23 24

1 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Good afternoon. 2 I want to welcome you here to the House Judiciary Hearing on Women's Prison Issues. 3 We're going to be meeting this afternoon, 5 the committee and the committee staff will be having 6 a tour of the prison tomorrow, and on Wednesday 7 morning we will be hearing from some other 8 testifiers on the issues of women's prison. 9 I'm Representative Birmelin, I'm the 10 chairman of the subcommittee. I'd like to briefly 11 introduce the other panel members that are seated 12 here with me and we may have some others who will 13 join us and I will introduce them as that 14 opportunity arrives. 15 To my far left is Representative Linda 16 Bebko-Jones. And you're from Erie County; is that 17 correct? 18 REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Right. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: My immediate 20 left is Representative Kathy Manderino, she's from 21 Philadelphia County. 22 To my right is Attorney Brian Preski. 23 To his right is Representative Don Walko 24 from Pittsburgh, Allegheny County.

And on the far right -- I've always wanted to say that -- on the far right is Representative Babette Josephs from Philadelphia County as well.

We want to thank you for coming here.

Some of you are testifiers, some of you are observers. I will tell you that the proceedings here are going to be videotaped today by the Pennsylvania Cable Network, that's why the camera is here. And also we have a court reporter here reporting testimony and questions of the panel as the testifiers present their testimony today.

If you have a desire for any of the information that they are presenting, if you will address your request to the chief counsel, Brian Preski, we'll see that you get that information.

I apologize for the late start. As I explained to the superintendent, nothing in Harrisburg starts on time so don't expect this to start on time, unfortunately. However, we will get started.

Several of our people are here to testify.

The first is the superintendent ever Cambridge

Springs Correctional Institution, Bill Wolfe, who

tells me he's been here since the day the state

opened the facility.

.5

Mr. Wolfe, we'll ask you to come foreward -- and a welcome to the committee members.

SUPERINTENDENT WOLFE: Thank you very much.

First of all, I would like to welcome you Chairman Gannon, members of the House, and our guests, to SCI Cambridge Springs. I would also like to welcome you on behalf of Commissioner Horne and the Department of Corrections. Cambridge Springs is proud to be the site selected for these public hearings.

The Commonwealth purchased Alliance

College in late 1990 in a response to prison

overcrowding that our nation and the Commonwealth

was experiencing at that time. In February of 1991

I was transferred from SCI-Waynesburg where I served

as superintendent, and SCI-Waynesburg was a minimum

security women's facility, and I was appointed

superintendent of this institution.

We've come a long way since our humble beginnings in a community that initially did not want to host a prison. And secondly, a physical plant that had to be retrofitted to serve and

function as a correctional institution.

Our first 25 inmates arrived in the Spring of 1992 following nearly a one year hiring freeze during the Casey administration. Our first inmates arrived from SCI-Waynesburg and served as a working train to help us prepare to open up the facility for the rest of the inmates. Today we have approximately 500 inmates housed here and we employ approximately 260 people from the local area.

Tomorrow morning prior to our tour you will learn a little bit more about the history of our institution during a reception in my office. I personally believe, and I've been working with female offenders for approximately eight, eight and a half years. And I think this is a very important topic and a very timely topic. And I'm certainly encouraged by your presence here today to look at women's prison issues.

The Department of Corrections houses approximately 33,000 inmates. Of that 33,000 inmates only 1,800 inmates are women. And consequently, and even nationwide, a lot of times women's issues are caught in the backwash of the large numbers of their male counterparts. So I'm

extremely pleased that you're here and I hope that we have a very productive hearing.

On a subject that is very near and personal to me, I've been asked to speak about some of the issues that are different between males and females, and I've had the privilege of working with both populations. Prior to being appointed as superintendent at Waynesburg I worked 18 and a half years with the male offender. So I'm in a position where I can compare and contrast some of the big differences.

First, when you're dealing with women most striking to me was the types of crimes that women commit and the circumstances that surround their involvement in crime.

Most serious crimes that women commit are domestically oriented, or if it's committed outside of the domestic situation usually women serve in a supportive role to a male perpetrator.

Consequently, women, in fact, as you tour our facility tomorrow, pose significant differences concerning security issues. When the department looked at acquiring Alliance College as a consideration, it was felt since women offenders

5

would be housed here, that this site could appropriately be converted to a women's prison facility.

When working with women important issues are childcare, not only during the woman's period of incarceration. The vast majority of our women here, at least 80 percent are mothers and many of these leave young children behind in the community. And that's a serious issue.

Also, childcare when women are released because many of these women are single heads of the household and many of our vocational programs that we have prepare women to go in to the work force, but being a single head of the household primarily responsible for childcare, what do the women do when they go to work? Are there adequate childcare facilities in the community to assist single parents that are attempting to enter the work force.

Abuse issues are also significantly different. When I first started working with women I was absolutely astounded at the number of women in our system that are victims of physical, sexual and mental abuse.

Health care issues are also significantly

different and much more critical for women. I understand Dr. Mowie will be addressing the committee during the next few days, and I'm sure he would be happy to expound much more on that.

Also, mental health issues and drug and alcohol abuse issues are significant issues that our programming attempts to address in our attempts to reintegrate these women back in to the community.

The other thing, and I do have a treatment background, the Department of Corrections, I came up through the treatment ranks and I truly enjoy working with women offenders because I found that women -- I found that in general, speaking on a continual -- the behavior goes on both ends of the scale. But in general, women are much more concerned about and committed to their participation in treatment programs and their overall rehabilitation process that we enter in the community, that I found in working with many of them.

This has been a real challenge for us.

We're very proud of how far we've come. And in

closing I would like to say that if you are in need

of anything during the next three days, feel free to

ask our staff. If there is anything that we can do to make your stay here more comfortable and more enjoyable, we'll do everything we can to accommodate you.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Thank you. I'm going to ask the committee if they have questions of you to hold them until Wednesday. If you're not going to be here Wednesday, catch him on the way out, but he's going to be back to testify Wednesday morning as well.

And our next testifier has indicated to me that she's on a tight schedule so I would like to ask Tish Donze, director of the Mercy Center for Women if she would come before our committee at this time and give her testimony.

MS. DONZE: Thank you very much.

The agency that I'm the director of is called the Mercy Center for Women, and our primary goal is to provide transitional housing for homeless women and their children. And we provide that transitional counseling with extensive support services on site.

Now, usually, I mean, we're not targeting the women we serve as coming out of correctional

institutions, but we have accepted women in that position. We are primarily looking for very motivated women who are ready and willing to turn their lives around and change their lives with our assistance.

The kind of support services we offer are extensive self esteem programming, a 40-hour course, addictive relationship classes, an advocate that works very very closely in case management with that individual on site, parenting programming, and whatever other assistance that particular woman needs in order to meet the goals that she sets for herself.

In terms of accepting women from prison, and we've worked with both the county and the state, I'll share a few things that I think might be beneficial. The process itself I believe needs to be streamlined. In other words, on a weekly basis we may receive letters from women just saying somebody told me to write you, and I have a home plan I need to fulfill and I need a place to live. I don't know anything about Mercy Center but I want to come because I need a place, I don't have any place to go after I leave. And then, you know, we

need to respond.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, I think it would be extremely helpful if at the prison itself there was an individual aware of facilities outside the institution that could possibly accept them. And in the process, if they could not only send a letter but send signed release forms so we could begin to get appropriate information about the individual, possibly even if they could send from the correctional institution the criminal history, the mental health history, the medical history, DNA history -- in other words, if we could receive a packet instead of just a random letter then we could really begin to look at that individual and determine what our next step would And if the release forms were already included and signed by that woman then we could proceed more quickly. So that would be really helpful if there was some kind of a process.

Secondly, our mode of operation at Mercy

Center is to meet with every woman and have her tour

our housing facility. We truly believe it's her

decision, not just our decision, to accept her.

And, of course, with women from prison that's

practically impossible because they don't allow them

to leave to visit, whathave you. And then the burden becomes ours to get to the prison to interview the individual or to do phone interviews, which are not nearly as effective from our standpoint, and we're expending staff time, energy, traveling, all of those things just to interview a person and we may never even accept her. So at our end there is some financial cost that's not reimbursed anywhere and it really does become a burden to us.

So again, I don't know what is available but it would be extremely helpful to have some kind of a fund that would assist agencies, non profit agencies like ours that are already struggling financially, to keep our doors open in order to assist them.

Also you heard that many many of these women are heads of household. If we are to accept children with the mothers at our facility we generally like to see what kind of interaction they have with their children because more often many children have behavior problems, so we're not only bringing a woman who has her unique issues and concerns, but if we accept her children we're also

bringing children that have their own unique issues and many times behavior problems.

So normally before we accept a woman we want to see her interact with her children. And again, that's not even possible. So we are making decisions without a lot of information that could really benefit the woman and I think benefit our staff in assisting women in their long term goals.

Third, the other issue that has come up is reserving bed space for a woman. We've come, we've done interviews, we've done the whole process, we've decided to accept a person and she gives us a tentative date when her time is supposedly over.

And so when it gets close to that time and a bedroom empties we reserve that bedroom. But the woman is never released at the date when her time is up and we've held a bedroom for up to three months.

Now, again, that's very detrimental to other women that we could be assisting during that period because there is an empty bedroom, because anyway, we may need it for this person. It's very costly to an agency like ours to keep a bedroom available. And so that's the other dilemma.

And we've almost gotten to the point where



that time frame constraint has led us to say more often than not we're not going to accept women from state correctional institutes because the time frame is just so wide. And then if we don't keep a bedroom available for her and she's released then we're the ones that are causing her to remain incarcerated longer because we haven't got the room, and I don't know what that does on her end. So it's pretty complicated in that respect. And it would be very helpful if some of those issues could be worked out a little bit.

Fourthly, we need more interaction with the parole officers upon release. Often they have a home plan, they do have parole officers but at times we kind of feel that once the woman arrives at Mercy Center and we're there and we provide services, they're just glad -- would you -- okay. And then there is not as much interaction as should be with the parole officer. So we need a lot of close contact, interaction, communication so that we can be assured that her home plan is followed carefully and appropriately.

