1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
3	
4	In re: House Bill 1936 - Repair Scrvices
5	* * * *
6	
	Stenographic report of hearing held
7	in Room 140, Majority Caucus Room, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA
8	Marriage Mar
9	Wednesday,
lo l	Λugust 18, 1993 10:00 a.m.
11	HON. THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, CHAIRMAN
12	non. Thousand in Charmona, Only man
13	MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY
14	Hon. Andrew J. Carn Hon. Kathy M. Manderino
15	Hon. Peter J. Daley Hon. Λ1bert H. Masland Hon. Gregory C. Fajt Hon. Karen Λ. Ritter
	non. Oregory C. Pajt Hon. Raren M. Ritter
16	
17	<u>Λ1so Present</u> :
18	David Krantz, Executive Director
19	Margaret Tricarico, Committee Staff
20	Reported by:
21	Ann-Marie P. Sweeney, Reporter
22	
	ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY
23	3606 Horsham Drive Mechanicsburg, PA 17055
24	717-732-5316
25	





1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		PAGE
3	Chet Amend, Capitol Division, Better Business	5
4	Bureau	
5	Lynn Harbold, Manager, Approved Repair Program, AAA of Southern Pennsylvania	14
6	Ric LeBlanc, Consumer Mediator, Cumberland County Consumer Affairs	27
7	County Consumer Arraris	
8		
9		
10		
11		
1.2		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: If we could, we'd
2	like to get started. We would like to have Chet, Lynn
3	and Ric please come forward.
4	This is the House Judiciary Committee
5	public hearing on House Bill 1936 dealing basically
6	with repair services. I'm Chairman Tom Caltagirone
7	from Berks County. I would like the other members
8	present and staff to please introduce themselves for
9	the record.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Kathy
11	Manderino, Philadelphia County.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: A1 Masland,
13	Cumberland County.
14	MR. KRANTZ: Dave Krantz, executive
15	director of the committee.
16	REPRESENTATIVE CARN: Andrew Carn,
17	Philadelphia County.
18	REPRESENTATIVE FAJT: Greg Fajt,
19	Allegheny County.
20	MS. TRICARICO: Margaret Tricarico,
21	committee secretary.
22	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And with that, I
23	would just like to open up with a basic comment that I
24	think that in looking at the issue that we're going to
25	be dealing with today. I think some thought has to be

given to the number of times people have gone into repair situations, whether it's major or minor, many people think it's just limited to automobiles. It certainly isn't. We have come across situations, and I'll mention a company, Sears, gave an estimate on a repair of a vacuum cleaner of \$70. That same vacuum cleaner was taken to another repair service and they repaired it for \$3. It required a little rubber belt. It took about two minutes to have it done.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Situations like that I think cry out for some type of remedial action and safeguards for our consumers in this State and to let the people know that in the repair industry, and there are very, very many good people that do an excellent job, they give good service, they give good estimates, and they do good work, and that's not to say that everybody in the repair industry is rip-off artists. They're certainly We're not saying that. What we're saying is that we would like an estimate given so that before the work is done, that the person is fully aware of what it's going to cost, or at least reasonably aware, and then if there are additional things, and this has cropped up from time to time, well, what about if there's additional things that they undercover when they start to repair it? There's always a phone and there's

always an explanation and the person can make the decision as to whether they want the repairs done or not.

So these are the safeguards that we're looking at, and with that, I'd like Chet to start out and please feel free and give whatever testimony you want to.

MR. AMEND: Thank you. I'm pleased to have an opportunity to speak to the subject this morning. As the Better Business Bureau representative, we're on the receiving line along with other customers — for instance, the Consumer Protection Office, part of the Attorney General's section, does an excellent job. We've heard, in fact, that we are the velvet glove and they have teeth; if it's beyond our capacity, why, that may be an alternative.

I'm glad to hear you say that you feel that this transcends the automobile business because the complaints that we receive cover the total spectrum, whether it's a vacuum cleaner or a roof repair, siding, whatever the situation is.

