

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 2, 1997

SESSION OF 1997

181ST OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 19

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 10 a.m., e.s.t.

THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE (J. SCOT CHADWICK) PRESIDING

PRAYER

REV. THOMAS W. FRIES, Chaplain of the House of Representatives and pastor of Hempfield United Methodist Church, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, offered the following prayer:

Let us join together in prayer:

Our gracious God, we thank You for this day. We thank You for the privilege of being able to rise from our beds and leave our homes and be here, for we are mindful of those who are unable to enjoy that privilege.

We thank You that You are a compassionate God, that You are slow to anger, that You abound in love toward us, and we thank You for this beautiful April day. We pray that as we see new life springing up around us in nature, we will see life spring forth here in these chambers and throughout this building.

I ask today that You would bless the men and women who will be serving here as Your stewards of government in Pennsylvania. I thank You that from days of old, You have called forth and gifted men and women to provide such leadership.

And today I ask that You would bless their hands. Bless that which they touch and that which they sign. Bless their minds as they think through complex issues that have consequences that are far-reaching. Bless their hearts that they might always be filled with love and empathy, especially for the least among us. Bless their feet that they would be willing always to go to where the needs are and where the people are. Bless their eyes that they will always see the needs and see the truth, and bless their heart, once again, that they would be willing to do what is right.

Watch over their families that they might not be distracted by the concerns and anxieties that having family problems can always bring us. Set to ease their personal worries, and grant their efforts with great success this day. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, April 1, 1997, will be postponed until printed. The Chair hears no objection.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Pippy.

Mr. PIPPY. I would like to correct the record.

My vote was recorded in error yesterday for amendment A0529. I would like that vote to show in the affirmative for A0529. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Itkin, who requests a leave of absence for today's session for the gentleman from Northampton County, Mr. ROONEY. The Chair hears no objection, and the leave is granted.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Snyder, who requests a leave of absence for today's session for the gentleman from Schuylkill County, Mr. ARGALL. The Chair hears no objection, and the leave is granted.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is about to take the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT-201

Adolph	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs

Birnelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horshey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor	

CALENDAR

RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. LYNCH called up HR 94, PN 1185, entitled:

A Resolution declaring the week of April 7 through 11, 1997, as "Job Opportunities Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feeze	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birnelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horshey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—2

Argall Rooney

LEAVES ADDED—5

Itkin Melio Taylor, J. Williams, A. H.
James

LEAVES CANCELED—1

Argall

LEAVE OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, please remove Representative Argall from leave and place him on the master roll call.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Snyder, requests that the gentleman, Mr. Argall, be removed from leave of absence and placed on the master roll. The Chair hears no objection.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Reber, to make a committee announcement.

Mr. REBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Tomorrow at 9:30 in room 39E, the House Environmental Resources and Energy Committee will meet for discussion purposes only, as discussed at our meeting yesterday. It will be on HB 385 tomorrow at 9:30 in room 39E. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to welcome two visitors today from James Buchanan High School — Michelle Mellott and Carla Lehman. They are serving as guest pages, and they are from Representative Allan Egolf's district. Are they on the floor? Please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would advise the members that we are about to return to HB 847 and begin with the amendments contained in packet 11. Members who have amendments, particularly to packet 11, are urged to come to the floor of the House. If we get to your amendment and you are not here, your amendment will go to the bottom of the list. So members who have amendments forthcoming in packet 11 are urged to report to the floor of the House.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would like to welcome Malik Mack and Janell Mack. They are the grandchildren of Representative LeAnna Washington of Philadelphia County. They have been serving as guest pages for the last 2 days. Are they on the floor of the House? Please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 847, PN 949**, entitled:

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive, Legislative and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth, the public debt and for the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 1997, to June 30, 1998, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the

fiscal year ending June 30, 1997; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Energy Conservation and Assistance Fund, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Ben Franklin/IRC Partnership Fund, the Tuition Payment Fund, the Banking Department Fund and the Firearm Ownership Fund to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 1997, to June 30, 1998, for the proper operation of the several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive and Judicial Departments of the Commonwealth and for the establishment of restricted receipt accounts for the fiscal year July 1, 1997, to June 30, 1998, and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1997.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WASHINGTON offered the following amendment No. **A0662**:

Amend Sec. 219, page 82, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

The Department of Public Welfare shall make a new redetermination of eligibility for each current recipient who may be impacted by any proposed revisions to policy governing eligibility for the general assistance program based on chronic need for health-sustaining medications.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Washington.

Ms. WASHINGTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment, amendment 0662, will require the Department of Public Welfare to make a new redetermination of an individual's eligibility before terminating their general assistance.

The Department of Public Welfare is proposing a policy change so that adults who are otherwise employable but who rely on health-sustaining medications would no longer be classified as "chronically needy," and they would lose their general assistance eligibility. According to the Department of Public Welfare's budget materials, the expected number of persons who will lose general assistance eligibility by eliminating their health-sustaining medication criteria is expected to reach 12,348 by October 1997.

Mr. Speaker, I cannot hear.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady is correct. There is entirely too much noise. The House will come to order. Members will please take their seats. Conversations in the aisles will break up.

Members will please take their seats. This is going to be a very long day again if we do not give speakers some order.

Representative Washington.

Ms. WASHINGTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Department of Public Welfare is proposing a policy change so that adults who are otherwise employable but who rely on health— I cannot even hear myself; I know they cannot hear me.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House will come to order. Sergeants at Arms, would you be of some assistance in that regard. Members will take their seats. Conservations will either cease or be taken outside the hall of the House.

Representative Washington.

Ms. WASHINGTON. Thank you again.

The Department of Public Welfare is proposing a policy change so that adults who are otherwise employable but who rely on health-sustaining medications would no longer be classified as "chronically needy" and would lose their general assistance eligibility. According to the Department of Public Welfare's budget materials, the expected number of persons who will lose general assistance eligibility by eliminating the health-sustaining medication criteria is expected to reach 12,348 by October 1997, when this policy will be implemented.

Under this proposal, persons would lose their cash grants and medical assistance benefits. However, they would qualify for a new special medical benefits package that includes prescription drug coverage, doctor and outpatient visits, lab and x-ray services. Only those persons on medication whom the Department of Public Welfare finds unemployable will continue to be eligible for general assistance cash and full medical assistance benefits.

I ask my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I somewhat reluctantly rise to oppose the amendment, because I do respect what the gentlelady is attempting to do. However, we are faced with the same dilemma that we were faced with on several occasions yesterday where we are using a general appropriations bill and attempting to amend portions of the Public Welfare Code, and I genuinely feel that there is a constitutionality problem. However, rather than challenge the amendment on constitutionality, I would just urge that my colleagues vote "no" on the amendment but felt that it was necessary to point out to the members the inconsistencies and the discrepancies, the way the amendment is drawn.

So I urge a "no" vote.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-95

Battisto	Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Eachus	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Evans	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	George	McGeehan	Steelman
Bishop	Gigliotti	Melio	Stetler
Blaum	Gordner	Michlovic	Sturla
Boscola	Gruitza	Mihalich	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Mundy	Tangretti
Buxton	Hanna	Myers	Thomas
Cappabianca	Itkin	Olasz	Tigue
Carn	James	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Jarolin	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Josephs	Petrarca	Trich
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Petrone	Van Horne
Colafrilla	Keller	Pistella	Veon
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Preston	Vitali

Corpora	LaGrotta	Ramos	Walko
Cowell	Laughlin	Readshaw	Washington
Coy	Lederer	Rieger	Williams, A. H.
Curry	Lescovitz	Roberts	Williams, C.
Daley	Levdansky	Robinson	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lloyd	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Lucyk	Sainato	Youngblood
DeWeese	Manderino	Santoni	

NAYS-104

Adolph	Egolf	Major	Schuler
Allen	Fairchild	Marsico	Semmel
Argall	Fargo	Masland	Serafini
Armstrong	Feese	McGill	Seyfert
Baker	Fichter	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Flick	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Gannon	Miller	Stairs
Benninghoff	Geist	Nailor	Steil
Birmelin	Gladeck	Nickol	Stern
Boyes	Godshall	O'Brien	Stevenson
Brown	Gruppo	Orie	Stritmatter
Browne	Habay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Harhart	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Phillips	True
Chadwick	Hennessey	Pippy	Tulli
Civera	Herman	Platts	Vance
Clark	Hershey	Raymond	Waugh
Clymer	Hess	Reber	Wilt
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Reinard	Wogan
Conti	Jadlowiec	Rohrer	Wright, M. N.
Cornell	Kenney	Ross	Zimmerman
Dally	Krebs	Rubley	Zug
Dempsey	Lawless	Sather	
Dent	Leh	Saylor	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Schroder	Speaker
Druce	Maitland		

NOT VOTING-3

Caltagirone	Corrigan	Horsey
-------------	----------	--------

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SAINATO offered the following amendment No. A0664:

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, by inserting between lines 13 and 14 Grants authorized by the act of July 11, 1996 (P.L.677, No.116), known as the Infrastructure Development Act, may also be awarded to private developers or private companies constructing or converting industrial or manufacturing buildings into multitenant or single-use facilities in distressed communities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence County, Mr. Sainato.

Mr. SAINATO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise today for an amendment which would help communities throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with former industrial sites.

Under this amendment, which will cost no money, it will just allow developers to develop private sites and qualify for loans and grants for single-use facilities instead of multiuse facilities. You could use either. Under the present law, it has to be a multiuse facility. This would be a big boost to all communities throughout Lawrence County, especially distressed communities who need this help, especially if a company wants to come into a community and be a single-use facility, but it could still be a major employer. It would allow them to qualify under the program, which they cannot do now.

So I ask for your support, Mr. Speaker, for this very important amendment for communities across Pennsylvania.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Barley, seek recognition? The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Once again I rise to oppose the amendment, and for the identical reasons that I was forced to oppose the previous amendment. We are dealing with a general appropriations bill, and this amendment attempts to impact a statute outside the general appropriations bill.

I am not going to raise the issue of constitutionality. As I stated previously, I believe that that is a problem, however, and I am just urging the members to vote "no" on the merits of the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Sainato.

Mr. SAINATO. Mr. Speaker, I just urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment. I think it is a good amendment. It does not cost the Commonwealth any money, additional money. What it will do is help communities throughout the State that are economically depressed and encourage the use of single-dwelling industrial sites.

So I urge my colleagues to support the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentleman, Mr. Barley, stand for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, indicates that he is willing to stand for interrogation. The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, the law to which you referred that the Sainato amendment affects, was it indeed a case of a change of law of this legislature or was it a regulation by a department that really affected the distribution of these moneys?

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The issue at hand is in fact the Infrastructure Development Act, and I may point out that it is specifically stated in the gentleman's amendment. So the attempt to modify present statute, again, is stated in the amendment, and that specific statute is the Infrastructure Development Act.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Mr. Speaker, the effect of this act and the appropriation itself would determine who is eligible and who is not eligible for funding under the Department of Commerce. Am I correct?

Mr. BARLEY. What we are doing in the general appropriations bill that is before us, we are providing for the funding to implement this act for the upcoming budget year, for fiscal year 1997-98. We are not dealing in this act, in the general appropriations bill, we are not dealing with amending legislation from previous acts or previous statutes. That is expressly what we are prohibited from doing, and that is the issue that I am raising, not necessarily the merits of the amendment but attempting to raise the issue in this manner.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I comment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment simply, although it does change the impact of the Infrastructure Development Act, more importantly, it changes the way the department has been distributing moneys to various projects around the Commonwealth, and for that reason I think that it is quite appropriate in an appropriations bill. We have done that many times in a variety of fashions, changed the way various appropriations, distributions of moneys, are made.

The department has very narrowly defined the Infrastructure Act, and certain projects that are good projects, that would be eligible in any other fashion, are simply not appropriated or not approved because they are not a multitenant facility, and oftentimes when you are trying to do economic development, particularly on a large mill site, you get a mix. You get some facilities, some buildings, that are multiuse; others, you get a tenant or a client, a potential tenant, that wants to take the whole building. Making these kinds of distinctions is really very inappropriate for the job at hand, which is to try and develop the property, try to encourage the use of Pennsylvania Commonwealth money to expand the number of jobs out there.

For all those reasons, I think this is a very good amendment, because it gives you the option to go one way or another, and I support the Sainato amendment and I urge my colleagues to do likewise. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-100

Battisto	Dermody	Lucyk	Santoni
Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Manderino	Scrimenti
Belardi	Donatucci	Markosek	Shaner
Belfanti	Eachus	Mayernik	Staback
Bishop	Evans	McCall	Steelman
Blaum	George	McGeehan	Stetler
Boscola	Gigliotti	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Michlovic	Surra
Buxton	Gruitza	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Mundy	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Myers	Tigue
Carn	Horsey	Olasz	Travaglio
Casorio	Itkin	Oliver	Trello
Cawley	James	Pesci	Trich
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Colafrilla	Josephs	Petrone	Veon
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Corpora	Keller	Preston	Walko
Corrigan	Kirkland	Ramos	Washington

Cowell	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Coy	Laughlin	Rieger	Williams, C.
Curry	Lederer	Roberts	Wogan
Daley	Lescovitz	Robinson	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dent	Lloyd	Sainato	Youngblood

NAYS-102

Adolph	Egolf	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Fairchild	Major	Schuler
Argall	Fargo	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Feese	Masland	Serafini
Baker	Fichter	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Benninghoff	Geist	Miller	Stairs
Birmelin	Gladeck	Nailor	Steil
Boyes	Godshall	Nickol	Stem
Brown	Gruppo	O'Brien	Stevenson
Browne	Habay	Orie	Strittmatter
Bunt	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Phillips	True
Civera	Herman	Pippy	Tulli
Clark	Hershey	Platts	Vance
Clymer	Hess	Raymond	Waugh
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Reber	Wilt
Conti	Jadlowiec	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Cornell	Kenney	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Krebs	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lawless	Rubley	
DiGirolamo	Leh	Sather	Ryan,
Druce	Lynch	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CASORIO offered the following amendment No. A0666:

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 2, by inserting after "Authority."
For loans authorized under PIDA, equity demands may be reduced for individual projects as an initiative for start-up companies in distressed communities.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Casorio.

Mr. CASORIO. Mr. Speaker, my amendment deals with loans authorized under PIDA (Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority), and it describes that the equity demands may be

reduced — may be reduced — for individual projects as an incentive for startup companies in a distressed area.

Again, we are using the term "distressed" as enterprise zones, as targeted areas. This specifically deals with trying to lure employers into an area by lessening the borrowing requirements, the equity requirements; places in my district like the city of Jeannette with the infrastructure, trying to lure employers in. It helps certain pockets throughout the community, throughout the Commonwealth, and I think this is something that deserves bipartisan support, and I ask for your support.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stem
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafranca	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **KIRKLAND** offered the following amendment No. **A0667**:

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 7, by inserting after "program."

For grants authorized under the Opportunity Grant Program of the act of June 29, 1996 (P.L.434, No.67), known as the Job Enhancement Act, projects in distressed communities shall only require a private match of \$2 for every \$1 of Opportunity Grant Program Assistance and 20% of this appropriation must be spent in distressed communities.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Kirkland.

Mr. **KIRKLAND**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment simply reduces the private match from 4 to 1 to 2 to 1 in distressed communities for the Opportunity Grant Program. My amendment also requires that 20 percent of this appropriation must be spent in distressed communities.

Mr. Speaker, it is important that we attract businesses to our State, but it is more important that we attract businesses to our most distressed communities, communities such as the one I hail from. Mr. Speaker, if we are committed to bringing jobs and opportunities and building up our State, let us start with our communities that are most in need, rather than those communities that are most affluent and those communities that already have everything they need. We need jobs in our communities, we need economic development in our communities, we need this program to start in our communities, and we need this amendment to pass. I am asking for your support on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-199

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Sather
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti

Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Feese	Mayermik	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Geist	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	George	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Godshall	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Gordner	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gruitza	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruppo	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Hanna	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hennessey	Oric	Tigue
Carone	Herman	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Hershey	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Horsey	Petrone	True
Civera	Hutchinson	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Itkin	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	James	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Platts	Vitali
Colafiglia	Josephs	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Keller	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kirkland	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Krebs	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rubley	
Dent	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
DeWeese			

NAYS-3

Fargo	Schroder	Wilt
-------	----------	------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TRAVAGLIO offered the following amendment No. A0668:

Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 18, by removing the period after "Commonwealth" and inserting and for expanding businesses located within this Commonwealth.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Butler County, Mr. Travaglio.

Mr. TRAVAGLIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment adds language to the business-marketing appropriation to also include targeted marketing efforts addressing already existing Pennsylvania businesses that are currently contemplating expansion or are currently expanding in Pennsylvania.

The language in the bill currently only focuses marketing on new business. Not only is it important to create new business, but we must continue to foster growth and expansion in our current Pennsylvania businesses. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Mastand	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayermik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowic	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh

Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. MELIO offered the following amendment No. A0670:

Amend Sec. 212, page 46, line 12, by inserting after "Education." Of this amount, the sum of \$100,000 shall be allocated specifically for the purpose of developing a long-term strategy for work force development utilizing vocational schools operating under Article XVIII of the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, and community colleges operating under Article XIX-A of the Public School Code of 1949.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Melio.

Mr. MELIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment requires that a total sum of \$100,000 of Department of Education money be used specifically for the purpose of developing a long-term strategy for workforce development utilizing vocational schools.

I would appreciate your support.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trelio
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsley	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colaella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan.
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. VITALI offered the following amendment No. A0672:

Amend Sec. 221, page 107, line 28, by inserting after "State."
Of this amount, \$370,000 shall be used by the Election Bureau to provide public access to campaign finance data through electronic media, including, but not limited to, the "Internet."

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment would do would be to earmark \$370,000 in the Department of State's general government operations line item for the purpose of putting campaign finance information on the Internet and making that accessible to the public.

The House may recall that in February, the Governor in his budget address announced that he supported such a proposal and in fact put that money in his proposed budget. That money is in fact in the budget. This language just clarifies that that extra money, which is already in there, is to be used for that purpose.

The concept has been endorsed by Common Cause, the League of Women Voters, AARP (American Association of Retired Persons), the Pennsylvania Newspaper Publisher's Association, PEG (Pennsylvanians for Effective Government), and so forth and so on.

In this age of technology, the public's right to know really requires that it have Internet access to this information. Other States that have tried this have found that there is a cost savings associated with computerizing campaign finance data because there is a reduced storage cost for campaign finance information, and the cost of providing it is also less because there is less personnel, copying, and other costs associated with it.

I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra

Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tigue
Cam	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	James	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Horsey

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A0673:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 4,000,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 750,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Representative Williams.

Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to pass over this amendment temporarily until we have, I guess, a motion to reconsider Mr. Hutchinson's amendment 511.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady is aware that amendments that we go over temporarily are being put all the way at the bottom of the list. Is that acceptable to the lady?

Ms. WILLIAMS. Yes, sir.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0680:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 964,395,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, lines 28 and 29, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

nonpublic school transportation. For the school years 1994-1995 and 1995-1996, each school district shall be paid the sum of \$200 for each nonpublic school pupil transported. For the 1996-1997 school year and each school year thereafter, each school district shall be paid the sum of \$300 for each nonpublic school pupil transported.

State appropriation 57,408,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the amendment that I am proposing increases State reimbursement to school districts for the purpose of providing school transportation to students attending nonpublic schools.

School districts do this because we require them to do it. It is not an option for school districts. It is a mandate imposed by the State on our local school districts, and unlike most of the mandates that we impose on school districts, this particular one has absolutely nothing to do with the operation of public schools. In most cases, when we mandate school districts to do something, it has to do with the operation of the public schools and it is reasonable to expect that there would be a sharing of financial responsibility between the State and the local school district. But in the instance of nonpublic school transportation, it has nothing to do with the operation of the local school district. We simply decided here in Harrisburg that it was convenient to have school districts provide this service, and it is costing our school districts and our local taxpayers tens of millions of dollars, and it affects almost every one of our school districts in the State.

The Pennsylvania School Boards Association just recently made a presentation to the Education Committee and issued a white paper on this subject, describing the enormous and growing

cost that is incurred by school districts and local taxpayers because of this underfunded State mandate that we impose on our school districts. In the past we have provided some reimbursement to school districts for this purpose. It does not begin to cover all of their costs. It is far from covering all their costs.

What I am proposing to do is to increase the sum that is paid to a school district for each student who receives the nonpublic school pupil transportation from \$200 per year to \$300 per year. This still will not fully compensate school districts for this unfunded mandate, but it will help. It will help the taxpayers in practically every one of our school districts.

The effect of this amendment is to increase this particular line item by approximately \$19 million a year. I would urge that we support the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose this amendment.

Once again we have an amendment that proposes to take nearly \$20 million from the line item of our State correctional institutions. Now, we have seen many of these attempts yesterday that were being made, and I made the statements yesterday, I will reiterate them again today, that this is a very dangerous precedent. If we would be keeping a sum total of all these proposals, I am not certain where we would end up in funding for our State correctional institutions. We are absolutely jeopardizing the safety of the citizens, the families, and the schoolchildren, for that matter, that live in the communities surrounding these State prisons.

I hesitate to remind the members but I feel compelled to once again remind the members that we did have a prison escape just a few months ago in this State, and it is not the time to be depleting the budget of our State correctional institutions, and therefore, I oppose this amendment.

And one final point I would like to make: The program that the amendment proposes to transfer the money to is being funded at the requirements of the present statute. Now, if we would choose to amend the requirements in the present law so that we have an obligation to increase that, that is another issue for another day, but we are meeting the mandates of the present statutes by the way we are funding the system.

So therefore, I oppose the amendment and ask my colleagues to do the same thing.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Tangretti, on the amendment.

Mr. TANGRETTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am not necessarily standing to speak for or against Mr. Cowell's amendment. I think that additional funding for school districts is an important issue and that we all should make up our minds about what we need to do. But I do want to correct or at least offer some comments relative to his narrative in terms of his justification for the amendment.

Somehow he has made the point or attempted to make the point that those individuals who pay taxes are somehow not entitled to the same kind of privileges as people who do not pay taxes, as if they are not paying the tax, and that is just not the case. This is a health and safety issue of children, and this Commonwealth, a number of years ago, made the decision that we will fund nonpublic transportation for that reason. Those parents are

taxpayers just like parents of people who send their kids to public school, and we should not forget that.

Again, the amendment should stand or fall on its merits, based on the debate, but let us not confuse it with what I consider fallacious argument in terms of this whole matter. So please keep that in mind. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Colaizzo, on the amendment.

Mr. COLAIZZO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, would the maker of the amendment stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, is at the microphone. You are in order and may proceed.

Mr. COLAIZZO. Mr. Speaker, does your amendment put a cap, for nonpublic school children, on what school boards can spend on their transportation?

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, the amendment only addresses a fiscal issue. It puts no cap on the obligation of a school district or the right of the student. It in no way changes the right of students to receive this kind of transportation service. It simply reflects my view that if the State is going to mandate this service, then we ought to pay a more fair share, if we are serious about our support for the service.

Mr. COLAIZZO. Mr. Speaker, in other words, this would benefit the nonpublic school transportation?

Mr. COWELL. I think that it clearly benefits students who are receiving this service because it eliminates one of the major arguments that the School Boards Association puts forward for us to reduce the service, and that is that it is costly to school districts and we are not paying a fair share. I believe that most school officials across the State who are concerned about the cost of this program will be as supportive as this legislature has been if we would only own up to our responsibility to pay the bill rather than shifting it to local taxpayers.

So I think in the long term, it surely helps students who receive this service. In the short term and the long term, it certainly does not threaten that service in any way; it underscores our support to maintain the service.

Mr. COLAIZZO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, seek recognition for the second time? The Chair does not see anyone else seeking recognition.

Mr. COWELL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You are in order.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just in response to a couple of the points that were raised.

One, it is very relevant to this discussion when we talk about this service being an underfunded or unfunded State mandate. I fully support the law that we have that says school districts will provide this service to students, but I also believe that if we are serious about it, we ought to be paying a more fair share. It is too easy for us to sit in Harrisburg and say something is a good idea and then we tell our local taxpayers to pay for it, and particularly with this service that does not have to do with the operation of the public school, it is wrong for us to mandate it and dump on local taxpayers. If we think it is so important and so valuable — and I do — I think we ought to pay a more fair share, and this amendment is about having the State pay a more fair share for this unfunded

mandate. It distributes money to practically every one of our school districts. It does distribute money to every school district that is incurring costs for the transportation of nonpublic school students.

And with respect to the argument made by the chair of the Appropriations Committee that we are threatening the vitality of our correction system and we are somehow threatening the safety of Pennsylvanians if we reduce the amount of dollars appropriated for the correction system, the only reason that this amendment proposes that reduction is because we are dealing with this artificial rule today. We all know what is going on here. We have this artificial rule that says, you have got to make these amendments revenue-neutral. We really do not need to do that. Even the chair of the Appropriations Committee could write a check today on his account and pay for this. The chair of the Appropriations Committee yesterday—

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

There is entirely too much noise on the floor. Members will please take their seats; members will please take their seats.

The gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this rule that we are operating with that necessitates this piece of the amendment that takes \$19 million from corrections is an artificial rule. That is not the real world we are dealing in, and that will not be the real set of fiscal parameters when this budget is finally crafted over the next several weeks. The chair of the Appropriations knows full well that there are legislative accounts that total more than \$100 million that we could use — if the rule was different — that we could use to pay to fairly reimburse our school districts rather than having to, for the purposes of this amendment, propose to take it away from corrections. The chair of the Appropriations Committee and everybody in this room knows that the amount of money available to us when we finally craft this budget is going to be in excess of the dollars that we are allowed to work with today. I do not really believe and nobody believes that when the budget is finally crafted, we are going to take \$19 million away from corrections, but that is the only way to put the issue on the table today — that is, the issue of whether we are going to more fairly reimburse our school districts for nonpublic school transportation.

The gentleman, the chair of the Appropriations Committee, also said that if we want to do this, then we ought to first amend the statute to increase our obligation. We all know, if you have been here more than 6 weeks, that if we do not put it into the budget now, a couple of weeks from now we are going to hear from the same gentleman saying we cannot amend the statute because there is no money in the budget.

So let us put this in the proper context. This is an attempt to send a message to those who are crafting the final budget, send a message to the Senate and the Governor's Office, that we think the State ought to pay a more fair share of the cost of nonpublic school transportation. It increases our portion of the pot by \$19 million. It is a significant benefit to many school districts and helps practically every school district in the State.

It is about fairness. It is about us paying a more fair share of an unfunded State mandate that we impose on our school districts. It helps our local taxpayers. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Huntingdon County, Mr. Sather.

Mr. SATHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, would like to stand here and support increased funding for busing for our school systems. However, in Huntingdon County, in my 81st Legislative District, I have two State correctional institutions — SCIS, SCIH; Huntingdon and Smithfield. The people of my community feel safe and secure in their property because of the conditions that exist and the way those facilities are operated at the present time. I do not want to send them the wrong message that we are taking a walk on the fact that they are being willing hosts, willing hosts for this Commonwealth, to keep us safe and secure by having these facilities in their backyards.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you to think hard and long about this; vote "no" on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—122

Adolph	DeLuca	Manderino	Serafini
Armstrong	Dermody	Markosek	Shaner
Baker	DiGirolamo	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Bard	Donatucci	McCall	Staback
Barrar	Eachus	Melio	Steelman
Battisto	Evans	Michlovic	Steil
Bebko-Jones	Feese	Micozzie	Stetler
Belardi	Flick	Mihalich	Strittmatter
Belfanti	Gannon	Mundy	Sturla
Bishop	George	Myers	Surra
Blaum	Gigliotti	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gladeck	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gordner	Pesci	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Petrarca	Tigue
Cappabianca	Habay	Petrone	Travaglio
Cam	Haluska	Pettit	Trello
Carone	Hanna	Pippy	Trich
Casorio	Hasay	Pistella	Van Horne
Cawley	Hennessey	Preston	Veon
Civera	Herman	Ramos	Vitali
Clark	Horsey	Raymond	Walko
Cohen, M.	Itkin	Reinard	Washington
Colafella	James	Rieger	Williams, A. H.
Colaizzo	Josephs	Roberts	Williams, C.
Conti	Keller	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Corpora	Kirkland	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rubley	Yewcic
Cowell	Laughlin	Sainato	Youngblood
Curry	Lederer	Santoni	
Daley	Lescovitz	Schroder	Ryan,
Dally	Levdansky	Schuler	Speaker

NAYS—80

Allen	Fairchild	Lynch	Ross
Argall	Fargo	Maitland	Sather
Barley	Fichter	Major	Saylor
Benninghoff	Fleagle	Marsico	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Geist	Masland	Semmel

Boscola	Godshall	McGeehan	Seyfert
Boyes	Gruppo	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Brown	Harhart	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Browne	Hershey	McNaughton	Stairs
Bunt	Hess	Miller	Stern
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Nailor	Stevenson
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Olasz	True
Cornell	Kaiser	Orie	Tulli
Coy	Kenney	Perzel	Vance
Dempsey	Krebs	Phillips	Waugh
Dent	Lawless	Platts	Wilt
DeWeese	Leh	Readshaw	Wogan
Druce	Lloyd	Reber	Zimmerman
Egolf	Lucy	Rohrer	Zug

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

**ELIZABETHTOWN COLLEGE
MEN'S AND WOMEN'S SOCCER TEAMS
PRESENTED**

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Armstrong, come to the rostrum.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Armstrong, for the purpose of giving a citation.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. I want to thank the House for their indulgence on this Wednesday. We have tried to get these teams up here before, and because of complications, we have had to delay it until today.

But today it is my extreme honor – and I hope everybody is listening to me about this – it is my extreme honor to have on the House floor with me the Elizabethtown men's and women's soccer teams. This is the first time in about 40 years that both men's and women's teams have won a conference in this whole conference area. So it is a tremendous honor to have them in the House with us today. You could say that it took us 40 years to become politically correct, to have both the men's and the women's win and to be with us here today.

I have two citations. One actually goes to the men's soccer team, and the other one goes to the women's soccer team. And I just want to read, if I can, one or two of the "whereases":

WHEREAS, The Elizabethtown College Women's Soccer Team captured the 1996 Middle Atlantic Conference Soccer Championship; and

WHEREAS, The Blue Jays compiled an outstanding season record of eighteen wins, two losses and two ties and captured the championship by defeating Drew by a score of seven to one. As the men's soccer team also won its title, Elizabethtown College has the distinction of being the only school in Middle Atlantic Conference history to have two teams win soccer championships in the same season....

Today I have with me the coach, Skip Roderick, standing behind me here, and of course, men and women of the teams, and we have five members in the back, if you could also stand and let the House recognize all of you here today.

Thank you. If I could ask those five individuals to come here and join me as we take some pictures, and then we can let the House get back in session. Thank you very much.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. CARONE offered the following amendment No. A0681:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 9, by inserting after "assistance." Individual grants under this appropriation cannot exceed \$250,000. All grants must have at least a 10% local match. The Department of Community and Economic Development must publish guidelines and filing requirements in the Pennsylvania Bulletin. No applications are to be distributed or accepted before guidelines and filing requirements are published. Categories for grant applications must be established by the department and published with the guidelines. The department must also publish in the Pennsylvania Bulletin the process and criteria which will be used by the department for determining successful proposals.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone. Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My amendment, 681, is very similar to the amendment offered by Representative Vitali last evening, dealing with language to provide for revitalization program grants with more specificity and more public awareness of the grants and to put stricter guidelines on them.

The SPEAKER. Will the lady, Ms. Carone, please yield. Conferences on the floor will please break up.

Sergeants at Arms, please escort the guests from the halls.

Ms. CARONE. Mr. Speaker, again, amendment 681 addresses the same issue that Representative Vitali addressed yesterday successfully in his amendment. I will not be offering this amendment because it would confuse the issue. That language that Representative Vitali offered is now included in the House bill. However, I do want folks to understand how important that language is.

When I began to follow the revitalization program last fall, I took the publication provided by the department and read through all the programs they were offering in their fall newsletter, and

interestingly, the Community Revitalization Program was not advertised or discussed; many other programs were.

When they put it in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on November 2, the only statement made was, "The Department of Community and Economic Development gives notice that copies of the 1996-97 Strategic Community Partnership Program Guidelines and the...Community Revitalization Program Guidelines may be obtained by contacting the Office...." There was no mention that there was grant money available. There was nothing there to let people be aware that this was a program that they could apply for and the many different kinds of programs that were included. No press release was given out by the department.

Thus, this is why I prepared an amendment, 681, and Representative Vitali did the same with his amendment.

Thus, I withdraw amendment 681. I will also withdraw amendment 682, which was the other direction I was going to take.

Mr. Speaker, may I speak on 682? I am going to withdraw amendment 681 and I am going to withdraw 682, but I would like to speak on my need to withdraw.

The SPEAKER. It is my understanding that the lady is withdrawing 681 and 682 but would like to speak on them?

Ms. CARONE. Yes, and ask the Appropriations Committee chairman to be interrogated for a few moments on the amendments.

The SPEAKER. But these are two amendments that are not being offered. Is that correct?

Ms. CARONE. I will not offer them once I get an explanation from the Appropriations chairman. I apologize; I do not withdraw them.

Mr. Speaker, may I please interrogate the chairman of the Appropriations Committee?

The SPEAKER. The lady, Ms. Carone, desires to speak on unanimous consent. The lady has permission to go ahead.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. DeWEESE. Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. I might also like to interrogate the gentleman from Lancaster County on the issue. I heard her say, the gentelady from Butler, that she was not withdrawing the amendment at this juncture, so I am confused as to what status we are in right now, sir.

The SPEAKER. It is my understanding that the lady said that she was withdrawing the amendments. I have her now about to address the House on unanimous consent.

The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone.

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I mentioned previously, my concern has been that this particular grant program, which has \$24 million in, roughly, this year and is now calling for \$16 million in this particular budget, has not been available for all communities and all organizations in Pennsylvania to know that it is available, and secondly, to be able to apply successfully.

My decision to withdraw my two amendments, 681 and 682, is based upon the fact that Representative Vitali's language was placed into the budget last evening.

Mr. Speaker, this concludes my remarks. May I please interrogate the chairman of the Appropriations Committee, or am I out of order?

The SPEAKER. I am not really sure.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Barley, consent to interrogation under unanimous consent?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. I am afraid you are going to ask a question that I do not know the answer to. Go ahead.

Mr. DeWEESE. With all due respect, Mr. Speaker, if the clerk would read back the gentelady's remarks, she indicated secondarily that she was not going to withdraw, and I just think that should be corrected, because some of the rest of us might want to interrogate the gentleman and not necessarily on unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER. The lady's remarks as I heard them were she wanted to make remarks with respect to either 681 or 682 — I forget which it was right now — and I queried as to whether or not these were to be withdrawn; she said they were; and I responded to the effect, you want to make remarks on amendments that you are not going to offer; and she said, yes; she said, I will withdraw it if that is the case, or words to that effect. With that, I ruled in effect that she could speak under unanimous consent, and she spoke under unanimous consent.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. I believe that is accurate.

Ms. Carone, is that your recollection?

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Sorry for the confusion.

And I appreciate Representative Barley, chairman of the Appropriations Committee, willing to stand for a brief interrogation.

Mr. Speaker, it is important to me that the language that we put in last evening have the opportunity to be seriously considered by the Senate and be in the final passage of the legislation. I know that is not in your total power, but I would like to know if you agree with the language of Representative Vitali put in last evening or language similar so that this revitalization grant program can have a new day in the budget of 1997-98.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to say, I believe the language that the gentelady has proposed was slightly better than the language that we adopted last evening. However, because of the order of the amendments, we adopted the amendment A0477 last evening, and as I review the roll call on that amendment, it was adopted 201 to 0, of course indicating, I believe, a very strong position that this House has taken and a very strong statement that this House has made on behalf of this issue. So it would be my intention to bring that before any further discussions that we would have on this particular program, that the House, for all practical purposes, unanimously has supported this proposal.

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I cannot allow this moment to evaporate.

Last night the gentleman from Lancaster County indicated to us that the revitalization grants were competitive, that they were fair. That is an incredible exclamation. Forty percent of the grants were already awarded by Tom Hagen — and Tom Hagen is a friend of mine — by the time it was even published; forty percent of the grants, chairman of the Appropriations Committee from Lancaster County on the Republican side, were already granted. We had a fellow back here that got \$2 million for a park in the wealthy suburbs. A lot of these folks did not even know about the program.

I just cannot imagine any comment I have ever heard on this floor being more dripping and reeking with prevarication than the fact that that was a fair program. That was not a fair program. Everybody knows it. Anybody with a soupcon of common sense knows it. I could not even sleep last night. I know that does not give my friend any consternation.

But I cannot allow his comments to go without some riposte.

And the gentlelady's amendments, I wish that she had been able to go on a little further, because her comments in the news media have been eloquent on this issue.

We have had a program where Tom Ridge and his team made available to certain people on this floor a lot of money for their districts — politics the old-fashioned way, some might say. But for anybody to say it has been competitive and fair is absolutely wrong, and I could not help the chance to countervail that remark, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SATHER offered the following amendment No. A0684:

Amend Sec. 212, page 55, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	5,900,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 56, by inserting between lines 3 and 4	
For support of a basic education-higher education science partnership in central Pennsylvania.	
State appropriation	100,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. SATHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment provides financial support for a basic education/higher education science partnership in central Pennsylvania. These moneys would be used to support a partnership between public and private institutions of higher education and participating public schools and school districts, whereby the higher education institutions provide a science program that strengthens and enhances the science curriculum at the participating public schools and school districts.

The students participating are enthusiastic about this program, and I think that is what is so very important. A science-in-motion program is in place at Juniata College, and plans are being made to incorporate this program into other higher educational facilities.

The program now serves 25 school districts in the central district, central region of Pennsylvania, and 20 in the Pittsburgh area of Pennsylvania. Plans are also to increase by at least five more these types of programs throughout the Commonwealth.

By way of a little background, this amendment reduces by \$100,000 the line item within the budget for the statewide assessment test of students. The bill currently provides a \$1.8-million increase in the assessment line item over the 1996-97 budget. Thus, my amendment will still result in an increase of \$1.7 million over last year.

I would ask for your support on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Druce	Major	Schuler
Argall	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	George	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor	

NAYS—1

Carone

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. Lloyd, I have you marked down for a number of amendments. Would you advise the Chair what amendments are being withdrawn?

Mr. LLOYD. It would be easier, Mr. Speaker, to tell you which ones I want to offer, but whichever you prefer.

The SPEAKER. Go ahead. Which ones are you offering?

Mr. LLOYD. I want to offer 690, 692, and 696.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0690:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 9, by inserting after "assistance."
No moneys from this appropriation shall be expended or encumbered until the General Assembly enacts enabling legislation which sets forth specific standards, guidelines or regulations for the administration and operation of the community revitalization and assistance program. Such standards, guidelines or regulations shall include, at least, the eligibility criteria for assistance, the maximum amount of funds which may be awarded to an eligible recipient in any one State fiscal year, the time frame for disbursement of awards and the application procedures.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, my amendment represents another effort to address the problem of the community revitalization grants. I have listened to debate last night and again a few moments ago about how we need to fix this program. I think virtually everyone in here

recognizes that there were problems with the program. My legislation goes a step beyond what we adopted last night.

Last night we said that the grants were to be awarded on a merit basis, and that is fine. But I think that the rules and regulations — what is eligible, what is not eligible; how much you can get, how much you can get in any one year; who is allowed to apply — those are decisions which ought to be made by the people in this chamber, not by the people in the executive branch. My amendment would require that no money be spent out of this new appropriation until such time as the General Assembly has enacted legislation setting the eligibility requirements and the standards for reviewing these applications.

Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that if we are going to have this program institutionalized, it ought to be done in a way in which everyone knows the rules. I am sure everybody here has tried to help some constituent with an application form. You have people chasing their tails, wasting their time applying for things that they have no chance of getting. Half the things on each application do not apply to most of the people who are filling out the applications.

We need to take control of that process; we need to do it by statute, and I think we can guarantee that by adopting my amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scriment
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Cam	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsley	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafrella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.

Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House, as the guests of Representative Steelman, Mrs. Peggy Smith, who is seated in the balcony; Andy Smith and Mike Gresock, from East Pike School in Indiana Borough, who are sitting in front of the Speaker, in the area in front of the Speaker, as guest pages. Would the guests please rise.

As the guest of Representative Frank Dermody, guest page Jamie McConnel is with us today from Plum, Pennsylvania, attending O'Block Junior High School. Jamie, will you please rise.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0692:

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, by inserting between lines 12 and 13
For loans to local governments as authorized by the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1358, No.210), known as the Local Government Capital Project Loan Fund Act.

State appropriation	1,500,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 251, page 130, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	6,983,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 251, page 131, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	6,983,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 252, page 142, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	8,457,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 252, page 143, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	8,457,000
-------------------------------	-----------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Will the gentleman yield.

The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, would you come to the rostrum, please.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, who withdraws amendment 692 and offers amendment 691.

The clerk will read amendment 691.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0691:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	14,500,000
-------------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, by inserting between lines 12 and 13
For loans to local governments as authorized by the act of December 19, 1990 (P.L.1358, No.210), known as the Local Government Capital Project Loan Fund Act.

State appropriation	1,500,000
-------------------------------	-----------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would appropriate \$1½ million for the continuation of the 2-percent loan program which assists municipalities when they want to buy or build or expand a municipal building or want to buy a piece of equipment, whether that is a snowplow or a police car or some other kind of highway or office equipment.

Mr. Speaker, this program has been very popular with our municipalities. Unfortunately, we have never provided enough money to cover the applications which we get. Right now the Department of Community and Economic Development has 45 requests for almost \$1.3 million. There is no new money in the budget at all. There is about \$600,000 which the department thinks it will have left over to fund half or less than half of the applications which are currently pending.

This is a loan program. It is a program which is accountable. It is patterned after the volunteer fire department loan program, and the money to fund this would come from the community revitalization and assistance program.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayermik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colaafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0696:

Amend Sec. 801, page 167, lines 24 and 25, by striking out "lane miles 50%" in line 24, all of line 25 and inserting
relative pavement quality index.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman,
Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Governor's budget provides each county, as far as highway maintenance money is concerned, with a hold-harmless; each county will get no less than it got last year. There then is a \$41-million special appropriation for one-time resurfacing projects. The Governor proposes to distribute that money on the basis of 50 percent lane miles and 50 percent vehicle miles. My amendment would change the distribution to distribute the money on the basis of what is called RPQI, the relative pavement quality index, which is part of the current PennDOT highway maintenance formula, which is an effort to measure highway need rather than how many roads you have.

Mr. Speaker, if this is going to be the only new money made available for highway maintenance, then I think we ought to give out the money on the basis of where in the State the roads are in the worst condition and that we ought to carry into effect the recommendations of the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to the effect that we are underfunding highway maintenance in counties in which there are very poor roads, and this one-time initiative contained in this budget would not rectify that problem. My amendment will assure that every county gets money but will assure that the money is passed out on the basis of where it is needed the most.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman,
Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the maker of the amendment rise for a brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the relative pavement quality index, could you elaborate on that for members of the House? Does that take into account the number of vehicles traveled on the road at all?

Mr. LLOYD. The relative pavement quality index accounts the following things:

Eighty percent is based on stamp costs. That is where they go around and actually physically observe the condition of the roads each year, and so if your roads are worse, you are higher, and if your roads are better, you are lower, based on that observation.

Seven and a half percent is based on drainage needs. So you go around and look to see what the drainage conditions are in your county relative to other counties.

Seven and a half percent is based on guide rail needs — how many additional guide rail replacements or how many additional roads in which you need guide rail.

And five percent is based on truck miles — how much truck traffic there is in the county.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, if I could make a brief comment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, what we are talking about here is moving from a system that recognizes how many cars travel on roads and surfaces those roads that actually have traffic on them to a system that simply says, we are going to improve roads whether or not they have cars or trucks on them or not; we just go out and look and see whether they are in good condition or not; it does not matter whether they get 2 cars a day, it does not matter whether they get 5 cars a day, it does not matter whether they get 10,000 cars a day, we are going to go out and we are going to look and see whether they are in good condition or not. So what the gentleman is proposing to do is to fund roads in Pennsylvania that get very little traffic on them.

