COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING BUDGET HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
MAJORITY CAUCUS ROOM
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2008, 11:35 A.M.

VOLUME III OF IV

PRESENTATION BY LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD

BEFORE:

HONORABLE DWIGHT EVANS, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MARIO J. CIVERA, JR., CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE STEPHEN E. BARRAR

HONORABLE STEVEN W. CAPPELLI

HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN

HONORABLE CRAIG A. DALLY

HONORABLE GORDON R. DENLINGER

HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS

HONORABLE DAN B. FRANKEL

HONORABLE JOHN T. GALLOWAY

HONORABLE WILLIAM F. KELLER

HONORABLE THADDEUS KIRKLAND

HONORABLE BRYAN R. LENTZ

HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY

HONORABLE KATHY M. MANDERINO

HONORABLE MICHAEL P. McGEEHAN

HONORABLE RON MILLER

HONORABLE JOHN MYERS

HONORABLE CHERELLE PARKER

HONORABLE SCOTT A. PETRI

HONORABLE SEAN M. RAMALEY

HONORABLE DAVE REED

HONORABLE DOUGLAS G. REICHLEY

HONORABLE DANTE SANTONI, JR.

1	BEFORE: (cont'd.) HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO				
2	HONORABLE JOHN SIPTROTH HONORABLE KATIE TRUE				
3	HONORABLE DON WALKO HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY, JR.				
4	nonominale ome militali, on				
5	ALSO PRESENT: MIRIAM FOX				
6	EDWARD NOLAN				
7	JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER				
8	NOTARY PUBLIC				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22 23					
24					
25					
<i>.</i>					

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIFIER	
3		
4	NAMES	PAGE
5	PATRICK J. STAPLETON III	4
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN EVANS: I would like to reconvene
the Appropriations Committee hearing, please.

Also, if the Commissioners and the Chairman would introduce themselves. But first I want to make note that the Chairmen of the Liquor Control Committee are here. Representative Bob Donatucci is here, who is the Chairman, and Representative Ron Raymond, who is the Republican Chairman along with Representative Donatucci. They are both here at this hearing.

I would like for the Chairman, you know, the way we are doing this is we are going right into questions. And if you would just introduce some people with you at your side, and then we're ready for questions.

MR. STAPLETON: Good afternoon, Chairman. How are you today?

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Good; very good.

MR. STAPLETON: It's great to be here. I'm with my fellow board members, Tom Goldsmith and Bob Marcus, to answer any questions you may have for the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: A question I generally lead off with is, tell me how you are doing. Are you making a lot of money? Talk a little bit about the Liquor Board.

MR. STAPLETON: Well, that's a very good question.

Chairman, we are having a very good year. We are off our projections by about a percentage point and a half, and we are happy to be at that number, quite frankly.

When we look at retail in general, as we know, the grand majority of our revenues come from retail operations, and we see that in the retail climate at present, that other retailers are off much more than we are.

In fact, we just checked our numbers yesterday to determine how our sales were in comparison to the other retail markets, and we are 55 percent ahead of the other sales tax revenues collected by the Commonwealth.

So we see that there is a softness in the economy that has affected retail sales and thus affected the amount of money generated by the sales tax. But we are 55 percent ahead of those numbers, so we are actually very happy with that. We also look at some of our competitors on the borders, and we are very pleased with where we are compared to them.

So, you know, I think that given the fact that we are in a bit of a slow economy, our revenues

are strong. We are trending at about a 5, a little 1 2 over a 5-percent increase this year. We had hoped to be in the low 6's, but we do have another five months 3 4 to go, and hopefully we will try to turn that around a little bit. 5 6 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Craig Dally. 7 REPRESENTATIVE DALLY: Thank you, Mr. 8 Chairman, and good morning. 9 MR. STAPLETON: Good morning, Representative. 10 REPRESENTATIVE DALLY: I had the opportunity over the last couple of years to tour the Philadelphia 11 12 ports and see the dramatic changes that are being made 13 down there, and I must say, without sounding too 14 gratuitous, in large part due to the efforts of 15 Representative Bill Keller, and in that light, 16 obviously it is important, for that economic engine to 17 deliver results to Philadelphia, that the port be 18 used. 19 MR. STAPLETON: Yes. 20 REPRESENTATIVE DALLY: And my question is, 21 what are you doing as far as the agency is concerned 22 to encourage more shipments into Pennsylvania ports? 23 MR. STAPLETON: We had entered into a 24 consolidation contract in the last, I guess, year and 25 a half now, Representative?

