1

1 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY 3 House Resolution 226 - Prison Disturbances at State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill 4 * * * * * 5 6 Stenographic report of hearing held in Room 140, Main Capitol Building, 7 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 8 Wednesday, January 17, 1990 9 10:00 a.m. 10 HON. THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, CHAIRMAN Hon. Kevin Blaum, Subcommittee Chairman on Crime 11 and Corrections 12 MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY 13 Hon. Jerry Birmelin Hon. Paul McHale Hon. Michael Bortner Hon. Christopher K. McNally Hon. Lois S. Hagarty Hon. Nicholas B. Moehlman 14 Hon. Richard Hayden Hon. Jeffrey E. Piccola Hon. John F. Pressmann 1.5 Hon. David W. Heckler Hon. David J. Mayernik Hon. Karen A. Ritter 16 Also Present: 17 Hon. Jerry L. Nailor William Andring, Majority Counsel 18 David Krantz, Executive Director 19 Mary Woolley, Minority Counsel Mary Beth Marschik, Research Analyst 20 Paul Dunkelberger, Research Analyst Katherine Manucci, staff 21 22 Reported by: Ann-Marie P. Sweeney, Reporter 23 24 ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY 25 536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011

192 202 A

PAGE

INDEX Honorable David S. Owens, Jr., Commissioner, Department of Corrections Ted Schumaker, Director of Policy & Planning, Department of Corrections Theodore G. Otto, Chief Counsel, Department of Corrections Sergeant Bernie Venesky, Guard, SCI Camp Hill Major John R. Stover, Captain of the Guards, SCI Camp Hill Dr. Carl Hoffman, Jr., Physician, SCI Camp Hill Ann Schwartzmann, Pennsylvania Prison Society Michael Hackman, Pennsylvania Prison Society J. Theodore Wise, Executive Director, Cumberland County Office of Emergency Preparedness Beth A. Burkholder, EMS Coordinator, Cumberland County Office of Emergency Preparedness

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: 1 The House Judiciary Committee meeting will please come to order. This is a 2 public hearing pursuant to House Resolution 226. We will 3 now open up the hearing. 4 Chief Counsel Andring has just advised me 5 that we have received a tremendous amount of information 6 7 concerning some of the problems at the other institutions 8 and he and Mary Woolley will proceed to put those in 9 packets and duplicate them for us and make sure that each one of the members of the committee will receive copies of 10 that within the next week. 11 12 For the record, if the members of the panel 13 would just indicate who they are. Start on my left. 14 REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Representative Jeff 15 Piccola from Dauphin County. REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: 16 Representative Hagarty from Montgomery County. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE BORTNER: Mike Bortner from 19 York County. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Tom Caltagirone from 20 21 Berks County. MR. ANDRING: Bill Andring, Majority 22 23 Counsel. REPRESENTATIVE MOEHLMANN: Nick Moehlmann, 24 Lebanon County, Minority Chairman. 25

1 REPRESENTATIVE MCNALLY: Representative Chris McNally from Allegheny County. 2 3 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative Birmelin from Wayne County. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: Representative Kevin Blaum, city of Wilkes-Barre. Ö 7 MS. WOOLLEY: Mary Woolley, Counsel for the Republican committee. 8 REPRESENTATIVE NAILOR: Representative Jerry 9 Nailor, Cumberland County. ΙÜ 11 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Okay, Commissioner, 12 if you would like to start, sir. 13 COMMISSIONER OWENS: Good morning, sir. 14 Chairman Caltagirone, members of the committee. 15 pleased to have this opportunity to appear before you 16 today to discuss the October riot at State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill. You have my thanks for allowing 17 us the time to collect our information before scheduling 18 our appearance here today. Your patience has permitted me 19 20 and the entire department to address the most urgent needs 21 first - the security of the institution. 22 Before I begin, I want to thank those 23 individuals who helped bring the incident to a successful 24 conclusion. I am proud of the staff at Camp Hill and the

other institutions who played a major role in quelling the

disturbance. They conducted themselves in a professional and courageous manner. The State Police played an absolutely essential role during the entire ordeal. They continue to this day to maintain a presence at the institution. The ambulance, emergency and fire units, the local law enforcement agencies from this region were of great assistance.

There are so many people who deserve thanks from this Commonwealth and the Department of Corrections that it is impossible to recognize them all here today. But first, rest assured, we know their contributions and they are deeply appreciated.

Let me tell you where we are today. We have relocated approximately 800 inmates on a temporary basis to facilities in the Federal Bureau of Prisons. On behalf of Governor Casey, I would like to thank U.S. Senators John Heinz and Arlen Specter for their assistance.

The initial criminal investigation is still in progress. As you are of no doubt aware, the incident began at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 25th, and ended approximately seven hours later. The incident flared up again at approximately 7:00 p.m. the next day and was brought to a conclusion by the Pennsylvania State Police and the Department of Corrections personnel some 15 hours later. The total time of the two confrontations was

roughly 22 hours.

. 8

In the public arena, emphasis has focussed largely on the negatives. Perhaps it would be beneficial to this discussion to address the rights. We had several objectives during the disturbance. Let's look at them.

The first objective was to protect the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania thereby assuring the safety of our community. I'm pleased to report to you this morning that objective was met in splendid fashion. At no time during the three days was the community in jeopardy.

The second objective, to bring the incident to a conclusion without loss of life. There was no loss of human life during the incident.

The third objective, to minimize the degree of injury to both staff and inmates. During the first day of the incident, there were 36 staff, 7 inmates, 1 fireman and 1 policeman injured. On the second day, there were 34 correctional staff, 32 inmates injured, plus 10 others, including firefighters and State Police. I can report to you now that of those injured, no one is still hospitalized.

The fourth objective, to prosecute those individuals who caused the riot. The investigation is ongoing. It is my understanding that more arrests are

forthcoming.

2ü

While deadly force was used, shots were tired, that force was reasonable, managed and measured under the circumstances. We will continue to investigate and review all aspects of the disturbance and the operation of the institution not only to learn from the experience but also to become a stronger and better department.

As major disturbances go, this incident was brought to a rather quick conclusion. I have knowledge of disturbances that last for six or seven days. This quick conclusion was possible because of the excellent cooperation and efforts of all those agencies involved and by their rapid response. Destruction to the physical plant was quite extensive, but given the enormity of the crisis, it could have been a lot worse.

There has been criticism of the administration's handling of the disturbance. While we continue to examine those allegations, I must say to you in all sincerity, I'm not aware of any riot that has gone completely by the numbers. Neither did this disturbance go by the numbers. I would ask that you look at the outcome as well as the process.

May I now turn to the role of the Commissioner of Corrections in such a disturbance. While

at a disturbance at an institution, the Commissioner of Corrections, according to our guidelines and according to national standards, should be on duty in the command center at the department headquarters to provide assistance to the Superintendents, to monitor the overall operation of the Department of Corrections, to prepare responses should there be an incident at a second or third institution. Please remember, the department had experienced a disturbance at State Correctional Institution at Huntingdon just two days before the Camp Hill incident and indeed there were rumors that we would have trouble at two other institutions.

1.3

Throughout the incident I was at the command center within the department headquarters coordinating and directing the department's efforts. Some have indicated that during the incident I had a hands-off approach.

Nothing could be further from the truth. I was in constant communication with Superintendent Freeman as well as other staff throughout the department. Whenever assistance was needed at the institution, the department did all it possibly could to provide it.

Perhaps it would be appropriate for me to interject a historical perspective at this point. This agency was created in 1953 as a bureau under the State Attorney General in the aftermath of riots that began at

State Correctional Institution at Pittsburgh which spread very quickly to State Correctional Institution at Rockview. Riots and disturbances can quickly move from institution to institution. That is why it is absolutely essential that the Commissioner of Corrections take a departmental approach to handling a major disturbance rather than direct all of his energies to one institution in a manner that would leave the rest of the correctional system vulnerable.

b

Mr. Chairman, Representatives, I did not take a hands-off approach. This is neither my management style nor my philosophical point of view. I am an involved manager. But the question becomes the degree of involvement. My actions were totally consistent with departmental and national standards.

While no correctional administrator can ever guarantee you that a riot or major disturbance will not occur, there are certain factors that have historically led to major disturbances and riots. Overcrowding is one of the leading factors that contributes to a major disturbance. Camp Hill was significantly overcrowded prior to the incident, operating at nearly 50 percent of its design capacity. In addition, we are experiencing in this department an influx of a different kind of inmate than we have received historically. This individual is

younger, more violent, more prone to be addicted to drugs, and more gang or group oriented. Our investigations have revealed that these characteristics describe the vast majority of the inmates housed at Camp Hill. These individuals simply bring to us a different challenge and they present a more serious problem than their predecessors, particularly when housed in overcrowded conditions.

We have not ignored the overcrowding dilemma. We have committed resources to address this problem. May I briefly talk about that. Over the last three years Camp Hill's budget has increased by \$5.2 million. We have added 50 positions and, excluding repairs to the riot damage, we have allocated more than \$11 million to make physical plant improvements.

However, our population in the department has increased taster than anyone expected, faster than anyone's expectations, and it continues to grow. While we lost over a thousand cells in this department due to the disturbance, we still face the reality that each month brings an increase of more than 200 inmates to the correctional system.

The situation at Camp Hill has further exacerbated our crowding problem in the State. Please remember that the Federal government would like to return

the prisoners that we sent them as soon as possible.

Since assuming the responsibilities as Commissioner of Corrections, I have consistently said that we must address the problems in corrections. I proposed that we build institutions but clearly said we cannot build our way out of this problem. We must put in place viable alternatives to incarceration. Ladies and gentlemen, we need your help. We need to continue the aggressive building program recommended by the Governor, but we must be just as aggressive in putting a workable alternative to traditional incarceration in place.

as the institutions have. The first is the protection of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The second is to bring about a change in the behavior of those individuals who are invoived in the programs. Again, we must emphasize, I am not speaking about placing dangerous or violent individuals in these alternatives. I'm speaking about non-violent individuals who can be safely kept in a less restrictive environment.

I vigorously urge you to pass the earned time bill. I vigorously urge you to explore drug and alcohol treatment centers. I vigorously ask that we explore other programs that would treat offenders as well as punish them for their crimes.

If we do these things, if we aggressively attack overcrowding, if we aggressively provide a measure of hope and reward to those inmates who earn it, if we take steps to assure the safety of the prison staff, we will aggressively reduce the major causes of prison disturbances.

But in the final analysis, we must go beyond the emotions of the moment and move quickly to solve the problems that typically lead to riots - overcrowding, weaknesses in security, shortages of programming and poor communications and other communications problems. All of these can lead to a perception on the part of staff and inmates that the conditions are unjust and intolerable.

If I do nothing else here this morning, I hope that I impress upon you the urgency of our joining hands and working together to make sure that we do not have another Camp Hill.

In addition to my prepared remarks, Mr.

Chairman, I would like to just say a couple things about some comments that was made and try to address them prior to the questions.

Questions have been asked, sir, of who caused the riot at Camp Hill, who was responsible for it? Disruptive and unruly inmates, sir, are responsible for it. They are the individual that rioted.

please? The Superintendent's memorandum was not to me, it was to the other Superintendents to make them aware of his view of the situation on that Thursday. I have testified and have said on several occasions that I read the first paragraph of the memorandum, I then asked the Superintendent, "Superintendent, is there anything in here I don't know?" And he said, "No, sir." And I said, "Well, fine, send it out." That is the way my recollection of the memorandum went.

Superintendent's memorandum to me. May I correct that,

There was also some comment about the

I have subsequently spoken and have read the memorandum to correct some misconceptions. The memorandum only spoke to locking problems on one block, specifically H Block, and I still would have not made a different decision than what was made. We were only dealing with one block that we thought that there were locking errors in. And areas spoke to keys and some weapons that was present. If the block and if the institution was in lockdown, then that would not have presented a problem. If the locking devices were secure, it would not have presented a major problem, and I saw no reason to overrule the Superintendent.

With regard to the shakedown, that is an institutional decision. Our guidelines clearly indicate

that the Superintendent or his designee may make that decision and they are to advise me. If the Superintendent, the Deputy Superintendent, the management staff of that institution would have told me, Commissioner, if we search this institution we risk a further disturbance, I would not have overruled them.

They are the people who are on-site, they know the institution better than I do. It would have, in my judgment, been better placed with them.

Lastly, was the institution secure? All of the information that was provided to me by the Superintendent was that the institution was secure. At the time that the public statements and public comments were made, it was my understanding that the institution was secure.

Thank you very much, and I'll be very happy to respond to your questions, sir.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Commissioner.

I just want to mention to the members of the committee that last year when you appeared before this committee when we were conducting the hearings on the prison overcrowding at the time I think you raised the concern, and I think legitimately so, about the overcrowding and the potentials that could develop from

the overcrowding situation, and here we are, 1990, and we're looking at the same problems. I want to let you know and let the public know that we do plan to consider the earned time legislation which you had suggested in your presentation here this morning on the 23rd of this month, and I would hope that we can give due consideration to moving that bill forward and out of committee.

I also agree with your observations, by the way, about alternatives. I think we're like voices in the wilderness that have been crying out maybe in the face of tremendous opposition simply because too many people think the easy answers are to pass the legislation, mandatories particularly, to get people off the streets and incarcerate them without realizing the impact that all the legislation that we continue to pass on mandatory sentencing will have a bottom line in your institutions that you're in charge of.

I think one of the biggest problems that we face as a General Assembly, Governor, and all the departments involved and the Secretaries is how to deal with this growing prison population. Projections that I've seen from your people and the Governor's people have indicated that the State will double its prison population within the next 10 years. At that rate, we're not going to be able to continue to house the prisoners that we're

1 taking in, and unless we develop the alternatives, the 2 Camp Hill situation could possibly be repeated time and 3 time and time again. I'll open it up for questions from members. 4 Representative Hagarty. 5 REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Thank you. 7 BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Of Commissioner Owens) Commissioner, you've indicated that 8 Q. 9 overcrowding was severe at Camp Hill prison. When did you 10 first believe that overcrowding became severe enough to 11 pose a threat to the institution? 12 À. Madam Representative, I have been speaking 13 about overcrowding, as you are aware, since my time of coming on board. So I think that I have been concerned 14 15 about overcrowding for 2 1/2 -- 2 years, 7 months. What -- you are aware of a memo that 16 0. 17 Superintendent Freeman sent you, I think, in September of 18 1989 indicating the severe problems and a long-range plan, 19 are you not? 20 A. Madam Representative, can you give me the 21 date of that? Would that be September the 11th? 22 ũ. It was the memorandum that was referenced in the Adams Commission--23 24 A. Yes, Ma'am.

September 11th.

Q.

- б

- A. The 11th. Exactly.
- Q. And I'm wondering, what was communicated to you at that time with regard to the condition and the climate at Camp Hill?
- A. The Superintendent expressed some concerns about the climate at Camp Hill and indicated that due to the overcrowding situation that they would develop a long-range plan to address their concerns.
- Q. Did you respond to the Superintendent with regard to that memo?
- A. The memorandum was sent to the appropriate area within the Department of Corrections to sit down with the Superintendent and work out the details of this as planned, so I did respond to it. I responded to it by forwarding it to the appropriate area within the institution to meet with the Superintendent and discuss our addressing of the long-range plan. The plan, if memory serves me correctly, called for some additional resources.
- Q. Do you recall that the memorandum specifically stated that "the negative effects of crowding are so pervasive and deadly that it is essential that Central Office and Camp Hill staff work together to develop a concrete, long-term plan of action to address the issue of crowding at Camp Hill"?

1 A. Yes, I'm aware of that.

- Q. And who in Central Office did you assign to work out this pervasive and extensive problem with Superintendent Freeman?
 - A. Facility Services.
 - Q. And did, in fact, that meeting take place?
- A. There were several meetings that took place, Madam.
 - Q. What was done to address the problem?
- A. We were -- one, we developed the resources needed aspect of it to go into our operating budget for the coming year.
- Q. What was your -- let me reserve further question on the budget for a minute, but I first want to ask you, do you have a Deputy Commissioner for Correctional Services currently?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. What is the job of the Deputy Commissioner for Correctional Services?
- A. To work with the institutions for the purpose of developing facility services, to monitor facility services within the institutions.
- Q. As I understand, the job also includes the staffing of guards and essentially being your person in the Central Office who is in charge of all security? Is

that correct?

- A. The person has to do with evaluating, Madam Representative, with the Superintendent the needed staffing level at all of the institutions. That is to be done annually or from time to time, and that individual has that responsibility. That is correct.
 - Q. And how long has that position been vacant?
 - A. Seven or eight months.
- Q. What steps have you taken to fill that position?
- Q. The department is in the process of being reorganized. I did not fill that position until the department had been reorganized. I have that approval and we are moving forward now to fill the position. The department, Madam Representative, from my perspective, was not structured to best utilize the institutions. I have, since I arrived, advocated the regionalization of our institutions, if I may just take a moment to explain what was going on.

We have some 15 institutions. With the present request by the Governor for 4 additional institutions, we will go to 19 institutions. I foresee 20 institutions within the next three years. That's simply too big for us to function under our present structure. I propose to split the State in half, have a western region

and an eastern region, have a Deputy Commissioner for each of the regions, thereby reducing the span of supervision and assisting the institution greater. That's what was going on while this position was vacant. So please do not think that we simply didn't fill it because we did not choose to fill it or for economic reasons. Those were not the reasons.

- Q. What information were you receiving from your institutions with regard to staffing needs?
- A. I was receiving the regular feedback through the institutions from the Superintendents in to me as to what their staffing needs are. The line of communications in the department to the Superintendents at this point in time goes directly into the Commissioner.
- Q. And specifically at Camp Hill, what information were you receiving as to their staffing needs?
- A. I received one memorandum -- pardon me, I received one memorandum and several phone calls from the Superintendent indicating that they had stafting needs.
- Q. And how great were those staffing needs?
 This is guards we're talking about?
 - A. No, it was--

- Q. What type of staffing needs?
- A. Correctional officers, food service people, counselors, diagnostic and intake workers.

1	Q. What were their staffing needs?
2	A. In terms of numbers?
3	Q. In terms of numbers.
4	A. I'm sorry, I would not have that with me. I
5	would be very happy to provide it to you.
6	Q. Were you aware of the overtime that was
7	being put in by correctional officers at Camp Hill as a
8	result of the lack of filling of the staffing needs?
9	A. Yes, Ma'am, I am aware of it.
10	Q. And can you tell us what kind of overtime
11	guards were being asked to put in on those cell blocks?
12	A. I can tell you what it is departmental wide.
13	To isolate it to Camp Hill, it's not just a Camp Hill
14	problem, it is a department problem. We spend somewhere
` 15	in the area of \$13 million a year for overtime.
16	Individuals in this department have, for many years,
17	worked a significant amount of overtime. I think I may
18	have testified to that the last time we were together.
19	Q. And do you believe that this poses a greater
20	danger for everybody involved to have guards
21	A. Absolutely.
22	Q. Would it have been the responsibility of the
23	Deputy for Correctional Services to address these issues
24	had he been there?

A. No. By addressing them you mean correct

them?

- Q. I mean analyzing the security problems posed by lack of staffing.
- A. There was no difference of opinion between the Superintendent and me that those were his needs. The Deputy Commissioner would have been the individual who simply goes down who supervises, goes down and does a housing-unit-by-housing-unit, a post-by-post check of this particular area to verify it. I don't, for one moment, question that the Superintendent's request was legitimate. Those were his needs.
 - Q. Okay, why were those needs not met then?
 - A. Allocation of resources.
- Q. Okay, what request did you make in the 1989 budget year, 1988 budget year -- for this fiscal year, what request did you make with regard to Corrections' budget?
- A. As you are aware, that budget is still in the process. We are still working on that. There are still discussion going--
- Q. For last year's fiscal year. What I'm curious about is your last fiscal request, what was that with regard to the Department of Corrections?
 - A. In terms of--
 - Q. Well, let's start with total budget request.

A. Would you give me the year again, please?

Last year, you speak?

- Q. Last fiscal year. So in other words, the budget that was passed by this General Assembly in June of 1989, what was your request to the Governor for this tiscal year?
- A. The reason I'm hesitating is because I'm talking about a process, Madam Representative, that is continuously going on. We make any number of requests during the year based on our analysis of the need. I'm sorry.
- Q. Well, I have to assume that prior to the Governor submitting his budget message to the General Assembly, which would have been February of 1989, he would have had from you a needs request. Let me ask you specifically, the component that related to staffing, how much money did you tell the Governor's Office you needed?
- A. And the reason I am taking you through the process, and I apologize but I'm trying to arrive at what point in time you're talking about. There's several requests.

The final request that we asked for I think is somewhere in the area of 500 -- pardon me, five thousand and six hundred employees. The allocation for Camp Hill would have been somewhere in the area of 10 or

1 12. 2 Your final--Q. And I'm quoting from memory, so please, I 3 A. 4 reserve the right to correct that at a later date. 5 Ū. And how many of those employees did you receive? 6 7 We received, during the year we received 30 8 employees initially, budgetarily, and then we received 150 9 employees subsequently because of a labor awards. Out of 10 the 150 employees, I believe an additional 40 was allocated to Camp Hiii. Again, I'm quoting from memory. 11 12 It may be 30. 13 Q. All right. So you needed how many for Camp Hill? 14 15 Ā. We needed--16 Let me break it down again. You needed how Q. 17 many, system wide, guards? 18 Needed for what? A. 19 How many guards did you request? 0. 20 To be at 100 percent of staffing? To be at 100 percent of staffing needs? 21 Q. 22 À. We needed somewhere in the area of 300

And how many did you receive?

We received 180.

23

24

25

employees.

Q.

Ā.

