

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 1996

SESSION OF 1996

180TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 27

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11:05 a.m., e.d.t.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

PRAYER

The SPEAKER. The prayer from today's special session will be printed in today's regular session Journal.

REV. DR. ROBERT E. MAYER, Chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of Church of the Open Door, Lebanon Christian Academy, Lebanon, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Our Father, now our God, we bow before Thee again this morning rejoicing in life, health, and strength and the privilege of being together for this session.

We realize, our Father, there is great responsibility laid upon our shoulders, and we certainly seek Your guidance and direction in all things that we say and do.

We are mindful, our Father, of the psalmist as he said:

The earth is the Lord's, and the fulness thereof;

The world, and they that dwell therein.

For He hath founded it upon the seas,

And established it upon the floods.

Who shall ascend into the hill of the Lord?

Or who shall stand in His holy place?

He who hath clean hands, and a pure heart;

Who hath not lifted up his soul unto vanity nor sworn deceitfully.

He shall receive a blessing from the Lord, And righteousness from the God of his salvation.

This is the generation of them who seek Him,

Who seek Thy face, O Jacob.

And truly, our Father, as we reflect back to those words, we ourselves humbly bow before You asking for Your hand of direction and guidance in everything that is said and done.

We want to thank Thee, our Father, for our Commonwealth. We thank You for its rich heritage, and today as we who are present continue to lead and direct with those great historical facts

in the past, we want to again ask for Your hand of blessing and Your hand of direction.

Minister to all of those in this Assembly, and we want to thank You in a very special way for the Speaker of the House and his staff. They are such gracious people. You have endowed them with understanding, and we pray that You will continue to bless them in their roles of leadership.

Truly we are a blessed people, and we want to thank You for all that You have done and all that You are going to continue to do. For we ask it in that matchless name of the Most Holy God, with thanksgiving. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE DISPENSED WITH

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the Pledge of Allegiance will be dispensed with.

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, April 30, 1996, will be postponed until printed.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The leaves granted in the special session will be granted in the regular session.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Mery	Staback

Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colafrèlla	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rublely	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILLS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1924, PN 2565; and HB 2008, PN 2489**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE AMENDMENTS
CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives to **SB 633, PN 1913; and SB 638, PN 1862.**

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED HOUSE BILLS RETURNED
FOR CONCURRENCE AND
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 814, PN 3440; HB 2022, PN 3441; and HB 2063, PN 3342**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILLS RETURNED
FOR CONCURRENCE AND
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives by amending said amendments to **SB 652, PN 1939; and SB 654, PN 1952.**

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

HB 1924, PN 2565

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for use of credit and debit cards.

HB 2008, PN 2489

An Act amending the act of December 1, 1965 (P.L.988, No.368), known as the Weights and Measures Act of 1965, further providing for the sale of potatoes.

SB 633, PN 1913

An Act amending the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L. 1804, No. 600), entitled "Municipal Police Pension Law," providing for the establishment of police pension funds or pension annuities by regional police departments and the transfer and retransfer of service credits and certain pension assets; providing for the transfer of service credits and certain pension assets in the case of disbanded police forces; further providing for employment of an actuary and for payments by the State Treasurer; and making editorial changes.

SB 638, PN 1862

An Act amending the act of July 6, 1989 (P.L. 169, No. 32), entitled "Storage Tank and Spill Prevention Act," further providing for definitions and for aboveground storage tank requirements; and providing for a feasibility study.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today, as the guests of Representatives Dermody and DeLuca, students from Riverview High School in Oakmont. The students are Anthony Lasko, Mike Liebreth, Dan McGinley, and Joe Sullivan. They are here with Mr. Gordon Knapp, their adviser. Would the students and Mr. Knapp please rise. They are right here in front of the desk of Mr. Itkin.

COMMUNICATION**LOBBYIST LIST PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of a list of lobbyists who have registered under the Lobbying Registration and Regulation Act, which the clerk will file.

The following communication was submitted:

The General Assembly of Pennsylvania
Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

May 1, 1996

To the Honorable, the Senate
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
To the Honorable, the House of Representatives
of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

In compliance with Act No. 712 of the 1961 Session and Act No. 212 of the 1976 Session of the General Assembly titled the "Lobbying Registration and Regulation Act," we herewith jointly present a list containing the names and addresses of the persons who have registered from April 1, 1996 through April 30, 1996 inclusive, for the 180th Session of the General Assembly. This list also contains the names and addresses of the organizations represented by these registrants.

Respectfully submitted:
Mark R. Corrigan, Secretary
Senate of Pennsylvania

Ted Mazia, Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

(For list, see Appendix.)

ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS OF SPONSORS

The SPEAKER. The Chair acknowledges receipt of additions and deletions for sponsorships of bills, which the clerk will file.

(Copy of list is on file with the Journal clerk.)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Today is a special day for one of our members. It is the birthday of Linda Bebko-Jones. Happy birthday, Linda. I have the official date of birth. She is 22 years of age.

**BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

HB 115, PN 87

By Rep. DURHAM

An Act relating to motor vehicle manufacturer-paid repair programs; requiring certain notice of such programs by manufacturers; requiring disclosure by dealers; providing for reimbursements in certain cases; and providing for sanctions.

CONSUMER AFFAIRS.

CALENDAR**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION****BILL PASSED OVER**

The SPEAKER. Page 1 of today's calendar.
SB 1047. This bill is over.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 975, PN 1937**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of October 22, 1986 (P. L. 1452, No. 143), entitled "Adult Literacy Act," further providing for adult literacy and education; establishing and empowering the Interagency Coordinating Council; and providing for reports.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti

Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horshey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Home
Gruitza			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HB 1026 is over.
All of the bills on page 2 are over.
Page 3. SB 790 is over.

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 856, PN 1765, entitled:

An Act establishing the Prison Medical Services Program within the Department of Corrections.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horshey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. SB 1171 is over.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 801, PN 1815**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 2, 1915 (P. L. 762, No. 340), entitled "State Workmen's Insurance Fund Law," broadening the State Workmen's Insurance Fund's permissible coverages; providing for an investigation of the financial integrity and stability of the fund; and making a repeal.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-197

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Miller	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Mundy	Stetler
Bunt	Gruppo	Myers	Stish

Butkovitz	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Buxton	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nyce	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Haste	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Pesci	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Pettit	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Pistella	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Veon
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Vitali
Conti	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Corpora	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Williams
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Coy	King	Reinard	Wozniak
Curry	Kirkland	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Robinson	Yewcic
Dempsey	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Leh	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Mihalich

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the Senate is requested.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Page 4 of today's calendar. HB 2257 is over.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2383, PN 3414**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, providing for temporary relocation of distribution and importing distributor licenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. CORRIGAN offered the following amendment No. A1892:

Amend Title, page 1, line 16, by inserting after "laws,"
reducing the amount of gross sales of food for
Sunday sales permit;

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 21 through 23, by striking out all of said
lines and inserting

Section 1. Section 406(a)(3) of the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90,
No.21), known as the Liquor Code, reenacted and amended June 29, 1987
(P.L.32, No.14) and amended April 29, 1994 (P.L.212, No.30), is
amended to read:

Section 406. Sales by Liquor Licensees; Restrictions.—(a) * * *

(3) Hotel and restaurant liquor licensees, airport restaurant
liquor licensees, municipal golf course restaurant liquor licensees and
privately-owned public golf course restaurant licensees whose sales
of food and nonalcoholic beverages are equal to [forty per centum]
thirty per centum or more of the combined gross sales of both food and
alcoholic beverages may sell liquor and malt or brewed beverages on
Sunday between the hours of eleven o'clock antemeridian and two o'clock
antemeridian Monday upon purchase of a special permit from the board
at an annual fee as prescribed in section 614-A of the act of April 9, 1929
(P.L.177, No.175), known as "The Administrative Code of 1929."

