1 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 1 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2 COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY 3 In re: Public Hearing on House Bill 12 and House Bill 74 - Forfeitures 4 \* \* \* \* \* 5 Stenographic report of hearing held 6 in Room 418, Minority Caucus Room, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 7 Monday, 8 March 25, 1991 1:00 p.m. 9 HON. THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, CHATRMAN Hon. Gerard A. Kosinski, Subcommittee Chairman on 10 Courts 11 Hon. Karen A. Ritter, Secretary 12 MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY 13 Hon. David Heckler Hon. Jerry Birmelin Hon. Kenneth Kruszewski Hon. Daniel Clark Hon. Frank Dermody Hon. Christopher McNally 14 Hon. Dennis M. O'Brien Hon. Gregory C. Fajt 15 Hon. James Gerlach Hon. Robert D. Reber Hon. Michael Gruitza Hon. Chris R. Wogan 16 <u>Also Present:</u> 17 Hon. Ralph Acosta Hon. W. Curtis Thomas 18 David Krantz, Executive Director Ken Suter, Republican Counsel 19 Marh Beth Marschik, Republican Research Analyst 20 21 Reported by: Ann-Marie P. Sweeney, Reporter 22 23 ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY 24 536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011 25 717-737-1367

1991-091

173 page 7

1		
	Ļ	

## INDEX

_ \		PAGE
3	Hon. Ralph Acosta, Prime Sponsor, HB 12	5
4	Hon. W. Curtis Thomas, Prime Sponsor, HB 74	6
5		
6	Fred Ramirez, Regional Director, Department of Puerto Rican Community Affairs	54
7	Stephen Pina, One Day At A Time, Philadelphia Urban Coalition	59
8		
9	Douglas Hill, Executive Driector, Pennsylvania State Association of County Commissioners	69
10	Michael Marino, District Attorney, Montgomery County	81
11		
12	John Driscoll, District Attorney, Westmoreland County	83
13	Charles Gallagher, Asst. District Attorney, Philadelphia County	88
14 15	Sister Carol Keck, Dir. of Norris Square Neighborhood Project, United Neighbors Against	114
	Drugs	
16		440
17	Efraim Rios, Drug Prevention Specialist, Phila. Anti-Violence, Anti-Drug Network, United Neighbors Against Drugs	119
18		
19	Nellie Torres, Norris Square Park Patrol, United Neighbors Against Drugs	126
20	Sid Heifetz, President, American Corridors Business Associationa	128
21		
22	James Mills, Executive Director, Phıladelphia Anit-Violence, Anti-Drug Network	160
23	Horace Small, Philadelphia Anti-Violence, Anti- Drug Network	164
24		
25	<u>APPENDIX</u>	174

1	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I'd like to
2	welcome everybody to the public hearing on House Bills
3	12 and 74 dealing with forfeitures, and before we go
4	any further, I'd like for the district attorneys that
5	are present if they would just introduce themselves and
6	the counties that they represent, however you want to
7	start.
8	MR. PETTIT: John Pettit, Washington
9	County. I'm president of the association.
10	MR. MARINO: Michael Marino, Montgomery
11	County.
12	MR. DRISCOLL: I'm John Driscoll, from
13	Westmoreland County.
14	MR. RYAN: Bill Ryan, from Delaware
15	County.
16	MR. BARRASSE: Mike Barrasse, from
17	Lackawanna County.
18	MR. EAKIN: Mike Eakin, Cumberland
19	County.
20	MR. LEWIS: Rich Lewis, Dauphin County.
21	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Okay, thank you,
22	gentlemen.
23	If the members who are present, if you
24	care to introduce yourselves for the audience and also
25	the record, and if you would like to start in the rear

1	and move forward.
2	REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: Jim Gerlach,
3	Chester county.
4	REPRESENTATIVE CLARK: Dan Clark, Juniata
5	County.
6	REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Dave Heckler,
7	Bucks County.
8	REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Bob Reber,
9	Montgomery County.
10	REPRESENTATIVE McNALLY: Chris McNally,
11	Allegheny County.
12	REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: Frank Dermody,
13	Allegheny County.
14	REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Greg Fajt,
15	Allegheny County.
16	MR. SUTER: Ken Suter, Republican
17	Counsel.
18	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Tom Caltagirone,
19	Berks County.
20	MR. KRANTZ: Dave Krantz, Executive
21	Director, House Democratic Judiciary Committee.
22	REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: Ken
23	Kruszewski, Erie County.
24	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I'd like to start
25	off with, and I think Jerry Birmelin also has joined

us, I'd like to start off with the prime sponsors of the legislation, and if Representative Ralph Acosta would like to make some comments on his bill.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I got to start by saying to the DAs, district attorney persons, people that are here today, that I hope you don't go against this bill as you did last year. I know we got a lot of lobbying and pressures from the DA's office across the State, and I reintroduced the bill right away after we came back in session because this is the only way out, the only way of getting people that are involved in drugs to probably get some treatment and get some rehabilitation. Also, the community organizations are out working and helping our community there.

Eventually, we will not have any money or any ways to keep working on preventing drugs in our community.

As we all know, Philadelphia is the hottest area of drugs. Most of the drugs from New York and Jersey and other parts of the State and the country are coming into Philadelphia before they get distributed to all their areas. So we hope that this bill will be a vehicle to get some dollars, to get some activity going to educate and to prevent the drug

problem that we have in the State of Pennsylvania, including Philadelphia.

So my efforts on this bill is to get money, enough money to fight the problem. So therefore, I assume and I will suggest to the DAs across the State instead of working against us work with us.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Ralph.
Representative Curtis Thomas.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, and guests here at this hearing. My name is W. Curtis Thomas. I'm State Representative from the 181st Legislative District, which is in Philadelphia County.

I come before you this afternoon to urge your strong support for House Bill 74. As Representative Acosta and the committee has made note, House Bill 12 was before this committee during last term. House Bill 12 was rejected, and following its rejection a group of people came together to try to take a look at where we go from here, and the end result was House Bill 74.

I would say that there's three basic components to House Bill 74. Number one, it provides

my community and your community with an opportunity to participate in a real way in drug prevention, drug education at the community level. I, like people throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, throughout this country, believe that demand reduction has got to be the key for dealing with this problem, that while the national and State government is dealing with interdiction and intervention, we Representatives of the people throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania have to focus in on demand reduction. And to that end, demand reduction and violence, providing young people, older people, with the will to say no and with the support to stand by, you know, it provides some real meaningful alternatives. It would take 40 percent of current forfeiture assets and use that 40 percent to provide community-based organizations with some real assistance in dealing with this war on drugs.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, the reason that House Bill 74 is extremely important is because I don't know whether the district attorneys, the guests, and members of this committee are aware of the fact that come June 30th of 1991, PennFree will be a moot issue. There will be no more of a PennFree program. As you know, PennFree was the Governor's comprehensive strategy to dealing with the problem of drugs in a holistic way throughout the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. During the PennFree cycle, there was over \$87,000 provided to community-based groups, not just in Philadelphia County but in Erie County and counties throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It provided communities, it provided town watch groups, it provided groups that were involved in community policing with some real assistance in dealing with the drug problem at the local level. But come June 30th, no more. There will be no PennFree dollars available for community groups.

gentlemen, just this last week I held a public hearing in Philadelphia on the question of PennFree impact if any, where do we go from here? And the testimony that I received was horrifying when we start taking a look at the treatment facilities, the support programs, and the kinds of initiatives that have been out there in the community, what will happen once those initiatives come to an end. I talked with the Philadelphia Police Department, I talked with the district attorney, and although the police department and many district attorneys will, because of their contractual situation, will benefit from PennFree up until 1992, and in some cases '93, but the community groups that have benefited from PennFree will not be able to benefit anymore, and some alternative needs to

be available. I say drug forfeiture, 40 percent of forfeiture assets is a good and viable alternative.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lastly, we, all of us in one way or another, have taken a stand on the drug problem. We have said that we have no tolerance for the distribution, sale, use, or casual use of drugs. ladies and gentlemen, let us be reminded of our own experiences and that experience being it is good to say no, but unless you have some real alternatives, just saying no is not enough. It is not enough. We need to provide some real alternatives. House Bill 74 will be focused that those groups out there that work with their local police district, that have town watch programs but can't get equipment, groups that work with the local police in providing information, in going to the streets and taking a stand against this problem, that really don't have the resources to work with, House Bill 74 would provide community groups with a viable resource.

Lastly, in reference to the mechanism,

House Bill 74 is not designed to just deal with

Philadelphia, but it's designed to help counties all

across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It provides

for a mechanism where all of the people who are

currently involved in dealing with this problem - the

courts, the district attorney, county commissioners, city councils, mayors, or commissioners of townships -House Bill 74 provides a mechanism where all of these people will participate in making that decision as to who would be the beneficiaries of these drug forfeiture So we won't have a situation where every community group that has a name will be able to come and say, hey, I want some of that money. No, House Bill 74 is not designed for that purpose. designed to provide a real alternative, and it puts in place a mechanism whereby that alternative can be addressed. And in Philadelphia County, a first-class county, there will be an 11-member council, and in other counties, counties would be free, at least those people who are dealing with the problem would be free to set up the kind of council that would best carry out the mandate of House Bill 74 in their particular county.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The bill specifically lays out what drug forfeiture assets can be used for. Drug education programs. You know that under PennFree, \$20 million were given to county school districts for drug education. Come July 1, those dollars will no longer be there. In Philadelphia County, Philadelphia County was able to use those dollars for support services for

families. I was just looking at a statistic the other day where in one of our counties over 10,000 cases of abuse and neglect was reported just last year. percent of those cases, drugs was at the heart of the problem. And we've got to provide school districts and provide community-based organizations with some help. So House Bill 74 would allow for drug education programs, it would allow for drug abuse prevention programs, it would allow for recreation centers, and that's activities that are coordinated between the local police district and community groups at a particular recreational facility. This is not to provide counties with dollars to maintain recreation It is only for relevant activities located at a recreation center. In countles of the second through eighth, it is possible that these dollars can be used for drug counseling and rehabilitation and can also be used for public education relating to the dangers of drug use.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in response to a lot of the committee's concerns when a hearing was held on House Bill 12 last term, we went to the drawing board and came up with a bill that I am confident that addressed many of the concerns that was raised and give us a real tool for assisting communities throughout the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

I have with me this morning letters of support from Councilwoman Marian B. Tasco from Philadelphia County. I also have letters from Councilman Angel Ortiz, Councilmember-At-Large, Philadelphia County. I will be forwarding to the committee letters from the law enforcement community. As you know, the district attorney from Philadelphia County is not here this afternoon because we are going through a transition right now where the Board of Judges are in the process of appointing a district attorney since the one of record is now running for public -- for higher office. So those kinds of letters will be forthcoming.

And lastly, on this whole question of PennFree and where do we go from here, we met with the Governor some weeks ago and we put drug forfeiture on the table as a viable option to help community groups once PennFree comes to a close, and the Governor indicated that he didn't have any fundamental problems with this as an option, and so I look forward to the Governor supporting this initiative as we go forward, but for right now I ask that each and every one of you take a stand for our children, for our communities, and for the future of Pennsylvania. I urge you to strongly

support House Bill 74. Thank you.

submitted.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: If I may,
Representative Thomas, on the letters, if you could
either give those to the court reporter or if you want
copies made that you can keep the originals, however
you want to work that.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: I have copies.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you.

(See Appendix for copies of the letters

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Acosta.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Mr. Chairman, I also have letters. Actually, Bill 12 is the same exact copy of Bill 74, with the exception that Bill 12 is the original 845 bill that was introduced two years ago. It's the same exact bill. I guess my colleague, Representative Thomas, just copied over the same thing that was in Bill 845 and is now in Bill 12, but as long as we get some help money wise in terms of doing something about drugs in our community and providing some dollars to establish some programs to educate and to prevent drugs I guess is not a matter of which bill passes as presented here. We just need money to keep fighting drugs in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: And, Mr.

Chairman, I should point out, Representative Acosta in one sense is correct because as I mentioned, 74 is a result of deliberations which took place when House Bill 845 was rejected. And the difference, the primary differences between House Bill 12 and House Bill 74 is, number one, House Bill 12 was focused in Philadelphia. House Bill 74 would deal with this problem statewide. So it is not limited to Philadelphia. Secondly, House Bill 12 provides no mechanism other than it speaks to within the Governor's jurisdiction should there be a mechanism for distribution of forfeiture assets. Bill 74 provides a clear mechanism at each county which allows county participation in the formulation of that mechanism for the distribution of drug forfeiture assets. And thirdly, House Bill 12 and House Bill 74 both speak to a myriad of activities that should be eligible for funding under the drug -- under this particular proposal.

And so, yes, there's no disagreement in concept. The only disagreement or delineation between 12 and 74 is who would be the beneficiary? Secondly, how would the distribution of assets occur? In 12 it's Philadelphia and somebody within the Governor's Office would have responsibility for distribution. Within the

context of 74 is statewide, and secondly, it provides for county participation in the formulation of these drug forfeiture councils.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Ralph, if you would like to also pass your letters over to the court reporter.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yes.

(See Appendix for copies of letters submitted.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll open it up now for questions from the committee members.

BY REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: (Of Rep. Thomas)

- Q. First of all, Representative Thomas, how was the figure of 40 percent/60 percent arrived at in both House Bills 12 and 74?
- A. Well, both figures, 40 percent was arrived at as a result of the discussions within Philadelphia County, within Harrisburg and through other parts of the State. It was felt that 40 percent was a reasonable amount of the total assets and although I don't have that figure before me, total drug forfeiture assets which are currently being seized. Especially in light of the fact and one of the things that was considered, and that is that when the drug

statute was first implemented, it was quite easy then 1 2 to seize the assets of drug dealers. It's my understanding that the seizure is no longer as easily 3 obtainable as it was in the beginning. The drug 5 dealers have become very sophisticated in being able to hide their assets and all, so that it was felt that 6 unless we requested an amount that would be a reasonable amount to really provide assistance to community groups, then it would be primarily a waste of 10 time.

- 0. Do you have any facts or figures that would demonstrate if this kind of procedure was in place, for example in Philadelphia County, how much money on a yearly basis that 40-percent figure would actually accrue to the benefit of these particular programs that you have cited here?
- No, I do not have any figures at this A. moment, but I will be providing the committee with such figures in the very near future.

REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Mr. Chairman, I could respond to that question.

> CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Surely.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Last year, 1990,

24

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

almost \$21 million were taken in by police officers and 1 the DEA, Federal enforcement. That was '90. '89, I 2 think we went to about \$18 million. 3 REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: Philadelphia 4 5 you're talking about? 6 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yes. 7 REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: Okay. Thank 8 you. REPRESENTATIVE CLARK: I have a few 9 10 observations and maybe some questions. 11 BY REPRESENTATIVE CLARK: (Of Rep. Thomas) 12 Q. Now, we in the General Assembly and in 13 the general public all knew that this PennFree money 14 was going to stop, that when that was funded that was 15 only a two-year project, is that a fair assessment? 16 A. I think it's fair to say that PennFree in 17 its initial design was a one-shot deal. 18 Q. Okav. 19 But I think even within the context of it A. 20 being a one-shot deal there was no one that thought 21 that in two years we could solve the magnitude of the 22 drug problem in Pennsylvania. 23 Well, I think that's right and when that Q. 24 program was set up we knew we couldn't solve that

problem in two years, and my impression was that we

25

were going to take the best of those programs that were developed and have those funded through private corporations, private sector, private communities, et cetera, and that the programs that were supported locally with local money would continue, the ones that weren't supported by the constituency wouldn't be continued, and that's sort of my observation. That may not be anyone else's.

б

Number two, I don't think that this forfeiture money is something that you can count on a set amount from year to year, and therefore, I don't think the community-based programs can set up a budget on reliance of forfeiture money to continue an expansion program, et cetera. That money comes, that money goes, and from year to year you don't know how much that is.

And number three, I personally feel that we ought to place the disbursement of this forfeiture money with one person, and that person is the district attorney. Because of the subject of whether there's a lot of money coming in one year, not so much the next, he can prioritize that to set his needs as he foresees them as the chief law enforcement officer in that county. And nothing, to my knowledge, would not allow a community-based group to go to the district attorney

1

2

3

Л

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

and ask for certain sums of money when he does receive a forfeiture. Is that correct?

A. Well, I don't know of a single situation in Philadelphia County where a community-based organization that works -- that is working with a local police district is able to request anything from these drug forfeiture assets. And I think that the reason it becomes extremely important that we in the legislature need to act is because this whole issue has been somewhat politicized to the extent that in a county where you have your district attorney is a member of one party and your other members of that county government is members of another party, then you have this back and forth thing on who should get what, and I think we need to take the politics out of it. problem of drugs facing our children is of such a magnitude that it need not be politicized by anyone.

Now, at current in terms of how dollars currently flow, they would continue to flow into the district attorney's office. Only within the context of House Bill 74 the district attorney would be directed to turn over 40 percent of those assets regardless of what the amount is. And the county forfeiture councils would have responsibilities for developing RFPs, receiving proposals, reviewing those proposals, and

making funding decisions based on the availability of dollars and based on the need that's in that particular county.

- Q. And my impression was maybe some years there would be not enough forfeiture money, it would be spread so wide that it would do no one, really, any good. That was one of my concerns.
- A. Well, that's correct, and I think that the councils would be in a position to address that.

about PennFree, I don't know of a private entity that has come on board yet and have said that I'm going to step in and help out where PennFree was there in '89 and '90. Now, that's not to say that it don't exist, but at least within my district and within Philadelphia County I don't know of any private entity that has even expressed a willingness to come forward.

Q. Well, we haven't gotten to that point yet. I know in my area we had radio awareness type programs, education programs in the school. I don't know what's going to happen if the radio station that put that on the last time would go out into the corporate sector or to the community-based organization sector and say, look, we had a good program last year, here are the results, here's how it was received, we

won't be able to do that this coming year without some financial help, and the same with educators may have to go out into the private sector, community-based groups included, and say, we had this program last year, it was well-received, we're not going to be able to do that this year without some of your help to see where it goes from there.

A. Okay, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Ralph.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yes, I have to -- I should have sat down with my colleague, Curtis and myself, because there was money last year placed in one of the organizations in our community. The problem is that the DA is giving just minimum amounts of dollars, and the problem is that one of the biggest problems that we face in our State and Philadelphia, of course, is the worst problem of all the counties, including Pittsburgh happens to be the next second class city. The DA puts some money out in my community, small amount of money, and our theory is that if we want to create programs that really will get into the heart of the problem and really get into those children that are now able to be saved, we got to get some program in place and we got to get some dollars to begin educating our kids. And we have programs in our community that

are now working towards that function except that again, like Thomas mentioned, PennFree is not going to be there available, so we need to get something in place for next year, hopefully before next year.

