A2689.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Saurman
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Perzel	Trello

Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	Zwilk
Dorr	Lewis	Rocks	
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—5

Fischer	Morris	Rappaport	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—5

Beloff	Kanuck	Levin	Manderino
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Messrs. MANDERINO and DeMEDIO offered the following amendments No. A2695:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

\$187,259,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 76, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

(9) L.R.1099(1):

South Approach to
the Donora-Monessen
Bridge: 3 Lane
Roadway on 5 Lane
R/W: Length
1.7 Miles.

Map No. 140. 5,359,000 39,000 165,000 5,563,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Alden	Evans	McClatchy	Saurman
Anderson	Fee	McIntyre	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz

Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Haluska	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Pendleton	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Perzel	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	Irvic	Petrone	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pott	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashingner	Rappaport	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	Zwinkl
Dorr	Livengood	Rocks	
Duffy	Lloyd	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	Lucyk	Salvatore	Speaker
Emerson	McCall		

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
---------	-------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—5

Beloff	Gray	Levin	Lewis
Frazier			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HAYES offered the following amendments No. A2773:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$188,396,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 38, by inserting between lines 20 and 21 (7) L.R.121, T.R.

522: T.R.522

from 1 Mile

South of Or-

bisonia to

Mt. Union:

Reconstruct

to 24 Feet

with Minor

Relocation:

Length 13.4

Miles. 5,280,000 750,000 670,000 6,700,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irvic	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingner	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—4

Fischer	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
---------	-------	--------	--------

NOT VOTING—3

Beloff	Gray	Levin
--------	------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SIEMINSKI offered the following amendments No. A2796:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$188,196,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 46, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(5) L.R.443, 771, 772, T.R.22, West of Rt.100

Interchange to Northampton Co. Line. Safety Improvements and Restoration: Length

14.1 Miles 4,100,000 100,000 4,200,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 57, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(6) L.R.772, 773, T.R.22, Nazareth

Pike to 4th St., Safety Improvements and Restoration: Length

7.7 Miles. 2,250,000 50,000 2,300,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Alden	Evans	McClatchy	Saurman
Anderson	Fee	McIntyre	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	Madigan	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Pendleton	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Perzel	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cole	Irvic	Petrone	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Coslett	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Pistella	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pitts	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pott	White
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashingner	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wilson
Dawida	Lehr	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
Dininni	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lewis	Ritter	Zwikl
Dorr	Livengood	Rocks	
Duffy	Lloyd	Rybak	Ryan,

Durham Emerson Lucyk McCall Salvatore Speaker

NAYS—6

Fischer Fryer Haluska Jackson Morris Wachob

NOT VOTING—3

Beloff Gray Levin

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LEVI offered the following amendments No. A2692:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$181,990,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 70, by inserting between lines 1 and 2

(4) L.R.75, Main

St. Bridge Re- placement,

Length 0.4 Mile. 202,000 22,000 70,000 294,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Evans	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irvic	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingner	Punt	Wilson

Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwikel
Dorr	Lewis	Rieger	
Duffy	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	Lucyk	Rybak	

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—7

Beloff	Gray	Levin	Wright, J. L.
Clymer	Lehr	Spitz	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CLYMER offered the following amendments No. A2820:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,917,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 15, by inserting between lines 18 and 19 (13) L.R. 09106

Sec. 10S,
Lawn Ave.
Reconstruction
Almont
Rd. to Maple
Ave.

Length 0.8
Mile 976,000 157,000 98,000 1,221,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Fee	McClatchy	Serafini
Anderson	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Barber	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Belardi	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Belfanti	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Clymer	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue

Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colafella	Irvis	Petrone	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Cordisico	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
Cornell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Coslett	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
Cowell	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pott	White
DeMedio	Kolter	Pratt	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Lashingier	Rappaport	Wilson
Davies	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dawida	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Letterman	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Levi	Ritter	Zwikel
Donatucci	Lewis	Rocks	
Dorr	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Evans	McCall	Saurman	

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—7

Beloff	Emerson	Levin	Peterson
Duffy	Gray	Lucyk	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GEIST offered the following amendments No. A2690:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,731,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 10, by inserting between lines 15 and 16 (3) L.R.07076(A)

Seventeenth
St. from
Fifth Ave. to
relocated T.R.
220, 4-lane
relocation,
1.2 miles. 1,035,000 1,035,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Alden	Durham	McClatchy	Salvatore
Anderson	Emerson	McIntyre	Saurman
Armstrong	Evans	McMonagle	Serafini
Arty	Fee	McVerry	Seventy
Barber	Fleck	Mackowski	Showers
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	Madigan	Sirianni
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Frazier	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gallagher	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Gallen	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Gannon	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Steighner

Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Greenwood	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mullen	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Murphy	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Clymer	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cochran	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cowell	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeMedio	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dawida	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dietz	Levi	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Diminni	Lewis	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Livengood	Rieger	Zwilk
Donatucci	Lloyd	Ritter	
Dorr	Lucyk	Rocks	Ryan,
Duffy	McCall	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—7

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer	Jackson	Sieminski	

NOT VOTING—8

Beloff	Gray	Kolter	Levin
Gamble	Harper	Letterman	Shupnik

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler, Mr. Burd.

Mr. BURD. Mr. Speaker, my switch malfunctioned when the final passage on HB 1645 was taken. I would like to be recorded as voting in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1687 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MOEHLMANN offered the following amendments No. A2869:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$192,628,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 45, by inserting between lines 28 and 29

(5) L.R.1090(F07)

T.R.422 Relocated,

State Road in South Lebanon to Prescott, Rd. East of Lebanon, 4 Lanes Divided				
Relocation: Length 3.0 Miles. 1,562,000 1,562,000				
(6)	L.R.1090(8) T.R.422			
Relocated, Prescott Rd. to Berks County Line				
4 Lane Divided				
Relocation: Length 6.0 Miles. 5,136,000 502,000 447,000 6,085,000				
(7)	L.R. 1090(C04), T.R.422 Relocated, T.R.743 South of Hershey to L.R.424 Campbelltown, 4 Lane Divided Relocation: Length 3.1 Miles. 3,285,000 3,285,000			

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Harper	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hasay	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hayes	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Horgos	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Irvis	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Diminni	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Zwilk
Dorr	Lewis	Ritter	

Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker
Emerson			

NAYS—5

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—1

Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FRAZIER offered the following amendments No. A2921:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$184,726,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

(14) L.R.02075:

Weyman Rd.,
Whitehall Boro:
Reconstruct Base,
Curbs, Stormsewers
and Catch Basins,
Resurface: Length
1.4 Miles. 3,000,000 30,000 3,030,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Alden	Emerson	Lucyk	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Suban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisico	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo

Coslett	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashingner	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Lewis	Rieger	
Dorr	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker
Durham			

NAYS—7

Fischer	Haluska	Sieminski	Williams, J. D.
Fryer	Morris	Wachob	

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Itkin	Levin
------	-------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FRAZIER offered the following amendments No. A2920:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,104,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

(14) L.R.02286:

Churchview Ave.
Brentwood and
Baldwin Boros:
Reconstruct Base,
Curbs, Stormsewers
and Catch Basins,
Resurface: Length
0.2 Mile. 400,000 8,000 408,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Alden	Emerson	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart

Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwilk
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—6

Fischer	Haluska	Wachob	Williams, J. D.
Fryer	Morris		

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Levin
------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GAMBLE offered the following amendments No. A2797:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,102,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

(14) L.R.1016, I-79,

Intersection
with L.R.02046

Coalpit Hollow
Rd., Ramp A and

B Construction. 257,000 11,200 38,600 306,800

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Alden	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Anderson	Fischer	McIntyre	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McMonagle	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	Madigan	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Maiale	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.

Berson	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Borski	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Mowery	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mullen	Swaim
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Murphy	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Nahill	Telek
Cessar	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Clymer	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwilk
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	
Emerson	Lucyk	Salvatore	
Evans	McCall		

NAYS—3

Fryer	Haluska	Morris
-------	---------	--------

NOT VOTING—6

Colafella	Gray	Lewis	Wachob
Cordisco	Itkin		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WASS offered the following amendments No. A2843:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,069,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 38, by inserting after line 30

(2) L.R.337, T.R.56:

Bridge over Black Lick

Creek, Brush Valley

and East Wheatfield

Twps. 281,800 30,000 61,200 373,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoefel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—6

Fischer	Haluska	Sieminski	Wachob
Fryer	Morris		

NOT VOTING—1

Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WASS offered the following amendments No. A2845:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$181,797,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 38 by inserting after line 30

(2) L.R.32053, T.R.954

Bridge over B and O

Railroad Track,

White Twp. North 9th St., Extension:

Length 100 feet. 79,800 4,000 17,400 101,200

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hoefel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—6

Fischer	Haluska	Sieminski	Wachob
Fryer	Morris		

NOT VOTING—1

Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WASS offered the following amendments No. A2844:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$181,829,200

Amend Sec. 2, page 38, by inserting after line 30

(2) L.R.572: T.R.56

Bridge over B and

O Railroad Track

Center Township:

Length: 100 feet. 92,000 20,000 21,200 133,200

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Emerson	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cochran	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cornell	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
Coslett	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cowell	Kanuck	Pistella	Weston
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pitts	White
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
DeVerter	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Wogan
Davies	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikel
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Dorr	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—7

Fischer	Haluska	Morris	Wachob
Fryer	Marmion	Sieminski	

NOT VOTING—5

Evans	Lewis	Pendleton	Wiggins
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FEE offered the following amendments No. A2849:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,101,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 44, by inserting between lines 27 and 28

(3) L.R. 1023,

T.R.60 (Sec. 15),

Beaver Valley

Expy. Design

of 4 Lane

Highway: Length

16.2 Miles.

405,000 405,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taddonio
Clark	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Irvis	Peterson	Van Horne
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak

Dininni	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Morris	Wachob	Wiggins
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Hasay
------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FEE offered the following amendments No. A2829:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$181,803,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 44, by inserting between lines 27 and 28

(3) T.R.317:

Bessmer Boro.
Roadway Realignment:
Length 4.86 Miles. 106,000 1,000 107,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—189

Alden	Emerson	Lucyk	Saurman
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Majale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cochran	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cohen	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wambach
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrone	Wargo
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wenger
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Weston
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	White

DeMedio	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Davies	Lashingner	Punt	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	
Dorr	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore	

NAYS—5

Fischer	Morris	Richardson	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Kukovich	Lewis
------	----------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CAWLEY offered the following amendments No. A2975:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$182,241,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 41, by inserting between lines 25 and 26

(7) Green Ridge

St. Bridge
Over Lackawanna
River Replacement 700,000 25,000 725,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Serafini
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Seventy
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Showers
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Shupnik
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Sieminski
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Berson	Gallen	Majale	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Steighner
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	Noye	Telek
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne

Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cole	Irvis	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingier	Punt	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Letterman	Rieger	Zwikl
Dorr	Levi	Ritter	
Duffy	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Emerson	Lloyd	Salvatore	

NAYS—6

Cordisco	Fryer	Morris	Wachob
Fischer	Haluska		

NOT VOTING—6

Blaum	Lewis	Richardson	Saurman
Gray	Pratt		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. KOLTER offered the following amendments No. A2730:

Amend Sec. 4, page 78, line 24, by striking out "Chairmen" and inserting

Majority Chairman and the Minority Chairman

Amend Sec. 4, page 78, line 25, by striking out "Chairman" and inserting

Majority Chairman and the Minority Chairman

Amend Sec. 4, page 78, line 26, by striking out "Chairman of the"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Evans	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gladeck	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Grabowski	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Greenfield	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart

Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Honaman	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Horgos	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Irvis	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Rieger	
Durham	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Emerson	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer	Pitts	Taylor, E. Z.	Wachob
Fryer			

NOT VOTING—2

Blaum	Gray
-------	------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Cordisco, rise?

Mr. CORDISCO. Mr. Speaker, on amendment No. A2975, the Cawley amendment, I inadvertently voted in the negative. I would like to be recorded in the positive.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 1687 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FREIND offered the following amendments No. A2944:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$183,696,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 32, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

(9) L.R.23047,

Supplemental
Drainage Relief
System in Haver-
ford Twp.: To
Parallel Darby Rd.

(L.R.23047) and
 Extend from West of
 Benedict Ave. and
 Bailey Park where a
 Retention System
 will be constructed - - - - - 2,000,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—184

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Rybak
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvs	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	
Dorr	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Durham	Lloyd		

NAYS—8

Fischer	Morris	Sieminski	Wachob
Fryer	Rappaport	Spencer	Williams, J. D.

NOT VOTING—5

Brandt	Gray	Kanuck	Lewis
Emerson			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. NAHILL offered the following amendments No. A2971:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out "\$181,696,000" and inserting

\$181,821,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 55, by inserting between lines 9 and 10

(14) L.R.46121

Ashbourne Road

Over Tacony

Creek: Bridge

Replacement

Length

0.1 Mile. 100,000 15,000 10,000 125,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Alden	Durham	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Pendleton	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Perzel	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvs	Petrone	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pott	White
DeVerter	Kolter	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wogan
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Wozniak
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rieger	Zwikl
Donatucci	Levi	Ritter	
Dorr	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Duffy	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—5

Fischer Morris Pitts Wachob
Fryer

NOT VOTING—6

Emerson Kanuck Lewis Wilson
Gray Levin

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GRUITZA offered the following amendments No. A2898:

Amend Sec. 2, page 1, line 16, by striking out “\$181,696,000” and inserting

\$181,769,000

Amend Sec. 2, page 50, by inserting between lines 4 and 5

(2) L.R.238

T.R.318: Spur B

West Middlesex

Bridge, Critical

Bridge Program. 73,000 73,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—185

Alden	Durham	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McVerry	Sirianni
Beloff	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Steighner
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wambach
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wargo
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wass
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wenger
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Weston
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	White
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Wiggins
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pott	Williams, H.
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Wilson

Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Punt	Wogan
Davies	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dawida	Lashingier	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Richardson	Zwikel
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rieger	
Donatucci	Letterman	Ritter	Ryan,
Dorr	Levi	Rocks	Speaker
Duffy	Livengood	Rybak	

NAYS—7

Fischer Morris Sieminski Wachob
Fryer Pitts Taylor, E. Z.

NOT VOTING—5

Emerson Levin Lewis Petrone
Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Alden	Evans	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Oliver	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Cohen	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wambach
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrone	Wargo
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wenger
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Weston
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	White
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pott	Wiggins
DeVerter	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.

Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Lashingier	Rappaport	Wogan
Dawida	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dietz	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Rieger	Zwikel
Dorr	Livengood	Ritter	
Duffy	Lloyd	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lucyk	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—6

Dombrowski	Laughlin	Tigue	Wachob
Fischer	Pitts		

NOT VOTING—5

Emerson	Kanuck	Levin	Lewis
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

STATEMENT BY MR. KOLTER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Kolter. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. KOLTER. Mr. Speaker, may I speak very briefly on the bill just passed?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed to speak briefly on the bill that we just passed.

Mr. KOLTER. Mr. Speaker, I think we just passed a very important piece of legislation. I think, if I recall correctly, this is the first highway capital budget since 1973. However, in reviewing this budget, the revelation becomes thoroughly discouraging to us Democrats, because there is a disproportionate amount of moneys being spent in Republican areas, and I just want to briefly show you what I mean.

The dollar value for projects in the Governor's budget proposal for Democratic districts was \$58,240,000. The approximate moneys in the Republican districts from his proposal were \$64,163,000. However, the dollar values in the 272 projects listed in HB 1687 show that the Democratic districts receive \$65,620,000, whereas the Republican districts receive \$113 million. What this means in everyday arithmetic is the Democratic districts receive approximately \$7 million in new moneys as opposed to \$50 million for Republicans.

Now you know, Mr. Speaker, I spent many hours with Secretary Tom Larson, whom I consider one of the finest, if not the finest, Secretary of Transportation, and many times in our meetings he stated that nohow would he play partisan politics. You be the judge.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies, rise?

Mr. DAVIES. A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, would it be possible on the last set of amendments to the past piece of legislation to request that my vote be cast in the negative conditionally to certain amendments? In other words, Mr. Speaker, would it be possible to cast a conditional negative vote to those amendments, for example, of members who did not vote for the funding of such a piece of legislation?

The SPEAKER. Now, the gentleman knows better.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 425, PN 1024**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," providing for the fixing of fees charged by administrative agencies; further providing for the purchases of handicapped-made products and services and making repeals.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. WILSON offered the following amendments No. A2862:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 607-A), page 6, lines 25 through 29, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

- (i) New establishments
 - (A) New establishments that are owner operated with a seating capacity of less than 50 **\$ 30.00**
 - (B) All other new establishments **100.00**
- (ii) Renewal or change of ownership **30.00**
- (iii) Duplicate license for each additional business location **5.00**
- (iv) Temporary license **1.00**

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A), page 23, line 26, by striking out "AIRCRAFT" and inserting per air carrier

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A), page 23, line 28, by striking out "AIRCRAFT" and inserting per air carrier

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A), page 24, line 6, by striking out "AIRCRAFT" and inserting per air carrier

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A), page 24, line 8, by striking out "AIRCRAFT" and inserting per air carrier

Amend Sec. 2, page 31, lines 13 and 14, by striking out "IS" in line 13, all of line 14, and inserting , added December 3, 1970 (P.L.834, No. 275), is amended to read:

Section 1918-A. Water Supply.—The Department of Environmental Resources shall have the power and its duty shall be:

(3) To make a bacteriological examination and report of any sample of water sent by any person to the department's laboratory at Philadelphia or Pittsburgh. [A fee of one dollar (\$1) shall be charged for the service rendered in making the examination and report.]

Amend Sec. 5, page 42, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 5. (a) Sections 1, 2 and 4 of this act shall take effect immediately.

(b) Section 3 of this act shall take effect in 60 days.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, the amendment that I have offered here has three sectors to it. Mr. Speaker, page 6 of SB 425 speaks to eating and drinking places. What the first part of the amendment does is add another section in there that the seating capacity of less than 50 people would be at \$30 instead of the \$100, and it retains much of the rest of the language.

On page 23, line 26—I think this was probably an oversight—it provides for \$125 per aircraft for a liquor license, really, in flying through Pennsylvania. I understood that that was to be air carriers, so that the air carriers such as USAir would have one license for all its aircraft. That is a problem if we leave it as it is.

The last part of the amendment is language that was inadvertently left out of the bill when it was redrafted.

AMENDMENTS DIVIDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. As the gentleman has stated, Mr. Speaker, the bill has three portions. I would request of the Speaker that the amendment be divided. The portion that I would seek to omit would be "Amend Sec. 1...page 23, line 26, by striking out 'AIRCRAFT' and inserting per air carrier" and the rest of the portion of the amendment to the bottom of the page which deals with that one particular subject, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's request is in order.

It is the Chair's understanding that the gentleman is requesting that the amendment be divided so that two votes will be necessary on the amendment.

Mr. FRYER. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. All right. Now I would like the members to pay attention.

The first vote, Mr. Fryer, would be on the first page, "Amend Sec. 1, (Sec. 607-A),..." down to but excluding "Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A),..." as well as all of the materials on the second page of the amendment starting "Amend Sec. 2, page 31,..." down to and including the end. Is that accurate?

Mr. FRYER. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Wilson, understand the division?

Mr. WILSON. Yes, Mr. Speaker. The first vote will be on the first portion, excluding the aircraft section.

The SPEAKER. As well as the portion on the second page. Mr. WILSON. I understand.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. We are dealing now, Mr. Speaker, with the two portions of the bill, not with the aircraft section?

The SPEAKER. The two portions of the amendment to the bill. That is correct.

Mr. FRYER. Excluding the aircraft section?

The SPEAKER. Excluding the aircraft section.

Mr. FRYER. I have no comment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, all those in favor of the amendment as divided—that is, the first section on the first page and the entire second page—will vote "aye"; opposed, "no."

On the question,
Will the House agree to Part I of the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—191

Alden	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Anderson	Fleck	McCall	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, B.
Blaum	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gladeck	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Grabowski	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	Greenfield	Michlovic	Spencer
Brandt	Greenwood	Micozzie	Spitz
Brown	Grieco	Miller	Stairs
Burd	Gruitza	Miscevich	Steighner
Burns	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stevens
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mowery	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cessar	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Horgos	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Irvis	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Jackson	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Johnson	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Kanuck	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wenger
DeVerter	Kolter	Pistella	Weston
DeWeese	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Lashingier	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levi	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levin	Richardson	Zwilk
Duffy	Lewis	Rieger	
Durham	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—1

Cunningham

NOT VOTING—5

Emerson Gray Sweet White
Gannon

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and Part I of the amendments was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The second vote before the House will be that portion of amendment A2862 that begins with "Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 614-A),..." down to and including "per air carrier" at the bottom of page 1 of the amendment.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, I oppose this amendment. I invite the members of the House to the bill itself. What the bill calls for is the term "aircraft." Mr. Wilson is removing "aircraft," striking "aircraft," and inserting "per air carrier." Now, under the first section there is a license fee of \$125. What this means is— Let us take TWA - Trans World Airlines - for instance. Under Mr. Wilson's proposal they would pay one fee, a license fee of \$125, and that would cover all of their planes. Now, Mr. Speaker, in looking at the bill it indicates, as you will note, that, for instance, there is a license fee for railroad cars, per car, as the reading goes; steamship or vessel, per vessel; license fee, aircraft; railroad car, which indicates one item. Now, what he is doing here is consolidating, and he is removing revenue which I feel should be coming to the Commonwealth.

Further on in this same amendment there is a \$45 transfer fee. There is also a \$45 license fee for liquor. There is a \$45 license fee for malt liquor. Now, Mr. Speaker, what we would have is, with the air carriers, the different large lines - Eastern, TWA, US, what have you - they would be paying one fee for all of their planes. This completely changes the bill as it has been written, and it represents a decline in revenue, a decline in revenue, for the Commonwealth. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman's interest in the decline in revenue, but I think one thing has to be pointed out here. First of all, the state has never collected, never collected, any fee whatsoever from any airline that is selling liquor going through the State of Pennsylvania. Now, the two major airlines that I know of are USAir and TWA that have flights going through here regularly and give most of the service. Their service of liquor during the state probably amounts to one or two airplanes at a time. What you are saying is, however, that every aircraft in the fleet of USAir, which is based in Allegheny County by the way, would have to have a license because the airline does not know which aircraft is going to fly the route in Pennsylvania and serve liquor at that point, so they would have to have a license for every one. For USAir, based in Allegheny County, I estimate that it

would have cost them \$31,250, \$31,250, just to have permission to serve liquor on any one aircraft that might be serving liquor at any one time in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. TWA, the next biggest carrier, is \$18,750. I think that it is unreasonable to go from zero, zero, to \$31,250 on a license fee to serve liquor at any one time on any one plane in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that is why I offer the amendment, to say that the air carriers shall buy a license for \$125 and therefore be able to sell liquor as an air carrier, the same air carrier, on any of the aircraft that happen to be in Pennsylvania at any one time.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that this proposal is not fair, and in a moment, when we go into final passage of the bill, I wish to point out certain portions of the bill that I wish had been given the compassion that is being attempted here for our airlines.

As far as USAir, I understand they transport—and advertise so, that they transport—more passengers than any other line. I do not believe there is a great problem here. I believe in this instance we should stick with what was written in the provisions of the bill as it was submitted to this House. I would seek the members' support in rejecting this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Kolter.

Mr. KOLTER. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of this amendment. If the members of the House read the Wall Street Journal as I do, they will find that every quarter the airlines show tremendous losses of revenue. I would hate like heck to feel that my vote against them would create losses of jobs and perhaps of the industry here, so I would urge a "yes" vote.

On the question,
Will the House agree to Part II of the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—132

Alden	Frazier	McIntyre	Siranni
Anderson	Freind	McVerry	Smith, B.
Armstrong	Gallagher	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Arty	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Belardi	Gamble	Maiale	Snyder
Beloff	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Bittle	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Bowser	Gladeck	Merry	Steighner
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stevens
Brandt	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Burd	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Burns	Gruitza	Murphy	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Nahill	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Honaman	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Tigue
Cohen	Itkin	Petrarca	Vroon
Cornell	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Coslett	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
DeVerte	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kolter	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Lashingner	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Reber	Wozniak

Dininni	Lehr	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levi	Rocks	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levin	Salvatore	Zwilk
Durham	Lloyd	Serafini	
Fee	Lucyk	Seventy	Ryan,
Fleck	McCall	Sieminski	Speaker
Foster, W. W.	McClatchy		

NAYS—61

Barber	Emerson	Letterman	Richardson
Belfanti	Evans	Livengood	Ritter
Berson	Fischer	McMonagle	Rybak
Blaum	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Saurman
Borski	Fryer	Michlovic	Showers
Brown	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Shupnik
Caltagirone	Haluska	Morris	Stairs
Cappabianca	Hasay	Mrkonic	Sweet
Colafella	Hoeffel	Mullen	Trello
Cole	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wachob
Cunningham	Irvis	Pievsky	Wambach
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	White
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci			

NOT VOTING—4

Clark	Gray	Lewis	Miscevich
-------	------	-------	-----------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and Part II of the amendments was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendments No. A2566:

Amend Title, page 1, line 21, by inserting after "AGENCIES;"

further providing for public relations and budgets;

Amend Bill, page 31 by inserting between lines 12 and 13

Section 2. Section 613 of the act is amended by adding a clause to read:

Section 613. Submission of Budget to General Assembly.—As soon as possible after the organization of the General Assembly, but not later than the first full week in February of each year, except in the case where a Governor has been elected for his first term of office and then no later than the first full week in March, the Governor shall submit to the General Assembly copies of agency budget requests and a State budget and program and financial plan embracing:

(4) The budget shall list as a single, separate line item for each administrative department, board, and commission the amount which the Governor recommends to be appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year for public relations. For the purposes of this clause, "public relations" shall include the preparation, presentation and distribution of advertising, publications, radio tapes, television films and tapes, and media releases. The separate line item shall include all compensation, including fringe benefits; all travel, meal, lodging, and similar expenses; the cost of purchasing new equipment and supplies; the cost of leasing offices and equipment; the cost of purchasing material, including newspapers, magazines, movies, films and tapes; the cost of using wire service equipment; and all other similar public relations expenditures.

Amend Sec. 2, page 31, line 13, by striking out "2." and inserting

3.

Amend Sec. 3, page 31, line 15, by striking out "3." and inserting

4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 33, line 29, by striking out "4." and inserting

5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 42, line 23, by striking out "5." and inserting

6.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, this amendment would require that beginning with next year's budget, the submission to the General Assembly include as a separate line item for each administrative department, board, agency, and commission the amount of money proposed to be spent on public relations.

The purpose of the amendment is twofold: One, to permit a comparison of changes over time, either the growth or the decline in spending; and secondly, to provide a starting point to judge whether some departments are spending too little on informing the public and other departments are spending too much.

