	1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2	COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
3	In re: Oversight Hearing with the Municipal Police
4	Officers' Education and Training Commission
5	* * * *
6	Stenographic report of hearing held in Room 140, Majority Caucus Room,
7	Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA
8	Friday, June 7, 1991
9	10:00 a.m.
10	HON THOMAS D. CALTACTRONE SUATRMAN
11	HON. THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, CHAIRMAN
12	MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
13	Hon. Robert D. Reber
14	
15	Also Present:
16	Paul Dunkleberger, Republican Research Analyst Katherine Manucci, Staff
17	
18	Reported by:
19	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney, Reporter
20	
21	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY 536 Orrs Bridge Road
22	Camp Hill, PA 17011 717-737-1367
23	
24	Le page
25	45 page
	1001 100 X

1991-100

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		PAGE
3	Major Daniel A. Spang, Executive Director, Municipal Police Officers' Education and	3
4	Training Commission	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We might as well qet started.

I'm Chairman Tom Caltagirone, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, and we have Representative Reber from Montgomery County, a member of the committee; and Paul Dunkleberger from the Republican research staff.

We're here today to conduct an overview of the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission. One of the things that we've attempted to do throughout this session was perform the legislative function of oversight on many of the different agencies over which this Judiciary Committee has the authority to review the operations of those agencies.

One of the things that we wanted to do specifically with the Municipal Police Officers'

Education and Training Commission was to see exactly how they functioned, what the budget is, what they've been doing, and the impact of legislation, pro or con, on the training commission because just happenchance, this coming Monday there was to be a meeting considering legislation that would directly impact on the training commission. One of the reasons why I canceled that meeting was first of all, we're in the

budget process and there's no telling what's going to be happening with that process, but more importantly, it had come to my attention that the legislation would have a tremendous impact on the small police departments throughout the Commonwealth as it involves the training commission and the costs that would be involved to those local municipalities. This is something that was never thought out completely when the legislation was put in. I think that it's incumbent upon us now, especially with the budget problems being what they may be, that we go a little bit slower and examine a lot more closer the impact of legislation as we're dealing with it, and before I move any more legislation out of this committee, especially in these areas, we're going to be very, very careful as to the impact financially it's going to have on our local communities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And with that, Major, if you'd like to introduce yourself for the record and give us your statement.

MAJOR SPANG: Good morning.

My name is Major Daniel Spang. I'm the Executive Director of the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission. I've been assigned to that position for approximately 5 1/2 years. I'm

one of the few State Policemen, I believe, who runs for office because I have to run for re-election every two years to keep the job.

If I can, I'd like to make a presentation and then I'll get back to answering the questions you had posed, sir.

Thank you for the opportunity to address the Judiciary Committee and answer any questions the committee members may have concerning the mission, function, and operations of the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission.

The commission was established on June 18, 1974 by the enactment of Act 120 of 1974, the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Law. In the late 1960's and early '70's, similar commissions were established in each State in response to a Federal study which recognized the need to make minimum basic police training mandatory, defray the major costs of that training, and then certify graduates of that training as police officers.

The training commission is legislatively designed to be composed of a cross section of government, law enforcement, education, and the public. The current members of the commission are: Colonel Glenn Walp, Pennsylvania State Police Commissioner and

permanent Chairman; Mr. Ronald L. Jackson represents
the Secretary of Community Affairs; Mr. Jerome
Foerster, Esquire, represents the Attorney General;
Senator Frank A. Salvatore is appointed by the
President Pro Tem of the Senate; Representative David
Mayernik is appointed by the Speaker of the House of
Representatives.

. 9

The following elected officials are appointed by the Governor: Mr. Stephen W. Campetti, First-Class Township Supervisor for Haverford Township, Delaware County; Mr. Vincent P. Wiercinski, the Mayor of Dickson City in Lackawanna County; Ms. Carolyn W. Young, a Councilwoman for the city of McKeesport, Allegheny County. There's presently a vacancy for a second-class township official. Mr. Rehrig from Maycreek Township in Berks County had had the position and had to resign because of conflict of work assignments.

The following chiefs of police are appointed by the Governor: Chief William R. Collins, from Fairview Township in York County; Chief Joseph M. Kletch, Bethel Park Borough in Allegheny County; Chief Donald MacConnell, South Whitehall Township in Lehigh County; Chief Rodney E. Steffy, Sr., from the city of Reading in Berks County; Special Agent in Charge Wayne

R. Gilbert, from the Philadelphia FBI office, appointed by the Governor; Dr. Bart Burne, an educator in law enforcement from Pittston, Luzerne County, appointed by the Governor; Mrs. Violet L. Stover from Milheim, Centre County, representing the public at large, appointed by the Governor.

The following non-commissioned officers are appointed by the Governor. These are the F.O.P. representatives. Sergeant Warren J. Broz, from the Pittsburgh Police Department; and Detective Robert Mitchell from the Wilkes-Barre Police Department. Inspector Joseph R. Cunningham represents the Philadelphia Police Commissioner, and Mr. Donald K. Zettlemoyer of Dauphin County represents the certified school directors and is appointed by the Governor.

Now, the goal of the commission is to provide Pennsylvania citizens with police officers who are professionally trained, ethical, physically and psychologically fit, well-educated, motivated, career oriented, and sensitive to the public needs. The commission attempts to meet that goal by:

- 1. Requiring all newly employed police officers to undergo physical and psychological testing and undergo a thorough background investigation.
 - 2. Refusing to issue a certification to

persons who have been convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year imprisonment.

· 9

- 3. Revoking the certification of veteran police officers convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year imprisonment.
- 4. Requiring all newly employed police officers to successfully complete 520 hours of basic training that is based on a comprehensive task analysis of the basic patrol officer's position.
- 5. Assisting local government by reimbursing the majority of the costs for basic training to the employing municipality.
- 6. Requiring all police officers to meet member in-service training requirements; and
- 7. Providing funding to municipalities for non-mandatory training that can be tailored to a specific need in the municipality.