Because honestly, we've not had a lot of success, the women that we've accepted, two of the

three after about two weeks just said, I don't want to be at Mercy Center any more and they're gone.

And so the effectiveness of Mercy Center -- and they can stay for up to a year to really get their lives back together to meet goals and objectives. So there needs to be, I believe, some more standards, some more support on that end to assist us in working with the woman.

And that even includes costs of urinalysis for DNA, for women who are experiencing recovery issues, DNA issues. Because again, all of those drug tests are very expensive and we, again, are the ones as an agency that are dealing with all of those costs. And very often women coming out of prison have little or no money. And so again, the agency is the one that's put in a very complex financial situation to try to deal with a woman who has a lot of special needs, possibly her children who have many many needs, and then the financial burden that comes with all of that.

So those are the things that I see are really critical that need to be worked through in order to facilitate more easily access to housing, and appropriate housing, and program when a woman

leaves a correctional institute.

The other issue specifically is the DNA issue. And very often when we indicate to a woman, how long have you been clean and dry, she will say, well, I've been in prison for 12 months so I'm clear and dry 12 months. But anyone who works with DNA individuals knows that just because they haven't had the drugs or the alcohol doesn't mean they're in recovery either. And so we are not a DNA facility per se, we will take women after they have been in residential treatment, but just to assume that because they've been in prison they're getting treatment is not necessarily true.

So I would say that would be critical on the end of the correctional institute that they really have some good programming in place for DNA treatment, so that a woman is really ready to move in to the next step, housing, when she leaves.

And I'm open to questions that you might have.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Do you have time to answer?

MS. DONZE: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Let me ask you a

| 1 | few and then the other members can follow. |
|----|---|
| 2 | First question I have is, where is your |
| 3 | center located? |
| 4 | MS. DONZE: It's located in Erie, in the |
| 5 | City of Erie. Within the city limits, yes. |
| 6 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: And you only |
| 7 | have one facility? |
| 8 | MS. DONZE: We have one facility, it has |
| 9 | 13 bedrooms. So we can accommodate up to 13 women |
| 10 | and all of their children at one time. |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Their children |
| 12 | would be in the same bedroom with them? |
| 13 | MS. DONZE: Depends on how many children |
| 14 | they have. If they have one or two children |
| 15 | generally the children are in the bedroom. But we |
| 16 | have women who come with four and five children so |
| 17 | then they need to take two bedrooms. |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: You indicated |
| 19 | you provide transitional housing for women and |
| 20 | children. Are these women in your facility as a |
| 21 | part of their parole agreement, or are they free to |
| 22 | come and go? |
| 23 | MS. DONZE: We are very clear at our |
| 24 | agency that we want women to come because they want |

to come. And often with this kind of a situation they will agree to anything because it's their, it's their next step. So when we, and that's why a one-on-one interview is really critical. In fact, we do two interviews because we want to see what kind of follow up and how motivated they really are.

intake process down. We come to them, they don't have to keep an appointment, arrive on time, any of those kind of things that we're determining with other women from the community. They do come and go as they please unless there is a specific designated plan by the parole officer that they're on house arrest, or that they may not leave our facility except during particular times, whathave you.

We do not have staffing at Mercy Center that can allow us to accompany women to various appointments, whathave you. So if they need 24-hour supervision Mercy Center is not the facility that can really provide adequately for that individual.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: These women, I assume, come from all over the state since we only have two women's prisons, and they're either here or in Muncy. So you probably get a lot of women who

don't live, or hadn't lived previously to being in prison, in this area?

MS. DONZE: That's correct. But also you need to understand that generally speaking 70 to 80, maybe 90 percent, are truly homeless women in the City of Erie. So we are not designed to take women prisoners. That was not our original focus or goal. We have accommodated them because they are as homeless as everyone else and there aren't alternatives.

So our primary target population is homeless women coming from emergency shelters in our facility, coming from drug and alcohol treatment facilities, coming maybe from a hospital, and they don't have anywhere. Because we're really not designed as a follow up for correctional institutions, that is not our primary target.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: As you indicated earlier you kind of fell in to it.

MS. DONZE: Right. We do it because we feel, well, our commitment is to women and children. And so often we do understand and feel that these women are as worthy as other women, and sometimes we'll even get calls from maybe the psychiatrist at

1 the institution saying, I have a woman and I really 2 think, we don't have another alternative, there is 3 not a home plan for her, would you possibly consider accepting her in to your facility. 4 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Is your facility 5 an organization or --6 7 MS. DONZE: Actually we are founded and sponsored by The Sisters of Mercy of Erie, but there 8 9 are real clear delineations. We have our own 10 501(C)3 and nonprofit status so there is no pressure 11 on the part of any individual to participate. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Last question I 13 have for you is, you had indicated you need more 14 money to do your job adequately. Are you currently 15 a recipient of any state reimbursements for the 16 people you take in? 17 MS. DONZE: Not from any correctional 18 institution. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: 20 MS. DONZE: We have some contracted 21 services, mental health, mental retardation, emergency shelter grant kinds of contracts, yes, 23 sir. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: I'd like to give



1 the members of the committee an opportunity to ask 2 questions. Representative Jones. REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 First of you, it's very nice to have you here this afternoon. And I quess one of the 6 7 questions and the concerns that I have, when the 8 Mercy Center for Women first got organized and got going it was truly for women who were homeless. 9 And 10 now you're in a position where you're taking women 11 for all different sorts of reasons. Now, is it the 12 women here that are inmates, do they know about you 13 just through word of mouth and you start getting the 14 letters, am I correct? 15 MS. DONZE: Yes. There is also a Sister 16 of Mercy that's the chaplain in this particular 17 institution. 18 REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Now, when you 19 take women who have been incarcerated along with a 20 combination of women that are homeless with 21 children, do you also take women who have no 22 children, am I correct? 23 MS. DONZE: Correct, we also take single

women.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: How do you provide all of those needs for all of those different kinds of women that you are receiving? And I guess the second question to that is, you don't receive any funding, I know a lot of fund raising, you know, goes on to keep the Mercy Center When an inmate from Cambridge Springs truly wants to come to your place because they've heard of it and you make that decision, you receive no reimbursements, or does that inmate also have to have approval from the superintendent of this institution when they leave because they do have to have a plan when they leave? If they have no family members, no one, then you're it, is that who they choose to go to?

MS. DONZE: Often, or sometimes they're not permitted to return to family. In other words, they may have family but family is not the positive influence that they need in order to continue the change in their lives. So there are other family members outs there, but that's just not an approved site for them to go to.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: But the inmates that you do accept, and I'm assuming they

all have restrictions like, especially the DNA ones where they have to attend AA meetings, your agency is certainly not equipped to take them to their meetings, but you do provide that service, don't you, within your agency?

MS. DONZE: Yes, we do. And my answer, how do we provide for all these different populations? Well, our primary focus is the dignity of each woman, and we try to take each person's unique situation and deal with it as best we can. And our staff is stretched pretty thin.

The transportation part is very difficult.

Now, if a woman has a court hearing generally her

advocate wants to be with her at that hearing and so
that's not an issue. But when we require 90 and 90,

90 meetings in 90 days, it is really impossible for
our staff to provide transportation, or to actually
guarantee that that particular woman is attending
the meeting that she claims she is attending.

If we at some point have a question and we have, again, with the women coming out of incarceration, we try to follow very very closely.

And if we get word that she's supposed to be at a meeting and not at a meeting, I've even gone,

dropped everyone to go to a meeting to see if she showed up at that meeting and say, okay, you're supposed to be at a meeting and you're not attending, then we'll put you on house arrest, or whatever the consequence is, if you really want to work with us.

So we try very hard to manage -- that's why I'm saying we need really very very close communication with the PO. So that we're not responsible for all of that follow up, but, in fact, there are other people in her life that are working with us in collaboration with us to make that effective.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Now, you don't have that communication at present?

MS. DONZE: Well, we have some, and again some are very good, other times --

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Do our court systems refer some of these women directly to you. If they, I've talked to several of your judges who have indicated to me that if they could they would, they would rather see some of these women with DNA problems coming to facilities like yourself or the community house rather than be incarcerated.

MS. DONZE: Correct.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Are they referring any of these women to you now?

MS. DONZE: Yes, they are.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: And how are you being reimbursed for these women?

MS. DONZE: We're not, no. If we accept a woman, and we have, again, we've accepted a woman in lieu of prison. It was either, you have two options, you can go to Mercy Center if they'll accept you, or you can go to Muncy. If we accept that woman we do not receive any money from anyone other than she applies for public assistance and then we work out a budget with her as to what she needs to pay for residency fees.

And I again, you know, objectively speaking as the director of an agency that's really struggling financially, it would be wonderful because if we say no then the state is certainly taking the burden of the costs for that individual. And when we say, yes, it's like, great, thank you very much. But there isn't anything. So if there was some funding available to assist that would be helpful.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: 1 Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative 2 Manderino. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, and thank you for coming. Sometimes it's hard when 5 you're the first presenter to put things in 7 perspective, so after having listened to the questions from Representative Birmelin and 8 Bebko-Jones let me make sure I understand it 9 10 correctly. Mercy Center for Women is an Erie based 11 12 transitional program, 13 beds or 13 rooms at any one 13 given time, and at one point during your testimony you said a vast majority of the folks who are using 14 15 those beds and your facility have nothing to do with the correctional systems? 16 17 That's right. MS. DONZE: But on REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: 18 19 occasion, and it sounds like with increasing demand, 20 your services are being requested as a transition from incarceration? 21 MS. DONZE: We could probably almost fill 22 our facility with transitional women if we wanted 23

to.

