HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

House Bill 1625

House Judiciary Committee

Irvis Office Building Room G-50 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Monday, March 1, 2010 - 10:00 a.m.

--000--

BEFORE:

Honorable Thomas Caltagirone, Majority Chairman Honorable Ron Marsico, Minority Chairman Honorable Richard R. Stevenson

ALSO PRESENT:

Honorable Bryan Barbin Honorable Dante Santoni, Jr. Honorable John J. Siptroth

> 1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404 (717) 764-7801 Fax (717) 764-6367

```
Page 2
 1
     ALSO PRESENT:
 2
     David D. Tyler
 3
       Majority Executive Director
 4
     William Andring, Esquire
       Majority Chief Counsel for Committee
 6
     Tamara Fox
 7
       Minority Staff
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 3
1	CONTENTS	
2	SPEAKERS	PAGE
3		
4	Opening remarks Representative John Siptroth	6
5		
6	Heads Held High Angela Bayer	7
7	Bruce Bayer, Chairman	18
8	Mark Bergstrom, Executive Director Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing	34
9	remisyrvania commission on sentencing	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

						Page 4
1			SUPPOR	RT INDE	X	
2		DEOLLEGE E	OD DDODI	ICT TON	OE DOCUMENT	10
3		KEQUESI F	OR PRODU	ICTTON	OF DOCUMENT	. D
4	Page	Line	Page	Line	Page	Line
5	26	5	31	22		
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

- 1 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll open the
- hearing. I'm Tom Caltagirone, Chairman of
- Judiciary. Public hearing on House Bill 1625.
- For the record, if the members would just
- 5 please introduce themselves, starting from my
- 6 right and stating --
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE SANTONI: Good
- 8 morning, I'm Dante Santoni, a member from Berks
- 9 County.
- MR. TYLER: David Tyler, Executive
- Director of Judiciary Committee.
- 12 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH:
- Representative John Siptroth, 189th District,
- and sponsor of the bill.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Representative Ron
- 16 Marsico, Dauphin County.
- MR. FOX: Tammy Fox, staff to the
- 18 Judiciary Committee.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON:
- Representative Dick Stevenson, Mercer and
- 21 Butler counties.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN:
- Representative Bryan Barbin, Cambria County.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: For the
- record, it is Chairman Marsico.

House Bill 1625 Page 6 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you. That's 2 right. CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll open up with Representative Siptroth for opening 5 comments. REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Thank vou 7 Mr. Chairman, Chairman Caltagirone, Chairman Marsico, and members of the Judiciary Committee and attendees of this hearing. I thank you for 10 granting me this hearing on legislation, House 11 Bill 1625. 12 There needs to be a law that 13 increases protection of our children. 14 Depending on the circumstances, indecent 15 assault can be graded as a misdemeanor of the 16 first or second degree or a felony of the third 17 degree. My legislation would increase the 18 grading to a felony of the third degree under two circumstances: The victim is younger than 20 13, the victim is younger than 16, and the 21 person committing the indecent assault is four 22 or more years older than that victim. 23 In this case the higher grading would

24

25

be applied to the victim and perpetrator are

not married to each other. Legislation would

- increase the penalties and serve as a deterrent
- to this crime. The maximum penalty for a
- misdemeanor of the second degree is two years
- in jail and a 5000-dollar fine. It increases
- to five years in prison and a 10,000-dollar
- fine for a first degree misdemeanor. The
- 7 maximum penalty for a third degree misdemeanor
- is seven years in prison and a 13,000-dollar
- 9 fine.
- During the last session, Mr.
- 11 Chairman, a number of amendments were built
- into this bill and has been refined. I hope
- that it's satisfactory to the committee. Thank
- you very much.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you,
- Representative. We'll now start with the
- testimony, Angela Bayer, Heads Held High, and
- Bruce Bayer, Chairman of Heads Held High.
- MS. BAYER: Good morning, ladies and
- gentlemen. My name is Angela Bayer. I'm a
- child advocate, preschool teacher and mother.
- That's how I'm coming here to address you. I
- thank you for giving me this chance to speak to
- everyone today. I'm here speaking because
- children do not have a voice and they're not

- here speaking, so I'm their voice here.
- 2 Charles Manson, Jack the Ripper.
- 3 Infamous names because of the atrocities of
- 4 their crimes. We know these men were serial
- 5 killers. We know they were vicious, depraved
- 6 and violent criminals, but did you know that
- ⁷ they have the same personality characteristics
- 8 as a sex offender against children?
- 9 Sex offenders live among us as
- everyday citizens. About five percent of our
- population molests children. Their behavior is
- the most repetitive, to the point of
- compulsion, rather than lacking -- from a lack
- of judgment.
- Rarely are offenders of young victims
- a stranger to them. Ninety percent of sexual
- assault cases involve persons known to the
- child such as a parent or a familiar
- acquaintance. Thirty to 40 percent of victims
- are abused by a family member. Another 50
- 21 percent are abused by someone outside of the
- family whom they know or trust. Forty percent
- are abused by older and larger children whom
- they know. Therefore, only 10 percent are
- abused by strangers. That's according to FBI

- ¹ statistics.
- One in four girls is sexually abused
- before the age of 18. Let's put some
- 4 perspective on this. We hear stats every day.
- 5 You're hearing stats right now. I'm a
- 6 preschool teacher and I have four girls in my
- 7 classroom under the age of six. One of those
- four may be sexually molested by the time they
- 9 are 18. Let's look at it a little bit more
- personally.
- Amongst you right now, do you have
- daughters, nieces, granddaughters, neighbors;
- any girls under the age of 18? Four of them,
- just think about those. One of those four may
- be molested by the time they're 18. That's
- what I'm talking about right now.
- 17 (A poster was produced). I want to
- put a face to this. One of these four girls
- will be molested by the time they're 18. It
- doesn't matter their age or gender, socio-
- economical status, anything. Somebody will be
- molested. That's what we're dealing with right
- 23 now.
- I've worked as a preschool teacher
- for over 10 years now. I have seen my share of

- child abuse, unfortunately. Children are the
- most vulnerable people in our society; they're
- our future too. Abused children tell
- 4 heart-wrenching stories, their eyes, their
- 5 souls, they cry. I have seen cigarette marks
- on children, malnourished and neglected
- 7 children. I've seen it all. But by far worse
- is the case of a child all close age are here.
- 9 She was a rather beautiful tall girl.
- She was three years old. She was potty-trained
- but she started having accidents again. One
- day when I was changing her I noticed she was
- red and bruised in her genital area. She
- started crying and she said it hurts. I held
- her after I changed her, and she said, my daddy
- peed on me. Those words have haunted me ever
- since she said those words.
- She tried telling people about that.
- No matter how much she said all those things,
- nobody ever heard her. Despite the forensic
- evidence, those child's words were twisted and
- turned by the defense. The child and her
- mother came to live with my family. The mother
- got a protection from abuse order. Dad finally
- moved out. Eventually he left the country

- after my husband told him never to show his
- face around my preschool again. But, the
- 3 system failed her. The dad never got any jail
- time, nor was any justice done.
