

# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

## LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 2020

SESSION OF 2020

204TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 23

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The House convened at 11 a.m., e.d.t.

**THE SPEAKER (MIKE TURZAI)  
PRESIDING**

#### PRAYER

HON. MIKE TURZAI, Speaker of the House of Representatives, offered the following prayer:

Today's prayer is a prayer that was offered by the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. This was given in the summer of 1953 in Atlanta, Georgia. This prayer was found from a collection of prayers that he prepared for radio broadcast, coming from or emanating from the Ebenezer Baptist Church.

We begin: "Our loving Father, from Thy hand have come all the days of the past. To Thee we look for whatever good the future holds. We are not satisfied with the world as we have found it. It is too little the kingdom of God as yet.... We are looking for a new earth in which dwells righteousness. It is our prayer that we may be children of light, the kind of people for whose coming and ministry the world is waiting. Amen."

#### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by members and visitors.)

#### JOURNAL APPROVAL POSTPONED

The SPEAKER. Without objection, the approval of the Journal of Tuesday, April 28, 2020, will be postponed until printed.

#### BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE, CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED

**HB 2065, PN 3663** (Amended) By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending Title 74 (Transportation) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in public-private transportation partnerships, further providing for definitions and for duties of board.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2319, PN 3664** (Amended) By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in registration of vehicles, further providing for return of registration plate, for person with disability plate and placard and for special plates for recipients of Purple Heart; and, in rules of the road in general, further providing for additional parking regulations.

TRANSPORTATION.

**HB 2433, PN 3665** (Amended) By Rep. HENNESSEY

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for emergency COVID-19 provisions.

TRANSPORTATION.

#### HOUSE RESOLUTION INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 856** By Representatives DIAMOND, RYAN, ROWE, LEWIS, DUSH, JAMES, COOK and GROVE

A Resolution amending House Rule 59, further providing for lay on the table.

Referred to Committee on RULES, April 29, 2020.

#### HOUSE BILL INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

**No. 2463** By Representatives GROVE, ROAE, RYAN, PICKETT, KAUFFMAN, STAATS, OWLETT, ROTHMAN, M. K. KELLER, O'NEAL, BARRAR, MACKENZIE, ZIMMERMAN, BERNSTINE, MOUL, JOZWIAK, DUSH, GLEIM and KEEFER

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for access to public records during disaster declaration.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 29, 2020.

#### STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. At this time we will be looking for committee announcements. The chair of the State Government Committee, Chairman Garth Everett, of Lycoming County, is recognized for a committee announcement.

Mr. EVERETT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The State House Government Committee will meet at 11:30 in 60 East Wing to consider HB 2431 and HB 2463, and any other business that may come before the committee. That is 11:30 in 60 East Wing, and I encourage members to make sure that they have their proxies or designations in, as appropriate, if they do not plan to attend the meeting.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The State House Government Committee will meet at 11:30 in 60 East Wing.

### APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The majority Appropriations chair, Chairman Stan Saylor, for an Appropriations Committee announcement.

Mr. SAYLOR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room immediately.

The SPEAKER. The Appropriations Committee will meet in the majority caucus room immediately.

### REPUBLICAN CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. We are going to be doing caucus announcements.

The majority caucus chair, Marcy Toepel, of Montgomery County, for a Republican caucus announcement.

Mrs. TOEPEL. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Republicans will caucus virtually at 12:30. We would be prepared to return to the floor at 1:30. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Madam Chair.

### DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

The SPEAKER. The minority leader, Frank Dermody, of Allegheny County, will be announcing the Democratic caucus.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the Democrats will also caucus at 12:30; 12:30.

The SPEAKER. Thank you very much.

### RECESS

The SPEAKER. At this time the House will stand in recess. We intend to be back on the floor at 1:30 p.m.

### RECESS EXTENDED

The time of recess was extended until 1:45 p.m.

### AFTER RECESS

The time of recess having expired, the House was called to order.

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER. There are no leaves of absence requested.

Representative Zach MAKO is on military leave serving our country with the Army National Guard abroad.

### MASTER ROLL CALL

The SPEAKER. Please vote on the master roll.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The master roll call is correct on the electronic voting board.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### PRESENT—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappay
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

ADDITIONS—0

NOT VOTING—0

## EXCUSED—1

Mako

The SPEAKER. There are 202 "present" votes, so we have a quorum.

**BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE****HB 327, PN 3658** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in licenses and regulations, liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, providing for prepared beverages and mixed drinks for off-premises consumption during disaster emergency.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2206, PN 3600** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in administrative organization, further providing for executive officers, administrative departments and independent administrative boards and commissions, for departmental administrative boards, commissions and offices, for department heads and for gubernatorial appointments; in organization of departmental administrative boards and commissions and of advisory boards and commissions, further providing for board to license private bankers; in Commonwealth agency fees, further providing for Department of Banking and for Pennsylvania Securities Commission; and, in powers and duties of the Department of Banking and its departmental administrative board and commission, further providing for powers and duties in general, for banking supervisory powers and for banking laws; repealing provisions relating to powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Securities Commission; and making editorial changes.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2251, PN 3233** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Revenue, repealing provisions relating to vehicle and tractor codes.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2370, PN 3659** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 57 (Notaries Public) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Uniform Unsworn Foreign Declarations Act, further providing for heading of chapter, for short title of chapter, for definitions, for applicability and for form of unsworn declaration; and, in Revised Uniform Law on Notarial Acts, further providing for authority to perform notarial act, providing for notarial act performed by remotely located individual and further providing for notification regarding performance of notarial act on electronic record and selection of technology.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**SB 67, PN 60** By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to join the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact; providing for the form of the compact; imposing additional powers and duties on the Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth and the Compact.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**BILLS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEES,  
CONSIDERED FIRST TIME, AND TABLED****HB 703, PN 3670** (Amended) By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school directors, providing for publication of e-mail addresses for school directors; and, in charter schools, providing for publication of e-mail addresses for board of trustees and further providing for applicability of other provisions of this act and of other acts and regulations.

## EDUCATION.

**HB 2171, PN 3671** (Amended) By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in the State System of Higher Education, further providing for establishment of the State System of Higher Education and its institutions, for board of governors, for the chancellor, for powers and duties of the board of governors, for councils of trustees, for powers and duties of councils of trustees and for power and duties of institution presidents.

## EDUCATION.

**HB 2172, PN 3202** By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in the State System of Higher Education, further providing for definitions, for establishment of the State System of Higher Education and its institutions, for purposes and general powers, for project contracts, for power and duties of institution presidents, for method of disposition and consideration by the General Assembly and for campus police powers and duties.

## EDUCATION.

**HB 2173, PN 3203** By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in the State System of Higher Education, further providing for purposes and general powers, for rental fees and other charges and for annual report and providing for student records.

## EDUCATION.

**HB 2431, PN 3672** (Amended) By Rep. EVERETT

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in emergency finance and tax provisions, providing for local taxation on real property, for reopening of 2019-2020 school district budgets and for charter school tuition rate for the 2020-2021 school year.

## STATE GOVERNMENT.

**HB 2441, PN 3623** By Rep. SAYLOR

A Supplement to the act of April 1, 1863 (P.L.213, No.227), entitled "An act to accept the grant of Public Lands, by the United States, to the several states, for the endowment of Agricultural Colleges," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure; and making an appropriation from a restricted account within the Agricultural College Land Scrip Fund.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2442, PN 3624**

By Rep. SAYLOR

A Supplement to the act of July 28, 1966 (3rd Sp.Sess., P.L.87, No.3), known as the University of Pittsburgh—Commonwealth Act," making appropriations for carrying the same into effect; and providing for a basis for payments of such appropriations, for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2443, PN 3625**

By Rep. SAYLOR

A Supplement to the act of November 30, 1965 (P.L.843, No.355), known as the Temple University-Commonwealth Act, making an appropriation for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of such appropriation; and providing a method of accounting for the funds appropriated and for certain fiscal information disclosure.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2444, PN 3626**

By Rep. SAYLOR

A Supplement to the act of July 7, 1972 (P.L.743, No.176), entitled "An act providing for the establishment and operation of Lincoln University as an instrumentality of the Commonwealth to serve as a State-related institution in the higher education system of the Commonwealth; providing for change of name; providing for the composition of the board of trustees; terms of trustees, and the power and duties of such trustees; providing for preference to Pennsylvania residents in tuition; authorizing appropriations in amounts to be fixed annually by the General Assembly; providing for the auditing of accounts of expenditures from said appropriations; providing for public support and capital improvements; authorizing the issuance of bonds exempt from taxation within the requiring Commonwealth; the President to make an annual report of the operations of Lincoln University," making an appropriation for carrying the same into effect; providing for a basis for payments of the appropriation; providing for a method of accounting for the funds appropriated; and providing for certain fiscal information disclosure.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2445, PN 3627**

By Rep. SAYLOR

An Act making appropriations to the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

## APPROPRIATIONS.

**HB 2463, PN 3662**

By Rep. EVERETT

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for access to public records during disaster declaration.

## STATE GOVERNMENT.

**SB 836, PN 1664 (Amended)**

By Rep. SONNEY

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school health services, providing for sudden cardiac arrest and electrocardiogram testing; and making a repeal.

## EDUCATION.

**HOUSE BILLS  
INTRODUCED AND REFERRED****No. 2461** By Representative GROVE

An Act amending the act of September 27, 1961 (P.L.1700, No.699), known as the Pharmacy Act, further providing for authority to administer injectable medications, biologicals and immunizations.

Referred to Committee on PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, April 29, 2020.

**No. 2462** By Representatives BROWN, DRISCOLL, GREEN, T. DAVIS, SCHLEGEL CULVER, CIRESI, THOMAS, ROZZI, READSHAW and MERSKI

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in volunteer firefighters, further providing for funds.

Referred to Committee on VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, April 29, 2020.

**No. 2464** By Representatives PUSKARIC, ZIMMERMAN, BERNSTINE, JONES and KEEFER

An Act amending Title 12 (Commerce and Trade) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, consolidating Chapter 29 of the act of June 29, 1996 (P.L.434, No.67), known as the Job Enhancement Act; making a related repeal; and making an editorial change.

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 29, 2020.

**No. 2465** By Representatives B. MILLER, ECKER, ROTHMAN, ROAE, DIAMOND, BERNSTINE, RYAN, WARNER, ZIMMERMAN, MACKENZIE, SCHEMEL, RADER, MOUL, MASSER, DONATUCCI, POLINCHOCK, KEEFER, OWLETT, FRITZ, THOMAS, STEPHENS, HICKERNELL, TOOHIL, LEWIS, SCHMITT, SAYLOR, ROWE, SIMMONS, EMRICK, COOK, TOPPER, KLUNK, EVERETT, MIZGORSKI and GLEIM

An Act amending Title 35 (Health and Safety) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in the Governor and disaster emergencies, further providing for general authority of Governor.

Referred to Committee on STATE GOVERNMENT, April 29, 2020.

**SENATE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE**

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, presented the following bills for concurrence:

**SB 368, PN 1383**

Referred to Committee on CHILDREN AND YOUTH, April 29, 2020.

**SB 863, PN 1660**

Referred to Committee on TOURISM AND RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, April 29, 2020.

**SB 934, PN 1515**

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, April 29, 2020.

**SB 935, PN 1516**

Referred to Committee on LABOR AND INDUSTRY, April 29, 2020.

**SB 1030, PN 1489**

Referred to Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, April 29, 2020.

**SB 1097, PN 1622**

Referred to Committee on COMMERCE, April 29, 2020.

**SB 1110, PN 1661**

Referred to Committee on HEALTH, April 29, 2020.

**SB 1119, PN 1662**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 29, 2020.

**SB 1120, PN 1663**

Referred to Committee on JUDICIARY, April 29, 2020.

**SENATE MESSAGE**

AMENDED HOUSE BILL RETURNED  
FOR CONCURRENCE AND  
REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RULES

The clerk of the Senate, being introduced, returned **HB 360, PN 3660**, with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendment in which the concurrence of the House of Representatives is requested.

