

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1985

SESSION OF 1985

169TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 64

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

#### THE SPEAKER (K. LEROY IRVIS) IN THE CHAIR

#### PRAYER

REV. DR. DAVID R. HOOVER, chaplain of the House of Representatives, from McConnellsburg, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Most Glorious Father, our loving and protective Lord, as humble stewards of Thine, we pause before Thee in this hour. We recognize that we are the highest of Thy creation, and Thou hast endowed us with great and influential capacities for the development of the universe in which we reside. We do seek Thy guidance and pray that Thou wilt ever keep us in Thy protective care. We beseech Thee especially to reach out to the members of this House of Representatives, enfold them in Thy loving arms, grant them Thy resistance against the enticements of this world, and share with them Thy blessed peace. Amen.

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was enunciated by members.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. The approval of the Journal for Tuesday, October 22, 1985, will be withheld, without objection, until that Journal is in print. The Chair hears no such objection.

#### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Fee, have any leaves of absence to request?

Mr. FEE. Yes, Mr. Speaker. The gentleman from Clearfield, Mr. GEORGE, for today.

The SPEAKER. The leave will be granted, there being no objection to the taking of the leave.

Mr. Hayes indicates he has no requests for leaves.

#### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call for this morning. The members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—200

Acosta	Dininni	Letterman	Robbins
Afflerbach	Distler	Levdansky	Roebuck
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Levin	Rudy
Argall	Donatucci	Linton	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Saloom
Barber	Durham	Lucyk	Saurman
Barley	Evans	McCall	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McClatchy	Schuler
Belardi	Fattah	McHale	Semmel
Belfanti	Fee	McVerry	Serafini
Birmelin	Fischer	Mackowski	Seventy
Black	Flick	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Fox	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bortner	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freind	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Fryer	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Gallagher	Michlovic	Staback
Brandt	Gallen	Micozzie	Stairs
Broujos	Gamble	Miller	Steighner
Bunt	Gannon	Mochlmann	Stevens
Burd	Geist	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Mowery	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Mrkonic	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Cessar	Harper	Olasz	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cimini	Hayes	Perzel	Truman
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Veon
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Vroon
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cordisco	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wiggins
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pratt	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wozniak
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kukovich	Reber	Yandrisevits
Davies	Langtry	Reinard	
Dawida	Lashinger	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Dietz	Lescovitz		

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

**SENATE MESSAGE****HOUSE BILL  
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 107, PN 115**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

**BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER**

The Chair gave notice that he was about to sign the following bill, which was then signed:

**HB 107, PN 115**

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, requiring the issuance of a receipt upon surrender of a driver's license to the Department of Transportation.

**BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be lifted from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 251;  
HB 607;  
HB 768;  
HB 1340;  
HB 1498;  
HB 1505;  
HB 1639;  
HB 1646;  
HB 1685;  
HB 1736; and  
SB 1074.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS**

The SPEAKER. The Speaker acknowledges the filing of additions and deletions for sponsorships of bills from the majority leader, which will be filed by the clerk.

The following list was submitted:

**ADDITIONS:**

HB 251, Pressmann; HB 607, Fox; HB 689, Petrarca; HB 703, Broujos; HB 1368, Serafini; HB 1369, Serafini; HB 1370, Serafini; HB 1371, Serafini; HB 1372, Serafini; HB 1587, R. C. Wright; HB 1675, Telek; HB 1679, O'Donnell; HB 1686, Fox; HB 1695, Gamble; HB 1708, Fox; HB 1709, Fox; HB 1773, R. C. Wright; HB 1788, Belardi; HB 1789, Howlett; HB 1799, Belardi; HB 1814, Belardi; HB 1823, Harper; HR 165, Weston; HR 167, R. C. Wright; HR 175, Yandrisevits.

**DELETION:**

HB 1487, Trello.

**WELCOMES**

The SPEAKER. Representative Jim Distler has a group of eighth graders from St. Marys School. They are here with their principal, Mr. James Hoffman. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Representative Veon has Mr. Jim Douds here. Welcome to the hall of the House.

**CALENDAR****BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The following bills, having been called up, were considered for the second time and agreed to, and ordered transcribed for third consideration:

**HB 387, PN 417; HB 375, PN 2072; HB 793, PN 1863; HB 1335, PN 1584; HB 942, PN 2213; HB 1194, PN 1406; HB 1336, PN 1585; HB 1337, PN 1586; HB 1553, PN 1896; HB 902, PN 1033; HB 982, PN 1118; and HB 1039, PN 2105.**

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 704, PN 1427**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P. L. 323, No. 130), entitled "The County Code," further providing for full-time district attorneys and for the salaries of part-time district attorneys.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

**BILL REVERTED TO  
PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes on SB 704, PN 1427, the gentleman from Columbia, Mr. Stuban. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?

Mr. STUBAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to make a motion that we revert to a prior printer's number on SB 704. When the bill came over from the Senate, it gave the counties the right to appoint a full-time district attorney. There was an amendment added to the bill in the House Appropriations Committee which read that even though they did not appoint a full-time district attorney, they would have to pay half of the salary for a district attorney who serves as a part-time district attorney. This would put a burden on many of the counties, and in most counties now it would make the district attorney the highest priced officer in the county. We in previous legislation gave the counties the right to set the wages for the district attorney and I feel that we should continue to do so, so I move that we revert to a prior printer's number.

The SPEAKER. The prior printer's number being 1067. Is that correct, Mr. Stuban?

Mr. STUBAN. That is right.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Birmelin, on the motion.

Mr. BIRMELIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion and for very many of the same reasons that Representative Stuban has mentioned.

I know there are many of our legislators here today, and you come from a larger class county and this bill does not affect you, but I would appreciate it if you would take into consideration what is going to happen to those counties from the fifth through eighth class that are going to have to now pay a minimum wage of \$32,000 a year for a D.A. who oftentimes is only putting in 15 to 20 hours per week. In smaller counties we also have the problem that, because the attorney is also practicing privately and is very knowledgeable of the people involved in the community, he is unable to perform his duties and has to be excused and ask the assistant D.A. to take over the case because of some involvement. I think that in many cases we are looking at a district attorney who is working part time and who in many cases cannot handle those cases that are before him because of involvement personally.

I represent three different counties or parts of three different counties - a sixth-class, a seventh-class, and an eighth-class county - and I have talked with all three sets of county commissioners and they are vehemently opposed to this legislation as it stands today.

I would encourage my fellow members to support those of us in the rural legislative areas and to revert back to this prior printer's number. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Pressmann, on the motion.

Mr. PRESSMANN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion to revert to a prior printer's number.

In my county we have no interest in this. I am a third-class county with home rule, so I have no vested interest in this. But I was county commissioner for 3 years, and this is the kind of bill that, when it gets sent down to the county level, we want to kill our legislators for doing this to us. So I think if you want to put these salaries in for the D.A.'s, I think we ought to fund it ourselves, and I do not think this is the kind of thing that we should put down onto our smaller counties. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the motion, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington, Mr. Sweet.

Mr. SWEET. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose the motion to revert to a prior printer's number.

Mr. Speaker, it is about time in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that we make a firm decision to professionalize the prosecutorial activities at the local level. Right now we are saying in some of our smaller counties that we are going to provide a very, very small salary to a busy attorney and hope that he carries the ball in an intelligent and professional way. I think, Mr. Speaker, that that is a bad, bad thing.

I think we need to professionalize; we need to move gradually toward the ultimate goal of this bill, which is to have full-time district attorneys in every county in Pennsylvania. Unfortunately, as a practical financial matter, that is not easily achievable today.

What the amendment attempted to do is say that in counties below the fourth and third class that do not have full-time district attorneys, that the part-time district attorneys at least begin to make a wage that will encourage half time, three-quarter time, something approaching full-time service, and that financially over the years counties would be able to make the move ultimately to full-time district attorneys.

Now, let us remember one thing: all common pleas judges in the State of Pennsylvania make the same salary. We do not say that a judge in Philadelphia or a judge in Allegheny County or even a judge in Washington County is somehow worth more money than a judge in Carbon County or Pike County or Tioga County, because the quality and the significance of their decisions is equally important to the citizens of those small counties as it is to citizens of large counties. The district attorneys of the smaller counties of this Commonwealth, and that is about 40 or 50 of them, have to make the same kinds of tough decisions, and in many instances they are the appeals division; they are the juvenile division; they are the trial division; they are the research arm; they are the full force of the Commonwealth; they are the full force of the prosecuting arm of the State Government.

So it is my feeling, Mr. Speaker, that the amendment was wise, that we are going a long way toward making our law enforcement arm better. We are going to better be able to fight crime all over this Commonwealth. If we leave SB 704 as it now stands before us, it becomes a bill that takes a giant step toward improving the fight against crime in this State, Mr. Speaker.

I ask for a "no" vote on the motion to revert to the prior printer's number.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Union, Mr. Showers, on the motion.

Mr. SHOWERS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, would like to rise and support my colleague, Representative Stuban, in his motion to revert to the prior printer's number. I, too, am a former county commissioner and know too well the problems we have had at the county level in trying to keep the county budget and tax base up with the pace of expanding court costs and administration of the criminal justice system.

Several weeks ago we had measures before us to improve the taxation situation for county government. Very reluctantly we finally got a bill passed to expand millages for the counties, but there was a lot of concern in this House expressed about the tax problems at the county level and other local government levels, and here we go once again to increase the cost of doing business at the county and the local government level.

I think we need to take a slow approach at this. I agree with Mr. Sweet, we need to professionalize the office of district

attorney, but to go with this bill that will pay district attorneys in eighth-class counties the same as seventh, the same as sixth, I think is a bad move. There was very good reason years ago for creating a salary schedule that matched the size of the county and matched the size of the workload, and I think we should stick with that.

In addition, I have some concerns about how this new law would dovetail with the existing county compensation law that allows the county commissioners to establish percentage increases for all local elected officials. Does this mean that this new salary scale will increase year after year with the percentage established by the county salary board? I do not know. It is a question I have.

I think it is a good reason to take a slow approach to this, and I would urge that we would revert to the prior printer's number and make this measure a more reasonable bill to deal with. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—171

Acosta	Dorr	Lashingner	Robbins
Angstadt	Duffy	Laughlin	Roebuck
Argall	Durham	Lescovitz	Rudy
Arty	Evans	Letterman	Rybak
Baldwin	Fargo	Levdansky	Saloom
Barber	Fattah	Levin	Saurman
Barley	Fee	Linton	Scheetz
Battisto	Fischer	Lloyd	Schuler
Belardi	Flick	Lucyk	Semmel
Birmelin	Foster, Jr., A.	McCall	Serafini
Black	Fox	McClatchy	Seventy
Blaum	Freeman	McHale	Showers
Book	Freind	Mackowski	Sirianni
Bowley	Fryer	Maiiale	Smith, B.
Bowser	Gallagher	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Gallen	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Gamble	Merry	Staback
Broujos	Geist	Michlovic	Stairs
Bunt	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steighner
Burd	Godshall	Miller	Stevens
Burns	Greenwood	Moehlmann	Stewart
Bush	Gruitza	Morris	Stuban
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Mowery	Swift
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Haluska	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Chadwick	Harper	Noye	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hasay	O'Brien	Telek
Clymer	Hayes	Olasz	Tigue
Colafrilla	Herman	Oliver	Trello
Cole	Honaman	Perzel	Truman
Cordisico	Howlett	Petrone	Veon
Cornell	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vroon
Coslett	Itkin	Pistella	Weston
Cowell	Jackson	Pitts	Wiggins
Coy	Jarolin	Pratt	Wilson
Deluca	Johnson	Pressmann	Wogan
DeVerter	Josephs	Preston	Wozniak
Daley	Kasunic	Punt	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Deal	Kenney	Reber	Yandrisevits
Dietz	Kosinski	Reinard	
Distler	Kukovich	Richardson	Irvis,
Dombrowski	Langtry	Rieger	Speaker
Donatucci			

NAYS—27

Afflerbach	Cohen	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Belfanti	DeWeese	Murphy	Sweet
Bortner	Dawida	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Carlson	Dininni	Piccola	Wambach
Carn	Livengood	Pievsky	Wass
Cessar	McVerry	Pott	Wright, D. R.
Cimini	Manderino	Ryan	

NOT VOTING—2

Gannon	Petrarca
--------	----------

EXCUSED—3

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, there are several amendments that have been ordered from the Reference Bureau, which address the section that has been removed by the reversal to the prior printer's number. There are different manners in attempting to increase the district attorney's compensation, part-time district attorneys, in the third- to the eighth-class counties in a graded scale.

