HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

.

House Bill 278

* * * * * * * * *

House Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Courts

Matthew Ryan Building Room 205 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Thursday, November 20, 2003 - 10:00 a.m.

--000--

BEFORE:

Honorable Craig Dally, Majority Chairperson

Honorable Patrick Browne

Honorable William Gabig

Honorable Timothy Hennessey

Honorable Mark McNaughton

Honorable Douglas Reichley

Honorable James Harold

Honorable Peter Zug

Honorable Gene DiGirolamo

KEY REPORTERS

1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404
(717) 764-7801 Fax (717) 764-6367

ORIGINAL

ALSO PRESENT:

David Thomas, Esquire Majority Counsel

Judy Sedesse,
Majority Administrative Asst. to Chairperson

Meghan Ford, Intern, Majority Committee

Michael Rish, Minority Executive Director

Beryl Kuhr, Esquire Minority Chief Counsel

Marlene Tremmel,
Minority Research Analyst

Catherine Hudson,
Minority Committee Secretary

1	CONTENTS	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3 .	Honorable Bradford H. Charles, Judge Lebanon County Court of Common Pleas	6
4	Honorable James B. Martin, District Attorney	12
5	Lehigh County, PA District Attorney's Assoc.	, - ,
6	Emil Minnar, Executive Director Pa. State Constables Associations	21
8	Mike Gallagher, Constable Bucks County Constables Association	26
9	Chuck Benhayon, Constable Bucks County Constables Associations	28
10		
11		•
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 CHAIRPERSON DALLY: Good morning. 2 name is Representative Craig Dally from Northampton 3 I am chairman of the Subcommittee on Courts, County. which is a subcommittee of the House Judiciary 5 Committee. And we are gathered here today to hold a 6 hearing on House Bill 278. 7 The way we have the format set up is that each person who is here to testify will present 8 9 testimony to the panel, and then we will ask those that 10 provided testimony to come forward and sit as a panel, and we can ask the questions to the entire group. 11 Before we start with the testimony, I 12 would like to have the members that are here introduce 13 14 themselves and members of the staff starting to my 15 right. 16 REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Representative DiGirolamo from Bucks County. 17 18 MR. THOMAS: Dave Thomas. I am a staff 19 member. CHAIRPERSON DALLY: We are expecting a 20 few more members. Representative Hennessey just came 21 And to begin with, I would like to introduce the 22 Honorable Peter Zug of the 102nd district in Lebanon 23 County, which is the prime sponsor of House Bill 278, 24

and would ask him to provide us with his comments.

REPRESENTATIVE ZUG: Thank you, Chairman Dally. I appreciate you holding this hearing. I think this is an important issue, and I do appreciate the time that you are taking with the committee to conduct some hearings.

With me is the first testifier, Brad Charles. He is a judge in Lebanon County. This is actually Brad's idea. He was a D.A. a few years ago when the situation arose in Lebanon County where a constable did something that we didn't think was to the high standards that a constable should live up to, and he had some problems with the way the law was written and actually taken against the constable. And I'll let Brad talk about that later.

What happened is. I had the Bill introduced. And over the years, we met with the Constables' Association and members of the committee. One time we had it passed the House, and it was unable to get passed in the Senate. And simply what we are doing is really looking at a group of individuals, generally a good group of people all over the Commonwealth doing a great job. Occasionally, there is a problem. If there is a problem, we need to remedy that. That's what my legislation does. And I hope that after today's hearing, we can refine that

```
legislation and make it better and a bit more palatable
1
2
    so we can get this Bill passed and signed into law.
               I'll turn the hearing over to Judge
3
    Thank vou.
4
    Charles.
                  CHAIRPERSON DALLY:
                                      The Honorable
5
    Bradford H. Charles, Judge of the Lebanon County Court
6
    of Common Pleas. For the benefit of the court
7
    reporter, if each of the witnesses today could state
8
    your name and where you are from so we can have that in
9
    the record.
10
                   JUDGE CHARLES: Good morning, Chairman
11
    Dally and members of the committee. My name is
12
    Bradford H. Charles. I am from Lebanon County. I am
13
    not here in my capacity as a judge. I am here in my
14
     capacity as an ex-district attorney, who is very
15
     interested in the issues involving constables.
16
                   To most citizens of this Commonwealth.
17
     there is no difference between a police officer and a
18
     constable. They both wear uniforms. Most times, they
19
     both drive cars with dome lights. They both possess
20
     warrants. The problem is the constables are not Act
21
     120 qualified. They are not Act 120 trained.
22
```

Worse, there is no oversight for constables that are in place for police officers.

Police officers have chiefs. Police officers have

23

24

municipal officers who can oversee them, and, if
necessary, discipline them when they commit misdeeds.
With constables, such oversights do not exist. And not
surprisingly, that has caused problems.

Before I was elected judge, I was the district attorney of Lebanon County. In that capacity, I received quite a few complaints from citizens and from police officers. They routinely asked me, what can you do? And after I researched the law, regretably my response had to be not a whole heck of a lot.

At a meeting of the Pennsylvania District Attorney's Association, I asked my colleagues if our poor experience in Lebanon County was unique or whether it was reflective of a problem across the Commonwealth. I rapidly learned that the conduct of constables was a profound concern to all district attorneys of this Commonwealth.

I was appointed by the District
Attorney's Association to chair a committee to
investigate the possibility of legislation to govern
the conduct. As part of this investigation process, I
conducted a survey of each and every district attorney
in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Forty-eight
district attorneys responded to this survey. Of these,
ten favored abolishing constables altogether.

Forty-four favored legislation that would create a procedure to remove constables who act inappropriately.
Only two district attorneys opposed such legislation.
and two rendered no opinion.

Twenty-four district attorneys provided specific examples of abuse by constables. Let me go over some of these examples. And I have a file here. You can see from the thickness of the file how many examples of abuse were forwarded to me. But I just want to go over a few today.

Numerous counties reported that constables attempted to perform law enforcement functions. They reported the constables would commit traffic stops, pulling over motorists on the highway. They reported that constables would conduct searches and seizures inside houses without warrants.

Obviously, that's not something we want private citizens, and at this point, at least as to those functions, constables are nothing more than private citizens.

We don't want private citizens wearing uniforms going into people's houses, searching through their belongings or stopping their cars on a highway, yet that has occurred.

Several district attorneys reported that

9.

constables committed shake downs of individuals.

individual citizens, by using weapons, threats, and

intimidation against those citizens.

One district attorney described a situation where a constable attempted to repair a weapon inside the courtroom of a district justice office. The constable failed to remove the bullet from the chamber of this weapon. And while these repairs were taking place, the gun discharged. Fortunately, no one was hurt.

One district attorney reported an incident where a constable used a weapon to arrest a woman and led her away in handcuffs as a result of a \$10 parking ticket. This woman had small children, and those small children were left alone at home unattended as their mother was led off in handcuffs.

Another district attorney reported a woman who owed unpaid citation fees and costs for unpaid citations. The constable entered this woman's home. She was in the shower. He entered the bathroom. She was naked. He refused to leave while she dried and remained with her until she was dressed. Again, this is for traffic citations. I remember people in my county saying something to the effect, I am not a criminal. Why was I treated like one?

One other district attorney referenced two newspaper articles. One was from the Harrisburg Patriot in October of 1997 that described a Bucks County constable who was reported to have fired shots at a speeding motorist. Another article dated June 1, 1994 reported that a constable in Dauphin County shot a 19 year-old man while serving a warrant. The constable believed that the man possessed a gun. Police did not locate any gun.

I am not here to say that all constables in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are bad. I am not here to say that you should remove the position of constables. They serve a valuable function. However, there are bad eggs as there are in any profession. And there are situations when constables act inappropriately. And the point is that right now, as the law currently exists, the district attorney, the Court, they can do nothing about those inappropriate acts unless the constable steps over the line and breaks the law.

As a result of problems in the state of Pennsylvania, one district attorney described constables -- this is very colorful -- as, quote, the dandelion of the Pennsylvania Court system, i.e., there are too many of them, they are useless, and you can't

get rid of them.

Another district attorney stated, quote,

Constables derive power from ancient rules that allow

them too much power and very little control. There are

a constables who feel they have unlimited police

powers; and short of committing a criminal act, they

cannot been reigned in.

After the results of the survey that I took was published, the issue of constables was discussed at length before the Pennsylvania District Attorney's Association. At that time, there were two pieces of proposed legislation pending before the Pennsylvania legislature. One would be to create a Commonwealth Constable Board. It would have the ability to revoke or suspend a constable's license if it found improprieties to exist.

Proposed legislation in the House of Representatives would have allowed a district attorney to investigate constable's abuse and petition the Court of Common Pleas to remove that constable.

The District Attorney's Association at that time did not express any preference among those two legislative extremes. However, the association voted unanimously -- I repeat that -- the association voted unanimously to recommend that legislation be

created to provide oversight for constables.

