1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA	
2	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	
3	HOUSE COMMERCE COMMITTEE	
4		
5	MON VALLEY CAREER & TECHNOLOGY CENTER	
6	5 GUTTMAN BOULEVARD	
7	CHARLEROI, PENNSYLVANIA	
8		
9		
10	THURSDAY, APRIL 8, 2010	
11	PUBLIC HEARING - NEIGHBORHOOD BLIGHT	
12		
13	BEFORE:	
14	Representative Peter J. Daley, Majority Chairman	
15	Representative John Evans	
16	Representative R. Ted Harhai	
17	Robb Miller, Majority Executive Director	
18	Destiny Zeiders, Democrat Analyst	
19	Sandy Altland, Committee Legislative Assistant	
20	Ron Luci	
21	Donna Nicholson	
22	Barbara Dearolph	
23		
24		
25	Reported by: Lisa Ann Bauer, RPR, CRR, CMRS	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
<u>NAME</u>	PAGE
John Lignelli	5
Mark Alterici	13
Lee Hall	20
Bracken Burns	25
Doug Van Haitsma	53
Joanna Deming	6 4
Bethany Davidson	7 5
Rosemary Urick	85
	INDEX  NAME  John Lignelli  Mark Alterici  Lee Hall  Bracken Burns  Doug Van Haitsma  Joanna Deming  Bethany Davidson

- - - -

PROCEEDINGS

(1:07 o'clock p.m.)

CHAIRMAN DALEY: This House Commerce Committee will come to order.

2.0

This is the second hearing we had today at this site. The first House Commerce Committee was on economic development. That was the fifth hearing that I've held around the state as the Democratic Majority Chairman. We've been to Beaver Valley, we've been to Lawrence County, Windber, Williamsport, Philadelphia, and then here. Our next hearing is on April 22<sup>nd</sup> in Philadelphia again. We're going to be probably heading up into the Poconos. We had a hearing that was canceled due to that big snowstorm we had.

We're trying to reevaluate, very succinctly, the way we do economic development in Pennsylvania.

That was the purpose of that hearing, and we're going to come up with some recommendations. If you were here this morning, we had some really great input. If you weren't, you'll be able to get it online. What our committee is doing is we're developing a database on all this information. Anybody that provides written testimony, once we have our stenographic record, we're going to be able to provide that online off of our website in Harrisburg so everybody can

- - - -

2.0

access everything that anyone has said at any of these hearings, including this one today.

This is the first hearing of its kind in Pennsylvania. The purpose of this hearing is to discuss neighborhood blight, and we've been working on some legislation, and I know Chris Haines and I have talked about the need for this type of legislation. Chris, of course, is actually from the Valley.

Do you live in the Valley, Chris?

MS. HAINES: I live in Pittsburgh.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: You're from here now.

And it's an issue that, as local elected officials -I know that as a former local elected official, that
is one of the major problems that we face and how
acute it has become in the last several years.

We have put together a panel of individuals, local government and county government officials here, as well as regional and statewide perspective.

So our local government group, we have Mark
Alterici, who is the president of the Charleroi
Borough Council, Mayor John Lignelli from Donora,
Mayor Lee Hall from North Charleroi, and we have
Bracken Burns also on our list as a county
commissioner, and Ed Lyons, who is the mayor of North
Belle Vernon.

- - - -

2.0

And, Ed, the gentleman you have with you?

MR. LYONS: John Garber. He is our code enforcement officer.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We have a couple code enforcement officers here today. California, my home town, doesn't have one right now.

How do you want to do this? Chubby, you said that you wanted to go?

MR. LIGNELLI: No, that's fine. I can wait for Mark.

MR. ALTERICI: You're more than welcome to go first. You have seniority on ME. You're more than welcome to go first. Go ahead.

MR. LIGNELLI: First of all, I would like to welcome the people that came here from Harrisburg. I want to welcome you to the garden spot of the state of Pennsylvania. But I think that this would have been more beneficial if we would have taken you people out to visit some of these sites that we are talking about. There are some of them that's in very bad shape. But then we will try to put our point across and I will also, at the conclusion, try to make a recommendation to see what we can do about it.