That kind of REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: led me to exactly where I am. You've expressed concerns about here's how community based organization is trying to deal with part of the follow up problems from women from incarceration. But at the same time you've highlighted the fact that maybe for a lot of these women it's probably true, quite frankly, for some men too, but women with children the kind of services that you're providing are transitional services that maybe the prison system should be thinking of, whether they're in the context of Mercy or not. Or as an alternative we have boot camps for men, we do have an alternative sentencing within our correctional system that is a correctional function, but obviously at least up in this section of the state it's either not available because you're becoming the alternative, you get requests to be the alternative program for an alternative to incarceration, but you're doing that solely as a public charity function? Okay, yes. MS. DONZE: REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I got the

picture, thank you.



1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Chief Counsel Preski.

MS. DONZE. You talked about the things you would want to see from the inmates that you get from the correctional facilities. You think there is lacking of certain programming, I think. We're going to hear on Wednesday from those in charge of the Department of Corrections. What would you have us ask them that they either do better, or that they do now, or that they start doing that they don't do now?

MS. DONZE: I'd have to be honest and say

I'm not real familiar with all of the programming,

so I might be very critical of what they are doing

or are not doing because I'm not aware.

I think definitely the DNA treatment is absolutely a necessity. I mean, I don't think there is any woman that if she is incarcerated and also an addict coming in, or an alcoholic coming in, does not need extensive assistance in treatment. So that would be number one priority for me, for those particular women.

I don't know what they do in terms of



parenting, that's a critical issue for most women that we see. And not only women coming out of the criminal justice systems, women, period. So parenting skills.

Self esteem for most of these women is truly truly at the bottom, and we do a lot of work in that area. But again, anything else that is done prior to us receiving that person is wonderful.

Relationships are the other huge issue that we see a very difficult time with. A woman will come and she has a relationship with a male that's influential, she may not stay very long at all. If there is pressure from that individual to do, to come to, he's going to take care of her, he's going to provide this, he's going to do this. So I think — and again the abuse issues, there isn't, or there is rarely a woman that we receive that has not had some kind of abuse in her lifetime. So we try very hard to deal with those issues in professional counseling.

I don't know, again, how accessible it is to women, but almost every woman that comes to Mercy Center needs professional mental health counseling.

And again, anything that she would receive while

incarcerated would certainly assist her in dealing with issues so that her achievements or goals when she leaves is much quicker, more productive, that type of thing.

next question then. You talked about increased communication with parole officers. Do you have any communication with those that are coming out of correctional facilities with those who had overseen them before to find out what they did have or didn't have while they were incarcerated?

MS. DONZE: We get some information. And I think, depending on what we request, I've had many conversations with the psychiatrist here at Cambridge Springs and I've been pleased. I mean, she's been very very good and very honest about answering questions, all of those type of things.

In terms of the exact programming a woman receives, probably that's coming visibly from the woman herself who has participated in programs and knows there is options as to what they do and choose to do and want to do while they're here.

CHIEF COUNSEL PRESKI: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative



Walko.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No, thank you, Chairman.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative Josephs.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: I don't have a question but what I want to do, I was at a seminar about women prisoners that was given at a Legislative Exchange, I think. And somebody got up and started to talk about the profile of the typical woman prisoner, and when she finished there was this kind of quiet in the room because it was a terrible profile for someone that never really got anything in life except for abuse and lack of attention.

And there was a little silence and in the back of the room a woman stood up, she was like visibly upset and she said, that's exactly the same profile that we get in our shelter for battered women, it's the same woman. And that was very sad actually.

I don't have a question now, but I commend you for the service to this community and to all our communities. I'm happy to have met you and I may have some questions and I'll get in touch with you,

and all of us will. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Thank you, Ms. Donze. 3 MS. DONZE: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Gerald Weaber 5 and Michael Clate are here from the Pennsylvania 6 7 Prison Society. If you would identify yourselves for the 8 9 court reporter as well as Pennsylvania Cable 10 Network. 11 Mr. Clate, I believe you were at a hearing 12 in Pennsylvania a few months ago that I attended. 13 You're not one of the prisoners, I'll point that out 14 for the public. We welcome your presence here 15 today. 16 I would ask you to make sure that your 17 comments are cogent, to the point, and if you would 18 submit to a few questions if the panel has any. 19 Appreciate the committee MR. WEABER: 20 hosting these hearings. My name is Gerald Weaber 21 and I serve as the director of the Pennsylvania 22 Prison Society. And I'd like to introduce Michael 23 Clate who is the executive director of Hospitality 24 House in Pittsburgh.

One of the things we're here today to try to dramatize is the need for mothers who are incarcerated here at Cambridge Springs and also at the State Correctional Facility to be able to be nearer and closer to their families.

One of the problems, Ms. Manderino knows is because she comes from the Philadelphia area, is the distance that one has to travel to come to Cambridge Springs. And Michael Clate's program in Pittsburgh is a place that many families who have a mother or a daughter that's incarcerated at Cambridge Springs that they call home, a home away from home.

And it's a place where people can stay, they can break up the trip. There was a bus that came in, it's a small van rather, that came in at 3:30 in the morning on Saturday, they had taken a six-hour trip from Philadelphia with young children, they came to Pittsburgh Hospitality House where they were able to take some time to themselves, get washed, have something warm to eat and rest up before they made the journey for another several hours for this mother. And that's one of the things that we want to be mindful of in the future as we

build correctional facilities. We want to make sure that we are not only attending to the public safety needs of the Commonwealth, but also the needs and the future healthy development of young children and families. Because for every woman that we have incarcerated in Pennsylvania we're looking at as many as three to five young people that are left behind in the community when mother goes to prison.

And I just want to give some background to folks who may not be familiar with the Pennsylvania Prison Society. We were established in 1787 in Pennsylvania, just ten years after our nation was created. And we were starting to alleviate the misery of public prison, and today we're dedicated to a statewide advocate for humane, just and restorative correctional system.

rational approach to criminal justice issues. As we've all seen over the past ten years every political campaign is prompted by crime issues, not always to the best interest of the taxpayers or the public safety or the folks who end up in our institutions. So we would like people to be smart on crime and look at the bottom line. And that

bottom line usually goes beyond the dollars, we could use them more effectively and efficiently in dealing with the symptoms of crime. And many of you on the committee and subcommittee on crime and corrections understand the interrelated problems of substance abuse and how it affects the lives of the women that are in this institution, and also their families.

According to the Women's Rights Watch
Project the United States has the dubious honor of
incarcerating the most number of people in the
world. The number of women in prison has increased
by over 400 percent the increase in incarceration
rates of men. 52 percent of these prisoners are
African-American women across the country. And they
only represent 14 percent of the population. We see
a very high dispurportionate number of
African-American women in our prisons.

The national crime organization says that this results from the fact that we have really pushed hard on our war on drugs and the judicial decision making which has really resulted in higher mandatory sentences, and us having fewer alternative dispositions to divert women who get involved in

drugs and also their sales.

while there are many challenges and struggles ahead for both the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the Department of Corrections, the department has had some successes over the past decade that should be noted. They've expanded their program services for male and female inmates and their families within adult correctional facilities, and they're not limited just to Muncy, Cambridge Springs, but also Pittsburgh and Graterford to try to deal and attend to some of these that father's have with young children.

The establishment of a growing array of community diversion, intermediate punishment programs at the county level have come up with some very good models for effective alternatives to incarceration.

The Creation of the Nations first licensed their community within the correctional system with the Wings of Life Program at SCI-Muncy is yet another accomplishment of the Department of Corrections. Their outreach to the community through their speakers bureau, they have the treatment staff and also a program that leave for

prisoners who go out to the school and talk to students about their lives.

Department of Corrections has also to meet the exceptional daily living needs of persons with mental health concerns, the hearing impaired and also the chronically ill. They've expanded services for elder prisoners, people that are 55 years and older.

Pennsylvania like many other states around the country is experiencing three fold increases in the number of people who are growing old and gray within our institutions and their needs and concerns, particularly when it comes to mental health and medical care are growing as are the costs of attending to those needs.

The department has also expanded community corrections and track facilities, and Pennsylvania's major urban centers to provide community-based services to halfway back houses, and also to parolees who are in transitional release programs.

Recently the collaboration with the office of administration and the Pennsylvania AIDS education training center help to create an HIV standard for prisoners. Another accomplishment has

been in many of the correctional industries and vocational training programs, one of which but not limited to SCI, with the computer aided design drafting program where they can take classes so when they leave the institution they have employable skills. And also they could command a marketable wage for their needs and those of their own families.

While some of those challenges remain ahead for the Department of Corrections, the Pennsylvania Prison Society is terribly concerned about the fact that the department has retreated in recent years, which had been that every inmate should have an opportunity to be involved in a program of self improvement and to maintain a safe and secure environment for both the incarcerated offender and the staff responsible for them within the State of Pennsylvania.

As I said earlier, we're justly concerned about the by-political readers that appear to have correctional personnel within the department as a license for very mean spirited action against inmates within our prison. We must be ever mindful of the guiding principles under our American system

of justice as punishment, not to be punished while in prison, and we're very concerned that the talk has indeed translated to very mean spirited actions where officers, and not on the whole but there are those who take these opportunities to torment and humiliate, not only the folks inside but the families that come to visit.

And that this is quite regrettable because there are many professionals who perform their duties and they've been maligned by public skepticism borne out by the actions of misguided DOC employees who through ignorance and personal prejudice have criminal contact, have really tormented and terrorized many men and women in the state.

While public confidence has been shaken in the '90s while the allegations and subsequent convictions of staff for sexual assault of female prisoners, the 1995 disturbance at SCI -- and claims of and the reports by persons and mostly prisoners that they are the subject of, the promulgation of an institutional placement policy that internally assigned newly committed prisoners to institutions furthest from their homes where their families are.

· 17

The building of prisons in remote areas of the state has made regular family visits burdensome and unaffordable for children with a mother or father in prison and reports by the office of the auditor general. Misappropriation of monies by the staff of inmate general welfare over a number of years have underminded and taken the confidence that both prisoners and the public have in the operations.

