HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * * * * * * * *

House Bill 2127

* * * * * * * * *

House Judiciary Committee

Gettysburg Hotel
One Lincoln Square
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

Thursday, June 25, 1998 - 10:00 a.m.

--000--

BEFORE:

Honorable Thomas Gannon, Majority Chairperson

Honorable Stephen Maitland

Honorable Al Masland

Honorable Jere Schuler

Honorable Thomas Caltagirone, Minority Chairperson

Honorable Frank Dermody

Honorable Kathy Manderino

Honorable Don Walko

IN ATTENDANCE:

Honorable James Lynch Honorable Steven Nickol Honorable Sara Steelman

KEY REPORTERS

1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404

(717) 764-7801 Fax (717) 764-6367

4

1 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: The House

Judiciary Committee will come to order on House
Bill 2127. Our first witness is the Honorable
James Lynch, member of the House of
Representatives from the 65th Legislative
District and prime sponsor of House Bill 2127.

Representative Lynch.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I didn't provide 30 copies on account I was going to ad-lib my conversation here. This morning as I was staying in the hotel, I think, well, maybe I better put something down in writing, which I did. I have it here, copy to the stenographer to make sure it's correct.

First off, I want to thank

Representative Gannon, the Chairman of the

House Judiciary Committee, for allowing this

hearing to happen, for being here;

Representative Steve Maitland from Gettysburg,

the host, for allowing the hearing and setting

up the hearing here; for the committee members

of the Judiciary Committee that are here; for

all the work that all the staff have done. I

know that's been considerable; for all the

testifiers that are going to be testifying here

today. I especially want to thank all the news media that's here today.

For the brevity of time I have shortened my remarks to only the points I wanted to make, without all the grammar and all the fluff that's necessary to make the transition from point to point a smooth one. So, please bear that in mind.

about the technical reasons why this
legislation is, I feel, necessary. I want to
leave that to the experts who will follow me.
Nor am I going to try to convince you that
horse slaughter should be stopped. While I
personally have no desire to eat horse steak, I
am not going to criticize those who do.

What I do want to tell you is why I introduced this legislation at all; and at the same time I'm also here to ask that this grave injustice begins to end with you, the members of the Judiciary Committee, and asking you to move this bill.

Sometimes we all look back to our roots for something that I call pride in learning, for we all want to learn from our

ancestors who walked before us because we want to be proud for them, and we want to believe that what they did was the right way to do it.

So we read about them and we talk to our family members about them, digging deep, wanting to be more like our ancestors before us.

It is in that light that I will briefly, very briefly, talk to you about some of my ancestors; in fact, the biggest part of my ancestry, the American Indian, who knew horses better than any other culture that's ever come before us and whose Iroquoian blood runs rich through my veins. As I learn about them, I realize how incredulously loyal and caring they were for the very animal who was so loyal and hard working for them.

Recently I talked to a friend of mine, a friend I met in the Army, from South Dakota. He's a direct and full-blooded descendant of the original Oglala Souix. And I ask him his feelings on the treatment of horses and how his culture felt about it and advised him of what was happening here in Pennsylvania. Needless to say he was aghast! And after a moment he responded by saying, and I quote, why

would anyone want to hurt what gave them such pleasure and help? And I say, indeed, why would anyone?

These animals so noble and so rich and so important in our country's history deserve a better legacy.

With rapidly increasing reliance, we are seeing now that child and spousal abusers have a history of mistreating animals. When will our society become more decent in dealing with other living, breathing creatures?

Again I'll say, if these don't flow smoothly, I gave you the reason for that. I just want to get to the points for brevity sake.

I would like to quote from the Bible, Genesis 1:24-26. And it says, and God said, let the earth bring forth every kind of animal, cattle and reptiles and wildlife of every kind. And so it was. God made all sorts of wild animals and cattle and reptiles, and God was pleased with what he had done. Then God said, let us make man, someone like ourselves to be the master of all life upon the earth, and in the skies and in the seas.

Now I would like read to you from the Living Bible what is a generally-accepted translation of those three verses by biblical scholars. And the interpretation which is generally accepted reads:

God gave mankind tremendous authority to be in charge of the whole earth. But with great authority comes great responsibility. If we own a pet, if we own livestock, we have the authority to do with it as we wish. But, we also have the responsibility to feed and care for it in health and sickness. How do you treat God's creatures? Use your resources wisely because God holds you accountable for your stewardship.

Again, those are not my words.

That's the generally-accepted interpretation of those three verses of the Bible by biblical scholars.

I would also like to maybe personalize these animals for just a brief moment. I would like to read part of a tribute from Lord Byron to his deceased dog, but it certainly could apply to a horse. I have taken the liberty of adding one line to it, and it

says:

To who possess beauty without vanity, strength without insolence, courage without ferocity, loyalty without condition, having all the virtues of man with none of his vices.

That's the final point I want to make.

Now, in closing, while my previous remarks have been to the members of the Judiciary Committee which are present, my final remarks are for the news media present, and they are:

You'll hear more about this as the testimony goes on. What is happening at these places of auction and transportation is wrong. Only in an inverted moral society can what is happening at these places be condoned. I implore you that if you haven't already, go to these places and see for yourselves and continue to report it. Every time a story is released on this issue, my offices are inundated with phone calls and letters decrying these outrages. Please, use the power of the media to make people aware of what is happening and you will find out that they, the people, will in turn be outraged.

1	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,
3	Representative Lynch. We have with us
4	Representative Steelman who is, I believe, the
5	co-sponsor of House Bill 2127. She's welcome
6	to join us today at this public hearing.
7	REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Do you have any
9	questions, Representative Steelman?
L O	REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: No thanks.
11	I worked closely with him on this bill, and I
L 2	just want to congratulate him on introducing it
L 3	and on starting these hearings off on such a
L 4	high note.
L 5	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: We also have
L 6	Representative Walko from Western Pennsylvania
L 7	Pittsburgh. Representative Walko, do you have
18	any questions?
19	REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No thanks, Mr
20	Chairman.
21	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
22	Schuler.
23	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Not at this
2 4	time.
25	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

1	Maitland.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: No
3	questions, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
5	Nickol.
6	REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: I just have
7	two quick questions. First, I noticed in
8	looking at the various clauses of what you are
9	proposing that all but one dealt with equine
10	animal transport for slaughter. Number 8 at
11	the top of page 5, is that specifically or
12	is that intentionally missing from that
13	section? Does that clause apply to all animals
14	or horses being transported?
15	REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: No. It is
16	intended for horses going to slaughter only.
17	REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: Okay. Second
18	question, just for a layman like myself, when
19	you talk about equine animals, I presume that
20	includes mules, donkeys, burros and ponies?
21	REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Yes, it does.
22	REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: Are those
23	traditionally, those other animals transported
24	for slaughter, or is it mainly the

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Are they

1 transported for slaughter? Yes, but 2 traditionally it's horses. 3 REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: That's all. 4 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 5 Masland. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank vou. 7 Mr. Chairman. Representative Lynch, I'm sorry 8 I missed some of your remarks. I got here a 9 little bit late. There was traffic on Route 10 I just have a couple questions. 11 Obviously, the intent here is to take 12 the cruelty to animals section of the statute 13 to another level and to deal specifically with 14 this problem of transporting horses for 15 slaughter. You have a lot of specific language in here. It gets pretty detailed as to when 16 17 you need a partition, when you don't need a partition. What were some of your sources for 18 19 this legislation? 20 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: You are going 21 to be hearing that following me. But basically it was the horse industry. People who own and 22 23 use horses as a business, that was the primary

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: The details

source for it.

24

25

here -- The reason I ask this, sometimes as I
was reading through this I felt maybe this is
something that should be more appropriately in
the regulations as opposed to the legislation.
You felt it was necessary to get the details
right in the statute?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I feel there's

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I feel there's not enough teeth to allow law enforcement to enact this. In fact, the proof is in the pudding. I mean, it is not being enforced.

Whether that's because, and I'd leave that to the Judiciary Committee to make that determination I guess, whether that is because there's not enough teeth or there's not the desire, I don't know. But with the enactment of the legislation like this, both of those points become moot.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Representative Masland. Representative Caltagirone.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank
you, Mr. Chairman. Representative Lynch, you
know we had toured, of course, you were with us

yesterday, the Hanover Farms. They indicated that they do their in-house protection of their own horses. They don't transport them to slaughter.

I was just wondering under current

law, would -- and maybe it is necessary. I'm

curious about this. I know the witnesses will

probably testify to this. In transporting

horses other than to slaughter, would it also

necessarily hold that they should be treated in

the same manner as your legislation proposes

that they be treated so that they're not

crowded out or treated cruelly in transporting

them, whether it's for slaughter or for any

other purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: That's certainly a consideration, Representative Caltagirone. In fact, there will be people that will be testifying after me to that very point. Again, I think that that's something myself along with the committee needs to be looking at in light of, is that a reasonable thing to do? Is it something that I would like to see happen. But, from an ability to

get it done, are we going to be able to? I don't know. That expands the scope of legislation substantially.

It's something that you really hope that people would do on their own, and most do. Quite frankly, most do. But, there are some people who are so incensed on having the additional dollar bill stuck in their pocket that, you know, sometimes they lose track of some things that some of us might consider more decent.

I'm not meaning to imply these people aren't decent. I don't mean to imply that at all. It's just, you know, maybe we're not looking at things in the same light. I think you're going to hear testimony in regards to that very issue. I think it's something that we are going to be looking at.

reality here. We have very few legislative days left in this session. I am hopeful that the committee will move it out before the end of session and that we actually get it to a full vote on the House floor before the end of November. Quite frankly, that's probably the

best we're going to see in this legislative session. At the very end of the session, I'm right back with it again.

At that time with discussions from this hearing and discussions I'm going to have with Chairman Gannon and others following, we'll make the determination whether we think that that clause should be in there to include all horses bound for anywhere, whether slaughter or not. If that is the case, then that will be included in the legislation beginning in December.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: That's the point I wanted to make. I thought if we are going to deal with this issue, and we probably will be dealing with it. I certainly support it and I'm going to ask to be added on as a co-sponsor to your legislation.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Maybe what we should do is broaden the scope of the legislation, going to put some teeth into the law and have it for transport and maybe -- We do transport a lot of other animals in this state, and take a look at the whole transport

issue as to whether or not there should be an effective statute to cover all animals in transporting them around the state.

I know we're dealing with the equine issue in this legislation, but if we're going to put some teeth into the law as you suggested that maybe we ought to really take a good look at the entire transporting issue to make sure that law enforcement has the ability to enforce the law and penalize those that are abusing them.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Again, that's an issue that we could all talk about later on. At this point I'm inclined to work only with horses, only because we -- It's a discussion point. It's a valid discussion point. We can see what comes out of it.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Representative Lynch. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,
Representative Caltagirone. Representative
Walko.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My question, Representative Lynch,

was going to be toward any transportation of horses as well. Just to follow up on what Representative Caltagirone said about the horse transportation, is it readily definable as far as what transporting to slaughter is? Would the legislation leave a gap for a violator to say, well, we weren't going to slaughter? Is that handled in here?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Again,
Representative Walko, I'm not an expert on
this, but as I'm beginning to learn that there
are people who do purchase horses with the
intent of going to slaughter and do not say
that that's where they are going, which is one
of the reasons why an argument could be made
for including an amendment to the existing
language that would include all horses being
transported.

Because, up in Warren County, for crying out loud, we have little horse auctions up there and people come in, as I'm learning, they come in and they're not from any kind of a quote, meat market, but they are buying horses who then, in turn, will sell those horses to somebody else. But they don't come in and

1 nobody really knows for a fact who they are 2 unless you are on the inside track. 3 Since this legislation has come 4 about, I've gotten on the inside track with 5 some of my friends up there who buy and sell 6 They say, yeah, there are people that 7 come and it's hard to pin them down because they don't admit to it. They don't do this; 8 9 they don't do that. But ultimately, those 10 horses do wind up at slaughter. There's a valid argument to be made, if for no other 11 12 reason, that very argument right there. 13 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: That point was 14 raised by a constituent of mine. I commend you 15 for your work. 16 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 17 18 Schuler. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. One of my questions is similar 21 to what Representative Caltagirone had in mind. 22 You are just dealing with horses, correct? 23 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: That's

25 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: My question

24

correct.

1 would have been and is, why just horses? 2 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I quess that's 3 how the whole thing started, Representative 4 Schuler. When I was asked to introduce 5 legislation, it was to do with horses only. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's my 7 question. If we are concerned about taking 8 care of animals, why do we just single out 9 In my area we have a lot of cattle. horses? 10 We have steers; we have cows. But, we're not 11 talking about them. Doesn't it happen there? 12 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I don't know 13 if it happens there or not. 14 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I don't 15 I just was inquisitive as to why we either. 16 are just singling out horses. 17 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I think at some point, you know, Jere, you have to go past 18 19 the black and white and the legalities of the 20 law that's actually written by attorneys like 21 yourselves. 22 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Well --23 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: I'm sorry I 24 offended you with that. 25 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: How dare you 1 | call me that.

2 REPRSENTATIVE LYNCH: Representative 3 Schuler is not an attorney.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you, Jim.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: A lot of our laws -- and I'm not an attorney either. I'm an accountant trying to be a legislator. A lot of our laws are not written because of any types of black and white. They are generated, they are created. For crying out loud, the founding of our country was created by some emotion.

I think the fact that people are finding out that horses are actually being eaten, I guess is abhorrent to them; although not necessarily in this country. I think that bothers them. We have grown up in this country accustomed to eating beef, pork, fowl, et cetera, et cetera, but not horses. So, I think when people find out, hey, these horses are going to slaughter, we can't have that.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's a cultural difference.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: But what I'm saying -- And you're correct, it is a cultural,

emotional type of thing. But what I'm saying is, these are the very types of things that stimulate the arguments for legislation, for laws. We just can't say it's black and white and you can't say we have to include all animals. No. Exactly. It's a cultural thing. For that very reason is why horses could easily be singled out.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: My other question, after reading the bill, Jim, there's certain provisions in here that I think are going to be difficult to interpret. For example, on page 3 when you say sufficient ventilation, who is going to determine what is sufficient? Maybe we have to address --

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: That's a good question. I'm probably not the one to answer that question too. Again, that's the purpose of a hearing.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's why I want to get it out.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: The experts will be following me, Jere. Who can make that determination, I don't know. Using some of your attorney expressions, that first blush, I

guess, argument could be made, well, in

conjunction with the Judiciary Committee, the

Department of Agriculture, the horse industry.

I think -- The legislation, a lot of

I think -- The legislation, a lot of it was given to us by the horse industry such as --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: When you say the horse industry, who are you referring?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: One group, for example, would be the area where we toured yesterday afternoon, Hanover Farms, who, because of their expertise and knowledge set the standard. We as not being in the horse industry can't set the standard. We're kidding ourselves if we think we can. They set the standard.

So, in conjunction with them, the
Department of Agriculture as far enforcement,
ability to do it; Judiciary Committee as far as
legality of things, constitutionality of
things, I think working together could
certainly come up with an enforceable
definition for what proper ventilation is.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,

Representative Schuler. Representative Steelman.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Actually, I don't have
questions, but I thought, perhaps, as somebody
who used to be a biologist and still a horse
owner, I might comment on a couple of the
questions that have come up already.

The first one was whether we should expand the legislation from just applying to horses shipped for slaughter to applying to all horses being shipped. I see some problems with enforcement, as Representative Lynch pointed out, and I also see some problems, in that, we would have to make the legislation that much more detailed because, for example, in Section 5 on page 2, as used in this subsection, an equine animal is, in a cruel or inhuman manner, transported if it is, part 3, suffering from a broken or dislocated limb.

This January I had the very unpleasant experience of having to transport my thoroughbred riding horse who was suffering from a broken leg from our barn to Ohio State to have his leg set. He went on that trip

under very carefully managed conditions, and it was the best possible thing for him because he needed to go where there were experts who could fix that break. There's a whole set of protocols, essentially, for shipping a horse safely under those kinds of conditions. There are safe ways to ship horses and there might be reasons to ship a horse who is blind in both eyes; not going to slaughter.

If we start trying to put all that material into statute as well, this is going to get even longer than it was already. I would rather focus the legislation specifically on the horses being shipped to slaughter because, when somebody like me is shipping an animal that's very precious to them, we're going to take all the precautions we possibly can in any case.

The second question I'd like to comment on is Representative Schuler's question as to whether we should think about expanding this legislation to cover other animals being shipped to slaughter. I think part of the reason that the horse slaughter question is so disturbing for a lot of us who are involved in

horses is because it's being done completely incorrectly. When cattle are being shipped to slaughter, they're shipped in trucks that are made for cattle. When hogs are shipped to slaughter, they usually go in trucks that are, in fact, appropriate for shipping those animals.

What's so disturbing about the horse slaughter issue is that, horses are being forced into vehicles that aren't suited to them and that contribute significantly to the suffering that they experience as they're shipped. So, it's the inappropriateness of the vehicle on the one hand.

And the second thing is, that cattle and hogs and sheep have been bred for centuries to tolerate the conditions that they experience. Horses are very different psychologically from most of the animals that we raise for meat. It's because they're, again, in this shipping-to-slaughter environment forced into an environment that they have never been selected to tolerate well. That, again, increases the sufferings that they experience.

That's why I think it's important to focus in this legislation on horses, because there I think the issues of suffering, unavoidable suffering are so much clearer.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Representative Steelman. Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Also, we have another member of the committee in attendance, Representative Dermody. We're trying to get him a seat. We'll bring him up front when we can get him a seat. Representative Schuler.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I'd just like to respond to Sara's comments. I am not supporting an expansion of this bill into other areas. My question was asked because I'm trying to get at the bottom of why we have singled out horses. Maybe sometime down the line I may believe that it is necessary, but at this point I don't have any evidence that there's any problem in the other areas of cattle or what have you. My question was just to get to the bottom of why we were singling

out horses. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

Lynch, what kind of numbers are we talking

about in terms of the transportation of horses
in Pennsylvania, horses for slaughter?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Chris Berry can provide some information to you for that and she will be testifying.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you very . much, Representative Lynch --

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: -- for being here today and sharing your testimony and information about House Bill 2127.

Our next witness on our agenda is
Trooper Thomas Garcia from the New York State
Police. We're also going to go a little out of
order and ask him if he'll be joined by Doctor
Robert Lopez, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine and
President of the North Country S.P.C.A., Inc.
Doctor Lopez, if you would join Trooper Garcia.
We're doing this arrangement for the
convenience of the witnesses, their schedule.
You may proceed when you're ready.

TROOPER GARCIA: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman, ma'am, gentlemen: My name is Thomas F. Garcia. I'm employed by the Division of State Police for New York State. have been a trooper for 14 and a half, almost The entire time I have been working 15 years. out of the Schroon Lake Barracks in Troop B, which is from about the midway point of Lake George up to the Canadian border and across to Watertown on the Vermont border. originally from New York City, Staten Island. I have been upstate long enough to be acclimated to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I became aware of New York State Ag and Markets Law back in the academy, a real quick course in it. Once out in the field, my station, the older guys always give you the war stories and that's why you start developing a little more information on the transportation of horses.

Back in 1980, I believe it was

December 12, 1980, members of my station--all

but one have since retired--Trooper Carlson,

Trooper Haroff and Trooper Manning were sent to
the Northway and I-87, and they located a

tractor-trailer, a two-tier trailer loaded with horses that was called in by a passing motorist I believe. They had somewhere in the neighborhood of 80 horses on the two-tier trailer. Many were dead or disabled.

That prompted legislation in New York

State which passed in record time and was

signed by the previous Governor Carey at the

time. I wasn't working there then. I never

even heard about that. I'm from New York City.

I'd like to say I'm a hunter. Prior to children I was a trapper; had to spend time somehow. I'm not opposed to eating animals. If you are going to run a trap line -- I check a trap line twice a day. If you are going to kill something, kill it quick. Don't let it suffer.

Back in the early '80's I arrested a French Canadian tractor-trailer. I don't remember his name. He was a single-tier trailer, and I arrested him under New York State Ag and Markets Law for partitions less than ten feet. The horse weren't in bad shape or I would have called the S.P.C.A.

At that time I wasn't real familiar

with the law. I issued him a half -- probably six or seven traffic tickets, transportation law ticket and also an appearance ticket for the criminal charge, the Ag and Markets charge. He was fined a hundred dollars on the Ag and Markets charge. I don't remember what the V and T was.

In April 1989 I attended New York

State Mounted Patrol School for six weeks and
was certified as a mounted patrol officer of
the New York State Mounted Patrol. That
brought me a little bit more up to speed on Ag
and Markets Law, especially with horses.

In April, 1992, Troopers Peter

Wallach and Willy Peck of SP Westport arrested
a Darren A. Gouveia and Monica Carper for

Agriculture and Markets Law violations. They
had several sections arrested under the legal
transportation of horses, and I believe some of
cruelty to animals under our cruelty to animal
statutes, which is terribly vague and not
clear-cut like our Ag and Markets Law regarding
the transportation of horses, especially more
than six horses on a trailer.

Back on January 27, 1984 (sic), I was

working in A9, which I worked nine at night to five in the morning. I always wanted a nine-to- five job. About 4:45 a.m. I observed a two-tier trailer parked in Schroon Lake rest area northbound. I saw a two-tier trailer and went by it once. As I looked over I could see horses in it. I turned back around, came back and check it. My tour was supposed to end at five, but I didn't get done at five. That morning it was 42 below zero. It was terribly cold. You could barely see out of the car windows.

David Carper was there. He was interviewed and arrested for Ag and Markets
Law, violations for the illegal transportation of horses, basically a two-tier trailer. I hadn't measured the partitions yet or gotten any further than that. He was arrested and also charged with some V and T charges I believe; no logbook maintained and I think maybe illegal parking or something. It was a minor other charge.

I contacted our brand-new Essex

County District Attorney at home at 5:30 a.m.-he was real pleased--for some input. He never

dealt with it before. Through our conversation I determined the best course of action we decided was to issue him an appearance ticket to appear at a later date on the one charge, the Ag and Market violation. We set up a court date for him.

Ag and Markets in Albany, talked to several people down there, several of the attorneys down there. I was advised by them that the way the law was written, the legislation's intent of the law was accumulative type a count; where each section or each subsection, each violation of the law per horse for a violation would add up.

I went back and prepared later that night when I came back into work 140 separate informations charging the driver as an agent of the owner, because previously to that, David's father had another driver, the one mentioned back in 1992. He was an employee of Frank Carper. He was never arrested as an employee or agent of Frank Carper or Co-Franko (phonetic), one of his companies I believe.

I made out the information charging

him as an agent, as the owner. I was trying to prove where he was previously convicted.

Because a previous conviction in New York State for any Ag and Markets violation involving transportation of horses is a maximum fine a second time of \$500.00. Once again, it would be accumulative per horse.

When the subject and his attorney appeared in court, I presented him with 140 law informations charging him with the violations. There were 40 horses on the load, thereabouts. I counted at least 40. There may have been several more. You couldn't see. The stock trailer mostly was open, had some plywood on it.

There's some pictures attached. The first copy there is a general 34 New York State Police form we use for recording photographs. That went in with a roll of film to ID Section. It was turned over to Essex County District Attorney, ADA Debra Whitson at the time, and she had possession of the photos. The one on the front is David Carper I believe.

After we brought him back to his truck some -- Diesel fuel can jell up because

it was so cold. You could clearly see the slots in the trailer. I have no idea what the windchill would be at 42 below zero coming up the Northway. It wasn't there much earlier that night. We found it at 4:45.

The second page has pictures from inside the trailer. You can see there's very little room over the poll of the horses and the windows, and you can see how frosted up they are. Mind you, I took my gloves off to shoot the pictures. When I climbed up on the truck my fingers were sticking to the metal of the truck body itself. That's how cold it was.

The other page of pictures in the back you can see a couple of horses in the background. That's the appearance in a typical two-tier trailer. That's a two-tier section. You can see right in the back. The horses' poll is right up, maybe an inch or so, two inches from the floor. The horses are all hunched over. A horse should be able to move his head around. It should be mostly free unless they are working in a crowd situation.

The bottom is a typical picture of the side of a two-tier trailer. They have some

plywood up there. Lately the way I have been seeing them come through is a lot more plywood on the side with just little ventilation holes up at the top, so it's real hard to see inside. They're trying to cut down on New York's probable cause.

The defendant and his attorney, who he never paid, I believe, appeared in court, the Town of Schroon, and we charged them -- Initially the charges were the misdemeanors. We changed them to violations because I couldn't show where he previously -- Frank Carper previously had been convicted before. It's not something that is normally kept in the course of business.

After trial -- I had four other troopers that were there as witnesses to see the unloading because I went home eight or nine o'clock that morning. We had them -- had the company bring down another truck from Canada to put the horses on the second truck. I told the guys not to let them go. They got all the horses off. They got most of the horses off. They got them all off and most of them off the lower compartment. The trooper that was

working let them continue on the rest of their way. I would have done things a little differently, but I was home sleeping.

The third part I have is a two-page document. It's from the local criminal court, Town of Schroon, Essex County, New York, Judge J.R. Strothenke, Jean Strothenke. It was her decision rendered in the case. As for 35 counts of violation of Section 359.A, Sub 1E of the Ag and Markets law--I can go and check my records if you want to know which ones are which--they were found guilty --

To make it quicker and shorter, they were found guilty of all the charges apart from the 40 charges for nonabrasive floor. I neglected to take pictures of the floor. I didn't seize the truck as evidence, which I probably should have done, and it would have been a heck of lot more of a bill, than just having another truck come down.

The defendant was found guilty, like

I said, of all but 40 charges. It's the second

page -- was found guilty of partitions less

than ten feet. You need sturdy partitions.

They said every ten feet so the horses stay

compartmentalized. Even now when you get a load from the interstate, a normal load, the horses are wondering all over the place. If there is enough room, the little ones are down between the big ones. It makes it real hard to count them. When they're packed right in, the little ones are still down between the big ones. You have to find the small horses in there as you count them.

The second one they were convicted of was transporting more than six horses over a highway in a trailer containing more than one tier. They were found guilty of that, 35 counts. I lost the 40 charges for the slippery floors. I didn't have any pictures to back up my testimony.

As for sufficient ventilation, you can see from the pictures what these horses looked like.

On the mount patrol, we weren't like other agencies where they, if it got too hot they wouldn't work the horses; if it got too cold they wouldn't work the horses. New York State if you called us we went. If the horse is going to be out in the weather, you're out

in the weather. If the horse is in a crowd, you're in a crowd. When you came back in at the end of the day working your horse, the first thing you took care of was your horse.

If you want to ship a horse out to slaughter and eat it, fine. It doesn't bother me, but just do it humanely. A lot of times in a two-tier trailer -- The horses have a higher center of gravity than a cow or a pig. They're worn out and they're kicking. It's quite a mess. I wish I would have videotaped it to show you of the incidents.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Trooper. Doctor Lopez.

DOCTOR LOPEZ: Thank you, gentlemen, for asking us to appear before your committee.

I'm very happy to give you just a few moments of insight into what happened in New York State back in 1980.

In December of 1980, I received a call from the New York State troopers. It was a very cold night, about 10 or 20 degrees. It was snowing, and I had just finished work in my office when the call came through that there was a truck with some possible dead horses

inside at Schroon Lake. I jumped in my car and drove down, and the sight that I saw there was just incredible.

First of all, we saw the head of a horse, of a dead pony sticking out between the slats in the back of the truck, frozen solid and his tongue was hanging out. This is how they stopped the truck. It had stopped for gas and the attendant, after filling it with gas in the back of the truck, the side of their trailer, the guy saw this dead head sticking out. He said, oh my gosh, and they called the troopers; they called me.

We briefly looked inside the truck with troopers' flashlights and could see other downed and we could smell rotten flesh, so we took them to a nearby -- We got permission to go to Frontier Town, little cowboy park nearby, and unloaded the horses. There again, it was ghastly. The horses that had been in the truck for probably several days couldn't walk anymore. They would come out of the truck and fall down in the snow. They also hadn't been feed or watered, and the watering part is important. They started eating snow just by

the bucketful. Some horses took a few bites of snow and just collapsed and died.

This was sort of upsetting to us and we all wondered how this could happen. We kept the horses. I won't go through the whole story. It took about a month or six weeks for us to get the horses in shape and were able to auction them off. The shipper decided he didn't want to pay any expenses so he let us take the horses and sell them. We did get them all sold, auctioned off those. About half of them perished and the other half we were able to save.

Somebody made a point on the committee about trucking animals. This bill we're proposing, that you are proposing yourselves, it's already passed in six states. It's passed in New York. Vermont has copied our law. Massachusetts has copied it. Connecticut has copied it, Virginia, and I think California has copied the law. I say copied. They followed the model that we set in 1980.

After we got the horses off, and this is again in January, this story went to the

Associated Press, United Press, actually all around the country, and a bill was proposed and brought up at the New York State Legislature in Albany. The bill was introduced in early January. It passed unanimously in both houses, signed by Governor Carey, all within 30 days; and we are very proud of that.

I have some transparencies to show you very quickly what happened on that particular truck. They are worth looking at, if I may use the transparency projector.

This quickly shows you the name and the pictures of the fellow, the reporter from the Albany Times Union. He just passed away.

Barney Fowler was actually not an animal person. He was strictly a journalist of mundane matters, civic matters, whatever, laws and so forth. He just happened to be apprised of this, came up and helped us. He wrote a lot of articles for the newspaper, help to publicize it very widely, not only in New York State but throughout the country.

This is one of the articles that

Barney wrote. It just tells you the story that

I just tried to tell you, how the horses were

packed in and -- Horses have a pretty high head level. Their head is probably, even the smallest horse is well over six foot and some are quite commonly at seven foot. The height in these double-decker trailers is about five nine, five ten, five eleven, just under six foot.

2.4

Here's a horse trailer, inside a horse trailer. If you were standing there—I wish I had gone in there—those ceilings are very low. They're made for cattle. This is a cattle trailer. Cattle are only about four, four and a half feet tall and they're easily jammed in here. That's what they're made for, cattle and hogs. Hogs are even smaller. There's plenty of head room for cattle and hogs. These trailers are being used for transporting horses.

Here's a horse that was on that trailer. You can see he's very stiff. He can't raise his head anymore. It's contracted down. It's stiff and sore. You can see the sweat is just pouring off him. You can't see the bottom of his legs, but he's been badly kicked around the legs and face. He's been on

that trailer for several days without food and water.

Here's a horse that wasn't quite so lucky, or maybe he was. As soon as he hit the snow he passed out. You can see his mouth is full of snow. He tried to take a bite or two of snow and he just couldn't make it. His bones were sticking out. He's been jammed around the belly, and his muscles and skin are all cut open and bleeding. This is terrible. It's not uncommon on these trailers today.

Here are some of the horses that we had just unloaded. Some you can see are still able to eat, especially some of the smaller ponies, but the horse on the right went down. There again, took snow in his mouth and passed away. The one on the left is sitting up. I got a better shot of that. At least he might make it. You can see the horses in the background. Some of them are able to stand, especially the smaller ones. Some of them were able to eat.

This particular pony was a little Welsh pony. You can't quite see it, but the right eye has been gouged out, kicked by

another horse, and the eyeball is hanging down by the halter. That lady came up to the auction from Virginia and she purchased the pony for \$50.00. The last I heard it was doing very well.

I have some horses that were sold from this tragedy and made out beautifully. They fattened up and people were very happy with them. I think that's about most of it here.

Here's one of the double-decker

trucks. You see them on the highway. They

always travel at night because they know that

the laws in some of the states now,

particularly in New York State, don't permit

this poor horse transport. You never see them

in the daytime, except sometimes they go from

here up toward Binghamton in the daytime.

On the Northway, which is called the torture trail, they go at night. They go very fast, about 70 miles an hour or faster. Two or three o'clock in the morning we occasionally see them. I'll be glad to try to answer any questions you might have.

I'm interested in horses only going

for slaughter. We're not interested in horses that have to go to a hospital, or whatever, or to a fair or anything else. That's not in the purview of any of these laws, strictly horses going for slaughter.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Doctor. Representative Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

Couple questions for the doctor. I understand most of the provisions in the bill and the reason that they might be being proposed.

I don't understand why the legislation is suggesting that you can't transport for slaughter an animal that is blind in both eyes, particularly if we're putting them in containers, in partitions that, if I understood the rest of the language, that separates them so -- I could see in an open vehicle why you might have a problem with a horse not being able to see when everything was all open, but I'm not quite sure why that's important if you put all these other restrictions in place.

DOCTOR LOPEZ: That's probably negotiable. We put that in because loading a

horse up, he has to go up a ramp and go into an upper tier, then maybe go through several tiers. A horse that's blind would be terrified.

2.3

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I don't think a tractor-trailer can go on the highways taller than thirteen six. So, in essence, by a bill like this you're basically saying you can only have one-tier vehicles; am I correct?

DOCTOR LOPEZ: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I was reading both logic and what was in here. Am I correct in everything else that's in here?

We're basically saying one-tiers with partitions so that each animal is separated and has its own space?

TROOPER GARCIA: They don't each have their own space, Ma'am. If partitions are every ten feet, you have the width of the truck, 10-foot long, if they're legal, and then you take eight or ten horses, maybe a pony and throw them in that first compartment. They are milling around. They are not tied.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Oh, okay.

I was not picturing where these 10-feet

1 dividers -- I was picturing them almost like 2 slots coming this way. 3 TROOPER GARCIA: It's like big 4 stalls, ten foot by eight, or whatever the 5 internal dimension of the truck is wide. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: If you are 7 separating out the little ones from the big 8 ones, can't you also separate out the ones that 9 might have some other problem? 10 DOCTOR LOPEZ: It's a possibility. 11 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: My second 12 question went to the provision in the law that 13 says, if you have -- in the proposed language, 14 if you have an animal that was brought for 15 veterinary assistance, you can't rehabilitate the animal for the purpose of going back to 16 17 slaughter. I don't really get that one either. 18 DOCTOR LOPEZ: That provision isn't 19 in our law. I know it's in your law. 20 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: That is 21 not in the New York law? 22 DOCTOR LOPEZ: No. I can't comment 23 on that. 24 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

Steelman.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: No questions, Mr. Chairman, but just a brief follow-up on Representative Manderino's question.

Another reason to ensure that horses that are blind in both eyes are not shipped is because, as Doctor Lopez said and Trooper Garcia, there are going to be several horses in these 10-foot spaces. Those are as big as a standard box stall. You could probably fit two or three horses in that, almost comfortably, going to slaughter and there will be at least a half dozen in there.

Horses have a very complicated system of dominance relationships. When they're put into a new situation, they try to establish these relationships, there are a lot of visual cues that go on. A horse that's blind in both eyes isn't going to be able to see when another horse is threatening him or — indicating any of the things that he needs to know to protect himself in that situation.

Doctor Lopez commented on the pony

who had lost an eye as a result of a kick.

You're going to get a good deal of fighting in
these situations, and horses -- That's why
there's also some material in this specifically
about dealing with aggressive horses and
stallions. A horse that's blind in both eyes
is going to suffer more than is really
necessary.

DOCTOR LOPEZ: We stopped a tractortrailer two years ago in Westport from the
Northway again. There was a big draft horse
that had been kicked by a smaller horse right
in the chest and there was a big gash about two
feet long, full of pus and just running with
pus. The driver of the truck said he's just
going for food so that's okay. They had been
injecting the horse with penicillin every
couple of days. It makes you sick when they
send horses that are obviously infected for
human consumption. I'm not against human
consumption.

I heard a story that said something about that. Many years ago when the Caracens were trying to overrun Europe, people were short of food and they were in chaos so the

1 Pope sent out a big -- passed a law -- I don't 2 know, the Pope doesn't pass a law. He just 3 sent out a big epic that said anybody could eat 4 horses because horses were a prized possession 5 in those days. You could eat them because food 6 The French, of course, and the was scarce. 7 Germans, everybody started eating horses many, 8 many years ago. The British didn't. They said 9 we don't like the Pope. We're not going to eat 10 I guess that still goes on today. horses. 11 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 12 Walko, any questions? 13 REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No, thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 15 Schuler. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chairman. The questions I asked 18 Representative Lynch, would you have any 19 suggestions regarding, on page 3, what is 20 sufficient ventilation, sufficient insulation? 21 Who will determine that? 22 TROOPER GARCIA: That's the same 23 way -- New York State law is basically the same 24 wording. It's kind of a judgment call.

going to be a moot point in court. You're

1	going to have to fight.
2	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Judgment by
3	whom?
4	TROOPER GARCIA: At the scene, of the
5	temperature. That's a hard one to
6	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: You mean you
7	would make that call?
8	TROOPER GARCIA: It would be up to
9	the court or the jury to for me to press my
10	case. That was just the same thing
11	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I understand
12	your position.
13	TROOPER GARCIA: It's too vague. New
14	York State's too vague. I'll admit it.
15	DOCTOR LOPEZ: We've never contested
16	that law or tried to do anything about that
17	when the truck was stopped.
18	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's my
19	point. It sounds that under
20	DOCTOR LOPEZ: Ninety percent of the
21	time it's not a problem.
22	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: For example,
23	the other one on page 5, where you have a
24	dangerous or aggressive behavior, again, who is
25	going to make that decision? The truck driver?

1 DOCTOR LOPEZ: If you have a 2 stallion, he'll be kicking and pushing and 3 really hurting the other animals. You'll see 4 it; you'll hear it. That's a major judgment call. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: T can 7 understand the stallion story, but what about 8 the rest? I mean, who -- That's my problem here with some of this. 9 DOCTOR LOPEZ: I understand. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: We have to 12 be a little bit more specific in what we're 13 talking about. Even the trooper mentioned it's 14 vague. That's one of my concerns about the 15 bill. That's why I raised it with 16 Representative --17 DOCTOR LOPEZ: One good reason for that, perhaps, from my standpoint, the trucker 18

DOCTOR LOPEZ: One good reason for that, perhaps, from my standpoint, the trucker delivering horses sees this in the law, he's not going to put a stallion in with a bunch of mares.

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I understand that. I don't think you would have too much difficulty. But in other circumstances it may be a little bit more vague. I'm just trying to

1 make a point that we --2 DOCTOR LOPEZ: Sure. It's a good 3 question. 4 TROOPER GARCIA: It's kind of obvious 5 when they're fighting, sir. They'll be 6 fighting, kicking and biting. It's quite 7 obvious. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I have seen 9 horses do that. I don't necessarily say 10 they're aggressive. That happens from time to 11 time. My other question, Trooper, this bill 12 that we have before us, is this very much 13 similar to what you have in New York? 14 TROOPER GARCIA: Basically. Our law 15 doesn't specify to slaughter. It says any member of the family equine. It doesn't say 16 17 anything about slaughter because you have a load of horses on your trailer, you can do 18 anything you want to do with it. 19 20 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Would you 21 have any statistics within the State of New

have any statistics within the State of New

York to the number of arrests dealing with this

type of -- what was related to us here?

