#### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

House Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Crime and Corrections

House Bill No. 2066

Pages 1 through 9

Room 39 East Wing

Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Wednesday, October 11, 1995

Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:05 a.m.

#### APPEARANCES:

REPRESENTATIVE JERRY BIRMELIN
REPRESENTATIVE BRETT FEESE
REPRESENTATIVE STEPHEN R. MAITLAND
REPRESENTATIVE FRANK DERMODY
REPRESENTATIVE AL MASLAND
REPRESENTATIVE LISA BOSCOLA
REPRESENTATIVE KATHY MANDERINO
BRIAN PRESKI, Counsel to Committee

#### ALSO PRESENT:

REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS CALTAGIRONE, Minority Chairman, House Judiciary Committee

## Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 76t-7150

1995-097

1-800-334-1063



```
CONTENTS

WITNESSES

(None.)
```

## EXHIBITS

# <u>NUMBER</u>

(None.)

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying reporter.

#### PROCEEDINGS

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: The House Judiciary Subcommittee on Crimes and Corrections is going to be meeting here this morning briefly; we won't be here long, I can assure you.

We really have to have two meetings, and that is because of the bills we have. One is a special session bill, and the other is a regular session bill.

So if it is all right with the Committee members, we will use the roll call for both of those Committee meetings, and I have asked Brian Preski, Chief Counsel, to call the roll for the members.

MR. PRESKI: Birmelin?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Here.

MR. PRESKI: Feese?

REPRESENTATIVE FEESE: Here.

MR. PRESKI: Maitland?

REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: Here.

MR. PRESKI: Masland?

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Here.

MR. PRESKI: Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Here.

MR. PRESKI: Dermody?

REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: Here.

MR. PRESKI: And Manderino.

3 4 5

 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: The Subcommittee members are entitled to vote on the bills that we will be voting on today. The regular House Judiciary Committee members, although they are certainly welcome to be a part of the meeting and we encourage them to attend, when it comes to an official vote are not entitled to a vote.

So I guess, Lisa, you are a member of the Subcommittee, so you are, and Frank, you are not. However, we certainly are graced by your presence and appreciate your wisdom and in every other respect you are a member in good standing with us here this morning.

So let's call the regular session first to consider passing on to the full Committee a bill that we had a public hearing on not too long ago, and I just introduced it on the House floor and got a Bill number for it, although we don't have copies of it for you this morning.

(Pause.)

Oh, I am sorry. I apologize; we do have -- would you pass one of those over to Lisa?

Brett, would you give one of those to Al, please?

House Bill 2066 deals with the issue of giving

concurrent authority to federal prisons to enforce State

law. If you recall -- those of you who were here at the

public hearing a few weeks ago -- we had several people in from the federal prison system, and there was a good deal of discussion about that and there were some questions raised that they responded to in writing, and particularly the Deputy Regional Counsel, Henry Sadowski.

He put them in writing in a letter addressed to Brian Preski, our Chief Counsel, dated September 14, 1995. And when I sent notice to you that we were meeting today, I sent a copy of this letter to you, so thereby, Mr. Dermody, you are probably the only one who did not get a copy of that. However, if you would like a copy of that, please let me know.

The questions that were raised in the hearing were pretty much answered in this letter and the only response that they felt needed changing in the legislation was made in House Bill 2066, and that was dealing with the possible future site of the prison in the Scranton area.

Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Would you pass that down to him, Brett?

Mr. Chairman, we are discussing the bill that deals with the concurrent legislation for federal

prisons in Pennsylvania.

CHAIRMAN CALTIGIRONE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: The bill number is 2066; did we send a copy of that down to him?

REPRESENTATIVE FEESE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Okay. I was just summarizing the results of our public hearing that we had, and I think you were in attendance at that.

And the one suggestion that the federal prisons made is that we include some language in the bill that dealt with the situation in the Scranton area.

And since the bill had not been written when we had the public hearing, I made sure that it was included in it when we wrote it, and I think it is page 2, lines 14 through 17 that deal with future lands that would give them concurrent jurisdiction.

If you recall, Representative Manderino raised some questions dealing with civil jurisdiction and some other matters, and I felt that he did a rather good job of answering that in the letter that I had sent to members of the Subcommittee dated September 14th from Mr. Sadowski.

And Mr. Chairman, I don't know if you have got a copy of that letter or not.

CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes.

 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Did you? Okay, good.

Well, as that stands now, that bill has been introduced and I have been asked by the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, Representative Piccola, for the Subcommittee to take a vote and to recommend the full Committee adopt the legislation.

On that issue, I will entertain a motion to do so.

REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: I move the bill, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE FEESE: Second.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Moved by Mr. Maitland, seconded by Mr. Feese. Any questions or comments on the legislation?

Representative Masland?

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: This is really minor, but I just question whether on line 15, whether it makes sense to say "over all future lands" and then on line 17 say "September 12, 1995."

We are going to be passing a bill saying "future lands" that refers to a date in the past. I think we could simply say "over all lands, waters, and buildings acquired" after that date, so that would be my suggestion that -- and I know that we don't do real amendments here, that that would be at the Committee

1 2

 level, but we could consider taking "future" out. That's my only comment.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Point well made; any other comments?

Mr. Feese?

REPRESENTATIVE FEESE: Just a question, Mr.

Chairman; on the -- what was essentially a rough draft
that we had at the Committee hearing, listed in that
rough draft was a metropolitan detention center in

Philadelphia, which isn't, at least as I read it, listed
in House Bill 2066.

MR. PRESKI: That would be a land acquired after September 12th.

REPRESENTATIVE FEESE: Thank you. That is the only question I have.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Hearing no other questions, I will entertain a vote on this bill that it be recommended to the full House Judiciary Committee for their endorsement.

Are there any negative votes?
(No response.)

Hearing none, the Secretary will cast a unanimous vote that House Bill 2066, Printer's Number 2570, will be recommended to the full House Judiciary Committee.

Any further business in the regular session of

this Subcommittee before we go on to the special session?

That meeting is adjourned.

(Whereupon, at 9:11 a.m., the meeting was adjourned.)

### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

By: 1021 (Muo () 40

Leigh Anne Feeney