

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 2012

SESSION OF 2012

196TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 36

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (SAMUEL H. SMITH)
PRESIDING**

PRAYER

The SPEAKER. This morning the prayer will be offered by Rev. James Podlesny, the Holy Spirit Catholic Church, Palmyra.

REV. JAMES F. PODLESNY, Guest Chaplain of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray:

Almighty God, with humble hearts and thankful spirits, we approach You in prayer. We thank You for our country, our Constitution, and our military and political leaders, especially those present here today. We pray for every elected and appointed official who serves, particularly in our beloved Pennsylvania. Send down Your blessings on these, Your servants, who so generously devote themselves to serving the people of this Commonwealth. We pray for our leaders, that they will study, understand, and follow the principles of Your authority. May they realize that everything comes from You and honor and respect the power You have given to them.

Please strengthen these leaders to encourage due respect for virtue and religion. May all their deliberations and discussions be inspired by the vision of Your loving-kindness and of the common good. Guide each member of this House by Your wisdom; protect them by Your hand. Refresh them in body, mind, and spirit. Help them to love their families well, to serve their constituents with excellence, and to strengthen our State by their decisions.

May this day's deliberations be pleasing in Your sight, and may God bless the great State of Pennsylvania. We make this prayer to You, who are our Lord and God, forever and ever. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Monday, June 4, 2012, will be postponed until printed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Speaker gives permission to the Judiciary Committee to continue their meeting. There will be no votes on the floor until that meeting has concluded.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker turns to leaves of absence and recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. STEVENSON, from Mercer County for the day, and the gentleman, Mr. KAMPF, from Chester County for the day. Without objection, the leaves will be granted.

The Speaker recognizes the minority caucus chairman, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. DALEY, from Washington County for the day, and the gentleman, Mr. HANNA, from Clinton County for the day. Without objection, the leaves will be granted.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 81, PN 40

By Rep. MILLER

An Act amending the act of December 18, 2001 (P.L.949, No.114), known as the Workforce Development Act, in local workforce investment areas and boards, providing for youth councils.

LABOR AND INDUSTRY.

HB 2410, PN 3647 (Amended)

By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, further providing for local option.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

HB 2429, PN 3648 (Amended) By Rep. TAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, providing for special permits for breweries.

LIQUOR CONTROL.

SENATE MESSAGE

RECESS RESOLUTION FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following extract from the Journal of the Senate, which was read as follows:

In the Senate,
June 4, 2012

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the Senate recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 11, 2012, unless sooner recalled by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate; and be it further

RESOLVED, Pursuant to Article II, Section 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, that when the House of Representatives recesses this week, it reconvene on Monday, June 11, 2012, unless sooner recalled by the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

On the question,
Will the House concur in the resolution of the Senate?
Resolution was concurred in.
Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 1237, PN 1356**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

HB 1237, PN 1356

An Act amending Title 34 (Game) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in hunting and furtaking licenses, further providing for resident license and fee exemptions.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 2020, PN 2535 By Rep. GEIST

An Act establishing the Infrastructure Future Fund and the Infrastructure Future Restricted Receipts Account; and providing for funding for transportation infrastructure projects.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 2162, PN 3037 By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending the act of May 16, 2008 (P.L.152, No.18), entitled "An act designating State Bridge No. 36-4009-0030-0000, the newly replaced bridge on Dillerville Road in the City of Lancaster, Lancaster County, as the Edward Anthony Davis Memorial Bridge," further providing for the Edward Anthony Davis Memorial Bridge.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 2349, PN 3476 By Rep. GEIST

An Act redesignating the bridge on State Route 322 over North Fork Creek in Brookville Borough, Jefferson County, as the Purple Heart Memorial Bridge of Jefferson County.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 2398, PN 3571 By Rep. GEIST

An Act designating State Bridge No. 53-0054-0290-0143 located on S.R. 54 in Mahanoy Township, Schuylkill County, over the Reading and Northern Railroad, as the Cornelius McElhenny Memorial Bridge.

TRANSPORTATION.

HB 2428, PN 3632 By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in licensing of drivers, further providing for issuance and content of driver's licenses.

TRANSPORTATION.

BILL REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

SB 1067, PN 2127 By Rep. GEIST

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further defining "emergency vehicle"; and adding a definition.

TRANSPORTATION.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. If I could have the members' attention, I would like to introduce some of the guests that are with us today.

Located to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome the Honorable Mary Margaret Whipple, former Senator from the Commonwealth of Virginia. Mrs. Whipple is a board

member of the National Caucus of Environmental Legislators and is visiting the Capitol to share information about the organization. With her is Mr. J.R. Tolbert, who is the executive director of the organization. They are here today as the guests of Representative Sturla and Representative Miller. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also to the left of the rostrum, as the guest of Representative Kevin Boyle and Representative Brendan Boyle, we would like to welcome Linda Hee. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Stephen Baldwin, who is interning with Representative Saylor. Steve is a senior at York College, majoring in political science. He is a member of the National Political Science Honor Society and has been on the dean's list throughout college. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Chris Carter, who is serving as an intern in the House Archives for the summer. Chris is a senior at Gettysburg College, majoring in history, with a minor in Civil War era studies. He attends college in Representative Moul's district. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And as a guest of Representative Lawrence, also located to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Gabby Roark. Welcome to the hall of the House.

We would also like to welcome Julie Cashdollar. She is working as an intern in Representative Metcalfe's office for the summer. She is also seated over here to the left of the Chair. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And as guests of Representative Milne, we have Becky, Carl, and Alexandria LaMastra. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And we have some guests here in recognition of Motorsports Day at the Capitol: Bobby Gerhart, ARCA (Automobile Racing Club of America) series driver; Todd Peck, NASCAR (National Association for Stock Car Auto Racing) truck series driver; and Brandon Igdalsky, president and CEO (chief executive officer) of Pocono Raceway. They are here to the left of the rostrum, and located in the rear of the House are various team members and sponsors. They are here today as the guests of Representatives Payne, Haluska, Scavello, Swanger, and Ron Miller. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House. Some are in the rear; some are up in the front here.

Also in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome, as guests of Representative Clymer, Laura Long, Frank Hesch, Lance Colondo, and Scott Clymer. Scott is Representative Clymer's nephew and a recent graduate of Liberty University, and he is interning with the Pennsylvania Chamber. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

And a guest page that is with us today, as a guest of Representative Tobash— Oh, excuse me; we would like to welcome Representative Tobash's daughter, Lexi, who is serving as a guest page. Welcome to the hall of the House. I guess you are not a guest of your father so much.

And in the well of the House, we would like to welcome a visitor. We have another guest page who is actually from my legislative district, Alex Verne. He is an eighth grade student at Brockway High School, and he is interested in pursuing a career in law enforcement. Alex, welcome to the hall of the House.

REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

Mr. PETRI submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Mr. Speaker, it is my privilege to bring to the attention of the Speaker and the members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives the names of Charles Justin Walter and Richard Frederick Wustholz, who have recently been awarded Scouting's highest honor – Eagle Scout.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to read to the members of the House of Representatives the following citation. Whereas, Charles Justin Walter and Richard Frederick Wustholz have earned the Eagle Award in Scouting. This is the highest award that Boy Scouts can bestow and as such represents great sacrifice and tremendous effort on the part of these young men. They are members of Troop 74.

Now therefore, Mr. Speaker and members of the House of Representatives, it is my privilege to congratulate and place in the Legislative Journal the names of Charles Justin Walter and Richard Frederick Wustholz.

BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

HB 726, PN 3649 (Amended) By Rep. METCALFE

An Act providing for accountability in Commonwealth agency program performance.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

HB 2406, PN 3650 (Amended) By Rep. METCALFE

An Act authorizing and directing the Department of General Services, with the approval of the Governor, to grant and convey to Susquehanna Township, certain lands in Susquehanna Township, Dauphin County.

STATE GOVERNMENT.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Judiciary Committee has concluded its meeting.

MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. We will now proceed with the master roll call. Members will proceed to vote.

The following roll call was recorded:

PRESENT—196

Adolph	Ellis	Kortz	Quigley
Aument	Emrick	Kotik	Quinn
Baker	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Barbin	Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bear	Everett	Longietti	Reed

Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Reese
Bishop	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Fleck	Mahoney	Rock
Boback	Frankel	Major	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Sturla
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Tallman
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davidson	Hess	O'Neill	Turzai
Davis	Hickernell	Oberlander	Vereb
Day	Hornaman	Parker	Vitali
Dean	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Deasy	James	Payne	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Payton	Watson
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	White
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	
Dunbar	Knowles		

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—5

Creighton	Hanna	Kampf	Stevenson
Daley			

LEAVES ADDED—5

Boback	Hackett	Major	Maloney
Gergely			

LEAVES CANCELED—3

Hanna	Kampf	Stevenson	
-------	-------	-----------	--

The SPEAKER. One hundred and ninety-six members having voted on the master roll call, a quorum is present.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. SCAVELLO called up **HR 716, PN 3468**, entitled:

A Resolution congratulating the Tobyhanna Army Depot on the occasion of the 100th anniversary of its arrival.

* * *

Ms. PICKETT called up **HR 736, PN 3553**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the bicentennial of Bradford County in 2012.

* * *

Mrs. SWANGER called up **HR 737, PN 3554**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing Bobby Gerhart on his successful career in auto racing and his record eight Automobile Racing Club of America series victories at Daytona International Speedway.

* * *

Mr. HICKERNELL called up **HR 742, PN 3596**, entitled:

A Resolution designating the month of July 2012 as "MECP2 Duplication Syndrome Awareness Month" in Pennsylvania.

* * *

Mr. PAYNE called up **HR 748, PN 3630**, entitled:

A Resolution designating June 5, 2012, as "Pennsylvania Motorsports Day at the Capitol."

* * *

Mr. KAVULICH called up **HR 751, PN 3636**, entitled:

A Resolution observing June 2012 as "Gary Lukasiewicz 'Keep Fighting Gary' Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolutions?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—196

Adolph	Ellis	Kortz	Quigley
Aument	Emrick	Kotik	Quinn
Baker	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Barbin	Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bear	Everett	Longietti	Reed
Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Reese
Bishop	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Fleck	Mahoney	Rock

Boback	Frankel	Major	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Sturla
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Tallman
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davidson	Hess	O'Neill	Turzai
Davis	Hickernell	Oberlander	Vereb
Day	Hornaman	Parker	Vitali
Dean	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Deasy	James	Payne	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Payton	Watson
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	White
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Dunbar	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Creighton	Hanna	Kampf	Stevenson
Daley			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolutions were adopted.

STATEMENT BY MR. PAYNE

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Payne, seeking recognition under unanimous consent relative to one of the resolutions just adopted?

The gentleman is recognized under unanimous consent.
Is the gentleman, Mr. Haluska, seeking to go first on this?
The gentleman is in order under unanimous consent.
Mr. HALUSKA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Today is our seventh annual Motorsports Day at the Capitol. John and I cochair the Motorsports Caucus. The Speaker has introduced our guests and John has some more to say about that, but we just want to emphasize how big the motorsports industry is in Pennsylvania and across the United States. And we have

some of the vehicles down on Commonwealth Avenue until 2 o'clock today, so you will be able to stop down and see some of the teams and meet some of the players.

I am going to turn it over to Representative Payne.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Payne, may proceed.

Mr. PAYNE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thanks to my colleagues for voting for the resolution.

Just quickly, we have the privilege this year of having a broad spectrum of motor racing in Pennsylvania. Every one of the people that was introduced is a Pennsylvania team and a Pennsylvania driver. Todd Peck's team is from the York County area, sponsors in Hazleton; Bobby Gerhart, an eight-time winner in Daytona in Florida is from Lebanon; and you have the president and CEO of the Pocono Raceway. Racing in Pennsylvania is big business, and that is what Gary and I are proud to represent as the Motorsports Caucus.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank you for letting Gary and I say a brief couple of words. We would like to see everyone at the break go out to Commonwealth Avenue, introduce yourself to these people. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

STATEMENT BY MRS. SWANGER

The SPEAKER. Is the lady from Lebanon County, Mrs. Swanger, seeking recognition under unanimous consent?

Mrs. SWANGER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I am proud to introduce HR 737 honoring the stellar career of my constituent, Bobby Gerhart, for his unprecedented eight victories under the Automobile Racing Club of America victories at Daytona International Speedway. I was really surprised to see that he began his auto racing career at Fredericksburg's Linda's Speedway because I live about a mile from that facility, and every racing season evening, on a Friday night, I can hear the motors of the race cars as they compete.

So again I want to welcome Mr. Gerhart to the Capitol today, thank him for bringing his race car, number 5 – you will see it out there on Commonwealth Avenue – and again, just congratulate him on his successful career. Thank you.

CALENDAR

RESOLUTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 35

Mr. SCAVELLO called up **HR 746, PN 3628**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the life of Dr. Joseph "Doc" Mattioli, founder and chairman of the board of Pocono Raceway, who died January 26, 2012.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Ellis	Kortz	Quigley
Aument	Emrick	Kotik	Quinn
Baker	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Barbin	Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bear	Everett	Longiotti	Reed

Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Reese
Bishop	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Fleck	Mahoney	Rock
Boback	Frankel	Major	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Sturla
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Tallman
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davidson	Hess	O'Neill	Turzai
Davis	Hickernell	Oberlander	Vereb
Day	Hornaman	Parker	Vitali
Dean	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Deasy	James	Payne	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Payton	Watson
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	White
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Dunbar	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Creighton	Hanna	Kampf	Stevenson
Daley			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

* * *

Mr. MILLER called up **HR 752, PN 3637**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring Todd Peck for his success in the racing industry.

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Ellis	Kortz	Quigley
Aument	Emrick	Kotik	Quinn
Baker	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Barbin	Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Readshaw
Bear	Everett	Longietti	Reed
Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Reese
Bishop	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bloom	Fleck	Mahoney	Rock
Boback	Frankel	Major	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gergely	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causar	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hackett	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hahn	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Sturla
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Tallman
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Taylor
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Thomas
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Tobash
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Toepel
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toohil
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davidson	Hess	O'Neill	Turzai
Davis	Hickernell	Oberlander	Vereb
Day	Hornaman	Parker	Vitali
Dean	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vulakovich
Deasy	James	Payne	Waters
DeLissio	Josephs	Payton	Watson
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	White
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Dunbar	Knowles		

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-5

Creighton	Hanna	Kampf	Stevenson
Daley			

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the resolution was adopted.

STATEMENT BY MR. KAVULICH

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman from Lackawanna County, Mr. Kavulich, seeking recognition under unanimous consent relative to one of the earlier resolutions adopted?

The gentleman is in order and may proceed.

Mr. KAVULICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

First of all, I want to thank my colleagues for their support of HR 751. Today we have the pleasure of honoring the life of an inspirational young man. Gary Lukasiewicz was a beacon of hope for people in my community and my district. The son of Chester and Cheryl, he was class president during his junior and senior years at Riverside High School and earned a GPA (grade point average) that placed him fourth in his class. He was a member of the National Honor Society and Spanish Club. He played varsity baseball and soccer for the Riverside Vikings. He was a goalie for the Scranton Lightning Hockey Team and the Wilkes-Barre Junior Penguins. He was a parishioner of Divine Mercy Parish.

Gary battled a rare form of cancer for 2 years. It first occurred during his junior year at Riverside, returned again this year, and he entered hospice care in early May. As people learned about Gary's fight through social media, they used Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube to send him messages to keep fighting. More than 47,000 people tweeted messages with the hashtag, "#keepfightinggary" to encourage him to keep up the fight to live one day at a time. People throughout the world posted messages for Gary. David Ortiz of the Boston Red Sox, his favorite team, and members of the archrival New York Yankees were among those posting messages. Nightly prayer vigils at Gary's church were attended by 300 to 500 each night. Students from Riverside and other area schools raised money for cancer research in Gary's name.

Although he received the last rites on several occasions, his health improved enough that he was able to come home under the care of hospice. On the night of May 18, Gary summoned the strength and courage to, with help, attend his senior prom. He was told he could stay 15 minutes; he was there for almost an hour and was voted prom king. Sadly, the next morning Gary passed away. His social media following continued to speak out in support of Gary and his family and established a day of remembrance for him on Monday, May 21.

Thousands of people throughout the Commonwealth and around the globe were part of the movement to encourage Gary to fight. I thank you for joining me in commemorating Gary and those that supported him by designating June 2012 as "Keep Fighting Gary' Month" in Pennsylvania. It is an effort that shows that hope can spread through a community, State, and across the globe and help people with difficulties that seem insurmountable. The power of support, love, care, and determination, and using social media to perpetuate these sentiments, should not be undermined.

Mr. Speaker, thank you.

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph, is recognized for the purpose of committee announcements.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to announce a House Appropriations Committee meeting immediately in the majority caucus room. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. There will be an immediate Appropriations Committee meeting in the majority caucus room.

REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The lady from Susquehanna County, Ms. Major, is recognized for a caucus announcement.

Ms. MAJOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to announce that Republicans will caucus today at noon. I would ask our Republican members to please report to our caucus room at 12 o'clock, and then we would be prepared to come back on the floor at 2 p.m.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel, is recognized for a Democrat caucus announcement.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Democrats will caucus at 12 noon. Thank you. Democrats will caucus at 12 noon.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. Before the members leave, we have a couple other guests that are with us.

The family of Representative Mike Reese: his wife, Angela, mother Joan, sister Kara, son Michael, daughters Addison and Claire, nephew Jacob, and niece Emma are with us. I think they are in the back of the hall of the House. Please rise. I apologize for not getting to you sooner. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Another guest who is with us, former member from Bethel Park area, Jay Wells. Jay, welcome back to the hall of the House.

RECESS

The SPEAKER. Seeing no further business at this moment, the House stands in recess until 2 p.m., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 2:22 p.m.; further extended until 2:30 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

**HOUSE BILLS
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED**

No. 2364 By Representatives FLECK, O'NEILL, DeLUCA, REESE, BAKER, BARBIN, BARRAR, CAUSER, DAVIS, EMRICK, J. EVANS, EVERETT, GEIST, GINGRICH, HALUSKA, HESS, HUTCHINSON, M. K. KELLER, LONGIETTI, MICOZZIE, MILLER, MOUL, MULLERY, MUNDY, PASHINSKI, PEIFER, PICKETT, REED, ROEBUCK, SAYLOR, SCAVELLO, SCHMOTZER, SONNEY, STERN, STURLA, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH, KORTZ and HARHART

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in charter schools, further providing for definitions, for powers of Board of Trustees, for funding for charter schools, for annual reports and assessments, for cyber charter school requirements and prohibitions, for enrollment and notification and for applicability of other provisions of this act and of other acts and regulations; and providing for requirements, for duties of administrators, for notification and for actual costs of education services.

