## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## GAME AND FISHERIES COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
RYAN OFFICE BUILDING
ROOM 205
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2008 9:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON PENNSYLVANIA FISH AND BOAT COMMISSION

## BEFORE:

HONORABLE EDWARD G. STABACK, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE ANTHONY M. DeLUCA

HONORABLE GORDON R. DENLINGER

HONORABLE GARTH D. EVERETT

HONORABLE KEITH J. GILLESPIE

HONORABLE NEAL P. GOODMAN

HONORABLE GARY HALUSKA

HONORABLE ROB KAUFFMAN

HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA

HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY

HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD

HONORABLE DAN MOUL

HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER

HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY

HONORABLE HARRY A. READSHAW

HONORABLE SAMUEL E. ROHRER

HONORABLE CHRIS SAINATO

HONORABLE DAN A. SURRA

DEBRA B. MILLER REPORTER

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIFIERS	
3		
4	NAME	PAGE
5	DR. DOUGLAS J. AUSTEN	4
6	MR. GARY MOORE	82
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
CHAIRMAN STABACK: The hour of 9 o'clock
1
  having arrived, I will call the hearing of the House
2
   Game and Fisheries Committee to order.
3
4
            Today we are here to take testimony in the
   form of the annual report from the Pennsylvania Fish
   and Boat Commission.
7
            Before we get into that, I would like the
   members of the Committee that are present to identify
   themselves and the districts that they represent,
10
   starting with myself.
11
            Ed Staback, Chairman of the Committee.
12
   represent the mid and upper valley of Lackawanna
13
   County and southern Wayne County.
            Starting on my left.
14
15
            REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: David Millard, the
   109th District, Columbia County.
17
            REPRESENTATIVE PEIFER: Mike Peifer,
   representing Pike, Wayne, and Monroe Counties.
18
   is the 139th District.
19
20
            REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Dan Moul, 91st
   District, Adams and Franklin Counties.
21
22
            REPRESENTATIVE MAHONEY: Tim Mahoney,
23
  Fayette County, the 51st District.
24
            REPRESENTATIVE PERRY: Scott Perry, 92nd
25
  District, York and Cumberland Counties.
```

```
1
            REPRESENTATIVE SURRA: Dan Surra, the 75th
  District, Elk and Clearfield Counties.
2
            REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: Sam Rohrer, the
3
  Republican chairman of the Committee, from Berks
4
   County.
6
            REPRESENTATIVE READSHAW: Harry Readshaw,
   36th District, Allegheny County.
7
            REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Deberah Kula, 52nd
8
  District, Fayette and Westmoreland Counties.
10
            REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Gary Haluska from
   Cambria County, 73rd District.
11
12
            REPRESENTATIVE DeLUCA: Tony DeLuca from the
13
   32nd Legislative District, Allegheny County.
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Bottom row.
14
15
            REPRESENTATIVE EVERETT: Garth Everett, 84th
  District, Lycoming County.
17
            REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: Neal Goodman, 123rd
  District, Schuylkill County.
18
19
            REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Chris Sainato, 9th
20
   District, Lawrence and a little bit of Beaver County.
21
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. We will now accept
22
   today's testimony from the Executive Director of the
23
   Fish and Boat Commission, Dr. Doug Austen.
24
            Doug, you can begin whenever you are ready.
25
            DR. AUSTEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
```

Chairman Rohrer, for the opportunity to be here.

On behalf of the Commissioners and staff of
the Fish and Boat Commission, I would like to thank
you for this opportunity to present this report. I
also want to thank you for your willingness to
support the Commission, our mission, and the hundreds
of thousands of citizens of the Commonwealth who
enjoy the value of the tremendous aquatic resources
of Pennsylvania.

Before I begin, I would like to first just note the passing of Commissioner Fred Osifat. Fred covered the northeast part of the State for us. Fred was a wonderful Commissioner, friend, and avid -- and I underscore avid -- fly fisherman, and we are going to miss him sorely. His family and friends I think certainly can be comforted by knowing of his tireless dedication and passion for the fishing and the resources of Pennsylvania. The work that he did just in the short time that he was with us I think will be known for years to come and will have an impact for generations.

We also have some guests here with us this morning from my staff at the Fish and Boat

Commission. I just want to recognize those folks so you can get to know who they are.

1 Over on my right-hand side here is Bernie Matscavage. Bernie is our Director of the Bureau of 2 Administration and also Human Resources. 3 4 In the back, everybody I think knows Gary Moore, our legislative liaison. 6 Over here to my left is Brian Barner. 7 is the new Deputy for Administration, Boating, and Engineering. Laurel Anders is with us. Laurel is 10 formerly one of our educators and now is our 11 Strategic Planning and Special Programs Director. 12 And I do not think we have any Commissioners 13 or members here this morning. So to help organize my comments, what I'll 14 do is I'll break them into four sections this 15 morning. First, I would like to review some of the 16 recent accomplishments of the Commission; secondly, I 17 will discuss our fiscal status and projected 18 financial conditions for a couple of years into the 19 future; the third section is to describe some of the 20 ongoing efforts, some of which will require, 21 22 obviously, your involvement in the legislative issues 23 facing the Fish and Boat Commission; and finally, 24 I'll just give a few thoughts about the future. 25 And also I should note that you have this

1 packet in front of you. I may refer to a few things in here throughout the morning, but please take these 2 with you. There is a lot of good, some new material 3 in here that I think will be very handy for you. Ιf you would like additional copies of any of this, please let us know. We can send you them either 6 7 electronically or, through Gary, they can be delivered to your office. So feel free to ask for 8 these things; we would be happy to get them to you. 10 If there is one certainty in my presentation this morning, it is that the successes of the Fish 11 and Boat Commission are the result of many dedicated 12 13 Pennsylvanians. These include our committed Commissioners, professional staff, strong and 14 mutually beneficial partnerships, an engaged and 15 16 supportive public, and obviously a legislature and a Governor who share a common interest and a common 17 vision for a better Pennsylvania. With this support 18 19 dedication, the agency has seen some remarkable 20 accomplishments in the last couple of years. 21 Now in its 142nd year, the Fish and Boat 22 Commission remains dedicated to protecting, 23 conserving, and enhancing Pennsylvania's aquatic 24 resources and providing fishing and boating 25 opportunities for all of us and for future

1 generations.

While steeped in tradition, the Commission acknowledges that the issues and concerns of 2008 are obviously not the same as those of 1866 or even as short as a decade ago. Societal needs and interests, such as disengagement with the outdoors, new and more complicated challenges with water quality and quantity, invasive and exotic species, diseases such as VHS and the Great Lakes, and other issues all require us to be attentive and proactive to ensure we meet the needs of the angling and boating public and the resources of the Commonwealth.

Thus, to be successful in addressing these issues, we need to employ new tools and keep current in science and new management approaches, and indeed I think we have.

brought us two opening days of trout season that addresses both the climactic differences in the State as well as the challenges with our kids on busy spring weekends during soccer and baseball, and I see that with my kids all the time. Trying to get out on a Saturday morning is really tough, and I think this dual opening day will help a lot of people with that. I have talked with many people that it has already.

Through listening to the public through our
Trout Summit, we are stocking larger trout. We
started that last year. We now have an electronic
licensing system, and I am wearing our license right
here for you all. I will mention something about
that a little bit later.

We have been implementing state-of-the-art effluent filtration in our hatcheries, and there is a wonderful handout in your folder on the left-hand side that shows some of the results of dramatic reductions in total suspended solids in the effluence of these streams that takes them well below the NPDES permits that the DEP set forth. So very successful. In fact, this week, a number of our staff are down in Florida at a national aquaculture conference presenting some of these results, which will be, I think, welcomed by the aquaculture community nationwide.

We have also seen an active emergence of our fishing access program, the boat access grant program with nearly 50 projects either completed or in progress, a reconfigured musky program, greatly expanded emphasis on habitat, and innovative marketing strategies that your constituents have been seeing already and will continue to see throughout

1 this year and some new ways into the future.

We look to expand our understanding of the
resources through a new emphasis on the rivers. Our
Three Rivers Ecological Center in Pittsburgh is well
established now and is expanding. We are hiring new
staff to focus solely on the Delaware and the
Susquehanna Rivers. For the first time, the Fish and
Boat Commission will have staff whose sole job will
be to address the complicated issues of managing
these large interstate river systems.

We also have a new organizational structure that will help move us forward with creative ideas and a management structure designed to facilitate getting these things done. We are currently and continually looking to ensure efficiencies; conserve, enhance, and protect resources; and address the needs of the angling and boating publics of the Commonwealth.

I guess from one perspective, after 4 years of hammering at this stuff really hard, it would be nice to sit back and take a break for a little while, but if you know me, that is not going to happen. We have a lot of challenges still ahead of us. We have some great new staff on board and we are bringing on some new people as well in the next couple of months,

and I think we are ready to really move it to the next phase of attacking these challenges in a serious way.

So let's talk a little bit about fiscal issues before we get into some of these other challenges. I would like to take a few minutes to discuss our finances. How are we doing in terms of fiscal health?

The issue is basically centered around the question, the obvious question, of when will we lose the advantage of the 2005 license fee increase and have to come back to you again for the next fee increase? As you are all aware, the revenues resulting from that increase are constantly being eroded over time by rising energy costs, dramatically rising energy costs, which also plays out in things like fish feed; inflation; increasing personnel expenses due to health care and contract-mandated salary increases; and many new fiscal stressors. We all recognize that a future license fee increase is inevitable, so I think it best to be direct and talk about our current conditions and what the future portends.

The way I often describe our situation is in the context of a large cycle, roughly a 7- to 10-year

1 cycle. In a sense, it reflects the core of this relationship we have between the Legislature and the 2 Commission. The underlying economic principle is 3 that throughout this cycle, we have the reality of a relatively fixed income stream based upon an established license and boat registration fee structure multiplied by a certain sized user group, 7 and that size obviously changes a little bit over time, but it is, for the most part, relatively 10 stable. I say "relatively fixed" because these two factors are acting to erode our revenue stream. 11 12 First is, for the approximately past two 13 decades, the number of people purchasing licenses has 14 generally declined. We saw a large peak in the late eighties, early nineties, and a gradual decline over 15 that period. It is also interesting to note that in 16 the sixties and seventies and early eighties, the 17 numbers were roughly at or below where we are at 18 19 right now, so there is a large shift in this over the 20 last 30 or 40 years. 21 We have worked to counter this trend through 22 innovative marketing, exciting new programming, and 23 that is reflected in marginal increases in the last 2 24 years. In fact, in 2006, we had an increase of about 25 2.4 percent. In 2007, we had an increase of about

1 1 1/2 percent, which I think pretty much goes
2 contrary to national trends in license declines
3 throughout the country.

4

7

The second major factor eroding our revenue results is our current fee-increase quandary. As the years go by, after each fee increase, costs escalate, as we mentioned, inflation eats into our funds, and as history has shown, we eventually require another fee increase.

As each increase is enacted, license sales 10 dramatically decline. In 2005, the year that the 11 12 last increase was implemented, we saw a 9 1/2 percent 13 drop in license sales. That happens roughly in the 8- to 10-percent range after each major increase in 14 license fees. I think this is primarily due to the 15 severity of the license fee increase. People can 17 handle a couple percent here and there, but when you run to 25-, 30-percent increases in fees, that 18 19 becomes quite an issue for the public and something 20 that often garners reaction that is hard to deal 21 with. So this 8-year cycle is often repeated and has 22 been in the last number of decades.

So how did we find ourselves in this
situation? Obviously, it is a mixture of issues:
history, tradition, the legislative process, control,

the need for communication that is better amongst us
all, and possibly a number of other issues. Whatever
the cause, I would submit that the result is
detrimental to the agency and its mission. It is
also unpopular with the public, as we see by the
declines in license sales during those fee increases,
erodes participation, and ultimately is bad for the
resource.

We will be working with you all, as we have

2.0

been with Chairmen Staback and Rohrer, to come up with ideas, ways that we can propose to you through the Commissioners to try to address these sorts of issues. We need to find new ways of establishing appropriate fees for both fishing licenses and boat registrations and will ask for your creative insight into this, your willingness to work with us to try to solve some of these problems, and hopefully employment of your legislative skills to resolve these problems, because it will be a challenge and I think it is something that we need to address.

So where are we right now in the cycle?

Where are we right now in February of 2008? In 2005, obviously we had an increase. We estimate that in roughly the year 2012 and '13, that fiscal year, our fund balances will be at a critically low level.

Actually, yesterday was canceled, the first audits for the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee. As you recall, the bill that increased our fees also mandated a triannual audit, and one of the things that that audit did was spend a lot of time delving into the fee structure and our cyclical nature of finances, and that also concurred with the fact that in 2012, roughly in that period, we are going to hit the point where our money and our funds will not be able to meet our needs for payroll and other sorts of things.

So you back that up a year or two, and we have to start looking for fee increases some time, talking to you certainly in the 2010 era, for a fee increase roughly about 2011, if we go by the same model we have been going with in the past.

So this seems like it is far into the future, but actually it is not all that far down the line. This leaves us with a couple of options, obviously: to pursue the same route over again with these negative connotations of the large increase and the consequence of decline in sales, or we try to find some other ways. As my good friend, Al Einstein says, we can't solve problems by using the same kind of thinking that created them. It is something that

1 you all have heard, I am sure, many times. So what are the options to deal with this 2 sort of thing? So can we tie a fee increase to 3 inflation, the cost-of-living adjustment sort of option? That has been on the table for some time. We can think about other options for alternative funding, or for more frequent but smaller increases, 7 some way of addressing this sort of issue in creative ways that doesn't put us all in the position of dealing with rather large, substantial increases that 10 you see in the headlines the following spring, 25, 11 12 30, 35 percent increases and the concomitant decrease 13 in license sales that goes along with that. So to conclude this session, I just would 14 look forward to working with you all to try to find 15 some solutions to this over the course of the next 16 year and see if we can find something that will work 17 for all of us. 18 19 The other thing that we really want to thank 20 you about is the passage late last year and the 21 signature this year by this Governor of HB 1109, 22 which is Act 2 of 2008. Gary was really hoping that 23 there would be an Act 1 of 2008, but we got pretty 24 darn close, so I consider that a success, and thank

25

you for your support.

1 That is the pass-through fee for the Automated Licensing System, our PALS system. 2 on my suit here a license I bought this morning down 3 at our offices, which actually has a new pass-through fee in it. So that includes the 70-cent-per-transaction cost that will be passed on 6 7 to the consumer. So I took a hit for the agency today by paying my buck 40, but that will help offset the roughly million-dollar cost that is entailed in 10 running the point-of-sale system for Pennsylvania. 11 So that will be on board now. We have only 12 sold roughly about 10 percent or so of our licenses 13 this year, so the vast majority of the people will be seeing that buck 40 on their license or the 70 cents 14 if they just buy the resident or nonresident annual 15 license. 16 17 As we go on through this process, we will be working on a public relations campaign, get the word 18 19 out to people so they know what this is about, and 20 hopefully recognize the benefits of the PALS system as part of the process. 21 22 Swiping my driver's license through this 23 morning took about 30 seconds to buy the license. Ιt

was real easy; it is very convenient. The vendors

have a much easier time dealing with tracking the

24

25

