

# Legislative Journal

TUESDAY, MARCH 23, 1982

SESSION OF 1982

166TH OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

No. 23

## SENATE

TUESDAY, March 23, 1982.

The Senate met at 1:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore (Henry G. Hager) in the Chair.

## PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Mr. TIMOTHY HOFFMAN, Pastor of Mount Zion Evangelical Lutheran Church, Lewisberry, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

O God, You have entrusted to us a great deal of power and influence. Much of what we do affects our brothers and sisters.

We call upon You to be near us now, to bless and guide us. Guide us in such ways that our actions and decisions may be a credit to Your kingdom in this world.

In Christ's name we pray. Amen.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair thanks the Reverend Mr. Hoffman of Karthaus and Lewisberry, who is the guest this week of Senator Hopper and Senator Wilt.

## JOURNAL APPROVED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. A quorum of the Senate being present, the Clerk will read the Journal of the preceding Session of March 22, 1982.

The Clerk proceeded to read the Journal of the preceding Session, when, on motion of Senator JUBELIRER, further reading was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

## SENATOR JUBELIRER TO VOTE FOR SENATOR LOEPER AND SENATOR GEKAS

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I request a legislative leave of absence for Senator Loeper and Senator Gekas who are on legislative business for today's Session.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection and the leaves are granted.

## SENATOR SCANLON TO VOTE FOR SENATOR ANDREZESKI

Senator SCANLON. Mr. President, I am requesting a legislative leave of absence for today's Session for Senator Andrezeski.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

## LEAVES OF ABSENCES

Senator JUBELIRER asked and obtained leaves of absence for Senators STREET and HOWARD, for today's Session, for personal reasons.

Senator SCANLON asked and obtained temporary leaves of absence for Senators ROSS, ZEMPRELLI and ROMANELLI, for personal reasons.

## HOUSE MESSAGES

### HOUSE BILLS FOR CONCURRENCE

The Clerk of the House of Representatives presented to the Senate the following bills for concurrence, which were referred to the committees indicated:

March 23, 1982

**HB 865** — Committee on Labor and Industry.

**HB 349** — Committee on Local Government.

## GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS

### BILLS INTRODUCED AND REFERRED

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate the following Senate Bills numbered, entitled and referred as follows, which were read by the Clerk:

March 23, 1982

Senator SCANLON presented to the Chair **SB 1351**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of June 28, 1895 (P.L. 408, No. 289), entitled, as amended, "A supplement to the twenty-fourth section of an act, entitled, 'An act to provide revenue by taxation, approved the seventh day of June, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-nine,' approved the first day of June, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-nine, amending the twenty-fourth section, by providing for the payment by the State Treasurer of the two per centum tax on premiums paid by foreign fire insurance companies to the treasurers of the several cities, towns, townships and boroughs, within this Commonwealth," revising the allocation formula.

Which was committed to the Committee on FINANCE, March 23, 1982.

Senator GREENLEAF presented to the Chair **SB 1352**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, further providing for distribution of anatomical gift donor cards by the department.

Which was committed to the Committee on TRANSPORTATION, March 23, 1982.

Senator GREENLEAF presented to the Chair **SB 1353**, entitled:

An Act providing for the disposal of unclaimed garments.

Which was committed to the Committee on CONSUMER PROTECTION AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSURE, March 23, 1982.

Senator GREENLEAF presented to the Chair **SB 1354**, entitled:

An Act authorizing a county, with the written recommendation of its recorder of deeds or commissioner of records, by ordinance of its governing body, to establish a uniform parcel identifier system by providing for a depository agency of the county's tax maps, including additions, deletions and revisions to such maps, and by providing for the assignment by such depository agency of uniform parcel identifiers for each parcel on the map in order to facilitate conveyancing and its tax assessment and to establish a modern land record system.

Which was committed to the Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, March 23, 1982.

Senator GREENLEAF presented to the Chair **SB 1355**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 1, 1909 (P. L. 91, No. 53), entitled "An act relating to deeds for conveying or releasing lands, construing words and phrases used therein, and prescribing a form of deed and acknowledgment which may be used for conveying or releasing lands," providing for the description of land conveyed or released in a deed or other instrument by the use of the county tax parcel number of such land.

Which was committed to the Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, March 23, 1982.

Senator GREENLEAF presented to the Chair **SB 1356**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 18, 1875 (P. L. 32, No. 36), entitled "An act requiring recorders of deeds to prepare and keep in their respective offices general, direct and ad sectum indexes of deeds and mortgages recorded therein, prescribing the duty of said recorders and declaring that the entries in said general indexes shall be notice to all persons," prescribing entries of uniform parcel identifiers to be made by recorders of deeds in certain counties in the indexes for deeds and indexes for mortgages and making a repeal.

Which was committed to the Committee on LOCAL GOVERNMENT, March 23, 1982.

Senators JUBELIRER, REIBMAN, FISHER, GEKAS, ZEMPRELLI, MELLOW, MOORE, HOPPER, CORMAN, STAUFFER, HESS, PECORA, RHOADES, HELFRICK, WILT, LINCOLN, ROMANELLI, O'PAKE, HAGER, O'CONNELL, LYNCH, GREENLEAF, PRICE, LLOYD, BODACK, SINGEL, STAPLETON, KUSSE, MURRAY, MCKINNEY, MESSINGER, SCANLON, KELLEY, LEWIS, ANDREZESKI and SHAFFER presented to the Chair **SB 1357**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 32 (Forests, Waters and State Parks) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, adding provisions relating to water resources projects.