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Yes.

MR. STAPLETON: ---with Georgio Gori, a large consolidator out of Italy, to ensure that they would be able to bring more product into the Port of Philadelphia, not just for our own purposes, because, quite frankly, we are a small percentage of what the total imports to the East Coast of the U.S. are.

But we asked them to try to entice suppliers, in Europe in particular, to use the Port of Philadelphia, and we have had some successes there. The main hang-up was the availability and frequency of shipment lines into the Port of Philadelphia. They have done a nice job of attracting some additional shippers.

And we most recently had a discussion with

John Estey of the Philadelphia port about some of the

plans they have to expand their operations there,

which would have a tremendous impact on us. If they

were able to do some of the things that they are

attempting to do, we feel very strongly that we would

be able to entice even more suppliers from Europe,

Australia, South Africa, South America, to use the

Philadelphia ports.

Having said that, we bring in about 800 to a thousand containers a year. It is not a huge amount,

```
1
      but anything we can do to try to help the Port of
 2
      Philadelphia we are trying to do.
 3
               And in addition to that, there are additional
      shipments that go to the Port of Eddystone, which is
 4
5
      right down river of Pennsylvania PLCB products.
               REPRESENTATIVE DALLY: Thank you very much.
 6
 7
               Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 8
               CHAIRMAN EVANS: I'm going to ask the
9
      Chairmen of the Liquor Control Committee,
10
      Representative Donatucci or Representative Ron
11
      Raymond, do you have any questions that you want to
12
      ask?
13
               Representative Donatucci.
14
               REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI:
                                          Thank you, Mr.
15
      Chairman.
16
               Mr. Chairman, as you know, recently in the
17
      Senate there was a bill introduced, Senate Bill 1273,
18
      which I'll tell you what it is -- to privatize the
19
      system. I guess, have you seen it or have an idea
20
      what it's about?
21
               MR. STAPLETON: Yes, sir.
22
               REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Back in '92, I
23
      know Representative Evans will remember this, and
24
      Representative Civera, if he was here, he would
25
      remember it, they had one vote on privatizing the
```

1 | system in '92, and it went down 3 to 1.

We had a new Governor elected in the mid-nineties, Governor Ridge, and with the support of Chairman Jones, it never even got up for a vote. What could you tell me today--- Before I ask the question, I had a meeting already with Senator Rafferty, Senator Logan, and Chairman Raymond. We are going to have joint hearings this summer on this bill, so we are taking it very seriously. What can you tell me--- I'll give you guys the jump-start before those hearings to sell the bill or tell me why we shouldn't privatize the system.

I know your numbers are great. The system came a long way in the last 10, 12 years. It came into the 21st century. But can you just touch on it and give us an idea of what we are going to hear one way or the other. Thank you.

MR. STAPLETON: Thank you, Chairman.

There are a number of different aspects to privatization, obviously. There is the social aspect. There is the financial and economic impact. We are in the process of closely looking at the bill that you just mentioned.

We have a number of questions regarding the financial liability of the bill. We are not sure that

the numbers really add up to be a plus for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its citizenry.

We also have questions about the location of stores. We are a State agency. We have a duty to serve all Pennsylvanians, whether they be in Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, or rural Pennsylvania, and I think we do a pretty good job of that.

We do have some stores that are unprofitable, but those are stores that are in locations to serve people that would have to travel, you know, 25 minutes to an hour to find service other places.

So these are all issues that are sort of on the peripheral of privatization but important to each Pennsylvanian. So we are not sure whether all Pennsylvanians would be served location-wise by the bill.

And certainly from the social responsibility aspect, the numbers that we have seen indicate that we in Pennsylvania do a pretty good job of making sure that alcoholic beverage gets in the hands of people who should have it. In other words, we don't serve minors, and we are very proud of our stores, we are extremely proud of our employees and their diligent efforts, to make sure that minors aren't served. We had over a million minor checks last year in our

1 stores. So that's an important issue.