**CALENDAR****BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION**

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2455, PN 3639**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Health and its departmental administrative and advisory boards, providing for local health department COVID-19 antibody testing.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **LAWRENCE** offered the following amendment No. **A05312**:

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 24 and 25, by striking out "and negative" and inserting

. false positive, negative and false negative  
Amend Bill, page 3, line 12, by striking out "in 60 days" and inserting  
immediately

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

**AMENDMENT PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY**

The **SPEAKER**. We are going to go over that amendment. My apologies to Representative Lawrence.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **CUTLER** offered the following amendment No. **A05363**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 24, by inserting after "testing" ; and providing for COVID-19 emergency testing procurement and disbursement

Amend Bill, page 3, line 12, by striking out all of said line and inserting

Section 2. The act is amended by adding an article to read:

ARTICLE XXI-C  
COVID-19 EMERGENCY

TESTING PROCUREMENT AND DISBURSEMENT  
Section 2101-C. Definitions.

The following words and phrases when used in this article shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"COVID-19." The novel coronavirus as defined in the Governor's Proclamation of Disaster Emergency issued on March 6, 2020, published at 50 Pa.B. 1644 (March 21, 2020).

Section 2102-C. Procurement and disbursement plan.

(a) Submission of plan.—No later than seven days after the effective date of this section, the Governor, in consultation with the Secretary of Health, shall submit a plan for the procurement and disbursement of testing kits or equipment necessary to test for COVID-19 to the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives.

(b) Contents.—The plan under subsection (a) shall include all of the following:

(1) A review of the type and quantity of testing kits and equipment proposed to be procured.

(2) A proposed timeline for the procurement of the testing kits and equipment.

(3) A proposed plan to disburse the testing kits and equipment across this Commonwealth.

(4) A list of categories of individuals who serve an essential health and safety function or who are at a high-risk of contracting COVID-19 to be given initial priority to be tested.

The list shall include:

(i) Health care staff.

(ii) Staff and residents of nursing homes, long-term care nursing facilities, assisted living residences, personal care homes and hospices.

(iii) Staff and clients of home care providers, home health care providers, mental health providers.

behavioral health providers, children's services, intellectual disability providers and drug and alcohol providers.

(iv) Emergency service and public safety personnel.

(v) Any other category of individuals who serve an essential health and safety function or who are at high-risk of contracting COVID-19.

(vi) An estimate of the amount of funding needed to implement the plan.

(5) A description of how the plan supports the efforts to limit the spread of COVID-19 at life-sustaining businesses which have been permitted to continue to operate under the orders from the Governor and the Secretary of Health since the Governor's Proclamation of Disaster Emergency issued on March 6, 2020, published at 50 Pa.B. 1644 (March 21, 2020).

(6) A description of how the plan supports the efforts to limit the spread of COVID-19 at businesses other than businesses listed under paragraph (5) and which would be permitted to resume operations under subsequent orders or revisions to orders by the Governor and the Secretary of Health.

(c) Approval.—A plan submitted under subsection (a) shall be deemed approved upon the enactment of an appropriation for the procurement of testing kits or equipment necessary from funds provided under the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (Public Law 116-136, 134 Stat. 281).

Section 3. This act shall take effect as follows:

(1) The addition of section 2127 of the act shall take effect in 60 days.

(2) The remainder of this act shall take effect immediately.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. And this is a late-filed amendment by the majority leader, so we have to suspend. We are going to have to do a motion to suspend on this.

#### BILL AND AMENDMENT PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. HB 2455 and amendment A05363 will be passed over temporarily.

#### RULES COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to call an immediate meeting of the Rules Committee in the majority caucus room so that we can kick out a bill for concurrence here on the House floor; immediate meeting in the majority caucus room, Rules Committee meeting. It will be very brief, and we will return to the floor and resume normal business. It will also be streamed for those who want to follow online.

The SPEAKER. Thank you.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. So those members on the Rules Committee, you have a meeting. You may also vote remotely, in accordance with the rules.

We will be at ease here on the House floor.

The House will come to order.

#### BILL ON CONCURRENCE REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

**HB 360, PN 3660**

By Rep. CUTLER

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage license, further providing for restrictions on issuance of license and for oral examination; and, in child protective services, providing for pandemic of 2020.

RULES

#### CONSIDERATION OF HB 2455 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. We are going to go back to HB 2455, called up by Representative John Lawrence, PN 3639. We have amendment 05363 in front of us, and the Chair calls upon – as soon as he is ready – the majority leader.

#### BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. We are going to go over that bill temporarily. But we are going to go to second consideration bills. We like to keep things moving.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1696, PN 3630**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of May 17, 1921 (P.L.682, No.284), known as The Insurance Company Law of 1921, in health insurance coverage parity and nondiscrimination, further providing for definitions and for adoption of Federal acts and providing for annual attestation by insurers and for insurer analysis and disclosure information.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2044, PN 2871**, entitled:

An Act repealing the act of May 16, 1919 (P.L. 193, No. 120), entitled "An act to provide for the licensing and regulation of public dance halls and ball rooms, and for the regulation, supervision of public dances and balls in cities of the first, second, and third classes."

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **SB 1027, PN 1621**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in organization of departmental administrative boards and commissions and of advisory boards and commissions, further providing for State Geospatial Coordinating Board.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1983, PN 2788**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in race horse industry reform, further providing for costs of enforcement of medication rules or regulations.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. CAUSER offered the following amendment No. **A05304**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 11 through 15, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

(b) Expiration.—Subsection (a) shall expire at 11:59 p.m. on June 30, [2020] 2022. After June 30, [2020] 2022, all costs for the Pennsylvania Race Horse Testing Program and the collection and testing of samples for any manner of medication shall be paid by the commission.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.  
Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The electronic voting board is correct, a unanimous vote for the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.  
Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The electronic board is accurate.  
The SPEAKER. Thank you, sirs.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causer	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon

Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortity	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufert	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2435, PN 3611**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 3 (Agriculture) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing for Food Establishment Personal Protective Equipment Reimbursement Grant Program.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Ms. WHITE offered the following amendment No. **A05332**:

Amend Bill, page 1, lines 9 and 10, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

FOOD ESTABLISHMENT  
PANDEMIC DISEASE REMEDIATION COST  
REIMBURSEMENT GRANT PROGRAM

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "Personal Protective Equipment" and inserting

Pandemic Disease Remediation Cost

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 7 through 9, by striking out "of some

portion of the costs incurred in" in line 7 and all of lines 8 and 9 and inserting

for pandemic disease remediation costs.

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 14 through 19, by striking out all of said lines and inserting

"Eligible pandemic disease remediation cost." A cost that is incurred by a food establishment during the period specified in the standards and requirements for the program as published by the department.

Amend Bill, page 2, line 21, by striking out the period after "definitions)" and inserting

and a food establishment that has a permit under the act of July 2, 1935 (P.L.589, No.210), referred to as the Milk Sanitation Law.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 23 and 24

"Pandemic disease." A disease that actively spreads over a wide geographic area and affects a whole country or the whole world.

"Pandemic disease remediation cost." A cost incurred by a food establishment to prevent, detect, contain or eliminate a pandemic disease among its employees and other persons on the premises of the food establishment. A cost may include, but is not limited to:

(1) The cost of personal protective equipment.

(2) The cost of installing physical barriers to lessen the chance of pandemic disease transmission among employees and persons on the premises of the food establishment.

(3) The cost of testing thermometers, pandemic disease tests for employees of the food establishment, acquisition and administration of a federally approved pandemic disease vaccine to employees, and other medical equipment expenses reasonably related to preventing, detecting, containing or eliminating a pandemic disease among employees.

(4) The cost of medical personnel to provide pandemic disease-related medical services to food establishment employees on the premises of the food establishment.

(5) The cost of acquiring sanitizers, chemicals and equipment, to the extent this cost exceeds the normal cost outlay of the food establishment for these items prior to the prevalence of a pandemic disease.

(6) Such other related costs identified by the department in the published standards and requirements for the program as described in section 11204 (relating to program).

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 2 and 3, by striking out "Personal Protective Equipment" and inserting

Pandemic Disease Remediation Cost

Amend Bill, page 3, line 18, by striking out "spread" and inserting

distribute

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 19 and 20

(4) The standards and requirements for the program shall specify a period of time within which a food establishment incurs a pandemic disease remediation cost to be eligible for reimbursement under the program.

Amend Bill, page 3, lines 24 and 25, by striking out "eligible PPE costs" and inserting

an eligible pandemic disease remediation cost

Amend Bill, page 3, line 26, by striking out "eligible PPE costs" and inserting

an eligible pandemic disease remediation cost, or portion of the cost.

Amend Bill, page 3, line 27, by striking out ", or portion of the costs."

Amend Bill, page 3, line 30, by striking out "PPE purchase costs" and inserting

pandemic disease remediation cost

Amend Bill, page 4, line 4, by striking out "PPE costs which are" and inserting

pandemic disease remediation cost which is

Amend Bill, page 4, line 8, by inserting after "Act"

or is inspected by the Food Safety Inspection Service of the

United States Department of Agriculture

Amend Bill, page 4, line 24, by striking out "the PPE costs" and inserting

a pandemic disease remediation cost

Amend Bill, page 4, line 26, by striking out "PPE" and inserting a pandemic disease remediation cost

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Kosierowski, do you have a comment on the amendment?

Mrs. KOSIEROWSKI. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I support this amendment, and I thank both chairs of the Ag Committee and the administration. I am glad to see we are working in a bipartisan manner to ensure our essential workers in the food processing industry are safe while putting food on our tables.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board is correct in reflecting the majority party's vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappay
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causer	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash



Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

#### BILL PASSED OVER TEMPORARILY

The SPEAKER. Representative Russ Diamond calls up HB 2429, PN 3604.

We are going over that bill for the time being. We are going to come back to that.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2408, PN 3591**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.343, No.176), known as The Fiscal Code, in emergency finance and tax provisions, providing for exemption from taxation.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **DUNBAR** offered the following amendment No. **A05369**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 14, by inserting before "A"

(a) Payment—

Amend Bill, page 2, lines 15 and 16, by striking out

"Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (Public Law 116-

136)" and inserting

Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (Public Law 116-136, 134 Stat. 281)

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

(b) Loan forgiveness—

(1) This subsection applies to a forgiveness of indebtedness granted under the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act for a loan which is:

(i) guaranteed under section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (Public Law 85-536, 15 U.S.C. § 636(a)); and

(ii) issued by an authorized lender to assist with maintaining payroll costs during the period beginning after February 29, 2020, and ending before July 1, 2020.

(2) The amount of forgiveness under paragraph (1) must not exceed the amount approved by the authorized lender that issued the loan.

(3) Forgiveness under this subsection shall not be included in the income, taxable income or earned income of the person granted forgiveness for a taxable year in which forgiveness is granted for the purpose of the following:

(i) The Local Tax Enabling Act.

(ii) Article III of the Tax Reform Code of 1971.

(iii) Article IV of the Tax Reform Code of 1971.

(iv) The Taxpayer Relief Act.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, the Chair will first call upon the maker of the amendment, Representative George Dunbar, and then I will call upon the maker of the bill, Representative Brandon Markosek.

Representative Dunbar, you may proceed.

Mr. DUNBAR. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

One of the building blocks of sound tax policy is clarity, and I commend the prime sponsor of the underlying bill, HB 2408, for providing some clarity with the payments coming from the Federal government for individuals.

I wanted to expound on that a little bit and extend that same clarity to our small businesses, when under the Federal CARES (Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security) Act, when they get a loan under the Federal CARES Act, if they meet certain criteria, those loans transition into grants and those debts are forgiven. Under Pennsylvania law, tax forgiveness is a taxable transaction. My amendment simply states that our businesses, that if they have their debts forgiven, they will not have to pay Pennsylvania taxes on it.

I think our small businesses have been struggling. This is a lifeline. The last thing they need for us to do is to hand them a tax bill once they have recovered. So I would ask for an affirmative vote. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Markosek, sir.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you.