I might note in support of the amendment an analogy to what the Reagan administration is doing in Washington. The President has quite properly ordered a freeze on public relations spending and in fact has ordered cuts in many agencies. My amendment does not go that far. What it does do, however, consistent with the President's program, is to focus the attention on the issue of how much should be spent on public relations and provide a basis for possible freezes and cuts in the future. I urge adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I oppose the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—95

Alden	Durham	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Evans	Lucyk	Seventy
Belfanti	Fee	McIntyre	Showers
Beloff	Fischer	Maiale	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Manderino	Stairs
Blaum	Gallagher	Michlovic	Steighner
Borski	Gamble	Miscevich	Stewart
Brown	Gray	Morris	Swaim
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mullen	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Harper	Murphy	Tigue
Clark	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Van Horne
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Wachob
Colafella	Irvis	Petrarca	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Cordisco	Kolter	Pievsky	White
Cunningham	Kowalyszyn	Pistella	Wiggins

DeMedio	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Zwilk
Duffy	Livengood	Ritter	

NAYS—97

Anderson	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Armstrong	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Arty	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gladeck	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Grabowski	Merry	Snyder
Boyes	Greenfield	Micozzie	Spencer
Brandt	Greenwood	Miller	Spitz
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Nahill	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Noye	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Honaman	Peterson	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Pitts	Wenger
DeVerter	Kanuck	Pott	Weston
Daikeler	Kennedy	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Klingaman	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Lashingner	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dininni	Lehr	Rocks	
Fleck	Levi	Salvatore	Ryan,
Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman	Speaker
Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini	

NOT VOTING—5

Dorr	Gannon	Lewis	McMonagle
Emerson			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TRELLO offered the following amendment No. A2687:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 610-A), page 9, by inserting between lines 22 and 23

(2) Abortion clinic \$1,000.00

An abortion clinic is a hospital, clinic or other building or office used to perform abortions

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, under the Federal Abortion Control Act, establishments that perform abortions are allowed to perform abortions with no fee charged. What this amendment does is impose a \$1,000 fee on all establishments that perform abortions. In no way does this legalize abortions, but I feel that I am totally opposed to abortions of any kind, and I feel that a \$1,000 fee might just discourage some

establishments from opening. I would appreciate support from both sides of the aisle. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Hoeffel.

Mr. HOEFFEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support this amendment. I think it is high time the state legitimized abortions. I congratulate Mr. Trello for his foresight in saying that the state ought to give its stamp of approval to the abortion process, and I hope we all vote for it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman from Allegheny stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Trello, indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Rappaport, may proceed.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, I finally have a copy of the amendment. Would the gentleman include within the definition of this type of facility a regularly licensed hospital in Pennsylvania?

Mr. TRELLO. I did not hear the question, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman repeat his question?

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Does the gentleman include within his definition of an abortion clinic a regularly licensed hospital, such as the Hershey Medical Center?

Mr. TRELLO. Yes.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen.

Mr. MULLEN. Mr. Speaker, I support the amendment for the simple reason that we have to recognize the facts of life. Most of us in this House oppose abortion, but we have to recognize that the Supreme Court of the United States has indicated to us in Pennsylvania that abortion is legal.

Now, the reason we are putting this amendment in to charge \$1,000 is to try to discourage the abortion clinics from continuing. Now, I do not think that it is going to discourage them too much. I would like to put in \$100,000, but I know that if we put in \$100,000, the courts would strike that down. We are just putting in \$1,000 because we feel that that is reasonable, and we have a fee bill before us that has no charge whatever for abortion clinics. That is the only reason I am supporting it. Certainly everyone knows that I do not support abortion, but I think that by doing this we are indicating in the only way that we can that we discourage them from continuing a practice which we dislike. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Trello.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate Representative Hoeffel, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Hoeffel, indicates he is willing to be interrogated. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, at any time since you have known me, did I ever indicate to you that I support legalized abortion?

Mr. HOEFFEL. No.

Mr. TRELLO. That is all. Thank you very much.

May I make a statement, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, I have been involved in prolife for the past 20 years. I clearly stated when I offered this amendment that it was to discourage abortion clinics from opening, and if the gentleman would have read the amendment, I think he could have assumed that. I am highly insulted by the fact that somebody would get up and say that I would support legalized abortions. I totally oppose them. This amendment totally discourages anybody from opening up an abortion clinic, and I would appreciate everybody in the House supporting the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Cunningham.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to say that I support the amendment as a consequence of the fact that abortion in Pennsylvania is a multimillion-dollar annual industry that is not performed generally as a therapeutic kind of situation; it is performed as a matter of convenience. It is performed by entrepreneurs who are profit motivated and are involved in a commercial enterprise, and I think it is only appropriate that they should pay the same kind of licensing fee that any other business would be required to pay, and I encourage support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose this amendment. This is just another amendment against poor women. For wealthy women, \$1,000 is nothing. They will pay \$1,000 and have the abortion. It is just something against poor women. That is why I oppose this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, would the maker of the amendment stand for one or two questions of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello, stand for interrogation? Mr. Davies would like to interrogate the gentleman.

The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Davies, may proceed.

Mr. DAVIES. Mr. Speaker, if this does not come under the confines of the profit motivation and business aspects that the last speaker spoke to, and would be in the normal practice of a physician in an approved hospital in which a victim of rape or incest or the matter of the— In the confines of your definition of "clinic," this does not include a medical man making a decision in a hospital in which he has the right to practice—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Davies, yield?

Does the gentleman, Mr. Trello, understand the nature of the question?

Mr. TRELLO. No, I do not, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Davies, ask the question again?

Mr. DAVIES. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this clinic does not include the normal practice of a physician in his hospital where he is registered to practice, and does not involve the normal relations between a patient and doctor where there would be a case of incest, rape, or the matter of the life of the individual involved seeking out that treatment? Am I to interpret that correctly?

Mr. TRELLO. I do not think the bill speaks to private physicians. I think it speaks to the establishment that performs abortions.

Mr. DAVIES. In other words, this is by definition then that definition that one of the former speakers spoke to most recently?

Mr. TRELLO. I am sorry, but I did not hear the question.

Mr. DAVIES. In other words, it only speaks to those types of enterprises that are for profit or capital gain or for some reason in the practice rather than the normal hospital conducting its business based on doctor-client relationship, a professional relationship?

Mr. TRELLO. It only speaks to establishments licensed by the Abortion Control Act, period.

Mr. DAVIES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I am trying to get a clarification of what we are talking about. I do not see any language here that talks about the definition as contained in the Abortion Control Act. It is a very simple amendment, which I oppose, but it says, "An abortion clinic is a hospital, clinic or other building or office used to perform abortions." It seems to me if a doctor is performing an abortion in his office, he has to pay a \$1,000 fee. If a woman came in who was a victim of rape or incest and had an abortion performed, that building, that office, that clinic, or that hospital would have to pay the \$1,000 fee.

I do not see any other definition in here. I think it is a terrible amendment. I think we ought to vote "no" on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. I would like to yield to the gentleman, Mr. White.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. White.

Mr. WHITE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendment. It would seem to me, Mr. Speaker, that the issue that has clearly been framed here is not a matter of the state collecting fees from an institution, from an office, a hospital, an abortion clinic, as a means of controlling the regulatory process of that particular institution. What it is clearly designed to do is to impose an economic discrimination on people who are performing a service, a needed service, in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

To the maker of the amendment, and to those who might support the concept within that amendment, you certainly have had and will continue to have ample opportunity to

pursue your particular course of action through legitimate legislative means. But to simply say that imposing a \$1,000 fee on yet a still-undefined institution, as the gentleman from Lehigh County stated, which could be someone's medical office, not just a hospital or clinic, but in fact could be a neighborhood doctor's office, is simply unconscionable.

It is one thing to say that in law it is illegal to perform abortions. It is one thing to say in the Commonwealth that this General Assembly frowns upon abortions being performed anywhere in this state. It is something else to say, Mr. Speaker, that only those who can afford to pay the \$1,000 fee should be in a position to perform that service. I would urge a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I have some questions to ask Mr. Trello, but I just prefer to await the developments in the conferences that are taking place over there, if that is what is going on.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Dorr, has been recognized and requests permission to interrogate the gentleman, Mr. Trello. Does the gentleman consent to interrogation?

Mr. TRELLO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Dorr, may proceed.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I agree with the interpretation of the amendment rendered by the gentleman, Mr. Ritter, I believe, but I would like to clarify with the sponsor of the amendment the provisions of the amendment a little further, and I would like to do so by posing a hypothetical question to Mr. Trello.

If the hospital in my home community, Hanover General Hospital, performs abortions—I assume they do, but I do not know that for sure—and assuming that they have no license issued to them as an abortion clinic under the act to which you referred earlier, will they have to pay a \$1,000 fee under your amendment?

Mr. TRELLO. Well, if they do not have a license under the Abortion Control Act, then in no way are they licensed to perform abortions.

Mr. DORR. That may be true, but they may be performing them in any event. I do not know that for sure. I am only posing a hypothetical question because of your indication that you believe only those licensed under the Control Act are covered here and my concern that the language does not say that.

Mr. TRELLO. I agree with what you are saying, and I can assure you that the amendment should have specified that.

I want to make one thing clear, that in no way does this \$1,000 fee qualify any establishment to perform abortions. This only pertains to establishments that are already licensed by the Abortion Control Act, that are performing abortions now. If they are not licensed by the Abortion Control Act, then it would be illegal to perform an abortion to begin with.

I mean, this \$1,000 does not qualify them to perform abortions. I am only directing the amendment to establishments that are already licensed to perform them that are not paying a fee. They are doing it free and making a ton of money on it.

The only purpose for the amendment and the \$1,000 is to discourage abortion clinics from opening. I doubt very much that it will. I would like to make it \$100,000, like Representative Mullen said, but I am sure that the courts would not go along with that, so \$1,000 is an acceptable fee. But if they are not licensed at the present time to perform abortions and they are performing abortions, it is my opinion that they are doing it illegally, and the \$1,000 would not pertain to them at all.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I do not remember what the fee was established in the Abortion Control Act for the license which is obtained under that act. Can you refresh our memories as to what that license fee is?

Mr. TRELLO. The license fee for that?

Mr. DORR. Yes.

Mr. TRELLO. It is my understanding that there is no fee.

Mr. DORR. No fee under the Abortion Control Act?

Mr. TRELLO. No. It is my understanding it is, but go ahead.

Mr. DORR. Okay. One more question.

What standards of health and safety does your amendment set up for the payment of this fee and the obtaining of the license thereunder?

Mr. TRELLO. I think the standards are already set by the Federal Government. The amendment does not direct any remarks towards health rules and regulations pertaining to abortions. That is already set up. The only thing my amendment is directed to is a fee, period.

Mr. DORR. Okay. So your amendment does not attempt to establish any standards relating to the health or safety or anything of the public?

Mr. TRELLO. The sole purpose of the amendment is to discourage abortion clinics from opening, period.

Mr. DORR. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Freind.

Mr. FREIND. Mr. Speaker, could we have the indulgence of the Chair for about 60 seconds, please?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Trello, rise?

Mr. TRELLO. To speak on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. TRELLO. Mr. Speaker, because of the misunderstanding here and because of the language that is enacted in the amendment not being specifically clear, I am going to withdraw the amendment and redraft it to another bill in the future and make the language more explicit.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

It is the understanding of the Chair that the Trello amendment A2687 is withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. KANUCK offered the following amendment No. A2893:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 606-A), page 5, line 13, by striking out "150.00" and inserting 50.00

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Kanuck.

Mr. KANUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment reduces the proposed fee for private academic school license renewals to \$50 per year from the proposed rate of \$150 per year. I have had some considerable experience with this agency of the state Department of Education and can positively confirm that there is no justification for an increase in fees of the amount originally proposed in this bill from the current rate of \$25 per year.

This is the agency which licenses all private academic schools in the state, and, frankly, we ought to be encouraging more new entrants into this field of private education, and I believe that a 600-percent increase in fees, in my opinion, has nothing to do with fostering an encouragement of new entrants. I believe that a doubling of the fee is more than adequate, and I urge your support of this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I agree with the amendment and ask for an affirmative vote. There is only a slight reduction in revenues.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Ritter, seek recognition on the amendment?

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, I do not have a copy of the amendment.

Just a quick question for Mr. Kanuck. Does this include nursery schools?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman repeat his question?

Mr. RITTER. Does this amendment include nursery schools?

Mr. KANUCK. Yes, it does. Certain ones, not all nursery schools.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	Lloyd	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Maiale	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Manderino	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stuban

Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Tigue
Cochran	Hoeffel	Noye	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Wachob
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wambach
Cornell	Irvis	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson	Pievsky	Weston
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pistella	White
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kolter	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Rappaport	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashinger	Rasco	Wozniak
Donatucci	Laughlin	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lehr	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zwikl
Durham	Letterman	Ritter	
Emerson	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lewis	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—5

Dietz	Manmiller	Piccola	Sirianni
Dininni			

NOT VOTING—6

Anderson	Levi	Pratt	Taylor, F. E.
Gray	Peterson		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. McCLATCHY offered the following amendments No. A2946:

Amend Title, page 1, line 22, by inserting after "services" ; providing for the disposition and legislative review relating to Commonwealth surplus land

Amend Bill, page 33, by inserting between lines 28 and 29 Section 4. The act is amended by adding an article to read:

ARTICLE XXIV-A

DISPOSITION OF COMMONWEALTH SURPLUS LAND

Section 2401-A. Limited Definitions.— The following words and phrases when used in this article shall have, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, the meanings given to them in this section:

"Agency." Any department, departmental administrative board or commission, independent board or commission, agency or other authority of this Commonwealth now existing or hereafter created, but shall not include any court, political subdivision, municipal or local authority.

"Department." The Department of General Services.

"Surplus property." Any buildings, land or other real estate owned by the Commonwealth that has been deemed surplus to the needs of the administering agency which last had use of the property and which has been turned over to the jurisdiction of the

Department of General Services for final verification and declaration of its surplus status. The definition of and the designation of surplus property shall not apply to any lands designated as State parks or State forests or any lands acquired by the Pennsylvania Fish Commission or the Pennsylvania Game Commission.

Section 2402-A. Annual Property Survey.— (a) The department shall distribute to all agencies, not later than 30 days after the effective date of this article and not later than May 1 of each year thereafter, a request to compile information on all State-owned real property. The survey shall require the agency, for each parcel of real property, within its jurisdiction, to identify its location, size, current use, the presence of any buildings or other improvements, the condition of all buildings and improvements and other relevant property attribute data. The survey shall require the agency to identify any property currently surplus to the needs of the agency.

(b) Agencies shall send to the department, not later than 60 days after the effective date of this article and not later than July 1 of each year thereafter, completed annual property surveys. The department shall compile and consolidate the agency surveys and send a copy of the compilation to the Chairmen and Minority Chairmen of the House and Senate State Government Committees or their successor committees.

(c) For all real property identified as surplus by an agency, the department shall determine whether any other agencies have an appropriate use for the property. If it is desirable and appropriate to transfer to another agency property that has been deemed surplus by the administering agency currently using the property, the department shall prepare a plan for transfer of the property. Upon approval of the transfer plan by the General Counsel and the Secretary of Budget and Administration, use of the property shall be transferred to the agency that can make the best use of the property.

Section 2403-A. Property Disposition Plan.— (a) The department shall annually develop a plan for the orderly disposition of all real property deemed surplus by the agency currently in possession of the property, which property is not suitable for use by another agency.

(b) The plan shall consider the following factors in proposing the manner and schedule for property disposition:

(1) Whether the property should be leased, transferred in fee simple, or transferred with a restriction as to use, right of reversion, or other special deed provisions.

(2) Whether the land should be retained in agricultural use or as open space for recreation or conservation. A determination whether land should be preserved as open space or in agricultural use shall be made in consultation with the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Resources, and the Department of Community Affairs.

(3) Likely cost savings and expenses to the Commonwealth arising from the proposed property disposition.

(4) The needs of local governments, charitable institutions, and local volunteer fire and rescue squads.

(5) The likely revenue to be generated by the sale of the property and the needs of the Commonwealth for those revenues.

(c) The plan for the disposition of surplus property shall, for each parcel, identify the proposed manner of disposition, when the property will be disposed of, likely revenues and costs, the assessed market value of the property, and the Commonwealth's acquisition cost for the property.

(d) Any Commonwealth lands acquired by condemnation which is later determined to be surplus land shall be disposed pursuant to and consistent with the provisions of section 2003(e) and the provisions of the act of June 22, 1964 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.84, No.6), known as the "Eminent Domain Code."

Section 2404-A. Legislative Oversight and Public Review.— (a) The property disposition plan shall be transmitted to the Chairmen and Minority Chairmen of the House and Senate State

Government Committees or their successor committees not later than 90 days after the effective date of this article and not later than September 1 for each year thereafter. The House and Senate Committees shall conduct public hearings which may be joint hearings to review the plan and shall advise the department of any suggested modifications in the plan not later than 120 days after the effective date of this article and not later than October 1 for each year thereafter.

(b) The department shall publish its proposed property disposition plan in the Pennsylvania Bulletin not later than 90 days after the effective date of this article and not later than September 1 for each year thereafter and invite public comments on the plan during the following 30-day period. The proposed plan shall not require review by the Attorney General for form and legality prior to publication, but during the 30-day comment period the department shall request that the Attorney General review the plan for form and legality. The Attorney General shall communicate his evaluation of the plan, in writing, to the department and to the Chairmen and Minority Chairmen of the House and Senate State Government Committees or their successor committees.

(c) Whenever the department deems that there is significant public interest in the plan proposed for disposition of a parcel of real property, the department shall, in the vicinity of the site, hold public hearings on the proposed property disposition plan for the parcel.

(d) (1) Not later than 150 days following the effective date of this article and no later than October 15 of each year thereafter, the department shall transmit the plan to the Governor for his approval and the Governor shall transmit the plan to the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives and the Secretary of the Senate for consideration by the General Assembly in the manner specified by the act of April 7, 1955 (P.L.23, No.8), known as the "Reorganization Act of 1955," except that either House of the General Assembly may reject a plan for the disposition of a specific parcel while approving the balance of the surplus property disposition plan.

(2) If no action is taken on the plan within 20 calendar days after submission of the plan to the General Assembly, any five members of the Senate or 25 members of the House may petition their respective presiding officer to schedule a vote on the plan. This vote shall be scheduled to occur within five calendar days of the presentation of the petition.

(3) If the General Assembly disapproves any plan in whole or in part, the department may submit to the Governor for transmittal to the General Assembly an amended plan designed to resolve the General Assembly's objections to the disapproved plan.

Section 2405-A. Conditions Upon Conveyances.—Any proposed disposition of property shall be subject to the following conditions and limitations:

(1) The department may sell real estate to an individual, an organization, a firm or corporation, a political subdivision of the Commonwealth, or to the Government of the United States or a branch or agency thereof.

(2) Following approval of the property disposition plan, information regarding the availability and sale of each parcel of surplus property shall be provided through the publication of legal notice in the Pennsylvania Bulletin and such State newspapers as the department shall direct.

(3) The remuneration for a conveyance of surplus property shall be based on fair consideration. Fair consideration requires either the payment of the current fair market value of the property or the demonstration of equivalent or greater return to the Commonwealth within five years due to the proposed use of the property by the entity receiving the conveyance.

(4) After appropriate public notice, the sale of declared surplus property by the department shall be open to public review and inspection. Acceptance of an offer shall be subject to a

minimum price requirement as established by the department, which shall not be less than the fair market value. Prospective buyers shall submit sealed offers to purchase declared surplus property through the mail. Except as provided in clause (3), sale of the declared surplus property shall be to the highest bidder, provided that no offer may be accepted which is below the fair market value, established through independent appraisal.

(5) The disposition of property shall be made upon such terms and conditions of sale as the department may prescribe. The sale of such real estate may be in the form of a lump sum purchase, installment purchase or lease purchase and may include use restrictions and reverter clauses. The term and conditions of sale and the form of purchase shall reflect current market conditions, shall afford maximum protection of Commonwealth assets and shall prescribe procedures to be utilized in the event of default. In the case of the sale of authority properties, the sale of such property shall be in accordance to the applicable bond indentures.

Section 2406-A. Allocation of Sale Proceeds.— The proceeds of the sale of real estate under the provisions of section 2405-A shall be paid into the State Treasury, through the Department of Revenue and deposited in the Capital Facilities Redemption Fund, or if the land was acquired by moneys wholly or mainly out of a special fund, such proceeds shall be credited to the proper special fund, and all proceeds of the sale of authority properties shall be paid to the respective fiscal agent of the authority in accordance with the bond resolution. The costs and fees incurred by the Department of General Services, including but not limited to costs of title searches, notice, surveys and appraisals, shall be deducted from the purchase price and that amount shall be an executively authorized augmentation to the appropriation from which the costs and fees were paid by the department.

Section 2407-A. Construction of Article in Relation to Contrary Provisions of this Act.—Except for sections 1902-A and 2003 of this act, the provisions of this article shall be construed to prevail over any other provision of this act in the event of any inconsistency.

Section 2408-A. General Assembly Not Limited.—Nothing in this article shall be construed as limiting the power of the General Assembly to otherwise enact legislation providing for the conveyance of real property owned by the Commonwealth.

Amend Sec. 4, page 33, line 29, by striking out "4." and inserting

5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 42, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 6. (a) Sections 1, 2, 3 and 5 of this act shall take effect in 60 days.

(b) Section 4 of this act shall take immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Murphy, rise? There is nothing in order but the taking of the vote at this time.

Mr. MURPHY. I would like to interrogate Mr. McClatchy for a moment, please.

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, stand for interrogation? The gentleman, Mr. Murphy, may proceed.

Mr. MURPHY. Mr. Speaker, in the amendment as I read it, I see that nowhere does it mention that the Commonwealth should take into account the value of mineral resources or natural gas or oil that might be on this property. Is there anywhere in the amendment that I might have missed that deals with that subject?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I think that any lands we talk about have to come before committees, come before us for analysis beyond what the administration might do, so I have no fear that if we find there is a valuable piece of land with mineral rights in it, why, we can justify taking that piece of land out of any land transfer we might have to do or want to do or be requested to do.

Mr. MURPHY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a remark on this particular bill. It seems to me that the Governor is trying to sell the assets of the Commonwealth in order to try to balance the budget. I think that we as individuals never tried to sell our homes or our furniture to pay for our food bills, so I think we should go with caution. I feel if the Governor needs money in his budget, he should not sell our assets, but he should place the proper taxes necessary to meet the current expenditures. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to the McClatchy amendment. I would just like to say that within the House rules, I do not know how long rule 32 goes back in the history of the House, but it was the only rule in the House that put on an administrative department conditions that must be met before legislation on land transfers came before this body. It required five answers to five separate questions, and it was in the safeguards of giving land away that the House in its wisdom developed rule 32. Now, I do not know if rule 32 has been complied with over the years. I can say when I was the legislative liaison in General Services it was certainly complied with, but I would just like to say for the ladies and gentlemen of this House, it was put in as a safeguard to stop the giving away of land in this Commonwealth, and to take that in lieu of separate pieces of legislation resting on their own merit to come before this body and the body of the Senate to be voted on individually, we are just stabbing ourselves in the back.

I would ask that all the members vote against this as a very faulty piece of legislation as well as a way to circumvent the legislative process where we all have a vote, not just the committee.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the amendment. In my area we have 1,380 acres of farmland and buildings that are falling and they have been neglected for many

years. It is time that we get rid of these properties and sell them and bring some respect to the properties that we own out there in the state.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, first of all, this bill is exactly the same bill that was passed by the Senate last night. There is no change whatsoever.

Secondly, there is no way any piece of land will be transferred or sold unless it is done by a vote of this General Assembly, either the Senate or the House. I think we have complete protection. In fact, when it comes on the reorganization plan, we would have the right to strip any one item, to take it out. Mr. Speaker, I think we have an obligation where we have surplus land sitting there doing nothing to put it back on the tax rolls, and I think this is at least an attempt to correct all of that land out there that is being maintained at the taxpayers' expense. I ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—135

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (135 total). Includes Alden, Anderson, Armstrong, Arty, Barber, Belardi, Bittle, Borski, Bowser, Boyes, Brandt, Burd, Burns, Cessar, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cole, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Cunningham, DeVertter, DeWeese, Daikeler, Davies, Dietz, Dininni, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Durham, Emerson, Fleck, Foster, Frazier, Freind, Gallen, Gamble, Gannon, Geist, Gladeck, Grabowski, Greenwood, Grieco, Gruppo, Hagarty, Harper, Hasay, Hayes, Honaman, Hutchinson, Irvis, Itkin, Jackson, Johnson, Kanuck, Kennedy, Klingaman, Kolter, Lashinger, Laughlin, Lehr, Levi, Levin, Lewis, McClatchy, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Marmion, Merry, Micozzie, Miller, Moehlmann, Mowery, Mrkonic, Mullen, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Donnell, Oliver, Pendleton, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pitts, Pott, Pucciarelli, Punt, Rasco, Reber, Rieger, Rocks, Salvatore, Saurman, Serafini, Sieminski, Sirianni, Smith, Snyder, Spencer, Stevens, Stuban, Swaim, Swift, Taddonio, Taylor, Telek, Vroon, Wass, Wenger, Weston, White, Williams, Wilson, Wogan, Wright, Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS—60

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (60 total). Includes Belfanti, Beloff, Berson, Blaum, Brown, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cawley, Fee, Fischer, Fryer, Greenfield, Gruitza, Haluska, Hoeffel, Horgos, Lucyk, McCall, Michlovic, Miscevich, Morris, Petrone, Pistella, Rappaport, Stairs, Steighner, Stewart, Sweet, Tigie, Trello, Van Horne, Wachob.

Table listing names of members who did not vote. Includes Cochran, Cohen, Colafella, Cordisco, DeMedio, Dawida, Evans, Hutchinson, Kowalyshyn, Kukovich, Lescovitz, Letterman, Livengood, Lloyd, Richardson, Ritter, Rybak, Seventy, Showers, Shupnik, Spitz, Wambach, Wargo, Wiggins, Williams, H., Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Zwilk.

NOT VOTING—2

Table listing names of members who did not vote. Includes Gray, Pratt.

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were excused. Includes Deal, George, Heiser, Olasz.

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

AMENDMENT A2566 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, who moves that the vote by which the Lloyd amendment A2566 to SB 425 was defeated on June 30, 1981, be reconsidered, the reconsideration motion being seconded by the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—177

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (177 total). Includes Alden, Anderson, Armstrong, Arty, Barber, Belardi, Belfanti, Beloff, Berson, Bittle, Blaum, Borski, Boyes, Brown, Burd, Burns, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cawley, Cimini, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cochran, Cohen, Colafella, Cole, Cordisco, Cornell, Coslett, Cowell, Cunningham, DeMedio, DeVertter, DeWeese, Daikeler, Davies, Dawida, Dininni, Dombrowski, Donatucci, Dorr, Duffy, Evans, McClatchy, McIntyre, McMonagle, McVerry, Mackowski, Madigan, Maiale, Manderino, Manmiller, Marmion, Merry, Micozzie, Miller, Miscevich, Moehlmann, Morris, Mowery, Mrkonic, Mullen, Murphy, Nahill, Noye, O'Donnell, Oliver, Pendleton, Perzel, Peterson, Petrarca, Petrone, Phillips, Piccola, Pievsky, Pistella, Pott, Pucciarelli, Punt, Rappaport, Reber, Richardson, Rieger, Ritter, Salvatore, Saurman, Serafini, Seventy, Showers, Shupnik, Sieminski, Sirianni, Smith, Steighner, Stevens, Stewart, Swaim, Sweet, Swift, Taddonio, Taylor, Telek, Tigie, Trello, Van Horne, Wachob, Wagon, Wozniak, Wright, D. R., Wright, J. L., Zwilk, Ryan.

Durham	Lucyk	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	McCall	Rybak	

NAYS—17

Bowser	Fleck	Hayes	Spencer
Brandt	Gallen	Johnson	Stairs
Cessar	Gannon	Pitts	Wass
Dietz	Geist	Rasco	Wenger
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Letterman	Wambach
------	-----------	---------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The clerk read the following amendments No. A2566:

Amend Title, page 1, line 21, by inserting after "AGENCIES;"

further providing for public relations and budgets;

Amend Bill, page 31 by inserting between lines 12 and 13

Section 2. Section 613 of the act is amended by adding a clause to read:

Section 613. Submission of Budget to General Assembly.—As soon as possible after the organization of the General Assembly, but not later than the first full week in February of each year, except in the case where a Governor has been elected for his first term of office and then no later than the first full week in March, the Governor shall submit to the General Assembly copies of agency budget requests and a State budget and program and financial plan embracing:

(4) The budget shall list as a single, separate line item for each administrative department, board, and commission the amount which the Governor recommends to be appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year for public relations. For the purposes of this clause, "public relations" shall include the preparation, presentation and distribution of advertising, publications, radio tapes, television films and tapes, and media releases. The separate line item shall include all compensation, including fringe benefits; all travel, meal, lodging, and similar expenses; the cost of purchasing new equipment and supplies; the cost of leasing offices and equipment; the cost of purchasing material, including newspapers, magazines, movies, films and tapes; the cost of using wire service equipment; and all other similar public relations expenditures.