Now, in a State where we have more highway miles than all of New England, New Jersey, New York, and Maryland combined, it does not quite make sense to be out making sure that the condition of every back road in this State is tip-top. What we have to do is look at where most of the traffic is and pave those roads and make sure that a majority of the motoring public in this State has decent, safe roads to drive on. And if we have an overabundance of money in the Motor License Fund and we have an overabundance of money to go out and pave back roads in this State, then maybe we can go do some of those roads that get two cars a day or five cars a day.

But I do not believe we should be funding roads strictly based on the fact that they exist, without consideration of how many cars travel on those, and I would urge that we defeat this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the majority Appropriations Committee chairman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just like to point out, for the benefit of the members on our side of the aisle, you have before you on your desks a breakout of the various PennDOT districts, and they also further break out your county and how it would be impacted by this formula.

And just as a point of reference, District 8, which is the immediate area of the counties surrounding Dauphin County and the Harrisburg area, with the exception of one county, they are all losers under this formula. And then when you move to the suburbs of Philadelphia, many of those areas, as well as the city itself, are losers under this formula.

Now, I think we all understand that as we travel to and from the Capitol, the traffic congestion is taking place in these areas. I believe Allegheny County is impacted adversely also.

So again, I want to point that out for the members, and they may want to reference the handout and determine how their area is impacted.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, is it possible to go over this amendment temporarily until we get a copy of that report? Our side of the aisle does not have a copy of it.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Lescovitz, if we can avoid it, I would like to keep rolling, or we will never get to an end.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. The Chair is pleased to welcome to the hall of the House today the fourth-grade class of the Farmersville Elementary School. They are here as the guests of Representative Leonard Gruppo of Northampton County. Would these guests please rise. In the balcony.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the lady, Mrs. Taylor, rise?

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of this amendment, Mr. Lloyd?

The SPEAKER. Right now the gentleman, Mr. Lescovitz, has the floor. Mr. Lescovitz has the floor. I was trying to do other work while the conference was going on, but I will not interrupt the floor debate.

Mr. Lescovitz.

Mr. LESCOVITZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to make sure the members on this side of the aisle get a copy of the formula that is being distributed to our members and take a look at it before you vote on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Mrs. Taylor.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the maker of this amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd, indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may begin.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, my question is, would I be correct in assuming that although I look at Chester County as being a winner on this chart, would it be correct to assume that that money would probably be going to the rural roads in Chester County rather than the roads in maybe my district that are more heavily traveled?

Mr. LLOYD. No, you would not be correct, Mr. Speaker. All that the formula does is to allocate the money to the county. It is up to the department to determine which roads in the county benefit from this special appropriation. I do not know whether the Governor intends to take some money out of this pot to add to a resurfacing on a major highway or whether he intends to do only projects which will fit within the special formula. I do not know the answer to that, and this amendment does not attempt to direct the department in that regard.

This just affects how much money your county gets. Where it goes in your county is going to be up to your county PennDOT and whatever influence the various members of the delegation can have.

Mrs. TAYLOR. But as you look at the results of how the formula worked, it looks as though the beneficiaries of this amendment would indeed be in favor of the rural roads, but—

Mr. LLOYD. Well, Mr. Speaker, I do not understand why that should be, because if you look at what is in the bill now, it says the money is given out 50 percent on lane miles and 50 percent on vehicle miles. It says nothing about how the Department of Transportation picks the roads within Chester County. My amendment does not either. So if that is a problem, that is a problem with what the Governor has proposed as well as a problem with what I have proposed. All I am attempting to do is to get more money into counties which, based on PennDOT's analysis, have the worst roads.

Mrs. TAYLOR. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

No further comment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-63

Boscola	Hanna	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	Hennessey	Olasz	Stern
Carone	Hershey	Pesci	Surra
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Tangretti
Chadwick	Jadlowiec	Petrone	Taylor, E. Z.
Colafranca	Jarolin	Ramos	Travaglio
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Reinard	Trich
Conti	LaGrotta	Roberts	Van Horne
Corrigan	Laughlin	Ross	Veon
Daley	Lescovitz	Rublely	Walko
DeWeese	Levdanskyy	Sainato	Williams, C.
Egolf	Lloyd	Sather	Wilt
Fargo	Lynch	Schroder	Wojnaroski
Geist	Major	Shaner	Wright, M. N.
Gruitza	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.	Yewcic
Haluska	Melio	Steelman	

NAYS-138

Adolph	Dally	Lederer	Roebuck
Allen	DeLuca	Leh	Rohrer
Argall	Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni
Armstrong	Dent	Maitland	Saylor
Baker	Dermody	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Donatucci	Marsico	Semmel
Barrar	Eachus	Masland	Serafini
Battisto	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	McCall	Smith, B.
Belardi	Feese	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fichter	McGill	Staback
Benninghoff	Fleagle	McNaughton	Stairs
Bimmelin	Flick	Michlovic	Stetler
Bishop	Gannon	Micozzie	Stevenson
Blaum	George	Miller	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Mundy	Sturla
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Taylor, J.
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nickol	Tigue
Buxton	Gruppo	O'Brien	Trello
Caltagirone	Habay	Oliver	True
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tulli
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Vance
Cawley	Herman	Pettit	Vitali
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Washington
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Waugh
Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Williams, A. H.
Cohen, L. I.	James	Platts	Wogan
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Youngblood
Cornell	Kaiser	Raymond	Zimmerman

Corpora	Keller	Readshaw	Zug
Cowell	Kenney	Reber	
Coy	Krebs	Rieger	Ryan,
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Speaker

NOT VOTING-1

Druce

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. Cowell, I have you marked down for three amendments. Are you withdrawing any of them?

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, are we talking about 733, 735—

The SPEAKER. No; 711, 712, and 713.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, 713 is to be withdrawn and I will be offering 711 and 712.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0711:

Amend Sec. 212, page 52, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 80,048,000

Amend Sec. 251, page 130, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,472,000

Amend Sec. 251, page 131, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,472,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 142, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,018,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 143, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,018,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, come to the rostrum; Mr. Cowell, please come to the rostrum.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, withdraws amendment 711; offers amendment 712, which the clerk will read.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0712:

Amend Sec. 212, page 58, line 10, by inserting after "schools." No grants shall be awarded by the department until enactment of legislation authorizing the establishment of charter schools.

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of adoption of amendment 712, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I agreed to withdraw amendment 711 because it would be out of order, because we have exhausted the dollars in the accounts from which it would draw, but the issue of early invention funding that it was intended to address remains as an issue.

Amendment 712 is language that creates a condition for the use of the money in the proposed budget dealing with planning grants for charter schools, and it would add the language that no grants shall be awarded by the Department of Education until there is legislation authorizing the establishment of charter schools. This is to avoid the dilemma that we currently are in - that is, the department has issued about 65 grants of about \$15,000 each to entities to plan charter schools, and they have done that without there being any legislation, any law, that provides for the establishment of charter schools.

It would be wise for us to assure in the future that planning grants, which are very important, be distributed after there is legislation authorizing charter schools. That will make a heck of a lot more sense. I would urge the adoption of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Cowell amendment.

I have two or three schools that have been authorized to be charter schools in my legislative district, and if I vote to approve the Cowell amendment, they automatically lose those grants.

Mr. Speaker, I am not prepared for those charter schools in my legislative district to lose the right to that funding and to be charter schools. So I oppose the Cowell amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the adoption of the Cowell amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would just emphasize that the amendment that I offer in no way affects the planning grants that were already awarded to 65 recipients from the current appropriation. Those dollars are out. The fact is, those recipients are expressing to a lot of us some sense of frustration because they have received the money, they are trying to plan, but there is no law to guide their planning.

This amendment is prospective. It simply says that for the next fiscal year, no additional planning grants will be distributed until there is a law that authorizes the establishment of charter schools. Again, it will make sense, make much more sense in that kind of a context to distribute planning grants. Right now, planning grants are out there without anything to plan for. My amendment wants to ensure that when the next round of planning grants are out, there in fact is something to plan for. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-109

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (109 total). Includes names like Baker, Battisto, DeWeese, Donatucci, Masland, McCall, Schuler, etc.

NAYS-92

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (92 total). Includes names like Adolph, Allen, Egolf, Fairchild, Lynch, Maitland, Saylor, etc.

Cornell	James	Reber	Zimmerman
Dally	Kaiser	Rohrer	Zug
Dempsey	Keller	Ross	
Dent	Kenney	Rubley	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lederer	Sather	Speaker
Druce	Leh		

NOT VOTING-1

Roberts

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CURRY offered the following amendment No. A0716:

Amend Sec. 206, page 29, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	566,833,000
-------------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 212, page 55, lines 19 and 20, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

otherwise disabled, including \$500,000 for recorded and computerized books at all academic levels to people who cannot read standard print because of a visual, perceptual or other physical disability.

State appropriation	2,881,000
-------------------------------	-----------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery.

Mr. CURRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment adds to the State appropriation for aid in distributing braille reading materials and talking book machines a new technology at all academic levels to people who cannot read standard print because of visual and perceptual or other physical disability. This would be a grant from the Department of Education.

I urge its adoption.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini

Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the House, that amendment is the 101st amendment we have adopted to the general appropriations bill.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. JOSEPHS offered the following amendment No. A0724:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 10, by inserting after "Administration." The Office of Administration shall create a strategy to hire former welfare recipients.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Josephs.

Ms. JOSEPHS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
This amendment is very similar to the one that I offered either late last night or early this morning and which passed unanimously. It has to do with creating jobs for the sum, perhaps, of 155,000 people who in 5 or 6 years will be finished using up their Temporary Aid to Needy Families grant money, and if they do not have a job, will find themselves families without children and without income as well.

It directs the Office of Administration, within the Governor's Office, to create a strategy to hire former welfare recipients. It is mealy language. There is no extra money attached to it.
I ask for everybody's affirmative vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafrella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh

Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—1

Williams, C.

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams. Mr. Williams? Amendment 0726 will go to the back of the list.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George. Amendment 0731 will follow 0726.

The following members will be called in the order that I read their names: Habay, Cowell, Godshall, Blaum, Trich, DeWeese, Preston, Casorio. They will be the members called under packet 14.

The Chair asks the lady, Mrs. Vance, to preside temporarily.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(PATRICIA H. VANCE) PRESIDING**

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HABAY offered the following amendment No. A0732:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	13,000,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 58, by inserting between lines 18 and 19 For Connelley Technical Institute, Pittsburgh, for operation and maintenance of the school.	
State appropriation	3,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Habay.

Mr. HABAY. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

This amendment would provide funding for Connelley Technical Institute and Adult Education Center, which is a unique educational institution which provides vocational, technical, and adult literacy training to students throughout southwestern Pennsylvania. It serves students from Allegheny, Fayette, Butler, Beaver, Washington, and Westmoreland Counties.

Last year, 930—

Mr. DeWEESE. Madam Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what reason does the gentleman rise?

Mr. DeWEESE. Point of parliamentary inquiry.

The wrong amendment was showing. It has been corrected. I wanted to bring that to your attention. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Habay, may proceed.

Mr. HABAY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Last year, 930 students attended the vocational, technical, clerical, and health-related programs at Connelley; 1,335 individuals were instructed in adult basic education classes; 168 people prepared to take the general equivalency diploma test; 351 students received adult literacy training; 2,240 individuals took the GED test on site at Connelley; 373 Project Connect clients — welfare recipients — participated in job readiness training to secure employment.

To run the dozens of programs necessary at Connelley, it takes a budget of over \$6 million. Approximately \$4 million of the total budget is acquired through contracts with various Federal, State, and local agencies promoting job training and adult education. The remainder of the budget and the cost of operating the facility must be found locally. That cost ranges from approximately \$2 million to \$2.5 million a year.

In the 1993-94 State budget and the 1994-95 State budget, the General Assembly helped Connelley open with grants of \$1.8 million each year. In 1995 to 1996, the State aid was reduced to \$500,000. And last year we were able to support Connelley with \$1 million.

So I ask you to continue to support the programs at Connelley. We have a history of doing that in the past, and I ask for your unanimous support. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

I also rise to support the amendment.

Over the years, this has been one entity that in the House and in the Senate, every member, Democrat and Republican, all of the unions, all of the business associations have all risen to support this appropriation because it is good for western Pennsylvania. It goes beyond Allegheny County. It deals with the metropolitan statistical area with the amount of jobs that it does produce, and it also helps people who learn different businesses and trades to be able to go into the small business field and help stimulate the economy.

I would ask my colleagues to please give us a united, unified support on this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority whip from Allegheny County, Mr. Itkin.

Mr. ITKIN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The Connelley school is an excellent institution. It provides great services to people who reside within southwestern Pennsylvania. It has gotten kudos for the work it has performed. It is a very, very modest appropriation. It is funded entirely by the School District of Pittsburgh that finds itself now in a dilemma of whether to support adult vocational education or provide for the needs of school-age children.

So it is important that the school district have this money to continue this excellent program. I wholeheartedly support the adoption of the Habay amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—148

Adolph	Dally	Lawless	Robinson
Allen	DeLuca	Lederer	Roebuck
Argall	Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley
Baker	Dent	Levdansky	Schuler
Bard	Dermody	Lucyk	Semmel
Barley	DeWeese	Markosek	Shaner
Barrar	Donatucci	Marsico	Smith, B.
Battisto	Evans	Mayermik	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fargo	McGill	Staback
Birmelin	Feese	McIlhattan	Stairs
Bishop	Fichter	Melio	Stetler
Boscola	Fleagle	Michlovic	Stevenson
Boyes	Flick	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Browne	Gannon	Mihalich	Tangretti
Bunt	George	Miller	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gigliotti	Myers	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gladeck	O'Brien	Thomas
Cappabianca	Godshall	Olasz	Travaglio
Carn	Gruppo	Oliver	Trello
Carone	Habay	Orie	Trich
Casorio	Haluska	Perzel	True
Cawley	Harhart	Pesci	Tulli
Chadwick	Hennessey	Petrarca	Van Home
Civera	Herman	Petrone	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Pettit	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Phillips	Walko
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pippy	Washington
Colafera	Itkin	Pistella	Williams, A. H.
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Preston	Wogan
Conti	James	Ramos	Wojnaroski
Cornell	Jarolin	Raymond	Youngblood
Corpora	Josephs	Readshaw	Zimmerman
Corrigan	Kaiser	Reber	Zug
Cowell	Keller	Reinard	
Coy	Kenney	Rieger	Ryan,
Curry	Kirkland	Roberts	Speaker
Daley	Krebs		

NAYS—53

Armstrong	Hanna	Mundy	Seyfert
Belfanti	Hasay	Nailor	Steelman
Benninghoff	Hutchinson	Nickol	Steil
Blaum	Laughlin	Platts	Stern
Brown	Leh	Rohrer	Sturla
Caltagirone	Lloyd	Ross	Surra
Clark	Lynch	Sainato	Tigue
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Santoni	Vance
Druce	Major	Sather	Waugh

Eachus	Manderino	Saylor	Williams, C.
Egolf	Masland	Schroder	Wilt
Geist	McCall	Scrimenti	Wright, M. N.
Gordner	McNaughton	Serafini	Yewcic
Gruitza			

NOT VOTING-1

LaGrotta

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Would the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, approach the desk, please.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Madam Speaker, with respect to amendment 733, I understand there is a small technical problem, and that is, there are no dollars in the accounts from which I propose to draw the resources.

This amendment was intended to deal with the school equity question and to put into place something that we have done in prior years — a foundation approach. I am going to withdraw this amendment because of that technical problem. The issue must not go away and will not go away though, and I hope that this legislature will see fit to address the question of equity and more dollars for our school districts before we craft a final budget.

But in the meantime, I withdraw amendment 733. Amendment 735, the next on the list, was already dealt with yesterday.

Madam Speaker, I would like to turn to amendment 736, with your permission.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0736:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 7, by inserting after "open."
This amount also includes \$10,415,000 for performance incentives. These funds are to be distributed according to the provisions contained in the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, the amendment 736 adds some language that provides a condition for the use of some of the \$3.49 billion in the basic subsidy line item. The Governor and the Department of Education have made it clear that that line item and that amount includes approximately \$10.4 million for a new concept. Those are performance incentive rewards or awards. That language is not specifically provided for in the current version of the budget document before us, and so my amendment provides that \$10,415,000 of this \$3.49-billion line item is to be used for performance incentives but is to be used for that purpose only in accordance with the provisions of the School Code.

If you will turn to the School Code, you will find that there are no such provisions at this moment. What I am trying to do is say, yes, we will have \$10½ million for performance incentives, but those dollars will be used only after we amend the School Code and provide for the use of those dollars.

I think this is appropriate legislative oversight. It is a very appropriate condition for the use of these dollars. I would hope that we would approve the amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Madam Speaker, will the maker of the amendment stand for interrogation?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman agrees. You may proceed.

Mr. HORSEY. Madam Speaker, can you tell me what a performance incentive concept or grant is? Can you give us an idea?

Mr. COWELL. Madam Speaker, the gentleman from Philadelphia has asked, what are these performance incentive grants? Under the current law, there is no answer to the question. That is why I think this amendment is so important. We ought to define it in the law, we ought to spell that out in the School Code, and in the meantime, we should not allow for the distribution of these grants until the legislature has answered that question.

The Governor in his budget message did put forth an idea, and the idea was that performance grants would be awarded on the basis of improved performance as measured by a couple of different criteria, including student attendance or performance on tests. That is the Governor's idea. There is nothing in the law yet. The legislature has not answered the question. So I am simply trying to assure that no dollars are distributed until the legislature answers the question that you very importantly raise.

Mr. HORSEY. But, Madam Speaker, the question was, what is your concept of performance grants? Will the end result, Madam Speaker, be that in a particular school district, students who are already achieving, those teachers will be rewarded financially? Can that possibly happen with these performance grants?

Mr. COWELL. I really do not want to debate today what the criteria ought to be. That really is a debate for another day. My concern is, the legislature has not answered that question, and for the moment, what I think or even what the Governor thinks is irrelevant to the point that the law does not answer the question,

and therefore, we should not provide for the distribution of these dollars until the law has answered the question.

Now, I happen to take the view that when we write the law, we do not want to reward school districts which just happen to have a bright class of kids that are there; we want to create performance incentive grants that in some way influence the behavior of school districts and the performance of schools and children. But again, that is a debate for another day. Right now, the problem is, there is no answer to the question. There ought to be an answer in the law to the question, and we ought to condition the use of these dollars to an answer being placed in the School Code.

Mr. HORSEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	George	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hess	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Horsley	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	James	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dent	Lloyd	Sather	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Saylor	Speaker
DeWeese	Lynch		

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—2

Evans Santoni

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GODSHALL offered the following amendment No. A0740:

Amend Sec. 209, page 35, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 12,722,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 42, by inserting between lines 12 and 13

For historic site development and educational studies.
State appropriation 400,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment creates a new appropriation for historic site development and educational studies. The amendment also decreases the appropriation for the Department of Community and Economic Development's general government operations.

The general government operations line item in this budget has been increased in excess of \$1 million. This takes \$400,000 of that increase and develops a new line item, as I said, for historic site development. It deals specifically with the U.S. Constitution Center and Independence Park. Land has already been set aside for this U.S. Constitution Center by the National Park Service.

I would ask for your favorable consideration. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—199

Adolph	Donatucci	Major	Schroder
Allen	Druce	Manderino	Schuler
Argall	Eachus	Markosek	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Egolf	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Fairchild	Masland	Serafini
Bard	Fargo	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barley	Feese	McCall	Shaner
Barrar	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, B.

Battisto	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Belfanti	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Benninghoff	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Bishop	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Blaum	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Boscola	Gordner	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Herman	Perzel	Tigue
Carn	Hershey	Pesci	Travaglio
Casorio	Hess	Petrarca	Trello
Cawley	Horsey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Hutchinson	Pettit	True
Civera	Itkin	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	James	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Platts	Veon
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Preston	Vitali
Colafranca	Kaiser	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Keller	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Kenney	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Kirkland	Reber	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Krebs	Reinard	Williams, C.
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wilt
Cowell	Laughlin	Roberts	Wogan
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sainato	Zug
Dent	Lucyk	Santoni	
Dermody	Lynch	Sather	Ryan,
DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne, Mr. Blaum.

Mr. BLAUM. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The amendment I am offering today asks the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to do a performance audit of the PACE (Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly) Program. One has not been done since 1992. We think it is very important, especially in light of the recent legislation which raised copays and created a deductible that is through the roof.

I would ask that the members approve the enactment of this performance audit so that we can get a handle on the performance of the PACE Program, especially under the current law.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mavernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafranca	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug

NAYS-1

Carone

NOT VOTING-2

Evans Hanna

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended ?

Mr. BLAUM offered the following amendment No. A0745:

Amend Sec. 254, page 148, line 3, by inserting after "Committee" including a performance audit for the Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly Program,

Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **TRICH** offered the following amendment No. **A0746**:

Amend Sec. 215, page 66, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	18,222,000
-------------------------------	------------

Amend Sec. 215, page 70, line 14, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	3,820,000
-------------------------------	-----------

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington County, Mr. Trich.

Mr. **TRICH**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I just had an opportunity to speak with our colleagues on the other side of the aisle dealing with this particular issue. I was concerned that the funding was being eliminated for that very worthwhile program area. It is in fact not being eliminated but, rather, is being moved from one line item to another. So therefore, I withdraw the amendment. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. **DeWEESE** offered the following amendment No. **A0747**:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 18, by inserting after "facilities." Commonwealth funds appropriated for the Department of Corrections shall not be used to privatize or out-source prison operations. All contractual agreements entered into prior to the adoption of this act and their renewal are exempt.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the minority leader from Greene County, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. **DeWEESE**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This amendment, which was adopted by this chamber 1 year ago under similar circumstances, would prohibit the privatization of our State penitentiaries. With the escape from a western penitentiary recently and with last year's vote being an indicator of the mood of the Assembly at that point, I would ask that this language be included into this year's proposal. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

CONSTITUTIONAL POINT OF ORDER

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the Appropriations chairman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. **BARLEY**. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I am almost beginning to feel like, here we go again.

This is another instance where we are using a general appropriations bill, a bill that is designed to appropriate the funds of the Commonwealth, and we are using it as an all-encompassing document to attempt to amend into it whatever it is that we would like to amend into it.

The majority leader, last evening, very thoroughly and accurately cited the section of the State Constitution that prohibits this, and it is Article III, section 11, if I may remind the members, and then went on to cite several opinions of Attorneys General throughout the period of time here in Pennsylvania dating back to the thirties. One thing that I do not believe was mentioned last evening is, Commonwealth Court upheld those decisions, if not all, at least some of them.

So we are here again today attempting to do the same thing, which is unconstitutional, and that is, legislate public policy, substantive public policy, changing statutes, other codes in the law, by using the general appropriations bill.

Now, if we would pursue this argument to the fullest, you could consider that there is only one bill we would ever have to pass in this Assembly and that would be the appropriations bill. Some people would probably think that would be a good idea, come in and do that and leave. But under these circumstances, we are attempting to put all of our pet ideas into the GA bill, and that is inappropriate, and I challenge the constitutionality of this amendment that is being proposed.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, raises the point of order that amendment No. 0747 is unconstitutional.

The Speaker, under rule 4, is required to submit questions affecting the constitutionality of an amendment to the House for a decision, which the Chair now does.

On the question,

Will the House sustain the constitutionality of the amendment?

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, wish to be recognized?

Mr. **DeWEESE**. The gentleman, Mr. Dermody, indicated at one moment he wanted to be recognized.

The **SPEAKER** pro tempore. Does the gentleman, Mr. Dermody, wish to be recognized?

Mr. DERMODY. Yes, I do, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

As I understand it, an argument is being made that this amendment is unconstitutional relying on Attorneys General's opinions. I would just like to have the members consider and everybody understand that the Governor may request the Attorney General to render an opinion on the matters of constitutionality but that is not even binding upon the Governor; the Governor can then do what he wants.

Now, the executive branch can do what they want, but the legislature has its own and we have our own responsibilities. We should not hide and run from our responsibilities behind an opinion from the Attorney General that may or may not be followed, and it is not precedent, even for the Governor. We should not abdicate our responsibilities to an attorney who wrote an opinion in the Attorney General's Office.

The opinions of the Attorneys General are not binding on us; they are not precedent. We make the decisions whether or not this legislation is constitutional. We should not, we must not abdicate our responsibilities to the executive branch. We should do it ourselves.

This amendment is constitutional, and the Attorney General's opinion, no matter what it was or what Attorney General did it or what lawyer in that office says, has no effect on what we do. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

We had this same argument last year, and I am going to try the same thing that I did last year, which is to page through the budget document and find comparable language in the bill reported with the gentleman, Mr. Barley's name on it from the House Appropriations Committee.

I just invite the members — I just happened to find these particular pages — pages 32 and 33 of the budget document. Interestingly, on page 32, starting at line 23, is an appropriation to the State Farm Products Show Fund, and there is language in that appropriation which says, "As a condition for the use of these funds, no expenditures may be made from this appropriation..." and so on and so forth, "...for any activities associated with..." — and then it goes on to provide a certain kind of activity — unless that activity takes place at the State Farm Show Building. Okay. I am for that. That has probably been in the budget for the 16, 17 now, budgets that I am going to vote on.

On the next page, page 33, line 19, there is an appropriation or the beginning of an appropriation, language which says that some of the funds which are to be authorized for the 4-H and the FFA (Future Farmers of America) dairy shows, and it says, "The department may make allocations...as it deems appropriate..." and so on and so forth, and then it says down at the bottom, on line 29, "Provided, That the funds allocated by the department shall only be used for the specific items approved...in advance," and so on and so forth.

We typically condition the spending of the funds. We are not reaching beyond the appropriations bill to amend a substantive statute. We are not saying that this is a rule that applies forever. We are saying that with regard to the money appropriated to the

Department of Corrections this year, none of that money may be used for privatization.

Madam Speaker, there is certainly ample precedent. I do not know what the Attorney General said once upon a time, but I cannot believe that we would continue to get these bills out of the Appropriations Committee, regardless of who has the majority, that have this type of restrictive language in if in fact lawyers for this House of Representatives and lawyers for the Governor of different administrations thought that that language was unconstitutional. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

For the benefit of the gentleman that spoke a few moments ago expressing his opinion of the decisions that were rendered or offered by various Attorneys General that I cited, he may or may not have been listening closely, and I understand there is a lot of background noise and so on, so he may not have picked up on the fact that I indicated there was a Commonwealth Court decision that upheld the opinions of the Attorneys General that I cited. Now, I do have that decision. It was decided on July 17, 1979. So I just pass that as information that I was going beyond just simply opinions of the Attorneys General.

And one other follow-up of the immediate previous speaker, the gentleman from Somerset, as he was describing language that was giving some direction, language that I believe was rather incidental or conditional to the appropriations, and as I view the reading of the Constitution, it clearly states that in the general appropriations act, it is permissible to give some conditional or incidental language or direction in the general appropriations bill. I believe that the instances cited, that the gentleman from Somerset referenced, would fall under that category of incidental and/or conditional.

So with that, my opinion stands that I believe that this particular amendment, obviously going far beyond that and impacting previous statutes and impacting public policy far beyond the general appropriations bill, that it would be in fact unconstitutional, and I would ask the members to cast their votes reflecting that.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

As I listened to the gentleman and the previous speaker before the Appropriations chairman, it seems that the chairman from the Appropriations Committee was contradicting himself, and if we vote this unconstitutional, then perhaps we open up the doors for civic groups — i.e., whether it is Common Cause — to have a right to be able to file a lawsuit and to be able to say therefore, then, that the whole budget bill that we are doing is unconstitutional. I would like to be able to be consistent. He said, therefore, if we do this, it is going to be a little bit different about how far. The question is, he did not give any delineation about how far something has to go.

I think the amendment is constitutional. I think that Mr. Lloyd was quite succinct in his definitions as far as some of the things that he said in reading different pages of the budget bill. I do not think that we need to be able to contradict ourselves. We have already forgotten about some of the different lawsuits that we have had going into it, and for us to be able to contradict ourselves and contradict the Appropriations chairman on his own statement,

I think, would be kind of ludicrous at this point. I think we need to be able to say that Mr. DeWeese's amendment is constitutional and then the members could be able to vote their choice.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the minority leader from Greene County, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Like a smuggler's camp in a romantic opera, this is all smoke and blue haze.

The gentleman from Lancaster is in favor of privatization of our prisons; I am not. His own words, Madam Speaker, his own words, notwithstanding his ostensible concern for the safety of our body politic yesterday in a variety of measures that dealt with our penal system, were incidental and conditional, too — incidental and conditional, too. If this amendment is not conditional to the State budget, I do not know what is.

I am requesting politely, respectfully, that we do not spend *State dollars for the privatization of our prisons*. That seems very conditional, not incidental. So it makes no sense that the gentleman who is ostensibly concerned about public safety and the security of our 22 State penitentiaries is at least indirectly flirting with the idea of privatization. We want to bring the hammer down. We want to say that no State penitentiary will have rent-a-cops as guards, and we want to do it through the State budget process. We want to ensnare those funds. This seems to me a fundamental opportunity for us.

I am tired, as the gentleman, Mr. Dermody, from Allegheny County, I am tired of hearing this blather emanate from the pulpit on the Republican side, that they are quoting some anonymous third-rate lawyer from 1937 in the Attorney General's Office. It is some lawyer. It has the patina of officialdom — the Attorney General's Office. Dermody is correct. There is a separation of powers, and it is time that this branch, our — our — legislative branch assert itself.

For those of us who are concerned about public safety in our State penitentiaries and want the best and the brightest of our prison guards to come from within the ranks of State employees, State civil servants, they should support this proposal.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the majority leader from Philadelphia, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Last evening the third-rate lawyer that I quoted was Jim Manderino, and I mentioned that to all the members of the General Assembly. Those were the exact quotes that I used.

But the reason I stood up, Madam Speaker, was, as of today — and I have the amendments all listed — there was approximately — and not all of them passed — \$264 million taken out of the prison budget, Madam Speaker, by Democrat amendments, so if we are not going to privatize, I do not know how we would ever pay for it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Those voting "aye" will vote to declare the amendment to be constitutional; those voting "no" will vote to declare the amendment to be unconstitutional.

On the question recurring,

Will the House sustain the constitutionality of the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—108

Allen	DeLuca	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Dermody	Levdansky	Santoni
Battisto	DeWeese	Lloyd	Sather
Bebko-Jones	Donatucci	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Belardi	Eachus	Manderino	Serafini
Belfanti	Evans	Markosek	Shaner
Bishop	Feese	Mayernik	Staback
Blaum	George	McCall	Steelman
Boscola	Gigliotti	McGeehan	Stetler
Bunt	Gordner	Melio	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Michiovic	Surra
Buxton	Haluska	Mihalich	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Hanna	Mundy	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Myers	Tigue
Carn	Horsey	Olasz	Travaglio
Carone	Itkin	Oliver	Trello
Casorio	James	Pesci	Trich
Cawley	Jarolin	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Petrone	Veon
Colafiglia	Kaiser	Pistella	Vitali
Colaizzo	Keller	Preston	Walko
Corpora	Kirkland	Ramos	Washington
Corrigan	Krebs	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams, C.
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wojnarowski
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Youngblood

NAYS—94

Adolph	Fairchild	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fargo	McGill	Seyfert
Baker	Fichter	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bard	Fleagle	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Flick	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Gannon	Miller	Stairs
Benninghoff	Geist	Nailor	Steil
Birmelin	Gladeck	Nickol	Stern
Boyes	Godshall	O'Brien	Stevenson
Brown	Gruppo	Orie	Strittmatter
Browne	Habay	Perzel	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Harhart	Pettit	Taylor, J.
Civera	Hennessey	Phillips	True
Clark	Herman	Pippy	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Platts	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Hutchinson	Reber	Wilt
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Reinard	Wogan
Dally	Kenney	Rohrer	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lawless	Ross	Zimmerman
Dent	Lynch	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Saylor	
Druce	Major	Schroder	Ryan,
Egolf	Marsico	Schuler	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the constitutionality of the amendment was sustained.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-124

Allen	DeLuca	Lescovitz	Sainato
Argall	Dermody	Levdansky	Santoni
Bard	DeWeese	Lloyd	Sather
Battisto	Donatucci	Lucyk	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Eachus	Manderino	Serafini
Belardi	Evans	Markosek	Shaner
Belfanti	Fairchild	Mayernik	Staback
Benninghoff	Feese	McCall	Stairs
Bishop	George	McGeehan	Steelman
Blaum	Gigliotti	Melio	Stetler
Boscola	Gordner	Michlovic	Stevenson
Boyes	Gruitza	Mihalich	Sturla
Browne	Habay	Mundy	Surra
Bunt	Haluska	Myers	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Hanna	Olasz	Thomas
Buxton	Hasay	Oliver	Tigue
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Orie	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Carn	Hess	Petrarca	Trich
Casorio	Horsey	Petrone	Van Home
Cawley	Itkin	Petit	Veon
Clymer	James	Phillips	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Pippy	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Pistella	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Preston	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Keller	Ramos	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Readshaw	Wilt
Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Leh	Roebuck	Youngblood

NAYS-78

Adolph	Fargo	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fichter	McGill	Seyfert
Baker	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Barley	Flick	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Gannon	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Geist	Miller	Steil
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Stern
Carone	Godshall	Nickol	Strittmatter
Chadwick	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Civera	Harhart	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Clark	Hershey	Platts	True
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Raymond	Tulli
Conti	Jadlowiec	Reber	Vance
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Waugh
Dally	Krebs	Rohrer	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lawless	Ross	Zimmerman
Dent	Lynch	Rubley	Zug
DiGirolamo	Maitland	Saylor	
Druce	Major	Schroder	Ryan,
Egolf	Marsico	Schuler	Speaker

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Preston, offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read.

Are you indicating that it is withdrawn? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CASORIO offered the following amendment No. A0753:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,891,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 26,475,000

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 980,931,000

Amend Sec. 214, page 65, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 52,784,000

Amend Sec. 217, page 75, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 14,047,000

Amend Sec. 220, page 107, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 106,616,000

Amend Sec. 225, page 113, line 20, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,301,000

Amend Sec. 225, page 113, by inserting between lines 26 and 27
For grants to volunteer companies.

State appropriation 5,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Casorio.

Mr. CASORIO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

My amendment helps out local fire departments throughout the Commonwealth. In addition to the 2-percent loan program that is in place, this amendment administers another \$5 million through the Fire Commissioner for local fire departments to buy equipment.

This amendment, in the past, has had bipartisan support, and I ask for your continued support today.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini

Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayemik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McCeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McFlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Mello	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Zug

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We are now turning to packet 15. The members who have amendments, I will read their names, if they are ready to go: Tony Williams, DeLuca, Roebuck, Preston, Cowell, Veon, and Stetler.

Is the gentleman, Mr. Williams, in the hall of the House? His amendment will then go to the bottom of the pile.

The gentleman, Mr. DeLuca, is he in the hall of the House? His will then follow Mr. Williams.

Since the gentleman, Mr. Williams, made such a timely entrance, we will go back to amendment 0758, which the clerk will read.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A0758:

Amend Sec. 292, page 161, by inserting between lines 16 and 17
As a condition for the receipt of these funds a court of common pleas serving a county that receives payments from this appropriation shall establish as its first priority the prompt disposition of all cases in which a drug-related offense is alleged. All courts of common pleas shall to the greatest extent possible provide for schedules of court proceedings that will both reduce delays in the disposition of cases where a drug-related offense is alleged and in the backlog of such cases.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.
Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you.

Madam Speaker, just one moment.
There is some confusion as to which amendment the clerks apparently have. Just one moment, please. If you would bear with me.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Amendment 0758 is listed on the board.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

Mr. WILLIAMS. That amendment, Madam Speaker, is duplicative of the one that I believe you do have, and that is 0726. So we will bypass this, and I will wait for recognition on 0726. I think you bypassed me on that, and I will have to wait until you get to the end to do it.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.
Mr. WILLIAMS. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeLUCA offered the following amendment No. A0759:

Amend Sec. 212, page 58, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting
State appropriation 7,700,000
Amend Sec. 253, page 147, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting
State appropriation 4,219,000

Amend Sec. 254, page 148, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,020,000

Amend Sec. 261, page 151, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,010,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Madam Speaker, this amendment appropriates \$3 million to the alternative education program. I think one of the most critical things in our school districts today, no matter how much we put in for basic education, is the alternative education program that we must provide in our local school districts.

Madam Speaker, there is no sense of continuing to put more money into basic education if we do not get rid of the people who are starting to disrupt the classrooms and create problems not only for the other students but also for the teachers there.

What we cannot have, Madam Speaker, is the situation where students have to attend school and have to attend school under the guise of being threatened by other students, by students who do not want to learn. We need to take those troublemakers out of there and put them in alternative places, alternative education, so that the students who do want to learn have the opportunity to go on.

Ninety-five percent of our students are good students. We have 3 to 5 percent that we need to move out of the classrooms, and that is why this is an important— Excuse me, Madam Speaker. One moment, Madam Speaker.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. DeLUCA. Madam Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. DeLUCA. I would like an interpretation from you pertaining to this amendment. Since we did pass an amendment from Representative Stairs to increase the appropriation \$3 million, my intent is to increase this another \$3 million; it is not to decrease. Can I have an interpretation from you on this amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Precisely which line item are you speaking about?

Mr. DeLUCA. Pardon me?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Precisely which line item are you speaking about?

Mr. DeLUCA. Line 3, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Are you saying line 3?

Mr. DeLUCA. Line 3.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. DeLuca, is in order, and you may proceed.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, I know that we have increased this line item for this alternative education by \$3 million previously. Now, let

me say that we have taken this from legislative surpluses and why we are attempting to put this other \$3 million in.

There is no one in this House or the Secretary of Education or the Governor who has a firm figure on what it takes for our 501 school districts to institute alternative education throughout the Commonwealth. Also, Madam Speaker, if we are going to entice our local school districts to do this on their own without taking as much of the local tax dollars away, then we need to make sure that that pot is enough there for our school districts to initiate these programs, and that is why I am attempting to put \$3 million more into that fund.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote on behalf of our school districts and on behalf of our students in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I certainly agree with the maker of this amendment that we need help in alternative education. That is why last evening, earlier, as many bills and amendments were debated, we added over \$3 million to make a total of \$8 million in this program. Certainly, what is the magic number? I guess that is a good question. But we made a very honest attempt to increase this program, to make it more viable, to help our school districts to provide this alternative program to get disruptive students out of the classroom.

So I would ask that we oppose this additional money at this time and go with the \$3 million and then next year come back and keep funding this as we can do it. I would oppose this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, the Appropriations chairman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

This is certainly a very worthwhile program, alternative education, and I support it, and I supported the amendment that Representative Stairs had yesterday. I do, however, agree with Representative Stairs and believe that we have adequately funded the program and should reject this amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Northampton, Ms. Boscola.

Ms. BOSCOLA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I stand and I rise in support of the DeLuca amendment.

I have been struggling with this issue for the last 6 months and have been working very hard with the Bethlehem Area School District to determine what we do with individuals who are chronically disruptive in the classroom. In the Bethlehem Area School District, they instituted a program, a code of conduct, for the first time. It has been implemented over the past year with great success. The problem is, what do you do with a child who needs to be removed from the classroom not to disrupt the other students and needs just a little bit of help outside the classroom that he was involved with or she was?

I believe, Madam Speaker, that this amendment helps our struggling school districts, not only the Bethlehem Area School District but a lot of school districts in this Commonwealth who need an alternative program. Whether it is some kind of military presence or some kind of boot camp scenario, we need to do something, and I know we need some additional dollars. This is a very smart amendment. Really, what you are doing is a front-end

investment in our children as opposed to a back-end expense, which costs us much more money down the road. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Representative Manderino.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I, too, rise to support the DeLuca amendment.

I do not think that the additional \$3 million is excess. I even question whether or not with it we will be adequately addressing the problem. I can tell you that in the city that I come from, we are way beyond capacity in any way to deal with even the most dangerous or the most-in-need students in our school. We have three disciplinary schools that are filled to the brim. We have comprehensive high schools. One of my comprehensive high schools has 60, 60 returns from incarceration in the mainstream high school. That is not people that just have discipline problems; that is just the folks that are returned from the juvenile justice system into the mainstream high school.

The amount of money we added last night and tonight would probably not even address the problem as it exists for the over 200,000 Philadelphia school students, let alone the students across the Commonwealth. This is an absolutely essential item. It is probably one of the biggest steps that we can make to make sure that the 90, 95, 98 percent of our students who are there in school and want to learn can get the education. It is probably the biggest improvement that I think we can make, beyond kindergarten and lowering the class sizes in grades 1 to 3, in terms of improving our academic performance and outcome of our students.

I ask you, please, to support the DeLuca amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Indiana County, Representative Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I also had an amendment drafted to add more money to the alternative education line of the budget, but I withdrew it after the Stairs amendment had passed, because I assumed that it was not going to be possible to put more money into that part of the budget. However, since we have an amendment and since there is discussion going on, I wanted to point out that on the Education Committee, we have been dealing with this issue of alternative education for some years, and I have been looking particularly at the costs of providing alternative education. I can say absolutely that the amount of money that we have in the budget, even with the addition provided by the Stairs amendment, is inadequate to encourage those districts that now feel that they cannot afford appropriate alternative education programs to institute them, and it is clearly inadequate to help reimburse those districts that are already stretching themselves to provide alternative education.

Over and over and over again, when people are surveyed as to what it is that they think the schools need to provide, one of the two or three highest items on the list is a safe learning environment. Your constituents want you to make sure that their children are going to school in the appropriate environment, whether that is an environment from which disruptive students have been removed or whether it is an environment that is appropriate for those children who have problems functioning in the regular school system.

In any case, your constituents want very much to see the schools made safe. Alternative education is a tested and proven

method for doing that, and with \$112 million sitting in legislative slush funds at this point, in addition to a surplus that by the time the budget is finally passed may well exceed half a billion dollars, there is no reason that we cannot add another \$3 million to the alternative education line and try to encourage the use of this proven technique for providing what your constituents want as much as they want anything to happen in their public schools.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Gigliotti.

Mr. GIGLIOTTI. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I rise to support the DeLuca amendment, and let me tell you the reason why.

A few months ago in the city of Pittsburgh, there was a schoolteacher from one of our high schools, Brashear High School, that was walking in his class and was beat up by two young students, and they turned out to be convicted felons.

Now, I myself and my colleagues, Harry Readshaw and Donny Walko, have legislation in to take these kinds of students out of the mainstream and put them in alternative education programs, and that is why I am supporting this. We need the money. The \$3 million yesterday, \$3 million today, is not nearly enough of what we need to correct the problems not only in Pittsburgh but in the whole State of Pennsylvania.

So I am asking everybody to support this piece of legislation. Six million dollars is not a lot of money when you are talking about a \$16.2-billion budget. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Madam Speaker, I rise to support the DeLuca amendment.

Madam Speaker, a little over a hundred years ago, this State made a commitment to a concept called compulsory education, Madam Speaker. It disturbs me that in 1997 there are States that are repealing that commitment, Madam Speaker. The key significance of the DeLuca amendment, Madam Speaker, is not the creation of alternative schools; it is that it restates our commitment as a legislative branch to the concept of compulsory education to individual kids — our commitment to kids, Madam Speaker — and there is no commitment greater, Madam Speaker, to me than the commitment to those who cannot protect themselves, and in this case, that is primarily kids, Madam Speaker.

So I would ask that my colleagues support the DeLuca amendment and support the continued commitment of compulsory education to kids. Even when they do wrong, Madam Speaker, nothing should shake this body from a commitment and a longstanding commitment, and that commitment is to kids. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the Representative from Allegheny County, Mr. DeLuca, for the second time.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, you know, we talk about test scores, we talk about academic performance, we talk about putting more money into basic education and we want to fault different individuals because their scores are not high, but can anyone here in the House tell me that if they send their child to a school and you have disruptive students in that classroom, that they are going to have the same intensity in studying and learning as if those people were

not there? There is no one in this House who can tell me that that would happen.

No matter what we do, I have been up here going on 15 years, and the more and more money we put into basic education, we still do not get the results. I think we need to be smart on how we invest in our education system. I think we need to get this program.