Which was committed to the Committee on ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY, March 23, 1982.

Senators MCKINNEY and HANKINS presented to the Chair **SB 1358**, entitled:

An Act amending Title 18 (Crimes and Offenses) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes, providing additional penalties for certain offenses committed against persons 60 years of age or older.

Which was committed to the Committee on JUDICIARY, March 23, 1982.

Senators MCKINNEY and HANKINS presented to the Chair **SB 1359**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P. L. 177, No. 175), entitled "The Administrative Code of 1929," further providing for the powers and duties of the Department of Aging.

Which was committed to the Committee on AGING AND YOUTH, March 23, 1982.

Senators MELLOW, REIBMAN, HELFRICK, STAPLETON, O'PAKE, BELL, LLOYD, ROSS, SINGEL, FISHER and O'CONNELL presented to the Chair **SB 1360**, entitled:

An Act amending the act of March 10, 1949 (P. L. 30, No. 14), entitled "Public School Code of 1949," prohibiting certain reduced payments for certain activities of school districts.

Which was committed to the Committee on EDUCATION, March 23, 1982:

## REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

Senator CORMAN, from the Committee on Local Government, reported, as committed, **SB 526, 527 and 528**; as amended, **SB 774**.

Senator HOLL, from the Committee on Banking and Insurance, reported, as committed, **HB 2036**; as amended, **SB 1270**.

## REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE SUBMITTED AND LAID ON THE TABLE

Senator STAUFFER submitted the Report of Committee of Conference on **HB 720**, which was laid on the table.

The **PRESIDENT (Lieutenant Governor William W. Scranton III) in the Chair**.

## GENERAL COMMUNICATION

### DISCHARGE PETITION

The **PRESIDENT** laid before the Senate the following communication, which was read by the Clerk as follows:

In the Senate, March 23, 1982.

We, the Senators whose signatures are affixed hereto respectfully request that the Honorable William W. Scranton, III, as presiding officer of the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, place the nomination hereafter set forth before the Senate for a vote pursuant to the provisions of Article IV, Section 8(b) of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which provides in part "... The Senate shall act on each executive nomination within 25 legislative days of its submission. If the Senate

has not voted upon a nomination within 15 legislative days following such submission, any five members of the Senate may, in writing, request the presiding officer of the Senate to place the nomination before the entire Senate body whereby the nomination must be voted upon prior to the expiration of five legislative days or 25 legislative days following submission by the Governor, whichever occurs first....”

We respectfully set forth the following facts relative to the nomination hereinafter set forth:

1. The nomination was presented to the Senate on January 19, 1982; and
2. The nomination has been before the Senate for a period of time in excess of 15 legislative days.

The nominee in the position is as follows:

Arthur W. Brown	Member Board of Trustees, Clarks Summit State Hospital
	Edward P. Zemprelli
	Eugene F. Scanlon
	Robert J. Mellow
	Francis J. Lynch
	James E. Ross

## CALENDAR

### SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### SB 1161 CALLED UP OUT OF ORDER

**SB 1161 (Pr. No. 1690)** — Without objection, the bill was called up out of order, from page 1 of the Calendar, under Bills on Concurrence in House Amendments, by Senator JUBELIRER, as a Special Order of Business.

#### SENATE CONCURS IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

**SB 1161 (Pr. No. 1690)** — Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in the amendments made by the House to Senate Bill No. 1161.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the motion?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

#### YEAS—38

Andrezeski	Helfrick	Manbeck	Rhoades
Bell	Hess	Mellow	Scanlon
Bodack	Holl	Messinger	Singel
Corman	Hopper	Moore	Snyder
Early	Jubelirer	Murray	Stapleton
Fisher	Kusse	O’Pake	Stauffer
Fumo	Lewis	Pecora	Stout
Gekas	Lloyd	Price	Tilghman
Greenleaf	Loeper	Reibman	Wilt
Hager	McKinney		

#### NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted “aye,” the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate inform the House of Representatives accordingly.

## RECESS

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I request a recess of the Senate until 2:30 p.m., for the purpose of holding a Republican caucus and a Democratic caucus.

The PRESIDENT. Are there any objections? The Chair hears no objection, and declares a recess of the Senate until 2:30 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.

## AFTER RECESS

The PRESIDENT. The time of recess having elapsed, the Senate will be in order.

### SENATOR MOORE TO VOTE FOR SENATOR SHAFFER

Senator MOORE. Mr. President, I would ask for a legislative leave for Senator Shaffer for the balance of today’s Session.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

### SENATOR SCANLON TO VOTE FOR SENATOR FUMO

Senator SCANLON. Mr. President, I request a legislative leave for the remainder of today’s Session for Senator Fumo.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

## CONSIDERATION OF CALENDAR RESUMED

### BILL ON CONCURRENCE IN HOUSE AMENDMENTS

#### BILL OVER IN ORDER

**SB 179** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MOORE.

### FINAL PASSAGE CALENDAR

#### BILL OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1286** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator MOORE.

## THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

### BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

**HB 242 (Pr. No. 2971)** — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,

Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrezeski	Hess	Manbeck	Ross
Bell	Holl	Mellow	Scanlon
Bodack	Hopper	Messinger	Shaffer
Corman	Jubelirer	Moore	Singel
Early	Kelley	Murray	Snyder
Fisher	Kusse	O'Connell	Stampone
Fumo	Lewis	O'Pake	Stapleton
Gekas	Lincoln	Pecora	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Lloyd	Price	Stout
Hager	Loeper	Reibman	Tilghman
Hankins	Lynch	Rhoades	Wilt
Helfrick	McKinney	Romanelli	Zemprelli

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

**SENATOR JUBELIRER TO VOTE FOR SENATOR HAGER**

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I request a legislative leave for Senator Hager, who has just been called to his office on legislative business.

The PRESIDENT. The Chair hears no objection and the leave is granted.

**THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED**

**BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED**

**HB 704 (Pr. No. 2408)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator JUBELIRER, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 7, page 12, line 15, by striking out "1980" and inserting: 1982

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?  
It was agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

**BILL OVER IN ORDER**

**HB 1118** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

**BILL REREFERRED**

**SB 1124 (Pr. No. 1695)** — Upon motion of Senator JUBELIRER, and agreed to, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

**PERMISSION TO ADDRESS SENATE**

Senator O'CONNELL asked and obtained unanimous consent to address the Senate.

Senator O'CONNELL. Mr. President, I missed the roll call vote this morning on Senate Bill No. 1161, Printer's No. 1690. Had I been in my seat, I would have voted in the affirmative.

The PRESIDENT. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

**THIRD CONSIDERATION CALENDAR RESUMED**

**BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED**

**SB 1182 (Pr. No. 1692)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator HOLL, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 7903), page 3, line 10, by inserting after "truck": purchased after the effective date of this act for use in this Commonwealth whether new or used

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 7904), page 4, line 2, by inserting after "truck": purchased after the effective date of this act for used in this Commonwealth whether new or used

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?  
They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator HOLL.

**BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE**

**SB 1277 (Pr. No. 1748)** — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrezeski	Hess	Manbeck	Ross
Bell	Holl	Mellow	Scanlon
Bodack	Hopper	Messinger	Shaffer
Corman	Jubelirer	Moore	Singel
Early	Kelley	Murray	Snyder
Fisher	Kusse	O'Connell	Stampone
Fumo	Lewis	O'Pake	Stapleton
Gekas	Lincoln	Pecora	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Lloyd	Price	Stout
Hager	Loeper	Reibman	Tilghman
Hankins	Lynch	Rhoades	Wilt
Helfrick	McKinney	Romanelli	Zemprelli

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

**SB 1287 (Pr. No. 1749)** — Considered the third time and agreed to,

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrezeski	Hess	Manbeck	Ross
Bell	Holl	Mellow	Scanlon
Bodack	Hopper	Messinger	Shaffer
Corman	Jubelirer	Moore	Singel
Early	Kelley	Murray	Snyder
Fisher	Kusse	O'Connell	Stampono
Fumo	Lewis	O'Pake	Stapleton
Gekas	Lincoln	Pecora	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Lloyd	Price	Stout
Hager	Loeper	Reibman	Tilghman
Hankins	Lynch	Rhoades	Wilt
Helfrick	McKinney	Romanelli	Zemprelli

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate present said bill to the House of Representatives for concurrence.

BILLS ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED

**SB 1292 (Pr. No. 1707)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

BELL AMENDMENT

Senator BELL, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 4), page 2, line 1, by inserting after "conducting": performance

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?  
It was agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration, as amended?

JUBELIRER AMENDMENTS I

Senator JUBELIRER, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Title, page 1, line 7, by striking out "AND" and inserting a comma

Amend Title, page 1, line 7, by removing the period after "CHANGE" and inserting: and making a repeal.

Amend Sec. 1, page 1, line 10, by striking out "SUBSECTION (A)" and inserting: subsections (a) and (b)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 6), page 3, line 1, by inserting brackets before "The" and after "Delinquency." and inserting immediately thereafter:

(b) The following agencies together with their corresponding statutory functions and duties shall terminate all activities and shall go out of existence on December 31, 1985:

- The Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board.
- The State Board of Chiropractic Examiners.
- The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.
- The State Board of Medical Education and Licensure.

- The Governor's Council on Drug and Alcohol Abuse.
- The State Board of Nurse Examiners.
- The Pennsylvania Drug, Device and Cosmetic Board.
- The State Board of Examiners of Nursing Home Administrators.

- The State Highway and Bridge Authority.
- The State Board of Optometrical Examiners.
- The State Transportation Commission.
- The State Board of Osteopathic Medical Examiners.
- The State Soil Conservation Commission.
- The State Board of Pharmacy.
- The State Board of Podiatry Examiners.
- The Pennsylvania Board of Psychologist Examiners.
- The Commission on Charitable Organizations.
- The State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners.
- The State Board of Public Welfare.
- The State Board of Physical Therapy Examiners.
- The Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency.
- The State Harness Racing Commission.
- The State Horse Racing Commission.
- The Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing.
- The Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole.
- The Pennsylvania Crime Commission.
- The Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.
- The State Dental Council and Examining Board.
- The Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 2 and 3:

Section 2. Section 12 of the act of November 22, 1978 (P.L.1166, No.274), entitled "An act establishing the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency, providing for its powers and duties establishing several advisory committees within the commission and providing for their powers and duties," is repealed.

