```
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
1
                COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2
3
                       House Bill 1897
 4
 5
 6
 7
           House Judiciary Subcommittee on Courts
 8
 9
                  Fifteenth Police District
                           Day Room
10
                Harbison and Levick Streets
                  Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
11
12
          Wednesday, December 3, 1997 - 10:13 a.m.
13
14
15
                             --000--
16
17
    BEFORE:
18
    Honorable Daniel Clark, Majority Chairperson
19
    Honorable Lita Cohen
    Honorable Jerry Schuler
20
    IN ATTENDANCE:
21
    Honorable Chris Wogan
22
    Honorable Thomas Caltagirone
    Honorable Kathy Manderino
    Honorable John Taylor
23
    Honorable John Perzel
    Honorable George Kenney
    Honorable Babette Josephs
25
                      KEY REPORTERS
          1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 1740 (717) 764-7801 Fax (717) 764-6367
                                             17404
```

CONTENTS

2		
3	WITNESSES	PAGE
4		
5	Honorable George T. Kenney, Jr., 170th Legislative District	5
6	Honorable Seamus McCaffery, Judge	8
7	Municipal Court, First Judicial District	
8	Richard A. Zappile Deputy Commissioner, Operations	3 9
9	Philadelphia Police Department	
10	City of Philadelphia Timothy O'Brien, Bail Commissioner	5 6
11	Vincent Fenerty, Republican Ward Leader	6 7
12	Mary Jane Hazel, President Somerton Civic Association	77
13	Kay McGuire, President	8 1
14	Philadelphians Against Graffiti Community Group	
15	Glen McDevitt, President	8 4
16	United Northeast Neighborhood	
17	City of Philadelphia Honorable James Kenney	91
18	At-Large City Councilman Rick Mariano, District Councilman	100
19	American Civil Liberties Union of PA	4.0.5
20	Larry Frankel Dominick Freda	105 107
21		
22	(Written testimony submitted on	•
23	behalf of the Philadelphia District Attorne Office by Lynne Abraham.)	ey's
2 4	1	

2 5

1

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I am State
Representative Dan Clark, and I'd like to
welcome all of you to the Judiciary Committee
Subcommittee on Courts' hearing on House Bill
1897.

I'm the Chairman of the Judiciary

Committee Subcommittee on Courts, and I want to welcome you to the 15th Police District Day

Room where we're going to receive testimony on House Bill 1897 that's been introduced by Representative George Kenney of the 170th Legislative District.

I think before we take Mr. Kenney's testimony I would like all the other members of this Committee and other members to introduce themselves. And we'll start up here at the back to my left.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: I'm

Representative John Taylor from Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE PERZEL: I'm

Representative John Perzel. This is the 172nd

Legislative District, and the Committee was

kind enough to invite me here this morning.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Good morning. Kathy Manderino. I'm also from

Philadelphia County.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Lita Cohen, Montgomery County adjacent to Philadelphia.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Tom Caltagirone, Berks County.

MR. PRESKI: Brian Preski, Chief Counsel to the Committee.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: And I believe also testifying with Representative Kenney is the Honorable Seamus McCaffery. He's Judge, Municipal Court of the First Judicial District. Good morning. Representative Kenney.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank

you and Chairman Caltagirone for coming to

Northeast Philadelphia. As the majority leader

said, it's in Representative Perzel's district.

House Bill 1897 is part of a effort to move forward what I like to term the "safe neighborhoods, safe city strategy" in Philadelphia. And it's about building a partnership between neighborhoods, police, and the court system in really fighting crime throughout Philadelphia.

Most communities, the buzz word is

"zero tolerance." They don't want to put up with any type of crime. We read in our newspapers about the big crimes, but it's truly the minor crimes that are driving Philadelphians from their neighborhoods and moving them out.

We've all heard of the broken windows theory where Wilson and Kelling (phonetic) talk about a theory that says if you don't address the minor problems in a neighborhood, that neighborhood truly believes no one cares.

And when you don't care, they look for places to live, work, go to school where they believe government is responsive, the police are responsive, the court system is responsive.

And that's the strategy with House
Bill 1897 is to give the court system another
tool to fight crime in our neighborhoods and,
specifically, minor crimes -- summary offenses,
fighting the vandalism in our playgrounds,
public drunkenness, kids loitering -- those
minor summary offenses.

And long before Eagles Court, I guess, which is getting national prominence, Judge McCaffery and a couple judges in the municipal

court have been out on their own volunteering in neighborhoods hearing summary cases, you know, to address problems in every neighborhood in this city.

2.5

The problem is Judge McCaffery and, I believe, Judge Schwartz (phonetic) and Judge Means can't do it alone. And earlier this year, you know, I met with Judge McCaffery. In January, I believe, we started working on this legislation.

This was truly his idea of saying, you know, Representative, can we work with the Legislature to gives us more tools to go out into our neighborhoods to attack these minor crimes?

And as one woman in Bustleton put it at a town meeting, when she saw Eagles Court, she said, you know, somebody breaks a leg at an Eagles game, all hell breaks loose; everybody reacts to it. But she says, That goes on every day in every neighborhood throughout Philadelphia.

These minor crimes of drunkenness, loitering, vandalism go on every weekend. And just to repeat, Judge McCaffery came up with

this idea where it allows the municipal court to contract with professionals -- lawyers and I guess those that have passed the minor judiciary course -- to sit in in police districts such as this when the police say -- when neighborhoods say, No. 1, we have a problem, the police go out an identify and attack the problem, and that there is swift and instant justice sitting here waiting for the perpetrator, that person causing the nuisance in the community, that everything is collaborated and worked together and really built on that partnership.

And this really allows the municipal court to contract with these lawyers and place them in police districts throughout the city. The City is over 1 million people over, I believe, 25 police districts and three judges volunteering their time. And I don't know if there may be more; but that's just not going to do the job.

And this is a tool, House Bill 1897, which was brought to me by Judge McCaffery.

And that's why I wanted him with me today to really explain.

Because he's on the front line. He sees what's going on. Here's a gentleman that not only lives in our neighborhoods, he lives in the Bustleton section of Northeast Philadelphia, was on the police force for twenty years, and now is a sitting municipal court judge.

And I want to welcome him and thank
him for his leadership on this issue. And he
can further explain just how he sees this bill
working on behalf of neighbors and
neighborhoods throughout Philadelphia.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Okay. I thank you, Representative Kenney. Judge McCaffery.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Good morning. I'd like to start off by giving praise where praise is due. The gentleman sitting to my left, State Representative George Kenney, was unbelievable in helping to put a thought, an idea, on the table and bring it this far.

I'd like to personally thank Speaker of the House John Perzel, even though we never really met personally, for having this meeting here today. This is an idea I came up with through our night court activities.

And quite honestly, we felt that this is something that we really could use to work, as George mentioned, to help have a positive impact on quality-of-life crime here in Philadelphia County.

Just let me give you a brief
history -- nothing ever comes out of my mouth
as brief -- but anyway, a quasi-brief history
of what's go on with the program.

Going on almost two years ago, I was approached by George Kenney's illegitimate cousin, Jim Kenney, from South Philadelphia to start a quality-of-life court down in South Philly. That particular court went over so well.

It was something that was never, ever done in Philadelphia County; and it basically set up a situation where a judge like myself at the time in robes with a full staff set court up at 11th and Wood. As you know, most of the districts in Philadelphia, police districts, have courtrooms just as you see here today.

As a result of that particular evening -- and it was, I believe, in the April-May time frame of 1996 -- we had over 50

arrests for the type of quality-of-life crimes, the nuisance crimes that really hurt our neighborhoods.

And they are underage drinking, disorderly crowds on street corners, public urination, vandalism, graffiti. The type of minor summary crimes that most of us -- I should say most police officers don't really have the time or the desire to enforce because they're trying to enforce the more serious crimes.

Let me just tell you something that we have found throughout the last, again, eighteen months. Perception of crime is a reality.

That's a fact. I can assure you that some neighborhoods in Philadelphia are crime ridden; most neighborhoods in Philadelphia are not.

But if you live in the neighborhood that you feel is crime ridden, it becomes a reality. And the people that can afford to leave Philadelphia are moving out. The people that can't afford to leave Philadelphia have become hostages.

And I'll tell you why. In some of our neighborhoods, some of our senior citizens and

some of our people that just don't have the wherewithal to pick up and move; they're afraid to come out at night. And that's the reality.

And with that fear, they don't shop in the neighborhood, they're afraid to go around the neighborhoods, stores close. Next thing you know, they're all boarded up.

We have found through our night court program that giving someone that perception that there's a judge sitting in their neighborhood at 1, 2, 3, 4:00 in the morning is an absolutely wonderful tool.

I tell everybody the same thing:

Seamus McCaffery is not the cure, nor for that matter are the other volunteer judges. We're not the cure to the problem, but we are some people that feel that we need to give back to the community.

And that's why this program has grown from not just myself to Judge Rayford Means in the Court of Common Pleas, we now have fifteen judicial volunteers.

For the last two years to give you an idea, here in Philadelphia County we have what is known as mischief night. Our judicial

volunteers were able to cover every single neighborhood in Philadelphia from the far northwest, northeast, southwest, west, all the way down the river wards.

We had judges in every single
neighborhood that particular night, and it
worked absolutely fabulous. This is the kind
of quality-of-life giveback, if you will, that
the members of our judiciary both in Municipal
Court and the Court of Common Pleas have
brought together to go back into these
neighborhoods and help.

Now, what does the program do? Our summary citation program here in Philadelphia County has collapsed. We're meeting, as a matter of fact, the next two days to deal with and address a lot of the summary issues here in Philadelphia.

For those of you who don't know, if an individual is arrested for underage drinking or disorderly conduct, he's brought in to a police district just like this. The individual is given a summary citation, a scheduled date is given for a hearing down at the Criminal Justice Center, and the individual is released.

And I say released -- released without a photograph, without a fingerprint. And we have individuals that show up in police custody that are so good at playing the system that they use bogus names every time they're arrested.

We now have, for example, prostitute problems here in Kensington and the Frankford area where women are coming in from as far away as Oklahoma.

Right where you're sitting I've sat in Friday night courts at 2 and 3:00 in the morning and had these women brought in front of me with no identification. We had no idea who they were. And it turns out that luckily we had the ability to have them photographed and fingerprinted.

And we were finding some of them have upwards of twenty to twenty-five outstanding bench warrants under different names. So the problem that we ran into with the summary program was that these individuals were arrested, they knew how the system worked, they were released, never showed up in court, and were averaging upwards of 35,000 summary bench

warrants a year.

35,000 summary bench warrants. That's outrageous. Every six months the District Attorney's office comes into our court and they nolle pros upwards of 5,000 of these bench warrants that are over two years old. That's an average of \$98.50 just for standard court fines that we're losing every six months.

And last but not least -- I should actually say most importantly is those police officers that make the arrest are required to go downtown to the Justice Center. And if their day off or 4 to 12 or midnight to 8, it's costing the citizens of Philadelphia overtime.

And if they're scheduled for day work and they're sent downtown, we're losing their manpower in the districts. And this is what's hurting them. Our night court program and our ability to be here in the neighborhoods saves us tax dollars; it saves us overtime costs; and more importantly, it saves us loss of manpower in the actual neighborhood.

The judges that have been doing this are not paid. None of us have ever been paid.

As a matter of fact, last week as you know with

the Vet Court thing, we're there on a Sunday.

I was back here at Harbison Levick that Friday

night and here till after 1:00 in the morning

and I was back in Vet Court again.

No one's paid. We do it as

volunteers. It's our way of giving back to our
neighborhoods. But as you can see, it's a

win/win situation for the police, for the

community, and it sends a significant message
to those folks that are out there disrupting
the quality of life in our neighborhoods.

Every neighborhood is different. What we have in Northeast Philadelphia isn't the same as Roxborough, isn't the same as in Overbrook, isn't the same, say, in South Philadelphia. We have different problems in different neighborhoods.

But the nice thing about the program and what we're doing is through these quality of life -- enforcement of these quality-of-life crimes, it sends a big message to those folks that feel that they're disenfranchised, that feel that the political machine and the courts don't listen to them.

Well, we're listening to them and it's

doing wonders. Now let me tell you the bad side. As the program has grown, everybody wants us. I have but so much hair left to lose, guys, you know what I mean?

I'm a little hoarse right now because we're out there so much trying to do as much as we can, but we still have a full case load.

And in my courtroom, Room 603, I average 150 criminal matters a week. That's criminal matters.

On Friday afternoon after finishing a load -- just to give you an idea -- last Friday night, 39 cases on my list; and I went right back into night court and stayed here till 1:00 in the morning. So it's wear and tear, if you will, on some of the judges and the staff.