I would concur with the gentleman's concerns and I agree this will help a lot of people with their taxes down the road, so I would urge our members to support A05369. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, Representative Markosek.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.  
 Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 The electronic voting board is correct, a unanimous vote by the majority party.  
 The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.  
 Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
 The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longiatti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causser	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Ms. **WHITE** offered the following amendment No. **A05295**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 8, by inserting after "taxation" and for nonprofit corporations and charitable trusts  
 Amend Bill, page 2, line 12, by striking out "a section" and inserting

sections  
 Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 24 and 25

**Section 105-A. Nonprofit corporations and charitable trusts.**

**(a) Scope.—**This section applies to all of the following:

**(1) A board of directors or other body of a nonprofit corporation incorporated for charitable purposes which elects to be governed by 15 Pa.C.S. § 5548 (relating to investment of trust funds).**

**(2) A trustee of a charitable trust held exclusively for charitable purposes that elects to be governed by 20 Pa.C.S. § 8113 (relating to charitable trusts).**

**(b) Time period.—**This section applies to:

**(1) each calendar year during which the proclamation of disaster emergency issued by the Governor on March 6, 2020, published at 50 Pa.B. 1644 (March 21, 2020), and any renewal of the state of disaster emergency, is in effect; and**

**(2) the succeeding calendar year.**

**(c) Nonprofit corporations.—**During the time period specified in subsection (b), a board or body under subsection (a)(1) shall do all of the following:

**(1) Select a percentage of the value of the assets held by or for the corporation which:**

**(i) is consistent with the long-term preservation of the real value of the assets; and**

**(ii) is not less than 2% nor more than 10% for the calendar year.**

**(2) Maintain the percentage under paragraph (1) as part of the permanent records of the corporation.**

**(d) Charitable trusts.—**During the time period specified in subsection (b), a trustee under subsection (a)(2) shall do all of the following:

**(1) Select a percentage of the value of the trust which:**

**(i) is consistent with the long-term preservation of the real value of the principal of the trust; and**

**(ii) is not less than 2% nor more than 10% for the calendar year.**

**(2) Maintain the percentage under paragraph (1) as part of the permanent records of the trust.**

**(e) Inconsistency.—**If there is an inconsistency between this section and another statutory provision, this section governs.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the amendment?

**GERMANENESS QUESTIONED**

The SPEAKER. Representative Markosek, on the amendment.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you.

Amendment 05295 I do not believe is germane to this bill, so I would urge our caucus to be in the—

The SPEAKER. So the good gentleman is making a motion on the amendment. He is questioning the germaneness of the amendment. Representative Markosek has raised the question of whether amendment 05295 is germane to HB 2408.

Under House rule 27, questions involving whether an amendment is germane shall be decided by the House.

On the question,

Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On that question, Representative Markosek, do you wish to say anymore on the issue? No. Okay.

On the issue of germaneness – members, I will call upon the leaders here shortly – if you think it is germane, you will vote "aye"; if you think it is not germane, you will vote "nay."

So the Chair calls upon the majority leader at this time, on germaneness.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, respectfully, I disagree with the gentleman's assertion regarding this bill. The underlying bill is a Fiscal Code bill, many of which we run at the time of the budget, and I am sure we will see many more. And specifically, the underlying amendment deals with an endowment disbursement and the limitations that are placed on that, and truthfully, this is not even primarily our idea. We borrowed it at the request of a Democratic member who currently has a cosponsorship memo out. We thought it sounded like a good idea, and we were trying to put it into this bill because it would allow those individuals with private endowments to spend more than is currently statutorily allowed, because I believe if someone has money and they want to use their own money, rather than come to the government, I think that would free up additional government assets to help more people, which is something we all should be for.

It is relevant because it deals with the issues of finance, whether it be the underlying bill, or in this case, endowment limitations; therefore, it is germane, and I would urge the House to vote accordingly.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The Democratic leader, Representative Frank Dermody, on the issue of germaneness.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, HB 2408 is a simple bill that exempts all payments to an individual from the Federal CARES Act from State and local taxes. This amendment, 5295, is a simple amendment that allows certain charitable trusts to retain a higher percentage of investment income than they are currently permitted to do. The problem is, Mr. Speaker, that while 2408 is a simple bill and 5295 is a simple amendment, they simply have nothing to do with each other. The bill deals with individuals; the amendment deals with charitable trusts. The bill deals with State and local taxes; the amendment deals with the retention of investment income.

Mr. Speaker, it is no secret that I have criticized the majority's interpretation of the single-subject rule recently. I still firmly believe the rule has been incorrectly applied to avoid having to vote on Democratic amendments, but at the very least, we have to be consistent. We cannot have one standard for Democratic amendments and another for Republican amendments. This does not even come close to any reasonable standard.

I ask my colleagues to join me in moving that amendment 5295 is not germane to HB 2408.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak on germaneness?

Those who believe the amendment is germane will be voting "aye"; those who believe the amendment is not germane will be voting "nay."

On the question recurring,

Will the House sustain the germaneness of the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does reflect accurately the vote of the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—109**

Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Rowe
Bernstine	Gleim	Mentzer	Ryan
Boback	Gregory	Metcalfe	Sankey
Bonner	Greiner	Metzgar	Saylor
Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Schemel
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Schmitt
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schroeder
Causar	Helm	Mizgorski	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Staats
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Stephens
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Struzzi
Davanzo	James	O'Neal	Thomas
Day	Jones	Oberlander	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Toepel
Diamond	Kail	Owlett	Tomlinson
Dowling	Kaufner	Peifer	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Polinchock	Warner
Ecker	Keller	Puskaric	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Pyle	Wheeland
Everett	Knowles	Quinn	White
Farry	Lawrence	Rader	Zimmerman
Fee	Lewis	Rapp	
Fritz	Mackenzie	Reese	Turzai,
Gabler	Maloney	Rigby	Speaker
Gaydos	Marshall	Roae	

**NAYS—93**

Bizzarro	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Bradford	Evans	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Fiedler	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Lee	Sainato

Burgos	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Burns	Frankel	Madden	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Freeman	Malagari	Sappery
Carroll	Gainey	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Galloway	Matzie	Schweyer
Ciresi	Goodman	McCarter	Shusterman
Comitta	Green	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Harris	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Warren
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Webster
Deasy	Kenyatta	Otten	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kim	Pashinski	Williams
Deloso	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rabb	Zabel
Dermody			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was declared germane.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Now, on the amendment itself, Representative Markosek, you are recognized.

Mr. MARKOSEK. Thank you.

To get back to the point, this A05295 I do not believe does pertain to the bill, so I would just urge our colleagues to vote in the "no." Thank you.

The SPEAKER. The majority leader.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to speak on behalf of the gentlelady who is offering the amendment remotely. Mr. Speaker, the underlying bill itself deals with COVID-related financial emergencies. In this case, the amendment deals with the endowment, who is requesting a lift to the statutory cap regarding the amount of their own assets that they can spend in this crisis. I believe it is a wise financial decision, I believe it is wise on behalf of the taxpayers, and I believe it should be a component part of this bill and would urge support of it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Does anybody else wish to speak? No. Okay.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—109

Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Rothman
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Rowe
Bernstine	Gleim	Mentzer	Ryan
Boback	Gregory	Metcalfe	Sankey
Bonner	Greiner	Metzgar	Saylor
Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Schemel
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Schmitt
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schroeder
Causer	Helm	Mizgorski	Simmons
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Sonney
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Staats
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Stephens
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Struzzi
Davanzo	James	O'Neal	Thomas
Day	Jones	Oberlander	Tobash
Delozier	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Toepel
Diamond	Kail	Owlett	Tomlinson
Dowling	Kaufman	Peifer	Toohil
Dunbar	Kauffman	Pickett	Topper
Dush	Keefer	Polinchock	Warner
Ecker	Keller	Puskaric	Wentling
Emrick	Klunk	Pyle	Wheeland
Everett	Knowles	Quinn	White
Farry	Lawrence	Rader	Zimmerman
Fee	Lewis	Rapp	
Fritz	Mackenzie	Reese	Turzai,
Gabler	Maloney	Rigby	Speaker
Gaydos	Marshall	Roae	

NAYS—93

Bizzarro	Donatucci	Kortz	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Readshaw
Bradford	Evans	Krueger	Roebuck
Briggs	Fiedler	Kulik	Rozzi
Bullock	Fitzgerald	Lee	Sainato
Burgos	Flynn	Longietti	Samuelson
Burns	Frankel	Madden	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Freeman	Malagari	Sappery
Carroll	Gainey	Markosek	Schlossberg
Cephas	Galloway	Matzie	Schweyer
Ciresi	Goodman	McCarter	Shusterman
Comitta	Green	McClinton	Sims
Conklin	Hanbidge	McNeill	Snyder
Cruz	Harkins	Merski	Solomon
Daley	Harris	Miller, D.	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mullery	Ullman
Davis, A.	Howard	Mullins	Vitali
Davis, T.	Innamorato	Neilson	Warren
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Webster
Deasy	Kenyatta	Otten	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kim	Pashinski	Williams
Deloso	Kinsey	Petrarca	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kirkland	Rabb	Zabel
Dermody			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

### CONSIDERATION OF HB 2455 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. Representative Lawrence calls up HB 2455, PN 3639.

Clerk, can you please read the summary of that bill one more time?

### CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT A05363 CONTINUED

The SPEAKER. We are looking at 05363, an amendment filed by Representative Cutler.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The clerk read the following amendment No. **A05363**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 24, by inserting after "testing"  
; and providing for COVID-19 emergency testing procurement and  
disbursement

Amend Bill, page 3, line 12, by striking out all of said line and  
inserting

Section 2. The act is amended by adding an article to read:

#### ARTICLE XXI-C

#### COVID-19 EMERGENCY

#### TESTING PROCUREMENT AND DISBURSEMENT

#### Section 2101-C. Definitions.

The following words and phrases when used in this article shall have the meanings given to them in this section unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"COVID-19." The novel coronavirus as defined in the Governor's Proclamation of Disaster Emergency issued on March 6, 2020, published at 50 Pa.B. 1644 (March 21, 2020).

#### Section 2102-C. Procurement and disbursement plan.

(a) Submission of plan.—No later than seven days after the effective date of this section, the Governor, in consultation with the Secretary of Health, shall submit a plan for the procurement and disbursement of testing kits or equipment necessary to test for COVID-19 to the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate and the chair and minority chair of the Appropriations Committee of the House of Representatives.

(b) Contents.—The plan under subsection (a) shall include all of the following:

(1) A review of the type and quantity of testing kits and equipment proposed to be procured.

(2) A proposed timeline for the procurement of the testing kits and equipment.

(3) A proposed plan to disburse the testing kits and equipment across this Commonwealth.

(4) A list of categories of individuals who serve an essential health and safety function or who are at a high-risk of contracting COVID-19 to be given initial priority to be tested.

The list shall include:

(i) Health care staff.

(ii) Staff and residents of nursing homes, long-term care nursing facilities, assisted living residences, personal care homes and hospices.

(iii) Staff and clients of home care providers, home health care providers, mental health providers, behavioral health providers, children's services, intellectual disability providers and drug and alcohol

providers.

(iv) Emergency service and public safety

personnel.

(v) Any other category of individuals who serve an essential health and safety function or who are at high-risk of contracting COVID-19.

(vi) An estimate of the amount of funding needed to implement the plan.

(5) A description of how the plan supports the efforts to limit the spread of COVID-19 at life-sustaining businesses which have been permitted to continue to operate under the orders from the Governor and the Secretary of Health since the Governor's Proclamation of Disaster Emergency issued on March 6, 2020, published at 50 Pa.B. 1644 (March 21, 2020).

(6) A description of how the plan supports the efforts to limit the spread of COVID-19 at businesses other than businesses listed under paragraph (5) and which would be permitted to resume operations under subsequent orders or revisions to orders by the Governor and the Secretary of Health.

(c) Approval.—A plan submitted under subsection (a) shall be deemed approved upon the enactment of an appropriation for the procurement of testing kits or equipment necessary from funds provided under the Federal Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (Public Law 116-136, 134 Stat. 281).

Section 3. This act shall take effect as follows:

(1) The addition of section 2127 of the act shall take effect in 60 days.

(2) The remainder of this act shall take effect immediately.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. It is a late-filed amendment, so we need a motion to suspend. The motion to suspend will be filed by the majority leader, Representative Bryan Cutler.

Sir.

### PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry, please.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. CUTLER. I just want to confirm, it is my belief and understanding of the rules that I will be able to speak twice on the underlying motion once I make it?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. And in all earnestness, with respect to the two leaders, we give leeway to both of you.