And I'm not sure, I know there's some language in the bill about training people, but in the private sector, there is certainly much more selling to minors than there is in a control State.

We see that our numbers on drunk-driving fatalities in Pennsylvania are lower than some of the open States around us. Alcohol-related problems are lower in Pennsylvania, and I think that is attributed in great part due to the control system that we have in the Commonwealth.

So there are a plethora of different issues that need to be examined, and I don't know that there is any better argument today to privatize than there was in 1992 or as it was under the Ridge Administration.

As you know, I was part of the PLCB at the time the Ridge Administration and John Jones floated out the possibility of privatization, and I think that from an economic standpoint, from a customer-service standpoint, from a store-operations standpoint, we are stronger today than we were then.

So I'm not quite sure what the arguments for privatization are, but I can tell you that the arguments against them, I think, are even stronger

1 today than they were 10 years ago. 2 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, Mr. 3 Chairman. 4 Thank you, Chairman Evans. CHAIRMAN EVANS: Thank you, Chairman 5 Donatucci. 6 7 Chairman Raymond. 8 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 I know this is an Appropriations hearing, and Representative Donatucci asked probably a question 11 12 that I think is in the front of my mind, but I would just like to commend the board -- Joe Conti, Jerry 13 14 Waters, Jim Short, your legal staff. Your whole group 15 has been very, very cooperative and responsive to the 16 Legislature. 17 All of our caucus, a lot of our caucus stuff 18 comes through me to you guys, and you have been great 19 in responding in terms of the stores and dealing with 20 stores that are in areas where you are losing money 21 but we need to service the public, because we are a 22 monopoly, and in how I think responsive and good the 23 stores are continuing to improve the past year.

think they are great. You had tons of product in

there for the holidays, and you are really promoting

24

25

1 | what is going on there.

I think you are probably one of the few State agencies that will come before this committee that in fact is a positive in terms of putting money into the State instead of taking money out. Most people coming before Chairman Evans will be asking him for appropriations to run their operations, and you folks, in all the years I have been involved in it, that number continues to go up. And again, as you mentioned, we use a lot of money from that income to educate the public and try to prevent underage drinking and the problems with alcohol.

So I just can only commend you for what you have been doing, and keep doing a good job. And I don't think you will ever see the Legislature vote you guys out of existence.

MR. STAPLETON: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Thank you, Chairman Raymond.

Representative Scott Petri.

21 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

I want to follow up on the line of questioning that Representative Dally started on. I'm certainly aware of the consolidation contract, but my

concern is that I think we still can do more, and I was wondering if have you done any logistic studies on your entire delivery system to see if we could be more like, you know, a private industry or the like, and if so, what are those studies telling you?

MR. STAPLETON: We are in the process of looking at our logistics right now. As most of the folks in the Legislature are aware, we have embarked upon a new high-tech program called ERP. It is an accounting and financial program, and it follows all the way into logistics.

We will be able to basically track a product from the day that it leaves the supplier's hands on a dock in France or Italy to the time it ends up in your home, and that's a very important thing for us as retailers.

It is also going to mean a lot to our ability to be able to ship product to Pennsylvania on a timely basis to make sure that we don't have excess inventory, which also leads to additional savings to the Commonwealth.

Part of that, obviously, is going to be our ability to work with our shippers, and again, once we have the opportunity to direct shippers to suppliers that ship to Pennsylvania, we will have better control

of our products.

So our ability to use Pennsylvania ports is a very key aspect of our modernization of our logistics. And again, we are working with Georgio Gori, we are working with the Port of Philadelphia, to try to make sure that we have opportunities in Pennsylvania.

And again, these opportunities are not just for our product. We would love to make the Port of Philadelphia, as we have discussed in the past, a superport for wine and spirits, and we are trying to make the case that it's better for shippers and suppliers to come to Philadelphia than it is to the Port of New York or to New Jersey or to Wilmington or Richmond or Norfolk or wherever.

