

# Presumptive 50/50 Custody

**("Mandatory 50/50 Joint Physical Custody" in contested custody cases where there is no storable reason for altering this standard?)**

I can see why 50/50 Joint Physical Custody is inappropriate if one parent is unfit but it is obvious that this situation could be handled by stating, in writing, the reason why there is a need for deviation from the standard.

**I have always wondered why Marriage is not considered a contract.** It seems that the decision to treat it as less than a contract has been made by some faceless entity with a hidden agenda which is not logically explainable.

1. We enter a marriage with vows which are designed to sound like a contract.
2. There are assurances of commitment to that contract.
3. Tremendous amounts of money and time are invested under the belief that the marriage is being conducted for the purpose of achieving a bilaterally agreed upon objective.
4. In today's world, marriage involves a "Life and Death" health issue in the form of a promise to maintain monogamy. Failure to uphold this promise is a malicious fraud which could result in the death of the innocent party through an AIDS infection.
5. The vows are usually taken in front of more witnesses than any other form of contract
6. Breach of that contract occurs at great cost to at least one party and often is not mutually consented to by both parties.
7. Marriage is entered into by people who think it is a contract, but in fact, there is no "breach of contract" remedy after the emotional investment, and physical assets of the victim have been expended.

**VIEWING A MARRIAGE AS ANYTHING OTHER THAN A CONTRACT SEEMS LIKE INTENTIONAL AVOIDANCE OF LOGIC. *The question becomes "Why is the marital contract not a contract?"***

Now Back to the concept of **"Mandatory 50/50 Joint Physical Custody"**

**IN THE BEST OF ALL WORLDS,** I would prefer a system in which the parent causing the divorce is the parent who loses primary physical custody of the children to the victimized parent. I believe that those who break contracts, and jeopardize the rights of the other parent to see his child without his consent or knowledge, should have to deal with the problem which they chose to create.

1. No parent should lose primary contact with his child because the other parent decides unilaterally that they can act irresponsibly and without giving thought to the results of their actions. (This is the basic defect which we find in sociopaths)
2. No parent should lose primary physical contact with their child because the

# Computer Custody 50/50

## Presumptive 50/50 Custody

**Presumptive 50/50 Custody...** is the only fair arrangement for contested custody without documentable need to vary from the concepts of:

1. Equal protection of the law
2. Compliance with the Spirit of the United States Constitution ERA
3. Constitution of the State of Pennsylvania (Equal Rights Amendment)
4. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania's Rulings dating back to 1977  
*Spriggs v. Carson* 470 Pa. 290, 300, 368 A. 2d 635, 639-40 (1977)
5. The American Ideal of Fairness to everyone regardless of Sex, Socioeconomic Status, or Country of National Origin.

What American could argue logically with this presumptive "Standard" except a Bigot.

**Judges claim they can not do this. "THAT IS A LIE !"**

**Of course they can do it....** This is the age of computers. They are little boxes the size of a typewriter and they can do in a minute simple tasks like determining equality. Equality itself is the simplest of concepts. All the world is divided into (+) and (-) aspects of live. When the (+ aspect) and the (minus aspect) balance this is called **EQUAL**.

**Computers are good at this.**

**I have spoken with a Company that can do this.** I have their name in my files. They have worked on other Government projects. ie. support enforcement, so.... they already have a track record.

**Isn't 50/50 ridged? "NO"** It's Fair and it requires a reason to alter the distribution of a child's life. This distribution is so important that it should be "Necessary to alter it" before a child is deprived of a love without explanation. The % can be altered in the but not in the law without legitimate explanation.

parent's  
computer program

**NO MORE "BLACK**

Judges to play sexual favoritism

**BOX"** custody decisions which allow  
games and Lawyers to make personal

# This is How it Works

**The parents get the opportunity to come to an amicable custody agreement .**

**If there is no agreement as to who is to loose contact with their child, each is entitled to 50% custody. Neither can demand more (unless the court intends to begin assigning responsibility for the destruction of the child's family). I doubt that in today's amoral society we can get our amoral courts to hold the destructive party accountable. Each parent may give away time but neither can demand more than 50% and thus the innocent parent can not be placed at a SCHEDULED DISADVANTAGE by Special interest groups who control children's minds by legislation designed to make life at one house inconvenient and breed indoctrination of the child by time allocation.**

The parents are given a questionnaire and asked to indicate custody preferences with regard to