And let me put this in perspective. We have 501 school districts. Now, certainly a lot of them are bigger, some of them are smaller, but the average, if you take this and divide this into 501 school districts, we are talking about \$21,000. What school district out there, even a small district, can institute an alternative education program for \$21,000? It is not going to happen, Madam Speaker. That is why we need this. That is with the \$3 million more. I did not even figure it out for the \$8 million we would have in there.

I would ask, respectfully ask, that you approve this amendment on behalf, again I state, on behalf of the children of the Commonwealth who want to learn and their parents who want them to learn. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-141

Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Santoni
Barrar	Donatucci	Marsico	Schroder
Battisto	Druce	Mayernik	Schuler
Bebko-Jones	Eachus	McCall	Scrimonti
Belardi	Evans	McGeehan	Semmel
Belfanti	Fairchild	McNaughton	Shaner
Bishop	Feese	Melio	Staback
Blaum	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Browne	Gordner	Miller	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Mundy	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Myers	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	Nickol	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Carn	Harhart	Olasz	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Oliver	Tigue
Cawley	Hershey	Orie	Travaglio
Clark	Horsey	Pesci	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Petrarca	Trich
Cohen, M.	James	Petrone	True
Colafella	Jarolin	Pettit	Tulli
Colaizzo	Josephs	Phillips	Van Home
Conti	Kaiser	Pippy	Veon
Cornell	Keller	Pistella	Vitali
Corpora	Kenney	Platts	Walko
Corrigan	Kirkland	Preston	Washington
Cowell	LaGrotta	Ramos	Waugh
Coy	Laughlin	Raymond	Williams, A. H.
Curry	Lederer	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Daley	Leh	Reinard	Wogan
Dally	Lescovitz	Rieger	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Levdansky	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lucyk	Robinson	Yewcic
Dent	Lynch	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dermody	Manderino	Sainato	Zimmerman
DeWeese			

NAYS-56

Adolph	Fargo	Major	Seyfert
Allen	Fichter	Masland	Smith, B.
Argall	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Baker	Flick	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Bard	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Barley	Godshall	Nailor	Steil
Benninghoff	Gruppo	Perzel	Stern
Birmelin	Hasay	Reber	Stevenson
Boyes	Herman	Rohrer	Taylor, E. Z.
Brown	Hutchinson	Ross	Vance
Bunt	Jadlowiec	Rubley	Zug
Chadwick	Krebs	Sather	
Civera	Lawless	Saylor	Ryan, Speaker
Clymer	Lloyd	Serafini	
Egolf	Maitland		

NOT VOTING-5

Carone	Gladeck	Hess	Wilt
Gannon			

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CASORIO offered the following amendment No. A0761:

Amend Sec. 227, page 114, line 26, by inserting after "Government." This appropriation is conditional upon any increase in tuition remaining less than 4.5% for Pennsylvania resident students for the 1997-1998 school year.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Casorio.

Mr. CASORIO. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

With this amendment, the appropriation for the State System of Higher Education is conditional upon any increase - it is a cap on tuition - remaining less than 4.5 percent for Pennsylvania residents, for in-State residents, for the 1997-98 school year.

This buffer, up to 4½ percent, allows the universities and colleges to operate within some latitude, but what it does, more importantly, is it allows the working families of this Commonwealth to be able to send their children to school and to be able to send them to school at an affordable cost right here in the Commonwealth.

So I ask for your support of this amendment. It makes common sense, and it allows working families to keep their kids in school in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gighotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Trelo
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Van Home
Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor	

NAYS-1

Krebs

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady, Ms. Mundy, offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read. She indicates that it is withdrawn. The Chair thanks the lady.

Is the gentleman, Mr. Preston, in the hall of the House? Since he is not present, we will continue.

The lady, Ms. Bishop, offers the following amendment, which the clerk will read. Is the lady, Ms. Bishop, in the hall of the House? If not, we will continue.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0771:

Amend Sec. 212, page 58, line 17, by inserting after "grants."
No administrative/instructional consolidation grants shall be awarded by the Department of Education until the enactment of legislation authorizing the expenditure.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, there is a new proposed line item in the budget that is before us. It appears on page 58. It is an appropriation to the Department of Education, and it reads \$1 million for "administrative/instructional consolidation grants."

At this moment, we have no way of knowing what that means, and while I and I think most members of this General Assembly would support the idea that we ought to create some incentives, provide some financial incentives, if you will, to encourage school districts to become more efficient, that is not necessarily what this language says.

We have no explanation in this bill and we have no other guidance elsewhere in the law to tell us how the \$1 million for consolidation grants would be used. In the minds of a lot of people, that suggests mergers; in the minds of some, it suggests mandates; and in the minds of others, it suggests incentives. But we do not know. So the language that I am offering has nothing to do with additional money, but instead, it creates a condition for the use of this \$1 million for consolidation grants, and my amendment simply says that no grants shall be awarded by the department until the enactment of legislation authorizing the expenditure. Once again, this is an example where the legislature ought to speak with more specificity about what we want these grants to be used for.

I think this is a good idea, the incentives, if that is what it is intended to be, but again, we need to answer the question. We are not answering it in this legislation, this proposed budget, and we need to answer it elsewhere. In the meantime, I would suggest that we create this condition for the use of the \$1 million, and that is, the \$1 million for grants will not be used until the legislature has defined this program and provided for some conditions for the use of these consolidation grants.

I therefore ask for support for the amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—200

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tigue
Cam	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Walko
Colaella	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Keller	Reinard	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Rieger	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Roberts	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Rohrer	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Ross	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Rubleby	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Sainato	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Santoni	
Dent	Lloyd	Sather	
Dermody	Lucyk	Saylor	Ryan, Speaker
DeWeese	Lynch		

NAYS—1

Krebs

NOT VOTING—1

Reber

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0772:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 877,531,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

For the purpose of providing for a full-day kindergarten incentive. No incentives shall be awarded by the Department of Education until the enactment of legislation authorizing the expenditure.

State appropriation 6,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker, the amendment that I am proposing would create a new line item in the budget for a new incentive program. It would create an incentive program to encourage school districts to create full-day kindergarten programs.

We are spending an enormous amount of money in this budget this year, as we have in the past and as we will in the future, to solve problems after difficulties occur in the lives of young people. We spend an enormous amount of money for a system of corrections. We just recently approved another amendment that provides additional dollars for alternative education programs to deal with kids who are not functioning very effectively in the regular classroom. What we do not spend money on in this State, what we do not spend money on in this budget, are programs intended to avoid the problems up front.

There is an enormous amount of research all over this country and throughout this State that suggests that we would be wise to invest more of our dollars in early childhood education; that we would be wise to invest more of our dollars in kindergarten programs, for instance, to help better prepare students to succeed in the regular classrooms, in those grades 1 through 12.

Some of our school districts have kindergarten programs that are full-day, some have half-day kindergarten programs. Some have developmentally appropriate programs, and some have programs that would not be described as developmentally appropriate and, therefore, would be described as something less useful. I am proposing a \$6-million line item that would create an incentive program, and the language also says, consistent with a couple other amendments that you have supported, that these dollars would not be distributed until the legislature enacted statutory language that provides for parameters for this new incentive program, and we would have to do that on another day.

We are going to hear, again, that the difficulty with this proposal is that it is to be funded by reducing the dollars in the

corrections line item. Simply anticipating that argument, I would again acknowledge that that is where the dollars would come from for this particular amendment. That represents the rules of the day. We know that there is \$100 million in legislative accounts that we cannot touch today, that could be used for a kindergarten program. We know that there may be another \$100 million or \$200 million or \$300 million in a budget surplus that we will have available to us between now and the end of June, that we cannot touch today, that could be used for this \$6-million kindergarten program.

I would urge that we approve this amendment with the idea of, again, sending a message to those who will finally craft the final budget, sending a message that we want to begin to invest in early childhood education. We want to begin to work with our local school districts to encourage the establishment of full-day kindergarten programs, an investment — and I underscore that word “investment” — an investment in young children that we know is effective.

Let us be wise. Let us invest up front. Let us invest in young children. Let us help our school districts. Let us approve this amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset County, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. You may proceed.

Mr. LLOYD. This amendment appears to have been improperly drafted by the Legislative Reference Bureau. If you look on line 3 of the amendment, it reduces the appropriation by \$106 million rather than by \$6 million. I know that is not what the maker of the amendment intends. Is there a way, either by a motion or by a statement from the Chair, that that problem can be corrected?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The amendment is in order as drafted; however, it does cut \$106 million.

Mr. LLOYD. The parliamentary inquiry is whether there is a motion available to me that, if it were adopted, would have the effect of changing the number on line 3 to \$977,531,000.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. No; we cannot do that. That option is not available.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell, for the second time.

Mr. COWELL. Madam Speaker, I have no interest in prolonging the debate, and there may be four or five different parliamentary techniques we might use to try to deal with the issue. But understanding that this is not the final document that is going to be considered by this House and by the General Assembly, and having made the point that when we craft a final document we ought to be wise to invest a small portion of the revenues available to us in early childhood education to help our school districts; having made that point and with the hope that the conferees who work on a final version will keep that point in mind, I would withdraw this amendment. Thank you, Madam Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0773:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 973,531,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

For reduced class size incentive supplement. No incentives shall be awarded by the Department of Education until the enactment of legislation authorizing this expenditure.

State appropriation 10,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Madam Speaker, this amendment does not suffer from the technical problem that the previous amendment had, but I withdraw the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Veon, who offers amendment 780, which the clerk will read. The gentleman indicates that it has been withdrawn.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. PRESTON offered the following amendment No. A0764:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, by inserting between lines 10 and 11

For school safety in the Pittsburgh School District.

State appropriation 3,734,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair advises the gentleman, Mr. Preston, that his amendment is out of order due to insufficient funds.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

I also wanted to apologize for not being here at the particular time, but what can you say? Out of order; no money for the school districts, for the police of Pittsburgh. I understand.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman wish to offer any other amendments that he has listed? You are indicating they are withdrawn — 765, 766, 767, and 768? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HABAY offered the following amendment No. A0782:

Amend Sec. 213, page 59, line 8, by inserting after "Management", including \$150,000 for mine subsidence control, abatement and elimination

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Habay.

Mr. HABAY. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

This amendment is very similar to legislation that passed the House last session unanimously, that would provide \$150,000 for mine subsidence control and abatement in Pennsylvania's 33 bituminous and 12 anthracite counties.

I once again ask for your unanimous support.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | DeWeese | Major | Schuler |
| Allen | DiGirolamo | Manderino | Scrimenti |
| Argall | Donatucci | Markosek | Semmel |
| Armstrong | Druce | Marsico | Serafini |
| Baker | Eachus | Masland | Seyfert |
| Bard | Egolf | Mayernik | Shaner |
| Barley | Fairchild | McCall | Smith, B. |
| Barrar | Fargo | McGeehan | Smith, S. H. |
| Battisto | Fichter | McGill | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fleagle | McIlhattan | Staback |
| Belardi | Flick | McNaughton | Stairs |
| Belfanti | Gannon | Melio | Steelman |
| Benninghoff | Geist | Michlovic | Steil |
| Birmelin | George | Micozzie | Stern |
| Bishop | Gigliotti | Mihalich | Stetler |
| Blaum | Gladeck | Miller | Stevenson |
| Boscola | Godshall | Myers | Strittmatter |
| Boyes | Gordner | Nailor | Sturla |
| Brown | Gruitza | Nickol | Surra |
| Browne | Gruppo | O'Brien | Tangretti |
| Bunt | Habay | Olasz | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Butkovitz | Haluska | Oliver | Taylor, J. |
| Buxton | Hanna | Orie | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Harhart | Perzel | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Hasay | Pesci | Travaglio |
| Cam | Hennessey | Petrarca | Trello |
| Carone | Herman | Petrone | Trich |
| Casorio | Hershey | Pettit | True |
| Cawley | Hess | Phillips | Tulli |

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|----------|-----------------|
| Chadwick | Horsey | Pippy | Vance |
| Civerra | Hutchinson | Pistella | Van Home |
| Clark | Itkin | Platts | Veon |
| Clymer | Jadlowiec | Preston | Vitali |
| Cohen, L. I. | James | Ramos | Walko |
| Cohen, M. | Jarolin | Raymond | Washington |
| Colaifeila | Josephs | Readshaw | Waugh |
| Colaizzo | Kaiser | Reinard | Williams, A. H. |
| Conti | Keller | Rieger | Williams, C. |
| Cornell | Kirkland | Roberts | Wilt |
| Corpora | LaGrotta | Robinson | Wogan |
| Corrigan | Laughlin | Roebuck | Wojnaroski |
| Cowell | Lawless | Rohrer | Wright, M. N. |
| Coy | Lederer | Ross | Yewcic |
| Curry | Leh | Rubley | Youngblood |
| Daley | Lescovitz | Sainato | Zimmerman |
| Dally | Levdansky | Santoni | Zug |
| DeLuca | Lloyd | Sather | |
| Dempsey | Lucyk | Saylor | Ryan, |
| Dent | Lynch | Schroder | Speaker |
| Dermody | Maitland | | |

NAYS—4

- | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Evans | Feese | Krebs | Mundy |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|

NOT VOTING—2

- | | |
|--------|-------|
| Kenney | Reber |
|--------|-------|

EXCUSED—1

- | |
|--------|
| Rooney |
|--------|

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. HABAY offered the following amendment No. A0783:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,041,000

Amend Sec. 225, page 113, lines 19 and 20, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

Commissioner, including \$2,000,000 for grants to local volunteer fire companies.

State appropriation 3,251,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Habay.

Mr. HABAY. Thank you very much, Madam Speaker.

Today thousands of Pennsylvania's volunteer fire companies and EMS (emergency medical services) units are facing a financial crisis. We have lost over 500 volunteer fire companies statewide since 1988, and many local companies, along with the municipalities, are having difficulty finding additional funding for emergency equipment and necessary training. This amendment

will utilize existing funding under the State Fire Commissioner to provide for emergency funding grants to local companies.

I urge you to support this amendment to protect the lives of our residents. Thank you.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Tangretti.

Mr. TANGRETTI. A point of parliamentary inquiry.

Did we not just do this on an amendment by Representative Casorio?

AMENDMENT RULED OUT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman, Mr. Tangretti, for his inquiry, and the amendment of Mr. Habay's is out of order because of insufficient funds. Thank you.

The Chair has asked the gentleman, Mr. Chadwick, to preside temporarily.

**THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
(J. SCOT CHADWICK) PRESIDING**

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. REINARD offered the following amendment No. A0788:

Amend Sec. 201, page 20, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,596,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,741,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 20, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 102,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, lines 6 through 11, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,880,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 26,549,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,038,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 100,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,225,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,426,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 24, lines 24 and 25, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 202, page 25, lines 9 through 11, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 202, page 25, line 14, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,200,000

Amend Sec. 203, page 26, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 685,000

Amend Sec. 204, page 26, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 31,155,000

Amend Sec. 205, page 27, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 37,327,000

Amend Sec. 206, page 28, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 18,015,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 31, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 22,411,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 32, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 2,000,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 33, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,700,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 34, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 13,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 35, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 12,991,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 36, lines 9 and 10, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 4,566,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,509,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 36, lines 19 through 23, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

State appropriation 1,385,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, lines 4 through 6, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 25,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 15,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 17,800,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 38, lines 8 through 10, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

State appropriation 3,000,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 38, lines 15 and 16, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 2,055,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, lines 7 through 10, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

State appropriation 8,140,000

Amend Sec. 210, page 42, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	11,034,000
Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	973,696,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 46, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	17,889,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 58, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	19,397,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 62, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	58,476,000
Amend Sec. 214, page 65, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	52,652,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 66, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	18,764,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	7,201,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	5,164,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	3,035,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 69, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	16,007,000
Amend Sec. 216, page 74, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	16,310,000
Amend Sec. 217, page 75, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	9,247,000
Amend Sec. 218, page 78, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	14,051,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 82, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	35,107,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 85, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	36,221,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 90, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	345,293,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 91, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	571,550,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	279,176,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 22, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	734,383,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 94, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	666,771,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 105, line 12, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	20,805,000
Amend Sec. 220, page 107, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	106,787,000
Amend Sec. 221, page 107, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,329,000
Amend Sec. 222, page 108, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,668,000
Amend Sec. 222, page 109, by inserting between lines 28 and 29 For transfer to the Motor License Fund to augment highway maintenance funds.	State appropriation	94,182,000
To augment the Public Transportation Assistance Fund for payments to transit entities. These funds may be used for capital projects or asset maintenance at the discretion of the transit entities.	State appropriation	47,091,000
Amend Sec. 223, page 111, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	117,967,000
Amend Sec. 225, page 113, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	4,687,000
Amend Sec. 229, page 116, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	16,418,000
Amend Sec. 233, page 118, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	56,618,000
Amend Sec. 234, page 119, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	2,840,000
Amend Sec. 235, page 119, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	2,114,000
Amend Sec. 237, page 120, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,207,000
Amend Sec. 281, page 154, lines 12 through 14, by striking out all of said lines		
Amend Sec. 801, page 167, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting		
In addition \$47,091,000 of this appropriation shall be allocated to each county based on its percentage of lane miles to the total lane miles of all State highways. An additional \$47,091,000 shall be allocated to each county based on its percentage of vehicle miles traveled to the total vehicle miles traveled on all State highways. The latter two allocations shall be targeted to those highways having poorest road quality in each county as determined by the Department of Transportation.	State appropriation	719,404,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Reinard.

Mr. REINARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Reinard, will you suspend for a minute. Are you withdrawing 787?

Mr. REINARD. Correct.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman. Suspend one moment.

The clerk read 788, so we are going to have the board reflect that. We will be with you in a moment.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman on 0788.

Mr. REINARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment addresses and attempts to address what I think is the most pressing concern in the Commonwealth, and that is the issue of our roads and our mass transit. It is my belief that before this Commonwealth should spend any new dollars, new surplus dollars, they should direct that those funds be done in taking care of the existing problems we have right now with our roads and with our highways through mass transit.

What this amendment attempts to do is it reduces all new spending and new spending increases for this year as proposed under the Governor's budget—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair apologizes. Will the gentleman suspend again for one minute. The Chair apologizes.

Will the gentleman come to the rostrum, please.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Reinard, withdraws amendment 0788.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0794:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	975,531,000
-------------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 212, page 56, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	164,075,000
-------------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 223, page 111, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	119,682,000
-------------------------------	-------------

Amend Sec. 251, page 130, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	5,492,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 251, page 131, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	5,492,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 252, page 142, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	6,651,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 252, page 143, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	6,651,000
-------------------------------	-----------

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, the issue addressed by amendment 794 has already been dealt with by an amendment offered by another member, so I withdraw amendment 794.

And amendment 821, which I believe is the next one on the list with my name attached to it, again suffers from the defect of inadequate resources being available in some of the line items that are mentioned in the amendment, so it will be out of order. I withdraw amendment 821.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is it the gentleman, Mr. Reinard's intention to withdraw amendment 841?

Mr. REINARD. Mr. Speaker, I would like to go over it temporarily.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. George, offers amendment 0847, which the clerk will read. Is the gentleman intending to withdraw that amendment? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COHEN offered the following amendment No. A0858:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	6,841,000
-------------------------------	-----------

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	1,048,000
-------------------------------	-----------

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 0858 increases the appropriation for the Pennsylvania Council on the Arts by \$200,000.

I am introducing this amendment because I am convinced, after 2 years of service on this body, that the erosion since 1990 of funding in real dollars by the State government and the projected elimination of the National Endowment of the Arts and its funding stream have undermined the administrative structure of the council already and are likely to undermine it further in the future. The erosion of funding has also reduced too many of the Council on the Arts contributions to artists and art organizations to nominal

levels, unlikely to do much to stimulate artistic development or the community and economic development, which depends on the arts.

Dollars spent on the arts expand tourism and tax revenues for tourism, expand artistic performances and the tax revenues they directly and indirectly generate, and give a strong selling point to executives considering locating companies in Pennsylvania but interested in a high quality of life for themselves and their employees.

Under its current leadership of Westminster College President Oscar Remick, the Council on the Arts has made major efforts to raise private-sector money to expand the public-sector funds. An increase in the budget of \$200,000 would show private-sector contributors and potential private-sector contributors that the legislature is sincere in its interest in expanding the availability of the arts and careers in the arts throughout Pennsylvania and would likely help leverage far more than \$200,000 in donated private funds, as well as many dollars in generated tax revenues at State and local levels from additional performances that would be made possible by the additional money.

The message of this amendment is that aid to the arts is a powerful economic tool that the legislature wishes to continue to seed by funding direct competitive grants to artists, art organizations, and the administrative structure that both vigorously promotes the arts and responsibly evaluates competitive applications for funds.

Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-175

Adolph	Dent	Lucy	Sather
Allen	Dermody	Lynch	Saylor
Argall	DeWeese	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Druce	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Eachus	Masland	Serafini
Barrar	Evans	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Staback
Belardi	Flick	McGill	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Steelman
Benninghoff	Geist	Melio	Steil
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Stem
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitz	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Olasz	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Perzel	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Pesci	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Petrarca	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pettit	True
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	Horsey	Pistella	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Preston	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Ramos	Vitali

Colafiglia
Colaizzo
Conti
Cornell
Corpora
Corrigan
Cowell
Coy
Curry
Daley
Dally
DeLuca
Dempsey

Jarolin
Josephs
Kaiser
Keller
Kirkland
LaGrotta
Laughlin
Lawless
Lederer
Lescovitz
Levdansky
Lloyd

Raymond
Readshaw
Reber
Reinard
Rieger
Roberts
Robinson
Roebuck
Ross
Rubley
Sainato
Santoni

Walko
Washington
Williams, A. H.
Williams, C.
Wilt
Wojnaroski
Wright, M. N.
Youngblood
Zimmerman

Ryan,
Speaker

NAYS-27

Birmelin
Brown
Carone
Egolf
Fargo
Feese
Fleagle

Hutchinson
Jadlowiec
Kenney
Krebs
Leh
Maitland
McIlhattan

Miller
Nickol
O'Brien
Platts
Rohrer
Seyfert
Smith, B.

Smith, S. H.
Taylor, J.
Waugh
Wogan
Yewcic
Zug

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A0674:

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 7, by inserting after "Delinquency."
Of this amount, \$100,000 shall be allocated for a new Juvenile Diversion Program in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas to be known as "Teen Court."

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,555,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 0674 calls for \$100,000 for a juvenile diversion program. This juvenile diversion program would primarily be for good kids who make bad decisions. We are talking about kids involved in truancy, runaways. The courts in Philadelphia County, family courts throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, are bloated right now with good kids who are making bad decisions, and what this diversion program would do is to redirect kids - these good kids who make bad decisions - from the juvenile justice system and direct them to a situation

where, one, they will be held accountable; two, they will gain educational insight into the overall juvenile justice system; and thirdly, they will be held accountable for their actions.

Thank you. I urge support for amendment 674.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Barley, is recognized.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I urge the members to support this amendment and would ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—201

Adolph	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Druce	Major	Schuler
Argall	Eachus	Mandorino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Geist	Melio	Stelman
Birmelin	George	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor	

NAYS—1

Carone

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas, offers amendment 0777, which the clerk will read.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Thomas?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes. Amendment 675 is being withdrawn. There is an understanding that appropriations to the school-to-work program have been increased by way of earlier amendments, and therefore, we will be looking at addressing this school-to-work need in Philadelphia County. So I withdraw this amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understood that you were withdrawing amendment 0675, and we now have amendment 0777 before us. Does the gentleman intend to offer that amendment?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the clerk put that on the board.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A0777:

Amend Sec. 215, page 71, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 40,279,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 84, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 26,217,000

Amend Sec. 219, page 101, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 2,561,000

Amend Sec. 220, page 107, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 105,866,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 677 increases the appropriation to the housing and redevelopment line item from \$18.7 million—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman suspend. We have amendment 777 in front of us. Does the gentleman have 777 in front of him?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. THOMAS. Amendment 777 will increase appropriations in the area of district health centers, education information systems, and will increase the appropriation for family centers, and I urge both sides of the aisle to support amendment 777.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscota	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafiglia	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A0778:

Amend Sec. 217, page 75, line 3, by inserting after "Industry."

The department shall establish a Philadelphia Office of the Pennsylvania Conservation Corps.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 778 is really designed to go back a few years. As you know, the Conservation Corps program has been a very successful program. The only Conservation Corps component in southeastern Pennsylvania is in Bucks County. Philadelphia County is in desperate need of a Conservation Corps program, and this amendment would direct that a Conservation Corps office be established in Philadelphia County.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscota	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio

Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daily	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A0785:

Amend Sec. 215, page 67, by inserting between lines 25 and 26	
For Lead - Administration and	
Operation.	100,000
State appropriation	
Amend Sec. 222, page 109, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	1,394,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the intent of amendment 785 is to increase the appropriations in the Department of Health for lead abatement, and I urge members from both sides of the aisle to support this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Giadeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daily	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Tigue, offers amendment 0— Does the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, seek recognition?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Even though all other amendments have been withdrawn, I would like the record to at least reflect the intent of amendment 678, which is, in effect, to direct local municipalities that receive behavioral health funding from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, that these funds should not be made available to for-profit organizations. Funds are limited, and I think that those funds would be better spent by not-for-profit entities rather than for-profit entities. And the other part of the amendment deals with the life of these behavioral health contracts that go to local municipalities.

And I also would like to say that the majority leader and I know that members from both sides of the aisle will be working to ensure greater oversight of these behavioral health dollars. So I at least want the record to reflect that. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. TIGUE offered the following amendment No. A0801:

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,169,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 58, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 19,167,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 63, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 4,741,000

Amend Sec. 216, page 74, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 16,350,000

Amend Sec. 217, page 75, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 13,747,000

Amend Sec. 229, page 116, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 16,334,000

Amend Sec. 233, page 118, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 56,690,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 142, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,618,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 143, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,618,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Tigue.

Mr. TIGUE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman suspend for one moment, please? The Chair apologizes.

Will the gentleman come to the rostrum, please?

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair understands the gentleman, Mr. Tigue, withdraws amendment 0801. Is that correct? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. MANDERINO offered the following amendment No. A0894:

Amend Sec. 219, page 90, lines 22 and 23, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(1) "TANFBG - Cash Grants." Of that amount \$20,000,000 shall be used for public service job creation programs.

Federal appropriation 544,786,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia.

Ms. MANDERINO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 0894 increases the Federal appropriation line item for the TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) cash grants by \$20 million and earmarks that \$20 million for the use of public service job-creation programs.

The money is available. It has been block-granted to us by the Feds. DPW (Department of Public Welfare) this year is holding back \$67 million that they did not appropriate. For the next fiscal year, they are holding back \$31.48 million that they did not appropriate. So I am appropriating \$20 million of that for the jobs program, and let me explain why I think it is essential that we do that this year and not wait until we are in the critical year of year No. 2 when people's eligibility for assistance under TANF will expire if they do not have a job.

The department made some philosophical changes when they came out with their State plan for TANF. One of those philosophical changes supposedly was to be that we want to make it an incentive for people to work and we want to make people better off if they go to work than if they do not, and I agree with that incentive. The problem with what the department did is that to achieve that incentive, they took away some other very important means of sustenance for a family — specifically, for one, the child support pass-through. The department has eliminated the \$50-a-month child support pass-through to a family, and so starting at the beginning of last month, March 3, when this went into effect, families who were getting that small \$50 supplement to their income, based on the noncustodial parent supporting their families, are no longer getting that. That means we took families

who were getting less than 40 percent of the amount of money that we consider poverty level and we decreased their family subsistence even more.

Now, they could improve their situation if they could find a job and if they could find a job that pays them enough money so that the amount of money that they keep would surpass the amount of money they would have been getting through the pass-through. But you and I know that in many of our communities, both urban and rural, those jobs are not there, and those jobs need to be there now because the families need to feed their children and put clothing on their back now, and if we are going to take away support that they had through the pass-through and some of the other incentives under the old program, it is not fair to replace them by incentives that happen sometime in the far distant future.

We need the jobs. Everybody knows we need the jobs. The funds are available. Let us allocate the funds. Let us get the jobs out there in our communities. Let us put people to work. That is how you get them on the road to self-sufficiency, and I urge every member of the chamber to support this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturia
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirigand	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski

Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COY offered the following amendment No. A0520:

Amend Sec. 209, page 38, line 9, by inserting after "bank."
No less than 25% of this appropriation shall be used for the establishment of community development banks in rural communities.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, Pennsylvania has the largest rural population in the nation; 42 of the 67 counties are considered rural in character. There is no guarantee in the line item presented in the budget for part of the Pennsylvania community development bank language to be used in rural areas. This amendment seeks to dedicate 25 percent of the total line item in this appropriation to the development of community development banks in rural areas.

It simply attempts to suggest the direction on the part of this funding, that a quarter of it be used in rural areas, and I would ask for the support of the membership on the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Somerset, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Mr. Speaker, I think I agree with this amendment, but I am wondering if you could ask the pages to distribute packet 18 and any subsequent packets. At least in our row and I think maybe several others, we do not have these packets.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. I am told the packet is not actually marked "18," it is blank at the top, but it has amendment 0520 as its first amendment.

Mr. LLOYD. Okay. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colaella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For the information of the members, the remaining amendments in this packet are offered by Representatives Levdansky, Veon, Curry, Scrimenti, and Rubley.

The gentleman, Mr. Levdansky, offers amendment 0762, which the clerk will read.

The Chair understands that the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky, intends to withdraw amendment 0762. Is that accurate? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair understands the gentleman, Mr. Veon, withdraws amendment 0780. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. CURRY offered the following amendment No. A0784:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation	34,000,000
For promoting computer recycling for education.	
State appropriation	333,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Curry. Mr. CURRY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment takes 1 percent of the State appropriation in order to promote computer recycling for education, and that will vastly expand our ability to provide computers in schools and make this program more available to more people. I urge its adoption. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra

Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colaifella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

Mr. SCRIMENTI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
 My amendment would appropriate \$3 million to develop innovative programs that produce speedier disposition of misdemeanor cases.

Let me give you an example of what I am talking about.
 A judge could sit right in a police station at night, when most of the crimes happen, and that judge could immediately adjudicate many of the minor offenses. This would help to clear up the backlog that exists in many of our county court systems, but more importantly, it would send a message to offenders, especially the first-time offenders, that justice is swift and sure.

This is important because a misdemeanor offense is often a person's first encounter with the law and with the police, courts, and judges. My amendment would provide a stronger deterrent to the commission of future, more serious crimes.

As a former prosecutor, our Governor should not have a problem with the reasonable redistribution of funds as noted in this amendment.

I would appreciate an affirmative vote. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. SCRIMENTI offered the following amendment No. A0702:

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 5,541,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 35, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 11,622,000

Amend Sec. 292, page 161, by inserting between lines 17 and 18

For the development and implementation of innovative programs that produce speedier disposition of misdemeanor cases.

State appropriation 3,000,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Erie County, Mr. Scrimenti.

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Major	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Serafini
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Shaner
Barrar	Fargo	Mayermik	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Steelman
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steil
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Surra
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	True
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Van Horne
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Vitali
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Walko
Colaifella	Jarolin	Preston	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.

Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	
Dent	Levdansky	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Lloyd	Sather	Speaker
DeWeese	Lucyk	Saylor	

NAYS-1

Sainato

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mrs. RUBLEY offered the following amendment No. A0685:

Amend Sec. 213, page 58, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 14,697,000

Amend Sec. 230, page 117, by inserting between lines 22 and 23

For the Tapping Fee/Property Lateral

Funding Program.

State appropriation 5,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Chester.

Mrs. RUBLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A0685 appropriates \$5 million to the PENNVEST program to establish a low-interest loan fund for tapping fees for needy residents who are forced to hook up to public sewers.

Presently a PENNVEST program exists to provide financial relief to individual homeowners who need to upgrade their septic systems. This program is working very well, but other homeowners who may have functioning, well-maintained septic systems may be forced to hook up to public sewers, and in some cases, at considerable personal expense. This program will provide relief to those residents who qualify.

The money for this allocation comes from the Department of Environmental Protection's general operating budget.

I urge your support for this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Seraffini
Bard	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flück	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Melio	Steelman
Birmelin	Geist	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	George	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Walko
Colaafella	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	Krebs	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Rublely	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Santoni	
Dent	Levdansky	Sather	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Saylor	Speaker
DeWeese	Lynch		

NAYS-1

Lloyd

NOT VOTING-1

Sainato

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. CARONE offered the following amendment No. A0657:

Amend Sec. 209, page 39, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,175,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 15,000,000

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone.

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My amendment adds \$1 million to the SPAG program; that is the State planning assistance grants. Municipalities can apply to the department. It is a matching grant.

We have had a number of meetings this year dealing with Tom Hylton, who has talked about the importance of planning in Pennsylvania. Our Secretaries of DEP (Department of Environmental Protection), DCNR (Department of Conservation and Natural Resources), and Agriculture have spoken about the importance of land preservation and growth management and saving agricultural lands.

Planning and doing comprehensive plans are very costly for the municipalities. By offering only \$175,000 as a line item this year, it does not begin to meet the need for the municipalities across Pennsylvania who would like to plan. I am adding \$1 million from the revitalization fund.

I would appreciate a "yes" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

- Adolph, Alien, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Battisto, Bebkco-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boscola, Boyes, Brown, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, Cam, Carone, Casorio, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Gruitza, Gruppo, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhart, Hasay, Hennessey, Herman, Lynch, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayernik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McNaughton, Melio, Michlovic, Micozzie, Mihalich, Miller, Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Olasz, Oliver, Ori, Perzel, Pesci, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, D. W., Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas, Tigie, Travaglio, Trello, Trich

- Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colafella, Colaizzo, Conti, Cornell, Corpora, Corrigan, Cowell, Coy, Curry, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dent, Dermody, DeWeese, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Itkin, Jadowiec, James, Jarolin, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lloyd, Lucyk, Petrarca, Petrone, Pettit, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reber, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Ross, Rubley, Santoni, Sather, Saylor, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Home, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Waugh, Williams, A. H., Williams, C., Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, M. N., Yewcic, Youngblood, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Sainato

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. CARONE offered the following amendment No. A0658:

Amend Sec. 209, page 38, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,600,000

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 15,000,000

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Carone.

Ms. CARONE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I withdraw 658 as well as 659 and 660.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WILLIAMS reoffered the following amendment No. A0673:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation 4,000,000
 Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation 750,000

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment ?

AMENDMENT PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Representative Williams.

Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
 I would like to ask that you pass over this until we reconsider the amendment 511.
 The SPEAKER pro tempore. That is acceptable.
 Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended ?

Mr. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A0726:

Amend Sec. 292, page 161, line 16, by inserting after "specified."
 As a condition for the receipt of these funds, a court of common pleas serving a county that receives payments from this appropriation shall establish as its first priority the prompt disposition of all cases in which a drug-related offense is alleged. All courts of common pleas shall, to the greatest extent possible, provide for schedules of court proceedings that will both reduce delays in the disposition of cases in which a drug-related offense is alleged and in the backlog of such cases.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, there is some confusion; 0726 is the amendment I withdrew. I am waiting for 0758 to reappear. They are both duplicative in nature, so I will wait for 0758.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman suspend for a moment. That is not what our records show. We are going to look into this.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Okay.
 Mr. Speaker ?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. I can offer this amendment and then withdraw the other one. It is just a matter of the paperwork having to come

down to you in a few minutes, if you want to do it that way. They are both the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. We can do either one you want. However, we double-checked our records and you did in fact withdraw 758 earlier, but we can do either one you want.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Why do we not do this one, and the file and the folder will come down.

This is a pretty straightforward amendment, Mr. Speaker. It also speaks, unfortunately, to a condition which is plaguing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and that is the drug problem in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia County, Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, York County, Erie County — a lot of counties are now being faced with their court systems being inundated with a lot of cases that relate to drug activity or illegal drug activity. The prioritization of this process, meaning dealing with these cases initially, quickly, does the most important thing: It empowers the citizenship to say, if I report and the police arrest, they will prosecute in a timely, quick, and swift manner, removing a drug dealer from our communities.

So we are saying that in order to receive the moneys to support the common pleas court system, they will prioritize these drug cases. There is no money directly connected with this. It is simply language and verbiage which directs the court system to prioritize these drug cases, to allow the citizenry of our communities to recognize that when we report and the police arrest, we will quickly and swiftly prosecute. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Adolph	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Melio	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	George	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trelio
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	True
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Vance

Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Vitali
Colafrella	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wilt
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dent	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Lynch	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-4

Gordner	Krebs	Lloyd	Yewcic
---------	-------	-------	--------

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair's understanding is that the gentleman, Mr. Williams, is now willing to withdraw amendment 0758. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair would advise the members that we are now going back through the packets that were already done and taking up the amendments that were put over temporarily. If you have one of those amendments, please be prepared to go. We are on packet 13.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. GEORGE offered the following amendment No. A0731:

Amend Sec. 213, page 58, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 18,239,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 59, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 30,403,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 62, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 368,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 62, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 56,692,000

Amend Sec. 213, page 63, by inserting between lines 13 and 14
For abandoned surface mine
reclamation.

State appropriation 10,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman withdraws the amendment? The Chair thanks the gentleman.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. For what purpose does the lady, Ms. Mundy, seek recognition?

Ms. MUNDY. Mr. Speaker, may I correct the record?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Now would be a good time.

Ms. MUNDY. Mr. Speaker, on amendment No. 782, Representative Habay's amendment, I was incorrectly recorded as voting in the negative. I would like the record to reflect that I would have voted for that amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. REINARD offered the following amendment No. A0841:

Amend Sec. 201, page 20, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,596,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,741,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, lines 6 through 11, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 26,549,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 23, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,225,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 24, lines 24 and 25, by striking out all of said lines

Amend Sec. 203, page 26, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 685,000

Amend Sec. 204, page 26, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 31,155,000

Amend Sec. 205, page 27, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 37,327,000

Amend Sec. 206, page 28, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 18,015,000

Amend Sec. 208, page 31, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	22,411,000
Amend Sec. 208, page 32, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	2,000,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 35, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	12,991,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	4,566,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	9,850,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 36, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,385,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 37, lines 4 through 6, by striking out all of said lines	State appropriation	25,000,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	15,000,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 37, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	17,800,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 38, lines 8 through 10, by striking out all of said lines and inserting	State appropriation	3,000,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 38, lines 15 and 16, by striking out all of said lines	State appropriation	2,055,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	8,140,000
Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	11,034,000
Amend Sec. 210, page 42, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	973,696,000
Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	17,889,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 46, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	18,889,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 51, lines 9 and 10, by striking out all of said lines	State appropriation	19,397,000
Amend Sec. 212, page 56, lines 25 through 27, by striking out all of said lines	State appropriation	58,476,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 58, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	52,652,000
Amend Sec. 213, page 62, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	19,397,000
Amend Sec. 214, page 65, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	58,476,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 66, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	18,764,000

Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	7,201,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	5,164,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 68, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	3,035,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 69, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	16,007,000
Amend Sec. 215, page 71, lines 25 through 30, by striking out all of said lines and inserting services.	State appropriation	2,100,000
Amend Sec. 216, page 74, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	16,310,000
Amend Sec. 217, page 75, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	9,247,000
Amend Sec. 218, page 78, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	14,051,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 82, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	35,107,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 85, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	36,221,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 90, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	346,235,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 93, line 22, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	734,383,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 94, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	669,501,000
Amend Sec. 219, page 105, line 12, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	20,805,000
Amend Sec. 220, page 107, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	106,787,000
Amend Sec. 221, page 107, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,329,000
Amend Sec. 222, page 108, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,668,000
Amend Sec. 222, page 109, by inserting between lines 28 and 29 For transfer to the Motor License Fund to augment highway maintenance funds.	State appropriation	94,183,000
To augment the Public Transportation Assistance Fund for payments to transit entities. These funds may be used for capital projects or asset maintenance at the discretion of the transit entities.	State appropriation	47,091,000
Amend Sec. 223, page 111, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	117,967,000

Amend Sec. 225, page 113, line 2, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	4,687,000
Amend Sec. 229, page 116, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	16,418,000
Amend Sec. 233, page 118, line 25, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	56,618,000
Amend Sec. 234, page 119, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	2,840,000
Amend Sec. 235, page 119, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	2,114,000
Amend Sec. 237, page 120, line 9, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	1,207,000
Amend Sec. 281, page 154, lines 12 through 14, by striking out all of said lines	
Amend Sec. 801, page 167, line 18, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
In addition, \$47,091,000 of this appropriation shall be allocated to each county based on its percentage of lane miles to the total lane miles of all State highways. An additional \$47,091,000 shall be allocated to each county based on its percentage of vehicle miles traveled to the total vehicle miles traveled on all State highways. The latter two allocations shall be targeted to those highways having poorest road quality in each county as determined by the Department of Transportation.	
State appropriation	719,404,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. On that question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Reinard.

Mr. REINARD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Mr. Speaker, could I take a moment to approach the Chair, please.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may.

(Conference held at Speaker's podium.)

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Reinard, withdraws amendment 0841.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley, for the purpose of announcing a committee meeting.
Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
There is a need for a very brief meeting of the Appropriations Committee, and if it is in accordance with the wishes of the

Speaker, I would like to call it immediately for the conference room, probably a 2-minute meeting, and then we would be prepared to report back to the floor immediately.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House will temporarily be at ease while this brief meeting of the Appropriations Committee takes place.

The House would also advise the members that we have one more amendment remaining before we get into reconsiderations. That is the amendment to be offered by the lady, Ms. Bishop. So if she would be prepared to offer that amendment as soon as we finish with the Appropriations Committee meeting, that would expedite matters greatly.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will accept corrections of the record now, if any members do.
The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Wilt.
Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
On amendment No. 759, I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.
On amendment No. 696, I would like to be recorded in the negative.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Clymer. For what purpose does the gentleman rise?
Mr. CLYMER. Mr. Speaker, to make an announcement to have a brief meeting of the State Government Committee.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.
Mr. CLYMER. Mr. Speaker, there will be a meeting right now; the meeting will carry on less than 2 minutes in the hall of the House. We must consider a resolution for a public hearing.
So I ask all members of the State Government Committee to meet in the hall of the House, in the rear of the House, right now; in the rear of the House immediately. Thank you.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Ms. Williams.
Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
I would like to change the record on amendment 724. I was not recorded as voting and wish to show for the record that had I been recorded, I would have voted in the affirmative.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.
Ms. WILLIAMS. And as to amendment 696, I was recorded in the affirmative when I should have been recorded in the negative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair thanks the lady. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Pippy.

Mr. PIPPY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My vote was recorded in error for amendment A0643. I wish my vote to be recorded in the affirmative for A0643. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Indiana County, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to correct the record.

On amendment 0530 my vote was not recorded. I wish to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The lady's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Kirkland.

Mr. KIRKLAND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to correct the record.

On amendment A0696 I was mistakenly recorded in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Berks County, Mr. Santoni.

Mr. SANTONI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

To correct the record on amendment 0736. I was recorded as not voting on that amendment, and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence County, Mr. Sainato.

Mr. SAINATO. Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct the record.

On amendment A0696 I was recorded in the affirmative. I wish to be recorded in negative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Eachus.

Mr. EACHUS. Mr. Speaker, it has come to my attention that my vote did not register on amendment 636. I would like to have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Mr. EACHUS. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Coy, is recognized.

Mr. COY. Mr. Speaker, on amendment 595 to the bill that we are currently considering, my switch incorrectly recorded me in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

Are there any other members seeking to correct the record?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Fargo.

Mr. FARGO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On amendment 747 to HB 847, I was recorded in the negative and I would like to show an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

HOUSE BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 1071 By Representatives WALKO, DONATUCCI, MASLAND, LYNCH, TRAVAGLIO, STERN, READSHAW, McNAUGHTON, HERMAN, LEDERER, OLASZ, BARRAR, SCRIMENTI, STABACK, RUBLEY, BELARDI, MANDERINO, COLAFELLA, SCHRODER, TANGRETTI, CLYMER, TRELLO, MELIO, CLARK, JOSEPHS, WOGAN, BENNINGHOFF, BROWN, CIVERA, STEELMAN, RAMOS, ROHRER, YOUNGBLOOD and C. WILLIAMS

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, providing for adult entertainment permits; and further providing for amusement permits and for unlawful acts relative to liquor, malt and brewed beverages and licensees.

