

Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, JULY 1, 1975

Session of 1975

159th of the General Assembly

Vol. 1, No. 40

SENATE

TUESDAY, July 1, 1975.

The Senate met at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Martin L. Murray) in the Chair.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Monsignor PAUL LENZ, Pastor of the Church of St. John the Evangelist, Bellefonte, offered the following prayer:

O God, always concerned about mankind as we know so well from the Scriptures and from Your many acts on behalf of the men You created, hear our prayer today, another working day, and inspire us to measure up to what You expect of us and to fulfill our roles for which you will judge us.

As you inspired another American legislator, Abraham Lincoln, while on sacred Pennsylvania soil, over 100 years ago, to seek liberty and justice for all and to have malice toward none, inspire all here likewise today that in the deliberations of this Senate, there will be justice for all and never malice or selfishness in any of the important deliberations. Amen.

JOURNAL APPROVED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. A quorum of the Senate being present, the Clerk will read the Journal of the preceding Session.

The Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of the preceding Session, when, on motion of Senator NOLAN, further reading was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

RESOLUTIONS REPORTED FROM COMMITTEE

Senator NOLAN, from the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations, reported without amendment, the following Senate Resolutions, numbered and entitled:

Serial No. 36—Senate Committee to investigate conditions at State Correctional Institutions.

Serial No. 46—Directing the Joint State Government Commission to study problems of the apparel industry.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The resolutions will be placed on the Calendar.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

Senator ORLANDO, from the Committee on Finance,

reported, as committed, **SB 863, 864, 865, 867, 868, 869 and 871.**

Senator DUFFIELD, from the Committee on Law and Justice, reported, as committed, **SB 744 and 745.**

BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

Senators NOLAN, ROSS, SWEENEY, ORLANDO, EARLY, LEWIS and SCANLON presented to the Chair **SB 901**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of August 5, 1941 (P. L. 752, No. 286), entitled "Civil Service Act," placing certain positions in the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board in classified service category.

Which was committed to the Committee on State Government.

Senators HILL, EARLY, SWEENEY, LEWIS, REIBMAN, ARLENE, HAGER and EWING presented to the Chair **SB 902**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 28, 1937 (P. L. 417, No. 105), entitled, as amended, "Milk Marketing Law," authorizing schools to purchase milk or milk products from the lowest responsible bidder.

Which was committed to the Committee on Education.

Senator O'PAKE presented to the Chair **SB 903**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," further providing for alternative methods of equalizing tax levies among certain school districts.

Which was committed to the Committee on Education.

Senators EARLY, KELLEY and NOLAN presented to the Chair **SB 904**, entitled:

An Act authorizing the Department of Property and Supplies to sell and convey a tract of land situate in Harrison Township, Allegheny County to the Allegheny-Ludlum Industries, Incorporated.

Which was committed to the Committee on State Government.

Senator EARLY presented to the Chair **SB 905**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 13, 1967 (P. L. 31, No. 21), entitled "Public Welfare Code," further providing for State blind pensions and for medical assistance to persons receiving such pensions.

Which was committed to the Committee on Public Health and Welfare.

He also presented to the Chair **SB 906**, entitled:

An Act creating the Pennsylvania Commission of the Blind and defining its powers and duties; defining blindness; and making transfers and repeals.

Which was committed to the Committee on Public Health and Welfare.

Senators HAGER, JUBELIRER, KURY, STAUFFER, MURPHY, MESSINGER, McKINNEY, LEWIS, MANBECK, HESS, DWYER and O'PAKE presented to the Chair **SB 907**, entitled:

An Act relating to the promotion of the health, safety and welfare of the people of the Commonwealth by defining medical malpractice, prohibiting medical treatment without consent, creating a Medical Malpractice Arbitration Board, creating a Patients' Compensation Fund, providing for recovery for malpractice, requiring bond or insurance coverage, establishing the period of limitations for malpractice suits, providing for counterclaims, and establishing standards for witnesses in malpractice cases.

Which was committed to the Committee on Public Health and Welfare.

Senators NOSZKA, SCANLON and ORLANDO presented to the Chair **SB 908**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of July 28, 1953 (P. L. 723, No. 230), entitled, as amended, "Second Class County Code," further providing for promotions of county police.

Which was committed to the Committee on Local Government.

Senators HILL, COPPERSMITH, ANDREWS and HAGER presented to the Chair **SB 909**, entitled:

An Act providing an appropriation to the Pennsylvania District Attorneys' Association.

Which was committed to the Committee on Appropriations.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WEEKLY ADJOURNMENT

Senator NOLAN offered the following resolution, which was read, considered and adopted:

In the Senate, July 1, 1975.

RESOLVED, (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate adjourns this week it reconvene on Tuesday, July 8, 1975, and when the House of Representatives adjourns this week it reconvene on Monday, July 7, 1975.

Ordered, That the Clerk present the same to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

CALENDAR

FINAL PASSAGE CALENDAR

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 307—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILL OVER IN ORDER

SB 774—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILLS REREPORTED FROM COMMITTEE AS AMENDED OVER IN ORDER

SB 659 and **660**—Without objection, the bills were

passed over in their order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 45, 141 and 142—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED

HB 153 (Pr. No. 1791)—Considered the third time,

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration? Senator SMITH, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Title, page 1, line 13, by inserting after "[1977]": further providing for contributions by corporations, unincorporated associations or unions

Amend Bill, page 4, by inserting between lines 4 and 5:

Section 3. Section 1605 of the act is amended by adding subsections to read:

Section 1605. Contributions for Election Expenses to Be Made to Candidates or Treasurers; Contributions by Corporations and Unincorporated Associations Prohibited.—

* * *

(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b), any corporation, unincorporated association or union may make contributions including expenditures in kind, not otherwise prohibited by law, for political purposes in an amount not to exceed five thousand (\$5,000.00) dollars in the aggregate in any calendar year.

(d) Neither the provisions of this section nor the provisions of section 1604(a), or any other provisions of the laws of this Commonwealth shall be deemed to prohibit corporations, unincorporated associations or unions from establishing, administering and soliciting contributions to separate segregated funds to be utilized by such corporations, unincorporated associations, or unions for Federal, State and local political purposes. A separate segregated fund as provided in this section shall not be deemed to be a political committee within the meaning of this code.

