COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
IRVIS OFFICE BUILDING
ROOM G-50
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2009 10:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON CHANGES IN LEGAL ADVERTISING (HB677)

BEFORE:

HONORABLE THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA HONORABLE RON MARSICO, MINORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE KATE HARPER HONORABLE RICHARD R. STEVENSON HONORABLE GLENN GRELL

ALSO PRESENT:

HONORABLE JOHN R. EVANS
WILLIAM H. ANDRING, DEMOCRATIC CHIEF COUNSEL
DAVID MCGLAUGHLIN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL
KAREN COATES, REPUBLICAN CHIEF COUNSEL
V. KURT BELLMAN, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

HEATHER L. ARTZ REPORTER

JEAN DAVIS REPORTING
7786 Hanoverdale Drive • Harrisburg, PA 17112
Phone (717)503-6568 • Fax (717)566-7760

INDEX	
TESTIFIERS	
<u>NAME</u>	PAGE
Doug Hill	4
Edward C. Troxell	8
Tim Allwein	20
Laura Cowburn	28
Deb Musselman	53
Martin Till	5 4
Ralph Martin	5 9
Jim Haigh	81
John Hemperly	87

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll open
4	up the public hearing. I'm Chairman Tom Caltagirone
5	from Burks County. My co-chairmans need to introduce
6	themselves on the panel, and if everybody would
7	introduce themselves for the record.
8	MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Good morning,
9	Representative Ron Marsico, Dauphin County.
10	REPRESENTATIVE COATES: Good morning, Karen
11	Coates from House Judiciary Committee.
12	REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Good morning,
13	Representative John Evans from Erie and Crawford
14	counties.
15	REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Representative
16	Dick Stevenson, 8th District, Mercer and Butler
17	counties.
18	REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Deberah Kula, 25nd
19	District, Fayette and Westmoreland counties.
20	REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Good morning, Glen
21	Grell, 87th District, Cumberland County.
22	MR. ANDRING: Bill Andring, chief counsel.
23	MR. McGLAUGHLIN: Good morning, David
2.4	McGlaughlin counsel for the committee

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: The public

hearing that is called for by the committee is House Bill 677. Representative Mario Scavello is the prime sponsor. And we'll be having two panels. If the local government panel would please come up on the four chairs, I guess it's Doug Hill, Ed Troxell, Tim Allwein, and Laura Cowburn. And if you like to -- I think that mic there might not be functioning. You might have to share that one.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Mr. Chairman, before we start are we not going to hear from the prime sponsor of the bill?

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: He was invited to attend.

MR. GRELL: Okay. Thank you, Tom.

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I guess I'll lead off. I'm Doug Hill, executive director of the County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania and we are a nonprofit, nonpartisan association providing legislative, education, insurance, technology, research, and other services on behalf of all the Commonwealth's 67 counties.

I appreciate the opportunity to present remarks. We didn't furnish written remarks, so I'm just speaking off the cuff this morning. But I do want to thank the committee for holding a hearing on

this issue.

Our association this year published a list of mandates for which we'd like to seek relief. And it's really a counterpart to what we're trying to do on the revenue side. You know, we lobby the Commonwealth budget. We do issues relative to revenue generation locally, but the other side of the coin, so to speak, is what we can do to save money, save taxpayer money, particularly.

House Bill 677 fits into a priority we identified taking a look at all of our costs relative to advertising, legal advertising. And while it might not be a huge money saver, we are very much sensitive to the public's intent that we do what we can to improve efficiency at the local government level as well. And we believe House Bill 677 by providing us another option on legal advertising does just that.

The purpose of advertising is to -- at the local government level is to get word out to different constituencies, the public in general, so that they know what local government is doing, but also to contractors and others who have an interest perhaps in government or other matters. At the county level, we do a number of different kinds of advertising. Of course, like our counterparts, we do advertising for

contracts and for meetings, but one of our largest responsibilities is doing advertising on sheriff sales. And for the typical county, that accounts for about half of its annual advertising budget.

One of the things that occurs to us when we talk about advertising is how the whole marketplace has been changing over the last couple decades. And just by way of example, I live here in Harrisburg. I am the only person on my street who has the newspaper delivered every day. No one else in my neighborhood. On the other hand, when I log onto my laptop on a wireless basis, there's five or six neighbors whose wireless I pick up as well. And the point I'm making there is people are finding information in different ways. They're going to different sites voluntarily to look for that information. And so we need to expand what is available to us.

House Bill 677 would give us one important new opportunity, and that would be advertising in the community papers. Anyone who does retail, for example, knows very well you advertise where your customers are. And on an informal survey we did, we find that in many of our counties the circulation for community papers is much broader than the standard definition newspaper of general circulation. So it

would provide us an alternative. Would we use it in every case? I don't know. Because as I said, we have different kinds of advertising that we do. what we would like to be able to do is target our advertising toward the market we're trying to reach. I know it's not part of this legislation, but we've also talked about expanding our options to include some that are available to the Commonwealth itself under the Commonwealth Procurement Code. For example, the Commonwealth is permitted to do electronic advertising. They're permitted to do advertising -direct communication with pregualified bidders; and there are a number of other alternatives that we think would work well for us. And, in fact, just to give you a concrete example, when we do a construction project now we advertise in the newspaper of general circulation because we're required to, but then we advertise in the trade publications because we know that's where our clientele is for that kind of a contract.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So again, we do appreciate you holding this hearing, giving us the opportunity to present our remarks. And when our panel is complete I'll be happy to answer your questions.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you.

MR. TROXELL: Thank you. Good morning,
Mr. Chairman; good morning, committee members. My
name's Ed Troxell. I'm the director of government
affairs with the Pennsylvania State Association of
Boroughs. I want to thank you first of all for
hosting this important meeting to deal with an issue
that, as Doug alluded to, very critical to our
communities, very timely, as it happens to be
evolving, as we find ourselves in the world of legal
advertising anymore.

When we had the opportunity to provide this information, we were very happy to be able to provide you with some observations that we had from the borough's perspective on how we can save money for our resident taxpayers.

First thing I want to lead off with is really our support for House Bill 677, because since 2002 our boroughs have sought to support the enactment of this legislation, or legislation similar to it, and its true mission which we feel actually provides broader circulation of information at less cost to our taxpayers. Moreover, the broader these notices, the better chance of our contractors and potential vendors to learn of these opportunities.

In an April 20th letter which we've included

in our packet of testimony to you, to the judiciary committee, we commented that these changes in legal advertising law anticipated in 677 would provide valuable public information within an increased circulation to the taxpayers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The details of the bill which lead to this increase and dissemination of public information are numerous, and I'd like to make a point of just a few of them if I could.

Our experience shows that by allowing our local elected officials the discretion to use community papers of mass dissemination for public notices, more readers will, indeed, be notified. the past, our director of government affairs -- I mean of research, excuse me, Shelley Houk testified before the state government committee that for 16 years her members, our members have been -- shared their experiences of frustration in complying with a lot of the Newspaper Advertising Act in this law. continued to indicate that the official in the boroughs in rural areas, of which there are 508, about, okay, find that newspapers in general circulation rarely serve their local residents, and were often finding now, too, that a lot of them are still cutting back on reaching out to those rural

areas.

What this group has shared with us is that most of them are served by a community paper or shopper that comes in the mail to them, and they'd like to have the opportunity to advertise in that.

We feel that that choice is the best way to provide these public notices and also would drive competition amongst the community paper market itself. But we need to guarantee, I guess, the claims of increased circulation of public notification and information that I'm talking about.

We need to look exactly at what a community paper of mass dissemination is and how effective it may be. 677 does lay out concise instructions on how those communities papers will operate and who exactly would qualify to provide the service.

Page 2 onto Page 3 in the bill itself lays out parameters. They are: Community papers must be four or more pages and printed either daily or on a weekly, and to have been continuously published for a period of at least six months. This is the who of the community papers, who they are, basically.

The most attractive feature which our boroughs find that puts information potentially in every mailbox in the community is how the community

paper is distributed, provides its content, and there's two methods within the bill that's stated there. First of all, the first method is used by entire local zip codes. This is providing that information in every local zip code that we choose to post that information within. The second one is by distributing it by major carriers to a majority of established addresses or to be available within public locations within the political subdivision effecting The latter method of delivery must be advertising. verified for circulation by an independently accredited auditor. These mandated distribution rules we feel are unlike any in existing law. Borough officials can now expand the distribution of public notices in ways that newspapers that have general circulation struggle to. Yet when we want to truly expand our public notices, legal advertising laws must be amended to consider technology as well.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You might remember reading in history books about a time in the country when the public was informed by the town crier. Using the town crier to notify the public made sense at a time when many people were illiterate and unschooled.

Then the role of the town crier became unnecessary. Citizens routinely became educated and

literate and acquired the news from newspapers. I believe the same type of paradigm shift is now occurring within our society, except this time it is the newspapers that are going the way of the town crier. With so many individuals using the Internet as their primary news source, this type of legislation may have indeed come into its time. Public information, public notices, and legal advertising can only be as effective as the media through which they reach their audience as well.

1.3

Several other legal notice proposals are currently under consideration in both House and Senate, as we're all aware of.

PSAB applauds the vision of the legislators that are putting forth these measures and those proposals are House Bill 795, sponsored by Representative McIlvaine-Smith, and Senate Bill 419 which has been sponsored and introduced by Senator Bob Robbins.

Briefly, the two bills seem as though they're companions. They both allow posting notices on the Internet. This public notice delivery enables potential contractors and vendors as well to get up-to-the-minute notifications in order to create more competition so our folks know what type of products

are out there for them to take advantage of.

1.3

And lastly, what PSAB wants and its member boroughs sorely desire is to promote transparency in the use of local taxpayer dollars. Public notices, information, and legal advertising laws must be clear, accessible, and available; clear in a sense that they are distinct in their content; available -- I mean accessible in a variety of media forms; and available to be used as an appropriate method for message delivery.

The public benefits, borough officials are empowered, and taxpayers save dollars. In a time when innovation is needed to cut cost while still providing services for our communities, amending Title 45 Legal Notice Law just seems to make sense to us at this point.

Thank you. I'm available for any questions.

MS. COWBURN: Good morning. My name is

Laura Cowburn and I'm assistant to the superintendent

for business services at Columbia Borough School

District in Lancaster County. I'm also president

elect of PASBO. And PASBO is a statewide professional

association with more than 2,000 members who are K-12

school employees below the rank of superintendent.