Referred to Committee on LIQUOR CONTROL, April 2, 1997.

No. 1072 By Representatives ROBERTS, BELARDI, WAUGH, HENNESSEY, TRELLO, MELIO, WALKO, TIGUE, YOUNGBLOOD, PISTELLA, MIHALICH, STEELMAN, SEYFERT, BOSCOLA and BROWNE

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1957 (P.L.152, No.71), entitled "A supplement to the act of June 2, 1915 (P.L.736), entitled, as amended 'An act defining the liability of an employer to pay damages for injuries received by an employe in the course of employment; establishing an elective schedule of compensation; providing procedure for the determination of liability and compensation thereunder; and prescribing penalties,' providing for the payment of compensation to auxiliary police or their dependents," providing for volunteer fire company members.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1073 By Representatives ROBERTS, ITKIN, HALUSKA, MELIO, BELARDI, BOSCOLA and SHANER

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for a National Road plate.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1074 By Representatives BAKER, ARMSTRONG, ZUG, MASLAND, FARGO, FICHTER, GODSHALL, ROHRER, EGOLF, NAILOR, LEH, WAUGH and HUTCHINSON

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, providing for a limit on operating budget increases.

Referred to Committee on APPROPRIATIONS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1075 By Representatives ZUG, HERSHEY, BUXTON, GEIST, TRELLO, FICHTER, ALLEN, SAINATO, SATHER, LYNCH and ROSS

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor and the Secretary of Conservation and Natural Resources, to convey to Jackson Township a road right-of-way situate in Jackson Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1076 By Representatives HANNA, CURRY, YOUNGBLOOD, PETRARCA, HENNESSEY, TRELLO, ITKIN, McCALL, DRUCE, BOSCOLA, L. I. COHEN and BELFANTI

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing exclusion from sales tax for governmental construction projects.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1077 By Representatives HANNA, FAIRCHILD, NAILOR, RUBLEY, BARRAR, CLARK, HALUSKA, VAN HORNE, STERN, DALEY, MELIO, YEWIC, ROHRER, EGOLF, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, DeLUCA and LYNCH

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for school director eligibility and for business dealings and conflicts of interest.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1078 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY, BELARDI, DALEY, LEH, STEELMAN, ITKIN, PESCI and BOSCOLA

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for fine proceeds collected from cruelty to animals violations.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1079 By Representatives HANNA, ARMSTRONG, ROBERTS, BELARDI, RUBLEY, PLATTS, PISTELLA, GEIST, BAKER, TRICH, MELIO, SEYFERT, E. Z. TAYLOR, WOGAN, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, L. I. COHEN, C. WILLIAMS, BATTISTO, THOMAS and CORPORA

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the sale of tobacco, cigarettes or cigarette papers to minors.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1080 By Representatives HANNA, MELIO, SHANER, LYNCH, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and BENNINGHOFF

An Act designating a certain bridge on SR 2012 in Castanea Township, Clinton County, as the Castanea Firemen's Memorial Bridge.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1081 By Representatives HANNA, WAUGH, YOUNGBLOOD, DALEY, LEH and PESCI

An Act amending the act of June 3, 1937 (P.L.1333, No.320), known as the Pennsylvania Election Code, providing for nonpartisan school board elections.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1082 By Representatives HANNA, DALEY, LEH and PESCI

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for verdicts and preemptory challenges in criminal cases.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1083 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, BELARDI, DALEY, LEH and PESCI

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for defiant trespasser.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1084 By Representatives HANNA, WAUGH, YOUNGBLOOD, BELARDI, DALEY, LEH, PESCI, VAN HORNE and GEIST

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, regulating school building reimbursement.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1085 By Representatives HANNA, MELIO, SHANER, DALEY, LEH, PESCI, VAN HORNE and BOSCOLA

An Act amending the act of December 31, 1965 (P.L.1257, No.511), known as The Local Tax Enabling Act, further providing for delegation of taxing power.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1086 By Representatives HANNA, DALEY, LEH and PESCI

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, further providing for compensation and retirement of judicial officers.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1087 By Representatives HANNA, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and GEIST

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for powers of district justices.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1088 By Representatives HANNA, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and BOSCOLA

An Act amending the act of December 19, 1988 (P.L.1262, No.156), known as the Local Option Small Games of Chance Act, further providing for definitions and eligible organizations.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1089 By Representatives HANNA, CLARK, YOUNGBLOOD, DALEY, LEH, STEELMAN, ITKIN, PESCI, VAN HORNE, CURRY, GEIST and BOSCOLA

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, providing for exclusions for nonprofit construction projects.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1090 By Representatives HANNA, CURRY, MAITLAND, MASLAND, HENNESSEY, KREBS, B. SMITH, CARONE, GORDNER, WALKO, ROEBUCK, BEBKO-JONES, SHANER, McNAUGHTON, MUNDY, BATTISTO, BAKER, McCALL, COY, EGOLF, CLARK, SERAFINI, C. WILLIAMS, CORPORA, STEELMAN, LEVDANSKY, LEH, WAUGH, JOSEPHS, MANDERINO, STERN, CORNELL, BENNINGHOFF and RAMOS

An Act providing for return of beverage containers, for refund values, for transactions by distributors and manufacturers and for rights and remedies.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1091 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, DALEY, LEH, ITKIN and PESCI

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for school building construction costs.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1092 By Representatives HANNA, MELIO, YOUNGBLOOD, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and CURRY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for restoration of a person's operating privilege following revocation for driving under the influence of alcohol.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1093 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, KREBS, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and VAN HORNE

An Act amending the act of December 31, 1965 (P.L.1257, No.511), known as The Local Tax Enabling Act, further providing for collection of earned income tax.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1094 By Representatives HANNA, MELIO, YOUNGBLOOD, SHANER, BELARDI, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and BOSCOLA

An Act amending the act of July 23, 1970 (P.L.563, No.195), known as the Public Employe Relations Act, including confidential employees.

Referred to Committee on LABOR RELATIONS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1095 By Representatives HANNA, MELIO, YOUNGBLOOD, SHANER, LYNCH, BELARDI, DALEY, LEH, ITKIN, PESCI, MANDERINO, CURRY, FLICK, SCRIMENTI, BENNINGHOFF and OLASZ

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, conferring certain duties on the insurer.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1096 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, SHANER, LYNCH, BELARDI, BAKER, DALEY, LEH, STEELMAN and PESCI

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1995 (P.L.89, No.18), known as the Conservation and Natural Resources Act, providing that a portion of revenues from Commonwealth timber, oil and gas sales be distributed to forest districts, school districts and townships in the contiguous area.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1097 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, SHANER, DALEY, LEH and PESCI

An Act requiring the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources to hold public hearings before permitting any experimental process to be conducted on State forest or game lands.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1098 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, DALEY, LEH, PESCI, BOSCOLA and STERN

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for disposition of fines to colleges and universities.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1099 By Representatives HANNA, DALEY, LEH, PESCI and GEIST

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for the definition of "superannuation age."

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1100 By Representatives McNAUGHTON, E. Z. TAYLOR, SAYLOR, ITKIN, DALLY, PISTELLA, CURRY, STEVENSON, STEELMAN, YOUNGBLOOD, MELIO, STABACK, BROWNE, TRELLO, COY, ROBERTS, BELARDI, LYNCH, BENNINGHOFF and RAMOS

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further defining "school bus."

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1101 By Representatives ADOLPH, RAYMOND, FARGO, WAUGH, CURRY, TRAVAGLIO, READSHAW, STEVENSON, BARRAR, SATHER, MARSICO, McCALL, LEH, BENNINGHOFF, BROWNE, CIVERA, BARD, STEELMAN and HENNESSEY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for a reduction in the amount of leeway for speed conviction based on certain devices.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1102 By Representatives ADOLPH, CLARK, HENNESSEY, RAYMOND, MICOZZIE, COLAFELLA, LEH, MELIO, CLYMER, TRELLO, CARN, CIVERA and SEMMEL

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for first-party insurance benefits.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1103 By Representatives ADOLPH, BARRAR, MICOZZIE, RAYMOND, COY, ROEBUCK, WALKO, VITALI, LYNCH, BUNT, GEORGE, DENT, COLAIZZO, TRAVAGLIO, PESCI, SANTONI, MUNDY, OLASZ, READSHAW, HENNESSEY, HERMAN, LEDERER, ZUG, FICHTER, PISTELLA, ROONEY, SATHER, DALLY, VAN HORNE, BELARDI, SAYLOR, RUBLEY, C. WILLIAMS, SAINATO, ITKIN, DONATUCCI, GODSHALL, HESS, CARONE, COLAFELLA, LEVDANSKY, KENNEY, SCHRODER, HASAY, KELLER, TANGRETTI, SHANER, McCALL, LEH, HALUSKA, SURRA, MELIO, CASORIO, TRELLO, PLATTS, BROWN, WOGAN, BENNINGHOFF, L. I. COHEN, MILLER, DALEY, BROWNE, PETRARCA, CIVERA, SEMMEL, ROHRER, GIGLIOTTI, GRUITZA, BARD, E. Z. TAYLOR, RAMOS, STEELMAN, ALLEN, J. TAYLOR and SEYFERT

An Act amending the act of March 11, 1971 (P.L.104, No.3), known as the Senior Citizens Rebate and Assistance Act, further providing for property tax and rent rebate.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1104 By Representatives STEIL, LYNCH, CURRY, MELIO, WAUGH, YOUNGBLOOD, HENNESSEY and STEELMAN

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further defining "safety zone."

Referred to Committee on GAME AND FISHERIES, April 2, 1997.

No. 1105 By Representatives RUBLEY, SURRA, DENT, BAKER, READSHAW, DERMODY, GLADECK, CORPORA, ARGALL, L. I. COHEN, BOSCOLA, BROWNE, COWELL, REBER, SAYLOR, MICHLOVIC, GIGLIOTTI, STEELMAN, GRUPPO, GEORGE, CLARK, YOUNGBLOOD, LAUGHLIN, NAILOR, COLAIZZO, HENNESSEY, MAYERNIK, BEBKO-JONES, TULLI, TRELLO, M. N. WRIGHT, DALEY, SANTONI, STETLER, MELIO, BELARDI, HALUSKA, HERMAN, MARSICO, KAISER, STURLA, ALLEN, SCRIMENTI, PISTELLA, WALKO, GODSHALL, JOSEPHS, FAIRCHILD, SHANER, ROEBUCK, ROONEY, TANGRETTI, VITALI, MUNDY, STEIL, FICHTER, TRAVAGLIO, ROBINSON, BARD, COY, CIVERA, McCALL, EGOLF, LYNCH, KENNEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, CASORIO, EACHUS, BATTISTO, MYERS, HORSEY, ADOLPH, C. WILLIAMS, ITKIN, PLATTS, RAYMOND, STABACK, VEON, MILLER, STAIRS, LEVDANSKY, PETRONE, MANDERINO, DeLUCA, VAN HORNE, WOJNAROSKI, M. COHEN, BENNINGHOFF, CORNELL, CURRY, SCHRODER, PETRARCA, CAPPABIANCA, RAMOS, STEVENSON, THOMAS and JAMES

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for advance notification of pesticide application within schools, school grounds, athletic fields and playgrounds.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1106 By Representatives ROSS, BARD, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of July 31, 1968 (P.L.805, No.247), known as the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, further providing for construction, for planning commission membership and business, for planning agency powers and duties, for compliance by counties, for official municipality maps, for county planning agency jurisdiction, for subdivision and land development ordinances, for plat approval and recording, for preventive remedies, for the transportation capital improvements plan, for ordinance provisions, for classifications, for municipal curative amendments and for standards and conditions for planned residential development; providing for transferable development rights; further providing for zoning hearing board membership, organization, jurisdiction and time limits; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1107 By Representatives HERMAN, ROSS, BARD, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of December 20, 1967 (P.L.869, No.385), known as the Public Works Contractors' Bond Law of 1967, further providing for enforcement of laborers' claims and for financial security of contractors and bidders.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1108 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, ROSS and COY

An Act amending the act of August 9, 1955 (P.L.323, No.130), known as The County Code, further providing for contract procedures.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1109 By Representatives BARD, ROSS, LESCOVITZ and HERMAN

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, increasing per diem allowance for township officials to \$50.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1110 By Representatives NAILOR, MICOZZIE, D. W. SNYDER, MASLAND, GEORGE, OLASZ, PETTIT, MANDERINO, SEMMEL, LYNCH, ARGALL, COY, KENNEY, BELARDI, C. WILLIAMS, FAIRCHILD, SCRIMENTI, CURRY, PRESTON, JOSEPHS, HENNESSEY, TRAVAGLIO, BENNINGHOFF, E. Z. TAYLOR, ROHRER, ROBERTS, YOUNGBLOOD, BOSCOLA, TRELLO, RAMOS, STEELMAN, MARSICO, ROSS and EGOLF

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, providing for insurance coverage for treatment of temporomandibular joint dysfunction and surgery, if medically necessary, for deformities of the maxilla or mandible.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1111 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further regulating public records.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1112 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, ROSS, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, further providing for the retention of records.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1113 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, ROSS, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of May 9, 1949 (P.L.908, No.250), entitled "An act relating to public records of political subdivisions other than cities and counties of the first class; authorizing the recording and copying of documents, plats, papers and instruments of writing by photostatic, photographic, microfilm or other mechanical process, and the admissibility thereof and enlargements thereof in evidence; providing for the storage of duplicates and sale of microfilm copies of official records and for the destruction of other records deemed valueless; and providing for the services of the Department of Property and Supplies to political subdivisions," further providing for methods for the copying of certain records, for identification of records, for duplicates of records, for the sale of certain records, for the destruction or disposal of certain records, for records requiring special care and for Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission services to political subdivisions.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1114 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of August 14, 1963 (P.L.839, No.407), entitled, as amended, "An act creating a county records committee; imposing powers and duties upon it; authorizing the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission to assist and cooperate with it; defining county records; and authorizing the disposition of certain county records by county officers in counties of the second to eighth class," further providing for definitions; providing for a definition of "county" and clarifying the application of the act to include home rule counties; and further providing for the disposition of county records.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1115 By Representatives BARD, ROSS, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending Title 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for additional methods for the recording and copying of certain records.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1116 By Representatives BARD, ROSS, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of May 11, 1949 (P.L.1076, No.311), entitled "An act authorizing the recording, copying and recopying, of documents, plats, papers, written instruments, records and books on file or of record, and the replacement and certification of originals previously filed and of record, by officers of counties of the first class and of cities of the first class, by photostatic, photographic, microphotographic, microfilm, or other mechanical process; relating to the effect and use of such copies, records, reproductions, replacements and transcripts, or certified copies

thereof, and providing for additional methods for revision of and entries to be made on originals and copies so produced or replaced," further providing for additional methods for the recording, copying and maintenance of records.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1117 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act authorizing the State Tax Equalization Board to provide financial assistance to counties for assessment reform; creating a revolving loan fund from a restricted account within the General Fund; providing for grants-in-aid and loans for assessment improvement including countywide reassessment; providing for the powers and duties of the State Tax Equalization Board; and making an appropriation.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1118 By Representatives HERMAN, LESCOVITZ, BARD and COY

An Act amending the act of May 21, 1943 (P.L.571, No.254), known as The Fourth to Eighth Class County Assessment Law, including third class counties within the scope of the act; further providing for assessment procedures, for appeals of assessments and for the powers and duties of county commissioners and of the governing body of home rule charter counties; making editorial changes; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1119 By Representatives LESCOVITZ, HERMAN and COY

An Act amending the act of June 26, 1931 (P.L.1379, No.348), referred to as the Third Class County Assessment Board Law, limiting the act to counties of the second class A.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1120 By Representatives BARD, ROSS, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of May 22, 1933 (P.L.853, No.155), known as The General County Assessment Law, further providing for definitions, for application and construction, for subjects of local taxation, for tax assessors, for triennial and inter-triennial assessments and for revisions and appeals; providing for optional assessment revision process, for administrative review, for appeals to the Board of Assessment Revisions and for appeals to courts of common pleas; and further providing for repeals.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1121 By Representatives COY, BARD, HERMAN and LESCOVITZ

An Act amending the act of June 27, 1947 (P.L.1046, No.447), referred to as the State Tax Equalization Board Law, further providing for powers and duties of the State Tax Equalization Board, for monthly reports, for annual reports, for certification, for adjusting valuations, for subsidies, for special aid to school districts and for the common level ratio.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1122 By Representatives BARD, HERMAN, LESCOVITZ and COY

An Act amending the act of June 23, 1931 (P.L.932, No.317), known as The Third Class City Code, further defining "common level ratio"; further providing for board determinations in assessment appeals; providing for assessment errors and refunds; and further providing for court determinations in assessment appeals.

Referred to Committee on URBAN AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1123 By Representatives RAMOS, MELIO, BELARDI, PESCI, WALKO, SHANER, LaGROTTA, CARN, TRICH, STURLA, YOUNGBLOOD, ITKIN, PISTELLA, MYERS, STEELMAN, MANDERINO, JOSEPHS, SAINATO and PETRARCA

An Act requiring business entities which apply for job creation tax credits to hire certain persons ineligible for or terminated from public assistance; providing for the duties of the Department of Community and Economic Development; requiring certain State jobs to be filled by such persons; and providing for the duties of the Secretary of Administration.

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1124 By Representatives COY, FLEAGLE, COWELL, HORSEY, ROBINSON, BELARDI, WALKO, SHANER, ROONEY, GORDNER, JOSEPHS, STABACK, MELIO, LAUGHLIN, TIGUE, M. COHEN, TRAVAGLIO, YOUNGBLOOD, STURLA, BATTISTO, PISTELLA, BEBKO-JONES, McCALL, MARKOSEK, STEELMAN, ITKIN, CURRY, BOSCOLA, VEON, BELFANTI, SCRIMENTI, CORPORA, MYERS, MIHALICH and C. WILLIAMS

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, providing for a school breakfast program.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1125 By Representatives BARD, COY, BLAUM, RUBLEY, STEIL, HERMAN, FLEAGLE, TRUE, PLATTS, SERAFINI, FLICK, RAYMOND, E. Z. TAYLOR, SHANER, STEELMAN, BAKER, HARHART, ROONEY, BARRAR, BELARDI, SCRIMENTI, SAYLOR, JOSEPHS, CORPORA, MUNDY, McGILL, ARMSTRONG, TRAVAGLIO, WALKO, EGOLF, FARGO, WAUGH, CASORIO, FAIRCHILD, CLARK, BEBKO-JONES, C. WILLIAMS, DALEY, YOUNGBLOOD, TIGUE, PISTELLA, BENNINGHOFF, CORNELL, SCHRODER, KENNEY, MILLER, ORIE, McCALL, BOSCOLA, McNAUGHTON, ROSS, TULLI, TRELLO, L. I. COHEN, RAMOS, CURRY, THOMAS and LYNCH

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, prohibiting unauthorized administration of an intoxicant; and providing for additional penalties for certain drug-induced rape.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1126 By Representatives D. W. SNYDER, TRICH, MUNDY, TRAVAGLIO, DeLUCA, ADOLPH, COY, HORSEY, BAKER, B. SMITH, McGILL, BATTISTO, BARD, BLAUM, YEWIC, McCALL, SEMMEL, EGOLF, KENNEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, CLYMER, YOUNGBLOOD, TRUE, SCHULER, FEESE, RUBLEY, THOMAS, GEIST, READSHAW, SANTONI, MELIO, GIGLIOTTI, KREBS, BELARDI, HERMAN, FARGO, BUXTON, BROWN, FICHTER, LYNCH, ALLEN, SATHER, LaGROTTA, SHANER, ZUG, TIGUE, PISTELLA, STEELMAN, PHILLIPS, BUNT, McNAUGHTON, STABACK, SCHRODER, ITKIN, PLATTS, SERAFINI, ROONEY, MAJOR, WALKO, CORNELL, DALEY, WAUGH, STERN, HENNESSEY, VAN HORNE, SAINATO, OLASZ, BENNINGHOFF, MILLER, ORIE, STEVENSON, WILT, BOSCOLA, CAWLEY, L. I. COHEN, COLAFELLA, BELFANTI, BROWNE, GRUPPO, HALUSKA, PETRARCA, RAMOS, CURRY, C. WILLIAMS and SAYLOR

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for provisions relating to intimidation of victims and witnesses of elder abuse.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1127 By Representatives PETRARCA, CLARK, TRAVAGLIO, E. Z. TAYLOR, TIGUE, TRELLO, McCALL, ROONEY, COY, CURRY, RAMOS, MIHALICH, SCHRODER, DALEY, STABACK, WALKO, MARKOSEK, ITKIN, TANGRETTI, PRESTON, CAPPABIANCA, GIGLIOTTI, TRICH, SEYFERT, MELIO, ROBERTS, BELARDI, OLASZ, VAN HORNE, KELLER, BUTKOVITZ, HALUSKA, SHANER, YOUNGBLOOD, BOSCOLA and BELFANTI

An Act amending the act of July 9, 1990 (P.L.340, No.78), known as the Public Safety Emergency Telephone Act, providing for telephone customer service addresses to be printed on service bills.

Referred to Committee on CONSUMER AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 1128 By Representatives HERMAN, BARD, THOMAS, FARGO, LEDERER, BELARDI, HORSEY, ROONEY, GRUITZA, ITKIN, BROWNE, HUTCHINSON, HENNESSEY, SERAFINI, CORNELL, COLAFELLA, TRELLO, TIGUE, BLAUM, WAUGH, COY, LAUGHLIN, MELIO, SEYFERT, SAINATO, BUNT, BENNINGHOFF and ORIE

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for issuance and content of driver's license.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1129 By Representatives C. WILLIAMS, THOMAS, YOUNGBLOOD, LEDERER, BELARDI, ROONEY, WALKO, CURRY, MUNDY, BLAUM, CALTAGIRONE, STABACK, PLATTS, BOSCOLA, ROBINSON, BROWNE, READSHAW, BEBKO-JONES, BARRAR, COY, KENNEY, WASHINGTON, LAUGHLIN, E. Z. TAYLOR, MANDERINO, ROEBUCK, COWELL, JOSEPHS, BARD, PESCI, MELIO, McCALL, RAMOS, STEELMAN and A. H. WILLIAMS

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for sanctions on driver's licenses and vehicle registrations against support delinquents.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1130 By Representatives BISHOP, OLIVER, TULLI, McGEEHAN, THOMAS, MELIO, PISTELLA, WALKO, ROEBUCK, NAILOR, DALEY, COY, TRICH, STEIL, YOUNGBLOOD, SERAFINI, MIHALICH, DeLUCA, JOSEPHS, MANDERINO, COLAFELLA, LUCYK, STERN, SCRIMENTI, BUTKOVITZ, C. WILLIAMS and CAPPABIANCA

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for unmarked police vehicles.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1131 By Representatives MAITLAND, HERSHEY, MILLER, CARONE, TRELLO, ROBERTS, E. Z. TAYLOR, MELIO, HALUSKA, SEMMEL, ZUG, BROWN, SERAFINI, TRICH, WALKO, MASLAND, FAIRCHILD, ARMSTRONG, BAKER, WILT, GODSHALL, LEH, SATHER, STERN, SAINATO, HASAY, PETRARCA, SAYLOR, DALEY, HARHART, PHILLIPS, STRITTMATTER, EGOLF, TRUE, SHANER, YOUNGBLOOD, McCALL, HESS, PLATTS, BOSCOLA, WAUGH, JOSEPHS, ROSS, ROHRER and COLAFELLA

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, exempting transfers of certain farmland from inheritance tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1132 By Representatives MAITLAND, LYNCH, FAIRCHILD, BEBKO-JONES, BAKER, GORDNER, YOUNGBLOOD, RUBLEY, CLARK, FARGO, BELARDI, TIGUE, STEIL, SATHER, BATTISTO, SAYLOR, STABACK, BROWNE, CLYMER, STRITTMATTER, EGOLF, STEELMAN, DeLUCA, NICKOL, McCALL, STETLER, BOSCOLA, MILLER, CARONE, C. WILLIAMS, SERAFINI, SHANER, ROHRER, STERN, E. Z. TAYLOR, MELIO, HALUSKA, SCRIMENTI, SEMMEL, TRELLO, SURRA, BENNINGHOFF and RAMOS

An Act amending the act of July 9, 1976 (P.L.817, No.143), known as the Mental Health Procedures Act, further providing for age for voluntary treatment.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1133 By Representatives MAITLAND, BAKER, STERN, BELARDI, McCALL, L. I. COHEN, TRELLO, LAUGHLIN, SAINATO, McGILL, RAYMOND, COLAFELLA, RAMOS and JAMES

An Act amending Title 71 (State Government) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for creditable nonstate service.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1134 By Representatives MAITLAND, LYNCH, KENNEY, HENNESSEY, GODSHALL, LEH, SATHER, HUTCHINSON, HESS, MILLER, ROHRER, ROBERTS and CORNELL

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for the use of interior lights; and further providing for the use of sun screening.

Referred to Committee on TRANSPORTATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1135 By Representative SERAFINI

An Act providing for removal of open space land use restrictions on a tract of land situate in the Township of Newton, Lackawanna County.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1136 By Representatives MICOZZIE, COLAFELLA, SATHER, O'BRIEN, COLAIZZO, SCHRODER, DEMPSEY, MELIO, M. N. WRIGHT, ROONEY, OLASZ, MARKOSEK, FAIRCHILD, SEMMEL, HORSEY, STABACK, DeLUCA, SURRA, GEORGE, TRELLO, YOUNGBLOOD, WAUGH, DALLY, LYNCH, E. Z. TAYLOR, CLARK, SERAFINI, BUNT, LEH, BROWNE, THOMAS and JAMES

An Act providing for certain standards for health care and health care insurance.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1137 By Representatives MICOZZIE, COLAFELLA, SATHER, COLAIZZO, MELIO, M. N. WRIGHT, FICHTER, TANGRETTI, ROONEY, O'BRIEN, OLASZ, TULLI, MARKOSEK, STURLA, SHANER, BAKER, MUNDY, SEMMEL, TIGUE, ITKIN, TRUE, PLATTS, BUNT, KENNEY, VAN HORNE, SURRA, BOSCOLA, L. I. COHEN, GRUPPO, TRELLO, YOUNGBLOOD, STEELMAN, WAUGH, FLEAGLE, RUBLEY, COY, TRICH, E. Z. TAYLOR, SERAFINI, DeLUCA, BROWNE, CURRY, C. WILLIAMS, JAMES and TRAVAGLIO

An Act requiring all health insurance policies to have certain minimum protections.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1138 By Representatives McGEEHAN, PERZEL, BUTKOVITZ, YOUNGBLOOD, BELARDI, LYNCH, DeLUCA, DEMPSEY, SANTONI, TIGUE, TRUE, ITKIN, MICOZZIE, KIRKLAND, HORSEY, CIVERA, ROONEY, O'BRIEN, BROWNE, McCALL, LAUGHLIN, TRELLO, RAMOS, LEDERER, KELLER, MELIO and CORRIGAN

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, requiring instruction in equal proportion on the subjects of human rights violations, genocide, slavery, the mass starvation in Ireland from 1845 to 1850 and the Holocaust.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, April 2, 1997.

No. 1139 By Representatives PLATTS, ARMSTRONG, FLICK, CLARK, KREBS, MASLAND, BARD, CARONE, DALLY, FLEAGLE, NAILOR, MARSICO, CHADWICK, EGOLF, READSHAW, DeLUCA, TRELLO, MAITLAND, ALLEN, WAUGH, BOSCOLA, LYNCH, SHANER, LAUGHLIN, RUBLEY, NICKOL, HUTCHINSON, FAIRCHILD, BAKER, ARGALL, TANGRETTI, STEIL, MILLER, SATHER, FEESE, DENT, DALEY, TRUE, HASAY, VANCE, HANNA, DRUCE, JAMES, STEVENSON, STEELMAN, STETLER, BARRAR, D. W. SNYDER, SERAFINI, TRICH, STRITTMATTER, ROHRER, McGILL, L. I. COHEN, SCHRODER, LEH, MELIO, YEWIC, SEYFERT, ZIMMERMAN, M. N. WRIGHT, ROBERTS, BENNINGHOFF, McNAUGHTON and ROSS

A Joint Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, providing for a maximum number of consecutive terms of office for members of the General Assembly.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 2, 1997.

No. 1140 By Representatives REINARD, GODSHALL, EGOLF, ARMSTRONG, FICHTER, FAIRCHILD, MARSICO, BAKER, SEMMEL, E. Z. TAYLOR, ADOLPH, LEH, HUTCHINSON and CONTI

An Act providing for the imposition of State and political subdivision limitations on the imposition or increase of taxes or tax rates and on the levels of expenditures with certain exceptions.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1141 By Representatives REINARD and STEIL

An Act providing for notice and a hearing prior to holding a game hunt in a State park.

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1142 By Representatives YEWIC, GODSHALL, MARKOSEK, ITKIN, FARGO, LUCYK, COLAFELLA, TRELLO, CAWLEY, TRUE, EGOLF, FICHTER, BAKER, LYNCH, BUXTON, PESCI, BELARDI, STEVENSON, ARGALL, COWELL, SCHRODER, SAYLOR, FLEAGLE, TRAVAGLIO, DeLUCA, ROONEY, ARMSTRONG,

HALUSKA, MELIO, COLAIZZO, HUTCHINSON, ROSS, McCALL, HENNESSEY, KENNEY, SCRIMENTI, MILLER, OLASZ, STERN, SEYFERT, SHANER, LAUGHLIN, GRUITZA, SERAFINI, CIVERA, ROHRER, STEELMAN, L. I. COHEN, RAMOS, EVANS, PISTELLA, MUNDY, THOMAS, STETLER, CORRIGAN, BROWNE, DRUCE, BOYES, S. H. SMITH, RAYMOND, RUBLEY, PIPPY, DEMPSEY, HABAY, BUNT, ORIE, GIGLIOTTI, TIGUE, ALLEN, McNAUGHTON and EACHUS

An Act amending the act of March 4, 1971 (P.L.6, No.2), known as the Tax Reform Code of 1971, further providing for transfers not subject to inheritance tax.

Referred to Committee on FINANCE, April 2, 1997.

No. 1143 By Representatives BUNT, L. I. COHEN, CLARK, LEH, DEMPSEY, FEESE, MASLAND, MARSICO and WASHINGTON

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, defining the offenses of aggravated assault by vehicle and aggravated homicide by vehicle.

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 2, 1997.

No. 1144 By Representatives KENNEY, O'BRIEN, OLIVER, PETRONE, THOMAS, CORNELL, BELFANTI, ALLEN, ARMSTRONG, BARRAR, BEBKO-JONES, BELARDI, BENNINGHOFF, BIRMELIN, BISHOP, BOSCOLA, BUNT, BUXTON, CALTAGIRONE, CAPPABIANCA, CASORIO, CHADWICK, CIVERA, CLYMER, M. COHEN, COWELL, CURRY, DeLUCA, DEMPSEY, DeWEESE, DiGIROLAMO, GEIST, GRUPPO, HENNESSEY, ITKIN, JAMES, JAROLIN, JOSEPHS, KIRKLAND, LaGROTTA, LAUGHLIN, LEDERER, LUCYK, MAITLAND, MANDERINO, MASLAND, McGEEHAN, MELIO, MICHLOVIC, MILLER, MUNDY, MYERS, NICKOL, OLASZ, PETTIT, PHILLIPS, PRESTON, RAMOS, ROEBUCK, ROONEY, ROSS, RUBLEY, SANTONI, SATHER, SAYLOR, SHANER, STERN, STETLER, STRITTMATTER, SURRA, TANGRETTI, J. TAYLOR, TRAVAGLIO, TRICH, TRUE, VAN HORNE, WASHINGTON, A. H. WILLIAMS, WOGAN, REBER, STURLA, BATTISTO, STABACK, B. SMITH, BLAUM, MARSICO, FAIRCHILD, YOUNGBLOOD, LESCOVITZ, CARN, TIGUE, FLEAGLE, PISTELLA, NAILOR, C. WILLIAMS, YEWIC, McNAUGHTON, LEVDANSKY, SCHRODER, BAKER, CAWLEY, RAYMOND, FARGO, FICHTER, STEELMAN, GODSHALL, LAWLESS, KREBS, McCALL, TULLI, L. I. COHEN and HALUSKA

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for duties of the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs; and making repeals.

Referred to Committee on HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, April 2, 1997.

HOUSE RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

No. 96 By Representatives ROBERTS, DALEY, COY, PETRARCA, DALLY and TANGRETTI

A Resolution opposing the imposition of charges for Pennsylvania State Police services on municipalities with populations in excess of 9,000 persons.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 97 By Representatives BAKER, GEIST, ARMSTRONG, LYNCH, SCHULER, SHANER, READSHAW, FARGO, FICHTER, GEORGE, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, THOMAS, WAUGH, PETTIT, HORSEY, LaGROTTA, SATHER, FLEAGLE, MELIO, TIGUE, MASLAND, CHADWICK, GIGLIOTTI, BELARDI, FAIRCHILD, GORDNER, HESS, BROWN, YEWIC, MAITLAND, KENNEY, CLYMER, TRUE, PLATTS, SERAFINI, ADOLPH, STABACK, OLASZ, LEH, STERN, PHILLIPS, BUNT, McNAUGHTON, HENNESSEY, DeLUCA, COLAFELLA, SCHRODER, HUTCHINSON, MILLER, LUCYK and BENNINGHOFF

A Concurrent Resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to propose an amendment to the Constitution of the United States which would allow children in public schools to engage in certain forms of prayer and Bible readings.

Referred to Committee on INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, April 2, 1997.

No. 98 By Representatives BAKER, TULLI, ARMSTRONG, LYNCH, SCHULER, SHANER, BELARDI, FARGO, FICHTER, BARD, HERSHEY, NAILOR, LEH, WAUGH, FLICK and SEYFERT

A Resolution directing the Transportation Committee to make a study on the greater use of toll roads, the decentralization of our drivers' licensing and motor vehicle registration systems and the privatizing of mass transportation systems.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 99 By Representatives BAKER, GEIST, ARMSTRONG, LYNCH, SCHULER, SHANER, EGOLF, FARGO, FICHTER, BARD, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, ROSS, WAUGH, PETTIT and SEYFERT

A Resolution directing the State Government Committee to study the privatization or contracting out of government services.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 100 By Representatives BAKER, GEIST, ARMSTRONG, LYNCH, PESCI, SHANER, READSHAW, FARGO, GODSHALL, GEORGE, HERSHEY, E. Z. TAYLOR, THOMAS, WAUGH, PETTIT, HORSEY, LaGROTTA, SATHER, FLEAGLE, MELIO, TIGUE, ROHRER, WILT, GIGLIOTTI, BELARDI, STEVENSON, HESS, BROWN,

YEWIC, MAITLAND, KENNEY, CLYMER, STABACK, OLASZ, LEH, STERN, McNAUGHTON, HENNESSEY, DeLUCA, COLAFELLA, HUTCHINSON, MILLER, LUCYK and BENNINGHOFF

A Resolution urging that prayer be returned to the public school systems of this nation.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 102 By Representatives HANNA, YOUNGBLOOD, SHANER, LYNCH, KREBS, PLATTS, DALEY, LEH, STEELMAN, CARONE and PESCI

A Resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives by adding rules relating to special elections to fill vacancies, for automatic calendars and floor votes and for guaranteed committee consideration of certain bills and amending rules relating to fiscal notes, automatic discharge from Appropriations Committee, for consideration of bills, for amendments on third consideration and for suspension and adoption of rules.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 103 By Representatives HANNA, MASLAND, KREBS, CARONE, SHANER, STEIL and BELFANTI

A Resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives by adding rules relating to automatic calendars and floor votes and guaranteed committee consideration of certain bills; and amending rules relating to fiscal notes, automatic discharge from Appropriations Committee, for third consideration and for suspension and adoption of rules.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 107 By Representatives WALKO, ROBINSON, TRELLO, DeWEESE, B. SMITH, BUXTON, BELARDI, McNAUGHTON, CARN, M. N. WRIGHT, MASLAND, COY, DALEY, READSHAW, GEIST, HALUSKA, LAUGHLIN, PESCI, MELIO, SANTONI, LEDERER, RUBLEY, THOMAS, JOSEPHS and DONATUCCI

A Resolution commending the efforts of the Pittsburgh Coalition to Counter Hate Groups.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

No. 108 By Representatives RYAN, MAITLAND, CORRIGAN, ROSS, CLYMER and M. N. WRIGHT

A Concurrent Resolution endorsing the initiative of the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission to apply the designation "Commonwealth Treasure" to any location, structure, record and artifact which the commission deems to be of outstanding historical significance.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 2, 1997.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman, Mr. Walko, is recognized to correct the record.

Mr. WALKO. On amendment 696 I would like the record to reflect I voted in the negative rather than in the positive. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Gannon.

Mr. GANNON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to convene a meeting of the House Judiciary Committee at the rear of the House immediately.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Immediate meeting of the Judiciary Committee in the rear of the hall of the House.

VOTE CORRECTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. PETRARCA. To correct the record, Mr. Speaker.

I wish to be recorded in the negative on amendment 491. Thank you.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman's remarks will be spread upon the record.

THE SPEAKER (MATTHEW J. RYAN) PRESIDING

AMENDMENT REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley, chairman of the Appropriations Committee, who reports, under our special rules, an amendment from the Appropriations Committee, which the clerk will read.

Amendment 0823

By Rep. BARLEY

APPROPRIATIONS.

The SPEAKER. For those of you who are historians, I believe that is the first time a legislative action such as that has taken place.

The House will be at ease, awaiting the return of the Judiciary and State Government Committees.

The House will come to order.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. ROEBUCK offered the following amendment No. A0769:

Amend Sec. 211, page 45, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 982,531,000

Amend Sec. 212, page 54, by inserting between lines 21 and 22

For drug and alcohol abuse prevention grant program.

State appropriation 1,000,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. This is under packet 15.

On the question of the adoption of the Roebuck amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment reduces by \$1 million the appropriation to corrections and uses that money to create a drug and alcohol abuse prevention program. It is my belief, as it is of many of the members of this Assembly and others, that money so invested provides better benefit at the beginning than it does at the end, and it helps to resolve problems rather than trying to remedy problems once those problems exist.

I would ask that the members of the Assembly adopt this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-200

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (200 total). Includes names like Adolph, Allen, Argall, Armstrong, Baker, Bard, Barley, Barrar, Battisto, Bebkco-Jones, Belardi, Belfanti, Benninghoff, Birmelin, Bishop, Blaum, Boscola, Boyes, Brown, Browne, Bunt, Butkovitz, Buxton, Caltagirone, Cappabianca, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Druce, Eachus, Egolf, Evans, Fairchild, Fargo, Feese, Fichter, Fleagle, Flick, Gannon, Geist, George, Gigliotti, Gladeck, Godshall, Gordner, Gruitza, Gruppo, Habay, Haluska, Hanna, Harhart, Maitland, Major, Manderino, Markosek, Marsico, Masland, Mayermik, McCall, McGeehan, McGill, McIlhattan, McNaughton, Melio, Michlovic, Micozzie, Mihalich, Miller, Mundy, Myers, Nailor, Nickol, O'Brien, Olasz, Oliver, Orié, Saylor, Schroder, Schuler, Scrimenti, Semmel, Serafini, Seyfert, Shaner, Smith, B., Smith, S. H., Snyder, D. W., Staback, Stairs, Steelman, Steil, Stern, Stetler, Stevenson, Strittmatter, Sturla, Surra, Tangretti, Taylor, E. Z., Taylor, J., Thomas.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (2 total) and 'NOT VOTING' (0 total) and 'EXCUSED' (1 total). Includes names like Carn, Carone, Casorio, Cawley, Chadwick, Civera, Clark, Clymer, Cohen, L. I., Cohen, M., Colaella, Colaizzo, Conti, Cornell, Corpora, Corrigan, Cowell, Coy, Curry, Daley, Dally, DeLuca, Dempsey, Dent, Dermody, DeWeese, Hasay, Hennessy, Herman, Hershey, Hess, Horsey, Hutchinson, Itkin, Jadlowiec, James, Jarolin, Josephs, Kaiser, Keller, Kenney, Kirkland, Krebs, LaGrotta, Laughlin, Lawless, Lederer, Leh, Lescovitz, Levdansky, Lucyk, Lynch, Perzel, Pesci, Petrarca, Petrone, Pettit, Phillips, Pippy, Pistella, Platts, Preston, Ramos, Raymond, Readshaw, Reber, Reinard, Rieger, Roberts, Robinson, Roebuck, Rohrer, Ross, Rubley, Sainato, Santoni, Sather, Tigue, Travaglio, Trello, Trich, True, Tulli, Vance, Van Horne, Veon, Vitali, Walko, Washington, Waugh, Williams, A. H., Williams, C., Wilt, Wogan, Wojnaroski, Wright, M. N., Youngblood, Zimmerman, Zug, Ryan, Speaker.

NAYS-2

Lloyd Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. DeWEESE offered the following amendment No. A0823:

Amend Sec. 201, page 20, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,163,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 20, line 11, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 6,541,000

Amend Sec. 222, page 110, by inserting between lines 3 and 4

For grants to all counties, except those of the first or second class, or to entities designated by the counties, to provide reduced fare, shared-ride transportation services on a nonfixed route basis for individuals with disabilities to be distributed according to the formula established by the Department of Transportation for reduced fare, shared-ride transportation services for the elderly pursuant to section 4.1 of the act of February 11, 1976 (P.L.14, No.10), known as the Pennsylvania Rural and Intercity Common Carrier Surface Transportation Assistance Act.

State appropriation 2,000,000

Amend Sec. 251, page 121, line 28, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 1,000,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 136, line 4, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,861,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the Democratic floor leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to say to the gentleman from Lancaster County, notwithstanding the inherent pugilism that exists among floor debaters, I very much appreciate his politeness and his responsiveness in allowing me the flexibility, parliamentarily speaking, to introduce this amendment.

I am introducing the amendment on behalf of Pennsylvania's disabled population. Along with Representative Bebko-Jones and others, on both sides of the aisle, many of us have learned the challenges of our community in Pennsylvania of folks with disabilities.

I am asking that a \$2-million change in our budget formula be arranged so that reduced fares and shared rides be provided for our citizenry with disabilities. What this would be is exactly a copy of what is done for our elderly right now.

In Greene County, on occasion, the 26-mile round-trip to a doctor's office and back might be a \$60 fee. If they are going at 10 o'clock, they might not get back till 4 o'clock in the afternoon.

What I am saying is that many times the vans, the vehicular transportation from point A to point B is not full, the elderly population in some counties is such that there would be room for folks with disabilities, and this is something that we have been talking to the administration about from time to time, and hopefully, in this budget or some subsequent opportunity, this kind of program will be adopted.

But bluntly, succinctly, people with disabilities would be treated the same as our elderly population relative to vans, shared-ride and reduced-fare transportation.

Again, thank you, Mr. Speaker, for your acquiescence in allowing me to introduce this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the lady from Erie, Ms. Bebko-Jones.

Ms. BEBKO-JONES. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of House amendment A0823.

Mr. Speaker, for approximately a year and a half, I have had folks in my community, the Erie community, with disabilities that continue to share their frustration with their transportation needs. If we are to help all Pennsylvanians, Mr. Speaker, we must help Pennsylvanians with disabilities so they can continue to enjoy the quality of life that all of us here in this chamber enjoy. The need is so great, Mr. Speaker, that later this month a symposium on transportation for people with disabilities will be held in Erie, Pennsylvania, and will cover the whole northwest region.

So I would ask my colleagues this afternoon for their support on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to oppose Representative DeWeese's amendment not based upon the substance of the amendment but from the standpoint that there have been many members in both caucuses that were a little late in trying to offer an amendment—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

Conversations will please cease.

Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As I was saying, I rise to oppose the DeWeese amendment not on the basis of the substance or the language of the amendment but from the standpoint that many members, and including myself, did not make the deadline to get their amendment in. I also have an amendment that means an awful lot to people, and I wanted to get that in, but I play by the rules, and I do not believe I have enough votes to get the two-thirds necessary or the votes necessary in the Appropriations Committee.

So I rise to oppose the DeWeese amendment, based upon preferential treatment to the minority leader. Thank you.