Amend Sec. 3, page 4, line 5, by striking out "3" and inserting: 4.

Amend Sec. 4, page 4, line 20, by striking out "4." and inserting: 5.

Amend Sec. 5, page 5, line 4, by striking out "5." and inserting: 6.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator SMITH.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 190 (Pr. No. 211)—Considered the third time,

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senator KELLEY, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Title, page 1, line 6, by removing the period after "County" and inserting: and adding a route in Westmoreland County and making a repeal.

Amend Bill, page 2, by inserting between lines 12 and 13: Section 2. The act is amended by adding the following route in Westmoreland County:

WESTMORELAND COUNTY

New Stanton Borough

Route . . . Beginning at the intersection of Legislative Route 117 and Legislative Route 64125; thence in a northerly direction over former Township Road 678, now a Borough Street; thence in a northwesterly direction to the intersection with Byer's Avenue; thence in a northerly direction to former Township Road 539, now a Borough Street; thence in a northeasterly direction to the intersection of Legislative Route 64110 in the Borough of New Stanton, Westmoreland County, a distance of about .8 of a mile.

Section 3. Section 65, act of June 22, 1931 (P. L. 594, No. 203), entitled "An act establishing certain township roads as State highways; authorizing their construction, maintenance and improvement under certain conditions and restrictions; limiting the obligation of the Commonwealth in the construction of certain structures located on such highways; conferring certain powers upon the Department of Highways and local authorities, persons, associations and corporations for sharing the cost of the maintenance and construction of such highways; and making an appropriation to carry out the provisions of said act," is repealed in so far as it relates to Route 64211.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 13, by striking out "2." and inserting: 4.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator FRAME. Mr. President, may we be at ease a few moments?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate will be at ease.

(The Senate was at ease.)

And the question recurring,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

AMENDMENTS WITHDRAWN

Senator KELLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw the amendments I proposed to House Bill No. 190, Printer's No. 211.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection. The amendments have been withdrawn and the bill will go over on third consideration.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 212 (Pr. No. 1754)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,
Andrews,
Arlene,
Bell,
Cianfrani,
Dougherty,
Duffield,
Dwyer,
Early,
Ewing,
Fleming,
Frame,

Hager,
Hankins,
Hess,
Hobbs,
Holl,
Howard,
Jubelirer,
Kelley,
Kury,
Lentz,
Lewis,
Lynch,

Manbeck,
McKinney,
Mellow,
Messinger,
Moore,
Murphy,
Murray,
Myers,
Nolan,
Noszka,
O'Pake,
Orlando,

Reibman,
Ross,
Scanlon,
Smith,
Snyder,
Stapleton,
Stauffer,
Sweeney,
Tilghman,
Wood,
Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

SB 249 (Pr. No. 990)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,
Andrews,
Arlene,
Bell,
Cianfrani,
Dougherty,
Duffield,
Dwyer,
Early,
Ewing,
Fleming,
Frame,

Hager,
Hankins,
Hess,
Hobbs,
Holl,
Howard,
Jubelirer,
Kelley,
Kury,
Lentz,
Lewis,
Lynch,

Manbeck,
McKinney,
Mellow,
Messinger,
Moore,
Murphy,
Murray,
Myers,
Nolan,
Noszka,
O'Pake,
Orlando,

Reibman,
Ross,
Scanlon,
Smith,
Snyder,
Stapleton,
Stauffer,
Sweeney,
Tilghman,
Wood,
Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 260, 309 and 312—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 331 (Pr. No. 362)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,
Andrews,

Hager,
Hankins,

Manbeck,
McKinney,

Reibman,
Ross,

Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame,	Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch,	Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
---	---	---	--

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 364 (Pr. No. 401)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame,	Hager, Hankins, Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch,	Manbeck, McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
--	---	--	---

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 387 (Pr. No. 1759)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—45

Ammerman, Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame, Hager, Hankins,	Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch, Manbeck,	McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
--	---	--	---

NAYS—2

Andrews, Dwyer,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having

voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

SB 508 (Pr. No. 527)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—46

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame, Hager,	Hankins, Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch, Manbeck,	McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
---	---	--	---

NAYS—1

Bell,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

SB 519—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILL RECOMMITTED

SB 556 (Pr. No. 587)—Upon motion of Senator NOLAN, and agreed to, the bill was recommitted to the Committee on Judiciary.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 560 (Pr. No. 956)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame,	Hager, Hankins, Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch,	Manbeck, McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
--	---	--	---

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having

voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

SB 596 (Pr. No. 631)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

SB 612 (Pr. No. 992)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—46

Ammerman,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hess,	Mellow,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Holl,	Moore,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Howard,	Murphy,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Kelley,	Myers,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kury,	Nolan,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Wood,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Hager,	Manbeck,		

NAYS—1

Early,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

SB 634 (Pr. No. 673)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—45

Ammerman,	Hess,	McKinney,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hobbs,	Mellow,	Ross,
Arlene,	Holl,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Howard,	Moore,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Jubelirer,	Murphy,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Kelley,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Kury,	Myers,	Stauffer,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Nolan,	Sweeney,
Fleming,	Lewis,	Noszka,	Tilghman,
Frame,	Lynch,	O'Pake,	Wood,
Hager,	Manbeck,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Hankins,			

NAYS—2

Bell, Early,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

SB 650 (Pr. No. 689)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—46

Ammerman,	Hager,	McKinney,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	Mellow,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Moore,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Murphy,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Myers,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Nolan,	Sweeney,
Early,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lynch,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Manbeck,		

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

HB 665 (Pr. No. 747)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having

voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

SB 666 (Pr. No. 710)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

HB 671 (Pr. No. 753)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

SB 673 (Pr. No. 932)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman,	Hager,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Ross,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Duffield,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Dwyer,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Ewing,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Fleming,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Frame,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION REVERTED TO PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 693 (Pr. No. 1855)—Considered the third time,

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

MOTION TO REVERT TO PRIOR PRINTER'S NUMBER

Senator MURPHY. Mr. President, I move that House Bill No. 693 revert to the form it was in under Printer's No. 1072 and, if agreed to, ask that the bill be considered immediately.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

Senator NOLAN. There is a copy, Mr. President, on everybody's desk of the bill with the prior printer's number.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

It was agreed to.
The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate now has before it House Bill No. 693, Printer's No. 1072.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?
It was agreed to.

