1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
3	In re: Report to the Judiciary Committee by
4	the Office of Attorney General
5	* * * *
6	Stenographic report of hearing held in Room 418, Minority Caucus Room,
7	Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA
8	Wednesday, March 27, 1991
9	10:00 a.m.
	HON. KEVIN BLAUM, ACTING CHAIRMAN
10	Hon. Gerard Kosinski, Subcommittee Chairman on Courts
11	MEMBERG OF COMMITTEE ON TURECTARY
12	MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
13	Hon. Jerry Birmelin Hon. Kenneth Kruszewski Hon. Frank Dermody Hon. David J. Mayernik
14	Hon. Gregory C. Fajt Hon. Christopher McNally Hon. Jim Gerlach Hon. Jeffrey E. Piccola
15	Hon. David W. Heckler Hon. Robert D. Reber
16	Also Present:
17	David Krantz, Executive Director
18	Galina Milahov, Research Analyst Mary Woolley, Republican Counsel
19	Paul Dunkleberger, Republican Research Analyst Mary Beth Marschik, Republican Research Analyst
20	Katherine Manucci, Staff
21	Reported by:
22	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney, Reporter
23	
24	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY 536 Orrs Bridge Road
25	Camp Hill, PA 17011 717-737-1367 143
	9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1991-093

to welcome the Attorney General of Pennsylvania here before the House Judiciary Committee. This is one in an ongoing series of hearings that the Chairman Caltagirone has called to brief new and more senior members on the various agencies for which the Judiciary Committee has oversight to become more and more acquainted and more and more involved in what these agencies do, how they function, what their appropriations needs are, et cetera. So today it is our pleasure to welcome the Attorney General of Pennsylvania, Ernie Preate, here before the committee.

Mr. Attorney General, if you would like to introduce your staff and perhaps make an opening statement to give this committee some input on your office, your operation and how we can be helpful, I'm sure that the members would then have questions.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: All right.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I am very grateful for the opportunity to be here before the House Judiciary Committee, and with me today is Walter Cohen, my First Deputy, who is at the counsel table.

Many of you know Walter for his service as Consumer Advocate and as the Secretary of Welfare under Governor Thornburgh.

Annette Madigan, the Senator's daughter, is one in my Legislative Section. Joe Peters, the head of my Drug Law Division, which includes all the BNI, Bureau of Narcotics Investigation, all the municipal task forces of Pennsylvania. Anthony Sarcione, I'm sure you're familiar with, Kevin, is the prosecutor of the infamous murder case up in your county in Luzerne and was a First Assistant District Attorney for many years in Chester County, heads my Criminal Law Division.

Behind me is Dan Clearfield, formerly with the Consumer Advocate's Office and is now the head of my Public Protection Division, which includes Antitrust and of course Consumer Protection. Bob Holste is a former staff member here in the legislature who is now the held of my Policy and Planning Group over in the office. And Robert Gentzel, who was Press Secretary under Roy Zimmerman and retained under me.

Let me see who else I have back here.

Oh, Kathleen McGrath, who did some great work for Roy in developing a Statement on Domestic Violence; and Sonny Popowsky, who is the Consumer Advocate for Pennsylvania as an independent, administratively part of my office but independent.

Let me see if I forgot anybody else. And

last but not least, the man who does such a wonderful job of keeping me informed and I hope keeping you informed, Kinch Bowman does all my budget work, and Kinch is over there. I think I got everybody.

Lou Rovelli is not here, the head of my Civil Division, and Mrs. Frances Cleaver, a friend of yours for many, many years who was Matt Ryan's assistant attorney and she's the head of my section on Legislative Affairs. She and Lou are over in Commonwealth Court today defending the enactment of the legislature changing the Constitution or proposing to change the Constitution of Pennsylvania. I spent 3 1/2 hours on the stand yesterday. The thing that comes to mind most is the motion picture that was called "Dancing With Wolves," and I did a lot of dancing yesterday and I never saw so many lawyers facing me at any one time, but I think we did a good job in trying to defend the proposed constitutional amendment that you folks have enacted.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Mr. Attorney
General, if I could interrupt one second,
Representative Kosinski has to be in Philadelphia
shortly and he would like to make a few comments before
you begin.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Certainly.

REPRESENTATIVE KOSINSKI: I just want to get something on the record, General Preate, and I've dealt with your Consumer Protection Office both in Philadelphia and here and I want to commend them publicly for the work they've done with my constituency in getting results. It's very nice to be able to tell people that the first step in anything would be the Attorney General's Office before they consider going to small claims court or doing anything else. It's a much better operation than the DA's unit in Philadelphia, and we turn to you frequently for help and we do get results.

that. And I just want to, can I add one thing to that? We handle 26,000 complaints, written complaints. That's not counting the telephone calls that we get from people saying, what do I do now? And, you know, that's all we do is talk to them on the phone. But 26,000 actual written complaints are filed with our office every year. We satisfy, through mediation, approximately 75 percent of them.

Can I tell you where we really need some help? People write to me all the time and they say, can't you do more than mediation? See, the Consumer Protection Law does not permit me to have any more

power except under very limited circumstances than to just to try to mediate, and people are so frustrated that if I could suggest some way in the consumer protection area where you could give us the power to come in on behalf of an individual consumer, the poor widow, the elderly, the person on welfare that's been ripped off, that they can't afford a lawyer and that's what you need. We can't help them in mediation. happens is we have to say, look, you've got to go to small claims court, you've got to go to the magistrate. And if you go to the magistrate, he charges you money, you know, you have to have papers served, you have a hearing, and you may be fighting a car dealer who sold you a lemon, and this costs money. They have a lawyer on the other side and you're a poor consumer, and we can't really represent them at that eventuality. And I'd really like the ability to represent those folks at a magisterial level. That's just a suggestion of where we are. If you're thinking about what we can do to help the consumers more, that's what I'd really like to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE KOSINSKI: I would be glad to sit down with you and your office and sponsor such legislation.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Okay, thank

you.

REPRESENTATIVE KOSINSKI: Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Thank you very much for your kind comments, sir.

Well, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, I want to thank you for this opportunity to talk about the overview of the Office of Attorney General. We are, compared to other State agencies, relatively small. We have 747 employees at this time and a \$54 million total budget for the current fiscal year.

That includes Federal funds, Kinch? That does not include Federal funds?

MR. BOWMAN: Total.

includes Federal funds. But our duties are far more wide-ranging than many other larger agencies. We not only prosecute, arrest, investigate, prosecute drug dealers, we also monitor charitable bequests, and you probably saw, for example, in the Philadelphia Inquirer this past Sunday the story that the Barnes foundation is seeking to open up its gallery and sell some of its paintings there and the Attorney General's Office has got the ability to say "yes" or "no" to that request, so that is a significant matter for the art world and

for the bequest of Mr. Barnes, who said that he wanted the paintings to be never sold. So that's just a smattering of the kinds of breadth we have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also conduct wiretaps and as we've already talked about, conduct consumer education and help out consumers. We prosecute polluters through our Environmental Crimes Section, and we defend against tort claims. In fact, we have approximately 30 lawyers that are members of our Torts Section and we do an enormous amount of work in tort claims.

For example, the numbers I received today, we checked on this, is that we had 391 tort cases last year. That's a lot of tort cases. that's when PennDOT is sued or the State Police are sued or Welfare is sued or some State agency is sued for a tort or a wrong. And we won, of those 391, we won 372. That's an enormous, enormous winning record, and in that period of time there was \$265 million at risk for the Commonwealth. That means that had to be set aside in case those cases were lost. And we saved the Commonwealth all but about \$22 million of that So it's an enormous responsibility to sit figure. there and have to take these cases to court. You know when you take a case to court you're rolling the dice, but our lawyers are very good, very professional, and

very successful.

Up in Erie, as the Representative knows, we've prosecuted an organized crime family up there and got a conviction, first-degree murder. We just finished in Beaver County another killer, prosecuted over the weekend, got a conviction of first-degree murder there of a multiple killer. And, of course, Anthony was up in Wilkes-Barre in the Willsofer case. Mike Kane was up in central Pennsylvania last year in another case in one of the smaller communities and got another murder conviction.

We review State contracts. And State contracts are everything from bond issues to contracts for paper clips for the legislature. We review approximately 28,000 State contracts a year, and some of those contracts have great import. For example, the Philadelphia bailout of about two months ago had to be reviewed by our office and approved before it could actually be enacted. If I refused to put my signature on it on the grounds that it was illegal, and there was some question about it, it would have stopped. There would have been no bailout of Philadelphia.

And so we also go into court in appeals, particularly death penalty appeals. I have a death

penalty appeal section and it's a small one, a couple of people in it, and in fact I argued the United States Supreme Court case upholding Pennsylvania's death penalty law in 1989. And we're always in court in our Civil Litigation Section upholding the enactments of the legislature, whether it be a tax question, a taxing measure that you propound, or whether it's the abortion statute. Frankly, that's going to be our biggest challenge in either later this year or the early part of 1992. That one is going up to the United States Supreme Court.

think, is very, very significant on the people of this Commonwealth when you think about it. For being one of the smallest, if not the smallest of State agencies, we can significantly affect the public policy of this Commonwealth in what we do, and we try to do it in a very professional way. I happen to be the leader of a very good team of lawyers and administrators all the way down — and agents and secretaries, and all of what we accomplish I certainly don't do alone. I have a wonderful team behind me that helps us do it, and I maintained most of those people from the time that I took over as Attorney General two years ago from Roy Zimmerman, who was the first elected Attorney General,

of course, in this State.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While our work in the fight against crime and drugs receives most of the public attention, as I said, critical to our citizens and our Commonwealth coffers is our work in other areas. I mentioned that of the \$265 million that we had at risk in those tort cases last year, and it cost the Commonwealth about \$20 million, and some of them are legitimate, believe me. The Johnstown flood cases that have been going around Ten years. You know, DER was sued for 10 years. because it didn't riprap the creek properly and it caused the flood and killed people and wiped people out in their homes. The Commonwealth was sued and we have been negotiating that for a number of years now. are finally getting to settlement stages.

But we also brought in revenue. Also not only did we save money for the Commonwealth but we brought in revenue. I have about a \$54 million budget and I bring in almost 50 percent of my budget in revenue. Not too many people realize that. But we have a Financial Collection Unit, in addition to a unit that defends the Commonwealth's statutes when tax liabilities are imposed, but we also have a Financial Collections Unit that is headed by Steve Brandwene from up in Kingston, and Steve has been a longtime State

employee in that unit, through aggressive collection brought in \$23,103,000 last year. And that's, you know, if every State agency was able to bring back about 50 percent of its budget, you know, we would have a very stable administration of State government here. So I just want to point out to you, that 43 percent return that you get in one year on your money is a very good one.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've asked my presentation touch on the history of the office, and the Attorney General of Pennsylvania has been, as an individual person or as an office, has been around since 1643. It's one of the oldest appointed -- it goes back to the King of Sweden before William Penn. We've had an independent Attorney General only since 1981 when Roy Zimmerman took office in January of '81 when the Commonwealth Attorneys Act went into effect separating the Office of General Counsel and the Attorney General. The Attorney General before that, for hundreds of years, was an appointee of the Governor, he was the Governor's lawyer, he was the head of what was then the Department of Justice which had in it, I think, and I remember back in the early '70's there was six lawyers in the Department of Justice when I first got to be a lawyer in 1970 and they had their office right here in the Capitol complex down on the left side, what's that, the library, Walt?

MR. COHEN: Right next to where the House

is currently located with some offices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: And that it had the Bureau of Corrections in it. The Office of Attorney General, Department of Justice, originally had charge of the Bureau of Corrections. So lots of things have happened in two decades to this office.

And in speaking with the AG's, and I've gotten to the meet most of them over the last 20 years, Bill Sennett, Bob Kane, Roy Zimmerman, Izzy Packel, Charlie Friedman from the '60's, Harvey Bartle, Pete Biester, in the last 20 years, they really have endorsed the idea of an independent Attorney General, that is one who does not take his orders from the Governor and come up with advisory opinions that the Governor wants, that he does independent review. And because there was always this thought, and I spoke to Henry Hager about this the other day that they wanted an independent Attorney General so that somebody who was independently elected of the Governor, in fact didn't even run with the Governor in the same term, and that that person be able to run his own office, his or her own office, and that that person be someone who could give professional advice to the various

departments in litigation. The General Counsel's

Office gives advice day to day. They have 400 lawyers
in the Office of General Counsel. Think about it. 400

lawyers in the Office of General Counsel, and they
don't try a single case. We have 175, approximately,
that try everything. We are lawyers for the

Commonwealth. Think about us as the lawyers for the

Commonwealth. Any time the Commonwealth is involved in
litigation, we have to go to trial.

The first step in creating the office was the passage of a constitutional amendment in 1978. In 1980 the legislature implemented the change by passing the Commonwealth Attorneys Act creating and defining the duties of new office. The act dissolved the old Department of Justice and created the Office of Attorney General. Some of the Department of Justice's responsibilities were shifted to the Office of General Counsel, and the new Office of Attorney General retained others such as, as I said, the responsibility for defending the Commonwealth against all major lawsuits.

In the area of criminal law, the Office of Attorney General was assigned some new authority.

Most notably, the Attorney General's empowered for the first time to investigate and prosecute organized

criminal activities statewide. As I said, the people in the legislature created this office with a strong expectation that we would be independent, that we would be representing the people, that we would be aggressive in the pursuit of organized crime and corruption, and that there was a clear expectation that the Office of Attorney General would be professional and particularly nonpartisan in providing legal representation to agencies under the Governor and in defending the statutes you pass and in defending the Constitution itself.

My goal as Attorney General is to meet those expectations. It's a great challenge. I love the job, I love going to work. As always, every day there's a new challenge, every day there's a new problem, there's a new situation you have to deal with. And I reiterate that the challenge is one that's made so much easier by having such a strong and highly professional, experienced staff, including the senior officials that I have with me today.

I'm going to stop right there and ask if there are any particular questions. I could go on and on and on. I've got 30 pages of material here that I don't know whether you guys want me to go through or not, but I would much rather hear from you and let's

see if we can't work it through that way.

б

You have my organizational chart in front of you here. Let me just before, maybe this can stimulate some of the questions. The chart, and it's in your materials that have been provided, has the Attorney General at the top; Walter Cohen, the First Deputy; then the three offices that advise us, the Policy Planning, Mr. Holste; it says Office of Press Secretary, Mr. Holste, that's obviously wrong. That's Mr. Gentzel, Robert R. Gentzel. And Office of Legislative Affairs, Frances B. Cleaver. It's correct on the board over there. It looks like a misprint here. We do make mistake, obviously.

Drug Law Division, Criminal Law Division,
Civil Law Division, Public Protection, and Office of
Management Services.

The Drug Law Division, you met Mr.

Peters. We have it divided into two parts - Drug

Prosecution Section, which has approximately 19

lawyers; Bureau of Narcotics Investigation and Drug

Control has 181 agents.

Criminal Law Division, under Anthony
Sarcione, has a Prosecutions Section headed by M. L.
"Skip" Ebert from Cumberland County. He's the first
assistant over there. And how many lawyers do we have

in that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SARCIONE: Twenty-eight. Across the State, about 28 lawyers in the whole division.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Twenty-eight lawyers in the entire division of Criminal Law. includes Prosecutions, Organized Crime, Child Abuse Protection and Assistance, Appeals and Litigations, Environmental Crimes, Medicaid Fraud, and Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Twenty-eight lawyers to do all of that. That's an enormous responsibility, considering we have statewide implications. I think the DA's office in Luzerne County has, for example, Representative Blaum, you know, has about 20 lawyers. The Philadelphia District Attorney's Office has over 250 lawyers. The Allegheny County DA's Office has over 100 lawyers. So we are not even -- we're just about a medium-sized district attorney's office, and in the State we'd be, in fact, I see Jim Gerlach here, we probably have less lawyers in our Prosecutions Section than the DA in Chester County. But we have this enormous responsibility.