```
1
   paperwork, reporting, and all those sorts of things.
   We are hoping that this will be a good deal for all
2
   parties involved in the process.
3
            So let us conclude this section of the
4
            I will just mention two major issues that
   are in the legislative coffers at this point in time.
   One obviously is the recent budget address by
7
   Governor Rendell that is in your guys' House right
   now, the "Rebuild Pennsylvania" capital funds
   initiative. That includes, among many other
10
   important components, the repair of
11
   Commonwealth-owned and Fish and Boat
12
13
   Commission-managed unsafe dams.
            The Fish and Boat Commission manages nearly
14
   70 dams for the Commonwealth, but unfortunately,
15
   about 17 of these are deemed unsafe due to their
16
   inability to handle the extreme high-water events
17
   that we see in major hurricanes. Hurricane Agnes
18
19
   would be one of the examples that people use for
20
   this. To meet the probable maximum flood, it needs
21
   to pass 50 percent of that to be deemed as a safe
22
   dam, and the structures that we have are distributed
23
   about the Commonwealth. There is a flyer in your
   folder that describes these. There are 17 that we
24
25
   have, and we will be working with you all and the
```

members of the Senate to try to ensure that these funds maintain themselves and that we work out with the local communities, the counties and townships, to find additional funds to go with them.

We are very appreciative of these funds but also recognize that the money allocated is not going to cover the total cost of these. So finding other dollars, leveraging our funds with others, is going to be a big part of this whole process.

And actually, Leaser Lake in Lehigh County is a perfect example of that. One and three-quarter million dollars of Fish and Boat Commission money was matched by about \$3 1/2 million of other money to fix that lake. It is in the process of being fixed right now. So that is the model that we can use elsewhere.

The second major initiative, I think, is the issue of youth: youth involvement in fishing, the disengagement of youth, and the efforts to reconnect youth to the outdoors. Last year, Representatives Gergely and McGeehan were the prime sponsors, along with 37 others, and many of you on this Committee, in introducing HB 1436. I consider this to be an appropriate and bold bill to challenge tradition and recognize that the priorities of today's youth are simply not what any of us experienced as kids. I

1 know in seeing my kids grow up, I can't hardly relate to the lifestyle that they live now compared to what 2 I lived growing up in the sixties and early 3 seventies. It is different. We need to have different solutions to these problems, and what we are proposing in 1436 I think leads us down that 6 7 path. We can do this thing in a way that is free 8 from barriers and will provide through a sunset 9 10 provision, I think an appropriate sunset provision, a realistic and stringent test of the effectiveness. 11 12 This legislation also brings in significant Federal 13 dollars to match our State funds, both of which have 14 been proposed to be restricted to new and expanding youth programs. 15 16 I have absolutely no doubt that we can make this program work and make a difference, and that is 17 what this legislation is all about, is making a 18 difference for the kids in the Commonwealth of 19 20 Pennsylvania. 21 What I would like to do is just give you two 22 examples, and we have some examples now, because we 23 have been putting in place for the last 2 years a 24 trial program of giving small grants out to

recipients such as schools, park districts, active

25

