HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ORIGINAL COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA JUDICIARY COMMITTEE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME AND CORRECTIONS HEARING

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 1434

PHILADELPHIA CITY HALL COUNCIL ROOM CHAMBERS 4TH FLOOR ROOM 400 15TH AND MARKET STREETS PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2001, 10:01 A.M.

BEFORE:

HON. LITA COHEN, SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRPERSON

HON. STEPHEN MAITLAND

HON. ALAN BUTKOVITZ

HON. JAMES HAROLD

HON. JOHN PALLONE

HON. JOSEPH PETRARCA

HON. KATHY MANDERINO

HON. KATHY MANDERINO

ALSO PRESENT:

BERYL KUHR RICHARD SCOTT KAREN DALTON

> HILLARY M. HAZLETT, REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC



ARCHIVE REPORTING SERVICE

(717) 234-5922 2336 N. Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17110 FAX (717) 234-6190

		
1		I N D E X
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	HARRY GIORDANO	7
4	ERIC RADNOVICH	10
5	LARRY FRANKEL	26
6	LYNNE ABRAHAM	34
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Good morning. I'm

State Representative Lita Cohen from the 148th

Legislative District in Montgomery County. I am

calling the Pennsylvania House of Representative's

Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on Crime and

Corrections hearing. I'm calling that hearing to

order.

We are here to discuss House Bill 1434.

The hearing today is being held in City Hall of

Philadelphia, Room 400, the City Counsel Chambers.

We are here to take testimony to glean some information so that we will be able to deal intelligently with House Bill 1434. We are merely a fact finder today. We are not here to express our own opinions concerning the Bill or the circumstances surrounding the motives for the introduction of the Bill. What we want to do today is get as much information as we can concerning House Bill 1434 so that we can circulate this information among the members of the Judiciary Committee and then bring it up before the Judiciary Committee at a future day.

First, I want to introduce the folks sitting at the table. Representative Butkovitz?

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Do you want to tell us

```
1
     where you're from?
 2
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ:
                                           I'm
 3
     Representative Alan Butkovitz from Northeast
 4
     Philadelphia, the 174th District.
 5
               CHAIRPERSON COHEN:
                                    Thank you.
               MS. DALTON: Karen Dalton, Counsel to the
 6
 7
     Committee.
               MS. KUHR:
                          I'm Beryl Kuhr. I'm Counsel to
 8
     Kevin Blaum, Minority Chair of the Committee.
 9
10
               MR. SCOTT: Richard Scott, Counsel to the
     Committee.
11
12
               CHAIRPERSON COHEN:
                                    Thank you.
                                                I'd now
13
     like to introduce again Representative Butkovitz, who
14
     is the prime sponsor of House Bill 1434.
15
               Representative Butkovitz?
16
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ:
                                           Thank you.
17
     Representative Cohen, I'd like to thank you for
18
     scheduling this hearing and for arranging for
     witnesses, who I think have significant public policy
19
20
     problems.
21
               The Mardi Gras riots in Philadelphia last
22
     February exposed a significant loophole in the police
     procedure in Philadelphia with respect to the
23
24
     handling of summary offenders.
```

What happened is that there were numerous

25

people who were arrested for public drunkenness, disorderly conduct, other summary offenses involved which was essentially a riot and gave false identification and as a result could not be prosecuted or held on those charges. This became something of a spectacle in Philadelphia.

In the last three or four years, there has been a significant debate in Philadelphia involving police procedures. There has been a consensus form with New York approach. It's something that is desirable and has had a very beneficial effect in establishing no tolerance for crime in the city.

Again, we follow the lead of New York. We find that getting the police in Philly to identify people who commit summary offenses is an important tool in enabling them to do their job.

House Bill 1434, which was introduced last April, would allow police who are authorized to either arrest someone for a summary offense or a summary offense which involves a potential term of imprisonment to be held by the police until they were identified; and then there would be procedures whereby their release could be expedited. That would be if they had valid identification, such as a driver's license, a passport, a photo identification

issued by a Federal agency or a college. It would also provide provisions where an offender who cooperates, provides reasonable cooperation for the police in providing his own identification would be able to expedite his release as well.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you,
Representative Butkovitz. I failed to introduce
Hillary Hazlett, who is our reporter for today.
We've had a change in the agenda, and we are going to consolidate some of the folks that are going to testify today.

The first people that we will hear from will be Lieutenant Harry Giordano. He is the Commanding Officer of Records and Identification Unit from the Philadelphia Police Department. With him is Eric Radnovich. He's the Director of the Justice Network Cumberland County District Attorney's office.

Gentlemen, welcome, and feel free to talk to us and tell us your views on this Bill. Thank you.

MR. GIORDANO: Good morning, and thank you for the opportunity. What we'd like to talk about and -- Mr. Radnovich and myself are also members of the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency Technology Committees. We sit on the Offender

Identification Prisoner Advisory Group, which disperses a lot of identification equipment throughout the entire state.

1.3

I'd like to first talk on the need for positive identification for the protection of citizens and law enforcement as a whole. We found out that summary offenses are a large part of minor offenses, but they have a larger connotation to us.

An individual who is arrested on a summary offense and not identified and then released could be wanted. We found this true in Cumberland County. A little while ago an officer, Willis Cole, was gunned down.

An individual was arrested on a summary violation, subsequently released, and did give a false name. He drove back across the river from Dauphin County to Cumberland County. He was subsequently stopped by another officer, Willis Cole. He exited the vehicle and shot the officer. The individual was wanted on a felony warrant. This was not determined because the individual was not identified.

With that, the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency was determined to put an identification network in place. We've been working

exhaustively for the last nine years to put this out there. It's out there currently where we have 75 percent of the State encompassed in an identification network. There's a lot of individuals out there who are wanted on warrants.

1.4

1.6

2.3

Our criminal population today is very mobile. I-95 corridors what we call between Boston and Washington D.C., I-78 from New York to Allentown. In fact, we just picked the highways; I-80 through the State of Pennsylvania, the Pennsylvania Turnpike.

The criminal population moves very quickly, and they need to be identified even on minor offenses; I mean identified not held, not filed or carted away.

We need to know who you're dealing with when you're in law enforcement. It protects the citizenry also because we let these people back into the community. We do not want to let them back into the community unless we know they're not wanted whether it's a minor offense or if it is a summary offense. That's why we push for the need for identification.

Currently, the State captures 75 percent of all its identification process electronically. The response is from three to ten minutes, and that's

from the State Police and the Philadelphia Police.

amount of time.