Mr. DeWEESE. I would like to thank all the GOP for the wonderful treatment you have given me this year.

The SPEAKER. That leaves me somewhat speechless, Mr. DeWeese. I think I will keep that as a note for a funeral.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS--200

Allen	Druce	Major	Schroder
Argall	Eachus	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Egolf	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Evans	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fairchild	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fargo	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Feese	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Flick	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Birmelin	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	Gordner	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	True
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Veon
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh

Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, A. H.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams, C.
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wilt
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Yewcic
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zimmerman
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	Zug
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor	Speaker
Donatucci	Maitland		

NAYS-2

Adolph Carone

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Reinard, do you seek recognition for an amendment or other motion?

Mr. REINARD. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, like the previous speaker, I would like to make a motion to suspend the rules in order to offer an amendment, A0973.

The reason for offering this amendment is not as the previous speaker, Mr. Adolph, spoke about, the fact that my amendment was not offered on time -- as a matter of fact, it was -- but a particular line item in my amendment was deleted when the House dealt with many of the other amendments that were offered today. So what I have is a redraft of my amendment that was filed on time, excluding that individual line item that now does not exist.

I would like to ask the House's indulgence in order to offer the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is the motion of the gentleman, Mr. Reinard, to suspend the rules of the House so that he might offer amendment A0973.

The members should know that to suspend the rules requires a two-thirds vote.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I am very reluctant to stand here today, but for the last 2 days, approximately 12, 15 hours, we have

been going through amendment by amendment by amendment. The first 44 amendments were basically agreed to. A number of the amendments that went into this bill, I did not particularly agree with, but they were already placed in the bill; they won fair and square. From what I understand of the motion to suspend, what would happen after that would be that much of what we have done the last 2 days would be ripped out of the bill and the money would be put toward transportation, and, Mr. Speaker, that is not what the members seemed to have wanted to do the last 2 days, so I would have to oppose the motion to suspend the rules.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. REINARD. Mr. Speaker, I did not believe on a request for a motion that one could debate what I was going to offer, but—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman yield.

The motion to suspend is debatable only by the floor leaders.

Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

With all due respect to the observation that we just monitored, we have not had a chance to make the decision, nor should we, structurally. The majority floor leader rightfully has the option to exercise his judgment on what should or should not be considered and tactically when they should be considered.

However, it should be noted by everybody on this floor that the gentleman at the microphone now is at a very crucial juncture in our debate. The most important tax-raising effort of the Ridge administration's first 4 years is hanging in the balance.

Now, I am not going to cry "death to the Spaniards, and long live our Lady of Guadalupe," which was the rallying cry for the Mexican Revolution—

The SPEAKER. Mr. DeWeese, would you be kind enough to tell me if there was also a rallying cry that dealt with the question of suspension of the rules among those folks, and if so, let us restrict our remarks to those rallying cries.

Mr. DeWEESE. I will admit, it was a metaphorical extravaganza.

The SPEAKER. You took the words right out of my mouth.

Mr. DeWEESE. But it is important, the suspension of the rules is important, because we may avail ourselves of an opportunity to vote for transportation needs, utilizing our surpluses, and disallow this very strenuous exercise that is on the horizon known as the gasoline tax.

I cannot fathom the ingenuity, the perspicacity, the steadfastness of the gentleman as he tries to engineer an alternative. For years and years and years, from some of the gray beards of the GOP, I have heard all these protestations and admonitions against taxes -- taxes -- and now you are caught in a rather schizophrenic moment because you and some of your commanders are engineering a tax increase while we have this surplus.

A suspension of the rules, a suspension of the rules is, it is almost nirvana, Mr. Speaker. We have a chance to allay, assuage, mollify, and palliate any kind of consternation that a tax increase will engender.

This is a worthy motion. I would politely advise that it be embraced favorably.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-94

Armstrong	DiGirolamo	McNaughton	Schroder
Battisto	Donatucci	Melio	Scrimenti
Bebko-Jones	Eachus	Michlovic	Shaner
Belardi	George	Mihalich	Staback
Belfanti	Gigliotti	Mundy	Steelman
Bishop	Gordner	Myers	Stetler
Blaum	Gruitza	Olasz	Sturla
Buxton	Haluska	Oliver	Surra
Caltagirone	Hanna	Pesci	Tangretti
Carn	Itkin	Petrarca	Thomas
Carone	James	Petrone	Travaglio
Casorio	Jarolin	Pistella	Trello
Clymer	Josephs	Preston	Trich
Cohen, M.	Kirkland	Ramos	Tulli
Colaella	LaGrotta	Reinard	Van Horne
Colaizzo	Laughlin	Rieger	Veon
Corpora	Lawless	Roberts	Vitali
Corrigan	Leh	Robinson	Walko
Coy	Lescovitz	Roebuck	Washington
Curry	Levdansky	Rohrer	Waugh
Daley	Lucyk	Sainato	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Manderino	Santoni	Wright, M. N.
Dermody	Marsico	Saylor	Yewcic
DeWeese	McCall		

NAYS-106

Adolph	Egolf	Lederer	Sather
Allen	Evans	Lloyd	Schuler
Argall	Fairchild	Lynch	Semmel
Baker	Fargo	Maitland	Serafini
Bard	Feese	Major	Seyfert
Barley	Fichter	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fleagle	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Flick	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Birmelin	Gannon	McGeehan	Stairs
Boscola	Geist	McGill	Steil
Boyes	Gladeck	McIlhattan	Stern
Brown	Godshall	Micozzie	Stevenson
Browne	Gruppo	Miller	Strittmatter
Bunt	Habay	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Harhart	Nickol	Tigue
Cappabianca	Hasay	O'Brien	True
Cawley	Hennessey	Orie	Vance
Chadwick	Herman	Perzel	Williams, A. H.
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Williams, C.
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Phillips	Wilt
Conti	Horsey	Pippy	Wogan
Cornell	Hutchinson	Platts	Youngblood
Cowell	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Zimmerman
Dally	Kaiser	Readshaw	Zug
Dempsey	Keller	Reber	
Dent	Kenney	Ross	Ryan,
Druce	Krebs	Rubleby	Speaker

NOT VOTING-2

Civera	Taylor, J.
--------	------------

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0559 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George, who moves that the vote by which amendment 559 was defeated to HB 847, PN 949, on the 1st day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-198

Adolph	Donatucci	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	Druce	Major	Schroder
Argall	Eachus	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Egolf	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Evans	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Fairchild	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Fargo	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Feese	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Flick	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Staback
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Stairs
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steelman
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stern
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stetler
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Sturla
Buxton	Habay	Nickol	Surra
Caltagirone	Haluska	O'Brien	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Carone	Hasay	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Tigue
Cawley	Herman	Pesci	Travaglio
Chadwick	Hershey	Petrarca	Trello
Civera	Hess	Petrone	Trich
Clark	Horsey	Pettit	True
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Itkin	Pippy	Vance
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Van Horne
Cowell	James	Platts	Veon
Colaella	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, A. H.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Williams, C.
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wilt
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Yewcic
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Youngblood
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubleby	Zimmerman
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
DiGirolamo			

NAYS-3

Benninghoff	Lynch	Zug
-------------	-------	-----

NOT VOTING—1

Butkovitz

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A0559:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 7, by inserting after "open."

From this amount \$10,000,000 shall be used for a program to assist small school districts. Funds shall be distributed in the following manner: school districts with market value/personal income aid ratios of 0.500 or higher with average daily memberships of 1,500 or less shall receive payments equal to the product of \$85 multiplied by average daily membership. These payments shall not be used to compute percentage increases over amounts to be received during fiscal year 1996-1997. No funds from this appropriation shall be used as payments to school districts for improvements in either academic or nonacademic performance variables.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Mr. Speaker, I rise along with the gentleman from Elk County to reconsider that measure in that we think it is as important as any measure that has gone into this bill on these 2 days that have been quite hectic.

I am very happy, Mr. Speaker, to see that there has been so much attainment toward attempting to do what is right in trying to correct a budget document that needed help. One of the budget items that has always been a concern, Mr. Speaker, legitimately, has been our budgeting for our public school system.

The document that we had submitted for edification, Mr. Speaker, was one that I am happy to say, on this side of the aisle, was endorsed tremendously, and I find it very hard to understand and therefore place it upon myself the reason that quite possibly many fine people on the other side who voted "no" did not realize that in 30 or 40 of these counties that are represented by those fine individuals over there, their vote, in maybe an inadvertent manner, cost their local districts, some of them, as much as \$500,000.

Mr. Speaker, I am having a problem with this voice, and therefore— And I do not want to mention names in that I know you would admonish me, and in my 23 years, I have never done

that, but I am going to ask, Mr. Speaker, if I can ask Mr. DeWeese to go forward with it.

May I continue, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Indeed.

Mr. GEORGE. I am going to ask, Mr. Speaker, that because my voice is failing, that you allow Mr. DeWeese to, because I had his staff help me in preparing the counties and the amounts of money that will be lost by our friends on the other side of the aisle should they continue to decide that this piece of legislation is not worthy of their intention and their support, and therefore, I believe that their districts, should they not reconsider, would suffer greatly because of this.

If you will, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, seek recognition?

Mr. DeWEESE. For 90 seconds.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. DeWEESE. Small, rural school districts are what the gentleman from Clearfield is trying to help.

The gentlemen — and I will adhere to the House rules, sir — the gentlemen from the 91st District; 78th District; 81st District; 80th District; 68th District; 67th District; 63d District, brand-new colleague; 104th, brand-new colleague; 65th, one of my all-time-favorite colleagues; 86th; 66th; 98th; 84th; 83d; 125th; 124th; 110th; 111th; and the 64th District would all benefit substantially from this program.

For years and years and years, we have focused on our big-city school districts and we have been very magnanimous to them. This is a chance for us to be magnanimous to our small, rural school districts.

The gentleman, Mr. George's effort is a worthy one, and I hope it will be sustained.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The minority leader, I believe, mentioned, and I was unable to keep a tab running on them, but somewhere around a dozen individual school districts, give or take, in his floor speech; did not mention the other 480-or-so districts that exist throughout the State. Now, I realize he was referring to legislative districts, so there are another 90 on our side, 190 in total, that he did not indicate the consequence of this amendment in those particular districts.

I would like to point out, however, that the amendment before us changes the formula, as the minority leader indicated, to help, to assist, a few districts but it does that at the expense of the \$10 million being available in an equitable fashion for all of our school districts.

So I think the members need to be sensitive to what the amendment is doing, and again, I just state, the amendment provides language that favors a few districts at the expense of all districts being able to share equitably in this \$10 million.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—113

Baker	Donatucci	Lucyk	Robinson
Battisto	Eachus	Lynch	Roebuck
Bebko-Jones	Evans	Maitland	Sainato
Belardi	Feese	Major	Santoni
Belfanti	George	Manderino	Sather
Benninghoff	Gigliotti	Markosek	Scrimenti
Blaum	Gordner	Marsico	Seyfert
Boscola	Gruitza	Mayernik	Shaner
Butkovitz	Haluska	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Buxton	Hanna	McGeehan	Staback
Caltagirone	Herman	McIlhattan	Steelman
Cappabianca	Hess	McNaughton	Stern
Carn	Horsey	Melio	Surra
Casorio	Hutchinson	Michlovic	Tangretti
Cawley	Itkin	Mihalich	Thomas
Clark	Jadlowiec	Mundy	Tigue
Cohen, M.	James	Myers	Travaglio
Colafrèlla	Jarolin	Olasz	Trello
Colaizzo	Josephs	Oliver	Trich
Corpora	Kaiser	Pesci	Tulli
Corrigan	Keller	Petrarca	Van Horne
Cowell	Kirkland	Petrone	Veon
Coy	LaGrotta	Pistella	Walko
Curry	Laughlin	Preston	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Ramos	Williams, A. H.
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Readshaw	Wojnarowski
Dempsey	Levdansky	Rieger	Yewcic
Dermody	Lloyd	Roberts	Youngblood
DeWeese			

NAYS—88

Adolph	Druce	Miller	Snyder, D. W.
Allen	Egolf	Nailor	Stairs
Argall	Fairchild	Nickol	Steil
Armstrong	Fichter	O'Brien	Stetler
Bard	Fleagle	Orie	Stevenson
Barley	Flick	Perzel	Strittmatter
Barrar	Gannon	Pettit	Sturla
Birmelin	Geist	Phillips	Taylor, E. Z.
Bishop	Gladeck	Pippy	Taylor, J.
Boyes	Godshall	Platts	True
Brown	Gruppo	Raymond	Vance
Browne	Habay	Reber	Vitali
Bunt	Harhart	Reinard	Waugh
Carone	Hasay	Rohrer	Williams, C.
Chadwick	Hennessey	Ross	Wilt
Civera	Hershey	Rublely	Wogan
Clymer	Kenney	Saylor	Wright, M. N.
Cohen, L. I.	Krebs	Schroder	Zimmerman
Conti	Lawless	Schuler	Zug
Cornell	Leh	Semmel	
Dally	Masland	Serafini	Ryan,
Dent	McGill	Smith, B.	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Micozzie		

NOT VOTING—1

Fargo

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Walko, who moves that the vote by which the question of germaneness of amendment No. 511 was passed— Will the House be at ease a moment.

Mr. Walko?

Mr. WALKO. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The reconsideration praecipis that you handed in are asking us to reconsider the question of germaneness.

Mr. WALKO. Mr. Speaker, that was not our intent. I do not understand why that would have been—

The SPEAKER. I wonder if you would come down and pick up these copies and perhaps prepare proper copies.

Mr. WALKO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0519 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Allegheny County, Miss Oriè, who moves that the vote by which amendment No. 519 was passed to HB 847, PN 949, on the 1st day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	DeWeese	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Markosek	Serafini
Bard	Egolf	Marsico	Seyfert
Barley	Evans	Masland	Shaner
Barrar	Fairchild	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Staback
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Steil
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Steelman
Birmelin	Gannon	Melio	Steil
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Strittmatter
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Sturla
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Surra
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Itkin	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	James	Preston	Walko
Colafrèlla	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington

Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	Krebs	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lawless	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Rublely	
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Levdansky	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Lloyd		

NAYS-5

Geist	Nickol	Sather	Zug
Lynch			

NOT VOTING-1

Semmel

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A0519:

Amend Sec. 212, page 58, by inserting between lines 18 and 19

For grants to school districts for the purchase of textbooks. Payments to school districts shall be derived by multiplying the school district's average daily membership by the product of 21.25 times the school district's market value personal income aid ratio.

State appropriation 20,000,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 133, line 13, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 10,160,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 133, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,722,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 133, line 24, by striking out all of said line

Amend Sec. 252, page 136, line 18, by striking out all of said line

Amend Sec. 252, page 136, line 22, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 297,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 142, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 3,545,000

Amend Sec. 252, page 144, line 16, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 8,435,000

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cohen.

We have reconsidered, Mr. Cohen, amendment 519, which you sponsored.

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, I again urge support of this amendment.

This gives \$20 million to school districts throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Every single school district in Pennsylvania gets money from this amendment. The effect of this amendment would be to send a very clear message to school districts throughout the Commonwealth that textbooks are important. It would give every single student or every single group of students an opportunity to get up-to-date textbooks.

In many, many cases throughout this Commonwealth, schoolbooks are out of date, simply because school districts are under pressure to pay teacher salaries, they are under pressure to pay for building maintenance, they are under pressure to pay for extracurricular activities. Textbooks are inanimate objects. They obviously do not speak for themselves. And given a choice between textbooks and people, people tend to win, and the effect of people winning, although minuscule at any one time, when it is endlessly repeated in every single year, districts in many cases lose textbook money; the effect is that the quality of instruction goes down.

So I would therefore urge again that this amendment be passed and that money for textbooks be spread throughout the State.

As I said earlier, this has the support of both the Pennsylvania Federation of Teachers and the Pennsylvania State Education Association. They realize from firsthand experience what happens to textbooks; how textbook spending gets put at the bottom of the pile, year after year, decade after decade.

This would be a step in reversing the erosion of the quality of school textbooks, and we give students throughout the State tangible indications that people care — people in Harrisburg and people in their local school districts care about their education. They want the students to do homework. They want the students to have up-to-date information.

I would urge support for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Cowell, do you desire to be recognized on this subject ?

Mr. COWELL. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the gentleman, this amendment is being reconsidered because a number of people voted in error, and I think the vote will come up higher on this—

Mr. COWELL. Mr. Speaker, I understand and no other remarks will be necessary then. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-202

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel

Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0511 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Boscola, who moves that the vote by which amendment 511 was passed to HB 847 on the 1st day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

EXCUSED-1

Youngblood

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A0511:

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, lines 27 through 29, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

For the Commission on Families and Children.

State appropriation 250,000

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Hutchinson, who withdraws amendment 511.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended ?

AMENDMENT A0500 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Trello, who moves that the vote by which amendment No. 500 was defeated to HB 847, PN 949, on the 1st day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-201

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Mayermik	Shaner
Battisto	Feese	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Geist	Melio	Steelman
Bishop	George	Michlovic	Steil
Blaum	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Godshall	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gordner	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Haluska	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hutchinson,	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Itkin	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pistella	Veon

Cohen, M.	James	Platts	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dally	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Leh	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Dent	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dermody	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
DeWeese	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-1

Williams, C.

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A0500:

Amend Sec. 220, page 107, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 104,866,000

Amend Sec. 229, page 117, lines 16 and 17, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

For museum assistance grants, including \$3,000,000 for a Western Pennsylvania Interactive Railroad Museum and Station.

State appropriation 6,175,000

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Trello, on the amendment.

Mr. TRELLO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment deals with the Western Pennsylvania Interactive Railroad Museum and Station. This amendment is really in fact an investment in the future that will create an awful lot of jobs in my district; at the same time, make available much of the memorabilia that will bring the past back dealing with our railroad system. There is also a very good possibility that this particular museum will invite a new industry into Pennsylvania, into my area, that makes the model trains.

So I really appreciate your reconsideration of this and ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Throughout the course of the last day and a half, almost 2 days, we have had several amendments similar to this, and I know yesterday I had to stand here and oppose the gentleman's amendment. It is just one more example of where there is an appropriation being taken out of the Historical and Museum Commission, that we all or many of us benefit from the Historical and Museum Commission's programs, where we may have institutions within our legislative districts, and this is directing a line item to just one particular institution — in this case, a railroad museum and station — which is in a particular legislative district. We are not doing this for each and every member. For the balance of the members, we are allowing the system to work as it should — competitively, fairly, and openly.

Again, I reluctantly oppose the project but I am opposing the process with which the gentleman is attempting to secure the \$3 million, and so I would encourage the members who are sensitive to the needs they have back in their legislative districts to vote "no" as I will be doing on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—120

Adolph	DeWeese	Marsico	Schuler
Battisto	Donatucci	Mayernik	Semmel
Bebko-Jones	Evans	McCall	Serafini
Belardi	Fichter	McGeehan	Shaner
Belfanti	Gannon	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	George	Melio	Staback
Blaum	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Stairs
Boscola	Gladeck	Micozzie	Stetler
Boyes	Gordner	Mihalich	Stevenson
Browne	Gruitza	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Haluska	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Horsey	Orie	Tigue
Casorio	Itkin	Pesci	Travaglio
Cawley	James	Petrarca	Trello
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Petrone	Trich
Colafrilla	Kaiser	Pettit	True
Colaizzo	Keller	Pippy	Tulli
Cornell	Kenney	Pistella	Van Horne
Corpora	Kirkland	Preston	Yeon
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Ramos	Vitali
Cowell	Laughlin	Readshaw	Walko
Coy	Lederer	Reber	Washington
Curry	Leh	Rieger	Williams, A. H.
Daley	Lescovitz	Roberts	Wogan
DeLuca	Levdansky	Robinson	Wojnarowski
Dempsey	Lucyk	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Markosek	Sainato	Youngblood

NAYS—82

Allen	Druce	Lloyd	Saylor
Argall	Eachus	Lynch	Schroder
Armstrong	Egolf	Maitland	Scrimenti
Baker	Fairchild	Major	Seyfert
Bard	Fargo	Manderino	Smith, B.
Barley	Feese	Masland	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Fleagle	McGill	Steelman
Benninghoff	Flick	McIlhattan	Steil
Birmelin	Geist	Miller	Stern

Brown	Godshall	Mundy	Strittmatter
Buxton	Harhart	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Carone	Hasay	Perzel	Vance
Chadwick	Hennessey	Phillips	Waugh
Civera	Herman	Platts	Williams, C.
Clark	Hershey	Raymond	Wilt
Clymer	Hess	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Conti	Jadlowiec	Ross	Zug
Dally	Josephs	Rublely	
Dent	Krebs	Santoni	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Lawless	Sather	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0664 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Sainato, who moves that the vote by which amendment 664 was defeated to HB 847, PN 949, be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

Adolph	DeLuca	Lederer	Santoni
Allen	Dempsey	Leh	Saylor
Argall	Dent	Lescovitz	Schroder
Armstrong	Dermody	Levdansky	Schuler
Baker	DeWeese	Lloyd	Scrimenti
Bard	DiGirolamo	Lucyk	Semmel
Barley	Donatucci	Manderino	Seyfert
Barrar	Druce	Markosek	Shaner
Battisto	Eachus	Masland	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Egolf	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Evans	McCall	Staback
Belfanti	Fairchild	McGeehan	Stairs
Benninghoff	Feese	McGill	Steelman
Birmelin	Fichter	McIlhattan	Steil
Bishop	Fleagle	McNaughton	Stetler
Blaum	Flick	Melio	Stevenson
Boscola	Gannon	Michlovic	Strittmatter
Boyes	George	Micozzie	Sturla
Brown	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Surra
Browne	Gladeck	Miller	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	Mundy	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Gordner	Myers	Thomas
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tigue
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Trello
Carn	Haluska	Orie	Trich
Carone	Hanna	Perzel	True
Casorio	Harhart	Pesci	Tulli
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrarca	Vance
Chadwick	Herman	Petrone	Van Horne

Civera	Hershey	Pettit	Veon
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Vitali
Clymer	Horsey	Pippy	Walko
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Washington
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Waugh
Colafella	James	Ramos	Williams, A. H.
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Raymond	Williams, C.
Conti	Josephs	Readshaw	Wogan
Cornell	Kaiser	Reber	Wojnaroski
Corpora	Keller	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Kenney	Rieger	Yewcic
Cowell	Kirkland	Roberts	Youngblood
Coy	Krebs	Robinson	Zimmerman
Curry	LaGrotta	Roebuck	
Daley	Laughlin	Rublely	Ryan,
Dally	Lawless	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS-19

Fargo	Major	Rohrer	Stern
Geist	Marsico	Ross	Taylor, E. Z.
Hasay	Nailor	Sather	Wilt
Lynch	Nickol	Serafini	Zug
Maitland	Platts	Smith, S. H.	

NOT VOTING-1

Itkin

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A0664**:

Amend Sec. 209, page 37, by inserting between lines 13 and 14 Grants authorized by the act of July 11, 1996 (P.L.677, No.116), known as the Infrastructure Development Act, may also be awarded to private developers or private companies constructing or converting industrial or manufacturing buildings into multitenant or single-use facilities in distressed communities.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. SAINATO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I ask for reconsideration of this amendment because it deals with industrial sites throughout the whole Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It costs no extra money. It just expands the program, allowing for single-use facilities to be eligible for loans and grants, where right now it is just multiuse facilities.

It could affect every district in our Commonwealth where it involves a single-use facility, and I ask for your consideration on this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-178

Adolph	Dermody	Lescovitz	Schuler
Allen	DeWeese	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Argall	DiGirolamo	Lloyd	Semmel
Armstrong	Donatucci	Lucyk	Serafini
Baker	Druce	Manderino	Shaner
Bard	Eachus	Markosek	Smith, B.
Barley	Egolf	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Evans	McCall	Staback
Battisto	Fairchild	McGeehan	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGill	Steelman
Belardi	Fichter	Melio	Steil
Belfanti	Fleagle	Michlovic	Stetler
Birmelin	Flick	Micozzie	Stevenson
Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Strittmatter
Blaum	George	Miller	Sturla
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mundy	Surra
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gordner	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruitza	Oliver	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Orie	Tigue
Cappabianca	Habay	Perzel	Travaglio
Cam	Haluska	Pesci	Trello
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Trich
Casorio	Harhart	Petrone	True
Cawley	Hasay	Pettit	Tulli
Chadwick	Hennessey	Phillips	Vance
Civera	Herman	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Hershey	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Itkin	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	James	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Jarolin	Readshaw	Williams, A. H.
Cornell	Josephs	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kaiser	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Keller	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Kenney	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Kirkland	Robinson	Yewcic
Curry	Krebs	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	LaGrotta	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Laughlin	Rublely	Zug
DeLuca	Lawless	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lederer	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Leh	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-22

Benninghoff	Hutchinson	Masland	Sather
Boyes	Jadlowiec	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Brown	Lynch	Nailor	Smith, S. H.
Clark	Maitland	Nickol	Stern
Fargo	Major	Ross	Wilt
Geist	Marsico		

NOT VOTING-2

McNaughton Saylor

EXCUSED-1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0637 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes— The Chair does not recognize this signature. One of our members moves— The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, moves that the vote by which amendment 637 was defeated to HB 847, PN 949, on the 1st day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—182

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Lederer | Schuler |
| Allen | DeWeese | Leh | Scrimenti |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Lescovitz | Semmel |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Levdansky | Serafini |
| Baker | Druce | Lloyd | Seyfert |
| Bard | Eachus | Lucyk | Shaner |
| Barley | Egolf | Manderino | Smith, B. |
| Battisto | Evans | Markosek | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fairchild | Mayernik | Staback |
| Belardi | Feese | McCall | Stairs |
| Belfanti | Fichter | McGeehan | Steelman |
| Birmelin | Fleagle | McGill | Steil |
| Bishop | Flick | McIlhattan | Stetler |
| Blaum | Gannon | Melio | Stevenson |
| Boscola | Geist | Michlovic | Stritmatter |
| Boyes | George | Micozzie | Sturla |
| Brown | Gigliotti | Miller | Surra |
| Browne | Gladeck | Mundy | Tangretti |
| Bunt | Godshall | Myers | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Butkovitz | Gordner | O'Brien | Taylor, J. |
| Buxton | Gruitza | Olasz | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Gruppo | Oliver | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Habay | Orie | Travaglio |
| Carn | Haluska | Perzel | Trello |
| Carone | Hanna | Pesci | Trich |
| Casorio | Harhart | Petrarca | True |
| Cawley | Hasay | Petrone | Tulli |
| Chadwick | Hennessey | Pettit | Vance |
| Civera | Herman | Phillips | Van Horne |
| Clymer | Hershey | Pippy | Veon |
| Cohen, L. I. | Hess | Pistella | Vitali |
| Cohen, M. | Horsey | Preston | Walko |
| Colafella | Hutchinson | Ramos | Washington |
| Colaizzo | Itkin | Raymond | Waugh |
| Conti | Jadlowiec | Readshaw | Williams, A. H. |
| Cornell | James | Reber | Williams, C. |
| Corpora | Jarolin | Reinard | Wogan |
| Corrigan | Josephs | Rieger | Wojnaroski |
| Cowell | Kaiser | Roberts | Wright, M. N. |
| Coy | Keller | Robinson | Yewcic |
| Curry | Kenney | Roebuck | Youngblood |
| Daley | Kirkland | Rohrer | Zimmerman |
| Dally | Krebs | Rublely | Zug |
| DeLuca | LaGrotta | Sainato | |
| Dempsey | Laughlin | Santoni | |
| Dent | Lawless | Schroder | Ryan,
Speaker |

NAYS—20

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| Barrar | Maitland | Mihalich | Sather |
| Benninghoff | Major | Nailor | Saylor |
| Clark | Marsico | Nickol | Smith, S. H. |
| Fargo | Masland | Platts | Stern |
| Lynch | McNaughton | Ross | Wilt |

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. A0637:

Amend Sec. 209, page 40, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 11,000,000

Amend Sec. 222, page 110, by inserting between lines 20 and 21

For magnetic levitation development.

State appropriation 5,000,000

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Levdansky, did you desire to be recognized on this?

Mr. LEVDANSKY. On this amendment, yes.

The SPEAKER. Prior to recognizing the gentleman, one of the problems we are having up here today is our inability to read the handwriting of some of the members. If you have that type handwriting, I would appreciate it if you would also print your name.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. LEVDANSKY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I did make a D in penmanship in elementary school. I apologize for that.

Just real briefly, Mr. Speaker. This is the amendment that appropriates \$5 million to develop magnetic levitation train technology in Pennsylvania. This issue is not about a relationship between individuals and lobbyists, and it is certainly not about whether or not this is too futuristic for Pennsylvania. You know, it was not that long ago that President Kennedy said that we were going to put a man on the moon within a decade, and I am sure a lot of people scoffed at that idea, too, but that ended up being reality as well.

What this idea is about is about Pennsylvania capturing the technology so that we can be the development center for magnetic levitation train technology. If we are able to do that and place Pennsylvania on the cutting edge of developing and implementing this technology, we can capture the manufacturing base and the jobs and the related business and industrial activity that goes along with that here in Pennsylvania.

That is what this idea is about. It is about a technology that is going to move people and goods in a rapid, effective fashion and about Pennsylvania capturing the manufacturing base.

That is what it is about. I would ask for your support for this appropriation. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Kaiser.

Mr. KAISER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Last month the Allegheny County Democratic delegation met with the people of MAGLEV, and during the presentation, it was obvious that certain questions needed to be answered, and their presentation was less than professional. I know one thing that I could not understand was, I know Allegheny County is moving forward with a type of MAGLEV and they are going to build a parking lot right above the Civic Arena in Pittsburgh and that will transport people who park at the lot down to the central part of the city, and one of the questions I asked and I did not understand was, "Are the two systems compatible?" And they said, "No, they're not." That was one of my biggest concerns.

Plus, we are in an environment where we deal with policy and politics. There is an old saying up here that when you are in the minority, you have your say, but when you are in the majority, you have your way. We had asked them if they had talked to any members of the Republican delegation from Allegheny County, and they just said "no." We tried to tell them how important it was to get the Republicans on board for this very important issue. You are talking about jobs, creating a new industry in Pennsylvania, and that is most important.

I used to work in the steel mill. I lost my job in 1984. In 1980, 25,000 people were employed by the company that I worked for, U.S. Steel; 5 years later, in 1985, there were 5,000 people. One company lost over 20,000 employees.

I am for economic growth here in Allegheny County and the promotion of jobs, but it is most important that the people who lobby for MAGLEV have their act together. I know right away, Mr. Speaker, that they will come under attack by the people in the highway industry, because the people in the highway industry, they want the dollars to go into concrete and bridge repair, not into MAGLEV; that is known. The people in the highway industry are not in favor of MAGLEV.

So I think it is most important that you have a united front on this issue.

So from where I am coming from, Mr. Speaker, I am going to vote against this amendment. I believe that we should work together. I believe it is important to create jobs in Pennsylvania, and I think this may be the basis of a new industry in Pennsylvania, but the people who lobby for MAGLEV have to understand that not only do we work in a political arena but also one that creates policy.

So the long-range effect of MAGLEV: Well, they would like to start in Pittsburgh, run it out to Greensburg, to Harrisburg, and eventually Philadelphia. That will cost hundreds of millions of dollars, and you have to start with a basic, good foundation — bipartisan, Democrats and Republicans working together.

I think we should defeat this amendment, start over again, and make sure the people who are pushing MAGLEV understand that it has to be bipartisan because it is going to take a lot of money and those votes are going to come from this floor, from both the Democratic and Republican sides of the aisle.

So I ask a negative vote on this amendment, and it is most important that if this project is to move forward, we must have a united front. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Westmoreland, Mr. Mihalich.

Mr. MIHALICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will not repeat everything I said yesterday because I think the members here have grasped the idea, but if I might, like Mr. DeWeese might do, use an analogy or metaphor here, this is a case of the tail trying to wag the dog. If MAGLEV is to be a success in Pennsylvania, it is going to have to be a Pennsylvania system and not a 300-mile-an-hour train to run from a parking lot across a couple of streets and over into downtown Pittsburgh or from downtown Pittsburgh to the airport. This is the kind of thinking we have seen from this organization that somebody wants to give \$5 million to.

More appropriately, a request for MAGLEV development should come from a high-speed-rail commission, which I hope we ought to be able to create this year with bipartisan support. I know my bill a couple years ago had over 50 sponsors, and Rick Geist has a bill with over 55 sponsors. I think that is where the request should come from.

In lieu of that, where the request should come from should be from the administration, the Department of Transportation. These things, these major projects, cannot be built in isolation. We have high-speed-rail systems being developed by our sister States which surround us. There has to be some coordination there. It is just irresponsible now, in my opinion, to give hard-earned, hard-paid \$5 million of taxpayers' money to an organization that may or may not succeed.

As I pointed out yesterday — and I believe it deserves repeating because there is a lot of misinformation out — I have heard people say, well, they have been in Germany and Japan, and they have ridden MAGLEV trains over there. That might be true with a qualification. I think there is an 11-meter test track in Japan, and there may be just a little bit longer test track in Germany, but the Germans who have developed test trains and test tracks, recently, as I said yesterday, their Bundestag voted down the further development of this idea because, in their words, it was too expensive and the technology was not yet proven.

I think we have to address this problem. The right way to address it is with a high-speed-rail commission. I am sure we will have a chance to vote on that legislation very soon, or in lieu of that, I think the Department of Transportation should be up to speed, no matter whose Department of Transportation it is, a Republican or Democrat. I think this is something that is going to come in the future, I think that the administration has to address it, and I think the best way, though, would be to reform a bipartisan high-speed-rail commission.

For those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I ask that this appropriation of \$5 million be rejected. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

Mr. MICHLOVIC. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, just a comment before I address the amendment itself.

There were statements made about this meeting that occurred with the Allegheny delegation with the presentation of the group on MAGLEV. I attended the meeting, and my reading was that they were professional. It was a normal kind of presentation, but

as the presentation went on, the discussion got heated, as it does sometimes in our delegation, and consequently, there are folks that thought that the presentation was unprofessional. I do not want to cast aspersions or leave the impression on this floor that that is a unanimous opinion. That is the opinion of some members on this floor and some of us not. In my opinion, they were professional and they made an attempt to make a presentation.

With regard to the amendment, this is not a new issue. It is something that the State has participated in through the Casey administration. It has continued through the Ridge administration. We have spent State dollars on this. We have some of the largest companies in the Commonwealth involved in this joint effort to use the German technology, which is already not only patented but already in effect in trial runs on German tracks. Companies like Westinghouse, USX Corporation, ADtranz (ABB Daimler-Benz Transportation) Corporation, and United States Airways Corporation, some of the biggest corporations in Pennsylvania are involved in this effort.

As the gentleman, Mr. Levdansky, pointed out, if we can capture this technology, and in particular, the manufacturing capacity of that technology, we can really have a very big win for Pennsylvania. That is what is so important here.

The \$5 million is a very small amount. As the gentleman, Mr. Mihalich, pointed out, this is a very small amount. It is not the total bill for this. But the private sector is putting in very large dollars themselves, and we have to step up to the plate.

This \$5 million is a show of commitment from the State, and I urge the members on this floor to vote in support of the Levdansky amendment and that \$5 million. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, as usual, I agree with the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hess.

Mr. HESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I am speaking on behalf of Mr. Geist, who is not on the floor at the moment. He asked me to say a few words about this particular amendment.

This particular amendment does not designate any line direction, as one of the former speakers alluded to. This money is merely for technology.

This is a good amendment. I would ask for an affirmative vote, and it is an agreed-to amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Does the lady, Miss Orie, desire recognition?

Miss ORIE. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

As a member of the Allegheny County Republican Caucus and on behalf of the Republican Caucus, we were never afforded the opportunity to receive any information on this matter, and it is our opinion that this would be something that would progress Allegheny County but you need bipartisan support for this matter from all four chambers.

As a result of that, I would ask for a "no" vote on this because of the lack of communication on this matter that affects Allegheny County. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Preston.

Mr. PRESTON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First, in reference to the gentleman, Mr. Michlovic's remarks, I guess, depending on what you want to call professionalism — I also attended the meeting — I guess it is to everybody else's discretion.

I guess personally I have been involved with the whole MAGLEV situation for about 5 or 6 years, from Washington and some of the other aspects in dealing with it through NCSL (National Conference of State Legislatures), and also to understand that it is a long process in what we have been competing about.

The group that is supposedly there now and when we had our meeting, and again, as Mr. Kaiser was saying, what we had asked them, very simply, and we could not get answers — and it was very frustrating when you asked simple questions and could not get answers — was to be able to sit down and talk to everybody in the House and in the Senate, on both sides of the aisle, majority and minority. It was obvious, what appeared to be — and they still have not, from what I am just hearing from my other colleagues on the other side of the aisle — that they were not going to, because they still have not yet and that meeting happened over a month ago.

It is a very important part, that we are talking about not just building a train, as my good friend, Herman, was saying; what it is, it is dealing with futuristic, as far as expansion and developing infrastructure and dealing with manufacturing.

To my knowledge, right now, if they want to talk about research, they have not made an application to the Ben Franklin Partnership; they have not put an application in for the Pennsylvania Industrial Development Authority loan. Yes, there are some good names behind it, but at the same time, when someone is asking you for something, you hear from all those names, those corporations like Westinghouse, like some of the other Fortune 500 companies that we have back in Allegheny County, and I do not think the members have heard from them yet.

Yes, personally I was insulted by the manner in which the professional — if you want to call it that — presentation was made to our delegation and their refusal, from what I am already seeing, not to go around and address it to everybody else in the House and in the Senate. I do not think that they have their act together enough.

I think it is a very important situation going on. There are two competitive MAGLEV entities back in Allegheny County. This one here seems to have the most, what you might say, the most forward power, per se, but at the same time, I do not think that they are ready to be able to do this, and I am not going to support this amendment until they are ready to do that. If they are not really ready to talk to everybody, then why should we give them the opportunity. There are other outlets, that they do not need just the budget process where they receive grant money. If they go to PIDA, they have to meet certain criteria. If they are going to go into research and they want to apply to the Ben Franklin Partnership, the criteria there is also clearly defined. I would encourage them to be able to do that.

I believe and I support the overall MAGLEV process, but I just think, at this particular time, that they are just not ready really to be able to deal with us, and I would ask for a "no" vote on this issue.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Horsey.

Mr. HORSEY. Mr. Speaker, I am not going to belabor this point, but I am listening to Allegheny County speak about MAGLEV, and I just wanted to remind this body that Philadelphia is the largest city in the State, and there is no discussion at all about MAGLEV in Philadelphia that I know of. The Philadelphia delegation has not been approached.

We have one of the largest shipping ports not just in America but in the world. In another 10 years, we are going to have what is known as the fast ships, which will be the fastest ships to travel across the Atlantic and/or Pacific in the world, coming out of the Philadelphia ports, and no one has talked to Philadelphia about MAGLEV.

So, Mr. Speaker, I am going to oppose the Levdansky amendment as pie in the sky and ask for a "no" vote on it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Pippy.

Mr. PIPPY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am someone who supports MAGLEV, and I would love to see a MAGLEV come from the airport down to Pittsburgh and give some economic development and some jobs to my community and to the region, but one thing that concerns me is that we are talking about \$5 million – \$5 million. There are a lot of questions as to what this money is for. Now, granted, I am a freshman, and to some people, \$5 million may not seem like a lot of money – and we have been throwing around a lot of money today – but to me, it is a lot of money, and before I back something where I am not sure where the money is going, I have to have the details. You know, there is an old saying, "Show me the money," but I want to see the facts.

So with that, I would ask that my colleagues vote "no" on this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Daley.

Mr. DALEY. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

Just briefly, I think MAGLEV is here to stay. It is a technology that is moving us into the 21st century. Set aside the differences in terms of the competing parties; it is time that we move ahead.

I ask for an affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Mihalich, under the rules as they stand for this bill, you are limited to one time unless you are the sponsor of the amendment.

Mr. MIHALICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to point out that I did not use up my 5 minutes. Can I use my last minute and a half?

The SPEAKER. No; no.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Prior to taking the vote, the Chair returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the Democratic floor leader, Mr. DeWeese, who asks that the gentleman, Mr. ITKIN, be placed on leave of absence for the balance of the day; the gentleman, Mr. JAMES, be placed on leave for the balance of the day; the gentleman, Mr. Anthony WILLIAMS, be placed on leave for the balance of the day; and the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. MELIO, be placed on leave for the balance of the day. The Chair hears no objection. It is my understanding that Mr. Melio may return shortly, but for the time being, he is on leave for the balance of the day until he should return. The Chair hears no objection, and the leaves are granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 847 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—86

Battisto	Curry	Lescovitz	Schuler
Bebko-Jones	Daley	Levdansky	Scrimenti
Belardi	DeLuca	Lucyk	Shaner
Belfanti	Dermody	Manderino	Smith, S. H.
Bishop	DeWeese	Markosek	Staback
Blaum	Donatucci	McCall	Stairs
Boscola	Eachus	McGeehan	Steelman
Butkovitz	Evans	Michlovic	Stetler
Buxton	Geist	Mundy	Sturla
Caltagirone	George	Myers	Surra
Cappabianca	Gigliotti	Olasz	Tangretti
Carn	Gordner	Oliver	Thomas
Carone	Gruitza	Pesci	Tigue
Casorio	Haluska	Petrarca	Travaglio
Cawley	Hanna	Petrone	Trello
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Pistella	Trich
Colafigliola	Josephs	Rieger	Van Home
Colaizzo	Keller	Roberts	Veon
Corpora	Kirkland	Robinson	Vitali
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Walko
Cowell	Laughlin	Santoni	Youngblood
Coy	Lederer		

NAYS—107

Adolph	Feese	Marsico	Schroder
Allen	Fichter	Masland	Semmel
Argall	Fleagle	Mayemink	Serafini
Armstrong	Flick	McGill	Seyfert
Baker	Gannon	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Bard	Gladeck	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Barley	Godshall	Micozzie	Steil
Barrar	Gruppo	Mihalich	Stern
Benninghoff	Habay	Miller	Stevenson
Birmelin	Harhart	Nailor	Strittmatter
Boyes	Hasay	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Brown	Hennessey	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Browne	Herman	Orie	True
Bunt	Hershey	Perzel	Tulli
Chadwick	Hess	Pettit	Vance
Clark	Horsey	Phillips	Waugh
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pippy	Williams, C.
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Wilt
Conti	Kaiser	Preston	Wogan
Cornell	Kenny	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Dally	Krebs	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Dempsey	Lawless	Reinard	Yewcic
Dent	Leh	Rohrer	Zimmerman
DiGirolamo	Lloyd	Ross	Zug
Druce	Lynch	Rubley	
Egolf	Maitland	Sather	Ryan,
Fairchild	Major	Saylor	Speaker
Fargo			

NOT VOTING—5

Civera	Raymond	Sainato	Washington
Ramos			

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. The Chair returns to packet 11 and recognizes the lady from Montgomery, Ms. Williams, who offers amendment 0673, which the clerk will read.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Ms. WILLIAMS offered the following amendment No. A0673:

Amend Sec. 202, page 21, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 4,000,000

Amend Sec. 202, page 22, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 750,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Williams.

Ms. WILLIAMS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I offer this amendment which would fund a Commission for Women, increase the allocation to the Commission for Women.

As you know, for 30 years there has been established precedent which has become a collective voice for women in this Commonwealth. Perhaps if we were to have more women in this legislature, we would not need it. I could almost say that the Pennsylvania House of Representatives is a commission on men.

I appreciate my colleague across the aisle for withdrawing his amendment, but it was not about a name change. It was about getting rid of the Commission for Women. Was I against families and children? Of course not, but we should not create something like that in a line item, and I appreciate that it was withdrawn.

