COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The Pennsylvania House of :
Representatives Judiciary :
Committee Subcommittee on :
Crime and Corrections :
Hearing on Inmate Escapes :
from SCI-Pittsburgh :

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - x

Pages 1 through 186

Ballroom-William Pitt Union University of Pittsburgh

3959 Fifth Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Tuesday, March 4, 1997

Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

REPRESENTATIVE JERRY BIRMELIN, Chairman REPRESENTATIVE HAROLD JAMES REPRESENTATIVE TOM CALTIGERONE REPRESENTATIVE LISA BOSCOLA REPRESENTATIVE FRANK DERMODY REPRESENTATIVE KATHY MANDERINO REPRESENTATIVE JANE ORIE REPRESENTATIVE DON WALKO REPRESENTATIVE ROBERT REBER REPRESENTATIVE AL MASLAND CHIEF COUNSEL BRIAN J. PRESKI DAVID KRANTZ

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

1-800-334-1063

1997-126

$\underline{\mathbf{C}} \ \underline{\mathbf{O}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$

| 2 | SPEAKER | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| 3 | Opening Comments - Chairman Birmelin | 3 |
| 4 | Major Lyle Szupinka, Area Commander – Area 3
Pennsylvania State Police | 7 |
| 5
6 | Rudy Arensberg, Corrections Officer
Union Steward - Local 2500 | 55 |
| 7 | Mike Degonish, Labor Foreman
Union Steward – Local 2500 | 58 |
| 8 | John Bush, Corrections Officer
Board Member - Local 2500 | 58 |
| 10 | The Honorable Harry A. Readshaw
Representative – 36th Legislative District | 121 |
| 11
12 | Michael Clate
Pennsylvania Prison Society | 128 |
| 13 | The Honorable Don Walko
Representative – 20th Legislative District | 142 |
| 14
15 | Rudy Arensberg, Corrections Officer
Union Steward - Local 2500 | 152 |
| 16 | The Honorable Dan Onorato Councilman, City Council of Pittsburgh | 162 |
| 17
18 | Michael Clate
Pennsylvania Prison Society | 172 |
| 19 | Karima Evans Morris, Wife of Inmate | 175 |
| 20 | | |

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

PROCEEDINGS

9:30 a.m.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We welcome vou here this morning to the second day of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Crime and Corrections hearing, dealing with the inmate escapes from the State Correctional Institution of Pittsburgh. which occurred on January 8th of this year. And we are going to have a series of testifiers here this morning and before we do that, I'd like to just, in brief, tell those of you who are here and please forgive me if you were at the meeting yesterday, to share with you a little bit of the Committee's purpose and why we are here and what we are doing.

In the first place, as the Judiciary Committee, we do have the oversight and responsibility for how the correctional institutions in Pennsylvania are run. We have a responsibility for funding them, obviously, which we do and do more and more of each year. And as I indicated yesterday, it is now the largest budget item in the Pennsylvania general budget, rapidly approaching \$1 billion a year.

Though the Governor, in his administrative function, is responsible primarily for the operation of our prisons, we do know that we share that responsibility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with him, in that we have to fund and pass the legislation by which they are run, by and large. And so, when we have an incident, such as the one that occurred on January 8th of this year, the public is wanting to know why it happened We feel that, and what can be done to prevent it. legislators, we have to take an intense look at what happened and see if there's anything that legislators can do to prevent such an incident from occurring in the future.

This also has become or will become a little bit broader in scope today, as we will not simply be talking about the institution, itself, in Pittsburgh and how it's run and it's security measures, but and we touched on this a little bit yesterday, the entire prison system and how it is run, in all of our state prisons, somewhere in the neighborhood of 23 or 24. So, it is an education for those of us who are on the Committee, as well, as to how the prison systems are run in Pennsylvania.

Yesterday, we heard from the Commissioner of Prisons, Marty Horn and we heard from some other folks, one of whom was the investigator for the prison system. Today, we are going to be hearing from the lead investigator for the Pennsylvania State Police, in just a few minutes.

I'm going to introduce the members who are on the

Judiciary Committee. This is technically a Subcommittee hearing. All Judiciary Committee members are certainly welcome and we encourage them to participate in the hearing. So, many of our members here today are members of the Committee, as a whole. And so, I'm going to do that now and introduce them.

First of all, I want to introduce, to my left and to your right, Representative Harold James, from Philadelphia. He is my counterpart on the Democratic side of the aisle and he is the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Crime and Corrections, appointed by the Democratic staff.

I failed to introduce myself. I'm Representative Birmelin, the Republican Chairman of this Subcommittee, who was asked by the Chairman of the Committee, Representative Gannon, to chair these meetings.

To Representative James' left is the Democratic Chairman for the Committee. That is Representative Tom Caltigerone, from **Berks** County.

Seated next to him is Representative Lisa Boscola.

And she hails from New Bethlehem. She has a little bit of Lehigh County, but most of her District is in Northampton.

Next to her is Representative Frank Dermody, who is from Allegheny County.

Next to him is Representative Kathy Manderino.

Kathy hails from this portion of the state, I guess basically, but she represents a district in Philadelphia now. So, she is the east-west connection here today.

And next to her is David Krantz, who is the Executive Director for the Democratic staff, on the Judiciary Committee.

To my immediate right is Brian Preski. Brian Preski is the Chief Counsel for the Republicans, on the House Judiciary Committee.

Next to him is Representative Jane Orie. She is from Allegheny County.

Next to her is Representative Don Walko, from Allegheny County and it is in his District that the prison resides.

And skipping over one empty chair, we have Representative Robert Reber, who is from Montgomery County.

And last but not least is my good friend, Representative Al Masland, from Cumberland Couinty.

All that having been said, the method we will follow, generally speaking, is that we will ask our witnesses to come up, make a statement concerning their particular interest in this matter and then, we will open it up to questions from the members of the Judiciary panel. The members are again asked to make sure that their questions are to the point and specific, not only to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

breakout of January 8th, but to the conditions in the prison, in general and hopefully, we will be able to enlighten each other in the process. We'd only ask the members to try to do their best to pay attention to other members' questioning, so that we are not asking the same questions, over and over again.

We do have several witnesses today and we do expect the day to last, probably until around 4:00 p.m., with a break for lunch. If you have a question or do not have a copy of the agenda, we have some extras up here on the table to my right and your left. If you are interested in seeing who is testifying, pick up one of those.

If you have any questions of the panel members, themselves, you may do so at the lunch break or stick around for the whole day. You may do so, at that time.

At this point, I would like to introduce our first testifier, Major Lyle Szupinka. He is the Area Commander for Area 3 Pennsylvania State Police. Major Szupinka, would you please come and give your testimony?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: During the investigation of the escape and the capture of the inmates, I acted as liaison between the Department of Corrections and the Commissioner State Police staff in Harrisburg. As such, Ι directly involved in the investigation. but met frequently with the investigators and I received daily

briefings. What I would like to do is, with your permission, start at the very beginning and explain to you the role that we played in the investigation and capture. And then, after I'm through, if you have any questions or if you want to interrupt me, as I testify, feel free to do so.

On January 8th, at 1:05 p.m., the State Police Station at Findlay was notified by Lieutenant Perry of the Department of Corrections, that there were six inmates missing and unaccounted for. At that time, the information that we received was that Corrections did not know whether or not these individuals were still within the confines of the institution. That call was made on what we refer to as the "red telephone." It's a hot line, between our State Police Station and the Department of Corrections. All you have to do is pick up the phone in Corrections and it automatically rings into our State Police Station.

On getting the call, we immediately dispatched all our available Troop B and Troop S troopers, along with Lieutenant Mike Honkus, who is the Findlay Station Commander. Lieutenant Honkus arrived at the scene of the institution at approximately, 1:30 p.m. Other troopers had already been there.

One of the first things that Lieutenant Honkus did was respond to the command post inside the institution.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I know that there's been testimony and problems concerning notification of the Pittsburgh Police Chief. One of the first questions he asked, when he got there, of Corrections, was, "Was the City of Pittsburgh notified?" and he was told, in fact, that they were.

I know that at 1:38 p.m., the Department of Corrections, from the State Institution at Pittsburgh, sent out a clean message. This is a computer generated administrative message. This was what we refer to as an SN99 Message, which means that that message went to every Police Department within a 99-mile radius of the institution. This message did contain the fact that there was an escape and it had the identifying factors of the inmates who were missing.

around 2:00 p.m., the tunnel entrance exits were discovered, along with discarded clothing. that same time, there were numerous State Police and local police officers outside the institution. They were searching along the riverbank, along the Ohio River. were going around to the various businesses. They were checking the alleys, the streets and what have you. There were approximately, 35 40 state and local police to officers. I know that Allegheny County Police were there. There were some other local police departments.

I talked to one of the troopers, who said he saw

a Pittsburgh Police vehicle there, but I'm not sure of that. I talked to others, who said that they weren't sure who was all there.

We also sent our State Police helicopter, from Greensburg, to the institution. They were in the area.

At approximately, two o'clock, 2:30, somewhere around there, Lieutenant Honkus sent Trooper Miller down to the Zone 1 Police Station, with an escape packet. What the escape packet was -- I'll show you what we have here (indicating). It would be a flyer on those who have escaped, along with a photograph of the escapee. Now, I'm not sure when the package went to Pittsburgh Police, that contained the larger photograph. I'm not sure of that. But this (indicating) is what was delivered and that was -- so that that information would be available to him, during their roll call, so that they could alert their people.

Around 3:00 p.m., an individual who worked in the area reported that his vehicle was missing, a Pontiac.

We now know for sure that once the inmates escaped, that that was, in fact, the vehicle that they stole.

Shortly after 3:00 p.m., the State Police at Findlay sent out, not only statewide, but nationwide messages, concerning all the information on the escapees, their descriptive information and anything else that may be

of interest to law enforcement. We immediately contacted various State Police Stations. Due to the Department of Corrections, we were able to see where these people were from. We were able to see acquaintances. We were able to determine who their relations were and all the police departments in those areas were immediately contacted, including some police agencies in the State of Arizona. We contacted Harrisburg State Police, Lancaster, Reading, Hamburg, Lehigh and a number of others.

That evening, as the calls began to be received on possible sightings, there were numerous Allegheny County Police Departments involved. Allegheny County Police, Pittsburgh City Police, Ross Township, Bellevue, Glassport and the list goes on and on, became involved.

The Greater Pittburgh Fugitive Task Force played a very important role, right from the very beginning of the investigation, as did the State Police Fugitive Task Force. They are groups of individuals, whose sole purpose is to track and arrest fugitives.

From our investigation to date, we know that the inmates, all of them were left out of their cells at around 6:00 a.m., and we know that they were in the tunnel around 6:30, 7:00, a little bit after 7:00, already in the tunnel and making their way out of the institution.

Through our investigations, we've had -- identified

two separate sightings of them driving a stolen car. One was in Bellevue. A school crossing guard saw the vehicle pass her and noticed that a window was broken out and she — she wrote down the registration number, which was odd, because it's NSCALE, N-S-C-A-L-E that she wrote down.

We have another individual, who wishes to remain anonymous, who saw that vehicle, with four or five individuals, on Beaver Avenue, just -- this would be south of the institution. So, we know that that is really after 8:00. Not only were they out of the institution, but they were already in the stolen Pontiac.

That vehicle was recovered in Ohio, on the 13th.

The Pennsylvania registration plate was not on it. An

Ohio registration plate was on it. That Ohio registration

plate was stolen on the 8th and so, we know the inmates,

on the day of the escape, were already into Ohio.

They also stole a van from Springfield, Ohio, on the 8th and a Missouri license plate, on the 8th. So, not only were they in Ohio, they were actually into Missouri, the day of the escape.

At this point, we were also receiving other information, that these individuals were, in fact, headed west. This confirmed that, when we found the recovered vehicle that was stolen out of Pennsylvania and Ohio and then, we became aware of the Missouri registration plate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We actually faxed every police department, from one side of Ohio, to the other side of Missouri, all the wanted information. Every police department along Interstate 70 was faxed with that information, in addition to the hundreds of UNESCO messages and phone calls that we were making.

On January 14th, Inmate Berkelbaugh was found wandering around a bus station in Houston, Texas. What occurred there was shortly after midnight, a security guard noticed that he was there. He was confused, he was incoherent. He arranged for him to be transported to a local hospital. While being treated at the hospital, Berkelbaugh gave the physician the name and phone number for a relative in Pennsylvania. Fortunately, the day of the escape, state troopers had contacted that relative and that relative told, "If you learn οf anvthing was concerning the whereabouts of Berkelbaugh, please call us." The hospital called that individual and as soon as he got off the call, he placed -- as soon as he got off the phone, he placed a call to State Police Findlay and told our troopers that Berkelbaugh was, in fact, in a hospital in Houston, Texas. That was about 5:00 in the morning that we received that call. The trooper immediately contacted the Harris County Sheriff's Department in Texas. Thev responded to the hospital and they took him into custody.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within several hours after that, we had two state troopers fly down to Texas to interview Berkelbaugh, to see if we could learn anything from him about the escape.

The very next day, on the 15th, Inmate Keller captured by a Texas trooper. What happened, the trooper observed vehicle being driven without the a occupants wearing seat belts. He attempted to stop the vehicle. There was a short chase. It only lasted two or The vehicle was involved in an accident. three minutes. Keller, who was driving, was apprehended at the scene. Inmate Pontes, who was a passenger, escaped into a swampy area. That started a three, four-day manhunt. Pontes was able to get out of the swampy area. Fortunately, we had the troopers already in Texas. They were picked up by the local police, after we called them at their motel room and told them what had happened. They were picked up by local police, who took them to the scene of the chase, of the manhunt. They were able to identify Keller and interview him and they assisted Texas troopers in the search for Pontes.

On the 18th, the stolen van, from Ohio, was found in Houston, Texas, with the registration plate in it. We then received information, a confidential source, concerning the possible whereabouts of the four remaining inmates and who they may be associated with. The Greater

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pittsburgh Fugitive Task Force contacted the Houston Fugitive Task Force and on the evening of January 20th, they followed a stolen vehicle to a motel room in Pasadena, which is just outside of Houston and the four remaining inmates were apprehended.

On the 16th, Berkelbaugh waived extradition. He was brought back to Pennsylvania. I believe he's at SCI Greene. We had a problem. No commercial carrier would transport him, because of the reports of his incoherent state when he was apprehended. We had to send our Commonwealth plane down to pick him up, along with several troopers.

The very next day, on the 17th, Keller waived extradition and we brought him back, by commercial airliner. And right now, we are in the process of making arrangements to bring the other four inmates back. Thev have not waived extradition. They were supposed to have the hearing, I believe that it was last week, but it was Thursday, postponed. Ι believe this there is another hearing and we will bring them back, once that extradition process is complete.

During the course of the investigation, there were hundreds of telephone calls made and hundreds of administrative messages that were sent, not only statewide, but nationwide. We used Pennsylvania Crimestoppers. For

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those of you not familiar with the Crimestoppers, it is a nonprofit corporation, that offers rewards for fugitives. The Pennsylvania Crimestoppers offered a \$1,000 per inmate reward. Although they received hundreds of tips on the 1-800-4PA-TIPS Hotline, no one has qualified for the reward.

For the first time ever, the State Police entered the wanted information on the internet. There were over 1,000 inquiries made, concerning that entry on the internet.

The Greater Pittsburgh Fugitive Task Force and other fugitive task forces, nationwide, played a very important role.

We also agreed to have America's Most Wanted air the escape. And even though the inmates were captured, prior to the airing of the escape, they still ran the We had hundreds and hundreds of calls that were program. received at the State Police Station in Findlay. So many calls were being received on tips, we had sightings in Philadelphia, we had sightings in Northeast Pennsylvania, we had sitings in the Harrisburg area, we had sightings in Allegheny County and of course, now we know that all of those were bogus. Those inmates were clean into Missouri, the first But nevertheless, all of those sightings had to be checked out. In fact, there were so many calls being placed to the Findlay Station, there were times when I could not call them by telephone. I had to actually either

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

send a trooper there to tell them to call me or send them an administrative message over the clean system, to call me. There was that much cooperation from the citizens.

We will again be interviewing the four inmates. Every inmate who was involved in this escape has been interviewed, at least twice. When the troopers go to Texas, they will be again interviewing the four inmates, as they bring them back or once they are back here.

At this point, our investigation -- now, you have to understand, the State Police investigation is a criminal investigation. We were looking at whether or not anybody did anything intentionally or failed to do something intentionally, knowing that they were aiding an escape. Although. during the course of the investigation, received a lot of information concerning negligence on behalf of the prison staff and what have you, that information Department Corrections was given to the of Investigative Team. We, ourselves focused in on was there or was there not criminal activity by other inmates or guards.

At this point, we've talked to hundreds of individuals, both inmates and correctional officers. At this point, we have no substantiated information, that would lead to the filing of additional charges at anyone,

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at this point. At this point, we have no one else charged in this crime. We have information, but it is unsubstantiated. So, we -- you know, the investigation, although not closed, until we get something new, it's pretty much at a stalemate, at this time.

If you want, I could go through some of the cost factors to the State Police. Overtime, lodging for the troopers, they had to go to Houston, Texas, subsistence, transportation, \$37,718.24. That does not include. the straight time salary. There will additional \$15,000 to \$16,000 involved in bringing the other four inmates back. Because there are four inmates. it is too expensive to use a commercial airliner, so, the people -- what the people are probably going to do is contract a private carrier, bring two inmates back at a time, with four troopers and make two trips. It would be cheaper in the long run. So, the cost will be up around \$50,000 or \$60,000. And if you were to add straight time, the salaries of the troopers, you could easily quadruple that.

That concludes my testimony. If you have questions, I'll try my best to answer them.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Major. I appreciate your comments. I'm not going to ask you any questions, at this time. In turn, I'd ask the members of the panel,

| up | here, | to | ask | que | esti | lons | of | you | . An | d we | e wi | ll start | with |
|------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|----------|------|
| my | count | erpa | ırt, | as | I | int | rodi | ıced | him | to | you | earlier | . He |
| is | the | Cha | airma | an | of | th | е | Subc | ommit | tee | on | Crimes | and |
| Corrections, Representative James. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for testifying.

You had said something about an escape package was sent out. Who was the escape package sent to?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: We had a trooper, around 2:00, 2:30. He took the escape package down to the Zone 1 Police Station in the City of Pittsburgh. And that was delivered to a desk sergeant. What it would have contained is what I showed you here, the information.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I wasn't sure whether the Pittsburgh Police received a package.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: They received the package. It was personally delivered to them by Trooper Douglas Barto or excuse me, Trooper Douglas Miller.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You said that the time of the first call that the State Police received about the escape was when?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: It was 1:05 p.m.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And at 1:38, what happened then?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: At 1:38, SCI Pittsburgh, they

have their own clean administrative terminal, sent out an SN99 message, which went to all police departments within a 99-mile radius. So, it was under the authority of the Superintendent, who was, at that time Greg White. That would have gone to every police department, which has an administrative terminal, within a 99-mile radius, both local and state police.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You also said that the investigation is still ongoing, in terms of the State Police keeping up the criminal investigation.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That's still going on, at this time?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And that includes officials in the Department of Corrections, as well as inmates?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: You also said something about America's Most Wanted. And what you said was they were going to air the program, but then you captured the inmates and --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: They -- they did air the program.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Was it before the capture or afterwards?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: After the capture. The lady who

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

came out said her biggest fear was that we would apprehend them, without giving them a chance to run the program. We notified them that they were apprehended and they still, that weekend ran the program, indicating that they had been captured.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. Because eliminating that from the air, I thought that was pretty absurd.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Pardon me?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: The network tried to eliminate the program, America's Most Wanted.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: I -- I don't know.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, I just thought that it was absurd that they would take that program off the air, because of the --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, you see, it -- it's very were sending out and making hundreds of Wе phone calls to the police departments. But there's no way for us to reach the general citizenry of Philadelphia, We felt that Pittsburgh, Harrisburg, Houston, Texas. through using something like the America's Most Wanted, that these people, sitting in their homes or sitting in a tavern would see that and the word would get out. Because, to be truthful with you, how much attention does someone in Houston, Texas pay to an escape in Pittsburgh or how much attention do we pay in Pittsburgh to an escape

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in Houston, Texas, as far as the general public?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, that's true.