REPRESENTATIVE CLARK: And you're going to have a new district attorney down there also?

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: It may not be different--

REPRESENTATIVE CLARK: But he may be inclined to put more money into your community-based programs, if that's where the political problems are arising.

I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Dave.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I think that I have a couple of questions, but one point ought to be made in reference to Representative Acosta's reference to some statistics in terms of what seizures may have occurred in years past. My understanding, and I'll stand corrected if somebody has different information, is that these bills deal only with the money which comes to the district attorneys and that the district attorneys receive moneys only where the investigation and arrest that

gives rise to the forfeiture has been accomplished by the local law enforcement officials, you know, the Philadelphia Police Department in the case of Philadelphia, that if we're talking about the State Police, if we're talking about DEA or any of the Federal agencies, then the forfeiture moneys are going to go either to the State or Federal government and won't fall within the pool that would be affected by this legislation if it were enacted.

And that really leads me to the question, the underlying question I have about both of these proposals, and that is whether either of the sponsors have any information which suggests that we're winning the war on drugs and that we can afford to allocate less resources to our police and prosecutorial agencies who are presently charged with the responsibility of enforcing the laws?

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I'd like to respond, sir.

I don't think we're winning the war on drugs. If anything, the drugs are getting heavier in our communities, and unfortunately, drugs are spreading throughout our country. In fact, a couple of years ago if you recall an article that came out that Lancaster was one of the places that used to be safe from drugs

and in some areas of Lancaster they never felt or believed that drugs would have got to Lancaster, and there was 23, 33, I can't recall the exact number, individuals apprehended in Lancaster County, in fact with helicopters, bringing drugs into Lancaster County. So that tells you that drugs are all over the place, including in the most privately and quiet places in our State. So fighting the war is just getting weaker. The drug problem is getting heavier all the time.

I feel what we have to do is to create the programs that are necessary to educate and to prevent and probably get the type of vocational training that we need to throughout our country, specifically in the State of Pennsylvania, and probably could win the war on drugs. As it is now, the war on drugs is lost. I mean, we don't know what to do. The more people we apprehend, the more new dealers that come on board, the more drugs all over the place, the more new kinds of drugs coming into our country, so we are not winning the war on drugs. We have to come up with ideas and come up with projects to fight drugs.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: If I can answer a couple parts to your question.

Number one, you're absolutely correct, and that's one of the reasons why I didn't give any

figures on what was seized in '90 or what has been seized in '91, simply because we're only talking about those forfeiture assets that county district attorneys would have jurisdiction over. We're not talking about all forfeiture assets.

Secondly, whether or not we're winning the war on drugs, I am cautiously optimistic. I believe that if we can sustain the holistic approach that we have put in place here in Pennsylvania, then we can turn the corner at some point. Whether we're winning it now, if you go out to the communities throughout Pennsylvania, the perception is no. But I think that that perception can turn around if we can sustain our commitment to deal with this problem through all available resources, and I think that for '91 and beyond the focus has to be demand reduction. We have to take away the appetite from even wanting to deal with drugs.

I am reminded of when during my years of coming up there were a whole lot of things going on in my community, but I was raised in such a way and provided with the kinds of support which basically said, regardless of what they're doing, you don't have to do that. You can go to school, you can do better, you can achieve what you want to achieve. There's so

many young people out there now today that is torn with feelings of hopelessness and really have nothing to hold on to but their false perceptions of that drug dealer standing on the corner or that drug house down the street from them.

So in conclusion, I think we can win the war if we sustain our commitment and if we provide our commitment with the wealth of resources that's available to us.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: So it would be fair to say that you're consciously advocating shifting money that's presently going into enforcement away from enforcement towards demand reduction?

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: No, I'm not going to get caught in that one. I think that there's a balance that can be struck, and I'll use as an example, some of our dollars from '89 through now have been used for law enforcement task forces and to support local police districts. And testimony that I received at this public hearing last Friday clearly indicated that at least in Philadelphia County some of their dollars have been used to secure state-of-the-art equipment so that they can maximize their efforts on surveillance and maximize their efforts on interdiction. Now, they made it very clear that once their contract runs out in

'92, that equipment has a lifespan that does not necessarily require that they be refunded again in '93 or be refunded in '94 for that same purpose. So I said to you that probably the best thing for us to do is to strike a balance. I don't want to deprive the law enforcement community of the resources that they need, but at the same token I'd like for us to focus more attention on demand reduction rather than supply side.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Well, I mean, I'm not trying to ask any trick questions. What I hear from my local DA and from the DAs across the State is that all of this money is being used very effectively for traditional drug law enforcement in terms of, you know investigation, arrests, prosecution, and that they need all of that money and while nobody argues that we shouldn't, one of our emphasis in terms of this body and what we do in the General Assembly shouldn't be prevention and education, that nobody is telling me that, well, sure, we've got a bunch of money to spare from these forfeited funds and we'd be happy to see it go to other things. So that to the extent that we're talking about a limited, finite source of money, we're making choices, and by this legislation we'd be taking money at least in Bucks County that is presently being used to investigate and make arrests and put drug

dealers in jail and channel them into the treatment community with the DA not being happy with that and being deprived of resources that he says he needs. And, you know, to the extent that we're consciously making that choice, that's just everybody's thoughts, but I wanted to get that out and clear.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: And let me say, I think that in Pennsylvania the jury is now in. that when Pennsylvania consented to PennFree, the PennFree model, which came as a result of the Governor going to every section of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and saying to our constituents, where should we go in Pennsylvania in dealing with this problem? And the cries from one end of the State to the other were common cries. Number one, we can't just concentrate on law enforcement without giving some attention to education, without giving some attention to prevention, without giving some attention to developing some long-term solutions to dealing with this problem. So it was the holistic approach, and I think when we look back at that 90 or more million that was spent in PennFree, we will find that because we heard the cries of our constituents, we did well. so I think that, and I guess that's one of the reasons why House Bill 12 or House Bill 74 is here, because I

don't think the district attorneys should have access to 100 percent of those assets when there is a real need out there for community groups to deal with some alternatives to this problem.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I'd like to respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we would have had any good results with all the dollars that the DA's office has taken in over the years, we would not have today the problem of the jails being jammed up in a way that they cannot take any more people in. I am not saying that the DA's office and the police department are not doing the best job there is, but the crime has to be looked into from different ways and different levels. At the community level, who would know better and best than the people that live next to a Crack house or next to a dope pusher or next to a person that comes in day and night to pick up his drugs? The DA would not be aware of it unless community people would make a phone call to tell them, here is drugs, come and get them. And they have to go through a process probably of a month, maybe two, three months before they could make sure that this individual that we are accusing is doing drugs.

Community group has immediate access to kids that the parents are involved in drugs, kids that

have to get up in the morning by themselves on their own, walk to school sometimes with no breakfast because their parents are overdosed 24 hours a day. could do something right then and there at the beginning of the problem. The DA would come in later on to apprehend adults if they could catch them. of the time they don't catch them. They always get the corner kid that is selling the drugs, but the guy that supplied the drugs is never visible. So, you know, we, the community groups, have access to all that but we need those dollars to work with. And if the DA keeps all the money, and right here what I see happening is, and we have to get legislation to tell the DA, we want to work with you but we need some dollars to work with In the past the DA is saying, let me keep all the money that comes in, we'll determine how to spend it, and we don't see any results.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Well, you know,
I think that kind of delineates where we're coming
from. If you want to put in, let me just say from my
point, if you want to put in a bill that allocates a
General Fund appropriation to do the kinds of things
you're talking about, and I think the addition in House
Bill 74 of the county council and, you know, some of
that approach, while it may be an auditing function

added is, you know, is a step in the right direction, you've got my vote. In terms of taking it away from a venue in which it's already been demonstrated to be effective, I have problems with that.

Thank you.

\*\*\*\*

(Whereupon, Representative Birmelin assumed the Chair.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Go ahead.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr.

Speaker.

I apologize for coming in late and I apologize for missing some of what you gentlemen had to say.

Representative Acosta, I heard at the end of your remarks that you're concerned about community groups and the information that they can supply to district attorneys for prosecution, and I agree that they are a valuable source of information, but I feel in the city of Philadelphia at this point there's probably more information available on who's selling drugs than there is an ability to prosecute or jail them.

I also think that as I stated on the floor when Representative Kosinski offered a similar amendment at the end of last session that it's very

important that these moneys stay in the control of the district attorneys for law enforcement purposes, and I agree with the Representative when he said that he would support a General Fund appropriation. I believe that the moneys that were distributed through the PennFree program, maybe we could look to enhancing that appropriation and distributing the moneys in that way.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that when, Representative Acosta, you talk about information that's important for prosecutions, I think that that information has to be protected in the following way: There are witnesses that come forth from these same community groups. There is also witnesses who are involved in selling I remember a story in Philadelphia where a 16-year-old boy was selling Crack and he was shot by his supplier because after he sold the drugs he didn't have the money to pay for the drugs and he couldn't go home because his mother would have turned her own son over to the same supplier that shot him, so he got into the witness protection program, which is paid out of these very moneys that the district attorney controls, he got his GED, went into the Army, and he's hopefully going to become a productive citizen.

There are numerous other stories where the district attorney's office uses these moneys for

the same kind or similar --

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Can I ask you a question, if you could just -- do the district attorney have any mechanism to pick up young people off the street, kids off the street and help them in training and give them education and give them vocational training and give them direction? Do they have such programs in place?

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Representative Acosta, I would say that when you're talking about the education and all that, there are different other areas. PennFree is an area where we can enhance the education process, but there is another very important issue that was existing in the city of Philadelphia where the police commissioner takes the moneys down to DEA and none of those moneys are available to community groups. And if this legislation were to pass, all those moneys would be taken from the police commissioner in the city of Philadelphia right down to DEA. There wouldn't be a dime available for any community groups, and I understand that a lot of the community groups benefit right now from moneys that the district attorneys throughout the Commonwealth control. But I do really believe that you're going to kill the goose that laid the golden egg--

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: You did not answer my question.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: --if you take these moneys and you started disbursing those for education and for other purposes. I think that the fight against drugs is an issue that we have to look at as a legislature, and the education provisions and the other provisions that you're concerned about and we're concerned about should be addressed either in the PennFree program or by an increased appropriation out of the General Fund. I don't agree. I mean, I don't disagree with your approach, I just disagree with the funding source.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Can I just tell my colleague, and we're friends.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Yeah, sure.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I would like to have you live in my community. I will keep you in my house a week or two and you'll see for yourself and then you'll make a complete U-turn to agree with us.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Ralph, let me tell you one thing. I think we can agree 100 percent that there is a major drug problem in the city of Philadelphia, and I can get into a myriad of reasons why that problem has become more difficult to deal

with, and I think the biggest reason that we have an increase in drug crime and car thefts and everything else is because our jails are closed, and I don't know that this is the forum to get into that, but when Wilson Goode and company and the City Solicitor's Office closed the jails in the city of Philadelphia by establishing an artificial prison cap, that sent a word out that everybody on the east coast should deal drugs in Philadelphia because there's no pretrial detention. Now, if you really want to address that problem, then we've got to do something about that. We've got to open the jails. Somebody's got to explain why they arrived at a 3,600 prison cap.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: The jails, you can't fit any more people in the jails.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: But we can get into a whole -- you know, we can open this up and go down the road for a long way, but if we just keep it to the issue at hand, I can tell you that I support moneys for education, but we have a difference in philosophy on where those moneys should come from.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Two things.

Number one, PennFree is over come June 30th, so that

\$20 million that the school district and schools in our
legislative district have been receiving for drug

education and family support programs will no longer be there. So we have to find an option to that.

б

Secondly, House Bill 74 at least does not take the district attorney out of the decisionmaking process. All it does is just say that with this drug forfeiture council, the district attorney will have an opportunity to appoint three people, the mayor will appoint three people, council will appoint three people, and the President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas will appoint two people. These are all the people that are dealing with that problem on a day-to-day basis. So within the context of 74, the district attorney will not be out of having an opportunity to have input on how these dollars are distributed.

I think the bottom line concern is that, and you know very well with community groups in your district that work with local police, we have reached a point where community groups are basically saying, stop using me. You want me to provide you with information, you want me to walk with you, you want me to support you, but we need to give these kids real, meaningful alternatives. We need to go beyond just saying no. We need to provide them with some meaningful options. And so what it comes down to, community groups are saying

to local police districts, you want me to work with you, I want to work with you, but I need help. And all we want you to do is to share and when there are limited resources available, we have to go with where we have some access. And with PennFree coming to an end, drug forfeiture I think is a reasonable way of community groups, district attorneys, local police, continuing their partnership in dealing with the war on drugs.

б

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Representative Thomas, I think that everybody in the legislature understands that we're the ones that make the decisions, in large part, on where the moneys are appropriated in the upcoming budget session, so I think if PennFree is no longer the vehicle, we could find a suitable vehicle to provide those moneys, because I think there is a general consensus that we feel those moneys are needed. However, I think we also know how important motivation is, and if you're going to strip the incentive for the district attorneys and the law enforcement officers to create this pool of money, then I think we're killing the goose that lays the golden egg.

And again, I would just like to reiterate that there are other things in the Philadelphia area

that would really aid. I know, I've met with community groups inside my district and outside my district and I know that they feel victimized, that they feel that when they turn over information they want immediate results, they want these drug dealers removed from their community, and then after they turn over the information within three hours that guy is right back on the same corner dealing drugs but he's more arrogant now and he's more dangerous to the police officers who are out there with the responsibility of arresting him, he's more dangerous and intimidating to those same community groups that are trying to help law enforcement in the prosecution and the arrest of these felons. But that's a problem that has to be addressed by pretrial detention. If you're really going to do something about this problem in Philadelphia, you have to have pretrial detention, and we don't have that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Well, I think that that's probably good on the law enforcement side, but I guess what I'm advocating this morning is demand reduction. I guess what I'm saying is that that support program that's in George Washington High School, that support program that's in William Penn High School, that mechanism available for -- before you came in I mentioned last year we had over 10,000 cases

of neglect and abuse just in Philadelphia County, of which 80 percent was drug-related. And I think that the hour is here for us to provide community groups, I think that we have to stop saying to people, we want you to keep on working but you don't need any resources. I think we've got to provide community groups with an opportunity to become real partners in dealing with this problem. And all House Bill 74 would do is just bring everybody to the table and say collectively, let's deal with the kinds of things that work and those things that don't work and have available resources to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you. I would just like to just read a list of things, I don't know if this has been done, and again, I apologize to the members of the committee, of the items that the drug forfeiture moneys are used for: Salaries for forfeiture detectives and assistant DAs, overtime for narcotics undercover enforcement, computers, surveillance equipment, buy money, informant payments, witness protection expenses, a very important tool in the fight against drugs for in the city of Pennsylvania, for relocation, for room and board for protection detectives, overtime salaries for police in high drug areas, computer equipment for enforcement,

drug labs, guns and ammunition, support and maintenance of forfeited undercover vehicles, cameras, surveillance, drug testing kits.

And again, I'm not going to take up any more time. I would just like to say, I agree with your purpose but I also firmly believe that we should not touch the drug forfeiture moneys. I don't think there's as big a pool as everybody out there in Pennsylvania thinks there is. I think these moneys are being utilized very efficiently, effectively at this point, and if we want to find moneys, then it's our job as legislators to support a general appropriation.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I would like to say to you, even if we take the overtime dollars away from being paid, overtime alone, that would be more than 40 percent of the money that is taken in on forfeiture dollars. With 40 percent, we could do much more in our communities throughout the city than you can do with overtime out there.

(Whereupon, Chairman Caltagirone resumed the Chair.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Jerry Kosinski.

REPRESENTATIVE KOSINSKI: Just a comment to Representative O'Brien. Nothing that you mentioned would be changed by this bill. We could still have

both.

And second, along with Representative O'Brien and Representative Heckler, if you're going to support a General Fund appropriation for the PennFree appropriation, we'll be waiting for your tax votes in June.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: You can wait.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Ken.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: For both Representatives Acosta and Thomas, I want to reiterate a point some of the colleagues made, other than Jerry right now.

I spent 19 years in law enforcement and I retired to take this job in December, 9 years was in the drug field, so, you know, I don't want to argue with you two gentlemen in regard to drugs, or anybody in this room, because I was there. Since I've been gone, three policemen have been shot because the drugs is so bad in little Erie, in the third largest city. And one was fatally wounded, the others got shot in the chest, for a guy who's been arrested three times. He's still out there. I don't believe there's enough money now being seized to support all the money we need for law enforcement. So I just wanted to make that point so that you guys feel at ease when you see me in the

hall that I'm not the bad guy, that I can understand your point. The interdiction program is great and you've got to start in third grade at least today, and you know the funds just aren't there, the dwindling funds. We're losing this war. We have been. You know, you're looking at a \$50 billion industry alone in the coke business. So, you know, there's so much wealth out there that we have to take their money away. How it's disbursed right now with law enforcement, I agree to that now because I don't see anything better.

pust share a couple things. Number one, law enforcement, the law enforcement community has not been shortchanged at the national, the State, or local level with respect to dollars and resources. They might not have been able to get all that they wanted, but they have not had to take the same cuts that health and human services are having to take. You know, right now we're wrestling with a proposed budget cut of \$731 million, of which 43 percent is health and human services. There are no cuts in there for law enforcement. I think the law enforcement is getting its fair share and will continue to get its fair share.

I think that what we have to do at this point is give more attention to demand reduction.

Demand reduction and providing community groups with real support to deal with that problem out there are because they're the ones that are on the front line. The schools are on the front line. And so I don't think that we would be hurting the law enforcement community and I think that it would be wrong for us to say that the law enforcement community is going to get shortchanged or is going to be denied in some way or another under the aegis of House Bill 12 or House Bill 74. All we're saying, at least all that I'm saying, and that is that it is time for us to give real meaning to partnership. If there is a partnership between the law enforcement community and communities, that communities need help like we provide law enforcement communities with help. And I don't think that we can do it through a general appropriation. To date, we have not been able to do it. I mean, do you realize that but for the tax proposal failing there would not have been a PennFree?