```
1
   fishing clubs, those sorts of folks that try to
   determine what sorts of programs they can put on the
   ground and also to understand the appetite for this
3
   sort of resource. And as I relate these two stories,
   you know, I think what you guys can do is think about
   how these would play out in your districts, because
7
   that is in fact what can happen, is these sorts of
   things can happen in each one of your districts, in
   the schools and the parks and through the clubs that
   you have, to really make a difference for kids in a
10
   way that we can't do right now.
11
12
            The first story is about Irving Elementary
13
   School in Erie, which received funds from this
   Commission's Sportfishing and Aquatic Resource
14
   Education Grant program. Last year, the school's
15
16
   after-school program allowed more than 50 students to
   learn basic fishing skills, water safety, and
17
18
   principles of resource management. This year, the
   grant funding has allowed the program to expand to
19
20
   some 230 students. During nearly the entire school
21
   year, students delve in with hands-on guided
   practice, lectures, guided reading, research,
22
23
   Internet learning, and field trips.
24
            What I would like to do is there was a great
25
   article in the Erie Times earlier this year where
```

they interviewed the principal of Irving Elementary School, Ms. Fiorelli, and I am just going to give you a quote, just two lines from this article which I 3 think are really relevant: "Fiorelli said that program is a great educational tool and an incentive for students to attend school." This is in quote: "'We have found, especially in literature, a weakness 7 for kids is nonfiction texts,' Fiorelli said. said that reading books about fresh-water bodies and 10 wildlife really hook the students--" no pun intended, "especially when they get to apply their knowledge on 11 12 fishing trips." 13 So this grant for youth education was used by this school to engage kids in reading, which is 14 something that, you know, we knew would happen --15 reading, math, science, ecology -- but here is this 16 17 principal talking about how this money was used to 18 really impact students in a way that she has not been able to do in other ways. So a positive impact at 19 20 that school, that elementary school, in Erie. There is also a great picture here of, I 21 22 think it is an 11-year-old pulling in probably about 23 a 7-pound steelhead at Walnut Creek as part of their experience. So a tremendous opportunity. I would 24 25 like to be in that school.

The second story is about West Chester Fish, Game & Wildlife Association down in Chester County and helping 30 high school students to learn fishing skills and aquatic conservation. Their program is conducted through the entire school year and provides students with the opportunity to learn about Pennsylvania's resources and how to protect and conserve them for future generations.

I happened to be down in Chester County a couple Saturdays ago with SEPAC. It's a coalition of about 15 groups down there, and they had a couple high school students down there who have taken this model from one high school -- I think it is West Chester East or West Chester West, one of those -- and they are moving it to two other high schools in the county, and their goal is to have this in all the schools in Chester County. So this model is replicating itself in other areas down in that part of the State.

HB 1436 is in response to a clear need, rather than some bureaucratic effort, to separate money from kids. There are lots of other ways out there that can do that. The \$5 cost is well within the means of the vast majority of 12- to 15-year-olds who would be required to purchase a license.

Certainly other activities, such as regular purchases of movie tickets, video rentals, fast-food meals, often exceed this nominal cost. Hannah Montana, going to her movie I think costs \$15. Five dollars for a youth fishing license is not that big of a deal.

Further, programs resulting from this bill will be rigorously examined to ensure they have the desired outcome of getting more kids on the water and making them better stewards of aquatic resources. I look forward to working with all of you over the course of the next couple of months to work on getting this bill passed and move forward through the House and the Senate and implement it in Pennsylvania.

So let me move on. I would like to briefly address the challenge of access. Recently, and a lot of you probably are aware of this, the Little Juniata case was finally resolved by withdraw of the appeal by Judge Kurtz's ruling that the "Little J" is in fact and by law a navigable stream. But this is not the end of this larger issue. The challenge before us that will continue long after we are all gone is, what will we do about it? If we don't have access, we don't have opportunity. If we don't have

opportunity, we are not going to get people on the water. That means, I think, a decline for all of us in terms of the resource and the value of life for our kids. If we don't have this opportunity for the next generation to come, it is going to be a problem and continue this disengagement of youth from the outdoors.

In support of improved access, legislative 8 support is needed for the strengthening of the Recreational Use of Land and Water Act. Fear of 10 landowner liability is an issue that we know leads to 11 12 more posted property. I strongly urge the General 13 Assembly and all of you to take action to consider an amendment that would more broadly protect landowners. 14 This should include expanding the definition of 15 "protected land" to include amenities and structures 16 that directly promote fishing and boating, such as 17 boating access and launch ramps, fishing piers, boat 18 19 docks, ramps, paths, paved and unpaved trails, and 20 other ways of accessing the waters. We could 21 certainly work with you on amendments to this bill 22 that I think would make this a much stronger 23 proposition.

Closely related to this, we need to continue to encourage all Pennsylvanians to be better stewards

24

25

1 of the resource and better neighbors of those people who are allowing fishing and boating on their property. I just want to relate to you a letter I 3 got just last week from a group. I am not going to say their names, but they are withdrawing their stream from public access and asking us to stop stocking the stream. This is not atypical of the 7 letters we get with this sort of problem. They give four reasons for this: trash 10 being thrown in the creek and on adjacent fields and woods by fishermen, unrespectful fishermen, and I 11 12 have got to acknowledge here that I think the vast 13 majority of anglers are law-abiding, respectful, and 14 very helpful in this. I know people are doing substantial efforts to try to clean up streams, but 15 there are always some out there who cause these 16 problems, and that is what we need to address. 17 Second, failing to observe warning signs against 18 19 walking on the dam and wading in deep water. 20 poaching and trespassing during waterfowl and deer season despite posting and existing duck lines. 21 22 fourth, use of ATV equipment on sensitive wetlands 23 and failure of us to enforce some of these laws. 24 So this is not atypical of what we run into. 25 We see this sort of thing, and unfortunately, this

results in people putting up those posted signs that
we see that are a part of the process that we need to
address in terms of ensuring that people have access
to our waterways.

2.0

Third, we need to develop a funding stream to address fishing and boating access. Whether this is a line item in the general appropriation, a component of our budget, a new stamp, or part of a license fee increase, we need to take action to address this serious and pending issue.

We have tried this out up in Erie with the \$6 Erie stamp, \$8 if you buy it on your own. It has been tremendously successful, and we have been able to leverage that against substantial amounts of local funds and other funds to improve and enhance and protect access up in the Erie area.

So let me close by clarifying just a couple of thoughts about the future of the Fish and Boat Commission and address resource and recreational needs.

First, in order for us to do our jobs, we need to work with partners, many partners, to get things done. This is no less true of all of you here as it is for us working in the agencies out in the land. These partners could be and often are other

1 State agencies such as the Game Commission with the implementation of the PALS system. It could be DCNR 2 in collaborating with us on fishing and boating 3 access and habitat improvement in our State park lakes, both of which we are doing right now. could be collaborating with DEP in their challenge to 6 7 study water quality in the Susquehanna River and to better understanding of what happens to the smallmouth bass. It could be joint promotional efforts with DCED, which we have been doing now for 10 the last 2 years, to get the word out about the value 11 or the attractiveness of the resources in 12 13 Pennsylvania. At the Federal level, we work with a literal 14 alphabet soup of agencies: the Fish and Wildlife 15 Service, the Forest Service, Agriculture, Defense, 16 everything in between, and NGOs such as Trout 17 Unlimited, Western Pennsylvania Conservancy, the 18 19 Nature Conservancy, and others. This is all part of what we need to do. We need to build alliances and 2.0 21 work to implement projects and programs that are 22 mutually beneficial. None of us can fully accomplish 23 the large goals that affect the landscape on our own, 24 so by working together we can achieve this end that 25 is greater than any of the individual parts.

believe this is what our constituents want from us,
to see improved habitat, to be better informed about
our work, and to have more opportunities for fishing
and boating.

In today's world, I view collaboration as not only a nice endeavor, but more importantly, a vital aspect for making significant progress. To do otherwise would be a disservice to the public, a disservice to the future of the Commonwealth, and a major disservice to the aquatic resource.

We work cooperatively in efforts without sacrificing our identity. This work is conducted without eroding our independence as an agency and with the specific goal of benefiting the aquatic resources and fishing and boating opportunities for all Pennsylvanians.

Moreover, while maintaining and defending our independence as an agency, I will continue to work with whatever partner can help us move forward to fulfill our mission of protecting, conserving, and enhancing the aquatic resources of Pennsylvania and providing recreational opportunities for angling and boating.

Lastly and just to conclude, I would like to look back on these last 4 years. I think it is easy

```
1
   to recognize that this has been a unique opportunity
   to serve as the Director of this agency.
2
                                              This has
   allowed me to work with remarkable professionals,
3
   highly motivated conservation groups, dedicated
4
   citizens, and an abundance of diverse resources.
   Given this track record, I look forward to continue
7
   to work for many years in the future with all of you
   and the constituents of the Fish and Boat Commission
   resources for a bright future for Pennsylvania.
10
            I thank you for this time to update you on
   the Commission, and I would be happy to take any of
11
12
   your questions now and answer them as best I can.
13
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: We have three additional
   members of the Committee that have joined us since we
14
   started in the likes of Representative Gillespie,
15
   Representative Kauffman on the far side, and
16
   Representative Denlinger at the end of the bench.
17
18
            Doug, thank you for your presentation.
                                                     Ιt
19
   was thorough, as usual. You touched on a lot of
20
   issues that I thought about bringing up to you, and
   I'm still going to pop you with a couple of
21
22
   questions, even though you have covered most of what
23
   I wanted to talk to you about.
24
            DR. AUSTEN: I would be disappointed if you
   didn't.
25
```

1 CHAIRMAN STABACK: First, let us talk about point of sale. Your description of how point of sale 2 is working is something that the Committee members 3 have been very interested in hearing for a long time It certainly is good to see the system working, running, the way it should be. With that being said, Doug, is the Commission totally finished now with the 7 sale of paper licenses? DR. AUSTEN: Yes, sir. We were done with 10 paper licenses as of December 1 of 2007. transitioned completely to the point-of-sale system. 11 12 CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. Will vendors have 13 any stock of paper licenses in their possession in 14 case you have a temporary shutdown of the system? 15 DR. AUSTEN: What we do at the end of each 16 year, and this happened in November of last year, is we get back all the paper stock from 2007. 17 preparation or in the event of there being some sort 18 19 of catastrophe, what we did do was we purchased 20 sufficient paper licenses without a year printed on them to use in case some sort of system failure 21 22 should happen. Those are in our warehouse. What we 23 have worked out with our officers is a kind of 24 emergency distribution plan, kind of like UPS in a sense but it would be our officers that can get these 25

```
1
   out within a 24-hour period to agents throughout the
   Commonwealth.
2
            We did not want to have the paper in their
3
   hands, in the agents' hands, because that has the
4
   whole issue of tracking those things and ensuring
   that they have the bonding and all those sorts of
6
   issues that are associated with that.
7
            So we are fairly confident, in fact
8
   extremely confident, that PALS will work fine.
10
   has been working good, but in the event that there is
   a major catastrophe, we do have a plan in place that
11
12
   would get things out there. So it would be, at the
13
   most, about a 24-hour delay.
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Doug, if the Legislature
14
   down the road in the future should create any new
15
   license classifications, would the vendors have the
16
   ability to issue these new licenses via the new
17
18
   point-of-sale system?
19
            DR. AUSTEN: Yes, very easily so. In fact,
20
   what we can do within the system is add new license
   options, modify prices, and do those almost
21
22
   instantaneously. So we have a lot of flexibility to
23
   deal with those sorts of things.
24
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: How about vouchers?
```

DR. AUSTEN: Vouchers, we have the software

25

