# ORIGINAL

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA SELECT COMMITTEE HEARING

IN RE: HOUSE RESOLUTION 42, PUBLIC EDUCATION FUNDING

SOUTH WESTERN SCHOOL DISTRICT
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
SCHOOL BOARD MEETING ROOM
225 BOWMAN ROAD
HANOVER, PENNSYLVANIA

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2002, 10:08 A.M.

#### **BEFORE:**

HON. MARIO CIVERA, CHAIRMAN

HON. FRANK DERMODY HON. STANLEY SAYLOR HON. JOHN PALLONE

### ALSO PRESENT:

HON. BEVERLY MACKERETH

HON. RONALD MILLER HON. STEVEN NIKOL HON. STEPHEN STETLER

RONALD HENRY

JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC



## ARCHIVE REPORTING SERVICE

2336 N. Second Street (717) 234-5922 Harrisburg, PA 17110 FAX (717) 234-6190

1		INDEX
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Warren Bulette	14
5	Robert Hahn	19
6	Robert Mitten	42
7	Todd Kennedy	51
8	Steven Gross	7 4
9	Amy Morton	8 4
1.0	William Thompson	105
11		
12		
13		
L <b>4</b>		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: If we could all rise, and Representative Nikol will lead us in saying the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

and gentlemen. My name is Mario Civera, and I am the Chairman of the House Select Committee on how to fund basic education on House Resolution 42. Let me give you some background of what House Resolution 42 does, and then we'll have some opening remarks from the local legislators.

House Resolution 42 was passed by the House of Representatives in June of 2001. There are nine points to House Resolution 42 and how we were charged, the Select Committee, of funding basic education in Pennsylvania. A lot of the legislators in the General Assembly felt that our funding mechanism and the reliance on the real estate tax was an inadequate way of funding education in Pennsylvania. The ESBE formula, the way the money is distributed, is inadequate and they wanted some answers back to the General Assembly. Our report will be in May.

We have had 15 public hearings across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We've been to

rural areas, suburban areas, urban areas. So we have a good cross section of the issues and a handle on what the issue is all about to report back to the General Assembly on how we can change how we fund our education process in this Commonwealth.

Yesterday, there was a meeting at the State Capitol between the Education Committee and the House Select Committee. Why we did that was to share our findings so far with the Education Committee. Because whatever the results from what we are doing here, will have to be brought through the Education Committee, Finance Committee. And we are going to set up a session with the Finance Committee also as we start now to make our final report to the General Assembly.

I just wanted to give you that quick brief of what we've been doing and where we're going with this. I can say this, that for the first time -- and I have been a legislator for Delaware County, it will be 22 years on April the 8th -- I feel some good signs and some good feelings of what we have come across in Pennsylvania on a statewide basis.

Before we get into the people that are going to be testifying, I'm happy to say that both hosts, Representative Ron Miller and Representative

1 | Steve Nikol, are here today. I know they have some

2 opening comments, and I would like to call on them.

Representative Nikol first and then Representative

4 Miller, to give their comments.

I just want to say something. We don't have a microphone system in this room, so if we could talk a little bit louder so our court reporter can get the testimony on paper.

Representative Nikol.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Thank you.

First of all, I would like to thank the chairman for this rain. This is the most significant rainfall we have had in quite a while. I guess this is easy, though, bringing rain compared to the task before you on tax reform and educational equity. It's been months since we have had rain like this. It's been decades since we had anything in terms of educational equity.

I would like to make one apology.

When things can go wrong, they will go wrong. We ran out of paper when my staff made these, so they used my campaign stationery. That wasn't any subtle hint for anybody to make out checks to People for Nikol. I just wanted to add that disclaimer up front.

Like a three-legged stool, it takes three legs working together to control taxes in a school district and perform the work that needs to be done. These three are growth manage, local control over spending and adequate state subsidies. All of these are fundamental to controlling school taxes, and each, like the legs of a stool, are of equal importance to keeping taxes under control and preventing it from tipping over.

I would like to focus on the first piece, the effect of growth in school districts.

Even when a school board has adequate control over spending, a large increase in the number of students who move into the area can push school taxes higher. A school district cannot refuse to accept new students who move into the area. And an increasing student population will require hiring new teachers, purchasing additional textbooks and supplies, and building new classrooms.

It is the officials that we elect to run our various cities, boroughs and townships, not school boards, who actually control this leg of the stool since they have the authority over land use, growth and development. But when this leg begins to wobble due to an increasing number of students, it's

the school boards that are forced to increase taxes. You are sitting in a school district right now that has experienced this situation.

Many school districts in the York/Adams County areas are facing this dilemma. Student population is growing, and nearly every district is in the process of or is considering a building project. Very few have a significant budgetary reserve, so they are hit hardest by unfunded mandates, reductions in state aid and unique circumstances to our area, such as the need to educate students whose primary language is not English.

Ron Miller and I come at local tax reform from different backgrounds. Our State Senator Mike Waugh, who was in the House in 1998, voted yes on Act 50 because he saw this as our best chance for change. I voted no because I saw Act 50 as a delay for meaningful tax reform if it was not implemented fully. And Ron Miller was not a member of the House at the time, but was a school director at Dallastown School District facing a series of building projects. But we all recognize the need to revisit Act 50 and together offer possible solutions for your consideration.

To date, of Pennsylvania's 501 school districts, only a handful have proceeded with implementing the optional provisions of Act 50, and in some of those districts, the voters themselves turned the measure down. Those that did proceed with implementation are in unique situations. Act 50 was ideally suited for districts which have shrinking or static student population, no building projects in the foreseeable future and a budgetary reserve in excess of 10 percent. However, the act became problematic for districts that did not meet that criteria.

We have offered legislation,
Representative Miller and I, to amend Act 50. Our
proposed legislation attempts to tighten some of the
provisions in the original act and loosen others, so
as to make the provisions of Act 50 more attractive
to school districts. We are not repealing Act 50;
rather, we are making suggested clean-up provisions.
A copy of the bill has been provided to you.
However, I would like to draw your attention to a
couple pieces of legislation.

No. 1. Require counties to provide preliminary assessment information upon the request of school districts. This has been a problem with

many school districts looking at Act 50, where they don't have the preliminary information to make judgments on its impact.

Allow the question of implementing the optional provisions of Act 50 after the issue has been defeated, but limit those questions to after at least three full fiscal years. Currently, once the question is defeated, it cannot be re-determined. Dover School District defeated a referendum, and right now under the legislation they can't revisit the issue.

Require school districts to use the best estimate for reductions due to the Homestead exclusion that is sustainable in subsequent years, and eliminate the district's ability to reduce or withdraw from the exclusion in years subsequent to the initial implementation. One of the reasons it was defeated in the Dover School District was there was no requirement in Act 50 that, once you make the tax shift, you continue the Homestead exclusion in the future.

Allow for exceptions to the referendum requirements in cases where the increase in property tax rates does not exceed the percentage in the statewide average weekly wage on a per student basis

as a means to protect high growth districts. And exempt districts from referendum requirements if the state fails to keep up with its share of local funding or passes expensive new mandates.

1.5

Act 50 really doesn't work in growing school districts, and that's why most won't even consider it.

Permit for an initial windfall tax revenue in the establishment of the Homestead exclusion in the first year to be used to reduce existing debt service or to establish a budgetary reserve. This is a troubling question as to how to use that initial windfall in the Dover School District and various other school districts.

I won't go over all the points, but they are in the testimony for everybody to look at. I know this committee has a broader mission than just Act 50. The hurdles are significant for the change that you are looking at, but we wanted to offer these solutions or these suggestions if we have to tinker with what's in place to make it work better. We are not pressing for our bill necessarily to be moved or considered, but maybe pieces of it can be pulled out of it that might supplement the committee's work to make improvements

1 | in Act 50.

1.5

I thank you, Chairman Civera, for holding this hearing here in the South Western School District in York County.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you.

Representative Miller.

York County, Chairman Civera and members of House Resolution 42 Select Committee on Public Education. Thank you for agreeing to hold one of these hearings on this important issue in the South Western School District. Representative Nikol and I each represent a part of this district.

Yesterday, as a member of the House
Education Committee, I had the opportunity to hear
the update you gave on what has been discovered to
date during your many meetings on this issue around
the state. For the first time as a State
Representative, I truly believe that I heard a
willingness to consider all the facets of this
complex issue. I congratulate the committee on
that.

I have been trying to deal with the funding issue for many years, as Representative Nikol mentioned, not only as a member of the House

of Representatives, but prior to that for ten years as a member of a local school board. When we stop pointing fingers to assign blame to local school boards -- or for that matter to the state -- when we stop pointing fingers, while recognizing the dynamics that have gotten us to the position we are in today, we may have an opportunity to change public education funding in Pennsylvania for the benefit of most of our citizens.

Yesterday I quoted some figures, but did not provide them for the Select Committee in writing. I am including them now to reinforce the point that the rate of increase in spending in my home school district, Dallastown area, has not changed significantly in 50 years, although state funding during that same time has decreased by over 50 percent.

What has changed is the number of people living on fixed incomes. Our aging senior population expedites the need to address the school funding issue. Property taxes have never been popular for anyone, but the outcry increases yearly as the number of seniors living on fixed incomes for longer periods of time continued to expand.

Again, thank you for bringing the

1 Select Committee to York County and hearing testimony from representatives of concerned groups 2 in our area. You will note that the figures below 3 show that, for example, '66 to '74, which is an 4 5 eight-year period, there was a tax increase in the 6 Dallastown School District of 96.4 percent. jump forward to '93 to '01, the most recent eight 7 years, it was 106 percent. They are not equal, but 8 9 they are fairly close so it really makes you wonder 10 how the school boards today are managing to hold the 11 line as much as they do. I know it's not popular to 12 say that, but I continually say it because it's 13 true. With the decreased funding, they are doing 14 about the same job with the same rate of increase of 15 local taxes. 16 I thank you for studying this issue. I look forward to hearing the rest of the testimony. 17 18 Thank you. We have CHAIRMAN CIVERA: 19 a cancellation of Mr. Carlos Lopez, the 20 Superintendent of York City. So what I've done is 21 to have Warren Bulette fill in that slot there, and 22 he is going to give us his first testimony. 23 Before we get into that, 24 Representative Stetler is here. Thank you for

coming, Steve. I really appreciate it. Do you have

25

1 any remarks?

REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: No. I just appreciate the fact that you brought the committee down here to study this.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you. Mr. Bulette, if you would like to proceed.

MR. BULETTE:

Representative Civera. I appreciate the opportunity to fill in at the last minute. I'm here to try to convey the message that we are spending too much on public education. I'd like to repeat that. We feel in the York County Taxpayers' Council that we are spending too much money on public education.

Thank you,

Why do we feel that way? It's because we have done a lot of research. And we have found that the other industrialized countries of the world are educating their students at about half of what it costs in the United States. Now, Pennsylvania happens to be spending, the latest statistics I have is the sixth highest amount per student in the United States.

You can get into all kinds of conversations about, well, that data is no good that we are talking about. But I say that's a cop-out.

And I would invite the committee as well as the

Education Committee to really delve into a web site, nces.ed.gov. I think you will see if you give that an honest appraisal that we are spending too much in public education. And I think you will also see that these other industrialized nations of the world are really, in measures of quality based on the third international math and science study, beating the pants off the United States.

Now, my background is a background in engineering and business. And if there's one thing I've learned in my career in business, it is that if you don't meet competition -- and these other industrialized nations are our competition -- if you don't meet that competition, your days are numbered.

I would like to make the committee aware of this fact that we have to meet competition. Now, you can also argue about this third international math and science study test. You can say, well, that really doesn't measure quality. But I am here to say that my reading of the results indicate that the United States is definitely falling behind these other industrialized nations in math and science. If there is one area that drives the standard of living in this country, it's math and science. It's the most important educational

area that I know of, because look at all the advances that we have made in this country. They are all based on math and science.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm here this morning to try to convince the committee that, yes, we have a funding problem. But let's look at the cost of education because the school code is costing us this extra money. The school code must be changed. That school code is creating wasted taxpayer dollars.

Let me give you an example. Representative Ron Miller eloquently talked about Look at what Dallastown and the job they have done. would have happened in Dallastown if they didn't have to pay the prevailing wage on those buildings. Look at what would happen to Dallastown if they didn't have to meet mandates like tenuring. will be the first to admit that he has teachers out there in Dallastown that shouldn't be on the rolls, but they don't want to spend the time and the money to get rid of them. Ron would also tell you, I think, that we have a problem with certification. We used to be able to move teachers from class to class. We can't do that anymore. All these things in that school code have the effect of increasing our cost with no resulting improvement in quality.

And, therefore, that school code has to be changed.

The York County Taxpayers Council

feels that if you could level the playing field

between public schools and private schools, that you

would go a long way toward solving this spending

problem. We also feel that once that change is

made, the state funding then should be based on the

average earned income in the school district.

education today are directly correlated to average earned income. The lower the average earned income, the more one parent families you have, the more special ed students you have, all down the line. Those areas are renowned for having cost involved. They really cost us a lot of money.

And, therefore, when you do put together a funding plan, we think that the fairest way to do that is to base it on average earned income for the school district. The York County Taxpayers' Council also feels although in special education a school district should only be required to pay the amount that they spend on a normal student, and anything over and above that really is the state's responsibility.

I know that Senator Piccola has a very

unpopular stand, but I would just like to mention it here. He feels that we have a need for a systemic change in public education. And the York County Taxpayers' Council agrees with him. And that's why we're promoting this idea of going to the school code and revising it.

Now, that's going to take a lot of courage because of the input by the teachers' union. We feel that it's time to step up to the plate and do what is right for the Commonwealth. The teachers really ought to be changed in the way they are being paid. They ought to be paid on performance. If you'll refer to the York County Taxpayers' Council 13 public education reform goals, you'll see the plan that we have laid out there for that.

I would just like to talk about another study put out by the Manhattan Institute that demonstrates clearly that the more choices that are available to students, the higher student achievement is across the Commonwealth or the state. This is an important point, and it leads right to vouchers. Vouchers are really necessary in this Commonwealth because they will give more choice. And more choice has been proven by the Manhattan Institute to raise student achievement, which is

1 what we have got to do. And the interesting thing 2 about it is that in the study that the Manhattan 3 Institute made, it did not raise cost. That's an 4 interesting point. It did not raise cost. So I thank you for the chance to 5 appear before you today. Members, I would be glad 6 7 to answer any questions you may have for me. CHAIRMAN CIVERA: 8 Thank you. We have 9 scheduled at 11 o'clock Mr. Robert Hahn from the York County Taxpayers' Council. Is your testimony 10 11 basically the same? 12 What I want to do is go out of order 13 here and ask if you want to at this time testify, 14 because you both come from the same organization, 15 and then this way we don't have duplicate testimony. 16 Is that okay? 17 MR. HAHN: Sure. 18 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Mr. Bulette, you can still stay here. You sit here. And after Mr. Hahn 19 20 presents his testimony, then the committee can 21 direct the questions to both of you. 22 I, likewise, would like to MR. HAHN: 23 thank the committee for affording me the opportunity 24 to represent and express the views of two taxpayers'

25

organizations.