So I think that we have a lot of work to do there, but we have boundless opportunities, and being the largest purchaser of wine and spirits certainly in this country, I think that it gives us an opportunity to entice some of these suppliers.

Again, it is all about convenience and cost. We know that the Port of Philadelphia has great logistics -- rail service, highway service. We just need to work on the cost issues, and I think that at the end of the day, it will be a plus-plus for Pennsylvania and the PLCB.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. 1 2 Chairman. 3 One follow-up question. Has the LCB given any thought to contacting the other controlled States 4 in the Commonwealth to see if there could be an 5 opportunity of leveraging our buying powers and our 6 7 shipping opportunities with theirs to come up with a 8 win-win situation for all the States that are 9 controlled? 10 MR. STAPLETON: That's a very good point, 11 Representative. 12 We have had discussions with the other 13 control States, primarily those on the East Coast, 14 which would be New Hampshire, Vermont, Maine, and they 15 are willing to participate. 16 Their issue is cost. If we can deliver the 17 product to them at the same price or less than they 18 are getting it now through the Port of New York, they 19 certainly would buy into this program. And, you know, 20 we are working, again, with the ports to try to get 21 that cost to a point where we are competitive with New 22 York. 23 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN EVANS: The vice-chair wants to

25

follow up, Bill Keller.

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Thank you, Chairman
Evans.

Mr. Chairman, you know, this has been a topic we have discussed a number of times over the years. It has always been, as I have explained in the past, a chicken and an egg problem, especially when you are doing ocean shipping. If you don't have the line or service into your port, you know, how do you get it there? Does the liner service follow the cargo or does the cargo follow the liner service? So I know your efforts have been toward that.

But just a question. I don't know if you will know it or maybe you can get back to us. How much product which Pennsylvania orders now ships through the Port of New York? And because they have the service. I'm not saying it is anything---

MR. STAPLETON: A substantial portion. More than half, I'm sure.

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Okay.

MR. STAPLETON: But it is FOB Philadelphia, so there is some income for the Port of Philadelphia to have it, even though it comes through New York.

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Well, I'm on your side. I agree with you. I believe there is an opportunity to get that 50 percent back into the Port

of Philadelphia when we expand the port. We are going 1 2 to have to attract the service, the North Atlantic 3 service, into the Port of Philadelphia so you can consolidate, and the trick is to consolidate over 4 where we purchase, over in Europe---5 MR. STAPLETON: 6 Right. 7 REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: --- and get that 8 consolidated in Europe and then ship it to 9 Pennsylvania. 10 So I commend you on your efforts. I know you 11 are trying real hard. Believe me, we are all here to 12 support you with trying to use the Port of 13 Philadelphia as the port of entry for the Liquor Control Board. 14 15 MR. STAPLETON: Thank you. 16 And, you know, we enjoy working with you, and 17 we know that your leadership down at the port is so 18 important to us accomplishing these things. And our 19 meeting with John Estey recently gave us great hope 20 that there are going to be some great things happening 21 in the Port of Philadelphia. 22 So we will just knock on wood, and hopefully

25 REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: And if I can, just

we will be able to create a superport for all of the

23

24

United States.

1 the largest economic development project the State has 2 seen in, I bet, in 50 years. And it's going to come 3 off, and you will be a big part of it. Thank you. 4 MR. STAPLETON: It's amazing. Thank you, 5 Representative. CHAIRMAN EVANS: We just heard from our port 6 7 czar. 8 Representative Kathy Manderino. 9 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. 11 Mr. Chairman, thank you for being here. 12 When I hear from consumers about the LCB, the 13 context of the contact, if it is about privatization, 14 and sometimes it is, it is in the context of, because 15 I don't have big-money people who think they are going 16 to buy one of these as a business and I'm not hearing 17 from the newspapers who want the advertising revenue, 18 I'm hearing from the consumers who believe that if the 19 State stores were privatized, they would have a better 20 selection of wines. I hear from the wine enthusiasts. 21 I suspect that most members would say the same. 22 The past year, there has been a lot in the 23 newspapers about what is happening with our wine 24 programs and all of that kind of stuff. I would just

like to have a report from the perspective of the

25

board about what is happening with our system and
these choices, selections, prices, quality, et cetera,
that are being offered to our consumers.