* * *

Section 2. The act is amended by adding a section to read:

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 10, by striking out "2" and inserting

3

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the
amendment, does the gentleman, Mr. Clymer, desire recognition on
the Corrigan amendment?

Mr. CLYMER. Yes; I want to talk on the amendment by
Representative Corrigan.

The SPEAKER. The House will come to order. Conferences on
the floor of the House will please break up. Conferences on the
floor will please break up.

The gentleman, Mr. Clymer, would you be good enough to
repeat your statement?

Mr. CLYMER. Yes. Mr. Speaker, it is my intention to speak on
amendment A1892 after the sponsor has finished with his remarks.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I apologize. The amendment is on its way to the floor—

The SPEAKER. Mr. Corrigan, will you please yield until some
of the other members of the House yield to you. The gentleman,
Mr. Corrigan, is entitled to be heard.

Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me apologize. The amendment is on its way to the floor.
But in the interest of saving time, Representative Clymer and I can
have this discussion on this item that we have had many, many
times before.

The amendment would reduce the requirement for Sunday sales
from 40 percent to 30 percent. It is an economic issue with
tavern owners and restaurant owners who have trouble meeting the
40-percent requirement.

The reason that we need to change it and we must change it is
that the percentage increases in alcohol have far outdistanced the
percentage of food over the past 10 or 15 years. This is the fair way
to do it, and really the only fair way to do it.

As you may know, Mr. Speaker, the original request was
20 percent. I believe the 30 percent is a reasonable compromise.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Clymer.

Mr. CLYMER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, as I had said previously on the floor of this
House, this General Assembly has been very generous in trying to
help those establishments that have a liquor license with their
profitability. What this amendment will do, however, is not only
allow more of these liquor license establishments to be open on
Sunday, but you are going to be involved where you are going to
create more nuisance bars as more of these places become
available. And what we do is really send the wrong message,
because as all of us recognize, drugs and alcohol, and in particular,
alcohol is the number one drug problem we have in these
United States and specifically in Pennsylvania, and is that really a
good message to send to the people of this Commonwealth?

Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, let me share with you an article that
recently appeared in one of the papers in my district, and it says,
"Drug arrests up at colleges in '94 for the third year," and it says,
and I repeat, "Rape, aggravated assault, robbery and larceny are
heavily drug- and alcohol-related," said Alan J. Lizotte, executive
director of the Consortium for Higher Education Campus Crime
Research at the State University of New York at Albany. And what
they are saying is that if we are going to send the right message, we
do not expand the availability of alcoholic beverages but we
stabilize them or, in cases where necessary, reduce them.

The article then goes on to demonstrate at Ohio State
University where they waged a campaign against alcohol use by
the students, and in 1 year, the same group that I just mentioned,
the same organization, found that liquor-related offenses dropped
to 99 from 154 in a 1-year time at Ohio State University.

The article also mentioned something I thought was very
significant as well. They do not have State stores in Ohio, and it
said that there are 32 liquor establishments in a quarter-mile
section of Ohio State University.

But back to the premise, Mr. Speaker, and that is simply that
this General Assembly has been generous to a fault in trying to
help those taverns and restaurants. We should draw the line and say
enough is enough and defeat this amendment. Thank you,
Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from
Philadelphia County, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Would the maker of the amendment stand for a period of
interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, indicates he will
stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I wonder if the gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, could explain to me
the way in which this amendment would be enforced.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The laws that are in place today in Pennsylvania cover these
types of situations. Representative Clymer talked about a situation
in Ohio, and I appreciate that and I respect that, and if I was
convinced or could be convinced that this amendment would cause
us to sell more alcohol, I would withdraw it immediately. This
amendment is designed to help small business people who are

having a difficult time staying in business because they cannot conform to the State law which requires 40-percent food.

We are technically really not increasing the dependence on alcohol. What we are saying is the price of the two products are not the same as they were when this law was originally passed. The respectable businessmen in Pennsylvania, you know, are not affected by that situation in Ohio. They are not affected by some of the problems in our big city.

Mr. Speaker, let me tell you about a story, and I wish that more— And I will turn to talk to Mr. Roebuck. Bristol Borough in the past year had, ironically, a gentleman from Philadelphia buy a bar. He bought a neighborhood bar, and he brought a crowd from outside of the neighborhood, and he created all kinds of problems for the people who live in that neighborhood. So the borough council and the chief of police in Bristol Borough cited the owner of the bar. He had loud music; they could not sleep on the weekends. The bottom line to that story is he was warned that he should not do that; he should respect his neighbors. He did not listen. He does not have a liquor license today. The court of common pleas in Bucks County had a hearing, and they put him out of business.

In my short time as a member of the House and prior to that as a councilman, we have now four vacant lots in Bristol Borough that were formerly nuisance bars. Now, if we can do that in Bucks County, I think we can do it in other places, and that is not a criticism. I know that your problems are different, but in order to satisfy your concerns, I have to penalize a legitimate businessman in my district, and I am not ready to do that.

I am sensitive to the concerns of the people in Philadelphia, and I originally, when I introduced this bill 6 or 8 years ago, I opted out cities of the first class. The people in Philadelphia said they did not want to do that; they wanted them in there. So I certainly respect your problem. I understand a little bit of it, certainly not to the degree that you do, but I do know and I will take you down there and I will show you vacant lots where we took the license, we put it in escrow, and we hit the building with a bulldozer. That is how you handle that problem.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Mr. Speaker, I guess I am not clear on the answer to my question. My question was, how do you enforce it? Are you saying that the way you enforce it is you bulldoze those who do not abide by the law? I am simply asking how you enforce the provision that liquor licenses that are open on Sunday must do 40 percent or 30 percent of their business in food. That is the question.

Mr. CORRIGAN. I am sorry if I did not answer your question.

In answer to the question — and I am sorry if I did not answer it the first time — there is a procedure in which the State Police audit the records of tavern owners or people who have Sunday sales permits, and they determine whether your percentage is 40 percent or whether you are in violation or not, and if you are, you have to pay the consequences.

The reason for this amendment today is, one of my small businessmen contacted me; he said that the State Police called him for an audit. It cost him \$3,000 to get his auditors, or what have you, to get his books ready. It cost him 3,000 bucks to show that he was doing the right thing. So what I am saying is, we need to protect those kinds of people and at the same time deal with those problem bars. There is nothing worse than a problem bar in your neighborhood. I know about it. And if I can help resolve that issue, I will. But we do resolve it around the State, and I hope that you will help to do that.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized on the amendment.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Certainly, it is not my intention to suggest that we should somehow penalize legitimate business people in any way, but the reality, Mr. Speaker, is that as the audit is applied, we do not in fact enforce the law as it is now.