The basic and in-service training is conducted by 21 schools certified by the commission. The schools are the Pennsylvania State Police Academy in Hershey, Dauphin County; Pennsylvania State Police Southeast Training Center in Worcester, Montgomery County; Pennsylvania State Police Southwest Training Center in Greensburg, Westmoreland County; Pennsylvania State Police Northeast Training Center in Wyoming,

Luzerne County; Pennsylvania State Police Northwest Training Center in Meadville, Crawford County; the Allentown Police Academy; the Allegheny County Police Training Academy in Allegheny County; the Reading Police Academy in Berks County; Mercyhurst College in Erie County; Lackawanna Junior College in Lackawanna County; Harrisburg Area Community College in Dauphin County; Montgomery County Community College in Montgomery County; Greater Johnstown Area Vo-Tech School in Cambria County; Delaware County Community College, Delaware County; Philadelphia Police Academy, Philadelphia County; Temple University, Philadelphia County; Indiana University of Pennsylvania in Indiana County; Beaver County Community College in Beaver County; Mansfield State University in Tioga County; the Pittsburgh Police Training Academy in Allegheny County. At the present time, that school is being renovated and the Pittsburgh officers are being trained at the State Police Southwest Training Center. And the Westmoreland County Community College in Westmoreland County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the basic training program is 520 hours in length, as I mentioned before, based on a comprehensive task analysis of the basic patrol officer's function. Each student must successfully complete 24 testing areas to graduate. The average

cost to train an officer is \$6,500. In this fiscal year, approximately 1,000 officers completed the basic training program. In 1991-92 fiscal year we expect that 1,430 officers will be eligible for reimbursement for basic training.

Prior to December 21st of 1988,

Pennsylvania municipal police officers were allowed to work as a police officer for one year without training, and there were no mandatory in-service training requirements. Act 180 of 1988 eliminated this condition, and as of December 21, 1988, a police officer must meet all training requirements prior to enforcing the Crimes Code, moving violations of the Vehicle Code, or carrying a firearm.

Act 180 also empowered the training commission to establish mandatory in-service training requirements, and in January of 1991, this program began. During the calendar year, the approximately 22,000 municipal police officers are undergoing a 12-hour classroom training program that includes the following topics: Authority and jurisdiction; criminal and civil liability; use of force; legal updates on Rules of Criminal Procedure, Crimes Code, and Vehicle Code; laws of arrest and evidence; search and seizure emphasizing motor vehicle search. In addition, each

officer must maintain a current first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation certification, and qualify annually with any firearm, shotgun or rifle authorized for use by the police department. Officers who do not meet these requirements by December 31, 1991 will lose their authority to enforce the Crimes Code, moving violations of the Vehicle Code, and carry a firearm because they will not have the police certification. The commission does anticipate that there will be some officers who will need an extension of time to complete the training because of extended illnesses or other legitimate reasons. As of May 30th, approximately 15,500 officers have completed the 12 hours of classroom training.

б

The classroom training in 1992 will involve different topics, but the first aid, CPR, and firearms qualifications will be annual requirements.

I had mentioned earlier that the training commission grants funds to municipalities for non-mandatory in-service programs. During the past fiscal year, it was \$250,000 for that program, and the funds were allocated by December 31, 1990. Some examples of programs funded are: Basic supervision in Philadelphia for \$1,672; arrest techniques in Pittston Borough for \$423.50; a field training officers' program

in Reading for \$2,480; stress and the law enforcement officer in Emmaus Borough for \$1,168; internal affairs investigations in Upper Chichester Township for \$6,161; driver training in Clarion Borough for \$5,408; drug enforcement techniques in Butler County for \$2,288; and police executive training in Lower Southampton Township for \$13,177.

3.

1.0

The 1990-91 budget of the commission was \$7.5 million. The expenditure for personnel services was \$240,000. Operational expenses were \$70,768. Subsidies and grants to municipalities was \$6,245,534. We had started the fiscal year with an anticipated deficiency of \$2,804,780, but by judicious use of funds and because the Philadelphia Police Department did not hire as many officers as originally planned, we anticipate we have reduced our deficiency to approximately \$904,000. Our anticipated '91-'92 rebudget request will be approximately \$10 million to cover the actual operating costs plus the deficiency.

You had asked me to comment on House Bill 194 concerning the inclusion of the Attorney General's Family Violence Task Force's Model Protocol for Police Response to Domestic Violence Cases in the basic and in-service training required by municipal police officers. The majority of commission members are

1 opposed to legislation that will require any specific 2 training for police officers. The reason is that there are many groups that would like to have specific topics. 3 4 mandated for training. The difficulty the commission 5 has is that there is only so much time and so much money available. The present basic training program 6 7 contains this training, and it has been taught to over 3,000 officers since 1989 when the new curriculum 8 9 became effective. There have been numerous training programs presented around the State by other 10 organizations on this topic, and that training is 11 12 continuing. If this topic were mandated, it opens the 13 door for mandated programs on DUI, rape investigation, 14 child abuse, elderly abuse, hate crimes, crime 15 prevention, and a myriad of other topics. 16 commission recognizes that all of these topics are 17 important, but would like to reserve latitude in the 18 basic curriculum and in-service training. We only 19 started mandatory in-service training in 1991. are many officers who need many basic courses to bring 20 them up to an acceptable level, and other officers are 21 22 in dire need of refresher training to hone their 23 We will eventually cover all of these topics. 24 We just cannot do it as quickly as many people want.