Amend Sec. 2, page 31, line 13, by striking out "2." and inserting

3.

Amend Sec. 3, page 31, line 15, by striking out "3." and inserting

4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 33, line 29, by striking out "4." and inserting

5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 42, line 23, by striking out "5." and inserting

6.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just very briefly, so that everybody understands what this amendment does do and does not do. What it does do is require that each year when the budget is submitted, that for the executive branch departments and agencies, a separate line item be included for each department and agency spelling out what is proposed to be appropriated for public relations expenses for the succeeding fiscal year. That would apply to every Governor that we would have as long as this statute is on the books.

What it does not do is to cut anybody. What it does not do is to say what the Appropriations Committee or what this House or the Senate will do with that information in the budget. What it requires is that data be presented to us so that we can take a look, because some agencies may be doing a very poor job of making the public aware of what their new regulations are and what their problems are, whereas other agencies may be spending the money in an inefficient way. They may be spending the money on high-priced operations when cheaper things might do the job. This is consistent with what President Reagan is doing, and all it does is provide us with information and postpone to another day what we decide to do with that information. I urge adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Alden.

Mr. ALDEN. Mr. Speaker, will the chairman of the Appropriations Committee stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates that he will. The gentleman, Mr. Alden, may proceed.

Mr. ALDEN. Mr. Speaker, are these items already line-itemed before the Appropriations Committee so that everybody knows what is being spent?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, when each department comes before the Appropriations Committee, all these questions are asked. We have a document called the general appropriation budget, but as each one of these departments comes before us, they have their own individual budgets that have a further explanation of all their expenditures, and we certainly do go through these things. This year we cut each department back to 97 percent of last year's budget. If we find in our wisdom that they are spending too much on press releases or what we are talking about here, we will certainly cut it back. I think the amendment is irrelevant myself. I think we do the job already, and we do it effectively.

Mr. ALDEN. But all those items are available? The people know and have knowledge of all those items and the amounts of money being spent for them?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes, they are.

Mr. ALDEN. Okay. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greene, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. In response to Mr. Alden's question, although it was not directed to me, I serve on the Appropriations Committee, and many times, if we are attempting to

find out information about travel and meal and lodging and advertising, some of the answers we receive are as misty and murky as the Marabar Caves. We have not been given information on an ongoing basis, and I believe that what Mr. Lloyd is asking for is something that is already being put in motion, put in motion in Washington, D.C. Ronald Reagan, our Chief Executive—and I have had the pleasure of quoting or at least reminding people about his public service before from this same podium—that gentleman has seen fit to make certain that no waste, no excess abounds, especially in such a sensitive and volatile area as public relations.

I remember one department came before Mr. McClatchy and Mr. DeWeese, et al, and I had the temerity to ask them about their new curtains. Well, they responded forthrightly that they did not have new curtains in their PR office, but lo and behold a few months later they had new curtains. Now, I am not saying that what we are experiencing across the board is fraudulent; all I am saying is that Mr. Lloyd, the gentleman from Somerset County, is trying to spell out where we are spending money in the public relations field.

I do not think we are asking for too much. I do not think this amendment says too much. We want to know where the presentation, distribution, advertising, publications, things that heretofore can slip through the cracks. This is not going to cost anybody very much. It is going to give those people, those 60,000 people whom each of us represent, a little bit better idea where we are spending our money. If we can do more with less, let us do less with public relations. Let us pass the Lloyd amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Spitz.

Mr. SPITZ. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, stand for one question of interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. Spitz, may proceed.

Mr. SPITZ. Mr. Speaker, if I missed it, would you refresh my recollection? How old did you say Hannibal was when he went over the Alps?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. My recollection is that I was talking about Alexander the Great and some of his Macedonian warriors who dashed north to the brown hills of northern Greece. Philip II was his father and he was busy in the south with Sparta, Athens, and other city-states that would become rebellious, but I was trying to indicate that some young men, 16, 17, late adolescence in that day and age, were very active in leadership positions, and I thought that a leadership position like that could be assumed by some of our contemporary young people.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I am glad that, number one, Mr. DeWeese is now in the Reagan camp for a liberal. I never thought he would make it.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker, I kind of resent some of the things Mr. DeWeese said. When we run the Appropriations Committee hearings, every member, minority, majority, is

allowed to ask questions as long as they want. In fact, Mr. DeWeese took up an awful lot of our time. They are also entitled to get the information. If it is not available, we request it from every department and we get it. If we do not get it promptly, we will ask it again. I think this is just a waste of time, Mr. Speaker. We do the job now; we can do it as effectively as we possibly want, as the minority and majority members of the Appropriations Committee want it to be done. I do not think this is needed at all. I want to ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Lloyd amendment. Not long ago I read in the Philadelphia Inquirer a story written by Scott MacLeod that indicated that state government was spending nearly \$25 million in pure public relations. Now, that is a figure that astounds me as well as I am sure it probably astounds many of you. I do not know whether Mr. MacLeod's figures and additions were correct or not, but I know that we are being barraged by public relations efforts of every department and every agency. I believe in my PennDOT district I get more press releases than they fill potholes. I think that there is a time and a place for everything, and there is a time and a place for the Lloyd amendment. We are not asking anyone to do anything differently than they are doing it. Perhaps if they have to report specifically and in writing what they are doing so far as public relations and what expenditures are being made, they just might be a little more prone to put their money in services to the people of Pennsylvania rather than in public relations efforts with the people of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Speaker, I think this amendment deserves the support of every member of the House. It is an amendment to the Administrative Code that does nothing to tamper with or to spoil the effect of the fee bill and the land sale bill, which is now incorporated into this bill. I intend to support the bill in its present form; I would like to support it with the Lloyd amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, for the second time on the question.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I just want to emphasize the fact that the budget submissions, while they are the first order of interest to the Appropriations Committee, are also of interest to the other members of the House as well as to the members of the Senate, and at the present time that information is not readily available to all members. This is not an attempt to single out any one agency; it is an attempt to have an accounting and to have an accounting in a responsible way, by having the information which the Appropriations Committee says that it uses anyway, to have that made a matter of public record so that we can get a full accounting and so that every member, if he wants to propose amendments to increase the spending in one department and decrease it in another, will have the opportunity and the information with which to do that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mifflin, Mr. DeVerter.

Mr. DeVERTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Very briefly, I, too, as Mr. McClatchy has pointed out, tend to resent the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese's remarks relative to the Appropriations hearings. He did consume a considerable amount of time, and I appreciate that, as Mr. DeWeese let us know on any number of occasions he was new and he was wanting to learn, and that is fully understandable, and I respect and understand that.

On the other hand, with respect to Mr. Manderino's comments relative to us being inundated with materials press-wise from the various departments and agencies of this Commonwealth, we find one of the biggest complaints around here is, we do not know what is going on. And when they try to inform us, you know, it is a different story. Now, I do not think we can have it both ways. If we want to be informed legislators, then we are going to accept those things that are provided to us. If we disagree with them, then we should so notify those departments and agencies. But I do not think by and large that singling out one particular segment of a department's budget bodes well for that department and the information that it may or may not provide presently to the legislators in this General Assembly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Morris.

Mr. MORRIS. Mr. Speaker, I just have a couple of short remarks to make about this discussion.

After an absence of a little while, I came back here, and it had not changed very much, but now I find I have seen the film before, at least part of it. It seems to me we used to have great arguments, and I personally was in support of those who argued on this side of the fence; that is to say, Mr. Lloyd. We used to have great arguments about PR by the administrative departments as well as administrative lobbying, and somehow the parts in this movie seem to have been reversed; the roles are reversed. I find that most interesting. I support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, one of the major problems in government and society in general today is the spread of myths. Myths can be fostered by the media; myths can be fostered by government; and the problem with public relations spending is that it tends to foster myths and that instead of necessarily demonstrating what the truth is, it can often obscure the truth. For instance, businessmen throughout the Commonwealth received last year rolls of red tape. About \$38,000, we found out at the Appropriations Committee hearings, was spent on red tape. Now, is red tape an informative statement? Does red tape give any needed information as to what government funds are being used for? It does not. The red tape was a symbol that was sent to each businessman, ostensibly to demonstrate that the Thornburgh administration was concerned about cutting red tape, and this is a very effective way of communication, it was argued, and indeed it did communicate. Businessmen throughout the state complained about the waste of money

involved in sending out the red tape, and businessmen throughout the state wrote and said this is not a good way of communicating.

We have to be very careful about money which can be spent in this fashion, which is noninformative, and breaking out the public relations budget so that we can look carefully at what it entails can enable us to see how much money is being spent for information, which I agree is a very, very justifiable course of government expenditure, and how much money is being spent to perpetuate myths in one way or the other.

In addition, this amendment is important because of the role of media involved in spreading information. Very large numbers of newspaper personnel, very large numbers of TV personnel wind up getting jobs from the administrations in Democratic years and Republican years on all levels of government. The role of media is to cover the news objectively. We have positions, we have large numbers of positions that far exceed the salaries the media personnel get, in state government or National Government or municipal government, so the media have an incentive to work hard in order to get these jobs. That also tends to distort truth. It distorts the information process, and it leads to the further creation of myths. I therefore support the Lloyd amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment consent to brief interrogation, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. Miller, may proceed.

Mr. MILLER. To the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, Mr. Speaker, I would ask a direct question. I fail to see anywhere in the gentleman's amendment where he addresses those same activities by ourselves, by the legislature, either branch of the House or Senate. Am I correct, sir?

Mr. LLOYD. The gentleman is correct, and the reason for that, Mr. Speaker, is that it is my understanding that the Governor does not itemize the expenditures by the legislative branch, that that is a matter within the jurisdiction of the legislature, and that it would be considered a violation of the principle of separation of power for the Governor to do that.

Mr. MILLER. I thank the gentleman for his answer, but I must admit to a bit of confusion.

This is not a new issue to the General Assembly. Those of us who have been privileged to spend any time here at all know that during administrations of the opposite party, this side of the aisle has offered similar amendments. I have heard arguments today by the distinguished minority whip about the amount of money that is spent and how this practice needs to be at least reviewed by the General Assembly. I have heard Mr. Lloyd himself, who acts on both sides of the issue by saying we are not certain but there may be an opportunity to correct or save some taxpayer's dollar. And lastly, I have heard the argument of myth from the gentleman from Philadelphia, the myth that is perpetrated on us via the news release.

Mr. Speaker, I challenge any member to dispute this next statement in rebutting this amendment: I would doubt that there is an agency in this Commonwealth that spends a larger percentage of its administrative dollar in the activity and endeavor of public relations, whether it be myth or substantive, than this General Assembly. Come before this General Assembly, Mr. Speaker, with an amendment that truly meets the merits you choose to present to us, that we need to watch this dollar in behalf of the Commonwealth citizenry, for all of us, all of us collectively and specifically in this House, and, sir, I will support it. But let us not go on a partisan witch-hunt under the suggestion, the mere suggestion, that there is a problem. If indeed there is a problem, let us solve it for all of us.

I would encourage a negative vote on the amendment and reconsider one with Mr. Lloyd as sincere in presenting a proposal that truly gets toward public relations costs, those that we foster upon the Commonwealth, particularly those that are in Mr. Cohen's viewpoint the myths. I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, could you strike the vote, please?

The SPEAKER. Is it the understanding of the Chair the gentleman asks to debate on this bill?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, here we are again in the House on a silly partisan issue that need not be that way. I do not think it is necessary. I do not think that I want some of our members who loyally are trying to vote "no" and support us on something that probably has some merit. I do not think it is necessary, and, therefore, to avoid any partisanship, I would ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—163

Alden	Fischer	Lucyk	Rybak
Barber	Fleck	McCall	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Berson	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Bittle	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Blaum	Gamble	Maiale	Sirianni
Borski	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Geist	Manmiller	Snyder

Brown	Gladeck	Marmion	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Merry	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Michlovic	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cimini	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Clark	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cochran	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Horgos	Pendleton	Telek
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Tigue
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Trello
Cowell	Irvis	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cunningham	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
DeMedio	Johnson	Piccola	Wambach
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wargo
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	White
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dorr	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Wozniak
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Lewis	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Evans	Livengood	Ritter	Zwilk
Fee	Lloyd	Rocks	

NAYS—32

Anderson	Dietz	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Moehlmann	Spencer
Arty	Gallen	Mowery	Vroon
Bowser	Grieco	Noye	Wass
Brandt	Honaman	Phillips	Wenger
Civera	Jackson	Rasco	
Clymer	Klingaman	Saurman	Ryan,
Cornell	Levi	Smith, B.	Speaker
DeVerte	Madigan		

NOT VOTING—2

Gray	Letterman
------	-----------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.
Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, I wish to speak in opposition to the passage of SB 425.

Mr. Speaker, I counted approximately 350 changes in the various fees. I have difficulty in determining what is justified and what is a serious error in judgment. It requires time, Mr. Speaker, to contact the various people whom you represent in your district so that you can determine whether or not it is being administered fairly.

It is interesting that this is a fee bill. That sounds to me like a lot of bureaucratic doubletalk, because when someone has to pay a fee in order to pursue his livelihood, then that, Mr. Speaker, is a tax, and a tax by any other name will taste just as sour to the already overburdened people of Pennsylvania. We are being asked to extract over \$7 million from the people we represent. I wish to share a few of the things that I found interesting.

One, we had a water well driller's license increased from \$3 to \$60. I just cannot understand the rationale behind that. We have the case of a malt beverage distributor's license whose fee is \$400 which is going to \$425. And yet on line 12, a much more expensive fee for malt beverage importing distributors also goes up just \$25 to \$925, a much smaller percentage increase.

Now, Mr. Speaker, we have by amendment process inserted an advantage, it seems to me, to the airlines. Now, what have we done for others? For instance, we also have an amendment that the fee for new establishments that are owner-operated with a seating capacity of less than 50 shall be \$30; however, all other new establishments are \$100. Presently that fee is \$1. That is an increase from \$1 to \$100 for, in many cases, a Mom and Pop operation. We have not changed the renewal which consists of a figure of \$30, where presently the fee is \$1. We have a duplicate license for each additional business location of \$5. Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that this would cover, in many cases, our fast-food chains, such as Gino's, McDonald's, Pizza Hut, and the like. Now, Mr. Speaker, they would be paying \$5 and we would be charging \$30 or \$100 for a new establishment. I am reminded of some of the slogans used by the fast-food chains: "You deserve a break today." Well, they are certainly getting it here. Or "Have it your way," another chain proudly boasts. Well, apparently they are having it their way.

There is no question in my mind that increases are warranted, but to submit so many at one time and at such a hurried pace is not fair to the people whom we represent. And when you look over that bill—and I am sure later on you will find out about it as its effect is felt upon the people we all represent—I would urge you to think a little more about this, because somehow or other this process makes a mockery of the legislative process. I urge you to reject this bill, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I think we have tried with this fee bill to be open and fair. We presented it to the House. It has been on the calendar. We circulated the increased cost of the fees per week. I think both sides have had them. I certainly have told my caucus that we would accept amendments if there were any fees they thought were excessive. We have accepted amendments. I am aware of most of the fees in the bill, why they are increased, and basically it is to cover costs. It is to cover the costs; in fact, we do not cover all of the costs. If we thought the fee increase was excessive in the fact that we could not go all the way up to the pure cost, we did not.

Again, Mr. Speaker, I think it is a fair approach to the fee increase. If there is any disagreement in it, there is no reason why this House cannot at another time, another day, come back with another piece of legislation and decrease or change any fee that we think is excessive. Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, many times have I heard in this House, we can do it later. The point is we are doing it precisely now at this moment, and I say there are inequities that exist. How you can justify a \$100 license for an eating establishment when the present fee is \$1 and then charge \$30 for a renewal license, and I need not tell you how many there are of those establishments throughout each individual district. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Letterman, rise?

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, would you give me one minute, please? Just one minute.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Letterman.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, is it possible to vote on everything but the one on the eating places, separate it?

The SPEAKER. The bill is on final passage. It is impossible to do as the gentleman is asking to do.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, the reason I am asking this is in our country today there are so many of these small eating places just supporting one person or maybe two persons or a family, and this is more out of their pocket that I do not think a lot of them can afford, and I do not see why we could not separate it. I think it could be separated, and I think it is extremely too much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mifflin, Mr. DeVerter.

Mr. DeVERTER. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. DeVerter, may proceed.

Mr. DeVERTER. Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman, Mr. Letterman, aware that that section was amended with Mr. Wilson's amendment on the restaurant licenses?

Mr. LETTERMAN. What did it do?

Mr. DeVERTER. It in fact placed those eating establishments with a seating capacity of 50 or less at a \$30 license fee, which I feel is reasonable. Those at 51 and above would be required the \$100 license. And those, you will find, mainly deal with the established hotels, motels, places like that, I believe, as far as seating capacity.

I was concerned about that, quite frankly, and that was my amendment which we incorporated with Mr. Wilson's so that we would not have a number of amendments to the bill.

Mr. LETTERMAN. Okay. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—112

Alden	Fleck	McIntyre	Rieger
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Salvatore
Arty	Frazier	Maiale	Saurman
Barber	Freind	Manderino	Sieminski
Beloff	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bittle	Gannon	Marmion	Smith, B.
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Greenfield	Micozzie	Snyder
Burd	Greenwood	Miller	Spencer
Burns	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Honaman	Noye	Telek
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Vroon
Cowell	Irviss	Pendleton	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Perzel	Wenger
DeVerter	Jackson	Peterson	Weston
Daikeler	Johnson	Phillips	White
Davies	Kanuck	Piccola	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Klingaman	Pievsky	Wilson
Dietz	Lashingner	Pitts	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Pott	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Levi	Punt	
Donatucci	Levin	Rasco	Ryan,
Dorr	Lewis	Reber	Speaker
Durham	McClatchy		

NAYS—82

Belardi	Fee	Lloyd	Shupnik
Belfanti	Fischer	Lucyk	Spitz
Berson	Fryer	McCall	Stairs
Blaum	Gallagher	McVerry	Steighner
Borski	Gamble	Madigan	Stevens
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Stewart
Cahtagirone	Grieco	Morris	Suban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Petrarca	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Petrone	Tigue
Cochran	Hoeffel	Pistella	Trello
Cohen	Horgos	Pratt	Wachob
Colafella	Kennedy	Pucciarelli	Wambach
Cole	Kolter	Rappaport	Wargo
Cordisco	Kowalshyn	Richardson	Wiggins
DeMedio	Kukovich	Ritter	Williams, H.
DeWeese	Laughlin	Rybak	Wozniak
Duffy	Lescovitz	Seventy	Wright, D. R.
Emerson	Letterman	Showers	Zwikl
Evans	Livengood		

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Mullen	Serafini
------	--------	----------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call a meeting of the Committee of Conference on HB 1290 in the Appropriations room immediately.

The SPEAKER. Mr. McClatchy calls a meeting of the Committee of Conference on HB 1290 in the Appropriations conference room immediately. Will the conferees report to room 245.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Coslett, rise?

Mr. COSLETT. Mr. Speaker, on SB 425 I was recorded in the affirmative. I would like to cast my vote in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Serafini, rise?

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, my switch did not activate on SB 425. I would have liked to have been recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 277, PN 1089, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P. L. 90, No. 21), entitled "Liquor Code," defining and exempting privately-owned public golf courses from licensing quota and further regulating sales by such golf courses and certain other liquor licensees.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. BOWSER offered the following amendments No. A2930:

Amend Title, page 1, line 19, by removing the period after "LICENSEES" and inserting and further providing for retail outlets for limited wineries.

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 8 and 9 Section 4. Section 505.2 of the act, amended March 27, 1972 (P.L. 153, No. 57), is amended to read:

Section 505.2. Limited Wineries.—Holders of a limited winery license may:

(1) Produce wines only from fruits grown in Pennsylvania in an amount not to exceed one hundred thousand (100,000) gallons per year.

(2) Sell wine produced by the limited winery on the licensed premises, under such conditions and regulations as the board may enforce, to the Liquor Control Board, to individuals and to hotel, restaurant, club and public service liquor licensees.

(3) Sell wine produced by the limited winery on no more than three board-approved locations other than the licensed premises, with no bottling or production requirement at those additional board-approved locations and under such conditions

and regulations as the board may enforce, to the Liquor Control Board, to individuals and to hotel, restaurant, club and public service liquor licensees.

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, line 9, by striking out "4. This" and inserting

5. (a) Sections 1, 2 and 3 of this

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, by inserting after line 9

(b) Section 4 of this act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Bowser.

Mr. BOWSER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment will do to SB 277 is the exact same thing as my HB 357. The reason I would like to get it amended into this bill is that it could possibly be passed before the summer break. The wineries have been waiting for this a long time and would appreciate this 3 or 4 months' advance.

What this will do is give our limited wineries in the State of Pennsylvania three extended premises where they do not have to make wine. Right now they can have extended premises, but they have to manufacture wine on those premises, and in order to do that they have to go into an industrial zone, which is not always conducive to selling wines. So what this bill would do is give them three each per winery where they would not have to manufacture wine, so they could go into a plaza or to a better retail location. I would appreciate very much your support on this.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Caltagirone.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, will the maker of the amendment stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, how many retail outlets do the winemakers in this state presently have?

Mr. BOWSER. They can go up to six right now. I know of no winery that has more than three.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, how many wineries do we have in this state?

Mr. BOWSER. Twenty-three, to my knowledge.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. What would the total amount of retail outlets total if in fact the maximum number of retail outlets were to occur?

Mr. BOWSER. Through this amendment, you are speaking?

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Yes.

Mr. BOWSER. Well, it would be three per winery, so it would be 3 times 23 plus what they have now.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. So that would be a total of approximately 125, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. BOWSER. It could be, if they would all choose to do this.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Do you think that is kind of excessive?

Mr. BOWSER. Expensive?

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Excessive, as far as the number of outlets.

Mr. BOWSER. Oh, excessive. Well, I do not believe so, because what we are doing, Mr. Speaker, by these extended premises, we are selling only Pennsylvania-made wines, which not only helps the wineries; it helps our agricultural community in the state. For every gallon of wine that is made, we grow so many grapes. Some farmer grows that. We are helping our own agriculture in the state. No; I do not think it is excessive. These wineries are limited to 100,000 gallons per year. The largest winery is up in my district, Penn Shore, which was just a little bit over 40,000 gallons last year, and compared to the big wineries, no, I do not believe it is excessive at all.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, is it not a fact that the Liquor Control Board does try in its own way to promote the Pennsylvania wines in their stores, which total 725?

Mr. BOWSER. Would you repeat that, please?

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. The Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board, do they not in fact promote the Pennsylvania wines on displays and adequately stock and try to promote them whenever and wherever they can?

Mr. BOWSER. Well, this has been a big argument. There are Pennsylvania wines in some of the stores. I think there are only four of the wineries that have products in the stores. One of their big problems is the amount of orders that the Liquor Control Board will order from them. We have an awful problem with shipping. They will ask for a case of wine, and in some cases the shipping costs are as much as the cost of the wine. And because of the fact that it has not gone over that well in the stores, I think you are right, but it has been very limited, the pushing of Pennsylvania wines, that they feel that they have to do their marketing themselves.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, if your premise holds true about promoting Pennsylvania wine, could we not then also promote Pennsylvania whiskey and allow the whiskey manufacturer in this state to then promote Pennsylvania whiskey in private stores or in your wine outlets?

Mr. BOWSER. I would have no problem with that, if we made the product in Pennsylvania.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, I have finished my interrogation. I would like to make a comment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. CALTAGIRONE. Mr. Speaker, I think this is a very critical issue. I think you had better think twice when you vote on this, because this is setting up a very dangerous precedent for the dissolution of the system of liquor control as we presently know it in this state.

The premise that I hold is, if they do allow the expansion of additional retail outlets, they may be in one area of the state servicing another area of the state. I am not trying to hold down the growth and development of a Pennsylvania industry. What I am saying is we presently have an outlet, and it is a fact that we do try to promote those types of wines in our liquor stores in the state.

If we allow this amendment to be approved, we are going to lose control, and it is a little bit of control in addition to the liquor that we manufacture. There is no logical reason or argument that could then be used that we could not also sell liquor in those same stores. I oppose the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Stuban.

Mr. STUBAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment. I personally think if you walk through one of our liquor stores and the self-service counters and you look out there and see the wines that are on those shelves, you will find that there are very few Pennsylvania wines there. I do not think the House should sit here and dicker and carry on about letting a Pennsylvania industry promote itself. I know some of you fellows who are from steel cities are worried that steel is now running in first place, but the agricultural industry is going to be number one in the state, and we could help by putting Pennsylvania wines all over the State of Pennsylvania. I ask you to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Adams, Mr. Cole.

Mr. COLE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the amendment. The wine industry in Pennsylvania is a rather small industry. They have been struggling for years, many of the wineries, to survive. Many of them are family operations, and I think we should do everything possible to help them in their endeavors to survive and help the economy of Pennsylvania. So I urge every member in the House to support the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Petrone.

Mr. PETRONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of this amendment, Mr. Speaker, and urge all of my colleagues to vote for this amendment.

The wine industry in the State of Pennsylvania is a fledgling industry that needs our help. This amendment will support that cause. For too many years we have been barred from allowing the growth of this industry by strong competition from the States of California and New York. Today we have an opportunity to provide a chance for this industry to grow. I think we all should do it, and I urge you to support this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—178

Alden	Fee	McCall	Rybak
Anderson	Fleck	McIntyre	Salvatore
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Saurman
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Serafini
Barber	Frazier	Mackowski	Seventy
Belardi	Freind	Madigan	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Maiale	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Sieminski
Berson	Gallen	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bittle	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gannon	Merry	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder

Bowser	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Brandt	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hoeffel	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cordisco	Iris	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Kanuck	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kolter	Pistella	White
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levi	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levin	Reber	Zwikl
Durham	Lewis	Rieger	
Emerson	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Lucyk	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—12

Brown	Duffy	Johnson	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Fischer	Lloyd	Stairs
Clymer	Haluska	Richardson	Sweet

NOT VOTING—7

Cunningham	Greenfield	McClatchy	Williams, J. D.
Gray	Letterman	Spencer	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WACHOB offered the following amendments No. A2981:

Amend Title, page 1, line 16, by inserting after "laws," " further providing for the issuance of hotel, restaurant and club licenses;

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 1. Section 404, act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the "Liquor Code," amended September 2, 1971 (P.L.429, No.103), is amended to read:

Section 404. Issuance of Hotel, Restaurant and Club Liquor Licenses.—Upon receipt of the application, the proper fees and bond, and upon being satisfied of the truth of the statements in the application that the applicant is the only person in any manner pecuniarily interested in the business so asked to be licensed and that no other person will be in any manner pecuniarily interested therein during the continuance of the license, except as hereinafter permitted, and that the applicant is a person of good repute, that the premises applied for meet all the requirements of this act and the regulations of the board, that the applicant seeks a license for a hotel, restaurant or club, as defined in

this act, and that the issuance of such license is not prohibited by any of the provisions of this act, the board shall, in the case of a hotel or restaurant, grant and issue to the applicant a liquor license, and in the case of a club may, in its discretion, issue or refuse a license: Provided, however, That in the case of any new license or the transfer of any license to a new location the board may, in its discretion, grant or refuse such new license or transfer if such place proposed to be licensed is within three hundred feet of any church, hospital, charitable institution, school, or public playground, or if such new license or transfer is applied for a place which is within two hundred feet of any other premises which is licensed by the board[, or if such new license or transfer is applied for a place where the principal business is the sale of liquid fuels and oil]: And provided further, That the board shall refuse any application for a new license or the transfer of any license to a new location if, in the board's opinion, such new license or transfer would be detrimental to the welfare, health, peace and morals of the inhabitants of the neighborhood within a radius of five hundred feet of the place proposed to be licensed: And provided further, That the board shall not issue new licenses in any license district more than twice each license year, effective from specific dates fixed by the board, and new licenses shall not be granted, except for hotels as defined in this act, unless the application therefor shall have been filed at least thirty days before the effective date of the license: And provided further, That nothing herein contained shall prohibit the board from issuing a new license for the balance of any unexpired term in any license district to any applicant in such district, who shall have become eligible to hold such license as the result of legislative enactment, when such enactment shall have taken place during the license term of that district for which application is made or within the thirty days immediately preceding such term, nor shall anything herein contained prohibit the board from issuing at any time a new license for an airport restaurant, or municipal golf course, as defined in section 461 of this act, for the balance of the unexpired license term in any license district: And provided further, That the board shall have the discretion to refuse a license to any person or to any corporation, partnership or association if such person, or any officer or director of such corporation, or any member or partner of such partnership or association shall have been convicted or found guilty of a felony within a period of five years immediately preceding the date of application for the said license.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by striking out "1." and inserting

2.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 22 and 23, by striking out "act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No. 21), known as the "Liquor Code,"" and inserting

of the act,

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, line 12, by striking out "2." and inserting

3.