Our current administration under Mayor Ed Rendell has just given the program \$125,000 for some computers and also to start paying the court volunteers. No -- none of those monies will go to any judge.

Any judge that's working on this program both in the CP and the MC are not taking any money. We don't want it. It's our way of, like I said before, of giving back.

But the problem is this: As the program has grown, the number of volunteer court personnel has fallen off. And why? You can't ask a man or a woman to leave their families every weekend and come out after working a full week and come out and sit in the neighborhoods. So it's our way of giving them some money back.

But more importantly, one of the things that we have found in the night court program is 85 percent of those individuals that come into the court plead guilty. They plead guilty. They're given their rights. They're told that if they want an attorney we'll schedule it, we'll set bail, we'll take you down to the Justice Center.

But 99 percent of them want to have the trial right there. It's that immediacy of having the thing brought to a judge directly in a courtroom. But we're looking at 85 percent plead guilty.

Now, the idea came up -- and, again, you know, George Kenney and myself sat and talked about this. Well, if we're getting 85 percent guilty pleas, why do we need a judge?

Why can't we do what the civil side has; and that is, create a judge pro tempore program.

Why not have lawyers that can come in here and take quilty pleas?

85 percent of them, as I said, will be guilty pleas. The fines can be given out; the program can be self-sustaining; the monies brought in could pay for the attorneys; and last but not least, it would afford us the opportunity to have lawyers in a wide variety of neighborhoods on numerous nights instead of one night here and one night there.

So with that idea in mind, I contacted State Representative Kenney; and that's how this all came about. I think it's a win/win situation for Philadelphia.

If we allow the administrative judge to have the appointments so that he or she can identify whatever lawyers that we feel we'll need in the neighborhoods, let them go out; the monies that are brought in from the program will go to pay for these lawyers; and last but not least, any individual that wants a trial in front of commissioned jurist, the bail will be set and they'll schedule them right for the

Criminal Justice Center and we'll have our judges down there to handle them just like we normally do.

Saving on monies from failures to appear; saving on monies from overtime; and more importantly, savings on manhours from allowing the police to stay in their neighborhoods. So that's the history of the program and that's what this is all about today.

If you have questions, I'm here as long as you need me to be. And I thank you very much for your help and your time.

VOICE: Amen.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: We thank you.

What would these attorneys, would they need to be put under oath? Would they need to take some kind of oath or would they have to become jurists or how would that -- how would that work?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: As Representative

Kenney mentioned, (1), lawyer's a member of the

bar, most importantly; and (2), have them

attend the same kind of course, the minor

judiciary course, and get certified just the

way our bail commissioners do here in Philadelphia County.

So they do have that background; they are members of the bar; and again, for the most part, they're just taking a guilty plea. So the training is very limited, if any.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Is there anyone else that -- Representative Taylor.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Judge, can you give us an idea maybe to guide us as we continue to put this together, how many do you think we would need to make it comprehensive enough that it's an established system throughout the city?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Well, that's what we want. Everything, keep in mind, that I'm doing and all the volunteers are doing, we're not in writing anywhere. We're not really a part of the court. You know, this could stop tomorrow.

We want to establish a real in-place system so that the neighborhoods can know that, you know, they're there when they need them.

To answer your question, Representative Taylor, we're talking -- we don't know the numbers.

And I say that for a reason: Because different

neighborhoods have different problems.

For example, let's say you're in North Central or down in South Philadelphia. You may want a pro tempore judge there five nights a week, six nights a week. It's like anything else. Summer months, more activity; weekends, more activity.

Do we need a pro tempore judges in, say, Harbison and Levick in February on a Monday or Tuesday? No. It's not cost effective. We have found -- for example, I hold the record of 103 defendants in one night on South Street.

We actually had 103 defendants in one night, and we worked right around the clock till 6:00 in the morning. Other nights we have judges in Northwest up in Representative Dwight Evans' area he requested. We only had eight or nine people all night long. So you don't want to waste a pro tempore there.

So we couldn't tell you exactly how many; but we'd like to establish at least a number of, say, ten just to start out with.

And if it needs to grow, fine; if not, we can cut back on it. As far as we can see, you get

paid if you work.

It's not like you're going to be on staff. It's not like you're going to be getting money. If you don't work, you don't get paid. And we'd like to have a rolling list of lawyers that are qualified and certified to go out on a given night to be responsive to the community.

If Harbison and Levick needs it more often, they'll have that access. So we don't have a full number. But, again, this is something we're just doing. It's still in its infancy as far as what we're doing.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Judge

McCaffery alluded to what the civil division

does. And I had gotten elected right when they

started the day forward/day back in the civil

courts to dry to get rid of the backlog, and I

know they were using attorneys in some capacity

as judges.

Is that the model for this? And can you just give me a little bit more detail on

what the precedent that's already been established on the civil side?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: The Court of Common Pleas in the civil division, they have created a -- it's been in place for a while now -- the judge pro tempore program wherein established lawyers, you know, for example, George Ladden (phonetic), you know, 25 years' practice in law, people like that who have a wide variety of experience act as judge pro tempore in more or less mediation issues where they will take cases off of the docket the active day -- the day backward or day forward docket and they'll mediate and settle those cases.

So they act as judges, but they're really not judges. It's just an experienced lawyer who is certified by the Court of Common Pleas to act in a pro tempore capacity.

They're paid for their services and they settle cases civilly.

In this type of a situation they will be, again, lawyers who are certified who are going to be here to take guilty pleas only.

And basically any order that's imposed by the pro tempore would then be sanctioned by the

commissioned jurist the following day because any court order, as you know, has to be sanctioned and ordered by a judge as opposed to a pro tempore.

So you would have an overseeing judge, whoever the court would appoint, to make sure that whatever orders were entered into between parties or, in fact, for the defendants -- paying the fines for example -- that would be overseen and certified by the commissioned jurist.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Representative Cohen.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Judge McCaffery.

Just two questions: Do municipal court judges have to be attorneys?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Absolutely. We're probably the most -- how would you say? -- bashed group of jurists in the entire Commonwealth. Just the other day there was a newspaper article that described me as the City's version of a district justice who just handles summary nonjury matters.

We are lawyers. We are elected. The

difference is ten years for Court of Common Pleas, six years for Municipal Court. The pay difference is minimal; but we do -- as a matter of fact, a lot of our judges are certified to act in CP. And we're just the same as Common Pleas judges except we don't handle juries.

We have limited jurisdiction up to M1's, but we do have criminal trials. There's only 25 of us in the entire Commonwealth, and not too many people know about us. A lot of people mistake us for district justices or -- in New Jersey they have municipal court judges; but they're nonlawyers and they're basically traffic court folks.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you. My second question is, if we could talk about dollars for a moment, The way I read the bill, it looks to me as if it is the responsibility -- the cost for this program would lie with the Municipal Court.

My concern as a state legislator, obviously, is with the mandated court unification, will the burden shift to the state?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Um, I really haven't

even thought about that, quite honestly. To us it was more along the lines of if you're here and you work and the monies -- the fines, in fact, would pay for -- we're not talking about a lot of money. Maybe 200, \$250 for a night's work for an attorney, which is a respectable sum for a lawyer. But that's easily recovered.

To give you an idea, on one night alone, I gave out over \$15,000 in fines. Now, obviously, that was the 103 defendant night. But we still have -- on any given night, enough fines are given and received by the court to pay for itself.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Where do the fines go now?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Right in the general fund.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: This legislation does not provide for that kind of direction of fines to go into this program.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: We would like to see something like that so that the night court monies, any monies recovered from the protempore program or for any of the night court judges be set up in a separate account so it

could be used --

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: So you feel it could be self-sustaining?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Absolutely. This is not something that we're trying to do above and beyond for our court.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you very much. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. At this time --

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Mr. Chairman, can I just address one of your questions?

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: All the -- in the legislation, the employment and removal of the judges, the establishment of standards of conduct, and the rights, responsibilities of all the pro tempore in their authority will be dictated by, the municipal court will decide what those standards are and who shall be appointed and who cannot and what their rights are.

And it also will set up proceedings for appealing the decisions of the pro tempore.

So that will all be done locally. Not to be facetious, but in some way you may have an attorney that volunteers his time.

I mean, we say that the court can set up in a contract with this pro tempore under an agreed-to compensation package. You may have an attorney in Somerton where I live that may want to volunteer his time to sit and do this type of court. So there's not necessarily a cost involved in contracting out with this protempore.

And that's -- so I would -- hopefully, it'd be great if we had a lot of volunteers throughout the city that met the standards of conduct that we're looking for. And so, I mean, there may not be that cost.

But I think importantly, as the Judge says, it keeps police officers in their neighborhoods doing the job they're supposed to do. And that's the job they want to do.

And talk to police officers, they want to go out there and do their job. And there's some instant gratification when they see some reward -- I wouldn't call it a reward -- but some sense of purpose when they bring kids or,

you know, somebody into this police station, there's a judge, whether you call them a municipal court judge or a judge pro tempore, sitting here to serve justice.

And that's what our neighborhoods want, that's what they're asking for, and that's what this legislation tries to do.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. The Committee would like to welcome Committee members Representative Schuler from Lancaster County and Representative Babette Josephs.

Ms. Josephs, you have a question?

MS. JOSEPHS: Yes, thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I would imagine -- and I guess
either the Judge or Representative Kenney might
answer this.

I would imagine that a lot of people who come into court with these guilty pleas have other kinds of problems: Substance abuse or mental illness or something.

I mean, if that is true -- and I think that it must be -- is there any way that folks who come before either you as you're sitting now or if we set up this program can get referred to services that would keep them out

of this repetition of these kinds of behaviors which perhaps could be prevented in the future?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Very interesting question and very good question. That issue is separate and apart from what we're looking at with the pro tempore, okay. As I mentioned a little earlier, every neighborhood, Representative Josephs, is different.

In the northeast, for example, the substance abuse issues -- Friday night, for example, 26 arrests. All 26 were juveniles for underage drinking. South Philadelphia may be a different story, West Philadelphia, North Philadelphia. Every neighborhood is different.

What we're trying to do here is basically handle the nuisance crimes that are going on in the community. But where those individuals are arrested, for example, with outstanding warrants, they will be, in fact, transported downtown and processed in the normal way, the way right now.

If somebody's taken into custody for a summary and if they have outstanding bench warrants for misdemeanors or above, they're just taken and put back into the system.

As far as what you're talking about, that is a whole other issue or situation I've gotten myself into. This is what happens when you decide to take on the world.

2 4

We're now doing a prostitution court.

I went to law school all those years. Now I'm the graffiti judge; I'm the prostitute judge;

I'm the night court judge. You know, like I said, what hair I have left is probably going to go fast.

But we're looking now'to address those underlying problems, for example, with the obstruction of the highway cases and the prostitutes. We are trying to meet now with the Public Defenders Association so that any of the women that are picked up that do have the underlying drug problems will be brought directly into my courtroom.

We're going to start court action
earlier in the mornings now starting in
January, and those individuals will be funneled
into programs where we'll have social services
people set up to handle it.

We find that there's a lot of people out there, especially in these in obstruction

cases and prostitution cases, it's all drugs.

It's all drugs. And coming in front of Seamus and Seamus hammering these folks is not going to help. We're looking to address those underlying social problems.

There is a meeting set up where we're looking -- I'm sure you're all familiar with New York's Community Court. That community court is a phenomenal idea.

Our center city district has been just given some money by the PEW Charitable Trust to look into the feasibility of creating a community court, but they're looking more towards downtown.

I'm on record and I'll tell you all right now I'm in support of a community court concept, but not downtown. I want it in the neighborhoods. I want it citywide so that we can have the judges that are going to address all of these underlying problems. We want a community court in Northeast and West and South.

I firmly believe that Philadelphia already has a community court. It's the Municipal Court. And we can -- we're already

set up for it. We're going to have three new judges coming on board, I believe, in January.

And with the social agencies that we have right now, with the proper funding -- and I think that the Mayor's right now looking to get us that funding -- we can handle all those things.

So we're looking at the community court. We're looking at all the underlying problems. It's going to be separate and apart from what we're doing here.

This -- what I would like to see happen is once this comes into play with the pro tempore judges, they can handle the nuisance night court, petty crimes; and then we can then go on to develop that community court concept and handle all the mid-level drug type crimes and the homeless type crimes with the social agencies, with the court so we can all work together just like the New York system but, again, citywide; not just in center city.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: I have to say, of course, defend my downtown. We do have neighborhoods downtown too. People live there, people are crime victims, and people perpetrate

crimes downtown on the folks who live there.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: I was a cop downtown for years. I know downtown very well.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: I know you do. I think that the kind of person I was asking about when I asked my question was perhaps the person who commits a nuisance crime who's a juvenile who had never been in trouble with the law before who really if we could get some help to that person would probably or may probably never be in trouble again but if we don't might embark on a repetitious career that is disastrous for everybody involved.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Well, let me say
this about the juvenile issue -- and since
everybody here is a state representative,
Representative Perzel, maybe something since
this is our city and you guys are now here,
this a very interesting problem we just found
out through our night court problem.