25 That concludes my remarks, Mr. Chairman.

I will attempt to answer any questions you may have. 1 2 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Major. 3 I think the point that you've made is well taken that any number of groups can make requests for mandatory type training programs not realizing the 5 potential impact both financially and limited resource 6 7 wise with manpower. There are approximately what, you said 8 22,000 police officers, municipal police officers 9 10 throughout the Commonwealth? 11 MAJOR SPANG: Yes, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And there are a 13 number of departments that have one-, two-, and 14 three-man police forces. 15 MAJOR SPANG: The overwhelming majority of police departments is less than five members. 16 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: 17 The impact that these type of mandated programs would have on these 18 19 small departments could present some problems at times. 20 We were talking earlier about this before the hearing 21 started. Would you like to elaborate on that? 22 MAJOR SPANG: Yes. 23 Initially, when Act 180 was passed, it was the intent of the commission to require anywhere 24

from 20 to 40 hours a year of training of police

25

officers, but due to the impact that this would have on the financial and personnel resources of various departments, including the larger departments, we realize that that's just too much. We don't have the time nor money, nor can the municipalities afford that. All the commission pays for in mandatory in-service is the actual costs of the presentation. We have a grant arrangement with the training schools and we pay them to present the program. We pay for their instructors' costs, the rental of the facilities, and any handouts that have to go to the students. The municipality is totally responsible for getting the officer there, paying a salary, if that's what they've got to do, and paying any transportation costs for them to get there.

Я

We reduced the program to 12 hours, fully realizing that 20 hours was too much of an impact, especially the first year, and especially since we were mandating first aid, CPR, and firearms. Over half of the municipalities in Pennsylvania did not require their officers to ever qualify with a firearm. We thought that that was a trend that had to stop. It's too dangerous a liability issue for the police departments, for the officer, and a danger to the citizens. So they have to qualify annually with a firearm. That's caused some problems. There are

municipalities that don't have officers who can qualify at the present time. Officers have already resigned because they know they can't qualify with a firearm. They can't even see the target. We've had other agencies that said they had great firearms programs and instructors, and they don't even have anybody that can

They lied to us. So we have problems there.

do it.

With the first aid, that's something that is not presented every year. All the commission required was that a person have a certification. A certification issued by the Red Cross is good for three years. So that the municipality can do one of two things: They can have everybody trained in one year and then they all expire three years later and then they have to go through the expense, or they can space them out over a period of time. Our requirement is that at the end of the year they must have a certification. CPR is a different ball game. That's something that is required every year to keep the certification.

Now, those two topics - first aid and CPR - were included because officers are protected under the Good Samaritan Act, but only if they have a current certification. So if they would stop and aid a motorist, they have no protection under the Good

Samaritan Act if they did not have a current certification. Many municipalities wandered through the raindrops without getting wet because they didn't get sued over some action a police officer might have taken at an accident scene or anywhere else.

Now, some municipalities balked at having that requirement because they said ambulance services arrive many times before we do, but there's a Supreme Court case called the <u>City of Canton vs. Harris</u> that mandates that a municipality can be guilty of deliberate indifference if they are aware that a police officer should be able to recognize basic lifesaving skills and problems, especially if they have custody of a person. Once you arrest somebody, he becomes yours. You're responsible for everything that happens to that person, and a municipality and the officer could both be exposed to severe liability if they don't understand some of the basics of first aid and CPR.

The other thing we did it for was the officer's own safety. If there's two officers together and one of them gets hurt, the other one may be the one that has to try and save his life. Plus, when we had our comprehensive task analysis of the basic parole officer's position, the provision of first aid was identified one of the highest criticality things that

an officer had to know. So that's why we've maintained that those should be annual requirements.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once you start getting into the other topics, because of the impact we knew we would have on the municipalities and their budgets, we designed the program to be presented in four, 3-hour blocks of instruction, so that a small municipality that would be affected by their one and only officer having to go to school would not lose them for two days straight. They have the option and the schools have the option of creating programs where an officer could come for three hours and meet part of the requirements. The next time a program is scheduled, he can come and take one of the other programs for three years hours, and do that through the four programs and space it out over the period of a year. We thought that losing three hours a day is not that much of an impact on the departments. Granted, there is travel time to get there and travel time to get back, but we also mandated that the schools would present the programs in the areas where the officers are. All we tried do was make sure you had 25 students if possible, because with 22,000 police officers, that's 880 12-hour programs that we had to present in one year. That's an awful lot of training that has to be done. So in some instances they might

have had to travel a little bit, but I don't know of any instance where an officer had to travel more than one hour to get to a program. And most of the departments and the schools will work out some equitable arrangements.

We can't answer the problem of these municipalities who have made arrangements in their contracts to pay for all training in an overtime situation. My feeling is that was poor planning on their part. I, as the executive, would never include something like that in the contract. But they have it and they're caught by those contracts and have to pay those people time and a half to go to training programs, regardless of when it is.

Some municipalities have even taken the position that they are not paying their officers to go there at all. They're saying that this is just like a nurse's certification or a school teacher's certification. You need the certification from the commission to work; therefore, it's your responsibility to get the training. You go on your own time and your own expense and that's it. And if you can't meet it, at the end of the year we'll get somebody else. So you have both extremes there of labor negotiations.

Did I answer the question for you, sir?

1 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE:

Yes. Yes. You

2 certainly did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR SPANG: In that \$6,500 average that we estimated is the cost to train someone in basic of training, the commission pays the tuition, the full tuition, which averages around \$2,200 for the three-month program. We also reimburse the municipality 60 percent of the officer's salary while he's attending the training program, and we also reimburse any necessary travel or lodging or meal arrangements. For instance, if you were an officer from Potter County, if you want to go to a full-time training course, you may have to come to Wilkes-Barre to take the course. That's a little bit far to require the person drive back and forth every day. So we pay their travel costs, we pay their hotel costs, and we pay their meal costs according to State travel regulations. So in some instances the costs may be up as far as \$8,000 to send someone to a program, but by the same token, in the city of Philadelphia where we don't pay them any lodging or travel costs, it only costs us the tuition and salary reimbursement there.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Is that basically for all new trainees then and not particularly programs that they would have to continue to meet certification

1 requirements? 2 3 training. 4 5 6 departments anything for the mandatory in-service training. We would not be able to afford something like that. Once you start adding on 22,000 officers' 8 salaries for 12 hours plus travel time, et cetera, I don't think the State's ready to assume that kind of 10 11 burden.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: No, not in this budget year either especially.

