1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2	COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
3	In re: Public Hearing concerning drug and alcohol crimes in the 180th Legislative District
4	* * * *
5	Changement of hooming hold
6	Stenographic report of hearing held in Room 140, Majority Caucus Room, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA
7	Thursday,
8	November 14, 1991 10:00 a.m.
9	
10	HON. THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, CHAIRMAN
11	MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
12	Hon. Gregory Fajt Hon. Robert Reber Hon. James Gerlach Hon. Michael Veon
13	<u>Λ1so Present</u> :
14	Hon. Ralph Acosta Hon, Harold James
15	David Krantz, Executive Director Galina Milahov, Research Analyst
16	Mary Both Marschik, Republican Research Analyst
17	
18	Reported by:
19	Ann-Maric P. Sweeney, Reporter
20	
21	
22	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
23	3606 Horsham Drive Mechanicsburg, PA 17055
24	717-732-5316
25	

1991-113

X

INDEX

- I	TINDEY	
2		<u>PAGE</u>
3	Mark Bencivengo, Assistant Health Commissioner CADAAP, Philadelphia	3
4	Shirley Gray, Division Director, Scattered Housing	29
5	Philadelphia Housing Authority	
6	Rhonda Kutzik, Director of Research, Philadelphia Housing Authority	
7		
8	Captain Skinner, Philadelphia Housing Authority Police	
9	James A. Goodman, Chairman, Liquor Control Board	60
10	Maj. William Λ. Mericle, Director, Bureau of Liquor Code Enforcement	62
11	Oliver Slinker, Member, Liquor Control Board	
12		
13	Rachael L. Landi, Assistant Vice President, Episcopal Hospital	71
14	Jose Rivera-Urrutia, Director of Community Relations, St. Christopher's Hospital	96
15	William Jewitt, Director, Abuse Programs	
16		102
17	Sarah Vandenbraak, Chief, Civil Litigation Dept., Philadelphia District Attorney's Office	102
18	David Castro, Leader, Nuisance Bar Task Force, Philadelphia District Attorney's Office	104
19		-04
20	Frank Chekovage, Director of Civil Operation, Court of Common Pleas, Trial Division, Phila.	124
21	John Pettit, Prothonotary, Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia	124
22	- III IMM I PIII I	
23		

1 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Okay, if we can 2 get started. I'm Tom Caltagirone of the Judiciary 3 committee. If members of the panel who are present would introduce themselves. REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Representative 5 6 Reber from Montgomery County. 7 MS. MARSCHIK: Mary Both Marschik, staff on the committee. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Representative 10 Acosta, Philadelphia. REPRESENTATIVE GERLACH: Representative 11 12 Jim Gerlach from Chester County. 13 MS. MILAHOV: Galina Milahov, staff for 14 the committee. 15 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We probably will have other members and some other staffers joining us. 16 17 but I would like to get started on the hearing, and if Mark would please come up to start the testimony. I do 18 19 have to leave at 1:30 because I have another emergency 20 meeting back in Reading at 3:00 o'clock and I'll turn 21 the hearing over to Representative Acosta to take the 22 testimony for the remainder of the day. 23 Please start. 24 MR. BENCIVENGO: Good afternoon. Good

afternoon. My name is Mark Beneivengo. I'm Assistant

25

Health Commissioner for the City of Philadelphia in charge of the Coordinating Office for Drug and Alcohol Abuse Programs, also known as CODAAP. I want to thank you for the opportunity to present testimony today.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before going into programmatic detail, however, I want to present background information which provides a context in which my testimony needs to be As I offer you various information today, it heard. must be understood that in the last several years, the prevention and treatment of drug and alcohol abuse has gone from a rather straightforward effort of dealing with an individual's substance abuse to a more complex set of issues dealing with a multiplicity of problems. This is true in Representative Acosta's district and throughout Philadelphia. More than a decade ago, our major focus was on heroin addiction. Today, the primary drug we must deal with is cocaine. And with cocaine comes a whole host of problems. The advent of crack has necessitated our having to face addicts who are child abusers, addicts who are intravenous drug users shooting a mixture of cocaine and heroin and who have AIDS or are at risk for AIDS, addicts who are homeless, pregnant addicts who shun prenatal care, addicts who are caught up in the criminal justice system, addicts who come from dysfunctional families.

The list goes on and on.

We no longer have clients who just need counseling. Their needs are far more complex and overwhelming. In general, greater numbers are in need of more costly residential services. As a result, we tend to treat fewer individuals and for longer periods of time than we did in the past. And despite reports you may see in the national press to the contrary, we see no end in sight to these disturbing trends in Representative Acosta's district or throughout. Philadelphia.

In that context, I would like to describe the current substance abuse system funded by CODAAP. While I do this, please keep in mind that these generic program types must be accessible, appropriate to the unique needs of the target population groups, and acceptable to those groups. That's particularly relevant in Representative Acosta's district because many of the residents are Latino and require bilingual and bicultural services. CODAAP funds the following services, and I'm just going to cover the generic titles:

Inpatient Drug Free Non-Hospital

Services. Inpatient Drug Free Hospital Based Services.

Non- Hospital Detoxification Services. Outpatient

Methadone Maintenance Services. Outpatient Drug Free Services. And Prevention Services. I would be glad to answer any questions at the end about these specific services and what they mean.

To provide these services, CODAAP has established and maintained a system of substance abuse prevention and treatment services by contracting with voluntary, non-profit provider agencies. The system citywide is comprised of approximately 45 agencies which provide the range of services previously described.

During this fiscal year, CODAAP will spend almost \$25 million for these services. This is broken down to approximately \$3 1/2 million in city funds, \$9 1/2 million in Federal funds, and \$11 3/4 million in State funds coming from the State Department of Health and the State Department of Welfare. The Federal funds come to Philadelphia through the State. Over the past several years, the funds we receive from the State have become increasingly categorical, meaning we are limited to what services we may purchase with the funds that we receive.

Within the Philadelphia Department of Public Health, CODAAP works closely with several other components to provide services. We collaborate with

the AIDS Activities Coordinating Office, AACO, whose role is to address the range of education, social and health services for persons at risk for HIV, those with HIV, and those with AIDS. CODAAP also works with the Office of Maternal and Infant Health, the Office of Mental Health and Mental Retardation, and the Division of Ambulatory Health Services, which operates the city's District Health Centers.

With this background, I now want to focus more specifically on Representative Acosta's district to discuss those issues which impact most directly on the residents of this area. I would like to begin in the area of AIDS.

Since the beginning of the AIDS epidemic in 1981, through October of 1989, 10.8 percent of AIDS cases in Philadelphia were residents of the 180th House District. In the past two years, this percentage has increased to more than 14 percent. Now, remember, these are AIDS cases. These are not HIV-positive individuals. The number of HIV-positive individuals who have not yet developed AIDS is considerably larger. This tends to follow what we are seeing on a citywide basis; that is, that there has been a significant increase in the incidence of AIDS in minority communities. Since the disease was first reported in

Philadelphia 10 years ago, 64 percent of cases have occurred in minority individuals. However, since the beginning of this year, that percentage is 76 percent. Breaking this down further, Latino cases have been relatively consistent in the 6 to 7 percent range, while cases in the African-American community have gone from 57 to 70 percent during this current year.

Substance abuse is more difficult to quantify, but we do know informally that it is a major problem in the area. Treatment programs serving the district and outreach workers who are on the streets describe cocaine and heroin usage as being especially severe problems. In fact, at this point in time the most significant heroin problem in Philadelphia is in that particular area.

In an effort to address these problems, there are a number of programs providing services in this area. These programs that you are about to hear, please keep in mind that these programs are literally bursting at the seams. Every one of these programs that I mention deserves to be expanded. We've made an effort because of the Omnibus Drug Bill of 1986, the Omnibus Drug Bill of 1986, the Omnibus Drug Bill of 1988, the Governor's PennFree project to expand search visions, but what we have been able to expand has now reached the point where it needs

to be expanded again or we need new programs. Again, I won't describe the programs but I would like to go to what is up there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Centro Primavera, which is a community-based prevention program which focuses on one Associacion de Puertorriquenos en Marcha, spcak. Incorporated, APM. CODAAP funds the drug component of this particular program. Congreso de Latino Unidos-Hunting Park. The Hunting Park program, which is an intervention program for parents and young people. Congreso de Latino Unidos-Outpatient program, a Latino counseling program servicing substance abusers in the The John F. Kennedy Community MH/MR Centerarca. Centro Servicios Hispanos, again a program which targets largely the Latino population, and I would reiterate these programs are full. They have no capacity. We fund them to serve a certain number of people, and that capacity has been reached. Methadone Maintenance program where there are 65 Latino clients primarily from the 180th House District. that program has found it necessary to hire bilingual and bicultural staff to work with this population. North Philadelphia Health System-Methadone Maintenance program. Again, because of the number of Latinos coming into this program, the program has found it

necessary to address the Latino client. The EHCOS Program - Episcopal Hospital Cocaine Outreach Service program, which you will hear more about later. Shalom. Incorporated a prevention program that worked in the parochial schools. I hear from Sister Madelyn Boyd, the director of that program, at least once a week telling me that she has requests from more schools than she can possibly deal with. The School District of Philadelphia. The public school system where we also fund programs and there are a number of schools in that particular district where we have programs but not in It has been our goal for some time to every school. try to get prevention programs in every school. have not been able to do this. The Episcopal Hospital Residential Program for Pregnant Addicted Women program. You will hear more about that from a speaker a little bit later.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Services for individuals with or at risk for HIV and AIDS include: The Congreso de Latino Unidos program, which has a specific component for HIV education and intervention. The APM program, which does outreach and in-home education, much of it targeted to Latino women; a population that is very, very difficult to reach. The Circle of Care at Saint Christopher's Hospital for Children, which provides a

wide range of health services for women and families affected by HIV disease. Philadelphia Health Management Corporation Street Outreach program, a program that has been funded for several years which began with some controversy because it does instruct individuals how to clean needles if they are going to shoot drugs. We do not want to see dirty needles shared among drug users on the street simply because of the fact that our programs may be full or they refuse, to come in for treatment. HIV Counseling and Testing which is done at three are sites funded by the coordinating office around the 180th District - Act II, North Philadelphia Health Systems, and Congreso.

Additional services are provided to residents in the area by District Health Center #6, and mental health and mental retardation services are offered by JFK, Charles R. Drew, and COMHAR.

Now, while I describe this extensive list of services to the residents of Representative Acosta's district, I want to be very clear that many gaps in service remain. There are service needs which must still be addressed and others which are being addressed but not sufficiently to meet the demand for services.

Among the gaps are:

In the area of drug and alcohol abuse, a

significant number of culturally appropriate programs are needed, including:

Residential programs targeting Latinos.

There is no residential program in Philadelphia which is specifically dedicated to the Latino population.

Day programs which emphasize family therapy and have the capability to do home visits, something that we found very important in dealing specifically with the Latino population.

Neighborhood prevention programs working with community groups. There are an overwhelming number of community groups in Philadelphia and in the 180th District that want to do something to improve the quality of life within their neighborhoods. We need the ability to bring these community organizations in and work with them to implement their programs or their ideas.

Programs which foster linkages between substance abuse services and HIV primary health care. In fact, two such programs are about to be initiated in the area at Act II and North Philadelphia health System. This linkage of primary health care and HIV and drug programs is very important. Many of the people in drug programs don't go elsewhere for services, they come to the drug programs, and that's

1 | where we need to put in primary health care.

In the area of HIV and ATDS, service needs include:

Additional outreach and education. While a cure is not available, there are medications which can extend and impact upon the quality of life. We've heard a lot about that over the last week. We must make every effort to get people into the system so that they can take advantage of these medications. That means having enough counseling and testing available so that people will come in, be identified if they are HIV-positive and we can get them on clinical trials.

Drop-in centers with hours which go beyond the traditional work day. Such a place would be where people with AIDS or HIV-positive individuals can go for support, information, food and other basic needs.

Home visiting capability, which is vital for many individuals with AIDS.

Housing for persons with AIDS. This should provide linkages to necessary support services. I can't emphasize the housing need enough.

Hospice services for people who are terminal, unable to take care of themselves, and we certainly need to have an expansion of the

hospice-based services.

In addressing you here today, I want to sum up by amphasizing the degree to which we must focus on the human element. My point is that HIV and AIDS and drug abuse are responsible for a great deal of human suffering. Many people are denied access to the services and support they need. All of us must be sensitive to this, from lawmakers like yourselves, to government administrators like myself at the local level and my counterparts at the State, to program administrators and staff who deliver the services. are aware that unmet needs exist. In Representative Acosta's district and throughout Philadelphia, the funds do not exist to do all that we need to do. My job is to assure that the funds we receive are spent efficiently and to assure that the programs receiving those funds utilize them effectively and humanely.

I thank you again for the opportunity to present testimony here today. I would be pleased to respond to any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you.

Questions?

Representative Acosta.

BY REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: (Of Mr. Bencivengo)

Q. I was hoping that this meeting may

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

attract the State government to really get involved in helping some of the problems that we have in my district, the 180th district, which I am sad to say is a disgraceful part of the State that we have to live in day after day after day, and despite the problems that I have presented here in the House and in the press conferences that I have made over the years trying to address the problem and trying to get someone's attention to the problem, it seems to me that this is the one and good opportunity for people representing the State and people representing different agencies would have a better understanding as to what it is that we have to do together to solve some of the problems.

Now my question to you, we understand that your programs do not have any facilities to house some of the people that we have in the streets — some of them with the HIV problems, some of them with the drug problems. Again, do you have facilities to accommodate those people that need the help?

A. There are insufficient residential facilities to target the people in the 180th district. There is no residential program in Philadelphia which houses Latinos. That is something that we've identified in our county plan that we sent to the State Health Department for the last several years. It's my

1 understanding now that the State Health Department is 2 preparing a request for proposals for a residential 3 program for Latinos. It will target the southeast region. The dollars for that RFP, request for 4 5 proposals, are going to be operating fund dollars. 6 They are going to fund the program. There are no 7 dollars for program renovations, and that is a 8 critically important area. It is virtually impossible 9 to find a facility within Philadelphia or other areas 10 of the State which does not require extensive 11 renovations before you can put a program in. The 12 moneys that are going to be used to fund this 13 residential program that is going to be issued on RFP 14 are alcohol, drug and mental health block grant moneys. 15 They are federal dollars. They cannot be used for 16 renovations. So in order to make this program a 17 success, we are going to have to find a way to find 18 renovation moneys for whatever building we locate, and 19 right now those renovation moneys are not there and 20 they are critical.

Q. Why do you think that those dollars are not there, or if they are there, why those dollars don't come to our district, at least to those portions of the city?

21

22

23

24

25

Λ. In the last couple of years the federal

government has expanded its drug abuse role dramatically with the Omnibus Drug Bill of 1986 and the Omnibus Drug Bill of 1988. Unfortunately, those federal funds cannot be used for extensive renovations or new construction, and that has really put a hold on us in terms of developing new programs. That need has been expressed to the State and to the Federal government on numerous occasions and they don't seem to want to really back off of that position. But without a funding stream which will provide the money for renovations for these sites, and you know what the housing stock is up in your district, we wouldn't be able to go in and start a program if someone gave us a half a million dollars in operating money tomorrow because first we would have to find the money to do renovations. Now, whether that would come through the Department of Community Affairs or some other State agency, the various State organizations. State offices have to work together in order to put together a comprehensive program package. One providing operating moneys may be considered in the State Health Department and another providing the renovation money, maybe the Department of Community Affairs, but they must work together in order to be able to do that.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What could this committee do to help this

1 2

situation out, what is there that you could work with us together to try to solve some of these problems that you are encountering with the money?