The Civil Law Division has most of our lawyers. Most of our lawyers are in Civil Law. There we have the Litigation Section, headed by John Knorr, and that deals with the defense of the State's statutes

that are passed. The abortion statute, for example, is part of that, the constitutional amendment gets developed and prosecuted by the Litigations Section.

Then there's a section called Review and Advice. That's the section that reviews all those 28,000 contracts. We do it with two lawyers. Two lawyers to review 28,000 contracts. They happen to be very good. They are speed readers.

around a long, long time, 25 years here. He knows more about Pennsylvania tax law than any other lawyer in the State. He is from up in Peckville up in Lackawanna County. He's here since the old Department of Justice days. He can tell you whether something that you have passed is going to fly or not going to fly and how much revenue it's going to get.

Financial Enforcement, Steve Brandwene, that's the section that goes after the people who don't pay their State taxes.

Tort Litigation, Mark Garber is an old-timer, been around a long time, knows more tort law on his fingertips than most professors of law, and knows how to try a case, too, besides that. That's why those 30 lawyers in Tort Litigation are so successful.

John Shellenberger heads my Philadelphia

Regional Office of Civil Law, and that's over at the State Office Building at Broad and Spring Garden right now, and there are, I don't know, there's 100 people in my Philadelphia office. One-hundred people there. And some of them are lawyers and some of them are administrative people. They are moving over to 13th and Market under some appropriation that I requested from the legislature and the legislature gave me two It has taken us two years to make the move, vears ago. but we're going into a city building where we're going to actually be paying the city some money and helping The Western Regional Office is headed by Don them out. Minahan, a longtime pro, former DA out there and one of the distinguished lawyers in western Pennsylvania.

1

2

3

5

6

7

A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Public Protection, headed by Dan
Clearfield. Dan, as I said, was down in Consumer
Advocate and when Dave Barasch left Consumer Advocate I
moved Sonny Popowsky, who had been there for about 10
years, over to head Public Protection Division -excuse me, over to head Consumer Advocate, and I moved
Danny Clearfield, who had been there about 12 years,
over to head my Public Protection Division. That's an
awful lot of responsibility because it includes the
Bureau of Consumer Protection, which is headed by
Renardo "Rick" Hicks, a very bright young man, and of

course they have the Consumer Protection offices all over the State, and in addition to that we have an outreach program that actually they go around the State in the various rural areas and out-of-reach areas and sit there in municipal buildings or courthouses where we're not located once a month so that we can pick up and help consumers in those remote areas.

We have an Antitrust Section of five lawyers at the present time which does a remarkable job considering its small staff, and what we've been able to do a lot, even though we don't have an antitrust bill. You've passed the antitrust bill here, it's still in the works over in the Senate.

Charitable Trusts and Organizations, headed very ably by Mollie McCurdy, who couldn't be here, just had a baby girl. Some say boy, some say girl.

MS. MADIGAN: She has two boys at home. She now has a daughter.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: She now has a daughter. Take it from a woman. She has a daughter. And Mollie does a remarkable job. You folks have passed a new revision to the Charitable Solicitations Act, and some of you were involved in that, and that has enabled us to do an enormous amount with four

lawyers. Four lawyers in that whole section.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Civil Rights Enforcement under Paul Paul Waters comes from a distinguished Waters. Harrisburg family and has long been active in civil rights work. And something that we ought to think about here is giving us some new tools to work in civil The Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission has authority to investigate and look into civil rights abuses ranging from police brutality to discrimination in housing and everything in between. Unfortunately, our civil rights laws of this State need to be upgraded. I don't think we have the necessary tools to do the job, and I would like to work with this Judiciary Committee in coming up with some ability, at least in our office, for some investigative and enforcement power. And, of course, under Public Protection, with a dotted line, is the Office of Consumer Advocate, which is separate, independent by statute from the Office of Attorney General. carried under our Public Protection Division just for administrative purposes, but while I appoint the Consumer Advocate and the Senate of Pennsylvania confirms the Consumer Advocate, once that happens, they are pretty much cut off on their own and do their thing independently.

Office of Management Services, Kinch
Bowman; ably assisted by Ed Bianco from our
Comptroller's Section, Bruce Sarteschi from Personnel.
Affirmative Action Unit headed by Dave Gibson. Office
Services, Lennie Bower. We have a Law Library, and we
have a Data Processing Section.

And so that pretty much completes the oversight of the Office of Attorney General. I would be happy to answer your questions now.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Thank you, Mr. Attorney General, and I think your testimony is pretty detailed and the members would like to ask questions now.

BY ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)

- Q. My first question you touched on at the beginning of your testimony, and I think it's something that probably concerns all the members, and that is the fate of the constitutional amendment, the judicial reform which is now being contested in the courts. I don't know what you can say about that.
 - A. On the record or off the record?
- Q. And the prospects, but it has many of us concerned and if you could just give us the flavor of what you felt yesterday and perhaps what you're hearing. I don't want you to tell us, obviously,

anything you can't.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Right.
- Q. And I know you won't, so whatever you can talk about it, I think the members would be interested in it.
- Well, obviously, I support it. I think A. you've taken a major step forward in the legislature to triy to get a handle on the costs that are associated with the judiciary, and I think that's important. Those of you who read the Philadelphia Daily News yesterday saw the headline on the front page talking about the fact that the city court system in Philadelphia has a furniture shop. Did you see that? I don't know whether you've seen it. It's outrageous, that they do custom-built furniture for the judges in Philadelphia. They have a payroll of half a million dollars. I mean, theoretically, you could go out and buy your furniture, have people bid on it and get it a heck of a lot cheaper for your judges. But no, they have to have their own furniture section. And, of course, nobody under the present system, it seems to me, has any authority to sit there and say, what are you doing this for? Why are you doing that? Can't you cut costs here? Can't you cut costs there? Supreme Court is trying to do it on its own right now

and running into tremendous opposition in Philadelphia. I don't have any problem with people having nice offices, and particularly judges, but, you know, you have to and I have to and every other State agency has to go before the appropriations process and through the process and justify expenditures and try to help out in making sure that the taxpayers' dollars are spent wisely. This amendment tends to do that, and for that I applaud you and I defend that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's also a matter of judicial discipline as a part of that amendment and that, too, is important. And I'll tell you why. Here we have a very clear example prosecuted by my office. called the case against the President Judge of Cambria County, Judge O'Kicki. We've charged that man, through the statewide Grand Jury, with felonies and misdemeanors and abuse of office, corruption. convicted him. He's facing up to 25 years in jail. In fact, he's got a second trial that he's facing. even though it's been over a year since his conviction, he still hasn't been removed from office by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Outrageous. Outrageous that the man still continues to collect an \$80,000-a-year salary even though he's been proven by a jury to be corrupt and his conviction has been upheld

by another independent judge. I mean, that should be the end of it, at least. You know, I can understand waiting to have the man's guilt or innocence adjudicated by a jury and upheld in the first instance by the Court of Common Pleas. Well, that's long gone now. That's almost a year.

How long is it exactly?

MR. SARCIONE: Almost a year.

and he still continues to collect the State taxpayers' money because you pay for the judges in the Courts of Common Pleas. To me, you're looking for ways to cut costs, you know, and that's something that I just don't understand why you don't haul somebody in here and say, what is going on? That's because the Judicial Review and Inquiry Board has no teeth in it, and that's why you need an independent board, you see, and that's what this constitutional amendment will do. I think it will bring in some outsiders into the process who will actually be able to take those kinds of cases and make the proper statements that have to be made.

BY ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)

Q. But after your experience yesterday of three hours, do you feel that's in danger, the fact that it may not even make the ballot? And we all know

where that decision is going to be made.

- A. You know, the footprints are all over this that, and I don't like what I sense out there, that this attempt by the legislature to assert some, not total, control over the judiciary, they're a co-equal branch of government, but some appropriate control over the judiciary in costs and budgets and in discipline is going to be scuttled. I fear that greatly, and I think that if it does happen I predict, however, there will be and there ought to be a sense of moral outrage in the chambers of this Assembly and all across Pennsylvania because of the abuses that have been exposed.
- Q. During the Appropriations hearings I asked you for an update on CHRIA and how that's being implemented in the Attorney General's Office and across Pennsylvania. I think that might be something that the members of this committee would want to hear about.
- A. The Criminal History Records Information
 Act passed in the late '80's as a part of the overall
 privacy protections and public protections. When the
 Assembly passed the Wiretap Electronic Surveillance
 law, the Grand Jury, the Immunity Act, and it's kind of
 a balance put together, you see, you know, you can do
 this but don't go too far in investigating peoples'

backgrounds and keeping records on people, and then of course I think that what happened is lots of well-meaning people took that pendulum a little bit too far and actually hindered the development and didn't even foresee the enormous and rapid growth of technology in the computer age back in the late '70's and early '80's, and so now in 1991 we have seen this growth, we need to take advantage of it, we can do it, and so consequently the legislature, on their bill that I sent over here and worked with the legislature in developing, both houses passed it, is now the law of this State. It is a very important, very progressive law, still gives rights to individuals, protects their privacy but permits the State Police to use computers in keeping track of individuals and their backgrounds, their criminal records and cases that have been filed against them and how they come out and that sort of thing.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The problem is that there are many repositories of criminal history - the courts, the courthouses, the district justices, the district attorney's offices, the State Police, the municipal police department. I think we totaled up some 2,000 repositories of criminal records in Pennsylvania. That's a lot of repositories, and of course the State

Police being the principal one over here in Harrisburg at their headquarters, they store a lot of records in there from all over the State. All kinds of information is stored there, some pertaining to cases, some just pertaining to background, informants, and they are generally worked up into cases or they are followed up or they are not because there are no more leads, they have proven to be fruitless, there's no substance to the charges.

Now, how do you make sure that all those police agencies are not violating the right to privacy, the privacy rights of individuals, the rights guaranteed them under the Constitution of Pennsylvania and the Federal Constitution? You've asked us to do an audit. You've asked us to perform an audit at least selectively. Certainly on the State Police every year, but on these other agencies, municipal governmental agencies, towns, boroughs, courts, District Justices, you've asked us to do an audit on a sampling basis every year. Unfortunately, that takes people to do an audit. You need people to physically go out and check the records to see what's being stored properly and what's not being stored, and who has access to determine whether or not it's been abused, if some police officer or some public official that has a

grudge, is he getting access to information and being used against the constituent, or whatever. Whatever.

And you name it. You know, you can imagine any number of ways in which allegations can be put into a piece of paper and then accessed by somebody and used against somebody individually. It can blacken your name, destroy your reputation, destroy your reputation if it ever got public. You want me to audit that to make sure that that doesn't happen. I love to audit that. I think it's important; it's essential. I don't have the people, however. I've asked for the money. This is, I think, the third year in a row that I have asked for the money to do this. You've mandated that I do it, but you've got to help me out and give me that money. And I have the numbers.

We have the exact numbers we've asked for in appropriations, Kinch?

MR. BOWMAN: \$553,000.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: \$553,000 for, let me see, it would require two deputy attorneys general, six special agents, three auditors, four secretaries - a total of 15 people to do this. I put this down as one of my top priorities right after drugs. Right after drugs. Where's the list I sent over to Dwight Evans' committee? I sent a letter over

to Dwight. He wanted to know what my priorities were. He said, if I have to fund, what do you really need? What do you want? And I set forth my drug budget. think it's important we maintain that because so many municipalities depend on us now to maintain that with support. But the very next thing was CHRIA. That's how important I view this. Ahead of death penalty, ahead of torts, ahead of environment. This is vital. This is vital. I just, you know, I don't want to be caught in a bind and somehow something goes wrong four or five years from now people are bringing lawsuits against this Commonwealth and because we're mandated to do audits, and we go into court, we're sued for \$5 million or \$50 million and somebody says, you're mandated to do it. Why didn't you do it? And I have to say, I put the requests in. I can't do audits unless I get people that are specially trained in this area. And then you get a judgment against you for failure to perform your duties as a State. And we are all together with this, you and I, and the State That is why this is important. If somebody Treasurer. makes a mistake and gets information out of those computers that it shouldn't and damages their reputation, you can just imagine how much they are going to go after in the State budget. They know we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

negligent right now. Just think about it. 1 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative Fajt. 3 REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Thank you, Kevin. 4 BY REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate) 5 6 Mr. Attorney General, I've got several Q. questions on drug task forces. How many are there 7 currently around the State? 8 9 Α. Let me see if I can refer you to the map 10 here. 11 Q. Sure. 12 There are 47 different task forces. Some Α. 13 cover one county, some cover four counties, some 14 counties have more than one task force in them. 15 Luzerne has three, Allegheny County has six now, just 16 to show you. 17 Right. How large do they have to be to Q. 18 be called a task force? 19 Not large at all. Philadelphia is one A. 20 police department, and then we've had the largest one 21 is in Montgomery County which has 215 police officers 22 -- 240 police officers. It has, I think, 30-some 23 municipalities in it. Thirty-five municipalities in

Q. Okay.

it. That's the largest one.

24

- A. And they get the largest amount of money per month now.
 - Q. You mentioned Montgomery County and Philadelphia. I take it then that you have task forces where there are already task forces in place by the other police departments in those areas, is that correct?
 - A. We don't try to take over anybody else's operations. We try to supplement those where they're existing, and where they're not existing we actually create one. For example, in Philadelphia there are a number of task forces there, Federal and State and local.
 - Q. Um-hum.

- A. There's the JBM Task Force, which is a joint Federal, local task force. There's the Violent Traffickers Project, which is a joint Federal, local police, DA, State Attorney General task force.
- Q. I guess what I'd like to focus on maybe is--
- A. Maybe I'm missing your point, but we've created a new task force for Philadelphia that involves the Office of Attorney General, 30 people; 17 people from the State Police; 16 people from the Philadelphia Police Department; and liaison by a variety of Federal

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agencies, and supplanted by technical and support personnel from the -- not supplanted but supported by the technical people from the Pennsylvania National Guard.

- I quess what I'd like to focus on, Q. Okav. Attorney General, is the amount of money that's being spent on independent Attorney General task forces in areas where there are already other task forces by those police departments. I mean, I'm getting at it from a budget perspective, obviously, and in these times of, you know, tight budget constraints, I think we all need to look at that. And I am impressed, for the record, by the fact that you have over 40 percent of your money coming in from seizures that your department has undertaken, but do you have independent task forces separate and apart from other task forces in counties like Philadelphia, Montgomery County that are not joint?
- A. They are all part of the police structure. They are not independent. None of these task forces are independent of anybody else's task force.
 - Q. Okay, so they are all joint task forces?
- A. They are all joint task forces with the district attorney's office in most cases, but

absolutely with the police officers of those areas.

And we don't create a task force where there is one already in being. If there is a task force that's ongoing, we work to supplant or supplement that task force. For example, in Montgomery County the district attorney has a NET team, a Narcotics Enforcement Team.

Q. Um-hum.

A. And his office has an ongoing enforcement effort, and it's a good one. What we do is to work with that NET team. In fact, our two BNI coordinators are in the NET team office, but we have a task force of 240 municipal police officers that we pay their overtime, we pay their benefits, we help to buy their equipment and we give them buy money, pay their case expense money, provide them hold harmless help so they are not liable for their actions when they are working together with us, but that's supplementing all the work that's being done by police departments on their own and the district attorney's office and whatever Federal investigations are involved in there. So it's all part of a coordinated scheme.

What it does is it puts the ability to put more manpower out there without hiring any new people, and it permits them to sit down at a table and exchange information with one another and go out and do

the work that their municipal budgets would not permit
them to do because overtime costs a lots of money,
obviously. It's time and a half, and that can drive a
budget right out of balance.