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 3, by striking out "2" and inserting:  
3

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator O'PAKE. Mr. President, I desire to interrogate the gentleman from Blair, Senator Jubelirer.

The PRESIDENT. Will the gentleman from Blair, Senator Jubelirer, permit himself to be interrogated?

Senator JUBELIRER. I will, Mr. President.

Senator O'PAKE. Mr. President, are these the amendments that are referenced to postponing Sunset for the Department of Aging?

Senator JUBELIRER. No, they are not, Mr. President. These are the ones on the Commission on Crime and Delinquency. The ones the gentleman referred to will be offered immediately after these.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?  
They were agreed to.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration, as amended?

JUBELIRER AMENDMENTS II

Senator JUBELIRER, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Title, page 1, line 7, by striking out "AND" and inserting a comma

Amend Title, page 1, line 7, by removing the period after "CHANGE" and inserting: and making a repeal.

Amend Bill, page 3, by inserting between lines 2 and 3:

Section 2. Section 11 of the act of June 20, 1978 (P.L.477, No.70), entitled "An act amending the act of April 9, 1929 (P.L.177, No.175), entitled 'An act providing for and reorganizing the conduct of the executive and administrative work of the Commonwealth by the Executive Department thereof and the administrative departments, boards, commissions, and officers thereof, including the boards of trustees of State Normal Schools, or Teachers Colleges; abolishing, creating, reorganizing or authorizing the reorganization of certain administrative departments, boards, and commissions; defining the powers and duties of the Governor and other executive and administrative officers, and of the several administrative departments, boards, commissions, and officers; fixing the salaries of the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and certain other executive and administrative officers; providing for the appointment of certain administrative officers, and of all deputies and other assistants and employes in certain departments, boards, and commissions; and prescribing the manner in which the number and compensation of the deputies and all other assistants and employes of certain departments, boards and commissions shall be determined,' creating the Department of Aging and prescribing its functions, powers and duties," is repealed.

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 3, by striking out "2" and inserting: 3

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator O'PAKE. Mr. President, I rise in opposition to these amendments. I think if there is one agency of State government which cries out for a prompt sunset or review by this General Assembly, it is the Department of Aging. This is the most recent department created by act of the Legislature and we all recall the battle involved. The new department was created and the department took effect in January 1979. One of the reasons we were able to get that bill enacted into law was the provision which required a very early Sunset review to determine whether or not this new agency was going to expand too fast and was going to spend too much money for bureaucracy and other internal mechanical things.

Mr. President, I was very disturbed last week to read that the Department of Aging is now planning to spend \$408,000 next year and for the next ten years to occupy six floors of a vacant building across the street. Now, in light of the fact that there are only ninety-three employees in the department, it seems mind boggling that we need a building six stories high at a cost to the taxpayers of over \$4 million over the next ten years to house that agency. Therefore, I respectfully suggest we should stick to the Sunset date embodied in the creation of this department, namely 1984, and not postpone for another three years the Legislature's review over whether or not this agency is effectively delivering services and programs to the elderly of Pennsylvania or is using too much money to build an empire, including a whole new building across the street. Therefore, Mr. President, I ask that we reject these amendments and we keep the Sunset for the Department of Aging in the year in which it was established in the law creating the department and that is 1984 and not wait until 1987 when this lease, if it takes effect, would be five years into its life.

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President. in essence the gentleman is correct in his assumption that these amendments clarify the time when the Sunset provision should take place, whether it be January 1, 1985 or 1987.

Mr. President, it is my opinion that if we did nothing and these amendments were not offered, it probably would take effect in 1987. These amendments merely clarify the fact that it shall take place in 1987. I suppose if there is a possibility of it reverting back to 1985, then my colleagues would want to vote against these amendments. These amendments clearly clarify that the Department of Aging shall be in effect and Sunset as of December 31, 1987 unless this General Assembly decides otherwise. Perhaps that sets the picture, Mr. President. I think basically these are clarifying amendments. Whether it would terminate in 1985 or 1987, I am not sure, if we did nothing. These amendments clarify it.

Senator O'PAKE. Mr. President, I am not sure I understand that. If they are clarifying amendments, I do not know why we need them. The act establishing the department very clearly and precisely sets forth that this department should terminate unless it can justify its existence and its funding by the end of 1984. Either that is the law or it is not. If it is the law, I am suggesting we not change it. If it is not the law, then I do not know why we need these amendments.

I again urge the amendments be defeated, Mr. President.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator JUBELIRER and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—24

Bell	Helfrick	Loeper	Rhoades
Corman	Hess	Manbeck	Shaffer
Fisher	Holl	Moore	Snyder
Gekas	Hopper	O'Connell	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Jubelirer	Pecora	Tilghman
Hager	Kusse	Price	Wilt

NAYS—24

Andrezeski	Lewis	Messinger	Scanlon
Bodack	Lincoln	Murray	Singel
Early	Lloyd	O'Pake	Stampone
Fumo	Lynch	Reibman	Stapleton
Hankins	McKinney	Romanelli	Stout
Kelley	Mellow	Ross	Zemprelli

The PRESIDENT. The Chair exercises its constitutional prerogative to vote and will vote "aye." Therefore, the official vote is as follows:

YEAS—25

Bell	Helfrick	Loeper	Rhoades
Corman	Hess	Manbeck	Shaffer
Fisher	Holl	Moore	Snyder
Gekas	Hopper	O'Connell	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Jubelirer	Pecora	Tilghman
Hager	Kusse	Price	Wilt
			The President

NAYS—24

Andrezeski	Lewis	Messinger	Scanlon
Bodack	Lincoln	Murray	Singel
Early	Lloyd	O'Pake	Stampone
Fumo	Lynch	Reibman	Stapleton
Hankins	McKinney	Romanelli	Stout

Kelley Mellow Ross Zemprelli

A majority of the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

The PRESIDENT. Without objection, Senate Bill No. 1292 will go over, as amended.