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 5, 2012.

No. 2432 By Representatives MURT, BAKER, BOBACK, CALTAGIRONE, CLYMER, D. COSTA, CREIGHTON, DAVIS, ELLIS, EVANKOVICH, FARRY, GEORGE, GIBBONS, GINGRICH, GOODMAN, HALUSKA, HESS, HORNAMAN, KAUFFMAN, M. K. KELLER, KILLION, KULA, LAWRENCE, LONGIETTI, MAHONEY, MAJOR, MANN, MILLARD, MULLERY, PASHINSKI, PICKETT, QUINN, RAPP, SANTARSIERO, SONNEY, STERN, TALLMAN, TAYLOR, TRUITT, VULAKOVICH and WATSON

An Act amending Title 12 (Commerce and Trade) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for equipment donation tax credit.

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE, June 5, 2012.

No. 2436 By Representative PETRI

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.103, No.69), known as The Second Class Township Code, in roads, streets, bridges and highways, further providing for permits.

Referred to Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, June 5, 2012.

No. 2437 By Representatives MICOZZIE, DeLUCA, KILLION, TOBASH, D. COSTA, FABRIZIO, BOYD, GODSHALL, KOTIK, SONNEY, PASHINSKI, QUINN and BARBIN

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, further providing for reinsurance credits.

Referred to Committee on INSURANCE, June 5, 2012.

No. 2438 By Representatives FLECK, HESS, DALEY, CALTAGIRONE, DeLUCA, GEIST, GINGRICH, GROVE,

HARRIS, HELM, MILLARD, PICKETT, SAYLOR, SCAVELLO, SWANGER, VULAKOVICH and WATSON

An Act amending the act of May 15, 1933 (P.L.565, No.111), known as the Department of Banking Code, amending the title of the act; further providing for conflicts of interest and penalty; reorganizing the Department of Banking and the Pennsylvania Securities Commission; and making related repeals.

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE, June 5, 2012.

SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

SB 1115, PN 2203

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 5, 2012.

SB 1410, PN 2044

Referred to Committee on EDUCATION, June 5, 2012.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

HB 468, PN 479

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of June 27, 2006 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.1873, No.1), known as the Taxpayer Relief Act, further providing for filing of claim.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2191, PN 3645

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending Titles 7 (Banks and Banking) and 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Title 7, providing for short-term loan protection; and, in Title 18, further providing for deceptive or fraudulent business practices and providing for unlicensed short-term lending.

APPROPRIATIONS.

HB 2223, PN 3642

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act amending the act of January 19, 1967 (1968 P.L.992, No.442), entitled, as amended, "An act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the local government units thereof to preserve, acquire or hold land for open space uses," further providing for local taxing options.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1472, PN 2066

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1473, PN 2067

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1474, PN 2068

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1475, PN 2069

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1476, PN 2070

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1477, PN 2071

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1478, PN 2244 (Amended)

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making appropriations from the Workmen's Compensation Administration Fund to the Department of Labor and Industry and the Department of Community and Economic Development to provide for the expenses of administering the Workers' Compensation Act, The Pennsylvania Occupational Disease Act and the Office of Small Business Advocate for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

APPROPRIATIONS.

SB 1479, PN 2073

By Rep. ADOLPH

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND
RECOMMITTED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES****HB 2043, PN 3660 (Amended)**

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 53 (Municipalities Generally) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for powers and duties of Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission.

JUDICIARY.

HB 2275, PN 3661 (Amended)

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 57 (Notaries Public) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, enacting uniform laws on attestation in the areas of unsworn foreign declarations and notarial acts; making editorial changes; making related repeals; and abrogating a regulation.

JUDICIARY.

HB 2400, PN 3662 (Amended)

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for definitions, for exceptions to prohibition of interception and disclosure of communications, for possession, sale, distribution, manufacture or advertisement of electronic, mechanical or other devices, for issuance of order and effect; providing for target-specific orders; and further providing for emergency hostage and barricade situations, for investigative disclosure or use of contents of wire, electronic or oral communications or derivative evidence, for evidentiary disclosure of contents of intercepted communication or derivative evidence, for requirements for governmental access, for cost reimbursement, for mobile tracking devices, for application for an order for use of certain devices and for issuance of an order for use of certain devices.

JUDICIARY.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE,
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****SB 100, PN 2242 (Amended)**

By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses), 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in burglary and other criminal intrusion, further providing for the offense of burglary; in other offenses, further providing for drug trafficking sentencing and penalties; in Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing, further providing for powers and duties and for publication of guidelines; in sentencing, further providing for sentences for second and subsequent offenses; in sentencing, providing for sentencing for certain paroled offenders; in sentencing, further providing for sentencing generally, for disposition under guilty but mentally ill, for partial confinement, for total confinement and for proceedings and location; in sentencing, providing for court-imposed sanctions for offenders violating probation; in county intermediate punishment, further providing for definitions and for programs; in correctional institutions administration, further providing for drug distribution definitions; in inmate confinement visitation, further providing for gubernatorial visitors, for official visitors and for rights of official visitors; in inmate confinement prerelease plans, further providing for establishment of prerelease centers, for prerelease plan for inmates, for regulations and for compensation of inmates; in inmate confinement motivational boot camps, further providing for definitions and for selection of inmate participants; in inmate confinement State intermediate punishment,

further providing for definitions and for referral to State intermediate punishment program; in inmate confinement recidivism risk reduction incentive, further providing for definitions; in inmate confinement community corrections facilities, further providing for definitions; in inmate confinement, providing for safe community reentry and for community corrections centers and community corrections facilities; in probation and parole administration, further providing for certain offenders residing in group-based homes, for administrative powers over parolees, for general court criteria for parole, for parole power, for parole violation and for parole procedure; in probation and parole administration, providing for early parole subject to Federal order; making a related repeal; and abrogating regulations.

JUDICIARY.

SB 273, PN 2243 (Amended) By Rep. MARSICO

An Act amending Titles 18 (Crimes and Offenses) and 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in firearms and other dangerous articles, further providing for definitions and for sale or transfer of firearms; and, in particular rights and immunities, further providing for civil immunity for use of force and providing for declarative and injunctive relief and certain damages.

JUDICIARY.

SENATE MESSAGE

AMENDED SENATE BILL RETURNED FOR CONCURRENCE AND REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, informed that the Senate has concurred in the amendments made by the House of Representatives by amending said amendments to **SB 1310, PN 2234**.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for its concurrence.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE CANCELED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the presence of the gentleman, Mr. Stevenson, from Mercer County on the floor of the House. His name will be added back to the master roll call.

The Speaker recognizes the presence of the gentleman from Clinton County, Mr. Hanna, on the floor of the House. His name will be added back to the master roll call.

The Speaker recognizes the presence of the gentleman from Chester County, Mr. Kampf, on the floor of the House. His name will be added to the master roll call.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. Additionally, the Speaker recognizes the minority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. GERGELY, for the day. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. I would like to introduce a couple other guests that are with us this afternoon. To the left of the Speaker, as a guest of Representative Scavello, we would like to

welcome Steve Brown. He is the incoming president of the National Association of Realtors. Will our guest please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

Also located to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome John Camero III and his son John Camero IV. They are here today as guests of Representative Barrar. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2366, PN 3531**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding the definitions of "certified parking meter inspector" and "local government unit"; and providing for certified parking meter inspectors and for general testing and inspections.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Mackenzie, rise?

Mr. MACKENZIE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
I rise today to speak in support of HB 2366.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend just a minute, please.

The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2373, PN 3534**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; and in registration of vehicles, further providing for vehicles exempt from registration.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2374, PN 3579**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in general provisions, further providing for definitions; in registration of vehicles, further providing for vehicles exempt from registration; in fees, further providing for farm vehicles; and, in inspection of vehicles, further providing for requirement for periodic inspection of vehicles and for operation of vehicle without official certificate of inspection.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1406, PN 1934**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 24 (Education) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in private colleges, universities and seminaries, further providing for certification of institutions.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1049, PN 1717**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 30 (Fish) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in fishing licenses, further providing for form and expiration of licenses; providing for license and permit packaging options; and further providing for expiration of licenses and permits.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1351, PN 2140**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 29, 1953 (P.L.304, No.66), known as the Vital Statistics Law of 1953, further providing for death and fetal death registration information for certificates, for coroner referrals and for pronouncement of death by a professional nurse.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2135, PN 3603**, entitled:

An Act providing for licensure of vendors, for requirements for sale of portable electronics insurance, for authority of vendors of portable electronics, for termination of portable electronics insurance, for licensing, for renewal of license, for injunctions and for appeals.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 388, PN 1388**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 1, 1933 (P.L.216, No.76), known as The Dental Law, adding definitions; and providing for professional liability insurance.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 645, PN 3044**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 61 (Prisons and Parole) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for sentences for second and subsequent offenses, for prerelease plan for inmates and for general criteria for parole.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **DeLUCA** offered the following amendment No. **A11004**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 8, by inserting after "OFFENSES"
, for prerelease plan for inmates
Amend Bill, page 7, line 4, by striking out "paragraphs (2) and (3)" and inserting
paragraph (2)
Amend Bill, page 7, lines 12 through 18, by striking out all of said lines

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a cleanup amendment that removes the provisions in the bill related to prerelease for violent criminals. This section will be addressed in SB 100, and it has the support of both the majority and minority Appropriations chairmen.

I would ask for an affirmative vote on this. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Dauphin County, Mr. Marsico.

Mr. MARSICO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This is an agreed-to amendment. I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the lady from Luzerne County, Ms. BOBACK, for the remainder of the day. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 645 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1472, PN 2066**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the State Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the State Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The **SPEAKER**. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali

Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1473, PN 2067**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from the Public School Employees' Retirement Fund to provide for expenses of the Public School Employees' Retirement Board for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato

Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS-0

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1474, PN 2068**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the Professional Licensure Augmentation Account and from restricted revenue accounts within the General Fund to the Department of State for use by the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs in support of the professional licensure boards assigned thereto.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (197 total). Includes names like Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bloom, Boyd, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, R., Brown, V., Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Curry, Cutler, Davidson, Davis, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, DeLuca, Denlinger, DePasquale, Dermody, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Dunbar, Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, D., Evans, J., Everett, Fabrizio, Farry, Fleck, Frankel, Freeman, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, George, Gerber, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hornaman, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Killion, Kirkland, Knowles, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Kula, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Mahoney, Major, Maloney, Mann, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McGeehan, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Micozzie, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Moul, Mullery, Mundy, Murphy, Murt, Mustio, Myers, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, M., O'Neill, Oberlander, Parker, Pashinski, Payne, Payton, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Preston, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Roebuck, Ross, Sabatina, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Saylor, Scavello, Schmotzer, Simmons, Smith, K., Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Sturla, Swanger, Tallman, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vitali, Vulakovich, Waters, Watson, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood, and Smith, S., Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (4 total): Boback, Creighton, Daley, Gergely.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1475, PN 2069**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund and from Federal augmentation funds to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (197 total). Includes names like Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bloom, Boyd, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, R., Brown, V., Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Curry, Cutler, Davidson, Davis, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, DeLuca, Denlinger, DePasquale, Dermody, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Dunbar, Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, D., Evans, J., Everett, Fabrizio, Farry, Fleck, Frankel, Freeman, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, George, Gerber, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hornaman, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Killion, Kirkland, Knowles, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Kula, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Mahoney, Major, Maloney, Mann, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McGeehan, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Micozzie, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Moul, Mullery, Mundy, Murphy, Murt, Mustio, Myers, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, M., O'Neill, Oberlander, Parker, Pashinski, Payne, Payton, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Preston, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Roebuck, Ross, Sabatina, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Saylor, Scavello, Schmotzer, Simmons, Smith, K., Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Sturla, Swanger, Tallman, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vitali, Vulakovich, Waters, Watson, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood, and Smith, S., Speaker.

Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1476, PN 2070**, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Small Business Advocate in the Department of Community and Economic Development.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roe
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello

Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Verb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 1477, PN 2071**, entitled:

An Act making appropriations from the restricted revenue accounts within the State Gaming Fund and from the State Gaming Fund to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, the Department of Revenue, the Pennsylvania State Police and the Attorney General for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally? Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (197 total). Includes names like Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bloom, Boyd, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, R., Brown, V., Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Curry, Cutler, Davidson, Davis, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, DeLuca, Denlinger, DePasquale, Dermody, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Dunbar, Ellis, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, D., Evans, J., Everett, Fabrizio, Farry, Fleck, Frankel, Freeman, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, George, Gerber, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hornaman, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Killion, Kirkland, Knowles, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Kula, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Mahoney, Major, Maloney, Mann, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McGeehan, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Micozzie, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Moul, Mullery, Mundy, Murphy, Murt, Mustio, Myers, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, M., O'Neill, Oberlander, Parker, Pashinski, Payne, Payton, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Preston, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Roebuck, Ross, Sabatina, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Saylor, Scavello, Schmotzter, Simmons, Smith, K., Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Sturla, Swanger, Tallman, Taylor, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vitali, Vulakovich, Waters, Watson, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood, Smith, S., Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were 'EXCUSED' (4 total): Boback, Creighton, Daley, Gergely.

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of SB 1479, PN 2073, entitled:

An Act making an appropriation from a restricted revenue account within the General Fund to the Office of Consumer Advocate in the Office of Attorney General.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration? Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (197 total). Includes names like Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bloom, Boyd, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, R., Brown, V., Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Curry, Cutler, Davidson, Davis, Day, Dean, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, D., Evans, J., Everett, Fabrizio, Farry, Fleck, Frankel, Freeman, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, George, Gerber, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hornaman, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Killion, Kirkland, Knowles, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Kula, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Mahoney, Major, Maloney, Mann, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McGeehan, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Micozzie, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Moul, Mullery, Mundy, Murphy, Murt, Mustio, Myers, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, M., O'Neill, Oberlander, Parker, Pashinski, Payne, Payton, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Preston, Pyle, Quigley, Quinn, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Roebuck, Ross, Sabatina, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Saylor, Scavello, Schmotzter, Simmons, Smith, K., Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern, Stevenson, Sturla, Swanger, Tallman, Taylor, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vitali, Waters, Watson, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood, Smith, S., Speaker.

Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

* * *

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 468, PN 479**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 27, 2006 (1st Sp.Sess., P.L.1873, No.1), known as the Taxpayer Relief Act, further providing for filing of claim.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato

Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

GUESTS INTRODUCED

The SPEAKER. If I could have the members' attention, I would like to take a minute to introduce a few other guests that have joined us.

Located to the left of the rostrum, we would like to welcome Andrew Leopold and Terrell Johnson. They are from Representative Gerber's district office. Will our guests please rise. Welcome to the hall of the House. And in the rear of the House, we would like to welcome back to the floor of the House former Representative Wansacz. Representative, welcome back to the hall.

CALENDAR CONTINUED

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2371, PN 3532**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for width of vehicles.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **MAHER** offered the following amendment No. **A10551**:

Amend Bill, page 4, line 19, by striking out the bracket before "The]"

Amend Bill, page 4, line 19, by striking out "] Except for an implement of husbandry, the"

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Maher, for a brief description of the amendment.

Mr. MAHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Just a brief description. This amendment removes the exception for implements of husbandry and retains existing authority for PENNDOT to establish regulations regarding operation of special vehicles during certain times like fog, flood, and rush hours.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman for that thorough explanation.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney

Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **MAHER** offered the following amendment No. **A11109**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 2, by striking out "definitions and for"
Amend Bill, page 1, lines 6 through 15; page 2, lines 1 through 30; page 3, lines 1 through 20, by striking out all of said lines on said pages and inserting

Section 1. Section 4921(b) of Title 75 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes is amended to read:

Amend Bill, page 3, line 25, by inserting a bracket after "forage"

Amend Bill, page 3, line 25, by striking out "] an agricultural commodity" and inserting or nutrients

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 25 and 26, by striking out "to" in line 25 and "transport or harvest an agricultural commodity" in line 26 and inserting

for crops or nutrients

Amend Bill, page 4, lines 27 and 28, by striking out "at any" in line 27 and "time" in line 28

Amend Bill, page 4, line 28, by striking out the bracket before "between"

Amend Bill, page 4, line 29, by inserting a bracket before "only"

Amend Bill, page 4, line 30, by striking out the bracket before

"at"

Amend Bill, page 4, line 30; page 5, line 1, by striking out "]"

between sunset " in line 30 on page 4 and "and sunrise" in line 1 on page 5

Amend Bill, page 5, by inserting between lines 6 and 7

(7) As used in this section, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings given to them in this subsection:

"Crop." The term includes, but is not limited to:

(i) Field crops, including corn, wheat, oats, rye, barley, hay, potatoes and dry beans.

(ii) Fruits, including apples, peaches, grapes, cherries and berries.

(iii) Vegetables, including tomatoes, snap beans, cabbage, carrots, beets, onions and mushrooms.

"Nutrient." The term includes, but is not limited to:

(i) A substance or recognized plant nutrient, element or compound that is used or sold for its plant nutritive content or its claimed nutritive value.

(ii) Livestock and poultry manures and their byproducts, compost used as fertilizer, commercially manufactured chemical fertilizers, biosolids or combinations of commercially manufactured chemical biosolids.

Amend Bill, page 5, line 8, by striking out "3" and inserting 2

On the question, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

A brief explanation of the amendment is this amendment removes and streamlines proposed definitions and narrows the focus of use of implements of husbandry and vehicles to crops, which are now defined, and nutrients.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Table listing names of members who voted 'YEAS' (197 total). Includes Adolph, Aument, Baker, Barbin, Barrar, Bear, Benninghoff, Bishop, Bloom, Boyd, Boyle, B., Boyle, K., Bradford, Brennan, Briggs, Brooks, Brown, R., Brown, V., Brownlee, Burns, Buxton, Caltagirone, Carroll, Causer, Christiana, Clymer, Emrick, Evankovich, Evans, D., Evans, J., Everett, Fabrizio, Farry, Fleck, Frankel, Freeman, Gabler, Galloway, Geist, George, Gerber, Gibbons, Gillen, Gillespie, Gingrich, Godshall, Goodman, Grell, Grove, Hackett, Hahn, Haluska, Kortz, Kotik, Krieger, Kula, Lawrence, Longietti, Mackenzie, Maher, Mahoney, Major, Maloney, Mann, Markosek, Marshall, Marsico, Masser, Matzie, McGeehan, Metcalfe, Metzgar, Miccarelli, Micozzie, Millard, Miller, Milne, Mirabito, Quinn, Rapp, Ravenstahl, Readshaw, Reed, Reese, Roae, Rock, Roebuck, Ross, Sabatina, Saccone, Sainato, Samuelson, Santarsiero, Santoni, Saylor, Scavello, Schmotzer, Simmons, Smith, K., Smith, M., Sonney, Staback, Stephens, Stern.