We have two automated fingerprint

identification systems in the State of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia Police Department has one. The

Pennsylvania State Police has the other one. They're

both connected. We get the same response in the same

For instance, if I run a person through the system from Philadelphia, it's being checked in Harrisburg at the same time it's being checked in Philadelphia. The response is exactly the same, six to ten minutes. So there's no inconvenience to the public. It's not the old routine of taking them into the police station, putting black ink all over his hands and messing them up.

Our electronic systems are inkless. It's very client-friendly. It's an electronic process where you just push on a glass plaque and put the fingers on. Mr. Radnovich and myself and the Cumberland County and Philadelphia PD are testing a wireless internet device that can be attached to a mobile on a police car.

We will have the first prototypes out by the beginning of January where we can, literally, in the field at the stop of the individual, put his

finger in an electronic device and get a response back in six minutes. We're hoping to improve the time.

The reason I mention all of this is because there's a vast electronic network that is put in place by the Commission on Crime and Delinquency to do this, and it's now possible to do. I would like Eric -- we'll show the map, and Mr. Radnovich will expand on the electronic network.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you, Lieutenant.

We have Officer Zimmerman here. Before you start,

Mr. Radnovich, I just want to welcome two new

members; Representative Pallone and Representative

Petrarca. You may proceed.

MR. RADNOVICH: Good morning. Let me first talk about the Pennsylvania Identification Network, a network that was primarily formulated by the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency. Currently, there are 97 sites throughout the Commonwealth which maintain life-scan devices.

Life scan is a unit that allows the fingerprint to be taken electronically over a piece of glass plaque. No ink is used. It basically takes the fingerprint. It digitizes it. It transmits electronically to the headquarters of the

Pennsylvania State Police; and for you, it transmits it to the Philadelphia Police Department. It allows a rap sheet if there is a fingerprint on file in the AFIS system -- AFIS stands for automated fingerprint identification system -- if there's a fingerprint on file, it will return a positive identification along with a SID, state identification number. It will send it back to the agency and allow a positive identification to be made while the individual sits in custody.

So you can see the extensive network that we've built. It grows on a daily basis. It's about five years old now. It originally started with 39 units, in which ten were in Philadelphia. It's now up to 97 units. I believe there's 14 in Philadelphia now.

As you can see, it follows the population of the Commonwealth. We've had great success. I operate Central Booking and Processing in Cumberland County, a fourth-class county in Carlisle, Pennsylvania.

We found tremendous success with bringing people through the system. What I mean by that is typically, in a year, we'll process about 6,000 individuals in Cumberland County. A study that we

did, an actuary that we did, allowed us to say that typically one in nine individuals who comes through Central Booking and Processing, they are properly identified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we get a positive identification back from the Commonwealth, there's a significant change in the charges that are posted against that person. What I mean is that one in nine have lied about their They've given a false name. They've given some type of false information about themselves. Many have not brought the fact that they are either under supervision someplace, probation or parole, or have open warrants for them or they've been charged with escalating crimes in the past such as retail theft, which we do allow to be fingerprinted under current provisions; but they may have given false information or false names, which allows them to take them into custody, so that one in nine is a rather significant number. Of course, because of the speedy response we get back through, our processing is run through expeditiously, and our inconvenience is less possible because we do have a quick system.

The Commonwealth has done a fine job of providing that system to us. We do get a quick kickback. It certainly has played a major role on

properly identifying individuals that are brought in and expand the amount of information that would be available in the law enforcement. You know who you're dealing with, if they do have open warrants, if they do have issues that may be pertinent to the officer at the time.

Another issue that we did -- we did some preliminary work on open summary warrants in Cumberland County. Cumberland is a fourth-class meeting site. Cumberland County has over 2,000 open summary warrants. Many of those are unservable because of the fact that they don't have a good idea on the individual that they were served on. We've never gotten a positive address or a positive identification on these individuals. They've given false names, false information, incorrect information and, therefore, making it impossible.

So those summary warrants hang out there until they've spotted them. They've never been able to be served because we don't have a positive identification. That's the basic gist there. You can see the map of the things where the equipment is located at.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you. Anything else, gentlemen?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes, ma'am. The last point I wanted to make, I think the judicial process has accrued positive identification before release on whatever charge it is. I'm talking about just identification. We need to know who we're dealing with before we let this individual back in the commute.

As Mr. Radnovich has stated, they have some outstanding bench warrants up in Cumberland County.

We have an extensive amount in Philadelphia County.

It's the same in Allegheny County and Dauphin County, the larger counties.

We need to know who we're dealing with and clean the streets up from violent predators. It may be a summary violator who gives a wrong name or lies about his name and doesn't give any name at all.

One example I would lead to that is the Republican National Convention that we had here about a year and a half ago. The FBI came in and gave us an electronic connection to them for that convention. We were able to identify them from across the country, the first 65 of those individuals that came through our systems. Otherwise, we would have had no knowledge of their other involvement in other locations which made in our judicial process a lot

easier.

In our judicial process, for that -- I realize these are not summaries. But in that judicial process, what was available to us was identification. You had to giver proper ID similar to what the Bill states there, proper driver's license with address that our pretrial services could verify.

When that process was put in place, we were able to identify all 400 individuals before release. We had proper identification, proper service for the next hearing date.

You can see the need to identify individuals and how mobile they were. The first 65 were from Washington State, Oregon State, California, Nevada.

If we had not had that connection, we would not have known who those individuals were. They could just as well not be demonstrators. They could be a predator coming across the country that could be a mass murderer. I don't say that, you know, to heighten up anything. It just could possibly happen with the mobility of the criminal population. So you see the need for positive identification in the judicial process. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you very much. Would you be willing to answer some questions from 2 3 the Representatives? MR. GIORDANO: Yes. CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you. 6 Representative Butkovitz? 7 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Lieutenant 8 Giordano, I was wondering, in a normal week where we 9 don't have the Republican National Convention, can 10 you give us some idea of the scope of the problem of 11 the people who do not identify themselves in the 12 judicial process in Philadelphia? 13 MR. GIORDANO: In our process -- and we 14 normally process through the identification unit 15 about 1,600 people with the fingerprint system. Out of that, I'd say 5 percent come in. We have 10 16 17 percent who are liars. They come through the system 18 and are identified via our systems, 10 percent of 19 that 1,600. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What's the time 21 frame for completing such identification? 22 MR. GIORDANO: It's three to six minutes. It's the minute we roll your set fingerprints live 23 24 scan machine -- our identification system, it's the 25 most advanced computer to date in fingerprint

identification. I shouldn't say that because computers will change tomorrow and it won't be, but today they are.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Would that only work with somebody who has a prior criminal record?