MAJOR SPANG: That's only for basic

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Basic training.

MAJOR SPANG: We don't reimburse the

MAJOR SPANG: Well, and especially even when we were considering Act 180 during our sunset legislation there were members of the House and Senate who said, why are we paying anything? And if the municipality wants to have a trained police department, that is the cost of doing business. If you have to have trained people, why should the State pay any of those costs?

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Approximately how much of the budget is dedicated to those new training? MAJOR SPANG: Almost \$6 million, or over \$6 million.

7

9

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

_

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Over \$6 million?

MAJOR SPANG: Yes. Now, the reason we had a difference in the training of 1,000 this past year and what we anticipate to be 1,430 next year is the city of Philadelphia primarily and the fact that the rest of the police population is starting to age. During the '60's and early '70's when there were LEAP funds and LEAA grants available for the hiring of police officers, many municipalities hired the police officers with those funds. Now that the tax bases are diminished and income is down, they are laying them off. Some of them are retiring and not going to be replaced, some will be replaced, but many departments expanded during the '60's and '70's, and now those officers are getting older.

In Philadelphia, they had allowed their department to go from 8,880 officers all the way down to 6,600. Now they're trying to build them back up again because of their problems, their drug problems they have and the lack of officers and illnesses and retirements, et cetera. They had to hire 780 officers a year, well 450 a year just to maintain status quo, and they were trying to hire another 300 a year in order to start to build their ranks up again. They had anticipated -- over the years Philadelphia has never

met their hiring requirements. When we put in our budget estimates, we had used the historical data over the years. They would always tell us they were going to hire 400, and they would hire 150, or 500 and they would hire 200. Well, unfortunately, a year ago they said they were going to hire 780 and they hired 780, and we had to pay for them and that's how we got in the hole. Last year they told us again they were going to hire 780, and the money was not available to get that big an allocation, so we ended up having a deficiency. Now, they did not hire 780 because their fiscal problems became so bad that they just had to stop, so their last officers were hired in October of last year and they only hired about 300 compared to the 780 they anticipated. So that's why, and the way things are going, if there's a bail-out of Philadelphia, if there's other funds that come into Philadelphia, there's a very good chance that next year they will hire 780 officers.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: As far as your budget request for this year, you have asked for the elimination of the deficit in your overall General Fund budget that you're requesting?

MAJOR SPANG: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And that would

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

take care of the deficit and put you on firm footing again?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR SPANG: We hope.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Depending on the numbers.

MAJOR SPANG: Yeah. For years we were very fortunate and we lapsed funds. One of the things that we cut back on in the budget last year and this year is that \$250,000 we had had for non-mandatory in-service training programs. We had very good participation in that program and we were able to train an awful lot of officers. 7,000 to 8,000 officers a year were going through non-mandatory training programs being presented all over the State. When we anticipated the impact of the mandatory training on the departments, we perceived that there would be less non-mandatory training because they would be going to the mandatory courses. So we cut our allocation from \$500,000, which we used to spend every year, down to \$250,000. Well, we never perceived that all of a sudden they would start to get more high-cost programs identified, and they're necessary programs. They're to train managers, train chiefs, make them better administrators so they can run a more efficient operation.

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

day.

25

driver training and the driving of the police officers and other municipal employees. It costs about \$5,000 for a one-week driver training course for 25 officers because you have to have a number of instructors involved, you have to have vehicles that they can use, they have to rent a big parking lot or a racetrack somewhere where they can do it. And this isn't a high speed course. This is generally the top speed is 45 miles an hour. There is only one real driver training course in Pennsylvania at the present time, and that's up at Penn State University, and that was built actually for the CDL program, the commercial driver's licensing program, and it's tied up pretty much with So as a result, they have to go and rent parking lots somewhere. Some of the schools have been able to schedule the programs on Saturdays and Sundays and other times when the school is not in operation so that they can use the parking lots of the schools. But you need a big area. It used to be you could go get a supermarket parking lot, but the liability issue has stopped that. And now supermarkets are open 24 hours a

Plus a big liability issue was driver

training. The largest liability for a municipality is

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative

Reber.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

Returning again specifically to House Bill 194, I guess from my perspective, I've been a practicing attorney now as well as being in the legislature since 1980 and practicing a lot longer before that than I like to think about these days going back to '72, had a lot of defense work, if you will, in the criminal area, and as a result of that you obviously come into as much contact as you would if you were on the prosecutorial side with the police departments in your areas and you develop relationships and you understand some of the problems they have. Also since '75 I've been involved doing a lot of municipal solicitorship work, so I can appreciate the budgetary, the training side of it, the intricacies of the small municipal police forces, internal administrations, trials and tribulations, and it ceases to amaze me that we have people in the General Assembly who continue to come up with ideas that they simply think they are addressing the problem by mandating a topical aspect of that problem that exists in society into some type of mandated course or whatever.

Am I correct in my assumption that police

officers recognize the domestic violence situation to be what it is, to be a very concerning and, to be frankly, as dangerous as any high profile, high criminal activity and treat it accordingly? And more importantly, I think you've expressed it but this is already incorporated into your basic training, is that not correct? I mean, the recognition of the problem and the magnitude of the problem is there. You don't necessarily, in my opinion, need the General Assembly, in its infinite wisdom, whether it be by House Bill 194 or some other postulation, call it to your attention that it has to be looked at and worked into your remedial program? Is that a fair statement?

R

statement, sir, and that's our opinion. I brought along a copy of the curriculum in case anybody would want to look at it. Now, this isn't the full curriculum. This is what we give to the students, which is an outline for them, but in here, let me just check and make sure I have my figures correct. For domestic violence, spouse and child abuse, we have a four-hour block of instruction, and we have for victims' assistance a two-hour block of instruction.