MR. STAPLETON: Thank you, Representative.

Certainly that is an important issue. It seems to be the one area that we face the most criticism in, and I think the unfortunate part for us is that this is a criticism that is based on perception rather than reality.

I can tell you that right now, we have over almost 12,000 wines available in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to consumers. They may not be at every store. Our larger Premium Collection stores have maybe 8,000 to 10,000 different products in those stores. But all 12,000 of those wines are available to consumers. If they are not in the store, they can be requested and be delivered to their store in their neighborhood within a number of days.

So when I hear these complaints about selection, it's a little unnerving, because I know that our selection of 12,000 wines is far superior than virtually any retailer in this country, whether they be on the border such as Total lines or Canal's. We hear these people, they go to Delaware and New Jersey, despite the fact that it's illegal to do so,

but they claim that our selection is inferior to
those, and it is just not the case.

But we, again, are fighting the war of perception. We have created two new wine programs in the last year. We had the Chairman's Selection program, which we continued. But to expand upon that and to expand upon that for the wine connoisseurs, we created the Sommelier Collection program, which is high-end, difficult-to-find wines that we bring in at a very strong price.

In addition to that, we know that not all wine drinkers want to spend between \$15 and \$100 a bottle, and we created a program for them called the Power Purchase program, where we sought out wines from around the world, not just American wines but South African, South American, Australian wines, French, Italian wines. We brought those in in the Power Purchase program to allow consumers who want to buy a bottle for less than \$10 a bottle to try a new type of wine and try to learn more about wine.

So I think we have done a lot to expand the programs in Pennsylvania. We still have more work to do, because we know that the wine populace, the wine-loving populace of the State is growing. More and more people are interested in wine, so we have to

continue to be ahead of the curve in providing these types of great wines.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Just a follow-up along the same lines.

I know that my complaints or inquiries from consumers have decreased substantially in recent years, and I attribute that to the fact that we now have a very nice premium-wine store right on City Avenue in Bala-Cynwyd. And I'm not a big wine aficionado, but when I want to buy wine, I go there, and I have this nice, beautiful place that I can sit down and look through Wine Enthusiast magazines and read people's selections, et cetera.

So I think people kind of in my backyard now feel like they have that resource to go to, but if I lived somewhere else, tell me specifically how I as a consumer even know, when I walk into the little liquor store on Main Street in Pottsville, that this program of being able to order a couple of bottles of wine from another liquor store from the Pennsylvania inventory is even available to me, let alone how easy do they make it for me to do that, and have we thought about a specific consumer education promotion program along those lines?

MR. STAPLETON: That's a very good question,

and, you know, when I hear about the Pottsville or the Monessen store or the Indiana store, we understand those issues. I don't know that we do a great job of letting our consumers know that all of these wonderful wines are available to them. We need to do a better job of doing that, and I think that our employees need to make that process as seamless as possible.

I will also say that we are finding that one of the great ways to reach out to these folks in Indiana and Monessen and Pottsville is through our Web site, okay? All of these wines are virtually available through our Web site, but our consumers maybe don't know that. We need to do a better job of letting them know of availability on the Web site.

And also, quite frankly, we need to redesign our Web site to make it more consumer friendly. These are all initiatives that we are undertaking now at the PLCB and part of this PLCB 75 renaissance, to improve the Web site, to improve customer service, to make sure that customers know that when they come into a store, there are more wines available than just what may be found on the shelves.

And also, too, we want to make sure that we create a shopping experience for our consumers, where they spend a significant amount of time in there

talking to employees, learning about products, maybe
thinking about trying something different.

We understand that our consumers spend about eight minutes in our stores. So they know what they want, they go in, they buy it, and they leave. What we want is to create a shopping experience where they spend 25 minutes, 45 minutes, in our stores. We think that will be good for consumers, it will certainly be good for our business, and I think that we will become a nationally recognized retailer when we are able to accomplish that.

So we are just really sort of at the start of this type of service, and we understand that it is all about customer service and educating consumers. And you are absolutely right; we need to do a better job of educating our consumers to the things that are available now on the system, let alone the things that are going to be available in the next two or three years.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Thank you.