As a judge, I can't make any specific recommendations. However, I would ask you to consider the safety of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And I would respectfully suggest that this is a safety issue. And I would respectfully suggest that this body consider legislation regarding constables.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: Thank you, Judge Charles. If you could just stay for the question and answer period, please.

Next to testify is the Honorable James B.
Martin, District Attorney, Lehigh County.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Mr. Chairman.

members of the committee. It's a pleasure to be here.

My name is James B. Martin. I am the District Attorney

of Lehigh County. I am also a member of the executive

committee of the Pennsylvania District Attorney's

Association.

I have been district attorney for almost six years. And I was a member of the association at the time that Judge Charles circulated the survey of which he spoke. Incidentally, I wholeheartedly support what Judge Charles has indicated, as does the association.

I have prepared written remarks. And I am going to summarize and paraphrase the summary to some degree in my formal remarks before you. And I'll be happy to respond to questions.

We support House Bill 278 in concept.

There should be legislative action to clarify when and under what circumstances a constable may be removed from office. There is also, however, a need to provide legislation for a supervisory scheme for constables to govern their behavior while in office and to provide for discipline short of removal from office.

There was a previous attempt to do this in Act 147 of 1990. Unfortunately, that Act was declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court. And in its opinion, the Court stated that as a peace officer and as a process server, a constable belongs anecdotically to the executive branch of the government even though their job is obviously related to the Courts.

In Lehigh County, as an accommodation to the Court, my office has acted in an adversarial capacity with respect to petitions for the appointment of a constable and petitions for the appointment of a deputy constable. There is presently no other avenue to support the Court other than to take up that kind of

challenge; and, frankly, I don't believe that it's an appropriate position for the district attorney necessarily to take. But there is no one else to take it other than perhaps the county solicitor. That's an area that I think could be clarified by statute.

19.

20.0

We have also prosecuted at least one constable that I am aware of in the last six years for bad checks and theft related offenses. I too could add to Judge Charles' litany of barren behavior on the part of some constables. And I am not here either to suggest that all constables in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are bad. That's certainly not the case. As in any profession, there are what I might characterize as loose cannons.

Recently, three constables in Lehigh

County shot three dogs while attempting to serve arrest warrants for outstanding parking citations. At the time of the shooting, there were adults and children in the house. The Allentown Police Department investigated; however, after consultation with my office, no criminal charges were filed.

There's been, however, much media attention to this incident. And there is general displeasure in Lehigh County and, I believe, in the Lehigh Valley because of a perceived lack of oversight

1 of constables.

5.

6.

House Bill 278 sets forth five reasonable and non-controversial grounds for the removal of a constable from office. While district attorneys may be in the best position to file a petition for removal upon conviction of a misdemeanor or a felony, the other grounds for removal within the Act are far more nebulous.

Although the Bill provides in subpart B that the district attorney may undertake investigation of a constable on his own initiative or upon complaint of any person, as a practical matter, it would be difficult for the district attorney not to conduct some type of an investigation if a complaint is made to him or her.

The district attorney's office is really not an investigative office. We rely for the most part on municipal police departments within our jurisdictions to conduct investigations. We rely on the Pennsylvania State Police in those areas of our jurisdictions where the Pennsylvania State Police are the primary police agency.

We have very few investigators. I can't speak for the entirety of the state, but I am in one of the larger district attorney's offices in the state

outside of Philadelphia and Pittsburgh and Montgomery County. And I haven't done a census to determine this, but I have 23 assistant district attorneys. That's a fairly large staff. But I only really have 4 county detectives who are available to investigate anything. And for the most part, they are on the road doing extraditions.

So it would be difficult for me, and it would be difficult. I assume, for most of the other district attorneys through the Commonwealth to conduct any kind of meaningful investigation as this Act would. I think, require us to do for all practical purposes.

There are a great many constables
throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In Lehigh
County, there are 45 elected constables. Those
constables have the right, under the laws that now
exist, to appointment deputies. And there are also
provisions to appoint police or peace officers rather
for primary elections and general elections to work at
the polling locations.

But with respect to the 45 elected constables, that's a very large pool of people potentially to be complained about and to be investigated.

And I think that that's a problem. And

б

the District Attorney's Association alone, we took no position with respect to it the last time this issue surfaced. We now have a consensus, at least among the executive committee, that we don't want the responsibility to be the police officers of the constables.

We believe that the concept is good. We believe that there's even more legislation required beyond the provisions of this Bill, namely, a supervisory scheme. But placing the responsibility upon district attorneys to police the conduct of constables, especially in such areas as neglect or violation of any official duty or ineffeciency, neglect, temperance, or conduct unbecoming a constable is potentially a great deal of work to an already busy and overburdened official. Furthermost, district attorneys, as I have indicated, do not have the investigatory staff necessary to conduct that type of investigation.

The executive committee of the District Attorney's Association is of the consensus that a better approach might be to enact legislation which would expand the responsibilities of the constable education and training board. That board could be structured more like the municipal police officer's

education and training commission. It could provide
for things such as minimum standards, certification,
rules and regulations. And it could provide for
penalties for violation of those regulations.

I believe that if that type of board or commission existed, the shooting incident that I eluded to earlier in Lehigh could have come under some scrutiny. I was satisfied that it didn't rise to the level of criminal conduct.

But I do believe that those constables overreacted in a situation that they, and perhaps more importantly, they put themselves in a situation that there was no need for them to do. Two of the three were actually within the confines of the house at the time the shooting occurred. The third one was at the back door.

They were serving a warrant for parking violations. They didn't have to be inside the house to do that. They could have used more restraint in the way they approached the situation.

Once they were in that situation, and the dogs attacked or were coming toward them in a menacing manner. I can understand why they reacted the way they did.

But in that situation, there were adults

and there were small children in that house. They were not within the direct danger, but they were certainly within an amid of danger that perhaps the use of gunfire -- not perhaps --I think, had they stayed out of the situation completely and handled it with a bit more care. I don't believe they would have been in that type of situation.

But a board or commission, as district attorney, I have no ability to discipline them. And I don't really want that ability. I don't think I should have it. I clearly think I should prosecute them if they commit a crime. And we already have that authority now.

So I think a board or commission would have scrutinized the actions of those very carefully. The scheme of discipline incorporated by legislative action would be appropriate. And I would hope that this committee would consider that type of an approach and tweak the Bill and perhaps take a look at Act 147 of 1990. I think that Act had a lot to recommend.

Unfortunately, the Supreme Court declared it unconstitutional on a theory that it violated separation of powers by placing the discipline within the judiciary. It sounds like everybody wants to pass the buck here, I acknowledge. But I do believe that

the board or commission would be a better vehicle.

8.

Personally, I believe there are too many constables in the Commonwealth. As I indicated, there are 45 constables elected in Lehigh County. I know from my experience -- and I don't pretend that this is true throughout the Commonwealth -- but I know that in Lehigh County, district justices, for whom constables do most of the their work, generally speaking, use one or two constables and some district justices use the same one or two constables that their colleagues in the minor judiciaries use.

Although there are 45 elected constables. I would say that there are fewer than 20 working constables in Lehigh. If you limited it to, say, 2 constables per magisterial district, you would reduce in Lehigh County, the number of elected constables from 45 to 28.

So I think that would be something that would be worthwhile taking a look at. I appreciate the opportunity of being heard on this issue. I agree with the Judge. It is an important issue. I also agree that it's a safety issue.

As the shooting incident that I have recounted indicates, that could have turned into a catastrophe very quickly. I do believe that the

training board that was established that placed 2 constables, at least under some degree of supervision, if you will, or certification by the Pennsylvania 3 Commission on Crime and Delinquency has helped. 4: think more needs to be done. Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON DALLY: The next witness on 7 the agenda is Emil Minnar, executive director, Pennsylvania State Constables Association. 8 .9 MR. MINNAR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. My name is Emil Minnar. And I currently serve as 10 executive director of the Pennsylvania State Constables 11 Association, whose membership comprises the majority of 12: those who serve process for the Courts and are the. 13 14 quote, certified constables who have pursued training. 15 I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the House subcommittee on Courts for the 16 opportunity to address the proposed House Bill 278 that 17 deals with removal of constables from office. 18 19 It is important that this committee be aware that the comments presented here today on 278 20 reflect those of the membership of PSCA and not limited 21 to those of any one individual. 22 The current House Bill 278 on previous 23 occasions was introduced as House Bill 184, prior to 24

that it was House Bill 465. I cite this history since

its inception. It was introduced without invitation
for comments from those who could be most effected by
its content.

PSCA requested a meeting with the sponsor of this Bill. And upon ultimately receiving an invitation to discuss its contents with the sponsor, identified a number of flaws and inaccuracies, conflicts with existing law, violations of election law and due process and the Pennsylvania Constitution as well.