```
1
   in place to do that. In fact, SB 880, if that goes
   through, we will be ready to implement that almost as
2
   instantaneously as well.
3
4
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. One more question
   dealing with the junior fishing license.
            Back about a year ago when we met in
6
7
   Philadelphia, we had a hearing on the
8
   junior-fishing-license concept, and back then you
   told the Committee that in your opinion, there was
10
   solid support for the concept among the entire board
   of Commissioners. Tell me, is that support still
11
12
   there today, and is it as strong today as it was a
13
   year ago?
            DR. AUSTEN: Mr. Chairman, I think it is.
14
   The Commissioners have voted twice on this.
15
16
   votes were unanimous. In fact, since those votes, we
   have had us some new Commissioners come on board.
17
   That is just a fact of the Commission transition.
18
19
            Some of the new Commissioners, in fact, have
20
   been ardent advocates of us. Len Lichvar, the
   Commissioner that works out of Somerset, has been out
21
22
   talking with many clubs, working with them to educate
23
   them on this and to get them to support this, and
24
   actually has gotten a number of letters on behalf of
25
   that.
```

1 Our Commission President, Bill Sabatose, who is a very active supporter of this, has been going 2 throughout his counties talking to clubs from the New 3 York line all the way down to where he is at to get them engaged. And our officers have done, at this point, over I think about a thousand presentations to 7 groups about the junior fishing license. 8 CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. Let us shift over for a minute to Growing Greener II. Can you talk a 10 little bit about the Commission's spending of Growing Greener II moneys, especially, Doug, the amount that 11 12 has to be spent yet on infrastructure that has not 13 yet been dealt with by the Commission. DR. AUSTEN: Right. In 2005, the Fish and 14 Boat Commission was part of the Growing Greener II 15 16 legislation and the vote. We got \$27.5 million out 17 of that money. The two major purposes of that money were, one, to deal with our hatcheries and to improve 18 19 the effluent management systems for those hatcheries; 20 and second, to deal with some of the dams.

The Commissioner's took that money, the \$27 1/2 million, and more or less allocated \$23 1/2 million for hatcheries and \$4 million for dams. Part

mentioned earlier, we have a significant number of

21

22

dams that need repair.

of that money for the dams is what I talked about 1 with Leaser Lake. Our money came out for that 2 initial part. Another part of that is going to go to 3 Opossum Lake in Cumberland County. The remainder of that money will be added to this pool of money in Rendell's infrastructure package right now. 6 7 will go onto the pool of money to be added onto for dealing with these unsafe dams. The \$23 1/2 million for hatcheries is well overallocated. In fact, I think we had something 10 like \$80 million worth of needs defined for 11 12 hatcheries through this study that was done by 13 FishPro, actually before I came here to Pennsylvania. What we did was we prioritized within those 14 hatcheries the most important issues that need to be 15 addressed in terms of wastewater treatment, the 16 17 effluent, and primarily what that's involved with is implementing these drum filters. And in your packets 18 is the brochure that I talked about that describes, I 19 20 think it is the last handout on the left. It has a picture on the back of what these filters look like. 21 22 These are in place now in Tylersville and in Pleasant 23 Gap. And also you note here is a diagram, a table, 24 showing what we have actually seen in reductions in 25 TSS coming out. So the red line is our NPDES permit;

1 now we are seeing substantially less than that.

DGS and at least three different consultants to finish up this work within the hatcheries. It is going to take probably another 2 to 3 years to get this done all the way through construction.

We are actually overallocated. We have had to make some very difficult decisions in terms of things not to be done given our limits of \$23 1/2 million for the hatcheries. So that has been pretty successful, and we greatly appreciate those funds. We would be happy to take some more; we would spend it wisely for you.

CHAIRMAN STABACK: Doug, because of the problems that you still have with some of the hatcheries, will that necessitate your dependence on going to outside sources to acquire additional fish restocking in Commonwealth waters?

DR. AUSTEN: Yeah. There are two questions with that, really. One is the question of buying fish, and we have been contracting with Tellico for the last, I think we are in our fourth year now, and we buy roughly 100,000 fish from Tellico. That has been a very big project for us. We get the fish relatively cheaply from them, but that is part

because of two reasons. One is that they stock fish only in lakes where it is easy to stock, it does not cost much; and second, their discharge requirements or NPDES permit levels down there are substantially more lax than they are up here.

We are continuing now, we actually have out for bid a new round of fish purchases. Tellico as well as Pennsylvania producers will have the opportunity to put in bids for that.

The more difficult issue is, how many fish do we need? How many fish do we need to stock in the waters of Pennsylvania, what places, what sizes of fish, what mix of species, to create the recreational opportunity that we really want to have? And that is a very difficult thing to determine, because the tastes of the public and their demands are shifting over time.

For example, 10 years ago, people rarely caught and released hatchery trout. Now we found out that roughly 70 percent of the people fishing for hatchery trout, on opening weekend even and subsequent weekends, release their fish back for multiple catch and release. So that changes the mix of how many fish we need to put out there.

Right know the Commission, and I think all

of you are aware of this, we are rewriting our trout plan. Last night, and we did this about 10 years 3 ago, part and parcel of that trout-plan rewriting is a public working group, a number of public meetings -- I think we are going to have six of those around the Commonwealth -- and a significant amount of 6 research or identification of research that needs to 7 be done to better understand the public demands for these fish, and that will help us better state, do we need 3.4 million fish? Do we need more fish? 10 need less fish? The bigger number of fish we put 11 12 last year I think has been received quite well. 13 all these things play into this calculation of, how many fish do we need? And then we look at, can our 14 hatcheries produce them versus do we buy them 15 outside? 16 So there are a lot of things in the air 17 right now that will help us, once they are resolved, 18 19 to better answer that question. I think for the 20 foreseeable future, we will be buying some more fish, but I think a more definitive answer on that is yet 21 22 to come. 23 CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. When you are 24 buying these fish, and I talk about outside sources; 25 I am referring to producers that are outside of the

1 Commonwealth. 2 DR. AUSTEN: Right. CHAIRMAN STABACK: Do we have producers 3 within the Commonwealth that could fulfill your needs 4 for trout? DR. AUSTEN: I guess we will find out. 6 7 this originally went out about 4 or 5 years ago, there were not any that could produce that quantity of fish in the time frame that we needed. I don't 10 know if their production capacity has changed since 11 then. But the bid went out to all producers, so they 12 will have a chance to compete for that just like 13 anybody else would. CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. Thank you, Doug. 14 Representative Rohrer. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: Thank you, Doug, for 17 the good summary you covered, you know, most of the issues, I think, that a lot of the questions will 18 19 come from, and I just want to personally thank you 20 for your diligence in trying to keep the members of this Committee informed of things that are going on 21 22 and think that you do a very good job of that. 23 DR. AUSTEN: Thank you. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: Now, the questions I 25 have really arise out of the comments that you made

as well, and a couple of them touch on a few things that Chairman Staback had mentioned.

1

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Going to the initiative of the automated 3 licenses and so forth, part of the reason for doing 4 that, obviously, was to help collect data and to help the Commission do better planning, knowing who is 6 buying and that kind of thing. Could you just kind 7 of talk that through just a little bit again relative to the kind of data that you are going to hope to 10 collect as we have now moved into this new process, and when, kind of like your target dates of when you 11 12 are going to want to be able or be able to be in a 13 position to produce some data relative to what we are finding and that kind of thing. Just kind of where 14 you are wanting to go in your timetable for being 15 16 able to use this change to help with policy or whatever. 17

DR. AUSTEN: Sure. That's a great question, and thank you for bringing that up, and that really is an exciting byproduct of PALS.

Any business, one of the most fundamental components of the business is knowing who your customers are. We have never been able to do that. We have always had paper licenses and boxes as high as you can jump, a lot higher than I can jump, in our

1 warehouse that you cannot do much with. To use that, one has to pull those boxes out, read off the paper, 2 put them into a computer system, and use it that way. 3 We actually had to do that in the past for some survey work. The other thing is, businesses use this for 6 7 marketing. If you know your customers, you can 8 identify, you know, what sort of, where they live, you can contact them, you can address those sorts of 10 issues and push your message out to them in ways that we can't do or haven't been able to do in the past. 11 12 So a couple of things are happening with 13 this. Probably the most exciting thing or at least the most immediate thing is using this for marketing. 14 Nationwide, a group called the Recreational Boating 15 16 and Fishing Foundation, RBFF, which is kind of a pseudo-Federal entity -- they get money from the 17 Sport Fish Restoration Act; that is an excise tax 18 19 that we get funds from -- they use that money to help 20 promote fishing and boating, and what they are doing now with that is they are working with the States who 21 22 have automated licensing systems to develop the 23 mechanisms to contact what we call the lapsed 24 anglers, anglers who bought a license one year but 25 not the next. These are kind of the low-hanging