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Senator TILGHMAN. Mr. President, I rise to a question of parliamentary inquiry.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman from Montgomery, Senator Tilghman, will state it.

Senator TILGHMAN. Mr. President, does not reverting to a prior printer's number have the same effect as an amendment? Can we vote on it the same day?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. It does not have the same effect, Senator. The bill is in print on your desk.

And the question recurring,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—46

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame, Hager,	Hankins, Hess, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch, Manbeck,	McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
---	---	--	---

NAYS—1

Bell,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

SB 709 (Pr. No. 760)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

(During the calling of the roll, the following occurred:) Senator ARLENE. Mr. President, I would like to change my vote from "no" to "aye."

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman will be so recorded.

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—28

Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Duffield, Fleming, Frame, Hankins,	Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Kelley, Kury, Lynch, McKinney,	Mellow, Messinger, Murphy, Murray, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake,	Orlando, Reibman, Smith, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Zemprelli,
---	---	---	--

NAYS—20

Ammerman, Andrews, Dougherty, Dwyer, Early,	Ewing, Hager, Hess, Hill, Jubelirer,	Lentz, Lewis, Manbeck, Moore, Myers,	Ross, Scanlon, Snyder, Stapleton, Wood,
---	--	--	---

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

SB 710 (Pr. No. 878)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—28

Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani,	Hobbs, Holl, Howard,	Mellow, Messinger, Murphy,	Orlando, Reibman, Smith,
--------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------

Duffield, Fleming, Frame, Hankins,	Kelley, Kury, Lynch, McKinney,	Murray, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake,	Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Zemprelli,
---	---	---	--

NAYS—20

Ammerman, Andrews, Dougherty, Dwyer, Early,	Ewing, Hager, Hess, Hill, Jubelirer,	Lentz, Lewis, Manbeck, Moore, Myers,	Ross, Scanlon, Snyder, Stapleton, Wood,
---	--	--	---

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

BILLS REREFERRED

SB 711 (Pr. No. 762) and SB 712 (Pr. No. 763)—Upon motion of Senator NOLAN, and agreed to, the bills were rereferred to the Committee on Banking.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

SB 719 (Pr. No. 770)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame, Hager,	Hankins, Hess, Hill, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis, Lynch,	Manbeck, McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake, Orlando,	Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
---	--	--	---

NAYS—1

Bell,

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

HB 722 (Pr. No. 819)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Ammerman, Andrews, Arlene, Bell, Cianfrani, Dougherty, Duffield, Dwyer, Early, Ewing, Fleming, Frame,	Hager, Hankins, Hess, Hill, Hobbs, Holl, Howard, Jubelirer, Kelley, Kury, Lentz, Lewis,	Lynch, Manbeck, McKinney, Mellow, Messinger, Moore, Murphy, Murray, Myers, Nolan, Noszka, O'Pake,	Orlando, Reibman, Ross, Scanlon, Smith, Snyder, Stapleton, Stauffer, Sweeney, Tilghman, Wood, Zemprelli,
--	--	--	---

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 794—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILL OVER IN ORDER ON FINAL PASSAGE

SB 811 (Pr. No. 993)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

Senator MESSINGER. Mr. President, I would like to have everyone consider this particular bill because in it I see an attempt to limit the Commonwealth in placing community treatment centers because municipalities could have zoning ordinances against such a thing.

We had a battle in the City of Allentown on just this very problem. Finally the Commonwealth did put in this prerelease center. It has worked out well for over one and one-half years and it would have been unfortunate had it been denied on the basis of zoning done by the community itself.

I think this is an attempt to limit the Commonwealth in carrying out community treatment programs.

Senator BELL. Mr. President, this bill is regressive legislation. This bill will segment into small municipalities of this Commonwealth. This bill is so written that a tiny township, by zoning, could block the construction of a State highway. This bill is so written that a tiny municipality could block improvement for the overall welfare of a large segment of the Commonwealth.

Mr. President, I am voting "no" on this bill.

Senator TILGHMAN. Mr. President, I do not understand that what the last two gentlemen said is in the bill. It seems to me that you are protecting the right of a municipality to zone its community as it wishes in requiring that all people who build in the municipality follow the zoning code of the municipality.

We seem to talk a lot about protecting the rights of the municipality, and I think we should continue to do so. If there is some misunderstanding about the bill, maybe it should go over in its order. I am not moving to do that, Mr. President, I am only saying if some people feel that way, maybe they would like to move that it go over in its order. However, I do not understand that the bill does as the last two gentlemen said it does.

If there is a problem in Allentown relative to a community treatment center, I do not see that we have to change the laws of the State of Pennsylvania to take care of that one problem.

REQUEST FOR BILL OVER IN ORDER

Senator MESSINGER. Mr. President, I request that Senate Bill No. 811 go over in its order.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. At the request of the gentleman from Lehigh, Senator Messinger, Senate Bill

No. 811, Printer's No. 993, will go over in order on final passage.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 850, 851, 852, 881, 882, 883 and **HB 907**—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

HB 908 (Pr. No. 1760)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Ammerman,	Hager,	Lynch,	Orlando,
Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Jubelriner,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Early,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

HB 973 (Pr. No. 1111)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Ammerman,	Hager,	Lynch,	Orlando,
Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Jubelriner,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Early,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

HB 1335 (Pr. No. 1560)—Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Ammerman,	Hager,	Lynch,	Orlando,
Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Early,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	Nozka,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Clerk return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

REPORT FROM COMMITTEE ON RULES AND EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

Senator AMMERMAN, by unanimous consent, from the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations, reported the following nominations, made by His Excellency, the Governor, which were read by the Clerk as follows:

MEMBERS OF THE ARMORY BOARD OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

April 9, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate the following for reappointment as members of the Armory Board of the State of Pennsylvania:

Major General Nicholas Kafkalas, Quarters #3, Indian-town Gap Military Reservation, Annville 17003, Lebanon County, Fifteenth Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Col. Joseph Kovarick, Dreher Avenue, Stroudsburg 18360, Monroe County, Twenty-ninth Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Dominick Presto, 420 Mellon Avenue, Baden 15005, Beaver County, Forty-seventh Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Major General John J. Remetta, 8 Cross Drive, Scranton 18508, Lackawanna County, Twenty-second Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

MILTON J. SHAPP

ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 21, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to

nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Robert P. Kane, Esquire, 182 Highland Road, York 17403, York County, Twenty-eighth Senatorial District, for appointment as Attorney General, from January 3, 1975, to serve until superseded, vice Honorable Israel Packel, Philadelphia, resigned.