- 5 This child had nightmares at nap
- time. She was creaming, crying. She needed to
- be held and soothed and consoled. She moved
- 8 cowering in corners. She did not socialize
- 9 with other children. Picture a classroom of
- children playing with Legos. Everyone content,
- everyone interacting, and then picture a child
- cowering in a corner, hunched over, eyes down.
- 13 That was her now. Gone was the happy child who
- jumped and danced during music time or listened
- to stories. Intensive therapy was required to
- get her semblance of her life back. Her
- innocence was gone, her childhood was ripped
- apart.
- Remember, though, molestation does
- not discriminate genders. One in six boys are
- sexually abused before the age of 18. Can you
- think of six boys in your lives under the age
- of 18? Do you have sons, grandsons, nephews?
- (Poster is produced). One in six,
- look at the faces; one of these six, random

- pictures. One of these six may be molested by
- the time they're 18.
- No child is psychologically prepared
- 4 to deal with child sexual abuse. Children five
- or older who know and care for the abuser
- become trapped between affection and loyalty
- for the person, and the sense that the sexual
- 8 activities are terribly wrong. If children try
- to break away from this type of relationship,
- the abuser tries to threaten them with violence
- or loss of love. There's shame, there's fear,
- there's jealousy, and the child is afraid the
- family will break apart if the secret is told.
- Long-term emotional and psychological
- damage of sexual abuse is devastating to a
- child. A child who is a victim of prolonged
- sexual abuse develops a low self-esteem, a
- feeling of worthlessness, and an abnormal or
- ¹⁹ distorted view of sex. A child may become
- withdrawn and mistrustful of adults, and can
- even become suicidal.
- Some children who have been sexually
- abused have difficulty relating to others
- except on sexual terms. They may become child
- abusers, prostitutes, or have other serious

- 1 problems.
- Often there are no external signs of
- 3 sexual abuse, but they may develop some of the
- 4 following unusual interests and avoidance of
- 5 sexual nature things: Sleep problems,
- 6 nightmares, depression, withdrawal,
- ⁷ seductiveness, thinking that their bodies are
- 8 dirty or damaged, and even refusal to go to
- 9 school.
- Let's take a moment to think about
- this. Any of those four girls you thought
- about earlier display any of those signs I just
- mentioned? Any of those six boys displaying
- any of those signs? Are you wondering now what
- if? Do you want to help these children?
- Let's say you're one of the few
- people present here today that do not know any
- four girls under the age of 18 or six under 18.
- And you're thinking to yourself that these
- children don't affect your life at all.
- They're just faces. Maybe the welfare of
- children is not your priority because there are
- so many issues in our state. But let me assure
- you, though, child abuse does affect your
- personally.

- 1 Maybe the bottom dollar would open up
- your eyes to the need for reform and proper
- legislation needs to be enacted now. Did you
- 4 know that their abuse impacts society?
- 5 Consequences of child sexual abuse begins
- 6 affecting children and families immediately.
- 7 They affect society in innumerable negative
- 8 ways. Their effects continue through the life
- of the survivor. Try to impact 39 million
- survivors in our nation.
- 11 (Poster is produced). Long-term
- effects of child sexual abuse. Sexually-abused
- children who keep it a secret or who tell are
- not believed are at greater risk than the
- general population for psychological,
- emotional, social and physical problems. We're
- 17 putting faces to these statistics.
- Victims of sexual abuse report more
- 19 symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder.
- Victims are more likely to experience
- depressive disorders. They're more likely to
- develop eating disorders. They often have
- violent crimes.
- Drug and alcohol problems, 70 to 80
- 25 percent of survivors report excessive drug and

- alcohol use. Their reasons, trying to dull the
- ² pain.
- Young girl who are sexually abused
- are three times more likely to develop
- ⁵ psychiatric disorders.
- Male survivors, more than 70 percent
- 7 seek psychological treatment for substance
- 8 abuse. Children who have been victims of
- 9 sexual abuse exhibit long-term, frequent
- behavioral problems. An estimated 60 percent
- of first teenage pregnancies are preceded by
- experience of molestation. Victims of child
- sexual abuse are more likely to be sexually
- promiscuous. Seventy-five percent of teenage
- prostitutes were molested. This gives you an
- idea, seeing some faces. Those beautiful
- children you saw before, this is them now.
- We're talking about 39 million sexual
- abuse survivors living here in United States.
- We are not talking worldwide. Thirty-nine
- million survivors of scars that go deep down
- inside.
- Most children don't tell if the
- they've been asked. Evidence shows that a
- child who has been sexually abused is not

- always obvious, and many children do not report
- it. Thirty percent of victims never disclose
- the experience to anyone. Young victims may
- not recognize victimization as sexual abuse.
- 5 Eighty percent deny abuse or very tentative in
- 6 disclosing. Seventy-five percent disclose
- ⁷ accidentally. Additionally, those who may
- disclose, more than 20 percent eventually
- 9 recant that the abuse ever occurred.
- Some people think about fabricated
- sexual abuse cases, but there's only one to
- four percent of all of those fabricated sexual
- abuse cases. Seventy-five percent of those one
- to four are only reported by adults.
- Twenty-five percent are reported by children.
- Sexual abuse has been reported more
- than 80,000 times a year, but the number of
- unreported instances is far greater because
- children are afraid to tell anyone.
- Let's go back to CJ, remember her,
- the beautiful three-year-old girl. She had to
- be examined and probed by forensic doctors.
- 23 She had to tell complete strangers what had
- happened to her. Her mother felt powerless to
- help her, especially when the defense lawyer

- already told mom that the father was going to
- get off with no jail time. He was just being
- plea bargained. How fair is that? How fair is
- 4 that to that poor child? How is it possible to
- be let someone walk after they have hurt a child
- in the worst possible way?
- 7 House Bill 1625 is a big step in the
- 8 right direction of getting justice for abused
- 9 children. (Poster is produced). I think I'll
- leave you off with these instead of the other
- pictures. This is what could happen. There's
- one in four or one in six children, we could be
- looking at this instead of the drug abusers,
- the prostitutes, the teenage pregnancies. We
- could look at happiness. We could look at
- gainful employment, adults in caring and loving
- 17 relationships.
- Pedophiles need to know that PA is a
- state where child molestation is not accepted
- and penalties are stiff. I stand before you
- today as a mother, teacher and advocate to ask
- you this question. Does our future society
- mean something to you? If so, please consider
- voting in favor of House Bill 1625 to make a
- difference for abused children everywhere.

- I thank you for your time and your
- ² attention.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you.
- Bruce, if you'd like to testify, and then we'll
- ⁵ be open for questions.
- MR. BAYER: My name is Bruce Bayer.
- 7 I'm the Chairman of Heads Held High, a
- 8 nonprofit advocates for Pennsylvania. I'm also
- ⁹ a member of the newly-formed Citizens Review
- Panel for northeast PA, which takes in several
- counties. I am also a voting member of POCC
- here in Harrisburg area, Kathleen
- Paulman (phonetic) and the like. We meet over
- in Mechanicsburg once a month.