1	Q. You received 180?
2	À. Yes.
3	Q. And how many of those went to Camp Hill?
4	A. Approximately 40.
5	Q. And how many were needed at Camp Hill?
6	A. Needed for 100 percent of staffing?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. What we gave them would have brought them up
9	to 100 percent of their staffing. There were three
10	institutions that was brought up to 100 percent of
11	staffing. Camp Hill was one of them.
12	Q. Well, you're not suggesting that Camp Hili
13	was 100-percent staffed at the time of this riot?
14	A. No, I'm not.
15	Q. So prior to this riot what was the staffing
16	level?
17	A. Prior to the riot they had authorization to
18	go to 100 percent of statting. They were in the process
19	Q. What was that authorization? When was that
20	authorization given?
21	A. September. September. And they were in the
22	process of hiring these individuals when the disturbance
23	happened.
24	Q. Are you tamiliar with the track meet that
25	was planned for the fall that was canceled at Camp Hill?

- Τ.

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And why was that canceled and who made that decision?
- A. The decision was made jointly between the Deputy Commissioner for Programming after discussing with the Superintendent that there was rumors that it would be disrupted by a group of inmates.
- Q. Did you receive any further information leading -- any further information about a riot being planned at Camp Hill after the track meet?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you inquire after receiving that information about the track meet?
- A. Yes. I sent a memorandum out sometime in late September to all Superintendents advising them that we had received information that there were disruptive groups within the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections that were planning disturbances and asked them to closely monitor those groups and report to the Department of Corrections on who they are, their numbers, and to begin to develop as much information as we possibly could on disruptive groups within the Department of Corrections.
- Q. Did you advise your Superintendents not to send written memorandums to you regarding staffing needs?
 - A. No.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. Superintendent Freeman testified yesterday that you have indicated that you didn't want any more what he described as CYA memos.
 - A. Absolutely. Yes, I did.
- Q. And he indicated that memos of the nature of "if we don't receive more staff there may be a riot" should not be sent to you. Did you indicate to him or any other Superintendent that you did not want memorandums with that type of news?
- No, I did not. I think there is some Α. confusion here. Indeed the Superintendent sent me a memorandum, as other Superintendents send me memorandums stating what their needs are. I encourage that. At the meeting that you're speaking of I had received several memorandums, one in particular said that if I don't have 10 correctional officers we're going to have a major disturbance in the institution. I said, don't send me memorandums like that, call me on the telephone. Let me know that immediately. That's not a subject for a That's a subject for immediate action, if you memorandum. think you're going to have a disturbance. That was the gist of my discussion with the Superintendents on that. T never at any time told a Superintendent, do not let me know what your situation is, what your needs are. As a matter of fact, I sent out memorandums to the

Superintendents telling them to tell me that weekly, send
weekly evaluations as to what the climate and condition is
of your institutions. So I think there may be some
confusion.

- Q. Did you communicate to the Governor's Office how dire these Superintendents considered their understatting problem?
- A. I communicated to the office of -- the Governor's Office, not the Governor specifically.
- Q. And to whom were you reporting the staffing, tremendous understaffing needs?
 - A. May I finish my statement?
 - Q. Yes. I'm sorry.

Ó

- A. I communicated to the Governor's Office on numerous occasions that we were short personnel. I communicated to the Governor's Office on numerous occasions what the situation within the institutions are. I did not go in and tell the Governor or anyone else that a riot or disturbance was imminent within the Department of Corrections because I did not know or think that a riot or disturbance was imminent within the Department of Corrections.
- Q. Do you recall when you testified before the Crime and Corrections Subcommittee last fall you inferred at that time that if the overcrowding needs were not met

that there would be a disturbance? Is that a fair ĭ characterization? 2 I think with modification. I think that I 3 Ā. 4 said that it is reasonable to suspect that we will have the disturbances within the departments, yes, that's a 5 fair characterization. And did you communicate to the Governor's 7 8 Office that it was reasonable for them to expect a 9 disturbance it the staffing needs and the overcrowding 10 problem was not addressed institution wide? 11 A. But I indicated that we were given 12 additional resources. I clearly indicated, Madam 13 Representative, that we got over 150, indeed 180 people, 14 so my pleas were responded to. 15 Well, I guess that's a matter of 0. 16 interpretation as to when and how fully, but without 17 regard to that, what steps did you communicate as to what 18 should be done about overcrowding, not just staffing 19 needs, the general problem of overcrowding? Yes, I gave my views fully and completely as 20 A. 21 to what we can do about overcrowding. 22 And what were your recommendations as to Q.

what the administration should do in regards to

That we should hire additional personnel,

23

24

25

overcrowding?

Ã.

that we should build additional institutions, that we should vigorously endorse an earned time bill. May I point out that the Governor accepted that and indeed has recommended all of those things.

- Q. Well, that's not a matter I want to debate with you as to when the Governor made those recommendations. I'm just trying to explore what recommendations you made to this administration. Did you have an opportunity to look at some of the other recommendations that were introduced some 10 months ago by Representative Piccola and myself to address overcrowding, electronic surveillance, some parole changes?
 - A. Yes. Yes, I did.

- Q. And did you recommend to the Governor's Office prior to the Camp Hill riot that there should be other alternatives endorsed to address overcrowding?
- A. I think both the Governor and I have been calling for viable alternatives. It's -- I don't think there is a lot of disagreement as to how we should proceed. There may be some disagreement on a particular bill, there may be some disagreement on how the bill is structured, but I think there's general agreement between us that we have to do something to address the overcrowding problem.
 - Q. Commissioner, you were the expert on this.

1 Have you been advising us as to what steps we should be 2 taking to address overcrowding? I surely hope I have. That's been my 3 A. 4 impression. I've been trying to do that. I think you can 5 answer that question a little bit better than I. And you've been advising the Governor's 6 0. 7 Office as to what steps they should have taken with regard to overcrowding? 8 9 A. Yes, I have been advising the Governor's Office. 10 11 Are you aware of the master plan of the Q. 12 Department of Corrections to be utilized in cases of 13 riots? 14 Yes, I am. A. 15 And was that master plan utilized during Q. this riot? 16 17 A. For the most part, yes. There is a section of that master plan, as 18 ο. 19 we heard testimony yesterday, that refers to a cooperative 20 agreement between the State Police and the Department of 21 Corrections. 22 Α. That is correct. 23 Was that cooperative agreement executed? Q. 24 What was the status of the cooperative agreement?

The new cooperative agreement is still in

25

A.

the process of being worked out between the Department of Corrections and the State Police. We are presently functioning under the old cooperative agreement.

Z

- Q. How long has the new cooperacive agreement been in the working out stage?
- A. Oh, for some time. For a year, a year and a haif.
- Q. What has been the problem in working out a cooperative agreement with the State Police?
- A. Well, first of all, may I indicate to you that there is no note of urgency because we are still functioning under the old one, which seems to have worked over the years. There have been personnel changes in the Department of Corrections, there have been personnel changes in the State Police, so there are several things that have prevented us from doing it. Also, we have the experienced -- I have experienced problems that have not made it very high on my list of priorities, so there are several reasons why we have not arrived at a final conclusion.
- Q. Did you operate under the old cooperative agreement on both days of this riot?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And tell us what you did pursuant to that cooperative agreement then in managing this riot.

- A. In terms -- can you be a little more specific?
- Q. As to the chain of command by the Department of Corrections and the contemplated joint chain of command by the State Police.
- A. State Police, upon our request, the State Police is to be called to the institution. When arriving there, the senior State Police official will confer with the senior corrections official. They will discuss the problem, be briefed, and a plan of action is to be formulated between the two agencies. Any differences of opinion at the institutional level will go through the separate chains of command. It functioned according to that on both occasions.
- Q. Are you aware that Commissioner Sharpe testified yesterday that on the 25th you were operating under the old agreement and on the 26th under the new agreement?
- A. No, I'm not aware that the Commissioner said that.
- Q. And you may also be aware that I think it's Major Hazen who was in command on the skirmish line had no idea as to pursuant to what authority he was in command. Was this discussed at any time as to what the chain of command was during the period of time that the State

Police and Corrections were on the scene?

A. The relationship between the State Police and the Department of Corrections are very old and very established and a very good one. We've had numerous problems at the institutions. The State Police have always responded in splendid fashion and have always — it has worked out well. May I simply point to the incident on the 25th to demonstrate to you, that was an excellent agreement by the State Police and the Department of Corrections and worked well. So I don't understand the problem. The agreement is working very well.

- Q. I guess my problem was the testimony yesterday seemed to create an atmosphere of total confusion as to who was in command. When the State Police arrived at the gate, they were not permitted entry. Do you know who ultimately allowed them entry into the gate?
- A. Well, the Superintendent has authority, upon my approval, to do that.
- Q. And did the Superintendent check with you prior to approving the State Police?
- A. I was at the gate. I wasn't there when they arrived. When I arrived at the gate, I arrived at the gate -- I could not reach the Superintendent by telephone. I didn't know where he was, so I went to the institution to find the Superintendent. I found the Superintendent at

the gate. When I walked in, he reported to me what was happening. I told him -- he said that the institution had taken control of a vast majority of the institution. I told him, "Go in and take it back." That was the authorization for him to take the measures that he needed to do it. That happened quickly, there was no delay. I stayed there--

- Q. Are you aware of the Commission's criticism that that was a long delay and in fact may have endangered lives?
- A. Yes, I'm aware of that. That's inconsistent with my recollection of what happened. Please remember, Madam Representative, I was there. I stayed there for about five minutes. I saw the assault take place. I returned to the institution and set up a command center. So, yes, I heard the criticism. I do not agree with it.
- Q. Pursuant to the master plan, what steps had you taken according to what is envisioned there in terms of bringing in teams of experts to help advise the Superintendent?
- A. I set up a command center at the institution consistent with our procedure in our rules and regulations. The policy indicates that upon the Superintendent's request I am to make assistance available. We were in constant communications. The

Superintendent indicated to me that as far as manpower was concerned that he thought he was okay. I got no request for additional resources. I was prepared to provide it as soon as it was requested.

- Q. The memorandum that you discussed in your comments which you now indicate you were aware of the contents of it--
 - A. By the memorandum--

- Q. I'm sorry, the memorandum that was to be sent to the Superintendents and Superintendent Freeman asked for your approval to send it to the Superintendents. You were aware, as you've indicated, that there were weapons, that there were cell locks broken in Cell Block H, that the count had not cleared, and that damage was extensive. Did you offer assistance at that time to Superintendent Freeman?
- A. May I set the record straight? Again, if I told you that -- I may have confused the issue. I read the first paragraph of the memorandum, as I indicated earlier. I then turned to the Superintendent and asked the Superintendent, is there anything in here, Bob, that I'm not aware of? He said, no. I said, fine, send it out. I then returned to my office and began to work in my office. I spoke with -- we had a meeting with the Superintendent around 1:00 o'clock in the afternoon.

Again, I asked for an update. During -- over the period of time I spoke with the Superintendent possibly on two other occasions by telephone. The Superintendent did not indicate to me that he did not feel the institution was insecure, that he felt that everything was under control. I did not go through a particular list, check-off list, with the Superintendent because he gave me updates, he constantly gave me information. So did I ask him was the institution -- were the weapons or -- presented a problem? The answer was no, because I clearly understood that the institution was in lockdown.

- Q. Well, so you're indicating that you didn't read the memorandum because you asked him if you knew everything that was in it. Was that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Did you know everything that was in it? I mean, when he answered, yes, you know everything in it, was he correct? Did you know everything that was in that memorandum at that time?
- A. I did not know about the weapons and being -- that he felt that there were weapons and keys, but that would not have made a difference if the institution was secure. It would only make a difference to me if the institution was not secure.
 - Q. How long was the memorandum?

1	A. Approximately two pages. It was exactly tw
2	pages.
3	Q. Okay. Did you ask the Superintendent on
4	what basis he made the determination that the institution
5	was secure?
6	A. I asked him that Friday or, pardon me,
7	Wednesday night. I said, "Bob, is the institution
8	secure?" He said, "Yes, sir." So on Wednesday night I
9	asked about the security of the institution.
10	ý. Did you ask him it he planned to do a
11	shakedown?
12	A. No, I did not.
13	Q. You never discussed a shakedown with him?
14	A. No, I did not.
15	Q. So did you have any, I guess, basis other
16	than his conclusion for your conclusion that the
17	institution was in fact secure?
18	A. But according to the chain of command,
19	that's my source of information, the Superintendent.
20	Q. So you're indicating the chain of command,
21 .	even under this riot situation, went up and not down
22	still? Is that fair to say?
23	A. No, I'm not saying that. The chain of
24	information was up and down. We're talking about a

shakedown, not about the flow of information, am I

correct?

- Q. Well, you're indicating to us that you relied solely on his conclusions, never offered like additional assistance because you were not asked, never questioning the basis of his conclusions.
- A. Oh, no, if you're getting that conclusion, that's not what I'm conveying. I'm saying that he is the chain of command, he is my source of information, he is the person that gives us information. There are numerous times that I received information from the Superintendent both during the disturbance and after that we discussed things. If the Superintendent tells me that the institution is secure, I have no reason to question that. I have no reason to feel that he doesn't know what he's talking about.
- Q. I want to refer to a meeting in your office at 2:00 o'clock on October 26th in which a large number of Lieutenants and other officers, as well as the Superintendent and Deputies, were there to make a conference call to the Governor. Did any of those people raise at that time their concerns with regard to the lack of security at the institution?
- A. Absolutely not. And may I just embellish that a little? When they arrived I said, "How are things going?" I said, "How is the institution?" And I was

- 1	told, we re oray, commissioner. We re dealing with
2	things." So no, no one conveyed at that meeting that
3	there was any problems. Indeed, I was told that the
4	institution was secure.
5	Q. Did you discuss at any time with the
6	Commissioner or his Deputies the decision not to do a
7	shakedown?
8	A. The issue was not raised.
9	Q. So are you aware of whether there was a
10	shakedown or not?
11	A. No, I was not.
12	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Excuse me.
13	REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Okay, I'll yield to
14	other members.
15	Thank you, Superintendent Commissioner.
16	Excuse me.
17	REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	BY REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: (Of Commissioner Owens)
20	Q. Commissioner, I would like to congratulate
21	you on the statement that you made opening your testimony
22	that this was caused, that these disturbances at Camp Hill
23	were caused by convicted criminals in Pennsylvania who
24	decided to commit additional crimes, and I, for one, don't

believe while we do have overcrowding in our prisons and

that is a problem, if we reduced the population of Camp Hill, which is I believe at 2,600, you reduce it by 20 percent, we're down to 2,100. And from testimony that we have heard, there was somewhere around maybe a thousand inmates involved in the disturbances to some degree or another. And I think we have to realize that these disturbances were not caused by the lack of a track meet or by the issue of some overtime or that family visits were canceled or that there's bad food or that they don't receive a daily manicure. These disturbances were caused because they intentionally and with intent decided to perpetrate damage upon the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and at the expense of the taxpayers of Pennsylvania.

15.

How you, Commissioner Sharpe, Major Hazen got out of this without the loss of a life, Commissioner, is something that is beyond me, and I think whether or not you follow a game plan 100 percent, the game plan may go out the window when you're involved in the combat and some of the situations that we heard yesterday that the State Police and your brave officers were confronted with.

And what I would like to hear is your feelings, your impression. What happened? I'm not interested in Appropriations meetings right now. What I'm interested in is what happened on October 25th, 26th, 27th from the point of view of the Commissioner of Corrections?

How did it begin? What did you -- when were you first made aware of it? And step by step, I would like to know what occurred inside those walls, inside your offices, you know, what it was like and to see if this committee can be of any help to the Department of Corrections in helping that it doesn't happen again.

Obviously, you know, we're troubled because it happened again the second night. Where was the lack of communication? How does that happen and how do we improve that?

But to think that these horrible, horrible crimes which were perpetrated upon corrections officers, and we saw some pictures yesterday of what was done to these brave employees of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I'm happy that you mentioned about the investigation going forward and that further arrests are going to be made and that these people inflicted millions and millions of dollars of damage at the taxpayers' expense. They need to be identified, prosecuted, and I believe severely punished for what they did. And again, I congratulate you on pointing that out because I don't think it's pointed out enough that these -- what happened was not perpetrated by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. What happened was perpetrated by criminals that we house within our corrections institutions, and we pray God it doesn't

happen again, but if it does, I hope that you and Commissioner Sharpe are able to once again bring it all under control without the loss of a single life, be it a Commonwealth employee, an employee of the municipal police departments that so ably responded, or the loss of life of any inmate. We don't want that to happen.

So my question, from your perspective, your story as to what happened. That is what interests me and that's what I want to know about.

A. Thank you. May I again thank you, sir. I think that has been lost, too. I think we take people who have a history and a background of violence, who have broken the law, and we put them together in penal institutions and we're going to have problems because they are problem people. That's why we must have in place the physical plant, the plans, and the trained staff to deal with them.

While I agree with you, sir, that the situation was brought about by a group of individuals or groups of individuals who were bent on breaking the law, I would ask that I have some influence over you and over this group about overcrowding because while it may play a part or may not play a part in starting the riot, it plays a major part in controlling the riot, in handling it and managing it.

I also would like to take a moment to echo something that I said earlier and something that you have just said, sir, with regard to the compliment, that the appreciation that I have and that I hope the rest of the citizens of this State have for the outstanding performance of the State Police and for the outstanding performance of the correctional officers and for the police in this area for what happened. Please remember, and I think that is something else that is lost, please remember that at the height of the riot there was well over 2,000 individuals that could have rioted. There was well over at least 115 individuals that was in the involved area that were acting out and into this we sent trained, courageous staff that did just an excellent job.

Individuals were taken hostage, they were beaten, their clothing was changed. They were changed into inmate clothing. One person said, well, why didn't you shoot? Well, we didn't know who was the hostages and who were the inmates because they changed the clothing and they had inmate clothing on, some of our officers. It was, sir, a very, very difficult situation. We had to manage it. In order to manage it you have to have an effective plan. You have to take time and discuss what you're going to do, who is going to do what, and how it's going to be done.

1 2

Superintendent Freeman and the management and the staff of that institution was in a very, very difficult situation. We can second-guess them. We can sit here today and say, well, they should have done this, they should have done that, but they were there in a crisis situation making the hard decisions. Yes, we'll evaluate it. Yes, we will see what happened and how it happened to learn and to grow.

But I just want to take a moment of your time to get some appreciation for what happens in a major riot and how a major riot can go bad very, very quickly, and for a lot of people not doing a lot of good things, we could have had deaths. There could have been widows of the staff's family in this room this morning. I'm pleased to report to you that there are no widows of staff family because of quick action, because of courageous people and because of, yes, some good decisions. Was all of them good? We'll evaluate that. We will take a look at that and we will see if they were, and if they are not, we will correct them. But there were some good, sound correctional decisions made.

As to should we address the factors of overcrowding -- of riots, yes, sir, we have to because then we minimize -- we will minimize it happening again.

I was on duty in my office when I believe my

secretary came in and told me that there was a disturbance at Camp Hill. I looked out from the Deputy Commissioner's window.

- Q. And when is this? At what time?
- A. This was at 3:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 25th. We could see the assault. But I did not know at that point in time whether the assault was inmate on inmate or inmate on staff. I immediately called the Superintendent and advised him, "Superintendent, are you aware that you're having a problem between Groups 2 and 3?" He said, "Yes, sir, I am aware of it. I'll get back to you." I directed the Superintendent and the key management staff to be on standby and I asked for several individuals to come to the particular office.

By that time, the Superintendent had gotten back to me and apprised me that it was not inmate on inmate it was inmate on staff and that they had taken one hostage. As he and I were talking I told him, "No, Bob, they've taken two. I see someone else in front of me. Please develop your plan of action and call me back." I then made the necessary calls that I'm supposed to make into the Governor's Office and into the appropriate individuals within the administration.

As we were talking I saw them bring another officer out. He kneeled on the ground in the yard and I

saw them begin to change clothing with him. By that time Bob had gotten back to me and told me "Commissioner, we feel this is a serious situation. We are going to have to shoot." I said, "Bob, don't shoot. You have hostages there and they're changing into inmate clothing." He said that he would tell the necessary staff and get back to me. The Superintendent called me back in about 15 minutes. Bv that time he had assembled force and we began to talk about his plan of action, how he's going to go about doing it. The plan was acceptable to me, I asked him what kinds of ammunition we were using in the weaponry. He told me. I said, "Bob, it we can use the lowest level that we have." I think I said birdshot then. I've since found out that it's No. 4 buckshot. We talked about that a little more.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was some discussion between the Governor's Office and I, and the Governor called me from his airplane for an update. He and I talked and he asked me, "Do you need me to return right away?" I said, "No, sir, I believe that we will have the situation under control very shortly." The assault took place from the rear of the institution and simultaneously to that negotiations were going on. I saw the inmates begin to turn some hostages over to the staff.

There was some time lapsed between the

initial assault and the further action. I found out that that was because the discussion was going on, the negotiations was going on with regard to turning over the hostages. I subsequently saw an inmate moving backward, they bullhorned. At that time the Superintendent called me to brief me on the negotiations and what they had agreed to. The inmates, after some discussion, began to return to their cells and the assault team began to move forward into number 3 and 2 area. Staff went into the housing units and there was some discussion between Bob and I as to the security of the facility.

1.3

At that point in time I said, "Bob, please make sure that corrections people are in those housing units to make sure that the inmates are locked down." He said, "Yes, sir," and they proceeded to secure the institution.

About 9:00 o'clock or so, maybe 9:30, the Superintendent indicated to me that the institution was under control and that we were — the institution was secure. We then had some discussion about what was he going to do and what was the issues that the inmates had raised during the negotiations. At about 11:00 o'clock the Superintendent went out to talk to the community and assured them that the institution was under control. When he returned, we talked about prisoners and about the

meeting the following day. And in my judgment the meeting the following day with the inmates was very important. We had some agreement on what items were to be discussed and what items were not to be discussed. Security items were not negotiable. You could not negotiate on that, and we pretty much agreed with that.