- Q. Right. I assume that you have reports on the amount of overtime spent and the amount of drugs that your task force has apprehended and so forth?
- A. They are not my task forces. Stop right there.
- Q. Okay, well, the joint task forces apprehend.
 - A. Okay, let me make it clear.
- Q. You make the payments for the overtime and the other things?
 - A. Right.

- Q. What I'm trying to get at 1s do you have reports that have and list the amount of overtime spent and the amount of controlled substances that that overtime has brought in?
- A. One of the things that you get with the Office of Attorney General running the task forces is you get accountability to answer those questions. I can tell you that we, and one of the things that's hardest for people to swallow in the district attorney's offices, for example, is that they want me

to give a blank check to them without any accountability. I am not going to do that because that's taxpayers' money. I want to be able to say, when you ask me the question, document who worked overtime, when, what did they do, was it pre-approved by somebody so that it wasn't just a lark going off and drinking in a bar and saying that's surveillance, and that's happened in the past. I want to be able to tell you that what was done was approved by one of our State agents or a State Trooper; and two, there was a record made to substantiate that event. In other words, the police officer went to XYZ Bar, did a surveillance on a drug dealer, spent so much money, this is what he spent, this is how much time he spent there, and there's a police report to back it up. Then it's certified by that officer, certified then by the police chief, that money is paid by his borough or township or municipality, they then send us a voucher that they have, in fact, found that that police officer worked that time, spent that amount of money, and only then do I pay it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those are the accounting standards that I have put in place since I took office. And this is a \$5 million program, not a big program, but it gets tremendous bang for its buck. Why? Because I know and

that cop knows and the police chief knows that that police officer is actually working drugs at that time. It's not being thrown at -- the money is not being thrown at the problem and disappearing. We know it's being done, and the results are tremendous. In three years we've gone from these task forces, there were only a handful there when I started, we've gone from under 50 arrests to now 1,350 in 1990. We've gone from approximately 50 search warrants, am I right? MR. COHEN: Nine. Nine search warrants in '87.

warrants in '87 to 576 in 1990. I mean, think about that. That's taking -- when those search warrants are executed, they're actually taking drugs out of drug dealers' hands, you see. And they are seizing property, and then we convert that property. In 1989, just in 1989 alone as a result of the seizures done in 1989, 1990 figures aren't in yet for forfeitures, but we went from \$400,000, roughly, a little bit over \$400,000 in seizures went to municipal police departments, to \$690,000 in local forfeitures. It's up \$212,000 from 1988 to 1989, in one year, you see?

So you can actually measure what we're doing through these task forces really getting at the

root of the drug problem. For the first time police officers are saying, hey look, we're getting on top of the problem. Municipal police officials are saying, we're getting help. We never had it before. We're getting help.

- Q. The reports that you referred to and the amount of overtime and the amount of drugs that are apprehended and so forth, can we get a copy of those reports?
 - A. Oh, sure. Absolutely.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. That's one of the reasons why--
- Q. Who do I need to see them?
- A. Right here. We'll give you a stack of them. They're about this high (indicating).
 - Q. Okay. What is your name, sir?

 MR. BOWMAN: Kinch Bowman.

REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Okay. Thank you.

attorney General Preate: If you want to go through them, you can go through them. And let me just tell you, they have been audited by Barbara Hafer and spent a year looking at them, all right? And the LBFC spent six months, the budget and finance committee of this Assembly, went over them for six months and endorsed this program. I think that's significant,

that we've had independent people go through this and conclude the money is being spent, it's being spent wisely, and it's a program that should continue to be funded through the Office of Attorney General. fact, the Fraternal Order of Police, at their meeting this spring, endorsed this program completely. These are the actual guys who go out in the street day in, day out. They endorsed it unanimously. Unanimously. REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Thank you very much. Thank you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Okay.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative Birmelin.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: I don't have any questions.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative Gerlach.

BY REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)

Q. Following up with some questions by Representative Fajt, first of all, where do you see, with the PennFree situation standing as it is, where do you see the drug fight from the Commonwealth's standpoint going over the next year? Where do you think the resources will be placed, what kind of resources do you need to continue the fight, and where

do you project that fight going, as I say, over the next year?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, Representative, we are now into the third year, just going into the third year, of our It's actually less than that. It's probably, fight. I'd say, two years because PennFree didn't start until July or August of 1988. Excuse me, 1989. July of '89. So we are now approaching the second year of the PennFree money being spent. And it has been very productive. The money that we get, which is approximately \$5 million, some of it's Federal, some of it's State, is, I think, most effectively used. demonstrate that. We can show you where these task forces have been set up and how effective they're going. The State Police also get money. They get about \$4 million, almost the same as I do. In fact, they get more. The State Police get more than I get because they get additional money.

But the money is being spent on law enforcement, being spent on treatment, being spent on education, and it's being spent on community organizations, and that's exactly where it's being spent, and I think we ought to continue that same level of funding because if we don't, the one thing that does is send a message directly to law enforcement, directly

to the treatment community, directly to the education community, directly to the community groups that are out there, you know, with their hardhats and bullhorns driving drug dealers off the street. You cut the drug money, boom, message is, we're not getting support. Ι don't care how much is sugar-coated, as soon as you start dropping down the money they're going to say, we knew this was going to happen. And I think that would be a mistake now at the time when we have just put together the team, the Desert Shield team, if I can use that analogy, and now we're all built up to force on law enforcement, we're building up in the educational sphere, not quite there yet because the drug program doesn't take effect, fully effect until September of 1991 in the school systems when mandatory drug education kicks in, and treatment, which is just now starting to come up to snuff, the Federal money has been increased for treatment by the President of the United States in his budget. The one area that has been increased is treatment. \$60 million comes down to this State from the Feds every year for treatment of drug addicts. And it's well-spent, well-needed.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we've got the program in place, and that's why Pennsylvania has been recognized by the President, by the Drug Czar, by anybody who has looked

at this program independently and says, you guys are doing it right. Bill Bennett sat in his office in October, just a month before he left, and he sat there with eight attorneys general around a table down in the Executive Office Building, and the guys from California were there, all the big States, and he said, look, let me tell you something. He said, if Pennsylvania can't do it, it isn't going to be done, because you guys got the program. He gave California a "C," New York a "D". I mean, we've got it right here. We've got the right mix, we've got the right programs of assistance to municipal governments, of mandatory drug education, we've got business communities, the business community now participating through my Foundation for a Drug-Free Pennsylvania. We've got the treatment community that's getting the kind of dollars that it should get and going to get more, and we've finally gotten the community groups. This is important. We've finally got the message down to the community groups that the government of this Commonwealth is there to support them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Herman Rice is very successful in Philadelphia in his Mantra Against Drugs and the 25 other groups in Philadelphia that are against drugs, the tainted organizations in the projects. Why are

they successful? They've only blossomed in the last year and a half. Why? Because we have provided them with the police officers that they need to back up the efforts that they can go out on the streets and we know we can be there. We've put police officers there. Our task forces have worked with them. And they can see, they can see that the treatment community is getting money, that the addicts are starting to get treatment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We can see that kids going into schools are starting to get that education now. Not all the schools are at the level we want. There are 501 school districts, and I'd say about half of them have effective programs. Half of them. Student assistance programs, for example, necessary programs in the curriculum, only half of them have it. And the whole idea of mandatory drug education is get them all up to a level, every one of them. But the message is clear, that we've got the program, the people are very appreciative of it. Every place I go across the Commonwealth people are saying, gee, it's about time, we are finally getting money, we're finally getting a program, we are getting help from the State. And to take any money out of that program is going to just puncture the balloon and people are going to be -- the morale is going to sag, community groups are going to

say, see, I told you so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me tell you what it means to be in the community group, to go out there, and my people are out there with these community groups, you see. Every time there's a community group going out into a drug -to a corner, one of my agents, Mike Lutz, who's a very, very brave veteran police officer of the Philadelphia Police Department who has now become a member of my staff, and he goes out with Herman Rice to the street corners. And to have somebody there from the Office of Attorney General, to have Bob Armstrong and his people there from the city of Philadelphia Police Department, gives them, hey, look, we've got somebody here now. And when they are talking to the drug dealers and say, get off this street, stop selling drugs, they are there to help them.

They wanted to board up a Crack house, a whole group of Crack houses in west Philadelphia, in the poorest of sections. They were using these abandoned homes in Philadelphia. You know what? They didn't have any money to put the boards on the windows. The city of Philadelphia didn't have any money to do it. So they came to our office, the people in the community came to our office, said what can you do to help us? I went out and gave them \$5,000 from our drug

forfeiture money so they could buy the plywood to nail it up. And you know, they nailed up dozens of Crack houses, closed them down with that plywood now. That's wonderful. And they actually go out and clean up their own neighborhood.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You see, that's the strength that they sense is here in this Attorney General and in this State. Don't destroy that now. Don't destroy that. mean, that's fragile. It just started. We've replicated these community groups all across Philadelphia and now across the State. We've got Pennsylvanians Aware going, which is a kids' group. Kids helping kids. I give \$25,000 a year to Pennsylvanians Aware. You know the Governor didn't do it this year, I did it. I gave them the money to hold their conference, 400 some kids from all over the State met in Hershey this last week, a week and a half ago. These are kids helping kids, which the Rand Corporation has found is the best kind of drug education - kids telling other kids, the leaders, the football captain and the academic head, the president of the class setting the right example. And these are programs that are just growing. It's only in its fifth year, but it's amazing how it spreads through a school when they have that kind of help coming to them from the State.

That's why I say it's fragile, let's continue to do it, we've got the program. Everybody else has been told to emulate us. I can tell you the laws that you passed here in this legislature to fight drugs and the package that we sent over two years ago - Jim, you weren't here then but we passed almost 20 new drug laws, some of them mine, some of them the Governor's, some of them came from independently thought through by the General Assembly. Wonderful package. You know, that package has gone all over the United States. There are States that ask me for it all the time, AG's, legislators that say, what did you do? Let me get this law from you. I want to pass it in our State and get it going.

That's what's happening. So we've set the example and that's why Bill Bennett and the President have been so high on Pennsylvania.

Q. There has no doubt been a dramatic increase in the number of drug arrests over the last number years, a lot of it due to the efforts that you're talking about. From that point forward, though, the next issue of the courts and the prison situation comes into play. What are your thoughts on those two issues on what we do with this increased number of drug arrests to try to put them through the criminal justice

system and deal with them from a Corrections standpoint?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I think that you've touched on probably the weakest link in our entire program. The weakest link has been our court system, and particularly our jails. We have a tremendous number of drug cases that are run through our criminal courts across the Commonwealth and we need more judges to help do that. We need more judges to work harder, too. All right? Philadelphia is our biggest problem. The Supreme Court is doing its part to try to get that straightened out, and you saw what happened. They sent Nelson Diaz, a very able and courageous, articulate judge, put him there in charge as administrator of the judges in Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas, and what do they do? His own judges, because he was very tough on them, his own judges voted no confidence in him.

Now, I said to Nelson, you got to make sure the Supreme Court backs you up here because they put you there. The seven Justices have to back you up. That has to be done, that the court system particularly in Philadelphia must be reviewed, analyzed, and steps taken to streamline it, taken to making sure that the cases no longer have a two-year backlog, and then that the necessary local county jails get built to house

people, because right now we have seven counties, seven counties under Federal court order in this State, our largest counties - Philadelphia, Allegheny, Lehigh, Luzerne, just to name a few - that are under Federal court order to build or renovate their jails.

Now, the problem is poor planning, just an unbelievable avalanche of new cases they didn't anticipate, just as a combination of factors. Some communities, counties have built new jails already. Dauphin County, for example, under the appropriation of the legislature last year put over a million dollar addition on with Federal drug funds and State money. And this legislature has made available and through the bond issue that was passed by the voters \$200 million to local counties so that they could tap into that fund, get the low-cost money to build those jails. There should be no excuse now, no excuse now.

The only thing that's holding the program up is we are still waiting to get the regulations from the Department of Corrections to take that \$200 million bond issue and make it available to the local governmental communities. Those regulations are in the process of being prepared by the Department of Corrections. We have to approve them in the Office of Attorney General. As you know, it's another thing we

do, not only approve contracts, we approve every regulation that is submitted by an agency, and we now hope that that money will be able to be tapped into come midsummer.

we're on target for midsummer. So that, I mean, we're not -- the whole process ought to be there so that any county that wants money to build a jail can do it. The State is also in the jail building business. We've got two major projects that are ongoing right now. They're talking about building two jails, one in the east, one in the west. They are supposed to have the State jail built either in Carbon or in Luzerne. Right, Kevin, I know. And one down in Hazleton. They want one in Hazleton. I think there are about a dozen counties in line for two new jails. That's one.

And then there's another program that the State has to build, I think, two or three more correctional facilities this year under a separate program. The Federal government is building new jails. They are talking about putting a new Federal facility in somewhere up in the Poconos, maybe another Federal facility in out in western Pennsylvania. So that -- but the plans are there. The plans are there both locally, State, and federally to meet the crisis. It's

going to take time. We're going to have to work through it, though, because we're going to have to, you know, we're in a crisis situation now because we're about 18 months behind schedule, I think, in meeting the demand. As fast as we're putting new cells on line, more people are going to jail, so we're just trying to keep the prison population. Statewide we're about 160 percent of capacity. In other words, we're 60 percent over capacity. Many county jails are in the same boat. We are just hoping that we can start to bring that down as these new facilities come on line the next couple of years.

- Q. So you're satisfied that if the bond issue program goes forth for the new construction or expansion of existing facilities, that that will go to a great extent to deal with the overcrowding situation in both the county and State correctional system?
- A. Yes, it will. Yes, it will. It will have significant impact, but you're not looking at fully having an impact there until maybe in the mid-'90's.
 - Q. One other question, if I may.
 - A. Yeah.

б

Q. I was reading a U.S. News and World Report yesterday, this week's edition, and there was an

article about river boat gambling in there, about States such as Iowa and Illinois and Mississippi that have passed such legislation to allow that kind of thing in those areas.

A. Um-bum.

1.3

- Q. As I understand, last session there was a piece of legislation from an Allegheny County legislator on that which has been or will be reintroduced this session, and I was wondering if your office might have a position on river boat gambling or gambling, the expansion of gambling activities in our Commonwealth?
- A. We testified before, I don't remember the name of the committee last year, on the video poker, House Finance Committee, and there was a question or two on river boat gambling. We did not take any position on river boat gambling, we did not take any position on the video poker bill. I didn't like the video poker bill that was passed by the General Assembly last year. I don't think it had the right mix in it. It was kind of a disjointed bill, it was kind of put together rather quickly.

I offered then and I offer to the legislature today, I said, if you want to do this, and you really have to deal with this problem of video

poker because you've got conflicting court decisions out there and you have just a winking at it at all levels of enforcement. It's just overwhelming. your county alone, or adjacent counties, Delaware County, Philadelphia County, there's estimated to be 20,000, 30,000 of these machines and, you know, you try to deal with them, but people say, well, what about drugs? What about homicides? You know, violent crime is up. People want you to do something about that, and you're asking in a tight budget, where is your priorities? Well, my priorities are violence and drugs, et cetera, and corruption. That's where my priorities are. But if you want to deal with this issue, we have the resources for you that we can tap into that can effectively look at this question. they are available from Nevada, which has a regulatory scheme, from New Jersey, we have a good relationship with the Attorney General and the people in the enforcement side of the New Jersey Gambling Commission and the New Jersey Crime Commission, and I think that you can if you want, if you guys want to do it it can be done, but it's got to be done right, and I think the Governor vetoed the last bill and I think properly so because I don't think it had the right mix. It was not good enough regulatory wise and it didn't provide

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

enough money to go to a variety of different areas that I think it should have, and I'd be happy to discuss it in detail with you privately if you folks want to do that.