Table listing names of members who voted 'NAYS' (0 total). Includes Cohen, Conklin, Costa, D., Costa, P., Cox, Cruz, Culver, Curry, Cutler, Davidson, Davis, Day, Dean, Deasy, DeLissio, Delozier, DeLuca, Denlinger, DePasquale, Dermody, DiGirolamo, Donatucci, Dunbar, Ellis, Hanna, Harhai, Harhart, Harkins, Harper, Harris, Heffley, Helm, Hennessey, Hess, Hickernell, Hornaman, Hutchinson, James, Josephs, Kampf, Kauffman, Kavulich, Keller, F., Keller, M.K., Keller, W., Killion, Kirkland, Knowles, Moul, Mullery, Mundy, Murphy, Murt, Mustio, Myers, Neilson, Neuman, O'Brien, M., O'Neill, Oberlander, Parker, Pashinski, Payne, Payton, Peifer, Perry, Petrarca, Petri, Pickett, Preston, Pyle, Quigley, Stevenson, Sturla, Swanger, Tallman, Taylor, Thomas, Tobash, Toepel, Toohil, Truitt, Turzai, Vereb, Vitali, Vulakovich, Waters, Watson, Wheatley, White, Williams, Youngblood, Smith, S., Speaker.

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Table listing names of members who were excused: Boback, Creighton, Daley, Gergely.

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended? Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of HB 2372, PN 3533, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for width of vehicles.

On the question, Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. HICKERNELL offered the following amendment No. A11062:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 13, by inserting a bracket before "between" Amend Bill, page 1, line 14, by inserting a bracket after "sunset" Amend Bill, page 1, line 14, by inserting after "freeways" without any restriction as to time. Such an implement of husbandry operating between sunset and sunrise shall have two rotating yellow beacons and vehicular hazard signal lamps operating. Such an implement of husbandry may be driven Amend Bill, page 4, line 30, by striking out the bracket before "(5)"

Amend Bill, page 4, line 30, by inserting a bracket before "An"
Amend Bill, page 4, line 30, by inserting after "or"

] A

Amend Bill, page 5, line 4, by inserting a bracket before
"implement"

Amend Bill, page 5, line 4, by inserting a bracket after "or"

Amend Bill, page 5, line 6, by striking out the bracket after
"operating."

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the
gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Hickernell.

Mr. HICKERNELL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Amendment A11062 simply clarifies daytime and nighttime
use of implements of husbandry not exceeding 14 feet 6 inches
in width. I would ask for a positive vote. Thank you very much.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longiotti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood

DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question
was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was
agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as
amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2375,**
PN 3580, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania
Consolidated Statutes, further providing for vehicles exempt from
registration and for persons exempt from licensing.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **M. KELLER** offered the following amendment
No. **A11028**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 25, by inserting after "husbandry"
not to exceed 11 feet in width

Amend Bill, page 2, line 26, by inserting after "implement"
between sunrise and sunset

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the
gentleman from Perry County, Mr. Mark Keller.

Mr. M. KELLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this does is restricts the width to 11 feet and the
daytime hours for students driving implements of husbandry to
school for an annual ag-related event.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp

Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **PETRARCA** offered the following amendment
No. **A11038**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 29, by striking out "15" and inserting
25

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Petrarca.

Mr. **PETRARCA**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment does simply is makes the bill consistent. In committee we changed the amount of distance that a piece of farm equipment can travel from a farm to an annual agriculture educational event from 15 to 25 miles. It was changed in one part of the bill but not another. This simply makes them consistent. Thank you.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Maher.

Mr. **MAHER**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

For the information of the members, this is an agreed-to amendment. I also wish to take the moment to thank Chairman Petrarca and his staff for their terrific collaborative efforts together across the aisle in the interest of advancing Pennsylvania agriculture.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causar	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali

Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Speaker
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?
Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

* * *

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1466, PN 2165**, entitled:

An Act to provide from the General Fund for the expenses of the Executive and Judicial Departments and the State Government Support Agencies and the General Assembly of the Commonwealth, the public debt and the public schools for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, for certain institutions and organizations, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012; to provide appropriations from the State Lottery Fund, the Tobacco Settlement Fund, the Aviation Restricted Revenue Account, the Hazardous Material Response Fund, The State Stores Fund, the Milk Marketing Fund, the Home Investment Trust Fund, the Emergency Medical Services Operating Fund, the Tuition Payment Fund, the Banking and Securities Department Fund, the Firearm Records Check Fund, the Ben Franklin Technology Development Authority Fund, the Oil and Gas Lease Fund, the Home Improvement Account and the Cigarette Fire Safety and Firefighter Protection Act Enforcement Fund, to the Executive Department; to provide appropriations from the Judicial Computer System Augmentation Account to the Judicial Department for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013; to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund for the fiscal year July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013, for the proper operation of several departments of the Commonwealth and the Pennsylvania State Police authorized to spend Motor License Fund moneys; to provide for the appropriation of Federal funds to the Executive Department of the Commonwealth and for the payment of bills remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012; and to provide for the additional appropriation of Federal and State funds from the General Fund for the Executive and Legislative Departments of the Commonwealth for the fiscal year July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012, and for the payment of bills incurred and remaining unpaid at the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012, and to provide appropriations from the Motor License Fund, the Home Improvement Account and the Cigarette Fire Safety and Firefighter Protection Act Enforcement Fund.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **ADOLPH** offered the following amendment
No. **A10792**:

Amend Bill, page 374, lines 2 through 29, by striking out all of said lines and inserting
Section 2109. (Reserved).
Section 2110. (Reserved).
Section 2111. (Reserved).

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. **ADOLPH**. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A10792 strikes out language that would make the general revenue enhancement transfers proposed by the Governor in February \$13.8 million from PHEAA (Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency), \$19.3 million from the Key '93 Fund, and \$494,000 from the Restaurant Fund.

Quite frankly, fortunately, the revenues for the months of February, March, and April were a little higher than projected, and as a result, these transfers will not be necessary. And those programs that these areas provided funding for would be kept in those restricted funds. I urge an affirmative vote.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek.

Mr. **MARKOSEK**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we support this amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. **VITALI**. Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to thank Chairman Adolph for the great work he did, particularly with regard to restoring the Keystone Fund. That is very important to my constituents and I think many people throughout the Commonwealth. I know he was a leader in this issue, and his good work on this issue is very much appreciated. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—197

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Mann	Saccone

Bradford	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Metzgar	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Miccarelli	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Micozzie	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Millard	Sonney
Causser	Hackett	Miller	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Milne	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Mirabito	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Murt	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Verbe
Dean	Hutchinson	Parker	Vitali
Deasy	James	Pashinski	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payne	Waters
DeLozier	Kampf	Payton	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Peifer	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Perry	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Pickett	
Donatucci	Killion	Preston	Smith, S., Speaker
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	
Ellis	Knowles	Quigley	

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **ADOLPH** offered the following amendment No. **A10812**:

Amend Bill, page 229, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 77,019,000

Amend Bill, page 229, line 27, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 22,529,000

Amend Bill, page 232, line 12, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 8,415,000

Amend Bill, page 232, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 9,705,000

Amend Bill, page 243, line 15, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 5,380,629,000

Amend Bill, page 243, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 100,000,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. **ADOLPH**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, since we started considering the budget for this fiscal year, the House Appropriations Committee, during the budget hearings, most of the members on both sides of the aisle have been working to get our school districts back to level funding. An aspect of this level funding was a \$100 million accountability block grant that was in our current year's budget. Mr. Speaker, this amendment would increase the appropriation so the overall funding line would be equal to the current year appropriation. By level funding the accountability block grant, we can ensure all school districts would receive at least level funding, this important flexible funding program that provides for kindergarten programs and other programs intended to improve student achievement.

Mr. Speaker, this is a neutral-revenue amendment. The amendment makes the following reductions to provide for the increase: \$14 million from the CFA (Commonwealth Financing Authority) transfer; \$811,000 from the Pennsylvania First line; \$1.48 million from Discovered in Pennsylvania, Developed in Pennsylvania; \$9.7 million from the Infrastructure and Facilities Improvement Grants; and from the basic education subsidy, \$24 million from the basic education funding that was added by the Senate for distressed schools.

I have heard from many members in this chamber, both sides of the aisle, that have expressed support in restoring all of the \$100 million accountability block grant. This amendment does that without adversely affecting other programs. I ask for all members to support this amendment.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek.

Mr. **MARKOSEK**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would ask the members to vote "no" on this particular amendment. This amendment takes money from the basic education fund and funds the accountability block grant fund. We should be funding both. We should be funding both. This should not be an either/or. We have the money to do it. I would ask the members to vote "no."

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Mr. Speaker, I recognize the importance of the accountability block grant fund. In fact, it was probably one of the most successful programs over the last decade. It deals with investing in kids on the front end so that we can cut down on this pipeline from high school to prison. But, Mr. Speaker,

I also recognize the importance of basic education because that is where our constitutional duty is outlined. The Constitution is very clear on our responsibility with basic education.

Mr. Speaker, I think it is important for you and the author of this amendment, for us to remember our history, because if we respect history, then it sometimes will shape tomorrow. Mr. Speaker, you know that we called for a costing-out study about 9 years ago. We wanted to take a look at what is a foundation amount that would be required in order to get our kids ready for the 21st century marketplace. We recognize that in the absence of providing kids with a quality education, then they cannot participate in this progressive global world that we live in.

So we had the costing-out study. While we did not agree to all the facts or outcomes of the costing-out study, we all bought into the notion that we had to do more about students in Pennsylvania schools, especially K through 12. And so, Mr. Speaker, from there we raised the personal income tax. We did some other things that generated a fair investment in basic education, and for 8 years we did that. And we even evaluated the degree of that investment, and when we look back at the investment, we learned, one, overall the attendance among students was up. Two, academic performance for a large number of our students in Pennsylvania was up, especially in the areas of math, English, and science. Thirdly, Mr. Speaker, we know that the investment resulted in more schools throughout Pennsylvania being safer and more secure; not all of them but a majority of them.

So we looked at the results of the 8 years of that investment. Last year we started to retreat from that commitment. Mr. Speaker, it is no mystery that stability in the lives of young people is important about their future. We cannot take progressive steps then retreat because we do not have the money that we would like to have. But, Mr. Speaker, when you look at the revenues that are coming in and when we see the revenues from June, we have more than enough to invest in basic education at the 2010-2011 level, and we know that we can make that investment and we will get the kind of return that we are looking for.

So, Mr. Speaker, I am not prepared, I am not prepared to put one child against another child. I cannot support the accountability block grant if it only means that I have to take away—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

I would remind the members that under the rules of the House, there is a 5-minute time limit on each speaker.

Since you are the first to hit the clock, I will certainly allow you a few seconds to wrap up your thoughts, but the clock will be ticking and I would point that out to the members in the future. The gentleman may conclude his remarks, please.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Let me, Mr. Speaker, apologize for going beyond that period. But at the end of the day, vote "no" on the Adolph amendment and "yes" on any amendment that would increase and return basic education to the 2010-2011.

And the question that I leave with each of you as we go down this road, that question is, should we continue to spend \$32,500 a year on 52,000 in Pennsylvania prisons and are not prepared to put \$25,000—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

Mr. THOMAS. —on our kids? Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that a portion of the money being used for the accountability block grant here would come out of the basic ed subsidy, in which case it is not even robbing Peter to pay Paul, it is robbing Peter to pay Peter. But there are some other portions of this line item as I understand it that come from other line items in the budget. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, had you sought to interrogate the maker of the amendment?

Mr. STURLA. If I could, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. I apologize. I may have missed that.

Would the gentleman, Mr. Adolph, stand for interrogation?

The gentleman indicates he will. You may proceed.

Mr. ADOLPH. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the net effect is that there is a little bit more money going into education here, which I guess, you know, meets the smell test of it is better than nothing, but I would point out that earlier on the maker of the amendment said that this would mean a full restoration of the accountability block grant. I would remind the gentleman that 2 years ago there was \$250 million in the accountability block grant. The current \$50 million is 20 percent of that. Even with the restoration of another \$50 million here, it is still only 40 percent of what was the full amount of accountability block grant. And I would hope that at some day in the near future we could get to that point again so that schools in Pennsylvania can fund kindergarten programs and the kinds of things that will help our students succeed, because right now, even with this amendment, it is only about a 40-percent effort.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Barbin.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to interrogate the maker of the amendment.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. BARBIN. Thank you.

With regard to your amendment, the basic education subsidy is reduced by \$24 million. Does any of this \$24 million come from charter schools or cyber schools?

Mr. ADOLPH. No.

Mr. BARBIN. All right. On the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order on the amendment.

Mr. BARBIN. This morning a newspaper article was included in the Harrisburg paper. In that article, the paper recognized that 6 percent of the students in Pennsylvania are receiving 19 percent of this particular line item. This line item is for both real public schools, the 500 real public schools, and the 167 public charters and public cybers. The public cybers and the public charters receive \$900 million, which is roughly 20 percent of all public education funding, even though they are only providing services to 6 percent of the students that are engaged in public education as we define it in Pennsylvania.

To me there have been questions asked in my district all year long why my six public schools are losing their art programs, their music programs, their football teams, their bands – all their classes that up to now they have been able to pay for. Well, as long as we keep the public cybers and the public charters in the same line item as the real public schools, and as long as we refuse to reduce the amount of funding for those cybers and charters, we are not going to have enough money for public schools.

So, Mr. Speaker, I rise respectfully in opposition to this amendment. And I will make one other note: This budget fails to continue to fund the veterans programs at the same level as last year. Last year's appropriation for veterans' homes was \$93 million. Under this bill and under this amendment, we are taking \$6 million away from the veterans' homes when we have more veterans coming home and needing those services. This is not a good way to use scarce resources, and I urge the amendment to be rejected.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Maher.

Mr. MAHER. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

My good friend from Philadelphia advocates returning to the FY '11, fiscal year '11 State support for public education. I disagree with him because that would be a \$50 million reduction from what is proposed in this amendment. This amendment proposes more money for public education than in fiscal year '11, the final year of the Rendell administration, the final budget approved by my friends across the aisle. This is more money.

With respect to the veterans, I share that concern and would point out that the Obama administration reduced the funds that come through Pennsylvania by more than \$100 million for veterans. The funds administered by the State that originate at the Federal level have been reduced by more than \$100 million for veterans. So those who have real concerns about veterans should be talking to our Commander in Chief, who cut off the pipeline of money that is administered by Pennsylvania for our veterans here.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. All right, we are one on each side of the aisle. I will caution the members that we are not debating the entire budget. We were just debating this particular amendment, and I would ask the members to stay on the substance of the amendment, kindly.

The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Gerber.

Mr. GERBER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you for that clarification. I think some of us were wondering how we got off talking about subject matter that is not in this amendment. What is in this amendment, though, Mr. Speaker, I think is misguided, poor policy, takes money away from basic ed and puts it into accountability block grant programs. Both are important. Both are critical to public education here in Pennsylvania. And as the gentleman who just spoke hearkened back to budgets of the past, in the past this side of the aisle fought for money for both the accountability block grant programs and for basic education. This is robbing Peter to pay Paul, Mr. Speaker. It is a budget shell game, where you are moving money around so that you might be able to go out into

the district and say, "I did more for this," without having to explain you did less for something else.

So while I think most members in this chamber, maybe all members in this chamber support increased funding for accountability block grant programs, I doubt, I doubt that the majority of members support cutting funding, cutting funding once again for basic education, nearly a billion dollars in cuts to basic ed last budget, and here, more cuts to basic ed in this shell game so maybe you can run around and say, "but we have more money for accountability block grant programs."

We have heard the Governor talk about maybe a \$63 million tax break for Shell, for Shell Oil, for the cracker facility out west. That is not even in here, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend. The gentleman will suspend.

You are right; it is not in here. I would ask you to stay on the substance of the amendment.

Mr. GERBER. That is fair, Mr. Speaker.

But the point being, what is next? What is next? And will that come out of basic ed? Will that come out of social service programs?

And so here we are in the very beginning, the very beginning of this budget debate, and we are already cutting more out of basic ed. So, Mr. Speaker, I encourage members not to support this shell game. I encourage members not to support cutting funding for basic education. I encourage members to vote "no" on this amendment.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Delaware County, Mrs. Davidson.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Will the chairman stand for brief interrogation?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Mr. Speaker, I certainly applaud the chairman for putting some money back into the accountability block grants, but I am just curious about the reduction in the basic education subsidy of \$24 million. I certainly can support the reductions in DCED (Department of Community and Economic Development), but it looks like we are only really netting \$26 million back into education. Could you explain how you came to that?

Mr. ADOLPH. Yes. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentelady from Delaware County for that question. I really would like my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to listen to this explanation, because what I am going to tell you is factual and the truth. As I heard some previous speakers talk about last year's billion-dollar cuts in basic education, they forgot to tell you that that was Federal stimulus money that was cut from basic education and not State money, that this chamber passed a bill that provided more State tax dollars into basic education than the year before. That being said, what the Senate did a couple weeks ago is they added \$100 million to last year's basic ed figure – \$100 million. They did this by making it \$50 million for accountability block grants and another \$50 million for distressed school districts, so the Senate actually added \$100 million. It all appears under basic education funding. The allocations of where the accountability block grants go and the money for the distressed school districts does not get broken out here, but the \$100 million is there.

Now what my suggestion is, and what we are now negotiating with our friends in the Senate, is that I want to start by putting the \$100 million block grant back into the basic ed funding formula, which this amendment does, and add another \$26 million into this for distressed school districts. Keep in mind that last year we put in \$26 million for distressed school districts, which is automatically kept in the basic education funding line item. So when you add the \$26 million that was put in distressed school districts from last year plus another \$26 million, that is over \$50 million in this year's budget over last year.

We will continue to negotiate with the Senate and the Governor on whether we can get an additional \$24 million in distressed school districts, and if you disagree with that, I am with you in that fight. But to stand up here today and say that this amendment funds less in basic education than the year before is just false. It is just false. It is \$100 million more than basic ed and another \$26 million for distressed school districts. Those are the facts.

I do not know what type of spreadsheets the other side of the aisle is giving to their members, but they should distribute the facts. I have the facts right in front of me. I will share it with every member of this House.