I think it's commendable that the state representatives would seek the testimony of the citizens of the community on subjects that directly affect the individuals' livelihood. To obtain a broader insight into a larger cross section of the community, it would be instrumental if the Legislature would enact a bill giving the community the right of initiative and referendum.

In my report, there are some bios on me. I have served on the Northeastern School Board for about eight and a half years. Coming from New York, it was an interesting experience. One of the things that I have to say is, I think the important thing in any of the committee's endeavors to fund education or look at cost is that it is important that each child in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania receive the best education possible and each receives an equal education.

I happen to reside in an area that does not have a large industrial base. When you don't have a large industrial base, the millage rate in that area goes up. In fact, Northeastern probably has the second highest millage rate in York County. That drives down the property values, creating a smaller base to fund education. And

within the increased millage rate, those on fixed incomes can no longer afford to live in their homesteads and are forced to sell.

The prices of homes are lower, so it attracts younger people and with younger people comes more children and with that it creates a greater burden on the school districts. The younger families are moving into the area because the homes are cheaper and they can afford it and they probably have more dysfunctional problems, their children probably have special education needs. And in that, again, it puts a burden on that particular school district.

As Warren has referred, we did come up with 13 reforms that we recommend to the committee. And we would like to thank the committee, at least the House of Representatives' portion, for passing House Bill 593 which was overwhelmingly passed, and that deals with parental responsibility for the children.

For over several years now, the General Assembly has had and currently has committees looking at the question of what is the best method of financing education. On March 7th, 2001, the House Majority Policy Committee hearing on

school property taxation heard various testimonies concerning tax reform.

The General Assembly had passed

legislation designed to create some tax reform under

Act 50 as Representative Nikol indicated. Of the

501 school districts in the Commonwealth, three

implemented the act. Those three that implemented

it were influenced by the high occupational taxes.

The General Assembly passed Act 4, which again was a public utility tax act, taking the public utilities out of PURTA and allowing the school districts to tax the public utilities. This was done in 1998. And to this date, the public utilities have not paid the tax. In fact, Northeastern School District has lost about \$2.4 million so far under that program.

The General Assembly passed

legislation to allow school districts to replace the occupational tax with Act 24, the optional occupational tax replacement act, which permits school districts to raise the earned income tax.

There again, Act 135 was not amended, so the local collection and tax collecting agencies can only collect 1 percent. The other percentage of the schools that raise that tax has to be collected

through estimated taxes, making it necessary for the individual to mail in their taxes. They cannot be collected through payroll taxes. So that's another problem with Act 50 as well as Act 24.

Evidently, as I say here, with all the good intentions the General Assembly had, the tax reforms which had been passed were not very effective. They didn't ease the burden of the property owner or the school district. It is my understanding you invited me today to offer some possible solutions to alleviate the taxpayers' financial burden of educating children in the Commonwealth.

If York County Taxpayers' Council or the Northeastern Taxpayers' Association had a magic wand, they would have waved it a long time ago to improve the situation. We do have some of what we believe in addition to the 13 reforms that we offered, some suggestions that would be sound and beneficial to the residents of the Commonwealth. As already mentioned and included as addendum C is the 13 goals. Besides these, I would share with the committee some additional thoughts and suggestions for your consideration.

No. 1. The General Assembly should

look at completely rewriting the Public School Code of 1949. Over the years, various amendments only patched it. It's time to realize that at the root of educational problems is the archaic school code. The methodology and administration of our education process needs improvement before it will run efficiently.

Secondly, the Commonwealth should require the school districts to present to the public the actual percentage increases in the budget based on dollars. The millage rate should be used as a tool for the tax collector. Very often the school district claims no tax increases but has substantially increased the budget. This practice is deceptive in allowing the public to believe the district is not spending any additional monies. I would suggest that budgets be presented as percentage increases rather than millage.

The Commonwealth should eliminate all forms of property tax. And I would, again, emphasize that, that the Commonwealth should eliminate all forms of property tax, occupational tax, personal per-capita tax to fund education. They should either use earned income tax/net profit tax or state income tax to fund the public

educational system. We would support an earned income/net profit tax that could continue to give local control to each school board. Using earned income tax/net profit tax would require a change that would include corporations. At the present time, corporations are excluded from the earned income tax/net profit tax.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But should the Commonwealth continue funding of education through real estate tax, this would continue to cause inequities. The General Assembly should consider the changes that they made when they passed Act 50. They provided for taxing homesteads differently than industrial properties or commercial properties. Within a county or group of school districts, they should pool and distribute the tax from industrial and commercial properties to each school district based on student population. This would end the inequities that presently exist for school districts that do not have a large industrial or commercial tax base. Equity of real estate taxes on homestead properties across school districts would result.

Since I was told I was limited in what I have to say, I will stop there and volunteer my services to this committee or any subcommittee to

work with them in bringing back tax changes. If you have any questions, I would be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: First of all, I would like to welcome Representative Mackereth, Representative Dermody and Representative Saylor.

Mr. Bulette, some of your comments that you made as far as the prevailing wage, the school code, basically what you are talking about is the state mandates that are on the individual school districts that drive the cost of education up. Not a question about it. The General Assembly has made serious attempts to remove some of those mandates that you have questioned.

Now, this is what happens. I'm not going to ask you a question. I'm just going to give you a quick descriptive of what happens with the process. There are members of the General Assembly that come from all different types of districts.

And every district is different than where we are at present. So those individual legislators will support a PSEA concept on how education should be funded and how education should be directed in Pennsylvania.

And also with the prevailing wage that you mentioned, there are the building trades that if

there was any type of an attempt -- and there was through a regulation, I think, under the Ridge Administration -- they were going to try to do it through the regulation rather than put the issue to the Floor, the General Assembly, because everybody -- just like this measure, and I'm hoping that we get to a final draft of what happens and someday maybe -- or soon, not someday -- that we will be able to cast a vote. Before that happens, the people that are pushing this idea are going to start counting votes; how many did we get from this county, how many do we have from that county. And that's what happens.

Unfortunately, some of those things had failed. Now we are stuck with how do we go from this point to this point as far as the education process in Pennsylvania and the cost to educate an individual child.

Now, what this committee has found -and we have been across the state -- is that each
individual school district -- for instance, I come
from Delaware County. My school district is Upper
Darby Township. It's basically an urban district.
It's right outside the city of Philadelphia. To
educate a child in Upper Darby School District is --

what the school district has given us is \$7,500 per child. For the amount of curriculum that we have in that school district, it isn't a bad deal. Radnor in the same county is \$14,000. And this is part of our problem. That's part of the inequities that we are facing on a statewide basis.

Now, the formula comes through ESBE, which if you asked me to explain in detail to you what ESBE is -- I'm not embarrassed to say I don't know. I'm embarrassed to say I'm confused as an individual legislator because they change -- each administration over the years has changed ESBE. And it frustrates the school districts in how they draw their budget, what's going to happen this year and what happens to growth in communities such as this.

So when you get into the spending part of it, I was glad to hear you say that you weren't making the individual districts responsible or putting the blame on the individual districts as far as spending. Part of this is -- and I'll agree with you -- that the Commonwealth is responsible because these things are in the law. And we have to deal with that.

So I want you to understand, if we could change that -- and that's going to be part of

```
29
 1
          I was glad to hear you say that this morning.
     it.
 2
     That's going to be part of it. We are going to make
 3
     that part of our recommendation when we report back.
     It's not that the legislators themselves want to see
 4
 5
                                            This is a
     this and we want to spend, we don't.
 6
     monumental task that's going on here.
 7
                   Do any members have a question?
 8
                   MR. BULETTE:
                                 May I?
 9
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Yes, you may.
10
                   MR. BULETTE: Representative Civera, I
11
     am very encouraged by the remarks. And I know a
12
     little bit about politics. I learned a lot from Ron
```

I can foresee that the union pressure, not only the teachers' union but the craftsmen and those other unions, will be so great that the General Assembly will never be able to solve this And, therefore, I'm asking you as a problem. fallback position, just make sure that every school district has to put their budget up before the community before it's passed.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: So let me ask you then, are you saying that you would support whatever we do, what we have in Act 50, a back-end referendum, that there would be a referendum question if that school district exceeds a certain

1 percentage of their budget that the people of that 2 community have to vote for that? 3 MR. BULETTE: Sir, I think we have to 4 tighten it. We have got to have each and every 5 annual school budget approved by the voter. CHAIRMAN CIVERA: So you're saying a 6 referendum question? Is that what I'm hearing? 7 MR. BULETTE: A front-end referendum. 8 9 This gentleman here told you about the problems that 10 we have with deception. I just attended a York 11 Suburban school board meeting on Monday night. 12 they are talking about increasing the budget 10.9 13 percent over what they plan to spend this year. But 14 they never said that. What they said was, we are 15 only going to increase over the present budget by 8 16 That's deception. And people have got to percent.

Now, I can foresee that with all your good intentions you are never going to get to home And, therefore, I think it's incumbent on the General Assembly to pass a law that says every school budget must be approved by the voters in that school district.

have the right to vote on every school budget.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Representative

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Miller.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: You just made a statement that every student across the state has the right to an equal education. And with that statement -- and I believe, Warren, you believe that.

MR. BULETTE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: But how can you make the statement about an individual school board voting on every budget and defend the statement that every student has a right to an equal education? Because the minute you do that, you are going to get grave disparities all across the state because you will get one area where the taxpayers will not vote for that budget. And then the next area, they will vote for a much larger budget which the chairman of this committee already alluded to happens in his area. And that's the problem with your solution there. I just ask you to think about We will have wonderful conversations in the future on this issue, I'm sure. But that's the challenge with that position. It makes it almost impossible to defend both of them.

MR. BULETTE: Representative Miller, all I can say is, that's life. You know, nobody ever said everything is going to be fair.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: We learned that here.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Agreed.

MR. HAHN: The answer to that question, Ron, is really to do away with property tax. Property tax is the thing that causes the problems in the community. Now, looking at the state's budget this year, from personal income tax we take in roughly about \$7.2 billion. From property tax, the Department of Education or school district takes in about \$8.4 billion, which means to take away property tax, which I'm fully in support of, you would have to increase the income tax to something like 5.6 or 6 percent. If you did it on sales tax, you'd probably have to go to 13 percent.

What you could do in giving this equal education -- unless someone in a particular school district wants something more -- is to take the state average cost per average daily student.

Let's say it's \$7,000. Well, the state gives the school district -- and I agree with you on the formula. I tried to understand it. It's beyond me -- but to give each student that amount of money.

In other words, if it comes out to \$7,000, that's the average, every student across the

```
1
     state gets $7,000. If they go to Northeastern,
2
     every student at Northeastern will get $7,000.
3
     the school district, the school board, wishes to do
     something more than the $7,000, then perhaps they
 4
 5
     can initiate an earned income tax to supplement
 6
     that.
 7
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: And then that
     portion is what you would have the voters vote on?
 8
 9
                   MR. HAHN:
                              Yes.
10
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Okay.
                                                   Ι
11
     understand those positions.
12
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Would you prefer an
13
     earned income tax over a PIT tax?
14
                   MR. HAHN: I prefer earned income tax
15
     only -- and I'll qualify this -- because of the fact
16
     that it gives local control. When it's personal
17
     income tax, my fear -- and I'll be up front and
18
     honest with it -- is that Pittsburgh and
19
     Philadelphia will get the lion's share and central
20
     Pennsylvania will go begging.
21
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      That's a justifiable
22
     point.
             I come from the southeast. See, what
23
     happens is that -- let me explain to you what
24
     happens. Maybe you can tell me. Because Delaware
25
     County or the school district that I'm from is so
```

close to Philadelphia -- my district borders the city of Philadelphia, west Philadelphia -- we could never implement Act 50, because 34 percent of the people that live in my district live work in the city and they pay a city wage tax. And our school district did a study. And that 34 percent knocked us around pretty good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what happens to those school districts -- it's not just Upper Darby. Springfield. It's Radnor. It's all those school districts. That's the best case scenario. And the reason why sometimes it's the best case scenario is because what you earn in that community stays within that community. It isn't digested all over the place. That's why I asked about the PIT because when we were having these hearings, we ran into those difficulties. And then when we went out to certain real rural, rural areas, they couldn't support. There wasn't the money in the district. They couldn't support an earned income tax. wasn't enough revenue there.

So as far as those districts, what do we do with them? I mean, Philadelphia is one issue where I am. And then in the southwestern part of the state, that's another issue, the coal mine

districts where unemployment is really bad. So how do you handle that? See, that's what we're charged with.

MR. HAHN: I think you have to look at the whole picture. To give a child an equal education, the state has to say, here are the dollars. I've been on the school board where we argue the state is supposed to give 50 percent and they are only giving 37 percent. I think it's a useless argument because in other monies that the state gives, you can probably get the state's percentage up to about 42 or 43 percent when you start adding in monies that they match and so forth and grants.

But, like, when I said about the industrial and commercial properties, I think this would probably work in Philadelphia where people pay a city tax. The special taxes that people pay should go with those people who work there. In other words, in Northeastern we do not have a large industrial base so people work down, I'm going to say, in central. They have a larger industrial base. So what happens is their industry is paying property tax. We don't get the benefit of that at Northeastern. Dallastown probably doesn't get the

benefit of the industry either. But if you pool some of that money and then distribute it evenly to each child, then everybody in that particular group of school districts or counties would get the benefit of that money.

the power to enable that district to do that. And under the circumstances that we have in the southeast, we don't have that. That's why it automatically lifts up to a statewide basis.

And the other situation that we've heard in testimony is that individual -- one district would levy a tax of 1.2 on earned income. Another district would levy a tax of 1.5. So you'd have people saying, well, you know, if I move -- why should I pay? I'm not that far from the line, and maybe that's a little bit better of a school district and the rate's cheaper. That's what we're charged with. I understand what you are saying. If it was just this area or any area, but unfortunately it isn't. And it's just not the scenario with Philadelphia. You get into some of the rural areas, they can't afford it.