The Municipal Court handles summary citations; but the Municipal Court does not have the ability under the legislation to penalize, or I should say, give sanctions to juveniles.

In other words, all those 26 juvenile underage drinking cases that came in front of us the other night, 17 or below, we can't sanction. We can't place sanctions on. They have to be sent over to 1801 Vine to juvenile under the juvenile law.

As a matter of fact, I'm in the process right now -- I'm the new legislative chair for our court -- of drafting a letter which will be sent out to all of you to maybe see if there's some way that we can, in fact, take those juveniles for summary cases and be able to give the sanctions we feel necessary.

Because right now, Representative

Josephs, we don't have the authority to do

anything other than try a juvenile for a

summary. We can impose a fine; but if the

individual doesn't pay the fine, we have no

authority to do anything further than that.

They have to be referred to 1801.

It's one of those flaws in the law right now that Philadelphia Municipal Court because we are the only city of the first class, we have that problem.

But the juvenile unit under Judge Paul

Panepinto, they have the social agencies over there. And once we refer them to them, they do take the necessary steps to try to stop it.

And whatever social agencies they use, I'm not really sure. I'm not familiar with that.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. The Committee would like to welcome and recognize Councilwoman Joan Radjuski (phonetic), who's with us this morning. And our Chief Counsel Brian Preski has a question.

MR. PRESKI: One question, Your Honor.

And maybe the program's in its infancy; but

have you seen the places where you've been the

next time you've been there you don't see the

same prostitutes coming back, you don't see the

same juveniles congregating at the same

corners? I mean, what's been the effect of

this?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: We're being told -- and as a matter of fact, sitting in the audience right now are several community activists from the Northeast area. We have found that it's been a real positive impact on

the neighborhoods.

We're being told that throughout the various city neighborhoods where we've been some of the nuisance crime, nuisance corners, nuisance rec centers are actually approaching the police officers inquiring whether or not the night court judges are sitting. It really does have an impact.

And I'll tell you a real quick story about one of our neighbors, Juniata. There's a particular rec center down there where even during the blizzard of 1996 they were still in that rec center drinking beer.

But the one night -- the first night we set up night court, that entire rec center was quiet. And the people in Juniata Civic say that Judge Seamus McCaffery was more effective than the blizzard of '96. So it does really help the neighborhoods.

And when you read the neighborhood community papers, <u>The Fishtown</u>, <u>The Star</u>, the various papers out there, you see what impact it's having.

And let me tell you one other collateral benefit of the program, and for

those of you that are lawyers know this. When you make an arrest for a summary citation, okay, you're allowed to conduct what is known as a search incident to the arrest because in Philadelphia County they're taken directly into the police station.

In East Division last time down in Fishtown -- and you guys will like this one -- we had eight arrests at the bar of the court. Now, you would think that's insignificant, eight quality-of-life arrests; but guess what? We coordinated -- or they coordinated between uniform and narcotics cops they had sixty drug pinches that night. Sixty drug pinches.

So in those areas where we have drug infestation, the police officers are allowed or actually are working with the night courts, okay, to go out, make those quality-of-life obstruction of the highway or other public passageway arrests. And during the course of the arrests, they're finding the guns, they're finding the warrants are outstanding, and they're finding dope.

In one case at Front and Westmoreland,

a young man 17 years old with his mother by his side was told to empty his pockets on the bar of the court. He had \$212 in cash. This kid is a runaway. He was a drug runner. 212.

Empties his pockets onto the bar of the court.

And what falls out besides the cash? Dope.

So it's having a positive impact
because now the police are able to legally go
out and make the stops that they feel
necessary. So it's having a great impact,
again, depending on the neighborhood. It's
hard to say, but we're getting a lot of
positive feedback from all the communities
across the City.

MR. PRESKI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Any additional questions?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: We want to thank you, Judge McCaffery, very much for your testimony this morning and you too, Representative Kenney; and you're certainly welcome to join us on the panel as we continue to receive more testimonies.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: I'd like to

thank everybody here. And just let me give you a good aside about Philly. This is a great story about Veterans Court. We just had 25 arrests in the last two games. How many Philadelphians do you think were arrested in Veteran's Stadium? Give me a guess.

(No audible response.)

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: One. One
Philadelphian was arrested. You know, people
like to bash our city and people like to talk
about Philadelphia this and Philadelphia that;
but I can say we were pleasantly surprised that
of all the people that were arrested -Washington DC, Delaware, New Jersey, New York,
as far away as Pittsburgh -- only one
Philadelphian was arrested that whole time in
Veterans Stadium.

So it's not a bad place, folks. What we need to do is maybe just sell tickets only to Philadelphians. Let me just end with -- I think the Judge has said it all. This is about a partnership between neighborhoods, police, and the court system to make safe neighborhoods in a safe city. And that's what we're here to do.

And I want to again thank the Committee for coming to Representative Perzel's district, thank the captains of both the 2nd and 15th district for their hospitality today, and thank Judge McCaffery for his leadership on this issue.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: The next individual to testify is Richard A. Zappile. He's the Deputy Commissioner of Operations of Philadelphia Police Department. Good morning.

MR. ZAPPILE: Good morning. Welcome to Philadelphia, and you can see our palatial surroundings for you. Just this morning at 8:00 we had a ribbon cutting ceremony for opening of -- you can see the district is under rehabilitation.

We put a new handicap ramp out front and we're doing some work. And I'm sorry we couldn't have provided a better atmosphere for these hearings, but I hope everything goes well and I hope you enjoy your visit here.

I'm Richard Zappile, Z-A-P-P-I-L-E.

I'm the Deputy Police Commissioner. I'm here
to represent Commissioner Neal. I have
handouts of my testimony, if you wish. I have

thirty copies.

On behalf of Police Commissioner
Richard Neal and the Philadelphia Police
Department, I would like to welcome you to
Philadelphia and thank you for the opportunity
to address this meeting.

The Philadelphia Police Department strongly recommends the passage of House Bill 1897. It is our opinion that appointment of temporary judges to preside over criminal trial for summary offenses would be a step forward in the judicial law enforcement efforts towards enhancing the quality of life for the citizens of Philadelphia. We also urge appropriate funding from the State Legislature be given to support this initiative.

The quick judicial address to the many nuisance crimes that plaque our city would serve notice to any and all wrongdoers of our resolve not to tolerate such behavior.

Judge Seamus McCaffrey's efforts in establishing and presiding at night courts throughout the City and recently at Vets Stadium during Philadelphia Eagles home games are fine examples of how coordinated efforts

between the courts and the Police Department can make a difference.

In addition to eliminating backlogs of court hearings, House Bill 1897 would further empower our city in its efforts to better serve our communities.

The night court concept has worked to address quality-of-life issues in Philadelphia, and I urge appropriate funding for this initiative. The City cannot afford another unfunded mandate. And I will answer any questions.

If I can have an aside just to your question earlier about juveniles, in each police district there's a youth aide panel that's set up by district attorney's office and the police department to address those very issues about youths before they enter that system where they become -- they get the mentality of the criminal.

We try to intervene at an earlier age. So the youth aide panels in the districts do look at social alternatives. Some of them are working in the community. For instance, the graffiti, they'll have to wash graffiti off the

walls or they'll do cleanups in police districts or they'll work in specific targeted areas.

2 4

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Thank you,
Representative. Thank you for being here this
morning. As long as Judge McCaffery is still
here, when he was asked about funding for this
program and his response was that it would
really be self-sustaining, to be paid for by
the fines, what I'm concerned about is you're
asking for the State Legislature to fund this
program, which obviously for us is of some
concern that we're all looking for dollars
everywhere.

Would you be supportive of this

legislation if, indeed, we would make

provisions for it to be self-sustaining through

the fines? As Judge McCaffery told us, right

now the money from the fines goes directly into

the City's general fund.

If we would be pulling out of the general fund the money from the fines and using

it to sustain this program, would you have objections to that?

MR. ZAPPILE: Well, I wouldn't have objections; but I'd have to see -- I don't know if the amount of fines taken in would cover the court costs.

I mean, Judge McCaffery has very succinctly laid out the fact that all the people that work this from the court's end are not paid. They're volunteers. And there's only so much that you can do.

On our end -- and I'm not asking for funding on this end -- it costs us an enormous amount of resources to support the night court concept.

In other words, I'd have to have additional police officers here, I'd have to have people in the district station houses to not only make sure that the prisoners under the law are taken care of, there's adequate housing, that they have toilet facilities, things like that. So I have to supply additional resources.

I'm not implying that in this bill.
But in order to support his initiative, the

City cannot afford to supplement what they give now to the Municipal Court concept.

I mean, we're talking about if we hire -- my sense -- and, again, I'm looking strategically at this and how judge pro tempore work -- would it be one night a week? Would it be two nights? Would it be five nights? Would it be seven nights a week? And I'm not sure of how it's going to go.

My sense is that at one point in time the fines are not going to be able to sustain the personnel that's required to carry out a night court.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Do you have any idea of the total cost of this? And I understand because we don't know the number of personnel, et cetera. Do you have any dollar figure on this?

MR. ZAPPILE: No, because everything has been done on a volunteer basis. The Judge has been volunteer. But there's a sheriff's office has put people in there to help the Judge, his own personal staff, his tipstaffs. I have no idea as to the cost of what their average salaries are.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: Okay. Thank

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: What is the rate of fine collection?

MR. ZAPPILE: Municipal Court handles all the fine collections. The Judge or some of his people would have to answer. I don't even think the Judge would know.

We're trying -- and this is only allegorical information. At the Vet Stadium Court when we set it up last week, I was there with the Judge; and we were looking at trying to do it through a credit card system.

So I have no idea if we're collecting the funds -- my sense is if it's running, we're probably only collecting about 40 percent of the fines that were imposed. That's my sense.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: But if possible, you try to collect those fines right on the spot?

MR. ZAPPILE: Well, we don't -- that's an issue that the Judge would have to decide. For instance, if he came before me and found me guilty, it's \$300, most people don't have \$300 in their pocket. I don't know what

arrangements he makes. I think it's, like, within thirty days; but the Judge or his staff would be better able to answer that.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

And I also thought Representative Kenney was
going to arm twist lawyers to volunteer their
time for this.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: I have a few.

Commissioner, Judge McCaffery

mentioned that the DA throws out -- how many
thousands a month?

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Five thousand twice a year.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: What do we lose in City revenue? What's the cost --

MR. ZAPPILE: Again, you'd have to check with MC court, Municipal Court, or you'd have to check with the DA's office. We don't -- the police department does not keep statistics on the amount of fines and we don't actively pursue fines. That's an arm of the court that does that.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: But, I mean -- so, I mean, when you argue this -- first of all, this would be -- this

legislation allows the court to do this if it chooses to do it. It is by no means an unfunded mandate.

And when I hear that the DA throws out over 10,000 cases a year, that's money that belongs to the taxpayers. So I think there may be the problem and not whether we pass House Bill 1897 and allow Municipal Court to do this. So that would be my only comment on that.

MR. ZAPPILE: I think the DA's scheduled to testify or something like that.

REPRESENTATIVE COHEN: There is a DA.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I'm not sure they're going to come to testify; although, they do have a letter and remarks to submit in place of record. Questions? Representative Taylor.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Yes. Thank
you, Mr. Chairman. And Commissioner, part of
what I have is a comment. Maybe it'll turn
into a question. As Representative Kenney
said, this is merely a "may" provision, meaning
that we can't currently do what Judge McCaffery
and Representative Kenney and a lot of City
councilpeople want us to do.

This law allows us to do that. So we have a four-paragraph or a six-paragraph statement, and three times you mention appropriate funding. What does the Philadelphia police -- before you answer this, I just want to explain why I'm asking it.

Rhetorically, what does the Philadelphia Police Department have to do with funding Municipal Court, No. 1? And No. 2, in our deliberations across the city, we're hearing over and over and over that crime is strictly a budgetary issue. And maybe this is an inappropriate question for you. Maybe it's for the Mayor.

That the funding issue is not -- it's not going to matter if people are picking up and moving over and over. In my area, they're not even selling their house; they're just leaving their house.

And we have a system that was described to us this morning that would help the quality of life and keep people in Philadelphia, and yet even the police department worries about funding on an area that they don't have to fund. I mean, I don't

know what your response to that.

Last night, we were in East Oak Lane.

And in that kind of issue where we respond to quality of life crimes by worrying about the costs came up over and over and over again, and here this isn't even a police cost.

What if it did cost -- what would the police care if it did cost Municipal Court?