The Governor is about to sign an Executive order enhancing the Pennsylvania Commission for Women. This order was drawn up by a bipartisan group, and within this Executive order, which has gone, I think, to the Governor's desk, he says that the need for a strong advocate for the rights of women in all areas of the Commonwealth is no less today than it was upon the creation of the commission initially. Within this Executive order, they ask for a budget line item of \$750,000. That is what I am asking for in my amendment. Thank you very much.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the lady.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-146

Adolph	DeLuca	Major	Rubley
Allen	Dent	Manderino	Sainato
Argall	Dermody	Markosek	Santoni
Baker	DeWeese	Masland	Scrimanti
Bard	Donatucci	Mayernik	Semmel
Barrar	Eachus	McCall	Serafini
Battisto	Evans	McGeehan	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McIlhattan	Staback
Belardi	Fichter	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Flick	Micozzie	Steelman
Benninghoff	Gannon	Mihalich	Stetler
Bishop	George	Miller	Stevenson
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mundy	Sturla
Boscola	Gladeck	Myers	Surra
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Tangretti
Bunt	Gordner	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Olasz	Thomas
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Tigue
Caltagirone	Haluska	Orie	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Hanna	Perzel	Trello
Carn	Harhart	Pesci	Trich
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Van Home
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Veon
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Pippy	Walko
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Pistella	Washington
Colaella	Kaiser	Platts	Waugh
Colaizzo	Keller	Preston	Williams, C.
Conti	Kenney	Ramos	Wogan
Cornell	Kirkland	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Corpora	LaGrotta	Readshaw	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Laughlin	Reber	Yewcic
Cowell	Lederer	Reinard	Youngblood
Coy	Lescovitz	Rieger	Zug
Curry	Levdansky	Roberts	
Daley	Lloyd	Robinson	Ryan,
Dally	Lucyk	Roebuck	Speaker

NAYS-50

Armstrong	Fleagle	Marsico	Smith, B.
Barley	Geist	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Gruppo	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Brown	Hasay	Nailor	Steil
Chadwick	Hershey	Phillips	Stern
Clark	Hess	Rohrer	Strittmatter
Clymer	Hutchinson	Ross	Taylor, E. Z.
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Sather	True
DiGirolamo	Krebs	Saylor	Tulli
Druce	Lawless	Schroder	Vance
Egolf	Leh	Schuler	Wilt
Fairchild	Lynch	Seyfert	Zimmerman
Fargo	Maitland		

NOT VOTING-2

Boyes	Carone
-------	--------

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

AMENDMENT A0559 RECONSIDERED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Snyder, who moves that the vote by which amendment 559 to HB 847 passed on the 2d day of April be reconsidered.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—180

Adolph	Dermody	Marsico	Schuler
Allen	DeWeese	Mayernik	Scrimenti
Argall	DiGirolamo	McCall	Semmel
Armstrong	Donatucci	McGeehan	Serafini
Baker	Druce	McGill	Seyfert
Bard	Eachus	McHhattan	Shaner
Barley	Egolf	McNaughton	Smith, B.
Barrar	Evans	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fargo	Micozzie	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Mihalich	Stairs
Belardi	Fleagle	Miller	Steelman
Belfanti	Flick	Mundy	Steil
Birmelin	Gannon	Myers	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	Nailor	Stevenson
Blaum	George	Nickol	Strittmatter
Boscola	Gigliotti	O'Brien	Sturla
Brown	Godshall	Olasz	Surra
Browne	Gordner	Oliver	Tangretti
Bunt	Gruitza	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Perzel	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Habay	Pesci	Thomas
Caltagirone	Haluska	Petrarca	Tigue
Cappabianca	Hanna	Petrone	Travaglio
Carn	Hasay	Pettit	Trello
Carone	Herman	Phillips	Trich
Casorio	Horsey	Pippy	True
Cawley	Hutchinson	Pistella	Tulli
Chadwick	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vance
Civera	Jarolin	Preston	Van Home
Clark	Josephs	Ramos	Veon
Clymer	Kaiser	Raymond	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Keller	Readshaw	Walko
Cohen, M.	Kenney	Reber	Washington
Colaella	Kirkland	Reinard	Waugh
Colaizzo	LaGrotta	Rieger	Williams, C.
Conti	Laughlin	Roberts	Wogan
Cornell	Lawless	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Corpora	Lederer	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Leh	Rohrer	Yeweic
Cowell	Lescovitz	Rublely	Youngblood
Coy	Levdansky	Sainato	Zimmerman
Curry	Lloyd	Santoni	Zug
Daley	Lucyk	Sather	
DeLuca	Lynch	Saylor	Ryan,
Dempsey	Manderino	Schroder	Speaker
Dent	Markosek		

NAYS—16

Benninghoff	Gladeck	Krebs	Ross
Dally	Harhart	Maitland	Smith, S. H.
Fairchild	Hennessey	Major	Stern
Feese	Hershey	Masland	Wilt

NOT VOTING—2

Boyes	Hess
-------	------

EXCUSED—5

Itkin James	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
----------------	-------	--------	-----------------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the motion was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A0559**:

Amend Sec. 212, page 51, line 7, by inserting after "open."
From this amount \$10,000,000 shall be used for a program to assist small school districts. Funds shall be distributed in the following manner: school districts with market value/personal income aid ratios of 0.500 or higher with average daily memberships of 1,500 or less shall receive payments equal to the product of \$85 multiplied by average daily membership. These payments shall not be used to compute percentage increases over amounts to be received during fiscal year 1996-1997. No funds from this appropriation shall be used as payments to school districts for improvements in either academic or nonacademic performance variables.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the gentleman, Mr. Snyder.

Mr. SNYDER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment was considered approximately a half an hour ago, and many of our members were uncertain about how the impact of the distribution of funds would affect their particular districts. As Representative Barley had noted, there are very few districts that would be impacted positively by this, whereas the \$10 million that is used for these districts would be coming out of the funds for the remainder of the school districts.

So we ask our members to review the information that was distributed to them and vote accordingly, based on that information.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—96

Allen	DeWeese	Lloyd	Roberts
Baker	Donatucci	Lucyk	Robinson
Battisto	Eachus	Maitland	Roebuck
Bebko-Jones	Evans	Major	Sainato
Belardi	Feese	Manderino	Sather
Belfanti	George	Markosek	Shaner

Bishop	Gigliotti	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Blaum	Gordner	McCall	Staback
Butkovitz	Gruitza	McGeehan	Steelman
Cappabianca	Haluska	McIlhattan	Stem
Carn	Hanna	Michlovic	Surra
Casorio	Horsey	Mihalich	Tangretti
Cawley	Hutchinson	Mundy	Thomas
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Myers	Tigue
Colaella	Jarolin	Olasz	Travaglio
Colaizzo	Josephs	Oliver	Trello
Corpora	Kaiser	Pesci	Trich
Corrgan	Keller	Petrarca	Van Home
Cowell	Kirkland	Petrone	Veon
Coy	LaGrotta	Pistella	Walko
Curry	Laughlin	Preston	Washington
Daley	Lederer	Ramos	Wojnaroski
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Readshaw	Yewcic
Dermody	Levdansky	Rieger	Youngblood

NAYS-101

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Masland	Seyfert
Argall	Druce	McGill	Smith, B.
Armstrong	Egolf	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Bard	Fairchild	Miller	Stairs
Barley	Fargo	Nailor	Steil
Barrar	Fichter	Nickol	Stetler
Benninghoff	Fleagle	O'Brien	Stevenson
Birmelin	Flick	Orie	Strittmatter
Boscola	Gannon	Perzel	Sturla
Boyes	Geist	Pettit	Taylor, E. Z.
Brown	Gladeck	Phillips	Taylor, J.
Browne	Godshall	Pippy	True
Bunt	Gruppo	Platts	Tulli
Buxton	Habay	Raymond	Vance
Caltagirone	Harhart	Reber	Vitali
Carone	Hasay	Reinard	Waugh
Chadwick	Hennessey	Rohrer	Williams, C.
Civera	Herman	Ross	Wilt
Clark	Hershey	Rublely	Wogan
Clymer	Hess	Santoni	Wright, M. N.
Cohen, L. I.	Kenney	Saylor	Zimmerman
Conti	Krebs	Schroder	Zug
Cornell	Lawless	Schuler	
Dally	Leh	Scrimenti	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lynch	Semmel	Speaker
Dent	Marsico	Serafini	

NOT VOTING-1

Micozzie

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-198

Adolph	DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	George	Mihalich	Stem
Boscola	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hanna	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Harhart	Perzel	Tigue
Carone	Hasay	Pesci	Travaglio
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	True
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Veon
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Colaella	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corrgan	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. I want to thank the members of the House for their long suffering and their good work.

Now I ask them to turn to page 2 of today's calendar.

We are about to start on a series of nonpreferred bills. For the benefit of the new members, these bills require 136 positive votes to pass.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 856, PN 958**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for the general maintenance and operation of the University of Pennsylvania Museum.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—179

Allen	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Argall	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Baker	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Bard	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Barley	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fichter	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Fleagle	McLhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Bebko-Jones	Flick	McNaughton	Staback
Belardi	Gannon	Michlovic	Stairs
Belfanti	Geist	Mihalich	Steelman
Bishop	George	Miller	Stern
Blaum	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stetler
Boscola	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruitza	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Gruppo	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Habay	Olasz	Tangretti
Buxton	Hanna	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Orie	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Perzel	Thomas
Carn	Hennessey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Phillips	True
Civera	Horshey	Pippy	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Ramos	Veon
Colafrèlla	Josephs	Raymond	Vitali
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Readshaw	Walko
Conti	Keller	Reber	Washington
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Waugh
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Williams, C.
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lescovitz	Ross	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Levdansky	Rubley	Yewcic
Dally	Lloyd	Sainato	Youngblood
DeLuca	Lucyk	Santoni	Zimmerman
Dent	Lynch	Sather	Zug

Demody
DeWeese
DiGirolamo
Donatucci

Maitland
Major
Manderino

Saylor
Schroder
Schuler

Ryan,
Speaker

NAYS—16

Benninghoff
Birmelin
Browne
Carone

Clark
Dempsey
Feese
Gordner

Haluska
Krebs
Lawless
Leh

Pesci
Platts
Rohrer
Tigue

NOT VOTING—3

Adolph

Micozzie

Steil

EXCUSED—5

Itkin
James

Melio

Rooney

Williams, A. H.

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 857, PN 959**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to The Carnegie for the Carnegie Museum of Natural History and the Carnegie Science Center.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—172

Allen	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Argall	Donatucci	Marsico	Semmel
Armstrong	Druce	Masland	Serafini
Baker	Eachus	Mayernik	Shaner
Bard	Egolf	McCall	Smith, B.
Barley	Evans	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fairchild	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fargo	McNaughton	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Michlovic	Stairs
Belardi	Fleagle	Mihalich	Steil
Belfanti	Flick	Miller	Stern
Bishop	Gannon	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Geist	Myers	Stevenson
Boscola	George	Nailor	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gigliotti	Nickol	Sturla
Brown	Gladeck	O'Brien	Surra
Bunt	Godshall	Olasz	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruppo	Orie	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Habay	Perzel	Thomas

Cappabianca	Hanna	Petrone	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Pettit	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Phillips	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Pippy	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pistella	True
Civera	Hershey	Platts	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Preston	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Ramos	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Raymond	Vitali
Colaella	Josephs	Readshaw	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Reber	Washington
Conti	Keller	Reinard	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Rieger	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Roberts	Wilt
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wogan
Cowell	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lederer	Ross	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lescovitz	Ruble	Youngblood
Daley	Levdansky	Sainato	Zimmerman
Dally	Lloyd	Santoni	Zug
DeLuca	Lucyk	Sather	
Dent	Maitland	Saylor	Ryan,
Dermody	Major	Schroder	Speaker
DeWeese	Manderino		

NAYS-23

Benninghoff	Feese	Lawless	Rohrer
Birmelin	Gordner	Leh	Seyfert
Browne	Haluska	Lynch	Steelman
Carone	Hutchinson	McIlhattan	Veon
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Yewcic
Dempsey	Krebs	Petrarca	

NOT VOTING-3

Adolph	Micozzie	Schuler	
--------	----------	---------	--

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 858, PN 960**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Franklin Institute Science Museum.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken:

YEAS-170

Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Scrimenti
Argall	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Semmel
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Serafini
Baker	Druce	Masland	Shaner
Bard	Eachus	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	Fichter	Michlovic	Steil
Belfanti	Fleagle	Mihalich	Stern
Bishop	Flick	Miller	Stetler
Blaum	Gannon	Mundy	Stevenson
Boscola	Geist	Myers	Strittmatter
Boyes	George	Nailor	Sturla
Brown	Gigliotti	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Gladeck	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Godshall	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruitza	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Perzel	Thomas
Cappabianca	Habay	Petrone	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Pettit	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Phillips	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Pippy	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pistella	True
Civera	Hershey	Preston	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Ramos	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Raymond	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Readshaw	Vitali
Colaella	Josephs	Reber	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Reinard	Washington
Conti	Keller	Rieger	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Roberts	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Robinson	Wilt
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Wogan
Cowell	Laughlin	Ross	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lederer	Ruble	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lescovitz	Sainato	Youngblood
Daley	Levdansky	Santoni	Zimmerman
Dally	Lloyd	Sather	Zug
DeLuca	Lucyk	Saylor	
Dent	Maitland	Schroder	Ryan,
Dermody	Major	Schuler	Speaker

NAYS-26

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Platts
Birmelin	Haluska	Lynch	Rohrer
Browne	Hanna	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Carone	Hutchinson	Orie	Steelman
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Veon
Dempsey	Krebs	Petrarca	Yewcic
Feese	Lawless		

NOT VOTING-2

Adolph	Micozzie		
--------	----------	--	--

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 859, PN 961**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Academy of Natural Sciences.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—173

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Manderino | Schuler |
| Allen | DeWeese | Markosek | Scrimenti |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Marsico | Semmel |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Masland | Serafini |
| Baker | Druce | Mayernik | Shaner |
| Bard | Eachus | McCall | Smith, B. |
| Barley | Egolf | McGeehan | Smith, S. H. |
| Barrar | Evans | McGill | Snyder, D. W. |
| Battisto | Fairchild | McNaughton | Staback |
| Bebko-Jones | Fargo | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Belardi | Fichter | Micozzie | Steil |
| Belfanti | Fleagle | Mihalich | Stern |
| Bishop | Flick | Miller | Stetler |
| Blaum | Gannon | Mundy | Stevenson |
| Boscola | Geist | Myers | Strittmatter |
| Boyes | George | Nailor | Sturla |
| Brown | Gigliotti | Nickol | Surra |
| Browne | Gladeck | O'Brien | Tangretti |
| Bunt | Godshall | Olasz | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Butkovitz | Gruitza | Oliver | Taylor, J. |
| Buxton | Gruppo | Orie | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Habay | Perzel | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Harhart | Petrone | Travaglio |
| Carn | Hasay | Pettit | Trello |
| Casorio | Hennessey | Phillips | Trich |
| Cawley | Herman | Pippy | Tulli |
| Chadwick | Hershey | Pistella | Vance |
| Civera | Hess | Preston | Van Horne |
| Clymer | Horsy | Ramos | Vitali |
| Cohen, L. I. | Jarolin | Raymond | Walko |
| Cohen, M. | Josephs | Readshaw | Washington |
| Colafrella | Kaiser | Reber | Waugh |
| Colaizzo | Keller | Reinard | Williams, C. |
| Conti | Kenney | Rieger | Wilt |
| Cornell | Kirkland | Roberts | Wogan |
| Corpora | LaGrotta | Robinson | Wojnaroski |
| Corrigan | Laughlin | Roebuck | Wright, M. N. |
| Cowell | Lederer | Ross | Youngblood |
| Coy | Lescovitz | Rublely | Zimmerman |
| Curry | Levdansky | Sainato | Zug |
| Daley | Lloyd | Santoni | |
| Dally | Lucyk | Sather | Ryan, |
| DeLuca | Maitland | Saylor | Speaker |
| Dent | Major | Schroder | |

NAYS—24

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Benninghoff | Gordner | Lawless | Platts |
| Birmelin | Haluska | Leh | Rohrer |
| Carone | Hanna | Lynch | Seyfert |
| Clark | Hutchinson | McIlhattan | Steelman |
| Dempsey | Jadlowiec | Pesci | Veon |
| Feese | Krebs | Petrarca | Yewcic |

NOT VOTING—1

True

EXCUSED—5

- | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| Itkin | Melio | Rooney | Williams, A. H. |
| James | | | |

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 860, PN 962**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Afro-American Historical and Cultural Museum for operating expenses.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Major | Saylor |
| Allen | DeWeese | Manderino | Schroder |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Markosek | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Marsico | Scrimenti |
| Baker | Druce | Masland | Semmel |
| Bard | Eachus | Mayernik | Serafini |
| Barley | Egolf | McCall | Smith, B. |
| Barrar | Evans | McGeehan | Smith, S. H. |
| Battisto | Fairchild | McGill | Snyder, D. W. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fargo | McIlhattan | Staback |
| Belardi | Fichter | McNaughton | Stairs |
| Belfanti | Fleagle | Micozzie | Steil |
| Bishop | Flick | Michlovic | Stern |
| Blaum | Gannon | Mihalich | Stetler |
| Boscola | Geist | Miller | Stevenson |
| Boyes | George | Mundy | Strittmatter |
| Brown | Gigliotti | Myers | Sturla |
| Browne | Gladeck | Nailor | Surra |
| Bunt | Godshall | Nickol | Tangretti |
| Butkovitz | Gruitza | O'Brien | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Buxton | Gruppo | Olasz | Taylor, J. |
| Caltagirone | Habay | Oliver | Thomas |
| Cappabianca | Harhart | Orie | Tigue |
| Carn | Hasay | Perzel | Travaglio |
| Casorio | Hennessey | Petrone | Trello |
| Cawley | Herman | Pettit | Trich |
| Chadwick | Hershey | Phillips | True |
| Civera | Hess | Pippy | Tulli |
| Clymer | Horsy | Pistella | Van Horne |
| Cohen, L. I. | Hutchinson | Preston | Vitali |
| Cohen, M. | Jarolin | Ramos | Walko |
| Colafrella | Josephs | Raymond | Washington |
| Colaizzo | Kaiser | Readshaw | Waugh |

Conti	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Levdansky	Rubley	Zug
Dally	Lloyd	Sainato	
DeLuca	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Maitland	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—23

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Seyfert
Birmelin	Haluska	Lynch	Shaner
Carone	Hanna	Pesci	Steelman
Clark	Jadlowiec	Petrarca	Veon
Dempsey	Krebs	Platts	Yewcic
Feese	Lawless	Rohrer	

NOT VOTING—1

Vance

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 861, PN 963**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Everhart Museum in Scranton.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—171

Adolph	Dermody	Major	Schroder
Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Schuler
Argall	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Semmel
Baker	Druce	Masland	Serafini
Bard	Eachus	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McNaughton	Stairs
Belardi	Fichter	Michlovic	Steil
Belfanti	Fleagle	Micozzie	Stern

Benninghoff	Flick	Mihalich	Stetler
Bishop	Gannon	Mundy	Stevenson
Blaum	Geist	Myers	Strittmatter
Boscola	George	Nailor	Surra
Boyes	Gigliotti	Nickol	Tangretti
Brown	Gladeck	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Godshall	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Butkowitz	Gruitza	Oliver	Thomas
Buxton	Gruppo	Orie	Tigue
Caltagirone	Habay	Perzel	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Harhart	Petrone	Trello
Carn	Hasay	Pettit	Trich
Casorio	Hennessey	Phillips	True
Cawley	Herman	Pippy	Tulli
Chadwick	Hershey	Pistella	Vance
Civera	Hess	Preston	Van Horne
Clymer	Horsey	Ramos	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Raymond	Walko
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Colafrella	Kaiser	Reber	Waugh
Colaizzo	Keller	Reinard	Williams, C.
Conti	Kenney	Rieger	Wilt
Cornell	Kirkland	Roberts	Wogan
Corpora	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	Laughlin	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Cowell	Lederer	Ross	Youngblood
Coy	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zimmerman
Curry	Levdansky	Sainato	Zug
Daley	Lloyd	Santoni	
Dally	Lucyk	Sather	Ryan,
DeLuca	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker
Dent			

NAYS—27

Birmelin	Haluska	Lynch	Seyfert
Browne	Hanna	McIlhattan	Shaner
Carone	Hutchinson	Miller	Steelman
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Sturla
Dempsey	Krebs	Petrarca	Veon
Feese	Lawless	Platts	Yewcic
Gordner	Leh	Rohrer	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 862, PN 964**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Mercer Museum in Doylestown, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-168

Adolph	Dermody	Major	Schuler
Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Scrimenti
Argall	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Semmel
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Serafini
Baker	Druce	Masland	Smith, B.
Bard	Eachus	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Staback
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Stairs
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McNaughton	Steil
Belardi	Fichter	Michlovic	Stern
Belfanti	Fleagle	Mihalich	Stetler
Bishop	Flick	Mundy	Stevenson
Blaum	Gannon	Myers	Strittmatter
Boscola	Geist	Nailor	Surra
Boyes	George	Nickol	Tangretti
Brown	Gigliotti	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gladeck	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Oliver	Thomas
Buxton	Gruitza	Orie	Tigue
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Perzel	Travaglio
Cappabianca	Habay	Petrone	Trello
Carn	Harhart	Pettit	Trich
Casorio	Hasay	Phillips	True
Cawley	Hennessey	Pippy	Tulli
Chadwick	Herman	Pistella	Vance
Civera	Hershey	Preston	Van Home
Clymer	Hess	Ramos	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Raymond	Walko
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Readshaw	Washington
Colaella	Josephs	Reber	Waugh
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Reinard	Williams, C.
Conti	Keller	Rieger	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Roberts	Wogan
Corpora	Kirkland	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Cowell	Laughlin	Ross	Youngblood
Coy	Lederer	Rublely	Zimmerman
Curry	Lescovitz	Sainato	Zug
Daley	Levdansky	Sather	
Dally	Lloyd	Saylor	Ryan,
DeLuca	Lucyk	Schroder	Speaker
Dent	Maitland		

NAYS-29

Benninghoff	Haluska	Lynch	Santoni
Birmelin	Hanna	McIlhattan	Seyfert
Browne	Hutchinson	Miller	Shaner
Carone	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Steelman
Clark	Krebs	Petrarca	Sturla
Dempsey	Lawless	Platts	Veon
Feeze	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Gordner			

NOT VOTING-1

Micozzie

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 863, PN 965**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Museum of Scientific Discovery in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-174

Adolph	DeWeese	Markosek	Schuler
Allen	DiGirolamo	Marsico	Scrimenti
Argall	Donatucci	Masland	Semmel
Armstrong	Druce	Mayernik	Serafini
Baker	Eachus	McCall	Seyfert
Bard	Egolf	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barley	Evans	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fairchild	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fargo	Michlovic	Staback
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	Micozzie	Stairs
Belardi	Fleagle	Mihalich	Steil
Belfanti	Flick	Miller	Stern
Bishop	Gannon	Mundy	Stetler
Blaum	Geist	Myers	Stevenson
Boscola	George	Nailor	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gigliotti	Nickol	Sturla
Brown	Gladeck	O'Brien	Surra
Bunt	Godshall	Olasz	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruppo	Orie	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Habay	Perzel	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Petrone	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Pettit	Travaglio
Casorio	Hennessey	Phillips	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Pippy	Trich
Chadwick	Hershey	Pistella	True
Civera	Hess	Platts	Tulli
Clymer	Horsey	Preston	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Ramos	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Raymond	Vitali
Colaella	Kaiser	Readshaw	Walko
Colaizzo	Keller	Reber	Washington
Conti	Kenney	Reinard	Waugh
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Williams, C.
Corpora	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wilt
Corrigan	Laughlin	Robinson	Wogan
Cowell	Lederer	Roebuck	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lescovitz	Ross	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Levdansky	Rublely	Youngblood
Daley	Lloyd	Sainato	Zimmerman
Dally	Lucyk	Santoni	Zug
DeLuca	Maitland	Sather	
Dent	Major	Saylor	Ryan,
Dermody	Manderino	Schroder	Speaker

NAYS-24

Benninghoff	Feese	Krebs	Petrarca
Birmelin	Gordner	Lawless	Rohrer
Browne	Haluska	Leh	Shaner
Carone	Hanna	Lynch	Steelman
Clark	Hutchinson	McIlhattan	Veon
Dempsey	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 864, PN 966**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Arsenal Family and Children's Center.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. I am advised by the technicians that our automatic devices for counting and keeping track of our activities here have quote, "frozen up," close quote, and that several minutes will be needed to, I guess, thaw them out. So the House will stand at ease for the next several minutes.

The House will come to order. The machine apparently is working again.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-172

Adolph	Dermody	Manderino	Schroder
Allen	DeWeese	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	DiGirolamo	Marsico	Scrimenti

Armstrong	Donatucci	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Druce	Mayernik	Serafini
Bard	Eachus	McCall	Shaner
Barley	Egolf	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Barrar	Evans	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Fairchild	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	Michlovic	Staback
Belardi	Fichter	Micozzie	Stairs
Belfanti	Fleagle	Mihalich	Steil
Bishop	Flick	Miller	Stern
Blaum	Gannon	Mundy	Stetler
Boscola	Geist	Myers	Stevenson
Boyes	George	Nailor	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Nickol	Surra
Browne	Gladeck	O'Brien	Tangretti
Bunt	Godshall	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Buxton	Gruppo	Orie	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	Perzel	Tigue
Cappabianca	Harhart	Petrone	Travaglio
Cam	Hasay	Pettit	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Phillips	Trich
Cawley	Herman	Pippy	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Pistella	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Platts	Vance
Clymer	Horsey	Preston	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Ramos	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Raymond	Walko
Colafrella	Kaiser	Readshaw	Washington
Colaizzo	Keller	Reber	Waugh
Conti	Kenney	Reinard	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kirkland	Rieger	Wilt
Corpora	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Corrigan	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Coy	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Curry	Levdansky	Rublely	Zug
Daley	Lloyd	Sainato	
Daily	Lucyk	Sather	Ryan,
DeLuca	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker
Dent	Major		

NAYS-25

Benninghoff	Haluska	Leh	Santoni
Birmelin	Hanna	Lynch	Steelman
Carone	Hutchinson	McIlhattan	Sturla
Clark	Jadlowiec	Pesci	Veon
Dempsey	Krebs	Petrarca	Wright, M. N.
Feese	Lawless	Rohrer	Yewcic
Gordner			

NOT VOTING-1

Seyfert

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 865, PN 967**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Beacon Lodge Camp.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—182

Adolph	Dent	Lloyd	Santoni
Allen	Dermody	Lucyk	Sather
Argall	DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor
Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Baker	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Bard	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Barley	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Barrar	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Battisto	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Belardi	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Blaum	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Boscola	Gannon	Michlovic	Stern
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Stetler
Brown	George	Mihalich	Stevenson
Browne	Gigliotti	Miller	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gladeck	Mundy	Surra
Butkovitz	Godshall	Myers	Tangretti
Buxton	Gordner	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Gruppo	O'Brien	Thomas
Carn	Habay	Olasz	Tigue
Casorio	Harhart	Oliver	Travaglio
Cawley	Hasay	Orie	Trello
Chadwick	Hennessey	Perzel	Trich
Civera	Herman	Petrone	True
Clark	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsley	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vitali
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Corpora	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Youngblood
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Zimmerman
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Zug
Dally	Lederer	Ross	
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubley	Ryan,
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Speaker

NAYS—16

Birmelin	Krebs	Platts	Sturla
Carone	Leh	Rohrer	Veon
Haluska	Pesci	Steelman	Wright, M. N.
Hanna	Petrarca	Steil	Yewcic

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 866, PN 968**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Wistar Institute-Research, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—177

Adolph	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Michlovic	Steil
Boscola	Geist	Micozzie	Stern
Boyes	George	Mihalich	Stetler
Brown	Gigliotti	Miller	Stevenson
Browne	Gladeck	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nailor	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Habay	Olasz	Thomas
Carn	Harhart	Oliver	Tigue
Casorio	Hasay	Orie	Travaglio
Cawley	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Chadwick	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Civera	Hershey	Pettit	True
Clymer	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Horsley	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Horne
Colaifella	Jadlowiec	Preston	Vitali
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Conti	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Cornell	Kaiser	Readshaw	Waugh
Corpora	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.

Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Lynch	Sather	

NAYS—20

Benninghoff	Feese	Pesci	Steelman
Birmelin	Haluska	Petrarca	Sturla
Blaum	Hanna	Platts	Veon
Carone	Krebs	Rohrer	Wright, M. N.
Clark	Leh	Shaner	Yewcic

NOT VOTING—1

Lawless

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 867, PN 969**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to St. Francis Hospital, Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—174

Adolph	Dermody	Major	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Scriminti
Baker	Druce	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Eachus	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Bishop	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs
Boscola	Gannon	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	Geist	Miller	Stern

Brown	George	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gigliotti	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Gladeck	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Cam	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrone	Trello
Chadwick	Herman	Pettit	Trich
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	True
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Van Horne
Colaella	Jarolin	Ramos	Vitali
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Walko
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Washington
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Waugh
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wilt
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Dally	Levdansky	Rubley	Zug
DeLuca	Lloyd	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Maitland	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—24

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Steelman
Birmelin	Haluska	Lynch	Sturla
Blaum	Hanna	Pesci	Tigue
Carone	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Veon
Clark	Krebs	Platts	Wright, M. N.
Feese	Lawless	Rohrer	Yewcic

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 868, PN 970**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Jefferson Medical College and Hospital of Philadelphia for a comprehensive program relating to Tay-Sachs disease.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-179

Adolph	DeWeese	Major	Schuler
Allen	DiGirolamo	Manderino	Scrimenti
Argall	Donatucci	Markosek	Semmel
Armstrong	Druce	Marsico	Serafini
Baker	Eachus	Masland	Seyfert
Bard	Egolf	Mayernik	Shaner
Barley	Evans	McCall	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fairchild	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Fargo	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McIlhattan	Staback
Belardi	Fleagle	McNaughton	Stairs
Belfanti	Flick	Michlovic	Steil
Bishop	Gannon	Micozzie	Stern
Blaum	Geist	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	George	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gigliotti	Myers	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Perzel	Tigue
Carn	Hennessey	Petrone	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pettit	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Phillips	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Pippy	True
Civera	Horse	Pistella	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Preston	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Raymond	Vitali
Colaella	Josephs	Readshaw	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Reber	Washington
Conti	Keller	Reinard	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Roberts	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Robinson	Wilt
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Wogan
Cowell	Laughlin	Ross	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lederer	Rubley	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lescovitz	Sainato	Youngblood
Daley	Levdansky	Santoni	Zimmerman
Dally	Lloyd	Sather	Zug
DeLuca	Lucyk	Saylor	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lynch	Schroder	Speaker
Dent	Maitland		
Dermody			

NAYS-19

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Rohrer
Birmelin	Haluska	Miller	Steelman
Carone	Hanna	Pesci	Veon
Clark	Krebs	Petrarca	Yewcic
Feese	Lawless	Platts	

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 869, PN 971**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Burn Foundation, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-179

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Major	Saylor
Allen	Donatucci	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Druce	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Eachus	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Egolf	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Evans	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fichter	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Flick	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Gannon	Michlovic	Staback
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Stairs
Boscola	George	Mihalich	Stern
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Brown	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gordner	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Tigue
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Travaglio
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Trello
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Trich
Civera	Hess	Phillips	True
Clymer	Horse	Pippy	Tulli
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Van Horne
Colaella	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wogan
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Curry	Lederer	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Daley	Lescovitz	Roebuck	Youngblood
Dally	Levdansky	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lloyd	Rubley	Zug
Dempsey	Lucyk	Sainato	
Dent	Lynch	Santoni	Ryan,
Dermody	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
DeWeese			

NAYS-19

Benninghoff	Feese	Leh	Steil
Birmelin	Haluska	Pesci	Sturla
Blaum	Hanna	Petrarca	Veon

Carone	Krebs	Rohrer	Yewcic
Clark	Lawless	Steeleman	
NOT VOTING—0			
EXCUSED—5			
Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 870, PN 972**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Rehabilitation Institute of Pittsburgh.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—176

Adolph	DeWeese	Major	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Evans	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fleagle	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Flick	Michlovic	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	Micozzie	Stairs
Boscola	Geist	Mihalich	Stern
Boyes	George	Miller	Stetler
Brown	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stevenson
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Strittmatter
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caitagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, J.
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Thomas
Cam	Harhart	Orie	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pettit	True
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Vitali
Colafella	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Washington

Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Dally	Levdansky	Rubley	Zug
DeLuca	Lloyd	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Lynch	Sather	Speaker
Dermody	Maitland		

NAYS—22

Benninghoff	Haluska	Pesci	Steil
Birmelin	Hanna	Petrarca	Sturla
Blaum	Hutchinson	Platts	Tigue
Carone	Krebs	Rohrer	Veon
Clark	Lawless	Steeleman	Yewcic
Feese	Leh		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 871, PN 973**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Lancaster Cleft Palate.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—180

Adolph	DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder
Allen	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Schuler
Argall	Donatucci	Marsico	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Druce	Masland	Semmel
Baker	Eachus	Mayernik	Serafini
Bard	Egolf	McCall	Seyfert
Barley	Evans	McGeehan	Shaner
Barrar	Fairchild	McGill	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fargo	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs

Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Stern
Blaum	Geist	Miller	Stetler
Boscola	George	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	Gigliotti	Myers	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hasay	Perzel	Tigue
Carn	Hennessey	Petrone	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Pettit	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Phillips	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Pippy	True
Civera	Horsey	Pistella	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Platts	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Ramos	Vitali
Colaella	Josephs	Raymond	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Readshaw	Washington
Conti	Keller	Reber	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Wilt
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wogan
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lescovitz	Ross	Youngblood
Daley	Levdansky	Rubley	Zimmerman
Dally	Lloyd	Sainato	Zug
DeLuca	Lucyk	Santoni	
Dempsey	Lynch	Sather	Ryan,
Dent	Maitland	Saylor	Speaker
Dermody	Major		

NAYS-18

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Steelman
Birmelin	Haluska	Pesci	Steil
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Veon
Clark	Krebs	Rohrer	Yewcic
Feese	Lawless		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 872, PN 974, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to St. Christopher's Hospital, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-178

Adolph	Dent	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	Dermody	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	DeWeese	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Druce	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Eachus	Masland	Serafini
Barrar	Egolf	Mayernik	Seyfert
Battisto	Evans	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Fargo	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Fichter	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Benninghoff	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback
Bishop	Flick	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	Gannon	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Geist	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	George	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gigliotti	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Surra
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Taylor, J.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Thomas
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Orie	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pettit	True
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pistella	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Vitali
Colaella	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Daley	Lederer	Ross	Zug
Dally	Lescovitz	Rubley	
DeLuca	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-20

Birmelin	Hanna	Pesci	Steil
Carone	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Sturla
Clark	Krebs	Platts	Veon
Feese	Leh	Rohrer	Waugh
Haluska	Lynch	Steelman	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Itkin	Melio	Rooney	Williams, A. H.
James			

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority whip, who asks that we return to leaves of absence and asks that the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. TAYLOR, be placed on leave. The Chair hears no objection. The leave is granted.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 873, PN 975**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania for cardiovascular studies.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-179

Adolph	DeWeese	Maitland	Santoni
Allen	DiGirolamo	Major	Sather
Argall	Donatucci	Manderino	Saylor
Armstrong	Druce	Markosek	Schroder
Baker	Eachus	Marsico	Schuler
Bard	Egolf	Masland	Scrimenti
Barley	Evans	Mayermik	Semmel
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Serafini
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Seyfert
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Shaner
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Gannon	Michlovic	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Staback
Boscola	George	Mihalich	Stairs
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Stern
Brown	Gladeck	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Godshall	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Gruitza	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Habay	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Harhart	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Hasay	Oliver	Thomas
Cam	Hennessey	Orie	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Petrone	True
Civera	Horsey	Pettit	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Pistella	Vitali
Colafrilla	Josephs	Platts	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Preston	Washington
Conti	Keller	Ramos	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Raymond	Williams, C.

Corpora	Kirkland	Readshaw	Wilt
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Reber	Wogan
Cowell	Laughlin	Reinard	Wojnaroski
Coy	Lawless	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lederer	Roberts	Youngblood
Daley	Lescovitz	Robinson	Zimmerman
Dally	Levdansky	Roebuck	Zug
DeLuca	Lloyd	Ross	
Dempsey	Lucyk	Rubley	Ryan,
Dent	Lynch	Sainato	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS-18

Birmelin	Gordner	Pesci	Sturla
Blaum	Haluska	Rohrer	Tigue
Carone	Hanna	Steelman	Veon
Clark	Krebs	Steil	Yewcic
Feese	Leh		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 874, PN 976**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Fox Chase Institute for Cancer Research, Philadelphia, for the operation and maintenance of the cancer research program.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-181

Adolph	Dermody	Lynch	Sather
Allen	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barrar	Evans	Mayermik	Seyfert
Battisto	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Staback

Bishop	Gannon	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	Geist	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	George	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gladeck	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Godshall	Myers	Surra
Bunt	Gordner	Nailor	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruppo	O'Brien	Thomas
Caltagirone	Habay	Olasz	Tigue
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Travaglio
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Trich
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Horsey	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Walko
Colaifella	Jarolin	Preston	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Youngblood
Curry	Lawless	Robinson	Zimmerman
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Zug
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Ryan,
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rublely	Speaker
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sainato	
Dent	Lucyk	Santoni	

NAYS-16

Birmelin	Haluska	Pesci	Steil
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Sturla
Clark	Krebs	Rohrer	Veon
Feese	Leh	Steelman	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 875, PN 977, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pittsburgh Cleft Palate.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-179

Adolph	Dermody	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	DiGirolamo	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Druce	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Eachus	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Evans	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Michlovic	Stern
Blaum	Geist	Mihalich	Stetler
Boscola	George	Miller	Stevenson
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mundy	Strittmatter
Brown	Gladeck	Myers	Sturla
Browne	Godshall	Nailor	Surra
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Thomas
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Tigue
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Travaglio
Carn	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	True
Chadwick	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Colaifella	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Readshaw	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Lederer	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Lescovitz	Rublely	Zug
Dally	Levdansky	Sainato	
DeLuca	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
Dent			

NAYS-17

Birmelin	Haluska	Pesci	Steelman
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Steil
Clark	Krebs	Platts	Veon
Feese	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Gordner			

NOT VOTING-1

Micozzie

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 876, PN 978**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Central Penn Oncology Group.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-180

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Lynch | Santoni |
| Allen | DeWeese | Maitland | Sather |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Major | Saylor |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Manderino | Schroder |
| Baker | Druce | Markosek | Schuler |
| Bard | Eachus | Marsico | Scrimenti |
| Barley | Egolf | Masland | Semmel |
| Barrar | Evans | Mayernik | Serafini |
| Battisto | Fairchild | McCall | Seyfert |
| Bebko-Jones | Fargo | McGeehan | Smith, B. |
| Belardi | Fichter | McGill | Smith, S. H. |
| Belfanti | Fleagle | McIlhattan | Snyder, D. W. |
| Benninghoff | Flick | McNaughton | Staback |
| Bishop | Gannon | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Blaum | Geist | Micozzie | Stern |
| Boscola | George | Mihalich | Stetler |
| Boyes | Gigliotti | Miller | Stevenson |
| Brown | Gladeck | Mundy | Strittmatter |
| Browne | Godshall | Myers | Surra |
| Bunt | Gordner | Nailor | Tangretti |
| Butkovitz | Gruitza | Nickol | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Buxton | Gruppo | O'Brien | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Habay | Olasz | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Harhart | Oliver | Travaglio |
| Carn | Hasay | Orie | Trello |
| Casorio | Hennessey | Perzel | Trich |
| Cawley | Herman | Petrone | True |
| Chadwick | Hershey | Pettit | Tulli |
| Civera | Hess | Phillips | Vance |
| Clymer | Horsey | Pippy | Van Home |
| Cohen, L. I. | Hutchinson | Pistella | Vitali |
| Cohen, M. | Jadlowiec | Platts | Walko |
| Colafranca | Jarolin | Preston | Washington |
| Colaizzo | Josephs | Ramos | Waugh |
| Conti | Kaiser | Raymond | Williams, C. |
| Cornell | Keller | Readshaw | Wilt |
| Corpora | Kenney | Reber | Wogan |
| Corrigan | Kirkland | Reinard | Wojnarowski |
| Cowell | LaGrotta | Rieger | Wright, M. N. |
| Coy | Laughlin | Roberts | Youngblood |
| Curry | Lawless | Robinson | Zimmerman |
| Daley | Lederer | Roebuck | Zug |
| Dally | Lescovitz | Ross | |
| DeLuca | Levdansky | Rubleby | Ryan, |
| Dempsey | Lloyd | Sainato | Speaker |
| Dent | Lucyk | | |

NAYS-17

- | | | | |
|----------|-------|----------|--------|
| Birmelin | Hanna | Petrarca | Steil |
| Carone | Krebs | Rohrer | Sturla |
| Clark | Leh | Shaner | Veon |
| Feese | Pesci | Steelman | Yewcic |
| Haluska | | | |

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Itkin | Melio | Taylor, J. | Williams, A. H. |
| James | Rooney | | |

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 877, PN 979**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Johnson Technical Institute of Scranton.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-173

- | | | | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Major | Saylor |
| Allen | DeWeese | Manderino | Schroder |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Markosek | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Marsico | Scrimenti |
| Baker | Druce | Masland | Semmel |
| Bard | Eachus | Mayernik | Serafini |
| Barley | Egolf | McCall | Seyfert |
| Barrar | Evans | McGeehan | Smith, B. |
| Battisto | Fairchild | McGill | Smith, S. H. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fargo | McIlhattan | Snyder, D. W. |
| Belardi | Fichter | McNaughton | Staback |
| Belfanti | Fleagle | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Bishop | Flick | Micozzie | Stern |
| Blaum | Gannon | Mihalich | Stetler |
| Boscola | Geist | Miller | Stevenson |
| Boyes | George | Mundy | Strittmatter |
| Brown | Gigliotti | Myers | Surra |
| Browne | Gladeck | Nailor | Tangretti |
| Bunt | Godshall | Nickol | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Butkovitz | Gruitza | O'Brien | Thomas |
| Buxton | Gruppo | Olasz | Tigue |
| Caltagirone | Habay | Oliver | Travaglio |
| Cappabianca | Harhart | Orie | Trello |
| Carn | Hasay | Perzel | Trich |

Casorio	Hennessey	Petrone	True
Cawley	Herman	Pettit	Tulli
Chadwick	Hershey	Phillips	Vance
Civera	Hess	Pippy	Van Home
Clymer	Horsey	Pistella	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Walko
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Colafrella	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Conti	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Curry	Lescovitz	Ross	Zug
Daley	Levdansky	Rublely	
Dally	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
DeLuca	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Dent	Maitland	Sather	

NAYS-24

Benninghoff	Gordner	Leh	Shaner
Birmelin	Haluska	Lynch	Steelman
Carone	Hanna	Pesci	Steil
Clark	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Sturla
Dempsey	Krebs	Platts	Veon
Feese	Lawless	Rohrer	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 878, PN 980**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-177

Adolph	DeWeese	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	DiGirolamo	Major	Schuler
Argall	Donatucci	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Druce	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Eachus	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Egolf	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Evans	Mayernik	Shaner
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Smith, B.
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	George	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	Gladeck	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Godshall	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gordner	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruppo	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Habay	Olasz	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oliver	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Orie	Travaglio
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	True
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Horsey	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Vitali
Colafrella	Jarolin	Raymond	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Readshaw	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Lawless	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Lederer	Rublely	Zug
Dally	Lescovitz	Sainato	
DeLuca	Levdansky	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Lloyd	Sather	Speaker
Dermody	Lucyk	Saylor	

NAYS-19

Birmelin	Feese	Lynch	Steil
Blaum	Haluska	Pesci	Veon
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Waugh
Clark	Krebs	Platts	Yewcic
Dempsey	Leh	Rohrer	

NOT VOTING-1

Preston

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 879, PN 981**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the Berean Training and Industrial School at Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—175

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| Adolph | DeWeese | Major | Saylor |
| Allen | DiGirolamo | Manderino | Schroder |
| Argall | Donatucci | Markosek | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Druce | Marsico | Scriminti |
| Baker | Eachus | Masland | Semmel |
| Bard | Egolf | Mayernik | Serafini |
| Barley | Evans | McCall | Seyfert |
| Barrar | Fairchild | McGeehan | Shaner |
| Battisto | Fargo | McGill | Smith, B. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fichter | McIlhattan | Smith, S. H. |
| Belardi | Fleagle | McNaughton | Snyder, D. W. |
| Belfanti | Flick | Michlovic | Staback |
| Benninghoff | Gannon | Micozzie | Stairs |
| Bishop | Geist | Mihalich | Stern |
| Boscola | George | Miller | Stetler |
| Boyes | Gigliotti | Mundy | Stevenson |
| Brown | Gladeck | Myers | Strittmatter |
| Browne | Godshall | Nailor | Surra |
| Bunt | Gruitza | Nickol | Tangretti |
| Butkovitz | Gruppo | O'Brien | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Buxton | Habay | Olasz | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Harhart | Oliver | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Hasay | Orie | Travaglio |
| Cam | Hennessey | Perzel | Trello |
| Casorio | Herman | Petrone | Trich |
| Cawley | Hershey | Pettit | True |
| Chadwick | Hess | Phillips | Tulli |
| Civera | Horsey | Pippy | Vance |
| Clymer | Jadlowiec | Pistella | Van Horne |
| Cohen, L. I. | Jarolin | Preston | Vitali |
| Cohen, M. | Josephs | Ramos | Walko |
| Colaella | Kaiser | Raymond | Washington |
| Colaizzo | Keller | Readshaw | Waugh |
| Conti | Kenney | Reber | Williams, C. |
| Cornell | Kirkland | Reinard | Wilt |
| Corpora | LaGrotta | Rieger | Wogan |
| Corrigan | Laughlin | Roberts | Wojnaroski |
| Cowell | Lawless | Robinson | Wright, M. N. |
| Coy | Lederer | Roebuck | Youngblood |
| Curry | Lescovitz | Ross | Zimmerman |
| Daley | Levdansky | Rublely | Zug |
| Dally | Lloyd | Sainato | |
| DeLuca | Lucyk | Santoni | |
| Dent | Maitland | Sather | |
| Dermody | | | Ryan,
Speaker |

NAYS—22

- | | | | |
|----------|------------|----------|----------|
| Birmelin | Gordner | Lynch | Steelman |
| Blaum | Haluska | Pesci | Steil |
| Carone | Hanna | Petrarca | Sturla |
| Clark | Hutchinson | Platts | Veon |
| Dempsey | Krebs | Rohrer | Yewcic |
| Feese | Leh | | |

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Itkin | Melio | Taylor, J. | Williams, A. H. |
| James | Rooney | | |

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. HB 880 is over temporarily.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 881, PN 983**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades in Delaware County.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the lady, Ms. Steelman, on final passage.
Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

In 1994 when the House brought into existence a special committee to study the nonpreferred appropriations, Williamson Free School of Mechanical Trades was one of the institutions that we examined, and when the director of that school came before the committee, it became clear in the course of questioning that Williamson, although it is certainly a very good school with an excellent record of turning out students who are qualified to take jobs, has what many of us might consider a significant disadvantage: It is a segregated school. And I wanted to be sure, given the controversy that has appeared over the last couple of years about the Citadel and VMI (Virginia Military Institute), which were also segregated schools that received State funding, that Williamson perhaps had changed its policies. So I called the director yesterday, and we determined this morning that

Williamson still has a policy of refusing to accept otherwise qualified women applicants.