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 24, by striking out "3." and inserting

4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, line 9, by striking out "4." and inserting

5.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Elk, Mr. Wachob.

Mr. WACHOB. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment No. A2981 seeks to address a local problem that I have in Elk and Clearfield Counties,

where a person had been operating a small service station that was connected to a retail grocery distributor and also a small snack bar, and he had purchased a license within the quota and later found out that that license could not be transferred to that location, because you cannot sell alcoholic beverages—in this particular case just beer—at a facility or at a business that also dispenses gas. This amendment would lift that restriction and would allow that local operator in Clearfield County to go on with the business that he had already purchased, and I urge support of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Lehr.

Mr. LEHR. Mr. Speaker, I oppose this amendment. The way it looks to me, we are trying to have liquor served at gas stations or truckstops. I do not think this is right. We want to make it harder for these people to get liquor, not easier. We are talking about drivers - the guy out on the golf course to drive a ball, not to drive heavy trucks. I oppose this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—22

Belfanti	DeWeese	Maiale	Tige
Berson	Emerson	Petrone	Van Horne
Blaum	Grabowski	Richardson	Wachob
Boyes	Horgos	Stewart	Wargo
Cawley	Kukovich	Sweet	Wozniak
DeMedio	Livengood		

NAYS—167

Alden	Fischer	Lucyk	Ritter
Anderson	Fleck	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Rybak
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Salvatore
Barber	Frazier	McMonagle	Saurman
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Serafini
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Seventy
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Showers
Borski	Gallen	Manderino	Shupnik
Bowser	Gamble	Manmiller	Sieminski
Brandt	Gannon	Marmion	Sirianni
Brown	Geist	Merry	Smith, B.
Burd	Gladeck	Michlovic	Smith, E. H.
Burns	Greenwood	Micozzie	Smith, L. E.
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miller	Snyder
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Spencer
Cessar	Gruppo	Morris	Spitz
Cimini	Hagarty	Mowery	Stairs
Civera	Haluska	Mrkonc	Steighner
Clark	Harper	Mullen	Stevens
Clymer	Hasay	Murphy	Swaim
Cochran	Hayes	Nahill	Swift
Cohen	Hoefel	Noye	Taddonio
Colafella	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cordisco	Irvis	Pendleton	Telek
Cornell	Itkin	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Peterson	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Petrarca	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
DeVertter	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dietz	Lashinger	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Pratt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.

Donatucci	Lescovitz	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Rappaport	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Rasco	
Durham	Lewis	Reber	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rieger	Speaker
Fee			

NOT VOTING—8

Gray	Hutchinson, A.	Miscevich	Trello
Greenfield	Letterman	Stuban	Williams, J. D.

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DAWIDA offered the following amendments No. A2987:

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

Section 1. Section 102, act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the "Liquor Code," is amended by adding a definition to read:

Section 102. Definitions.—The following words or phrases, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section:

"Golf course" shall mean a course having a minimum of nine holes and a total length of at least twenty-five hundred yards.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by striking out "1." and inserting
2.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, lines 22 and 23, by striking out " , act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the "Liquor Code,"" and inserting
of the act,

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, line 12, by striking out "2." and inserting
3.

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 24, by striking out "3." and inserting
4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, line 9, by striking out "4." and inserting
5.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Mr. Speaker, if I may clear up the confusion, there were two amendments circulated. I have withdrawn amendment 2958 and will be offering amendment 2987. I was prevailed upon by my Republican colleagues, who know more about golf than we Democrats do, to amend my amendment.

What we are trying to do is very simple. I want to make sure that these special licenses go to bona fide golf courses and not to somebody with a putt-putt course or a driving range or an electronic golfing range or any number of other things that would have been able to slip in under this bill. I think it was a loophole that we did not realize was there, so what I have

done is say that "golf course" shall mean a course having a minimum of nine holes and a total length of at least 2,500 yards to assure that it is some kind of bona fide golf course, and I would appreciate your support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Laughlin.

Mr. LAUGHLIN. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman says nine holes and 2,500 yards. Mr. Speaker, that could be up and down, up and down, up and down. It does not really explain anything, and it just gives the opportunity for these golf courses to have something they really should not have. And anybody who has ever been out on a golf course does not want anybody driving a golf club through their ears or anything, so I do not think we should be passing this legislation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I agree with the thrust of the Dawida amendment, but we have had the opportunity to discuss it. The problem that comes in taking away the discretion from the Liquor Control Board is that some people are going to still be included that are small golf courses for which this legislation was never intended. On the same hand, some golf courses are going to be excluded because of the problem that they might be a 9-hole golf course and you play the 9 holes twice to equal 18 holes and it still might not reach his 2,500-yard level.

I think it is something that was done in haste to try to tighten up the legislation. I think it is a good idea to tighten it up so that we do not include the chip-and-putt type courses, so that they are not eligible for this new liquor license. But because of the time restraints and the effort to move this bill, I do not think a good job was done in exploring all the types of golf courses that would qualify or would not qualify. I think it is a discretionary matter that lies with the board, and let them take the time to examine what golf courses should be qualifying.

I do know, though, that the intention was to speak specifically to 18-hole golf courses, full-length golf courses or those golf courses that could equate to 18 holes but were still considered more than just a normal par 3 golf course. I would hope that the Liquor Control Board would look at the legislative intent, the remarks that are being made on the floor today, in developing their standards for issuing this new license. But I do not think the 2,500-yard standard is a good standard, and I would therefore urge the members to reject the Dawida amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes, for the second time on the subject, the gentleman, Mr. Dawida.

Mr. DAWIDA. Not to belabor the point, but those of you who buy Mr. Laughlin's argument should still vote for my amendment in case this bill passes, because it will result in fewer licenses being issued if this bill passes.

And secondly, to address Mr. Lashinger's point, all I am trying to do is establish minimum standards. I do not trust bureaucrats to set up their own standards, so I want them to know that we want at least what we are saying here, if not more, and so I would urge that you support this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—121

Armstrong	Evans	McMonagle	Serafini
Barber	Fee	McVerry	Seventy
Belfanti	Fleck	Maiale	Showers
Beloff	Frazier	Manderino	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Marmion	Sieminski
Blaum	Gamble	Michlovic	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Grabowski	Miscevich	Spencer
Bowser	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Spitz
Brown	Greenwood	Morris	Steighner
Burd	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mullen	Stewart
Cappabianca	Haluska	Murphy	Stuban
Cawley	Harper	O'Donnell	Swaim
Clymer	Hoefel	Oliver	Sweet
Cochran	Horgos	Pendleton	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Petrarca	Telek
Colafella	Irviss	Petrone	Tigue
Cole	Itkin	Phillips	Trello
Cordisco	Klingaman	Pievsky	Van Horne
Cowell	Kolter	Pistella	Wachob
Cunningham	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wambach
DeMedio	Kukovich	Pratt	Wargo
DeVerter	Lescovitz	Pucciarelli	Wass
DeWeese	Letterman	Rappaport	White
Dawida	Levin	Rasco	Wiggins
Dietz	Livengood	Reber	Williams, H.
Dombrowski	Lloyd	Richardson	Wogan
Donatucci	Lucyk	Rieger	Wozniak
Dorr	McCall	Rocks	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	McIntyre	Rybak	Zwinkl
Emerson			

NAYS—69

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	Laughlin	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Lehr	Saurman
Belardi	Freind	Levi	Sirianni
Bittle	Fryer	McClatchy	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallen	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Gannon	Madigan	Snyder
Burns	Geist	Manmiller	Stairs
Cessar	Gladeck	Merry	Swift
Cimini	Grieco	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hagarty	Miller	Vroon
Clark	Hasay	Mowery	Wenger
Cornell	Hayes	Nahill	Weston
Coslett	Honaman	Noye	Wilson
Daikeler	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Jackson	Peterson	
Dininni	Johnson	Piccola	Ryan,
Durham	Kennedy	Punt	Speaker
Fischer	Lashingner	Ritter	

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Kanuck	Pitts	Williams, J. D.
Gray	Lewis	Taddonio	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LASHINGER offered the following amendments No. A2954:

Amend Title, page 1, line 18 by inserting after "quota"
; providing for wine stores;
Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 11 and 12
Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:
Section 412. Pennsylvania Wine Stores.—(a) Subject to
the provisions of this act in general, regulations promulgated by
the board and more particularly to the following provisions of
this section, the board is authorized to issue Pennsylvania wine
store licenses to qualified applicants for the retail sale by the
bottle of wines produced by Pennsylvania limited wineries.

(b) Every applicant for a Pennsylvania wine store license
shall file a written application with the board in such form as the
board shall from time to time prescribe, which shall be accompa-
nied by filing a fee of seventy-five dollars (\$75), an annual license
fee of six hundred dollars (\$600) and a bond as hereinafter
prescribed. Every such application shall contain a description of
the premises for which the applicant desires a license and shall set
forth such other material information as may be required by the
board.

(c) If the applicant is a natural person, his application must
show that he is a citizen of this Commonwealth. If the applicant
is an association or partnership, each and every member of the
association or partnership must be a citizen of the United States
and a resident of this Commonwealth. If the applicant is a corpo-
ration, the application must show that the corporation was
created under the laws of Pennsylvania or holds a certificate of
authority to transact business in Pennsylvania and that all offi-
cers, directors and stockholders are citizens of the United States.

(d) No Pennsylvania wine store shall be located within three
hundred feet of any elementary or secondary school, nor within a
municipality without there first having been a referendum
approving such location. When the board shall have received an
application for a Pennsylvania wine store license, it shall give
notice of such application by public advertisement in two newspa-
pers of general circulation. Notice of such application shall also
be made by the applicant as required in section 403(g). If, within
five days after the appearance of such advertisement or of the last
day upon which the notice was posted, fifteen or more taxpayers
residing within a quarter of a mile of such location shall file a
protest with the court of common pleas of the county that the
location is objectionable because of its proximity to a church, a
school or to private residences, the court shall forthwith hold a
hearing affording an opportunity to the protestants and to the
applicant to present evidence. The court shall render its decision
immediately upon the conclusion of the testimony and from the
decision there shall be no appeal. If the court shall determine that
the proposed location is undesirable for the reasons set forth in
the protest, no license shall be granted for that location.

(e) Holders of such licenses may purchase wines produced
by Pennsylvania limited wineries either from Pennsylvania State
liquor stores or directly from Pennsylvania limited wineries. Sales
may be made to those persons who are permitted to make
purchases in Pennsylvania State liquor stores. Hours of operation
shall be as provided for Pennsylvania State liquor stores in
section 304.

(f) No Pennsylvania wine store licensee shall employ any
minor under the age of eighteen on his licensed premises. No
licensee, his servants, agents or employes shall permit persons of
ill repute, known criminals, prostitutes or minors to frequent his
licensed premises or any premises operated in connection there-
with, except minors accompanied by parents, guardians or other
proper supervision.

(g) No wine bottle shall be opened on the premises of a
Pennsylvania wine store. No licensee, his servants, agents or
employes, shall permit any wine to be consumed on the store
premises, nor shall any person consume any wine on such prem-
ises.

(h) No Pennsylvania wine store license shall be issued to any
person operating any business in which food or food products are
sold, nor to a licensed limited winery.

(i) Licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall not be subject to the quota restrictions of section 461 or any quota restrictions of this act.

(j) Pennsylvania wine store licenses shall not be transferable.

(k) Unless previously revoked, every license issued by the board under this section shall expire and terminate on the thirty-first day of December, in the year from which the license is issued. Licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall be renewed annually upon the filing of applications in such form as the board shall prescribe, but no license shall be renewed until the applicant shall file with the board a new surety bond and shall pay the requisite licensee fee specified in this section.

(l) Any such license may be suspended or revoked by the board upon proof satisfactory to it that the licensee has violated any law of this Commonwealth or any regulation of the board relating to liquor and alcohol. The procedure in such cases shall be the same as for the revocation and suspension of hotel, restaurant and club licenses.

(m) Pennsylvania wine store licensees shall be subject to periodic audits, at the discretion of the board.

Amend Sec. 2, page 5, line 12 by striking out "2" and inserting

3

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 24 by striking out "3" and inserting

4

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, line 9 by striking out "4" and inserting

5

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is really an adjunct to the Bowser amendment wherein he allows limited wineries to set up three retail outlets separate and apart from locations where they currently manufacture wine. Under the Liquor Code right now, two groups of licenses, one permitting the sale at retail of alcoholic beverages, are issued by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board; the other, a retail dispensers' license which allows the sale of beer and other malt beverages.

What this legislation would do would go a little bit further in assisting the wineries and the agricultural industry in this Commonwealth. This would establish a new license in the Commonwealth just for the specific sale of Pennsylvania wines. There are other states currently doing this who are involved in the wine industry. New York and California both specifically do this in an effort to promote the development of their wine industries.

The restrictions for those who have expressed some concern are similar to those already available in the Liquor Code that regard liquor retail licenses in Pennsylvania. The standards are exactly the same as the standards that apply to other retail licenses in regard to where they can be located - the nearness to a school, a church, or other facility. The financial requirements are also identical to those available in the Liquor Code as regards retail liquor licenses. The only specific thing that is done by this legislation is the establishment of this new license which would be for just the sole sale of Pennsylvania wines in the Commonwealth. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Bowser.

Mr. BOWSER. Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Lashinger stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lashinger, will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. BOWSER. Mr. Speaker, would this be unlimited in the amount of stores or would this correspond to what my amendment does and what presently is there?

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, the requirements would be the same as currently exist under the Liquor Code. For each separate location you would have to be reapplying for a separate license, so there would be individual licenses available for each operation. It is also important to note that unlike retail liquor licenses in Pennsylvania, this would be a nontransferable license, so you would not have these licenses all of a sudden quickly increasing in value and being sold all throughout the county or throughout the Commonwealth.

Mr. BOWSER. Now, what you are saying then is it would be unlimited according to the present—

Mr. LASHINGER. I think that is a fair assessment. You would have to again, like retail liquor licenses, apply for each separate location.

Mr. BOWSER. I am still not quite clear on it. You know, the amendment we just passed will give them three more additional stores. Now, are you talking about those stores plus the ones they have now or are you talking about an unlimited amount of these specialty stores for Pennsylvania wines only?

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, your amendment spoke specifically to limited wineries establishing retail outlets.

Mr. BOWSER. Right.

Mr. LASHINGER. This amendment establishes a new license under the Liquor Code for a private individual to come in and apply for that license—not necessarily having to be a limited winery in Pennsylvania—for the purpose of selling just Pennsylvania wines. So possibly what would happen in your case, Penn Shore would establish its own retail outlet just for selling Penn Shore wine. Under this amendment someone could come in and sell Bucks Country Vineyard wines, Penn Shore wines, and all the other wines that are currently available in Pennsylvania, this with the hope that someday the gallonage requirement, the 100,000-gallon limit on sales, will be removed and the wine industry will be booming in Pennsylvania and doing well and that this will be good for the industry and also be good for these people who hold these licenses and really took the risk at getting involved in this industry while it was in its infancy stages.

Mr. BOWSER. Mr. Speaker, the product would limit it now, but is there a quota in your bill per number in the state? If the product was there, if I qualified, could I open 100 stores?

Mr. LASHINGER. That is correct, Mr. Speaker, but that is not unlike and that is why I was trying to point out the correlation between retail licenses, liquor dispensing licenses in the Commonwealth now, and this license. You can do that currently with liquor licenses, but you would have to separately apply for each new location. You could not develop one license and open up 100 stores.

Mr. BOWSER. All right. You answered my question, but the supply of Pennsylvania wines which you do have in there is going to limit it very much right at this point.

Mr. LASHINGER. Could you repeat that, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. BOWSER. I said the supply of Pennsylvania wines is going to limit your amount of locations at this point very much.

Mr. LASHINGER. That is absolutely correct.

I do not imagine that this type of license will be one that will be quickly sought after. I would think that in the future as this industry continues to develop, it would become a license that would be sought out and the thoughts of opening up specialty wine stores specifically for Pennsylvania wines, for the idea of promoting those wines solely, would be taken up by many.

Mr. BOWSER. Just so the members understand, like I said, 100,000 gallons per winery times 23, that is what your product would be right now, and the only way you could increase that is by legislation right here in these two bodies. Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON REQUESTED TO PRESIDE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from York, Mr. Anderson, take the rostrum to relieve the Speaker for a moment?

CONSIDERATION OF SB 277 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think that this amendment—and in interrogation it was pointed out—is an unlimited provision, that the Liquor Board theoretically could, because it does not say that you have to have a certain quantity of wine in your store; you just have to have a \$75 license fee and an annual renewal fee of \$600 to get one of these stores. I am concerned that if you do this and you allow this, what you are really doing is opening up the whole state store system to private enterprise. I do not know if that is what you want to do. I do not intend to do that, but I am concerned that that might very well be what you are going to do, because as Mr. Bowser pointed out, there is a limited amount of Pennsylvania wine that is available.

If these licenses are issued particularly because the board may need some additional revenue, and then they come back here to us and say, look, we have the license but there is not enough product to sell, you have got to allow us to sell other wines made in other states, we may very well do that, and then later on they will be back again saying now you ought to let us sell whiskey and gin and everything else in that store because we cannot compete otherwise.

I think this is a forerunner of private enterprise, of private stores replacing the state liquor store system. I am not sure that that is the intent, but I think that may very well be the outcome. I am opposed to that concept, and, Mr. Speaker, on that basis I am going to vote against the amendment.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (JOHN HOPE ANDERSON) IN THE CHAIR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Brandt.

Mr. BRANDT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Lashinger, consent to brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. He indicates that he shall. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. BRANDT. Mr. Speaker, under paragraph (c) it says about a referendum. That is the last it discusses that. Every time there is one of these applications made, there has to be a referendum in that local municipality. Is that correct?

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, I am looking at subsection (c). Could you state your question again, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. BRANDT. Yes.

In the amendment, the third paragraph, (c), it may not be in that one, but it discusses a referendum being held for these licenses. Is that for every license? Excuse me, Mr. Speaker; under (d).

Mr. LASHINGER. I see that now, Mr. Speaker, under subsection (d).

Mr. BRANDT. "No Pennsylvania wine store shall be located within three hundred feet of any elementary or secondary school, nor within a municipality without there first having been a referendum approving such location."

Mr. LASHINGER. Mr. Speaker, that is current Liquor Code language. What that enables certain municipalities to do is to opt for being dry, and we adhere to that language, in fact, as does the amendment to SB 277 in regard to the issuance of new privately owned municipal golf course licenses. It respects those communities that have opted to go dry. This amendment respects that and would not allow Pennsylvania limited wine stores in those locations.

Mr. BRANDT. I sincerely tend to disagree with the gentleman, because it is underlined and it shows new language. That is the last time a referendum issue is shown.

That is all of the interrogation, Mr. Speaker.

On the back page it talks about "No Pennsylvania wine store license shall be issued to any person operating any business in which food or food products are sold, nor to a licensed limited winery." In the area of talking about food or food products sold, I really do not feel that language is strong enough, is close enough, to really, as the gentleman from Lehigh pointed out, bust open the liquor control system as far as the control of it, and I can really see every grocery store, food market, across the Commonwealth having a limited winery license.

I strongly favor the wine industry. I agree with the Bowser amendment that this is what this industry needs. If this amendment is geared to our wine industry, I think it would be a detriment to that industry, and I oppose this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Lashinger.

Mr. LASHINGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As a follow-up to some of the remarks, Mr. Speaker, I just want to assure that if I had thought that this was an attempt to go private, I would have made that point. I would have thought that it would have picked more votes in this House with that charge than in saying that it is an effort to keep the state in control of the liquor industry - the charge that this would open up the liquor industry even further with the thought that the limited wine stores will be coming back and saying that there is insufficient product and, therefore, let us start to sell other forms of other kinds of wine in these Pennsylvania wine stores. It is clear that that is not the intent of the amendment, Mr. Speaker. It is very clear that the intent is to promote the wine industry and, while it does not say it specifically, promote the grape growers in the Commonwealth and help them economically and hope that someday we can be on a par with the California and New York wineries.

So I would hope that the members would not think that this is an effort to open up the system. In fact, it probably goes in the opposite direction and keeps the Liquor Control Board in charge of the licenses that are distributed throughout Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Bowser.

Mr. BOWSER. Mr. Speaker, I am going to have to oppose this amendment. I have talked to a few people. I did not have a chance to talk to many in the wine industry today, but I am afraid it might jeopardize what we have just done here. And to the gentleman, Mr. Lashinger, if this goes down, I will work with him and we can explore this further and possibly get some support for it down the road. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—18

Burns	Hoeffel	Reber	Van Horne
Cornell	Lashinger	Snyder	Wilson
Dawida	Michlovic	Stewart	Wozniak
Greenwood	Nahill	Taddonio	Wright, J. L.
Hagarty	Petrone		

NAYS—178

Alden	Emerson	Levin	Rieger
Anderson	Evans	Lewis	Ritter
Armstrong	Fee	Livengood	Rocks
Arty	Fischer	Lloyd	Rybak
Barber	Fleck	Lucyk	Salvatore
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Saurman
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Serafini
Beloff	Frazier	McIntyre	Seventy
Berson	Freind	McMonagle	Showers
Bittle	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Marmion	Spencer
Burd	Grabowski	Merry	Spitz
Caltagirone	Gray	Micozzie	Stairs
Cappabianca	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Cawley	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Cessar	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Suban
Cimini	Gruppo	Morris	Swaim
Civera	Haluska	Mowery	Sweet

Clark	Harper	Mrkonic	Swift
Clymer	Hasay	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Honaman	Noye	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Coslett	Irvis	Perzel	Wachob
Cowell	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
DeMedio	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeVerter	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
Davies	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Kukovich	Pratt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lehr	Punt	Zwikl
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rappaport	
Duffy	Letterman	Rasco	Ryan,
Durham	Levi	Richardson	Speaker

NOT VOTING—1

White

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. STEVENS offered the following amendment No. A2970:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 406), page 2, line 13, by striking out "a secondary" and inserting
not more than one detached

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Stevens.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. Speaker, I feel like the Arab's son who has just inherited his father's harem. I know what I must do, but I do not know where to begin.

This amendment is very simple, and all it would do— A golf course is permitted under this bill to have a secondary building, such as a clubhouse, to dispense liquor. All this amendment would do is say that no golf course could have more than one such building, because in speaking with some of my colleagues, they were concerned that a golf course might put up a building on each hole. So the purpose of this amendment is to just say that a golf course can only have one detached building on the premises, as the bill itself says, for the purpose of serving liquor.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Alden	Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy

Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Suban
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Horgos	Oliver	Telek
Cohen	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Irvic	Peterson	Wachob
Cordisco	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
Coslett	Kanuck	Phillips	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pott	White
DeVerter	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Lashingier	Punt	Wilson
Davies	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Dawida	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dininni	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Rieger	Zwikl
Dorr	Lewis	Ritter	
Duffy	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—8

Dietz	Hoeffel	Laughlin	Tigue
Fischer	Jackson	Moehlmann	Vroon

NOT VOTING—7

Clark	Gallen	Pievsky	Williams, J. D.
Emerson	Gray	Pitts	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I do have an amendment on this bill and respectfully ask the Speaker— He has it drawn up there already on the podium, sir. We are waiting for it to come down from the Reference Bureau.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Do I understand the gentleman is waiting for his amendments?

Mr. RICHARDSON. They are on their way down, Mr. Speaker. Prior to the call of this bill, it was indicated that it would be down in 10 or 15 minutes. I spoke to the Speaker prior to him appointing the pro tem.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, SB 277 will be laid upon the table. The Chair hears none.

SENATE MESSAGE

**HOUSE AMENDED SENATE
BILLS CONCURRED IN**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to SB 866, PN 1060, and SB 892, PN 1088.

SENATE MESSAGE

**HOUSE BILLS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned HB 569, PN 1787; HB 571, PN 599; HB 1517, PN 1768; and HB 1615, PN 1894, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN)
IN THE CHAIR**

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Anderson, for presiding.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 569, PN 1787

An Act providing for adoption of additional capital projects to be financed from current revenues of the Boating Fund, Fish Fund, and the Game Fund.

HB 571, PN 599

An Act amending the act of July 11, 1980 (No. 28A), entitled "An act making appropriations to the Treasury Department *** and to adjust errors," increasing an appropriation.

HB 1517, PN 1768

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), restricting the powers of the Environmental Quality Board as to fees charged to the public relating to the use of State parks.

HB 1615, PN 1894

An Act providing for the capital budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982.

SB 866, PN 1060

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

SB 892, PN 1088

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Schuylkill, Mr. Lucyk.

Mr. LUCYK. On HB 1687, amendments A2874 and A2820, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

QUESTION OF INFORMATION

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, would you be kind enough to tell the members whether or not we are going to take a break for dinner, or if not, how long we are going to be working?

I am hungry, Mr. Speaker; I mean, I do not know about you, but I am hungry. And I have a free dinner, too.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lycoming, Mr. Grieco. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. GRIECO. Mr. Speaker, on SB 425, amendment A2566, I would like to change my vote to "yes." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CALENDAR CONTINUED**AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE CONSIDERED**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 261, PN 1889**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act relating to the lawful conduct of bingo, prescribing penalties and making a repeal.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

I believe Representative Rocks from Philadelphia has a question on the bill, and I will be glad to take interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rocks.

Mr. ROCKS. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. Burns, consent to brief but important interrogation?

Mr. BURNS. I will.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Rocks, may proceed.

Mr. ROCKS. Mr. Speaker, in HB 261, I guess commonly referred to now as the bingo bill, many of the churches and other organizations which will qualify for licenses have internally established separate organizations within their own structures. Examples would be the men's society or the women's society or the various Sunday school classes of the church, or the most important example for my own consideration, the various alumni organizations of Roman Catholic High School in Philadelphia. Will they each qualify for licenses?

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, to answer the gentleman's question, as long as each such separately established organization meets the other qualifications of the bill - that is, has been in existence for 2 years and uses the proceeds for the general purposes of the parent religious or charitable organization - then it is the intent of this bill that they would each qualify for a separate license.

Mr. ROCKS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Stuban.

Mr. STUBAN. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the sponsor of the bill?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Stuban, may begin.

Mr. STUBAN. The previous speaker asked a question, and in your answer you said that as long as the funds were used for charitable, civic, or religious work. How do you interpret this: I would like to get an interpretation of possibly a club that has a liquor license and they run a bingo game. Can they use any of the moneys from the bingo game to subsidize the bar?

Mr. BURNS. No, Mr. Speaker. Any money that comes from that bingo game must be used for a charitable purpose. Now, a charitable purpose would not be running a bar.

Mr. STUBAN. Well, then what we are saying here is that this club can conduct a bingo game, but all the money that is derived from the bingo game would have to be used in charitable purposes. We could not use it for the barroom; we could not use it for the upkeep of the building.