MR. GIORDANO: Absolutely, sir. You have to be on file.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Suppose you're not on file. What would the time frame be?

MR. GIORDANO: That would be on each individual process. That time frame would still be the same, but we would issue what we call a here-and-do number. We would put you in the regular file.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Are you familiar with identification policies and procedures in other urban departments around the country?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes, sir. One of my duties or titles is Chairman of the Automated Fingerprint Identification Internet Users Group International. So I meet regularly with other states and other communities, in fact, other foreign countries. Mr. Radnovich and I both sit on that board. We meet people throughout the world and the country who use these systems.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Are you familiar 2 with the practice around the country in terms of 3 identification of people who have police contact for a summary offense? 4 MR. GIORDANO: Yes, sir. Whether there's 5 an offense or not, the identification process, many 6 7 municipalities have different rules on summaries 8 whether they register the card in the system. What I 9 am clearly talking about here is clearly 10 identification. I want to know who the individual That card does not get registered in the system 11 is. unless it's mandated by law to be registered in the 12 13 system. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What is the 15 practice around the country with regard to 16 identification irrespective of registration? 17 MR. GIORDANO: Identification on the 18 electronic process is a rule. They take them in, and 19 they identify them. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: All right. You 21 had some familiarity with the adoption of the control 22 guide in New York City with respect to the 23 identification of summary offenders; is that correct? MR. GIORDANO: I was in contact with 24

Mr. Simmons, I believe, and I'm trying to think of

25

the Lieutenant. There was a Lieutenant Griffins, who is no longer with the NYPD; yes, it is.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: If I quote to you from several sections of that, would you be familiar with this guide and be able to verify it?

MR. GIORDANO: Somewhat, sir. I haven't read it recently.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: First, I'm referring you to the patrol guide from New York City regarding personal services, summons returnable to traffic violations of criminal court. And the procedure outlined there is when issuing a summons returnable to the Traffic Violations Bureau or criminal court: One, inform violator of the offense committed; two, request that violator show proof of identity and residence; A, in traffic cases, examine driver's license, vehicle registration and insurance identification card for vehicle's registered in New York State; B, if violator presents driver's license, check motorist exhibited license box on top of summons.

Note: As a general rule, the following forms of government photo identification are considered valid forms of identification: A, a valid photo driver's license from New York State or other

state or other country; B, a valid passport; C, citizenship or naturalization papers; D, New York State nondriver identification; E, New York State driver's permit; F, other government photo identification.

Members should note that these are general guidelines and other forms of identification may be acceptable. Three, conduct license and warrant check; A, if license check conducted check suspect/review box on top of summons; B, if no license and/or warrant check conducted, note reason in activity log. Four, remove violator, command for an investigation if doubt concerning identity exists.

Is that an accurate description of New York City procedures in traffic offenses?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: And I would also refer you to the patrol guide for environmental patrol board notice of violation of hearing general procedure, which begins: One, inform violator of the offense committed, take no enforcement action against a blind person, violation of canine waste law, use discretion of elderly or handicapped persons who are observed violating the canine waste law, request proof of identity and residence, if proof is refused

1 and/or validity of proof is doubtful, escort violator 2 and command further investigation. 3 Are you familiar with that provision? MR. GIORDANO: No, sir, I'm not. Just the 4 investigation part of it is what I was consulted on. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Okay. What is 6 7 the practice in New York with respect to traffic violations? 8 9 MR. GIORDANO: If there's no identity on 10 them, I believe they bring them in to their live scan units which are within their private precincts. 11 Thev 12 have automated identification systems in New York 13 City and Albany; and they get their checks back from Albany and New York, if that's what you're talking 14 15 about. 16 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What is the 17 impact in law enforcement if people who are arrested 18 -- who are stopped for summary offenses cannot have their identities verified? 19 20 MR. GIORDANO: As I mentioned earlier, the 21 individuals who are truly wanted would be released

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What about the enforcement of the actual summons for which they are being stopped?

back into the community.

22

23

24

25

MR. GIORDANO: Well, obviously, with all the important summons because you had good address and good service, it would enhance that.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: In the absence of this type of procedure, isn't the person that it affects self-executing? Either they provide the information as themselves or they decide to discharge themselves? Is that, in fact, what happens?

MR. GIORDANO: You could say that, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: In your view, does that practice undermine confidence and respect for the law-enforcement system?

MR. GIORDANO: Well, in my view, actually, in law enforcement, it makes our protection a lot less because the individual out there, as I say, could be a predator on us. We were the first ones that will reach this predator before the next person sees them because we stop them.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: There were reports in the press of false identifications being provided by some of the people who were stopped during the Mardi Gras festivities, with the impact being that people who were nowhere near South Street, who had done nothing wrong, received notices in the mail compelling them to court to defend themselves;

```
1
     is that true?
 2
               MR. GIORDANO: I personally don't have any
 3
     knowledge of it.
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Would that be
 4
 5
     consistent with the practice?
               MR. GIORDANO: False identification or
 6
 7
     using somebody else's identity does happen a lot.
 8
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: In that case,
     would the person be identified by address, by the
 9
10
     name given?
11
               MR. GIORDANO: In that case, it's the only
     identification they have.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: So a citation
1.3
     would be issued to somebody by mail that you would
14
15
     have no idea whether that person was the person who
     committed the offense or not. Is that the way it
16
17
     works?
18
               MR. GIORDANO:
                              That could happen.
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Is that the way
19
20
     it worked?
21
               MR. GIORDANO: I don't know how it worked
     in that case, personally. I wasn't involved.
22
                                                     That's
     what could happen. People give other people's
23
24
     identities, even in criminal cases, either a
25
    misdemeanor or felony.
```

```
1
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What's your
 2
     opinion of the due process of such a procedure where
 3
     somebody sitting at home doing nothing can receive
 4
     notification requiring that they go to court to
     defend themselves?
 5
 6
               MR. GIORDANO:
                              Obviously, it's unfair.
 7
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: That's all I
 8
     have.
 9
               CHAIRPERSON COHEN:
                                   Thank you. I'd like to
10
     welcome State Representative Steve Maitland and
11
     Representative Kathy Manderino from Philadelphia.
12
     For the record, Lieutenant Giordano is also
13
     representing Kenneth Trujillo, who is the City
14
     Solicitor of Philadelphia; and we appreciate that.
15
     We have --
16
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ:
                                          One more
17
     question. Does the City of Philadelphia have a
18
     position on House Bill 1434?
19
               MR. GIORDANO:
                              I don't know the person.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Do you have a
21
     position on this Bill?
22
               MR. GIORDANO: I have a position on
23
     identification.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: As outlined in
25
     Bill 1434.
```

MR. GIORDANO: I've read Bill 1434.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you, gentlemen,
Officer Zimmerman. We appreciate you being here and
giving us the information that you did. Thank you
very much.