There are liquor establishments in my district and other districts in the city of Philadelphia and elsewhere in this State that provide a paper record that they in fact do 40 percent of their business in food when in fact no food is provided within those establishments. If you were to go to those establishments, there is no way in the world that they could provide any food for you because they do not have kitchens, they do not have food. That is the flaw in the law.

What this amendment does is make that flaw bigger. It does not resolve the problem. The problem is that there are establishments that do not abide by the law now. This makes that situation worse. It creates serious problems in residential areas of my district, and for that reason, certainly, I would argue that we do not need to make the problem worse by adopting this amendment.

I would strongly argue that we should not adopt this amendment, that what we need to do is to go back and look at the way in which we enforce the law and enforce the law properly. If indeed there are legitimate business people that sell food and want to be open on Sunday, that is fine. My problem is that there are a lot of business people who are not doing that, who currently are not selling food yet are open on Sunday because they somehow skirt the law. That is unfair. It is unjust to residents who live in neighborhoods where these establishments are open. It is not right, and I think we need to go back, look at the law, enforce the law as it should be enforced, and not further open the way for businessmen who are not abiding by the law to skirt the law.

I would urge that we defeat the Corrigan amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Williams, from Philadelphia.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the maker of the amendment stand for a period of interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask first if the primary sponsor of the bill is in support of this amendment.

Mr. CORRIGAN. You would have to ask the primary sponsor.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask that the primary maker of the bill stand for a brief period of interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask if the gentleman is in favor of this particular amendment.

Mr. LAWLESS. Yes, Mr. Speaker; I rise in support of the Corrigan amendment.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Why did you not include it in your original bill?

Mr. LAWLESS. Well, it is a different part of the statute, as I understand, but that does not— It has never been the case where we have not voted for amendments and added them and made the

bill better. I mean, in this case, I do not oppose Representative— Representative Corrigan has a bill in, and what he is doing is attaching this to another liquor bill. It is not uncommon for that to take place in the House.

Mr. WILLIAMS. So you think this makes it better?

Mr. LAWLESS. I do not know that it makes it better. I support the Corrigan— Whether it is a bill or an amendment, I support it. The process allows him to attach it to my bill, and I support that attachment.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I am trying to figure out why you are supporting it.

Mr. LAWLESS. I like it.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask that the maker of the amendment stand for a brief period of interrogation.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. WILLIAMS. The gentleman spoke of the State of Ohio being different than the big cities, and I guess he was alluding to those of us who come from Philadelphia.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Members will take their seats. No, do not tone down; take your seats. Staff people not working on this bill, please leave the floor.

Mr. Williams is recognized.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

You mentioned in your comments earlier the State of Ohio, and those comments directed at the State were not consistent with those issues that we would have in this Commonwealth, and I would suggest to you, do you recall that the State of Ohio has cities such as Cleveland and Cincinnati and the statistics coming from that area are derived from those locations, so if you are speaking of something else, can you please articulate that specifically?

Mr. CORRIGAN. I think the reference, Mr. Speaker, to Ohio came from the gentleman from Bucks County, and if I can remember my comments, it had to do with, the problems in Ohio are not the same as the problems in my district but they may be the same as the problems in Philadelphia. If I did not convey that, that is what I wish to convey.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Well, the State of Ohio has cities such as Cincinnati and Cleveland.

Mr. CORRIGAN. The same kind of problem.

Mr. WILLIAMS. All right. Okay.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Sure.

Mr. WILLIAMS. So therefore, the analogy that he draws from the State of Ohio can be consistent with the State of Pennsylvania.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Not my district; that is all.

Mr. WILLIAMS. No, not your district, but the State of Pennsylvania.

Mr. CORRIGAN. The answer is yes. I am sure we have problems like that in many cities in Pennsylvania, and I am sure that the people who live there are not getting a fair shake when we are not closing those bars. We need to do the bulldozer trick.

Mr. WILLIAMS. The comment, I guess you are suggesting that this amendment is precipitated by the cost of liquor rising, and therefore, to achieve the percentages, it is more difficult. Okay. The cost of food is not rising also?

Mr. CORRIGAN. Certainly at a much slower rate. I think there is a percentage, a crazy percentage, where the alcohol has gone up 150 percent and food maybe 30. A hamburger is still basically what it cost 10 years ago.

Mr. WILLIAMS. A hamburger is still what it cost 10 years ago?

Mr. CORRIGAN. Pretty much. Well, do not hold me to the exact cost, but you can—

Mr. WILLIAMS. I do not think you want me to. I think that the cost of food, if I last checked my numbers accurately, has sort of exploded consistent with everything else in this country, and I would suggest to you that we need to go back and check those facts, if that is really what caused this to be created.

The other thing is that I would ask that the gentleman, when he makes comments directed at, you know, the bulldozer trick works in your community, we in Philadelphia County have an antinuisance task force, and much of the antinuisance legislation emanated from the problems from Philadelphia County and has been copied across the Commonwealth, including in your area, as a matter of fact. Your D.A. has consulted with our D.A. and used a lot of what we used. We have taken these people to court. You can come to my district and note that the Golden Nugget, along with many others, has been shut down in my district. The point is that while we are using, quote, unquote, “the bulldozer tactics,” we note that that is not enough.

I did note in your comments though that you said that originally, when you first introduced this, that the people from Philadelphia did not want to be excluded. I would like to know what members of the Philadelphia delegation to date that you have polled, that being the majority of Philadelphia members, that do not want to be excluded.

Mr. CORRIGAN. I obviously, Mr. Speaker, do not have that list with me, but I will tell you what happened.

The first year that I introduced the bill, which in this session is HB 552, the first time that I introduced the bill, it was statewide. The members on the Liquor Committee, and primarily those from Philadelphia – and I cannot name them all at this time; some are not here now – had the cities of the first class and cities of the second class excluded, and I cannot give you the names of those. The records could show you that.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Right. And that was not within this, I guess you could qualify it as, generation of legislators from Philadelphia County?

Mr. CORRIGAN. Let me correct that. The thing has three components to it, and I misstated the facts just a moment ago, and let me correct it.

The first time that I introduced it, I excluded the cities of the first and second class. They were put back in by members of the Liquor Committee. I introduced it again, and I took them out. They were put back in. We have been down this road a number of times. I really do not know what the feeling is. I wish that there was some sort of a consensus so that the people of Philadelphia could be represented or speak as one, and I know that is very difficult because different parts of the city have different problems.

What I think we are getting at – and we have had this discussion publicly and privately, and I enjoy the discussion, and I think it needs to happen – is that the problems that you have are different than the problems that other people have, and quite frankly, they are more severe than the problems that other people have. But to penalize a small businessman, a guy that has a small restaurant and employs 10 to 15 or 20 people, and make him conform to a law that is 10 or 15 years old and not make an adjustment to it is wrong, and it is wrong maybe for Philadelphia. So if it does not fit Philadelphia, then we ought to do something about it, but the other 66 counties need to be represented, and I

think that is what I am doing here. It certainly is not anything, you know, having to do with me not being interested in the problems of Philadelphia, because I certainly am.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Well, I am just trying to get to some specifics.