There was some further discussions between me and the Governor to bring him up to date as to where we are and what was going on and to what our plan of action was. Commissioner Sharpe and I had spoken throughout the day talking about what — how we were going to proceed.

About 2:00 o'clock in the morning I told the staff in the Central Office, go home and get some sleep and we will get back together in the morning. I did the same thing. I went to my apartment and got some rest.

I entered the institution at about 7:00 -between 6:30 and 7:00 a.m. on Thursday. There was a
meeting in progress in the Deputy Superintendent's office.
The Superintendent was there. While the meeting was going
on, the Superintendent and I talked. I asked the
Superintendent for an update of the incident. The
Superintendent provided that. And at that point in time
he gave me the memorandum that Representative Hagarty and
I were speaking about, and then we talked about what all
was in that and I approved that going out.

I left the meeting very shortly. I was not there more than 5 or 10 minutes, and returned to the Central Office where I began to talk to and monitor the other institutions to get some update on how specifically the three institutions that I was concerned about during the incident. I also asked one of the institutions for an intelligence update on two particular groups at that institution. And my next greatest concern to Camp Hill was, quite frankly, State Correctional Institution at Huntingdon because they had just had a disturbance. At that point in time they were in lockdown status and we had information that another cell block was disruly and creating a problem.

There was a meeting in the morning at the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill where we discussed at our department head -- pardon me, at our department headquarters where we discussed our plan of action should we have a problem at another institution. The Superintendent called me again to tell me about his plan for meeting with the inmates and we had ample discussion about that.

About 2:00 o'clock the Superintendent arrived at the institution with a contingency -- arrived at my office with a contingency of people who had been the critical actors in the incident and there was a discussion

between he and I prior to us talking to the Governor, and that subsequent to that they gave the Governor some remarks about the incident and the Governor thanked them and told them that he appreciates their bravery and their quick action and the short time in which the incident was brought to a conclusion, and there was a brief conversation with us afterward and they left.

We had some meetings scheduled in the afternoon, and about 6:00 o'clock I left my office to go eat. As I was coming out of the restaurant and getting into my car a police car passed very quickly by me. I then got in my car and the phone rang and it was Ken Robinson, our Press Secretary, indicating that he thinks I should return to the institution. I returned immediately to the department headquarters and I saw inmates coming out of the housing units almost simultaneously. I tried to reach the Superintendent, was unsuccessful. I told Ken that I was going to go to the institution to try to find the Superintendent. He had called the Deputy Commissioner prior to me arriving and I said I'll be right back, please tell the Deputy I'll be back.

I went to the Main Gate. When I went to the Main Gate, there were State Police assembling outside and there were staff inside of the institution. I found the Superintendent at the Main Gate and I asked him for a

report. "Bob, what's going on?" He told me and he said that the inmates were in control of the vast majority of the institution. I said, "Very well, go in and take it back." He acknowledged -- shook his head. I said, now, I'm going back to my -- I stayed there while the State Policemen went in. I informed the Superintendent that I was going to return to my office to set up a command center.

- Q. Commissioner, at that time yesterday we heard that there was an argument between one of the State Troopers and a Sergeant or somebody behind a plexiglass door. The State Trooper, I mean, wanted entrance because he had received information that some of his men and some corrections staff people were trapped on the second floor of a building. Did you witness that argument which yesterday we were told was quite loud?
- A. I did not witness it, sir. I have no knowledge if such a confrontation had taken place.
- Q. How long after you arrived at the gate did the State Troopers gain entrance through that plexiglass barred door?
- A. I was only at the gate, sir, within 5 or 10 minutes. It would have been within that timeframe.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I told the Superintendent that.

Q. I was going to say, the State Troopers yesterday we believe were told that they needed some kind of special permission to enter the facility because they were going to be carrying tirearms and so on.

A. That's correct.

- Q. I mean, who would have to authorize that?

 And we read in the newspapers that a Sergeant authorized that entrance. I mean, did a Sergeant authorize them to enter?
- A. A Sergeant does not have authority to authorize firearms in an institution. The Superintendent has testified that he authorized it.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So while I was there I spoke to the policeman present when I said, get them out. So I'm not I don't know how that is developing. I do know that according to our procedures and policies, the Superintendent or his designee in an emergency situation can authorize tirearms to enter the institution. The process reflects that he should get my approval prior to doing it, but I was there. I was on the scene and it was immediately.
- Q. Did he ask for your approval, or you're saying take it back--
 - A. Yes.

1

Q. Your approval?

3

And that was rather clear and so I, you know, I don't want

My direction was take the institution back.

4

to dispute, you know, we may be dealing with a perception,

5

but it was very clear to me.

6

Q. úkay.

Α.

7

command center. Superintendent Freeman returned to his

8

office and set up his command center and called me shortly

I returned to my office and set up the

9

thereafter and began to update me and brief me on what had

10

oneroured and regain to apadee me and refer me on made ma

11

happened. By that time, the assault had been successful

12

and they had rescued the Deputy Commissioners, the Major,

13

and the other people who were there.

14

Let me say a word about the Major. The

15

Major was overcome by smoke, left the institution to be treated at the hospital and I asked the Superintendent, I

16

said, "Well, let me know how he's doing." Immediately

17

upon leaving the hospital the Major, out of dedication,

18 19

returned to the incident.

20

Q. And what is his name?

21

A. Major Stover.

22

Q. Major Stover.

23 24 A. So the Superintendent briefed me that the staff was out, that the Deputy Superintendents were with

25

him and they were in the process of talking to the State

Police with regard to developing their action plan for their second assault. There was a discussion between me and the Governor's Office and Commissioner Sharpe as to what we would do from our perspective. The assault took place, and much of it moved well, methodically, and that is the best way for it to move. While it is very, very difficult to stand by and watch your staff and people being injured and not let emotion take over and respond immediately, but those sort of things are never the way to go and the best way to go is to develop a good plan and stick to that plan. That's what happened.

Again, the incident was successfully brought to a conclusion and the individuals were handcuffed and moved into the yard area. I think that without going into the aftermath, that would basically -- that was basically my recollection of the incident.

Q. And I guess the committee, the questions I think that sticks in everybody's mind is the communication up the ladder of those who may have known or have testified elsewhere that they thought that the cells were not properly locked and how that word going up the ladder got lost somewhere. Deputy Smith testified yesterday that a Lieutenant said, you know, that he told him and Deputy Smith said if he told me it just didn't register, that his administrative assistant had said that that Lieutenant did

not come in and tell him his feelings about the cells. In light of the fact that everybody was gathered in your office at 2:00 o'clock to talk to the Governor, I assume about a job well done, thinking that the institution was in a state of lockdown, that nobody brought it up then, it seems to me that it any of those people, being responsible corrections officers, thought that that was the case, they would have raised it somehow at that meeting.

But nonetheless, I mean, there was some kind of break in the communication if some people knew it and it didn't get up to the highest levels. What can be done in the future to insure that if there is that kind of knowledge amongst the rank and file corrections officers to make sure that it gets up there? I mean, I'm certain that that is something that troubles you, and if so, I mean, what has been done or what do you intend to do to see that that kind of communication takes place in the future?

A. Well, we are examining the communications situation. You have characterized it correctly. The Superintendent has testified and has indicated to me in memorandum that no one advised him, that all of the information that he had was that the institution was secure. No one told him that the locking system was not working properly, with the exception of H Block, and that

no one advised him that the inmates were getting out of their cells. This was the same statement by the Deputies - the Deputy for Treatment and the Deputy of Operations. They indicate that no one told them. And so has been the communications with the Major.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, did -- there were several correction officers and I think a Lieutenant has indicated that they did pass that information on, which why it did not get from point A to point B is the subject of our investigations and of our studies.

There are several things we can do to improve the information system. One that we are doing now at Camp Hill is we are changing Camp Hill into a unit management institution. That's a new concept in corrections. That divides the institution into many institutions, smaller institutions, and have a unit team, a unit management team. This unit management team works closer together than the traditional institution and thereby flattening out the organizational trait and increasing lines of communications. It is my expectation that unit management concept will improve the lines of communications at not only that institution but all of our institutions and minimize the communications problems that we had at Camp Hill happening again. I feel that this is the right way to go. The Superintendent at the --

Superintendent Beard and I have discussed them to both degree and I think we can see the improvement and communications at Camp Hill under the unit management concept.

Q. One final question, Mr. Chairman, because I know other members of the committee have questions of the Commissioner.

Commissioner, sometime in your testimony in response to questions that I asked you mentioned that you asked for intelligence on two groups from other institutions. I would just assume that one of them just might be the FOI, the Fruits of Islam. Yesterday we heard from the administration at Camp Hill that there is a chaplain who may have been a part of this, or at least there is an investigation going on to determine that. Can you tell us the status of that investigation? Who is this person? Where is this person? Are we still paying this person, and what the situation is there?

A. Yes, the person is still on our payroll.

There is -- he is the subject of an investigation. The individual was moved out of the institution and situated at the Central Office. We will have factfinding on the individual after the investigations have been completed. Was he -- the degree of his involvement, I'd rather not speak about it now. I would rather wait until the

investigations have been completed.

Q. Thank you, Commissioner. And again, congratulations again on bringing this thing under control without the loss of life. And again, how yourself and Commissioner Sharpe and Major Hazen, the Major's Troops and the correctional officers at Camp Hill, how they pulled it off is something that I'm amazed at and I think is something that the people of Pennsylvania can take pride in and, again, I urge you to go forward with your investigations, with the prosecutions, and I hope with the severe punishment of those criminals who perpetrated such a huge amount of the damage on the taxpayers of Pennsylvania.

A. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Mike Bortner.

REPRESENTATIVE BORTNER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I don't have any questions. I think you've done a good job of addressing some of the concerns of the committee. I just also would like to make a comment. and a little bit redundant, but I will be brief.

I guess I'd just like to restate what I think is obvious but which does seem to get lost as we look at this whole disturbance, and that is the fact that

I told him that in my experience, part of the problem is that we have no one who teaches religion, that individuals teach their view of the religion but not the religion, and we asked for assistance from the organized religion to get a chaplain, a full-time chaplain for the purpose of coming in and teaching the religion rather than teaching what people think the religion is. That's my recollection of it. Is your question did I have something to do with Mr. Sabir being the person selected? The answer is, no, other than being the appointing authority I appoint all employees of the Department of Corrections. But I did not select Mr. Sabir, nor was I in the selection process.

- Q. In February of 1989, did you know or were you aware of Mr. Sabir?
 - A. No, sir, I was not.

- Q. Have you ever run across him during your tenure with the Philadelphia prison system?
- A. Not that comes to mind. He surely was not an employee, a friend, or even an acquaintance of mine. I ran across many people, so I will not stop short of saying I have not run across him, but it surely does not run across my mind. I have no knowledge of the man.
- Q. Yesterday we heard from the Superintendent and the Deputies that there was, sometime after approximately June of 1989, investigations of Mr. Sabir

is in the command center.

REPRESENTATIVE BORTNER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative

Piccola.

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: (Of Commissioner Owens)

Q. I share the view of Representative Bortner and Representative Blaum that the cause of this uprising was in fact the inmates, more than likely a relatively small number of well-organized inmates, but we have received some information, Commissioner, that the existence of this group of inmates was not unknown to the department either at the prison level or at the departmental level, and that the issue had been discussed for a number of months prior to the incident at Camp Hill.

Yesterday, Superintendent Freeman gave us testimony that indicated that he had met with you in February of 1989 to discuss the problems that he was having specifically with the Fruits of Islam organization within Camp Hill. Did he in fact do that?

A. The -- I'm not sure that it was a meeting.

I think it was a telephone conversation and the

Superintendent briefed me on several occasions about the problems that he was having with this particular group.

this was perpetrated by a group of individuals that are lawfully incarcerated for violating the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And since this took place, I have watched all the hand-wringing and listened to all the excuses from people who were trying to place the blame someplace other than I believe where it belongs, and that's in a group of hardened criminals - thugs, in my opinion - who initiated a premeditated, planned attack against the institution and the people that worked there. And I don't want those people in my community, in monitoring devices or on probation. As far as I'm concerned, they're right where they belong, and I say to the people who were responsible for this very carefully because I think you have been very careful in pointing out that there are many, many inmates who not only didn't participate but who also tried to do what they could under the circumstances to even assist correctional people in bringing this to a conclusion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know it was a very, very difficult situation. We should be asking a lot of hard questions, and I think you realize that. We should be asking tough questions, and I think you'll learn from this just as I suppose the United States Army learned a lot of lessons from the invasion in Panama very recently.

But I think you're correct, the disturbance

never got beyond the institution, there was no loss of life, injuries were minimized, and it was brought to a conclusion in a relatively short period of time. I can remember back to a number of years ago when another disturbance at an institution in Attica in New York didn't end this way. So to me there is at least if that is some measure of success, I think that our belief that that is some measure of success and that while we learn from this I also feel that you deserve some credit for bringing this under control in the manner that you did.

COMMISSIONER OWENS: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE BORTNER: Thank you

COMMISSIONER OWENS: Thank you, sir.

May I just comment? I have been involved in eight major disturbances. I buried my Major and my Deputy -- my Warden and Deputy Warden. I have not, they were killed. So I have some knowledge and some feel for how these things happen and what can happen. I've been a hostage myself twice. I've commanded assaults and I've been in the command center. So believe me, I'd rather be in the trenches because there you know what's going on, it happens, and the most difficult place to be is in the command center. I've been in all of them and if I had my -- well, next to being a hostage. That's the worst place to be. But next to that, the most difficult place to be

- 4 5

- Q. Would that have occurred in or about the time that he testified to?
 - A. It's very possible, yes.
- Q. Okay. He said that during this discussion that you had recommended to him one Quadir Sabir to be a chaplain for the Muslim community at Camp Hill. Is that accurate?
- A. That's not accurate. The Superintendent testified to that? I just want to be very clear on what the Superintendent testified to. Did he testify to that?
- Q. It's my recollection, and we don't have the transcript from yesterday as of yet, but it is my recollection that the Superintendent said with respect to your problem with the Muslim community, we have someone for you, and this was the individual that was sent down. He apparently came out of the Philadelphia area and had been recommended by people in the Philadelphia prison system.
- A. I'm not aware of him being recommended by people in the Philadelphia prison system, but my recollection of it is that the Superintendent made me aware that he was having the problem and it wasn't just his problem. We were having a problem throughout the department with disruptive and organized individuals. That was the subject indeed of a Superintendent's meeting.

that he was a security risk at the prison, that Deputy Smith testified that his security unit was conducting an investigation and they indicated that there had been an extortion plot uncovered referencing Mr. Sabir involving Graterford and that your internal affairs office, which I believe, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, is under your supervision, or at least it's under departmental supervision, not the institutional supervision.

A. That's correct, sir.

Q. Are you -- let me rephrase that.

Were you aware ever either -- in June of 1989 or shortly thereafter aware of either the departmental investigation or the institutional investigation of Mr. Sabir?

- A. I was not aware of a departmental investigation. I was aware of an institutional investigation of Mr. Sabir and did have some discussion with the Superintendent and the Deputy Commissioner about the incident. There was a subsequent departmental investigation done, but it was some time after the time that you're indicating.
 - Q. Was it before the uprising at Camp Hill?
 - A. One was, one was not.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection as to when the departmental investigation in your internal

	allairs department began? The lirst one.
2	A. I do not have a date, sir.
3	Q. Would the summer of 1989 be about that time?
4	A. It is possible that it could have been late
5	summer, yes.
6	Q. And would it have involved the extortion
7	plot that I was talking about?
8	A. That's possible. Not to my recollection,
9	but
10	Q. Do you receive
11	A. Do I receive reports?
12	Q. Do you receive reports regularly from your
13	internal affairs?
14	A. I receive completed investigations reports,
15	yes.
16	Q. Now, you just mentioned that you had some
17	conversation with the Superintendent
18	A. Yes.
19	Qconcerning the institutional
20	investigation. How did you become aware of that
21	institutional investigation?
22	A. I believe the Deputy Commissioner called it
23	to my attention or
24	Q. Which Deputy?
25	A. DeRamus. That there was a, and I think the

incident was called to Mr. DeRamus' attention and he advised me that there was the incident and that normal procedure of -- Mr. Sabir is in the treatment chain of command not in the security chain of command, and that normal procedure would dictate that the treatment people comprise the factfinding board. There was discussion between me and the Superintendent and Mr. DeRamus about that, and we indicated, "Superintendent, if the policy requires that a treatment person chair the factfinding, please comply with that."

- Q. Deputy Commissioner DeRamus, is he the deputy who oversees the internal affairs aspect?
- A. No, he is the deputy that oversees treatment.
- Q. Would he have been aware of the internal affairs investigation of Mr. Sabir?
 - A. At the institutional level.
 - Q. Not at the departmental level?
- A. I am not certain that the department's investigation was -- took place at the timeframe, within the timeframe that we're talking about now.
- Q. If the institutional investigation of Mr. Sabir was on the security side of the equation, then of the chain of command as we characterized it, how would Deputy DeRamus become aware of it if he were on the

treatment side?

h

- A. Because he supervises the people who supervise the chaplains, so the chain of command would go up through the line of supervision. The information would.
- Q. But he was not being investigated at the institution by the treatment people, he was being investigated by security people because there was believed to be a security problem.
- A. But there's cross-over. If a treatment person is being investigated by security people, surely the Deputy for Treatment is aware of that or should be aware of it. Surely the Deputy Superintendent is aware of it or should be aware of it. So surely, sir, there is cross-over.
- Q. Does involvement in an extortion plot constitute a treatment problem or a security problem?
 - A. It constitutes both.
- Q. Okay, and why would not an investigation by both be appropriate?
- A. That would be appropriate. There isn't a problem with that.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The problem is that it was done just by security rather than security and treatment.

Q. Okay. Superintendent Freeman told us yesterday that you directed him and he then directed Deputy Smith, who was in charge of the security at the institution, to stop the security investigation and turn it over to treatment. Did you tell Superintendent Freeman to stop the security investigation of Mr. Sabir?

A. No. No. I told the Superintendent that if this is a treatment employee, that treatment should be doing the investigation. I don't think you and I are disagreeing. You're saying that -- I think we would disagree if I said take everything that you have developed, take it no further and do nothing. My discussion with the Superintendent is take your -- take what you have and turn it over to the treatment people for evaluation. There was nothing there that implied for me to tell them to stop an investigation or do nothing about it.

- Q. Well, there must have been something there because he did it and he told his Deputy to do it and he claims that you told him to stop it and turn it over to treatment.
- A. Again, I think you and I are disagreeing -I'm not sure we're disagreeing. The question is, may I
 tinish what I'm hearing? The question is, who is the most
 appropriate person to be involved in the investigation of

a treatment employee? And according to our procedures, 2 treatment must be involved in it, too. And if there is nothing wrong, and it happens all the time that security develops the information and turns it over to treatment, yes, I mean, I see nothing procedurally or operationally wrong with that.

- Well, I, and I think you concurred that I would find that intelligence intormation about an extortion plot involving any employee, whether it be in the treatment side or otherwise, at Camp Hill is a security problem and it should be given to the security people. That's my estimation.
 - Α. Initially.

1

3

4

5

Ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- It's a security--Q.
- I guess what we're saying is at what point, Α. what is the role of security in this situation? develop the information and then turn it over to the appropriate people to make the evaluation. That's what I'm saying.
- Well, Commissioner, in your opening Q. statement you said that security of the Commonwealth is the number one mission.
 - Α. Absolutely.
- And I agree with that, and it seems to me Q. that this kind of an investigation is a security

investigation and should be handled by security people, not people who are treatment oriented. Now, you may comment on that and then I'll go on to something else.

.12

A. Well, we're not disagreeing. I'm saying to you that there's nothing wrong. I agree that the initial investigation should be done by security people if it is a security matter. But they take it to a point and then turn it over to the treatment people to make the evaluation.

Let's take it out of the realm of a chaplain. Let's say that it is a counselor who violates a rule or regulation. That information is developed by the treatment staff. Security may do the initial investigation, but then it is turned over to the chain of command that the individual is in to make the appropriate decisions. Ultimately, the Superintendent makes the decision.

- Q. Without trying to get the last word in, Commissioner--
 - A. Well, I will concede that, sir.
- Q. I don't care who the person is, if it's a security issue, which I think extortion is, it's a security function; if it's a violation of a regulation that involves the way somebody was treated, whether they got appropriate treatment or not, that's a treatment

function, and I think if you directed that the treatment
people be involved in this, I think that was an erroneous
direction, but you may comment on that conclusion that I
draw.

- A. Well, I disagree with you, sir. I think what I did was said, let's stick to the procedure. Let's do what we are supposed to do, let's not treat Mr. Sabir different than we treat anyone else. Please keep in mind, sir, that I'm liable to be and this Commonwealth is liable to be sued if we treat Mr. Sabir or any employee differently and wrongly. So what I was trying to do in this process was make sure that the process was followed and that everybody was treated fairly.
- Q. Is the statement that was allegedly made by Mr. Sabir, quote, "This is an Uzi," he held up the Koran, "This is an Uzi, we may have to fight," is that a security problem or a treatment problem?
- A. Mr. Piccola, you're talking about something that is the subject of an investigation, and that, sir, is a criminal investigation. I would not want to comment on that.
- Q. Can I draw from that statement that Mr. Sabir is under criminal investigation at the present time?
- A. I would say that Mr. Sabir, sir, is under investigation.