And I know that the investigation is not over. Have you made, have the State Police made, in the process of making recommendations, have you made recommendations, as it relates to what happened so far or are you going to wait until the investigation is over?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Recommendations to the Department of Corrections?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That's correct.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Immediately after the escape apprehension, the Superintendents all and of the institutions in Pennsylvania were directed to meet with the local police and the local state police commander. That was done statewide. In fact, I attended numerous meetings within Area 3. I have 10 of the institutions, within Area 3. And there were various topics discussed. I -- I can assure you, from my meeting with the Superinthat Commissioner Horn tendents in Area 3. has them jumping. They were telling me of all the things that they are directed to check, to ensure that they don't have the same type of problems. So, it is not -- I -- I can assure you, from talking to the Superintendents in Area 3, that they are checking and double checking everything within their institutions. And we would have also met concerning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

notification. When we responded to the institution, didn't take long to realize that the inmates were first missing during the 10:30 head count. And at that point, although we searched outside the institution and we had 40 people, had we reason to believe and seriously considered that they still might be in the area, we could have had 100 people there looking. But we realized that, you know, they had been gone since 10:30 and now we know that they were gone since 6:00, 6:30, 7:00 a.m., clean in Ohio. We were down there looking and they were clean into Ohio. So, there was a problem with notification. understanding of what they are doing at SCI Pittsburgh, as far as out counting inmates or what have you, that problem should not exist again. I mean, there's going to There's going to be some delay in the head be some delay. There may be some errors that have to be qualified, count. before they contact the State Police. But if all of the procedures that are being put into place are followed, I don't foresee another delay like this.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: But is it your belief that the delay, in this instance was unacceptable, in terms of the 10:30 to one o'clock before you were notified?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Oh, yes, sir, that -- that's -- like I said, at a minimum, we knew, when we got there, they could have a three-hour lead, two and one-half hour-lead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And -- and we know now that they had a lead, you know, as far as 6:00, 7:00 a.m.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Has any suggestion been made, in terms of to the Department of Corrections, as notifying the State Police or the local police after a head count?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes. Each, individual commander and I know that I speak for Area 3, during their meet and discuss sessions with the various Superintendents, are working out individual plans. Some institutions, there are discrepancies in the head count that I'm finding. And I am not a corrections officer. I know very little about corrections. But I know that some institutions, it's not that frequent that they have a discrepancy in the head count.

You have to understand, too, that this unique situation, in my 28 years of police work. But I'm sure it's happened before. I think this is the first time, that I can recall, that an escape was discovered due to inaccurate head count. Although we do investigate escapes each year at the state correctional numerous facilities, for the most part, some of them will be at a halfway house and walk away. Somebody will be out and not come back. Somebody will be outside the institution, on a work detail and will walk away. This is the first time,

that I can recall, but I'm sure it has happened before, that a head count has shown that there is a discrepancy and as a result, we discovered that, in fact, there had been an escape. So, this is somewhat of a unique situation.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I have one, quick question for you. The report that we got and I'm sure you're familiar with it --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: -- (continuing) -- did you have input into this?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: I -- I reviewed the report, prior -- I reviewed the rough draft of that report, prior to its being released and I reviewed it after it was released.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: So, you are familiar with it and you had input into it?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir, I reviewed it, to make sure that the State Police investigation was accurate and the Department of Corrections' investigation was accurate, so that there would be no conflicting information.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: You did not do a separate report?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: No, sir. Our report is a criminal investigative report. And as such, the information that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contained in that report, a lot of that is confidential information. We have individuals, who gave us statements in that report, who, for various reasons want to remain anonymous. And although we have no substantiated information that would lead to an arrest of an inmate or a correction officer, nevertheless, there is information in that report, where accusations are made. Now, you know, we would not want that to become public knowledge, for a number of reasons. Number one, those accusations may not be true and we'd be doing a disservice to the Department of Corrections' personnel. And the other thing is and it goes the same with inmates testifying, we do not want individuals, who may have had accusations made against them, we would not want them, at this point to know exactly everything in that accusation, because that could hamper our criminal investigation.

So, if you're asking, will the State Police give a written report of their findings, I would tell you no, because that is a criminal investigative report.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: My concern is whether or not you have input into it, so that you would not be contradicting anything that is in here (indicating). And I am assuming that you wouldn't, because you said that you reviewed it and it apparently met with your approval.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you.

Representative Orie?

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I guess my first question would be, as a prosecutor, both in Allegheny County and the State of Pennsylvania, with all the cases involving Western Penitentiary, in your investigation, have you found any compromise by any correction officers or suspicion in regards to correction officers being lax in security or the tools being given out to these individuals? In your investigation, has any of that been uncovered?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: There -- there was a -- a multitude of information that was received, dealing with lax security, dealing with key control, dealing with tool control. For the that information most part, wa.s immediately their internal security turned over to investigators. concerned primarily with ₩e were intentional acts, criminal acts that aided in the escape. If -- if we found -- and there were numerous inferences, public knowledge of numerous instances of various rules and regulations of the Department of Corrections, that were violated. But that was not a concern of ours. That was something that they, in house, internally have to address. We looked strictly at criminal violations of the law. cooperated 100 percent.

Many of the interviews, their internal investigators

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were present. They would have -- at this point, they have the same information that we have. In fact, the -- the only difference would be that we have more information on the criminal side of it and they would have information the internal side, because on once We identified or once it was obvious that the problem was a violation of rules and regulations of the Department of Corrections, we did not concern ourselves with that, unless we could show that there was criminal conduct.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I guess my question would be that did you find any of those intentional acts or obtain any information from inmates, that you interviewed, that any correctional officers, in any way aided or abetted these individuals?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: There -- there are of substantiated allegations. If -- if -- if we have a way or a method of substantiating these allegations, then, we would definitely, you know, file the necessary criminal charges.

A lot of things that occurred here, only the inmates can answer the questions. And, you know, during our interviews with them, for the most part, they told us what we already knew.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And in regards to your statement that you investigated co-conspirators or alleged any possible co-conspirator, in your opinion, based upon your

investigative experience, which obviously is pretty extensive and based upon the information in this report that we have available to us about, for example, the padlock, where it was placed, based upon your investigative experience, do you have an opinion as to whether or not other inmates were involved?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, it's a -- I -- I deal with the -- you can think what you want to think. You can look at something and you could say that it is pretty obvious that this person or that person helped. But thinking that, like I said, would be an opinion and we, in the police have to deal with the facts. We can either prove it or we can't prove it. Our opinion and we can have them and -- and we can have an opinion, whether we think this individual is involved and the very next investigator would say, "No, I don't think so." You know, we have to deal with the facts. Can we prove a criminal violation or we can't. No, I would not want to speculate on anything of others being involved.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And in regards to your investigation of this matter, you haven't interviewed the other inmates?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: We -- we've talked to them twice. When the other four inmates were apprehended, we immediately sent troop -- two troopers back down to Texas, to interview

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them. Within the last two weeks, we sent two troopers back down to Texas and we interviewed them again. And in fact, we have every intention of, on the way back, on the plane ride or once they are back, we will interview them a third time.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And in regard to these inmates in this vehicle, I mean common sense questions arise to the effect of how were they able to get these resources and continue to drive to Ohio and then on to Texas? Did you discover how they were able to --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: We don't know, at this point. We know, for example, when Berkelbaugh was apprehended at the bus station, he had over \$600 in his pocket.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Excuse me?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: He had over \$600 in his pocket. When the inmates were apprehended, the last four in Texas, they had sufficient funds to rent hotel rooms, they were eating quite well, they had money for drugs, which was found in the room; they had a sawed off shotgun, they had another automatic pistol found in the room. One way or another, they had funds, they had money. Where it came from, we don't know, at this time. We know -- at least we have not identified, with the exception of stealing cars and stealing license plates, we have not identified a single committed, outside the crime that they have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

institution. -- we can pinpoint them with no We can robberies, no burglaries or anything of that nature. As far as we know, it's stolen cars and stolen license plates. Yet, when we finally get them in custody, they have money. The three individuals who were with them in Houston, Texas have been arrested for hindering apprehension. were also charged with drug violations, because of the drugs that were found in the motel. These individuals, apparently were helping them. That could be a source of something.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And just a follow up to one of Representative James' questions, in regards to the notice factor in this case, do you have any input into how that notice is done? For example, in this case, they had done a head count at 10:30 or whenever and found out that 18 were missing and then, they narrowed it down to six missing. And then, everybody was put back to their cells, they could do a true count. Is there any input in regards to once it's been narrowed down and rather than putting them back in their cells, having an automatic call to the State Police?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That -- that's been an ongoing issue of discussion, like I said, amongst the local and state police commanders. And a lot of these things are still being formulated. I know that we had a staff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conference, with the State Police Commanders, last week, in Harrisburg, with our Commissioner and Deputies and all Commanders statewide. And the Department Corrections and our responsibilities and our response and This is an our duties and our functions was the topic. ongoing process, at this time. Needless to say, we don't, from the State Police aspect, want anything like this to happen again, because any -- any problems within Department of Corrections, whether it be an escape or a disturbance, rapidly turn into our problems. So, you know, the Department of Corrections has been working with us. cooperating 100 percent. And like I said, myself, I have been meeting with the Superintendents and we're trying to do everything we can, to make sure that something like this doesn't happen again.

And I'd like to point out that in 1989, at the Camp Hill riots, I was there. And if you look at all of the problems that were uncovered as a result of that and you look at all the corrections that were made and, you know, it's a shame that something like this has to happen, But I would say that, you to bring problems to light. know, by this time next year, as a result of this, you are going to have a better Department of Corrections than you ever had. And Τ have no doubt. Ι have faith have spoke with confidence in Commissioner Horn, who I

numerous times and Superintendents and correction officers in my area. Like I said, the end result is that by this time next year, you will have a better Department of Corrections in Pennsylvania than you've ever had.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And I guess my last question with you regards that notification. Is it based on a reasonable degree of certainty or definite certainty?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, what we are trying to work out is a system where, if you are off on the head count, let us know, so that we can send one or two troopers down. And if you are off the second time, then, we would naturally increase our response, to the point where we would definitely know that they are missing and we would, in turn have a full scale response to the institution.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I appreciate your cooperation and thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Caltigerone?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

I just want to make the comment that I think that it is a testament to the quality of people that we have working with our Pennsylvania State Police, the efficient and effective method that they have developed, in responding to these types of situations. I just want to say to the Major that it is a job well done.

(717) 761-7150

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: You responded in the way that you are supposed to. I think that the citizens of Pennsylvania can be very proud of our State Police, because I think you do an excellent job.

> MAJOR SZUPINKA: I appreciate that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Walko:

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Major, you had stated -- I'm very concerned about the cost to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania of escape. Ι believe you said that with overtime, And lodging, bring the inmates back, you believe that it would be betwen \$50,000 and \$60,000 in costs.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: The costs to date for lodging, subsistence, transportation, overtime is \$37,718.24. Now, if you --

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: If you want to just estimate, that ~-

\$38,000. \$38,000. If you add MAJOR SZUPINKA: another \$15,000 or \$16,000 and we know that's what it's going to cost us to bring the other four inmates back, it's going to be cheaper to subcontract the two planes from private contractors, than it is to go with a commercial So, you are talking between \$50,000 and \$60,000. airline. that's just additional expenses. If you were to

calculate all of the State Police Officers' straight time, who were involved in this investigation, from the very start, you could quadruple that number.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: So, we're talking a quarter of a million dollars, approximately?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: You're talking a considerable amount of money.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, with regard to costs, local police departments spent a lot of time and energy on this matter, as well.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir, they did.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Is the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania -- first of all, that is a cost to the people of the Commonwealth, through their local departments, but will the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania have to reimburse any local police departments for all of their time?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: No, sir. You really can't do that, because if it was them, the State Police would step in and help. And -- and you could not. I would not want to see something like that.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, with regard to the cost again, the cost of the criminal investigation, that's ongoing?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Does that also factor into

these estimates of overtime?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well. we have of no projecting what -- what the future overtime may be. may be overtime involved, when they have to go to Texas There may be overtime involved for to bring them back. the court case, if, in fact, it ever reaches court. we have no way of telling the additional costs. that the Department of Corrections, although it is still state money, they are reimbursing the State Police for the They have agreed to pay the money that transportation. it will take to bring the other four inmates back.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And you mentioned that that is part of the \$16,000 or whatever?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That's what it is projected to cost to bring the remaining four inmates back to Pennsylvania from Texas.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Also, again, I don't know that anybody else would be able to testify to the costs.

There's certainly the attorney time. Is that something --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: I have -- I would have no idea.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: But I suppose there's quite a few hours of attorney, court time --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Absolutely. But you have to realize that, you know, the costs in -- all costs involved don't include the two State Police -- I mean the corrections.

You had a lot of federal agencies involved, the FBI and their Fugitive Task Force, numerous, numerous local police departments. When we received a tip, we would call them and if possible, send a trooper with them, where they went and — and we'd search buildings and areas. In fact, the City of Pittsburgh searched the whole city block for us, that was abandoned. It was a steel mill for a warehouse. They searched that whole area. I don't even know how many officers. Plus they had their dogs and everything else there.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: That was a big operation.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: That was the old Heppenstahl Plant.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: In my district. There were quite a few manhours. And of course, the community people of the neighborhood becoming alarmed, I mean, that's a cost that we cannot gauge exactly.

I -- with regard to other prisoners being involved, I -- when I toured the Auto Shop, on January 15th and then again yesterday, where the panic button was, that was hit, I recalled the Nixon era and the Watergate Investigation, the old Rosemary's boo-boo, when she said she had reached about five feet across the room and

accidentally erased 18 minutes of incriminating tape. And that seems to me very analogous to the situation of the prisoner who hit the panic button.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: When you -- when you visited yesterday --

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Yes.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: -- (continuing) -- was -- was the panic button marked as such?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I don't know.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: I've been told that when that button was pressed, the day of the escape, that button was not marked as a panic button and that an inmate had been told by the other inmates to turn on the exhaust fan, which is right above the panic button and he walked over there and -- and pushed the button. You can believe, if you want, that that is just too much of a coincidence or you can believe that the inmate pushed the wrong button, thinking he was turning on the exhaust fan.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Well, the little switch that does work the exhaust fan is about three feet from the panic button, I believe. And if I was the finder of fact, I would think that that was intentional. Of course, I don't know his familiarity with the shop. But I just find that extremely hard to believe.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir. And it's a matter

of being able to prove it in a court of law.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Well, once -- when will we receive an actual accounting of the cost to the State Police of this whole endeavor?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Upon conviction and sentencing.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No further questions. Thank
you, Major.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Just out of curiosity,

I need you to follow up on Representative Walko's question.

The things that these inmates had, the cash and so forth,

what happened to that?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, right now, that -- all that cash would be placed into evidence, until such time as whatever court proceedings are -- are finished. I do not see it going back to the inmates. That will probably be treated -- treated into the state coffers.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: It would go back into their accounts?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: I would surely hope not.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Reber?

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Major, I'd just like to offer one specific issue that seems to be hanging up in my mind, that's extremely

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

troublesome to me. It's my understanding that we now know that the escape, itself physically took place on or about 6:30. Is that a fair thinking?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: There sightings of the are inmates within the institution, 6:30, 6:35, 6:40. So that they would have been still within the institution then. The information that we had was that they would have been in the tunnel by 7:00, a little bit after 7:00. I know the vehicle was -- was seen a little bit after 8:00, by I would say that the time that they were to get outside. they were probably in the tunnel about 7:00, 7:30, outside the institution and across the street, steal the car and they're out of there.

me is we're not talking about one person. We're talking about six. And my question is this, it would seem to me that someone should have known rather emphatically that the person was unlawfully missing. Now, maybe not all six, but in my mind, I can't see where someone did not pick up that Prisoner A or Prisoner B or Prisoner C were obviously gone.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: And it bothers me that there wasn't an immediate alert put out for one or for two or for maybe three. But the fact that six people, not only

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that these six were people who were shackled together and walking around the prison, you know, at -- at the same time, they obviously had to be or should have been at some assigned place, but were probably in six different places simultaneously.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: So, I'm just wondering, I -- I mean, is that a fair assumption to make?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, it is.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: In the course of your investigation, have you made any determination as to why you were not notified that Prisoner C was missing at 8:30 or nine o'clock, 9:30, as opposed to why "We now think six prisoners are missing" at some relatively later time?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes. Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: That's a guestion in my mind, if I'm in there checking around, there seems to be not just a breakdown, there seems to be a monumental reacting breakdown in different areas. as far as Prisoner A or Prisoner B, as opposed to the collectiveness of the six inmates.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: The report that Commissioner Horn submitted identifies various issues and breakdowns within the institution, that resulted in these inmates being unaccounted for. In other words, if they did not,

from my recollection of the report -- they were supposed to be at specific locations and when they did not show up, no one bothered to check on why they didn't show up. And that fallacy or that problem is very much throughout the investigative report submitted by the Bureau of Corrections.

Now, I would agree with you that if an inmate is to show up at a work location and he doesn't show up, someone should check to verify why he -- he or she isn't there. It stands to reason.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: And almost -- and -- and should almost be done immediately and verification. I mean, we're not talking about some Work Release Program for some first time third degree misdemeanor individual.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: From my meetings with the Department of Corrections' staff, I think that that is going to be done, from now on.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Well, you know, this is 1997.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay? And I guess, as someone, myself, as I said yesterday, who lives in a district that just abuts on Graterford and was somewhat concerned that these kind of things are in place throughout the system. And I just think that it's absolutely

mind boggling that you were not notified very, very early on, at least about one or two or three or a combination of the number six, much, much earlier. And I just don't know if I'm satisfied with what I'm hearing, in -- in regard to why, in fact that wasn't carried out. Is there going to be any follow up to this?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: You know, that -- that's something that the Department of Corrections will have to correct in house. We -- we can't do anything -- we can't dictate to Corrections how they do their job. I know nothing about Corrections. I'm not a guard. And a lot of folks say that they wouldn't want to be a policeman. I would not want to be a guard in one of these institutions. We can't dictate to them how they run their shop, how they run Corrections.

Like I said, through my discussions with various Superintendents of the institutions in Area 3, I can assure you that they are addressing these issues. Whether it be satisfactory or not, is beyond my scope. That's something that only this panel can determine.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Is it a fair statement to categorize, as I'm doing, that these six individuals weren't necessarily within a group of the same area compound, they were supposed to be at different places throughout the institution?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes. One was supposed to be working at an alternate job in the Machine Shop and some of the others were believed to have hospital passes for —for checkups or medication or what have you. Another one simply didn't show up and no one checked.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay. Thank you, Major. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Dermody?

REPRESENTATIVE DERMODY: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Masland?

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm going to pick up right where you left off and comment that you wouldn't want to be a corrections officer. You're a police officer. Chairman Caltigerone has already commended the Pennsylvania State Police on your work over the years. And then, I would add to that, too, as a former Assistant D.A. And I'm sure Chairman Caltigerone's comments have nothing to do with how fast he plans to drive on the Turnpike this afternoon, nor do mine. But just keep that in mind. I'll be leaving at 12:00.

But I'd like to commend the corrections officers. I think that yes, there were problems. I -- I do not think it's the person on the line who was at fault. I think that it's maybe the philosophy, from the administration, from the Superintendent down, that caused the problems and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really, at the top, more than the folks on the line.

I worked as an intern one summer, at Camp Hill Correctional Institution. That was a challenging summer, dealing with those inmates. And I have a lot of respect for the guards there.

When I was Assistant D.A. in Cumberland County, I had the opportunity to work with many of the PSP, during the Camp Hill riot trials and had also the opportunity to work with a number of corrections officers. And the last -the last defense case that I had, before going to the D.A.'s office, I had to actually cross-examine some corrections officers, because it was a mini-riot that Sheldon Brooks had started at the Camp Hill Prison and shuttling people And I had a lot of respect for them. all over the place. That was one of the toughest, toughest cases that I ever had to handle. And I did not enjoy doing that. So. I was I had, you know, glad to leave that Office. But incredible amount of respect for what those folks do. yeah, there are some problems. Just as not every State Representative and not every State Policeman is beyond reproach, there are some problem people in any given You are going to have some problems with profession. correction officers. But I think by and large, they have a very difficult task to do and they do a very good job.