A. I suppose there are several areas. One is for the committee to be sensitive to the fact that while more moneys may be available for substance abuse advisors, that's only half the battle. The committee has to ask the questions about well, now, that you've got the money available for the program, what are you going to do about finding the money to renovate the sites? It's not enough simply because the Federal government has put more program money in to say, fine, we've had such and such an increase over the last several years. I mean, this committee needs to ask the additional questions and to work with local authorities to find revenue sources to handle the other half. And that is really critically important.

Q. Okay, my last question, Act 152, what do you know about it?

A. A couple of years ago the Governor signed what has come to be known as Act 152. This was a critically important piece of legislation which moved through in Pennsylvania. Act 152 provides Medicaid funds for residential drug treatment services and residential detoxification services, non-hospital

Up until the time the Governor signed that services. act, residential services were not covered under Medicaid. Medicaid covered out-patient advisers, so that anyone going into any residential program, the county had to support that. When Act 152 was funded, it opened up a new funding stream to provide dollars for residential services. Now, unfortunately, although the Act was signed, I believe, in the fall of 1988, there are only five counties in the Commonwealth, or five single county authorities in the Commonwealth, that are participating in the Act 152 program. portion of Allegheny County, all of Chester County, all of Bucks County, the joinder of Armstrong/Indiana County, and five county assistance offices in Philadelphia County. In other words, all Medical Assistance people in Philadelphia are not currently covered by this program. CODAAP puts in over \$2 million into residential services. Almost everyone in those residential services is MA eligible. could, if Act 152 covered all MA eligible people in Philadelphia, CODAAP, my office, could back, I'm assuming somebody would take it from us, but we could back that \$2-plus million out and fund some of those other services that I've listed here or we could fund some ancillary services like parenting training. So we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have pressured, the Reps of the counties, have pressured very hard for Act 152 to go statewide. It is a law and we think it ought to go statewide.

Q. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Greg Fajt.

BY REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: (Of Mr. Bencivengo)

Q. Thanks, Mark. My name is Greg Fajt. I am a Representative from the Allegheny County area, and I appreciate you taking time to be here today. I have a couple of questions, but I appreciate your input.

How much money, State money, is spent on those programs that you enumerated in your testimony today? You went through a number of programs that are in effect in Representative Acosta's area and I guess in the Philadelphia area. Any idea on the amount of State money spent today on those programs?

- A. It's a combination of dollars, but the State General Fund dollars going to the Office of Drug and Λlcohol Programs is something in the neighborhood of \$33 million.
- Q. So you're saying that all those programs generally are funded through the State D&A program.

 They are not a separate line item in the General Fund?

Λ. No, they are in the State Department of Health Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs.

Q. Okay.

A. You'd see a line for General Fund, and it's about \$33 million, and I guess you would see a line for grant funds if you do the budget the same way we do ours in Philadelphia in that the Federal dollars going to the Office of Drug and Alcohol Programs would be under the grant funds. So there are two sources funding these programs, basically — general fund State dollars, and alcohol, drug and mental health block grant dollars which come to the State Department of Health.

- Q. Why do you feel it's necessary to segregate funds specifically for Latino programs? I mean, do we currently, in the drug and alcohol area, segregate funds for blacks, for Indians, for whites? I just was curious as to why you felt there were specific programs needed to target Latinos in Pennsylvania.
- A. In my testimony I alluded to the fact that over the past several years funding has become increasingly categorical, meaning that the local areas don't have discretion to fund the money in the areas where they see fit based upon needs that they determine. While I am opposed to categorical funding

identified over a number of years the Latino population is an underserved population, that the prevalence of substance abuse and HIV with the Latino population merits greater attention under terms of services.

We've identified that in our plan, I believe, maybe a couple of other counties have, that we have urged that categorical funds be set aside because there are some unique needs within that population. We have to make sure that the programs are culturally sensitive and they may cost a little more in order to do this, so based upon the relevance pool which we think exceeds the amount of programming, we do feel it is necessary to identify categorical funds for this population.

Q. And finally, Mark, this may be trying to oversimplify a very significant problem, but, you know, I sit here and listen to people such as yourself talk about the drug and alcohol programs and problems in Pennsylvania. We've heard many, many people this year testify about those problems, and you just, I really sit here and wonder if we can ever overcome this great cancer that strikes our Commonwealth. Is there any easy solution? If you had to pick one thing that we as a Commonwealth could do, one program to fund, one area that we could really intensify our efforts on, you

know, would it be early education, for instance, to try to get these people when they are two, three, four, five, six, seven years old, teach them the problems with drugs and teach them the value of an education? Is it affordable housing? Is it adequate jobs? If you had to pick one of these areas, and I hate to pinpoint you like that, but which one of those areas, or another area which you think is a significant way to combat this ever-increasing drug and alcohol problem? Because I really think that we as elected officials need to start to do something about it. I'm concerned about throwing money at problems in ten different directions and there may be, you know, great causes or great reasons to fund ten different programs but, you know, we don't seem to be winning the battle, quite frankly and are we missing something?

A. It really is impossible to pick prevention over treatment, over housing, over jobs, because early in my testimony I said that unlike 14 or 15 years ago when it was primarily heroin and we had a drugs and crime issue, now we have the child abuse, the prenatal problems, the homeless problems. So what we're really trying to move toward down in Philadelphia County, and in many of the other counties in the State, is a continuum of services. There are too many

children growing up in dysfunctional homes. So if we have prevention and the youngster walks into a household, we defeat the purpose. I mean, this child is terribly confused and conflicted. So we're looking for a comprehensive, a range of programs which deal with families which provide housing, provide parenting skills. And we used to call these ancillary services. I don't call them ancillary services anymore. I call them core services. If we have a residential program for parenting and we don't have a component for the kids and we don't have all the parenting component, that program is not a complete program.

б

So it's so difficult to pick out one area, but if I were to move in an area I would said we need more residential services, comprehensive residential services with the parents and child care and all that, followed by what we call clean and sober housing, supportive housing where at least there are social workers available to work with the residents who are graduating from our therapeutic communities and going into housing. It's a tragedy and they tell us, I don't want to leave because if I leave and go back to such and such a place, it's so loaded with drugs, I am going to be in difficulty, and then 14 months, 12 months worth of work may be down the drain.

25

1

REPRESENTATIVE ACOSTA: Maybe in response to some of these questions, and I live in that community 20 years and I seen that community deteriorating year after year after year, and that was a motive myself to get involved in the politics. trying, probably hoping that getting inside the system I was able to bring some of those problems into the key individuals within the system so we could probably do some things about it. One of the problems that we have there, we have been left out, neglected, and I'm talking about a district that is not only Latino. Within the district we have poor whites, poor blacks and the problem goes around for everyone that lives within the district. The biggest problem that we have there is housing. The housing has been with us at least 25 years of neglect. And then having broken families, no place to live, no place to sleep, no home to be, that creates a problem of crime. And then following, I guess, education has been one of the most problems within that district, and I've been fighting 3 1/2 years with a school district, trying to get one school, the Potter Thomas School, fixed up, cleaned up. We have sewer line problems, we had inlet problems, we had grafitti, we had non-working water fountains. We had non-working to:lets inside the school. So the

problem here has been neglected by State government, Federal government, city government. So those problems now are coming up in a way that they are not controlled anymore. So now it takes a lot of effort, a lot of hard work, a lot of money to correct something that should be corrected. So actually, that should answer some of your questions.

MR. BENCIVENGO: I think maintenance is a lot easier than to go back in and try to catch up, and right now it's a terrible catch-up game.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Mr. Bencivengo)

Q. And thanks for being here. I just want to add, and it might have been asked because I came late, and what continues to bother me in my district is the fact that often people come in on the weekend that need to go to detox, or drug rehab, and I want to know if, in fact, I know that you have addressed a lot of those concerns, but is there currently some program where people that need this kind of attention that is not, number one, on Medical Assistance or have any kind of insurance, what can you do or what is happening with those people?

A. Oh, I wish you didn't ask that question.
Aside from the hospital-based detoxification programs,

1 which, and one of the inquires, every first question they're going to ask is there Medical Assistance, there 2 really is not a 24-hour kind of walk-in capability for people to go who may need detox or other services. 4 5 I identify in here that we have to have a 24-hour kind 6 of a service. It's a real gap in services, and there's 7 absolutely no question about it. I mean, if a poor 8 person needs service, generally a hospital can do 9 what's known as presumptive cligibility. If the person 10 is eligible for MA, the hospital can make that 11 determination. And sometimes they do that. Another 12 time maybe they don't, but there really is no adequate 13 service, and I know because I don't know if you recall 14 a couple years ago. I think you got to me about 11:00 15 o'clock on a Friday night and I started making phone 16 calls and we did get the person in. But that was 17 because I started making phone calls. There should be 18 a routing way of doing that.

Q. Okay. So just hopefully, that since that is a gap and it has been there for some time, I just thought that maybe there had been some movement in terms of addressing that and seemingly it hasn't been to the degree that it's maybe even halfway necessary?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Λ. The movement was that we apply for very large Federal grants on an RFP. Unfortunately, we

1	didn't get that Federal grant. We were a final list
2	for that grant and we did not get it. And that would
3	have given us central intake and 24-hour capability,
4	and we didn't got it.
5	Q. Is it possible that that can be re-
6	applied or someone else is doing it in the city?
7	 No one is doing it in the city. That was
8	a one-time RFP, although Dr. Benny Prim from the Office
9	of Treatment and Improvement has expressed some
10	interest in Philadelphia to a couple of people and he
11	may be coming to visit Philadelphia and that would be
12	one of the things that we could say to them that we
13	want an input unit that is working on a 24-hour basis.
14	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: All right, thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: If there's any
17	more questions?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you very
20	much.
21	(Whereupon, Representative Acosta assumed
22	the Chair.)
23	ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you very
24	much.
25	Shirley Gray from the Housing Authority,

Rhonda Kutzik--

MS. GRAY: And also Captain Skinner from the Housing Authority Police.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Okay. Do you have any statements?

MS. GRAY: Yes, I've passed out one and I am prepared to read one into the record.

Authority is a nonprofit agency responsible for housing provisions to over 72,095 very low income residents and dependents in conventional sites, scattered sites, and Section 8 housing programs. Conventional site housing currently consists of 14,698 units, and scattered site housing consists of 7,432 units. There are 8,827 Section 8 housing certificates in circulation. The total number of PHΛ-owned housing units is 22,130. Currently, in excess of 4,300 scattered site units and conventional units are not occupied due to renovations, abandonment, demolition plans, and other factors. There are 12,000 families with applications pending for conventional and scattered sites. Presently there is a three-plus waiting period for housing.

PHA is the single largest landlord in the city of Philadelphia. It is estimated that the actual number of residents in PHA housing is over 100,000,

Well in excess of the number reported on PHA leases. The reason for this situation ranges from a reluctance of tenants to report individuals residing in a unit over the age of 18, to the presence of individuals who do not qualify for public housing. PHA tenants, as noted above, represent the poorest segment of Philadelphia residents in housing. Over 50 percent of PHA conventional site residents are under the age of 18. PHA conventional site represents an intensive microcosm of the neighborhoods in which they are located where crime rates are high, as in north central Philadelphia, south Philadelphia, and southwest. They are reflected in the crime activity, if not the arrest statistics of the respective conventional housing sites.

The typical PHA conventional site family, as well as scattered sites, is headed by a single female, who is not always the biological mother, with three children aged 3 to 18 years. In addition, it is a family which is at risk due to health care deficiencies, subject to life threatening, clinically defined stress, a leading killer of Afro-Americans. They are under-educated. They are consequently without a real opportunity for meaningful employment.

Frequently, they're involved with Family Court. They

are beset with the highest incident of death by violence of any segment of the American population.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The most serious problem for tenants of public housing and the surrounding communities include teen pregnancy, drug and alcohol abuse, drug trafficking, youth drug gang, school truancy. unemployment is at 87 percent, and the illiteracy rate is over 67 percent. The PHA family unit is a body in crisis. With an increase in younger single heads of the household and a mother who is ill-prepared for child raising, they are very under-resourced. family inclined toward despair. The drug problem in public housing is largely fueled by the younger segment of the population. While drug use is present in virtually every segment of the population in Philadelphia, special emphasis should be placed on drug abusing females, especially in child bearing years, and her at-risk children. Frequently, the prevention, the intervention and the treatment networks provide service to the heavy users. And users who present themselves for treatment either through self-referral or through court adjudication. The increasing treatment needed has reached a high demand for this service. The needs for these clients far outweigh the needs of other populations associated with drug war.

In Philadelphia, subscription to the drug and alcohol service system has threatened to swamp services to the needy population, and certainly excludes effective intervention service for a growing population associated with what are considered to be marginalized facets of addiction. With crack, most prevalent in the Afro-American and other minority communities, the availability of treatment centers have become a paramount issue. Although admission to drug and alcohol treatment programs has grown exponentially, the availability of treatment facilities for substance abuse women and children and/or pregnant women is very limited. A significant majority of these admissions have been to outpatient facilities.

Philadelphia providing treatment service for drug addiction. Some of these facilities provide service to women and children. Of these facilities, 35 are residential facilities offering 54 licensed and 21 unlicensed beds available for the population. There are 72 licensed beds available for children. The combined waiting lists for these facilities range from 20 to 200. The service system in PSSA is already beyond serving the heretofore known population of people who are not benefiting from the provision of a

substance abuse program.

As mentioned, the scattered sites program represents a major component of the Philadelphia
Housing Authority and includes 7,452 units of housing scattered throughout the entire city of Philadelphia.
Of these, 5,855 are currently occupied and 1,577 are vacant. The vacancy breakout includes 515 which are in need of minimal repairs, 1,005 needing major contract repairs, and 57 are pending suspension. Specific information has been requested concerning those scattered site units bounded by Front Street on the east, Sixth Street on the west, Diamond Street on the south, and Glennwood Avenue on the north. And this area can be further identified as census tract 162, 163, and a part of 164.

Within these census tracts, the
Philadelphia Housing Authority owns 382 scattered site
units. Of these, 252 are occupied, 64 are vacant, and
59 have been demolished. Of the 64 that are vacant, 53
have been categorized as long-term, requiring major
contract work. Sixteen of the 53 are structurally
unsound. Eleven of these vacant units are categorized
as short-term units that the site maintenance staff can
repair in-house. Our lease requires that a tenant give
management advance notice of their intent to vacate a

unit. However, tenants often fail to notify management when they are vacating. Because these units are scattered throughout the city, management is often unaware of a vacancy for several weeks unless notified by a community group or an interested neighbor. At times vacancies are vandalized and/or occupied by unauthorized persons before the vacancy is known to the Philadelphia Housing Authority. Vacant units are sealed by site maintenance staff with plywood. This procedure is used in order to preserve the property until site staff can be assigned to make the vacancy turn over repair.

Vacant and sealed units are often broken into by vandals or by persons who desire to utilize the building for unauthorized activities. Vacancies requiring more than minimal repair are sealed awaiting funds for rehab. A request for proposal will be issued shortly for a planning firm to conduct a comprehensive study of our scattered site program. The selected firm will be expected to recommend a strategy that will include treatment of our entire scattered sites inventory over the next 5 to 7 years. During 1988, under special funding, 178 vacant scattered sites buildings were cleaned and scaled by outside contractors at a total cost of \$271,500. The method of

sealing under this program was cinderblocking of the basement openings and the first floor openings and tin on the second and third floor openings. This program was very successful. Of the 178 buildings that were sealed in this fashion, only two were re-opened by vandals and/or unauthorized persons.

In the particular census tracts that I named carlier, we scaled eight vacant buildings in this fashion at a cost of \$13.040.