Is he under departmental investigation? 1 Q. Yes, sir, he is. 2 3 0. Has he been suspended or has any administrative action been taken against him? 4 He is presently under investigation. 5 6 has been no sanctions against him, no, sir. You won't tell me whether there's a criminal 7 Q. investigation or not? 8 9 Α. I do not think it appropriate, sir, for me to comment. 10 11 He is presently assigned to Central Office? Q. 12 A. That is correct, sir. 13 There was some evidence that the Deputies 0. 14 and the Superintendent testified to yesterday or gave us 15 some indication, didn't give us any evidence, that Mr. 16 Sabir may have been involved in the organization of the 17 second night's uprising. Are you aware of any such allegation or evidence? 18 19 Α. I'm not aware of any such evidence. 20 If such evidence did exist, would that have Q. 21 been the subject of a separate investigation other than 22 the one involving the statement that we talked about just 23 a moment ago? 24 A. Sir, again, I think we're walking on very,

very soft ground. I feel very uncomfortable commenting on

25

any ongoing investigation.

- Q. Okay. I am assuming that you are interested in protecting the due process rights of Mr. Sabir?
- A. I am interested, sir, in protecting the law and the process of the Department of Corrections.
- Q. And I'd like to then ask why then, given your testimony today, your comments about you would not have overruled decisions made by the institutional staff, you thought good decisions were made, you would not have second-guessed Superintendent Freeman on a number of items, why was Superintendent Freeman suspended without pay?
- A. The Superintendent was suspended without pay for several factors. I discussed that with the Superintendent and I made the decision to suspend him.
- Q. He told us yesterday those factors were his failure to order an institutional-wide shakedown and his decision to stand down the bulk of the State Police assigned to the institution. Are those the factors?
- A. They were some of the factors, but there were others.
 - O. There were others?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Would you care to discuss this with us?
 - A. Again, Mr. Freeman is entitled to his day in

court, too. He is entitled to fair and equitable
treatment, so I do not choose to try Mr. Freeman or have
his administrative hearing in public. I can only tell you
that there were other factors other than that that went
into the decision to suspend Mr. Freeman.

Q. Well, I would suggest, Commissioner, that you may have already convicted Superintendent Freeman in public by suspending him without pay, and unless you provide this committee with information as to specifically why that was done, we're going to have a very difficult time coming to any conclusions in this thing.

Do you not feel that the suspension of the Superintendent without pay undermines his position if he were ever to return to Camp Hill in the capacity as Superintendent?

A. By suspending Mr. Freeman without pay, sir, he has the opportunity of being made whole after the process is completed. He will have an opportunity to defend himself fully and completely. So there is the mechanism for us to make him whole.

The decision to suspend anyone is never done lightly or capriciously, and it is a very, very difficult decision. What will be the impact of that decision on Mr. Freeman's career, only time will tell.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I'd like to interrupt

1 these proceedings at this time. We have scheduled lunch 2 at 12:00 o'clock and there are a number of other members that do have questions, Commissioner. If the members 3 4 don't object, I think it would be appropriate that we 5 would take an hour for lunch and resume at 1:00 o'clock at the room here, unless there is a conflict. COMMISSIONER OWENS: There may be, sir. 7 Ιf you can give me an opportunity to -- would 1:30, 1:30 be 8 9 better? CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: 10 That certainly will 11 be all right. 12 COMMISSIONER OWENS: That would give me an

opportunity to fulfill my obligation.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: All right. could, Sergeant Venesky and Major Stover are scheduled also to testify and if we could at 1:00 o'clock have the Sergeant testify up until 1:30 and then at 1:30 we'll hear back again from the Commissioner.

We'll recess until 1:00 o'clock.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken at 12:00 m. The hearing was reconvened at 1:10 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I'd like to get started with this afternoon's continuation of the House Judiciary Committee hearing.

At this time, I'd like to have Sergeant

Bernie Venesky and Major John Stover. If the Major is here, the two of you could sit down together and then of course at 1:30 when the Commissioner comes back we'll have to interrupt you and put the Commissioner on again. Okay. I'd like to start. Identify yourself for the record, and if you could relay to the committee exactly what your respective roles were during the situation at Camp Hill and then open yourself up for questions.

MAJOR STOVER: I'm John R. Stover and I'm a Major at the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill, and I've been employed in the Department of Corrections for 30 years and I've spent my entire career assigned to the Camp Hill Institution.

SGT. VENESKY: Okay, I'm Sergeant Bernard Venesky. I'm also employed at the State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill. I'm there for five years.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: All right, do you have a statement, Sergeant, that you wanted to read to the committee?

SGT. VENESKY: I can just summarize it. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Surely.

SGT. VENESKY: On the first day of the rioting I was assigned as the E and F Block Sergeant. On that day what had happened, I was moving some new inmates

in on the cell block. It came to my attention that there was a disturbance outside of the cell block, came to the front of the block and I spoke to both my officers that were assigned on F Block. They had secured the door and they had stated it came on the radio to secure the door until further notice, what was going on. I looked around, it was time that the Stockade was coming in and there were several inmates that had already made it in on the cell block. I told my officers, secure the block, make sure the inmates were in, check all the doors, and at that time it came back over to radio that apparently a disturbance was over, open your doors, allow your inmates in and get them locked up.

Officer Wright opened the door, I proceeded to take my hat, walk outside, there was a few inmates there. They started coming in. I said, "Sticking with our program, gentlemen, you know, we have to run showers now." Inmates were coming in. I got approximately 30 yards away from the front of the cell block and I heard an uproar down below by the E Gate House. At that time, I saw several officers get struck. There was inmates coming up the walkways towards me and towards the cell blocks with their doors open. I yelled back, I figured the way the inmates were coming up between myself and the cell block, it would be easier for the officers there to just

close their doors to keep them safe. I yelled into them, I said, "Close the door." I said, "Get yourself in the lock box. I'll try and make it out through the E Gate House." Officer Bickel, as he was closing the door, saw three inmates jump on me as I was trying to run through. I don't recall that part but I do recall getting through the crowd. I found Officer Johnson laying face down in a pool of blood. I picked Officer Johnson up and I carried him in my arms approximately 40 yards through the E Gate. The other officers retreated with me. We placed the wounded down, we secured the gate, got medical treatment for them.

The inmates at that time realized that they couldn't get through the gate until they had some type of tools or, you know, what they would need. They proceeded back into the cell blocks that were caught with their doors open, broke into the kitchen, came out with mixing paddles, pieces of lumber, any kind of tools that, you know, the tradesmen might have had on the cell blocks at the time.

After two or three attempts, they rushed the E Gate House. They broke through about 30 minutes after that. We retreated to the Control Center. Instead of following us, the inmates at that time opted to break into the Commissary, some of the modular units. There were

some service trucks that were in the compound. They took them and tried to run the Rear Gate. I helped bring in some of the doctors into the institution. We snuck out, snuck the doctors in to treat the wounded, then we, the officers that were in, left the institution, reported to the Rear Gate, re-entered the institution along with the State Police and began sweeping different areas of the compound to resecure the institution.

Approximately, I would estimate 3, 3 1/2 hours after we started getting back into the institution we had the disturbance pushed back into Groups 2 and 3. Shortly thereafter I was taken out to the hospital myself for treatment and since then I am waiting to be cleared to go back into the institution.

What I brought along is prior to the riot, I have documentation with me that I sent in or was issued by some of the staff that pertains to increase in disturbances, actual warnings of, you know, material found. I did a cell search with another officer, we found a list of demands written by some of the members of the FOI. Listed are members, that type of material, which is pertinent that that was an easy seven weeks before the riots. And we had handed this material in and to that point, there was no action that I know was taken on it.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Who did you turn that

material over to? The information that they found within the cells, who did you turn that information over to in your hierarchy?

sgt. Venesky: Okay, upon finding the material, before I removed it from the cell I secured the cell door and posted an officer at the cell. I contacted my group Lieutenant, Lieutenant Renninger. He came up, we both re-entered the cell, went through the material to see what was pertinent to what we needed, confiscated that. That evening, immediately afterwards, I completed an incident report and that was forwarded, according to the carbon copy that's listed on the incident report, it went through the file, Security Office, Shift Commanders, Major Stover and Deputy Smith. They all received copies the next day.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: What did Deputy Smith do with it?

SGT. VENESKY: I have no idea, sir. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Continue.

SGT. VENESKY: Some of the other material that I found dates back almost a year before the riot.

All the way back in February of 1989 Superintendent

Freeman himself issued a memorandum -- before I go into the memorandum, I should advise you, K Block has what we call an honor status. Those are the inmates that do not

present a problem. They might have better conduct record, and K Block they get moved on to their, they have a few extra privileges. It's something to give them an incentive to work for. What had happened on K Block, Superintendent and his staff were talking about changing K Block over into a normal block due to an increase of, and I quote, "serious misconducts and incidents occurring on the block or involving inmates. A review of misconduct records indicates far too many situations involving possession of drugs, drug paraphernalia, money, and food items; commission of sexual acts; assaults; weapons being found; and deliberate destruction of State property."

The reason I brought that along, all the way back in February, like I say, it had already been noticed about what was happening in the institution. The changing — he had stated in here the changing face of the inmates, the type of inmate that we're receiving. Through the year I have minutes here from a Sergeant's meeting. One of the topics brought up were there was a lot of changes going on in the institution that added to the tension between the staff and the inmates.

May 10, 1989. I have a copy of the minutes. There are 28 items placed on the minutes what were covered at that meeting. Some of the items that were new to the institution: Number 3, a new sex offender treatment

center; number 5 was a policy concerning termination of inmate visits, temporarily banning a visitor; number 8, new inmate numbering system; number 11, new inmate diet tray procedure; number 13, a new RHU -- which is restrictive housing unit -- feeding procedure; inmate grievance quarterly reports; and even a new procedure dealing with passing out aspirin and Tylenol in cell blocks.

25.

All these procedure were new. The staff had to adjust to them and the inmates also had to adjust to them. My feeling is there was too much being done too quickly. Instead of implementing several, you know one, two, maybe even three new procedures over a short period of time, allowing the inmates to adjust to them, all this was taking place or being planned or coming about and being posted. Even if it was posted and it wasn't actually implemented at the time, the inmates are already saying, well, here's something new that's going on. Look, they have us doing this, now we have to do this.

August 6, 1989, another Sergeant's meeting.

At this meeting I have underlined here "The meeting was then opened for the Sergeants to voice any concerns..."

Item number 1, "The majority were rather upset about the apparent disparity in sanctions that are being given by the Hearing Examiner." Number 3, stated, "There were also

growing concerns about the inmates showing any concerns about receiving a misconduct."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What had happened with the overcrowding, inmates were being issued misconducts from sometimes minor intractions all the way up to involving assaults on or threatening an officer, their family, assaulting another inmate, assaulting an officer. They were receiving time in an RHU, but due to the overcrowding, what had happened was the inmate would only have to serve a portion of that time. He would be reviewed and then released back out into population. We didn't have the freedom, if an inmate came up to me, which had happened, threatened myself and my family, once he got out of jail he was going to, you know, do whatever, blatant threat, I issue a misconduct according to procedure. That inmate might have went to an RHU, he might not have. But due to the overcrowdedness, probably if he did go to an RHU I could say within a month he would be back on the same cell block in front of me again basically laughing like 30 days is nothing, I can do that standing on my head. That's the comment that was issued to me by this inmate. That was going on all the time.

Again, like I said, due to the overcrowdedness, the inmates were realizing they didn't get any serious time or any serious sanctions against

them. And what had happened was they became numb to it. It didn't bother them anymore.

Next piece of material I have, the incident report that I issued on the 10th of September, 1989, what this is, I had received information from an inmate that passed on information to some of the officers from time to time. The inmate that did this for me was reliable, I felt. He had given me other information concerning drugs on the block, fermented beverages, sexual acts that were going on. We found what he was telling us was taking place. Basically, it was good information. He came to me on this date and stated that one of the FOI members that was housed close to him had come forward — or come to him and was talking, hey, don't come out on such-and-such a date because we're planning this, you know. If you want to cover yourself.

What it was, according to this inmate that came to me, on September 23rd, the institutional track meet. They brought other teams in from other institutions. There was going to be a large number of inmates in the institution, more so than we already had housed there. Supposedly, the FOI members at that time were going to, at the beginning ceremony when everyone was gathered around, begin a demonstration when they had more inmates to witness it. I passed on this information

immediately. Of course, the track meet was canceled. And since it involved other institutions, it became a departmental matter, so as far as what I was aware of or understood that took place, it had to be explained all the way up through to the Commissioner's office why they were canceling this track meet due to, you know, the FOI involvement and the possibility of a demonstration riot, whatever was going to take place.

б

Finally, the very next day, September 11, 1989, is the day I did the cell search. I explained to you what had happened during the cell search. What I , found basically was a list of the FOI members. They are a paramilitary group. The list even had what rank they held. There is a secretary, investigator, squad leader. We had found the list. And then the list of demands that they had written up. When I tried to take the list or confiscate it, I did issue a confiscated items receipt to the inmate, which is procedure. I was removing it from his cell, it was recognized that I was removing it from his cell for a reason. The inmate objected to me taking the items, which of course made us more suspicious, you know, why he didn't want us to take these items from him.

That's the paperwork I had to offer.

MAJOR STOVER: On Wednesday, October 25th, I had just entered the office of the day of the first

incident. I had a Lieutenant and a Sergeant with me. We had just finished a complete training program for officers from Graterford and were returning over on our one Department of Corrections 28-passenger bus and we had gone into my office to evaluate those officers and rate and prepare a letter to send to the Superintendent at Graterford in regard to those officers.

needed assistance at the E Ward Gate House, and immediately the Lieutenant and the Sergeant left my office for that area. I saw the Shift Commander also headed across the walk and when I looked out my window, I could tell it just wasn't an isolated fight. You could just see there was too many people, it was too many involved. And I immediately gave the order to lock the jail down, lock this jail down immediately. And my Sergeant in Control picked up most of my communications and repeated it. And when you lock the jail down, that means everybody locks down, that means all your Education Department buildings, everybody locks down and stays where they're at until further directions are given.

I also contacted Deputy Smith, who I knew was out in the hallway in another cell block, and told him to come to the Control right away, that we have a serious problem in Group 2 and 3. I then got concerned for the

visitors and I made the order to evacuate all the visitors out of the institution. And then I started to get the report on the radio that we have officers down and we need help. The reports kept coming in that we have officers down.

б

I and Deputy Smith then went into Control and he was calling for the State Police for assistance and I had called for one of my security Lieutenants to get to the Armory and I wanted to man all our towers, get the entire perimeter covered. And then I thought about the females that we had in our office complex right there. And so I got some people to evacuate and get all the females out of the area of our Group 1 area, get them out of the jail for their safety.

The towers were manned and the State Police had been called for. It didn't take long for them to lock down because basically when we locked down Group 1 we sent available officers then to assist over at the E Ward Gate, and I could see officers going. But I knew that the State Police weren't going to be there just like driving over the hill. I experienced it in '83. So I gave orders to the tower officers to shoot warning shots to keep any inmate away from officers who were down. I also directed them to any inmate attempts to breach the security of this institution to shoot to stop.

And then I called county control and asked them to send me every municipal police officer in the area that they could to surround the perimeter of the institution and fire warning shots as needed to protect the officers who were down and to protect the perimeter of the institution.

Then the Deputy talked with me, there was an issue about ammunition. At that point they could have had Uzis. I mean, I just wanted to get the State Police in there with our officers, but our officers did go down and meet up with State Police and the action plan was put together and then they came in.

They did break through the E Ward Gate, but they veered off, and again, they headed for our Commissary, and that's when they took over some trucks, which I later learned, and they rammed the doors of the Commissary and then I believe the vehicle got down, one of the vehicles got down through the gate onto our Main Field and tried to breach our perimeter fence but it got hung up on the footer, got hung up there. When I heard that, I immediately dispatched, I dispatched a vehicle right to that area to cover that spot, and of course then he set that vehicle on fire.

I remember officers calling me for help.

They said, "Major, I need help." And that sticks with me

today, their calling specifically for me for help, and I don't have the resources or I don't have the manpower to give them the help.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Captain of the State Police, when they got there, we talked about an officer that was in one particular block and I mentioned about how I thought we could get to him, but he asked me if there was any way that the inmates could get behind his Troopers or rush them, and yes, there was, so then the decision was that we couldn't go in to get the gentleman at that time. then basically they evacuated the Mods, got them isolated onto the fields, but then a fire started. There was so much going on and the fire started and the smoke was built up in the Commissary. We got emergency keys to go in the back and they got the man out of the Commissary and apparently they were trying to figure out how to get him to the gate, and I had keys to a golf cart that we had there and I got on that and went over, but our medical administrator also was headed that way so I left him take the victim from the Commissary out and I went back to the command post.

And then the State Police, in conjunction with our officers, came in, we had gone through our complete call-in procedures, had everybody come in that we possibly could, and then it sort of settled down over on

the Group 2 and 3 side until they decided to the point where they were going to negotiate.

I was in and out of the command post numerous times, radio contact with the Captains and the Lieutenants over there. A member of the command post, one of the State Police Captains said about before negotiations started he needed some assistance for lighting, and so I then proceeded to call county control about some more fire equipment for lights. I remember talking to one of our staff members who called the department level about -- I got to thinking about those PennDOT lights that you see on the highway and the turnpike when construction goes down and see about getting those, and they brought them in and then they set up in front of the Education Building and Deputy Henry and them started the negotiations.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Is there any more that either one of you have?

MAJOR STOVER: (Indicating in the negative.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Questions?

Jeff.

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

Sergeant Venesky, I just have a brief question. On the confiscated items receipt, maybe you

explained this in your testimony and I didn't catch it, but on that confiscated items receipt it lists three pieces of legal paper with lists, and then attached to it, at least in my packet, there's only two. There's the list of names and then the list of I guess these are things that must be done. Was there a third?

SGT. VENESKY: What had happened was when we had removed it, the inmate had this together. There was — he had the actual papers and when we entered the cell, took another piece of legal paper and folded it over. We started to review it and saw what it was. There was three of them together. When we removed it, I automatically put three pieces down. He had put a blank piece over it which had no writing on whatsoever.

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Okay. That explains the discrepancy.

My second question I'd like both of you to respond to in as much detail as you can, could you tell us anything that you might know about the activities, and as specifically as possible, the activities of Chaplain Sabir in the weeks and/or months leading up -- let's go months, leading up to the uprising in October?

SGT. VENESKY: I'm positioned over on Groups 2 and 3. The chapel is in the main compound over near the Group 1 area. The only knowledge that I have of the

1 incidents that took place at the chapel basically were 2 what other officers that were assigned there told me, that 3 this minister was bringing in material, racist material, videotapes, pamphlets to hand out at the time. personally do not work in that area. That's only what I 5 heard. And then the one day someone had gone in there, 6 7 had witnessed this again. They escorted the minister out. 8 Two officers were asked to escort him out of the 9 institution. 10

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Do you recall when that was?

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SGT. VENESKY: I would say somewhere in the summer of '89, but I really couldn't tell you, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Major?

MAJOR STOVER: I heard about the -- we got information about this fraud about gathering money and his name came in as the minister involved and the security office then started to do an investigation on it and then as far as I know, that investigation then went to our Special Services Department level to follow through on.

REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: You never had any personal contact with Chaplain Sabir?

MAJOR STOVER: I talked to him a couple times but I never did factfindings on him or anything like that.

1 REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: How did you become aware of the extortion situation? 2 3 MAJOR STOVER: The security office wrote about that information. 4 REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: 5 Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: At this time, 7 8 Commissioner Owens has rejoined us. If we could bring the 9 Commissioner up and put you two back on after the 10 Commissioner. Please bear with us. 11 Let's see. Who did we break off of? Oh. 12 Jeff. 13 REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: In mid-sentence, 14 Mr. Chairman. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. BY REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: (Of Commissioner Owens) 16 Commissioner, let me just clarify, you have, 17 0. according to your testimony, informed Superintendent 18 19 Freeman that he has been suspended without pay for at least three reasons, two of which we know. The two which 20 we know are his decision not to conduct the shakedown 21 22 atter the first incident and his decision to stand down 23 the State Police. May I assume, based upon your testimony

this morning, which was very upbeat, very positive towards

the staff at the institution, that those are not -- those

24

25

judgments are not now called into question and were valid judgments which you would not suspend him for?

- A. I think, sir, that you can conclude that after investigating it and after reading reports and listening to the investigators I have a perspective of the Superintendent's point of view. I also, sir, would like to say that those were not the only factors, that there were other things that we were looking at. I would respectfully ask not to go into them at detail now because I do want to give the Superintendent every opportunity.
- Q. Okay. May I ask whether those other factors which you refer to, whether you've related those to the Adams Commission?
 - A. Yes, sir, I believe I did.
- Q. Okay. The Adams Commission has concluded that no Department of Corrections personnel should be fired or relieved of duty. I believe that was their conclusion.
 - A. I believe you're correct, sir.
- Q. May I ask you whether you believe that is a proper conclusion?
- A. I do not believe this is the appropriate forum, sir, for me to conclude what action will be taken against an employee of the Department of Corrections.
 - Q. Do you continue to investigate the conduct

of Superintendent Freeman during the incident? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir, the investigation is continuing. When can we expect that to be concluded? 3 Q. It's very difficult, Mr. Representative, to 4 5 give you a specific time. I do, however, expect for it to be concluded in the very near future. 6 7 Q. Within a month? Yes, sir. 8 Α. 9 And will you, at that time, relate to the Q. 10 committee your findings and conclusions? 11 Α. I would relate to the committee my findings 12 and conclusions, sir, after I have so advised 13 Superintendent Freeman. 14 Q. I would expect that, yes. 15 Now, Commissioner, you spoke this morning 16 that you had vast experience in prison disturbances. 17 think you indicated that you have been involved in eight 18 major disturbances? Unfortunately, yes, sir. 19 A. 20 And certainly that's no reflection on you, Q. 21 but obviously if you're experienced in corrections, I 22 guess you're going to become experienced in that as well.