First of all, Donora has a serious problem with the sales of homes and properties which are sold

- - - -

2.0

through the free-and-clear sales known as the repository sale. Most of these sales are executed over the internet and people buying these properties are buying them sight unseen. Donora has people from all over the country buying these properties in the borough with the expectation that the property will be of a substantial value due to the recent construction of casinos in our area, and that is far from being the truth.

Once the property is purchased, the Borough of Donora has a difficult time contacting the owners concerning building code enforcement, because once they buy them and there is a violation, then we try to contact them. There is no way in the world that you can get ahold of them.

After the new owners visit the repository sale property, they realize that the value of the property is very minimal and it is in a state of being condemned. They refuse to repair the newly purchased property and default on the property tax obligations that they have. After a few years of delinquent property taxes, the property is once again put up for repository sale and the entire circle repeats itself when the next unwary buyer purchases the same property over the internet.

- - - -

I would like to see legislation passed that would curtail these practices.

2.0

I agree that the new property owner should be given a reasonable amount of time to bring their properties up to Pennsylvania's building and housing codes, but after allowing a reasonable amount of time, these properties only slide into a deeper state of disrepair. If the owner ignores their legal obligation to bring the property up to code, then they should not be allowed to continue this practice of purchasing repository sales — because once they buy and then they do not meet their obligation, they should not be allowed to purchase property anywhere else in the state of Pennsylvania again, and that would protect us — abandoning their new acquisitions, and forfeiting them for delinquent taxes so the next repository sale cycle may begin.

Numerous times the Borough of Donora has had to demolish and remove properties which would become public safety hazards, and the Borough's expense has to begin -- we have to start finding money. In fact, we have a serious problem in Donora right now that I wish this panel, before they would go back, would come to Donora so you can see.

We have an historical society building and in

- - - -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

this past heavy snow that we had, the complete roof fell in and part of the building went and demolished the house next to it. So then on the back end of that building -- it's a five-story building, but if you look up, it looks like it's a mile high. And then there is a railroad track maybe about ten yards from this particular building and then there is a lot of coal being hauled over those rails going to these power plants, and so with the vibration of the trains going by, I fear that that wall may come down and cover those tracks, and then I think that the complete borough is going to be in some serious financial problems if they have to shut down a power plant for something like that. But this is one building I hope you guys would come and see exactly what I'm talking It would make you shiver whenever you look at about. it.

But not at the expense of property owners, because it is difficult to prosecute. This is what I'm saying, how hard it is to get these people that's living out of state because they're not going to send someone down. So people say, go ahead and tear it down and put a lien against them. So then it's worthless to put a lien against property that has no value.

- - - -

2.0

Again, after a few years of delinquent taxes, the property reverts back to the repository sale and the Borough of Donora must allocate funds for the demolition and removal. It is a poor cycle which has financially burdened the Borough of Donora, along with other municipalities across the state.

The cycle must be broken. Let's take affirmative action and reasonable legislation to ensure the public safety and to alleviate the financial burden many municipalities of the Commonwealth has.

But while I was sitting here, I was thinking about maybe whenever these people purchase this property, if we could give them some kind of an incentive on a tax break if they would fix the property up, and then if you allow them some sort of tax break, give them an incentive. At the same time, they are gaining and the municipality will gain and then we will get this property reassessed and back on the tax rolls.

Because if there is no incentive for these people and then once they come out and actually see what they paid for, they are gone, but some kind of a tax break would give them an incentive, would benefit them, would benefit the municipality.

- - - -

Again, I want to thank you gentlemen for coming. And my good friend Ted Harhai, how are you?

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Doing great.

Good to see you.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Any questions for Mayor Lignelli? Because I know Chubby has to leave.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  LIGNELLI: I can spend five or ten minutes.

MR. LUCI: Do you want to share the cost of tearing down the building for the Borough right now?