Я

That's an area that you can obtain a tremendous amount of revenue, but you've got to be careful. You've got to be careful. Organized crime can come in on that. You've got to make sure you got a regulatory scheme in place. That's why I wasn't too happy with the bill last time because I think the regulatory scheme was not a good one, and I didn't think the money was going to the right place either. There was too much going to this other special interest.

Mississippi, let me tell you what they did in Mississippi on riverboat gambling. They let it up to the local counties. I think four or five counties voted to have riverboat gambling, four or five counties didn't. They voted not to. Well, just telling you how bad legislation can be, the Attorney General of Mississippi, Mike Moore, who is a very good friend of mine, he said, Ernie, he said, they passed this law, it was done rather quickly, and they made it so loose that riverboat gambling in Mississippi doesn't even have to have a riverboat. It doesn't even have to

have a motor. It could be a barge tied up in anchor at the Vicksburg docks, and that's riverboat gambling. It doesn't have to be a steamboat.

And, you know, we have, you know, some very, very navigable waters in this State and you could, besides the major river that we have in the east, the Delaware, you have out west the Allegheny, Monongahela, and Ohio which steamboats ply right now. They go all the way from Pittsburgh to New Orleans. And we have the paddle wheelers in Pittsburgh running right now. Mr. Connally, who is a very good guy, operates them, and so it's possible. You could do it. You could even do it up in Williamsport. They have a little turbine-powered paddle wheeler up there. got one right out here in the Susquehanna, it plies up and down in the middle of the summertime here up and down the Susquehanna. So it can be done, it can be done, but you've got to make sure it's done right. Ι mean, some people are philosophically opposed to gambling and so they will be personally opposed to it on any count, but there are others that say, well, you know, if you give us a regulatory bill that's a good one, maybe we can be for it. And that's the position I take.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	A. You're welcome.
2	ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative
3	Dermody.
4	BY REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)
5	Q. Morning, Mr. Attorney General. How are
6	you?
7	A. Good morning. Nice to see you.
8	Q. Nice to see you.
9	I have a few questions regarding
ιo	budgetary matters and the Drug Law Enforcement. I
L1	think in the Governor's proposed budget there is a line
12	item for drug law enforcement, \$14.3 million, right? I
13	think the way they broke it down or it's broken down it
L 4	would be towards
15	A. You must be reading from something and I
L6	don't know where you're reading from.
17	Q. I just did some preparation myself
18	looking it over. Is that about right, there's a line
19	item of \$14.3 million this year, I think?
20	MR. BOWMAN: For this year. State
21	appropriation this year.
22	BY REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)
23	Q. State appropriation for drug law
24	enforcement. Okay? And I just read a blurb where they
25	broke it down to the regional strike forces, the Drug

Law Division, the zone offices, intelligence unit, and some additional agents statewide. Are you able to tell me how all that's broken out, and I'm particularly interested in the strike forces, what percentage of that \$14.3 million would be going to strike forces?

Would you be able to tell me?

- A. I don't have it with us how it's broken down right now, and these are just summary numbers, but what we've asked for we're actually a couple of million dollars short. The Governor's budget doesn't quite provide us all of what we need, particularly the municipal task force program we're about a half a million dollars short.
- Q. Is that different from the regional strike forces?
 - A. Yes. Yes.

- Q. Okay. That would be separate?
- A. Yes. I'm glad you made that point, because the regional strike forces, there are nine of them.
 - Q. Right.
- A. The ninth is the newest one we created this past 12 months, and that's in Philadelphia. There was never a regional strike force. Incredible. When I took office there was never a regional strike force in

Philadelphia. The closest regional strike force headquarters, which is a combination of BNI agents and State Police, the nearest one was Reading, 50 miles away, 60 miles away. So the Governor and I, and this is a bipartisan thing, Frank, so you understand where I'm coming from, he and I sat down very early on and we plotted out what we wanted to do with Commissioner Walp from the State Police and Commissioner Sharpe, who was then in command, and we actually decided we were going to create a new region headquarters in Philadelphia. We did that. And that's part of the -- that headquarters is in southwest Philadelphia. We have 9 now, approximately 15 agents, 15 Troopers in each one of those regional strike force headquarters. And they do a variety of duties. Some of them are undercover, some of them are administrative, some of them are interdiction, some of them are mobile, but that's basically the core of the State level of drug fighting. The State level.

1

2

3

4

5

Б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. That's right. That's what I want to talk about.
- A. That does the deeper kind of investigations, the more organized level of investigating. That's what those folks try to deal with. They also assist local police in their efforts,

but predominantly the Troopers from the, quote, "vice and narcotics units" at the local barracks help the local police, and we help local police with our agent who acts as a coordinator on the Municipal Task Force Program. One of the 15 BNI agents, it's a part of the regional strike force, is assigned the duty of a particular task force totally, 24 hours a day. That's our link to the region, that BNI agent, or in some cases it's a State Trooper. Harrisburg it's a State Trooper. In Lancaster, it's a State Trooper. Franklin, it's a State Trooper, Franklin County. And they go back up their chain to that region, the region has a lawyer there and a supervisor from BNI and the State Police and they talk about what's going on down there at that level and that municipal task force. vice unit of the barracks is supposed to talk to the State Police, their chain of command.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, see, the problem was that vice, barracks vice, went up a different chain. It by-passed the regional strike forces and went up a different chain operationally in the State Police to Harrisburg here, so that the coordinating level in the State Police between the vice and the regional strike force was done basically down here in Harrisburg. That's changing under Commissioner Sharpe and particularly

under Commissioner Walp. All the vice and the regional State Troopers, part of the strike force, are supposed to work together and everybody is supposed to be under the head of the regional strike force commander right now so that the barracks talks to the region and makes sure that they are all coordinated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those are new things. There's problems that have to be worked out internally in the State Police, and I can tell you what some of those are. The vice people say at the local barracks they're not getting enough money to make drug buys so they have to go to the DA or go to some other sources to get money to make drug buys. You know, we have some problems working out with DA's, we have some problems working out with police officers. These are new programs, they're new coordination things that have to be worked through, and we're working through them, but by and large I think they're going to be successful and the results so far are very successful. And I think that we now have 50 of my 181 agents, over 50 of my 181 agents are assigned specifically to task forces.

- Q. Are they working within the strike force?
- A. They've worked with the local municipal police department, specifically with them. Day in, day out. They're right there, right there with them. They

may be located in the municipal building, borough building, township building, they could be located in the sheriff's office in the county courthouse, they could be located in the DA's office in the courthouse, they could be located in an independent building that the task force has. Lancaster County, for example, has its own task force building. It has a building that they bought with forfeiture money over the years and all the State Troopers — the State Trooper that runs it, the agent from my office that coordinates it and the paperwork and the DA's office and the Lancaster PD and all the townships that participate in it, they all congregate at this one building and from that they go out and do their investigating. Now, that's an independent, that's a whole unit.

And then there's others, Montgomery

County has its own task force building, for example,
and the DA's office runs its NET team out of there, we
run our BNI out of there, the State Police are in
there, too, so there's that coordination that's growing
and it's developing. That's the way they are set up.
But those 50-some agents report back to the people in
their particular region. You're from Pittsburgh?

- Q. Yeah, I am from Allegheny County.
- A. Where in Allegheny County?

- Q. Northeastern Allegheny County.
- A. Northeast.

- Q. Oakmont, Allegheny Valley. Greensburg would probably be the closest one, I think.
- A. Our strike office covering northern Allegheny would be out of Greensburg, Westmoreland County. We have a task force over in that area, we have a task force in Westmoreland County also. And some of the agents from that region go over and work with the police departments in your district.
 - Q. Right.
- A. There they're assigned, what's the name of the task force that's over there? The Eastern Allegheny County Task Force.
- Q. Is that coordinated through the strike force?
- A. Yes. It's coordinated on two levels.

 One is the local level, all right? By having a task force and having a board of chiefs run that, all right, the board of chiefs sits down at a table like this.

 They elect officers. Very democratic. That's who runs those task forces. That's why I say it's not Ernie Preate, the Office of Attorney General's task force, it is the local police department's task force. They are not called Attorney General's Task Forces, they're

called Lackawanna County or Luzerne County or Montgomery County. They are run by the board of chiefs. They actually elect a president, all that sort of thing.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So they sit down and they discuss what they're going to do, and they have a coordinator, they have a State coordinator which is, in most instances, a BNI agent from my office or a State Trooper, and those people come from the region, the region strike force headquarters at Greensburg, for example. They'll come from there, so when they report back from that meeting with the chiefs, the chiefs know what's going on, coordinate it, and they're supposed to -- and if the district attorney is a part of it, he's a part of the planning of it but he certainly isn't brought in -- he certainly is brought in when there's an investigation that's about ready to be terminated because of an arrest or search warrant, then the DA is brought in, if he's not already a part of it. But the other level that's coordinated is because those people go back to their chains and they report, the BNI task force agent reports to his supervisor in that BNI region, the State Trooper reports to his supervisor in the State Police region, and then it goes all the way up the chain to our headquarters and over to State Police headquarters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what we've done as part of our agreement, and this is important, you know, two years we worked on this State Police agreement and in order to coordinate it better at our level, at our level here in Harrisburg, we've agreed to do a couple of things. The State Police people who get the drug information from their Troopers down in the field, whether it be at the strike force level or at the barracks level, now it's going to go over to, it goes -- their whole chain of command is moving over to the Office of Attorney General. All right? That's nine of them - majors, captains, lieutenants, sergeants. Their command is going to mix with Joe Peters and his command. going to be, as a matter of fact, their offices are going to be right next door to one another. All right? That's how close it's going to be. We're taking our Planning Operations and Intelligence Section over to State Police barracks because they have the computers and they have the necessary support personnel to do that. All right? And so we'll be doing more and more joint planning of operations with the State Police blending the two organizations, which are independent, but blending them operationally, which is what the Crime Commission called for, which is what this General Assembly has called for for years. So now we're

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finally getting it under this State Police-Attorney General's Office historic agreement. So I think you're going to see the final part of the puzzle put together.

See, this is part of a plan. I'm sure you can see it, Frank, that the level, street level is coordinated elementarily right there at that municipal task force with the district attorney. The other broader organizational prosecutions, investigations, are handled by the State Police and by our office at the regional level, and that's where we communicate with the Feds. That's where we communicate with the And up here is where we're going to be planning Feds. and seeing what's going out based on information received where we ought to be going, where we ought to be concentrating on, and that's where we also work with the Feds in major investigations, so that there is the plan there. That's one of the reasons why this has been singled out by LBFC and by the Feds and anybody that's looked at it says, that's the way it should be done.

- Q. Mr. Attorney General, will you be able to get back to us with how that's broken out, that 14.3, or how you propose to break it out?
 - A. Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah.
 - Q. You spent 12.6, I think, last year, \$12.6

1 million was the Drug Law Enforcement budget last year, 2 is that right? 3 MR. BOWMAN: Last year? Current year's budget is 13. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: This year. This 6 year is 12.6. MR. BOWMAN: Current year is 13.92. 7 12.6 plus a million 3 rollover of the PennFree money 8 9 from the previous year, so it's 13.92. REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: Would it be 10 11 easier to indicate, can you tell us how much of that went to the strike force? 12 13 MR. BOWMAN: Sure. 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Yeah, okav. 15 BY REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate) 16 And there's two more questions. **17** A. As a matter of fact, probably most of the 18 money goes to strike forces, you see, because I can tell you that municipal task forces get in two-year 19 20 appropriation, two-year appropriation, Frank, was \$5.7 21 million. That's the PennFree appropriation for strike 22 forces. So it's actually basically about \$2 1/2 23 million, \$3 million each year. We upped it to \$5.4 24 million in our budget request this year. The

Governor's proposed to give us 4.9. We're asking for

that extra half a million because we project out as to what these task forces are going to draw down based upon historical information that we receive. 1988, January, we paid out to task forces \$10,000 a month. 1989, we paid out \$70,000 a month. 1991, now, in January, our average for three months is \$285,000 a month, and growing. And growing.

have about seven or eight more new ones that come on the next 12 months, and as, for example, we haven't even received a bill yet from Philadelphia. We haven't received a bill yet from Philadelphia overtime and benefits and buy money, et cetera. Generally, there is about a three-month lead time. So we started Philadelphia basically February, late February they came on line.

- Q. The new task force as opposed to strike force?
- A. The new task force as opposed to strike force. That's right. Philadelphia Task Force in the Philadelphia Strike Force headquarters. All right? But I can tell you dramatically what it's like, for example, in Montgomery County.

Do you have the letter from Montgomery County? Where is that? Bob, you have it. Where is

Holste?

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I sent it over to Senator Tilghman. He asked the same kind of question. We opened the Montgomery County Task Force late October of '90. started out \$2,000, \$7,000, \$15,000, and the latest figures we have for February are \$26,000. Now, that's in four months. That's in four months we're paying \$26,000 a month now to Montgomery County police departments. You see, that just shows, now that's what I have to anticipate. I'm going to have 55 task forces around the State that I'm going to be passing through your money to them, so I've got to anticipate what that level is going to come in. That's why I project that I will need for next year \$5.4 million as opposed to \$3 million that I have spent in 1990, based upon all these huge new task forces coming on. One in Allegheny County, the new one in Westmoreland County, the Montgomery County, Philadelphia County, Northampton that just came on board. You know, I mean, those are going to be big task forces; big, big task forces. They get a lot of money.

- Q. Are you able to break out the arrests, let's say, from the strike forces, how many people?
 - A. Oh, yeah.
 - Q. We had a large increase in the number of

1 How many arrests are attributable to the 2 strike force? What percentage of the total drug 3 arrests in the State, that type of thing? I don't expect you have it right here. 4 No, I don't know whether I can because we 5 A. 6 know with municipal police arrests, I can tell you what 7 they are. 8 Q.

- Their task forces or their total drug arrests?
- A. Their task forces. Okay, I can tell you what they are. There are approximately 350 for 1990. Now, when you get over to the State Police region and BNI region people, there's going to be arrests there that they make. I think we can break them down, at least we can give you what our agents are doing in those regions.
- 0. Do you keep stats like for the strike force?
 - Yeah. A.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- It sounds like they are administrative. Q. Do those agencies strictly attribute them to the strike forces?
- A. That are strictly doing BNI investigations, that are not municipal task force investigations. I can tell you, for example, wiretaps.

We may get some information out of a municipal task
force and the informant is then passed on to a State
Trooper or a BNI agent to work up the chain.

Q. But you would make that arrest attributable to the strike force?

- A. That would be attributable strictly to the strike force. And those figures I think we have available. I can't -- I don't know what the State Police do in their accounting, whether they include in their Troop vice stats into those regions or not. I can't tell you that.
 - Q. My last question--
- A. But those are, they're probably -- those are more quality-oriented investigations. They are longer term, they're organizational. Your big chunk of arrests is going to be at your municipal police level and your municipal task force level.
- Q. So you can get back to me with those numbers too?
- A. I think we can come up with some of those numbers, yeah.

So I just want to make you understand that those arrests may not be as great in numbers as the other municipal arrests--

Q. I understand.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- A. --but they are more the wholesalers, the people in the chain.
 - Q. The bigger people.
- A. Yeah, bigger people. For example, yesterday, up in -- who was it up in Northumberland County? Northumberland County, a \$23 million meth deal in one arrest. All right? But you're taking down a guy that's enormously connected. Enormously connected. We took him down, we were running a wire in Harrisburg and we could hear on the wire in Harrisburg that the supplier was just arrested and their supply is cut off. Down here in Hershey, down here in Harrisburg. They were talking and the guy is 60 miles up river. that's how much that guy was affecting what was going on down here. That's why I say we're starting to get on top of it now through the aggressive use of wiretapping and we are able to trace assets now, we're able to trace people. We are really starting to look, instead of looking up at the problem, we're starting to look down on it. And that's why we know we're being effective for the first time.
- Q. When you break out the arrests, last question, do you have any standards, is there any minimum level of involvement for you to classify it as a local task force or a strike force arrest? Do you

have any criteria for that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, it's who's the arresting agency. If it's the local police task force that they run it that way as a local arrest, they'll be classified as a local. Even though we're a participant in it, it's classified as their arrest. And that's important to do it that way.