And our members support classroom learning and student

achievement by providing many services required to day-to-day operation, including finance, accounting, purchasing, facility management, transportation, technology, food service, human resource, and communication.

On behalf of PASBO, I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak to you concerning House Bill 677. PASBO supports allowing the publication of legal notices in community newspapers of mass dissemination in lieu of newspapers of general circulation. The legislation would be one step towards the recognition that the print media's role in mass communication has been minimized with the growth of electronic communication, and specifically the Internet.

The diminished readership and the consolidation of many newspapers have made other alternatives, such as community newspapers, viable advertising medium for government entities. My school district, a small urbanized district at the eastern Lancaster County border, right along York County, uses a community shopper called the Merchandizer as an advertising option. We believe it is just as effective and reduces our mandated advertising costs. There are people in our community that do not subscribe to a newspaper because they can't afford it.

They get *Merchandiser* free of charge distributed to their homes.

1.3

Many sections of the public school code require public advertisements under the theory that it provides a universal notification to the public. As one example, Section 6807.1 requires that -- in the school code requires that all contracts for furniture, equipment, textbooks, school supplies, appliance contracts valued at 10,000 or more must be advertised in two newspapers of general circulation once a week for three weeks. We also have to advertise our school board meeting schedule and special meetings as well.

Recently I had to advertise our bond refinancing. We provided notice that we were reducing our debt cost. I'm not sure why we had to incur more cost to tell people we were saving them cost.

Newspaper advertising, as required under
Section 807.1 and other school code provisions, is
ineffective since prospective bidders may not read the
paper on the day in which the legal notice is posted.
For example, we intend to go to bid soon for asbestos
abatement for some outside areas and minor area around
the high school. We intend to advertise in the paper
on May 15th, tomorrow, May 20th, and May 26th. If a
bidder does not read the paper on those particular

days, he or she will be unaware of the bid opportunity. Furthermore, there are more accessible and less expensive methods to assert -- to alert interested parties to the opportunity of our bids. We have received bids for our high school yearbook pictures, for example. One bidder's home office is from out of state. They found it on the Internet. That bidder found our specification through the Internet, not from our local newspaper.

1.3

Newspaper advertising rates are costly. To seek relief from these increasing costs, recently the Upper Moreland School District sought a Pennsylvania Department of Education mandate waiver which allows the district to advertise for all their furniture, equipment, textbooks, school supplies for 10,000 or more on the district's official Internet website or in a community newspaper of mass dissemination. That's a beginning to this legislation.

The waiver allows the districts to avoid the requirement in school code section for advertising in a newspaper of general circulation.

In granting the waiver, the Department emphasized the district's annual advertising savings, estimated by the district to be 13,000 to \$20,000, will indirectly benefit all of the districts programs,

thus allowing the district to operate in a more efficient, effective, or economical manner. Any savings realized can be redirected to benefit the district's instructional program.

1.3

While proposed House Bill 677 would amend the law to allow school districts to advertise contracts in community papers, until the legislation is passed, a school district wishing to obtain a Section 807 waiver will have to file its own application to the Department of Education. So there's 501 variations that are being created at this point -- 500, excuse me. PASBO would be pleased if House Bill 677 became law so that other school districts could benefit from the relief without seeking a mandate waiver.

Moreover, PASBO would urge an amendment to House Bill 677 to allow the publication of legal notices on school districts Internet websites which the mandate waiver to which I referred allows.

In addition, school districts are realizing savings through such option -- such an option.

Electronic publication would recognize the benefits of current technology as well as provide convenient and immediate access to notices.

There are other ways of effective

advertising. My school district learns of potential bidders by school-to-school contact and/or word of mouth, and utilizes direct mailing to notify existing vendors that I know of and potential vendors from the nearby area of bid opportunities. These options produce interested bidders and get school district as much of a result or an equivalent result as advertising in a newspaper.

1.3

Advertising bid opportunities on the Internet would be equally effective. Currently, in regard to obtaining applicants for open instructional positions, Columbia Borough School District uses several sources including PAeducator.net. It's a state site -- state organized site that collects all of the applications and it's a one-stop shop for teachers.

We also use a special education speech site for speech therapy positions because they're more specifically identified. We use the local radio, professional associations like PASBO and the Pennsylvania School Boards Association, and we also go to the Pennsylvania Commonwealth's PA CareerLink for noninstructional jobs.

An equivalent number of applicants respond through these advertisements, not the local paper or

the Merchandiser. I believe the use of the Internet would be equally successful to garner interested bidders, particularly if there was a central state depository. The Commonwealth could use the state's E-marketplace through the Bureau of Procurement to enable school districts to post solicitations and potential contract opportunities. Meanwhile, although newspaper advertising may be less than effective in most regards, we are required to continue to advertise in this manner, costing taxpayers thousands of dollars.

In some cases a newspaper advertisement may only identify one bidder. If there was only one place to go to view bids, there would certainly be more competition. The more competition, the better results for the school district and taxpayers.

In summary, newspaper advertising offers no guaranteed results, yet school districts are required to advertise in them. We may realize that this may not result in sufficient bids, so we choose to do more. The mandate is rooted in the past and fails to adapt to a very changing world that the Internet has created. Please provide school districts with relief from the continued escalating costs of newspaper advertisement and amend House Bill 677 to also allow

for advertising legal notices on the Internet. Thank you for the opportunity to discuss and I'm happy to respond to questions at the end.

MR. ALLWEIN: Good morning, Chairman

Caltagirone and Marsico and members of the committee.

I'm Tim Allwein and I'm the assistant director of

governmental and member relations for the Pennsylvania

School Boards Association. I think a lot of what's in

my testimony has already been referred to. Let me

just add a little bit to some of the things that have

been said.

As Laura just pointed out, there are a number of areas of the state where the current requirements for local governments to place their legal advertisements in newspapers of general circulation just don't work, either because there are no newspaper -- there are no local newspapers of general circulation or because the newspapers of general circulation are located so far away that a lot of people don't read them because they could get their news in weekly newspaper or in a shopper type publication.

According to a study released by Penn State in May 2006 entitled Cost Savings on Mandatory Legal Advertising By Local Governmental Entities, over a

three-year period, the average expenditure on legal advertising per school district was \$22,743, while the total spent by all school districts on legal advertising over the same time period was more than \$11 million.

While these amounts may seem insignificant in light of school districts' total operating budgets, every dollar that we're able to save school districts is another dollar that can use -- it can be used to support student programs or another dollar that property taxes do not need to be raised in order to prevent cuts to student programs.

In these times of economic uncertainty, of course, school districts, like everybody else, are struggling, and everything that we can do to help the school districts run more efficiently is certainly important.

In addition, school districts are facing a perilous spike in the employer contribution to the public school employees retirement system, and of course, as we do every year, face uncertainty over what the education funding is going to be. And as you know, there are a number of different proposals out there that differ substantially.

I've summarized a number of school

districts' costs for legal advertising in my
testimony. And we have other districts' cost that are
available. I'd like to mention, too, that these costs
not -- aren't necessarily stable from one year to the
next. The cost for legal advertisements can increase
substantially, for example, when a school district
engages in a construction project, because the
additional -- additional advertising is needed to bid
for contracts and services.

1.3

There are already additional costs placed on school instruction through state mandates such as prevailing wage, bid threshold limits, and other things, which have not been raised or removed in 20, sometimes 40 or 60 years or even longer. So schools already faced the difficult task of determining how to control costs on those construction projects.

Shaler High School -- or Shaler Area School District, for example, in Allegheny County began an extensive renovation on their high school during the 2006 fiscal year. For that year the district had budgeted \$10,000 for legal advertising; however, because of the necessary advertising connected with the construction project, that budget tripled.

Offering districts more options for advertising is one way we can address this issue.

With regard to bid advertisements, advertising in venues other than traditional newspapers can save money in two ways. Number one, because the rates to place ads in community newspapers are often less expensive than those of newspapers of general circulation; and number two, as has been said by several folks already, bid advertisements can reach a larger pool of contractors and, as Laura said, that stimulates competition and that drives down the bidding price in the process.

1.3

We believe that community papers offer a cost effective alternative to school districts that are already financially strapped and are looking for other methods to reach their constituents. According to figures released by the audit bureau of circulation for the six-month period ending March 31st, 2009, daily circulation of 395 of the countries newspapers fell an average of 7.1 percent as compared to the same time frame in 2008.

In addition to House Bill 677, we support legislation as has been mentioned earlier, such as House Bill 795 sponsored by Representative McIlvaine Smith and Senate Bill 419 sponsored by Senator Robbins over in the senate.

In the same 2006 Penn State study that I

mentioned earlier, it was reported that local government -- governmental entities could have saved approximately \$70 million over three years if legal advertising were done on individual local government websites rather than in newspapers. That's \$70 million after subtracting websites' construction costs and maintenance over three-year period.

1.3

According to the website

www.internetworldstats.com which bases its statistics

on information from the U.S. Census Bureau and Nielsen

NetRatings, 72.5 percent of the U.S. population has

access to the Internet. With more and more people

gaining access, it is natural progression to -- it is

a natural progression to allow publication of legal

advertisements on websites rather solely in

newspapers. School districts and other local

governmental entities could reach far more individuals

in their community and a desire for access to legal

advertisements would no longer require the purchase of
a newspaper.

Thank you. And since I'm the last one, I guess I'll take questions as will everybody else on the panel. Thank you very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Very good. Let's start with the questioning.

REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you all four your testimony today. I guess, Mr. Allwein, I'll direct these at you. You're the last one to testify. The critics of this legislation as well as Internet advertising indicate that by moving in this direction, rather than broaden the number of people who would be reached for this advertising that pool of people would shrink, using such examples as older citizens who are not perhaps Internet savvy, don't have computers, or raised in an era when the newspaper was the sole means of gathering information.

1.3

How you do you see that issue and could you address that, please?

MR. ALLWEIN: Number one, I think the number -- the number of users on the Internet is growing every year. That, of course, includes senior citizens. And part -- I'm not sure that it's in House Bill 677. I know that at least in the senate bill, and I believe in Representative McIlvaine Smith's bill, if a school district chooses to go the route of publishing their advertisements on -- solely on the Internet and local newspapers such as Merchandiser type publications, they would have to first put a notice in the newspaper saying that they're doing so.

Districts would also be responsible for

ensuring that folks have Internet access available.

So I believe districts would accomplish that by making an Internet access available at a school building or school buildings so that folks can come in, if they don't have Internet access at home, to, you know, to get on the website and do whatever they need to do, including looking at advertising.

And of course most libraries, as you know, most community libraries allow -- have Internet access available for free for anybody who wants to use it.

REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you. I'd invite any other members of the panel who wish to weigh in on that question to respond as well. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. TROXELL: I'll respond to that,

Representative Stevenson. I think what's interesting
here with House Bill 677, when it comes to, say, you
know the fear of losing -- losing an audience for that
information, House Bill 677 kind of, like, steps in to
this continuum and enables us to basically expand
those vehicles that we currently use, published
newspapers, and get those in to people's mailboxes.
This is a step along the way. The claim that there
will be a reduction in the information getting out, if
we use 677 in combination with the other legal

advertising changes, using the Internet, I believe we give local governments real options.

I mentioned earlier in my testimony how roughly 500 or more of our communities would rather publish in a newspaper, in a community paper. That's one aspect, that's one choice they could make for, say, our communities that surround the Pittsburgh area or that surround the major metro areas. If they know that the Internet is very -- very good for distributing their information, if it's working for their folks, then they can use that methodology. This is not being done more or less as a demise of one industry that's struggling at this point, but it's really actually helping to promote one -- that form of media that can be used at an even less expensive rate for our taxpayers at this point.

MR. HILL: If I could respond just briefly as well, what we're finding is that counties are trying to run in both directions, because we know if we limit ourselves to newspaper advertising, on the other side we're missing a significant audience. My children don't read newspaper -- my young adult children don't read newspapers. They get all of their information from Internet sources and electronic communication. And so many of the counties do at

least post something, have a segment of their website where they'll post advertisements, where they'll provide access to meeting information and those kinds of things. And so we're trying to straddle the line right now as our communications methodology -- methodologies change. But to say that we should be advertising just in newspapers, because that's where our one segment of our population gets its information really is being done at the expense of other segments.

1.3

MS. COWBURN: I would just say in a community like Columbia our senior population is also struggling financially. And I know a number of them do not receive the newspaper. They just do not subscribe to the newspaper. It deals with everything that's going on in Lancaster, not necessarily Columbia, and our Columbia paper ended up folding a couple of months ago. We are restarting it next week and hopefully it will go. But that's a one week in Columbia, so it doesn't meet general circulation requirements.

So these -- these other papers that are distributed, and I understand from some people's -- it's junk mail coming into the mailbox, but it's free. It's there. And if they know that's where they're going to find the information about the school board

It now becomes something 1 meeting, it's not junk mail. 2 that they can look forward to and find that 3 information free without having to pay to find out the information. And in a small community like ours, and 4 5 I know many other small communities, if I post a note 6 down at Hinkle's Pharmacy, I get a lot more response 7 than if I send something out in a newspaper, because 8 that's where the community congregates. We know where 9 our population is going to be and where they're going 10 to meet. Senior centers, the representative offices, 11 we post things there because those are the places 12 where our community will frequent. 13 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you all. Ι 14 thank you for your testimony today. Thank you, 15 Mr. Chairman. 16 REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chairman. Just have a brief question for 18 Mr. Allwein from the School Boards Association. Media, of course, is a watchdog for government, for 19 20 school boards, and often we see reporting on districts 21 in a watchdog role. 22 Do you view the legal advertising issue as 23 something that, from an ethical standpoint, clouds the 24 line between the watchdog role and the receipt of

taxpayer money going to those entities via legal

25

advertising?

MR. ALLWEIN: I don't perceive that issue.

None of my members in the 19 years I've worked at PSBA has ever said they felt that was an issue. I don't know that anyone in our association or any of the associations that we deal with in the education world have ever felt that was a problem.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Could it potentially be some day?

MR. ALLWEIN: I -- I never say never on anything. I think if you're around this business long enough anything can happen. So I won't rule it out.

I -- to be honest, I'm having a problem thinking of the circumstance that would make it an issue, though.

So I would have to say that it's probable, but unlikely.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: I guess what I'm getting at is the taxpayer dollars are being spent on these newspapers.

MR. ALLWEIN: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Through the school boards and through the boroughs and through the other government entities. I don't know if anyone else would like to chime in on this, but I'm just wondering if you knew of any ethical dilemmas in the future

because of these payments. It's been done
traditionally, but is it an issue or is it not an
issue?

MR. HILL: I'll echo what Tim's said. It's never been raised as an issue in any of our counties. Our counties, I think, see them as two segregated issues at this point. One is satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the news coverage, and advertising is a different matter all together.

MS. COWBURN: We have a variety of purchase services that we do all the time that are operating in that same manner, so I wouldn't think that this would be outside of those same purchase services we have.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: That being said, I believe this issue has risen up in the form of editorials across the state urging the legislature not to pass this legislation for various reasons. So I am seeing some feedback from those entities on this particular issue. And that is -- and the crux of it is taxpayer money.

MR. TROXELL: And I believe most of that was done under -- with the senate bill -- with the senate bill that's out there on 419. As a matter of fact, one ironic issue, I found out that I had one of our -- one of our member folks from up in the northeast,

Luzerne County area, and he was pointing out that he did write an editorial to the newspaper because of Senate Bill 419 was -- was on the editorial page. He wrote back into the paper, and they did publish his -- they published his letter, which was, you know, which was disagreeing, you know, with what the editorial board was saying. And that was good to see. And then the next day, actually a person from New Jersey wrote in to say they had the same problem over there. So, you know, it's a moving issue. I mean, it's something that's moving along.

But it's funny. This is kind of off kilter, but I had read an on-line in a letter to the editor section coming from a major market daily out in Pittsburgh basically that one of the persons there was glad he was able to read that editorial on Senate Bill 419 that day in the Sunday paper when they published it out in the western area because they had since cancelled the daily circulation of that paper throughout the week. And when he called circulation department, you know, asking them, you know, why did you cancel, I like my daily news, well, their response was that the best way maybe you can get your daily news is out on the Internet. And that was coming from a circulation department of a very, you know, large

So it's indicative of the times I 1 metro newspaper. 2 think. 3 REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. 6 Chairman Marsico. 7 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you, 8 Mr. Chairman. Thank you for your testimony this 9 morning. Sitting back here and listening to your 10 testimony and I'm wondering if the viewing public, I 11 quess we're being -- we're on PCN this morning, if 12 they really understand the issue? And it's 13 unfortunate the prime sponsor's not here to really 14 explain the history, the existing law and the history 15 of this law actually. And I'm sure many of our 16 taxpayers are very confused by this, by this law. And 17 a summary of the existing law which really wasn't 18 explained, I don't think, let me just, if I could, 19 Mr. Chair, just read that, our summary we have here in 20 front of us. 21 Chapter 3 of Title 45 is known as the 22 Newspaper Advertising Act which is intended to create

Newspaper Advertising Act which is intended to create uniformity by the publication of legal notices in official advertisements. The act provides that official and legal advertising in the manner required

23

24

25

by statute, rule order, decree of court, resolution, ordinance rule or regulation by any government be printed and published in newspapers of general circulation, official newspapers, and legal newspapers.

1.3

Now, let's define newspapers. Newspaper as set forth in Section 101 of Title 45 tracks with proposed definition of community paper of mass dissemination with the exception that the current definition of newspaper provides that it be circulated and distributed from an established place of business to subscribers or readers without regard to number or a definite price -- and I think that's obviously one of the issues we're talking about here today -- or consideration, either entered or entitled to be entered under the postal rules and regulations as second class matter in the United States mails, subscribed from our readers at a fixed price for each copy at a fixed price for annum.

Well, those free community newspapers then,

I mean this is one of the issues obviously, would -with the legislation would allow them to advertise and
it would allow -- actually the taxpayers of this

Commonwealth are really paying almost \$70 million,
according to 2006, taxpayers, by mandate, through the

```
1
      local municipalities and the school boards, et cetera,
2
      the county commissioners, over $70 million.
                                                    Is that
3
      what you all said?
               MR. ALLWEIN:
 4
                             Mm-hmm.
               MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: That's what you
 5
 6
      all said, Tim, right?
 7
               MR. ALLWEIN:
                             Right.
               MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: So the taxpayers
8
9
      are providing monies to companies that publications
10
      and publishers and newspapers for profit, for-profit
11
      company, and the taxpayers are actually paying for --
12
      the way I see it, and I think everyone, if you read
1.3
      the law, the existing law, you understand what's going
14
      on here, that the taxpayers are really providing
15
      monies to companies for profit so they can, by
16
     mandate, are advertising these legal notices; is that
17
      correct? Is that -- you're seeing this, is that what
18
      you're saying?
19
               MR. ALLWEIN:
                             Yes.
20
               MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: It would be a
21
      huge savings to the taxpayer as well as allowing other
22
      alternatives of legal notices through the Internet or
23
      through the community papers, is that --
24
               MR. TROXELL: Yeah, that's correct.
25
      Basically we're being pigeonholed into just one form
```

where we can -- where the taxpayer can get their information and it is a -- as it says here, at a fixed price. What we would like to do in 677 is basically expand that. We've heard from the panel that sometimes at some points it's free. Some folks can subscribe. But what we're looking to do is giving the governing body, the people who are representing the taxpayer, an option, a choice, an alternative to spending something that we know is really an industry that needs to really secure itself and is having a hard time letting go of this one portion of income.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. Anyone

1.3

else want to respond in?

MS. COWBURN: I would just support that if we are required to be notifying to the public, they should have access to it in a free manner. They shouldn't have to pay to find out what we're saying. And any vehicle that can get that information to them at a free option so that anyone has access to it is the way the notices should be distributed.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: But you see this as a simple amount of savings to the taxpayers across the Commonwealth.

MR. HILL: It can be a savings. Now, we did do some nominal research at least on potential cost

benefit. Depending on the marketplace it looked like 20 -- 25, maybe 30 percent savings, sometimes a little bit higher depending on the circulation and the type of paper, because we still would be buying an ad in a community paper as well.

1.3

But I think the important point is we should have the choice of advertising where it's going to reach the public that it needs to reach. And so for advertising meeting agendas or meeting notices, it might make more sense in newspaper general circulation, but if we're seeking something a little more specialized like we're going -- we're advertising for a construction contract, it might make more sense to go with the prequalified bidders list or to advertise in the trades. Yet under current law, when we do that we're still required to buy the ad in the newspaper of general circulation.

Another good example is sheriff's sales.