MR. LIGNELLI: That we could tear the building down for about \$60,000. So then we had the county commissioner, Bracken Burns, I called him immediately and he was graceful enough to come up with two other gentlemen and then we spent about four hours together that day seeing ways that we could alleviate a serious problem.

So then first it was around \$60,000. So we was going to try to share the funds with the Redevelopment Authority, but then we didn't have any funds available, but they was going to allow us to take it out of the Community Block Grant money that we would be coming -- which we will have coming in the coming years. But then once they found out there was

- - - -

2.0

asbestos in the building, then they found out the cost is going from 200,000 to 250,000.

So now the Redevelopment Authority is trying to get a much larger commitment from us, but then at the present time, we have just bought a new ladder truck. I am also a member of the Donora Fire Department. I've been a member for the last 52 years, and so we were in dire need of buying a new ladder truck, so what we did was we bought a demonstrator, one that was never used, but the company made it and they was going around and demonstrating it to fire departments, but it was never used to fight a fire.

But then we thought, well, then, how are we going to purchase this truck? It was a \$750,000 truck, and so they said they would sell it to us for 500,000. So I got the council to agree to use the Community Block Grant money, which it qualifies for, and we were going to obligate \$40,000 each year over five years, which made it \$200,000. So with that, our fire department was able to go to First Federal and they gave us the balance of the money to buy the truck, but that was a truck that we really needed, because we have some high buildings in town with senior citizens living in it.

So after obligating stuff like that, then it

- - - -

2.0

was pretty hard for us to obligate very much more, so we thought that we would obligate maybe 10- or \$15,000 a year over a few years, but then it looks like it's not going to fly, but then we have a serious problem that has to be addressed, because once that thing comes down, if it covers the railroad track, it's going to be an embarrassment to a lot of people.

Thank you very much. If there is any money out in the state, bring it out here. We need it.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Let me just tell you that we'll look and see, but the other mayors that are here, you didn't hear that, because I know they'll be asking for money, too. But that's okay.

Chubby, we will absolutely look to see if there is any -- there is a couple programs that may be available. We'll find out.

MR. LIGNELLI: See, at first, once they found out that there was asbestos in there, they says it's going to take 45 days before they can even attempt to remove that asbestos. So then I could not buy that. I says, that's impossible that there would be a law on the books that would say nothing is going to change. And so then I says, give me a contact person. So I spent four hours on the telephone until I got the right person that would understand what our

- - - -

problem was, and so then he called me back and he says, we are lifting all restrictions. Tear the building down as soon as you can. It's a money problem now.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you, Chubby.

I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Representative Ted Harhai from across the river and Representative John Evans, who traveled quite a distance to be here today, and we appreciate him coming.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Next testifier, Mark.

MR. ALTERICI: Hi, I'm Mark Alterici,
President of the Charleroi Borough Council. I also
have Michele Mackey, our code enforcement officer,
with me.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Could you spell her name, Michele Mackey? We want it for the stenographer.

MS. MACKEY: M-a-c-k-e-y.

MR. ALTERICI: Also, I'm going to get to at one point Doug from the MVI. I'm going to put him on the spot, because he's helped us with some properties we've tried to buy, and Doug doesn't know it, but I'm going to have him make a few comments,

- - - -

also. Doug, I'm going to have you make a few comments, also, some specifics he can help with.

2.0

I first want to echo -- or first thank you for inviting us and listening to what we have to say.

We're all in the same position here. We do run into a problem. It is a money issue. I can only echo everything Mayor Lignelli said. He is a hundred percent right.

A few things to kind of add onto, just to give you an idea what we're looking at: Since the year 2000, Charleroi Borough has approximately 50 properties that would be in the demo. Average two to three years it takes to get a property demoed after you go through the lien process, which is entirely too long. There is no reason for that. Even when we do have the money in place, which we use our CDBG money, we still have to wait two to three years. That's just unnecessary. It should not happen.