Mr. CUTLER. Understood. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

### MOTION TO SUSPEND RULES

Mr. CUTLER. Mr. Speaker, I would like to rise to offer this amendment as drafted and humbly request a suspension of the rules. For those who have served here any time with me, they know that this is a particular issue that I was one of the dozen or so individuals who staunchly always oppose suspending the rules, but given the fact that we are here during a pandemic, I think that the exigent circumstances demand that we address issues as they come up. And I am sorry that I missed the deadline yesterday, but I did file it this morning regarding testing. The

underlying amendment does in fact deal with testing during these exigent times.

So why offer it now, why offer it today? Mr. Speaker, I think we have all heard, and hopefully, agree that testing is very important. We have all confirmed that. We have had a series of bills and we will have more bills later today that will rely on that testing, and as they move through the legislative process, Mr. Speaker, I think it is very important that we have that outline, and more importantly, the backstop of testing, because as this data changes on a daily basis, it is important that it is timely. It is important that we have access. Mr. Speaker, we do not need to guess, we can test, and that is what this amendment does.

The Governor has clearly indicated he wants to work with us through the appropriation of the Federal CARES dollars, and this amendment contemplates that. This is our offer affirming that position with this amendment, that working together, we can provide a plan that will allow for increased testing. And why now? Again, Mr. Speaker, it is of the utmost importance that we get this positioned procedurally, because all of us in here may be familiar with the legislative process, but perhaps those at home may not be. It takes multiple days to move something through that process, so the best time to do this was yesterday; the next best time is today.

Mr. Speaker, there will be tens or hundreds of millions of these tests ordered. I know that the underlying bill, the good gentleman from Chester County is working on behalf of an issue there that is local, and they ordered, I think, thousands of tests. And as we read the articles across the nation, there are tens and hundreds of millions of these tests being ordered. Why is that important, and why today? Mr. Speaker, very simply, it is important because the manufacturing capacity, as it ramps up, if it does not meet the demand, will create a queue. We have many good businesses right here in Pennsylvania who manufacture these tests, and I think it is important that we get in line awhile to the extent that we can, to the extent we can work together. The time is now to get a plan. The time is now to act. The time to know the data is now. We do not have to guess; we can test. I think that is important. We can do it today, Mr. Speaker, and as we exit the current status, there have been lots of questions regarding fear, anxiety, uncertainty. What we need right now is more transparency, more testing, more information, more certainty, more facts, and less fear.

Mr. Speaker, the reason – one of the reasons why I missed the deadline to file yesterday was because I had new information today, and I was concerned when I read that we do not, and I am quoting from an article that I saw this morning, when the Governor was asked regarding a testing benchmark, he said, "We don't have a benchmark. There's not a benchmark on testing for reopening, and we don't even have a benchmark as to where we need to be...." And he goes on to explain that these discussions are ongoing, but then he does ask the same question that I have, and why it is so important we get a plan together. Now, the question is, what kind of testing? Diagnostic testing? Antibody testing? Is it testing where the results take a long time to get to you, or is it testing that we can turn around really quickly? And those are things we have not decided, but to me and to most people right now, the key is that confidence, and I would agree, Mr. Speaker, which is why the time is now.

I humbly and respectfully request a rules suspension for immediate consideration of testing, immediate availability of a plan so that we can return to normal, as the Governor has indicated he is going to be doing starting May 1, with his own plan. Mr. Speaker, that is 2 days away. We need a plan for testing. Let us vote it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the motion?

The SPEAKER. On the motion to suspend, the Democratic Appropriations chair, Matt Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Sir, hold on. I apologize. The Parliamentarian—

The leader, will you be ceding your time to the Appropriations chair? Okay.

Representative Bradford, you may proceed.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I really do appreciate the words of the good gentleman. I believe he has a well-intended view and I believe the time to get to the desperately needed work of far-reaching testing and contact tracing is upon us; if we are going to safely reopen our economy, we must do exactly that. For too long, I believe, we have had the cart before the horse and I am glad that the majority leader has decided that science should be the discussion of the day and we should put about real testing for Pennsylvania today. The simple reality is, we should have done it yesterday. The gentleman, I believe, truly is well-intentioned, and I do appreciate this, but what this does not require is testing today; this requires a plan, a plan for spending 7 days forward, and not 7 days forward from today, but 7 days from when this bill receives final passage. And then, of course, this is a House bill that has to go to the Senate and be signed by the Governor. So I am glad he recognizes the exigency now of testing and contact tracing. We should have been talking about that for the last month, but instead we have been talking about garden centers and car dealers and things that are very important to individual business owners and to our economy at large, but if we are to open businesses safely and counties greatly afflicted, we need to talk about testing and tracing. And this bill does not get it done today.

What I fear this bill does is actually hamper the administration, who is already doing much of what the gentleman asks. I, again, recognize, well-intended as it may be, maybe a little later than it should be, but if we are going to do this, we must understand to effectively implement any plan. Tests are coming on board every day and supplies are being identified. There are a decent amount of unknowns in the testing world, and remaining nimble enough in an already challenged economy to acquire these tests is difficult. I fear that this body is inserting itself in a way where 50 States and counties and cities are competing, where our Federal government has ceded this to the private market. What we should be doing is giving our administration the resources they need, not a legislative fiat written in an hour's notice.

Now, the good gentleman – and again, I believe he is honest when he says this came upon him when he read a press clipping today, but I do not think that we should be doing epidemiology by press release, and I do not think we should be doing testing

and tracing that way as well. The gentleman may find fault with the Governor's words, but what we need to do, and I have said it again in every context, is four caucuses and an administration working together.

The administration does not support this because it believes that it is doing this already quicker than what this can do. I believe it would be foolhardy for this body, on a suspension vote, on language that it has received an hour ago, on language that the administration, in briefly reviewing it, says it will actually make it harder to do the work of testing and tracing. What we should be doing is giving the resources to this administration. What we should not be doing is giving half-thought-out on last minute, based-on-press-release information as to how to handle what will be the single most important thing of getting testing in Pennsylvania and contact tracing, which I must say one caucus has been talking about for months.

Thank you. Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The majority leader.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the good gentleman asked and accurately said, I think – which is the same thing I said – that the best time was yesterday; the next best time is today. Mr. Speaker, if it was a good idea and he wished it was offered yesterday, he could have. I could have; we both missed it. I acknowledge that. That is the emergency that we are operating in – as we get data. The good gentleman also said we should not operate by press release. I agree. However, when there are public comments made at public events that we see, I think it is our duty to respond. Mr. Speaker, as a matter of fact, that is exactly why we asked for legislative notice when the Governor has been issuing press releases to suspend rules and regulations.

Mr. Speaker, I respectfully disagree with the assertion that it is 7 days forward. Nothing in this amendment prevents the Governor from issuing a plan immediately. The quote, in its entirety, which I will read here now because I think the context is important, the quote is very important. When asked yesterday about the testing benchmark, the quote was, "We don't have a benchmark. There's not a benchmark on testing for reopening, and we don't even have a benchmark as to where we need to be. I think we have recognized that this is something that we as a State are going to have to determine." I agree. "We are not going to wait around for somebody else." I agree. "And we also have determined that testing— There might be something else...contact tracing..." which the good gentleman raised "...something else, but testing is at the heart of whatever process we are going to have to use to get people to be comfortable going back to work, for going to the store, to reopen our economy. And until there is a vaccine or a cure, and both of those things seem somewhat of a long way off, testing is the thing that we are going to have to— Now, the question is, what kind of testing?" And I read this previously, but for the sake of continuity, I will continue, "Diagnostic testing? Antibody testing? Is it testing where the results take a long time to get to you, or is it testing that we can turn around really quickly? And those are things that we haven't decided. But to me" – now, this is the Governor speaking – "and I think to most people right now, the key is that confidence. Confidence that people can go back to school, to work, to shop, whatever...get on public transit, an airplane. All of that is going to involve some way of assuring passengers, fellow students, fellow workers, that the people you are working with, you are sitting with are not going to give you this infectious disease."

So why today? That answer is in the rest of the quote. So again, quoting the Governor, "And so we haven't decided exactly what that is, but in the meantime, we are working as many different avenues as we possibly can" – which I think is wise, and, Mr. Speaker, I think it is wise because to the good gentleman's point, you have to have as many options available as possible. I am merely offering this as one option. But going on – "to make sure that we get testing capacity really, really quickly." The Governor's words, not mine. "And we are working...multiple avenues. We don't know exactly what the testing is going to look like. And these avenues, by the way, include trying to find people who will make the testing stuff right here in Pennsylvania." I agree. "So we are looking at all kinds of different ways. We have no specific benchmarks yet. I hope to get to those things, but I believe that there is a pretty broad agreement that testing is going to be at the heart of whatever it is we do, anybody does, to make people feel comfortable and safe and confident that they can go back to whatever it was they want to do."

Mr. Speaker, those are not my words. They were the words relayed yesterday during a press event. Mr. Speaker, the reason that I believe testing is so important today is, let us look at the data. The data I think indicates we have tested 214,884 people, which is 1.33 percent of the population. The negative tests have run at 79.35 percent; positive tests at 20.65 percent. That is about an average of 5,945 tests per day. The low, 2,839; the high, 7,916. There are 12.6 million citizens here in the Commonwealth. The goal is to get 10 percent. Ten percent at the current rate and without a plan will take 180 additional days. Mr. Speaker, the day is today that we can initiate the plan. This is not a limitation on the administration's efforts. I commend them for taking multiple avenues, and I would simply point out that the amendment is drafted broadly enough and it requires a collaborative approach on the plan, which can be flexible – it was deliberately drafted very broadly – and just as I have said before, it can be a multistep or a multidirectional plan. That is acceptable. But, Mr. Speaker, what we need is a plan. So this is not interfering with the current efforts. It is ensuring that there will be a plan in place within a minimum – or a maximum, rather, Mr. Speaker. It ensures that there is a plan in place at a maximum of 7 days after this bill would become law.

So, Mr. Speaker, the question is, are we for testing? I think it is fairly simple. I am. I have referenced it in all the bills in all of the things that we have done. We talked about the reopening, Mr. Speaker. I understand the gentleman's concerns, and we do wish that there was broader agreement on the areas that we could go to. But I would simply point to the various bills and areas that we have passed, because I think it is important. It is important that people understand that we, here, legislatively – most times in a bipartisan way, but not always – have been advancing our plan and our vision for here in the Commonwealth.

Mr. Speaker, the first week was unanimous, and I think we would certainly agree was the easiest. Education reform, unanimously approved. Extended the tax filing deadlines. We also worked with health-care facilities and PPE (personal protective equipment). Unemployment compensation reform, we made it consistent with Federal law. And then we got into the individual worker areas and the different components of our economy, Mr. Speaker. We talked about saving money in the budget to promote social services through debt refinance, and we have done that both in another bill as well as a stand-alone bill.

The emergency mitigation business plan which was, unfortunately, vetoed, and I believe the sole piece that was advanced on a strictly partisan vote. Mr. Speaker, taxpayer relief, which was discussed, but now we extended heart and lung benefits for first responders, extensions for PennDOT renewals, individuals with handicap placards, license plates, etc.

### POINT OF ORDER

Mr. DERMODY. Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER. Yes, Leader.

Mr. DERMODY. The issue is whether we should suspend the rules, and I believe we have gone far afield from discussing the suspension of the rules.

The SPEAKER. We do give leeway to the leaders, and, Leader Dermody, you may certainly respond. I do not have any concerns with that; you can. I do know we are on a motion to suspend.

So, Majority Leader, I know you are nearing your conclusion, and then I will call upon the minority leader.

Mr. CUTLER. Yes, Mr. Speaker, I apologize. I recognize that we have covered a large amount of work product here from the last 4 weeks. The point is simply this, Mr. Speaker: The time is always right to do the right thing. We need to suspend the rules so we can ensure access to testing, and I certainly hope that all of my colleagues can support that effort, because if we truly believe we need to expedite access, the vote is today, the opportunity is now, and we should seize it.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please support the motion.

The SPEAKER. Leader Dermody, you may speak on the motion to suspend.