PHA has not demolished any structurally unsound units. The Philadelphia Housing Authority cannot demolish any unit without HUD approval and without approved funds for one replacement. Dwellings suffering serious structural defects are classified imminently dangerous by the city's License and Inspection Department. These Philadelphia Housing Authority units are demolished by the city's Department of L&I. Houses that are originally intended as row houses do not fare well structurally when the adjoining property has been demolished. It is in the best interest of the city and the Philadelphia Housing Authority to avoid demolition. And this can be done if adequate resources can be made available to renovate those properties for reoccupancy.

Philadelphia Housing Authority has

entered, has been approved to sell up to 300 of its scattered site units to tenants that are currently occupying them. We are in phase 1 of that project. There are seven families involved in phase 1. Three of the seven families live east of Broad. One in Mr. Acosta's direct area, two others in Ludlow, and we are having our first settlements of the homeownership demonstration program 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. The Housing Authority intends to dispose of the lots created by the demolition of the structurally unsound dwellings. RFPs have been provided by community groups, residents living next to and from other nonprofits who have an interest in acquiring one of these lots. PHA recently received \$12 million Federal funds from HUD to be spent on returning vacant units to the rent roll. Approximately 240 units will be rehabbed under this program. The number of units rehabbed is lessened by our requirement to test for and abate lead paint from all units being rehabbed with CIAP funds.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Philadelphia Housing Police are utilized within scattered sites to provide escort service to management when investigating questionable situations and to be present at all evictions, to investigate alleged illegal activities. Our police

persons are without bullet-proof vests. Currently in
house we are undergoing a fundraising where employees
are making contributions so that we can provide and

We feel that additional funding is needed to allow the Philadelphia Housing Authority to masonry seal vacant units until resources are available to renovate them, and funding is also needed for additional programs for young people in the community to alert them to the dangers and negative impact that crack and crime have on their living environment, including the homes in which they live.

purchase for our housing authority policemen the vests.

Thank you.

ΛCTING CHΛIRMΛΝ ΛCOSTΛ: Thank you, Mrs. Gray.

Any questions, Harold?

I am going to let you go first because I got a bundle of questions.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Ms. Gray)

Q. Ms. Gray, it looks like you're not going to be able to make that first settlement today. Sorry about that. But I'm just glad to hear about that program. I think that's good where people that have been living in the scattered site can be able to purchase. I think that's a great program. I just hope

that it will be expanded.

How does the Housing Authority know -there's been some discussion as to whether or not there
was a project where the rent, people can volunteer to
have their rent deducted out of the checks, out--

- Λ. State vendor program.
- Q. Right. Where is that in the process or how is that working? You know, so therefore if people choose to have problems with paying their rents they can sign some kind of voluntary deduction. Has that been implemented?
- A. Only in the south Philadelphia area Federal district. We have had classes about it and we plan to implement it in south Philadelphia Federal district next month, November. It's entirely voluntary. It's for current rent only. It's not for any arrearages that a tenant may have.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And it's only for individuals who receive ADC grant, Aid to Dependent Children, and where there is only that one income in the household.
- Q. Okay. All right. That's good. I just want to know, I'm glad to hear it's starting in south Philly since I represent south Philly. Going back to, going over to the page, you don't have the page

numbered, but, oh, it would probably be page 4, where
we talked about the number of the scattered site
housing and the vacancies. When you talk about the 57
that are pending inspection, does that mean that those

No, sir. I mean--

57 are ready for someone to move in now?

б

- Q. Well, how long is the inspection process and then how long is the process for needing minimal repairs, in your best estimation?
- A. It would vary. The scattered sites program is broken up into 18 sub-geographic areas. So we have the scattered sites program and then we have 18 various areas. It would be the period of time that it would take to inspect a unit would depend upon how many units became vacant in that area at one time, okay? Are you with me? Do you want me to break it down further?
 - Q. Yeah, you can break it down?
- Λ. Okay. Let me start. We have scattered
 sites, we have three major areas Area 1, Area 2, Area
 3.
 - Q. Is that for the whole city?
- Λ. Yes. Scattered sites. Then we have three major areas. The entire city is broken up into three major areas. That has their own — each area has

its own management team and its own maintenance team.

The three areas are further broken up into

sub-geographic areas. The section of the city that's

cast of Broad is known as Scattered Sites Area 3.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That's you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Yeah.

MS. GRAY: Yeah. Area 3 is further divided into five sub-geographic areas. So we would have a manager in Area 3 and we would have five different sub-area managers, one being responsible for each one of those sub-areas. However, Area 3 would have one maintenance department. That one maintenance department would be responsible for serving those five sub-geographic management persons.

When a vacancy occurs — the management team is broken up into categories. Some of the management team would be on what we would call our plumbing truck, and they would have the big auggie, snake, things of that nature that would be required to take care of plumbing repairs. Other trucks may be equipped with what we would call our structural trucks with plywood to board up units. There is a structural truck in each area. So Area 3 would have one structural truck to service five sub-geographic areas. There would be as many as two individuals assigned to

that structural truck. If they had more than one vacancy, one would be addressed immediately and the other one would not. We would have this one truck. So then the jobs would have to be scheduled that the truck was going to take care of.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Ms. Gray)

- Q. Okay. So I guess, you know, the answer to my question in terms of trying to see how long it takes for pending inspection, I just thought that maybe a pending inspection was where if that could be accelerated that people can get to move in quicker. But I guess the way you broke it down means that it depends on it depends on the individual sites in the areas. What area would be south Philadelphia area?
 - Λ. Arca 1.
 - Q. And that would cover--
- A. Area 1 in scattered sites covers from Jefferson Street northern boundaries down to the Navy Yard as a southern boundary, Broad Street is the eastern boundary, and City Line is the western boundary. So we're talking about—
- Q. Hold it. Broad Street is the eastern boundary?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And City Line is the western boundary?

42
Λ. That's correct. So we're talking about
everything in north Philadelphia that is west of Broad
all the way out to 63, Baltimore Pike, Chester Pike,
Lancaster Avenue, wherever. And we're talking about
City Line Avenue down to the Navy Yard. That's Area 1.
Q. Well, I'm a little confused. Now, if we
got Broad Street as the eastern and City Line is
western.
A. City Line is the western. Okay. City
Line is the western.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. City Ling.
Q. Okay, like Cobb street or 63rd Street?
Λ. Yeah. City Line.
Q. All right. So that would cover
everything then in southwest as well as in south
Philly?
Λ. That's correct.

Q.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I wanted to ask is, is it possible to get the information to the census tracts from Broad Street east to Schuylkill River west and South Street north to Passyunk Avenue south? And with the information that you've provided in terms of the census tracts, is that possible?

Okay. Thank you.

Λ. Yes.

Q. Okay. I would appreciate if you can, you know, send that to the Judiciary Committee or to my attention; provide that, you know, at some point.

 Λ . Okay.

places?

Q. I see on a couple of pages later you talk about a firm that's going to be selected to do a study of the scattered site program. Just looking at just the information you have provided in your testimony, it seems to be a study in itself. Why not use the money that's going to be used for that be channeled to deal with some of the repairs so that people can move into

A. This comprehensive study that I'm speaking of is in response to a direct request from HUD, and it's special funding for this study.

Q. Okay.

A. They did not want this study provided by anyone in-house. They wanted it from an outside firm.

Q. Okay. I think next to my last question, or maybe my last. You said something about in your testimony about if adequate resources could be made available to renovate these properties for occupancy, it is in the best interests of the city and Philadelphia Housing Authority to avoid demolition if

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

adequate resources could be made available. Do you have any suggestions on the kind of resources that you think is necessary to help?

Okay. I think that in addition to dollars that we may get to house scattered site properties I'm talking about dollars to be used on the same blocks, in the same neighborhoods, on some of the vacant properties. Scattered sites doesn't present itself as one of our conventional developments would all compacted together. We're scattered and if you walk on any street, it's easy to recognize a scattered site unit sometimes because one is on a block with abandoned, boarded-up homes one assumes that all of them are PHA scattered site units. They are not. would like to see a concerted effort and when we utilize some of the revenue that we have to go into an area to do rehab, that there's an effort on someone else's part to assist us so that we can make blocks whole.

A. Okay. Well, that's probably — well, how do you, in terms when you talk about your vacant lot disposition and that you have RFPs going out, how are the community groups and organization made aware of your RFPs so that they can take advantage of maybe the lots and that kind of—

7	n. Okay, knonda is working directly on our
2	vacant lot disposition.
3	MS. KUTZIK: The notice was placed in the
4	newspaper.
5	BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Ms. Kutzik)
6	Q. In what newspaper?
7	Λ. In the Philadelphia Inquirer, as well as
8	a couple of other papers. I'm not quite sure.
9	Q. Okay, my concern then is that a lot of
10	folks in my community don't read the Inquirer.
11	Λ. I understand that.
12	Q. Okay.
13	Λ. The notice was also sent to all the
14	Representatives in the area.
15	Q. They are?
16	A. Yes, they are. Your office should have
17	gotten a copy of that. It was sent to a vast array of
18	community groups, to all NACs, for example, that were
19	in the areas where there were vacant properties. So
20	there was a general notice pretty much going to a
21	variety of community groups, city council and
22	Representatives.
23	Q. Okay. That's important, because a lot of

times, you know, it's good that I know that information

is sent to my office or to our office because a lot of

24

times the people first meet their Representatives and,
you know, complain to us with different problems, and I
had never seen anything come from PHA in terms of the
RFPs, so I was just looking at it and I appreciate
that.

And my other concern is in reference to the housing police. You say they have to collect money or they don't have money for bullet-proof vests and they got to take up a collection. That's terrible.

MS. GRAY: Captain Skinner.

CAPTAIN SKINNER: Let me address that.

Recently, we graduated a class of 109 from the academy and we put 109 people on the street. Fundings, the authority just didn't have funding to equip everyone with new vests. Presently, we've utilized 150 vests borrowed from the City of Philadelphia Police

Department. Those vests, for the most part, have deteriorated to the point where they are useless. We are currently now in a fundraising program called Invest in Light where we're seeking sponsorship, selling tickets. We are having a fair this evening to help the authority raise funding for the new vests.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Captain Skinner)

Q. Okay. I know that, and let's say, for example, in the area of Point Breeze and Dickerson

there is a senior citizen complex there--

A. That's correct.

- Q. And I know that there's a security officer I'm sorry, there's housing police that's available only one shift.
- A. Okay, let me explain that to you. That is now designated as a senior citizen complex. The housing police do not staff that anymore. We contract to a private security contract and they provide security for two shifts at that location, 4:00 to 12:00, 12:00 to 8:00, and that's a one-man post. He is in contact with our radio room communications, he's in contact with city police by phone should a problem arise.
- Q. Okay, that raises another question. So if the housing police, which criteria do you use to contract to private security as opposed to the housing police in a particular area?
- A. That was done for a couple of reasons.

 One, naturally, is the cost involved. It's a lot cheaper for the Authority if you contract for your senior citizens. Number one, it's a less crime area. You don't get involved with the benefit package of in-house if you patrol it, and the process of selection is through bids.

- Q. Okay. I understand that, but what my question tends to be more direct as to how do you decide which location that you're going to use the security officer as opposed to housing police?
- Λ. Okay, all senior citizens building now are under private security, and that's eight sites.
- Q. Okay, because I know you wouldn't want private security at Tasker.
- A. Well, no. We came to that conclusion, as I said, number one, as far as specific in-house crime, it's a lot less in a senior citizen complex than a conventional site like Tasker. Now, the problem we have with crime in the city is, quite naturally, from the outside. Now, our higher crime areas like Point Breeze, we don't patrol that with a foot beat, but that's in our sector of mobile patrol, and if they have a problem we can respond and have responded.
 - Q. In the Point Breeze area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's usually in particular around check days.
- Λ. Check days especially. We've had problems at Point Breeze and Wilson Park, which is 25th and Jackson, which is in your area, on check day. And we tried to, like I said, we don't have a dedicated

foot patrol but we do have a mobile patrol in those
areas and we work with the tenant councils and if they
have problems — we've given lectures to the senior
citizens. We try to encourage a lot of the senior
citizens to get direct deposit with the Social Security
checks. That would help them.

Q. I understand. It's just sad that a lot of senior citizens, you know, really, it's a crime for me to see that they don't believe in those banks, you know, and they are really afraid of them. I really have been surprised by that myself.

The other thing is, is it possible in that area that I can get a list of the scattered sites?

- A. Ycs.
- Q. Okay. I think if you would be able to provide a list of the scattered site locations to the Representative's office as well, I think that would be helpful in dealing with a lot of problems or situations, not that I want to put more work on you but, you know, I just think it would be helpful.
 - Λ. Okay.
 - Q. Thanks a lot.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you, Mr.

Representative.

BY ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: (Of Ms. Gray)

- Q. Shirley Shirley and I go back a long time. We probably have been fighting 20 years over some of the problems and I would say sometimes neglect that we have of the Philadelphia Housing Authority, and I say that because you have 1,500 abandoned homes that are abandoned since I've been in Philadelphia 20 years ago. In 20 years PHA have not found funds to work on these homes, and every one of these homes, 1,500 of them, each one of them and I can take this group to look at these abandoned properties, every one is a crack house. What do you plan to do with that?
- A. What we would like to do, and I guess what would be most beneficial, would be to seal all vacant, open scattered site units until we were ready or had funds to rehab them and reoccupy them. It doesn't the plywood just doesn't work.
- Q. But if you're going to spend \$10 scaling and cleaning a property, why don't you spend that money fixing the property so you reduce the 14,000 people that you have on the waiting list to get a house?
 - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. My question is, you have a long list of people waiting to get into PHA houses and you say that it's about 14,000. PHA is saying to everybody we have

14,000 people on a waiting list. I cannot get any more applications because we just have too many people 2 waiting. In the meantime, you own all these properties that are sitting there. So instead of spending the 5 money scaling these properties and having those 6 properties for crack use, why don't we fix some? Ιf you cannot fix 1,500, fix 500 or 200.

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Λ. Okay. The numbers that I gave you, we feel that we can realistically fix 500 of them. Why do we want to seal them rather than repair them? It's not what we want to do but the real world is that it takes a whole lot more money to rehab them than it would to scal thom. If we were able to seal them while we were waiting to rehab them, we would have a house then that we had moth-balled. We would preserve the condition of that unit. We would have a unit then that individuals from the neighborhood would not be able to deposit their trash in. We would have a unit then that our younger generation would not be able to go into to carry out their illegal activities, to use drugs, to rape people, to snatch somebody's pocketbook and run Yes, we want to rehab them, but we need to seal into. them until we can get to the rehab point.
- Okay, let me get to another question Q. then, and I notice in my district you spend \$40,000 and

1 2

5

3

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$50,000 and \$60,000 rehabbing a property and then you scal it after this rehab and you leave it alone, you don't put no one in that property and it becomes vacant and vandalized again. Why?

- Α. When -- approximately a month ago the Philadelphia Housing Authority was undergoing a program where it was necessary to provide emergency transfers for individuals out of Raymond Rosen. To gear up for the massive transfers, all vacancies, all leases throughout the entire city were put on hold. We had a need to get the people out of the deteriorated conditions of those towers at 23rd and Diamond Street. The unit at 1719 Marshall Street was one of those put on hold waiting for someone to be transferred into from That unit was broken into by vandals and caused Rosen. - we have a substantial loss that we have to repay.
- How about 2354 North Third Street? Q. was rehabbed, it was left vacant and was vandalized, and the same thing with 2327 North Third Street, and I can go on and on.
- All of those units did not have rehab. Λ. Some of those units were vacated and then vandalized. We did not get to them to seal them up and they were vandalized. Or we scaled up the front and the vandals came in the back, vandalized. When site staff makes

routine visits through the street, the front is sealed up, they feel the house is okay when, at the same time, vandals are utilizing the back of the house to carry out whatever activities they desire.

