COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES INSURANCE COMMITTEE HEARING

IN RE: HOUSE BILL 1121

SCHUYLKILL CENTER

1000 SCHUYLKILL MANOR ROAD
POTTSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

MONDAY, APRIL 14, 2008, 1:00 P.M.

BEFORE:

HONORABLE ANTHONY M. DeLUCA, CHAIRMAN HONORABLE JOHN R. EVANS
HONORABLE ROBERT W. GODSHALL
HONORABLE THOMAS H. KILLION
HONORABLE EDDIE DAY PASHINSKI

ALSO PRESENT:

HONORABLE JOHN T. YUDICHAK
ROSEANN R. CADAU
KARA L. GUNDEL
LISA M. KUBEIKA
HONORABLE TIM SEIP
RICHARD A. SPEESE, JR.

JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIFIERS	
3		
4	NAMES	PAGE
5	JOHN T. YUDICHAK	7
6	RICHARD W. BLOOMINGDALE	13
7	VINCE PHILLIPS	27
8	DESIREE HUNG	52
9	JUDITH A. SCHWEICH	59
LO	JOSEPH HYNOSKI	68
L1	CHRISTOPHER TEMPLIN	77
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Ladies and gentlemen, this is one of the hearings we'll be having on House Bill 1121.

2.0

I would like to welcome everyone here to our second hearing on House Bill 1121. I am especially pleased, because it is sponsored by my good friend, Representative Yudichak, and also because we are holding this hearing in Representative Seip's district.

I would like to thank Representative Seip for hosting us today and assisting the Insurance Committee with the logistics in scheduling our hearing today.

House Bill 1121 would establish an independent Consumer Advocate for Insurance in the Attorney General's Office. The proposed office would be funded by an assessment on insurance companies doing business in Pennsylvania. This is set at \$5 million initially, and the office would be set up similar to how the Office of Consumer Advocate for the Public Utility Commission is established.

Today, the world of insurance is becoming more complex and increasingly harder for the average consumer to understand. There is no question from either side of this debate as to the need for

consumers to be as educated as possible.

Insurance represents a large and needed investment for all of us. It is one of the major costs in any Pennsylvanian's family budget. And for most of us, it is a cost that continues to increase, seemingly every year.

Today, we will be looking at House Bill 1121 and attempting to determine if Pennsylvania needs an independent voice for its insurance consumers.

As you all know, we have a Consumer Advocate for utility issues affecting the public. So the question is, should we have an independent advocate for the insurance consumer? Are we really doing enough to protect consumers? Is the Insurance Department, which has various functions regulating the business of insurance in Pennsylvania, doing all it can to educate and protect the insurance-buying public? Is there more that can be done?

What advantages will Pennsylvania's consumers gain by creating an independent office?

Are there disadvantages to having an independent voice, and are consumers effectively protected by the Insurance Department?

Hopefully, these and other questions will be answered today, and the committee will then decide if

we should go forward with this idea. We will certainly bring all this testimony back to our fellow colleagues on the Insurance Committee.

2.0

Again, I would like to thank all of the members here today and those in the audience, and especially those who will offer testimony this afternoon. I look forward to hearing all of your thoughts on this bill.

I want to thank you -- I met some of the young women over there -- for coming in. I know insurance is a key issue for a lot of you out there. And I want to thank you for coming to hear what is going on here.

You have a lovely facility here. And certainly health care is on your minds, like everybody else out there. I want to commend you for coming in. Hopefully, we'll make you more knowledgeable of what we're trying to do in Harrisburg.

Representative Killion, would you like to say a few words?

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Very briefly.

Just that I'm pleased to be here on behalf of Chairman Micozzie. I'm looking forward to hearing testimony today at this hearing and in particular

```
1
    from my colleague, John Yudichak, on his bill.
2
            Thank you.
 3
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: How about Representative
4
    Seip? Do you want to say anything?
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Thank you, Mr.
 5
6
    Chairman.
7
            I would like to thank the Schuylkill Center
    for having us here today and hosting the hearing of
8
    the Insurance Committee.
9
            I'm very familiar with the facility. As a
10
    past social worker at Good Samaritan Regional Medical
11
12
    Center, I've helped a lot of folks when we were
13
    working together for patient benefits. It's nice to
    see some of the residents here today taking part in
14
    government.
15
16
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA:
                               Thank you.
18
            We have been joined by Representative
19
    Godshall, who has certainly been an advocate for
20
    health care since his tenure in Harrisburg. We came
21
    in together may years ago, right, Representative
22
    Godshall?
23
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: That was 26 years
24
    ago.
25
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Yes, 26 years ago.
                                                   And
```

1 we're still working on health care, right? 2 REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: Right. CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Without further ado, we'll 3 4 have our first person testify, Representative Yudichak, who is the prime sponsor of this 5 6 legislation. 7 Representative Yudichak, would you please come up here? 8 REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you, Chairman DeLuca and members of the Insurance 10 11 Committee, for holding today's hearing on the creation of a Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance 12 in Pennsylvania. 13 Chairman DeLuca has been very generous with 14 the time, resources, and energy of the Insurance 15 Committee. This is the second hearing on House Bill 16 1121. As a result of the hearing that we had in 17 18 Harrisburg, we had some very good input from the 19 insurance industry, some very good input from groups 2.0 like AARP and the AFL-CIO. 21 The Insurance Committee has led the charge 22 on health-care reform in the Legislature. You have 23 advanced important legislation authored by 24 Representative DeLuca that will curb medical errors, 25 improve how insurance rates are set, and deliver

affordable health insurance to more than 250,000 Pennsylvanians who currently are uninsured.

Each member of the committee should be congratulated on their strong efforts. Although we may differ on the means, we seldom differ on the goal of providing affordable health-care coverage to all Pennsylvanians.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to Representative Tim Seip for helping bring the Insurance Committee to Pottsville. Representative Seip's experience as a health-care professional has made his insight and his personal passion indispensable in the health-care debate in Harrisburg.

With strong legislative support, the support of small business owners like Joe Hynoski from Nanticoke and the support of organizations like the AFL-CIO, AARP, and leaders from Pennsylvania's struggling municipalities and school districts, I'm proud to sponsor legislation that will give health-care consumers a powerful and independent voice in Harrisburg.

The Consumer Advocate for the health insurance act will establish a consumer watchdog to fight for every Pennsylvanian struggling with the

rising cost of health care.

2.0

The advocate will be appointed by the Attorney General. Once appointed, the office will be independent in terms of both its budget and in terms of its ability to bring action on behalf of Pennsylvania consumers before the Insurance Department court or agency.

The Office of Consumer Advocate for Health
Insurance will be housed in the same office as the
Consumer Advocate for Public Utilities and will
mirror the successful 32-year track record the
Consumer Advocate for Public Utilities has compiled
fighting for Pennsylvania consumers.

The office will be funded through a nominal assessment on all health insurers doing business in Pennsylvania. The annual budget will be roughly \$2 million.

Currently, health insurance consumers do not have an independent advocate for their interests.

The State Insurance Department is charged with balancing the interests of both consumers and the insurance industry.

Most Insurance Commissioners over the last decade have been insurance company executives and return to the insurance industry when their term

expires. The dual nature of the Insurance Department is an impediment to consumers getting a fair shake on health-care issues.

2.0

When the Insurance Department determined that the \$4 billion surplus held by the State's Blue Cross network was appropriate, who had the ability to fully question that decision? Could an individual citizen take on the Insurance Department and the big Blues?

Highmark and Independence Blue Cross are planning a mega-merger that will control more than 50 percent of Pennsylvania's health-care market. The merger will have a far-reaching impact on the delivery and the cost of health care in Pennsylvania. Who will have the resources to fight for consumers in this debate?

The issues surrounding health-care insurance are complex. The special interests fighting for the status quo are well financed and well represented.

Can the consumer afford to stand alone in this fight?

The Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance will have the resources and the staff to fight for consumers. And equally important, a Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance will be an asset to the Governor and to the Legislature in the policy debates

on health-care policy in Pennsylvania.

Eight other States -- Georgia, West

Virginia, Oregon, Florida, Virginia, Texas, Maryland,
and Vermont -- have some version of a Consumer

Advocate. It is time Pennsylvania steps up to the
plate for health-care consumers.

Pennsylvania consumers deserve an advocate that has the independence to create a new level of accountability in our health-care industry. They deserve an advocate that has the resources to make a measurable progress in controlling the spiraling cost of health care.

For every working family, every small business, every school district, every municipality facing double-digit increases in their health insurance rates year after year -- give them a voice. Give them a Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance in Pennsylvania.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd be happy to entertain any questions from the committee.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you, Representative Yudichak.

Any questions? Representative Godshall.

REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: I'd just like to tell John that this even had my GPS unit confused.

```
1
    You weren't the only one confused.
2
             REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: The computer age
3
    hasn't caught up with Pottsville yet.
 4
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: Well, apparently
5
    that's exactly right.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Shall we put that in the
6
7
    bill, Bob?
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: Yes.
8
9
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Representative Seip, any
10
    questions?
11
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: I just wanted to thank
12
    Representative Yudichak for bringing this legislation
    forward.
13
            I can tell you, as a licensed social worker
14
    working in a hospital setting, it's very difficult
15
16
    for me sometimes to try and advocate on behalf of the
17
    patient, working in health care myself.
18
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Right.
19
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: So I can only imagine
20
    how difficult it must be for the subscribers or the
21
    patients themselves to try to go about remedying
22
    different problems that come up in health-care
23
    situations individually.
24
            I think it's a wonderful concept. Thank you
25
    for bringing the legislation.
```

1 REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I just want to say, I want to commend you for taking some of the comments that 3 4 we had at the first hearing and incorporating them into this amendment that Representative Seip will be 5 introducing. Certainly it shows you that when we do 6 have these hearings, the Representatives are 7 listening and take everything into consideration. 8 I think all we hear anymore is in government 9 transparency. We talk about transparency, and 10 certainly we need to have transparency in our health 11 12 care, because a lot of people really don't understand 13 some of the contracts. I want to commend you for introducing this 14 I want to thank you for your testimony. 15 bill. 16 Do you want to join us up here, John? REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: 17 Sure. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Our next testifier will be 19 Rick Bloomingdale, Secretary-Treasurer of the 2.0 Pennsylvania AFL-CIO. 21 Thank you, members of the MR. BLOOMINGDALE: 22 committee.

I have to make a comment about finding this

place. What you've got to do is you've got to bring

somebody with you that knows Pottsville. I brought

23

24

25

Russ with me, who is on our staff and from Pottsville and knew how to get here. And he also knew some of the fine eating establishments in the beautiful city of Pottsville. We had a good lunch before we came up here.

2.0

It's my pleasure to be here today. As Tony mentioned, I'm Secretary-Treasurer of the Pennsylvania AFL-CIO, representing over 800,000 organized workers in the Commonwealth.

It's a pleasure for me to testify before the House Insurance Committee in strong support of House Bill 1121 prime-sponsored by Representative Yudichak.

House Bill 1121 would create the Office of
Consumer Advocate for Insurance in the Attorney
General's Office. The scope of the proposed office
would be limited to reviewing health insurance
products for the private insurers, nonprofit insurers
such as the Blues, and the HMO industry.

I want to commend the sponsors for introducing this legislation and would encourage this committee to act favorably on House Bill 1121. There is currently a forum for consumers and small businesses to assist in the utility realm, and it is time that consumers had an avenue to have their

issues and concerns addressed within the insurance realm.

In 1976, the Office of Consumer Advocate was established by the Pennsylvania General Assembly as an independent office within the Office of the Attorney General.

The Office of Consumer Advocate has represented consumers in cases before the Public Utility Commission regarding a variety of issues, from rate increase cases to complaints about utility billing. The Office of Consumer Advocate has ultimately provided consumers with a forum and a process to address their concerns.

In addition, the Office of Small Business Advocate was established in 1988 to represent the interests of small business consumers of utility services before the PUC, the courts, and before comparable Federal agencies.

As I understand it, currently the Insurance Commissioner reviews the methodology of health-care insurers -- the factors used in calculating rates, the credibility of the factors, retention, risk and contingency calculations, and taxes, if applicable. Health insurers are then allowed to use the approved methodology and to vary rates by plus or minus

15 percent.

2.0

House Bill 1121 would empower the Insurance Consumer Advocate to intervene in the Insurance Commissioner's review and ruling. Section 904-c (a) and (b) seem to grant the Consumer Advocate the discretion to intervene upon his or her own motion, whereas subsection (c) of this section appears in conflict with this discretion by first requiring a petition by "...a substantial number of persons who are consumers of an insurer subject to regulation by the Department." Perhaps these subsections can be read to be complimentary to each other, but I would suggest that their intentions be clarified.

We support the need for an Office of

Consumer Advocate for Insurance in regard to health

care. We also encourage the scope of responsibility

for the Consumer Advocate for Insurance be expanded

to all other lines of insurance that the Insurance

Commissioner regulates. A fully-funded Consumer

Advocate's office for insurance is greatly needed.

The roles of Insurance Commissioner and the Department of Insurance are confusing and seemingly conflicting. The Insurance Commissioner must balance the interests of the insurance providers, purchasers, and consumers who, as in health care, are not the

same as purchasers.

The Insurance Commissioner develops policies intended to produce solvency for all who adhere to prudent insurance and investment practices. This means the conventional methodology defers to incompetent insurers and negates incentives for proficient insurers. Insurance rate regulation cannot be so restrictive that it bankrupts insurers. All parties must achieve solvency; otherwise, the insurance consumers' purchase will not be genuine.

To start to resolve the lowest-common-denominator problem, the insurance rating process must move past "methodology" and end numbers and consist of a full examination of insurance companies' performances and fee schedules.

Currently, the Insurance Commissioner looks only at methodology and end numbers and has neither the personnel nor time to look at the underlying calculations and actual data used to derive rates for consumers. To make the work of the Insurance Consumer Advocate meaningful, we must authorize the Insurance Commissioner and the Advocate to have reasonable rate regulation.

We live in an era of transparency and public disclosure. Across our great Commonwealth and the

nation, there has been an earthquake of reform in these areas. The impact of Sarbanes-Oxley has been dramatic throughout the private and nonprofit sectors of our economy.

Insurers are adopting internal disclosure policies which are important steps in the right direction. We need to ensure that the spirit of Sarbanes-Oxley is carried out uniformly across all insurers. Consumers now believe the insurance ratemaking process is not as dedicated to protecting them as it is to ensuring the solvency of insurers.

Adopting House Bill 1121 would give consumers greater confidence that the insurance rates are fair and reasonable. Frankly, this would help the insurance industry, which today is viewed with suspicion and outright distrust. It is unreasonable to expect the Insurance Commissioner who must represent insurers and consumers to bring creditability and faith to the insurance markets. However, the creation of an Insurance Consumer Advocate would allow consumers to have greater faith in the system and the rates that they are required to pay for insurance.

At the same time, the scope of submitted documents must be expanded and made publicly

available. Audits of insurers should be done at the direction of the Insurance Commissioner or the Advocate and should include all the reasonable types of audits that are done in other sectors, such as financial, managerial, and administrative audits.

It is no longer acceptable that these audits are privately contracted and then submitted to the Insurance Department. We need audits that dig into the underlying numbers that are subject to review by the Insurance Commissioner.

Remember, under current law, the Insurance

Commissioner, and now the Advocate, only get to look

at end numbers but are precluded from looking at the

numbers in individual policies that result in the end

numbers under review.

We encourage the Insurance Commissioner and the Advocate to peer under the end numbers for review by engaging the appropriate professionals to do full audits of insurers and that this be made a condition of an insurer doing business in the Commonwealth.

In addition, the scope of review for the Commissioner and the Advocate needs to be expanded from looking simply at methodology, credibility, risk, retention, and taxes of an insurer to a ruling on rates actually charged to the insured.

In the spirit of Sarbanes-Oxley, full 1 2 disclosure and data transparency are the order of the day. Only when there is full disclosure and 3 4 transparency will the Advocate be fully armed to 5 represent consumers. 6 Again, we endorse House Bill 1121 and 7 encourage amendments as suggested in this statement, 8 which will bring credibility to insurance rates and scope of coverage to Pennsylvania consumers. 9 10 support the expansion of the role of Consumer 11 Advocate to represent all lines of insurance, and we 12 fully support the concept of a Consumer Advocate to 13 focus on the health insurance industry. Thank you for your attention. I will be 14 glad to answer any questions. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: As you heard 17 Representative Yudichak mention, the fact that this 18 is just defined for health, you have no problems with 19 that? 2.0 MR. BLOOMINGDALE: No, not at all. 21 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: You'd just like to see it 22 expanded? 23 MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: You are on board? 25 MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Absolutely. We think

1 that it's very necessary at this time. 2 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Any questions from my right? Do we have copies of that testimony? 3 4 MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Yes, right up here. 5 CHAIRMAN GODSHALL: Any questions, Bob? REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: 6 7 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: John? REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 8 Mr. Bloomingdale, you made reference in your 9 10 testimony about the operation of the Office of 11 Consumer Advocate for Public Utilities in 1976, and we tried to mirror that office. 12 13 MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Right. REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: It's been 14 successful for the last 32 years in bringing to the 15 16 forefront consumer issues on utilities. I would 17 suspect that that was in response to the energy 18 crisis of the 1970s. Do you feel that we have a 19 similar health-care crisis, level of crisis in the health-care industry, as a representative of working 20 21 families dealing with employees every day? 22 What I'm hearing is that it's no longer just 23 about those that are uninsured. It's those that have 24 health-care insurance but can't continue to pay for 25 the increases in the premiums. It's the small

business owner, it's the medium-sized business owner,
that's finding it more and more difficult to provide
health insurance to their employees.

Even to school districts and municipalities.

Many of the school districts in my area, that's the number one issue in terms of negotiations with the teachers' union; it's health-care issues. And municipalities are struggling to continue to provide municipal services, whether it's police or fire, because of health-care issues.

Do you not believe that it has gotten to the crisis pitch as the energy crisis was in the 1970s, that that's why we need an Office of Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance?

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: The short answer is yes, absolutely.

As the Secretary-Treasurer, I'm also in charge of our financial operations of the AFL-CIO. If you define us as a business, we have under 50 employees -- we have about 20 -- and we have to provide insurance for those folks.

REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Right.

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: And to this day, we're very proud of the fact that our folks don't pay any copays toward their premiums. They pay copays for

prescription meds and all that, but for their actual premiums, we continue to pay all of their premiums for full family coverage.

2.0

Obviously, if we're bargaining for that at the table, we believe we should practice what we preach, but it's expensive. It's now \$28,000 a month for me to provide full family coverage for about 20 employees. That is getting to be tough.

We have to get control of cost, and one of the ways to do that is to have this Office of Consumer Advocate for Health Insurance, absolutely. If we have somebody where we think the rates have become unreasonable or somebody has maybe -- you know, sometimes premiums go up when the stock market goes down and it has nothing to do with the actual cost of health care. Some insurance companies invest, and I know they bond and all that stuff, but if they get into trouble, they tend to pass it on to those who buy the insurance -- the premium payers.

REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Right.

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: And while employers may be the vehicle for which health care is purchased, it's actually employees who buy the health care through deferred wages, you know, through their contract, whether they give up a portion of their

```
1
    wages to go to health care.
2
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Right.
 3
            MR. BLOOMINGDALE: But companies don't
4
    actually buy the health care. It's their workers who
    purchase their health care through deferred wages.
5
6
    They're just the actual -- the employers are the ones
7
    who go out and write the check.
            So we absolutely need somebody like this who
8
    we can turn to and make sure that the rate increases
9
10
    are based on real increased costs, not some losses on
    the market or bond market or wherever the insurers
11
12
    happen to keep their reserves.
13
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you.
14
            MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Certainly.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I also want to acknowledge
15
16
    my good friend who just joined us, Representative
    Pashinski, who is on the Insurance Committee, too.
17
18
            Representative Pashinski, do you have any
19
    questions?
2.0
            REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI:
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Rick, as the
21
22
    Secretary-Treasurer of the AFL-CIO, you're certainly
23
    conscious of the cost of health care.
24
            MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Every day.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: As you know, we'll
25
```

probably be hearing back in Harrisburg about the fact that the insurance industry is going to say this is going to raise costs. As a person who is dealing with costs for you members out there, you certainly wouldn't be advocating something that's going to raise costs to the membership; is that correct?

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: And that will be one of the things that's coming up, that's probably going to be coming up, because of the fact that they're going to say that this will increase costs, we'll have to pass it on to the ratepayers and we don't need it.

And certainly as an individual who has all those members, one of the biggest problems is not wages for you, it's the health-care situation today. You wouldn't be advocating anything that was going to raise costs and make it harder for you to negotiate your contracts, right?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BLOOMINGDALE: That's absolutely right, Representative.

You know, we have enough trouble trying to get folks a decent wage so they can keep up with the rate of inflation. And right now, especially in the building trades, more and more of them negotiate on an hourly cost. They're up to \$8, \$9, \$10 an hour

1 per hour worked going to health care. That's more 2 than minimum wage. CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: 3 Sure. MR. BLOOMINGDALE: They're paying for health 4 5 care more than what some people are making to try to make ends meet. It's certainly a serious problem. 6 7

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: And you view this as a piece of legislation that will address the cost factor and try to keep costs down like we have done with other pieces of legislation?

We need to get those costs under control. We do not

think that is going to be a cost issue.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: Absolutely, like the chronic-care stuff.

The Legislature has been very remarkable in what they've done so far, and given that there are 203 Representatives and probably 203 opinions on how health care should be solved, the fact that folks have made changes already in this legislative session says a lot about the leadership and the members of the State House of Representatives.

Everybody in a bipartisan fashion has done a terrific job trying to get costs under control.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Right.

MR. BLOOMINGDALE: And that's the biggest

```
1
    issue that we face. Once we get costs under control
2
    and full coverage for everybody, we'll follow that.
 3
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Very good. Thank you very
4
    much for your testimony.
 5
            MR. BLOOMINGDALE:
                               Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Is Vince Phillips here or
6
    anyone representing the Pennsylvania Association of
7
    Health Underwriters?
8
            MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
                                 Hello, Mr. Chairman.
10
            I'd like to thank you very much for allowing
    me to testify on behalf of the Pennsylvania
11
    Association of Health Underwriters this afternoon.
12
13
    You've all got my testimony. It was also e-mailed to
    the staff as well.
14
15
            With your permission, I'm just going to talk
16
    to you rather than read that script.
17
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Absolutely.
18
            MR. PHILLIPS: I would like to share with
19
    you an example of what frequently happens with the
20
    Health Underwriters, and as you know, they're the
    insurance agents who specialize in health insurance
21
22
    and employee benefits.
23
            Perhaps some of your constituents have
24
    received solicitation, something like what I'm going
25
    to read to you: "Call now. Open enrollment is
```

limited for this A-rated carrier health-care rate plan. \$25 doctor visits. Pre-existing conditions okay. Call now."

And when I have called, now they have told

me -- because I always ask them the question, who is

the agent of record? What is their license number?

You know, trying to do my own due diligence when I

get consumer complaints like that -- and oftentimes

they say, "Well, we don't really need to have an

agent ID because we're not really an insurance

company."

I maintain that if it walks like a duck and talks like a duck or what have you -- it's probably something else than an insurance plan, but it is trying to masquerade itself to be one.

Department. The Insurance Department spent a bit of time researching it, and then last week I got a letter from them saying that indeed it was not an insurance company. Whatever it is, it is not an insurance company. There are no licensed agents connected with it, and they informed me that they're referring it to the Office of Attorney General for violation of various fraud statutes.

Now, I mention that because, first of all,

it's current. This happened just last week. I wanted to use it to point to the historic rule of the Pennsylvania Insurance Department as the Consumer Advocate.

Personally, I support those who want to see more consumer advocacy, but I would respectfully differ with the approach taken by this particular piece of legislation. I would suggest that rather than create a new entity, possibly duplicating the historic mission of the Insurance Department to protect the consumer, we need to find out if there's a problem in Strawberry Square and address it.

Now, I maintain that the Insurance

Department staff is vastly overworked. According to
the budget documents I have seen, maybe 139,000 or
more complaints, concerns, et cetera, come into the
Insurance Department through the Bureau of Consumer
Services. They are vetted. They are consulted.

Many of them are just actual questions about, how
does insurance work, based on a lack of understanding
as to how insurance does work.

But still if there's merit, it goes over to the Enforcement Bureau. The Enforcement Bureau has the ability to go after people masquerading as things that they are not, or if they're not appointed by a

company, or if they're someone who is selling insurance without a license, et cetera.

In addition, of course, as they did with my particular complaint, they referred it to the Office of Attorney General. And, of course, there's a liaison office within the Insurance Department to facilitate that transition for a criminal investigation and possible prosecution from the AG.

The point here is that there is machinery there. There is apparatus there. There are plenty of laws. As a representative of a regulated community of the insurance agents, you know, I can recite all kinds of legislation such as the Unfair Insurance Practices Act or Act 54, and managed care has plenty of reforms on the books, et cetera.

I would suggest to you that the machinery is there, the legislation is there, but clearly there's something missing from all of that that's driving some of the consumer interest possibly and the interest by their advocates and pushing an additional solution.

Now, I would suggest that the problem may lie rather in a budgetary issue, and that is lack of financial resources that the Department has. The insurance industry every year brings in about

\$400 million plus to the coiffures of the State of Pennsylvania, and that doesn't include the \$20 million or so that comes in from producer licensing fees, company appointments, enforcement actions, et cetera. The important thing to note is that that goes to the General Fund as part of the process.

The Health Underwriters have historically maintained that the Insurance Department is underfunded. My particular case in point, the one that I got the letter on last week from the Insurance Department, the good news is that they are working on it. The bad news is that it took them awhile to get to the point where they could make that referral.

And I was positive that that's really a sign of, in too many cases, too much to do, too high a desk filled with complaints and concerns, and not enough staff and not enough resources.

So I would suggest that a proper approach might be to take a look at the Insurance Department budget and maybe even bring some of the Department officials in and say, what can be done to expedite your handling of consumer complaints?

Now, in addition to that, what Governor

Rendell did early in his Administration was to create

the Office of Consumer Liaison For Insurance, and the Consumer Liaison For Insurance really had two missions set out for her.

Number one was to advise the Commissioner of emerging consumer-related issues so the Insurance Commissioner could desensitize to various priorities. For example, early on in her tenure as Consumer Liaison, Cindy Fillman spent a lot of time talking about flood insurance, and then later on the topic was Medicare Part D -- and we all know how easy that is to understand -- and, of course, you know, various managed-care elements, various health insurance issues, et cetera. And frankly, she has done a yeoperson's job of trying to do that mandate of communicating those concerns to the Insurance Commissioner.

The other part of her responsibility is consumer education, trying to educate consumers about how insurance works and desensitize them to things that they should be asking to better understand their insurance policy. Now, I personally believe that many people are functionally illiterate when it comes to insurance. It's not something that people want to wake up in the morning and gain a full understanding of. Rather, they'll rely on what others tell them it

means versus doing their own primary research.

And, of course, the Health Underwriters and the insurance agents tried to address that by educating their clients as best they could.

Unfortunately, some of those clients are sheltered by the fact that they're enrolled in a group health insurance program, which means the agent gets to talk to the business person but does not necessarily get as much of a chance to educate the employees as to how insurance basically functions.

Well, back to Cindy Fillman. What she has had is listening sessions designed to try to get the word out. Frankly, it's very difficult for her to get the word out as it should be gotten out because she has a staff of two or three people.

I would suggest that there's a tremendous need for that sort of consumer education, and I would ask the General Assembly to look very carefully at the budgetary amounts allocated for consumer education within the Insurance Department as well as the budgetary allocations for the Bureau of Consumer Services, the Bureau of Enforcement, and, of course, the producer licensing bureau, the one that closely oversees the insurance agents. I would ask that the General Assembly take a look at that and determine

whether or not the budgetary perimeters are
sufficient for the Insurance Department to do its
job.

So again, kind of to conclude my comments, I

2.0

believe very strongly that we need to have a strong consumer advocacy presence in the Insurance

Department, you know, try to partner with them to bring consumer complaints to them, just as this example shows. Frankly, I think the Department is hamstrung and needs more resources to do its job adequately.

I am not convinced that we need a separate piece of legislation to set up an independent body that may inadvertently tend to duplicate or undercut the consumer mission that the Insurance Department has.

With that, I want to thank you again for allowing me to testify. I'm glad I was just in time. I appreciate, again, your hospitality.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you, Vince.

Let me ask you something. I don't know if you're familiar with the sponsor of the bill.

Representative Yudichak has narrowed the scope of the bill. Are you familiar with that?

MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Now, I would imagine the 2 producers -- since we call them producers now instead 3 of agents --MR. PHILLIPS: Right. CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: What part do they play as 5 advocates for the consumers? 6 7 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, the first level that actually --8 9 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Not to interrupt you. 10 heard you say group health insurance, and you get caught up in that. You sort of put it on the side. 11 12 What part do the agents or producers play as 13 advocates for the people you write their insurance for? 14 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Well, the insurance agent or 16 insurance producer, they serve as consumer advocates on several different levels. First of all, to 17 educate them as to how insurance works. 18 19 consumers needs to make informed choices. They need 20 to know a bit of how insurance works so the proper 21 coverage can be recommended to them for their 22 decision. 23 So the first level is consumer education. 24 We believe that knowledge really solves a lot of 25 problems when it comes to insurance. If the

consumers know that they have redress under the law
-- for example, managed-care reform, passed in the
1990s, allows for a grievance procedure and a
complaint procedure. I suspect that most consumers
don't know that there are two separate ways of filing
issues with a particular managed-care plan. The
agent can help educate them.

The second thing is an advocacy role when it comes to claims. Oftentimes consumers, when they don't have a claim that's paid to their satisfaction, they honestly don't know why: Why are they not paying my claim? And some consumers will say, well, I paid all my money to this insurance company; I think they ought to at least pay my claims.

Sometimes they consider insurance being an investment plan rather than an insurance tool.

Oftentimes insurance producers will work with the client to find out what the problem is, and sometimes it may be as simple as a coding error done by the physician. Sometimes it may be paperwork done by the insurance company. But the insurance agents are hands-on in trying to get to the bottom of what the consumer's concern is regarding claim payment, and they'll be the ones to help the consumer navigate the system. If someone tells you that insurance is

an easy system to navigate, obviously they're not speaking correctly.

But I think the agent provides advocacy on those two levels.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: The other thing I have a problem with, when we talk about this type of situation about the Insurance Commissioner being an advocate -- maybe they are shorthanded.

To give you an example, last week there was an individual who needed a stem-cell transplant. He had cancer. The fact was that they were denied twice on the appeal process. And they went to a talk show host back in Pittsburgh. The talk show host was on for 4 hours criticizing the insurance carrier, brought up the fact that the CEO was making \$125 million and all the expenses they were making.

Ironically, in the next half hour after his stay while he was on the show, this fellow was granted a stem-cell transplant. Now, everyone doesn't have that type of situation where they can go to a talk show host. Now, this was life or death. He had three children he wanted to see grow up.

Evidently they must have went to the

Insurance Commissioner. They didn't get anything

from the Insurance Commissioner or the Advocate that

you were talking about. And I know Cindy Fillman.

Why do individuals have to go through that? This

fellow was in the hospital. It was a life-and-death

situation. And yet we get on a talk show, you get

criticized, the company got criticized, and all of a

sudden, lo and behold, he was granted the stem-cell

Now, I don't think that's fair, and that's one of the reasons I'm going to support this piece of legislation.

MR. PHILLIPS: I understand.

transplant.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: The fact is, we're not all lucky to know to take that risk to go on a talk show and whether a talk show host really wants to get involved in it. This talk show host did get involved and crucified that insurance company for almost 2 hours and it changed.

I don't think consumers need to do that. As you said before, they're not well educated on consumer issues. There's stuff I don't even understand in my insurance policy. I don't know about you; you're in the insurance business.

Sometimes your family probably asks you about insurance problems and you have a tough time explaining it to them.

```
1
            MR. PHILLIPS: Right.
2
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA:
                               That's one of the things.
            And I would imagine the Consumer Advocate
 3
4
    for the Public Utility Commission seems to be working
          In that situation, nobody is saying, let's get
5
6
    rid of the Public Utility Advocate.
7
            So for the small amount that we're talking
    about -- I think Representative Yudichak said
8
    $2 million. If we're always talking about
9
10
    transparency, as I said before, to give the consumers
11
    something out there that they can -- because
12
    everybody is suspicious of the insurance companies,
13
    regardless, I mean, no matter who you talk to.
14
    likes insurance companies? I think that would give
15
    them a better idea of what the insurance companies
16
    have and what the purpose of it is that they do.
17
            That's my point on it.
18
            Any other questions on this?
19
            REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: I have a
20
    question.
21
            Vince, you and I have talked about the
22
    situation many times over.
23
            MR. PHILLIPS:
                            Sure.
24
            REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: And to echo what
25
    Representative DeLuca talked about, we do have these
```

mechanisms in place, and yet the health insurance industry is totally out of whack. We just heard Rick Bloomingdale talk about \$1,400 a month. That means somewhere around \$17,000 a year they're paying for their families.

Regardless of how complicated or how technical or how difficult it may be to understand an insurance plan, I don't know that the regular consumer -- and I agree with Representative DeLuca. I don't know that anybody in this room has read their insurance policies from beginning to end and honestly understand it.

I don't think it's the job of the consumers to do that. It's the job of the people that are in charge of representing that company to present a document, to present an agreement that people understand why they're paying for it.

And the laws and regulations should protect those people. When they do have claims, they should be paid, and if not, they should be explained.

I think what Representative Yudichak is doing here is what all of us are trying to do, and that is find a way to deal with the health-care crisis. It's unsustainable, it's unaffordable, and there's no end in sight. This is at least another

step to hopefully have another educated body take a look at the concerns of the consumer and at least give them another shot for a fair deal.

You mentioned that the Insurance Department may be understaffed. Could you tell me over the last 8 years what the staffing has been like? Has government cut those staffs that dramatically over the last 8 years that it has given them a shortfall?

MR. PHILLIPS: I have been lobbying for

insurance agents here since 1989 and they've always been short staffed.

I'm going to guess, and I'll probably be proved wrong, but I'm going to guess that there are probably a total of about 300 employees of the Insurance Department to take care of all the functions of the Insurance Department, and not just the consumer services or consumer advocacy.

The only movement I saw was that last year's budget, I think, allowed for an increase of 10 slots for the Insurance Department, but those were predicated on the passage of rate reform, which did not happen last year. So I don't know the current staffing level.

What I do know is what people in the Department have told me informally, frankly, how

overworked they are, where there's a huge volume of cases that they are trying to get to, that they're trying to resolve. They try to resolve them informally, if they can, by bringing all the parties together on the telephone to try to figure it out, and if not, then they can refer it.

2.0

So I don't disagree with you in terms of the substance of what you said in terms of insurance being difficult to understand and that there needs to be a protection. I guess the only difference is I feel that the Insurance Department is legally mandated to be that advocate.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Right.

MR. PHILLIPS: For example, on this person being forced to go to a public talk show host to try to give the insurance company a black eye so that they reverse their decision, you know, that shouldn't be allowed to happen. I think the Insurance Department is currently tapped to do that.

My question from a public administration point of view is, what can be done to make them work more effectively or more efficiently or get to the bottom of consumers' complaints? There's no shortage of laws that are out there -- the unfair claims settlement act and everything else, as I mentioned.

I just think that from the outside looking in, it just appears to me that they need help. They need help from the General Assembly. I know that the creation of an office of advocate for insurance will create visibility to the advocacy role.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Okay.

MR. PHILLIPS: But I guess I would rather see an approach were the Insurance Commissioner, who is supposed to be doing that job anyway, for the General Assembly to find out why that's not being done sufficiently or to give them the tools that they need to perform it more sufficiently.

Again, it's not that I disagree with the point or the emphasis; it's just the means to get there.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Well, I agree with you. And I think that this committee will certainly take that up and find out, you know, whether they are that understaffed that they can't do the job and whether the Insurance Commissioner is following through on that.

How about too many cases? Do you have any statistics as to, has there been a dramatic increase in complaints? Has it been neutral?

MR. PHILLIPS: I seem to remember the

1 Insurance Department's level last year. Maybe it's 2 139,000 complaints coming into consumer services. 3 Now, considering that there are -- again, I'm guessing for staff levels. I'm guessing that 4 5 they don't have 30 people on that particular part of the Insurance Department. If you break it down, 6 that's where I come up with my conclusion that 7 there's a daunting workload there. 8 REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Okay. 10 MR. PHILLIPS: But I think that 139,000, give or take, is a number I remember seeing. Now, I 11 12 don't think there's been a huge spike in those 13 complaints. That is the figure that I know. REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: 14 Okay. MR. PHILLIPS: One of the things that may be 15 16 relevant, I see every year issues, a list of consumer 17 complaints on insurance. I think the issue there was in March of 2008, and if that will be useful to you, 18 19 I can get a copy of that to you. CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: If you could provide that 2.0 21 to us, we certainly would appreciate it. 22 The top three areas of MR. PHILLIPS: 23 consumer complaints did deal with claims, as you 24 might expect. Number one was the delay in payment of 25 claims.

Now, is that attribute to internal bureaucracy within an insurance company? Is that a reflection of an insurance company kind of dragging its heels a little bit or doing research? That research doesn't show that. It suggests to me that if there are delays in consumers being paid legitimate claims, again, that's the role of the regulator to get in there and basically take the companies to task.

One thing I do know is -- and I would never presume to speak for insurance companies since they do not represent agents -- that whenever the Insurance Department calls, everybody kind of drops what they're doing, whether it be an insurance producer or an insurance company. If the Insurance Department comes calling and they have an interest in something, that's the time to put everything on hold and attend to what the regulators are asking.

Maybe they're not asking enough or maybe they can't ask as much as they could be to meet the needs of people in distress like that to want to mention it.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Representative Godshall.

2 REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: Thank you, Mr.

3 | Chairman.

There's something I was just going over. To have 139,000 complaints is unimaginable. I mean, actually, that's a huge problem there. And you say you think approximately 30 people are handling those, which is an impossibility. I mean, it just can't be done.

I know the complaints that come into our office. There are over 300 a day, 7 days a week, coming in there. That's worse yet. I wonder if the Consumer Advocate that we're looking at here is going to be empowered to handle those complaints, and if he is, it has to be a lot more than \$2 million, because, you know, instead of 30 people, you probably need 50 or 60 people at least if you're going to handle those claims expeditiously.

MR. PHILLIPS: Well, my thought, though, is that, you know, some complaints may be more serious than other complaints. Some may be the result of a misunderstanding that the case office can solve with a couple of phone calls.

REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: But even that takes time, and to get ahold of the proper people you

want to get ahold of is time consuming.

I know what we go through. It's just not simply picking up the phone and having somebody you want to get to answer it.

MR. PHILLIPS: I think your point is well taken, although I would suggest that the answer lies in beefing up the regulatory system now in place to enable them to respond to what comes in as a complaint or inquiry.

REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: I don't know; I know a few years ago that the Insurance Department was one of the few departments in the State that wasn't completely computerized. Is that happening today?

MR. PHILLIPS: I think the work of previous Administrations and this Administration have really resulted in an upgrade in the Insurance Department's capacity to do what it needs to do.

Now, I don't know the nuts and bolts as to what types of computers they use or whatever, but I do recall that back in the mid-1990s, you know, they were in terrible shape as far as technology goes, and now my feeling is that they're right up there.

I know they certainly automated services to consumers and also to insurance producers to get rid

```
1
    of a lot of the delays and the paperwork, but I don't
2
    know the extent of computerization for consumer case
3
    files or complaints that come in.
            I think they really have improved a lot from
 4
    where they were a decade ago.
5
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: I do know it was
6
7
    an antiquated system that we had for a long time.
8
    Hopefully, it's improved for the better an awful lot.
    They have a long way to go.
9
10
            MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, sir.
11
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: Thank you.
12
            MR. PHILLIPS:
                           Thank you.
13
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: I don't have
14
    anything further, Mr. Chairman.
15
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Representative Yudichak.
16
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you, Mr.
    Chairman.
17
18
            Thank you, Mr. Phillips.
19
            Mr. Phillips and I have had a dialogue on
20
    this legislation, and I appreciate his thoughts and
    his comments. And I believe some of his comments
21
22
    today actually are very beneficial to House Bill 1121
23
    in advancing the cause of the Consumer Advocate, one,
    on the issue of 139,000 complaints before the
24
25
    Insurance Department. Obviously, I think that
```

underscores the problem that we have in health care in Pennsylvania.

Also, it underscores that the Insurance
Department have a regulatory agency. And because the
insurance industry is not regulated at the Federal
level, only at the State level here in Pennsylvania,
that's the focus for the Insurance Department,
because the Insurance Department serves two masters,
if you will, both the insurance industry and the
consumer, and that perhaps the Consumer Advocate is
the best place to move those consumer complaints.

As you know, the Consumer Liaison does not have any statutory authority. They are primarily an education-based office. Cindy Fillman does an outstanding job, but she does not have standing, and I'll put the case to you.

When the Insurance Department made a decision on the surplus issue with Blue Cross, with the Blues across the Commonwealth, that was the final set. An individual citizen could have raised a complaint and could have filed a court case against that, but really, do they have the resources or the power to stand up to the State Insurance Department or the State insurance industry? Cindy Fillman and the Office of Consumer Liaison would not have that

statutory authority to stand and question that.

Chairman DeLuca has made an excellent point.

In the current environment of the health-care crisis,
we tend to demonize the insurance industry. As
legislators, we're trying to get commonsense answers
to try to get a handle on the health-care crisis in
Pennsylvania and control costs.

I think a nonpartisan, independent voice, as has occurred in the utility industry under Sonny Popowsky, where we got independent, nonpartisan answers to some very complex issues, I think that's why we need a Consumer Advocate. And I think your point on the importance of the liaison and the burden facing the Insurance Department emphasizes why we need this.

MR. PHILLIPS: Although I would suggest that if the Insurance Department makes a decision, a regulatory decision, whether it be the level of reserves for the Blues or some other decision, there are two parties that do have statutory authority to provide that oversight function.

The first is the Governor of Pennsylvania, and he was not shy about exerting that authority, if you recall, a few months ago. The ink was not dry on his first issuance. The Governor has the statutory

```
1
    power if the Insurance Department makes an error in
2
    judgment, and if the Governor chooses, he can remand
3
    that.
            The second one, of course, is the General
 4
    Assembly having statutory oversight power.
5
6
    committee and other committees have from time to time
7
    looked at the internal workings of the Insurance
8
    Department to get a better sense from the Department
    as to what their world is like. And I would suggest
9
10
    that that also can be a check and balance if the
11
    Insurance Department is not living up to the
12
    legislators' or their constituents' expectations.
13
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you.
14
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Are there any other
    questions?
15
16
            Vince, before I thank you, I want to
17
    introduce Representative Evans, who just joined us.
18
            REPRESENTATIVE EVANS:
                                    Thank you.
19
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Vince, as always, I want
20
    to thank you for your testimony. It was certainly
21
    excellent testimony.
22
                           Thank you.
            MR. PHILLIPS:
23
            And by the way, the follow-up that I will be
24
    getting to your staff has the NAIC complaint
```

breakdown from this year. That will be coming to

25

1 your staff shortly. 2 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you. 3 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. CHATRMAN DeLUCA: The next individual to 4 testify is Desiree Hung. 5 MS. HUNG: Thank you very much for having me 6 7 here today. I had the pleasure of testifying before the committee in August of last year. I'm glad to be 8 9 here again. Thank you very much. 10 We really appreciate the opportunity to testify before the House Insurance Committee 11 regarding House Bill 1121, legislation that would 12 create an Office of Consumer Advocate for Insurance. 13 14 My name is Desiree Hung, and I serve as Associate State Director for advocacy issues for the 15 16 AARP Pennsylvania office. Insurance products for consumers continue to 17 get more complex and difficult to understand. 18 19 some consumers encounter situations where they are 20 sold insurance policies they really don't need, or suffer from fraudulent practices in the sale of 21 22 insurance. 23 AARP produces a public policy book, approved 24 by our national board of directors, which sets AARP

policy on a variety of issues. AARP has numerous

25

recommendations regarding insurance. The first recommendation under State policy for insurance industry oversight is as follows:

States should establish a full-time independent Insurance Consumer Advocate's Office.

AARP recognizes that in Pennsylvania, we have an established Insurance Department and that the Office of Consumer Liaison was created just a few years ago.

We feel the head of that office, Cynthia

Fillman, has done a very good job assisting consumers
and providing information. But as an employee of the

Insurance Department, Ms. Fillman is not able to
perform the same role monitoring the insurance
industry on behalf of consumers as Pennsylvania's

Consumer Advocate for Utilities, who works out of the
Office of the Attorney General, does in monitoring
the utilities' industry.

In our view, the insurance industry does need to watch closely. AARP is particularly concerned about long-term-care insurance. Earlier this year, legislation was approved and signed into law establishing the Long-Term Care Partnership Program. This program encourages the purchase of long-term-care insurance by offering policyholders

the ability to protect some assets and still qualify for medical assistance for long-term care after the insurance benefit is depleted. The intention of this program is to save money in Pennsylvania's medical assistance program by getting more Pennsylvanians to purchase long-term-care insurance.

But numerous problems have arisen in the long-term-care insurance industry, ranging from a lack of understanding about the product by consumers to skyrocketing premiums and questionable sales practices by insurance salespeople. It's AARP's view that an independent Consumer Advocate for Insurance could aggressively monitor the long-term-care insurance industry and take appropriate action to inform and protect consumers as they consider this product.

Of course, monitoring long-term-care insurance is not the only role that the new Office of Consumer Advocate would take on. Consumers need advocacy, information, and protection in many aspects of insurance, whether it is health, automobile, or home insurance.

The current role of the Insurance Department as the State agency which enforces Pennsylvania law regarding insurance issues forces it to play a more

```
1
    neutral role. AARP feels an independent Consumer
    Advocate for insurance issues, directly representing
2
3
    consumers, is a needed position in Pennsylvania.
            AARP applauds Representative Yudichak and
 4
    the cosponsors of this legislation for introducing it
5
    and bringing it before the House Insurance Committee.
6
7
    We urge the committee to favorably consider this
    legislation and send it to the full House for
8
9
    approval.
10
            Thank you again for the opportunity to be
    here today. I'll be glad to attempt to respond to
11
12
    any questions you may have.
13
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you, Desiree.
14
            MS. HUNG:
                       Sure.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Any questions?
15
16
            You did an excellent job. Nobody wants to
17
    ask you any questions.
18
            MS. HUNG: I drove all this way and got lost
19
    and there are no questions? I don't believe it.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Wait a minute.
21
            Representative Seip has a question. He
22
    doesn't want you to feel neglected.
23
            MS. HUNG: Well, thank you very much. I
24
    appreciate it. I hope I can answer it for you.
25
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: I'd just like to thank
```

you for your testimony, and I would like to ask if you could expand on your insights as to the ability of your membership to understand policies and procedures. And I'm guessing that your membership consists of people from all walks of life.

MS. HUNG: Yes. We represent a large range of people. I think that if you would look at our membership demographically, we have a membership that tends to skew higher education and higher income, and that would be the majority of our membership, although we do have members that are challenged financially and, in some cases, based on their education.

What has concerned us is because our membership skews higher, our membership is having trouble understanding some of the issues and some of the policies and products that they're purchasing, and that's when we decided to sit up and take notice and see what some other States were doing, and that directed us towards House Bill 1121.

Now, of course I'm speaking colloquially. I could certainly get you statistics and things like that if you're interested. That's not a problem.

I'm just pulling this off the top of my head.

But they are concerned about that. Our

```
1
    membership begins at age 50, and that's typically
2
    when people start looking at long-term-care
 3
    insurance. Of course, everyone is dealing with
    insurance issues as soon as they buy a car or buy a
4
5
    house, et cetera, et cetera, or get a new job.
            That's a real hot topic for our members
6
7
    right now, especially with the legislation that
8
    passed in 2007.
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: The legislation
10
    initially was going to consider all insurances, and
11
    now we've scaled it down to just focusing on health
12
    care.
13
            I'm just wondering, in relationship to other
    insurances that your membership deals with, how much
14
    more of a priority or how much more difficulty do
15
16
    they have negotiating, say, the health-care insurance
17
    products as opposed to homeowners' or car insurance?
18
            MS. HUNG: Do you mean in terms of
19
    understanding the product itself?
2.0
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Yes.
21
            MS. HUNG: This is an educated guess.
22
    have to say they're probably a little bit more
23
    concerned about the health insurance and
24
    long-term-care insurance.
25
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP:
                                   So that would pose
```

```
1
    more difficulty as opposed to the other types of
2
    insurance, like life insurance?
 3
            MS. HUNG: There are questions everywhere.
4
    But because it's a newer product and they're more
    concerned about it, it's something that they probably
5
    had not considered when they were in their twenties
6
7
    and thirties. Automobile insurance you consider
    pretty close to when you get your driver's license.
8
            REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Thank you.
10
            MS. HUNG: Thank you very much.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Before you leave, I think
11
12
    that opened up another avenue for me to ask a
13
    question.
14
            MS. HUNG:
                       Sure.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: As far as your members
15
16
    understanding their insurance, it's my understanding
17
    that most of your insurance is sold by mail order?
18
            MS. HUNG: AARP does have a division where
19
    we do sell products, yes.
2.0
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: You don't have any agents,
21
    do you?
22
            MS. HUNG: You know what? I don't work for
23
    them, so I don't know. I don't believe that we do.
24
    We do mass mailings.
25
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I guess the only real
```

1 thing they look at is the cost that you're putting 2 there, and probably they really don't look at all the understandable insurance policies, if I had to do an 3 4 educated quess. Am I correct? MS. HUNG: I have to be honest with you, I 5 don't understand every aspect of my own. 6 7 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you for you testimony today. 8 9 MS. HUNG: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: The next person to testify is Judy Schweich, Executive Director of the 11 Schuylkill Alliance for Health Care Access. 12 13 Welcome, Judy. MS. SCHWEICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 I am here to speak on behalf of the 15 advocates who assist uninsured and underinsured 16 17 Pennsylvanians, to encourage you and your committee 18 to consider the value of the proposal in House Bill 19 1121, which allows for the creation of an Advocate 20 position for health insurance in the Commonwealth's Attorney General's Office. 21 22 I represent the Schuylkill Alliance for 23 Health Care Access, a private, nonprofit advocacy 24 organization in Schuylkill County that serves the 25 needs of uninsured and underinsured county residents.

Many of our clients come to us with health-care needs and costs that far exceed their ability to pay. The Alliance acts as an advocate to ensure that their health-care needs are met in an affordable manner and that they receive low-cost quality health care that we all as Americans and Pennsylvanians should be afforded.

I want to share with you some stories about working men and women who have come to the Alliance, a local advocacy group, with heart-wrenching stories of inadequate treatment in health-care matters because of their inability to pay for ever-increasing health-care premiums.

In general, when workers lose their employment and group coverage, many just opt to go uninsured. Few can afford COBRA, even with the benefits provided under the NAFTA trade act.

We have worked with a woman who, after 20 years of work, lost her employer-paid health insurance due to a plant closing and was unable to afford COBRA premiums. She was diagnosed with breast cancer. Were it not for the efforts of an advocate, she may have succumbed to the disease. The Alliance was able to direct her to free programs that offered her free or low-cost health care as well as support

to enable her to overcome this devastating health setback.

We constantly see individuals who have worked their entire lives and who, for whatever reason, are now without health insurance and are frustrated with searching for affordable insurance to give them peace of mind and protect them in the event that they have a health-care crisis. We as advocates assist them with this search.

We have also seen recent college graduates who want to remain in Pennsylvania and put their education to use in our economy. However, because they are unable to afford health-care premiums while they search for a professional position, they either leave the area or come to the Alliance to act as their advocate to assist them with this problem.

The Alliance works with these young people to find affordable health care to carry them over, many times for just several months, until they are able to find the position that gives them professional satisfaction, and more importantly, affordable health care.

I also want to mention that nearly

60 percent of the Schuylkill Alliance clients work

full time but are uninsured because of their

inability to pay health insurance premiums. As their advocate, we work to secure affordable quality health care, prescriptions, and case and care management to enable them to continue to work and provide for their families.

The Schuylkill Alliance advocates for the uninsured and underinsured to assist them with health-care problems. However, there is a need for an advocate for those who have insurance but have nowhere to turn in the event that they have a health-insurance-related issue. An advocate of this nature may be able to do for them what the Schuylkill Alliance and like organizations do for the uninsured.

After hearing these compelling stories, I hope that you consider the passage of this bill, House Bill 1121, and recognize the need for the creation of this advocacy position, if for no other reason than to provide to your constituents and fellow Pennsylvanians the right to equitable treatment in health-care matters in our Commonwealth.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you, Judy.

Representative Seip.

REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Thank you, Mr.

24 | Chairman.

2.0

Judy, your agency's mission is mostly to

help secure the insurance for the uninsured, and when you have to spend time advocating for the patients or the consumers that you have, then that detracts from your staff being able to secure coverage for other people, I would guess.

MS. SCHWEICH: It does.

REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: What percentage of your time should be devoted to just getting people into that initial coverage? From what I understand, you get people in that and initially get them covered and then they move on, and then you're ready to work with another family.

MS. SCHWEICH: That's true. What we do is when people come in, we want to find health insurance for them, either public or private. Many of them qualify for medical assistance. Many of them qualify for the adultBasic health-care program.

Here's the problem: It's an 18-month waiting list. In order to be on the adultBasic waiting list -- I mean, in order to get adultBasic, you have to be uninsured for 3 months. What if something happens to you in those 3 months? And besides, it's estimated to be 18 months. What if it's 22 months?

So you drop your health insurance, say, at

15 months and something happens. So what we do is we work with a group of doctors in the county and the hospitals, and they provide our people low-cost, quality health care at the Medicaid fee schedule. Then we work with them and get prescriptions and regular care management so that now they can afford the prescriptions through whatever source they're taking their medication and they're not utilizing the ERs, which is ending up costing all of us a lot of money and contributing to the cost of the high premiums.

Our goal is, once we get them on adultBasic, they should theoretically be out the door. They're on their own. We even work with them to help them secure full-time employment where they can get health insurance.

There's often that 3- to 6-month gap, and we continue with them then. And when they get on the adultBasic waiting list or when they get on the adultBasic plan, they still don't have prescriptions. Somebody who is diabetic or hypertensive, so what if you have health insurance if you can't afford your medication?

There needs to be an advocate who is going to look at this. The adultBasic plan is great if

you're going to break your arm, but if I'm an adultBasic client or member or whatever, consumer, I'm probably going to be calling somebody and saying, "You know what? I just had a stroke and now nobody is paying for it." They'll say, "Well, you didn't take care of yourself because you didn't take your medicine." Guess what? I couldn't afford the \$80 or \$100 a month for my high-blood-pressure medicine.

You need somebody in there who is going to be able to do that. We can't do that for everybody. We don't have the connection in the Attorney General's Office. We can call a hospital and say, "You know what? We have a person who is uninsured," okay? So then they make too much money to get on medical assistance: "Can you work with them and get them on your community-care program?"

They'll work with you; we'll work with you.

We can do it that way. And that's how we advocate,

and that's on a small local level. You need somebody

to do it on a State level because their problems far

exceed a lot of the people's problems that we deal

with.

So regarding time, I'd say 50 percent of the time my staff should be devoted to bringing people in, enrolling them, and doing regular care and case

management for those people who are enrolled in our program.

Above and beyond that, they probably spend another 25 to 30 percent of their time working with these people who have gone beyond our program, who have cycled off our program, and that's time that we really could be putting into securing insurance or something else for people in the area who have not been reached out to by our program.

REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Certainly one of the biggest cost drivers, as I think most everybody recognizes, is the uninsured. So if we had a health-care Consumer Advocate established, that would free up your staff's time to get more people covered so that we could break that cycle of the uninsured causing higher rates -- more people fall off and become uninsured and we keep that terrible cycle going.

MS. SCHWEICH: Absolutely. If there was somebody who could advocate for them to just improve the little programs that they finally do get on, they won't be coming back and continuing to use us.

REPRESENTATIVE SEIP: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Representative Pashinski.

REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: I think that
Representative Seip's question just about answered it
all. Judy, thank you very much.

I was wondering, you know, what kind of affordable health-care coverage you were able to find for some of these folks, and you answered that by saying that some of the docs are willing to provide the service at Medicare rates. Those docs should receive some kudos.

MS. SCHWEICH: I honestly feel we could not do this program without the 40-plus docs in this area who, instead of getting \$70 or \$80 for a cash-pay office visit, are charging \$27. The Alliance pays \$13.50 of the medical expenses and then the client pays \$13.50. It's not a free ride. It's not like a free clinic in a lot of the major cities.

We looked at our demographics and we looked at people who say, I don't want charity care; I want to pay my own. So they're paying \$13.50 to go see an internist or a cardiologist or whatever, and we're helping them out.

And then in turn we often go to the evil empire of the prescription manufacturers, and you know what? We're going to take advantage of their free drugs. We often go to Wal-Mart and we have

```
1
    their list of the generic drugs, because you know
2
    what? Four dollars is a heck of a lot cheaper than
    $90 and somebody can afford it. We do that.
3
 4
            We also notice a lot of free programs. The
    State has the breast and cervical cancer program, and
5
    the first woman I spoke of? We were able to enroll
6
7
    her in the breast and cervical cancer program. And
    we later received a letter from her and her husband
8
    thanking us for saving her life. She's now working
9
10
    again. So that is a true success story of what an
11
    advocate can do for somebody.
12
            REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Well, you're
13
    obviously doing a great job. Thank you very much.
14
            MS. SCHWEICH: Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you, Judy. Your
15
16
    testimony was excellent. Thank you for the job you
    do.
17
18
            MS. SCHWEICH:
                           Thank you.
19
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: The last individual to
20
    testify is Joseph Hynoski. He's a small business
    individual.
21
22
            Joe, do you want to come up here?
            MR. HYNOSKI: Thank you.
23
24
            Let me start by saying that my name is
```

Joseph Hynoski, and I'm from Nanticoke.

That's a

25

small community south of Wilkes-Barre.

I have been self-employed for many years. I started in the pizza business when I was 8 years old in West Nanticoke.

I started doing curb-service work. I don't know if many of you people know what that is. That's where you would be inside of the shop, a car would pull up, and you would go out there and get the order and come back in. And then along with many other chores, there was mopping the floors, making boxes, doing many different things to try to keep all the customers happy.

I started my present place when I was about 19 years old, and I've been there now for about 45 years. I've made many pizzas in my life, strombolis, and whatever you want to say.

Over the past, say, 15 years, I remember paying 30-some dollars a month for health insurance, and now I'm paying \$1,125 a month, give or take a couple dollars, just for my wife and I. And with that, that doesn't count the copayments for doctor's visits. That does not count for the copayments for medicines and all of the other things that we need money for in the medical field, small things.

My wife is on a machine that she has to use

for sleeping at night. Now, for the last 9 months, the health insurance paid the cost of whatever it cost for the hoses and the different apparatus that it takes to run the machine. Now they're telling us that they're not going to pay for it anymore.

Obviously, it's going to cost me maybe another hundred -- maybe a little less than \$100 a month or more than \$100 a month. I mean, with what I'm putting out now in health insurance, the copayments, and all the other little medical expenses, that is at least one-third of what I make today.

It's very hard and difficult to get up in the morning to go to the shop and do your best to serve the public and then add up all your expenses at the end of the month. And you say to yourself, my goodness, you know, where am I going to get this for that or where am I going to get that for this?

I'm saying that I'm hoping that by coming down here and just speaking what little I've spoke about and not having all the knowledge of what all of you other people know about, what was said up here, maybe my little input could be one little piece of sand from me and maybe many other little pieces of sand that can make a little pile that you guys can

take back to Harrisburg, or to even a higher level,
to try to help us small business guys out in any best
way you possibly can.

I'm hoping that maybe, just maybe down the road that I can go to my shop, do my job, and just at the end of the month say, well, wow, I just made enough that I can cover all my expenses, because right now, it's just very, very difficult.

I mean, a lot of times -- and I have a good business; I have a very good business -- with all that I create in that business, at the end of the month, I'm still struggling. In other words, when you have a business and you're going out there working and you want to come home and you want to be able to go here, you want to be able to go there, it's less and less.

And I don't do much of that. It's still hard for me to do all of those things, with paying gasoline, with paying the insurance, everything in all walks of whatever we need to exist, clothing and everything. It's just very hard and difficult.

As I look down the road, it scares me, because I really don't know what's going to happen.

I don't know if all of us some day are just going to have nothing. I mean, if we don't just keep doing

1 something to try to bring all of our levels in this whole room down to where we can all afford things, 2 we're all going to be in trouble. 3 I don't have much more to say than that. 4 Hopefully, maybe you guys can just take this 5 somewhere higher and do something that can make all 6 of our lives a little better. 7 I want to thank the committee for listening 8 to what I've had to say. And thank you, 9 10 Mr. Yudichak, for getting this program underway. just hope that, again, my small input would make me 11 be able to live a little bit better down the road, 12 13 like grandpa used to do, where he used to sit under 14 the swing, look at the grapes, and think of which one he was going to eat. Where me, I have to --15 16 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: You eat the pizza. What I have to do is look out 17 MR. HYNOSKI: the door and wonder if a customer is going to be able 18 19 to come in, if they can afford it, so that I can have 20 some of that peace to pay my health insurance. 21 Thank you again very, very much for 22 listening to me, and I appreciate being here and you 23 guys having me here.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Joe, I want to thank you

on behalf of this committee for being here.

24

25

certainly play a very important part, like all the rest of the small business people in the Commonwealth who provide most of the jobs in the Commonwealth for employees out there.

And I just want you to know that we in Harrisburg realize what's going on. We are working on it. This is not a Democratic issue; it's not a Republican issue. We've been working on this issue bipartisanly on both sides of the aisle trying to come up with solutions, which is not easy.

You heard in testimony before that we need to drive the costs down. If we don't drive the costs down, nobody is going to be able to afford health care.

MR. HYNOSKI: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I hate to say this, but everybody wants to drive a Cadillac but nobody wants to pay for it. And the fact is, we have all this new technology coming up; that technology is very high. If it's overutilized -- and that's what we're looking at, some of the overutilization of some of this technology. It costs money.

Unfortunately, health care is not only a big business, but it creates a lot of jobs out there. We talked about a duplication in services with the

1 Insurance Commissioner and the Consumer Advocate for 2 Insurance---

MR. HYNOSKI: Right.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: ---but we also need to look at some of our duplication of services in some of our health-care industries, too. I mean, we have a lot of stand-alone clinics out there, stand-alone MRI centers where it's very expensive. We're looking at that.

MR. HYNOSKI: Right.

Working on health care for a long time, and we recognize the plight of the small business people out there. We're trying to address that and certainly trying to address the health-care issues. Every member in the House of Representatives is trying to address that health-care issue because we know how devastating it is, not only for small businesses but people that don't even have insurance.

Do any other members have questions or anything?

Representative Yudichak.

REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Mr. Chairman, thank you again for hosting this hearing, and Representative Seip for taking the lead on this

1 issue.

And thank you to my friend, Mr. Hynoski, who is an embodiment of one of a lot of hardworking people from Nanticoke to Pottsville that are struggling.

My hometown of Nanticoke was once the proud home of about 35,000 people. We're down to just under 10,000 people. We lost a lot of businesses along the way, many of them as a result of health-care costs, with small private entrepreneurs, like Joe, who are not afraid to work 12-hour days, 14-hour days, to provide for their family.

MR. HYNOSKI: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: But when costs get out of their control, particularly health-care costs, it makes it very difficult for them to stay in business.

So there's not only the personal impact on
Joe and his family, but the impact on the rest of the
community and what that does to the fabric of
communities like Nanticoke that are losing these
small business men and women because of the
health-care costs.

Joe, I want to thank you. And perhaps now I can tell Joe this here for the record: He has been

```
1
    one of the best advocates for this bill. And, you
2
    know, my wife always wonders why, when we get a pizza
    from Joe's shop, that in the tomato sauce it's
3
4
    spelled out "Lower my health-care costs."
            MR. HYNOSKI: Correct.
5
            REPRESENTATIVE YUDICHAK: Thank you, Joe.
 6
7
            MR. HYNOSKI: Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you.
8
            Anyone else?
9
10
            REPRESENTATIVE PASHINSKI: Mr. Hynoski, I
11
    was hoping to sample some of the pizzas today, but
12
    they weren't brought up here. Representative
13
    Godshall would have sprung for the pizza.
            REPRESENTATIVE GODSHALL: I said the same
14
15
    thing.
            MR. HYNOSKI: That would have been nice.
16
            CHAIRMAN DeLUCA:
17
                              Representative Evans.
18
            REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Being a member of the
19
    House Insurance Committee, this is a very complicated
20
    issue, as you know, and it's an issue that has, like
21
    an engine, many moving parts.
22
            And for those of us on the committee, I just
23
    would like to state to you that I felt that your
24
    remarks today were from the heart. You were very
25
    eloquent in presenting the case that many hundreds of
```

thousands of Pennsylvanians are facing right now.

Many times, people will view these hearings as strictly restricted for people with Ph.D.s and attorneys and experts in the field, but hearing the testimony from yourself is extremely powerful. I want to commend you for having the guts to come forward and to do this, because it's not an easy thing for individuals to do with some of the perception that's out there.

MR. HYNOSKI: Thank you very much.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: I'd just like to state that we will, as Representatives, take your message back with us and continue to work on this very complex problem. We are certainly cognizant of the issues and the struggles that you are facing every day in and every day out.

Thank you so much.

MR. HYNOSKI: Thank you very much, sir.

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I want to thank you, my colleagues, for making the trip up here, and thank you, Representative Seip and Representative Yudichak, for hosting this.

MR. TEMPLIN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Yes, sir?

25 MR. TEMPLIN: Can I have 5 minutes to say

1 something? 2 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Absolutely, sir. MR. TEMPLIN: My name is Christopher 3 4 Templin. I'm living with hemophilia. As a person with hemophilia whose medication 5 alone exceeds over a million dollars a year, this 6 7 piece of legislation would be really good in assisting me and the other 1,699 folks in the 8 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with hemophilia. 9 10 I just think it's really important that 11 people with chronic conditions or people that may 12 break an arm and the insurance company doesn't want 13 to pay for it really has somebody there to fight for them. 14 15 You know, I'm probably the only one in the 16 room -- as Representative Pashinski said -- that's actually read their insurance contract. I read it 17 18 from top to bottom about five times, because I need 19 to make sure my medicine is covered. 2.0 I just think it's important that the committee looks at health-care insurance. Hopefully, 21 22 they can get this passed. 23 Thank you for hearing me. 24 CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: Thank you very much, sir, 25 for your comments.

```
MR. TEMPLIN: You're welcome.
1
             CHAIRMAN DeLUCA: I thank everybody for
 2
    attending. This hearing is now adjourned.
 3
 4
             Thank you.
 5
             (The hearing concluded at 2:40 p.m.)
 6
7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Jean M. Davis, Reporter Notary Public