Now, with that being said, just to kind of lead

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into their testimony coming up here in a few minutes, a lot of people in this case would say, "What's the problem? Why are you guys worrying about an investigation? How come we have so many more cops out there? They should be in the prison. They were found outside of the prison. It's an open and shut case." Now, as a former D.A., I've heard a lot of people say, "It's an open and shut case." And can you comment on that, just for a few seconds, to what really is the bulk of the investigation, I mean, actually proving the case, as opposed to just saying, "Well, common sense"?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, there is involved more than just the apprehension of six escaped convicts. And now that they are in custody, that was our priority. One priority was to get these individuals back into custody. before they hurt somebody. But part and parcel to that was the investigation of what occurred, as far as criminal conduct of others, that permitted this. Now that these are in custody, it's imperative that we do anything and everything that we can to see that something like this Not to say that it can't, but at doesn't happen again. least we need to put the safeguards in place, to try to make sure that this doesn't happen again. That's the The inmates are in custody. priority now. They're not The second phase of that is ensuring that going anywhere.

something like this doesn't happen again.

And I might concur wholeheartedly with what you said about the prison guards. They are professionals and they have a very, very tough job; a very tough job. I hope that's sufficient.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: My -- I guess my thought is that a lot of people would say, "Well, why are they fighting extradition?" The fact is, they have nothing to lose. They might as well fight extradition. If you get six guilty pleas out of this group, I'll be shocked. They have nothing to lose. They might as well fight extradition.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: They get to get out of prison and they get to go down to -- you may have to prove it.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: They have nothing to lose by staying in Texas. They have nothing to gain by coming back. They — they have nothing to lose by not pleading guilty and getting several days out of the institution to attend the court trial.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I guess my point is that though it may seem like a no brainer, from a public stand-point, there's still a lot of work that goes into having to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. And so, unfortunately, there's going to be a lot more manhours put

into this and a lot more expense.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, there will be. Yes, sir, there will be.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Major, I just have one area here of questioning, that's somewhat ancillary, but I think it's important. Yesterday -- we talked a lot today and clarified a lot of the specifics, vis-a-vis notice from the institution to the various law enforcement agencies.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes, ma'am.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Yesterday, we heard from the residential community that lives right around the state prison and their concern was notice to the residential community. What, from your knowledge, protocols were in place at the time of the prison outbreak and then, in retrospect, having seen what did or didn't happen, vis-a-vis notice to the police, what would be appropriate, with regard to notice to the residential community, both in terms of timing and being timely on the notice and when -- when is it reasonable and appropriate to give it to the community at large and through what mechanism?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That, I know, has also been a topic of discussion in Pittsburgh. And there was some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thought of reintroducing the old-type siren that would go off when they would escape.

From a police perspective, for the most part, it's always been Corrections, who were to notify anyone But to see what occurred, when there is of the escape. something like that going on, number one, as you have police officers arriving at the scene, you also have the media arriving. We don't call them. They monitor these frequencies. There are occasions, at a serious police incident, that they are there before the police agency is. To be a resident of that area and see all of that police activity and all of that news activity around institution, that should alert you that something's up. Ι can still understand that someone being inside, behind that closed doors, would notknow necessarily And I would agree that there should something is going on. be a system in place of better and greater notification.

That problem is not encompassing the whole corrections system statewide, because I know that we have institutions, such as Smithfield and Rockview and others, SCI Greene, that are out in the country. And maybe that is where a correctional facility should be. Within the confines of the immediate area of SCI Pittsburgh, there are homes there, but there are also businesses. And the police officers who were searching in and around the area,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they were knocking on doors and what have you. I know that Superintendent White did meet with a concerned citizens' group. In fact, it was the evening of the last hearings. And I'm not sure what arrangements he's made with them.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you.

Chief Counsel Brian Preski has a few questions for you, Major.

MR. PRESKI: Yes, Major. My questions, too, deal with the notification. Now, you talked about that the first message went out over the clean system. The question I have is, who has access to the clean system and exactly how widespread is that?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: A11 law enforcement agencies in Pennsylvania and other states have similar systems. from the old school -- it's similar to the old teletype. is all computer driven, UNESCO. All 911 dispatch centers have this. Every state police station has it. department, of any size, would have administrative terminal. And those who are not enough to have administrative terminals, have access to other departments and other dispatching centers.

And the way that this is supposed to work, when you -- when you have something like this occur, for

example, saying our Troop B, Findlay Station were to receive a UNESCO message from Allegheny County, saying that there has been an escape from the Allegheny County Jail, our police communications operator would automatically take that from the scene and she would make a general broadcast over the police radio, advising all of our patrols of the escape and who was involved and their descriptions. That is how this system is supposed to work.

MR. PRESKI: My question is this, when an escape message goes out clean, is there any, I guess bell or whistle that goes off in the localities or just that does someone -- is someone there or does someone at the time read the message when it comes across? I mean, do they get notice when someone walks over the the machine and rips the paper?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That's -- that's up to the individual dispatcher. They are supposed to see that and realize that it is of importance to the uniform patrol officers that they are dispatching for and they should immediately relay that information to them.

MR. PRESKI: Okay. My other question is, the S99 message that --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: SN99.

MR. PRESKI: The SN99 that gives notification within a 99-mile radius, that was the initial message that

first goes out?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Yes.

MR. PRESKI: The statewide or nationwide notification did not occur until you determined that the vehicle was stolen?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Right. Nothing is instantaneous. They have to get troopers to the scene. They have to coordinate the search around the institution. We have to have someone inside, gathering the information. You have to, you know, receive the information. You have to contact the administrative terminal operator, who would be the desk communications operator at Findlay, give them the information. They, in turn, have to sit down at the machine and type out the information and send it out. This was done as expeditiously as we could.

MR. PRESKI: Okay.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That was the first message. But in addition to that, every time that we received additional information, whether we knew it was substantiated or not, we would update that information. There were hundreds and hundreds of administrative messages that went out. Every time we picked up something new, we would send that out not only statewide, but nationwide. Every time we got a report that they were sighted, driving some different vehicle, even though we knew that this was all bogus information

later on, we would update that, in case some police officer would spot that.

MR. PRESKI: My question, though, is this, assume that someone escapes from Camp Hill. If you give an SN99 message, the Philadelphia Police Department would not necessarily be notified. In this case, statewide notification occurred when there was a determination that a vehicle was stolen. Is there some reason, if you know --

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Well, I believe that there were messages that started going before that, from our State Police Findlay Station.

MR. PRESKI: Okay.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Concerning the escape.

MR. PRESKI: Well, my question is, why only 99 miles? Given the fact that you might eventually find out that they steal a vehicle, what's the magic of the 99 miles?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: That -- that's just the system that is in place, depending upon the urgency. But yet, maybe it could have been sent nationwide, statewide.

MR. PRESKI: My final area is the extradition.

Do you know the basis why these prisoners -- for the argument that they are giving to counsel?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: No.

MR. PRESKI: Why they are fighting extradition?

MAJOR SZUPINKA: No idea.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PRESKI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Major Szupinka. We appreciate all of your input and thank you for sitting here and answering these questions. I'm sure that your input has helped us to understand a little bit better what happened and the follow up on it. And as I had indicated earlier, some of our questioning is not directly related breakout, but I think, as Judiciary Committee the members, it has helped us to understand a little bit of what happens and how you operate, in conjunction with the Corrections Department. And so, we appreciate all of the work you have done with the Bureau, the opportunity to come here and your willingness to do so. Thank you.

MAJOR SZUPINKA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We next have three gentleman who work at the State Correctional Institution of Pittsburgh. And don't fight over one microphone. I don't know if they are going to fight over it or not. But we will try to make sure that everybody can hear you.

I'd like to introduce our next three testifiers.

And I will introduce them as I am looking at them. So, if you are looking at me, they will be opposite of that direction that I give you. But to my far left is Mike Degonish, the Labor Foreman for the prison.

In the center is Rudy Arensberg, who is a

corrections officer.

To his left and to my right is John Bush, also a corrections officer. And I understand that, Mr. Arensberg, you are going to give a statement first and then, you will answer questions.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

Good morning. My name is Rudy Arensberg. I am President of Local 2500. With me is John Bush, a member of our Executive Board and Mike Degonish, a Union Steward.

First, I would like to thank you for coming to Pittsburgh and giving me the opportunity to address you.

As you already know, Commissioner Horn has instituted changes in the management at SCI Pittsburgh. Consequently, our testimony is offered in the context of providing information, which hopefully will help in the new management here and as well as the other SCI's not to make the same mistakes.

You have already heard what caused and/or contributed to the escape, so I will not go into that. However, we have prepared a package of information, that will make it clear that had the Union and the employees at SCI Pittsburgh been listened to, the escape, if not prevented, would have been made significantly more difficult.

As you can see, at various Union/management meetings, we brought to the attention of management the

serious breaches in security that ultimately played a part in the escape.

The lessons that should be learned from this are:

- 1. Security is paramount in a correctional institution and should never take a back seat.
- 2. Future policies and procedures should be prepared in such a way that they are not in conflict with the security needs of the institution.
- 3. That the Union and the employees it represents should not be ignored. We are the ones on the front lines. We are the ones who must go face to face with the inmates. And everything that goes on in a correctional institution, no matter how small, could potentially cause a serious breach of security.
- 4. Management should put their egos aside and be willing to accept and implement suggestions from the employees. No one has all the answers, but together, most questions can be answered in a way that serves our mutual interest, which must be the safe and secure operation of the institution.

We would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Before we ask you questions or maybe you are answering my question, I'm not sure, would each of you three gentlemen tell us what your history is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at the institution and how long you've been there, what positions you've held and what, in particular your insight is, which would help us, as Committee members, understand why you can be here to fill us in on the escape?

I have a twofold part, sir: MR. ARENSBERG: I am a corrections officer, I have 12 years of experience as a corrections officer at Western Penitentiary and the I have been the elected Union part οf it is, President there at that jail for the last three years. was Vice President there for six years. I was an intricate part of the investigation. I was interviewed. And the people who are with me today were interviewed by Deputy Benning and his staff, who spent relentless hours at that institution, interviewing the employees that I represent.

I can tell you that from our testimony that we gave Deputy Benning, it helped his investigation; that some of that testimony is in the report that you received from Commissioner Horn's office and in your packets, there's copies of meet and discuss. And those are the minutes that were kept by management. And it showed a history of the problems that were going on in that institution, going with security and tool procedures and tool accountability, over the years at that jail, that their inaction to take action at that jail, that, of itself is what is reported in that package.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's mainly my history at the jail. I'll let -- Sergeant Bush will go next.

MR. BUSH: I'm John Bush. Hi. I've been working in Corrections for 11 years. I'm a sergeant. I was a training sergeant there for a few years. I still do. Ι also have a twofold in this. I've been elected to the Executive Board for the last, past nine years and I've been sharing all of the last minutes of all of the meet and discusses for over the three years. So, we've been able to put together all of these packets. I've been at all meetings turned down a11 of those and to questions.

MR. DEGONISH: Hello. My name is Mike Degonish. I'm presently Labor Foreman for the State Correctional Institution at Pittsburgh. I've worked at the institution since 1984. I was hired there as a Maintenance Equipment Operator, Corrections Equipment Operator, from 1984. I worked in that capacity for approximately, two years, at which point I became a Labor Foreman in the Maintenance Department.

Approximately a year and a half ago, maybe two years ago, I was transferred from the Maintenance Department, to the Safety Department. I am a Union Steward, who represents the brown shirts. And I'll try to answer any questions, to the best of my ability.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Mr. Degonish, could you tell us, is the Labor Foreman a maintenance position?

MR. DEGONISH: Presently, I'm a Labor Foreman, under the Safety Department, no longer in Maintenance. But I -- originally, I was Labor Foreman, in the Maintenance Department, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you.

I'll begin to give the panel here the opportunity to ask questions. And as we did yesterday, in reverse order. So, Representative Manderino, you are the first.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to pass at this time. I am reviewing the meet and discuss and may have questions later, but none right now, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Masland?

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

on something that going to pick up Ι mentioned yesterday, because talked very briefly yesterday with Mr. Bush. I talked with you briefly about And that is something that I raised to the institution. Commissioner Horn and I'm sure that you might want to respond to, yourself, as to whether or not the institution ought to be closed and whether a new one should be built. And I guess to put that in context, we all realize that there's an 1882 shell in there, that's been surrounded many,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many times by updating the buildings and updating the functions. I guess my question to you is, if you think that the institution is still viable, is it viable to maintain 1800 prisoners or should that be scaled back?

MR. ARENSBERG: First, sir, I want to thank you for your comments that you made earlier, when the Major was here. On behalf of the members I represent, thank you very much.

Next, to answer your question, it's going to be a lengthy answer. So, first off, the jail holding 1800 job probably five times harder than inmates makes our what's necessary for the Department of Corrections. We should not have to put up and deal with double celling and overpopulation, to the extent that do at Western Penitentiary. We understaffed, overpopulated are and definitely underpaid.

What the Commissioner has testified to yesterday about the jail and about Western Penitentiary, that we are the most staff to inmate ratio, we have the highest staff to inmate ratio. What the Committee probably doesn't understand with that statement is that the only reason that occurred at Western Penitentiary is the inmates. Three convicted murderers filed a lawsuit against the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, stating that the Department of Corrections could no longer provide them security inside a maximum

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

security prison. A Federal Judge, by the name of Maurice Cohill came into that institution and gave us 75 corrections officers.

You heard the Commissioner testify yesterday that Western Penitentiary has 100 more corrections officers than SCI Greene County. The fact is, we never had that many officers, until a Federal Judge came in and ordered it so. The Department of Corrections didn't wake up one day and say that "You are understaffed and you need more officers." A Federal Judge came in or we would consistently, today, be severely understaffed in that institution, as we are at the prototypicals.

The prototypicals are not staffed at 100 percent. It isn't a fair analysis by the Commissioner, to state that Western Penitentiary is \$33,000 an inmate and that SCI Greene County, which is a maximum security prison, is \$24,000.

If you go back and look at the Department of Corrections' budget, that they put in this year and I sat at the Senate hearings, when Commissioner Horn testified, a fair assessment would be to compare us against us SCI Camp Hill, SCI Graterford and SCI Muncy. They are all that have an intake center such as Western We take inmates from right out of the court, Penitentiary. right into the jail. We call them "highballs" in prison.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

An inmate who is a "highball," he has nothing. He has never been in that prison, he has no idea what goes on. Whatever he walks into the prison with, we take off and we issue him. SCI Greene County doesn't have to absorb that type of an expense. We have to give them their initial medical treatment, when they enter our prison.

\$33,000 a year on that, take in light of the fact that we are -- our -- our staff to inmate ratio was given to us by a Federal Court Order and number two, that we are an intake center and we absorb the high cost of medical treatment at Western Penitentiary, that those prototypicals do not. We do. We have SCI Greene County inmates at our institution hospitals and at the hospitals surrounding Pittsburgh. We supply security for those inmates. I hope that answers your question.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Yes. Well basically, I guess what you are saying is that you feel that if the the numbers at the institution were scaled institution. back, it would still be -- you would still be able to use it as institution, use it effectively and an effectively, is that correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir. I think the Department could do that. The fact remains, in all honesty, we need another prison. We don't need to close Western Penitentiary.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know where they are going to put the prison. talked to the Mayor of Pittsburgh and when they were looking to build the Allegheny County Jail in Pittsburgh, they were told by all of the communities in Allegheny County, "You will not build that county jail." That's why it sits where it does today, in the worst possible place But they couldn't get nowhere else to build it could be. that jail. So, if they are going to build a new maximum security prison in Pennsylvania, in the western part, I don't think that they'd get ground in Allegheny County. But we do need it. We have almost 4,000 maximum security inmates in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, sitting in minimum security cells, minimum security dayrooms, minimum So, if you build a brand new prison, security areas. opened it today, with 1100 cells, you would fill it to the capacity.

Pittsburgh currently houses the highest level of Level 4 inmates, in the Department of Corrections, because we -- we are able to supply maximum security cells. You were all there. You walked up the five tiers. You've seen the magnitude of that jail and how -- how involved it is inside of there. Just imagine that, that the day they escaped and people were talking about the notification process and how it took so long. But at that time that that count was taken, there were 600 inmates out of their

cells.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Yes, I know that you've got some tough ones out here, because the Case that I alluded to, the last defense case that I had, when this guy started the mini-riot at Camp Hill and they sent all the people who were kind of involved with him, they sent three of them down here and I had to come out here and interview one of them. I guess they figured that --

MR. ARENSBERG: And Mr. Brooks is still at our prison, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Is he in jail here?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, he is. I know him very well.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I was actually -- I was the second attorney on that Case, because he wanted to get rid of the first one. He actually wanted to get rid of because he came in the first day and said, "Here is the list of witnesses I want for trial." It was scheduled to go to trial that day. So, I -- I was fortunate, that after the first trial -- unfortunate, my first trial motions were effective, because he was granted a new trial and somebody else had to take the Case. And eventually, he was convicted. But he's a bad actor.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I apologize for neglecting to

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yes. Thank you. And thank you yesterday for accompanying us and giving us advice, as we went through the institution and showing the concerns that you have.

And in going to the questions and you have a tremendous job and I do not envy the job that you have. You said something about your intake center.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And that you give the first medical records and all of that. I heard some rumblings around that the medical records and other kind of records do not follow the inmates. Do you send the records of the inmates to other institutions automatically, medical records, dental records?

MR. ARENSBERG: Records the following and Whoever told you that information was absolutely correct, that the medical records and even the inmates' personnel records are constantly a problem in the Department of Corrections, that they are addressing on a daily basis. You could send an inmate to another prison and part of his medical record could get there and part And part of his criminal -- the day of of it could not. the escape, they were running around like chickens with their heads cut off, looking for the records of the six

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

individuals who escaped that prison, that day. Records is a constant problem in the Department of Corrections.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So, there needs to be some kind of practice or policies implemented there, to make sure that records of inmates are found and that way you will know whether the inmate was a hostile escapee or a discipline problem?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Is that in one of your recommendations?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Has that been one of your recommendations?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Do you also believe, based on the escape, that had the Superintendent of the prison been more responsible and had listened to you, in terms of following your recommendations, that this could not have happened or been prevented?

MR. ARENSBERG: Well, Ι guess the Commission knows that I'm already on record to the Senate and I will tell you, that once the Superintendent got to Western a meet and discuss Penitentiary, it no longer became session; it became a meet and tell. We would no longer We'd sit down and have a discussion concerning the isues.

meet and tell him and that was it. Then, it was done with.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: When -- when you say that it was a meet and tell and not a discussion, are you saying that he just listened to you because he had to and didn't do anything?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. Under the law, under Act 195, if we produce something to writing, to management, they must sit down and meet with us and reduce their answer back to us in writing. And what you have before you today are just some copies of the minutes to the answers to the questions that we had given them.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Now, when you meet with any correction officials, was it the Superintendent and the Deputies or either/or?

MR. ARENSBERG: Either/or.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So, you didn't meet with him on all occasions, but you at least met with the Deputy?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Do you feel as though that, based upon what happened, have you made any recommendations or are you still waiting for the investigation to end, in terms of how to improve the situation?

MR. ARENSBERG: From the day of the escape, I spent probably an average of 16 hours a day in that prison,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

up until probably the last week or two. And not myself, but the people who are here with me and a lot of other corrections officers. And we had a daily input into the new security measures at that jail. It wasn't long after the escape, that there were people in the jail who wanted instituting some of the old things that were going on, such as the out count. We've heard a lot of discussion why did it take so long for us to find out about the inmates, because that was primarily because of the number of inmates who were permitted out of their cells. It was, with this Union's request and constant demand, since the escape, that we've been able to lower that number to a reasonable number, from roughly 40 to 50 inmates will be out for count now, out of 800 -- out of 1800.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Do you -- do you believe that as a result of the escape, that the corrections officers -- have any of them been disciplined thus far, in reference to the escape?

MR. ARENSBERG: We had one officer receive a letter of reprimand.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Because of this specific incident?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And I guess the investigation is also continuing?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Were you ever aware of were you ever asked for any input, with regards to the yearly inspection or the efficiency report, that we heard about yesterday?