TROOPER GARCIA: In the past several

months, Don Nickerson's company has been

22

23

24

1 arrested several times. I arrested his driver 2 as the agent of the owner. October 16, 1997, 3 on my way down to teach at the academy I 4 arrested him for 64 counts. He had 32 horses 5 on board and he was using a stock trailer, 6 partitions were over 10 feet. He didn't have 7 doors on both sides, opposing sides of the trailer. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Are we 10 talking about five, 50, a hundred since the 11 law --12 TROOPER GARCIA: Over the course of 13 14 years, I know of at least -- I have 203 14 arrests regarding illegal transportation of horses myself, and probably another 10 or 50 Ag 15 16 and Market Law violations for coggins tests or 17 along those lines. Arrests have picked up. REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Are they all 18 19 New York residents? 20 TROOPER GARCIA: No; New Jersey, New 21 New York is easy because I can get a 22 warrant for them, and then French Canadians. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: No 24 Pennsylvanians?

TROOPER GARCIA: Not yet. Send them

1 I'm happy to take care of anybody. I'm an up. 2 equal opportunity trooper. 3 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I'm sure you 4 are. 5 TROOPER GARCIA: They don't even look 6 us up anymore. They're scared. 7 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: If we pass 8 this law, I hope we get them before you get 9 them. 10 TROOPER GARCIA: One of our troopers 11 had an auction he was running. He made it 12 quite clear before people started bringing 13 stock in, you will abide by New York State Ag 14 and Markets Law. It was enforced. If somebody 15 showed up, he called one of the guys, that subject would be arrested. He policed his own 16 17 auction, and we policed it for him too. 18 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you 19 both. 20 Thank you. TROOPER GARCIA: 21 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 22 Masland. 23 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, 24 Mr. Chairman. To follow-up on some of what 25 Representative Schuler was asking:

1 states, I think Doctor Lopez said that there 2 were about 13 other states with this law. 3 DOCTOR LOPEZ: Six; about six. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Oh, six. 5 Are these mostly northeastern states? 6 DOCTOR LOPEZ: Except for California, 7 yes. 8 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: All of these 9 animals transported for slaughter are being 10 sent out to the Midwest; is that correct? DOCTOR LOPEZ: No. They're going 11 12 north to Canada, near Montreal. There's a big 13 slaugherhouse in Montreal where they --14 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: That's one 15 of the reason why I was wondering. If they were going to the Midwest, I could see how you 16 17 wouldn't have stopped anybody from Pennsylvania 18 because we don't have to pass through New York. 19 So they're going north. 20 DOCTOR LOPEZ: There's a major 21 slaugherhouse there for equine for the European 22 market. 23 REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Just one 24 observation. I do think that whenever possible

it's nice to be able to draw a statute and word

it as tightly as you can. But the way I look at this, I think what Representative Lynch has tried to do, and I guess what New York and the other states have done, is basically create a laundry list of violations. You have under Subsection 5, I think you have six subsections and then you have another 12 or 13 just under whether or not the vehicle used to transport the animals conforms to what it should.

I'm not really concerned as to whether or not you get into a fight over sufficient insulation or adequate ventilation because, ultimately, if they're illegally or improperly transporting these horses, there's probably a host of things that you can come up with whether or not you can get to specifics of the ventilation or the insulation. probably several violations since there is a whole list to choose from. You may not have to fight about the ventilation in court. It may be something that's so obvious when you look at the circumstances, the totality of the circumstances. Is that generally what is happening?

TROOPER GARCIA: That's what you are

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

hoping for. You have to look at the whole picture. You're hoping the judge or the jury will see it that way. The tighter the legislation is, the more defined it is, the easier for the enforcement of the section of the law.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you.

I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative Maitland.

REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: I just have a question for Trooper Garcia. Do you see a lot of repeat offenders? I think I heard in your comments there's a couple out there you see again and again.

TROOPER GARCIA: Yes, sir. It's usually the company. That's why I started arresting the driver as an agent of the owner, so we're arresting the corporation also. Then it's just a matter of time. You get them again, instead of being a hundred dollars per violation per horse, it goes to \$500 per violation per horse for the second and more offense. They keep changing drivers. If you arrest them as a corporation, you've taken care

1 of that. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: Is the 3 penalty sufficient or is it profitable for them 4 to pay the fine from time to time and take their chances? 5 6 TROOPER GARCIA: One operator out of 7 Bainbridge, New York, he had a new trailer made which is -- reasonably, you have to still 8 9 measure personally if you haven't measured it 10 with a certified tape. He's tying to conform. 11 The Carper arrest back in 1997, he 12 failed to pay his fines. He owes the Town of 13 Schroon \$11,000 in fines. We filed a lien against the property in New Jersey. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: Thank you. 16 Thank you. TROOPER GARCIA: 17 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 18 Masland.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Back to the trooper, you have specific wording in your legislation that says it's \$100 the first time, \$500 the second time. That's something I didn't notice in our draft. Maybe that's something we should consider.

TROOPER GARCIA: I believe it's a

maximum fine of a hundred dollars, and they say --

TROOPER GARCIA: Per horse. And a maximum fine of \$500 the second offense. Per the legislative intent, talking to counsel, Ag and Markets counsel in Albany--I've conferred with them, the ADA at the time conferred with them--the intent was per horse, per violation, per subsection.

So, he was arrested in 1997, he had

40 head on. I didn't take him for the

partitions I believe, or the two-tier, in the

front and end sections of the trailer where

it's single tier. I took him for the two-tier

section where physically two tiers and horses

in both of those tiers. That's how I got up to

140 counts on the driver.

On the one back October of 1997, he had 32 horses on, I believe, two violations on the truck; partitions were over length, and he only had one door for egress. There wasn't a door on the other side sufficient for horses. Thirty-two horses, two counts, he's looking at a total of 64 charges; a hundred dollars if the

judge maxed the fine.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: That's a little bit more significant. We have misdemeanor of the second degree or misdemeanor of the third degree where you have a maximum fine of a thousand or \$2,500.00 That's, at least the way I read it, it's significantly lower and probably something we should consider.

Do you have a separate tier? You have a first offense, then a second offense.

Does it go up to a thousand dollars for the third offense, or do you just get a second or subsequent is \$500 per horse?

TROOPER GARCIA: Second or subsequent at the time. One day I'll rewrite the memo and send it down to Albany. The first one is a violation of the Ag and Markets Law. It's like dis con, like a traffic offense. It's just a violation. It's not really a criminal charge. That why we don't fingerprint.

The second time is still -- you're not classified misdemeanor in New York State, it's still not a principal offense so it's kind of hard to get records or calling back to see

if the guy has actually been arrested before unless you physically call, get on the phone and call up his local county justices at home or somewhere working out of their garage, or whatever. They are just laypeople doing the job and get the records from the court if they were arrested. It's a matter of just keeping track of who's been arrested where on a note pad. There's no database.

I'll direct this to staff. One of the things we might want to consider in terms of amendments is looking at other states' recidivism rates. I imagine those who have stiffer fines that go up for the second and subsequence offense might have lower recidivism rates. If we just have a one thousand or twenty-five hundred dollar fine per event with the financial incentives that Representative Lynch talked about, that may not be enough to really stop somebody from doing this.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative Nickol.

REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

1 Caltagirone.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Just a statement. Having some French blood in my veins I find it very repulsive that, evidently, a lot of French, especially France, maybe ... Canada eat horse meat. I never did nor do I prefer to.

The other thing is, if I had my druthers, I would rather see us totally ban transportation of horses in this state for the purpose of slaughter and just put an end to that practice.

DOCTOR LOPEZ: California is looking at that very same option.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative Walko.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Trooper Garcia, you had mentioned, alluded to the fact that New York's law is not limited to any transportation -- or not limited to slaughterbound. Obviously, this proposed legislation is. I would like you to elaborate on the significance of that difference. Is it

significant? Will it undercut the meaning of this proposed legislation?

TROOPER GARCIA: From an enforcement standpoint, a practical standpoint, I wouldn't have slaughter in there. You'd have to get like a voluntary statement from the driver saying he was going to slaughter. If he's been had before, he's not going to go for it. Just transporting them in that condition they're going to get beat up whether they're going to slaughter or not.

The most important things would be not mentioning slaughter in the bill; partitions every ten feet or no more than ten feet. I would say no members of the family equine because it covers everything there; transporting trailer containing more than one tier. Whether or not they use the -- if they are using a two-tier, whether or not they are using one of the two tiers. Sometimes simpler is better. The basic ones you stick to are the easiest ones to prove.

REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: Thank you very much.

TROOPER GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,
Trooper Garcia and Doctor Lopez, for attending
our hearing and presenting testimony about this
important subject. We are going to take about
a five-minute break. We'll resume at 11:15.

(Short recess occurred)

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: The committee will come to order. Our next witness is Carol Chapman. Welcome. Carol, you may proceed when you are ready.

MS. CHAPMAN: Thank you, sir. Good morning, honored chairperson, members of the Judiciary Committee and guests: Thank you for allowing me the privilege of speaking before you today. Although I happen to be the Horse Forum Sys-Op (phonetic) for MSM Internet and also the proud owner and operator of a rather unique horse rescue facility in Connecticut and a systems analyst for a computer firm, I'm not speaking with any of those hats today. I'm speaking as a horse owner and what things I have seen with my own eyes and with my specialty with abused and stressed horses.

I have observed firsthand the results of improper loading, trucking and lack of care

on the part of irresponsible horse haulers. My firsthand experience in the forum of horse transport has included:

New York to Connecticut; safely trailering a mare and a six-week old foal from one town to the next; doing emergency transport of a horse with a rupturing bladder from one end of my state to the other in the dead of the night; being on site when horses arrive at a slaughter plant; assisting in the care of the mangled results from a small-time pony ride operator that lost a pony out of the back of a decrepit trailer doing 60 miles an hour on a highway; watching them load by dragging downed horses out of the back of an auction house.

Up to a very short time ago one of
the major horse slaughter plants in America was
in the State of Connecticut. Due to some of
the changes in hauling and some changes in
feasibility and economics, that plant has since
been shut down; the equipment has been
dismantled; and it has been taken to Canada and
reestablished up there. It passed a highway
only a couple miles from where my farm is. I

saw more than one of those killer trailers heading down there.

Some of the horrors I have witnessed were due to the lack of caring on the part of killer-bound truck drivers and buyers, some due to ineptitude; some were caused by just plain ignorance. Regardless of the cause, the results were the same: Pain, suffering, anguish, and torture of a feeling, living being.

Monetarily, the loss of revenue on the part of the consignor of the killer-bound horses must also have an impact. The percentage loss of cargo on the truck I saw unloaded was over ten percent; and if that is an acceptable kill ratio on hauls to slaugherhouses, it displays graphically the enormous profit margin in that business.

Certainly, some of that profit could be spread to greater care of the cargo and recouped through the additional gain from delivering a higher percentage of live animals to the end destination.

I'm not here to address the ethics of slaughter; only the regrettable methods of

denial implemented in the unfeeling transport to it. To cram mares, intact stallions, foals together in a pasture is to invite injury just by the nature of the horse herd dynamics. Most responsible horse owners even segregate geldings from mares to cut down on pasture fights and resulting injuries. If a defeated horse cannot get away from the aggressor, fatal damage can result.

How much worse to invite injury and death by cramming them together in a tight, too low space with no place for the timid to retreat to and no way for a mother to protect her own baby from the fearful trampling of other horses' feet. The babies go down and others stand on them. The weak go down and their blood stains the feet of the stronger.

Stallions madly attack all that they perceive as aggressors to their territory and the screams of the strongest, of the fear-crazed animals does not drown out the anguished cries of the weaker. Horses are prey animals and the smell of fear and horse blood resurrects those ancestral memories and hell rides in that trailer with them. You can hear

them screaming on those trailers when they pass you on the road.

Any vet will tell you that horses are sensitive animals. They can die from fear as readily as a rabbit, and the pain of colic brings tears to their eyes. A horse will go into depression at the loss of a herdmate, cry and search hopelessly for a lost baby and fiercely defend their herdmates. Horses care about their owners, pet dogs, goats, even cats. We discuss some people as being empathetic. There are people that seem to care more than others, that contain more joy, they suffer more sorrow.

Empathy is a two-edged sword. It gives you higher heights, yet also lower depths. Horses are one of the strongest empaths of the animal kingdom and, consequently, the last ride we offer so many is equivalent to slowly flaying alive someone, exposing one raw bleeding nerve after another with no relief from the unending torment till death mercifully ends the pain.

You all have the ability to change this torture to a humane ride that segregates

into safety, keeps the babies and their mothers off, stops the trampling of broken-legged horses, feeds the hungry, gives drink to the thirsty and rests the weary. You can make a difference between unending torment and quiet acceptance. I would like to think that some day soon horses in any form of transport in the State of Pennsylvania would travel in safety with their basic needs taken care of. When one of those trucks pass you on the road, you as a committee member will be able to smile at it and not have to bow your heads in shame at the sounds and smells that come out of it.

A couple other things I'd like to add is that, although we are talking about the French Canadians, one of the reasons why on the West Coast they're -- California is considering it and some of the other states are looking at this is, we're shipping a lot of horses to Japan. They're considered a delicacy over there just like in Europe. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Ms.

Chapman. Representative Lynch, any questions?

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: No questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
2	Manderino.
3	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: No
4	questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
6	Steelman.
7	REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: No
8	questions.
9	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
10	Walko.
11	REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No questions.
12	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
13	Schuler.
14	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
16	Masland.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I'll ask
18	this question, but I don't know that there's
19	anybody here that can really answer it. It was
20	suggested by my intern, I think it makes
21	senseHolly is out thereand maybe this goes
22	across all borders. I'm not a vegetarian. I
23	should say that at the outset.
24	But, is there any statistics or is
25	there any study that shows that the quality of

1 the meat from, say, tortured horses or tortured 2 animals who are not transported humanly is 3 affected? Does that affect the quality of the 4 meat at the marketplace? 5 MS. CHAPMAN: Actually, one of the 6 tests that they do on horses is for

stress-level toxins. That's totally aside from checking for quality of meat. But, with the type of horses that I do get through my facility, there are certain blood tests and blood levels that expose the level of toxins and the release of poisons into the blood from Some of the things that we a stressed horse. find in blood chemistries I certainly wouldn't be willing to eat something like that.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I just figured, obviously, stress and all sorts of different experiences cause humans, the adrenal glands and everything else, I don't know whether that would have an impact the same in I would assume it would and I quess animals. it does.

> Yes, it does. MS. CHAPMAN:

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

1 Maitland. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: No 3 questions. 4 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 5 Nickol. REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: 6 slaugherhouse you talked about in Connecticut, 7 8 I'm curious, was the meat intended for human 9 consumption? 10 MS. CHAPMAN: Yes, sir, it was. AmFran did both human consumption and animal 11 consumption. Their sanitary conditions were 12 13 deplorable, to be kind. I witnessed them dragging dying and dead horses off the trucks 14 and taking them right in to render them. 15 REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: Does the USDA 16 17 inspect the meat and oversee the slaughter of horses as it does, I understand, cattle? 18 MS. CHAPMAN: And chickens. 19 problems with the integrity of cattle with 20 E. coli and chickens with salmonella now from 21 22 USDA inspection. So, I can't comment really on 23 the quality of what they were doing. 24 REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: With the 25 closing of that slaugherhouse -- Are there many slaugherhouses close to our area, close to

Pennsylvania, within Pennsylvania that handle
horses?

MS. CHAPMAN: I'm not aware of

Pennsylvania directly on that. I will tell you

that even with the closing of that

slaugherhouse, two of our local auction houses,

the killer buyers that used to come down to

AmFran, I see them in your pictures at New

Holland. They are still buying; they are still

traveling. They're heading to Canada now.

It's a long trip.

REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: So, part of the problem perhaps with horses, in addition to their nature, may be the distance you have to transport a horse to slaughter is much greater than you would have to transport other animals.

MS. CHAPMAN: There are, and you will hear people speak after me, professionals that haul horses for a living long distance. They go from Florida to upstate New York, to Massachusetts routinely, safely and sanely. It's not necessarily the distance as it is the condition. Because, horse meat per pound has turned into an extremely lucrative business.

1 A man can buy a horse in Agawam, 2 Massachusetts for \$150; transport it to the 3 slaughter and sell it by the pound for 800 to a 4 thousand dollars. That's an enormous profit 5 incentive. You have people hopping into it who 6 have less than humane reasons for what they are 7 doing, or less than rational businessmen. 8 If you could make that big a profit 9 off of junked cars, you would see everybody 10 putting a hook on the back of your car and 11 running up there. It's an unregulated industry in many states. My own particular horse I 12 13 happen to have bought out of the slaughter pen and paid 85 cents a pound for her, just to give 14 15 you an idea. 16 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 17 Caltagirone. 18 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: No 19 questions. 20 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: I believe 21 Representative Lynch had a comment on one of 22 the questions that were raised. 23 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Mr. Chairman,

I have a response to Representative Masland's

question about affecting the meat, and so

24

forth. I can't speak for horses, and I'm

certainly not a biologist. I can surely speak

on the case of venison for as many deer that I

have shot. Deer under stress apparently emits

some kind of chemicals or toxins into the body

because they just don't taste good. Whether

that affects the quality of the meat or not, I

don't know, but it sure affects the aesthetics

of it.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I want to thank Representative Lynch for that. I said that I'm not a vegetarian. The longer this hearing goes, I may have to reconsider.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: If you want to keep going further along that track, you should read the book -- I forget the name of it. I just bought it here a couple weeks ago. I haven't read it yet. It's called Slaughterhouse. I'm not looking forward to reading it I'm afraid.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: One question.

California, you had said that the reason they had done this is because there is a lot of -it was for the Japanese market. Are those horses transported live to Japan?

1 MS. CHAPMAN: No. 2 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Are they 3 slaughtered in California? 4 MS. CHAPMAN: They are slaughtered in 5 Oregon right now. There are five major horse 6 packing plants in Oregon that are shipping to 7 Japan. They ship them on the same carrier 8 ships that they are shipping a lot of the trees 9 right now from Oregon. 10 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you. 11 Thank you very much for joining us today and 12 presenting your testimony to the committee. 13 appreciate it very much. 14 MS. CHAPMAN: Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: The next 16 witnesses on our schedule is Mr. Curtis G. 17 Lange and Mr. Vance Berry with Brook Ledge 18 Horse Transport. You may proceed. 19 MR. LANGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 My name is Curt Lange. I'm employed by Brook 21 Ledge Horse Transportation. Brook Ledge is a 22 Pennsylvania corporation. We haul horses all 23 over the country. Basically we've been hauling 24 horses for 40 years. We are one of the two

largest commercial carriers in the United

States. We take horses to horse shows, racetracks, farms, anywhere in the country. We haul about half the Triple Crown horses all over the country. We hauled the Triple Crown this year.

Along the way, experience has taught us many valuable lessons regarding the hauling of horses. Interestingly enough, most of it involves common decency and common sense.

In some cultures the slaughter of dogs for human consumption is acceptable. Here in the U.S. it is not. In fewer cultures the slaughter of horses for human consumption is acceptable. Regardless, nowhere is it acceptable for any animal to be brutalized and tortured before being slaughtered.

The horse has been an integral part of our history and our heritage. A man's most prized possession was his horse. President Kennedy was taken to his final rest behind one. Right here in Gettysburg in 1863, thousands of horses perished alongside their companions fighting the Civil War.

We are here today to promote the humane transport of all horses to any and all

destinations. Commercial haulers such as Brook Ledge are generally hauling people's equine assets. It follows that as such, the animals are afforded clean, safe portage. Horses that are being shipped to slaughter are generally equine liabilities. Does it follow then that these animals that have been drained of their usefulness should be crammed onto inadequate trailers and afforded none of the comforts and essentials to which they had probably been accustomed? Of course it doesn't. That's why H.B. 2127 with several minor changes is a vital necessity.

By now you are familiar with the language of H.B. 2127. Similar laws with similar language already are on the books and are being enforced by our neighboring states.

That many horses are sold for slaughter within the Commonwealth is virtually unavoidable; that there are no viable laws on the books to protect them from inhumane transport is unacceptable.

My stake in this is that, before joining Brook Ledge six years ago I used to raise Standardbred racehorses in the state.

Prior to that I owned horses since I was a little boy. I used to go to New Holland. I cannot go there anymore because I have small children. If I want to take my kids, they can't go with me.

You were given a handout with three pages. Double-deck trailers like this have routinely been in New Holland and Middleburg. A month and a half, two months ago I watched one pull out with over 60 horses crammed on it. The way they get the horses into the bottom partition is with electric cattle prods, brutal whippings. If the horse has too many broken bones to get on himself, they throw a rope around their neck and drag them on.

It's an emotional thing for me. But it just doesn't make sense. I just wanted to come today and say that.

Mr. Berry also works for Brook Ledge.

He's going to give you the nuts and bolts about

the different types of trailers that are

available today.

MR. BERRY: Thank you. All I do is haul horses. I run anywhere between 150,000 miles and the most I've run is 310,000 miles in

one year. When you haul that much, you're living in the truck. You're constantly with them all the time.

A lot of the questions that have been asked I can answer for you. One that comes up is the ventilation question. That's always coming up.

Our trailers, the most you can haul on them is 15 head. You look at the diagram of the cattle trailer, it's the same size trailer, 50 foot. These guys are putting 70 and 80 head on. All they have is cattle slots on the side. There's no way you can get enough air in that trailer. With 15 head on a day like this, you have to have every window open in the trailer, every Dutch door to get enough ventilation. You stop that trailer, those horses are going to start sweating. It doesn't take long. Trailers get warm. Horses put out a lot of heat.

We water horses every five hours.

That truck gets stopped; every horse is individually watered with a water bucket till he's had enough water. These guys are putting 70 and 80 head on a trailer like this. On a

day that's 90 degrees that trailer will be anywhere from 120 to 130 degrees inside. It's like an oven. Those horses are going 25, 28 hours, no water.

2.4

The horses are in there fighting; they're kicking. It's an ugly scene. A person could not crawl inside that trailer to pull a horse out. You would get stomped or killed or crushed trying to get around the trailer.

Our trailers are designed, you open a side door, you walk in. There's horses to your left, horses to your right. You are in an aisleway. You can water the horses, give them hay and take care of them the way they are designed to be taken care of.

As far as talking about the injured horses, I haul a lot of horses that are injured, broken legs. I've hauled horses that have been in barn fires. When you haul horses like that, you wouldn't throw them on a stock trailer and expect them to make the trip. You have to do it specially. That's why our trailers --

On page 2, our partitions move inside the trailers. We can make single stalls, stall

and a halves, and box stalls. Every horse has special needs so you can't make a trailer design and say this is the way it is. You have to be able to work with them.

You take a burnt horse, he's going to have problems, so you have to look at his problem. Can he stand on his own? Does he need support? Can he drink water?

which is about eight foot by eight foot, you will hang a water bucket on the wall. That horse is free to walk again in his personal stall, drink water whenever he wants to drink water, eat hay because there's constantly hay back there with them.

Our trailers are air ride. They
float. We hang water buckets and they don't
spill. You have to take that into
consideration that a stock trailer or the
double-decker trailers are spring ride. They
bounce so hard back there. If you ever see a
truck pass you're going down the road and you
see that trailer hopping, those wheels are
coming off the ground, it's because they're
spring ride. They're stiff. That bouncing can

take a horse and knock him to the ground.

That's why we have air ride.

Some of the other questions that were brought up, getting back to the injured horses, we have attendants. They're horse handlers, and their job is to get in the back of that trailer, to ride back there with those horses. They constantly keep an eye on the horses.

We are equipped with cameras. I have a camera on the dash of my truck. I can watch the load of horses at all times going down the road to see if there's a problem. If there's a problem, you stop and immediately cure it. You might have to take a horse and loosen him up or you might have to tighten him up. You don't just throw them on there, shut the doors and say adios, see you in New York. You don't do it that way.

The heights come up all the time.

Most of your double-decker trailers are about five eight, five nine inside. I'm six two. I can't stand up in one. A horse is a lot taller than I am. It's like putting a basketball player in a Honda and trying to send him down the road. He's going to be real unhappy when

he gets off. It's like sticking him in a little box.

Our trailers are seven to eight foot inside. A horse can rear up. He's not going to hit his head on a double-decker trailer. A horse would rear up and hit that hard aluminum ceiling, they can actually crush their skull. When that horse falls and goes down on the ground, he's carpeting. The other horses just walk on him. It's no problem. It doesn't bother them at all. You can't do that. It's not the right way to do it.

As far as the cows and the hogs came up, most time you can take a load of cows and you have all your milk cows with your milk cows, your steers with your steers. Cows aren't really aggressive. You put them in a trailer, they all walk around; pigs oink, cows moo. Okay, they'll go along for the ride.

Horses have a domination factor. I'm going to be the boss. The next one says, no, I'm bigger than you and I'm tougher than you and I'm going to be the boss. Now you have a free-for-all going inside the trailer because they're all together; they're stuffed in there.

When we load the horses, you take the horse out of the barn or the field. You hook a lead rope to him; you lead him up to the trailer. You walk up the ramp which is only about 18 inches high. You lead him into the trailer. You walk him right through the trailer and you back him into his stall. That's his stall; that's where he goes, and you put him in that spot. You chain him up; hang his hay bag, and he's ready to travel for the trip.

The slaughters, they take them, they walk them up to the truck. When they get to the back of the truck, they take the rope off of them. They get behind them with a cattle prod, which is like grabbing a hold a 110 electric line, and stick them with it. They are going in. When they get enough in there and they don't go anymore, you get a bigger cattle prod and you keep prodding them until you get the trailer loaded like you want it. There's no finesse, no kindness about it. It's like a production line. They're going on the trailer and they're going on now.

MR. LANGE: You can see that the

dimensions, the actual outside dimensions of the stock cattle trailer and Brook Ledge and all commercial carrier-size trailers. They are about 50 feet long, and what are they, Vance, about eight feet wide?

MR. BERRY: Eight-foot wide.

MR. LANGE: Eight-foot wide. If we can comfortably get 15 horses in 50 by eight, imagine my shock when I was in Middleburg two months ago and watched them put 54 horses on a single-deck stock trailer with just one little doorway in the back, via the cattle prod loading method, and all of a sudden they opened the back door and they started going in and pulling them back out. This is like 11 o'clock at night. It's pouring down rain.

I was wondered, why are they taking these horses off the truck. They took 54 horses off a single-deck stock trailer and put on 18 steers; then loaded the 54 horses right in with the steers, with no partitions.

It's not just the double-deckers.

It's just that the legislation as it is written and proposed, the partitions, you have to have the partitions. The horses have to be

segregated. Right now that isn't happening.

If you don't believe it, just go out to any of the auctions and watch the guys with the stock trailers—They don't have to be double deckers—and see how some horses are being taken to slaughter.

But also the legislation, I mentioned there were some minor language changes. The vehicle standards have to apply to all horses; not just slaughterbound; otherwise, the killer buyers will deny that the horses are going to slaughter, and we won't be able to enforce the legislation. There's a lot of people, unfortunately, even if they aren't taking them to slaughter, they're bringing trailers to the sales, to the auctions that are inhumane.

Really, I might disagree with some people. I think that the legislation has to deal with all horses; not just slaughterbound horses, because we're talking about inhumane treatment to horses.

Obviously, if you look at the photographs I gave you, our trucks with 15 stalls, people are paying money and seriously good money when they transport horses across

1 country. With the slaughterbound horses, they're obviously putting as many in as they 3 As the speaker before us said, if you buy a horse for 200 and less than 24 hours later sell it for 800, let's cram those suckers in there. It's the point of being a little absurd. Unfortunately, it's a necessary evil. It's a dirty little thing that happens with horses when they are too old to be used anymore.

> Me personally, when I had race horses that were not able to race anymore, I had them euthanized and I buried them at my expense. But a lot of people feel they like to squeeze that last couple hundred bucks out of the horse that may or may not have done much for them and they sell them for slaughter.

> That's fine, but the horses should not be asked to make that last ride standing on top of one another; pregnant mares standing next to stallions; newborn babies crushed by draft horses. If you saw it a few times, you would feel the same way I do.

> > MR. BERRY: Any questions?

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you.

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	Representative Lynch.
2	REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Nothing, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
5	Manderino.
6	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.
7	I'd like to talk a little bit about economics,
8	if you have the information to help me. The
9	built specifically for horse transport Eby
10	trailer that you've shown us, which is a
11	standard kind of trailer, what does one of
12	those cost, do you know?
13	MR. BERRY: Probably ninety to a
14	hundred thousand.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I realize
16	that it depends on distance, but is there any
17	either formula or idea that you can give us for
18	if you have a full load of one of those with 15
19	head, what it costs to transport it?
20	MR. LANGE: To go from where to
21	where?
22	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I realize
23	that that is a question. I don't know how you
24	do it in your business, but you must have some
25	sort of formula of so much per mile or

something.

MR. LANGE: It's a number of factors.

It's the number of animals on the vehicle.

It's the number of miles, and it's also whether the truck had to drive empty all the way from Pennsylvania to Chicago to pick up this load of horses or whether it took a load out.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Just give me an idea for a full truck.

MR. LANGE: For a full truck to go to Florida from here would probably cost you three thousand, \$4,000.00. Unless you called me tonight and said this horse is in a huge state race tomorrow --

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I'm not talking about state racehorses. I'm talking about horses --

MR. LANGE: We like those calls.

understand that. I understand how you are transporting them but I'm asking the questions of, if you were transporting even to slaughter horses in this kind of trailer; one, you know it's going to be full, so I can make that assumption. Two, it's probably only going one

way.

2.4

MR. LANGE: It's going one way. I'd say the mileage they probably get full like that is probably five or six miles to the gallon, so how far is it from here, from New Holland to Montreal? How far is it from New Holland to Illinois? I don't have the exact mileage.

But again, you have to remember that they're buying these horses for a fraction of what they're selling them for. If they did have to spend money to improve their trailers to get them up to snuff, it might cut into their profit margin for a few months.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: That's what I'm trying to determine. Even though you shared with us a picture of a double load, I'm assuming you don't have information with regard to the cost of one of these vehicles and the cost to transport with one of these vehicles?

MR. LANGE: I would say one of these double-deckers new as it sits right there probably costs about \$60,000, and a straight box without the double-decker is probably about forty, \$45,000.00.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: With your suggestion that the legislation apply not just to horses transported to slaughter but to all horses, I would assume then that you would also be suggesting recommendations in terms of the partitioning? For example, this legislation isn't talking about individual partitioning.

MR. LANGE: No, but the legislation is talking about partitions every 10 feet.

That would be satisfactory. What we have is optimum, and what the other commercial carriers have is optimum.

In fact, whereas, our truck can be made into 15 stalls, most trainers do not elect to put 15 horses in 15 stalls. They elect to have us change the partitioning so they only can put eight to ten horses in there to give them even more room. A ten-foot section, and then if the animals are segregated by sex and by type, I could live with that. That would be amenable to most of us, I'm sure.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: If

partitioning is movable and can be accomplished

in various ways like you suggest, what is your

question or opinion with regard to the

transport of some of the things that were in the legislation, the transport of younger animals, the transport of blind animals, the transport of injured animals if they were partitioned out?

MR. BERRY: I can tell you as far as blind animals, I have hauled blind horses before. When you take a blind horse, first off you got to get him in the stall because he doesn't know you are coming. You have to walk real easy with them. It takes a long time to load one. He's got to trust you. You got to show him that he's going up a ramp. You have to lift his head up a little bit, help him along, back him in. Once he is backed in, if you go to put another horse aside of him, he has to know that that horse is coming. Try closing your eyes and somebody puts you in a strange room and have you run around.

As far as the way they do it, they take that horse up to the back of the truck, hit him with a cattle prod and send him.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I'm not talking how they do it. I'm talking about how it can be done. My question is, is what you're

1 suggesting to me that yes, blind horses can be 2 transported--it's done every day--but if you do 3 it, you have to do it under special conditions 4 that protects the horse who might need 5 protections greater than a sighted horse. 6 MR. BERRY: Yes, you would need that. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: While a 8 ten-foot stall with a couple horses in it may 9 be appropriate for a sighted horse, an individual partitioned stall would be 10 11 appropriate for transport of a nonsighted 12 horse? 13 MR. BERRY: Right. REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: How about 14 15 an injured horse? MR. BERRY: Injured horses shouldn't 16 17 go. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Because 19 why? 20 MR. BERRY: When we do it, they have their own stalls and they have special care 21 22 taken for them. These guys are taking injured, 23 broken leg or whatever. They throw them on, turn them into a stall with three other horses, 24

five, ten. You know, a lot of them just turn

1	them all loose. That horse is at such a
2	disadvantage to start with, just the horses
3	moving around the trailer
4	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Can an
5	injured horse go into an individual stall in a
6	multicompartment trailer?
7	MR. BERRY: I wouldn't recommend it.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Why?
9	MR. BERRY: It's just the injury
10	factor.
11	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Further
12	injury to that horse?
13	MR. BERRY: Further injury. Our
14	horses are facing front and back. When they
15	put them in a double-decker trailer
16	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I'm
17	talking about this kind of trailer. Stay away
18	from a double-decker trailer. How about an
19	individual height trailer, whether it's
20	individual partitions
21	MR. BERRY: These are facing front
22	and back. They have their own stall and
23	everything is air ride and they're being
24	watched after. You have to drive real easy.
25	You just go around a corner too fast, you can

take 15 head and knock them right off their feet.

MR. LANGE: If it's a weight-bearing injury where the animal comes up onto the truck on two legs or three legs, then the only way and we have transported horses that were that bad to the university for x-rays or sometimes to be put down, they actually will try to fashion a sling. As Vance said, they'll have people ride back there. Sometimes they'll put bales of straw under the horse's chest and everything to help them if they do lose their balance. But, it's not a really great way to travel.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Going back to your original suggestion that you would -if you were to fashioning legislation, you would fashion legislation that applied to all horses and not just horses that go to slaughter, humane transport of all horses.

Then assuming that you can come up with a standard that's agreeable with regard to the humane transport of all horses, given the condition, then you're not getting into the judgment call of whether or not I personally

think a horse should be put down and buried versus go to a slaugherhouse, et cetera, because I am transporting them in equal fashion in a way that is humane given their particular conditions?

1.8

MR. LANGE: Repeat the question.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: The question is, is it really a standard of safe transport of -- It seems to me it makes more sense to define a standard of safe and humane transport of a horse regardless of their destination than to make rules like, blind horses can't go to slaughter, an injured horse can't go to slaughter.

If a blind horse can get in a trailer to go somewhere else and an injured horse can get in a trailer to go to the vets, then the issue is not what their condition is and where their destination is, but how they're transported in getting there; is that not correct?

MR. LANGE: What we should do then is have one trailer and that's where all the horses with all the broken legs go so we can throw them in like firewood. Then we'll have

another trailer for the blind horses. All I'm saying is, you can't intermingle. You can't mix perfectly sound, five-year old draft horses that are 18 hands high with a horse with two broken legs that can't stand up with a pregnant mare or with a baby that's this big (demonstrating). They can't be intermingled. That's the whole point.

2.2

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I understand that. What I'm trying to understand, given my limited knowledge of horses, is whether intermingled in the case that you are using it means in the same 50-by-8 trailer or in the same partition within that 50-by-8 trailer? Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. LANGE: Yes. I have to go back to my opening statements. Really, I don't mean any disrespect within the bounds of common sense and common decency. I have watched people take horses that cannot stand and drag them onto a trailer to go to be slaughtered.

A horse owner whose horse had two broken legs would realize, and if it was their horse and they cared about it, that they weren't going to drag it with a rope by the

1 neck onto a trailer to take it somewhere. 2 They're going to call a vet and pay \$50 to have 3 the horse put to sleep. 4 I'm just saying, I think there has to be some determination that certain horses are 5 6 not fit enough to travel. 7 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: That's 8 where I'm trying to get you. 9 MR. LANGE: Yes. Yes. REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: 10 So I did 11 understand you that fitness to travel for a 12 blind horse, a horse that can't see, is only an issue of protection. Fitness to travel of a 13 14 maimed horse may be a whole other question about the humane treatment of the animal? 15 MR. BERRY: Right; plus, your local 16 farm, Hanover Shoe or something, they're not 17 going to ship horses that are that bad. That's 18 19 their livelihood. They are not going to take a horse that's that bad and throw them on a 20 21 trailer with ten other horses and wave to them 2.2 going out the driveway. They're not going to 23 do that. 24 Slaughter people are the ones that

are going to do that. If it's your pet, you're

1 not going to do it.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative Steelman.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: I'm just wondering when we're talking about some of these issues that Representative Manderino brought up, maybe a compromise position is that, sound horses can safely travel in groups partitioned off from other groups of different types.

But, I think what I perhaps hear you saying is that, not that blind horses or injured horses should never travel, but that they should always travel in individual partitioned stalls if they have to be moved. Actually, effectively, that would probably take them out of the slaughter business because the slaughter buyers aren't going to partition off individual stalls for those horses.

MR. BERRY: You can't really say they can't ship because, the guy's got a blind horse; or the horse is fine and he runs into a stick in the back field and it pokes his eyes

out. Now all of a sudden you can't say, I'll tell you what, you better get a vet out here because you are not going to the university because that horse is blind. You can't leave the farm. You have to watch how you word it that way too.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Right.

That's what I'm thinking because Representative Manderino is sort of focusing on the difference between your operation and, as you said, you are really providing optimum travel conditions for horses.

MR. BERRY: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Slaughter shippers are providing the absolute worst conditions for horses. My trainer is kind of in the middle. When we go off to a show we do not go in a 60-foot long air ride trailer. We go in about a 30-foot, six-horse slant trailer. It's spring ride, but nobody ever falls down and nobody ever gets in serious fights or has trouble.

There's also an area where, if we are going to expand this legislation, we need to be thinking about what is general good practice

and how can we write language that insures good
practice without necessarily requiring
everybody to get rid of all the trailers
they've got already and invest in something the
size and cost of yours.

2.2

MR. BERRY: That's not what anybody wants here. You just got to watch that you don't have a law that is so strict that you have -- You know, the guy down the street with a two-horse trailer and his two girls want to take the pony down to the 4-H show. All of a sudden you've got the law so strict that the guy can't even get the truck out of his driveway, and it's a flower planter now.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Right, sure. At some level, by focusing on horses going to slaughter we were trying to avoid that problem. I'm hearing from the questions of other members on the committee that it actually may be a problem that we need to address, the general issue of transportation.

MR. LANGE: One point in question.

The gentleman Frank Carper that the trooper was talking about, one who they are looking for in New York, he traditionally comes over to

Pennsylvania to buy his horses and then he goes back to New Jersey and he will choose some of them to stay, and he sells them as what are called hack horses to little riding stables, and the ones that don't have enough desirable characteristics, he then takes on to the slaugherhouses. So, if he was asked at the pick-up point, are these horses slaughterbound? Absolutely not. These are going to my farm in New Jersey. Well, then, let's make the trailer have to be legal.