Q. That's what I think.

I mean, you want to let them take the Α. You want to let them, you know, get the benefit. You know, yeah, you've assisted them, you've given them money, you've given them manpower. You may even have provided them with the undercover police officer or the undercover police agent. You may have provided them with that person. But letting them do it accomplishes two things: It increases morale, and it increases their own confidence that they can handle it. And it's great to see them, you just sit back and say, boy, it's taken two years and we finally got these people and they're well-trained and they're able to spin off and run the task force, and that's precisely what's happened, for example, up in Armstrong County. They had a good county detective up there that put together the Armstrong County Task Force, and he ran it and after two years' worth of building and training, he

ran the task force. He ran it completely. And he was so good that I hired him.

- Q. They don't like that in Armstrong County.
- A. Pardon?
- Q. They don't like that in Armstrong County.
- A. Well, now he's our agent in Armstrong
 County to assist them and train somebody else, you see.
 It's incredibly important that the more people you
 train in this fight, the better off you are. Lee
 Namey, the Mayor of Wilkes-Barre, is a perfect example
 of this. I think you know Mayor Namey up there. We're
 going to be training 58 Wilkes-Barre police officers
 now. We are going to train them all, the whole
 department that volunteered. I think about half the
 department, Kevin?

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Yup.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Has volunteered to be trained by our office. So you just don't have four detectives running around investigating drugs in the city of Wilkes-Barre, now you have a pool of 58 people who are trained and over a period of time will get to be A certified so that they can do wiretaps, some of them may want to even go, if they are capable and we're confident that they can do undercover work, can do undercover work.

Thank you.

That's what you want to do. You want to build up that base of assets and resources at that level, and that's what we are trying to do through our agents - build them up.

REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: That's all.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative Heckler.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you.

BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)

about caught my attention because we sat in this room until fairly late on Monday hearing from a number of citizens community groups primarily from Philadelphia in connection with a couple pieces of legislation pending now before the legislature by which they would like to get a piece of the drug forfeiture money to perform their various, what I'm inclined to think of as valuable functions in the community. I gather from what you've testified you are presently, with some of the drug forfeiture money you receive, funding at least some community activities in Philadelphia and possibly other parts of the State?

A. Yes, and I have to, I'm sure that you heard from some of the DA's that they said, look, our

State law requires us to use the drug forfeiture money for drug law enforcement. It specifically says that.

We can't use it for community groups. But what we do get is Federal drug forfeiture money. That you can use for any purpose.

Q. Okay.

A. Okay? And that's where we -- so we take from our Federal asset forfeiture money fund and use that to fund community groups. We are prohibited by State law from, and I must say this to you, that the Federal money is small in comparison to the State money. For example, in Philadelphia, do you have the assets forfeiture book here? I don't know whether we do, but let me just tell you, for example, District Attorney Castille's office had \$2.5 million in State forfeitures last year. \$2,549,339.17. That's one year's State, in other words it came out of municipal police, Philadelphia police arrests.

- Q. Um-hum.
- A. All right? They don't report, because of the way the State law is written, they don't report what money they receive Federally. And I know and you know they receive Federal asset sharing money.
- Q. Okay. Actually, that was discussed peripherally, I think, in terms of some of the disputes

with the city police, but just, you know, not getting into that, what -- this is not something I know about -- what are the arrangements? You're saying if you're involved in a Federal investigation or if a case goes Federal--

A. Federally.

б

- Q. There is a certain percentage of seized assets that goes back to the local or State involved agency?
 - A. That's correct. That's right.
 - Q. Okay.

A. And that's not reported. They're not reported anywhere. If you went to the Federal government right now and said, tell me how much money you paid to the Philadelphia DA's office in asset forfeitures last year, they can't tell you. What they can tell you is they can give you a number that's by district. The western district got so much money. And that includes every DA's office that was participating, every police department that was participating, and the State Police that were participating, and the AG's office that was participating, if it was. And the same in Philadelphia. The Philadelphia region encompasses several counties including Philadelphia. They can just give you the number for that county, and that's it.

Q. And that's it.

A. Now, they would have to really go back and bore into their books. The point being made is that General Thornburgh is starting to do an audit now. I just received a letter from the United States Attorney saying that they are going to be doing an audit of all the asset forfeiture monies that they have collected and distributed to police. So we'll know. And I must tell you, our State asset forfeiture monies, I can tell you what they are, just to give you an example.

- Q. Well, you're talking about State. I'm talking--
 - A. My Office of Attorney General.
 - Q. Right.
- A. I can tell you how much we received from--
 - Q. From the Feds?
 - A. From the Feds.
 - Q. Okay. That would be interesting.
- A. Now, I keep those. I can do that.

 That's one of the reasons I have, you know, you want accountability, I can answer your question. Now, lots of people can't do this, I want you to know that.

Last year, Federal forfeitures, we

received \$469,118 in Federal forfeiture money.

- Q. Okay. And that would be distinct. The State Police, as the funds flow back, they may get some other number?
 - A. Oh, they'll get a big chunk, too.
 - Q. From that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. They'll get a big chunk.
- Q. And then local prosecutors, police and agencies, whatever?
- A. Yeah. Now, we also, what we reported in this book, pursuant to State law, see, you won't find that figure in this book. We reported, page 2, first page, is total cash and property obtained July 1, '88 to June 30, '89, one-year period, because these are the last figures available, \$721,532.64. All right? That's our State money. And you put our Federal money, \$469,000, so we have approximately \$1.2 million. right? Now, Philadelphia DA's office, just for example, which has \$2.5 million in State forfeiture, they get more money than we do. They get almost four times as much money, and let's see, you're in Bucks County? Bucks County reported State forfeitures of \$245,180.63 for that year. So they get a pretty good chunk of money in Bucks County. And the DA puts that to good use there, Alan Rubenstein.

Let me--

Q. He sure does. Thank you.

- A. Now, I don't know how much he received in Federal forfeiture money, because that's not reported in here. Community groups look at that as a pot of gold.
 - Q. Sure.
 - A. They look at that as a pot of gold.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. And the wise district attorney gives them some money out of his Federal forfeiture account.

 District Attorney Castille does that, I do that out of my Federal forfeiture money. That's where I can give my \$25,000 to Pennsylvanians Aware, that's where I give my \$5,000 to Mantra Against Drugs, and I have a mini-grant program that I give out. I have mini-grants, thousand dollar mini-grants for schools that need for their drug signs or something like that.

Mel Brown's home, what about Mel Brown?
How much did I give Mel Brown? That's charitable
trust. I didn't give him any money yet. I gave him
money out of Charitable Trusts. Now, there's a fellow
from the Steelers who's got a new home out in
Claysville for troubled youth, and he needed some seed
money to get started. He has a home down in Georgia
and he started one, remember the Ku Klux Klan was

fighting him, they didn't want him to have a place there, and so what we did was to give him some seed money. We gave him out of our Charitable Trusts section we had some phony charity scam that we discovered and made the guy pay some money in restitution because he said the money was going to be used to help underprivileged kids fight drugs, so we said, oh, good. We didn't use Mel Brown, but we said now that you're making restitution, this is where the money is going, so we just channeled it right over to Mel Brown. And that's where you can help out voluntarily.

- Q. Well, I think the sense, at least my sense and I think probably a lot of the committee members felt and feel that these organizations are doing very worthwhile work. There is some concern with taking those funds away from primary law enforcement activities, but I'm happy to hear that at least some of those forfeiture funds can legally be and are being applied by you for that purpose.
- A. Yes, they are. I can give you the amount that I give to them, if you need that, Dave.
- Q. That would be great. Again, I wasn't so much in this area concerned about specific budget numbers. I was happy to hear you touch on this because

it's something that this committee is--

- A. One thing that you could do is to get better accounting of that money. And I tell you this, you've been a DA a long time, I've been in the business 21 years, and sooner or later somebody is going to start looking at this stuff. Right now there are no controls. There are no controls. Listen to me, no controls.
- Q. That's an interesting point. You're talking about Federal forfeiture or the State?
- A. Federal and State. These Federal funds, I venture to say, these Federal funds are unaudited. They're unaudited. The only audit that's required is that by State law you have required that I collect reports from the district attorneys as to their State forfeiture.
 - O. State forfeiture?
- A. I call it State forfeiture money. It's municipal forfeiture money.
 - Q. It's under State law.
- A. Under State law, right, as opposed to Federal law. And all they are required to do is state how much they receive in a year and how much they spent. For example, in Philadelphia they have one line, received \$2.5 million. And then it says, "Use of

forfeited cash, and Philadelphia, two lines.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, General, that's not a point that I Q. had really planned to explore, but it so happened that we had testimony from the DA's here on Monday as well and they were pointing out that their county controllers require very detailed accounting and do indeed audit their accounts on an annual basis and that each check, and most of the DA's, I don't know about a place the size of Philadelphia, but for instance I know from experience in Bucks and I believe Mike Merino was here from Montgomery County, he pointed out that only he and one other person in his office were authorized to sign checks, that he views himself as personally accountable for those funds and that all of that information is, you know, handled by his controller on an annual basis. So that I would wonder if, you know, it may be that that information isn't reported in the book you prepared or that detailed information isn't automatically sent to the Office of Attorney General?
 - A. Right.
- Q. But have your folks looked to see if it's available at the county level?
 - A. You can't get it.
 - Q. You can't get it? You've tried?
 - A. You cannot get it. For example, Joan

Specter in Philadelphia City Council wanted to look at the audit. In fact, Jonathan Seidle wanted to see the books. He can't get them. There's no authority to have them look, except what is provided by the district attorney. And let me just say, 99.9 percent of the people who handle this money are going to be doing it very carefully. But, in the last six months alone the district attorney of Trenton was arrested, prosecuted for misusing his forfeiture account; the police chief of Detroit, a major story in the United States, just a couple months ago, arrested for funneling money from his drug forfeiture account over to his private, his family and other interests out of State. So, and I'm talking about big money now.

Q. Um-hum. Um-hum.

- A. I am just telling you, those are in the hundreds of thousands and millions of dollars.
 - Q. Aside from--
- A. I think that you've got to look at this as a potential because somebody, just as you've been holding hearings on the asset forfeiture money, somebody is going to, in the 1990's, sooner or later say, where did all the money go?
 - Q. Sure.
 - A. You want to know? I keep my records. I

don't want to go to jail. I want you to be able to come down and see where every single dollar came in and went.

- Q. Well, as I said--
- A. Okay?

- Q. As I say, it may be. I'm certainly no expert on the city charter of the city of Philadelphia as a Home Rule entity.
 - A. Right.
- Q. I am pretty well satisfied that the county codes require to make that information available and make the district attorney accountable for it, but it's something that we should certainly look at if indeed the city charter doesn't allow the city controller to hold the district attorney accountable for that money.

MS. WOOLLEY: Excuse me.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Yes, Mary.

MS. WOOLLEY: I thought that Charlie Gallagher, who was here at the hearing, testified or said prior thereto that he spent the previous week in Seidle's office going through an audit, a five-day audit on their forfeiture account.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Again, the information we have is contrary to what--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: No, it's not contrary. I said -- I qualified it that it's based upon what information is provided by the district attorney. Okay?

MS. WOOLLEY: But Seidle has no authority in the charter to audit?

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: He has no independent access to delve in further into that. In other words, you can present your checkbook - this is what we took in, this is what we spent. The checks were cashed. You know, how far do you go down? far do you go down in the accounting scheme? that's what I think that, you'd have to talk to Joan Specter about this, you'd have to talk to Seidle about it because I know that in some instances they wanted to go down further and determine, yeah, that we know where the check was, did the person get the money? Was it justified? Because there's been reports, for example, in Philadelphia alone that drug forfeiture money was being spent on ice cream, car washes, air conditioners, stuff that wasn't related to drug forfeiture. The purposes. And that's what I'm suggesting to you.

And it's something you can look into quietly if you want to, you know, get with the people and see how can we make sure everything is on the up

and up? Because there's just too -- the examples are starting to surface now. I mean, we're talking about a major city district attorney in Trenton, New Jersey, right across the border, and we are talking about a major city police chief in Detroit. You're not talking about somebody from Podunk, America. Small town, small county. Rural Pennsylvania. You're talking about big money, big people. And that's something we ought to look into.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know the answers. How far, it's possible like some of the people are getting -- they're confidential informants and you don't want to have to disclose the name of that informant, even to an auditor, you see. And that's why Charlie Gallagher, I'm sure, was there saying, now, this is the check and we aren't going to let you see the guy's name, you He may be doing something like that. know.

- BY REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)
 - О. Well, that would be -- that is a natural concern.
 - A. Absolutely. And I would not let anybody see the names of the informants that we paid.
 - Q. Well, I frankly would have assumed that that would be a situation where the check would be made payable to somebody besides the informant, you know, a

police officer who, you know--

A. No--

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. --to pass the money through.
- Well, as I said, I am not, as I said, A. Walter wants me to emphasize the point that what Charlie and Jonathan are doing is not inconsistent with what I said because I think when I first said it I said it's what the DA shows them, all right? And then it's a depth level that how far can you get down to verify all of that information? That's why if you want to know where I spend my appropriation from you, I can tell you what police officer got what for what case and when and what were the results. That's how detailed I I can go right down to that can get with you. individual police officer in any town that you name and I can tell you what he got from us and what he did with it. And there's a voucher and an affidavit, basically, a certification that backs it up from the municipal police department that, yeah, that did happen.
- Q. If we could go to that so that I understand these, and now you're referring to the 47 or more task forces that are where you're actually having money flow through the Office of Attorney General to these local police officers who are acting for the task forces, what you get back in terms of the documentation

is something from their chief, presumably, or, you know, administrative supervisor?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Saying this guy was under my supervision,
 I know that he was working on drugs, and these are the
 hours he put in, this is what we paid him, this is what
 we're asking for you to reimburse?
 - A. Precisely.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's one step that goes before that, Α. and that is there's a pre-approval step that's done by our agent there. When the police officer comes in and says, I want to work on case ABC and go to XYZ Bar because I know that's where the guy's going to be, the informant just told me he wants to meet me there and point him out to me, so I'm going to spend about two hours there at the bar, all right? Agent says, okay, makes a note, you can go ahead, all right, no problem. And there will be a report that that police officer will make as a part of his normal duties to his superiors that it's a police report. He will also tell his superiors in the department, I spent \$10 on drinks and I paid the informant \$50 and I spent two hours overtime and so I get \$75 in overtime and benefits, and he submits that. That's passed up to the financial

people in the municipality. They pay that. The chief certifies that that was work, and then it's passed on to us and then as long as the chief certifies it and the officer certifies it and we check our records that, yeah, that was done, paid, 45-day turnaround.

1.2

- Q. And when we're talking about these officers working overtime, we're talking overtime in terms of their contract they're getting paid time and a half or whatever their contract calls for?
- A. That's correct. We do not pay for the base salary. We do not pay for the base salary. Some of these police officers may be working traffic for eight hours or they may be working burglaries, they may be working homicides. It depends. They have to volunteer, they have to want to do this.
- Q. I will have to confess, as you noted, we've both been around law enforcement a long time one way or another, and whenever I start hearing numbers and productivity I confess a certain amount of dubiousness, let's say. Obviously, your task forces are growing, these are local officers who are now out, you know, on your nickel, in essence, doing these various investigations which obviously we all hope will lead ultimately to arrests, and you're talking about a dramatic increase in the number of seizures and the

number of arrests by the task forces or under the auspices of the task forces.