- In the big picture of life I'm a
- nobody. But today I come before you as a child
- advocate. In that role I will not be a nobody.
- 18 I'll be a voice for the children who cannot
- 19 speak.
- You all have my speech in front of
- you. The way I do things, gentlemen, you have
- it, you can read it. I'd rather look you in
- the eye and talk to you, okay?
- Kids are being hurt all throughout
- this state. Not in any particular county.

- 1 It's all over the state. The problem with it
- is, nobody listens. Children are children.
- You heard the story about CJ. She was a
- 4 beautiful young lady. There was also a child
- with cigarette burns up and down her arm. We
- took them to the system. We were told to stay
- out of both of these cases because they were a
- 8 custody issue. This is not a custody issue
- 9 when a child is hurt.
- 10 Then it came to -- That was two
- strikes. I'm a baseball fanatic. Then one day
- two of my children -- my stepchildren told the
- therapist they were molested by a person
- nowhere near our home, so we went to the system
- with strike three. They were moved from our
- home, held incommunicado for 41 days, and then
- sent home and said it's unfounded. So they
- were abused once and then the system abused
- them again. Different ways, yes. An abuse,
- yes. Do we need to correct both things, yes.
- 21 I'm working on that with the Citizens Review
- Panel.
- I'm also working on that, I have
- testified in front of the Licensure Bureau to
- get our caseworkers licensed and more informed.

- I know you're all going to say that's going to
- cost money. You're spending the money already,
- gentlemen. Am I keeping you awake, am I?
- 4 (Speaking to a Representative). I'm sorry, I'm
- 5 rude. When I talk I like people to speak (sic)
- 6 because it takes a lot to get here. I like
- 7 people to pay attention.
- 8 Eighty-five percent of cases that are
- 9 reported are unfounded. Are we to believe that
- 10 85 percent of the children are lying to us when
- they say they're hurt? It can't possibly be.
- 12 I've met that 85 percent. And any member up
- here that would like to come with me one day on
- a petition signing will meet that 85 percent.
- They come out of group homes, they come out of
- mental health places, right downtown
- Wilkes-Barre, Scranton. They walk up to you
- and tell you these stories, they tell you how
- 19 they were hurt and nobody would help them.
- These people are costing us money.
- What I'm proposing is, we switch
- that. We don't let these people get hurt. We
- give them -- I'm not naive enough to believe
- that if you pass House Bill 1625 that it will
- all go away. It's not going to. It's like the

- death penalty, murder is still committed. But
- if we can cut into it just a bit, instead of
- putting the victims in prison for the rest of
- 4 their lives in their minds, we can switch that
- 5 and put the perpetrator in prison. One
- 6 perpetrator in a lifetime will last about
- 7 40 people, so we've got a 40-to-1 ratio, so we
- 8 can switch the money around that way. I don't
- 9 know if you follow me on that, but that's my
- take on things.
- Now, if one perpetrator -- I hear --
- I live in Monroe County, and I want to thank
- Representative Siptroth for doing this for us.
- 14 Three times a week I hear about a molestation
- in our area on the TV or in the newspaper. You
- multiple that three times four you've got 12.
- You take that 12, 52 weeks, it's 144. If we
- could cut it down to only one a week, we're
- down to 48, and it would be a lot less burden
- on our system, our infrastructure and
- everything else, because we have less victims.
- Molestation will last with you
- forever. One petition signing I was in the
- middle of Wilkes-Barre square and an elderly
- gentleman came up to me. He had a little

- baseball cap on that we all wear when we get
- older and stuff, nice shirt, slacks. And he
- said, can I have some blank petitions? I said,
- 4 you can. What do you need them for? He says,
- ⁵ just can I have some? I gave him about seven
- 6 of them.
- 7 A week later I got them back in the
- mail. They were completely filled out by
- 9 people in his neighborhood, with a little note
- on it, thank you, I was molested as a child.
- No one would speak for me.
- There are so many people out there, I
- mean, even our age, that anyone here could have
- been molested as a child. We need to stop
- molesters. It is a crime. It's a
- constitutional right for our children to be
- able to grow up for the pursuit of happiness
- and not be hurt. Just because they're young
- and nobody wants to listen to them and they
- can't speak, they still have rights not to be
- 21 hurt.
- Yes, the perpetrator is going to have
- their rights, and people are going to jump up
- and down and say, you can't do this to them.
- But there's two people in this country that

- can't help themselves, the really elderly and
- the really young. We need to protect both of
- 3 them.
- I had a gentleman come to my office.
- It befuddles me why he showed up. He came with
- 6 a woman who wanted -- had a problem with
- 7 Children and Youth and wanted to discuss it.
- 8 He got there, and she was saying her 13 year
- 9 old, now 14, had a baby. First she told me it
- was somebody at school, a student in the
- school. I was like, that's not too great,
- but -- All of a sudden the conversation
- progressed, and she's telling me her boyfriend
- fathered the child. So I look at this 37 year
- old sitting on the couch and I said, did you
- father this child? I looked him in the eye.
- He said, yes, I did. It took everything I have
- in my body not to destroy this person, because
- 19 I would be in jail right now if I would have
- hit him. And he also thought he still had a
- 21 connection with this child.
- Now, I ask you. Do you know any 13
- year olds that know what love is, what
- commitment is? You talk about money, how much
- money is that going to cost us when this child

- ques on welfare, the mother goes on welfare.
- The father is going to jail like I told him.
- 3 He's in jail right now. What is that costing
- 4 us? Certainly we could have put one
- 5 perpetrator in jail; not had to pay the welfare
- 6 money for the child, the mother, had we had
- 7 protection out there. Had the system known --
- 8 Because he was been accused two other times
- ⁹ prior to this. Had the system reacted in the
- beginning, we wouldn't have had this situation
- 11 now.
- 12 Children and Youth were involved back
- in the other two incidents. We could have
- stopped this person. It took two months before
- they finally locked this guy up. So for two
- months somebody that didn't mind going to bed
- with a 13 year old was walking the streets of
- Pike County--I'm sorry, John--it was Pike
- 19 County.
- I beg you to back this bill. I beg
- you to protect the children. If there's
- anything you need for me to do, I will do it.
- I'm an old rugged Marine. I believe in one
- thing, get it done. Gentlemen, I ask you to
- support the bill. Help us make the streets

- ¹ safer for children.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you,
- Bruce. Members any questions?
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Just one.
- 5 You had testified that one to four percent of
- 6 individuals that are molested are somewhat
- 7 cured in their minds. Those individuals that
- 8 are penalized for child molestation, what's the
- 9 recidivism of that? How often do they do it
- again? Do you have any statistics on that at
- all? Repeat offenders, do you know, that have
- already gone through the system?
- MS. BAYER: Actually I do. I think I
- have -- Nearly 70 percent of child sexual
- offenders have between one and nine victims, at
- least 20 percent of 10 to 20 victims. Is that
- what you're looking for?