2.2

You know what I'm saying? You can get right around their thumb. They're not going to slaughter. They're going to a farm in upstate Pennsylvania where they're going to wait until the middle of the night so the troopers are not watching and then we'll go.

No, we're not slaughterbound. We're going to a farm in upstate P.A.

It's a tough question. We just wanted to make sure that you folks can nail down the right wording so that we don't, as he said, make a cumbersome, burdensome law, but yet, we cover all the bases so these people can't slip through.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: I see what you're saying. One other thing, when you accept a horse for shipment, what kind of health certificate do you require from the owner or the trainer before that horse gets on the truck and why?

2.2

MR. BERRY: You need a coggins within one year.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: For everybody here who doesn't have horses, what's a coggins?

MR. BERRY: Coggins is actually a blood test on the horse. They do blood tests for equine infectious anemia and some other things and say, okay, he's healthy. They make that test good for one year. That's a federal law. You have to have a coggins to take a horse anywhere.

The other piece of paper you have to have is a health certificate. Health certificate, the vet comes out to your farm, takes his temperature, looks him over, says he good and healthy. He writes it up. That's good for 30 days. From the time that's taken that's good for 30 days. By rights, if you

move that horse off your farm, you should have a coggins and a health certificate.

Now Pennsylvania, you cross into New York nobody bothers you; Ohio. You go to Kentucky, it's very common to be going down the road, see the ag man pull up behind you, throw the lights on, pull you over, says, I want to see the coggins and your health papers for the horses and Bill of Ladings. You give him everything; he looks at it; checks the horses and sends you on your way.

The State of Florida, you don't have a coggins and a health certificate, you are not getting into the state. And you will not get out of the state without it.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: So the health regulations, the requirement for the equine infectious anemia test and health certificate are federal laws that are supposed to be enforced by the state Agriculture

Department in the individual states?

MR. BERRY: Right. Some states are tougher than others, but that's just the way they do it. It's not saying it's right or it's wrong, but anybody can pull you over and ask

you for it at all times.

Indiana, where you don't have a whole lot of horse traveling, it would be almost foolish for them to have an ag station; to be paying guys to sit there 24 hours a day to check you when you come into the state because it would be foolish for them to have a port of entry like that.

Where Florida, there's only three main highways into Florida that 90 percent of your horses travel: Interstate 10, Interstate 75 and Interstate 95. Ninety percent of your horses that go into the state go in on those routes. It's very easy for them to control them; plus, it's a peninsula state. If it's going in that way, it's coming out. It's very easy for them to control that. That's why they do it that way.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN:

Pennsylvania, of course, also has a large horse industry. But, when I've talk to the people at the Department of Agriculture about the enforcement of some other regulations, they usually talk about how they don't have all that

1 many personnel. Have any of your trucks ever 2 been stopped in Pennsylvania and asked --3 MR. BERRY: Yes, I have been stopped 4 already and asked at a weigh station or 5 something. They'll say, do you have the 6 paperwork for the horses? You hand it to them; 7 they look at it and give it back to you and 8 send you on your merry way. It's no big deal. 9 REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: If you have 10 the certificates. 11 MR. BERRY: Right. I've never had it 12 where I didn't have them. 13 MR. LANGE: We won't pick a horse up 14 if they don't have the necessary paperwork 15 because we would then compromise the rest of 16 the load. Maybe there's 15 other horses on 17 there and we could sit and wait for a vet to 18 come out and give us the necessary paperwork to 19 proceed if we have one that's missing a 20 coggins. 21 REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. 23 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 24 Schuler. 25 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you,

1	Mr. Chairman. Touching on the state laws, you
2	are a professional transportation industry of
3	horses, right?
4	MR. BERRY: Equine relocation
5	technician.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you
7	for that enlightenment. What other state laws
8	are you required to follow in the
9	transportation of these horses?
10	MR. BERRY: We have to follow all the
11	state trucking laws, the federal, D.O.T. state
12	trucking laws.
13	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I'm not
14	familiar with them. How would that relate to
15	the transportation of horses?
16	MR. BERRY: We have to follow all the
17	transportation laws for driving a truck to
18	begin with, logbooks.
19	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I
20	understand.
21	MR. BERRY: Then whatever the state
22	requires. Most times, though, if you have a
23	health and coggins, unless you are going to
24	Canada, then you need a federally endorsed

health certificate. It has to be made up by a

federal veterinarian. It has to be endorsed by a federal veterinarian. You need that to go into Canada. Other than that --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: There's no laws in Pennsylvania that require that the animals be watered?

MR. BERRY: I don't really know if there's any laws that say that. I have done it for 14 years, and we stop every five hours and water them. Whether there is a law I couldn't tell you. We do it.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: One of the arguments I hear is, we have enough laws to address this issue. They're just not being enforced. Whether that's factual or not, I don't know. That's what I'm trying to get at. I have heard that from different individuals, different people involved in this industry. I thought maybe you could give me some idea whether they have an accurate -- if that's an accurate assessment. I don't know.

MR. BERRY: I would say it is. See, we're commercial carriers. Most times your local law enforcement, state police or whatever, even your Department of Agriculture,

they know us. We have been in business for 40 years. They know us and they know that we're not going to do it without it. It's not worth jeopardizing the load for it. Most times they won't even really bother us. They'll ask for your paperwork and check things out, but that will be about it because they know that you have everything.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I'd just like to get -- maybe some other people who are going to testify will have that answer. That's one of the arguments that I have heard, and I've read in the newspaper that they're claiming there are enough laws now that we don't need anymore. I don't know if that's a true statement or not. Thank you. That's all I have.

MR. BERRY: You can more than likely go out tomorrow, buy yourself a little gooseneck trailer and a new pick-up truck, go put a horse on it and travel across the country. The only place you're going to get stopped is probably Kentucky or New Mexico. Other than that, nobody is going to bother you. You may not have paper on it; right on down the

1 road. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: If I was 3 I'm not, but seems to me if you see a truckload of starving animals, there ought to be some 4 5 kind of mechanism -- Don't we have a state law 6 dealing with inhumane treatment of animals? 7 MR. BERRY: Hey, you're getting the 8 idea. That's what we're working on now. 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I thought 10 there were already laws to address some of 11 those issues. 12 MR. BERRY: No. 13 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's where 14 I'm confused. 15 MR. BERRY: If there is, I've never 16 seen it. 17 MR. LANGE: I don't think they deal 18 with the specifics of the trailers. I really 19 don't think -- I think there's some things --20 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's what 21 I want to get, those some things. 22 MR. LANGE: There's going to be a

> speaker coming later that will have answers to those questions.

24

23

25

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's all.

1 Thank you. 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Masland.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have two quick questions. first question is, this design for this trailer that is not yours, actually from listening to the testimony, as bad as it is, it's not as bad as it gets; is that correct? There are a lot of worse trailers out there that can transport horses?

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

MR. BERRY: This is the bad one. This trailer is not designed for horses.

MR. LANGE: That's a pig trailer.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I understand that. It looks like they have some partitions in there, and I just got the impression that some of these trailers they just pack them on from the back to the front.

MR. LANGE: They do.

MR. BERRY: These partitions are deceiving on the patterns the way it looks in They basically -- everything that you here. see there where it's opened up, they just stuff it full. These were made for cattle and hogs.

MR. LANGE: Most of those partitions are so easily removed, that if you were to stop ten of them in a row, you'd probably find eight of them that have the partitions out because they don't want any wasted space.

MR. BERRY: There's a lot of them -partitions to get around it. They'll say they
have to have partitions. They put them in with
rope or baling twine or something and say, hey,
there's my partition wall. Horse nibbles on it
a couple times, it's down and they're walking
on it.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Another one. You talked a little bit about the potable water with Representative Schuler. You do it every five hours. The bill says every 12 hours. Is that a bare minimum, every 12 hours? Is that okay or should it be ten? Any thoughts on that?

MR. LANGE: According to a lot of veterinarian studies it can be longer. Again, we are hauling people's equine assets. The slaughterbound fellows are hauling liabilities. If we bring a horse in that's worth \$4 million and he's dehydrated and he's racing in two

days, we don't get paid. It's in our best interest to stop.

Also, an animal, a horse will not void himself while the truck is moving. So we will stop every five hours so that they can drink and void themselves, because we all know how it feels when you have to go to the bathroom. A horse can go longer than 12 hours, but I think 18, 20 hours would be like the maximum.

Again, it depends on the type of weather you are traveling in. If it's bitterly cold or extremely hot, that also would contribute. Again, it's common sense. But horses that are slaughterbound, there's very little common sense exhibited because they don't even care what they are that are back there. They're just taking them to quadruple their money or more in a short period of time.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I haven't traveled to Montreal lately, but southern Pennsylvania to Montreal maybe that is just 12 hours and maybe they can do a straight shot without having --

MR. LANGE: It's not just Montreal,

1	and it's probably not just horses that are for
2	human consumption. There's a slaugherhouse in
3	Texas and it takes quite a lot of time to get
4	to Texas. It takes a little longer to get to
5	Illinois. So, it is an issue. They should
6	have clean water at some point.
7	MR. BERRY: From our area Montreal is
8	as close as it gets. As far as going to a
9	slaugherhouse, a lot of these trucks aren't
10	just coming out of New Holland. They're coming
11	out of Virginia, the Carolinas.
12	MR. LANGE: And traveling through
13	Pennsylvania.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Do you think
15	we need standards in this bill for ERT license
16	like you have, equine relocation technician?
17	Just kidding. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
19	Maitland.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to ask where you
22	are located, where your company is?
23	MR. LANGE: We are in Oley, Penn-
24	sylvania. It's our main base of operations.
25	Dennis Leh is our rep. We have a terminal in

1 Lexington, Kentucky, and a terminal in Ocala, 2 Florida. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 5 Nickol. 6 REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: T was 7 interested in these diagrams that you presented 8 You testified, I guess a worse case 9 scenario you could pack you said 54 horses and 10 18 head of cattle at the one point in one of 11 these trailers. In the best case, in your 12 economy model you'll have 15 horses. Under the standards of this bill with 13 14 a similar-sized trailer, if I were trying to 15 push to maximize my profit, with average-sized 16 horses, how many horses under this bill could I 17 fit in a similarly-sized trailer? 18 MR. BERRY: Probably about 25 to 30. 19 I'm not really sure. Just guessing, 25 to 30, 20 somewhere in that neighborhood. 21 MR. LANGE: If all they had was a 2.2 partition every ten feet and no --23 MR. BERRY: Plus, you wouldn't have 24 your double-decker anymore either. 25 MR. LANGE: Right. You could

probably could get 25 or 30 average-sized horses.

REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: You are familiar with New Holland. I'm curious. Is this an increasing industry transporting horses for slaughter, or is this something that's been with us for years?

MR. LANGE: Been with us for years.

MR. BERRY: It's been with us for years, but it seems like now that the price of horse meat is going up, there's more interest to get a quick profit. From what I understand, the price of horse meat is like four or five dollars a pound until you get it overseas.

These guys make a quick buck on it. It's an easy buck.

MR. LANGE: Unfortunately, what's happened is, a lot of people that just want to have a backyard horse, they want to get a horse for their son or daughter, where they were able to go to New Holland and pick one up for five or \$600, now they're playing a different game because the killers are spending up to a thousand, twelve hundred in some cases because the price of horse meat has risen. And a lot

1 of people have become turned off. It is an 2 emotional issue. 3 Since we are in the horse business, 4 I've talked to a lot of people all over the country. A lot of people are very -- This is 5 6 something that public opinion is very much 7 against. 8 REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 10 Caltagirone. 11 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: 12 to mention I also come from Berks County and 13 I'm from the City of Reading. Welcome. Ι 14 enjoyed your testimony. 15 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: I have a I'm still confused. You had said 16 that before you can transport a horse you have 17 18 to have this blood test and you have to some kind of --19 20 MR. BERRY: Health certificate. 21 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: -- health 22 certificate. 23 MR. BERRY: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON GANNON: If you go into 24 25 Canada, you have to have a federal veterinarian 1 and federal certification.

MR. BERRY: That's the owner's responsibility. If they call us and say, I want to send a horse to Toronto for a race up there, you say okay. When do you want to go? You need this, this and that. They take care of it. It's their responsibility to get the paperwork done.

When we get there it's our responsibility to make sure the paperwork is done and it's done correctly. You get that paperwork, you'll go take that paperwork, go look at the horse. If it's a racehorse it will be tattooed on the lip. So, you flip his lip and let him smile at you and check the tattoo to make sure that that paperwork matches that horse.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: What's the difference between transporting that and then transporting a horse to slaughter? Do you have to have any of that paperwork?

MR. BERRY: No.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: How do they make that distinction?

MR. LANGE: They're designated as for

Where is that --

1 food. 2 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: 3 MR. BERRY: On the federal health 4 paper, for horses going into Canada, it will have a box on there and it's marked show or 5 6 exhibition, racing, breeding and other. 7 just stamp it other and that deletes a whole 8 lot of boxes down the road, because other means 9 he's going down -- he's going to be somebody's 10 French Canadian quarter pounder. If they mark

> CHAIRPERSON GANNON: But they still have to have that paperwork?

> other, that just deletes a whole lot of other

things that you would have had to do for a

horse that's going into, say, race.

MR. BERRY: I'm pretty sure they do.

MR. LANGE: Yes, they have to have it to be international.

MR. BERRY: I don't think it has to be federally endorsed, though.

> MR. LANGE: It doesn't.

MR. BERRY: It doesn't have to go through the federal veterinarian like, say, a horse going into a race or breeding would.

> CHAIRPERSON GANNON: If I wanted to

14 15

11

12

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1 take -- The easiest way for me to get a horse 2 up in Canada is to say he's other? 3 MR. BERRY: Right, but then you can't 4 get him back out. 5 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Oh, now I can't take him back out. 6 7 MR. BERRY: That's a one-way ticket. 8 You come back to the U.S. side and show them 9 that, you are going to be in for a whole lot of 10 questions. 11 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you. Mr. 12 Preski. MR. PRESKI: Mr. Lange and Mr. Berry, 13 14 these people, what I guess you term bad people, cram horses into the trailers for competition, 15 16 do they generally work for the slaugherhouses? 17 Are they independent contractors that you call 18 up? 19 MR. LANGE: They are independent 20 contractors working in conjunction with the 21 slaugherhouses. You also said something, you 22 said competition. We don't want to haul 23 slaughterbound horses. They are not 24 competition for Brook Ledge or any commercial

carrier. That's -- They're killer buyers and

1	they haul horses to slaughter. Commercial
2	horse haulers haul horses commercially. There
3	is a difference, and you said competitor.
4	MR. PRESKI: The follow-up question
5	is this: Are they Canadians who come down to
6	Pennsylvania to pick them up and then go
7	home
8	MR. LANGE: No.
9	MR. PRESKI: or are they
10	Pennsylvania truckers who do one ride and come
11	back?
12	MR. BERRY: Guys from Pennsylvania,
13	New Jersey, New York, Virginia. I mean, that's
14	their job. They have, say, a buddy at the
15	slaugherhouse that they are working with and
16	they got it pretty well set up. Hey, I buy for
17	this slaughterhouse in Quebec and the other guy
18	says, that's okay, because I work for this one
19	out of Toronto.
20	MR. LANGE: Certain guys work with
21	certain slaughter
22	MR. BERRY: They go down and you buy
23	your horses and I'll buy mine. You take yours
24	to your place and I'll take mine to my place.
25	MR. PRESKI: Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: One last 2 follow-up question. Do you know of any 3 commercial haulers who transport horses under 4 the condition of this, you know, where there's 5 no dividers? 6 MR. BERRY: They wouldn't be in 7 business. 8 MR. LANGE: It wouldn't be economically feasible because you wouldn't get 9 10 paid for hauling your horses. 11 MR. BERRY: If you showed up at Hanover Shoe with a double-decker trailer like 12 13 that, you would get run off. 14 MR. LANGE: I just thought of one 15 exception. Have any of you every seen the 16 miniature horses? These aren't ponies. 17 exactly look like horses, but they are little things. I have seen where we have taken our 18 19 partitions out and put them in with several 20 partitions, the same as the proposed 21 legislation where they're grouped in groups, 22 but they're all the same size and they're all fit to travel. 23 24 MR. BERRY: If they don't load, you

can pick them up and carry them in.

1 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you very 2 much for coming in today and talking. testimony was very interesting and informative. 3 4 MR. LANGE: Thank you. 5 MR. BERRY: Thank You. 6 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Our next witness 7 is Christine Berry with the Equine Placement 8 Network. 9 MS. BERRY: I want to thank the 10 Chairman and members of the House Judiciary 11 Committee for inviting me to testify at this hearing. I would also like to thank 12 13 Representative Lynch for introducing H.B. 2127 14 to end the cruel and inhumane way horses are transported to slaughter in Pennsylvania. 1.5 currently drafted, my 25 plus major 16 Pennsylvania and national equine industry 17 18 supporters and I cannot support H.B. 2127 for 19 the following reasons: 20 The vehicle safety specifications 21 must apply to all horses; not just slaughter-The shippers will deny the horses are 22 bound. 23 going to slaughter and there will be no 24 enforcement. New York state law applies to all

horses.

The definition of an intermediate handler must be added so as not to interfere with the legitimate shipment of mares, foals, sick and injured horses.

What is an intermediate handler? Any person engaged as part or all of their business, in receiving custody, or maintaining horses for slaughter, leading to the transport of horses to a slaughter establishment, including a stockyard, feedlot or assembly point.

It must be stated that each horse is a separate offense. Small fines will not deter these killer buyers.

transport of slaughterbound horses. Currently, horses are transported in trailers designed for cattle and hogs, including double deckers.

Horses of all ages, breeds and sex are transported together with no food, water or rest to Canada or Texas, journeys of 550 miles and 1,500 miles respectively. A journey to Texas can last for 30 hours. The design of the trailers and the methods used cause injury and even death to the horses transported.

It is accepted in the horse industry that Pennsylvania is home to the largest weekly horse slaughter sale east of the Mississippi held each week in New Holland. This auction averages 250 horses each week with a large number purchased for slaughter in foreign-owned slaugherhouses in Texas and Canada for human consumption overseas. The killer buyers are

out-of-state buyers.

The issue of horse slaughter can be a very emotional and controversial issue, but when it comes to the point of whether or not horses fit into double-deck trailers, it is really quite simple. Brook Ledge has already testified to what the industry standard is.

Federal law regarding trailer heights does not allow a trailer to be higher than thirteen six. Trailers designed for the commercial transport of more than six horses have ceiling heights that start at seven feet. It is impossible to have a trailer with two decks and provide the seven-foot ceiling height.

In my research I have not found a horse trailer designed to transport six or more

horses with a ceiling height less than six nine. When ground clearance, floors and ceiling provisions are added, it is impossible to have a trailer with two decks and provide a height of six nine on each deck. Double-deck trailers have ceiling heights as low as five feet seven with four-inch support beams, lowering the ceiling height even lower.

Due to the fact that these trailers are designed for cattle and hogs, they lack the necessary safety features to protect horses from injury. The narrow doorways cause head and back injuries. The metal floors cause the horses to slip and fall. The overhead tracks for the ramps necessary to load the nose section and the top deck cause head and eye injuries. You can see that on the diagram, the booklet that I gave you.

Designed for loading and unloading at cattle and hog facilities, they lack ramps to unload horses and are too far off the ground for the horses to step up into the trailer.

Even though these horses are destined for slaughter, they are still alive and need to be transported and handled using trailers and

methods designed for equines.

Requiring trailers transporting six or more horses to meet these safety standards will only affect the transportation of horses to slaughter, as horse trailers already exceed these standards. New York and five other states have had laws on the books requiring these safety standards for close to 20 years. I will defer to the witnesses from New York State who have already testified to the Ag and Markets Law in New York.

The transportation of horses to slaughter is a black eye for the horse industry. Passage of H.B. 2127 will bring P.A. in line with our neighboring states. New trailers or modifications to existing trailers can benefit P.A. companies, such as Eby trailers. Some of you asked about what these trailers cost and who they buy them from.

Nickerson Livestock showed the design of a new trailer they bought from Eby. They showed this to a New York state trooper after they were convicted and the trailer cost them \$38,000.00. It's got a straight deck. It's got side unloading doors. It has the necessary

two doors. That side unloading door has a ramp; it has the necessary height. It's actually a very nice looking trailer, so it can be done.

The New York Fit to Travel

Certificates required under H.B. 2127 would

benefit P.A. equine veterinarians. Currently,

the New York and New Jersey killer buyers go to

their states and get a Fit to Travel -- not a

Fit to Travel Certificate, but a health

certificate to cross the Canadian border from a

New Jersey or a New York vet and they generally

use small animal practitioners, which is legal.

But, obviously, we all know that if they have been in practice for 30 or 40 years and they exclusively do small animals, they are not going to look at 40 horses with no halters in a pen. They're not going to go out there and examine them.

So that would benefit a Pennsylvania veterinarian by requiring the certificate, and they have to get a health certificate to cross into Canada.

The complete description required would aid in the prevention of stolen horses

going to slaughter. Pennsylvania-rendering companies would benefit from horses that are deemed unfit to transport and are euthanized. The safety specifications and Fit to Travel Certificate would define for the shippers what is and is not legal.

2.3

Building an equine slaugherhouse in Pennsylvania is not a solution to the transport problem. In recent years the United States has gone from 14 equine slaughterhouses to three. Connecticut passed their transport law due to the now closed slaugherhouse in their state. The horses leaving Pennsylvania for slaughter have come from all over the eastern seaboard; not just Pennsylvania. As horse meat prices rise, so does horse theft, increasing the risk of horse theft to Pennsylvania residents. Slaugherhouses do not look for stolen horses, and all the evidence of the crime can be disposed of in a matter of hours.

These magnificent animals, which grace our state seal, need to be transported safely no matter what their final destination.

I hope the Pennsylvania legislature will represent the vast majority of Pennsylvania

1	residents and the equine industry who want to
2	see these horses transported humanely, and not
3	a handful of out-of-state killer buyers
4	representing foreign-owned slaughterhouses.
5	Thank you for letting me testify. I
6	can answer the questions. I've seen questions
7	raised earlier that I would be happy to answer.
8	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you, Ms.
9	Berry. Representative Manderino.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chairman. Actually, Christine, I think
12	your testimony has already fed into some of the
13	questions that I asked earlier. So, thank you.
14	I don't have any particular questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
16	Lynch.
17	REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: No questions,
18	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Chris.
19	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
20	Steelman.
21	REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: No
22	questions.
23	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
24	Walko.
25	REPRESENTATIVE WALKO: No questions.

1 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 2 Schuler. 3 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Just one. 4 Ma'am, the existing law says, anyone who 5 carries in or upon a cart or other vehicle 6 whatsoever, any animal in a cruel or inhumane 7 I watched this material on the slides 8 That to me is terrible. Would you here. 9 consider that cruel and inhumane? MS. BERRY: 10 Yes. 11 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Well, I do 12 too. Why weren't they prosecuted under 13 Pennsylvania law if that would happen here in 14 Pennsylvania? The slides that Doctor 15 MS. BERRY: 16 Lopez showed? Are you referring to those? 17 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Yes. 18 was in New York. I know. But let's say the 19 same thing happened in Pennsylvania, would we 20 have the wherewithal to arrest that trucker for 21 that? 22 MS. BERRY: I would hope so. Right 23 now the way the cruelty law is written it's 24 vaque, it's general. There was a case in

California, which I think is a very good

example, of where a double-deck trailer was stopped. There were, I think 40 some horses on. There were horses severely injured on the trailer. It was stopped eight miles into the journey and had 1,800 miles more to go. After a three-year trial the judge dismissed the case. Why? Because he said, what is unnecessary cruel? These people don't believe this is cruel. Their vet thinks they are taking good care of these animals. How were these shippers to know what is cruel?

2.2

. 24

What New York found was that under their cruelty statute it was general and it required expert testimony in court to prosecute successfully. It just did not effectively stop the cruel transport of slaughterbound horses. So, they defined what is cruel to transport a horse.

Safety standards that are in H.B.

2127 are already on trailers designed for
horses. We're talking about, when you talk
about the buyers, you're talking about a buyer
from Bainbridge, New York; a buyer from
Watertown, New York; a buyer from Cranbury, New
Jersey and a buyer from Connecticut. And there

1 is one trucker who lives in Pennsylvania who 2 transports for a New York killer buyer. 3 who we are talking about. 4 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: With that 5 argument, and I think it's a valid argument 6 that you raise, why are we dealing just with horses then if we can't define what cruel and 7 8 inhumane manner is? 9 MS. BERRY: Because I'm a horseman. 10 I don't know anything about cattle. I don't 11 know anything about sheep and hogs. I would 12 leave that to those --13 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: So you are a 14 special interest? 15 MS. BERRY: I'm a horseman. I know 16 horses. I don't know cows. For me to tell the 17 cattle industry -- I don't know anything about How can I tell them that. That's up to 18 cows. 19 them. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: The problem 21 here may be dealing with the definition of 22 cruel and inhumane, and you're trying to 23 address that but only for slaughter horses?

MS. BERRY: I feel the legislation

should be addressed -- When it comes to the

24

safety standards for vehicles, it should apply to all horses. That's the way it can be easily enforced. When it comes to the Fit to Travel Certificate, it should apply to only slaughter-bound horses because people who have horses who are injured and they are transporting them to a veterinary hospital are, obviously, going to take every precaution they can to not jury that animal further.

When you are going to slaughter, you are going to Texas; you're going to Canada.

It's not economically feasible for them to gate that horse. How are you going to prove they gated it? Who's going to check? The whole process of making an injured horse, for example, horse with a broken leg or broken knee, they don't just come to the auction and get on that truck and go to slaughter. They often have gone a week in that condition.

There was recently a horse at a sale that had a hip tag on from a Friday night sale. Obviously, he broke his leg sometime on Friday or before. It's now Monday. He's going to Texas. He's not going to get to Texas until probably Wednesday. If they don't kill until

1 Thursday, I don't care how humane it is at the 2 scene of the transport, that was wrong for that 3 horse to ever get on the truck. He has 4 suffered for a week. That's cruel. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Do you think 6 a judge would believe that? 7 MS. BERRY: I think when you have 8 expert testimony from a veterinarian; when you start doing heart rates and you start doing 10 respirations and you would get the 11 transportation experts in who would start 12 testifying to the transport, some of this it's 13 common sense. I mean, is it cruel? 14 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I think a 15 reasonable person would define what cruel and 16 inhumane is. MS. BERRY: Right now there is no 17 18 criteria. If you talk to the vets they'll say, what is the criteria? What is the criteria for 19 20 it to be cruel to ship the animal? What is it? 21 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: This law 22 really means nothing, that section of the law. 2.3 I don't want to pursue the argument, but I'm 24 just trying to point out that we have some

problems in the existing law.

1 MS. BERRY: Right, but when it comes 2 to other animals you would have to talk to the 3 people in those industries. I know nothing about those animals. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I under-6 stand. I don't know either. 7 MS. BERRY: I have no interest in 8 their transport. 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I under-10 stand. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 12 Masland. REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: 13 Just in 14 quick response to Representative Schuler, one 15 of the things about the existing law that needs 16 to be changed I would suggest is the fact that 17 it's only a summary offense. As such, it carries a three hundred dollar fine and 90 days 18 19 maximum imprisonment. Depending on what some 20 of these people are doing, I think it at least 21 should be a misdemeanor 3, misdemeanor 2; maybe 22 in a second or subsequent maybe even one, who 2.3 knows. 24 I would also just mention to Ms.

Berry that I think your testimony was helpful.

1	I think that members of the committee will
2	discuss these things over the break. There's
3	probably going to be amendments drawn to this
4	bill when it's considered by the committee that
5	will address your concerns and enable you to
6	fully support it.
7	MS. BERRY: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
9	Maitland.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: No thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
13	Nickol.
14	REPRESENTATIVE NICKOL: No questions.
15	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
16	Caltagirone.
17	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Just one
18	question. The pictures concerning Is it New
19	Holland Sales?
20	MS. BERRY: Those are pictures of
21	double-deck trucks that have been recently
22	The top truck is a truck from New York. It's
23	owned by Arlo Kiehl from Watertown, New York.
24	He recently pled guilty to 17 counts of
25	illegally transporting horses. He had been on

1 a single-tiered trailer. He did not have the 2 required two doorways. He paid a five hundred 3 dollar fine. 4 He was supposed to go to trial on June 23. He was facing 48 counts for using 5 6 that same trailer right there. Even though he had pled guilty and was facing a five hundred 7 8 dollar fine and misdemeanor charges, he chose 9 to use that truck and run through New York 10 State facing at a minimum \$25,000 in fines. The bottom truck is owned by a 11 12 Michigan resident. He's hauling to Bel-Tex in 13 Texas. REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: 14 15 Holland Sales, are they taking place in 16 Pennsylvania? 17 MS. BERRY: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: What 18 19 county? MS. BERRY: Lancaster County. 20 There's also the Middleburg sale. I believe 21 2.2 that's in Snyder County. 23 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Anything 24 in Dauphin County? MS. BERRY: As far as killer sales? 25

1 I think they have horse sales in Dauphin 2 County. 3 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Farm 4 Show Arena I know they have --5 MS. BERRY: Right, obviously. 6 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: But I 7 don't think there's slaughter --8 MS. BERRY: No, that's Harrisburg, 9 the Standardbred sales. These slaughter 10 auctions are like the small end of the funnel. 11 Dealers collect these horses from all over and 12 bring them here to meet the agents for the 13 foreign-owned slaugherhouses. Sometimes they are dropped off. They're called drop-offs. 14 15 They're held there at the auction so they can be put onto the slaughter truck to go on. 16 17 So it's not just -- they're sold here 18 this day. They will do whatever they can to 19 avoid prosecution under the current laws. 20 The example about the law applying to 21 all horses, when Nickerson Livestock was 22 arrested on January 19 in New York this year, if H.B. 2127 as currently drafted had been law 23 24 and a state trooper had gone out there and said

you can't load slaughterbound horses on a

two-tier trailer, he would have said, but officer, I'm not taking them to slaughter. going to Bainbridge, New York, which is what he was doing, and it would have been perfectly legal. But when he entered New York State the trooper said, you can't haul horses on a two-tier. I don't care where you're going. It's very easy to enforce. They can't get around it that way.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: The largest number of sales that are taking place in the State of Pennsylvania, is it New Holland Sales in Lancaster?

MS. BERRY: New Holland is accepted in the horse industry as the largest weekly horse sale of slaughter horses. It could also be the largest weekly sale, but it's often referred as the largest weekly killer sale.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: How many horses are being passed through?

MS. BERRY: The number that I have seen recorded that the auction owner has given to reporters is between 250 and 275. I have seen both figures. He stated both figures as an average.

1	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Per
2	week?
3	MS. BERRY: Per week.
4	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank
5	you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
7	Lynch.
8	REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Yes, Mr.
9	Chairman, I just want to respond quickly to
10	Representative Schuler's concern about the
11	enforcement of the current Prevention and
12	Cruelty Act.
13	A few years ago during the
14	Appropriations Hearing I asked the new
15	Secretary of Agriculture, Secretary Brosius, if
16	one of the concerns was that they did not have
17	enough money allocated in their budget to
18	provide people to go out and inspect such a
19	thing. He turned it over to one of his deputy
20	secretaries, who I talked to later on, who was
21	from New Holland and found nothing wrong with
22	the attitude that was going on at New Holland.
23	I recognize that since he was from
24	New Holland he may not find anything wrong with

the attitude, but, by God, the majority of

1	Pennsylvanians I think want this legislation,
2	it's going to happen. As a result I have
3	received no response from the Department of
4	Agriculture in regards to whether they need any
5	more money or not for the additional
6	inspectors. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
8	Schuler.
9	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chairman. This thing with New Holland has
11	come up quick a few times. I think we have to
12	clear the air here. I think some
13	misinformation has been distributed here to
14	some degree.
15	Is it not correct that New Holland
16	Sales Stables not only deal with this type of a
17	horse, but other horses?
18	MS. BERRY: Yes.
19	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I want that
20	to be clear for the record that New Holland
21	also deals in very good horses. Some of the
22	grand show horses that have won in New York
23	came out of New Holland Sales Stables.
24	MS. BERRY: That's correct. Catch 22

who --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Many of the Amish buy their horses at New Holland Sales Stables dealing with horses that have been on the track and are no longer in running, such as, the pacers are bought at New Holland.

MS. BERRY: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I don't think we should refer to New Holland as the slaughter auction, although some of those horses may go to slaughter, but that's not the decision of New Holland Sales Stables, is it?

MS. BERRY: That's correct. As I stated, in the horse industry, horse people when talking about it, that's how we refer to it across the board, people refer to it.

Something I'd like to state is that, legislators hear about this and they say, well, they want to shut down New Holland. That's not true. The sale is a necessary sale. It's a place where horse dealers bring their horses to sell them. There is nothing wrong with the sale of healthy horses.

This legislation would not affect that sale. And it doesn't matter if they're buying it for a kill or they're buying it to

ride, it would not affect the sale of fat, healthy horses. No one has an objection to that.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: I understand that. I just wanted to clarify that we don't leave this hearing with the belief that New Holland Sales Stables is just in the business for slaughter horses.

MS. BERRY: Correct. They sell many other horses.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: You had quoted a number you had seen in some press reports about the number of horses that are processed weekly through New Holland. Was that number just the number that are for slaughter or is that the total?

MS. BERRY: No, that's the average number of horses sold. Norman Kolb has stated to reporters that I have seen in published newspaper accounts, that it is somewhere 250 -- I have seen the figure 250 and I have seen the number 275. If you take all the sale reports that are published in the <u>Lancaster Farmer</u> each week and you add them up and divide them, I

1 think that number is right there. 2 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: That represents 3 all categories of horses. 4 MS. BERRY: Correct. 5 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: That's not just 6 horses --7 MS. BERRY: There was a published article in 1989 in the Baltimore Sun, Ross 8 9 Pedicore (phonetic) did a four-part story on 10 the horses going from the racetrack to the 11 slaughterhouse. It was AmFran through New 12 Holland. He reported that an auction worker 13 gave the figure of 70 percent going to 14 slaughter. 15 At the time that article was written 16 was also at a time when horse slaughter had 17 much higher figures. It was also the time when 18 the legislation and the tax laws had changed. A lot of thoroughbreds were dumped on the 19 20 market and went to slaughter. I don't believe 21 the figure is that high right now. 22 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you very 23 much, Ms. Berry, for coming here today and

testifying before the committee and sharing

information. I appreciate it.

24

25

MS. BERRY: Thank you for inviting me.

1.6

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Our next witness is Ms. Linda Adkins with Good Form Equestrian Sports.

MS. ADKINS: Good afternoon. My name is Linda Adkins. I want to thank
Representative Lynch and the other Judiciary
Committee members who are supporting his efforts with H.B. 2127 and the media who also has an interest in bringing this situation out to the public so they can understand the plight that these horses are in.

I'd like to give you a little bit of my background before I go on so that you're familiar with it. I grew up living or working on Pennsylvania farms which raised both crops and livestock for market. That includes sheep, poultry and cows. I was involved in agriculture-related activities such as 4-H throughout my youth. I went on to college where my studies were mostly agriculture based, and I have further pursued a specialty directly in equine science.

Along with this I also spent the last

25 years of my life dedicated almost entirely to equestrian pursuits and have a long history of riding, coaching, competing and being involved as either a participant or volunteer in many various disciplines within the sport. That includes the lower backyard levels right up through the higher levels.

Because of how serious I was about
learning all the different facets of the horse
industry, I additionally spent many years in
the equine industry, not only on farms, but
also accompanying vets on their farm calls.
And also, myself have traveled extensively east
of the Mississippi up and down the coast.
During those trips I was either hauling horses
for myself or I was in charge of horses which
were being hauled.

It occurred to me that you -- you all have been talking about double-decker trucks. There's a possibility that some of you aren't familiar with regular horse trailers. Although there's quite a few different models on the market, I wanted to bring in the most basic model I possibly could for you. What I did was, I put a horse and a pony, which are of

average size in a horse trailer. I wanted to show you a couple of basic things.

This roof right here is a seven-foot roof and it's marked off by a measuring tape which drops to the floor where the pony and horse are standing. Where I ran the tape was at five foot six inches, which is approximately the height of the roofs of the double-decker trucks that we're talking about on the interiors. Along with that, remember that there are three to four-inch beams dropping below that. This is actually six foot; this is six six and then on up to seven foot.

What I loaded on the trailer were two very basic horses. I wanted to show disparity without being extreme. This horse here is a 16-hand horse who is basically equivalent of what a racehorse would be coming in off the racetrack, or your average riding horse. Some are smaller. Some are much larger. This down here is an eleven two hand pony. Some again are smaller than he and some would be larger. They are both your basic medium-sized animals.

I think what is interesting to note is that, this little pony's ears are actually

at where the roof line is. Then, obviously, this horse's hind end is already touching, and this horse's head is well above and well into the seven-foot high roof space and he utilizes that area.

1.2

2.3

I think what else is interesting is, you might even see the fact that his ears are already touching. His owner, who is down here in the back, informs me that she actually has a seven foot, six high trailer to put this horse in because she's aware of the fact that he doesn't have enough head room in a normal-sized seven-foot trailer.

Along with that I'd like to show you the fact that in between the two is a basic divider. It's actually a piece of plywood hung on hinges and it will swing to either way. If you wanted to give the horse more room, you can actually swing it where the pony is right now and that will give the horse more room to stand.

The purpose in showing you the fact that there's a divider is the fact that the centerbounds which Doctor Lopez talked about higher and the fact the horse's body is much

higher than that of the pony is pretty vividly shown here and easy to see how this smaller animal can easily be crushed by the bigger animals when the trucks are doing 70 or 80 miles an hour bucking down the road and swaying.

I also happened to bring a couple photos that you can pass around. There was a question raised, and I just wanted to show the fact that somebody had mentioned the gray horse's head might be being held up into that position. That's not the case. He just happened to be turning his head at that exact moment I shot the picture. So the photo will prove to you that in his normal head carriage that he's utilizing that space.

The second picture, which I'm sorry, some of this didn't turn out so I have to pass this around, it will show a normal ramp at the back of this trailer. Again, some of these trailers are step-ups, but this typically would be a ramp that we all use and it has a rubber matted surface which you can also see in the overhead.

The purpose of the rubber matting is

the fact that—as you purchased the film, we really want to thank you for it—it gives security to the horses to be able to stand under driving conditions. The purpose of the matting on the ramp is so that, of course, they don't slip.

One other point I want to make about the rise of the ramp is that, it's about a 15-inch rise and in these cattle trucks they are going up at about a 45-degree angle. I walked in one the other day. It's very, very steep and, of course, quite slippery. If anybody would like to take a look at those, I can pass them around.

I think another interesting point I'd like to make is that, at one point I was a trailer sales representative for the company that this trailer was purchased from. They don't even make trailers under seven foot tall. There's just no call for it. Nobody wants to buy them, and nobody is about to ship their horses in anything less than that.