**SB 1323 (Pr. No. 1662)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator O'CONNELL, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 5), page 1, line 13, by inserting before "A": (a)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 5), page 2, by inserting between lines 15 and 16:

(b) Notwithstanding subsection (a), when the Department of General Services is the contracting body, the department's public contract may include a provision for the retainage of a portion of the amount due the contractor to insure the proper performance of the contract, except that the sum or sums withheld by the department from the contractor shall not exceed 6% of the then total estimates until 50% of the contract is satisfactorily completed. The sum or sums withheld by the department from the contractor after the contract is 50% satisfactorily completed shall not exceed 3% of the original contract amount.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator O'CONNELL. Mr. President, these amendments are intended to reduce the retainage fee held between public bodies making an award and the contractors. It is imperative at this particular time that we consider this. It will substantially increase the cash flow to the contractors without, in fact, jeopardizing the integrity. In the case of a difficult situation with the contract, there would be sufficient retainage to deal with it.

And the question recurring,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator O'CONNELL.

#### BILL OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1330** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AMENDED

**HB 1429 (Pr. No. 2912)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator JUBELIRER, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 7, page 4, line 27, by removing the period after "order" and inserting: from the noncomplying landowner.

Amend Sec. 10, page 5, lines 12 through 15, by striking out all of said lines and inserting: secretary shall be guilty of a summary offense, and upon conviction thereof, shall be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding \$300, or undergo imprisonment for a period not exceeding 90 days, or both.

Amend Sec. 10, page 5, lines 18 through 21, by striking out all of said lines and inserting: duties hereunder, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the third degree and upon conviction thereof, shall be sentenced to pay a fine not exceeding \$2,500, or undergo imprisonment for a period not exceeding one year, or both.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

They were agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION AND FINAL PASSAGE

**HB 1650 (Pr. No. 2972)** — Considered the third time,

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?

Senator LEWIS, by unanimous consent, offered the following amendments:

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 806), page 2, line 6 by inserting after "Commonwealth.—": (a)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 806), page 3, line 8 by inserting before "Whenever": (b)

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 806), page 3, line 9 by inserting after "credit":

Amend Sec. 1 (Sec. 806), page 3, line 17 by removing the period after "department"; and the taxpayer shall be notified of such change within sixty(60) days. In the event that the department does not recompute the interest and notify the taxpayer within the prescribed time limit, no interest shall be charged from the date the taxpayer should have been notified of the recomputed interest due until a date thirty (30) days after the date on which the taxpayer is actually notified.

On the question,

Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

Senator LEWIS. Mr. President, these are very simple amendments which propose to give a taxpayer against whom a reassessment of interest liability has been made notice of the fact that he has a new and different obligation for payment of interest. The amendments prescribe that the department should notify a taxpayer in the event of that reassessment within sixty days of the reassessment and if they fail to do so, then interest will not continue to run and will not be computed until and unless that notice is given. It seems to make sense that one should not be obligated to pay something about which they have no knowledge and since there have been some instances documented of late in which the department has failed to give notice of reassessment charges for months and months at a time, it seems to me we should not be exposing taxpayers to a higher interest liability if they do not actually have notice that they are obligated to pay.

Senator JUBELIRER. May we be at ease, Mr. President?

The PRESIDENT. The Senate will be at ease.

(The Senate was at ease.)

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, we have reviewed the amendments of the gentleman from Bucks, Senator Lewis, and I think we certainly agree with the concept of notification. I do not think that is our problem. We reviewed them again as the gentleman offered the amendments, and the problem I see with the amendments, Mr. President, and there-

fore must ask for a negative vote on them, is that having talked with the department, and the gentleman from Montgomery, Senator Tilghman, as Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations, talked with the Department of Revenue further, and they feel this would lock them in to one method, one way of computation. It is their intention to adopt rules and regulations on notification with far more flexibility than these offer.

Mr. President, I would suggest we give them that opportunity to do so, always with the understanding that the gentleman from Bucks, Senator Lewis, could come back. If it was in a manner in which he felt was not to his liking, he could offer these amendments or separate legislation at a future time.

For that reason, Mr. President, I do believe the department ought to have the opportunity to have the flexibility and do it in a manner in which I think will be most benefiting, again with the understanding that we believe on this side that notification is an important concept. For that reason, Mr. President, we would ask for a "no" vote.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?

The yeas and nays were required by Senator LEWIS and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—24

Andrezski	Lewis	Messinger	Scanlon
Bodack	Lincoln	Murray	Singel
Early	Lloyd	O'Pake	Stampone
Fumo	Lynch	Reibman	Stapleton
Hankins	McKinney	Romanelli	Stout
Kelley	Mellow	Ross	Zemprelli

NAYS—24

Bell	Helfrick	Loeper	Rhoades
Corman	Hess	Manbeck	Shaffer
Fisher	Holl	Moore	Snyder
Gekas	Hopper	O'Connell	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Jubelirer	Pecora	Tilghman
Hager	Kusse	Price	Wilt

Less than a majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the negative.