My concern in reading through the bill was that it appears to limit, that once the estimate is given, that that's a lock—in for that particular service, whereas I feel that there should be room for

mediation between the business and the consumer. I have read through the bill, the statutes, by whatever name, that the automotive industry is covered by, the Consumer Protection Office, and this seems to provide sufficient guidelines for the automotive industry, where if I take my car in to be repaired that they give me an estimate of what it's going to cost and I sign the repair order. But that isn't to say that once I get back to the office and they call me and say, well, the belt needs to be replaced, do you want us to do that while we have the car in? That gives me the opportunity to say, yes, do it, or don't do it, which is over and above the estimate.

customers that show up at the garage and say it's time for my 30,000 mile check-up, do whatever is necessary. How is this going to be provided? In other words, this is, in my estimation, carte blanche, do whatever is necessary to comply with the warranty requirements of the automobile. Those things are difficult sometimes to establish in the law and I recognize that, but I'm not certain what penalties are provided under the Attorney General's Office as the statute presently applies and whether it's actually enforced.

Those are my main concerns at this point.

1

•

2

3

.

\_

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Okay, Chet.

Are there questions from any of the members of the committee?

Representative Masland.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Just briefly,

Mr. Chairman.

BY REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: (Of Mr. Amend)

Just to follow up on your last comment. I ٥. was just thinking that maybe if we added some kind of language in the event of additional problems arising subsequent to the signing of the estimate, an oral consent may be obtained if documented on the original document, on the invoice. That might be one way around it, because I could see a problem. I know this goes beyond automobiles, but I think a lot of us think of this initially as automobiles. That's my initial reaction. And I have a friend, not me, and maybe some of you have friends like this, too, who go to the dealer and say, it makes this sound, fix it. And of course the dealer doesn't have any idea at that point why it's making that sound because my friend isn't very good at describing what that sound is, and so do you just sign the invoice at that time and wait for them to call back and fill it in? Because how can a dealer know what has to be done and put a price on it at that

point in time? That's one of my concerns, and there may be some way to draft language in the bill so that we can address that, too.

- A. Well, perhaps if you include the necessity of getting the time, the place, if I'm repair man, I called your friend at this time at his office and he gave me a verbal approval to proceed with the work.
- Q. Hopefully, we can address it that way. Thank you.
  - A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I guess my concern is the same as Representative Masland's, and he and I were talking about it before the testimony.

Maybe if I can call your attention to the second sentence in section 7328(a) where it says, the "written estimate shall be signed by the consumer indicating approval of the repairs to be made and the cost specified," et cetera, et cetera. Would you recommend, in light of the conversation that we just made, that the proviso of an estimate being signed by the consumer be eliminated or would you recommend leaving that in with an additional caution as Representative Masland

suggested, and once signed if more is determined? You know, what would your initial reaction be?

- A. My personal feeling is that it would be better to have the original agreement signed, because that then provides a springboard to the mediation for whatever additional. Who's to say that it's not going to be less than, if they get in there and find out that it was just a squeaky valve and a shot of lubricant, silicon took care of the problem? It does happen, I guess.
- Q. No, I agree, and actually even the chairman gave a very pointed example when he started, but the reality of it almost could have been that the first estimate of \$70 for repair of a vacuum might have been based on an assumption of what was wrong with it that had the person torn the vacuum apart they might have discovered, oh, it wasn't the motor that I thought was bad, it was really just the belt, and that would have given some leeway there, too.
- A. We find it difficult to protect the consumers from themselves sometimes, regardless to the adage to be a smart shopper, do comparative shopping, and so forth. Some people are not savvy enough to say I want to get another estimate on that before I make a decision.

Ł	Q. Let me put you on the spot and ask
2	another question. Do you think that this is necessary
3	protection or overprotection?
4	A. Uh
5	Q. I did say I was putting you on the spot,
6	didn't I?
7	A. I feel like it's a necessary, I'm all for
8	what you're doing as defined in the guidelines of how
9	it will be applied. I feel that it is necessary to
10	establish ground rules of what is acceptable business
11	practice.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative
16	Ritter.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: Hi.
18	I'm sorry I missed your opening comments,
19	Tom, but I did hear the testimony and I agree with the
20	comments that Kathy and Al just brought up in terms of
21	subsequent repairs that will be required after the
22	initial estimate. I also am wondering too whether or
23	not, Tom, you would take into account allowing the
24	consumer to at the beginning, in other words, I do the

same thing, I drop my car off and I say, well, it's got

this problem and this problem and call me with the estimate or go ahead and do it if it's less than \$50 or \$100, or whatever. I don't want to have to go back in to the repair shop during the day to sign the form if I don't need to. But at my option if I could give the authorization in writing, I'd sign it when I brought the car in that you can make whatever repairs are required up to \$100 or \$50 or whatever level I want to put on it and that that would give you that authorization and then what they do then is call me and say, all right, it's going to be more than that, and have the same provisions that you can make an oral approval over the phone. That wouldn't change what I already do, but I think it is important to offer that protection to other consumers who don't have that same relationship with the repair shop who can feel confident, you know, leaving it and making those kinds of statements.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't know, Tom, if you might consider something like that as well, but that would be only at the consumers' option if they wanted to go ahead and do that.