I would ask that we put the bill over now rather than wait for those to come down from the Reference Bureau. We can complete this the first of the week.

The SPEAKER. Unless there be objection, the bill will be passed over for today's session. The Chair hears no such objection.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Pievsky.

Mr. PIEVSKY. Mr. Speaker, if the House could be at ease, I would like to call a brief meeting of the Appropriations Committee at the rear of the chamber, immediately.

The SPEAKER. Very well. There will be a brief meeting now at the rear of the hall of the House of the Appropriations Committee during the recess. The recess will be for only a few moments. The House will stand at ease.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 542, PN 706

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act authorizing the indebtedness, with the approval of the electors, of \$10,000,000 for loans to volunteer fire companies, volunteer ambulance services and volunteer rescue squads for the purpose of establishing or modernizing facilities to house fighting apparatus equipment, ambulances and rescue vehicles, and for purchasing firefighting apparatus equipment, ambulances and rescue vehicles, protective and communications equipment, and any other accessory equipment necessary for the proper performance of such organizations' duties.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE**

**SB 654, PN 1491** (Amended)

By Rep. PIEVSKY

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "An act relating to counties of the second class and second class A; amending, revising, consolidating and changing the laws relating thereto," further providing for the compensation of certain elected officers in counties of the second class; and making a repeal.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, I move that SB 542 be removed from the table and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Crawford, Mr. Merry, rise?

Mr. MERRY. Point of personal privilege and for the explanation of a parliamentary procedure.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point.

Mr. MERRY. Mr. Speaker, it is my observation that we frequently call committee meetings off the floor of the House that meet in the rear of the House. Now, my problem is twofold. First of all, I object to this procedure because it does eliminate the ability of committee members and the public to further get information on bills and to comment on them. But to be really specific at what I observed happening last week was this: Our House had adjourned; at least you had dismissed the members. There was a committee meeting going on at the rear of the House. I was seeking to exit the House and observed a conversation between the sergeant at arms and a person seeking to gain admittance. Now remember, there was a committee meeting going on at that time. This person was denied admittance to the House.

Now, I realize there is a Sunshine Law and it has the provision that we can evade that by calling a meeting from the House floor, but is it fair to the public to then exclude them from having access to that committee meeting?

The SPEAKER. No, it is not, Mr. Merry, and when the sergeant at arms asked the Chair about it, the Chair instructed him that he was to permit the public into the meeting.

The Chair agrees with you. We should minimize the number of such meetings, because they are very awkward, but unfortunately, as you know, having served here a number of years, there are mechanical problems sometimes in having a full meeting off the floor of the House. But you are absolutely right. A meeting of a committee is a public affair, and if it

happens to be taking place at the rear of the hall of the House, the public is invited to be there. You are right, and the Chair instructed the sergeant at arms to let the person in.

Mr. MERRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 560, PN 1714**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 23, 1931 (P. L. 932, No. 317), known as "The Third Class City Code," further providing for the designation of the chief of police and other officers.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?  
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—187

Acosta	Deal	Letterman	Roebuck
Afflerbach	Dietz	Levdansky	Rudy
Angstadt	Dininni	Levin	Ryan
Argall	Distler	Linton	Rybak
Arty	Dombrowski	Livengood	Saloom
Baldwin	Donatucci	Lloyd	Saurman
Barber	Dorr	Lucyk	Scheetz
Barley	Duffy	McCall	Schuler
Battisto	Durham	McClatchy	Semmel
Belardi	Evans	McHale	Serafini
Belfanti	Fattah	McVerry	Seventy
Birmelin	Fee	Mackowski	Showers
Black	Fischer	Manderino	Sirianni
Blaum	Flick	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Fox	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Freeman	Michlovic	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Freind	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Fryer	Miller	Steighner
Broujos	Gallagher	Mowery	Stevens
Bunt	Gallen	Mrkonic	Stewart
Burd	Gamble	Murphy	Stuban
Burns	Gannon	Nahill	Sweet
Bush	Geist	Noye	Swift
Caltagirone	Gladeck	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Godshall	O'Donnell	Taylor, F. E.
Carlson	Gruitza	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Carn	Gruppo	Oliver	Telek
Cawley	Hagarty	Perzel	Tiguc
Cessar	Haluska	Petrarca	Trello
Chadwick	Harper	Petrone	Truman
Cimini	Hasay	Phillips	Veon
Clark	Hayes	Piccola	Vroon
Clymer	Herman	Pievsky	Wambach
Cehen	Honaman	Pistella	Wass
Colafella	Hutchinson	Pitts	Weston
Cole	Itkin	Pott	Wiggins
Cordisco	Jarolin	Pratt	Wilson
Cornell	Johnson	Pressmann	Wogan
Coslett	Josephs	Preston	Wozniak
Cowell	Kasunic	Punt	Wright, D. R.
Coy	Kennedy	Raymond	Wright, J. L.
Deluca	Kenney	Reber	Wright, R. C.
DeVerter	Kosinski	Reinard	Yandrisevits
DeWeese	Kukovich	Richardson	

Daley	Laughlin	Rieger	Irvis,
Davies	Lescovitz	Robbins	Speaker
Dawida			

NAYS—5

Bortner	Jackson	Mayernik	Moehlmann
Fargo			

NOT VOTING—8

Greenwood	Langtry	Maiale	Staback
Howlett	Lashingier	Morris	Van Horne

EXCUSED—3

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 677, PN 766**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 24, 1931 (P. L. 1206, No. 331), known as "The First Class Township Code," increasing the compensation for auditors.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Acosta	Dietz	Laughlin	Rieger
Afflerbach	Dininni	Lescovitz	Robbins
Angstadt	Distler	Letterman	Roebuck
Argall	Dombrowski	Levdansky	Rudy
Arty	Donatucci	Levin	Ryan
Baldwin	Dorr	Linton	Rybak
Barber	Duffy	Livengood	Saloom
Barley	Durham	Lloyd	Saurman
Battisto	Evans	Lucyk	Scheetz
Belardi	Fargo	McCall	Schuler
Belfanti	Fattah	McClatchy	Semmel
Birmelin	Fee	McHale	Serafini
Black	Fischer	McVerry	Seventy
Blaum	Flick	Maiale	Showers
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Sirianni
Bortner	Fox	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowley	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowser	Freind	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Boyes	Fryer	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Brandt	Gallagher	Michlovic	Staback
Broujos	Gallen	Micozzie	Stairs
Bunt	Gamble	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Gannon	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Geist	Morris	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mrkonic	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Murphy	Taylor, F. E.
Carlson	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, J.
Carn	Gruppo	Noye	Telek
Cawley	Hagarty	O'Brien	Tigue
Cessar	Haluska	O'Donnell	Trello

Chadwick	Harper	Olasz	Truman
Cimini	Hasay	Oliver	Van Horne
Clark	Hayes	Perzel	Veon
Clymer	Herman	Petrarca	Vroon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrone	Wambach
Colafella	Howlett	Phillips	Wass
Cole	Hutchinson	Piccola	Weston
Cordisco	Itkin	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cornell	Jackson	Pistella	Wilson
Coslett	Jarolin	Pitts	Wogan
Cowell	Johnson	Pott	Wozniak
Coy	Josephs	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, R. C.
DeWeese	Kenney	Punt	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kosinski	Raymond	
Davies	Kukovich	Reber	Irvis,
Dawida	Langtry	Reinard	Speaker
Deal	Lashingier	Richardson	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—3

Mackowski	Sweet	Swift
-----------	-------	-------

EXCUSED—3

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

**HB 401, PN 431** By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for special plates for recipients of the Purple Heart.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 861, PN 987** By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the refund of certain taxes and the utilization of the tax refunds for snowmobiles.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1004, PN 1141** By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for letters of warning for certain violations by official inspection stations.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1506, PN 1885** By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for classes of licenses.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1524, PN 1914** By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing correction by telescopic lenses for visual acuity license requirements.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 1729, PN 2203**

By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act directing the Department of Transportation to redesignate that portion of Legislative Route 64089 which is located in Murrysville, Westmoreland County, and is now designated as Mill Street as Vincent Hall Road and to make appropriate sign changes.

**TRANSPORTATION.**

**HB 1812, PN 2331**

By Rep. HUTCHINSON

An Act amending the act of July 9, 1985 (P. L. 187, No. 47), known as the "Transportation Partnership Act," further providing for transportation development districts and financing.

**TRANSPORTATION.**

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Clark, rise?

Mr. CLARK. I would like to correct a vote, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 560 I was recorded in the affirmative. I would like the record to indicate that I would have voted in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**RESOLUTIONS**

Mr. KOSINSKI called up **HR 165, PN 2163**, entitled:

Memorializing the Environmental Protection Agency to continue existing odor regulations.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—196**

Acosta	Dietz	Lescovitz	Richardson
Afflerbach	Dininni	Letterman	Rieger
Angstadt	Distler	Levdansky	Robbins
Argall	Dombrowski	Levin	Roebuck
Arty	Donatucci	Linton	Rudy
Baldwin	Dorr	Livengood	Ryan
Barber	Duffy	Lloyd	Rybak
Barley	Durham	Lucyk	Saloom
Battisto	Evans	McCall	Saurman
Belardi	Fargo	McClatchy	Scheetz
Belfanti	Fattah	McHale	Schuler
Birmelin	Fee	McVerry	Semmel
Black	Fischer	Mackowski	Serafini
Blaum	Flick	Maiiale	Seventy
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Showers
Bortner	Fox	Manmiller	Sirianni
Bowley	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bowser	Freind	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Fryer	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallagher	Michlovic	Staback
Broujos	Gallen	Micozzie	Stairs
Bunt	Gamble	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Gannon	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Geist	Morris	Stewart
Bush	Gladeck	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Carlson	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Chadwick	Harper	Olasz	Tigue

Cimini	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Clark	Hayes	Perzel	Van Horne
Clymer	Herman	Petrarca	Veon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrone	Vroon
Colafrella	Howlett	Phillips	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Piccola	Wass
Cordisco	Jackson	Pievsky	Weston
Cornell	Jarolin	Pistella	Wiggins
Coslett	Johnson	Pitts	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pott	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pratt	Wozniak
Deluca	Kennedy	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kenney	Preston	Wright, R. C.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Punt	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kukovich	Raymond	
Davies	Langtry	Reber	Irvis,
Dawida	Lashinger	Reinard	Speaker
Deal	Laughlin		

**NAYS—0**

**NOT VOTING—4**

Hutchinson	Snyder, G. M.	Truman	Wright, J. L.
------------	---------------	--------	---------------

**EXCUSED—3**

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

\* \* \*

Mr. MANDERINO called up **HR 173, PN 2338**, entitled:

Requesting the Auditor General to conduct a program audit of the Department of Environmental Resources' solid waste and hazardous waste programs.

On the question,

Will the House adopt the resolution?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Reber.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the prime sponsor of this resolution stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Manderino, indicates he will so stand. Mr. Reber, you are in order, and you may proceed, sir.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as you are well aware, the House Conservation Committee for the past 3 years, pursuant to a resolution of this body last session and continuing quite actively and vigorously this session as a result of various forms of legislation that the committee has been considering on the issue of performance, if you will, by the Department of Environmental Resources and related concerns to the whole hazardous waste issue, has been doing a rather yeoman's job.

I am wondering what is the intent at this particular time now to come forward, after there has been a spending of substantial moneys, substantial staff and members' time in investigating the exact issue to some extent that is being spoken about in this resolution, and now, if you will, recreating the wheel for maybe the third or fourth time in the form of an investigation by the Auditor General, as opposed to a continuing mandate of the Conservation Committee?