- 18 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: I was
- 19 looking more directly to those that --
- MR. BAYER: There's no cure.
- 21 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: You say
- there's no cure, but there may be a mind set.
- 23 I think that's the difference. I think that's
- the reason for penalizing an individual for
- sexual abuse, whether it be of minors or not.

- 1 But there is a mind set of that individual that
- if they commit the crime again, that they will
- go through the system and be penalized.
- MS. BAYER: It's a repetitive
- 5 compulsive disorder, yes.
- MR. BAYER: I think I heard the
- ⁷ statistic I think you're looking for. People
- 8 that do not commit the crime again?
- 9 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Yes, that's
- what I'm looking for.
- MR. BAYER: Out of the ones -- And I
- don't have the exact. I can send you the exact
- quote, but it's about 15 percent of those that
- have been convicted before that do not, so it's
- about 85 percent.
- REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: So there is
- some progress that can be made.
- MR. BAYER: Can be, yes.
- MS. BAYER: With behavior
- modification and a lot of therapy for the
- molesters, yes.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Do you
- know, is that being offered at the present time
- for individuals that are paying the price in
- our prisons?

- MR. BAYER: In Pennsylvania I don't
- believe we have a program for that. I believe
- there's about three or four states around the
- 4 nation that do.
- 5 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: The
- 6 ultimate goal is certainly to protect the
- ⁷ children.
- 8 MR. BAYER: Yes.
- 9 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: The second
- goal is to prevent individuals from committing
- the same crime again, and that's what we need
- to look at here in the State of Pennsylvania so
- that we can keep our costs considerably less.
- MS. BAYER: On top of that you have
- to consider the fact that mental health
- hospitals around the state have been closing
- down. So those services that would have been
- there for child abuses are not there now.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: And that's
- an institution, but there still can be the
- counseling.
- MS. BAYER: Right, through private
- counseling.
- REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Private
- counseling can go along with it, and that can

- be had in a correctional facility as well as in
- ² a mental health institution.
- MS. BAYER: Yes.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: That's all.
- 5 Thank you very much. I appreciate you
- traveling to Harrisburg to testify.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you,
- 8 John. I think Representative Stevenson has a
- ⁹ question.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you
- for your testimony today. If I understand your
- testimony correctly, what you're saying is that
- these people are -- the molesters there's no
- cure for that, so the legislation proposed
- would not be a deterrent to someone. It would
- just be to get them off the streets and keep
- them away from people rather than --
- By increasing the strength of the
- law, you don't see that as a deterrent to --
- MR. BAYER: Yes, I do. I do see it
- 21 as a deterrent.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Explain
- that to me, because if they cannot be cured,
- how do they -- Even though they know the law is
- stronger, I think you testified a --

- MR. BAYER: A crook will always --
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Let me
- finish my question, please. If they cannot be
- changed, their personality, by increasing the
- strength of the law, there are many people who,
- for instance now, commit murders and other
- 7 crimes, even though they know there's a death
- 8 penalty for it, they somehow believe that that
- 9 penalty will never apply to them or they will
- never get caught, whatever it might be. By
- strengthening the law it doesn't necessarily
- provide a deterrent.
- You're saying in this case, even
- though they cannot be changed, and this is a
- part of their personality forever, they can be
- deterred by this law. Can you explain that to
- me, please?
- MR. BAYER: Yes. A crook will always
- be a crook, a murderer will always be a
- 20 murderer. The deterrence of the crime is the
- sentence. Right now it's a joke out there.
- I'll tell you what angered me the most.
- I don't know if anybody from Dauphin
- County is here. A D.A. -- I'm sorry. This
- isn't against you. I was sitting in a meeting

- with the POCC and we brought this bill up. The
- D.A. from Dauphin County was sitting in the
- room. He said, we want to keep this as a
- 4 misdemeanor so we can plea bargain it down.
- 5 Out there right now it is a joke,
- 6 because if you get caught for child molestation
- ⁷ it will come down to a misdemeanor. We had a
- gudge in Monroe County molested his daughter in
- 9 the middle of a Hillary Duff concert right in
- the front row. He got sworn in, because why?
- 11 It was a misdemeanor. He would have never
- became a sworn-in judge. He wouldn't have been
- paid \$127,000 a year had it been a stronger
- crime. He might not have even done what he did
- publicly.
- It is a deterrent if you know that
- you're going away to jail for a while. It is a
- deterrent just like any other -- That's why we
- have laws. All laws are a deterrent. Those
- that might be on the borderline, especially as
- children, that might molest other children, I
- don't want to go to jail. So yes, I believe
- that it's a deterrent.
- REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you.
- Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

- 1 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Chairman
- ² Marsico.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you very
- 4 much. Thanks for your presentation today. It
- was very well done, and thank you for being
- 6 here. I guess a number of things.
- You referred to the D.A. of Dauphin
- 8 County, and that case that was plea bargained
- 9 you said, right?
- MR. BAYER: No. They wanted to keep
- the law as a misdemeanor so that it could be
- 12 plea bargained down.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Do you know the
- specifics of that case?
- MR. BAYER: It wasn't a case. The
- statement was, they like it as a misdemeanor so
- that they can plea bargain these things down.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Do you know who
- ¹⁹ made that statement? Was that the Assistant
- D.A.; do you know?
- MR. BAYER: He's a good-looking guy.
- I can get his name.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: I know who you
- 24 mean.
- MR. BAYER: Okay. There you go.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: That same D.A.
- actually came to me a few years ago where we
- increased the sentencing for raping a child
- 4 under 13 with bodily injury, that had bodily
- injury, and that sentence was -- It was
- 6 actually a mandatory sentence that was passed
- in the law probably, I'd say five or six years
- 8 ago, something like that. I find it hard to
- 9 believe that that same D.A. would make that
- kind of a statement. If he did, we'll
- certainly have a conversation with him.
- MR. BAYER: I would have (sic) the
- conversation, and there were about how many
- people in the room (looking at Ms. Bayer)?
- There had to be 15 of us in the room.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Anyway, we'll do
- some further research into that. Like I said
- before, that same D.A. came to us and we passed
- a law several years ago creating a mandatory
- sentence.
- MR. BAYER: He was a good-looking guy
- 22 out of there.
- CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Yeah, I know which
- one you mean.
- MR. BAYER: Our meeting ended because

- him and I got into a heated exchange and they
- said, you guys finish this outside.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Anyway, I thank
- 4 you for coming here today, and certainly
- 5 looking to supporting this maybe with some
- 6 modifications or things like that. Thank you,
- ⁷ Mr. Chairman.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, Mr.
- 9 Chairman. Thank you both for your testimony.
- We appreciate it.
- MR. BAYER: Thank you, gentlemen, and
- 12 lady.
- Oh, one last thing, I'm sorry. In
- here is a sample of what voters in Pennsylvania
- believe. These are petitions. We have
- approximately twenty-five to 30,000 signatures
- on petitions asking that this law be tightened.
- That's just out of the Wilkes-Barre, Scranton
- and Monroe County.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Did you want
- to leave those with us?