MR. HAHN: If you go through the 511 taxes and you go through each school district, you

```
1
     will see that some school districts do not even
2
     charge earned income tax. I mean, earned income tax
3
     right now is based at the will of the local school
 4
     board. And that's why I'm saying that with the
 5
     earned income tax, if you have a base of money
 6
     coming from the state, then if the school district
7
     wants to do something over and above what the state
     is giving them they can go to the earned income tax.
8
9
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Okav.
                                             I have a
10
     question.
                I think what you are looking at is Act
11
     50.
          It's a dollar-for-dollar reduction.
12
     suppose we went with the statewide tax, the PIT and
13
     we increase it. Would you expect your real estate
14
     taxes to be taken completely away or what percentage
15
     would you want taken away?
16
                              The way I'm looking at it
                   MR. HAHN:
17
     would be to do away with property taxes.
18
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Completely?
19
                   MR. HAHN: Exactly. I know that's a
20
     hard thing to do.
21
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: We need to hear
22
     that.
23
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
                                            Homesteads
24
     only or including business?
25
                   MR. HAHN:
                              Everybody.
```

1 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Business, too? 2 MR. HAHN: Yes. 3 MR. BULETTE: Well, business would 4 then pay the income tax. 5 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: No. If it's a sub S 6 corporation, they are going to pay what the rate is. 7 If it's not a sub S corporation, there's a corporate 8 tax that doesn't go to the school district, it goes 9 to the general fund. 10 MR. HAHN: But, on the other end, I'm 11 saying that businesses, corporations, should be 12 required to pay the earned income tax which they do 13 not have to pay now. 14 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Does any other 15 member have anything? Representative Stetler. 16 REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: If vou 17 eliminate all property tax and you work on an earned 18 income tax, aren't you eliminating about a third of 19 the taxpayers in Pennsylvania? They are not 20 participating at all. I'm just saying any retired 21 person would no longer pay taxes to educate kids. 22 If you go through --MR. HAHN: 23 REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: If you 24 eliminate property tax and you only inflict -- I 25 think that's the right term -- earned income tax, he won't pay taxes anymore. I don't mean --

MR. HAHN: Are you talking about on a earned income?

REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: Yes.

MR. HAHN: No. I'm not saying just tax earned income. I'm saying there's a personal income tax which you would be paying per student. The earned income tax for a school district that wants to do more than that -- in other words, if you take a school district like Radnor where they get \$14,000 per student, well, the state couldn't fund \$14,000 per student. There's just no way. So they want to give that additional money -- that additional money, they would raise it through earned income tax. Those are the people that have students in the school.

It's the senior citizen population which is the area that you are talking about, I assume, would get some sort of a break on that, yes. But you also have senior citizens today who have to face property tax and sell their homes. If you talk about earned income tax and somebody moves across the road because it's cheaper earned income tax, well, what does the senior citizen do? You know, all of you will eventually be senior citizens.

```
1
                   REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: Hopefully.
2
     But my question is if you eliminate the property tax
3
     -- walk me through this. If you eliminate the
 4
     property tax -- I'm not going to -- there's a
5
     constitutional issue. If you eliminate the property
6
     tax, how would a retired person pay -- on what would
7
     they pay taxes?
8
                   MR. BULETTE: Steve, I think what you
 9
     fail to recognize is -- and I don't have any
10
     statistics on this -- I would bet money that I'm in
11
     the minority of senior citizens. In other words,
12
     most senior citizens in order to make ends meet have
13
     to work. They have to earn.
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: I understand
15
     that.
16
                   MR. BULETTE: Senior citizens aren't
17
     going to be exempted from paying school expenses.
18
     Do you follow what I'm saying?
19
                   REPRESENTATIVE STETLER: Well, there
20
     may be disputing numbers, Warren.
21
                   MR. HAHN: That may be true, Warren,
22
     except for one thing. You have to look then at the
23
          Even if senior citizens are working, are they
24
     going to be given taxes because of SP? I mean, it's
25
     true that you are going to have senior citizens
```

possibly not paying taxes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's hard to sit down here and tell you, well, this is the easiest way to do it because you guys have been working on it a lot longer than I have been. The fact is that there are ways that you can eliminate property tax. You might have to adjust other things. You may have to change certain laws. You may have to adjust other things. But there are ways of eliminating property taxes.

The simplest way is through a personal income tax, because that's the thing that's going to be increased the least percentagewise. If you increase the personal income tax, you are going to raise it maybe to 6 percent. If you go with the sales tax, you are going to go to 13 percent. Ιf you go with sales tax, you would drive retailers out of the state of Pennsylvania with that 13 percent I mean, that would be ridiculous. If you go tax. with personal income tax, you could probably change the personal income tax where seniors would be paying some type of personal income tax over a certain base income. Maybe it means adjusting the SP.

MR. BULETTE: Steve, I think it's important for you to realize if we go to an earned

income tax, Steve, I'm a senior citizen. You've got to remember that they are still paying tax on their fixed income so to speak. In other words, they are paying on the dividends and the interest and the capital gains that they make in their nest egg.

So the senior citizens who are working and the senior citizens who have put a nest egg aside and are living off the interest dividends and capital gains from that nest egg, are still going to be paying school taxes if we go to an earned income tax. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you both. We appreciate it.

Next we have Dr. Robert L. Mitten,

Assistant Superintendent for Operations and

Technology, York City School District. I thank you

for waiting.

MR. MITTEN: Mr. Lopez sends his apologies. He's at a national conference in Philadelphia, so he could not be here.

I'm going to center my remarks on funding for charter schools, which is very specific. Some of you are aware that I was on the committee that included Radnor that came up with a three-tier program for funding. I hear you speaking. I know

exactly what you are facing. The issue that you are going to have to deal with first and foremost is the issue of adequacy. Now, 50 percent is fine but 50 percent of what? And that's one issue that we dealt with, and that's been an issue that's been in courts in Michigan, in Ohio and in Texas. That's the big problem. I won't digress any further.

What I'm going to talk about is a specific problem in the way that charter schools' tuition is calculated and I think it can be fixed fairly easily. I hope it can be anyway. We have about 1,200 students that we pay tuition for from York City School District. Now, 200 students are outplaced into court-ordered placements, special education placements. We have about 200 students that are at the technical school, the York County Technical School. We have about 800 students that are in charter schools.

The charter school is the only school where I pay my cost rather than their costs, which is specifically your problem with the Einstein Academy right now. The Department of Education sets a tuition rate for us. So if a student is coming into York City School District -- and we have a number of them -- we are able to charge a tuition

that's calculated by the Department of Education.

\$5,919.88 for a secondary student and it \$5,071.28 for elementary. Charter school calculations aren't done that way. It's a one size fits all. Right now what you are doing is you're removing certain elements from your total budget, not what you've expended, your budget. And you're then taking those elements -- I didn't give everybody one of these, I assume you have these -- subtracting that and then dividing it by the estimated average daily number share.

The number comes out for us this year at \$5,336.58. You are paying a little more for elementary kids and a little less for secondary. That in itself is not that big of a problem, although if you have all elementary kids it might cause you some difficulties.

One of the problems -- and I keep harping on this for the last 20 years or so -- is special education funding. Doing the calculation of the tuition rate that you are paying for the regular education student, you take out the 1200 series which is the special education budget. You then bring that back in right there under step two, and

then you take your average daily membership and multiply it by 16 percent. You take that number and divide that into your 1200 series. For us, it's 1200 and 12 students.

That's all well and good if you have 16 percent or less of your student population that are listed as special needs. But we have more like 1,900 students, not 1,200. So what ends up is you take your entire 1200 series and divide it by a lower number. I have a 1200 series budget that's supporting 1,900 kids and I'm dividing it by 1,200. That increases the cost of special education.

average cost of special education is really a flawed idea. It's not that everybody is receiving one type of service. I have some students that we are paying in excess of \$100,000 a year for. I have others that we are paying less than \$100 a year. So when I come up with \$12,700 for my special education tuition to charter schools, I'm assuming then that they're taking one of each, but they are not.

They have more than likely -- charter schools are pretty well known for this -- we can't handle that kind of student so we end up with them

back again. The ones that they end up with are speech therapy, some minor problems. And they end up paying \$100 a year while we're pay \$1,200.

1.5

1.8

The other problem is -- and this is the one that hit us last year -- when you take out a school like the Lincoln Edison Charter School -- we had the first conversion school in the state and the only one that I know of right now -- you make the assumption -- when I talked to the school board the year before that, that, yes, we are going to be able to eliminate the cost of Lincoln. Well, that's not true.

One of the problems becomes that you have teachers that go from place to place. And because you lose one building or because you lose 700 of your students, what are you going to do, cut them back a seventh? You can't lower that kind of thing. In addition, not all of the students that went to Lincoln came from Lincoln area. Some of them came from other school districts -- of course, we are not paying for them -- West York, Dallastown York Suburban, York Central. That's not a majority. It's maybe 40 kids out of the 700 that are there. But some of the kids that are going are coming from other elementary schools. And when you lose 10

kids, what do I do, turn off one light bulb as they walk out? That doesn't happen. What happened with those were two things that happened this year. One was it cost us \$700,000 more to pay the tuition for those kids to go to Lincoln than it cost us to run that building the year before. That's one problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second problem is although it cost \$3 million plus to run that building the year before, I wasn't able to eliminate \$3 million plus in cost. We eliminated a great deal of it, but I still had about a million left. Therefore -actually, it was a little more. It was like \$1.2 million or \$1.1 million -- therefore, we were faced with a \$1.8 million shortfall entirely attributed to the charter school. We had to raise taxes in the York City School District. We had been able to maintain them for about five years. We had to raise taxes 11 percent, 2.18 mills just to cover that. Ιf we wouldn't have had that, we would not have had to raise taxes last year.

I'm not going to make a judgment regarding the value of the educational programs of the charter schools. We will leave that to somebody who is more qualified than I. All I'm trying to say is that the current method of calculation puts the

burden on the people that are least able to pay, the ones with the highest special education populations.

We don't pay our two charter schools the way it says in the state law. You are supposed to take your tuition rate, divide it by 12 and give them one, two, three months and give it to them monthly. But what at the end of every year, you've got to go back and recalculate because the kid maybe was there 90 out of the 180 days and they weren't there the whole year. So you have to go back and reconstruct the whole year.

What we do, with the agreement of our charter schools, is we pay them the way we would pay for one of the other 200 students that are out in private placements, using the same forms by a daily basis. Therefore, we don't have to go back through and reconstruct the whole thing. They like that better. We like that better.

real hard look at this. I'll leave this form if somebody wants to make a copy of it later. This is our actual form. We get it from the Department of Education. And correcting it would be easy. Don't use 16 percent. We have more than 16 percent.

We're dividing 1,900 students by 1,200 kids. Let us

pay -- see, we fund them the way we would like you to fund us in special education, by counting the kids and giving a certain amount of money to the student rather than saying a certain percentage.

But right now we have 5 percent of the kids blowing our special ed budget right out of the water. And you have got to understand that this thing feeds on itself, because when we report the next year, these higher costs will be put into our budget and you're dividing by the same number of students so it accelerates. So when you thought --well, when I thought that our cost went up 5 percent per student, but your cost for charter schools is going up more than that because you have taken a larger number and put it into your budget and now that's part of your budget. So it feeds on itself. It accelerates based upon certain numbers. Those things have to be looked at and should be changed.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: How much money did you receive from the federal government on special education?

MR. MITTEN: From the federal government?

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Yes. I say that

1 sarcastically. That was -- when they did special 2 education that Congress passed how many years ago -one of the federal mandates that they never funded, 3 4 was special education. MR. MITTEN: Okay. 5 Those were taken 6 out of the calculations. I can't give you that specifically. It was around \$2 million. It's about 7 8 \$1.5 million. 9 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: How close are you to 10 the charter schools? Are they working? Are 11 children being educated? What's your feeling on it? 12 MR. MITTEN: Well, like I said, my 13 background is educational finance. I destain from 14 the curriculum stuff when I was at Penn State. 1.5 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Okay. 16 I can tell you this, the MR. MITTEN: 17 PSSA test at Lincoln Edison went down in both areas 18 while a number of our schools went up. You can make 19 your own judgments based upon that. 20 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Any member of the 21 committee have a question? Thank you. 22 MR. MITTEN: You're welcome. 23 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Let's take a break. 24 (Break.) 25 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Mr. Todd Kennedy,

President of McClarin Plastics. Mr. Kennedy, you may proceed.

MR. KENNEDY: Thank you very much.

My name is Todd Kennedy. I'm the President of

McClarin Plastics. We are located in Hanover,

Pennsylvania, and we appreciate having you here.

Steve Nikol has asked me to talk to you about some successes and certainly some of the challenges that we face in manufacturing.

I'm really not here to talk about the funding. I would like to talk to you a little bit about what we have been able to do with education. Two or three years ago we were faced with a challenge relative to the amount of people that we have and the lack of people that we have within the manufacturing community. The individuals that we were employing were graduating from high school with a lack of -- what we felt was a lack of sophistication in the area of education relative to the things that we needed in manufacturing.

We decided to take a very direct approach and set up our own curriculum. We would come into the schools, talk to individuals in the schools, work with the teachers, ask for cooperation from the teachers to be able to say, gee, these kids

are or are not getting the type of education that we would like them to have.

The challenge was as a company that grew at a rate of 15 or 20 percent per year to be able to afford an opportunity for these children to work and to put them to work and to try to make a success out of our business. The issues that we face and continue to face are what to do as we go forward. We take a look at the kids that we have today that we hire and the young people that we employ. Basically, do they meet our needs? So I'm asking you today to talk a little bit about helping us reconnect education to the practical side of manufacturing.

We have had some success. We have worked very closely with the York County School of Technology. Dr. Jim Kraft has been very positive in terms of his efforts. He has rebuilt, I think, the reputation of that school. And it's directed towards the children today that are not going to be going on to college, but are going to be looking for jobs within manufacturing. His approach is more or less the academy style.

The problem is Hanover is on this side of the county and York, of course, is quite a ways

away. We are looking at a two or three hour bus trip. And the Hanover community doesn't necessarily feel that the kids that should go to York County School of Technology are able to go. So what happens is, for the most part, the kids don't participate and they are not engaged at the York County School of Technology.

So the real question then comes down to, what does manufacturing do to help educate these kids? Is there a place for them without an education? How do they go about it? Dr. Kraft has talked to me and several others in manufacturing about some solutions. I think his approach is excellent. What he would like to do is he'd like to partner with us, a number of us. And we have offered some solutions.

I feel that at McClarin Plastics, for instance, we have a training facility within our manufacturing plant that's not utilized during the day. We thought that it would be a good idea that maybe the School of Technology would employ classroom studies within our building using our training facility, and possibly bring some of the kids and connecting with maybe the local high schools and bringing some of the kids out of the

high schools into our training facilities under a curriculum that can be controlled, documented and accredited.