You just suggested — and I want to make sure everybody else who is here is clear about this — you just suggested that Philadelphia should speak as one voice. I just want to know—

Mr. CORRIGAN. I did not say that.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Okay.

Mr. CORRIGAN. I said it would be nice, but I know that it is very difficult to do. Now, do not change what I just said.

Mr. WILLIAMS. No problem; you did not say it.

What, if any, members are offering any resistance to excluding? I mean, you are saying people from Philadelphia are giving you different signals, I guess. So who from Philadelphia County is suggesting to you that we need to have this done to correct something in Philadelphia?

Mr. CORRIGAN. We voted on it so many times. You would have to get out the roll calls. I certainly cannot quote, you know, roll calls. We probably voted on this — boy, I do not know — in bill form and amendment form, maybe six or seven times.

Mr. WILLIAMS. And I am just trying to be clear. So you are saying that if you got from Philadelphia County that they wanted to be excluded, would you be supportive of that?

Mr. CORRIGAN. That was my original intention, yes.

Mr. WILLIAMS. So if the mayor of the city of Philadelphia said that they wanted to exclude themselves on this provision, you would be supportive of that?

Mr. CORRIGAN. Well, if the mayor of the city of Philadelphia and the Philadelphia delegation has a position, I think we ought to talk. I think that we need to talk about several issues in the liquor area that affect Philadelphia adversely but are good for other parts of the State. You know, our last Liquor Committee meeting was a little bit of a problem because I took a different position than the people in Philadelphia, only because I am representing the people in Bucks County and not the people in Philadelphia.

So the answer to your question is, you know, this is not the time to have a summit on these kinds of issues. If there is going to be a policy, let us develop a policy that is compatible with the people in Philadelphia and the tavern owners and also is beneficial to the people in the surrounding counties.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Okay. I am not suggesting we have a summit, but—

Mr. CORRIGAN. I think we need one.

Mr. WILLIAMS. That is fine, too, but what I am trying to do is— We did not bring up the change. This amendment brings up the change. That is why we are talking about it.

And I am asking you directly, if the mayor of the city of Philadelphia says to exclude us, I heard you say, we need to talk. How do we talk? I mean, do we amend this today, or how do you want us to do that? Because we are talking. And if you need me, I can go back and go back to the hall of the House and get the mayor on the phone, and he will tell you that what I am suggesting to you is not an exaggeration of the truth.

Mr. CORRIGAN. With all respect, Mr. Speaker, this bill is — I am going to be conservative — I am going to say three sessions, but I think—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Are we off the point?

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

As I am listening, I am listening to a debate rather than an interrogation and the response to an interrogation. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, was asked to respond to interrogation, and I think that is what it should be, and I would appreciate it if it would go along those lines rather than a lengthy debate on philosophies.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Well, Mr. Speaker, what I am trying to do is—

The SPEAKER. And I am not going to debate.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I am certainly not going to try to debate you.

What I was trying to do is get an answer to my question, and that is, if the mayor of the city of Philadelphia — and I do not think this is a debate; I think this is an easy question to answer — if the mayor of the city of Philadelphia says that he wants to be excluded, would this gentleman agree to doing that? That is all I am trying to find out.

Mr. CORRIGAN. If this gentleman agrees, then the issue would be put before 203 members. I mean, I am not at a point where I wave a wand and you are going to vote for it because the mayor and I talked.

Let me just refresh your memory real quickly. This issue came up earlier this year. You and I left here, we went outside, and we had a lengthy conversation. Nothing happened since that conversation until right now. That is what is wrong with this issue. We had 3 or 4 months to talk and—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Mr. Corrigan, now you are engaging in, at my most charitable, a debate. Question, answer, question, answer, please. It is all very interesting, but it is Wednesday afternoon.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Where is the ball? I do not know.

The SPEAKER. Well, the ball is that you got an answer that you did not like. Ask another question; you will get another answer like that, I suspect.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Well, then let us drop the question and answer, and I will proceed with my closing comments, which I think that the Speaker and everybody is inclined to do at this point in time.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck, seeking recognition at this point; seeking to interrupt the gentleman, Mr. Williams?

The gentleman, Mr. Roebuck, for what purpose do you rise?

Mr. ROEBUCK. Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his point of parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Mr. Speaker, the bill was amended in committee to specifically exclude cities of the first class. I would like to know whether or not this amendment would be covered by that exclusion.

The SPEAKER. It is the opinion of the Chair that it would be inappropriate for us to give a legal opinion, which is what you seek. I am recommending to the gentleman that you interrogate the prime sponsor of the bill, who came to the rostrum, incidentally, as you saw, and indicated that he had talked to a legal department, and they indicated to him that Mr. Corrigan's bill would affect generally all municipalities, including first-class cities, so that it would affect the first-class city and take it down to 30 percent, because it was a different section of the code. But that is not a

ruling from the Chair but, rather, the answer that Mr. Lawless would give you under interrogation.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Williams is recognized.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Okay. I am assuming that exchange is going to occur, so I am going to proceed with my closing comments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the merits of the issue, in and of it by itself, I want, hopefully, everyone in this hall to understand that we consistently try to build walls between our communities. The fact is that this gentleman would suggest that the problems of Philadelphia are inconsistent with the problems of his community, but yet and still, he has bulldozers driving around his district knocking down nuisance bars. Well, I would suggest to you then, you have got a problem like I do. It is just a matter of how many you are going to have in your community or how many you are going to put up with in your given community. I do not want to put up with any in my district.

So therefore, while I recognize that the tavern industry has hit tough times and I want to be supportive of them — and there are many from that community that are supporters of me — the fact is that this bill does not resolve those problems. There is a much more serious problem with regard to pricing and competition in that discussion. Have that serious dialogue. Let us not do this as a sham.

And furthermore, I am really insulted that while the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck, made every effort to do exactly what Mr. Corrigan had asked, and that is work with him — and he did that; he did that in a fair and aboveboard process, in the committee process, to amend what we in Philadelphia County see as a problem — I do not understand why he could not respect that, leave that language standing pristine, as it should have been, and then move to the next point. I am not quite clear on what we are doing here.

It seems that the will of Philadelphia has spoken. We did that in the committee process, and he certainly could have respected that in this amendment and therefore allow it to stand. He chose not to do that. He chose to work against our efforts to deal with what we spoke about months ago, turn around, slap us in the face, and put language in that amendment which would remove our best efforts to deal with our problems and not deal with theirs.

I do not feel that it is fair. I think that 203 people come here to represent 203 districts. I do not see us from Philadelphia going out to the counties and trying to impose something upon them, and I do not particularly care for that occurring in Philadelphia. We still have the problems that we had, and by the way, many of you all do, too, whether you want to accept it or not.

Therefore, I cannot be supportive of this amendment in particular today, and I think that the gentleman who proposed it should go back with some level of integrity and resolve it in the way that we had already resolved it and deal with it from his standpoint.

And therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would ask all of us, recognizing that we all have some level of concern about this, to defeat this particular amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Serafini.

Mr. SERAFINI. Mr. Speaker, we have had considerable amounts of discussion on this legislation in our committee, and the 30 percent has been a compromise. I sympathize with the problems of Philadelphia, and although I do believe that Philadelphia would

be included in this legislation if it were to pass, the decision to drop that percentage to 30 percent is purely economic. The increase in the price of liquor has outpaced that of the cost of food, and as a result, many of those institutions that were working at the 40-percent level are probably going to be, upon audit, excluded from their Sunday sales, even though they run exceptional establishments and quality establishments that do not inherently contribute to the problems that have been discussed. This legislation will allow many of those people to continue in business and not lose that day's sales, which, as our economy grows and prospers, has put them at a loss.

I appreciate a positive vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Civera.

Mr. CIVERA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, let me just say this: I rise today to support the Corrigan amendment. We have addressed this issue on many occasions, both in the House Liquor Committee and on the floor several times. What this amendment attempts to do is reduce the total food sales from 40 percent to 30 percent and give that tavern owner an extra day in business to combat his overall expenses.

The gentleman from Philadelphia, I sympathize with him, and I sincerely say this, that their problem in that city is severe. There is no question about it. It has been discussed in the House Liquor Committee. It has been discussed in private committees. It has been discussed with the State Police. It has been discussed with the Liquor Control Board. It has even been discussed to the point that we wanted to give some enforcement powers to the district attorney in that city, and the district attorney in that city did not want to receive that. It just seems to me that every time that there is a liquor issue that falls on this House floor, the city comes up and opposes certain parts of it because they feel in their heart that they are not being heard by what is going on with their problems of nuisance bars.

Let me explain something to you about this amendment. Whatever happens here today on the vote of this amendment, the people that are causing you the problems, which I know I have talked to you personally about some of these Stop-N-Gos in the city of Philadelphia, already meet the requirement of the 40-percent sales. Because they have delicatessens, they serve X amount of dollars as far as food is concerned, and they are going to meet the requirement whether this amendment goes in or whether this amendment stays out.

The issue here that we all have to understand is that we are trying to make it in some of the other areas a little bit more accessible for the small businessperson. I am not saying that you people from the city are wrong. I am not saying that you should not be frustrated in the issues that face you on a daily basis. But to take it out on this amendment is not germane to the entire situation that you face in the city of Philadelphia.

We have recommended over and over that we sit down with the city officials, with the State Police, with the Liquor Control Board, and come up with some direct answers to give you people some relief. But to take it out on a reduction from a 40-percent markup down to a 30-percent markup, and if you do that today, which I hope you do, it is still not going to resolve the problem. If this amendment fails, those nuisance places still stay in existence and still could say and prove to the Liquor Board that they sell 40 percent of their total sales and they get their license or that Sunday license issued. It does not resolve anything.

So I would say to you, support the Corrigan amendment, and if you people want to introduce a resolution to address your problem, I would be the first one to support it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that very shortly after my speech the maker of the amendment will be seeking recognition to withdraw this amendment.

But I would just like to say that we currently in statute have laws in Philadelphia the same way we have throughout the rest of the State. If we can enforce those laws in Montgomery County, Bucks County, Allegheny County, then sure as heck, the Philadelphia people should start enforcing their laws, and if they have problems, address those problems. But we in the State House should not continue to have to go along and exempt Philadelphia from everything. If they have problems, let us take it—

This was a good amendment. It should have been passed into law. I think we are going to be addressing the issue with a bill coming back from the Senate, and I will be at that point again supporting Representative Corrigan with that legislation.

And I would like at this time to yield to Representative Corrigan.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. I would like to go last, if I could?

The SPEAKER. Are you withdrawing your amendment?

Mr. CORRIGAN. I intend to, but I have a comment, a very brief comment. I will make it now. Thank you for recognizing—

The SPEAKER. Maybe if you withdraw your comment, we can cut a bunch of other speeches out.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Okay.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. CORRIGAN. I am interested in withdrawing the amendment. I am aware of the bill that is in the Senate. There is a House bill that is being amended, and my language will be in this bill. That will give us from now until the time that that bill gets here to try to resolve the enforcement problem in Philadelphia.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

It is my understanding the amendment is withdrawn.

Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank the gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, for withdrawing the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Robuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

FILMING PERMISSION

The SPEAKER. The Chair advises the members that permission has been granted to WTAE-TV, Pittsburgh, to videotape for a period of 15 minutes on the floor.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House a group of homeschoolers from southern York County, seated in the gallery, here as the guests of Representatives Waugh and Saylor. Would these students please rise.

Here as the guests of Representative King, guest pages Matthew Miller and Chris Rosselot. Would the guest pages please rise.

And as the guests of Representative Jeff Coy, seated in the balcony, are members of the Institute for Retired Persons from Chambersburg. Would these folks please rise.

Here as the guests of Representative Victor Lescovitz are Mr. Christopher Zimmerman from Washington, D.C., the chief economist and research program director of the National Conference of State Legislatures, and Mr. Rich Jones, the director of legislative programs for NCSL, from Denver, Colorado. Will these gentlemen please rise. They are here to the left of the rostrum.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Returning to page 4, HB 1865 is over.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1314, PN 1834**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to sell and convey to the Owen J. Roberts School District certain land situate in East Vincent Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

The SPEAKER. Mr. Williams, are you seeking recognition on this bill?

Mr. WILLIAMS. No, Mr. Speaker. I am asking for a point of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman state his point.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I would like to offer an apology to the gentleman, Mr. Corrigan.

Apparently he mistook my comments and the flavor of my comments to be personal in nature when I talked about integrity.

They were not intended to be personal at all. They were directed at the legislative process, and I would like to apologize to him for that.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Corrigan.

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and thank you, Tony. Your apology is accepted, and I hope that we can work this thing out in the next couple of weeks. Thank you.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1314 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—197

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Scrimenti
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Surla
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	Tulli
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Vance
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Veon
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Vitali
Conti	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Cornell	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Corpora	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Corrigan	Keller	Readshaw	Williams
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Coy	King	Reinard	Wozniak
Curry	Kirkland	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Daley	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Robinson	Yewcic
Dempsey	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dent	Lawless	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Lederer	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Leh	Rublely	
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker
Druce	Lloyd	Santoni	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING-1

Pistella

EXCUSED-5

Farmer LaGrotta Trello Van Horne
Gruitza

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 222, PN 228, entitled:

An Act designating a bridge in Union Township, Lawrence County, as the Thomas J. Fee Bridge.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph Durham Lucyk Santoni
Allen Egolf Lynch Sather
Argall Evans Maitland Saylor
Armstrong Fairchild Major Schroder
Baker Fajt Manderino Schuler
Bard Fargo Markosek Scrimenti
Barley Feese Marsico Semmel
Battisto Fichter Masland Serafini
Bebko-Jones Fleagle Mayernik Shaner
Belardi Flick McCall Sheehan
Belfanti Gamble McGeehan Smith, B.
Birmelin Gannon McGill Smith, S. H.
Bishop Geist Melio Snyder, D. W.
Blaum George Merry Staback
Boscola Gigliotti Michlovic Stairs
Boyes Gladeck Micozzie Steelman
Brown Godshall Mihalich Steil
Browne Gordner Miller Stern
Bunt Gruppo Mundy Stetler
Butkovitz Habay Myers Stish
Buxton Haluska Nailor Strittmatter
Caltagirone Hanna Nickol Sturla
Cappabianca Harhart Nyce Surra
Carn Hasay O'Brien Tangretti
Carone Haste Olasz Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley Hennessey Oliver Taylor, J.
Chadwick Hernan Perzel Thomas
Civera Hershey Pesci Tigue
Clark Hess Petrarca Travaglio
Clymer Horsey Petrone Trich

Cohen, L. I. Hutchinson Pettit True
Cohen, M. Itkin Phillips Tulli
Colafiglia Jadowiec Pistella Vance
Colaizzo James Pitts Veon
Conti Jarolin Platts Vitali
Cornell Josephs Preston Walko
Corpora Kaiser Ramos Washington
Corrigan Keller Raymond Waugh
Cowell Kenney Readshaw Williams
Coy King Reber Wogan
Curry Kirkland Reinard Wozniak
Daley Krebs Rieger Wright, D. R.
DeLuca Kukovich Roberts Wright, M. N.
Dempsey Laughlin Robinson Yewcic
Dent Lawless Roebuck Youngblood
Dermoddy Lederer Rohrer Zimmerman
DeWeese Leh Rooney Zug
DiGirolamo Lescovitz Rubley
Donatucci Levdansky Rudy Ryan,
Druce Lloyd Sainato Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer LaGrotta Trello Van Horne
Gruitza

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HB 294 is over.

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HR 328 is over.

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. BAKER called up HR 349, PN 3442, entitled:

A Resolution commending the Elkland Area High School Girls Basketball Team on winning the PIAA Class A State Basketball Championship.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colaella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenny	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

RESOLUTION PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. HR 350 is over.

Mr. PETTIT called up HR 351, PN 3444, entitled:

A Resolution designating the week of May 13 through 19, 1996, as "Penn State Alumni Association National Service Week."

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colaella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenny	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer LaGrotta Trello Van Horne
Gruitza

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mrs. TAYLOR called up **HR 352, PN 3445**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of May 1996 as "Physical Fitness and Sports Month."

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayermik	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Merry	Stairs
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steelman
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steil
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Stern
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stetler
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stish
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Strittmatter
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Sturla
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Surra
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Tangretti
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Thomas
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Tigue
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Travaglio
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	
Colafranca	Jadlowiec	Pistella	
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	
Cowell	Kenny	Readshaw	
Coy	King	Reber	
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	

DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Yewcic
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zug
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	Ryan,
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Speaker
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Shaner

EXCUSED-5

Farmer LaGrotta Trello Van Horne
Gruitza

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. READSHAW called up **HR 353, PN 3446**, entitled:

A Resolution proclaiming the week of June 2 through 8, 1996, as "Pennsylvania Small Business Week."

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayermik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True

Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colafrèlla	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

RESOLUTIONS

RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. The balance of the resolutions on page 6 are over.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. There is an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

BILL ON CONCURRENCE
REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

SB 652, PN 1939

By Rep. PERZEL

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "Second Class County Code," providing for the collection of taxes on real property from rent payable by tenants; further providing for the collection of tax and municipal claims by suit and for the interest rate on contributions when a person is separated from service; providing for the purchase of credit for service immediately following original employment; further providing for eligibility for retirement allowances and for requirements for credit for previous service; providing for clerk of courts fees and for deputy fire marshals; and making repeals.

RULES.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Shaner.

Mr. SHANER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to correct the record.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. SHANER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HR 352 my button malfunctioned, and I wish to be voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. SHANER. Thank you.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be removed from the table:

HB 655;
HB 2292;
HB 2374;
HB 2442; and
SB 752.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILLS RECOMMENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 655;
HB 2292;
HB 2374;
HB 2442; and
SB 752.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Donatucci.

Mr. DONATUCCI. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. DONATUCCI. On HR 297, amendment 2048, I would like to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman, Mr. Pistella.

Mr. PISTELLA. Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate to correct the record at this point or would you like to wait?

The SPEAKER. No. This would be appropriate.

Mr. PISTELLA. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, I was out of my seat and not recorded as having voted on SB 1314 on final passage. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

**BILL ON CONCURRENCE
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS
TO HOUSE AMENDMENTS**

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to House amendments to **SB 652, PN 1939**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "Second Class County Code," providing for the collection of taxes on real property from rent payable by tenants; further providing for the collection of tax and municipal claims by suit and for the interest rate on contributions when a person is separated from service; providing for the purchase of credit for service immediately following original employment; further providing for eligibility for retirement allowances and for requirements for credit for previous service; providing for clerk of courts fees and for deputy fire marshals; and making repeals.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Mr. Speaker, I am going to support SB 652, but I want to be on record stating the fact that there was an amendment that I had put into SB 652 that would have corrected an inequity in the Second Class County Code, which is Allegheny County. This certainly would have corrected a situation that 66 other counties in this Commonwealth are permitted to do in reopening their budget. I personally think that this was a mistake. I think this could lead to a court action in the future for our county in Allegheny, and I would hope that this situation would be rectified.

It is my understanding that Representative Mayernik has a bill coming up that is going to be running, and I certainly would oppose this had I not known that there is a bill going to be coming up next week.

So I ask for concurrence on SB 652. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Mayernik.

Mr. MAYERNIK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will try not to be redundant.

If the House remembers and recalls back on December 12, there was some turmoil regarding this bill that the members of the Allegheny County delegation around dinnertime wanted to place an amendment in that would permit the Allegheny County commissioners to reopen their budget. Every other county in this Commonwealth, third through eighth, has a provision in statute that would permit the commissioners to reopen the budget. At that time Representative DeLuca, as well as our colleagues on the Republican side of the aisle, had joined us to insert this amendment.

The Senate had sat on the bill and now they have gutted the language inserted by the House regarding this and other issues. We had hoped that we would put in statute that they could reopen the budget. It is our position, many of us from Allegheny County – I have not polled everybody, but many of us from Allegheny County – that we would like to see this bill move forward today and ask for a concurrence with the understanding that next week HB 2374, sponsored by myself and every other member of the Allegheny County delegation, both R and D, this legislation will move that will give Allegheny County clear statutory authority to reopen their budget. It will then eliminate any prospective court challenges, not only for this budget but future budgets.

We would ask for a concurrence so that the clerk of courts in this bill can computerize, save money for the taxpayers, and move forward on this.