- MS. BAYER: Yes, we do.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll make
- them part of the record that those petitions
- were received. Is that all of the petitions?

Page 34 MR. BAYER: No. This is just a 2 sampling. REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: So it's clear on the record it's a sampling. 5 MR. BAYER: The Secretary of State is on there and our Commission has signed it. 7 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. Thank you both for your testimony. appreciate it. 10 Next we'll hear from Mark Bergstrom, 11 Executive Director of the Pennsylvania 12 Commission on Sentencing. 13 MR. TYLER: While Mark is getting set 14 up, if I can for the committee members, 15 obviously, with all the attention on 16 corrections, the sentencing commission has been 17 very busy. I want to note for all of you that 18 Mark, even though he's working a quadrillion hours for the commission, he's always been 20 there and answered every question presented to 21 him within 24 hours. He's been very helpful 22 and we appreciate his hard work. 23 MR. BERGSTROM: Good morning,

- 24 Chairman Caltagirone, Chairman Marsico, and
- 25 members of the House Judiciary Committee. I'm

- 1 Mark Bergstrom, Executive Director of the
- Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing. Thank
- you for providing this opportunity to comment
- 4 very briefly on the offense of indecent assault
- 5 and the amendments proposed in House Bill 1625.
- I believe the testimony of Mr. and
- ⁷ Mrs. Bayer raise important concerns about
- 8 sexual offenses against children. As you may
- be aware, the indecent assault statute, this
- was substantially amended during the 1995
- special session on crime as a result of a
- comprehensive rewriting of sexual offenses,
- 13 Chapter 31 of Title 18. Those discussions that
- led to that comprehensive rewriting included
- the District Attorneys Association, Law
- 16 Enforcement, PA Coalition Against Rape, and
- many, many other groups.
- The effort was to rewrite the
- 19 elements of sexual offenses so there would be a
- 20 continuum of offenses starting with very
- serious penalties for very serious offenses,
- and having sort of a gradation of offenses down
- to what would include some misdemeanor offenses
- so it was a very thoughtful and comprehensive
- 25 process in 2005 -- I'm sorry, 1995.

- 1 As a result of those amendments,
- indecent assault was generally classified as a
- misdemeanor of the second degree, with one
- exception being, indecent assault of less than
- 5 13 years of age which is classified as an M1.
- In 2005 -- And I believe Chairman
- ⁷ Marsico was referring to this. In 2005 there
- were other changes in legislation, including
- the increasing of the statutory maximum for
- rape and IDSI of a victim under 13. But at
- that time the indecent assault statute was also
- amended, resulting in the reclassification of
- 13 numerous sections.
- I won't go into a lot of detail, but,
- in effect, indecent assault without consent and
- indecent assault when the victim is less than
- 17 16 years of age, with that four-year difference
- remained classified as M2's. Most other
- indecent assaults, which included forceful
- compulsion or victim impairment, were increased
- to M1's. And then certain circumstances
- involving victims under 13 were increased to a
- felony 3.
- Based on those amendments in the
- statute, the Commission provided three levels

- of recommendations in the sentencing
- quidelines: offense gravity score 4 for M2
- 3 convictions, 5 for M1's, and 6 for F3's. The
- details are provided in the testimony.
- 5 House Bill 1625, if adopted, would
- 6 increase the grading of an offense under
- 7 Sections (a) (1) without consent, from a
- misdemeanor 2 to a misdemeanor 1, so the
- 9 maximum sentence would increase from two years
- to five years. It would increase the grading
- of an offense under Subsection (a) (7) where the
- victim is under 13 years of age and there's no
- aggravating factors from an M1 to an F3. So
- all offenses involving victims under 13 would
- be felony 3's, which has a maximum sentence of
- seven years, and would increase the grading of
- offenses under Section (a)(8), the victim under
- 18 16 years of age, from an M2 to an F3. That
- would be the most substantial change. It would
- 20 be an increase from the maximum sentence of two
- years to a maximum sentence of seven years.
- Only the proposed change to the
- grading of Subsection (a) (8) would trigger an
- immediate change in sentencing guidelines, with
- an increase from M2 to F3 automatically

- increase the offense gravity score assignment
- 2 from a 4 to a 5.
- Aside from sentencing, this bill
- 4 would also amend the Juvenile Act to include
- 5 all convictions for indecent assault by a
- 6 parent under the definition of aggravated
- 7 circumstances in matters relating to dependent
- 8 children.
- 9 I defer to the Juvenile Court Judges
- 10 Commission for a recommendation regarding
- changes to the Juvenile Act, but it does appear
- that the present Juvenile Act does not include
- the felony 3, indecent assault, so it may be a
- very worthwhile issue to consider.
- During calendar year 2008, 1,148
- sentences were reported to the Commission for
- convictions under the indecent assault statute,
- and there are attachments with the testimony
- that provides some details. Those 1,148
- sentences represented 438 unique offenders.
- Of the 25 individuals convicted under
- Subsection (a) (8), the section that deals with
- the victim under 16 years of age, two of those
- individuals received a state prison sentence
- with an average minimum sentence of one year,

- 1 12 months, which would be under the statute the
- longest sentence possible for an M2. Eleven
- received a county jail sentence, with an
- 4 average minimum sentence of 4 point 1 months,
- and 12 received a probation sentence with an
- 6 average term of 21 point 9 months.
- 7 Based on a simulation of sentences
- 8 imposed for similar offenses, the changes
- 9 proposed in House Bill 1625 would increase the
- number of admissions to state prison from two
- to seven; it would increase the average minimum
- sentence for those presently receiving a
- Department of Corrections' sentence from 12
- months to 15 point 2 months. The result would
- 15 be a need for 2,505 additional bed days, or
- approximately seven additional state prison
- beds each year.
- While this is a relatively small
- impact, I think it is important to note that
- changes to the grade of one offense or one
- subsection statute may have ripple effects
- across other statutes. It may be appropriate
- and necessary to change the grading of indecent
- assault as recommended today, but it should be
- done as part of a broader process.

- A decision to apply the penalties for
- indecent assault involving a victim less than
- 3 13 years of age to an incident involving a
- 4 victim less than 16 years of age could bring
- into question the age-based provisions of rape
- and IDSI, as well as the age-based mandatory
- ⁷ sentencing statutes where we have separate
- penalties for those under 13 years of age.
- 9 As noted in a recent report submitted
- to this committee by law students of the
- University of Pennsylvania, there may be a need
- for a comprehensive review of the
- classifications of offenses in Pennsylvania,
- and as part of such a review should address any
- changes to the assignment of grades for
- indecent assault and other Chapter 31 sexual
- offenses.
- I hope this information is helpful as
- 19 you review this legislation. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Any there any
- questions from the panel? Yes.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you
- for your time today and your testimony. I'd
- 24 liked to focus on the issue mentioned toward
- the end of your testimony about how the ripple

- 1 effect might affect other sentencing
- ² quidelines.