Now, what's been proposed for us just at the training commission meeting yesterday by PCCD, who is proposing

a victims' assistance program, they wanted four hours of in-service, and the Coalition for Domestic Violence wanted a three-hour mandatory in-service program. The commission did adopt yesterday that there will be three hours of additional victim assistance program training for the PCCD program made as an elective course for '92-'93 training years, and they also said that they would have a three-hour domestic violence course as an elective course during '92 and '93. So there's -- they recognize the problem, but they also recognize that we don't want to mandate specific training courses until we get a lot of people up to the level that they should have been.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Um-hum.

MAJOR SPANG: As I said before, we only started this program in 1974 and it never really became functional until 1976. Out of the 22,000 officers, only 11,000 officers have ever gone through a basic training program. The rest of them are still grandfathered officers who have varying degrees of training. Some went through very extensive programs and belong to departments that have very extensive in-service programs on their own, spend a lot of money sending officers all over the State and all over the country to training programs, then these officers in

turn come back and train the other officers. So we're just trying to catch everybody and bring them up to one even keel. And then we wanted to branch out into various specialties.

Our biggest gripe that we got from chiefs was not that we had a training program but that they were reluctant to go back and take an update on the Crimes Code and Vehicle Code because they are chiefs of police. Well, that doesn't apply to everybody that they are a chief of police and they're an administrator. When you have 700 police departments where they have less than five people, the chief is also a police officer. He investigates crimes, he investigates accidents, he does everything else that the others do and gets a buck or a dollar more for being the chief. So most of that opposition is gone, especially when they saw the quality of the presentation we had this year, and we have a lot of support for next year.

I think we're going ahead. We do plan on branching out starting in next year of going into some career tracks where we would have two required courses that everybody has to take, then we would identify a patrol track for the traffic enforcement officers, et cetera, a criminal track for those persons who do

criminal and traffic investigation or primarily criminal investigations, and we are also identifying a supervisory administrative track where they would have the option of taking some of these, to help bring everybody up to -- to offer them programs that are directly related to their skills but still requiring they take certain courses that are related to everybody. Because we feel that a chief of police, whether or not he does this work, should be aware of the training that his officers are receiving.

1.5

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Well, he has to, from the standpoint of evaluating the effectiveness as to how that whole particular municipality's force is operating in the field of convictions and the manner in which they are handling the arrests and processing anyway.

MAJOR SPANG: That's right.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: And I don't think you can effectively do that if you yourself don't have an up-to-date understanding to make that overview.

MAJOR SPANG: Right.

One of the things we tried to do just this past year was by putting in a civil and criminal liability section. We've often received requests through Mr. Dunkleberger of what's the feasibility of

the legislature mandating a pursuit policy for motor
vehicles? Well, we sort of slipped that in on them and
we brought out all the liability issues involved with
motor vehicle pursuit and why a department should have
policies, why they should have re-evaluations of what
they do and things that you ought to do in pursuit

issues just addressing it from the liability issue.

1.7

another question, because when you used the word "pursuit" it tickled my mind as I was listening to you earlier. In the driver training course and the fiscal problems that that tends to have with it, have you gotten any kind of assistance whatsoever from any of the local district attorneys and/or the Attorney General's Office as a result of drug forfeiture property, if you will? And I'm specifically thinking of vehicles, things of that nature that could be used. Has any of that filtered down into your operations? Have you seen any of that?

MAJOR SPANG: Some of them may have gotten it in the various counties or police departments. We have very small physical assets.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: But you don't know for sure whether any of it has found its way as being a capital resource to be used for purposes of driver

training programs, and you specifically talked about--

б

MAJOR SPANG: I'm not aware of any, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay.

MAJOR SPANG: Primarily what they use is an old police car and they take -- they put in another breaking system for the instructor right on the passenger side so he can force them into skids, et cetera.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay, it is then specially equipped though that way?

MAJOR SPANG: Yes. They equip the car specially, and really you would destroy a new car if you brought it in there. And we want to try and keep the kind of car they use as a patrol vehicle. Now, we realize that many of the vehicles confiscated through drug forfeiture, et cetera, are Cadillacs and Corvettes and things that really have no practicality for training the police officers because they are never going to be driving those on patrol.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay. On page 5 of your testimony you talked about the annual qualification for the firearm, shotgun, and rifle. Is it mandatory that they qualify with each of those or do they have their choice to qualify with any particular one of those - pistol, firearm, shotgun?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR SPANG: They must qualify with whatever weapon their department authorizes them to use.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay.

MAJOR SPANG: Almost everyone carries a handgun. They must qualify with a handgun, and it must be the handgun they carry.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: They carry.

MAJOR SPANG: If they're authorized undercover to carry another weapon, it might be a personal weapon or something different than the normal issue, they must also qualify with that. If the department has a policy that says when you're off duty you will carry a firearm to take action in the event you see a crime, they must qualify for that. department authorizes them to also carry shotguns, they must qualify with a shotgun. If there are certain members of the department also authorized to carry rifles in certain situations, they must qualify with a rifle. There have been too many times when as a veteran police officer I have showed up at joint investigations and had seen an officer fumbling around, whether he was a State police officer or a municipal police officer, fumbling around with a machine gun and didn't know how to load it, or they were trying to

attach tear gas projectiles to the front and then turning around and wanting to put live ammunition in there to use as the discharge, or ones that didn't know how to load the shotgun in the first place, so if they didn't know how to load it, I knew they didn't know how to shoot it. So that's a big liability issue and something we think that should be addressed.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay. There's another piece of legislation that's pending in the Local Government Committee that counsel may be called upon with the expertise, assistance that our staff gives to that committee staff, if the Chairman would allow Paul to address that, I would appreciate that.

Thank you, Major.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Sure.

MR. DUNKLEBERGER: First of all, for the record, I would just like to state that I have contacted Major Spang numerous times regarding questions concerning police officers and he's always been a tremendous help to me, so I do appreciate that.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Major, let me suggest or let me just say that if at times it was overbearing or upsetting to you, I am probably the responsible party for Paul. He was only a conduit, so don't kill the messenger.