Mr. BURNS. That is correct, Mr. Speaker. They could not use it for that purpose. They could use it for benefits to the members. For example, if they had a situation whereby they provided care for crippled children or took care of men, for example, in the fire company who got hurt in the line of duty. They could use it for any of those kinds of things, but they could not use it to build a new wing—I do not believe we could say that they could use it to build a new wing—on a building or something of that nature.

Mr. STUBAN. Well, then what we are saying here is that the intent of this piece of legislation would say that the Elks Club or, you know, the Ukrainian-American Citizens Club would have to keep two sets of books. They would have to have a set of books for the bingo game and show what they are doing with the money from the bingo game.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is concurrence in Senate amendments, so the debate should be limited to the Senate amendments.

Mr. STUBAN. Okay. Mr. Speaker, then one question I would like to ask that has been a change since it went over to the Senate. When the bill left here and went over to the Senate, it said that this act shall take effect immediately. Why was it changed to 120 days?

Mr. BURNS. I believe the reason that the Senator told me who handled the bill in the Senate was that it allowed for the counties and the different organizations to gear up to get the paperwork and whatever was needed to be in place started. They just could not be immediately, because obviously, if we were to pass it and the Governor were to sign it tonight, the county treasurers could not have geared up in time, and that was the request of the County Treasurers Association, I believe.

Mr. STUBAN. Then the only intent and purpose was for the counties to get the bill going?

Mr. BURNS. That is all I know. I met with the county associations, and they informed me that that was their reason, and I have never heard any other.

Mr. STUBAN. No further questions, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question of concurrence, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana, Mr. Wass.

Mr. WASS. May I interrogate the sponsor, Mr. Burns?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Wass, may proceed.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, did the Senate amend the part of the bill that referred to a charter for two years, that you had to be chartered for 2 years?

Mr. BURNS. No; negative. That is not so.

What the Senate said in their amendments, they gave four amendments. Number one said that in a city such as Philadelphia that is a home rule city, they do not have a recorder of deeds. Therefore, they gave the power to issue the license in the city of Philadelphia to the person who normally issues licenses for the city.

The second amendment they made was they gave the district attorney the authority to prosecute vice the county treasurer.

The third amendment was that any supplier of bingo products could not have any interest in the bingo games.

And the fourth amendment they added was simply that any senior citizens organization that played bingo for senior citizen groups—in other words, the organization played bingo and they did it in their center and they utilized only senior citizen participants—then their licensing fee was reduced from \$100 to \$50.

Mr. WASS. Mr. Speaker, did the Senate amend the total amount of prize money that can be won in a 24-hour period?

Mr. BURNS. No, Mr. Speaker, they did not. However, as I recall, there was something put in that tightened up what a 24-hour period was so that one game could not span 2 days.

Mr. WASS. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rocks.

Mr. ROCKS. On concurrence in Senate amendments, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. ROCKS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I assume that it is the will of this House to concur in the Senate amendments finally in the bingo legislation. I am, however, left with a lingering concern, although I was party myself to the compromise in this House on the limits that now do appear in the bill, and that concern directly relates to a high school in the city of Philadelphia, a Catholic high school, whose alumni association has virtually underwritten the operating expenses of that school, and it seemed important to put in the record that I will probably make an attempt legislatively to deal with that problem when we return to this General Assembly in the fall.

I will concur, along with, I guess, the majority of the House tonight, in the passage of HB 261. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mifflin, Mr. DeVerter.

Mr. DeVERTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Briefly, may I interrogate Mr. Burns quickly on one point, sir?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DeVERTER. Mr. Speaker, one of the objections that I found in the bill when it first left the House was the fact that the associations, which in many cases in my area would be fire company associations, were not permitted to own their own equipment. I see that that language has been stricken, and now there is a penalty in the bill that would provide that if they do not own it, they can have a violation filed against them. Is my interpretation correct, sir?

Mr. BURNS. I believe it is. If you will give me a moment, I would just like to confer with Mr. Dorr on that.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that now, because of some changes made in committee in the Senate, that would be perfectly all right.

Mr. DeVERTER. That if they own their own equipment, they are all right?

Mr. BURNS. That is exactly correct.

Mr. DeVERTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Alden	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Arty	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Barber	Freind	Maiale	Sieminski
Belardi	Fryer	Manderino	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Beloff	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.
Berson	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Bittle	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spencer
Blaum	Grabowski	Micozzie	Spitz
Borski	Greenfield	Miller	Stairs
Boyes	Greenwood	Miscevich	Steighner
Brandt	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stevens

Brown	Gruitza	Morris	Stewart
Burd	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Burns	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cappabianca	Harper	Murphy	Swift
Cawley	Hasay	Nahill	Taddonio
Cessar	Hoefel	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cimini	Honaman	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Civera	Horgos	Oliver	Telek
Clark	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Tigue
Cochran	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen	Irviss	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafella	Itkin	Phillips	Wachob
Cole	Jackson	Piccola	Wambach
Cordisco	Kanuck	Pievsy	Wargo
Cornell	Kennedy	Pistella	Wass
Coslett	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
Cowell	Kolter	Pott	Weston
DeMedio	Kowalshyn	Pratt	White
DeVerter	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wiggins
DeWeese	Lashingner	Punt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Laughlin	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Lehr	Rasco	Wilson
Dawida	Lescovitz	Reber	Wogan
Dininni	Letterman	Richardson	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Levin	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Livengood	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Lloyd	Rocks	Zwinkl
Duffy	Lucyk	Rybak	
Durham	McCall	Salvatore	Ryan,
Evans	McClatchy	Saurman	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—13

Clymer	Gallen	Johnson	Peterson
Cunningham	Geist	Levi	Sirianni
Dietz	Hayes	Madigan	Vroon
Fischer			

NOT VOTING—5

Bowser	Gray	Lewis	Pendleton
Emerson			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE CONSIDERED

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 456, PN 1890**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), abolishing the Valley Forge Park Commission, imposing restrictions on the Department of Transportation relating to auto emissions inspections and making repeals.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for a concurrence vote on HB 456 in the Senate amendments. It is

time to stand up and be counted on the question of vehicle emission inspections in Pennsylvania.

This bill gives the General Assembly the chance to do the right thing, and it gives the Governor the chance to do the right thing. It also gives Governor Thornburgh the chance to make amends with the people of Pennsylvania for having vetoed similar legislation a year and a half ago, legislation which had the overwhelming support of this House.

The proposed inspection program lacks both merit and fairness. It is reliably estimated that it would make a negligible contribution to cleaner air. Ten years of enforcement would barely reduce the hydrocarbons by 5 percent, as proposed by the Federal Government. As proposed by the Federal Government, this inspection program would exclude heavy trucks and fleet vehicles, and thus, at the cost of millions of dollars, it all would fall most heavily upon the passenger cars and the average motorists.

It is a program that asks the states to enforce upon older cars what the Congress of the United States is apparently unwilling to enforce on the new-car manufacturers.

And finally, it is a program that completely ignores the fact that in recent years smaller cars and reduced driving have already significantly cut the consumption of gasoline and the pollution that comes from burning gasoline.

The only unresolved question, Mr. Speaker, about this inspection program seems to be in the mind of the Governor, and those questions seem to relate to his unwillingness to take up the defense of our state Constitution. On this question, I believe the resolve of the General Assembly is even stronger than it was 18 months ago. If we are willing to stand up and be counted, the Governor should do the same. In recent months Governor Thornburgh has given indications that he now agrees with the views of the General Assembly concerning this unwarranted and unfair inspection program. Therefore, the Governor ought to welcome this legislation. His signature on this bill will tell the people of Pennsylvania where the Governor stands, and hopefully he will stand with the General Assembly against the auto emissions enforcement program. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wright.

Mr. J. L. WRIGHT. Mr. Manderino's comments prompt me to make a comment or two. This bill in its initial version was a "sunset" bill to eliminate from state law our responsibilities for Valley Forge State Park since it has become a national park. The Senate has chosen to add language regarding states' rights and language regarding the emission control program.

I join with Mr. Manderino and urge adoption of the Senate amendments, and let us make this a nonpartisan, bipartisan effort. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The ultimate solution to this problem is to have the Federal statute changed in Washington, D.C. We can vote for this bill—I am certainly prepared to do so—and the Governor can sign it—which I believe he is prepared to do, because he is as

frustrated as we are in this House of Representatives—but the final solution is having the law changed in Washington, D.C. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Alden	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingier	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Emerson	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE CONSIDERED

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 643, PN 1888**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act providing limited civil and criminal immunity to persons donating food to charitable or religious organizations for distribution to needy persons and imposing certain powers and duties on counties.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Freind.

Mr. FREIND. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 643, PN 1888.

On the question recurring,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Alden	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Boyes	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brandt	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Brown	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonic	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Irvis	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	White
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalyszyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan

Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Zwikl
Durham	Levin	Rieger	
Emerson	Lewis	Ritter	Ryan,
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Burd	Gray	Vroon
		EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE CONSIDERED

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned the following **HB 644, PN 1979**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested:

An Act repealing acts and parts of acts insofar as they require certain prisoners to be confined separate and apart from other persons.

On the question,
Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALLEN. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the House concur in the amendments inserted by the Senate to HB 644, PN 1979.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader on the question.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I believe HB 644 is a controversial matter. I would prefer that Mr. Gallen explain on the floor of the House— Is Mr. Gallen present?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Noye, is prepared to explain.

Mr. IRVIS. If Mr. Noye would explain the Senate amendments. There may be those on this side who will not agree with its concurrence.

Mr. NOYE. Mr. Speaker, the Senate struck the original intent of the bill as it related to the Department of Health setting regulations for the placement of crypts and mausoleums. They replaced it with a provision that allows the placement of prisoners two or three to a cell, in response to the recent Supreme Court decision. This is the crux of the Senate amendment.

Mr. IRVIS. I thank the gentleman, Mr. Speaker.

I am not calling on the Democratic Party as a leader to nonconcur, but I shall personally vote "no" on the motion to concur.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Mr. Speaker, could I ask Mr. Noye to stand for interrogation briefly?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Mr. Speaker, do you know if it is necessary to change statutory language? I mean, since we do have a Supreme Court decision, I am just trying to understand what the need is for this legislation.

Mr. NOYE. The legislation was the result of I do not know if it is proposed or talked-about legislation that Senator O'Pake was considering in the state Senate. When this bill appeared on the calendar, he chose this vehicle, evidently, as the means of moving most expeditiously to alleviate crowded conditions in our county prisons.

Mr. KUKOVICH. I am not sure I understood the answer. I am not convinced that this legislation is necessary. I think we should at least get it back to a conference committee and see if they can come back to us with some explanation as to why this is necessary. I hate to see us vote for a bill that is not needed. I think under the Supreme Court decision if there is a current emergency, I think they should be able to handle it.

Mr. Speaker, I will defer to Mr. Alden, if he can answer my question.

Mr. ALDEN. Mr. Speaker, if I may, I think there was a judicial decision in Philadelphia that required that it be one man to one cell, which would have necessitated, I believe, 800 people being allowed to leave prison, some that certainly should not have been out on the street, and I think this is what prompted the legislation and legislative change.

Mr. KUKOVICH. I am sorry. That still does not entirely answer my question. I still would think that the Supreme Court decision is enough to solve that problem, so I still do not see any need for this specific bill.

Mr. ALDEN. Well, unfortunately, sometimes in Pennsylvania they interpret it under the Pennsylvania Constitution instead of the United States Supreme Court decision, under their constitution.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I have the same problem the prior speaker had. I would just like to say that it seems to me that the Supreme Court decision or ruling that two or three in a cell is not unusual, cruel punishment for those who are in cells is certainly seemingly a little bit beyond my wildest dreams, particularly if you have ever been to Holmesburg Prison or to the detention center and seen the square footage of cell space that is available. To stack them on top of one another and put them in the same cell would seem to me that it would be cruel and unusual punishment, particularly since it has been founded that way for about 10 or 11 years.

I would agree with the prior speaker and indicate that there is not a necessity for this particular piece of legislation, but I ask that there be further study and investigation, and I ask that the House nonconcur in the Senate amendments.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Spitz.

Mr. SPITZ. Mr. Speaker, I believe we should concur in this because there is an emergency situation. But I think the members of the House should be aware that we are talking about two different things.

The Supreme Court has ruled that more than one prisoner in a cell is not per se cruel and unusual punishment. However, the Philadelphia situation arises from a Pennsylvania statute that was interpreted by a Federal court to indicate that there can only be one prisoner per cell.

If we were silent, if we had no statute, there may be no need for this concurrence, at least in an emergency fashion, but our statutes are not silent. We have spoken, and we must now reverse this or there will be an emergency that will result in an unwarranted release of prisoners.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—173

Alden	Fee	McCall	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McClatchy	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McIntyre	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Miscevich	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Morris	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Mowery	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mullen	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Murphy	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoefel	Perzel	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Peterson	Tigue
Colafella	Horgos	Petrarca	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Van Horne
Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wambach
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wargo
Cunningham	Kennedy	Pistella	Wass
DeMedio	Klingaman	Pitts	Wenger
DeVerter	Kolter	Pott	Weston
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Davies	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lehr	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Letterman	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Reber	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Rieger	
Duffy	Livengood	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Lloyd	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	Lucyk	Rybak	

NAYS—21

Barber	Hutchinson, A.	Michlovic	Wachob
Cohen	Irvis	Oliver	White
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pendleton	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Richardson	Williams, H.
Evans	Lashingier	Seventy	Williams, J. D.

Harper

NOT VOTING—3

Cole Gray Lewis
EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Mr. Speaker, I failed to vote on HB 456 and would like the record to show that had I voted, it would have been in the affirmative on concurrence in Senate amendments.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie, Mr. Bowser.

Mr. BOWSER. Mr. Speaker, on HB 261 my switch was not operating. I would like to be voted in the affirmative on concurrence in Senate amendments. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, on HB 643 my vote was not recorded, and I would like the record to show that I would like to be recorded in the affirmative on concurrence in Senate amendments.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, on the reconsideration of the Lloyd amendment, I was not in my seat. If I had been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 261, PN 1889

An Act relating to the lawful conduct of bingo, prescribing penalties and making a repeal.

HB 456, PN 1890

An Act amending "The Administrative Code of 1929," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), abolishing the Valley Forge Park Commission, imposing restrictions on the Department of Transportation relating to auto emissions inspections and making repeals.

HB 643, PN 1888

An Act providing limited civil and criminal immunity to persons donating food to charitable or religious organizations for distribution to needy persons and imposing certain powers and duties on counties.

HB 644, PN 1979

An Act repealing acts and parts of acts insofar as they require certain prisoners to be confined separate and apart from other persons.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 8, PN 8**, entitled:

An Act naming the Pennsylvania Route 462 bridge, between Columbia and Wrightsville, the Veterans' Memorial Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Alden	Evans	Livengood	Rocks
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Rybak
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Swaim
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Sweet
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkonic	Swift
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Taddonio
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Cochran	Hoefel	Noye	Telek
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Tigue
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Irvs	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Itkin	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wargo
Cunningham	Johnson	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Kanuck	Piccola	Wenger
DeVertter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	White
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, J. D.

Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Ryan,
Emerson	Lewis	Ritter	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Smith, E. H.	Wright, J. L.
------	--------------	---------------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 153, PN 610**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1945 (P. L. 1242, No. 428), entitled "State Highway Law," providing for removal by the secretary of routes on the State highway system.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—196

Alden	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoefel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Vroon

Cordisco	Irvis	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Piccola	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashingar	Punt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Zwikl
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Emerson	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 724, PN 920**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes further providing for penalties for individuals evading turnpike tolls.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. PETERSON offered the following amendments No. **A2802**:

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by inserting after "Statutes," providing for illuminated signs on tops of trucks and truck tractors and

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 6, by inserting after "6149" 4307 and section

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 8, by striking out "is" and inserting are

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 § 4307. Use and display of illuminated signs.

(a) General rule.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, no vehicle shall bear or display any illuminated signs, letters, numerals or figures of any kind whatsoever.

(b) Buses.—A bus or school bus may bear an illuminated sign stating its use or destination.

(c) Taxicabs.—A taxicab may carry on the rear or the top of the vehicle illuminated signs placed so as not to interfere with the vision of the driver through the rear window of the vehicle. The size and placement of the sign must receive approval of the department or be a type approved by the department prior to use on the vehicle.

(d) Trucks and truck tractors.—A truck or truck tractor may carry on the top of the cab roof an illuminated sign placed so as not to interfere with the vision of the driver through the windshield of the vehicle. Illuminated signs so placed shall be of a size and type designed not to interfere with or unduly distract the drivers of other vehicles on the highway. The type, size and placement of the sign must receive approval of the department or be a type approved by the department prior to use on the vehicle.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Peterson.

Mr. PETERSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment addresses a problem that has arisen in some parts of Pennsylvania. Most of our trucking industries in recent months or the last couple of years are beginning to use windshields or a wind device that deflects and saves energy. They put them on the top of the cab of the truck, and it helps break the wind as it hits the trailer.

Many companies across the Nation are building windshields that have fluorescent lights in them, thus enabling the companies to have their logo or some of their product lines on that windshield. All states permit these, and it is the opinion of the courts in Lancaster County that Pennsylvania permits them. But across the state there are those who have them who are being fined by the State Police and local police, because they are not specifically allowed in the Vehicle Code. This corrects the problem, and the department agrees with it, and I ask for your support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—187

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Sirianni
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cawley	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cessar	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Cimini	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Civera	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Perzel	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Irvis	Petrone	Wambach
Coslett	Itkin	Phillips	Wargo
Cowell	Jackson	Piccola	Wass

Cunningham	Johnson	Pievsky	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pistella	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pitts	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pott	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wilson
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	Zwinkl
Durham	Levin	Rocks	
Emerson	Livengood	Rybak	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—6

Alden	Dawida	Ritter	Showers
Blaum	Michlovic		

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	Kanuck	Lewis	Madigan
------	--------	-------	---------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Smith.

Mr. E. H. SMITH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On SB 8 I inadvertently neglected to vote for that bill. Had I voted, I would have voted in favor of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 724 CONTINUED

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Alden	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Anderson	Fischer	McCall	Serafini
Armstrong	Fleck	McClatchy	Seventy
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Showers
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Frazier	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Fryer	Madigan	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gamble	Manmiller	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Merry	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Michlovic	Stairs
Brandt	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Brown	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens

Burd	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stewart
Burns	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Morris	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Mowery	Sweet
Cawley	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swift
Cessar	Haluska	Mullen	Taddonio
Cimini	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cochran	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Tigue
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Trello
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Vroon
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wachob
Cornell	Irvic	Petrarca	Wambach
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wargo
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wass
Cunningham	Johnson	Piccola	Wenger
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pievsky	Weston
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	White
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Williams, H.
Davies	Kowalyshyn	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dietz	Lashinger	Punt	Wogan
Dininni	Laughlin	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Richardson	Zwinkl
Duffy	Levi	Rieger	
Durham	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Emerson	Livengood	Rocks	Speaker
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—4

Civera	Gray	Lewis	Saurman
--------	------	-------	---------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1339, PN 1612**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 28, 1978 (P. L. 202, No. 53), entitled "A supplement to the act of July 9, 1976 (P. L. 586, No. 142), entitled 'An act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure), 15 (Corporations and Unincorporated Associations), 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding revised, codified and compiled provisions relating to judiciary and judicial procedure, *** enacting certain related provisions of law,' making revisions, corrections and additions relating to judiciary and judicial procedure, including certain judicially enforceable rights, duties, immunities and liabilities, *** further providing for the repeal of provisions relating to post conviction hearings.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 1339 be recommended to the Committee on Judiciary.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 1437, PN 1640**, entitled:

An Act amending the "Pennsylvania Election Code," approved June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), further providing for the qualifications of election officers.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. RASCO offered the following amendments No. A2955:

Amend Title, page 1, line 12, by removing the period after "officers" and inserting
and further restricting electioneering at
polling places.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 15, by removing the comma after "402" and inserting
and subsection (d) of section 1220,

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 16, by striking out "is" and inserting
are

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 8 and 9
Section 1220. Regulations in Force at Polling Places.—* * *

(d) All persons, except election officers, clerks, machine inspectors, overseers, watchers, persons in the course of voting, persons lawfully giving assistance to voters, and peace and police officers, when permitted by the provisions of this act, must remain at least [ten (10)] five hundred (500) feet distant from the polling place during the progress of the voting.

* * *

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Rasco.

Mr. RASCO. Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this amendment that I am submitting to this bill is that at our polling places—and it becomes more evident every year—we are getting so many poll workers at the polls. They work; they intimidate the voters; they block the voting places; and generally they are a nuisance at the polls anymore with so many workers.

Now, the purpose of my amendment is that at the present time you have to be at least 10 feet from the polling place to work for a candidate or to be a poll worker. I put this amendment out to make it 500 feet. Now, the reason for the 500 feet—because I do not think you can say that people cannot work at the polls—all we are doing is moving them back about three or four blocks if they want to work at the polls. I would ask for an affirmative vote for this amendment.

Another thing, Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring up, last year in my district I made a survey, which is the 32d district in Allegheny County, and I asked the people there, would you

favor changing the election laws so that no one would be permitted to electioneer at the polls, and in that poll over 2,000 people responded. The vote was 78.8 percent who said we do not want poll workers at the polls anymore. With this in mind, I would appreciate your support for this amendment to this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Rappaport.

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman answer a few questions?

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Rasco, consent to interrogation?

Mr. RAPPAPORT. Mr. Speaker, I think the sentiment of the House is clear. Let us roll the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Hey, look, fellows, we have to stay here anyway because they are keeping us here, so you might as well have some fun, right? If you think this is an adjournment motion, you are wrong, so you can calm down. Right? Okay. Now that I have your attention, let me address the amendment.

Mr. Rasco states that he wants to eliminate all persons within 500 feet of the polls. It says all persons must remain 500 feet from the polls, right? We use our schools—correct?—as polling places. The kids go to school on primary day, right? Do you know where 500 feet away from a poll is in a school? Do you know what happens to those schools on primary day? There will be no classes. Now, if you want to give the kids an extra day off from school, vote for the Rasco amendment. I suggest we vote "no."

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Rasco.

Mr. RASCO. I did not quite understand what Representative Itkin had to say on the vote. He talked about the schools. What I am talking about is people working at the polls for candidates. All I am saying in this amendment is if they want to work at the polls, they can, but they are going to be three to four blocks away from the voting district so they do not annoy the voters anymore. The voters are tired of this. They do not want poll workers. I would appreciate your support for this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—33

Blaum	Gruitza	Michlovic	Sieminski
Burd	Gruppo	Mowery	Stairs
Burns	Hutchinson, A.	Murphy	Steighner
Clark	Kolter	Noye	Swift
Dawida	Livengood	Phillips	Taddonio
Dietz	McVerry	Pott	Wachob
Fischer	Marmion	Pratt	Wilson
Fleck	Merry	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Grabowski			

NAYS—161

Alden	Durham	Levi	Saurman
Anderson	Emerson	Levin	Serafini
Armstrong	Evans	Lloyd	Seventy
Arty	Fee	Lucyk	Showers
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Shupnik
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Sirianni
Belfanti	Frazier	McIntyre	Smith, B.
Beloff	Freind	McMonagle	Smith, E. H.
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Snyder
Borski	Gallen	Maiale	Spencer
Bowser	Gamble	Manderino	Spitz
Boyes	Gannon	Manmiller	Stevens
Brandt	Geist	Micozzie	Stewart
Brown	Gladeck	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Greenfield	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Grieco	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cessar	Hagarty	Mullen	Taylor, F. E.
Cimini	Haluska	Nahill	Telek
Civera	Harper	O'Donnell	Tigue
Clymer	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cochran	Hayes	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cohen	Hoeffel	Perzel	Vroon
Colafella	Honaman	Peterson	Wambach
Cole	Horgos	Petrarca	Wargo
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Petrone	Wass
Cornell	Irvic	Piccola	Wenger
Coslett	Itkin	Pievsky	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Pistella	White
Cunningham	Johnson	Pitts	Wiggins
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
DeVertter	Kennedy	Punt	Williams, J. D.
DeWeese	Klingaman	Rappaport	Wogan
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Reber	Wozniak
Davies	Kukovich	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Lashingier	Rieger	Zwinkl
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Ritter	
Donatucci	Lehr	Rocks	Ryan, Speaker
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rybak	
Duffy	Letterman	Salvatore	

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Lewis	Miller
------	-------	--------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—186

Anderson	Fee	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Belfanti	Frazier	Mackowski	Shupnik
Beloff	Freind	Madigan	Sieminski
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, B.
Borski	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Smith, L. E.

Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Snyder
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Spencer
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Spitz
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stairs
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Pendleton	Van Horne
Cordisco	Horgos	Perzel	Vroon
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wachob
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wambach
Cowell	Irvic	Petrone	Wargo
Cunningham	Itkin	Phillips	Wass
DeMedio	Jackson	Piccola	Wenger
DeVertter	Johnson	Pievsky	Weston
DeWeese	Kanuck	Pistella	White
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pitts	Wiggins
Davies	Klingaman	Pott	Williams, H.
Dawida	Koiter	Pratt	Williams, J. D.
Dietz	Kowalyshyn	Pucciarelli	Wilson
Dininni	Kukovich	Punt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lashingier	Rappaport	Wozniak
Donatucci	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Ritter	
Emerson	Levin	Rocks	Ryan,
Evans	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—7

Alden	Clark	McIntyre	Tigue
Blaum	Livengood	Stewart	

NOT VOTING—4

Gray	Letterman	Lewis	Rieger
------	-----------	-------	--------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 333, PN 1110**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "Second Class County Code," further providing for the hotel room rental tax; providing for its use; authorizing appropriations from the excise tax on hotel rooms for tourist promotion agencies and imposing restrictions on future harness racing tracks in counties of the second class.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Mr. TADDONIO offered the following amendments No. A2887:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1970.2), page 2, line 4, by inserting after "buildings"

not used for the retail sale of merchandise or part of any shopping center, mall or other retail center

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 3061), page 8, lines 12 and 13, by striking out "COUNTY TO PROHIBIT.—NO COUNTY OF THE SECOND CLASS SHALL PERMIT FUTURE" and inserting
Location.—Future

Amend Sec. 3 (Sec. 3061), page 8, line 13, by inserting after "TRACK"
shall be prohibited

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Taddonio.

Mr. TADDONIO. Mr. Speaker, this should be an agreed-to amendment. It is language that was added after discussion within the committee that we did not have time to add in the committee. Most of it is technical in nature and has been agreed to. I ask the support of the House.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—180

Alden	Evans	Livengood	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Spitz
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Stairs
Brown	Grabowski	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Greenfield	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Mochlmann	Stewart
Caltagirone	Grieco	Morris	Stuban
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Mowery	Swaim
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Swift
Civera	Haluska	Nahill	Taddonio
Clymer	Harper	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hasay	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hayes	Oliver	Telek
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Tigue
Cole	Honaman	Peterson	Vroon
Cordisco	Horgos	Petrarca	Wachob
Cornell	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips	Wambach
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Irviss	Pievsky	Wass
Cunningham	Itkin	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Jackson	Pitts	Weston
DeVerter	Johnson	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kennedy	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kolter	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Rasco	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Dininni	Laughlin	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rieger	Zwinkl
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Ritter	
Dorr	Letterman	Rocks	Ryan,
Duffy	Levi	Rybak	Speaker
Durham	Levin		

NAYS—10

Cawley	Michlovic	Rappaport	Van Horne
Clark	Miscevich	Trello	Wozniak
Kukovich	Murphy		

NOT VOTING—7

Emerson	Kanuck	Pendleton	White
Gray	Lewis	Petrone	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MICHLOVIC offered the following amendments No. A2841:

Amend Title, page 1, line 7, by inserting a period after "AGENCIES"

Amend Title, page 1, lines 7 and 8, by striking out "AND IMPOSING RESTRICTIONS ON" in line 7 and all of line 8

Amend Bill, page 8, lines 6 through 18, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 4, page 8, line 19, by striking out "4." and inserting
3.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, SB 333 as it was originally designed dealt with a convention-center problem in the city of Pittsburgh and its competitor in the outlying suburb area of Monroeville, which is all in Allegheny County. The bill strictly applies to Allegheny County alone.