When we post them on the Internet or make them available to interested parties who want to buy the database, they're doing it because they -- those methodologies are used because they're searchable and that greatly increases the number of people who participate in that process and improves their access and their ability to make choices, whether they want

to participate. And so to say that in every case you also have to do an ad in the newspaper of general circulation to us is an unnecessary use of taxpayer money, yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. One of you mentioned a possible amendment to the bill. Who was --

MS. COWBURN: I had mentioned the amendment to the bill to allow for the Internet opportunity as well and also an amendment to the bill to just make it a blanket statement right now or waiver program for the Department of Education allows us to each individually apply for a waiver to do what it is and we can get approval to do that, but if we can get approval to do that through that method, then that should probably be --

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE:

Representative Grell.

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Yes, thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Thank you for your testimony. And I'm

wondering to what extent -- I mean, we heard a number

of \$70 million, I think, thrown around. But to what

extent have each of you or could each of you survey

your membership and get us some fairly reliable data on what your various entities have spent on legal advertising, say, in the past year? I think, Tim, you gave some numbers. And I'm wondering if the others have any information available or might be able to make it available to the committee.

1.3

MS. COWBURN: We could probably pull something together. Our problem with our school districts and probably with the other boroughs and the counties is our advertising budgets include so many more things, such as job postings and other notices other than just the legal notices. It would take some time to parse those pieces out of -- every transaction would have to be looked at to find out was this part of the legal requirement or is this part of what we need to do.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I'm not necessarily trying to make a lot of work for you, but it would be very helpful to us. I know I contacted my municipalities and school districts and got some information back from them, which maybe the other members of the committee might find helpful.

My largest municipality is Hampden Township.

And in 2008 the township spent \$35,000 on legal advertising. In addition to that, their sewer

authority spent \$11,700 on legal advertising.

1.3

Camp Hill Borough spent \$11,000 in 2008 on legal advertising. Tiny Wormleysburg Borough spent \$3,500 in 2008 and already in 2009 they've spent over \$4,000 on legal advertising. And one of my school districts, the Cumberland Valley School District, spent over \$8,000 on legal advertising through April 17th of this year alone.

So the numbers -- I mean, in the context of a large school district budget that might not seem like a lot of money. But \$8,000 in four months is a lot of money. I think the taxpayers are entitled to some explanation of why they're spending that much money and what benefit they're getting for it. So if you had any information or could provide that, I would encourage you to do so, because I think it would be helpful to the committee. Thank you.

MR. ALLWEIN: We're about to put an on-line survey out on a different issue, but it has to do with budgets. And we'll be happy to include that question.

MS. COWBURN: It will be interesting to see also the size of the IU advertising budgets, because a lot of us use the consortium services, and when we bid for supplies we go through the IUs, which is a consolidation, helps save us some costs, but we still

have the cost of the advertising. It becomes much larger because more information has to be out there.

MR. TROXELL: I think as well,

Representative Grell, I'm glad you pointed out the
authorities and the other governmental entities
because, you know, the authorities aren't here right
now and those folks face a lot of advertising costs.

And also with my testimony I attached that report that
came from Penn State on legal advertising costs that
made that available for folks in the committee. That,
I believe, is from the community papers. My list
attached, it's the larger report. It looks like this
here.

MS. COWBURN: It was done in 2006.

MR. TROXELL: Yeah, it's from 2006.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Counsel.

MR. ANDRING: Yes, thank you. As I listened to your testimony here this morning and the questions, everybody seems to be assuming that every municipality or every governmental unit is always attempting to notify the most people possible of every action you're taking, and I understand in most situations that's true. But one of the concerns I have about this specific bill is the fact there are on occasion, on occasion local municipalities who really don't wish to

notify the public to some of the things they're going to do. Right now people who are interested in these things know where to look for the advertising. Maybe it doesn't have the greatest circulation in the world, maybe it doesn't reach everybody, but people know where to look. They know to look in that newspaper of general circulation.

1.3

What this bill will do is introduce alternative sources of advertising. And one of the problems with that is that people are not going to know where to look. And the municipalities or other governmental units will have the option of putting any ad in any one of these sources that they choose to use, so that they can run 99 percent of their ads in one publication, and when that 1 percent comes along that they really don't want people to know about, they can stick that in another publication where they never put anything else and nobody's ever going to know about it.

And I understand -- I'm not, you know,
making allegations of broad-based corruption, but this
stuff happens. Anybody who has ever dealt with local
municipalities know these things happen. And what
really concerns me about this bill is the definition
of the papers that will be allowed to be used for

legal advertising. I mean, I live on the West Shore. I get the Patriot and I guest the West Shore Shopper comes in the mail every week. I don't see a real problem if you're using one of those two mediums to advertise. But this bill goes considerably further than that in their definition of what would qualify as a local paper. It includes the ones where everybody in a zip code gets the paper, but then it goes to a distribution to the majority of established addresses, and then it has a provision about papers that are available in public locations. And you can walk down in front of the post office here and see a row of mailboxes with all sorts of handout papers. You can walk over to Strawberry Square and see a shelf sitting over there with a bunch of handout papers. know of anybody who really even knows what those papers are. But if I wanted to bury an ad after this bill goes through, believe me, there would be no trouble making sure that the public didn't get notification of something I really didn't want them to get notification of. And that is the concern I have as I look at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that is the concern I have as I look at this bill. And I wonder if any of you could comment on that.

MR. ALLWEIN: As I said in my response to

Representative Stevenson's question a couple minutes ago, and again, I apologize, I'm not -- I'm getting the three bills confused in my head here. I'm not sure if it's in Representative Grucela's bill or not, but I think that it would probably not be a bad idea if a district or a municipality chooses to take its legal advertisements somewhere other than a newspaper of general circulation, then it would seem to me that they should have to first put an ad where the ads have been historically found saying beginning on such and such a date, XYZ school district or borough or county is going to place their legal advertisements and list the websites, list the newspapers, list whatever publication they're going to use.

You know, if the person is -- if the person is of the nature to look at legal advertisements, if they see that kind of notice, they're going to -- they're going to go and look at whatever publications or websites are listed in that advertisement.

So I don't have any problem with school districts having to put such a notice out and say, by the way, beginning this date we're going to do this a little bit differently, here's where we're going to be, and if you don't have access to the website here's where you can go and get it.

MR. HILL: Agree with Tim. We have some precedent already for that. When we are -- historically had been the requirement that we had to publish an issue for each meeting that we had, but a number of years ago we got the ability to do a summary and here's when our regular meeting is going to be. In that same context and perhaps as a part of that same manner we can say well, we are going to advertise our contracts here, we're going to advertise our meetings here, we're going to advertise our collection notices in this place.

MR. ANDRING: I guess I personally would be more comfortable with this if we either had an Internet component or simply moved to the Internet. And again, I'm thinking of this in terms of different matters I've been involved in over the years as an attorney. If a township were to list all of its various zoning applications and, you know, requests for approvals of various construction plans and development plans on an Internet site, the people who lived in that township would be able to check that out once a month and see what's going on. And I'm just — I'm very concerned that if we just do this bill alone with the way it is now, again, when the situation arises where you really don't want to get the

neighbors worked up about something that's in for approval at the local township, you're going to be able to bury that advertisement where there's a very good chance people won't find it.

1.3

MR. HILL: I think there's a couple other points to be made, too. The trend that I think we're seeing among our counties is toward greater transparency than less. If you've watched media reports lately, more of our counties are going toward streaming video to -- for their public meetings, for example. And part of the reason they do that is perhaps a little bit self-serving. If the public sees it take place rather than through the filter of the reporter, you know, they might have a better understanding what we as commissioners are doing on this particular issue.

Many of our counties are looking at other technolog -- technologies to improve other types of access as well. So for example, you can set up a subscription service on your website so any time a particular page is updated, the subscriber gets an e-mail notice that that page has been updated, whether that's the recreation program or whether a page to bidding and advertising, that technology works all the same.

And so they don't even have to go look for it; it comes to them. And we're looking at those technologies as well.

MS. COWBURN: I would also add that in newspapers, for example, for the bidding documents and for publications like that, you need to do it in two newspapers of general circulation for three weeks, one time a week, where if you put one notice in see our website for our bid advertisement and it's out there 24/7, there's a lot better reliability that somebody's going to have the access to it. They don't have to find that newspaper. And even the newspapers use their own source of combining newspaper advertising onto the Internet to make searching those advertisements easier.

And that's why we support even -- even a state website for me to be an out of state or even an in-state person to put in masonry and pull up all the masonry bids that week or coming up, gosh, that gives me so much better than trying to figure out, okay, what's going on in Lancaster and what's going on in York and, well, how many school districts are there, what's the municipality doing, and it's all right there for them. It at least provides a better access that's more conducive to that. We are not necessarily

saying that we don't want to ever use a newspaper of general circulation again. It's just the manner in which we need to use it, the entire, you know, column ad has to be in there, where we can't just put a notice, we have a bid for this, please see our website with an address. So there are a variety of ways to solve the issue and save some costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: In listening to this, a little bit of history about myself. a newspaper carrier for the Reading Times way back when and had family members that were reporters that worked for the newspaper, and Lisa's husband is one of the editors on the papers, so, you know, it's kind of close to home to say the least. With that being said though, times are changing and we all know it. newspaper is, not just in this state but in this country, are in serious financial trouble. There's no question about that. They're struggling to exist. But with the new technologies, and we're talking about legal advertising, it's a competitive piece of, I think, their bottom line to keep them operating. And when the change happens, and I think it's going to happen, this is not if but it's when is it going to happen, we're going to see a completely different mode of communications as you mentioned. I mean, who would have thought 30 years ago that we'd have the Internet or telecommunications as we have currently?

I did ask and I do have a copy and I will share it with the members, the legislative office of research prepared a study for me several months back that I had requested on legal advertising. We have that information we can certainly share with the members. One of the things that I found out just recently was, I don't know if this is totally accurate but it was told to me that the Reading School District alone costs them, and this may be total advertising, but 708 -- \$781,000 a year.

Now, when you start punching those numbers, compared to the other school districts like

Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, then you look at the counties, cities, boroughs, the townships, the state, and then all the other mandated legal advertising that takes place that we've done, I mean, we've mandated that through statute, I think the law journals should certainly be consistent measure of that type of legal advertising. That's my own personal opinion because that's an important piece of this equation legally to be met. Whether it goes through community papers, weekly newspapers, or eventually totally on the Internet, I just think it's probably going to happen.

We're talking about a substantial amount of money that probably could be saved by the municipalities, school districts and everybody else, all the aforementioned. And I think when we get those figures, I think members are going to have to wrestle with this personally as to whether or not we're going to see the demise of the newspapers in this state, because I think that's what's at stake, and the jobs of all the people that happen to work for those newspapers.

We've had cuts at the Reading Eagle. I'm sure most of the other people, you've seen what happened to the Philadelphia Inquirer. You know, we need to have reporters sitting in on meetings like this. And all of the organizations that you represent and those that aren't here today and we need to have that transparency in government. With what we're talking about here today and the broader issue, whether it's this bill, whether this bill's amended or any other type bill that's probably going to be surfacing in this session, it's going to be difficult.

This is not -- I don't think this is an easy issue that members are going to have to deal with.

And searching our conscience as to, you know, putting the spike in the coffin, that could seal, I think,

almost the fate of most of the newspapers in this state, that's really what's at stake here. I mean, let's not mince words about this. I think we know that we've been subsidizing, directly or indirectly by the mandates in the statutes that we've created for you to be forced to publicize the legal notices, and this is the balance, you know, what -- what are we about to do.

And I know that many members that I've talked to, they're inclined to say, yes, you know, this is the wave of the future, Tom, you know, things keep changing and this is one of the changes that's going to be taking place in our society. Whether we like it or not, it's probably going to happen at some point.

And this is one of the issues that I think this committee is really going to have a tug of war with as to come to terms with, you know, are we going to take that last final step, and we're going to hear from the newspaper associations next, and I know that many of them are struggling. And I, you know, I just -- I'm being torn by this issue because I know what's at stake here. And I know the feeling of a lot of members, you know, there's a love/hate relationship with the media. And some of them probably would just

love to see the media go to blazes and they could care less. And I'm not particularly of that mind set. I wish there was some possible middle ground, and you mentioned some of the possibilities that could take place. But I would hate to see the newspapers in this state come to an end. Because I don't think that serves the public interest. I am really worried and concerned about that. With that being said, if you have any other comments, please feel free.

MR. TROXELL: If I could, Mr. Chairman, your comments were wonderful. And it's one thing, I wouldn't say that this could lead to a demise of an industry. I guess I'm an optimist and I see it as creating competition. We've seen how the newspapers have taken on different ways, even the Patriot here has even changed some of it's format to become more attractive, shorter reading, things like that. would hope that things like this would foster competition and that the industry who is, you know, being faced with this type of competition would rise to the challenge. I believe ultimately what this does is it actually enables the taxpayer, let's us remember that it's about the taxpayers' money that we're stewards of here. And I know our folks want to be able to use that money most effectively.

society, even this very room where we're testifying, there's rad -- remote control cameras that are now being beamed out on cable TV. Things have just changed. From the boroughs' perspective, we actually enjoy having the newspapers, the industry of a lot of our folks are employed there. I mean, their trucks are in their communities, et cetera, things like that. We'd rather not see an industry go the way of, like I said, the town crier. But we have to be realistic and we have to understand that times are changing. So that's about the perspective I leave with. And thank you for this opportunity. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. Thank you very much for all your testimony. We'll next move to Deb Musselman, Martin Till, Ralph Martin. MS. MUSSELMAN: Good morning, Chairman Caltagirone and other members and staff of the committee. My name is Deborah Musselman. We're very happy for the opportunity to appear before you today. I am director of government affairs with the Pennsylvania Newspaper Association. With me today is Martin Till to my right, who is chairman of our government affairs committee --

And lastly, to reflect the change in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TILL: Good morning.

1.3

MS. MUSSELMAN: -- and publisher of the Express Times in Easton; and Ralph Martin, who is president of Trib Total Media, which publishes the Tribune Review and five other papers in -- daily papers in Western Pennsylvania; Teri Henning, our general counsel, is also at the table with us.

As I think you know and I'll just state for the record the newspaper association is a nonprofit organization that serves the roughly 300 member newspapers in Pennsylvania with advocacy, training, education, and a variety of services.

With that, I'd like to introduce Mr. Martin Till.

MR. TILL: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name is Martin Till.

I'm the CEO, president, and publisher of Eastern

Publishing Company. We published the Express Times, which is a 50,000 circulation daily newspaper in

Northampton County. We also publish a little bit in

New Jersey and Warren County. We also publish in

Lehigh County. We also have four weekly publications, shoppers slash community papers, that we publish. We also have three different websites, pennlive, we're a sister paper of the Patriot News. We also have

lehighvalleylive.com. I'm also the executive vice president of Penn Jersey Advance, which the parent company of that and with that I oversee four daily newspapers and a group of weeklies that has 22 weekly newspapers, two of them paid, the rest are all free.

So I'm here to talk to you today about House Bill 677, House Bill 795 and Senate Bill 419, regarding the using shoppers and community newspapers, of which I publish, and also on-line. And I sort of want to talk about one thing that so far we've not talked about, which is access. We need to remember why public notices are relevant, and it's for people to know what's going on in their government and not be hidden from their government of what's going on.

If you -- if you look at the shoppers, and every time I talk to the mayors of our communities, they always complain about how many newspapers and things are on their sidewalks and how many different products are out there. If this becomes law, you'll have more shoppers than you've ever seen in your life.

In my -- in my daily newspaper we cover eight school districts in Pennsylvania and 30 municipalities. You can have mayors from each town pick a certain paper that they like. You could have school superintendents pick a certain paper they like.

You could have each municipality pick a certain paper they like. And how does the citizen know where to look, where to get their information, and how to get it?

The other part of it is in Northampton

County in the last four years we've had 12 shopper

slash community newspapers come and go in the last

four years, start-ups, in town for a year, year or

two, and then close.

We've been around since 1855. To sort of do a Mark Twain, "The story of our death has been greatly exaggerated." 87 percent of Americans still read the paper every week. If you add the on-line component to newspaper, and the committee -- the group that was up here earlier kept referring to a lot of on-line and from what I could hear it's primarily newspaper sites they were reading, newspapers have never had a larger audience.

The other side of the access is the on-line component is already being done. Over 90 newspapers in Pennsylvania put their legal advertising on mypublic -- mypublicnotices.com. It's an aggregator where users can go and search all the legals from all the newspapers and find it all in one place.

Imagine if you had every municipality

running their own website and as a citizen, if I lived in Northampton County and I wanted to know what was going on I'd have to go to the county website. I'm in the Saucon Valley School District. I'd have to go to the school district website. I'm in Lower Saucon Township. I'd have to got township website. It's just not realistic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other side of it is we talked about It is not -cost, it is not free to run a website. it is not, you know, right now a lot of these smaller municipalities are running websites. You know, they're paying somebody 200 bucks a month and they're keeping it on a small server. Well, you start getting traffic where people are coming in, they're searching, these things will have to be archived because it has to be proved when it went up. If I'm a citizen and I don't find the website or I don't find the legals I want, because all of the navigation will be different, and who do I call? Who are the resources of the township or the school district to help me find the legal advertising? How do I know it was put up on time? Excuse me for being a publisher but I don't really want to trust some of my municipalities of putting the notices up on time. I'd rather have the public know that it was done and not get a "trust me".

This is about access. This is about people having, knowing what's going on in their communities, in their towns. And by putting them in shoppers where there's no guarantee people pick them up, I get a -- I think it's a Treasure Chest that comes to my house in the mail. I throw it away. There is no -- if there -- you mentioned earlier about the number of shoppers that are in stores or on racks. You don't know who they are. You don't know if they're being picked up. Just because I'm printing 20,000 of something does not mean 20,000 people are picking it up. Because I mail it to 10,000 homes does not mean 10,000 people are seeing it. It goes back, again, to access.

The number I heard out earlier, I just wanted to clarify on the Reading School District, the numbers are they spend \$187,000 on legal advertising over the past two and a half years, so.

MS. MUSSELMAN: I believe that was in the School Board Association's testimony.

MR. TILL: Right.

MS. MUSSELMAN: So they might have put a lot of other figures together in that 700,000 figure -- that -- that 187,000 figure might have included legal journal advertising as well. That hasn't really been

addressed.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Yes, it was total advertising.

MR. TILL: The other thing about the on-line, going on-line only is, and I think it was stated earlier, only 70 percent of Pennsylvanians have on-line access. When you get to the urban areas, it gets to only 60 percent. So four out of ten won't have access to it, or in the state three out of ten won't have access to it. And that's a significant number.

We all recognize the importance of on-line. We all have websites. We all invest significantly on websites. But we also know that there is still a huge portion of the population and some of it on the on-line side would be those with lower incomes, especially in today's economy there's a lot of people make the decisions about what they have in their homes and do not. If you go with on-line only, there is a significant portion of the population that will not have access to what the local government is doing. And I'll let Ralph speak and take any questions.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Good morning,
Mr. Chairman and members of the Judiciary Committee.
As Deb said, I am Ralph Martin. I am vice chairman of

the Pennsylvania Newspaper Association and I'm president, CEO of the Trib Total Media, which has a combination of products in the Pittsburgh -- greater Pittsburgh area. We have seven dailies, 17 weeklies, a penny saver shopper product, 4 magazines, and 28 websites.

The combined average circulation for Trib

Total Media is 180,000 daily and 240,000 subscribers
on Sunday. We have 1.8 million unique visitors on our
websites each month. Our company's weekly newspapers
are a mix of pain-free publications that provide hyper
local coverage to the communities they serve. And our
shopper is mailed to 780,000 homes every week. Our
shopper represents about 30 percent of our total
economy revenue, so it is a big part of our business.
Our websites and our 1.8 million unique visitors
represent less than 10 percent of our total revenue.

And just for the record, we are one of the newspapers that has an increase in circulation of 8 percent using the same ABC audits that everybody else is so, you know, we are growing still. There are papers that can do that.

MR. TILL: The Trib, too.

MR. MARTIN: So is Mr. Till. Like Mr. Till, I'm here to talk about the importance of public

notices, and one of our positions is that newspapers are where people turn for public notices and shoppers aren't necessarily reaching those people who use those notices. A quick anecdote that kind of describes our position and feeling on our own shopper is Tuesday night thinking about coming here today I was at my son's lacrosse practice. And there were 20 -- 20 people there, 20 adults watching their son practice. And I decided to just take a little poll. So I walked down. All 20 people, I mean we all live in a small village, and all 20 people were familiar with my penny saver -- a third of them used regularly; a third of them sometimes used it; and a third of them threw it away.

This is pretty much how we see our shopper operating and it's how we price it, because we know that it doesn't get to everybody.

But the more important thing is that they all use the word use. They don't read the shopper. They use it to buy things. They also -- one of the members there really summed it up for me very well. He said that legal notices to him are the beginning of government debate or the end of government debate. And without the context of the coverage that the newspaper provides, he doesn't -- he

misses the debate. He just sees what's going to happen or what might happen.

We also think that, in our case anyway, most free newspaper -- newspapers are not delivered to businesses. They are business owners oftentimes statistically don't live where they own their businesses. They live somewhere else and so they're getting their advertising at home, not necessarily at their businesses.

We also question the purported cost savings as being not exactly accurate. From my own experience with websites, 28 of them, we think that moving public notices onto the Internet would actually cost taxpayers money. As Martin said, public notices are already on-line. He's testified about the www.mypublicnotices.com. But we also know that local government associations maintain that the cost of public notices is unaffordable and in an unfunded mandate, and the fact that PNA search of public records carried out last year and again in January reveals that expenditures actually comprise about one-half of 1 percent of their operating expenditures and often less, regardless of the size of municipality.

We understand local governments are looking

for many ways to save money. We believe the damage to access and transparency under House Bill 677 far outweighs any hypothetical statement. Again, based on my own experience, we know that there's significant costs to establish and run a proposed Internet based program. Ramping up Internet websites would cost tens of thousands if not more in development, maintenance, and security far, beyond the current amount that are paid. The cost of establishing a statewide website would be significant as well.

PNA submitted a right to know request to ascertain the cost of Department of State's corporation bureau database. The Department of State reported that it costs 600,000 to start up; it costs about 60,000 a year annually from 2003 to 2007 to operate; and in 2008, 300,000 was spent to add -- to do upgrades.

Websites in addition to Senate Bill 419 and House Bill 795 would also require a secure server, professional staff to maintain and manage, something to verify that the notice appeared on-line, and the date and time required and there have to be some archiving. The DCED provided an estimate of \$250,000 along with concerns about spending this money. We believe that that figure is low given the U.S.

experience.

Cost to legal and state government agencies in establishing local websites would also be great. Significant costs would also be incurred by local government in establishing their own official Internet websites as provided under the bill. Again, this would have to be incurred by the taxpayers. Penny savers and shoppers are lower rates, but they are lower for the most part because they are based on uncertainty of their final distribution readership. Newspapers are, of course, willing and interested in working with government agencies to provide and promote public notices in a cost effective way. But this cannot come at the expense of public access and to the accountability of government as proposed by these bills.

Newspaper advertising act includes basic language about rates. And we recognize that the newspaper and legal journals charge different rates across the state. Public advertising is a public trust and we take that seriously. We recognize that almost four years have elapsed since the initial set of bid limit bills that we negotiated past the House. And we intend to withdraw our objections to the package of bills now before the Senate Appropriations

and House Bill for government committees. This is subject, of course, to ongoing review of proposed changes or additions to these bills that have not been presented previously.

1.3

We also propose no objection to efforts of certain municipalities, such as the Borough of Middletown, that provide electricity to residence to control costs by purchasing power in the spot market, which involves adding energy purchases to the list of contracts that do not need advertising requirements or bid -- for bidding proper price quotations.

Finally, we are for the first time proposing revision to our state law to add more formal rate language to ensure that public advertisers receive the newspapers available -- best available commercial rate in their respective markets. We stand ready to work with you on specific language.

Both the Internet and shoppers proposals put government in charge of policing it's own performance in a way that is detrimental to both governments and taxpayers. Under Senate Bill 419 and House Bill 795, state government is charged with reaching into the private sector to take work away from hundreds of taxpaying businesses and employers, while House Bill 677 forces the diligent citizen to -- into a daily

```
search for information he needs in order to monitor
1
2
      his local government.
 3
               Both concepts fix what isn't broken.
 4
      Newspaper publications supplemented by
 5
      www.mypublicnotices.com and Internet database protects
 6
     public access. And we ask you to oppose these bills.
 7
      Thank you for your attention and consideration.
8
      be happy to answer any questions you might have.
9
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL:
                                      Thank vou.
10
      very much for your testimony and clarification.
11
      think you made some very good points and a couple that
12
      I'd like to follow up with.
1.3
               Can you explain a little bit more how
14
     mypublicnotices.com works? Does the -- well, maybe
15
      I'll pursue after you answer.
16
               MR. TILL: As we -- -- excuse me.
                                                  As we
17
     publish the ad that night, we send it to the website.
18
     There's a link on our site that takes you to my public
19
      notices. And then it's a searchable database.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Does the municipality
21
     pay extra to have that linkage?
22
               MR. TILL: Not with us. It's included in
23
     the charge.
24
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. Does my --
25
               MR. TILL: Some newspapers may.
                                                 I want to
```

```
clarify. Many newspapers may, but I think at the most
1
2
      it's like $10 --
3
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL:
     mypublicnotices.com pay something to a newspaper to
 4
 5
     get that information that they then sell to their
 6
      subscriber?
 7
               MR. TILL: No, sir. It's the opposite.
                                                         Wе
8
     pay them. We pay them to put it up.
9
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: And I think you said
10
      90 Pennsylvania newspapers.
11
               MR. TILL: Correct, and growing.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Does that include all
1.3
     of the legal notices or is it --
14
               MR. TILL: The legal -- all the legal
15
      notices that we publish go on-line, correct.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL:
                                      Okay.
17
               MS. MUSSELMAN: Excuse me. Your packet
18
      includes a printout page that shows you a screen shot
19
     of the website yesterday.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Yeah, I'm familiar
21
     with the website. I just didn't know what kind of
22
     financial arrangement there was, if that, you know,
23
     was --
               MR. TILL: We pay them, and I believe -- I
24
25
     believe it's $8.50 an ad. It's a flat fee per ad that
```

```
1
      we send to them.
2
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL:
                                       Okay. Now, I notice
3
      that you said you participate with pennlive.com.
 4
      you publish your legal notice or do you post your
      legal notices on pennlive.com?
 5
 6
               MR. TILL: I believe pennlive uses my public
 7
      notices.
8
               MR. HENNING: And you can speak to Lehigh
9
      Vallev.
10
               MR. TILL: Yeah, my main site is
11
      lehighvalleylive.com. So the folks who use me from a
12
      legal standpoint would go through
13
      lehighvalleylive.com. I send some content but I
14
      don't -- I have my own separate site in the Lehigh
15
     Valley.
16
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: But I assume your
17
      site is similar to pennlive.com.
18
               MR. TILL: It is correct, same architecture,
19
      yes.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: If the mission is to
21
     get as much public notice as possible, I'm wondering
22
     whether it is common practice for newspapers that have
23
      Internet sites to post those legal notices on their
24
      Internet sites as well, or does it all run through
25
     public notice?
```

1 MR. TILL: I don't know if we have a survey. 2 MS. MUSSELMAN: I don't know that we have 3 broken that out. The papers that don't participate in My Public Notices by and large are in parts of the 4 5 state where the broad band availability is not so 6 great. That is one of the factors that we're trying 7 to evaluate as far as the Internet usage. But some 8 papers do both, some do one, some do the other. 9 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: That was my primary 10 reason for asking, because there at least you can 11 track hits and we could get some idea of how readily 12 people use the Internet to access these kinds of 13 notices. So if you would have anything on that, that 14 would be helpful to us. And 90 newspapers out of how 15 many? How many newspapers are in Pennsylvania? 16 MS. MUSSELMAN: We have, what is it? I can 17 never get my head screwed on right here. 83 daily and 18 175 weekly, something like that. 19 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. And that 90 is 20 out of that total number? 21 MR. TILL: Right. 22 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: The 90 that are on 23 public notice. 24 MR. HENNING: The large majority of dailies 25 are participating.

MR. TILL: The daily number I think is in the 90 percentile or higher of daily newspapers are using mypublicnotices.com. It is by far the majority.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. I believe you were both careful to point out that your particular newspapers have increasing readership, but everything I read is the newspaper industry in general has declining readership. And if that is the case, how can the state legislature and this committee not at least consider alternative ways of getting that news or those public notices out?

MR. TILL: I think that's two different things. I think on obviously in a national scale circulation at newspapers is down, yes. Readership, however, is not. And I think that's where the addition of the on-line makes all the different.

My newspaper -- our circulation's about the same. It's been -- I've been there almost 11 years. It's about the same as it was eleven years ago. My on-line site every month is growing up to 15, 20 percent in audience and in page view. So if you aggregate the two together, and our argument to you is we do that with the legals. You know, it used to be you just got our print audience. Well, now you're getting our print and our on-line audience, and our

on-line audience across the board has never been larger. I mean, what was your unique users,

1.3

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: But you're only getting those in those 90 newspapers that have the on-line posting of their legal notices.

MR. TILL: The on -- the 90 papers that are using my public notices, the other newspapers may, and I don't know this but we can find out for you, the other newspapers may put their legals on their own website, they just don't send them to the aggregator, if you well.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. I want to talk a little bit about pricing. And I know it's a sensitive topic. But I did hear you say, I guess it was Mr. Martin, said that you're interested in discussing best available commercial rate and maybe clarifying that.

The thing that I can't understand and can't justify is that a two-inch, very uncreative, text-only legal notice might cost \$300 and I'm sure that your retail customers are buying full pages not for \$300, but if they bought a two-inch ad it would be a lot less than \$300.

So give me a little bit of information on

that and how we might be able to help save taxpayer
dollars.

MR. MARTIN: Well, I think the hard part for us is that we never really -- I mean, we can't sit down and say what do you charge these days and what do you charge -- from paper to paper, so we've been very careful to not do that.

MS. MUSSELMAN: Because of antitrust.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I understand.

MR. MARTIN: But as a result, there's been so varying rates for that same two-inch ad and we believe that that could be resolved, that there could be limits set, with discussion of how to do that. But there could be limits set on what you could expect to charge for the particular ads.

MR. HENNING: We could make best available rate.

MR. MARTIN: We would make it best available rate.

MR. TILL: What we talk about for commercial customers, an ongoing customer, that you would qualify for the lowest rate that's on the rate card. So if the lowest rate is a dollar a line or a dollar an inch, that's what you're paying. You earn the lowest rate available to anybody.

MR. MARTIN: No more for you than a car dealer.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Because I think that is absolutely essential because, you know, you and your members are not hesitant to criticize us for wasting taxpayer dollars. And if the municipality's paying \$300 for a two-inch ad and the local car dealership is paying \$10 for the same thing, that is wasting taxpayer dollars.

So I think -- I'm very interested in pursuing that with you as sort of a must do.

MR. MARTIN: In a really small example, you know, the sheriff sales is a very expensive advertising because it has to run and it's so big.

And in Pittsburgh it's very large. And it always bounced kind of back and forth between the Post Gazette and the Trib. And it was really not effective for readers. It wasn't effective for government. It wasn't effective for anybody because we were chasing those things.

And without discussing our rate package, because we didn't, we knew the sheriff only had so much to sell, we decided to try to find a way to put it in both papers for no more money every month. And that made life a lot easier. Every constituent,

everybody there had access because we both put it on our websites as well. So I think it was a case of sitting down with government and finding a way that made sense for the consumer. And we were able to do that there.

MR. TILL: And we also talked about if I'm a commercial customer and I earn the lowest rate and if the ad needs to run two times a week, whatever, a lot of us give discounts for ads that run more than once a week, get a frequency discount. We've also talked about lowest rate plus all applicable discounts, so there would be no penalty in a sense for running a legal ad. You'd be entitled to the lowest rate anybody was bid in the newspaper.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Well, I thank you for your testimony and your answers to the questions and look forward to working with you all to come up with something that works for everybody, including Mr. Taxpayer.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Chairman, while Chairman Marsico is reviewing his notes, first of all, let me assure you that we'll make copies, Kurt, of all of this to be sent to the members, the entire membership of the judiciary committee. That's number one. Number two, hypothetical, if this were a

```
1
      similar piece of legislation, let's say would it be
2
      approved, accessible to everybody else, how
     devastating would it be on your industry? And it's
3
 4
      terrible to have to say that, but I mean --
               MR. MARTIN: No, I understand what you're
 5
 6
      saying.
 7
               MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: But if worse
8
      came to worse, and let's say this piece of legislation
9
      were to become law, or similar type legislation, what
10
      would it do to the industry?
11
               MR. MARTIN: In my case, which is all I can
12
      speak to, legal advertising represents less than
      7 percent of our total revenue. Would it have an
1.3
14
      impact? It does. But, I mean, we talk about a lot of
15
     money being spent, but it's being spread out. I mean,
16
      it's not significant as one of our larger accounts.
17
      It's not like that. So it's about 7 percent or less
18
     of our total revenue.
19
               Would we have to adjust if we lost
20
      7 percent? We do that every day. We have to make
21
      adjustments. But I'm not sure it would be devastating
22
      for us.
23
               MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE:
24
      newspapers it might be.
25
               MR. MARTIN: If you're a small newspaper.
```

MR. TILL: Depends how fast the auto dealers come back. It all depends how fast this recession ends.

MR. MARTIN:

If you can help us with that.

MR. TILL: If you can fix that problem, it would be great. And I think that's part of the equation. You know, newspapers are not the only ones hurting with the economy right now. I mean, there's a story out yesterday, billboard's way down, radio's way down, everybody's down because people aren't advertising.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Retailers.

MR. TILL: Everybody's down. So, I mean, does a 5 percent hit in revenue hurt? Of course it does. I mean, you know, does it mean loss of jobs, yeah. I mean, it would. Does it mean we're out of business? I don't think so. Well, I know it doesn't. But, I mean, it's not good. But again, and, you know, it is -- it is spending. And it is money.

And you know, I was thinking when I was back here, again, I've been the publisher at the *Express*Times for 11 years and I've never had a school superintendent or a mayor or county executive come to me and say, boy, we're spending way too much money with your newspaper. It just didn't -- I've never had

that conversation. And again, for me it's about access. And there's our casting side of me, when I hear that \$22,000 a year for a school district and I think if the Eastern School District had pumped a half million dollar into a sinkhole without telling anybody, okay, we just paid for 20 years worth of legals by not doing that.

So I mean, it's money, but for me it's the

1.3

access. People need to have access to this information. And if you separate it out and put it in 15, 20 different publications, I don't know where to look for it. If you put it on 15, 20 different websites, I don't know where to get it.

And I think it also allows people to say, look, you know, I'm putting this up over on this website, go over, you'd be the only bidder, and there's potential for fraud there. I think we got to be very careful of that. The transparency is very important to us.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Chairman Marsico.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. Thanks for your testimony this morning.
Page 2, Martin, Page 2 on your testimony, at the top
there, could you further explain what you mean by

"Bills that would put public notices in shoppers or the government-run websites would promote government secrecy, undermine the public's ability to hold government accountable for its actions." That's quite -- that's an alarming statement.

MR. TILL: It is, but I -- you know, we've had enough experience with some of our municipalities that, you know, I'm a voter and I'm a taxpayer and I don't necessarily trust all of the municipalities to do it right. That's why we have transparency. That's why we have the notices have to be in the newspaper, you know, the citizen can go to the meeting. They can be a part of the meeting. I mean, there was a discussion earlier about, you know, it would be nice to not have to put it in the newspaper because we know who some of the vendors are and we can go directly to the vendor. I don't think that's what it's about.

I mean, there might be new vendors, there might be somebody else that can do better, there may be somebody that's not quite as good of a friend.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: You're saying you don't trust your local government then. That's what you're saying.

MR. TILL: I think asking the local government to watch themselves is a very dangerous

process. I think we're much better off putting it out in public and being held accountable in the public. I think that's the way this country works and I think it's --

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: When you say the public too, they don't trust the media as well.

MR. TILL: And they can --

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Just to throw it back, a little reversal there.

MR. TILL: My thing is to say we want, you know, municipalities to control the website. They put up when things are happening, what's happening. I just think there is -- there is potential there for problems. And I think by putting it on one website where everybody can go, where it's time stamped, you know when things were put up, you avoid any conflict or problems.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: I don't want to continue this debate, but on Page 3, Mr. Martin, you had mentioned down under newspaper rate issues, the second paragraph, you say that you recognize it's four years have elapsed since the initial set of bid-limit bills that we negotiated past the House and we intend to withdraw objections to the packet of bills now before the Senate and the House Local

Government Committee. Can you tell us what bills they are? You're speaking to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MUSSELMAN: Representative, the reference to the bills that we negotiated four years ago, you recall they came out of the local government committee. Representative David Steil had worked out some language as far as the amount of increases in the bid limits and the telephonic quotes. Those bills have -- had passed the house in December '06 and then they moved a little bit in the senate last year. increased -- some of -- there are 20 bills that amend a variety of local government codes, municipality authorities, third -- third-class county law, all -all of those local government codes, the basic gist of it is that they would increase the minimum telephonic quote from \$4,000 up to 10,000, the no -- no bid bid limit to go from 10,000 to 25,000. We have been objecting to that and we've -- we've decided that we will withdraw objections to that package bills.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: They're now before the Senate or the House.

MS. MUSSELMAN: They're approved in the Senate Appropriations Committee now and there's a group of companion bills in the House Local Government Committee.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you all. Appreciate your testimony. We'll next hear from Jim Haigh, government relations consultant Mid-Atlantic Community Papers Association.

MR. HAIGH: Is this on? Okay. Good morning, Chairman Caltagirone, Chairman Marsico, Representative Grell. My name is Jim Haigh and I'm government relation to the Mid-Atlantic Community Paper Association. And I'm here with John Hemperly who is general sales manager of Engle Printing and Publishing who has been publishing community papers across -- in the southeast Pennsylvania for over the last half of the century.

I'm here today to speak on behalf of House
Bill 677. And to briefly summarize, House Bill 677
updates Title 45 Legal Notices to reflect market
realities that have both evolved and dissolved in the
33 years the current language has been law. It would
restore audience lost to closures, consolidation, and
declining page circulation. It would restore
competitive pricing environment for those mandated to
advertise. It would use the free market to remedy
original flaws of construction and corresponding

abuses, without new mandates. The demonstrable impacts on both reach and price of legal advertising are clearly expressed in Exhibit A and Exhibit B.

The title -- Title 45 asserts itself into nearly every instance of advertising mandated by state law, from the birth of a business to the death of a neighbor within a state, and over \$26 million in local government advertising in between. Each of the numerous statutes that compel the purchase of legal advertising rely on Title 45 for the definition of the marketplace, which is a narrow subset of community publishing. As decided in 1976, only pay-to-read publications are legally qualified to publish and bill for official notice.

At the time, people only read what they pay for may have made sense as a premise. So should have safeguards for the compulsory consumers in a monopoly created by state law. While most states have provisions to prohibit price gouging, like setting fixed rates as New Jersey does or capping rates at commercial levels as Ohio does, Pennsylvania stands alone with its legislative invitation to charge as much as a publisher can get away with.

That's actually a footnote, too. It's no small wonder that some papers take the state up on the

complicit offer. That flawed construction of Title 45, giving a privileged monopoly an extra helping of laissez-faire, has compounded in the backs of rate payers as the exclusive marketplace shriveled in size and scope during the 33 year sentence.

Just this year scores of boroughs,
townships, authorities, school districts, along with
countless business and citizen legal advertisers
watched their rates skyrocket as Journal Register
pulled the plug in nearly two dozen communities.
Overnight these forced consumers had marginal
competition reduced to zero competition.

While Journal Register's closings of its weeklies across five counties happened in a shockingly short span of time, the event was in reality only an exclamation point on a broad, constant market trend.

Since the enactment of Title 45 in 1976,
Pennsylvania has lost 22 daily newspapers. The
combined circulation of the dailies that cease
publication was over 1.5 million, and that of the
remaining has shrunk significantly. During the same
span of time no less than 153 pay-to-read weeklies
have also gone away. But no new publications have
been allowed to replace the 175 or more lost under
Title 45.

The standard consequence is legal advertisers paying more to notify smaller audiences than in any time in modern history of our Commonwealth. There are also extreme consequences where mandates to advertise, monopoly, and legalized price gouging collide. The most shocking example is happening right now in Philadelphia. Court documents and invoices reveal that the Inquirer charges the City of Philadelphia a staggering 63 times more than rates it proposed and are in effect on the other side of the Delaware River. Using the 3 million annual spending on legal advertising that it reported, the Inquirer could actually save the City of Philadelphia well over \$2 million a year by simply giving it the New Jersey rate deal, the deal that it actually negotiated and underbid with New Jersey. And that's amply put in the foot notes there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We hope the defenders of the status quo, in particular the monopoly incumbents who pride themselves in their watchdog abilities, take an honest look at the bottom line here. These are serious numbers. And in an era of universal budget shortfalls they can translate into lost jobs, lost libraries, lost fire stations, lots of losses. More than just money.

Absolute credibility is on the line as institutions with the financial interest at stake report on government's waste, fraud, and abuse in whole numbers but continue to remove dollar signs and hide their own profiteering in the context of mere fractions of budget. With these real numbers, legal advertising reform is needed now more than ever. The plight of Philadelphia may be extreme, but scenarios across the state, however modest in comparison, like charging \$19.28 for an affidavit, which is required by law when your average paper charges \$3. That's footnote eight. We believe that the best and swiftest solution is allowing bona fide competition under Title 5, which is exactly what House Bill 677 does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Competition obviously worked for Camden, New Jersey, and saved Allegheny County sheriffs over several million over the last several years as well.

The majority of legal advertisers are not as fortunate as robust competition for their business has long since disappeared.

Meanwhile, the trends in pay-to-read publishing are irreversible. House Bill 677 recognizes this simple fact and provides remedy for mandated consumers under Title 45. If enacted, those forced to purchase legal advertising would no longer

be hostage to legal advertising markets dissolving at their expense. Thank you very much. John Hemperly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HEMPERLY: Well, good morning. I'm the last one on the agenda. Good feeling, no? My name is John Hemperly and I'm the general sales manager for Engle Printing and Publishing company, headquartered in Mt. Joy, Pennsylvania. Thank you for the opportunity to address the House Judiciary Committee on subject of legal advertisements and the process by which the public at large is informed of the same. 55 years ago Alvin Engle, the founder of our company, purchased a small sheet fed multi-lift press to supplement his income. He installed the press in his basement and operated -- operated it in the evenings and on Saturdays. Through numerous expansions and in the spirit of entrepreneurship, the family-owned business grew and now operates the state-of-the-art web press printing facilities, employs upwards of 400 people.

More importantly and of interest in the subject at hand, since 1959 we have been publishing free community papers. Each week our free publications are delivered to over 150 South Central Pennsylvania zip codes. These papers reach over a half a million household, hundreds of boroughs,

townships, and school districts. Our papers include advertisements from local businesses, classified advertising from private individuals, and on the average 30 percent local editorial, which includes local news, high school sports coverage, civic club press releases and other interests -- items of interests in the neighborhood residents.

While some will question the receivership and readership of our products, Circulation

Verification Counsels, St. Louis, Missouri, recently audited most. CVC interviewed over 1,700 residents in our primary market area. Respondents reported an astonishing 99.1 percent receivership with over

75 percent readership reported.

The survey was funded by a third-party association, so CVC had no interest in making us feel good about our own numbers. No, not everybody reads our papers, but with the self-proclaimed decline in circulation from paid newspapers one not need a calculator to show our papers, our local periodicals as a viable vehicle for dissemination of information to consumers and voters of the Commonwealth, including legal advertising.

Earlier this year our company has had direct competitors cease publishing their paid papers. These

papers were known as the hometown paper. Some of these now defunct papers had been in business for well over 100 years. But due to a lack of readership which led to a lack of advertising revenue which led to a negative bottom line, the owners of these properties shuttered their doors. Yet these were the same publications that current legislation dictates as the acceptable way to educate the voters and contractors about government — government meetings, bids for equipment and services, and upcoming changes in local laws.

1.3

In many communities the paid paper has a monopoly on the placement and the pricing of these legal advertisements. City councils, school board directors, township supervisor hands are tied where and how much to pay for these announcements.

If we are concerned with the exposure of legal advertising to the populous, we should once and for all allow the local governing bodies a choice and vote affirmative for the passage of House Bill 677.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I'm sorry. I did
have one question but this is for counsel. Does
the -- do these bills cover estate notices and
foreclosure notices and things like that? Is it all

types of legal advertising or is it just the municipal stuff that we're talking about?

1.3

MR. ANDRING: This bill would allow for the substitution of the -- what are they referred to as the community newspapers and in the place of a newspaper of general circulation anywhere a statute requires publication in a newspaper of general circulation. So that would apply generally to corporate notices, corporate -- all of business notices, the municipal notices, all of those.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We've talked privately about this very issue, as you know. You know the concerns that have been raised here today. We're not trying to put -- at least from my point of view, I don't think many of us would like to see any of the businesses be put out of business, simply because these are hard economic times, and I don't think that's what you're thinking, but could you expand on some of the discussions that we've had in my office about your interest in this particular piece of legislation and/or the other parameters of what this might entail for the future?

MR. HAIGH: For us, we're free markets. We believe in the free market. We've -- many of our

papers have been fighting for this and have been publishing for 10 years, 20 years, 50 years or more, serving their communities. And from our end of this -- especially when you look at Exhibit A and Exhibit B, when you look at the fact that we can go to two times, three times, four times and have four times the readership of the papers that qualified to give number -- give notice now, and is charging two times, four times, or more what we're charging, that the fact that we're embraced by the community, the local governments in those communities who live and breathe in those communities, have businesses advertised with us know that, wow, these are where we would like to -we would like to use these print products that have been around there forever because everyone reads them. And we're saying, well, no, we just -- just because you guys aren't paid, because people only read what they -- only read what they pay for, which is really the funding premise of Title 45's last revision in 1976, makes no sense to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the concerns about, my goodness, the dieing newspapers, I can go on for the next -- I can go for 12 hours or more on how so many of these different things brought -- they brought that on themselves, starting with the whole category of

classifieds, where Craig's list is eating their lunch, especially because they were price gouging for so long. They took for granted in a lot of cases their commercial and their regular advertisers charging incredible rates for what they had, where our papers still have those classified and because we didn't lose them to Craig's list, because we were charging a fair price for a fair service, and that service worked.

1.3

And this is just the -- to reiterate, the classified sections are exactly where these ads go. They're not going next to the news portion of it. I mean, they are in the classified section. And if you look at a paper, a daily paper or a weekly paper with an anemic looking classifieds section, that only has -- it's a small paid weekly, it has only government ads in it but it doesn't have a yard sale ad, it doesn't have houses for sale, it doesn't have contract, it doesn't have services offered by the community, you can tell the community itself is not embracing that paper and using it to communicate.

Our papers are -- you can look at them visibly, all of the -- you look at a healthy classified section, you will see that there is a lot of exchange of ideas, information going on there, and we're saying we should at least be an option.

And the death -- as far as the death of newspapers and what money is going to actually erode from them by way of competition, first thing, they have an option to actually charge a fair price for a fair service. But, B, if you want to go that route do what Washington state just did and give them a tax break. But make -- if you want to subsidize newspapers, subsidize them directly and not using advertising, which also then subsidizes them to the disadvantage of another group of papers, which is us. I'm sorry that was a long-winded answer. I probably got off point. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Wanted to get it on the record. Chairman Marsico. MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In your Exhibit A and B --MR. HAIGH: Sure. MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: -- Exhibit A, you have audited circulation which says that, for example, Representative Grell's district, Camp Hill Shopper, 14,153, audited circulation, and then the Patriot News right below, just comparison you have, 5,822. What does audited circulation, what does that mean? Audited, what's that mean?

MR. HAIGH: Those -- I'll answer briefly and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's your Camp Hill. That audited circulation is circulation which has been independently verified by a third party. It's something that's not required under current law. Under Title 45 right now, you can just stick a price tag on a paper and go about doing this for the most part because there is no audit -- there is no overseer on the state level and there is no requirement of X amount of circulation.

So we would state right now Title 45 says that you should be eligible, are entitled to be entered or not have been denied entry into second class mails, which is now periodicals mails, but since you don't have to be actually entered, there is no oversight into any circulation claims under state law.

So bringing into this, this is audited circulation from, say, the Patriot News, their circulation is audited by ABC, an established auditing firm that looks at the print runs, that looks at subscriptions, that looks at the places that the papers are going and verifies that they're doing what they're doing. Camp Hill Shopper's audited by Circulation Verification Council. They're a separate established auditing firm that basically goes in like a proctologist and makes sure that everything is what it appears to be. Since yours is the Camp Hill

Shopper, I might like to have you.

1.3

MR. HEMPERLY: Sure. The 14,000 count you see there for Camp Hill Shopper would be verified by the CVC auditors when we enter those papers into the mail stream. Our papers in that particular area are delivered by the post office so they would verify that we are, in fact, circulating 14,153 papers and the 5,822 for the Patriot News would be the comparable papers they are distributing in those same zip codes that the Camp Hill Shopper goes to, correct.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HAIGH: And to follow up the distinction that you see in price, this sample ad, just to walk through the complete, you would for this one column buy four-inch ad or 46 lines of set type, which is how this -- how all of this legal advertising is billed out, that you would be able to -- this would be -- you'd be able to reach this, put this in the eyes of 14,153 Camp Hill residents for a cost of \$60.40 with Paper A, or you could do -- you could reach 5,822 for a cost of \$287.50 with Paper B. Paper B has a price tag. Paper A is free. Paper A the best option and only option under 677 is off the table because Paper A doesn't have a price tag.

They can't -- Camp Hill, those who pay the bills, those who are in charge of notifying the community that they know well can't take up Engle Publishing to get three times the circulation at one-fifth -- basically one-fifth the price.

1.3

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Deb, did you have something you wanted to say? I'll let you have the last word.

MS. MUSSELMAN: It's apple and oranges once again, counts of press run verses purchases of papers with the third party.

MR. HAIGH: I would actually have to. If we're going to throw metaphors out here, probably Golden Delicious versus Macintosh. And in a lot of cases we have municipalities only have a choice between, say, Diet Coke and, say, Diet Pepsi. When you're -- when you're a thirsty person, you're looking, you want to go into a convenience store, the average person, you can have a range of different beverages out there. Right now municipalities have Diet Coke versus Pepsi. And when they're forced to pay and especially in the areas of -- now 18, 19, 21 Journal Register papers just close, now you only have

Diet Pepsi and you have it for \$20 a gallon, and you're also going to get whacked \$20 for an affidavit to say that you actually bought that at \$20 a gallon.

And this is -- that was -- okay.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Do you want a closing comment?

MR. HEMPERLY: May I, just to address this comment here, I agree not all 14,000 papers of mine that are sent to Camp Hill are read. I know that. But when we look at our readership study, which is a sampling of readers through our distribution area, we find that 75 percent of those folks receiving the paper do, in fact, someone in that household is picking up looking at our papers. And that math that we had over 10,000 households, I'm thinking that everyone that buys a paper reads it, so compared to the 5,800, it's not quite twice, but it is a substantial increase.

MR. HAIGH: If I can add one more thing onto that, too, since we're talking about legal advertising and trying to truly get the notice out to the public, these are being placed, especially if it's in a daily paper, seven days -- any of seven given days of the week. So we're also looking at, okay, do I regularly -- do I -- one of the few people or the

growing number who don't subscribe, but if I do, am I actually looking at it on Tuesday? Am I looking at it on Thursday? Am I looking at it on Saturday? A weekly versus a daily, you also have to factor in the dilution of which of seven days of the Treasure Hunt is that going to happen. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: You had the last word, meeting adjourned. Thank you all very much. (Proceedings concluded at 12:08 p.m.)

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Heather L. Artz, RMR, CRR Notary Public