1	MAJOR SPANG: Well, if it makes you feel	
2	any better, sir, I often told him to get the hell off	
3	the phone.	
4	MR. DUNKLEBERGER: And I always blamed	
5	Bob, too.	
6	First of all, the legislation that	
7	Representative Reber was referring to is House Bill	
8	389. I do not know if you're familiar with that piece	
9	of legislation.	
10	MAJOR SPANG: What does it deal with,	
11	sir?	
12	MR. DUNKLEBERGER: Basically, as far as	
13	the definition of a police officer would now include	
14	campus and university police.	
15	MAJOR SPANG: Okay. That's	
16	Representative	
17	REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Corrigan.	
18	MAJOR SPANG:Corrigan's bill, yes.	
19	MR. DUNKLEBERGER: And as such, and I	
20	assume they would have to take the basic training under	
21	your program?	
22	MAJOR SPANG: Yes. I met with	
23	Representative Corrigan twice on this bill, and we've	
24	also met with representatives from the college and	
25	university police departments. The bill, when we first	

saw it we didn't understand who it applied to. Does it mean every college and university or does it just mean State-related schools, et cetera? It's been explained to us by counsel for both Republicans and the Democrats that it applies strictly to State-related or State owned schools. And that's the definition where they talk about the schools defined under the Administrative Code, and they give some section, so that wouldn't apply to schools like Lehigh University, Moravian College, Widener University, ones that are not part of the State system of education. It also applies to the various schools in the State System of Higher Education area.

We're not opposed to those persons being trained, and we are not even opposed to those persons being certified because in many instances, they're working with a population that is greater than the municipality that surrounds them. Kutztown University has more students enrolled and living on the campus than live in the Borough of Kutztown, and we understand they have a population that's in the prime area of being the ones that are going to cause problems. They are in the proper age groups. We understand they have every kind of crime occurring there that occurs anywhere else. We're not opposed to them having to

train, we're not opposed to them being certified.

We're just opposed to the fact that would our budget
have to pay for it and reimburse them for it? That's a
cost of doing business, and we believe that if the
college is going to have a police department, then the
college should bear the costs.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In fact, in the original amendments that we had had in our sunset legislation, we had proposed that every police officer in the State, whether he works for a college or a university, for a sheriff's office that provides full police services, for port authorities, housing authorities, railroad police, airport authorities, regardless, if he could arrest people and carry a gun, we wanted him to go through the basic training program and we wanted him to meet certification requirements. Now, we almost had that bill through and it was stopped at the last minute because of pressure from the sheriffs who were attempting to use that as a vehicle to increase their authority, and a number of them had gone to county commissioners and asked for \$10 million to set up a police department, and when they asked who are you going to police? They said, well, we're going to do away with the local police departments and the State Police will be relegated to State highways. Well, of

course, that didn't fly very well with the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association, nor did it fly well with the State Police. So because of the wrangle that occurred over the sheriff's inclusion in there, it ended up that the bill was eventually passed in the eleventh hour of the 1988 session, and I mean strictly the eleventh hour, and it just went back to just municipal officers again.

.24

MR. DUNKLEBERGER: Okay, you answered my second question somewhat. Presently, the Capitol Police, campus police, university police, do they not have arrest powers now presently within that jurisdiction?

MAJOR SPANG: Yes, they do.

MR. DUNKLEBERGER: But they have no training per se?

MAJOR SPANG: The training is generally provided as a policy of the employing agency. I'm aware that the Capitol Police, at the present time, send their officers to a municipal police officers training course down at Hershey or over at Harrisburg Area Community College. The Capitol Police budget pays for that cost, but they don't receive a certification because we can only issue a certification, under the present law, to employees of a municipality, and since

they are a State agency, State employees, they don't get a certification. Most of the agencies, especially--

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Can I interrupt you there?

MAJOR SPANG: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 -

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Is there any benefit from a liability standpoint to issue that certification or to have that certification issued?

MAJOR SPANG: I don't know a benefit. think it's primarily an ego thing that they can go and they can say to another surrounding department, yes, I have a certification, I have met the same requirements that you have, but if there's any kind of communication between those two agencies, they both know that they went through the same kind of programs. A benefit is that since we have mandatory in-service training, we have not allowed those other kinds of police officers to be in the program because we only have money to train municipal police officers. We have excluded State Police who want the programs. You know, I'm in a difficult position. I am a State Policeman telling the State Police Commissioner he can't have people in my programs. Anybody who's another police officer anywhere in the State cannot participate in our

programs that are funded by the commission because that 2 money is for the training of municipal police officers, and we tell them if you want to get into the program, 3 contact your legislator and get included and get the budget raised and then you can get in it. So that's 5 why you might be getting a lot of those calls. 6

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay, thank you.

MR. DUNKLEBERGER: Last question I have. A couple years ago I think the program was like 12 weeks, 480 hours. Now, in your statement you said it's now 520 hours?

> MAJOR SPANG: Yes.

MR. DUNKLEBERGER: What basically has been added in those 40 hours there?

MAJOR SPANG: Well, the entire curriculum was amended. Some sacred cows that we had like fingerprinting and things like that, they're gone. took them out. That's a skill you learn after you're employed. You don't have to know that in basic training. You have to know how to protect the scene. We teach them how to protect the scene.

One of the biggest things we added was a driver training course. We now have a one-week driver training course in there that was not there before. They received absolutely no driver training when they

went through the basic training program before. They were going out and as soon as they got out there they were banging up the police cars.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing -- actually, in some areas of the State the training is up to 527 hours, because initially we had tried to have a first aid course that we developed approved by the various chapters of the Red Cross, but they wouldn't do it. They were all protecting their turf. So now wherever the school is located, we have to use what first aid. course is recognized in that area. Now, the advantage of that is that once they get a certification from the chapter in that area, they can now get recertifications for update training because they have the program. the program varies for very legitimate reasons. Harrisburg area there's not as much emphasis on water saving and water safety techniques as there is in the Erie chapter, because of the proximity of the lake, and boating and water accidents are a big problem up there, not as much as a problem here. The way things have been going on the Susquehanna, maybe they're going to start changing. But depending on where you are.