This seems like a statement from the Mayor's office rather than the police department.

MR. ZAPPILE: I can give you my opinion and the police department's opinion. I mean, we welcome the ability for the state or for Municipal Court to do that, but I think there's a lot of hidden costs both on the police department's side and on Municipal Court side. That's why we brought that issue up.

I mean, there's some questions there that you're right, you have to address the administration or the Mayor with that. We're looking at this strategically rather than a just as an up-front issue.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Well, are those costs currently being incurred under the volunteer system? You said where you had to

have additional --

MR. ZAPPILE: Additional police, sure. What we've done is we've paid additional overtime. It runs -- for instance, Vet Stadium, for me to do Vet Stadium runs about 3 to \$5,000 a weekend to do the Vet Stadium. So it's an additional cost. And now we've gotten some quality of life grants.

When we run it in the 15th district, there's overtime costs entailed. When we run the prostitution detail, when we start that, I'll have to keep officers overtime in order to bring the officers in front of Judge McCaffery.

I just can't say officers go home and we'll bring the prostitutes in. They have to stand them up in front of the Judge. So there are a lot of costs. It's like a Domino effect.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Any other?
Representative Josephs.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: I think this is perhaps directed to Representative Kenney, but a comment. I don't think it would be so hard to make a cost analysis of this. From what I've heard, I think it would prove your point.

But just to figure out how much money we lose in fines, how much money the volunteers would cost if they were not volunteers, just one perhaps night court over a short period of time I don't think it would be difficult to do.

I think it would be very instructive, I think it would prove your point, and I think it would keep us from going back and arguing over and over these points.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: And from our perspective, I mean, it has no cost to the state; and Municipal Court cannot do this presently. And what this would allow them to go out and contract with pro tempore, the City --

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: I understand.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: So, I mean,
they don't have to do anything. We could pass
this bill, give them the authority. And you
know what, that's the decision of the Municipal
Court system to say we're not interested in
moving forward.

But I have no problem, you know, working on some numbers per se; but I look at this as a very simple -- when neighborhoods

complain to their police district that there's a hot spot, there's kids vandalizing Forest Hill Train Station.

You call the captain. You tell him there's a problem on Friday and Saturday, that why they can't then say to the court system, We're going out this Friday or that Saturday to arrest these -- to see if they're out there and have someone sitting in the police district to address that problem immediately.

So, I mean, the perception -- as the Judge said, perception is reality. And as he said, kids are saying, Is the Judge sitting tonight? But this will give them a tool to have a judge sit every night if they want to. So this just builds on that partnership.

We can work on the costs, but I think that's a smoke screen. I don't think it's truly an issue that I think -- and what do you hear from police officers? They don't mind going out and getting people. It's when they pick 'em up nothing happens.

And this shows that there will be instant justice that if 85 percent are pleading guilty, you know, that little Johnny or little

Mary calling their mother from the 7th police district, I think mom or dad's going to get a little P.O.'d and going to put an end to it.

And the theory of this is that we have to stop these minor crimes because these minor crimes lead to big crimes. And if we don't demonstrate we care about neighborhoods and addressing minor crimes, then the senses of purity for any neighborhood in Philadelphia will not exist.

And that's all this legislation does.

This unfunded mandate, I don't know, that's

just a buzzword to, I don't know, slow somebody

down or get you off track. But this is a very

simple, common sense approach to protecting our

neighborhoods.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Judge McCaffery, you wanted to address this issue.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Just for clarification, this is nothing more or nothing less than enabling legislation. It will enable our court to take some action that we feel is necessary to help strengthen and expand on an already proven commodity; that is, a court that's helping out the neighborhoods.

You have to understand something. If we decide to go forward and the police department -- or I should say the Municipal Court, if the monies aren't there and we need additional funding, that's why we have a mayor.

If the Mayor wants to make this thing work -- and he just proved it by giving us \$125,000 to pay for some of our volunteers. If the Mayor really wants to make this concept work, through your legislation -- through your enabling legislation, we can make it work.

And then if we have it on board and it's allowed, then if the Mayor really wants to make it happen in the communities, then all he has to do is come up with some additional funding or some federal grant money to pay for any additional costs.

Like I mentioned earlier, when you have thousands of summary citations that nolle pros twice a year, we're losing money. And without the enforcement side of it and without the ability to go after people civilly as well as criminally, we're losing money.

So I just think that through the enabling legislation it will give us the power

we need to go forward. And then the Mayor, it'll be his court. He can say yes or he can say no. And if he wants to make it happen, he can fund it.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I guess, Judge, while you're here maybe I'll ask you the question that I asked the deputy police commissioner about collecting these fines.

Do you see a higher rate of collections when they're brought before you on Saturday night or something like that or how do you proceed then to collect that money? Or do they just sort of disappear into the woodwork --

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Keep in mind that when they do not come in front of a judge, when they have these bench warrants, these failures to appear, you collect nothing. It's gone. It just goes into this big, black hole and you never see it.

At least here now when the adjudication is made in front of the bar of the court a fine imposed. If the monies are not paid, the City then has already in place an apparatus to go after them civilly. They can

then go after their credit records. They can impose -- there's different ways to go after them.

By the way, we've collected, I

believe -- I really don't keep track of it

because one of the problems with the volunteer

court is I can't then say to my volunteers, Do

me a favor, go track all this data. But I

believe we've collected over \$44,000 in monies

from our night court program already.

Now, is that the total amount? No.

But what we're finding is as we go back into
the neighborhoods, for example, last week at
Harbison Levick, we brought in warrants for
those folks that didn't pay from the last time
out. So the police were able to go out and
pick them up and bring them back in.

So, you know, we don't have the warrant unit now in place to go out and collect it. But, again, if the mayor wants to make it work, you know, these are things that we can polish up and these are things that we can go and basically look at and say these are quality of life issues.

Everybody wants to make a positive

impact. Maybe we can get the federal funds.

They just came up with over \$4 million in

Federal grants. There's no reason why we can't

come up with some more money to go out and put

that enforcement and that collection.

And if the monies are collected, think of all the hundreds of thousands of dollars we're saving in tax dollars. And also, one thing you have to understand about the summary program and I think a lot of us are not looking at it right now, every time somebody under the current system is scheduled for trial, the police officer has to go down to court.

It's either costing the department overtime money or lost manpower. And if the bench warrant is issued and the person is rearrested a second time, those officers are once again subpoensed back to court.

So it's a revolving door where police officers are now every time somebody's locked up for a summary, they're released, a new date is scheduled, the officer is once again subpoenaed to come back down to the Justice Center. So how many thousands of dollars are you saving in your overtime or lost manpower?

1 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. 2 additional questions? 3 (No audible response.) CHAIRPERSON CLARK: We want to thank 5 you very much for your testimony and answering 6 the questions. 7 MR. ZAPPILE: Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: The next 9 individual to present testimony before the 10 Committee is Bail Commissioner Timothy O'Brien. 11 MR. O'BRIEN: Timothy O'Brien, O, 12 apostrophe, B-R-I-E-N, Bail Commissioner, 13 Philadelphia Municipal Court. I believe it's 14 Representative Clark? 15 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Yes, it is. 16 MR. O'BRIEN: Good morning, 17 Representative Clark. Thank you for giving me 18 the opportunity to address the panel and thanks 19 to the majority leader, John Perzel, for 20 inviting me here today. 21 I came to testify I guess from a 22 unique viewpoint. I come as a member of the 23 Minor Judiciary here in the State of

Pennsylvania, and I'm sitting minor judiciary

member in Philadelphia. I also come here as a

24

25

concerned citizen.

This is actually my neighborhood. I'm raising three children here; so I'm coming on a professional standpoint and I'm coming as a concerned father for what's happening to these neighborhoods and these communities where some day, God willing, I'm going to leave my children.

And I really don't know from what I'm seeing both in my job and when I'm off the job, I don't know what's going to be left, what kind of a life they're going to have.

I come to explain to you what I'm seeing day in and day out, and more likely night in and night out because the bail commissioners sit 24 hours a day, seven days a week as it comes to crime in general in the City of Philadelphia.

Neighborhood nuisances, neighborhood hooligans, the night court -- fabulous idea. I urge the delegation to go forth with House Bill 1897. I applaud Representative George Kenney for having the political will to bring it this far, to Municipal Judge Seamus McCaffery for bringing the idea about.

It's definitely a -- it must be implemented because not only does it address the problems that these hooligans, as I said before, are causing in the neighborhoods, it also makes the people feel better.

It makes the people feel as though
there's something there that they can hang
their hat on, that there's someone that's going
to address the problem as opposed to taking a
person and bringing them into a police
district; signing papers; waiting for mom to
pick 'em up, if mom ever picks them; and
releasing them.

We need to put them in front of some kind of judicial authority. I believe though -- I'm afraid that I don't want to see the City of Philadelphia become a place where we're going to whack over the head the graffiti man and we're going to bang the guy that's standing on the corner making noise.

Definitely should start there; don't get me wrong. But what are we going to do?

Are we going to have the car thief looking in the window here at the hearing or we going to have the person who gets the \$153 fine leave

the building and steal a car and then have that person come before the bail commissioner and have them be forced to be released?

You know, I looked around the room today; and I don't think there's anybody in here who is not well intentioned. I don't think we're going to hear from anybody who's going to say don't do night court and let's keep crime going in Philadelphia. I'll be surprised if you do.

But what amazes me is this week, this very week as all these distinguished members from Harrisburg are here this week, we have attorneys for prisoners' rights who are going to appear before a U.S. district judge and they're going to urge that the bail commissioners in the City of Philadelphia be forced, be forced, to file a pretrial release guidelines.

For example, when you're arrested in the City of Philadelphia for a stolen auto and it's your tenth time that you've done it, you've got three other ones pending, and the guidelines recommended say, Release this person on their signature -- no money, just release

them on their signature -- the bail commissioners are going to be forced to follow that.

Now, that's disturbing to me and it's going to give me a lot more gray hairs than I already have. And to be honest with you, I brang (sic) an example to the delegation here just to give them a little taste of what we're facing in Philadelphia. I'm not going to use any names.

We had a gentleman who was charged with breaking into a car at the 300 block of South Front. Now, for those who are not from Philadelphia, 308 South Front is a area that is some fine restaurants are in, it's an area where tourists would probably frequent if they wanted to go have a good meal, or a family in Philadelphia may want to go down to Old City for the night.

We have a gentleman who breaks the window of a car while the people are dining in one of the restaurants, rips out the car phone, is foot pursued by officers, tackled with the car phone in their possession -- you're talking about strength of the case -- take the phone

off and lock him up.

At the time he does this, he has -- bear with me here -- he has three prior convictions for the same thing -- breaking into cars -- he uses an alias name; he has a total of ten prior arrests for breaking into cars, some of which I hate to use the word he beat, maybe. Maybe he was not guilty. Who knows; and he has two prior juvenile arrests for the same thing.

At the time he's being booked by the police department detective division, he states -- and I quote -- I do this all the time. I'll be out in two hours. I'll go right back out and break into another car and there isn't -- and this is not my language -- there isn't a fucking thing you can do about it. That's what he told the police.

That's what we're facing in the City of Philadelphia. We can hit the graffiti artist, we can hit the neighborhood punk all we want -- and we should, we should -- but we have to come bipartisan together: Democrat, Republican. We must take the power that we have to address this issue which is coming up

this week.

Now, here's the bottom line: The defendant in question was recommended to be released on his signature. Not only for the nature of the offense, but after making that comment to the Philadelphia Police Department detectives, after making that comment, the recommendation was to release him on Special Conditions Type 2, which means let him sign a subpoena -- I call it a glorified ROR -- special condition where he has to show up in court.

But a week before court, he must show up at pretrial services and check in. Point is, is what's he going to do prior to that showing up -- which I submit he's not going to show up -- what's going to happen before that?

Now, this week in federal court, if the decision goes the wrong way as far as I'm concerned, I would have had to release that person into the community. It's just absurd as far as I'm concerned.

And so I came here today to urge passage of House Bill 1897 by Representative Kenney because it's a good start. But we must

address the bigger problem in Philadelphia when it comes to crimes of misdemeanors and felonies. And that's my statement. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: You can correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. O'Brien; but it's the problem with not enough prison space to put this -- if you set bail for this fellow, he can't make it, then you're going to put him in jail. The problem is you don't have that space available, do you?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, we have space available. It's limited. In fact, on that decision, I put \$15,000 bail. I thought that was an egregious statement to make, not to mention his record, which is relevant to bail, prior arrest record.

I set \$15,000 bail. I'm sure he didn't make it. He goes up to the prison. And I believe there's a practice -- and I'm not saying it happened in this case; but I'd like to research it -- where the City after a week or two in prison goes up there, a deputy managing director, and looks at a list of people, comes to them and says, You're out of here.