Mr. DERMODY. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, we do not have to run this amendment right now or suspend the rules to have accessing or complete or do testing right now. That simply is not true. We received an amendment around 1 o'clock or so today. We sent it to the administration. The administration has great concerns, and you just heard a quote from the administration, from the Governor, that we are going to do testing. They are working on testing every day, there is money coming in for testing, and we have time to work with them and work with the four caucuses. There is no reason why this could not have been presented to the administration, discussed with the administration, with us, with the other caucuses, and we would move forward together. And I think we need to have input from all the agencies of the Commonwealth who have an interest in how we proceed and what is best out there for testing and how we are going to acquire all the tests we need, because as we all know, or if you read a paper or watch the news, the confusion on testing is rampant, whether or not it is effective, what type, when, how, whether we have enough equipment, whether we have enough reagents, reactive agents, whether we have enough swabs. Look, the Governor and the Department of Health just received this and are reviewing it. We can revisit it, we can talk to it, we can talk about it, we can go back to the Governor's Office and decide how we are going to proceed, but to proceed today after having an amendment like this for an hour, and suggest that we are not doing testing or cannot because of it, is simply not the case.

So we should not suspend the rules to do this. What we should do is have the administration and the relevant agencies who are going to be impacted by this evaluate it and see how we can best go forward and effectively spend the money that we have and that we will be receiving in an effective testing program that makes sense as we begin to open our economy, because – and we are right and I think we all agree – people could get sick. People could die if we are not careful and we do not test properly and we do not test effectively and we do not use the quality and the impact of the professionals that we have in this State to help us, to help us make those decisions. They were not consulted in this. All we have done is try to make sure we consult the right people, move forward with the proper – with science and with the data and with the agencies that are relevant and have studied this issue, worked on this issue for months.

So we should oppose the motion to suspend the rules, and we should revisit this as soon as we can in cooperation with the administration and the other caucuses.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. On the motion, the majority leader.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And I understand the good gentleman's points, and had I not read the quote this morning, I think we may have had more time, but the fact that there was not a plan yesterday – we are reopening on the 1st – I think is a relevant fact. And I did, at the gentleman's request, text the Governor, and I understand he is very busy and I am certainly, if this motion is unsuccessful, I am willing to continue those discussions. However, Mr. Speaker, this motion should be successful, because there is adequate flexibility built into the amendment as drafted to allow the Governor to craft a plan, and I think that it is appropriate that we advance this plan now, because at the end of the day, Mr. Speaker, while we can work with the Governor and the Secretaries and the different individuals and the administration, we do not work for them. We work for the people who we have back home, and we should support this suspension.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the motion?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does reflect the majority vote of the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—110

Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Rothman
Bernstine	Gleim	Mentzer	Rowe
Boback	Gregory	Metcalfe	Ryan
Bonner	Greiner	Metzgar	Sankey



Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Saylor
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Schemel
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Causser	Helm	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Simmons
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Sonney
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Staats
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Davanzo	James	O'Neal	Struzzi
Day	Jones	Oberlander	Thomas
Delozier	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Tobash
Diamond	Kail	Owlett	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufer	Peifer	Tomlinson
Dunbar	Kauffman	Petrarca	Toohil
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Topper
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker

NAYS—92

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Bullock	Fiedler	Kulik	Sainato
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Lee	Samuelson
Burns	Flynn	Longietti	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Frankel	Madden	Sappey
Carroll	Freeman	Malagari	Schlossberg
Cephas	Gainey	Markosek	Schweyer
Ciresi	Galloway	Matzie	Shusterman
Comitta	Goodman	McCarter	Sims
Conklin	Green	McClinton	Snyder
Cruz	Hanbidge	McNeill	Solomon
Daley	Harkins	Merski	Sturla
Davidson	Harris	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, T.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Dawkins	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Deasy	Isaacson	O'Mara	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Otten	Williams
Delloso	Kim	Pashinski	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb	Zabel

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

Less than a majority of the members required by the rules having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and the motion was not agreed to.

BILL PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. We are going to go over the bill.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2429, PN 3604**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for emergency COVID-19 provisions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are some amendments.  
Representative Bradford, I think the amendment we are doing first is 05326. Waive off that? Okay. That is withdrawn.  
And then, Representative, is 05325 – are you offering that?  
Waives off. Okay.  
And then 05324.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment  
No. **A05324**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 3, by striking out "The" and inserting  
(a) General rule.—Upon the written approval of the Governor,  
the

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 9 and 10  
(b) Required protection for employees.—All lawn and garden  
equipment and supplies stores that receive a waiver and operate under  
subsection (a) shall also provide, at a minimum, the following  
protections for an essential employee:

(1) Additional hazard pay, relative to the employee's risk  
of exposure.

(2) Appropriate new personal protective equipment at  
the start of every shift. Personal protective equipment shall  
include, but not be limited to, gloves and a mask.

(3) A work environment that maximizes the safety of the  
employee and the public, including, but not limited to, social  
distancing and barriers.

(4) Cleaning areas with adequate soap and water and  
breaks to frequently engage in hand washing.

(5) Leave for sickness, which shall be compensated at  
the employee's normal wage.

(6) Information by the lawn and garden equipment and  
supplies store if a coworker has been tested for COVID-19 and  
the results of those tests when available.

(c) Violation.—A lawn and garden equipment and supplies store  
operating in this Commonwealth under a waiver under subsection (a)  
that violates any requirement under subsection (b) shall be immediately  
closed and may not be permitted to reopen until the lawn and garden  
equipment and supplies store is in compliance.

(d) Definitions.—As used in this section, the following words and  
phrases shall have the meanings given to them in this subsection unless  
the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"Essential employee." An employee of a lawn and garden  
equipment and supplies store who is required by the lawn and garden  
equipment and supplies store to report to work at the lawn and garden  
equipment and supplies store's physical location.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. On the amendment, Representative Bradford.  
Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise to offer amendment 05324. This amendment, like many other amendments that would insert the legislative body into what is and is not an appropriate establishment to open during the current pandemic, would require the written approval of the Governor, in consultation with appropriate public health officials, and would require hazard pay for those employees that are put into these positions, including PPE, the cleaning of work

areas, and sick leave for those that are then found to test positive. This is a commonsense, worker-friendly approach, if we are going to go down this road.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

**PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY**

**AMENDMENT DIVIDED**

The SPEAKER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. Yes, sir. Please proceed.

Mr. CUTLER. Mr. Speaker, is this amendment divisible between lines 4 and 5?

The SPEAKER. Yes.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would like to make the motion to divide the amendment and deal with it in separate parts.

The SPEAKER. Members, if you will turn to rule 63, division of a question. "Any member may call for a division of a question by the House, if it comprehends propositions so distinct and separate that one being taken away, the other will stand as a complete proposition for the decision of the House...."

And you do not actually need a motion to do that. Just by asking the rostrum, the Speaker can order – now, you would have separate votes on those, if it is divisible.

In consultation with the Parliamentarian, it is divisible at the location that the leader has identified, so it will be divided, and I think we call the amendments – yes, that is how we do it. So it is 3604, or the bill is – no, that is the printer's number – the bill number is 2429, PN 3604. It is amendment 5324. The first part will be 1. The second part will be 2. So this is 5324-1.

On the question,

Will the House agree to part 1 of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. And also, Representative Bradford, if you want to speak on that, you may. You will be able to speak on number 2 as well, and then I will call upon whoever else wishes to speak on that. The second part will come up next. It will be a separate vote.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will then divide my comments.

This would obviously require the administration to approve it, based on consultation with the appropriate professionals.

The SPEAKER. Sir, on the amendment.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I think if you look at the Governor's own comments, he himself did not approve of the waiver process. I do not think we should revisit it. I would urge a "no" vote, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of the amendment will vote "aye"; those opposed, "nay." We are voting on lines 1 through 4 of the amendment; 1 through 4 of the amendment.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to part 1 of the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

**YEAS—92**

Bizzarro	Dermody	Kirkland	Ravenstahl
Boyle	Donatucci	Kortz	Readshaw
Bradford	Driscoll	Kosierowski	Roebuck
Briggs	Evans	Krueger	Rozzi
Bullock	Fiedler	Kulik	Sainato
Burgos	Fitzgerald	Lee	Samuelson
Burns	Flynn	Longietti	Sanchez
Caltagirone	Frankel	Madden	Sappery
Carroll	Freeman	Malagari	Schlossberg
Cephas	Gainey	Markosek	Schweyer
Ciresi	Galloway	Matzie	Shusterman
Comitta	Goodman	McCarter	Sims
Conklin	Green	McClinton	Snyder
Cruz	Hanbidge	McNeill	Solomon
Daley	Harkins	Merski	Sturla
Davidson	Harris	Miller, D.	Ullman
Davis, A.	Hohenstein	Mullery	Vitali
Davis, T.	Howard	Mullins	Warren
Dawkins	Innamorato	Neilson	Webster
Deasy	Isaacson	O'Mara	Wheatley
DeLissio	Kenyatta	Otten	Williams
Delloso	Kim	Pashinski	Youngblood
DeLuca	Kinsey	Rabb	Zabel

**NAYS—110**

Barrar	Gillen	Masser	Roae
Benninghoff	Gillespie	Mehaffie	Rothman
Bernstine	Gleim	Mentzer	Rowe
Boback	Gregory	Metcalfe	Ryan
Bonner	Greiner	Metzgar	Sankey
Borowicz	Grove	Mihalek	Saylor
Brooks	Hahn	Millard	Schemel
Brown	Heffley	Miller, B.	Schmitt
Causar	Helm	Mizgorski	Schroeder
Cook	Hennessey	Moul	Simmons
Cox	Hershey	Murt	Sonney
Culver	Hickernell	Mustello	Staats
Cutler	Irvin	Nelson	Stephens
Davanzo	James	O'Neal	Struzzi
Day	Jones	Oberlander	Thomas
Delozier	Jozwiak	Ortity	Tobash
Diamond	Kail	Owlett	Toepel
Dowling	Kaufner	Peifer	Tomlinson
Dunbar	Kauffman	Petrarca	Toohil
Dush	Keefer	Pickett	Topper
Ecker	Keller	Polinchock	Warner
Emrick	Klunk	Puskaric	Wentling
Everett	Knowles	Pyle	Wheeland
Farry	Lawrence	Quinn	White
Fee	Lewis	Rader	Zimmerman
Fritz	Mackenzie	Rapp	
Gabler	Maloney	Reese	Turzai,
Gaydos	Marshall	Rigby	Speaker

**NOT VOTING—0**

**EXCUSED—1**

Mako

Less than the majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the negative and part 1 of the amendment was not agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to part 2 of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Now we are going to call up amendment 5324-2. This will be line 5 to the end; line 5 to the end.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to part 2 of the amendment?

The SPEAKER. The Chair calls upon Representative Bradford on this amendment. Thank you.

### POINT OF ORDER

The SPEAKER. Yes. Representative Vitali.  
Yes, sir.

Mr. VITALI. Point of order.

The amendment is not on the screen, nor was the previous one.

The SPEAKER. I think the amendment as a whole was on the screen. It was as a whole, sir, and I just identified, like, what lines were, you know, what were part of part 1 and what were part of part 2. It was divisible after line 4.

Mr. VITALI. On my screen – maybe I am getting confused, but on my screen, I am seeing the bill but not an amendment. Am I, am I just getting old?

The SPEAKER. It was on the screen before the votes. When it got divided, it is no longer on the screen. But come on up front, if anybody wants – it was on the screen – if anybody wants a hard copy.

Mr. VITALI. I did not see it, honestly.

The SPEAKER. Please come on up and we will get a hard copy.

If anybody wants a hard copy – because it has been divided. Now, the amendment, before it was divided, was on the screen. Once divided, it is not on the screen. But if anybody wants a hard copy, the division is after line 4, and we are now voting on the lower half. It is actually more than half. It is line 5 to the end.

Representative Vitali, would you be okay if I called upon Representative Bradford? You are okay with that? Okay. And then just if you want to say anything, just let me know.

Representative Bradford.