For the record, we have something in writing from Richard Ostrander, the Executive Director of the Headhouse. I just want to put this in the record.

enactment of legislation that will enable the South
Street Police Detail and all police enforcement
officers to better control the sometimes overwhelming
crowds of young people who can create disturbances in
the area. It appears House Bill 1434 could be
helpful in discouraging uncivil behavior and also in
discouraging visits to the area by persons
deliberately avoiding identification. It might have
a further benefit in facilitating enforcement of the
curfew regulations. And that will become part of the
record of this hearing. Thank you. Thank you very
much.

Next on the agenda is supposed to be

District Attorney Abraham, who I do not see. We'll

just go forward and invite Larry Frankel, who is the

Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties
Union of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Frankel, welcome. We do have your written testimony. You may read from that or make a presentation, whichever you prefer. You have 20 minutes to do it.

MR. FRANKEL: Thank you very much,
Representative Cohen and other Members of the
Judiciary Committee, for holding this hearing today
and inviting the American Civil Liberties Union
Pennsylvania to testify.

We oppose this legislation, and let me explain why. It really sounds like we're getting to a situation where people are going to be required to carry identity papers, that somehow all our struggles in the last century against totalitarian societies demanding that people daily produce identification produce their papers.

That's what we're coming to not just this
Bill but a whole movement whereby we're losing our
sense of privacy, our sense of anonymity, if we wish
to be anonymous, our ability to go about without
being interfered with unnecessarily.

Certainly, people who commit criminal offenses -- you know, I understand the need to

identify them, but let's keep in mind we're talking about a summary offense that the legislature has classified as the least serious criminal activity that goes on.

And believe me, you know, in what kind of resources are we going to put into a system and what kind of freedom are we going to sacrifice if we insist on identifying and detaining -- and this permits arrest and detain -- not merely what we designed to be in the least, arrest and detain individuals for committing summary offenses.

It really sounds much more like some of the societies we went to either actively fight war against or have a cold war against from the last century, societies that insisted that it was important for the police to know who you were every moment of the day. That is troubling to us particularly in the context of all the other ways that our anonymity and privacy seem to be at risk in this society.

I mean, we justified a decision from the Supreme Court this day a couple weeks ago that essentially none of our telephone conversations are really private, that we have no legitimate expectation in the privacy of our telephone

conversations.

In the Wall Street Journal today, there's a column where a Federal Judge conquered on a proposed policy for Federal Judicial Employees that their computers and telephones and FAXes are not private. They're subject to monitoring.

We're being subjected to video cameras everywhere. We're being subjected to the possibility that our computers are going to be looked at without our knowledge, subjected to our telephone calls; and now we're being asked to carry identity papers everywhere we go.

The question is: How much do we value freedom in this country and whether we can balance freedom with an ability to maintain law and order? From some of the testimony I just heard, there's an ability to at least run a fingerprint scanner on somebody; and if there's no outstanding warrants, you issue a citation and let them go. This Bill goes much further than that.

We're also concerned about the possibility for abuse under this legislation. Let me give two examples. The previous witness referred to the Republican National Convention. Those people were arrested for misdemeanors or for felony offenses.

One of the issues that came out during the convention was whether some of the people who were detained were identifying themselves for purposes of bail. We heard numerous stories about people giving names like Snowflake and other names which, you know, made it a little more difficult to identity themselves.

What we didn't hear about or we know about is some people did identify themselves or had identification on them and the police still maintained they couldn't identify the individuals, and they were held. There was either high bail demanded or no bail at all. Subsequently, they were released. The cases weren't even brought. The cases were never tried, or the cases were tried and people were discharged. Those individuals who were held because no identification could be made or allegedly could not be made ended up serving time whether it was four hours, six hours, two days or two weeks. They served the time even though they were never found guilty.

Certainly, under this Bill, a police officer for whatever reason doesn't like an individual can claim this isn't sufficient identification. I'm calling you in. I'm holding

you. The person gets held six hours, and then they get released. Ultimately, they come up with a summary offense. The Judge finds them not guilty, and the person has served time.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I'm not going to say that every police officer is going to do this; but our experience from the Republican National Convention is that it does happen on occasion. There's no protection against it.

The other area of abuse is something, I think, we saw in a US Supreme Court decision from earlier this year arising out of the State of Texas. A woman wasn't wearing a seatbelt, was stopped by the police. The statute authorized her arrest. She had her children with her. In front of her children, she was arrested, taken down to the police district, handcuffed, treated like she had committed a serious The statute authorized it. The court offense. appealed it. But I think most people in this country are beginning to wonder what's happening when someone for failing to wear a seatbelt -- and she had identification on her -- but failing to wear a seatbelt can end up being arrested and handcuffed by a police officer. Abuses do occur. unfortunate.

We think it's the responsibility that the legislature protect abuses. We think this legislation could clearly result in abuse happening. Some people would suggest, Why are you worried about abuses? This only applies to serious summary offenses.

1.8

2.2

I looked into the definition of serious summary offenses contained in the Bill. It seems to be rather a meaningless or empty phrase. Because defined is a serious summary offense, any summary offense under which the sentencing authority is authorized to impose a term of imprisonment.

If you look up the definition of summary offense, it's any offense that can lead up to 90 days in jail. Every summary offense is really a serious summary offense. There's no limitation in this Bill.

I think this legislature has already made its determination as to what is serious and what is not by making them sometimes felonies and misdemeanors and others summary offenses.

The question becomes: Which direction are we going to go? Are we going to start arresting people for these kinds of offenses? Are we going to issue citations? I don't think we have a problem with them checking identification in a quick nature

to make sure there were no outstanding warrants. But this goes further than that when they allow someone to be taken into the police district and placed in a cell for an undetermined amount of time.

And finally, I would just like to reflect on my own experience. I guess this summer I'm fortunate enough to be able to travel. Sometimes I travel abroad.

The country I travelled to this summer, we were warned every place we were at, leave all of our valuables in the hotel room. Don't carry your passport. Don't carry even your driver's license with you. Leave them in the hotel room because you're going to get something stolen.

Certainly, many people who come to urban areas in this country feel that way. I can just imagine a situation where some travelers come from a foreign country come to Philadelphia, leave their identification in a hotel safe like they've been warned to do, is picked up by the police for a summary offense and can't identify themselves, and they're going to be held for an undetermined amount of time because they, on the one hand, thought it was good common sense for not carrying their identification with them so that it wouldn't be

stolen.