These concerns apparently have gone unheeded, for with each successive introduction of the Bill, the contents have remained the same as that first introduced in the year 2000. PSCA did not support that Bill when it was first introduced nor did it support successive introductions of the Bill. And in its present form, PSCA again opposes House Bill 278.

I must make clear that PSCA is not against the concept of removal from office with just cause. We support that. However, we do not believe that seriously flawed legislation should be generated or supported. More significant, the reasons for our opposition which are to be considered are as follows:

I am going to point these out for you.

Number one, the basis for removal already

exists in current statutory law. If it's a violation of a crime, Title 18 will apply for which criminal action can be brought by the appropriate district attorney.

The Bill is in violation of election law. It may well violate the American Disability's Act. Four, the Bill ignores due process, a right guaranteed to us by the United States and Pennsylvania Constitution. The Pennsylvania Constitution has currently relevant provisions for the removal of elected officials. And I refer to article 2, section 7 and article 6, sections 6 and 7. These sections already provide the exclusive methods for removal of elected officials.

Further, Pennsylvania statute 65, section 121 refers to the statutory basis for removal as well. Also, in the most recently published second edition of the Pennsylvania Legislators' Municipal Deskbook, which was published last month in October 2003, a section addresses the procedures for removal of office based on the Pennsylvania Constitution as aforementioned.

This deskbook was prepared by the local government commission comprised of memberships from both Houses of the Pennsylvania General Assembly as well as supporting staff.

It would seem practical that an existing prescribed procedure for removal from office should be utilized. The preceding reasons that have been cited for PSCA's opposition to House Bill 278 have been substantiated by legal research and review by certain practitioners and counsel from within the legal community.

.. 7

PSCA does recognize that some form of disciplinary procedure for less than removal from office and a means of supervision would positively serve the constable system as well as the judiciary and the public in general.

However, in order to institute a process for disciplinary action, there first must be a referenced source of the duties and responsibilities of the constable. If we are going to put a yardstick on constables, let's be sure that we understand what their jobs are and are they measuring up to the minimal standard and is it acceptable?

However, in order to institute a process for disciplinary action, there first must be a referenced source of the duties responsibilities of the constable. A previous House judiciary research, which was requested by PSCA for this information, was unsuccessful. Therefore, it becomes impractical to

review someone's action for displinary purposes if there is not an existing description or standard for comparison.

Since the act of discipline is based on the degree of deviation that may have occurred in the course of performance from acceptable standard or norm, the absence of any clearly defined duties and responsibilities of the constable could only promulgate an unfair displinary system. Simply said, the non-existence of a reference for constable duties precludes any fair and just displinary system.

With this concern in mind. the subcommittee here today could well set a standard for displinary action for constables if a determination was made first to identify and resolve the scope of duties and responsibilities of the constable.

Until then, constable performance, which at times has created public concern, appears to be based in many cases on individual opinion, rather than known standards or references.

Be assured, PSCA stands ready to work cooperatively with this committee to craft legislation that would assist in formalizing responsibility within the constable system. I might say that there were a number of comments made here today for which, if put

into perspective, training has been in effect now since 1996. The Act passed in '94; but since 1996, training actively began.

14.

We are almost at a ten year mark. Many of the complaints that were lodged. I believe do not exist today as a result of training. I think the percentage has decreased. And, therefore, we can't overlook the fact that we are getting a more improved, competent group of service of process constables.

I want to thank the Chairman and the members of this Subcommittee on Courts for permitting me to be here today to present the position of our member constables on 278. Further, I would hope that the committee would accept this challenge to help improve Pennsylvania's relatively cost-free constable system charged with serving our Commonwealth's Courts.

I do have a number of comments which I would like to reserve for the panel discussion. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and committee members.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: The next people to testify are Mike Gallagher and Chuck Benhayon from the Bucks County Constable Association.

MR. GALLAGHER: Good morning, my name is Mike Gallagher. I am the current president of the Bucks County Constables Associations. Thank you for

giving me the opportunity this morning to speak.

Over the past several months, the Bucks
County Constables Association has held several meetings
regarding House Bill 278 and the impact it will have on
those it serves. While we do believe that any
constable found negligent or in violation of official
duty should be disciplined, we are not convinced this
Bill provides for due process.

Any Bill introduced for the sole purpose of regulating behavior of elected officials should, in fact, be the same to all elected officials and not single out state constables. Our suggestion to this panel is that each county establish its own review board consisting of five members, possibly a district justice three constables, and a county court administrator who would review complaints made against constables.

If evidence of negligence is found, it is our assertion that the board should have the power to suspend the constable from office or prevent him or her from working in that county. Constables who disagree with the panel's finding should have the right to appeal the decision to a similar state review board, which will have the power to supersede the county review board decision.

Further, if a constable is permitted to return to work, they should be compensated for all lost wages during the preliminary suspension. Any and all complaints to be entertained by the board must be in written form signed and notarized by the complainant.

It is important that some type of protocol be established for complaints as it is the very nature of our business to upset people. Often, we are sent to a home for the purpose of arrest, sale of belongings, or evictions; and, therefore, many complaints are often fabricated and embellished.

We support any measure designed to enhance the professionalism of our line of work so long as it takes into consideration the unique nature of the charge.

Lastly, if, in fact, the constable is removed from the office and a substitute appointed, we assert that the replacement must be from the venue from which the first constable is removed and not from the county at large. Thank you for your time.

MR. BENHAYON: I would like to make some brief statements here. I am a full-time constable out of Bucks County, which has been mentioned by some of the people testifying here. I also sit on the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency with

the constable training board.

Under the new governor's rules, I cannot testify under PCCD because I had to present that in writing and get approval from the governor's office.

So, instead, I would like to present my thoughts as a constable and representative of Bucks County.

We are in a very unique system. Twelve years ago, I became a constable. I went in front of our president judge, raised my right hand, and swore in with no direct training.

At that point in time, I went to work. I was fortunate enough that Judge Kelly out of Middletown Township, Bucks County, was a former detective, undercover officer, and police officer. He helped train me working in this position.

Throughout that time, my party chairman.

Lieutenant Governor Schweiker, who I grew up with, and my own D.J. John Kelly asked me to go out and serve on this board. We developed a school. The school came by, and I can't tell you what a great job PCCD did with the constable education training board.

Through that school, we have basic training, we have yearly updates, we developed a firearms course to give basic training to these constables. I have heard several incidents described

today that happened. Some of them I am aware of. And I don't believe the whole picture was painted when those incidents were described.

We would like to buy common sense by the bucket when people are under pressure and handling their jobs, and they handle something inappropriately. And as these people have stated, we have had this happen in all different offices in law enforcement.

I worked very closely with the president judge at the time, Kenneth Bean out of Bucks County.

Our court administrator, Thomas Wiley, who has assured me time and time against since they started -- as they call, my school -- how much better the constable situation has gotten.

The constable is better trained. The constable understands his position. The constables are working better with the district justices. There's been tremendous growing pains in the system. This was a system that needed this education and training program. And, again, what a fantastic job PCCD did with this.

Now, as this has progressed, I am in total agreement that we need a type of board when there are difficulties, there are problems that don't rise to a criminal difficulty where these can be aired out. As

1 | with Mike, I agree they should be in writing.

. 9

I agree, as per my President Judge,
Kenneth Bean, they should be county by a county. As
they described some of the counties where there's been
some difficulties, we also get the feedback from the
constables where the constables are forced to work one
person car transporting prisoners.

There's been escapes in counties with serious felons where there's only one constable doing the transport. There's so many different things that go on in the different counties, rural counties, Allegheny County. I think that when you implement a displinary review board, it needs to be county by county.

If you take a rendition of what Mike has presented to you by having a Court of Common Pleas judge, a district justice, court administrator, several constables, you will find that I believe in a simple situation, you could police a lot of this.

These complaints need to be in writing.

We are bearers of bad news. Very few people are happy
to see you come to their home and tell them they can't
live there any longer. Very few people are happy to
see you when you tell them you must pay a fine or
report to the court because they are being civilly

sued.

. 3

We do have some embellishment. And I am not questioning the testimony of the two district attorneys and the honorable judge, that there's been difficulties. All I can tell you is that through our court system in Bucks County, which I understand to be a leader in the state, I am constantly told how much better the system has gotten and how much it has improved.

But I believe it's time to start with the displinary board, but not a knee jerk emotional board. It needs to be well thought out and possibly works within the House reps and state association to develop that. I would like to thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: The last testifier is not here. I would like to thank each one of you for your testimony this morning. I guess to start out the questioning, how exactly are constables elected? Are they elected by magisterial district or some other type of --

MR. MINNAR: First of all, I would like to make clear that the constable does not have a direct responsibility to the municipality. He's elected from within the municipality; however, he does not answer to the municipality.