Mr. BRADFORD. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask for your support for this now-divided amendment. These are safety precautions that this body felt fit to include for those that work at car dealers. I would mention anecdotally, though, we struck down similar precautions for those that are on the Homeland Security's CISA (Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency) list, food service workers, those involved in real estate and similar activities, but if we are going to open these niche areas, I think we should at least afford the same protections to those in these garden centers that we are doing for car dealers.

I may also say, just as a matter of point of personal notice, that it seems odd that when you go into what the good gentleman referred to as the "legislative process," that we have chosen car dealers and garden workers to protect and not so much for the other probably 99 percent of the workforce.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Russ Diamond.

Mr. DIAMOND. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I would be happy to consult with the gentleman and the Labor Secretary, but I do not think you put in that kind of bill.

Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed-to division of this amendment. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. All those in favor of 5324-2 will vote "aye"; those opposed will vote "nay."

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Mr. Speaker, the board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

### YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sapppey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Deloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
DeLozier	Kaufner	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman

Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and part 2 of the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration as amended?  
Bill as amended was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The bill as amended will be reprinted.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2436, PN 3612**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for emergency COVID-19 provisions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are three amendments from Representative Bradford. I think 5323 and 5322 have been withdrawn. He offers 05321.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

Mr. **BRADFORD** offered the following amendment No. **A05321**:

Amend Bill, page 2, line 3, by striking out "The" and inserting (a) General rule.—Upon the written approval of the Governor, the  
Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 9 and 10 (b) Required protection for employees.—All animal grooming services that receive a waiver and operate under subsection (a) shall also provide, at a minimum, the following protections for an essential employee:

- (1) Additional hazard pay, relative to the employee's risk of exposure.
- (2) Appropriate new personal protective equipment at the start of every shift. Personal protective equipment shall include, but not be limited to, gloves and a mask.
- (3) A work environment that maximizes the safety of the employee and the public, including, but not limited to, social distancing and barriers.
- (4) Cleaning areas with adequate soap and water and breaks to frequently engage in hand washing.
- (5) Leave for sickness, which shall be compensated at the employee's normal wage.
- (6) Information by the animal grooming service if a

coworker has been tested for COVID-19 and the results of those tests when available.

(c) Violation.—An animal grooming service operating in this Commonwealth under a waiver under subsection (a) that violates any requirement under subsection (b) shall be immediately closed and may not be permitted to reopen until the animal grooming service is in compliance.

(d) Definitions.—As used in this section, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings given to them in this subsection unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

"Essential employee." An employee of an animal grooming service who is required by the animal grooming service to report to work at the animal grooming service's physical location.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. If I am mistaken on that, just tell me. We are going to be at ease for just a moment.

The House will come to order.

AMENDMENT WITHDRAWN

The SPEAKER. All the amendments have been withdrawn. There are no other amendments.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 2423, PN 3588**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, providing for emergency COVID-19 provisions.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

The SPEAKER. There are three amendments. Let me just wait. They are filed by Representative Bradford.

On HB 2423, PN 3588, all the amendments have been withdrawn. There are no other amendments.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR A

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2206, PN 3600**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in administrative organization, further providing for executive officers, administrative departments and independent administrative boards and commissions, for departmental administrative boards, commissions and offices, for department heads and for gubernatorial appointments; in organization of departmental

administrative boards and commissions and of advisory boards and commissions, further providing for board to license private bankers; in Commonwealth agency fees, further providing for Department of Banking and for Pennsylvania Securities Commission; and, in powers and duties of the Department of Banking and its departmental administrative board and commission, further providing for powers and duties in general, for banking supervisory powers and for banking laws; repealing provisions relating to powers and duties of the Pennsylvania Securities Commission; and making editorial changes.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does reflect a unanimous vote by the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil

Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufar	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2370, PN 3659**, entitled:

An Act amending Titles 42 (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) and 57 (Notaries Public) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in Uniform Unsworn Foreign Declarations Act, further providing for heading of chapter, for short title of chapter, for definitions, for applicability and for form of unsworn declaration; and, in Revised Uniform Law on Notarial Acts, further providing for authority to perform notarial act, providing for notarial act performed by remotely located individual and further providing for notification regarding performance of notarial act on electronic record and selection of technology.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

The bill is sponsored by Chairman Mark Keller, and Mark Keller, our Commerce chair, is recognized.

Mr. KELLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is the electronic notary bill that we actually put in as an amendment to a Senate bill on a temporary basis. This bill now will become permanent when it passes. It is supported by the Pennsylvania Bankers Association, the PA Realtors, the PA Land Title, the Pennsylvania Association of Community Bankers, CrossState Credit Unions, the Bar Association, the

Department of Transportation, the Department of Aging, the Department of State, and the PA home association. It also makes business in the 21st century for Pennsylvania, so it puts us a step ahead.

I would appreciate a positive vote on this bill. Thank you.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board is accurate, a unanimous vote by the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sirs.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling

Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 2251, PN 3233**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), known as The Administrative Code of 1929, in powers and duties of the Department of Revenue, repealing provisions relating to vehicle and tractor codes.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?  
Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.  
The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative O'Neal. Waives off.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does reflect the unanimous vote by the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sirs.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **HB 327, PN 3658**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 12, 1951 (P.L.90, No.21), known as the Liquor Code, in preliminary provisions, further providing for definitions; and, in licenses and regulations, liquor, alcohol and malt and brewed beverages, providing for prepared beverages and mixed drinks for off-premises consumption during disaster emergency.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

The **SPEAKER**. There is a technical amendment, and it is Representative Warren's technical amendment, 05350. In consultation with the Parliamentarian, we do not need a two-thirds vote to suspend to take up this amendment because it is truly technical in nature.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Mr. **WARREN** offered the following amendment  
No. **A05350**:

Amend Bill, page 1, line 22, by inserting after "EMERGENCY" and reenacting provisions relating to local option  
Amend Bill, page 12, by inserting between lines 22 and 23  
Section 3. Section 472 of the act is reenacted to read:

Section 472. Local Option.—(a) In any municipality or any part of a municipality where such municipality is split so that each part thereof is separated by another municipality, an election may be held, subject to subsection (c), not oftener than once in four years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of liquor licenses to hotels, restaurants, resort facilities and clubs, not oftener than once in four years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of liquor licenses to public venues, to performing arts facilities, to continuing care retirement communities, to hotels located on property owned by an accredited college or university, to privately-owned private golf courses or to privately-owned public golf courses, not oftener than once in four years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of licenses to retail dispensers of malt and brewed beverages, not oftener than once in four years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to granting of licenses to wholesale distributors and importing distributors, not more than once in two years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of club liquor licenses or club retail dispenser licenses to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations, not oftener than once in two years to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of special occasion permits to qualified organizations, not more than once in four years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the establishment, operation and maintenance by the board of Pennsylvania liquor stores, within the limits of such municipality or part of a split municipality, or not more than once in two years, to determine the will of the electors with respect to the granting of liquor licenses to ski resort facilities, under the provisions of this act: Provided, That an election on the question of establishing and operating a State liquor store shall be initiated only in those municipalities, or that part of a split municipality that shall have voted against the granting of liquor licenses; and that an election on the question of granting wholesale distributor and importing distributor licenses shall be initiated only in those municipalities or parts of split municipalities that shall have at a previous election voted against the granting of dispenser's licenses. Except for a municipality or part of a split municipality located in a county of the second class A, whenever electors equal to at least twenty-five per centum of the highest vote cast for any office in the municipality or part of a split municipality at the last preceding general election shall file a petition with the county board of

elections of the county for a referendum on the question of granting any of said classes of licenses or the establishment of Pennsylvania liquor stores, the said county board of elections shall cause a question to be placed on the ballots or on the voting machine board and submitted at any election. In a county of the second class A, whenever electors equal to at least twenty-five per centum of the highest vote cast for any office in a municipality or part of a split municipality at the last preceding general election, or whenever five hundred electors of a municipality or part of a split municipality, whichever is less, sign a petition for a referendum on the question of granting any of the said classes of licenses or the establishment of Pennsylvania liquor stores and file the petition with the county board of elections, the said county board of elections shall cause a question to be placed on the ballots or on the voting machine board and submitted at any election. Separate petitions must be filed for each question to be voted on. Said proceedings shall be in the manner and subject to the provisions of the election laws which relate to the signing, filing and adjudication of nomination petitions, insofar as such provisions are applicable.

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses for the sale of liquor in..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses to resort facilities in those municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of liquor, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to resort facilities for the sale of liquor in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses to ski resorts in those municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of liquor, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to ski resort facilities for the sale of liquor in the..... Yes  
.....of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of restaurant liquor licenses for use at public venues in those municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of liquor, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to public venues for the sale of liquor in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of restaurant liquor licenses for use at performing arts facilities in those municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of alcohol, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to performing arts facilities for the sale of liquor in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses for hotels located on property owned by an accredited college or university in those municipalities that do not already allow the granting of liquor licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to hotels on property owned by an accredited college or university in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses, for privately-owned private golf courses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses for privately-owned private

golf courses for the sale of liquor Yes  
in.....by..... No  
of.....?

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses, for privately-owned public golf courses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses for privately-owned public golf courses for the sale of liquor in..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses to continuing care retirement communities in those municipalities that have not already approved the granting of liquor licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses for continuing care retirement communities in..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of licenses to retail dispensers of malt and brewed beverages, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of malt and brewed beverage retail dispenser licenses for consumption on premises where sold in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of licenses to wholesale distributors of malt or brewed beverages and importing distributors, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of malt and brewed beverage wholesale distributor's and importing distributor's licenses not for consumption on premises where sold in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of club liquor licenses to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of club liquor licenses to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of club retail dispenser licenses to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of club retail dispenser licenses to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of special occasion permits allowing the sale of liquor by qualified organizations in municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of liquor, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of special occasion permits to allow the sale of liquor by qualified organizations in the..... Yes  
of.....? No

When the question is in respect to the granting of special occasion permits allowing the sale of malt or brewed beverages only by qualified organizations in municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of malt or brewed beverages, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of special



occasion permits to allow the sale of malt or brewed beverages only by qualified organizations in the.....  
of.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the establishment, operation and maintenance of Pennsylvania liquor stores it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the establishment, operation and maintenance of Pennsylvania liquor stores in the.....  
of.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of liquor licenses to an airport authority in those municipalities that do not already allow the retail sale of liquor, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of liquor licenses to an airport authority for the sale of liquor in the.....  
of.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of brewery licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of brewery licenses for the sale of malt or brewed beverages by the case, by the keg and by the glass for consumption on premises, plus the sale of wine and spirits by the glass for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of brewery storage licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of brewery storage licenses for the sale of malt or brewed beverages by the case, by the keg and by the glass for consumption on premises, plus the sale of wine and spirits by the glass for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of limited distillery licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of limited distillery licenses for the sale of spirits by the bottle to go and by the glass for consumption on premises, plus the sale of wine and malt or brewed beverages for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of additional licenses for board-approved limited distillery locations, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of additional licenses for board-approved limited distillery locations for the sale of spirits by the bottle to go and by the glass for consumption on premises, plus the sale of wine and malt or brewed beverages for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of limited winery licenses, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of limited winery licenses for the sale of wine by the bottle to go and by the glass for

consumption on premises, plus the sale of spirits and malt or brewed beverages for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

When the question is in respect to the granting of additional licenses for board-approved limited winery locations, it shall be in the following form:

Do you favor the granting of additional licenses for board-approved limited winery locations for the sale of wine by the bottle to go and by the glass for consumption on premises, plus the sale of spirits and malt or brewed beverages for consumption on premises, in.....  
by.....?