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. I would like to allow the lady a few seconds to conclude her remarks.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just heard the chairman say that he will be negotiating with the Senate to put the \$24 million back in that is taken out in order to fund the \$100 million accountability block grant, and that was the clarity I was looking for. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the lady.

The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Schmotzer.

Mr. SCHMOTZER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise today for the first time in this House to speak out on amendment 10812, which is a microcosm of SB 1466. I am sure the debate in the next 10 days will get more contentious as we go over the budget, but I think today's debate is a microcosm of what is to come. When you start out with bad ingredients when you are cooking, you end up with bad food. The problem with amendment 10812 is it takes from one side and tries to give to another.

I was a school board member for 10 years, and I met with the Pennsylvania School Boards Association today and I gave my word that I would support this because the net result is a plus \$26 million, but the fact of the matter is, if block grants were \$250 million in the last 2 years and then now if we get them to \$100 million, it is still a 60-percent reduction. You can say that the money was Federal stimulus money, but last year in the House budget you restored all the money for corrections and not the money for education.

I will grudgingly support this, but I tell you that this budget, SB 1466, which passed, does not address the needs of education, does not address the needs of health care, and does not address the needs of human services. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Roebuck.

Mr. ROEBUCK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The issue being raised here is whether or not the funding should go for basic education or in fact for accountability block grants. It is as if these are separable issues. The reality is, it is not a question of either/or, but it should be a question of both/and. We should not have to choose between the two. We have the capacity; we should have the commitment, the ability, and the will to do both. I would urge us to reject the Adolph amendment, which in fact reduces basic education funding overall to a point that is lower than what it was before the stimulus.

We need to do better, Mr. Speaker. I would urge that we reject this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph. The gentleman waives off.

For what purpose does the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, seek recognition?

Mr. THOMAS. I would just like to ask the—

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman seek recognition first, please?

Mr. THOMAS. Clarification.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman seeking recognition on the amendment again?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes.

The SPEAKER. I apologize; under the rules of the House, on general appropriations bills, each member is entitled to speak one time with a 5-minute time limit, with the exception of the maker of the amendment – they are given a second opportunity to speak on their amendment – and the kind of courtesy that we afford the two floor leaders on general issues of that nature. So the gentleman would not be in order.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I think you are absolutely correct. All I wanted to do was ask a question. I do not want to make any comments. I just need a question answered.

The SPEAKER. A question – whether it is making a statement or interrogating, it is still being recognized on the amendment and you would not be in order. I apologize.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—116

Adolph	Galloway	Major	Reese
Aument	Geist	Maloney	Roae
Baker	Gibbons	Marshall	Rock
Barrar	Gillen	Marsico	Ross
Bear	Gillespie	Masser	Saccone
Benninghoff	Gingrich	Metcalf	Sainato
Bloom	Godshall	Metzgar	Samuelson
Boyd	Grell	Miccarelli	Saylor
Brooks	Grove	Micozzie	Scavello
Brown, R.	Hackett	Millard	Schmotzer
Causer	Hahn	Miller	Simmons
Christiana	Harhart	Milne	Sonney
Clymer	Harper	Moul	Stephens
Cox	Harris	Murt	Stern
Culver	Heffley	Mustio	Stevenson
Cutler	Helm	O'Neill	Swanger
Davidson	Hennessey	Oberlander	Tallman

Day	Hess	Payne	Taylor
DeLozier	Hickernell	Peifer	Tobash
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry	Toepel
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Petrarca	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Petri	Truitt
Ellis	Keller, F.	Pickett	Turzai
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Preston	Verbe
Evanovich	Killion	Pyle	Vulakovich
Evans, J.	Knowles	Quigley	Watson
Everett	Krieger	Quinn	
Farry	Lawrence	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Fleck	Mackenzie	Reed	Speaker
Gabler	Maher		

NAYS—81

Barbin	Deasy	Kavulich	Parker
Bishop	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Pashinski
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Payton
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Readshaw
Brennan	Donatucci	Kula	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans, D.	Longiatti	Sabatina
Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Santarsiero
Brownlee	Frankel	Mann	Santoni
Burns	Freeman	Markosek	Smith, K.
Buxton	George	Matzie	Smith, M.
Caltagirone	Gerber	McGeehan	Staback
Carroll	Goodman	Mirabito	Sturla
Cohen	Haluska	Mullery	Thomas
Conklin	Hanna	Mundy	Vitali
Costa, D.	Harhai	Murphy	Waters
Costa, P.	Harkins	Myers	Wheatley
Cruz	Hornaman	Neilson	White
Curry	James	Neuman	Williams
Davis	Josephs	O'Brien, M.	Youngblood
Dean			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

The SPEAKER. On that question, for what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek, rise?

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker and members, I rise to move for a suspension of House rule 19(b) so that I may offer amendment No. A10893 to SB 1466, the general appropriations bill.

I move specifically—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

May I put the motion before the House that you have just made.

The gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek, moves for a suspension of the rules for the consideration of— Will the gentleman repeat the amendment? I apologize. The amendment number, please, again?

Mr. MARKOSEK. Amendment No. 10893.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, moves for a suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of amendment A10893.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I move specifically to suspend rule 19(b). To be revenue-neutral, an amendment cannot increase State spending above the level contained in the general appropriations bill, when it was reported from the House Appropriations Committee, plus any aggregate if certified by the Appropriations Committee.

I propose that the aggregate certified by the Appropriations Committee is in error and we can, we can afford this amendment. Even by the latest conservative estimates, there is additional revenue available. It is available to be spent for the benefit of the citizens of Pennsylvania in 2012-13. I move again to suspend House rule 19(b).

Mr. Speaker, despite May's revenue report, there are hundreds of millions of more dollars available that belong to the citizens of Pennsylvania, and it is our obligation to use the funds available to help forestall some of Governor Corbett's cuts: cuts to public education; cuts to health-care services provided by our counties; the safety net protecting the most vulnerable citizens who, when he was running for office, Governor Corbett himself pledged to support; environmental protection, cuts to environmental protection initiatives – he cut those and now future generations cannot, perhaps, enjoy the beauty of our great State; and job training and education programs to help put people back to work and jump-start Pennsylvania's economy.

Mr. Speaker, even with amendment number 10893, SB 1466 does nothing to repair the damage already being done across Pennsylvania because of draconian cuts in the current fiscal year '11-'12 budget. We may not have sufficient revenue to repair all of the damage and stave off more local property tax increases, but we have funds available to help, help educate our kids, help support our county's efforts to provide health-care services, help strengthen the safety net for vulnerable residents, help protect our environment, and help support job training. Mr. Speaker, we owe it, we owe it to residents to do all we can to stop inflicting harmful budget cuts.

Mr. Speaker, thank you, and I would ask all of the members to support the motion to suspend the rules. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, under our rules, the only people that can debate the motion are the maker of the motion, initially, and the two floor leaders.

In this case, the majority leader has deferred to the majority Appropriations chairman.

The question is, will we suspend the rules for immediate consideration of amendment A10893?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to suspend. And once again I would like my colleagues to understand that you cannot spend what you do not have. You cannot spend what you do not have. Let me make it very clear: the \$300 million

that Chairman Markosek is referring to does not exist. It does not exist, much like the additional \$1 billion that my good friend wanted to spend at this time last year. It never existed. It never materialized.

Let me explain to the General Assembly why there is no additional revenue, and the Democratic chair is familiar with this balance sheet that was debated in the Appropriations Committee, and I will go through it for the benefit of all the members. The IFO (Independent Fiscal Office) forecasted approximately \$800 million in additional revenue over a 2-year period. This is an increase compared to the administration's projections. Four hundred nineteen million dollars for our current year and three hundred ninety million dollars for the next fiscal year. Mr. Speaker, May's collections were \$44 million short. This leaves us with \$815 million. The Senate passed a bill that added \$517 million in spending to the Governor's budget. The remaining balance that my good friend is arguing is not available and accounted for on the balance sheet. That was the foundation for certifying no aggregate in the Appropriations Committee. May's revenues, which were short by \$44 million, prove that we have to be cautious, that we cannot assume that every month is going to be like March and April. All we have to do is go back to the months of July, August, and September of 2011 and you can tell that revenues and this economy are still very fragile.

And also, as required by law, our current law, \$130 million will be required to be put in the Rainy Day Fund. That may change during the budget process, but currently that is the figure. Because of this additional revenue identified by the Independent Fiscal Office, it is not necessary to make the \$145 million in revenue transfers recommended by the Governor. So I want those folks that voted for my very first amendment to be aware that you are jeopardizing, with this motion to suspend, some of those transfers that we worked into this calculation.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to vote "no" on the suspension of the rules. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to suspend the rules, the Speaker recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we need to suspend the rules to consider this amendment because the money is there; it does exist. And if it does not exist, we have twenty seven and, I think, a half billion dollar estimate for next year's spending that also does not exist.

So the hundreds of millions that are there that Representative Markosek is talking about is there, and we can put that money back for the taxpayers of Pennsylvania. We can help the people who need it the most. We can educate our children. We can help them go to college. We can provide health care and give the counties the moneys they need to protect the most vulnerable among us. The safety net can be preserved. We can protect our environment. We can do what we have to do for the people of Pennsylvania with the money that is available. So if we suspend these rules, we can make this budget right. We can make this budget effective. We can make this budget finally work for the people of Pennsylvania and for those Pennsylvanians who need it the most, for those Pennsylvanians who need us the most.

So, Mr. Speaker, the money is there. The money is available. We should vote to suspend the rules so we can consider the Markosek amendment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House suspend the rules?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek, for the second time.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we all know, we all know in the room that that money exists. In fact, for money that does not exist, it is interesting that the Senate found \$500 million just laying around somewhere. That money exists. Last year we were told that there was a big deficit and we had to cut spending drastically in a draconian fashion, and guess what? At the end of the year we ended up with \$785 million that just came out of nowhere. What happened to that? That money has been available this year.

So far we know that that \$300 million exists. The Senate knows it. We know it. The Independent Fiscal Office knows it. Mr. Speaker, I urge all the members to support the motion so that we can run our amendment. The money is there.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to suspend the rules, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Adolph, for the second time.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, let me explain to the members of the House how the Senate found the \$500 million. When the Governor proposed his budget in February, he used through January 31 as far as his revenue collections. For the months of February, March, and April, the revenues came in over projections. That is where they found the money. But keep in mind, Mr. Speaker, up until that point, we were at a deficit of \$800 million. We are still operating at a deficit. We have not brought in more money in this fiscal year than projected.

I would plead to my counterpart to please check his math and tell the folks that the money is not there and we should not be spending money that we do not have. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House suspend the rules for immediate consideration of amendment A10893?

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—88

Barbin	Deasy	Kavulich	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Preston
Boyle, B.	DeLuca	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	DePasquale	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Dermody	Kotik	Roebuck
Brennan	Donatucci	Kula	Sabatina
Briggs	Evans, D.	Longietti	Sainato
Brown, V.	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Samuelson
Brownlee	Frankel	Mann	Santarsiero
Burns	Freeman	Markosek	Santoni
Buxton	Galloway	Matzie	Schmotzer
Caltagirone	George	McGeehan	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gerber	Mirabito	Smith, M.
Cohen	Gibbons	Mundy	Staback
Conklin	Goodman	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Haluska	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Hanna	Neilson	Vitali
Cruz	Harhai	Neuman	Waters
Curry	Harkins	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Davidson	Hornaman	Parker	White
Davis	James	Pashinski	Williams
Dean	Josephs	Payton	Youngblood

NAYS—109

Adolph	Gabler	Maher	Reed
Aument	Geist	Major	Reese
Baker	Gillen	Maloney	Roae
Barrar	Gillespie	Marshall	Rock
Bear	Gingrich	Marsico	Ross
Benninghoff	Godshall	Masser	Saccone
Bloom	Grell	Metcalfe	Saylor
Boyd	Grove	Metzgar	Scavello
Brooks	Hackett	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hahn	Micozzie	Sonney
Causar	Harhart	Millard	Stephens
Christiana	Harper	Miller	Stern
Clymer	Harris	Milne	Stevenson
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Swanger
Culver	Helm	Mullery	Tallman
Cutler	Hennessey	Murt	Taylor
Day	Hess	Mustio	Tobash
Delozier	Hickernell	O'Neill	Toepel
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Oberlander	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Payne	Truitt
Dunbar	Kauffman	Peifer	Turzai
Ellis	Keller, F.	Perry	Verab
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Vulakovich
Evankovich	Killion	Pickett	Watson
Evans, J.	Knowles	Pyle	
Everett	Krieger	Quigley	Smith, S., Speaker
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	
Fleck	Mackenzie	Rapp	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek, rise?

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I move to recommit SB 1466 to the Appropriations Committee.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, is seeking recognition for the purpose of making a motion. The gentleman may state his motion.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I move to recommit SB 1466 to the Appropriations Committee for a new certification of the aggregate available, because according to the latest conservative estimates, there is more revenue available than provided—

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman suspend.

Let me state the question before the House, please, and then you may be recognized to debate the motion.

The gentleman, Mr. Markosek, moves to recommit SB 1466, PN 2165, to the House Appropriations Committee.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On that motion, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Markosek.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, our rules indicate that the money available to spend is the money that is available to spend according to the bill as it comes out of the Appropriations Committee. We certainly disagreed with what happened a week or two ago. This motion would set the bill back. We all know the money is there. We all know the money is there. We want the bill to get back into the committee so that we can recalibrate, we can recertify the aggregate, reflecting the money that actually is available.

There is no reason that we should just use whatever the Senate sent over. In that case, we might as well just let the Senate pass the budget and the rest of us go home. We have some say here, too. We have some say here, too. By putting the bill back into the committee, I think there are enough people on the committee in this House, in this chamber, that understand that that money does exist, and we are not doing anybody any favors and we are not doing our job unless we indicate to the people of Pennsylvania that we are willing to use their money to relieve their suffering that they are currently suffering right now in Pennsylvania. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the majority leader, Mr. Turzai, is recognized on the motion to recommit.

Mr. TURZAI. The motion to commit or recommit is open to debate only as to the reasons for or against reference to committee and should not include a discussion of the merits of the main question. The fact of the matter is, the way we do budgets in the State of Pennsylvania, where we have to have a balanced budget, is we project for the upcoming year what the revenues are going to be. When we did that last year, we did that on a conservative rate of growth. In fact, based on those projections, when the money actually came in, it came in \$322 million less in terms of the estimates than what we had done when we passed, and that was using a conservative rate of growth.

Now, in looking forward, when the Governor spoke here in February, based on the history of revenues coming in, he put forth a budget at about \$27.1, between \$27.1 and \$27.2 billion. Given that there was some historic increase in growth and revenues, although it was still underneath what was projected, the Senate proposal that came over provided in its projections for the next fiscal year an additional \$500 million to expend without increasing taxes, taking it up to about \$27.6 billion. We are in fact moving the Senate proposal today on the budget, which is at \$27.6 billion, less than the rate of inflation, taking into account the fact that we actually lost revenue overall this year from what we projected but recognizing that we do think that there might be some slight growth that could account for a little bit more when we prioritize how to spend taxpayers' hard-earned fiscal dollars.

We are moving that bill today and we are doing it appropriately. It should not be recommitted. It should not have been recommitted under rule 19(b), and it should not be recommitted here. We are doing the business of the citizens of Pennsylvania, and they are expecting us to govern. Please vote against the motion for recommitment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, is the gentleman, Mr. Dermody, seeking recognition? The gentleman is in order.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we need to recommit this bill because the bill that we are considering right here on the floor today, the spend number that we are using does not reflect reality. We have a spend number today that the Senate sent over, and it clearly does not reflect reality. Now, we have an Independent Fiscal Office here. We did not want that. It was a Republican idea to come up with this Independent Fiscal Office. You spent \$2 million creating the Independent Fiscal Office, and now when they come out with projections, you say, "Hogwash; we do not want to listen to them. We will make up our own number."

Well, the Independent Fiscal Office says we have the money. The money is there that we can spend and return to the taxpayers of Pennsylvania and educate their children, protect the environment, do all the things that we have discussed that people need with health care and human services. That money is there. The Independent Fiscal Office that you created and wanted so much says it is there, and we ought to use them now that we are spending the money for them. This bill needs to be recommitted so it can reflect reality, reflect what money is available to the people of Pennsylvania, and bring it back here to the floor so we can debate a bill that makes sense.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the motion to recommit. When our House Appropriations staff prepared the certification of the aggregate, it was based upon mid-May's figures. Some of the figures that the gentlemen are talking about have been included in our balance sheet. The one item that is not included in our balance sheet is the \$44 million revenue shortfall for the month of May. The Appropriations Committee is charged with doing this certification of the aggregate. If we went back to the committee and had our staff put together another certification of the aggregate, Mr. Speaker, we would have less money than we had 2 weeks ago. It is apparent to me that it is just a delaying tactic as far as putting this bill back into committee.

So I urge members of this House to let us keep in mind our responsibility to pass an on-time budget and keep this second consideration today and not vote for the Markosek recommitment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Mrs. Dean.

Mrs. DEAN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Thank you, Representatives Adolph and Markosek. I want to stand in echo and speak in support of the motion of Chairman Markosek to send this budget back, to recommit it in order to reflect the actual numbers, the full revenues realized.

I am new to this House and I am new to this process, but I am not new to this conversation. We should recommit because it seems to me the single, overarching failure of the Senate bill we will consider is the unaccounted-for revenues of some \$300 million. In difficult times, it is both sound economic policy and sound human policy to spend wisely and fully the revenues of our Commonwealth. As experts tell us, we should recommit because a slump is a time to spend. It is sound economic policy to use these revenues to repair and invest, and

it will return to us and to all of our communities by improving and living up to our commitment to fully fund education, the economy, the environment, and those who need human services the most. It is sound human policy because we should recommit this for full funding. I am mindful of the words this morning of the Reverend Podlesny, who said, he asked us, he prayed that we will serve our constituents with excellence and that we will strengthen our State by our decisions.

I urge us to recommit this because it will strengthen our decisions as we prepare to discuss and decide the budget. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster County, Mr. Sturla.

Mr. STURLA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion to recommit. The objective here is to try and get an accurate view of how much money we believe there is to spend for next year. We used to rely on the Secretary of Budget certifying things, and then there was the move to go to an Independent Fiscal Office, which we spend close to \$2 million a year to fund. That apparently is money that we might as well just flush down the toilet if we are not going to listen to what they say. Now the majority Appropriations chair says, "No, no; we will listen to our Appropriations staff. They know better." And in fact, if you sent it back to committee, they would project that it should be \$44 million less than it is. That begs the question, why are you willing to spend \$44 million more than you have?