MILTON J. SHAPP

MEMBERS OF THE STATE BOARD OF BARBER EXAMINERS

April 9, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate the following for reappointment as members of the State Board of Barber Examiners:

Anthony Alfano, 1532 Second Avenue, York 17005, York County, Twenty-eighth Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

Thomas C. Bigler, 384 Lincoln Way, East, Chambersburg 17201, Franklin County, Thirty-third Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

Robert V. Vavro, 221 Glen Caladh Street, Pittsburgh 15207, Allegheny County, Forty-third Senatorial District, to serve until the third Tuesday of January, 1979, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

MILTON J. SHAPP

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS

June 25, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Eugenia P. Leskie, 57 Country Club Road, Turnersville, New Jersey, for appointment as Commissioner of Deeds for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with residence in the State of New Jersey, for the term of five years, to compute from the date of confirmation.

MILTON J. SHAPP

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH

January 21, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Honorable C. DeLores Tucker, 6700 Lincoln Drive, Philadelphia 19119, Philadelphia County, Thirty-sixth Senatorial District, for reappointment as Secretary of the Commonwealth, from January 6, 1975, to serve until superseded.

MILTON J. SHAPP

March 10, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate the following:

MEMBERS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA CRIME COMMISSION

March 10, 1975

George J. Barco, Esquire, 638 Park Avenue, Meadville 16335, Crawford County, Fiftieth Senatorial District, from

December 17, 1974, for a term of two years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Ronald R. Davenport, Esquire, 943 Sheridan Avenue, Pittsburgh 15206, Allegheny County, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of two years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBER OF THE STATE DENTAL COUNCIL
AND EXAMINING BOARD**

March 10, 1975

Dr. Leon N. Penzur, 1920 Pine Street, Philadelphia 19103, Philadelphia County, Second Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of six years, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

**MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF
MAYVIEW STATE HOSPITAL**

March 10, 1975

Ms. Gladys C. Harper, 5260 Centre Avenue, Pittsburgh 15232, Allegheny County, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from January 6, 1975, until the third Tuesday of January 1977, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBERS OF THE MOBILE HOME STANDARDS
ADVISORY COMMISSION**

March 10, 1975

Robert Childs (Dealer/Park Owner), 861 East Butler Avenue, Doylestown 18901, Bucks County, Tenth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of one year, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Robert J. Grenoble (Trade Association), 523 Coolidge Street, New Cumberland 17070, Cumberland County, Thirty-first Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of three years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Dominic Pelusi (Labor Union), Octororo and Sadsbury Road, R. D. #2, Parkersburg 19365, Chester County, Thirteenth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of three years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

James B. Post (Post Coach Manufacturer), 82 Grove Street, Wilkes-Barre 18702, Luzerne County, Fourteenth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of three years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Richard S. Shoemaker (Insurer of Mobile Homes), 160 Lester Avenue, York 17404, York County, Twenty-eighth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of two years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Robert F. Petrino, 469 Woodcrest Drive, Mechanicsburg 17055, Cumberland County, Thirty-first Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, for a term of one year, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBERS OF THE STATE REGISTRATION BOARD
FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS**

March 10, 1975

Robert F. Miller (Mining), 105 West Broad Street, Tamaqua 18252, Schuylkill County, Twenty-ninth Senatorial District, from December 23, 1974, for a term of six years, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

Donald C. Peters (Civil), Pearce Mill Road, R. D. 1, Wexford 15090, Allegheny County, Fortieth Senatorial District, from December 23, 1974, for a term of six years, and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified.

**MEMBER OF THE STATE BOARD FOR THE
EXAMINATION OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS**

March 10, 1975

William Francis Jacobs, Jr., Esquire, Apt. 101, 5619 Kentucky Avenue, Pittsburgh 15232, Allegheny County, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from January 6, 1975, for a term of four years, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBERS OF THE STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL
EDUCATION AND LICENSURE**

March 10, 1975

Nathan Hershey, Esquire (Allied Health Sciences), 5544 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh 15217, Allegheny County, Forty-third Senatorial District, from December 26, 1974, for the term of four years and until his successor shall have been appointed and qualified, pursuant to Act 189, approved 7-20-74, and Act 190, "The Medical Practice Act of 1973," approved July 20, 1974.

Ms. Barbara K. Shore (Public Member), 4293 Coleridge Street, Pittsburgh 15201, Allegheny County, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from December 26, 1974, for the term of four years and until her successor shall have been appointed and qualified, pursuant to Act 189, approved 7-20-74, and Act 190, "The Medical Practice Act of 1973," approved July 20, 1974.

**MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF
SLIPPERY ROCK STATE COLLEGE**

March 10, 1975

Dr. Charlotte H. Shapiro, Apartment 8-A, Chatham Center Tower, Pittsburgh 15219, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from December 30, 1974, until the third Tuesday of January 1977, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

Leo M. Stepanian, Esquire, 215 Homewood Drive, Butler 16001, Butler County, Twenty-first Senatorial District, from December 13, 1974, until the third Tuesday of January 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF
STATE COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY DIRECTORS**

March 10, 1975

Mrs. Patricia M. Coghlan, 4 Davidson Drive, Beaver Falls 15010, Beaver County, Forty-seventh Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1979, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

Edward L. Dardanell, 233 Sunset Drive, Pittsburgh 15235, Allegheny County, Forty-fifth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Laurence Fenninger, Jr., R. D., Riegelsville 18077, Bucks County, Tenth Senatorial District, from December 26, 1974, until June 30, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Miss Rebecca F. Gross, 1 Guard Lock Drive, Lock Haven 17745, Clinton County, Twenty-third Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1977, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

Percy D. Mitchell, 715 High Street, Williamsport 17701, Lycoming County, Twenty-third Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1979, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Charles S. Stone, Jr., 2271 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Philadelphia 19131, Philadelphia County, Seventh Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1977, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

Dr. John B. Veltri, 2 Heinz Terrace, Sharpsburg, Pittsburgh 15215, Allegheny County, Thirty-Eighth Senatorial District, from December 17, 1974, until June 30, 1977, and until his successor is appointed and qualified.

**MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
WESTERN YOUTH DEVELOPMENT CENTERS**

March 10, 1975

Mrs. Delphina B. Briscoe, 1613 Sunrise Avenue, Pitts-

burgh 15221, Thirty-eighth Senatorial District, from December 20, 1974, until the third Tuesday of January 1979, and until her successor is appointed and qualified.

PUBLIC ASSISTANCE BOARDS

March 10, 1975

ALLEGHENY COUNTY

Joseph M. Siudyla, 2147 Naomi Avenue, Glassport 15045, Allegheny County, Forty-fifth Senatorial District, from December 20, 1974, until December 31, 1977, and until his successor is duly appointed and qualified.

MILTON J. SHAPP

MEMBER OF THE CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ASSISTANCE

May 29, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate Jack T. Wright (Republican) 264 Jefferson Street, Meadville 16335, Crawford County, Fiftieth Senatorial District, for appointment as a member of the Crawford County Board of Assistance, to serve until December 31, 1977, and until his successor is duly appointed and qualified, vice Reverend Lynn Bergman, resigned.

MILTON J. SHAPP

MEMBERS OF THE LEBANON COUNTY BOARD OF ASSISTANCE

June 20, 1975

To the Honorable, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:

In conformity with law, I have the honor hereby to nominate for the advice and consent of the Senate the following for appointment as members of the Lebanon County Board of Assistance, to serve until December 31, 1978, and until their successors are duly appointed and qualified:

Mrs. Andrea Glazer (Democrat), 411 South Eighth Street, Lebanon, 17042, Lebanon County, Forty-eighth Senatorial District, to fill a vacancy.

Miss Joyce A. Dissinger (Democrat), 991 Lilac Lane, Lebanon, 17042, Lebanon County, Forty-eighth Senatorial District, to fill a vacancy.

MILTON J. SHAPP

EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion was made by Senator AMMERMAN,

That the Senate do now resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of considering certain nominations made by the Governor.

Which was agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

Senator AMMERMAN asked and obtained unanimous consent for immediate consideration of the nominations made by His Excellency, the Governor, and reported from committee at today's Session.

NOMINATION TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator AMMERMAN. Mr. President, I call from the table for consideration the nomination reported from

committee today and previously read by the Clerk for Robert P. Kane, Esquire, as Attorney General.

On the question,

Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination?

POINT OF ORDER

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I rise to a point of order.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, will state it.

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, I believe it is in order at this time to speak on the nomination.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Senator STAUFFER. Mr. President, it has been my belief that if it is at all possible, every nomination to a Cabinet position made by the Governor should be confirmed by the Senate, because I have long held the belief that if it is at all possible, the Governor should be permitted to field his team, if he will, in order to carry out his program and his policies.

Mr. Kane formerly served as the Secretary of Revenue of the Commonwealth and, in my judgment, did a good job as Secretary of Revenue. I supported his confirmation when he was nominated to that position. However, I think that today we have a situation that is not unlike the situation of Mr. Denenberg when he was recently nominated to the Public Utility Commission after having done a good job as Insurance Commissioner. Expertise or competence in one field does not indicate that one has the same expertise or competence in every other field.

The position of Attorney General is that of the chief legal officer of the Commonwealth, one of the most important positions filled in the Cabinet of a Governor; one of the most important positions on which we in this Senate vote for confirmation. This position should be filled by someone who has some degree of eminence as an attorney, someone who is proven, skilled and experienced in the law. I am afraid that the nominee who is before us today does not fill that description. In fact, I was somewhat surprised when the nomination was originally made to note that in answer to a question from a member of the press, Mr. Kane indicated that he could not remember the last time that he had been in court. I ask the Members of the Senate, Mr. President, is this the type of qualification for someone who is going to serve as the chief legal officer of the Commonwealth?

Mr. President, I recognize that in the political arena it is sometimes necessary to reward people who have served well in support of a candidacy, or whatever. However, Mr. President, I think that when we hand out political rewards we should see that, number one, we are handing those rewards to people who have the competence to fill the position for which we are nominating them.

Number two, I think that we should give those rewards to positions which are not as sensitive and not as critical as the one which is before us at this time.

As I weigh all of the factors that go into this consideration I find that I come up with a very strong negative balance, and on that basis I find that I, for the first time since I have been a Member of this Senate, cannot in good conscience support the nomination of Mr. Kane as Attorney General.

I do not believe that he presents the credentials and qualifications for the position. I have no qualms with his integrity or his honesty as a person; I just feel that this is the wrong person being nominated to fill the wrong job.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, with much of what my distinguished colleague has said I agree. I am somewhat in disagreement with him as to just how much we should go into the qualifications of someone for office so far as his technical qualifications are concerned, particularly in this case where a man's qualifications are that he is admitted to the Bar, has passed the Bar examination and is, according to the Bar of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, qualified to practice law.

My problem, on the other hand, has to do with the very well publicized case of the firm named Sonder, Levitt & Sagorsky, Inc. of Philadelphia. When Mr. Kane was the Secretary of Revenue, he signed a contract with that firm by giving them a \$2.9 million contract to advertise the Pennsylvania Lottery. Shortly thereafter he resigned that Commission and became the campaign manager for the Shapp-Kline reelection campaign. In that capacity he handled campaign advertising.

During that campaign the firm of Sonder, Levitt & Sagorsky paid, of their money, \$139,000 for television advertising and has admitted several times that that was done. Now we have the same man, Kane the campaign manager, suddenly becomes Kane the Attorney General and refuses to investigate this case. When he was asked to explain this, he said it was not a loan—which would be against the law—it was “an extension of credit.”