Yes  
No

In case of a tie vote, the status quo shall obtain. If a majority of the voting electors on any such question vote "yes," then liquor licenses shall be granted by the board to hotels, restaurants, ski resorts, resort facilities and clubs, or liquor licenses shall be granted by the board to public venues, to performing arts facilities, to continuing care retirement communities, to hotels located on property owned by an accredited college or university, to privately-owned private golf courses or to privately-owned public golf courses, or malt and brewed beverage retail dispenser licenses or wholesale distributor's and importing distributor's license for the sale of malt or brewed beverages shall be granted by the board, or club liquor licenses or club retail dispenser licenses shall be granted by the board to incorporated units of national veterans' organizations, or special occasion permits may be issued to qualified organizations, or the board may establish, operate and maintain Pennsylvania liquor stores, as the case may be, in such municipality or part of a split municipality, as provided by this act; but if a majority of the electors voting on any such question vote "no," then the board shall have no power to grant or to renew upon their expiration any licenses of the class so voted upon in such municipality or part of a split municipality; or if the negative vote is on the question in respect to the establishment, operation and maintenance of Pennsylvania liquor stores, the board shall not open and operate a Pennsylvania liquor store in such municipality or part of a split municipality, nor continue to operate a then existing Pennsylvania liquor store in the municipality or part of a split municipality for more than two years thereafter or after the expiration of the term of the lease on the premises occupied by such store, whichever period is less, unless and until at a later election a majority of the voting electors vote "yes" on such question.

Amend Bill, page 12, line 23, by striking out "3" and inserting 4

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

The SPEAKER. Representative Warren, if you just want to describe what the technical change is, we would appreciate it. Thank you, sir.

Mr. WARREN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The technical amendment makes the language of the bill comport with the language of Act 48, passed by both chambers in 2019, and just addresses the number of votes – not votes, the number of petition signatures necessary to call for a referendum on a liquor referendum.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Will the House agree to the amendment?

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board is correct for the majority party.

The SPEAKER. Okay, wait. I think there are other folks changing their—

We are going to close the vote here within the next 30 seconds, and then I will call upon the two whips. I have to call on the two whips before I close the votes. So we are going to be calling on the whips, so if you are changing your vote before we close it – I am calling on the whips and we are going to close the vote.

So the majority whip, right now will you please tell us whether this reflects the vote of the majority caucus.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Mr. Speaker, after further reflection, the board now reflects the vote of the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. We were the same 2 minutes ago; we are the same today.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is accurate.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, both of you.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—128

Bernstine	Farry	Lee	Readshaw
Bizzarro	Fiedler	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Fitzgerald	Madden	Rozzi
Boyle	Flynn	Malagari	Sainato
Bradford	Frankel	Markosek	Samuelson
Briggs	Freeman	Masser	Sanchez
Brooks	Gabler	Matzie	Sappey
Bullock	Gainey	McCarter	Schlossberg
Burgos	Galloway	McClinton	Schmitt
Burns	Gaydos	McNeill	Schroeder
Caltagirone	Goodman	Mehaffie	Shusteyer
Carroll	Green	Merski	Shusterman
Cephas	Gregory	Metzgar	Simmons
Ciresi	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Sims
Comitta	Harkins	Miller, D.	Snyder
Conklin	Harris	Mizgorski	Solomon
Cox	Heffley	Mullery	Staats
Cruz	Helm	Mullins	Struzzi
Daley	Hennessey	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Hohenstein	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Howard	Neilson	Toepel
Davis, T.	Innamorato	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Isaacson	Otten	Toohil
Deasy	Kenyatta	Pashinski	Topper
DeLissio	Kim	Petrarca	Ullman
Deloso	Kinsey	Pickett	Vitali
DeLuca	Kirkland	Polinchock	Warren
Dermody	Kortz	Puskaric	Webster
Donatucci	Kosierowski	Pyle	Wheatley
Driscoll	Krueger	Quinn	Williams
Evans	Kulik	Rabb	Youngblood
Everett	Lawrence	Ravenstahl	Zabel

#### NAYS—74

Barrar	Fritz	Knowles	Rigby
Benninghoff	Gillen	Lewis	Roae
Bonner	Gillespie	Mackenzie	Rothman
Borowicz	Gleim	Maloney	Rowe
Brown	Greiner	Marshall	Ryan
Causar	Grove	Mentzer	Sankey
Cook	Hahn	Metcalfe	Saylor
Culver	Hershey	Millard	Schemel
Cutler	Hickernell	Miller, B.	Sonney
Davanzo	Irvin	Moul	Stephens
Day	James	Nelson	Tobash
Delozier	Jones	O'Neal	Warner

Diamond	Jozwiak	Oberlander	Wentling
Dowling	Kail	Ortitay	Wheeland
Dunbar	Kaufer	Owlett	White
Dush	Kauffman	Peifer	Zimmerman
Ecker	Keefer	Rader	
Emrick	Keller	Rapp	Turzai,
Fee	Klunk	Reese	Speaker

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendment was agreed to.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

The SPEAKER. Now, we are going to go right to the bill, and it is as amended by 5350.

On the question recurring,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration as amended?

Bill as amended was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Craig Staats, on the bill.

What you are going to be voting on is as amended by amendment 05350.

Representative Craig Staats.

Mr. STAATS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I rise in support of HB 327. As someone who has spent over 35 years in the hotel and restaurant industry, I am very sensitive to the hundreds and thousands of men and women across Pennsylvania who must now sit patiently and wait to be told they can return to work. I talk with many of my district who once held these jobs. These are our friends, our neighbors. They worked hard to pay their mortgage, pay their rent, and feed their families, but are no longer permitted to do so. In Pennsylvania restaurant operators have had to lay off or furlough more than 330,000 of their employees since March. Many of the restaurant operators I have talked to will say they are doing everything they can just to hang on. Some are taking advantage of the opportunity to offer takeout or curbside service, but it does little more than enable them to keep the lights on, and in many cases, simply prolong the inevitable. And it does nothing, does nothing for the many servers and bartenders who until recently made their living on tips.

Mr. Speaker, the hospitality industry is a resilient industry with many creative and innovative operators who have worked hard every day to establish a loyal customer base. They have dealt with many issues over the years, but none more challenging than COVID-19. Mr. Speaker, they need our help. This legislation is not a cure-all – it is not even close – but will provide a lifeline and perhaps buy some time for our local restaurants, and will help

to ensure that our servers, cooks, bartenders, and hospitality employees have a job to return to.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on HB 327.

The SPEAKER. Representative Masser, on HB 327.

Mr. MASSER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, this is a very important bill for an industry that has truly been devastated by this pandemic, and I would ask everybody for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Thank you, sir.

Representative Warren, do you wish to speak on it? No. Waives off.

On the question recurring,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

YEAS—193

Barrar	Farry	Lewis	Roae
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Longiotti	Roebuck
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bizzarro	Flynn	Madden	Rowe
Boback	Frankel	Malagari	Rozzi
Bonner	Freeman	Maloney	Ryan
Borowicz	Fritz	Markosek	Sainato
Boyle	Gabler	Marshall	Samuelson
Bradford	Gainey	Masser	Sanchez
Briggs	Galloway	Matzie	Sankey
Brooks	Gaydos	McCarter	Sappey
Brown	Gillespie	McClinton	Saylor
Bullock	Gleim	McNeill	Schemel
Burgos	Goodman	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Burns	Green	Merski	Schmitt
Caltagirone	Gregory	Metcalfe	Schroeder
Carroll	Grove	Metzgar	Schweyer
Causser	Hahn	Mihalek	Shusterman
Cephas	Hanbidge	Millard	Simmons
Ciresi	Harkins	Miller, D.	Sims
Comitta	Harris	Mizgorski	Snyder
Conklin	Heffley	Moul	Solomon
Cook	Helm	Mullery	Sonney
Cox	Hennessey	Mullins	Staats
Cruz	Hershey	Murt	Stephens
Culver	Hohenstein	Mustello	Struzzi
Daley	Howard	Neilson	Sturla
Davanzo	Innamorato	Nelson	Thomas
Davidson	Irvin	O'Mara	Tobash
Davis, A.	Isaacson	O'Neal	Toepel
Davis, T.	James	Oberlander	Tomlinson
Dawkins	Jones	Ortitay	Toohil
Day	Jozwiak	Otten	Topper
Deasy	Kail	Owlett	Ullman
DeLissio	Kaufer	Pashinski	Vitali
Delloso	Kauffman	Peifer	Warner
Delozier	Keefer	Petrarca	Warren

DeLuca	Keller	Pickett	Webster
Dermody	Kenyatta	Polinchock	Wentling
Diamond	Kim	Puskaric	Wheatley
Donatucci	Kinsey	Pyle	Wheeland
Dowling	Kirkland	Quinn	White
Driscoll	Klunk	Rabb	Williams
Dunbar	Knowles	Rader	Youngblood
Dush	Kortz	Rapp	Zabel
Ecker	Kosierowski	Ravenstahl	
Emrick	Krueger	Readshaw	Turzai,
Evans	Kulik	Reese	Speaker
Everett	Lee	Rigby	

NAYS—9

Cutler	Greiner	Lawrence	Miller, B.
Fee	Hickernell	Mentzer	Zimmerman
Gillen			

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk present the same to the Senate for concurrence.

\* \* \*

The House proceeded to third consideration of **SB 67, PN 60**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to join the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact; providing for the form of the compact; imposing additional powers and duties on the Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth and the Compact.

On the question,

Will the House agree to the bill on third consideration?

Bill was agreed to.

(Bill analysis was read.)

The SPEAKER. This bill has been considered on three different days and agreed to and is now on final passage.

The question is, shall the bill pass finally?

Representative Greg Rothman, on the bill.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, thank you.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak on behalf of SB 67, offered by our former colleague, Senator Judy Ward. In this present world of pandemic, massive unemployment, financial ruin, fear, along with the isolation that comes from the shelter in place and the government-ordered lockdown, the importance of this legislation is real. The Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact is an interstate agreement that facilitates the practice of psychology using telecommunication technologies. This bill addresses the increased demands to provide and receive psychological services via modern communication technology. Lives will be saved, as innumerable people will have access to psychological services that would not have been accessed prior to the passage of this bill. PSYPACT will permit psychologists

to provide services to populations currently underserved or geographically isolated by the pandemic and by their locational circumstances.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote for this bill. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. Representative Tom Murt.

Mr. MURT. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to echo the remarks of my colleague who just spoke. Mr. Speaker, this important legislation empowers our Commonwealth to adopt the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact in order to increase public access to psychological services by allowing for telepsychological practice across State lines, as well as temporary in-person services.

Mr. Speaker, right now, as we find ourselves in the middle of a pandemic, many of our brothers and sisters, constituents, neighbors, and loved ones struggle with mental illness or behavioral health challenges. We discover that telepsychological therapy counseling has proven to be a very effective form of health care for many Pennsylvanians. Mr. Speaker, out of the current pandemic, we have observed that while there is no substitute for face-to-face therapy, telepsychological practice certainly has found a place in the delivery of health care in our Commonwealth in the 21st century. Presently there is an ongoing effort to establish a legal and ethical way for licensed psychologists and therapists to practice across State boundaries. Each State has its own licensing laws and rules which vary considerably, making it extremely difficult for a person to obtain a license to practice in several States. Mr. Speaker, as such, the possibility of providing psychological services by way of telecommunication technologies across jurisdictional boundaries is simply unattainable, and to help address these issues, the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards has developed PSYPACT, which will allow qualified licensed psychologists and therapists to practice using telecommunications technology to practice temporarily in person or face-to-face across State lines. PSYPACT is designed to increase public access to professional psychological services to enhance our State's ability to protect client-patient health and safety, to promote cooperation between compact States in the area of licensure and regulation, and to exchange information between compact States, such as verification of licensure and disciplinary history.

Mr. Speaker, the compact contains provisions concerning home State licensure; compact privilege to practice telepsychology; conditions of telepsychology practice in a receiving State; adverse actions; additional authorities invested in a compact State's psychology regulatory authority; a Coordinated Licensure Information System; establishment of a commission; oversight, dispute resolution and enforcement; and the date of implementation and compact.

Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, I will repeat what I said earlier, that there is no substitute for the face-to-face and personal relationship between a therapist and a patient, but this legislation will allow more Pennsylvanians to access the help they need to be well and to get the mental health care they need to be salubrious and healthy.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Cutler, the majority leader, on the bill.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I recently had the privilege of speaking with one of our own local psychologists, who is now the chief well-being officer for one of our local health systems, about the importance of this bill, and of course, I know the prime sponsor, who is currently in the Senate but once served with us here in the House, and find her to be an amazing woman who has a lot of interest in many issues, but specifically, health care because of her nursing background.