If the majority Appropriations chair believes that that number is actually \$44 million higher than it should be, according to his staff, why would we spend an additional \$44 million? Why would you not send it back and certify it at \$44 million less? I believe there is several hundred million more, but if you believe there is \$44 million less, why are you spending \$44 million more? And why are you spending \$2 million of the taxpayer money to get a report that you ignore? That is just irresponsible budgeting. Sending this back can take 10 minutes or it could take 2 days till we actually got the fiscal report that we need, but I do not understand the notion that we cannot send it back because we locked ourselves in to a number that even you do not believe is correct. What is the point?

I urge recommitment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

May the speaker be interrogated?

The SPEAKER. Who are you seeking to interrogate?

Mr. THOMAS. The Appropriations chairman.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, how can we resolve what appears to be confusion to 200 of us, and I imagine more confusion to people who are watching this? You have said that there is a certain number certified for 2012-13. The Appropriations chairman on this side says that there is more money that we have to spend. How do we resolve the difference, and how do we know which is what we should be working with?

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we debated the certification of the aggregate in the Appropriations Committee. It was thoroughly aired. All

members were given a copy of the balance sheet. We had our analysts there go through. We had some information from the IFO, and the certification of the aggregate was voted on and it was passed. I do not know what else, what other procedure is available. You know, we have a balance sheet showing that our figures are factual.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, is there agreement between your figures and the Independent Fiscal Office's?

Mr. ADOLPH. For your information, we used his numbers.

Mr. THOMAS. So the numbers that you have certified are in line with what the Independent Fiscal Office is saying about the availability of revenue?

Mr. ADOLPH. Yes.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, how would you determine how the Appropriations Democratic chairman is able to come up with different numbers?

Mr. ADOLPH. I have no explanation for that.

Mr. THOMAS. And, Mr. Speaker, like myself, you have known the Democratic chairman for a good period of time?

Mr. ADOLPH. I consider him a good friend; however, not a great mathematician.

Mr. THOMAS. Well, Mr. Speaker, even if he is not a good mathematician, you know that he is an honorable man.

Mr. ADOLPH. Without question.

Mr. THOMAS. So, Mr. Speaker – and this is the last question – there appears to be some discrepancy; SB 1466 set aside \$50 million for accountability block grant without taking any money from basic education. Is that correct?

Mr. ADOLPH. That is correct.

Mr. THOMAS. And that was based on revenue that the Senate felt was available?

Mr. ADOLPH. Yes.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, thank you for answering the questions.

Mr. Speaker, if I may comment on the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order on the motion.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I think that there is enough confusion between the two chairs of the Appropriations Committee, and, Mr. Speaker, there is sufficient cause for the people of Pennsylvania to not have a good handle on what it is, what we have available to spend, and how do we plan to spend the available resources as of this day.

Mr. Speaker, I believe that the Democratic chair, and I think that the Democratic chair – not I think, I know – that the Democratic chair has a stellar core of staff people who have been working with numbers for some time now. And so if they believe that there is additional revenue, I think it warrants an opportunity for us to step back and take a look at this, because I do not want to sign off on one set of numbers and it result in pain—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

Mr. THOMAS. Time has run out, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. You got it.

Mr. THOMAS. Well, Mr. Speaker, join me in recommitting this amendment, the Markosek amendment.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Monroe County, Mr. Scavello.

Mr. SCAVELLO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I need to correct, because I am a little bit confused here. May I interrogate the chairman of Appropriations for a moment if possible?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation.

Mr. SCAVELLO. Mr. Speaker, in the Appropriations Committee, is it not accurate that we corrected, we assumed in May, the IFO gave us a number and we assumed that the May revenues would be short? We anticipated short and it was approximately \$42 million. Did we not correct it by that \$42 million in Appropriations when we certified the number?

Mr. ADOLPH. Yes, we did.

Mr. SCAVELLO. So in all actuality, what I am hearing from the other side of the aisle, we anticipated a \$42 million shortfall in the month of May that of course they did not agree with – we had that huge discussion in the Appropriations Committee – and the actual number was \$44 million. Am I correct?

Mr. ADOLPH. You are correct.

Mr. SCAVELLO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, you know, we have been on this floor, it is almost like a flashback of last year when we were leaving \$1 billion on the table. God forbid, if we would have spent that \$1 billion last year, this year we would be in a tremendous hole and we really would have to try to balance a budget on the backs of the citizens of this Commonwealth. We did the right thing last year, thank God we did.

But in all actuality, we predicted this shortfall for this month. We knew back in Appropriations, so there was no \$44 million mistake that the gentleman from Lancaster was mentioning. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Northampton County, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the Markosek amendment to recommit this bill to the Appropriations Committee so that the committee can take another look at that revenue number. Yes, we did have a meeting back on May 21 when this was discussed. I have to point out for the record that no amendments were allowed in that committee. I tried myself to offer an amendment. The sheet that was put before us that day for an up-or-down vote had several assumptions, and I tried to offer amendments specific to the vendor discount. That amendment was not allowed in the committee. So we did discuss, we did debate, but there were no amendments possible in the previous committee review.

Secondly, the Independent Fiscal Office has reported to us that there is approximately \$800 million, \$816 million available. The Senate decided to use about \$516 million when they were putting their budget proposal together. The Appropriations Committee here in the House accepted the Senate number without any change. So the Senate basically took the work of the Independent Fiscal Office and said, you know what? We are only going to use 63 percent of what they have reported to us and we are going to ignore the other 37 percent. And the committee so far has said, you know what? We are just going to agree with whatever the Senate says. We are not going to change anything. We are not going to question anything. We are just going to ignore that 37 percent. I am surprised there is

actually not an amendment today to reduce the budget of the Independent Fiscal Office by 37 percent since it appears the Senate has no use for their full work product.

I think we need to recommit because I think we need to revisit this number for a couple of specific reasons. You have heard some debate earlier today about some of the cuts in this budget. Yes, we had an amendment, which I supported. I supported Chairman Adolph's amendment on the accountability block grants, and we got that number back to \$100 million – I do know that just 2 years ago it was \$254 million – and I will be supporting other amendments later today to try to move further in that direction.

The human services cuts in this budget are devastating – 10-percent cuts, deepest cuts to our State human services programs in decades – and here we are, we have an opportunity to do something about that. Representative Markosek has an amendment that would fully restore that funding, but we are being told that we cannot offer that amendment. If we sent this bill back to committee, we could change and discuss and debate at least four things, and let me be specific about those. Did you know that in that revenue estimate that was certified by the committee, the committee assumed that we are going to make a \$130 million deposit to the Rainy Day Fund? So at a time when the budget before us is subtracting \$82 million from human services to our counties, 10-percent cuts that devastate people with intellectual disabilities, mental health, homeless programs, drug and alcohol, the revenue estimate that we are supposedly not allowed to touch puts \$130 million in the Rainy Day Fund. That certainly should be subject to debate and discussion and review by the committee. The gentleman from Monroe pointed out that the estimate in May assumed that there would be a \$42 million shortfall in May, and there was a \$44 million shortfall. When the chair of Appropriations said there might be less money, I guess he is referring to the fact that there is \$2 million less since the \$42 million was already built into the number, but that is something the committee could adjust.

The vendor discount – my goodness, the Governor of Pennsylvania has proposed that we take a look at this and limit the vendor discount so that it has an impact on our small businesses in Pennsylvania, but that the largest businesses cannot continue to take that 1 percent off the top. Most people in Pennsylvania do not realize that when you pay sales tax to a store in Pennsylvania, you pay 6 percent. That store only turns in 5.94 percent to the State because they are allowed to keep 1 percent. Governor Corbett said we should address this. Governor Rendell said we should address this. The committee estimate does not address this. That would be \$41 million. How can we say to ourselves that we are not willing to take Governor Corbett's suggestion and address the vendor discount when we are cutting \$8 million from children and youth programs – \$8 million from early childhood education programs, I meant? So the programs that are effective for our young people in Pennsylvania, proven effective, would not be allowed because we are not willing to review that.

So I say, let us return this to the Appropriations Committee. Let us take another look at these numbers. We have some critical needs in front of us. Let us not blindfold ourselves as to the true revenue that is before us, and let us let the Appropriations Committee revisit that topic and revisit that debate.

The SPEAKER. On the motion to recommit, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Luzerne County, Mr. Pashinski.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

May I interrogate the majority leader, please? The majority leader. I just need a clarification.

The SPEAKER. You are asking if the majority leader would stand for interrogation or the majority Appropriations chairman?

Mr. PASHINSKI. The majority leader, only because the majority leader made a—

The SPEAKER. Just wanted the clarification.

The gentleman indicates he will stand for interrogation. You may proceed.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I am asking for clarification on the statement that the majority leader made relative to the projection of the budget for the preceding year. Could you tell me what the percentage of that projection was?

Mr. TURZAI. The projection for 2012-2013 is 3.87-percent growth. The revenue projection would be at a 3.87-percent growth.

Mr. PASHINSKI. And what was the percentage of the actual growth that came in?

Mr. TURZAI. It would be beginning balance, revenues, Enhanced Revenue Collections Account, adjusted revenues minus refund reserve, which is standard. It would be \$27.656 billion; \$27.656 billion.

Mr. PASHINSKI. \$27.656 billion is actual dollars that came in, but we do not have a percentage on it?

I am trying to determine the percentage difference between the projection and the actual amount that came in.

Mr. TURZAI. Last year, if you are looking at last year, the 2011-2012, we had projected a 3.2-percent growth rate and the growth rate was in fact a 2.5-percent growth rate, and it was \$330 million less than what we projected for 2011-2012.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Okay. And this year you came in at \$27.66.

Mr. TURZAI. Well, that is the projection given where we are with the Senate bill that came over from the Senate. Funds available would be \$27.6 billion.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Okay. Now, the Independent Fiscal Office, they have determined that there would be \$800 million that would be considered as surplus. Is that in that \$27.66?

Mr. TURZAI. Yes.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Okay. Now, if the \$800 million is in the \$27.66, and the Senate took \$500 million of the \$800 million, why would there not be a \$300 million remainder?

Mr. TURZAI. Transfers in the Rainy Day Fund account for those dollars. Transfers in the Rainy Day Fund.

Mr. PASHINSKI. That is \$300 million?

Mr. TURZAI. Yes. We are not doing the transfers. So that is part of it and the other part is that some money is being put into the Rainy Day Fund, which is standard operating, solid, fiscal policy.

Mr. PASHINSKI. And how much money did we put in the Rainy Day Fund last year, standard fiscal policy?

Mr. TURZAI. Zero.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Zero. This year we are going to put how much?

Mr. TURZAI. \$130 million.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Okay. Since last year we had zero in the Rainy Day Fund, I am begging for consideration to reconsider this, to consider at least 100 more million dollars towards the human services or towards public education. Put \$30 million in your Rainy Day Fund; you have another \$100 million that you can deal with. It is incumbent upon us, Mr. Speaker, that we utilize every dollar to ease the pain.

Now, when we first started dealing with the budget deficit, it was overwhelming. At that point no school district had to raise taxes, had to increase class sizes, had to reduce pre-K or eliminate kindergarten. You now have almost 300 school districts that are being forced to raise taxes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will suspend.

You are debating the merits of the bill. I would urge you to stay to the question before us.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

I do thank the leader for the courtesy of answering those questions. On the amendment, or the bill, sir?

I am asking that those of you on the Appropriations Committee please reconsider recommitting this and using at least 100 million dollars more to spread the wealth—

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's time has suspended.

Mr. PASHINSKI. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the motion?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—89

Barbin	DeLissio	Keller, W.	Petrarca
Bishop	DeLuca	Kirkland	Preston
Boyle, B.	DePasquale	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle, K.	Dermody	Kotik	Readshaw
Bradford	Donatucci	Kula	Roebuck
Brennan	Evans, D.	Longiatti	Sabatina
Briggs	Fabrizio	Mahoney	Sainato
Brown, V.	Frankel	Mann	Samuelson
Brownlee	Freeman	Markosek	Santarsiero
Burns	Galloway	Matzie	Santoni
Buxton	George	McGeehan	Schmotzer
Caltagirone	Gerber	Mirabito	Smith, K.
Carroll	Gibbons	Mullery	Smith, M.
Cohen	Goodman	Mundy	Staback
Conklin	Haluska	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Hanna	Myers	Thomas
Costa, P.	Harhai	Neilson	Vitali
Cruz	Harkins	Neuman	Waters
Curry	Hornaman	O'Brien, M.	Wheatley
Davidson	James	Parker	White
Davis	Josephs	Pashinski	Williams
Dean	Kavulich	Payton	Youngblood

NAYS—108

Adolph	Gabler	Maher	Reed
Aument	Geist	Major	Reese
Baker	Gillen	Maloney	Roae
Barrar	Gillespie	Marshall	Rock
Bear	Gingrich	Marsico	Ross
Benninghoff	Godshall	Masser	Saccone
Bloom	Grell	Metcalfe	Saylor
Boyd	Grove	Metzgar	Scavello
Brooks	Hackett	Miccarelli	Simmons
Brown, R.	Hahn	Micozzie	Sonney
Causser	Harhart	Millard	Stephens

Christiana	Harper	Miller	Stern
Clymer	Harris	Milne	Stevenson
Cox	Heffley	Moul	Swanger
Culver	Helm	Murt	Tallman
Cutler	Hennessey	Mustio	Taylor
Day	Hess	O'Neill	Tobash
Delozier	Hickernell	Oberlander	Toepel
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Payne	Toohil
DiGirolamo	Kampf	Peifer	Truitt
Dunbar	Kauffman	Perry	Turzai
Ellis	Keller, F.	Petri	Vereb
Emrick	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Vulakovich
Evankovich	Killion	Pyle	Watson
Evans, J.	Knowles	Quigley	
Everett	Krieger	Quinn	Smith, S.,
Farry	Lawrence	Rapp	Speaker
Fleck	Mackenzie		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. VITALI offered the following amendment No. A10434:

Amend Bill, page 229, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	73,742,000
Amend Bill, page 250, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	12,830,000
Amend Bill, page 250, line 6, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	28,881,000
Amend Bill, page 252, line 21, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	2,773,000
Amend Bill, page 253, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	78,021,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 1, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	3,386,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 3, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	4,297,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 7, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	85,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	643,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 14, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	48,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 17, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation.....	993,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 20, by striking out all of said line and		

inserting	
State appropriation	144,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 23, by striking out all of said line and	
inserting	
State appropriation	241,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 26, by striking out all of said line and	
inserting	
State appropriation	2,914,000
Amend Bill, page 254, line 30, by striking out all of said line and	
inserting	
State appropriation	32,000
For Flood Control Projects.	
State appropriation	3,414,000
For Sewage Facilities Planning Grants.	
State appropriation	850,000
For Sewage Facilities Enforcement	
Grants.	
State appropriation	2,549,000
For Ohio River Basin Commission.	
State appropriation	13,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Vitali.

Mr. VITALI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

What this amendment would do would be to restore the Department of Environmental funding to the level it was in the 2010-2011 budget. That is that portion of their budget that comes from State sources. It would take \$17 million from the CFA to accomplish this. It is a good amendment, and it is my intention to, if this passes, to withdraw my other six amendments, so I would ask for an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the minority leader, Mr. Dermody.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the members to support this amendment. It provides much-needed revenues to the Department of Environmental Protection and would help all Pennsylvanians, and I urge your support. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to advise the members of this General Assembly to take a real close look at this amendment by the gentleman from Delaware County and vote your legislative district. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—160

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Preston
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Quigley
Barbin	Evans, D.	Krieger	Quinn

Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Kula	Ravenstahl
Bishop	Farry	Lawrence	Readshaw
Boyle, B.	Frankel	Longietti	Reed
Boyle, K.	Freeman	Maher	Reese
Bradford	Gabler	Mahoney	Roebuck
Brennan	Galloway	Major	Ross
Briggs	George	Maloney	Sabatina
Brooks	Gerber	Mann	Saccone
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Markosek	Sainato
Brown, V.	Gillen	Marshall	Samuelson
Brownlee	Gillespie	Marsico	Santarsiero
Burns	Godshall	Masser	Santoni
Buxton	Goodman	Matzie	Saylor
Caltagirone	Grell	McGeehan	Scavello
Carroll	Hackett	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Clymer	Hahn	Miccarelli	Simmons
Cohen	Haluska	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Conklin	Hanna	Millard	Smith, M.
Costa, D.	Harhai	Milne	Staback
Costa, P.	Harhart	Mirabito	Stephens
Cox	Harkins	Mullery	Stern
Cruz	Harper	Mundy	Sturla
Culver	Heffley	Murphy	Swanger
Curry	Helm	Murt	Taylor
Davidson	Hennessey	Mustio	Thomas
Davis	Hess	Myers	Tobash
Day	Hornaman	Neilson	Toepel
Dean	James	Neuman	Toohil
Deasy	Josephs	O'Brien, M.	Truitt
DeLissio	Kampf	O'Neill	Vereb
Delozier	Kavulich	Parker	Vitali
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Pashinski	Waters
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Payton	Watson
Dermody	Keller, W.	Peifer	Wheatley
DiGirolo	Killion	Petrarca	White
Donatucci	Kirkland	Petri	Williams
Dunbar	Knowles	Pickett	Youngblood

NAYS—37

Aument	Evans, J.	Mackenzie	Rock
Barrar	Everett	Metcalfe	Sonney
Bear	Fleck	Miller	Stevenson
Bloom	Geist	Moul	Tallman
Boyd	Gingrich	Oberlander	Turzai
Causar	Grove	Payne	Vulakovich
Christiana	Harris	Perry	
Cutler	Hickernell	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Denlinger	Hutchinson	Rapp	Speaker
Ellis	Kauffman	Roae	

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment
No. A10835:

Amend Bill, page 278, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation 71,285,000
 Amend Bill, page 284, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation 9,803,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-upon amendment, and I strongly encourage support for this amendment.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to take a look at this amendment and vote your legislative district. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—159

Adolph	Farry	Longiotti	Reed
Baker	Fleck	Mackenzie	Roae
Barbin	Frankel	Maher	Rock
Barrar	Freeman	Mahoney	Roebuck
Bishop	Galloway	Major	Ross
Boyle, B.	Geist	Maloney	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	George	Mann	Sainato
Bradford	Gerber	Markosek	Samuelson
Brennan	Gibbons	Masser	Santarsiero
Briggs	Gillen	Matzie	Santoni
Brooks	Gillespie	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Godshall	Metzgar	Scavello
Brownlee	Goodman	Miccarelli	Schmotzer
Burns	Grove	Micozzie	Simmons
Buxton	Hackett	Millard	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Hahn	Miller	Smith, M.
Carroll	Hanna	Milne	Staback
Clymer	Harhai	Mirabito	Stephens
Cohen	Harhart	Mullery	Stern
Conklin	Harkins	Mundy	Stevenson
Costa, D.	Harper	Murphy	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harris	Murt	Swanger
Cox	Helm	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Hennessey	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Hess	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Hornaman	Neuman	Toepel
Davidson	James	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davis	Josephs	O'Neill	Turzai
Dean	Kampf	Parker	Vereb
Deasy	Kauffman	Pashinski	Vitali
DeLissio	Kavulich	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLuca	Keller, F.	Payton	Waters
DePasquale	Keller, W.	Petrarca	Watson
Dermody	Killion	Petri	Wheatley
DiGirolamo	Kirkland	Pickett	White
Donatucci	Knowles	Preston	Williams
Ellis	Kortz	Quigley	Youngblood
Emrick	Kotik	Quinn	
Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl	Smith, S., Speaker
Everett	Lawrence	Readshaw	
Fabrizio			

NAYS—38

Aument	Delozier	Hickernell	Peifer
Bear	Denlinger	Hutchinson	Perry
Benninghoff	Dunbar	Keller, M.K.	Pyle
Bloom	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Boyd	Evans, J.	Marshall	Reese
Brown, R.	Gabler	Marsico	Saccone
Causar	Gingrich	Metcalfe	Sonney
Christiana	Grell	Moul	Tallman
Cutler	Haluska	Oberlander	Truitt
Day	Heffley		

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. THOMAS offered the following amendment No. A10840:

Amend Bill, page 278, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation 72,206,000
 Amend Bill, page 293, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting
 State appropriation 12,487,000

On the question,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, amendment 10840 reflects our bipartisan sentiment regarding domestic violence and the need to bring an end to domestic violence in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Support this amendment.