MR. AMEND: I concur.

REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: Otherwise, they could come back during the day once the estimate was

prepared if they wanted to and sign the paper, if they wanted to have it in writing. But I'd like to give that flexibility. I think it is an important bill. I think it is important to provide this protection, but it does need to be a little bit flexible.

MR. AMEND: We're really looking for protection from both directions because this then gives the service provider a backup that this was agreed to so that it doesn't become a complaint later. In the real world, the complaints that we see are primarily repairs that were not properly performed, rather than the cost exceeding what they expected it to be. But that does not negate what I'm saying here, that I feel like that it is important and that legislation is required to protect both the service provider and the person who's receiving the service.

REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Dave.

BY MR. KRANTZ: (Of Mr. Amend)

Q. Chet, in section (c), do you feel that the making of a summary offense would bring a more responsible response from one who gives repair as an example in Chairman Caltagirone's example \$70, an individual could have just looked at a chart if one came in and said, I think the motor is puttering, and

then they would look up on their chart and puttering
motors is \$70 versus being more serious to give an
estimate where a penalty phase would bring more

responsibility and response?

- A. I don't really have any feeling on that, I guess, one way or another. I feel like it's important that there be something, but I guess my reservation is because how is this going to be enforced?
- Q. Do you find whether or not the repair estimators now in either large or small companies, when an item comes in for repair, do they really just give it a cursory review or really give a factual thing, estimate?
- A. I think we're back to how long is a piece of string? It depends on what dealer, what service provider are we talking about, because some of them do a tremendous job, and by far the majority is. The ones that we're addressing today I think are those that are border line where their service department must produce a given figure to the bottom line, to the profit line. They want to make sure that that is obtained, and so whether the repairs are desirable or necessary or are critical then becomes a decision of the service manager, but that must be communicated to the consumer

1	is what we're saying, that to give them an opportunity
2	to make a determination whether they have the smarts
3	enough to know whether it's a critical situation or
4	whether they're just getting an inflated price for the
5	service that's being performed.
6	Q. Have you taken into consideration whether
7	some businesses would be more like a boiler room type
8	operation where they would have to do volume versus
9	quality, would it behoove us to even look into the
10	possibility of licensing and requiring education for
11	repair estimators?
12	A. That's something I haven't really
13	considered. Maybe one of the other people here.
14	Have you thought about it?
15	MR. LeBLANC: No, I really haven't
16	considered that.
17	MR. KRANTZ: Well, it's food for thought.
18	It's food for thought.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Are there any
21	other questions?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll next move to
24	Lynn Harbold.

MR. HARBOLD: I had a little reservation

when I first read the bill because as I interpreted it, every time you took your vehicle in to have any repairs done—and of course I'm speaking for our members, we're concerned with our members, my program is directed toward our members—that at that time they would be getting an estimate for repairing their car and the gentleman that would be giving the estimate would have been looking at the situation and figuring in everything that he's ever had to repair to correct this problem, and therefore the estimate signed by him and signed by the member would have been maybe rather costly. I'm glad to hear that you are considering to make that provision where you can call.

I have reservations about the written, the consumer having to sign it because we have a number of instances, and of course our organization dealing with towing, we have a lot of tow-ins. A lot of times the member doesn't belong with the vehicle. It gets towed to a repair facility. There's no opportunity for them to be there to sign. And again, if he had dropped it off the night before, that's a lot of, I mean, I personally do that with my own vehicle. I drop it off the night before, and of course if you drop your car off in the morning and you tell them what you want done and they have to take the time, and it does get timely

to get everything itemized and written up so that the person can sign it, how many of you would want to go back and sign that estimate or take the time, you know, to get the estimate signed?