Now, some municipalities also want a more comprehensive program, and they pay for that themselves. The max we pay for is 527 hours. Many of

42 1 the programs are up to 600 and 650 hours. Philadelphia 2 trains their officers for 850 hours. We only pay for 527 hours because that's our mandated part. The rest 3 are things that they add in. 4 MR. DUNKLEBERGER: Thank you, Mr. 5 Chairman. 6 7. Thank you, Major. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you very 8

much, Major. We certainly appreciate your testimony and the time you've given us this morning.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR SPANG: Any time I can help you, let me know.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Major, we're going to see, too, obviously that's the reason why the Chairman has the reporter here for a transcript, that other interested members of the committee on these topics, as well as interested members of the House, are aware of some of your comments because I think it's something that's in vogue, if you will, and it is important to have the practical hands-on analysis of what the implications of some of these mandated situations bring about and the problems.

MAJOR SPANG: If it helps, when the Rodney King incident occurred in Los Angeles, the beating by the police officers, we were immediately approached by every bit of the media in Pennsylvania about what do we do? Well, we really were ready for them because the commission is intelligent enough to recognize what's a liability issue, and the very first course we put in for the mandatory in-service training was the use of force. And we invited the media to come to our programs, they sat in on them, they were amazed that Pennsylvania was this far ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, you know, nothing to say we won't have a Rodney King incident, especially since now the new tool is the VCR. I mean, everybody's got a video camera, and in fact, I understand there's been a proven incident in Philadelphia where the drug dealers tried to set up a deal where they could force an abuse issue, new tool is the VCR. I mean, everybody's got a video camera, and in fact, I understand there's been a proven incident in Philadelphia where the drug dealers tried to set up a deal where they could force an abuse issue, what they thought was an abuse issue and they could film it and then turn it around and use against the officers. So it's a big problem that's occurring. Troopers are complaining that they stop a vehicle for a traffic stop, the next thing they know there's a car behind them and people are out filming, and so it's happening all over. Everybody wants to become a hero.

But I think that shows that the commission is not just a bunch of dunderheads sitting there. They're planning and they're aware of what the problems are and they're professional people. Thank you sir. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, and the meeting is adjourned. (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 11:00 a.m.)

1.	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me during the hearing of the within
4	cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript
5	of the same.
6	
7	ann-Marie P. Sweeney
8	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
9	
10	
11	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO
12	ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER
13	THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING
14	REPORTER:
15	
16	
17	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney
18	536 Orrs Bridge Road Camp Hill, PA 17011 717-737-1367
19	/1/-/3/-136/
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

b frego.

ATE: June 7, 1991

SUBJECT: Testimony before House Judiciary Committee Regarding Operations of the Municipal Police Officers' Education & Training Commission

TO: Chairman, House Judiciary Committee Representative Thomas R. Caltagirone

FROM: Major Daniel A. Spang, Executive Director, Municipal Police Officers' Education & Training Commission

Good Morning Mr. Chairman

- 1. Thank you for the opportunity to address the Judiciary Committee and answer any questions the Committee Members may have concerning the mission, function and operations of the Municipal Police Officers' Education & Training Commission.
- 2. The MPOETC was established on June 18, 1974 by the enactment of Act 120 of 1974, the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Law. In the late 1960's and early 70's similar Commissions were established in each state in response to a Federal Study which recognized the need to make minimum basic police training mandatory, defray the major costs of that training, and then certify graduates of that training as police officers.
- 3. The MPOETC is legislatively designed to be composed of a cross section of government, law enforcement, education and the public. The current members of the Commission are:

Colonel Glenn A. Walp, Pennsylvania State Police Commissioner and permanent Chairman

Mr. Ronald L. Jackson, representing the Secretary of Community Affairs

Mr. Jerome T. Foerster, Esq., representing the Attorney General

Senator Frank A. Salvatore, appointed by the President pro tempore of the Senate

Representative David J. Mayernik, appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives

The following elected officials are appointed by the Governor:

Mr. Stephen W. Campetti, Township Supervisor, Haverford Twp., Delaware County

Mr. Vincent P. Wiercinski, Mayor of Dickson City, Lackawanna County

Ms. Carolyn W. Young, Councilwoman, City of McKeeport, Allegheny County

There is a vacancy for a second class Township official.

The following Chiefs of Police are appointed by the Governor:

Chief William R. Collins, Fairview Twp., York County

Chief Joseph M. Kletch, Bethel Park Borough, Allegheny County

Chief Donald K. Mac Connell, South Whitehall Twp., Lehigh County

Chief Rodney E. Steffy Sr., City of Reading, Berks County

Special Agent in Charge Wayne R. Gilbert, Philadelphia FBI representative, appointed by the Governor

Dr. Bart Burne, Educator in Law Enforcement, Pittston, Luzerne County, appointed by the Governor

Mrs. Violet L. Stover, Milheim, Centre County, representing the public at large, appointed by the Governor

The following noncommissioned police officers are appointed by the Governor:

Sgt. Warren J. Broz, Pittsburgh Police Department

Detective Robert Mitchell, Wilkes-Barre Police Department

Inspector Joseph R. Cunningham represents the Philadelphia Police Commissioner

Mr. Donald K. Zettlemoyer, Dauphin County, represents the certified training schools and is appointed by the Governor

The goal of the Commission is to provide Pennsylvania Citizens with police officers who are:

- 1. Professionally trained.
- 2. Ethical.
- Physically and Psychologically fit.
- 4. Well educated.
- 5. Motivated.
- Career Oriented.
- 7. Sensitive to the Public needs.