On the question recurring,
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—190

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Pyle
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Quigley
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Quinn
Barbin	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barrar	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Bear	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Longiotti	Reese
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Boyd	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck

Boyle, B.	Freeman	Major	Ross
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Maloney	Sabatina
Bradford	Galloway	Mann	Saccone
Brennan	Geist	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	George	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gerber	Marsico	Santarsiero
Brown, R.	Gibbons	Masser	Santoni
Brown, V.	Gillen	Matzie	Saylor
Brownlee	Gillespie	McGeehan	Scavello
Burns	Gingrich	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Buxton	Godshall	Miccarelli	Simmons
Caltagirone	Goodman	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Carroll	Grell	Millard	Smith, M.
Causer	Grove	Miller	Sonney
Christiana	Hackett	Milne	Staback
Clymer	Hahn	Mirabito	Stephens
Cohen	Hanna	Moul	Stern
Conklin	Harhai	Mullery	Stevenson
Costa, D.	Harhart	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murphy	Swanger
Cox	Harper	Murt	Tallman
Cruz	Harris	Mustio	Taylor
Culver	Heffley	Myers	Thomas
Curry	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Cutler	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Davidson	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davis	Hickernell	O'Neill	Truitt
Day	Hornaman	Oberlander	Turzai
Dean	James	Parker	Verab
Deasy	Josephs	Pashinski	Vitali
DeLissio	Kampf	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLozier	Kauffman	Payton	Waters
DeLuca	Kavulich	Peifer	Watson
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	Wheatley
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	White
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Williams
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	Youngblood
Donatucci	Kirkland		

NAYS-7

Dunbar	Metcalf	Rapp	Smith, S.,
Haluska	Perry		Speaker
Hutchinson			

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **THOMAS** offered the following amendment No. **A10841**:

Amend Bill, page 278, line 8, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 72,302,000

Amend Bill, page 293, line 20, by striking out all of said line and inserting

State appropriation..... 7,146,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The **SPEAKER**. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Thomas.

Mr. **THOMAS**. Mr. Speaker, I rise to encourage my colleagues from both sides of the aisle to support this amendment, which would provide funding for rape crisis centers.

The **SPEAKER**. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. **ADOLPH**. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on this amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS-196

Adolph	Emrick	Kotik	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Krieger	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Kula	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Lawrence	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Longietti	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Mackenzie	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Maher	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Mahoney	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Major	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Metcalf	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Hackett	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hanna	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Harhai	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhart	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harkins	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harper	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harris	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Heffley	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Cutler	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Neill	Truitt
Davis	Hornaman	Oberlander	Turzai
Day	Hutchinson	Parker	Verab
Dean	James	Pashinski	Vitali
Deasy	Josephs	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Kampf	Payton	Waters
DeLozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Watson
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	Wheatley
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Williams

Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Speaker
Ellis	Kortz		

NAYS-1

Haluska

NOT VOTING-0

EXCUSED-4

Boback	Creighton	Daley	Gergely
--------	-----------	-------	---------

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Speaker's understanding that the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, has withdrawn his other amendments. You wanted to make a comment on that fact?

Mr. THOMAS. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Then if that is the case, the question is, will the House agree to the bill?

I recognize the gentleman, Mr. Thomas, on the bill.

Mr. THOMAS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, first let me thank my colleagues from both sides of the aisle for their support for those critical programs, human service programs, that we need to be supporting in Pennsylvania. And, Mr. Speaker, I am hoping that before this bill gets to the Governor's desk that we give some attention to amendments that I have just withdrawn. One amendment would put basic education back to where it needs to be; an amendment that would increase child-care assistance; an amendment that would provide a School Nutrition Incentive Program, which helps kids in both rural and urban communities; another amendment would put money into the Accountability Block Grant. Mr. Speaker, what my amendment would do is just add to what the Senate provided in SB 1466 regarding the Accountability Block Grant. It is extremely important.

Breast cancer: We have consistently supported the need to provide support to breast cancer centers in Pennsylvania. In fact, the Pennsylvania Department of Health has acknowledged an explosion of breast cancer in several communities of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Community-based family centers: When you realize the challenges facing young people throughout Pennsylvania, we need some apparatus at the community level to provide the kind of intervention services that we need.

Mr. Speaker, also, I withdrew an amendment which I am hoping the majority chairman will be able to support, and that is, in the Senate bill there is a line item called Mobile Science, and all of us have supported this line item over the years. But as the world has changed, we now need to focus our attention on what I refer to as STEM – science, technology, engineering, and

math. Those are the four dominant marketplaces of the world, and we need to begin to expose students to this STEM methodology as early as possible. So what I am asking is that the money that has been put in the budget, in SB 1466, designated for Mobile Science, I would like to remove that label and change that label to something called STEM – science, technology, engineering, and math – and begin providing students with the kind of exposure that they need to compete in the global marketplace.

And last but not least, Mr. Speaker, Pell grants. Money is in the budget for Pell grants, but we need to increase Pell grants because education is the only bridge to the future. It is the only foundation upon which we can reach the heights that we desire and need to go, and so making Pell grants available to the largest theater of young people is really important. So I ask that before this ends that we consider those amendments that I wanted to offer and definitely embrace some of the progressive ideas that I have tried to share with each of you.

I strongly encourage the House to build upon what happened in the Senate and make sure that we have a budget that looks better than the step that was taken by the Senate. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. STABACK offered the following amendment No. A10860:

Amend Bill, page 255, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	65,773,000
Amend Bill, page 255, line 30, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	21,768,000
Amend Bill, page 261, line 22, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	745,000
Amend Bill, page 266, line 23, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	12,410,000

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the lady from Susquehanna County, Ms. MAJOR, for the remainder of the day, and the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. HACKETT, for the remainder of the day. Without objection, the leaves will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1466 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna County, Mr. Staback.

Mr. STABACK. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment A10860 restores \$400,000 to the regional cancer institutes line item under the Department of Health. There are four institutes in the Commonwealth that as a group lead the State in cancer research and care: the Northeast Regional Cancer Institute, the University of Pennsylvania cancer center, the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, and Penn State University. This amendment, Mr. Speaker, increases their allotment by decreasing the appropriation for the Department of General Services general government operations, and the Department of Health general government operations by \$150,000 each and taking \$100,000 from the general government operations budget of Labor and Industry.

Initially, Mr. Speaker, the cancer institutes shared an allocation of some \$2 1/2 million for their research needs. Now the four are asked to share an amount that has dwindled to \$345,000. In this budget, the institutes are asked to share an amount that is less than what each individual center received in past years. This cut will dramatically reduce their ability to be effective in their work.

Mr. Speaker, this amount is not a cure-all, but it is a responsible, revenue-neutral attempt to support the work of the regional cancer institutes. The dollar figure in the amendment is relatively small, but it can have an immense positive impact on the daily lives of cancer victims and the research done at the Department of Health's regional cancer institutes.

On that note, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for an affirmative vote on the amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to support the gentleman's amendment and ask for a "yes" vote.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Miller	Sonney
Causser	Hahn	Milne	Staback

Christiana	Haluska	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hanna	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Harhai	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhart	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harkins	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harper	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harris	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Heffley	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Cutler	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Neill	Truitt
Davis	Hornaman	Oberlander	Turzai
Day	Hutchinson	Parker	Vereb
Dean	James	Pashinski	Vitali
Deasy	Josephs	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Kampf	Payton	Waters
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Watson
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	Wheatley
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Williams
Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	Speaker
Ellis			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Boback	Daley	Hackett	Major
Creighton	Gergely		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Mr. **GEORGE** offered the following amendment No. **A10888**:

Amend Bill, page 248, line 24, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	60,000,000
Amend Bill, page 255, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting	
State appropriation	59,430,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Clearfield County, Mr. George.

Mr. GEORGE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, amendment 10888 would increase the public library subsidy to \$60 million. Every year it appears that we look to cut more and more from the services that need our funding most – arts, education, the environment – all of which have seen time on the chopping block this budget season. Mr. Speaker, my amendment would provide more money to the public library subsidy, and I feel this is a worthy cause for funding in the Commonwealth. Our citizens utilize our public

libraries every day, but sadly, some of these libraries are closing up as operational dollars disappear. Let us not forget that libraries have been the great source of free knowledge for hundreds of years and longer, and the power of knowledge can enable an individual to do great things for our society.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the members of this General Assembly to vote affirmatively on this amendment, and I thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Delaware County, Mr. Adolph.

Mr. ADOLPH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the members of this General Assembly to take a close look at the Representative's amendment and vote your legislative district.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—195

Adolph	Emrick	Kortz	Quinn
Aument	Evankovich	Kotik	Rapp
Baker	Evans, D.	Krieger	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, J.	Kula	Readshaw
Barrar	Everett	Lawrence	Reed
Bear	Fabrizio	Longietti	Reese
Benninghoff	Farry	Mackenzie	Roae
Bishop	Fleck	Maher	Rock
Bloom	Frankel	Mahoney	Roebuck
Boyd	Freeman	Maloney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Gabler	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Galloway	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Geist	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	George	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	Gerber	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gibbons	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gillen	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillespie	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gingrich	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Godshall	Miccarelli	Simmons
Buxton	Goodman	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Grell	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grove	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Hahn	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Haluska	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Hanna	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Harhai	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhart	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harkins	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harper	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harris	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Heffley	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Helm	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Hennessey	Neuman	Toepel
Cutler	Hess	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davidson	Hickernell	O'Neill	Truitt
Davis	Hornaman	Oberlander	Turzai
Day	Hutchinson	Parker	Vereb
Dean	James	Pashinski	Vitali
Deasy	Josephs	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Kampf	Payton	Waters
Delozier	Kauffman	Peifer	Watson
DeLuca	Kavulich	Perry	Wheatley
Denlinger	Keller, F.	Petrarca	White
DePasquale	Keller, M.K.	Petri	Williams

Dermody	Keller, W.	Pickett	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Killion	Preston	
Donatucci	Kirkland	Pyle	Smith, S., Speaker
Dunbar	Knowles	Quigley	
Ellis			

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—6

Boback	Daley	Hackett	Major
Creighton	Gergely		

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **MUNDY** offered the following amendment
No. **A10891**:

Amend Bill, page 207, line 22, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	1,500,000
Amend Bill, page 207, line 29, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	4,106,000
Amend Bill, page 216, line 5, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	250,000
Amend Bill, page 255, line 10, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	59,634,000
Amend Bill, page 291, line 19, by striking out all of said line and inserting	State appropriation	152,169,000

On the question,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Luzerne County, Ms. Mundy.

Ms. MUNDY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This amendment would restore funding to Keystone STARS (Standards, Training/Professional Development, Assistance, Resources) and subsidized child care for low-income working families. It would also reinstate funding for the T.E.A.C.H. (Teacher Education and Compensation Helps) program, which was eliminated in last year's budget. I know I do not have to elaborate as to how important high-quality early childhood care and education is to a child's development, how preventive and how cost-effective it is. Countless studies have proven over and over again the economic and social benefits of high-quality early childhood education, programs which earn \$7 to \$16 for every dollar invested. The law enforcement community and the military, the business community, our United Ways, along with child-care providers and parents all recognize the importance of full funding for these line items and have rallied in support.

Keystone STARS helps early learning and child-care providers achieve higher quality in their staffing and programs for the benefit of the children they care for. Recently comments have been made that the Senate's proposed \$8.72 million cut to Keystone STARS was only targeted at playground equipment purchased with Keystone STARS awards. The Governor's Office of Child Development and Early Learning has recently provided us with information that should put these unfounded claims to rest. According to OCDEL, only 4 percent of Keystone STARS funding goes toward playground equipment. The bulk of the money goes toward workforce development and retention; learning materials; furnishings, such as cribs and high chairs; and facility safety enhancements. Legislators on both sides of the aisle in both the State House and Senate can take pride in the key role we have played in supporting Keystone STARS and T.E.A.C.H., as well as in providing subsidized child care for low-income working families.

In the bill before us, SB 1466, the Senate proposes to cut State funding for two child-care appropriations, child-care services and child-care assistance, by nearly \$68 million, when compared with funding in 2010-11. I need to remind you what happened when cuts to the subsidized child-care program were made under the Ridge administration: Low-income working mothers had to quit their jobs and go on welfare because they could not afford child care. Teen mothers had to drop out of school because without this subsidy, they could not afford child care. Low-income parents want to work, but child care can be more than they can afford. The waiting list for child-care services in my county alone is already at 118 children. Without this amendment and restoring this funding, even more children will be added to the waiting list, and the welfare rolls will grow – very shortsighted indeed.

Mr. Speaker, with this amendment, we strive to restore the wise investments we once made in early childhood education, like Keystone STARS, T.E.A.C.H., and subsidized child care for low-income working families. I ask your support for these very important child-care programs. Our children and our working families, our businesses, and our communities need your support.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Bucks County, Mr. Petri.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, may I interrogate the maker of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The lady indicates she will stand for interrogation. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, is it your intent to increase the money that is available for working mothers, or is this restoration of money for the people who determine whether someone is income-qualified?

Ms. MUNDY. The money in this amendment is intended to go toward subsidies for child-care services.

Mr. PETRI. Okay. And for the record, Mr. Speaker, then it is not your intent for the money to go to the CCIS, or Child Care Information Services, people who contract with the State. Is that correct?

Ms. MUNDY. Mr. Speaker, that appropriation is a completely different line item from the one that I am amending.

Mr. PETRI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The gentleman is in order on the amendment.

Mr. PETRI. I would encourage the members to support this amendment. Thank you.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

VOTE STRICKEN

The SPEAKER. The clerk will strike the vote.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

The SPEAKER. For what purpose does the gentleman from Westmoreland County, Mr. Evankovich, rise?

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman may state his inquiry.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. As the part owner of a privately owned child-care service, does the vote on this amendment present any type of conflict of interest?

The SPEAKER. In the opinion of the Chair, the gentleman is a part of a greater class, and therefore would not have a personal conflict and would be in order to vote on this amendment.

Mr. EVANKOVICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the amendment? Excuse me.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker returns to leaves of absence and recognizes the majority whip, who requests a leave of absence for the gentleman, Mr. MALONEY, from Berks County for the remainder of the day. Without objection, the leave will be granted.

CONSIDERATION OF SB 1466 CONTINUED

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—194

Adolph	Ellis	Knowles	Quinn
Aument	Emrick	Kortz	Rapp
Baker	Evankovich	Kotik	Ravenstahl
Barbin	Evans, D.	Krieger	Readshaw
Barrar	Evans, J.	Kula	Reed
Bear	Everett	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fabrizio	Longietti	Roae
Bishop	Farry	Mackenzie	Rock
Bloom	Fleck	Maher	Roebuck
Boyd	Frankel	Mahoney	Ross
Boyle, B.	Freeman	Mann	Sabatina
Boyle, K.	Gabler	Markosek	Saccone
Bradford	Galloway	Marshall	Sainato
Brennan	Geist	Marsico	Samuelson
Briggs	George	Masser	Santarsiero
Brooks	Gerber	Matzie	Santoni
Brown, R.	Gibbons	McGeehan	Saylor
Brown, V.	Gillen	Metcalfe	Scavello
Brownlee	Gillespie	Metzgar	Schmotzer
Burns	Gingrich	Miccarelli	Simmons

Buxton	Godshall	Micozzie	Smith, K.
Caltagirone	Goodman	Millard	Smith, M.
Carroll	Grell	Miller	Sonney
Causer	Grove	Milne	Staback
Christiana	Hahn	Mirabito	Stephens
Clymer	Haluska	Moul	Stern
Cohen	Hanna	Mullery	Stevenson
Conklin	Harhai	Mundy	Sturla
Costa, D.	Harhart	Murphy	Swanger
Costa, P.	Harkins	Murt	Tallman
Cox	Harper	Mustio	Taylor
Cruz	Harris	Myers	Thomas
Culver	Heffley	Neilson	Tobash
Curry	Helm	Neuman	Toepel
Cutler	Hennessey	O'Brien, M.	Toohil
Davidson	Hess	O'Neill	Truitt
Davis	Hickernell	Oberlander	Turzai
Day	Hornaman	Parker	Vereb
Dean	Hutchinson	Pashinski	Vitali
Deasy	James	Payne	Vulakovich
DeLissio	Josephs	Payton	Waters
Delozier	Kampf	Peifer	Watson
DeLuca	Kauffman	Perry	Wheatley
Denlinger	Kavulich	Petrarca	White
DePasquale	Keller, F.	Petri	Williams
Dermody	Keller, M.K.	Pickett	Youngblood
DiGirolamo	Keller, W.	Preston	
Donatucci	Killion	Pyle	Smith, S.,
Dunbar	Kirkland	Quigley	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—7

Boback	Daley	Hackett	Maloney
Creighton	Gergely	Major	

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. It is the Speaker's understanding that the other amendments have been withdrawn.