I ask the Members to go to their dictionaries and find when a loan is not an extension of credit or, vice versa, when an extension of credit is not a loan.

This case, I think, points out the classic conflict of an appointed Attorney General, when one who has been a campaign manager becomes the Attorney General. It is a very difficult thing, in fact, obviously an impossible thing, to ask Kane the Attorney General to investigate Kane the campaign manager.

It seems to me on that basis, Mr. President, the Senate should reject the appointment of Robert Kane as Attorney General.

Senator CIANFRANI. Mr. President, I do not want to belabor the point except I want to point out to my distinguished colleagues that this is not a first. If my recollection serves me right, during the Scranton administration his campaign manager, the late Walter Alessandrini, became Attorney General. As to the late President Kennedy, I believe his own brother was his campaign manager and he then became Attorney General. I see no flaw in this particular type appointment and I do not know why you belabor the point.

Senator HAGER. Mr. President, what I am objecting to, for the information of the gentleman from Philadelphia, is not so much the fact that he was campaign manager as it is the fact that something arose during that campaign which, clearly and obviously on the face of it, and as in the newspapers of the State, in every community of any size of this State, is a violation of the election law. It is a violation when a corporation is making a loan of money to a campaign and the man who was the campaign manager is now being appointed Attorney General and asked to investigate that violation. That seems to me to be a classic conflict.

Senator KURY. Mr. President, I just want to say

very briefly, in response to my colleague from Lycoming, that it is my understanding that if there is evidence of a criminal violation, that is the prerogative of the district attorney in which the offense allegedly occurred. The Attorney General is not the initial prosecuting officer when your crime is committed in the Commonwealth. I think it should be taken up with the district attorney of the county in which this alleged offense occurred, if there is evidence of any violation of the Commonwealth's laws.

Mr. President, I just want to say beyond that, in response to the gentleman from Lycoming, Senator Hager, and the gentleman from Chester, Senator Stauffer, that I have known the Attorney General designate for a good number of years; I have had the privilege and pleasure of working with him in State government and even in politics and I think I know him pretty well. I am satisfied that he is a man of character and is eminently qualified to be the Attorney General of Pennsylvania. I do not think the fact that he has not argued cases in court has a thing to do with it. The Attorney General has a staff, he has the people there to do that work. I think the important thing about an Attorney General is that he understands State Government and he understands what Pennsylvania is all about and, I think, in that regard the Governor's nominee is very well qualified because I think he understands Pennsylvania government as very few people do. He understands our State, he understands our political system and I think he is very dedicated to seeing that we have the best possible State government and political system here in Pennsylvania. So in that regard I want to express my support for his nomination.

Senator O'PAKE. Mr. President, I think it would be very unfortunate if today we were to reject this nomination for the high office of Attorney General and penalize a man for his many years of public service. It is one thing to get up and criticize someone for not having appeared and argued a case in a court of law within the past few years but, I think, in fairness to this nominee, it should be pointed out that almost his entire adult lifetime has been spent in the service of the people of Pennsylvania. How do you expect the Secretary of Revenue, for example, who has been so efficiently and effectively managing probably the largest Department in Pennsylvania, to appear in courts of law in his local county as most other lawyers have the opportunity to do?

I think that Mr. Kane has demonstrated by his impeccable personal character, by the fact that he has for many years been certified to practice law in Pennsylvania, and by the vast amount of administrative experience he has so well displayed in managing a very difficult Department, namely the Department of Revenue, that he is the kind of person who, if we are going to have appointive Attorney Generals, and that is the law under which we presently operate, is the kind of Attorney General we can all vote for and support in good conscience.

Mr. President, I would like to urge my support and turn away from the thinking and the language expressed, that this is some kind of political reward, and judge it on its merits and on that basis find, by his character, by his experience, by his common sense and his ability to make judgments that are in the interest of the people of Pennsylvania, that Robert Kane should be confirmed

to the important post of Attorney General. Whether we elect him or appoint him is not the question; the question is whether this nominee is qualified to serve under our appointive system of government and I feel very strongly that he is.

Senator MURPHY. Mr. President, I too rise to support the nomination of Robert Kane as Attorney General. I would hope that those who oppose him will have an opportunity, as I had, to talk to the Attorney General in his capacity in that office as well as in prior offices. I have discussed with him matters of legislation, matters of law. I have found the Attorney General to have a keen legal mind. The fact that he has devoted many of his hours and many of his days to the service of the Commonwealth during the previous years, instead of actually practicing law and handling clients' matters, certainly should not detract from our choice in confirming that appointment, but should only add to it.

Mr. President, the Attorney General is a good lawyer, he has a keen legal mind; I think he can handle that job very ably.

Senator SCANLON. Mr. President, I have known Attorney General Robert Kane for many years as a professional and have consulted with him on many legal matters. In my opinion, he has one of the finest legal minds that I have ever encountered. In addition, his ability as an administrator is vast and effective. On the issue of his integrity, I find him to be absolutely unassailable.

I strongly urge the Senate to confirm this appointment.

Senator MYERS. Mr. President, I would like to add my support to the confirmation of Attorney General Kane. I have known him for a number of years. I have observed his stature and reputation in his community in York County. He is well thought of. In my opinion, he has served the Commonwealth well for many years and will make an excellent Attorney General.

Senator AMMERMAN. Mr. President, I would add one other thought to what has been said in support of Attorney General Kane. I would take a back seat to no one in this Chamber insofar as the record as a prosecutor and warring on crime and corruption. I have served as my county's District Attorney and as United States Attorney for Western Pennsylvania. I have had occasion to deal with Attorney General Kane on matters which I would say go to this important question.

Mr. President, I have no hesitancy in endorsing him in the strongest possible terms as an able, competent and effective Attorney General. I would hope that the Senate would give him a very strong vote of confidence.

Senator NOLAN. Mr. President, I remember, about five years ago, when Lee Donaldson was a Member of the House of Representatives, at the time I was a Member over there. He was the leader of the Republican Party in the House. He was nominated for one of the Federal judgeships. Unfortunately, it was decided by somebody in Washington, D.C. that Lee Donaldson did not have the courtroom qualifications—because of the fact that he had been up here in Harrisburg for a period of sixteen years making laws—to qualify for the Federal courts.