Thank you very much for your time, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments to House amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—198

Adolph	Durham	Lucyk	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Lynch	Sather
Argall	Evans	Maitland	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McCall	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Melio	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Merry	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boyes	Gladeck	Micozzie	Steelman
Brown	Godshall	Mihalich	Steil
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Stern
Bunt	Gruppo	Mundy	Stetler
Butkovitz	Habay	Myers	Stish
Buxton	Haluska	Nailor	Strittmatter
Caltagirone	Hanna	Nickol	Sturla
Cappabianca	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Carn	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Carone	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cawley	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Civera	Hershey	Pesci	Tigue
Clark	Hess	Petrarca	Travaglio
Clymer	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Colaella	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Pitts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Cornell	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cowell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams
Coy	King	Reber	Wogan
Curry	Kirkland	Reinard	Wozniak
Daley	Krebs	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Denipsey	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic

Dent	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeWeese	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Donatucci	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Druce	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments to House amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

CITATION SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. STABACK submitted the following citation for the Legislative Journal:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

CITATION

WHEREAS, The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is always proud to recognize its citizens whose commitment to the sanctity of marriage and family values contributes to the strength and unity of this great Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, Mr. and Mrs. Carl Tomaine are observing their golden wedding anniversary. Mrs. Tomaine, nee Helen Kierzkowski, and Mr. Tomaine were married May 3, 1946 by the Reverend T. Riley in St. Mary's of the Assumption Church in Binghamton, New York; and

WHEREAS, Their happy union has enhanced the lives of others and has been blessed by three children.

NOW THEREFORE, The House of Representatives of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania extends sincere congratulations to Mr. and Mrs. Carl Tomaine on the joyous celebration of their fiftieth wedding anniversary; offers best wishes for their continued happiness;

AND DIRECTS That a copy of this citation, sponsored by the Honorable Edward G. Staback on April 26, 1996, be transmitted to Mr. and Mrs. Carl Tomaine, 341 Washington Avenue, Jermyn, Pennsylvania 18433.

Edward G. Staback
Sponsor

Matthew Ryan
Speaker of the House

ATTEST:
Ted Mazia
Chief Clerk of the House

**HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 2578 By Representatives TULLI, DRUCE, LEH, COLAFELLA, BARD, LYNCH, RUBLEY, HASTE and SAINATO

An Act amending the act of October 4, 1978 (P.L.883, No.170), referred to as the Public Official and Employee Ethics Law, further providing for financial statements.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, May 1, 1996.

No. 2579 By Representatives NICKOL, BROWNE and DENT

An Act amending the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No.205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act, further providing for municipal obligations.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, May 1, 1996.

No. 2580 By Representatives BOSCOLA, CALTAGIRONE, GEIST, STURLA, COY, HALUSKA, CARN, BROWNE, HERSHEY, ROBERTS, DeLUCA, MELIO, HORSEY, WAUGH, SURRA, YOUNGBLOOD, STEELMAN, LUCYK, ROONEY, JOSEPHS, McGEEHAN, MERRY and RAMOS

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1391, No.215), known as the Motivational Boot Camp Act, further providing for definitions.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, May 1, 1996.

No. 2581 By Representatives DRUCE, BATTISTO, DIGIROLAMO, GEIST, BELARDI, HALUSKA, HASTE, McCALL, DONATUCCI, LAUGHLIN, RUBLEY, MELIO, LYNCH, EGOLF, MILLER, YOUNGBLOOD, STEIL, E. Z. TAYLOR, JOSEPHS, PETTIT, BARD, L. I. COHEN, BOSCOLA, MERRY and RAMOS

An Act amending the act of April 27, 1927 (P.L.465, No.299), referred to as the Fire and Panic Act, further providing for school tobacco control; and imposing a penalty.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, May 1, 1996.

No. 2582 By Representatives DRUCE, BARD, HASTE, COLAIZZO, RUBLEY, LYNCH, DIGIROLAMO, COY, STISH, TRELLO, McCALL, LEH, BAKER, BELFANTI, BELARDI, SHANER, GEIST, WALKO, MERRY, YOUNGBLOOD, MICOZZIE, BOSCOLA, KENNEY, TRUE, HERSHEY, FARGO, SCHRODER, EGOLF, SAYLOR, E. Z. TAYLOR, HENNESSEY, WAUGH, SERAFINI, CLARK and ADOLPH

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for civil immunity for anti-drug and town watch volunteers.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, May 1, 1996.

No. 2583 By Representatives PETRONE, WALKO, ROBINSON and ITKIN

An Act authorizing the release of Project 70 and Project 500 restrictions on certain lands owned by the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, in return for the imposition of Project 70 and Project 500 restrictions on certain lands to be conveyed to the City of Pittsburgh.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, May 1, 1996.

No. 2584 By Representatives BARD, BAKER, FARGO, GODSHALL, BELARDI, LYNCH, TRUE, ARGALL, STISH, TRELLO, MICOZZIE, SHEEHAN, L. I. COHEN, E. Z. TAYLOR, MILLER, RAMOS and FLICK

An Act implementing the provisions of section 2(b)(ii) of Article VIII of the Constitution of Pennsylvania by authorizing school districts other than school districts of the first class to provide for special tax provisions relating to real property taxes for certain persons who meet the established standards and qualifications for age and poverty.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, May 1, 1996.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 354 By Representatives O'BRIEN, COWELL, CAPPABIANCA, DeLUCA, KENNEY, PISTELLA, MUNDY, NICKOL, COLAFELLA, WALKO, DENT, SCRIMENTI, KUKOVICH, SCHRODER, BOSCOLA, SANTONI, LAUGHLIN, DRUCE, ITKIN, OLASZ, NAILOR, SATHER, BEBKO-JONES, RUBLEY, TANGRETTI, HALUSKA, TIGUE, JOSEPHS, VITALI, MANDERINO, McCALL, CORRIGAN, ROONEY and ROBERTS

A Resolution requesting the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to conduct a comprehensive review of the implementation and management of early intervention programs and services by the Department of Public Welfare and the Department of Education under the provisions of the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1372, No.212), known as the Early Intervention Services System Act, and Part H of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (Public Law 91-230, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 et seq.) and to submit a report, including recommendations, to the House of Representatives.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 1, 1996.

No. 355 By Representatives CORNELL, SAYLOR, BELARDI, READSHAW, TRELLO, SATHER, HERSHEY, GODSHALL, HASTE, GIGLIOTTI, TIGUE, FICHTER, RUBLEY, PETTIT, ADOLPH, LAUGHLIN, DRUCE, MELIO, HESS, WAUGH, D. W. SNYDER, SHANER, WOGAN, FARGO, CLYMER, BUNT, LYNCH, EGOLF, MILLER, COLAFELLA, J. TAYLOR, ROHRER, COY, STEELMAN, CAPPABIANCA, YOUNGBLOOD, RAYMOND, ROONEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, L. I. COHEN, RAMOS, KENNEY, BARD and HENNESSEY

A Concurrent Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act to facilitate the development and approval of new drugs and biologics.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, May 1, 1996.

No. 357 By Representatives BOSCOLA, CALTAGIRONE, GEIST, STURLA, COY, HALUSKA, CARN, BROWNE, HERSHEY, ROBERTS, DeLUCA, MELIO, HORSEY, WAUGH, SURRA, YOUNGBLOOD, STEELMAN, LUCYK, ROONEY, JOSEPHS, McGEEHAN, MERRY and RAMOS

A Resolution directing the Department of General Services to conduct a study to provide estimates of the costs for the conversion of State-owned facilities at Fort Indiantown Gap and Tobyhanna for use as motivational boot camps.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 1, 1996.