Nobody posted the bail. They just let them out to relieve the overcrowding. I think that the powers that be in Harrisburg should look at a possible solution of getting some kind of a structure -- not building anything. I know that money is a problem. But something that's existing in a geographical area where there isn't too many residences and restructure it and make it a pretrial detention facility. Strictly pretrial, not mixed in with sentences, not mixed in with anything else. A pretrial detention facility where if you don't make bail that's where you go.

And I believe that it doesn't have to be enormous. It has to be a good-sized place, but we have to have something that's simply for pretrial. And once that message hits the criminal community, you're not going to get these special releases, you're not going to get let out after a week because you're uncomfortable, I believe we'll see reduced crime in Philadelphia.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. Representative Kenney.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Commissioner
O'Brien. If I understand you, in your opening
comments you mentioned you were a member of the
Minor Judiciary of Pennsylvania. And I guess
that is equal or consistent with the district
justice, say, in every other county. I believe
they have --

MR. O'BRIEN: For informational -- for the other members not from Philadelphia, there's six bail commissioners in Philadelphia. Bail Commissioner Act was done in Harrisburg in, I believe, the '80's, early '80's.

There's six sitting commissioners.

What we do is we conduct preliminary arraignments, we issue search and seizure warrants, we issue arrest warrants, we issue court orders as far as if the defendant can't make bail under maybe a mental health problem, we send an order to prison to provide for the medicine they may need.

We do everything in a judicial role that a district justice does. In fact, if you look at the Bail Commissioner Act, the pay scale says it should be commensurate to an associate judge at traffic court. I only say

that because it's on the same minor judiciary line. They just -- someone decided years ago to call it bail commissioner.

But it's a minor judiciary. You have to be certified in Chambersburg, Pennsylvania, in order to be eligible for the job. You must go through a course which, I submit here, lawyers have failed. No disrespect to any members of the bar, but there's been attorneys who have failed the course who wanted the bail commissioner job.

Currently right now, there's six commissioners. One's a lawyer, and he's leaving next week; the other five are nonlawyers. And I believe, it's not a fact, I think that the one leaving, the lawyer that's leaving will probably, key word probably, be replaced by a nonlawyer with a wealth of experience in the court system.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: But in relationship to this legislation, I believe your comment is that you don't have to be a lawyer but you must be a certified member of the minor judiciary?

MR. O'BRIEN: To be a bail --

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Well, to be --1 2 MR. O'BRIEN: A district justice. REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: -- to qualify 3 4 under this legislation to sit in neighborhood 5 courts. 6 MR. O'BRIEN: Right. But the only 7 thing that I think -- didn't Judge McCaffery 8 say something about attorneys going to the --9 REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: No. No --10 MR. O'BRIEN: You don't have to be an 11 attorney is what --12 REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Right. Well, 13 my point is, right, you don't have to be an 14 attorney. You're saying anyone that qualifies 15 and is certified under the Minor Judiciary 16 should be able to sit as a pro tempore? 17 MR. O'BRIEN: I believe they should --18 REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: And that's 19 your testimony and I agree with that and I just 20 wanted to make sure that was your --21 MR. O'BRIEN: Throughout the state you 22 have district justices who hear misdemeanors, 23 they take guilty pleas, and I believe that a 24 majority of them are nonlawyers.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: And that's the

25

1 point I thought you were making and I have no 2 problem with that. 3 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: And that is a common occurrence that they're nonlawyer 5 district justices. 6 MR. O'BRIEN: It's a high percentage. 7 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Very high. 8 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: 9 high. 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Any other questions? 11 Questions? Thank you for your time. 12 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you for your 13 time and testimony. 14 MR. O'BRIEN: I appreciate it. 15 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: The next 16 individual to supply testimony to the Committee 17 is Mr. Vincent Fenerty. Mr. Fenerty. 18 MR. FENERTY: Good morning,

MR. FENERTY: Good morning,

Representative Clark. My name is Vincent

Fenerty, F-E-N-E-R-T-Y, and I am the Republican

Ward Leader of the 31st Ward, which is located

in sections of Port Richmond, Fishtown, and

Kensington, one of the rougher areas of the

City of Philadelphia.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's within the 177th Legislative

District, and my state representative is John Taylor. And I was invited here today by both John Taylor, House Majority Leader Perzel, and State Representative Kenney to testify in support of this bill.

And my testimony is, is basically is being in support of this bill but with a possible amendment to the bill. I have done some research after looking over the bill, which a copy of it was given to me by State Representative Kenney.

And I'm going to refer back to the person who brought me into politics some 25 years ago who's now deceased, and that was Magistrate Posarena (phonetic), the old magistrate system in Philadelphia.

And for those who are not old enough or don't recall, the magistrates were elected prior to Municipal Court judges. They were a member of the Minor Judiciary, and they sat in district court such as Municipal Court judges do now. They basically were from the community where they serve.

They did rotate around, but they were from the community where they served. Many

good magistrates came out of the magistrate system: Magistrate Cox, Magistrate Mirada (phonetic), Clark, Cabotti (phonetic), Posarena (phonetic), Marker. Some of these gentlemen are still around, and Judge Cox is still serving in the capacity of a senior judge in traffic court.

And it would be my belief that we should have this court. And I applaud Judge McCaffery's ideas for starting it. But I think placing lawyers who are not from the community and who do not handle quality-of-life issues in these courts who don't basically see the graffiti that's on the wall, the hookers that are walking the street, and the rowdies that load the corners and the police who have their hands tied because they bring them in, their parents take them down, if there's not night court sitting, they sign a summary offense, they go back to the same corner sometimes within a short period of 45 minutes and they laugh at the police officer.

We need night court. And what we need night court for is so they can be heard. And if they're found guilty of the crime -- if

you're found guilty of the crime, you must pay the fine. And it's on the books, as Judge McCaffery says, and it's a collectible fine.

We need to put some teeth into the system so our police officers in Philadelphia are not laughed at. One thing I did bring here is an idea. Since a cost factor was mentioned by several of my predecessors here testifying in front of this Committee, is a suggestion.

members of the Minor Judiciary, and the traffic court judges, who are members of the Minor Judiciary, and the Minor Judiciary, all of whom are esteemed members of their communities -- and it's no secret Bail Commissioner O'Brien is a friend of mine and came out of my ward, okay. State representative has another member of the minor judiciary, Judge Kelley, who came from his chief of staff; and both are credits to their area -- that we should be able to empower or the legislators should be able to empower the Municipal Court with the same type of qualified individuals to sit within their communities.

And I looked at some figures before I came here today. A suggestion on the payment

scale would be if they were members of the Minor Judiciary, a senior member of the Minor Judiciary such as a district justice or a senior traffic court judge makes a pro diem (sic), I think it's called, of \$153.62 cents per sitting -- if anybody didn't catch that, it's 15362 per sitting -- it's raised each year based on a cost of living.

So maybe in 1997 they would be making \$165. I think this should be seriously looked at. I don't feel it takes a lawyer who may come out of another section of the city and be assigned to Front and Westmoreland Street who has no idea what the type of crime there is or what type of city services are neglected.

I've spent many a day over the last fourteen years in State Representative Taylor's office; and the major type of complaints that his staff handles are quality-of-life issues: Graffiti, crime, and services, okay.

We need people from the community, not a highly-educated lawyer. And for those members who are here and Judge McCaffery, I am not knocking lawyers.

We need people from the community who

live there, okay, and who know what corners are bad and people who will sit there and be able to judge the crime, not someone who will come from -- and I'll give an example -- the 58th Ward in the far northeast to judge crime in Port Richmond or someone from the far northeast to go to East Mount Airy to judge crime or someone from East Mount Airy to go to the northeast.

We would need people from the community such as the magistrates who are people who have the intestinal fortitude to believe in what they're doing and give a good speech as well as a good fine if someone is guilty.

Members of the Minor Judiciary who are educated and pass that course in Chambersburg would be empowered under the law to hear these type of cases.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I thank you very much. Any questions of Mr. Fenerty?

Representative Taylor.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Thank you for your testimony, Mr. Fenerty. Just to, I think, clarify for members of the Committee what

Mr. Fenerty's talking about, if you were sitting in this type of court and somebody said that you were seen loitering at G and Ontario, that would mean very much a different thing to a neighborhood person than if you were seen loitering on Aramingo Avenue, meaning that somebody from the community would know that that is a very notorious drug corner where there is a host of illegal activities going on as opposed to a different type of loitering or a different type of misbehavior.

So I think there's some merit in trying to get to the point where we have people from the community at these hearings who have some knowledge of what's going on in that community.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you.

Representative Kenney? Representative

Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, and thank you for your testimony. And Mr. O'Brien, I'm not sure this is a question for you. Maybe it's more appropriate for Representative Kenney. I think we're all kind of looking ahead to what something could be

perhaps in the future.

correct.

I just want to be clear that what we would be empowering in 1897 is limited to an ability to take guilty pleas and fines that are already set for that particular offense. Am I misunderstanding something? Is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: That is

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Okay. So at least at this point, whoever is sitting, whether it's a judge or a decision to expand it to anybody who's a member of the Minor Judiciary, you're not sitting in judgment, per se.

If somebody wants an actual judgment and isn't going to make a plea, they would go through the normal process of Municipal Court?

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Right. And those -- Representative, those -- the rules will be set by the Municipal Court. And that's one issue we did not want to get into because Municipal Court said, Can we have this additional tool to go out and contract with these pro tempore?

We did not want to get into the issue

of saying, Here's how much you're going to pay.

You know, we're saying we'll give you that

authority; but you set the rules of conduct:

Who can be hired; who cannot be hired.

I think legislatively we may have to -- we have to say members of the Minor Judiciary can be hired. I think that's language we must put into the legislation.

The only other issue that Judge

McCaffery mentioned is that costs collected, he mentioned right now they would go to the general fund. This would say, I guess, he mentioned creating a separate account, I guess, within the court -- within Municipal Court to allow these fees to stay there. I think that's something we have to look at.

But who -- I mean, the Rules of Appeal and those rules shall all be set -- it's mentioned in page 2 of the legislation -- the procedures for appealing decisions shall be -- those rules shall be made by the Philadelphia Municipal Courts. So the rules will be laid out, if that answers your question.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Yes. Thank

you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: All right. I want to thank you very much for presenting your views today, and we appreciate your time in assisting the Committee.

MR. O'BRIEN: Representative Clark, if
I may just say one thing to Representative
Kenney. I'm not here today looking for a job.
I have a very good job which I am very happy
with.

But if it is changed to members of the Minor Judiciary, I would be most willing to go to Chambersburg, be educated, and hopefully pass the course and you can add my name to working for free for a period of whatever you would designate to get this pilot program off the ground.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Just to follow-up, I think that's exactly what will happen throughout communities and neighborhoods throughout the city that people who care and want to make a difference will do that, will go take the course and qualify to be a protempore.

But legislatively, I think we must add

that language. And I think that's what Commissioner O'Brien was saying, really add district justice language to the bill saying if you are certified under this minor judiciary you qualify to hear these summary cases, these minor offenses throughout any neighborhood in Philadelphia.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: And I think what you said is pass the minor judiciary course, be from the community, and you don't need to be --

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. Next we're currently waiting for the Honorable James Kenney -- oh, he's here. We're going to hold him in abey here a minute. And I guess the first thing I want to do is welcome Representative Chris Wogan, who I did see here. He might still -- yeah, he's hidden from me.

Representative Chris Wogan is here with us today. How are you?

REPRESENTATIVE WOGAN: I'm fine. How are you?

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Glad to be here. /
And we'd like to have testify Glen McDevitt,
Mary Jane Hazel, and Kay McGuire. And if you

could give us your position and who you represent, I'd appreciate that. And I think Mr. McDevitt I've seen him once before here back at the end of June.

MR. McDEVITT: That's correct, at the Community College.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Yes. Nice to see you again.

MS. HAZEL: Ladies before gentlemen, correct?

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: That's fine.

MS. HAZEL: My name is Mary Jane

Hazel. I'm president of the Somerton Civic

Association. I've been a community leader for

32 years. I want to thank State Representative

George Kenney. Thank God he's from my

district, and I think he's tired of hearing me

complain about lack of protection.

I think that whatever form we follow here, whatever format we follow, whether it be the Minor Judiciary or we use attorneys, the bottom line is we need safety in the Northeast. I love Philadelphia; I will not leave Philadelphia; but my main concern is the northeast.

And we are petrified to go out in the evening. We are petrified to go to a shopping center because of losing our car. Some parts of the City it doesn't matter whether you lose a car. There's a public transportation on every corner that can take you north, south, east, and west.

You get to the far northeast, public transportation is a figment of SEPTA's imagination. We don't have it; so we must have a car to go to a store, to go to a doctor, to go to work, to go to a hospital, whatever. So that becomes devastating to us.