It is the understanding that the lady from Delaware County has withdrawn her amendments, but you would like to address that in an indirect way.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Delaware County, Mrs. Davidson.

Mrs. DAVIDSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I just wanted to say that I certainly appreciate the opportunity to work in a bipartisan fashion with members of both sides of the aisle, particularly members of the House from my own county, to make sure that we restore \$100 million back into the education budget. And since that has already been accomplished here today, I am going to withdraw my amendment to restore \$50 million.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Fayette County, Mrs. Kula.

The lady is in order.

Mrs. KULA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As we address this year's proposed State budget, I would like to share with you a real-world look at how this administration's drastic curtailment of education funding is impacting some school districts in my area.

Laurel Highlands School District. This school district faces a nearly \$3 million budget shortfall this year because of State cutbacks. The State subsidy is reduced \$2.3 million, nearly 15 percent since this administration took office. Last year the district was forced to raise taxes on homeowners while still letting 13 teaching jobs go unfilled. This year the district faces teacher layoffs, the end of extracurricular activities, the end of elective classes and programs, and after all that still may again have to raise taxes. One school board member says, "We are receiving the same funding level as 2008, yet...costs have risen for goods and services, gasoline, wages, and so forth. We cannot even keep up with inflation."

Connellsville Area School District faces a \$4.5 million budget deficit. The State subsidy is reduced \$4.1 million, nearly 13 percent since this administration took office. It also faces a 43-percent increase in retirement contributions. Using the maximum increase permitted by the Act 1 index can only bring in an additional \$320,000 in local revenue.

Uniontown Area School District. The State subsidy is reduced \$1.7 million, 10.5 percent since this administration took office. Tentative budget holds the line on taxes but calls for significant reduction of teachers, administrative staff, and other employees. It is canceling 100 percent of textbook purchases; canceling 100 percent of major equipment purchases, including computers; eliminating the middle school volleyball program and the entire wrestling program. In total, the six public school districts in Fayette County have lost \$13.2 million in State subsidy, about 12 percent since this administration took office 2 years ago.

In total, the 17 public schools in Westmoreland County have lost \$23 million in State subsidy, again about 12 percent.

Now let us examine the local impact on the safety net the State provides for the sick, the young, the poor, and the elderly. This year's State budget cuts \$84 million, or 10 percent, from county programs that help hundreds of thousands of people who need mental health, intellectual disability, drug and alcohol treatment, homeless assistance, and child welfare services. How does that trickle down in my district? Here is how. In Westmoreland County, MH/MR (mental health/mental retardation) service providers are looking at a \$1.3 million cut, 10 percent, in their contracts. The result, according to the county's director of financial administration, will be "service cuts across the board."

In Fayette County, the Human Services Development Fund, traditionally used for homeless support, aging services, drug and alcohol prevention, adult day-care services, and mental health, is proposed to be dumped into the HSDF block grant for fiscal year '12-'13, with a 20-percent cut.

I am also told that the Homeless Assistance Program, which provides rental or mortgage assistance and which funds shelters and transitional housing for the homeless, is an area where we need more, not less, State funding.

There are people behind these budget numbers being crunched today, and I believe we should continue to fight for them to ensure not only that their voices are heard in Harrisburg, but that their needs do not go unmet. That is why I urge that we vote "no" when we have the final vote on SB 1466.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Montgomery County, Mr. Briggs.

Mr. BRIGGS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

People are suffering. The education of our children is suffering. So what are Governor Corbett and the Senate doing to help ease the suffering in these tough economic times? They are hoarding hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars for a new Corbett slush fund.

It is during tough economic times that we should be investing in our people, investing in a stronger and brighter future for our State, investing in our children, investing in the promise that we take care of our elderly and people with disabilities and treat them with dignity. Yet SB 1466 leaves hundreds of millions of dollars on the table, money that Pennsylvania taxpayers already invested in their government so that their government can educate our children and provide a safety net for those that truly need it.

Partially restoring some of Governor Corbett's 2012-13 devastating budget cuts may sound good – it may make for a good sound bite – but it is a crushing blow to Pennsylvania students, senior citizens, and people with disabilities, because it does nothing, nothing to relieve the fiscal pressure the last GOP budget is putting on our schools, on our hospitals, on our nursing homes, and on our county governments. These so-called restorations are not even enough to restore programs and services to the level we rejected in the last budget last June, a budget that has failed our children and is failing those who rely on human services funding to live and stay safe.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Cambria County, Mr. Haluska.

Mr. HALUSKA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, when we look at this budget, once again the Governor has turned a blind eye toward our travel and tourism industry. Last year he funded a little over \$3 million. This year it is a little under \$3 million, right around \$3 million, to market our whole State for a year. That means we would not even be able to buy a 30-second commercial in the Super Bowl, even if we pooled all our money together that we are going to market the State for a whole year.

Tourism and travel is our second leading industry in Pennsylvania, and I am afraid in a few years it is not going to be one of our leading industries. If people quit coming, their dollars will not come here either, so we need to market Pennsylvania. We need to make Pennsylvania a presence outside the State, to get people from other States to come here to see all the things that we have in Pennsylvania.

So I really look at the administration to relook at the marketing budget that they have for Pennsylvania in DCED, and they have to basically understand that if we do not market

Pennsylvania, we will slowly but surely starve our tourism and trade industry in Pennsylvania.

So therefore, I really hope that we can revisit that and actually get some dollars to market Pennsylvania in this budget. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. DeLuca.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I did not offer any amendments to this budget, but one of the things that really concerns me in SB 1466, and to highlight the cuts being made, is home health-care service providers as this Governor has proposed in this budget.

As many as of us have been reading in the papers, the rate cuts will begin in the near future, and some of our home-care providers will not survive this economic blow. These rate cuts to providers, which are as much as 20 percent below the current levels, according to the Allegheny County Department of Human Services, mean much lower salaries for home-care workers. And let me state, Mr. Speaker, right now they are only making about \$10 an hour. From what my understanding is, they will go down to minimum wage, and these individuals will not have the continuity of workers because these individuals will not be able to stay in their positions to take care of these elderly people.

Mr. Speaker, I want to be very clear in pointing out that the people who receive these services at the hands of home-care providers are some of our most vulnerable and frail individuals in our State, but I also want to point out that these people are our parents, our grandparents, our aunts, our uncles, brothers and sisters, and also our children. Currently home-care providers help keep these individuals in their homes at a cost to the Commonwealth of approximately half the cost of nursing home care, but with the Governor's proposed cuts in the budget bill, western Pennsylvania will see some of the largest cuts to our home-care provider network. Other areas of the State will also see cuts, but hopefully not as deep as the cuts targeted to western Pennsylvania.

Caretakers, who already have one of the toughest jobs in this State, may leave this field for equal or better income instead of staying on and knowing that their take-home pay is about to be decreased. The population these people care for is already frail, and a disruption of services or change of caretaker is the last thing that this population needs.

On May 31, 2012, the Corbett administration announced their new transparency portal at DPW (Department of Public Welfare). They describe the portal as a place to review rates and other data. Now, what I find, Mr. Speaker, the most difficult to understand about these cuts is the lack of information provided to home-care providers by this administration. To date the administration has not released its ratesetting formula, so providers and the area agencies on aging cannot determine how rates were established. Transparency has been totally absent from this process. Ultimately, Mr. Speaker, these rate reductions will lead to small business closures, loss of jobs, and an increase in nursing home admissions, and ultimately, Mr. Speaker, rising costs to the State and to our taxpayers in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So as this administration talks about spending less, what they are really doing is taking us backward and on a road to spend more. Pennsylvania has made great strides in offering our

seniors and individuals with disabilities alternatives to nursing homes.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman's time has suspended. The gentleman's time has expired.

Mr. DeLUCA. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I did not realize I was on the clock. Thank you very much. I appreciate that.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Frankel.

Mr. FRANKEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The predicament we find ourselves in with this budget is really unnecessary. As we face another budget that will perpetuate draconian cuts to public education, to higher education, to human services, we find ourselves in a predicament that, as I said, was not necessary. We have missed opportunities. We have missed opportunities to find revenue. We talked about one earlier today using the Independent Fiscal Office's revenue estimates, an additional \$300 million. But earlier this year we missed other opportunities, opportunities to tax the extraction of natural gas in our State, opportunities that make so much sense to so many Pennsylvanians. We missed an opportunity to say to our businesses that you should share in the difficulties we have and postpone, postpone a \$275 million tax cut to the capital stock and franchise tax, postpone it to a year and restore these funds to public education and human services instead of transferring responsibility and raising property taxes and raising local taxes in our communities so that people are going to not only be hurting from losing these services but also from higher costs at the local level, because what we are doing, quite clearly, is transferring those costs, and we talked about that last year. Last year we talked about the consequences for local governments and the higher property taxes that they were going to be facing, and guess what? It happened. It is unnecessary.

So we have missed an opportunity here, and we have misplaced our priorities in this budget that we are passing today. It is unnecessary and we ought to revisit it. And I hope as we get further into these discussions that we get to the table and have a talk about what we should really be doing to preserve the essential elements of a quality of life in Pennsylvania that is at risk and in danger of being dismantled.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Mike O'Brien.

Mr. O'BRIEN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

An interesting question before the House, the general budget, and we would say that this is a budget with no tax increase. In a Jesuitical sense, that is certainly true, but in fact it is not. In fact, what we do is kick a tax burden down the road to local government, to the municipalities.

You know, we could take our time. We could wait a little bit. We could see what the new month's revenues are. We could take a step back on the capital stock and franchise tax and phase it out and put another \$295.7 million on the table, but we really choose not to do that. We choose to take the advice of His Excellency, Tom Corporate, and close our eyes, and close our eyes. We close our eyes to the ever-increasing amount of municipalities who raised their property taxes. We close our

eyes. We close our eyes to the 200,000 people who were taken off Medicaid and increasing the expense to local hospitals and local communities. We close our eyes. We close our eyes to the 42,000 people who were taken off of adultBasic. Somebody pays for this, Mr. Speaker; not us, not us, but our local governments do. We close our eyes to the concept of taking a federally funded food stamp program and taking it away, literally taking people's food out of people's mouths. We close our eyes. But I have to tell you, Mr. Speaker, when the tax bills come in the localities, when the insurance premiums go up, when there is a lack of basic services, that is going to be an eye-opener, Mr. Speaker; that is going to be an eye-opener.

I do not see where we really have any choice but to vote "no," take a step back, wait to see the revenues, and do this right, as opposed to standing here in our self-righteousness that we have held the line on taxes. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. Brownlee.

Ms. BROWNLEE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I, quite frankly, do not know where to focus my remarks today. There is not a single aspect of this budget that I like. This budget fails every person that I took office to protect. Children, seniors, the disabled, the poor, working families, all are under the budget ax in this plan.

Basic education has been cut by \$1 billion in the last 2 years. I think every person in Pennsylvania can tell you where that thinking got us: bigger class sizes, higher property taxes, and teacher layoffs. School districts across the State are making headlines because they do not know how they are going to continue to operate. I never thought I would be before you today wondering if Philadelphia schools would be able to open their doors in September, and this budget continues us on that destructive path.

To the supporters of this plan, I want you to know you can find millions of dollars for a voter fraud problem that does not exist but shortchange basic education by more than \$1 billion. I do not know how that can happen. Those are our children; they are our grandchildren.

You gave out nearly \$3 million in tax breaks for big business like Wal-Mart and essentially told the kids to empty their piggy banks to pay for them. You will not ask the billion-dollar natural gas industry to pay a severance tax, but you will ask kids to pay up with larger class sizes, obsolete textbooks, kindergarten cuts, and other basic programs.

And let us talk about the 200 school districts that have already received permission from DEP to raise property taxes at the local level. How will you explain that to the seniors and working families that are being evicted from their homes because they cannot afford the property tax increases to make up for State cuts?

If you are aspiring to college, you might be rethinking your plan. This budget maintains the 18-percent cut State System of Higher Education and State-related universities imposed in last year's budget. That is more than \$210 million. More tuition increases are already being reported throughout the State, and student grants and loans will become harder to acquire in the upcoming year. Why? Because this chamber is going to cut PHEAA grants an additional \$11 million from last year's budget. That is more than \$18.4 million in cuts in 2 years.

But the people who pay most dearly in this budget are the most vulnerable people in this Commonwealth, and many of them reside in Philadelphia. These are the people who do not have lobbyists, special interest groups, or private donors to go to bat for them. Seniors who require nursing home care, children who are neglected and need protection, adults with developmental disabilities seeking independence – these are hardly the images conjured up when opponents preach intolerance for alleged wasteful welfare spending.

Let me just highlight a few cuts: \$170 million for health-care services, dropping 35,000 chronically ill adults from medical assistance; \$84.2 million for county human services programs that fund county child welfare services, intellectual disability services, and homeless assistance; \$20.4 million for home- and community-based programs for people with severe disabilities; \$14.9 million for the Attendant Care Program for people with physical disabilities; \$8.7 million for child-care services. It also—

The SPEAKER. The lady's time has expired.

Ms. BROWNLEE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Kortz.

Mr. KORTZ. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

As this House engages in a debate on this budget bill, it is important to recognize and address the distressed schools in this State, of which there are many. These children deserve a good education, and constitutionally we have to provide that, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the Senate has added \$50 million into the budget, recognizing that we have to take care of these children, and I thank them for that and we must be good stewards of that money and do the right thing.

Closer to home the Duquesne School District falls into this distressed status. As you may remember, several years ago the high school was shut down and 240 children were sent to two other school districts – the West Mifflin School District and East Allegheny. At that time there was so much money put to it, there was about \$9800 per student, which was well below what it cost these schools, and I did have some amendments to try to address that, although we will not go back over that.

The problem is that the special ed students, of which there are 61 of them, it costs a tremendous amount of money to these receiving school districts to educate these children in a special education status. The school districts take a hit of about \$12,000 per student on the special ed. It is about an \$800,000 hit, and that is unfair to those schools. It is unfair to West Mifflin; it is unfair to East Allegheny; it is unfair to the Duquesne kids.

Now, currently, Mr. Speaker, the Department of Education unilaterally is getting ready to send the seventh and eighth graders from Duquesne to these schools so that they can get a good education. I understand that. I guess what I am trying to get at is, we must make sure that we fund these schools correctly and help these kids get a good education and not strap the receiving school districts with the additional cost. Mr. Speaker, they deserve a good education; that is the bottom line, and we cannot shortchange these students and we cannot shortchange these school districts from our constitutional mandate of providing that education.

Mr. Speaker, I would also like to touch on one other point. We also need to address ACCESS funding in transit for the disabled in Allegheny County. As you are fully aware, there is a shortfall in the budget for the Port Authority transit, and a direct hit is going to be put on the people in Allegheny County, the ridership. But one group in particular is going to be very much handicapped in this, and it is going to be the PWD (persons with disabilities) people. The disability people will not have the ability to get around. They will not be able to get to their doctors' appointments; they will not be able to get to the pharmacy, to the food store, to where they have to go to live a quality life. These people are going to be stranded if we do not fund that. It is going to take about \$5 million, and we have to get the money to do this. We cannot strand these people and strand them in their homes, unable to get to where they need to get to. These are some of the most vulnerable citizens in our society, and I want to congratulate my good friend on the other side of the aisle from Allegheny County who is working on a House bill to try to address that.

You see, the disabled; the children in our schools, the students; and our seniors are our most vulnerable citizens. It is imperative that we do the right thing here in this House, in this General Assembly, to take care of those three groups that cannot help themselves.

So as we go forward in this budget, Mr. Speaker, I would ask that everybody keep those groups in mind as we try to forge a good budget. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman, Mr. Matt Smith, from Allegheny County. The gentleman waives off. The Speaker thanks the gentleman.

The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Northampton County, Mr. Samuelson.

Mr. SAMUELSON. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I urge a "no" vote on the budget, the proposed budget that is before us today. This afternoon there were a few amendments that made some small improvements on the Senate budget, but these amendments did not go far enough. We have much more work to do, and this budget should be rejected in its current form.

I do want to point out the amendment that was proposed and adopted, proposed by Representative George, which added \$6.5 million to public library funding, taking public library funding back to where it was 3 years ago to the \$60 million level that existed in the '09-'10 budget; let us hope that that survives the budget negotiations, because that is an improvement to the budget that the Senate sent over to us.

In accountability grants, \$50 million was added to the line item that was sent over from the Senate, which would bring the total to \$100 million. Well, I realize that this is better than the zero for accountability grants that Governor Corbett proposed and the \$50 million for accountability grants that the Senate voted. The \$100 million that is before us in this budget as of now is still 61 percent less than the \$254 million that this line item had 2 years ago, and as you know, this is flexible funding that is used in our communities, in our schools to provide everything from preschool programs to after-school programs. One hundred million dollars is not enough, and we had other

amendments that could have been adopted – one was blocked by the majority – that could move us back in the positive direction.

Basic education funding is pretty much very close to last year, other than \$26 million earmarked for distressed school districts, but you have to remember, last year was a devastating year for public education. The budget that was adopted by this House last June cut \$900 million for K-to-12 education across several different line items. There were cuts to basic education; there were cuts to accountability grants; there were cuts to charter school reimbursements. In totality, a \$900 million loss to the schools in our communities. Now, I know a part of that was the stimulus money, \$654 million, but the rest was a reduction in State funding that had been approved the previous June.

So this budget that is before us today, other than that \$26 million for distressed school districts, does not begin to address the devastating cuts that passed last year; it does not begin to reverse those \$900 million in cuts, and we should address that issue. We all know that those cuts led to increases in taxes in our communities, program cuts, positions being eliminated, and reduced opportunities for our students. So that is an important task that this legislature should address.

I would like to close by pointing out that the budget before us today at this moment cuts 10 percent from county human services programs. Now, the Governor started with a 20-percent cut. These are cuts to programs for people with mental health issues, people with intellectual disabilities, the homeless, our children and youth programs, flexible funding that is used for things like Meals on Wheels in my community, and the Governor of Pennsylvania decided that those programs should be a 20-percent reduction, and also, all those programs should be lumped together so the counties could sort out those reductions. Well, the Senate changed that to a 10-percent cut, but I want to point out that a loss of \$84 million, a 10-percent cut, is still the deepest cut to county human services programs in decades. You know this because you have been hearing from your county commissioners. County commissioners of both parties have been contacting the legislature saying this proposal that the Governor sent, even the proposal that the Senate sent, would leave counties with impossible choices, pitting one program against the other. Should we fund mental health services this year? Should we fund programs to people with intellectual disabilities? We do not have to make those terrible cuts because we had an amendment today that would have fully restored the funding.