8 .

On the other hand, they had an unfortunate run-in, whether it was disturbing the peace, harassment or maybe they had a little too much to drink; and they end up in jail for a while. There's no protection for people under this Bill.

So I guess in closing, we would say, I think you have to balance the longstanding tradition of American freedom and the longstanding tradition that we do not require our citizens to carry identification papers versus the need to maintain safety and not let people with outstanding warrants in our state system.

We submit that there are other alternatives for accomplishing that, meaning without demitting all the law-abiding citizens feeling that they have to carry their identity papers with them. Thank you.

I'll answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you, Mr. Frankel.

I'm going to go a little bit out of order again. I

see that District Attorney Abraham is here. What I'm

going to do is ask the Members of the Committee,

would you please hold your questions for Mr. Frankel.

If you don't mind --

MR. FRANKEL: No.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you. We will bring up District Attorney Abraham to testify, take questions from the panel, and then bring you back. Thanks, Mr. Frankel.

Thank you. And at this point, I'd like to welcome Philadelphia District Attorney Lynne Abraham, who is speaking on behalf of the Pennsylvania District Attorney's Association. Welcome.

MS. ABRAHAM: Well, I thank you very much, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON: I appreciate you being here. You may begin when you are ready.

MS. ABRAHAM: I have prepared some remarks.

I think in light of Larry Frankel's previous

comments, I'd like to highlight some of my remarks.

They are relatively brief; and I think the best way

to do it, if I may, is to suggest it just to give you

the flavor of what I'm going to say by reading some

of them.

I have been impressed with what has happened in the past several years with regard to the issue of identity. The right of a citizen to keep secret his identity, that is, to be self-possessed is ceded when, for example, a compelling legal or societal interest in requiring that it be revealed.

Such an interest occurs when a citizen is arrested or charged with violations of law or ordinances which require that the offender's identity be known to effectuate legitimate vindication of the rule of law.

1.5

Simply put, no one has an inherent legal right to commit a crime and then to lie about it or conceal their identity. Today, as opposed to former times when it was unthinkable not to properly, promptly, and truthfully identify oneself to law enforcement officers when asked, it is an increasingly common strategy for petty criminals and sometimes not so petty criminals to essentially not carry identification when arrested or lie or refuse to answer when police properly request identification information.

This simple strategy is all that is necessary to thwart the orderly administration of justice. There are legitimate and well-founded privacy rights, which we must zealously protect. In some instances, we choose to trade off parts of our privacy in order to obtain goods or services, such as surveillance cameras.

We can look at our highways, whether it's action cam or whether it's police helicopters,

whether it's store security, metal detectors or body scanners in airports, store computers, communications networks, credit card information, fingerprints to cash a check in a bank, EZ Pass on our cars, iris or fingerprint-activated access to otherwise restricted areas, bar-coded photographic access cards, like the one that I'm wearing and many of us wear every day of our lives in order to go about our normal business -- before it was unthinkable -- and genetic sequencing are just a few of the many privacy losses we have chosen to accept.

Regardless of that, true privacy interests, which we all cherish as citizens, should not be confused with the desire on the part of some of us to violate laws in private or public places and then to thwart law enforcement by claiming somehow that we have an inherent privacy right, which allows us to commit a crime and avoid responsibility to our conduct by keeping our identities secret.

The absurdity of this position is made clear by the reality that a person who tonight can disrupt and trash, for example, South Street in Philadelphia during Mardi Gras and then lie about one's identity is the same person who tomorrow morning drives to school with a photographic driver's

license where someone searches his book bag, has to pass through a metal detector, requires them to carry an identity tag to get past the guard, has a cell phone whose calls can be overheard or whose phone records can be traced, whose computers have cookies in them to track his web site use and who has a credit card with personal information on the black stripe on the back.

11.

The criminal justice system really cannot function unless law enforcement is able to ascertain the true identity of both offenders and victims. No privacy right in any real sense is infringed when a person is arrested of when, for example, lesser legal intrusions take place like in summary citations.

House Bill 1434 will allow enforcement of our loss to detain only those people who cannot lawfully verify their identification. Last week in the press was another example of what has happened with identify cards.

I believe it was the Christian Science

Monitor who talked about juveniles and sometimes

adults can go through their web sites and get false

identification cards.

I was at the Community of Philadelphia in May campaigning. I went to a place. I won't name

the place. The man was standing there with a little box. I said, what's in the box? He said, I'm checking identity. I said, what does it do? You give the person your identity. And you run it through this little box that is no bigger than the box that this microphone is sitting on; and it verifies whether when I swipe the card whether the identification that you have given that person is a real identity card or a fake identity card.

1.0

That's to make sure that the owner of this establishment which sells alcoholic beverages isn't confronted with an LCD enforcement who claim that they are selling beer or alcoholic beverages to minors. I think that with 1434 we've seen it happen.

Mr. Frankel made reference to the RNC. I can tell you that problems in the RNC protestors were that when they were asked their identity, they gave names like Snowflake and, you know, Frosty the Snowman or Robin Hood.

Law enforcement is not going to give court notices to people whom they can't identify. And in Mardi Gras, where our city was trashed by thousands of people, most of the people who were arrested who did not live in Philadelphia -- but it's allocated to people who are outside our city -- do provide fake

identification or at least identification that is not verifiable.

When they were given citations, they never showed up. I think this is a tremendously serious problem. I don't want to make it look like it's only a Philadelphia problem to the legislature.

I'm sure that all over Pennsylvania people are experiencing the same issues with the lack of proper identification. No one really wants to, and I certainly don't think our police department wants to just detain citizens because that's the thing to do. But I think having been confronted for many, many years and most notably of recent date with large crowds of people being arrested and some individuals as well faking identity is a real problem.

So that's why I think 1434 will help to a vest the problem. Is it going to be the magic potion? Is it going to cure it? Not likely, but it will make the idea of providing unverifiable information to the police serious enough that you should be fingerprinted or photographed.

One would hope that one would always be truthful, but there has to be a way we can identify and verify who we really are with the abundance of fake and fraudulent identifications that are

proliferated and make law enforcement impossible.

So I'm pleased to support the Bill. I'd be happy to answer any questions such as the Committee might have. I thank the Committee for holding this hearing so early in the legislative season.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: And we thank you for being here. I think Representative Butkovitz has some questions.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: District

Attorney Abraham, I'd just like to read you a couple paragraphs from the daily news coverage following the Mardi Gras riots last year. It's an article by Nicole Blisoncy-Eagen dated March 26th, 2001.