Q

Q. Okay. We know, and for the last 20 years that I've been trying to get the Housing Authority to be responsive, because they get the money to be responsive and to work with, the Philadelphia Housing Authority is known to be the worst slum lord in this country, despite the fact that you spend \$90 million to do housing in Philadelphia. And most of the crime and most of the problems in Philadelphia is created by the negligence of the Housing Authority.

A. I would not necessarily agree with you, Ralph. A lot of the crime is created because someone has undone what the Philadelphia Housing Authority has attempted to do. If we seal up a property, if that property were left alone, okay, we have sealed it up, crime occurs because someone breaks into, illegal trespasses on the Housing Authority's property. A lot of the other problems that we have that cause you to categorize us as such result from a lack of education program, I feel, for our tenants. A lot of the tenants that we have, we lease to, this is the first house that they have ever occupied. They become head of the

household for the first time. At present and for the last 10, 15 years, we don't have a social service staff to work with our tenants.

- Q. Despite the fact that you have jobs open within your department that you don't want to fill? I know right now you got two jobs under you, under your command, and you don't want to fill them.
 - A. I am not Human Resources.
- Q. One more, because we've got more people to testify. Last year I had a problem with a family of 11 and we contacted your office and we asked for help for this family. You were not able to find a house. You claimed that you did not have a house. I went out with the family and I found a unit with running water, electricity on and gas on, and the unit was vacant for 2 1/2 years, and I escorted the family into the property. There was news all over the place about that, and then, of course, I was taken to court because I had no business doing that. But in the meantime, you didn't do it. You didn't find the house or the home for these 11 family members.
- A. As I remember those circumstances, Ralph, the unit that you broke into was a unit that was categorized as structurally unsound, okay, that had structural defects to the exterior wall.

That was documented after I escorted them

into the property with this particular family. You

scaling the properties within the respective housing

3	have tenants in my district that live in houses that
4	the walls are buckling, cracked, falling apart, and
5	those houses are not declared unfit and not declared
6	unsound.
7	Λ. As soon as the conditions are made known
8	to the Philadelphia Housing Authority, we do act to get
9	the tenants out, whether it is in alternate housing,
10	whether it's in a hotel on a temporary basis or
11	whatever.
12	Q. Thank you, Shirley.
13	Λ. Okay.
14	ΛCTING CHΛIRMAN ΛCOSTA: Any more
15	questions?
16	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yeah, I just
17	thought of something.
18	BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Ms. Gray)
19	Q. In terms of your scaling the different
20	properties within the housing complexes, is it possible
21	that in the sealing, that you could work with the
22	Tenant Action Councils and groups in those areas in
23	terms of maybe getting them involved in helping or
24	employment, or some kind of way involving them in

Q.

1

2

1 | areas?

- A. It's possible. I can take that idea back.
- Q. I think that would be a good idea, because then you would get those folks that's involved in there and maybe preserving or be willing to preserve those kind of properties within their respective areas. If they're involved in sealing, then they know soon that they can be reoccupied.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Shirley--

CAPTAIN SKINNER: I want to address that a little further. In the last 11 months the housing police have been undergoing certain training. We graduated 28 people from the Burcau of Narcotics Investigation, and what that has helped us to do — when we receive a complaint from a tenant that a property becomes vacant and it's not reported to the authority, a crack house is set up, we respond to that house now. We've been able to catch people in the act, make the arrests, confiscate moneys, vehicles, and we've got a good working relationship with several neighborhood groups. Maybe through this hearing and all we can expand that, because that's half the problems.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Captain Skinner)

- -

- Q. That's right.
- A. It's the next door neighbor that knows an illegal activity is going on and won't pick that phone up and call.
- Q. Well, we both know why, and the thing is that you have to get more cooperation from the community because there's only certain people that they can talk to and they can tell—
 - A. Understood.
- Q. And I know that Captain Bennett knows that very well and also your director, what is he, Chief of Police Cannon?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. And I think more cooperation. Do you work with the police mini-stations that are in your area?
- A. Okay, we have five mini-stations that are on our property or adjacent to PHA properties. That's good working relationship---
 - Q. With the housing police?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, because that's important because I know you do have a good police mini-station at Tasker, and I'm not sure -- plus your headquarters is down there.

Right. Well, in addition to the BNI unit

2	we also have a Tac unit that was formed. That Tac unit
3	is responsible, if Mr. Acosta or yourself or anybody
4	from your districts, if you call and you identify the
5	property and you know that property is vacant and some
6	squatter has moved in and they set up illegal
7	activities, if you call we have response now, we can
8	send somebody out there immediately.
9	Q. And you call it what?
10	Λ. It's our tactical response unit.
11	Q. And who's in charge?
12	Λ. I'm the commander, and I have Lieutenant
13	Hughos
14	Q. So we call you then?
15	A. That's correct, sir.
16	Q. Hoar that Ralph?
17	ΛCTING CHAIRMΛΝ ΛCOSTΛ: Yeah.
18	CAPTAIN SKINNER: Me and Mr. Acosta, we
19	go back 20 years, too.
20	BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Captain Skinner)
21	Q. The other thing, you said something about
22	forfeiture, and Representative Acosta has a bill in
23	terms of trying to get forfeiture dollars back into

community groups, not all of it but some. The problem

we've had in the past is that the District Attorney's

1

24

1 Association has been against that, and we now are 2 trying to work with them in terms of where there's 3 something that goes back to the community, particularly those community groups that are involved in anti-drug 4 activities. What do you do with your forfeiture moneys 5 6 and material? 7 Well, we're working now for percentages. Λ. With the police, District Attorney's 8 Q. 9 office? 10 The District Attorney has a certain ۸. 11 percentage that would come back to our department. 12 Now, under the guidelines of that, the money, it has to 13 go back into drug elimination. 14 ۵. Right. 15 Okay. Now, as far as a split for social Λ. 16 project, I don't know--

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- No, I know that you don't get in there. ٥. But I'm just wondering, do your forfeiture percentages come from the police of the District Attorney or a combination?
- Α. District Attorney, and it may come from the federal magistrate, U.S. Marshals, if that's involved.
 - Q. Okay, thank you. ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you, thank

you all. Thank you very much.

Shirley, I didn't want to beat up on you.

MS. GRAY: That's okay. All in a day's

work, Ralph.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Mr. Goodman, Liquor Control.

MR. GOODMAN: I'm Jim Goodman, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board. Board Member Slinker is here with me, and also here with me is Major William Mericle, the Director of Liquor Code Enforcement of the Pennsylvania State Police. As you know, Major Mericle and his bureau are responsible for handling the enforcement of the liquor laws and their investigations are confined to violations of the Pennsylvania Liquor Code.

Act 14 of 1987 empowers the board to decide the fate of licenses found to be a problem in their communities or who have other demonstrated blatant disregard for Commonwealth liquor laws. This authority to refuse renewal of licenses is limited to the abuse of license privilege and also for non-compliance, which is insufficient seating, food, lack of health certificate, and so forth.

When considering refusal because of abuse of licensed privilege, we use a norm of three significant

citations within 24 months, local police department reports, and of course reports with Major Mericle's Bureau of Liquor Code Enforcement. As far as denying renewal for non-compliance, Pennsylvania law states that restaurant liquor licenses are habitually and principally used for the purpose of providing food for the public. Restaurant licenses, along with hotel and eating place licenses, must meet room and seating requirements.

During the 1990 license year, 458 renewals were closely reviewed by the board, and 70 of that number were initially refused. Forty of those licenses have either been closed or removed from business. In 1991, 313 renewals were closely reviewed for abuse of discretion, in addition to 150 reviews for non-compliance. To date, 16 have been closed or removed from business. These are statewide figures, many of the licenses are in the City of Philadelphia. 1990 showed 13 licenses refused or removed from business in Philadelphia, and to date in 1991, 78 refusals or non-renewals.

The successful development and implementation of the nuisance bar program demonstrates the PLCB can and will provide leadership by not renewing the license of an establishment that has proven to be a problem in

their neighborhood. The new program has made a positive difference in the lives of many Pennsylvanians and their communities. By working together, our goal is to improve upon the quality of every neighborhood affected by an irresponsible license.

I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have, and Major Mericle has specific statistics for Representative Acosta's district.

ACTING CHAJRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you very much.

Representative James.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yeah. Thank you, Representative Acosta.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Major Mericle)

Q. Major Mericle, I had an opportunity to meet with you at a meeting at the Municipal Services Building in Philadelphia when all of the city agencies and the District Attorney's office was represented and quite a number of Representatives, and a question was put to you in reference to minorities and law enforcement in the city, and I thought that you did not handle that too professionally and that you were insensitive in terms of your response when Representative Carn raised with you that with three minority officers, I think that's what you had at the

time.

2

1

۸. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

okay.

24

25

That they would not be able to do the ٥. kind of enforcement that was necessary because they didn't have -- because you only had three minorities and it would be hard to do that kind of enforcement. T just thought that your response was, you over-reacted in terms that because you thought that he was attacking you as opposed to just trying to address that concern and hope that you would address that in terms of trying to increase minority representation in enforcement and that's the spirit that I thought that he meant it and I just didn't think that you took it as that or you misunderstood it.

No, that's not correct. I feel that's Λ. what he meant. That problem is trying to be addressed. It has been trying to be addressed from day one. have provided, since that meeting, the application forms to Mr. Jack Wells, the sergeant in Philadelphia --

> Q. That's Jack from the Philadelphia---ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Larry Jack. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yeah, Larry Jack,

MAJOR MERICLE: The sergeant in Philadelphia has also been introduced to one of the Representatives down there, the lady, I forget her name, and advised -- she advised me that if she was aware when these tests were being given, she would provide us applicants for it. She has been introduced to the sergeant. She will personally be contacted when we give our tests.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Major Mericle)

- Okay, thank you. I appreciate that. Q. think that's a good movement towards addressing that.
- ۸. We are at the present, hopefully, trying to get a 100-percent minority and female class in. problem is we cannot give another class to the academy until we have 10 vacancies.
- Q. So in other words, you can't start a class unless you have 10 vacancies?
- Α. That's correct. They won't take anything under 10. We are held restricted to 248 positions within the Bureau. That includes attorneys, it includes clerks, it includes State Policemen, and it includes enforcement officers. We cannot begin another class in the academy until we have a minimum of 10 vacancies within the Bureau.
 - Is that policy? Q.
 - Α. I'm sorry?
 - Q. Is that policy?

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Policy of the academy. In other words, Λ. in order to provide a class they have to set a curriculum down and teachers and so on and so forth. At the present time, as I'm sure you're well aware, our academy is full, Southwest Training Center is full, Indiantown Gap is full of State Police cadets because of the amount of people we've had retire in the last two years and again come January. So right now would

Q. Okay.

be a problem even of space.

A. We anticipate by January 18 having six vacancies, six enforcement officer vacancies in the Bureau. Once we have 10, we will begin again with another class.

Q. Okay, thank you.

MR. GOODMAN: Harold, I might say on that, I guess for the most part, the Major probably inherited this force.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Oh, no doubt.

MR. GOODMAN: They haven't had too many classes since they have taken over enforcement, so really most of the enforcement officers are former LCB employees that transferred to the State Police in July of 1987.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Mr. Goodman)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I just wanted to say to you, Mr. Goodman. I just want to commend you on the nuisance bar activity role that you've taken in increasing those numbers in terms of being able to deal with that and hopefully next year we will have it a little bit more together in terms of being able to close down some more nuisance establishments. I was at a community meeting last week and the community was trying to get this bar to close on Sunday because there were two bars in the neighborhood where it was causing some problems and one bar said that they would close on Sunday, the other one said that Sunday was his best business and he didn't want to close. But he raised the issue and I wanted to, while you're here, just ask. He said that he was only required to produce 40 percent of sales in the restaurant and that it wasn't regulated to just Sunday, that it can be 40 percent Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday and no sales on Sunday in the restaurant--

 Λ . No.

- Q. --and he still can be opened on Sunday, and I would just like you to address that. What is the rule?
- A. I hope one of our staff members will correct me if I am wrong, but it is my understanding that it is 40 percent of non-alcoholic sales on

Sundays. Right? Am I right on that? On the Sunday sales it must be 40 percent of non-alcoholic sales.

MAJOR MERICLE: That's not correct.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Now, if you're not sure, can we get the information? There seems to be a question.

MR. GOODMAN: Okay, I'm wrong.

MAJOR MERICLE: The area of concern is, in order for them to establish they have 40 percent non-alcoholic sales includes soda, pretzels, whatever. Anything non-alcoholic. That would be overall. Not just Sunday. The problem is when they applied for this permit, they are only required to have a form signed by an accountant. This form is sent into licensing and licensing goes by that form. What the problem is, once the complaint for a non — for sales on a Sunday where someone doesn't feel they meet that 40 percent, that is not the board then. That goes to our enforcement office and we will send out an auditor to do an audit of those books to establish 40 percent of his total business non-alcoholic.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay.

MAJOR MERICLE: If it is not, then the Sunday sales is revoked.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay, so he was

correct then in terms that he don't have to have no restaurant sales on Sunday, just as long as he can produce 40 percent of his total business?

MAJOR MERICLE: Forty percent of his total business, that's correct, yes sir, in order to get the permit.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay, so that may be something that we would have to address. Okay, thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you. I think you guys have been working pretty hard with the Philadelphia delegation and the Philadelphia Black Caucus and some progress has taken place. But I want to address and I want to go on record to say that the two worst departments that create the most difficulties for the citizens of the city of Philadelphia is the Liquor Control Board and the Department of Transportation. At least you guys have been working with us trying to correct some of those problems. We could not get the Department of Transportation to come here before us today, which we will have to do other things to force them to listen to what we got to say.

And to probably mention some of the problems that we have with the Department of Transportation. We see in Philadelphia children 14, 15

years of age driving a car, sometimes with not even a tag in the back of the car, and the problem there is the auto tags that the Department of Transportation allows these people to function and break the law every hour of the day. So that Department did not cooperate with us, so therefore we feel that we're going to get to them. But in the meantime, the Liquor Control has done tremendously well in terms of helping us to correct some of the problems that we have in the city and I got to say that Lieutenant McGechan has done a good job.

At this time I don't have anything else to say. Thank you, very much.

MR. GOODMAN: Well, Ralph, I must defend the agency somewhat as being responsible for the problems in your area, and I think I know where you're coming from. The problems in your area are a proliferation of bars and outlets and nuisance bars, et cetera. They were not created by the Liquor Control Board though I might add. As a matter of fact, they are somewhat controlled by the Liquor Control Board in accordance with the laws set by the legislature as to how many can be — how many outlets you can have under the quota system. You have tightened up the quota system just as recent as last year to just 1 per 3,000,

but as you and I both know, there's much in excess of the quota in Philadelphia, and I think that's where the problem comes from. The Liquor Control Board, as long as the license applicant meets the requirements, it must issue the license. I think absent the Liquor Control Board where you may have chain supermarkets and chain grocery stores and chain pharmacies and chain convenience stores, you wouldn't have the opportunity to beat on them like you do on us and stop Rite Aid from opening up stores all over your community and will compound it. So, I think the legislature as well as the Liquor Control Board along with the enforcement arm of the State Police can go a long way in helping solve your problem, and we want to offer that spirit of cooperation.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ΛCTING CHΛIRMΛΝ ACOSTΛ: Thank you very much.