We would gradually help with that curriculum and design the solutions so that when they did graduate they can become employable. And if, in fact, they did graduate, a number of the companies in the area could possibly speak up and say, hey, we'll employ you at a premium rate if you have the certification. That certification would help in a lot of ways. So that was an approach that we had discussed and we felt had some potential.

Dr. Michelle Bordner, who is the Superintendent of the Hanover School District, has a different approach, but also a very good approach. And she wants to partner with individual corporations. That partnering would really be picking a customized training approach. So if she had juniors, seniors, sophomores and so forth within the school district that were not going on to college, she would identify who these people were, open them up to several manufacturers in the area or other potential partners, let us interview the kids. We would pick those children. We would start an apprentice program through the state to where we

could work with children that were younger than 18, so that they could actually work in an environment of manufacturing.

The school would help with the curriculum, assign someone to us from the school, and we would employ that person through the school during the day as a shadower or a helper or whatever for two to three hours a day and, in fact, begin to round out their education. They would get their academics within the school that they are in. And they would get their technical side within the manufacturing side or it could be at the hospital or the bank or a retail location or whatever. I think her approach is also very good.

So you have York County School of Technology and you have the Hanover School District and you have South West. South West has advisory groups. They have a business counsel which we are active in. They have done a very good job on an advisory committee group. All of these things are tied together.

I'm not here to talk about funding, but I'm here to actually talk about how you employ these kids and what you do with them when they do get this education, and is the education comparable

to what they could do on their own after they get out of school, or does it give them an advantage or does it not; and is it good for manufacturing; is it good for general business or is it not. I happen to believe it is. Not only is it good, but it's necessary because without the influx of youth, as I said earlier, we won't be in Pennsylvania. We will be in Texas or North Carolina or whenever we can go to find the people to employ that will work to our standards.

Pennsylvania has done a great job with the CJT. And some of the other things that you've done, I commend you for. It's excellent. I really appreciate it. And we use those programs, but we need more. What we need is not more money. I don't need more money. What I need is a better graduate that is going to be able to work within our system and be productive. And we have to have that.

My generation is baby boomers. We are not going to be here in another 15 or 20 years in terms of being able to work in manufacturing. Those of us that own businesses are lucky enough to know that we can go on for awhile. But we have to have a source of fresh energized young people. So it's from the parents' side. It's critical that the

parents are involved. It's from the educators' side and I think it's from the state of Pennsylvania's side to provide these things and then we connect as the potential employer to make it all work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the interesting things that I've read recently from a fellow by the name of Gary Marx, he's an educator, he talks about leadership as being redefined as connecting the people and the organizations who can work together to get the job done. And I really think that when you connect the people, those of you in this room, myself as an entrepreneur and manufacturer, the school districts, and the school boards and parents, we all are producing a product. And that's the children that come out the other side. And we have to make sure that they are capable of being able to step up to the challenges that we see in this world and that they are going to have to see in order to make a living. They have to be employable and they have to earn a living.

Frankly, in manufacturing our average wage in the past about the fourth or fifth year is more in the \$12 or \$13 range. It's certainly not \$5 or \$6. And there's no reason to believe that they can't make \$16 or \$17 an hour. It's not a union

issue here. This is private enterprise and it's paid for performance. And those that are the best suited for the jobs do the best job making that money.

What we want is we want to make sure that in your task of looking at funding that you don't forget -- and I know you won't -- about the product. Because the product really is the education that these people get and what they do with it. With that, I will offer time for you to ask questions.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Well, I don't know if you realize it or not, but you really pinpointed it. That was excellent testimony. House Resolution 42 does not just address the reform of property taxes and how we fund basic education. That's part of it. There's like nine individual points to the resolution.

I guess we got off focus because we had the taxpayers. We spoke with them. But what the resolution is asking is that we, number one, we, as members of the General Assembly, come up with a recommendation to give every child in Pennsylvania a good and adequate education; that if a school district is in -- and you say you are a

manufacturing plant, like, say near the Philadelphia area and you're in a poor district next to a poor school as we have referred to it moneywise and that student isn't getting that type of an education, where in another part of the county, Radnor or wherever, Chester County, is not getting that type of an education. What we want to do is we want to bring everybody up so that every child has that equal opportunity.

Now, we listened to some people, we were in Philadelphia about two weeks ago. And there were some people that I had the pleasure of meeting with prior to going into the hearing. And this is how he diagramed what you are saying here, basically what you're saying. Pennsylvania doesn't rank that high as far as the education product that we are putting out as far as the student is concerned. There's great concerns there.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: He said, I'm telling you this, Mr. Chairman, the iceberg is there. And I didn't see the ship moving away from it. If we don't do something and do it soon -- this is where all this funding comes in, because the school districts need adequate funding. It's not just a

teacher issue saying we are going to give the teachers more money or we're going to be doing this. It's the child. That's the first priority that we have with this resolution.

I realize people get frustrated
because, you know, those local taxes go up. And
that's what this real estate tax is doing. It's
splitting things wide open. It's putting resident
against teacher, resident against school board
member. It's going all over because the people are
just being hammered with this.

But what you're saying as a businessman, an entrepreneur, you are right on target. You are right on target. It's exactly what this resolution does. The children come first. Then it comes to say, once we take them first, how are we going to fund basic education. Is it working in Pennsylvania, and it isn't.

That's where we are coming from.

MR. KENNEDY: Mr. Chairman, what we tried to do privately was we looked back and said why is it that we can't retain young people when they come to work for us. That was the original focus. They come to work for us, but we can't keep them. They are gone within six months. We found

that, first of all, they don't like regimentation.

They don't like to be on time. They don't like to work. They haven't learned how to work. They don't know what productivity means. They don't know what zero defects are about. They don't understand how you make a profit. They really don't understand what the every day world is about. They have not learned that.

Now, that's not the school district's fault. That's the parents' fault. So there is some of this that we have to put back on the parents.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Yes.

MR. KENNEDY: So we have a cultural socioeconomic issue that none of us can fix.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Right.

MR. KENNEDY: My theory has been, and I think it's working, I hired an educator, a man that worked 32 years as a guidance counselor, and put him in my company five years ago. He calls on eighth, graders, ninth graders, tenth graders, eleventh graders, twelfth graders, through the schools. He goes to parent/teacher night. He talks about manufacturing. He tells those parents, look, these kids aren't going to college. You know they're not going to college. They don't want to go

to college. That's fine. There's nothing wrong with that. But we have to step up here. You've got to get them to become responsible.

We're beginning to see a little bit of an improvement. We're working with the school groups. They're listening. The lack of discipline in the schools, the lack of ability to discipline because of the way the laws are, the teachers are handcuffed so it isn't just getting the product out. There's a lot of other things here. So we're selfish in that we don't want to lose people. We spend a lot of money to train them. We want to keep them.

So I think if Pennsylvania can kind of just foster a concept that says, hey, this is more than just about low-cost education and being effective in certain areas and a general broad approach where you get manufacturers and/or other employers together who are smaller, not the big corporations that have unions that aren't flexible but the non-union, privately-held companies who are flexible and you let them work with you, I really believe together we can do a lot here.

We've got a wonderful state and we've got great heritage and we've got wonderful

resources. We just need to do this. We have to do it for our own preservation and we have to do it for the children.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: You're absolutely right. I couldn't agree with you more. Do any of the members to my right have a question or a comment? Representative Nikol.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I think it's interesting because Mr.

Kennedy is not asking for more money which is generally what we hear -- at least on the Appropriations Committee that's what we always hear -- but greater flexibility. And, actually, he's now employing his dollars and investing them in students we have already graduated from school and offering opportunity to partner with taxpayers of the Commonwealth and school districts to do the training before they graduate in the school system.

I was kind of intrigued with the concept of having a certified training facility, this facility, and possibly other plants in the area. I was curious in your conversations with Dr. Kraft and others, are you looking -- have you explored the concept of a charter school for that kind, or are you looking as kind of a branch campus

of the York County School of Technology?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KENNEDY: Before I talked to Dr. Bordner at the Hanover School, I was convinced that Dr. Kraft and I could work out a program along with a few others where there would be no bricks and mortar, no taxes. They'd use my training room. Two or three other manufacturers in the area would participate. We'd bring kids from all these different districts to our manufacturing facilities and training rooms, and the state would help with some funding of some sort. And it would be more economical for everyone, and the York County School of Technology wouldn't have to build a facility in Hanover. He was all for that.

But as I began to research this -- and I've talked here to the people here at South West and people at the Hanover School group -- I'm beginning to think that maybe the better way would be to develop a partnership customized training for just four or five companies, rather than make it so big and complex that it may get out of hand.

Concentrate on three companies or four companies and tailor graduates just for those companies. This isn't for everybody. This is selfish on my part, I guess, but it's for the few of us who are willing to

participate. And then model our success after that and begin to do that statewide. It can be a bank. It can be a hospital. It could be two manufacturing companies and a retail. All different, all different kids, but none of them are going to college. We're trying to model their education in the last three years into the areas they have interest in, not in areas that they don't have interest in.

And I think that's the problem with education. You get these broad-brush kids that really don't know how to use what they have. They can't even read a tape measure. Truly, we get kids that cannot read a ruler. They can't. They can do decimal equivalents off a chart and they can run the calculations because they've been taught that, but they can't read a ruler. They don't know what calibration is. They don't know what weights and measures are about. We deal in very technical terms in the plastic industry. They don't understand that. And if they don't understand, how can they run our equipment. So that's the issue.

I kind of like a partnering, a customized partnering approach rather than a broad general education approach. If we can customize to

us and they can work successfully and we can move them along in a program, when they graduate I'll give them a 10 or 15 percent premium over anybody that comes in without that extra education. If we start at 8 off the board off the street, I'll start them at 9 bucks or whatever just because they have been through our little school and the success rate is good.

I also know that I can retain them and keep them. If I had that education when they come in, I can keep them. If we don't, they get very frustrated. They're not used to being told, look, this doesn't work. You can't do this. Have you read the job packets? Do you understand the dimensions on the drawing? They get frustrated. They quit. And we lose them.

I want to retain them. I don't think
I can retain them by babying them. They have to be
productive, so, you know, that's the challenge.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: You have facilities, I believe, in three separate school districts all centered here in Hanover.

MR. KENNEDY: Here in Hanover.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Are you talking about agreements with each of the school districts

individually or through the York County School of Technology?

MR. KENNEDY: I would like to try and tie it together to where maybe there is an agreement between all of us that we're trying to do this. I'm naive about how that would work. I think that the York School of Technology has to be a partner in this because that is the school of technology. I know South West and Spring Grove and Hanover and Oxford would all participate, I'm sure, because they want to see a successful result in this issue as well.

I don't know how to do it mechanically from a fundamental point of view. But I do know that it can be done. I would hope that you'd think about it and make some recommendations. I would be willing to be involved and I know others in the community would and we could come up with some answers.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I might just add a point for the chairman and the committee. In this area we are blessed with a very substantial manufacturing workforce. Hanover -- which is relatively small compared to York -- is the eighth largest center of manufacturing employment in the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. York is much larger.

1.5

What we are hearing from Mr. Kennedy and other manufacturers is the very same song that they are unable to meet the needs. They have a bubble, a workforce bubble, that essentially is in their 50s and ready to retire. And they can't find the skilled craftsmen, people with the proper training, to replace those workers. And what we're hearing is that many of these industries are now looking to perhaps go elsewhere where they can find trained workers. Meanwhile, we're putting so much money into the education system but not producing a product that they can use without adding value to it and doing their own training.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: I'm not sure how the situation in Hanover compares to York with having trouble finding the trained workers, but we've recognized for a long time the travel difficulties. And what's really strange about it is it's part of our success with developing this county or failure with developing this county, depending how you look at it. It was actually easier to get to the York County School of Technology, the old Vo-Tech school, in 1969 and '70 when it opened than

it is today because of the amount of traffic congestion on the highways.

So we know that it's very difficult for a student from South Western, Hanover, Spring Grove and Oxford, anywhere in this area, to say they want to go to the Vo-Tech school or the York School of Technology because they have to get on the bus so early.

I applaud what you are trying to do with this because it really makes sense. However, we can work it out whether it's with the School of Technology or agreements between you and the school districts, but when business and industry get involved like that it's going to help these students.

MR. KENNEDY: We're small. We only have 125 or 130 total employees. I'd say over the next 10 to 15 years that will double. We are growing at 15 percent per year and have steadily since I bought the company in 1983. Then we had 25 people. I haven't tried to grow it. I've tried to build it for personal reasons and other reasons.

In order to continue to do that and serve the customers we work for -- we work for companies all over the world. I mean, we work for

French Canadians. We work for people in Brazil. We do business in Saudi Arabia. We do business throughout the United States. We work for good companies. These people expect us to be here and to perform. If we can't do it, if we can't measure up, 6 they'll find someone who can.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I'm very proud to be in Pennsylvania. I think it's wonderful, but I have to be able to get workers. And I'm not talking about a low-budget worker. I don't mean bringing people in at minimum wage. I want to pay people well, but they have to perform and be productive. And if they do that, I can afford to pay them.

I just REPRESENTATIVE MACKERETH: wanted to mention that I think it was this week at the Education Committee meeting, we passed a bill out that would create a department for School-To-Work partnerships, exactly what you are talking about. And it would be created at the Department of Education in order to assist businesses in communities to do just what you are talking about. I just wanted to throw that out there to you. That may be of some help to you.

MR. KENNEDY: I understood yesterday from talking to Bordner that the age 18 requirement, which I have been concerned, and the younger kids being in the factory if we work on an apprentice program and it's approved -- and that may be what you're speaking of -- through the state of Pennsylvania would authorize us to have younger children in our factories working not necessarily hands-on, but training in work groups, learning within that group, being productive, being involved with the group.

If that's, in fact, true, then all of a sudden that opens up a lot of opportunities for us because the problem in the past has been that they had to be over 18, and by the time they're over 18 they're out of high school and I've lost my edge. At that point then we can't do much.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKERETH: Do you remember the county that talked with us at the meeting? We can find out for you. There's a county that's doing an awful lot of that, and it sounds exactly like what you're talking about. You may want to even touch base with them.

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: It was actually out of the Pittsburgh area, but I'm not quite sure.