Commissioner Horne has gone a long way in correcting many of these, these did not all happen on his watch. They have been problems over many years and several decades. But one of the concerns that we had and we'd like to bring to the legislature is to look at the findings that Barbara Hafer, the former auditor general, had brought in many of the audits of the state correctional institutions that the legislature had been reluctant to implement and to fund. And those recommendations I have before you in writing and most of those deal with expanding educational programs and establishing training and other programs to prepare inmates for meaningful employment when released and increase the number of the repeat offenders. She went on to say

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that pursuing the enactment, that would allow prison inmates to remain educational and other special achievements, to earn reductions in sentences consistent with the wellbeing of society, talking about an earned time provision which has much support on the county corrections level. And also evaluating alternative forms of incarceration for persons committed for non violent crimes which are consistent with the wellbeing of our society and that would provide for our public safety.

The prison society is interested in furthering a constructive, as is this committee, and the legislature is, and some of the recommendations that we have and I'll just highlight, and there is some questions that you could ask if those are of particular note.

But the Pennsylvania Prison Society feels very strongly that an office to improve communication between the Department of Corrections, prisoners and family members in the community. We need to adopt a system similar to that of New Jersey and other states whereby families can be contacted if concerned about a loved one in prison to learn about medical care and treatment and discipline. To

confirm or deny a rumor about conditions or treatment affecting inmates, and to coordinate caregiving arrangements for children with a parent in prison.

We also encourage passage of a current
Senate bill, 652, which would authorize the
Citizens' Advisory Council to the Department of
Corrections. In that we would provide for the
review and comment to the department on the
legislature rules and regulations promulgated by
the -- it would also provide for an 11-member
council to oversee and investigate individual
concerns, and those that may have systemic influence
on the treatment and wellbeing of, and also review
existing programs of care, training and
rehabilitation.

What I'd like to do for a moment is just give you some background on some of those attending problems that men and women as mothers and fathers have when they do go to prison.

I introduced Michael Clate from Pittsburgh Hospitality House from his position as executive director and a home away from home that he operates in Pittsburgh. Michael.

MR. CLATE: Thank you.

Some of you have heard me talk about what some families go through. And, of course, by those of you traveling to Cambridge Springs today probably most of you had vehicles, but for the most part the population that we deal with over at Pittsburgh Hospitality House doesn't have a car. Can't afford to get a car. And on the average it's -- since we're dealing with a younger inmate we also have the younger woman and the younger children coming to the house.

The average family or mother will probably spend about a hundred dollars to go to Pittsburgh at least and then that doesn't count local transportation costs or taking the bus to get over to western. To come up here to Cambridge Springs, okay, and considering there is no bus up here, by the way, the last bus out of this way is 3:05 this afternoon, which I'm not going to make, but, okay — there is no good transportation in most of these communities that have state prison, from cities like Philadelphia.

What happens is the person doesn't want to come. It already takes enough now for that family

to go through the tension to find the childcare or somebody to take care of the child. There is all the luggage that that family is also bringing along with them that is sort of unseen. To put that on, so when you have that going on, to put the fact that, putting these prisons in isolated remote areas really hurts. We can get maybe two van loads of people up here a month at best through the United Way Agency.

I think one of the things that you should take note, too, and I've noticed this recently, is about children. We talk a lot about children at risk. In Pittsburgh we may have a lot of programs about dealing with inner city children at risk, but what about the children at risk due to the separation of a parent. At Pittsburgh Hospitality House we see firsthand how that family reacts, or how that child reacts when they come back from They can't tell me where to go. But what prison. they can do is tear up the toy room in the house, pull down fire alarms, who knows what they do. Children who -- parents tell me about acting out as a child. So the point being is that the families right now are very angry. They're very angry. And

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think that we should look at, they're afraid to 1 call you folks, they're afraid to call you in the 2 3 department. The department does take complaints, But that's just the tip of the 4 yes, they do. I just think that more consideration, when 5 icebera. I look at that mission statement for the Department 6 7 of Corrections, it says something about families in there, maybe we can get a copy of it. From their 8 9 standpoint they would really love that we would all maybe just pay a little bit more attention to that 10 mission statement, and provide opportunities for 11 12 these women, children up here, here you've got a 13 different thing, you have women taking care of other 14 folks kids, parenting style differences, all that is 15 That's the best I can tell you. going on. 16 You know, come down to Pittsburgh

You know, come down to Pittsburgh

Hospitality House, take a look, talk to the people.

Because they are very angry. 34 percent of the population in Pittsburgh at the institution is from Philadelphia. And so they would love to be able to put a voice, but they can't do it in a letter. And that's on top of the anger they have after dealing with the incarceration.

MR. WEABER: And those kinds of issues

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 that we're dealing with, when a mother comes to a 2 prison to visit a father, or a family member comes 3 to visit an institution and there is a corrections officer who greets them, there is a young child 5 present, and they use foul language, they berate them, they treat them less than what they deserve. 6 7 What we're telling another generation is that there 8 is no respect for people of color, or people who 9 have another family member in prison. 10 kinds of messages live on and take on lives of their 11 And what we really need to be about is having own. 12 people respect authority. And we really need to be 13 able to have correctional systems, and for the most 14 part the correctional system does run properly, but 15 there are people who abuse their authority, they 16 abuse the privilege of having custody over someone 17 else and they go too far.

And you really need to be able to have systems in place that men and women can grieve the kinds of actions that have been taken against them.

One of the things we really believe strongly is that Pennsylvania needs to follow the work that's being done currently in New York and Maryland. To look and try to develop legislation

18

19

20

21

22

23

that would provide for a felony, if a corrections officer or other staff member engages in sexual conduct with somebody who's under the care and custody of the Department of Corrections. We would like to see passage of legislation to make sexual relations a felony. As I said, particularly with They're very vulnerable. There is often as women. element of exchange in any sexual encounter and it could appear to be of consentual nature and therefore the scope sometimes makes it very And in turn make difficult to rigorously prosecute. sure that there is a deterrent that other correctional employees would not he engage in such behavior.

women at SCI-Muncy and Cambridge Springs need to be educated about the policies and laws when they report allegations of criminal sexual conduct, and likewise, correctional employees need formal training on what behavior constitutes sexual conduct and penalties. In addition, there is some things that we can do to help families today that really would be welcomed by a number of different public and private partnerships. Maybe demonstrate the legislative's initiative of treatment to deal, the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

institute's willingness to help mothers with children in prison. And also give some of the corporations who have their hands on leading edge technology to try to bring families who are separated by great distance together. And by that I mean establishing a video conference center at SCI-Cambridge Springs and Muncy to afford children of all ages to communicate with their moms on a regular basis for emotional support, guidance and crisis intervention.

When I was at the last correctional hearing I met someone from Maritech and we were talking about how their corporation might be able to either provide in kind or reduced satellite communication links for the department in Philadelphia or some other city, Scranton or Harrisburg, those a distance from Cambridge Springs, could offer their services to be able to bring a child down to that studio and have them sit there with their caregiver, which is usually an aunt or a grandmother, and deal with some of the attending problems that they might have at school or at home with a sibling or here, and involve mother as a decision maker in the household as things happen.

A young girl, a teenager, is pregnant and is dealing with those adjustments. Mother needs to be involved in those decisions affecting her and her family. This would be one way, not a very costly way, but a very proactive, pro family initiative that we could take on today to try to link families who are separated by great distances.

We understand the department has made very good faith efforts in saving correctional institutions in and around urban centers. It's a very difficult task to do. Very few communities want a prison except those where jobs are needed desperately. But we need to work together to try to fashion those programs and those approaches that are going to help yet another generation not succumb to delinquency because of who are breaking laws today. But we're not proactively preventing the problems that are going to exist in the next generation.

We would look quite foolish to our children in the next 20 years in terms of some of the others things we could do, we could increase the contracts to private nonprofit organizations, provide transportation assistance from the Eastern District to the Western and from the west to the

east to try to bring families together who have a parent in prison.

The Commonwealth has a vested interest in helping prisoners to maintain family ties. Many superintendents will tell you that the tension in prison is greatly reduced by having regular family visitation, and that's very difficult in institutions like Mercer, Somerset, SCI-Muncy and Cambridge Springs. Also, most of the folks who are in our prison are coming home and they benefit from having those close family ties maintained over a number of years because the family is the best support system we know to help a person find success in the first 18 months after their release.

If we're not successful in helping people find employment, get hooked in to treatment programs, 12-step prevention programs, and in to adult education and enrichment programs during those first 18 months the likelihood is they're going to be coming back and costing the State of Pennsylvania over \$30,000 each year.

So there are many more cost effective and efficient ways for us to do the business of corrections.

Erie. PA

(814) 453-5700

Other things that we could do is provide life sentence prisoners with opportunities for vocational training throughout their term of incarceration to productive and contributing members of their community while they're in the institution. We can in our institution, literally, GED programs, a lot of people -- there has been a real crunch in dollars, the custody side of corrections has really taken the lion's share of the dollar and we really need to have an even balance between care and custody because you can protect communities today, but when people are released if we have not prepared people to make a successful transition back in to the community we've done only half our job.

The Pennsylvania Prison Society would like the department to rescind the institutional placement policy that assigned prisoners to institutions furthest from their home. We would like to see, whenever possible, that people are in their region so their families can visit, where they can maintain their ties. We'd like to be able to find SCI-Muncy the money to be able to move the administration and disciplinary housing now to where they are outside of the fences to inside the setting

1 so that they're close to the regular housing units. We believe that having administrative and 2 3 disciplinary housing units far from the main prison in the eyes and ears of the world can often be ripe 5 for abuse and neglect of women. At this time we'd like to open up for any 7 questions, Mr. Chairman, regarding some of the ideas 8 we've presented. REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Thank you,

gentlemen. You didn't come empty handed.

You made a lot of suggestions here. There were three in particular which I am somewhat I heard of them or the interested in, if I could. light in which you presented them, if I could comment about them briefly. You asked that the acts of sexual relationships between a correctional employee and one of the prisoners be considered to be a felony. What is it now, do you know?

MR. WEABER: It would vary. It could be a sexual assault statute or really depend --

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: You're saying that any sexual contact should be a felony, is that what you're suggesting?