MR. GOODMAN: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: This hearing has taken a little more time than expected. As a consequence, our testimony and questioning period has taken longer than originally planned. I have been asked to change the order of the agenda again in response to special needs, so I'm calling Rachel Landi. I'm sorry that anybody I guess wants to do the

testimony and get it over with.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LANDI: Good afternoon,

Representative Acosta. Thank you very much for inviting me to speak today. I'm here primarily to address the programs that are offered at Episcopal Hospital, which is, I suppose, right in the middle of your district. And the programs that we have been concentrating on most recently have been in response to what we see is happening because of the issues of crime and the use of cocaine. The packet that you have has some information in there regarding the list of contracts that Episcopal Hospital has with the city of Philadelphia and also with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to provide some of these services, and that's done in an attempt to show you that there is some funding, but we're not saying that all the funding that we've received up to this point has been sufficient for the kinds of things that we need to do.

Episcopal was founded in 1851. It's an accredited, nonprofit, nonsectarian teaching hospital located in northcentral Philadelphia, and our primary purpose is to serve the health care needs of northcentral and lower northeast Philadelphia, and to that end the hospital maintains an acute care facility, ambulatory care services, and a skilled nursing care

facility. The hospital occupies a 12.5-acre campus at Front Street and Lehigh Avenue in a socioeconomically deprived, densely populated, racially mixed area that includes over 50 percent of Philadelphia's residents of Hispanic origin.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Episcopal's primary service area is the neighborhoods located within 3.5 miles of the hospital. This is an area of chronic high unemployment. Census figures most recently show 21 percent of this population to be unemployed, and suggests a low literacy rate - among the Spanish speaking population, only 20 percent of the adults had completed high There is also a high live birth rate within school. the service area, as well as a high rate of teenage pregnancy. Over two-thirds of the births are to unmarried women compared to one-half of the births citywide. Many pregnant women, about 15 percent, receive late or no prenatal care, and 11.3 percent of our infants in the immediate area are considered to be of low birth weight, under 5 1/2 pounds. Low socioeconomic status and high birth rate combined with significant adolescent pregnancy, out-of-wedlock births and higher than average number of pregnant women who receive little or no care result in rates of high risk births among the highest in the city.

These conditions present Episcopal
Hospital with a unique challenge for meeting the
special needs of the population. In fiscal year 1988,
the hospital provided \$4.3 million in uncompensated
care, and that figure continues to rise annually.
Beyond purely fiscal consideration, Episcopal believes
it cannot truly serve as a community hospital without a
deep commitment to the community involvement. To that
end, significant efforts have been made to identify
programs which strengthen the hospital's neighborhood
ties, ties that reach well beyond the confines of
traditional patient services. Since 1986, one of our
focuses has been to address the problem of substance
abuse in the prenatal setting.

Until the late '70s, cocaine was thought to be relatively harmless and non-addictive. Perhaps due to its perceived safety, millions of Americans tried cocaine. By 1986, the National Institute on Drug Abuse estimated that 3 million people use cocaine regularly, more than five times the number addicted to heroin. With the dramatic increase in usage, the medical and social problems related to cocaine abuse became apparent. Between 1976 and 1986 the number of emergency room visits, cocaine-related deaths, and admissions to public treatment programs for cocaine

increased more than 15 times nationally.

Cocaine abuse in Philadelphia has followed national trends. In Philadelphia, the latest Department of Health figures showed us that admissions for treatment of cocaine use has increased over 120 times from 87 admissions in 1979 to 10,480 admissions in 1989.

For women, the increase has been even greater. Admissions among women in Philadelphia have increased almost 300 times from 12 in 1979 to 3,599 in 1989. Not only are more women seeking treatment, they make up a higher proportion of the patients seen in the treatment facilities. In 1988 women made up 34 percent of those treated, compared to 13.8 percent in '79. While these statistics are useful in illustrating the trends in cocaine use, they only report those individuals who seek out treatment in city-funded programs. The actual number of individuals addicted to cocaine is likely to be much higher.

Cocaine use is a serious risk factor for the prenatal outcomes in both the mother and the infant. The most frequent obstetrical complications of prenatal cocaine use are spontaneous abortions and premature separation of the placenta. Premature separation of the placenta decreases the supply of

oxygen to the fetus and can be life-threatening to both mother and infant. Cocaine also increases the incidents of intra-uterine growth retardation, premature birth, congenital malformations, and fetal distress on delivery. Babies exposed to cocaine in utero often exhibit neurological behavior changes including sleep pattern disturbances, tremor, and feeding difficulties. Sleeping and feeding problems may result in failure to gain weight. Some of these symptoms may persist for up to many years, and the long-term effects are not yet understood.

This translates into an agitated, hard to comfort, hard to care for baby who may or may not have long-term developmental problems requiring additional medical and educational services. Only recently are preschool and early elementary teachers beginning to see differences in children born of cocaine addicted mothers, and this is another whole problem that needs to be addressed at some time. Many of the mothers of these have come from dysfunctional families themselves. They have low self-esteem and are ill-equipped to provide the high level of care and seek out the services necessary for infants at risk for long-term neuro-behavioral deficits. Even if these infants have not been obviously affected by prenatal exposure to

cocaine, they are at risk for abuse and neglect due to their mother's cocaine habit. Cocaine use enhances social disorganization, which in pregnancy leads to ignoring medical care, and nutrition and in parenting leads to abuse and neglect.

This prenatal population is also at a high risk for contracting the HIV virus. The AIDS Activities Coordinating Office in the Department of Health, Philadelphia, has maintained a contract with Episcopal hospital since 1989 for the purpose of counseling and testing prenatal patients for HIV. In calendar year '90 and '91, our records show as follows: we pre-test counseled 209 women in 1990, 173 of those women agreed to testing; 131 agreed to come back to hear what their test results were and to get further counseling; 8 of those were positive. In 1991, you can see the difference, 697 women were pre-counseled, 490 agreed to testing, and 392 came back for the post-testing counseling and to find their results. Out of that number, 5 were positive, 2 of whom aborted.

In response to prenatal cocaine use,
Episcopal Hospital now provides a program of addiction
services linked to the prenatal care program. The
hospital has participated for many years with the
Maternity Services Program through the Department of

Health. We do case management and outpatient obstetric services, and they are provided to low-income pregnant More recently, in the fall of 1990 Episcopal Hospital was approved as a Healthy Beginnings Plus In 1989 though, an additional contract was initiated between Episcopal Hospital and the Department of Health through Maternal Services Program to do a program called Episcopal Hospital Cocaine Outreach Service. This is the program that we call EHCOS and the one that Mark Bencivengo referred to in his This program is for case finding and testimony. treatment of cocaine-addicted women in the prenatal care services that we already offer. The EHCOS program report for fiscal year 1991 is included in your appendix A, and I think you should take a look at that and I don't -- I'm not looking at the numbers right now, but we are looking at and seeing over 400 women annually who are coming in for prenatal care but whom we are able to reach in that outpatient setting to discuss their real or potential drug addiction problems.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Substance abusing patients identified by the MSP staff are referred to the EHCOS addictions counselor and the addiction counselor develops a treatment plan for the patient which may include

admission to the Drug and Alcohol Detox Unit which we maintain at the hospital or follow-up outpatient rehabilitation, participation in Alcoholics Anonymous or Narcotics Anonymous groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the 12-month period ending September 30, 1990, 410 woman were referred to the EHCOS program. Approximately 54 percent of these women were identified in the prenatal care clinic, and the other 46 percent were identified when they were admitted to the hospital to deliver their infants. Many of the women who are identified at delivery had received no prenatal care. While the EHCOS program was intended to decrease the drug use during pregnancy in an effort to avoid complications associated with cocaine use, women are more often receptive to drug abuse counseling when their babies are born. Participation in the EHCOS program also helps our mothers to learn to care for their infants, especially those exhibiting the neurological symptoms, particularly when they have been exposed to cocaine in utero. Furthermore, it is our hope that postpartum intervention may affect the woman's drug use in subsequent pregnancies.

And what I'm saying there is we would like to identify these women prenatally, get them into our EHCOS program and keep following them because we

can teach them what to do and how to care for their children before they are even born. However, if we cannot link in with them then, we then try to reach them and link them in after they have delivered if they have had no prenatal care.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The type of outpatient treatment offered in the EHCOS program is effective for many women. In a recent review of cocaine treatment methodologies, outpatient treatment using a variety of psychotherapeutic orientations has been reported successful in 30 to 90 percent of the abusers who remained in the programs. Because the outpatient treatment is regularly successful and there are no medically dangerous withdrawal symptoms with cocaine, hospitalization is not usually considered as a first treatment option for the cocaine abuser. However, our patients are just a little bit different. Inpatient is considered appropriate if a patient lacks the therapeutic support network, is confronted with easy access to cocaine, uses cocaine intravenously or in the crack form, or has severe abstinence symptoms and intense cravings.

Unfortunately, many of the women that are referred to our EHCOS counselor meet these criteria.

They frequently do not have a supportive drug-free

environment to live in during the first months of rehabilitation. Many of the women in our program live in drug oriented houses surrounded by other addicts and drug dealers. Some women are homeless as a result of their addiction and take shelter in crack houses where they prostitute themselves for their next fix.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Once a residential program is determined to be the most appropriate treatment for a woman, additional barriers are encountered. The placement of children during a mother's treatment is frequently identified as a reason for not accepting drug treatment, inpatient or outpatient. The women are afraid to leave those children behind. Additionally, many referrals are made to residential programs in other areas of the city, and the women frequently do not keep those appointments for admissions because they are away from our facility. This is in sharp contrast to the referrals made to our own inpatient detox unit on the hospital campus. From July through September of this year, 85 percent of the women referred to our detox unit reported for their appointments, while only 23 percent of the residential treatment referrals off-campus met their appointments. We believe that a facility on the hospital campus would increase admission rates from our EHCOS referrals.

To provide this missing link in the comprehensive programs now offered to drug-addicted pregnant women, Episcopal Hospital is now developing a residential safe house for women who have completed inpatient detoxification and/or are attending an outpatient drug rehabilitation program.

A concept paper was submitted by my staff to the Governor's PennFree Council in October of 1989.

That concept paper led to the development of a Request for Proposal from the Office of Drug and Alcohol Prevention. Episcopal Hospital responded to that RFP with the support of the Philadelphia Department of Health and Mark Bencivengo's staff and was informed by Jeannine Peterson here in ODAP in a letter of March 1, 1990 that the proposal was being considered for funding. But after almost two years, we finally received a signed contract on July 15th of this year, 1991. Most of the funding was to have been utilized and spent prior to June 30, 1991. That's an issue I would like to discuss after reading this.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, through ODAP, has awarded Episcopal Hospital \$600,666 toward \$942,000 required to renovate an old dormitory building that's on our campus and an operating budget of \$914,199 for 15 months, which annualizes to about

\$700,000 in round figures. And during that first year of operation, it will be necessary for our facility to apply to the local ODAP for a per diem rate which we will need to have in order to continue the program and to keep it viable, because we are only funded for 15 months of operations.

The building renovations are currently in progress and we're targeting a date of December 30 for completion of the renovations. The newly appointed program director is working with ODAP staff to complete the licensing requirements and the detailed program design. We are anticipating a program start date sometime in January of '92.

Because of its residential nature and its location on the Hospital campus, the facility will provide a supportive, drug-free environment necessary to achieve the first step in recovery and cocaine abstinence. The program will not be a traditional inpatient rehabilitation facility completely removed from the realities of the residents' normal lives. These women will participate in a rehabilitation program while continuing to have responsibility for caring for their children. This facility will house 24 women, each of who will be permitted to have two children with her. However, they will be removed from

the drug-oriented environment that reinforces their addictive behavior. The facility will be primarily for women with children who choose to recover from their addiction but are temporarily homeless or whose home is in a drug-oriented environment. This sheltered residence would offer a therapeutic temporary home for a woman and her children to pursue the challenges of recovering with the support of other recovering women under the supervision of professional staff prepared to address their many physical, psychological, and spiritual needs.

This program will be linked to all the other services on our campus, and I have them listed here but in the essence of time I will just briefly mention some of them. Because the women will be on our campus, they will have access to all of our medical, surgical facilities, our prenatal facilities, all of our adult and dental facilities. Episcopal Hospital campus can provide just about any adult or child outpatient service that's needed in terms of their medical care along with some of the — we are located within a distance to the child psychiatric center, who is going to be involved in the child testing.

The target population for referral into the proposed residential program will be prenatal

patients identified as cocaine addicted in the Maternity Services Program, as well as cocaine addicted women who present at the Hospital in labor and have not received prenatal care. Identified women will continue to be referred to the EHCOS addictions counselor. As a part of the development of the treatment plan, admission to the residential program will be considered. Criteria for admission will stipulate that prenatal or postnatal women must wish to recover from their addiction and commit to a drug-free environment, and not have a supportive, drug-free — who do not have a supportive, drug-free home.

Admitted women will be assessed by the addictions counselor and the social service caseworker. A treatment plan will be developed in conjunction with the client, who will then agree to a written plan of care. The plan will be revised as necessary to meet the specific needs of the client as she progresses through the program. Group and individual addiction counseling will take place on a regular basis with AA and NA meetings available.

will be the main focus of the program. There are typically a host of other skills that are needed for these women, and this might answer some of the

questions that you had before. How do we stop this situation? What we've learned is, and I'm just going to paraphrase the rest of this. You can read that. What we feel that we really need to do is to create an entirely new life cycle for these women to break the cycle that they're in. These women that we see are young, they are victims of abuse, they are children of substance abusers. They do not know another way of life. Our purpose in this residential facility is to provide them with an environment in which they can learn some of the very basic skills, the skills that the average population takes for granted - how to prepare the food for their children, how to shop economically, how to do all those things. They will also be screened for educational needs, for vocational Parenting programs will exist. They will have participative parenting programs as well as lecture and didactic. Hopefully, we'll be linking in with some of the programs that are existing for child care and the Office of Drug and Alcohol Prevention both here at the Commonwealth level and the city will be very much involved with working with us in the preventative programs for the children. The rest is self-explanatory.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I once again say I'm very happy that

I've had the opportunity to be here today, and I would be happy to answer any questions that you might have for me.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Representative Λ costa.

BY REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: (Of Ms. Landi)

- Q. And thank you again for being here to testify. How do you feel about criminal charges being against an addicted mother?
- A. I'm personally not in favor of that. The pregnant woman who has a criminal charge against her certainly is going to hide and not come forward even to seek prenatal care. Secondly, you then do the very thing that this program that we've designed is attempting to eliminate, and that is to break the family unit. You can penalize the mother, put her in jail or what have you and then what kind of a home situation have you provided for the live birth that she eventually has for that child? I think that there needs to be other kinds of methods for encouraging people to come into these kinds of programs, to be rehabilitated, but this isn't the end. If you read the last statement in my written testimony, you'll see that

I say that the next step is once we have these women in these programs and if we are successful in being able to rehabilitate them, we cannot send them back to that area where they came. We may spend a year's worth of time, money, energy, and whatever and a woman may feel good about herself and be beginning to change her own life cycle and that of her children and then she must go back to the same environment where she left. That's not good. We need low-income housing; safe, good low-income housing for these women. My next step as a program designer at Episcopal Hospital, Mr. Jewitt sitting next to me will be the director for this facility and he is in the process of getting things up and running, then I will sit back and try to figure out how we are going to find residential facilities for these women once they graduate from our program.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another thing you'll see in here, it says they are going to be in for six months. My original design was for twelve months. There's not money for that. I have worked with the ODAP office here for over two years to get this program together. I'm sure that they would like us to have these patients for more than six months. Six months is not a long enough time to get a woman substance free, to get her GED if that's what's needed, to teach her life skills, to teach her

parenting and to get her a job and then into some kind of low-income housing. That's not enough. But if we're going to spend the money to help to break this cycle, then we need to follow through with it. We can't stop with one phase of the treatment program.