MR. KENNEDY: I know I'm not inventing

1 anything here. I'm just frustrated. 2 REPRESENTATIVE MACKERETH: No, but 3 they may be able to help you to go through the process of how they put it all together and how they 4 5 made it work. I'll find that out for you. 6 MR. KENNEDY: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Representative Pallone. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. My question is kind of two-prong. 11 Does your training facility in-house have the 12 audio/video teleconferencing capabilities, and have 13 you considered utilizing that type of technology 14 with the York County School of Technology and/or the 15 participating school districts to try to alleviate 16 this travel or distance problem that you have here 17 because of the geographics? 18 MR. KENNEDY: That's a great thought. 19 I think that would be the natural next step. 20 the answer is, no, I don't have it but I could have 21 it. If we can get this done, if we can implement 22 this concept, that's an obvious -- a very good way 23 that some of these travel problems can be 24 alleviated.

REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:

The reason I

25

```
1
     ask is what I have done with Harrisburg and my local
 2
     district back in western Pennsylvania outside of
     Pittsburgh is I'm able to connect Harrisburg to --
 3
 4
     two of the local school districts that I have have
 5
     teleconferencing capabilities. And, once a month, I
 6
     have a teleconference from Harrisburg back to the
 7
     home district. We pick particular issues that we
 8
     talk about. It's been very positively received in
 9
                It's just incredible how I can be 220
     the area.
     miles away and have an interactive live conversation
10
11
     with a room full of people. The technology is
12
     really neat.
13
                   MR. KENNEDY:
                                  That's great.
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: It just seems
15
     like it would be a perfect fit.
16
                   MR. KENNEDY:
                                  Yes.
17
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
                                            Given the
18
     geographics of this area, it might solve your
19
     problem.
20
                   MR. KENNEDY:
                                  Yes.
21
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Back in my
22
     home district, our vocational schools are very
23
     locally oriented in terms of proximity.
                                               It's maybe
24
     a 15 or 20 minute bus ride, so it's much easier for
25
     us to utilize our vocational schools and our career
```

```
1
     technology schools as they refer to them out there.
 2
     I can see that that might help you in this area.
 3
     And it's fairly inexpensive technology.
 4
                                  It would be appropriate
                   MR. KENNEDY:
 5
              A lot of our customers have the same
     for us.
 6
     capability and we could connect that as well.
 7
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Any other member
 8
     have any comments or questions? Thank you so much.
 9
     That was very good.
10
                   Now we have Mr. Steven Gross from the
11
     Farm Bureau.
12
                   MR. GROSS:
                                Thank you.
13
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: You may proceed.
14
                   MR. GROSS: Thank you, Chairman.
                                                      My
15
     name is Steven Gross. I'm a livestock and grain
16
     farmer from East Manchester Township, where I am
17
     also a taxpayer in the Northeastern School District.
18
     I served on Northeastern's informal Act 50 study
19
     commission.
                  I'm also currently a supervisor for
20
     East Manchester Township. My wife is currently a
21
     school board member and immediate past president of
22
     the Northeastern School Board.
23
                   My family owns approximately 500 acres
24
     and we raise mostly corn, wheat and soybeans.
25
     appreciate the opportunity to be here today to
```

address this distinguished committee on the topics of public school financing and the inequity of the current method of funding public schools for the agricultural community of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

My comments and testimony will be based upon the general consensus and public policy agreed upon by the 28,000 member families of the Pennsylvania Farm Bureau which is decided yearly at our November meeting. I will also use my experience as a taxpayer of the Northeastern School District, a member of the informal Act 50 tax study commission, a township supervisor and also my involvement with the school board.

Local property taxes paid to fund our public school systems are the largest tax bill faced by most Pennsylvanians, accounting for 57 percent of all the local taxes in 1995. According to Pennsylvania State University, 87 percent of all school tax revenue statewide comes from the real estate property tax. In my school district, Northeastern School District, of the total taxes collected, \$13,712,021, the real estate property tax constituted \$11,222,664 of the total amount.

Agriculture only amounted to about 10 percent of the

tax base in Northeastern.

The financial size of a school tax

revenue increased exponentially during the 1990s.

As you can see here, it rose 103 percent between '85

and '95, while inflation and the average

non-farmer's wages during that same period rose only

44 percent and 51 percent respectively. The average

farmer with sales in Pennsylvania during that time

-- you can see the figures -- declined.

Most farmers faced with low commodity prices and high input costs throughout the '80s and '90s have experienced serious concern with the rate of increase in school tax levies, seriously jeopardizing their ability to have a viable farm business and to hold onto the one key input factor necessary for the success of their farm business, land.

I believe that almost every farmer wants to continue farming their farm. However, as the farmer gets older or he or she doesn't have children who want to continue farming, they have cut back on production. The property tax, which currently is the tool for funding education, does not recognize this fact and will continue to tax the farmer the same amount it did when he was earning

much more income from the farm. This inequity reaches deep into the Pennsylvania farmland preservation efforts.

It is well known that a fair tax is based on the taxpayer's ability to pay. A fair tax is one that affects people with the same income equally. Farmers pay a much larger share of their income on property taxes than do non-farmers, implying that the tax places an unfair burden on them. According to Penn State University, farmers of all income levels generally pay about 10 percent of their household income on property taxes, which is much higher than the non-farm households who pay a smaller share of their income.

Pennsylvania's farm community has put a lot of effort and attention into public school funding throughout the last decade or two. Farmers have argued that the current method of funding public schools places an undue burden on them because the amount of property tax owed, which is the primary method of funding public schools, is based on the market value of property owned, which cannot be realized, and not on income.

A recent Act 50 survey prepared by the Pennsylvania Farm Bureau and administered to

Pennsylvania's 501 school districts found that of the 261 responding school districts, only 34 or 13 percent had appointed a tax study commission. And 37 of the responding districts had appointed ad hoc advisory committees. The vast majority of responding school districts, 122 or 47 percent, relied on their school boards to study Act 50. There were 91 school districts that reported taking no action in regards to Act 50.

One such aspect the Commonwealth should consider to more equitably fund our public schools is to raise the state reimbursement factor to reach a minimum of 50 percent. At one time, the state did fund a substantial portion of local school districts' budgets. However, today the percentage is closer to 33 percent, and because of that, schools are having a difficult time funding basic education subsidies, vocational education, special education and retirement and social security costs.

The farm community of Pennsylvania would recommend to your committee that the burden of local school taxes be shifted away from real estate tax to a combination of income tax, sales or consumption tax and real estate tax at the option of the governing body, with unearned income being

exempt. Also, all nuisance taxes levied under Act 511 should be eliminated, across the board.

We feel that a combination of the previously mentioned taxes would be a much more fairer and equitable way to generate needed revenue for our public school systems. With the combination of a greater role of the Commonwealth in funding a larger percentage of school budgets, along with the shift away from property taxes as the current major mechanism, you would be providing farmers and landowners who want to pay their fair share the ability to do so.

The farm community would recommend that the formula developed to reallocate state monies to school districts recognize the differences that exist currently between rural and urban schools. Many rural schools do not have the tax base to sufficiently run the operations of the school on a day-to-day basis. This must be recognized when state formulas are developed to allocate precious monies to those school districts who really need it.

We would also recommend that a new state formula be developed that would incorporate all major expenditures for public education,

including the basic education subsidy, vocational education, special education and retirement and social security costs.

Many of our vocational agriculture programs are suffering from within the Commonwealth from a lack of sufficient funds to operate the program. We must recognize how important these programs are and fund them appropriately.

On behalf of the farmers of this great Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I would thank you for the opportunity to testify here today. I will try to answer any questions you have.

Gross. We were out in the southwestern part of Pennsylvania, Greene County, and listened to some of the farmers out there. They testified that because of the real estate tax that's levied, they had to -- and these are people that I would say are middle 40s -- sell the farm off, if they could sell the farm off, because it was quite depressed out there and the economics were very down.

In this area, people just said I've had enough. I can't afford to pay the real estate tax that's levied on the land and they're walking away from it. Have you heard?

MR. GROSS: Yes. In the Northeastern School District, I think that's a prime example.

Now, 13 years ago when I got out of college until the present, I can site 9 specific examples where farmers, due to a number of circumstances, school taxes being one of them, have gone out of business. And their land has either been, one, developed or, two, owned by non-farming. And school taxes did play a major role in some of those decisions.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: The Farm Bureau that you represent -- I'm sure you discussed this at all your meetings and all -- their ideas, maybe their menu of ideas would be maybe an earned income tax or a PIT tax -- that's basically what the committee is dealing with. And you believe that some of the real estate tax should stay in place?

MR. GROSS: Yes. I don't believe that we can shift dramatically in one swift move. We would still need a small reliance. However, we do need to look at a way to fund education in a different way.

The Northeastern School District is a prime example. And, as being a supervisor there,

I've been part of this process. We have worked very diligently in the last seven to eight years

recruiting new businesses to locate into our area under the guise that we will keep our millage rate low. And we have not kept our millage rate down as we have said we are going to. And, two, we have contributed tremendously to sprawl.

I think that if you're serious about preserving some farm land and helping control some sprawl, that we would look at shifting the burden of public education away from the real estate tax. It not only affects farming, but it does affect some other areas. And sprawl is a big concern in our area as well.

To follow that up, I would just like to say I am a firm believer in public education. I attended the York County School of Technology. I do believe that society as a whole benefits from a well-educated population and, therefore, we need to fund education.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Okay. I have no further questions. Representative Nikol.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I'm curious.

When the state created the Clean and Green Program to kind of buffer the impact of high real estate taxes on farms and other operations, to what extent does a Clean and Green lower the tax liability of an

average agricultural enterprise?

MR. GROSS: The Clean and Green process takes your farmland and assesses it in a more agricultural value than it does per se a development value. I believe in York County that pasture ground -- and these figures aren't exact -- is assessed at \$700 an acre, as opposed to industrial development land in our particular area that would be assessed at \$20,000 an acre.

The problem is, however, when we first got Clean and Green and I was involved in a family farm, yes, it did help initially. But the overall rate that we pay in taxes has continued to climb and escalate over time, even with the benefits with Clean and Green. It gets back to the real estate taxes based on assessed value for a piece of property, not what a piece of property generates. Just because my farm has an assessed value of whatever doesn't mean that I generate that much income. The same way that it would apply to someone's house. Just because your house is assessed at \$60,000 doesn't mean it generates any money. That's where I think the inequity comes in.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I guess it could be viewed as also costing a school district or

```
municipality money, because people aren't paying the
 1
     same level of taxes on it. To what degree -- I know
 2
 3
     you're a township supervisor and you are also
     familiar with Northeastern School District -- in
 4
 5
     districts like yours is the tax reduction related to
 6
     Clean and Green? How much of that is really
 7
     directed at agricultural and how much of that is
 8
     really a benefit to people who own non-agricultural
 9
     lands?
            Do you have any idea?
10
                   MR. GROSS: I do not have those
11
     figures to give you. We are in a growing school
12
     district and the amount of agricultural ground is
13
     substantially declining over the years.
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL:
                                           Thank you.
15
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Representative
16
     Pallone, do you have a question?
17
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
                                             No, sir.
18
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you very much.
19
                   MR. GROSS: Thank you.
20
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Amy C. Morton,
21
     Director of Curriculum and Instruction, Gettysburg
22
     Area School District.
23
                   MS. MORTON:
                                 Hello.
24
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Hello.
                                              You may
25
     proceed.
```

MS. MORTON: Thank you for this opportunity to share information with you regarding our district's services to students who need to learn how to speak, read and write English and the attendant costs associated with those services.

Just by way of introduction, I have been working in the Gettysburg Area School District for the past five years as the Director of Curriculum and Instruction. One of my responsibilities includes coordinating our English as a second language or ESL program.

Beginning in 1997, our district was randomly selected to be monitored by the Office of Civil Rights regarding our ESL program. In 1997, we had over 3,600 students in kindergarten through grade 12, 66 of whom were identified as in need of ESL services. In 2001-2002, four years later, our overall enrollment has declined by about 100 students, but our ESL population is two and a half times the size it was only four years ago.

We currently serve over 160 students as English language learners or English as a second language student. Typically, these ESL students fall into one of two categories. They are called either NEPs or LEPs. And NEPs stands for

non-English proficient. NEPs have none to very limited English language skills. Typically, and in accordance with Office of Civil Rights guidelines, non-English proficient students spend at least 90 minutes of their day in an ESL class learning English.

LEP stands for limited English proficient. LEPs very often have English-speaking skills. In fact, we've had kindergartners who translate for their parents when they come in to registration. They don't have a clue about the written language. So their instruction is usually focused on reading and writing in English so they can function in their regular classes with these English-speaking peers. Our program is an English-only program. Our teachers speak and write in English throughout instruction.

During the past five years, the growth in our non-English proficient population, particularly at the secondary level, has increased at a significantly higher rate than the growth in our limited English proficient population. NEP students obviously require more time to learn the English language.

As a result, while our overall ESL

population has grown by 150 percent, the amount of instructional time dedicated to ESL has risen at an even greater rate because of the additional time required to teach non-English proficient students. At the same time, the cost of instruction to these students has more than doubled as well.

We contract with the Lincoln

Intermediate Unit for ESL teachers to allow the greatest flexibility in meeting students' needs.

While we could possibly save some money by hiring our own teachers, we would lose significantly in regard to the expertise and ongoing training provided by the Lincoln Intermediate Unit.

Our LIU bill for the first 60 days of ESL services in 1997-98 was just under \$30,000. This year, our first 50 days of services cost the district over \$75,000. In addition, during the first trimester of the school year, we spent over \$2,000 on translations and interpretations in order to comply with the requirement -- and this is an Office of Civil Rights requirement -- that we communicate with the student's home in the parents' native language. Of course, all of these expenses are in addition to the costs of educating these students in their non-ESL classes which comprises

most of their school day.

We do not see any end in sight in terms of the increases in the ESL student population, the escalating costs associated with the program and the regulatory requirements to meet the needs of the ESL population. The amount of time I personally spend simply complying with requirements for reports, individual student data to the extent, for instance, that the Office of Civil Rights requires that we send our students' report cards to their office, has dramatically increased as well.

At a recent mandatory meeting hosted by the Pennsylvania Department of Education, it was made abundantly clear that school districts will be required to demonstrate the policies and procedures are in place to meet the requirements set forth not only by the federal government, but also by the Commonwealth's Chapter 4 regulations in order to meet the needs of our second-language learners. Fortunately, for Gettysburg, we have an excellent program in place already, a fact documented by the Office of Civil Rights at the conclusion of their random audit.

However, the increasing costs associated with service and compliance are borne

entirely by the local property owner. This year we will spend about \$300,000 to teach our ESL students how to read, write and speak English, over and above the costs of educating our general population. This figure does not take into account the administrative and clerical time spent on compliance issues, nor does it recognize the amount of time that our regular classroom teachers spend working to make daily instruction in math, science, social studies, health, etc., meaningful and productive for non-native speakers, because there are certain strategies and techniques that teachers should use when they are dealing with students who don't speak English who are in their classes.