Unfortunately, sir, that is true.

fact that on the night of -- afternoon and evening and

Now, given that experience, and given the

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

into the morning hours of the next day of October 25, 26, 1989, Camp Hill had just experienced what I would say was one of the most serious, if not the most serious, disturbance ever experienced by a Pennsylvania prison and less than 20 hours after the first incident began another incident occurred which developed into an even worse situation. Did it cross your mind at that juncture, that would be the evening of the 26th when the second uprising took place, did it cross your mind that perhaps you, as the Commissioner, and your departmental staff and the action plan that you have available to you at the departmental level should not be implemented and that you take a hands-on and direct control of the situation? Given the fact that Superintendent Freeman was probably without any rest for over 24 hours and all the other factors involved?

A. No, sir. That's contrary to my training and everything that I have been taught in corrections. It is not sound correctional practices for the Commissioner of a department to take control of an institution. I was in consultation with the Superintendent, there was constant talk back and forth, but in my judgment, the worst thing I could have done for this department and indeed for State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill is for me to personally assume command of that institution.

Q. The Adams Commission Report, in one of its conclusions, concludes that you participated in some of the decisionmaking but not others and did not provide sufficient assistance to a fatigued Superintendent.

A. May I disagree--

- Q. Would you -- well, that's my question. Would you respond to that conclusion that the Adams Commission came to?
- A. I disagree with them. I think that that statement is not true. I know it is contrary to sound correctional practices. It not only is unfair to me, it is also unfair to Superintendent Freeman. It assumes that because I was not there pulling the strings that no one was there pulling the strings. That's not true. Also, we provided any assistance that the Superintendent or the institution asked for. So I think that the information that was provided by the Commission or indeed the conclusion that was arrived at by the Commission I do not agree with and it is contrary to my training and it is contrary to what we train our personnel to do.

If I had -- may I elaborate a little further, sir? If I had gone to Camp Hill, and that, incidentally, is my personality, and while I was there dealing with Camp Hill and we had another problem at another one of our institutions, how do I -- I can't back

away from that. I mean, I can't just all of a sudden turn it off and leave. Once the Commissioner had taken over an institution, he or she is there. So it is not sound correctional practices because I would not have been able to manage this department and to deal with spread problems throughout the department. It is not in the best interests of the management at State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill because they must feel that they are in control, that they are running the situation. And it is not in the best interest of the staff at Camp Hill because every time the Superintendent gives an order then the officers and the staff will look past him at me to see whether I concur.

So it is not, in my judgment, sir, and I hope I haven't been too long in my explanation, not sound correctional practices, and indeed the worst thing I could have done.

- Q. Well, if I could just interject a comment. I might concur with you except that after everything was over, you have, in essence, done that by relieving Superintendent Freeman of his duties without, at least from what we know of the situation, just cause. And from what he's testified, he doesn't even know why he's been suspended specifically.
 - A. Well, I can only ask you, sir, to examine my

record. In 25 years in this business, I think those who know me well will tell you that I am a tair man. I've bent over backwards to be a fair man. That's one of the things I promised when I assumed this responsibility. I am, sir, a fair man.

- Q. Assuming for the moment that you would not have taken personal control of the institution, would it not perhaps have been appropriate for you to recommend that at the time of the incident, when the second one had just begun, that perhaps Superintendent Freeman might be assisted by someone from the department, not yourself but someone who was fresh?
- A. The procedure calls for me to do that upon the Superintendent's request. Not only do we wait for that but we talk to the Superintendent regularly. While that discussion is going on there are at least one other experienced person in the room with me who have been a Superintendent or who runs institutions. And not only were we examining what the information that was being provided for us, but we were also equating and examining the Superintendent. We asked him questions just to see was he fatigued? Did he respond to our questions in a straightforward way? The Superintendent did. At no time during that disturbance did the Superintendent appear that he was not in control of his faculties or were losing

them. We asked him piercing questions. "Bob, how many people are you sending in? Bob, where are the inmates?

How many inmates are there? Are you prepared for this eventuality?" We asked him those questions, and the Superintendent responded clearly and concisely to all of those questions.

So, sir, we had no reason to believe that the Superintendent was fatigued to the extent that he could not do his job. Fatigued, we all were fatigued. The officers who had been on duty for many, many hours, they were fatigued. The staff that was involved, they were fatigued. It is what we do. But not to the extent that the Superintendent led us to believe in any way that he was not in command of the situation.

- Q. Sounds like he did a pretty good job.
 Okay. Another issue.
- A. I have already given you my opinion of Superintendent Freeman, sir.
- Q. Well, hopefully within 30 days we'll know.

 Commissioner, one other area I'd like to
 explore briefly, do you believe that you have now or have
 had before the Camp Hill situation a morale problem with
 staff not only at Camp Hill but throughout the Corrections
 Department?
 - A. Oh, absolutely. Absolutely.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. Could you elaborate, please?
- It's very difficult to work in an A. Yes. institution. Working in an institution, I don't think the general public or indeed no one knows the sacrifices that people make when they work in an institution. The inmates are abusive, they not only physically but verbally -- and may I modify it to at least say some inmates are abusive. Not only are the inmates locked in, the staff is locked in, too. It is not a walk in the park working inside a penal institution. We have been experiencing overcrowding for many years. We have been experiencing a shortage of personnel for many years. Some of our facilities are old and antiquated. I have just described to you, sir, a less than satisfactory working situation. So, yes, some of our officers have a low morale situation, and it's perfectly understandable.
- Q. Could you tell us what kinds of things you do at the departmental level to help bolster that morale? What you did both prior to the situation at Camp Hill and afterwards?
- A. Since assuming the responsibility, I took a very active and indeed aggressive position with regard to training. So we have increased our training by 25 percent. Not only have we increased our basic training, we've also increased our in-service training. We have

asked that our personnel avail themselves of stress management training. I will not take credit for that, sir, because the AFSCME, the union was the driving force behind accomplishing that. But this department and this administration wholeheartedly endorsed it.

We also, I mean, I have an open door policy. Anyone who chooses to come see me can come see me. So it is a matter of access to the Commissioner. When I first became Commissioner, and regretfully my responsibilities have not given me the opportunity to do it as much as I like, I got out to the institutions. I moved around. I was in the institutions. I was walking the cell blocks, talking to the inmates, talking to the staff. We have --we give awards to staff for outstanding performance. We have an Employee of the Year Award for employees. So we have many programs, not only to demonstrate to the staff our appreciation for what they do but also to teach them how to cope with an extremely difficult and demanding job.

- Q. Would you agree that the morale problem goes from the on-line correctional officer all the way up through the Superintendents?
- A. Well, morale is a very difficult thing to put our hands around. Indeed, it may even extend from time to time to the Commissioner. You know, morale is something that circumstances and conditions have a lot to

do with, and I would be lying to you if I told you that I don't go home and look in the mirror and say, "Why on earth are you here?" You know. So from time to time, Mr. Representative, it may even extend to the Commissioner's office. I hope that's short-lived and I hope I ask myself or I tell myself that I'm here to help people. I mean, that's why I'm here, to get back into the fight.

- Q. The Adams Commission Report, I can't recall if it was a conclusion or finding or where but I know I read it in there, that the line staff had the feeling, the correctional officers had the feeling that the administration was treatment oriented and that contributed to the lack of morale. How would you respond to that?
- A. The reason I'm smiling is that in 1964 when I started, that sounds like me. I said the same thing when I was a young correctional officer. I don't know of any correctional system in the country that that discussion is not going on and going on and has been going on for many, many years. Rest assured, sir, that I'm a correctional officer. My line is tied to security. But, however, I understand that if you take people and you lock them up and keep them in a confined area and don't provide meaningful training, the opportunity for change, the opportunity for them to become educated, provide the various treatment tools, you're going to have an explosion

or you're not going to accomplish your mission. So corrections must be evenhanded. It must be balanced. We need security. Security comes first. But treatment must be a very important part of what we do.

I didn't know that -- I didn't recognize that as a young officer and it was only after I began to move up through the ranks and only after I began to learn a little bit more about our profession that I recognized that. So that is not a new discussion. I think it will go on in corrections for many years. I hope that we in Pennsylvania will have a balanced approach to corrections, and I solicit your support in that balanced approach.

- Q. One final question, Commissioner, on another subject. As I understand it, it is, I assume currently, a departmental policy that inmates become eligible for transfer to halfway houses when they are within six months of having served their minimum sentences. Am I accurate on that?
- A. I believe, sir, it is half of their minimum sentence.
 - Q. Half of their minimum sentence?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Those inmates who would have otherwise qualified for halfway houses who were incarcerated at Camp Hill and were not -- either not

involved in the uprising or just sort of swept along in the tides and didn't actively participate and may have been transferred out or maybe are still in Camp Hill, how are you handling that situation with respect to those inmates?

A. We are — let me tell you what I'm doing, sir, and then let me tell you what I'd like for you to do. What I'm doing, what the Department of Corrections is doing is evaluating those individuals who were involved, who were not involved; separating them out. Those who helped, those who were in the modulars, we are in the process of identifying them and isolating them. Our plan is to make some clear recommendations to various agencies on where they should go with regard to community service, with regard to early release.

What I'd like for the legislators to do, please pass an earned time bill. Please pass a meritorious earned time bill that would give us the opportunity to reward those individuals who protected the officers, who changed the officers and brought them to the Main Gate so that they would be safe. We would love to be able to show the inmates that we are just and we are fair. Those individuals, as Representative Blaum said, who participated in the riot, who caused the riot, should be

<u> </u>	punished to the fullest extent of the law, but those
2	individuals who helped, those individuals who were good,
3	we should reward them, and I would like for your help to
4	reward them.
5	Q. Well, as you know, Commissioner,
6	Representative Hagarty and I have had a meritorious time
7	bill in this committee, and I think there's now a Senate
8	Bill in this committee, too, so the Chairman indicated we
9	may be taking that up.
LO	A. May I, if I have any influence on this noble
Ll	body at all, I would ask you to do that.
i 2	Q. Thank you.
13	REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Thank you, Mr.
L 4	Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative
16	Heckler.
L 7	REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Commissioner Owens)
20	Q. Commissioner, a few questions about the
21	where we are fiscally in the aftermath of all this? The
22	physical damage to the plant which I've heard estimates of
23	up to \$15 million, are we any further along in the
24	specific claims procedure with the insurance companies
9 1	that are handling this low?

Not far enough along, sir, for me to begin 1 to venture a number of what this will cost. I've heard 2 3 the same figures that you've heard. I've heard \$15 million, \$16 million and climbing. I do not know, at this 4 5 point in time, what it is. 6 0. Are you receiving any indications that the 7 insurance companies will not -- so far as the indications, 8 you are at this point, I'll state it, optimistically--9 Thank you. A. 10 Does it appear that it will be the insurance 0. 11 companies that will be bearing the loss beyond the deductible? 12 13 Α. The information that I have, sir, is that 14 15 amount of the losses.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the insurance companies are prepared to bear the vast
- Okay. At this point, can you tell us how Q. many of the staff of Camp Hill remain on either sick leave for physical injury or psychological disability?
- A. The last figure that I had, sir, was about 60 to 65 individuals.
 - Okay. And that's combined physical--Q.
- Yes, sir. Yes, sir, that's combined. A. Thank God we have no one in the hospital, that they're all out of the hospital. But the physical injuries and the psychological damage remain.

- 1 2

- Q. At this point, can you give us any outlook in terms of the prospects of bringing the prisoners who are in the Federal system back into the State system?
- A. I was with the Director of the Federal Bureau of Prisons yesterday and he asked me that very same question. And I told him that we should be prepared to accept them back in six months. And he told me I told him six months five months ago, or four months ago. At this point in time we aren't quite sure, but our agreement with the Federal government, sir, is about six months from the time that they received the prisoners.
- Q. Okay. And our ability to accept these prisoners back, I assume, focuses mostly on the construction of new space and is there a prospect of getting some additional space at Camp Hill back in-
- A. We're moving as quickly as we can. We'd be hard-pressed if we had to bring them back immediately. We still are receiving 200 new prisoners a month. As the Chairman indicated, our projections are that we will double our population in less than 10 years. That means at 10 years, unless we do something aggressively, we're going to have 40,000 prisoners in the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. So we really have to move aggressively and quickly to get on top of that situation.

We are planning, as you are aware, sir, to

bring modulars in. The information that we have is that we can erect them quickly, but unless we get our population, our growth, under control, that will be eaten up by just the prisoners coming into the department, and we will still not be able to address those out of the State. So we have a tremendous challenge ahead of us. I don't want to deceive you and let you think that we will be okay in six months. We will not be. We must get moving very quickly.

- Q. Well, so far as you know, are the plans, I believe we have passed legislation here to authorize certain emergency construction. Those plans at least are proceeding on time?
- A. Yes, sir. The architects met at the facility recently. We are in the process of finalizing specifications, so we are making progress there.
- Q. Getting back to two aspects of your prior testimony that I'd just like to clarify, your comments about Superintendent Freeman have been essentially solicitous as to his rights and obviously your requirement, your need to follow due process. But when we get down to the specific nature of the reasons -- and I'm not going to go back over the area that Representative Piccola has covered -- for his suspension, I'm a little bit bemused. He was here yesterday. He says there were

two reasons communicated to him and two only. He's talking about the verbal conversation. I'm just curious about the procedure. If I were in his shoes, I would assume at some point I would get some kind of charge, some kind of written notice that I could discuss with my attorneys, that I could evaluate my position on.

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. When will that happen?
- A. That will happen at the expiration of our investigation. Please remember, the official notice given to the Superintendent is that you are being suspended without pay pending investigation. That discussion went forth with the Superintendent as clearly as I possibly could. I sat down with the Superintendent and explained to him the action and why the action was taken. If there is some confusion, I would be very happy to sit down with the Superintendent again and explain to him what's happening and what why the action was taken. These are very difficult times for a very proud man, and I would like to bring them to a conclusion as soon as I possibly can.
- Q. May I ask, did you consult with anyone outside of your department prior to making the decision to suspend without pay?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And who did you consult with? 2 Governor Casey. A. And so that he had -- he participated in 3 Q. that specific decision to suspend without pay? 4 5 A. Yes, sir. But you're not prepared to discuss with us Q. 6 7 at this time any reasons for that -- for the decision, for 8 instance, to suspend without pay as opposed to simply 9 relieve of duty beyond the two that have been enumerated? I do not think it would be fair to the 10 Α. Superintendent for me to discuss that publicly. 11 12 However, I would assume that once you Q. 13 provide him with written notice of the formal 14 specifications, whatever they are, will that be a public 15 document? 16 Yes, it will. We, in my discussion with the 17 Governor, we were very, very clear to do all we possibly 18 could to protect Superintendent Freeman's due process 19 rights. 20 Q. May I ask you to describe for us a bit, after the first day's incident there was a gathering of 21 what turned out to have been most of the senior 22

administrative, or that's probably not the correct

characterization, the senior staff of the institution in

your office for some kind of telephone conversation with

23

24

Governor Casey. At whose request did that take place?

A. I'm not sure. It may have been at mine, it may have been at the Governor's. I'm not quite sure. We have historically done this. When we had the hostage situation at State Correctional Institution at Graterford, the Governor called and congratulated everyone. It is his style to pat people on the back that have done their job well. It was also, I think it was also the case at Huntingdon. The Governor called there again to compliment staff on a job well done. I do not know, sir, whether it was at my request or the Governor indicated that he would do it.

- Q. And in the terms, we heard the description yesterday that as this conversation took place that the only people who did any talking were you and the Governor. Would that be a fair characterization?
- A. I think Superintendent Freeman may have said a few words.
- Q. Well, again, hindsight, as we've observed several times, in this tends to be 20/20. However, one of the things that stands out to me is that at a time when you had an institution that was that certainly was still overcrowded, still had all the problems it had 10 minutes before the first outbreak occurred, plus what resulted from the first outbreak, at a time where there

were -- had to be a need to get things stabilized, in hindsight it seems to me that there were better things for those folks to be doing than having maybe a well-deserved pep rally with the Governor. Do you have any comment on that?

A. I'm not sure I agree with you. If the institution is secure and running normally, the Representative just spoke about morale. One of the ways of affecting morale is to tell someone who did a good job, well done. It was great. We appreciate your contribution. So I think that that is an investment in good currency, good personnel currency. It only becomes an issue if the institution was not secure.

Q. Well, on that issue of it being secure, when we went through the facility, and you were very helpful in having us tour the facility relatively soon after this outbreak, it was pretty evident, again in hindsight, that if you or I were determined to get through to each other's cells we could probably have kicked those walls down, that even ignoring the fact that people made — or people may not have been aware that the locking mechanism for the cells was compromised. That was a juvenile facility not intended for the population that they had. Everybody always figured that there was more likely to be trouble at other institutions but certainly ones you had trouble

there, was it reasonable to consider that physical plant secure simply because everybody figured that the inmates were back in their cells?

A. I think the Superintendent and the Deputies spoke with you yesterday, I believe, and they told you why they arrived at that decision. Hindsight, 20/20, of course not. But I'm just not sure they had all of that information at that point in time. I would -- Superintendent Freeman is too dedicated an employee and too committed an administrator to pull people out of an institution like that if he did not think that everything was not okay. It's one of the things I think I spoke to when I said significant questions in my mind, and that's one of the things that is continuing questions in my mind. I'm certain he would not have done that if he thought there was a problem.

Q. Well, putting aside Superintendent Freeman, obviously the general nature of the physical plant of Camp Hill was no secret to you or any of the people in the corrections system. In general, you're saying that there was not a lack of confidence on the part of the Corrections Department as a whole, that even though the inmates had shown an inclination to behave in an organized, riotous fashion, there was still reasonable confidence that once you put them back in the cells and it

1 was thought that the cells were locked that that would 2 keep them in? A. Absolutely. 3 4 Q. The--5 May I elaborate a little bit more on it? A. 6 Q. Go ahead. 7 A. As I said, I've been involved in several 8 riots and I've studied them throughout my career. Usually 9 once a riot is over, it does not flare up later. think there is some history at work here as well. 10 11 Q. This didn't follow the typical profile, if 12 you will--13 Α. Exactly. 14 Q. -- of this kind of incident. Well, regarding 15 the plan for these incidents, part of your plan involves 16 the availability and the insertion of a team of management 17 level personnel to help deal with this kind of situation, 18 is that correct? 19 A. Yes, sir. 20 Q. And that's something that was not -- that 21 has never been done, even in the aftermath of the larger 22 explosion? 23 I'm not sure I understand your question. Α. 24 Our plan calls for assistance to be sent to the

institution upon the request of the Superintendent and

_	with the approval of the commissioner. Is your question
2	was subsequent help sent to the institution?
3	Q. Well, help of various sorts was sent after
4	the State Police were required to establish control of it,
5	but that kind of team has never been sent to the
6	institution, is that correct?
7	A. We sent help from other institutions. We
8	brought help down from all of the surrounding
9	institutions. We also pulled management team in, too, to
LO	assist the Superintendent. I believe we pulled them in or
11	Friday. I believe it was Friday. Surely by Saturday. So
L2	we had sent additional resources there both in terms of
13	fresh personnel and in terms of management personnel.
L 4	Q. Okay. But only after control had been
15	re-established essentially militarily, if you will?
L 6	A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
L7	Q. Okay. I think that's all I have.
L8	REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you.
L9	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative
30	McNally.
21	REPRESENTATIVE McNALLY: Yes.
22	BY REPRESENTATIVE McNALLY: (Of Commissioner Owens)
23	Q. Commissioner, I have to commend you on your
24	vitality. You really hung in there for a long time.
25	A. Thank you.

2,3 Q. I took the liberty of reading Sergeant
Venesky's written testimony and I tound it very helpful.
One question that I'd like to ask you really for
background is that he indicated that in a Sergeant's
meeting he and other Sergeants expressed a concern that
the Hearing Examiner's sanctions did little to deter
further misconduct by inmates and that the inmates showed
little concern of receiving a misconduct. And that seemed
a very significant statement to me. It came in August of
-- early August of 1989.

First of all, is a Hearing Examiner an employee of the Department of Corrections?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And who supervises the Hearing Examiner?
- A. There is a person at the Central Office that has responsibility for supervising the Hearing Examiners throughout the department.
- Q. And is there one Hearing Examiner for each institution or how does that--
- A. By and large, there is one Hearing Examiner per institution.
- Q. Okay. And in the course of your internal investigation of this incident, have you reviewed this particular Hearing Examiner's performance prior to the Camp Hill incident to see if there was any, and I mean

either you or your staff, to see if there was any credence to the Sergeant's observations?

A. No, sir. We could find no credence to the particular comment about less time being given. Indeed, our study revealed that the line of the time that he was given was relatively, relatively flat.

I think what the Sergeant was referring to is that from the amount of time that the individual served, that is not necessarily a function of the amount of time given by the Hearing Examiner. That's a function of many other things. One of the things is in the institution, we have a Program Review Committee. Time, if an individual, if there is an infraction, an individual goes before a Hearing Examiner and is given 90 days, for example, and after a period of time in the RHU, that person goes before a Program Review Committee and the Program Review Committee reviews the individual's performance in the Restrictive Housing Unit and tells him, you know, you haven't been doing bad, you're doing okay, clean up your act and we will let you out on this date.

- Q. Like a parole?
- A. Parole, exactly. And they have set up a program for the individual to work their way out of the Restrictive Housing Unit. That was -- that was at work.

Physical plant. Our RHUs are simply too small. So as a consequence, we have a finite amount of space in the RHU. So I think a lot of things were at work to bring the Sergeant to make the comment that he made.