1.1

Consumer Protection Law, the provisions that apply to the auto repair industry, give a lot of good guidelines and I would like to see somehow, I don't know what the relationship is between what consumer protection can do and what this is going to add to prosecuting the people who don't abide by the things that consumer protection suggests. That's the part that I would be interested in and I feel that this could be applied to, letting consumer protection apply this summary offense to places that they find that do not abide by the guidelines that they have set forth. But I think you need that wriften in that the consumer can be contacted by telephone.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Would you be in favor of the legislation if that was adjusted?

MR. HARBOLD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: You'd have no problem with that?

MR. HARBOLD: No, I'd have no problem with that. I think this initial though asking to have

a signed, a definite signed estimate, I think we have to allow for that being oral, over the telephone, because there's too many situations where people — people today really find it being inconvenienced to go back and forth and sign, and I think it would run into — we even have trouble getting — we have to have our members with the car to be sure that the car we're picking up is what we want or the car that is supposed to be towed or doing something legal, and we even have trouble convincing the member that he has to be there when we pick up the car, let alone having him at the repair place to authorize the repairs that would be needed done to it.

MR. KRANTZ: You mean to tell me that there's a question when you send out a wrecker that there's a question that someone says, come pick up my car, that the person has to be there versus questioning the legality of picking up a vacant car?

MR. HARBOLD: Right, because if he wasn't he could say, my Lincoln is sitting down here in front of the Capitol, will you pick it up? Give me the license number, and we have somebody picking up somebody else's car. We need them to be there, and also we have that protection in case there's any damage that can be noted before we pick up the car. So, yes.

And then there's people that don't go along most of the time to wherever it gets dropped off for repair.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Questions from the members?

Representative Masland.

BY REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: (Of Mr. Harbold)

- Q. Just one question on section (c), the penalty section. How do you feel about the provision that you would be sentenced to pay a fine of \$1,000? It appears to me the way it's written that that is the fine, period.
- A. Well, you mean a definite amount? I certainly think it would make the garage owner abide by the guidelines set forth more than what he does today, and I don't see a problem with it.
- Q. Okay. I just was wondering, because I thought that there may be circumstances, maybe in a garage where you're doing more costly repairs that may be one thing and in a vacuum repair shop or a sewing machine repair shop, and my district office is right next to one, so I have to take this over and let him look at this, but I was thinking about possibly changing it to treble or triple the cost of repairs, the actual end line costs that should have been on the estimate up to \$1,000 as another alternative.

1	A. Triple the cost of the difference?
2	Q. So if you go someplace and they don't
3	give you an estimate and the ultimate cost is \$70 that
4	they charge you for the repairs and they didn't give
5	you an estimate, that they would have to pay you \$210
6	for the fine.
7	A. For the total. You're talking about the
8	total.
9	When you say about more costly, the
10	reason I question it was if you're talking about a
11	transmission overhaul, which can be \$2,200 maybe one
12	place, \$1,500 another place, would you treble the
13	difference or the total?
14	Q. No, I said up to \$1,000.
15	A. Oh, okay. I see.
16	Q. So if the repair costs over \$1,000,
17	\$1,000 would still be the maximum.
18	Λ. No problem.
19	Q. I just thought I'd throw that out for
20	consideration.
21	A. Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative
23	Ritter.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: I just was
25	thinking, when you mentioned about dropping your car

off, Greg and I both said, yeah, we've done that already too, and having to sign a form, have some sort of a form maybe in advance. I don't know how you would do that exactly, but would this written statement, Tom, would that include a fax?

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes, it could.

REPRESENTATIVE RITTER: So it caught be that I could drop my car off the night before, the dealer could fax me the estimate, I could sign that faxed copy and fax it back? I mean, you could do that too, I would guess. It wouldn't be prohibited. It's not convenient for everybody, probably, but it would be one way to deal with the written issue without having people be required to go into the dealership itself.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: You know, I wouldn't object to any of these conversations that we've had drafted into amendments to be added to the legislation, because I think from what we're hearing here this morning, there's no real objections to the content or the thrust of the legislation. We need to clarify some sections a little bit and maybe the treble issue and maybe the oral issue that has to be spelled out a little bit more.

Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

## BY REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: (Of Mr. Harbold)

- Q. Mr. Harbold, you made reference to the Consumer Protection Law that operates in the automobile industry. From your reading of this House Bill that we're talking about, is there a significant difference in terms of how they operate or can you enlighten me, because I'm not really familiar.
- A. Well, the consumer, and Chet had a copy of it here, but in the consumer protection there's a section written that if the repair shop runs into additional repairs, they have guidelines to follow. They have to note the telephone number they call, the person they're talking to, the amount of the repairs, and I thought there was a fourth item. I think it's time. The time of the telephone call. And that is sufficient for an amendment to the estimate, the original estimate. In other words, you're getting the consumer's okay to change that. That's part of the consumer protection as it applies to auto repair.
- Q. And from your perspective in terms of, your members are consumers, auto consumers, et cetera, from your perspective, that has sufficiently protected the majority of people?
- A. That does. As I mentioned to somebody previously, we do some clinics and some classes in the

evening for our members to help them out. They bring this question up and my reply to it is they want to know, I'm giving this authorization over the phone, how do I know I'm still not being ripped off? My explanation is ask to see the old parts. Okay? Most likely they will not change an estimate and try to put something in that's not needed if they can't produce a defective part, because you're going go to take that and show it to someone else, and if it's not defective, then that's — so that seems to work out well, and if somebody makes a complaint about an estimate and they don't have written down that information, we tell them then you can't charge for it.

MR. AMEND: You may want to add to that that they must tell us whether it's a new, rebuilt part that's being installed.

MR. HARBOLD: Being installed, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I'm not familiar, does the AAA do mediation, or maybe the next person that we'll hear from will talk more about that. I mean, when you get into consumer disputes, is the problem — are the majority of the problems we see something that this bill is addressing?

MR, HARBOLD: Okay. Let me explain. I do what is called an approved auto repair program for

the AAA Southern Pennsylvania Automobile Club. Certain clubs have elected to offer this as a membership benefit. And what we do is we investigate a facility that would like to participate in our program, we check with their past consumers, we make sure they do proper training and have the proper tools. They then become an approved auto repair place for the AAA club. If one of our members goes into that place, we have assured them that they will get an estimate of some kind, that repairs will be guaranteed for 90 days or 4,000 miles, that they can have the old parts, provided it's not a new manufactured part that needs returned for a charge or that it's not an item that was under guarantee and has to be sent back to the manufacturer. And also, if there is a problem with the costs or the repairs that were done, they contact me and I'11 do an investigation and make a decision whether, you know, it is a fair repair or a fair charge and so forth. That's offered by, like I say, my club is AAA Southern Pennsylvania. There are 27 automobile clubs in Pennsylvania. for AAA Southern Pennsylvania, and we contract the AAA Central Penn to Lancaster and to Lewistown, and I have 16 counties in central Pennsylvania that have that for our members. So that's strictly AAA. I'm strictly working for the AAA member.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Right. I understand and appreciate that.

MR. HARBOLD: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: That's it. No more questions at this time.

Thanks, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Dave.

BY MR. KRANTZ: (Of Mr. Krantz)

- Q. Do you find with the recent expose of questionable auto repairs by Sears that the auto repair has become more responsible? Because I think that the intent of the chairman, at least this is my interpretation, is that not only the fact that we're concerned with auto repair but people don't really get into repair or get there never seems to be much you hear about repair to sewing machines or vacuum cleaners or whatever, but do you think that the auto repair people have, due to the recent national situation with auto repair, have become more responsible?
- A. They have. For instance, and I think what triggered the Sears thing, as I understand it from the industry, is that Sears was paying their employees a percentage of the profits on the parts, and this was contributing to their suggesting that parts be replaced. There were other organizations that were

doing that that rumor has it that that is a practice that is being eliminated in the repair industry. Now, I can't say for sure. Probably talking to ex-employees or something you could find out, but I've heard rumors that that was a practice and it's being eliminated. So, you know, some good has come out of it nationwide, even though it was a concentrated effort in certain areas. But that was my understanding and what I've heard.

on that, K-Mart, this just happened recently, an employee, no less, took her car to the service area of K-Mart, she had brand new tires on it, and he convinced her that she needed another set of brand new tires, and she got them. Now, that kind of nonsense that goes on within the industry I think is criminal.