- Q. So the six months, like you say, six months is really not enough time, so would they be finished with your program in six months?
- A. No, our program is like the end program. When they come in, the whole objective is to look at the individual, design a program that she needs. If she needs to be educated, educate her, do all the substance abuse things, do the parenting and so forth and prepare her to go out for a job, and that all depend on the individual. They still need to continue contacts with ΛΛ groups, NΛ groups—
- Q. Okay, but what I'm trying to find out is in your program are you going to keep them in residential for six months?
 - A. Um-hum.
 - Q. Right? Is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then after the six months then where are they going to go?
 - Λ. Well, my hope is that our staff is going

to find some way to link in with some low-income housing so that these women can go--

- Q. Okay, that's where the housing comes in?
- A. Yes, that's where I want the housing to come in. I really do believe though that it will take a minimum of a year for these women to feel comfortable enough and to feel assured enough of their abstinence from the substance to be able to go back on their own. But the program will gradually bring them back into the community. It will hopefully be re-educating, retraining, reteaching.
- Q. Okay, another comment. In terms of you made, I was interested in terms of saying that the people did not keep their appointments and I was noticing the large difference in the percentage. Why do you think they would not keep their appointments somewhere else but yet would keep it with you?
- A. Well, because all they have to do is walk maybe 200 yards down the campus and one of our people will walk along with them. They are chatting and-
- Q. So this would be part of a residential program?
- Λ. Yes, this program is going into a building that's on our campus. I put a campus map in the folder so that you could see that. The building

was originally a building that was built as a dormitory for resident physicians back in the '20s. It's a good, solid building and it's right on our campus.

- Q. Okay. So in making that comparison, you're comparing that with other programs that don't have residential. In other words, you say like--
- A. No, all I'm saying is the reason why we thought it was a good idea for us to design a program and to petition ODAP for moneys was because when we saw that the patients that we referred to our own campus for detox, there was a high percentage of keeping the appointments. When we referred them out and we lost contact with them because we referred them out, then we discovered later on, weeks later, that they never kept those appointments because we had lost the contact with them. They were moving out of our system.
- Q. Okay. And you also stated that in March 1, '90, you were notified that your proposal was being considered for funding?
 - Λ. Um-hum.
- Q. And it wasn't confirmed until July '91, and you say it's almost two years. Do you have any suggestions as to how that timeframe can be shortened?
- A. Yeah. The reason why, let me explain why, and it was the very question that Representative

Acosta asked of Mark Beneivengo a while ago. We had a good, solid building but Episcopal is, I think, the second in the State of the disproportionate share hospitals, so you know what kind of financial situation we are in. We didn't have any money to renovate the building. We have the ideas, we have the staff, and we have the know-how. We think we can do a good program. So when our program design was being considered by ODAP we said to them, they said we have nothing but operational funds. We have program money but we can't give you any money to fix that building. And we said, well, it's ideal because it's a building that's not being used, it's on the campus, we will not have problems with neighbors saying we don't want that kind of a house next to us, and so on and so forth, but we just don't have money to fix it. They liked our program so much and they felt so sure that this kind of a program was needed, particularly there. Front and Lehigh, that they worked very, very hard to find funds. They got some Federal funds that they had had that weren't used for another program and they mixed and matched funds so that the \$942,000 that was needed for the renovations, \$600,000 roughly was given to us by the Commonwealth, but that was a combination of some Federal funds, some Commonwealth funds, and we have to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

match with \$70,000 local funds. We still have a \$300,000 shortfall on that whole renovation program, but we're willing to do it because it's so desperately needed.

My feeling is that yes, there is lots of money for programs, and you say, well, somebody else asked the question about how many programs are we going to develop, everyone's got money for programs. Nobody has money for renovations or for building or whatever. You have a lot of facilities on hospital campuses that are not being utilized that if somebody would give them a little bit of money for renovations, we could have lots of different kinds of programs going on.

Q. Okay, so you see the problem or there is a problem in terms of renovation. I was — I'm under the — I'm gathering information now in terms to trying to put something, a check-off on our State income tax form for an area of drug prevention or rehab, and I'm tending to lean towards that money, which is not a whole lot of money that may come in from that, being used for capital improvements. So by you saying that tends to reinforce that necessity because there are moneys for other programs.

My final question is what happens when some of the participants of your program -- if somebody

drops out of the program, can they be replaced with someone else?

- Λ. Ycah. Um-hum.
- Q. Okay.
- A. One of the rules is going to be that these women will have to be willing. There's such a need. There are so many women needing it that anybody who really doesn't want to work the program, so to speak, is just not going to survive in there and is going to leave and be replaced by somebody who really wants to.
- Q. There's all kinds of different reasons for people to not to want to continue, and there's one thing I want to know, and probably in light of all of the news and information about AIDS, and particularly lately as a result of Magic Johnson's courageous announcement, I noticed that the statistics seem to be about the best we've seen.
 - Λ. Yeah.
- Q. In terms of it. What do you attribute the fact that if numbers increase almost three times in terms of the people that you were seeing but yet it was reduced in terms of positive?
- A. Okay, well, we're hoping that some of the education that we've been doing, that represents

б

something like maybe 800 or more women that we have educated just on that campus in the last three years.

- Q. So these are just people that--
- A. Our HIV program, which is a contract with EHCOS and the AIDS Activities Coordinating Office out of the Department of Health in Philadelphia is strictly for pregnant women. So you need to look at it that way. Most of the women, if they are positive, what we've found out is that they either themselves have been intravenous drug abusers or their partner is an IV drug abuser.
- Q. Okay, thank you.

 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Ralph.

 ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you.

 BY ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: (Of Ms. Landi)
- Q. What is the policy of finding employment for some of these people that graduate at the hospital?
- A. Well, during the years that we were working with trying to get finalization on the contract, I've talked to a lot of the different groups. A lot of the service organizations in our area are willing to help us.
 - Q. Okay.
- Λ. I also, believe it or not, made contracts with the Job Corps. They are extremely interested.

with that is, however, that they usually out-place their people for training in other areas and they have no provision for taking children with them, and the children are a very important aspect of this. If you take the women's children away, they do not either want to stay for the rehabilitation or the vocational training. They need to be able to keep those children with them.

- Q. It seems to me that you're doing a wonderful job, but having these ladies finish the courses and then going back to the same environment and to the same street that they live on, you are just going to have them coming back to you again.
- A. Well, that's the thing that we want to avoid. So within six months to a year if I'm back up here looking for more funds for developing at least a plan or a program for finding or developing or designing low-income housing for these women in a safer area than where they are presently living, I think we need to recognize that as a next step so that we don't waste the money and the time that we've spent in the changing of their existing cycles.
- Q. Okay. My office is not far away from the hospital. If I could be of any help, I will do the

business and the most, and I know you're doing a good job. You're doing a good, good program, and last year I got involved in helping you to get funding.

A. Well, we still need that \$300,000. We have a \$300,000 shortfall and I would appreciate any help that we could get in finding that money, and that's toward the renovation.

ΛCTING CHΛIRMAN ΛCOSTΛ: Thank you very much.

MS. LANDI: Thank you, Representative Acosta.

that we should have every member of the committee here. Unfortunately, they have other assignments, other things that they've got to do, but whatever we say here is going to be on record so whatever we are saying, whether we have people sitting in those chairs or not, is going to be on record and that we will follow with that record as far as we have to go. Thank you.

I'm going to call Jose Rivera, who has been skipped a couple of times already.

MR. RIVERA: Good afternoon, members of the committee. I am Jose A. Rivera-Urrutia, Director of Community Relations Department of St. Christopher's Hospital for Children. I appreciate the opportunity to

testify before you today to share with you our experiences and the realities confronted in District 180, represented by State Representative Ralph Acosta. Although at the present time St. Christopher's Hospital for Children is located outside of his district boundary, we were located at 5th and Lehigh Avenue in the heart of the 180 District for 100 years. During that time, the hospital had the opportunity to see the transformation and decline of the area. Although this is a racially mixed district, the former site was located in the heart of the Hispanic community, which was most affected by the problems which has been stated by Representative Acosta and which have brought us to these hearings today.

As one of the former and most important economic anchors of this district, we can certainly testify to the changes that have taken place in this area, particularly in the 5th Street neighborhood, within the last 15 years.

Since the 1970s, the area started to experience loss of industry, businesses, banking institutions, and other institutions that supported the socio-economic well-being of the residents of the area. The abandonment of industrial buildings, housing, and the increase in empty lots created by the accelerated

demolition process implemented by the city of Philadelphia have heavily influenced the isolation of this district. Added to these problems has been the lack of basic services that have damaged and threatened the viability of this neighborhood.

All of the previous mentioned programs have created the environment for illegal drug trafficking that exist in the neighborhood today. The drug trafficking have prompted other social problems such as crossfire, theft, mugging, graffiti, which has resulted in an unsafe environment for area residents. In addition, this also has accelerated the destruction and damage of private and public property in the area.

Although, it was not the most important reason, the deteriorated socio-economic condition of the area played an important role in the hospital decision to relocate. Internal and external research showed that our employees were constantly affected by the area situation. It also demonstrated that patients' families were afraid to come to the neighborhood, and referring physicians shared with us that their patients did not want to come to our hospital site, despite the high quality of care that we provide.

St. Christopher's Hospital for Children

invested financial and human resources in the area by working in conjunction with block captains, community organizations, and residents to coordinate efforts in focusing the city's attention towards area needs. Special efforts were taken with police towards the illegal drug trafficking around the recreational facilities and participation of blocks in this effort. Nevertheless, these problems are so complex and the actual resources available are so limited that the neighborhood has continued to deteriorate, especially, if we compare this neighborhood to other sections of the city. We at St. Christopher's Hospital for Children believe that the former site at 5th and Lehigh Avenue is one of the worst neighborhoods of the city.

As a result, St. Christopher's Hospital has not been the only organization moving from the district. Other longstanding institutions have recently moved or are planning to relocate in the near future. If companies and other institutions continue to leave, this area within the next five years will be absent from any potential for reconstruction.

Since resources are usually made available to districts with power and political clout, this neighborhood has suffered from the efforts of others to improve their community. As a result, drug traffickers

pushed out of other areas such as Spring Garden, center city and Huntington Park have relocated into that community. This has added another problem, the territorial groups who have brought terror into the area, particularly around schools.

Furthermore, the social problems confronted by this area are being translated to increased numbers of drug-addicted babies, crack, heroin and cocaine, and children with the HIV virus. At the present time, St. Christopher's is caring for over 50 percent of the city cases of HIV, and a high percentage of those come from those surrounding neighborhoods.

In addition, this is also translated into a financial and social burden for not only the institutions located within the area that provides the services but also to all taxpayers. Therefore, a comprehensive plan must be developed to address these complicated issues confronting this district, where the city, State and Federal governments, along with local residents and institutions, put their resources together to influence positive and real change. The main problem which has to be addressed is the drug trafficking, which is now the central cause of the deterioration and social problems confronted by the area. This district has already demonstrated that it

has the interest of the institutions and residents but
that they need the support and concerted efforts of
government to cradicate the problems.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, I thank you for the opportunity to appear before you this afternoon, and I will be pleased to answer any questions that you have.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: I'm a solo now, so I got to ask all the questions.

MR. RIVERA: That's fine.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Listening to the previous speaker representing the Episcopal Hospital, I think if we can combine the efforts of getting jobs at least for those people that come in to help themselves, because the main problem over the years is that we get funding for nonprofit groups and they provide certain services. When those services are done and finished, then those people go back to the same environment. it seems to me that we have to create even among ourselves a way to get people working, a way of getting government more responsive to the needs of that community, and I for one living in that community, I live right there in the heart of all the problems, I will fight, I will fight everyone here, including Governor Casey, to make sure that they look into the needs of that community. So I don't have any questions

right now because we heard most of the problems that we face in that particular community, and it's all in the 180th District. We have problems surrounding the district, but most of the drugs and most of the prostitution, most of all the else that we have around that city begins in my district. So I have no questions at this time, but I will keep in touch with you. Thank you very much.

MR. RIVERA: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: I would like to hear now from the District Attorney's Office.

MS. VANDENBRAAK: Good afternoon. I am Sarah Vandenbraak, Chief of Civil Litigation for the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office. I would like to thank Representative Acosta, Representative James, and the members of the House Judiciary Committee for inviting me here today to address the pressing problem of nuisance bar enforcement in the city of Philadelphia.

Those of us involved with law enforcement recognize that nuisance bars are magnets for serious and ongoing criminal activity. Drug dealing, prostitution, robberies, and gun battles are open and notorious occurrences at far too many bars. Individual criminal prosecutions of offenders cannot alone rid a

neighborhood of nuisance location, particularly in Philadelphia, where those arrested for these crimes are frequently returned immediately to the community because of the Federal prison cap. Given these practical realities, public officials must rely upon civil remedies to compliment the criminal justice

process in eliminating these criminal locations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For these reasons, Lynne Abraham has begun an aggressive campaign to rid Philadelphia's neighborhoods of nuisance bars. The District Attorney has just hired David Castro, the gentleman with me today, to direct the nuisance bar enforcement program. He is a highly respected member of the legal profession. He is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of Haverford College, and distinguished himself at the University of Pennsylvania Law School, where he was an editor of the Law Review. He practiced law at the distinguished firm of Pepper, Hamilton and Sheetz, where he volunteered to represent neighborhood groups on a pro bono basis to rid them of nuisance bars. to his selfless and aggressive efforts, several notorious nuisance bars in Philadelphia have been closed.

With the seemingly overwhelming problem of nuisance bars, all of us are very fortunate that Mr.

Castro, at a great personal financial sacrifice, has chosen to become a full-time public servant and fight nuisance bars. At this time, I would like to let Mr. Castro speak about our current efforts, which are well underway, as well as the future plans of the District Attorney's Office in nuisance bar enforcement.

Thank you.

MR. CASTRO: Ladies and gentlemen, members of the Judiciary Committee, Representative James, Representative Acosta, good afternoon. On behalf of Philadelphia District Attorney Lynne Abraham, thank you for inviting our testimony today on the important subject of nuisance bars.

My name is David Castro. Three weeks ago
I became an Assistant District Attorney and began work
as the director of a new program in the District
Attorney's Office, the Nuisance Bar Task Force. Having
acted in the past as private counsel to community
groups, I already knew much about the social problems
that nuisance bars create. In my short time at the
District Attorney's Office, I have learned much more
about the role that nuisance bars play in fostering the
ills that afflict many of our Philadelphia
neighborhoods. Today I will speak briefly about my
experience with nuisance bars and then turn to the

subject of the Philadelphia District Attorney's enforcement policy.

What is a nuisance bar? As a veteran of several battles against nuisance bars, I have some experience with the problem. It starts with a few simple infractions of the law. Perhaps there is a sale to minors. Perhaps the manager decides to stay open past lawful hours. Soon, such unlawful acts begin to fester and multiply. Formally isolated violations become common. Fights and other disturbances become regular events. Trash collects outside and spills into the surrounding streets. Drug dealers and other criminals begin to use the bar as a base of operations. Soon the crime breeds more serious violence, frequently involving homicide.

As its illegal operations become more pervasive, the bar begins to undermine the quality of community life. Neighbors grow afraid to sit on their porches, to use the streets in front of the bar. Community self-esteem slips, and the unsightliness and the danger associated with the bar injures neighborhood property values. As chaos spreads out into the community, the neighbors become afraid to take action against wrongdoers. Decent, law-abiding citizens are finally forced to choose between being held prisoner in

their own homes or abandoning their property. Corner by corner, block by block, communities are destroyed. Communities faced with such disaster ponder a simple question: Why?