Well, thank you. I heard about MR. ARENSBERG: them yesterday and that was a news flash to me. I know that the Department does them. but I've never permitted to have input into it, nor do I know any officer at the jail or -- well, we refer to them as "browns," but they are maintenance trade instructors, their actual title, but I will refer to them as "browns." I don't know of any "browns" who were questioned during those investigations, nor did I know that that -- when that investigation was completed, it was sent back to the Superintendent and then, the Superintendent made recommendations back to them. never saw any of those reports, in the 10 years that I've been doing this Union business and representing that jail and the 12 years that I've been there, as a corrections officer.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: So, you're saying that probably none of the corrections officers has ever seen

| 1 | any of these reports? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ARENSBERG: None of them has ever reported |
| 3 | it to me. |
| 4 | REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: And since you opened |
| 5 | up the can of worms, in regards to salary, how much do you |
| 6 | make? |
| 7 | MR. ARENSBERG: My current salary is about \$33,000 |
| 8 | a year. |
| 9 | REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: How long have you been |
| 10 | there? |
| 1 | MR. ARENSBERG: Twelve years. |
| 2 | REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: I guess we are getting |
| .3 | our money's worth. |
| 4 | MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, you are. |
| .5 | REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Same here. |
| .6 | MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Reber? |
| 8 | REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 9 | Rudy, John and Mike, I'm Bob Reber, the new Super- |
| 90 | intendent at Western. We're here today to have a little |
| 21 | meet and discuss. |
| 22 | MR. ARENSBERG: Okay. |
| 23 | REPRESENTATIVE REBER: My question questions |
| 24 | to you are very simply, since the incident of January 8th |

and today, what is today, March 4th, what is currently

on, even after that incident, even after that incident has taken place and all the investigations that have followed, as a result of that incident? What is still going on, in your mind, if you had to prioritize them, as still concerns that might, in some way impact the institution's security and integrity?

MR. ARENSBERG: First of all, probably -- thank you for being here. I know you represent Graterford. And I was out at Graterford.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Just outside of Graterford.

MR. ARENSBERG: Just outside of Graterford. I was out there for a very long time, when they -- when we marched on that institution.

First, I think that what needs to be addressed is the overcrowdedness of Western Penitentiary. You heard Commissioner Horn testify yesterday that he had intended on putting 200 to 400 more inmates in there, which would have taken us up to over 2,000 inmates. If you do their cost analysis, then it lowers that \$33,000 down to \$28,000.

But to answer your question directly, first off, it is the overcrowdedness at Western. That jail was never designed to handle 1800 inmates.

Security must remain in the forefront of every decision that's made in that jail now. Superintendent Price, in my limited dealings with him, seems to have that on his

mind and he's been open with us, in dealing with the security issue, because he came from a prototypical prison, where they have more security restraints on the inmates. We have — we have made radical security changes in that institution, like none I've ever seen in the Department.

There's members of your Committee, who traveled to Louisiana with myself and President of Dallas, Bill Lewis. We went to Louisiana. And a lot of the changes you see that are happening at Western Penitentiary and the Department, have come out of that tour we took in Louisiana, for security.

But the first thing that I would probably address, sir, is -- again, would be the overcrowdedness and probably the staffing level, the number of officers that we have would be second.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: John and Mike, do you have anything else to add or is there anything else that, from your position or standpoint, that's bothersome to you, that is currently going on, that in some way, shape or form could be mediated?

MR DEGONISH: I'm not so sure that it's presently matters that are still going on, but something that was a great concern to me was the -- the maintenance bosses were drilling into their subordinates that they had to produce, they had to get out more work orders, they had to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get more jobs done. This -- this really caused a problem. The -- the tradesmen, the "browns" of the institution, not only had to keep track of work details and out counts that you had heard of, but also, to produce more and more jobs. a matter of fact, this past year, these guys. the "browns," the trades instructors were given pats on the back and rightfully so, for doing a lot of work. They were given these three different meetings, by the Superintendent, by a gentleman from Harrisburg, by the name of Jake Leak and also, by the previous Facility Maintenance Manager, Terrence Swartz. They were given pats on the back, saying, "That's what we want you guys to do. Produce more work." And that really scares me, in a correctional setting.

I really think that I agree. I definitely agree with Mr. Arensberg, that security has to be paramount. The brown shirts also go through the same type of training. And I -- I would appreciate -- I would welcome more security training, as far as the maintenance staff goes.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: John, do you have anything to add?

MR. BUSH: Just briefly. I agree with Mr. Arensberg. The only thing is, on the overcrowding that we currently have, I don't think that there's anything that can be done, at this time. So, I would put security paramount and post number one, the level of officers in each institution. And

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if we're so greatly overstaffed at our place, imagine how it feels at every other institution, inside Pennsylvania, how understaffed they are and are currently today.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: I think all of you gentlemen were present when Ι was questioning the Major, concerning what, in my mind was bothersome, that somewhere along the line, we needed somewhat of an earlier warning, that it was definite that Prisoner A or Prisoner C, on a list of six, you know, was missing and I think that an escape warning notice should have been issued, for at least that one particular person and, you know, it could have maybe gotten the situation moving a little quicker.

From your perspective and from your internal workings and your knowledge of the system, first of all, maybe there was and I asked that question, was there any prior notice given, before the notice went out on all six, that there was an individual or individuals who were missing? Do you know anything about that?

MR. ARENSBERG: Let me answer first what happened during the escape and what occurred, up until that time.

And I can also answer what we have done to rectify that or what the Department of Corrections has implemented.

Number one, at that time and at the time of the escape, when those inmates did not report to work or they went to another assignment, it was never relayed back to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the security staff that they didn't show up at work or anybody else in the institution. You heard Commissioner Horn yesterday, on the tour, that Mr. Murphy lost his radio and didn't even report it, nor did he report the fact that his inmate left for four or five hours and never showed up at work. That hampered the notification process, by any of us.

It was -- I don't know if you were there when they asked me to show how the count was done and how an officer travels down the range and as he looks into each If those officers would not have done an accurate cell. and complete, secure count that day, it may have been another eight or nine hours before you were notified and told the final count at night. It was only by them looking into that cell. And if you can imagine, you know, five levels high, 400 inmates in the larger blocks, but we went into the smallest block that we had, how long that process But it was only at that time, when those officers takes. marked those individuals out of the cells, that the process started.

We have had several escapes inside the prison. What that means is about eight or nine years ago, we looked for an inmate for two days. He was still inside the prison. We found him, in the shower area, in the back of the block, trying to drill his way through a 20-foot wall

with a hand drill. But that's where we found him, after two days of searching that prison.

When the inmates get locked back in, we start a complete -- inmates hide in each other's cells. So, we had to start that process, of searching each and every cell in that institution. There's -- there's roughly, 1600 cells inside that prison.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: May I interrupt you a second?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: How many times during -you set the time frame of -- is it weekly, monthly, that
these kind of incidents occur?

MR. ARENSBERG: It is very rare that we have to go cell to cell searching for anybody. We do have check counts, probably every time. We did, up until the escape. Up until the escape, we had so many inmates out, 400, 500, 600 inmates out of their cells, that every one of them had to be accounted for by the check and countercheck process. And the officer, with just the first check and then, they would send someone else for a countercheck. And if there's — if there's just one discrepancy in the numbers, the guy's number is P8888 and you wrote 8889, you now have a discrepancy in the count, that has to be checked, just by one number or letter. There's AY and there's AP. If you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

wrote AY, you'd have to go and find out if it was AP.

But now that we've -- since the escape, in the morning, the "browns," the maintenance instructors report to a centralized location in the jail, in the front of the blocks and we call the work lines out. They are to report the work supervisors and the work supervisors immediately take the roll call. If that inmate is not there, they telephone back to the block, to see if remained in his cell or if he did, in fact, say he was If he remained in his cell, he's sent to going to work. work. If he's out in the general population, we commence a search, looking for him right then.

What happened the day of the escape was the whole, entire jail was opened up that morning and roughly, 1400 inmates were permitted to wander throughout the prison.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Was that, from your experience, was that the reason why that might have been the time and date chosen for the escape? Was there any strategy, as to the time in which to place in relationship to ongoing practices within the facility?

MR. ARENSBERG: Two things: One, that was an everyday occurrence, being opened up like that. That was the normal practice and it no longer is today.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: So, if you were strategizing

on this, over a period of time, that would have been something you were looking for, because you knew that that was an opportunity, when there would be more of the general population moving about?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. The fact that that panic button was pushed at 8:04, it is common knowledge by the inmates that the last employee would have been reported to work there by eight o'clock. And if you notice where they come out, that is where the employees park. That's right on the main street of the prison. They knew, by pushing it at eight o'clock, that there would be no more employees out there, that they had already reported to work.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Caltigerone?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: Thank you.

One of the things that I'd like to run by you is that you have -- your Union, of course, represents all of the various institutions within the Commonwealth.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: And again, you know, it is a difficult job, so the Major had said. Not many people are interested in being locked up. And I do refer to it as being "locked up." When you go to work, you are

locked up in there with the inmates, every single day. And your life is put in jeopardy, every single day. I said it yesterday and I'll say it again today, these are not choir boys that we're dealing with. You've got an assortment of people there, 1800 murderers, sex offenders, you name it. It runs the whole gamut.

But in looking at that facility and having served in the House for 22 years and on this Committee, as Chairman, for the last 11, 12 years, it's readily apparent to me that that facility has outlived its usefulness. I did receive and I went through previous reports, dating back to 1911, the 1940's and '50's, to the current and after taking the tour yesterday, the members had a chance to visually see and slug through the mess and the rain and the mud yesterday, that that facility should be closed down period.

Now, there may be some disagreement, I understand, with where the facility should be built. But it is my opinion that it's not cost effective. People are placing their lives in jeopardy, by working in that institution, simply because the -- the way -- the configuration of that facility.

Now, we took the tour and things were added on, in that one area, particularly, that was not as secure as it should have been, which allowed the inmates to get

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through -- through that hole, through the main tunnel and to get out that doorway, to the street. It -- it just shows that there's no amount of money that the state should or could be spending, to make that a much more secure facility. And given the economics of what is involved here and you have that total of five tiers there and what goes on in there, it just -- to me, it would just be like throwing good money after bad. I think that the state has done enough of that, with this facility. And for safety of the community, I think we're going to really have to take a hard look at finding another location. And from understand already, we've already approved \$130 what Ι million for such a facility to be built. And I know that there's going to be some comments and some concerns by you and the employees who work at that facility, especially the members of the community, as to where a location can found. But it's going to be pretty darn tough convincing me, as a member of the General Assembly, that we should spend any more money in bandaiding something that And that facility certainly looks like is hemorrhaging. it's hemorrhaging.

I mean, there's -- even the new facilities, we were over at Camp Hill. Prior to the riot, I believe I took the Committee through there. And one week after the riot, we went through there. They spent an awful lot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money, adding a lot of new buildings there.

Jerry pointed out and rightfully so, that we are spending a tremendous amount of money in each vear's budget, a growing disproportionate share, in the form of corrections. I mean, it is a reflection of our times and the laws that we have made. maybe some of especially mandatories, that are putting an awful lot more people away. But I think that it is incumbent upon the employees of the state, who are state employees, just as we are, to try to find more cost effective ways of handling the state budget. And I'd like you to comment on that. I now that there's concerns that are being raised by the people who have jobs and positions about the facility and where this facility would be located and whether or not it's going to cost us jobs.

I don't think it's going to cost jobs and I'll It's almost like this is one of the growth tell you why. industries We in Pennsylvania. keep adding more facilities, because we keep incarcerating many more people. And in my tenure in the Legislature, we went from about 15,000 incarcerated, to around 34,000. So, it's more than And I don't see any time soon that there is going doubled. to be a slackening off in the number of people who are being incarcerated, although I think that we are working cross purposes, with a lot of the people who are being sent to these institutions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARENSBERG: Could I respond to that?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: Sure.

MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you for your candidness, but you're absolutely correct, that we would not disagree on this subject, nor will you or the Committee convince me of it, I don't think, today or any other day. But you referred to the reports by the ASH Commission and the other Commissions. A fair assessment is that those Commissions recommended the closing of that jail, before you and the Legislators put in \$100 million into that prison.

You the the made statement and you Commissioner makes the statement about pouring good money after bad money. If I was to sit before this Committee 14 years ago or 13 years ago, when I started or 12 years ago, when I started working in corrections and before you put \$100 million into that jail, my argument would -- my sails would have no wind. I couldn't come in, at that time. You've taken all of the asbestos out of that jail, lead paint out of that jail, you've built the millions and millions of dollars of new construction. The current budget that you've seen the Commissioner testify from the Senate and the hearings is asking for new doors Well, I tell you at SCI Huntingdon and SCI Graterford. now that Western Penitentiary has all new doors in all of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our cells. We can supply maximum security cells, maximum security, with maximum security inmates locked in them, at that institution.

I know that as you were asking the questions, you were probably seeing numerous notes flying around up to my table here, because I -- because it's a very touchy subject we've treaded on. But let me -- I would be remiss, if I didn't -- you referred to the prototypicals. Any veteran corrections officer would rather work at Western Penitentiary than to be jeopardized at a prototypical. mentality of our prototypical jails, the wide openness of them, their yards. Their yards and corrections officers that are scheduled for that yard to provide security, it can't be done. It's an accident waiting to happen. You've heard it here. I'm testifying to you and I'm telling you that those prototypicals and the way they let their yards out with 300, 400, 500, 600 inmates and only four or five or six correction officers watching them is an accident waiting to happen. And I assure you, from my experience, it will. And the Department of Corrections has a history of taking reaction instead of action.

And again, you -- as far as reports of the ASH Commission and the other Commission, who recommended the closing of Western Penitentiary, as I said earlier, we don't have maximum security cells. We do need another

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

prison. I can't argue against the Commissioner or Governor stating that we do need another prison. But they repeatedly have gone to the -- for the budget, for more and more money. I think that it is much easier for them to ask for \$135 million, above and beyond their operating budget. \$135 million in their capital expenditure, for a new jail, by saying that they are going to close Western Penitentiary.

But let's deal with a reality check. The reality check is that you have 3,000 to 4,000 maximum security inmates, sitting in minimum security areas. That is unsafe insecure. We're operating at 160 percent of capacity. in Department of Corrections. That is the insecure. Ιf you built that brand new prison today, staffed it and put the inmates in it, we'd have to keep Wesern Penitentiary open.

Do you have to make some more operational changes?

Maybe. Do you have to lower the inmate population? Yes.

The way it's currently run, with 1800 inmates, it is making the task of securing that institution harder and harder every day.

Just real brief, sir, as Representative Masland said before, we have Sheldon Brooks down at our place.

Just to give you a real brief history about Pittsburgh, from my aspect and about some of the other SCIP's, Pittsburgh

has been known as the butt of the state, for lack of a better word and to use the appropriate word. We have handled the worst inmates that Pennsylvania has and we currently do today. You've got a troublemaker, they get sent down to Pittsburgh. Case in point, Sheldon Brooks. Caused the mini-riot up at Camp Hill. Where does he come? We have him and currently house him and we will continue to do our job, the best we can.

We don't have time today, but I can have a nice discussion with you, at any time, to give you our benefits. The cons? Maybe five minutes. But just for myself and the rest of the people that we represent, "Rudy's 100 percent right. We don't want to go to a prototypical. We would feel totally unsafe." We are more than comfortable with our jail. We know every little bit, every little nook, every little cranny. Those towers up there are for our protection and for the public's protection. You look at any prototypical you have and they have no towers. There is no protection for the officers and the staff inside, for the convicts inside or for the public. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Walko?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Gentlemen.

First of all, these meet and discuss in this book

involves recommendations that the Union made to management, is that not correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir. Year after year, those are --

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, one thing, way back in 1982, the problem of tool control, perhaps was alluded to. There was a drill missing. Did they ever find that drill?

MR. ARENSBERG: Not to my knowledge, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And not to make light of it, but weren't there other mentions in the meet and discuss about problems?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. We repeatedly reported it to them.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: There were also problems with the count and the time and the out of cell counts that were occurring. The Union found that to be a problem at Western Penitentiary, correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: We went to management in 1995, on several occasions, asking them to reduce the number of inmates that were permitted out of their cells at count.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, these -- where -- what happens to these meet and discuss recommendations, once the meeting is over? These happen pursuant to State Law Act 195, dealing with the public employees, correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: What happens to these, after the meetings are concluded?

MR. ARENSBERG: To my knowledge, all of those minutes are sent to central office, the Commissioner's Office.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, does the central office respond to your concerns?

MR. ARENSBERG: No, sir. Not unless we have a statewide meet and discuss. And since Commissioner Horn has arrived, we've only had one of those, involving the current Commissioner.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, prior to Commissioner Horn, did they have them very often?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. Roughly, yearly or every other year, we would meet with the Commissioner of Corrections and address these issues with the previous Commissioners.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I wasn't joking when I brought up about the one question, January 17th, 1982, concerning the missing drill. Now, this seems to be — what — isn't somebody in charge of seeing that these are all resolved? Like, what was the disposition of — Page 2, meet and discuss, Point 17, accountability of tools. January 17th, 1982, the question was asked about a missing drill. How are these ultimately resolved? Do they hang on

out there forever?

to the Committee.

MR. ARENSBERG: We continue to bring them up, so that the Union has some type of paper trail, to document the fact that we have addressed these issues in the past. If we did not keep that documentation going, I can assure you that the disciplinary process that happened after this escape would have been a lot greater than what it was, on the employees that I represent.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: So, generally, what -- do you have any examples of positive solutions to meet and discuss recommendations, prior to the escape on January 8th?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, but I didn't supply them

I -- I have them, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Okay.

MR. ARENSBERG: But when we were doing our packet, we wanted to show the Committee the issues that we brought up, concerning security, that weren't addressed. So, we have hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of pages of documentation of meet and discusses, things that were done and -- but we just didn't supply them.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No, I didn't think that you should, but I was just trying to get out how -- what is the normal flow of the satisfaction of one of your recommendations? Does it come from Harrisburg or does it come from Superintendent White's Office?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARENSBERG: The meet and discuss process, up until the time that Superintendent White arrived in Pittsburgh. was that that dealt through the Superintendents. The Deputy Superintendents would sit down with myself and John, Sergeant Bush and we would have a and discuss process. Superintendent After arrived in Pittsburgh, he became involved with the meet and discuss process. It was something that was never done there, but it did occurr , after he arrived.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And it's been pretty well publicized here and I read it in the actual January report on the escape. The Department had no written tool control policy. And it was also -- was that one of your findings, as well?

MR. ARENSBERG: There have been a departmay mentalized policy and then -- the Commissioner testified yesterday about those reports, that yearly report that is done and one yearly report stated that there was no tool control at Western Penitentiary. That wasn't new news to We've been telling them about that for years. management. But there was no tool training either, for the employees who were responsible for the control. The problem or the problem with tool control is, if I have a tool cabinet and I have keys to it and Sergeant Bush and Mike has keys to it, how can I be responsible for the tools in

25

| 2 | go out to work on the other side of the prison, only to |
|----|--|
| 3 | come back and find out there's two other individuals who |
| 4 | have keys to my cabinet? |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, Commissioner Horn |
| 6 | referred to a report. What report was he referring to? |
| 7 | MR. ARENSBERG: The |
| 8 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Was it the 1995 report? |
| 9 | MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, the yearly reports that I |
| 10 | was questioned about earlier, that I've never seen before. |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Okay. And he knew about |
| 12 | those reports. He knew that there was no tool control |
| 13 | policy at Western Penitentiary? |
| 14 | MR. ARENSBERG: I think that the Commissioner |
| 15 | testified yesterday that the Deputy Commissioners knew that. |
| 16 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Deputy Commissioner Fulcomer? |
| 17 | MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And he's the one who got |
| 19 | the \$3500 pay cut, as a result of him not implementing a |
| 20 | tool policy? |
| 21 | MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. |
| 22 | REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With regard to the inmate |
| 23 | lending or the infamous now Swartz contract |

that cabinet, when I leave my shop with my inmates and I

(continuing)

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO:

jail guards supervising the inmates who were working on that project?

MR. ARENSBERG: No, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Who was supervising the inmates?

MR. ARENSBERG: We had one maintenance trade instructor each night. Can I give you a little history about that?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Yes, please.

MR. ARENSBERG: When I came into work, I was off for two weeks. I came back to work and I noticed the inmates were in our prison, working all night long, digging the jail up, running backhoes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I'm sorry. What do you mean "all night long?"

MR. ARENSBERG: From ten o'clock at night, until five o'clock in the morning, three o'clock, four o'clock in the morning. They were running backhoes, front end loaders, high pressure welding steam lines.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: The prisoners were running high pressure welders?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. They were running high pressure steam lines and replacing that water line, that you heard him say yesterday about the fire hydrants. What happened was Swartz bid a contract, \$600,000 for our jail.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only employee I seen with Swartz was him. I never seen any other employees.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I'm sorry. There were no other civilian employees with Swartz?

MR. ARENSBERG: No, sir. It was all --

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: All workers were inmates, is that correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I'm sorry.

MR. ARENSBERG: So. continued to work they institution and they broke several high throughout the \$600,000 steam lines. The bid was this He broke these steam lines. Our own maintenance staff had to go in and repair those steam lines, at a cost to the taxpayers and not at a cost to the -- that you would think would come out of that \$600,000 that he had bid for that contract.

MR. ARENSBERG: He worked every night, from ten o'clock at night till three o'clock, four o'clock, five o'clock in the morning. And they had — from where you went into the institution yesterday, they had tunneled a four-foot hole, all the way down from the institution, past the hospital, all the way across our main yard, where I showed you and then back up, around, behind that dining hall

and then left it there. He did not clean nothing up.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: So, the \$600,000 contract, who -- I -- I'm trying to figure out where the \$600,000 went to. It wasn't all profit, was it? Did they bring materials in? Did they provide their own gasoline for the machinery?

MR. ARENSBERG: I'm glad you brought up the gasoline. No, he stole the gasoline from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. There's a --

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I'm sorry. Would you repeat that, please?

MR. ARENSBERG: He stole the gasoline from the Commonwealth. There's a gas tank. We found out, during this investigation, that we have right here, that the Department did, that he somehow hooked into a kerosene gas tank, that's outside of the prison. And there was a hose hooked up next to that boilerhouse that you walked by yesterday, that he was filling his trucks and equipment with, that diesel fuel. I did not — we found out that, after the escape, after the contract and as were taking a tour one day, that that came to light.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: That's pretty shocking.

I mean, I'm sorry if I'm a little bit surprised.

MR. ARENSBERG: Well, the fact that that man was able to enter that institution and leave that hose hooked

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up, with 8,000 gallons of diesel fuel, that could have been turned on and leaked into that institution, is unacceptable and to anybody who works in there. We had no knowledge of it. The Superintendent didn't have anv knowledge of it. He was with me when I made the tour and found it. And we notified the investigators who were doing -Deputy Benning and his investigators, at that time, what we had found.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, this Swartz must have been pretty knowledgeable about that prison, the private contractor, is that correct? He -- he used to work at the prison, is that correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: No. his brother used there and his brother used to be the Maintenance His brother is currently the Maintenance Superintendent. Superintendent at the largest prison in Pennsylvania, SCI Graterford.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I wonder if they are going to have any contracts in the near future for Swartz Welding?

MR. ARENSBERG: I should say that he allegedly stole. I don't know for a fact. He may have paid for it. But to my knowledge, he may have even paid somebody for that. I'm -- I should make the assumption that he allegedly stole the gasoline.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With regard to the Auto Body

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shop, was Mr. Swartz's equipment worked on at the prison Auto Body Shop?

MR. ARENSBERG: His truck was redone.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: His truck was completely redone?

MR. ARENSBERG: It was painted and redone.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With regard to the tools that were being used in the Swartz Welding contract, the hydraulic jack that was possibly used in the escape, I believe was used, is that correct?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Did any of your guards question -- did your membership question you about this practice that was going on with the Swartz Welding --

I immediately notified my Council MR. ARENSBERG: Director and Assistant Director, Vernon Delino and Richy They notified the Caponi, who are Council 84 Directors. Labor and Industry in this area. Ι also called Governor's hotline. Ι informed them. I made a formal protest to the meet and discuss process, requesting an investigation by the Attorney General's Office into the matter. Ι did that several months ago. Also, Deputy Commissioner Fulcomer knew I did that. We moved that on to a western meet and discuss that we had requested, an investigation by the Attorney General's Office into the

matter.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: After you raised all of these questions and you are talking quite a few months ago --

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: -- (continuing) -- did the contract work continue to go on?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Unabated?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With prisoner inmates using all of those tools, is that --

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: All night long that continued?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With regard to shakedowns, how often do you have shakedowns at Western Penitentiary and are they needed?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. I don't think that you could ever search the jail too much. The frequency of those searches, the Union has been asking for those. We call them "area searches." You have to understand what helped these inmates in their escape was the officers were not permitted to go into areas of that institution. And one of the areas was — we went down there and seen where

Inmate Pontes worked. I was never in that office, until such time as the Senate and yourself went down there, on that tour. That was the first time that I was ever in that office. We weren't permitted to go down there. But the inmates were left alone in that office, repeatedly.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, you are quite familiar with the inmates at the prison. I saw yesterday on the tour, you were calling them by their first names. I'm just wondering, the ones who were doing the welding, the ones who were doing the plumbing work basically --

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: -- (continuing) -- were -- were they trained plumbers? What skills did those people have and if you are not familiar with all of them, just generally, were the people who were doing welding and plumbing, were they qualified, to your knowledge?

MR. ARENSBERG: Some of them probably were, due to the fact that our tradesmen instructors taught them their trades.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: But did -- did your instructors teach them how to handle backhoes and that sort of thing?

MR. ARENSBERG: No. Swartz taught them that.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: If -- well, perhaps this is

a better question for management later on. If any of these inmates was injured, I mean, working late and in the dirt and the cold and with this welding equipment, if any of them was injured, what would happen? To your knowledge, was any injured?

MR. ARENSBERG: To my knowledge, none of them was. They put in -- I was informed that one of the inmate's foot was severely injured, during the construction of this Swartz contract. I didn't -- I did not -- I do not know, but I did not know that.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: One-third of 41 cents an hour.

MR. ARENSBERG: It should be noted, too, that from being in Harrisburg at a recent Presidents' meeting and talking to the Presidents who represent the other 21 institutions in the state, it is — to the best of my knowledge, none of them has ever used inmate labor, to this extent, with an outside contractor. Of course, every day — the normal operation of a prison and the upkeep of a prison, we do use inmate labor. But that's in house staff, that's maintenance trade instructors, using those inmates.

Once again, you heard it earlier was productivity.

Why the Department of Corrections would want to put
productivity before security is beyond me. We can no
longer let that happen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Ι have one. final question. With regard to -- it came to my mind when I talked about workers being injured, doing plumbing, welding, all hours of the night, until 5:00 a.m. Lord knows when they sleep! But anyway, who runs the hospital at the prison?

MR. ARENSBERG: An outside contractor. They run the Medical Department.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: The Medical Department?

MR. ARENSBERG: It's -- it's a hard question to answer, because there's a ground floor and a top floor of the hospital, that the Department of Corrections is in charge of and there's a middle floor of that hospital, that a mental -- it's a mental health unit in there, that is an outside contractor and I'm not sure who they are, but the Department of Corrections actually doesn't have any movement in that area, I guess you would say.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: The guards don't have movement through that area?

MR. ARENSBERG: We have -- we have officers stationed up there, for security purposes, but an outside agency has -- runs it.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Do they participate in the meet and discuss process, the outside agency?

MR. ARENSBERG: No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I have no further questions. Thank you.

MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Orie?

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: In follow up to Representative Walko, when these outside contractors are brought in, there's no criminal background check run on these individuals as they come into the prison?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, there is, to some extent.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And I guess one thing that

I would like to remark on is, I guess everybody's initial

impression is to close Western Penitentiary. We've been

given the opportunity to review a Deevers Report about a

1953 outbreak.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: the similarities And shocking. In a sense, it all comes down to the tools. think one of the things they were saying was the security and the lack of accountability for the tools. To me. there's a resounding theme and that is the security and the lack of control over these types of tools and access, I think that the fact that versus the structure, itself. your structure is aging and you haven't had -- I mean, this incident is bad, but you haven't had more incidents, with 1800 inmates, the majority are Class 4 inmates,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reflection on something positive with this institution.

MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And I think that in regards to what I read and I wish I would have had this prior to this meeting today, the meet and discuss. I mean, as a prosecutor, trying cases that resulted from individuals smuggling in items to these inmates. For example, I read on Page 6 of your report, that a visitor was caught bringing in two, 22 caliber bullets. He only received a 30-day suspension. To me, this is glaring problems with The fact that this isn't addressed, it -- it security. endangers yourselves, as well as the CO officers on -you -- you really are the ones mainly out there, facing this hardship.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And that concerns me.

With -- for example, with -- I read over the report and it states that -- that you feel that the Security Captain, if he would spend less time harassing the CO's and more time investigating inmates' activities. What do you mean by that? What is going on there, that you feel is interfering with their work and your work?

MR. ARENSBERG: It became apparent at the institution, that the Security Office was paying much more attention to the employees instead of the inmates. You

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have 1800 inmates and just several hundred employees and they were spending an awful lot of time investigating unsubstantiated allegations against the employees. And that time could have been much better well spent investigating rumors into this escape. And there were rumors about the escape. I don't think that the Department of Corrections has tried to deny that, at all. But there were rumors about it, before it happened.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: This is the first I've heard of that. I believe that one of the panel members had asked had there been any type of notification or any type of word of mouth of this going on. What -- what were these rumors and when did they occur?

Anything I would tell you would be MR. ARENSBERG: hearsay, because I don't know any of it to be factual, other than one of the individuals who was in this escape, was searched and it was alleged that he had implements of escape, before the escape occurred. I don't know if it was a month before or two months before or three months before the escape. But Ι do know that his cell was searched and he was found, supposedly with implements of escape.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: You would agree with me that a search was conducted, pursuant to these rumors --

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: -- (continuing) -- that -- and that evidence was confiscated, consistent with escape tools.

MR. ARENSBERG: To what -- to what I've been told, not to what I've seen, only what I've been told, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And I guess I have another concern and it was briefly touched upon by Commissioner Horn yesterday, where he indicated that you, as CO's have fears, in regards to stopping inmates, because of possible ramifications from, for example the Tillery Case. Do you feel that you compromise your job, at all, that you, yourself are in fear of doing your duties, because of lawsuits, fear of lawsuits?

MR. ARENSBERG: I don't think that the proper terminology is fear. I think that maybe the Commissioner -statement taken out of context. The the was the restraints that were placed upon us for doing our job, were placed on us by the Department of Corrections, by their policies and also, by -- and to some extent, by the Court Order. But believe me, the employees at that jail were glad to see the Court Order come in there and get us more adequate security, that enhanced our security levels in the jail and not decreased it.

Treatment -- the Department of Corrections has been run by treatment staff, probably 10 of the 12 years

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I've been there. You have to have -- treatment and security are never on the same page. No matter how much they want to say they are, they are not. The problem with the Department was in the -- and you referred to it as being intimidated or -- the officers aren't intimidated or scared of doing their job. They are kept from doing job, by restraints placed on them, by treatment policies. And the word, I hate to hear it in the Depart-"Well, you can't keep stopping ment, is "productivity." the inmates from crossing the yard, Mr. Arensberg, because they've got to get to their job. They've got to get down license together and put them plates for the residents of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania." No. I'm They've got to have security and security has to sorry. be paramount, once again, at the jail. It was not, at the If I would have stopped those inmates, time of the escape. yes, I would be questioned. I wasn't scared to do my job. Nor are the people I represent scared. We'll do it. Let us do our job. It's the only union I've been in and I've in several, where I have gone to management repeatedly, repeatedly asked them to let us do our job.

There's a lot of employees out there in the work force today, who spend eight hours a day, trying not to do their job. I have got to go and constantly ask for myself and the people I represent, to be able to do our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

24

25

job. Let us do what the Department of Corrections trained us to do, as professionals and that is to secure that institution.

I guess lastly, I'd just REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: like to reiterate that, you know, this same report, despite the time change from 1983 versus 1996 is reflective from Governors and includes the same types of issues, the security and tool control. I mean, the inherent problems to meare the security. And I'd just like to state, as a veteran prosecutor, both in Allegheny County and the State of Pennsylvania, I've had the opportunity to work with many, many correctional officers, on various cases and I don't see the defect in the structure. I don't see that we need to close this Western Penitentiary. Now, instead, it really, like you indicated, the security has to be paramount, it has to be emphasized and restructured within the system. Something was going right, that you were able to do what you were able to do, under the circumstances, Your control was phenomenal. with 1800 prisoners. And that -- that really, to me indicates, despite whatever problems within the building structure, that -- that the building is capable of doing what it's intended to do, the institution.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Yes, it is. Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Thank you. I appreciate your time, all of you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have a couple of areas of questioning. Going back to the Swartz contract --

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, ma'am.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: -- (continuing) -- reminded me of the time frame for that. When did that happen, again?

MR. ARENSBERG: Just from memory, I'd say roughly, the whole thing occurred probably, within the last 12 months, from finish -- from the finish -- I mean, from the start until the finish.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: And do you know how long, after it finished, before the January break?

MR. ARENSBERG: Probably within weeks, maybe a month or two, at the most.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: The worker -- maybe the gentleman who knew is gone. I was wondering what happened to -- to the worker who was injured on the job.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: It was an inmate worker and I'll supply that to the Committee, as soon as I find out. When I'm done -- when I'm done here, I'll make some phone calls to the institution. That was the first

time I was aware. He -- I asked Mike if he knew the name of the inmate. He doesn't have -- Gary Butler is his name, ma'am.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Mike, what I was asking, while you were out of the room is, do you know -- I mean, it was described as a severe foot injury. Do you know what the result of that was?

MR. DEGONISH: I -- the -- the inmate did not work for me. So, I -- I really -- I don't know for sure, but I remember I -- talking to his supervisor, that this happened during the construction job, at night. It was a severe foot injury, requiring multiple operations. Other than that, I -- I really don't --

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: So, he was out of the institution then for some of his medical care and I assume that that medical care was paid for by the --

MR. DEGONISH: By the institution. I believe so.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: When inmates were working on those jobs, with outside contractors, were they being paid their regular inmate rate?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, they were paid 41 cents an hour. As I said earlier, the only contractor that I know this occurred was Mr. Swartz.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: You -- you referred to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

alleged or at least questionable activity, vis-a-vis the Swartz contract, that it was discovered for the first time, when you were touring after the break. I know you talked about a gas line.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: What is the connection between, again, between the work the Swartz contract — the work that was being done on the Swartz contract and the proximity of the areas involved in the breakout?

MR. ARENSBERG: I don't know the answer to that, except for the fact that -- that the jail -- the tunnels that he was digging, where he was replacing the lines, probably permitted the inmates the visibility to the under -- the underneath of the jail. Other than that, I don't know.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Do you know, although it's not directly related to the breakout, do you know if there is any ongoing investigation or a written investigative report being done on the Swartz contract, itself?

From what the Commissioner has MR. ARENSBERG: told me from reading his report, I've been informed that it has, in fact, been turned over to the Attorney General's Office and also know that the Allegheny Commissioners looking it. but has were into no one questioned me officially or, you know, in an official capacity, concerning it or anything.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Other than the hydraulic jack, that, I assume we know for certain was a piece of the Swartz equipment, was there other left behind Swartz equipment? I mean, we saw lockers yesterday of 14,000 pieces of miscellaneous stuff that was removed from the prison, not necessarily all tools. But were there other things connected, directly connected to the Swartz materials, that were found?

MR. ARENSBERG: Other than his truck that we kept, I don't know. His truck was kept there, during -right after the escape, his truck was then finally done. And it was parked outside the garage and you walked around inside, where the -- that panic button was. That's where his truck was, right around the corner from there. And I know that that -- to my knowledge, the Commissioner had it towed. Where it went, I don't know.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Is there some significance to that, for example, when we were in the Automotive Shop yesterday, we were told that some of the work might be done on employees' cars, et cetera, but was that unprecedented, that to be done -- work to be done on vehicles that were not either state vehicles or employee vehicles?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, that's the first time I ever heard of it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: One of comments made at the meet and discuss, that Representative Orie talked about -- brought to your attention was regarding --I wouldn't necessarily characterize it as a complaint, but a concern that more time was spent investigating officers and -- than inmates. My question is, not knowing the full context of that, was that in relationship to any concerns whether, within this particular institution or systemwide, vis-a-vis, you know, a new policy on cracking down on drugs or other contraband and finding out how it's getting into the prisons?

MR. ARENSBERG: This is just based upon Local 2500's experience with the security staff and the security problems that we felt that we were having at that time.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Okay. But there wasn't any kind of -- maybe I can make it -- at least to your knowledge, there wasn't any kind of new, either system-wide or institution policy, that you were experiencing because of concerns about contraband?

MR. ARENSBERG: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

Perhaps everyone knows this but me, because it is assumed, but I never heard anybody -- and I'm assuming that there's at least one count, sometime in the morning and there's at least one count, sometimes at the end of

the day. Is that what happens, basically two counts per day?

MR. ARENSBERG: Our first count is roughly at 04:00, which is four o'clock in the morning. Our next count will occur at 11:00 a.m. The next count after that will occur at 3:30 p.m. and the next count after that, that is the final count of the day, which happens roughly at 8:40, nine o'clock at night.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Okay. So, four times a day. And during those count periods, except for and I understand what you were saying that sometimes there were so many, et cetera, but the reality is, everybody is to be in their cells, to be counted those four times a day, unless they have an approved excuse for not being there?

MR. ARENSBERG: That is the practice now. It wasn't the practice the day of the escape. That's how Pontes and company were able to say they were counting out in the laundry and be down in the Machine Shop or down in that tunnel working.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: So, a number of times you've said today that phototypical -- for security -- w as that the reason, in your mind, that there were so many people out of their cells, during those times, because of other activities that they would be relied upon to do, that was the productivity factor?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes and I can give you an example that's even occurred since the date of the escape. Management was overly willing to accept the Union's input and changes after the escape. So, we suggested, lock everybody up at count time. It seemed a reasonable request by the Union, that as count, you ought to be in your cell. So, we did that.

The first problem we ran into was the dietary. We couldn't get the inmates fed. Okay. So, we let the dietary workers out. That was 40 or 50 inmates.

The next thing that was given to this Union and to management was a request by CI, which is Correctional Industries, who employ 250 inmates, that those inmates be permitted out for count. And I said, "Here we go again. We're back to the way we were."

So, what we did was, we left them inmates stay out during chow, during their lunch process. Those inmates, for productivity purposes, will remain out of their cell while we are feeding chow and they will be fed last. And then, they are sent to their cell, after they are fed and we do a count. That wasn't the process that started after the escape. It was just another one of those productivity requests, that had to be addressed, because not enough license plates were being produced.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Finally, my last area

of questioning goes along the whole issue of communication, not only within the institution, but from institutions to the central office.

The meet and discuss process, under Act 95, on your institution level, at SCI Pitstburgh, do you have regularly scheduled or is it just when you bring something up, quarterly meetings?

MR. ARENSBERG: Monthly.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Monthly.

So, for example, I counted -- and I realize that you were giving us just a summary, but I counted 18 instances, over the course of 17 years, that you documented for us, from '79, to whatever you gave us, kind of 18 examples. But the reality of it is that over 17 years, there would have been 17 times 12 supposedly set of minutes, many of which may have been addressed?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. But the Union doesn't have all the minutes. I would -- although we did have the monthly meetings, the last one we had was probably two or three months ago. They -- we can have them monthly. You can have -- if I were to reduce to writing today, we could have a meet and discuss tomorrow or the next day. The amount of documentation that we went to, to supply the Committee with just the brief minutes that we have given you, was of endless hours of reading. There are a lot more

issues that we didn't bring up, that maybe we should have. And if you'd like, I could supply that You see, the grievance process, if there's anything in the grievance process, we're not permitted to discuss it here. So, if I wrote a grievance concerning the security of some part of the institution, I could not bring it up in the meet and discuss process.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Yes. I'm less interested in the content, at this point, than I am in the process.

MR. ARENSBERG: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: One of the things that I'm hearing is that there are meet and discusses that may just be oral and then, there are meet and discusses where things are in writing or is it just a request for having a meet and discuss must happen when you put something in writing? I'm not understanding what you are saying.

MR. ARENSBERG: Oral meet and discusses really don't occur. That's just a meeting that we're having a conversation at. But the meet and discuss process is reduced to writing. We'd supply management with a list of questions. They supply us. And then, minutes are kept by management and a copy of those minutes are sent to the Union. I must go on record with you, that we do not grieve everything that's put in the minutes, because they are

limited, some of them. But that document that you have and all of the meet and discusses that we've gone to, that are reduced to writing, have been sent to central office.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: And are they sent in their full context form or just as summary documents?

MR. ARENSBERG: Their full form, because I've been questioned by members of the central office, concerning some of the things that we brought up.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: You said earlier today that it was just yesterday that you learned about this process of the annual audits or the annual reports, so that, obviously, at least to your knowledge, there was no incorporation of what was happening or what was being pointed out, on a regular basis for the meet and discusses and the annual reports.

MR. ARENSBERG: Correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: You don't have any knowledge of whether or not a review of a year's worth of minutes, that I assume are kept in a file or a binder, there's no one here to ask now because I didn't know about this yesterday and so, you don't know whether those are something that are regularly reviewed?

MR. ARENSBERG: No. I do not.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Do you have any suggestion, from the Unions and on behalf of the corrections

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officers' point of view, in the process of and I assume it — it is or will be the whole process of how annual reviews are done and how input is being given? If that process is being revised, would you have any suggestion on how that process would either include you or at least included information that the correction officers would be aware of?

I would think that the reports MR. ARENSBERG: should have a section of -- for the Union recommendations in them and that would be sent into the Department, be able to review the report in its entirety and to review the Superintendent's request of what his recommendations and actions are going to be, from that report. The process that the Union currently has, involving reports, they do what they call "staffing analysis." Sometimes it happens every two years or three years or four years. It's where they do a post survey, how many corrections officers you We do have input into that have in your institution. process, but that's the only process that we do have input into.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: You mentioned statewide meet and discusses.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Are those regular and if so, how often?

MR. ARENSBERG: As I stated, since Commissioner Horn has been here, we've had one with Commissioner Horn. We've had several with the Deputy Commissioner. They are called "regional meet and discusses." But we've only had one with Commissioner Horn. Under Commissioner Lehman, I think we had probably yearly or semi-annually, maybe. I'm just going from memory.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Okay. And with all deference to Commissioner Horn, I think he's only been here two years.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: So, the fact that you've had only one, isn't necessarily less frequent than what I think you're telling us you had in the past.

MR. ARENSBERG: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. No more questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Gentlemen, I want to thank you for your testimony.

Representative James is recognized for a very, very brief question.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yes. Thank you.

I just want to ask you, based upon your experience, two things. How do you feel about, if, in fact there was some legislation passed that would give inmates current

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

time? Do you think it would be helpful to you?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. It would definitely ease the overcrowding, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And also about older inmates, over 60 and to 65, do you think that they should be moved out of the institution, into some other kind of alternative place, to give room?

MR. ARENSBERG: Well, I guess I can answer that in two ways. First, we have Laurel Highlands. I guess that's where they're going to start sending some of the older inmates. But inside our prison, we call them "old heads" and they have -- believe it or not, they help with security, more than they hamper. They keep these young gang bangers, that we are bringing in and these young guys coming in, doing the five to ten-year bits and they are Parole decided not to parole anynot getting any parole. body anymore. It's made our overcrowding even worse. those older inmates help us keep ahold of our institution, more so than hamper us.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, that's good. That's the first time I've heard that. So, you would -- you wouldn't really want to see something that is going to support the fact that they be moved out, since they've been so helpful?

MR. ARENSBERG: No. sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And you also said something about gas being stolen?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Was that reported to the Attorney General's Office?

MR. ARENSBERG: To my knowledge, it was. And as again, I'll allegedly say it was stolen, because I — I know of no permission given to hook it — and I know for a fact that the Superintendent had no knowledge of it, because I showed it to him, personally.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Gentlemen, do want to we thank you for your testimony. We appreciate your commitment and your dedication to your job. And you know, as members of this Committee and myself are aware of what's going on and it's good to hear from all different people who have a concern about the prisons. And I hope that the staff that you represent is as committed as you gentlemen I'm sure that one of your goals, as a Union, is to make sure your people are doing their job,.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We appreciate your efforts in that regard. Thank you very much for taking the time to be here. We appreciate it.

MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you very much.

25

MR. DEGONISH: Thank you.

MR. BUSH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Is Barbara Mackey present?
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Seeing that she's not here, we're going to ask Representative Harry Readshaw if he would come and give some brief testimony and share with us some information that he has on legislation that he has introduced.

As you take your seat, let me just tell the panel members here that he will be the last testifier, before we break for lunch and that the University of Pittsburgh is going to be providing that lunch for us in the dining room.

Also, as an introduction to Representative Readshaw, it is the function of this Committee's hearing to not only discover the causes of the prison breakout and as I mentioned earlier, in our oversight responsibilities, to look at potential means for helping our prisons to run better and to do the job that they have been called upon to do. And sometimes that requires legislation. In this particular case, that may be the case. And so, Representative Readshaw has two pieces of legislation that he has introduced, that he is going to comment on briefly and share with us some of the concerns that he has.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: And feel free to testify.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I welcome all of the members of the Committee to Pittsburgh
and I thank you for conducting these hearings.

Each of the two bills I have introduced in response to the January escape from Western Penitentiary can be simplified to three words: One "No civilian clothes" and two, "Call the police."

In the last session, we had a fruitful special
Legislative session on crime, during which we enacted
numerous bills that imposed tougher sentences for the violent
crimes that have increased in our society. In retrospect,
we should also have looked at what happens once we place
felons behind bars.

Following the discovery of the escape of the inmates from Western Penitentiary, it was several hours before local police agencies were notified. I venture to say that the time that went by, until notification began, the possibility of those escapees being somewhere in the Dayton, Ohio area was a strong possibility.

Not only did this gap permit the escapees to get out of the vicinity of the prison, we lost an opportunity for them to be apprehended, as they traveled through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adjacent states. And one can only speculate how many Pennsylvania or Ohio State Police patrol cars they passed during those hours, with the police totally unaware to be on the lookout for them.

All local police agencies in the area, including the Pennsylvania State Police, should have been notified immediately about the escape. And as of yesterday, when I had an opportunity, with members of the Committee to tour the penitentiary, I came to have a better understanding of the complexities in obtaining a head count.

However, with those considerations in mind, I still believe that the system should be, in some way And I'd like to refer you to House Bill 124, upgraded. which was submitted to the Judiciary Committee on January the 29th. And with your permission, I'd just like to read the gist of the legisliation. "The Department of Corrections shall establish at all State correctional institutions, a one-dial phone system, to alert all law enforcement agencies within the County and other agencies, which the Department of Corrections determines should receive the information, whenever a breach of prison confines occurs. The term 'one-dial system' shall mean a computerized telephone system which, upon the dialing of a single number by a prison official, automatically causes the placing of telephone calls to agencies predetermined to receive a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prison-breach alert." And I'd also like to suggest that, after yesterday and appreciating the difficulty in the head count, a prerecorded message, through this same system, even suspecting a breach has occurred, could also be passed on to the appropriate police agencies.

The second Bill that I would like to briefly explain is House Bill 207, which would prohibit State correctional institute inmates from having access to civilian clothing and require that inmates identifiable prison uniforms at al1 times. Inmates, especially those convicted of violent crime, should not And that is obviously have access to civilian clothes. my opinion and I think that it would be a step in the right direction.

Once again, a point of reference to yesterday and I don't know if any members would share this feeling with me, but as we toured the facility and saw inmates going to chow, with, at this time of year civilian outer garments on, I would daresay that if we would take one, two, three or possibly more of those inmates, who would escape, have them walking in downtown Pittsburgh, on Fifth Avenue or Smithfield Street, I would say that the civilian populace would not be able to identify them as escaped prisoners.

If it takes gaudy shade of orange or red prison

markings, so be it. Even if we have to dress them in the old black and white striped outfits, that's fine, as long as the public safety is protected.

Now, some may claim that requiring inmate uniforms would be unfair or harsh. Prison is supposed to be a place one does not want to live in. I would venture that requiring uniforms, 24 hours a day, would help us reenforce that, in addition to providing for better public safety.

I thank you very much for the opportunity to briefly explain this legislation. Obviously, if I did not think it was beneficial, I never would have introduced the legislation. And I hope, in the near future, that you find it worthy and would consider it in Committee. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Readshaw, on House Bill 207, dealing with inmate clothing, we've heard mention here in the last day or so and this may run afoul of some court decisions, have you done any research on that?

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: No, I really haven't. I understand the point you're making, but, with all due respect, we have a decision to make here and I think, as of yesterday, I was -- astonished is a little strong, but I was concerned yesterday at the people who work in a penitentiary may disagree, but they are obviously seeing

these prisoners on a day-to-day basis, but I, for one, if I would see one of them walking down Beaver Avenue, as I was going into the penitentiary, would not have identified them as a **convict**. So, no, in answer to your question, I haven't looked into any constitutional or other rules and regulations governing that.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I bring that up, because, should this Committee call this Bill up for a vote and it's not my judgment to call, that's Representative Gannon's, I know you are going to face that question.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: I will be prepared.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: And be prepared to answer that question.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: So, I would, just as a suggestion to you, you might want to look into that, before -REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Point well taken.
Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Do any of the Committee members have any questions for Representative Readshaw? Representative Walko?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Representative Readshaw, I would like to comment
positively about House Bill 124, particularly the part
which deals with notification of schools. As a parent of a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

five-year-old, in a school one-half mile away from the breakout, I believe it's not just hysteria, but a real thing, that parents want to know that the school knows about this potential. So, I commend you.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Anyone else? Representative

Reber?

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Just briefly, Mr. Chairman, a follow-up comment to your inquiry relative to the issue of the legality, if you will, of a piece of legislation proposed by the gentleman, that discussion we had yesterday emanated around the Consent Decree, as I understand, that was entered into back in the Shapp Administration, the ICU versus Shapp Case. I'm not sure of the genesis behind that. You might want to take a look at that, because I'm not so sure that the mandate was brought about, vis-a-vis federal legislation, federal litigation. statute orProbably, vis-a-vis the Consent Degree is what brought that about. So -- and I understand, also, as I discussed the matter with the Commissioner, that there is an attempt being made to have that language removed and a petition to so modify that Consent Degree is in the works, to my understanding, by the Department of Corrections.

So, very well, your legislation may be ongoing, if, in fact, that is done. In my opinion, that's not to

say that the mere fact that there's a Consent Decree out there, that has that prohibition in, that you certainly can't go forward and see if there's some way that we could, even if that stays in place, modify it, so that we don't run afoul of that particular Court Order. So, you might want to zero in on the whys and wherefores, find out who are the people involved in the negotiating that particular Consent Decree.

> REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We will recess in just a Just for the benefit of the panel and those who are here, we will let you know that we are meeting again after the lunch break, at 1:45. We have a somewhat compressed schedule, a little bit shorter than we expected it to be. So, we will be back at 1:45. I think we'll be able to finish in an hour to an hour and 15 minutes, once we do get back here, Lord willing.

We are now recessed until 1:45.

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12:23 p.m., the hearing (Whereupon, at adjourned, to reconvene at 1:45 p.m., this same day.)

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

1:45 p.m.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Welcome back this afternoon, those of you who were here this morning. Those of you who weren't, welcome. I'm Representative Birmelin, chairing this meeting of the House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Crime and Corrections, dealing with the prison escape from SCI Pittsburgh, on January 8th, 1997. And we have a few witnesses, not many, this afternoon. And hopefully, we'll be able to take care of the testimony and any questions that the members of the panel have for them, in a little over an hour, if possible.

The first testifier we have this afternoon is Mr. Michael Clate, who is from the Pennsylvania Prison Society. Mr. Clate, if you would, come forward and share your testimony with us.

MR. CLATE: Good afternoon. I appreciate the opportunity to come to speak to you folks. I'd like to maybe draw some lines so you know we're I'm at, so I can also stay focused. I'm going to read to you a statement from the Prison Society and then, I also, if possible, would like to make a couple of comments, as a person who has been with the Prison Society about eight years, has worked at a work release center here in Allegheny County, currently as a director of a ministry, Mennonite supported

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ministry, called the Pittsburgh Hospitality House and then maybe you can -- I also live close to the prison, so, I can invite you to ask me questions from history and that might help you get some clarity in what's going on here.

The Pennsylvania Prison Society, an organization that has been monitoring the conditions of prisons and inmates, for more than 215 years, is concerned that the efforts to find a person to blame for the recent breakout from the State Correctional Institution in Pittsburgh will from discerning the real causes. We of Pennsylvania Prison Society know SCIP from inside and out. We interact with inmates, guards, administrators, in our efforts to create healthy and responsible environment, where offenders can rehabilitate themselves and become contributing citizens. Ongoing forces, within and without the prison system, from systemic violence, to overcrowding, withdrawal ofrehabilitative activities, contributed to the idleness, the desperation and the anger that counteracts the efforts at rehabilitation.

Western Penitentiary, as originally planned, was never a nice place to live. It was designed to hold approximately, 1,000 inmates, with minimal facilities for recreation, education and production. Inmates had access to counselors and medical care. But there were space to be by yourself and to think.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, that prison has an inmate population of 1800 more, many sharing very smallaccommodations; the recreational yard eaten by buildings. Education up programs have been cut back, to the point that there is little opportunity for anyone who can read. Community College courses completely canceled; University οf Pittsburgh courses reduced to skeleton status. The counselors have a caseload, that they are almost such powerless to help. And physicians are so overloaded, that there is an effort to reduce physician visits, even those who are significantly ill.

And guards feel the pressure and at times, use excessive physical force. The inmates then feel unsupported, victimized and angry, on top of the lack of social consciousness and skills that brought them here. And they serve the time spending that forced idleness in figuring out why they were caught, devising escape techniques and forming predatory internal power clicks. And while these are inherent problems with any prison population, they are amplified to an intolerable level, by the overcrowded conditions and lack of support services. We ask that these conditions be corrected immediately.

We citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania make the final determination of whether inmates are rehabilitated or not. We determine whether we teach inmates

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how to act in society or whether we reinforce their hostility. We decide whether we pay now or pay later, when those people learn how to be violent. And we decide whether we will support programs that enrich the lives of the inmates or create conditions that lead to desperation and breakouts.

We need to decide, not by anger, not by fear mongering, not by ignorance, not by pointing fingers, but by thought and concern, whether we will make the Department of Corrections do just that, correct.

Most of the inmates we visit within the prison act, think and feel like the rest of us. They've been convicted of violating social laws, a few irreversibly. But they show the same interests in being useful, loving, in learning how to contribute. We have an opportunity to support that and the Prison Society feels that it is an opportunity well worth pursuing.

One of the things that we could do here in the Commonwealth, is look at models for state ombudsmen-type organizations for the inmates. Also, there's been a lot of talk about construction, fears of the prison closing down. What does that do to the local economy? How do you tear the prison down? What's the cost for doing that? I've just understood that there may have even been some money possibly earmarked for the building of a new prison.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm wondering whether or not if it's possible to do things now, such as putting a population cap on the I heard a lot -- Martin Horn talked twice about prison? overcrowding and the amount of staff. I also want to point out that the Tillery Case, even though it was a federal lawsuit, that may have caused problems, it also called for It called for the new hospital, that you more staffing. saw when you toured, that was built. It called for proper it was calling for proper classification of inmates, reduce the violence of inmate to inmate. I think now, you talked to people, talked to inmates, there is a heightened level of tension. There's no activities. My question is, is it possible that the idleness they have contributed to these people who escaped? I don't have an answer to that. But these are the types of things that we need to look at.

a facility that can hold what, 300 people? Something like that. The Annex, downtown. Maybe the state can take a look at that, for some — for temporarily reducing over-crowded conditions. I'm just seeing the state is subcontracting the county jails now or has talked about it, at least.

There are some things that we can do creatively,

I guess that's my point, as well as looking at tearing down
the prison, rebuilding and so forth. And the Prison Society

would like to have an opportunity to have a continuing dialogue with policymakers, to help them see or give information that maybe they won't get from the Department of Corrections or from maybe a guards' union or even a family member. I hope that you take the opportunity to utilize them. That's about it.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I'm going to ask you to stay there for a minute or two, if you would, just to give the opportunity to some of our members, if they have questions of you, to do so.

MR. CLATE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: And I'll start with Representative Orie.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I have no questions, at this time.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Caltigerone?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Walko?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I have a question.

Mr. Clate, you're aware -- are you aware that Western Penitentiary is 162 percent of inmate population?

MR. CLATE: I knew that they were significantly overcrowded. I did not know the percentage.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I just wanted to clarify one thing you said. You said you believe that the excess

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

population of Western Penitentiary leads to a lot of pressure.

MR. CLATE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: You mentioned in one case, the guards, themselves.

MR. CLATE: Yes. I think it -- if it -- if -- if the Union is or the officers are feeling a frustration of being able to have a handle on the particular population, I'm sure they're looking at staffing to inmate ratios. I'm sure that it is their concern. It should be all of ours.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: In your opinion, is the staffing to inmate ratio at Western Penitentiary adequate?

MR. CLATE: if it's I'm not sure SO much staffing, as it is, again, a population cap. I mean, you're looking at a physical plant, too, that you talked about, that is so old, that -- again, if -- if there -if there is a way to put a population cap on, so that you can do minimal hiring or appropriate hiring, let's just put it to you that way, where it's not going to be officers kind of bumping into each other, just to satisfy staff to I -- I think -- I think you just need to inmate ratio. look at some other alternatives.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Reber?

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Just briefly. In the course of your Society's intervention to the inmates at the facility, was there any or has there been any evidence that was expressed to you of the imminent situation leading to a breakout or the possibility of a breakout or any talk of that, in any way, shape or form, any kind of indications, anything along that line?

MR. CLATE: No, sir. I think what I was amazed, my first reaction was simply that someone must know about it. As you pointed out, regarding snitches, right, running the prison, maybe. Probably if I wanted to escape, the last people I want to tell is another inmate, because I, too, would realize that there are correctional informants. So, it's not hard to believe that maybe some of the inmates really didn't know. After -- after several interviews, after the escape, they were just -- a lot of them were in shock.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Do you interact virtually with each and every inmate?

MR. CLATE: No, I do not. We have a County Chapter here of a group of volunteers.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: I didn't mean you, personally.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CLATE: Oh.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: I meant your Society.

Do you -- do you basically get to talk with --

MR. CLATE: Over time. period of my particular situation, because we also have families that come in from the Philadelphia area. As you are probably aware, 61 percent of the population in that institution is not from Pittsburgh. It is from Philadelphia. And I hear patterns of concerns from -- that's echoed through -from the inmate to the family, to me, at the hospitality house and then, we have our volunteers, who deal with a large selection. I can't tell you the numbers. We do have tracking sheets and we can produce numbers.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Well, let me ask you a question in this way then.

MR. CLATE: Okay.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Does the Pennsylvania Prison Society, as a matter of course, attempt to interact with each and every inmate in the prison?

MR. CLATE: Yes. To the best of our resources. Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: And if you had to put a percentage on it, what percentage do you think you ultimately succeed in interacting with?

MR. CLATE: I would say, over a period of time,

for the inmates who stay there for more than a year or two, I would say probably, almost 50 percent of the people. We — you have to understand that we react to letters that come and calls, requesting us to come to see them. We do not go in, on a regular basis and walk range to range or block to block. Sometimes, I think that's not a bad idea.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Of the six individuals who were involved in this escape, did you or your Society or representatives of your Society have contact with any of them?

MR. CLATE: To my knowledge, I believe that there was one volunteer who had contacted one of the -- one of the people, but not on a regular basis. I, myself do not have contact.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: I understand that. I was just curious if, in preparation for these proceedings, you had --

MR. CLATE: Yes. We talk a lot to the folks in the lifers' population. As you know, they are the calming force in the institutions, because they have to spend the rest of their lives there. And a large -- like I said, a cross section helps us to try and get a sense of what is going on. And of course, then we have family members who are calling our local office here, with their concerns.

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: Thank you. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Clate, in your testimony, you referred to, I guess for lack of a better term, I'll call programmatic lack changes, of activity. decrease in programming, Community College, decrease ofet cetera. referring to over time or since January 8th? And if I could, at the same time, just focus you on more than one question, my -- my real concern is what changes, if any, have you noticed, within SCI Pittsburgh, vis-a-vis programming, job opportunities, things like that, that the great bulk of the inmates there?

My understanding MR. CLATE: right now. for example, none of the inmate organizations, the since escape, have been permitted to meet. My understanding is that there may not -- I -- I'm not sure about this. Ι haven't had an opportunity to question the administration. It is my understanding that there is very little or activity going on in the school. I'm not sure what going on with some of the therapeutic groups, such as your stress management groups or -- you know, groups. I know NA and AA currently are allowed to function. But I'm -you know, we also have a concern about a buildup of tension. And that buildup of tension could cause a safety

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hazard to both staff. inmates and I'm particularly concerned for -- well, again, concerned for staff. really want to minimize our concern for the staff and what they have to go through, themselves. And one of my favorite questions is always to ask an officer, "How long have you been there? Eighteen years. Have you changed? I don't know. If you ask my wife, she'll tell you that I probably have changed." I mean, there are those kinds of things that we look at wholistically.

A member of my own family was a correctional officer in the New York State system. And I -- I can identify with his stress. And I think probably the biggest frustration you see with inmates will be not being able to feel as though some one is listening to them.