It started out, Jacqueline Reed couldn't believe what she was reading. It was a letter from Philadelphia's Night Court saying she had been found guilty of drinking alcohol in public from an open container. She was at work when the crime was committed.

She had never been to the location where the arrest was made. Reed soon learned she was the victim of a legal loophole. The person who was really boozing in public gave Reed's name to the cops and got away scot-free.

I'd like to ask you whether that type of

incident is true and whether it is common?

MS. ABRAHAM: Yes. It's not only true, it's quite common. This is one of the problems that we talked about. And the fact that somebody would know that person and give her name as their own identity, it's all too common. It's easy.

What do I care if I give your name, assuming we're the same gender and I know your address? I can give Lita Cohen's address. I'm Lita Cohen. I live at such and such an address and such and such a place. There's no way to verify it because they just accepted the information I gave.

There's another and a more difficult issue that comes as a result of giving fake identification. First of all, it's hard to track down who the real person is because you don't have any photograph of them, let alone fingerprint.

Second of all, if by some strange coincidence the person who has given his or her friend's name as an identification who is somehow ever found out six months, a year, two years, three years down the road, it's very difficult if not impossible for a law-enforcement officer to be able to identify the person.

This was an occasion by the long delay

between arrest and subsequent potential prosecution. So it's not only the theft of your identity, if you will, Representative Butkovitz, but the tremendous convenience of who he was, not you, who was in Philadelphia.

1.2

1.9

Because if you say, it wasn't I who was in Philadelphia. And the police say, well, I don't know. This person is just trying to get up from under a difficult situation. It's all too complex and all too common a problem what we see today.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What would happen to the Jacqueline Reeds in that situation?

MS. ABRAHAM: My guess is Jacqueline Reed would have to come to Philadelphia or at least make yourself available to the -- assuming it was close enough in time to the time of the event. And let's assume that I was the citation-issuing officer -- if I remember who I ticketed, and I would hope within a brief period of time I would still remember -- I'd look at this person and say, now, that's not the one who I gave this ticket to.

She would be under the obligation. For example, if she's from New Jersey, she would have to leave her business or her work; if she was a juvenile, come into Philadelphia with her parents

prove, that it wasn't she, try to establish to get the books reconciled.

This is the same problem we have in Philadelphia with adults who give their brothers' or sisters' or relatives' names. It's that person who suffers the consequences. So Miss Reed is going to have a delve of a time getting her name erased.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: And suppose she didn't get down right away and do that. What happens?

MS. ABRAHAM: In the extreme circumstance, the police department and the court have the right to issue a warrant, service a process where if she lived out of the county or out of the State, some process server, sheriff or police officer would serve her with a warrant and bring her to Philadelphia. In an extreme case, this would be a terrible thing for her to have to face. She's totally innocent. And then her civil rights are violated because she has been examined, if you will.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: What would happen at the trial of that offense, the officer would simply read from the citation?

MS. ABRAHAM: My senses, the officer would essentially read from the citation. Now, if the

officer recognized the fact that this woman, this innocent person is not the person, I think that officer would and does know that's not the person. I arrested -- the person that I arrested looked such and such. She would be exonerated under the best of all circumstances.

2.2

There are benefits to having a person's photograph taken for identification when, for example, there are hundreds of people, thousands of people at an event. It's difficult for any human being, let alone a police officer or even a judge, to sort out who did what to whom.

So even if I gave lawful information, it's not beyond the realm of law enforcement to photograph me so the police officer can later identify me should the need arise. This didn't used to be necessary.

Now, with other interests in play, it is an important benefit that we have Polaroid cameras. They are extraordinarily helpful, and they are available to police.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Can you give us an idea of how often this problem of false identification to police occurs?

MS. ABRAHAM: Now it's happening more than it used to be. Remember I said a few moments ago

someone saying they're senior or junior. Saying they're senior and the person of the similar name was given the subpoena -- I have in my lifetime court orders that people have to carry around because their name is on a computer and when they are stopped by the police when I was a jurist saying this person is not Alan Butkovitz, Jr. His name is Alan Butkovitz, and please do not arrest this person. He's been a subject of misidentification.

1.5

With the proliferation of thefts of identity today and fake identify, I think that this is a national epidemic. So I think it's more common than we would like to think. I can't give you figures, but I know it happens in Philadelphia.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Representative Manderino?

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

District Attorney Abraham, I share, I guess, concerns on both sides of the issue. I'm really trying to understand some of the issues that have been raised.

In your testimony and in Mr. Frankel's testimony, there is a difference of opinion, I guess, with regard to the definition of serious summary offense; Mr. Frankel testifying that under the definition he believes there's no distinction that

all summary offenses would be serious summary offenses. You seem to intimate that there is a distinction.

It might be helpful to understand what those distinctions are either by way of specific example, jaywalking, the Democratic National Convention. We, in Philadelphia know there was an issue in regard to one of Mayor Street's staff people with regard to jaywalking and not carrying proper identification, an incident that kind of happened after that.

Under Pennsylvania law, is something like jaywalking or loitering or a violation of a noise ordinance summary offenses that may not involve property damage or harm to some other person, per se, but are those serious summary offenses that would come under the definition here? Or are any of those distinguishable as nonserious summary offenses as you distinguish them?

MS. ABRAHAM: I remembered what happened with, I think it was Shawn Fortim in Los Angeles, because I was there when it occurred and knew of the controversy that ensued because of it. I can't say, Representative Manderino, whether or not this was a reflection of what had happened in Philadelphia or a

response to it or just overreaching. We have a city counsel ordinance that prohibits jaywalking that is universally ignored. You can just drive down any street anyplace in the City of Philadelphia, your neighborhood, Center City.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I think I did it on my way across from the Marriott to City Hall.

MS. ABRAHAM: Well, I promise not to tell everybody. Everything you said is totally off the record.

But I mean there are -- I think in that context jaywalking is absolutely a nonviolent offense. Now mind you, here is where the issue can be joined. Under-the-corner-lounging, spitting on the sidewalk, God forbid, you know, that kind of event, corner-lounging, any kind of passive violation does not engage breach of the peace or any kind of violence.

I can imagine, however, where jaywalking may be a situation that might prompt some act.

Depending on -- what else was going on in context?

So if I walked out of this building right this moment and jaywalked across Market Street and some alert police officer said, do you know that you just violated City Council Ordinance No., whatever the

number is, and issued me a citation. I would have to respond to it because it is a violation of a City Council Ordinance. I could plead my case, but I could lose. That's really not the issue for me.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: But that same fact pattern if it happens in the middle of a protest, I may not be a protestor. I may still be Joe Citizen, who happened to be crossing at the time that some civil disobedience was happening could have a whole different connotation.