Right at the moment, we have approximately ten properties since 2005, all but 2007, that we cannot demo, even though they need to be demoed because they have not been able to get the liens cleared. It's too easy for these people to come in, buy the property, and like the Mayor said, realize what they bought and walk away. They are in another state somewhere. Now

- - - -

we have to try and track them down. We get stuck holding the bag because they realize they bought garbage, and we're stuck cleaning up their garbage, quite frankly. There is no other way to put it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

We had a very similar situation as Donora a few years ago. Ron and I have talked about this at We have a property in town. We had a, approximately ten years, building in the middle downtown. It was a three-story building. The roof was half gone, two of the floors were collapsed, and we had a fight with the lien problem. But we also had a separate issue that has really been a major problem with us. Charleroi is declared an historic district. I think the number is approximately 18 properties in our town are declared historic. Believe me, I am as much in favor of history as anyone around. I own a building, that's one of the oldest movie theaters in the country, I have rehabbed, so I'm not against history, but sometimes common sense has to come into play. And right now, common sense doesn't always come into play in something like that.

We had to fight for years to get the historical society to agree to go ahead and demo the building. And the only reason we finally got that done is that the fire department also got involved, as

- - - -

2.0

well as Representative Daley, stressing the hazards. And the fire department stepped in. There was a major rain, the fire department showed up to clean up some things in the area, and the fire chief told us if there is a problem with this building, something like this again, I'm not sending my men because it's a hazard. He had to worry about the building coming down on his men.

So these kind of things should not happen.

Mayor Lignelli should not have to worry about waiting

45 days because of an asbestos problem. We shouldn't

have to wait years to tear something down like that.

It's totally unnecessary. That's my main thing there.

Just to give you an idea, also, with
Charleroi, we have 16 ordered to tear down. Three
we're going to be voting on tonight, but with the
money we do use, you see with that many properties,
there is no way we can tear them down fast enough.

I'm sure if any of you sitting here had one of these
houses sitting next to you, you wouldn't be real happy
if your council president calls you or code
enforcement officer calls you and says, well, I'm
sorry. We don't have enough money. It's going to be
two to three years you have to live next to this place
that's abandoned. We have to bait them for rats. No

- - - -

one is going to be happy with that kind of situation, and we're all left in a really awkward situation because of it.

That was the main thing I think I wanted to get on.

Michele, do you have anything more to add?

MS. MACKEY: It's just the maintenance
that we have to deal with on these properties that are
abandoned. The people that own these properties
aren't coming in and taking care of them and then the
borough is saddled with having to hire someone to cut
the grass minimally so we don't have rats and rodents
and snakes going into the adjacent properties, because
our properties are, the majority, 40 feet wide. So
everybody is right on top of each other. So if you
have a property like this next door to you, it has to
be maintained or it's going to affect your home.

That's it.

2.0

MR. ALTERICI: The reason I wanted to have Doug speak, Doug is involved with the Mon Valley Initiative, the MVI. I'm also on the board of the Charleroi CDC. Most of you are probably familiar with the CDCs and the MVIs, but one of the projects the MVIs do is we take delapidated properties, try and buy them, rehab them, and give people a chance to buy

- - - -

those properties.

2.0

We've had numerous properties -- Doug, I can get you to explain this a little better. We've had several, because we went through a process recently where money was available for us to look at doing that with. One of the problems we ran into was even though these properties were abandoned, we ran into a problem not being able to purchase them and rehab them because of the liens.

Here, you can explain it a little bit, Doug.

MR. VAN HAITSMA: Sure. The Mon Valley Initiative is a coalition of ten communities, and Charleroi CDC is one of our member communities. And we had gotten earlier this year some Neighborhood Stabilization program funding for Charleroi which allowed us to purchase, renovate, and then sell five properties that had gone through foreclosure.

Early in the process, we had identified several properties that were excellent candidates that are strategic, would have made great impacts, but right before we were able to purchase them, and out-of-state investor from New York came in and just picked them all up, sight unseen. And through the repository sale, they picked them up for 150 bucks. He's done nothing with them.