I -- I hope that we -- you know, I have to tell you that I've been a victim twice. And I just had a man, who I was helping, an ex-offender, who just got sentenced in Allegheny County. I had helped him to get a job. He had a crack habit, he had a mental illness. And when the man went back to jail and I was a witness and I had asked the judge if we could do something about crime prevention, because most of the crime that's committed today is by people who go in and out of the system. And we need to take a look at that, if we want to do something about crime prevention.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ι Ι lost a couple was victim. dollars, that I can't get replaced. I live on a very small stipend. I found out that this man had 19 prior convictions, at 38-years-old, came out of prison and did not know how to read. My frustration as a victim was the fact that the sense of justice, to me, was having this man go to a program that dealt with drug and alcohol impulse behavior control, some sort of assessment about his mental health. And then, after that program, he would work and he would pay me back. That was a sense of justice, to me; not coming back out, after a year and a half to two years, back to the same neighborhood, which is within a few blocks of I am the one who will have to eventually see him on the street and deal with his anger and frustration. I think probably a lot of us out here feel the same way.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: if possible, Ιf because I suspect that we'll get a more thorough picture from you, as an outside organization, than we will from inside and I'll make a few questions, too, but I've learned lot these past two days and I'm sure we'll tomorrow. It seems to me that a lot of what happened, happened because of, for lack of a better word, poor management control for the practices. And I don't think that that be the result of that should be opportunity for the -- I mean, there were six inmates who

screwed it up. There are 1700, plus others, who are there, who didn't necessarily screw it up. I mean, I'm being very general. But what I'm saying today is, you think that inmate organizations haven't been allowed to meet, you think that what has happened with the school. If you could definitely find out what's happening and let us know, I would appreciate that.

MR. CLATE: I think that the person you want to contact is the Activities Director of the prison, Mr. Ed Howe, because I did talk --

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I'll ask him, too, but I'm asking you, as an outsider.

MR. CLATE: Yes. I -- I can almost, for sure say that, unless something happens today.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Mr. Clate. We appreciate your time.

Next, we're going to ask one of the members of the panel to place himself in the position of a testifier, Representative Walko, as with Representative Readshaw, has introduced or he will be introducing legislation that he would like this Committee to consider. So, he is going to put on a different hat, at this time and become a testifier. And you are not allowed to interrogate yourself.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: We were wondering about that, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We would encourage you to give whatever testimony you have, at this time, to the Committee.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And again, thanks for bringing the Subcommittee here to

Pittsburgh, on this important issue.

I have introduced House Bill 396, which would ban the use of inmate labor on contracts, as part and parcel of the contract to be performed by outside, private contractors.

There are two basic reasons for introducing the legislation. Security is the first.

The performance of inmate labor for private, outside contractors in prisons has great potential for breaches in security.

The Swartz's Welding contract, which is, I believe ongoing at Western Penitentiary, is a great case study:

1. Inmates there were and are supervised by outside contractor and not by security personnel, as we heard today again;

2. Inmates in that contract have access to tools, they had access to blueprints and plans. (In the recent escape, they probably got a hydraulic jack, which helped

them lift the warehouse floor out, in order to escape.) And that jack came from the Swartz Welding contract.

The inmates were working long hours, for that private contractor, into the evening and I heard today, until 5:00 a.m., at times and they were also moving unrestricted about the prison yard.

Another reason was the lack of supervision by guards. I think opportunities in these kinds of situations exist for the exchange of drugs and other contraband, between private contractor employees and inmates. Of course, there were no private contract employees, in this case. But in other cases, it might be a problem.

As a matter of policy, I believe that it is bad public policy to have prisoners perform work, at 41 cents per hour, as in the Swartz Welding case, for private profit-making contractors, when law-abiding citizens are available and willing to do the work for a decent wage.

There is enough work to keep the inmates busy and I strongly support making inmates work. There is a license plate factory there and there is a kitchen, a machine shop. Prisoners with lower security clearance could form chain gangs and, under armed guard, clean up our hillside and river banks.

Given our financial constraints in Pennsylvania,

I recognize that the taxpayers do have a legitimate concern

keeping the cost of construction in prisons as low as possible. But please keep the following in mind:

1. The potential serious breaches in security, as in the recent case at Western Penitentiary, can end up costing us a great deal more than any of the cost savings resulting from the use of inmate labor. Even if there is no escape, often the cost of additional required security could obliterate any savings in direct labor costs.

While there may be short-term savings with the use of inmate labor, we have to wonder about the policy of the work, particularly in cases involving plumbing and welding. I believe the quality could suffer. And the true cost of that work won't be reflected until later, when it has to be repaired or replaced.

Another policy point, in my state House district, there are skilled craftsmen, many skilled craftsmen and laborers, who need the work. I do not believe that the taxpayers would want law-abiding citizens, who need work, to be displaced by criminals. And that is purely a matter of public policy.

You know, I find it ironic, when bureaucrats talk in terms of lowering costs on construction jobs, they always look at the labor side of the equation. They don't look at the profit margin and they didn't look at it in the Swartz Welding case.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this case, a certain profit margin was set by the contractor. It didn't matter what the labor would cost if he had a certain profit margin. The labor was at 41 cents an hour. And as soon as we get the records, we will know exactly how many hours were involved. But that labor was part and parcel of the contract. And it turns out, I pointed out earlier, the inmates did all of the work, for the entire contract.

The prisoners did the welding, the steam line work and other work, which I believe should have been done by experienced, skilled craftsmen.

Keep in mind, the contract was bid with one based on law abiding workers and one based on the use of inmate labor. But the contract specifications and indeed, the contracts, themselves, were the same, law abiding workers were used or whether inmate labor was used. Thus, the often cited analogy to the homeowner who gets two different bids; one for painting and scraping and one just for painting a house and getting two different prices, is irrelevant to the Swartz Welding contract at Western Penitentiary. In that case, there is And indeed, as I said, the no bifurcation of tasks. contract for inmate labor and the contracts and specs for private labor were identical. I'm sure we'll be hearing more about that in the future; that particular contract and

this issue, in general.

With regard to the ban on the use of civilian clothing by inmates, I support Representative Readshaw. I believe it is bad policy to allow inmates to wear civilian clothing. But there are a number of different reasons, for my position. I believe that inmate uniforms in prisons will foster a sense of regimentation and facilitate discipline.

I believe that your uniformity among prisoner clothing, including standardized socks, T-shirts, pants, et cetera, will deter gang member identification.

Uniformity, I believe, will help when guards do shakedowns and make them faster and easier.

And I believe that uniforms will, indeed make it clear to the inmates that they are in a special circumstance and that they are to act, in a sense, differently from civilians.

And of course, the escape which occurred, might have been more difficult, had they not had the civilian clothing.

With regard to notification of the community of an escape, on January 8th, 1997, the day of the escape, my five-year-old son, Nicholas, was at school. He attends Horace Mann Elementary School.

Nicholas' school is only a few blocks from the

penitentiary. It's .5 miles from the point of escape. And if you don't think I was angry to learn school officials weren't notified in a timely manner of the escape, please, don't think that.

Six dangerous and desperate inmates, including two murderers, moved through a neighborhood of my son's school. All sorts of tragedies could have occurred; carjackings, hostage-takings, murder. Not wild possibilities. Real possibilities.

And I think failure to have a notification in place, system in place, is inexcusable. Failure to implement what -- when there was one in place, by the person charged to do it, was reprehensible. And I -- I, along with many of my neighbors, demand that one be put in place. Thank you.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Orie?
REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Caltigerone?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman and thank you, Representative Walko. I just want to commend you from this and for starting. And I see why you are in on the case, because it is in your district.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I can understand and sympathize with the fact that your son was at school and the community was not notified. That is one of my concerns, why weren't the local police and everybody notified or at least put on alert, once they found something was wrong down there? And I hope that that will be corrected, in the policies that will be established as a result of this escape.

Just based upon what information you may or may not have, would you think the community would be opposed to a siren-type signal, if, in fact there was an escape?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I think that many of my constituents have mentioned the siren possibility. And We -- we're all -- it's one of those cases we support it. of, "My goodness, you mean there isn't one?" It's like. "My goodness, you mean the doorbell doesn't work anymore?" I mean, we were all shocked. I -- and I think there has been a lot of positive movement in that regard. One, I understand Superintendent Price has been meeting with or talking with Judy Landa, who will testify tomorrow, concerning the notification procedures. My community -earlier today, there was the President of Brighton Heights They will be working the Block Watch, here in attendance. new Superintendent, to streamline notification procedures. Certainly, the six schools in the immediate vicinity of the prison should be locked into some notification procedure.

But in the least, a siren or a whistle, I think would be most helpful.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. Now, one other thing. Do you feel as though the Department of Corrections and Investigation is working along with your community, in terms of things we need to do with them?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Are you satisfied?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Since January 8th, I must say, I am impressed and I think they've done an excellent job, from the Governor, on down to the prison yard. The — I think a lot of our duty here, as Judiciary Committee members, is to analyze what happened before and determining accountability and responsibility for what occurred. But, for example, the contact with Donna Cramer of Brighton Heights Block Watch, Judy Landa of Brightwood Block Watch, I think has been very good. Personally, Commissioner Horn, Superintendent White have responded to all of my — as many questions as I have posed. So, I'm happy with what's happened since January 8th.

And of course, on the tour yesterday, I was very pleased with the difference in the condition of the maintenance area, in particular, from January 15th, 1997, to March 3rd, yesterday. I thought they made a tremendous improvement, on everything from picking up garbage, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

picking -- clearing out the wood that was laying around. You know, for example, the prisoners built the hydraulic jack up about 12 feet, to where they had to push the floor of the warehouse up. What did they build it up on? Wood that was laying around. It's not there now and I'm very pleased to give credit where credit is due, in that regard.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Don, do you know of outside contractors being used, if that happened here, just in Pittsburgh or do you know if there's other institutions that use inmate labor, outside contractors?

That's a very good question. REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I'm not sure. I understand that it is being used at different locations. For example, the Camp Hill -- one of the buildings at Camp Hill was built by inmates. actually. an outside I'm notsure if that was with contractor under the direct supervision of prison personnel. So, I'm not sure, to tell you the truth.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: I know that at the boot camp, the individuals there built the residential facility and also one of the educational facilities. But I wasn't sure if that was an outside contractor. So, that was my other question. I didn't know if this was unique here at this institution.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: From what the jail guards here are saying, this was their first -- what I've heard and heard today is that they were not aware of this kind of contracting, at Western Penitentiary before. I'm not aware of it, around the state. And I think we If we are going to embark on that should look into it. I think we should be clear about bifurcating contracts and clarifying security supervision. And I do want to emphasize that I do believe inmates have to work. It would be foolhardy for us to think that you could go But I think that there are to prison and just vegetate. important security and policy considerations at work here. So, I think that we should ask that question, perhaps in the wrap-up tomorrow.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Reber?

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Walko, you may return to the panel.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: In light of the legislation that we've discussed briefly here with Representative Walko and Readshaw, I've asked Mr. Rudy Arensberg to come back

to the witness table. And I know we didn't warn you of this, until about two or three minutes ago, but sometimes these things can be all of a sudden. So, I thought it would be good to hear your take on at least these three areas of legislation. And just for your review, the first one was the legislation that Representative Readshaw was —has introduced, that deals with the forbidding of civilian clothing by prisoners. The second was his bill on the one-dial phone system, the computerized automatic telephone, to call people who should be notified of an escape and Representative Walko's legislation, which he described, dealing with the use of inmate labor by private contractor.

I know I'm putting you on the spot here, but I'm asking you, if you could, to just give us briefly, your take on those three areas of legislation.

MR. ARENSBERG: Would you like my overall view or -- do you want to do each one separately? Would that be best for you or --

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Each one separately, would be --

MR. ARENSBERG: The first one you mentioned was on the clothing issue, correct?

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Yes.

MR. ARENSBERG: In light of the fact that I don't have the Bill at present in front of me, but from my

knowledge and my experience, I can tell you that there's no rationale for them to have civilian clothing, other than the fact of the Consent Decree, that the Commonwealth signed with the inmates, years ago, well before my time. I don't know anybody in the Department, who was working there, at such time they didn't wear uniforms. You'd probably have to talk to a veteran of over 35 years.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: And the legislation is pretty simple. It simply says, "All prison inmates are prohibited from wearing civilian clothing while incarcerated." That's pretty cut and dried.

MR. ARENSBERG: That's in the simplest form. We can accept that and work with that.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I described to you word for word the legislation.

MR. ARENSBERG: The problem -- the only problem this Bill generates is the removal of the clothing that they have accumulated over 30 or 40 years, inside the prison.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: That's why we have regulations.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: This is legislation.

So, I gather from what you said then, that you would be supportive of that?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes. For people walking around

the institution. The one chairperson up there on the — Representatives, even told me that it was hard enough for them to recognize them in their brown coats and their brown hats and their brown pants. But for us working there, that's the ideal situation now, the way that they are dressed now. If you'd have been there the day of the escape, they would have been dressed as everybody is here today in this room. They didn't have those outfits on that day. They had on their civilian clothing.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: The second legislative piece that Representative Readshaw has suggested was the one-dial phone system. And I'll read to you a portion of that one.

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: It says, "The term 'one-dial phone system' shall mean a computerized telephone system which, upon the dialing of a single number by a prison official, automatically causes the placing of telephone calls to all agencies predetermined to receive a prison-breach alert."

MR. ARENSBERG: I think it's an excellent idea and, in the support of Representative Walko, the fact about the siren and the one system, well, I grew up right outside that prison. I had to be home every night, when that alarm went off and we locked the inmates up. I had to be home.

Everybody who lives in this general community of North Side, you can hear that whistle for about four or five miles. So, you would have immediate knowledge if an escape did occur and we blew the whistle, longer than what normally what happens. The whistle blows four times a day now. So, you'd have to make some type of distinction, between that and what normally occurs. This notification process that he's -- would be excellent for everybody else involved around the community.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: The third piece of legislation I'd ask you to make a comment on was that, that Representative Walko has introduced, dealing with the forbidding of inmate labor on private contractor jobs in the prison.

MR. ARENSBERG: That happened on the Swartz contract and I hope it never happens again, sir.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Again, your answer would be that you are responding -- that you are --

MR. ARENSBERG: I am in full support of it, yes.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Are there any other comments that you would like to make, with respect to any of those three?

MR. ARENSBERG: On those particular bills, as I said, I haven't had -- I've been involved down at the jail since the escape and making trips to Harrisburg and

back from there, for our meetings there. I haven't had time to fully review them. But on their face value, I can sit here and tell you that I support them wholeheartedly; that those Bills -- the elimination of the clothing would enhance security and identification of the inmates in the jail.

The phone notification has to help with notifying the community-at-large. And you've heard a lot of testimony from the Commissioner and everybody about the failure of the notification process that day. It actually helped the inmates, more than it did deter them.

Other than that, I have no more comments on the Bills.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative James has a quick question for you.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. I just wanted to ask you, what -- what percentage, if you know, of the inmates are from Philadelphia?

MR. ARENSBERG: I think it was testified earlier, about 61 percent. Do you mean at Western?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Yes.

MR. ARENSBERG: Probably 51 or 61 percent, it was testified to earlier. Fifty-one percent, from Tim Collins. Sixty-one percent. I stand corrected. But what happened was the Department of Corrections implemented a

policy, that we just found out about after the escape, of sending Philadelphia County inmates to this side of the state, Pittsburgh inmates and Allegheny County inmates to the other side of the state. Their rationale for that, I do not understand. I don't think it's good operational practice. But we haven't had any discussion with the Union or the employees at Western Penitentiary have — have never had any discussions with management on that issue but I only found out about it, in the last two weeks.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: So, as corrections officers, representing the correction officers, you would be more supportive if the inmates were from the same region?

MR. ARENSBERG: Surely. That way they could have family support at their institution, they'd have more visits. Inmates getting visits is good practice in an institution. It takes some of that stress level.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: And helps you in your job?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Orie?

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I guess, just a followup question, in regards to uniform legislation that was proposed by Representative Readshaw. What I was, I guess asking for your input on is whether or not you feel along the lines, from a negative to that legislation, that deals

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with specifically -- do you have some way to classify 4 inmates, who are probably the most serious offenders within that institution?

MR. ARENSBERG: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And perhaps different color for them, because I know that there are various restrictions and commingling with other -- with inmates and perhaps putting them in a specific color, different from the total population, from any particular -so that you are more attuned to what they are doing or it makes it more readily available to your sight, your security, to see that individual in this color. Especially the 4's, because I know that the number you have there is -well, it's just like you indicated in your testimony, it's much higher and much greater than any other institution. And they are the most serious.

MR. ARENSBERG: Singling out the 4's, probably by different color, that, in and of itself probably would not be a good idea. But identifying who those individuals are, would be. We, as correction officers, standing on the corner and walking the block, most of the time, don't necessarily know who the 4's are. Although the color would distinguish that, there's a gang problem, when you start identifying groups by colors, whatever the color may be. We had a big problem with the blue and red colors, for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

long time. We had to eliminate them from being inside the institution.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Okay. I guess one of my concerns is again and it doesn't particularly deal with the escape, but it deals with some of the problems that exist in the institution ${\tt with}$ the 4's, the violent situations against the ones who are of lower ranks, 1, 2 and the various incident that resulted from that. I guess the color lines -- I mean, I don't know how you can get them identified. Do you have suggestions along those lines, for that identification?

MR. ARENSBERG: They have started a process of identifying them. It slips my mind what that is. But there is a process that the Department has started. I'm trying to draw it up from memory and I'm drawing a blank on it, on what it is. But the only problem with the Level 4's is, when they move them to these other -- to these prototypicals, what they'll do is they'll just eliminate that Level 4 classification and make them a Level 3, so they can put them in these dormitory settings. You heard me state earlier that there's 3,000 to 4,000 inmates that are maximum security status, sitting around in minimum security cells. They may -- the Department of Corrections may come in here and dispute that fact tomorrow, simply by saying that they are not Level 4 or maximum security.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that is just a matter of the Department of Corrections and the managers, the unit managers taking that individual and taking him from a Level 4, which is what he should be and making him a Level 3, so that they can move him into a dormitory setting. But he's still a Level 4.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And I guess I have one, just follow-up question. I guess you said 61 percent from Tim Collins, from Western Pen. Mr Clate indicated that he had received that information. Your input, as regards to security, by locating prisoners from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh to Philadelphia, I guess, do you see any security benefits from that, in regards to the area? And I know the family and the networking of the family is important. But I'm just asking --

MR. ARENSBERG: Well, he testified earlier as about the idle time, if the inmates aren't getting any visits, they have no family support, because their family is all in Philadelphia. It's a practice that never should I don't know why it did. It hasn't been have started. And I was really surprised to find out explained to me. about it. Of course, we noticed it, by the increasing number of Philadelphia inmates that started showing up at our prison. But we just thought that more inmates were being sent to Philadelphia and we just started receiving We didn't know that they started -- Camp Hill --

Camp Hill Prison decides where all the inmates are going to go in the state. That's where this policy generated from, from the Department of Corrections. And they stated that if they are from Philadelphia area, they will come to Allegheny County and vice versa.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: And just one, last question. With regards to the classification, I know the 4's are separated. But are you separated by classification, as to where you are in your cells?

MR. ARENSBERG: No. 4's aren't separated either. Not at Western, no.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Right. But I mean, is there -- has there been a push for that type of a policy?

MR. ARENSBERG: Not to my knowledge.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: Do you see any benefits to that?

MR. ARENSBERG: There's -- there's pros and cons to it. Off the top of my head, all of the officers would want to work in the Level 2 and the Level 1 housing unit and no one would want to be over there with the Level 4's and the Level 5's running around in the jail. That would be the negative side of that.

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: I have nothing further. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you for coming back

and we appreciate that.

MR. ARENSBERG: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Mr. Clate, just as a momentary notice, I will ask you if you would do the same as Mr. Arensberg did, in a few minutes.

MR. CLATE: Excuse me?

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Would you, also give your input on those three legislative proposals, in a few minutes from now?

MR. CLATE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: We have Councilman Dan Onorato, I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly, who has just walked in. I know that he is a busy man and I'm asking him, as one of our scheduled testifiers, if he would come up and share with us his testimony and also stand for some brief interrogation. Am I pronouncing that correctly?

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Yes, Onorato.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Onorato?

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thanks for joining us.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Thank you very much,

Mr. Chairman and members of the House Judiciary Committee and Representative Walko, my Representative. I want to thank you for having the hearings here in Pittsburgh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me start off by saying that I think a lot has been debated and discussed on this issue already. And a lot of action has been taken for the positive. So, if I reiterate some of the points that have already been addressed, I apologize. However, I think that they are being handled.