- Q. So that perhaps, you know, to make sure I understand what you're saying, not only is there overcrowding in the correctional system as a whole and within Camp Hill, but even within the Restrictive Housing Unit there was some overcrowding? There was not enough capacity to deal with the demands that were being made?
- Q. Exactly. If you take a societal approach, there's a certain amount of people in our society that will break the law, so we need a certain amount of cells in terms of prison space to accommodate them. In an institution, there's a certain amount of people who will break the laws within that institution, so we need a certain amount of space within the institution to accommodate those individuals, and that's being taxed, too.
- Q. Okay. I guess, you know, that's very helpful, you know, your description of the Hearing Examiners, and I would hope that not only the committee but the Department of Corrections would not only look at capacity for the correctional system as a whole but apparently that's a need that's been expressed by rank and

1 | file corrections officers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Absolutely. I think we need to look at it. I couldn't agree with you more, Mr. Representative.
- Q. And finally, you know, I have been pleased with your testimony today and in our prior meeting last year and I think there has been a lot of tocus on the idea of the chain of command and information and judgments that have been made, and trankly, I perceive a lot of the criticism as simply being the criticism of Armchair Generals, that, you know, that have a lot of hindsight. And I feel that a lot of the criticism is simply based on a misperception or an inaccurate perception, an inability to realize that in especially in that type of a crisis there is a sort of fog of war when perhaps information is not as reliable as it might be in a calmer situation, and certainly to the extent that that can be remedied and improved, I hope that the Department of Corrections will make that effort, but I haven't yet seen anything that really disturbs me very much. I think that the handling and the fact that you achieved those four major objectives was very commendable.
 - A. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Hagarty.

REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Thank you, Mr.

unairman.

Τ

4

.5

b

ä

Ý

Ĺΰ

Ιİ

ìà

13

14

CI

Tρ

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those of us who continue to question are armchair Generals, I suggest that we are operating pursuant to a house kesolution which directs us to investigate this most serious riot in Pennsylvania's history, and I think it is incumpent upon us to ask these questions, regardless of whether they are perceived as critical or otherwise. And so I'm going to continue to go that.

l just nave a coupre of things I want to clear up.

BY KEPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Or Commissioner Owens)

- Q. superintendent freeman and the Adams commission keport indicated that the decision to do an institutional snakedown is a decision that must be made by the Commissioner. Do you agree that it is in fact you who must make a decision to do an institutional shakedown?
 - Q. No, I do not.
- Q. And whom do you believe had the authority to do that?
- A. The procedures are very clear, Madam Representative.
 - Q. And where are those procedures written?
- A. They're in our directives, 803, I believe. It says that after a major occurrence, the Superintendent

or his designee may order a general search of the institution proper. They are to advise the Commissioner. It does not say that he or she cannot do a shakedown without my approval. Indeed, it is not unusual for a shakedown to be done within the institution without the Superintendent contacting me first. May I -- that would be the worst thing for us to do to tie the Superintendent's hands so they had to have my approval to So no, the procedure, that's a factual or an error in conclusion, in my judgment. The Superintendents can and do search the institutions without the Commissioner's approval.

- Q. May I indicate that our understanding of the testimony was that while that is written policy, it is commonly understood and has been practiced that for a shakedown of an entire institution, which we were told is highly unusual, that the Commissioner's approval has always been sought?
- A. I can only refer to the procedure and quote that, and I can only refer to practice. We've had problems at three institutions in this last year. There were significant shakedowns in all of those institutions and my approval did not happen until after -- my information did not happen until after they had searched it, not prior to them searching it. And I have no problem

H	Wi	th	tha	t	•
---	----	----	-----	---	---

- Q. They were institutional shakedowns--
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- that were done on three prior occasions?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And were you not aware that in fact an institutional shakedown was being conducted?
- A. They did not request my approval until after the shakedown had taken place, or in one that went on at Graterford for three days I knew after the first day that they were doing it. So please, understand that it is not it is neither procedure nor practice.
- Q. So the Adams Commission and Superintendent Freeman are both incorrect?
 - A. I disagree with them.
- Q. Is there a step that is less than an institutional shakedown that could have been done to determine whether, in fact, the inmates were secure in their cells?
- A. Well, as I understand the process, standard procedure is when you lock someone in their cell, a senior officer goes into the area and checks the area out and reports that. The Superintendent indicated to me that that did, in fact, happen. That's standard procedure. I hope I am responding to your question correctly. With

1	regard to something less than a general shakedown, yes,
2	Ma'am. Any area of the institution can be searched at the
3	discretion of the Superintendent.
4	Q. The decision to scale down the State Police,
5	who made that decision?
6	A. It is my understanding that it was made with
7	the Superintendent in consultation with his Deputies.
8	Q. And did he consult with you with regard to
9	reducing the number of State Police?
LO	A. No, he did not.
ι1	Q. It is my understanding that the
L2	Superintendent at Frackville offered additional
L3	corrections officers to you if they were needed at Camp
14	Hill for help. Is that correct? The 25 correctional
15	officers he indicated were available?
16	A. To me?
17	Q. That the offer was made by the
18	Superintendent at Frackville
19	A. Was it to me, Ma'am?
20	Qand that it was made to you, was my
21	understanding.
22	A. Not to my knowledge. What night are we
23	speaking of?
24	Q. I believe Thursday morning.
25	A. Thursday morning.

In other words, after the first incident but 1 0. before the second incident. 2 3 Α. The Superintendent at Frackville was not at Frackville on Thursday morning. I believe the Superintendent at Frackville was at Greensburg at a 5 Wardens Association meeting. 6 I don't know where he physically was. 7 0. I am only told that he made the offer of additional guards if 8 they were needed on Thursday morning. 9 10 I do not recall the offer. Α. Did any other Superintendent make the offer 11 0. 12 of additional guards? 13 It is possible that they may have. I don't A. 14 recall anyone doing it, but any time we have a problem at 15 an institution, Superintendents call in and say, we are 16 here if you need us. So that is pretty standard 17 procedure. Whose obligation do you believe it was to 18 Q. 19 contact the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Authority? Our procedure calls for the institution to 20 Α. 21 do it. 22 But that was not done, as I understand it? Q. 23 Cumberland County advised them? I'm not sure. My information is that they 24 Α. did do it. I'm not certain of that. And I apologize. 25

- Q. Mr. LaFleur testified yesterday that standard operating procedure was for the department to call them in, but the department did not. I wondered if you were aware of that?
- A. I know that we are in the process now of discussing and ironing out a different procedure. But whether the institution called them -- my information was that they did, but I may be in error.
- Q. With regard to your open door policy, I am told that there was a request made to you, Commissioner, in, I guess, the spring of 1989 by the heads of the unions at each of the institutions to meet with you and that you declined to meet with them.
- A. Oh, no. I meet with the unions -- I'm not aware of that. No. My door is open and I always have an empty chair for anyone who chooses to come to see me. I've met with the unions on numerous occasions.
- Q. So you don't recall specifically declining an invitation to meet with the heads of the unions from each of the institutions?
- A. Absolutely not. I would make one request, and that is that they go through the union to make the request. If an individual employee chooses to come see me, they need not do it. But if a union official wants to see me, I would ask them to go through the State union

1	officials to see me. But every request that has been made
2	of me to meet with them I have do so. I did that very
3	early in my administration.
4	Q. The conference call that was made to the
5	Governor on Thursday afternoon, can you tell us what
6	information was related to Governor Casey at that time?
7	A. Very little related to him. It was
8	basically the Governor complimenting staff for a job well
9	done.
10	Q. Did the Governor ask if the institution was
11	secure?
12	A. No, the Governor did not ask that. It was
13	not that kind of a conversation.
14	Q. What was the purpose of the conversation?
15	A. The purpose of the conversation was for the
16	Governor to compliment the staff that performed well on
17	Wednesday evening.
18	Q. I assume there was another conversation then
19	with the Governor in which he was advised of the status?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And when did that conversation occur?
22	A. That happened several times during Wednesday
23	evening and Thursday morning by me.
24	Q. And what did the Governor ask on those
25	occasions?
	ll :

- A. He asked me how things were going. He asked me were there any problems, was the institution secure, was everything in order, did we have any needs that could he help us in any way? And he asked were there ample State Police available to deal with the situation.
- Q. Were you aware, prior to the Camp Hill riot, that if the door over the panel was removed that these cells could be opened?
- A. I was aware that one of the access -- that you could access the locking system when the panels were removed. Whether they could be opened as easily as they could be opened, no, I was not aware of that.
- Q. I guess you understand that I might find it curious when we were told that virtually all of the inmates knew that that was how the locking system occurred, we have guards who have alleged that they were aware that panels were missing and that in fact a Deputy, although we have yet to explore that, was aware that a panel was missing. You understand how I find it curious that the entire reliance of every level that the inmates were locked down was sufficient to give us all secure feelings that there was no further cause for concern when all of these people must have known or should have known that the simple removal of a panel was sufficient for every inmate to reach up and unlock himself?

disturbance or heard about a disturbance that after the disturbance was over a lot of people can come forward and tell you, well, we knew that was going to happen. You never can find them before it happens. You never can find them, you know, to give you information about it that it's

I have never been involved in any

about it. And that is not just this incident, it's every

going to happen, but after it happens, everybody knows

- incident that I've been involved in or read about. The
 - New Mexico riot, everyone knew that the New Mexico riot
- was going to take place.

Α.

- Q. You will agree though that this institution was particularly inappropriately suited for the inmates who were there?
- A. We know that now. I'm not too sure we knew it then.
- Q. Commissioner, you had to know when we had an institution that was built for juveniles, it was never meant to be a secure institution, that was now operating essentially without a classification system with maximum security prisoners that it was inappropriate for the inmates housed there?
- A. Oh, no, Madam Representative. State

 Correctional Institution at Huntingdon was built for juveniles and it's one of the tightest maximum security

facilities that I have ever seen or been around in my life. So please, because it was built for juveniles, now please don't think that is the only factor.

- Q. Well, I add to that the fact that this was not a maximum security facility as Huntingdon is.
- A. But I was responding to your question.

 State Correctional Institution at Dallas was built for juveniles. And again, the materials are good materials.

The point that I simply want to make to you is please do not think that because the facility was built for juveniles it was not built with the material that would be good enough to sustain an assault on the walls. The problem with State Correctional Institution at Camp Hill is that it was not built with the same kind of material that the institutions that I named were and thereby created some problems, but I'm not sure -- I'm sure that I didn't know and I'm not sure that anyone knew just how vulnerable the walls of those cells were.

- Q. I'm not indicating that you necessarily knew how vulnerable the walls were. I'm indicating that you knew it was not a maximum security facility, that it was not intended for the prisoner who was there at the time of this riot ever to be housed there?
- A. We were aware that it was not built to house the kind of individuals that we have there, but I'm still

1 saying in as straightforward a way as I possibly can that 2 I am not certain that anyone knew the vulnerability of the walls or of the locking system. 3 Q. Was Camp Hill accredited? 4 Α. Yes, it was. 5 Was it in danger of losing accreditation? 6 0. 7 Not to my knowledge, no. 8 We heard testimony yesterday that interested Q. 9 me from a different concern though that in fact because 10 keys don't work there, an inmate could not get out of the cell in case of an emergency, and so that in fact security 11 12 recommendations had been made to the department because of 13 the fear of failure to meet accreditation standards? 14 I'm not aware of any threat to the Α. 15 accreditation of that institution. 16 Can you get out of the cell in case of a 0. 17 fire there? 18 Oh, you're speaking of gang release. Α. Of what? 19 Q. 20 Gang release. Oh, that's a process that all Α. 21 cells in the event of a fire you can pull a lever and all 22 cells can be opened at one time. Is that what you're 23 speaking of? 24 Q. I'm not as familiar, obviously, as you with

the specific problem. I was only referring to testimony

by Officer Smith yesterday which indicated his concern that this institution, in fact, was not in keeping with accreditation standards because of the inability to use a key or the inability to get out of a cell. That's as I recall his testimony.

- A. Madam Representative, I think Camp Hill passed accreditation with flying colors. I was not aware of any locking system problems from an accreditation standpoint. I'll be very happy to check it out, but I'm not--
- Q. My recollection of the testimony yesterday further was that it isn't even worth attempting to restore the current structure because it is so fatally flawed?
 - A. The locking system or the buildings?
 - Q. The institution.
- A. I think we need to study and evaluate that. Surely some of the blocks I would agree with. I would also agree with the locking system. I would not attempt to repair the locking system. I think we need a whole new locking system. I do think we need to evaluate where do we go from here with regard to renovation. The engineers that I've spoken to have indicated that they may be able to bring in necessary modulars to reinforce the walls. If we can do that, then we can retain the structure.
 - Q. When did you first personally enter a cell

block at Camp Hill after the riot? 1 2 A. I believe it was Friday. The riot occurring--3 Q. The riot occurring Thursday -- Wednesday and Α. 4 Thursday. I think I entered Friday morning. 5 6 Q. Okay. My recollection of the testimony of 7 the trial in the Dauphin County Courthouse was that you 8 had not personally been on a cell block at the time of the 9 trial, but that's thirdhand? Α. The trial? What trial is that? 10 11 On the ACLU suit against the prisons? Q. Oh, no. No. I went there Wednesday morning 12 Α. 13 -- I mean, pardon me, Friday morning to review the locking 14 system. 15 Okay. Q. 16 And to see does the new -- would the chains A. 17 and padlocks stop the door from opening? So no, I believe I entered the institution Friday morning. 18 19 Q. Did you take any steps Thursday to determine 20 what was being done then to the damaged locks? 21 A. Thursday--22 Day? Q. 23 A. Day? 24 Q. Yeah. 25 No. A.

1	Q. Prior to the second riot.
2	A. No.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you,
5	Commissioner. We certainly appreciate all the time that
6	you've given us.
7	COMMISSIONER OWENS: Thank you, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Sergeant and Major,
9	if you would resume.
10	Okay. Are there any questions?
11	REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Yes. I do.
12	Thank you.
13	As soon as I figure out who I'm talking to.
14	Thank you.
15	BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Of Sergeant Venesky)
16	Q. Sergeant I just want to more carefully
17	understand, the items that you took, the lists that you
18	took from the cell, and tell me again when that was?
19	A. That would be September 11, 1989.
20	Q. That list you indicated was a list of
21	demands as well as a list of who were the officers in the
22	FIO.?
23	A. FOI.
24	Q. Anyway, that organization. Is that right?
25	A. Yes, Ma'am.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And you turned these over to Lieutenant
- Did you have any further conversations with him with regard to a follow-up as to this seems to me
- He assured me after I completed the report we personally put it in the -- there's a box in the secretary's office. I worked on the evening shift so there was no, like the Deputies weren't in that evening at all. We put it in the box and the next morning it gets reviewed and copied and passed out to appropriate staff. So he assured me that it was passed out and I did receive my copies of it.
 - Was there any further follow-up? Q.
- Not that I know of. That would have come from the department heads, like Deputy Smith and Deputy Henry.
 - Did you ever inquire further? Q.
- I asked, but again, at Camp Hill they go Α. through a chain of command, so I addressed the problem through the Lieutenants, occasionally through the Captain, and asked about it, and I was, you know, it was in the proper hands, I was told.
 - Q. Were you concerned about the information you

had found?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, Ma'am.
- Q. What did it indicate to you?
- A. It indicated that the reports we were getting and hearsay we were overhearing on the blocks, apparently it was more than just talk, that something was going to happen.
- Q. I've looked at the list of names. Did you know from this list of names to whom the list referred?

 It starts off Brother Minister Kareem Muhammad, Brother Assistant Minister Ali Muhammad. Did that indicate to you who these individuals were?
- From working on the cell block I knew two of Α. the individuals. I knew one that was located on F Block and I also knew one, the person I confiscated this from was housed on E Block. Now, in the Security Office they have a file there. What they do is from time to time they ask the officers and the Sergeants working the cell blocks to make note of nicknames or other names that individuals It's not common to hear an inmate say, oh, Mr. go by. So-and-so is going to do this. It's usually referred to as a nickname. These nicknames are on file that if this list would be handed in to the Security Office, they could look these names up in that file and they'll know who they are dealing with.

1	Q. But you don't know whether in fact that was
2	done?
3	A. No, Ma'am.
4	Q. After the first incident that you testified
5	to today, where were you I apologize if you said this
6	and I missed it, where were you during the second
7	incident?
8	A. The second incident I was off on I was
9	treated at the hospital the night of the first incident.
10	I was off. I did return to the institution. I was on the
11	perimeter. It was just something that personally I felt I
12	had to be there.
13	Q. Were you also I take it then you were not
14	present when the photographs were taken of the, or Major,
15	if you were present you might respond. We heard testimony
16	yesterday that an evidence officer for the Pennsylvania
17	State Police took pictures and in fact pictures were taken
18	of these missing panels over the locking device. I
19	wonder, were either of you present when those observations
20	were made?
21	MAJOR STOVER: I was not present when that
22	was done.
23	REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Were you aware that
24	these panels were off?

MAJOR STOVER: No, I was not. I had --

early in the morning on Thursday morning, I believe Deputy Smith and I took a walk across the compound and I remember I walked into K Block, dark, quiet, sound. The officers didn't say much. Just some small talk. A lot of trash. I went through the day room and into J Block, and again, it was quiet, dark. Inmates sleeping. And the officers, no issues. I came out J Block and I walked down to where the factory was burning. I went down there to talk with the fire department. And then later on I was informed that I had also gone into the main kitchen, Kitchen 2, and I toured through there and I had gone through, again, I believe with Deputy Smith, and we had shut cooler doors.

REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Sergeant or Major, were you aware prior to this incident that these cell blocks could be opened if the panels were removed?

MAJOR STOVER: I think that was common knowledge throughout the institution because of the maintenance that had to be daily used on that. You're talking about a Van Dorn locking system that is out of business and every time you had to do something, it had to be made in the machine shop. Every time a key broke, it had to be made in the machine shop.

BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Of Major Stover)

Q. Let me ask you, because I know that you were obviously more concerned than anyone about the security of

your officers, and so I continue to be curious why knowing that that could occur didn't anybody check as to whether those panels were removed? I mean, it was you guys who were at risk.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I was over in command center area, they were locking them down Wednesday night and I kept getting reports E Block is secure.
- Q. The same radio transmission that we received yesterday?
- Yes. F Block is secure, right. And I take it that when they're telling me they're in secured cells, they're in secured cells. And then on Thursday, I had a concern with this whole perimeter fence. I went down, and it was our Delnorte system, and I talked with the maintenance was there. I wasn't happy with the way they were starting construction. So I left there and walked across the yard because where the fire had been it had melted some of the sensors on the fence and so, again, some of our fence sensors had been knocked out, and later on later I got with one of our maintenance people, I can't recall which one, but then he and I went back down to that hole in the fence on the Main Stockade field, and we talked about the construction, rebuild and putting more razor wire up, making a firmer footer where the truck had hit. I left there and then I went around the perimeter, I

got a car and I drove around the perimeter.

- Q. What do you think about the guards' allegations now that in fact they told ranking officers or Deputies that the panel boxes were missing and that the institution was not secure?
- A. I can't speak for what they might have told the Deputies. I can only speak of the knowledge that I had and my actions and where I was at and what I did.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I do know that in the Adams Report I think it states that I had a part in the decisionmaking of the amount of the State Police to keep or release, and I relate for the record that I was not involved in that decisionmaking at all in regards to keeping those State Police officers there.

Then later Thursday, all the Captains, the Deputy, myself, we were in the Deputy's office. I told the Deputy we had to start working on 12-hour shifts. One of the things happened was that the 2:00 to 10:00 shift took the brunt of this and when you think about both these incidents, the 2:00 to 10:00 shift took the brunt. And the 2:00 to 10:00 shift is made up of our younger officers. That's just the way labor/management is. The younger officers are on the 2:00 to 10:00 shift. I never agreed with that. There's nothing I can do about that.

Because there you have officers that have two years and three years experience. They're good officers and I commend them for a job well done. But you don't have that mixture of the old with the new, but the younger officers were there.

I know we went out, and let me back up. I know on Thursday morning the Deputy and I went to roll call to address roll call, and the Deputy briefed them on what had transpired, and then the Captain had come in and he was working on the -- the Junior Shift Commander was working on the duty roster in respect of getting men in for the shift, because we tried to get people out of there to get fresh people back in.

Then the afternoon the Captain and I proceeded to work on a 12-hour work schedule, and I got a report about some fires being set up in the RHU. I called the Lieutenant and he said, "Well, you know, it's normal fires and some officers are up there. It's smokey." And I remember telling him about getting a fan down in the kitchen. He said, "I know where it's at, but I don't think we need it." And I believe the Deputy and one Captain took a walk up to the RHU and came back down and then they got on a truck that was going to take food over to Group 2 and 3. And it wasn't long you hear a report that there's an inmate out of his cell and there's an

inmate out of his cell and then everything breaks loose.

And I secured my office, I locked the door and I went to

the front door and by that time, the Captains who were in

the office tried to respond over to the other side but

they only got maybe halfway.

- Q. Not to cut you off, but I think the committee has heard this and I wasn't asking that.
 - A. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I didn't know that.
- Q. That's okay. I know I've taken up more than enough of the committee's time.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Blaum.

REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: Yes.