- - - -

So that left us scrambling to try and find other properties to meet our tight deadlines. And we're able to do that, but, again, it's a system that just really seems to be broken. We could have had such a great impact by getting a couple of these strategic properties, but the fact that somebody can just kind of sweep in, pick them up for 150 bucks without any chance of reviewing who this person was I think is a real problem in the way it's structured.

Mark?

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Doug, we're going to have you testify in a couple seconds, as you know.

MR. VAN HAITSMA: Yes.

MR. ALTERICI: That was really all I have at this point in time. Like I say, I'm just hoping that -- I can't stress this enough. Any of you that do know me know I'm all about common sense, and there is just too much common sense that doesn't come into play here. That's really what we need to look at. Cut out a lot of this B.S. Let's really get simple.

MR. LUCI: Mark, how much did it end up costing to get that building?

MR. ALTERICI: Michele, do you remember the number on that one? Was it the 84,000?

MS. MACKEY: I think it was 128,000.

- - - -

1 MR. ALTERICI: Yes, that's right. 2 128,000 to tear that building down. 3 MR. LUCI: Where did all that money come from? 4 5 MR. ALTERICI: That was money from the 6 CDBG money we get from the county. We actually try to 7 spread it out to do multiple properties every year. 8 Because of how much that cost, we kind of had to put 9 other properties on the back burner and kind of lumped 10 it all into one. 11 MR. LUCI: So you just postponed 12 inevitable on the other side. 13 MR. ALTERICI: That's correct, that's 14 correct. 15 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Any other questions? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRMAN DALEY: We're going to have Lee 18 Hall, Mayor of North Charleroi. 19 MR. ALTERICI: Thank you very much. 2.0 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you, Mark. 21 MR. HALL: First I'd like to thank you 22 for inviting me here. I'm not going to say too much 23 different from what others have said, because we're 24 all in the same situation. I'm the mayor of North 25 Charleroi, a small borough in the far eastern corner

- - - -

2.0

of Washington County. I used to say I could go across the bridge. Can't go across the bridge.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Common sense is coming into play, because we're going to move on that bridge by this summer.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Actually, you can go across that bridge, if you want to, though.

MR. HALL: If you want to walk across it. I did the other day. Well, when it was flooding, I walked out on the bridge to see how the water was and see if we needed to evacuate anybody or not, but we were okay. And then the other part of that was once I crossed the bridge, if I went down the river two miles, I was in Fayette County. But none of those happen anymore because I can't cross that bridge, but, anyhow, that's another story.

We're bordered by Charleroi, Carroll Township, and Fallowfield Township. We have a population of 1400 people. Much of our population is elderly, many living on fixed incomes. Twenty-four percent are over the age of 65. Another 22 percent are between 45 and 64. We have 706 housing units with 22 percent having someone 65 years of age or older living alone.

The median household income is \$29,135 per annum, compared to the average of Pennsylvania being

- - - -

2.0

50,713. Ten point three percent of those residents under the age of 18 and 11.5 percent of those over age 65 live below the poverty level.

The total area of our borough is three-tenths of a square mile, which would translate, if we had a square mile, to 5,362 people per square mile. The median price of a housing unit or condo, which I don't think we have any, is \$77,754, compared to the median price in Pennsylvania being \$164,700.

The problem that occurs, much as the other two gentlemen that spoke before me, with the aging population, by the time a house that has one of those people that's 65 or over and they are forced to vacate the house for some reason or other, the house is usually not in the best of shape. Now it's taken over by relatives who may be far gone from the area and they are not interested in it, so they just leave the house sit there. So we're stuck with a house that nobody lives in, and by the time we can do anything with it, which is, you've heard here, a period of two to three years, things just happen to get more and more deteriorated.

By this time, the house can no longer be refurbished. The house needs to be demolished. So now it comes to the Borough. Where do we get the

- - - -

2.0

money to demolish these houses? We're very limited.

We have a lot of streets that need paved. We're going to be faced with the same thing Charleroi had a couple years ago with the stormwater separation, and if we spend money to tear down old houses and then we acquire property that we don't really want or need, now we're spending money there that we could use to make things better for our citizens.