MS. ABRAHAM: Well, it could if a police officer said, excuse me, miss, you have to back away from these barricades because there's a riot about to break out or some horrible disorder and you deliberately disregard my instruction as a law enforcement officer not to do that. Could that create a mischief? Yes.

I don't believe necessarily that this Bill is designed to invest that circumstance, per se, although, I would suppose that it could. I believe that this Bill is designed to address those issues where you create a problem such as Mardi Gras, the RNC protestors or any other group or individual.

I don't believe that 1434 is just to address horrible crowds that gather 40,000 kids to a

rock concert where there's -- for example, yesterday in California, it was an alternative concert. The group decided they weren't going to play. So what did the kids do? They decided to trash the whole city. They tore apart news camera vans. They trashed the whole area. They threw things at police. They did terrible things. Now, why did they do it? Because they thought they could get away with it.

2.2

While I don't have an obligation to carry identification, let me use the example that you just gave. I'm walking down the street. I left my identification in a hotel. Let's make me a visitor. My identification can be verified. I can call the hotel and say to the front desk, this is Lynne Abraham. I'm in Room 1204. My identification is in my room. I've been arrested; and I authorize you to allow Police Officer Smith, who is coming to the desk to get my identification out of the room. That's easily done.

I don't have to, as an American citizen, carry identification on my person. I don't believe that's what the Bill is meant to require. It is, however, meant to require -- can somebody turn that down just a little bit? I'm sorry. I'm getting a lot of feedback. Thank you. Thanks, that's better.

It's meant to require that if the police cannot verify who I am -- I say I'm Miss Reed. Okay. Well, give me you're phone number. I call the phone number. I say, my name is Officer Smith. Is this the residence of Kathy Reed or whatever the name is? Is she there? Yes, she's right here on the phone. Well, in that circumstance, the Kathy Reed, who I'm supposed to have in my custody, I'm speaking to on the telephone. You both can't be right.

Give the police something that they can verify; somebody they can call, someone they can speak to. Does that mean that I can't plan in advance to have somebody answer a telephone, a cell phone? I mean, you can get around anything theoretically.

I think most of the mischief that we saw at Mardi Gras and to a certain extent the RNC, where people refused to identify themselves and stayed in jail for days and weeks longer because they felt that they had a right to remain anonymous was all unnecessary because they wouldn't just give their real identity. That's a problem.

You have a right not to identify yourself as long as you don't mind you're now going to be fingerprinted. You're now going to photographed. So

the greater benefit is through 1434 when you have a violent serious misdemeanor to require you to be identified and verified.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I know

Representative Cohen likes to run a tight ship. Just address briefly, and I'll stop, detention, for how long? Is there any limit? And once you're arrested, fingerprinting and photographing, what is the policy with regard to retention of those as part of a long-term record?

MS. ABRAHAM: Well, I don't know what the police department -- what time the police department would take. I'm not a police officer. I don't know. But frankly, if you are fingerprinted and photographed, the potential for those to be retained can be considerable; or they can be, like anything else, at the end of a case expunged. In other words, now we have record expungement at the time that the case is disposed of if it's disposed of in your favor.

Frankly, it's easier for me just to tell them who I am. Here's what I'm faced with; I have a choice. It's just like my credit card. If I want to work in the City of Philadelphia, I have to have this identification card. I have to swipe it. It was a

bar code. It has my photograph, and it was a box card. So my movements are monitored every place I go, and people know who I am every place I go. I don't have any authority or right to be anonymous.

If I don't want to work for the City of Philadelphia and the department I want to and don't want to carry this identity, I can work someplace else where it's not required.

But I will tell you something. More and more today in our society, candy stripers in hospitals have identification required of them. So my sense tells me that this information, if you put the police into the position of taking your fingerprints and photographs to verify your identity, they can be retained for as long as the police retain them. Or they can be disposed of at some appropriate time in the judicial process, including expungement of one's record when the verdict is not guilty.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you.

Thank you,

Representative Manderino. Again, District Attorney Abraham, thank you very much for taking the time.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN:

MS. ABRAHAM: Thank you Representative
Cohen and Representative Butkovitz and all the other
Representatives. I appreciate it. By the way I just

wanted to -- if I could read -- the Wall Street

Journal just the other day did an interesting

article. This is one of the issues of privacy that

we're talking about. This came from August the 28th.

So it's very recent. It's titled Big Brother Knows

You're Speeding.

Truckers, as you know, for a long time have had these devices in their trucks so that their bosses can tell if they're stopped for long periods of time, how fast they're going, so that logs can be properly maintained.

There now is a movement afoot where rental car companies are going to install devices that can monitor a person's whereabouts. This is a different era, a different time from even just a few years ago. Most of us grew up when we thought that nobody would know who we were or where we were. Those times, they are changing rapidly. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you. Your input has been very valuable to us. We appreciate it. We will now bring Larry Frankel back. We have ten minutes left for questions. When Mr. Frankel gets to the chair I hope that Mr. Frankel allows me to ask the first question.

You alluded to -- actually, you did more

than allude to; and then you also stated in the written materials that you gave us that if this legislation were to be enacted, then any citizen would be a fool to go out of his or her house without some form of identification that could be produced whenever a police officer demanded to see some kind of identification.

You really didn't mean to say, I hope, that if I were walking down the street, just walking down the street carrying absolutely nothing, including no ID; that a police officer has the right to stop me and demand to see my ID; and all I'm doing -- I'm not, as Representative Manderino gave some examples, loitering, spitting, jaywalking. I'm merely walking down the street.

It seems to me what you said in your second paragraph implies that a police officer can indeed demand to see my ID and take necessary steps if I dont have it, and I would be very foolish to go out of my house without my ID?

MR. FRANKEL: Representative Cohen, I don't think that that's what I implied or stated there. It merely states that I, as a citizen, would be -- I would say foolish to go out because of the possibility that I could jaywalk at the wrong time.

A police officer comes up to me and says, I want to see your ID; and I don't have it. Under this Bill, they are authorized then to arrest me and detain me because they could write a citation, maybe not jaywalking but, you know, scattering rubbish is a summary offense.

21'

There are things that I think many of us might unconsciously do as we walk around the city. So if someone were to come to me as a private attorney and wants this Bill passed, you know, let the -- and I say, sure, you should always walk around with some type of ID because you never know what kind of a situation may arise where you may not have done anything wrong. The police officer may totally, innocently be mistaken as to what occurred; but still, he's in a position of writing a citation out to you for a summary offense, he says, where's your ID? And you don't have any. Maybe your detention may be the 15 or 20 minutes down to the police station, but you're still running a risk.