1. Custody intervals (week to week, 1/2 week at a time, month on month off, etc.)
2. Religious Holidays and how these should be divided (1/2day each vs. every other year)
3. Work days at present and shifts worked.
4. Number of weeks requested for summer vacations.
5. Do they want the same days of the week each week? (to schedule ancillary help?)
6. Are they willing to use the other parent as a baby sitter during their time and then pick the child up after work? (If one parent is being allowed to avoid working at the expense of the other parent who is being forced to pay support, the support paying parent should be allowed to use the stay at home parent as a baby sitter until they finish work and then they should be allowed to pick up their child and take the child home.) This seems fair since otherwise, the support funds wasted to allow the non working parent the privilege of staying home would simply go to a nanny and the working parent would have the right to see his child after putting in a responsible day of work which was designed to provide for his child.
7. If asked by the other parent to relinquish time for special events which that parent plans on time which does not belong to him, does the inconvenienced parent want repayment of time (hour for hour)? This eliminates manipulation by requiring repayment.
8. If custody is obstructed by one parent, does the parent who was forced to loose time want repayment of lost time? This prevents unnecessary delays in litigation and ensures that the practice of child alienation by denied custody is discontinued.
9. What special events or days are important to you that have not been covered by other questions and should be considered in the construction of an **EQUAL CUSTODY SCHEDULE?**
10. What percentage of time can the 2 parents agree upon?  
50/50...60/40...61/39...etc. A computer does not care what percent you choose it just works with numbers.
11. Rank your choices as to which 5 or 6 or 8 criteria are most important to you. (The number can be set by the parties if they think few or many are important)

I AM SURE THERE ARE OTHER QUESTIONS WHICH SHOULD BE ASKED BUT I AM ONLY USING THESE AS EXAMPLES OF THINGS WHICH READILY COME TO MIND.

When this is done, the computer spits out 4 or 5 alternative schedules and the parties try to agree on which they will use for a trial period. In 3 months they return to an arbitrator and alter the schedule to eliminate problems which have been discovered.

If no agreement can be reached, the schedule which statistically most closely complies with the most desires criteria of both parties is used.

It seems to me that this system is not unworkable and if it is corrected when new important factors are identified or when problems are discovered, we should end up with a program which improves itself with time.

I hate the idea of refusing to assign responsibility to the destruction of a family because I believe that a marriage is a real "Contract". It involves promises and investments, witnesses, vows, and it involves "True Losses" when one party unilaterally fails to fulfill her or his promises. In reality I personally believe that a marriage should be treated as a contract with all seriousness and the considerations of a "Breached Contract". It is not fair for one party to suck the other's assets dry and then simply "skip town" as though she or he has no obligations to the other party, his relatives, or his investment in time, money and resources which will be wasted by the breach. Unfortunately, until the court begins to grow some moral character and until society admits that "*Judge not lest ye be judged*" is not the same thing as "*Hay we had better not make any rules because I might like to do "thus and such" myself some day*", we must find a way to protect the innocent party from the corruption which money and power have created in the judicial system. At least if the innocent party has EQUAL time he has a fighting chance of actually showing the child what a good life can be like. If the innocent party has only every other weekend, it is impossible to show the child what life with that parent's ideal system would be like as 4 out of 30 days is purely a joke.

## Quality vs Quantity Time

QUALITY TIME is nonsense when considered against QUANTITY TIME. Quality time is a convenient construct of those who do not have quantity time and is designed to pacify a problem. We know this because, there is no rule against **QUANTITY TIME being quality time**. That is to say, the parent with quantity time can make as much time as they want to into quality time. On the other hand, the parent with no quantity time has been scheduled out of a good portion of his child's life and that time will never be available again. The parent and child with Quantity time will have the memories that the other parent never was allowed to build. The tree house never build the tea parties never held can not be remembered when the child becomes an adult.. The child can not have memories of events that never were allowed by the court to take place.

As unpleasant as it is to think of things in this vein, we must realize that if one parent is a manipulative "sole from hell", (by granting that parent greater than 50/50 custody), we have effectively given that "entity" unequal "advertising time" in which to indoctrinate the child without allowing the child to see the other side of the story for them self. This being the case, there is no way for the child to find out that the life they live at one house is not the only alternative. **I DO NOT SUBSCRIBE TO THE IDEA THAT HAVING THE CHILD FOR 4 DAYS OUT OF A MONTH CAN CORRECT THE MISCONCEPTIONS WITH WHICH THAT CHILD MUST LIVE 26 DAYS OF THE MONTH.**

**YOU SHOULD NOT BELIEVE THAT  
EITHER!**