MR. WEABER: We would ask the legislature

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are a number of different to consider that. groups, the National Women's Law Center in Washington has done a review of 22 states that define sexual misconduct in prison as felonies, six of those as misdemeanors and three over states and the federal government have varying degrees. think some research needs to be done as to what would be the best course that would provide for a deterrent.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: My first thought is if you're going to make something that happens in the prison system a more serious offense than when it happens in the street you're running right in to the US Constitution head on. You may have a problem with that. Not that I don't agree with that, you probably could and should have a tougher policy. But if you're going to treat a potential offender differently because he or she happened to be a correctional officer I think you're going to run in to constitutional problems. I haven't done any research on this but that's my first thought. while I would agree that no sexual relationships would ever happen between a correctional officer and inmate, I just wonder if you could do that and have

it withstand constitutionally. Because in a litigious society, knowing what their rights are, you may run in to some real problems with correctional officers on a constitutional basis, and they may have a valid attack on that kind of a concept.

I understand. And I was, MR. WEABER: another life about 20 years ago and I went through the Department of Corrections training and they told us that we were held to a higher level of accountability because we had a position of trust, position of public trust. And that the people under our care and custody were directly affected by what we did.

So in that regard I'm sure that we could draft some legislation that would both respect the rights of corrections officers and make an effective deterrent so that there would not be cases of sexual assault committed against prisoners.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: I'm going to ask Chief Counsel Preski to look in to that particular aspect of your testimony, that recommendation.

The second area that I was drawn to is of some notoriety is the office of -- you indicated

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that the State of New Jersey has something along those lines apparently.

MR. WEABER: For over 20 years they've had state funding, commissioner in New Jersey has a man on his staff that are dispatched within regions to find out and try to deal with some of the more serious problems dealing with family members that are in prison. And what he's found is that really quells the kinds of tensions within the institution, rumors do not grow, and the kinds of problems that we deal with would be a family member who's in the community that is unclear about a suicide that may have been attempted inside a correctional institution and rumors abound.

And it's very important for the community to be well informed, and they found that relations between the Department of Corrections in New Jersey are greatly improved, family members feel better knowing about the wellbeing of a loved one and people inside.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: We apparently have some familiarity with the New Jersey situation, and while we look in to that I would ask any information you can provide to this committee on

either New Jersey or any other state because I think --

in your testimony was video conferencing. I can understand and appreciate how it is really important for parents to keep in touch with their kids, although they don't do it very well when they're in prison. Something of this nature might be an alternative that might help. Certainly not a solution, but there may be a way of keeping in touch. Especially as you've indicated, people are in prison 300, 400 miles away from their families.

Video conferencing is a real growing area of communications. We have, obviously, educational courses that are throughout the globe. And in particular I'm familiar with a little hospital in my own area that video conferences with a major university across the country and the doctors talk to each other. And it's very very helpful to a small rural community hospital. It has improved their ability to do their job much better.

The details I couldn't figure out, I'm not a technical person, but the concept interests me.

And I'm wondering if you're aware of any other

states that provide this at this time and how they do it?

MR. WEABER: I could find out. I don't know of any models, but I could get back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: At this time I'd like to introduce two other members of the committee who are here. Our esteemed chairman, Representative Gannon, from Delaware County here with us. And another person to my far right is Representative Harold James from Philadelphia County. And I will come back to you because I know you just joined us.

And I would ask Representative Josephs if she has any questions.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you for being here.

We're talking a lot about families and how important it is to keep them, usually the mother in contact in some way with their children. From the point of view of somebody who lives with the children of many of these parents, what is the likelihood that these children who don't see their mother for long periods of time are going to get in to some kind of trouble with the juvenile justice authorities, or maybe with adult justice authorities

when they get older, what is the probability of that, if you know, over children who don't have that experience?

MR. WEABER: The correctional institution in Bedford Hills in New York, maybe you have heard about, has a very premier program in providing for children who stay up to a year when they are born within the prison walls. Part of their program says that children are six times more likely to succumb to delinquency if they don't have a nurturing and close relationship with their mother. During the first year that the child is separated from their mother, they often have marked changes in performance at school, at home with their siblings, and also with their other family members.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: So that even if we didn't care about the wellbeing of this woman prisoner or her family, if we cared about our own wellbeing it would make some sense for us to try and keep this family together, is that a fair conclusion?

MR. WEABER: Most certainly. For the wellbeing and also the representor of our future tax dollars.



REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: Going to some of the problems that this institution has had with sexual abuse of inmates, I understand there were, have been five serious accusations, four trials, four convictions, and one trial yet to happen.

do, I don't mean to put you on the pot is why I'm hedging here a little. What kind of things would you recommend in this institution to put a stop to that type of behavior? Aside from raising criminal penalties, which I am not sure really does it, either in the civilian population or in the, any other kind of population. Because to me if you have five serious accusations you have scores of incidents that have not been reported or have, if having been reported have not come out to the public yet.

MR. WEABER: I would say certainly the deterrent effect of legislation would help.

Additionally, training. And to be fair to the Department of Corrections they have begun implementing the sensitivity training both in dealing with culture and ethnic issues and cross culture relations. And also in terms of staff

limitations, the kinds of problems that corrections officers, a male corrections officer would have a female prisoner, are not unlike what many female corrections officers experience within the correctional setting.

And to be fair, as I said to the

Department of Corrections, I attended the

Pennsylvania Prison Wardens meeting and we spent a
day on sexual harassment and a look at the world in
the day of a prisoner through a female corrections
officer's eyes, and the kinds of language that men
use, the kind of jokes, the kind of expressions, and
what constitutes sexual harassment. So we need to
further those training efforts. I think through
training and a deterrent and enforcement we could
effectively deal with those issues.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: Well, isn't it true what we're talking about the inmates here and the kinds of -- we've gone very far beyond harassment where we're talking about rape, we're talking about abuse, isn't that true?

MR. WEABER: Yes, true. But the model of harassment is if there are professional standards that are set and professional guidelines about

behavior you can never get to the point of making an exchange for sexual favors, if that distance as regards to sexual harassment policy, there would never be an opportunity for people to cross those lines. It would ensure the gap of professional conduct in that relationship to prevent such behavior. There is no way to make sure it absolutely doesn't happen. But through training and enforcement you can widen that professional relationship to make sure that it does not happen.

REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: One more. Every time I go to a hearing in which Pennsylvania Prison Society or another like group speaks, there is talk about the policy of the department to place people as far from home as possible and to, I heard last week I guess, to move them closer to home depending on their good behavior.

In your opinion is this a result of the fact that it's very very difficult to get paroled, it's very difficult to get released on time? We are probably in all likelihood going to make it impossible for people to be sentenced to life to be, to get commutations, we're making it more difficult even than it is now for the parole board to parole

anybody.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In your opinion, if we would reverse some of these trends, which I believe are based on political considerations and really not on the person, the individual or the inmate who's sitting before us, do you think that the department could reverse its policy on placing people far from home? Do you think they're using that because it's the only management tool that we've really left them?

MR. WEABER: I don't know if that's the case, defacto. The prison rules -- and you can't have all the people that live in an urban center, whether they're in Pittsburgh, be in this institution near those urban centers. important that people are going to be in different parts of the state and the fact that they're going to be eventually routed back and earn their way back, that has some merit. But the problems are somebody could lose those ties with their family in three to five years. And if you erase those ties with the family there are so many attending problems that it just isn't worth the idea of having people earn their way back as much as the -- so I guess that's really my perspective.

1 And also, that we seem to be opening the 2 doors to the institution and closing the back door, 3 and we need to be able to find ways to supervise people more effectively and more cost effectively. Thank you. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JOSEPHS: 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative Walko. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you. 10 Mr. Weaber, what percentage of female 11 prisoners in Pennsylvania are serving time due to 12 drug charges, either to heavy form possession or with intent to deliver? 13 14 MR. WEABER: I don't have institute 15 figures immediately in front of me, but I know that 16 there are those that have been charged with, I do 17 have figures that 78 percent of the women who are in 18 the institution have either had past experience or 19 current experience with drug abuse. But I don't 20 have the figures on me. 21 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Would that be one 22 of the crimes which you would classify as one of the 23 non violent for which --



MR. WEABER:

24

I would certainly think that

it's more appropriate to treat the addiction than trying to punish somebody when they use, so I would say yes.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I believe in 1993

Pennsylvania Commission on Corrections report headed up, it was a commission headed up by Representative Sweet, recommended serious evaluation of alternative punishments.

Now, given that the society does want punishment, what are some good alternatives for whatever number of inmates that might be, what are some good alternative punishment mechanisms which we can show the public, yes, we do have punishment in mind, but we can also show the public, yes, we do recognize the value down the road of those family ties being maintained. We do recognize the value of our prison budgets not being allowed to continue to explode. So would you give me some examples of alternative punishments.

MR. WEABER: Yeah. The Pennsylvania

Council on Punishment has an array of very viable

options. I think one of the problems they have is

to be able to fund, though, particularly in the

most, the most rural, those that aren't closest to

the urban centers. They do have home based detention, options for one level of offender.

I think secure therapy committees where people can go for a matter of time and learn how to live cooperatively with one another, deal with treatment issues that led to their abuse of drugs or alcohol. And have a reverse program of training and education to make somebody employable and that they can earn a market wage when they leave. There are other programs that are available and Pennsylvania knows about them, the problem is trying to fund them.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Now, regarding the punishments, regarding non violent crime, would you go through what you would classify in that category, what you would place in that category?

MR. WEABER: There would be a whole list of various crimes. I think that we pretty much align ourselves with what the Federal Bureau of Investigation classifies as a violent crime except when they classified burglary as a violent crime. We don't believe that there is any kind of hostile intent that would feasibly be a violent crime against a person. It is a violent crime against

property, however, when a person breaks and enters.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: With regard to the funding problem you pointed to, is there any statistic which you can point to or studies which would show that that would cost X amount of dollars versus continuing to build more prisons? Has there been a study that you're aware of?