His term of office is a six year period. at which time he actually has two areas of responsibility that have been identified. One, being the opening and closing of the polls, to be there for the count at the election. And the other, by option, to be able to work for the Courts in the service of process.

. 24

However, in order to perform that function, he must be certified. That's where PCCD's training board comes in. And the basic training is 80 hours basic, plus 20 hours per year of continuing education.

Additionally, if he opts to carry a firearm, another 40 hours of basic and 20 hours each year thereafter firearms training. I might say that our firearm's program today exceeds that of 120 municipal police officer training.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman, to answer your question a little bit more directly. By statute, there is a constable for each ward in cities of the second and third class. And every borough, township and where a borough is divided into wards, every ward in the Commonwealth, there's a constable.

Further, first class townships get to elect another constable, an additional constable. The

constable gets to appoint a deputy constable upon 1 approval of the Court. And that's all in Title 13. 2 CHAIRPERSON DALLY: Their role again --3 you mentioned a mandatory role at election time, they 4 5 have a function? MR. MARTIN: They have the ability -- the 6 elected constables have the ability to petition the 7 Court, which grants the petition I believe as a matter 8 of course, to appoint deputies to serve only as peace 9 officers at the polling locations on primary and 10 general election days. 11 MR. MINNAR: Mr. Chairman, that's a one 12 13 day appointment. CHAIRPERSON DALLY: So, in other words, 14 if a a ward in a small town might have a hundred 15 people, it doesn't elect a constable, that position 16 isn't necessarily filled then? 17 MR. MINNAR: No. sir. It's a vacant 18 It could be filled by appointment thereafter 19 for the balance of the time. 20 JUDGE CHARLES: I often, as a judge, I 21 have petitions to be appointed as constables that come 22 before me, and people then are appointed by the Court 23 as a constable. 24 In Lehigh County, starting MR. MARTIN: 25

in 2004, there will be 3 vacancies. When I said there are 45 elected constables, there is the ability to have 48 elected constables within the county. There are 14 magisterial districts.

25.

MR. BENHAYON: I would like to address that, what the district attorney just explained to you. And, again, as a member of PCCD, there are some tremendous changes that happened to the state. I am not sure everybody is aware of them.

We now have to be certified and trained to work within the Courts. We developed our own card similar to Act 120 that is owned by the state showing that we are certified to work for the Court system.

This is my card. This states I went to the school, I have been certified. This is not my property. This is owned by PCCD.

If I do not complete my training or leave office, this card goes back to them. And it's only good for one year. What has happened now is I believe we have approximately 6.000 positions that could be filled in Pennsylvania with constables.

To date -- I was up here Monday -- we have 1,236 trained constables that can work within the Courts. Through Act 44, when we started the school in 1996, you now have to purchase your bond and carry it.

you must supply your own liability insurance, you must attend the school, and you must follow through with the state's instruction.

By doing that, what we are seeing all over the state of Pennsylvania is the part-time constable is no longer around as they were before. The hobby constable, the person that just wanted to partake in law enforcement.

Since we have made all of these rules and we implemented these insurance programs that they must participate in, the numbers are dramatically down. The people are working full time within the Court system.

So when you hear the numbers in the boroughs, understand, today we are down to 1,236 constables when I left PCCD headquarters Monday.

MR. MARTIN: Can I pose a question? How many constables do you have working, but are grandfathered in under the prior Act?

MR, BENHAYON: None.

MR. MARTIN: None?

MR. BENHAYON: None. You can contact

PCCD and research that. None. You had to go to the school. You had a one time chance to waive the course and take a test. I chose to waive the course. I took the test. I also attended basic training. There is no

grandfather clause. There is no slipping through the system. That does not exist. And you certainly do not have to take my word. You can contact PCCD. They will give you all the paperwork

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: On the certification issue, the district attorney from Lehigh County brought up the instance, which received a lot of press in our area, about the constables that shot the 3 dogs. And they were serving an arrest warrant; so, obviously, they must have been certified under this procedure.

MR. BENHAYON: I didn't do any of the investigation, but I do understand that to be correct.

And there were no criminal charges brought on them.

Several of the officers that investigated that teach us through Temple University. And I don't know the situation.

You hate to hear an animal was killed.

It makes me reflect on the situation in Bucks County where a woman was run over on New Falls Road. They had a hearing. Two people showed up. We had a gentleman in our area -- I shouldn't say gentleman -- an individual stomp a puppy to death. We had hundreds of people show up at the district court with protest signs picketing the Courts and almost turned into a riot. I certainly understand people. I am an animal lover.

Why anybody would get involved in this, but people are 1 2 just so incensed because an animal is hurt. In our own community, we had a person killed, little or no 3 response. CHAIRPERSON DALLY: One other thing. You 5 mentioned about this certification that's required in 6 order to work for the Courts. Outside of the election 7 responsibilities then, if you are not certified to work 8 for the Courts, what other duties would a constable 9 10 have? MR. BENHAYON: You are an election day 11 You would be an election day constable. 12 constable. MR. GALLAGHER: One day. That's it. 13 MR. BENHAYON: Primary and general. Two 14 15 days a year. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Mr. Minnar, 16 you indicated in your testimony that you felt that the 1.7 proposed legislation House Bill 278 is unconstitutional 18 and denies the constable of due process? 19 MR. MINNAR: That's correct, sir. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: There is a 21 provision for a hearing before the Common Pleas judge 22 and a determination can be made either to remove or 23 displace the petition filed. What further steps would 24

your association be seeking in order to make it

constitutional in your view?

MR. MINNAR: Rather than be specific, I am going to answer it this way: If it were heard before a Common Pleas judge and found against the constable, I think the constable, under the Pennsylvania Constitution and U.S. Constitution, has the right to appeal. There is no appeal process in here.

So what we are doing is giving the Common Pleas Court final decision on an individual, which may or may not be a correct one.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: I don't know if that's necessarily the legal standards because it's not in this Bill. I don't know that the constable would not have a right to appeal under general provisions of state statute. It might be a good idea to expressly state that. But perhaps we can check that out.

Let me ask you this: If you go before a Common Pleas judge and the petition is upheld by that judge, would your association agree that the constable should be suspended during a period of any appeal taken or is it your desire that he stay in office and continue in his duties during the appeal period?

MR. MINNAR: That's a fine line because

the president judge of that particular county, wherever it may be, has the right to request that the constable not be given any work from the district courts.

Therefore, it could be handled by virtue of just assignment of work. Part of that decision could be that while it's under appeal, the constable will no longer receive process for work.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Suspension of duties?

MR. MINNAR: Yes. The other part of that same section in the Bill refers to the fact that the Common Please judge will assign anyone from the county to fill that slot, any capable person. Again, who is capable? Who is qualified as the term was used?

Number two, under the appointment process, it must be someone from the same venue or same area that the original constable came from under the current election law. So this gives the judge the ability to assign anyone from the county to fill that slot, which is in violation of the initial election.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Mr. Benhayon, you were talking about the procedure in Bucks County and saying the constables are required to get the training, which is similar to Act 120 training, and they were required to get the additional schooling.

MR. BENHAYON: Everyone in the state is. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay. under the impression that they were. And then the 3 4 suggestion had been made that there is still constables out there who are grandfathered and don't have that 5 6 training. MR. BENHAYON: That incorrect. Again, I 7 am not an attorney, so I do not quote laws. But I do 8 sit on that board. I have been on that board since its 9. 10 inception. You can contact PCCD. There is no grandfather through that. That does not exist. You 11 must attend it. It's the best thing we did because it 12 13 made everybody get up to speed. MR. MARTIN: There was a provision for 14 grandfather in the original Act. I think what happened 15 16 is time has passed. MR. BENHAYON: It's gone. 17 MR. GALLAGHER: I believe if you are a 18 police officer now, you can waive that, and that's the 19 only way. You don't have to take the test. There are 20 some provisions, if you are law enforcement now. But 21 22 other than that, everyone is the same. MR. BENHAYON: Let me explain that 23 because it gets a little complicated and people are 24 massaging that. If you are an Act 120 municipal police 25

officer or State Trooper trained. you can come in and challenge the course and take a test from PCCD.

when you pass that test, you would start doing the yearly updates, but you cannot exclude the system. There were two things they put in there, police officers Act 120, State Troopers. I guess it's the -- no, it's not the deputy sheriffs yet. They can challenge the course. Then they still have to do the yearly updates. So there is no grandfather that we have a guy that's been around 30 years that he is grandfathered.

Everyone attends the school. Everyone takes the update and, unlike the district justice system, is tested and must pass the test or not be certified. Same on the firing range. We have people that come in that do not pass. They cannot carry a firearm.

That card I showed you previously is marked firearm certified or it has no mark, not certified. You must take the course. Again, as Emil explained before. I understand the reasons they would want to have a board for displinary reasons on the constables. The political pressures are tremendous on the district attorney's office, the judges. Difficult situation like this animal shooting.