```
1
   fruit in any sort of marketing plan, the people you
   know are interested but, for whatever reason,
   busyness, they never got around to it, whatever it
3
   might be, didn't buy a license.
4
            So we have a partnership with them that we
 5
   just signed where they are going to match dollar for
6
   dollar with us -- it will be about a $25,000
7
   contribution from Fish and Boat and about the same
   from them -- to identify these anglers using our
10
   license sales from last year -- we sold about a
   quarter of our licenses last year using PALS -- look
11
12
   at it this year in about the late April-May period, I
13
   think, and see who has not bought a license at that
   point in time, and then send them a package. We are
14
   not quite sure what this will be -- a card, you know,
15
16
   a letter with come coupons in it, things like that --
   to say, hey, we know you bought a license before; why
17
   don't you take advantage of this and buy one now?
18
            And other States that have done this have
19
20
   tried all sorts of innovative tools, like coupons
   from sporting goods stores or letters from whoever it
21
22
   is -- a letter from me probably is not going to
23
   encourage them to buy a license, but other things
24
   will -- and they found these to be very successful.
25
            Lapsed anglers is a big issue for us.
```

national study for some States that went out and tracked this over a 5-year period found that only 15 percent of their licensed buyers bought a license every year for each of those 5 years -- only 15 percent. That means there are a lot of people out there who jump in and out of going fishing. So we need to address those people, and now we will have the tools for doing that sort of thing, and we will be using this regularly and consistently and taking advantage of this.

I think putting together stuff for the anglers who will be--- It is not going to be junk mail to these folks. It is going to be something that will be helpful, useful, hopefully maybe give them some financial, you know, benefit through coupons and things like that.

The other way we are going to use this, and our trout work group is going to be the first entity to use this, is to take that information to help develop survey databases. In the past, to develop this area of license holders, you had to take those paper license and actually input all that information by hand into a computer. Here all we can do is we have this all computerized, we can employ the proper tools to do a randomized compilation of names based

on whatever sort of segmentation and classification that we need to get a, you know, sort of a Gallup 2 Poll effort to go out and ask anglers' opinions on 3 different things, and that will be much more quick, much easier, and much more viable for us to do. think that will help us in the future to better 6 7 understand our constituents in a way that we have never been able to do in the past. REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: Good. I appreciate 10 I think, I mean, I was supportive of this move, you know, as we have talked to the whole 11 12 ability of being able to communicate, knowing your 13 customer. Being able to communicate to them in a timely basis can provide a lot of really good data, 14 and your comment at the beginning in talking about 15 16 the need for, you know, license increases and all that, I mean, that is part of the equation, but also 17 maintaining the base of those who buy---18 19 DR. AUSTEN: Right. 20 REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: ---is a big one, and if you guys can use this to capture some of those who 21 22 have already walked away or, through that, develop 23 it, you have done a lot of good. So I'm really 24 anxious to kind of see how you are going to be able

to capture this and deal with it, because I think the

25

1 potential is there. 2 The other thing that is going DR. AUSTEN: on is there has been a renewed recognition by 3 industry -- the manufacturers of fishing tackle, boats and motors, hunting equipment, everything else -- that their financial future depends upon our 6 success in getting people out in the woods, and 7 through an organization called the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, AFWA -- it is a national 10 organization where all the Directors of agencies get together -- we are working with representatives of 11 12 industry, presidents and CEOs and CFOs of these 13 companies, to try to work on new ways of marketing outdoor activity. 14 15 In fact, later this month I will be meeting with them at a retreat in Alabama where a number of 16 other Directors, the Director of the U.S. Fish and 17 Wildlife Service, and presidents from at least a 18 19 dozen of these companies will be together to talk 20 about how we can take their financial wherewithal, their marketing, marry it with our work on the 21 22 ground, our licensing systems, all sorts of things, 23 to get this word out in really new and innovative 24 and, we hope, effective ways.

REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER:

Good.

I think that

25

1 is exciting.

16

23

24

25

2 One of the aspects that has been of some concern in the past -- I think it has been pretty 3 much taken care of, but just from the standpoint of the Committee -- how is the Commission dealing with the issue of Social Security numbers on applications? The fear of identify theft is big and increasing, and 7 this has been an issue in the past. Just for our benefit, how is that particular item being addressed 10 in this new process?

11 DR. AUSTEN: Well, we are trying to address 12 this two ways. One is to protect that number as best 13 we can. I think the biggest issue with this in the 14 past has been the fear that when they write it on their license, it is going to be left on the counter 15 of a sporting shop or, you know, whatever the store is they buy it at, and it could be used for nefarious 17 18 purposes. The PALS system allows the buyer to input 19 it onto a little keypad, just like you would if you 20 are doing your PIN number at the grocery store, that sort of this, input it once, privately, it goes into 21 22 the system, and nobody else sees it.

Once you are in the system, you are given a customer ID number. You never have to put your Social Security number in again. So that customer ID number is what tracks you after that point in time,
and you just swipe your driver's license or whatever
the tool is that you use for getting into the system.

So hopefully we will have that as protected

as possible through whatever good security measures that we have. This isn't going to be carried around on a laptop by anybody. That does not happen. This is all on a secured network system run by an automated licensing system out of Nashville,

The other thing we are trying to do is remove the necessity for even collecting Social Security numbers. As you are all aware, this is part of a Federal law that mandates that we collect these because of the deadbeat dad sort of thing. We believe, and there is legislation that you passed and we are working with the appropriate State and Federal agencies to try to get exemptions from that for recreational licenses. We have got letters and we have gotten requests. We are working with the appropriate people to try to get this in place and hoping that that will be the case.

You know, taking away somebody's fishing and boating privileges is the last thing on a long list of things that would, I think, get deadbeat dads to

```
pay up their alimony or whatever it is, their child
   support payments or that sort of thing. So we are
   trying to deal with that as best we can.
3
                                              That is a
   long bureaucratic process. We will continue to
   pursue that. I cannot say when that will resolve
   itself, but we are doing that.
7
            REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER:
                                    Okay.
                                            The last
   question I have, a question that comes up often, and
8
   it is about as interesting to talk about as a license
10
   increase -- the idea of a potential merger of the
   Commissions. I think it is just appropriate every
11
12
   time we go through this to kind of get on the record
   again where this Commission is relative to that
13
   issue. It is a matter that is being discussed, not
14
   actively, but it is always kind of hanging out there.
15
16
   From your perspective, where is the Commission on
   that, and where do you remain?
17
            DR. AUSTEN: Both from the Commission's
18
19
   perspective and mine, it is quite clear the
2.0
   Commission has taken formal action to state that they
   are against a Commission, and unequivocally, I would
21
22
   like to state that I am against mergers as well.
23
   think the agencies work together quite well right
24
   now. We are very effective. We partner together in
25
   ways that even most large agencies don't, and I have
```

worked with a lot of large agencies. I came from
one.

I think the partnerships we have now, the 3 effectiveness of getting things done on the ground, 4 is really proof in the pudding that the agencies work together well. There is no reason for people to even be talking about mergers, and I really object to, and 7 I see this in columns and other people out there who say that when you work together, you are just laying the foundation for a merger. That is horse hockey. 10 I think that is arguing against what we should be 11 12 doing. I would suggest that if we don't work 13 together, that would give you guys a reason to really look at mergers, but that is not the case. 14

So to answer your question quite bluntly, opposition is clear to that. I think we are doing a good job right now, and I hope the people of Pennsylvania would agree with that.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER: And my own personal sense is the more you continue to do the kinds of things that you are doing, staying very focused and on the leading edge of being in PALS and that kind of thing in order to be there, you are ensuring that that does not happen.

25 DR. AUSTEN: I think so. I think the issue

```
1
   of looking at agency structures nationwide is too
   simple. People often put things in two piles.
2
   are the mega-agencies and there are these just kinds
3
   of Commissions like us, when in fact every State is
   different in how they structure things. Every State
   has a unique way of operating these sorts of things.
   I think what you need to look at is how effective is
7
   whatever mix you have at in doing things on the
   ground, and I say the mix of agencies we have, the
10
   people running those agencies, the funding that you
   all give these agencies in Pennsylvania, puts us at
11
12
   least amongst the top several States in the country.
13
   And I am looking at a lot of States right now who are
14
   seriously in decline and look at Pennsylvania saying,
   we got it pretty good right here, right now, and
15
16
   thank you all for helping to make that happen.
17
            REPRESENTATIVE ROHRER:
                                     Thank you, Doug.
            Mr. Chairman.
18
19
            CHAIRMAN STABACK:
                               Representative Haluska.
20
            REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Thank you, Mr.
   Chairman.
21
22
            Doug, when you were talking about the Fish
23
   Fund, were you also including the Boat Fund?
24
   they are two separate funds. From what I understand,
25
   there is the boating fund and the Fish Fund, and they
```

1 don't really get intermixed. 2 DR. AUSTEN: Yeah; in fact, the two funds are on roughly a parallel track. In fact, we kind of 3 manage them that way so that we can deal with these things simultaneously. Both of the funds are at the point now where 6 7 we have, when you have a license fee increase, our income exceeds our expenditures for a couple of 8 years, and then you hit this inflection point where 10 expenditures start exceeding income. We have hit 11 that point. Now we are starting to go on the 12 declining curve of that whole relationship. 13 So both of them are running toward about a 2012, fiscal year 2012-2013 time where we will meet 14 this point where the funds that we have, the balance 15 in those funds does not meet what we have, identifies 16 a critical amount in there to meet our payroll, 17 bills, those sorts of things. 18 REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: So we will be 19 20 looking at increasing registrations on boats as well as a fishing license increase. 21 22 The whole package; yeah. DR. AUSTEN: 23 REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Okay. One other point, when you were talking about the amount of fish 24

that you are raising, obviously and what you are

25

1 buying, I know in western Pennsylvania, especially around me, since we have a lot of these watershed groups working in bringing streams back to life, you 3 know, the first thing that they ask us is, once we get these streams and get the aquatic life in them, can we get some fish for them? Well, then obviously that is, you know, a tough sale, because you are, you 7 know, up against the wall as far as the number of fish or the pounds of fish that you are stocking. So 10 we are getting a lot of, I am getting a lot of those questions, and I am sure some other people in western 11 12 Pennsylvania are, along with, you know, asking us, 13 you know, who do you get in touch with to get stockings? And I know that a number of in-season 14 stockings have been decreased, you know, especially 15 16 in Cambria County where I come from. You know, we 17 used to get more in-season stockings than we get now, 18 and we don't get those, and those are the questions 19 that I get asked a lot, you know, from the groups 20 that are out there doing the work in the streams and the sportsmen's groups and, you know, the fishing 21 22 clubs. 23 DR. AUSTEN: Yeah; there is, I guess, a 24 double-edged sword to improving these streams, isn't 25 there?

REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Right.

DR. AUSTEN: But obviously it is a good thing. We are very supportive of all that work. We are going to be actively involved with DEP in their new abandoned mine land work to try to improve these streams as best we can.

Yeah; and the first response is, I would like to get some fish in those streams, and I can certainly understand that. The best we can do is to work with the allocation of the fish that we have in the most fair way possible, given the constraints we have. This whole issue of buying fish, if, you know, if there is enough demand out there, that might be something we could look at increasing.

The cooperative nurseries, I should certainly mention the cooperative nurseries throughout Pennsylvania. They graze about a million fish. They put together 20 to 25 percent for a total production of fish out there, those cooperative nurseries. So supporting those is really important for us.

We don't know where the implementation of the drum filters and the NPDES permits will take us in Pennsylvania. DEP and us have agreed upon this 1.9 million pounds as basically our limit for trout