MR. WEABER: Edward Park Foundation has a publication that was published in 1993, the Cost of Incarceration in Pennsylvania, and I would make copies of that available to the committee. And that really does make a case for Pennsylvania using what costs would be in 1993, what alternatives are also available, and it comes up with considerable savings depending on the alternative that's used. They're stating that anywhere from 40 to 60 percent, and they're talking 40 to 60 percent of \$30,000 per individual per year.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Mr. Weaber, you had alluded to your time provisions, and I don't know if you mentioned that Barbara Hafer had recommended that, or if you just brought it up on your own, but earned time provisions, that's different from parole; is that correct?

MR. WEABER: Correct. It would be time earned for good behavior, work and educational achievement.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Well, why has there been a legislative directive not to allow earned time for prisoners? Because I would assume that would put a lot more people out of the cells anyway. Why aren't we doing that, in your opinion?

MR. WEABER: I think that it's a position that some people would think that someone would not be serving the full time. I think that the public has a very simplistic understanding of the nature of parole, and for somebody to serve all their time is not effective.

In terms of public safety it's not effective. In terms of having people come back in to the community after serving their time without having had intervention, training, education. So I think we need to do a better job of letting people know that a variety of parole options, one of them would be an opportunity particularly on the county level for wardens, county wardens throughout the state pretty much feel that they can make determinations based on their staff recommendations

about who would be eligible to be released 30 days early because they worked very hard, they've been involved in programs, and that they're just taking up bed space. And it's not effective punishment. Punishment does not have to be long and enduring, it needs to be swift.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I think it is ironic that the very suggestion you made corresponds to a number of suggestions I've received from corrections officers around Pennsylvania. And do you think that simply we're afraid politically to embrace that?

MR. WEABER: It takes a real statesman to stand up when the winds of change are blowing in your face and say, I believe that this is right for these reasons. Even though many peoples emotions in the public are stirred to believe otherwise. We have many courageous legislators and some of them are right here, so that's why we took the time to come out here. So, yes, I think it's something that the public -- and if we look at state university they did some research on public attitudes and they're not always looking at the need to be -- people are narrow in their thinking, many posters

would lead us to believe --1 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: One final brief 2 3 question and perhaps a brief answer would be 4 appropriate. The family tie issue, can you refer me to 5 any studies or documentation of the impact of family 6 7 ties and the subsequent release? Certainly. Virginia did an MR. WEABER: 8 9 assessment of the needs of families and their 10 children, and the legislature in Virginia 11 commissioned that. And there was a, I think a bill that was in the Senate a few years ago, '71, that 12 13 looked to try to do the study of needs of 14 incarcerated women. And Reed Scott from the state 15 correctional institution at Muncy had helped to 16 draft some of the ideas for that. So, yes, I could 17 provide you with other ideas. 18 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: I appreciate that. 19 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Chairman Gannon. 21 REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: I'll wait. 22 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Ms. Manderino. 23 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. 24 find these hearings very frustrating and here's why.



And it's not just this hearing and it's not just when we were in Pittsburgh and it's not just when we were at Graterford on prison health issues. It's every time we look at the issue of dealing with prisoners. I find myself frustrated by knowing that I'm only getting the whitewash story. And knowing that I can only get the whitewash story because the people who probably know the story the best aren't going to be here to testify.

And then I'm also mindful of the fact that there are some maybe justified skepticism about motive, if you have the folks actually affected testifying, it's a very mixed bag to sort through.

But I agree with what Representative

Josephs said earlier, that, I mean, if we know of
very serious instances of sexual assault and abuse
within this institution then that's indicative of
probably a lot more that we haven't heard of. And
the same thing we hear of in other prisons, et
cetera.

Now, it's becoming increasingly popular, or unpopular, depending on which side of the fence you're on, in the general population to have civilian review panels, police advisory boards, et

cetera. I think those things are a good thing, not only for the citizenry but for the police officers as well. Because I truly believe that 95 percent of them are working their heart out doing the right thing for all the right reasons and getting a black eye from the few bad apples. And I believe the same thing about our state correctional officers.

My question to you, and it isn't among any of your recommendations, I don't know if you haven't gotten that far, or you've gotten that far and rejected it for some reason I'd like to hear, do any other states have civilian review boards where prisoners can go outside of the institution if they have a complaint of sexual abuse or sexual assault and have that issue addressed from people outside of the very institution being possibly accused?

I trust the disconcernment of just like I do with the police advisory board that investigation will show whether it's somebody with an ax to grind or a legitimate beef, are any institutions doing that? What do you know about that and what are your views?

MR. WEABER: I'll give you an example in New Jersey only because it's separated by a river,

they have an office of the inmate advocacy that before the officer of public advocate was disbanded. They had been actively looking at those kinds of systemic problems within prisons and investigating them, finding out if this problem is something that happened throughout the institution or throughout the system. Was it because of a policy, a regulation, lack of training, was there some other kind of deterrent that was missing, and if necessary they would mediate, go to the Department of Corrections, bring it to their attention, try to resolve it. If it couldn't be resolved then they would litigate.

So, yes, the office of inmate advocacy would be one example, another government agency coming in when there was information brought to light to investigate. And that's always, that's always very helpful to allow people to know that there are organizations with powers of investigation and enforcement to come in and oversee.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: In New Jersey that's a state function but it's separate from the Department of Corrections, or is that within their department?

| 1 | MR. WEABER: Right, it is separate. That |
|----|---|
| 2 | was a wing that is part of the department of |
| 3 | correction, but the office of inmate advocacy is |
| 4 | separate. But in terms of the, what might help give |
| 5 | information to legislature and also to the |
| 6 | department would be to have, to have the citizens |
| 7 | advisory counsel as provided for in Senate Bill 652 |
| 8 | that would have an 11-member group appointed by the |
| 9 | government that would advise and recommend programs |
| 10 | that we excused today, problems that are emerging. |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: But that bill |
| 12 | doesn't talk about, that's not a place where an |
| 13 | individual with a complaint would bring it and have |
| 14 | it be able to be investigated? |
| 15 | MR. WEABER: Correct, there is no |
| 16 | investigative powers involved in that bill. |
| 17 | REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. |
| 18 | Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 19 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative |
| 20 | Bebko-Jones. |
| 21 | REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: Thank you, |
| 22 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 23 | First of all, I want to thank both of you |
| 24 | for coming today. And I for one certainly |

understand the problem of transportation. I think when you just look at the panel here, I'm from Erie County and I travel to Harrisburg every week when we're in session, and it's a six-hour drive from my district to the state capital. So I can relate to the problems that the folks that have their family members in this particular institution have. We also deal with it, the same situation here in Erie County.

Now, we have folks that have their loved ones serving in Philadelphia that have to travel from Erie, and they question why their family member is not institutionalized right here in Cambridge, right closer to their home town.

Now, when you talk about your Hospitality House in Pittsburgh, I just want to make sure I understand. We have a hospitality house here in Erie but it's a hospitality house that is more or less a shelter, provides all kinds of services. I know on several occasions transportation might be one of them, I don't know. Do you network in any way with the hospitality services of families here in Erie or Erie County?

MR. CLATE: We do network with, I believe

б

you have under United Way family services here in And, in fact, we get people from Erie Erie County. to take them down to Pittsburgh Hospitality House. And basically that's how we network. But we're not related to the agency that you're speaking of. This is an independent group, it's a hundred years old. We're ten minutes away from downtown Pittsburgh. do have other organizations that share space at the house that, those organizations cater to the needs of the inmates or the inmates' families. We try to provide a safe space or an opportunity, an opportunity for positive family relationships to We realize that not all families are occur. perfect.

But right now we are, we're networking with some folks up in Erie, United Way Agency, and if they have a need they call me. We have people on death row in Pittsburgh, the families are here in Erie and they have a terrible time coming down to Pittsburgh and dealing with, you talk about complaints — had an officer and a family member get into some sort of a shouting match in the middle of the visiting room with a man who's maybe in a non contact visit watching helplessly behind glass. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

think what happens that when that kind of instance occurs in a visiting room with a family member that's even, maybe not traumatic, but it's possible you're creating even a security problem within the institution. Because what is that inmate going to do? He can't do anything because there is glass, what is he going to do on the inside?

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: And I certainly support the theory with the families, and I just want to again touch on the video conferences that we have all made comments on.

And I think that is very critical. But what I'm facing is, especially with women that are incarcerated, they have no say of where their children are to begin with. Some of them have no idea where their children are while they are in prison. How in God's name are we going to communicate that mother and child to keep that relationship going until mother is released? You know, some moms might know that their child is in custody, foster care somewhere, the courts are involved. But no one, I don't see where there is any line of communications starting from the court, the judge down to the parole officer down to foster

care, custody care, the prison, whatever, nobody seems to want to give anyone any communication. Or if you call they say, because of the law I can't Because of the law I don't know. tell you. And as a result we have a child here in limbo of where mom is, or dad, with no communications. How do we or how do you think we can correct all of this? Just simply by a video conference? How do we have this video conference if we don't have the child or that particular agency doesn't want to share that I think, you know, I get frustrated a information? whole lot with this issue also. Because never are my questions ever answered.

MR. WEABER: I can make an attempt because in answering your question is that there are enough children that we know that have mothers who are separated that are suffering the pain of separation, we need to deal with those first. And then we need to go and look at parental rights and either when they're terminated or in a period of suspension and the child is in placement we are dealing with that. But there are enough families right now today that we could deal with several hundreds, we can open up Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Harrisburg, Scranton,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Erie, and still have families that would flock to them to deal with the issues that are ongoing with young children in crises or have a problem and need mom as a decision maker.

So that's the first part of the answer.

And the second is we need to do what

Pittsburgh Hospitality House does is network.

Michael had brought together a girl named Shorty who visited for the first time in eight years with her parent. And because of Hospitality House she was able to come and spend a visit on two different days and stay at Hospitality House and feel comfortable in meeting a parent that she hadn't seen in eight years. They're the kinds of cooperation and services that we need to bring to bear on the problem.

I admit it's very frustrating. There are a lot of rules and regulations on confidentiality and termination of parental rights which is quite frustrating.

REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: And I think, you know, your program is excellent. But again, I come back to where their hands are tied, or the agency's hands are tied when it comes to reuniting a

child and mom or dad. And whether we like it or not, you know, perception is everything in this country, perception is everything. And I think when we had the special session on crime and we just, because as I said, throw away the key, lock them all up, we don't care. We don't care if our tax dollars go up, we just want everybody locked up. We mandated all of the sentencing to keep everybody locked up, and then we found out, you know, and I believe one of you said this in your testimony, where 70 or 75 percent of the nonviolent criminals are in prison and they have an alcohol and drug problem and what do we do about that.

You know, I'm not saying that these folks should not pay the price for their crime they committed, absolutely. But we are not dealing with the real problem, gentlemen, that put these folks in prison to begin with. When you look at different case histories of most of the folks that are incarcerated, and especially women, 87 percent have alcohol and drug problems. We don't take care of it, I don't believe any way, within the prison institution itself. There is many programs, I believe, that exist in the institution. We don't

have any kind of mandatory drug and alcohol treatment in the prison. We have AA meetings, you know, these women tell me, yeah, someone comes in every Tuesday and Thursday night from 7:00 to 9:00 and tells us not to -- well, you know, we can't, we're just recycling all of these folks that society wanted us to lock up.

Because when they're released from these institutions we're releasing them back to what put them in there because we didn't take care of the initial problems. And I think we have to look at where we're putting our dollars at here and it should be at the front end of the problem. And I think you'll find out it's always DNA, it's always DNA, no matter what the crime is. And we don't do anything about it. And continuing that is what is always my frustration when I deal with any of these hearings and wanting advisory boards.

The other question I have to ask you, you talked about one of your -- okay, Senate Bill 652, and I believe you talked about this at prior hearings that I was not at.

Now, is that Senate Bill, 652, the same as Senate bill -- if you're aware of -- 413, that seems

81 1 to me is basically the same thing. It's 2 establishing an advisory council to be known as the 3 advisory council. Maybe this is on the needs of incarcerated folks and their children. Now, are 5 these two separate bills going on in the Senate? Dd 6 you guys know? 7 MR. WEABER: I believe they're separate. 8 I know there was one pre filed with the last session 9 that became 652, but 413 with children --10 REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: This is where

the governor appoints 23 members of the majority and minority leader --

> MR. WEABER: Yeah, they're separate bills. REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: They're

separate bills. Do we need these separate bills, in your opinion? I mean, if we're going to have one citizen advisory council on corrections to go in to the different problems that exist in the prison, do we need another one? I guess I'm saying to just specifically deal with children of incarcerated people?

MR. WEABER: I don't know. To me they're all situations, just as you have a subcommittee on crime and corrections there may be one way to try to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

merge the two, I'm not, I haven't seen an analysis 1 on the current bill. 2 3 REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: So you're not familiar with this bill? 4 MR. WEABER: I'm familiar with it. 5 But I haven't seen an analysis on it, but I don't know if 7 you would merge the two and have concerns with the kind of issues that you're intending. REPRESENTATIVE BEBKO-JONES: That's all I 9 10 really have to say. Thank you very much. 11 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Representative 12 James. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you, 14 Mr. Chairman, I just have two questions. 15 One in terms of the earned time, I wasn't 16 clear your position on earned time based on the 17 current bill because I had introduced the bill some 18 time ago but it was voted down. What is your 19 position on the current bill? 20 MR. WEABER: The current one we would like 21 to see a bill drafted that would provide credit for 22 people who have satisfactorily completed a program 23 of work, education, and have that rewarded for some

kind of reduction so we could support that kind of a

bill.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Are you aware that there is not enough work, and that maybe some people on the list and that maybe can't work?

MR. WEABER: And that's something that needs to be addressed because I noticed in our prison policy there is a prescription for disaster the kind of discusses when people don't have work what is the real problem.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: The other thing you talked about Representative Josephs raised the question in reference to sexual harassment and talking about how can we resolve or deal with some exclusions on that. One thing in my experience I found that as relates to those kind of problems the bureaucracy tries to isolate it and maybe only deals with one individual or person who may be accused and not deal with the people who help, there could be staff and all their officers or whatever. And I think if you're going to really impact on dealing with sexual harassment the discipline has to go further than just the person who commits the It has to deal with, the supervisor should offense. be disciplined, any other staff person should be

| i | |
|----|---|
| .1 | disciplined. And I think that way you have a real |
| 2 | impact on the sexual harassment. |
| 3 | Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 4 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Thank you. |
| 5 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: A quick question. |
| 6 | Are you a wear of the problem the State of |
| 7 | Florida had with their earned time statute? |
| 8 | MR. WEABER: No. |
| 9 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: Okay. That's all |
| 10 | I have. |
| 11 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Gentlemen, thank |
| 12 | you very much. Appreciate it. |
| 13 | MR. WEABER: Thank you very much, |
| 14 | appreciate the opportunity. |
| 15 | REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: We're running |
| 16 | about an hour late so I apologize to our next two |
| 17 | testifiers, Linda Wilson and Sheila Sillman, both |
| 18 | from the Erie County Prison. If they would come |
| 19 | down. |
| 20 | For Carleen Davis and Ernest Bristow, |
| 21 | don't leave. Stick with us. |
| 22 | Ladies, if you would introduce yourselves |
| 23 | for the court reporter and TV camera so we know who |
| 24 | you are. |
| | |

1 MS. SILLMAN: I am Sheila Sillman, I am a 2 provider for the female offenders at the Erie County 3 Prison, I'm an independent contractor. MS. WILSON: My name is Linda Wilson, I am a counselor. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Are you both 7 going to be providing testimony? 8 MS. SILLMAN: Yes. Let's do the one that 9 is in front of you directly. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The brown one is not working, the brown one for the PA system isn't 11 12 working. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Forget the brown 14 one, use the black one. 15 And if you would, Linda, when you speak 16 would you make sure you're speaking into it so we 17 can hear you? 18 You may procedure. 19 MS. SILLMAN: Thank you so much for 20 inviting us and we appreciate the opportunity to be 21 here before you. I have presented before you a 22 packet, first of all, introducing who we are and our 23 concerns. And also for myself I have given the



first packet of a handouts of an 8-week life skills

program, and it starts with self esteem. But the top paper includes the 17 topics, the total number of hours devoted to each topic, and a little bit on the bottom about how I performed this life program.

And then so that you could hear from the people that we're all trying to speak for, I have gone through my files and the first top sheet is written by a woman who was incarcerated last year, found herself in an alcohol blackout and found herself in our restricted housing unit the next morning which is what we would typically term as the hole. She wrote this, and I asked her permission to be able to take and make a copy of which I have done. I think it depicts the despair that a female fears when she walks in.

If you pull the packet apart you'll find it's the second stapled portion. Then after that I have made a copy of some artistry that one of our women has done. She was a state's inmate that was housed at our county facility for two full years. And then after that is a page written by a woman inmate who ended up here at Cambridge Springs. And then the last two forms are evaluations of my program. I didn't put it there so much to toot my

own horn, but more or less for you to hear the needs from these women as well as to give you another voice from them as well.

Because we are a county facility we receive every entry level in to the criminal just system. We have pretrial as well as parole violations as well as those who are sentenced, not just for the county but also now we're seeing more state inmates.

My job is to provide programs for these women while they're here, and I must admit to you that coming to jail can be quite a sobering experience. And when a woman walks through the doors and finds herself being separated from her family, and her job is at stake, as well as making up and realizing the choices that brought her here she is ready for treatment. Now the Erie County prison is very proactive safety, but the safety and security of the associates, the staff and the inmates is our number one concern. After that treatment is offered, and for the women we have right now at our level we have some drug and alcohol programs that are offered through the community like AA, NA, that's one hour a week. We have a local

advocate group called the Greater Erie Action

Committee known as GECAC that comes in once a week,

does drug and alcohol testing. We also have within

the house of our own staff offering management

groups, drug and alcohol support groups. My group

which I've organized under a new foundation, and

then the only educational offer that we have the

women is the GED program. And when a woman comes in

I sit down with her and I explain to her the groups

that are offered to her because it's the old, you

lead a horse to want and if they want to drink then

they drink.

One of the issues that we have realized is the longer terms that we are finding the women coming in for. As you can see with my group it's 8 weeks, so roughly the groups run between 8 to 10 weeks. But we're finding that incarceration is running hiring than the normal for the county level which used to be, I'm guestimating about three months, we're now running in to six months. And we just max'ed out on a state sentence for two years. So our programming needs to be more extensive as far as term, longer term.

Because we are now, I'm saying a

transition between time of term we for the longest time would not allow ourselves to dig too deep in to these women because of their position of being pretrial or parole violation or moving on to a state sentence. You have to be very careful you don't open them up so far that you can't help put them back together, so that if they leave that they're so jumbled up they're not able to make sense of what treatment they just had. It's a very careful line that we must be able to walk.

I work under our director of treatment,
Dr. James O'Keefe so we are constantly maintaining
this perspective. Now, today as I left the county
prison we have 42 women that are housed there, and
their ages range from 19 to 58. So that let's you
know the various age groups as well as the numbers.
Their choices of activities are very limited because
it is a county facility. It is nothing like
Cambridge Springs where you have these wonderful
grounds and the different things you can do.

The issues and the needs that we see because of longer term is, of course, we offer drug and alcohol, we offer life skills, we offer anger management. And then we start to go down and see

domestic violence. I believe it was you and yourself pointed out, educational and vocational issues. Right now we do have a grant that we are working on to be able to build a building to be able to offer vocational training. But we would also like to see concerning like commercial foods and viable occupations for computer, education, because minimum wage just doesn't cut it.

I just completed a budgeting program with women and we went over how much minimum wage actually gets you, even if you do a 40-hour week. And one of the women spoke up and said, I've been selling drugs, this is never going to make it for me. You can make big money as we're all very familiar with on the streets selling the drugs. And so they have got to have something to be able to at least know that they're going to be able to live on, or the temptation is going to be greater than the consequence.

Also for us we see parenting as the gentlemen before us, the issue of the bond breaking.