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the Auditor General of Pennsylvania is authorized to do program audits. I do not believe what the committee has been doing can be considered a program audit by any stretch of the imagination. They have been doing good work; they have been doing good work in looking into the workings of the statutes that we have passed. The Auditor General's Office is equipped with the kind of personnel, the number of personnel, that can in a short period of time conduct the kind of program audit that I think will be beneficial to this General Assembly in trying to solve the problems of solid waste and hazardous waste, chemical disposals that plague, that plague all of our communities across the Commonwealth. But I can understand your reluctance to have someone else look deeper into the subject matter, anybody's reluctance, but I think it is a job that has to be done, and I am asking that this General Assembly authorize the Auditor General, at least request him, to make that kind of a program audit.

Mr. REBER. Mr. Speaker, could I be recognized to speak on the merits of the resolution?

The SPEAKER. Certainly. The gentleman is in order, and he may proceed.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have some grave concern which continues concerning the intent behind this resolution, because I think it frankly contains certain misinaccuracies, if you will, factual assertions that are incorrect, and I think that it certainly would be a waste of the moneys of the Commonwealth to, as the majority leader says, have the Auditor General conduct such program audits.

What my major concern is, on page 3 of the resolution, it talks about language that whereas, delay in promulgating regulations has caused the result of Pennsylvania missing Federal deadlines under the Federal statute known as RCRA (Resource Conservation and Recovery Act). I respectfully would submit for the record that this is factually incorrect, that the department has in fact promulgated all requisite Federal hazardous waste regulations up to and including January 4, 1985. Additionally, EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) has advised all States to postpone the making of regulatory modifications for RCRA changes now made between July 1, 1984, and June 30, 1985, in order to use what EPA has termed "a new cluster approach of States" for this particular purpose.

Additionally, Mr. Speaker, the department has received phase 1 interim authorization regarding the hazardous waste program from EPA in May of 1981, and although there was a deadline for phase 2 interim authorization in July of 1983, Pennsylvania has requested an extension from the Federal Government, which is clearly allowed pursuant to Federal regulations for the phase 2 regulatory process.

So accordingly, I think it is inaccurate, as the resolution states, that a delay has caused certain Federal guideline dates to be missed and certainly has not inured to the detriment of the Commonwealth.

Additionally, Mr. Speaker, I think that we are giving to the Auditor General something which he is not technically with the expertise, if you will, to carry out. I certainly would have no objection if we were going to have possibly the Joint Legislative Air and Water Pollution Control Committee or the House Conservation Committee, in conjunction with the Senate Environmental Committee acting in concert, conducting further investigations.

Mr. Speaker, I think many members of this House are very much aware of a tremendous amount of pending legislation before this House that address many of the environmental concerns that I am sure the majority leader was alluding to earlier that he is concerned about. I think these issues are presently before the House Conservation Committee. I think the House Conservation Committee has the expertise. I know personally, being a member of that committee, that it has a number of members, if not all the members of that committee, both on the majority and minority side, who are committed to moving fast forwardly, if you will, to act in the environmental area to strengthen the concerns as expressed by the majority leader.

I personally do not think that it is money well spent of this Commonwealth to request the Auditor General to pursue these areas. I frankly think if we are going to have anything pursued, a vigorous investigation and enforcement for criminal actions would be an area to go, and I think it should say the Attorney General and not the Auditor General, if we are really concerned truly about these issues.

Based upon a number of these concerns, I think we are moving fast forwardly in this area. I think that this would be a waste of taxpayers' money, and I do not believe the Auditor General has the expertise nor the staff to handle the legislative job that is in fact a job of the legislature and not the job of the Auditor General.

Accordingly, Mr. Speaker, I think this resolution would be ill advised at this time and would ask for its defeat. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Hasay, on the resolution.

Mr. HASAY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to comment on the resolution.

Mr. Speaker, the House Conservation Committee has had a number of resolutions to investigate the Department of Environmental Resources. We have conducted hearings through Chairman George and myself throughout the Commonwealth. I feel that the investigations have cleaned up and woken up some of the areas in the Department of Environmental Resources.

Also pending, Representative George and I are working on another resolution which would further investigate DER that has to do with permits of that nature and the tardiness of some of these permits. So an investigation will be continuing.

But my concern is this, Mr. Speaker: Since we are having HR 173 put in the hands of the Auditor General, that is fine with me if they want to investigate that, but I believe it is my

understanding that the Auditor General will be a candidate for public office next year. Now, I just feel that he may use that for political advantage, and he may use the Department of Environmental Resources for a political stepping-stone or political advantage, and I would hate to see that happen, and I would hate to see our environment again used for political advantage.

Those are my comments on this resolution. Use your own discretion on how to cast your vote on this resolution, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Reber, indicated that he thought it was incorrect when we said that certain things had not been done by the Department of Environmental Resources. Mr. Speaker, some of the requirements that have not been carried out, let me give you some examples.

DER was required by Act 97 to develop siting criteria for hazardous waste facilities by 1982. They have yet to take effect. DER was required to develop and submit to the EQB (Environmental Quality Board) a Pennsylvania hazardous waste facilities plan by July 1982. They have not yet done so. There was a provision in the Federal law, Mr. Speaker, that if Federal funds proved inadequate, DER was required by September of 1981 to submit a proposal for the establishment of a Commonwealth fund comprised of surcharges from hazardous waste facilities to be used to clean up abandoned sites. No plan has ever been submitted, despite the fact that Pennsylvania has over 1,000 abandoned sites that pose a threat to public health with less than 10 percent eligible for Federal funding of cleanup.

Mr. Speaker, there are serious problems. We think the resolution is proper. We think that the Auditor General remains the Auditor General of Pennsylvania, authorized to do program audits. I think the speculation in the political sphere is ludicrous, Mr. Speaker. I ask for an affirmative vote on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the minority leader.

Mr. RYAN. Mr. Speaker, just a short comment.

I believe the only thing that is ludicrous is Mr. Manderino saying that the political speculation is ludicrous.

I would ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Greenwood, on the resolution.

Mr. GREENWOOD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to support the words of Mr. Reber and Mr. Hasay and the minority leader on this issue. I think this is clearly a political maneuver.

I think the problems that Mr. Manderino referred to in this area certainly can be resolved by the Conservation Committee, given the fact that the majority party controls that committee as all other committees. As a member of that committee, I am willing to participate in the resolution of those problems.

I think that all of the Republicans, all of the members of our side of the aisle, should vote against this because it is an unwarranted use of taxpayers' funds. As has been said, the Auditor General is not equipped or staffed to do this kind of work. For the Democrats who are trying to decide how to vote on this, I suppose given the political ramifications, if you are for Bailey you are a vote for this, and if you are for Edgar you are not. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the minority whip, on the resolution.

Mr. HAYES. One further comment, Mr. Speaker.

If the gentleman, Mr. Manderino, is interested in a legitimate legislative inquiry that may take financial and resource wherewithal greater than what his standing committee presently has, I would suggest that there is a joint committee that exists between the House of Representatives and Senate, the Joint Air and Water Committee. If all of these program allegations which Mr. Manderino has outlined are of merit and need inquiry, I suggest that we submit to that joint committee this bill of particulars and let them look into the matters, as Mr. Manderino thinks should happen.

But obviously, if the Auditor General has certain constitutional responsibilities to the people of Pennsylvania, they remain whether we adopt this resolution or whether we do not. I respectfully suggest, as did the minority leader, Mr. Ryan, that we are beginning 1986 just a couple of months early. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the essence of a program audit authorized to be undertaken by the Auditor General by our laws is concerned with proper spending of moneys and dollars that this General Assembly has appropriated.

Mr. Speaker, the program audit which we seek will actually help the Conservation Committee and the General Assembly in doing its work. We cannot continue to tell our constituents at home that we are going to do something about the terrible solid waste and the terrible hazardous waste sites already operating, already being permitted in the district, when DER continues to permit these sites to operate after violation upon violation. We cannot continue to tell our constituencies that we care about their health and about the environment in which they live and then refuse to put the tools in the proper official's hands to do something constructive that may get this General Assembly the kind of legislation that will solve our problems.

Mr. Speaker, we have authorized, given the Department of Environmental Resources additional moneys for new positions in the area of inspection and monitoring, and it has never been spent. No new positions have ever been created to do the job that has to be done.

Those of you who point to the Conservation Committee and say that it can do the job, are you willing to authorize the wherewithal, the funds to that committee of this House in addition to what is already there so auditors and program auditors can be put in place under that committee? I think that is the waste of money.

I will promise you, this resolution should pass. If it does not pass, I will come back next week with a resolution asking for at least a quarter of a million dollars for the Conservation Committee to do the same kind of a program audit.

The SPEAKER. On the resolution, the Chair recognizes the minority whip.

Mr. HAYES. Mr. Speaker, I would just state again, whatever responsibility the Auditor General has, he has those responsibilities to the people of Pennsylvania whether we pass this resolution or whether we do not. Now, I do not believe that the gentleman, Mr. Manderino, would suggest for one moment that his countymate, Don Bailey, needs special guidance from this House of Representatives. The Auditor General of Pennsylvania has responsibilities to the constituency of this Commonwealth whether we pass this resolution or whether we do not.

Now, with regard to audits. Just a couple of years ago this General Assembly embarked upon sunset review. As part of that sunset review, it is necessary to conduct program audits of the agencies under consideration. If the Department of Environmental Resources and the program outlined by the resolution needs to be audited and we want to make a legitimate legislative inquiry, let us provide the wherewithal for the joint House-Senate committee to do so.

I ask the gentleman, why do we not have a concurrent resolution asking the Senate to join us in requesting of the Auditor General, who should know very well what his job is, to do it a little bit better or whatever Mr. Manderino wants?

I respectfully suggest, as I said a moment ago, that 1986 is coming to us just a few months early this year. We want the Auditor General to be invited by this chamber to do something that, number one, he is already supposed to do or we cannot mandate constitutionally by the mere passage of a resolution anyway. And we do not need any hyperbole speech about hazardous waste and solid waste. This General Assembly has not done too badly in enacting the types of statutes necessary. If we find fault with the implementation, maybe our inquiry is already over.

Mr. Manderino has already given us a bill of particulars. As a matter of fact, the resolution was drafted with a bill of particulars already. The Auditor General's job was already done, I presume. What else was there to learn? Mr. Manderino already chastised the department, as maybe he should have, but there is proper legislative forum. We have joint House-Senate committees. But that is not what we are all about today; we are all about campaign 1986. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—99

Acosta	Dombrowski	Livengood	Rudy
Afflerbach	Donatucci	Lloyd	Rybak
Baldwin	Evans	Lucyk	Saloom
Barber	Fee	McCall	Seventy
Battisto	Fischer	McHale	Showers
Belardi	Freeman	Maiale	Staback
Belfanti	Fryer	Manderino	Stairs

Blaum	Gallagher	Markosek	Steighner
Bortner	Gamble	Mayernik	Stewart
Broujos	Gruitza	Michlovic	Stuban
Caltagirone	Haluska	Morris	Sweet
Cappabianca	Harper	Mrkonic	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Howlett	Murphy	Tigue
Cawley	Hutchinson	O'Donnell	Trello
Clark	Itkin	Olasz	Truman
Cohen	Jarolin	Oliver	Van Horne
Colafella	Josephs	Petrarca	Veon
Cole	Kasunic	Petrone	Wambach
Cordisco	Kosinski	Pievsky	Wiggins
Cowell	Kukovich	Pistella	Wozniak
Coy	Laughlin	Pratt	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Lescovitz	Pressmann	Yandrisevits
DeWeese	Letterman	Preston	
Daley	Levdansky	Rieger	Irvis,
Dawida	Levin	Roebuck	Speaker
Deal			

NAYS—97

Angstadt	Dininni	Kenney	Robbins
Argall	Distler	Langtry	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Lashinger	Saurman
Barley	Durham	McClatchy	Scheetz
Birmelin	Fargo	McVerry	Schuler
Black	Flick	Mackowski	Semmel
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Manmiller	Serafini
Bowley	Fox	Merry	Sirianni
Bowser	Freind	Micozzie	Smith, B.
Boyes	Gallen	Miller	Smith, L. E.
Brandt	Gannon	Moehlmann	Snyder, D. W.
Bunt	Geist	Mowery	Snyder, G. M.
Burd	Gladeck	Nahill	Stevens
Burns	Godshall	Noye	Swift
Bush	Greenwood	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Hagarty	Phillips	Telek
Chadwick	Hasay	Piccola	Vroon
Cimini	Hayes	Pitts	Wass
Clymer	Herman	Pott	Weston
Cornell	Honaman	Punt	Wilson
Coslett	Jackson	Raymond	Wogan
DeVerter	Johnson	Reber	Wright, J. L.
Davies	Kennedy	Reinard	Wright, R. C.
Dietz			

NOT VOTING—4

Duffy	Fattah	Linton	Richardson
-------	--------	--------	------------

EXCUSED—3

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House Mr. and Mrs. Robert L. Snyder, the parents of Representative Gregory Snyder from York County. Welcome to the hall of the House.

The Chair invited them to sit up here at the Speaker's podium, but I think Greg's mother said she would be closer to her son and could keep a closer watch on him if she sat right over there. I know how that is.

**RESOLUTIONS CONTINUED**

Mr. SHOWERS called up **HR 167, PN 2191**, entitled:

Providing for the appointment of a special joint committee to study and make recommendations for local tax reform.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—198**

Acosta	Dietz	Lescovitz	Robbins
Afflerbach	Dininni	Letterman	Roebuck
Angstadt	Distler	Levdansky	Rudy
Argall	Dombrowski	Levin	Ryan
Arty	Donatucci	Linton	Rybak
Baldwin	Dorr	Livengood	Saloom
Barber	Duffy	Lloyd	Saurman
Barley	Durham	Lucyk	Scheetz
Battisto	Evans	McCall	Schuler
Belardi	Fargo	McClatchy	Semmel
Belfanti	Fattah	McHale	Serafini
Birmelin	Fee	McVerry	Seventy
Black	Fischer	Mackowski	Showers
Blaum	Flick	Maiale	Sirianni
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Smith, B.
Bortner	Fox	Manmiller	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freeman	Markosek	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Fryer	Mayernik	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Gallagher	Merry	Staback
Brandt	Gallen	Michlovic	Stairs
Broujos	Gamble	Micozzie	Steighner
Bunt	Gannon	Miller	Stevens
Burd	Geist	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Morris	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Mrkonic	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Murphy	Sweet
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nahill	Swift
Carlson	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, F. E.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Donnell	Taylor, J.
Cessar	Harper	Olasz	Telek
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Cimini	Hayes	Perzel	Trello
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Truman
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Van Horne
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Veon
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Vroon
Cole	Itkin	Pievskey	Wambach
Cordisco	Jackson	Pistella	Wass
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Weston
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Wiggins
Cowell	Josephs	Pratt	Wilson
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wogan
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wozniak
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	Wright, D. R.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Raymond	Wright, J. L.
Daley	Kukovich	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Davies	Langtry	Reinard	Yandrisevits
Dawida	Lashingier	Richardson	
Deal	Laughlin	Rieger	Irvis, Speaker

**NAYS—1**

Mowery

**NOT VOTING—1**

Freind

**EXCUSED—3**

Civera

George                      Hershey

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the resolution was adopted.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. Why does the gentleman from York, Mr. Snyder, rise?

Mr. G. M. SNYDER. To record a vote, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. G. M. SNYDER. While HR 165, PN 2163, was being voted upon, I was escorting guests to the floor of the House. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative, please.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION CONTINUED**

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 209, PN 2222**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," requiring school courses relating to the cause and prevention of drug and alcohol abuse; and permitting the employment of a certified addiction counselor by school districts.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A3279:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 9, by inserting after "1986."

Such assessment instrument shall be devised by the Department of Education and made available at no cost to school districts.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the Cowell amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on page 2 of this legislation there is a requirement that each school board conduct an assessment of the need for drug, alcohol, or chemical abuse screening and referral services. The purpose of my amendment is to require that the Department of Education establish the assessment instrument and also make the assessment instrument available to school districts at no cost to the district. In brief, we are shifting the financial burden from the individual districts to the State.

The second advantage of this, in my opinion, would be to assure that there will be some uniformity in terms of the assessment instruments. I think that if there is uniformity, the information which ultimately is gathered will be of more use, certainly to each individual school district, but obviously to those of us in the legislature and our friends in the Depart-

ment of Education who may be concerned about statewide policies dealing with these issues.

I therefore would ask we adopt the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the Cowell amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Mr. Speaker, I have no problem with that amendment. I will go along with him. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—198

Acosta	Dietz	Laughlin	Robbins
Afflerbach	Diminni	Lescovitz	Roebuck
Angstadt	Distler	Letterman	Rudy
Argall	Dombrowski	Levdansky	Ryan
Arty	Donatucci	Levin	Rybak
Baldwin	Dorr	Linton	Saloom
Barber	Duffy	Livengood	Saurman
Barley	Durham	Lloyd	Scheetz
Battisto	Evans	Lucy	Schuler
Belardi	Fargo	McCall	Semmel
Belfanti	Fattah	McClatchy	Serafini
Birmelin	Fee	McHale	Seventy
Black	Fischer	McVerry	Showers
Blaum	Flick	Mackowski	Sirianni
Book	Foster, Jr., A.	Maiale	Smith, B.
Bortner	Fox	Manderino	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freeman	Manmiller	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Freind	Markosek	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Fryer	Mayernik	Staback
Brandt	Gallagher	Merry	Stairs
Broujos	Gallen	Michlovic	Steighner
Bunt	Gamble	Micozzie	Stevens
Burd	Gannon	Moehlmann	Stewart
Burns	Geist	Morris	Stuban
Bush	Gladeck	Mowery	Sweet
Caltagirone	Godshall	Mrkonic	Swift
Cappabianca	Greenwood	Murphy	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hagarty	O'Brien	Telek
Cessar	Haluska	O'Donnell	Tighe
Chadwick	Harper	Olasz	Trello
Cimini	Hasay	Oliver	Truman
Clark	Hayes	Perzel	Van Horne
Clymer	Herman	Petrarca	Veon
Cohen	Honaman	Petrone	Vroon
Colafella	Howlett	Phillips	Wambach
Cole	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wass
Cordisco	Itkin	Pievsy	Weston
Cornell	Jackson	Pistella	Wiggins
Coslett	Jarolin	Pitts	Wilson
Cowell	Johnson	Pott	Wogan
Coy	Josephs	Pratt	Wozniak
Deluca	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kosinski	Reber	Yandrisevits
Davies	Kukovich	Reinard	
Dawida	Langtry	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Lashingier	Rieger	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Miller Preston

EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SCHULER offered the following amendments No. A3413:

Amend Title, page 1, line 5, by striking out "school courses" and inserting

instruction

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 1, line 17, by striking out "a"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 1, line 17, by inserting after "mandatory"

instruction in

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 1, line 18, by striking out "course of study. The course of study" and inserting

. The instruction

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 3, by striking out "course of study" and inserting

instruction

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 9, by inserting after "1986."

The assessment shall not include the testing of individual students or a survey which permits the identification of the responses of individual students.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Schuler.

Mr. SCHULER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment is in two parts. The first part, you will notice in the bill, refers to school courses and courses of study. This can create some confusion. School courses and courses of study could be yearlong courses, so what I have done is inserted "instruction" to make it more uniform throughout and so there is no confusion. That section of the amendment has the approval of the Department of Education. Upon their request, I had that entered into the amendment.

The second part of the amendment deals with "The assessment shall not include the testing of individual students or a survey which permits the identification of the responses of individual students." I think that type of an amendment to this bill will make the test more valid. I have some questions about that, its constitutionality and so forth; however, I think it will make it more valid if the students will be more ready to answer the questions without the fear of being identified.

So I ask for an affirmative vote on this amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Mr. Speaker, we had discussed this amendment previously, before the House came in session, and I agree with the amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Acosta	Dininni	Letterman	Robbins
Afflerbach	Distler	Levdansky	Roebuck
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Levin	Rudy
Argall	Donatucci	Linton	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Saloom
Barber	Durham	Lucyk	Saurman
Barley	Evans	McCall	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McClatchy	Schuler
Belardi	Fattah	McHale	Semmel
Belfanti	Fee	McVerry	Serafini
Birmelin	Fischer	Mackowski	Seventy
Black	Flick	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Fox	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bortner	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freind	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Fryer	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Gallagher	Michlovic	Stabaek
Brandt	Gallen	Micozzie	Stairs
Broujos	Gamble	Miller	Steighner
Bunt	Gannon	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burd	Geist	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Mowery	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Mrkonic	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Cessar	Harper	Olasz	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cimini	Hayes	Perzel	Truman
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Veon
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Vroon
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cordisco	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wiggins
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pratt	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wozniak
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kenny	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kukovich	Reber	Yandrisevits
Davies	Langtry	Reinard	
Dawida	Lashingier	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Dietz	Lescovitz		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Civera                      George                      Hershey

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SCHULER offered the following amendment No. A3414:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 1, line 16, by inserting after "Each"

public school

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Schuler.

Mr. SCHULER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment does is simply take out parochial and private schools from this requirement. Under the way the bill is now written, the instruction that is going to be used, they can be reimbursed through the actual instructional expenditures. However, since the private schools do not receive this instructional expenditure, I do not see how it is possible for them to be reimbursed for their costs in implementing this program.

Also, one might raise the question of religion. In some of my school districts in Lancaster County there may be a religious question here that might infringe upon that belief. So I ask for an affirmative vote on this, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Mr. Speaker, public schools are a part of our educational system regardless. I think if we are going to proceed with the proper education on alcohol abuse throughout the whole system, I believe we should still incorporate into that the private school system.

I ask for a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Schuler, do you wish to speak for the second time? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. SCHULER. Mr. Speaker, if we will remember last session, I think it was HB 1293, we, the members of the House, overwhelmingly agreed that the curriculum for the private and parochial schools would be established. Now here we are again, and we are starting to jam something else down the throats of our private and parochial schools. So I think it would be proper that we keep them out of this bill. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Jarolin for the second time.

Mr. JAROLIN. Mr. Speaker, you know, I need those Republican votes over there for my bill. So what I am going to do is go along with this amendment, too.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Jarolin, has removed his objection to the Schuler amendment.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—180

Acosta	Dininni	Lescovitz	Robbins
Afflerbach	Distler	Letterman	Roebuck
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Levdansky	Rudy
Argall	Donatucci	Levin	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Saurman
Barley	Durham	Lucy	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McClatchy	Schuler
Belardi	Fee	McHale	Semmel
Birmelin	Flick	McVerry	Serafini
Black	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Seventy
Book	Fox	Maiale	Showers
Bortner	Freeman	Manderino	Sirianni
Bowley	Freind	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bowser	Fryer	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Boyes	Gallagher	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Brandt	Gallen	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Broujos	Gamble	Micozzie	Staback
Bunt	Gannon	Miller	Steighner
Burd	Geist	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burns	Gladeck	Morris	Stewart
Bush	Godshall	Mowery	Stuban
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Cessar	Harper	Olasz	Trello
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Truman
Cimini	Hayes	Perzel	Van Horne
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Veon
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Vroon
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Wambach
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wass
Cole	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
Cordisco	Jarolin	Pitts	Wilson
Cornell	Johnson	Pott	Wogan
Coslett	Josephs	Pressmann	Wozniak
Coy	Kasunic	Preston	Wright, D. R.
Deluca	Kennedy	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeVerter	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Reber	Yandrisevits
Daley	Kukovich	Reinard	
Davies	Langtry	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Lashinger	Rieger	Speaker
Dietz	Laughlin		

NAYS—20

Barber	Evans	McCall	Saloom
Belfanti	Fattah	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	Fischer	Mrkonic	Sweet
Cowell	Itkin	Pievsky	Tigue
Dawida	Linton	Pratt	Wiggins

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Civera	George	Hershey
--------	--------	---------

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. FLICK offered the following amendments No. A3311:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 7, by striking out "SCREENING AND"

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 14, by striking out "screening and"

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chester, Mr. Flick.