The other point I wanted to make was, we were talking about air flow before. This specific trailer, for instance, when I

purchased it I actually added windows so that air would continue to flow through the nose of the trailer right back to the horses just in case it was needed. On hot days -- We don't even ship on hot days in the middle of the heat. Our point is to get up at 3 a.m. and ship in the early morning hours or the late part of the day. These horses are running south in the heat and that's quite wrong.

The other point about those photos
was that, again, those were normal-sized
horses. The Belgians, of course, tower over
them. I come up to about the nose of a Belgian
or a big draft mule.

I wanted to state my purpose for attending today. I have seen horses loaded on the double deckers at auctions in the past, and I have seen and I've heard them falling and crashing to the ground once they were inside. I have seen their broken legs. I've seen them down and can no longer get up. I've seen them moaning and being trampled. Although at the time I tried to stop the situation, I was easy deflected. The owner of New Holland actually laughed at me.

Like many people of that era some years ago, I turned away because there was no one who would listen or control the situation.

Despite the police, Pennsylvania SPCA and the Lancaster County Humane League, this continues unabated.

Although this was years ago, my peers and colleagues in the equine field have recently told horror stories of continued cruelty to these animals and the extraordinary tolerance of those actions by the Lancaster and Snyder County communities because of the New Holland and the Middleburg Sales being located in them. Those professionals' own complaints of the sales and trucking industry have been systematically deflected as well.

I was recently doing some research on H.B. 2127 when I first heard about it to see if it would really create a legal stance which would end the torture that these horse go through each week in our state, because of the auctions' allowance of brutal practices and also the commercial trucking industry's perception of livestock handling.

I then called the Pennsylvania

Department of Agriculture and I interviewed the state equine veterinarian. He thanked me for my objectivity, and he said that he gets calls from people who want to, quote, make the unusual incident seem like a regular occurrence. He told me to go ahead and go back to the auctions a few times and I would see that that was not the case. So I did.

I returned to the auctions to watch, and I have again been a witness to the tolerance of excessively abusive and cruel situations; plus, the unregulated effort of the out-of-state truckers and foreign-owned slaughterhouses to make vast amounts of money by sending these horses to kill on the double-decker trucks that they simply do not fit in. They are injured or killed while making their journey, and are intentionally deprived of food, water and rest for days with no human respect to their most basic well-being. Cattle prods are applied with vicious disregard.

Although laws against cruelty and water deprivation do exist, there is no regard for obeying these laws, and so the system

proliferates. As a result, these animals are suffering mightily every single week.

The efforts that H.B. 2127 makes is a step in the right direction. I want you to know that we support that as horsemen in the industry. Having recently spoken to several hundred other Pennsylvania horsemen and women we definitely applaud your efforts. Like myself, we want this insanity to stop and the humane efforts to finally begin.

We actually envy the 1981 New York

State law which calls for protecting these
animals and allows for the arrests, convictions
and fines; and it's all based on the truckers'
abuse. New York has actually been calling for
stiffer fines on this issue.

Pennsylvania needs to aggressively follow this path, and I think that you are all aware of that today. However, H.B. 2127 definitely needs several necessary amendments before it will work. The professionals of the Equine Placement Network have called your attention to these changes for a reason, and I am proud to join their ranks. I wrote to many of you in my support of this bill and I begged

you to make every immediate effort to expedite it forward.

However, upon studying H.B. 2127
further, I recognize that there are several
loopholes that are glaringly obvious to
Pennsylvanians who have not just witnessed the
trucking abuse, but they also understand the
equine industry. I'd like to state those for
you clearly. Obviously, you traveled across
some of this information earlier, but I want to
make sure that I drive my point home.

All horses, and that has to be included, and not just slaughterbound. If H.B. goes on and says that it has to be just the slaughterbound, then the killer buyers and the auction culture will not be prevented from going to their homes, their feedlots, their other farms and other auctions. As you know, it was testified earlier that they actually are sometimes going to different locations and that they will take the horses there and, therefore, they are not lying when they say they are going to the other areas.

The second is that, if the term called intermediate handler does not get

those who gather these horses strictly for slaughter, then myself and other legitimate horsemen in the horse industry of Pennsylvania could potentially be affected when we ship our injured or ill animals for care. The killer buyers will make every effort to circumvent the law, and they will claim that they are taking the horse for treatment when, in reality, it's actually slaughterbound.

I witnessed this several weeks ago.

There was a downed palomino at New Holland who was owned already by a killer buyer and was shipped in on his truck. He was down and could not stand up on his feet, they were so painful. The killer buyer claimed then that he was taking the horse back to New York for treatment. He should have never brought the horse to the state, and he should not have been allowed to ship it out of the state. Both occurred.

that each violation becomes per horse per offense. The killer buyers cram these doors shut on as many horses as they can possibly

stuff into those trailers. To do this to these animals and then have the fine argued down to, say, \$50 for the full truckload is a real travesty.

2.2

Fourth is the Fit to Travel

Certificate is a must. It must stay in and

must be secured. There are two reasons, one of

which was not really discussed too much today.

The first one is that, those of us who have had

the experience of having our horses stolen will

now have an opportunity to identify where those

horses have gone. The Fit to Travel

Certificate asks for specific identification

marks.

I've spoken to horsemen up and down
the East Coast this week and previously and I
was present recently at a Pennsylvania auction
at New Holland when a neighbor's horse
apparently walked right through the sale and
onto a kill truck. It had been stolen some
years before out of Warrington, Pennsylvania.
The owner had frantically traced it up through
New York, South of Unadillo, and then a week
later back to New Holland. By the time she
sorted out all the deceptions that she was told

and she managed to trace the horse down into Texas, it had already been killed and there was no way, of course, to trace that.

We do nothing to approach this problem yet in the State of Pennsylvania. New Jersey, actually, I heard on the radio the other day they just announced that they are working on a five-year jail term for pet theft. I have to applaud that as well.

The second reason is that, an on-site equine veterinarian, and I emphasize equine, will then be obligated to approve only those horses who are capable of making the brutal journeys that these truckers are subjecting them to. Currently the truckers are going to Canada and they will stop off in another state and obtain a blanket health certificate for these animals. It's usually done by the side of the highway, and it's usually done by a small animal veterinarian. That is specifically so they can cross into the Canadian border.

This Fit to Travel Certificate will also stop what we view every week which is the shipment of the young and baby foals going.

They obviously get trampled enroute. The blind horses, we had spoken about that before. One thing that wasn't brought up I think--I did not hear it discussed--was the fact that when they go into the trailer, they need to drop down, it's simply a hole in the floor. These horses obviously can't see that. They are being whipped and beaten and cattle proded to get them in. It's quite awful. They can't see those ramps; they can't defend themselves.

This will also stop the horses who are so emaciated, and this is not uncommon at New Holland or Middleburg. They can barely stand; the pregnant mares who are about to foal, and those who are full of cancer and melanomas and infectious diseases such as strangles which has been going every week for human food, and despite the laws which are forbidding this. This is allowed there every single week.

This past week I also witnessed two mules who were suffering from a condition called tying-up. It's a spasmatic condition in their bodies. It's brought on by a lot of hard work and then maybe a day off. They actually

have a situation where they can no longer stand with their feet flat on the floor behind. They actually have to stand up on their toes just because everything is contracted. They were obviously in immense pain. They were actually very distressed and they needed immediate veterinarian care. They went on the killer trucks.

I also saw a three-legged crippled horse who could only hobble. He was allowed to go also because the current Pennsylvania law, and I'd like you to strike the next sentence in my testimony, which I got mixed up here. It says that it was stating that all four feet must be able to bear weight. That is incorrect.

The current P.A. cruelty law considered that situation acceptable. In truth, he had finally gotten so exhausted from trying to stand on one leg that he actually placed his one other leg on the floor.

Therefore, they considered the fact that he could go. This happens there every single week.

The foundered horses, which is an

extremely painful foot condition, where they actually take their weight as far as they can off the front end and rock it entirely to their hind end, they still of course have to bear weight on all four limbs and lie down as often as they can to relieve the pain; and yet, they are still forced to travel.

Colicked horses are allowed to go.

Obviously, I'm sure we have all heard of colic.

That's a very, very painful stomach condition

which can actually kill a horse. They're in

extreme stress. It's a veterinary condition.

It's an immediate and urgent veterinary care.

Any colic condition can degrade immediately and

horses can die from that. In all actuality, a

normal horseman won't even allow this and will

immediately put the horse down if they are not

going to do surgery.

I want to make a very clear statement that I have heard over the years. I've heard it again, and, of course, it's coming from me myself is that, Pennsylvania horsemen do not want this to continue to keep occurring. We are questioning why a handful of non-taxpaying killer buyers are allowed to be condoned with

1 this industry.

Two months ago I witnessed the horror of seeing two Amish animals hidden on a killer truck all day long before someone happened to look in and discover them. One was a three-legged Standardbred buggy horse who at one time had been a Standardbred horse with a racing career. The other was a very handsome blonde plowing mule. He had been hidden in the truck since a previous sale two days previously and his leg was snapped cleanly in half. It flopped uselessly and he gallantly tried to get off the back of the truck. There was 15-inch drop that he had to make it down. He also put that leg flat on the floor despite the fact it would bow when he did it.

The killer buyer claimed he had no idea that it was broken; that he liked and did not what to ship injured horses; that he did not know how the Standardbred had even gotten on his trailer, and that he was only going six hours away, even though he was going to a Canadian slaughterhouse which is at least 12 hours' distance.

I helped kill those two horses that

day. I felt only relief for them and anger at the agriculture and trucking communities that allow and encourage this system, and the complete avoidance of obeying the current cruelty laws in Pennsylvania. If a legitimate horseman such as myself had shipped those animals, we would be prosecuted for cruelty. In this specific case, there has been no action taken either against the trucker or the farmers who did this to these animals and then, again, tried to make meat money off of them.

Finally, this is a personal request from all horsemen across Pennsylvania and in fact across the United States, this actually isn't approached in your bill. You might want to consider adding it if you have the opportunity. These animals need water. They are being denied water on their way to the sale. They're being denied water at the sale. They're being denied water on the truck.

I recently spent some time researching the Domestic Animal Act which does, in fact, require watering these animals by law at the auctions and the agricultural material put out by Penn State which I gathered for you.

Some is for the community and some is for the students of Penn State. Their books and the pamphlets are adamant; adamant about the fact that the water deprivation is not safe, nor reasonable, and the figures they're giving are that a five percent loss of body waste creates colics and a ten percent loss can be fatal.

To give you a relative idea of ten percent, the horse that you saw on the picture there was about 1,000 pounds, 16-hand horse.

So, ten percent of the body weight loss we're talking about a hundred pounds of water weight. That's about 20 gallons, and that's about four buckets of water. A horse will drink this amount in a day alone and will suck down even more than that under stressful conditions: Of heat, of shipping, and so on.

These auctions and truckers willfully deny the animals water until they die, sometimes right at the sales. They get thrown out on top of the other animals that are on the dead pile. They call this mortality in the business, and claim that some mortality is expected in shipping animals to market. There are studies out right now that are proclaiming

that this is an okay practice in agriculture and shipping, but any livestock manager knows that studies can be skewed and that this is nonsense.

You can't tell me or any other
horseman across the United States that this is
a normal practice. We can take hundreds of
animals to competitions on a regular basis and
none of those will die. We take ever
precaution to make sure they get as much water
as they possibly can drink while traveling. In
fact, when we were talking about how many
hours, I'm adamant about offering my horses
water every two hours while they're traveling.
Whether they drink or not actually hasn't been
a problem. Sometimes they do. I don't go
anymore than that. The loss of water intake is
our greatest fear.

Incidentally, we also give them rest when shipping across the state. A lot of people will run to Florida and run back. A few times I have done the same. Even with the best precautions we'll still have sick horses. They still get shipping fever, which comes from too much traveling without rest and so far. It's

an infectious disease. For instance, when I was running horses out to the Midwest I would actually stay over somewhere, give them a stall and a pasture break, and so forth, just so they could stay healthy.

One of the hardest things is to watch these horses desperately seeking water when they have spent days on the road coming into Pennsylvania from the other sales and then being denied water all day while there. This is simply pure abuse, nothing else.

The ones that suffer the worst are those who then are forced to travel the southern routes in the heat, some 1,500 miles distance to Texas and Nebraska slaughterhouses. No one that I have ever met in the equine industry finds this to be acceptable. Yet, everybody wants you to believe that this is an acceptable practice so that the system can continue unabated.

Horses obviously do not fit in double deckers and the truckers simply want to have a faster turnaround time while shipping all types of animals without regard to their actual physical ability to travel. By making these

1 changes with H.B. 2127, the killer buyers won't 2 be losing significant amounts of money by not 3 hauling the animals which are already in 4 physical trouble, and it is only human decency to protect them or euthanize them before we 5 6 torture them any further. 7 As horsemen and women of Pennsylvania 8 we demand a change in our current laws, and we 9 implore you to immediately make our requested 10 changes in H.B. 2127. The professionals who 11 have gathered as the Equine Placement Network 12 have spelled them out. You have the power to 13 write these changes in, and your silence on 14 these would be acceptance. Otherwise, you 15 would shackle us with this tragedy. 16 I can now take any questions that you 17 might have. 18 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you. 19 Representative Lynch. 20 REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: No questions. 21 CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative 22 Manderino. 23 No, thank REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: 24 you.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative

25

Steelman.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: One relatively minor technical question. In the current bill we have got a requirement written in for any truck used to ship more than six horses should have an interior height of no less than six feet six inches.

From what you're saying, should we move up that standard to make it a minimum of seven feet, considering that these trucks often are used to transport draft horses and the fact that even the average sport horse in Pennsylvania seems to be getting bigger as more and more warm blood genes get in.

MS. ADKINS: I'm a little disappointed in myself. I actually had a photo of myself standing next to a Belgian. Again, you'll see that I only come to their nose. They are easily towering over me.

The tape line that you saw in the trailer is actually -- I'm the same height as that tape line. So, when you picture the fact that was a 16-hand horse and some of these Belgians are 18 hands, which for those of you who aren't in the horse business, it's four

inches to a hand, so it's eight inches tall at the shoulder and their heads just are so immense.

I personally, a horseman in

Pennsylvania, would love to see you go to seven
foot, and higher if you could. That's a

personal preference. I don't write

legislation. I don't claim to be able to do

your job. I'm just telling you what basic

horsemen in Pennsylvania have been trying to

say.

They just stuff these Belgians -- I have seen them going into smaller ones and I have heard stories of them riding on their knees to these slaughterhouses because they simply can't get up. The truth of it is, the seven-foot high trailers is what they're going in currently.

Now, if you can just imagine the

Coors Light commercials or the Budweiser

commercials are putting those horses in seven
foot high trailers, that's what they're going

in. That's a personal preference.

REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: Maybe we should emphasize we are talking specifically

1 about the largest trailers. There aren't a lot 2 of -- The trailer that you showed us was a two 3 horse. 4 MS. ADKINS: Right. That's your 5 basic --6 REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: There 7 aren't very many trailers just used by backyard 8 horse owners or by small stables that are built 9 to carry more than six horses. We would only 10 be talking about limitations on the biggest 11 trailers run by --12 MS. ADKINS: Commercial. 13 REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: 14 commercial operators. 15 MS. ADKINS: Right. That's correct. REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: We won't be 16 putting excessive hurdles in the way of people 17 18 who may have the six foot six inch, two horse 19 or four horse that has served them well for 20 many years. MS. ADKINS: That's correct. I don't 21 22 see it being a problem. Quite frankly, there's 23 good and bad in every industry. The horse 24 industry in general, you know, it has its rotten people, and it's got the people that are

25

going to be tough on their horses and do things
that aren't correct. In general, the horse
industry, again the general population, it's
fairly obvious if the horse doesn't fit you get
a bigger trailer. It just doesn't get more
obvious than that.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative Schuler.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you.

Ms. Adkins, you heard previous discussions here
about enforcement; what is cruel; what is it as
we say here, inhumane.

On page 3 of your testimony, the first full paragraph you mentioned, and the complete avoidance of obeying the current cruelty laws in Pennsylvania. If a legitimate horseman had shipped those animals, he would be prosecuted. Seems to me that you're saying that there are some laws. Maybe we have an enforcement problem.

MS. ADKINS: I think there are some laws and I think there are some vague laws. We have a problem with enforcement specifically in Lancaster County. Even if it was enforced, some of the stories that have come back or if

1 it goes on to trial of some sort, that the --I'm going back some years here because nobody 3 seems to recall actually doing anything about 4 this problem, despite all the complaints; that the fines are so minimal that it doesn't dissuade people from continuing to abuse these animals.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: That's what the other representative was making a reference to.

Correct. I heard MS. ADKINS: somebody, and I forget who it was said, if we are going to make some laws, we ought to put some bite into it. Please, by all means.

Legitimate horsemen aren't -- This is a criminal element we're talking about. Legitimate horsemen aren't doing this. extent they're not going to be affected by this kind of thing, unless you get the kind of people who ship horses with snapped-off legs; not in the sense where they're to going to veterinarian care and all efforts are being made for their personal health and well-being, but shoving them in a trailer with a broken leg and not being concerned about their health.

1	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Did you see
2	the pictures that the doctor showed?
3	MS. ADKINS: Yes.
4	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Do you
5	believe if that were to happen in Pennsylvania,
6	could we in Pennsylvania prosecute that
7	trucker
8	MS. ADKINS: I would like
9	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: under
10	existing law?
11	MS. ADKINS: Under existing law? I
12	have heard of attempts to do it. I have heard
13	of attempts that weren't successful in doing
14	that sort of thing.
15	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Why weren't
16	they successful?
17	MS. ADKINS: I actually don't know.
18	I was younger then. I remember that. That was
19	I think '80 when those pictures were taken. I
20	actually remember seeing it in the paper and
21	people talking about it. I know that efforts
22	are made.
23	One of the things I think that we run
24	into is, not everybody understands horses.
25	They are a niche. They're a very strong niche

1 in Pennsylvania. I believe we are second to 2 dairy. But the problem, of course, is that 3 your basic person who might try to arrest or 4 prosecute this trucker or the basic judge who 5 might hear it, there has to be very, very set and specific guidelines that nobody can go 6 7 wrong on and nobody can lower the fines on. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: It just 9 raised my concern because I think we may have 10 some enforcement problem in this whole 11 operation. You did say that if a legitimate horsemen shipped it, he would be prosecuted. 12 13 But if the killer shipped it, it appears as 14 though you're saying they wouldn't be 15 prosecuted. 16 MS. ADKINS: They're not being 17 prosecuted. 18 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: But 19 legitimate people are? Nobody is 20 REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: being prosecuted. 21 22 MS. ADKINS: Nobody is -- Right. 23 Nobody is chasing these horse people around. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: You said

25

they would be.

1	REPRESENTATIVE STEELMAN: That might
2	be a typo.
3	MS. ADKINS: I might have been
4	incorrect about that. If I tried to do the
5	damage that that mule had to it
6	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: In other
7	words, we're not enforcing any of the law.
8	Okay?
9	MS. ADKINS: Sure.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SCHULER: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,
12	Representative Schuler. Representative
13	Masland.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I was an
15	Assistant DA in Cumberland County for a number
16	of years. We did have some cruelty to animal
17	laws. You do find that they're not the
18	transportation type cases that we're talking
19	about here.
20	I was talking to Representative
21	Maitland. To a certain extent when you think
22	about limitations on law enforcement personnel,
23	if you are dealing with a three hundred dollar
24	fine for a summary offense, you're going to
25	probably look for the more serious misdemeanor

felony cases and spend your time there as opposed to worrying about summary offenses.

MS. ADKINS: We would love to see this go to misdemeanors, quite frankly.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: I'm a little bit confused though about the water. If a horse is going to lose ten percent of his body weight, a hundred pounds, it would seem to me that somebody who wants to sell the horse for the most they can get is going to try to get that horse to drink as much water as they can before going to the auction. Is that what happens?

MS. ADKINS: We are confused on that issue too. That would make total sense if you're buying by the pound. I think one of the complaints you hear back is that, well, it's water weight and we don't want to have to pay the gas to haul water weight. So if we let these horses drink, they're carrying water weight and we're paying extra money to get them across country.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Is there some kind of unwritten agreement between the parties that if you are taking a horse and it's

going to be ultimately going to slaughter,

don't give it a whole lot of water to drink or

have it waiting around at the auction house for

a day or so without drinking any water before

you sell it and weigh it?

MS. ADKINS: I don't know about the unwritten agreement because I'm not in that industry, and I'm not in that business. I do know that it's just flat out livestock management that tells you that. I have friends who actually haul cattle to feedlots and conditioning lots and then slaughtered out West. They're appalled by what's going on here.

One of the things that they have is a conditioning lot. They say that the animals who come in who have been denied water for any length of time take months to put any type bloom back on them to fatten them up. You would think it's not to their benefit to be doing what they're doing.

REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: One question about the height. I'm a little bit confused.

As I look at some of these double-deck trailers

I figure that if you just take out that second

1	deck then you don't need to worry about the
2	height. Are there some trailers that they are
3	shipping on that really are only six feet even
4	as a single deck? That seems kind of short.
5	MS. ADKINS: Again, somebody had
6	mentioned the minis. I think they exist. I am
7	not aware of any. I might be wrong, but I'm
8	not aware of any. It's perfectly logical to me
9	that if you take the double deck out, suddenly
10	you have height, and that's that.
11	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: You need to
12	add a whole lot of other things in there too
13	obviously; partitions. Thank you very much.
14	MS. ADKINS: That's not that big of a
15	deal. I take that partition in and out in
16	about 30 seconds by myself.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MASLAND: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
19	Maitland.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MAITLAND: No
21	questions.
22	CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Representative
23	Caltagirone.
24	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: No
25	questions.

much, Ms. Adkins, for attending the hearing today and sharing your testimony with us.

That is the last witness who we'll have before the committee today. Mr. Russell Williams, Vice President of Hanover Shoe Farms, hosted the committee yesterday on a tour of that wonderful facility. We felt his testimony wasn't necessary. He gave us a lot of information when we met with him yesterday.

With that I'd like to recognize Representative Lynch to make a statement.

REPRESENTATIVE LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Once again, I want to thank you and all the members of the committee for being here and for everybody who did come. One thing I do want to put on the record here is something that I intentionally did not bring up at the time I gave my testimony.

As the discussions continue in this legislation, it's something that I would want to be involved in the discussions, and that's dealing with the importation of the mustangs, the wild mustangs from out West. A lot of people are probably unaware of this problem.

Adopt-A-Program from out West where a person can go out and buy a wild mustang for as low as \$25 and bring it back, hopefully with the intent of giving little Johnnie or Susie a pet to ride which rarely happens. The fact that the meat market is working this area, they go

The federal program, the

\$25; bring it back to Pennsylvania; keep it for

out and buy these wild mustangs for as low as

the mandatory one year and then sell it for

seven or \$800 or more.

I think that when we do the discussion on this bill, somewhere, if it's possible, I'd like us to look at this issue as well, including it somewhere in the legislation. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON GANNON: Thank you,
Representative Lynch. I also wanted to thank
the Gettysburg Hotel for providing this
facility to the committee today. It's a very
nice facility to have a hearing like this. I
want to thank all the witnesses.

If there is no other further business connected with the hearing, this meeting is adjourned.

1 (At or about 1:25 p.m. the hearing 2 concluded) 3 5 CERTIFICATE 6 7 I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary 8 Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and 9 for the County of York, Commonwealth of 10 Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing 11 is a true and accurate transcript of my 12 stenotype notes taken by me and subsequently 13 reduced to computer printout under my 14 supervision, and that this copy is a correct 15 record of the same. 16 This certification does not apply to 17 any reproduction of the same by any means 18 unless under my direct control and/or 19 supervision. 20 Dated this 22nd day of July, 1998. 21 22 23 24 Karen J. Meister - Reporter

Notary Public

25

\$

\$100 60:21 \$11,000 60:13 \$150 76:2 **\$2,500.00** 62:6 **\$25** 184:4, 9 **\$25,000** 141:10 **\$38,000.00** 130:24 **\$4** 115:24 \$4,000.00 92:12 **\$45,000.00** 93:25 **\$50** 101:2, 161:3 \$50.00 45:4 **\$500** 59·22; 60·22; 61:5; 62:14 **\$500.00** 34.6 **\$60,000** 93:23 \$600 119 22 \$800 184:11

1

1,000 168:10 1,500 127:22; 170:14 **1,800** 135:5 10 39:22, 55:6, 15; 94:9; 108:12 10-feet 47:25 10-foot 47:20:49:11 11 88:15 110 87:16 11:15 66:5 **12** 29:22; 58:7; 115:16, 17; 116:8, 22; 166:23 **120** 83:2 **13** 56·25; 57·2; 58:7 130 83:2 14 29:5; 55:13; 111:9; 132:10 **140** 33:17; 34:9; 61:18 **15** 29:6; 82:9, 14; 88.8; 89:23; 91:18; 94:14, 15, 15; 98:1; 109:16; 118:12 **15-inch** 154:9; 166:13 150,000 81:24 16 55:2 16-hand 151·17; 168:10; 172 23 17 140:24 **18** 87:5; 88:20, 100:4; 116:9; 118:10, 172:24 1863 79:21 19 142:22 **1980** 29:21, 22; 39:19, 20; 41:23 1981 158·11 1984 31:25 **1989** 31 8, 148:8

19 1998 185:20 1:24-26 7:17 1:25 185:1 1E 37 9

2

2 24:17; 83:24; 139:21 **20** 39·22; 116:9; 130:8; 168.13 200 90:4 **203** 55:13 **2127** 4:3, 6; 10:5; 28:15; 80:12, 15, 126.13, 18, 130:14; 131.6; 135.20; 142:23; 149:10; 156:18; 158:3, 19, 159.3, 160 22, 171:1, 10 **22** 145:24 22nd 185 20 23 141:5 **24** 90:4; 108:6 **25** 83:3, 118:18, 19; 119.1; 126:16; 150:1 **250** 128:5; 143·23; 147:21, 22 **27** 31:25 **275** 143:23; 147:23 **28** 83:3

3

3 22:13; 24:19; 51:19; 139:21; 155:6; 175.13 30 4:9; 42:6; 106:24, 25, 118:18, 19; 119:1; 127:23; 131:15; 182:16 30-foot 103:19 310,000 81:25 32 55:4; 61:20 34 12:10; 34:17 35 37:8; 38:13 359.A 37:9

4

4-H 104:11; 149:20 **40** 34:11, 12; 37:15, 22; 38:14; 61:12; 78:24; 112:1; 131:15, 17; 135:2 **42** 32:10; 35:3 **45-degree** 154:10 **48** 141:5 **4:45** 32:3; 35:5

5

5 11:11; 24:17; 52.23; 58:6 **50** 55:10, 15; 82:11; 88:4,

50-by-8 100:13, 14 **54** 88:10, 18, 20; 118:9 **550** 127:21 **5:30** 32:24

6

60 67:14; 81.10 60-foot 103:18 64 55:4; 61:25 65th 4:5

7

70 45:21, 82:11, 25; 148:13; 153:4 **75** 108.13

8

8 11 10 **80** 30:4; 82:11, 25; 153:4; 177:19 **80's** 30:18 **800** 76:3; 90:5 **85** 76:14

9

90 83:1; 108 11, 139:18 **95** 108:13

A

a.m 32:3, 24; 155:6 A9 32:1 abhorrent 21:15 abide 56:13 ability 14:25; 17.9; 23:19; 70:24; 170:25 **able** 15:1; 35:21; 41·7, 12; 44:14, 20, 22; 46:20; 49:21; 57:25; 71:11; 84:4; 89:12; 90:12; 119:20; 154:3; 164:15, 173:8 above 152:3 absolute 103.15 Absolutely 105:9; 160:22 **absurd** 90:7 abuse 158 15, 159:7; 170:11; 176:6 abused 66.23 abusers 7:8 abusing 17:10 abusive 157:11 academy 29:15; 55:3 accept 106:3 acceptable 68 16; 79:11,

14, 15; 164:18; 170:17, 19 acceptance 71:6; 171:14 accepted 8:5; 128:1; 143:14 acclimated 29:13 accompanying 150:12 accomplished 94:23 According 115:20 account 4:9 accountable 8:14 accountant 21:8 accounts 147:21 accumulative 33·12; 34.7 accurate 111:20, 21; 185 11 accustomed 21:18; 80:11 across 29:9; 72.22; 89 25; 112:21; 146:15; 159:9; 167:13, 14; 169:6, 20, 180:22 **Act** 144 12, 167:22 action 33:2; 167 8 actions 156.11 activities 149:20 actual 88·1; 170:24 actuality 165:15 actually 15:23; 20:20; 21:14; 24:4; 42:1, 15; 63:1; 73.5; 86:7; 98:8; 102:18; 104:19; 106.12; 114.7, 131:3; 133:11; 151:12, 25; 152:9, 16, 19; 155·1, 24; 158:11, 15; 159·19; 160:10; 162:6; 163:25; 164:3, 5, 20; 165:2, 11; 167:14; 169.15; 170:3; 172:16, 21, 176:3; 177:17, 20; 181:11 ad-lib 4:10 ADA 34:21; 61:8 adamant 168:3, 3; 169:13 add 33·14; 71:14, 147:25; 182:12 added 16:17; 127.2; 129:3; 155:1 adding 8:25; 167:16 addition 75:14 additional 15.8; 68:21; 145:5 additionally 150:10 address 22:15: 68:24; 104:20; 111:14, 113:10; 136.23; 140:5 addressed 136:25 adequate 58 12 adios 85 17

adjourned 184:25

Adkins 149:4, 6, 7;

21; 176:11; 177(4);

178:16, 22; 179:3, 9;

172:15; 174(4); 175.10,

180:3, 14; 181.6; 182:5,

14:183:2 admit 19:8; 52:14 Adopt-A-Program 184 2 adrenal 73:18 advised 6·22; 33:10 aesthetics 77:8 affect 73:3: 130·4: 146:23; 147:1 affected 73:3; 160:5; 176:18 affecting 76:25 affects 77:7, 8 afforded 80:4, 9 afraid 77:20 afternoon 23:11; 29:2; 149:6 Ag 29:14; 30:22; 31(4), 32:14; 33:5, 8; 34.4; 37:10, 55:15; 56:13; 61:6; 62:18; 107:6; 108.5; 130:10 **Again** 7:12; 8:16; 14:20; 16.3; 17:12, 18:9, 22:18; 26:21, 24, 34:6; 40.19; 41:25, 44.16; 50.11; 52:24; 59:15, 15, 21; 84:12; 93.9; 115:21; 116:11, 14, 151.21; 153:20; 155:11; 157:10, 165:21; 167:10; 172.17, 175:3; 182:5; 183 14 against 50.20; 60:14; 120:7; 157:23; 167:9 **Agawam** 76:1 agencies 38:21 **agenda** 28:16 agent 33:18, 23; 34:1; 55:2; 59:18 **agents** 142:12 ages 127·19 aggressive 50:5, 52:24; 54:10;86 17 aggressively 158:17 aggressor 69:8 aggressors 69:19 aghast 6:24 ago 50:10, 23; 51:8; 67:17; 77:17; 80:24; 81:9; 88.10; 144:13; 156:2, 7; 160:11; 166:2 agreeable 98:22 **agreement** 180:24: 181:7 agricultural 167:24 **Agriculture** 23:3, 18; 31:17; 107 20; 108:23; 111:25; 144:15; 145:4; 149:22; 157:1; 167.2, 169:1 agriculture-related 149:20 ahead 157:6 aid 131:25 air 82:13, 84:16; 85:2; 97:23; 103:18; 145:12; 154:24; 155:2

1992 31:14; 33:21

1997 55:2; 60:11; 61·11,

aisleway 83:13 Albany 33.8; 42:4; 62:17 Albanv-l've 61:7 Albany_Times_Union 42:14 alive 70:20: 129:24 allocated 144:17 allow 13:8; 128:17; 165:16: 167:3 allowance 156:22 allowed 160:20:163:19: 164:10; 165:8, 25 allowing 4:17, 20; 66:14 allows 158:13 alluded 64·21 almost 29.5; 48:1, 49:13; 108.4, 150.1 alone 168:15 along 14:21; 55:17, 77:15, 79:6; 86:19; 95:15; 149:25; 151:10, 152:14 alongside 79:22 aiready 9:17: 24·8, 25.12: 41:17; 80:16; 104:4; 109.4; 113:10; 128:14; 130.5, 10; 133:12; 135:20; 152:2, 8; 160:13; 162:2; although 21.15: 66:15: 71:15; 146:9; 150:21; 155:22; 156:7, 157:23 aluminum 86:6 always 29:17; 32:2; 45:13; 82:6; 102:16 amenable 94:21 amendment 18.16 amendments 63:13: 140:3; 158:20 **America** 67:18 American 6:10 AmFran 74.11; 75:9; 148:11 Amish 146 2: 166.3 amount 168:15 amounts 157·14; 171:2 **analyst** 66:19 **ancestors** 6:1, 6, 9 ancestral 69.24 ancestry 6:10 and/or 185 18 anemia 106:14; 107:18 anger 167 1 angle 154:10 anguish 68.9 anguished 69·22 animal 6:15; 7:18; 11:10; 24 18; 25:15; 31:20, 42 15; 46:14; 47:15, 48:14, 16; 70:18; 74:11; 79:15; 98:4, 101:15; 116:3, 131 13; 134:6; 137:9, 138:20; 153:3; 162.20; 167:22; 179:16 animals 7:4, 9, 21, 8:21;

11:13, 19, 23; 12:12; 16:24; 17:2; 20:8; 22:6; 25:22; 26:7, 19, 30.13; 31:20; 41:15; 53:3; 57:9; 58:9, 68:22; 69:21, 23; 70:4; 73:2, 21; 75:17; 80.3, 7; 92:3; 94:19; 95(4); 111:6; 113:4, 6; 131:16; 132:21; 135:10; 139:2, 4; 151:23; 153:4; 156:10; 158:1, 13: 160:6; 161:2; 162:18; 166:3; 167(4); 168:19, 21, 24; 169:8; 170:24; 171:3; 175:17; 176:7; 181:16 announced 162:7 anybody 51:3; 56:1; 57:17; 72:19; 104:6; 107:25; 154:13 anymore 40:22, 43.21; 56:6; 81:3; 87:19; 90:10, 12; 112:15; 118:24; 169:17 anyone 7:1, 3; 134:4 anywhere 16:9; 79:2; 81:24; 83:2, 106:18 apart 37:14 appalled 181:13 apparently 77:4; 161:19 appear 33:4: 39:16 appearance 31:3; 33:3; appeared 34:9; 36:8 appears 178:13 applaud 158:8; 162:9 applied 98·18; 157·21 applies 126:24 **apply** 8:24; 11:13; 89:9; 94:2; 126:21; 137:1, 4; 185:16 applying 24:10, 11; 142:20 appreciate 78:13; 148.25 apprised 42:18 approach 162:4 approached 167:15 appropriate 26:6: 96:9. appropriately 13:3 Appropriations 144:14 approve 162:12 approximately 151:7 **April 31:8, 14** area 20:9; 23:10; 32·5; 75:1; 103:23; 117 7; 152:5; 184.7 areas 27:18, 23: 159:23 Arena 142:4 **argued** 161:2 argument 18:15; 19:11, 12; 23:1; 136:5, 5; 138:23 arguments 22.3, 111:13;

around 17:3; 32:7; 35:22, 42:2, 43:25; 44:9; 47:23; 81:15; 83:9; 86:18; 95:19; 97:3, 25; 105:13; 115:7, 143:9; 153:8, 19; 154:14, 178:23; 181:3 arrangement 28:23 arrest 59:25; 60:11; 134:20, 178:3 arrested 30.18, 21; 31 15, 18; 32:14, 18; 33:22; 55:1, 1, 4; 56:16; 61:11; 63:1, 7, 8; 142 22 arresting 59:18, 19 arrests 54:22: 55:14, 17, 158:13 arrive 67:10 article 148:8, 15 articles 42:20, 23 aside 73:7, 95:16 assembly 127:10 assessment 111 21 assets 80:3; 115:22 assistance 48·15 Assistant 179·15 assisting 67:11 **Associated Press** 42:1 assume 73.21; 94:4 assuming 93.18; 98:21 assumption 92:25 attached 34:16 attack 69:18 attempts 177.12, 13 attendance 27:11 attendant 40:9 attendants 85:5 attended 31:8 attending 66:2; 155:16; 183.2 attention 158:23 attitude 144:22, 25 attorney 21:3, 7: 22:25; 32 24, 34:8, 21; 36:7 attorneys 20:20; 33:9 **auction** 9:14, 41:8; 45:3; 56:11, 17; 67.16; 75:7, 128:4; 137:17; 142:15; 143:22, 146:9; 148.12; 159:16, 161:17; 180:12; 181:3 auctioned 41 11 auctions 18:20; 89:3, 16, 142:10; 155:17; 156:22; 157:7, 9, 159:18; 167:24; authority 8:6, 8, 10 available 81.22 average 143 25; 147:18; 151:1, 19; 172:12 average-sized 118:15; 119:1 averages 128.5 avoid 104:17; 142:19

aware 9:23; 29:14; 75.4; 152:11; 158:19; 182:7, 8 away 42:14; 44:17; 69:8; 97:17; 156:2; 166:22 awful 163:9