And the question recurring,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on third consideration?  
It was agreed to.

And the amendments made thereto having been printed as required by the Constitution,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—48

Andrezski	Hess	Manbeck	Ross
Bell	Holl	Mellow	Scanlon
Bodack	Hopper	Messinger	Shaffer
Corman	Jubelirer	Moore	Singel
Early	Kelley	Murray	Snyder
Fisher	Kusse	O'Connell	Stampone
Fumo	Lewis	O'Pake	Stapleton
Gekas	Lincoln	Pecora	Stauffer

Greenleaf	Lloyd	Price	Stout
Hager	Loeper	Reibman	Tilghman
Hankins	Lynch	Rhoades	Wilt
Helfrick	McKinney	Romanelli	Zemprelli

NAYS—0

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same with amendments in which concurrence of the House is requested.

BILL RECOMMENDED

**HB 1915 (Pr. No. 2320)** — Upon motion of Senator JUBELIRER, and agreed to, the bill was recommitted to the Committee on Rules and Executive Nominations.

BILL ON THIRD CONSIDERATION  
AND FINAL PASSAGE

**HB 1921 (Pr. No. 2326)** — Considered the third time and agreed to,

On the question,  
Shall the bill pass finally?

The yeas and nays were taken agreeably to the provisions of the Constitution and were as follows, viz:

YEAS—47

Andrezski	Holl	Mellow	Scanlon
Bell	Hopper	Messinger	Shaffer
Corman	Jubelirer	Moore	Singel
Early	Kelley	Murray	Snyder
Fisher	Kusse	O'Connell	Stampone
Fumo	Lewis	O'Pake	Stapleton
Gekas	Lincoln	Pecora	Stauffer
Greenleaf	Lloyd	Price	Stout
Hager	Loeper	Reibman	Tilghman
Hankins	Lynch	Rhoades	Wilt
Helfrick	McKinney	Romanelli	Zemprelli
Hess	Manbeck	Ross	

NAYS—1

Bodack

A constitutional majority of all the Senators having voted "aye," the question was determined in the affirmative.

Ordered, That the Secretary of the Senate return said bill to the House of Representatives with information that the Senate has passed the same without amendments.

BILL OVER IN ORDER

**HB 1922** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

SECOND CONSIDERATION CALENDAR

BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**HB 50, SB 104 and HB 223** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

**SB 557 (Pr. No. 569)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,



Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

#### BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**HB 752, 758, SB 793, HB 794 and SB 810** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION AMENDED

**SB 844 (Pr. No. 1729)** — The bill was considered.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Senator JUBELIRER offered the following amendment:

Amend Sec. 2 (Sec. 209.1), page 2, line 15, by striking out “and budget”

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendment?  
It was agreed to.

Without objection, the bill, as amended, was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1024, HB 1040, SB 1046, 1091, 1119, 1120, 1159, 1194, HB 1196 and 1200** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION AMENDED

**SB 1234 (Pr. No. 1717)** — The bill was considered.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on second consideration?  
Senator STAUFFER offered the following amendments and, if agreed to, asked that the bill be considered for the second time:

Amend Title, page 1, line 2, by striking out “apprentice auctioneers,”

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 10, by inserting after “or” where it appears the second time: real or personal

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 13, by inserting after “or”: real or personal

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 24, by inserting after “or”: real or personal

Amend Sec. 2, page 3, line 26, by inserting after “or”: real or personal

Amend Sec. 3, page 5, line 21, by inserting after “auctioneering”: of at least 30 credit hours

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 27, by striking out “an inventory list” and inserting: a statement of the specific type of merchandise to be sold

Amend Sec. 3, page 6, line 30, by striking out “he” and inserting: the applicant

Amend Sec. 6, page 10, line 28, by striking out “prescribed” and inserting: prescribe

Amend Sec. 7, page 11, line 4, by striking out “prescribed” and inserting: prescribe

Amend Sec. 12, page 15, line 23, by striking out “licensed” and inserting: licensee

Amend Sec. 15, page 17, line 9, by inserting after “board.”: The list shall be open to public inspection during the business hours of the department. Copies of the list are to be available to the public at cost.

Amend Sec. 16, page 17, line 13, by inserting after “or” where it appears the second time: real or personal

Amend Sec. 16, page 17, line 18, by inserting after “or” where it appears the second time: real or personal

Amend Sec. 16, page 18, lines 6 through 8, by striking out “if requested, the” in line 6 and all of lines 7 and 8

Amend Sec. 17, page 18, line 12, by inserting after “or”: real or personal

Amend Sec. 18, page 19, line 1, by striking out “prominently displayed and”

Amend Sec. 25, page 26, line 11, by striking out “certificate” and inserting: certified

Amend Sec. 27, page 27, line 24, by striking out “felony” and inserting: misdemeanor

Amend Sec. 27, page 28, line 4, by striking out “felony” and inserting: misdemeanor

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the amendments?  
They were agreed to.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the bill on second consideration, as amended?

It was agreed to.