Annually, we conduct training sessions for our new and experienced teachers so that they can be effective in working with students who are culturally and linguistically diverse. We maintain small libraries of parent education materials in multiple languages. We hire translators to convert into Spanish our field trip permission slips, weekly newsletters, emergency forms and any other vital parent communication. Interpreters are hired during parent conferences and when the nurse needs to communicate a health-related problem to the home.

Annually, we conduct a special back-to-school night for parents of ESL students so that they can become familiar with their child's school and some of the cultural norms, so that students are more likely to succeed in achieving local and state standards.

We are definitely committed to serving the needs of all of our students. However, the issue at hand is one of ever-increasing costs with little or no relief in sight, except to cut expenses or increase revenues through local taxes.

Recently, there was a bill introduced that would have awarded school districts \$600 per enrolled ESL student. While this would certainly be welcomed, it should be noted that \$600 per student represents only a fraction of the additional cost of educating the ESL student according to stringent federal and state regulatory requirements. Given that the Legislature has recognized the need to consider the entire manner in which education is funded, but that this testimony is specific to ESL, please allow me to make the following suggestions to provide some relief to districts that would benefit ESL students:

Professional education. The

Pennsylvania Department of Education has done an excellent job regarding the Governor's Institutes for Educators in response to the requirements set forth in Act 48. Since Pennsylvania is one of the few states, if not the only state, without a special teaching certificate required for ESL, the state should fund an annual weeklong Governor's Institute for ESL. I should mention that we have had one of our teachers attend a special program that was funded through PDE, but it was really for teachers of ESL, not necessarily all teachers who work with kids who don't speak English.

This institute should be made available not only to teachers whose primary responsibility is teaching English to ESL students, but also for regular classroom teachers who have these students in their classrooms daily. There are many strategies and sensibilities all classroom teachers should possess to more effectively serve our second language learners.

The second one is probably the easiest one, state publications. The Pennsylvania

Department of Education occasionally publishes brochures, booklets and kits for parents. For example, two years ago we received brochures to be

sent home explaining the academic standards and performance levels to parents. A kit called family connections was also published with materials specifically designed for parents.

The Office of Civil Rights expected us to have these items translated into the native language of the home if we intended to use them with any of our parents. When I asked a representative at the Department of Education to provide this translation, I was told that was not their responsibility. We can either have one entity provide the translation and pay for it once, or we can have as many as 501 school districts bear the cost 501 times.

Any publications from PDE targeted for the home audience should be translated by PDE, not the individual school districts. Of course, these translations should occur in several dominant languages among our ESL populations. The statistics on student population by individual school building and the students' native languages are already available to PDE via the forms that we are required to complete annually.

Third is interpreter services.

Likewise, the Department of Education could provide

at regional locations through our intermediate units, access to interpreters for the major second languages in each region. In Adams County, over 90 percent of our ESL students come from Spanish-speaking homes. If the IU could offer a service funded by the state to provide interpreters at parent conferences, and for phone calls to the home regarding health, discipline, homework and other issues, and IEP meetings, individual education plan, districts could save some money and improve communication with the home.

Currently, local districts hire translators and interpreters for \$20 to \$30 per hour or use a service from AT&T which currently runs about \$50 per call home depending on the length of the call. There are some Internet providers that have a service where you can type in information in English and it translates into another language. Unless you have somebody who actually knows that language, I wouldn't trust sending it home.

Additional funding. Although I'm not particularly familiar with the formulas used to determine basic subsidies to school districts, it would seem appropriate to provide some adjustment, increase, in funding to school districts based on

```
the number of students identified for ESL services.

This information is already collected annually by the state. There is no question that serving ESL students in accordance with regulations is in the best interest of the students.

However, this commitment requires a
```

substantial investment of local tax dollars. In our case, nearly three-fourths of a mill is dedicated exclusively to serving the needs of our ESL population. And that's just for their ESL services. An adjustment for this increased expense could be similar to the adjustments provided based on special education populations.

Thank you for your time and attention during my testimony. I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Well, if you ever need another job, I'll take you down to Upper Darby. We have 42 different languages --

MS. MORTON: Wow.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: -- in an elementary school which borders up to west Philadelphia in the Philadelphia area.

Let me ask you a question. The PSSA test, what happens there?

1 MS. MORTON: The PSSA are to be a test 2 of a student's ability in English. 3 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Right. 4 MS. MORTON: So the current regulation 5 that we operate with is a student who has not been 6 in our district for more than a year does not have 7 to participate in the PSSA. 8 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: And you get around 9 that? 10 MS. MORTON: And it's in your district 11 which is helpful because a lot of these populations 12 are pretty mobile. As long as they haven't been in 13 your district for a year, they don't have to be 14 However, with the No Child Left Behind Act, 15 that's going to change to any student who has been 16 in U.S. schooling for three years or more must be 17 tested. And the other thing is you are allowed to 18 read the directions to the child in their native 19 language. Of course, we don't have people that read 20 all the languages. 21 Representative Nikol CHAIRMAN CIVERA: 22 has a bill -- the bill he's introduced -- Steve, 23 where is that? Is that still in Education? 24 REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: No. It came 25 out of Education.

problem as well as -- I think we should look at that. We should make that part of our report with this committee. So basically I know what you are saying. I've been through it with my school district. It's a major, major financial burden on the district, and there's no relief from the state or Department of Education. It's a shame. It really is. We are in a different type of time to deal with this issue. And it needs to be addressed. There's no question in my mind.

MS. MORTON: It's my understanding also that the Office of Civil Rights has been leaning pretty heavily on the Pennsylvania Department of Education which, in turn, has been sharing that information with all the districts at meetings that every district has been required to attend. And so it's not going to go away. There's districts that are really scurrying right now who have no ESL students but need to still have policies and procedures in place for when they do come.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Ron, there was a woman that came before us, she got her degree in this?

MR. HENRY: There was a woman, Donna

Sanderson, who did her thesis on this mobility as it affected PSSA scores and threw on top of that a question about how limited English proficiency is always widening the gap between children. I know that the Pennsylvania School Boards' Association has a project aimed at this as well.

MS. MORTON: Good.

MR. HENRY: So there are some things going on.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Steve, do you have any comments?

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: If you look at the census bureau's most recent report, I think Pennsylvania is the second state in terms of number of residents of our state who were born here. So I think we rested on your laurels, so to speak, in being pretty much of a no-growth state and not had to deal with the problems of the increasing number of people coming to our school systems where English is a second language.

But I think what we are starting to see here in this area is we are seeing -- originally, it was just the migrants coming through to pick fruit. Now, because of the employment situation and because many of those migrants are

extremely good workers, they are being pulled out of the migrant stream and are now working in our factories.

So throughout this area, I believe you indicated about 5 percent or more of your students now were English as a second language. Upper Adams, which I represent adjacent to Gettysburg School District, is more like 10 percent of the student population that falls into this category with no special recognition from the state in terms of funding, which is why I put the legislation in.

I have a couple questions.

MS. MORTON: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Representative Civera said that they speak 42 languages in Upper Darby. I'm just curious within your population of somewhere around 165, if my calculations are correct, how many languages does Gettysburg have to deal with?

MS. MORTON: I would say you could cover about 99.9 percent with about five different languages. Most of our folks are coming now from Mexico. What happens is if you provide good services, families tell their families back in the native country. And that's how the population

grows. The same thing happens with special education when you do a good job with it.

Mostly, it's Vietnamese. We have many

Indian dialects. We have not only Spanish, but once in a while we will get some Croatians, some

Serbians. Parent night on that night is really fun if the Croatian and Serbian parents come at the same time. It's been interesting in turn. Churches that sponsor families, usually there's a stronger support group for some of those others. Russian also. I went over to Carlisle where we had the Army War College, same situation you probably have in Upper Darby.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Now, when you said Indian languages, are you referring to the East Indian or American Indian?

MS. MORTON: No, no, from India.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: What is your balance of these students of the traditional migrant students who actually comes through temporarily? Do you have many of those anymore?

MS. MORTON: We have very few migrant students. I think -- and we get a reimbursement for migrant students, but those migrant students are really often native English speakers.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I think your reimbursement is pretty generous. What is it, a dollar a day?

MS. MORTON: I think it's a dollar a day up to a certain amount. It doesn't amount to a whole lot.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Extremely generous reimbursement from the Commonwealth.

MS. MORTON: Probably one of the toughest things -- and we very much wanted to serve these students the best we can. And we know that in order to do that we have to have good communication with the home, because we have so many cultural pieces to overcome in terms of the school and home relationships with students who come from different countries with different cultural understandings about the world of school. So I guess what gets under my skin the most is when we get publications from PDE that are intended for the home, but every school district is independently translating those as a requirement of the Office of Civil Rights.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: With the category of migrant, I understand there are certain federal benefits that follow someone who obtained the classification of migrant and it actually

promotes families to move within a county.

MS. MORTON: Well, it promotes them to do a couple things. They may move within the county. But the other thing that happens quite frequently is in order for them to maintain their migrant status, they will leave in December and go back to their native country and then return sometime in late January or early February.

And what that promotes is the fact that the kids, especially in a situation like our high school where a semester is when you have an entire course, because of block scheduling they fail the course because they haven't been there for the final. They've missed the last four weeks of school. And we try to explain to the parents, if you need to do that to maintain your migrant status, then fine, but leave your kids here because it's only hurting them. All the effort we are putting into making sure that they are educated is sometimes undone by a need to manipulate the system in order to maintain the status that gives them extra benefits.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: You had asked -- the \$600 per student in my legislation was pulled out of the air, because I knew if I put the number

down someone would start shooting at it and I would come up with a more accurate number. I've had other people suggest \$1,000 is probably more appropriate. And that seems to be more appropriate from at least your cost with the LIU in providing services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The \$600 calculation MS. MORTON: would at least help us with \$96,000 worth of support for those services, but it's obviously not going to meet the additional cost that we incur.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: What would the cost differential be between an NEP and an LEP?

MS. MORTON: Well, an NEP typically requires about twice as much time learning English. An LEP student is going to be -- usually what happens is their English LEP class or ESL class is replacing their English class, and then there's usually some time that is set aside in our schedule for some resource time so that the teacher can help them with assignments that they get. Typically, an NEP is going to cost us about twice as much as a LEP.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: And one final question. You said this is costing local taxpayers equivalent or nearly three-quarters of a mill.

25 Since millage rates and assessments differ, what's

```
1
     your millage?
2
                   MS. MORTON: Our millage is $483,000.
 3
     That's the latest estimate.
                   REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Okay.
 4
                                                  So it
     would be three-quarters of that would be about your
 5
 6
     cost?
 7
                   MS. MORTON:
                                 About.
 8
                   REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: Thank you.
 9
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Representative
10
     Miller.
11
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
                                            Just a comment
12
     if I may. I found it terrible that PDE says that
13
     every school district should translate their own.
14
     They will get a letter from me within the next week
15
     saying, what's wrong with you.
16
                   MS. MORTON: It's actually the Office
17
     of Civil Rights that has that requirement.
18
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: Oh, it's the
19
     Office of Civil Rights.
20
                                 The Office of Civil
                   MS. MORTON:
21
     Rights. But the Office of Civil Rights is now
22
     leaning on the Department, and the Department
23
     assured us they would begin to at least look at
24
     addressing some of those concerns.
25
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
                                            Well, they
```

```
will get a reinforcement letter saying they should.
1
2
                                Well, the problem that
                   MS. MORTON:
3
     you have just to put it in some sort of perspective
     is that as soon as you translate it into the one
4
5
     language, the obligation is there to translate it
     into every language.
6
7
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
                                            Right.
8
                   MS. MORTON: And to cover every
9
     language, as you know, in Upper Darby is not the
10
     easiest thing in the world. So when you just do
11
     Spanish, you open yourself up for some liability for
12
     not having done some of the other languages.
13
     it's kind of like putting video cameras in your
14
     school. Once you put them there, there's an
15
     expectation that there's greater security.
                                                  If you
16
     leave them out, you don't create that expectation.
17
     So as soon as you translate one, you almost have to
1.8
     translate them all.
19
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
                                            That's all I
20
     have.
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you very much.
2.2
                   MS. MORTON:
                                 Thank you.
23
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Dr. Susan Weeks,
24
     Superintendent of the Eastern York School District;
25
     and Dr. William Thompson, Superintendent of the
```

Dallastown Area School District.

MR. THOMPSON: Dr. Weeks isn't here.

3 She's a tall good-looking blonde. If she walks in,

4 I'll have her come over.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: I want to thank you for this opportunity. Before I talk about what I came to talk about, I would just like to make a few comments about some of the things I heard today.

10 | It's been very interesting.

I would like to thank this committee for taking on this challenge. I think that it's needed. I will tell you this, based on personally knowing all of our local legislators, there is not a person there that I don't respect, that I don't honor, that I don't think has the interest of kids in his heart. The problem we have is that there is such a disparity across the state in what people say they want and need. And there has to be a way to focus on what kids need.

Relative to my school district, Ron was a board member there for over ten years. It's a fairly wealthy school district. We do a good job. We typically either have the first or second in the county in our SAT scores. We send 75 to 80 percent

of our kids to higher education.

Now, 14 years ago we started focusing on School-To-Work and the School-To-Work initiative to the extent that we have shadowing programs. We have a School-To-Work coordinator. We have tech world in our education program. Our curriculum is career pathway program that we are now starting down in the elementary school. We have three entry levels for kids to prepare them when they leave our school to go out and work. We will focus on them going to technical school.

We will be one of the first school districts in the eastern part of the United States to offer Microsoft engineering certification to our students. We also operate the ES program, the York Employability Skill program. We are the first district in the county to have that as part of our curriculum. That's required by some other companies. It's on certain skills they have to have to apply there.

I guess what I'm trying to say is that in our present culture, in our present world, you either are going to pay now or you're going to pay later. You are either going to educate the students so that when they leave school they can get a viable

job or you're going to pay for them on welfare.

There aren't the jobs that don't require skills

anymore. I don't care if it's in plastics or from

any other manufacturer.

1.5

I'm from western Pennsylvania. My twin brother and I were the first two to graduate from high school in the area where I grew up. He also went on to get his doctorate in psychology. He's still out there in plumbing. But in my era of growing up -- and, again, I'm old -- if you went to school beyond 16 years of age, you were considered being lazy. You were to go work in the steel mill. I did work in the steel mill when I got out of the Army for nine months when I was deciding what I wanted to do with my life.