It's not just confined, I might add, to the automobiles. You talk about television repair and what goes on there. I mean, I don't think anybody, anybody in this room or any of the consumers that need to have service work done object if it's done reasonably and if it's done with the due diligence, let's say, of repair service persons working on it. And honestly, I don't think anybody objects to having anything repaired, as long as it's done right and

they're not being hijacked with an inordinate amount of extra costs added, or things that were supposed to be done that were not done, and I think they're just adding insult to injury because I daresay most people are not going to take the back of their television apart and see if new tubes were put in if in fact they charged you for new tubes. It's a degree of trust that you have to establish with repair people.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the complaints that I've gotten in my district office and some of the things that we've seen in some other parts of the State start me scratching my head as to, you know, do these people think that they can flaunt that type of activity in the face of the consumer and continue to get away with it? And similar to what happened in California with Sears, you begin to wonder how much of that was going on here in Pennsylvania and may still be in certain particular Because when they allow that profit motive, that incentive to be placed at the serviceman's repair hands, their motive is, whether it's good or bad, they're going to take everything out and replace it with new stuff, period. That's our incentive because that's where we're making our profit. That's where I'm making extra money.

Okay. I guess we can move on to Ric.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

MR. LeBLANC: Thank you. I appreciate the opportunity to testify today. While I have always supported any consumer legislation and continue to, I do have some concerns with the way this is written.

First of all, frankly, I don't see where this proposed legislation would even address the scenario of the \$70 Sears charge and then having the repair for \$3, because this seems to be very narrow in its scope in that you're requiring a written estimate to be given, and in fact if a written estimate of \$70 had been given by Sears and later they found it could be repaired for \$3, they would be in violation of the letter of this law simply because it says the amount may not be different from the written estimate. And so the first thing I would do, and I understand there's the letter of the law and the spirit of the law, but I would try to amend the wording in some way so that it reflects different in that it would be more than the original estimate, not less than the estimate. I suppose that's a legal thing.

But to answer your question, I'm sorry, I forgot the young lady's name.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Representative Manderino.

MR. LeBLANC: To answer your question,

before you ask it, I took the liberty to, when I received notice that I was asked to come over here, I pulled 24 complaints that had been filed in 1992-93 on. in this particular case, auto repair. computerized so I had to dig up what I could as quickly as I could, and that, combined with my memory, tells me that I have not had a complaint that addresses a charge being more than the estimate. The predominant complaint I get with any kind of repair is that they are inadequate or they are unnecessary repairs. I don't necessarily see where this particular legislation as written would address that, because again, this is simply saying you must provide a written estimate and then adhere to that written estimate. T think, unfortunately, if this were to go as it is proposed, then you're going to have more repair people incorporating the cost of everything they can in an estimate so that they don't exclude anything later. mean, I understand, and I think, and correct me if I'm wrong, but the intent of this legislation is to prevent service people from low-balling or underestimating and then coming back and charging more than they originally had indicated. And again, that isn't addressing the problem of inadequate or unnecessary repairs, and I think that's where you have to look more so than the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

required estimate.

I agree with my two colleagues here that I think if you are going to require an estimate, there should be wording something to the effect that if repairs are required above and beyond the written estimate, at a cost above and beyond the written estimate, that they would follow a prescribed mechanism, which is pretty much outlined in the consumer laws, as my colleagues had said. They go through any number of steps, as many as I think five or six steps that must be followed when the service man would find a repair that is necessary that was not originally indicated on an estimate.

And let me see. What else did I jot down? Basically, I approve or can support any legislation that would put some teeth into consumer laws. I like the idea of a summary offense. I would also like to see some wording though that would enable the consumer to recover his lost money somehow without necessarily having to go through civil procedures. Too often in consumer laws the consumers' only recourse is a civil action. The Attorney General's Office generally won't handle anything unless it's considered in the public interest, and that means of course there have been a number of complaints. So oftentimes—

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Let me just interrupt you, and I apologize for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LeBLANC: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Do you think maybe it's time that we take a very hard look at what the Attorney General can and can't do and maybe put it in the hands of somebody else that can do that work? think the Attorney General is just so bogged down with so many other areas that they don't have the time or the desire to get into this consumer area, and maybe it's time we take a look hat the Attorney General's Act and split that away from the Attorney General's Office and put it somewhere else where somebody can do something with it. Because I've run upon the same problem under both Attorney Generals that their people don't particularly care to handle certain things and it takes time and time and time again to wait. You know, consumers die by the time you get to some of these The paperwork and everything else that's cases. involved, and I'm just beginning to wonder, well, maybe, and I was here when we created that office of the elected Attorney General and put all of that under it. It doesn't necessarily mean it has to stay that way forever and a day. Maybe it's time to take a revisit to see exactly what we need to strip away from

the Attorney General and put it under somebody else or something else. Would you be interested in something like that?