1

2

3

4

5

6١

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we have not, you know, had the same kinds of willingness to provide community-based groups with the kind of support that they need that we have provided the law enforcement community with, and I think we need both. I think if nothing else, we can all agree that a holistic approach is necessary, that

you can't just talk about lock 'em up, throw the key away, without also talking about educating your child and my child to not only saying no to drugs but also providing them with meaningful alternatives to withstand all of that pressure that's out there.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Mr. Chairman, all of us, and we read it through the papers, we listen to television, all of us throughout this country, we all talk about helping our children, doing something for the children, educating the children, and here I hear you guys telling me that you cannot afford 40 percent of money that is out there in the street, that is not money that comes from government, that is not money that comes from government, that is not money that comes from any other place except for buys, drugs, that are killing our children, you're telling me that you cannot afford to put a program together with communities to save our children? I think we are contradicting ourselves when we say that.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Representative
Thomas, I would just like to again just make one
additional comment. Something that concerns me greatly
in the city of Philadelphia, and when you say that law
enforcement is getting all the dollars that they need,
I don't think that's obvious at all in the city of
Philadelphia. I think all you have to do is look at

the last couple of graduating classes of Philadelphia police officers. They can't even buy them uniforms, they can't buy them weapons. Any time that the city gets into a fiscal crunch, the two areas that they hit are the district attorney's office to lay off prosecutors and the police department to lay off cops.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if you're really serious about doing something, I don't see that in the city of Philadelphia. I see there being one big political game being played down there, and I agree that the community groups are being victimized. They are being told to go out and fight a war against drugs, but the same elected officials, the same administration that's talking about this and sending those communities groups to the front lines is not committing the dollars for the law enforcement community to do anything about it in any way, shape, or form. So I don't think that law enforcement is getting the kind of support that they need. And I'm concerned more as a Philadelphian than I would be about anybody else in the State. I just know what's happening in my county. And I know that these dollars are probably the only lifeline to successful law enforcement prosecution and arrest in the city of Philadelphia right now. And right now the administration, the police commissioner, is taking

those dollars, whatever way they can, they're running down to DEA. Those moneys, sure, they come back into the police department, but then they back it out of the bottom of the budget and throw it into their General Fund.

So I think that the issue here is that these moneys are being used effectively. I don't think there's anybody that can question the effectiveness of these dollars. They are being used very efficiently, and if somebody could say that these dollars are being wasted, then I could say -- or that if somebody could demonstrate to me that there were sufficient dollars out there for law enforcement, then maybe I'd change my mind. I'm not saying that the dollars that you're looking for aren't important, but I'm telling you right now that these dollars are the only lifeline for law enforcement in the city of Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Let me say that, and I'll just use one statistic. Now, right now the district attorney has total access to--

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: He doesn't have total, Curtis.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Well--

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Because like I said, right now the police commissioner is running down

to DEA, so that process is being circumvented now.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Well, the district attorney has access to those forfeiture assets which are seized within the county of Philadelphia by Philadelphia police. Now, the Philadelphia police commissioner has access to Federal forfeiture dollars, and that's where we have a problem. But in any event, let me say that last year in Philadelphia, and since you mentioned Philadelphia, there was, what, 501 murders, of which, if I'm not mistaken, over 70 percent involved juveniles. Okay? And that statistic, while the numbers are high, is not endemic to what's going on all across this country in communities of all shape and form when we look at what's happening to young people.

and I just think that law enforcement is good for us to give law enforcement the resources that they need, but we got to shift the gear a little bit.

Under PennFree, over \$87,000 was put into community-based groups. So we have done a part of what we need to do. But we're also saying come June 30th, we can't leave those community groups hanging out there, and what we're saying is demand reduction has to be the order of the day for '91 and for beyond.

Because if you feel good about who you are and if you have the right kinds of support systems, no matter how

much drugs come into this room, you will not touch, use, distribute, or do anything else with those drugs.

your community, ones that have the after-school programs, the ones that have the child care programs, the ones that have the intergenerational programs, the ones that have the intergenerational programs, the ones that have the basketball teams, the football teams, the hockey teams, the soccer teams, those are the ones that are really making the difference. And we can't continue to ask those people to keep trying to do it without some help. Because as long as a child is in an after-school program or as long as a child is in a program that is building strong values, that's building strong support systems, then we will never have to worry about that child with an M-16 in his or her hands or worry about that child dead on a corner from an overdose of Crack cocaine.

I am saying that through the forfeiture assets, and we don't have any other mechanism that's available to us now. This is the only option that I've seen. The Speaker has supported forfeiture assets, providing some percentage of forfeiture assets to community-based groups. The Governor has showed some receptivity to it. The members of the General Assembly, both sides of the aisle, have showed some

receptivity to it, and I think the only question before us today is when and under what circumstances? We have an opportunity today to move forward on the concept and then work together in providing a mechanism as to how the concept can be implemented.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Representative Thomas, when you talked about these moneys just being Federal moneys, I can tell you just from what I've heard in the city of Philadelphia, there are cases that are ongoing that are being prosecuted by the district attorney and evidence from that trial is being taken down to DEA and surrendered because they need the money. So there is competition down there right now for all kinds of money. And when you're talking about education, I agree with the importance of education but I think all values are being warped and perverted at this point because you take a kid who's standing on a corner, doesn't even have to get involved in the transaction itself, he's going to get paid several hundred dollars just for standing on a corner and being a lookout in case a police car comes down the street. How do you teach that kid values? You got to get those guys off of that corner and you have to instill in that community faith in law enforcement that when they turn the kind of information that Representative Acosta is

talking about over the law enforcement, that something is done about it so these guys don't come back three hours later and threaten the very lives of those people in that community that are putting themselves on the front line. And I'm telling you right now, this is the only way that law enforcement is being funded at this time, the only way that the drug fight in Philadelphia is being funded, because everybody else is turning their back.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Representative Kenney, we'd like to stop that kid that is paid \$300 per hour or per day to be on the corner before he gets to the corner so we could get him into a program, we could get him into some educational place and teach him what his drugs are doing to everybody, not only to the community of Philadelphia but to the whole world. And we know, for example, groups that we have in the city right now, we go out on Friday nights on vigils, and one of these nights I'm going to invite you to be there with us.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I'll come down.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: And you'll see it for yourself. And you then can speak with more authority as to what it is that we could do to prevent some of these kids from being on the corner.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Open the jails, Ralph.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Because right now, you're giving us everything that you read in the magazine there about how they could use the dollars. We could use the dollars probably better than the police department could use the dollars, and I know that for a fact because I go out there on Fridays on a vigil, on rainy days, on cold days, and we see exactly what we have to do.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I went, I think it was last year or two years ago, when Hardy Williams had a drug summit and he had it down at the Hershey Hotel, and there were people from community groups standing there and they were complaining that they turn information over and three hours later they see the same drug dealers back on the street. They had no knowledge that--

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: We're talking about kids now. We're not talking about grown pushers, big time pushers. We're talking about children.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Well, let me tell you something. Let me tell you something, Ralph. Who do you think is selling the drugs in the city of Philadelphia? The big drug pushers are using kids,

1	kids that judges will not put behind bars because of
2	their age.
3	REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: We've got to
4	traın those kids.
5	REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: But let me tell
6	you
7	REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, 1f
8	I may.
9	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We're getting a
10	little carried away.
11	REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: The hour is
12	getting late and we have a lot of people here this
13	afternoon
14	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes. Yes, we do.
15	REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS:that want to
16	provide testimony. Representative Kenney's comments
17	are well taken
18	REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: O'Brien.
19	REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: O'Brien. And the
20	record will so reflect that, and I ask that we move
21	forward.
22	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes, I'd
23	appreciate that. I know that
24	REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: I just had one
25	brief question.

The \$87,000 that you talked about, were 1 2 they PennFree funds for the whole State or just for the city of Philadelphia that went to community groups? 3 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: That went to community groups all across the State. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: Do you have any 7 idea how much of that \$87,000 Philadelphia got? 8 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: From what I 9 understand, very little, unfortunately. Most of it 10 went to the other counties. 11 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Is it a truce? 12 REPRESENTATIVE GRUITZA: \$87,000, that doesn't seem like a very large amount. 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Oh. it's not 15 because the bulk of PennFree went into treatment and 16 law enforcement. 17 REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: Where are the 18 moneys coming for these community groups that are 19 started now, because it didn't come from PennFree? 20 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Nowhere. 21 Nowhere. Most communities right now are providing, I 22 think, the most awesome indication of volunteerism that 23 you'd ever want to see. I think that we're just at a 24 point now where we have to do more than just say to

them, keep on doing what you're doing. We've got to

25

give them some support so that they can keep on doing what they're doing.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Gentlemen, thank you very much for your testimony.

I'd like to speed things up just a little bit. If I could have Fred Ramirez and Stephen Pina come up together and we'll have you testify.

And for the benefit of the members, we do have a tour coming up at the Philadelphia Family Court this Thursday at 10:00 a.m. It does not have to be public notice because it's just a tour and it's not a formal committee function as such as far as a hearing or a committee meeting, it's just a tour, and as far as I understand, it doesn't have to be sunsetted.

If you would just like to introduce yourselves for the record. Fred, you can go first, and Steve, you could go second, and then we'll open it up for questions.

MR. RAMIREZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name is Fred Ramirez.

I'm the Regional Director of the Department of Puerto Rican Community Affairs, which is an offshoot of the government of Puerto Rico, and I'm housed in Philadelphia.

MR. PINA: And I'm Stephen A. Pina. I'm the Executive Director for the drug and alcohol recovery program in Philadelphia known as One Day at a Time. We have been in existence for eight years. We're a self-supporting program, and we truly believe that the need for this particular legislation or similar legislation is very important to the work that can be done throughout the recovery community, which is a little bit different from what tends to be presented in most testimony.

MR. RAMIRE2: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, I am certainly not here in the capacity this afternoon of an anti-drug war expert or certainly as a member of these grassroots community organizations doing that, But I was so interested in the concept of both these bills here that I did want to take the opportunity to share my thoughts with you based and having been borne of the fact that I do work with the nonprofit organizations that are fighting this war, and for the sake of brevity and what we've heard here this afternoon, I intend not to be repetitive of the facts and the figures that we heard here this afternoon.

But I do want to share with you that it is highly ironic that the perspective that we have from our law enforcement perspective on the use of drug

1

2

3

\*

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forfeiture dollars is very different from the same perspective when it comes to nonprofit organizations that are fighting this war, and literally it is a war.

Just last week, two organizations go to my office not precisely to seek help in what they're doing but precisely to deal with something that an earlier member had made comments earlier here this afternoon, which is how do I help them identify funding from the private sector in order to carry this out? And that is a role that my office does carry out most of the times for these nonprofit organizations, and indeed I'll share with you that it becomes increasingly more difficult to do so. When I have organizations come to my office such as CUNAD, Community United Neighbors Against Drugs, that cannot buy approximately 200 t-shirts for the school children to go to these anti-drug vigils and marches, it worries me. concerns me when I'm the fellow that has to identify funding to where to get the sandwiches for these kids to hold their anti-drug messages and their teachers and their parents and the heads of these nonprofit organizations.

It's highly ironic and it really concerns me when we have an organization, we have a place, a house like the Thomas Akins House in Pennsylvania, the

renowned Pennsylvania artist, whose house is used primarily as a cultural and recreational activities in a drug-infested neighborhood that has to close for a lack of funding, that the director of that agency in that house has to come to my office to identify the funding that cannot only keep the programs in place that benefit 200 children from those neighborhoods, 200 children from those neighborhoods, but to rehab those properties, and that does concern me. It concerns me when the focus is one entirely on law enforcement as opposed to strengthening the social infrastructure, if you will, that will enable these children to stay away from this major problem.

To comment on earlier comment here, yes, there is a drug war. I believe we're losing it, especially those of us like I do who live in those neighborhoods. It is one thing evening after evening to go to your house and see the direct results of this war on drugs that we, I believe, are losing.

And I'll share with you something that was said for those of us who look at and focus on this problem from a strictly law enforcement perspective. It jarred in my memory that just last week a captain like Captain Lorenzo of our police station had to say, I can lock them up every hour on the hour but if the

family doesn't help, and if the social infrastructure isn't there and these organizations help me, I cannot keep them off there. And it just rang a bell in me because it precisely was something that was said earlier here this afternoon. So we have one concept, two perspectives on the same concept. The law enforcement perspective, and the perspective of upholding and strengthening the social infrastructure that hopefully will lead to us to keep combating this problem.

I note to the members of the committee that what we're talking about here is basically refocusing 40 percent of those funds, keeping it within the domain of the district attorney's offices. So we're not doing anything, we're not jarring or doing anything extravagant with the system that we have in place right now. At least it does not seem to me that way.

So I simply urge the members of the committee that I think, I, for one, like these bills. I think this has to be considered. I certainly think that we err by keeping the status quo. I think that is evident that by continuing on the path of the status quo probably the system is not in place, so I think we have a victory even in looking at the concept of what

we have now. Something that is currently going on, because my understanding is that the city controller of the city is also looking at -- in the case of the city of Philadelphia -- is precisely also looking at this question and coming up with some numbers of a question that was headed earlier today, how effective is this money being used by strictly law enforcement? So I think it's a very timely question.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. Stephen.

MR. PINA: Fred, thank you.

I guess where I am with this is that there will never be enough money. I think one of the things we had to accept last year in Philadelphia was that if we had 500 community groups last year that were trying to survive on a shoestring budget, if any budget at all, we probably could have done a lot better if two of them would have gone out of business because they were all competing for the same dollars.

What we've been trying to implement in Philadelphia is a lot of those community groups pool their resources, extend their boundaries, tie those boundaries together and what we have are larger communities. To one extent if we get that, we get more communities coming together to work together.

Unfortunately, we're taking less dollars and trying to stretch them further. If somebody could probably understand that we really are a part of the State of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia County. I am not a politician. I just only know what I read.

I am a little old boy from Montgomery
County, Pottstown, and one of the ironies or one of the
nice things about being from Pottstown was that we had
townships around us that felt like they were part of
the community. So when we had football games or
basketball games or community projects, we all came
together and did a lot of the things together.

I was in Pottstown not too long ago and was rather sad of what I saw on the corner of Walnut and Washington. Two of my young cousins, and I'd like to think that they had the same background I had, were undoubtedly selling drugs. And there must have been about 19 or 20 other children. It almost reminded me of riding through north Philadelphia and seeing what goes on in those corners, those little pockets in north Philadelphia.

Communities like Pottstown and Royersford and Boyerstown and those little places, Owen J.

Roberts, they don't have the same type of community structures that we have. These are people who are busy

just trying to make it and they generally can pretend the problem doesn't really exist. We can't pretend in Philadelphia. We've had to accept over the last few years that we're almost fighting this battle by I'm not happy to know that all the ourselves. forfeiture dollars, a significant portion of the forfeiture dollars that come out of Philadelphia are coming directly out of the poor communities that we're so busy trying to fight the problem in. The sad part about it is that the moneys leave and they never come They're not being spent at the local grocery back. store, they're not being spent at the local clothing store. They're coming to the DAs office, they're coming to Harrisburg, they're going to Washington. We will never realize these moneys again in those communities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've got to find a way to help recycle some of those dollars back into our neighborhoods. You have no idea how devastating the impact is on our community economies. Forget about the city in general. Forget about it. There are certain areas of the city that will survive regardless of what goes on. We are literally looking at moneys that should be used for rent being spent on drugs. We are looking at housing fall apart because people aren't paying their bills.

We're looking at communities which were once proud, where people came out and swept their sidewalks and their front steps, just turning into total decay. We have no economy in some of those communities.

Ladies and gentlemen, you're correct, they're going out of the community to be used in an incredible type of formula structure that makes very little sense to a guy such as myself who is about the business of taking people who want drug and alcohol recovery and trying to give them a new way of life without asking the State for a dime, not one penny, nor the Federal government. We're talking about self-supporting programs. We have a lot of fellowships in the city of Philadelphia doing work and we can't ask the government or anybody for money for fear that if you do give it to us, we'll have the same problem that the PennFree focus is about - the moneys will dissipate and we'll be strung out there. So we choose to do it without asking you for any money.

I don't think it's really fair. I think a system that can spend \$2,000 to \$4,000, \$5,000 a week to try to detox somebody and keep hospitals open, and in many instances that's what we're doing, you know, might want to look at the incredible recidivism rate for those dollars. My family alone three years ago

spent \$20,000 of our hard-earned money because we thought the way to take care of a family member that was strung out on drugs was to send her to a very overly priced rehab center in Princeton, New Jersey. We found we could have just as easily done it in Pennsylvania, but we sent her to New Jersey. Within two weeks, and I'm not talking insurance money, I'm talking about hard-earned money that was banked, nest egg money, within two weeks she went back out on us.

This problem isn't political anymore. It really isn't. I don't think it's about the mayor of Philadelphia any more than I think it's about the mayor of Erie, Pennsylvania. It's about finding a way to put peoples' lives back together, to put whole communities back the way they used to be. That pride doesn't exist much anymore. It's just not there.

I live in an overly priced section of Philadelphia and unfortunately when my washing machine broke down we went to the complex washroom. My wife and I are presently moving right now because we could not believe somebody that could afford to pay that kind of rent would sit up in a laundry room and smoke Crack. Well, the evidence was all over the place. I'm not proud to talk about that. But I'll tell you what, I don't think it needs to be a secret anymore. And if

it's going on in my community, which is right in center city, I know it's going on everywhere. I can afford to move. There are a lot of people that can't.

I can afford to get a person off of drugs without a burden to the State. I can do that. But I can only take care of X amount of people while I'm doing it. All I need is a house. I don't need much but to fix up an old house. It costs money. Where do I get the money to do it? And how do I take Representative O'Brien's suggestion in keeping people out of prisons if I don't have places to put them when they come out of the rehab centers or the detoxification centers? Somewhere along the line we've got to think of this thing as though we're a team and not look at it in terms of we're sacrificing something on the backs of somebody else.

Philadelphia was good to me when I came to it. Philadelphia was very good. Pottstown was very good also. I'd like to give something back to both communities, and that's being able to ask you to look at everything that's going on in the State as though it's one big family problem. You know, my mom and dad used to argue, at some point they'd get together, I would have to imagine, in the later part of the evening and they'd sort of work out whatever problems they were

having, and for us kids it made our mornings a little better.

The gentleman talked about the three police officers killed. I spent about six years of my life helping to encourage and work towards having a strong Black fraternal police organization called the Guardian Civic League, and it is very painful to watch and know that these people put their lives on the line as other police officers do. I've lost some very good friends of mine. But the sad part about it is that we can talk in terms of three police officers, but what about the tens of children that are maimed through the same type of violence, through the guns, through the knives, through the erratic behavior of the drug problems that the communities are confronted with?

time. And I didn't come here to sell my program. I came here to talk about Pennsylvania trying to be a family, that we need to look at this as though we're all in the same house, that if my house falls, yours is going to fall. I'm telling you, I live in an over-priced neighborhood. I know what's going on. But I also don't have to go that far to find out how bad it is in neighborhoods that can't afford to move. It gets worse. Our economies are not where they should be.