A. Of the task force.

- Attempted to relate whether the overall number of arrests and seizures within that particular county or jurisdiction is going up or whether we're just taking, you know, the guy would have been making the same buy anyway, maybe wouldn't have been out on the street quite as many hours because his department wasn't paying the overtime but now because there's a task force there that's wrapped into the task force number? I mean, obviously, we're concerned with overall productivity of the whole enforcement system. I was just wondering if somebody had a handle on it?
- A. Right. Right. Are arrests up? Overall, I can tell you arrests are up, overall. For example, in Montgomery County, let's just use it, because you don't have a task force in your county but the DA has his task force there. Arrests still continue by a local police officer. So they're still making arrests. Philadelphia PD will make something like 7,000 arrests in 1991 for drug violations. We anticipate that about 500 of those, give or take, will be as a result of our task force activity. Hopefully, these will be people

that they couldn't get ordinarily, because most of the Philadelphia PD arrests are highway stops, you know, observation. They're arrested for some other offense, they've got dope on them, and that's generally how they're coming about.

Let me just use another example, all the way to the other end of the State. Fayette County. We put a task force together in Fayette County a year ago. Within six months they made 80 cocaine arrests. They never had 80 cocaine arrests in entire county history. It's just some places it's dramatic.

- Q. Yeah. Well, that's what I'm trying to get at.
- A. Some places it's absolutely dramatic,
 Dave. I can't -- Joe, can you give us some, do we
 break it down as to what they had before and what they
 have now? I don't know whether we can. I just don't
 know whether we can. The only thing I can do is give
 you testimony of police departments, chiefs, who say we
 never were able to do this before, now we're able to do
 it. And as I said, the other value of the task forces
 are that they spin up a lot of information that we then
 take and utilize in developing of organizational
 investigations and prosecutions in wiretaps. For
 example, we went from 9 wiretaps in '88 to 30 to 35 in

one year. Jumped. Boom. Has kicked up because of information coming from task forces, basically.

- Q. And that work is done essentially by the regional strike forces?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And I made a note when you were speaking before and I think I got it wrong, when you speak of having roughly 50 agents under your auspices and 50 Troopers, that's not for each strike force? That's the whole nine?
- A. About 50 to 55 agents that are now assigned full-time to municipal task forces.
 - Q. Regional? Municipal?
 - A. Municipal police department.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. They came out of, Dave, they came out of the Regional Headquarters, went down to work at the street level with the police department.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. They got -- we've got one or two BNI agents who are attached, administratively and operationally, to the strike force but they're working day in, day out down here. And other BNI agents. Now, if you took, I have 181 agents in BNI. If you took out 55 from that, you'd see I have about 130 agents that

are working non-municipal police task force cases, or in an administrative capacity.

Q. Um-hum.

- A. All right? And I've tried to eliminate as many of the chiefs as I can and just give me bare bones. I want bare bones. I don't want too many chiefs, I want more indians. So I've got a chunk of that, the biggest chunk of that is going to be indians, people out in the street working the compliance and undercover. And they're developing the cases against organizations, along with the State Police.
- Q. Okay. And do most of them, now putting aside these 50 that I had in the wrong column here that were task forces, most of the rest of them are working through the 9 regional strike forces?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. That's correct. They're right in the same building. They're right in the same building. For example, in Greensburg, this comes to mind right off the top of my head, but, and in Wilkes-Barre, they actually work in the same floor of the same building. There's just a doorway between them. That's all, just a door. And Philadelphia strike force, the State Police and the BNI agents work in an open setting.

They work in a big room that's just got — the only thing that separates them is these little modular cubicles that are just four or five feet high, and so they are actually blended right there physically working with one another at the strike force level.

You know, physically, but they are there. When we talk about a regional strike force headquarters, you talk about a building and you talk about BNI agents in it and State Troopers in it. And we pay the rent, by the way, for the State Police, we pay for the paper, we pay for the phones, we pay for everything, the typewriters, the secretaries. The State Police got a good deal.

They get a real good deal. Rent-free.

- Q. Well, having remembered the days back in Bucks County when happily one of the barracks commanders was well enough off that he could contribute one of his wife's businesses' leftover Xerox machines because they were sending Troopers to drive five miles down to the DA's office to Xerox things--
 - A. Right.

- Q. -- I know what you're talking about.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Or at least it's good somebody is providing it because it probably wouldn't get provided otherwise.

1 I guess the other question that arises 2 then, we talk about the task forces, are there productivity, are there ways of measuring the 3 4 productivity of these regional strike forces as far as what they are doing, you know? 5 6 Strike force arrests. See, it's another 7 thing, we can do this for you. Q. Great. 8 9 Α. Total strike force arrests. This is BNI, 10 State Police combined, 2,300 in 1987; 3,100, 1988; 11 3,200 in 1989; 3,400 in 1990. I'd give you the exact 12 numbers, but that's roughly what it is. For example, it's 1989, 3,231; 1990, 3,452. 13 14 Q. And this doesn't overlap with the task force? 15 16 Not the task force. This is strictly the **17** regional strike force. We do not count municipal task force in these numbers. We do not count. 18 19 Q. And does not overlap with, well, I assume 20 that arrests that the State Police make. 21 A. They're counted in here. 22 Q. Okay.

State Police numbers are counted in here.

Okay. And that would include -- would

that only be, I mean, again, the State Police have --

23

24

25

A.

Q.

	95
1	you've got a fairly substantial base line of, you know,
2	neighbor calls up the barracks because they see
3	marijuana growing in the background of X, you know,
4	stop somebody on the turnpike, that kind of thing. Is
5	that included in those numbers?
6	A. The barracks, that's why I said to you,
7	the barracks' efforts are not counted in these numbers.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. The barracks' efforts are not counted in
10	these numbers. For example, Trevose, there's a
11	barracks at Trevose in your county.
12	Q. Um-hum.
13	A. They would not be counted in these
14	numbers.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. So anything that Trevose barracks does is
17	not in these numbers.
18	Q. Right.
19	A. They're separate. They're under the

A. They're separate. They're under the total State Police numbers. The State Police can give you total State Police arrests. They'll be included under total State Police arrests.

Q. But the strike force numbers are broken out only with the situations in which either your people or the State Police, mostly the two working

together are actually making arrests produced by investigations that the strike force--

A. Yeah. Either we worked them separately or the State Police have worked them separately or we've worked them jointly together. What we're trying to do is to do more joint work.

O. Um-hum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pool information, pool resources. Because the State Police are diminished in their numbers because of the retirements. Why? Because we want to plan operations now, putting our two agencies together to target, go aggressively after a target. We know X has been a drug dealer for five years in a certain county. We have not been able to get him. Well, let's target him. Let's get what we can do. Ιf we put a task force together to work this guy, and there are people just like that. There are people just like that in every county, and you know that, too. I'll bet if you sat around and listened to cops say who's the drug dealer, and they'll say, gee, there's this guy we've haven't been able to get but we're trying here, trying there. You know, they keep trying but just can't get them. And that's what we want to do intelligence wise is to plan those kinds of operations, target individuals that we know are involved in drugs.

1		Q.	Okay.	And	that's	really	happen	ing	
2	through	prima	rily th	rough	your	investi	gative	arm,	BNI

- A. BNI. Bureau of Narcotics Investigation.
- Q. With all this stuff --
- A. I understand.

- Q. You have a regional attorney who is assigned to each one of these?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And his or her role is oversight or legal advice? What function do they perform?
- A. Their role is to ensure coordination in the strike force headquarters between BNI and the State Police.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Historically, when I took over and I knew as a DA, even though there was a regional headquarters with BNI and State Police in the same building, they weren't talking to one another. You knew that, I knew that in the DA. You know why? State Troopers didn't want to report to a BNI agent. BNI agents didn't want to report to State Troopers. So you know what they did? They didn't talk to one another. We worked it out through the agreement with the State Police that they would talk to somebody who is much more concerned about coordination than turf, and that's that lawyer,

most of whom are — they're all experienced, veteran assistant DA's, 5, 10 years' worth of experience. And so they understand the problem, and that person is to ensure that there is coordination between BNI and the State Police. That's one. Two, to answer any administrative inquiries dealing with personnel for one or both of the groups. Three, to give advice when requested by BNI, by the State Police, or by municipal task force. All right? That's their role. They are not there to be prosecutors of cases except where requested by the district attorney. That's where the only time that they are to go in to court.

One other instance, and this is a rare instance, where there would be a statewide Grand Jury presentment that indicts some people for drug dealing in a particular area, and in that instance it may be the district attorney that wants it that we offer it to them, do you want to prosecute it or do you want us to prosecute it or do you want to do it jointly? And we do it. It just depends on the district attorney.

- Q. Do you always consult, and I'll tell you right up front, as you know, I was very much involved with the DA's Association.
 - A. Right.

Q. For years I had at least one DA who's

telling me that your folks, you know, snapped up a case that their task force was working, ran it through the Grand Jury in order for you folks to get jurisdiction.

Do you always consult with the local DA where there's-

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 99.9 percent of the cases you're going to find that there is wonderful coordination between the two. There's going to be a time when there's a slip-up, but in most instances it's the district attorney that prosecutes the cases. I mean, our prosecutions, and the total number of prosecutions of drugs in the State are infinitesimal. They're less than 1 percent. I don't have the staff. I mean, I've got, what, 19 lawyers? I mean, Ron Castille has 250 lawyers to prosecutes drug cases and all other cases. I don't have the capacity to do that. So it's just simply I can't do it. I just can't prosecute and I don't want to prosecute, I don't intend to prosecute. It's the DA's responsibility.

Now, having said that, out in western Pennsylvania where there are tight budgets, the DA's offices were cut back, one of the DA's has requested that my regional attorney out there be cross-designated as an Assistant DA for his county, so she prosecutes all the drug cases in the county. He's got an Assistant DA for drugs at no cost. Now, that's what we

can provide. And so she's also the regional, it's Linda Barr, her name is, she's also the regional attorney for five counties or six counties there in northwestern Pennsylvania, but for this county, Mercer County, she is the drug prosecutor, and that is because the DA wanted her. He said, I'm stuck. I need help. So, fine.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And over in Mike Eakin's county, for example, we did the same thing not in the drug area but in the prosecution of the Camp Hill cases. I had an assistant that was cross-deputized over there and he did prosecutions for Mike as an assistant DA. likewise, Dave, these regional attorneys get cross-designated as AUSA's, Assistant United States Several of my regional attorneys are now Attorneys. cross-designated as AUSA's, and they actually present cases to the Federal Grand Jury and prosecute in the Federal court, so that the United States Attorney has an Assistant Attorney General, and that insures coordination of our investigations. So we can take a case that's developed by one of our BNI agents or the State Police, we can take it to the statewide Grand Jury, we can take it to the Federal Grand Jury, we can give it over to the State, to the local district attorney for prosecution - he may decline, he may want

to do it - or we can go federally with it. So we get a great deal of flexibility with this one individual there.

- Q. With regard to that, the agreement that you've mentioned with the State Police, and in view of the fact that DA's do prosecute the vast majority of drug cases under the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, I'm not quite clear on the import of the agreement as to approval of search warrants, I guess in the case of wires, affidavits, complaints. Is it the case that all State Police, that any time the State Police want a search warrant they are obliged to go through you folks under this agreement or is this only in the strike force context?
- A. No, they can -- the State Police are required to consult with us, all right?
- Q. And that's all? That's at the barracks level as well as strike force?
- A. The barracks level as well as the regional level, okay, the regional strike force level. They're required to consult with us. And I don't have the actual -- do you have the agreement here? I can tell you what it says. Excuse me, just the strike force.
 - Q. Oh, okay.

1

Do you have the agreement here? A.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's not the barracks level, not, for example, the Trevose barracks, but generally where they're working in the regional strike force office they're required to consult, and that's the word, I think it's the word, coordinate and consult with the regional attorney, all right? Now, he does not have powers to approve or disapprove that search warrant. All that the State Police officer's required to do is to bring and let him know. And that's for coordination so that he might know, this regional attorney, might very well know that, one, a BNI agent is working that same case, be careful when you go in because somebody might be in there with an informant, right? Two, that a municipal police officer might also be working that case. All right? That's his job.

- And so does he, does that regional Q. attorney then also coordinate with the local district attorney?
- Precisely. If the local district attorney, in many instances these cases are already working through the local district attorney. They're working together. And so the local district attorney, if he's not involved in it that particular time where it's practical for confidentiality reasons or whatever

happens, there may be something that we cannot reveal, just like the Feds don't tell the DA every time they are executing a search warrant, all right? There may be some very important reasons why this has to be kept confidential. And he will call up or visit with the DA, or he may have a prior arrangement with the district attorney. And all these regional attorneys are required to meet with the DA, develop a relationship and work out how the DA wants to handle In some instances the district attorney has said, fine, go ahead and do it, just let me know after you've done it and when we're having the press conference. And fine. Others want to know, boom, the very minute, I want to know beforehand, I want to know immediately, whatever. It varies. There's 67 DA's. So they are told to coordinate with the district attorney as per the district attorney's desires in that particular region.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Remember, there's a lot of part-time

DA's. In fact, the vast majority of them are part-time

and they welcome, they say, great. You know. There's

only 13, I think, full-time DA's, and the part-timers

say, gee, basically, go ahead and do it, just let me

know and keep me informed, et cetera, et cetera, and

that's all they do. But if the district attorney has

approval power under the criminal rules, as you know, there's a rule that says the district attorney has to approve every single whatever he desires. If they have it, then it's the district attorney that's going to approve it. But we try very hard to make sure that wherever it's possible that to consult on search warrants and clearly arrests. Clearly, before arrests are authorized in the chain under the BNI chain or the State Police chain, the district attorney is consulted.

- Q. Well, you're saying definitely there is that consultation, because frankly, that's not necessarily what I'm hearing from the other end of it.
- A. Well, you may be hearing from -- it's very hard to put this in words, but there are certain district attorneys that are not, frankly, willing to work with us. And, you know, communication is a two-way street. You've got to sit down and talk, and if you just shut out people and say, I'm not going to talk to you, get out of my office, and berate them and throw them out, as some DA's have done, well, my goodness. You know, it's very difficult to have proper exchange under those circumstances. And I would -- but in the vast majority of cases there is a good working relationship.
 - Q. Um-hum. Specifically, if I could focus,

and I guess the concern that I come back to, having gone through enough suppression hearings in my day with documents that either were well-drawn or in some cases weren't so well-drawn, if I'm going to take it into court, I want to see it. I have, you know, a personal concern that I not get stuck with somebody else's work product. And I'm wondering, apparently this agreement requires a review of those documents, consensuals, complaints, search warrant affidavits by your regional attorney.

A. That's correct.

- Q. And if there's not some kind of, I mean, the district attorney is not involved in this agreement you've entered at all, any coordination with the district attorney is on an all-point network.
- A. It's only meant to be a State Police-AG's Office, and the district attorneys come into the picture when the regional attorney receives the consultation of the State Police or is asked for his advice.
 - Q. Well, if I could just --
- A. And at that point he reviews what's been submitted and at that point, depending on the case, he is to call up the district attorney before the warrant is approved, if he's to approve it by him, or to send

the Trooper over to the DA and say, the DA here wants to approve all these search warrants. Go over and take it to him now that it's prepared and see what he says about it. But at least the police, the BNI agent, or the Trooper has had some legal advice and input into it by the regional attorney, could very well have been done at the behest of the district attorney. The district attorney could easily have said, go see the regional attorney first and put it together and then come back and see me.

- Q. Absolutely. I mean, there's no question that we have a wide diversity of counties in this State and that there are some DA's that are going to value any assistance they can get.
 - A. Right.