BY REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: (Of Sgt. Venesky)

Q. Sergeant Venesky, this committee has toured prisons in the past few years. I remember being in one prison in which the prison official showed us the contraband which had been confiscated day by day from cells - the shanks, homemade knives, things that had been confiscated. And I'm reading your report of September 11th where one radiator key, a piece of plastic utensil, a razor, and three papers. Then there's a list of various officials who I guess were at a meeting of the FOI, and then the last page has to do with a list of seven demands, the first one containing that the food should be cooked,

1 and "we should have something for the none (sic) pork 2 eaters when pork is being served, and something for the 3 none (sic) meat eaters when meat is being served." Number two is "We want to use the law 4 library five days a week, morning, afternoon and night. 5 6 Also we want to...go to the library for general reading 7 and studying." Number three, "We want to be able to 8 9 participate in school activities...." 10 Number four, "We should not have to double up with anyone" unless we give our permission. 11 12 Number five, "The shower conditions should 13 be improved right away...." 14 Number six, "We want to" -- I don't know 15 what this means, -- "90 minutes to 120 minutes in the 16 morning, 90 minutes in the afternoon and 90 minutes at night of yard time." 17 18 And number seven, "Inmates that sitting 19 idle, all day everyday, should have the opportunity to go 20 to school...." 21 And my colleague just termed these 22 "alarming." What's alarming about confiscating this? 23 mean, the things I've seen in other prisons that have been 24 confiscated, I mean, look to me to be more serious than 25 what was confiscated here?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6 7
- _
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- What it is, at Camp Hill or anywhere within A. the prison system in Pennsylvania, there is a designated structure to address complaints, you know, as in putting in a complaint slip, they are reviewed by a complaint officer at the institution and so forth and they are sent to the appropriate people to be addressed. Well, it's been known that complaints such as this, you know, always come through. You know, there's probably several hundred or several thousand a year that we receive. But now at this point it's gone beyond that. We have a group of individuals that have been identified, they're putting down what they feel needs to be done in the institution. It's no longer going through the set pattern that the institution has set up for them to have their, you know, complaints or concerns addressed. Now it's going, if you wish to say, behind our backs.
- Q. And I don't disagree with you and, you know, I commend you for properly and promptly turning this information in. And apparently, this FOI group was well known to the Commissioner, well known to the Superintendent, from testimony that he gave yesterday, and it may be from, you know, corrections officers like yourself turning this information in. And I don't want to minimize the importance of turning it in. I just, again, with 20/20 hindsight it could be termed "alarming." I

mean, to me it doesn't appear alarming, but it's proper 1 2 that it be turned in and the people who are the higher ups begin to evaluate it. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BLAUM: That's it, Mr. 4 Chairman. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative 7 Heckler. REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you, Mr. 8 9 Chairman. 10 BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Major Stover) Major, just really one area of questioning. 11 Q. 12 Did any of the personnel, after the first outbreak and prior to the second, did anyone at the prison show you or 13 14 point out to you panels that were missing from the locking mechanism? 15 16 No, sir. Nobody. I had received no phone calls, nobody came up to me and said anything about them. 17 18 Okay. And you did not personally observe Q. 19 any panels either preyed loose or actually removed on the 20 floor? 21 A. I did not, sir. 22 REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you. That's 23 all I have. 24 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Chief Counsel 25 Andring.

MR. ANDRING: I just have one question for both of you, Major because you were there, and Sergeant because I'm sure you've talked to your fellow officers since this happened. Apparently on Thursday afternoon just about everybody was under the impression that the prison was secure and subsequent testimony showed that the prison really wasn't secure, and I just have a general question that maybe you can both give us your impression. Why do you think this happened? Was it a lack of communication? Was it a physical problem? Was it nobody realized that the prison wasn't secured? And again, I know you don't have a concrete answer, but could you just give us your impressions on that?

MAJOR STOVER: I think it enters into fatigue, the way the people were fatigued. I had been there from Wednesday until Sunday morning. I had been taken out to a local hospital. I had come back. I was told to go home but I came back and I was in the command center. And I think everybody thought that the negotiations, the people, the inmates were locked down, and I don't see where they really expected them to come flying out like that.

MR. ANDRING: Anything further?

SGT. VENESKY: Personally, I feel that the evening, Wednesday evening, whoever was in charge at that

time to make the announcement wanted to give a quick appearance that everything was under control. When I was at the hospital, I returned approximately 10:00 o'clock for a debriefing outside the institution. I was done with that approximately quarter of 12:00. When I was leaving out the front I could still look inside through the fence and see inmates being escorted, although it was announced close to approximately 10:00 o'clock that the institution was secure. But they were still escorting inmates to the cell blocks at that time.

MR. ANDRING: Okay. If I could just follow up on that for a second. Now, we've had extensive testimony yesterday that apparently by 2:00 or 3:00 o'clock the inmates, or at least a good number of them, were back in the cells and we heard tapes of the State Police contingent plus the guard contingent going about putting the prisoners in the cells, pronouncing them secure, and then we had the entire next day, really the whole day where apparently nothing came to the attention of the proper authorities that maybe the prison wasn't secured the way everybody thought it was. And I guess that's the time period I'm focusing in on, all day Thursday. Was there a general impression among the officers that the prison was not secure and did they realize it or not?

SGT. VENESKY: From talking -- as you know,

2 I wasn't there Thursday.

MR. ANDRING: Yeah, I understand.

SGT. VENESKY: But in talking with some of the officers that I worked with and some of the ones I knew I had phone numbers with, I had talked to them to see what was going on, they knew and they did tell, from what I understand, Deputy Smith came over onto the cell block and came up to an officer who had just come out of the trainee stage, came up to him and pat him on the back and asked him how he was doing today, and then they went on to examine the locking mechanisms.

And also, I don't know if it was brought out, and the locking mechanisms, about repairing them. You have to understand the institution originally was set up to teach the juveniles a trade, to help them get back out on the street. Well, basically the structure of that has stayed in place all these years. The older inmates that came in were being taught trades. When it came to locking mechanisms, the inmates worked on the locking mechanisms. They might have had a supervisor there, maybe one that like the locksmith would have two people, two supervisors, they may have 10, 12 inmates working with them. After hours when the locksmith goes home, he's off duty now, we have a handle that breaks and we have inmates

trapped in a cell, we have to get them out of there and have that handle repaired in case there is an emergency in the cell, medical emergency or a fire. They send inmates over to fix the locks, you know 9:00, 10:00 o'clock at night. So they have an excellent working knowledge of how these mechanisms worked, and it's very simple. It's just a matter of reaching up around and releasing a lever or grab a rod. You might not open your own door but you'll open someone else's.

MR. ANDRING: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Gentlemen, thank you very much for your testimony. Do you have something else to add?

SGT. VENESKY: I would just like to add one thing here, if I may. When Commissioner Owens came back up, he addressed what I had stated about the Hearing Examiner. When I offered my example I stated in there I understood the review committee, you know, the inmates might have a certain amount of time. I understood that. It was his impression that I didn't understand that and that's where the problem laid. That's not where the problem laid. The actual sanctions that were given towards the inmates, some of them, even the ones that didn't get time, some of them got a reprimand and warning for threatening an officer, for pushing an officer, a

simple reprimand and warning, which doesn't work when you're in that type, because they realize nothing is going to happen. And over the years I have collected my misconduct reports with the sanctions on them. If anyone wants them, I can offer them to show that, you know, not only did inmates when they did go to RHU they only had to serve a certain amount of time, but I can show that some of the sanctions that were handed down weren't proper at all.

MAJOR STOVER: I'd like to take the opportunity to personally thank the Pennsylvania State Police, all the local law enforcement agencies and all the medical services that responded to help us out at that institution. I can't say enough about them, about my own officers over there, how they performed. They did a professional job. And I know you're tired of hearing that nobody escaped and nobody got killed, I just put that all to the professional way it was done. It was a tragedy and I hope we can all work together to see that something like this never happens again.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you.

MAJOR STOVER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We will next hear from Dr. Carl Hoffman, physician at Camp Hill, and if the representative from the Pennsylvania Prison Society would

also please join him at the table.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the members' benefit, Representative Broujos will not be testifying today. He will not be able to make it.

Let's start. Doctor, if you'd like to start first.

DR. HOFFMAN: My name is Dr. Carl Hoffman.

I'm the Medical Director at the State Correctional

Institution at Camp Hill. I've been the Medical Director there for 15 years.

I was directly involved with the unfortunate situation of the riots at Camp Hill. We were called Wednesday afternoon when this incident occurred. Thev had nurses in the dispensary at that time. We were brought in to the visiting area and we stood by and the first person I reported to was our Health Care Administrator, Mr. Langley, who I discussed with him what was happening medically within the facility. He assured me at that time that the Cumberland County medical response team was alerted via their ambulance response, which was out front when we arrived. We called in the rest of our people, meaning our staff psychiatrist, our chief psychiatrist, myself, another staff physician. They called in their extra nurses who are not under our jurisdiction, are under the State jurisdiction, so that we had a full medical team and we set up to do triage as any other medical situation would occur within the institution for not only the employees of the State but also for the inmates.

Some inmates were brought up to us at that time of which we assessed them and then we either mobilized them out the door if it was secure, once security said it was secure, to local hospitals for treatment if need be or we would hospitalize them. We have a small hospital back in the Camp Hill Dispensary area. We also saw several correctional officers and staff and we assessed them at that time and also if they needed to be mobilized, we mobilized them. We stayed until the wee hours of the morning that evening, and some of our personnel stayed all night.

The Superintendent, I went down to the command center several times and interacted with the Deputy of Treatment, who is my boss, and I also interacted with the Superintendent at that time asking him what other duties we could perform for the institution, since we are under contract to provide medical services to the institution. He wanted to have the psychiatrists on board because of officers leaving and officers coming back. Debriefing, we set that up out in the Administration Building. We had our three staff psychiatrists involved with that. I also stayed inside the institution until it

was determined that evening that the institution was secure. Then we stayed outside the institution, kept a physician on call and a physician present through the whole 24-hour shift.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then of course the second night the problems reoccurred and we could not get back into the institution. Again, we went right to the command center and talked to the Superintendent and the Deputy of Treatment, asking what duties and services we should perform since the emergency preparedness team was set up out front. had numerous ambulances and they were doing the triage right out front of the Administration Building. We were at the perimeter and also going in and outside of the Main Gate mostly involved with inmates at this time. We had many inmates, diabetics, seizure disorder patients, we had many psychiatric inmates who were very unstable through this whole condition. We saw officers if we could. of them were shipped right out the Main Gate or the back gate to the ambulances to be triaged and employees to be triaged to go to the hospitals. Well, our main function was to take care of the inmates inside the institution, since this is our responsibility and our contract for the State and also for the correctional facility.

Again, we interacted numerous times by telephone with the Deputy for Treatment and with the

Superintendent and we continued to try to stabilize these inmates because now we were into the second night of rioting. Some of them were coming to the Main Gate. Our physician, our staff physician, Dr. Wisniewski, and the nurses just escaped before the inmates came up the main corridor in Group 1 out by the help of the State Police who shot over their heads so that the inmates would stop and not continue to chase them. From what was told to me, the inmates had clubs and various different weapons at this time. Thankfully, all of the nurses and the doctor escaped without any injury.

We stayed on the premises and then from that point on we provided medical services right inside the Main Gate where they had brought inmates who said, I give up, I'm not part of the riot, and they laid them down and they used flexcuffs on them and we started to try to identify who they were. We didn't have any records but a lot of the nurses remembered who they were and what medications they were on. We tried to retrieve medications out of the Dispensary and order other medications so we could continue. We had numerous seizures that occurred and when they became unstable medically, we transferred them right out the Main Gate to the awaiting ambulances. They were triaged and sent to community hospitals at that time.

1 We continued to stay there through Thursday, 2 the whole night, the whole day. We stayed there Friday, tried to stabilize things a little bit better. Once we 3 got back into the institution, then we really had a major 4 problem. We had inmates who were not fed for days and 5 6 days. We had inmates who had not received medication for 7 We had diabetics that we didn't know where their 8 blood sugars were. We had seizure disorders, cardiac 9 patients, hypertensive patients. So we started 10 immobilizing extra nurses, mobilized all the nurses from the correctional facility, mobilized myself, the other 11 12 staff physician, all the psychiatrists to start bringing these inmates up once we could off of different fields to 13 14 try and get them stabilized medically. It was a very 15 difficult situation because a lot of the diabetics were 16 going up and down very rapidly, and when they become 17 unstable, again, we would mobilize them to the triage area 18 to the local hospitals for treatment. We utilized our 19 hospital for makeshift because the hospital was damaged. 20 We put some inmates in there. We had nurses back there 21 around the clock. And we provided the service through 22 Saturday and Sunday and then continued, and we still 23 continue today, I was there this morning to provide 24 service to the State Correctional Institute at Camp Hill

25

for medical coverage.

difficult situations we encountered which you've already heard about was fatigue. Our nurses just kept working around the clock, around the clock, as did the doctors, as did the psychiatrists. Mr. Freeman was very, very supportive of our efforts and very conscious of our fatigue factor. Mr. Henry, the Deputy for Treatment, was in contact with us all the time worrying about is there anyone we haven't identified? Once they resumed the control of the institution, the officers did an exemplary job of providing to try and get these inmates up to us so that we could continue to treat them.

I think one of the most serious and most

We have never -- I have never, in 15 years of correctional medicine, experienced a tragedy like this. I would like to give my accommodations to Mr. Freeman, to Deputy Henry and Deputy Smith who worked very, very closely with us, did a very excellent job concerned about their staff, concerned about the inmates. Remember, we still had, so to speak, 2,600-and-some-odd inmates there that we had to identify who was what and where they were and what medications they were on. The nursing staff performed an exemplary job. Our interaction, which was not an awful lot with the emergency preparedness team, because we were inside the institution more than we were

outside the institution, they did an outstanding job for the Commonwealth.

I think it's also very important to recognize the State Police, the job they did providing getting inmates up to us because at this time things were in a real turmoil. We were worried about life and death with diabetics, with seizure patients, with cardiovascular patients. They did an excellent job getting them up so we could stabilize them as best we could and hopefully if they needed to be hospitalized then of course we would refer out and hospitalize them as fast as what we could.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Doctor.

Would the Prison Society like to make their statement and then we'll open for questions?

MS. SCHWARTZMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the committee. My name is Ann Schwartzman. I'm the Director of Advocacy for the Pennsylvania Prison Society. We were established in 1787 with the mandate to monitor conditions and advocate for the humane treatment of inmates as well as an efficient system. Since that time we've been doing that, although with a number of different types of programs. Along with me is Michael Hackman, who works with our volunteer program, who will speak specifically on some of the inmates' concerns through letters and phone calls that we've received from

both the inmates and the family members.

One of the things that has struck us over the past year and over the past few years is that corrections is in a crisis situation. During 1989 there have been at least five different prison riots, including two in the Philadelphia area, the others being in the State system. We think this is a grave concern not only to us but to every single person within this State. We feel that corrections needs to be look at dramatically, but we need more than recommendations that keep coming out from reports that have been submitted.

Over the past 10 years, at least 5 reports have come out with a number of recommendations. Most of these recommendations are similar. They talk about community corrections, halfway houses, earned time, intensive supervision. We need to actually implement these recommendations but we need to do more than that. We need to look at the system and all of the human beings that are tied up in it.

One of the things that the Commission's report, the Adams Commission Report, cited was that nearly one-third of the inmates were idle at Camp Hill before the prison riots. We think this is one of the major reasons why there was a riot. People basically had nothing to do. Inmates sat around with absolutely nothing to do. Five

hundred people out of that one-third were on waiting lists waiting for programs, waiting for educational or vocational skills.

In our current correctional system throughout the United States, 90 percent of all inmates come out at some point without program training, without drug treatment. Most of these people end up back in jail. We think corrections needs to be established with implementation of the recommendation so that these people come out and stay out, so that they end up being productive taxpayers and contributors to society and not the burdens that they currently are.

Corrections has become the catch-all for society's problems with people who are illiterate, the mentally ill, people who are senior citizens and can't get jobs, all different kinds of people that society doesn't know how to deal with anymore. We feel that it's high time Pennsylvania take a look at this, enact the recommendations that have been talked about but also look at the individuals that we're incarcerating in this State.

Michael.

MR. HACKMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon.

As Ann said, my name is Michael Hackman.

I'm the Director of Volunteers for the Pennsylvania Prison Society. I'd also like to thank the committee for this

opportunity to speak before you today.

As Director of Volunteer Services, I'm responsible for administering our prison visitation program, which is composed of approximately 100 volunteers in 28 chapters spread throughout the State whose function it is to monitor prison conditions in both county and State facilities and also respond to individual inmates and family concerns. And that is done on a one-to-one interview and intervention basis.

Acting as official visitors, our volunteers have access to any prisoner housed within the Commonwealth correctional facilities, and in doing so I believe they really developed a strong and deep sense of what it's like for both the inmates, the families and the staff who work in these facilities. I think they're in a good position to assess the system from a holistic point of view.

In the days and weeks that followed the Camp Hill riots, our office was deluged by letters from confused and angry inmates, as well as phone calls from hundreds of frustrated and fearful family members. In addition to the calls and letters we received, I also had an opportunity to tour the facility on November 16th, as part of a monitoring effort for the action the ACLU brought on the inmates' behalf.

Through direct contact with the Society's

office in Philadelphia and channeled through our volunteers in the field who also received numerous letters and phone calls, we received close a total of 200 inquiries from both inmates and their relatives. The nature of these calls and letters could be broken down into four main categories which I'd like to speak on briefly: Inmate abuse, inmate location and safety, family visitation, and destruction of personal property.

In describing the concerns expressed by the inmates and their families, especially in the area of prison abuse, it is important to point out that although we received many allegations, we are not in a position to either substantiate or dismiss the claims. As the Prison Society has no official investigative power, we can only act as the vehicle to express the thoughts of those impacted by the riot.

The first category I'd like to speak on is inmate abuse. We received approximately 75 calls and letters from inmates and families alleging a variety of abusive acts that occurred both during and in the immediate days following the riot. Inmates described situations in which they claim to have been beaten by correctional officers and State Police Troopers while being ordered out of their housing units during the actual incident, while being held in the Main Stockade or the

Main Yard in the facility, and while being returned to their cells after order was restored.

I would like to read two excerpts from letters we received, just so the committee can get a flavor of the types of allegations we received. The first is, and I'm quoting here, "I was not involved in either riot, period. In fact, I was one of 20 on my block who stayed in their cell until State Police said come out. The whole building was ablaze and I really thought I was going to die from smoke inhalation. When we came out, we were handcuffed behind our backs and that's how we stayed for three days. The second day we were put in leg irons, two men shackled together. We remained laying face down on the cold, wet grass for three days with no food until the third day.

"Sunday morning we were stripped of all our clothes and marched into a building where they," they assuming meaning staff, "humiliated us with nightsticks for the pure fun of it. They shackled me to a young boy who had just been beat up.

"Next thing I remember, I woke in a tiny, one-man cell with three other guys trying to revive me. Four men with nightsticks beat the--" blank "--out of me while I was handcuffed and shackled."

And the second letter: "Monday, October 13,

1989, about 7:00 p.m. I was put up the against the gate with my hands up over my head for 20 minutes with 29 other people, everyone shackled in twos. The State Troopers put a horse in front of us and one in back of us with about eight State guards on each side of us. We was then run from the yard up to F Block with our hands over our heads with the shackles cutting into our ankles and the guards beating us with sticks all the way to the block."

As I toured the facility myself on November 16th, inmates on every block that I visited, and I visited every block that still housed inmates, there was stories being told about mistreatment and beatings by security staff. Particularly several inmates in the Restrictive Housing Unit claimed that they were beaten repeatedly and threatened with more violence if they did not keep their mouth shut.

The other allegations of abuse which I head under the 75 that we received include:

--Limited or no access to medical care for several days after the riot and the disruption of administering prescriptive medicine.

--Exposure to the elements. Inmates claim to have been confined outside in the Main Yard for three days and three nights in which temperatures dropped below freezing with little or no protective clothing.

--Verbal harassment from correctional officers and State Police and threats of physical violence in retaliation for injuries sustained to prison staff.

Inmate location and safety. This was another major issue that was brought to our attention specifically by family members and relatives of Camp Hill inmates. We received countless numbers of calls from mothers, sisters, brothers of inmates pleading to us for some type of information from the prison. Although the prison did set up an information hotline, we received numerous complaints that the information regarding individual inmates was difficult to obtain, inaccurate, or unavailable.

Just as an example, one woman called our office to complain that Camp Hill staff initially told her that her son was not injured in the riot and was still being held in Camp Hill. As she found out two weeks later, however, her son was shot during the disturbance, spent a week in the hospital, and was transferred to another State prison and placed in their hospital unit.

Mail delivery was another significant problem for inmates and their relatives as incoming and outgoing letters were not processed for several weeks. This communication blockout only added to the fear and frustration of family members.

The transfers of inmates to other State and Federal prisons also proved to be an informational nightmare to both families and relatives, as well as the inmates themselves also. Families complained that they wouldn't hear from their loved ones for weeks, and then one day would receive a postcard from institutions as far away as California and Washington. These would be Federal institutions. This further confused and frustrated families, as most knew little or nothing about the Federal systems.

Third, family visits. Due to the extensive damage, lack of staff and the emergency lockdown status, family visits were suspended for approximately two months. When they did resume in December of 1989, visits were limited to one hour once a week and under close supervision. Family visits play an important role in maintaining stability within the prison population as well keeping the external family unit together. The suspension no doubt added to an already tense relationship between inmates and staff.

Destruction of personal property is the final category. The fourth main concern by the inmates dealt with destruction of their own property in their cells. Inmates alleged that personal property was stolen or destroyed in cells that were not actively involved in

the riot or sustained fire damage, and there were several cells that did not sustain damage. The property that did escape destruction has been slow to find its rightful owners and is presenting real problems for inmates transferred out of State, and we continue to receive quite a few inquiries on personal property.

1.3

In summary, we believe that it is important to remember that there are many victims of this unfortunate event - the inmates who did not participate in the riot but are still suffering the consequences; families who had to endure days of fearing for the safety of their sons, brothers, or fathers; prison staff who were physically injured and emotionally scarred; and ultimately the public who must foot the bill to rebuild Camp Hill.