I can tell you those jobs aren't there anymore. In 1955, over 80 percent of the jobs in this country dealt with the manufacture of transportation goods. And you did not have to have -- like which I worked in the steel mill you started out -- you can get a good job and make a good living. Those jobs don't exist anymore. So we have to prepare our students for a different world. And we have to look at not just sending them to college.

I just wanted to make those comments.

I didn't come here to talk about that today. Some of the things that were said, we can't develop and run school districts for the 1950s anymore. We have to start looking for this new century and what the needs are going to be for this new century. And it's more than just memorizing stuff and taking a test. It has to be a transfer of knowledge and application of knowledge in real-world situations. That's been the focus in our school district, again, at least for the last 14 years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I did come to talk about can be focused on two concepts. I'm afraid to many of you this is going to sound real redundant. I'm speaking from my perceptions, having been in this profession for 33 years. The first is, I think, equal. There should be an equal partnership between local school districts and state government in the funding of public education. And I define equal as 50/50. Ι also think that special education and its accompanying mandates should receive additional state funding. And I will also be here to tell you, I think the federal government should be made to pay their share.

According to an article that was in Education Week on November 26, 2001, titled Forces

Target Pennsylvania School Aid Changes, it stated that in the past 30 years, the state's share of public school funding dropped from 54 percent to the current 35.3 percent. In our school district in just the last 22 years -- and I say 22 years because that's how long I've been there -- the funding has dropped from 44 percent to the current level of 22.2 percent. This has resulted in just the past six years in local property taxes across the state rising by \$1.7 billion or nearly 32 percent.

In my opinion, this does not reflect an equal partnership in education. This present year is a good example. With increased costs associated with the retirement system, some school districts looking at one half of one percent of the realty transfer tax to local municipalities hit by the recession, with increased costs associated with teacher contracts, cost-of-living increases -- and I'm sure every other municipality and organization in the world is getting into this next one -- a significant increase in liability insurance, a double-digit percentage increase in medical insurance, and increased costs for fuel and student transportation to name just a few, what is the funding support from the state? An increase of 1

percent. For our school district, that ends up being \$60,000. It's less than \$10 per student.

month, the average next year for the state will drop between 33 to 34 percent. For York County, it will drop to 33 percent. And for our school district, it will drop to 20.75 percent of funding. Realizing that just 22 years ago, we were getting 44 percent funding from the state. I guess the thing that really irritated me -- and I shouldn't personalize this but I do watch the news every night -- I've heard our current Governor brag that there will not be a state tax increase in state taxes which are based on income.

What does this mean to us, to the local school districts? It's another increase in the most unfair tax we have, and that's the local property tax. According to a study that was done by John Augenblick for the Education Commission of the States out of Colorado -- by the way, I have the site on here if you want to go look at this.

Nationally, states contribute an average of 48 percent of school costs. This study reports that there are only 13 states out of the 50 that fund less than 40 percent. Do you want to guess where we

are? We're down at the bottom. I'm not proud of that.

In addition, there are 16 states that contribute more than 60 percent to public education. This lack of support for public education in Pennsylvania has not only impacted on the increase in local property taxes for all school districts, but has also resulted in a significant increase in equitable funding for Pennsylvania students. Some people on the committee have already alluded to that.

There's another fact that you may have read before. The Pennsylvania School Reform Network reports that the state's highest spending district in the state in 1995-96 paid \$206,000 more than the state's lowest spending district. Last year the gap grew -- from 1999 to 2000, it grew to \$222,000. That's one of the things that has me concerned.

And, again, I'm not in a district.

We've had some districts talk about the lack of funding hasn't hurt our educational program. In

Dallastown, we have a good tax base. Our citizens

-- although there are some that complain about taxes

-- for the most part have put up with the realty transfer taxes. But I think that, as an educator, I

would be concerned more about it than just a district that has the wealth to keep the quality of education possible. I have seen in some of our neighboring districts that don't have the tax base we have, that has hurt those students. And it's not fair and it's not right.

I have suggestions. The first suggestion is become a partner in 50 percent funding. My suggestion is not just focus on one tax, but get a number of taxes focused on just education funding. For example, increase the personal income tax in the state to provide this equal funding. Whatever you increase it, it has to be allocated for education so when a new Governor comes in it doesn't go another way.

I would also suggest -- although I've heard some of these ideas from the Democratic candidate, and I'm a strong Republican as Mr. Miller knows -- there are other sources. Have a sales tax, tax on cigarettes or alcohol, a lottery with funds dedicated to education, gambling. I think you have to think a little bit outside the box of what we have thought for the last 50 years. I would say also the personal income tax. I was trying to think back to when the last time it was raised. I can't

remember when it was.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: It was in '91. In '91, it went to 3.1. And then when the Republicans took control of the House, it went back to 2.8.

MR. THOMPSON: That's sort of my point. The personal income tax has not kept up with the funding of the cost of education in the state.

And I think it's all been transferred to local property taxes.

Another thing I found in the article from the Education Commission of the States is that -- and this was similar to what Bob Hahn mentioned. It's called a foundation system. Currently, 40 of the 50 states fund education with a foundation system. And I'm going to give you a very simplistic version of it. It's sort of what Bob said. The state comes up with a cost per pupil -- and the example I used was \$6,800 per pupil -- and then the state assumes a larger share in districts who are less able to generate their own revenue for facing special needs. Quite frankly, the state has this already available.

You know the assessed value of every school district in this state. With equalized mills, you know what they can and can't pay. And

then what it would mean is some states wouldn't get 50 percent funding. They would at least get the \$6,800, but they might not get the 50 percent. That would go to the districts less able to pay to get the same equivalent education for their kids.

1.3

1.4

1.8

This other one is a big one. You just heard Amy Morton talk about it, and that's the mandates. If you're going to increase mandates, increase the funding. Because if you don't do that and then you don't fund education to pay for it, it's going to come from the local taxes.

I would like to talk a little bit about special education funding. And I am sitting here -- for a large portion of my career, I was a special ed director. I recognize one of the major problems is not the state, it's the federal government. The mandates that the state has that we have to implement come from the federal government. And I'm also going to sit here as an educator and tell you we will meet the needs of special education students.

In Dallastown, we have two students that cost \$520,000, two students. Prior to 1991, as you all know, all excess costs for special education were paid by the state. All that we paid was the

regular education students. In '91 -- this is my opinion -- the state saw what was happening in special education. In the last ten years of special education, I had 400 percent. In fact, it's 540 percent. That's how much it's gone up.

The federal government by their own legislation is required to fund 40 percent of special education costs. They barely fund 20 percent of this amount and in past years have funded less than 10 percent of the costs. The state saw the increased costs associated with special education on the rise and bailed out of excess funding. This left the local school districts no recourse but to implement the IEPs and, again, raise real estate taxes to pay for them.

If you look at the cost of education for regular students in the past decade, it has only increased about 2 or 3 percent a year. But the cost for special needs students has increased over 400 percent. This has resulted in money being taken from regular education programs that impact the most students to assure that the laws and regulations mandated for special education were met. This loss of support for the majority of students and their education has been magnified in our poorer school

districts.

My suggestion is to increase the state and federal contribution for special education based on actual cost incurred and students served.

In summary, I'm not going to go
through all of this because I know it's getting
late. I think that one of the reasons I went into
public education was because it was a calling to me.
You might want to call it a patriotic calling. I
believe in public education. I believe public
education is the vehicle for Americans to reach the
American dream.

What some people may not realize is we are the only country in the world that educates all students. The European countries have a bottleneck system. They don't educate all students. They would not spend \$540,000 on two students. They wouldn't do that. I think the great thing about the United States of America is that we have never limited our population. I think we are the country we are today because every child in this state, in this country, has had the opportunity to grow and be whatever they can be based on their own motivation and God-given ability. That's made America what it is today.

I feel very strongly that every child in Pennsylvania should have the opportunity for an equal education. I think if a child in Dallastown has the opportunity to get Microsoft certification, why shouldn't a child anyplace else be able to get that. That may not be the best example but it's an example. If we don't do that, we are limiting the future of this country which are our children. And the basic request I have is to take the message back that I think this state needs to become an equal partner in education.

Thank you. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Let me just go over something before I ask you some questions. You are right about special education. You deal with it on a daily basis so why wouldn't you know. The federal government absolutely just pulled away from us. This issue has come up out of the 15 hearings that we have had, and some people believe that they give us the money and we are not bringing it down to the local level. But the fact is that they are just not doing anything.

MR. THOMPSON: They never have.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: What happened in '91

with Governor Casey when they altered ESBE because the rural school districts were filing a court case against the state, the Commonwealth, in the way funding was being done, the funding wasn't according to what the act related. Then the school districts squeezed into -- what they are doing to our understanding when this happened, the administration told us that they were using special ed to get the funding that they were losing from the ESBE formula the way they changed it. Then the school districts the following year went in and were trying to use the ESBE -- no, the special ed. And it got out of hand, so the Governor came back and said, we're cutting this because we were basically in a budget crunch. That was the year that we went into August before we passed a general fund budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, there was only, I think, on the Republican side, which I was one, to push the vote from 2.8 to 3.1. I thought what they should have done was to leave it at 3.1 and redo ESBE and we wouldn't be in such bad shape today, but that's not what happened.

MR. THOMPSON: By the way, I do have a copy of the ECS report. It's titled the Status of School Finance Today, done in July. Do you know

anything about the Education Commission of the 1 2 States? It's an independent organization. It's a good resource base if you want policy. 3 Okav. 4 CHAIRMAN CIVERA: 5 MR. THOMPSON: Then you don't have to 6 go to the web site. I wasn't nice enough to copy 7 all of it. We're saving on paper. CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Let's get into this 8 I know who you're talking about, one of 9 funding. the gubernatorial candidates. He's been on PCN. 10 11 He's saying the way they did it in Philadelphia and, 12 you know, how they balanced their budget and 13 Governor Ridge was going to take his ideas if we 14 didn't have a good economy and all that nonsense, 15 which I really didn't believe was going to happen. 16 The numbers that we saw with gambling, 17 it does generate revenue. I don't think you'll ever 18 see gambling ever passed in Pennsylvania. There is 19 a strong opposition. I've been there for 22 years. 20 Personally, I don't gamble. Would I vote for it? Ι 21 would do anything right now just to resolve this 22 problem. We are in a crisis situation when it comes 23 to education. 24 No question. MR. THOMPSON: 25 I just don't see CHAIRMAN CIVERA:

```
1
     that that's going to be the alternative because of
     the strong opposition within the General Assembly.
2
                   MR. THOMPSON: My comment on that was,
3
     I'm not saying it should be -- it could be one part
 4
 5
             I'm just going to share this with you.
     Some people from a religious stance are opposed to
 6
 7
     gambling. I'm Roman Catholic. We have bingo.
 8
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: You have the
 9
     lottery.
10
                   MR. THOMPSON: I am going to share
11
     this with you. I would like -- I quess it's because
12
     of where we live -- to put a tax on the people going
13
     down to West Virginia, going to Delaware --
14
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: A border
15
     guard.
16
                   MR. THOMPSON: -- to gamble.
                                                  I'm just
17
     asking you to took look at that. I understand that
18
     there is a -- I remember when they were trying to
19
     get river boat gambling in Pittsburgh. Do you
20
     remember that?
21
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: And Philadelphia,
22
     also.
23
                   MR. THOMPSON: All I'm saying is it's
24
     one viable place to look at.
25
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Yes.
```

MR. THOMPSON: You have sales tax, cigarette tax. I think the one thing we can do -see, there's two questions here as I see it. is, we first have to decide are we going to be equal partners and fund education. So that first has to 6 be decided. You decide are we going to fund it, if 7 you want to go with the national average 48 percent. 8 Decide what you want to fund.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then the second thing is decide how you fund it. You first have to come up with what you want to fund and then how you want to fund it. And then, I think, that's a whole different decision-making process. My suggestion would be, don't look at just one.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Suppose that we become an equal partner and suppose that we -- and I just use this as an example because it's clear and it's simple -- raise the PIT tax and now we reduce the real estate tax by at least 50 percent.

MR. THOMPSON: Or freeze it so it doesn't go up.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Well, by 50 and then you have to put a referendum. Now, this will bring us up to the 50 percent level of funding basic education in Pennsylvania. Now, as an educator, the

big question is once we get this package together we have to go back and sell it to the legislators to get their votes. Accountability. These school districts are going to be receiving more and more money. What in your experience as an educator, how would you advise us that we have accountability so the spending doesn't go crazy? We need to know that.

MR. THOMPSON: My two comments on that would be the thing that I think has been unfair is the reliability on the most unfair tax. And that's real estate tax. I think you could come up with a level. You don't really give them a lot more money. You are just funding it differently. You can say that it should only go up this much to educate the kids. What you have to understand is you almost have to look at school districts or different types of school districts.

If you're looking at -- and I'll name names. If you look at York City, they have a different challenge than Dallastown. Their funding -- you can't give Dallastown the same amount as York City. They don't have the same tax base and the challenge for the students. Many of their students are coming from poverty level. Their population in

```
1
     special education is 30 percent. Our population in
2
     special education is 3 percent. So they need more
     money than we do. So when I'm sitting here talking
3
 4
     to you --
 5
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Do you use
     the 16 percent formula and you only have 3 percent
 6
 7
     special ed?
                   MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, 3 to 3.5 percent.
 8
 9
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
10
                   MR. THOMPSON: But still the state
11
     only funds for us about 50 percent. That's beside
12
     the point.
13
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
                                             I'm just
14
     curious because someone testified earlier the
15
     inequity of the formula.
16
                   MR. THOMPSON: See, that's York City,
     30 percent of their population is special education.
17
18
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: And they only
19
     get 16 percent?
20
                   MR. THOMPSON: Absolutely.
21
                                                    I'm
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Okay.
22
     sorry for interrupting.
23
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      That's okay.
24
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
                                             Thank you.
25
                   MR. THOMPSON: The formula, even if
```

you go to a foundation system and if you look at that -- and, again, I'm not an expert on foundation systems, we never had it in Pennsylvania -- you come up with the amount per student. Then you have to equalize it depending on the needs of the district and the students. You can't group it by classification. Suburban districts could all fit into a certain pattern. That's the state's contribution.

1.5

I think the way you limit local taxes is, again, using that equalized millage-type rate. You simply state, this is all you get from the state. You have the ability to pay this. We want to guarantee and even mandate that every student in the state will get at least this much money for their education. Now, you then have control of accountability that has to go to instruction.

Again, I'm not an expert on this. But there are 40 states doing it. And probably each state does it a little bit differently, but there are models out there. I'm a great believer in not reinventing the wheel. I don't think I've had a creative or innovative thought in my life, but I've borrowed one.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: How do we know that

the students are learning and it's working? You

have the PSSA test. We have had a lot of criticism

about that in the hearings across the state. If we

took that away, what would you suggest? How would

you replace that?