MR. LeBLANC: Yeah, I'd be interested in that, although I'm not necessarily in agreement that it's lack of desire on their part. It's more a matter of priorities; what degree of success they can get. Some of it has probably to do with the consumer laws themselves and the lack of teeth in the consumer laws, and so maybe that should be approached from a legislative angle, and perhaps—I don't like to use the word "stripping," but—separating some of these responsibilities to someone who can or to another agency that can handle it is a good idea, not because the Attorney General is not capable or doesn't have the desire, it's just, well, maybe they're incapable due to the lack of manpower, due to lack of time, as you say, and budgetary restraints.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Or priorities. It's not a priority.

MR. LeBLANC: Yeah, priority. Because they're handling, as in any agency, including Legal Services, they prioritize everything. They handle what they consider the emergency situations first, and oftentimes situations involving auto repairs or any

kind of repair is not considered an emergency or a priority item. But in my particular position, I'm in the front line of defense, and you're right. These people are dying out there and a lot of times I'm frustrated. There's absolutely nothing I can do. I am a mediator, primarily. I have absolutely no force of law behind me. I can refer cases to the Attorney General's Office, but again, as you said before, unless it's a case that is a priority case or something that is so egregious that it's in the public interest to prosecute or take some action, oftentimes nothing is done other than the mediation, and as you know, if both parties aren't willing to mediate, then that's unsuccessful and the consumer is left with no recourse.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: See, that's why I was looking at a new twist to put some criminal sanctions to get their attention, to let them know that we mean business.

MR. LeBLANC: Right.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: And I feel rapping them on the knuckles sometimes doesn't really get their attention. If you hit somebody in the pocketbook, you've got them.

MR. LeBLANC: Right. I have absolutely no disagreement at all with making it a criminal

offense, a summary offense. I welcome that portion of it. Again, perhaps with some wording that whoever the judicial person making the decision has the right to order restitution to the consumer, as well as the punitive fine involved. So I have absolutely no problem with that or putting any teeth into any consumer law. I'm behind that 100 percent.

'23

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Let me make an offer to the three of you here that if there are suggestions that you would like to make, and you certainly have this morning, and put them in writing and send them to us, we would certainly consider those. Anything to better the bill. It's not that the bill is perfect. I don't think we've ever written perfect law, but if you have some suggestions and some comments that you would like to make in addressing the legislation, I certainly would be amenable to that.

Questions from the members?
Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: (Of Mr. LcBlanc)

Q. Mr. LeBlanc, I think you may have answered one of my earlier questions and so I just want to ask it to make sure I understand. Earlier I had

asked, what is missing from the consumer protection —
I mean, I was looking at it saying, what is different?
Why do we need this if we have this Consumer Protection
Law that you were referring to? What is missing? Am I
correct now in understanding that what you think is
missing is enforcement teeth, whether it's a penalty
phase or a method of recovery and making whole of the
consumer, not necessarily what the law in and of itself
provides from the one end but once you get hurt, what
it provides at the other end?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would agree with that. Λ. Yes. think the biggest inadequacy in consumer law is the lack of teeth in the law. It doesn't spell out what, it says it's unlawful or shall be unfair or deceitful to engage in the following activities, but then it doesn't go on to say what happens if you do engage in those activities, and too often it's left to the consumer to pursue a civil action. And I spend a deal of my time guiding consumers when I can't successfully mediate through that procedure of how to, and of course, they normally can't afford representation, Legal Services, again, because they do prioritize, and I understand that, won't represent or can't represent them and they don't want to spend the legal fees to recover \$150. So oftentimes I will guide them through

the process, and in fact even testify on their behalf when appropriate. So I think you're right that the biggest difference is that this does provide with some kind of offense, it does spell out that there's a thousand dollar fine and it's a summary offense upon conviction.

I would go on to say second and subsequents become misdemeanors. Put some real teeth in it. Although \$1,000 is a pretty good fine, but too often some of these people write the cost of fines right into the cost of doing business. Put in a second and subsequent so that they can't just say, oh, you know, it's a \$1,000 fine, we can rip enough people off to cover that if we get caught once. So that's the biggest difference.