R **B** 29.7 babies 69 15; 71·1; 90:21 baby 69:14; 70:8; 100:6; 162.25 back 5:23; 16:3; 29:15, 21: 30:18, 31:25: 32:7, 7; 33:16, 17, 21; 34:24; 35:15, 18; 38:15; 39:2, 19; 40:6, 10; 48.16; 60:11, 19; 61:19; 62:25, 67:13, 16, 76.10; 84:15, 21, 85:4, 6, 7, 87 7, 14; 88:12, 14, 15; 95:15, 21, 97:14, 22; 98:10, 15; 100:16; 102:25; 105.2; 109:7; 114:19; 116.17; 123.4, 6, 8; 124:11; 129:13; 152:9; 153.20; 155.3; 157:6; 160.17; 161:24; 166:13; 169:21; 175:25; 176:2, 180.17; 181:19; 184:4, 9 backed 95·15 background 35:16; 44.20; 127:15; 149:15 backyard 119:19; 150:6; 174.7 bad 30:23: 98:7: 101:18, 20; 114:8, 8, 12; 123:14; 174:23 badly 43:24 bag 87:10 **Bainbridge** 60:7; 135:23; 143:3 **balance** 98:13 **bales** 98:11 baling 115:9 Baltimore Sun 148:8 ban 64.9 bare 115·17 barely 32·11; 163:13 barn 24:24; 83:19, 87:2 Barney 42:15, 24 Barracks 29:7 **base** 117.24 based 149:22; 158:14 bases 105:24 basic 65:21; 71:9; 150 23; 151 2, 15, 23; 152.15; 157:20; 173:9; 174:5; 178:3, 4 **basically** 12.21; 32 16; 47:8, 14; 51:23; 54.14; 58.4; 78:23; 114:23; 151.17

beams 129:7:151:11 bear 5.8; 164:15, 165.4 beat 65:10 **beaten** 163:8 beautifully 45 7 beauty 9.2 became 29:14 become 7:10; 13:17; 120:1 becomes 160:23 beef 21:18 begged 158:25 begin 110:18, 158:10 beginning 16:12; 18:11 **begins** 5:20 behavior 52:24 behind 79:20; 87.15; 107:6; 164:2 **Bei-Tex** 141:12 Belgian 155:13; 172:17 **Belgians** 155:12; 172:24; 173 12 **belief** 147:6 believe 6:2; 10:4; 27·21; 29:21; 30·3, 31:19; 32:20; 33:24; 34.23; 36:8; 60:25; 61:13, 20; 76:20; 89:2; 135:8; 138.6; 141:21; 148:20; 170:18; 177:5; 178:1 **belly** 44 9 below 32:10; 35:3; 151:12 benefit 130:17; 131:7, 20; 132:2; 181:20 **Berks** 120:12 **Berry** 28:6: 78.17: 81:19. 23, 88:6; 90:24; 91:13; 95:6; 96(4); 97(4); 101:16; 102:22, 103:13; 104:6; 106:7, 12: 107:22: 109:3, 11, 110(4); 111:7, 22; 112:18; 113:7, 12, 15; 114:12, 21; 115:6, 117 7 118.18, 23, 119:9; 120:20, 23; 121:2, 22; 122(4); 123:3, 7, 13; 124:12, 22; 125:6, 11, 24; 126:5, 7, 9; 133:9; 134:10, 15, 22; 136:9, 15, 24; 138:7, 17; 139:1, 7, 25; 140:7, 20; 141:17, 20, 25; 142:5, 8; 143:14, 21; 144:3; 145.18, 24; 146:6, 12; 147:9, 18; 148.4, 7, 23; 149:1 best 16:1, 25:2; 33:2; 116:1; 118:11; 169:22 **better** 4 12; 6:11, 7:6; 44:18; 65:21; 103:2 **Bible** 7:16; 8:2, 18 biblical 8:3, 18 **big** 38:5, 7; 48:3, 7; 49:11, 50:11, 13, 51:1, 3; 57:12, 76:8; 100:6; 109:8; 155:14; 182:14 bigger 86:22; 87:19;

112:12

Arlo 140:23

Army 6:18

avoidance 167.4; 175.15

basis 169:8

basketball 85:23

bathroom 116:8

153:3, 172:13; 175.5 biggest 6:9: 174:10 **Bill** 4:3, 6: 5:22: 10:5, 11: 15:8, 22:10, 27:17; 28:15; 37:19; 41:15; 42:2, 4, 46.10; 47:8; 53:15, 54:11; 65:13; 107:9, 115 16, 117.15, 118:13, 16; 140:4; 158:25, 167.15; 172:4, 184 13 Binghamton 45:18 biologist 24:6, 77:2 bit 12:9; 31:12; 53 12, 25; 62:3, 91:7; 95:14; 115:14; 149:14, 180.6; 181:23 bite 44:6, 176:14 bites 41.1 biting 54 6 **bitterly** 116.12 black 20·19; 21·10; 22:4; 130:13 bladder 67:8 blanket 162.17 bleeding 44:10; 70.21 blind 25:8, 46:14, 47.3; 49:8, 20; 50:6, 95(4); 96:1, 99:12, 15, 100:1, 101 12; 102:14, 23; 103:4; 163:1 **blonde** 166.8 blood 6:12; 64·3; 69:17, 23; 73(4); 106:13, 13; 120:18; 172:14 bloom 181.19 blush 22:25 board 55:5; 146:15 **bodies** 163:24 body 35:13; 77.5; 152:25; 168.6, 11; 180.7 **bolts** 81:20 bones 44:8: 81:14 book 77:16 **booklet** 129:18 **books** 80·16, 21, 130·7; 168:2 border 29:9, 10; 131:11; 162.22 **borders** 72.22 boss 86.21, 23 both 13:16; 25:8; 42:5; 46.15; 47:12; 49:8, 20; 50:6; 55:7, 56:19, 61:17; 74 11, 143:24, 24; 149:17, 151.23; 160:20 bother 39:6, 86:11, 112:5, 24 **bothers** 21:17; 107·4 **bottom** 27.19, 25; 35.24; 43.24; 81:11, 141.11 bought 76·13; 77:17; 130:21; 146.5 **bounce** 84.21 bouncing 84:25 bound 16:9, 126:22; 137 5

bounds 100.18 bow 71 12, 166:16 box 49·12; 84:1, 9; 86:2; 93.24; 122:5 **boxes** 122:8 boy 81.2 **brand-new** 32:23 break 25:4; 66.5; 140 2, 170.4 breathing 7:11 bred 26 16 breeding 122:6, 24 breeds 127:19 brevity 5:3; 7:14 brief 8.21, 49:4 briefly 6:8, 8; 40:14 bring 7:18; 27 13; 36:20; 115.24; 130.14; 142:12, 146:20; 150:23; 153:7; 183:18; 184:4, 9 bringing 56·12; 89:15; 149:11 brings 70.6 British 51:8 broaden 16.21 **broke** 137.22 broken 24:20, 24; 81:13; 83:18:96:23, 99:24, 100.5, 23; 137:16, 16; 155:20, 166:18; 176:24 broken-legged 71:2 Brook 78.17, 20, 21, 80.1, 24; 81:19; 88:2; 123:24; 128:14 **Brosius** 144:15 brought 31:12; 34 24; 42 3; 48:14; 85:4; 102:9; 160:18, 163 3, 24 brutal 81·12; 156.22; 162.13 brutalized 79.15 buck 119:15, 16 **bucket** 82 23: 84:11 bucketful 41:1 **buckets** 84:17; 168:14 **bucking** 153:5 **bucks** 90.15 **buddy** 124:14 budget 144.17 Budweiser 173:20 buggy 166:6 **Building 132:7** built 91:9; 174·8 bunch 53.20 burdensome 105:23 buried 90:13; 99:1 **burnt 84:5 burros** 11.20 **business** 12:23, 36:15; 68:18; 75:25; 91:24; 127:23, 128.10; 129:17; 102:19, 112:1, 120:3; 130:17; 131:3; 132.19, 125.7; 127.7; 147:7; 133:6; 136.18; 137:2, 8;

184.23 businessmen 76 7 buv 19.5, 76:1: 90:3: 105:1: 112:19, 124:16, 22, 23, 130:19; 146.2, 154 21; 184:3.8 buyer 135(4), 136:2; 160.13, 16; 166:17 **buyers** 68:5; 75:8; 89:11; 102:20; 123:25; 127:14, 128.8, 9; 131:8; 133:3; 135:22; 159:15; 160.7, 24, 165.25; 171:1 buying 18 23; 75·10, 93:10; 146.25, 25; 180:16 **Byron** 8:23 California 41 21; 57:6; 64:13, 71.17; 77:22; 78:3; 134.25 call 5.24; 21:1; 39.21, 24; 51.24; 52.7; 53 5; 63:2, 3; 98:25; 101.2, 121:3, 123 17; 154:20; 168-22 called 30:2, 24, 38:24; 40.12, 13; 45:19; 56:15; 77:18; 92:12, 105:4; 142:14, 156.25; 158-22; 159:25; 163.23 calling 62.25; 158·15 **calls** 9:21; 92·18, 150·12; 157.3, 158.12 **Caltagirone** 13 22, 23; 14.18; 16 13, 20; 17:18, 22, 18:3; 19:21, 64:1, 2, 15; 76:17, 18, 120:10, 11; 140.16, 17, 141:14, 18, 23; 142:3, 6; 143:10, 19; 144.1, 4; 182:23, 24 came 32:7; 33:17; 39·2, 24; 42·19; 45.2, 86:13; 145:23 camera 85:10 cameras 85:9 can 9.15; 17:16; 22:23; 23.15; 25:17; 27:14; 28:7; 34:25, 35(4); 37:10; 38:18; 43:20, 22, 44:5, 13, 19; 47:6, 8; 53:6; 54:18; 55 21; 58:1, 15, 16: 69:9, 25, 70.4, 71.4, 72:19; 76.1; 77:2; 82.5, 8, 13; 83:13, 25; 84.7, 8, 25, 85:10; 86:4, 7, 14; 87:25; 88:8; 90:3; 91:17, 92:24; 94:13, 17, 23; 95.6, 25; 96.1; 97:4, 25; 98:21, 99:15, 16, 24; 102:10, 104:1; 105:12, 21, 107.25, 112 18, 114 10; 115 21; 116:5, 8, 23; 120:17; 125 25,

152.18, 153:3, 8, 23, 154:14; 155:21; 160:25; 162:21; 163:13, 164:1, 165(5); 168:7, 169:3, 7, 11, 170:19; 171:16, 173:19: 178 6, 7: 180:10, 11; 184:3 Canada 36:20: 57:12; 64:6; 67:23; 75:11, 110.24; 111:3; 120.25; 122:4, 123:2, 127:21; 128.7; 131:23; 137:11; 162:16 Canadian 29.9, 30:19; 122:10; 131:11; 162.22; 166.23 Canadians 55:22, 71:16, 124:5 cancer 163·15 **capable** 162:13 car 32:11, 40:1; 76 10 Caracens 50 23 care 8.11; 20:8; 39:4; 56:1; 59.25, 66:25; 67.11; 68:20, 70:9, 12, 71:9; 83.14, 15, 96.21; 116 17; 121:6; 135.10, 138:1; 143:7; 160:6; 164.7, 165:13; 176:22 cared 100:24 career 166.8 carefully 25.1 Carey 30:8; 42:6 cargo 68:14, 20 caring 6:15; 68:4 **Carlson** 29:23 Carol 66:8, 9 Carolinas 117 11 Carper 31:16: 32:13: 33.22, 23, 34 23; 36 13; 60:11; 104:23 carpeting 86:9 carriage 153 15 carrier 78:7; 123:25 carrier-size 88:3 carriers 78:25; 94:11; 111:23 carries 134:5, 139:18 carry 125:25; 174:9 **carrying** 180:20 cars 76:9 cart 134:5 case 16 10; 25:18, 37:8; 52:10; 77:3; 100:12; 118.8, 11; 134:24, 135:7; **153:12; 155·4, 157:8;** 167:8 cases 119.24; 179:18; 180.1

87.15, 20; 88.2, 12, 95.22; 114:25, 118:10; 127:18; 129:10, 20; 136.10, 17; 154.9; 157:21, 163 8; Catch 145.24 categories 148:3 cats 70:10 cattle 7:19, 21; 20:9; 26:2, 4, 15; 27:24; 43(5), 74:18, 20; 81.12; 82.10, 12,

181:11 cause 36:6; 68:7; 73·18, 127 24; 129:12, 13, 16 **caused** 68:6 ceiling 86:7; 128:20, 22; 129(4) ceilings 43:10 center 39:9 centerbounds 152:24 cents 76:14 centuries 26:16 certain 22·11; 73:10; 101 5; 124:20, 21, 179-21 certainly 8.24, 14:17; 16:16; 23:22; 68:19; 73:14:77:2 certificate 106:4, 20, 21, 107:2, 13, 19; 110:25; 120.20, 22; 131(4); 132:5; 137:4; 161.6, 13; 162:17, 23, 185:5 certificates 109:10: 131:6 certification 121:1: 185.16 certified 31·10; 60.10 **certify** 185:10 cetera 21:19, 19; 99·2 **chain** 87:9 Chairman 4:16, 10:1, 20, 11:3; 12:7; 13.19, 24; 16:6, 17:20, 25, 19:20, 24.4; 28:12; 29:2, 48:25, 49 4; 51:17; 56.24; 64:16, 20, 76.23; 78:19; 91 3; 102:3, 109.22; 110:1: 114:5; 117:21; 126:10, 133:11, 18, 139:10, 144:5, 9; 145.10; 183:14; 184:16 **CHAIRPERSON 4.1:** 10(5); 11:4, 12:4, 13:20; 17:21; 19 17; 23:25; 27.5, 10; 28 2, 9, 13; 39:13; 46:6; 49:1; 51:11, 14; 56:21; 59:9; 60:17; 63:22, 25, 64:17; 66:1, 7, 12; 71:23; 72(5); 73:25; 74:4, 76:16, 20; 77:21; 78.2, 10, 15, 90:25; 91.4; 102:4; 109:23; 114:2; 117 18; 118:4; 120(4); 121:18, 23; 122.2, 14, 25; 123:5, 11; 125:1; 126.1, 6; 133(4); 134:1: 139:11, 140:8, 12, 15; 144:6; 145:7; 147:12, 148:2, 5, 22; 149:3, 171:18, 21, 25; 175:7; 179.11; 182:18, 22; 183:1; 184:17 chances 60.5 **change** 70:24; 94:16; 171:8 **changed** 36:11; 139:16;

168:23, 172:25, 181:8,

142.15, 18; 149.12,

148.18 changes 67:20, 20, 80:12; 89:8; 158:23; 171:1, 10, 13 changing 59:24 chaos 50:25 **Chapman** 66:9, 11; 71.24; 73:5, 23; 74:10, 19; 75 4, 18; 78 1, 4, 14 characteristics 105.6 **charge** 8:7; 31:4, 4, 6; 32:22; 33:4; 62:20, 150·16 **charged** 32·19; 36:9 **charges** 32.19; 36:10; 37:14, 15, 22; 38:14; 61 25; 141:8 charging 33:18, 25; 34:10 **chasing 178 23** check 30:14; 32:8; 37·10; 108 6; 112:6; 121:15; 137.14 checking 73.8 checks 107:10 chemicals 77:5 chemistries 73·14 chest 50:13; 98:11 Chicago 92.6 chickens 74:19, 21 child 7:8 children 30:12:81:4 **choose** 58:19; 105:2 chose 141.8 Chris 28:6; 133:18 Christine 126:7; 133:11 circumstances 53·24; 58:22, 23 circumvent 160 7 City 29:11; 30:10; 120:13 **civic 42:17** Civil 79:23 claim 160:8; 168:23; 173:8 claimed 160:16, 166:17 **claiming** 112:14 clarify 147:5 classified 62:23 clause 11:13; 16:8 clauses 11:8 clean 80:4, 117:6 **cleanly** 166:11 **clear** 56 12; 145:12, 20; 165:19 clear-cut 31:22 clearance 129:2 clearer 27.4 clearly 35 1; 159:9 climbed 35:11 close 75:1, 1; 117:8; 130.8 **closed** 132 12 closely 10 11 closing 9:7; 74:25; 75.6;

95:18 Co-Franko 33.23 co-sponsor 10:5: 16:18 coaching 150:3 Coast 71:17; 150:14; 161:16 coggins 55:16: 106(4): 107:2, 8, 13; 109:20; 110:23 cold 32:11; 35.1, 13; 38:23; 39:22; 116:13 coli 74:21 colic 70:5; 165:9, 14 Colicked 165:8 colics 168.7 collapsed 41:2 colleagues 156:8 collect 142·11 college 149:21 comfortably 49:13; 88:8 comforts 80:9 coming 35:3: 48:2: 82:7: 84:24; 95:10, 17; 108:17; 113:23; 117.10, 10; 126 2; 148:23; 151:18; 165.21; 170:8 commend 19 14 comment 24:7; 25:20; 48:22; 74:22; 76:21 commented 49 25 **comments** 27:16; 59:14 commercial 78:25; 80 1; 88:3; 94:11; 111 23; 123:24, 124:1; 125:3; 128:19; 156:23; 174:12, commercially 124:2 commercials 173:20, 21 commissioned 185:8 Committee 4(4): 5:21: 9 9, 13:12; 14 21, 15:22; 23:2, 19; 27:11; 39:16; 41.15; 66:7, 13; 71:11; 78:12; 104 19; 126:11, 140:1, 4; 148:24; 149.9; 183 5, 7, 15; 184:20 common 79.9, 9; 100 18, 19; 107 5; 116:14, 16; 138 13 commonly 43.4 Commonwealth 80:19. 185:9 communities 156:12; 167:2 community 168:1 companies 33:24; 130.17; 132:2 companions 79:22 company 36·20; 54 25, 59:17; 117 22; 154:17 compartment 36:25: 47:22

compartmentalized

competing 150.3

38:1

competition 123.15, 22, competitions 169:8 competitor 124:3 complaints 156:14; 176:4, 180:17 complete 131:24: 167:4: 175:15 completely 26:1 complicated 49:16 compromise 102:9. 109:15 computer 66:19; 185:13 con 62:19 concern 144:10, 178:9 **concerned** 20:7: 58·10: 176:25 concerning 140·18 concerns 53:14; 140.5; 144:16 concluded 185:2 condition 9:4; 65:9, 75:24; 98:24; 99:18; 125:4, 137:19; 163:22, 23; 165(4) **conditioning** 181:12, 16 conditions 25 1.6: 26:17; 74:12, 96:3; 99:5; 103.11, 16; 154:4; 168.16 condoned 9:16, 165:25 conferred 61 7, 8 conform 60:10 conforms 58:9 **confused** 113:14; 120:16; 180:6, 14, 181:23 congratulate 10.12 **conjunction 23:2, 17**; 123:20 connected 184·24 Connecticut 41.20: 66:18; 67:5, 19; 74:7, 132:11; 135:25 consequently 70:19 consider 15 10: 60.24: 62.9; 63:12; 134:9; 167:16 considerable 4 24 consideration 14:17; 84 19 considered 71 20; 140:4; 164 18, 22 considering 71:17, 172:10 consignor 68.12 constantly 82:2, 84:14; 85:8 constituent 19:14 constitutionality 23:20 consumption 50:20, 21: 74:9, 11, 12; 79.11, 13; 117:2; 128 8 contacted 32:23; 33 7 contain 70:13 containers 46.16 containing 38 12; 65:17

contested 52:15 continue 9·19: 37:1: 155:2: 165:23: 170-20: 183:20 continued 156:9 continues 156:5 continuing 176:6 contracted 43:21; 164:4 **contractors** 123.17, 20 **contribute** 26:11; 116:14 control 108:15, 18: 156:3; 185.18 controversial 128:11 convenience 28:24 **conversation** 4:10; 33.1 convicted 34:2; 36:13; 38:10; 130:23 conviction 34:3 convictions 158:13 convince 5:13 Coors 173:20 copied 41(5) copies 4.9 copy 4:13; 34:17; 185:14 corner 97·25 corporation 59 19, 25, 78:22 correctly 121 11 cost 91:12, 92.11: 93.19. 20; 104 5; 130:19, 23 costs 91.19; 93·23 couldn't 34:13, 36:12, 40:21; 44 7; 111:10 **counsel** 33.7; 61 6, 7 count 33:12; 38:6, 9 counted 34:12 country 21:12, 16, 17; 28:21; 42 2, 22, 78:23; 79:2, 4; 90:1; 112:22; 120:5, 180:22 country's 7.5 counts 37:9; 38:14; 55.4; 61 18, 24; 140; 24; 141.5 County 18 19; 32:24, 34.20; 37:6; 63:3; 120:12; 141(4); 142:2; 156:5, 12; 175:24, 179 15; 185:9 couple 12:10; 24:7, 35.15; 46:9; 50:18; 59:14; 67.25, 71:14; 77.17; 90:15; 96.8; 115:11; 151:2; 153:7 courage 9:3 course 13:25; 29:16; 33:2; 36:15; 51:6; 55:12; 80.11; 108:21, 154:5, 12, 155:12, 162:3, 165:4, 21; 178:2 court 33:5; 34·9; 36.8; 37 5; 51:25; 52:9, 58:20; 63.6, 135:14 cover 17 2; 25:22; 105 24 **covers** 65 16

cowboy 40:18 cows 20:10; 86(6); 136:16, 18; 149:19 cram 69.2; 90:5; 123:15; 160:24 **crammed** 80:8; 81·10 cramming 69:11 Cranbury 135:24 crashing 155:19 crawl 83:7 **create** 58:4; 156 19 **created** 21:11.12 creates 168:6 **creatures** 7.11; 8:13 cries 69·22 crime 132:19 criminal 31:4: 37:5. 62:20: 176:16 crippled 164.9 criteria 138:18, 19, 19 criticize 5:16 crops 149:17 cross 107:3; 131:11, 22; 162:21 crowd 35·23, 39:1, 2 crowded 14:13 Crown 79:3, 4 cruel 24:18; 126:14; 134:6, 9; 135(5); 136:7, 22; 138(4); 157:11; 175:11 **cruelly 14:13** cruelty 12:12; 31.20, 20; 134.23; 135.13; 144:12; 156:10, 157:23; 164:17; 167.5, 7; 175 16; 179.16 **crush** 86 7 crushed 83 9, 90:21; 153:3 cry 70:7 crying 18·20; 21:11 cues 49·20 **cultural** 21 23, 25; 22:6 **culture** 6:11, 22; 159:16 **cultures** 79:10, 12 Cumberland 179:15 cumbersome 105:23 cure 85 13 curious 14.7; 74:8; 119:4 current 14:5: 142:19: 144:11: 164:11, 17: 167:4: 171:8; 172:4; 175.15 currently 126 16; 127:16; 131:7; 142:23; 162.15; 173 18 Curt 78:20 **Curtis** 78·16 custody 127:7 cut 36.5; 44:10; 69·6; 93:13

cow 39:9

D

D.O.T 110:11 **DA** 179:15 dairy 178 2 **Dakota** 6:19 damage 69:9; 179:5 dangerous 52:24 dare 20:25 Darren 31·16 dash 85:10 database 63:9 date 33:4, 6 **Dated 185:20** daughter 119:20 Dauphin 141:24; 142:1 **David** 32:13: 34:23 David's 33:19 day 30:15; 39:3; 62·16; 71.7, 82.14, 83:1, 108:6; 142:18; 154:11; 155:8; 162:7; 163:25; 166.4; 167.1; 168.15; 170.10; 181 4; 185.20 **day--but** 96:2 days 15:21; 40:21; 42.6; 44:1; 50:18; 51:5; 106 24, 25; 116:1; 139:18; 155:4, 5; 157:19; 166:10; 170:8 daytime 45·17, 18 **dead** 30 5: 39:25: 40:5. 11; 67:9, 74:14; 168:22 deal 12:13; 16:15; 50:2; 89:19; 109:8; 113:17; 145:16; 182:15 **Dealers** 142:11: 146:20 dealing 7:10; 16:16; 17:4; 19 22; 50:5; 54:22; 113:6; 136.6, 21; 146.3; 179:23, 183.23 deals 145:21 dealt 11.9; 33:1 death 69:11; 70:23, 127:25 **Debra** 34:21 deceased 8:23 deceiving 114:22 **December** 16:12; 29:22; 39:20 decency 79.9; 100·19, 171:4 decent 7:10; 15:11, 13 deceptions 161:25 decided 33:3; 41:8 decision 37.8; 52:25; 146:11 deck 129:5, 16; 130:24, 182:1, 4, 9 deckers 127:18; 155:17, 170.22 deckers-and 89:5 decks 128·22; 129·4

decrying 9:21 dedicated 150:1 **deemed** 132:3 deep 6:5 deer 77:3, 4 defeated 69:7 defend 70:9; 163:10 defendant 36:7: 37:21 defer 130.9 definable 18.4 define 99.10: 132:5: 136:7; 138:15 defined 59:4; 135 17; 160:1 **definitely 158:8, 20** definition 23:23; 127:1; 136 21 deflected 155:24: 156:16 degrade 165.14 **degree** 62:4, 5, 145:14 degrees 39:22; 83 1, 2 dehydrated 115:25 deletes 122:7, 11 delicacy 71:20 **delivering** 53:19; 68:22 **demand** 171 8 demonstrating 100:7 **denial** 69:1 denied 167:18, 19, 20; 170:10; 181:17 **Dennis** 117:25 deny 89:11; 126:22; 168:19 **Department 23:3, 18:** 107:21, 108:23; 111:25; 145:3, 157:1 Depending 139·19 depends 91:16; 116:11 depiorable 74:13 depression 70.7 deprivation 157:24; 168:4 deprived 157·19 depths 70 17 deputy 144:19 Dermody 27:12 descendant 6:20 description 131:24 **deserve** 7:6 design 84:3; 114:6; 127.23; 130.20 designated 121.25 designed 83·10, 15, 114:13: 127:17: 128.18. 25, 129:10, 19; 130:1; 135:20 desirable 105:5 desire 5.15, 13:15 desperately 170 7 **Despite** 156:4; 163.18; 166:15; 176:4 destination 68:23:99:12.

destinations 80:1 destined 129:23 detailed 12.16; 24:16 details 12:25: 13:5 deter 127:13 determination 13.13; 16:7; 22:24, 101.5 **determine** 22·14; 51:21; 93.16 determined 33:2 developing 29:18 diagram 82:9; 129:17 diagrams 118.7 die 70:4; 165:15; 168:19; 169:9 died 41:2 **Diesel** 34:25 difference 21:23: 64:25. 71 5, 103:9; 121:19; 124 3 different 26:18; 73:18; 81:21; 102:11, 111:17, 18; 119.22; 150.9, 22; 159:20 differently 37:3 difficult 22:12 difficulty 53:24 digging 6.5 dimension 48.5 dimensions 88.1. 1 direct 6:19, 63:11; 185:18 direction 158:4 directly 75:5; 149:23 dirty 90:8 dis 62.19 disabled 30:5 disadvantage 97:2 disagree 89:17 disappointed 172:16 disciplines 150:5 discover 166:5 **discuss** 70:11; 140:2 discussed 161:8 discussed-was 163:4 discussion 17·15, 16: 184:13 discussions 16.4, 5; 175:10: 183:20, 22 **disease** 170:1 **diseases** 163.16 dislocated 24:20 dismantled 67:23 dismissed 135:6 disparity 151·15 displays 68.17 **disposed** 132.20 disregard 157:22 disrespect 100:18 dissuade 176:6 distance 75:15, 20, 23; 91:16; 166:24, 170.15 distinction 121:24

divide 147:25 divider 152:16, 23 dividers 48:1: 125:5 Division 29:4 Doctor 28:19, 20, 22: 39:14, 15, 46:7, 9, 24; 47:10; 48:10, 18, 22; 49:9, 25; 50:9; 52:15, 20; 53.1, 10, 17; 54:2; 57(5); 64:13; 66:2; 134:15; 152:24; 177:2 document 37.5 dog 8:23 dogs 70:10; 79:11 dollar 15:8; 63.18; 139:18; 141:3, 8; 179:23 dollars 31:5; 59 21; 61.1, 25, 62.12; 76:4; 119:14 Domestic 167:22 dominance 49:17 domination 86:20 Don 54:25 done 4:23; 7:22; 15:1; 26:1, 32:9; 37:2, 18; 58:4; 77:23: 90:16: 95:25, 96:2, 111:8; 121:8, 11, 11, 131:4; 162:18, 19; 169:22 donkeys 11.20 door 61 22, 23; 82:16; 83:11; 88:14; 131:1 doors 55:7; 85:16; 130:25; 131.1, 160:24 doorway 88:12 doorways 129:12; 141:2 double 89:4; 93·17; 127:18; 155:17, 170:21; Double-deck 81:7: 128:13; 129:5; 135:1; 140:21; 181:24 double-decker 43:5; 45:11; 84:20; 85:20; 86:5; 93:24, 97:15, 18, 118:24; 125:12; 150:19; 151:8; 157.16 double-deckers 88:22; 93.22 down 4:12; 19·7; 27:20; 33:9, 10; 36:5, 20; 37.20; 38:4, 7; 40:2, 23, 43:22; 44.15; 45:1; 55:3, 62:17, 67:22, 68:2, 69:6, 15, 16; 75:8; 84:22; 85:11, 24; 86:8, 88 16; 98:8; 99 1; 103:20; 104 9, 11; 105.22; 107:5; 112:25, 115:11; 122:8, 9; 124:5, 22; 146:18; 150:14, 151:20; 152:8; 153:5; 155:21; 160:14; 161:2, 15; 162:1; 163.5; 165.5, 17; 166:14; 168:15 downed 40:16; 67:15; 160:12

District 4:6; 32:24; 34 20

disturbing 25:25, 26:8

dozen 49:15 draft 50:11; 60:23; 90:22; 100:3, 155:14; 172:11 drafted 126:16; 142:23 drag 81:15; 100:20, 25 dragging 67:15; 74:14 drained 80:7 draw 57:25 drawn 140·3 drink 71:3; 84:8, 13, 13; 116:6; 168:14, 169:11, 15; 180:11, 20; 181:2 drinking 181:4 drive 92:5; 97.24; 159:11 driver 33:18, 20; 50:15; 52:25; 55:1; 59:18; 61-18; 65:6 drivers 59:24; 68:5 driveway 101 22; 104.14 driving 110:17, 154:4 drop 163.5, 166.14 drop-offs 142:14 dropped 142:14 dropping 151:11 drops 151:5 drove 40:2 drown 69:21 druthers 64.9 **Due** 67:19: 68:4. 5: 129:9: 132:11 duly 185:8 dumped 148:19 during 144:13, 150.15 **Dutch 82 16** dying 74.14 dvnamics 69:4

E

each 33:13, 13, 13; 47:15,

E 74:21

17: 127:12: 128:4. 5: 129:5; 147:24; 156:21; 160:23 earlier 35:4; 133:7, 13; 159:10, 19 early 30.18; 42:4, 155:7 ears 151:25; 152:7 earth 7:18, 24, 8:7 easier 59:5 easiest 65:22; 123.1 easily 22:7; 43:13; 115:2; 137:2; 153:3; 172:19 east 128:3; 150:13, 161.16 **eastern** 132:14 easy 55:21; 95:11; 97:24; 108:15, 18; 119-16; 143:8, 153:2; 155:23 eat 5:15, 39:6; 44:14, 22; 51:3, 5, 9; 64:6; 73:15; 84:14 eaten 21:15

19; 132:23

decrepit 67:13

distressed 164:6

distributed 145:13

eating 21:18; 30:13; 40 25; 51:7 Eby 91:9; 130:17, 21 economically 125:9; 137:12 economics 67:21, 91:7 **economy** 118:12 effective 17:2 effectively 102:18; 135.15 effort 157.12; 159:1; 160:7 efforts 149:10; 158:3, 8, 10; 176:22; 177:21 egress 61:22 eight 36·18; 47 21; 48:4; 84:10, 10; 85:21; 86:3; 88:5, 8; 94:17; 115:3; 135:4; 173:1 **Eight-foot 88:6, 7** either 20:15; 21:7; 48:17; 91.17; 118:24, 139:6; 150:4, 15; 152:17; 167:9 elaborate 64:24 elect 94:14, 15 **electric** 81:12: 87:17 element 176:16 eleven 43:6; 151:21 else 18:25; 46:3; 47:13; 73:19; 99:16; 152:6; 170:11 emaciated 163:12 emergency 67.7 emits 77.4 emotion 21:12 emotional 22:1; 81:16; 120:2; 128:11 empathetic 70:11 **empaths** 70:18 **Empathy 70:15 emphasize** 162:11; 173:25 employed 29 3; 78:20 **employee** 33:21, 22 **empty** 92:5 **enable** 140:5 enact 13:9 enactment 13:15 encourage 167:3 end 5:20; 15.22, 24; 16:2; 32 8; 39.3; 61:14; 64:11; 67 8; 68-23; 126:14; 142:10; 152:2; 156:20; 165:3.4 endorsed 110:24; 111:1; 122:20 ends 70:23 enforce 17:9, 89.12: 143:8 enforceable 23:22 enforced 13:10, 56:14; 80:17; 107:20; 111:15; 137:3; 175:24 **enforcement** 13:8; 17:9;

23.18; 24:13, 59.5; 65.3; 108:24; 111.24; 126:24; 144:11; 175:11, 20, 23; 178:10; 179:22 enforcing 179:7 **engaged** 127:6 enjoyed 120:14 enlightenment 110:7 enormous 68:18; 76:4 enough 13:8, 14: 29:12: 38:4; 63:20; 79:8; 82:13, 16, 24; 87:18; 101:6; 105:5; 111:13; 112:14; 144:17; 152:12 **enroute** 163:1 ensure 49:7 entered 143:5 entire 17:8, 29:6 entirely 150:1; 165:3 entry 108:8 **environment** 26.22, 22 **envy** 158:11 **epic** 51:3 equal 56:2; 99:3 **Equestrian** 149:4; 150:2 equine 11:9, 19; 17:4; 24.18; 54:16; 57:21; 65:16; 80:2, 6; 106:14; 107:18; 110.4, 115.22; 117:16; 126:7, 17; 131:7; 132:7, 10; 133 1; 149.24; 150:11; 156:8; 157:2; 158:22; 159:8; 162:11, 11; 170:16; 171:11 **equines** 130:1 equipment 67:22 equipped 85:9 equivalent 70:20; 151 17 era 156:1 ERT 117:15 **especially** 5:1; 31:13, 23; 44:14, 21, 64:5 essence 47:7 essentially 25:5 essentials 80:10 Essex 32:23; 34:20; 37:6 establish 49:18 establishment 127:9 et 21:18, 19:99·2 ethics 68.24 Europe 50:24; 71:21 European 57:21 euthanize 171.5 euthanized 90:13; 132:3 even 25:12; 30:10; 38·1, 43:2, 15; 53:13, 56:5; 69:5; 70:10, 75:6; 89:14; 92:22; 93:16, 94:18; 104:13; 111:25; 112:5; 116:17; 127:25; 129:8, 23; 139:22; 141:6; 152:7; 154:19;

155:5; 165·16; 166:20, 22;

168.15; 169:22; 172.12;

175:24; 182:3

event 63-18 every 7:18, 19; 9:19; 37:25; 47 19; 50:17; 65:14; 82(4); 84:1; 94:9; 96:2; 111:9; 115:15, 16, 17; 116:5; 118:22; 125:15; 137:8; 158:2; 159:1, 160:7: 162:24: 163:17, 19, 164:23; 169:14; 174:23 everybody 51:7; 76:9, 104:3; 106:10; 170:18; 177:24: 183:16 **everything** 46:20; 47:13; 65:16; 73:19; 97:23; 98:12; 107:10; 112:8; 114:23; 164:4 evidence 27:22; 37:17; 132.19 evidently 64:4 evii 90:7 exact 93.7; 153:13 **Exactly** 22.6; 125:17 **examine** 131:19 **example** 22:13; 23:10; 24:16, 52 22; 94:6; 135:1; 137.16; 142:20 exceed 130.5 except 45:17; 57 6 exception 125:15 excessive 174:17 excessively 157:11 exclusively 131:16 exhausted 164:19 exhibited 116:16 exhibition 122:6 **exist** 157:24: 182:6 existing 18:16; 130:16; 134:4; 138:25; 139:15; 177.10, 11 expand 24:10; 103:24 expanding 25:21 expands 15:2 expansion 27:17 **expect** 83:21 **expected** 168:24 expedite 159:1 **expense** 90:13 expenses 41:9 **experience** 24:22; 26:12, 18, 25; 67:2; 79:6; 161:10 experiences 73:18 expert 18 10; 135 14; 138:8 expertise 23:12 experts 5:12; 22.22, 25:3; 138 11 **expose** 73:11 exposing 70:21 expressions 22:25 extensively 150:13 extent 176:18; 179:21 extra 180·21

extreme 151:16: 165:12 **extremely** 75:25; 116:13; 165:1 **eye** 44:25; 50:1; 85:8; 129.16, 130:13 eyeball 45:1 eyes 25:9; 46:15; 49:8, 21; 50:6; 66:22; 70:6; 95:18; 102 25

F F 29:3 face 15:19; 43:25 facets 150:9 facilities 129.20 facility 66:18; 73:10, 183:8; 184.20, 21 facing 97·14, 21; 141 5, 7, 10 fact 6:9; 13:9; 14:18; 19:1; 21:13; 26:6; 64:21; 94.13; 129:9; 139:16; 152(6); 153:10; 154:1; 163:4, 164:22; 166:15; 167:14, 23, 168:3; 169:12; 172:11, 22, 184:6 factor 86:20; 97:10 factors 92:2 **factual** 111:15 **failed** 60:12 fair 46.3 fairly 175:4 fall 40:23; 129:14 falling 155:18 falls 86:8; 103 20 familiar 30:25; 80 14; 110:14; 119:4; 149:16; 150:21 **family** 6:4; 54:16; 65:15 far 18:4; 23:18, 19; 83·16; 86 13; 93:5, 6; 95:6, 20; 117:8, 129:21; 141:25, 165.2; 169:25 farm 67:25; 101:17, 103:5, 105:9, 14, 19; 106.21; 107.1; 142:3; 150.12 **farmers** 167:9 Farms 14·1; 23:11; 79 2; 149 17; 150:11; 159:18; 183:6 fashion 98:9, 18: 99:3 fashioning 98:17 fast 45:21; 97:25 faster 45:21; 170:23 fat 147:1 fatal 69:8; 168:7 father 33:20 fatten 181.19 fattened 45:8 fear 69:23; 70 4; 169:18

fear-crazed 69:21

fearful 69:14 feasibility 67:21 **feasible** 125:9; 137:12 features 129.11 fed 133:12 federal 106·16; 107:19, 110:11; 111:1, 2; 120:25; 121:1; 122:3, 23; 128:16; federally 110:24; 122:20 feed 8:11; 40:24 feedlot 127:10 feedlots 159:17; 181:11 feeds 71:3 feel 5.11; 13:7; 90:14, 23; 108:2: 136:24 feeling 68:9 feelings 6:21 feels 116:7 feet 30:23; 37:24, 25; 43:13; 47:19; 50·14; 55:6; 65:14, 15; 69:15, 17; 88.4, 5; 94.9; 98:2, 118:22; 128:20; 129:7; 160:15, 164:2, 14; 172:7, 10; 182:3 **fellow** 42.13 fellows 115:23 **felony** 180:1 **felt** 6:22; 13:2, 5; 167:1, 183:8 ferocity 9:4 **fever** 169:24 few 15:20, 39:17; 41·1; 90:22; 93:14; 144:13; 145:11; 150:22; 157.7; 169:21 fewer 79:12 **field** 29·16; 87 2; 102:25; 156:8 fiercely 70:9 fight 52:1; 58:11, 20 fighting 50:2; 54:5, 6; 79:23; 83:5 fights 69:7; 103:21 figure 147:22; 148.13, 21; 181:25 **figured** 73:17 figures 143:24, 24; 148:17; 168:5 filed 60:13 filling 40:9 film 34:19; 154:1 final 9:6, 9, 79:20; 132:23 finally 158:10; 164.19; 167 12 financial 63:19 find 9:24; 21:20; 38:8; 64:4; 73:14; 115:3; 144.24, 179 17 **finding** 21:14 finds 170:17 fine 34·5, 39:6; 60:4; 61:1, 5; 62:1, 6; 63:18; 90:18;

extraordinary 156:10

102:24; 139:18; 141:3, 8;