So I think that we should reject this budget today, continue to work on this, find ways to address the educational challenges that confront us, and also, we should say no to a 10-percent cut in county human services programs.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Montgomery County, Mrs. Dean. The lady waives off. The Speaker thanks the lady.

The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Waters.

Mr. WATERS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I have sat and I listened to people who care about education who have gotten up to speak. I listened to people who care about basic education who have gotten up to speak. I listened to people who care about quality of life and senior citizens as they have aged and now want to live the rest of their lives in peace and harmony and be able to survive even in a tough economy. And I listened to the people who have gotten up to speak about the other revenue that we did not go after, the other revenue that could have perhaps made these gaps not be as big and as broad as they have become because we as stewards of taxpayers' dollars have decided that we would much rather tell people to do with less. We would much rather tell them that we are not going to go after big corporations and ask them to pay their fair share. So instead, we want to tell the students that this General Assembly has not provided the resources that you need to have in order to guarantee that you have the kind of education that will allow you and hopefully make your chances of competing in the global economy better. Instead, we decided that we did not – fortunately, I am happy that we did not increase funding for the Department of Corrections – but we did not decrease funding for the Department of Corrections. So as we did not increase it, we also, like we cut other programs, we did not find any room to cut anything out of that budget.

I hope that the people of Pennsylvania are watching as we are working up here in the General Assembly so maybe they could give their opinion on the kind of work that we are doing.

I am a champion for the people. I am a champion for the 12 1/2 to 13 million Pennsylvanians who are a part of this Commonwealth. I believe that they sent us up here to provide good government and to help people who, through no fault of their own, are down on their luck, as we have one of the model programs that the nation has adopted, the HEMAP (Homeowners' Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program), where it helps people who have been, many people who, through no fault of their own, are about to become homeless. We had a great program, but we decided – not we, all of us – but some of us have decided to zero out and do not fund that program and to allow those people to go on their own when we can help them, when we can help them.

And I know that when we ran for office, I will guarantee, I would like for one member to stand up and tell me or tell the voters out there that when they ran for office that their platform was that they are going up to Harrisburg to represent corporate America. I would like to have anybody stand up and tell me and show me their campaign literature that said that that is exactly what they are going to do. They are not going to go up there and stand up for the people and make sure that Pennsylvanians get a fair shake and a break. I want them to tell me that they did not, when they ran for office, that they did not say that they would care about all Pennsylvanians regardless, especially people in their district; that they would take care of their school districts in their areas; that they would take care of the senior citizens in their areas. I would like for somebody to tell me that they stood up and said, "Vote for me, because I want to represent corporate America. I want to make sure that they get the tax breaks and I want to make sure that you have to do without."

So that is exactly what this budget that we are talking about here in 1466 is going to do, especially when the amendments that so many of us tried to offer have been rejected or ruled out of order. They are not out of order; this budget is out of order. This budget is what is out of order. We can do better and we should do better. The taxpayers of this Commonwealth expected us to do better, but since you decided that you do not care about the message that we are sending out of this General Assembly by voting in favor of SB 1466, then you go back and tell the people, "Even though I did not say I was going to represent corporate America, I voted for a budget that does exactly that."

Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Bucks County, Mrs. Davis. The lady indicates she is waiving off. The Speaker thanks the lady.

The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Delaware County, Mrs. Davidson. The lady indicates she waives off. The Speaker thanks the lady.

The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Allegheny County, Mr. Schmotzer.

Mr. SCHMOTZER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to say I want to talk about education in relationship to this bill, and I am a fortunate person. I come from a school district that because of the Rendell administration and the commitment to the public funding he did for education was able to give three consecutive tax decreases, school property tax decreases. Last year there was no tax decrease but no tax increase, and this year will be the same. So we will be a school district for 5 years in a row that did not raise taxes.

Mr. Speaker, the problem with this budget, SB 1466, is that it gets it all backwards when it comes to education and other key priorities. As a former three-term school board member, I want to focus on the education part of the budget. Last year the Governor and this legislature cut more than \$1 billion from K-through-12 education, so this year the school districts are starting in a \$1 billion hole. But this year most of the public debate has not focused on trying to get our schools out of that deep hole. Too much of the media coverage is centered on an argument between Republicans, whether or not to go with part of the Governor's proposed \$100 million cut to the accountability block grant, which has since been restored.

When our schools are down \$1 billion, do not call it a restoration if all you do is not dig a hole deeper. We can do better than that for our kids. We can do better than that for our employers who need an educated workforce.

I know Republicans say that a \$1 billion tax cut to education was because the Federal stimulus money had ended, but they replaced the Federal stimulus money for corrections. So what we do today is we fund our young people to fail but not to succeed. Well, what kind of backwards thinking is that?

The Corbett administration is sitting on an estimated \$300 million, and the weak Marcellus Shale law passed earlier this year has cost Pennsylvania \$350 million and counting in lost and missed revenues. We need to lift our schools out of last year's budget hole, and we need to prevent another round of trickle-down tax hikes. The vast majority of school districts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are going to raise school

taxes this year. So how are the legislators in this body going to explain to their constituents that it is because of them that these taxes were raised? The surplus and the shale giveaway are two good places to start looking for needed revenue.

Until and unless this budget is fixed, I am voting "no," and I urge all the members to do so.

And as a side note, yesterday I attended the Human Services Committee, and a tremendously disturbing fact was brought out. Sixteen thousand people are on a waiting list for the intellectual disabilities people to get services; 16,000 people, 16,000 families, and 16,000 extended families suffer because they cannot even get the care and the services they need. This is not what we are supposed to be here for. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Lackawanna County, Mr. Kavulich.

Mr. KAVULICH. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in opposition of SB 1466, a budget that fails to care for many of our most vulnerable citizens, including senior citizens and people with physical and intellectual disabilities. SB 1466 cuts over \$84 million, or 10 percent, in funding for county human service programs that currently serve hundreds of thousands of people seeking mental health services, community intellectual disability program services, drug and alcohol treatment services, homeless assistance, and county child welfare services. Lackawanna, Luzerne, Susquehanna, and Wyoming Counties stand to see over \$3 million in reductions in funding to these programs. The region's intellectual disability programs alone have been cut by nearly \$1 million.

I am particularly concerned about cuts made under the Department of Public Welfare that will affect those with disabilities and cost the Commonwealth Federal funding. Divestment of \$9.3 million for the home- and community-based waiver programs for people with severe physical disabilities will cost the State over \$11 million in Federal funds. That is a total cost of over \$20 million to people with severe physical disabilities.

An additional cut of \$6.8 million at the State level for the Attendant Care Program for people with physical disabilities will cost us over \$8 million in Federal funding, an additional cost of nearly \$15 million.

These cuts are detrimental to those who are seeking independence and simply want to be a part of the community in which they reside. They stand to force many people out of their homes. In the best-case scenario, if they can afford to do so, these people may move to assisted living communities, but what about those who cannot afford to do so? They may be forced to move in with family or friends, and then there are those who do not have family close by. They may be forced to live on the street and then it becomes the concern of the State once again.

The bottom line is, these cuts are unnecessary. There are hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars available in the newly forming Corbett slush fund, and while there is great potential for financial relief through a fair severance tax on the Marcellus Shale industry, they got a free pass. How is it we can afford to give big business a break but cannot give the needy people in Pennsylvania a break?

I ask that we do not support a budget that does not support those constituents of ours who need it most. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. Bishop.

Ms. BISHOP. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I wanted to take a few minutes to talk about the budget, and I want to begin by simply asking a couple of questions, and that would be, how much do we value our children? Do we value them enough to allow them to go hungry? Do we value them enough to let them go and be abused? Do we value them enough to allow their lives to be turned over to a life of crime?

After reviewing the State budget, after looking at the drastic cuts, the drastic cuts to children and youth programs, it is quite obvious that we are devaluing the worth of our children in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Why does not the administration promote the positive effect and the good work that comes out of children and youth programs instead of cutting children's programs without any idea of how they plan to work and to help them?

Human service programs are often the first portion the budget cuts; that is where it decreases, and yet the caseworkers in the counties all across Pennsylvania are the only defense some of these poor children have against child abuse.

According to the National Association for the Education of Young Children, early childhood professionals and programs play a very important role in preventing, not just reporting, but in preventing child abuse and neglect. We can give tax breaks to businesses and we can hand over tax-reduced land practically free of charge for Marcellus Shale drilling, but we cannot continue to fund essential programs for our children in order to promote wholeness for them. We are chronically underfunding programs, Mr. Speaker, and yet the administration expects county human service offices to provide the same quality level of service for our children without money.

If this was a class, it would be called Basic Humanity 101. We are an interdependent society and we must share the responsibility, all of us, for this budget.

A few years ago we heard the administration touting the upgrading of children's core services and how important they were to the well-being of the family unit and how it kept them whole. Now those plans are being sidelined.

What is more critical, adding \$1 million more to our bottom line or to the safety of our children? Each dollar of that \$1 million spent on preventative programs and services for children will return back to us and to them hundreds and thousands of dollars in cost savings in the long run. More importantly, they would prevent problems later on in life that so many children of abuse and neglect experience. Certainly it would cut down on our prison population and the vast amount of money we spend there if all of us had a common bond to care for our children, all of Pennsylvania's children – children that are on the right side, children that are on the left side; children that are Black, children that are Hispanic, children that are White. When they cry, they do not cry tears that are Black and White and they do not cry tears that are Republican or Democrat; they cry tears of need, tears of hurt, tears of neglect, tears of abuse, tears of uneducated, tears of having no one really care about them.

So in closing, I would like to say to this House, do you not think it is time that we invest in the next generation and try to do for them what those generations ahead of us did for us?

I hope and I pray as we balance the budget, we balance it and put more money in there to save our children, because that is the bottom line. You and I and the rest of us will go—

The SPEAKER. The lady's time is suspended.

Ms. BISHOP. —but unless we protect them—

The SPEAKER. The lady's time is suspended.

Ms. BISHOP. —they will, too. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the lady from Philadelphia County, Ms. DeLissio.

Ms. DeLISSIO. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I agree that a balanced budget is important. I agree that we need to live within our means. But I would also like to share that my frustration comes from the fact that a budget is made up of both expenses and revenue, and we have not evaluated or exhausted all the revenue opportunities. And we have heard any number of those revenue opportunities articulated here today, but there are just two in particular that I would like to focus on. One is the vendor discount for sales tax, which really strikes me as interesting, given that these same businesses collect payroll taxes, remit them to local, State, and Federal government entities, and there is no discount involved. So somewhere over decades and decades this myth of this vendor discount has come about and it needs to be gone; it needs to be done.

The other example I would like to use is smokeless tobacco, because that does not make any sense to me. We tax cigarettes. Smokeless tobacco is as harmful to health as any type of smoked tobacco, yet we refuse to extract a tax from that product.

Now, each of these line items would produce millions of dollars, not hundreds of millions, but just millions of dollars, but those millions of dollars could be very important to make a difference to one business sector in particular. And over the last year or so I have observed this one business sector in the Commonwealth is almost under attack, and that business sector is organizations and agencies who provide a variety of facility- and community-based human services. These are businesses. Some of them are incorporated as for-profits, some as not-for-profits, and I do not really see any other business sector in the Commonwealth that is under the same type of pressure or attack as we have put this business sector under, not only with last year's budget but the proposed budget for this year as well. This business sector employs a lot of our citizens, a lot of our constituents, and even more importantly, provides very necessary services to many other of our constituents and citizens.

The budget as it is currently proposed is going to force a lot of these organizations and agencies to make very necessary and businesslike decisions, which will see them either limiting or eliminating services from the most vulnerable citizens that are here in the Commonwealth, and that is very much a concern. The budget line items that support these services are not nearly fully funded, and in fact, over many years we have chosen to ignore all of those that are on this infamous waiting list as well, even though it was very good to hear yesterday that the gentleman from ODP (Office of Developmental Programs), Mr. Friel, had indicated that the waiting list is a priority of this administration, and I will be very anxious to see how that translates into some type of action.

As the budget stands currently, I oppose the budget because it not only potentially limits, but it could very well eliminate the services that are needed for not only my constituents but yours as well.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Centre County, Mr. Conklin.

Mr. CONKLIN. I would like to thank the Speaker for allowing me to get up.

A lot of folks have a lot of different reasons why they oppose the budget. I am going to ask my colleagues to oppose the budget because look what we are doing to the State of Pennsylvania. Where are our priorities? Ask the Governor where his priorities are.

We all sat through those Appropriations hearings. I am sure every member in this body sat on the edge of their seat every day we had an Appropriations meeting just listening to the testifiers that came in. I am sure they remember the State Police. I am sure my colleagues remember the State Police, the ones that keep us safe, the ones that came in and said because they are not having any cadet classes they are going to be over 1,000 troopers short by the end of next year – 1,000 short. I am sure my colleagues sat there in rural Pennsylvania and heard about how their State Police barracks were going to close. I am sure they listened to the State Police when they said, we are going to close your rural State Police barracks.

Now, let us get it straight. We have already gotten rid of our local police departments because the State and the Federal governments do not fund back to the counties and the municipalities to give them money to be able to operate, so they had to cut back, and police protection was one of the cutbacks. So if you live in the little community in rural Pennsylvania, you are well aware, as I am, that your protection comes from the State Police. And I look at this budget. I listened to the Governor, and I hear no money being put in to protect our citizens; 1,000 troopers short. If you are in Ephrata, you are in danger of losing your State Police barracks. If you are in the little town of Philipsburg, where I come from, you are in danger of losing your State Police barracks, not because they are not needed, but because we do not want to fund them.

If you have a bridge within your county, most likely it is going to be closed soon because our priority is Grover Norquist. We made a priority that dilapidated bridges in little communities are not important to us in this budget, because we are doing nothing for transportation – nothing. We talk about a private partnership with government partnership, Mr. Speaker, time for the government to step up to the plate, but we have decided not to do that.

We have decided to cut funding for education to get rid of full-day kindergarten. The folks in this room before the new wave came in remember we went to full-day kindergarten. We voted for it almost unanimously. We were proud of ourselves. We went back home and we told our constituents, look, we know that education is important. We spent years before that increasing the funding to where Pennsylvania became a leader in the nation, with our test scores improving, but now we say, do you know what? Let us look at this budget. Last year we cut \$1 billion. This year you know we will cut back a little bit more

for certain aspects of it. We will let counties and school districts get rid of full-day kindergarten. So I guess education is not a priority to us.

Public safety is not a priority to us. Infrastructure to create business is not a priority to us. Well, wait a minute. Maybe taking care of our senior citizens in their homes is a priority. Maybe we have decided that, yeah, we are going to increase funding for our parents and our grandparents for in-home care, but, no, we cut that. So that cannot be a priority to us.

How about our most indigent individuals or special needs? Do you know what? I looked at the budget and I thought for sure we were going to take care of those neediest among us – our special needs, our handicapped. Do you know what? We had a great idea. When those with special needs came to the Capitol, do you know how we treated the folks who were here? We shut down the elevators. We made sure that those with special needs who cannot walk up the steps could not get to talk to us. So evidently, the special needs are not our priority.

Mr. Speaker, what I am trying to tell you is, let us make our priority the citizens of Pennsylvania. Let us look at the security. Let us look at the roads and bridges. Let us look at the schools. Let us look at those folks with disabilities.

Do you know what? Let us just vote "no" to this budget. Let us send it back in. Let us use the surplus that our chairman of Appropriations on the minority side, the Democratic side, wanted to put in, and let us make our priority the people of Pennsylvania and not do a budget that will raise their local property taxes and hurt our most vulnerable citizens. Let us protect everybody.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The question is, will the House agree to the bill?

On that question, the Speaker recognizes the gentleman from Philadelphia County, Mr. Williams.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to this bill. Specifically, I rise to speak in opposition to the unnecessary and painful cuts to education funding contained in this budget.

Over the past 2 years basic education in Pennsylvania has been cut to the tune of \$1 billion. In Philadelphia alone these cuts amount to \$1,418 per student. This is the second highest per-student cut in the State. The cuts to Philadelphia schools follow a troubling trend to underfund our poorest and most vulnerable school districts. The cuts leave schools in Philadelphia and across Pennsylvania wondering how they will maintain basic operations. The cuts have led to larger class sizes, teacher furloughs, and property tax increases. These cuts mean less children participating in early childhood learning, which is known to have the largest effects on high-risk, traditionally underserved children during the most important cognitive and social developmental period of their lives. Basically, these cuts mean less extracurricular activities for at-risk youth; no sports, no music, no art programs, no theater, or clubs all translate to more kids unsupervised on the streets.

These cuts mean we are failing our youth. These cuts mean we are failing our economy. These cuts mean we are failing our taxpayers. I urge you to vote "no" on this budget. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill as amended will be reprinted.)

The SPEAKER. For the information of the members, there will be no further votes.

SENATE MESSAGE

HOUSE BILL CONCURRED IN BY SENATE

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 10, PN 1957**, with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendment.

BILL SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bill numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the title was publicly read as follows:

HB 10, PN 1957

An Act amending the act of April 6, 1956 (1955 P.L.1414, No.465), known as the Second Class County Port Authority Act, further providing for title, for legislative findings, for definitions, for port authorities, for board, for eminent domain, for conveyance and for integrated operation; providing for exclusive jurisdiction and for report; and making editorial changes.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 645;
HB 2135;
HB 2366;
HB 2371;
HB 2372;
HB 2373;
HB 2374;
HB 2375;
SB 388;
SB 1049;
SB 1351; and
SB 1406.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILL RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that SB 1466 be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations for a fiscal note.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The Speaker recognizes the majority leader, who moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 2359;
SB 367; and
SB 1150.

On the question,
Will the House agree to the motion?
Motion was agreed to.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

The SPEAKER. The clerk will read the following supplemental report from the Committee on Committees.

The following report was read:

Committee on Committees Supplemental Report

In the House of Representatives
June 5, 2012

RESOLVED, That

Representative Tarah Toohil, Luzerne County, is elected as a member of the Children and Youth Committee.

Respectfully submitted,
Richard A. Geist, Chairman
Committee on Committees

On the question,
Will the House adopt the resolution?
Resolution was adopted.

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Seeing no further business before the House, the Speaker recognizes the lady, Ms. Toohil, from Luzerne County, who moves that this House do adjourn until Wednesday, June 6, 2012, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

Motion was agreed to, and at 6:39 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.