Q. I guess maybe just not by way of question but by way of as you're thinking of and taking up the chairman's offer, which I'm sure you will, of making suggestions, I mean, I'm just wondering, and I'm sure there are other States, for some reason New Jersey strikes me as one that may even have such a model, but, I mean, maybe what we need to do along the lines of what the chairman was saying is have a consumer protection board or someplace that you can get a mediation of a singular dispute.

When I think of the problems that constituents have brought to me with regard to estimates and the feeling that they were taken advantage of or cheated or whatever, you're correct is that sometimes it's a big problem for that consumer who was a senior citizen on fixed income and \$1,500 for a roof may be a lot to him, but it's not a high priority given the priority of consumer complaints across the Commonwealth for the Attorney General to take up and make a top priority. And maybe we need to look at not only the teeth from if you violate as a repair person but the remedy that might make restitution faster for the consumer and build that into what we currently have.

My biggest concerns when I first read this was thinking of my practices with repair shops and not wanting to have to go back in and sign forms and knowing that oftentimes until you tear it apart, you don't really know what's wrong with it, and from the testimony I've heard so far, that isn't the bigger problem. The bigger problem is the teeth to what we already have. And so if in sharing your comments with us if you can think of what kind of teeth you think would help the consumers along those lines, I think that would be very helpful.

A. The only thing I would like to add too is the day after I was contacted by the committee I received a questionnaire. It seems the National Association of Attorneys General has formed a task force on auto repair, and they've asked me to fill out a questionnaire and answer a number of questions involving auto repair, so evidently that seems to be the topic, that issue, right now. It's of interest to many people. I'm glad to see that, and I, of course, will respond to that, but it is being looked into. In fact, this came from the State of New Jersey. I thought of that when you mentioned New Jersey. The Department of Law and Public Safety sent out this memorandum.

MR. AMEND: Mr. Chairman, may I add something to this, too? Two cases come to mind when we're talking about the Attorney General's Office of Consumer Protection. The cases dealt with more what I would term class action. He attacked the Outdoor World, which has gained media attention, and Rent America, which are charging interest rates atmospheric. In those cases they were successful in attacking because they were representing a large number of people, and we're talking today about individuals.

One of the biggest surprises, to change

the subject, one of the biggest surprises that most people do not know that the Better Business Bureau provides arbitration services. There's no charge for the consumer for this type of service if you're unable to mediate the problem and if both parties are willing to go into arbitration, binding arbitration on both parts, then we'll handle that, too.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: In that case, the service of the Better Business Bureau, you need both parties to agree.

MR. AMEND: Agreed.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: So if you as a consumer are involved with a business person who is less than willing to try to accommodate you, you'd have a problem.

MR. AMEND: The step would be first that the consumer would try to resolve the problem with the business. That failing, they would send us a written complaint, which in turn is handled by the BBB with the business. And if we're unable to get conciliation through that mediation, then if both parties are willing to go into arbitration, we will provide trained, certified arbitrators to hear the case and render a decision.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:charmone} \mbox{CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE:} \quad \mbox{Are there any} \\ \mbox{other questions?}$ 

Representative Masland.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND; Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I just briefly would like to thank Ric for attending. It's nice to see you on this side of the river, and I know that you would also be happy to extend your expertise and experience with Representative DeLuca's bill on the used auto Lemon Law, which I imagine is in the Consumer Affairs Committee. So maybe you want to look him up while you're over here. But I thank you for your input and I look forward to seeing your comments.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I also want to echo my thanks to all of you for participating here because I think this is an issue that really has to be addressed, and let me mention again, whatever amendments that you think may be necessary or that you think should be incorporated we certainly will consider them and if you could get them to us we'll have them drafted and get ready to deal with this piece of legislation in the fall when we come back.

And with that, I'll adjourn, unless you have anything else you would like to add.

1	MR. HARBOLD: I would just like to thank
2	you for the opportunity to give testimony on this.
3	CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. Thank
4	you very much.
5	We will now stand adjourned.
6	(Whereupon, the proceedings were
7	concluded at 11:06 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me during the hearing of the within cause, and that this is a true and correct transcript of the same. ANN-MARIE P. SWEENEY THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION DOES NOT APPLY TO ANY REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR SUPERVISION OF THE CERTIFYING REPORTER. Ann-Marie P. Sweeney 3606 Horsham Drive Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 717-732-5316