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

#### BILL OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1253** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

**SB 1279 (Pr. No. 1577)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

#### BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1281 and 1283** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

**SB 1284 (Pr. No. 1726)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

#### BILLS OVER IN ORDER

**SB 1297, 1299, 1302, 1309, 1315, 1324, 1327 and 1331** — Without objection, the bills were passed over in their order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

#### BILL ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

**SB 1335 (Pr. No. 1687)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

#### BILL REREFERRED

**HB 1741 (Pr. No. 2361)** — Upon motion of Senator JUBELIRER, and agreed to, the bill was rereferred to the Committee on Appropriations.

## BILL OVER IN ORDER

**HB 1788** — Without objection, the bill was passed over in its order at the request of Senator JUBELIRER.

## BILLS ON SECOND CONSIDERATION

**HB 1812 (Pr. No. 2179), HB 1813 (Pr. No. 2183) and HB 1972 (Pr. No. 2401)** — Considered the second time and agreed to,

Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for third consideration.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION  
NO. 149, CALLED UP

Senator JUBELIRER, without objection, called up from page 10 of the Calendar, House Concurrent Resolution No. 149, entitled:

General Assembly memorialize President and Congress impose specialty steel import limitations under provisions of the Trade Act of 1974.

On the question,  
Will the Senate concur in the resolution?

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 149  
OVER IN ORDER

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do concur in House Concurrent Resolution No. 149.

On the question,  
Will the Senate agree to the motion?

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I withdraw my motion and ask that House Concurrent Resolution No. 149 go over in its order.

The PRESIDENT. House Concurrent Resolution No. 149 will go over in its order.

PERMISSION GRANTED COMMITTEE TO  
MEET DURING SESSION

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, at the request of the Committee Chairman, I announce a meeting of the Committee on Banking and Insurance to be held immediately, during which time the Senate can proceed with its business.

The PRESIDENT. There being no objection, the Committee on Banking and Insurance will proceed with its meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS  
REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF  
CONFERENCE SUBMITTED

Senator GREENLEAF submitted the Report of Committee of Conference on **SB 277**, which was placed on the Calendar.

## PERMISSION TO ADDRESS SENATE

Senator SCANLON asked and obtained unanimous consent to address the Senate.

Senator SCANLON. Mr. President, I had previously presented to the Clerk a resolution which calls for the censure of a Member of this Senate, but because the particular Member is not present today, I am not requesting immediate consideration of the resolution.

I have, therefore, in writing, requested that it be referred to the Committee on Ethics and Official Conduct.

The PRESIDENT. The remarks of the gentleman will be spread upon the record.

## SENATE RESOLUTIONS

## CENSURE OF SENATOR STREET

Senators SCANLON, ZEMPRELLI, ROSS, MELLOW, ROMANELLI, O'PAKE, SINGEL, LEWIS, KELLEY, MURRAY, LLOYD, BODACK, STOUT, LINCOLN, STAMPONE, MESSINGER, EARLY, REIBMAN, FUMO, LYNCH and STAPLETON offered the following resolution (**Serial No. 81**), which was read and referred to the Committee on Ethics and Official Conduct:

In the Senate, March 23, 1982.

WHEREAS, The conduct of each and every member of the Senate of Pennsylvania in public reflects not only on that member but on each and every other Senator and on the institution as a whole; and

WHEREAS, There have been numerous accounts of distressing behavior by State Senator Milton Street in the public media; and

WHEREAS, Senator Street has flagrantly and willfully disobeyed a valid order of the Municipal Court of Philadelphia County and in so doing subjected the entire Senate to the spectacle of one of its members being summarily arrested, handcuffed and forcibly placed in a police van; and

WHEREAS, This behavior by Senator Street now seems to be part of a pattern of disregarding the laws of this Commonwealth in that he has been charged with numerous traffic violations for which he has failed to appear and in that he continues to operate an automobile while flagrantly defying a suspension of his driving privileges due to said violations; and

WHEREAS, He continues to refuse to pay sales and business taxes allegedly owed to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the City of Philadelphia; and

WHEREAS, he has on several occasions used abusive and objectionable language in communications written on Senate stationery and has taken the floor of the Senate on at least one occasion and used its privilege to use abusive and objectionable language in discussing a member of the United States Congress; and

WHEREAS, By our silence we, the members of the Senate of Pennsylvania would appear to many to condone this objectionable behavior; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the President of the Senate be directed to summon Senator T. Milton Street to the well of the Senate chamber while the Senate is in full and open session and publicly admonish Senator Street to correct his behavior and bring no further discredit upon the Senate of Pennsylvania and the citizens we serve.

**URGING CONGRESS NOT TO PARTICIPATE IN  
BUDGET CUTS FOR MAINTENANCE AND  
OPERATION OF PORTS AND WATERWAYS IN  
WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA**

Senator SHAFFER offered the following resolution (Serial No. 82), which was read and referred to the Committee on Transportation:

In the Senate, March 23, 1982.