But our president judge, we had a 1 situation where he disagreed on how one of the 2 constables carried himself. He strongly disagreed. He 3 put a letter out to every district justice. 4 constable vaporized. He is done. He is certified, 5 goes to the school. He cannot work for the Courts. immediately took action. That would be Judge McAnders 7 out of Bucks County. A very strong leader there. 8 didn't hesitate. Put the letter out. That constable 9 10 is done. Am I right, Mike? MR. GALLAGHER: He is certified, pays his 11 insurance, pays everything. We are paid under a fee 12 bill. Unless we work, we don't get paid. He is still 13 paying all of this insurance, updates. He is elected, 14 but he can't work. 15 MR. BENHAYON: And it was not a criminal 16 act. He was uncomfortable how he carried himself. 17 JUDGE CHARLES: How could a judge 18 investigate? A judge has no investigative arm to go 19 out and look into allegations of improprieties before a 20 judge would take action like he has just mentioned? To 21 me, what stood out in this panel discussion is that 22 every single one of us agree that there should be 23

oversight of constables. Every single one of us agree

there should be some sort of system created to create

24

oversight. And that's what we are talking about. 1 MR. BENHAYON: We agree. 2 MR. GALLAGHER: With due process. 3 MR. BENHAYON: Fair and not a knee jerk 4 reaction. 5 JUDGE CHARLES: I am not in support of any specific Bill. I never was. I was here to testify 7 about a need for oversight. And, as a heard it, every 8 single one of us agree on that topic. 9 MR. BENHAYON: Absolutely. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: In light of that 11 past comment in regards to the concurrence on need for 12 oversight, are there any conflicts with regards to Mr. 13 Minnar's comments on the Pennsylvania Constitution? 14 We, as elected officials, don't have displinary boards 15 over us. Assembly doesn't. I don't think any do. 16 I think that's because the Constitution 17 does spell out provisions for the removal of elected 1.8 If we put something in the statute in officials. 19 regards to discipline of elected officials. is there a 20 risk that the Court would throw that out as a violation 21 of the Constitution any ways? 22 May well be. MR. MINNAR: 23 JUDGE CHARLES: I can't answer that. 24 MR. MARTIN: Excellent question. There 25

may well be.

7 :

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: I guess following that, in regards to constables then, we have to amend the Constitution. Because they would be the only formal elected official that would have a formal discipline procedure.

JUDGE CHARLES: There is a formal process for disciplining judges. We are elected officials.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: Through the Supreme Court?

JUDGE CHARLES: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: Also, constables, I don't remember are constitutional officers. They happen to be elected officials, but I don't believe they are protected under any provision of the Constitution.

mentioned about the basis for removal in the crimes code in regard to violation of the criminal statute.

The Bill provides for two other areas that probably wouldn't fit anywhere, neglect or violation of any official duty and inefficiency, neglect, and temperance of conduct unbecoming a conduct.

Those functions, you believe, should be under the authority of the disciplinary board, and they shall be --

MR. MINNAR: More so because it becomes extremely difficult. For example, not performing official duties -- not to belabor the point. After 27 years as a constable. I am not sure what my official duties are, if there is no common reference. As I said before, without a standard or reference, it becomes a matter of opinion.

23 .

And I think this can be identified. I don't know how fair we would be in our determination of what is correct or incorrect or practical.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: Who would be the body? You mentioned it would probably be a good thing for this division of government to establish conduct and official duties for a constable. I guess my concern is, do we have the authority, as a legislative branch, to do that? Or does that have to come from the executive branch department?

MR. MINNAR: I think --

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: If it doesn't happen, we will never have the ability to enforce that.

MR. MINNAR: As an association, we certainly could propose this. And legislation could be crafted jointly between the associations and other groups that have a direct interest. This is the way Act 44 came about. PSCA approached the legislature and

said we believe training is a necessity. And as a result of the Supreme Court decision on 147, we immediately said let's go back to the drawing board and let's get something done.

6.

Therefore, we did propose and help craft Act 44, which addressed specifically training. It doesn't go beyond training. The old 147, which was struck down by the Supreme Court, did go beyond that.

And at one point, the president judge of each judicial district had direct supervision over the constable. Rule 17 was changed. And it was changed to take two words out. And constables. So, therefore, supervision was limited to district justices.

That's history. It's happened that way.

We were thrust into that situation. Now, I think we have to do something to make it better. And the way to make it better is to address the problem. Instead of penalizing at least initially to penalize individuals for maybe something that may have been done wrong or was wrong, let's review everything. Let's come up with a recommended disciplinary action. Let's produce this. Let's let the legislature consider it. Or let them, by law, structure a group that can apply it and practice it. This is what we are suggesting. As was said here by the other gentlemen, every one of us agree with one

thing. There is a need for some type of displinary action at the less than removal stage. At the removal stage, I think it's pretty cut and clear.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: I guess my only concern would be to go through that process, better make sure that the Act 147 experience doesn't happen again by us establishing standards of conduct and rules of constables and have the Court come forward and say that was the job of the executive branch, that law is unconstitutional. So we have to make sure of that before we go through that process again.

MR. MINNAR: The very sad thing was, we were, like, nine-tenths of the mile down the road and the Bill was already in the legislature, and then we found out that they were probably going to oppose the fact that we stayed under the judicial branch. So it became almost after the fact, after all the work was done.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: You don't want to do that again.

MR. MARTIN: For what it's worth, the Supreme Court considered Act 147, and in its analysis said the supervision of constables is an executive branch function and would be under the executive as opposed to the judicial.

MR. MINNAR: It's a unique situation. We deal with the judges. The judges issue the work. The judges directly or indirectly sometimes reprimand or discipline in their own way. And it's not the executive branch that we deal with. And it's a very unusual situation. I don't know if there's any one answer to it. But I do believe that a disciplinary board could be set up to at least establish standards of performance. It would be a move in the right direction.

1.5

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: Mr. Minnar, did your organization have a position on Act 147 of 1990?

MR. MINNAR: Sure did. We crafted it in conjunction with -- it started out in the Senate and then the House. And we were very pleased with what it was intended to do. The mechanism for getting there was challenging though.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNE: I guess the only other concern I have, if we decide to go through with a board, statewide or a local level, how would those board members be chosen and how would they be sworn in, officers elected? Because I think, again, we have a concern with regards to judges in the Supreme Court. They are elected officials, who are supervising the judges in the lower court system.

Are we going to have only constables who are elected officials on the board to provide the discipline or would you have not elected officials do it? I see a lot of puddles in this process.

MR. MINNAR: As with the development of Act 44 and prior to that with 147, our suggestion was and recommendation was to structure the board with individuals from each phase of activities that constables either had a direct effect on the board that those groups depended on.

As an example, county commissioners, perhaps a county commissioner, perhaps a court administrator, perhaps a district justice, perhaps a couple constables also at that point. We would suggest that we get a cross section of people who have a direct concern about the decisions that are being made there and have a vested interest as well.

MR. BENHAYON: The only thing I would like to interject there, the one thing I would say -- and I have traveled all over Pennsylvania with the constables. I have been exposed to so many different situations -- not to do it on a state level. I sit on the state board. County by county.

My president judge preached that to me.

The counties are so different. We have encountered

rural counties where they have the constables do traffic control. We have had them where they help with animal control. You can't believe some of the things we have run into. And they talk about the situations that constables get into. The constables get drawn into them.

8 .

County by county. They know their area. They know the people. And they know there might be some unique situation there. I would let each county govern themselves as they establish that board.

MR. MINNAR: Mr. Chairman, to echo one thing that Mr. Benhayon said, I instruct at both the basic level and con-ed level for constables on various occasions.

One of the things that I find is -- and I travel around the state teaching -- and believe me. different counties function in different ways. There's no question about it. In some counties, the constables are expected by the local officials to perform as police officers.

They are expected to go out and do traffic control, things which they should not be involved in. PCCD's training or requirements for training and highest instructors spend more time telling them what they should not be doing. And the

dichotomy is, but this is what my mayor tells me I must do. And somewhere there has to be an education also of the individuals who are from the areas and must understand what the real role of the constable is. And it's an uphill battle. We are accomplishing it. And training is the answer to it.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: Thank you,

Representative Browne.

MR. ESHER: Excuse me. I am Jack Esher. I am president of State Constables Association. I would like to add to that and a question on what the legislature can do versus the executive branch versus the Courts. What would be substantially helpful to the citizens of Pennsylvania would be if they took the powers and duties that are given to us under law and codify that into one section that pertains to constables.

All of the old existing law, the common law, all of this other thing, there is so much confusion in the state. And I hear it every time we have a meeting about what I can do and what I can't do and, yes, we can and, yes, we are police officers, yes, we are charged with maintaining the peace in our borough or our ward or whatever.

If they would remove all of that and

codify what our powers and duties are today in 2003, rather than going out and check for thistle. And I am supposed to instruct them. That's still on the books.