- We heard a month or so ago from a
- 4 professor and students from the University of
- 5 Pennsylvania that did the study on sentencing
- 6 quidelines and penalties across the board in
- Pennsylvania, and pointed out a number of areas
- 8 in our sentencing code which could put in front
- 9 of us a number of inequities that were there in
- the sentencing guidelines.
- 11 Could you comment a little more on
- that and how this legislation, what effect that
- would have on this? And certainly, legislation
- 14 I think we all understand the need for, but
- what are the unintended consequences, if you
- preface it that way.
- MR. BERGSTROM: Sure. I mentioned at
- the start of my testimony some of the
- legislation enacted during the special session
- on crime in 1995. I guess I see that as a best
- 21 practice in enacting legislation because it
- took all of the chapter that dealt with sexual
- offenses, and in a comprehensive way with all
- of the people at the table really rebuilt that
- so that there would be this continuum.

- One of the things that was mentioned
- earlier this morning in the discussions here
- was, you know, a district attorney saying that
- 4 maybe it's helpful to have misdemeanor offenses
- 5 available under this sexual offense statutes.
- One of the things that is really important to
- 7 consider is the difficult job of law
- 8 enforcement and of prosecutors in prosecuting
- 9 these cases. One of the very difficult things
- is when you have a very young victim trying to
- qo to trial and have that victim testify and
- gain a conviction.
- So, there are situations where I
- think law enforcement prosecution are doing
- whatever they can to obtain justice in a case,
- recognizing that it's not a perfect system and
- it's very difficult to prove some things at
- trial, especially with very young victims.
- I use that as an example, because I
- think during the 1995 special session when
- there were efforts to codify or re-codify the
- sexual offense statutes, there was this sense
- of this continuum; trying to make sure the
- elements of crime were there and they linked to
- the grading of the offense. Over time there

- have been increases in some of those grades.
- What I think has not been so good of
- a practice is sort of an ad hoc increase of
- 4 offenses, grading of offenses, redefining of
- offenses that sort of take us away from the
- 6 model penal code, but also sort of, sometime
- break down sort of this comprehensive view or
- 8 structure for sentencing. I think that's what
- ⁹ the students at Penn were getting to.
- And I don't think it was so much the
- quidelines, but the statutory framework that we
- have; that over time when you're sort of nickel
- and diming, or making these changes, the change
- seems totally appropriate at that time for that
- offense, but it doesn't quite fit into the
- overall structure. I think they were
- recommending that there be sort of this review
- of how everything stands out. And maybe some
- principles about what type of offenses should
- we have four-year statutory maxes, or 20 year
- or 10, or so forth. I think that was a really
- important point, a good take away from their
- report.
- 24 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: I think
- they pointed out very well how -- And I don't

- 1 remember specific examples, but how some very
- serious crimes, perhaps a lower penalty than
- some of a less serious, perhaps, in terms of
- 4 how they were looked at. That came about I
- 5 guess in our sentencing code by making changes
- based on specific issue that might have come up
- 7 at a specific time. But it set up a process of
- 8 creating inequities throughout the penal code.
- ⁹ Are you recommending that we go back
- to that University of Pennsylvania study and
- 11 look at the whole code? Is that what --
- MR. BERGSTROM: I think that would be
- really helpful now. It's a very difficult
- budget time and staffing time. I think that's
- a really worthwhile consideration.
- I have some differences with some of
- the specifics in the report, but I think the
- general theme of the report is correct; that to
- some degree we don't have standards in place
- that we use when assigning grades to offenses.
- I teach some course at Penn State,
- and one of things we talk about is the
- difference between assigning a grade to an
- offense, then assigning guidelines or mandatory
- minimums. A grade is usually assigned based on

- what we think is the most serious offense
- intended by the legislature to be covered by
- that so you have enough latitude to give the
- 4 appropriate punishment for the worst kind of
- 5 behavior under that statute.
- I think sometimes when we're writing
- ⁷ statutes, the elements of the crime we put in
- there are sometimes very, sort of broad, and
- 9 maybe unclear. And it sort of pushes the point
- of saying, well, there is this like worst case
- scenario that I can envision, and because of
- that scenario we want to make this a felony 1
- offense, but the bulk of that statute might be
- used to deal with people that are in the
- mid-range. Maybe a misdemeanor 1 would be
- ¹⁶ appropriate.
- Part of the process is sort of
- weeding that out, trying to figure that out.
- But part of it is also trying to refine some of
- the language that is used, the elements of the
- crime, so that we're much more specific about
- what's intended and then have the grade of the
- offense linked to that. I think that's where
- it gets maybe a little bit difficult. When we
- are doing it on an ad hoc basis, we're sort of

- trying to put in everything that addresses the
- issue and without looking at other statutes
- 3 that might be impacted by that.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE STEVENSON: Thank you
- 5 very much and thanks for your testimony. Thank
- 6 you, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you,
- 8 John.
- 9 Mark, I think one of the things have
- been pointed out, and I have been thinking on
- this since the Penn law students gave us the
- overview that they did, the area that they had
- looked at. I do think that -- I know with
- budget constraints and everything that's been
- going on up here financially, there needs to be
- some type of comprehensive review of the
- classification of offenses, because, we react
- to situations and we put the laws on the book
- to different statutes, especially on the penal
- code.
- The Representative was actually on
- target with what he's saying because, it varies
- across the board. Do you remember the one
- comment that was made about a date rape; some
- kind of a knock-out brawl, or whatever they

- call it, that were used, regular rape. I'm
- sitting here thinking, rape is rape;
- not so. There's a different grade of the
- offense, and it's evidently lower if some kind
- of narcotic, or whatever, is used as compared
- 6 to a rape without one. I'm thinking, what have
- ⁷ we done?
- 8 These are the kinds of things, all
- good intentions meant, with legislation that we
- deal with. This is where you start splitting
- the hair. It's a rush to judgment that you
- jump on these things, and you do them without
- realizing the unintended consequences later on
- as to what was meant by that legislation and
- how it's applied and the grading of these
- offenses. That gets to be very hairy, because
- the words do mean a lot and you've got to be
- very, very specific when you're crafting
- 19 legislation no matter what it is that we do up
- here.
- The review of a lot of the
- legislation that we've done over all these
- years, they're saying, I think Washington, they
- have checks and balances on legislation that
- they deal with down there. Pennsylvania

- doesn't have that kind of check and balance.
- Do you want to expand on that?
- MR. BERGSTROM: Again, I think the
- 4 point of the Penn report was a good point,
- which is, that there could be more order
- 6 brought to the whole process.
- 7 It's not that there aren't examples
- of very thoughtful legislation. It's just,
- 9 sometimes it's a bit disconnected from other
- parts of the statute. I think to the degree
- that there's the ability, I think it makes all
- the sense in the world for this committee and
- others to figure out a way to do that kind of
- review involving Legislative Reference Bureau,
- perhaps the Commission and others to, really,
- maybe take a chapter at a time, or all of
- Title 18 and try to sort of look at that, but
- then also look at other related things.