As I look upon Mr. Kane today as the Attorney General, I think we are faced with the same question this afternoon. Here we have a gentleman who has spent much of his life in State government and certainly knows State government. Are we going to make the

same mistake here in Harrisburg that was made at the Federal level in Washington, D. C. five years ago?

Mr. President, I rise in support of the nomination of Robert Kane for Attorney General.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. For the benefit of the Members, we are going to have three roll calls. We will have one on the Honorable Robert Kane. We will have one on the Honorable C. DeLores Tucker and one on the remaining nominees.

We are now voting on the Attorney General, Robert Kane.

And the question recurring,

Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—43

Ammerman,	Frame,	Manbeck,	Orlando,
Andrews,	Hankins,	McKinney,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	Mellow,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Messinger,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Moore,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Murphy,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Kelley,	Murray,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Kury,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Early,	Lentz,	Nolan,	Wood,
Ewing,	Lewis,	Noszka,	Zemprelli,
Fleming,	Lynch,	O'Pake,	

NAYS—5

Hager,	Jubelirer,	Stauffer,	Tilghman,
Howard,			

A constitutional two-thirds majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Governor be informed accordingly.

NOMINATION TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator AMMERMAN. Mr. President, I call from the table for consideration the nomination reported from committee today and previously read by the Clerk for C. DeLores Tucker, as Secretary of the Commonwealth.

On the question,

Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Early,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,
Hager,	Lynch,	Orlando,	

NAYS—0

A constitutional two-thirds majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Governor be informed accordingly.

NOMINATIONS TAKEN FROM THE TABLE

Senator AMMERMAN. Mr. President, I call from the table for consideration the balance of the nominations

reported from committee today and previously read by the Clerk.

On the question,
Will the Senate advise and consent to the nominations?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Ammerman,	Hager,	Lynch,	Orlando,
Andrews,	Hankins,	Manbeck,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Hess,	McKinney,	Ross,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Scanlon,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Messinger,	Smith,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Moore,	Snyder,
Duffield,	Howard,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Jubelirer,	Murray,	Stauffer,
Early,	Kelley,	Myers,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kury,	Nolan,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	Noszka,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	O'Pake,	Zemprelli,

NAYS—0

A constitutional two-thirds majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Governor be informed accordingly.

EXECUTIVE SESSION RISES

Senator AMMERMAN. Mr. President, I move that the Executive Session do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

HB 496 CALLED UP OUT OF ORDER

HB 496 (Pr. No. 1755)—Without objection, the bill was called up out of order, from page 19 of the Second Consideration Calendar, by Senator FRAME, and made a Special Order of Business.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION AMENDED

HB 496 (Pr. No. 1755)—The bill was considered.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the bill on second consideration?

Senators DOUGHERTY, LEWIS and SWEENEY offered the following amendments:

Amend Title, page 1, line 20 by inserting after "determined," : limiting the assignment of school children and

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 25 by striking out "Section 2002," and inserting: The

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 27 by striking out "subsection" and inserting: section

Amend Bill, page 1, by inserting after line 27: Section 529. Assignment of School Pupils.—No department, board or commission shall have the power to order the assignment of any pupil in kindergarten, elementary or secondary school to any school other than the school nearest the pupil's home without the consent of the pupil's parent or guardian except that nothing in this section shall repeal any entrance requirement of a school which has entrance requirements. Any order issued by a department, board or commission heretofore which has not yet been im-

plemented, ordering assignment of any pupil in kindergarten, elementary, or secondary school to any school other than the school nearest the pupil's home shall be null, void and unenforceable. All departments, boards and commissions shall cease, desist and withdraw any and all actions pending which are contrary to this section. No department, board or commission shall enter into or continue any legal proceeding dealing with the assignment of pupils to any elementary, kindergarten, or secondary school other than the school nearest the pupil's home.

Section 2. Section 2002 of the act is amended by adding a subsection to read:

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 10 by striking out "2." and inserting: 3.

Amend Sec. 2, page 2, line 10 by striking out "in 60 days." and inserting: immediately.

On the question,
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator DOUGHERTY. Mr. President, these amendments are to the Administrative Code and simply provide that no board, department or commission of State government can order the assignment of any pupil to a school other than the one nearest his home without the parents' consent. They maintain that any order not yet implemented is null, void and unenforceable. They maintain that all departments, boards and commissions shall cease, desist and withdraw from any actions pending.

These amendments would require that departments, boards and commissions not enter into or continue any legal proceeding for the purpose of assigning a child to a school other than the one nearest his home.

Some of the Senators have asked this question: Does this apply to the local school district or the local school board?

The answer is, it does not, Mr. President. These are amendments to the Administrative Code. As such, it affects the Executive Department of State government. It does not affect the local school district.

We are basically saying that many people in the past believe that forced busing would bring about a better climate of education. I would like to call to the attention of the membership of the Senate that the author of forced busing, Dr. James Coleman, a sociologist at the University of Chicago, recently admitted that busing is killing integration. He came out and changed his position on the entire question of the busing of school children.

I think if you would like, Mr. President or any Member of this body, I can provide case studies in both the City of Atlanta, Georgia, and Englewood, California, which simply prove that forced busing does not achieve what we want to achieve and that is a quality education where our youngsters have a chance to meet with people of many different races and religions.

My position, Mr. President, is that forced busing does not work, that true integration needs a balanced population, that the facts have shown that forced busing creates a climate in which people, who can afford to, flee to the suburbs and that we do not come up with, indeed, an integrated community but rather we come up with a further segregated community.

Mr. President, I would ask for a roll call vote on

these amendments and if the amendments are adopted, that the bill be considered for the second time.

And the question recurring,
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator DOUGHERTY and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—38

Andrews,	Hess,	Manbeck,	Scanlon,
Bell,	Hill,	Mellow,	Smith,
Cianfrani,	Hobbs,	Moore,	Snyder,
Dougherty,	Holl,	Murphy,	Stapleton,
Dwyer,	Howard,	Myers,	Stauffer,
Early,	Jubelirer,	Nolan,	Sweeney,
Ewing,	Kelley,	Noszka,	Tilghman,
Fleming,	Lentz,	O'Pake,	Wood,
Frame,	Lewis,	Orlando,	Zemprelli,
Hager,	Lynch,		

NAYS—10

Ammerman,	Hankins,	Messinger,	Reibman,
Arlene,	Kury,	Murray,	Ross,
Duffield,	McKinney,		

So the question was determined in the affirmative, and the amendments were agreed to.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on second consideration, as amended?