Now, I am not sure whether it is specifically unconstitutional for us directly to appropriate money to a school that violates the provisions of Pennsylvania's Constitution, but I think that even if this is legally defensible, it is not a good idea for us to continue with this policy. So I would recommend that other members of the House who feel that we should not be doing this vote against continuing the nonpreferred appropriation for Williamson until it adopts an open-admissions policy, which would put it into congruence with all of the other schools for which we provide nonpreferred appropriations.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Mrs. Cohen.

Mrs. COHEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, relative to this bill, I sat on the same committee as the previous speaker, and during the testimony at that time, I have to tell you, I was incredibly impressed with the success rate achieved by this school.

They take young men who are challenged from their backgrounds and from their circumstances and make successes out of them, provide employment opportunities for them, and in tracking the children and the young boys that attend this school, they certainly have success in life, and I would urge the members to please vote in favor of this bill. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-168

Adolph	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Allen	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Argall	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Armstrong	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Baker	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Bard	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barley	Fairchild	Mayernik	Smith, B.
Barrar	Fargo	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Battisto	Feese	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Bebko-Jones	Fichter	McGill	Staback
Belardi	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Stairs
Belfanti	Flick	McNaughton	Stern
Benninghoff	Gannon	Michlovic	Stetler
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Stevenson
Boscola	George	Mihalich	Strittmatter
Boyes	Gigliotti	Miller	Surra
Brown	Gladeck	Myers	Tangretti
Browne	Godshall	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Thomas
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tigue
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Harhart	Oliver	Trello
Cappabianca	Hasay	Orie	Trich
Casorio	Hennessey	Perzel	True
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Tulli
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Vance
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Van Horne
Clymer	Horsey	Pippy	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Walko
Colafrella	Jadlowiec	Preston	Washington
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Ramos	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Wojnaroski

Cowell	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Roberts	Youngblood
Daley	Lawless	Ross	Zimmerman
DeLuca	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz	Sainato	
Dent	Levdansky	Sather	Ryan, Speaker
Dermody	Lloyd	Saylor	
DeWeese	Lucyk		

NAYS-27

Birmelin	Gordner	Pesci	Shaner
Blaum	Haluska	Petrarca	Steelman
Carn	Hanna	Platts	Steil
Carone	Krebs	Robinson	Sturla
Clark	Leh	Roebuck	Veon
Cohen, M.	Lynch	Rohrer	Yewcic
Curry	Mundy	Santoni	

NOT VOTING-2

Dally	Josephs
-------	---------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 882, PN 984**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-178

Adolph	Dermody	Lucyk	Sather
Allen	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Argall	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Armstrong	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Baker	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Bard	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Barley	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barrar	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Battisto	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belardi	Feese	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Fichter	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Benninghoff	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback

Bishop	Flick	Michlovic	Stairs
Boscola	Gannon	Micozzie	Stern
Boyes	Geist	Mihalich	Stetler
Brown	George	Miller	Stevenson
Browne	Gigliotti	Mundy	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gladeck	Myers	Surra
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Tangretti
Buxton	Gordner	Nickol	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	O'Brien	Thomas
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Olasz	Tigue
Carn	Habay	Oliver	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	Orie	Trello
Cawley	Hasay	Perzel	Trich
Chadwick	Hennessey	Petrone	True
Civera	Herman	Pettit	Tulli
Clymer	Hershey	Phillips	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Pippy	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Horsey	Pistella	Vitali
Colafiglia	Hutchinson	Preston	Walko
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Washington
Conti	Jarolin	Raymond	Waugh
Cornell	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Corpora	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Corrigan	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Cowell	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Daley	Lawless	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Dally	Lederer	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rublely	
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker

NAYS-18

Birmelin	Hanna	Petrarca	Steil
Blaum	Krebs	Platts	Sturla
Carone	Leh	Rohrer	Veon
Clark	Lynch	Steelman	Yewcic
Haluska	Pesci		

NOT VOTING-1

Josephs

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 883, PN 985**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-183

Adolph	DeWeese	Major	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Evans	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Steelman
Boscola	Geist	Miller	Stern
Boyes	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stetler
Brown	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Browne	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Bunt	Gordner	Nickol	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Buxton	Gruppo	Olasz	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Harhart	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Tigue
Carone	Hennessey	Petrarca	Travaglio
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Trello
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	Trich
Chadwick	Hess	Phillips	True
Civera	Horsey	Pippy	Tulli
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Van Horne
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Colafiglia	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Dally	Levdansky	Rublely	Zug
DeLuca	Lloyd	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Maitland	Sather	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS-13

Birmelin	Hanna	Lynch	Steil
Blaum	Lawless	Pesci	Veon
Clark	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Haluska			

NOT VOTING-1

George

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 884, PN 986**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the University of the Arts, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—173

Adolph	DeWeese	Major	Saylor
Allen	DiGrolamo	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Markosek	Schuler
Baker	Druce	Marsico	Scrimenti
Bard	Eachus	Masland	Semmel
Barley	Egolf	Mayernik	Serafini
Barrar	Evans	McCall	Seyfert
Battisto	Fairchild	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Feese	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fichter	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Fleagle	Michlovic	Stairs
Bishop	Flick	Micozzie	Stern
Boscola	Gannon	Mihalich	Stetler
Boyes	Geist	Miller	Stevenson
Brown	George	Mundy	Strittmatter
Browne	Gigliotti	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gladeck	Nailor	Surra
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Thomas
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Oric	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Pettit	True
Civera	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Pistella	Van Home
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Vitali
Colaella	Jarolin	Ramos	Walko
Colaizzo	Josephs	Raymond	Washington
Conti	Kaiser	Readshaw	Waugh
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Williams, C.
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaCrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Levdansky	Rubley	
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Maitland	Sather	

NAYS—22

Armstrong	Haluska	Lynch	Shaner
Birmelin	Hanna	Pesci	Steelman
Blaum	Hutchinson	Petrarca	Steil
Carone	Krebs	Platts	Veon
Clark	Lawless	Rohrer	Yewcic
Gordner	Leh		

NOT VOTING—2

Curry	Wilt
-------	------

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 885, PN 987**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Trustees of Drexel University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Adolph	Dermody	Major	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	DiGrolamo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Druce	Masland	Semmel
Bard	Eachus	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Geist	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	George	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Gigliotti	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gladeck	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruppo	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Habay	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Oric	Tigue

Carn	Hasay	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trello
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	True
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Clark	Horshey	Pippy	Vance
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colafrilla	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Laughlin	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Lawless	Robinson	Youngblood
Curry	Lederer	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Zug
Dally	Levdansky	Rubley	
DeLuca	Lloyd	Sainato	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Speaker
Dent	Maitland	Sather	

NAYS-16

Birmelin	Gordner	Leh	Steelman
Blaum	Haluska	Lynch	Steil
Carone	Hanna	Pesci	Veon
Feese	Krebs	Rohrer	Yewcic

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 886, PN 988**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Allegheny University of the Health Sciences.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-180

Adolph	Dermody	Major	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Manderino	Schroder
Argall	DiGirolamo	Markosek	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Marsico	Scrimenti
Baker	Druce	Masland	Semmel
Bar	Eachus	Mayernik	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	McCall	Seyfert
Barrar	Evans	McGeehan	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McGill	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs
Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Geist	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	George	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Gigliotti	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gladeck	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Godshall	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Gordner	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruitza	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Habay	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Petrone	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Pettit	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Phillips	True
Civera	Hershey	Pippy	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Pistella	Vance
Clymer	Horshey	Platts	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Preston	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Walko
Colafrilla	Jarolin	Raymond	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Readshaw	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Lederer	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dally	Levdansky	Sainato	
DeLuca	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
Dent	Maitland		

NAYS-17

Birmelin	Hanna	Lynch	Steelman
Blaum	Krebs	Pesci	Steil
Carone	Lawless	Petrarca	Veon
Feese	Leh	Rohrer	Yewcic
Haluska			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 887, PN 989**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations to the Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?

Mr. **THOMAS** offered the following amendment No. **A0881**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

(c) The sum of \$250,000 is hereby appropriated to the Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, for the fiscal year July 1, 1997, to June 30, 1998, for the SIDS program.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, with respect to amendment 881 to HB 887.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I do not know how we correct this, but with respect to HB 887, I have amendment 775.

The **SPEAKER**. That amendment is not on any computer run that we are aware of.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Excuse me ?

The **SPEAKER**. That amendment does not appear on any of the computer runs; that is why we had amendment 881 read, which you turned in as an amendment to this bill.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Okay. I am ready, Mr. Speaker.

The **SPEAKER**. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Amendment 881 increases the line item for Thomas Jefferson Hospital from \$3,290,000 to \$3,540,000 and asks that the additional \$250,000 be used for continuation of the SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome) program at Thomas Jefferson Hospital.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. **BARLEY**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to certainly not oppose the amendment on the merits of the program, but we have been moving through the nonpreferreds in an orderly fashion. When I introduced all the bills, I introduced them at the very same level proportionately as they were proposed, and I intend to be opposing the amendments that members may have to the various nonpreferred bills.

I think in a manner of being fair and equitable, we should be opposing this amendment, and I intend to vote "no" and would encourage the members in a spirit of fairness to vote "no" on this as well and then vote for the bill without the amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Mr. Thomas, do you seek further recognition ? You do not.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-93

Battisto	Donatucci	Markosek	Santoni
Bebko-Jones	Eachus	Mayernik	Schroder
Belardi	Evans	McCall	Scrimenti
Belfanti	George	McGeehan	Shaner
Bishop	Gigliotti	Michlovic	Staback
Butkovitz	Gordner	Mihalich	Steelman
Buxton	Gruitza	Mundy	Stetler
Caltagirone	Hanna	Myers	Sturla
Cappabianca	Horsey	O'Brien	Surra
Carn	Jarolin	Olasz	Tangretti
Casorio	Josephs	Oliver	Thomas
Cawley	Kaiser	Pesci	Tigue
Cohen, M.	Keller	Petrarca	Travaglio
Colafella	Kenney	Petrone	Trelo
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Pistella	Trich
Corpora	LaGrotta	Preston	Van Horne
Corrigan	Laughlin	Ramos	Veon
Cowell	Lederer	Readshaw	Vitali
Coy	Lescovitz	Rieger	Washington
Curry	Levdansky	Roberts	Williams, C.
Daley	Lloyd	Robinson	Wogan
DeLuca	Lucyk	Roebuck	Yewcic
Dermody	Manderino	Sainato	Youngblood
DeWeese			

NAYS-102

Adolph	Druce	Lynch	Schuler
Allen	Egolf	Maitland	Semmel
Argall	Fairchild	Major	Serafini
Armstrong	Fargo	Marsico	Seyfert
Baker	Feese	Masland	Smith, B.
Bard	Fichter	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	Micozzie	Steil
Birmelin	Geist	Miller	Stern
Boscola	Gladeck	Nailor	Stevenson
Boyes	Godshall	Nickol	Strittmatter
Brown	Gruppo	Oric	Taylor, E. Z.
Browne	Habay	Perzel	True
Bunt	Haluska	Pettit	Tulli
Carone	Harhart	Phillips	Vance
Chadwick	Hasay	Pippy	Waiko
Civera	Hennessey	Platts	Waugh
Clark	Herman	Raymond	Wilt
Clymer	Hershey	Reber	Wojnaroski
Cohen, L. I.	Hess	Reinard	Wright, M. N.
Conti	Hutchinson	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Cornell	Jadlowiec	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Krebs	Rubley	
Dent	Lawless	Sather	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	Leh	Saylor	Speaker

NOT VOTING-2

Blaum	Dally
-------	-------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally ?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas, do you seek recognition ?

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, a point of clarification.

On these nonpreferreds, are amendments treated in the same context as the bill, thereby requiring a two-thirds vote ?

The SPEAKER. No; a simple majority.

Mr. THOMAS. A simple majority. Okay. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally ?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—181

Adolph	Dermody	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	DiGirolamo	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Maderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Druce	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Eachus	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Evang	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Feese	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fichter	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Fleagle	McNaughton	Stairs
Bishop	Flick	Michlovic	Stern
Boscola	Gannon	Micozzie	Stetler
Boyes	Geist	Mihalich	Stevenson
Brown	George	Mundy	Stritmatter
Browne	Gigliotti	Myers	Sturla
Bunt	Gladeck	Nailor	Surra
Butkoviz	Godshall	Nickol	Tangretti
Buxton	Gordner	O'Brien	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Olasz	Thomas
Cappabianca	Gruppo	Oliver	Tigue
Carn	Habay	Orie	Travaglio
Casorio	Harhart	Perzel	Trello
Cawley	Hasay	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hennessey	Petrone	True
Civera	Herman	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hershey	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Hess	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Horshey	Pistella	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kaiser	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Keller	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Kenney	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Kirkland	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Youngblood
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	Zimmerman
Daley	Lawless	Ross	Zug
Dally	Lederer	Rublely	
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Sainato	
Dempsey	Levdansky	Santoni	
Dent	Lloyd	Sather	

NAYS—15

Birmefin	Hanna	Miller	Steil
Blaum	Krebs	Pesci	Veon
Carone	Leh	Platts	Yewcic
Haluska	Lynch	Rohrer	

NOT VOTING—1

Steelman

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 880, PN 982**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation to the Pennsylvania College of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. **A0743**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 5, by striking out "\$1,020,000" and inserting

\$1,270,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, on amendment 743.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, amendment 743 asks for a minimum increase, and I urge members on both sides to support the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker ?

Mr. BARLEY. I again rise and ask for a negative vote on—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman, Mr. Barley, yield.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman interrupt ?

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, to save both sides some time, I withdraw that amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-179

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | DeWeese | Lloyd | Santoni |
| Allen | DiGirolamo | Lucyk | Sather |
| Argall | Donatucci | Maitland | Saylor |
| Armstrong | Druce | Major | Schroder |
| Baker | Eachus | Manderino | Schuler |
| Bard | Egolf | Markosek | Scrimenti |
| Barley | Evans | Marsico | Semmel |
| Barrar | Fairchild | Masland | Serafini |
| Battisto | Fargo | Mayernik | Shaner |
| Bebko-Jones | Feese | McCall | Smith, B. |
| Belardi | Fichter | McGeehan | Smith, S. H. |
| Belfanti | Fleagle | McGill | Snyder, D. W. |
| Benninghoff | Flick | McIlhattan | Staback |
| Bishop | Gannon | McNaughton | Steelman |
| Boscola | Geist | Michlovic | Stern |
| Boyes | George | Mihalich | Stetler |
| Brown | Gigliotti | Miller | Stevenson |
| Browne | Gladeck | Mundy | Strittmatter |
| Bunt | Godshall | Myers | Sturla |
| Butkovitz | Gordner | Nailor | Surra |
| Buxton | Gruitza | Nickol | Tangretti |
| Caltagirone | Gruppo | O'Brien | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Cappabianca | Habay | Olasz | Thomas |
| Cam | Harhart | Oliver | Tigue |
| Carone | Hasay | Orie | Travaglio |
| Casorio | Hennessey | Perzel | Trello |
| Cawley | Herman | Petrarca | Trich |
| Chadwick | Hershey | Petrone | Truc |
| Civera | Hess | Pettit | Tulli |
| Clark | Horsey | Phillips | Vance |
| Clymer | Hutchinson | Pippy | Van Home |
| Cohen, L. I. | Jadlowiec | Pistella | Vitali |
| Colafrilla | Jarolin | Preston | Walko |
| Colaizzo | Josephs | Ramos | Washington |
| Conti | Kaiser | Raymond | Waugh |
| Cornell | Keller | Readshaw | Wilt |
| Corpora | Kenney | Reber | Wogan |
| Corrigan | Kirkland | Reinard | Wojnaroski |
| Cowell | Krebs | Rieger | Wright, M. N. |
| Coy | LaGrotta | Roberts | Youngblood |
| Curry | Laughlin | Robinson | Zimmerman |
| Daley | Lawless | Roebuck | Zug |
| Dally | Lederer | Ross | |
| DeLuca | Lescovitz | Rubley | Ryan, |
| Dent | Levdansky | Sainato | Speaker |
| Dermody | | | |

NAYS-13

- | | | | |
|----------|-------|--------|--------|
| Birmelin | Leh | Platts | Steil |
| Blaum | Lynch | Rohrer | Yeon |
| Haluska | Pesci | Stairs | Yewcic |
| Hanna | | | |

NOT VOTING-5

- | | | | |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Cohen, M. | Micozzie | Seyfert | Williams, C. |
| Dempsey | | | |

EXCUSED-6

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Itkin | Melio | Taylor, J. | Williams, A. H. |
| James | Rooney | | |

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 888, PN 990**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P.L.743, No.176), known as the Lincoln University-Commonwealth Act, making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. ROEBUCK offered the following amendment No. **A0728**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

(1) For educational and general expenses. No funds may be allocated from this appropriation unless any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year remains under 4.5% for Pennsylvania resident students	\$9,958,000
---	-------------

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would provide as a condition for the receipt of these moneys that any tuition increase for the 1997-98 school year for Pennsylvania residents be limited to no more than 4.5 percent.

I would ask for the support of the members of the House on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-186

- | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Adolph | Dent | Lucyk | Saylor |
| Allen | Dermody | Maitland | Schuler |
| Argall | DeWeese | Major | Scrimenti |
| Armstrong | DiGirolamo | Manderino | Semmel |
| Baker | Donatucci | Markosek | Serafini |
| Bard | Druce | Marsico | Seyfert |
| Barley | Eachus | Masland | Shaner |
| Barrar | Egolf | Mayernik | Smith, B. |

Battisto	Evans	McCall	Smith, S. H.
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	McGeehan	Snyder, D. W.
Belardi	Fargo	McIlhattan	Staback
Belfanti	Feese	McNaughton	Stairs
Benninghoff	Fichter	Michlovic	Steelman
Birmelin	Fleagle	Micozzie	Steil
Bishop	Flick	Mihalich	Stern
Blaum	Gannon	Miller	Stetler
Boscola	Geist	Mundy	Stevenson
Boyes	George	Myers	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Nailor	Sturla
Browne	Gladeck	Nickol	Surra
Bunt	Godshall	O'Brien	Tangretti
Butkovitz	Gordner	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Buxton	Gruitza	Oliver	Thomas
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Orie	Tigue
Cappabianca	Habay	Perzel	Travaglio
Carn	Hanna	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Harhart	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Hasay	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hennessey	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Herman	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Hershey	Pippy	Van Home
Clymer	Hess	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Horsey	Preston	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Ramos	Walko
Colafrella	Jadlowiec	Raymond	Washington
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Readshaw	Waugh
Conti	Josephs	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kaiser	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Keller	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	Kenney	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Kirkland	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	LaGrotta	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Laughlin	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Lederer	Rubley	Zug
Dally	Lescovitz	Sainato	
DeLuca	Levdansky	Santoni	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sather	Speaker

NAYS—10

Haluska	Leh	Platts	Schroder
Krebs	Lynch	Rohrer	Yewcic
Lawless	McGill		

NOT VOTING—1

Carone

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0798:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by inserting after "Section 1."

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 3 and 4

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the State's Right-to-Know Law guarantees to all citizens of Pennsylvania the right to have access to certain information and documents at public agencies, and the Right-to-Know Law applies that right, guarantees that right, in the context of public agencies as defined in the law. Those public agencies include all the agencies of State Government; they include our county governments, our municipal governments, our school districts, our community colleges, and the institutions of the State System of Higher Education.

The notable exception in terms of the application of this Right-to-Know Law are our four State-related universities — Pitt, Penn State, Temple, and Lincoln — four institutions that together receive or this coming year will receive well in excess of a half billion dollars of State taxpayer money. This amendment that is drawn to the bill providing for the appropriation to Lincoln University is also drafted to be applied to Pitt and Penn State and Temple when those appropriation bills are considered in a few minutes.

This is an amendment that has been considered by this House in prior years. Sometimes we have approved it; sometimes we have not. I would ask that we make as a condition of the appropriation for Lincoln, in this case, for 1997-98, we make a condition of that appropriation the application of the Right-to-Know Law by Lincoln University, and I will be asking that we apply this same principle to the appropriations for Pitt, Penn State, and Temple.

It is true, Mr. Speaker, that over the last couple of years, largely because of the amendments successfully offered by Representative Stairs, we have added other conditions, other requirements, to the appropriations for these State-related universities. We now require them to report to the General Assembly far more information than we once did. But those reporting requirements are different from the basic principle that the Right-to-Know Law stands for. We can spell out volumes of reporting requirements in this legislation, but that does not accomplish the purpose of the Right-to-Know Law. The Right-to-Know Law and the amendment that I am offering would guarantee every citizen the right to certain basic information, basic documents, at these public institutions, and I make the argument that Temple and Pitt and Penn State and Lincoln are in fact public institutions and certainly for the purposes of the Right-to-Know Law and the use of their appropriations should be considered public institutions.

I ask for support for this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Regarding this amendment, I would like to remind the body of the General Assembly that in 1994, with my amendment and bipartisan support, we were able to address the accountability issue at that time when the question was raised, and we were able to add an amendment to the State-relateds — Lincoln, Temple, Penn State, and Pitt — information that would be disseminated to people across the Commonwealth about the operation of these institutions.

Now, we are certainly talking about Lincoln at this time, and I have with me what the information amounts to. This is referring to Penn State, but certainly Lincoln would have information very similar to this that they would have to dispense within 120 days of the fiscal year. In other words, this information that I have is from what we recently received from last year, and this information would have to be given to the General Assembly, the Secretary of Education, and obviously the Auditor General, to be able to check and to go over.

I certainly oppose this amendment of right-to-know. What I fear is going to happen is that we are going to take piecemeal information, and of course, the result is going to be distorted and inaccurate information that is going to be offered to our people. This is going to cause an increased bureaucracy, and I think you are going to have litigation oftentimes interpreting the meaning of this information, which I feel is going to result in higher costs to our students and possibly cutting programs — increased cost with no benefit.

Right-to-know, which has been proposed, it may work for State agencies which are 100-percent funded by the State, but the State-relateds are only partially funded by the State, and I do not think this type of legislation would pertain to our State-related schools.

Probably one thing that not many people are aware of, I think our four State-relateds, which, in my opinion — and many people will agree with me — rank among the top four institutions in the country for what they do and what they stand for and the education that they give to their students, I think they are going to lose a competitive edge with their competition, and they will not be able to maintain the quality, because maybe certain vital information that keeps them number one in their area will be used against them.

So I would hope that we come up with reasonable accountability, and it is not only reasonable but it is to the point and gives the taxpayers a very viable piece of information. So I would hope that we would oppose this amendment, first for Lincoln and then later on for Temple, Penn State, and Pitt. So I would ask the members to be consistent. In years past you have voted against this, and I would hope that it has worked well, the system that we have, and let us not change something that is very adequate and very beneficial to our taxpayers. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Coy.

Mr. COY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to associate myself with the remarks of the gentleman, Mr. Stairs. I have been privileged in the past several years to cosponsor with him the language that has gone into several of these appropriations bills concerning what I think are legitimate requests for information.

I think the previous speaker said it very well, and I also think that any legitimate request for information from any of these State-related universities is accessible. I think if it is legitimate requests that people, that taxpayers, want either through their legislator or through the organizations of these schools, that information will be provided, but I think to create a new bureaucracy wherein thousands and thousands of dollars would be spent to comply with this law is probably not in the best interests of either the educational institutions or the kids or older folks who attend these institutions.

We all know there are limited dollars that we can spend and appropriate to these schools — very limited, and they have increasingly become limited — and to saddle these schools, I think, with some of these requirements for this information is going to be money that is going to be taken away from instructional hours; it is money that is going to be taken away from other valuable assets and other valuable programs that the schools could invest in for the betterment of students who attend the schools.

I think we are being reasonable. I think that all these institutions have gone out of their way to provide information to us, Mr. Speaker, to the public in general when legitimate requests are made, and I think to saddle on them all of the requirements of the Right-to-Know Act is unnecessary and burdensome, I think, to most of them.

Representative Cowell made a good point. These universities are State-related universities; they are not State-owned. I am ever mindful of the amount of dollars that are being appropriated, and I agree that it is a lot of money, but these are not State-owned universities. They should not be subject to the same requirements of a State-owned university that the State owns and operates. They are State-related. We assist them, albeit very generously, with their finances, but the State does not own them, and therefore, the State should not provide this type of mandate to them.

I think the language that Representative Stairs has provided in previous bills and agreements that we have reached in the past about providing legitimate information goes far enough, and anything more, for example, that would be included in this amendment, would be excessive and burdensome.

I join Representative Stairs in asking my colleagues to vote “no” on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise in opposition to the Cowell amendment.

I concur with Representative Stairs, and I also concur with Representative Coy. I think they have articulated extremely valuable reasons as to why we need not support the Cowell amendment, and I will only add a third reason as to why we should not support this amendment.

Mr. Speaker, I have not seen any factual or other information indicating that this particular university along with the other universities have refused to provide any and all information that has been requested by this body or by the executive branch. So there is no indication of noncompliance with this law or any other law that requires certain information. I think Representative Stairs indicated, as the chair of the committee, that any information that he has requested, that information has willingly been provided, and therefore, we do not need to create another tier of bureaucracy, nor do we need to create an environment of intrusion, especially in a situation where a small university like this university is not receiving its total assistance from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So, Mr. Speaker, I add to the two previous speakers when I say a third reason that we need to consider, and that is, application of the Right-to-Know Law in the absence of some indication that there has been noncompliance with requests that have been made would be tantamount to intrusion, and I ask for a “no” vote from both sides of the aisle.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to concur with my colleagues, Representatives Thomas, Coy, and Stairs, and I urge the members of this House of Representatives to vote against the Cowell amendment.

We have seen this language of this amendment previously, in previous sessions, and have defeated it for a good reason. I think Representative Cowell even alluded to that in his opening statements, because there is definitely a difference between the State-related universities and those which have the further requirements, which are State-owned.

Lincoln University and these other universities that we are about to vote on and have subsequent amendments that are going to be offered by Representative Cowell have independent boards of trustees which oversee the operations of these universities. In my judgment, the Coy and the Stairs language that is already part of this legislation is more than adequate in terms of providing the necessary accountability to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania. Further, the adoption of this amendment proposed by Representative Cowell could adversely affect the taxpayers of Pennsylvania by requiring more administrative costs, which obviously could be utilized to further enhance the programs and educational services at Lincoln University.

Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a "no" vote on this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Myers.

Mr. MYERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise also to oppose the Cowell amendment, and as the previous speakers have already indicated, I do not want to be redundant, but I think that it is an intrusion. If Lincoln is amenable to reporting to us how they are using the \$10 million, I think we should be satisfied with that.

So therefore, I ask colleagues on both sides of the aisle to oppose this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I do not think that we intrude when we act to protect the interests of taxpayers, and particularly when we act to protect the interests and the rights of taxpayers in the context of institutions that receive large sums of money from those taxpayers through this legislature.

I want to respond very quickly to several of the criticisms or comments that were made by those who spoke in opposition to this amendment.

One, it was suggested that these schools do not get all their money from the State, and therefore, it is inappropriate for us to apply the Right-to-Know Law. County governments do not get all their money from the State, school districts do not get all their money from the State, our State-owned universities do not get all their money from the State, but we see fit to protect the interests of taxpayers by applying the Right-to-Know Law in those instances.

It was suggested that there are already volumes of information that are produced, and Representative Stairs had some of that information that at least one institution prepared in response to provisions already in the law. As I said in my opening remarks, that is not the point. They could produce twice that volume of material. The point of this amendment is that every citizen ought to have a right to go in and ask for basic information, basic public documents, not something that we happened to have thought of in

terms of a requirement in this legislation but something that they might seek from a school district or a State agency or a county or their community college or indeed from their State-related university. Their rights should not be shaped by what we thought to require in the form of those documents. If that was the way we were going to approach the public's right to know, would we think, would we dare think, that we would eliminate the right-to-know in terms of State agencies and instead simply assure the public that State agencies produce lots of reports, and therefore, there is no right to know, there is no need for a right-to-know law? We would never think of that, and surely that is not an appropriate or adequate substitute then in the context of our State-related universities.

It was suggested that — and this was a little contradictory, I think — it was suggested that the institutions are already responding to everything that anybody ever asked for, but then we heard all these dire reports that we were going to add to the bureaucracy and schools were going to find that their costs went up and they might have to increase tuition and they might have to reduce programs simply because we said the public has a right to know. That is a lot of nonsense. That is not going to happen. That is an empty threat. Our community colleges, our State-owned universities, and public universities across this country operate in the context of right-to-know laws, and it does not add to their burden. It may make it a bit more inconvenient sometimes, but it does not add to the burden; it does not add to the bureaucracy; it does not add to their costs; it does not result in reduced programs.

And finally, Mr. Speaker, I think it is just a bit arrogant if any of us take the view that we should only give folks information that we think they will not be confused by; that we somehow have to filter it for them before citizens, before taxpayers ought to have a right to access certain kinds of information. We do not do that with our State agencies. We do not do that with our school districts. We would be condemned if we were so arrogant to suggest that we do not want you to be confused or misled so we are only going to spoon-feed you certain kinds of information. We say, as a matter of principle, the public has a right to know basic information about a host of public agencies, and the only notable exception are those public agencies that we happen to call State-related universities that now get more than a half a billion dollars a year from the taxpayers.

Mr. Speaker, this is a very modest and very reasonable amendment. It applies a principle that is applied to every other State agency in the Commonwealth. It applies a principle that is applied to many other large, research, public universities across the country. It is an amendment that is long overdue. It is an amendment that, again, simply reflects a basic principle that the public, the taxpaying public, has a right to access information at all of our public institutions, all of our public agencies, including the State-related universities.

I would urge we support the amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

Does the gentleman, Mr. Lawless, desire recognition?

Mr. LAWLESS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is recognized.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of Representative Cowell. Representative Cowell stated a couple words that were probably the most important said today.

This amendment is long overdue. We need to begin to make these schools accountable. What is wrong with asking these schools to be responsible for the hundreds and hundreds of millions of dollars that the taxpayers of this Commonwealth provide these schools?

It is time that they become accountable to not only the taxpayers of the Commonwealth but we here in the legislature, and I urge the members of this House to vote in favor of Representative Cowell's amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DeWEESE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

We are dealing with major national research universities. I concur completely with Chairman Stairs, and I want to share a story for 1 minute and then sit down.

But when Joab Thomas was president of Penn State a few years ago, he reflected to the Appropriations Committee that he had been president of the University of Alabama, and when a right-to-know statute passed the Alabama legislature, the merit pay system at the University of Alabama did not endure for a year.

So I want to say to all my free enterprise friends, for all my small businessmen and small businesswomen friends on the other side of the aisle, that if you want to have any control over the operation, if you want to be in charge of education at Penn State, as Graham Spanier is and as the board of trustees are, then I think it is inherently necessary that we give them some special flexibility here, and that goes, naturally, for Lincoln and Pitt and Temple.

The arguments that have been made by my colleagues are solid, and I would concur with the gentlemen, Mr. Stairs, Mr. Coy, Mr. Thomas, et al. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Dent.

Mr. DENT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise in opposition to the Cowell amendment.

I concur wholeheartedly with the remarks of the Democratic floor leader. Having worked in private higher education, where a great deal of seminal research was conducted, one thing I learned is that if we were to have this type of a system, we would destroy our ability to recruit leading faculty members from around the world. The minority leader is correct, you would destroy merit pay in these institutions, and you would really hinder their ability to engage in real research, particularly in science, engineering, and some other critical fields.

So again, I would strongly urge that we reject the Cowell amendment for the reasons stated previously. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. I am sorry. I was not going to say anything on this, but that last statement was so ridiculous that I just had to rise and point out that there are public universities all over this country that recruit world-class faculty and at the same time recognize the public's right to know, and our — whatever they are — universities in Pennsylvania could do exactly the same.

It is not, it is not recognizing the public's right to know that exercises any kind of chilling effect on any university's ability to hire faculty.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—59

Bebko-Jones	Evans	Mundy	Steelman
Belardi	Fichter	Pesci	Steil
Birmelin	Haluska	Petrarca	Stern
Blaum	Kaiser	Petrone	Stetler
Boscola	Kirkland	Pistella	Surla
Cappabianca	Krebs	Preston	Surra
Cam	Laughlin	Ramos	Tigue
Carone	Lawless	Reinard	Trello
Casorio	Leh	Robinson	Veon
Cawley	Levdansky	Roebuck	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Lloyd	Rohrer	Waugh
Corpora	Manderino	Sainato	Williams, C.
Cowell	Mayermik	Schroder	Wright, M. N.
DeLuca	Michlovic	Scrimenti	Zimmerman
Dermody	Mihalich	Shaner	

NAYS—137

Adolph	DeWeese	Lederer	Santoni
Allen	DiGirolamo	Lescovitz	Sather
Argall	Donatucci	Lucyk	Saylor
Armstrong	Druce	Lynch	Schuler
Baker	Eachus	Maitland	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Major	Serafini
Barley	Fairchild	Markosek	Seyfert
Barrar	Fargo	Marsico	Smith, B.
Battisto	Feese	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Benninghoff	Flick	McGeehan	Staback
Bishop	Gannon	McGill	Stairs
Boyes	Geist	McIlhattan	Stevenson
Brown	George	McNaughton	Strittmatter
Browne	Gigliotti	Micozzie	Tangretti
Bunt	Gladeck	Miller	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Myers	Thomas
Buxton	Gordner	Nailor	Travaglio
Caltagirone	Gruitza	Nickol	Trich
Chadwick	Gruppo	O'Brien	True
Civera	Habay	Olasz	Tulli
Clark	Hanna	Oliver	Vance
Clymer	Harhart	Orie	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Hasay	Perzel	Walko
Colafranca	Hennessey	Pettit	Washington
Colaizzo	Herman	Phillips	Wilt
Conti	Hershey	Pippy	Wogan
Cornell	Hess	Platts	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	Horshey	Raymond	Yewcic
Coy	Hutchinson	Readshaw	Youngblood
Curry	Jadlowiec	Reber	Zug
Daley	Jarolin	Rieger	
Dally	Josephs	Roberts	Ryan,
Dempsey	Keller	Ross	Speaker
Dent	LaGrotta	Rubley	

NOT VOTING—1

Kenney

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A0830:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting
(1) or educational and general expenses \$10,058,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 830 would add additional funding to the Lincoln University line item. As all of us know, Lincoln University is the oldest African-American university in the country. Lincoln University is part of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's State-related system. You can go to Lincoln University any time of the day or night, visit its international program, its state-of-the-art computer program that is being developed, and look at Lincoln's records any time of the day or night, 7 days of the week.

We have some very fine people who have graduated from Lincoln University. I think any of us, from either side of the aisle, can attest to the fact that Representative LeAnna Washington is a real good product of Lincoln University's training and a member of this august body.

So Lincoln has been in the forefront of doing what we have asked it to do and laying the groundwork for a new level of academia in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and I know that my colleague, Representative Hershey, who represents Lincoln University, has visited the campus, has interacted with the university, can attest to the fact that this small funding increase is desperately needed at Lincoln University.

So I urge my colleagues from both sides of the aisle to support this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Once again I rise to point out to the members that we are being as generous to Lincoln as we have been to all the other institutions that we have approved in the various nonpreferreds bills, and by increasing the line to the extent of \$100,000, we are going beyond in our generosity to this institution, beyond where we have for the other ones.

I think that is inappropriate, and I intend to oppose the amendment, again, in order to remain consistent with what we have been doing on all of the nonpreferreds today, and would encourage all of the members to vote "no" on this amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-85

Bebko-Jones	DeWeese	Lloyd	Roebuck
Belardi	Donatucci	Lucyk	Sainato
Belfanti	Eachus	Manderino	Santoni
Bishop	Evans	Markosek	Scrimenti
Blaum	George	Mayernik	Shaner
Butkovitz	Gruitza	McCall	Staback
Buxton	Hanna	McGeehan	Steelman
Caltagirone	Hennessey	Michlovic	Stetler
Cappabianca	Hershey	Mihalich	Sturla
Cam	Horsey	Mundy	Surra
Casorio	Jarolin	Myers	Tangretti
Cawley	Josephs	Oliver	Thomas
Cohen, M.	Kaiser	Pesci	Travaglio
Colafella	Keller	Petrarca	Trello
Colaizzo	Kirkland	Petrone	Trich
Corpora	Krebs	Pistella	Van Horne
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Preston	Veon
Cowell	Laughlin	Ramos	Vitali
Coy	Lederer	Rieger	Walko
Curry	Lescovitz	Roberts	Washington
Daley	Levdansky	Robinson	Youngblood
Dermody			

NAYS-112

Adolph	Druce	Marsico	Semmel
Allen	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Argall	Fairchild	McGill	Seyfert
Armstrong	Fargo	McIlhattan	Smith, B.
Baker	Feese	McNaughton	Smith, S. H.
Bard	Fichter	Micozzie	Snyder, D. W.
Barley	Fleagle	Miller	Stairs
Barrar	Flick	Nailor	Steil
Battisto	Gannon	Nickol	Stern
Benninghoff	Geist	O'Brien	Stevenson
Birmelin	Gigliotti	Olasz	Stritmatter
Boscola	Gladeck	Orie	Taylor, E. Z.
Boyes	Godshall	Perzel	Tigue
Brown	Gordner	Pettit	True
Browne	Gruppo	Phillips	Tulli
Bunt	Habay	Pippy	Vance
Carone	Haluska	Platts	Waugh
Chadwick	Harhart	Raymond	Williams, C.
Civera	Hasay	Readshaw	Wilt
Clark	Herman	Reber	Wogan
Clymer	Hess	Reinard	Wojnaroski
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Rohrer	Wright, M. N.
Conti	Jadlowiec	Ross	Yewcic
Cornell	Kenney	Rubley	Zimmerman
Dally	Lawless	Sather	Zug
DeLuca	Leh	Saylor	
Dempsey	Lynch	Schroder	Ryan,
Dent	Maitland	Schuler	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Major		

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
 Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
 The question is, shall the bill pass finally?
 Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—191

- | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Adolph | Dermody | Maitland | Saylor |
| Allen | DeWeese | Major | Schroder |
| Argall | DiGirolamo | Manderino | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Donatucci | Markosek | Scrimenti |
| Baker | Druce | Marsico | Semmel |
| Bard | Eachus | Masland | Serafini |
| Barley | Egolf | Mayernik | Seyfert |
| Barrar | Evans | McCall | Shaner |
| Battisto | Fairchild | McGeehan | Smith, B. |
| Bebko-Jones | Fargo | McGill | Smith, S. H. |
| Belardi | Feese | McIlhattan | Snyder, D. W. |
| Belfanti | Fichter | McNaughton | Staback |
| Benninghoff | Fleagle | Michlovic | Stairs |
| Birmelin | Flick | Micozzie | Steelman |
| Bishop | Gannon | Mihalich | Stern |
| Blaum | Geist | Miller | Stetler |
| Boscola | George | Mundy | Stevenson |
| Boyes | Gigliotti | Myers | Strittmatter |
| Brown | Gladeck | Nailor | Sturla |
| Browne | Godshall | Nickol | Surra |
| Bunt | Gordner | O'Brien | Tangretti |
| Butkovitz | Gruitza | Olasz | Taylor, E. Z. |
| Buxton | Gruppo | Oliver | Thomas |
| Caltagirone | Habay | Orie | Tigue |
| Cappabianca | Harhart | Perzel | Travaglio |
| Carn | Hasay | Pesci | Trello |
| Carone | Hennessey | Petrarca | Trich |
| Casorio | Herman | Petrone | True |
| Cawley | Hershey | Pettit | Tulli |
| Chadwick | Hess | Phillips | Vance |
| Civera | Horsey | Pippy | Van Horne |
| Clark | Hutchinson | Pistella | Veon |
| Clymer | Jadlowiec | Platts | Vitali |
| Cohen, L. I. | Jarolin | Preston | Walko |
| Cohen, M. | Josephs | Ramos | Washington |
| Colafiglia | Kaiser | Raymond | Waugh |
| Colaizzo | Keller | Readshaw | Williams, C. |
| Conti | Kenney | Reber | Wilt |
| Cornell | Kirkland | Reinard | Wogan |
| Corpora | Krebs | Rieger | Wojnaroski |
| Corrigan | LaGrotta | Roberts | Wright, M. N. |
| Cowell | Laughlin | Robinson | Yewcic |
| Coy | Lederer | Roebuck | Youngblood |
| Curry | Lescovitz | Ross | Zimmerman |
| Daley | Levdansky | Rublely | Zug |
| Dally | Lloyd | Sainato | |
| DeLuca | Lucyk | Santoni | Ryan, |
| Dempsey | Lynch | Sather | Speaker |
| Dent | | | |

NAYS—6

- | | | | |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| Haluska | Lawless | Rohrer | Steil |
| Hanna | Leh | | |

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

- | | | | |
|-------|--------|------------|-----------------|
| Itkin | Melio | Taylor, J. | Williams, A. H. |
| James | Rooney | | |

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 889, PN 991**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp.Sess., P.L.87, No.3), known as the University of Pittsburgh—Commonwealth Act, making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **ROEBUCK** offered the following amendment No. **A0707**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 26, by striking out all of said line and inserting

(1) For educational and general expenses. No funds may be allocated from this appropriation unless any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year remains under 4.5% for Pennsylvania resident students \$134,880,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes Mr. Roebuck.
 Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is similar to the amendment that we added to the previous bill. It provides that as a condition of the receipt of these funds, the university will limit any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year for Pennsylvania residents to under 4.5 percent.