```
1
   production in Pennsylvania. I think what we have
   also agreed upon is that this whole process of
   implementing this new technology, understanding how
3
   it works, is an adaptive process. Will the drum
   filters do good enough work that we can increase
   production in some of our facilities? Possibly.
   Will it just simple mean that we will keep the same,
7
   we are just producing better output? We just need to
   allocate our fish better and share the wealth, I
10
   quess, in a sense. I think those are good questions
   that we don't have good answers to at this point in
11
12
   time.
13
            REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA:
                                     Well, like the
   Reynoldsdale Hatchery obviously has a lot of
14
   potential, and I don't think, you know, it is really
15
   billed out to its full potential there.
16
            Has there been an increase in the last few
17
   years with the cooperative nurseries? I know there
18
19
   was a big surge for awhile, a lot of the cooperative
20
   nurseries came on, but has that sort of leveled off
   now or do you see a lot of them still coming on line?
21
22
            DR. AUSTEN: I really can't tell you.
23
   don't think that number has changed all that much in
24
   the last couple of years, but I am not aware of the
25
   statistics on that. We can certainly get that
```

1 information for you. 2 Reynoldsdale, on the other hand, is going to be completely rebuilt. That will be a heavy 3 recirculation hatchery with indoor circular tanks, which will be unique for the Fish and Boat Commission. So I think we will see a tremendous 6 7 potential there in Reynoldsdale in terms of this rebuilt hatchery. It will probably start construction sometime about a year to a year and a half from now. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE HALUSKA: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN STABACK: Representative Denlinger. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Dr. Austen, for your 14 excellent testimony. Just a few questions, if I can. 15 You are planning to add staff positions 16 related to some different other rivers -- the 17 Susquehanna, the Delaware -- and of interest to folks 18 19 here in the center of the State, of course, is the 20 Chesapeake Bay Tributary Strategy, the effort to clean up the Chesapeake Bay. That is going to become 21 22 sort of a political hot issue here as we move toward 23 2010, and the probability that we will miss our 24 targets to clean up waters flowing into the bay, it 25 is going to become a hot issue between the

1 agricultural community and municipalities with aging
2 waste treatment plants.

I'm wondering, to what extent is your direction to add some professional staff with your Commission, driven by, I guess, concerns over that aspect, and is there a plan to have, I guess, an interrelated relationship with the folks that are working to clean up the bay?

DR. AUSTEN: Yeah; that's an excellent question and incredibly relevant here right on the banks of the Susquehanna as well.

The need for these positions -- and there are three positions that we have created, out in the west, a biologist to be part of our Three Rivers center, the Susquehanna, and Delaware River -- is recognizing that the way we have managed our fisheries, we have area fish managers, and what they do is they kind of slice and dice up the State and they slice and dice up the rivers, and we have done a pretty good job, but I think we recognize that we just do not have enough people to cover the ground that we really need. So we have decided to have people whose job is to look at the rivers as a continuum, to be our advocates for the rivers, to understand all the effects on the rivers in terms of

1 the fishery impacts, and that's a good thing. They
2 are moving us in that direction.

In the Susquehanna River, this is as much a 3 recognition of the Chesapeake Bay issues and water 4 pollution as it is all the issues going around about with the spawning issues and the disease issues with 7 the smallmouth bass, changes in the ecosystem community of the river and trying to better understand that, recognition that the Susquehanna 10 River is a tremendously valuable fishery resource, and we just need to simply track it better to 11 understand how all these factors play out in terms of 12 13 our management and our work with our partners.

Right now, for example, one of our staff,

John Arway, is leading a group, a multiagency group,

looking at water quality issues in the river and

trying to determine how those are playing out into

this, if it is an issue of disease of smallmouth

bass, this year in particular.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what these positions will do, it will better position us to put this different information together, because what this really is is an issue of understanding who all has what, finding the holes in that information, and coming up with a plan to fill in those gaps and information and better understand

1 how this plays out.

25

The other part of this is engaging the 2 sporting community even better. You know, the 3 fishermen, the boaters out there, all have a huge concern over the quality of the river, the water quality itself and its effect upon the fish and thus the invertebrates, and I think we can do a much 7 better job of getting them engaged in this process, helping them understand what needs to be done, if in 10 fact there are things that need to be done, and help them to be more engaged in the local politics as 11 12 well, because they are a part of this. There are the 13 people, like my family who are a part of living on the West Shore, that contribute to the issues within 14 the river. But we don't hear much from that side; we 15 don't hear much from the sportfishing side, the 16 aquatic community advocate side, and I think these 17 folks need to be engaged, and this position and 18 others like it will help make that connection. 19 20 REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: Well, I think it 21 is an excellent move on the part of your agency, and 22 I would certainly encourage joint cooperation with 23 those folks working to clean up local waterways. 24 I am wondering also on the life cycle of the

normal, you know, drill that we go through to

1 increase licenses periodically. You kind of talked us through that, and I am just trying to quantify that a little bit. We are now, I guess, 3 years post 3 to the last increase. If you were to say, as of today, the percentage increase that would be needed, you know, let us say we don't wait our normal cycle and we get, you know, to the need for a huge jump in 7 a rate, if we took a more modest approach in the 8 interim here, as of today, what percentage increase 10 would be needed to propel the fund forward for the 11 future? 12 DR. AUSTEN: I guess, I can't give you an 13 exact number on that, but just looking at inflation 14 over the last 3 years running at, what, 3 to 4 percent, somewhere in that range? We would be 15 16 looking at maybe a 10-percent increase in license fees, if we did something this year for starting next 17 18 year, somewhere in that range. But we would have to 19 do some number-crunching on that. But that would be 20 significantly less than waiting until 2012 or '13 where we are building in a probably 20 to 25 percent 21 22 increase. 23 And I think that would be much more 24 palatable to the public, because we all recognize 25 that gas prices go up, you know, the price for a

1 dozen of eggs goes up, everything else goes up in life, and we feel those same sort of pressures. 2 REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: So the rate of 3 inflation is an adequate measure for the Commission? 4 DR. AUSTEN: That would be one way of 5 looking at this, and that kind of goes back to this 6 7 issue of cost-of-living adjustments, those sorts of things, tagging license fees to that sort of metric, that would help us. If some mechanism like that, be it along 10 those lines, or every 2 or 3 years we sit down with 11 12 you all and run through the numbers and say this is 13 what would be helpful and have that debate, that healthy debate, anything like that I think would be 14 better for us than to wait, you know, 7, 8 years and 15 have to deal with this in a big effort that has the 16 17 negative public connotations along with it. REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: One last 18 question, if I may. 19 I am looking at your map here of the 20 different dams that need to be repaired -- 17, I 21 22 One, of course, is in my county, Speedwell quess. 23 Forge. I'm wondering, what is the average cost of 24 repair on these? You know, the Governor has come 25 forward with a proposed tax increase, and tax