It was agreed to.

Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

**PREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS
OVER IN ORDER**

SB 533, 772, 775, 776, 778 and 861—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator NOLAN.

**NONPREFERRED APPROPRIATION BILLS
OVER IN ORDER**

SB 721, 722, 723, 725, 726, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 769, 770, 771, 777, 779, 792 and 899—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILL REREFERRED

SB 10 (Pr. No. 978)—Upon motion of Senator MESSINGER, and agreed to, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 70 (Pr. No. 72), HB 154 (Pr. No. 1758) and SB 185 (Pr. No. 185)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 208—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 242 (Pr. No. 1825), HB 244 (Pr. No. 1826), SB 254 (Pr. No. 982) and SB 285 (Pr. No. 979)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 287—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

SB 399 (Pr. No. 980)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL RECOMMITTED

SB 402 (Pr. No. 966)—Upon motion of Senator MESSINGER, and agreed to, the bill was recommitted to the Committee on State Government.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 408 and 409—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

SB 418 (Pr. No. 421) and SB 420 (Pr. No. 983)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL RECOMMITTED

SB 434 (Pr. No. 437)—Upon motion of Senator MESSINGER, and agreed to, the bill was recommitted to the Committee on Education.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

HB 451—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 477 (Pr. No. 1812) and HB 503 (Pr. No. 1827)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

HB 516 and SB 545—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

SB 553 (Pr. No. 584)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL REREFERRED

SB 592 (Pr. No. 981)—Upon motion of Senator MESSINGER, and agreed to, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 640, 674, 679 and 693—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

HB 723 (Pr. No. 1275), SB 750 (Pr. No. 879), SB 793 (Pr. No. 857), SB 794 (Pr. No. 858), SB 795 (Pr. No. 859), SB 796 (Pr. No. 860) and HB 813 (Pr. No. 916)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

SB 832—Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

SB 834 (Pr. No. 910) and SB 835 (Pr. No. 911)—Considered the second time and agreed to, Ordered, To be transcribed for a third consideration.

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

SB 885 and HB 910—Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator MESSINGER.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

Senator HILL, from the Committee on Judiciary, reported, as committed, SB 170; reported, as committed, SB 586.

Senator ARLENE, from the Committee on Labor and Industry, reported, as committed, HB 1121.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

ESTABLISHING A PERMANENT INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE

Senator HAGER offered the following resolution (Serial No. 215), which was read and referred to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations:

In the Senate, July 1, 1975.

WHEREAS, There has been increasing concern with abuses with respect to contributions to political parties and candidates and with abuses committed within the government; and

WHEREAS, It is necessary to insure that these abuses can be prevented or discovered; therefore be it

RESOLVED, (the House concurring), That the President pro tempore of the Senate appoint three members of the Senate two of whom shall belong to the political party not in control of the Executive Department and the Speaker of the House of Representatives appoint three members of the House, two of whom shall belong to the political party not in control of the Executive Department to a Permanent Investigating Committee. Such committee shall have the power to investigate all charges of abuses of governmental powers, and abuses with respect to political contributions; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the committee may hold hearings, take testimony, and make its investigation at such places as it deems necessary within this Commonwealth. It may issue subpoenas under the hand and seal of its chairman commanding any person to appear before it and to answer questions touching matters properly being inquired into by the committee and to produce such books, papers, records and documents as the committee deems necessary. Such subpoenas may be served upon any person and shall have the force and effect of subpoenas issued out of the courts of this Commonwealth. Any person who wilfully neglects or refuses to testify before the committee or to produce any books, papers, records or documents, shall be subject to the penalties provided by the laws of the Commonwealth in such case. Each member of the committee shall have power to administer oaths and affirmations to witnesses appearing before the committee; and be it further

RESOLVED, That all political candidates, committees and parties mandated by Federal or State election code to make filings with the Bureau of Elections, the County Board of Commissioners, the Federal General Accounting

Office, the Clerk of the United States Senate or the Clerk of the United States House of Representatives, shall file copies of such records with the permanent investigating committee; and be it further

RESOLVED, That all persons or corporations receiving Commonwealth contracts file with the permanent investigating committee records indicating any contributions made to any political parties or candidates during the year in which such contract was awarded and for three years thereafter. These records shall indicate the name, address and occupation of the contributor, the date and amount of the contribution and the manner in which the contribution was made. Such persons or corporations shall also file with the committee all significant identifying data concerning the contract including date of the contract, the agency and/or department with whom the contract was entered into and the remuneration from such contract; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Bureau of Corporations shall annually furnish the committee with a current list of the directors and officers of all corporations doing business in, or registered in the Commonwealth; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the committee shall initiate and maintain a permanent computerized cross reference of the information it receives in regard to political contributions and recipients of State contracts.

CONGRATULATORY RESOLUTIONS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate the following resolutions, which were read, considered and adopted:

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to the Borough of Kulpmont by Senator Kury.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Henry C. Croft, Mr. and Mrs. Samuel H. Paul, Mr. and Mrs. Roy D. Mounts, Sr. and to Mr. and Mrs. Elbert C. Munnell by Senator Murphy.

BILLS ON FIRST CONSIDERATION

Senator MESSINGER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now proceed to consideration of all bills reported from Committees for the first time at today's Session.

The motion was agreed to.

The bills were as follows:

SB 586, 744, 745, 863, 864, 865, 867, 868, 869, 871 and HB 1121.

And said bills having been considered for the first time, Ordered, To be laid aside for second consideration.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE SECRETARY

The following announcements were read by the Secretary of the Senate:

SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Eastern Daylight Saving Time	DATE AND COMMITTEE	Room
	WEDNESDAY, JULY 2, 1975	
10:00 A.M.	CONSUMER AFFAIRS to consider Senate Bills No. 162, 188, 264, 265 and 560	168 B