Mr. FLICK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I have spoken with the maker of the bill, and this is an agreed-to amendment. We do not want to see the constitutionality tested. We are removing the screening so that there is no ambiguity as to what in fact will be taking place. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. I agree to the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Acosta	Dininni	Letterman	Robbins
Afflerbach	Distler	Levdansky	Roebuck
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Levin	Rudy
Argall	Donatucci	Linton	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Saloom
Barber	Durham	Lucy	Saurman
Barley	Evans	McCall	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McClatchy	Schuler
Belardi	Fattah	McHale	Semmel
Belfanti	Fee	McVerry	Serafini
Birmelin	Fischer	Mackowski	Seventy
Black	Flick	Maiale	Showers
Blaum	Foster, Jr., A.	Manderino	Sirianni
Book	Fox	Manmiller	Smith, B.
Bortner	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freind	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Bowser	Fryer	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Boyes	Gallagher	Michlovic	Staback
Brandt	Gallen	Micozzie	Stairs
Broujos	Gamble	Miller	Steighner
Bunt	Gannon	Moehlmann	Stevens
Burd	Geist	Morris	Stewart
Burns	Gladeck	Mowery	Stuban
Bush	Godshall	Mrkonic	Sweet
Caltagirone	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Cappabianca	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Carlson	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Carn	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Cessar	Harper	Olasz	Tigue
Chadwick	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Cimini	Hayes	Perzel	Truman
Clark	Herman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clymer	Honaman	Petrone	Veon
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Vroon
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cordisco	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wiggins
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pratt	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wozniak
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kukovich	Reber	Yandrisevits
Davies	Langtry	Reinard	
Dawida	Lashinger	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Dietz	Lescovitz		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

The question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. LANGTRY offered the following amendments No. A3415:

Amend Title, page 1, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

; and providing funding.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, lines 25 through 27, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(e) The provisions of subsections (c) and (d) shall be funded by the tax revenues generated by the act of June 9, 1936 (Sp.Sess., P.L.13, No.4), entitled, as reenacted and amended, "An act imposing an emergency State tax on liquor, as herein defined, sold by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board; providing for the collection and payment of such tax; and imposing duties upon the Department of Revenue and the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board," or such acts repealing or recodifying the same.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Langtry.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Mr. Speaker, my amendment No. A3415 merely requires that the expenses for the programs in this bill will come from the revenues of the Liquor Control Board rather than from instructional subsidies and expenditures.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for support of my amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. Mr. Speaker, you know, getting some preliminary information pertaining to how much is expended on education in the State of Pennsylvania, we have approximately \$3 billion that is spent on both basic and higher education. On basic education in the State of Pennsylvania \$2 billion is expended annually. When we are talking about a program of \$1.7 million per year, a total program cost of approximately \$7 1/2 million, we are talking such a small percentage of this budget in relation to educating both children and parents in the same capacity.

Mr. Speaker, I am going to ask for a "no" vote on this, and I wholeheartedly hope the Representatives will all give me a helping hand on this. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The lady, Mrs. Langtry, is recognized for the second time on her amendment.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Mr. Speaker, I think we all recognize that drug and alcohol use and abuse is probably the most pervasive problem in our schools today.

Mr. Speaker, I would submit that this very serious problem is a social problem and not necessarily a problem of instruc-

tion. It seems that those in our school districts have difficulty getting past the social problems in order to teach the courses of study. It would seem logical, therefore, that this serious social problem ought to be funded not with instructional subsidies. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the Langtry amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Saloom.

Mr. SALOOM. Mr. Speaker, I just want to rise to ask support of Representative Jarolin's appeal to oppose this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendment by the lady, Mrs. Langtry, and I do so because of the arguments from a historical perspective of what was used two sessions ago by the administration. They had great concern of using any moneys from the profits of the LCB that were generated generally back to the General Fund for any new program because of taking those funds and lessening the impact on the General Fund. What this will do, obviously, is take from the profit of the LCB the moneys to pay for this program, and what Representative Jarolin wants to do is have it paid for out of the General Fund through the Department of Education. I believe if in fact the program is set up in our schools, it should be funded out of the Department of Education, and the funding mechanism for it, if coming from the LCB, should be through a designated tax increase, which is expressed in HB 890, which has already been introduced and will be considered at a later date by this House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to oppose the lady's amendment. Although I agree philosophically with her in that certainly profits from alcohol should be used to combat alcohol, there arises a pragmatic problem of practical implementation and cost allocation.

Under the bill as now amended, each school district, the Department of Health, the Department of Education, all of the entities involved may well decide to implement the provisions of this bill by incorporating instruction within their present health classes. I suggest it would be a very difficult problem to cost-allocate the portion of that class necessary for this instruction, and we may well end up spending more in administrative costs than is actually spent in the providing of the instruction. Therefore, I ask for a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, a lot of good ideas die around here in Harrisburg, not because anybody opposes the effort to deal with the problem but because we make the solutions so complex and so confusing that in the end nothing happens. I am afraid that if we adopt the Langtry amendment dealing

with how we are going to fund this solution, we are going to make the legislation so cumbersome and more controversial that this good idea, this necessary idea of assessment and encouraging school districts to have programs dealing with chemical abuse, will in fact die somewhere during this long process.

If we were talking about a program that was going to require tens or hundreds of millions of new dollars, it might be wise to look around for a dedicated funding source. But that is not the case here. We are talking about a program that is somewhere between \$1 million and \$2 million in terms of the mandate—that is the assessment—and we have already said that the State will bear that cost. We have said that if school districts want to take on some of the other language that appears on page 2 in terms of counselors or contracts, they may, and if they do, they will be reimbursed as part of the normal education reimbursement process. We have adequate funds available. We have a pot of money and a normal stream of funding.

For those reasons I would encourage us not to make this so cumbersome that it will most assuredly die. Let us keep it clean; let us keep it simple; let us defeat the Langtry amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the amendment. I see nothing wrong with taking funds from the Liquor Control Board to deal with alcohol-related problems. However, we have to remember that HB 209 is an education bill, and it deals specifically with education and the education of alcohol and drug abuse in our schools. I think it is a mistake to put the funding and, therefore, some of the control for this program into the hands of the LCB instead of keeping the control of the program and its funding in the Department of Education. It is an education bill. The Department of Education should oversee this program without having to worry about what the Liquor Control Board wants to do or how much money they are going to appropriate.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for a defeat of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Fox.

Mr. FOX. Mr. Speaker, I decline. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from York, Mr. Dorr.

Mr. DORR. Mr. Speaker, I think we are making too much of the amendment. I think it is an important expression of the viewpoint of the General Assembly that it is appropriate to dedicate funds out of the liquor control revenues for purposes such as this. Actually, liquor control revenues, as I understand it, go into the General Fund; education expenses are paid out of the General Fund; and basically, there would be no particular problem with complying with the direction contained in the amendment.

I think we are overcomplicating the situation and would recommend adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Blair, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. JOHNSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of the amendment. I think this is a very worthwhile amendment. Let us put the cost where the profit is. If there is profit in the Liquor Control Board, let them pick up the cost of this education. Thank you, sir.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the two gentlemen who spoke in support of the amendment, Mr. Dorr and Mr. Johnson, miss a very significant point. This amendment takes out that provision of the bill as it is written that says this will be supported if the local school districts decide that they need to implement with counselors, and it is "may." If we take that out— We are not taking the "may" out, but we are saying that you are going to pay the full cost from liquor revenues. We will not be paying necessarily the full cost if a school district decides that they will have to put counseling into effect. You will be reimbursed just as you are reimbursed for your other instructional costs, which means that whatever your reimbursement fraction is is what you will receive.

What we tried to avoid in structuring the bill when it came from the Appropriations Committee was a situation where if the State is going to pay the total costs of counseling, every one of the 505 school districts will have not only one counselor but several counselors, because it does not cost them a penny; it will only be a cost borne by the State of Pennsylvania.

We think that the issue of local control is important. We think not only the issue of local control but funding it through the instructional cost formula is very important, and we would ask for a negative vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. I would like to interrogate the maker of the bill.

The SPEAKER. The maker of the bill or the amendment?

Mr. SAURMAN. We are speaking on the amendment. I am sorry.

The SPEAKER. Do you wish to interrogate Mrs. Langtry?

Mr. SAURMAN. Yes. Perhaps she would have understanding, since she drew this amendment, of what would happen.

The SPEAKER. The lady indicates she will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, sir.

Mr. SAURMAN. Mr. Speaker, my concern is the language that I just heard, and I am hoping that you can enlighten me, whereas the Commonwealth is going to, if your amendment is not adopted, pay for this program. Is it your understanding, without your amendment, that although the money will come from the Commonwealth, it actually will be deducted from instructional subsidies so that moneys really are being diverted from other educational reimbursement funds? Or do you feel that new money is not being provided under the existing bill and, therefore, you would like to see money from another source so that it is not money diverted from the educational subsidy?

Mrs. LANGTRY. That is quite perceptive, Mr. Speaker. Section (e) of the bill says, "For purposes of reimbursement, any programs or services developed pursuant to subsection (c) or (d) shall be considered to be part of actual instructional expenditures." Section (c), of course, deals with instruction. It has been amended to read "instruction." Section (d), of course, deals with assessment, reporting, and the "may" provision of hiring addictions counselors. Under (c) the instruction must be approved by the Department of Health. Under (d) the certified addictions counselors must be approved by the Department of Health. But the point is it is true it is a "may" provision, but if the school district opts for an addictions counselor, as I read the bill now, the tab will be paid from the instructional subsidy. That is what I am trying to correct with my amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. SAURMAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a statement.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may make a statement on the amendment.

Mr. SAURMAN. Mr. Speaker, I think that we need to fully realize then that what this bill is going to do is divert money from other educational subsidies and therefore actually reduce the amount of money available to our schools for education. What we ought to be doing is excepting the cost of this program, and we are not doing it, as I understand it, but Mrs. Langtry's amendment offers us a solution or a way to provide new dollars.

I think also that we ought not to think of this money really as money that just comes into the General Fund. We ought to start thinking about or looking at the revenues from our liquor control and our liquor system in a net fashion. In other words, the cost of education to offset the problems that we have with alcohol ought to be a cost, a deduction then really from the revenues that are coming in. In an accounting system it is one thing to just take the gross profit and turn it over; it is something else to recognize that that gross profit in fact is not gross profit but is generating other costs. Mrs. Langtry directs our attention to that and says we ought to take this money from the liquor control system and put it into this educational program in a very positive way.

I would ask your support for this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Markosek, on the Langtry amendment.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think the adoption of this amendment would cause a precedent that I do not think we want to see in the educational system. For example, we have recently passed a bill here that allows or mandates the teaching of first aid and the use of voting machine instructions in schools. By the same token, if we would pass the Langtry amendment, then somebody could offer an amendment that would force our county election boards to pay for voting machine instructions in public schools, which we do not want to do. So I think this would start a precedent that we do not want to see in the State as far as our educational system is concerned. I oppose the amendment on that basis. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly rise to oppose the amendment. This whole subject, I think, is very disturbing to many of us - drug and alcohol problems in our schools. I view the situation as one that school districts back home are really putting their heads in the sand and ignoring this problem. They act like it is not there. I have run into this at home; I have run into this in private schools. Unfortunately, now we have taken out the Catholic and privates, and I think they have the same like problems as our public schools do. When this bill came before the Appropriations Committee, we felt there was a need to do a sharing by the local community, and that is why we carefully drafted the bill the way it is presently proposed.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker, you know, we do not really have any separate funding for any separate program in our General Assembly. All these programs that are worthwhile have to compete out of that pie under the general appropriations bill. I think that if it is a good idea and if it works well and if they are sincerely—and again, it is a "may" bill—sincerely trying to do something, I can see the General Assembly helping them out to cover this problem through our instructional subsidy appropriation.