But probably the thing that bothers me the most, when we have a council meeting, normally the biggest complaints we have are because there is a property next to them or near them which weeds are growing up, the gutters are falling off the house, the windows are broken, and this brings down their property value. And I understand that. That certainly does do that, but we're stuck, okay?

So, finally, when it comes worse to worse, we only have two borough employees, so we send them out to try to clean the place up and put a lien on the property. We know we're not going to get a dime off of that, because by the time that property ever goes, we're so far down on the list for liens that, you know, any value the property had is long gone before it ever gets to us.

So now we're stuck with that, plus we used our

- - - -

manpower that could be doing something constructive for the Borough to do something that we have to do just to try to keep the Borough there. And the legislation coming up, this is a step in the right direction, but I'm feeling the same thing that these gentlemen have, this takes way too long.

Even if we have a person who we can locate, we have a property there, now we cite them because they haven't cut the grass, they haven't kept it in good repair. We issue a citation. Now, they either get a small fine or they come and they clean it up. Now, three weeks down the road, we have the same situation again and now we have to start all over again, and I just think something needs to be done where once a property owner is cited, when they are cited again, things should take effect immediately, not wait another 30 days or 25 days or something like that. Something so that we can really help the residents there that are trying to take care of things to make the place — to make it a nice, liveable area.

So that's about it. Everything else has been said, so thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you, Mayor Hall.

Any questions for the Mayor?

(No response.)

2.0

- - - -

CHAIRMAN DALEY: The next person to testify will be -- I'm interjecting you right here between Bracken and Lee -- Ed Lyons.

2.0

MR. LYONS: I don't want to testify.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Then we'll go right to

Bracken.

MR. BURNS: Thank you very much. My name is Bracken Burns. I am a Washington County Commissioner and I am also the co-chair of the Sustainable Communities Development Network, which is a function of Sustainable Pittsburgh, and the former vice chair of the County Commissioners Association Task Force on Sustainability.

I mention that not to pat myself on the back, but to point out that I have spent more than a little bit of time studying the question of sustainability in Pennsylvania, and most specifically, in southwestern Pennsylvania, and the one thing that I have found rises to the surface in virtually every discussion that we have is the issue of blight and abandonment.

Blight and abandonment, as you know, are the classic symptoms of an unsustainable community and they, in and of themselves, create ills, but they also exacerbate and accelerate other problems in the community. For instance, a loss of tax revenue. It's

- - - -

2.0

interesting, and I don't know whether it struck anybody the way it struck me, but we've heard two mayors and one council president sit here talking about their main mission in life or their main work project is tearing down buildings. And I understand that and I appreciate it and they are doing the only job that they can to protect their communities, but how ironic that the folks charged with running these communities are literally taking them apart, eliminating the very tax base that is the lifeblood of these communities. So there is something highly ironic about that.

There is something highly ironic that the majority of the Community Development Block Grant -- and I would reemphasize the first two words, Community Development -- is being used to take communities apart to tear down houses and vacant buildings, et cetera, et cetera.

very serious problem to the poorer communities. We see a degradation of property values. A study in Philadelphia that was conducted recently shows that the presence of a blighted building on a street causes a reduction in property value of approximately \$7,000 per unit. This is real money when it comes to selling

- - - -

2.0

that home. It's real money when those homes are reassessed and the taxes are assigned to that property, et cetera. We also see an increase in crime in areas where blight and abandonment are prevalent.

And last, but certainly not least, we have a decrease in wellness. Wellness is one of those esoteric terms that nobody likes to hear about, but it really is, in my mind, a sense of well being. It's a sense that you're in a community where it is safe, where it is good, where you want to be, and no one -- I repeat, no one -- should have to live in an environment where they don't feel safe and in a state of wellness.

Studies have shown that by merely clearing a lot of debris or eliminating a delapidated house and planting a few trees that the neighborhood, the property values in that immediate neighborhood increase by 30 percent. Thirty percent by eliminating blight and abandonment and throwing in a tree or a bush or a park, et cetera. That's huge, and that says nothing about the wellness issue that I talked about or the crime issues or the other issues that are linked.