I would urge that my colleagues adopt this amendment.
 Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

- | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Adolph | DeWeese | Maitland | Saylor |
| Allen | DiGirolamo | Major | Schroder |
| Argall | Donatucci | Manderino | Schuler |
| Armstrong | Druce | Markosek | Scrimenti |
| Baker | Eachus | Marsico | Semmel |

Bard	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Mihalich	Steil
Blaum	George	Miller	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Olasz	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Perzel	Tigue
Carn	Hasay	Pesci	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	True
Chadwick	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Pippy	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Horne
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Platts	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Colaifella	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Colaizzo	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Conti	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Lederer	Roebuck	Yewcic
Curry	Leh	Rohrer	Youngblood
Daley	Lescovitz	Ross	Zimmerman
Daily	Levdansky	Ruble	Zug
DeLuca	Lloyd	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lucyk	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Lynch	Sather	Speaker
Dermody			

NAYS-2

Haluska Lawless

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin Melio Taylor, J. Williams, A. H.
James Rooney

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0795:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 22, by inserting after "Section 1."

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 11 and 12

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is similar to the amendment that we just considered to the previous bill. It would make the 1997-98 appropriation of more than \$150 million to the University of Pittsburgh conditioned upon the university's adherence to the State's Right-to-Know Law.

I would urge support for the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-70

Bebko-Jones	DeLuca	Michlovic	Scrimenti
Belardi	Dermody	Mihalich	Shaner
Birmelin	Evans	Mundy	Staback
Bishop	Gruitza	Pesci	Steelman
Blaum	Haluska	Petrarca	Steil
Boscola	Kaiser	Petrone	Stetler
Butkovitz	Keller	Pistella	Sturla
Buxton	Kirkland	Preston	Surra
Caltagirone	Krebs	Ramos	Tigue
Cappabianca	Laughlin	Rieger	Trello
Carn	Lawless	Roberts	Tulli
Carone	Lederer	Robinson	Veon
Casorio	Leh	Roebuck	Vitali
Cawley	Levdansky	Rohrer	Walko
Cohen, M.	Lloyd	Sainato	Waugh
Corpora	Manderino	Santoni	Williams, C.
Cowell	Mayernik	Schroder	Wright, M. N.
Daley	McGill		

NAYS-124

Adolph	Druce	Lucyk	Saylor
Allen	Eachus	Lynch	Schuler
Argall	Egolf	Maitland	Semmel
Armstrong	Fairchild	Major	Serafini
Baker	Fargo	Markosek	Seyfert
Bard	Feese	Marsico	Smith, B.
Barley	Fichter	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fleagle	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Flick	McGeehan	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern
Benninghoff	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson
Boyes	George	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Miller	Tangretti
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Chadwick	Gordner	Nailor	Thomas
Civera	Gruppo	Nickol	Travaglio
Clark	Habay	O'Brien	Trich

Clymer	Hanna	Olasz	True
Cohen, L. I.	Harhart	Oliver	Vance
Colafrella	Hasay	Orie	Van Home
Colaizzo	Hennessey	Perzel	Washington
Conti	Herman	Pettit	Wilt
Cornell	Hershey	Phillips	Wogan
Corrigan	Hess	Pippy	Wojnaroski
Coy	Horshey	Platts	Yewcic
Curry	Hutchinson	Raymond	Youngblood
Dally	Jadlowiec	Readshaw	Zimmerman
Dempsey	Jarolin	Reinard	Zug
Dent	Josephs	Ross	
DeWeese	Kenney	Rubley	Ryan,
DiGirolamo	LaGrotta	Sather	Speaker
Donatucci	Lescovitz		

NOT VOTING-3

Bunt	Godshall	Reber
------	----------	-------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-192

Adolph	Dermody	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	DeWeese	Major	Schroder
Argall	DiGirolamo	Manderingo	Schuler
Armstrong	Donatucci	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Druce	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Eachus	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Egolf	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Evans	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fairchild	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Fargo	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Feese	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fichter	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Fleagle	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Flick	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Gannon	Mihalich	Steil
Blaum	Geist	Miller	Stern
Boscola	George	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gigliotti	Myers	Stevenson
Brown	Gladeck	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Godshall	Nickol	Sturla
Bunt	Gordner	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruitza	Olasz	Tangretti
Buxton	Gruppo	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Habay	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Perzel	Tigue
Cam	Harhart	Pesci	Travaglio
Carone	Hasay	Petrarca	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrone	Trich

Cawley	Herman	Pettit	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Phillips	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Pippy	Vance
Clark	Horshey	Pistella	Van Home
Clymer	Hutchinson	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Preston	Walko
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Ramos	Washington
Colafrella	Josephs	Raymond	Waugh
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Conti	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Cornell	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Corpora	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Cowell	LaGrotta	Robinson	Yewcic
Coy	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Curry	Lederer	Ross	Zimmerman
Daley	Lescovitz	Rubley	Zug
Dally	Levdansky	Sainato	
DeLuca	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dempsey	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
Dent	Lynch		

NAYS-5

Haluska	Leh	Rohrer	Veon
Lawless			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 890, PN 992**, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.843, No.355), known as the Temple University-Commonwealth Act, making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **ROEBUCK** offered the following amendment No. **A0730**:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting

(1) For education and general expenses. No funds may be allocated from this appropriation unless any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year remains under 4.5% for Pennsylvania resident students \$141,767,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is similar to the amendment that we have added to the previous two bills. It provides that as a requirement for the receipt of the moneys herein appropriated, any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 academic school year for Pennsylvania residents will be limited to no more than 4.5 percent.

I would urge my colleagues to adopt this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	Mayemik	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	George	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Orie	Tigue
Cam	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hasay	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colaafella	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubley	

Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0796:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 23, by inserting after "Section 1."

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 7 and 8

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon the university complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would make the 1997-98 appropriation of \$151 million to Temple University contingent upon the university's adherence to the State's Right-to-Know Law.

Mr. Speaker, it strikes me as just a little bit odd, what we are doing this afternoon. I fully support Representative Roebuck's amendments. I think that it is very appropriate that we create that kind of condition for the receipt of our State appropriations. What strikes me as odd is that we seem not reluctant at all to be very prescriptive about what these institutions can do with their budgets, but in the next breath, we are so very reluctant to say that the public ought to have a right to look at that budget information.

Mr. Speaker, it will not be the first time that we have acted in some schizophrenic way here on the floor of the House. But if we want to be consistent and if we do not think it is intrusive for us to create conditions about the budget as an attachment to our appropriation, then surely it is not intrusive for us to say that the public has a right to information about that budget as another condition for the receipt of a substantial amount of money.

I would urge support for the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just as in the past few moments when we have voted a "no" on the right-to-know for Lincoln and Pitt, on this bill for Temple,

I would certainly urge my colleagues to continue doing the right thing in voting "no" on this amendment.

Just a moment ago, a point was raised that we are hypocritical or inconsistent. I beg to disagree with that. Certainly, Representative Roebuck's amendment is to the point and is certainly try to save some money for our students and our parents in the Commonwealth, and likewise, we do have accountability. Each one of these four institutions, each one of these State-related institutions, must report to us, must be accountable. So nothing is out of the ordinary, and we are expecting to know and still protecting their integrity.

So I would continue to ask my colleagues to continue to vote "no" on this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question before the House is, will the House agree to the amendments offered by the gentleman, Mr. Cowell?

The gentleman, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, rise to ask my colleagues to be consistent and reject this request for compliance with right-to-know. Once again, it is unreasonable; it is unnecessary.

I represent Temple University, so if anybody wants to get any information, then I definitely would like to be able to get information, but I know that I can walk in and out of that institution at any time and secure any information that is needed and other people in my district can do likewise, and therefore, it is unnecessary at this particular time.

I ask for a "no" vote on the Cowell amendment.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-58

Bebko-Jones	DeLuca	Michlovic	Scrimenti
Belardi	Dermody	Mihalich	Shaner
Birmelin	Evans	Mundy	Steelman
Bishop	Haluska	Pesci	Steil
Blaum	Kaiser	Petrarca	Stern
Boscola	Keller	Petrone	Stetler
Caltagirone	Kirkland	Pistella	Sturla
Cappabianca	Krebs	Preston	Surra
Carn	Laughlin	Ramos	Tigue
Carone	Lawless	Robinson	Trello
Casorio	Lederer	Roebuck	Veon
Cawley	Leh	Sainato	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Levdansky	Santoni	Waugh
Corpora	Lloyd	Schroder	Williams, C.
Cowell	Manderino		

NAYS-136

Adolph	Donatucci	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	Druce	Maitland	Schuler
Argali	Eachus	Major	Semmel
Armstrong	Egolf	Markosek	Serafini
Baker	Fairchild	Marsico	Seyfert
Bard	Fargo	Masland	Smith, B.
Barley	Feese	Mayernik	Smith, S. H.
Barrar	Fichter	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Battisto	Fleagle	McGeehan	Staback
Belfanti	Flick	McGill	Stairs
Benninghoff	Gannon	McNaughton	Stevenson
Boyes	Geist	Micozzie	Strittmatter

Brown	George	Miller	Tangretti
Browne	Gigliotti	Myers	Taylor, E. Z.
Bunt	Gladeck	Nailor	Thomas
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nickol	Travaglio
Buxton	Gordner	O'Brien	Trich
Chadwick	Gruitza	Olasz	True
Civera	Gruppo	Orie	Tulli
Clark	Habay	Perzel	Vance
Clymer	Hanna	Pettit	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Harhart	Phillips	Walko
Colaface	Hasay	Pippy	Washington
Colaizzo	Hennessey	Platts	Wilt
Conti	Herman	Raymond	Wogan
Cornell	Hershey	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Corrigan	Hess	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Horsey	Reinard	Yewcic
Curry	Jadlowiec	Rieger	Youngblood
Daley	Jarolin	Roberts	Zimmerman
Dally	Josephs	Rohrer	Zug
Dempsey	Kenney	Ross	
Dent	LaGrotta	Rublely	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lescovitz	Sather	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lucyk		

NOT VOTING-3

Hutchinson	McIlhattan	Oliver
------------	------------	--------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS-196

Adolph	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McIlhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	Michlovic	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Micozzie	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Mihalich	Steil
Blaum	George	Miller	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nickol	Sturla

Bunt	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	Olasz	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Hanna	Orie	Thomas
Cappabianca	Harhart	Perzel	Tigue
Cam	Hasay	Pesci	Travaglio
Carone	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trello
Casorio	Herman	Petrone	Trich
Cawley	Hershey	Pettit	True
Chadwick	Hess	Phillips	Tulli
Civera	Horsey	Pippy	Vance
Clark	Hutchinson	Pistella	Van Home
Clymer	Jadlowiec	Platts	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jarolin	Preston	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Josephs	Ramos	Walko
Colafiglia	Kaiser	Raymond	Washington
Colaizzo	Keller	Readshaw	Waugh
Conti	Kenney	Reber	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kirkland	Reinard	Wilt
Corpora	Krebs	Rieger	Wogan
Corrigan	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Laughlin	Robinson	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Lawless	Roebuck	Yewcic
Curry	Lederer	Rohrer	Youngblood
Daley	Leh	Ross	Zimmerman
Dally	Lescovitz	Rubleby	Zug
DeLuca	Levdansky	Sainato	
Dempsey	Lloyd	Santoni	Ryan,
Dent	Lucyk	Sather	Speaker
Dermody	Lynch		

NAYS-1

Haluska

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted the record to reflect and to be correct on some information that I just received.

We gave a round of applause in acknowledging Representative Washington as being a fine product of Lincoln University. Well, I have subsequently learned that we also have Representative John Myers who is a fine product of Lincoln University, and I would like to just give him a hand.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of HB 891, PN 993, entitled:

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P.L.213, No.227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; and providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration ?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0699:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting
(5) For the cost of agricultural research 22,141,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment ?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment would increase the agricultural research line item for Penn State by 8.6 percent above the current fiscal year. I am offering this amendment in order to carry out the wishes of the Pennsylvania Council of Farm Organizations, which has recommended this increase.

The Council of Farm Organizations, in its policy position, pointed out that from 1990 to 1996, we had an erosion of funding for agricultural research and for cooperative extension at Penn State. That erosion of funding resulted in the loss of 163 positions for faculty, county extension agents, and other staff positions. Last year we began to climb out of that hole. We provided an increase, and as a result, Penn State has been able to begin filling some of those vacancies. We need to go the second step to try to get back, on some rough parity, with where we were prior to the erosion of funding which began in the early 1990's.

Now, Mr. Speaker, this is not strictly a farm issue, although for those individuals who represent agricultural areas, it is important to recognize that the dairy industry right now is facing very severe challenges. Many, many small dairy farmers are going out of business because they cannot compete with larger operations. Penn State's College of Agricultural Sciences gives us a way, through agricultural research, to help those farmers become more efficient.

In addition, the whole issue of salmonella in the poultry industry - which is a very serious disease problem, which can cause difficulties in marketing eggs - the Poultry Federation has pointed out the importance of the research done at Penn State in solving that problem.

I indicated that in dairy, from 1985 to 1995, there was a 22-percent increase in productivity from a dairy cow related to the research done at Penn State.

And as far as food safety, Penn State's College of Agricultural Sciences does research designed to make sure that all of us, regardless of where we live in the State of Pennsylvania, benefit from a safe food supply.

Mr. Speaker, we clearly have the money to pay for this. Agriculture is Pennsylvania's number one industry. This is restoring funding that we used to provide and that we ought to provide in recognition of the need for efficient agriculture and in the need for a safe food supply.

Mr. Speaker, I ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Barley.

Mr. BARLEY. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I have consistently stood at the podium today and asked for fairness, and I will continue to do that.

There is probably no member in the Assembly that understands and appreciates agriculture and the value of the land-grant university that we have in our State any better than I do. I could go on and relate many stories of what the land-grant university, Penn State, has done for the residents of our Commonwealth, and it has been very well received, and it, in many instances, has been beneficial, as has been mentioned, not only to the production/agriculture community but to the residents and the citizens at large.

However, the budget that we have before us, I want to remind the members, is being very generous to Penn State and to their ag extension line. Without this amendment, we are already increasing the line by \$500,000. So this amendment is an additional increase above and beyond the previous or the current fiscal year.

So it is not a matter of the budget not being sensitive to those cutbacks, and we have been very committed in the past two budgets in restoring what was taken out of the budget by the previous administration. We have been diligently working to that goal. We are committed to doing it in this budget. Again, we have done it to the extent of \$500,000.

I think we have been very fair to the previous institutions. I stood here at the podium pleading for fairness, and I am doing that again.

I think we need to be consistent and vote "no" on this amendment, keeping in mind that we are helping ag research to the tune of \$500,000.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cappabianca.

Mr. CAPPABIANCA. I rise in support of the gentleman from Somerset's amendment.

The one thing I learned since I was anointed by my leader as chairman of this illustrious committee is the importance of agriculture to the Commonwealth and to the nation as a whole, and if there is any time that we should make an exception, it is on this particular amendment, because we all realize what research can do. It will maintain Pennsylvania's agriculture where it belongs — at the top, number one. If that is true, you should support this. This is coming from an individual who comes from an urban area, who understands the importance of research.

Therefore, I am asking, Mr. Speaker, that we support Mr. Lloyd's amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Likewise, I join my colleagues in support of this amendment.

There is no doubt that Penn State is the leading educational institution in providing agriculture research not only in this Commonwealth but throughout the United States of America. I do not think there is any doubt that we should be supporting this because of the enhanced possibility of fulfilling their mission as Pennsylvania's land-grant institution and also in providing the quality of service to farmers in food and finer products that they deserve to have.

I think that we should all resoundingly approve this amendment, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Lloyd.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, to those members who do not come from agricultural districts, be advised that a significant amount of the work done at Penn State in agricultural research is aimed at making our food supply safer and also affordable.

Just to cite a quote from the Council of Farm Organizations, the council points out that the shelf life of the milk that you buy in the store is now longer in part because of the research done at Penn State. The eggs which you buy are now less likely to cause you a health problem because of the research done at Penn State.

The council says, "...the food chain is very fragile, as evidenced by thousands of head of cattle recently destroyed in Europe, due to 'mad cow disease.' We cannot afford to place either our producers or consumers at such a risk. Research will continue to be a high priority, if food is to remain safe, and extension programs will be needed to deliver the message. These programs need the support of public funding. The partial restoration of funds last year enabled the College to lift its hiring freeze. The approval of our funding request will allow the needed restoration to continue."

Mr. Speaker, I think the Council of Farm Organizations is right, and I think we ought to vote "yes."

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. DeWeese.

Mr. DEWEESE. During the 1950's Casey Stengel appeared before the United States Congress Subcommittee on Antitrust. He testified for 3½ hours. A few minutes later Mickey Mantle was in the witness stand, and he was asked, "What do you think, Mr. Mantle?" He said, "I agree with Casey." Well, I agree with Mr. Lloyd.

The SPEAKER. Ms. Boscola.

Ms. BOSCOLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, too, have been so very blessed to be appointed to the Agriculture Committee along with Representative Cappabianca.

And by the way, Mr. Speaker, I am with DeWeese on this one. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—155

Allen	Daley	Lederer	Rohrer
Argall	Dally	Leh	Ross
Armstrong	Dempsey	Lescovitz	Sainato
Baker	Dent	Lloyd	Santoni
Bard	Dermody	Lucyk	Sather
Battisto	DeWeese	Maitland	Saylor
Bebko-Jones	DiGirolamo	Major	Scrimenti
Belardi	Donatucci	Manderino	Semmel
Belfanti	Druce	Marsico	Serafini
Benninghoff	Eachus	Masland	Seyfert
Birmelin	Egolf	McCall	Shaner
Bishop	Evans	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Blaum	Fairchild	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Boscola	Fargo	McIlhattan	Staback
Brown	Feese	McNaughton	Stairs
Browne	Fichter	Michlovic	Steelman
Bunt	Fleagle	Mihalich	Stern
Butkovitz	Flick	Miller	Stetler
Buxton	George	Mundy	Stevenson
Caltagirone	Godshall	Myers	Sturla
Cappabianca	Gordner	Nickol	Surra

Carn	Gruitza	Oliver	Tangretti
Carone	Gruppo	Orie	Thomas
Casorio	Habay	Pesci	Travaglio
Cawley	Haluska	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Hanna	Petrone	True
Civiera	Harhart	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Herman	Phillips	Veon
Clymer	Hershey	Pippy	Walko
Cohen, M.	Hess	Pistella	Washington
Colafella	Hutchinson	Platts	Waugh
Colaizzo	Jadlowiec	Preston	Williams, C.
Conti	Jarolin	Ramos	Wilt
Cornell	Josephs	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Corpora	Keller	Reinard	Yewcic
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Youngblood
Cowell	Krebs	Roberts	Zimmerman
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Zug
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	

NAYS-40

Adolph	Hennessey	Olasz	Tigue
Barley	Kaiser	Perzel	Trello
Barrar	Kenney	Raymond	Vance
Boyes	Lawless	Readshaw	Van Horne
Cohen, L. I.	Levdansky	Rubley	Vitali
DeLuca	Lynch	Schroder	Wogan
Gannon	Markosek	Schuler	Wojnaroski
Geist	Mayernik	Snyder, D. W.	
Gigliotti	Micozzie	Steil	Ryan,
Gladeck	Nailor	Taylor, E. Z.	Speaker
Hasay	O'Brien		

NOT VOTING-2

Horsey	Strittmatter
--------	--------------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. LLOYD offered the following amendment No. A0700:

Amend Sec. 1, page 2. line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting

services	23,940,000
----------------	------------

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. LLOYD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is the corollary of the one we just adopted. It provides the 8.6-percent increase for cooperative extension, which was recommended by the Council of Farm Organizations. I would urge an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. On the question, the lady, Ms. Steelman.

Ms. STEELMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just want the members to know that by providing this extra money for agricultural extension services, which help in educating people across the Commonwealth about the importance of agriculture, we will enable Penn State to offer such useful outreach as teaching some of our new members on the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee how to milk a cow and muck out a stall.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-178

Adolph	Dent	Lloyd	Sainato
Allen	Dermody	Lucyk	Santoni
Argall	DeWeese	Maitland	Sather
Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Major	Saylor
Baker	Donatucci	Manderino	Schroder
Bard	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barrar	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Battisto	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Bebko-Jones	Evans	McCall	Seyfert
Belardi	Fairchild	McGeehan	Shaner
Belfanti	Fargo	McGill	Smith, B.
Benninghoff	Feese	McIlhattan	Smith, S. H.
Birmelin	Fichter	McNaughton	Snyder, D. W.
Bishop	Fleagle	Michlovic	Staback
Blaum	Flick	Micozzie	Stairs
Boscola	Gannon	Mihalich	Steelman
Boyes	Geist	Miller	Stern
Brown	George	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Sturla
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nickol	Surra
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Tangretti
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Cappabianca	Habay	Orie	Thomas
Carn	Haluska	Pesci	Travaglio
Carone	Hanna	Petrarca	Trich
Casorio	Harhart	Petrone	True
Cawley	Hasay	Pettit	Tulli
Chadwick	Hennessey	Phillips	Vance
Civiera	Herman	Pippy	Van Horne
Clark	Hershey	Pistella	Veon
Clymer	Hess	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Hutchinson	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Jadlowiec	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Jarolin	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Josephs	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kenney	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Kirkland	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	Krebs	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	LaGrotta	Robinson	Yewcic
Curry	Laughlin	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dalty	Leh	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Lescovitz		

NAYS-17

Barley	Lawless	Perzel	Trello
Cohen, L. I.	Levdansky	Rubley	
DeLuca	Lynch	Schuler	Ryan,
Gigliotti	Mayernik	Steil	Speaker
Kaiser	Olasz	Tigue	

NOT VOTING-2

Horsey	Strittmatter
--------	--------------

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. ROEBUCK offered the following amendment No. A0729:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 20, by striking out all of said line and inserting

(1) For educational and general expenses. No funds may be allocated from this appropriation unless any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year remains under 4.5% for Pennsylvania resident students \$218,984,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Roebuck, on the amendment.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment is similar to the others that we have added to the last series of bills, which provides that as a requirement for receiving these funds, the university will limit any tuition increase for the 1997-1998 school year for Pennsylvania residents to no more than 4.5 percent.

I would urge the adoption of the amendment, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	George	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler
Boyes	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra

Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Orie	Tigue
Cam	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hasay	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Van Horne
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubley	
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Mr. COWELL offered the following amendment No. A0797:

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by inserting before "In"

(a)

Amend Sec. 1, page 2, by inserting between lines 13 and 14

(b) The appropriations provided in subsection (a) shall be contingent upon The Pennsylvania State University complying with all the provisions of the act of June 21, 1957 (P.L.390, No.212), referred to as the Right-to-Know Law, as they are applied to agencies covered by that law.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the question of the adoption of the amendment, the Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Cowell.

Mr. COWELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the proposed appropriation for Penn State for the new fiscal year is now well in excess of a quarter of a billion dollars. This amendment makes the appropriation to Penn State

contingent upon the university's adherence to the State's Right-to-Know Law.

I ask for support for the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Stairs.

Mr. STAIRS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just as we have in the last few State-related votes — with regard to Lincoln, Temple, Pitt, and now Penn State — we have been consistently voting “no” on this amendment, and I hope we can continue that and vote “no” this time, too. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Likewise, we have to oppose this amendment.

The remarks that were made by Representative DeWeese could not be more appropriate when he recalled the conversations of the Appropriations Committee with Dr. Thomas, a former president of Penn State, in which they implemented similar language to this and it had a direct adverse effect on the cost of higher education, which obviously is a cost against students and families who are sending their children to institutions of higher learning in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

I might add that the current language that has been adopted and sponsored by Representatives Coy and Stairs is supported by Penn State University officials and the student body leadership at Penn State.

I certainly urge the rejection of this amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—67

Bebko-Jones	DeLuca	Michlovic	Schroder
Belardi	Dermody	Mundy	Scrimenti
Birmelin	Donatucci	Myers	Shaner
Bishop	Evans	Olasz	Stelman
Blaum	Haluska	Oliver	Steil
Boscola	Jarolin	Pesci	Stetler
Browne	Kaiser	Petrarca	Sturla
Caltagirone	Kirkland	Petrone	Surra
Cappabianca	Krebs	Pistella	Tigue
Carn	Laughlin	Preston	Trello
Carone	Lawless	Ramos	Veon
Casorio	Leh	Rieger	Vitali
Cawley	Levdansky	Roberts	Walko
Cohen, M.	Lloyd	Robinson	Washington
Corpora	Lucyk	Roebuck	Waugh
Cowell	Manderino	Sainato	Williams, C.
Daley	Mayernik	Santoni	

NAYS—128

Adolph	Druce	Lynch	Schuler
Allen	Eachus	Maitland	Semmel
Argall	Egolf	Major	Serafini
Armstrong	Fairchild	Markosek	Seyfert
Baker	Fargo	Marsico	Smith, B.
Bard	Feese	Masland	Smith, S. H.
Barley	Fichter	McCall	Snyder, D. W.
Barrar	Fleagle	McGeehan	Staback
Battisto	Flick	McGill	Stairs
Belfanti	Gannon	McIlhattan	Stern

Benninghoff	Geist	McNaughton	Stevenson
Boyes	George	Micozzie	Strittmatter
Brown	Gigliotti	Mihalich	Tangretti
Bunt	Gladeck	Miller	Taylor, E. Z.
Butkovitz	Godshall	Nailor	Thomas
Buxton	Gordner	Nickol	Travaglio
Chadwick	Gruitza	O'Brien	Trich
Civera	Gruppo	Orie	True
Clark	Habay	Perzel	Tulli
Clymer	Hanna	Pettit	Vance
Cohen, L. I.	Harhart	Phillips	Van Horne
Colafella	Hasay	Pippy	Wilt
Colaizzo	Hennessey	Platts	Wogan
Conti	Herman	Raymond	Wojnaroski
Cornell	Hershey	Readshaw	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Hess	Reber	Yewcic
Coy	Hutchinson	Reinard	Youngblood
Curry	Jadlowiec	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Josephs	Ross	Zug
Dempsey	Keller	Rubley	
Dent	Kenney	Sather	Ryan,
DeWeese	Lederer	Saylor	Speaker
DiGirolamo	Lescovitz		

NOT VOTING—2

Horsely	LaGrotta
---------	----------

EXCUSED—6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Lawless.

Mr. LAWLESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, 2 weeks ago I made members aware of a situation which occurred at Penn State. I felt that Penn State administration needed to remember just who funds their institution — in fact, as Representative Cowell said earlier, in excess of a quarter of a billion dollars a year now. Those people who fund are called the taxpayers of this Commonwealth.

I believe we have received recognition of that reminder today. I am in receipt — and it has been put on the members' desks — of an apology from President Graham Spanier regarding what many Christians and others of this Commonwealth found to be offensive artwork on the main campus of Penn State.

I personally want to thank President Spanier for his apology and for his recent letter supporting a change of policy regarding artwork to be displayed in the future.

While I respect one's freedom of free speech, others must also be protected from such acts of human indecency.

I intend to request further information regarding the policy changes. For example, Graham Spanier's recent letter that you received today, paragraph 3, addresses only the student artwork which is displayed outside the classroom. Will such artwork be permitted in taxpayer-built classrooms? We will see, and I intend to keep this House and the taxpayers of this Commonwealth informed.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Herman.

Mr. HERMAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The remarks of the previous speaker are well taken, and I think that, certainly, while we are all very much aware of the controversy that has arisen at University Park, it has been since February that the artwork that has been described, at least the most controversial, has been removed voluntarily by that art student. There was never any malice intended by that student.

However, I think what has come about of this is that Penn State University officials and the leaders of the religious community, under the leadership of Father Fred Byrne, the director of the Penn State Catholic community, looked at Penn State's guidelines regarding the display of artwork on Penn State's campus, and with these conversations and working together, they have revised their guidelines so that now religion is part of those sensitivity guidelines that are in effect today.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate Dr. Graham Spanier making the appropriate remarks that he did, and I think that we can all appreciate that, too. Penn State is a class act, but they do not stand alone. We are going to probably approve this appropriation for Penn State as we have for all the other colleges and universities of Pennsylvania, and we could be equally proud of each and every one of them for the delivery of programs and higher education learning services that they provide for the students throughout this Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the parents who are struggling to send them to college and the tuition costs.

Mr. Speaker, believe me, as one who represents the University Park at Penn State University, the appropriations that you are going to provide, hopefully within the next few seconds, do not go unappreciated or unacknowledged. We do appreciate them, and they are put to very good, effective, and efficient use. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to express some concerns about our appropriation to Penn State. I am referring to their unrestricted encroachment into areas that I believe are threatening to our small colleges and universities who do not benefit from a quarter of a billion dollars of State appropriations — what I would consider to be, in a lot of cases, institutional welfare. I am referring to, Mr. Speaker, Penn State's expansion of their branch campuses, selected branch campuses, into 4-year, degree-conferring colleges. They have done this without legislative input.

You know, I am a product of a small college by the name of Thiel College, out in Mercer County, with about 900 students. In the face of fixed costs, which have forced them to continually raise tuition, they now have, just 15 miles away, the Penn State University changing the role of a 2-year college to a 4-year college. This act will dramatically impact not only Thiel but

Grove City College, Allegheny College, and Westminster College. I am frustrated by this, Mr. Speaker, that the largest of our State-funded universities would do this with taxpayer dollars.

I know that there are a lot of folks who want to get out of here with the late hour, and I also have a long ride home, but I would also urge my colleagues to consider, once we pass this appropriation, that we look into this practice by the Penn State Board of Trustees, so that we can stand up and be counted in the face of our small colleges and universities.

I realize that talking against Penn State is sometimes looked upon with a jaundiced eye by this body and probably is an unwise and unwelcome dispersion at this point. However, I want to call this body's attention to this practice, and I urge, as we move forward and take a look at this in the future, that we ask the board of trustees to consider their actions and how they impact on our small colleges and universities.

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

The gentleman, Mr. Benninghoff.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I also rise in support of Penn State's appropriation.

When we talk about these appropriations for colleges, I think we have to remember that they affect the whole Commonwealth, not just that entity in the locality that they are. As the other speaker spoke, I think we should all have the ability to get up and express our opinions. That is what this General Assembly is about.

I stand to say that Penn State provides a lot of opportunities, not just educational but opportunities for work and employment that support our communities — not just in State College, not just in Centre County, but in the outlying communities. I think when we make these tough decisions, we have to remember the impact they have on the Commonwealth, especially the positive impacts.

In light of one of our previous speakers, regarding the artwork, while I do not condone what happened and I do not approve of that type of expression of artwork, I also think we need to emphasize that we talk about affecting people's religious beliefs or Christianity; I was always taught that the one quality of Christian beliefs was forgiveness. I hope that when we talk about this issue, we can remember that forgiveness is very important; that we can put this issue behind us and go on and learn from it, as I think Penn State has. They have showed in their efforts and their new guidelines that they have learned from an issue that was not necessarily palatable to the area. So good can come out of situations that are negative, and I think we need to remember that.

As I said, I would like to emphasize, let us put this issue to rest, have faith that this situation will not occur again, and that we can move on and finish doing the people's business. Remember, forgiveness is a great quality. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

YEAS—189

Adolph
Allen
Argall

Dent
Dermody
DeWeese

Lucyk
Lynch
Maitland

Santoni
Sather
Saylor

Armstrong	DiGirolamo	Major	Schroder
Baker	Donatucci	Manderino	Schuler
Bard	Druce	Markosek	Scrimenti
Barley	Eachus	Marsico	Semmel
Barrar	Egolf	Masland	Serafini
Battisto	Evans	Mayernik	Seyfert
Bebko-Jones	Fairchild	McCall	Shaner
Belardi	Fargo	McGeehan	Smith, B.
Belfanti	Feese	McGill	Smith, S. H.
Benninghoff	Fichter	Mclhattan	Snyder, D. W.
Bimmelin	Fleagle	McNaughton	Staback
Bishop	Flick	Michlovic	Stairs
Blaum	Gannon	Micozzie	Steelman
Boscola	Geist	Mihalich	Steil
Boyes	George	Miller	Stern
Brown	Gigliotti	Mundy	Stetler
Browne	Gladeck	Myers	Stevenson
Bunt	Godshall	Nailor	Strittmatter
Butkovitz	Gordner	Nickol	Sturla
Buxton	Gruitza	O'Brien	Surra
Caltagirone	Gruppo	Olasz	Tangretti
Cappabianca	Habay	Oliver	Taylor, E. Z.
Carn	Hanna	Orie	Tigue
Carone	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Casorio	Hasay	Pesci	Trello
Cawley	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trich
Chadwick	Herman	Petrone	True
Civera	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Clark	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clymer	Horsey	Pippy	Van Home
Cohen, L. I.	Hutchinson	Pistella	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Walko
Colaella	Jarolin	Preston	Washington
Colaizzo	Josephs	Ramos	Waugh
Conti	Kaiser	Raymond	Williams, C.
Cornell	Keller	Readshaw	Wojnaroski
Corpora	Kenney	Reber	Wright, M. N.
Corrigan	Kirkland	Reinard	Yewcic
Cowell	Krebs	Rieger	Youngblood
Coy	LaGrotta	Roberts	Zimmerman
Curry	Laughlin	Robinson	Zug
Daley	Lederer	Roebuck	
Dally	Lescovitz	Ross	Ryan,
DeLuca	Levdanskyy	Rubley	Speaker
Dempsey	Lloyd	Sainato	

NAYS-7

Haluska	Leh	Veon	Wogan
Lawless	Rohrer	Wilt	

NOT VOTING-1

Thomas

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The two-thirds majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Perzel, who calls for an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee at the majority leader's desk.

RESOLUTION REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HR 107, PN 1215

By Rep. PERZEL

A Resolution commending the efforts of the Pittsburgh Coalition to Counter Hate Groups.

RULES.

LETTER SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Corrigan, rise ?

Mr. CORRIGAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to submit some remarks for the record.

The SPEAKER. That is in order and appreciated. Send them to the desk.

Mr. CORRIGAN submitted a letter for the Legislative Journal.

(For letter, see Appendix.)

STATEMENT BY MAJORITY LEADER

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Perzel.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, while the resolution is being distributed, I just wanted to make a few comments.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order. Go ahead. You may proceed.

Mr. PERZEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to thank the members for their cooperation in the last 2 days. We considered some 300 amendments, and this is the earliest we have been able to pass a budget in the General Assembly since the inception of our new Constitution in 1968.

We tried to be as open and fair with this process as we possibly could. I would like to truly thank you all for your help, your cooperation, and your consideration, and we hope it is this easy in the months ahead. Thank you.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

RESOLUTION

Mr. WALKO called up **HR 107, PN 1215**, entitled:

A Resolution commending the efforts of the Pittsburgh Coalition to Counter Hate Groups.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution ?

The SPEAKER. On the question, the gentleman, Mr. Walko, desires recognition. The Chair recognizes the gentleman.

Mr. WALKO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to speak in support of HR 107.

You know, in some minds, ethnic and racial diversity is a weakness. In some minds, our diversity fosters senseless and destructive hate. The Pittsburgh Coalition to Counter Hate Groups is working to mobilize the community to reject hatred and bigotry and to embrace tolerance.

It is no secret that the Ku Klux Klan will be marching in Pittsburgh on Saturday, April 5. The Coalition to Counter Hate Groups is also sponsoring, in another part of downtown, a rally, and I respectfully request that this House commend the Coalition to Counter Hate Groups and declare April 5 as "Unity Day" in Pennsylvania. We should do so in recognition that in Pennsylvania and in all of the United States of America, diversity, our ethnic and racial diversity, is not a weakness and it is certainly not a cause for hatred. Indeed, our ethnic and racial diversity is one of our greatest strengths.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Kirkland.

Mr. KIRKLAND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to not only support Representative Walko but also commend him and others, other colleagues, for putting forth such a resolution. Mr. Speaker, we live in an age where racism and bigotry and hatred seem to be the norm, and for this House of Representatives to reach out and adopt such a resolution says that we have taken a different direction; we have taken a direction to seek and secure a unity of all races, of all cultures, and of all people.

So with that said, Mr. Speaker, I am in support and request the support of our colleagues to support Representative Walko and others.

Mr. Speaker, unity is the way. It was once said that together we stand and divided we fall. I think if we all here in the House of Representatives stand with our colleague on this resolution, it will be a bright day in the State of Pennsylvania. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House adopt the resolution ?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	DeWeese	Lynch	Saylor
Allen	DiGirolamo	Maitland	Schroder
Argall	Donatucci	Major	Schuler
Armstrong	Druce	Manderino	Scrimenti
Baker	Eachus	Markosek	Semmel
Bard	Egolf	Marsico	Serafini
Barley	Evans	Masland	Seyfert
Barrar	Fairchild	Mayernik	Shaner
Battisto	Fargo	McCall	Smith, B.
Bebko-Jones	Feese	McGeehan	Smith, S. H.
Belardi	Fichter	McGill	Snyder, D. W.
Belfanti	Fleagle	McIlhattan	Staback
Benninghoff	Flick	McNaughton	Stairs
Birmelin	Gannon	Michlovic	Steelman
Bishop	Geist	Micozzie	Steil
Blaum	George	Mihalich	Stern
Boscola	Gigliotti	Miller	Stetler

Boyes	Gladeck	Mundy	Stevenson
Brown	Godshall	Myers	Strittmatter
Browne	Gordner	Nailor	Sturla
Bunt	Gruitza	Nickol	Surra
Butkovitz	Gruppo	O'Brien	Tangretti
Buxton	Habay	Olasz	Taylor, E. Z.
Caltagirone	Haluska	Oliver	Thomas
Cappabianca	Hanna	Orie	Tigue
Carn	Harhart	Perzel	Travaglio
Carone	Hasay	Pesci	Trello
Casorio	Hennessey	Petrarca	Trich
Cawley	Herman	Petrone	True
Chadwick	Hershey	Pettit	Tulli
Civera	Hess	Phillips	Vance
Clark	Horsey	Pippy	Van Home
Clymer	Hutchinson	Pistella	Veon
Cohen, L. I.	Jadlowiec	Platts	Vitali
Cohen, M.	Jarolin	Preston	Walko
Colafella	Josephs	Ramos	Washington
Colaizzo	Kaiser	Raymond	Waugh
Conti	Keller	Readshaw	Williams, C.
Cornell	Kenney	Reber	Wilt
Corpora	Kirkland	Reinard	Wogan
Corrigan	Krebs	Rieger	Wojnaroski
Cowell	LaGrotta	Roberts	Wright, M. N.
Coy	Laughlin	Robinson	Yewcic
Curry	Lawless	Roebuck	Youngblood
Daley	Lederer	Rohrer	Zimmerman
Dally	Leh	Ross	Zug
DeLuca	Lescovitz	Rubleby	
Dempsey	Levdansky	Sainato	Ryan,
Dent	Lloyd	Santoni	Speaker
Dermody	Lucyk	Sather	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-6

Itkin	Melio	Taylor, J.	Williams, A. H.
James	Rooney		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, it is my understanding that there is dinner available in the majority caucus room and the minority caucus room; both caucus rooms have food available.

VOTE CORRECTIONS

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hanna.
Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 847, amendment A0696, I was recorded in the affirmative and I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

The gentleman, Mr. Godshall.

Mr. GODSHALL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 889, amendment 795, my switch failed to function and I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Hanna, I apologize for cutting you off.

Mr. HANNA. That is all right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 856 I was recorded in the affirmative and I would like to be recorded in the negative.

And on HB 857 I was recorded in the affirmative and I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. HANNA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Dally.

Mr. DALLY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 887, amendment 881, my switch malfunctioned and no vote was recorded. I would like to be recorded as voting in the negative on that amendment.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. DALLY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

The gentleman, Mr. Steil.

Mr. STEIL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 888 I was recorded as voting in the negative. I would like to be recorded as voting in the affirmative. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Hess.

Mr. HESS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On amendment A0637 I was recorded in the negative and I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Leh.

Mr. LEH. Mr. Speaker, I rise to correct the record.

On HB 890 I was recorded in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Wilt.

Mr. WILT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 884 I wish to be recorded in the affirmative. I was recorded as not voting. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. Browne.

Mr. BROWNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On HB 891, amendment 797, I was incorrectly recorded in the affirmative. I would like to be recorded in the negative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. BROWNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On final passage of HB 891, my button malfunctioned and there is no record of a vote. I would like to be recorded in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Ms. Williams.

Ms. WILLIAMS. On HB 880 I was recorded as not voting and wish the record to show I would have voted in the affirmative.

The SPEAKER. The remarks of the lady will be spread upon the record.

STATEMENT BY DEMOCRATIC LEADER

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman, Mr. DeWeese, the Democratic floor leader.

Mr. DeWEESE. Mr. Speaker, is this the last order of business, roughly?

The SPEAKER. I have some homework to do. I have the taking of reports of committees and things of that nature.

Mr. DeWEESE. I will be brief, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

I would be remiss if I did not at least allow the record to reflect the confusion of our caucus relative to this process.

The gentleman from Philadelphia, the floor leader, thanked the rank and file for their cooperation. I have to speculate that doing a budget 90 days early is premature. I do it for one major reason, Mr. Speaker: We are going to have \$500 million in surpluses in the General Fund, \$100 million in the Motor License Fund in surplus, as well as \$250 million in the Rainy Day Fund — \$850 million and still counting. We are going to have \$1 billion in the bank — \$1 billion in the bank — and yet, in a matter of days, Tom Ridge is going to ask us for a gas tax increase.

We will find out, Mr. Speaker, how fair and open this process has been when the documents return from the State Senate here in a day, a week, 3 weeks, or a month. After the wheeling and dealing and private deals are brokered in the smoke-filled rooms, we will find out how fair this process was for the last 2 days.

We all feel much better, and it was collegial, and there were many moments of supreme cooperation, but I am, quite frankly, quite dubious as to what the results will be within the next several weeks. I think it would not be extravagant speculation to conclude that the State Senate Republican Caucus will emasculate this proposal and that what comes back from the State Senate will not look at all like what is going over to the State Senate.

In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, I want to make one reference to His Excellency, the Governor. In the Harrisburg Patriot, on the 18th day of Christmas, right before the yuletide last year, 1996, the Governor was asked by the Harrisburg Patriot what he would do if there were plenty of funds available, and he was asked what he thought about future tax cuts as a possibility. I am quoting his response: "...if we've got any" money "left, I'd just as soon give it back to Pennsylvanians rather than put it in the government's pocket."

The gentleman, Mr. Reinard, tried to do that today with an exquisite amendment that would have allowed for us to take care of mass transit and most of our other transportation needs this year. I have been privileged to sustain with my vote, Mr. Speaker, Governor Thornburgh's tax increases for gasoline and Governor Casey, so it is not a matter of partisan pique on my part, but when you have \$1 billion in the bank, Mr. Speaker, you do not need to raise taxes. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The Chair thanks the gentleman.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED**

HB 272, PN 302 By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for attainment of full age.

JUDICIARY.

HB 565, PN 1291 (Amended) By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for visitation rights and partial custody.

JUDICIARY.

HB 1034, PN 1292 (Amended) By Rep. GANNON

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, further providing for definitions; adding a definition of "international terrorism"; imposing additional duties on the Auditor General, the State Treasurer and the Attorney General; authorizing the Department of Corrections to assess and collect certain payments from prisoners; providing for campus police and for bonds for certain wells; and making repeals.

JUDICIARY.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be removed from the table:

HB 272;
HB 565; and
HB 1034.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the majority leader.

Mr. PERZEL. Mr. Speaker, I move that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 272;
HB 565; and
HB 1034.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Does the majority leader or minority leader have any further business?

Hearing none, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Centre, Mr. Benninghoff.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Mr. Speaker, I move that this House do now adjourn until Monday, April 7, 1997, at 1 p.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to, and at 6:32 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.