What is the need and why do we need this right now? The huge need for mental health services, which the prior gentleman highlighted, is absolutely correct. And I want to congratulate the good gentleman because I know that mental health, wellness, and services have been a passion of his through his entire time and tenure here. So to the good chairman I say, thank you for your advocacy.

This bill would increase access all across the Commonwealth to these kinds of services, because it would enter us into an interjurisdictional licensure compact. It is important because currently there are nearly 1,000 licensed psychologists located in Philadelphia County, and 800 in Allegheny County, but in counties such as Perry or Potter, there is only 1. As the pandemic and resulting economic downturn have negatively affected many people's lives in many, many ways, the truth is, one of those at the forefront is their mental health and well-being. It has created new barriers for people who have already been suffering from mental illness, substance abuse disorder, and access to behavioral health care that is vital, regular appointments that they could no longer make. Through this compact, consumers will have improved access to care and a continuity of care from qualified and licensed psychologists, especially should a patient relocate somewhere in the Commonwealth after this pandemic. States will have a means to identify the providers in their State, as well as have a procedure to address disciplinary sanctions. It is supported by a broad array of groups: the psychology association; the Hospital Association; the Rehab and Community Providers Association; AARP; and the Department of Defense, because military families relocate so frequently, and occupational licensure provides to them a huge barrier to practice. The administration and the Department of State are neutral, and to the best of my knowledge, there is no opposition. It has passed unanimously throughout the process, and it is my sincere hope that it continues that trend today.

I urge all of our colleagues to support this bill in support of mental health and well-being so that individuals can attain treatment that is necessary during a time when it is most needed.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party. Thank you.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.

Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

## YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longietti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causar	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Deloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufer	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

NAYS—0

NOT VOTING—0

EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the bill passed finally.

Ordered, That the clerk return the same to the Senate with the information that the House has passed the same without amendment.

## SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR B

BILL ON CONCURRENCE  
IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

The House proceeded to consideration of concurrence in Senate amendments to **HB 360, PN 3660**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage license, further providing for restrictions on issuance of license and for oral examination; and, in child protective services, providing for pandemic of 2020.

On the question,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. So moved by Representative Jesse Topper.

Sir, please speak on the underlying bill and the Senate amendments.

Mr. TOPPER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

This bill was amended to allow applicants for a marriage license to submit an affidavit rather than appear in person if a courthouse is closed due to an emergency declaration, as is currently the case. This is the same as a current exemption which applies if an applicant is unavailable to appear in person due to active military service. This exemption applies only if the register of wills is closed due to a disaster emergency declared by the Governor or a judicial emergency declared by the courts.

It was also amended in the Senate that the bill provide a temporary waiver of the FBI fingerprinting requirement for background checks under the Child Protective Services Law, and it was then further amended that the bill also add educators under the Public School Code to the provisions relating to recertification of an earlier background check. The underlying bill, HB 360, which was passed unanimously in this chamber as well as the Senate, dealt with a child protection issue in the eliminating of child marriage in Pennsylvania, and for that I would also like to thank the gentleman from Bucks, Mr. Warren, for his help in that bill, and I would urge a "yes" vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. Representative Warren, sir.

Mr. WARREN. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

And thank you to the gentleman from Bedford County for working together with me and with the many advocates in support of this child protection bill, and thank you to our colleagues in the Senate for amending the bill today to protect children here in Pennsylvania. I also ask for an affirmative vote.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

On the question recurring,

Will the House concur in Senate amendments?

The SPEAKER. Agreeable to the provisions of the Constitution, the yeas and nays will now be taken.

(Members proceeded to vote.)

The SPEAKER. The majority whip.

Mr. BENNINGHOFF. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The electronic voting board does accurately reflect the vote of the majority party for HB 360.

The SPEAKER. And the minority whip.  
Mr. HARRIS. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The electronic board is accurate.

The following roll call was recorded:

#### YEAS—202

Barrar	Fee	Lawrence	Reese
Benninghoff	Fiedler	Lee	Rigby
Bernstine	Fitzgerald	Lewis	Roae
Bizzarro	Flynn	Longiotti	Roebuck
Boback	Frankel	Mackenzie	Rothman
Bonner	Freeman	Madden	Rowe
Borowicz	Fritz	Malagari	Rozzi
Boyle	Gabler	Maloney	Ryan
Bradford	Gainey	Markosek	Sainato
Briggs	Galloway	Marshall	Samuelson
Brooks	Gaydos	Masser	Sanchez
Brown	Gillen	Matzie	Sankey
Bullock	Gillespie	McCarter	Sappey
Burgos	Gleim	McClinton	Saylor
Burns	Goodman	McNeill	Schemel
Caltagirone	Green	Mehaffie	Schlossberg
Carroll	Gregory	Mentzer	Schmitt
Causser	Greiner	Merski	Schroeder
Cephas	Grove	Metcalfe	Schweyer
Ciresi	Hahn	Metzgar	Shusterman
Comitta	Hanbidge	Mihalek	Simmons
Conklin	Harkins	Millard	Sims
Cook	Harris	Miller, B.	Snyder
Cox	Heffley	Miller, D.	Solomon
Cruz	Helm	Mizgorski	Sonney
Culver	Hennessey	Moul	Staats
Cutler	Hershey	Mullery	Stephens
Daley	Hickernell	Mullins	Struzzi
Davanzo	Hohenstein	Murt	Sturla
Davidson	Howard	Mustello	Thomas
Davis, A.	Innamorato	Neilson	Tobash
Davis, T.	Irvin	Nelson	Toepel
Dawkins	Isaacson	O'Mara	Tomlinson
Day	James	O'Neal	Toohil
Deasy	Jones	Oberlander	Topper
DeLissio	Jozwiak	Ortitay	Ullman
Delloso	Kail	Otten	Vitali
Delozier	Kaufers	Owlett	Warner
DeLuca	Kauffman	Pashinski	Warren
Dermody	Keefer	Peifer	Webster
Diamond	Keller	Petrarca	Wentling
Donatucci	Kenyatta	Pickett	Wheatley
Dowling	Kim	Polinchock	Wheeland
Driscoll	Kinsey	Puskaric	White
Dunbar	Kirkland	Pyle	Williams
Dush	Klunk	Quinn	Youngblood
Ecker	Knowles	Rabb	Zabel
Emrick	Kortz	Rader	Zimmerman
Evans	Kosierowski	Rapp	
Everett	Krueger	Ravenstahl	Turzai,
Farry	Kulik	Readshaw	Speaker

#### NAYS—0

#### NOT VOTING—0

#### EXCUSED—1

Mako

The majority required by the Constitution having voted in the affirmative, the question was determined in the affirmative and the amendments were concurred in.

Ordered, That the clerk inform the Senate accordingly.

### BILLS SIGNED BY SPEAKER

Bills numbered and entitled as follows having been prepared for presentation to the Governor, and the same being correct, the titles were publicly read as follows:

#### HB 360, PN 3660

An Act amending Title 23 (Domestic Relations) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, in marriage license, further providing for restrictions on issuance of license and for oral examination; and, in child protective services, providing for pandemic of 2020.

#### SB 67, PN 60

An Act authorizing the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to join the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact; providing for the form of the compact; imposing additional powers and duties on the Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth and the Compact.

Whereupon, the Speaker, in the presence of the House, signed the same.

### ANNOUNCEMENT BY SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. Members, the House will be in session tomorrow. We will be getting the notice out here shortly. The House will be in session tomorrow at 11 a.m. You obviously can vote under our temporary rule remotely or you can vote from your Capitol office or you can be here on the floor, but we are going to be in session at 11 a.m. tomorrow. The notice will go out shortly from the Chief Clerk's Office.

### STATE GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

The SPEAKER. The Chair calls upon the majority leader for a committee announcement.

Mr. CUTLER. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, the chairman of the State Government Committee asked me to announce the following. They will hold a meeting tomorrow at the call of the Chair to consider HB 2459 and HB 2440 and any other business that comes before the committee. That will be announced from the floor.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER. The State Government Committee will hold a meeting tomorrow at the call of the Chair.

I am going to do housekeeping. There are no further votes today. We are scheduled also for Monday, May 4; Tuesday, May 5; and Wednesday, May 6. But we are going to be in tomorrow at 11 a.m. Obviously, you can vote remotely under the temporary rules.

### BILLS RECOMMITTED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations:

HB 1696;  
HB 1983;  
HB 2044;  
HB 2408,

HB 2423;  
 HB 2429;  
 HB 2435;  
 HB 2436; and  
 SB 1027.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

### BILLS REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that the following bills be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar:

HB 1566;  
 HB 1947;  
 HB 2065; and  
 HB 2433.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

### CALENDAR CONTINUED

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

The House proceeded to second consideration of **HB 1800, PN 2886**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P.L.30, No.14), known as the Public School Code of 1949, in school district financial recovery, providing for duties of receivers in certain districts.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the bill on second consideration?

#### BILL TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1800 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

#### BILL REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HB 1800 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTIONS

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 400, PN 2122**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the life and legacy of Octavius Valentine Catto, civil rights activist, educator, military officer and baseball player.

On the question,  
 Will the House adopt the resolution?

#### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 400 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

#### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 400 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 438, PN 2285**, entitled:

A Resolution directing the Joint State Government Commission to study the fiscal impact of a timely and expeditious versus a delayed implementation of the Family First Prevention Services Act on the Commonwealth's funding under Part E of Title IV of the Social Security Act.

On the question,  
 Will the House adopt the resolution?

#### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 438 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

#### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 438 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
 Will the House agree to the motion?  
 Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 691, PN 3209**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing March 6, 2020, as "Black Balloon Day" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 691 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 691 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 692, PN 3210**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the month of March 2020 as "National Social Work Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 692 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 692 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 696, PN 3214**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of March 8 through 14, 2020, as "Multiple Sclerosis Awareness Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 696 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 696 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 714, PN 3251**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the month of February 2020 as "National Cancer Prevention Month" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 714 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 714 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*



Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 715, PN 3252**, entitled:

A Resolution recognizing the week of February 24 through March 1, 2020, as "Eating Disorders Awareness Week" in Pennsylvania.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 715 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 715 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

\* \* \*

Mr. CUTLER called up **HR 717, PN 3254**, entitled:

A Resolution honoring the life of former President of the United States, Ronald Wilson Reagan.

On the question,  
Will the House adopt the resolution?

### RESOLUTION TABLED

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 717 be removed from the active calendar and placed on the tabled calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

### RESOLUTION REMOVED FROM TABLE

The SPEAKER. The majority leader moves that HR 717 be removed from the tabled calendar and placed on the active calendar.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. To Representatives Lawrence and Millard, you may actually speak. I will stay here at the rostrum and have you speak. I am not in any rush, so do not feel like you have to submit it for the record. If you want to talk at the podium, I am glad to stay.

And if anybody else wishes to be recognized on unanimous consent, I am glad to stay here on the floor until you have that opportunity. I will call upon Representative Lawrence here in just a minute. If any members are going to exit the floor, now is the time to do so; now is the time to do so because I am going to call on some speakers, and we would like to give, you know, the floor, the attention to these members. So members, if you could, let us grant them this opportunity.

### REMARKS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD

The SPEAKER. Representative John Lawrence.  
Mr. LAWRENCE. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
I just have some remarks to submit for the record on SB 67, the good gentlelady from Blair County's bill.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.  
The SPEAKER. Yes, sir.

Mr. LAWRENCE submitted the following remarks for the Legislative Journal:

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

I will be a "yes" vote on SB 67. I would like to make special reference to the legislative intent of the bill, which allows Pennsylvania to participate in this interstate compact, while continuing to keep Pennsylvania's licensure board in a position of primacy as to who may and may not ultimately practice within the Commonwealth.

I encourage an affirmative vote.

The SPEAKER. Representative David Millard— No. Waives off. I am sorry.

Does anybody else wish to be recognized? Okay.

### BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS PASSED OVER

The SPEAKER. Without objection, all remaining bills and resolutions on today's calendar will be passed over. The Chair hears no objection.

### ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER. Representative Jonathan Fritz moves that the House be adjourned until Thursday, April 30, 2020, at 11 a.m., e.d.t., unless sooner recalled by the Speaker.

On the question,  
Will the House agree to the motion?  
Motion was agreed to, and at 4:06 p.m., e.d.t., the House adjourned.