My hope is to some day have a facility on site where we can have childcare, day care type of things set up for contact visits where instructors are right

there working with them. Of course, the institution is interested in security, we could all work those things out. Those are issues that are really sidelined compared to the dollars that are needed for these things.

Because of time, allow me to, I'll wind myself down because Linda has guite a list. But I want you to please remember that when we are, I quess, coming before you for funds, that the public as Ms. Jones was talking about, screaming we've got to lock them up, we're looking for tougher But about 80 percent of our women are in sanctions. there for drug and alcohol issues which means that they're going to be reentering society anywhere between three months to two years. We cannot keep them forever. And if we as a group can reeducate the public on the needs of the women and the benefit of helping these women who really do want help, they would be so much better off as a group.

Because of the limited ability to be able to take a woman from the facility and reenter them in to associate, there is like no bridges in between. It's very difficult to spend two years being told what to do, two years not even being able

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to put on your salad what you want, and then you find yourself out in to society.

And in closing allow me to share with you my personal philosophy in working with these women. I see them like a glass vase filled with water. And at the bottom of that vase is the sediment of life of their choices, the mud and the muck and the mire. And when they come in to us and they have an opportunity to allow that stuff to settle, and when I get them in to my groups I warn them that you're going to be feeling these emotions and these past experiences stir within their heart. And the negative senses are going to start all over again, and I encourage them don't quit. Because we're going to take you and pour you through the sieve of treatment and hopefully together we'll be able to pull the junk out, one by one, piece by piece, whether it's victimization or alcohol or drugs. And as we do that we're going to recycle your life.

And when we are able to reinvest in one another from our personal experiences in to a third, there really is hope. And we're here looking at the big picture. But I take it individual by individual. And many times we don't hear about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ones who don't come back. And the woman who wrote to whom it may concern has not returned.

MS. WILSON: As I said, I'm a counselor at the Erie County Prison and I deal with mostly counseling and working with the inmates that are getting ready to get out of jail, making sure that everything is met that the court has ordered.

As Sheila had said, over the past few years our population has increased and they are there a longer time. We have is a lot of -- Sheila's life skills program have been a big plus for the girls. The GED, we have more inmates leaving with their GED than we ever did before because now we have an on-site testing program which is really good. But we would like, like I said, more programs, especially in the vocational area.

Now, the female offender has different needs and different problems than the inmate, the male inmates. The female inmates have small children at home and they're being separated from their children and this bond is broken. We'd like to see something done so that maybe we could increase the bond.

REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: Excuse me, I'm

1 sorry to interrupt, some of the members are having a 2 hard time hearing you. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: That won't help you. REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: 5 That's only going to the camera. 6 7 MS. WILSON: Sorry. I was talking about some of the female 8 offenders with their children, they leave their 9 10 children at home, they're separated from their 11 children, this is very stressful to them. 12 We also have multiple agencies involved 13 14 15

that provide services such as the Children and Youth, GECAC which provides our drug and alcohol, we have probation and parole. We also have family services just to name a few. But many times they work for the inmate but they don't work together, and that is one of the things that we would like to see more togetherness as to passing of information.

Female offenders who are pregnant, the medical issues that we have to deal with them. Housing of female offenders when they're released. A lot of times we have to try to find housing for those who don't have any housing. Increase in drugs

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and drug addictions and the mental health issues that we have to deal with also.

Some of the needs which require a variety of sources and agencies which become available or become involved in their rehabilitation. Some of the housing issues, housing for pregnant women, women between the ages of say 15 to 21, though 21 and older as far as finding housing for them if they need when they're getting out. Temporary housing for women who just don't have any place to go, maybe lost their home being due to incarceration. Housing for women that need drug rehabilitation who also have children, or women who just have children who don't have a place to go.

I did some calling this afternoon to find out, we don't have too many facilities in Erie, some of them don't accept women at all, some of them have very limited space which only provide maybe six spaces for women or maybe only two families there are able to go in, maybe. And some of these women aren't battered, they just don't have any place to go. Some of them the criteria we just can't meet in that they're in jail, such as the lady that was here in the Mercy Center. Many of the places don't want

somebody who's court ordered to go in to a facility like that because they feel that the reasons why they're going in is not for rehabilitation but just to get out of prison. But that doesn't mean that they don't want the help just because maybe that is one of the reasons they do want to go in to a center is because they want to get out of prison, but maybe they want the help too.

Community programs to ease the stress of placing some of the girls back in to their family situations, of getting them back in to the daily life of being out. We need supportive services from the community. We need parenting programs that continue in to the community to help them continue with what maybe Sheila has started on the inside. Programs to assist the children in being able to accept their parent back in, they haven't seen their parent it could be up to 11 and a half months some of them stay, they haven't seen this parent and now this parent is coming back to their life and they need to adjust to that, and it's hard.

I deal with a lot of families and the incarcerated person has a hard time with not having their family member there, but so do the children,

and the husbands also have a hard time dealing with it.

Increase in educational programming. We need more vocational skills and training, more community volunteers maybe to participate if we have a work release program to take these people to train them. We have increased opportunities for contact visits would be nice. We would like to get something started which we don't have which would get the children and the parents involved again and get a bond going again.

Now, along with the inmates we have 20 to 30 percent of the female inmates who are also mental health issues that they're dealing with as well as with just being incarcerated. And these are severe enough to need medication just to help them maintain a, you know, a stable condition. And a person under normal circumstances has a hard time dealing with incarceration so now a mental health person who also has the added issues of mental health and what goes with that are required more individual and more need of treatment, more individual attention to minimize the decompensation that's due to the stress involved in being incarcerated.

_

some of the problems that we've found is the ability to obtain psychiatric appointments within a reasonable length of time that their medication is needed. To be able to get maybe medical assistance prior to them getting out.

Parole sometimes has been delayed because we cannot get the psychiatric appointment to be able to get the medication and so these inmates sits, the girls sit there because we can't get the things in place and get them together to be able to get them out. And when substance abuse is also involved in there it makes it even harder to deal with because then we're dealing with mental health and the issues of the drug abuse and the issues with that.

We have one program which is the Stairways program in Erie County, which they do deal with the mental health and the drug abuse and they have a good deal of programs. But what they have is a unit for men but they don't have anything that equals that for women. And there is a lot of instances when they do take people that aren't incarcerated, they take someone from the street, a homeless person, someone from a mental health institution prior to taking our inmates from the jail. So this

makes them stay longer. We might have a bed and they get bumped because somebody from the street needs the bed instead. So then we have these inmates just staying there and they want to be out on their minimum just as much to begin getting the treatment that they need.

Some of the needs that we ask for is more community resources, agencies being educated to understand the needs of the female offenders, procedures to establish to make getting some of the things that we need prior to their release such as with drug and alcohol if we want to put somebody in to a treatment a lot of times we can get a medical card prior to their getting out, getting them And then once the date is established approved. they go and the card is activated on the date that they're supposed to go, but we can't seem to do this for mental health people. We can't get the card activated which we can't get the appointment for them to get in to the see the psychiatrist and they can't get the medication. Some of them sit until they max out because we can't get them out of there. It's not like their fault that they're mental health, but it's getting to be a problem.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Psychiatric providers in the community must be encouraged to view the mentally ill offender 2 3 as a priority. And it would be nice to have a halfway house which would meet the needs of the 5 increased female offender. REPRESENTATIVE BIRMELIN: 6 Thank vou. 7 ladies, for your testimony. I will call on the committee members to And I would like to remind the 9 ask questions. 10 committee members to ask questions, as tempting as 11 it is to use it as a floor for venting your frustration and giving your political position on 12 13 things, that extends the length of the hearing and makes it more difficult for those who are yet to 14 15 testify. 16 So as I call on you make sure you are 17 asking questions and try to make them questions that 18 you feel would be illustrative of the problem and/or 19 of the solutions to those problems. 20 Chairman Gannon. 21 REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: Quick question. 22 What types of mental illness do you predominantly 23 see in the female offender? 24 MS. WILSON: We have some manic

| 1 | depressives, schizophrenia, paranoid schizophrenia. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. SILLMAN: Major depressive, bipolar. |
| 3 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: You may not be |
| 4 | able to answer that, but do you see those same types |
| 5 | of illnesses in the male population? You're just |
| 6 | concerned with the female |
| 7 | MS. WILSON: Yeah, it's throughout. I |
| 8 | would say most, like 50 percent there is a larger |
| 9 | male population than the female, of course. |
| 10 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: My question, I |
| 11 | guess you talk to people that handle the male side |
| 12 | of the equation also, do they have the same problem |
| 13 | with the medications? |
| 14 | MS. WILSON: Yes. |
| 15 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: And getting the |
| 16 | appointments? |
| 17 | MS. WILSON: Yes, sir, on both sides. |
| 18 | REPRESENTATIVE GANNON: Thank you. |
| 19 | REPRESENTIVIE BIRMELIN: Representative |
| 20 | Manderino. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | (Whereupon, there was a discussion off the |
| 23 | record between Mr. Preski and the court reporter at |
| 24 | which time the court reporter was dismissed from the |
| | |

| | | 102 |
|----|-----------|-----|
| 1 | hearing.) | |
| 2 | - | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | k | |
| 5 | 5 | |
| 6 | 5 | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | 3 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| , | | |

Date

REPORTER'S

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the

proceedings contained herein are a true and accurate

is a true and correct transcript of the same.

transcription of my stenographic notes taken by me at the

time and place of the within cause; that the transcription

was reduced to printing under my direction; and that this

Darlene 8. Traficante, RPR

AKF REPORTERS, INC.

| NUMBER OF PAGES/TAPES | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----------|---|
| | | | |
| COPIES SENT TO: | | | . 40 44 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 |
| person/title | | LOCATION | DATE SEN |
| Ref ColTAGIR | ous | 106.5 | 2-6. |
| en Birmeun Rop James | | | |
| Rep James | 10 | 750 | |
| | | | |
| | | | ****** |
| | | | |
| | | ***** | |
| | | | |
| | | ******** | |
| # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |