COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING BUDGET HEARING

STATE CAPITOL MAJORITY CAUCUS ROOM HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2008, 10:00 A.M.

VOLUME I OF III

PRESENTATION ON ECONOMIC OUTLOOK/GLOBAL INSIGHT

BEFORE:

HONORABLE DWIGHT EVANS, CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MARIO J. CIVERA, JR., CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE STEPHEN E. BARRAR

HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN

HONORABLE CRAIG A. DALLY

HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS

HONORABLE DAN B. FRANKEL

HONORABLE JOHN T. GALLOWAY

HONORABLE WILLIAM F. KELLER

HONORABLE THADDEUS KIRKLAND

HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY

HONORABLE KATHY M. MANDERINO

HONORABLE MICHAEL P. McGEEHAN

HONORABLE FRED McILHATTAN

HONORABLE RON MILLER

HONORABLE JOHN MYERS

HONORABLE CHERELLE PARKER

HONORABLE JOSEPH A. PETRARCA

HONORABLE DAVE REED

HONORABLE DOUGLAS G. REICHLEY

```
BEFORE (cont.'d):
1
       HONORABLE DANTE SANTONI, JR.
 2
       HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO
       HONORABLE JOSHUA D. SHAPIRO
 3
       HONORABLE JOHN SIPTROTH
       HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
 4
       HONORABLE GREGORY S. VITALI
       HONORABLE DON WALKO
 5
       HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY, JR.
6
    ALSO PRESENT:
7
       MIRIAM FOX
       EDWARD NOLAN
8
9
                                DEBRA B. MILLER
10
                                    REPORTER
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		I N D E X	
2		TESTIFIER	
3			
4	NAME		PAGE
5	JAMES DIFFLEY		4
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 CHAIRMAN EVANS: It is now 10 o'clock. 2 would like to convene the House Appropriations 3 Committee. 4 Today we have Global Insight before us to talk a little bit, obviously, about the economy and 5 the future of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 6 7 So what I will do is let you give us some of your thoughts, and then we will get right into some 8 questions. 9 10 MR. DIFFLEY: Good morning, everyone. Thank 11 you, Chairman Evans. 12 As per your request---13 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Can you introduce yourself for the record, so we know? 14 15 MR. DIFFLEY: Sure. James Diffley. I'm the 16 Group Managing Director, U.S. Regional Services, at Global Insight, Inc., located near Philadelphia in 17 Eddystone, PA. 18 19 As per your request, I did not prepare 20 extensive opening comments. I will, I guess, take 21 Chairman Evans's suggestion, though, and give a brief 22 overview. Certainly the U.S. economy, in particular, 23 24 has taken a turn for the worst in the last few 25 months. We had expected for at least the last 6

months that the first half of 2008, this year, would exhibit very slow growth, hardly any growth at all in the U.S. The first half of this year, of course, coincides with the last half of your current fiscal year. But by the second half of the year, again coinciding with your fiscal year '09, the economy would pick up again.

We are in for a slowdown, primarily caused by the very deep recession in housing and the extension of that in the last 6 months to a credit crunch that has affected in some way virtually all sectors of the economy.

We now believe, based on recent data through January, that it is likely that the economy will actually exhibit a recession, a mild recession to be sure, but a recession is defined by negative growth, very slight negative growth, in the first half of 2008.

It is not a large change in our forecast outlook, because we already anticipated very slow growth in the first half anyway, but we now think that there's a good chance, better than a 50-percent chance, that it will be in fact slightly negative.

By the second half of the year, again, the first part of your fiscal year '09, the economy

should be on the upswing again, in large part helped by very aggressive action by the Federal Reserve and monetary policy and also very aggressive and timely action, on the fiscal side, by Congress and the President to get a stimulus package to consumers that, because of the lags in government implementation, tax rebates, et cetera, will take about until late, very late in the second quarter and the beginning of the third quarter to actually work its way into spending in the economy.

Briefly on Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania, as it has in past recessions and as it did in the most recent business cycle, has more moderate swings, has had more moderate swings in the economy. It slowed down less in 2007 than most of the rest of the country, than a lot of the rest of the country, largely because while Pennsylvania did have a bit of a housing boom, certainly in the eastern part of the State, it nowhere near had the overexuberance in housing and the housing bubble that many regions of the country did in the northeast, the southeast, the southwest, and the West Coast in particular.

So the turnaround, the dramatic negative turnaround in housing does not have as negative an impact on Pennsylvania. We think this carries

through into the first half of 2008, that while Pennsylvania will slow with the rest of the country, as it naturally must, it will not slow by as much as the rest of the country.

Pennsylvania is also aided by the one strong part in the U.S. economy, and that is exports, and that is, as you no doubt know, due to the very weak dollar, but that aids exporters, and in Pennsylvania, manufacturing continues to be a strong exporting State.

So with that, I will take any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Thank you very much.

I would like to spend a little bit of time talking about economic stimulus, because the Governor proposed an economic stimulus program here. I don't know if you are aware of it. He talked about a couple of things.

He talked about directly putting money in the hands of 475,000 working families. It could go up to \$400 with kids.

Secondly, he talked about accelerating something called the redevelopment assistance in terms of projects that are shovel ready between here and December.

He talked about increasing the job tax credit program from \$1,000 to \$3,000.

He talked about accelerating money on building bridges, infrastructure, and that was almost 2, 3 weeks ago that he talked about those things to us as a General Assembly.

You brought up Washington, DC, when you talked about how quickly they move. You talked about Federal Reserve policy, you talked about fiscal policy, those things, and how quickly they have moved. And then you said that this slowdown has come about because of housing, the credit crunch, is what you have basically talked about where we are. And then you said we are somewhat in a mild recession. That is kind of basically what you have said.

Give me, if you can, and I don't know if you looked at the Governor's economic stimulus program, if you have or you have not, talk about what you think principally Governor Rendell is trying to do in terms of his economic stimulus program, piggybacking in on the Feds. Can you give me some reaction to that?

MR. DIFFLEY: Sure. I am aware generally of the Governor's proposals and particularly the \$400 or so of assistance to low-income working families.

The important thing in a short-term stimulus package, all right, is to -- and I think this was accomplished largely by the U.S. Congress, also accomplished by Governor Rendell's proposal -- is to get money quickly into the hands of people who will spend it, because the temporary recessionary conditions in the economy are due to a lack of demand, all right?

When tax rebates, tax cuts, et cetera, are realized in the economy, different groups have different propensities to spend that right away. If they save -- for instance, a tax rebate goes to somebody who will save the money -- that is good for them, of course, but it is not a stimulus to the economy. If it goes instead to somebody who will spend the money immediately, and we economists believe, both at the Federal level and it applies to Pennsylvania, that generally lower-income people have a larger, higher propensity to spend. That is, they will spend more of the immediate rebate they get. That is a direct infusion into the local economy.

Now, obviously it is a nationwide problem to the extent that Governor Rendell's rebates are spent locally. It is a direct benefit with multiplier impacts to the local economy of the individuals who

1 are spending. 2 CHAIRMAN EVANS: The aspect of infrastructure, bridges, things of that nature, as 3 4 you know, obviously you know about the Minnesota situation that we had. 5 MR. DIFFLEY: Sure. 6 7 There are a lot of problems CHAIRMAN EVANS: 8 with our bridges that he was talking about. What is your view on the infrastructure aspect as part of 9 10 that equation? 11 MR. DIFFLEY: Long term, of course, 12 infrastructure is a very important problem in the 13 U.S., a very important problem in Pennsylvania. have emphasized over the past that particularly the 14 Northeast and Midwestern sections of the country are 15 16 at a disadvantage in terms of deteriorating infrastructure, and for long-term economic 17 competitiveness, that should be addressed, and it 18 19 would be a very wise investment that States and 2.0 regions and localities make. 21 But for a short-term impulse, again, 22 government spending adds to demand in the economy, 23 creates jobs and construction work. As you know, due 24 to the housing bust, there are now a lot of 25 construction workers. Infrastructure programs

address that. And it does have, to the extent that you just mentioned shovel-ready sites, I don't know the details of the program, but money that can be spent immediately has immediate positive impacts on the economy, again with multiplier impacts, as those people who are paid income or fees then spend it themselves and on and on.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: In this General Assembly, we always have a constant debate over the issue of tax cuts, you know, in terms of the effects of a tax cut.

Obviously we have in our Rainy Day Fund something like \$700 million. That is currently what we have in our Rainy Day Fund. It is forecast that the revenue picture will maybe be in the ballpark of \$500 million, and we constantly have a debate about the reduction of our personal income tax, and that is always the question of, you know, is it a question of rebates or is it a question of tax cuts or is it both? Is it either/or? Is it an either/or proposition or is it one versus the other?

MR. DIFFLEY: Well, as a longer term competitiveness issue per se, tax cuts are important and significant, of course.

In a medium- to long-term analysis, the

impacts of a rebate and a tax cut are pretty much identical, but in terms of a short-term stimulus, when the economy is, as it is now, we believe in the first half of 2008 in particular, hurting, a rebate is actually more effective in pushing the money out into the demand system more quickly.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Okay.

Chairman Mario Civera.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning, and thank you.

It was stated in the Governor's budget that the employment growth in the Commonwealth during 2007 ranked 33rd among the 50 States. This improvement in Pennsylvania typically ranked 40th or below in employee growth in prior years. And when I look at this chart that we have here, that Pennsylvania ranks 46th, and based on the programs that the Governor has put in his budget, the stimulus programs over the years, could you tell me why we are at 46th on this chart here? Could you give me an idea why, because with all the programs that the Governor has put in his previous budgets, this budget, the forecasters show that we will be at number 46 as far as in job growth.

MR. DIFFLEY: It is a reflection, the low

performance of Pennsylvania relative to other States, is largely a reflection of low demographic growth, that population growth is barely positive, labor force growth is barely positive, so that--- And this is an affliction, if you will, of much of the Northeast and Midwest. Pennsylvania actually is running very slow in terms of population growth for demographic reasons. So in our longer term and in any longer term forecasting, that is, you know, a driving factor.

If you look at performance indicators such as per capita income growth, Pennsylvania ranks much better. In other words, it is a question of a slower growth economy certainly relative to the areas of the Sun Belt, which continue to attract migration and movement and have younger populations with higher birthrates and the like and attract business.

So income-wise and per-capita-wise, in terms of growth, Pennsylvania is not performing nearly as bad as those indicators indicate. However, given the demographics, Pennsylvania's performance is going to rank in the lower 10 consistently.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: So if a corporate---

MR. DIFFLEY: And we don't see that turning around.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: If a major corporate company looks at this chart---

MR. DIFFLEY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: --- and sees us at 46th, the answer that you just answered me would resolve that from, they would then overlook that and say, "Well, you know what? Pennsylvania is not a bad idea." Is that what you are saying? I mean, how do you---

MR. DIFFLEY: They could---

CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Once those numbers get out there -- and I don't mean to interrupt -- once those numbers get into the corporate sector or any kind of business mind, we are on the downswing, and what my point is is that over the budgets that we have passed, the programs that we have added into the previous budgets, I do not believe that we should be there.

I understand what you are saying about the demographics and what goes on in the Northeast corridor, but that has me--- Because we are not only competing with the South, we are competing in a global situation. We are competing with different countries now.

So that bothers me, because my take on this

```
1
    is the investment that we have put in our previous
2
    budgets, we should not be at that number. But you
    are saying that because of the demographics and the
3
    way it swings, that is why it leads into that
4
    direction. Is that what you are saying?
5
            MR. DIFFLEY: That is correct; that is
6
7
    correct. And I must say that for the last few years,
8
    Pennsylvania on those grounds has overperformed,
    outperformed, what the demographics would seem to
9
    have dictated.
10
11
            One thing that corporations in terms of
12
    business location are looking for, very importantly,
13
    is workforce availability, all right? And a quality
    workforce is one thing, and that Pennsylvania is
14
    relatively in good shape in, the Philadelphia area
15
16
    and the Pittsburgh area in particular.
            But in terms of a vast number of young
17
    people entering the labor market, we, Pennsylvania,
18
19
    are at a disadvantage, to be sure.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN CIVERA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Dan Frankel.
22
            REPRESENTATIVE FRANKEL: Thank you, Mr.
23
    Chairman.
24
            Good morning. Welcome back.
25
            MR. DIFFLEY: Good morning.
                                          Thank you.
```

REPRESENTATIVE FRANKEL: We are reading and experiencing here in Pennsylvania also some challenges within the student loan business because of the capital markets---

MR. DIFFLEY: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE FRANKEL: --- and it is, in many instances, a little difficult to get our hands around this problem. There is a meeting today, a summit meeting today, of our facility, PHEAA, the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency, and the many challenges facing it.

What is going on in the capital markets, particularly with respect to, and this is a national problem that is creating this pressure, particularly in the student loan business?

MR. DIFFLEY: This has been the problem in the last, really since August, in the last 6 months, with the depths of the exposure that the banking system had to the subprime mortgage crisis.

The Federal Reserve was unprepared for it.

They didn't understand how much exposure the banks had, not only to subprime but the exotic vehicles they had set up, and once this type of credit question enters the market where, one, you know, if I have a subprime mortgage in my portfolio, right, and

they are tanking, well, the other lenders to me, people who hold my assets, are now questioning my viability, and similar to them, chain to chain to chain, and all other or many other credit instruments are caught up in that loop, student loans being one of them. So it all stemmed from that large contagion in credit markets.

There is now a very high pricing on risk, whereas prior to last year, there was probably an underpricing of risk by financial markets. We are looking for the credit situation to shake out by the second half of the year, and have been, but there are many more stones to be unturned, if you will.

You have heard about the auction rate securities markets; you have heard about bond insurance firms being exposed and the like. It is all part of one large linked, very intensively linked set of borrowing-and-lending relationships, debit-and-credit relationships, within the banking system.

REPRESENTATIVE FRANKEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

23 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Brian Ellis.

Do you feel much better today?

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I

feel much better today. Thank you.

2.0

Thank you for coming to testify today. I have been in the Legislature for 3 years, and during that 3 years we saw the capital stock and franchise tax being phased out.

Originally, you know, back in '91 we were at .13 mills; we are at 2.89 right now. How does that compare to other States?

MR. DIFFLEY: One of the long-term disadvantages Pennsylvania has in terms of business tax competitiveness and competitiveness overall has been the existence of that franchise tax. Only a few States still have such a beef. So it has been an important aspect of policies for the last, I guess, three administrations at least, at least two that I'm sure of, to diminish the burden of that tax, and it has been and it is important. I think the business community realizes that it is on its way out. I think it is scheduled to be gone by 2010 or '11?

Well, interestingly enough, the Governor this year chose to slow down the phase-out of it in his proposal to the 2.49, which would actually be a 32-percent increase on the taxes that the businesses were anticipating paying this year. So obviously

that is going to be an area for debate, I think, as we get into the budget season certainly.

2.0

What do you think as far as even at a lower rate of 2.49 versus the 1.89 it was supposed to be? Is that still deterring businesses from making long-term decisions to come to Pennsylvania?

MR. DIFFLEY: No. I think as long as businesses are convinced that the tax is ultimately and relatively quickly to be eliminated under some schedule, that they take that into account, and I think it continues to be a positive.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: So---

MR. DIFFLEY: It would be a concern to business, for instance, if they heard that the whole scale of reductions was being stopped, and that, it is my understanding, is not occurring, right?

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Yeah. I mean, we are still scheduled for, you know, the year 2011 and thereafter with the slowdown.

But you know what? I have heard from a lot of people in the business community that they are concerned that we are going to slow it down this year and continue to slow it down and then keep it forever.

MR. DIFFLEY: Well---

```
That, obviously in
1
            REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS:
2
    your opinion, would be a very bad policy decision for
3
    Pennsylvania to make?
 4
            MR. DIFFLEY:
                          Right.
            REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS:
 5
            Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
6
7
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Mario
    Scavello.
8
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO:
                                      Just in time.
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning.
10
11
            In your most recent forecast of the U.S.
    economy, you had said it was, for the second quarter
12
    and the first quarter of 2008, .04 and .05, and then
13
    earlier you said that they will be negative numbers
14
15
    now respectively?
16
            MR. DIFFLEY:
                          Right.
17
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Global Insight
    produces a baseline forecast, an alternative
18
19
    forecast, and in your February baseline forecast, you
20
    gave a real gross State product increasing by 1.4 in
21
    2008 and 2.2 in 2009. Are those numbers still about
22
    where you expect to be?
23
            MR. DIFFLEY: We have in our--- You said
24
    1.4 in 2008---
25
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: '08, and 2.2 in
```

2009. 1 2 MR. DIFFLEY: Our current forecast, baseline 3 forecast, for a GSP is 1.3. 4 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: 1.3. MR. DIFFLEY: So there's a slight revision 5 6 there. 7 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. The Governor uses a forecast to grow to 2.7 8 for 2008 and 3.7 for 2009 in preparing the revenue 9 10 estimates included in his budget, and looking at your alternative forecast entitled "Touch and Go," which 11 12 is the optimistic scenario portraying renewed 13 strength in the economy, I noticed that the gross State product rose, in that scenario, in 2008 is 14 estimated about 2.2 and 3.0 in 2009. These 15 16 optimistic forecasts are significantly below the 17 forecasts used by the Governor in preparing his budget. 18 19 That being said, how would you characterize 20 the forecast scenario that the Governor is using for 21 his budget? MR. DIFFLEY: Well, it is slightly more 22 23 optimistic than our current scenario. however, check the publication, the budget 24 25 publications from the Governor in terms of employment

```
and in terms of personal income, and the differences
1
2
    are within just a couple of tenths of a percent in
    those important issues and indicators.
3
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Yeah, but the
 4
    overall forecast in growth is a half a percent for
5
6
    2008 and .7 percent more for 2009. Would you say
7
    that is highly unlikely, or are you saying that it
8
    possibly can be those numbers?
            MR. DIFFLEY: Oh, it could be those numbers,
9
10
    and I believe those numbers are derived from, you
    mentioned alternative scenarios that we provide,
11
    Global Insight provides on the U.S. level and the
12
13
    State level, and I believe they are in fact more
    optimistic scenarios. So I think we assign about a
14
    25-percent probability on those scenarios.
15
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: On that 2.2 and
16
    the 3.0?
17
            MR. DIFFLEY: Right.
18
19
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay.
                                              Thank you.
20
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: I would like to follow up a
    little bit---
21
22
            MR. DIFFLEY: Also could I follow up on
23
    that?
24
            The other important point is there's a big
25
    difference in the projections that occur now in the
```

```
first half of 2008. So in terms of the fiscal year
1
2
    forecasting, fiscal '08-'09, the numbers are far more
    similar.
3
 4
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: Couldn't that also be---
                                                        Т
    want to follow up a little bit on that.
5
6
            Couldn't that also be why the Governor was
7
    suggesting, he used the term called "protecting
    Pennsylvania's progress, " and he has talked about the
8
    element of using an economic stimulus to, you know,
9
10
    augment the economy a little bit, you know, put money
    in people's pocket and accelerate construction,
11
    things of that nature. Couldn't it be, when you said
12
13
    there is only a very small percentage difference in
14
    what the gentleman was expressing versus the
    Governor, couldn't he be trying to ensure that, you
15
16
    know, we get that kind of growth by using the
    economic stimulus?
17
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Mr. Chairman,
18
19
    could I finish up also?
2.0
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             Excuse me.
21
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay; go ahead.
22
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             Excuse me; I was just
23
    following up on your question.
24
            Go ahead.
25
            MR. DIFFLEY: I'm sure he is.
                                            I don't know
```

```
1
    that they are making an assumption that those
2
    stimulus packages have a noticeable result in the
    forecast. I think they are taking our forecast as
3
4
    given and also providing a stimulus on top of that.
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             But the stimulus in
5
6
    conjunction with the Federal stimulus, too -- both.
7
            MR. DIFFLEY: Oh, it's important.
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
8
                              Yeah.
            MR. DIFFLEY: It is definitely a positive.
9
10
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             Yeah; trying to use both as
11
    well.
          Right.
            MR. DIFFLEY: We think that the Federal
12
13
    stimulus, which is more than the size of the rebates
    coming in the middle of this year, is more than 1
14
    percent of gross domestic product, for instance, so
15
    there's a significant amount.
16
17
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                              Okay.
            Representative Scavello.
18
19
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: First, just to go
20
    back, you know, you were projecting first .04 and now
21
    you are projecting a negative number for 2008, so is
22
    it---
23
            MR. DIFFLEY: Are you speaking for the U.S.?
24
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: For the U.S.
25
    economy, correct? And then your baseline forecast
```

```
1
    you said for 2008 is 2.2. Are you saying that that
2
    is going to be even lower now, because you are
    starting out the year lower.
3
            MR. DIFFLEY: Our U.S. projection for GDP
 4
    for the year is, I believe -- I'll give you the right
5
    number here in a moment -- it is 1.3 or 1.4, I
6
7
    believe.
8
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Yes; about 1.4,
9
    correct.
            MR. DIFFLEY: You got that; okay.
10
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: In 2008, and 2.2
11
12
    in 2009. So is that 1.4 number, are you going to
13
    downsize that number or do you think you are going to
14
    recapture that after the second quarter, because you
15
    are---
16
            MR. DIFFLEY: No, we're not going to do
17
    that. I don't know what you mean by downsize that
    number.
18
19
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: That is your
20
    number.
            MR. DIFFLEY: That is already occurring.
21
22
            Over the last couple of months, if you
23
    followed this, as some of you have, we have lowered
24
    our forecast projections, as others have, and as you
25
    noticed, the Federal Reserve announced it yesterday
```

```
and others did.
1
2
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Yes.
            MR. DIFFLEY: And they are, by the way,
3
4
    higher than our numbers right now.
            So that is, we think, a solid number.
5
                                                    Wе
6
    don't expect to be lowering that any further.
7
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: The difference
    between yours and the Governor's projection, in
8
    dollars, what is that? For example, for 2008, you
9
10
    are saying 2.2 and the Governor is saying 2.7. That
11
    half a percent, in dollars, what are we talking
12
    about?
13
            MR. DIFFLEY: You are talking about gross
    State product growth?
14
15
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Yeah.
            MR. DIFFLEY: Well, to do the math, if you
16
    want, gross State product for Pennsylvania is about
17
    half a trillion dollars, $500 billion.
18
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: So how many
19
20
    million are we--- What is it, about 20---
21
            MR. DIFFLEY: I hesitate to be put on the
22
    spot and do that simple calculation.
23
            REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Yeah.
                                              It's a lot
24
    of money.
25
            MR. DIFFLEY: Yeah.
```

1 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Jake 3 Wheatley. 4 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Good morning. I'm over here. 5 MR. DIFFLEY: Good morning. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: How are you doing? MR. DIFFLEY: 8 Great. REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 11 Last year you came before us and I asked you 12 a question around trying to get a better sense 13 geographically and demographically how our Commonwealth economy and the future looks as it 14 relates to various different populations of people, 15 16 and I always say whenever we have these broad conversations, it is hard to really as a policymaker 17 know if what we are doing is working, because you can 18 19 say that right now our economy seems to be sound and 20 secure compared to the nation, but there are individuals inside of this Commonwealth, in 21 22 populations and pockets inside of this Commonwealth, 23 that are not doing so well no matter how you compare 24 them. And we have seen with the catastrophe of 25 Katrina that many times we have poor and poor

individuals around our cities and places that really are not prepared to live a quality life.

So when I look at this unemployment rate, can you tell me, I don't know if you have it or not, but can you share with me what it looks like for an African-American across the Commonwealth or a Latino across the Commonwealth compared to this 4.7, I guess is what you are projecting?

MR. DIFFLEY: I don't have the exact figures in front of me. I can tell you it is probably double---

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: It is probably double.

MR. DIFFLEY: ---for an African-American.

I will let you know, if you have not seen it

-- I know Chairman Evans has -- for instance, we did
a report during the fall of 2007 for the Urban League
of Philadelphia that they published in a publication
we provided the statistics for, an analysis called
"The State of Black Philadelphia," where we
documented the relative condition in Philadelphia
city only of blacks versus whites in the city, and we
came up with a socioeconomic index of equality, if
you will.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Well, I would love

to see one done across the Commonwealth, the state of black and brown persons across the Commonwealth. It would be helpful, probably, to understand.

MR. DIFFLEY: Yeah, and we have a proposal to do one also by gender.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Well, could you share with me maybe this income growth that you are projecting, or I guess it was 6.1 in 2007 and it will be 4.5 in 2008. Can you tell me what that looks like for persons of color across the Commonwealth? Is that the same or is that just a broad---

MR. DIFFLEY: No; that is a broad average.

We do not literally forecast income growth in that regard. However, it is the case historically; as the economy slows, that there is very often a last-in, first-out movement in the labor market, and so one would expect that the less experienced workers will do more poorly than average.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So that leads me to my final question. I guess with the labor force numbers that you are projecting and the industries, the growth in areas, do you have that broken out to what that may mean as opportunities for people of color across the Commonwealth? I mean, are you projecting that you will see more persons of color

being able to come into the labor force market, be it 1 2 through the technological arm or through the higher ed, through the educational fields or health fields? 3 4 Are you projecting those numbers? MR. DIFFLEY: I think generally, I am not 5 projecting numbers on a quantitative basis, but I 6 7 think generally it is the case. The tight labor market conditions in Pennsylvania, that is, a 8 slow-growing labor force, bodes well for those 9 10 persons of color who are in competition for jobs, 11 yes. 12 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: You say bodes 13 well, and why would you say it bodes well for them? MR. DIFFLEY: In the sense that there is 14 less competition for those jobs. 15 16 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Oh, okay. 17 MR. DIFFLEY: Employers need to come to them, they need to broaden their horizons, and with 18 sufficient skill training and the like and skill 19 20 acquisition, there can be a prosperous future. 21 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Well, and again I 22 will just make this caveat to you as you come before 23 us in future years, if you would provide some of 24 those things. It would be helpful to me as a member

of this committee just to make sure the things that

25

1 we are pushing for have broad range implications for 2 all of our citizens in Pennsylvania, and so we can tell the story of all of our citizens as well as the 3 4 broader story of how we are doing overall. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 5 MR. DIFFLEY: Point well taken. 6 7 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Barrar. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 10 I have a question to follow up on the \$400 rebate that we had been discussing earlier. 11 12 The Administration is suggesting that the 13 rebate could possibly have a 6- to 8-percent multiplying effect on the return of money to the 14 15 Commonwealth in the short term. Would you agree with that? 16 MR. DIFFLEY: I have not done the numbers as 17 to what the quantitative level of the multiplier 18 19 would be. I mean, normally the way a multiplier like 20 that works is if I spend \$100, if I'm one of the 21 recipients and I spend \$100, the person I'm paying in 22 some way, say a local restaurant, turns around and 23 spends, say, \$80, all right? Say they save some or whatever, or some of it goes out of State for 24 25 supplies or something like that. When they spend the

```
1
    $80, the next person might spend $60, and depending
2
    upon that deterioration, you get a multiple of some
    fraction, which is actually, for those of you who
3
4
    remember introductory macroeconomics, it is 1 divided
5
    by 1 minus the marginal propensity to consume in the
6
    State.
7
            So I don't have a good estimate of that.
                                                       Ιt
    relies on, of course, resources being available,
8
    which is what happens in a mild recession, et cetera,
9
10
    and relies on spending being in State or in the
    locality.
11
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I know other States
12
    that do---
13
            MR. DIFFLEY: But there is a multiplier, to
14
    be sure.
15
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: But I would think
16
    that a 6 to 8 times multiplier is a little bit of a
17
18
    stretch at this point, especially when you are
19
    targeting Pennsylvania's lowest income families at
20
    this point. Can you really sit there and say that?
21
            MR. DIFFLEY: Well, again, the key, by the
22
    way---
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: What areas would you
23
24
    suggest that might be---
25
            MR. DIFFLEY: The key, by the way, about the
```

lowest income families, again, is to get that sort of first round of spending as high as possible. In other words, they don't save anything and they spend it locally. So that increase is what the multiplier would be.

Again, I do not have a number. Is 6 to 8 too high? You know, I would have guessed 4 to 5 maybe, 3 to 5, if I had to give it off the top of my head.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I think if you look at the studies that the Administration is citing, most of them deal with rebates that were, in other States, that were much broader and also a lot more expensive for the States to give out.

With the Federal package being pretty much very comprehensive and touching a lot more families and should have a very positive, short-term effect, wouldn't the State, wouldn't we be better off looking long term and trying to reduce the overall tax burden, the income tax rate on all Pennsylvania's citizens, especially the group we are currently targeting today that pays no State income taxes?

Wouldn't we be better off looking at the higher-income workers and looking at reducing their tax burden, which is, I think, probably what most of

us in this room hear more than anything, is the tax burden that is hurting the people of Pennsylvania.

MR. DIFFLEY: Well, as I mentioned earlier,
I think if you are trying to address a long-term
problem, the tax burden is relatively more important.
But if you are trying to address a short-term
problem, that tax burden is not the thing that is
going to help in 2008 immediately.

There is a tradeoff, and this is very much in place at the Federal level, where of course this stimulus package, \$150 or \$160 billion at the Federal level, adds to the deficit, which by itself is not a good thing long term, but it is aimed at a short-term problem. I think the Administration is in the same boat in terms of balancing a short-term objective versus a longer term strategy.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: In your report here you refer to, I think at one point, the recession of 2001. We got through that pretty well, pretty quickly, without any type of a rebate or even a tax reduction program. Would you suggest that we just stay the course where we are now, just use the rebate and just stay the course, not raise taxes, not lower taxes? Would that be a better economic policy, or does it make sense to forgo a payment into the Rainy

```
1
    Day Fund where, if your projections are wrong or most
2
    of the economists and it is a longer term recession,
    we may have to go into that Rainy Day Fund. Does it
3
4
    make sense to spend it today when we really, I think
    most of us here who are somewhat fiscally
5
    conservative, feel that, hey, that is money we
6
7
    promised the taxpayers we would put away every year
8
    and we are going to forgo that payment?
            MR. DIFFLEY: First of all, when you refer
    to the 2001 recession, you are referring to the lack
10
    of a State rebate package ---
11
12
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Right.
13
            MR. DIFFLEY: ---because there was one at
    the Federal level.
14
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Right, and we came
15
16
    through that very quickly.
17
            MR. DIFFLEY: During the Bush
    Administration, right.
18
19
            You know, I don't have an answer.
                                                There is
20
    not a correct answer in terms of the policy call
    there.
21
22
            I don't think, you know, Pennsylvania is
23
    fiscally, if you have seen reports coming out of
    California and New Jersey and other States, fiscally
24
25
    in very good shape compared to other States in terms
```

```
1
    of having and being able to deal with the Rainy Day
2
    Fund and relatively low debt and the like and not
    experiencing the same sort of shortfalls that they
3
 4
    are.
            You know, there is not a correct answer to
 5
           The Rainy Day Fund, there is always a question
6
7
    of how big a downturn should there be before you dip
    into the Rainy Day Fund? What after all is it for?
8
            REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                     Okay.
            One last question. Maybe the Chairman will
10
    want to answer this one. It is one that Mario
11
    Scavello wanted to know.
12
13
            Is it true that Philadelphia is getting most
    of the money on this? No, I am teasing on that one.
14
15
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: You turned away from the
    mike a little bit when you said---
17
            MR. DIFFLEY: I'm sorry.
18
19
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: ---you turned away from the
20
    mike when you said relatively low debt. Can you
    repeat that again? You said Pennsylvania has
21
22
    relatively low debt?
23
            MR. DIFFLEY: Well, compared to the States
24
    that are known to be in the problems with the ratings
    agencies, California ---
25
```

CHAIRMAN EVANS: That is why I wanted you to say that directly, because when you turned away a little bit, I don't know if my colleague heard---

MR. DIFFLEY: Oh, okay.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: ---when you said relatively low debt. You said great Rainy Day Fund. You said that, but you said low debt. You turned away and said low debt. I wanted to make sure he heard it.

But I want to tell you my theory. I just told the Chairman. This is my theory about the rebates.

See, it is really our back-door way of helping the Republican small business guy, you know, somebody who owns a delicatessen, right? You put that hundred dollars in their pocket, and they go buy that corned beef sandwich, and that is what we are trying to do.

So we know Republicans control the little small businesses -- you got delicatessens and all those different things. So we figure if we put \$100 in an individual's pocket, a Democrat, he in return will go to that Republican small business and buy a corned beef sandwich.

MR. DIFFLEY: That is, by the way, the way it should work in terms of economics.

1 CHAIRMAN EVANS: That is the way it should 2 work, right? I want to do that; yeah. CHAIRMAN CIVERA: As a Republican small 3 4 business man, I need to keep the debt small. don't need to catch up with the other States. 5 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Dante 6 7 Santoni. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning. 9 10 I just have a question. I know that there are some of us, some of my colleagues, that are 11 12 looking at, you know, cutting across the board 13 10-percent funding that goes to all of the 14 departments, and of course with that goes, you know, cutting funding for education, cutting funding for 15 16 transportation, cutting funding from the Department of Community and Economic Development, which provides 17 funding to bring business in and provides incentives 18 19 for businesses to stay and grow in Pennsylvania. 2.0 My question to you is, when businesses are 21 looking to come into Pennsylvania and they see that 22 the General Assembly is sort of willy-nillying across-the-board tax funding cuts, what do they think 23 24 about that?

MR. DIFFLEY: Well, I will point out, and

25

obviously businesses have different favorites in 1 2 terms of government spending, but it is important to, when we talk about competitiveness of a State, to 3 4 understand that if low taxes by themselves are better and look favorably, but low provision of government 5 services by themselves are looked at unfavorably --6 7 think about infrastructure, education, for instance, 8 as obviously ones that depreciate -- that low spending would, that is -- depreciate the 9 10 Commonwealth's or a State's competitiveness, so you need to look at the whole package, the spending 11 12 package and the tax package, to be sure. 13 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you. aren't targeted cuts a little bit more productive and 14 what businesses look at a little bit more favorably 15 16 than just across the board we are just going to cut everything and forget about the consequences? 17 MR. DIFFLEY: Well, I don't claim to be a 18 19 government cost expert and can't really evaluate the 20 spending in the State to understand, you know, the 21 implications of targeted versus general cuts. 22 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Thank you. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative David Reed. 25 REPRESENTATIVE REED: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

2.0

My first question is really just a followup to one of your responses to a question that Chairman Evans posed about the rebate program, and I guess fist off, the "rebate" term is a little generous; it is more of a taxpayer paid-for bonus program in essence.

But when you look at the rebate program, your response in comparing permanent tax cuts to a one-time rebate was that in the mid- to long-term prospects for the economy, there was very little difference, and I was wondering if you could clarify that statement, because a one-time check imports money into the economy one time, whereas a tax cut is permanent, putting money into the economy year after year after year after decade, really, so that stimulus effect occurs each and every year. How can that have the same mid- to long-term impact on the economy?

MR. DIFFLEY: No, I did not mean to imply that.

The point is that if you compare the size of the rebate with the same sized tax cut. So I would not be thinking of a one-time rebate versus a continual tax cut. The tax cut would be favored over

the long-term, right.

REPRESENTATIVE REED: Okay. Thank you.

My second question would be, in the last couple years when we have looked at economic development spending, stimulus packages, whatever the term is used on any given year -- we have had a number of different terms used to describe it -- most of the economic development programs that have come out of this Administration have focused on government collecting tax dollars and then redistributing those tax dollars in the form of checks to individual companies or individual projects.

In the long term, is that a preferable system for long-term economic prosperity, or is a more broad based tax-policy approach more conducive to producing long-term prosperity?

MR. DIFFLEY: The reality of State and local fiscal policy or economic development policy across the country in the last generation has been movement and extensive use of these targeted sort of efforts, you know, directed toward particular industries -- high tech, biotech, et cetera -- and there is some logic to that.

Generally, economists step back from that and say, well, governments don't do a very good job

or we can't expect governments in general -- it is not a comment about Pennsylvania at all -- to do a very good job picking winners and losers in the new economy, and that it would be better spent if you took the tax expenditures that take place on those targeted programs and use that money to generally reduce taxes.

REPRESENTATIVE REED: Okay.

My final question deals with the Governor's estimate of real GDP growth in the first quarter of 2008.

In his Budget Book, they are looking at about a 1.8-percent GDP growth in the first quarter. Your estimates have been a bit lower than that. In fact, you guys have actually looked at some negative numbers, possibly putting us into a mild recession before we recover in the second half of the fiscal year.

Have your numbers changed at all, or do you believe the Governor's numbers are accurate, or is he perhaps on the higher end of the optimistic side?

MR. DIFFLEY: Well, as I said, he was on the higher end of our most current forecast, not by much.

I would be glad to provide you with a direct comparison of what our current forecast is with what

the Governor has forecasted. I don't have those details right in front of me. As I mentioned earlier, I compared from the budget materials the employment growth and the income growth, and it was only a couple tenths of a percent lower.

I haven't actually seen the GSP numbers that we have been talking about, but I would be glad to lay them out, and you can see the exact comparison.

REPRESENTATIVE REED: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: Let us clarify something for the record.

You said taxpayers' bonuses. Those 475,000 people do pay taxes. They pay sales tax; they pay gas tax. They pay some taxes. Whatever they pay, they pay taxes. So, you know, it is only--- And I think the difference is that you were responding to the question that was framed around a recession---

MR. DIFFLEY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN EVANS: ---specifically the question that was asked to you. You were not asked a question about the long-term aspect of the economy. So I think if you are going to put a caveat in there, you put the caveat in there that you were responding to the economy, the slow growth, and what we could do based now, no more different than President Bush who

```
led the charge to do a $150 billion in our economy.
1
2
            Even though we have the largest deficit in
    the history of America, he is even putting $150
3
    billion immediately, because one of the basic
4
    principles that he and his Treasury Secretary talked
5
6
    about was as long as it is principally short term and
7
    not long term, because if it was long term, then they
    should have went for the tax cuts that they have to
8
9
    be permanent, right?
10
            MR. DIFFLEY: You are correct.
11
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: They should have gone for
12
    that.
13
            MR. DIFFLEY:
                          That's right.
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: But they didn't choose to
14
    go for that.
15
16
            MR. DIFFLEY:
                          Right.
17
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             They chose to go for a
    short-term, narrow period of time. That is what they
18
19
    chose, correct?
2.0
            MR. DIFFLEY: And that---
21
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: So I think it is important
22
    to clarify for when you leave here, so people will
23
    not leave here thinking that there is a difference
24
    between a mild recession and a long-term
25
    restructuring of the economy. I was not talking
```

about that. I was only piggybacking on the principle. So I am saying we should be clear on what I said.

Representative Kathy Manderino.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you, and thank you very much for being here.

In your opening remarks you talked about how much of the economic depression -- I cannot think of the right word I want to use -- that we are experiencing nationwide is due to what has happened in housing, and I have to admit that I really have a hard time understanding the problem in housing and some of the proposed public policy solutions, both at the Federal level, you know, the Congress kind of tried to pass some sort of homeowners' program. In Pennsylvania yesterday, we heard from, or yesterday or the day before we heard from our Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, which has two very modest programs trying to kind of get to the point of stabilizing folks who have become most vulnerable because of this.

But what I hope you can help me understand is, it seems to me from what I know and what I read, there are two problems out there. There are folks who have got caught, maybe in an uneducated way, in a

predatory lending type of situation, and then folks who may have very, you know, maybe you could say that while they may be more educated folks, they may not have used that education wisely to get caught into kind of fancy products that were no-interest-only products or inflatable adjustable mortgage rates or balloon payments or whatever.

But when you look at that whole spectrum
then of folks who are being affected by these
financing mechanisms in the housing market and you
try to look at government policies that would
stabilize that, both for the sake of maybe the family
and for the sake of the overall health of our
nation's or our State's economy, do you have any
insights, observations, or guidance that you can give
to us about what is a sound fiscal public policy?

You know, I mean, I struggle with whether or not it is my responsibility as a taxpayer to bail out somebody who is being irresponsible with their money versus the impact that is going to have on the whole economy, and my heart would rather protect those folks who kind of were unwittingly drawn into something through no fault of their own. But I'm not quite sure how to distinguish that, and that is just an individual opinion versus what is a good public

1 policy opinion.

MR. DIFFLEY: It a very, very vexing problem. I think your last sentence captured it, in fact. You said it was individual, but that is exactly the problem.

In order to bail out, I mean, we would like to bail out those who inadvertently are in a position where suddenly they have got this balloon mortgage payment coming up but a very high adjustable reset that they were unprepared for, but in bailing them out, we are also going to bail out, say, the not so deserving folks who thought they could flip a house every 6 months, all right, and were in it for a quick buck and lost some money. Well, that's the way the market works.

We also do not want to interfere in forcing, say, banks to reset or lenders to reset mortgages at a lower rate. We don't want to interfere with the future provision of mortgages by the banking system. Some of the things we could do -- and we have avoided this thus far -- would have the perverse effect, for instance, if you didn't let mortgage rates go up, would have the perverse effect of decreasing credits for mortgages in the future, which just deepens the problem going forward, and you do not want to do that

either, and yet on the other hand you do want to help out deserving people.

Here is the fundamental problem, and we still don't know the answer to this: How much of an adjustment will there be in home prices across the country, across Pennsylvania to be sure? We see decreases out in home prices actually over the last year in western Pennsylvania, not so much in eastern Pennsylvania where there was in fact a significant appreciation, but we might still see an adjustment in the Philadelphia area, for instance, that is significant, and it is precisely that uncertainty around the current dynamics of the housing market.

You all know that nothing is selling now virtually, right? It has buyers and sellers on both sides wondering which way they should go. If prices come down another 10 percent, that puts that many more people in deep difficulty.

The reason we got caught up -- we, you know, as a group of people -- got caught up in the bad mortgages and the like was this expectation that prices would continue, home values and equity would continue rising at 10 and 20 percent per year, and once that was taken away, you know, the pyramid collapsed or whatever, the balloon burst.

It is a very vexing problem as to how to go about fixing it. As a result, I think the initial response by Treasurer Paulson at the U.S. level was about the best that could be done, but because it was limited, it was going to have a relatively small effect. We are still seeing the issue play out.

Very, very vexing. I don't have good

Very, very vexing. I don't have good advice, in other words.

2.0

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: So, for example, on the economic stimulus, meaning getting checks, actual cash, into folks' hands, we have a model, because we have done that in the past, where we can kind of predict what effect that will have.

MR. DIFFLEY: Correct. Right.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: On this housing stuff, we don't really have the model, so, I mean, is that--- Have we tried to do something? I mean, I don't know in my recollection that we have ever kind of tried to---

MR. DIFFLEY: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: I mean, except for the Feds and their, you know, adjusting the interest rate, I don't know that we have a historic framework to look back and say, government tried this way of stabilizing the housing market and it worked

1 or it didn't work. 2 MR. DIFFLEY: You see, the assets involved, the trillions and trillions of dollars in assets 3 4 involved in housing, make it prohibitively expensive for the government really to do anything. So you 5 have to be careful, you know, what you advise, and 6 7 that is really the crux. It is not so much that we don't have a model 8 as we have a model and it tells us to be careful of, 9 10 you know, whatever interference we put in the normal market conditions, even though clearly there has been 11 12 market failure at this point. 13 REPRESENTATIVE MANDERINO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Fred 15 16 McIlhattan. 17 REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 Now, your projections show the economy 20 slowing and maybe even going into a mild recession. 21 You show employment growth very slow, if at any. 22 MR. DIFFLEY: Right. 23 REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN: Now, the 24 Governor builds his budget this year, the one he 25 presented to us, on a projection of a personal income

```
1
    tax increase in the amount there of about 5.2
2
    percent, and he shows the sales tax growth of 3.4
    percent. Based upon your projections of what is
3
    going on in the economy, is this growth in the income
4
    tax and in the sales tax going to be there?
5
            MR. DIFFLEY: It seems to me in looking at
6
7
    it, and you are talking about the fiscal '08-'09
    projections?
8
            REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN:
                                         Yeah.
10
            MR. DIFFLEY: That I have seen, and I don't
    analysis the revenue structure specifically, but
11
    those big tax of sales, income, and I have seen the
12
13
    corporate tax, look to me to be, if anything,
    conservative estimates, all right?
14
15
            REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN:
                                        Okay.
16
            MR. DIFFLEY: Even though the budget, even
17
    accounting for my slightly lower baseline economic
18
    growth, so.
19
            REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN: Because you have
20
    the 5-percent growth in personal income revenue and
21
    the 3.4-percent growth in sales tax revenue, in your
22
    opinion, they are very safe. So is that what you are
23
    telling me?
24
            MR. DIFFLEY: Right. They don't strike me
25
    as aggressive. That is correct.
```

```
1
            REPRESENTATIVE McILHATTAN: Okay.
                                                Thank
2
    you.
3
            CHAIRMAN EVANS: Representative Bill Keller.
 4
            REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Thank you, Chairman
    Evans, and good morning, Mr. Diffley.
5
6
            I read a report from Paul F. Richardson
7
    Associates. It says that imports in the United
    States will increase 100 percent over the next 10
8
9
    years.
10
            MR. DIFFLEY: Yes, sir.
11
            REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: And also that the
12
    manufacturing that is now based in China will move
13
    into India. It will follow the cheaper labor into
    India.
14
15
            MR. DIFFLEY:
                          Yes.
16
            REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: That opens up the
17
    possibility that to save transportation costs, they
    can now ship through the Suez Canal. If it goes
18
19
    through the Suez Canal, Pennsylvania is perfectly
20
    placed to receive a lot of that new shipping.
21
            Do you have any numbers on how that would
22
    impact economic development and job growth in
23
    Pennsylvania?
24
            MR. DIFFLEY: I don't have any numbers on
25
    that, but you are quite correct that the shipping
```

through the New York and New Jersey ports has been very beneficial to northern New Jersey and indeed to the Allentown area, which serves as a warehouse and logistical center now and down through York and the like. And shipping up through the Baltimore and Philadelphia ports would do so as well, by all means.

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: One more question.

I know everyone talks about the brain drain and that, you know, we follow kids that have college degrees and most of them have to move to the Sun Belt to chase jobs.

MR. DIFFLEY: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: But just, I have been watching locally in Philadelphia. The stagehands' union is talking about a very large group of apprentices this year. They believe, because of the film tax credit, that there are going to be a lot of jobs that can't go South, because to make a movie, you have to have certain, you know, housing stock and different areas and different seasons, which they can't get down South.

Is there anyone following the job growth for those kids, the kids that will take over the blue-collar jobs? We hear a lot about the brain drain, but the blue-collar jobs, from what I see,

1 have the possibility to explode. 2 MR. DIFFLEY: Agreed, and, you know, if you talk to the manufacturers, as I did recently in the 3 4 Philadelphia region, their biggest problem is shortages of labor, and we are talking about 5 6 high-tech manufacturing jobs, advanced manufacturing. 7 There is an opportunity there, to be sure. 8 REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: So things are pretty good in that area? We have some room for growth in 9 10 the blue-collar area? 11 MR. DIFFLEY: Yes, we do. 12 REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Thank you very much. 13 CHAIRMAN EVANS: Who said Keller only knew 14 about the port? He also knows about movie stars, too. 15 16 Representative Josh Shapiro. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 Thank you for your testimony today. I want 20 to just focus on the issue of health care briefly and the economic impact of the uninsured and the soaring 21 22 costs of health insurance in the Commonwealth. 23 We in the House are planning on having a 24 pretty significant debate on health care coming up in 25 the next few weeks or months, and the number that the

Governor uses, the number that I have heard, is that there are 800,000 uninsured Pennsylvanians that are placing a burden, at the very least, on our hospitals through receiving their primary health care through emergency rooms.

2.0

At the same time, we have small businesses who are either offering less generous health insurance plans to their employees or not offering health insurance at all because they simply can't afford the escalating rates of health insurance.

Anecdotally I'm aware of many examples of this playing out in Pennsylvania. Can you provide any empirical data about how the uninsured and how those working who are paying more for their health care is impacting the Pennsylvania economy?

MR. DIFFLEY: No, I cannot give any estimates, I don't have any estimates at my disposal, but it is certainly an issue, and it is an issue that not only Pennsylvania but other States are grappling with.

The cost of health care is a very important issue, not just for individuals but a very costly factor in the cost of doing business, and States, as you are well aware, are devising their own programs in, I guess in the absence of real Federal

```
1
    initiatives in this regard.
2
            It is certainly an important factor, and the
3
    U.S. economy will continue to be more important, more
4
    and more important going forward.
            REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO: But there are no
 5
6
    specific indicators that one could look at?
                                                  Is there
7
    any research that can be done to try and put an
    empirical value on the lack of health insurance or
8
    affordable health insurance?
            MR. DIFFLEY: Oh, there is, or should be.
10
11
    haven't done it or Global Insight has not done it per
12
    se, and I am not aware of quality work, say, done on
13
    Pennsylvania itself. But it could be done.
                                                  I mean,
    it could be quantified. I don't mean to imply it
14
    couldn't be. I just would hesitate to put a number
15
16
    on it myself.
17
            REPRESENTATIVE SHAPIRO:
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18
19
            CHAIRMAN EVANS:
                             Thank you very much.
2.0
            Representative John Myers.
21
            REPRESENTATIVE MYERS:
                                    Thank you, Mr.
22
    Chairman.
23
            Good morning.
24
            MR. DIFFLEY: Good morning.
25
            REPRESENTATIVE MYERS: I'm losing track of
```

time.

Actually, I wasn't going to ask you anything, but something came to my mind, when you talked about, you know, industry watching around energy, and, you know, one of the proposals that is being pushed forward is alternative energy.

Do you have any sense how long it will be before cellulose fuel can become a product that folks can buy? That is one, because I know it is down the line. I don't think we even have a refinery in the Commonwealth that can even do cellulose yet.

MR. DIFFLEY: No.

REPRESENTATIVE MYERS: So I wonder how long that is going to take.

Once we get there, what regions of the Commonwealth most likely will process and manufacture this alternative fuel? If you can give us an idea of what jobs are going to be created. And are these jobs going to be blue-collar jobs or high-income-type jobs?

And the other question to that that actually I'm really trying to figure out, what impact is this going to have on existing refineries? I mean, for example, does that mean that Sunoco is going to switch gears and go from fossil fuel to cellulose

```
1
    fuel, or would they try to make it a part of their
2
    product mix?
            Do you have any idea? Do you know what this
 3
4
    industry is going to look like?
            MR. DIFFLEY: Sure. Well, I have an idea.
 5
    I'm not an energy expert.
6
7
            The recent move to ethanol and cellulose has
    really taken steam. I don't know how long lasting it
8
    will be. In other words, I would hope better
9
10
    alternatives appear relatively soon.
11
            The answer about, you know, the current
12
    refineries, probably to a mix to some extent.
            I think the bolder and more substantial
13
    gains in the future, particularly for Pennsylvania,
14
    are not in ethanol or cellulose but in other types of
15
    green technology developing, be it solar cells, wind
16
    power, et cetera, and there we can talk about
17
    high-tech jobs, high-value-added jobs, that can
18
19
    contribute a lot going forward if the country
20
    ultimately moves to greener and cleaner energy.
            I think Pennsylvania is well positioned for
21
22
    that type of research and another example of new
23
    high-tech, manufacturing-type jobs available.
24
            REPRESENTATIVE MYERS: All right.
25
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
```

CHAIRMAN EVANS: I would like to thank you, as usual, for coming before this committee and providing your insight to all of us, to help all of us.

And I want to say to all of the members, I'm proud of all of the members' questions and comments.

We -- we, collectively -- have a lot of work to do, short term and long term, to address these issues that we face today.

And it is about the economy. You know, ultimately, for all of us, no matter what perspective we come from, we can't do anything about the economy if people don't have confidence in the economy and what we do. So we do really need to work together to order to address the issues that we face today.

I don't think it is a quick answer. I don't think either party has the answer. I think we collectively have to come up with some way to figure out what we are doing, and your insight helps us. I mean, it really does, I know at least in my case personally you helping us as an outsider, because sometimes I think we get lost in the major and in the minor and forget about the big picture. But you help us, so again I want to thank you for coming before this committee.

```
This committee is recessed for 5 minutes,
 1
 2
    and then we have the Historical and Museum Commission
    in 5 minutes.
 3
            We will recess for 5 minutes.
 4
            MR. DIFFLEY: Thank you, and I appreciate
 5
    the remarks, Mr. Chairman. My pleasure, and good
 6
 7
    luck to all of you.
 8
 9
             (The hearing concluded at 11:10 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Debra B. Miller, Reporter