MR. THOMPSON: First, let me respond to the PSSA test.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Okay.

MR. THOMPSON: I think the PSSA test

-- it's hard to have any test that has great

predictability. For example, the test that we use a

lot that probably has the most validity in schools

is the Weschler Intelligence. The SAT is only

correct in predicting just based on SAT scores,

college board scores are 32 percent. So you have to

use criteria beyond just testing.

I will say this, the PSSA test now that they are being based on standards that have been approved for reading, math and writing, they have some accountability there because at least it isn't changing every year. If you do a cohort group analysis over a number of years following the same students, you can use that to predict accountability.

You can't compare this year with last

year, because of the difference in students. But if you compare the students when they were in third grade or fifth or eighth grade and follow the same cohort all the way through, you can make some predictions for accountability. Tests do have their place, but it shouldn't be the sole factor.

There are other things you can look

at. You can require school districts -- we do this

-- to follow students for 5 years after high school

to see what they are doing, 10 years after high

school, 15 years. And then you give them a survey

and you evaluate the school system. How did your

education help you? That's the best way. I think

the best accountability for a school district is to

see what the product was when they left you. Did

you prepare them for the next step in their life,

whether it's going into work, whether it's going to

a technical school or a formal college?

As I said, in Dallastown we have always done a good job preparing them for college. A personal example is I have a son that went to West Point. He is not that smart. He just isn't. But his first year at West Point, he ended up with a 3.2 average. And the average for the class was 1.85. Let me tell you why. I keep telling him this. He

thinks he's smart. I said, I want you very clearly to know -- he calls up, he says, send my chemistry book from Dallastown. Send my physics book. Send my calculus book. They use the same books we used in Dallastown. So he had it over all the rest of them because three of his five classes were repeats.

I guess what I'm trying to tell you is, we have done a good job there. But we haven't done as good a job as I would like to see for the other 20 percent of the kids.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Okay.

Representative Nikol.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I'm curious. I want to follow-up on the question of PSSA testing.

I can understand Dallastown is a much more stable school district than -- I live in the middle of a small urban area, Hanover. The elementary school my kids go to has over a 50 percent turnover each year. How do you judge accountability of the teachers that --

MR. THOMPSON: Don't get me started on this. I'll go to York City. They were calling it an empowerment district based on PSSA scores. They were required to test students that were in their district five months. In that five months, they may

```
1
     have only been in school 20 or 30 percent of the
2
            And then you're going to judge a school on
3
     their academic program based on that. Right now
     under the PSSA -- I told you not to get me started.
 4
                                     No.
                                          We need to know
5
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
     this. We need to hear this. Go ahead.
 6
7
                   MR. THOMPSON: All right. Under the
     PSSA, you have a child that doesn't speak English.
8
9
     You have one year. After one year, their scores
10
     count. Now, this isn't one that --
11
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE:
                                            That's
12
     English as a second language child?
13
                   MR. THOMPSON: That's right.
                                                 Now, Ron
14
     has heard this from me. Now, there are -- I think
15
     in the last count there were 38 states that had high
16
     testing like the PSSA. I think it's 38.
                                               It might
17
     be 41 now. I forget. There is only one state, only
18
     one state, out of all the states that have
19
     high-stakes testing that put special education
20
     student results into the norm. Guess which state?
21
                                           That's very
                   REPRESENTATIVE MILLER:
22
     important, I think.
23
                   MR. THOMPSON:
                                  I went to a national
24
     convention last year in Boston. You had to pick
25
             I went on all standards. Everything on
     areas.
```

standards I went to. One of them was on standards based testing. When the person who was leading it who was a nationally renowned person on standards testing, when I told them that all school districts across the country have to test special needs students with some exceptions, and they all do, that's federal law. But there's only one state that includes their results in with the results of the school, that's Pennsylvania.

To give you an example -- and this has ramifications beyond just score results -- we have a little small elementary school. Last year we had to find a room for eight special needs kids. In fifth grade there were 42 kids. All of these kids took the test. They were figured in. We went from the highest score in the district to the average score just with eight students. Remember when you only have 42 students and you have 8 that score low, that's going to pull you down very quickly.

If I can say one thing that I think is really asinine is why are special needs students figured in with the norm for a school district? The result is the intermediate unit, they are having trouble getting school districts to teach certain types of students. They know that when they come in

```
1
     -- and this is printed to the public -- that their
2
     scores are going to go down if they have a learning
3
     support class, a low-functioning class.
4
                   MR. HENRY: It's reported both ways,
5
     in and out?
6
                                         It is reported
                   MR. THOMPSON:
                                  Yes.
7
     out also.
                But it's reported in like our -- when I
     share this with you -- Ron can attest to this -- our
8
9
     PSSA scores make us look pretty good.
10
                   MR. HENRY: Can I ask a question?
11
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA:
                                      Sure.
12
                   MR. HENRY: If I can follow-up on
13
            Representative Civera asked me to visit with
14
     the Upper Darby folks on the issues of mobility and
15
     also special ed. There is also the question of
16
     being able to offer an alternative test for some
17
     special education students, which is on a much more
18
     basic level key to their learning track. And those
19
     numbers as I understand it are then analyzed and
20
     weighted so that they are given a rough equivalency
21
     with what the non-special education student would
22
     do.
23
                   MR. THOMPSON:
                                   No.
                                        I'm not talking
24
     about those students. Those students who are
```

25

severely handicapped --

MR. HENRY: The question I'm trying to get to on that particular point is that the Department of Education, the State Department of Education, said that they thought approximately 1.5 percent of the total student population might be eligible for that sort of alternative testing. My understanding is that the real numbers are substantially lower in most places. Is that your experience as well?

MR. THOMPSON: Absolutely. The kids

-- now, we do have life skill classes. I want to
share this with you. A large part of my life has
dealt with handicapped students. I was on the board
of a group for handicapped adults. I think that we
have a responsibility as a nation and as a country
with our Democratic ideals and principles that we
give the highest quality of life for every one of
our citizens. It may cost more, but we have a
responsibility as the kind of country that we are
that we do serve them.

Responding directly to your question,

I think it's important in schools because one of the
things I didn't mention in the handout, public
schools are very important to be a reflection and
representation of our Democracy. We are

multi-cultural, multi-ethnic, multi-religious. And this diversity has made our country strong.

Equally, I think it's important for regular education students to be with handicapped students and know that they are okay. And they have strengths over the life skill student. You will never find a more loving student typically. And there are things all of us can learn from that.

To respond to that, life skill students are a very small -- they are the lowest functioning to qualify for alternative education testing. They are a small percentage of the average school district. Now, when you get into a district like York City, a district like that, it may be a different amount. In our district, we have two life skill classes at elementary. Our district has 5,700 students. We have one in the middle school and one in the high school. Very few of those students are from our school district.

Here is the problem with school districts not wanting to take these classes. I think it's important for my regular ed students to be around life skill students. Some of them had to take the PSSA because their functioning wasn't low enough. There may be a student from Red Lion and

when they are coming into my high school total, it's going to pull it down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE MILLER: I think that's an important thing. If you host that group of special needs students, their scores count in your school district where you host them, not their home school district. It's totally a ridiculous setup.

MR. THOMPSON: Let's put it this way. What's the purpose of the PSSA? The purpose of PSSA especially linked to the standards is so that you can recognize students that need special needs and need special help.

In our school district, there was about -- depending on the school -- up to like 10 percent that were special needs kids. None of them did we provide services for. They were all in IEPs. So why are they included? They're already in on an IEP. If you're doing the testing to find out what kids need, special education students, you should test them to see how they do with the regular curriculum, how they function with the state standards. But why include them in the results of a school? No other state does it, unless you are there to say that we can make the public schools look bad. I'm going to tell you that's the

perception of a lot of us in education.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I think accountability. Also, we had the earlier presentation on the English language learners and that really presents a problem. York City has about 10 percent or more of its students who are English language learners. I feel two ways about it. I'm aware of some school districts where you have a low incidence of English language learners where they get swept under the rug, so to speak. Their needs aren't met. So perhaps actually requiring these students to take tests, all of a sudden the school district starts getting a little bit concerned.

MR. THOMPSON: We have been very successful, but it won't meet the standards. We have so few ESL students. We just got two kids from Romania. Try to find a translator to do Romanian stuff. In Pittsburgh, I can find it. In York County, I can't find it.

I'm just going to share this with you.

Because we may not have them identified that way,

they take the PSSA. I don't have a problem with

that. We hire one-to-one aids that are certified

teachers to work with them. They are not with them

90 minutes a day. They are with them the whole

school day. It's like a personal instructor for the students. It's been very successful for us.

I guess what I'm trying to share with you is I don't think -- again, I don't know why if a kid doesn't speak English at all, I challenge that they are going to do very well on any English test in one year.

REPRESENTATIVE NIKOL: I think
Representative Civera made an excellent point. We are constantly at the state level hearing from the taxpayer groups and others who are demanding accountability. And other legislators, especially if we are putting more money in the system, they want this accountability. But what we struggle over is the proper way to measure.

MR. THOMPSON: I think the easiest measure -- and the state is always going to have this. As long as you realize it's only one measure of accountability, of academic success. You have to have some type of normalized testing. Now, I like the state testing now better than what we had before because at least it's to a standard. It says something.

If you have, let's say 40 percent of your kids at the highest level, highest quartile, at

least you know the highest quartile for what and you can look at the standards. This is what they test.

Now, prior to last year, it is a different test every year. No one was sure what was being tested.

I think the tests are becoming better in the sense that there are state standards. The goal of any curriculum is the written curriculum should be the testing curriculum. Then you can evaluate the curriculum and the effect on kids.

We're moving towards that. It's no longer a norm-referenced test solely. It's a criterion-referenced test, the criterion being the state standards. So that's a movement in the right direction. However, as I told the school board, this is only one measure of the quality of the school district. It may not be the most important one. Your product is an important measure. I think what happens to the kids when you leave the school district is very important.

You also have to take into account the type of school district it is. If you have a school district that has low SES, a highly mobile population that has exceptionally above the norm in special needs, their accountability is different than a district that doesn't have that. Their

```
1
     accountability may not be the PSSA test, but it may
2
     be that there were a lot of life skill kids with IQs
     of 40 to 25, that a number of them were able to read
3
     on the fourth grade level by the time they got to
 4
     twelfth or eleventh grade. And the norm for the
 5
     nation is the second grade level. You have to take
 6
7
     into account the differences to measure success.
 8
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Do you track
9
     your students beyond high school?
10
                   MR. THOMPSON: We do.
11
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: How long
12
     after graduation?
13
                   MR. THOMPSON: We do a five year and
14
     we're just starting to do a ten year.
15
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: And what have
16
     you found your results are?
17
                   MR. THOMPSON: Well, one of the things
18
     I will point out -- I think this -- it's hard to
19
     generalize.
                  I think we sent 80 percent of our kids
20
     to college.
21
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: How many of
22
     them complete college?
23
                   MR. THOMPSON: We have pretty high
24
     percentages.
                   I think it's about 60 percent.
25
     think about that, we have 20 percent that know they
```

shouldn't go to college. And a lot of them go into our entry-level track or they may go to technical track. So they're getting skills they need. You have another 20 percent that should have been in there. We should have been counseling them to go into something that they could have been more successful at.

The other thing I'm going to share with you is -- I have statistics on this, because this is confidential and I couldn't ask it.

REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Kind of off the cuff.

MR. THOMPSON: Off the cuff. Out of the 60 percent that graduated from college, the nice thing to know would be how many of them graduated with a 2.0. Because, you know, in the world today you are going to have a hard time getting a job with a 2.0. You can't be a teacher unless you have a 3.0.

There was a guy that did a lot of our training for us. His name is Ken Grey. He's out at Penn State. He talks about School-To-Work and talks about -- our county has had him and then our school district has had him as we were moving into this School-To-Work and this career pathway. We had him

```
1
     come speak to our high school teachers. In fact,
2
     York Technical hosted it. We would take them out
3
     there and show them all the things in the area of
 4
     opportunities for kids.
                   Ken Grey in his book -- I'm going to
 5
     get this statistic wrong. You'd have to ask him.
                                                         I
 6
7
     don't have Alzheimer's. I have sometimers.
                                                   I'm
     getting to the age sometimes I remember, sometimes I
8
9
     don't.
10
                   Miss, don't put that in the record.
11
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: Yeah, let's
12
     strike that.
13
                   MR. THOMPSON: But at any rate, it is
14
     something like -- I won't have it exact -- in the
15
     workforce of the 21st Century, only I'll say 20 to
16
     25 percent of positions will require college
1.7
     degrees, but they are all going to require skilled
18
     training. These positions, I'll give you an example
19
20
                   REPRESENTATIVE PALLONE: That's tech
21
     prep?
22
                   MR. THOMPSON: Yes, tech prep.
                                                    The
23
     example -- I was talking to a guy yesterday.
                                                    He had
24
     one son that went to college and became a CPA.
25
     a CPA down in a large firm in Baltimore.
                                                His other
```

```
1
     son went to Penn Tech which is a two- or four-year
2
     program and he got out in HVAC. He started out in a
 3
     company making more than his brother does being a
 4
     CPA.
           He's now in his fifth year. So we have to
 5
     start -- and this is really off the subject of
     funding -- being realistic. Our schools can't do
 6
 7
     school like they did in the past. They have to
 8
     change to meet the needs of society and our kids.
 9
                   As Forest Gump says, that's all I have
10
     to say about that.
11
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you.
                                                  That was
12
     very good.
                You were very interesting. We learned
13
     something.
                I really appreciate you coming.
14
                   MR. THOMPSON: Thank you for having
15
     me.
16
                   CHAIRMAN CIVERA: I would like to
17
     thank the South Western School District for allowing
18
     us to have the public hearing here today. Dr.
19
     Barbara Rupp, Superintendent; and Ben Furhman,
20
     School Board Director. We really appreciate this.
21
     This was very good. Every one of these hearings
22
     have been learning experiences for us as a
23
     committee.
24
                   I want to thank Representative Nikol
25
     and Representative Miller for hosting, also.
```

```
That concludes the public hearing.
 1
 2
     Thank you very much.
 3
                     (The hearing concluded at 1:29 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings
2	and evidence are contained fully and accurately in
3	the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and
4	that this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	Jean M. Davis Borostor
8	Jedr M. Davis, Reporter Notary Public
9	
10	And the state of t
11	Notanal Seal  Jean M. Davis Notary Public  Denry Twp., Denry Twp., County  My Commission Exarces No. 29, 2004
12	Member, Penns - xnol Notanes
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	