The Federal Government proposes a budget cut of \$150,000,000 for maintaining and operating ports and inland waterways. The cut would shift the cost of such maintenance and operation to the users of the waterways through a user charge. The proposed cut would shackle the economy of western Pennsylvania. The counties in the waterways areas of the State are already suffering from double-digit rates of unemployment, and the net effect of the budget cuts will be to cause those rates to raise even higher; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Senate of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania calls upon Congress to not participate in any cutting of its normal appropriations for the maintenance and operation of ports and waterways; and be it further

RESOLVED, That copies of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States, to the presiding officers of both Houses of Congress and to each member of Congress from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

**CONGRATULATORY RESOLUTIONS**

The PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following resolutions, which were read, considered and adopted:

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to James L. Ortoleva by Senator Bell.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Homer L. Greene and to Mr. and Mrs. Edward LiBrecht by Senator Bodack.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to the Knights of Columbus Pius IX Council 4396 by Senator Holl.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Victor T. Raia by Senator Jubelirer.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Bruce Sher by Senator Lloyd.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Dezio, Homer Sylvester Freeman and to Mrs. Nellie Rosetta Stanford by Senator Loeper.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Anthony P. (Andy) Stopper by Senator O'Pake.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Edward Eisaman and to Mr. and Mrs. Frank Jeremias by Senator Pecora.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to the Boy Scout Troop 203 by Senator Price.

Congratulations of the Senate were extended to Mr. and Mrs. Walter Kurtz by Senator Stapleton.

**REPORT FROM COMMITTEE**

Senator HOLL, by unanimous consent, from the Committee on Banking and Insurance, reported, as committed, **HB 1739**.

**BILLS ON FIRST CONSIDERATION**

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now proceed to consideration of all bills reported from committees for the first time at today's Session.

The motion was agreed to.

The bills were as follows:

**SB 526, 527, 528, 774, 1270, HB 1739 and 2036.**

And said bills having been considered for the first time, Ordered, To be printed on the Calendar for second consideration.

**HOUSE MESSAGES**

**HOUSE CONCURS IN SENATE AMENDMENTS  
TO HOUSE BILL**

The Clerk of the House of Representatives informed the Senate that the House has concurred in amendments made by the Senate to **HB 1969**.

**HOUSE BILL FOR CONCURRENCE**

The Clerk of the House of Representatives presented to the Senate the following bill for concurrence, which was referred to the committee indicated:

March 23, 1982

**HB 1671** — Committee on Finance.

**HOUSE RECOMMITS REPORT OF COMMITTEE  
OF CONFERENCE**

The Clerk of the House of Representatives informed the Senate that the House has recommitted Senate Bill No. 277, Printer's No. 1763, to the Committee of Conference.

**HOUSE CONCURS IN SENATE  
CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

The Clerk of the House of Representatives informed the Senate that the House has concurred in resolution from the Senate, entitled:

Weekly Adjournment.

**BILLS SIGNED**

The PRESIDENT (Lieutenant Governor William W. Scranton III) in the presence of the Senate signed the following bills:

**SB 1161 and HB 1969.**

**ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE SECRETARY**

The following announcements were read by the Secretary of the Senate:

## SENATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

## COMMITTEE MEETINGS

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 24, 1982

10:00 A.M. APPROPRIATIONS (to Room 461,  
consider House Bill 4th Floor  
No. 1575) Conference Rm.,  
North Wing

12:00 Noon STATE GOVERNMENT Room 461,  
(to consider House 4th Floor  
Bill No. 1991) Conference Rm.,  
North Wing

THURSDAY, MARCH 25, 1982

9:00 A.M. TRANSPORTATION Auditorium,  
(Public Hearing on Learning and  
House Bill No. 1394) Research Center,  
California State  
College,  
California, PA

MONDAY, March 29, 1982

11:00 A.M. LABOR AND INDUSTRY Room 460,  
(to consider Senate 4th Floor  
Bills No. 320, 388, 515, Conference Rm.,  
1125, 1126 and 1340) North Wing

TUESDAY, MARCH 30, 1982

9:30 A.M. ENVIRONMENTAL Room 459,  
RESOURCES AND ENERGY 4th Floor  
(to consider Senate Conference Rm.,  
Bill No. 1210; Senate North Wing  
Resolution No. 226;  
House Bills No. 154,  
936, 1079 and 1943)

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 31, 1982

10:30 A.M. PUBLIC EMPLOYEE Room 460,  
to RETIREMENT STUDY 4th Floor  
2:00 P.M. COMMISSION Conference Rm.,  
North Wing

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 1982

9:30 A.M. Committee to Investigate Community Rm.,  
to the Office of Mental Bucks County  
5:00 P.M. Retardation (Public Court House,  
Hearing on Senate Doylestown, PA  
Resolution No. 63)

TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 1982

9:30 A.M. Committee to Investigate Hamburg Center,  
to the Office of Mental Hamburg, PA  
5:00 P.M. Retardation (Public  
Hearing on Senate  
Resolution No. 63)

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 7, 1982

9:30 A.M. Committee to Investigate Senate Majority  
to the Office of Mental Caucus Room  
5:00 P.M. Retardation (Public

Hearing on Senate  
Resolution No. 63)

MONDAY, APRIL 26, 1982

9:30 A.M. SENATE JUDICIARY Room 156,  
AND HOUSE JUDICIARY House Majority  
(Public Hearing for Caucus Room  
the purpose of receiving  
the 1982 Annual Report  
of the Pennsylvania  
Crime Commission)

**ADJOURNMENT**

Senator JUBELIRER. Mr. President, I move that the Senate do now adjourn until Wednesday, March 24, 1982, at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Standard Time.

The motion was agreed to.

The Senate adjourned at 4:55 p.m., Eastern Standard Time.