As a Representative from the North Side, this facility sits in my District. And not only does it sit in my District, it also sits in the neighborhood where I I'm probably about a mile and a half from the And the concerns that I have as facility, if not closer. Representative and as а resident ofthe area initially the notification problem that we experienced. And I know there's been action taken to address that. the notification to the City of Pittsburgh government, but also the notification to the residents of the area. And I don't know how we improve that. I heard discussions regarding the horn that they use for other types notification to the general public, but also there was some miscommunication between the Police Department, the Mayor's Office and the officials of the prison.

And then, throughout the debate and discussion, the public hearings since the escape, we found out things that went on in the prison, that none of us would have known. And that would be such things as the prisoners being

allowed to have the street clothes, their access to the tools, their participation in the contracts and the renovations going on at the prison. And what worries me is that none of this would have been known to any of us, including our Representatives at the state level, if this escape didn't happen.

And the one thing that I keep on asking and I'm trying to find out, something we can put in place is, when this all settles down, in a year from now, 12 months from now, all of us are back home in our Districts and everything's back to normal, what assurances do we have that things that are promised or changes that are made continue to go on inside the prison walls? Because we really won't know and we're going to be relying on what the officials tell us.

And they're the concerns that I bring, at this point, because, this is a maximum security prison. It's critical to us on the North Side that we feel safe and comfortable, that proper changes are made. Just going to the issue of trying to promote the area, we have a lot of stable neighborhoods in the city. This is on the edge of a stable neighborhood. And if we start losing confidence in the facility, I'm afraid of the ripple effect it could have, also in the area, that it could have a negative effect on the surrounding neighborhoods, both in and outside

of the city.

I guess the one issue that has been talked about, the issue of follow up, I don't know if you plan on having unannounced inspections or how you do the inspections of a facility. But if there's any way that there could be follow up to the public, to report on the unannounced inspections of the facility, on an annual basis or biannual basis, however you do it, maybe to keep the confidence level up, even if the report is submitted to the Mayor's Office or someone in city government, so that they can keep track of what's going on, the Chief's Office.

But that -- they are my concerns, at this point.

And I'd be happy to answer any questions you might have for me.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you. I'll call on the members and see if they have any.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Basically, it's the same question I asked Representative Walko. As a councilperson and elected official, thank you for testifying and sharing your concerns of the community, as it relates to this travesty.

Would -- would you be in support of a siren-type of notification, the fact that there was a prison break?

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: I would. And on a -- sort of on a light note, we were at a meeting on the North Side, where Representative Walko was there. And you have to understand, I was born and raised on the North Side, real close to this facility. And I didn't know that there was a horn that gets sounded every day, for the prisoners to be rounded up. I always thought, growing up, that there was a horn coming from a boat from the Ohio River. I didn't realize it was associated with the prison.

And I think that points out, if A, here I am, as a Councilman, didn't realize that, we have to educate the public, if we do put a siren in, what exactly that siren means, as opposed to the normal horn that goes off and that people know that something different's going on.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Nothing.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Reber?

REPRESENTATIVE REBER: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Walko?

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

Councilman Onorato. It is an honor to be served by you.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And you've been on of this issue, right from the very beginning, as well. And I just wanted to ask you about your feelings about the towers at the prison. You know, those -- there are still plans, I understand, to deman at least one or two more towers. And from your personal perspective and perspective of your constituents, do you have any thoughts on that?

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: there's Yes. And my response is twofold here. One, the issue of the prison, itself and the aging of the facility and the discussion of a new facility, I -- I am still not convinced that the new facility is the answer. I recognize this facility is And it has worked well for 100 years. over 100-years-old. I -- I believe that all to often in this country, that we get to that magical number of 100 and we think that it's If a building is 100-years-old, no good anymore. should be torn down. If something is 100-years-old, we But if you go anywhere else in the need new technology. world or in Europe, 100 is actually new. I mean, they talk in terms of 800, 600, 100-years-old is -- I believe that the facility can still work. I believe that the towers to be manned, regardless of how good the electronics and the new technology is. The human element has to remain, somewhere on that facility, for protection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the towers are critical for the safety of the guards and of the other inmates within the prison. But more importantly, it's a very strong symbol to the community, too, that the place is adequately manned.

The one issue that we found out and I didn't bring up, probably in discussions, was that the one tower is not being used because it is being pulled away from the facility and that one of the guards has to sit in a truck that no longer operates. But it's totally lost its effectiveness, because they lost the height and they lost the visibility. And therefore, it wasn't very appropriate or it wasn't appropriate coverage for the facility, where the guard was standing.

The towers built for reason. The were individual importance of those towers, I think still exists, regardless of where the technology is going. I would like to see all of the towers up and operating. And I would like to see that the money, state money be put into renovating the tower, to put it back into operation, before we spend the billions or millions on the facility. Because regardless of whether we build a new one or not, I would think that the old prison is still going to have some -- some -- something to do with the system and that the tower is critical.

So, yes, to summarize my answer, I think that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the towers are critical. I would hope that that one tower is repaired. And I would hope that they would always have a human element in the towers, at this point.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And one other thing. Councilman. You quite aware, Ι know. are as established block watches that we have on the North Side and many other neighborhoods in the City of Pittsburgh have them. Do you think, particularly those on the North Side, should somehow be tied into the notification process, that the block watches, themselves should be tied in?

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Yes. And I think there's a way that we can make it easy on the prison system, where we don't give you a list of 10, to 20, to 30 numbers you worry about calling. We could somehow maybe coordinate it with our Public Safety Department. you put the Chief's Office on notice, then we would be responsible for the phone tagging, at that point. we could somehow establish a system where there's one, key would be responsible for person in the city who distributing the news and then, you -- you, the prison would only have to worry about one phone call. I think we can coordinate that.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: And with regard to your inspection and perhaps spot inspections, I think that you and I should get together in the next -- within the next

year and do such a spot surprise inspection of the prison.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Yes. And whatever this Committee decides, I would like to see that addressed or see what the answer is to that issue, of how we go from this point forward, over the next several years, to make sure that the changes that are suggested are made and are continued. I would like to do that.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Caltigerone?

REPRESENTATIVE CALTIGERONE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Orie?

REPRESENTATIVE ORIE: No questions.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Councilman Onorato.

We appreciate your input here.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: And we'll let you get back to the rest of your busy day.

COUNCILMAN ONORATO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Mr. Clate, if you would reassume the testifying chair, I'd appreciate that. And before you begin to respond to the questions I put before Mr. Arensberg and for the benefit of the public here and particularly for the members of this panel, I'd like to remind you that we are meeting here tomorrow morning at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9:30. We'll only be here until about probably, 12:30, in the early afternoon. And we have some very interesting people testifying tomorrow. The Executive Producer of a local TV station is going to be here, WPGH. The Deputy Chief of Police is going to be here. The President of a block watch is going to be here. The Chief of Security for the Pittsburgh School System is going to be here. then, we will follow that up with the testimony, again, but perhaps maybe more answering questions than anything else is going be Mr. Martin Horn. to Commissioner of the Department of Corrections and he will also be bringing with him the new Superintendent of the Pittsburgh Correctional Institution, Mr. Jim Price.

So, we have some interesting people testifying, from a different perspective tomorrow and I would say primarily to notification aspect of the the And we hope to have that all concluded between breakout. the hours of 9:30 and 12:30. That's very optimistic, I'm sure, but we hope to do that tomorrow, since there are several members of this Committee who have airplane flights that cannot be delayed because they are not on them. we will want to keep things moving along tomorrow.

Mr. Clate, I want to ask you to do this as briefly as you can, so that we don't take a lot of time here, but to just briefly give your thoughts, as they are

or have been perhaps formulated by the Pennsylvania Prisons Society on those three pieces of legislation that have been introduced by Representatives Readshaw and Walko, the first being the requirement that no civilian clothing is allowed; second, that there be a one-dial phone notification system in the community and thirdly, that there be a prohibition against inmate labor on contractor jobs within the prison.

MR. CLATE: Thank you for calling me back up again. First of all, I would not want to make a statement on behalf of the whole Prison Society, on a few pieces of legislation that I've not even looked at.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Let's ask for your opinion.

MR. CLATE: Sure. And I think -- I -- I'm hearing a lot -- I'm glad the legislation is introduced. I hope that there would be some legislation that would be introduced as quickly, to deal with the idleness of the time in the prison.

For your benefit, regarding the statement, I think it was his (indicating), actually, about inmate transfers back and forth, if you contact the Philadelphia Office, on Spring Garden Street, they can give you a formal reply from Commissioner Horn to us, about that policy.

Second of all, there's five levels of security.

Level 5 is the highest. And Western is a Level 4 prison,

which is why, if you talk about uniforms, everyone -- most

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the people in Pittsburgh are probably wearing a Level 4 uniform.

The clothing issue, as a private citizen, individual, I'm sorry. I don't feel any safer because now you're saying that they can wear civilian clothing. Ι don't think the issue is clothing. I'm not sure how clothing became kind of like the biggest, innovative, creative-kind of thing we could think of to deal with security inside a prison. I just don't -- I just don't see it -- I'm not sure if it's real, that's all. clothing inside, you know, the -- you -- you took a tour of the facility. Those what, two or three gates. There are fences you saw. Do you remember coming out of the -the reception area, down the steps? You had to go through a fence. There's a gymnasium and a cafeteria-type of thing. I mean, I -- I think that -- I'm not sure if issues like that might not be a better way to go than simply say clothing. I can meet a guy outside, who called and give him a bag of clothing. I think that dehumanizing a person, if that's what that does, may not be the way you want to go.

On the contacting the neighborhood, of course. Without saying. I've lived in the Bellevue-Avalon area. I'm familiar with that horn. I know what it's -- I know the frustration you think it's -- it sounds like the horn

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from your volunteer fire department, in your own community.

really about all I can say. monitor, you know, through Act 156, 1990. We can go in, if this is implemented and get back to the -- to the members of this Committee. I'm hoping, especially those who live in Allegheny County, where I live at, are -- I mean, are all of you from Philadelphia? Is there anyone from Allegheny County? You (indicating) are the only And he (indicating) is, too. Give us call sometime.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: The third Bill was the inmate labor on contractor jobs.

MR. CLATE: I -- I -- I think that that's something that you folks have to deal with internally, as far I think that a lot of the private as a management issue. citizens are going to start asking what are we getting for \$30,000 an inmate, you know. What's the end product, if you want to put it in business, bottom line terms. So, I -- I can't -- I can't address that. That's something you people need to look at internally. The Department needs to look at it, internally and see if that's a wise move or not. Inmates do do work. Women up at Cambridge Springs put in -- I've seen them run backhoes, I've seen them put in sidewalks, I've seen them do actual building. In some cases, it's been pretty rewarding to see that. So,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again, I -- I don't want to comment on inmate labor being used and is it taking away from jobs out in the city or out in the -- you know, the criminals will have a job, you know. I -- I don't know how to address that, sir. I think that we all need jobs.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Mr. Clate.

The last person we have to testify is a lady from Philadelphia, Mrs. Karima Evans Morris, who has asked for the opportunity to make a brief statement and the members panel have two questions, as a part statement. Ι will reiterate what we've already Mrs. Morris privately and that is that we're probably not in a position to answer your questions today. the fact that you are asking them and putting them on the record becomes a part of what this Committee is looking And we welcome you to the into, in its considerations. microphone and give you that opportunity, at this time.

MRS. MORRIS: Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. Can you hear me? Okay.

Thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak at this hearing. My question comes from two perspectives: one as a wife of an inmate who has been incarcerated for 13 years and presently, at the State Correctional Institution of Pittsburgh. The other is from the criminologist point of view, which I am going -- I am getting my

Masters in.

I would like to know, what is the prison system doing to help my husband, as well as other inmates, who will be coming home soon, making that adjustment to the culture of reentry into a free society? That's one question. And I'm asking that, like I said, from two perspectives.

There has been minimum or no movement of inmates for jobs and educational programs, since the recapture of the escaped inmates. How long will this continue? I have concerns regarding the future of positive programming that will help inmates, such as my husband, who is trying to prepare for his reentry into society.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I'll ask you to answer any questions that the panel members may have for you. Would that be all right?

MRS. MORRIS: Yes. Great. I'd like to make a statement, also. It's not on this paper.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Feel free to do so.

MRS. MORRIS: Thank you.

Since I visit -- I visit with my husband. I run the prison van service from Philadelphia, to Western, to bring inmates' families here, to visit with them. This sort of gives them some incentive, because, as you said, it's so far away. A lot of people can't really come to

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY (717) 761-7150

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pittsburgh and afford to have someplace to stay or to visit. It's very costly. Thanks to Michael Clate and Hospitality House, which is what I'm about to erect in Philadelphia, with other components of programs, that will service inmates and their families. My Board of Directors and I are now in the process of this happening.

But before the inmates come home, they need to be prepared to come home into this culture shock that they will be coming to. That's a question of importance to me. criminologist, we're about rehabilitation, education and reform. Because you cannot just bring inmates out and say, "Well, okay, you're out in the street. You're on parole." And there you are. You must prepare And you must also help them, so they can go back them. to their families and become citizens again, you know and resurrect the communities.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Do we have any questions from the panel members? Representative James?

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mrs. Morris for testifying. And I'm glad to hear you say that you have initiated -- you were telling me yesterday that you are initiating a similar program out in Philadelphia. And I guess with the large population that we have in the facility and then finding out that the Department of Corrections is housing and transferring

inmates from one side of the state to the other reminded me of the days when the police department would catch gang members and then take them over to the other gang's territory and let them out.

MRS. MORRIS: I know.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: As a form of punishment. But that's something that we ought to look into, internally, because it's good hearing from the corrections officers, who say that it would be better and help them with their job, if the inmates were incarcerated in the same area that they are from.

I understand that there's been a lot happening since this situation, in terms of people who are due to get out, coming out. But I understand that when inmates get to like less than a year, that they are then sent to either a halfway house or some other kind of a facility, for six months, to help them transcend back into the culture. Has that been stopped or does that continue?

MRS. MORRIS: Representative James, that is not the case, really at Western. In fact, there are a couple of gentlemen, who I know now, who should be eligible to go to a halfway house and it seems to be some kind of controversy here.

In fact, since the breakout and the recapture of the inmates who broke out, everything is at a halt.

There is a job freeze. You can't even get a job. Inmates can't, at Western. They eat 15 minutes. They have 15 minutes to eat. They are locked up, most of the time. Okay? So, they — the movement is real minimum. My understanding is that the inmates are actually attacking each other, because of the frustration and the anger, because, I remember as some Representative said, I don't know your name, but when you mentioned that all of these other inmates had to suffer because of what six others did, I think it's unfair, but it is going on. It's happening.

Fifteen minutes to eat? I think that's -- that's a little rough there. You know, you've got to have time to digest your food. They are locked up, most of the time. I was talking to my husband and it's procedure when they get ready to send out the bed linens to be cleaned and so, for the inmates who don't have enough bed linens, they have to sleep on the mattresses, until the linens come back. This would -- this is one thing that would frustrate even me; I'm sure any one of you, sitting on the panel.

My concerns are, when are things going to go back, you know, to normal? Is it going to take, I mean forever or is anyone looking into that? You know, because — if these inmates are getting roweled up and hitting on each other and frustrated, it's not going to only just stop there. There is some concern about maybe rioting in the

prison.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: How long did they have before? She said all of this has happened since --

MRS. MORRIS: Since the recapture.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: How long did the inmates have to eat, prior?

MRS. MORRIS: Well, before, it was like a half an hour or so. And I think most of them ate together. Now, it's like they have it in sections. Each tier eats by themselves or something of this way.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, tomorrow, as the Chairman indicated, Commissioner Horn is going to be here. And I'm sure that during the investigation, of course, a lot of things are going to change or halt, until policies can be addressed. And so, maybe we can ask tomorrow. Will you be here tomorrow?

MRS. MORRIS: Yes, sir, I will come back tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Mrs. Morris, I would also suggest to you, there are representatives of the prison or the corrections system here. And if you were to -- if you would like, we would ask you to talk to them and they might be able to get some questions answered for you.

MRS. MORRIS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Not immediately, but -- MRS. MORRIS: Right.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: I'm sure that the questions that you are asking about is there limited movement and the end of the educational and jobs programs, as a temporary measure should not last too much longer. But I can't speak for the prison system.

MRS. MORRIS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: So, if you would like, we could introduce you to someone who might be able to provide the answers to those questions for you.

MRS. MORRIS: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Boscola?

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Ι have one. quick question. This uniform issue has never really piqued my curiosity, until Michael said something. I guess I just wanted to ask you, as the wife of an inmate, do you think uniforms really dehumanize an individual? Is it really a big deal if your husband wears a uniform or not, to you?

MRS. MORRIS: Well, I will say that it's really not a big deal about the uniforms. I mean, I -- you know.

More concerned -- I'm more concerned, as I said about jobs and, you know, not dehumanizing the human being, let them choke for like 10 or 15 minutes to eat, things of this sort.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Yes, I know. But you have nurses who wear uniforms and children who go to certain

schools.

MRS. MORRIS: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: And they have to wear uniforms. Uniforms are just like an identifying piece. And that's why I think that the security guards need it. It's not that they are trying to dehumanize the individuals. I just think, for security purposes, they need uniforms. And I didn't know from your perspective, if you thought that that was really bad, that they would allow -- I mean, even if they say no civilian clothing, because of security reasons.

MRS. MORRIS: Well, I'm a mental health, drug and alcohol counselor. Okay? And I can't really see a mental health problem developing because inmates have to wear browns or blues. You know, there are far more other things that are of concern, than clothing.

REPRESENTATIVE BOSCOLA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mrs. Morris, thanks for sharing with us. My question is, your husband's been incarcerated for 13 years. When, I assume he was transferred to Pittsburgh, it was fairly recent. And I would ask you where was it he was transferred from?

MRS. MORRIS: Rockview.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: When?

MRS. MORRIS: Gosh. I don't even remember. It's just like time goes by -- but he's been at Western now about nine months, almost a year.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Was the -- if you don't mind my asking you, was the transfer from Rockview for disciplinary reasons or was it a crowding condition?

MRS. MORRIS: No, it was a crowding issue and it was like almost time for him -- when it's almost time for the inmates to come home, they transfer them further away. I don't understand why, but this is the policy.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Going back to the van service, I know I've heard from constituents of mine and I never noticed a problem, except for in this last year or two, where family members wanted to try to see that their loved one went to Graterford, so that they could visit and provide family support. And for a while, I thought that it was because of crowding that they weren't going there. And I also learned earlier that it seems to be a policy not to put them in facilities closer. It's not a written policy, but it seems to be the current practice, not to put them in the facility closest to their home and How long have you been operating the van service and have you really noticed the growth of Philadelphia folks coming all the way out to Pittsburgh, as a recent phenomenon?

MRS. MORRIS: Well, these -- like I said before, it's costly to come from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh, for a lot of these inmates' families, because they are a one-parent family now, with children, with bills. You know, they may have to work, they may have to take time to go see your husband, bring the children. It's very costly. My van service is like \$60 an adult, because I know that people -- these people don't have too much money. And they visit for two days. And I charge \$20 for children over three-years-old. I'm not trying to get rich.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: No. My question was, how long have you been operating the van service?

MRS. MORRIS: The van service?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Yes. And is that because of the outbreak of -- I -- I'm trying to see when -- when the demand, that there was actually an opportunity to provide van service from Philadelphia to Pittsburgh. That is what I am getting to, the time frame, how long that you've been doing it and have you noticed a growth in demand and about how recently.

MRS. MORRIS: Well, I've been doing this now for about six months. And the demand is great. But like I said, people weren't coming before, Philadelphia, because it's costly. And so, I have affordable service, that they

| can affor | d, you | know. | And | this | is | why | I've | been | | I've |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| been gett | ing a | lot of | calls | s and | l fa | mili | es co | ming | out | . I |
| come out twice a month. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | REPRESE | ENTATIVE | MAND | ERIN |): | Thanl | k you | , Mr. | Cha | airma |

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BIRMELIN: Thank you, Mrs. Morris. We appreciate your input.

I would ask the Committee members to stay here for just a minute. I need to talk to you, before you leave, so that we can make some arrangements for tonight.

We stand in recess until tomorrow morning, in this room, at 9:30 a.m.

(Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m., the hearing was adjourned, to reconvene at 9:30 a.m., on Wednesday, March 5, 1997, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify, as the stenographic reporter, that the foregoing proceedings were reported stenographically by me, and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; and that this transcript is a true and accurate record to the best of my ability.

COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

BY: James R. Obringer