In the House committee a provision was added dealing with harness racing, and that provision essentially restricts harness racing from any town that has a convention center under the aegis of this bill from being established. There is only one municipality that that really applies to, and that is the borough of Monroeville, which is immediately adjacent to my district.

The people of Monroeville have opposed a proposed racetrack. The racetrack is an estimated \$12- to \$20-million development in 88 acres in the borough of Monroeville. The plans include, in addition to the track and seating for 10,000 people, a club and a museum and a major restaurant, in addition to the track. The reason why this racetrack is so important to me is because it is immediately adjacent to my district. The proposal is expected to generate some 800 to 1,000 jobs just in the sports complex, as it will be called, just in the sports complex itself. The ancillary development in jobs is supposed to be somewhere in the neighborhood of 300 to 500 jobs in restaurants, in hotels that are supposed to be spinoffs from this development.

This harness-racing provision in this bill, as I said, applies only to one municipality. If we would approve this provision in this bill, we would be basically making a local decision

whether or not to allow that development to occur. I suggest that that is a matter of the local zoning board and the local municipality, and it ought to rest there. We ought not to put this in this piece of legislation. Recently, pursuant to this whole issue of the racetrack, Allegheny County voters voted by almost 2 to 1 to approve harness racing in Allegheny County, and I do not think we ought to be rejecting the will of the voters on that count.

The location of this track is in basically what we call the Turtle Creek Valley, and a train system is already running through that valley. It runs between Greensburg and Pittsburgh, and this track would substantiate that train run. It would be another means of keeping the train running between Pittsburgh and Greensburg, and it is another reason why we ought not to preclude the development at this site by adopting this feature in SB 333. I am asking in my amendment to take the entire feature dealing with harness racing out of the bill so that that development can be decided on by the local municipalities, by Allegheny County at the local end. We ought not make the decision here, and I ask that you approve this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Taddonio.

Mr. TADDONIO. Mr. Speaker, I would oppose this amendment, particularly since the track is located in my legislative district. It is true that the voters in Allegheny County approved the referendum, but in my district, Monroeville objected to the referendum by a 4-to-1 margin for very good reasons. One was the poor condition of the highways. A lot of other problems would be involved. In addition, the land that we have here is prime industrial land that could be developed for a much better use for more stable employment, not just a seasonal one. I might point out that a harness track only operates about half the year, and it would be vacant the rest of the time.

I would appeal to the members of the House to bear with the wishes of my district. This does not do anything to overturn any referendum. There are plenty of places in Allegheny County to put this track that would not interfere and that everyone would be happy with, so I would ask for your support.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Pott.

Mr. POTT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the Michlovic amendment to SB 333. The heavy, congested traffic patterns in Monroeville, with its motel, hotel, convention, and mall complexes, are already heavily overcrowded. It is not necessary to bring more and more people into this area, especially when the citizens of that area have spoken resoundingly against any further economic development as far as a harness-racing track would go. I would certainly appreciate all the members of the House listening to the Representative who is from that legislative district, that legislative district that is most affected, and supporting Representative Taddonio and voting against amendment A2841. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Seventy.

Mr. SEVENTY. Mr. Speaker, last week on this floor this proposed highway was going to go through my district, and it went down by about 40 votes. I would appreciate a vote for Mr. Michlovic's amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—78

Barber	Fee	Manderino	Showers
Belfanti	Fryer	Michlovic	Shupnik
Beloff	Gallagher	Miscevich	Steighner
Berson	Greenfield	Mrkonic	Stewart
Blaum	Gruitza	Mullen	Stuban
Borski	Haluska	Murphy	Swaim
Brown	Harper	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Cappabianca	Hoeffel	Oliver	Tigue
Cawley	Horgos	Petrone	Trello
Cochran	Irvis	Pievsky	Van Home
Cohen	Itkin	Pistella	Wachob
Cole	Kowalyszyn	Pratt	Wambach
Cordisco	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wargo
Cunningham	Levin	Reber	White
DeWeese	Lloyd	Richardson	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Lucyk	Rieger	Williams, H.
Donatucci	McCall	Ritter	Williams, J. D.
Duffy	McIntyre	Rybak	Wozniak
Emerson	McMonagle	Seventy	Zwikl
Evans	Maiale		

NAYS—114

Alden	Fischer	Lescovitz	Salvatore
Anderson	Fleck	Letterman	Saurman
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	Levi	Serafini
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Livengood	Sieminski
Belardi	Frazier	McClatchy	Sirianni
Bittle	Freind	McVerry	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallen	Mackowski	Smith, E. H.
Boyes	Gamble	Madigan	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Burd	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Burns	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Cessar	Greenwood	Miller	Stevens
Cimini	Grieco	Moehlmann	Sweet
Civera	Gruppo	Morris	Swift
Clark	Hagarty	Mowery	Taddonio
Clymer	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Hayes	Noye	Telek
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Vroon
Coslett	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Wass
Cowell	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Wenger
DeMedio	Jackson	Phillips	Weston
DeVerter	Johnson	Piccola	Wilson
Daikeler	Kennedy	Pitts	Wogan
Davies	Klingaman	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Dawida	Kolter	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Ryan,
Durham	Lehr	Rocks	Speaker

NOT VOTING—5

Dorr	Kanuck	Lewis	Pendleton
Gray			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call an immediate meeting of the Education Committee in the House Appropriations room, 246. Mr. Speaker, that is the full Education Committee immediately in room 246, the House Appropriations room.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman wait until SB 333 is voted?

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—178

Alden	Fischer	McIntyre	Seventy
Anderson	Fleck	McMonagle	Showers
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McVerry	Shupnik
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Sieminski
Barber	Frazier	Madigan	Sirianni
Belfanti	Freind	Maiale	Smith, B.
Beloff	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Berson	Gallen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Gamble	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Gannon	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Geist	Micozzie	Spitz
Boyes	Gladeck	Miller	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miscevich	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Gruppo	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Swaim
Cappabianca	Haluska	Mullen	Sweet
Cawley	Harper	Nahill	Swift
Cessar	Hayes	Noye	Taddonio
Cimini	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Honaman	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Horgos	Pendleton	Telek
Cochran	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Tigue
Cohen	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Van Horne
Colafella	Irvis	Petrarca	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Petrone	Wachob
Cordisco	Jackson	Phillips	Wambach
Cornell	Johnson	Piccola	Wargo
Coslett	Kanuck	Pievsky	Wass
Cowell	Kennedy	Pitts	Wenger
Cunningham	Klingaman	Pott	Weston
DeMedio	Kolter	Pratt	White
DeWeese	Kowalyszyn	Pucciarelli	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, H.
Davies	Lashinger	Rappaport	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Laughlin	Rasco	Wilson
Dietz	Lehr	Reber	Wogan
Dininni	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Levi	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Donatucci	Levin	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Lloyd	Rocks	Zwikel
Durham	Lucyk	Rybak	
Evans	McCall	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fee	McClatchy	Saurman	Speaker

NAYS—15

Belardi	Fryer	Letterman	Pistella
Blaum	Grabowski	Livengood	Serafini
Clark	Gruitza	Michlovic	Trello
DeVerter	Hasay	Murphy	

NOT VOTING—4

Dorr	Emerson	Gray	Lewis
------	---------	------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Burns.

Mr. BURNS. Mr. Speaker, we are mistaken. The bill is not in the Education Committee; it was referred to Local Government. So just hold a minute, and I think the Local Government Committee will be meeting.

The SPEAKER. Members of the Education Committee need not go to the meeting yet.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the chairman of the Local Government Committee, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to call an immediate meeting of the Local Government Committee off the floor in room 245, the Appropriations Committee room, for consideration of one bill, two amendments.

The SPEAKER. Members of the Local Government Committee will immediately retire to the House Appropriations Committee room, room 245.

The House will be at ease.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 719, PN 853, entitled:

An Act providing that a city of the first class shall sell bonds or other securities, except as provided herein, issued by it at public sale after not less than one public notice for such price, at, above or below their par value plus accrued interest, as shall be determined by the authorities of the city and providing that such a city may sell bonds or other securities at private sale when no conforming bid has been received at a prior public sale; and providing that the provisions hereof shall apply to all borrowings and the issuance of bonds or other securities therefor, whenever authorized.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—193

Alden	Evans	Livengood	Salvatore
Anderson	Fee	Lloyd	Saurman
Armstrong	Fischer	Lucyk	Serafini
Arty	Fleck	McCall	Seventy
Barber	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Showers
Belardi	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Shupnik
Belfanti	Frazier	McMonagle	Sieminski
Beloff	Freind	McVerry	Sirianni
Berson	Fryer	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gamble	Manderino	Snyder
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Spencer
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Stairs
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Steighner
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stevens
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stewart
Burns	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stuban
Caltagirone	Grieco	Moehlmann	Swaim
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Morris	Sweet
Cawley	Gruppo	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Cimini	Haluska	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harper	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clark	Hasay	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Tigue
Cochran	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Perzel	Wachob
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Irviss	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Jackson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Johnson	Piccola	Weston
DeMedio	Kanuck	Pievsky	White
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pitts	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kolter	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Kukovich	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wozniak
Dininni	Laughlin	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Zwikl
Dorr	Letterman	Rieger	
Duffy	Levi	Ritter	Ryan,
Durham	Levin	Rocks	Speaker
Emerson	Lewis	Rybak	

NAYS—1

Punt

NOT VOTING—3

Gray	Miller	Spitz
------	--------	-------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 633, PN 650, entitled:

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1965 (P. L. 1124, No. 437), entitled "Dog Law of 1965," providing penalties for mistreatment of or interference with police department dogs and exempting such dogs from quarantine.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Alden	Fee	Livengood	Ritter
Anderson	Fischer	Lloyd	Rocks
Armstrong	Fleck	Lucyk	Rybak
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Salvatore
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Saurman
Belardi	Frazier	McIntyre	Serafini
Belfanti	Freind	McMonagle	Seventy
Beloff	Fryer	McVerry	Shupnik
Berson	Gallagher	Mackowski	Sieminski
Bittle	Gallen	Madigan	Sirianni
Blaum	Gamble	Maiale	Smith, B.
Borski	Gannon	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bowser	Geist	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gladeck	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Grabowski	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Greenfield	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Nahill	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	O'Donnell	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cordisco	Irviss	Perzel	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Rappaport	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Letterman	Rasco	Zwikl
Duffy	Levi	Reber	
Durham	Levin	Richardson	Ryan,
Emerson	Lewis	Rieger	Speaker
Evans			

NAYS—1

Wogan

NOT VOTING—5

Donatucci Showers Swaim Sweet
Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 601, PN 1025**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P. L. 1333, No. 320), entitled "Pennsylvania Election Code," further providing for the filing of reports by candidates and political committees in special elections.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. GALEN offered the following amendment No. A2815:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1626), page 2, lines 14 and 15, by striking out "EXCEPT A SPECIAL ELECTION"

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen.

Mr. GALEN. Mr. Speaker, the amendment is strictly a technical amendment which was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Alden	Emerson	Lloyd	Salvatore
Anderson	Evans	Lucyk	Saurman
Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Serafini
Arty	Fischer	McClatchy	Seventy
Barber	Fleck	McIntyre	Showers
Belardi	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belfanti	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Sieminski
Beloff	Frazier	Mackowski	Sirianni
Berson	Freind	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Fryer	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gallagher	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Borski	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder
Bowser	Gamble	Marmion	Spencer
Boyes	Gannon	Merry	Spitz
Brandt	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Brown	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Grabowski	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Greenfield	Miscevich	Stewart
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Suban
Cappabianca	Grieco	Morris	Swaim
Cawley	Gruitza	Mowery	Swift
Cessar	Gruppo	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Cimini	Hagarty	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Haluska	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.

Clark	Harper	Nahill	Telek
Clymer	Hasay	Noye	Tigue
Cochran	Hayes	O'Donnell	Trello
Cohen	Honaman	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Horgos	Pendleton	Vroon
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Wachob
Cordisco	Irviss	Peterson	Wambach
Cornell	Itkin	Petrarca	Wargo
Coslett	Jackson	Petrone	Wass
Cowell	Johnson	Phillips	Wenger
Cunningham	Kanuck	Piccola	Weston
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pievsky	White
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pistella	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pitts	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalyshyn	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Pratt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashingier	Pucciarelli	Wogan
Dietz	Laughlin	Punt	Wozniak
Dininni	Lehr	Rasco	Wright, D. R.
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levi	Richardson	Zwinkl
Dorr	Levin	Ritter	
Duffy	Lewis	Rocks	Ryan,
Durham	Livengood	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—7

Gray Hutchinson, A. Rappaport Sweet
Hoeffel Letterman Rieger

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Hutchinson.

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. I did not understand what that technical amendment was. Does anybody else? I know it has passed, but I would like to know what it is about so I can vote for the bill or not.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Gallen, who submits to interrogation from the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson. The gentleman, Mr. Gallen, may proceed.

Mr. GALEN. Mr. Speaker, it just struck out four words. It said in the bill, "except a special election." I do not have a copy of the bill here at the moment.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Brandt, rise?

Mr. GALEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman, Mr. Brandt, on that question.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. BRANDT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The amendment proposed by the gentleman, Mr. Gallen, was to take out language that was apparently redundant in the amending process. We are taking out the term "except a

special election," but that is in another part of the amendment that is the main part of the bill. So the language is taken out because it is unnecessary in the bill.

Mr. A. K. HUTCHINSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bedford, Mr. Dietz.

Mr. DIETZ. Mr. Speaker, I would like to interrogate Representative Gallen or Brandt.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Brandt, consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Dietz, may proceed.

Mr. DIETZ. Mr. Speaker, do you mean to say that a post-election report must be made within 10 days after the election?

Mr. BRANDT. No, Mr. Speaker. What we are saying here is that in the case of a special election, all the reports can be in within a matter of 10 days rather than 20 days as in a general election.

Mr. DIETZ. What is the purpose for 10 days on a special election and a longer period after a regular election?

Mr. BRANDT. I believe if you would turn your question around, Mr. Speaker, it would be much easier to understand. Normally under a general election it takes 20 days for the Election Bureau to certify, in the case of us as legislators, our election at the county and at the state level. At that time there are so many reports in there for them to do. In the case of special elections, chances are that is the only election that is before the Election Bureau at that time, and there is no reason that they cannot get their work done in 10 days so that person can ably serve in the capacity that he was elected.

Mr. DIETZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

Alden	Fischer	McCall	Saurman
Anderson	Fleck	McClatchy	Serafini
Armstrong	Foster, W. W.	McIntyre	Seventy
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McMonagle	Showers
Barber	Frazier	McVerry	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Sieminski
Belfanti	Fryer	Madigan	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Maiale	Smith, B.
Berson	Gallen	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Bittle	Gamble	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Blaum	Gannon	Marmion	Snyder
Borski	Geist	Merry	Spencer
Bowser	Gladeck	Michlovic	Spitz
Boyes	Grabowski	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Greenfield	Miller	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Miscevich	Stevens
Burd	Grieco	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Swaim
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cawley	Haluska	Mullen	Swift
Cessar	Harper	Murphy	Taddonio
Cimini	Hasay	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Hayes	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	O'Donnell	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Oliver	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	Pendleton	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Peterson	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Petrarca	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvic	Petrone	Wachob

Cornell	Itkin	Phillips	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Piccola	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Pievsky	Wass
Cunningham	Kanuck	Pistella	Wenger
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pitts	Weston
DeVerter	Klingaman	Pott	White
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Wiggins
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, H.
Davies	Kukovich	Punt	Williams, J. D.
Dawida	Lashinger	Rappaport	Wilson
Dietz	Laughlin	Rasco	Wogan
Dombrowski	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Richardson	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Levi	Rieger	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Levin	Ritter	Zwikel
Durham	Lewis	Rocks	
Emerson	Lloyd	Rybak	Ryan,
Evans	Lucyk	Salvatore	Speaker
Fee			

NAYS—4

Clark	Letterman	Livengood	Perzel
-------	-----------	-----------	--------

NOT VOTING—2

Dininni	Gray
---------	------

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE PRESENTED

Mr. McCLATCHY presented the Report of the Committee of Conference on **HB 1290, PN 2047**.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE CONSIDERED

Mr. McCLATCHY called up for consideration the following Report of the Committee of Conference on **HB 1290, PN 2047**, entitled:

An Act to further provide for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal period July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982, and to provide additional and supplemental appropriations from the Federal Augmentation funds to the various departments of the Commonwealth for the fiscal period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the Report of the Committee of Conference?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen.

Mr. MULLEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask the members of the House to reject the conferees' report, because you have no

other alternative. You either vote "yes" or you vote "no" on a conference report.

Now, the objectionable feature that we have in the conference report is found on page 2, line 5. They have for family planning \$300,000. When the bill came over from the Senate, it was \$350,000, and all that the conferees did was reduce the amount from \$350,000 to \$300,000. Now, as far as the amount is concerned, it is not of paramount importance, because most of the family planning clinics—and many of them are abortion clinics also, especially the Planned Parenthood groups—receive most of their money from the Federal Government under Titles X, XIX, and V. I did send to each member this morning a memorandum outlining clearly where they received this money and what they did with the money. In my memorandum, it is titled "Family Planning Services and the Abortion Connection." I am not going into great debate over that issue. I think you can read it yourself.

What I would like the House to do is reject the conference committee report with instructions that the report be resubmitted back to the committee with instructions to delete this particular sentence and the appropriation and then to report the bill back to the House for approval. I have no objections personally to anything else in the bill, because there are many other items in the bill that are of great importance, but I think it is paramount on our part to assert what I think is the majority viewpoint in the House of Representatives. The Senate is insisting that this provision be retained in the bill. I think it is bad, because basically what it does, it does a lot more than family planning, because if you read my brochure, you will see that the family planning clinics and the subcontractors, including Planned Parenthood, receive most of their funding as a result of this appropriation. Now, the reason why we have not been able to do anything in the past is because we had no budget before us so we could vote on this individual item. So, therefore, I am not going into lengthy debate at this late hour, but I think basically everyone knows what the question is. I hope that you can go along with my suggestion - reject it with instructions to the conferees to come back with a report deleting this from the conference report. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Harper.

Mrs. HARPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will be brief.

I just would like for the record to state that these family planning clinics are not abortion clinics, and I would like for everyone to know that. I have visited some of them, and I know that for myself without hearsay, so I would like to ask you to vote for the bill. We need family planning more now than we have ever needed it. Especially with so many unwed mothers and what have you, we need family planning. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise rather reluctantly on this issue, because it is seldom that I disagree with the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen, on the subject of abortion. However, I do not believe

we are dealing with an abortion issue per se in this conference committee report.

The conference committee report provides funding for family planning. I will yield to no one in opposition to abortion. I despise abortion, I abhor abortion, and I will fight it for as long as I am a member of this legislature. But, Mr. Speaker, if funding for family planning prevents unwanted pregnancies, if you do not have pregnancies, you will not have abortions. I feel that the issue before us is a narrow one here, dealing with family planning.

I would urge Planned Parenthood and similar organizations to listen to a few other things that I have to say. I think they had better clean house, I think they had better change their attitudes on abortion, and I think some of the garbage that emanates from their publications had better be disposed of in a waste can. But nevertheless, if I were a doctor and I had a patient who had a cancerous or a gangrenous limb, yes, I would amputate that limb, but I would not cut off the good limb. I feel that responsible family planning will lessen the number of abortions in this Commonwealth and Nation. I feel that a "yes" vote on this bill is an antiabortion vote. I would urge concurrence on this conference committee report.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I feel that we should vote "yes" on this conference committee report, and if the argument that has been presented to us is the only argument, then I think that strengthens our position that we should vote "yes."

I think that trying to raise the issue of abortion on the funding of family planning is a red herring. As a matter of fact, it tends to frighten me a little bit, because I suppose I have occasionally stood on the floor of this House before and debated the issues of funding for abortions and abortions. That issue is really not discussed here. If we are going to start to use that issue to hold this type of bill hostage, then we are starting to enter into an era that is rather analogous to McCarthyism, where you raise the specter of some sort of fear to get people to vote for or against something.

Mr. Speaker, that is what is happening here. We are trying to be frightened by that specter of abortion. The truth of the matter is, Mr. Speaker, that about a quarter of a million people a year are served by this family planning money, and the vast majority of the services provided deal with natural family planning. As a matter of fact, \$215,000 of that money goes to natural family planning programs that are supported and cofunded by the Catholic Church. It goes to contraceptive services. It goes to screening for venereal disease, cancer detection, and various physical examination lab services. I think all of you know, because of the plethora of mail that you have received on this issue lately, that the money that would come in from the Federal Government is prohibited by Federal law from being used for abortions. I do not think anybody can argue with that fact.

Mr. Speaker, if this goes back to a conference committee, I think we all know what is going to happen, and that is that this Federal money that we need will be held hostage until the

family planning money is wiped out. We are talking about at least 50,000 people in this Commonwealth who will not have the services that I just ran through. Mr. Speaker, I think that is unconscionable.

I am not going to go on. I think there are lots of valid arguments, but the bottom line is I would like to support what Mr. Foster said, because in truth on this issue, we can prevent unwanted pregnancies by the proper counseling that these moneys go toward. I would just suggest to the members of this House that if you vote "yes" to concur in this conference report and if you keep the family planning money in this conference report, you will prevent abortions in the State of Pennsylvania, and for that reason I would appreciate an affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Mowery.

Mr. MOWERY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to ask Representative McClatchy a question regarding the report, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Mowery, may proceed.

Mr. MOWERY. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I understand that there was \$2 million initially in this for mental health and that it was taken from the hospitals and given to the community health care homes. Now I understand that it has been taken out completely. Is that correct?

Mr. McCLATCHY. What the amendment in the Senate did was remove \$2 million from our mental health institutions and provide that money to the community living arrangements for the mentally ill. That would mean, for the mental health institutions, layoffs of 200 or 300 people. We have deleted those provisions from the bill so that the \$2 million have been restored to the mental health institutions. Unfortunately, we just do not have \$2 million at the present time in our present budget for extra money for community living arrangements. It is a priority of mine as it is a priority of many of you, and hopefully we will do better for them next year. I think there are items that were cut in the budget in addition to mental health/mental retardation. We have community colleges; we have the Department of Health; the list is long. Hopefully, if we have lapses during the coming year, we can put some of these needed items back in the budget, but right now it is extremely tight, and we have indeed removed the problem of taking \$2 million away from our mental institutions.

Mr. MOWERY. Mr. Speaker, I am sorry, but I did not hear your final statement. There is \$2 million now put back in for the mental institutions?

Mr. McCLATCHY. That is correct.

Mr. MOWERY. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Piccola.

Mr. PICCOLA. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy, yield to interrogation, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Piccola, may begin.

Mr. PICCOLA. On page 2, line 6, of the conference committee report, there is a line item for legal services, \$1,500,000. Could you please explain that appropriation, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. McCLATCHY. Yes. We are trying to demonstrate to the House that this bill is a compromise. Not everyone got what they wanted in it. Originally, community legal services in the Governor's budget was \$2,300,000. When it was in the budget, the original budget we passed some time ago, it was at \$1,250,000. The Senate in HB 1290 increased it to \$1,750,000, and the compromise is now at a figure of \$1,500,000.

Mr. PICCOLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate that explanation.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Freind.

Mr. FREIND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I know it is late, and I think it is a shame that we have to fight this battle tonight. This is not our choosing; it is the choosing of the Pennsylvania Senate, who made the decision to tack onto a Federal augmentation bill several controversial items. But the last time I checked, this legislature was comprised of two sovereign and independent Houses, and we must do what we believe is right.

I hate to disagree with my close friend, "Peck" Foster, and he was quite right when he said that no one is more in favor of the prolife movement than himself. I wish the issue were this simple. I support contraception—maybe I ought to practice it myself—and if the issue were that simple, I would be happy to fund and support funding, but we are not just talking about contraception here, Mr. Speaker. Many of the organizations that we fund by this family planning service consider, under the category of birth control, abortion. As a matter of fact, the main organization which we fund, Planned Parenthood, counsels, fosters, and performs abortion. In 1975 at its annual meeting, it developed a 5-year plan, and one of the goals was in the next 5 years to increase the number of abortions performed by 89 percent. That is their avowed purpose, and they have demonstrated that time and again.

I also object, Mr. Speaker—and maybe I am naive here—to provide an appropriation to organizations which have proven in the past that, A, they will sue us; and then, B, they will go into Federal Court and obtain a court order requiring this Commonwealth to pay their attorney fees, and that is precisely what Planned Parenthood did. If you remember, 2 or 3 months ago we took out of a supplemental appropriation bill \$100,000 that was put in there pursuant to a court order. And if we fund this \$300,000, we are not talking about \$300,000, Mr. Speaker; we are talking about \$3 million, because there is a Federal match.

I also have a problem with taxpayers' money funding organizations which counsel minors, teenagers - 12, 13, and 14 - without parental consent with respect to birth control, with respect to abortion. Now, the Supreme Court says that that is legal, and that is fine, but we do not have to do that with taxpayers' money, Mr. Speaker, and I think that is a critical issue.

If in fact we fund this money, we are going to be supporting abortions; we are going to be giving money to groups which will continue to counsel abortions; and we are going to be giving money to groups that will use that money to turn around and sue us in the things that we are trying to do. I know it is late and I know there are a lot of things in HB 1290 that are important, but at least in my opinion this issue is critical, and I would urge the members of the legislature to vote "no" on the conference report on HB 1290. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, to conclude, this is a compromise. I do not think anyone is particularly happy with the compromise, but I think it is a compromise. We certainly are a sovereign body and so is the Senate, and we tried to work out as best a deal we could with the Senate. I am sure we have not pleased everyone, but it is, again, a compromise.

The hour is late. It is now almost 8:30 on June 30. There are several things in this conference committee report and the Federal augmentation bill that will go out of existence as of 12 o'clock tonight. We need them. There is \$2 million for education. There is a couple million dollars for the Department of Health, which has been badly hurt by some of the cuts we have made in the budget and desperately needs this money. Family planning has been cut. We did not give them \$350,000; we gave them \$300,000. The \$50,000 cut transfers into a reduction of \$400,000 of money they will receive - \$50,000 we gave and the match they would receive from the Federal Government - so that the bill they stuck us with for attorney fees I think has been covered, and they have been given a message.

Again, Mr. Speaker, this is a compromise. It is the last plank in the budget. If we send it back to conference at this late date with the instructions for the conferees, I am afraid we will not have a bill and that last plank in the budget will not become law. I ask for an affirmative vote on the conference committee report.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the budget which is about to be adopted is not a Democratic budget, and we will not lay claim to it. There are things in that budget with which we disagree as a party. There are things in it with which we do agree. I think it is an open secret that the leadership on this side of the aisle and the leadership on the other side of the aisle worked together assiduously to try and forge a compromise budget, and to a degree we have succeeded.

It is now 25 minutes after 8 on June 30. The Governor waits to sign a budget for the fiscal year 1981-1982. Regardless of the feelings of those of you who are proliferers, I do not think this is the time nor the place for the arguments that have been made here tonight. There are too many other things of importance riding on the passage of this particular bill. The entire budget as it has been structured may ride on your vote on Mr. Mullen's motion. Whereas I do not agree with many of the things which are in this bill nor with the structuring of it, there

is so much in it with which I do agree that I must honestly disagree with Mr. Mullen's position on whether or not to adopt or reject this committee of conference report, and, therefore, I support the gentleman, Mr. McClatchy's recommendation that the committee of conference report be adopted. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Mullen.