161.2; 179.24 fined 31:5 fines 60:12, 13; 63·15; 127:13; 141:10; 158.14, 16; 176:5, 178:7 finesse 87 22 fingerprint 62:21 **fingers** 35:12 finished 39:23 fires 83:19 firewood 99:25 firm 66:19 first 4.3, 15, 11:7; 22:25; 24:9, 34:17, 39:4, 40:4; 47:22, 60:21, 62.11, 17, 95:8; 114:6; 156:18; 161:9, 175:14 firsthand 66:24, 67:2 fit 49·12; 101:6; 118:17; 125:23; 128:13, 131:5, 9, 10; 132.4; 137:3, 157.17; 161:5, 12; 162:23; 170:21, 175.4 fitness 101 11, 13 five 32(4); 43:5, 6, 6; 55.10, 78:5; 82:21; 85.21, 21; 93.4; 96:25; 111:9; 115:16; 116:5; 119·13, 21; 129 6; 130:6; 141:2, 7; 151 7; 168:6 five-minute 66:5 five-year 100:3; 162·8 fix 25·4 flashlights 40:15 flat 164:2; 166:15; 181:9 **flaying** 70:20 flesh 40:16 flip 121:14 float 84:17 floor 15.24, 35 20; 37.15, 16; 151:5; 163:6, 164 2, 21: 166:15 floors 38.15, 129·2, 13 flopped 166:12 Florida 75:21; 92:11; 107.12; 108:10, 11; 118:2; 169.21 flow 7:12, 154.24; 155:2 flower 104·14 fluff 5:6 foal 67:6; 163:15 foals 69:2; 127:3; 162:25 focus 25:13, 27:2 focusing 103:9; 104.16 folks 105:21 follow 5:12, 18:2; 80:6, 110:8, 10, 16, 158.18 follow-up 49:5; 56:24; 124:4, 125:2 followed 41.22 following 12:21; 16 6; 22:23; 126:19 follows 80:3

51:5, 122:1; 127:20; 157:19; 163:18 foolish 108:4.8 foot 43·3, 4, 7; 48:4: 82:11; 84:10, 10, 86.3; 151:7, 12, 13; 152.10, 154:19; 165:1; 173:6, 22; 174:18 forbidding 163:19 forced 26:10, 22, 165.7; 170:13 foregoing 185:10 foreign-owned 128:6; 133.4; 142:13; 157.13 forget 77:16: 176:12 form 34:18; 71:7; 149:4 formula 91:17, 25 forth 7:18; 42:18; 77:1; 170:4 forty 93:25 Forum 66:16; 67:2 forward 77:19; 159.2 found 35:5; 37(4); 38·13; 128 24; 135:12; 144:21 foundered 164:25 founding 21:11 four 36.16, 43:12, 13; 119.13, 164 14; 165:5; 168 13, 172.25, 174.19 four-inch 129 7; 151:11 four-part 148 9 Fourth 161:5 fowl 21:18 **Fowler 42:15** fraction 93:10 **France** 64:5 Frank 33.21, 23; 36:12; 104 23 frankly 15:6, 25; 174·22, 180:4 frantically 161:22 free 35:22; 84:12 free-for-all 86:24 French 30:19; 51:6; 55:22; 64:3, 5; 71.16; 122:10 Friday 137:21, 22 friend 6.17, 18 friends 19:5; 181:10 front 27.13; 34.23; 61:14; 97.14, 21; 114:19, 165.3 Frontier 40:18 frosted 35:9 frozen 40:6 fuel 34:25 full 15:24; 44:6; 50:14; 91:18; 92:9, 10, 24; 93:3; 114.25, 161.3; 163:15; 175:14 full-blooded 6 19 **fully** 140 6

77:15; 97 11, 13, 137:9; 149.23; 159.4; 160:1; 171:6; 184 23

G G 78:16 gain 68-21 gallantly 166:12 gallon 93:5 **gallons** 168 13 game 119:22 **GANNON** 4·1, 16; 10(5); 11:4; 12.4; 13:20; 16:6, 17:21; 19.17; 23:25; 27:5, 10, 28:2, 9, 13; 39:13; 46:6; 49:1; 51:11, 14; 56:21; 59:9; 60:17; 63.22, 25; 64:17; 66:1, 7; 71:23; 72(5); 73:25, 74:4; 76:16, 20; 77.21, 78 2, 10, 15; 90:25; 91:4, 102.4; 109:23; 114.2; 117:18; 118:4; 120(4); 121 18, 23; 122:2, 14, 25, 123:5, 11; 125:1; 126:1, 6; 133(4); 174:23 134:1; 139:11; 140:8, 12, 15; 144:6; 145:7; 147:12; 148:2, 5, 22; 149:3; 171.18, 21, 25; 175.7; 179.11, 182:18, 22; 183 1, 184.17 **gap** 18.6 garage 63.4 Garcia 28:17, 22, 29 1, 3, 47:17; 48:3, 49:10; 51:22; 52:4, 8, 13; 54.4, 14, 24; 55:12, 20, 25, 56:5, 10, 20, 58:25; 59:12, 16; 60:6, 16, 25; 61:4; 62.15; 64:20; 65.3, 25; 66.2 gas 40:8, 9; 180 19 gash 50:13 gate 137:12 96.5 gated 137:14 **gather** 160:2 gathered 167·25; 171:11 gave 7:1, 13; 8:6; 89.23, 129.18; 148.13; 183:9, 19 geldings 69.6 general 34·17; 103:25, 104:21; 134.24, 135:13; 125:21 174.24; 175:2, 3 generally 8:5, 58:23; 80:2, 5; 123 16, 131:12 generally-accepted 8.2, generated 21:10 genes 172·14

103:21: 106:5: 114.9: 117.8; 157.3 Gettysburg 4:19; 79:21; 184:19 ghastly 40.20 girls 104:10 given 23·5; 81 6; 98:23; 99:4; 100:11; 143:22 gives 70:16; 71:3, 154·2 giving 168:5; 184.5 alad 45:23 glands 73·19 glaringly 159:5 gloves 35:10 goats 70:10 God 7(4); 8.6, 14; 144:25 God's 8:13 goes 9:13; 51:10; 59:22; 72.21; 77:13; 86:8, 87:8; 105:1; 159:14; 176·1 good 17 7, 22:16; 29:1; 50:2; 53:17; 54:2; 66 11; 77:6; 89:25; 103:25; 104:1; 106(4), 134:25; 135:10; 145:21; 149:4, 6; gooseneck 112.20 gosh 40:12 gouged 44:25 Gouveia 31:16 Governor 30:8; 42·6 grabbing 87:16 grace 132 22 grammar 5.5 grand 145:22 graphically 68:17 grave 5:19 gravity 39:9 gray 153:10 great 8:8, 8; 98:13 greater 68.20; 75:16; greatest 169:18 grew 149:16 ground 84:24; 85:1; 86:9; 129:2, 21; 155:19 group 23:9 **arouped** 125:21 groups 102.10, 11; grown 21.17 guess 13·13; 20:2, 21:15; 23:1; 51:10, 58.3; 73:21; 118:8; 123:14 **guessing** 118:19 **quests** 66.13 guidelines 178:6 quilty 37(4); 38 13; 140:24; 141:7 guy 40:11; 63:1, 104:9, 13; 124:17

82:11, 24; 89 3; 96.22, 108.5; 119:15, 124.12, 20

H

H.B 80:12, 15; 126.13, 18; 130:14; 131:6; 135:19; 142:23, 149:10; 156:18; 158:3, 19; 159:3, 13; 160:22; 171:1, 10 hack 105:4 hadn't 32 17; 40.23 half 29.5; 31:1; 41:11, 12, 43.13; 49:15; 79:3; 81:9; 166:11 **halter** 45:2 **halters** 131:17 **haives** 84:1 hand 26:14; 109:6; 151:21; 173.1 handful 133:3; 165:24 handle 75:2 handled 18.8; 129:25 handler 127:2, 5; 159:25 handlers 85:5 handling 156 24 handout 81:6 hands 100.4; 172:24 handsome 166.8 hang 84:11, 17, 87:9 hanging 40.7; 45·1 Hanover 14:1, 23:11, 101.17, 125:12; 183:6 happen 4:18, 14:24, 25, 20:11:41 4:66.15, 76:13; 134.13; 145:2, 177 5 happened 39 18, 42:9, 18; 119.18; 134:19, 153:7, 13; 166 4 happening 6.23, 9:13, 16, 23; 58:24, 89:1 happens 20 13, 54.10; 90:8; 164:23; 180.13, 184:6 happy 39:17; 45:8; 56:1; 133:7 **hard** 6:16; 19:7; 36:4; 38:5; 52:5, 62:25; 84:21; 86.6; 163:24 hardest 170:6 Haroff 29.24 Harrisburg 142:8 hasn't 169:15 hats 66:20 haul 75·20; 78:22; 79:3; 81:24: 82:1, 8: 83:17, 19: 84:9; 123 22; 124 1, 2; 143:6; 180:19, 181:11 hauled 79:4; 83:18; 95.7; 150:17 haulers 67:1; 80:1,

hauling 67:20; 78.23,

79:7, 80:2; 115:22, 23;

124:2; 125:3

food 44:1; 50:16, 25;

tunnel 142:10

further 32:18; 59:8,

guy's 102:23

guys 29·17, 36:22; 56·15,

Genesis 7:17

George 29:9

Germans 51 7

gentleman 104:23

gentlemen 29·2; 39.15

125.10, 141:12; 150:15; 171:3 hauls 68:16 haven't 9:17, 60:9, 77:18; **hay** 83:14; 84:14, 14; 87 10 head 35.22; 40:4, 11; 43(4); 61:12; 82(4); 86:5; 91.19; 95:14; 98:1; 118 10; 129:12, 16; 152:3, 12; 153:11, 13, 15 heading 68:2; 75:11 heads 71:12; 173:2 health 8·12; 106:4, 20, 20, 107(5); 110:23, 25; 120.20, 21; 122.3; 131:10, 22; 162:17; 176.23, 25 healthy 106:15, 23: 146:22; 147:2; 170:5 hear 9:12, 15:16, 53:4; 69:25; 75:19; 102 13; 111:13; 146 17; 163:4; 178:5; 180:17 heard 30:10; 45:4, 50:22; 59.13; 111:17; 112·12; 155.18; 156.18; 162:6; 165.9, 20, 20, 173:14; 175:10; 176:11; 177:12, 12 hearing 4.18, 20, 21; 10:6, 12:21; 16:5; 22:19; 66:3, 77:13: 104:18: 126.12; 144:14; 147:6; 183 2; 184:21, 24; 185:1 hearings 10:13 heart 138.9 heat 82 20; 155:6, 9; 168 17; 170:14 heck 37.19 height 43:4; 97·19; 128 23; 129:1, 5, 8; 131:2; 151 8; 172:6, 21, 181 23; 182 2, 10 heights 70·16; 85:19, 128:16, 20, 129:6 held 128:4, 142:15; 153:11 hell 69:24 help 7:2, 42:20; 91:8, 95:14:98:12 helped 42·19; 166:25 helpful 139:25 herd 69 4 herdmate 70.7 herdmates 70:9 Here's 43:8, 19; 44:3; 45 11 hereby 185:10 heritage 79:18 hey 21:20, 113:7; 115:9; 124:16 hidden 166 3, 9 high 10:14, 43:1; 87:5; 100.4; 148:21; 152.4, 10, 173:17, 22

higher 39:8; 68:22; 70:16; 128:17; 148.17; 150:7; 152 25, 153.1, highway 38:12; 45:12; 67:14, 24; 162:19 highways 47.6; 108:11 himself 49 24; 81.14; 116:4 hind 152:2: 165:4 hinges 152:17 hip 137:21 **history** 7:5, 9; 79:18; 150:2 hit 44:4; 86:5, 6, 95:22 **hobble** 164·10 hog 129:20 hogs 26:4, 16; 43:15, 15, 17; 86:13; 114:25; 127:18; 129 10; 136:11 hold 14:10:87:16 holds 8:14 **hole** 163:6 holes 36:3 Holland 75:10; 81:2, 8; 93:6, 7; 117:10; 119:4, 21, 128:4; 140:19, 141.15, 143:12, 14; 144:21, 22, 24; 145(4); 146(5); 147:7, 15, 148:12; 155:24; 156 13, 160:12; 161:18, 24, 163:13 home 32:24; 36:18, 37:3; 63:3; 124.7; 128:2; 159:11 homes 159:17 Honda 85.24 Honorable 4.3 **honored** 66.12 hook 76:10; 87:2 hope 15:4; 56:8; 132 24, 134:22 hopeful 15:21 hopefully 184:4 hopelessly 70:8 hoping 59:1, 2 hopping 76:5; 84:23 horror 156:9: 166:2 horrors 68:3 horse 5·14, 15, 8:24; 12.22; 18:3, 20; 23(4), 24:6, 23; 25:5, 8, 24; 26:8; 30:23; 33:14; 34:7; 35:21; 38:24; 39(4); 40:5; 43(4); 44:3, 15, 45:1, 16; 46:20; 47:1, 3; 49:20, 22; 50(4); 59.22, 23; 61.3, 4, 9, 62:14; 64:6; 66:15, 18, 21; 67(4), 69(4); 70:6; 73:13; 75:16, 24; 76:1, 12; 78:5, 18, 21; 79:1, 17, 19; 81:13; 82:22; 83:8; 84(4); 85(4); 86:4, 6, 8, 87:2; 90:4, 15; 91:9; 92:13; 95(4); 96(5); 97 1, 5, 12; 99(5); 100(4), 101(5); 102:24, 24, 103:4;

106(4); 107:1; 108.4, 21;

109:13; 112:21; 115.10, 24; 116:3, 8; 119(5); 120:3, 17; 121(4); 122:13, 24, 123:1; 124:2, 127:12, 128(4), 130:5, 13; 132:15, 16, 17; 135:18; 137(4); 138:3; 142:1; 143:15, 16; 145:17; 146:13, 13, 20; 148 16; 150:9, 21, 25; 151(5); 152:10, 18, 20; 156:20; 160(5), 161:18; 162:1; 164:10; 165:11, 17; 166.6, 7; 168 9, 10, 14; 172:12, 23, 25; 174(5); 175:2, 4; 178:23; 180(4) horse's 98:11; 152:2, 3, 25; 153.11 horseman 136 9, 15; 165.16, 167:6; 169:6; 173 4; 175:17 horsemen 158.5, 7; 160.4; 161:15, 165:22; 167 13; 171:7, 173:10; 176.15, 17; 178:12 horses 6:11, 21; 11:14, 16, 12 2, 14, 23, 14:3, 9; 16.9; 17:15; 18(5); 19:6, 10, 22; 20(4); 21:14, 19, 20; 22:7; 24:11, 12; 25:7, 14; 26 1, 9, 18; 27.2, 20; 28:1, 4, 5, 29:20; 30.2, 4; 31(4); 32:7, 16; 34:5, 11; **35(4)**; **36**·21, 23, 23; 37:25; 38(6), 39:8, 25; 40:19, 20; 41(5); 42:25; 43:1, 18, 44 12, 19, 45.6, 25; 46:1, 4; 47:21; 49(4), 50:3, 5, 19, 51(4); 53 19; 54:9, 18; 55:4, 15; 58·14; 61(4); 64 10; 66:23, 67.4, 10, 15; 68 13; 69 15, 22; 70:3, 9, 17; 71:3, 7, 19; 73:1, 6, 9; 74:14, 18; 75:3, 14, 20, 77:1, 25; 78:22, 24; 79(6); 80.4, 18; 81(4); 82:17, 19, 21; 83(9); 85(4); 86.9, 20; 87.1; 88(6), 89(7); 90(5); 92:7 17, 23; 93:10; 94(4); 95:7; 96(4), 97:2, 14; 98(5); 99:13, 24; 100(4); 101:5, 18, 21; 102(4); 103:12, 16; 104:16; 105 1, 4, 8; 106:10; 107:9, 10; 108:12, 14; 109 6, 16; 110:3, 9, 15; 114·11, 13; 116.15; 117:1; 118(4), 119:2, 5; 122:4; 123 15, 23; 124:1, 2, 23, 125(4); 126(4), 127(7); 128:5, 13, 19; 129(6); 130:3, 4, 12, 131:17, 25; 132:2, 13, 18; 133:2; 135(4), 136:7, 16, 23; 137:2, 5, 5; 140 25, 142:11, 21, 25; 143:6, 16, 20, 145:17, 21, 22; 146(5); 147(5); 148:3, 6, 10; 149:13; 150-15, 16; 151:15; 154 3, 22; 155(4); 157.15; 159.12, 21, 160:2,

163:2, 6, 11; 164:25; 165:8, 15; 166:19, 25; 169:13, 23; 170:2, 7, 21; 172:6, 11; 173:21, 174 9; 175:1; 176:20; 177:24, 180:20 hospital 46:2: 137.7 host 4 20; 58:15 hosted 183:7 hot 38:21; 116·13; 155:4, hotel 4:11; 184:19 hour 45:21; 67:14; 153:5 hours 82:21; 83·4; 90:4; 108:6; 111:9; 115:16, 16, 17; 116(4); 127:23; 132:20; 155:7; 166.22, 24; 169:13, 14 **House** 4(5); 10·5; 15 24; 28:15; 67:16; 126:10; 181.3 houses 42:5: 75:7 huge 92.13 human 50:20, 20; 74:8, 11; 79:11, 13, 117:2; 128:7; 157·20; 163 18, 171:4 **humane** 70:25; 76.6; 79:25; 98:20, 23; 99:4, 10; 101:15; 138:1; 156:5; humanely 39:7; 133:2 humanly 73:2 humans 73:18 hunched 35.21 hundred 31:5; 55:10; 59:21, 61:1, 25; 63:18; 90:15, 91:14; 119:24; 139.18; 141:2, 7; 158.7; 168:12; 179:23; 180:8 hundreds 169:7 hung 152:16 hungry 71:3 hunter 30:11 **hurdles** 174:17 hurt 7:1 hurting 53:3

Ι

I-87 29:25 ID 34·19 idea 35:2; 76·15; 91:17, 92:9; 111.19; 113:8; 166 18, 168 8 identification 161:13 identify 161:11 ignorance 68:7 ill 160:6 illegal 32·15, 21; 55:14 illegally 58:13; 140:25 Illinois 93:7; 117:5 imagine 63·14; 88:9; 173·19 **immediate** 159·1: 164·6: 165.13 immediately 85:13; 165:14, 17; 171:9 **immense** 164:5; 173:3 impact 68:13; 73:20 implemented 69.1 **implore** 9:17; 171 9 imply 15:12, 13 important 7:5; 27:1; 40.25; 46:22; 65:12, 66:4 importation 183:23 **impossible** 128:21; 129:3 impression 114:17 imprisonment 139:19 improper 66:25 improperly 58:14 **improve** 93:12 in-house 14:2 inadequate 80.8 inappropriateness 26:13 Inc 28:21 incensed 15:7 incentive 76.5 incentives 63 19 inch 35:19; 174:18 inches 35·20; 87:5; 151 7; 172:7; 173:1, 1 incident 157:5 Incidentally 169:19 incidents 39:12 inclined 17:14 include 16 8; 18:17; 22:5 included 16:11:67:3; 159.13 includes 11·20; 149:18; 150.6 including 18:16; 127·10, 18; 184:15 incorrect 164:16; 179:4 incorrectly 26:2 increases 26:24 increasing 7.7, 119:5; 132:16 incredible 40:3 incredulously 6:14 indeed 7:2 independent 123:17, 19 **Indian** 6:10 Indiana 108:3 indicated 14:1 indicating 49:22 individual 94:7; 96.10; 97:5, 19, 20, 102:16, 21; 107:21 individually 82.23 individuals 111:17 industries 139:3 industry 12:22, 23(4); 76.11; 108:22, 110.2;

25; 161:10, 12; 162 13;

111:18; 119:5; 126 17;

128:1, 15; 130:14; 133.1; 136:17; 143:15; 146.13; 150:10, 11, 156:15; 158.6; 159:8; 160:4; 166.1. 170 17, 174:23, 24; 175:3; 181:8 industry's 156:23 ineptitude 68.6 infected 50 19 infectious 106 14: 107:18; 163.16; 170:1 **information 28:7, 15**; 29.19; 33:25; 91.8; 93.18, 148:25; 159:10; 183.10 informations 33.18; 34:10 informative 126:3 **informs** 152.9 inhuman 24 18 inhumane 80·21: 89:16. 20; 113:6, 126.14; 134:6, 9, 136:8, 22; 138:16; 175:12 Initially 36:10 injecting 50:17 injured 83:16, 18; 85 4; 95 4; 96:15, 16, 22, 97.5; 99:13, 16, 102.15; 127:4; 135 3; 137 6, 15; 157 17; 160:6; 166.19 injuries 69:7; 129:13, 17 injury 69:3, 10; 97·9, 12, 13, 98:4, 127:24; 129:12 injustice 5.20 input 32:25 inquisitive 20:15 insanity 158 9 **inside** 19:2, 4; 35:7; 36.4; 40 1, 14, 43:8; 83:2, 7, 24; 85:21; 86 4, 24; 155:19 insight 39:18 insolence 9:3 **inspect** 74:17; 144:18 inspection 74:22 inspectors 145:6 instance 154:25; 170:1 **instead** 59:21 insulation 51:20; 58:12, 17 insures 104·1 intact 69 2 intake 169 17 integral 79:17 integrity 74:20 intended 11:16:74:8 intent 12:11, 18:13; 33 11, 61:6, 9, 184:5 intentionally 11:12; 157 18; 183.18 interest 116 2; 119:11, 136:14; 139:7, 149 11 **interested** 45:25; 46·1; 118:7

151 24; 152:6; 154:15 Interestingly 79:8 interfere 127:2 interior 172:6 interiors 151:10 **intermediate** 127:1, 5; 159:25 intermingle 100 2 intermingled 100:7, 12 intern 72:20 internal 48:5 international 122 18 **Internet** 66:16 interpret 22:12 interpretation 8.4, 17 interstate 38.2, 108:12. 12, 13 interviewed 32:14: 157:1 into 16.22; 17.6; 25:11; 26:10, 22; 27:17, 33:17; 39 18; 47.1; 49:18; 58 11, 70:7, 71:1; 73:12; 75:25; 76:5; 77:5; 81:11; 84:18; 87:5, 7, 93:13; 94.14, 96:24; 97.5; 98 24; 102:24: 107:3, 14, 108:7, 11, 14; 111:3; 120:24; 122:4, 13, 24, 123.15; 128:13, 129.22; 131:23; 133:12; 135.4; 152:3; 153:11; 161:1; 162:1, 21, 163:5; 170:8; 173:13; 176:14, 177:24 introduce 20.4 introduced 5:18: 42:4 introducing 10:12; 126.13 inundated 9:21 inverted 9:15 invest 104·4 invite 69·3, 10 inviting 126:11; 149·1 involved 25:25: 111.18; 149.19; 150:4; 183:22 involves 79.9 involving 34 4 Iroquoian 6.12 irresponsible 67:1 Island 29:11 **issue** 9.20, 15:17; 16:15; 17(4), 26.9, 33.3, 99.18; 101:13; 104:21, 111 14; 117 5; 120:2; 128:10, 11, 158.16; 180:15; 184:14 **issued** 31 1 issues 27·3; 102 8; 113.11 it--it 154:2

James 4:4 iammed 43:14: 44:8 January 24:21; 31:25; 41:25; 42:5, 142.22 Japan 71:20:77:25:78:7 Japanese 77:24 Jean 37:7 jell 34:25 jeopardizing 112:4 Jere 20.18; 22:23 **Jersey** 55:20; 60:14, 105:2, 10; 124.13; 131.8, 12, 135.25, 162:6 Jim 21 5; 22:10 job 32:3; 63 6; 85 6, 124:14; 173 9 **Johnnie** 184:5 join 10:6, 28·22, 158 24 ioined 28:19 joining 78·11, 80:24 iournalist 42:16 journey 127.22; 135 5, 157:18 journeys 127:21; 162.14 ioy 70·13 Judge 37:6; 59:2; 62:1; 135:6; 138:6; 178 4 judgment 51.24; 52:2; 53:4, 98:25 Judiciary 4:2, 17, 22; 5:21; 9:9; 13·12; 23·2, 19; 66:13; 126:10; 149:8 July 185:20 **jumped 40.1 June** 141:5 junked 76:9 iury 52:9: 59:2: 137·8 justices 63 3

jail 1628

K

Karen 185.7, 24 keep 59.24; 77:15; 85·8; 87:20; 165:23; 184.9 keepina 63:7 keeps 71 1 **Kennedy** 79 20 Kentucky 107 5, 112.23, 118:1 kept 36 14, 41:4 kick 50.1 kicked 43:25; 44 25, 50:12 **kicking** 39 10; 53:2; 54:6; kidding 23 14, 117:17 kids 81:4 Kiehl 140:23 kill 30:16, 16; 68:16; 137:25; 146:25; 157:15; 161:20; 165:11; 166:25

killed 83:8: 157:17: 162 2 killer 68:1; 75:8; 89:10; 123:25; 127:14; 128:8; 131:8; 133:3; 136 2; 141:25; 143:18; 159-15; 160(4), 164:7; 165.25, 166:3, 17; 171:1; 178:13 killer-bound 68:5, 12 killers 119:23 kind 7:18, 19; 18:22, 28:3, 51:24; 54.4; 62:24; 74:13; 77:5; 91:11; 92.23, 97:17; 103:16; 106:3; 113.5; 120:19: 176.19, 19. 180:24: 182.4 **kindness** 87:22 kinds 25:6 kingdom 70 18 knee 137:17 knees 173:15 knew 6 10 knock 85:1; 98:1 knowledge 23·12; 100 11 knows 19:1: 139:23; 169.2 **Kolb** 147.19

L

lack 66:25; 68·4, 129:10, Ladings 107:9 lady 45.2 Lake 29.7, 8, 32:4; 40:1 Lancaster 141:20: 143:13; 156:5, 11; 175:24 Lancaster Farmer 147:24 Lange 78.17, 19, 20, 87:25, 88 7, 91:20, 92:2, 10, 18, 93 2, 21, 94:8, 98:3; 99:6, 22; 100:16; 101:9; 104:22; 109:13; 113:17, 22; 114:14, 20; 115:1, 20; 116:25; 117:12, 23; 118.21, 25; 119 8, 17, 121:25; 122:17, 21; 123:13, 19, 124.8, 20, 125:8, 14; 126:4 language 12:15; 18·17; 46:17; 48:13; 80:15, 16; 89:8; 104:1 large 108:21, 128:5 larger 151:20, 22 largest 78:25; 128:2, 143(4); 174.1 last 45:4; 70.19, 90:15, 19, 125:1; 127:23, 149:25, 183.4 late 12:9, 155 7 Lately 36:1; 116:21 later 17:13; 33:4, 16; 90:4; 113 23, 144:20; **Legislative** 4 5; 15 20, 161:24

laughed 155:25 laundry 58:5 law 13:8: 14:6: 16:23: 17.6, 9, 10; 20:20; 29:15, 30 22; 31(5); 32 15; 33:11, 12, 14; 34:9; 41:19, 21; 48(4), 51:1, 2, 23; 52:16; 53:19; 54·14; 55:11, 16, 56:8, 14; 57·2; 59:6; 62:18; 64:21; 104.8, 12; 105 23; 106:17, 111:10, 24; 113:5; 126.24; 128:16; 130:11; 132:11; 134.4, 13, 23; 138:21, 22, 25; 139.15; 142 20, 23; 158:12; 160:8; 164:11, 17; 167:23; 177:10, 11; 179:7, 22 law-I 37:10 laws 21.7, 9; 22:4; 42:17; 45:14, 46.4; 80:15, 20; 107:19, 110(5); 111.5, 8, 13, 112:14; 113:10; 130:7; 142:19; 148:18; 157:23, 25; 163:18; 167:5; 171:8, 175(4); 176:13; 179:17 layman 11 18 laypeople 63:5 lead 87:3, 3, 5 **leading** 127:8 League 156.5 learn 5:25; 6:13; 18·11 learning 5.25; 18:21; 150:9 least 34:12; 44·18; 49:14, 55 13; 62:7; 139:20; 166 23 **leave** 5.12: 13:11: 18:6: 103.4; 136:12, 147:6 leaving 132:13 Ledge 78 17, 21, 21; 80:2, 24; 81:19; 88:2; 123:24; 128:14 **left** 15 21; 44:17, 83:12 leg 24·24, 25; 96:23; 137:16, 22; 164:20, 21; 166:11, 15; 176:24 legacy 7.6 legal 31:18; 47:20; 105:11, 131:13; 132 6; 143.5; 156:19 legalities 20:19 legality 23:20 legged 166.6 **legislation** 5 11, 18; 12:19, 13 4, 16; 14.11; 15.3; 16:11, 18, 22; 17:5, 18.6; 19.3; 20 5; 22:3, 23:4; 24 10, 15; 25:13, 22, 27:2; 30:6; 46:13; 59:4, 60:21; 64:24; 65:2; 88:23; 89:7, 13, 18; 94:2, 6, 8; 95 2; 98·17, 18; 103:24; 125:21; 136:24; 145.1; 146:23; 148:18; 173 8; 183.21, 184 16 legislation's 33:11

interesting 126:3,

itself 35:13

J 185:7, 24

J.R 37:7

16:1,61.6 legislator 21 8 legislators 146:17 Legislature 42:3: 132:24 legitimate 127:3; 160:3; 167:5; 175.16; 176:15, 17; 178:11, 19 legs 43:24, 25; 83:18; 98:5, 5; 99:24; 100:5, 23; 155.20; 176:20 Leh 117.25 length 61:21; 181:18 less 30·22; 37 23; 76.6, 7, 90:4; 129:1; 154:22, 172.7 lessons 79 7 letters 9:21 **letting** 133 5 level 12:13: 43:2, 73:11. 104 16 levels 73:11; 150:6, 7 Lexington 118.1 liabilities 80:6; 115:23 liberty 8:25 license 117.15 lie 165:5 lien 60:13 life 7:24; 150:1 lift 95:14 light 6:7; 14·22; 15:15; 173:20 lights 107:7 liked 166·18 likely 112:18 limb 24:20 limbs 165:5 limitations 174:10; 179:22 limited 64:22, 22; 100:11 Linda 149:4, 7 line 8:25; 27·21; 30:14, 15; 87.17, 23; 130:15; 152 1; 172 20, 22 lines 55:17 lip 121·14, 14 list 58·5, 19 listen 156.3 listening 114:7 little 12:9; 18:20; 28:18; 29:19, 31:12; 35:8; 36.3, 37:2; 38:4, 7; 40:18; 44:23; 48:7; 53:12, 25; 62:3; 81:2; *86*:2; *88*:11, 90:6, *8*; 91·7; 95:14; 105 4; 112:19; 115:14: 116:16: 117:4: 125:17; 149.14; 151:25, 172:15; 180.5; 181:23; live 68·22; 77·25, 94:20 livelihood 101:19 lives 136:1 livestock 8:9: 130 20: 142:21; 149:18; 156:24; 169.2; 181:9 living 7:11; 8:2; 68:9;

75:20; 82:2, 149:16 load 34:11: 38:2. 2: 54:18: 67:15, 85:11; 86:14; 87:1; 91:18; 92:6, 7; 93:17; 95:12; 109:16; 112:4, 125:24; 129:15; 142:25 loaded 30:1:87:21: 88:20; 151:14; 155:16 loading 46:25; 66:25; 88:13; 129:19 local 37:5; 63:3; 75:7; 101:16; 111:24 located 29 25, 117:22; 156:13 locations 159:20 logbook 32:20 **logbooks** 110:18 logic 47:12 logical 182.8 long 29:12, 47 20; 50:14; 75:12, 20, 82:18; 88:4; 95:11; 103:18; 150:2; 166:4 longer 25:12:77:12: 115:21; 116:8; 117.4; 146:4; 155 21, 164:1 look 5:23; 16.25; 17 7, 56:5; 58:1, 21, 59.1, 82 9, 84:6; 89:22; 109:7; 121:13; 125:17; 131:17; 132:18; 154:13; 166:5; 179.25; 181.24; 184.14

looked 32:6; 38·19, 40.14

looking 11:8: 14:22.

63:13, 64:13; 71:18;

77:19, 104:24; 131:3

loopholes 159.5

114:16, 22

loose 97 1

loosen 85:14

134:16; 152:24

Lord 8:23

708

losing 171:2

looks 106:22; 107:10;

Lopez 28:20, 22: 39:14.

15; 46:24; 47.10; 48.10,

18, 22; 49.9, 25, 50 9;

52:15, 20; 53:1, 10, 17;

54:2; 57(5); 64:13; 66:2;

lose 15:9; 98·12; 180:7

lost 38:14; 50:1, 67 13,

lot 12:15: 16:24: 20.9:

21:6, 8; 23:4; 25:25; 36:2;

37.19; 39.7; 42:19; 49.19,

59.13; 64:5; 71.19, 77 23,

78.8, 82:4, 19, 83.17;

85:22; 89.13; 90:14,

96:25; 108:3; 114.9,

115.6, 20; 117:3, 9,

119:18, 25; 120:4, 5,

122:8, 11; 123:9; 148:19;

181:2, 16; 182:12; 183:9,

163:24; 169:20; 174:1;

loss 68:11, 14; 70:7;

168:6, 7, 11; 169:17

15:15, 18, 42:10; 61:24;

M e'am 29.2:47

13; 184.18

24

lots 181:12

184:3,8

loud 18:20: 21:11

love 173.5; 180.3

lowering 129.8

lucrative 75:25

Lynch 4:4, 7, 8; 10:3;

11.15, 21, 25, 12:7, 20,

13 7, 24; 14:16; 16:19;

23; 20(4), 21:2, 6, 24;

22:16, 22; 23:9; 24:13;

71:24, 25; 76:21, 23;

133:16, 17; 144 7. 8:

17:12, 19, 25; 18:9; 19:16,

28(4); 51.18; 58:2, 63:20;

77:11, 14; 91 1, 2; 126 13;

149.8, 171:19, 20; 183:12,

loval 6:14, 16

lovalty 9:4

lucky 44:4

lying 159:22

low 43:11; 69:12, 129:6;

lower 36.25, 62:8; 63·16;

70.16; 129.8; 150:6; 178:7

ma'am 29.2; 47:18; 134:4 madly 69:18 magnificent 132:21 maimed 101·14 main 108:11:117:24 mainly 11:24 maintained 32:20 maintaining 127:7 Maitland 4:19; 11:1, 2, 59:10, 11; 60:2, 15; 74:1, 2; 117:19, 20; 118:3; 140:9, 10; 179:21, 182:19, major 53 4, 57:20; 67 18; 78.5; 126:16 majority 132:25; 144:25 makes 38:5; 50 18; 72.20; 99:9; 158:3 making 137:15, 157:18; 162.13, 170:25; 176:9 man 7·23; 9:5; 76:1; 107:6 man's 79:18 managed 25:1; 162·1 management 181:10 manager 169:2 mandatory 184:10 **Manderino** 27:7, 8; 46:7, 8; 47:5, 11, 24; 48(4), 72:2, 3, 91(4); 92:8, 15, 19; 93 15, 94.1, 22, 95:23; 96:7, 14, 18, 97(4), 98:15; 99:7; 100:9; 101:7, 10; 102:2, 8, 103:9; 133.9, 10; 171:22, 23 Manderino's 49:5

mangled 67:11 mankind 8 6 manner 14:11, 24:18: 134:7; 136:8 Manning 29:24 Many 30:5; 50:23; 51:7, 8; 70:19, 74:25; 76:12; 77:3; 79:7; 80:18; 81:13; 90:2; 109:1: 118:16: 143:19: 146:1; 147:9; 150:5, 10; 156:1; 158:24; 160.25; 169:12; 174:7, 20 mare 67:6; 100:6 mares 53:21; 69·2, 6; 90:20; 127:3; 163:14 margin 68:18; 93:14 mark 122:10 marked 122:5; 151:4 market 18:23, 33:5; 55:16; 57:22; 77:24, 148:20; 149:18; 150:23, 168.24; 184:7 marketplace 73:4 Markets 29:15; 30:22, 31(5), 32:14, 33:8; 34.4; 37:10; 56:14; 61.7, 62:18, 130:11 marks 161:14 **Masland** 12.5, 6, 25; 13:18, 21, 56·22, 23; 57(4); 59.7; 60.18, 19; 61:3; 62:2; 63:10, 72:16, 17, 73:16, 24; 77:10; 114:3, 4, 15, 115:13; 116:20, 117 14, 139:12, 13; 179:13, 14, 180:5, 23; 181:22; 182:11, 17 **Masland's** 76.24 Massachusetts 41:19. 75:22, 76.2 master 7.24 matches 121:16 material 25:11; 50:4; 134:7; 167:24 matted 153:23 matter 59:20: 63:7. 132:20, 23; 146.24 matters 42·17, 17 matting 153:25; 154:5 **maxed** 62:1 **maximize** 118:15

maximum 34:5; 61:1, 5;

may 27:21; 28:25; 34:12;

63.20; 66:9; 75:15; 77:13;

42.11; 53:24; 58:19, 20;

78:18: 90:16, 16, 96:8:

101.14; 104:20; 112:25;

136:21; 144:24; 146:10;

maybe 4:11; 8:20; 13:2;

17 7, 22:15; 27:20; 32:21;

60:23, 64:5; 72:21; 102:9;

109:16; 111:19; 112:10;

14.6; 15:14; 16:20, 23;

35:19; 44:4; 47:2, 21;

174:18; 178:9

62:5; 116:10; 139:19

116:22, 23; 139:21, 22; 163:25; 173:24; 175:19 mean 13 10; 15:13; 52:6; 53:8; 100.17; 124:13; 138:13 meaning 15:12; 65:1 means 100:13; 122:8. 138:22; 160:1; 176:14; 185:17 measure 60:9 measured 32:17, 60:9 measuring 151 4 meat 18:23; 26:20: 64:6: 73.1, 4, 8; 74:8, 17; 75:24; 76 25; 77.7; 119:11, 13, 25; 132:15; 167:11; 184:7 mechanism 113:5 media 5:2:9:10, 23: 149-10 Medicine 28:20 medium-sized 151:23 meet 130:3: 142:12 meeting 184:24 Meister 185:7, 24 melanomas 163 16 member 4:4; 27:11; 54:16; 71:11 members 4.21, 5:20, 6:5; 9:8, 29:22; 65:15; 66:12; 104:19; 126:10; 140:1; 149:9: 183:15 memo 62·16 **memories** 69:24 mention 120:12; 139:24 mentioned 33 20; 53:13; 64:20; 89:7; 153.10; 175.14; 182:6 mentioning 65:13 mercifully 70:23 merry 109:8 mess 39·11 met 6:18; 170:16; 183:10 metal 35·12; 129·13 method 88:13 methods 68:25, 127:24: 130:1 **Mexico** 112.23 Michigan 141:12 middle 103 17; 105.16; Middleburg 81:8; 88:9; 141:21; 156 13; 163:13 midway 29:8 Midwest 57:10, 16; 170.2 might 15·10; 24:7; 25:7; 44·18; 45 24; 46:11, 19; 48:9; 63:12, 16; 85:14, 15; 89·17; 93:13; 96:4; 152:7, 153:11; 167:15, 171:17; 178:3, 5; 179:1, 3, 182:7 mightily 158:2 mile 91.25 mileage 93:3, 8

miles 45:21; 67:14, 25;