There are many answers. Bars that operate in primarily residential areas, where children play or go to school, or near places of worship, are likely to collide with communities as soon as they fall away from the lawful track. Areas where many bars are congested together are also likely to become a nuisance to the surrounding community.

Our own shortcomings have also played a part. Indeed, inefficiencies at all levels of our enforcement system have allowed minor problems to become major. Any proposed legislative solution that fails to revise the current administrative system offers no real answer to the current crisis. To see that the system needs change, one need only look to the laws that allow an owner faced with multiple adjudicated citations and with a board decision not to renew the applicable license to operate for months and perhaps even years, pursuing appeals first to the LCB, then to the Court of Common Pleas, and then on onward into the appellate stratosphere. Meanwhile, the community waits and wonders when the decision will

finally return to earth. I was astounded to learn that the factfindings and administrative discretion of the Liquor Control Board in determining not to renew a license are entitled no weight whatsoever should the licensee prosecute an appeal to the Court of Common Pleas. We must do better.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But while the locations and the patrons of nuisance establishments, along with lapses in an arcane enforcement system, have provided fertile ground, they are not the root of our nuisance crisis. Rather, it is the owners and managers of nuisance bars that are the primary cause, through their failure to control their businesses and their customers. Indeed. in most cases, nearby the nuisance bar are other bars that do not present any problem to the community. These lawful bars are run by responsible owners and managers who care about their neighbors. They are willing to sacrifice the sale of a beer to protect the morals of a minor, willing to confront an unruly patron at the risk of losing his business, willing to take a strong stand against a local drug dealer in support of the law and the larger interests of the community. With these responsible licensees, we have no argument. Not so with nuisance bars. In three short weeks, the District Attorney has made great strides towards the

goal of freeing Philadelphia from the grip of licensees who refuse to recognize that responsibility goes hand-in-hand with privilege.

Let me now turn briefly to a description of the District Attorney's enforcement program.

District Attorney is now actively communicating and coordinating with the State Police Office of Liquor Control Enforcement, the State Liquor Control Board, Bureau of Licensing, the Philadelphia Police Department, the Department of Licenses and Inspections, the Health Department, and the Philadelphia legislative delegation. These disparate law enforcement agencies will now work together to solve the nuisance bar problem in an efficient and intelligent manner.

In addition, the District Attorney is in the process of organizing three other important resources. First, we are recruiting and training volunteer lawyers who will be assigned to represent community groups in taking action against nuisance bars. Second, we are reaching out to and organizing communities afflicted with nuisance problems. Third, we are going to work with a committee of concerned licensees to establish an industrywide effort by bar owners to police their own operations. We intend for

this group to cooperate with law enforcement agencies and with community groups in the effort to eliminate nuisance bars.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our mission is simply this: to help Philadelphia communities improve their quality of life by climinating nuisances and preventing their return. Ours is a grass roots effort. The communities themselves will play a vital role in defining our targets and shaping our course of action. Working with these communities, we will use all the legal weapons available under the law, including the Pennsylvania Liquor Code, the Philadelphia Liquor Code, and the drug forfeiture laws. We will use these laws to help our Philadelphia neighbors stop these nuisance bars once Through the use of civil remedies such as and for all. injunctions and forfeitures, we will compliment, not duplicate, the criminal law enforcement efforts that already exist.

When we have prevailed in a given case, we will not leave the community to pick up the debris alone. We will work through our volunteers with the community to establish a presence at the site of the nuisance and to secure that location. We realize that it is not enough to stop nuisance bars. We must also prevent their return.

The foregoing plans are not mere aspirations. We have carefully defined concrete action plans to achieve these goals. We have already been in close contact with the State Police and the Philadelphia Police Department. Our work, including litigation projects, is presently underway.

We look forward to working closely with the legislature to suggest possible amendments to the Liquor Code which will strengthen administrative and civil remedies against nuisance bars. Strong and fair enforcement mechanisms are essential to eliminate nuisance bars. We can ill-afford to spend taxpayers' dollars investigating nuisance activities because well-documented nuisance bars cannot be effectively and promptly sanctioned for their clearly illegal activities. The District Attorney has therefore pledged to make her office available to assist the legislature in its commendable efforts in this area.

Thank you for your attention this afternoon. We would be happy now to respond to any questions that you may have.

ACTING CHATRMAN ACOSTA: Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you,
Representative Acosta, and thank you both for being

1 | here.

Abraham became the District Attorney that we were going to have a progressive, aggressive and sensitive District Attorney and one that's cooperating with the community. I'm very glad to see that because that has been lacking with the sensitivity that we needed in the District Attorney's Office in Philadelphia for the past few years. And also that I was pleased to be involved with you at that coordinated meeting by the Philadelphia delegation in terms of all of the agencies working together in trying to deal with the nuisance bars. And I'm glad to see that.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I know you said pro bono and that was great, and then I thought Ms.

MS. VANDENBRAAK: Okay, I should point out that Mr. Castro did this free of charge when he had a very busy schedule as lawyer. I worked with him when he worked with the community groups.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Were those the ones in the west?

*

MS. VANDENBRAAK: Absolutely. I've seen him work with these communities on his own charge. He's come to us, we feel very fortunate to have him here. We couldn't have done better.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, that's good. I'm glad to see him and he ought to be commended for working with the community groups. I wish the fact that your work with those community groups could be put into legal intelligence and show that as a result of that you now are working in the District Aftorney's Office and maybe some of the young lawyers can take that kind of attitude to help, because we need that in those communities. I'm also glad to see where you have started a program, one of them is trying to recruit other attorneys, and my question in that regard would be how can we get attorneys involved in that and how can we get the word out to them?

MR. CASTRO: I've been working closely with the Philadelphia Bar Association in particularly the young lawyer's section. These kind of cases are excellent for young lawyers to get involved in because they get a chance to get out there on the front lines with some clients and also to get some very practical experience, and we already have between 10 and 15 people who have volunteered and we are now in a mode

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where we are trying to train them and get them up to speed so that we can actually assign them to work with individual communities so these case--

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. One problem that some of the community people have, I was approached or I was solicited by some community people in my office several weeks ago about a place that is applying for a liquor license and they came in with a petition that must have been signed by 200 people, and I think one area needs to be addressed in training those attorneys needs to be kind of show the community people the type or the kind of wording should be on a petition to express their concerns, because the sad thing in this was that they had 200 signatures where they were all on a piece of paper and it said nothing and I know that the attorneys for the bars would just throw that out, and I hope that you would address that in terms of coming up with some kind of format that the community groups can use in trying to get a petition on those people getting a liquor license. If, in fact, community groups know of or know fraternities that won't be involved, what would you suggest they do, contact you?

MR. CASTRO: Yeah, I believe that would be the best thing to do at this the point in time.

Ultimately we may have a program where they can contact the pro bono coordinator directly, but for now I think it's going to have to be me.

б

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. O I think the District Attorney also should be commended on the fact of she's coming out through her staff and coming out into the offices of the various Representatives. In fact, she will be in my office on the 23rd of this month for the second time and I think that's a very good program in seeing that the District Attorney reaches out and on Saturday where people can really address their problems and don't have that kind of fear, because everybody can't get downtown, and I am just glad to see that she is doing that, and I think you handle that program.

MS. VANDENBRAAK: I don't, Representative James, but I am a longtime member of the District Attorney's Office and I recall the day that the District Attorney first addressed us after she was elected and the first things out of her mouth were that the office was going to be active in the community, that we were going to be out in communities, available for people so that people would know who we are. That we went to them, they didn't come to us, and I think that that is one of the highest priorities in her

administration, and she has made that very clear to everybody who works for her and we are all expected to be out in communities and to serve the people who pay our salaries.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, that's great, and again, I say that's very commendable. If there's a community group that has a location, I know you're focussing on nuisance establishments, and some of the people are concerned about an establishment obtaining a liquor license that may not have rose or lowered to the status of a nuisance, would you, the District Attorney's Office, be involved with this community group? For example, the one that came to me who said they didn't want the location to get a liquor license. Would they come to you for help, or you haven't developed to that level yet?

MR. CASTRO: There are many, many problems below the problem of an out-and-out nuisance bar that could fall within our jurisdiction, and one of the things that we hope to do by getting together all these different law enforce many people - L&I, the Health Department, the solicitor's office - we hope to try to increase everyone's knowledge about the different ways of approaching these problems, and it is my hope that it will get to the point where we can have

chough volunteer lawyers that I can always send somebody out to counsel a community on how to deal with the issue they had.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay, but has it reached that point yet so if we call you tomorrow—

MR. CASTRO: No. We're not there yet.

We're going to get in though.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Anytime?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: He's only there three weeks and he's done an incredible effort so far.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I agree.

MS. VANDENBRAAK: It's on the agenda, and obviously we can't address the perspective solely of nuisance bar litigation. Hopefully, we will be able to stop some of the problems before they get to that last step. It's only after administrative remedies are not working and obviously, if we enhance the administrative remedies to tighten up licensing issues, that makes our job a lot easier in the long run.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Right. Maybe I should have addressed the next question to the Liquor Control Board, but since they are not here but they do have a representative here, but anyway there has been a problem where on some of the Stop 'n Go's they have posted these signs saying they are going to have a

liquor license and they do it, they don't do it for the whole period. They may just do it for the day they know the inspector is coming or the day, you know, just for a couple of days. What can the community do in terms of saying that we saw that the sign was not there? Do we need to contact you? Do we need to have a pro bono attorney? Would that be a sense there of some kind of tie into the courts? Is that good enough, or can the community say we didn't see the sign on those days?

MR. CASTRO: That's a situation that has to be reported to the LCB, the Licensing Bureau, because they are the one that's going to issue the license, and a defect in the process of issuing the license has got to come to their attention if it's resulted in some action.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okav.

MR. CASTRO: Now, I think that oftentimes having a volunteer lawyer assigned is going to grease the wheels there because they will be able to understand where to go to get the message heard. But I don't think that's something that we can probably do out of the D.A.'s Office.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay, thank you. Thanks a lot.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: I hope you become the shining star because nuisance bars are the biggest problem that we have and we've been trying hard for a number of years to get the LCB more responsive and finally there are some pressures we've been applying has made police understand that we live in those communities, not them, so we are the ones that can't go to sleep at night and we are the ones that got to duck shootings at night and all the problems, and now they are beginning to realize that.

I want to mention the fact that we've got a lot of legislation in committee, in the Liquor Control Committee, pending against nuisance bars. And I asked Galina and Mary Beth to get copies of those pieces of legislation that we have pending so you have access to what it is that we have been trying to do for a while. How soon could we begin meeting? We have groups already in the community that have been working against nuisance bars.

MR. CASTRO: My calendar is available to you, Representative Acosta, and as soon as you can give me a day when you will have people there, I promise you that I will be there in person to address them.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Okay, that means I am going to give you a day right now.

1 MR. CASTRO: I'll pull out my calendar. 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Okay. Not this Friday, tomorrow, but eight days from tomorrow could we 3 meet at the Norris Square Projects, 2141 North Howard 5 Street? MR. CASTRO: I will be there. 2141 North 6 7 Howard Street. ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Yeah. And if 8 9 you come early, I don't know, about 12:00 o'clock to my 10 office, 2640 North Fifth Street, before we sit down to 11 discuss business, we will go to have lunch together. 12 MR. CASTRO: Okay. I'll be there. 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Okay. So I'11 14 meet you next Friday at 12:00 o'clock at 2640 North Fifth Street and together we will go to this meeting. 15 16 I don't have any further questions. 17 MS. MILAHOV: Mr. Acosta, I have a 18 question. 19 I was wondering, are you planning on 20 proposing more criminal sanctions or new codification 21 of the Liquor Control law? 22 MS. VANDENBRAAK: I think the problem 23 that we're finding in Philadelphia in the nuisance bar 24 area is that criminal penalties really don't address 25

the problem, particularly where we have such a pressing

crime problem, drug problems. We can't get robbers into our prisons, we can't get drug dealers into our prisons, that to address nuisance bars with the criminal problem will not get us anywhere and we should use the civil remedies which can be more effective. Particularly where you have liquor licenses that are worth \$20,000, people are worried when we go in and threaten to either shut the place down or to seize the license under the drug forfeiture provisions. So it seems to us that that's the more effective way to deal with it in Philadelphia is to pursue the civil remedies rather than use the criminal process which is already heavily overburdened and won't be able to address these Quite simply, they won't be treated problems. scriously by the judges in Philadelphia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MILAHOV: I've seen there are a lot of cases that have been brought to the courts over and over again and have not been addressed because of judicial economy, and I realize that's a real problem, so I was wondering what the Judiciary Committee can do to address this, and it sounds like it will take recodification through the Liquor Control Committee and Roard rather than through the Judiciary Committee, is that what you're telling me?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: Well, I think it's up

to you to decide what the appropriate division of labor is in the House of Representatives. But, you know, it's a multi-faceted problem. I think we can see from the testimony here today when you're talking about education, prevention, treatment, civil remedies, administrative remedies, criminal remedies, it's a multi-faceted problem and obviously one that needs to be looked at, and we in our office are willing to work with whoever would like to have us work with them and we will be available to whoever needs us.

MS. MILAHOV: Great. I have one more sort of red herring kind of a question, and that is if the State-owned and operated liquor stores were freed up to private enterprise, would that increase the problem?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: I think, respectfully, this raises something that's probably a little beyond our expertise here. We haven't really thought about the issue of whether they should be government controlled or private controlled, and it's obviously something we would have to give a lot of thought to before we could give an answer. I would feel uncomfortable giving an answer at this point.

MR. CASTRO: The only thing I can respond to that in this sense, that having worked on a few of

these cases, the problem, it seems to me, in each case is not liquor but that the people who are running the bars in question have been asleep at the wheel. There are many, many bars, obviously, I have a bar on the corner where I live that's quiet as a mouse, and I don't think the problem is consumption of alcohol but rather irresponsible owners and managers. And so I'm not sure that whether it's privately controlled or State controlled will necessarily have an impact on the problem.

MS. MILAHOV: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: One further question, maybe comments and question. In response to that red herring question I can see that you are with the District Attorney's Office, but I thought that was a good answer. But one thing you said that interested me, you said something about seizing liquor licenses under the forfeiture provisions. Has that been done?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: Not yet, but it's on the agenda. We have a number of bars that are clearly used for ongoing drug activities and when they are using a bar for that, as far as I'm concerned that can be seized under the Drug Act just like a property can be or a car can be. So that's something that we intend to do. I think that will make some people really stand

1 up and take notice.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You're right. That would make a lot of the owners start to police themselves. That's good because I guess you also have to think about or explore when you do that what's going to happen with that establishment, and then does it go back to Liquor Control Board or, I mean, now once you seize it does the licensing go back to them?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: There are a lot of issues involved obviously in a forfeiture, is the property worth anything, the administrative costs to scize it? Obviously, if we think it is worth it and we think we have a good case, we will do it. We'll seize the property under the Drug Act.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, then what would happen with the license?

MS. VANDENBRAAK: We think we can also scize that too. Obviously, people are going to contest that, but we are willing to take on that battle.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. That sounds great. Thank you.

> MS. VANDENBRAAK: Thank you.

MR. CASTRO: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you very

much.

22

23

24

25

-

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: We have two more. Maybe we will bring both of them together. John Pettit and Frank Chekovage.

MR. CHEKOVAGE: I apologize for my ignorance. First of all, this is the first time I've been to Harrisburg and the first time I have been to the Capitol and I was not aware that I had to turn in written testimony, so as I was here I was jotting down a few things, so I apologize.

I'm Frank Chekovage, Director of Civil
Operation, Courts of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County.
By order dated May 15, 1991 by Administrative Judge
Nelson A. Diaz, all appeals from the Liquor Control
Board were transferred from the criminal division to
the civil division effective July 15---

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: This year?