They really aren't. And I personally would like to see all the drug pushers sent out on a boat somewhere and just let loose and drift wherever they have to go.

They're not going to go away that way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PennFree is dead. Forfeiture is alive.

The drug dealer wins most instances. I don't know what a State does that has the budget problems that we have, but I believe I hear some very sincere, some very sincere comments coming from people.

I just want to say that, and I'm going to close, that one of the fellows that came to my program and asked us for help, and we were truly overwhelmed with people who need help, went to a party in the northeast last week and he was very impressed with the section of the northeast he was in. He described the house as something out of a storybook. There was about 40 young people there and it was, for all intents and purposes, a drug party and an orgy. HIV-positive. The bottom line on this is that there were a number of young ladies that walked away infected with the HIV virus that have no idea that this boy was He never told him, nor was that his infected. He enjoyed all the drugs, and he stayed intention. there 16 hours and didn't spend one penny. He couldn't have done that in north Philadelphia. He couldn't have done it in south Philadelphia. He could not have done it in west Philadelphia. He went to Philadelphia's greater northeast and spent 16 hours in the middle of a drug orgy that I just really was in pain to have to listen to him describe to me.

I think we have got to slow down long enough to realize that we are in a crisis. If we don't do it here, I won't stop doing what I'm doing, but if those young ladies that left that party are walking the streets as they are now, they may just bump into some people that are very dear to us, and this was all drug-induced.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Questions?

Dave.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Just a few questions, Mr. Chairman.

BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Mr. Pina)

- Q. Mr. Pina, the organization or the program that you're involved with is the -- is sponsored by the Philadelphia Urban Coalition?
- A. It's affiliated by the Philadelphia Urban Coalition. Their 501(C)(3) gives us opportunities to do things through our limited funding that we don't have capabilities of using.

1 Q. Okay, so you're sort of a private 2 nonprofit organization? 3 A. Yes, we're a registered nonprofit 4 organization within the State of Pennsylvania. 5 Q. Okay. And I wasn't quite clear, are you 6 presently, your program presently, receiving any 7 funding from PennFree? Oh, no. No. 8 A. 9 0. Okay, so where does your funding come 10 from right now? 11 A. Our fundings come through contributions 12 and whatever minimal dollars our clients can possibly 13 provide in terms of rent, support. It's about \$30 a 14 week up to about 80 percent of our clients. There's no 15 requirement to pay when you come into our program, just 16 a willingness to want to get better. 17 Q. Okay, so that yours is essentially a 18 residential drug treatment recovery kind of program? 19 A. Yes, the latter part. More recovery than 20 anything else. 21 Q. No public funding whatsoever at this 22 point? 23 A. Zero public funding. 24 Okay. And probably not much in the way Q.

of insurance funding or anything like that?

25

A. No.

Q. Okay, so it's just charitable support from the greater community?

A. Yes.

Q. And the passing of PennFree has no, at least no direct impact on your program whatsoever?

A. None. It wasn't coming our way. It wasn't directed towards the recovery community.

Q. Thank you.

A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Gentlemen, thank you very much for your testimony.

We'll hear next from Doug Hill.

MR. HILL: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. I'm Douglas Hill, Executive Director of the State Association of County Commissioners. Our association is a nonprofit, nonpartisan association representing all of the Commonwealth's 67 counties. I am also presenting testimony today on behalf of the Local Government Conference, which includes our association as well as the associations representing cities, boroughs, townships of the first and second class, and school boards. Together we account for more than 3,200 local units of government.

•

I'm pleased to have this opportunity to present our comments on House Bill 74, which amends Title 42 of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes by establishing county drug forfeiture councils and further provides for the allocation of the proceeds of cash and property forfeited in drug offenses. Before I specifically address House Bill 74, I'd like to place the drug and alcohol problem in the context of the larger crisis facing the local criminal justice system. Over the last five years, we've been waging the war on drugs on the national, State, and local levels. I'm not here to discuss the merits of the war but rather the priorities we've established for fighting this war and the consequences this war is having on local government.

When appearing before this committee in 1988 and on numerous occasions since, we have testified with some concern that the resources expended on drug law enforcement have emphasized the apprehension and prosecution side of the equation. We have used the analogy and we use it again today that this model of the criminal justice system can be viewed as a bottle with a funnel. Our past efforts emphasizing apprehension and prosecution have increased the size of the funnel dramatically, while doing little for the

size of the bottle.

The General Assembly finally began to deal with this issue last session passing a number of acts that address the size of the bottle. Act 71 of 1990, the \$200 million bond issue for county jail construction, and Act 193 of 1990, the intermediate punishment act, are the two most significant examples.

This brings us to House Bill 74, which for us represents another opportunity to balance the equation by addressing the capacity side of the local criminal justice system. The demands on the county based drug and alcohol treatment system have grown proportionately with the increase in law enforcement activities. Unfortunately, the State appropriation for treatment has remained stagnant while the Federal dollars are projected to decrease for fiscal year 1991-92. The decreasing Federal dollars are stretched even further by the Governor's proposal to use Federal funds to replace State funds in the PennFree programming.

House Bill 74, as written, would divide between the district attorney and the newly created county forfeiture council the cash and proceeds for forfeited property seized in connection with controlled substance violations. Sixty percent would go to the

district attorney and the remaining 40 percent would go to the county drug forfeiture council. The district attorney would use the proceeds for enforcement of the Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act, as is current law. The county drug forfeiture council would use its share of the proceeds to fund drug education, drug abuse prevention, drug counseling and rehabilitation, and other anti-drug services.

We strongly support the concept of utilizing these funds to help offset the costs of drug and alcohol treatment prevention and education. Each dollar spent on prevention and treatment means significant savings in funds needed later for enforcement, prosecution, and incarceration. There are also indirect savings particularly in reduction of child abuse, decreases in marginal employment and less homelessness, decreases in family problems, and I think several of those who testified already before you today spoke much more eloquently to those points than I ever could.

We do, however, have concerns about the proposed distribution formula and the requirement for the development of county drug forfeiture councils. We strongly suggest that all cash and proceeds from forfeited property be divided in thirds, with one-third

going to the district attorney for current uses, another third going to the local single county authority for distribution to drug and alcohol treatment, prevention, and education services, and the final third going to local police departments based on relative participation in drug task forces both to stabilize their funding and to offset their costs for participation in anti-drug efforts. We feel this proposed distribution formula represents a fair and equitable balance among the major components of the drug and alcohol interdiction system.

establish local forfeiture councils centers on the creation or more particularly duplication of effort of a county body that is already in existence. Act 63 of 1972 created the Single State Authority, now the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs, in the Pennsylvania Department of Health. Its mission is to provide drug and alcohol services to the residents of the Commonwealth, largely by administering State and Federal drug and alcohol grants and programs. The Single State Authority, or ODAP, as it's now called, has in turn created a system of local administration through single county authorities. Through this system, 47 SCAs have been created somewhat on a joinder

basis, providing a full range of community-based drug and alcohol education, treatment, and prevention services covering every county.

In order to establish a single county authority, the county commissioners must appoint a citizens group, known as the planning council or the executive commission, consisting of 11 to 15 members. These councils or commissions advise the SCA in the planning, coordinating, and administering of the local drug and alcohol services. Appointments must include representatives of criminal justice, business and industry, labor, education, health care, consumers, and human services. Appointed members serve three-year terms and they serve for two consecutive terms, and they are required to meet 11 times a year.

Because we have this structure in place and operating successfully, we recommend that House Bill 74 be amended to give the SCAs jurisdiction over distribution and administration of the education, treatment, and prevention funds. The creation of another county body to oversee the delivery of drug and alcohol services, as proposed in the present version of House Bill 74, would be redundant and would result in confusion and added administrative costs.

Before concluding, I want to emphasize

that the amount of funds available through these forfeiture proceeds represents a fraction of the dollars needed for our war on drugs. I do not want to give the members of the committee the impression that these funds, which we estimated at about \$5 million a year, would be enough to provide the drug and alcohol services that the citizens of the Commonwealth need. It will, however, provide sorely needed funds for treatment, education, and prevention and will help balance the fiscally competing interests of apprehension, prosecution, and prevention and treatment.

I want to thank you for this opportunity to present our comments. We would be happy to offer our assistance in further development of the legislation, and I would be happy to answer your questions.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Dave.

BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Mr. Hill)

Q. Once again, just briefly, Mr. Chairman, and it seems that Mr. Hill is always the messenger whose head I feel like I want to chop off because of the message, but let me pose the same question I did to the prime sponsors of this bill. Do you have some reason to believe that the funds that are presently

being obtained by the district attorneys through this program are in excess of the needs of the law enforcement community which is presently using them?

A. If we're talking about a balance in the system, they probably are. Granted, they could use the money that's available, they could use more, but we all could use more. Our problem is historically in the war on drugs we've been using all of our resources to apprehend people, we've been using them to push people through the court system, and the point has been raised here at this meeting on numerous occasions that once they get past that, there's no place for them to go. We don't have prison space to detain them. We barely have the prison space to incarcerate those who are convicted.

At the other end of the spectrum, we believe we're spending far too little on treatment, prevention, and education, and we think that if nothing more than a symbolic effort is made here to divide these funds among local enforcement efforts, county prosecution, and then treatment, incarceration and prevention, then we've made a positive step.

Q. Well, I suppose the problem I have with that, unlike some of the speakers previously, you represent a group that has a taxing authority and if

you and those commissioners you represent had been up here lobbying us for more funding for the areas that you would reallocate to or if I had seen a commitment, and I'm well aware at least in Bucks County that we're up against limits in terms of taxation, so I'm not unsympathetic to counties' taxation problems, but if I had seen a commitment on the part of counties to make those choices, those priorities, I would be a lot more sympathetic to your argument. As it is, it seems to me that you folks are not powerless like some of the groups who are looking around for funding. There are things that you can and should be doing and I'll be hanged if I want to see the money taken away from -- I mean, if there's a philosophical argument, maybe we should be reallocating money differently, but we shouldn't be taking that out of the DA's hide and out of a program that works. We ought to be facing up to it legislatively at the State level and at the county level.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, I agree with you in one respect, we should be facing up to it at the State level, certainly. The counties, I think, are doing what we can, but let's face it, we have very little discretion with what we're able to do with the limited tax base available. We have 25 mills general purposes tax

capacity. Right now the average county is 19.2 mills. There's very little headroom there. Ninety percent of what we do goes towards mandated programs, and that's not local mandates, that's not court mandates, that's mandates from the General Assembly. That includes Children and Youth, that includes mental health/mental retardation, and the list goes on and on and I don't need to tell you. We also fund the court system except for \$70,000, or under the Governor's proposal \$68,000, per judge. We also fund the election system, the prisons, and on and on. And so to say that we're not doing our part to try to deal with this problem I think is disingenuous at best.

We have shown our commitment. At the same time, the State has passed mandatory sentencing statutes which, for example, have increased our DUI prison population by 1,400 percent since 1981. We have had a whole series of mandatory sentencing statutes since then on major and minor drug offenses, many of which if they fall under five years are served at the county facility and impact on the county system in a number of other ways. We have State underfunding of Children and Youth programs and State underfunding of housing programs that counties are required to, as best they can, match, and to the extent those are

underfunded, that's more people that are out on the streets and are placed in precarious family situations and are not able to deal with the problems and pressures of modern society. And so if we can work on some of those programs I think we're going to see some reduction eventually in the need for incarceration, the need for prosecution. But the State does need to do more.

I will also say that each year as the budget is prepared we advocate before the legislature for some 80-some different line items and we do provide numbers on where we think they should be, and I think we provide as best we can the statistics to justify those numbers, and I think we all know very well that the Commonwealth's fiscal capacity is limited certainly as much as the county is although in different ways, and we all need to deal with that as well. But we are doing our share at the local level.

Q. Well, just especially since the term "disingenuous" popped up, let me say I don't necessarily buy that. I certainly agree that we have a responsibility at the State and maybe that we have a responsibility to enhance your taxing power, but I think when any entity of elected officials who have the ability to tax start talking about the allocation of

resources, if we're not going to be disingenuous then we have to recognize that part of it is a matter of political will, is a matter of are you going to look the taxpayers in the eye and say, yes, I am in favor of taking more of your money and spending more of your money because we need, for the good of society, to allocate it in those ways. As I've said earlier today, I'm ready to do that at the State level.

I think that one of the keys of a rational taxation policy is that there be some relationship between the resource that's being taxed and its allocation and that there be an incentive, if possible, and a rational relationship. We've got that right now in terms of law enforcement having incentive to aggressively pursue drug investigation and forfeiture to get these funds so that they can enhance their effectiveness, and I have real problems with intruding upon that.

A. Let me just make one final point. You suggest that we all need to look the taxpayers in the eye. I will furnish numbers to the committee, if you would like, that show that fully two-thirds of our counties look the taxpayers in the eye every year and have done so for the last 10 that we've kept records and have increased taxes, and I think that speaks well

toward our political willpower to try to deal with funding issues at the local level.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you very much, Doug.

MR. HILL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We will next hear from Michael Marino, John Driscoll, and Charles Gallagher.

MR. MARINO: Good afternoon. Mr. Chairman. My name is Michael Marino. I am the district attorney for Montgomery County.

Mr. Chairman and committee members, it's my personal opinion that the Forfeiture Act 1s the strongest piece of law enforcement legislation that has been passed since I've be the DA, and that's been since 1988. That includes all of the death penalty provisions, lethal injection, mandatory sentences of any kind, of any type. The impact of this Forfeiture Act, in my opinion, is not only crucial, it's critical to the enforcement of the criminal laws in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I, for one, maintain the entire countywide drug enforcement program. If this bill was passed in any of its formx or any of its suggestions - one-third, 60 percent/40 - I would be broke now.

Let me give you an idea what I spend the money for. I pay one-half of local police officers, and I mean full-time police officers, we swear them in as county detectives, all of their overtime, buying them cars, vests, guns, everything that you can possibly think of. We've purchased dogs for the county police departments, the local correctional facilities. We've bought these dogs all over the county. We've paid for the use of wires that run approximately \$100,000 in an investigation. We've bought every type of equipment against the war on drugs. We've bought cars. We've paid for, and a lot of people don't understand this, every six months I pay \$2,000 to DARE. I recently paid \$5,000 to FAD. We have supported every single school sign in the county, and that must be well over \$10,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but the main thrust of this act is to use these moneys to fight the war against drugs. And the interesting thing about it is contrary to what you might think, I am one of the DAs and one of many that have not received 10 cents either in Federal or State money. Everything that has been run out of that county has come from this Forfeiture Act. People fail to realize that it is getting tougher and tougher to get these funds. The drug dealers are getting smarter,

they are leasing the property, they're hiding it better, they are tying it up in titles differently. It's not getting easier for us, it's getting more difficult.

We believe in drug prevention, education. We believe that. But ladies and gentlemen, if you take this money from us, I think that that will send a message, we will not be able to do the effective job that we have done, and I, quite frankly, think that contrary to what you've heard, we've made enormous inroads in this war against these drug dealers. And the reason that the prisons are bursting at the seams is because we are catching them and we are convicting them. That's why the prisons are bursting at the seams, and it comes directly from the forfeiture funds.

I am open to any questions that any of you might have.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Before we do that, if we can hear from the other two testifants, then we will have questions from the body.

MR. DRISCOLL: My name is John Driscoll.

I'm the District Attorney in Westmoreland County. I'll be brief.

I just have a few reactions to the testimony that's been presented this afternoon. I just

want to give you just briefly the Westmoreland County history and the benefit that the forfeiture statute has rendered in Westmoreland County and the difficulty we would have if it were changed or amended or if we lost the flow of forfeiture funds in some way.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 1983, I went to my county commissioners -- well, first of all, I came into office in 1982 and I expected to find this marvelous army geared up to fight the war on drugs. When I got into office I found that there was hardly anybody purchasing drugs in Westmoreland County. I found that there was really very little going on. I went to the county commissioners, designated some detectives to work drugs, I went to the county commissioners and asked for some money, and in 1983 they gave me \$40,000. said, Driscoll, here's \$40,000, don't come back. Fortunately, they thought that would last forever. Fortunately, the forfeiture statute was enacted, I just asked Mr. Gallagher, I couldn't remember the year, I think it was 1985, I wasn't sure of the year, but sometime after that it was enacted and it has virtually kept our drug operation alive. I have not gone back to the county commissioners for equipment, for cars, for guns, for buy money. The only thing that the county has been paying, though I have been somewhat of a

liability, too, they pay salaries and they pay our liability insurance and so forth out of the General Fund. But I have been able to run this entire drug operation in Westmoreland County out of forfeited funds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The need today is every bit as great as it was in 1985, perhaps more so. There have been a lot of drug funds infused into this war against drugs in Pennsylvania. Westmoreland County has not gotten any of them. We service about 35 police departments. I have about 12 detectives. There's a large contingency of the Pennsylvania State Police in our county. Geographically, they cover a lot of the larger townships and probably population wise cover a third of our county. The drug effort that has been carried on in Westmoreland County has been out of county dollars in the forfeiture funds. Virtually no other funds. know there's a lot, there have been a lot of money, Dixie funds and so forth, come into the State. have all gone to the Attorney General. The district attorneys have not gotten any of those funds. And it's a whole other argument about how those should have been spent and I don't want to get off into that at this point, but suffice to it say that the forfeitures we've been able to obtain have been the lifestream for the

drug effort in our county.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was some question alluded to earlier about the audit of these funds and the efficacy and the efficiency and the effectiveness of these To divert all of these -- to divert these funds. funds, and there are not a lot of forfeiture dollars in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I know that as it would affect Westmoreland County it would have the equivalency of setting up a mini-United Way. Another small version of a United Way in Westmoreland County. That would not be helpful in the long run. It would create more problems than it would solve. There are funding streams, and the few dollars that we could, you know, if we could get out \$30,000 or \$40,000 a year to these areas, that would be a tremendous contribution, and next to their budgets it would be just a trickle. But within the drug enforcement budgets, this is a major, major stream. It's a lifestream.

I just want to say one last thing with respect to the expenditure of funds and auditing of the funds. There had been some statement made somewhere in these proceedings before the committee as to auditing. Well, our funds are audited at the whim and will of our county controller. Our understanding is they will be audited quarterly. Actually, they've probably only

been auditing every six months or so, but they are in our office twice a year. I am on the hook for every dollar that's in that account. I write the checks, I or my chief county detective are the only two people that sign those checks. I'm on the hook for them. That money goes out. We not only have got to keep on a weekly basis a record of all of our expenditures, but we tie in with every expenditure a corresponding police report so that when I do my internal view of where this money is going I can see where, in what investigation this money is being spent, who are we targeting, what types of expenditures are being made, and what are the results getting. It helps me. I can use this to monitor my own personnel, and the auditing process is so tight that -- and I am on the hook for it and county controllers around the State, at least in my county, they would like nothing better than to find the district attorney's office in some state of disarray particularly with drug funds. And so it's a very tight procedure and I feel that the money is being spent well and fully accounted for.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's kind of the perspective that I have from Westmoreland County. The statement had been made earlier about the efficacy of these funds. There is no better way to spend these funds than putting them

directly into the enforcement of the drug laws.