The Commission attempts to meet that goal by:

- 1. Requiring all newly employed police officers undergo physical and psychological testing and undergo a thorough background investigation.
- Refusing to issue a certification to persons who have been convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year imprisonment.
- Revoking the certification of veteran police officers convicted of a crime punishable by more than one year imprisonment.
- 4. Requiring all newly employed police officers to successfully complete 520 hours of basic training that is based on a comprehensive task analysis of the basic patrol officers position.
- 5. Assisting local government by reimbursing the majority of the costs for basic training to the employing municipality.
- 6. Requiring all police officers to meet minimum in-service training requirements.
- 7. Providing funding to municipalities for non-mandatory training that can be tailored to a specific need in the municipality.

The basic and in-service training is conducted by 21 schools certified by the Commission. The schools are:

Pennsylvania State Police Academy - Hershey, Dauphin County

Pennsylvania State Police Southeast Training Center in Worcester, Montgomery County

Pennsylvania State Police Southwest Training Center in Greensburg, Westmoreland County

Pennsylvania State Police Northeast Training Center in Wyoming, Luzerne County

Pennsylvania State Police Northwest Training Center in Meadville, Crawford County

Allentown Police Academy, Lehigh County

Allegheny County Police Training Academy , Allegheny County

Reading Police Academy, Berks County

Mercyhurst College, Erie County

Lackawanna Junior College, Lackawanna County

Harrisburg Area Community College, Dauphin County

Montgomery County Community College, Montgomery County

Greater Johnstown Area Vo-Tech School, Cambria County

Delaware County Community College, Delaware County

Philadelphia Police Academy, Philadelphia County

Temple University, Philadelphia County

Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana County

Beaver County Community College, Beaver County

Mansfield State University, Tioga County

Pittsburgh Police Training Academy, Allegheny County - at the time the school is being renovated and Pittsburgh officers are being trained at the PSP, Southwest Training Center.

Westmoreland County Community College, Westmoreland County

- 4. The basic training program is 520 hours in length and is based on a comprehensive task analysis of the basic patrol officers function. Each student must successfully complete 24 testing areas to graduate. The average cost to train an officer is \$6,500. In this fiscal year approximately 1000 officers completed the basic training program. In 1991-92 fiscal year we expect that 1430 officers will be eligible for reimbursement for basic training.
- 5. Prior to December 21, 1988 Pennsylvania municipal police officers were allowed to work as a police officer for one year without training and there were no mandatory in-service training requirements. Act 180 of 1988 eliminated this condition, and as of December 21, 1988

a police officer must meet all training requirements prior to enforcing the Crimes Code, moving violations of the Vehicle Code or

carry a firearm. Act 180 also empowered the MPOETC to establish mandatory in-service training requirements and in January of 1991 this program began. During the calendar year the approximately 22,000 municipal police officers are undergoing a twelve hour classroom training program that includes the following topics. Authority and Jurisdiction; Criminal and Civil Liability; Use of Force; Legal Update on Rules of Criminal Procedure, Crimes Code and Vehicle Code, Laws of Arrest and Evidence; and Search and Seizure emphasizing motor vehicle In addition each officer must maintain a current first aid and Cardio Pulmonary Resuscitation Certification and qualify annually with any firearm, shotqun or rifle authorized for use by the police department. Officers who do not meet these requirements by December 31, 1991, will loose their authority to enforce the Crimes Code, moving violations of the Vehicle Code and carry a firearm because they will not have the police certification. The MPOETC does anticipate that there will be some officers who will need an extension of time to complete the training because of extended illness or other legitimate reasons. As of May 30 approximately 15,500 officers have completed the 12 hours of classroom training.

The classroom training in 1992 will involve different topics but the first aid, CPR and firearms qualification will be annual requirements.

6. I had mentioned earlier that the MPOETC grants funds to municipalities for non-mandatory In-Service programs. During the past fiscal year there was \$250,000.00 for that program and the funds were allocated by December 31, 1990. Some examples of programs funded are:

Basic Supervision - Philadelphia	\$1672.00
Arrest technique - Pittston Borough	\$ 423.50
Field Training Officer - Reading	\$2480.00
Stress and the Law Enforcement Officer Emmaus Borough	- \$1168.00
Internal Affairs Investigation - Upper Chichester Township	\$6161.00
Driver Training- Clarion Borough	\$5408.00
Drug Enforcement Technique - Butler County	\$2288.00
Police Executive Training - Lower Southampton Township	\$13,177.00

7. The 1990-91 Budget of the Commission was 7.5 million dollars. The expenditure for personnel services was \$240,00.00,

operational expenses were \$70,768, subsidies and Grants to municipalities was \$6,245,534.00. We had started the fiscal year with an anticipated deficiency of \$2,804,780.00 but by judicious use of funds, and because the Philadelphia Police Department did not hire as many officers as originally planned, we anticipate we have reduced our deficiency to approximately \$904,000.00. Our anticipated 91-92 Re-Budget request will be approximately \$10 million to cover the actual operating costs plus the deficiency.

You had asked me to comment on HB 194 concerning the inclusion of the Attorney General's Family Violence Task Forces' Model Protocol for Police Response to Domestic Violence cases in the basic and in-service training required by municipal police officers. majority of Commission members are opposed to legislation that would require any specific training for police officers. The reason is that there are many groups that would like to have specific topics mandated for training. The difficulty the Commission has is that there is only so much time and so much money available. The present basic training program contains this training and it has been taught to over 3,000 officers since 1989 when the new curriculum became effective. have been numerous training programs presented around the State by other organizations on this topic and that training is continuing. this topic were mandated it opens the door for mandated programs on DUI, Rape Investigation, Child Abuse, Elderly Abuse, Hate Crimes, Crime Prevention and a myriad of other topics. The Commission recognizes that all of these topics are important, but would like to reserve the right to have latitude in the basic curriculum and inservice training. We only started mandatory in-service training in 1991 and there are many officers who need many basic courses to bring them up to an acceptable level and other officers are in dire need of refresher training to hone their skills. We will eventually cover all of these topics but just cannot do it as quickly as many people want.

That concludes my remarks Mr. Chairman and now I will attempt to answer any questions you or the Committee may have.