I, again, reluctantly rise to oppose the amendment, but I think that the bill as it is drafted now is appropriate. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—74

Angstadt	Fargo	McVerry	Robbins
Barley	Fischer	Mackowski	Saurman
Black	Foster, Jr., A.	Manmiller	Semmel
Book	Fox	Mayermik	Serafini
Bowser	Freind	Merry	Sirianni
Boyes	Gallen	Miller	Smith, B.
Brandt	Gamble	Moehlmann	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Geist	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Gladeck	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Cessar	Godshall	O'Brien	Stairs
Chadwick	Gruppo	Perzel	Stevens
Clymer	Hayes	Phillips	Swift
Cornell	Herman	Piccola	Taylor, J.
DeVerter	Honaman	Pitts	Vroon
Dietz	Johnson	Pott	Weston
Dininmi	Kennedy	Punt	Wogan
Distler	Kenney	Raymond	Wright, J. L.
Dorr	Langtry	Reber	Wright, R. C.
Durham	Lashingner		

NAYS—124

Acosta	Davies	Levdansky	Roebuck
Afflerbach	Dawida	Levin	Rudy
Argall	Deal	Linton	Ryan
Baldwin	Dombrowski	Livengood	Saloom
Barber	Donatucci	Lloyd	Scheetz
Battisto	Duffy	Lucyk	Schuler
Belardi	Evans	McCall	Seventy
Belfanti	Fattah	McClatchy	Showers
Birmelin	Fee	McHale	Staback
Blaum	Flick	Mataie	Steighner
Bortner	Freeman	Manderino	Stewart
Bowley	Fryer	Markosek	Stuban

Broujos	Gallagher	Michlovic	Sweet
Burns	Gannon	Micozzie	Taylor, E. Z.
Bush	Greenwood	Morris	Taylor, F. E.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mowery	Telek
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Mrkonic	Tigue
Carlson	Haluska	Murphy	Trello
Carn	Harper	O'Donnell	Truman
Cawley	Hasay	Olasz	Van Horne
Cimini	Howlett	Oliver	Veon
Clark	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wambach
Cohen	Itkin	Petrone	Wass
Colafella	Jackson	Pievsy	Wiggins
Cole	Jarolin	Pistella	Wilson
Cordisco	Josephs	Pratt	Wozniak
Coslett	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wright, D. R.
Cowell	Kosinski	Preston	Yandrisevits
Coy	Kukovich	Reinard	
Deluca	Laughlin	Richardson	Irvis,
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Rieger	Speaker
Daley	Letterman		

NOT VOTING—2

Arty Rybak

EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. LANGTRY offered the following amendments No. A3411:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, line 5, by inserting after “(d)”

(1)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16

(2) This subsection shall take effect upon the date of publication of notice in the Pennsylvania Bulletin by the Secretary of Health that the following have occurred:

(i) The Department of Health has established a system of reimbursement.

(ii) The Commonwealth has fully funded the costs incurred by school districts.

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 1605), page 2, lines 25 and 26, by striking out “ANY PROGRAMS OR SERVICES DEVELOPED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (C) OR (D)” and inserting instruction provided pursuant to subsection (c)

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendments?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny, Mrs. Langtry.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you.

My amendment No. A3411 would stipulate that the expenses of paying for an addictions counselor as approved by the Office of Alcohol and Drug Programs, the cost of that program could not be implemented until a plan for payment has been put forth by the Department of Health. In other words, it establishes a funding plan for this program in our schools.

Mr. Speaker, it seems logical that if our schools are to be required to implement K through 12 instruction as approved

by the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs, and if they are required to conduct an assessment, submit a written report, and, if they choose, to employ an individual as approved by the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs, then the Department of Health ought perhaps to bring forth a plan and a program to pay for the hiring of the addictions counselor.

It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that if the State mandates, the State ought to pay, and that is the logic behind my amendment. I urge support for my amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich, on the Langtry amendment.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I think Representative Langtry’s intentions are well taken, but I would have to ask for a “no” vote. Some of the debate overlaps, I think, from the previous amendment, but as I look over A3411, I see a number of problems.

One, her amendment refers to, the way I read it, subsection (d) in its entirety, so it would conflict with the amendment we already passed of Representative Cowell’s affecting the assessment, which I think was a good amendment.

I also have a question, if this went into place, as to the effect that it would have on those school districts that have already enacted a curriculum program or have hired such counselors. I am not sure what the retroactive effect would be or if they would have to scrap those programs until the Department of Health established a system of reimbursement.

Thirdly, if it goes in as drafted, it will conflict with subsection (e), which already sets up a system of reimbursement through the Department of Education.

There are a number of problems on the face of this amendment, and finally, I think we have to be cognizant of the fact that if we open this up in this way for the Commonwealth to fully fund all of these programs, we refute the inherent check that this bill has on the school districts for hiring CAC’s, or certified addictions counselors, and lets it open for them to maybe hire more than they would actually need if we are picking up the tab.

For those four reasons, I would ask for a negative vote on the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I believe this amendment is intended to minimize the cost to local school districts for mandated activities that would appear in this legislation. While that is well intentioned, I think it is unnecessary because I think prior amendments have already taken care of the potential costs that would be involved with the mandated activities.

There are two mandated activities that remain in this bill. The original bill said that a district had to provide a course of study, and Mr. Schuler’s amendment which was adopted earlier made it very clear that we are not talking about a separate course that would require a separate or special teacher, but instead we are simply talking about instruction which can well be integrated into existing health courses or existing

courses of some other nature. So we are not requiring the school district, as a result of the Schuler amendment, to incur any additional special cost to provide this instruction. There are certainly plenty of materials around through the Department of Education and the Department of Health and a lot of other sources that will provide the basis for that instructional activity. So that problem is taken care of.

The second mandate is that the school districts conduct an assessment of chemical abuse problems in their districts. That problem, I would suggest, was taken care of by the amendment I previously offered and which was unanimously adopted. Through that amendment we said that the Department of Education will bear the full cost, 100 percent of the cost, of developing and providing that assessment instrument. So that mandate was taken care of and there is no mandated cost to the school district.

The only other language that remains in the bill is "may" language. It says that a school district may do certain kinds of things, as described on page 2. They may have an agreement or a contract or they may do other kinds of things. We say elsewhere in the legislation, if they choose to exercise that option, they will be eligible for reimbursement through the normal reimbursement process by which we provide State dollars to school districts for a wide variety of instructional activities.

So I would suggest that we do not need this amendment, and in fact, it can be counterproductive. We have taken care of the mandated costs by eliminating the mandate for a separate course, by providing State funding for the mandated assessment procedure, and for those optional "may" activities we again provide for State reimbursement through the normal reimbursement process. I would urge that we defeat this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. McClatchy.

Mr. McCLATCHY. Mr. Speaker, for almost the same reasons I spoke before, again I reluctantly rise to oppose the amendment from somebody on my own side. I ask for a "no" vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. KENNEDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Quite briefly, I do not stand necessarily to discuss the substance of the amendments. What I stand for, for the record, is to say it has been a long, long time since a member of this House prepared an amendment so diligently and was able to get the attention of the members of this floor. For that reason, I congratulate my fellow member. It has just been a long, long time since I have heard silence here when one spoke. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Does the lady wish to speak for the second time on her amendment?

Mrs. LANGTRY. Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady.

Mrs. LANGTRY. Mr. Speaker, in response to Representative Cowell's remarks, may I say that as I read his amend-

ment, they are merely providing for the cost of the assessment instrument being devised by the department. That is a piece of paper with some words on it. May I also say that under my amendment, my second amendment—which, by the way, is fall-back position number two and in a sense a compromise—the school districts would pay for the instruction of drug and alcohol programs. In my school district there are programs in existence, although not approved by the Department of Education, as would be required. My school district suggests that the cost of instruction to the standards of the Department of Education would cost them anywhere between \$10,000 and \$15,000, but they are willing to accept that.

Mr. Speaker, the real problem here is the cost of addictions counselors. Mr. Cowell states that the cost of the addictions counselors would be paid under the normal reimbursement process of school funds. Mr. Speaker, what my amendment does is provide additional funds for a program that is mandated by this legislature. It seems to me that the drug and alcohol problem is very serious, devastating, pervasive; we all understand how serious it is. It is a social problem, and I would suggest that the Department of Health should come up with a plan for payment, particularly for the addictions counselors. We may end up with a counselor or two in every school district. In order to participate in this program, one would probably have to pay for an addictions counselor. If they did not have an addictions counselor, they probably would not be able to participate in the program.

I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that my amendment will provide the necessary funding to help cure this most serious problem in our schools. I thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the Langtry amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I believe the lady puts the cart before the horse. When the bill was originally drawn, it was a mandate to provide addictions counselors if the assessment showed that they were needed. That has been changed. It is no longer a mandate to provide addictions counselors. If the district wishes to provide an addictions counselor, it may do so under this language, but there is no requirement that it do so. That is precisely the reason why it is essential to maintain a State and local partnership to implement this program and to fund it. The district will make the initial decision. If they decide in the affirmative, the State will help them fund it. The Langtry amendment would destroy that partnership, and I would urge a "no" vote on the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell, for the second time on the Langtry amendment.

Mr. COWELL. Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, and perhaps I am being redundant after Mr. Afflerbach's remarks, but I want to correct a misstatement that Mrs. Langtry made. She said that all her amendment does is provide additional funds for a program which is mandated under this legislation, and she specifically referred to addictions counselors. As Mr. Afflerbach said, that language is optional. It is "may" lan-

guage. The word "may" appears in the bill on page 2, line 11. It is very clear that this is no longer a mandate.

The mandated exercises we have provided funding for, or we have cured in other ways. The offering of the instruction does not require a special course. The mandated assessment will be fully reimbursed by the State.

This activity which is optional will be treated like every other educational program in the schools, and I think it is very appropriate that we treat it like every other and reimburse it on the basis of the regular school aid formula. I therefore, again, would urge that we defeat this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin, on the Langtry amendment.

Mr. JAROLIN. I appreciate the comments of my Democratic Representatives and the Republican Representatives, but we have gone over this time and time again, so I think to not delay any further actions, I would appreciate your vote on the amendment in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Lancaster, Mrs. Honaman, on the Langtry amendment.

Mrs. HONAMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will be very brief, as usual.

On the Langtry amendment I think she is providing a way of paying for something that I do not think the average school will pay for. All of us who have been here know how much the schools have to stretch their subsidies and how much they value their money. I think Mr. Cowell misses the point when he says this is a "may" bill. It is a "may" bill, and I do not think the schools will do it unless they get the money to do it. So if you want to have this type of program, I think you have to pay for it. Therefore, I support the Langtry amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendments?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—83

Angstadt	Fischer	McVerry	Saloom
Arty	Foster, Jr., A.	Mackowski	Saurman
Barley	Fox	Manmiller	Semmel
Birmelin	Freind	Mayernik	Serafini
Book	Gallen	Merry	Showers
Bowser	Gamble	Miller	Sirianni
Boyes	Gannon	Moehlmann	Smith, B.
Brandt	Geist	Mowery	Smith, L. E.
Bunt	Gladeck	Nahill	Snyder, D. W.
Burd	Godshall	Noye	Snyder, G. M.
Cessar	Gruppo	O'Brien	Stairs
Chadwick	Haluska	Perzel	Stevens
Cimini	Hayes	Phillips	Swift
Clymer	Herman	Piccola	Taylor, E. Z.
Cornell	Honaman	Pitts	Taylor, J.
DeVerter	Jackson	Pott	Vroon
Dietz	Johnson	Punt	Wass
Distler	Kennedy	Raymond	Weston
Dorr	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Durham	Langtry	Robbins	Wright, J. L.
Fargo	Lashinger	Ryan	

NAYS—115

Acosta	Daley	Letterman	Rieger
Afflerbach	Davies	Levdansky	Roebuck
Argall	Dawida	Levin	Rudy
Baldwin	Deal	Linton	Rybak
Barber	Dombrowski	Livengood	Scheetz
Battisto	Donatucci	Lloyd	Schuler
Belardi	Duffy	Lucyk	Seventy
Belfanti	Evans	McCall	Staback
Black	Fattah	McClatchy	Stighner
Blaum	Fee	McHale	Stewart
Bortner	Flick	Maiale	Stuban
Bowley	Freeman	Manderino	Sweet
Broujos	Fryer	Markosek	Taylor, F. E.
Burns	Gallagher	Michlovic	Telek
Bush	Greenwood	Morris	Tigue
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Mrkonic	Trello
Cappabianca	Hagarty	Murphy	Truman
Carlson	Harper	O'Donnell	Van Horne
Carn	Hasay	Olasz	Veon
Cawley	Howlett	Oliver	Wambach
Clark	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Wiggins
Cohen	Itkin	Petrone	Wilson
Colafella	Jarolin	Pievsky	Wozniak
Cole	Josephs	Pistella	Wright, D. R.
Cordisco	Kasunic	Pratt	Wright, R. C.
Coslett	Kosinski	Pressmann	Yandrisevits
Cowell	Kukovich	Preston	
Coy	Laughlin	Reinard	Irvis,
Deluca	Lescovitz	Richardson	Speaker
DeWeese			

NOT VOTING—2

Dininni Micozzie

EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

The question was determined in the negative, and the amendments were not agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

**MOTION TO PLACE BILL ON FINAL PASSAGE POSTPONED CALENDAR**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman.

Mr. SAURMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there has been a great concern expressed by many people as to how this funding will actually take place. In my questioning of Representative Langtry, it was my understanding, and it has not been contradicted, that what will actually happen is that educational subsidy funding will be transferred from other substances in order to pay for this bill. We are not increasing that subsidy at all.