Thank you.

R

MR. GALLAGHER: Good afternoon. I'm Charles Gallagher, Deputy District Attorney for Policy and Planning in the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office. I have been a career prosecutor for 15 years and have been involved in the forfeiture enforcement in our office from the beginning in 1985 when the act was first passed. I was Chief of the Motions Unit and set up the unit of how to litigate these motions in court. In the last several years I've been overseeing the expenditure of these funds in the district attorney's office.

I believe I'd like to preface my remarks with some comments about some things that were said earlier. Everyone talks about the way to approach the war on drugs, and I think everybody agrees, everyone with common sense agrees, that it has to be approached on three levels - education, treatment, and law enforcement. And I'm here to discuss law enforcement. I believe education is a very important thing and should be done and a lot more of it has to be done. A lot more treatment has to be done. But when we in law enforcement, when we in prosecution get the products of the failures of education and treatment, we have to

deal with them and we have to deal with them sternly.

And this legislature has given us the authority to do that through the Forfeiture Act and also through the Mandatory Minimum Sentencing Act. But we also need funds to support those functions. That is, we need funds to support the forfeiture actions not only out on the street but in court. We also need funds to support the enforcement of the mandatory minimums.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have with me today, and I'll hand it out to the members of the committee, statistics, the most recent statistics in Philadelphia, and these statistics come from the records of the Court of Common Pleas and they indicate the amount of work that we've been doing before the passage of the Forfeiture Act, which was 1984, and just this past year in 1990, and if you make a comparison of the statistics, something comes clear to you. In total dispositions in Common Pleas Court, in 1984, a little over \$10,000. And last year there was over 20,000 dispositions. It went up 86 percent. But now if you go down to the second chart we're talking strictly here about drug dealers. are people that are charged with and the cases are disposed where the charge was possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance in Philadelphia. In 1984, there was only 292 cases out of the 10,000.

Approximately 3 percent of the cases. In 1990, we handled 5,438 cases. 5,438 drug dealers in the city of Philadelphia. That amounts to 27 percent of the total workload of the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia. That's 18 times more drug dealers in 1990 that we processed through the Philadelphia courts than we did in 1984.

You just go down in the drug dealer's chart you can see that in 1984 we had 157 guilty convictions. And we had, in 1990, 3,895. In 1984, we convicted 79 percent of the drug dealers. Last year we convicted 93 percent of the drug dealers that came into the court. That also, if you just look at it numerically, there's 24 times more guilty convictions in Common Pleas Court in 1990 than there was before the Forfeiture Act.

Jury trials. If you just look at that statistic. We had one jury trial for a drug dealer in 1984. Last year we had 86. That's 85 times more. And then you can go and see the impact upon prison overcrowding in the State prison as well as in the county prison from this chart that I've given you.

We had no witness protection program in 1984. We do today. There's a lot of other things that we expend the forfeiture money on that are necessary

for us to achieve the outstanding results that you see there. We use it for putting the DAs in court to handle these cases. We have eight DAs doing that. We fund part of their salaries. We fund, in the district attorney's office in Philadelphia, 35 positions - 10 assistant district attorneys, fully fund 15 county detectives, and we fund 10 support personnel, the clerks that do the work and the important work-up of the files. So that's 35 people that we fund directly from the forfeiture fund.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it's important that we fund these positions because we need them to survive in Philadelphia in the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office because if we didn't have them, we wouldn't survive. And that's because in Philadelphia since 1984 the city administration has reduced our authorized personnel. Our budget has gone up a little bit each year because of the cost of living and so forth because 90 percent of our budget is for personnel, but if you look at the hard numbers, last year our budget was \$19 million from the city of Philadelphia. From city council. That funded 453 authorized positions. We can't hire anything more than that. If we go to hire they say, no, you can't hire that person. In 1984, the city funded 478 positions in our office. That's a

reduction of 25 positions. So you can clearly see that if it wasn't for this drug money, we would not be able to prosecute the 18 times more cases that we've done as far as drug dealers are concerned. That's very important.

We also support the police department, their wishes. Last year we expended over \$600,000 in equipment for them to support their drug enforcement efforts.

I think my colleagues have pointed out to you, that we submit each year to the Attorney General in accordance with the forms that the Attorney General sends us, a report of revenue and expenditures, and this has to be submitted each September of each year. This report also in Philadelphia, as a result of the changes in the law that you made in 1988, this report is audited by the city controller's office, and the city controller of Philadelphia comes in and looks at our checkbooks and our books and looks at everything as far as the money coming in and the money going out and where it's going. So there's complete accountability because that was written into the law in 1988.

As far as the acts that are before you today, I would respectfully submit that surely

community groups do need money. We, in fact, in our office have funded some grassroots community groups, but I believe that in order for this committee to approach it correctly, you have to realize what is the historical significance of the Forfeiture Act? It's to help prosecutors and it's to help police departments do their job. You can see what we've said today that we are doing our job. But in order to fund education and treatment, that should go through the Department of Education or that should go through the PennFree money, which it has already. And our recommendation, as Mr. Castille did when he was the district attorney, when Governor Casey came to Philadelphia in June of 1989, he recommended to the Governor, as well as recommended to this committee when you came to Philadelphia in May of 1989, that there should be a separate appropriation to fund community groups, and we recommended at that point \$12 million, and I still have the correspondence that was sent to this committee as well as to the Governor. The Governor did respond to that because he did expend some PennFree money for educational programs, and we refer community groups that used to come into our office and ask for money, we refer them to that funding source. We believe that's a viable use of State money, but quite frankly, the forfeiture money should be used

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for law enforcement, as the act indicates it should, and we believe that we've been very successful in this regard and I would submit to you that you should not back the bills that are presently before you today.

And I'm willing to answer any questions about expenditures or anything else concerning what we do in Philadelphia with the forfeiture funds.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Questions?
REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Yes.

First of all, let me thank each one of you for taking the time out here to present testimony.

All three of you gave data, impact, but I haven't heard anything about how much in forfeiture dollars did you receive in '89 and '90?

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, sir, as far as Philadelphia is concerned, you indicated \$21 million.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: No, I didn't indicate anything.

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, someone indicated \$21 million. One of the earlier speakers. That is not correct. As far as what the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office controls, we control whatever is seized by the Philadelphia DA's Office Dangerous Drug Offender's Office Unit, and we also, any property received in which a Philadelphia police officer makes a

seizure of money that's related to drugs, he is required to submit it to our office and we then file a petition in that regard. We filed approximately 2,000 petitions last year. In fiscal 1989, the revenue was \$2.6 million through the DA's forfeiture procedure. In fiscal 1990, it was \$2.3 million, approximately. And that adds up to approximately \$5 million over the last two fiscal years, and we've expended almost \$4.7 million of those funds that were taken over the last two years.

MR. MARINO: In my county, Mr. Thomas, the first year was roughly \$350,000, the second year was \$700,000. We anticipate a drop this year from that figure.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: What county is that?

MR. MARINO: Montgomery County.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Okay.

## Westmoreland?

MR. DRISCOLL: Okay, in Westmoreland County, 1986 -- I drove all the way here without my glasses -- in 1986 we brought in around \$27,000. In 1987, \$2,400. This is cash. There were some other, some vehicles. 1988, \$2,800. Oh wait, I'm sorry. Excuse me. During 1987, I'm sorry, we brought in

approximately \$157,000, and in 1988 approximately \$62,000.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Okay.

Now, for Mr. Gallagher, have you provided or has the district attorney's office provided any financial support to community-based organizations in Philadelphia County with drug forfeiture?

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes, sir, we have. fiscal '89, I believe the number was \$43,000, and so far -- strike that. In fiscal '90, it was \$43,000, and so far this year I have with me a list of the community groups in which we distributed, 21 community groups, we've distributed so far in fiscal '91 \$30,672. of these distributions of funds are about \$1,000, \$2,000, \$2,500 for various different programs, walkie talkies. We've also helped in the neighborhoods in northwest Philadelphia, in south Philadelphia, in west Philadelphia, and I believe in the eighth district, which is the lower northeast, community booklets. And we funded these booklets because it's called "An Action Guide to Combating Drugs," and a neighborhood group came forward and initially drafted this. We helped, as well as the police department, and basically this helps community groups become the eyes and ears of the police and assist them and tell them what to look for out on

the street. On the back of it there's a drug information report where community members are to fill in information, all the critical information that the police need to instigate and to start an investigation of possible drug dealing in their neighborhood. So these booklets are aimed at what the act calls for, the Forfeiture Act, to do, and that is enforcement of the act.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: And through what process is a community group able to find out about that or make application for use of forfeiture assets that you might have?

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, the community groups are aware of it because whenever they ask for anything, Mr. Castille, when he was out in the community, told them to submit a letter and it came in and it was processed either by me or by one of my other deputies to Mr. Castille. It's his responsibility to determine, the DA's responsibility to determine how in his discretion the money should be used to enforce the Drug Act.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Okay. I know of no group in the 181st, and I know of one specific problem that maybe you can look into, the 25th Police District, which has the highest number of drug arrests

and drug activity in the city of Philadelphia. Now, they have a town watch group and I know that Representative Rieger and some other Representatives from that section of the city has been working with the local police district to try and deal with this massive problem in that district. I know that the father, I can't think of his name, he has a church up here in the 25th, wrote several letters to District Attorney Castille and was told, go to the police commissioner, I don't have anything to do with it. So---

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, I'd like to speak with you afterwards to find out the specifics of that, but there have been requests from various community groups. I know there have been some requests and there's been some funding sent to some groups in your area, and I don't have them on my fingertips here, but I can get that information for you.

But secondly, there has been requests from community groups for prevention programs as well as you indicated basketball programs of that nature, and the district attorney determined that they are all very good programs and certainly will help in prevention, but the act specifically states "for enforcement of the Drug Act," and that means enforcement of the drug laws. And anything, in other

words, we supported walkie talkies for town watch groups that help the police be the eyes and the ears. We supported these booklets and forth, but we have not supported anything and we have not paid any salaries to anyone dealing with basketball leagues or baseball leagues or any kind of educational or treatment function because that's not what the act addresses. The act addresses enforcement of the Controlled Substance Act.

б

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Well, just one last question. Now, the act speaks to enforcement. The district attorney is primarily responsible for the prosecutorial side of enforcement. The police department are the ones on the front lines. I know that you constantly, as much as I constantly, hear that there's not enough police on the street, the department has been cut from almost 30, 40 percent from, say, 5, 10 years ago. And communities are constantly complaining about this. Is there any evidence of drug forfeiture assets going to the hiring of additional police officers or going to the purchase of additional equipment for police officers to have a direct correlation to the war on drugs?

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes, sir, there is. Last year there was approximately \$340,000 for police

overtime in drug areas, as requested by Police Commissioner Williams. In addition, the amount of equipment by the police department was over \$600,000 last year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is some question and there is some ongoing controversy between our office and the police department about this money, and you asked a good question because the police department presently we've determined over the last several years has diverted approximately \$3 million. We know from the city controller's report that in fiscal 1989 it was \$1.2 million, and last year we believe it was probably more than that in fiscal '90. And when I say diverted, what they have done is the police officers, under the authority of the State Forfeiture Act, as State law enforcement officers, go out and seize money, they then take that money and they put it in the evidence They don't necessarily notify us of that custodian. seizure, but what they do is they notify the Drug Enforcement Administration in the Federal government, and that money goes down there and they get, last year in fiscal '89 they got 90 percent of the money back. This year they're only getting 85 percent of the money back because the DEA changed the guidelines. That is called adoptive forfeiture.

We have told the police commissioner time and time again that in our opinion, and in strict reading of the State drug forfeiture law, that that is incorrect and it's a violation of the mandates of the State forfeiture law. Not only that, when the police department sends that money down to DEA and they get part of it back, they are free to use that for law enforcement purposes. That means any law enforcement purpose that the Police Commissioner decides to use it on, whereas the drug forfeiture money that is passed through the district attorney's office and goes back to the police department must be used for enforcement of the Drug Act.

So what I'm saying to you is that we believe that not only is it wrong for the police department to divert that money to DEA, but also it's not only diverting the dollars but it's diverting the purpose of the money because the purpose of the money, as indicated by the legislature here, was for enforcement of the act when they're using it for any law enforcement purpose.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Well, you've just provided me with clear evidence as to why the General Assembly needs to intervene in this, because there appears to be a problem.

U

Lastly, recognizing that the district attorney of Philadelphia has now resigned and is running for another office and that the policy which you have just advanced really has been the policy of that district attorney, sometime very shortly someone will be taking over the helm of the district attorney's office. Assuming that another policy is developed and that person feels that it is reasonable for drug forfeiture assets to be shared between law enforcement and community and drug prevention, drug education, would you have a problem in working as aggressively to adhere to that policy as you have to District Attorney Castille?

MR. GALLAGHER: I work for the district attorney of Philadelphia. When he tells me that his interpretation of the law, it's not a policy question, it's an interpretation, and I've advised him over the years that that is the correct interpretation of the law. The new district attorney comes in and tells me, no, that's not the correct interpretation of the law, this is the other policy, I will tell him he is wrong or she is wrong.

(Whereupon, Representative Kruszewski assumed the Chair.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI:

Representative Ritter.

Ŕ

REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: Thank you.

Mr. Gallagher mentioned the three components of the drug war being education, treatment, and law enforcement, and I believe in connection with that statement you also said something to the effect that you have to deal with the folks who aren't helped through the other two components of that, through the education and treatment, and I agree with that. That's why it seems to me, though, that the education and the treatment is just as important to the drug efforts as anything that law enforcement can do because if those efforts are more successful, it will reduce the number of people that come through your system, therefore reducing the burden on the taxpayers not only for your system but for the corrections system.

So it seems to me that as we talk about the drug war we use the number of arrests and the number of people who are incarcerated as some measure of the effectiveness of the war on drugs and how we're doing in that war, and it seems to me that that's not really the most appropriate measure. It's sort of like bailing out a boat that's taking on water. If you don't fill the leak, you're just going to continue to bail and you're going to sink as surely as you would if

you didn't do anything, although it would take a little longer. I would think a more effective measure of the job we're doing on winning the war on drugs is to see the effect within our neighborhoods and in fact to have less arrests and incarcerations not because the police are sitting down on the job but because they have less people that they have to arrest because the other programs are doing their jobs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it seems to me that education and treatment addresses the market. We have to dry up the market, it seems to me, because there will always be someone new to come in and sell the drugs if there is a market for the drugs. And the only way that, I think, to dry up that market is through education and through treatment. And so, you know, it seems to me that this bill may not be the perfect answer, but clearly I think we've got to tip the scales of money that we're spending from the State more in favor of education and treatment as a way to help with law enforcement. mean, I think we have to begin to look at that as a very important component of law enforcement and in fact one that can reduce the burden on our society that this problem is causing, and I think the intent of this bill is to address that problem. It may not be the perfect answer, but I think to say we're taking money away from law enforcement in order to do education and treatment,

I don't think that's necessarily appropriate because I

see that that's a way to reduce the eventual costs of

law enforcement in any case.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I didn't want to ask a question, I just wanted to make that statement because that's what I'm hearing from here and that's my perception. obviously don't have the experience in the field that you folks do in terms of your particular areas of expertise, but looking at it, you know, as a legislator and as an interested person in terms of the people that I represent and while I have people in my district who have half million dollar homes, I also have the two public housing projects in the city of Allentown where the neighbors are seriously concerned about the effects on their neighborhood that this problem is having and trying to do what they can to clean up their neighborhoods. And law enforcement is doing an excellent job, there's no question of that. it's a matter of looking at our resources and targeting them where they would be most effective and accomplishing the goal we all have, and if the goal we all have is to put more folks in jail, then we need to put more money in law enforcement. If the goal we have is to reduce the effects of drug abuse and alcohol

1 2

3

5

6

7 R

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abuse on our communities, then I think we need to look to the communities for part of the solution to that.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Just very briefly, I think that Representative Ritter's analogy is well taken but falls just a little bit short of the mark, and again, we had some of these discussions but not near enough of them a couple of years ago when suddenly PennFree erupted and the Governor and the Attorney General got together and we suddenly were spending a tremendous amount on the war on drugs. believe that a lot of what we're hearing today about the commitment to treatment, the commitment to education and prevention and drying up the demand makes good sense, but if we're talking about being in a leaking boat, I think we have to recognize that building the patch, that that process of building the patch is a very slow process which has dubious results at least in terms of measuring what's happening -being measured in terms of what's happening on the I would like to think that long-term that is a street. route that will solve the problem, but in the meantime, that water is coming in like crazy and I don't think, and it's a shame Doug Hill isn't still here because as usual, the proper rejoinder comes to me 15 minutes

later, I don't think he or his county commissioners would be willing to stand up in front of their constituents who also elect their district attorneys and say, stop bailing. Guys, district attorneys, put down your buckets, we're going to patch that hole and in the meantime you stop doing what you're doing.

And that's the comment that I would have, and what troubles me about these proposals, I genuinely believe we need to be spending money on these areas but we don't want to be telling the bailing crew to stop in the meantime. We want to be telling them, bail like a bandit, and we've got to bite the bullet on putting money into prisons and all of the other counterproductive things in some ways societally until we can patch that hole.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: Any other questions? Anything else?

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I just want to ask one question.

BY REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: (Of Mr. Gallagher)

Q. Mr. Gallagher, you said that in the moneys that are accumulated by the police commissioner going down to DEA, that those moneys are used for -- how did you term that?

- A. Official purposes.
- Q. Official purposes. Could those official purposes include washing cars and things like that?
- A. I don't know. All I know is the city controller did a review of that fund and apparently some of that money was used for washing cars, and I'm sure that a policeman feels a lot better driving around in a car that's clean than one that is dirty and it helps the community have more respect for law enforcement, but whether or not -- I don't think that would fall under the mandate of this act, which is enforcement of the Drug Act.
- Q. All right. Also, could you just briefly comment on the effect that you feel this legislation would have, given that situation, and do you think -- what do you think the effect on the Philadelphia Police Department would be? Do you think there would be more moneys available for drug forfeiture or do you think they would just simply dry up?
- A. Well, I think it would take away some of their incentive to be more aggressive in doing investigations as far as seizing money and the drug dealers. I mean, I think it would have somewhat of an impact if they realized that it wasn't all coming or most of it coming back into their coffers to help them

do their job. And it also, I mean, we're using it in our office to, you know, emphasize and to increase our efforts not only in forfeiture but in enforcement, so it's going to have a devastating impact, I think, on our office as well as on the police department.