Mr. MULLEN. Mr. Speaker, I will be very brief. We waited for 3 years to get an opportunity to do something about this. If we do not do it tonight, we are talking about more than money; we are talking about lives, and that is the important thing you have to remember.

Now, Mrs. Harper raised the question of the family planning clinics, that she does not know any of them that perform any abortions. That may very well be true, but you see what happens, Mrs. Harper, is when they go to the family clinic, they are then referred to the Planned Parenthood abortion clinics—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

The gentleman is reminded of the rules of the House that require that the Chair be addressed and not another member.

Mr. MULLEN. If you look at the memorandum, or if the members of the House or the Chair looks at the memorandum, they will see that under Title X of the Federal funding, Title X provides for the salaries of the clinical personnel, provides for the rent on the unit, provides for office equipment, provides for utilities, furnishings, et cetera. This means that the Planned Parenthood clinic—and they are the ones who perform most of the abortions—or any other abortion clinics that may receive the funds, what they are doing in fact is getting money to fund their programs in the abortion field as well as in the family planning area. I think it is awfully important that we take a stand tonight to defend human life, because if we only save one life, we are saving that life. This is more important than all the money in the world.

Now, my colleague, Mr. Irvis, pointed out that this is the last day of the fiscal period. That is true, but it is inconceivable to me that if we reject this report, that Mr. McClatchy and his committee cannot come back tomorrow with the report with this item deleted, and I do not think many people have any quarrel with that. It is as simple as that, and we are talking about saving human lives. We may not get the chance again. It took us 3 years to get this chance. This may be the last chance for the current session that we are now serving, so I ask you again to reject the report.

On the question recurring,

Will the House adopt the Report of the Committee of Conference?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote.

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, we must continue to forge ahead and complete this final part of the budget for fiscal year 1981-82. The House has made a decision with regard to one portion of the conference report on HB 1290, and it is my suggestion that we now send HB 1290 back to conference to see if these differences can be reconciled.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, I concur with the majority leader. I see no further purpose in arguing on this floor. I think the battle has been lost, and I think we ought to send this back now to the committee of conference to see if we can resolve the differences.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the conference committee report is recommitted to the committee on conference. The Chair hears none.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. White. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of personal privilege.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I understand quite clearly the parliamentary procedures involved and the political decisions made by the leadership of both the Democratic and the Republican sides of the aisle, but I would like to say on behalf of the constituents, at least of the 200th legislative district, they did not send me here on a one-issue vote. They sent me here to represent their best interests in all matters concerned, and I deeply resent the fact that a handful of legislators would hold up the entire works for much-needed programs in this Commonwealth on one single issue. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Ritter.

Mr. RITTER. A parliamentary inquiry. Mr. Speaker, when you asked about recommitting or sending that bill back to committee, there were some of us who were trying to get your attention to object, because I remember you said, without objection. Now, Mr. Speaker, I do object to sending that bill back without a vote, and I do not know what I can do about it. My parliamentary inquiry is, in what way can I see that a vote is recorded to send that bill back to conference committee, because I do not like what was done, and I did try to object, and I could not get that guy up there again to turn on this microphone.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Mr. Speaker, let me make it very plain to those who did not understand what we have tried to do. This is a

highly loaded, emotional issue. No matter whether you vote it red or green, your vote out there could open you to harassment all during the summer session. What we have tried to do is to avoid that, and that is the reason the two leaders agreed on the voice vote. It is not to try and overrule this House, but we feel that it is safer and wiser in such a loaded, emotional issue that you have no recorded vote on this issue at this point in time.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, that may very well be, but there were some of us who voted to send that bill to conference committee, even though we were satisfied with what was in it, but we did it at the request of the leadership, and we were recorded that way as sending the bill to conference committee when some of us did not want to do it, and now I am asking how we can be recorded as not sending it back to a conference committee but rather that we want to accept the report. How can I do that, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record. His feelings are now a part of the record.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, my parliamentary inquiry is in what way can we object to sending a bill back to a conference committee without a recorded vote?

The SPEAKER. The decision has been made by the Chair. The conference committee report has been returned to the conferees. The remarks of the gentleman are spread upon the record.

Mr. RITTER. Mr. Speaker, may I file a reconsideration motion?

I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. I did not start this, but doggone it, I am getting tired of having things rammed down my throat. Now, can I file a reconsideration motion or can I not?

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that a motion to recommit cannot be reconsidered. The gentleman has the option to attempt to discharge the conference committee.

CALENDAR CONTINUED BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 797, PN 973**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 2, 1945 (P. L. 382, No. 164), entitled "Municipality Authorities Act of 1945," further defining "project" and further providing for general purposes.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. McVERRY offered the following amendments No. A2823:

Amend Title, page 1, line 14, by inserting after "project"
; further providing for investment of
Authority moneys

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

Section 3. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 7.1. Investment of Authority Funds.—A. The board shall have the power to:

(a) make investment of Authority sinking funds in the manner provided for local government units by the act of July 12, 1972 (P.L.781, No.185), known as the "Local Government Unit Debt Act";

(b) make investment of moneys in the general fund and in special funds of the Authority other than the sinking funds as authorized by this section; and

(c) liquidate any such investment, in whole or in part, by disposing of securities or withdrawing funds on deposit. Any action taken to make or to liquidate any investment shall be made by the officers designated by action of the board.

B. The board shall invest Authority funds consistent with sound business practice.

C. The board shall provide for an investment program subject to restrictions contained in this act and in any other applicable statute and any rules and regulations adopted by the board.

D. Authorized types of investments for Authority funds shall be:

(a) United States Treasury bills.

(b) Short-term obligations of the United States Government or its agencies or instrumentalities.

(c) Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits or share accounts of institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund to the extent that such accounts are so insured, and, for any amounts above the insured maximum, provided that approved collateral as provided by law therefore shall be pledged by the depository.

(d) Obligations of the United States of America or any of its agencies or instrumentalities backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or any of its agencies or instrumentalities backed by the full faith and credit of the Commonwealth, or of any political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or any of its agencies or instrumentalities backed by the full faith and credit of the political subdivision.

(e) Shares of an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, whose shares are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, provided that the only investments of that company are in the authorized investments for Authority funds listed in clauses (a) through (d).

E. In making investments of Authority funds, the board shall have authority:

(a) To permit assets pledged as collateral under subsection D.(c), to be pooled in accordance with the act of August 6, 1971 (P.L.281, No.72), entitled "An act standardizing the procedures for pledges of assets to secure deposits of public funds with banking institutions pursuant to other laws; establishing a standard rule for the types, amounts and valuations of assets eligible to be used as collateral for deposits of public funds; permitting assets to be pledged against deposits on a pooled basis; and authorizing the appointment of custodians to act as pledgees of assets."

(b) To combine moneys from more than one fund under Authority control for the purchase of a single investment, provided that lack of the funds combined for the purpose shall be accounted for separately in all respects and that earnings from the investment are separately and individually computed and recorded, and credited to the accounts from which the investment was purchased.

(c) To join with one or more other political subdivisions and municipal authorities in accordance with the act of July 12, 1972 (P.L.762, No.180), referred to as the Intergovernmental Cooperation Law, in the purchase of a single investment, provided that the requirements of clause (b) on separate accounting of individual funds and separate computation, recording and crediting of the earnings therefrom are followed.

Section 4. Section 8 of the act, amended July 16, 1975 (P.L.56, No.34), is amended to read:

Section 8. Moneys of the Authority.—A. All moneys of any Authority, from whatever source derived, shall be paid to the treasurer of the Authority. [Said moneys shall be deposited in the first instance by the treasurer in one or more banks or bank and

trust companies in one or more special accounts or under savings contracts in savings associations in one or more special accounts, and each of such special accounts to the extent the same is not insured shall be continuously secured by a pledge of direct obligations of the United States of America, of the Commonwealth or of the municipality or municipalities creating the Authority, having an aggregate market value, exclusive of accrued interest, at all times at least equal to the balance on deposit in such account. Such securities shall either be deposited with the treasurer, or be held by a trustee or agent satisfactory to the Authority. All banks, bank and trust companies and savings associations are authorized to give such security for such deposits. The moneys in said accounts shall be paid out on the warrant or other order of the chairman of the Authority, or of such other person or persons as the Authority may authorize to execute such warrants or orders.]

B. Every Authority whose fiscal year ends December 31, shall file on or before July 1 an annual report of its fiscal affairs covering the preceding calendar year with the Department of Community Affairs and with the municipality or municipalities creating the Authority on forms prepared and distributed by the Department of Community Affairs; Authorities whose fiscal year does not end on December 31, shall file the report within ninety days after the end of their fiscal year. Every Authority shall have its books, accounts and records audited, annually, by a certified public accountant, and a copy of his audit report shall be filed in the same manner and within the same time period as the aforesaid annual report. A concise financial statement shall be published annually, at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the municipality where the principal office of the Authority is located. If such publication is not made by the Authority, the municipality or municipalities shall publish such statement at the expense of the Authority. If the Authority fails to make such an audit, then the controller, auditors or accountant designated by the municipality or municipalities, are hereby authorized and empowered from time to time to examine at the expense of the Authority the accounts and books of the Authority, including its receipts, disbursements, contracts, leases, sinking funds, investments, and any other matters relating to its finances, operation and affairs.

C. The Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania shall have the right to examine the books, accounts and records of any Authority.

Amend Sec. 3, page 5, line 8, by striking out "3." and inserting

5.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. ITKIN. Did we or did we not vote on the conference report on HB 1290?

The SPEAKER. We are presently on SB 797, PN 973, Mr. Itkin.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry.

Mr. ITKIN. That was not the answer to my question, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will yield.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry, on the amendment.

Mr. McVERRY. Mr. Speaker, amendment A2823 is an amendment which would bring the investment powers of municipal authorities into conformity with the investment powers of local governments under the Local Government Unit Debt Act, and into conformity with powers that we have recently granted to school boards in a vote just 2 weeks ago. It brings uniform investment powers to all municipal authorities in accordance with local governments.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—186

Anderson	Fischer	Livengood	Rybak
Armstrong	Fleck	Lloyd	Salvatore
Arty	Foster, W. W.	Lucyk	Saurman
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Frazier	McClatchy	Seventy
Belfanti	Freind	McIntyre	Showers
Bittle	Fryer	McMonagle	Shupnik
Blaum	Gallagher	McVerry	Sieminski
Borski	Gallen	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bowser	Gamble	Madigan	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gannon	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Brandt	Geist	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Brown	Gladeck	Manmiller	Snyder
Burd	Grabowski	Marmion	Spencer
Burns	Greenfield	Merry	Spitz
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Michlovic	Stairs
Cappabianca	Grieco	Micozzie	Steighner
Cawley	Gruitza	Miller	Stevens
Cessar	Gruppo	Miscevich	Stewart
Cimini	Hagarty	Moehlmann	Stuban
Civera	Haluska	Morris	Swaim
Clark	Harper	Mowery	Sweet
Clymer	Hasay	Mullen	Swift
Cochran	Hayes	Murphy	Taddonio
Cohen	Hoeffel	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafella	Honaman	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cole	Horgos	O'Donnell	Telek
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Tigue
Cornell	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Trello
Coslett	Irvis	Perzel	Van Horne
Cowell	Itkin	Peterson	Vroon
Cunningham	Jackson	Petrarca	Wambach
DeMedio	Johnson	Petrone	Wargo
DeVerter	Kanuck	Phillips	Wass
DeWeese	Kennedy	Piccola	Wenger
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	Weston
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pott	Wilson
Dininni	Lashinger	Pratt	Wogan
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Pucciarelli	Wozniak
Donatucci	Lehr	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Dorr	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wright, J. L.
Duffy	Letterman	Reber	Zwinkl
Durham	Levi	Rieger	
Emerson	Levin	Ritter	Ryan,
Fee	Lewis	Rocks	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—11

Alden	Evans	Rappaport	White
Beloff	Gray	Richardson	Williams, J. D.
Berson	Mrkonic	Wachob	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WILSON offered the following amendments No. A2990:

Amend Title, page 1, line 14, by inserting after "rates," further providing for acquisition of existing facilities, providing for the composition of the board of certain Authorities,

Amend Bill, page 5, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 3. Section 9 of the act is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Section 9. Transfer of Existing Facilities to Authority.— *

**
B.1. No Authority shall acquire by any device or means whatsoever, including a consolidation, merger, purchase or lease, or through the purchase of stock, bonds or other securities, the title to or the possession or use of all or any substantial portion of any existing facilities constituting a project as defined in this act without first advising in writing the municipality in which the facilities are located and all users of the existing facilities of its intention to acquire said facilities at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of acquisition. The Authority shall also conduct a public hearing prior to the acquisition in the municipality in which the existing facilities are located.

The proposed agreement to acquire the existing facilities shall be subject to approval by the governing body of the municipality in which the existing facilities are located. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 7, the governing body of the municipality in which the existing facilities are located shall appoint one user of the existing facilities to serve on the board of the acquiring Authority. The term of office of the user member(s) shall be the same as the term of the municipal member on such board.

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 7, in any case where an Authority has, on or after January 1, 1980, acquired any facilities constituting a project the governing body of the municipality in which the facilities are located shall, within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this amendatory act, appoint one user of such facilities to serve on the board of the Authority that acquired such facilities. The term of office of the user members shall be the same as the term of the municipal member on such board.

Section 4. This act shall take effect immediately and shall be retroactive and apply to all rates and services furnished on and after January 1, 1980.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, if I could have the attention of the House just briefly to explain this amendment to them. I know the hour is late, and I am hungry, too.

Mr. Speaker, I will explain my amendment and a current situation that needs correcting immediately. What we have is a situation where a municipal authority formed within one municipality acquires a private facility outside its boundary line. The problem we have is that there is no recourse for any ratemaking, any acquisition, or anything. All I am asking here is that the authority—and there is good reason in many cases for an authority to acquire another facility—all they have to do is notify the users, notify the municipality in which the other facility is located, and put a person on their board after the acquisition has taken place, one member. That is all it says here in this amendment. I would appreciate your support.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendments, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Venango, Mr. Levi.

Mr. LEVI. Mr. Speaker, I have to oppose this amendment. The first part of it, notifying and a public hearing, I think is quite right and proper. But I do not see why when an authority goes out and purchases another utility and goes through the public hearing process and everything, why they have to put somebody on the board. I think when you have your own operation and you purchase one that is in financial difficulty or for some other reason needs to sell, why do you have to take someone out of that outfit and put them on your board? I think it is well that you have a public hearing and public notice. I think that would be fine, but taking somebody from that area and putting them on your board and diluting the board of real efficient authority, I think, is wrong, and I would recommend we defeat this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Durham.

Mrs. DURHAM. Mr. Speaker, will Mr. Levi stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Levi, agrees to stand for interrogation. The lady may proceed.

Mrs. DURHAM. Mr. Speaker, could you summarize why you are opposed to Mr. Wilson's amendment?

Mr. LEVI. Mr. Speaker, my objection to this amendment is the last part of the amendment that requires the governing body of the old company or utility by placing somebody on the board of the company that is purchasing the old one. This dilutes the authority that is efficient in the running of a good operation by taking somebody in from the authority that for some reason got into difficulty and has to be absorbed. I just thing we are diluting it from people who are not running a good operation, and it is not in the best interest of all authorities.

Mrs. DURHAM. Mr. Speaker, you do oppose this amendment. Is that correct?

Mr. LEVI. Yes. It is not divisible, so, yes, I oppose the amendment.

Mrs. DURHAM. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. McVerry.

Mr. McVERRY. Mr. Speaker, by law the governing body of a municipal authority are board members. Those board

members are appointed to that position by the municipality that created the authority, who have the ultimate responsibility for the existence of that authority. There is no reasonable reason or logical reason that I can see as to why that municipal authority, who is ultimately responsible to the municipality that created it, should be required to take onto its board a member of a facility that is being acquired by the authority who has the ultimate responsibility to see to the appropriate management of the authority. I urge a negative vote on the amendment, and I see no reasonable reason for it to be adopted. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks, Mr. Fryer. On the amendment, Mr. Fryer?

Mr. FRYER. On the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. FRYER. Mr. Speaker, I would urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd, wish to be recognized on the amendment?

Mr. LLOYD. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. LLOYD. Would the gentleman, Mr. Wilson, stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, may proceed.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I want to understand exactly how this is going to work. If the municipal authority buys facilities outside the boundaries of the municipal authority, it then would have to place a former user or someone who is a user of those facilities outside the municipal authority on the authority board. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. WILSON. No. If an acquiring authority goes outside the municipality that formed that authority to acquire another facility, it would have to agree to accept one person from that municipality as a vote on that authority so that it could handle condemnation, ratemaking, and all those things that that board would do and let its municipality know what is going on.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, would it matter whether the number of users outside the municipal authority boundary was 10 or 1,000? There would still be one member appointed. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. WILSON. That is correct; only one. They could acquire five different facilities in the municipality, but only one person would be named as a voting member and a representative of that municipality to report back to the municipality what is going on.

Mr. LLOYD. So then, Mr. Speaker, if a municipal authority serves 5,000 people and wants to acquire some sewer lines or water lines which had been put there years ago which would serve 10 families outside the municipal authority, then the municipality outside the boundaries of the municipal authority would be entitled to one member on the municipal authority. Is that correct?

Mr. WILSON. In the municipality where they have acquired any facilities, they would put one person on whether they were 10,000, 10 million people, or what. You know,

when they are taxing you and condemning and doing things like that, you should have some kind of representation. That is all this suggests; one person.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, that would be without regard to the principle of one man, one vote?

Mr. WILSON. No, not necessarily one man, one vote. It would be one municipality, one vote.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield?

The gentlemen are reminded that their remarks should be addressed to the Chair.

Mr. WILSON. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

It would be one municipality, one vote. For all the acquisition in that municipality, it would be one vote.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Beaver, Mr. Kolter, care to be recognized on the amendment? The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. KOLTER. Mr. Speaker, I would urge acceptance of the Wilson amendment. Many times the authorities have acted at the expense of the taxpayer. What this amendment would do is offer more protection to the taxpayer. I would certainly urge a "yes" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Foster.

Mr. A. C. FOSTER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I must ask for a negative vote on this amendment. I am sympathetic to Mr. Wilson's problem. We have been trying to resolve it for quite a while in committee, but if we incorporate it into this bill, there is no way we are going to get concurrence before we get out of here. The bill is one that we have made a priority getting reported to the floor. It is needed, and if we put this amendment in it, it is going to kill the bill. I reluctantly ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, one brief question of the gentleman from Bucks, if he will.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Dorr, may proceed.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman indicate whether it is his intent that industrial development authorities would be included within the purview of the amendment?

Mr. WILSON. No.

Mr. DORR. They are not designed to be included?

Mr. WILSON. No. We are talking of water and sewer facilities particularly.

Mr. DORR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, you know, we heard the pitch against this amendment simply because of priorities. I think everybody has a priority, but I think to kill something that is good and needed is the wrong thing to do at this hour, this time of the year. What we are talking about is if somebody is going to condemn some property or have the right to make condemnation, if somebody is going to have the right to set rates—taxation, if you would—if somebody is going to do

those things without the say-so of the user, where the only alternate recourse for the user is to go to common pleas court, hire an expert witness, hire an attorney, spend \$10,000 or greater to fight a ratemaking case for water or sewer, I think that is the wrong thing to do. I think what we need to do is provide some kind of a vote for the people, for the users of those facilities. That is all we are asking here.

We have a case where the authority advertises in a newspaper that is 30 miles away from the users. I do not think that is fair and I do not think that is the way we want to run this country. We want to give the user, the voter, a say-so. That is all we are asking.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—81

Arty	Gallagher	Micozzie	Sirianni
Belardi	Geist	Miscevich	Smith, E. H.
Belfanti	Grabowski	Mullen	Smith, L. E.
Bittle	Greenwood	Murphy	Stairs
Bowser	Gruppo	Nahill	Stewart
Burns	Hagarty	Pendleton	Sweet
Caltagirone	Harper	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Peterson	Taylor, F. E.
Cessar	Hayes	Petrarca	Telek
Civera	Hutchinson, W.	Phillips	Wambach
Clark	Itkin	Piccola	Wargo
Cochran	Johnson	Pistella	Wass
Cohen	Kanuck	Pitts	Williams, H.
Cordisco	Klingaman	Punt	Wilson
Cornell	Kolter	Rasco	Wozniak
Coslett	Kukovich	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Cowell	Lashinger	Ritter	Zwilk
Cunningham	Laughlin	Salvatore	
Duffy	Letterman	Serafini	Ryan,
Fischer	Livengood	Shupnik	Speaker
Freind	Manderino	Sieminski	

NAYS—110

Anderson	Evans	McCall	Rocks
Armstrong	Fee	McClatchy	Rybak
Barber	Fleck	McIntyre	Saurman
Beloff	Foster, W. W.	McMonagle	Seventy
Berson	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Showers
Blaum	Frazier	Mackowski	Smith, B.
Borski	Fryer	Madigan	Snyder
Boyes	Gallen	Maiale	Spencer
Brandt	Gamble	Manmiller	Spitz
Brown	Gannon	Marmion	Steighner
Burd	Gladeck	Merry	Stevens
Cawley	Greenfield	Michlovic	Stuban
Cimini	Grieco	Miller	Swaim
Clymer	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Swift
Colafella	Haluska	Morris	Taddonio
Cole	Hoeffel	Mowery	Tigue
DeMedio	Honaman	Mrkonic	Trello
DeVerter	Horgos	Noye	Van Horne
DeWeese	Irvis	O'Donnell	Vroon
Daikeler	Jackson	Oliver	Wachob
Davies	Kennedy	Petrone	Wenger
Dawida	Kowalyszyn	Pievsy	Weston
Dietz	Lehr	Pott	White
Dininni	Lescovitz	Pratt	Wiggins
Dombrowski	Levi	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Donatucci	Levin	Richardson	Wogan
Dorr	Lloyd	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Lucyk		

NOT VOTING—6

Alden Gray Lewis Rappaport
Emerson Hutchinson, A.

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—194

Anderson	Fischer	Lucyk	Salvatore
Armstrong	Fleck	McCall	Saurman
Arty	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Serafini
Barber	Foster, Jr., A.	McIntyre	Seventy
Belardi	Frazier	McMonagle	Showers
Belfanti	Freind	McVerry	Shupnik
Beloff	Fryer	Mackowski	Sieminski
Berson	Gallagher	Madigan	Sirianni
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, B.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, E. H.
Borski	Gannon	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Geist	Marmion	Snyder
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Spencer
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Spitz
Burd	Greenfield	Micozzie	Stairs
Burns	Greenwood	Miller	Steighner
Caltagirone	Grieco	Miscevich	Stevens
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Moehlmann	Stewart
Cawley	Gruppo	Morris	Stuban
Cessar	Hagarty	Mowery	Swaim
Cimini	Haluska	Mrkoncic	Sweet
Civera	Harper	Mullen	Swift
Clark	Hasay	Murphy	Taddonio
Clymer	Hayes	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Hoeffel	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Honaman	O'Donnell	Telek
Colafella	Horgos	Oliver	Tigue
Cole	Hutchinson, A.	Pendleton	Trello
Cordisco	Hutchinson, W.	Perzel	Van Horne
Cornell	Irvis	Peterson	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Petrarca	Wachob
Cowell	Jackson	Petrone	Wambach
Cunningham	Johnson	Phillips	Wargo
DeMedio	Kanuck	Piccola	Wass
DeVerter	Kennedy	Pievsky	Wenger
DeWeese	Klingaman	Pistella	Weston
Daikeler	Kolter	Pitts	White
Davies	Kowalshyn	Pott	Wiggins
Dawida	Kukovich	Pratt	Williams, H.
Dietz	Lashinger	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Laughlin	Punt	Wilson
Dombrowski	Lehr	Rappaport	Wogan
Donatucci	Lescovitz	Rasco	Wozniak
Dorr	Letterman	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Duffy	Levi	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Durham	Levin	Rieger	Zwinkl
Emerson	Lewis	Ritter	
Evans	Livengood	Rocks	Ryan,
Fee	Lloyd	Rybak	Speaker

NAYS—1

Boyes

NOT VOTING—2

Alden Gray

EXCUSED—4

Deal George Heiser Olasz

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Wogan. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. WOGAN. Mr. Speaker, on SB 633 I was erroneously recorded in the negative when it was my desire to vote in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 277 RESUMED

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair removes from the table SB 277, PN 1089.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. RICHARDSON offered the following amendments No. A2988:

Amend Title, page 1, line 18, by striking out "and" and inserting a comma

Amend Title, page 1, line 19, by removing the period after "LICENSEES" and inserting

and appropriating funds to the Department of Health for the study of problems and promotion of programs relating to alcoholism and the rehabilitation and treatment of alcoholics.

Amend Bill, page 10, by inserting between lines 8 and 9

Section 4. Section 802 of the act, amended September 28, 1961 (P.L. 1728, No. 702), is amended to read:

Section 802. Moneys Paid Into The State Stores Fund for Use of the Commonwealth.—(a) All moneys, except fees to be paid into the Liquor License Fund as provided by the preceding section, collected, received or recovered under the provisions of this act for license fees, permit fees, filing fees and registration fees, from forfeitures, sales of forfeited property, compromise penalties and sales of liquor and alcohol at the Pennsylvania Liquor Stores, shall be paid into the State Treasury through the Department of Revenue into a special fund to be known as "The State Stores Fund."

(b) One-half of all application, filing and transfer fees shall be credited to a special account designated as the Enforcement Officers' Retirement Account. The moneys credited to this

account shall be paid, annually, by the board to the State [Employees'] Employees' Retirement Board to be paid into the State [Employees'] Employees' Retirement Fund and credited to the Enforcement Officers' Benefit Account.

(c) Two per centum of the net revenue of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board as shown on the operating statement in its annual report is hereby appropriated to the Department of Health for the Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse to be used for the:

- (1) study of the problem of alcoholism;
- (2) treatment and rehabilitation of persons addicted to the excessive use of alcoholic beverages; and
- (3) promotion of preventive and educational programs designed to eliminate alcoholism.

(d) All other moneys in such fund shall be available for the purposes for which they are appropriated by law.

Amend Sec. 4, page 10, line 9, by striking out "4." and inserting
5.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I would like to know if I am in order to make a motion.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the gentleman's amendment to SB 277. The gentleman has been recognized for the purpose of debating his amendment to that particular bill.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. *The gentleman may state his point of parliamentary inquiry.*

Mr. RICHARDSON. I would like to know if I am in order, Mr. Speaker, to make a motion, since I am recognized and I have the floor.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman was recognized for a specific purpose, and that was to debate his amendment.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, prior to being recognized on amendment A2988, I asked to be recognized. You asked me to yield. I did that, but I asked to be recognized prior to that.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognized the gentleman in connection with the gentleman's amendment, no one else's amendment, to SB 277. If the gentleman does not care to debate his amendment to SB 277, the Chair will be happy to recognize someone else.

Mr. RICHARDSON. No. What I am asking, Mr. Speaker, is whether or not I can be recognized for a motion. That is all.

The SPEAKER. No. You have not been recognized for that purpose. You have been recognized in connection with your own amendment. If the gentleman does not care to debate his own amendment, the Chair will recognize some other member.

Mr. RICHARDSON. The amendment that I offer, Mr. Speaker— and I will do it this way, with anticipation of offering the amendment and then asking to be recognized after the vote on the amendment.

The amendment that Representative Caltagirone and myself have introduced is an amendment to take 2 percent of the net revenue of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board, and we are asking that that money be applied under the new council which is under the Department of Health, the drug and alcohol abuse program—it is the Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse that was formerly the Governor's drug and alcohol abuse program—using that money specifically to deal with the study of alcoholism, treatment and rehabilitation, a problem that has been centered very long within our community, particularly in the city of Philadelphia with the problem centering around drug and alcohol, but more specifically to the fact that if we are taking the moneys from the state stores and we are using it directly to deal with the problems as they affect those persons whom we are supposed to help, then why do we not turn it back around and take that same money—it boils down to about \$3.5 million—that will be used specifically for treatment and drug rehabilitation and alcoholism.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Lehr.

