THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA

HOUSE BILL - No. 106

Public Hearing

House Judiciary Committee on Crime and Corrections

Wednesday February 23, 2000

9:00AM

Abington Township Building Horace Avenue & Old York Road Abington, PA

CRIMES:

ESTATE FRAUD, JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT,
ADMITTED FRAUD AND FORGERY BY ATTORNEY,
GETTING PAID FOR <u>KILLING</u> SOMEONE,
AND MORE.

CORRECTIONS:

- MANDATORY DETAILED ACCOUNTING
- ATTORNEY DISBARMENT FOR LIFE
- PULL INS. COMPANY BUSINESS LICENSE

Joan Kubrick 225 Moosic Street Olyphant, PA 18447 (570) 489-8398

ESTATE FRAUD, ADMITTED FORGERY, and MORE!

- 1) \$50,000.00 State Farm check, recently received regarding UNDERINSURANCE which my attorney Attorney Joseph Quinn, Jr. and firm NEVER COLLECTED. State Farm's attorney in a January 21st, 2000 letter indicate 'only the passenger', our son Timothy, should have received money regarding another settlement. In essence, his Estate was shorted at least another \$50,000.00 or now over \$100,000.00. Also, all the attorneys who signed off on that other settlement (88 EQ 19) either worked for and/or were paid by State Farm and should have known that Barnard Michini killed our son. Much much more of other underinsurance and other benefits were also never collected.
- 2) State Farm Release <u>Bernard Michini was the driver</u> at the time of our son's fatal two car accident on October 5th, 1985. <u>State Farm knew Bernard Michini was driving!</u>
- 3) Attorney Quinn and firm represent State Farm's defense in the Horner v. Allstate and State Farm case in Wilkes-Barre, PA 1991 case number 173 C 1991. Also, Quinn and firm represented State Farm's defense in the Koziar v. State Farm in Scranton, PA 1985 case number 85 CIV 3955. At the exact same time they also were representing our son's Estate against State Farm in 88 EQUITY 19 (88 EQ 19) in Lackawanna County Court. That is a 'Conflict of Interest' by that law firm that was never disclosed to us.
- 4) June 1st, 1993 Attorney Joseph Quinn's letter acknowledging and advising of the FRAUD of our signatures on a settlement which we never would agree to. Also, Lackawanna County Judges Cottone, O'Malley and 'now' Judge Terrence Nealon also all knew of that FRAUD per that enclosed letter.
- 5) sample of January 3rd, 1994 hearing transcript, pages 60-62, where Attorney Thomas Helbig, admitted before Judge Cottone and others of using a prior Verification of our signatures (equates to FRAUD AND FORGERY) but nothing was done! Also, our daughter Kim Kubrick Peters, was a non-party to these matters, plus she had no standing with the Court but Attorney Helbig, who was working for the Quinn law firm, put the blame for his ADMITTED FRAUD, a criminal act, on our daughter.
- Ask Judge O'Malley where is the ACCOUNTING and why did he reward the Quinn law firm over \$60,000.00 for committing a FRAUD on our deceased son's Estate, a FRAUD ON THE COURTS, FRAUD on us, and other crimes? Judge O'Malley as a former U.S. Attorney for the Middle District as well as Judge Cottone, both know the laws and that these were criminal acts of defrauding an estate, forgery, etc. Millions of insurance dollars were available but was never collected. Why? Ask the judges!

Read civil case files (86-CIV-1669, 86 CIV-1746, 88 EQ 19, and 86-CIV-1699) in Scranton, PA to find out exactly how much Bernard Michini was paid for killing our son Timothy! Those court files are under Lackawanna Court Administrator's Thomas Perry desk in Scranton, Pennsylvania for 'safe keeping' because some of those files 'were lost' at one-time for over six months. How many other Estates have similarly been 'short changed' by attorneys who had 'Undisclosed Conflict of Interest' over the years?

LAW OFFICES

O'MALLEY & HARRIS, P.C.

61 North Washington Street Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania 18701

> (570) 829-3232 Fax (570) 829-4418 January 21, 2000

TIMOTHY J. HOLLAND

BRUCE L NEFF ESQUIRE NEFF AND ASSOCIATES TWO PENN CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 530 15TH STREET & JFK BOULEVARD PHILADELPHIA PA 19102

RE: ESTATE OF TIMOTHY KUBRICK VS. STATE FARM INSURANCE CO. OUR FILE: 8009-75705

Dear Bruce:

I have both your letters dated January, 2000 and thought at first that this was some sort of practical joke. I tried to reach you by phone after I received these letters, but you were unavailable.