Blight and abandonment have been likened to cancer, because they are, in fact, a cancer on a

- - - -

2.0

neighborhood. They are a cancer on a community. They very much like cancer, sap the lifeblood right out of a community. They also spread to healthy neighbors, much like cancer does, and, finally, if left unchecked, blight and abandonment, like cancer, will kill the host. We can't allow that to happen.

The problem in southwestern Pennsylvania, I would suggest, is exacerbated by a number of additional factors. First of all, we have a shrinking population. The population in southwestern Pennsylvania, as most everybody knows, has decreased by 14 percent since 1970. We have an aging population. Folks are getting older, less able to maintain their homes, less able to deal with neighborhood decay, having less funds available to them for home maintenance, et cetera.

We have an aging housing stock in our communities which, again, just feeds this particular problem. Yet, we have an unbridled increase in the number of housing units in southwestern Pennsylvania. I would share with you the fact that between 1982 and 1997, a period of only 15 years, the Pittsburgh region developed -- and I use that word advisedly -- developed 42.6 percent as much land as it had in the first two centuries of us living in this region. And

- - - -

2.0

that was at a time while the population of southwestern Pennsylvania was shrinking by 14 percent. That's crazy. Fewer people, more homes. Go figure.

And the irony of that is if you understand -- and I trust everybody in this room does -- the laws of supply and demand, you realize that as we provide more and more housing units and fewer and fewer people to demand them that the cost of these homes continues to depreciate at an accelerating rate.

Pennsylvania's problem consists in part, also, with complex and archaic tax laws that make public officials such as the ones we've heard from today, it makes their job very difficult as they attempt to grapple with this problem.

And last, but not least, we have a lack of clear leadership. Whose problem is this anyway? Is it the homeowner, is it the next-door neighbor, is it the community, is it the municipality and the school district, who admittedly have more than a passing interest because of the loss of tax revenues. But is it, in fact, the mission of a school district to go out and eliminate blighted neighborhoods so they can improve their tax base? I don't think so.

The staff of most of these local boroughs and townships are so small that they certainly don't have

- - - -

2.0

the capacity to address this problem. Is it the problem of the neighborhood? Well, you could argue that it is, but where is the authority vested in the next-door neighbor to do something about a vacant lot or an abandoned property next door? It could be assigned to the county, but the county has numerous communities — any county does — that have blight and abandoned properties, limited staff, and, again, limited authority to act.

Economic development agencies and community development organizations have more than a passing interest but, again, not the capacity or the staff to address it.

The state, I would suggest respectfully, has the capacity, and I appreciate the fact that you are today working on this issue, but has the capacity to streamline and update laws which impede remediation.

Recent studies by Sustainable Pittsburgh tell us that there are 70,000 abandoned homes in the ten-county region in southwestern Pennsylvania, and other studies tell us that there are more than 300,000 abandoned and blighted properties in Pennsylvania. Locally, that's 6 percent of our housing stock that is blighted or abandoned.

Blight is hard to quantify. You know, what

- - - -

2.0

might need a coat of paint to you may not need a coat of paint to me, et cetera, et cetera, but not many sites where there is abandonment do you not have adjoining properties that are blighted. So we see the cyclical nature of it.

The cost is considerable, the cost in terms of lost tax revenues. You know, we continue, as I had mentioned earlier, we continue to be faced by mayors and council members coming to the county and wanting to use their Community Development Block Grant money to tear down the housing stock that really is their communities, and with it, they eliminate that tax base. And that accelerates over time, and eventually you reach a point where a community is no longer sustainable. They don't have enough tax base to pay the bills to get the job done day to day.

I'm winding up now, for those who are wondering when this guy is going to quit. I'm down to solutions, the final section.

There are plenty of ideas as to how you address this, but there are many, many complexities that face us. Neighborhood gardens, rehabilitation, small parks instead of a delapidated home, a trail through an urban area. Grant the ownership of that property to a neighbor so that it's back on the tax

- - - -

2.0

rolls and so that it's maintained. But the problem with all of these is that there is limited ability to act due to the complexity of ownership, tax laws, liability laws, et cetera.