Mr. LEHR. Mr. Speaker, I oppose this amendment. There is a bill in the Liquor Control Committee that would deal with this problem. It would cost actually around \$4 million, and I hope we can defeat this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, Mr. White?

Mr. WHITE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the amendment for some very basic kinds of reasons. It has been demonstrated in statistical study after study that alcoholism in the Commonwealth, and in fact throughout this Nation, is on the increase. It has also been recognized through study after study that those agencies responsible for administering statewide programs, particularly here in Pennsylvania, now under the aegis of the Department of Health, have failed time and time again to develop meaningful educational counseling and rehabilitative programs for individuals who are caught up in that disease. I would hope that this General Assembly would take this opportunity tonight, as opposed to hoping and waiting on some action by the Liquor Control Committee to foster this same type of education and counseling for individuals who are caught up in the alcoholic cycle.

If I could further amplify the point of being in support of this amendment. All of the proposals that we have presently seen out of the Federal Government would point to a drastic reduction in much-needed services to persons who are caught up in alcoholic diseases. We would hope that this Commonwealth would take advantage of an opportunity that we now have to say to the liquor industry here in Pennsylvania, here is an opportunity that the General Assembly is going to give you to meet the commitment that you have given to us in words in support of developing strong, meaningful, and viable educational counseling and rehabilitative programs for individuals who do in fact succumb to alcoholism.

I would hope that the members of this House would understand that point and would then turn and support the Richardson amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Delaware, Mrs. Arty.

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, I admire and respect the efforts of the gentleman in behalf of the illness of alcoholism and the people who suffer that illness.

May I, sir, question the maker of the amendment? Will he stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Richardson, consent to interrogation?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes.

The SPEAKER. The lady may proceed.

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, the money that is expected to come as a result of this amendment, would it go into the General Fund?

Mr. RICHARDSON. No. This money would specifically go to the Department of Health under the new drug council program that used to be the Governor's Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse.

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, it would then go to the Department of Health but be appropriated from the General Fund and set aside for that purpose?

Mr. RICHARDSON. Yes, Mr. Speaker. It would be 2 percent of the net revenue of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board operating money that was gathered from its annual report, appropriated to the Department of Health for the Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse to be used for the following things that I have already discussed.

Mrs. ARTY. Mr. Speaker, may I remark, sir, that from the general appropriations fund of the Commonwealth, we are now dealing with \$22 million a year that is being used for this purpose. Additionally, there are Federal funds coming into the program, and the total appropriation for drug and alcohol abuse, which includes studies, which includes treatment, which includes education, prevention, and intervention, is now to the tune of \$33 million.

One of the reasons that the Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse is now in the Department of Health is to perhaps cut down on some of the administrative costs so that we can put more money into programs and, secondly, so that we can achieve some degree of accountability.

May I suggest, sir, that we reject this amendment until we have some of the ducks in line in this program and in all of its agencies and single-county authorities in the Commonwealth. Again, I respect the gentleman's motivation but ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, on the Richardson amendment A2988.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I would just like to inform the lady that perhaps she is not aware of the fact that that program has been in fact cut, and one of the purposes for instituting this particular 2-percent-net-revenue cost was specifically to go directly to the study of the problem of alcoholism because of the problem in the city of Philadelphia and other counties

across this Commonwealth. We have a very tremendous, severe problem, particularly with the onslaught of all the cutting of the social service programs, the cutback in welfare. The problems dealing in the other areas that we have mentioned are going to bring a tremendous rise to that problem within this Commonwealth. The treatment and rehabilitation of persons addicted to the excessive use of alcoholic beverages has not probably been geared toward the programmatic way that we feel that the program and the council should be following through on it, and you, being a nurse, understand the direct problems as they relate to those particular problems, and I cannot understand why there is such opposition to promotion of prevention and education programs designed to eliminate these problems. While there are programs already geared in those directions, it seems to me that we have not earmarked specifically making sure that that money is going to be spent on that purpose. I am asking that 2 percent of that money specifically be spent on that so we do not lose the flavor and also the intent of what this particular amendment is supposed to do in SB 277.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Miller, on the Richardson amendment.

Mr. MILLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in specific support of the Richardson amendment. Many times our activities in this House, just by the luck of the draw on the floor calendar, point to us interesting ironies. Just a few moments ago this House expressed a willingness to continue general debate, on the very subject of finishing this year's appropriations, on the single issue of human life. Tonight on Pennsylvania highways somewhere there is a drunk going to kill somebody. Now that is a darn shame. The man stands before you, the gentleman from Philadelphia, with an amendment that suggests we look squarely in the face the problem of alcoholism in this state and not carefully go back to our offices in another day and ignore the fact that we cut base allocations to counties across this Commonwealth for drug and alcohol prevention and abuse programs. He is not going to let us ignore that, and he gives us the most direct and responsible solution to the problem.

Let me ask you a question. For those of you who have looked at the revenues generated by liquor sales in this state, what do you look at? How much did we make? The whole debate on the state store system centers on what is the exchange of dollars, not what is the exchange in human life. What is the exchange in human life? Do any of us know? Are any of us willing to ignore the principle the gentleman proposes that is so fundamental that says, just like we do in the crime victim's compensation program and having the criminals pay for part of the program and compensating victims, why not have the drinkers kick a little bit into the pot? Is that such an obnoxious principle that this General Assembly can turn its back on a very responsible amendment, when administratively we have already made the responsible change - we have put it in the Department of Health; we have restructured the program and put a fair funding policy to it despite the fact we have not fully funded it. Somewhere on

our highways tonight, a drunk is liable to commit a criminal act, and we ignore the fact that the very source of revenue could be our source of beginning to solve the problem.

The Richardson amendment makes a lot of sense. We just might ought to consider it tonight. This member anxiously looks forward to voting for it, and I hope you do, too. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Cohen, on the amendment.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, this is an especially timely amendment because of the revamping of the liquor stores. Traditionally in Pennsylvania the liquor stores have been located as sparsely as possible; they have been run as inconveniently as possible, under the theory of the long-late Governor Pinchot that the best way to discourage alcoholism was to make going into a state liquor store as undesirable an experience as possible. We are changing that now. It is not going to be demeaning to go into a liquor store. It is increasingly possible to shop for oneself. The consumption of alcoholic beverages in the Commonwealth is going to increase, and as a result, there is going to be an increased danger of alcoholism. This amendment is a responsible amendment. I join with the others in urging support.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Lehr.

Mr. LEHR. I will yield to Mr. Richardson.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Richardson.

Mr. RICHARDSON. I want to be recognized last to speak, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Lehr.

Mr. LEHR. I again ask for a "no" vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Richardson, on the Richardson amendment.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, after the vote I have a brief comment to make relevant to this particular issue only.

The SPEAKER. If the gentleman has a comment on this issue, I would suggest that he make it now.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, in an attempt not to have any swaying of this vote on this particular issue, I am asking that I just be recognized for a brief 30 seconds after this vote only on the question dealing with SB 277 and this issue.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—118

Armstrong	Fee	McCall	Ritter
Barber	Fischer	McIntyre	Rocks
Belfanti	Fleck	McMonagle	Rybak
Beloff	Frazier	Madigan	Seventy
Berson	Fryer	Maiale	Showers
Bittle	Gallagher	Michlovic	Shupnik
Blaum	Gamble	Miller	Sieminski
Borski	Grabowski	Miscevich	Spitz
Brandt	Greenfield	Morris	Steighner
Brown	Greenwood	Mrkonic	Stewart
Burns	Gruitza	Mullen	Stuban

Caltagirone	Gruppo	Murphy
Cappabianca	Haluska	O'Donnell
Cawley	Harper	Oliver
Clark	Hasay	Pendleton
Cochran	Hoeffel	Petrarca
Cohen	Horgos	Petrone
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Phillips
Cole	Itkin	Piccola
Cordisco	Kolter	Pievsky
Cowell	Kowalyszyn	Pistella
DeMedio	Kukovich	Pott
DeWeese	Lashingner	Pratt
Dawida	Laughlin	Pucciarelli
Dombrowski	Lescovitz	Punt
Donatucci	Letterman	Rappaport
Duffy	Levin	Rasco
Durham	Livengood	Richardson
Emerson	Lloyd	Rieger
Evans	Lucyk	

Swaim
Sweet
Taylor, E. Z.
Telek
Tigue
Trello
Van Horne
Wachob
Wambach
Wargo
White
Wiggins
Williams, H.
Williams, J. D.
Wogan
Wozniak
Wright, D. R.
Zwikel

NAYS—72

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McClatchy	Smith, B.
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	McVerry	Smith, E. H.
Belardi	Freind	Mackowski	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gallen	Manmiller	Snyder
Boyes	Gannon	Marmion	Spencer
Burd	Geist	Merry	Stairs
Cessar	Gladeck	Moehlmann	Stevens
Cimini	Grieco	Mowery	Swift
Civera	Hagarty	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hayes	Noye	Vroon
Cornell	Honaman	Perzel	Wass
Coslett	Hutchinson, W.	Peterson	Wenger
Cunningham	Jackson	Pitts	Weston
DeVerter	Johnson	Reber	Wilson
Daikeler	Kanuck	Salvatore	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Saurman	
Dietz	Klingaman	Serafini	Ryan,
Dininni	Lehr	Sirianni	Speaker
Dorr	Levi		

NOT VOTING—7

Alden	Irvis	Manderino	Taddonio
Gray	Lewis	Micozzie	

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Vroon.

Mr. VROON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this bill. I believe it is another step in the direction of liberalizing our liquor control laws. I am very much disturbed by the fact that this bill removes entirely the quotas on golf club licenses. I do not think that is to our best interest. A golf club can be a very poor golf club and can be losing money and can go out of business. Under this bill all they have to do is go into the liquor business and they have it made. I am very much opposed to this, and I would strongly urge a "no" vote on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Fleck.

Mr. FLECK. Mr. Speaker, will Mr. Dorr submit to interrogation?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Dorr, consent to interrogation? The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. FLECK. Mr. Speaker, is it my reading of this bill that privately owned public golf courses, and as amended, nine holes, 2,500 yards in length, will be entitled to a liquor license for the application to the state Liquor Control Board?

Mr. DORR. That is correct, Mr. Speaker, if in fact they are such.

Mr. FLECK. And they will be permitted to build or to utilize a building, a secondary building, of any size other than the licensed premises, so long as such secondary building is on the golf course premises. Is that correct?

Mr. DORR. That is the way the bill reads. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. FLECK. And for what purpose is this secondary building to be used?

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, it is the intent of the amendment which was placed in the bill that would allow that to happen that the secondary building be utilized for serving the people who are golfing at that golf course.

Mr. FLECK. If I understand, it is the intent that that building be used only for the purposes of those people also patronizing the golf course and not for use as a public facility?

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I think it clearly is the intent of the sponsors of that amendment not to create a situation in which the golf course owners can set up a restaurant to serve the general public or a dance hall to serve the general public or any other kind of facility. It is designed to allow the golf course owners the opportunity to serve the people who come to patronize the golf course, to serve them alcoholic beverages at such a secondary building such as a ninth-hole facility, that sort of thing.

Mr. FLECK. So then the character of the secondary building is to be more liken to that of a 10th-hole snack shop. Is that correct?

Mr. DORR. The 10th-hole snack shop, supplementary clubhouse, that sort of thing, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. FLECK. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—140

Anderson	Dorr	Lewis	Rocks
Barber	Duffy	McCall	Rybak
Belardi	Durham	McClatchy	Salvatore
Belfanti	Emerson	McMonagle	Saurman
Beloff	Evans	McVerry	Serafini
Berson	Fee	Mackowski	Seventy
Blaum	Fleck	Maiale	Shupnik
Borski	Frazier	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowser	Gallagher	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallen	Merry	Snyder
Brown	Gamble	Michlovic	Spencer
Burd	Gannon	Micozzie	Steighner

Burns	Gladeck	Miscevich	Stevens
Caltagirone	Grabowski	Morris	Stewart
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Mullen	Suban
Cawley	Grieco	Murphy	Swaim
Cessar	Gruitza	Nahill	Sweet
Civera	Hagarty	Noye	Taddonio
Clark	Haluska	O'Donnell	Taylor, E. Z.
Cochran	Harper	Oliver	Taylor, F. E.
Cohen	Hasay	Pendleton	Tigue
Colafella	Hoeffel	Perzel	Trello
Cole	Horgos	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cordisco	Hutchinson, A.	Petrone	Wachob
Cornell	Irvic	Piccola	Wambach
Coslett	Itkin	Pievsky	Weston
Cowell	Kanuck	Pistella	White
DeMedio	Kennedy	Pott	Wiggins
DeWeese	Kolter	Pratt	Williams, H.
Daikeler	Kowalshyn	Pucciarelli	Williams, J. D.
Davies	Kukovich	Punt	Wilson
Dawida	Lashinger	Rasco	Wogan
Dininni	Lehr	Reber	Wozniak
Dombrowski	Letterman	Richardson	Wright, J. L.
Donatucci	Levin	Ritter	Zwikl

NAYS—52

Armstrong	Gray	McIntyre	Smith, E. H.
Arty	Gruppo	Madigan	Spitz
Bittle	Hayes	Marmion	Stairs
Brandt	Honaman	Miller	Swift
Cimini	Hutchinson, W.	Moehlmann	Telek
Clymer	Jackson	Mowery	Vroon
Cunningham	Johnson	Mrkonic	Wargo
DeVerter	Klingaman	Peterson	Wass
Dietz	Laughlin	Phillips	Wenger
Fischer	Lescovitz	Pitts	Wright, D. R.
Foster, W. W.	Levi	Rieger	
Foster, Jr., A.	Livengood	Showers	Ryan,
Fryer	Lloyd	Sieminski	Speaker
Geist	Lucyk		

NOT VOTING—5

Alden	Greenfield	Rappaport	Smith, L. E.
Freind			

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 383, PN 1977**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE

**AMENDED HOUSE BILLS
RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 33, PN 2046; HB 229, PN 2027; and HB 243, PN 1989**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

The **SPEAKER**. The bills will appear on the calendar.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

HB 383, PN 1977

An Act amending the "Civil Service Act," approved August 5, 1941 (P. L. 752, No. 286), adding positions in the Vocational Education Management Information Systems to the classified service in the Department of Education.

BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

SB 168, PN 1131 (Amended) (Unanimous)

By Rep. A. C. FOSTER

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for reopening of district budgets.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

**SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B
BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 168, PN 1131**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for reopening of district budgets.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

Mr. LEVIN. What is the bill? What is in the bill, Mr. Speaker?

The **SPEAKER**. SB 168.

Mr. LEVIN. Does it contain a prohibition on the residency requirement?

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman will yield.

Mr. LEVIN. Well, then tell us about it.

The **SPEAKER**. There is nothing in order but the taking of the roll and the announcing of the vote.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The **SPEAKER**. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Anderson	Foster, W. W.	McCall	Seventy
Armstrong	Foster, Jr., A.	McClatchy	Showers
Arty	Frazier	McMonagle	Shupnik
Belardi	Freind	McVerry	Sieminski
Belfanti	Fryer	Mackowski	Sirianni
Beloff	Gallagher	Madigan	Smith, B.
Bittle	Gallen	Maiale	Smith, E. H.
Blaum	Gamble	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Gannon	Manmiller	Snyder
Boyes	Geist	Marmion	Spencer
Brandt	Gladeck	Merry	Spitz
Brown	Grabowski	Michlovic	Stairs
Burd	Greenwood	Micozzie	Steighner
Burns	Grieco	Miller	Stevens
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Miscevich	Stewart
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Moehlmann	Stuban
Cawley	Hagarty	Morris	Sweet
Cessar	Haluska	Mowery	Swift
Cimini	Harper	Mrkonic	Taddonio
Civera	Hasay	Mullen	Taylor, E. Z.
Clark	Hayes	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Clymer	Hoeffel	Nahill	Telek
Cochran	Honaman	Noye	Tigue
Cohen	Horgos	O'Donnell	Trello
Colafella	Hutchinson, A.	Oliver	Van Horne
Cole	Hutchinson, W.	Pendleton	Vroon
Cordisco	Irvic	Perzel	Wachob
Cornell	Itkin	Peterson	Wambach
Coslett	Jackson	Petrarca	Wargo
Cowell	Johnson	Petrone	Wass
DeMedio	Kanuck	Phillips	Wenger
DeVerter	Kennedy	Piccola	Weston
Daikeler	Klingaman	Pievsky	White
Davies	Kolter	Pistella	Wiggins
Dawida	Kowalyshyn	Pitts	Williams, H.
Dietz	Kukovich	Pott	Williams, J. D.
Dininni	Lashinger	Pratt	Wilson
Dombrowski	Laughlin	Punt	Wogan
Dorr	Lehr	Rasco	Wozniak
Duffy	Lescovitz	Reber	Wright, D. R.
Durham	Letterman	Ritter	Wright, J. L.
Emerson	Levi	Rocks	Zwilk
Evans	Levin	Rybak	
Fee	Lewis	Salvatore	Ryan,
Fischer	Livengood	Saurman	Speaker
Fleck	Lucyk	Serafini	

NAYS—2

Cunningham Lloyd

NOT VOTING—14

Alden	DeWeese	McIntyre	Richardson
Barber	Donatucci	Pucciarelli	Rieger
Berson	Gray	Rappaport	Swaim
Borski	Greenfield		

EXCUSED—4

Deal	George	Heiser	Olasz
------	--------	--------	-------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Levin.

Mr. LEVIN. I have now had an opportunity to read the bill itself, and I realize that the residency requirement has been taken out of the bill. But I believe that should have been called to our attention before we voted, because it was on the supplemental calendar printed that way.

The SPEAKER. The Chair had announced that we were on supplemental calendar B. The Chair apologizes if the gentleman did not hear the Chair.

POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Richardson, rise?

Mr. RICHARDSON. A point of order, Mr. Speaker, on the last vote, SB 168.

The SPEAKER. What is the gentleman's point of order?

Mr. RICHARDSON. The point of order, Mr. Speaker, is that we were just handed a supplemental calendar. They were still being passed out at the time that it reached our desks, and before we had an opportunity to see specifically what was in this bill, you asked for a roll-call vote. None of us had an opportunity. I would like to ask that we file a reconsideration motion so that we have an opportunity to vote on the bill.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, there is a school district in Pennsylvania whose board of school directors is going to be without life at midnight tonight, and it is necessary for them to take certain formal action.

The Local Government Committee took everything out of SB 168, which is an amendment to the School Code, and placed into it a very narrow permission to allow that one school board in one of our school districts in Pennsylvania to take the necessary formal action that must be taken before midnight tonight, and it is important that we send it over to the Senate.

There is nothing in it about residency. There is nothing in SB 168 as amended that deals with IU's - intermediate units - or anything else in the School Code of Pennsylvania. It does no more than I have just mentioned, and there is absolutely no need to file a reconsideration motion.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Fine. All we need to know is that, because most of the time when things get ramrodded through this House, we have the opportunity and the response as members to know what is being done. If you had said that before the vote, we would not have had the problem.

REMARKS ON VOTES

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Cunningham, rise?

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Speaker, I just have noted that I was erroneously recorded in the negative on the vote on SB 168. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

For what purpose does the gentleman from Cambria, Mr. Haluska, rise?

Mr. HALUSKA. I would like to have my vote recorded in the negative on SB 168, but I would like to interrogate Mr. Hayes, please.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

INTERROGATION

The SPEAKER. The majority leader consents to interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Haluska, may proceed.

Mr. HALUSKA. Mr. Speaker, I have personally gone through five different jointures, and at all times when we went into the jointures, the assets and the liabilities of the respective districts were taken into consideration, because the law demanded that we go in as a unit and share our liabilities as well as our assets. So why, therefore, should we give any one district in Pennsylvania a special privilege when other districts that have formed jointures over the years were compelled by law to share their assets and liabilities? And now we have a special provision here that is giving one district an opportunity to liquidate their assets and then to enjoy the assets of the other districts, perhaps, that may have capital improvements, and the taxpayers of those districts will never have an opportunity to recoup their costs.

Mr. HAYES. Was that a question, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. HALUSKA. Yes. I asked why you take action like this when this has not been done previously.

Mr. HAYES. I was not here when you had the problem, sir.

Mr. HALUSKA. Well, I am sure you were around.

Mr. HAYES. I was a taxpayer back home.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILLS CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 5, PN 5; HB 568, PN 1494; and HB 719, PN 1705**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 5, PN 5

An Act amending the "Uniform Acknowledgment Act," approved July 24, 1941 (P. L. 490, No. 188), providing for acknowledgments by an attorney at law.

HB 568, PN 1494

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Secretary of Public Welfare, to sell and convey a certain lot or tract of land situate in the City of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

HB 719, PN 1705

An Act amending "The Fiscal Code," approved April 9, 1929 (P. L. 343, No. 176), further providing for investment of funds by the Treasury Department and for the deposit of securities.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Chair gives notice, particularly to the court reporters and the Legislative Reference Bureau, that supplemental calendar B carries the printer's number for SB 168 incorrectly. The proper printer's number should be 1131.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the House will be in recess for a period of 15 minutes. The Chair hears none.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MAJORITY LEADER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.
Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I believe there should be an announcement made. The Senate is still working on SB 425. It is not absolutely certain that they will pass the legislation as we did, and if they do not, that will require a committee of conference. We are growing very close to the end of this fiscal year, and we would not want to do anything precipitously and adjourn and leave for the day. We must remain in session until we know for certain what the Senate is going to do in SB 425. It is my understanding that they are now in caucus.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDED SENATE BILLS CONCURRED IN

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 568, PN 1091**, and **SB 681, PN 1126**.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1484, PN 1978**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bills, which were then signed:

HB 1484, PN 1978

An Act authorizing the incurring of indebtedness with approval of the electors, of \$300,000,000 for the repair, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, extension and improvement of community water supply systems, and for the repair, reconstruction or rehabilitation of flood control facilities, dams and port facilities and providing the allotment of proceeds from borrowing hereunder.

SB 8, PN 8

An Act naming the Pennsylvania Route 462 bridge, between Columbia and Wrightsville, the Veterans' Memorial Bridge.

SB 153, PN 610

An Act amending the act of June 1, 1945 (P. L. 1242, No. 428), entitled "State Highway Law," providing for removal by the secretary of routes on the State highway system.

SB 568, PN 1091

An Act amending the act of April 27, 1927 (P. L. 465, No. 299), entitled, as amended, "Fire and Panic Act," further excluding certain farm buildings; further providing for ways of egress and providing for legislative review of certain rules and regulations.

SB 633, PN 650

An Act amending the act of December 22, 1965 (P. L. 1124, No. 437), entitled "Dog Law of 1965," providing penalties for mistreatment of or interference with police department dogs and exempting such dogs from quarantine.

SB 681, PN 1126

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Public Utility Commission.

SB 719, PN 853

An Act providing that a city of the first class shall sell bonds or other securities, except as provided herein, issued by it at public sale after not less than one public notice for such price, at, above or below their par value plus accrued interest, as shall be determined by the authorities of the city and providing that such a city may sell bonds or other securities at private sale when no conforming bid has been received at a prior public sale; and providing that the provisions hereof shall apply to all borrowings and the issuance of bonds or other securities therefor, whenever authorized.

COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bradford, Mr. Madigan.

Mr. MADIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The meeting of the Special Committee on Toxic Waste scheduled for tomorrow morning at 9:30 will be canceled. There will be no meeting tomorrow at 9:30. We will reschedule it, hopefully, the week of July 13.

ADDITIONS AND DELETION OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I submit for the record in accordance with our rules the additions and deletions of sponsors of bills.

ADDITIONS:

HB 1056, Wiggins; HB 1407, Mrkonic; HB 1464, Gladeck; HB 1712, Reber.

DELETION:

HB 212, Petrarca.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MINORITY LEADER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. IRVIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have been in communication with Senate leaders, and there is some indication of some problems which have arisen with SB 425. It may be necessary for us to be on the floor, and I am asking those Democrats who are presently here, and Republicans also, and those who are in their offices to remain available. We may not have solved the problems of this night's session yet. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Without objection, this House will stand in recess until 11:15 p.m. The Chair hears none.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

SENATE MESSAGE**HOUSE AMENDED SENATE
BILL CONCURRED IN**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 168, PN 1131**.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

SB 168, PN 1131

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for reopening of district budgets.

HOUSE SCHEDULE

The SPEAKER. The information I have received with respect to our schedule is that the Senate Democrats have gone into caucus to discuss the fee bill. The caucus was called for an indeterminate time, so I am unable to advise the House as to what time the Senate will return to the floor and act on SB 425. Under those circumstances, I suspect that we should rest easy as best we can until we have some information from the Senate.

SENATORS WELCOMED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to acknowledge the presence in the hall of the House of the distinguished Senator from Allegheny County, Senator Zemprelli, and the distinguished minority Appropriations chairman from the Senate, Senator Lewis, both of whom are going over to the Senate to pass the bill that has kept us here so late.

Mr. PETRONE. Mr. Speaker, I think it is only fair that another distinguished Senator be introduced who is here visiting with us, Senator Frank Pecora. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The House is always delighted to have distinguished visitors from the other body visit with us.

The House will be at ease.

The House will come to order.

It is the understanding of the Chair that the Senate has just passed SB 425 and will shortly be sending it over to the House for signature. If that is the case, I would expect there will be no further roll calls for this evening. I will await, however, the arrival of the minority leader and minority whip and have a further conference with the majority leader and minority leaders prior to recessing the House. It will be necessary for the Speaker to remain for the purpose of accepting and signing bills, however.

SENATE MESSAGE**HOUSE AMENDED SENATE
BILL CONCURRED IN**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 425, PN 1127**.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

SB 425, PN 1127

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," providing for the fixing of fees charged by administrative agencies; further providing for public relations and budgets; further providing for the purchases of handicapped-made products and services; providing for the disposition and legislative review relating to Commonwealth surplus land and making repeals.

The SPEAKER. At such time as we adjourn the House, the House will reconvene at 10 a.m. tomorrow morning.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears none.

WELCOMES

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today a former distinguished member from the county of Erie who served here with us during the 1965-70 terms. Will the gentleman, Mr. Frank Polaski, please rise?

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today Michael Sedor, Jill Sedor, and their children, Michael and Amy, who are guests of Representative Bruce Smith of Cumberland and York Counties.

The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House today Mr. Whit Griffiths of Bedford Area Senior High School and Mr. Gary Ickes, pharmacist and former chairman of the Bedford County Republican Committee, who are guests of the member from Bedford, Mr. Clarence Dietz.

The Chair is also pleased to welcome to the hall of the House Mr. and Mrs. James Simon and Mrs. George Jackson, wife of Representative Jackson, who are the guests of Representatives Jackson and Moehlmann.

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today a former member of the House from Butler County, Mr. Thomas Tiberi, here today as the guest of the delegation from western Pennsylvania.

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today Mr. Isador Kranzel, administrative law judge of the Public Utility Commission, here today as the guest of Representative Evans.

The Chair welcomes the following guest who is in the balcony: Douglas Argall, the brother of David Argall of the research staff and the guest of Representative Klingaman of Schuylkill County.

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as the guests of Representative Morris of Chester County, Mrs. Alice McCaffrey and her niece, Liz Ann McCaffrey, and a friend, Timothy Murphy of San Francisco, California.

The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House, as the guests of Representatives Cessar and Pott of Allegheny County, the mother of Senator Early, Mrs. Early; his aunt, Mrs. Sarver; and two members of Senator Early's staff, Lorraine Carr and Nancy Anderson.

The Chair welcomes to the hall of the House today as the guest of the gentleman, Mr. Gladeck, Ms. Liz Anne Murray of Blue Bell, Pennsylvania.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Wednesday, July 1, 1981, at 10 a.m., e.d.t.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 12:38 a.m., e.d.t., July 1, 1981, the House adjourned.