```
1
   increases are always a dicey proposition here in the
   General Assembly. What is the cost as maybe an
2
   average of these?
3
4
            DR. AUSTEN: You know, if one were to put an
   average, it would probably be around $5 to $6
   million, but the range is from about $1 1/2 million
6
7
   to, there are some that are a little bit at the high
   end of $12, $15 million or more. So what our task is
   is going to go out and try to figure out where we can
10
   both leverage our dollars but also find where they
   are going to be used most efficiently and
11
12
   effectively.
13
            REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER: My friend,
   Representative Everett, has pointed out that if I
14
   just turn the map over, you have already provided
15
   that information.
16
17
            DR. AUSTEN:
                         Oh, there you go. Okay.
            REPRESENTATIVE DENLINGER:
18
                                        So as usual, you
19
   are one step ahead of us here. So thank you; I
2.0
   appreciate those answers.
21
            CHAIRMAN STABACK:
                               Representative Surra.
22
            REPRESENTATIVE SURRA:
                                    Thank you, Dr.
23
   Austen, and I want to thank you for your service and
24
   the great job that you are doing for Pennsylvania
25
   anglers and the Fish Commission.
```

DR. AUSTEN: Thank you.

1

REPRESENTATIVE SURRA: You are doing a 2 bang-up job up in Erie with the Steelhead Fishery. 3 mean, that's a world-class fishery. It is a huge driver of the economy in that region. I was up there recently, and the two gentlemen to my left were from Hungary and the two gentlemen to my right were from 7 Poland, and they were spending 2 weeks fishing in Pennsylvania. They came to the United States to go 10 fishing. So it is a great resource. You are doing a good job as far as access and maintaining the 11 12 fishery, and I want to commend you for that. Also, for the information of the other 13 members and the people out in TV land, the Fish 14 Commission has worked cooperatively with the St. 15 Mary's Area School District. We have an outdoor 16 classroom about a hundred yards off the school. 17 There is actually a building. The science classes 18 19 work hand in hand with the Fish Commission, and they 20 have a nursery there where they raise trout It has been very successful. The kids 21 year-round. 22 are into it, not only from an educational aspect but 23 also into the fishing part of it, and then they stock 24 the fish and they go catch them. It is very successful, and I would encourage, if you want to try 25

to do something in your home areas like that, the
Fish Commission will do everything they can to assist
you.

I recently purchased my own fishing license on line. It took me about 2 minutes, and I got a paper printout and I was on my way. I put it on my credit card, and I would like to thank you for finally coming into the 21st century and getting online purchasing and the PALS system up and running. It is something I have been talking to the various Commissions about for a long, long time.

As you know, there has been a tremendous amount of work along the Bennett's Branch with abandoned mine reclamation. Governor Rendell has put a tremendous amount of money, along with DEP, and I'm excited about in the very near future that becoming a major fishery. It feeds the Susquehanna basin.

I don't think that we should necessarily wait for 2010 or 2011. I would not be adverse to starting the discussions of a possible small increase now, and maybe we can talk about that later in the year.

But my question finally is, has the

Commission ever considered or do they promote catch

and release more? Because you said, you know, it

really helps in the fact that we don't have to continue to put as many fish in the water, and, you know, you can catch the same fish a number of times 3 and enjoy that aspect of the sport. So is there any goal or any idea going in that direction? DR. AUSTEN: You know, a lot of the 6 7 catch-and-release movement has come out of, initially, I think, the bass folks and then the 8 determination that they put together to really push 10 that, and then the trout fishermen have really gotten on board, and it is almost a mantra amongst a lot of 11 them to catch and release them. 12 You know, the Fish and Boat Commission has 13 not, to my knowledge, been actively out there pushing 14 this as a big PR effort within the agency. Yeah, I 15 16 guess it is just a matter of people can do and 17 experience the resource how they want to experience 18 it. And we certainly would encourage people to take 19 fish home and eat them as well. I have been up in 20 Erie as well, I love it, and it is one of the places 21 where my wife really encourages me to go, because she 22 loves for me to bring home some smoked steelhead, and 23 that sort of thing where I take my kids out fishing. 24 They really love to bring some fish home as well. 25 That is part of this experience that really is

1 important to them. 2 So I guess the answer is that we try to balance that out. We do have brochures and we have 3 information then to talk to people about how to handle fish properly. We work with bass clubs and others to encourage them to do proper management with 6 7 their fish and, you know, livewells, those sorts of 8 things, and when we do instructions, we certainly have that as part of our instructional process. 10 So I guess the answer to your question, we try to balance it out and encourage people. If they 11 want to have fish, it is a great meal. 12 The whole 13 issue of fish consumption advisories gives people some guidance, but eating fish still in Pennsylvania 14 and fish as part of your diet is a tremendously good 15 16 thing. I have no qualms about myself doing that. Οf course, I think my hair situation has probably 17 resulted from that -- no. So we are trying to 18 19 balance those things out as best we can. 20 REPRESENTATIVE SURRA: No further questions. 21 CHAIRMAN STABACK: Representative Moul. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you, Chairman 23 Staback, and thank you, Dr. Austen, for your obvious 24 passion and commitment to this industry.

During your testimony, I heard you mention

1 something about the landowner that requested that his stream not be stocked anymore, and that kind of bothers me. And obviously there are going to be bad 3 anglers who don't police after themselves as well as good ones, but what are your ideas as to how we can help that situation or prevent that situation, which will lead me right into my second question part of 7 it: Are there online communications with your Commission for complaints or irregularities, fish harvest, and, you know, so the anglers can 10 communicate with you? 11 Well, certainly through 12 DR. AUSTEN: Yeah. 13 our waterways officers and through our Web, people can write in and contact us via phone or by regular 14 mail about issues. You know, what we encourage 15 people to do in all sorts of situations is to get a description of the vehicle or whatever it is, the 17 license number, and contact one of our WCOs, or 18 19 waterways officers, so they can address this as best 20 they can. 21 And also, our WCOs are probably our best 22 adversary out there to work with landowners and 23 fishing groups on that whole issue. Our WCOs are highly respected in the community. I think they are 24 25 respected by the angler clubs, and they go to a lot

of those clubs to talk to fishermen about ethics and those sorts of things. But it is probably not those people who are the ones doing this sort of stuff. I guess that most of the people in the clubs that show up at the sportsmen's clubs or bass or muskys or TU, those are the people who are doing this stewardship.

In fact, a month or two ago I read an article about a group of, I think it was steelhead anglers up in Erie who actually adopted a series of landowners and were actively working with them to clean up their property. They actually sent them gifts -- I think it was fruit baskets -- and a number of these landowners actually took the posted signs down on their property because they knew there were local fishermen who wanted to work with them to ensure that people were respecting their property rights.

So there are ways that groups, clubs, and we, through our encouragement, can support, I think, a strengthening of angular ethics, and it is something that we are going to have to continue to work on as best we can.

And I have forgotten the second part of your question, Representative.

REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: The communications on

1 line---2 DR. AUSTEN: Yeah. REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: --- for landowners and 3 anglers to communicate so that you know where the 4 needs are. DR. AUSTEN: Yeah. Probably the best way, 6 7 again, is through the WCOs direct contact with them, but if any landowner, such as this landowner here, has issues, they often will contact us. We get back 10 to them as quickly as we can and try to resolve that issue as best as possible. In some cases, we just 11 12 can't. We don't have people that can be out there 13 all the time, they are not on a minute's notice, so we are stretched relatively thin. 14 15 So we cannot address all those issues, but 16 they can contact us. We can work with them. try to put them in contact with local groups who 17 18 might do litter pickups or those sorts of things 19 along that stretch of stream. But it is a challenge, 20 there is no doubt about it, and something that we need to take more seriously. 21 22 Our access program is expanding. I think 23 working with groups to better help them understand 24 what their avenues are, what resources would be 25 available to them, is something that we will try to

do more of in the future. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Is there an ample supply of volunteer deputies out there, or ---3 DR. AUSTEN: No; no, there is not. We have 4 less than 200 deputies. We have about 80-some-odd actual officers out on the ground and in the water. 7 To be a deputy is a big challenge. I mean, it is a big commitment for these people. They have 8 to go through a substantial number of days in training. They have to ride with our officers for 10 several hundred hours. They have to keep up with 11 12 their training through continuing education. 13 don't get paid. You know, they get a little bit of 14 money for covering their expenses, you know, gas, that sort of thing, but it is a big volunteer 15 16 commitment on their parts, and as you all know, volunteer time is at a premium. 17 18 I greatly respect those people who decide to 19 become deputies. They are a great part of our 20 package of being out on the water, but are there enough of them? No, there is not. 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Anything we can do to 23 entice more volunteer deputies to join in? 24 DR. AUSTEN: Well, I think what our officers 25 are trying to do is work with them. We are trying to

```
1
   come up with better packages to give them, I think, a
   little bit more appropriate covering of their
2
   expenses. But I think it is more of a societal sort
3
   of issue of just busyness and lack of willingness on
   a lot of people just to volunteer, and it is
   something that we will just have to continue to work
6
7
   on.
8
            The guys that are there are great, the men
   and women who do this sort of thing. And we have run
10
   classes recently, but I think it is just a challenge
   that is going to continue to face us. I know any
11
12
   other group that tries to get volunteers actively
13
   involved faces that same sort of challenge.
            REPRESENTATIVE MOUL: Thank you. If there
14
   is anything that we can do, any idea that you would
15
16
   like us to push forward in that respect, by all
17
   means, let us know. Thank you.
            DR. AUSTEN: I appreciate that offer.
18
            CHAIRMAN STABACK:
                               Representative Goodman.
19
20
            REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: Thank you, Mr.
21
   Chairman. I will be quick.
22
            A very good report, Dr. Austen, as usual.
23
            Two things. I would like to commend you,
24
   first of all, on the agency's two opening day
25
   seasons. I really think that is a great idea.
                                                    МУ
```

```
legislative district is one in Schuylkill County that
   gets to benefit from the early March season.
   it is very popular with many of my anglers who,
3
   around March, are suffering from cabin fever and
   really want to get out ---
            DR. AUSTEN:
                         Sure.
6
7
            REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: --- and I commend
   you for that. It is a very progressive step that you
8
   have taken.
10
            The second thing is, I guess you can tell by
   the number of questions that have been asked by the
11
12
   members in regard to the PALS system, this is
13
   something that all of us are very interested in and
   are happy to see this moving along as quickly as it
14
15
   is.
16
            You mentioned Automated Licensing System.
   Does the Fish Commission, is the PALS program being
17
   run within your department or is there a subcontract
18
19
   that you have given out to have this run by by this
2.0
   Automated Licensing System?
21
            DR. AUSTEN: Good question. The Fish and
22
   Boat Commission and the Game Commission are wed; we
23
   are joined at the hip on this whole process. A
24
   couple years ago when we started this process, we
25
   worked with actually a contractor, an employment
```

1 agent contractor, who put together bids. out, and there are a limited number of businesses --I think at that point there were three main suppliers 3 of this -- of this sort of service, and Automated Licensing System was one of those suppliers. provided proposals to us, and the Game Commission, 7 the Fish and Boat Commission, jointly selected the vendor or the contractor, which is ALS, and they are the ones providing us. They do a number of other States. 10 example, New Jersey is a customer of ALS. 11 they provide services for about a dozen or so other 12 States around North America. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: Okay, and I am very 14 happy to hear you say that. I kind of thought that 15 16 was the thing, but my point being, I think all of us 17 on this Committee are very happy to see how closely you do work with the Game Commission on many of the 18 19 different things that you can share---2.0 DR. AUSTEN: Right. 21 REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: --- and that keeps 22 the price of licenses down for both agencies, and I'm 23 one of them that thinks that with a cooperative 24 effort like this, we can keep two separate agencies.

We would like to see both of you working together,

25

```
1
   and I don't subscribe to the thought that if you are
   working so closely together, you should be merged.
2
            DR. AUSTEN:
                         Right.
3
4
            REPRESENTATIVE GOODMAN: I think there's a
   valid reason to have two separate agencies, and as
5
   long as you are cooperative and cost effective, that
6
7
   we can maintain that.
            I thank you, Dr. Austen, for your work.
8
   Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9
10
            DR. AUSTEN: If I might just follow up a
11
   little on that.
12
            The PALS is a perfect example of this.
13
   Roughly 80 percent of the people who sell fishing
   licenses also sell hunting licenses, so when Game
14
   comes on line, the vast majority of their vendors
15
16
   will already have all the equipment in their shops,
   on their countertops, and they will be experienced in
17
   using these sorts of things. So this is a great
18
19
   example of how our partnership has lead to something
20
   that is better than either one of us would have done
   individually, and it worked out great and will
21
22
   continue to work out good.
23
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Representative Perry.
24
            REPRESENTATIVE PERRY:
                                    Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
25
            Dr. Austen, just a comment and a couple of
```