On January 12, 2000, I sent you the check for \$50,000.00 in full settlement of this claim. At that time, I also closed my file. That should take care of the one letter.

Regarding your other letter, you indicate that I said that State Farm had paid its policy limits to the Estate of Mr. Kubrick. I have repeatedly told you that the proceeds were paid into Court and that the Court distributed same. The entire policy limit was paid to Court and distributed to the various parties in accordance with that Court Order. I am forwarding your correspondence to the company, but believe that another \$50,000.00 will not be paid to your client, because they do not owe it. That money was paid into Court and distributed according to the Court Order. Only one policy limit was paid because only one individual, the passenger, was entitled to the coverage. The fact that the Kubrick Estate may not have received all of it is an issue to be taken up with the Court and not my client.

I hope this clarifies my position.

Sincerely,

O'MALLEY & HARRIS, P.C.,

TJH\G:\FILES\75705\NEFF16.enc.\bam

cc: Jack LaMarca, State Farm Insurance Co. File: 38-J018-170

SCRANTON WILEES-BARRE WILLIAMSPORT STROUDSBURG

STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANIES BLOOMINGTON, ILLINOIS

RELEASE AND TRUST AGREEMENT

		P	OLICYHOLDER Tim	nother Kulvich	
			LAIM NO. 38 - 3		
				1-197-386-	
Fifty IN FULL SETTLEM NUMBERED POUR AND PROPERTY D Timothy BY REASON OF A B.O.M.		ALL CLAIMS UNDER THE	Underinger I	MOTORIST COVERAGE RESULTED OR MAY IN THE FU	<u> </u>
WHICH OCCURRE			NEAR)	1785 AT	
	Rt 191 Lake F deration aforesaid, and to the exte	trial for			
The Company in person or organization papers and document the undersigner organization legally claim will be prosected.	repany the proceeds of any settlements is hereby authorized to take any action, and the undersigned covenant ents necessary in such proceedings and further warrants that he/she made liable for such bodily injuries and proceed to judgment without the written and materially false information.	tion which may be necessar is and agrees to cooperate and to attend court and test te no settlement with, given property damage, and that nen consent of the Company.	y either in law or in equit fully with the Company is tify if the Company deer any release to nor prose o such settlement will be any or other person files	y in the name of the undersigned in the presentation of such claims ins such to be necessary. cuted any claim to judgment against made, no such release will be go an application for insurance of	nst any person or iven, and no such
ciaim containing i commits a fraudul	any materially raise information ent insurance act, which is a crin	ne and subjects such pers	on to criminal and civil	penalties.	
IN WITNESS	WHEREOF,	have hereunto set	han	d and seal	
this	day of	, (year)	<u> </u>		
×			SIGNED X		
	WITNESS				
	ADDRESS			ADDRESS	
x	WITNESS		SIGNED X		
				ADDRESS	
	ADDRESS			v.s.== =	

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ALLAN M. ELUGER RICHARD M. GOLDSERG JOSEPH P MELLODY, JR. DAVID W SASA WEIL L. CONWAY SICHARD S, BISHOP THE D SPENALLA R P. MENTALIS WALTER T. GRABOWSKI MELISSA A. SCARTELLI SANDRA HAMET-RICHARDS HICHAEL W. SINON III SHAWN P PHILLIPS PATRICK J. GIEGOMS JAMES T. SHOEMAKER DONALD C. LIGORIO HARES V. PTRAM REMMETH J. SERAFIN

JOSEPH A. OUINH, JE ARTHUR L. PICCONC JOSEPH & LACH ----TERRENCE J. HERRON HEIL E. WENNER JOHATHAM A. SPONGER JOSEPH E. RLUGER MARK T. PERRY ##ED T. HOWE MARGARET H GAVIN PORCAT J. POWCLL DAVID J. SELINGO ALCEIA E. BLAKE OF COUMSEL

SECRET A SPONSER

LAW OFFICES CINGRON MINITE STILL ---A WEST MARRET STREET WILKES-BARRE, PA 18701-1667

(717) 825-9401 FACSIMILE (717) 629-3460

June 1, 1993

SUITE 200 AZA LACRAMANNA AVE. SCRANTON, \$4 16503-2014 (7171 346-8414 FACSIMILE 17173 941-5072

SUITE 1028

HOLLAND THREAD MILL AU MAIN STREET STROUDSBURG, P4 18360-2404 (717) 421-3776 FACSIWILE (717) 421-3821

> SOVEREIGN GUILDING SOO HAMILTON WALL ALLENTOWN, PA (8)01-2111 (2151 437-1584 FACSIMILE 12191 437-2629

************************ 1940 . 1078 ----.......

David L. Kurtz, Esquire Box 107F Lake Ariel, PA 18436

RE: Kubrick v. Michini

Our File No.: 26376-001

Dear David:

This will confirm our discussions on Friday, May 28, 1993 pursuant to which I made arrangements with Judges John Cottone and Carlon O'Malley separately on Tuesday, June 1, 1993 and advised both Judges that I had recently learned that the Verification forms attached to the Petition for Court Approval presented to Judge Cottone in December of 1991 had not been signed by Mr. and Mrs. Kubrick.

During my meeting with Judge Cottone it was suggested that I confirm my disclosure to him in writing, and I intend to do so as soon as possible.

I would suggest that you call me at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours.

JAQ|r/pab

P.S. Since beginning to dictate this letter I had received a telephone call from Attorney Terry Nealon advising me that he has spoken to you and that you suggested a joint meeting, which I am certainly willing to attend if such meeting can be helpful.

I will look forward to hearing from you.

EXHIBIT 10

Plantff 1

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

	_
_	
•	٠,
-	-
	20

COUNTY CEAS		36 CIVIL 1669,17 (6
T OF		# 1
COO A		, č
		•
=		
JOAR EDBRICK, LEMINSTRATELL IN THE COURT OF COKHON PLEAS ESPATE OF THOTEY A. 1 OF LACEANANIA COUNTY JOAN KORLICK AND WILLIAM	Pieineice Manage M. Michael	Derendant. 36 CIV

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AT MEARING

SEPONS: BONGRABLE S. JOHN COTTONE, J.

JANUARY 3, 1994

•

CHOR THE LATERVEHER TROMAS F. BELBIG: TERRY MEALON, SEQUING. FOR TAR LATERVEHER JOSEPH QUINN, REQUING. TORS THE DEFENDANT: JOSE J. LEMARAR, JR., SEQUING.

indicated that her mother did not went to communicate lith us at that point and that she was in cherge of the itigation hasically.

She ceturined to the clearcoom and elter my suplanation she indicated that it would be acceptable to lar mother, provided she could add the following lenguage on the consent form after that free and the following language she added was. "Except for the possibility of a minor or emount on a small percentage of the money."

I reviewed that language. I thought that was bestcally consistent with west I had told ber and I slyned the tors.

De. photocopy that we have does not retlect your

Hes a-poor mopy, yes, but I did sign the form for her,

discussion of represented to you that she had this relephone to represented to you that she had this relephone conversation with her mother, was it at that the tonsent to settlement torm and the

EXHIBIT 17

despetitions and and and personal state of the Adjoint of the

Control of the state of the sta

by His Rubrick and by You?

- A That's correct. I would also note that at the time the torne were signed one had requested. Kin Auditick had requested, that a witness be present to witness the signatures, and that was a woman that I believe worked with her at the Hid-Valley Elementary School. She was present when the torne were signed at her request.
- G Again, did you believe that you had a binding settlement agreement at that time, namely, on Hovember 27, 1991, when these torms were signed?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q At any time during this meeting on November 27, 1991, did you threaten Kim Kubrick, did you coerce ber at all, or did you you in any way attempt to unduly persuade her to enter into this settlement?
 - A Absolutely not.
- Q Mow, during this senting did you also discuss the possibility of Court approval or this settlement?
- A Yes, I discussed not only toe amount of the settlement and the consent torss, but I also discussed

T. Kelbly - Direct .

with her the procedure that was to be used in order to obtain Court approval. It was in the contest of when I told her we needed to prepare a petition. Sestcally I told her at that point constatent with our firm practice that we would prepare a simple petition for Court approval setting forth the basic facts of the accident, the basic facts of the accident, the basic facts of it to the settlement, and allocating a portion of it to the wrongful death, and allocating a portion of it to the survival act cials, and that would be presented to the Court.

out firm practice that the client's verification,
eignature on a verification, would be attached to the
petition. We had some discussion at that point to
whether her mother would be willing to do that in-view
of the fact she was refusing to communicate with us, and
I suggested to Kim Kubrick that we could use a prior
verification which was in the file which was the
signatures of Millian Kubrick and Joan Rubrick for
purposes of the verification.

- Q Did Kim agree to this proposed procedure?
- A Yes, she did.
- way did you believe a verification was