It's not a bad job. But it's not one that I get paid to do. So if the legislature -- and they can do that. I don't think there is anybody in the room that would disagree with the fact that you guys can do that. That would help clear up a lot of this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: I guess constables in certain counties might be busier in that regard.

REPRESENTATIVE GABIG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Very interesting. Sorry I wasn't at other hearings. I appreciate the comments from the various individuals, but I don't think — and I think there's some good things about, I guess, we are looking at Representative Zug's Bill. And I guess it was expressed back here that we do some consultation and take this whole story or role and, you know, put it into the modern context has some merit.

But I don't think to start that process.

which I think is a good idea, with this is how we are

going to discipline some of these elected officials is

the way to start it. We should be starting from a

broader context. What does a constable mean in today's

society? What role should they be playing, etc.? So I have some very, very specific and strong objections to Representative Zug's statute. And if I could just take two or three seconds, and we could get a response from it.

7 .

I spent about 10 years as an assistant district attorney. And I remember the Judge as he worked his way up through Lebanon to the high esteemed position he now holds. And I worked closely with constables. In fact, my landlord is a constable in Carlisle. He is an excellent constable, well liked.

Like the gentleman says here, when they get a bad reputation, they can't work anymore. The D.J. won't give them a job. The attorneys won't give them a job. The P.J. has a lot of authority to say thanks a lot, you are no longer working in this county. That's the way it works in today's world, at least in my county.

So there is sort of that unofficial way to regulate some of this. I read the Judge's testimony here. You could do that with judges. You could do that with district attorneys and assistant district attorneys. You could do it with sheriffs. You could do that with municipal police, State Police. You can take all of the bad things that happen with these very

good people, put them in a letter and say there is a problem here, and what we are now going to do is give some other group the power.

I learned somewhere that power corrupts absolutely. And I worked for Mike Aiken, Justice Aiken now, and Skip Ebert, who is the district attorney. Very great. But if they say, do you want more power? What do you think the answer is going to be? Sure, I'll take more power.

But if you looked at the county detectives. I am sure Lehigh County has a big county detective. Cumberland does. Dauphin County. Allegheny does. There's abuses there, things that happen. If we are going to say we are going give that to somebody else and upon substantial evidence, take out an elected official and make the district attorney a labor law attorney basically. If he wants to prosecute a crime that they committed, that's his role. That can be done right now. If they committed a crime, the constable, they can do that.

But to say, basically, under a labor law type of standard and substantial evidence, which is the burden of proof, I strongly feel that's not the way to go.

I think we do need to professionalize, if

you will, this whole historic thing and make it more uniform in terms of who we are going to keep and who we are going to not, but I don't think -- having a lot of respect for Representative Zug and the Judge, who I have known -- he probably didn't know me, but as an assistant, I knew him and many classes that he taught.

But I just don't think this is the way to start this process of taking constables -- and in the Homeland Security era that we are in, where we will be looking for groups to sort of respond, you know, constables are a good way to go. There's a group out there that, if we need something to augment, there's a pool out there that we can go through.

We need to make them stronger, better and more professional. And there's a way to hold them accountable: but this way here. I don't think is the way to go. And so with those comments, I would certainly listen to some responses.

JUDGE CHARLES: First of all, I am disturbed when I hear all of this about informal discipline from the judiciary. That's not the answer. As a judge, we don't have an investigative arm. We don't have detectives that we can order to go investigate claims.

I am sure these gentlemen would not want

3 -

judges taking away their livelihood based upon allegations that may or may not be accurate and that may or may not stand up to the light of a courtroom and cross examination. So saying that the answer is informal supervision by a judge --

4 ..

. 8

REPRESENTATIVE GABIG: If I can interrupt you. I didn't say that. I said that's the way it works right now. I said we need to take a look.

JUDGE CHARLES: In some counties, that may work that way. It doesn't in Lebanon County. The judges in Lebanon County, when I was district attorney, took the position, look, I am not going to take away this guy's livelihood without a full hearing on the merits where I can hear both sides of the story. And I think that's a legitimate position.

And to say that a judge can informally supervise constables, in most counties -- in our county, that does not take place nor do I think it should take place. I am not here to support any specific legislation. I made that clear many, many times.

But all of the other entities that you mentioned that this could happen to, there are methods by which those entities can be disciplined, can be sanctioned. With constables, there is no oversight.

And that is what is needed.

REPRESENTATIVE GABIG: Judge, if you could, with the sheriffs, for example, could we do the same thing, put constables and sheriffs in here and have the same method, substantial evidence of these things?

JUDGE CHARLES: I am going to go to the elected sheriff and all of the deputies because the deputies are the ones that are out on the street doing this kind of stuff. If a deputy sheriff would have done any of the things that I mentioned that were reported by district attorneys, that deputy sheriff would be fired by the elected official. If the elected official himself would have done that misappropriate deed, then the electorate would have to get rid of him in four years.

REPRESENTATIVE GABIG: That's my point on the constable, that he is elected. The sheriff is sort of an analogous situation. That's what we need to be careful. That's what Representative Browne brought up. I hope I didn't come across as saying I want the constable to keep running wild or some of them to run wild. That's not my point. And I don't think that's what the leadership is trying to do. But I just think there's a better approach we need to take and a broader

approach to professionalize things.

. 9

MR. MARTIN: If I can respond to one thing you said. I understand that you were not here after my remarks were made. The District Attorneys Association is not seeking more power. We don't want to be the police officers enforcing whether or not a constable remains in office or doesn't.

In fact, Skip Ebert, who you eluded to, it was really his suggestion that we promote this Bill insofar as it goes, but we ask the legislature to consider a broader approach and a board of commission.

And along the lines, by the way, you asked a question earlier, Representative Browne, with regard to how that board of commission would be structured. You posed that. You might look for guidance in the municipal police officers training and education board. There's a scheme there as to how that board is comprised. I believe there are several appointments from the legislature, several appointments from the executive and the Governor's office. There are, obviously, some police officers, Pennsylvania State Police Commissioner, chairman of the board. So there is precedence for this type of approach already existing.

MR. MINNAR: Mr. Chairman, the advisory

board for both deputy sheriffs and constables are structured somewhat along the same lines. So there is a parallel there.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: The Chair recognizes
Representative Harold James from Philadelphia County.
Welcome. I would like to recognize Doug Reichley from
Lehigh County.

REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: I feel somewhat responsible in a sense. Going back to the constitutional situation -- and I know. Jim, you said that the district attorneys don't want the power, but since the Court deemed Act 147 unconstitutional on the grounds that you couldn't get the judiciary to provide the supervisory capacity, doesn't that, thereby, -- are district attorneys considered executive branch officers by which they can supervise?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ MARTIN: I wouldn't consider -- I consider district attorneys to be quasi judicial. We don't work for the executive.

REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: I know you don't work for an executive. But since the county commissioners would be the legislative branch of the county, and the county executives would be, but the same branch of government, wouldn't it thereby fall to the district attorney to supervise, if the Court has

already deemed the Court of Common Pleas to be 1 2 constitutionally incapability of that situation? 3 MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry, Mr. Reichley. can't agree with that analysis. As I indicated, I 4 think that the district attorney, as an independent 5 6 elected official, quasi judicial in nature, only 7 responsibility to the county executive is budgetary. 8 And I would be very pretentious to leave it at that. REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: 9 I understand the district attorneys don't want it, but we are left 10 with the hot potato situation. The Courts can't take 11 The district attorneys don't want it. We are left 12 without anybody supervising. 13 MR. MARTIN: That's why we are suggesting 14 you consider another alternative, which would be a 15 board or commission which you have done in other 16 17 instances. With regard to 18. REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: 19 the comment about county boards. I am concerned that 20 creates a whole other level of bureaucracy. 21 Sixty-seven bureaucracies going to have sixty-seven panels of five people each. And I don't know if you 22 23 are creating bureaucracy to pursue a problem. MR. BENHAYON: Hopefully, it's not needed 24

25

every day.

MR. GALLAGHER: You have to realize every county is different. I am from Bucks. We are pretty busy. Up in the rural counties, your constable might only have 20 warrants a month. We normally have a thousand. The system is quite different. It's different in every county.

REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: The volume would be different. That gets back to another point, standardizing the duties. And can we do that by statute? Because then I think that makes it easier to take one statewide body overseeing the constables if you have one consistent set of statute identifying duties.

MR. BENHAYON: If there is anything I would leave here today -- and I hope you are catching what we are saying as constables involved with the state, involved with the education, involved working the streets -- we have counties now that have no certified constables to work because of the change we have made with Act 44, the school, the insurance, the training, the bonding. There are no certified constables in those counties.