MR. CHEKOVAGE: This year, yes. Judge Diaz further directed myself and John Pettit to develop a program to expedite all appeals from administrative agencies, including Liquor Control Board cases. I'm happy to say that a program has been developed and will go into effect January of '92. To explain the program, I would like to introduce John Pettit, the Prothonotary for Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas.

MR. PETTIT: Good afternoon,

Representative James, Representative Acosta. I, too, am pleased to be here at the direction and I presume representing the Honorable Nelson Diaz, our Administrative Judge, and first of all I would like to mention to this committee Judge Diaz is seriously concerned over the Liquor Control Board problems. The program that we have developed has been developed to include all appeals to the Court of Common Pleas from all agencies, that is State agencies, boards of review, boards of taxes, all appeals that come to the Common Pleas Court.

Ironically, the transfer of responsibility though from our elected Quarter Sessions to our office now I believe creates a more sensitive issue as far as Liquor Control Board problems are concerned. In my opinion, they will be the hot potatoes, or a couple of the hot potatoes on the civil side where prior to this, their handling in the criminal motion court may not have given them the same kind of value. I believe we are going to have a great deal more attention.

The program that we have been working on since the beginning of October involves a very brief and very simple and hopefully uncomplicated procedure, and that is cases now will be called, be listed in what

appeals, including the Liquor Control Board. There will be two clerks in my office who will be responsible for the docketing of these cases, for listing appeals from these agencies, including the Liquor Control Board, in 45 days from the date of filing. They are being listed for conciliation hearings on that day. It is our intention that all appeals from administrative agencies be disposed of by the judge, and there will be also an individual judge assigned to these programs. On that day, the matter should be disposed of. That is either by trial, Harrisburg or whatever is necessary if that judge cannot resolve it by conciliation.

That's the basic underlying idea of the whole program. I have to also say that I agree with the saying of the District Attorney there where he points to what really amounts to a lack of teeth in the Liquor Control—

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Excuse me, where "she."

MR. PETTIT: I'm talking about Mr. Castro's statement.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay.

MR. PETTIT: He points out there that the Liquor Control Board hearing is basically worthwhile.

My greatest fear with regard to the program that we are implementing is the fact that a licensee can have another bite of his apple. He gets a chance for 'a trial de novo. " Now, my thinking along that line, and I think it's also Judge Diaz's, is that docsn't necessarily mean that he can get a grand jury trial or that kind of delay, he is going to have a full hearing on his petition that he files though. My concern is that lawyers can now delay this whole proceeding by asking for further discovery to rehash testimony that has already been presented to a hearing examiner, which has already been ruled on by the Liquor Control Board and now under that law which requires that de novo hearings can be rehashed again. So I would suggest that one thing that the legislature could do is to remove the reference to de novo hearings and let it be a hearing on reviewing Liquor Control Board action. Give them a little bit more power and respect for their opinion and their decisions.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Excuse me, I just wanted to make sure that we get that. Now, what's that term? It sounds like Latin to me. And you say it has to be done by the legislature.

MR. PETTIT: It's in the Liquor Code.

It's -- I can give you the citation, 27 Purdon's

Statutes, 4-464.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Go over that again.

MS. MILAHOV: I'll get it for you.

MR. PETTIT: After setting up a hearing by the hearing examiner by the Liquor Control Board, it goes on to describe the appeal process. I would just like to read one sentence, if I may, Representative James, from this act. "The court shall hear the application de novo," that's D-E N-O-V-O, "on questions of fact, administrative difficulties, discretion and such other matters as are involved at such times as it shall...." My feeling is that attorneys representing licensees will use that language in this act to request a full-blown hearing before the court. It can only delay the process. So my recommendation is that something be done addressing that language so that whatever reason it's in there for can be handled or addressed in another matter.

All right. It is then our program to dispose of these cases, all appeals, in 45 days.

Obviously, there will be some with legitimate reasons that may go beyond that. The court at that point, the judge who's handling it at that point will be instructed and directed, now this is one of Judge

Diaz's proposals, that the judge at that time must produce a case management order which will finally, will determine that case and not let it get so far out of control, continue generally, that sort of thing. The judge will have that kind of discretion based on the facts that are presented to him. We hope — well, we are going to require any further pleadings in the case to be handed up to the judge on that day. So there's not going to be a lot of extra paper and delay for paper reasons. And I think that we have had a little bit of a track record with this kind of a program in our discovery program that has been so successful, and this is somewhat patterned after that.

The parties and the attorneys will appear before a judge on a day certain and the matter should be disposed of. Most of these matters, 80, 90 percent of them should be disposed of at that time. This also helps the courts in that it takes these cases that are now clogging up the trial list off the trials and helps the courts as well as, we hope, helps the public.

One other point that I feel is necessary to address, and this is something that I don't understand, and that is the question of the cases that are dismissed for reasons of judicial economy? I never heard of that. I have not heard it and I would like to

request that those cases be shown, that we get copies
of them, because I have not heard them.

MS. MILAHOV: I would be glad to send you copies of them. I have a file from the State Police Liquor Control Enforcement Bureau that shows a number of cases that have repeatedly been brought to the courts or to the District Attorney's Office and prosecution has been denied because of the caseloads of other more serious areas. And I have a thick file of about an inch thick.

obviously have not and never have worked on the criminal side of our court, and that's where all of these were prosecuted. My concern is that I have heard something here and I saw it in your letter that I don't understand. I have never in my — I've been working for this court system now for 14 years as Prothonotary, 4 1/2 years before that as municipal courts, and that term is new to me. I want to see what judges and what cases are being dismissed for reasons of judicial economy. I would have to see the file or whatever cases you can supply me, I want to see them.

MS. MILAHOV: I would be very interested in supplying you with it and then also having your response, because I found it very puzzling myself.

MR. PETTIT: I find it quite puzzling and I found it necessary for me to raise that issue. I have with me, and I can hand up to you, Representative, this information was provided to me on Tuesday by the criminal motions courts unit. This represents the total number of cases filed with the court that involved the Liquor Control Board appeals for the period of 1985 through June of 1991. During July, you will note that in 1987 it was 157 cases, and after that time a dramatic dropoff in the number of appeals actually being presented to the courts.

Another reason why your file is an inch thick puzzles me because this is all the court has been getting. I have a very short time to work on some of these numbers and I'm not exactly certain of the number of cases that were turned over to the civil side in July. I can tell you that as of right now, there is pending all listed, all before an individual judge and assigned to that judge a total of 13 cases on appeal from the Liquor Control Board. Of those 13 cases, 5 of them have come in through our office since July 15th; 6 of them were filed in June of 1991, 1 in April, and there's 1 case that has been assigned to a judge since 1989, and that seems to be the worst one. We haven't had a chance to run that down. That is the case

inventory that we now have for 1991 on appeal from the Liquor Control Board, and it's obviously of tremendous reduction, and I don't know the reason. I can just present to the committee the statistics and the numbers that we have.

I again want to thank you and on behalf of Judge Diaz. I know he would like to have been here, but he's at the Pennsylvania Bar Association meeting this week or he would have probably been here himself. So I want to thank you for having us, and I hope we have been able to make a nice recommendation.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you very much.

I think one of the things that we have to do is instead of the public and the law chasing the licensees, we should change it around, and anytime we find a bar that has violations we should remove the license and have the licensee chase us, go into court and prove that we were wrong, not him.

MR. PETTIT: Yeah, I have noticed in that act that it is the responsibility of the Liquor Control Board to move for a supersedeas if they want the bar owner's license suspended during the appeal process. That doesn't happen too often. Most of the bars are allowed to continue to operate, but you're right. I

think if that were reversed, you'd find a great deal of pressure to dispose of appeals much more quicker than even in 45 days.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: I would like to hear from the representative of the LCB in regards to that.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Representative. Fran O'Brien, chief legal counsel for the Liquor Board.

If I could address the confusion about the number of cases dismissed for judicial economy, what we may be talking about here, under the prior District Attorney in Philadelphia there were many, many cases against speakeasies, non-liquor citation cases, speakeasies who were unlicensed, fined by the law enforcement people, and the District Attorney's priorities were such they just through them out because they couldn't handle them. I don't know that they were dismissing actual liquor control enforcement cases, and I heard Lynne Abraham speak a few weeks ago where she said their priorities are now changed and they are going to pursue aggressively the speakeasies. But as far as the citation appeals, the Liquor Board sits as an appellate review of the ALJ. We don't litigate the The Liquor Board, it's the State Police lawyers who litigate the cases through the Common Pleas Court.

1 So we're out of the process. But the guess on 2 supersedeas on appeal is something that has been discussed in the Liquor Committee and I think it's ripe 3 for action. 5 ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Could this committee or the Liquor Control Committee sit down with 6 7 you to change the process where we have to be fighting the licensee and to prove that he's wrong and change it 8 9 around where he has to prove to us that we are wrong? MR. O'BRIEN: I think it's an excellent 10 11 idea, and I think one of the bills in that whole bills 12 that Representative Carn and the Philadelphia 13 delegation states that there is no supersedeas on 14 appeal. Do you remember the number of that? It's like 15 15 in a row. 16 MS. MILAHOV: I know. I'11 talk to 17 Andrew. 18 ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you. 19 gentlemen. 20 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Hold on a second. 21 I just want to ask a question. I'm glad you explained 22 that judicial economy because I was going to ask for 23 that list too.

MR. O'BRIEN: That may be it.

MR. PETTIT: At this time I get very

24

25

1 defensive when somebody gets -- I've been there too 2 long not to try to defend. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You have a right 3 to, but that would be good if we have a list and you 5 can make it a available to them and Representative 6 Acosta and particularly the LCB commission. 7 MR. PETTIT: I think they were not being 8 brought to the court. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Just to be sure, 10 that would be good. 11 One thing I want to see if I can 12 understand the process. In the past when a violation 13 goes before the administrative judge and the licensee 14 appeals it, it then comes into Common Pleas Court? 15 MR. PETTIT: Right, in the criminal 16 division. That's the way it was. Previously it went 17 to the criminal division. 18 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Now it's going 19 into the civil division? 20 MR. PETTIT: That is correct. 21 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And the Honorable 22 Diaz is going to direct it and focus more on that 23 problem? 24 MR. PETTIT: Yeah. Not specifically on Liquor Control Board cases but on all appeals, which 25

include Liquor Control Board cases.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: coming from AL

judges--

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHEKOVAGE: Coming from all administrative agencies.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay, because we had one case in particular that was appealed, the Liquor Control Board denied the licensee an application or permit, the licensee appealed it, the Liquor Control Board went into court to justify their reasons. community came in to court. I was in that courtroom also on behalf of the community. Also in that courtroom was about 20 people from our area who stand in front of the State Stores and stand in front of other places and hustle money, and these people were paid \$20 or \$25 each to come to court to testify on behalf of the licensee. The judge, and I forgot his name because if I remember I would say it, did not rule at that time after he heard these people testify, he didn't hear everybody, he heard a couple of people and they stood up and took names and addresses. problem was that the judge ruled in favor of those people and granted the licensee the license. And that, you know, to me is a problem.

And as to where does the credibility come

1 in as to who are you going to believe, I mean, 2 community organizations, ministers and community 3 leaders there as opposed to people who are paid as witnesses to come in, are paid some kind of fee to come in and testify that they want a license. And I hope 5 that in this process in which you're saying now is б 7 going to be channeled to the civil procedure that that 8 would be one thing that you would be looking at because 9 it is true that these attorneys, or not attorneys, or people, you know, will pay people to come in to testify 10 11 for the licensee, saying that we want this liquor 12 establishment. Then you have the legitimate community 13 saying no. So I just hope that you would focus on 14 that. 15 MR. CHEKOVAGE: Yes, I agree with that.

MR. CHEKOVAGE: Yes, I agree with that. That's interesting.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PETTIT: I guess I really have no way I can comment on it on an individual judge's action in an individual case.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I can understand that.

MR. PETTIT: I can only tell you that as to the best of my knowledge, the judge who tentatively will be assigned to this program will be Judge Bradley.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So that's the

former President Judge?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PETTIT: Yes, the former President Judge has agreed, as I understand.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So there's only going to be one judge?

MR. PETTIT: As I understand it. Now, that's not public information. I don't think the schedule for January is public.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Now it is.

MR. PETTIT: What I'm trying to say is that's my understanding that he has agreed to accept this duty. There's approximately overall in the program, including appeals from the Philadelphia municipal court and driver's license suspensions, some 1,200 cases a year that we're talking about here. That means out of that 1,200, about 900 of them fall into those two categories. They will be disposed of and we know they can be disposed of very quickly. You can hear 4 or 5 license revocations cases in one day. are also very important cases, but you can hear them very quickly. The evidence isn't as extensive. brings down to this type of matter a lot more, it gives it a lot more points because there's only around 400 of them a year. They can be very easily monitored and controlled when you're dealing with that low a number,

we don't have the volume. And they are going to be highlighted. These are going to be specially assigned and handled in a special way so that they are going to be highly visible type cases now, which I think is important.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, that's good. Who knows about your program? I mean, are you going to, the program that you, you know, you spoke about here, is that going to be known through the DA's office, going to be known through the Liquor Control Board, is going to be known to us, to the delegation?

MR. CHEKOVAGE: We will be publishing in the Legal Intelligencer and to the legal community a notice advising them of the new program and when it goes into effect and what agencies are involved.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Would you notify the Judiciary Committee and also the Liquor Control Committee.

MR. CHEKOVAGE: Sure.

MR. PETTIT: What we're waiting for is Judge Diaz's return now. We have pretty well finalized it. We need his signature on the final order, and that meeting will probably be next Wednesday. We usually meet with him on Wednesday on this program.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I understand. I

just think that it's important that the agencies that are concerned about the nuisance bars are notified of your program so that we can all be coordinating our efforts in terms of dealing with this problem, so that if you would send it to the Judiciary Committee and also the Liquor Control Committee and saying here's the program, and also the Liquor Control Board itself, I think that that would be good.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHEKOVAGE: Yes, no problem.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you very much. I think that we've got to be grateful to you for coming from Philadelphia, and I know that we are going to go to depressed places in Philadelphia, and it's needed for a long time. Poor folks in Philly, they just don't have no place else to go. The police depariment doesn't want to handle it, they don't have the manpower. The community is going to do whatever -we go out on vigils Friday nights trying to help, trying to do things, but we need the help from the State government, city government, and Federal government. We already brought people from the Federal government into our community and they are already working with some of the groups, the DA and myself are going to be addressing this problem to. Thank you very 1 | much.

MR. PETTIT: I know I speak for myself personally and I know from speaking with Judge Diaz that you have his definite cooperation and support in this program.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: He's on my side.

MR. PETTIT: I kind of assumed that.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: I got to thank everybody, Lynne and the staff worked real hard to put this program together. Again, we've got to, and I'd like to make it public on the record that the Department of Transportation should have been here because they contribute to a great percentage of the crime and problems that we have in the city of Philadelphia. I cannot speak for the rest of the State, but for the city of Philadelphia, especially my district, I know that they have responsibility of at least 10 to 15 percent of the crime that takes place there and they did not choose to be here with us today. So I want that to go on record.

MR. PETTIT: Thank you.

MR. CHEKOVAGE: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN ACOSTA: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the proceedings were

1 | concluded at 4:20 p.m.)

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me during the hearing of the within
4	cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript
5	of the same.
6	$\Omega \rightarrow \Omega C$
7	ann-Monie P. Swanny
8	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
9	
10	
11	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO
12	ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER
13	THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING
14	REPORTER.
15	
16	
17	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney 3606 Horsham Drive
18	Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 717-732-5316
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	