What I meant to say -- and maybe it wasn't as clear as possible -- is that anybody would, indeed, be foolish to go out without some form of identification because there are all sorts of issues -- I mean, if you look up summary offense, there is

probably a list of over a hundred summary offenses.

All of us do not know what every summary offense may be. That is why we are weary of the fact because of the breath of the legislation because of the way summary offense is defined that indeed people could be subjected to finding themselves detained, possibly even arrested, even if they're photographed and fingerprints were taken. The way the Bill is written, just because you're photographed and fingerprinted today doesn't mean they immediately release you either. That's the risk that runs in failure to carry identification with you because not everybody who is cited for a summary offense is guilty of a summary offense.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you.

Representative Butkovitz?

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Mr. Frankel, you heard the testimony regarding Jacqueline Reed, who was falsely served a citation for public drunkenness, where her identity was falsely provided in lieu of the person who actually committed that offense, right?

MR. FRANKEL: I heard that, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Okay. What is your view of fundamental fairness in a situation

vis-a-vis Jacqueline Reed?

MR. FRANKEL: I feel it's fundamentally unfair to her. At the same time, Jacqueline Reed, you know, is stopped for not doing anything unlawful and didn't have ID. That would be fundamentally unfair also.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: All right. As between Jacqueline Reed and the person who actually committed the offense, who is the person in your view who bears the inconvenience? Are they both equally guilty or --

MR. FRANKEL: The thing you don't know from that newspaper story is that the person, in fact, gave Jacqueline Reed's name and address. That is an assumption that may be logical. But we do know that at times, you know, somebody transcribes a wrong address, a wrong number. That doesn't hurt.

The person may indeed have done it purposefully, maybe not. But even if they did, I think that, yes, it's inconvenient to Jacqueline Reed. But the question will become: How much do we value our freedom?

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: I had a question. I did have a specific question. The question was: As between Jacqueline Reed, who sat at

1 home and did nothing wrong, and the person that the 2 police stopped for committing public drunkenness, if 3 there is some inconvenience, weed it out here in your view and your policy view, who should bear that 4 inconvenience between those two people? 5 6 MR. FRANKEL: And I don't think you can 7 look at the question that narrowly, Representative, 8 because when you make public policy, you are making 9 it for people besides Jacqueline Reed. REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: So you're saying 10 11 you can't choose in that situation? You can't make 12 any decision? Is that what you're saying? MR. FRANKEL: No, I am not saying that; but 13 14 if you choose to hear that, there's nothing I can do 15 about it. 16 REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Okay. Do you 17 concede --18 MR. FRANKEL: You cannot narrowly say this 19 is just a question of Jacqueline Reed. What about the hundreds of people who are cited who are found 20 21 not quilty? That happens too in this system. REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Do you concede 22 that crimes do occur in this society sometimes? 23 MR. FRANKEL: Crimes occur, felonies occur, 24

misdemeanors occur. Listening to District Attorney

25

Abraham, I recall when I was an attorney and represented people who were charged with felonies and misdemeanors with false identification. It's a problem. And I think that police should have a means. But the question is: How far do you go and for what level of offenses do you do it?

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Do you concede that disorderly conduct can encompass conducts such as breaking people's windows, engaging in violent behavior, urinating on other people's property --

MR. FRANKEL: If disorderly conduct amounts to breaking people's windows, then why aren't people arrested for misdemeanors? I think the problem is twofold. One is that people weren't charged for the crimes that they committed because the police were unprepared for that evening.

And the second problem is that rather than looking at one of the sources of the problem, the almost 24 hours dispensing of alcohol by establishments who only sought to make money on that day would help also prepare what would be a large number of people. That seems to be one of the problems.

If you're worried about people breaking windows, arrest them for the misdemeanors that they

commit. Don't arrest them for summary offenses.

Don't use summary offenses as an excuse to just stop somebody when they've actually committed a more serious crime.

If I had my window broken, if I were a victim of that crime, I would be much angrier that the police only charged them with a summary offense than the actual misdemeanor crime that they committed.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Is it your position that the summary offenses that we were referring to at Mardi Gras should simply be repelled?

MR. FRANKEL: No, they should not be repelled.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: Now, you have an individual who commits these offenses in the sight of a police officer and cannot be compelled to identify themselves and cannot be penalized for not identifying themselves and cannot have their identification verified. What is the point of having such summary offenses in place?

MR. FRANKEL: They can be penalized if they are subsequently detained and the police officer identifies them. I don't think they become totally meaningless because at that particular moment they

don't identify themselves.

I also don't think it's correct to assume that everybody is going to fail to identify themselves or fail to give their correct identity.

REPRESENTATIVE BUTKOVITZ: To paraphrase your testimony, if you were caught in the midway, like the Mardi Gras and everybody else walked away because they didn't identify themselves or they gave a false identity and you did, wouldn't you be a fool?

MR. FRANKEL: No. I might be a law-abiding respectful citizen who got caught at the wrong time in the wrong place. Not all people who are cited with summary offenses have actually committed what they're cited with and not all of them engage in the kind of behavior we're talking about today.

I think it will be worthwhile when you ask the questions -- nobody gave you statistics as to how many individuals we're talking about, how many cases we're talking about, how much of a burden it would be on the system, the kind of questions that should be asked before you go on to the next step.

But what we do know is that we are -- if this Bill is enacted along with other proposals for a variety of other things, we have moved much further away from our sense of the society where people can

freely move about without identifying themselves.

If you want a job that requires you to do that, if you want to use EZ pass -- I use EZ pass.

One of my staff people said, how could you use EZ pass? You work for the ACLU. I like the convenience. But that's a choice I made. This Bill doesn't allow you to make that choice, and the choice is about freedom.

CHAIRPERSON COHEN: Thank you. Before we continue, I want to welcome Representative Harold

James from Philadelphia. Thank you for joining us.

This concludes the scheduled testimony on House Bill 1434 that we have. We will keep the record open for anyone who wants to submit written testimony. I want to thank the Representatives, Members of the Judiciary Committee and staff for being here. Thanks to the reporter. Thanks to everyone who took the time to present written testimony and to make their appearances known today. This hearing is adjourned.

(The hearing concluded at 11:10 a.m.)

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that
4	this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	()/a That i / a /
7	Hillary M. Hazlett, Reporter
8	Notary Public
9	
10	NOTARIA SEAL HILLARY M HAZI 11 T Notary Public
11	Johnstown, ் ் County, PA My Commission சக்கேர ் 29,2003
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	