81 25, 25, 92:4, 93:4. 127.21, 22; 135:4, 5; 153.5; 170:14 milk 86:15, 15 milling 47:23 million 115:24 mind 5:8, 19:21; 35:10 mine 6:18; 19:14; 124:23, miniature 125·16 minimal 176:5 minimum 115:17: 141.10; 172.9 minis 182:6 minor 32:22; 80:12, 89:8; 172:3 misdemeanor 62.4, 4, 23; 139:21, 21; 141:8; 179:25 misdemeanors 36:10; 1804 misinformation 145:13 **missed** 12:8 missing 11 12; 109:19 Mississippi 128.3; 150:14 mistreating 7:9 mix 100:3 mixed 164.13 moaning 155:22 model 41:22; 118·12; 150 24 models 150:22 modifications 130:16 moment 6:25; 8:22; 153 14 **moments** 39:17 Monday 137.23 **Monetarily 68:11** money 89:24, 25; 93:12; 116:19; 144 17; 145:5, 157:14; 167:11; 171.2; 180.21 **Monica** 31.16 month 41:6, 81:9 months 54:25; 81:9; 88:10; 93:14; 166:2; 181:18 Montreal 57:12, 13; 93:6; 116:21, 22, 25; 117 7 moo 86.19 moot 13.17; 51:25 moral 9:15 more 6:6; 7:10; 9:12; 13:3, 15:10; 24:16; 29.19; 31.12, 23; 34.13, 36:2; 37.19, 38.11, 12; 50 7; 53.12, 25; 59:4, 23; 62:3; 65:14, 17; 68:1; 70:12, 13, 13; 94 18; 99:9; 112 18; 116.19; 119:11; 128·19, 25; 130.3, 135:5; 145 5; 152:18, 20, 168:16, 172.5, 13, 14; 174:9; 175:5; 179.25; 184:11

morning 4:10; 32:2, 10; 33:7, 36:19, 45:22: 66:12: 155:7 mortality 168.22, 23 most 15:5, 6: 26:19: 36:23, 24; 45 9; 46·10; 65:12; 69:4; 79:8, 18; 81.25; 82:8; 85:20; 86:14; 94:14, 21; 110:22, 111 23; 112.4; 115:1; 150:23; 157:20, 180:10 mostly 34·14; 35:22; 57:5, 149:22 mother 69:13 mothers 71 1 motorist 30:2 mount 38 20 Mounted 31:9, 10, 11 mouth 44:5, 16 **movable** 94:23 move 5:22; 15:22; 35.21; 83:24; 107:1; 172:9 moved 102:17 moving 97:3; 116:4 **MSM** 66:16 much 24:15, 27·4; 28:10; 35:4; 53:23, 54.12; 65:24; 69:10; 71:22, 75:16, 78 11, 13, 82:1; 90:16; 91.25; 120 6; 126:2; 148:17, 23, 151:20; 152:25, 161.8, 169.10, 25, 180:11; 182:13; 183 2 **mule** 155:14; 166:9; 1795 **mules** 11:20; 163:22 multicompartment 97.6 **mundane 42:17** muscles 44:9 must 68:13; 91 24; 126.21; 127:2, 12; 160.22, 161.6, 6, 7; 164:15 mustang 184:3 mustangs 183.23, 24, 184 8 myself 11·18, 14:21, 55:15; 150 13, 16, 158:9, 160.3; 165:22; 167:6; 172.16, 17; 182.16

N

nail 105:21 name 29:2, 30:20; 42:12; 77:16; 78:20; 149:6 narrow 129:12 national 126:17 nature 69:4:75:15 near 57:12 nearby 40:17, 18 **Nebraska** 170:15 necessarily 14:10; 21:16; 54.9, 75:23; 104:2

necessary 5:6, 11; 13:5;

158.20, 183.9 necessity 80.13 neck 81:15; 101:1 **need** 12·17, 17; 37·24; 84.8; 96 4, 6; 103.24; 104:20; 106 7, 110:24; 111.2, 112:15; 117.15; 121:6; 129:24; 132.22, 145:4; 163.5; 167:17; 182.1, 11 needed 25.3; 155:4; 164.6 Needless 6:24 needs 14.21; 49:23; 71.9; 84.2; 139:15, 158:17, 20 neglected 37:16 negotiable 46:25 neighbor's 161.18 neighborhood 30:4; 118 20 neighboring 80:17, 130:15 nerve 70:21 Network 126:8; 158:22; 171.11 New 28:17, 29:4, 11, 14, 30:6, 10, 21; 31:8, 11; 34:3, 17; 36:5; 37:6; 38:23; 39.18, 21; 41.18, 42.3, 21; 45:15; 48:21; 49:18; 51:23; 52.13; 54:13, 21; 55(4); 56:13; 57:18; 58:3; 60:7, 7, 14; 62:23; 64.21, 67 5, 75:9, 21, 81.2, 8, 85:17; 93:5, 6, 22; 104:25; 105:2, 10; 107:3; 112:20, 23; 117·10; 119:4, 21, 124.13, 13; 126.24, 128:4, 130(6); 131(5), 134:18; 135(4); 136:2; 140:18, 22, 23; 141 9, 14; 142.22; 143(4); 144(4), 145(5), 146(5); 147 6, 15; 148:11; 155 24; 156:12; 158 11, 15, 160:12, 17, 161 18, 23, 24, 162:5; 163:13 **newborn** 90:21 news 5:1, 9:10 newspaper 42:20; 112:13, 147:21 **next** 28.16; 66:8; 67:7; 78:15; 86.21; 90:21; 126 6; 149.3; 164:12; 172:17 **nibbles** 115:10 nice 57:25; 131:3; 184:21 niche 177:25, 25 Nickerson 130:20; 142:21 Nickerson's 54.25 Nickol 11(4); 12:3, 63.23, 24; 74(4), 75:13; 118:5, 6; 119:3; 120:8; 140:13, 14 **night** 32:1; 33:17; 35:5;

14:6; 27.21; 50:8; 90:7;

109.14, 18; 129:11, 15;

130:25; 131:2; 146:19;

39:22; 45:13, 20; 67:9, 88:16, 105:16; 137·21 nine 32:1; 36:18; 43:6, 85.21, 129.2, 5 nine-to 32:3 Ninety 52:20, 91.13; 108.13 noble 7 4 **nobody** 19:1; 103:20, 21; 107.4, 112:24; 154:20, 21, 176:2; 178(5) non-taxpaying 165:24 nonabrasive 37·15 none 9.5; 80:9; 169.9 nonsense 169.4 nonsighted 96:11 Nor 5 13, 64·6; 168.4 normal 38 2, 153:15, 19; 165.16; 169:7 normal-sized 152.12: 155:11 **normally 36:14** Norman 147:19 North 28:21: 57:12, 19 northbound 32:5 northeastern 57:5 Northway 29:25; 35:4; 45:19:50:11 **nose** 129:15, 155:2, 13; 172:18 Notary 185:7, 24 **note** 10:14: 63:8: 151:24 **notes** 185.12 **Nothing** 91:2; 138:22; 139.3, 144:21; 146.21; 162:4: 170:11 **notice** 60:23 noticed 11:7 November 15.25 **nowhere** 79:14 Number 11:10; 54:22; 92:2, 3, 4; 128:6; 143:11, 21; 147(6); 148 1; 179:15 numbers 28:3 nuts 81:20

O

o'clock 36:19; 45·22; 88:15 **obeying** 157.25; 167:4; 175:15 objection 147:2 objectivity 157:3 obligated 162.12 observation 57:24 **observed** 32:3: 66:24 **obtain** 162:17 obvious 54:4, 7, 58:21, 159:5; 175:4, 6 **Obviously** 12 11; 50:19, 64:23; 73:17; 89:22; 90.2, 131:14, 137:7, 22; 142:5;

152:1; 159:9; 163:1, 7; 164:5; 165:9, 170:21, 182:13 **Ocala** 118:1 occasionally 45:22 occurred 66 6; 150:18; 160:21 occurrence 157 6 occurring 165:23 October 55:2; 61·19 off 4.15; 10·13, 35:10; 36(4); 41:8, 11, 24; 43:23, 71:2, 74:14; 76:9; 84:24; 86:1; 87:14, 88:18, 19; 95.8; 98:1; 102:11, 20, 103:17; 107-1; 120:1; 125:13, 129:21; 142:14; 151 4, 18; 162 16, 163:25; 165:3; 166 13; 167:11 offended 20.24 offenders 59.13 offense 59:24:61:5: 62(5); 63:16; 127:13, 139.17; 160:24; 179:24 **offenses** 180.2 offer 70:19 offering 169:13 office 39:24 officer 31.10; 143:2 offices 9:20 often 137:19; 143.17; 165:5; 172:10 **Oglala** 6:20 Ohio 24:24; 107:4; 108:2 oink 86:18 old 67:6; 90·9; 100:3 older 29 17 **Oley** 117.23 on-site 162:10 Once 29:16; 32:6; 34:6, 95:15; 155:19; 183:14 one 5:7; 8:25; 11 9; 18·14; 19:20; 22:17; 23:9; 24:9; 26.14, 29:23; 33:4, 20, 24, 34:22; 38:10, 12, 42:23; 44:17; 45:11; 48:17; 52.5, 23; 53:14, 17; 56:10, 15; 57:14, 23; 60:6; 61:19, 22, 62.16, 17; 63:11, 17; 65:17, 20; 67:6, 8, 17; 68.1; 70:17, 21; 71:9, 16, 73 5; 76 21; 77:21, 78.24; 79:20; 81 10; 82·1, 5, 85:22; 86:21, 88:11; 90:20; 91:11, 18; 92:23, 25; 93(4); 95:12; 99:23; 104:22, 24; 106:2, 8, 16, 109:19; 111:12; 112.12; 114:12, 115 13; 118:10, 10; 119:21; 124:10, 18, 125:1, 14; 134.3; 136:1, 139:14, 22; 140:17, 144:16, 19; 147:2; 154:7, 11, 16; 156:3; 161:7, 9; 163:2; 164:20, 21; 166:5, 6, 170:6, 16; 172:2; 177:23; 180:16; 181.15,

22, 183 16, 184:10 one-tier 47.9 one-tiers 47:14 one-way 123:7 ones 37:11: 38(4): 44:21; 48:7, 8, 8; 65:21, 22; 101:24; 105:5; 170:12; 173:13 only 5 4; 9:15; 11:16; 17:14, 15; 20:5; 42:21; 43:12; 45:25; 47.9; 61 22; 67:25; 68:25; 87:4; 92:25; 94:16; 98-5; 101:12; 108:10; 112-22; 130:4; 136:23, 137 4; 139:17; 145:16; 150:11; 162:12; 164:10; 166.21; 167:1; 171.4; 172:18; 174:9, 182.3 onto 80:8; 98.4; 100:21; 101:1; 142:16; 161.20 open 34.14; 44·10; 46:18, 21, 82:15; 83:10 opened 88·13; 114:24 **opening** 100 17 **operation** 103:10: 178:11 operations 117:24 operator 60.6; 66:17; 67 12 operators 174:14 opinion 94:25: 120:6 opportunity 56:2; 161:11; 167:17 opposed 13:4, 30:13; 180.2 opposing 55:7 optimum 94:11, 12; 103:11 option 64·14 order 4:2, 28:19; 66:8 Oregon 78:5, 6, 9 original 6:20, 98:16 originally 29.11 others 16:6; 69:16; 70:13; 107:23 otherwise 89:10; 171:14 ought 17:7; 113:4; 176:13 ourselves 7:23; 23:15 out 9 24; 14.13; 15:22; 17:17; 18:20; 20:8, 16; 21:11, 14, 20; 22:8, 21; 24:14; 27:20; 28:1, 18, 29 7, 16, 32:11, 33:25; 38.25, 25; 39:5, 10; 40(4); 44:5, 8, 25; 45:7; 48:7, 8; 51.1, 3; 57:10; 59:14; 60:6; 63 4, 67:13, 16; 69:21; 71.13; 72.21; 76:13; 81:10; 82.19; 83:8; 87.2; 88:15, 89 2; 90 15; 92:7; 95:5; 101:22; 102:19; 103.1, 2; 104:13, 106 21; 107:15; 108:17; 109:18; 112:6, 19, 114:10; 115:4;

117.10, 11; 123:4, 6; 124:19; 125.19; 131 18; 138.24; 142.24; 144.18; 145:23; 149:11; 153:18; 160:20; 161:21, 25; 167:25; 168:21, 25; 170:2, 171:12; 181:9, 12, 25; 182:9, 15; 183:24; 184:2, 3.8 out-of-state 128:9: 133:3; 157 13 outraged 9.25 outrages 9:22 outset 72:23 outside 88:1 oven 83:3 over 32:6; 34 20; 35·8, 21; 38:3, 11; 43:3, 55.6, 12; 58:11, 61:21; 68:15; 71:20; 78:23; 79:4; 81:10; 104.25; 106:22; 107:7, 25; 120 4; 132:14; 140:2; 142:11; 144:19; 155.12; 165:20; 170:3; 172.19 overhead 129:14; 153:24 overrun 50:24 overseas 119:14; 128:8 oversee 74·17 owes 60 12 own 8.9, 9; 12:22; 14:3; 15:5, 47 16, 18; 56:16; 66:22; 67:4; 69:14; 76:12: 84.7; 96:21, 97:22; 156:14 owned 81 1, 140 23; 141.11; 160 13 owner 24:7; 33·19; 34:1; 55:2; 59:18; 66:17, 21; 100:22; 106:5; 143:22; 152:8, 155:24; 161:22 owner's 121:2 owners 69:5; 70:10, 174:8

P

P.A 105:19; 130:14, 17; 131:7; 164:17 p.m 185:1 pacers 146:5 pack 114:18; 118:9 packed 38:6; 43:1 packing 78:6 pad 63:9 page 11·11; 22·13; 24:17, 35:6, 14, 37:23; 51:19: 52:23; 83 24; 175:13 **pages** 81.7 **paid** 36.8, 76·14; 116·1; 125:10:141.2 Pain 68.8, 70.5, 23, 164:5; 165.6 painful 160:15; 165:1, 10 **palomino** 160:12 pamphlets 168 3

paper 106:19; 112:25;

122 4, 177:20 papers 107:8 paperwork 109:6, 14, 18; 112:6, 121(6): 122 15 paragraph 175:14 park 40:18 parked 32:4 **parking** 32:21 part 6.9, 8.22; 24:19; 25:23; 37 4, 40:24; 67.1; 68:4, 12, 75:13; 79 17; 127:6; 155:8 participant 150:4 particular 42:10; 44:23; 76:12; 99:4; 133 14 particularly 45:15; 46:15 parties 180:25 partition 12·17, 18; 81:12; 100:14, 102:20, 115:10; 118:22; 182:15 partitioned 95 5; 96:10; 102:11, 17 partitioning 94(4) partitions 30·22; 32:17; 37.23, 24; 46:16; 47:15, 18, 55:6; 61:13, 21; 65:14, 83:24; 88:21, 24, 25; 94:9, 97:20, 114.16, 21, 115(4); 125:19, 20; 182.13 pass 51:2; 56:7; 57:18, 70 1; 71:10; 84:22; 153.8, 18, 154.14 Passage 130:14 passed 30.7; 41:17, 18; 42:5, 14; 44 5, 16; 51.1; 67:24; 132:11; 143:20 passing 30:2 past 20·18; 54:24; 155:17; 163:21 **pasture** 69:3, 6; 170.4 path 158:18 Patrol 31:9, 10, 11; 38:20 patterns 114:22 **pay** 41.9; 60.4, 12, 101.2; 180:18 paying 89:24, 108:5; 180:21 Peck 31:15 Pedicore 148:9 peers 156:7 pen 76·13; 131 18 penalize 17:10 penalty 60.3 penicillin 50 17 peninsula 108:16 Penn 117.23, 167:25; 168:2 Pennsylvania 6:23: 10:16; 28:5; 57:17; 71:8; 75:2, 2, 5; 78 22; 92:6; 105:1, 15; 107·3; 108:21;

136:1; 141:16; 143:12; 149:17; 156:4, 25; 158 7, 17; 160:4; 161:17, 21, 162.5; 164:11; 165:22; 167 5, 13; 170:9; 171:7; 172:13; 173:5, 10; 175:16; 177:5, 6; 178 1; 184:9; 185:10 Pennsylvaniarendering 132:1 Pennsylvanians 55:24; 145 1; 159:6 people 9:23, 24; 12:22; 14:18; 15:5, 7, 12; 18:12, 21; 19:6; 21:13, 20; 33[.]9; 45:8; 50:24; 56:12; 70 11, 12; 75:19; 76:5; 89:13, 18, 24: 90:14: 98:10: 100:20: 101:24: 105:24: 108:22: 111:18; 112 10; 119:18; 120:1, 4, 5; 123:14, 14; 135:8: 137:5: 139 3, 20, 144:18; 146:13, 15, 156:1; 157:4; 169:21; 174:17, 25, 25; 176.6, 20; 177:21; 178 19, 23, 183:25 **people's** 80:2, 115:22 per 33:14; 34.7, 59(4); 61(6); 62:14; 63 18; 75.24; 91:25; 144:1, 3; 160:23, 23 perceive 69·19 percent 52:20; 68:15; 108:11, 13; 148·13, 168(4); 180:7 **percentage** 68:14, 22 perception 156:24 perfectly 100 3; 143·4; 182:8 **perhaps** 24:5; 53:18; 75:14; 102:13 **period** 116:19 perished 41 12; 79.22 permission 40 17 permit 45:15 person 42.16; 83:6; 127 6; 138 15; 178:3; 184:2 personal 84·12; 167.12; 173:7, 23; 176 23 personalize 8.21 **personally** 5:15; 60:9; 90:11; 98:25; 173:4 **personnel** 109:1; 179:22 pet 8.9; 70:10; 101:25; 162:8; 184.5 Peter 31 14 **phone** 9·21; 63:2 phonetic 33:24; 66:16, 148.9 **photo** 153:14: 172:16 photographs 34:18, 89.23 **photos** 34:22, 153.8; 155:10 physical 170.25; 171 4 physically 61:16, 63·2

pick 92:6, 109:13: 119:21, 124:6, 125:25 pick-up 105:8; 112:20 picked 55·17 picture 35:24; 59:2; 93:17; 153 14, 17; 168:9; 172:22 pictures 34:16; 35:6, 11, 14; 37·16; 38:15, 18; 42:13, 75:9; 140:18, 20, 177.2, 19 picturing 47:25; 48:1 **piece** 106.19; 152.16 pig 39:9; 114:14 pigs 86:18 pile 168:22 pin 19:7 Pittsburah 10:17 place 38 3; 46:23, 69:12, 112:22, 124:24, 24: 141:15, 143:11, 146:20 placed 164:21 Placement 126:7; 158:22; 171:11 **places** 9:14, 16, 18 **plain** 68:6 plant 67:11, 21 planter 104 14 plants 67:18; 78:6 player 85:24 **playing** 119:22 **please** 5:8; 9:22, 176.14 pleased 7·22 pleased-for 32:25 pleasure 7:2 **pled** 140:24: 141.7 **plenty 43:16** plight 149.12 **plowing** 166:9 **plus** 101:16; 108·16; 118:23; 126:16, 157:12 **plywood** 34:14; 36:1, 2; 152:16 pocket 15:8 **point** 5 7, 7; 9:6, 14:20; . 16:14; 17:14, 16, 16; 19:13; 20:18; 27:22; 29:8; 41:14; 51:25, 52:19, 54:1; 90:6; 100 8, 104:22, 105:8; 117:6; 118·10; 127:11; 128:12; 138:24; 154(4); 155:6, 10, 159:11 pointed 24:13 points 5:4; 7·14; 13:17 **poisons** 73:12 pokes 102:25 Police 28:18, 29:4; 34:18; 111:24; 156:4 **policed** 56:16, 17 poll 35:8, 19 ponies 11:20; 44:15; 125:16 pony 40:5; 44:23, 24, 45:4; 47:21; 49:25; 67 12,

126:15, 17; 128:2; 131 20;

109.2; 111.5, 116:22;

117:13; 124.6, 10, 12;

132(6); 134:13, 14, 19;

13; 104:11; 150:25; 151:5, 21, 152.19; 153.1 pony's 151:25 poor 45:16 Pope 51:1, 2, 9 population 175:3 pork 21·18 port 108:8 portage 80:4 position 52:12; 102:9; 153:12 possess 9:2 possession 34:22; 51:4; 79.19 possibility 48:10; 150:20 possible 25:2; 39.25; 57.24; 184.14 possibly 25:17; 150:24; 160:25, 169:11 potable 115:14 potentially 160:5 poultry 149:19 pound 75:24; 76·3, 14; 119:14; 180:16 **pounder** 122.10 pounds 168:10, 12; 180:8 pouring 43:23; 88.16 power 9:22; 171 12 practical 65.4 practice 64.12; 103:25; 104.2; 131:15; 169:1, 7, 170 19 practices 156:22 practitioners 131:13 precaution 137 8; 169 10 precautions 25:17; 169:23 precious 25:16 prefer 64:7 preference 173:7, 23 pregnant 90:20; 100 5; 163:14 **prepared** 33:16 present 9.9, 10; 161:17 presented 34 9; 118:7 **presenting** 66:3; 78.12 President 28:21; 79:19, 1836 Preski 123.12, 13; 124:4, 9, 25 press 52.9; 147:13 presume 11.19 pretty 12·16; 43:1; 122:16; 124·16; 153:1 prevented 159:16 prevention 131.25; previous 9.7; 30:8; 34:3; 166:10; 175.10 previously 33.19; 34·2; 36·12, 13; 161·16; 166:10

prey 69:22 price 119:10, 13, 25 prices 132·15 **pride** 5:24 **primary** 12:23 **prime** 4:6 principal 62.24 printout 185:13 Prior 30·11: 81.1 privilege 66·14 prized 51:4; 79:19 probable 36:6 probably 14:8; 15.25; 16:16; 22:17; 31-1; 37:18; 40:21; 43:2; 46:24; 49:12; 55:15; 58:15, 18; 62:8; 80:10; 91 13; 92.11, 25; 93(4); 102:18; 112:23, 115 3; 117:1; 118:18; 119:1; 137.25, 140.3; 179 25; 183:25 problem 12:14; 27:23; 46:19, 48.9; 52.21; 53 8, 75.14; 84:7; 85:12, 13; 86.10; 104:18, 20; 132:9; 136.20, 162 5, 169:16; 174:22; 175:20, 23; 176 4, 178.2, 10; 183:25 problems 24:12, 14; 74:20; 84 6; 138:25 proceed 28:25; 66:9: 78:18; 109 19 process 137:15 processed 147·14 proclaiming 168.25 prod 87.16, 20; 88:12; 95:22 prodding 87:20 **proded** 163 8 prods 81:12; 157:21 production 87-23 professional 110:2 professionals 75:19; 156:14; 158·21; 171·10 **profit** 68:18, 19; 76.4, 8; 93:14, 118:15; 119:12 profitable 60:3 program 184:1 projector 42:11 proliferates 158:1 promote 79:24 prompted 30:6 proof 139 proper 23:23 property 60.14 proposed 42 2, 46:11, 48:13; 64:23; 65:2; 88:24; 125:20 proposes 14:11 proposing 11:9; 41:16, prosecute 135:14;

167.7; 175.18; 178(4) prosecution 142.19 protect 49:23; 69 13; 80.21; 129:11; 171:5 protecting 158.12 protection 14:2; 101:13 protections 96:5 protects 96:4 protocols 25.5 **proud** 6:2, 42:7; 66:17: 158:24 prove 34:2; 65:22; 137:13; 153:15 provide 4:9; 28:7; 128:22; 129:4; 144:18 providing 103.11, 15; 184.19 provision 48:12, 18 **provisions** 22:11: 46:10: psychologically 26.19 **public** 10:6; 120:6; 149.12; 185:8, 24 publicize 42:21 published 147:20, 24; 148:7 pudding 13·10 pull 81·10, 83:7; 107:6, 7, pulling 88·15 purchase 18·12 **purchased** 45:3: 128:6: 154 1, 18, 155:1 pure 170:11 purpose 14:15; 22:18; 48.16, 64:11, 152:22; 153:25; 154.4, 155:15 pursue 138:23 pursued 149:23 pursuits 150:2 purview 46.4 pus 50.14, 15 push 118:15 pushing 53.2 put 4:12: 16:22: 17:6. 25:10; 36:21; 46.22, 25, 49:17, 53:20; 64:11; 82.19; 86.17; 87.9, 88 10, 19; 94:15, 17; 95.16, 97:15, 98.8, 10; 99:1; 101.3; 112:21; 115.8; 125:19; 142:16; 150:25; 152.10, 165:17; 166·14; 167:25; 176:13; 181 18, 183:17 puts 95:18 putting 46:15; 76 10, 82.11, 24, 85:23; 90:2;

Q

quadruple 116:18 qualified 185:8

173.21; 174.17

quality 72:25, 73:3, 8, 74:23; 77.7 **quarter** 122:10 Quebec 124:17 questioning 165:24 quick 11 7, 29:16, 30:16, 114.5; 119:12, 15; 139:14, 145.11 **quicker** 37:13 quickly 42:9, 12; 144.9 **quiet** 71.5 Quite 15:6, 25; 39:10; 43:4; 44 3, 24; 46 21; 54:6; 56:12, 117:3, 128:14; 150.22; 154:12; 155:9, 163:9, 174:22; 180:4 quote 6:25, 7.16; 18:23; 157:4 quoted 147:12

R

rabbit 70:5 race 90:11, 12, 92:14; 121 4; 122:13, 24 racehorse 121:13; 151:18 racehorses 80 25; 92:16 racetrack 148:10; 151:19 racetracks 79.2 racing 115 25; 122:6; 166:7 radio 162:6 rain 88:16 raise 26:20; 43:21; 80:25; 136:6 raised 19:14; 53.15; 76:22, 133:7; 149:17; 153:9; 178:9 ramp 47:1; 87:4; 95:13; 131.2, 153:19, 22; 154:5, 8 ramps 129.15, 20; 163.10 ran 151.6 ranks 158 24 rapidly 7.7 rarely 184:6 rates 63:14, 17; 138:9 rather 25:13; 64:9, 66:17 ratio 68:16 rational 76:7 raw 70:21 read 6:4: 8:1, 22: 62:7. 77:16, 18; 112:13 readily 18:4; 70.5 reading 13:2; 22 10; 47:12; 77:20; 120:13 reads 8:5 ready 28.25; 66:10; 87:10 real 29:15; 30.25; 32.25; 36:4; 38 5, 85:25; 95.11; 97.24; 161:3 related 54:23 reality 15:20; 160 9 realize 6:14;91 15, 22, relationships 49.17, 19

June 25, 1998 100:23 really 15.4; 17.7; 19:1; 48.17; 50:7; 53:3; 58:10; 62:20, 63:21; 72:19, 74:22; 86:17; 89:17; 98.13, 99:8, 100:17; 102.22; 103:11, 111:7; 112:5; 113:18, 118:19, 128:14; 138:22, 154.2; 156.19; 161:8, 182:3 rear 86:4.6 reason 7:13; 13:1; 19·12; 22:7, 25:24; 46.11, 49:7, 53:17; 57:15; 77:22; 158.23; 162:10 reasonable 14:22, 138.15; 168:5 reasonably 60:8 reasons 5:10; 18:15; 25:8; 71.16; 76:6, 126:19; 161:7 recall 176:3 received 39:20; 145:3 receiving 127 7 recent 132:9 **Recently** 6:17; 137:20; 140:21, 24; 156.9, 17; 158 6; 161:17, 167:21 recess 66.6 recidivism 63:14, 16 recognize 144:23, 159:4; 183.11 recommend 97:7 recommendations 94.5 reconsider 77:13 record 30:7: 145:20: 183 17; 185:15 recorded 143.22 recording 34·18 records 37:11; 62.25; 63:6 recouped 68.21 reduced 185:13 reestablished 67:24 refer 146:8, 14, 15 reference 176:9 referred 143.18 referring 23:8; 134 16 regard 93:18; 94:25; 98:22; 157:24, 170:24 regarding 31:22: 51:19: 55 14; 79:7; 128.16 **Regardiess** 68:7, 79:14; 99.11 regards 15.16; 145:4 regrettable 68:25 regular 150:21; 157:5; 169:8 regulations 13:4; 107:17; 108:24 rehabilitate 48 15 relate 110·14

177:6; 178:4

prosecuted 134:12;

relative 168:8 relatively 172.3 release 73 12 released 9:20 reliance 7:7 relief 70:22, 167:1 relieve 165:6 relocation 110·4: 117 16 remarks 5:4: 9.8, 10: 12:8 remember 30:20:31:6. 93:9; 151:10, 177:18, 20 removed 115:2 render 74:15 rendered 37:8 rep 117:25 repeat 59:13; 99·6 report 9:19 reported 148:12 reporter 42:13; 185:7, 24 reporters 143:23: 147:20 reports 147:13, 23 represent 132:25 Representative 4(4); 10(11); 11(8); 12(6); 13(6); 14:16, 17; 16:13, 19, 20; 17(7); 18:3, 9, 10; 19(7); 20(9), 21(5); 22(4), 23.7, 9, 24, 24(4), 25:20; 27(6); 28(4); 46.7, 8; 47:5, 11, 24, 48(4); 49.1, 3, 5, 51(5); 52(5); 53(4); 54:8, 20, 55.9, 18, 23; 56(6); 57(4), 58:2; 59:7, 9, 11; 60(4); 61:3; 62:2, 63(5); 64(4); 65.23; 71:24, 25; 72(10); 73:16, 24, 25; 74(5); 75:13; 76(5), 77:10, 11, 14; 91(6), 92.8, 15, 19; 93:15; 94 1, 22; 95:23, 96 7, 14, 18; 97(4); 98:15; 99 7; 100:9; 101:7, 10; 102(4), 103.7, 8, 14, 104:15, 106.1, 9; 107.16; 108.20; 109(4); 110:6, 13, 19, 111:4, 12; 112:9; 113(5); 114:2, 4, 15; 115:13, 15; 116:20, 117:14, 18, 20; 118:3, 4, 6; 119:3, 120:8, 9, 11; 126 13; 133(8); 134(4); 136:4, 13, 20; 138.5, 14, 21; 139(5); 140(6); 141.14, 18, 23; 142:3, 6; 143 10, 19; 144(5); 145:7, 9, 19; 146:1, 7, 147.4, 11, 149:8; 154 17; 171(5); 172:2; 173:24; 174:6, 13, 16; 175:7, 9; 176:8, 9, 177(4); 178(4), 179(7); 180:5, 23; 181:22; 182(6); 183:12, 13, 184 18 Representatives 4 5 representing 133.4 represents 148 2 reproduction 185:17

reptiles 7·19, 21 repulsive 64:4 request 167:12 requested 171:9 require 106:4, 111.5; 167:23 required 110.8; 131:6, 24; 135:14; 141:2 requirement 107 17: 172:4 **requires** 110:22 requiring 104:2; 130:2, 7; 131:21 rescue 66:18 research 128:24; 156:17 researching 167:22 **resident** 141:12 residents 55:19; 132:17; 133:1 resources 8:13 respect 157:20 respectively 127:22 respirations 138:10 respond 27:16; 144·9 responded 6.25 response 76:24: 139:14: 145:3 responsibility 8:8, 11; 121:3, 7, 10 responsible 69:5 rest 32:4; 37·1; 46·17; 53:8; 79 20, 109:15; 127:21, 157:19; 169:19, restrictions 46.23 rests 71.4 result 50:1; 69:9; 145:2; 158 1 resulting 69:7 results 66:24, 67:12; 68:8 **resume** 66:5 resurrects 69:24 retired--Trooper 29:23 retreat 69:13 returned 157:9 revenue 68·11 **rewrite** 62:16 rich 6:13:7:4 rid 104:3 ride 67·12, 70 19, 25: 84:16, 20, 25; 85:2, 7; 86.19, 90:19; 97:23; 98 10; 103 18, 20, 124 10, 147:1; 184:6 rides 69·25 riding 24:23; 105:4; 150:3; 151:19; 173:14 right 6:3; 13:6, 16·3, 19:12; 35:18, 19; 38:6; 44:15, 25; 50:12; 74:15; 78 5, 9; 79:21; 83:12;

86.12; 87:6; 88-20; 89-1;

101:16, 103:7, 13; 104:15;

93:22; 96:13; 98.1;

105 13, 22; 107; 22, 24; 109:11; 110:3; 112 25; 118:25; 123 3, 134 22; 138:17; 139.1; 141:6; 142 5; 146:6; 148:1, 21; 150:6; 151.3, 152 19; 155:3; 158.4, 161:19, 168:20, 25; 174.4, 15, 178 22 rights 106 25 rise 132:16; 154:8, 9 risen 119:25 risk 132:16 road 70·2, 71:10; 84:22; 85.12, 25; 107:6; 113:1, 122.8: 153:5: 170:8 Robert 28:20 rock 165.3 roll 34·19 roof 151:3, 4; 152:1, 4 roofs 151:8 room 35:8; 38:4; 43·16; 94:18; 95:19; 152:12, 18, roots 5:24 rope 81:14; 87·3, 14; 100:25; 115:9 **Ross** 148:8 rotten 40:16; 174:25 **Route** 12.9 routes 108:15; 170:14 routinely 75:22:81.8 row 115:3 rubber 153:22, 25 rules 99 12 run 30:14; 81·24, 25, 95 19: 125:13, 141:9: 169:21, 21; 174.11; 177:23 running 50:14; 56 11; 76 11; 146:4, 155:8; 170 2 runs 6·13; 102 24 rupturing 67:8 Russell 183.5

S

S.P.C.A 28:21; 30.24 **safe** 25:7, 80:4; 99 8, 10; 168:4 safely 25:6; 67:5; 75:22; 102:10; 132:23 safety 71:1, 8; 126:20; 129.11; 130:3, 8, 132:4; 135 19; 137:1 **sake** 7.15 sale 128:3; 137:20, 21, 141:21; 143.16, 17, 18; 146(4); 147:1, 23; 161:19; 166:10; 167:19, 19 sales 89:16; 140 19; 141:15, 25; 142:1, 9; 143:11, 13, 145:16, 23; 146.2, 11; 147:7; 154:17;

156:13, 15; 168:20, 170:9 salmonella 74:21 same 5:19; 14:11; 15:15; 51:22, 23; 52:10; 64:14, 68:8; 73:20; 78:7; 82:10, 90:23: 100:13, 14: 125:20, 22; 134.19; 141:6; 169:22; 172:21; 185:15, 17 **sanely 75:22** sanitary 74:12 Sara's 27.16 satisfactory 94·10 save 41:13 saw 32:5; 40:2, 4, 11: 68:1, 14:90 22: 164:9; 168:9; 172:20 **saying** 6:25; 21:25; 22.1; 47.8, 14, 65.7; 100:2, 15; 101:4; 102:14, 105:12; 106:2; 107:24; 172:8; 175:18: 178.14 scarce 51:6 scared 56.6 scenario 118:9 scene 52:4; 83:6; 138:2 schedule 28:24; 78:16 scheme 15:19 scholars 8.4.19 **School** 31:9 Schroon 29:7, 32:4; 36.9, 37:6; 40:1; 60:13 Schuler 10:22, 23; 19:18, 19, 25, 20(5); 21:3, 4, 22; 22:9, 20; 23.7, 24; 24 1, 27:14, 15; 51:15, 16, 52(5); 53:6, 11, 22; 54:8, 20, 55.9, 18, 23; 56(4); 72:13, 14; 109:24, 25; 110:6, 13, 19; 111:4, 12; 112:9; 113(5); 115:15; 134(4); 136 4, 13, 20; 138:5, 14, 21, 139:5, 9, 14; 145:8, 9, 19; 146:1, 7; 147 4, 11; 175:8, 9; 176:8; 177(4); 178:8, 18, 24; 179:6, 10, 12 Schuler's 25:20, 144:10 science 149·24 scope 15:2, 16:21 screaming 70:1 screams 69:20 seaboard 132.14 seal 132:22 search 70.8 seas 7:25 **seat** 27:13, 14 Second 11:17; 25:19;

26:15, 34:6, 35.6; 36:21;

59:23; 60:22; 61:5; 62(5);

63:15; 139:22; 153:17;

159 24; 162:10; 178:1;

seconds 182.16

secretaries 144:20

181:25

37:22; 38 10; 48 11;

Secretary 144:15, 15 section 11:13:12:12. 24:16; 33:13; 34:19; 35:17; 37 9; 59:5; 61:16; 94:18; 129:16; 138:22 sections 31:18:61:14 **secured** 161:7 security 154:3 **seeing** 7:8; 36 2; 166:3; 177.20 **seeking** 170:7 seem 70.12; 157·5; 180:8 seems 99:9:113.3: 119:10; 172 13; 175:18, 176:3: 182:4 sees 53·19 segregate 69.5 segregated 89:1; 94:19 segregates 70.25 seize 37:17 selected 26:23 **sell** 18:24; 19:5; 41·10; 76:3; 90 5, 17; 146.21; 147:9; 180:9; 181:5; 184:10 selling 93:11 sells 105:3 send 50:19; 55:25; 62:17; 85:24; 95:22; 109:8, 121:4 **sending** 157·15 sends 107·11 sense 79:9; 81·17, 99:10; 100:19, 116:14, 16; 138:13, 176:21; 180:15 sense-Holly 72:21 sensitive 70:4 sent 29:24; 51 1, 3; 57:10 **sentence** 164:12 separate 33:17; 48:8; 62:10; 127:13 separated 47.15 separates 46:18 separating 48:7 serious 103:21; 150:8, 179:25 seriously 89:24 served 174:19 session 15 21, 23; 16 2, set 23:12, 14, 15, 24:25, 25:4; 33.5; 41.22; 124·16; 178:5 setting 4:20 seven 31:2; 43:4; 86.3; 128 20; 129 7; 151·13; 152.10; 154:19; 172:10; 173:5, 21; 184:11 seven-foot 128:22; 151 3; 152.4, 13; 173:17 several 31.18; 33 8, 9; 34:13; 40:21; 44 1; 47:2, 49:10; 54:24; 55:1; 58.18; 80:12; 125·19; 158:6, 20; 159:4, 160:11