```
1
   questions, and then I just want to follow up my
   comment regarding Representative Moul's, I guess,
   assertions.
3
            My folks live right along the Yellow
4
   Breeches and I grew up there, and I can tell you that
   at least at some point, they were folks that called
   up the Fish Commission and asked not to have the
7
   stream stocked in front of their home, which had been
   stocked for probably 20 years at that point since
10
   they had moved there and who knows how long before.
   So I know the Commission is probably not looking for
11
12
   another way to spend money or another program to get
13
   into, but, you know, personally from that standpoint,
   I would like to see something a little more
14
   proactive, and I would call it something like a fish
15
   neighborly program or something to inform fishermen.
            I mean, my folks had, of course, you know,
17
   you get your fishermen that they are throwing fish up
18
   on the bank, like fallfish or a sucker, and it is
19
20
   like, well, we don't want that in there, you know?
   Well, they just throw it up on the bank, and if it
21
22
   is--- You know, they live right on the creek, so
23
   first of all, the fishermen walk up and assume that
24
   your front yard is where they can fish. Well, you
25
   know, I know it is the stream, but, you know, how
```

```
1
   about a little common courtesy, and then they are
   throwing the trash and they are throwing the fish up
2
   that they deem as unnecessary or undesirable, and
3
   then they walk away and leave it. They park in front
   of the place or they leave their trash or literally
   come up and ask if they can use your bathroom.
   mean, you know, I know times are changing but, hey,
7
   maybe sometimes folks need to be reminded. Let's
   leave it at that.
10
            I just want to be clear here, when we are
   talking about your expenditures, and certainly I
11
   think that they are justifiable in increases in cost
12
13
   of living, et cetera, but in looking for ideas to
   remedy or help that situation, your advocating seems
14
   to be two courses of action: more frequent, I guess,
15
16
   discussions or more--- When I say more frequent, not
   going the normal cycle of when you say 6 or 7 years
17
   but maybe halving that time would be one, something
18
19
   that you would be looking at, or else something that
20
   happened regularly, based on an inflationary index or
   something tied to inflation or something like that.
21
22
   Is that the two---
23
            DR. AUSTEN: Yeah; those are two good
24
   options. Right.
25
            REPRESENTATIVE PERRY: Any other options
```

1 that you would want us to consider in mitigating that
2 situation or ideas?

DR. AUSTEN: Well, the other thing that I 3 think more is a marketing issue is some flexibility in terms of how we can put together packages of licenses. We have never had the ability to, other 6 7 than to propose a license to you and have it, you know, gone through the legislative process, what we would like to do and what PALS gives us the 10 opportunity to do is to try some different things, package together different license types, maybe even 11 something as crazy as, in comes the 1st of October 12 13 and we have a sale of licenses. I mean, you haven't 14 bought your license yet, and you are probably not going to in the rest of the year. What if we gave 15 them a 25-percent discount on their license at that 16 point? We cannot do those sorts of things right now, 17 and I think having some of that flexibility to put 18 19 together some options like this, some options that we 20 would have to run through our Commission, you know, and run all the numbers on to see what the 21 22 probability of success is, but then if it does not 23 succeed, to be able to yank it out of there just like 24 any store would, any private enterprise would, and, 25 you know, cut our losses. But we can't do those

things right now, and this would be a very
innovative, cutting-edge approach to have that sort
of capacity. Maybe something that says the Fish and
Boat Commission can charge up to this amount, but
anything less than that, have at it.

wherewithal to try some things that I think might address this lapsed angler issue, this fact that only 15 percent of the people buy a license every year for 5 years. Why? I mean, this seems sort of silly, and give us some tools that we have never had before, which I think would really put us into the position of trying to get these people back in the fold and also recognize that their money not just gives them the right to go fishing but it is money that helps habitat, access, and all the other things that I think will lead to better resources down the line.

REPRESENTATIVE PERRY: Sure. I tend to agree with you, and, you know, I'm not sure, obviously we have our stake in this thing, but from the Fish Commission's standpoint, maybe something that, like I said, you are probably not looking for extra things to do, but that sounds like a great thing, the packaging thing, and if you had some kind of a proposal at some point that we could look at,

1 that is something that might be helpful to us to get there. 2 DR. AUSTEN: Right. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE PERRY: Finally, I just want to follow up on Representative Denlinger's questions 5 regarding the Chesapeake Bay Strategy. You are 6 7 working on suspended solids, simple solids. Is there anything that you know of currently with your NPDES permitting that is going to change or require additional infrastructure, additional costs, to 10 comply with the Chesapeake Bay Strategy? 11 12 DR. AUSTEN: As far as I can tell, and I'm 13 not the ultimate expert on this, the NPDES permits that DEP has given us will put us in compliance with 14 those sorts of things, and in fact if what we are 15 seeing up at Tylersville and Pleasant Gap plays out 16 in our other hatcheries, we should be well under the 17 permit requirements. 18 REPRESENTATIVE PERRY: And that is for 19 20 solids, but is there any question or problem at all with phosphorous or nitrogen or ---21 22 DR. AUSTEN: I don't think we have had too 23 much issue with either of those in terms of the 24 permit requirements, and obviously DEP works with us 25 to put together permits that meet with the Chesapeake

```
Bay Tributary Strategy issues and also as well within
   what we would like to do. I mean, we want to be the
   good actors anyway as part of our job, so we are
3
   going to do the best we can in terms of not only
   implementing these technologies but in terms of how
   we manage our hatcheries, how we feed the fish, how
   we treat the water, all those sorts of things, to
7
   ensure that the stream in some cases, and it actually
   is, it is actually better water coming out of our
   hatcheries than what comes in.
10
11
            REPRESENTATIVE PERRY:
12
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Representative Gillespie.
14
            REPRESENTATIVE GILLESPIE: Thank you, Mr.
   Chairman.
15
16
            Thank you, Dr. Austen, for your testimony,
17
   and I also want to join my colleagues in thanking you
18
   for the great job that you have been doing. And also
19
   Gary Moore; he is always very responsive whenever we
20
   call up with any issues.
21
            Just a couple of quick questions. PCBs were
22
   all the rage a few years ago with concerns coming out
23
   of our trout hatcheries. I just wonder if you could
24
   give us an update on how that has been mitigated and
25
   what the current status is with PCBs and our trout.
```

DR. AUSTEN: Yes; excellent question. Thank you for bringing that up.

That was a big issue before us, and we found a number of sources, and almost all of them have been either eliminated or reduced substantially. Amazing enough, there are PCBs in fish feed. There are PCBs in a lot of things, but there are PCBs in fish feed, and we worked with the vendors to create formulas that have substantially reduced loads of PCBs in them.

In some of our hatcheries, for example, the Huntsdale Hatchery just down the road here on the Breeches, we actually had to idle a series of raceways there because there is some sort of, and it still is not fully understood, there is some sort of groundwater impact, there is some connection there, possibly through some buried industry waste or something else that we are not fully, even though we have had substantial work with consultants on there to figure this out, we don't fully understand it. But when we bypass the raceways, it drops down any of the issues with PCBs and the hatchery. So all of these things have combined to lead to the point where we have actually worked with DEP and the consumption advisory work group to start backing off from the

```
1
   standpoint that we need to do it annually, because
   our measures are consistently below the statewide
2
   action limit.
3
4
            So I think we have done a pretty good job of
   addressing that. We are going to continue to monitor
   on kind of a structured statistical basis our
6
   hatchery product, but I think we have pretty much
7
   gotten rid of PCBs, to the best of our knowledge, in
   almost all of our facilities.
            REPRESENTATIVE GILLESPIE: Okay; great.
10
   Thank you.
11
12
            And one I ask every year, particularly
13
   because of my emergency services background, is the
   number of boating fatalities or fatalities we have
14
   experienced as a result of people being on the water,
15
16
   and then tying in with that, how many of those are
   directly alcohol related?
17
            I just saw quickly in your annual report
18
19
   here that, I guess you have at least two multiple
20
   fatality incidents in the Commonwealth. And maybe
   you don't have this information. If you don't, that
21
22
   is fine; you can perhaps supply it later on.
23
   many boating fatalities did we experience last year?
24
            DR. AUSTEN: I think we had about 11 last
25
   year. Is that right, Gary?
```

```
1
            REPRESENTATIVE GILLESPIE: That always seems
   to be about the number. Do you know off the top of
2
   your head how many of those were the direct result of
3
   alcohol?
            DR. AUSTEN: I would say about half of
5
   those?
6
7
            MR. MOORE: About 40 percent usually on the
8
   water.
            DR. AUSTEN: We have a full report on that
10
   that I would be happy to have sent to you so you can
   take a look at that. Each accident is described in
11
12
   terms of what happened, what the causes were, and,
13
   you know, the situation of alcohol, type of boat, and
   all those sorts of things.
14
15
            REPRESENTATIVE GILLESPIE: Okay. Thanks so
16
   much.
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17
            CHAIRMAN STABACK: Okay. That ends the line
18
19
   of questioning, Doug. Seeing that there are no more
20
   questions, this hearing is concluded.
21
            I certainly want to thank you for the
22
   testimony that you offered and for the manner in
23
   which you responded to the questions asked of you.
24
   As usual, you took those questions straight on,
25
  answering them fully and clearly, and I just
```

```
certainly appreciate it.
1
            Once again, I want to thank you for being
2
  here, and on that note, this hearing stands
3
   adjourned.
5
            DR. AUSTEN: Thank you.
6
             (The hearing concluded at 10:35 a.m.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Debra B. Miller, Reporter