I have spent numerous Friday nights right here in this district at night court. I have sat in front of Seamus and I've sat in front of Harry Schwartz, and I think they do a fantastic job; and I have seen the difference. I have seen the difference.

When we talk about money, what price do we put on quality of life? If we want to really make the economy roll over and we have safe communities in which we could go out at night, first of all, hopefully, hopefully some of our insurance companies will drop their

prices because we won't have as many stolen cars.

Then you would have people that have a little bit of extra money that can go out and go shopping at night because they're not afraid. So there, you're making the economy work in Philadelphia. This is not only essential; this should have been done yesterday.

Sometimes old is better. I'm a perfect example of it. Go back to the magistrates if need be. They had to answer to their neighborhood -- all their neighbors if they did something that was incorrect.

I wasn't really for magistrates; but at this point if this is what's going to help, if this is going to deter crime, so be it. As I sat in this courtroom on Friday nights and I seen parents come in with their children -- and these are children. They were under 18 years old, 14, 15 years old; and they were drunk.

The parents were completely and totally embarrassed. Good. That takes back to the parent responsibility. Then to be hit with a fine on top of it, that's double. Somebody

I'm sure had a wet backside and a sore backside when they got home. If they didn't, then their patients deserve what they get.

This is a must. This is a must. We do not have enough policemen that go on every corner. But if you just have -- they don't know when -- I one time blocked off Byberry Road. And the secret was don't let the travellers know when you're going to block it off.

I maybe blocked it off at 7:00 in the morning; I may have blocked it off at 4:00 in the afternoon. They didn't know what day; they didn't know what hour. So we caught them off guard.

And that's exactly what this does.

This catches the juveniles off guard. They
don't know when there's going to be a roundup.

And when they're brought in here and they're
brought without laces in their shoes and they
look really like down, humble, well, maybe
that's the answer.

But whatever it takes, I'm asking that this be passed. Then let the City of Philadelphia decide this is what they want.

Then we'll go down and we'll bombard the Mayor's office if need be. Whatever has to be done. But it has to start here with this legislation. And I'm asking you please, please, please pass this bill. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I might ask you if what you saw when you came to these night court situations with Judge McCaffery were the fact that there was swift punishment and the people were held accountable. Are those the things that we --

MS. HAZEL: Parents were held accountable. The young hoods, as I call them, themself (sic) were scared. Judge McCaffery is a very tough judge and so is Judge Schwartz. I watched the two of them, and I just think they're an asset.

And if they can -- I don't care who they get. You know, in our area we have a number of youth aide panel representatives in our -- right in my ward in the Somerton Civic, we have people that are on Youth Aide. And so this is important.

I don't care where they come from. I don't care if they're a lawyer in my

neighborhood. I don't care if they're a magistrate. But the bottom is line is we need it and we needed it yesterday.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you very much. Kay McGuire.

MS. McGUIRE: My name is Kay McGuire, M-C-G-U-I-R-E. I belong to the Philadelphians Against Graffiti Community Group in the City of Philadelphia and -- Civic. I also work with Judge McCaffery at night volunteering with the program.

I'd like to give you some input of what actually happened. Here in the 15th district, our captain tells us approximately about a 20 percent decrease in juvenile crime and our residents are much happier knowing that it's not going to be a nightmare every Friday and Saturday night.

Also, someone mentioned about the first-time offenders and are we doing anything with them. I have to tell you as me taking out these juveniles to help do their community service, they're not happy, particularly when we take them in the neighborhood and they have to paint in front of their own friends, they're

not happy and see what they're doing.

The idea being that we have to get the message across, particularly with the graffiti issue, that that's your property; that's my property. Would you like your 49er's jacket and your Nike sneakers spray painted? That's your property and you wouldn't like that. And we'll do it to them if we have to to get the message across.

So the night court definitely is working in this area. We're definitely getting the message across to the children and the parents and absolutely the first-time offenders.

I would say every one of those are going back. And we want them to tell their friends and they are telling their friends, Oh, my God, you wouldn't believe the trouble I got into last night now. Now I've got to pay \$195 and now I have to do that community service.

And we do get on them for that.

Also I think we've found too -- I

don't have any specific stats -- but we know

with petty crime when we take in 25 kids or not

just kids or 18-year-olds or 20-year-olds, that

night, guess what, our other crimes went down.

Do you know how many -- we had 80 car thefts in that first two weeks in November in the 15th District alone. On a night that there's been a night court, where there's been some effective police work out there, all of a sudden there hasn't been any car thefts or very -- or less car thefts.

So the whole ripple effect, it's a big issue. That's the issue that I believe New York's seen also that, what do we have, the criminals in the system right now maybe about 95 percent of them all did graffiti, all stole a car, all did this. So you're taking someone off the street.

I understand this program also will enable now that they won't have to go to the CJC. And won't that absolutely serve us a lot better that that's going to free up a lot more issues down there, timely issue.

And as a grass roots person, as one of the people that are out there with these kids giving them the community service, we resent sometimes that the parents aren't out there with us.

When I go to pick somebody up at 7:00 in the morning, I don't like the fact that their parents are in bed and I'm not and I'm taking them out painting.

This night court is hitting the parents in the face too and saying, Hey, wait a minute. I've been really inconvenienced now.

And a judge has already -- now we have in place the law that says the parent can be fined for not participating. And boy, that's been working too. We need this bill.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you very much. Mr. McDevitt.

MR. McDEVITT: Thank you, and I appreciate the opportunity to be able to speak in front of you today. I want to take a little different twist. I think the Judge did an eloquent job on talking about the dynamics of the program.

I want to talk about it from the impact it's had from community and volunteers, something that we really don't measure much.

Sitting here -- this is one of my mentors -- as a community leader, we're always in competition for volunteers.

We've had town watches under our operation. And when a crisis occurs, people get involved and they sign up. What happens is they take a look at the system, they see what's happening to the system, they realize the system doesn't work.

And when they realize the system, they're giving up their Fridays and Saturday nights till 2:00 in the morning, 3:00 in the morning and they see this individual commit a crime and they get the police officer to make the arrest, then they don't hear anything about it.

The person gets a trial. And if
you're involved, well, then you find out, well,
you got to go to court. So you're going to
have to miss a day's work to go down there.
And then they go down there and they find out
that it's continued. And what happens is it
becomes very discouraging.

I mean, I don't want to tell you how many times we wind up losing volunteers. And I think what this program has done and Judge McCaffery, it has instilled hope in the volunteers in the community because we're able

to see firsthand justice being served.

I mean, we're not looking at twenty years in prison. We're not even -- even though the bill says 90 days. But what's happening is, is that individual is getting in front, it's swift, it's immediate, it's specific, and they're experiencing that the law does work and the criminal justice system does work.

Been working with Representative
Kenney and Representative Taylor and Perzel on
the "gang of five's" going around to the
different neighborhoods with the town meetings
looking at public safety. And it's amazing.

And I think George will tell you is that what we're hearing here in the northeast, we went last night over to West Oak Lane; same thing. I mean, they're victims. Victims come in every culture and race and gender. This city's got to change.

I think what this program does is it allows us to think outside the box. One of my statements is, is that we've got 1990 crime and we're trying to fight it with 1950 technology. It doesn't work. We need to change, and I think this is an excellent action to change it.

It's a part of the strategy.

what they're doing with the policy is another part of the comprehensive plan. It's a win/win solution here. And I commend the judges that have been giving their time. Not only them, but the court clerks and the volunteers from the community that have been working on here, yes, we have seen.

It's given the community leaders hope that we can go back and say, We got to win. Yeah, the good guys are on our side here. They're helping us. And I see it inspiring people in the neighborhood. Well, you know what, maybe I'll get involved.

Maybe I'll join that town watch.

Maybe I'll join that home and school. Maybe

I'm going to stay in Philadelphia and not put

the "for sale" sign up. Because they believe.

Most people when you talk to them, they love

Philadelphia. Their roots are here.

We have so many wonderful things about this city that we take for granted, and we don't realize it until we move outside. Then you realize the things that we took for granted aren't out there.

But, unfortunately, when it comes to the quality of life for you and your family and you feel that the system doesn't work for you anymore, then that's the decision that I know my wife and I we've discussed and many of my friends discussed.

Where's that threshold?
Unfortunately, the way that we vote in
Philadelphia is that we put the "for sale"
sign. We give up. And this is a program that
has been driven by the community, the
government officials have supported it, the
court system has supported it, and now it's
time to institutionalize it.

It's time to let the criminal element out there know that we're for real. It's no longer going to be a pilot. I know when the Judge was talking it was talked about the terms and conditions of the social ills. Senator Cohen, I believe you brought it up about that.

My wife and I and Mary Jane, I think,
were up here and Kay was up here and there was
two young girls 12-years old that came in.
Drunk. They couldn't even stand. They had to
hold them in the holding cell for an hour to

sober up a little bit so they could stand in front of -- I think it was Judge Schwartz, wasn't it?

What we found out was a year before that their father gave them both a kiss good-bye, went downstairs, and shot himself in the head. The mother was in tears. Our hearts were broken when we saw this. 12 years olds. Your right to social skills (sic).

Unfortunately, a lot of them are being lost through the cracks. We're picking them up somewhere down -- incarceration, addiction, somewhere. We have an opportunity to really do something, catch them at an early stage, because a lot of these crimes we're talking about are gateway.

We know as community leaders that it starts with vandalism, graffiti, car theft, drug deal. How do we redirect them? There was a pastor in West Philadelphia that said that it's cheaper to build a man than to repair an adult.

And this is a program that's addressed that, that we'll look at -- say, Look, yeah, we're meeting here. But you know what? We

want to get you out of the system. We don't want you to develop a professional crime.

Like what Timmy O'Brien was talking about. He's right. They get to that level there, it's really -- it's going to take big bucks to change them. Let's change them. Let's change them. Let's change them early. This is a system that will pick them out, that will show them; and it's also the visual deterrent.

endorse this wonderful bill. I know from a community perspective we're all excited about it and we're all supportive. And when you took a look at cost -- as my last statement here is, When you look at costs, what is the cost to the City and the state when that person moves out?

We could talk about dollars and cents and police officers, distribution and overtime. But what is the real cost? Right now we're losing somewhere around 1200 people a month out of the city, somewhere in that area. One-third of our City is receiving some form of public assistance.

Well, it's time for action; and I commend you for coming up and showing the

leadership with this bill. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I think what we'll do now is maybe give our stenographer a 5-minute break. But I want to tell you three and Vincent that if I listen to you for about five more minutes, I'm going to sign up for that judiciary court in Chambersburg and come down and help you out.

MR. McDEVITT: We supply coffee and doughnuts too.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I was a former

District Attorney, so I think I might be able

to pass the course. We're going to take a

5-minute break.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Mr. Chairman,

I want to thank each of you for your leadership
in the communities. And this is part of safe
neighborhoods, safe city strategy, and it's a
piece of the puzzle; and we continually welcome
your input into this process. Thank you.

(At which time, a brief break was taken.)

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: The next individual that will testify before the Committee is the Honorable James Kenney,

At-Large Philadelphia City Councilman, and Rick Mariano, who is also a City Councilman of Philadelphia.

Mr. Kenney wasn't here earlier, but he might want to discuss his heritage after what Judge McCaffery indicated with the other Mr. Kenney.

MR. KENNEY: I won't even ask what he said.

MR. MARIANO: You can guess.

MR. KENNEY: Good morning Chairman

Clark and members of the Committee. My name is

James Kenney, K-E-N-N-E-Y. I'm a Philadelphia

City Council Member At-Large, and I've been in

Council now for six years. With me, as was

said, is Councilman Rick Mariano. That's

M-A-R-I-A-N-O. He is, I believe, the council

member of this district, parts of this district

here.

And let me give you -- I know Seamus

McCaffery gave you an idea as to what it was we

did with this court system and how we put it

into place and how effective it's been and

you've heard from representatives of the

community how effective it's been; but let me

give you a little personalized situation with my own life.

I'm 39 years old. I've lived in Philadelphia for my entire life in a, basically, a blue collar, kind of a hard-scrabble neighborhood -- Mummers area down in South Philadelphia -- and grew up hanging out on the corners and doing things sometimes we shouldn't have done.

But what we had was a parental mechanism, a parental system that kept us in check. Everybody in the neighborhood knew who we were, knew where we lived, and knew who our parents were. And our parents responded in kind when we did things we -- or said things or were in places we shouldn't have been.

The problem has happened over the years as it evolved or dissolved into a situation where parents really don't take responsibility for their children the way they used to.

And in many ways, this court system that we have in place, both night court and Vet Court, now the prostitution court, is taking the place of what parents used to do,

especially the night court.