One district court, they told me, had one landlord tenant complaint all year. So if you are going to try to make something state, how are you ever

```
going to put people there? I believe on the board now,
1
    there's three people on our board at PCCD from
2
    Allegheny County. I am not sure they would all
 3
    understand what might be going on in Cambria County.
 4
    Lackawanna County, Bucks County, Delaware County.
5
                   If there is anything I would hope you
6
     leave with, let the counties govern themselves.
7
    president judge beat my ear on that. He said adding
8
    bureaucracy -- I understand what you are saying. Let's
9
    keep a lean, mean machine. But in this case, I don't
10
     believe you are going to have to use these boards
11
     constantly. It's going to come up on occasion
12
     implementing this. And it's going to take the
13
     political pressure off these gentlemen testifying, the
14
     district attorney and the judge. I believe that's why
15
     they are asking for this.
16
                   REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: How hard is it
17
     to explain to Allegheny County what a Delaware County
18
     constable does?
19
                   MR. BENHAYON: Let me give you an
20
     example, now that you said that. I just left a meeting
21
     Monday. We had a new constable, a deputy constable
22
     appointed to the education, training board.
23
     individual Bob Garlach, very sharp. He works for
```

Allegheny County inside reviewing pay requests for

24

constables. Whether they get paid or don't get paid, 1 his salary works inside. He is sitting on our board to 2 help us develop training over fee bill paid people. He 3 is a salaried employee. His perspective would be so 4 different. He doesn't work our system, but yet he sits 5 on the board. We have people, when we talk, each one 6 comes back with different counties on how they do 7 things, how they operate. 8 REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: Just because 9 his job doesn't record what you do. I don't see how he 10 is incapable of understanding what you do. 11 MR. BENHAYON: That's the problem. 12 MR. GALLAGHER: He doesn't do what we do. 13 REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: I don't do 14 medical malpractice work. It doesn't mean I can't 15 understand what the lawyers --16 MR. GALLAGHER: That's why we need 17 constables on the board to understand what our job is. 18 If we are going to have a board or panel, we need 19 somebody to understand what we actually do. Every 20 county is so different. It's not unified in any way. 21 You can't believe when we get to the different meetings 22 and you hear stories. It's, like, you don't believe 23 what you are hearing, how you do it compared to the way 24 we do it. And we are all elected. 25

MR. BENHAYON: We attend the school, and Emil is an instructor. They come in and give situations all over the state where district justices have prisoners transported, two, three, four prisoners. The D.J.s only have one constable do the transport. They have had escapes out of different I thought it was out of Lehigh County where counties. the D.J.s used one person for transports. So they don't understand what we are talking about. Minimum, we use two people on transports. It is just so varied. I would not take that away from the counties. I would let them govern themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: Just a couple comments.

Number one, I agree strongly with what Representative Reichley just indicated. This shouldn't be a county by county board of commission. It should be statewide.

number one.

It should be a unified system. I do acknowledge that constables within counties do different things. In Lehigh County, I won't permit constables to transport prisoners except on a case by case basis. And I have to make darn sure they are properly certified and trained. That's one comment.

Secondly, I want to go back to something that was said earlier about this unfortunate incident

involving the dogs. Yes, people were incensed that 1 dogs, family pets were killed. But there was far more 2. concern about the fact that there were three young 3 children in the house at the time the shooting took place. There were seven gunshots fired in that house. 5 It was a row home or a twin home. I forget as I sit 6 7 here. But there were three young children under 8 the age of 6, as I recall, who were in that house at 9 that time. A couple of them followed the dogs down the 10 steps. So it was far more than being concerned about 11 the mere fact that dogs got killed. It was a concern 12 about whether or not these kids -- fortunately, they 13 were not within direct danger. But they were in 14 15 danger. Lastly, I am not sure -- I'll pose the 16 question, if I may, to the gentlemen here. Don't 17 constables have state-wide jurisdiction? 18 MR, BENHAYON: Yes. 19 MR. MARTIN: So they can go between 20 counties, and briefly do, I think. 21 MR. MINNAR: Partially, yes. It is with 22 service of warrants, but it's not with warrants of 23

MR. MARTIN: But if you have an arrest

order of execution or orders of possession.

warrant and you are a -- I don't know where you are 1 2 from, sir --MR. MINNAR: Montgomery County. 3 MR. MARTIN: -- Bucks County can go into 4 Lehigh County? 5 MR. MINNAR: Yes. 6 MR. BENHAYON: It's not cost effective to 7 So that doesn't happen. Could we? Could I 8 do that. travel to Allegheny? Yes, I could. Has the county 9 asked us to transport juveniles, to go pick up another 10 juvenile from another state and bring him back? We 11 have had situations where the Court of Common Pleas has 12 given us Court Orders to do that. It's not cost 13 14 effective. MR. GALLAGHER: You said you don't permit 15 your constables to do transport. In Bucks County, we 16 do all the transports. That's it. It's so different 17 where -- it's hard to understand how different every 18 county is. 19 MR. MARTIN: That's borne out of a long 20 custom that was put in place by several of my 21 predecessors, two of them are now judges, borne of bad 22 experiences when constables in Lehigh were transporting 23 prisoners. I will be quick to say that since the 24

training commission took place, I have, on a case by

case, permitted it. Because I can get a flavor from the district justices who work with these constables whether or not they are well trained, whether they do a good job, whether they are sensible people.

3.

7 .

-8

9.

And, on a case by case basis, I have deviated from that policy. But I still wouldn't do it — and no slam against the constables. I wouldn't do it in Lehigh County for someone who is charged with murder, for example. I would have a deputy sheriff, several deputy sheriffs transport that prisoner.

MR. MINNAR: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I concur with you to a degree. One thing we have to understand, I teach also in the deputy sheriff program, also a curriculum developed for both sheriffs and constables as well.

I am quick to say that in some counties.

economically, there are not enough deputy sheriffs

available to do transport work. They seem to be

limited to courtroom security, things of that nature.

Therefore, the transportation of business has to be one that's delegated down to the constable.

In some cases, counties do it completely, as has been mentioned here. In some cases, it's partial. In Allegheny County, it's a very large business, if you want to call it that, a very large business in which

constables transport up through felons. The type of training instituted should make them capable of handling felony prisoners.

5 ′

10.

As far as I am concerned, it depends on the individual that you are assigning to the job. I think you would agree that selectively, you always pick the people that are most competent when dealing with the high risk or high profile individuals.

The other thing is. I don't want to get into it because I don't want to be part of the investigation. It's all done and it's over. But as far as that dog situation was concerned, a lot of facts that were not brought out. That individual had multiple warrants, had as many as 27 times to get into court. There were 27 warrants that were listed on one.

MR. MARTIN: All parking violations.

MR. MINNAR: And a district justice who said, go out and get him and bring him in. That was never brought up. Morning Call loves to get publicity, and they only say what they want to say. I don't want to get into that right now. But one has to understand the other side of the picture. I would not want to be in their shoes.

They took a beating from the public and everyone else. These are the kind of things maybe they

did or didn't deserve. That will be determined.

At least the civil end still has to be considered. But under these conditions, I think that to rush right in with disciplinary action, by structuring it in such a fashion that we are going to get him out right now because he is unsafe or something like that, that's a bad move. We don't want to overreact.

REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: I think I saw where a constable comes in to petition, has a deputy constable appointment for more than the day duties.

They claim also extra service responsibility. Maybe I am confused. It's not a one day job?

MR. MARTIN: That's what I indicated earlier, that we have, on occasion, acted in an adversarial capacity to permit the Court to further examine that particular petition. We have had petitions filed by constables to appoint, who are, in my judgement, at least, unsuited for the position. We have also had people apply to become constable in wards and districts in which they don't reside.

MR. MINNAR: Mr. Chairman, if I may, to answer your question, sir, there are, quote, two types of constables, the election day constable and the election day deputy who was appointed to fulfill one

function on that particular day. The other one is the deputy constable who is going to be answering to the constable and performing work for the Courts.

Why is he appointed? The petition must justify the fact that there is more than sufficient work that has to be accomplished, more than one individual can handle, the fact that the constable may have fallen, broken his leg last week and will be out of business for maybe six weeks.

These are the things that substantiate the appointment of a deputy constable by the Courts and that deputy constable holds that position as long as the constable wants him to hold it and as long as the Court does not remove the petition.

REPRESENTATIVE GABIG: I mentioned the sheriff and I also mentioned the coroner as another position in Pennsylvania that's sort of an odd historic position. You don't have to be a doctor. You can go out and do things. And abuse is there, and you get into the same thing because of an elected official.

But the more important thing -- I don't want anybody, specifically my colleagues on the committee -- I think we need to look at it broader and including the elimination. This might be an old historic relic that no longer fits into the modern

world. And I think we need to look at it broader.

I don't think that my colleague Zug's approach is the way we need to go right now. We need to look at a bigger picture and decide, do we want to keep these? And maybe it's time for them to go altogether. That is my point I tried to make. I hope I didn't confuse that with some of the dialogue.