REPRSENTATIVE 21:2

severely 135:3 sex 94 19; 127:19 **shackle** 171:15 **shame** 71:12 shape 30:23: 41:7 shared 93·17 sharing 28:14; 148:24, 183:3 **sheep** 26:16; 136:11; 149:18 ship 25.7, 8; 39:5, 78:7, 101:18; 102:23, 138.20; 154:21, 155:5, 7; 160:5, 20; 166:19; 172.5; 176:20 **shipment** 106:3; 127 3; 162.25 shipped 24 11, 12; 25.14, 23; 26(4); 49:8; 80:5, 160:14; 167:6; 175:17; 178:12, 13 shipper 41 8 shippers 103·15; 126.22, 132 5; 135.11 **shipping** 25:5, 15; 26:6; 71:19, 78:6, 8; 168:17, 24, 169:2, 20, 24, 170:23; 1823 shipping-to-slaughter 26:21 **ships** 78:8 **shock** 88:9 Shoe 101.17; 125·12, 183:6 shoot 35:10 short 50:25; 66.6; 67·17; 116:19: 182 4 shortened 5:4 shorter 37·13 **shot** 44:18; 77:4; 116.23; 153 14 shoulder 173:2 **shouldn't** 96:16 **shoving** 176:24 **show** 36:12; 39 12; 42·8; 95:13; 103:17, 104 11; 122:5; 123:8; 142:4. 145:22; 151:2, 15; 152:14; 153:9, 19 showed 56:15; 125·11, 130 20, 22; 134 16; 174:2; 177:2 **showing** 152 22 **shown** 91 10; 153:2 **shows** 42:12; 72:25; 79.1 **shut** 67 22; 85:16; 146 18; 160:25 sic 31 25 sick 50·18; 127:4; 169:23 sickness 8·12 **side** 35:25, 36:3; 40:10; 61:23, 82:12; 83:11; 123.8; 130:25; 131:1; 162·18 sides 55:7, 7 **sight** 40.2

sighted 96.5, 9 signed 30:8; 42:6 significance 64.25 **significant** 62·3, 65·1; 171.2 significantly 26 11; 62:7 silence 171:13 similar 19:20, 54:13, 80.15, 16 similar-sized 118.14 similarly-sized 118:17 simple 128:14 simpler 65:20 simply 157:16, 163:6, 170.11, 22; 173:16 single 20:8, 61:15; 83:25, 158:2; 163:20; 164-23, 182:4 single-deck 88·11, 19 single-tier 30:20 single-tiered 141:1 singled 22.8, 27:20 singling 20.16; 27.25 sit 108:6; 109:17 site 67:10 sits 93:22 **sitting 44:17** situation 35:23; 49:18, 24; 149.11; 155:23; 156:3; 164:1, 18 **situations** 50:3: 157.12 **six** 31·2, 9, 24; 38:11; 41:6, 17; 43:3, 6; 47:7; 57:3, 3, 4, 58:6, 80.24; 85.21; 93:4; 128.18, 19, 25; 129.1, 5; 130:2; 151(4), 152 10; 166 21; 172.5, 7, 7; 174:9, 18, 18; 1823 six-horse 103·19 **six-week** 67:6 **size** 82:10; 104:5; 125:22; 151:1 **skewed** 169:3 **skies** 7:25 **skin** 44 9 **skull** 86:7 slant 103:19 **slats** 40:6 slaugherhouse 57:13. 21; 74:7, 25; 75:7; 99:2; 117:2, 9; 124:15, 132:7, 12 slaugherhouses 68:17; **75:1**; 105·7; 123:16, 21; 128:7, 132:18, 142:13 slaughter 5:14; 11:10, 16, 24; 12:1, 15; 14:4, 9, 14; 16 10; 18 5, 7, 13; 19:10; 21 21, 24:11, 25(4); 26.3, 5, 9; 28:5; 39:6; 46:1, 5, 14; 48·17, 49:14; 54:15, 17; 57.9; 64.11; 65(4); 67:10, 18; 68:25, 74:17, 75.16, 76:3, 13; 79:10, 13;

90.17; 92:22; 94:3, 98:20, 99.13, 14; 101:24; 102:19, 20; 103:14, 104:17, 105 14; 119:6; 121-20, 124:1, 21; 126.15, 21, 23, 127.8, 9; 128:3, 6, 10; 129.24; 130:5, 13, 132:1, 13, 136.23; 137:4, 10, 18, 142:7, 9, 16; 143 2, 16, 146.9, 10; 147:8, 16; 148:14, 16, 20; 160:3, 181.1 slaughterbound 64:23; 89:10, 19, 90:1; 105:8, 18; 115 23; 116:15; 123:23, 127:16; 135 16; 142:25; 159·13, 15, 160:10 slaughtered 78:3, 4; 79:16; 100:21, 181:12 Slaughterhouse 77:19: 124.17; 148:11; 166.23 slaughterhouses 132:10, 133:4; 157.14; 170.15, 173:15 slaughters 87.12 sleep 101:3 sleeping 37:3 slides 134.7, 15 sling 98:9 slip 105·25, 129:14; **slippery** 38 14; 154·12 **slots** 35:2; 48 2; 82:12 **slowly** 70 20 small 38:8; 81 3; 127 13, 131:13, 16; 142 10, 162:20:174.8 small-time 67:12 smaller 43:15; 44.14, 21, 50:12; 151:20, 22; 153:2; 173:13 smallest 43·3 smell 40·16, 69:23 smells 71.13 smile 71:11; 121:15 smooth 5.7 smoothly 7:13 **snapped** 166 11 snapped-off 176:20 snow 40:23, 25; 41.2; 44(4) **snowing** 39:23 snuff 93:13 Snyder 141:22; 156:12 society 7:10; 9:15 **sold** 41:11; 45.6, 80:18; 142:17; 147.19 **solid** 40:6 solution 132:8 somebody 18:25; 24:5; 25:15; 41:14; 56:14; 63 21; 95.18; 153 10; 176:12; 180.9, 182 5

someone 7:23; 70:20; 166.4 something 4:12; 5.24; 13:3; 14 20, 23, 24; 15:4, 17; 30:16; 32.21, 36:14, 50.22; 58.21; 60:22, 24; 62:8; 73:15, 92:1; 101 17; 104.4, 109:5; 115 9, 119:6; 120 6; 123:21, 146:16; 183:17, 21 sometime 27.20; 137:22 **Sometimes** 5:23; 13:1, 15:9; 45.17; 65:20; 98:7, 10; 142:13; 159 20; 168:20, 169:16 **somewhere** 30:3: 63.4: 99:16: 101:1: 118:20: 147:21; 170:3; 184-13, 15 son 119:20 soon 44:4; 71:7 sore 43:22 sorrow 70:14 sorry 12:7; 20.23; 153:17 sort 41:3; 91:25, 103:9; 176:1; 177:14 sorted 161.25 sorts 7:20: 73:17 **Souix** 6.20 sound 100·3; 102.10 sounds 52 19, 71·13 source 12:24 **sources** 12.18 **South** 6:18; 155.9; 161:23 southern 116:21, 170:14 **SP** 31:15 **space** 47:16, 18; 69:12; 115.5, 152.4; 153:16 **spaces** 49:11 spasmatic 163:23 **SPCA** 156.4 speak 75:19; 77:1, 2 speaker 90:3; 113 23 **speaking** 66.14, 20, 21 special 84:2; 96 3, 21; 136:14 specially 83:22 **specialty** 66:23; 149:23 specific 12:15: 53:12: 60:20; 154:25; 161:13; 167:8; 178:6 specifically 11.11; 12:13, 25:13; 50:4, 91:9; 160:1; 162:21, 173:25; 175.23 specifications 126:20; 132:4 specifics 58:16; 113 18 specify 54:15 speed 31:12 spelled 171:12 spend 30:12; 93:12; 180:1 **spending** 119:23

spent 149:25; 150:10; 167:21; 170 8 spill 84:18 **spoken** 158.6; 161:15; 163:2 sponsor 4:6 sport 150:5; 172:12 **Sports** 149:5 spot 87:9 spousal 7:8 spread 68:20 spring 84:20, 25, 103 20 **squeeze** 90:14 stables 105.4; 145:16. 23; 146:3, 11; 147:7; 174:8 staff 4·23; 63:11 **stains** 69:17 **stake** 80:23 stall 49:12; 83 25; 84:9, 13; 87 7, 8; 95:9, 96:8, 10, 24; 97:5, 22; 170 3 stallion 53:2, 7, 20 **stallions** 50:6; 69:2, 18; 90:21 **stalls** 48:4; 83:25; 84:1; 89:24; 94:14, 15; 96:21; 102:17, 21 **stamp** 122.7 stance 156:19 stand 44.20; 69:16; 84.7, 85 22; 100.5, 10, 20, 139.6, 10; 152:21, 154:3; 160:15; 163:14; 164:1, 3, **standard** 23:13, 14, 16; 49:12; 91:11; 98:22; 99:8, 10, 128:15; 172.9 Standardbred 80:25; 142:9; 166:6, 7, 20 standards 89:9; 117:15, 118.13; 130.3, 6, 8; 135:19; 137:1 **standing** 43:9; 90:19, 20; 151.6; 172:17 **standpoint** 53·18; 65.4, 4 start 25:10; 29 18, 82:18; 97:2; 128:20; 138:9, 9, 11 started 20:3, 40:25; 51:7; 56:12; 59.17; 88:14 starting 10.13 starving 113:4 **state** 16:25; 17:3; 24:24; 28:17; 29:4, 4, 14; 30:7, 22; 31:9, 11; 34:3, 17; 38:24; 39:18, 21; 42:3, 22, 45:15; 51:23; 54:21; 56:13; 62:23; 64:10, 67:9, 19; 71:8; 80:25; 92:13, 16; 107(4); 108:7, 14, 16, 110(5); 111:24; 113:5, 126:24, 130:10, 22; 132:12, 22; 141:10; 142:24; 143:5, 12; 146 16, 155:15; 156:21, 157:2; 158.12; 159:8; 160:19, 20, 22; 162:5, 16; 167.25;

80:5, 19, 89:6, 12, 15;

somebody's 122.9

somehow 30·13

168.2: 169:20 State's 52:14 stated 127:12; 143:24; 146 13: 147:19 **statement** 64.3: 65:6: 112:16: 165:19: 183:12 statements 100:17 Staten 29:11 states 41·17; 45·14; 57:1, 2, 5, 58:4; 63:13, 71:18; 76 12; 79.1; 80.17; 107:21, 22; 108:2; 130:7, 15, 131·9; 132 9; 167:14; 169.6 stating 164:14 station 29:17: 108:5. 109.4 station-all 29:22 statistics 54:21; 72:24 statute 12·12; 13:6; 17:2; 25.11; 57:25; 135:13 statutes 31:21 stay 37:25; 97:17, 105:3; 161:6; 170:3, 5 staying 4:11 **steak** 5:15 Steelman 10(4); 24·2, 3; 27.6; 49:2, 3; 72:6, 7; 102:5, 6; 103:7, 14: 104:15; 106:1, 9; 107:16; 108:20; 109:9, 21; 133:20, 21: 172:1, 2, 173:24: 174:6, 13, 16; 178:20; 179.1 **steep** 154·12 **steers** 20·10; 86:16, 16; 88 20, 21 stenographer 4 13 stenotype 185:12 step 129:22, 158:4 step-ups 153:21 **Steve** 4·19 stewardship 8:15 stick 65:21, 87:17, 102:25 **sticking** 35:12; 40:5, 11; 44:8, 86:1 stiff 43 20, 22; 84:25 **stiffer** 63:15, 158:16 **still** 24:6; 38:7; 44·13; 51:10; 60:8, 62:22, 24, 75:10, 10, 120:16; 122:14; 129.24; 165:4, 7; 169:23, 24 stimulate 22:3 stock 34.13; 55:5; 56:13; 83.20; 84:19; 88:2, 11, 19, stockyard 127:10 stolen 131:25; 132:18, 161:10, 20 stomach 165:10 stomped 83:8 **stop** 63:21; 82:17; 85:13; 111:9; 115:2; 116 2, 5,

135:15: 155:23, 158:9: 162:16, 24: 163:11 stopped 5:14; 40:8, 8; 50:9; 52:17; 57:17; 82:22; 109:2, 3; 112:23, 135:2, 4 stops 71:2 stories 29:18, 156:9: 173:14; 175:25 story 9:19; 41:6, 25; 42.24, 50:22; 53:7; 148:9 straight 93:23; 116:23; 130:24 **strange** 95:19 strangles 163:17 straw 98:11 street 104 9 strength 9:3 stress 73:17; 77:4; 165 12 stress-level 73.7 stressed 66:23; 73:13 stressful 168:16 strict 104·8, 12 **strictly** 42:16, 46:4; 160:2 strike 164:12 strona 177 25 stronger 69:17 strongest 69:20; 70:17 Strothenke 37 7, 7 stuck 15:8 **students** 168:2 studies 115:21; 149:22; 168.25; 169:3 study 72:25 studying 159.3 stuff 114:24; 161:1; 173:12 **stuffed** 86:25 sturdy 37:24 Sub 37:9 **subject** 34:8; 56:16, 66 4 subjecting 162-14 **subsection** 24:17; 33:13; 58 6: 61:10 subsections 58:6 subsequence 63.16 subsequent 62:14, 15; 139 22 subsequently 185·12 substantially 15.3 successful 177:13, 16 successfully 135:15 suck 168:15 suckers 90:5 sudden 88:13; 103:1;

sufferings 26:24 sufficient 22:13, 15: 38.17; 51:20, 20; 58:12; 60:3:61:23 suggest 94:24: 139:16 suggested 17:6; 72 20 suggesting 46:13; 94:5, 96:1 suggestion 94:2; 98:16 suggestions 51:19 suited 26:10 summary 139:17, 179:24; 180.2 supervision 185:14, 19 support 16:17, 84:8; 126.18; 129:7; 140:6; 158:5, 25 supporters 126:18 supporting 27:17; 149.9 supposed 32:8, 107:19; 141:4 sure 4:14; 17:8; 46:21; 54:2, 56 3; 77:8, 94:21; 104:16; 105:21; 118:19, 121:10, 16; 122·16; 159:11; 165:9; 169·10; 179:9 surely 77:2 **surface** 153:23 **surgery** 165.18 **Susie** 184:5 **swaving** 153 6 sweat 43:23 sweating 82:18 swing 152 17, 19 sword 70:15 **sylvania** 117:24 **Sys-Op** 66:16 system 49:16; 157:25; 167.3; 170:19 systematically 156:16 **systems** 66:19 Т

T 31:7; 32:19 tag 137:21 talk 5:9; 6:4, 8; 11:19; 17.13, 91:7; 108.22, 25; 135:21; 138:18; 139:2 talked 6:17, 33:8; 63:20; 74.7; 115.14; 120:4; 144:20; 152:24 talking 20:11, 28:3; 53.13; 55:10; 61:6, 71:15; 83:16; 89:20; 92:16, 16; 94:7, 9, 95 24, 24; 97 17; 102:7; 104.24, 126:2, 135:21, 22; 136:3; 146·14, 150:19; 151:9, 154:24; 168.12; 169:12; 173:25; 174:10; 176 16; 177:21, 179:18, 20 tall 43:13; 154:19; 173:1

taste 77:6 tattoo 121:15 tattooed 121.14 tauaht 79:6 tax 148:18 teach 55:3 tears 70 6 technical 5.10; 172:3 technician 110.5, 117:16 teeth 13:8, 14; 16:22; 176 telling 173:9 tells 42:24; 181:10 temperature 52 5: 106:22 ten 30:23, 37:24, 25; 43:6; 47:19, 21; 48:4, 65:14, 14, 68:15; 94:17; 96.25; 101:21: 115.3, 18: 118:22: 168.7, 8, 11; 180:7 ten-foot 94:18: 96:8 term 123 14: 159:24. 162:8 terminal 117·25; 118:1 terms 28:4; 63:12; 94.5 terrible 44:10: 134:8 terribly 31:21, 32:10 terrified 47:4 territory 69:19 test 106:13, 16; 107·18, 120:18 testified 118:8: 128:15: 130:10, 159 19 testifiers 4:25 **testify** 14:8; 112:11; 126.11; 133 5 testifying 4:25; 14·19, 28:8; 138:12; 148-24 testimony 9:13; 15:16; 28:14, 38:16; 66:3; 78:12; 114:8, 120.14; 126:3; 133:12; 135.14, 138.8; 139:25; 164:13, 175:13; 183:3, 8, 19 **tests** 55 16; 73:6, 10; 106.13 **Texas** 117 3, 4; 127:21, 23; 128:7; 137:11, 24, 24; 141:13; 162:2; 170:15 thanked 157.2 thanks 10 10, 19 that-as 154 1 theft 132:16, 17, 162.8 them--the 61:9 themselves 116:6; 163.10 there--and 72.21 there-- 1 43:9 there-those 43:10 thereabouts 34·11 therefore 159.21; 164:22

taller 47:7: 85:22

172.20, 22

tape 60 10; 151:4, 6;

They'll 54.5; 86:19; 98:9. 10, 109:5, 112:5; 115 7; 138:18 they're 14:12; 18:22; 26:3, 12, 20; 36:5; 38:6; 39:9, 10; 43.11, 13, 14; 47:20; 49:17; 54:5, 10; 56:6: 57:11, 19: 58.13: 65:9, 10; 71:17, 20; 75:11; 83.6; 84:24, 25; 85:5, 86:25, 25, 87:23, 24; 89:15, 90:2; 93:10, 11; 97:23; 99:19; 101:2, 17. 22; 105:13, 14, 15; 111 14; 112:13: 115:11; 116:18; 117:10; 119:22; 121:25; 123:25; 125:21, 22, 22; 131:18; 142:14, 15, 17; 146:24, 25; 165:11; 167 19, 20, 168:5; 169 14; 173:17, 22; 176:18, 21; 177:25; 178:16; 179:17; 180:20, 181:13, 21 they've 104.4 think-I 163:3 thinking 103:8, 25 third 37·4; 62:5, 13 thirstv 71:4 thirteen 47:7; 128:18 **Thirty-two** 61:24 **Thomas** 28:17; 29:3 thoroughbred 24:23 thoroughbreds 148:19 though 93:16; 110·22; 122:20; 129:23, 141:6; 166:22; 178:14; 180:6 thought 16:14; 24 5; 111:19; 113:9, 125:14 thoughts 115:18 thousand 62:6, 12: 63:17; 76.4; 91:14, 92:12, 119:24 thousands 79:21 threatening 49:22 three 8:3, 18: 45:22: 49:13, 81:6; 92:11, 96:24; 98:5; 108:10; 132:10, 139:18; 151:11; 166:5; 179:23 three-legged 164 9 three-year 135:6 throughout 42:22; 149.21 throw 47·22; 81:14; 83:20; 85:16; 96:23, 99:25; 101:20; 107:6 thrown 168:20 thumb 105·13 Thursday 138:1 ticket 31 3, 3; 33:3; 123:7 tickets 31:2 tied 47:23 tier 38:13; 47:2, 61:15; 62:10; 65:18 tiers 47.3; 61:16, 17;

104:12

170:12

163:22

suddenly 182.9

suffered 138:4

suffering 24 19, 23;

suffer 30:17; 50:7; 70:13;

26:12; 27:3, 4, 68 8; 158:2;

65:20

tight 69:11 tighten 85:15 tighter 59:3 tightly 58.1 till 70:22; 82:23 times 39.7; 55:1; 85:11; 90:22; 108:1; 110:22; 111:23; 112:4; 115:11; 145:11; 157:7; 169:22 timid 69:12 today 5:1, 2, 9; 10:6; 28:14; 44:11, 51 10; 66:15, 20; 78:11; 79:24; 81:18, 22, 126:2; 148.23; 155 16; 158:19; 161.8; 183:3, 5, 184:20 toes 164·3 together 23:21; 69:3, 11; 86:25; 127:20 told 36:21; 156 9; 157:6; 161 25 tolerance 156:11: 157:11 tolerate 26:17, 23 tomorrow 92:14; 112:19 tongue 40:7 tonight 92:13 took 35:10; 39:4; 40:17; 41:1, 6; 44.16; 61 15; 88:18; 92:7 top 11:11, 36:4; 90:20; 129-16; 140 22, 168 21 torment 70:22; 71:5 Toronto 121:4; 124:19 torture 45:20; 68:9, 70.25; 156.20; 171 6 tortured 73:1, 1; 79:16 total 61:25: 147:17: 180:15 totality 58:22 totally 64:9, 73:7 **Touching** 110:1; 152:2, 8 tough 105.20, 175.1 tougher 86:22; 107:23 tour 32 8; 183:7 toured 13:25; 23:10 toward 18.1; 45:18 tower 155:12 **towering** 172:19 Town 36:9; 37:6; 40:18; 60:12, 67:6 toxins 73:7, 11, 77:5 trace 162:1,3 traced 161.22 track 15.9; 19 2, 4; 63:8; 77:15; 146:4 tracks 129:14 tractor 50:9 tractor-trailer 30·1, 19, 47:6 traditionally 11:23; 12:2; 104:25 traffic 12:9; 31:2, 62:19

trail 45:20 trailer 30:1, 5, 21; 31:24; 32:4, 5, 16, 34:14; 35(4); 38:12; 39:8; 40:11; 43(4); 44:1; 50:10, 54:18, 55:5, 8, 60:7; 61.14; 65:17; 67:14; 69:25; 82(6); 83(4); 84.2, 19, 23; 85.7, 86 5, 18, 24; 87(5); 88 2, 11, 19, 91 10, 11; 92:23, 97(6), 99.15, 17, 23; 100(4), 101·1, 21; 103:18, 19; 104:10, 105.10; 112:20; 114:6, 13, 14; 118:14, 17, 125-12; 128(4), 129.4, 22, 130.21, 23; 131·3, 135 1, 4, 141:1, 6; 143·1; 151:1, 14; 152:10, 13; 153:20; 154.17, 18, 25; 155:3; 163.5; 166:21; 172:21; 174.2; 175:5; 176.24 Trailering 67 4, 5 **trailers** 43:5, 17; 44:11; *6*8:1; 70:1; 80:9; 81:7, 21; 82:8, 19; 83:10, 23, 25; 84:16, 20; 85:20; 86:3, 88.3, 89:15; 93:12; 104.3; 113:18; 114:10, 18, 118:11; 123:15; 127:17, 24, 128:13, 18, 129 6, 9, 25, 130(6), 135.20, 150:21, 153.21, 154.19; 161:1; 173.17, 22, 174.1, 7, 11; 181:24; 182:2 trailers--They 89:4 trainer 103.16, 106:5 trainers 94.14 trampled 155:22; 163:1 trampling 69:14; 71:2 transcript 185:11 transition 5:7 translation 8:3 transparencies 42:8 transparency 42:11 transport 11.10; 14:3; 16:23, 24, 25; 24:22; 45 16; 46:14; 58:8; 67:3, 7; 69.1; 71:7; 75:16, 17; 76.2; 78:18; 79 25; 80.22; 89:25, 91 9, 19, 93:20; 95(4); 96:11; 98 20, 23; 99:9, 11; 114:10; 120:17; 125:3, 127:8, 16; 128:19, 25; 132:3, 8, 11; 135:16, 17, 138:2, 12; 139:8, 172:11 transportation 9 14, 18:1, 4; 28:4; 29.19, 31 2, 19, 23; 32.15; 34.5; 55:14; 64:10, 22, 78 21; 104:21; 110(4); 130:4, 12; 138:11, transported 11:14, 23; 12.1; 18.18; 24.19; 57 9, 73:2; 77:25; 94.3, 98 6; 99.20; 126:15; 127.17, 20, 25; 129:25; 132:22; 133 2

transported--it's 96 2

transporting 12:14; 14:8, 13, 17:3, 8; 18:5; 38:11; 43:18; 58:14; 65:9, 17: 92 21, 22: 99:3: 119 5: 121 19, 20; 130.2; 137:6; 140:25 transports 136:2 trap 30 14, 15 trapper 30:12 travel 45:13; 71:8, 87:10; 98:14; 101:6, 11, 13; 102:10, 15, 16, 103 11; 108.12; 112.21; 125.23, 131:5, 9, 10; 132.4, 137:3; 161:5, 12; 162:23, 165 7; 170 13, 25 traveled 116:21: 150:13: 159.9 traveling 75:11; 108:4; 116:12; 117·12; 169.11, 14, 25 travesty 161.4 treat 8.13 treated 14.10, 12, 13 treatment 6:21:89:21: 101:15; 113 6, 160:9, 18 trees 78.8 tremendous 8:6 trial 36:16; 135 6, 141:4, 176:1 tribute 8:22 tried 42.25; 44:6, 52·16; 58 3; 155:23; 166:12, 167:11; 179:4 trip 24·25, 75·12; 83:21; 87.11 Triple 79.3, 4 trips 150:15 Troop 29:7 Trooper 28.17, 22, 29(4), 36.25, 39:14; 47 17; 48 3, 49 9, 51:22; 52:4, 8, 13; 53.13; 54(4); 55:12, 20, 25, 56(4), 58:25; 59:12, 16; 60(4); 61:4; 62:15; 64:20; 65:3, 25; 66.2; 104:23; 130:22, 142:24, 143.6 **Troopers** 31:14; 36:17; 39:21; 40 13, 15, 56·10; 105:17 trouble 103:22; 171:4 truck 34:25, 35:11, 13; 36:20, 21; 37.17, 20; 39:25; 40(6); 42 10; 47:20; 48:5; 50:15; 52:17, 25; 61.21, 68:5, 14; 82:2, 22, 84:22; 85:10; 87:13, 14; 88.18; 92.5, 9, 10; 94:13; 95:21; 98 4; 104:13; 106:6: 110 17: 112:20: 116:4; 137.18; 138:3; 140:22, 22, 141:9, 11; 142:16; 160·14, 161 20, 166.4, 9, 13, 167.20, 172.5 trucker 53:18; 134·20,

truckers 124.10, 157:13; 158:14; 162:14, 15; 168:18; 170:22 trucking 41:15; 66:25; 110.11, 12; 156:15, 23; 159:7; 167:2 truckload 113:3, 161:3 trucks 26:3, 5; 45 12; 71 10; 74:14; 89:23, 109.1; 117:9; 140 21; 150.19: 151:9: 153.4: 154.9: 157:16: 164:8: 172:10 true 112:16; 146.19; 185:11 trust 95:12 truth 164.19; 173:16 try 5:13, 45 23; 49:18; 95.17, 98:8; 178.3, 180:10 trying 21:8; 25·10; 27:12, 19, 34:1; 36:5; 50:24; 53.25; 83:9; 85·24; 93:16; 100:10; 101:8; 104:17, 111:16; 118.14, 136 22; 138:24; 164.20; 173:10 turn 9:25, 18.24; 96 24, 25: 153:18 turnaround 170.23 turned 32.7, 34:20; 75.25, 120:1; 144.19; 156:2 turning 153:13 twelve 119:24 twenty-five 63:18 twice 30:15 twine 1159 two 11.7; 35:19; 44.6; 45.21, 49:12; 50:10, 13; 61.16, 20, 24; 65:20; 75:7; 78:24; 81:9; 85:21; 88:9; 92:25; 98:5; 100.4, 22; 104:10; 114:5, 115:25; 128:21; 129:4, 131:1, 141.2, 151:14, 21; 152:15, 161.7; 163:21; 166(4); 169.14; 174:2, 18 two-edged 70 15 two-horse 104:10 two-page 37:4 two-tier 30:1, 4; 32.4, 5, 16, 35:17, 17, 25, 39:8; 61:13, 15; 65:19; 143:1, 7 tying 60:10 tying-up 163·23 type 22:1; 33:12; 54:23; 73:9; 94:20; 116:11; 145:16; 179:18; 181.18 types 21:9; 22:2; 81 21; 102:12, 170 23 typical 35:16, 24 typically 153:21 typo 179.2

TJ

U.S 79:12; 123:8 ugly 83:6 ultimately 19:9; 58:13; 181:1 unabated 156:6; 170:20 unacceptable 80:22 **Unadiilo** 161:23 unanimously 42:5 unavoidable 27:4, 80:20 unaware 183:25 uncommon 44:11; 163.12 under 14:5; 25:1, 6; 30.21; 31:18, 20; 43:6; 52:19; 58:5, 7; 77:4; 96:3, 98:11, 100:9; 118:13, 16; 125:3; 131:6; 134:12; 135:12; 139:5, 9; 142:19; 154:4, 19; 168 16; 177:9, 11; 185·13, 18 undercut 65 1 understands 177:24 understood 46:17 unending 70.22, 71:5 unfeeling 69.1 unfit 132:3 unfortunately 89:14; 90.7; 119:17 **unhappy** 85.25 unique 66:18 United 78 25; 132:9. 167:14; 169:6 United_Press 42.1 university 98:7; 103:3 unless 19:2; 35:23; 63:2; 92:12; 110:23; 176:19, 185:18 unload 129:21 unloaded 40:19; 44:13; unloading 36:18; 129:19; 130:25; 131:1 unnecessary 135:8 unpleasant 24:22 unregulated 76:11; 157:12 unusual 157:5 unwritten 180:24, 181:7 up 4.21; 18:2, 19, 21; 19:5, 10; 21:17; 23.22, 24:8, 27·13; 29:9; 31:12; 33:5, 15, 34:25; 35(4); 36:1, 4: 38:15: 42.3, 19: 44:17; 45:2, 8, 18; 47:1, 1, 52:8; 55:17; 56:1, 6, 15; 58:15: 61:17: 62.12: 63:3. 15; 65:10; 67:17, 24; 76·11; 82:5, 7; 85(5); 86·4, 6, 14; 87(4); 92:6, 93.13; 95.13, 14, 21, 98.4, 21; 100:5; 102:9, 106.23, 107:6; 109 13; 110:25;

tragedy 45:7; 171:15

136 1; 167:9; 177.7; 178.4

114:24, 119 11, 21, 23; 121:4, 123.2, 18; 124:6, 16; 125 11, 25; 129.22; 136:18; 145:11; 147:25; 149:16; 150:7, 14, 151 13; 153:11; 154 10; 155:6, 13, 21; 160.15, 161:15, 22; 163:3; 164:3, 13; 172:9; 173:16; 181:19; 183:18 upon 7:24; 134:5; 159.3 upper 47:2 upsetting 41:3 **upstate** 29:12; 67:4, 75:21; 105 15, 19 urgent 165 13 **USDA** 74:16, 22 Use 8·13, 9:22; 12:23, 34:18; 42:11; 65:18; 131:13; 141.9; 153.22 **used** 24:6, 17; 43:17; 58.8, 75:8; 80:24; 81:2; 90:9; 127 24; 172:5, 11; 174:7 usefulness 80:8 **uselessly** 166:12

V

Using 22:24; 55.5; 65·19,

20; 100:13; 129:25; 141.5

usually 26:5; 59.17;

108:25, 162:18, 19

utilizes 152:4

utilizing 153:16

V 31·7; 32:19 **vague** 31:21; 52·13, 14; 53:14, 25; 134:24, 175:22 valid 17:16; 19:11; 136:5 valuable 79.7 Vance 78:17, 88:4; 98:9 vanity 9:2 various 11:8; 94·24; 150:5 vast 132:25; 157:14 vegetarian 72:22; 77·12 vehicle 26 14; 46:19; 58:8; 89:9; 92:3; 126:20; 134.5 vehicles 26:10, 47:9, 93:19, 20; 137:1 **veins** 6:13; 64·4 venison 77:3 ventilation 22:14; 23:23; 36 3; 38:17, 51.20; 58:12, 17, 20; 82:6, 16 Vermont 29:10; 41·18 verses 8:3, 18 versus 99:2 vet 70:3; 101:2; 103·2; 106:21; 109:17; 131-12; 135:9 veterinarian 111:1, 2; 115:21; 120 25; 122:23;

162:11, 20: 164:7: 176:22 veterinarians 131:7 **Veterinary** 28·20: 48:15: 137.7; 165:12, 13 vets 99:17; 138:18; 150:12 via 88·12 viable 80:20 Vice 183:6 vices 9:5 vicious 157:22 videotaped 39·11 view 162:24 violation 33:5, 13, 14; 34:4; 37:9; 59:22, 23; 61:9; 62:18, 20; 160 23 violations 31:17; 32:15; 34:10; 36:11; 55:16; 58:5, 18; 61:20 violator 18:6 Virginia 41:20; 45:3; 117:11; 124:13 virtually 80:19 virtues 9.5 visual 49.19 vital 80:12 vividly 153·1 void 116:4, 6 voluntary 65 6 volunteer 150:4 vote 15:24



wait 105:16; 109:17 **waiting** 181:3 waik 40:21; 83:11; 84:12; 86:10, 18; 87:4, 6, 13; 95:10 walked 6:1; 154 11; 161.19 walking 115:11 Walko 10.16, 17, 19; 17:23, 24, 18:10, 19:13; 51:12, 13, 64:18, 19: 65:23; 72:10, 11; 133:24, wall 84:11; 115:10 Wallach 31:15 wants 84:13, 104.7, 154:20; 170:18; 180:9 war 29:17; 79:23 warm 82·19; 172·14 warrant 55:22 **Warren** 18:19 Warrington 161:21 waste 168:6 wasted 115.5 watch 85:10; 89:3; 103:5; 104:7; 157:9; 170:6 watched 81.9, 88:10, 97:24; 100:19, 134 7

watching 67·15; 105:17

water 44:2: 82:21, 23, 24: 83:4, 13; 84(5); 111:10; 115:14; 117:6; 127:20; 157.19, 24; 167(4); 168(4); 169:10, 14, 17; 170:7, 10; 180(5); 181:2, 4, 17 watered 40:24; 82:23; 111.6 watering 40 24; 167.23 Watertown 29:10; 135:24; 140:23 wave 101:21 way 6:3; 33:10; 36:1; 37 2; 48:2; 51:23; 55:3; 58:1; 59:3; 62.7; 69.13; 79:6, 81 11: 82:13; 83:14; 84.3, 85.18; 86 12; 90.23, 92:5; 93:1, 2; 95:20; 98.5, 13; 99:4; 103.6; 107.11, 23; 108:17, 19; 109:8; 114:22; 123.1; 126:14, 134:23; 137:2; 143:9; 152:17; 162:3; 167:18; 174:17 ways 25.7; 94:24 weak 69·16 weaker 69:22 **weary** 71.4 weather 38:25; 39.1; 116.12

weaker 69:22 weary 71.4 weather 38:25; 39.1; 116.12 Wednesday 137:25 week 128:4, 5; 137:19; 138:4; 144:2, 3, 147:25, 156:21; 158.2, 161:16, 23; 162:24; 163:17, 20, 21; 164:24 weekly 128:2; 143:15, 17, 18; 147:14 weeks 31:9; 41:6; 77:17;

weigh 109 4, 181 5 weight 164:15; 165:2, 5; 168 11, 12; 180(4) weight-bearing 98:3 welcome 10:5; 66:9; 120:13 well-being 157 21; 176:23 Welsh 44:24

weren't 18 7, 30·23, 38·20, 100:25; 134 12; 177 13, 15 West 71:17; 181:13;

West 71:17; 181:13 183:24; 184:2

Western 10:16 Westport 31:15; 50:10 What's 26:8; 106:10;

what \$ 26:8; 106:10; 119:17; 121:18, 181:13 whatsoever 134:6 wheels 84:23

whereas 94:23 whereas 94:13 whereas 94:13

wherewithal 134·20 which—they 37:12

whipped 163:8 whippings 81:13 white 20:19: 21:10: 22:4 Whitson 34 21 who's 63:8; 137:14 **whole** 8:7; 16:25; 20:3; 25:4; 41:5; 58:19, 59:1; 100:8; 101:14; 108:3, 122:7, 11, 123 9; 137:14; 178:10; 181:2; 182:12 **whose** 6:12; 100.22 wide 48:5, 88.5, 6, 7 widely 42 21 width 47:19 wild 7:20; 183:24; 184·3, wildlife 7:19 **willfully 168.18** Williams 183:6 **willing** 73:15

Williams 183:6
willing 73:15
Willy 31:15
wind 19:10
windchill 35:3

window 82:15 windows 32:12; 35:9; 155.1 wisely 8:14

wish 8:10; 39:11; 43·10 within 42:6; 54:21, 75 2; 80:19; 100·14, 18; 106 7, 150:5 without 5:5; 9(4); 44:1,

93:24; 104:2; 107:15; 112:3; 116:24; 151:16; 169:25; 170:24; 181:4 witness 4:3; 28:16; 66:8; 126:6; 149:3; 157 10;

183:4 witnessed 68:3; 74:13; 159.6, 160.11; 163:21; 166:2

witnesses 14:7, 28.24; 36·17; 78 16, 130.9, 184:22

women 158:7; 171:7 won 145:22 wondered 41:4, 88:17 wonderful 183.8 wondering 14.5; 38:3, 57 15; 102:7 word 57:35:103.5

word 57:25; 103 5 wording 51 24, 60.20, 105:22

words 8:16; 179:7 work 4:23; 17:14; 19:15; 33:17; 38:22, 23; 39:23, 84:4; 123:16, 124.18, 20, 158 21; 163:25 worked 10:11; 32:1

working 6:16; 23 21, 29.6; 30 9, 32.1, 35:23; 37 1, 39:3, 63·4; 113:8; 123 20; 124:15; 149:16;

worker 148:12

162:8; 184:7 works 81 19 worn 39:10 worry 182:1 worrying 180:2 worse 69:10; 114:10; worst 103:15; 170:12 worth 42:10: 112:3; 115:24 write 104:1, 171:13; 173:7 writes 106.23 writing 4:12 written 20:20, 21:9; 33:11; 88:23; 134:23; 148:15; 172:4



wrote 42:19, 24; 158:24

138 2; 144 21, 24; 146.21;

wrong 9:14; 107:25;

155:9; 178:7; 182:7

x-rays 98:7



veah 19:6 year 79.5; 82.1, 106:8, 16; 142.22; 184:10 years 29:6; 50:10, 23; 51.8; 55:13; 78:24; 80:24; 111:9; 112:2; 119:7, 8, 10; 130:8; 131:15; 132:9; 144:13, 150:1, 10; 156 2, 7; 161:21; 165:20, 174:20; 176:2; 179:16 yesterday 14:1; 23 11; 183:7, 10 **York** 28:17; 29·4, 11, 14; 30:6, 10, 21; 31.8, 11; 34:3, 17; 37:6; 38:23; 39:18, 21; 41:18; 42:3, 21; 45:15; 48:21; 51:23; 52:14, 54:13, 22; 55:19, 21, 21; 56:13; 57:18; 58:3; 60.7; 62:23; 67:5; 75 21; 85.17; 104:25; 107:4; 124 13, 126.24; 130(4); 131:5, 8, 12; 134:18; 135:12, 23, 24; 136.2; 140:22, 23; 141:9; 142:22, 143 3, 5; 145.22; 158 11. 15; 160:17; 161:23; 185:9 York's 36:5; 64:21 young 162:25 younger 95:2; 177:18 yours 104.5; 114:7; 124.23 yourselves 9:18; 20:21; 41:17

131 21; 138:8; 157 2;

youth 149:21

House Bill 2127 June 25, 1998						
Z						
zero 32·10, 35:3	_					
			!			
	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>			

NUMBER OF PAGES/TAPES		
COPIES SENT TO:		***
PBRSON/TITLE	LOCATION	
Rap CALTAGIRONE	106.50.	7-29
******************		, 10 dd 10 d 10 d
		·
Peda vo a ed ex cesso de en e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		