We began that program in the 4th

Police District in South Philadelphia, which is

largest request in the 4th District for police

service are for disorderly crowds on the

corner.

It's not for robberies and murders and rapes. It's for people on the corner at night during the week and on the weekends drinking beer, making noise, using foul language, urinating in public, blasting their car radios, and basically disturbing the peace of the community.

There are at least ten of my friends who I went to grade school with at Our Lady of Mt. Carmel Grade School, went to high school with who got married about the same time and are raising kids about the same time.

Those ten friends live in the suburbs of New Jersey now, and they moved because in many ways of the quality of life in their community. Many of them have the ability to come home at night after work and to contend with young people on the corner who are disruptive or out of hand, but they don't want

to have to do that every night.

You want to come home from work, open up your windows, have dinner, watch your television, and not hear the profanity, not hear the loud noise, and not have to go out and confront young people.

when I went on the corner as a youngster, a teenager, and was told to move by the police officer or by a neighbor, we usually moved without any back talk. People today -- young people today feel it is necessary to say what is on their mind; and many times their parents back that up.

And the thing that I found most effective about night court here in Philadelphia and the police districts is that people are held immediately accountable for their actions and they are before the bar of the court, they are before a man or woman in black robes who have the authority to sentence them to fines and/or jail time.

They have the requirement of having parents come in out of bed. We've had a situation I think in South Philadelphia with Judge McCaffery where a young woman who was 14

years old with a backpack full of beer at 1:00 in the morning on a Friday night, Saturday morning whose father was required to come in and to explain the actions of his daughter and why he allowed her to be out there at that particular time at that age with that kind of contraband.

And he was annoyed at Judge McCaffery for getting him out of bed and disturbing his peace. He was sleeping. The rest of the neighbors in the area where his daughter was hanging wasn't sleeping, but he was sleeping.

So the Judge imposed, I think, appropriate fines and sanctions on the parent for their inability to control their children.

So what has happened over the years is that these summary offenses as they've been issued have basically been laughed at. And that's the same thing of Vet Court.

If you are evicted from the stadium or ejected from the stadium for foul language or urinating in the concourse or any kind of miscreant behavior, you received a summary citation which required you to appear in court at a certain date.

You didn't come, nobody came after you. No one finds you. No one came and questioned why you weren't there. Nobody picked you up.

And what I look to do -- and I know Councilman Mariano feels the same way and he can speak for himself when I'm through -- is that I believe the same standard of behavior that's acceptable in the suburbs and the rural areas should be accepted in the city.

For some reason or another, the nineteen people out of twenty people arrested at our first Vet Court were suburbanites, were people from outside of the city. I was happy about that because it wasn't our own citizens doing it.

But in many ways, people feel that

because you live in an urban area you have some

extra right to misbehave, to act up, to come in

and sow your wild oats whether you come in to

South Street or you come in to the Greek picnic

or you come in to the Vet Stadium or you come

into anyplace, you have a right to get drunk;

you have a right to be disorderly; you have a

right to disrupt other peoples' lives and

business and other peoples' peace of mind without paying a price for that.

And what we're looking to do is to stabilize that relationship and that comparison that our residents make between the city and the suburbs that make them decide to move out of our city.

And you look at the numbers that

we've -- they're frightening numbers. In the

last six years, we've lost a hundred thousand

people. That's after Rendell -- Ed Rendell and

the Rendell Renaissance and our fiscal sobriety

that we've imposed upon ourselves and all the

things that we tried to do to make the city a

better place to deliver services better and to

live within or our fiscal means.

And that's something that the

Legislature has insisted that we do for years

and we've been trying to do that. We still

lost a hundred thousand people. And that is

because of a number of issues: The schools and
the quality our schools and the quality of our

lives in our neighborhoods.

And we need to insist that we have civility, that we have order. We can't live in

an urban area without civility and order because it will degenerate into chaos. And that's what we're also looking to do.

One of the other things that we hope to do with the prostitution court is to have these women -- and to be gender fair, there are many men out there who are also prostitutes and soliciting prostitution out on the highway in Kensington and Frankford Avenues in South Philadelphia and on 52nd Street and other areas of our city. So there's men and women that are out there -- to get them in there, to get them fingerprinted, to get them photographed so we have a record of who they are, how many times they've been arrested and what their problems are.

I mean, I will be the first to admit that many of these men and women are out on the street because of drug abuse, drug addiction, disjointed families, abuse in the family unit. And they're out there for lots of reasons.

I don't justify their being out there for that reason and I don't want them in my neighborhood out there for that reason; but getting them into a criminal justice system,

having they fingerprinted and photographed and finding out what it is their problems are will better enable us to treat their particular problem.

So all of these things seem to be common sensical, seem to be things that we should have been doing for many, many years. And sad to say, we haven't done it.

I think that Judge McCaffery and many other judges who agreed to volunteer their time at night, on weekends, and now in the morning when we have this morning-after court with the prostitutes are really doing their best to make things better in our city.

And one thing I'd like to convey to the nonPhiladelphia members of the Legislature who are here: There's been a lot of discussion about whether or not the Legislature should be stepping -- putting their big noses into our business, stepping out of their bounds and telling us how we should live.

They all live here too. They all live in neighborhoods throughout our city. They all represent the same people that I represent, that Rick represents.

And I, for one, as a council member do not, do not take their involvement as anything other than trying to help our city become a better place to live because their families live here, their children are raised here, their children go to school here; and I admire and appreciate their involvement in helping us solve our problems because it's all of our problems.

So with that, I'd like to end. I could go on and on. And I don't have prepared testimony, I apologize, because we found out about this hearing yesterday and you guys were gracious enough to invite us here.

And we appreciate you coming into Philadelphia, hearing what our problems are, and trying to do your best to help us solve them. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you.

Councilman Mariano.

MR. MARIANO: Mr. Chairman, delegates, Committee members, thank you for letting me be here. As Councilman Kenney said, I'm a district councilman; and I represent a district that is 11 miles long.

Our district begins at Gerard Avenue and comes up to Grant Avenue. So for those nonPhiladelphians on the Committee, that's 11 miles; and it's a diverse district. At the bottom -- and I hate to use this phrase -- it's called the "badlands."

It's mainly where people come to buy drugs -- heroin, cocaine, crack cocaine -- and a lot of the people that come in don't live in our city. There's a lot of people coming from out of state, out of city to buy drugs there, solicit prostitutes, commit crimes, and leave.

been a councilman -- I've been a councilman for two years and I worked for Councilman Kenney for three years prior to that as an assistant to him -- I feel that the City of Philadelphia and the residents, especially in my district, the 165,000 people that I represent, feel that there's a glimmer of hope at the end of the tunnel.

Representative Taylor is a neighbor of mine, and a lot of our district are composed of the same district: Kensington, Juniata, Frankford. Under the "L," we have a

transportation system much like in Chicago. If any of you have been to Chicago, it's elevated. A lot of the prostitution and the quality-of-life crimes start there.

1.5

We've been concentrating with the night courts, the prostitution court that's coming; and it's so important to the people that there's a win on the horizon and they can see the difference. Something good's happening. And I, for one, thank you for coming here and bringing your attention to this matter.

And I see Representative Perzel, we've talked about this before, it's a win for the people in the City of Philadelphia, which has encompassed the state. It's a win for the State of Pennsylvania because a lot of these issues that the Councilman and I spoke about are issues that seem like common sense.

People are busy. I come from a blue collar background. I grew up in Juniata Park, went to Catholic grade school, Catholic high school, went into the building trades, became an electrician, worked out of the building trades for twenty years, decided to become a

councilman.

1.2

People want to go do their job; they want to come home; they don't want any problems. They don't want to worry about people standing on the corner on Friday nights. I grew up across the street from a playground, McCauley Playground in Juniata Park that Representative Taylor and I represent.

There was always guys that hung out there. I think Councilman Kenney might have hung out there once in a while. But when my father came home from work and it got late, 10, 11:00 Friday night, they looked over and they got the message. They left. They didn't want to deal with a guy that was in the Navy for twenty years.

They left because he knew who they were. The Councilman's exactly right. It's different now. There's a lot more information for these young people. Everybody's sue crazy. You touch someone, it's, I'm going to sue you; I'm going to sue you. So they feel now people are scared. They stay in their houses.

People in their fifties, sixties want to be able to set on their steps in

Philadelphia, especially in my district in the summer. They want to be able to do that. They can't do that if we don't keep changing things the way we are. So thank you for letting me be here.

The message is there is hope at the end of the tunnel and a lot of it is common sense approach, but it's grass roots community approach. I'd like to thank all yous (sic) for coming.

I'd like to thank Judge McCaffery who spoke before us. It's important. I've been to many of his night courts. And I think it's great to pull some father out of bed 11:00 at night because I have two sons, one's 17 and one's 13.

And God forbid if they ever get me out of bed on Saturday night, they're going to be in big trouble. And I'm not afraid to say that. The first bill that I helped write was a parental responsibility bill, and Judge McCaffery's been one of the judges who's been using it.

And basically it's what it says. We increased the fine for curfew violations. We

can only increase them up to \$300, and that's something else the State legislators might want to talk about. But parents are directly responsible for the action of your kids.

Now, it's not a punishment for any poor people or their kids. It's up to the judge. If he wants somebody to paint walls because they were graffiti or were throwing eggs, it's up to him. If he thinks their family has money and they can shell out \$300, he might want the father and mother out there painting walls.

We leave that up to the judiciary system to figure that out, but that's what we need. So again, thank you for letting us be here.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: We thank both of you for being a part. Representative Kenney.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: We're not related, by the way.

 $\label{eq:chark: We understand} \textbf{Chark: We understand}$ that.

 $\label{eq:representative kenney: I'm much} % \begin{center} \textbf{Younger than the Councilman.} \end{center}$

25 CHAIRPERSON CLARK: I was going to say

looks are deceiving.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: No, I just wanted to thank both Councilman Kenney and Councilman Mariano for their leadership on this issue and addressing the quality-of-life crimes throughout Philadelphia.

And it is a partnership, as Councilman Kenney said. We're not here to attack. We're here to make our neighborhoods better, which will make our city better. I thank you for your support of this legislation and look forward to working with you in the future.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thanks, George. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Okay. We thank you. You're quite welcome. The next individual to present testimony on House Bill 1897 is Dominick Freda from the American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania. And along with him I believe is Larry Frankel, who is their Executive Director. And we welcome both of you this morning.

MR. FRANKEL: Thank you, Chairman
Clark and the other members of the Committee
who have persisted in remaining to this hour.

My name is Larry Frankel. I'm the Executive

Director of the American Civil Liberties Union

of Pennsylvania.

And with me is Dominick Freda, who is a third-year law student who's been participating in a legislative clinical program at the University of Pennsylvania law school.

And he'll be presenting some written remarks that he prepared.

But before I turn the microphone over to him, having listened to testimony here this morning, I'd like to set forth our position explicitly on this particular bill.

empowers certain designated and trained individuals to discharge what are really ministerial duties -- accepting guilty pleas and imposing fines that are preset pretty much in the law what those fines would be, not imposing jail time but taking the guilty plea, setting the fine, advising the defendants what rights they are giving up because guilty pleas should be accompanied with an express understanding of what rights they are giving up -- we don't have a problem with a bill like

that.

2.5

And we've heard, I think, some pretty compelling testimony about some cost savings that may occur to the City, better use of police forces, and not this frustration the citizens feel with bench warrants that are relatively meaningless.

I think the legislation might need to be amended to clarify some of those points; but we would not oppose legislation that really empowers trained, designated officials to discharge some of the ministerial duties that you don't need to bring in a judge to do.

With that, I'm now going to turn the microphone over to Mr. Freda, who has some other comments to make today.

MR. FREDA: Thank you. Good

afternoon. My name is Dominick Freda. I'm a

third-year law student at the University of

Pennsylvania. I'm here on behalf of the

American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania.

I'd first like to thank you for this

opportunity to appear before you.

House Bill 1897 gives the president judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court the

power to appoint attorneys as judges protempore who would preside over summary offenses.

On its face, House Bill 1897 provides an opportunity to expand the Municipal Court system by increasing the number of cases processed and the overall efficiency of the process.

However, it's my understanding and from being here all this morning that the appointment of additional judges is primarily seen as a means for building on the work of Judge Seamus McCaffery through night courts the focus on quality-of-life crimes.

Since the ACLU of Pennsylvania, as Mr. Frankel has already expressed, has had no involvement with Judge McCaffery's night court and we have no official position on the night court, I'm unable to present information on our firsthand experience with Philadelphia's municipal courts and quality-of-life crimes.

Instead, my testimony today will concentrate on describing to you an innovative and highly successful quality-of-life approach taken by New York City's Midtown Community

Court.