Mr. Speaker, for that reason I would like to move that HB 209, with the amendments, be put on the final passage postponed calendar to allow time to prepare an appropriation

amendment so that specific dollars can be put into the funding for this program so that it does not distract or detract from other programs and we express our dedication to this program in that we are willing to fund it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Moved by the gentleman, Mr. Saurman, that HB 209, as amended, be placed on the final passage postponed calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Kukovich.

Mr. KUKOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to vote against this motion to postpone, for this reason: This legislation has been worked on diligently not only by Representative Jarolin and many of the experts in the field, but it has been worked on by a number of civic and fraternal organizations throughout the Commonwealth. In conjunction with that, they have been aware of the arguments raised here regarding funding. They have looked toward legislation such as Representative Wambach's in HB 890 to use a portion of that money to provide the funding.

The way HB 209 is in its current form, as amended, the Commonwealth would pick up the cost of the mandatory curriculum program. That is what we want; it places no burden on the school districts. The only question is with the certified addictions counselors. To my mind, that issue is not nearly as important as the curriculum program.

In many, many school districts across this State, this legislation is working. We have just recently, this week and last week, passed legislation that creates more penalties for underage drinking. That might be good; it might not. But this legislation works; these programs work. The funding that we now have set up in this bill, the mechanism rather, is a workable one. We already have the legislation introduced to provide those increased funds, and I would suggest rather than holding this legislation up, we vote it on its merits—it is a good bill—and then deal with the precise method of funding with the legislation that is already in committee.

I would ask once again for a "no" vote on the motion to postpone.

The SPEAKER. On the motion, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I agree with the gentleman's suggestion that we ought to be sure to provide adequate funding for this program. However, to do that, it is not necessary to delay the consideration of this bill in any way.

If one would read page 2, the last paragraph, it says, "This act shall apply to the school year next commencing after the effective date of this act and to each school year thereafter." In other words, this act will not take effect until next year. By that time we will have debated and hopefully passed the 1986-87 State budget. That will be the time in which to insure that the additional dollars are provided. To do it now would be meaningless; to delay consideration is unnecessary. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Afflerbach, on the motion.

Mr. AFFLERBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to oppose this particular motion, and I guess I do so because I disagree most with the gentleman's perception of the issue. He would have us believe that instruction in drug and alcohol abuse and counseling for children who need that counseling is not as important as other existing educational programs. I think it is quite to the contrary. It is more important.

It is impossible for a student to sit in school and take advantage of the existing educational programs if that student has a drug and alcohol abuse problem, and there is no one who can take the floor and deny that. Consequently, counseling on drug and alcohol abuse, instruction on drug and alcohol abuse, becomes a problem, becomes a program, becomes a course of instruction that is every bit as important as any other course of instruction in our public schools. To delay passage of this bill I think denies that premise, and I believe we make a mistake should we delay passage of this particular piece of legislation.

The gentleman also knows, as all of us do, that every year during the budgetary process we increase the basic school instructional subsidy, and certainly I expect it will be increased again next year, as it always has been. I have no doubt that there is sufficient money available and will be made available in the future to fund this course of instruction, and I urge defeat of the motion.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery, Mr. Saurman, for the second time on the motion.

Mr. SAURMAN. First of all, Mr. Speaker, I would like to respond to a comment that was just made that I am saying that this education is any less important than any other education. That is simply not so. My dedication to this whole area of alcohol abuse, I think, has been demonstrated many times, and I am not saying that. What I am saying is that if this body feels as strongly as it seems to, then it ought to put its money where its mouth is, and I am not talking about it because you are going to be diverting moneys from other areas, and that is what I am recommending.

#### MOTION WITHDRAWN

Mr. SAURMAN. Mr. Speaker, I agree with what Representative Cowell has said, that since we have the time and that this appropriation will be or can be considered in next year's budget, I will withdraw this motion to postpone. But I would sincerely hope that every member of this chamber remembers, when the appropriation is being considered for education next year, that we need to put this money in to fund it, or otherwise, we are not living up to our dedication to this principle. So I would withdraw the motion, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The motion to postpone has been withdrawn, and we are back on final passage.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. D. W. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, Mr. Speaker, I want to make it clear that I certainly do not oppose the motivation nor the objective of the legislation that is before us. The need for education in the drug and alcohol area is probably critical at this particular time in our communities. I personally have been working very closely with the East Penn School District in my legislative district, which is working on a pilot program for assessment and for addressing the problems associated with drug and alcohol abuse. The program is working well, and it is meeting the needs and recognizing what needs are still unmet.

However, philosophically, I think we have to look at what we are doing. As was mentioned last week, we voted for voter education in the schools. Last session we did much emphasis on putting the basics back into our educational system, the emphasis on the basic reading-writing skills. There is only X number of hours in a particular day and a particular week in a school year, and I think the members need to be aware or reminded of what this legislature has done over the past 15 years as far as what we continually add to our school program and the necessity of these programs that take away from the basic educational program that those schools offer. I would ask the indulgence of the membership, but I think it is important to get into the record this particular list.

In the last 15 years, Mr. Speaker, we have added, as a legislature or through the Department of Education, the following: career awareness/education; nutrition education and training; traffic safety; school bus safety; pedestrian safety; bicycle safety; energy education; environmental education; multicultural/intergroup education; global education; title IX, equal rights education; mainstreaming; gifted education; drug abuse education and alcohol education; parenting education/sex education; prevention of birth defects; family life education; law related education; life skills education; consumer education; economics education; values and moral education; bilingual and bicultural education; English for speakers of other languages; metric education; laboratory safety; adaptive physical education; intermediate grade intramural sports; arts in basic education; related arts in education; citizenship education; junior high school developmental reading program; water safety education; Pennsylvania comprehensive reading/communications arts plan; CPR(cardio-pulmonary resuscitation); investigative science for elementary education; crisis behavior; adult basic education; educational quality assessment, known as EQA; individual education program, known as IEP; graduate equivalency diploma program; Project 81; long range planning for school improvement; movement education; library/instructional media center; social restoration; detention education; right-to-read; reading is fundamental; fire safety; students rights and responsibilities; contract learning; open education; individualization; the middle school movement; language

laboratories; learning station/center development; the great books program; computer literacy; pre-kindergarten programs; in-school suspension programs; chapter I programs for educationally disadvantaged; humane education; agriculture education; and asbestos containment.

Mr. Speaker, those are some of the things that this legislature has adopted. Each one of those on their own have strong merits, as does the bill today. But I think that we have to look at what happens when we mandate these particular programs and look at them in isolation and then compare them to the context as to what we have done all along. It is sort of like the onion skin. One onion skin is very, very thin, but if you put them all together, you have a large item. We talk about it only costing \$15,000 or \$20,000 a year, but that is the price of an additional teacher. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### REMARKS ON VOTE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Deal.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, first I would like to state that when amendment 3414 to HB 209 was voted, my switch was not working properly. Had it been working properly, I would have voted in the negative.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED

Mr. DEAL. After that phase of the record is corrected, Mr. Speaker, I am in a very difficult situation, because I am under the impression that the drug and alcohol abuse among young people runs across the spectrum. I am under the impression that the private and parochial schools, where they have many of the young people from affluent families, may be as much or more victims than young people in the public schools.

We here in the legislature have decided that by amending HB 209 it would appear to us that we are not concerned about drug abuse in the private and parochial schools. I think that is unconscionable for a group of legislators, knowing the problem that we have with drug abuse clear across this country and in Pennsylvania in particular, in all of our schools, for us to sit here with a bill and then amend the bill to say, but we will not concern ourselves with those young people who are in the private and parochial schools. I do not believe this legislature was ever intended that we would discriminate against people in this Commonwealth, especially our young people. I think that for us to pass this bill would let it get to the public that we cared less about those young people who may be subjected to drug and alcohol abuse, that we were so narrowminded we would not even institute something where we would even assess the problem, if some of us may be sitting here and believe that there is no drug and alcohol problem in private and parochial schools. If you believe that, if you think it might be happening, the least we could have done, as a public service to our young people in private and parochial schools, is at least say we ought to look at it and see whether or not we are making a mistake. We are not even saying that. We are saying the heck with young people in

private and parochial schools; we are only concerned about a specific group, and that is the people in the public schools. That is unfair. That is unfair to those young people. They ought to have the same kind of service that all of our young people have.

I would hope, Mr. Speaker, that we ought to send this bill back to wherever we can and make sure that if we are going to concern ourselves about young people, young people whom we expect to grow up and take our places here in this hall, become our judges, become our educators, become our police officers, become our doctors, our lawyers, and become members of our clergy, we ought to make sure that all our young people are given an opportunity. I tell you, Mr. Speaker, drugs and alcohol will eventually destroy this Nation and destroy our State, and we must look at it clear across the board.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I am not too familiar with parliamentary procedure, but I would like to ask the Speaker for a point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state the point.

Mr. DEAL. Realizing that I have spoken, Mr. Speaker, is there any way that I could try to have this piece of legislation sent back to some committee so that we could take care of all the young people? Is there a way to do it now, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes. If the gentleman wishes, he may make a motion to recommit to any one of the standing committees of the House.

### MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I would move to recommit this bill to the Education Committee.

The SPEAKER. Moved by the gentleman, Mr. Deal, that HB 209, as amended, be recommitted to the Committee on Education.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, may I ask Mr. Deal a question, please?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Deal, will stand for interrogation. You may proceed, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

It is my understanding that the language to which Mr. Deal objects is a result of an amendment which was approved by the House earlier, and I would ask Mr. Deal, rather than refer this bill in its amended fashion back to committee for further study, could we not better and more directly deal with the issue that concerns you by reconsidering that amendment?

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I certainly feel that would be the most appropriate way. I agree that what is being done in the bill is good, is good for young people—

### MOTION WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. Deal, wish to withdraw his motion and to change it?

Mr. DEAL. If it is possible for us to reconsider—

The SPEAKER. It is possible.

Mr. DEAL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. It is possible.

The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. MANDERINO. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman, Mr. Deal, suggested to the majority leader that a reconsideration of that amendment dealing with private schools be run. I talked him out of it. I talked him out of it because the funding mechanism as it is in the bill is through the instructional subsidy, and private schools do not get an instructional subsidy. I suggested to him that he should make a statement on the bill and that we ought to look at methods in which we could do the same kind of thing or something similar in the private and parochial schools to get an assessment of the alcohol problem and so that we could make a decision on how to deal with it from the standpoint of the State legislature and its constitutional prescriptions.

So now we are going round robin. I still think that the issue that he wants us to deal with now with this bill is funding private and parochial schools. I think that that can be done with another piece of legislation, and I would ask that we stay in the posture of voting this bill on final passage.

Mr. Deal, we will be happy to work with you to see what can be done with the private schools.

Mr. DEAL. Mr. Speaker, I will be guided by the advice from the leader and withdraw. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

### WELCOME

The SPEAKER. The Chair is delighted to welcome to the hall of the House a group of Christian mothers from Aliquippa, St. Titus Christian Mothers. They are here as the guests of Representative Laughlin. Welcome to the hall of the House.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin, Mr. Wambach.

Mr. WAMBACH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The time has come for HB 209, Mr. Speaker. As we know, we have gone through some figures before on the floor of this House regarding a young age group in society whose life expectancy is decreasing. It is decreasing, Mr. Speaker, even though medicine or the medical community, the science and technological advances have been made to have all other age groups increase their life expectancy. But in the age group of the young, Mr. Speaker, life expectancy is decreasing. It is decreasing primarily because of the drug and alcohol problem

that pervades their group. It is decreasing because of peer pressure. It is decreasing as we have found out, Mr. Speaker, because of the teenage subcommittee that was established last year, that children are becoming alcoholics in the fourth grade, the fourth grade. The Lions Clubs of Pennsylvania have furnished new information that has said actually kids are coming to school inebriated in the year of their second year in school, second grade. That is why it behooves us all, Mr. Speaker, to vote in favor of HB 209 where each student in grades kindergarten through 12th grade inclusive shall receive a mandatory alcohol and a chemical abuse course of study. It will provide the prevention, information, and intervention help in programming that is so necessary.

Also, one other thing that it will do by the mandate in all the public schools in all of the school districts, it will stop the pointing of fingers from one school district to another that says, because of the forthrightness of one school board to say, yes, we are going to mandate this because of the problem that exists in our schools, that the other school districts surrounding it will not be pointing the finger to that other school district that has in fact instituted a program of drug and alcohol and chemical abuse. We can all say we have a problem in our schools, we have a problem in our school districts, and because of this legislation by Representative Jarolin, HB 209, it will mandate the curriculum that will be taught to our children and hence start to save the lives and increase that life expectancy in that young age group.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I ask all the members to support this bill.

**REMARKS ON VOTE**

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Northampton, Mr. Rybak.

Mr. RYBAK. Mr. Speaker, when the vote was taken on the Langtry amendment A3415 to HB 209, which would have provided for funding through the liquor fund, my switch malfunctioned. I pushed my button in the negative, and I would like the record to reflect that.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**CONSIDERATION OF HB 209 CONTINUED**

The SPEAKER. On final passage, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Jarolin.

Mr. JAROLIN. I worked too long on this. You have got to listen to me now.

Mr. Speaker, I want to get the bill passed, so let me give a few very brief closing remarks.

In my own particular hometown I had four deaths of teenagers. We had an investigative committee comprised of both Republicans and Democrats of the House of Representatives that had found out through the investigative procedures that 90 percent of the testimony received had indicated that education in the school system was probably one of the most important factors to help us solve some of our problems. It is

going to happen. I can realize all of your interest on this particular bill.

In my closing remarks, I am looking for your 100 percent support and vote your conscience. Please, vote in the affirmative on this very, very important piece of legislation. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

**YEAS—196**

Acosta	Dininni	Letterman	Robbins
Afflerbach	Distler	Levdansky	Roebuck
Angstadt	Dombrowski	Levin	Rudy
Argall	Donatucci	Linton	Ryan
Arty	Dorr	Livengood	Rybak
Baldwin	Duffy	Lloyd	Saloom
Barber	Durham	Lucyk	Saurman
Barley	Evans	McCall	Scheetz
Battisto	Fargo	McClatchy	Schuler
Belardi	Fattah	McHale	Semmel
Belfanti	Fee	Mackowski	Serafini
Birmelin	Fischer	Maiale	Seventy
Black	Flick	Manderino	Showers
Blaum	Foster, Jr., A.	Manmiller	Sirianni
Book	Fox	Markosek	Smith, B.
Bortner	Freeman	Mayernik	Smith, L. E.
Bowley	Freind	Merry	Snyder, G. M.
Bowser	Fryer	Michlovic	Staback
Boyes	Gallagher	Micozzie	Stairs
Brandt	Gallen	Miller	Steighner
Broujos	Gamble	Moehlmann	Stevens
Bunt	Gannon	Morris	Stewart
Burd	Geist	Mowery	Stuban
Burns	Gladeck	Mrkonic	Sweet
Bush	Greenwood	Murphy	Swift
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nahill	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Noye	Taylor, F. E.
Carlson	Hagarty	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Carn	Haluska	O'Donnell	Telek
Cawley	Harper	Olasz	Tigue
Cessar	Hasay	Oliver	Trello
Chadwick	Hayes	Perzel	Truman
Cimini	Herman	Petrarca	Van Horne
Clark	Honaman	Petrone	Veon
Cohen	Howlett	Phillips	Vroon
Colafella	Hutchinson	Piccola	Wambach
Cole	Itkin	Pievsky	Wass
Cordisco	Jackson	Pistella	Weston
Cornell	Jarolin	Pitts	Wiggins
Coslett	Johnson	Pott	Wilson
Cowell	Josephs	Pratt	Wogan
Coy	Kasunic	Pressmann	Wozniak
Deluca	Kennedy	Preston	Wright, D. R.
DeVerter	Kenney	Punt	Wright, J. L.
DeWeese	Kosinski	Raymond	Wright, R. C.
Daley	Kukovich	Reber	Yandrisevits
Davies	Langtry	Reinard	
Dawida	Lashinger	Richardson	Irvis,
Deal	Laughlin	Rieger	Speaker
Dietz	Lescovitz		

**NAYS—4**

Clymer	Godshall	McVerry	Snyder, D. W.
--------	----------	---------	---------------

**NOT VOTING—0**

## EXCUSED—3

Civera George Hershey

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

**COMMITTEE MEETING CANCELED**

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Oliver, who wishes to announce the fact that the meeting that he had called at a prior time has now been canceled.

Mr. OLIVER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The meeting scheduled tomorrow morning of the State Government Committee has been canceled. It will be rescheduled. Thank you.

**REMARKS ON VOTES**

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cumberland, Mr. Mowery, rise?

Mr. MOWERY. To correct my vote, sir.

On HR 167 I was recorded in the negative. I would like that to appear in the positive.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna, Mr. Staback.

Mr. STABACK. Mr. Speaker, on HB 560 my button did not operate. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****HB 64, PN 2363 (Amended)**

By Rep. PRATT

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for an offense resulting from an attack by a wild animal.

JUDICIARY.

**HB 1721, PN 2365 (Amended)**

By Rep. PRATT

An Act regulating private prisons; providing for contracts between the Commonwealth and counties and private contractors; and providing for approval by the Attorney General and for the licensing and inspection of private prisons by the Department of Corrections.

JUDICIARY.

**SB 615, PN 744**

By Rep. KUKOVICH

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the metering of electricity supplied to volunteer fire companies.

## CONSUMER AFFAIRS.

**HOUSE BILLS  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED****No. 1826** By Representative WESTON

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentencing for the crime of rape.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1827** By Representatives GANNON, ARTY, DURHAM, FREIND, RAYMOND, FLICK, CIVERA, LASHINGER, R. C. WRIGHT, MICOZZIE, FOX, CORNELL, NAHILL and REBER

An Act amending the act of August 5, 1932 (Sp. Sess., P. L. 45, No. 45), referred to as the "Sterling Act," prohibiting certain evictions relating to tax collection.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1828** By Representatives MICOZZIE, RAYMOND, KENNEY, CIVERA, ARTY, DURHAM, FLICK, FREIND, PITTS and GANNON

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," establishing a task force for Alzheimer's disease; providing for the duties of the task force; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1829** By Representatives MICOZZIE, RAYMOND, KENNEY, CIVERA, ARTY, DURHAM, FLICK, FREIND, PITTS and GANNON

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929," providing for research in the area of Alzheimer's disease in the Department of Health; and providing for funding.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1830** By Representatives HASAY, LAUGHLIN, STUBAN, STEVENS, BURNS, COSLETT, DISTLER, MACKOWSKI, HERMAN, GODSHALL, FOX and PUNT

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting telephone access fees.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1831** By Representatives COY, GALLAGHER, PUNT, BURNS, DUFFY, D. R. WRIGHT and FREIND

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), known as the "Public School Code of 1949," authorizing the use of mail ballots by joint school directors.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1832** By Representatives SWEET, MANDERINO, McVERRY, D. R. WRIGHT, LESCOVITZ, HALUSKA, LEVDANSKY, MRKONIC, VAN HORNE, COY, BELFANTI, BLAUM, DALEY, MICHLOVIC, JOSEPHS, KOSINSKI, DAWIDA, JOHNSON, CARN, FOX, GEIST and PISTELLA

An Act providing for tax-exempt bonds; and imposing powers and duties on the Secretary of Commerce.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1833** By Representative MARKOSEK

An Act amending the act of October 7, 1976 (P. L. 1090, No. 218), known as the "Protection From Abuse Act," further providing for relief.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND WELFARE, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1834** By Representatives BELFANTI, PETRARCA, DOMBROWSKI, TRELLO, PERZEL, MANDERINO, STABACK, KUKOVICH, HALUSKA, DeWEESE, KOSINSKI, HARPER, VAN HORNE, J. TAYLOR, WOGAN, MICHLOVIC, REBER, CALTAGIRONE, LUCYK and PRESTON

An Act relating to the conducting and operating of small games of chance by nonprofit associations; providing for license fees and their disposition; imposing duties on county treasurers and district attorneys; prescribing penalties; and repealing inconsistent acts.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, October 23, 1985.

**No. 1835** By Representatives McCALL, LAUGHLIN, BURNS, MARKOSEK, STABACK, COY, WOZNIAK, GRUITZA, PETRARCA, PISTELLA, COLE, BATTISTO, LUCYK, OLASZ, CLARK, COLAFELLA, WAMBACH, KOSINSKI, DeLUCA, FREEMAN, McHALE, TRUMAN, DEAL, LLOYD, KUKOVICH and STEIGHNER

An Act amending Title 66 (Public Utilities) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for exceptions and appeals.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, October 23, 1985.

## HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

### No. 181

(Concurrent) By Representatives SAURMAN, ITKIN, FOX, SIRIANNI, J. L. WRIGHT, SHOWERS, COHEN, DEAL, DORR, WOGAN, J. TAYLOR, HERSHEY, SEMMEL, NAHILL and CORNELL

Memorializing the medical schools in this Commonwealth to recognize the special health care needs of the elderly and to develop certain remedies.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 23, 1985.

### No. 182

By Representatives SAURMAN, HALUSKA, FOX, ARGALL, J. L. WRIGHT, COHEN, TIGUE, DEAL, G. M. SNYDER, FARGO, FISCHER, DISTLER, HERMAN, SEMMEL, STABACK and NAHILL

Directing the House Mines and Energy Management Committee to investigate the feasibility of abolishing the Department of Environmental Resources and establishing a Bureau of Land, Air and Water Management, a Bureau of Waste Management, and a Bureau of Mines, Minerals and Resource Management.

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 23, 1985.

### No. 183

By Representatives VROON, STEIGHNER, FOX, MORRIS, ANGSTADT, JACKSON, JOHNSON, DAWIDA, CARLSON, MICOZZIE, GEIST, SAURMAN, BLACK, DISTLER, RYBAK, HERSHEY, SIRIANNI, KENNEY, DeLUCA, J. TAYLOR, FISCHER, BOOK, E. Z. TAYLOR, HOWLETT, BOWSER, HERMAN, SEMMEL, WESTON and PITTS

Designating the week of February 9 through 15, 1986, as "Compassionate Friends Week."

Referred to Committee on RULES, October 23, 1985.

## SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

### SB 352, PN 1471

Referred to Committee on MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, October 23, 1985.

### SB 1088, PN 1337

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, October 23, 1985.

**BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The SPEAKER. There being no further business to be brought before this day's session of the General Assembly, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Taylor.

Mr. J. J. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, October 28, 1985, at 1 p.m., e.s.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 1:15 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.