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, and the other members of the committee.

I am not a member of the Judiciary Committee. I really appreciate the opportunity to be here and allow me to participate. I would like to thank the gentlemen here who have been giving testimony, for taking time out for what I am sure is a busy schedule to be here.

I would like to state for the record. I am from Bucks County. I know Chuck Benhayon and Mike Gallagher very well. I am also somebody that has an enormous amount of respect for the difficult work the constables do in Bucks County.

And it's been stated a couple times here that I know the district attorney, you mentioned about parking tickets and also, Judge, you mentioned a couple times in your statement in number 4 about a \$10 parking ticket and also in number 5 about parking tickets.

And, Chuck and Mike, this is a question

for you. I would like you to state for the record. I know you do a whole lot more than go after people with parking tickets. Your work is extremely dangerous.

State for the committee some of the things that you do. You transport criminals, dangerous criminals. Some of the more dangerous things that the constables do in Bucks County.

MR. GALLAGHER: We do warrants. And warrants are parking tickets. We do misdemeanors to felonies. In our district court, warrants are issued and they are given to the constable, to my deputy. And we work in pairs of two. Some counties only work in one. That's why some problems possibly happen where you only have one.

we go into houses. We are in there to arrest somebody. We are not welcome there. People lie to us. Sixty percent of the people in that house lie to us. That's why stuff is embellished when they have these and when a complaint is filed.

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Many times, you are going after men and women who are wanted for very serious crimes?

MR. GALLAGHER: Most of the times. I mean, we go for parking tickets. You have a parking ticket, we have a warrant for you. We are not going to

take you in on the parking ticket. But we go back 1 there five, six, seven, eight times, we have no choice. It's a warrant. 3 We don't pick up somebody ever on a first time or the second or third time. We talk to them. We 5 try to level with them. Go to the Court. You owe the Court money. Get it squared away. There comes to a 7 point where you have to handle it in the way it's deemed. You have no choice. 9 We do evictions. We are there to throw 10 you out of your house. How do you think we feel? You 11 owe this man \$2700. You didn't pay him. You have to 12 get out. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: You are 14 forced, because of the proximity, to go into 15 Philadelphia? 16 MR. GALLAGHER: I would say 50 percent of 17 my work is in Philadelphia because we touch 18 Philadelphia, Bucks County. Most of the people commit 19 a crime, get a traffic warrant, it's issued out of 20 district court. We go to Philadelphia, and it's 21 different. 22

REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Dangerous

24 work?

23

25

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank God -- I have been

a constable for nine years -- I have never had to use 1 my firearm. On occasion, I'll tell you what, you are 2 down there, you don't believe how people live and the 3 situations you are put in. When you are taking their son or daughter out of the house, they are not happy 5 6 with you. REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Are you ever 7 forced to call the local police officers? MR. GALLAGHER: Many times. If we feel 9 when we go to Philadelphia or even in Bucks County, we 10 feel we have a problem, we will call, we get our local 11 police. We work very well. We have county radios. 12 are very professional. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: How about 14 when you cross the county into Philadelphia County. are 15 you forced to call Philadelphia police? 16 17 come out, we tell them, hey, we are going to be on 18

MR. GALLAGHER: Many times. Even if they Hagerman Street at this address, we just want you to know we are here in case they get a phone call at that

address, we are there. 21

19

20

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: So that's part of your procedure, letting the local police know? MR. GALLAGHER: There's also civil

papers. We are going in, and you know, if you go to 25

court and you file papers against somebody and you win or lose, whatever the case might be, we might be there to sell your personal property. We are not really well liked guys.

. 9

That's why we feel when a complaint is made, a complaint has to be made, and it has to be made in writing and also notarized. When you say this constable did this, if something becomes of that and they find this was all embellished, we would have a legal right to go back and say, didn't you say this, etc.?

It's unbelievable. It's hard to explain. Every situation is different. Like Chuck said, you have to react in that last second. Did that guy have a gun? Did you see a gun, a knife? Did the person sick those dogs on you? Is that a friendly dog?

You really have seconds to react. You have to use common sense in that house. With our training, we believe it got to that level. Some of our training could be different with the board, but that's a different issue.

If it's a course on vicious dogs. I believe we have one coming up now, something coming in the near future, which is good. We have a little bit of input on the training, not a whole lot. We do fill

out reviews on what is going. But we feel some of our 1 training maybe doesn't really, you know, isn't really. 2 what we need. We need things we would like to suggest. 3 And sometimes it doesn't happen that way. There's things that we suggest and it 5 gets blown over. That's why these committees are 6 formed and boards are formed. We believe we should 7 have somebody on that board, like a constable, that 8 knows what we are doing, knows what is going on, knows 9 the streets. One or two constables on the board. 10 And, again, at a board level, a county 11 board, every county is so different. We transport. 12 mean, it goes on and on and on. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: You transport 14 15 felons? MR. GALLAGHER: Every Court is almost 16 different. I work in a Court, Chuck works in the Court 17 next to me. The Judge has a different way of doing 18 It's not unified. We have 18 district courts 19 in Bucks County, and we have probably 18 different 20 procedures. 21 And that's what we have to uniform some 22 how, some way. And not only in the county alone. 23 are going from county to the state, and it's just hard 24 to put this altogether. 25

MR. BENHAYON: It's very different how we handle this. As District Attorney Martin was explaining about transports, in the last six months, I have transported a bank robber that hit 12 banks out of the Lancaster area, came to our area and committed several bank robberies.

21.

. 24

We transported for a murder trial that we had in Bucks County. We have taken many felons back and forth. Sheriff's department, we have a new sheriff now. I believe he has approximately 30 officers.

By doing these transports, using caged vehicles that we provide on our own, we see they are secure. We file the guidelines that Temple University and PCCD gives us. We are able to provide this service and keep the Courts moving and keep the back log from occurring.

We also do our landlord and tenant notices. We do evictions, possessions of that property, very dangerous things. In Mike's township, we just had a constable who had a gun drawn on him. You assume everything is going to be fine.

It's a multifaceted job. We handle civil papers, executions, levy sales. In the civil end of these things, it could be as dangerous as the criminal because you don't expect it. People are very

emotional. 1 REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Real quickly. 2 Judge, I believe you have answered this question. You 3 do not come here endorsing House Bill 278? 4 JUDGE CHARLES: I made that very, very 5 clear on multiple occasions. I am not here endorsing 6 any specific statutory scheme. I am here because there 7 is a need for oversight of constables. And I don't 8 disagree that there are many good people that are 9 constables and do good work. 10 But I think every one of us recognizes 11 that there have been abuses. Some of them have been 12 articulated. And every single one of us agree there 13 that must be oversight of constable. And that's all I 14 am here to endorse. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: District 16 Attorney Martin, do you endorse House Bill 278 as it is 17 18 written presently? MR. MARTIN: I endorse it conceptually. 19 I don't have a problem with the five grounds that are 20 set forth for removal. I just feel strongly that it 21 needs broader approach. 22

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Chairman, if I might make a suggestion or recommendation from what I have heard here today, it seems like the consensus is

23

24

that there is a need for a type of Bill, maybe a comprehensive Bill on oversight.

4.

. 6

Emil, you indicated in your testimony that when the Bill was introduced, you were not invited for comment. And you felt that you would be most directly effected by this Bill.

MR. MINNAR: That's correct, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me that, with all due represent to my colleague Representative Zug, that possibly all of the groups here could get together -- the District Attorneys Association, the Constables Association, maybe working with the Judiciary Committee and with Representative Zug -- to come up with a comprehensive Bill that might be agreeable to everybody. That might be the solution to this problem.

CHAIRPERSON DALLY: That's a point well taken. I think a lot of times we have public hearings knowing that it's not something that could be adopted whole cloth.

REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: Chairman, one more thing, with all due respect to the Judge, your comment about the dandelions, as someone who grew up in a household who ate dandelions two or three times a week in season, I am sure my grandmother turned over in

her grave when she heard that. 1 JUDGE CHARLES: That was not my comment. 3 That was quoted from another district attorney. 4 quoted it because it was colorful. 5 MR. MARTIN: It wasn't my comment as the other district attorney. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON DALLY: I would like to thank 8 the panel for providing testimony. As Gene mentioned, 9 I think this provides us with food for thought and how 10 to address this issue. I am going to request that Dave Thomas, from our staff, be in contact with each of the 11 12 interested parties in this discussion to see if we can 13 move this process forward and hopefully come up with a Bill that will address the concerns that were mentioned 14 15 today as well as deal with the concerns that are out 16 there in the community too. So I would like to thank 17 each one of you. (At or about 11:15 p.m., the hearing 18 concluded.) .19 20 21 22

23

24

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me in the proceedings of the above cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same.

Middle & Parker

Michelle S. Parke Court Reporter