1.3

- Q. There are also, you know, I come from the perspective of Bucks County where you've got a pretty fair size office with generally highly-trained people.
- A. We don't have any -- you know, my two top people were Alan Rubenstein's two top people.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Both of them, Andy Demarest, for example, just left and he's the regional attorney for Bucks County and Lehigh. And, I mean, so, you know, he's there. He tried the cases, he approved the wiretaps,

he approved the search warrants when he was there as an assistant DA. So he's the guy that's in the region, and he works with Alan and a variety of different things, but we don't have a municipal task force there but we have these State Troopers there and we have our BNI agents that are working in and around the county at organizational levels.

- Q. In the region.
- A. And we just did a drug case over there last year in which we did the drugs and Alan's doing the homicide.
 - Q. Um-hum.

- A. I mean, that's how it worked out. We split it. I don't have any problem with Alan Rubenstein.
- Q. And I don't think he has any problem with you.
- A. No. I never heard of -- there are a couple DA's down in the southeast that I've had a problem with, frankly.
- Q. Well, let me, putting personalities aside, if the DA in all of these cases where your folks are exercising this supervisory or advisory role--
- A. Advisory. It's more advisory. Not to supplant the DA at all.

- Q. Well, the DA is going to have to prosecute the case.
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. But have you folks considered whether at least those provisions of the agreement you've entered into violate the Commonwealth Attorneys Act beyond your authority?
- A. I can't see where advising the State Police or advising my own BNI agents violates the Commonwealth Attorneys Act. As you know, the State Police have attorneys under the General Counsel's office. Are you aware of that?
 - Q. (Indicating in the affirmative.)
- A. And every wiretap, for example, every wiretap application that is sought by the State Police is brought first to the Office of General Counsel attorneys. Then it comes to us. Then it comes to us. Because we have authority under the Wiretap Electronic Surveillance Act to approve wiretaps. But before it gets to us, it goes to their attorneys for advice. Now, they may turn it down. They may say it's insufficient. Okay?
 - Q. If they do, does it get to you?
- A. It never gets to us. They tell us it's not approved. And if they say it's insufficient, they

may tell the State Police officer, go and do something. Now, we certainly are going to find out about it because the State Trooper is going to come back and say, General Counsel has just told us that this is insufficient. We may disagree with General Counsel, all right? But they say we've got to get some more information, we've got to work on it. And so we may just have to go ahead and work on it.

But it's like different branches of government, you know, we've got to work together, Dave, and I don't want to violate the Commonwealth Attorneys Act. I hope that these people that are advisors are not going to be out there. They're there to coordinate and to advise. The more people we have I think helping the local police officer or the State Police to do a better job in these days of very, very difficult decisionmakings that have to be made under case law and under statutes, wiretapping statutes, case law cases that have come down. I mean, how can a State Trooper know all the nuances of the newest laws? I mean, years ago, yeah, it's possible, but now it's impossible. So that's why this advisor is there.

This committee can be very helpful. If you can help us work through this problem with the district attorneys, because I think that they put up a

lot of boogeymen here. If you actually sit down and work through it, you know, it can be done. Now, I'm trying. We've had meetings, several meetings with the DA's, and they've met with the State Police, they've met with us, and we're trying to come up with a proposal that would be acceptable to all sides. So it's the kind of thing that's got to be worked out in-house though. Do you know what I mean?

Q. Um-hum.

- A. I mean, these are in-house. This is law enforcement. The bad guys are the drug dealers, you know. We should not be worried about turf. We should be worried about working together. But if people take an attitude that they don't want us, that they don't want to hear, take a very strong attitude, we're not going to accomplish those goals. You know, I like to see every possible way we can work it out.
- Q. Well, as I said, from my perspective the issue is, you know, effectiveness in getting the benefit of the dollar.
- A. That's correct. And that's where I come from, too.
- Q. And the Chair has been extremely patient.

 One question that came to my mind in relation to some of your earlier comments, getting off

the drug law enforcement, you mentioned that you would welcome or desire the ability to represent consumers in small claims.

A. Yes.

- Q. Have you, and I think Representative Kosinski volunteered to sponsor that legislation or whatever. I'd be very interested in seeing that as well as some estimate of what costs would be entailed in that and how you would separate the weak from the chaff, as you will. In my years in private practice I've had an awful lot more grumpy people who were unhappy than had what I thought was a right to legal recourse.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And it seems to me that you could be in charge of, you know, of suddenly 10,000 lawyers--
 - A. 10,000 cases.
 - Q. --all to march into court.
- A. Yeah, that's why I say to you, you know, I hear both sides of this thing and what we're faced with, basically, is we go into court where there's, quote, "a pattern of abuse," unquote. But by that time the guy's out of business or he's bankrupt. We get a voluntary compliance, assurance of voluntary compliance from the judge in Court of Common Pleas and he laughs

at you. He says, all right, I am bankrupt. What are you going to do about me now? He just ripped off 15 homeowners and said he was going to put roofs over their heads and he never did. That's what happens. And by that time the problem is over. I want to be able to get to him beforehand, and I can work with you on that and it's going to have to be, you know, where you very finely tune it so that we don't get into being a new legal defender's society or legal aid society.

Q. Yeah.

б

A. I don't want to do that. But at the same time I do want to have some tools that permit me to go a little bit further and go into claims court, small claims court for these people.

I can show you the letters, the heartbreaking letters I just got this week from people saying, what am I to do? I don't know anything about small claims. The guy laughs at me and he's got his lawyer laughing at me in claims court. He got a judgment of \$500, but try and collect it now.

REPRESENTATIVE HECKLER: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Okay. More patient than the Chair has been Representative Chris McNally, then I have Greg Fajt and Representative Piccola, and then the Attorney General has to be on his

1 | way out of town at 1:15, I'm told.

Representative McNally.

BY REPRESENTATIVE MCNALLY: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)

Q. I want to get into some new ground. Hopefully we can cover it quickly.

I'd like to make is this year you will be involved and we will be involved in the decennial exercise of redistricting, and I want to see if your office might be able to provide a memorandum or information or summary of applicable case law and any statutory law that could explain the limitations and guidelines for redistricting and to make that available not only to the members of the General Assembly but also to any members of the public who would be interested.

- A. Representative, I wish I could help you in that but I don't know whether I can. A couple of constraints. One is that we may be defending or objecting to whatever the legislature does in redistricting. And so I cannot be, at this point, giving you the kind of advice that you might be seeking.
 - Q. I'm not looking for advice.
- A. You're going to set up a commission, as I understand it, to study--

1	Q. First, let me explain to you what I am
2	asking. I'm not looking for advice, I'm just looking
3	for a summary of the existing case law on
4	reapportionment and redistricting. It's more
5	informative.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that this legislative staff here A. is very capable of giving you that. Mary--

MS. WOOLLEY: Democratic staff. He needs Democratic staff.

ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Oh, Okay. But I think that you can find they can give you that. Ι give advice, by law, to the State, the government agencies. That's where I give my advice. I can help you in the preparation of legislation and help you on things of that sort. As to information on this, I think it's best that we work it through your staff, okay?

- The second area I'd like to--Q.
- If your staff wants to know something Α. from us as to -- then let me work it through the staff level.
- The second area where I'd like you to ٥. comment on is yesterday we had an oversight hearing with the Board of Pardons, of which you are a member, and one of the thoughts that I had after that hearing,

given our budgetary problems, the Board of Pardons has a proposed budget for the next fiscal year of \$243,000. That represents a \$13,000 increase, a 5.65-percent increase, and the civilian members of the board get a salary of \$7,500 a year for nine meetings a year. That comes out to somewhere over \$800 a day plus expenses. And in spite of that budget, over the last four years only 21 people have received commutations from incarceration and a relatively small number of people have been granted commutations of any other kind. I wonder if you think there's sufficient justification for that level of funding for that particular agency, as I say, given today's fiscal problems?

A. I think that the Board of Pardons, which is a constitutional body, that means it's fixed not by statute but by Constitution to do this work, chaired by the Lieutenant Governor, does a very, very admirable job. We review approximately 300 cases a year and maybe we review more than that. We gave, I think, hearings to about 40 percent of the people that seek to have their cases reviewed, and we approve roughly about 20 percent of the people who seek pardons or commutations. We're very tough on giving lifers commutation. Okay? Gone are the days where you're in jail in Pennsylvania and out in 12 1/2 years on a

first-degree murder life sentence. The average now is about 23 1/2 years. That's pretty good. The Governor is very tough on it, Governor Thornburgh was very tough on it, doesn't sign too many commutations for life sentences. Life means life in Pennsylvania, in other words.

And everything else I think that we exercise a very good degree of judgment. And they are all judgment calls, and it's based upon the person's crime, the record, objections from the DA, the court, the victim, the family, the rehabilitation that's taken place and the prospects for continued rehabilitation and law-abidingness, and we have to make judgments on those and I even made some pretty good ones, and it takes a lots of time and effort. I spend two days a month of my time doing nothing but pardons work. Two days a month. And that's a significant amount of time, and so do these other folks that are on the board. We take our jobs seriously.

- Q. But assuming that the other civilian members spend the same amount of time, that still comes out to about \$300 a day. Do you think that's maybe a little excessive?
- A. Hey, listen. I don't get any expenses. You guys get expenses. Okay?

Q. I'm not questioning--

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

You get per diem. I don't get anything, Α. I'm not going to criticize anybody for all right? getting any expense money, but I just want to point out to you that there are some people that I think should recognize that it costs a lot of money to do State work and it's not free. It takes time from other jobs and everything else and it costs money. From your jobs, every time you're down here, today you're getting per diem. Well, those folks that are on the Board of Pardons that is a lawyer in Philadelphia, is a warden in Chester County, is a psychiatrist over here in the midstate, I mean, they're taking time from their duties that they could be earning money at and they're not getting paid for that. I mean, they are working for the State, now they're getting paid for it. They get paid a salary and expenses. I don't get any of that.

- Q. Well, you know, I didn't mean to suggest that you--
- A. So, you know, it's a sore subject with me, okay? Very sore subject with me.
- Q. The final area I'd like to ask you about concerns you had mentioned the review of contracts that your office performs, and you do have a very productive workload in that area, but we also have, whether it be

for bonds or for other, but I'm thinking particularly of bond issues, there are private counsel involved in those activities and one idea that has been mentioned in this regard over the years has been competitive bidding for professional services, and I wonder if you have any thoughts on that particular subject. You know, I think some people, especially since we've been talking about lobbying registration reform and campaign finance reform and raising the level of ethical standards in government, I think a lot of people perceive this kind of work right now as being a kind of in-strike patronage, and I wonder whether, as I say, if you have any thoughts on competitive bidding for these professionals?

б

A. Well, I don't know whether that's going to get the best results for the State when it issues its bonds or when -- and all I can tell you is from the State side. There's only maybe a handful of people that know how to put together a bond issue in Pennsylvania and get it to market and get good interest rates for the State. Maybe two handfuls. I'm not involved in the process. There's a board that deals with all of this that's put together with the Governor, the Auditor General and the Treasurer and the agencies, and I just approve the bond issue.

1 REPRESENTATIVE McNALLY: Okay, thank you. 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Representative Fajt. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Thank you, Mr. 5 Chairman. BY REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate) 6 7 Q. I will also change the subject a little bit, Mr. Attorney General. 8 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 And move into your brochure on page 20, Q. the Environmental Crimes Section, and I will make this 11 12 brief, I promise you. 13 Page 20. Okav. A. 14 Q. You talk there about what you're doing in 15 the waste area and the environmental protection area. 16 What specifically is your office doing in the way of 17 coal and nuclear waste? Do you have any programs or do 18 you have any investigations in those two specific 19 I know you mentioned the chemical waste at NGK areas? 20 Metals in Berks County. 21 Remember, we are not the program Yes. 22 The program agency is DER. agency. 23 Q. Right. Right. I saw that. 24 Α. All we do is prosecute the criminal case that is referred to us under the Commonwealth Attorneys 25

1 Act from DER.

б

1.4

- Q. Um-hum. Are there anything--
- A. So if DER doesn't refer me anything, I don't get a case.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. One of the reasons why I've asked this legislature to give me original jurisdiction is so that I could be more aggressive in the area or at least concurrent jurisdiction so that I could be more aggressive in going after environmental polluters, but right now I am at the mercy of DER. Unless DER refers it to me, I don't get to do it. I have had people from municipalities calling me up and say, I want to report an environmental pollution case. I say, I can't do it, you've got to go to DER. I'm forbidden even to talk to them about it. I've got to refer it to DER, then DER does an investigation, and then DER decides whether they're going to handle it criminally or whether they're going to handle it criminally.
 - Q. Um-hum.
- A. And in 99.9 percent of the cases, the vast majority of them are handled internally civilly in DER in administrative proceedings.
 - Q. I see.
 - A. Very few of them ever get to go

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

criminally, and that's my beef, because some of them ought to be. More of them ought to be coming to us for criminal prosecution.

- Q. Um-hum.
- And the thing that gets me is we're going Α. after a guy that's got a couple barrels stored on his property, that's what DER refers to us, and they let go the major oil polluters of waterways and fish kills. They don't get prosecuted criminally. They don't refer them to us. They settle it civilly.
 - Q. Um-hum. Okay.
- Now, that's why I want original jurisdiction.
- Okay. You talked, you go on to talk on 0. page 21 about Lois Hagarty's bill.
 - A. That's it.
- And what all does Lois' bill do, if you Q. could just give me a quick--
- Just what I said. Legislation was A. introduced last year, House Bill 1175, that gives us original jurisdiction so that we can take a complaint from a municipality or we could get a complaint from a private citizen and go do an investigation.
- Q. Okay. So you will have investigative powers?

3

4

6

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have the people. I just need to get A. the ability to get to the cases. They get diverted all the time, Representative. That's it. I mean, I just cut out of the paper the other day a water pollution case that DER settled for \$125,000 in what was it, Ohio, on the Ohio River? Just two weeks ago out in It never got to us criminally, yet they're Pittsburgh. making us prosecute somebody for dumping a barrel of oil behind their service station. Come on. I mean, that's what's happening. And I went to the Secretary of DER, I said to him just a month or two ago, Arthur Davis, you do a nice job and all, but let me tell you something. We have to be involved in these cases. have to get involved in the decisionmaking that takes the case away from the criminal prosecution side and only treats it as an administrative hearing or a civil settlement on the civil side of things. That's wrong that these polluters are getting away with all this without criminal prosecution.

- Q. One last question. How many cases are you currently involved in with the DER as far as the criminal prosecution? And secondly, any idea on the number of cases that you pass up because of their failed investigations?
 - A. We get four referrals a week from them,

1	approximately.
2	Q. From the DER?
3	A. Four referrals a week, and as I said,
4	none of the big cases.
5	Q. Um-hum.
6	A. Unless we start to work them up ourselve
7	and unless somehow DER gets pressured by a community.
8	NGK, that's how that happened. They got pressured by
9	the community to turn it over to us.
10	Q. Thank you very much.
11	A. You're welcome.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: We have five more
13	minutes.
14	Representative Piccola.
15	REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chairman.
17	BY REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)
18	Q. General?
19	λ. Jeff.
20	Q. Returning to the drug task force issue
21	and following up what Mr. Heckler, or one of your
22	responses to Mr. Heckler, did I understand you to say
23	that the drug task forces are simply advisory and they
24	are not involved in the investigation of criminal
25	offenses, or did I misunderstand your answer?

- A. No, the drug task forces? No, regional attorneys. My regional attorneys--
 - Q. Are advisory?
- A. --are advisory to the task forces, they're advisory to the district attorney, they're advisory to the State Police, they're advisory to BNI. If they want somebody to prosecute, they can do it if they're requested to do it. That's basically it. They are advisory coordinators and advisors. I mean, if I've got one regional attorney for the entire central Pennsylvania area, I mean, he can't possibly -- or she, it's a she, she can't possibly be in five different counties prosecuting at any time. She can't. That's the DA's job.
- Q. But the task forces are involved in criminal investigations?
- A. Oh, of course. They're involved in drug criminal investigations. Municipal drug task forces.
- Q. Right. And the way they are structured, and I've read the agreement or portions of the agreement. As I understand it in talking to the district attorneys, the way they're structured is that the line of authority runs from the task forces up through the regional attorney to Mr. Peters. Is that accurate?