- For instance, all the drugs offenses
- are in Title 35. There should be some kind of
- relationship between the penalties that we talk
- about for drugs and the penalties we talk about
- for other crimes. So, looking at all of this
- and trying to sort of figure out some
- 25 principles that would guide this. That's

- something I think the committee could do in
- trying to establish what are sort of the
- guiding principles when we want to use state
- 4 prison versus county jail versus community
- options. That could help to sort of guide what
- 6 some of the grades are assigned to these
- ⁷ offenses.
- 8 One other thing that comes to mind
- 9 is, because of the reform legislation of 2008,
- and some of the new duties of the commission,
- and some legislation that's out there this
- session, I think there's a real move in
- sentencing to start to look at public safety
- differently. We used to always think in terms
- of more is better in terms of penalties; that
- if it seems like a little bit more serious
- offense, then the way to deal with it is give a
- higher penalty or a longer period of
- 19 incarceration. And there's part of that. It's
- important to think in terms of holding someone
- accountable and more serious does equate to
- more serious penalties.
- But, on the other hand, when you're
- thinking about public safety, the real focus is
- on, how are you going to keep people from

- re-offending? How do you use the opportunity
- of arresting and convicting someone and
- 3 sentencing them to improve the chances that
- 4 that's not going to happen down the road?
- 5 That's certainly true of sex offenses, but it's
- true for all offenses.
- 7 I think the real focus is try to
- 8 identify those risk factors and other things
- 9 like that and make sure they're being
- considering by courts at sentencing. We went
- through some really bad experiences with
- parole, and I think the parole board is doing
- an incredible job under a lot of pressure with
- 14 a lot of cases.
- But you look at some of the cases
- that went wrong, and you look back at the
- sentencing and you say, why did the person get
- such a short sentence? You look at the case,
- what you find is, well, the judge did probably
- what's right based on the information the judge
- had, but maybe the judge should have had more
- information, or maybe some of the information
- regarding the risk of the offender. The person
- had 60 prior arrests, but no convictions, so
- the person is not seeing as having a prior

- 1 record because there's no convictions.
- Well, that's something that maybe we
- 3 should look at as part of the reform efforts
- we're doing; try to figure out how you take
- into account the risk of a person re-offending
- 6 and either build that into sentencing and
- parole, but also build that into what kind of
- 8 services you're going to try to link to that
- 9 person to reduce bad outcomes. I think all
- these things are related.
- 11 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: I couldn't
- agree with you more. Just for the benefit of
- the members, we passed and we'll continue to
- pass longer laws and this could be one of them.
- But as was testified to earlier, these people
- do come out and come back into society, as do
- all of those except for lifers. Then you have
- to say, how do you modify that behavior while
- we have them in our custody, and what kind of
- treatment modalities can be implemented to try
- to change that behavior, if it can be changed?
- You hold that fifty some thousand,
- God knows how many are on probation, could be
- two to 300,000 that have been put out into
- society again. A percentage that recommit

- whatever type of crimes and come back in. You
- think we have a revolving door going on here
- unless we do something. I don't know what that
- something is right now to change what we're
- 5 doing.
- You know, repeat offender, repeat
- offender, repeat offender, and you think to
- 8 yourself, it isn't working. We've got to get
- 9 smart, and the expense involved by the way. We
- can lock a lot of people up, God knows we are.
- Sometimes I think we're locking the wrong
- people up, I mean, what was testified to here
- today, with what was said here.
- Other offenses, you begin to wonder
- that is valuable prison space and it's very,
- very costly. Who are we putting in there and
- for what and for what period of time, both at
- the county level and the state level? I just
- wish sometimes we could take a pause and review
- what we're doing, because the costs are just
- eating us alive both at the county level and at
- the state level.
- I share your concerns. We can pass
- all these different mandatories, trust me, and
- we do. But I keep stepping back from it and

- saying, are we making a difference? Because it
- was testified to, these people come out and
- they re-offend. They come out and they
- 4 re-offend. Something is wrong.
- MR. BERGSTROM: Mr. Chairman, I was
- 6 just going to say that I think in sentencing
- and in parole decision making as well, it's
- 8 really this sort of resorting of what we do,
- 9 Because there are some offenders we should be
- incarcerating longer. There are serious and
- violent and repeat offenders that we should
- increase incarceration for, because some of the
- only ways to deal effectively with them is
- incapacitation. Sometimes that's to get them
- to sort of past an aging period, and sometimes
- it's just because they're serious violent
- offenders that aren't going to change. That's
- why we have state prisons.
- I think part of sorting is
- identifying those people that really do require
- incarceration, and maybe long periods of
- incarceration, and making sure that happens.
- But, you also need the resources to deal with
- people that maybe incarceration isn't the best
- fit. Maybe it's having comprehensive drug and

- alcohol treatment. Maybe it's other kinds of
- things, but we have to do a better job of
- ³ identifying those people.
- Because what we found, and this was
- through the H.R. 12 study was, sometimes it
- feels good to lock away drug dealers. But if
- you're talking about especially a lower-level
- 9 person who sells drug to supports their habit,
- who is drug dependent, what we find is that
- using prison as sort of the first step in the
- process actually increases the chances of that
- person being re-arrested. Using drug
- treatment, comprehensive drug treatment,
- inpatient treatment, a lot of supervision and
- other things can actually end up with better
- outcomes.
- So, it's not popular to say, we want
- to provide treatment to someone who sold drugs
- to someone else, but I think it's that kind
- of -- sorted of looking at the offender,
- figuring out the risk level, figuring out
- what's best to do is important.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Just like this
- legislation we're talking about, so 1500, 2000
- that's another prison. We're building four

- more at the cost of 800 million. The budget
- this year is going to be close to two billion,
- 3 seven point some percent increase.
- When those prisons come on-line, by
- the way, it's another 15 million to operate
- them. There are programs that we know we're
- not going to be able to fund. We're having a
- 8 problem with the legislative budget let alone
- the court budget, which is one-half of one
- percent. The Governor gets close to 99
- percent, 98 or 99 percent, and they whack the
- legislature, for our brothers and sisters here,
- and the courts, and we get the smallest,
- smallest piece of the pie; and yet, they're
- asking for humongous increases in welfare,
- education, corrections, probation and parole.
- I look at this and I say, what kind
- of return are we getting on that money as
- investment to help with jobs, economic
- development, maybe some tax reductions for our
- businesses so they can expand and hire more
- people or keep them in the state that they
- won't leave? These are all tough, tough
- decisions.
- This committee is only one of 23

- committees. We deal with some really meaty
- issues as far as incarcerating people, putting
- people in prison. But I agree with you, Mark,
- 4 we ought to get smarter who we are
- incarcerating, and whether or not we should be
- 6 dealing with these issues a little bit
- ⁷ differently.
- You've made some good recommendations
- and I've talked to the D.A. Association about
- some of those recommendations to see if we can
- 11 get some wiggle room to start to get some of
- this stuff under consideration. Being an
- election year, it's going to be kind of
- different and hard to sell. I don't want to
- quit because I think we need to look at what we
- are doing differently. That's my spiel.
- 17 Chairman Marsico.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you, Mr.
- 19 Chairman. Thanks very much for your testimony.
- I certainly agree with having -- we
- need a comprehensive review of the sentencing
- structure. We haven't had a chance to talk
- about this, but our Subcommittee on Courts,
- I'm not quite sure what they would be -- going
- to instruct them to perhaps have some

- 1 meetings --
- 2 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Sure.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: -- with Mark and
- others that would come up with some
- 5 recommendations.
- MR. BERGSTROM: Sure. We'd be very
- ⁷ happy to.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Are you hearing
- 9 anything from the Senate? Is the Senate doing
- anything with this at all?
- MR. BERGSTROM: With this specific
- bill or this issue generally on sentencing?
- 13 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Both, the bill and
- also sentencing.
- MR. BERGSTROM: I'm not familiar with
- anything on this specific bill. I know that
- Senator Greenleaf has introduced legislation
- and I believe is moving in the Senate, Senate
- Bill 1145, that would empower the commission to
- build into sentencing guidelines consideration
- of risk and need. It's moving in that
- direction.
- As you know, the reform legislation
- in 2008 gave the commission responsibility for
- developing parole guidelines for consideration

- by both judges at the county level and the
- parole board at state level. I think one of
- the purposes there was to better coordinate
- 4 sentencing and parole-decision making.
- 5 One of the things the parole board
- has traditionally done is really focus on risk.
- 7 They have looked at making decisions on release
- 8 or not, and what kind of conditions and
- 9 supervision based on risk. I think we have to
- bring at least some of those risk factors up to
- the sentencing part. I think Chairman
- 12 Greenleaf's bill would move us ahead in that
- 13 area.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Is there any
- statistics that would give us how many -- or
- just incarcerated in our state prisons by
- mandatory sentencing?
- MR. BERGSTROM: Yes. We just
- 19 completed --
- 20 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Are they mandated
- there and what the crimes are?
- MR. BERGSTROM: Sure. We just
- completed a report submitted last fall to the
- House under House Resolution 12. In that we
- did try to identify some of those.

- One thing that's a little tricky, as
- you know, in Pennsylvania many of a mandatories
- ³ we have provide prosecutorial notice. So,
- mandatory only applies if the D.A. gives
- 5 notice. D.A.'s have to negotiate a lot of
- 6 things. Sometime the negotiation of the
- 7 mandatory is sort of blended in with the
- negotiation on the conviction offense itself.
- 9 So, it's not a nice clean category of people
- that are there under the mandatory.
- What we did for the purpose of the
- study was to identify people who received a
- sentence that was equal to or greater than
- mandatory, and we can provide that back to you
- 15 from the report.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: I remember that
- report. I just wanted to get that out to the
- public.
- MR. BERGSTROM: Absolutely.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Many of those
- mandatory sentences are hard core criminals,
- 22 correct?
- MR. BERGSTROM: Yes, absolutely.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Last three or four
- months the mandatory sentencing those

- 1 responsible, just sentencing itself is taking a
- big hit. That mandatory sentencing is
- responsible for prison overcrowding, et cetera.
- But if you look at some of the mandatory
- 5 sentences for those hard core criminals, they
- 6 deserve to be in prison for a long time.
- 7 MR. BERGSTROM: Yes, I agree with
- 8 you, especially on the violent offense side. I
- 9 think there could be some work done in that
- area, but generally speaking, for violent
- offenders I think the mandatory sentence is a
- useful tool.
- Where the commission raised some
- issues and concerns were, especially on the
- drug side, where there could be a little bit
- different separation of -- based on risk and so
- effort. Also what we found that was a little
- disturbing was, especially with certain
- mandatories like this, school zone mandatory,
- there were practices that varied dramatically
- from county to county and that was one of the
- 22 concerns that was raised.
- CHAIRMAN MARSICO: With mandatory
- sentencing, you mentioned this before, that the
- prosecutors do have some discretion.

House Bill 1625 Page 61 MR. BERGSTROM: Absolutely. 2 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: So that should be 3 brought up by a committee as well. 4 MR. BERGSTROM: Absolutely, they do 5 have the discretion. But when we were just looking at the time actual sentence imposed, 7 and particularly for the school zone, the application of that mandatory we found dramatic differences from county to county that were 10 really hard to understand. 11 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: One of the 12 mandatories for drug dealers, I authored 13 legislation a number of years ago that would 14 create a mandatory for heroin dealers based on 15 the weight of the grams. Before that was in, 16 that was a fact, the one drug dealer actually 17 was sentenced to, I think it was nine months in 18 jail, where he left a young lady in a creek 19 bed, overdosed. That was one instance in 20 Pennsylvania. So that, because of the amount 21 sold, that triggered a mandatory. Those were 22 the folks we are going after.

- 23 MR. BERGSTROM: Absolutely. We heard
- 24 that from members of this chamber and the
- 25 Senate when we were doing the study, that the

- 1 real focus or the king pins or those that are
- engaged in with guns and other things like
- that, very violent and dangerous offenders.
- 4 On the heroin the threshold was
- 5 reduced from two grams down to one gram.
- 6 That's in place.
- As I said, I think it's a useful
- 8 tool. We do have concerns about, on the one
- 9 hand, the General Assembly created a lot of
- very useful sentencing programs like state IP
- and county IP. And on the other hand, some of
- the offenders that can benefit most from that,
- especially the lower thresholds would be the
- drug trafficking offender. So, trying to find
- some middle ground there I think would be
- helpful in terms of better outcomes and good
- use of resources.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you very
- much. Once again, Mr. Chairman, would it be
- possible to have the Subcommittee on Courts
- 21 perhaps take this and start moving with it?
- 22 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: We'll have a
- meeting with our chairs and discuss this. Good
- suggestion.
- Representative Siptroth.

Page 63 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Thank you 2 very much, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to remind folks that we don't want to lose focus necessarily on this 5 bill. I do appreciate the dialogue with the overall sentencing. I think as we move forward 7 with overall sentencing, that this particular sexual offense, and especially indecent assault on our children, because they're just as 10 scarred as someone that receives a bullet to 11 the shoulder, or whatever. I think that 12 certainly needs to be taken into consideration, 13 and there are other options to incarceration. 14 I think we really need to look at some of those 15 options. 16 MR. BERGSTROM: Sure. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SIPTROTH: Thank you 18 very much. Thank you everyone for attending. 19 CHAIRMAN CALTAGIRONE: Thank you. 20 We'll adjourn the hearing. 21 (At 11:15 a.m., the public hearing 22 concluded). 23 24 25

```
Page 64
                  CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
              I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary
 4
     Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and
     for the County of York, Commonwealth of
 6
     Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing
 7
     is a true and accurate transcript of my
     stenotype notes taken by me and subsequently
     reduced to computer printout under my
10
     supervision, and that this copy is a correct
11
     record of the same.
12
              This certification does not apply to
13
     any reproduction of the same by any means
14
     unless under my direct control and/or
15
     supervision.
16
              Dated this 5th day of March, 2010.
17
18
19
20
                      Karen J. Meister - Reporter
                      Notary Public
21
     My commission
     expires 10/19/10
22
23
24
25
```