No. 358 By Representatives PETRONE, WOGAN, PISTELLA, MERRY, JAMES, VAN HORNE, WOZNIAK, STURLA, BEBKO-JONES, MUNDY, MICHLOVIC, LESCOVITZ, GORDNER, CAPPABIANCA, COY, LaGROTTA, ROBERTS, LEDERER, RUDY, McCALL, DONATUCCI, BELARDI, HALUSKA, CORRIGAN, EGOLF, DiGIROLAMO, HENNESSEY, READSHAW, DeWEESE, BROWNE, SATHER, TRAVAGLIO, WALKO, RAYMOND, ARMSTRONG, FICHTER, NYCE, LYNCH, HERMAN, COLAFELLA, DEMPSEY, SANTONI, FAIRCHILD, CORPORA, HERSHEY, BAKER, BROWN, D. W. SNYDER, PETTIT, SAYLOR, CLYMER, ZUG, MILLER, L. I. COHEN, FLICK, GODSHALL, E. Z. TAYLOR, BOSCOLA, ARGALL, TRUE, M. N. WRIGHT, LAUGHLIN, WAUGH, GEORGE, BATTISTO, JAROLIN, MELIO, TIGUE, PHILLIPS, HARHART, FARGO, SEMMEL, RUBLEY, SHANER, FAJT, ITKIN, MICOZZIE, HESS, KIRKLAND and STABACK

A Resolution establishing May 8, 1996, as "Local Government Day" in this Commonwealth.

Referred to Committee on RULES, May 1, 1996.

SENATE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bill for concurrence:

SB 1344, PN 1912

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, May 1, 1996.

The SPEAKER. The voting portion of the regular session is completed. We still have to go into special session, and there is voting to take place there.

COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. However, prior to going into special session, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Hasay.

The gentleman, Mr. Hasay. It is my understanding you wanted to be recognized for a committee meeting notice?

Mr. HASAY. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Immediately following recess, there will be a meeting of the House Commerce and Economic Development Committee immediately after recess in room 40. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Gannon. Do you wish to make an announcement with respect to a committee meeting?

Mr. GANNON. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to call an immediate meeting of the Judiciary Committee.

The SPEAKER. How long will that meeting take?

Mr. GANNON. About 2 minutes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. All right.

The Judiciary Committee will meet immediately in the rear of the hall of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY MR. GRUPPO

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Gruppo.

Mr. GRUPPO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to announce to the members that myself and Representative Blaum are cosponsoring this resolution to make May "Older Pennsylvanians Month," and we have almost 100 cosponsors on here. I am going to leave it up at the desk if anybody else wants to cosponsor it. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

HB 2552, PN 3469 (Amended) By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for arson and related offenses.

JUDICIARY.

BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2552 be removed from the table.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader. Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that HB 2552 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to.

RULES SUSPENDED

The SPEAKER. The Chair returns to page 5 of today's calendar, HR 328.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeLuca, for the purpose of asking that the rules of the House be suspended so that this resolution may be immediately considered.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HR 328 is a resolution that designates May 2 as "Prayer Day."

As you know, we passed Representative Lynch's bill to put it into statute, but this is a resolution that we have been passing year after year, and I would appreciate the members of the House suspending the rules so that we can consider this resolution today, because tomorrow will be May 2.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The question before the House is suspension of the rules to permit the immediate consideration of HR 328 on page 5.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—188

Adolph	Durham	Lynch	Santoni
Allen	Egolf	Major	Sather
Argall	Evans	Manderino	Saylor
Armstrong	Fairchild	Markosek	Schroder
Baker	Fajt	Marsico	Schuler
Bard	Fargo	Masland	Scrimenti
Barley	Feese	Mayernik	Semmel
Battisto	Fichter	McCall	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGeehan	Shaner
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Sheehan
Belfanti	Gamble	Melio	Smith, B.
Birmelin	Gannon	Merry	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	Geist	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	George	Micozzie	Staback
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stairs
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stern
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gruppo	Myers	Stish
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Harhart	Nyce	Surra
Caltagirone	Hasay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Haste	Olasz	Taylor, F. Z.
Carn	Hennessey	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cawley	Herman	Perzel	Thomas
Chadwick	Hershey	Pesci	Travaglio
Civera	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Petrone	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pettit	Tulli

Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Colafiglia	James	Pitts	Vitali
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Conti	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Corpora	Keller	Readshaw	Williams
Corrigan	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Cowell	King	Reinard	Wozniak
Coy	Kirkland	Rieger	Wright, D. R.
Curry	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Dempsey	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dent	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker
Druce	Lucyk		

NAYS-8

Carone	Krebs	Platts	Steil
Hanna	Maitland	Steelman	Tigue

NOT VOTING-2

Daley	Gladeck
-------	---------

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

A majority of the members elected to the House having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

RESOLUTION

Mr. DeLUCA called up **HR 328, PN 3351**, entitled:

A resolution declaring May 2, 1996, as "National Day of Prayer" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-189

Adolph	Durham	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Egolf	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Evans	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Fairchild	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Fajt	Marsico	Serimenti
Bard	Fargo	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Feese	Mayernik	Serafini
Battisto	Fichter	McCall	Shaner

Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGeehan	Sheehan
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Gamble	Melio	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gannon	Merry	Snyder, D. W.
Blaum	Geist	Michlovic	Staback
Boscola	George	Micozzie	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Steelman
Brown	Gladeck	Mundy	Steil
Browne	Godshall	Myers	Stern
Bunt	Gordner	Nailor	Stetler
Buxton	Gruppo	Nickol	Stish
Caltagirone	Habay	Nyce	Strittmatter
Cappabianca	Haluska	O'Brien	Sturla
Carn	Hanna	Olasz	Surra
Carone	Harhart	Oliver	Tangretti
Cawley	Hasay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Haste	Pesci	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hennessey	Petrarca	Tigue
Clark	Herman	Petrone	Travaglio
Clymer	Hershey	Pettit	Trich
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Phillips	True
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Tulli
Colafiglia	Jadlowiec	Pitts	Vance
Colaizzo	James	Platts	Veon
Conti	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Cornell	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Corpora	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Corrigan	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Cowell	King	Reber	Williams
Coy	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Curry	Krebs	Rieger	Wozniak
Daley	Kukovich	Roberts	Wright, D. R.
DeLuca	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dent	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dermody	Leh	Rooney	Zug
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	Levdansky	Rudy	Ryan,
Donatucci	Lloyd	Sainato	Speaker
Druce	Lucyk	Santoni	

NAYS-9

Bishop	Itkin	Manderino	Thomas
Butkovitz	Josephs	Mihalich	Youngblood
Horsey			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Farmer	LaGrotta	Trello	Van Horne
Gruitza			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader, Mr. Perzel, have any further business in regular session? Does the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, have any further business in regular session?

Do any of the chairmen or others have announcements to make in regular session? Corrections of the record in regular session?

Hearing none, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Habay.

Mr. HABAY. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, May 6, 1996, at 1:05 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 2:12 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.