MR. DRISCOLL: There are truly -- I can't think of one police department that has more resources than it needs. There may be some, but they aren't in Westmoreland County.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: But I think the distinction needs to be made, he is representing the district attorney's office and not the Philadelphia Police Department. And to that end I think that in his testimony he clearly indicated that there was some concerns within the district attorney's office as to how the police department is using or not using drug forfeiture assets. So I don't really think that his comments can -- I don't think that he could substitute the wisdom of the police department. I think he can only speak on the role of the district attorney's office in this particular mission.

Are there any other questions?

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: DA

Gallagher, in regard to what Representative Thomas was
talking about, I think you're referring to a civil case

that there's a seizure and that it wasn't prosecuted is what a lot of time the police department, the DEA goes around to various municipalities, they do that for a return of so much money. Now, I was involved with that for years and we've never done it because we went through the DA's office, naturally, but are you referring to something like that? Do you know, was that the case where maybe you could do that, say you did a search warrant, good faith search warrant, you seized money and no drugs, no charges, but there's still a forfeiture under civil through the DEA? Is that what you're referring to?

б

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, there's two methods in which the money is diverted down to DEA, Federal DEA, by the police department. One is the adoptive forfeiture procedure, and the other is wherein DEA agents worked along with Philadelphia police in a joint investigation and as a result of that investigation there were seizures made. Under the guidelines by the Federal government, there is a split of that money, just as well as there is in the State Forfeiture Act. So they are the two methods.

What we're saying is when they divert the money down to DEA wherein no DEA agent is involved in any part of the investigation or in the seizure, that

that's wrong, and they should be going through the

State forfeiture procedure for that.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: And in regard to the three DAs here, I take it that you figure the best deterrent is incarceration and prosecution, and that's why you're looking at the Forfeiture Act, I take it?

MR. MARINO: We are prosecutors. We are in law enforcement. We are not social workers, we do not run those programs. We think that those programs are wonderful, but that is not our job. Our job is to arrest and convict guilty drug dealers. We cannot do that job without this forfeiture money. And speaking for my county, we have no problem with the police department. Those funds are turned over to us. We have to go into court and prove that they are, in fact, drug proceeds. And when we get those proceeds, we in the district attorney's office share those with everybody concerned. It's worked marvelously well, and to take that tool away from us I think would be a very drastic mistake.

(Whereupon, Chairman Caltagirone resumed the Chair.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Any other questions from the committee?

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I want to thank 3 you gentlemen. MR. DRISCOLL: Thank you. 4 5 MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you. б MR. MARINO: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Is Sister Carol 8 here, and Efriam Rios, Nellie Torres, and Sid, Sid is 9 here? 10 (Whereupon, Representative Kruszewski 11 assumed the Chair.) 12 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Mr. Chairman, where is the rest of the committee? It looks like 13 14 everybody is walking out. 15 ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: I don't 16 know, Representative Acosta. We heard from you, so 17 that's important enough. 18 MR. HEIFETZ: Well, where's the DAs? Ι 19 think that I took a day off of my business to come down 20 here, representing 300 businesses in Philadelphia, and 21 it's a slap in the face that 300 businesses, that a 22 whole committee of people, especially people that 23 represent Philadelphia, have picked up and walked out 24 on me. You can put that in the record.

25

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I would make a

1 recommendation to get the committee some other time to 2 listen to what they have to say and bring those DA people. They had their testimony, they gave their 3 testimony, they waked away. I don't think it's fair to 4 these people from Philadelphia to come here and give 5 testimony to the walls. 6 ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: Mr. Acosta. 7 Representative, you know there's still committee members here. The Chairman had to step out and 9 everything is recorded. That's what the public hearing 10 11 is for. It's not going to fall on deaf ears, and we're 12 all going to see it, you know that. So if you want to 13 resume. 14 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Just a response 15 to that. I think it is only fair to let the record 16 reflect that there's really only one committee member 17 here. You're not a member of the committee, right? Republican Counsel. 18 MR. SUTER: 19 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Okay, so just two 20 committee members. I'm not a committee member. 21 ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: I know that. REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: I think the 22 23 record should reflect that.

MR. RIOS: And we sat through the district attorneys' testimony and it's only fair, you

24

25

know. And then the other committee people here, we
were here on time. We drove from Philadelphia, you
know. We have some real concerns here.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: I understand
that.

SISTER KECK: Which we'd like to begin
addressing.

SISTER KECK:

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: Yes, okay.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KRUSZEWSKI: You know what the record is going to reflect, and like I say, Chairman Caltagirone had to step out and like I said, everything is being recorded, so Sister, do you want to start then?

SISTER KECK: Yes.

My name is Sister Carol Keck, and I am the Director of Norris Square Neighborhood Project, which is an urban environmental center in north Philadelphia. I'm also a member of United Neighbors Against Drugs, which is one of these grassroots coalition neighborhood groups that has had to form out of necessity to fight drugs in our community.

We've had an uneasy coalition of working with the police over a number of years. Ours is a community that also has been ravaged by the police

department in numbers of ways, so it was a hard battle to get people to come together and work with the police department in gathering information to give that information to the police that the police would follow up on and then take into court and have the DAs prosecute, so I think you need to understand some of that background of where we came from.

1.3

We started in 1985, disbanded, started again two years ago, and in the two years that we've been in existence again, our group has been responsible for information leading to over 700 arrests and confiscation of over \$5 million worth of properties. Some of that has been drugs, which has a street value but no forfeiture value. Including in that is a \$150,000 home, \$10,000 worth of paintings, a number of cars. We would like to see the money from that, those forfeitures, come back into our community to help rebuild our community.

I had a prepared statement which was distributed emphasizing that the money that comes from this should come back into the communities as in House Bill 12 with the recommendation -- it's coming out of our communities, it needs to come back into the communities to help rebuild those communities, to help community-based organizations provide social services

that in most cases are State mandated. I happen to run an urban environmental education center. It is not the same mandate that agencies providing social services to children, however environmental education is now State mandated. We have had to divert some of our time from doing that into running programs still with an environmental focus but with also a dual focus of providing activities to take these children out of these neighborhoods for their safety. All the education, prevention things that we've talked about, this has become the new focus for what we're doing, along with the environmental education.

Mr. Rios was employed by Norris Square for a number of years taking children rock climbing, canoeing, backpacking, all those kinds of things that help build the child for the future, the self-esteem that's necessary. We need money to continue to do those kinds of things.

There are other community-based organizations that are taking the empty houses, 22,000 empty houses in the city, trying to rehab some of those to provide places for people to live. These are being rehabbed, in some cases, by people from the community who are being trained by these CVOs in construction skills, rehabbing the buildings. They then have a

trade that they can continue to earn a living for the rest of their life. The community has benefited then by a home which then becomes a tax base in the neighborhood instead of a drain on the neighborhood, as it had been before, and a haven for drug dealers, which it had been before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Representative Acosta referred to the drug vigils that we do with the police department. not only meet weekly with the police department giving them information but every other week we are out on these vigils doing direct action by prevention of drug sales, and that's just a drop in the bucket to what goes on. The licenses of the cars that come through when we trace those we find most of the time they're not people from our neighborhood that are buying drugs. They are people from outlying counties that are coming into the drug market that exists in north Philadelphia and buying drugs and going back to their suburban homes and using them. We want the money to come back into our neighborhood to provide houses, to provide jobs, to provide education, to provide prevention programs for the children, for the neighborhood that is being so affected by this. What we're talking about is a smart use of the money that's being collected in these forfeiture funds.

б

DA's office. Mr. Gallagher spoke of money that comes to us. Our particular group received \$2,000 over two years. With that we bought two walkie talkies and a number of coats to keep our members warm as we stand out on the street corners in the cold rain last Friday night, or in 10 degree weather a month ago. That's what we've gotten from the DA, as we stand out there with the police department. We need things that help children learn to get involved in other things so that they can legitimately say no to drugs because they're saying yes to something else. Representative Ritter was very correct in saying that we need to address the money to the front end of the problem on prevention and treatment and not on the back end of it.

We have received some funding from the

We've also, as United Neighbors Against
Drugs, gone into court following up on these cases, the
ones that are affecting our neighborhoods most
severely, and we have to sit and go through -- Ms.
Torres goes through court record after court record and
we zero in on the ones that have the most affect.
There are so many, we have to be selective of the ones
that we're going to follow in court. Sometimes those
are thrown out because of the drug dealer does not show
up. Any number of reasons. That is a tremendous waste

1 of the DA's money, the police money, the court system's money. Nothing happens. There has to be something 2 happening in the law enforcement end that's going to 3 complete the work that the police department has done. That's another whole issue, but I think it was 5 important to mention that, that we don't just stop at 6 7 the reporting information. We do follow that through the court system, and in some cases there are a number q of people that are involved in prison ministry so that we go into the prisons and talk to these young people 10 11 and try to help them find a religious meaning in their 12 life which will help divert their life once they come 13 back out so they will not come back out and go sell 14 drugs as they had been before.

The majority of these young people have been failed by the education system. If they finished school, their education is not adequate. Many of them do not have job skills. We have to start doing that very early so that we have fewer people that the law enforcement people will have to deal with.

(Whereupon, Chairman Caltagirone resumed the Chair.)

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Mr. Rios.

MR. RIOS: Thank you.

For the record, the name is Efraim Rios,

23

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

E-F-R-A-I-M. And I was going to talk a little bit about United Neighbors Against Drugs, because I'm also a member, but Sister Carol covered a lot of it.

I am a drug prevention specialist with Philadelphia Anti-Drug, Anti-Violence Network. J am one of two specialists, and we work citywide and all over the city. And the city of Philadelphia is a very big city. And in my duties I visit children all over the city in schools, their after-school programs, the day care centers, community groups, their youth groups in the evenings, and I talk to youth about the dangers of drugs and I try to show them and share with them knowledge, information that they can use to defend themselves better against this drug problem that we're I've received hundreds -- our office has received hundreds of letters from children from all over the city saying thank you for the information that I've given them. I did not know, Efraim, that kerosene was used to make drugs. I did not know that people died in South America before the drugs even hit the streets in Philadelphia. I don't want to do drugs. But there's just two of us doing that for the office that I work for.

But I also live in the UNAD community, the Norris Square community, which is in Lower

Kensington, and that neighborhood in which I live and I'm raising my family, my three children, in that neighborhood, that supplies 80 percent of the drugs to the tri-State area. That's why I'm a little concerned — or not a little but very concerned that the two district attorneys from Montgomery County and Westmoreland County, they left. I wanted them to hear what I had to say about how if we can combat through education and prevention programs and other alternatives, combat the drug problem in our area, 80 percent of the drugs that is supplied in the tri-State area comes from my neighborhood, maybe they wouldn't have a drug problem in Montgomery County and Westmoreland County, or not as serious as they have now.

б

In talking about a morale booster, to provide a morale booster for the police personnel in the street when they don't receive the equipment, and now they may be getting equipment through forfeiture funds, but what about the morale booster that they will be getting along with us, the community people working together and that the young children can see the police officer as a positive role model saying, this guy is not going to lock me up because this guy is going to play ball with me, and that's something I want to be.

I might want to be a police officer or I might want to be a community leader.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The supply and demand, that is the key. Eliminating that demand will eliminate the supply. Working with the children, giving them alternatives, giving them the education that they would need and more one-on-one, such as the style that I do myself and the other prevention specialists that work with me, the jails won't be bursting out at the seams because then we would be preventing that from happening.

When we talk to young people all over the city and we, I feel that I convince them, but then again, I only talk to them for 45 minutes and then I leave. I may not see them again for a few more months, if they request the service then I'm there. But when I do talk to them, they listen. They are encouraged by what I say about the drugs and the stimulants that would kill them and how it can get them involved in selling their own bodies for \$3 worth of Crack. And I see children half a block from my house standing on the corner who do not want to go to school because the schools are failing them. There are no recreational facilities in the area. Our Norris Square Park is one city block, and this is a park that has trees and benches, and kids try to go in there and play hardball

and full tackle football because there are no recreational facilities in that area. And they play street football and they ride around in cars and getting involved in everything else because there's nothing there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Norris Square Project tries to provide some alternatives for the kids, but we're talking per family five children, four children per family. And do you know how congested Kensington is and how many kids are out there? And there's only one Norris Square Neighborhood Project or one group United Neighbors Against Drugs. And we're in the 26th Police District, and that's a pretty big district. And the 25th District is just 10 blocks away. If using the Norris Square Park, one city block, as a center of the target, if you look at a bull's eye, 10 blocks in any direction, in a radius in any direction, United Neighbors Against Drugs was very, very key to providing information that within two years \$5 million in drugs and money and other properties were confiscated. what happened? \$5 million. Do you know what we could do with \$5 million in a 10-square-block area? million, and they're saying they don't have enough.

We're not asking for the pie. We just want a percentage so that we can do something with

these kids, so that we can get young people like Nellie Torres and train them so that they can work with the youth, so that we can get moneys to train more people to be drug prevention specialists, so that we can teach kids some skills, carpentry, how to read a ruler, in an after-school program, because the schools aren't doing it. And the schools will do it academically, okay, but we have our United Neighbors Against Drugs, like all the other anti-drug groups in the city, they have after-school programs and a lot of it is volunteer time, parents, and teaching kids, you know, how to put together a kite, how to make a simple kite and take some time out and fly this kite. This kid is going to fly this kite, he's not going to get high because somebody is investing that kind of time. But we need money for materials, for supplies, to take kids on a canoe trip. To rent a canoe for one day is \$15. get there is \$25. You know, and that adds up. then you multiply that by 20 kids for a one-day trip instead of hanging out on the corner, you know, dodging bullets, having somebody come up to them and say, hey, kid, I'm going to give you \$200 a week. You just stand on the corner and watch for the police.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know and someone questions, you know, how do you challenge that? Well, you have to challenge

it by providing alternatives for them, developing some kind of a training program where these kids can feel needed, they can feel respect, they can look forward to something. I grew up in that neighborhood. first moved into the neighborhood, we were the first Puerto Rican family in that area. It was nice and it was clean and the city services just started to go down the drain because people were investing their money everywhere else, the city wasn't providing the services, houses were being abandon, landlords just taking over and tearing the neighborhoods apart. so now we're stuck there with that mess. And I cannot afford to move out. I have three kids and I provide this kind of service where I talk to children all the time. And we're not talking short-term dollars like the PennFree money. That's not going to do it. need long-term so that we can develop programs. It's not a social service, it's teaching people how to survive. That's what we're looking for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's all I have for now. If there are any questions.

SISTER KECK: And before Ms. Torres says anything, I'd like to mention that she was one of the young ladies, teenagers, in a program that Mr. Rios and I worked with four summers ago called Project Hope,

Helping Ourselves Pursue Excellence, and it's building leadership skills in young people, in teenagers specifically, and she now, four years later, is doing the same thing with a group of children called Norris Square Park Patrol, that's their name, but they're doing a variety of activities, all of which are keeping 20 children that she works with off the streets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TORRES: That was a little bit about what I wanted to talk about. I have been a teenage mother and I was out, I've always lived in the Lower Kensington area, and if it wasn't for the support that I've gotten from simple community-based organizations, I wouldn't have the skills now to work with 20 teenagers, young kids, that should not be out on the corners but that are, you know. And I think we, as United Neighbors Against Drugs, we work alongside the police department and it's taken a lot to have our community trust the police and work with them side by But it takes money. It takes money both working sıde. with the kids and working with adults in training them, in showing that they have leadership potential, in letting them know that there are alternatives both for the adults and for the children because it's not only the children that we keep saying need the alternatives so that they don't start, but it's also their parents

that say, okay, this is the life I've always lived, this is the way it's going to always be, so I'm just stuck here and that's the way things are going to be. So at least I work with both groups and it's important, and that's one of the reasons we continue to need funds.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're not saying, as Efraim said, we want the whole pie. We want a piece, and that piece is essential for our community because we need to keep building our community. We need to keep enforcing it. We need to be able to show people that there are alternatives. We need to be able to have our community be able to help the police so that they know that the situation they're in is not the situation that they have to be in. It's a difficult fight. It's one that's very frustrating. It's one that is really hard to see the light at the end of the tunnel. But it's one that we must keep working on. I live in the community, I've always lived in the community, and from the way I feel now I always will be living in the community. I have two little girls and I want my girls to live in the community and to be able to feel safe walking across a park. And in order to do that, I think we have to start working together. It's very difficult for people to be pulling apart from each

other when we're doing the same, we're working towards the same goal.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's all I really have to say. think it's something that's needed. Education and prevention, it's a must. It's not something that we should -- we should fight for. It's something that should be there. It's something that's definitely If not, what are we going to hope for our I mean, how can we say we're going to end the war against drugs if we're not doing anything to stop it from the beginning? If we expect our kids to be out on the corner because they're getting \$200 a day, then that's what they're going to be doing. But if we expect them and we try to help them, let them know that that's not the way it should be, that's not the way it has to be, then the kids start to see a little bit of hope, they start to see the light at the end of the tunnel, and I think that's what we're working for.

Thanks.

MR. HEIFETZ: I'm Sid Helfetz, President of Cogan and Gordon. I'm also President of the American Corridor Business Association of Philadelphia, I'm one of the trustees of the Episcopal Hospital, and I'm on the board there. I can give you a list of committees, I'm on the 26th Police Precinct Advisory

I'm very active in the community. probably one of the worst communities in the city of Philadelphia, and I've been active there for a lot of years. I don't have to do it, I do it because I care about the people. And I care about the people there because I have 50 of them working for me, and I don't feel from sitting here this afternoon listening to the comments from the Representatives on the committee that they have a full understanding of what is happening in the community and I don't feel that way because some of the comments that I've heard today show me that they haven't been there and they haven't experienced what's actually out there, and I think it's important for them to be here to be listening to what's really happening in the communities because they have to vote on things that directly affect the people that are electing them to office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to give you some stories. I run a business, the American Corridor, we're part of an Enterprise Zone. I stood in a showroom at 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon and a bullet came launched through the window two feet from where I was standing with three customers. It took three hours to get a police person there after the police were called. Three hours to respond to a police call. And when they responded to

the police call the policeman said to me, what do you expect in this neighborhood? And then we were up on the second floor one day, same thing happens, a bullet comes through upstairs. Today, right now, there are roofers putting a roof on my building that was built four years ago. The roof is guaranteed for 10 years. The roof is guaranteed for 10 years, the roofer comes in, takes a look at the roof, he says, "The roof is still under guarantee but we don't guarantee bullet holes. There's 25 bullet holes in your roof." I'm spending \$17,000 right now on new roofs on buildings in that area.