Representative David Reed.

24 REPRESENTATIVE REED: Thank you, Mr.

25 Chairman, and thank you, Chairman Stapleton and

Commissioners, for joining us today.

I just want to touch upon an issue that my office and your office has exchanged letters on in the past couple of weeks, just very briefly.

The House Republican Policy Committee over
the last year has held a number of hearings and
inquisitions into areas of possible welfare fraud
within the Commonwealth, and as we went through those
hearings, I took the opportunity to meet with a number
of folks at the local level, and what we found is,
well, not just cases of fraud occurring but also what
I would term "legal loopholes," where tax dollars are
being spent in a manner that is technically legal but
perhaps not the actual intention of the Legislature,
the Administration, or the taxpayers especially, and
two of those possible instances deal with the Liquor
Control Board and your operations.

The first one would be the ability for folks to use the EPPICard within the State store system.

The EPPICard, for those of us who don't know that, and I didn't know it until I was turned on to it by a caseworker in Indiana County, is the card that is now issued in lieu of a child support check to the parent acquiring the child support from the other parent, and apparently in today's day and age, you can use that

EPPICard in a State store to purchase alcohol. And I think it is pretty universal or we should have universal agreement that those dollars are intended to be used to the benefit of the child, and I don't think anybody could make the case that dollars being spent in a State store for alcohol purchases would be to the benefit of those children.

I know your office had responded with a suggestion that if we wanted to look at that possible issue, that it would not be appropriate for the board to undertake but legislative action would be necessary, and my very short question to you would be, would the board be supportive of closing that loophole within the system?

MR. STAPLETON: Absolutely, Representative.

When we spoke briefly the other day, it was news to me that this was happening, and we learned that these cards are like a MasterCard and that wherever MasterCards are accepted, they are accepted, and it seemed to me odd that you would be able to use a child support card to buy alcohol.

But we would certainly support any legislation to do away with that loophole, because it is just, it seems to me, to be opposite of what the policy should be. So we certainly would support that.

REPRESENTATIVE REED: My second follow-up would be not in regard to the State store system itself but in regard to the distributors' licenses, which you have control over.

Apparently within a distributor, you can go in and purchase alcohol, not only with the EPPICard but you can also use what is termed the "electronic benefits transfer" card, which that card is actually used, the cash assistance portion of welfare funds are placed on that card. So you are not only allowed to use the child support payment card but also the cash assistance side of welfare payments within the Commonwealth to purchase alcohol within beer distributors in the Commonwealth, and would you be supportive as well of legislative action that would prevent that legal loophole from occurring as well?

And the reason I ask you is because you are the governing body over both of these jurisdictions, and I think we need to be on the same page as a governing body and as a Legislature if we are going to move forward with action.

MR. STAPLETON: Right.

I absolutely would be supportive of that.

You know, our policy is that EBT transfers are not allowed in our stores as is. So I think that to

```
1
      prohibit them in beer distributors would be consistent
 2
      with our own internal policies, and we would be very
 3
      supportive of that.
 4
               REPRESENTATIVE REED: Okay, and I just look
5
      forward to working with your staff. They have been
      very helpful so far, and we appreciate your
 6
 7
      cooperation and look forward to working with them in
8
      the upcoming weeks to draft that legislation.
9
               MR. STAPLETON: I feel the same way.
10
      you.
11
               REPRESENTATIVE REED: Thank you, Mr.
12
      Chairman.
13
               CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                                Thank you.
14
               Representative Scavello.
15
               REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr.
16
      Chairman.
17
               I first would like to echo Chairman Raymond's
18
      comments on how helpful your office has been, and one
19
      of the stores that he was referring to is in one of my
20
      boroughs, which is really having a tough time in
21
      keeping it open and really has helped that downtown.
22
               Second, I would like to make a comment that
23
      in the Bill's Shoprite shopping center in Mount
24
      Pocono, your wine selection, it is one of the best I
25
      have seen in any store -- New York, New Jersey, or
```

anywhere else. There is a fabulous selection. A little plug there, you know.

MR. STAPLETON: Keep going, Representative. You are on a roll.

REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Can you please give us an update on what the LCB is doing to come up with a compliance for the Federal court opinion in regard to the direct shipments of wine to consumers from out-of-State wineries?

MR. STAPLETON: Thank you, Representative.

We have done a couple of things. We have created a limited wineries shipment license for out-of-State wineries, so that if they apply for one of these permits, they will be able to ship into the State in compliance with the mandates of the Granholm decision.

We have added, I believe, two wineries that have applied for these limited winery direct shipper licenses, out-of-State direct shipper licenses. So we have done that to comply with the Granholm decision.

As recently as, I believe, yesterday we were back in Federal court discussing this matter with the plaintiffs, who happen to be those Indiana wineries led by the professor at the University of Indiana law school, who has been the moving element in the

1 Granholm matters. 2 But we are in compliance now, and I think 3 that the next move would be a legislative move. you know, we have served as a resource to the 4 5 Legislature and answered any questions that the 6 Legislature might have on that, but I believe that 7 that is--- For the moment, we are in compliance, but 8 if there is any additional work to be done, I would 9 assume it is to be done legislatively. 10 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN EVANS: Last question. 11 12 Representative Reichley. 13 REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: Thank you, Mr. 14 Chairman. 15 Just very briefly, gentlemen. It more or 16 less is a follow-up to the questions from 17 Representative Reed. 18 Can you comment about the board's position on 19 the enforcement and compliance functions that, I 20 guess, are currently being shared with the State 21 Police and your viewpoint on the consolidation of 22 those under the State Police to perform both of those 23 requirements. Thank you. MR. STAPLETON: Yes, sir. 24 25 We are certainly aware of the recommendations of the Legislative Budget and Finance Committee, and I know that we have been working with both caucuses in the Legislature to discuss enforcement and what direction we should go. There have been a number of proposals. We have made suggestions. I know that there have been suggestions made by the State Police as well.

But it is certainly an issue that probably needs to be addressed, because, quite frankly, since these discussions began, I know that the State Police have been reluctant to hire additional officers to help them with enforcement, and I think that once a solution is determined to be acceptable to the Legislature and the State Police and the PLCB who funds that, that we can move forward.

But at this point, I think that there are a number of good alternatives on the table, questions about, for example, should the State Police on the LCE side be doing enforcement of gaming laws? You know, we have a whole group now that does that for the gaming industry in Pennsylvania. There's a question of a lot of the type of accounting violations that are always consuming a lot of time, do we need to be doing that anymore? Has the business changed in a way that we don't have to go after bad checks and things like

that in the same manner that we used to?

So there are a number of potential solutions out there, but I would say that we need to reach some conclusion as to what they are relatively quickly, because at this particular point in time, I know that the Major would agree that we are sort of, all of us have been hamstrung by the process, and we need to make some decisions.

And I know that we are working with

Representative Donatucci and Representative Raymond to

try to craft something in the not-too-distant future.

REPRESENTATIVE REICHLEY: Yeah; I was going to say that I think both Chairmen have introduced a joint bill addressing this issue, and I think it would behoove us to look, you know, frankly, not to gore your ox but because of the increasing level of tasks that are being placed upon the State Police, and you have, based upon the projections at least, a surplus within your own budget, to utilize your funding source to help consolidate those operations with State Police, perhaps using your funds to be able to pay for those functions.

Thank you.

MR. STAPLETON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Are you finished,

```
Representative Reichley? I don't want to truncate
1
2
      you. I don't want you to have an unfair advantage.
3
               I would like to thank you, Chairman---
               MR. STAPLETON: Thank you.
 4
               CHAIRMAN EVANS: --- and the other board
5
6
      members and your staff for making your presentation
7
      before this committee, first.
8
               Secondly, you know, we always appreciate what
9
      you do for the people of the Commonwealth of
10
      Pennsylvania.
11
               Members, this will be the conclusion of this
12
      particular hearing. We will reconvene at 2 p.m. with
13
      the Department of Agriculture.
               I would like to thank the members. Thank you
14
15
      very much.
16
17
               (The hearing concluded at 12:05 p.m.)
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

ĺ	
1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
3	notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that
4	this is a correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Jean M. Davis, Reporter Notary Public
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	