If I may add, Judge McCaffery has already mentioned this. And I think while a number of you may have alluded to this in request for what type of social services are offered in the night court.

By focusing on quality-of-life crimes, imposing sentences that emphasize community service, providing immediate assessment of an offender's social problems that may lead to criminal behavior, and making available various social services aimed at helping offenders deal with these problems -- not just find out that they're there; but helping with social services to deal with these problems -- the Midtown Community Court is an example of an approach to quality-of-life concerns that deals with the causes of crime as well as the crime itself.

The Midtown Community Court was established in October of 1993. It's an official branch of New York's criminal justice system handling cases from the Times Square area. The court is aimed at petty crimes that many may consider or perceive to be a very low quality of life.

And these include prostitution, shoplifting, subway fare beating, graffiti, minor drug possession, and illegal peddling. The Court has three objectives when dealing with these crimes:

Send a message to the defendant that even summary offenses have consequences; send a message to the community that it will be repaid through community service for the damage it has sustained; and provide the defendant the opportunity to get help through drug treatment, counseling, job training, and assistance with entitlements in housing if one is homeless, English as a second language and G.E.D. classes, and health services including HIV and TB testing.

To date, this approach has been successful on most fronts. According to a 1997 summary of a report by the National Center for State Courts, 69 percent of offenders are sentenced to community service. Of those sentenced to community service, 75 percent complied -- the highest compliance rate in New York City.

Similarly, only 2 percent of offenders

are sentenced only to time served where the arraignment process is the sole punishment.

The Court's average arrest-to-arraignment time is eighteen hours. This is compared to Manhattan's centralized courthouse's average of more than thirty hours.

Arrests for prostitution dropped by 50 percent. Arrests for illegal vending dropped by 24 percent. In the first two years, community service sentences produced more than \$370,000 worth of labor.

And finally, the Court was awarded the National Association of Court Managers Justice Achievement Award for 1994. The coordination of social services and community work projects on-site is the most effective aspect of the Midtown Community Court. It also is the most difficult and expensive aspect of the project to administer.

And if I could deviate from my written testimony for a moment, although the statistics I just cited are impressive, statistics alone do not illuminate the success of this court.

The court also helps offenders turn around their lives.

And if I could just tell you a brief story about a woman who was brought to the Community Court in New York, she was a slender blond woman, 20-year-old. She sat at the Midtown Community Court late in the afternoon.

3 in the morning she had been arrested for offering oral sex to an undercover officer.

She was sentenced to immediately attend the Court's health education class for prostitutes. The arresting officer had called ahead to court counselors. She was new. She didn't have a pimp yet. The officer thought that she could be helped.

They spent hours talking to her listening to her bitter story of sexual abuse by her stepfather and denial from her mother. They got me to call my father, she said. I'm going home to Massachusetts tomorrow. Next day, the woman stopped by the court with her bags in hand and thanked them. She then went home. She was off the street.

This is the way that the Midtown

Community Court acts. The Court is aimed at

petty crimes that create -- I'm sorry -- I'm

missing a page. The court provides an offender

first with an interview by a case manager before the offender appears before the judge.

The case manager makes an assessment of possible problems -- here they saw that the woman possibly could be attune to counseling -- and will recommend available resources. Also on hand are staff personnel who would immediately coordinate the community service auditor with local businesses and community groups.

If the Court orders a defendant to attend treatment or counseling, as this was the case, the clinical director ensures that the defendant completes the program and everything is done on site.

An article in New York Magazine dated December 5th, 1994, estimates the yearly cost of the Court's social services to be \$1.3 million. So before you were fishing for a figure. This is a figure: \$1.3 million.

Thirty-two local corporations and foundations helped fund the court in addition to federal and city grants. I realize that this hearing may not provide the most appropriate forum to discuss the City of

Philadelphia's crime and prevention policies; however, House Bill 1897's concern with quality-of-life issues directly implicates these policies.

The City of Philadelphia has recently been awarded a \$5 million federal grant aimed at quality-of-life offenses. Expanding the scope of the Municipal Court through the 'appointment of extra judges takes a step towards processing more quality-of-life crimes.

However, the best lesson learned from New York's experience is that the approach to processing these crimes matters more than the numbers processed.

Based on my research, I believe that New York City initiated the Midtown Community Court plan without requiring statutory authority from the state legislature.

Likewise, although the Pennsylvania

General Assembly has the power to pass House

Bill 1897 permitting the appointment of judges

pro tempore, implementing a plan in

Philadelphia's Municipal Court system would

have to be achieved at the local level.

This Committee could, however, begin

the shift in approach by recommending to the City of Philadelphia that it consider implementing a plan similar to the Midtown Court's approach.

We suggest that you use this
legislation authorizing the appointment of
judges pro tempore as an opportunity to
increase support services in community service
sentences. Moreover, if you are concerned with
quality-of-life crimes within your home
districts, perhaps you will consider advocating
for similar programs for your own local courts.

If so or if you are at all curious about the Midtown Community Court or wish to learn more for yourself, I recommend that you visit their website on the Internet at WWW, dot, Community Courts, dot, org.

Once again, I'd like to thank you for this opportunity to appear before you and will be available for questions.

MR. FRANKEL: One additional comment:
We contacted the New York City affiliate of the
American Civil Liberties Union to find out
their experience with community courts, and
what they found is that more communities want

them.

There isn't a perception that peoples' civil liberties are being violated; but people are really being helped, neighborhoods are being helped. And that's what they have found in New York so far. And if anybody has any questions, I'll be happy to at least attempt to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: We thank both of you. Are there -- Representative Kenney.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Thank you.

And thank you, Dominick and Larry. Just, the \$5 million grant given to the city, I believe Judge McCaffery mentioned earlier today in his testimony that about 125,000 of that would go to this night court and quality-of-life court.

Do you know where the other monies went?

MR. FREDA: I think that might be a question appropriate for the city council of Philadelphia. I have an article from the Philadelphia Inquirer that might have the information within it.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: Because I think you're right, Mr. Freda, the concept of community court is where we should be headed.

And I think Judge McCaffery mentioned he'd like to see one of those in every section of the city.

I don't think you could do it in every police station, but at least in -- probably the northeast district and northwest. And it addresses Representative Joseph's comments about if you see this, whether it be a prostitute or a kid, for the fifth time come in for underage drinking, there's a problem.

And if the services are available within this community where that person can get help --

MR. FREDA: I also think an important aspect of it is the use of community service, the concentration on that. There are many quotes from the articles I've read of individuals who were caught.

One kid was caught fare beating on the subway and they forced him to clean a shop front of graffiti. And as he was standing in front of the shop, he said, You know, this is going to be covered with graffiti tomorrow.

But if my friends come by and they want to paint it, I'm not going to let them

paint it now because I'm not doing all this hard work for nothing. I think that's something you can concentrate on without, I think, the additional funds.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNEY: And I agree with you. I think this legislation is simply giving the Municipal Court more players to go out there and sit in the police districts and sit in the neighborhoods to, you know, hear these minor cases and set penalty.

And I think what we need to do further is if we get the dollar figures, if community service is part of the sanction and the penalty, someone's out there in the system making sure that community service is done.

I mean, what I think's happening now from what you hear is I may give someone twenty hours of community service but there's never any follow-up so the penalty was never implemented. So was justice served? Probably not.

But I think what we're trying to do is saying we're going to be in every neighborhood working to see that justice is served and I think further developing your concept of the

community court concept.

But Judge McCaffery, I think he may be more familiar with my initial question, the \$5 million grant that Mr. Freda related to us.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Go ahead, Judge McCaffery.

JUDGE McCAFFERY: Just two things:

One, to address what Mr. Frankel had discussed or commented on earlier, prior to anyone being brought in front of the court and giving a plea of guilty or not guilty, they are advised of their right to have counsel and they're given two options:

attorney, the case will be continued downtown to the Criminal Justice Center to afford them the time to have their counsel present; (2), if they want the case to go forward directly, it's done and then we allow them to plead guilty or not guilty; last but not least, all their appellate rights and warnings are explained to them and a copy of those appellate rights are attached to the document so that they can take the necessary steps that they feel.

If, in fact, they want to appeal, they

are notified that they have thirty days to appeal. And it is a trial de nova right; so we have ensured that those individuals are walking in there -- it's not just a cattle court where they're being brought right in and rushed to justice. That's not what this is about.

We're here to guarantee and afford everybody their rights. No one's trying to stamp on them at all. As a matter of fact, even after we have explained these rights to them, they still go on with the case.

And as a matter of fact, copies of what we're doing have been faxed over to the public defender's office and I've spoken directly to Mr. Stewart Shuman (phonetic), the head of the MC unit over there.

And the only comment he made was that what we should do in some of our areas is to put the appellate rights in Spanish, and which is something we're going to do. We didn't have that before that.

The second issue as to the monies -- and so both of you gentlemen know, I firmly, strongly believe in that community court concept. I've personally given out over

150,000 hours of community service since I've been doing what I'm doing right now. And that's not in the night court program.

This area right now where you're all sitting, this police district you're sitting in right now, every single weekend for the entire month of December we'll have young adults in here from my night court just from last week cleaning up this district house.

For those individuals -- and, again, it's not a hammer court. Don't think that we're in there just to bang people. If you cannot afford the penalties -- and quite honestly, for a lot of especially the younger adults we allow the parents to opt to paying a fine if they're found guilty or giving them community service.

We implement a lot of community service in this program. And personally, I have very strong feelings about community service because I think that works even better than imposing a fine because, quite honestly, mommy and daddy put their hand in their pockets and come up with 100, 200, or \$300, it's not going to have the impact on that young adult

that we want.

So this station house and the cells and the streets around us are being cleaned every single weekend by young adults through this area, and we're doing that throughout the city. That's one of our -- a big component part our whole program is community service.

And the community court, as this young gentleman to my left mentioned, the cost connected to the social services, they're pretty significant; but we're trying right now through a lot of other avenues to get the federal monies to implement a community court.

But not just as I mentioned earlier, a center city community court, a community court that's going to go overall throughout the city. And we're not looking to decriminalize; but we're looking to focus on the retail theft cases, the smaller graffiti cases, the cases that we see are just cluttering up the courts that we could really get a better impact, a bang for our buck, if you will, by giving community service and by giving the kind of social support to those people that need it. So we are working on that.

And by the way, one of the reasons of being able to implement the pro tempore program, it would free up our judges from doing the night work court to then get into the community court concept.

2.5

Starting tomorrow, the Board of Judges will be meeting for the next two days; and on our agenda is just that, the community court concept. So we're real big on it. We're really buying into it. Our whole court is in support of it.

And as I mentioned earlier, our ideas for the Municipal Court is Philadelphia's community court. We just want to expand it to citywide and make it work. Thank you.

MR. FRANKEL: While the Judge is here, I should also note that at least up until today we have not received any complaints in our office about the night courts. Now, since there's a TV camera here, I undoubtedly will have to retract that.

And it doesn't mean anything happened, but somebody's going to call and make a complaint because I just said we haven't received any. But I want the panel to know

that we have not been in our office receiving complaints about how the night court has been working.

And I do believe it's because you have people being advised of their rights. And it's their own willingness to give up for whatever reason because they know they're guilty or because they don't want the trouble of going downtown.

And if community service sentences are being imposed, we think that's very good. But I should note for the record it's not only the New York Civil Liberties Union which has not heard problems, but neither has the Philadelphia office heard about problems so far with regard to what this jurist has been doing.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Representative Josephs.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: Thank you. I have mostly a comment. Representative Don Walko and I had an opportunity before Thanksgiving to see a similar setup in Camden.

Its orientation is more towards people who have substance abuse problems; and, indeed, they call it drug court. But it is a working

together of the public defenders and other defense attorneys, prosecutors, social service organizations, community groups, the Board of Probation and Parole. And it's very interesting.

I would be very happy or

Representative Walko would be very happy also

to give you -- anybody information who wants to

find out more about it, how it's funded, how it

works.

But again, I think it's an approach that combines some of the things that we're talking about here. It brings people -- it helps victims because a lot of these people after they've gotten out of the rehabilitation phase are in a job and they're paying back their victims, they're paying back the community that they victimized, and it helps everybody.

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Thank you. Any additional comments or questions?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRPERSON CLARK: Okay. I thank
both of you gentlemen. And this concludes our
Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Courts

hearing on House Bill 1897. Once again, I'd like to thank everyone for coming and providing us with insight into this matter. Thank you very much.

(At or about 12:35 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Deirdre J. Meyer, Reporter, Notary

Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and

for the County of Lancaster, Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing

is a true and accurate transcript of my

stenotype notes taken by me and subsequently

reduced to computer printout under my

supervision, and that this copy is a correct

record of the same.

This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under my direct control and/or supervision.

Deirdre J. Meyer Reporter, Notary Public. My commission expires August 10, 1997.