Neighbors Against Drugs, the 26th Police Precinct, I'm on the Committee for the North Philadelphia
Revitalization, I'm on the committee that's involved in the racial tension in the city for Blacks, whites and Hispanics, and I'm there and I'm listening to people with problems, and I'm also watching city government failing in what they are claiming they are doing and what they're attempting to do, when I can hear Representative O'Brien talk about someone went through a drug program and they got a GED and they took the GED and they went in the service and now hopefully they will have a successful career. I interview people with

their GEDs and guess what? They don't have educations because the educational system is a failure, and those GEDs are nothing but failures. Those are the kids that dropped out in 10th grade or 9th grade or 8th grade that were four years behind when they dropped out and those papers are just handed out, because we can't employ them and we can't hire them. And the other part of it is, they come in and they don't want to work for \$4 or \$5 an hour, they want \$15 an hour, and we can't train them even if you hire them at \$4 or \$5 an hour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You got major problems in the educational system. You've got to take your educational system and you've got to put shop courses back into those schools and those shop courses have to go in at fourth grade and third grade. You've got to take these kids and teach them some trades so that by the time they are 9, 10, 11 years old they have some other interests and some other things to do. You've got to take the money that's coming out of these areas in drugs, and we're in one of the highest crime and drug areas of the city, and I can tell you a lot more stories, but we're in one of the highest drug and crime areas of the city, and take some of that money that's coming out of there and use it to rebuild those areas. If you don't rebuild those areas, those areas are going to continue to

decay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am Chairman of the American Corridor Public Service Project, which started two years ago, and two years ago we had Wilson Goode and we had Lucien Blackwell and half a dozen people including Jim Lloyd at my office, who was representing the Governor, and we had meetings there, and we started the American Public Service Project with a commitment from the city of Philadelphia that they were going to work with us. were taking a three-block by three-block test area and we were going to work in there to eliminate crime and drugs and start to rebuild the area. A year later, with the help of the city of Philadelphia, we cut the area from three blocks by three blocks to three city We're having, at 10:30 the new managing director is coming in to sit down with me on Thursday to talk about the three blocks. In two years, the city of Philadelphia has been unable to clean up the drug activities and the crime and to rehabilitate 3 city blocks, and we've had 8 meetings with the mayor at my office with 10 or 12 police commissioners at my office -- not police commissioners, but the commissioners from L&I and from different departments, from Streets and from Water and all, they have been ineffective and unable to do it.

1 2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've watched these city organizations, these little groups of people that got together from the city take and turn around areas in the city, take and rehab and fix up blocks of property where the city has failed with all their bureaucracy and all their money. I've watched it happened because I've been involved with them, and I'm watching and listening to people. Representative Ritter has the right idea, and other people in this committee don't fully understand what's going on, and I think that before anyone votes on any of this stuff that's going on they ought to come down to Philadelphia. For O'Brien to say he went to a drug meeting at the Hershey Hotel is a bunch of baloney. That's not where you see what's happening with drugs, at the Hershey Hotel. You go to American and Dauphin and you stand on the corner where my business is and you look across the street at all the drug houses and the drug activity. Behind my business is worse than Baghdad is today with the bombed out areas there and the drugs and crimes. You can drive a car every 15 feet and have people standing there waiving packets at you. And for the district attorneys that were here today to say they need that money, sure they need that money, but that money should come from

taxes that are raised in their communities. If they're

living in areas with \$200,000 and \$300,000 and \$400,000 houses, then let them raise the taxes a couple of mills on those houses and give them the money that they need to fight crime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The communities, the organizations in these communities need some financial support now, and the logical place for them to get financial support is from the crime and drug income that's coming out of those same communities. That money should not be going to other areas of the city, it should be used back in those areas and it should be worked on by the people that live in those communities because those are the best judges of where to put that money and make it most effective. And if you want to begin to start to fight the battle against drugs, that's where you have to start to do it. And it isn't only law enforcement. Law enforcement is part of it, but education is another part of it, housing is another part of it, and jobs is a very important part of it, and unless you can create jobs in these areas, what are you going to do with all the drug money that's being generated that disappears? Those people need incomes, they need jobs, and there isn't a single political candidate in Philadelphia today running for mayor that is talking about jobs today even.

1 We're in an Enterprise Zone. We started 2 a project because there's new legislation in Washington right now on Enterprise Zones and we starred a project 3 to prove to the Federal government that we were capable 4 5 of working with the city and the State and the local 6 residents to bring a community back. The local 7 residents are successful in what they are doing, The 8 business community has been successful in what they are 9 doing, but government has failed us, and I'm talking 10 for the business community that I represent from the 11 American Corridor Business Association, which is about 12 250 businesses. 13 And I'm open to any questions that you 14 may want to ask me now. 15 REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: Can I say 16 something, Mr. Chairman? 17 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Sure. REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: Is it Mr. 18 19 Heifetz? 20 MR. HEIFETZ: Heifetz, right. 21 REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: Mr. Heifetz, 22 first of all, I spent nine years on the street and you can't tell me stories. I mean, I can tell a lot of 23 24 stories and they're not stories, it's the truth. 25 relate to the Sister and Mr. Rios and also to Ms.

Torres, but I look at families, when we talk education, that's where I always thought that education started, especially in regard to drugs. The drug culture starts at home most of the time. I mean, when you're a kid, what happens? First thing you cry, they give you a pill.

Going beyond that, morality today-MR. HEIFETZ: Excuse me one second.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: Let me
finish, sir.

In regard to the families, and I think the morality, you look at education, I saw families, and I'm sure Sister did and this gentleman here especially working in prevention, I not only was an enforcer, I talked all over the northwest and put on talks outside my area where I wasn't known. I worked undercover - bandana, beard, had it for nine years. But when I see families, grandmothers, mothers, daughters, and their kids and their kids handed down that that's the only way they make a living, I mean, you have to look at that. There's no rehabilitation there.

So, you know, I can't say that I'm -- I guess I am a little callous, you telling me about the committee maybe talking one way. I don't believe that.

and you're going to see this committee is one of the most prestigious, I agree, and with our two Representatives here to talk when Mr. Caltagirone left the room, everybody is going to go over this and talk about it. This is nothing that's going to be, you know, to be just ballyhooed or just shooed off the table. So for you to say, I just wanted to set your mind at ease that, you know, you can't tell me as many stories as I can tell you, and, I mean, this happens all the time. I went through this.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

22

23

24

25

MR. HEIFETZ: We didn't know that.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: I wish I would have wrote a biography, but I understand where you're coming from, and everybody says it's education. You've got to look at myself, not the other committee, I speak for myself and not the Chairman. I'm from law enforcement only being there 19 years, you got to understand, and 9 years in the drug field. And it's so important that I look at the first resource naturally is give me enough that I have more than the other person, you know, as far as taking drugs off the street, and in prevention you have to understand that, you know, that's it. It does you great when you do help somebody, but some people, you know, no matter what you do you just wonder, you know, they are never

going to turn out right whatever you do. I mean, we just do our best. Is that right?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RIOS: That's right.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUSZEWSKI: We do our best.

That's all I wanted to say. You made a good point in regard to the neighborhood but, boy-MR. HEIFETZ: I would like to make one other point, if I may.

I have a school of 400 kids that I adopted, the Hunter School, which is two blocks from my It's 400 kids and it only goes up to fourth business. grade, and if you went into Hunter School you would find that there is no family there. When I heard President Bush say that we have to address the drug problem through the schools, through the Parent Teachers Association, those kids are probably, over half of them, are single-parent families, and most of those kids' parents are on drugs and they're on alcohol, and those kids at 7 and 8 and 9 years old are walking the streets in the neighborhood where my business is at 11:00 and 12:00 and 1:00 o'clock in the morning because there's no parental guidance. a responsibility that we have to undertake to take these kids and break them of the drug environment and

the drug habit. We can't leave it to their parents and to their grandparents because they don't have the parents and grandparents that we were very fortunate to be raised by.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's what I wanted to respond to what you just said about the families and all. The areas that we're talking about, you don't have the families. If you had the families, you probably wouldn't have a lot of this problem.

SISTER KECK: And one of the things that law enforcement has found out is that they can't do it alone also. That's why you have, within the city of Philadelphia, a movement all across the city in African-American neighborhoods, Anglo neighborhoods, Hispanic neighborhoods, of coalitions of people coming together and working with the police on this, and the police are willing, for the first time in the history of the Philadelphia Police Department, to work with community groups because they have found that they can't do it alone. The DAs are working with us, meeting with us on these cases. They can't do it Sometimes they are handed a case on their way in and they're trying to prosecute it. We're meeting with DAs and giving them information background. They have found that they can't do it alone. They need the

community-based organizations, the people who are there.

MR. RIOS: I just wanted to add in response to what you were saying, we're all members of the 26th Police District Advisory Board. We had a general meeting two weeks ago and we had invited more people to come in and one of those persons is a block captain about 20 years of age, Hispanic male, lives seven blocks from the Norris Square Park, of which we're all talking about, and when we went around the table with names and where you live and so on, he said his name and then he said, I live in Ghosttown. Seven blocks away from the Norris Square community.

And as a Latin American male I am really concerned more so in trying to educate the young people, especially the male population in our community, because we are stereotyped as the drug suppliers for the whole east coast. I mean, you see your movies and you see everything else, the drug dealers speak Spanish or they're Latin American or South American or whatever, and that's very frustrating when I'm working through the neighborhood and I'm wearing a tie, I'm driving around a decent car and people point at me and say, there's a drug dealer. You know. And these are the things we want to help educate

our kids to get away from.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the value system for young people today, they come from broken homes but yet they can't go to school because they don't have on a pair of \$90 sneakers. They don't want to go to school because people are going to laugh at them. And this is what we're up against. And like I mentioned earlier, we don't want it all, we just want a portion of it so that we can do something to work together with law enforcement. We, the United Neighbors Against Drugs, is an organization that is key in establishing a very good working relationship with Captain Feeney and the previous captain, Captain Murphy, and the previous captain, Captain Baker, in the 26th District working shoulder to shoulder. And we are the only anti-drug group in the 26th District. Now, in the 25th District there are more than one anti-drug groups, and Captain Lorenzo is working along with, Lieutenant Johnson 1s working along with those groups, but we're in the 26th and this is what we're concerned about at this time. And we have established a very good working relationship and police officers understand that it's not just enforcement, lock 'em up and put them away, because they don't want to take them into jails because they're letting them go now at this point.

SISTER KECK: And that's why this past weekend this young man and a police officer spent the weekend camping an hour away from Philadelphia to try to turn kids away from the street corners selling drugs.

MR. RIOS: We took them away for three days to put them through a role course, confidence course, just so they can feel some self-esteem, and these are kids from the neighborhood with a police officer from the 26th District and myself. Our weekend. You know, my wife wants to shoot me now because of that, but that's a different story, you know. But that's what we're saying.

MR. HEIFETZ: I have watched the area that my business is in and that our businesses are in completely deteriorate every year more and more. The problems that we have, primarily because of crime and drugs, have not improved through the entire administration that's in there today, and it's just gotten continually worse and worse and worse. There are jobs leaving the area and there's population over 400,000 people left Philadelphia, over 100,000 jobs left Philadelphia. There are more buildings available right now in the area that we're in, commercial buildings available, than there were eight years ago,

four years ago. It's just we've got to turn that around, and I think that the way to turn it around, it's my opinion right now, to turn it around is to let some of the people in the community that care about the community begin to take a more active role in determining what the community needs and directing the funds and directing things for the community, for the betterment of the community, because you'll have more people participating, and that's what it's going to take to turn it around. Government has been ineffective in what they've been doing the whole time that I've been in that area.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: If I could, this testimony has been fascinating. I'm sorry more members of the committee couldn't hear it, but I would have to say, at the risk of getting people cranky, that I don't think much of it has had to do with the limited issue that is before this committee, and I suspect that's why everybody left because they knew you were going to tell us about a whole host of urban problems that while some of us may not be as confronted with them as others, we are certainly, at least in general terms, aware of. I can't imagine that any of you sitting here think that if you managed to wangle not just 40 percent but 100 percent of the \$2 million or \$3 million a year or

\$2-point-something million that the Philadelphia

District Attorney's Office has managed to get at

present that you could change the broad range of issues
you've addressed.

Now, just to try to focus a little bit more narrowly on what may be germane to this legislation, the Philadelphia United Neighbors Against Drugs, how is that organization funded at this point?

specifically. We are a coalition of neighborhood groups, agencies, churches and neighbors who have come together. We receive money to operate the coalition from collections among ourselves. The district attorney did give us \$2,000 to buy some equipment.

Campaign for Human Development from the Catholic Church has given us money to do organizing work, to buy materials, to have workshops to train people in leadership skills, running meetings, lobbying, et cetera.

BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Sister Keck)

- Q. Okay, so you're not getting PennFree at this point?
- A. United Neighbors Against Drugs does not.

  Norris Square Neighborhood Project received a \$20,000

  PennFree grant, and with that we're able to do some of

the activities that we talked about with young folks as Norris Square.

Q. Okay.

- A. Norris Square is one of the members of United Neighbors Against Drugs.
- Q. Okay, so Norris Square had gotten some money?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Had some of the other organizations gotten PennFree money?
- A. Woodrock is another youth agency within the coalition that has also received \$20,000 from PennFree.
- Q. Okay, so we're now looking at two \$20,000 grants that are, at this point, in jeopardy because PennFree is coming to an end and there is no specific allocation in the budget.

## A. Right.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: And, you know, Mr. Heifetz, I'm not going to ask you your political affiliation. I know what mine is and you're talking to me, you know, I think people have to take control of their own lives. I think the idea that a bureaucracy at any level, and I'm not focusing on the bureaucracy of the city of Philadelphia, I don't think the State

doesany better or county government in a lot of other counties does a lot better, that the further government gets away from the neighborhood, the more likely it is that you're going to get a lot of bureaucracy and overhead and not much in the way of results. But to get to the question I have, have you, you're a very persuasive advocate, have you been before city council, have you folks approached any other funding agency, you know, from a governmental standpoint to try and seek funding for these efforts?

MR. HEIFETZ: If you saw TV about three weeks ago there was a forum with six mayoral candidates. I ran it. It was the American Corridor Business Association. We had six of the candidates It was right before Castille resigned and Lucien Blackwell resigned, so they weren't present. And Frank Rizzo won't go where any other candidate is, but we had the rest of the candidates up there. all listened to this from me on a one-on-one. phone messages in my pocket right now from half a dozen of the city council people, so they all know who we are and they all know what we're looking to do, and I have been very active with trying to persuade and to let them know that they have to look at things more realistically and better understand what's going on out

there, and as long as you can understand what a problem is, you can address it. If you don't understand a problem, how do you come up with solutions?

at past -- in terms of establishing some rationale.

Again, you can tell, I'm a former prosecutor, I happen to think prosecutors are doing a good job with a very limited fund and I don't want the see other people intruding on that particular fund. I'm wondering what you've done in years past to seek other funding from what I would think of is more conventional, you know, the Department of Community Affairs at the State level?

MR. HEIFETZ: I can respond to a lot of that, if you'd like me to. For over two years now I've been running a committee in our community. For the first year it was a real education process for me because I was listening to -- we had the heads of the different agencies coming in and it was how do you get a house back that is completely abandoned? There's 22,000 abandoned properties in Philadelphia. When you talk to the head of Licenses and Inspections, he says to you, we don't have the money to do it and we don't have the personnel to do it, and there's 22,000 abandoned properties. When you go to say, well, we have people in the community who would like to take

that property and they'll rehab it and we'll get people living there. We'll put three apartments in there and we'll put three people in there and you'll be collecting taxes. You find out that it's going to take four to five years to get that property back. And why is it going to take four or five years to take the property back? Because the laws in Philadelphia have to be changed because the bureaucracy ties the property up and it takes them that long to get through the red tape that exists, and I won't go through all the details, before the property can be auctioned off, and then once it's auctioned off, the person that possibly had a claim on it has a year to take it back.

So it's a very involved thing. But all those laws in the city of Philadelphia have to be looked at and changed. They've got to turn it around. Once you get a few vacant properties in a block, the decay starts, and once the decay starts like that, the drug activity starts in those properties and the neighbors that can afford it move out, and the ones that can't afford it are locked in. There's a lot of nice people that are locked in that live there that can't afford to move, and all of a sudden they got locked into houses and they can't go anywhere after 5:00 o'clock at night because there's guns going off

all night long in those neighborhoods with automatic weapons. It's unbelievable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Ralph.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Mr. Chairman, I would like to go on record if we could get the committee, the full committee, the whole committee to come down to Philadelphia and see it for yourself. And the reason I'm asking you to do that, two years ago, and some of you were here at the time, I took a group of people to Philadelphia to take a look at the bars, and eventually we might have to link the problems of drugs related to bars. We might have to get the Liquor Control and we might have to get the different departments within the State to come in together and work with us because the problem is not only the drugs. For example, bars in the community is part of the They have a license to sell beer but they use the license to keep open to sell drugs. So that links together.

At the time I brought a group of legislators to the city of Philadelphia, I was able to get across the St. Mary's Hospital gave us a bus, I supplied the lunch, and we worked it together, a whole bunch of us went through the city and we got to certain

places, certain bars, the members were afraid to get off the bus. I don't know if you read the papers at the time. You must recall that probably. The same thing is happening here. At the time, they did not believe me that we had a big problem two or three years ago. When they came down and they saw it by themselves, then they changed their attitudes and their style and their ways of doing different things and I was able to get some legislation in regards to the bars and so on.

When you come down to the city and you see what we have, and most of it, most of it, I would say 90 percent of what we're talking about here is within my district. My district. You would not believe that we have, in the State of Pennsylvania, a city or a portion of the city that is so devastated and so rundown and so poor, you would not believe it until you see it. So then I'm sure all of you are going to be able to turn the other members around.

The problem is there and it's unfortunate that we have to take the task to work the problem out, but unless you see it, you're not going to believe what we're telling you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Well, let me just say, I lived in north Philadelphia when I was going to

Temple a few years, quite a few years ago, not a lot, you know. It was bad then and it's probably a lot worse, and I lived there for a year, so I saw firsthand. And just two years ago or a year ago we went through your schools and we walked your streets.

б

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yes. Right.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I was there with you and we walked many of the streets. We're coming down to Philadelphia this Thursday for a tour of the Family Court Division at 10:00 o'clock and if the members would care to, if you could join us, maybe if they want to take any tours after the court tour, that would be available.