The Prison Society does not condone the violent actions of inmates who actively participated in the rioting, but we understand the anger of those who did not participate, and in some cases even protected correctional staff. Finger-pointing no doubt will continue for some months and even years, but it is our hope that this committee and the public at large consider the real culprit in this riot, and that is prison overcrowding. As long as we continue to pack more and more people into a limited space and use prison as a crime prevention tool, the possibility for future prison riots

1 exists. We ask that the members of the Judiciary 2 Committee and their colleagues in the full House explore 3 alternatives to incarceration and population reduction programs to ensure that the events of October 25th through 4 28th won't be repeated. 5 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Are there questions? (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. 9 Thank you 10 very much for appearing. Thank you. 11 MS. SCHWARTZMAN: 12 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: In place of John 13 Broujos we do have J. Theodore Wise and Beth A. 14 Burkholder. 15 MR. WISE: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and 16 members of the Judiciary Committee. For the record of 17 introduction, my name is J. Theodore Wise, and I am the 18 Director of the Cumberland County Office of Emergency 19 Preparedness, and with me today is Beth A. Burkholder, the 20 Emergency Medical Services Coordinator from my staff. We have jointly prepared this testimony in 21 an effort to briefly describe the role of county emergency 22 23 management at the Camp Hill State Corrections Institution. The thrust of our testimony is based on experiences and 24

observations we shared during our continuous involvement

25

in carrying out the mission as we perceived it to be.

On day one, October 25, initial reports of the situation at the State Correctional Institution were generated by Corrections officials to the communications center of Cumberland County requesting that fire and ambulance respond to the Rear Gate and stand by because of a riotous situation. At that point, the communications center dispatched the appropriate emergency services in accordance with the established response plans on file. A notification was made to my office of the event and the action being initiated.

The Office of Emergency Preparedness' initial efforts were to provide support to each operation by coordinating communications command and the allocation of resources from the communications center. This action required an increase in staff at the communications center and deploying personnel to the scene.

From this point and throughout the course of the day, the major problem we encountered was the fragmentation of incident command. Local authorities had assumed command of all forces on the primary and secondary perimeters, and the Department of Corrections and Pennsylvania State Police had assumed responsibility for all actions inside the facility. Because of this separation and the lack of unified incident command,

deployment of resources and other response requirements were subject to independent and many times contradicting assignments.

Organization of local resources required the establishments of sector commands consisting of police, fire, and EMS operations. Management of these controlled operations remained with and was professionally carried out by local authorities. With the incident command system in place and effectively functioning, the efforts of emergency preparedness were directed towards assisting local township officials in the preparation and release of public information.

Attempts were made on several occasions to coordinate the release of information concerning community safety and the community response to the incident. Our efforts of mutual concerns were expressed to the Department of Corrections on behalf of the local township officials. Our attempts to have a joint press release issued were unsuccessful. Therefore, our statement was prepared and released without information generated by the Department of Corrections. Conversely, the information released by the Department of Corrections did not adequately address the current concerns of the local elected and appointed officials.

Shortly after 2400 hours it was reported

that the incident was squelched and that a lockdown of inmates was occurring. In response to this information, local authorities ordered all forces to stand down and go in-service, and these actions were accomplished approximately 0200 hours that morning.

On October 26th, or day two of the incident, shortly after 1900 hours I received a call that the facility was again out of control but worse than the previous night. I responded by instructing the communications center to duplicate the initial actions implemented the night before. Obviously, with our previous experience the Office of Emergency Preparedness was able to deploy those resources in a much more expedient manner and therefore EMS, fire, and police sectors were quickly operational.

Upon arrival, I learned that conditions within the prison were out of control and that the interior of the facility was, in fact, overrun. At this point it was clear that all command and control would be exterior and that the State Police had assumed all authority for control operations. The Office of Emergency Preparedness concentrated their operations on reinforcing our initial actions in determining the requirements for long-term operations.

A conference was held to provide a briefing

to all participants to establish these long-term operations. As a result of this briefing, it was determined that we would stand down all interior operations and maintain our perimeter lighting assignments. In addition, EMS operations would remain at full-alert status. The EMS command proceeded to establish a billeting tent, heaters, and rotating shifts to ensure continuous operations. Police and fire command assumed a responsibility to maintain personnel shifts and life support during this stand down portion of the incident.

Commencing at approximately 0600 hours that morning and throughout the course of the incident, sector commands were involved in maintaining operational procedures. The Office of Emergency Preparedness' role in emergency management activities was at this point minimized because the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency had arrived on the scene and assumed liaison responsibilities relating to the incident. The office's role was now to assure sector operations and to provide resources necessary.

The testimony provided thus far very briefly describes the role of emergency management at the Camp Hill State Correctional Institution disturbance. The difficulties encountered from the initial response began and continued throughout the incident because the entire

situation lacked a unified incident command system.

Before I proceed, let me explain the term
"unified incident command system." Incident command
system is a recognized national standard of operations
that provides a means for the combination of facilities,
equipment, personnel, procedures and communications
operating within a common organizational structure with
responsibility for the management of assigned resources to
effectively accomplish stated objectives pertaining to an
incident.

In simple language, this means that representatives from all operating forces are collectively operating in a manner that permits the exchange of information from the top level decisionmakers to the operating personnel in the field. It is a system that insures that the request for resources are directed through an appropriate system so that duplication is avoided and accountability is maintained. It is a system that insures that those at the operational level are constantly informed of the actions planned, initiated and completed.

Had such a system been established at this incident, much of the confusion and frustration experienced by those personnel at the operational level would have been eliminated. The administration would have

had a clear understanding of the actions occurring by all agencies involved at the facility, and recovery from this incident would have been much more manageable for all parties involved.

Pertinent information was not disseminated from those authorities involved to the incident sector commanders. Consequently, critical operational decisions could not be executed in fashion. As an example, the EMS sector was advised that there were approximately 200 injured within the confines of the facility. Therefore, operational considerations were made to deal with the numbers that exceeded actual casualties. This resulted in the excessive resource deployment and under-utilization of on-scene resources. Sector operations were disrupted on numerous occasions because of requests for services and material were not coordinated from incident command to sector authority.

Those injured or in need of EMS services are fortunate in that prior to this incident a mass casualty incident plan had been developed, a mass casualty response team had been established, and that the plan, the MCIRT Team and the operational procedures had been disseminated to the EMS system community.

Coordination of fire activities was also handicapped because of the fragmented command and lack of

unified incident command structure. The fire chiefs responded and operated in standard procedure. However, when apparatus and other resources requested, the deployment of those resources were redirected to other assignments once they entered the facility. These alternate actions were not coordinated with sector authority or the services directly. As a result, both personnel and equipment were needlessly exposed to the actions of the inmates.

Coordination of police services was very difficult on day one of the incident because those in authority were inside the facility at the Central Control. During the remainder of the incident, either by liaison or direct contact with the Pennsylvania State Police operations room, utilization of local police forces was coordinated between the Pennsylvania State Police, local police, and the district attorney's office and other State agencies.

I could elaborate more extensively on pertinent actions taken or not taken as it relates to the lack of incident command during this situation. I have been very brief only because those local authorities in command of their respective sector are better qualified to speak on the specifics of their incident.

The role of county emergency management in

these kinds of incidents is usually limited to overall coordination and support of local operations. When the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency is activated, the role of my office changes drastically. My role then becomes operational to serve the coordination effort of PEMA.

Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency arrived late Thursday night, October 26th. It became evident their intent was to begin an active liaison with the Department of Corrections, State Police and other State agencies in my office. Consequently, I became more distant to the overall operations of the facility. This resulted in problems that still linger today. It became more difficult to obtain access to plans or activities such as utilization of the National Guard, the provision of basic life support services, and the coordination of resources. These problems were not because PEMA and our office were not in communication, but because it became more of a State operation and responsibility.

The problems that still linger today not only affect the termination of our involvement at the Camp Hill Institution, they have long-lasting impact on the required planning and operations at other prisons and State operated facilities throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Our office is still involved in the recovery

phase of this incident. We find ourselves at a point of

controversy in terms of the rightful reimbursement of

expenses and service provided by the local emergency

services.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As such, I would like to take this opportunity to express my concerns and make a recommendation that the General Assembly not only investigate the operations of the response agencies to the Camp Hill Institution, I would recommend that they also address the recovery phase of this operation with consideration towards the development and passage of legislation that would provide direct and specific State disaster assistance. This legislation should provide aid and financial assistance to local municipalities, emergency services, businesses and State agencies. would establish regulations and reimbursement procedures for the process of recovery from natural or man-made disasters on a continuous basis. Currently, no program exists that provides aid to the citizens, the State agencies or the local municipalities and their emergency services unless an incident qualifies for Federal assistance.

Again, on behalf of myself and Ms.
Burkholder, we wish to thank you, Mr. Chairman and members

of the committee, for this opportunity to provide this 2 testimony. Either I or Ms. Burkholder will be glad to 3 answer any questions you may have. Thank you. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. 5 6 Are there any questions? 7 Lois. 8 BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Of Mr. Wise) 9 I'm not sure when you referred to the 0. 10 fragmented command, are you referring that at the Department of Corrections there was no unified command? 11 12 Continuously through the three days there A. 13 were decisions being made at various levels, whether it be

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operational level?

- at an operation level out on the perimeter or whether it be--Q. Tell me who you mean when you say an
- I'm talking where the rubber hits the road. The officers on the perimeter, the firefighters on the apparatus providing security, the EMS sector receiving patients. When decisions were made at whatever level, top level or bottom level, because none of us were in any kind of organizational structure to communicate resources we needed out in the field or questions that top administration may have had as to actions being taken,

that exchange of information was never facilitated because
we were fragmented and Corrections was doing their thing,

PEMA was doing theirs, State Police were dealing with
other matters, and none of us collectively were in a
position to get a big picture of all of the events.

- Q. Are you, and I don't know this, are you familiar at all with the master comprehensive plan of the Department of Corrections?
 - A. In terms of their operations?

- Q. Well, I'm wondering whether that contemplates the coordination with the outside agencies.
- A. I have been with the county since 1976 and the only plan that we have that relates to the Camp Hill Institution is a mass casualty plan that was dated 1981. It is the only document that I received from the institution.
- Q. Were you in contact with any specific person at the Camp Hill Institution?
- A. I had tried on several occasions. I know Wednesday night we made numerous attempts, and I say "we," I'm referring to the local police chief, the district attorney, myself, at the Rear Gate to find out what our responsibilities were as it relates to local authority assisting State agency. We could not, until -- we were there from 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon and not until

1	about 8:30, 9:00 o'clock that evening did we in fact get
2	that one-to-one meeting with the people that were
3	controlling activities inside the perimeter.
4	Q. Who did you ultimately meet at 8:00 o'clock
5	that evening?
6	A. I believe Chief Rhodes and District Attorney
7	Mike Eakin gained access to the Central Control area.
8	Q. Central Control area in the Department of
9	Corrections?
10	A. Inside of the facility. That's correct.
11	Inside the institution.
12	Q. Inside the institution. And do you know who
13	they met with in the institution?
14	A. The only name, and I didn't get a chance to
15	meet him afterwards, was Major Stover. We were informed
16	that Major Stover was calling the shots.
17	Q. But prior to that time you couldn't identify
18	anyone who was calling the shots from Camp Hill?
19	A. No.
20	Q. That's what you're indicating the problem
21	is?
22	A. On Wednesday.
23	Q. On Wednesday.
24	A. Right.
25	Q. And then subsequent to Major Stover being

identified as the person calling the shots, did he remain the person calling the shots?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

From our perspective of trying to determine Α. what our assignments and responsibilities were going to be, again, as an outsider coming in, yes. Then as the night progressed, as an example, and the fires occurred, the fire department was sent in. What was happening at that point is the fire chief was inside the perimeter, we were still outside the perimeter. He was making requests for additional apparatus. As that apparatus entered the gate, then somebody, whether it be guards, State Police, whoever was inside the facility, said, "We need this truck over here." The fire chief's communicating with us and everybody is stealing his fire engines, and the requests of resources that he was asking for to contain the fire were sent in but redirected inside, and we had no way of coordinating those actions.

MS. BURKHOLDER: Can I just add to that?

REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: Sure.

MS. BURKHOLDER: I was the EMS Incident
Commander at the prison and we had major operational
problems with the facility and who was calling the shots
of which ambulances were going to enter the perimeter,
where they would enter the perimeter at, whether it be
Front Gate, Rear Gate, and the messages were sent back and

forth and to no avail. We never did get the ambulances inside the gate. And that was on day three. Day one and two we did not enter the perimeter. But it was very clear that there was no line of communications between the Department of Corrections, PSP, and us that we could facilitate their efforts.

REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: How about State Police? Were they communicating with you?

MS. BURKHOLDER: To a certain extent from my standpoint, yes, they were, and I'm sure that he can answer more readily for the Emergency Management.

MR. WISE: They were accessible only because we knew where the operations room was and we were able to gain access and ask specific questions. Recognizing the problems that we had Wednesday, we very clearly decided Thursday that we were going to not shake loose and so we made sure that we had people at the operational area, and it was very clear on Thursday the State Police had assumed all control operations, which was our concern, and our concern locally was how do we effectively interface with those people inside and outside, and at this point we now have—

BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGARTY: (Of Mr. Wise)

Q. At what point did you assume that the State Police had assumed all operational control?

- Very early Thursday, upon arrival Thursday 1 A. night. 2 3 Thursday evening? Q. Α. Thursday evening. 5 And what did you base that conclusion on? Q. At that point when I arrived--6 Α. 7 Q. They were just giving the orders? 8 Α. They were giving the orders and at that 9 point everybody was basically running in our direction or outside. 10 11 Q. Who was giving the orders for the State Police, if you know? 12 13 Well, the State police had set up an Α. operational room in the Administration Building, and we 14 15 16
 - then latched onto them and we could tell just by actions, again, comparing to Wednesday night, all of the decisions were being made at Central Control inside the facility, which nobody was getting access to. So we weren't part of the decisionmaking actions Wednesday. Thursday everything was exterior so we, and I say "we" collectively local police departments, Attorney General, et cetera had access to go walk into the State Police operations room and say, what is going on and what are you going to do?

 O. So you're indicating once the State Police

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So you're indicating once the State Police took over there was a central chain of command and you

1 | could do your job?

- A. For their portion of control.
- Q. Okay.
- A. But there was not, in terms again, a unified command with the medical facility and the medical staff at the prison. There was no way, no mechanism for us to get to them to tell us what we were doing and there was no mechanism for them to tell us what they needed because they were inside and we were outside.
- Q. Did they need ambulances that couldn't get in then?
- A. There was requests for ambulances to go inside. Decisions were made that they were not going to go inside.
- Q. By whom? Who made the request and who decided they wouldn't go in?
- A. Well, that was whoever happened to be at the gate and overheard the request. If an officer was down and the panic button would be pushed, they'd say, "We need an ambulance in there right away. "The decisions had been made by the local authorities that ambulances would be available at the Front Gate and that that person should be brought out to the Front Gate to be entered into the EMS system.

The same question needs to be raised as to

fire equipment that went inside, lost control of their apparatus in terms of placement, should have not been placed in a position where they were in fact exposed to the threats of inmates. No building is worth the life of a firefighter, but being a volunteer, they're going to go where they're ordered, and they did so and sustained both personal and property damage as a result of those kind of actions. That should have not occurred.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What do you think the problems were or what were the repercussions of the fact that there was no central chain of command?
- Well, there was a lot of resource I was on the phone requesting lights, prison duplication. officials were on the phone asking for lights. There were helicopters that were brought in that were brought in needlessly now and we know the stats. There was an abundance of fire equipment, there was an abundance of police officers from eight counties that could have been better utilized. Those personnel endured three days. we known the long-term plan of control and security, then we could have stood down many of the volunteers and many of the other local services. We did not need the amount of force other than to represent a show of power. We did not need the numbers that we, in fact, had, when you consider the numbers of police officers, the numbers of

fire departments involved in that operation. If we would have had a plan of action and all key officials would have known what that plan of action is.

- Q. Who did you communicate your failure to be able to find a central chain of command?
- A. Well, on Thursday I kind of turned it over to PEMA because it was my understanding with their arrival on the scene Thursday evening that they, as a State agency who I work with regularly, would be in a position to set up and operate under emergency conditions. It's very obvious that the Department of Corrections or any other agency is really not geared and trained to change their bureaucracy and gear up to emergency operation. PEMA, as the State agency, does that routinely. They would be in the best position to come in and establish what we consider normal emergency operations. Executive group for major decisions, operational groups for operational decisions. And none of that occurred.
- Q. Do you know who called -- who called PEMA in?
- A. We routinely notify PEMA when we get an incident in our county, and I don't know if Corrections, because I know that question was raised earlier and I don't know if, in fact, they were notified by the State, but they were notified by our agency.

- Q. Why were they not there Wednesday, PEMA? If you know.
- A. Well, I was on the phone with the Deputy
 Director at the request of the Director to see if there
 was assistance. I couldn't get past a guard at the Rear
 Gate to get in touch with Major Stover to see if PEMA's
 assistance was needed Wednesday night. So I, you know, it
 was like I need to speak to Major Stover, I'm from
 Emergency Management, and it was, well, he's too busy, you
 know, stand in line, and I stood in line from 3:00 in the
 afternoon until 2:00 in the morning.
- Q. So you envision that Corrections should have a plan, I take it, and that with a plan that Corrections is aware of, that the State Police are aware of, that the firefighters are aware of, that PEMA is aware of and that Emergency Preparedness is aware of as to who the chain of command is?
- A. Absolutely. A chain of command needs to be established, State agencies need to be able to be in a position to modify their operations to accommodate emergency situations and allow those of us that deal in emergencies on a regular basis to come in and operate in what we would call normal conditions, which would be abnormal to the other bureaucracies.
 - Q. Were there any -- other than the duplication

of, not to minimize those, but other than a duplication of resources and the obvious overuse of people that was unnecessary, were there any other repercussions of the fact that there was no chain of command? In other words, were people not assisted as quickly as they should have been?

- A. Assistance to the people that were injured were treated as fast as they could be. The problems are long-term in terms of going for 72 hours without food, without water.
 - Q. For our volunteers you mean?
- A. For our volunteers that were on the perimeter. That kind of support activity becomes critical in the long-term. We talk about exposure. We had assumed Wednesday that it was going to be over real soon, so we automatically assumed Thursday was going to be over real soon, and then Friday was going to be over real soon, and then we were just waiting for it to be over Saturday morning. And we never knew, and I don't think anybody really anticipated it was going to take the amount of time that it did. Had that knowledge been out in terms of State Police activities and their negotiations and the fact that they weren't going to do anything until 6:00 o'clock Friday morning, we then at the sector level or at the operational level would have been in a position to

say, I've got 10 police officers here from XYZ township, I
will send 5 home, let them rest and refresh and come back,
send 5 home and do the same thing. We want all 10 of you
back here at 6:00 o'clock Friday morning when they're
going to take some actions. We never knew that.

- Q. We heard testimony that there were problems with regard to reimbursements. Are you aware of that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And what are those problems?
- A. Well, the problems are that there's no real mechanism to provide for reimbursement either on expenses occurred--
- Q. By the State to you? Is that what you're talking about?
- A. --by the State to locals. The Governor, in response to the incident, has offered reimbursement.

 PEMA, as the State agency who deals with disaster assistance, has been charged with that. The issues concerning reimbursement are such that, you know, what rate do we reimburse? How do we reimburse volunteers? Do we compensate volunteers for lost wages? What do we do with long-term implications in terms of workmen's compensation that could be filed two, three years from now by a municipal police officer that's going to financially impact that local municipality and affect their premium or

dividend on their workmen's compensation? So there's a lot of long-term effects.

Again, that's why if we would have some kind of legislation that would regulate what the State's going to do routinely, what we're going to do with those 20 businesses that are damaged or 20 homes that are damaged in a minor flood that don't qualify for Federal assistance, right now we are just abandoning those 20 people and hoping that their insurance takes care of it. What we're proposing and what I would propose would be some kind of legislation that would create a disaster assistance program at the State level that would address those same issues that we do have in place at the Federal level.

- Q. Thank you, and thank you for your service to the State during this emergency.
 - A. Sure. Don't call us again.
 - Q. What?

- A. Don't call us again.
- Q. We hope not.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Chief Counsel Andring has a question.

MR. ANDRING: I have a quick question.

Number one, the Director of PEMA yesterday indicated that he felt that the institutions should each

develop an institution-specific emergency response plan. Would that address a lot of the problems that you're talking about?

MR. WISE: Well, it could through formal agreements that would be contained in the plan that would establish responsibilities of each level and what their roles are.

MR. ANDRING: He used, I recall, as an example the TMI type of planning that occurs.

MR. WISE: That's correct. Right.

MR. ANDRING: Okay. The other thing I would just like to point out, again, to show how sometimes things that sound reasonable in this type of situation aren't always, you indicated that maybe if you had been told you could have told some of your officers to leave, go get some rest and be back at 6:00 when the State Police were going to take action. I guarantee you if you had told officers that, I would have been sitting at home watching it on the news an hour later that the State Police were moving in at 6:00 in the morning, and I think we would have had a real serious problem there. So it's a complicated situation.

MR. WISE: You know, it's a case of rotating. And we talk about food services as an example to elaborate. You know, at the Corrections, and I didn't

1 know that until Saturday morning early that there was in 2 fact kitchen facilities at the State Corrections building. We can't feed the thousand volunteers out of the back of a 3 4 station wagon, and we had those kind of facilities that we 5 could have rotated people out of the elements, we could 6 have put them in tents, we could have put them in the 7 parking lot for a break because as was reflected today, 8 tempers were short, fatigue was setting in. The same thing occurred with the people in the perimeter after 9 standing guard for 12, 14 hours without break. 10 11 logistically, we needed to rotate personnel, and that's, 12 again, in a long-term plan, if we would have known the course of action we could have made those kind of 13 14 adjustments. 15 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you very much, 16 and we'll conclude today's hearing with adjournment. 17 Thank you. 18 (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded 19 at 3:55 p.m.)

20

21

22

23

24

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same. ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER. Ann-Marie P. Sweeney 536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011