A.

No.

3 authority is?

' 1

Ī

Q. Okay. Could you explain what the line of y is?

- A. Okay. The municipal police--
- Q. In other words, who directs the municipal task forces?
 - A. The Board of Chiefs.
 - Q. And who directs them?
- A. The Board of Chiefs. The district attorney working with the Board of Chiefs in his locality. That's who directs them. I don't tell them anything.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I don't tell them anything.
 - Q. So that if the --
- A. That's why, Jeffrey, there's this misinformation that Harrisburg tells the municipal task force what to do. Not so. They have a democratically elected Board of Chiefs with officers and a board of directors that run the task force, and the district attorney has a seat at that bored if he so chooses; if he or she so chooses. Now, the district attorney can play an important coordinating role himself or through his own county detective or his own assistant DA. And the basic decisions are made on investigations and

prosecutions at that level.

I don't -- I mean, I can't tell you what any one of those task forces are doing, and neither can Mr. Peters tell you what they are doing today, who they're investigating and what they're investigating. That's all kept down at that local level. It's all down there. Yeah, there's people that are in a chain, like anything else is in a chain, but we don't decide who to investigate and who not to investigate. That's done at that level. At the local chief's level, 99 percent of their decisions are made down there by the chiefs, by the coordinator, by the district attorney as to who is going to investigate and what they're going to do.

- Q. What about the other 1 percent? Where are they made?
- A. They may be, because they referred the case up the chain or we're involved in it because it might go to a wiretap or it might go to an organized crime prosecution or it might be necessary to coordinate with the Feds, it might be necessary to coordinate with the State Police, which have an investigation, or another State which has an investigation.
 - Q. What chain? You just said it went up the

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chain. What chain are you referring to? I thought you said there was no chain.

A. The chain is the BNI agent that's assigned from that strike force, he is a part of a group called the BNI region. He is in the -- he is a part of the 15 members that we have in each one of the regions. His chain of authority 1s to his supervisor, there's a regional director of the BNI.

Q. But how is he involved with the task forces, the municipal task forces?

A. He is assigned by the regional director He is assigned by the regional director of BNI, who is a BNI agent. In Harrisburg, it's Greg Sharp, all right? He is my regional director. regional attorney. That's Kathryn Slade. But Greg Sharp is in charge of my region here, and he finds out which agent is the best one for that municipal task force. And some of them actually request a specific agent to work with. Mike Eakin requested specifically Ron Diller to work with his Cumberland County Task Force, wrote me a letter on it. So I assigned through the chain Ron Diller goes over to work for Mike Eakin's Tri-County Task Force. And I don't know what Mike Eakin is doing with my guy. I could care less. long as he's using him and they're pumping out the

arrests and they're getting the job done, that's all I'm concerned about. That's why I say that this thing is a lot of boogeymen that people put up. I don't care, you know, who's getting the credit or what.

Look, Mike requested Ron Diller, he got Ron Diller.

He's his man. As far as I'm concerned, he is TAD.

- Q. And Ron Diller is a BNI agent?
- A. Ron Diller is a BNI agent. And he comes back and he tells Greg Sharp what he's doing. Okay? Ron Diller also does the paperwork to make sure that Police Officer X from Hampden Township is in fact on surveillance, he's got a pre-approval, that Police Officer X from Hampden can go ahead and do this surveillance. Yeah, that's done for accounting purposes. And then Hampden Township submits the paperwork back through Diller to Greg up the chain to eventually to this guy (indicating Mr. Bowman) and the check is cut to reimburse Hampden Township. That's how it works.
- Q. But do I understand that you're saying that in theory, at any rate, there should be absolutely no criminal investigation by any of these task forces unless the local district attorney has either signed off on it or approved it or has de facto acknowledged that they can go forward and--

- A. That there should be no investigation unless the DA approves it?
 - Q. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Oh, boy. Listen to what you're saying now.
- Q. By the task force. By the local task force.
- It may not be so. It may not be so. Α. Board of Chiefs has a lot to say about what they're doing, see, because I tell you this, all right, Board of Chiefs say, we don't want to tell the DA everything. He's got friends, he's got political contributors. We're investigating some of them. Okay? They may be very careful about it, and I've had this happen where a Board of Chiefs says, we don't want to work with the DA. We don't trust them. I won't tell you where they are, but I'll tell you they do exist. And so I have to deal with that issue. So I say to the Board of Chiefs, I say, look, you work it out with the district attorney down there and you see if there's anything that's done toward them. Of course, we'll have to deal with that, but you have to work it together.

Now, in some counties the district attorney is not a member of the task force, is not a member but is still involved in the coordination role.

And I'll tell you where that exists - Philadelphia,

Montgomery County, and in Westmoreland County. The

district attorneys there have chosen not to be a part

of the task forces, but we still coordinate with them.

We still coordinate with them. I mean, even though

Mike Merino is not signed on as a part of the

Montgomery County Task Force, my two BNI agents are

right in his office. Okay? So, I mean, he's telling

my guys what to do.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Well, I don't want to pursue this because I know we have time constraints, but I have some difficulty, given the way the task forces have been structured and understanding how you can justify that structure and still believe that you're in compliance with the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, because I go back long enough to have been here when we wrote the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, and I know we wrestled with this question in terms of the district attorney's authority and the Attorney General's authority and we simply did not want the Attorney General to be a super-district-attorney or for the district attorneys to be working for the Attorney General, and in fact we specifically set forth in the act that the District Attorneys shall be the chief law enforcement officer for the county in which he is elected, and we

specifically said and limited the investigative powers of the Attorney General to those areas which he has the power to prosecute under the prior section, which is Section 205 under criminal prosecutions.

I'm not suggesting that we're in violation in terms of the way we've structured these task forces, but I think we are, at the very least, in a very gray area.

- A. Well, how do you deal with Section 732-206 which says that the Attorney General shall continue existing programs related to drug law enforcement? And those programs that I am talking about were there before I got there and before you got there. They were there since 1973.
- Q. Well, the programs were there but the structure that we've instituted with these task forces are new, and without belaboring this dialogue anymore, if I could have some kind of a written justification in terms of why you believe you're in compliance with the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, I would appreciate it.
- A. Well, I am in compliance with the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, in my judgment. I tell you that right now. I have authority under the Commonwealth Attorneys Act, which makes me the chief law enforcement officer of the Commonwealth, which

gives me prime jurisdiction to prosecute RICO cases, and any one of these drug cases can turn out to be, and you know they are, you know they are, they're not — these drug cases are not like the garden variety homicide that's between a husband and wife that there's a shooting there. They don't grow cocaine in Dauphin County. They grow it outside of the United States. There's a chain of command. It's an organized crime network. So I have authority under the Commonwealth Attorneys Act to investigate it because they're part of an organized criminal network. That I have prime jurisdiction of.

In addition to that, under the

Commonwealth Attorneys Act I am to continue the
existing programs related to drug law enforcement.

Assistance to local police was here before you became a
lawyer by the Office of Attorney General. It was
called the Department of Justice. They did that when I
was an assistant DA in Lackawanna County, the

Department of Justice helped me out. And all we've
done is structured that. Yeah, maybe it's across the
State now and maybe we call it municipal task forces,
but we have adequate bases in the law to continue that
assistance to local police departments that was there
for a long, long time, that the Department of Justice

was providing long before the Commonwealth Attorneys
Act came into being. In fact, they adopted it. They
said, he shall continue — they use the word "he" —
shall continue the existing programs related to drug
law enforcement. And that's what we were providing.
They had regional strike forces, Jeff, they had
regional strike forces since 1973. There were BNI
agents and State Troopers since 1973 in eight regional
headquarters, so that's something, and they were
providing assistance to local police departments before
the Commonwealth Attorneys Act came in.

So that's the basis for my authority.

And it's only in this drug area. Now, if you ask me, can I do the same thing for murders, robberies? Nope.

Can't do it. Only when I'm requested by the district attorney for assistance. That's different. I agree with you. That is different. And I have to get requested by the district attorney and he has to advance either conflict of interest or lack of resources as his basis to do it.

Q. Well, I think there is a difference and the difference is -- the biggest difference is there's a lot of money now available for drug enforcement, and I guess my concern is not that we, and we have some information here from various district attorneys and

the implication of it is that there's some duplication of effort out there and some areas where there is some inefficient use of some of the funds, and I think that's what we want to get a handle on, and I think those are the kinds of issues that Mr. Heckler was attempting to raise and which I am concerned about, and I don't think that when we wrote the Commonwealth Attorneys Act we visualized that there was going to be those kinds of resources available in any one given area. If somewhere down the road because of, and you read it on the cover of all the national news magazines that there is an increase in violent crime and the State and the Federal government start to appropriate money for law enforcement in this area, you could very well be involved in it for murders and assaults and those kinds of things.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. No, I can't. I can't. I tell you, I can't. I can't. I mean, you say that and I'm a lawyer and you and I could disagree, you know, but the fact of the matter is I can't. I cannot be involved in murder investigations and robberies, the run-of-the-mill prosecutions that DA's do because I don't have jurisdiction. He's the chief law enforcement officer in his county. He prosecutes them. The only way I get to do anything in that area is by referral from him for

reasons stated: One, that he doesn't have resources; or two, he's got a conflict of interest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the only way that I can get into a murder prosecution, for example, out of the drug area, the only way is that if it's part of an organized criminal network that I'm doing that I can do an investigation as part of under the RICO statute. gives me -- that's where I have my primary authority to do it. But it has to be part of some organized criminal network in its broadest sense, and that's the only way. And that's few and far between. That's few and far between. I tell you that. It may be three cases a year for murder that I would do that way. But in the drug area, I have two bases for authority: the Commonwealth Attorneys Act; and the other is this section that says that the Attorney General shall continue the existing programs relating to drug law enforcement which were there. Since 1973 regional strike forces have been there and we've been aiding police. Yeah, we've put it into a structured program now and, yeah, there's more money there, but it's still the same program. It's a municipal police assistance. That's all it is.

Now, the other alternative is that you write a blank check and you give it to the district

attorney, okay? Now, you didn't intend to do that in the Commonwealth Attorneys Act. I never saw that written here that this State is going to pick up the funding of municipal police departments and going to pick up the funding of the district attorneys. You're already trying to face that question, my friend, with a \$500 million court case. Now, you want to open the door to that?

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Well, maybe this is a way out of that.
- Well, this is a way, Jeffrey, this is a way for us to assist the locals without dealing with the question of how the funding is going to take place. Okay? I mean, I'm willing to work at the coordination things, and I agree with you that, yes, from time to time there's going to be some problems in any program. Remember, this is a relatively, this municipal task force program is only about four or five years old. It's growing. There's going to be some adjustments to it along the way and coordination problems, but basically, have you ever seen a State program that didn't have some problems in coordination with the locals? I'm sure you've heard about all of them, and this one has its share and we're going to continue to work at it, but we've done a remarkably good job in it, and the best evidence is look at people who have

1	reviewed it - your own LBFC, the Federal Drug Czar's
2	office, the police that are out on the street, the
3	chiefs, the mayors, the township supervisors, the cop
4	on the beat. They all love the program. I mean, yeah,
5	and most of the DA's, if you asked them privately
6	without the organization around, say I don't have a
7	problem. Okay?
8	Q. Well, I have, and they don't quite agree
9	with you, but let's go onto another subject.
10	A. But I am saying to you, Jeffrey, I hear
11	it. I can show you some of the letters I get.
12	ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Again, we've been
13	notified the Attorney General has to get on the road to
14	Philadelphia. I have let this go because of his
15	eagerness to answer the questions.
16	ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: You have one
17	more question, Jeff?
18	REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: I have two more
19	questions.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: Okay.
21	BY REPRESENTATIVE PICCOLA: (Of Atty. Gen. Preate)
22	Q. Prison overcrowding.
23	A. Right.
24	Q. Did I understand you to say that once we

got through our building program of the additional

_

cells that would solve our prison overcrowding? I didn't think you said that.

A. No. No, I said that we're still in a deficit situation.

Q. Right.

- A. What we want to do is build down the deficit, okay, to get it to about 30 percent overcrowding. That's basically where we're going to come out. If we build all the jail cells that we have right now, we'd be, and maintain the same level of input, we'd get down to 30-percent overcrowding by 1994-95 I think.
- Q. Well, the figures that I have, in this year we're at about 14,000 capacity with a population of about 22,500. In '94, if we put the 9,000 cells on line that we have planned--

A. Right.

- Q. --and have authorized, we would be at a little over 24,000, with a projected population of almost 30,000. Still a major deficit. And in '95, we'd still have the same 24,000 cells but the projections now are over 31,500. Do you disagree with any of those numbers?
- A. Well, I mean, I'm not in the business.

 You ask Al Blumstein stuff like that. But, you know, I

can accept whatever they say. And that's correct.

That's Joe Lehman. Talk to Joe or talk to Al

Blumstein. They can tell you what numbers they have.

But I'm just hoping, as I understand the goal to be to

get us into a situation where we build down the deficit

to something that's manageable. We can manage at 30

percent over capacity. At 60 percent it's really

tough.

Q. One final question, philosophical question. You've testified about your desire to have more power for consumer protection activity. You have come to the General Assembly for more antitrust powers. You're asking, through House Bill 1175 from last session, more environmental criminal powers, the ability to act independently.

A. Right.

- Q. Given that, what is your view of the role of an elected Attorney General in State government relative to the other executive branch officials?
- A. I have to work professionally with the Office of General Counsel and the various departments, and we do a very good job at that. I must say that our lawyers at the staff level and even in our level we meet regularly, Jim Brown and Jim Haggerty and myself and Walter, the four of us sit down in a room for two

or three hours and talk about the major policy things that are coming up that affect the government that's run by the Governor and that which we have to do in litigation as we defend governmental decisions or budget decisions or policy decisions. They ask us advice on policy, too, and that's pretty good that we have that kind of professional exchange. We disagree on other issues. Fundamentally, Republican and Democrat issues we may be apart on them. Some we agree, some we don't agree. Just like in the legislature.

My view is that you try to work out your differences, just like I've tried to work them out with the people in the antitrust area to get the business community away from opposition to neutrality. I mean, that was a very, very difficult position. It took two years' worth of work. I didn't succeed in convincing you that this was a good bill, but, you know, I convinced 185 other Representatives that it was a bill that ought to be passed, and I will continue to work on it because I think you're going to be one of the guys that's going to say, Ernie, go after this guy that's ruining somebody in my district in unfair competition. And I want to do that. I want to be able to do that, work with you and the legislature and work with the

1 people in the State government. That's what I want to 2 And I think I've demonstrated that work with 3 Democrats as well as Republicans. 4 ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: Thank you, 5 General. Believe it or not, it's been 3 1/2 hours. 6 7 ATTORNEY GENERAL PREATE: It has been an I have not danced with wolves. 8 enjoyable three. ACTING CHAIRMAN BLAUM: That's amazing. 9 Two minutes ago I was thinking that was the fastest 3 10 11 hours I sat through, and this was a pretty fast 3 1/2 12 hours. It could go on and on, I'm sure, because the 13 members of the committee enjoy the exchange and having 14 this intimate opportunity. We hope we can do it again 15 and we hope that it won't be too long. 16 (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 1:30 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me during the hearing of the within
4	cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript
5	of the same.
6	
7	ann-mario P. Sweeney
8	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
9	
10	
11	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO
12	ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER
13	THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING
14	REPORTER.
15	
16	
17	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney
18	536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011
19	717-737-1367
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	