Let me just say this. You've got to understand the perspective of the members, and each in their own way are coming from different points of view dealing with this issue. Ralph knows that I held a hearing on this very legislation, it was Ralph's bill last session. I combined them, with the understanding from both prime sponsors that we would run a hearing on both of them today. Even though there wasn't a great deal of support, and I'm being perfectly honest with you, my background is a special education teacher, a social worker, and a community organizer, so I can relate to the problem. I know what you're saying.

25

The controversy evolves about law enforcement as to whether or not this is the best way of utilizing what they perceive as shrinking funds, and it may very well be. I mean, I think from what they're saying and if their figures are accurate, which I don't doubt that they are, in most of the counties they are probably on the downside now of the forfeiture moneys. It is really not a stable source of funds. With the budget being what it is this year, I don't know if you stand much of a chance, looking at the budget. I had said to many of the leaders from the communities when we discussed this, Father Kakelic I think was one, and Jim Mills and a couple of the other leaders from the Black and Hispanic communities that we had met and talked with about trying to develop a firmer source of funding that could continue for a longer period of time than just forfeiture.

You're talking, and if I may ask, what business are you in, Sid?

MR. HEIFETZ: Wholesale kitchen and bath products.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And how many people do you employ?

MR. HEIFETZ: About 50.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And are they

basically neighborhood people?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HEIFETZ: Pretty much. Two-thirds. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: See, what I've seen, and I live in Reading, but I've spent a lot of time in Philadelphia and other --- we held 14 hearings when I was Subcommittee Chairman on Third-Class Citles throughout the Commonwealth, one of them happened to have been in Erie, as a matter of fact, and we toured the entire State with the subcommittee. Richardson was Chairman at the time, and he and most of the members toured the State with us. battleground. And this was only about three, four years ago. I mean, we're talking about an absolute battleground that it's from one end of the State to the It's not just restricted to Philadelphia, other. believe me.

MR. HEIFETZ: We are a war zone. We are a war zone.

chairman caltagrane: When you have a smaller city, you know, it's just as horrendous with a smaller city as it is with a bigger one. I mean, you can take a chunk of Philadelphia like what you're saying now and put it in Reading or put it in Erie or put it in any of these other cities and you'd say, my God, it's no different no matter where you go. You

know, it's almost like a plague on our society. agree with much of what has been said here, but I'm going to be very honest with you as I have with Ralph and Curtis, you have to have the votes on the committee. If you don't have the votes, and that means 11 votes to get any legislation out, you're not going to get the legislation voted out of this committee. It's as simple as that. Now, you can lobby, you can talk, you can do all you want, but it comes down to the fact that you have to have 11 votes to get any legislation out of this committee. If you don't have it, you don't have it. And that happened to us in the last session. And we did try to work out compromises with Representative Hayden and others to see what could be done, to see if anything could be done, and I know that Dick and Ralph worked on that and worked on that and worked on that, and I think everybody was frustrated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ralph, you have a comment?

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: I have to say, if we get Representative Nahill and we get O'Brien to join with us in going down to the city, because I know both of you have a lot of influence in the DAs office and different people because you've been there, we could let them know that it's important that the community

continues working with them. In the Philadelphia area, Sister mentioned it, the police department would not be able to do the kind of job today that they are doing if it wasn't for the involvement of the work that we do with them. There's no way out. What I see happening, if we don't do something in the urban areas where we have the biggest crime, the biggest crunch of the crime, that crime is going to move on to your neighborhoods, like it's happening right now in Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes, Dave.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: You know, we're kind of talking past each other here a little bit and it frustrates me because I think to some extent it's sort of a cruel -- I don't want to say a hoax because that suggests that somebody created it and that's not the case -- but for you folks, I don't think there's anybody who in their right mind would question the validity of what you're doing, and again, I'm talking from a Republican perspective, the virtue of putting small amounts of money relative to what government programs cost into seed money for groups like yours to do something at the grassroots. The only dispute that we've got is where that money comes from, and this

you know, through I think most of the neighborhoods in Philadelphia within the last five years one way or another, and I haven't necessarily gotten out of my car in some of them, but, you know, I think I have some idea of what you're talking about. The issue with this legislation just got a flat fight on, you know, other people who are making a contribution. I mean, philosophically you may argue about which comes first, the chicken or the egg, but as I say, I can't believe that many people who, certainly many elected officials who want to stand and say, yeah, we're cutting back on the funding that goes to the war on drugs, to the DAs and the police because we think we can spend it better elsewhere. Let's take the tact of you folks are effective, you're uniquely effective, and for small dollars you get big results and let's find those dollars. I think that's the more constructive way to go.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Mr. Chairman?

First of all, I want to thank the representatives from the United Neighbors, Norris Square, and I want to thank Sid for coming and giving us testimony this afternoon.

The only thing that I'm somewhat bothered by is this almost seems like repeat performance when

legislation is targeted at one particular fund of money, and I think what Chairman Caltagirone is saying that that may be a tougher, while Lord knows this is a tough budget year and things are tough all over, that this may be a fund of money that I happen to think is an inappropriate fund but also may just prove politically very difficult.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'd love to see is that whatever member of this committee goes down, tours your district or gets sensitized to the issue, but the Appropriations Committee as well, and if we're going to talk about building coalitions, what we should be doing is building a coalition around getting a relatively, because my sense is given the numbers we play with up here, we're talking about small dollars to, you know, call it a pilot project, call it whatever the heck mumbo-jumbo name you want to put on it. As I was saying to Representative Thomas earlier, I think that the concept he's involved in with his legislation in terms of his county based allocation agency, you know, the folks who would make a decision about how that funding takes place is a step forward in this legislation.

The issue is finding a fund. And it's just a question of nobody doubts, and I've been down,

similar. We thought we went back and dealt with the dialogue, and during last year and this year our circumstances were much better in the General Assembly last year than they are this year and we offered nothing but to vote down 845. I think that if we don't do nothing but move forward on, and I really don't care whether it's 14, whether it's 12, or whether it's 74. I think the question before the committee is whether or not we are in a position and feel that it is a position that we should be in to provide community-based organizations with some real tools to continue their efforts in dealing with the war on drugs. That seems to be the bottom line question.

The thing that seems to create the differences is how do we do it? I submit to you that as of today, we don't have any other choices. PennFree was an option, but that option is going to be a moot option come June 30th. We know we have a proposed budget for '91-'92 in front of us. We know we might be here for Christmas before we get that budget passed because there are a lot of things in there that we individually and collectively have said that we are not going to deal with. We got hospitals closing, we got 43 percent of the budget hitting health and human

services, not just in Philadelphia but all across the State. We don't have the luxury of having an arena that we can pick and choose in.

If you agree that the presenters this afternoon have provided reason enough as to why support is needed, then let's try this mechanism. If this mechanism fails, if it turns out to hurt district attorneys, which I am confident that it won't, let's give this mechanism a try, since we don't have any other options and since the likelihood of us coming up with additional options in this legislative term are almost nonexistent.

And in conclusion, I say that if we look at the '91-'92 proposed budget, the law enforcement community is not hurting. They are not hurting. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is providing the Attorney General, the State Police, county police, not all that they want, but much more than anybody else is getting. And the other part, the Federal dollars that will be coming into Pennsylvania to deal with this drug problem, most of it is targeted for law enforcement. There is nothing that is targeted for community-based organizations. I think we have a unique opportunity to make a difference in what happens to those people on the front line, and I ask that we take advantage of it

1 and move expeditiously on it. 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Ralph. REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yeah, I just 4 5 wanted to close by saying maybe--CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We have another б 7 testimony yet. Jim Mills. 8 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Oh, I'm sorry. 9 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Do you want to wait until he finishes? 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Yeah, I will. 12 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: All right. 13 Thank you very much. 14 Mr. Mills. 15 MR. MILLS: Mr. Chairman, and members of 16 the committee, I could say good afternoon but it's good 17 evening now. My name is James Mills, and I'm the 18 Executive Director of Philadelphia Anti-Drug, 19 Anti-Violence Network. 20 I'm not going to read my testimony. 21 going to let you take it and read it later. But I just 22 want to make some comments, basically. One is that I'm 23 involved in a grassroots movement in my city where 24 people are putting their lives on the line to take back 25 their neighborhoods. Two years ago, or a year and a

half ago, we came to you about drug forfeiture because we felt drug forfeiture was a way for a viable alternative for us to go to help infuse, not give a lot of salaries out in the neighborhood but infuse some programs in the community. I still think that that's a good idea. But I also think that some other suggestions that have been made about a dedicated line of funding is a good idea but I don't see how realistic that's going to be in this year's budget. And we are losing the war against drugs if we continue to put money in the back end, in law enforcement. There's just no way that you can tell me that we are winning when we need more cops, when we need more prisons.

We're not winning a war against drugs that way.

Basically, what I would seek from you is that we don't need to do short-sighted planning, and that's what that is. That's not doing any planning at all. In fact, that is saying that we're going to eventually have a police state. That's what eventually all of that is going to turn into. I think that we have -- I would like to think that we have legislators who aren't short-sighted, who won't wait until the problem is in their houses before they do something, because trust me, it's coming. It is coming. If we continue to spend our money in the back end, if we

enforcement and the portion that we're giving to prevention and education, if we continue to do that, then we're going to lose. We're going to lose. We can't win like that. And I would like to suggest to you whether it's House Bill 12, 74, or whether you introduce something else, which I very seriously doubt will happen, but you're not going to have a neighborhood to go back to, you know, or it's not going to be able to be called a neighborhood if we don't begin to do some things on the State level.

PennFree was a very short-sighted program that happened because something else didn't happen. And now we're here almost two years later and we still don't have the mechanisms in place to turn the problem around. Sure, we've done a lot in terms of prevention. We probably have hundreds of groups in our city who have begun to stand up and take back their neighborhoods, but they need an infusion not of a whole lot of dollars, because I don't believe that money is the total answer, that people are the greatest resource that Pennsylvania has, that this nation has. And that we need to begin to do some planning that talks about saving neighborhoods instead of locking up folks. that's what I would move you to do, because it doesn't

look like this legislation is going to go anywhere.

This is not going to be anything but another hearing and this legislation is not going to come out of this committee.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But you need to think about setting up something that will work, something that can help, because this problem, we can't wish this away. And whether, you know, whether we believe it or not, we are our brother's keeper, and it's happening to us right now, but trust me, it's coming your way. Like one other person said earlier, that a lot of the persons who come down and buy drugs are people who don't live in our community. A lot of them who buy drugs are people who live in our community, and we need to form a partnership. I'm not against the DA, but it certainly has positioned me against the DA because he thinks this is about R's and D's. This is not about R's and D's, not Democrats or Republicans. Kids, they will eventually be voters if they live to grow to be that age to vote, but we are losing them before, before they ever even get an opportunity to register. And we have a responsibility to do something about that. cannot allow, we cannot allow ourselves, we cannot allow Pennsylvania to turn into a police state, because that's all it's going to turn into. That's all it's

really going to be, full of prisons. We can't live like that.

And that's basically all of the comments that I make. Certainly, my statement speaks to all of the other issues that I think that we're faced with, but we need help, and I'm not just talking about Philadelphia but we as a State need help. And if you think that locking up folks is going to help, it's not. It is not.

MR. SMALL: Mr. Chairman, my name is
Horace Small, and I'm with the Philadelphia Anti-Drug,
Anti-Violence Network, and I, too, do not intend to
take much of the committee's time. In fact, if I go
beyond three minutes, please stop me. And the reason
why I say that is because this is deja vu again, Tom --I mean Mr. Chairman. Excuse me.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: That's all right. We're close. We're fighting the same battle.

MR. SMALL: Really. Two years ago we came up with a concept, we met with Ralph Acosta, we met with you, we put in a bill, and the same arguments are here again, and quite frankly, I'm tired. And just as much as you guys pretty much are tired because you're hearing the same stuff, it's just a different day. And I have no faith that this bill presently will

make it out of committee, and all I have to do is look at the response that District Attorney Marino and Charlie Gallagher and the other guy from Westmoreland County got when they left. I mean, I kind of know the deal. If Bill Gray taught me anything, it was to learn how to count, and, you know, I think in politics you got to learn how to count.

Allentown, in McKeesport, in the Pittsburgh, in
Homewood and other parts of Pennsylvania, kids are
dying every day and they wouldn't be dying if they had
a T-ball league or a baseball team or a basketball
program or leadership development programs, or any of
those things that I had when I was coming up, which
most of us and my colleagues had when we were coming
up. We don't have them anymore. And when the major
economy in the community is drugs, you know, that's
where the kids are going to go to because that's the
only role models they have.

The only message I just want to leave to you is this, because I feel like I'm preaching now, is that if we can accomplish nothing else, I beg you and I plead with you to take politics out of this, because it's not about D's and R's, and for the last two years, that's all it's been about is D's and R's. Okay? I

Reading is starting to become a problem. It's all over the place. It's not just in Curtis Thomas' district, in northeast Philadelphia, it's not just in my community, which is northwest Philadelphia, in Mt. Airy, Chestnut Hill, Germantown. It's not just in West Oak Lane. You know, it's all over. And if we can begin to start taking the politics out of this, if we can begin to start being friends with the District Attorneys Association as opposed to being enemies, which we didn't start out to be that, maybe we can get somewhere with this thing. And I know you guys are tired and I'm tired and you all want to go home and I

mean, Allentown is starting to become a problem.

Thank you.

want to go home, so I'm going to stop now.

this, that this year we're going to have to bite the bullet on taxes. There's just no getting away from it. All the information that I've read thus far about the budget briefings with Dwight and the other members of the Appropriations Committee, and I happened to have served there for a number of years before getting this assignment, I served on that committee as a member, it's going to require a tax increase. I mean, there is absolutely no getting away from it, period, in order to

balance the budget this year. That being the case, and there's all kinds of proposals on how that's going to be accomplished, but rest assured, as sure as we're here today, the budget will be balanced at some point if it's not in June, July or August, the budget will be eventually balanced and it is going to force a tax increase on whatever number of ways they're going to put 1t together, and it will require the 102 votes in the House and 26 in the Senate.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would suggest this, and you're exactly right, Horace. With us not having the votes -- you'll have my vote. You had my vote before. You're going to get a handful of other votes, but we're not going to win it. I mean, if you saw the reaction here today and you can count the votes, you know that we're not going to win it with these two vehicles. But I think you have a lot of support and a lot of people willing to help. If we can put these energies and resources into the Governor's Budget Office, number one, into the House Appropriations Democrat and Republican staffs, number two, and I think it should be done. think that this is a dead issue. I think you've made a lot of valid points. There are other methods of going after this. We need to put a bottom line as to how much money are we really talking about with the

counties out there. If we can come up with a fairly decent estimate--

MR. MILLS: \$15 million.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: \$15 million, and that would cover the entire State for all the community-based groups?

MR. MILLS: Yeah. That's twice as much as what PennFree gave.

some other conditions that we were putting on the money, that they would have to be an established 501(C)3 fighting the drug war and be involved in the neighborhood activities for at least two years so that they would qualify so that groups wouldn't pop up all over the place laying claim to some types of money. We don't want that. That's not what it's all about.

I think what we need to do, and this is just my humble suggestion, that we need to rethink the battle plan, the plan of action, there is enough time yet to do it, and put our two cents into the government process of the appropriations arm, if they're going to require a tax increase, so if you're going to increase the taxes, and when you talk about, and I'll be very honest with you and I don't mean this to be sounding smug, when you talk \$15 million, it's nickels and

dimes. It really is up here. You're talking about a \$12 billion, \$14 billion, \$18 billion budget, and when you talk about that kind of money, it is nickels and dimes when you look at a whole budget process.

MR. MILLS: Um-hum.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: But it's life and death to many of the community groups. And I think the thing that you've got to understand, and I don't want to lecture to you, I really didn't mean to get into this, but I have to say this about organizing, and I'm looking at some organizers that we've worked with, I helped with the Neighborhood Housing Services. One's in Philadelphia, as a matter of fact, one's in Reading, another one is in Allentown. I think one is in Erie, I'm not sure, and there may be another one out in Pittsburgh or somewhere. And I showed them how to lobby effectively through the Governor's Office. David's shaking his head. They needed money for administrative services. They helped build homes. They get money, basically, from the community and businesses, okay, and they rehabilitate homes and sell them to poor people and other low-income people. That's all they've ever done. They've never had administrative money to cut their overhead. Well, we cracked that egg two years ago and it's a line item in

1 the budget now and it was effectively done. Scranton 2 was the other one, David, right? MR. KRANTZ: 3 Right. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Scranton. 4 They 5 have a line item in the budget, \$250,000. Each of the 6 five cities get \$50,000 to help with their administrative overhead, and I think it's been in last 7 year and I guess it's proposed again this year. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: It's been cut 10 this year. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: It was cut this 11 12 year? 13 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: It's being 14 proposed to be cut out, in fact, cut back to \$50,000, 15 just \$50,000. 16 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Well, I think that 17 will be another battle. See, now what they're going to 18 have to do again is they're going to have to come up 19 here to the Hill and lobby. And I'll tell you how many 20 people it was. It was about ten people, two from each 21 city? 22 MR. KRANTZ: Ten to twenty. 23 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Two from each 24 city. You know, I rest my case. 25 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Mr. Chairman?

## CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: First of all, I'd like to say that as I've said before, I am cautiously optimistic. I am not one to believe that with the flu and a bad foot that I came here and spent the whole day for you to say no. I am confident that--

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I'm not saying no.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: I am confident that the members of this committee, as in the words of my Senator, Senator Roxanne Jones, will do the right thing, and I think that if you support this and if you just tell somebody else, if he supports it or he supports it -- don't leave yet -- just tell somebody else--

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: I hung in with you a long time.

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: I think that we can come up with something. The prime sponsors have agreed that it don't have to be 12 or 74 in its current form. The bottom line is that we come up with something and send it to the floor of the House, because there's a need out there.

And in closing, I would just like to recognize the presence of Representative Harold James from Philadelphia County who has also been working with

Representative Acosta and myself on this whole drug forfeiture issue, and I just wish he was here when the district attorneys were here because he's a former cop and he knows how bad people need help out there.

So in closing, I move that if it's only just one member of the committee, that the committee move expeditiously to consider 12 and/or 74 or something that looks like 12 and/or 74 and move it towards consideration as soon as possible.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Okay. We'll conclude with today's testimony. Thank you all.

(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 5:00 p.m.)

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me during the hearing of the within
4	cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript
5	of the same.
6	
7	Cenn-Marie P. Sweeney
8	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
9	
10	
11	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO
12	ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER
13	THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING
14	REPORTER.
15	
16	
17	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney
18	536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011 717-737-1367
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	