In closing, let me simply say that although the complexity of tax and real estate law is beyond my expertise, I would like to say that I salute and endorse any effort toward unraveling the red tape that surrounds these properties that are sapping the life out of our most vulnerable communities.

Additionally, I'd like to salute any effort that facilitates holding negligent property owners responsible for the blight that they have inflicted upon their neighborhoods.

Thank you for the opportunity to be heard today.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Questions from members of the panel? John?

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. More of a comment, rather than a
question. I just want to salute Chairman Daley for
taking up this issue. This is not an issue that is a
partisan issue, by any stretch. I think there is
widespread support in the legislature to update these
laws that need to be looked at. This is something

- - - -

that affects all 67 counties of Pennsylvania.

2.0

We all have communities in our districts that have these blighted properties. I know I have them in my district. We have issues with out-of-town ownership. We have issues with people who get on the internet and can purchase these properties, as was mentioned, for very small amounts of money. It's almost like speculating on the stock market when somebody can come in and buy a property, speculating that it might be worth something some day. That does a disservice to the community, it does a disservice to the neighborhoods when these out-of-town owners refuse to do any type of maintenance at all.

So, you know, I think that the Chairman's efforts, and those of us in the legislature, I think our charge is to, as you say, cut through a lot of this red tape in the regulations and come up with a more streamlined process for 2010. A lot of these laws were written decades go.

MR. BURNS: 1910.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANS: Almost a century ago.

So that being said, I just want to salute
Chairman Daley for taking this issue up and having
this hearing today on this very important issue that

- - - -

affects us all.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you,

Representative.

Representative Harhai?

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Just a couple of comments. Your comments are well taken, Commissioner. Having been a former mayor in the City of Monessen, we endured the nightmare that these mayors and president of council have endured or are enduring now. We endured that forever, tearing things down. We were staying one step ahead of the blight, which wasn't a large step.

MR. BURNS: One step behind the rats.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Right. We had people from abandoned homeowners to out-of-town landlords. And you name it, we had it. And we spent probably 75 percent of our funding tearing down these delapidated structures. At the same time, it's not a bittersweet thing. It's a bad feeling, because you're eroding your tax base.

Look at the City of Monessen, a town that had probably about 25- to 30,000 people in the '50s that's down to about 8500 people. Count the number of homes. We're near election time, so we can count the people that vote and don't vote anymore. They are not there.

- - - -

And what happens is the older people die off, the younger people come back, they empty the homes, they empty the bank accounts, and they leave and we're stuck.

2.0

And my mayor from North Belle Vernon is here, and we've talked about this on numerous occasions.

And it's a lovely community, and, still, he battles the same thing.

Your point is well taken. I think we need to update the laws, make them more current, obviously, and I commend Chairman Daley for having the hearings to hear the stories. We can't bellow out the story enough and beat the drum enough, because it's ten years ago when I lived in Monessen, and not much has changed. And I think the mayors have gotten in with the same anticipation that I did. We cleaned up this and this and do that. We did the park thing and we did have people buy properties, torn-down properties, but neighborhoods still continue to dwindle and be decimated.

So I think we'll do the best we can, and I know Chairman Daley has got a couple of bills that he has talked about that's getting on the books now, but I will wholeheartedly endorse that, because I know whence they come.

- - - -

Thank you again.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We are working on the legislation. We don't have a bill number yet. Do we have a draft of the bill?

MR. MILLER: The draft is in your packet, and there is also a two- or three-page brief about what this draft would do.

Bracken, I was very pleased that you touched on the issues that the state has faced in this region, in particular with regard to development. It's quite -- it is quite something when you think about how much development has occurred, and not just in this region, but statewide. We were, just a few years ago, No. 2 in the country as far as housing development, even though our population was either stable or falling.

So I guess my question to you would be, can you -- have you ever thought about ways to incentivize the rebuilding of property in these areas? I mean, it is one thing to have -- and it's great, planting some trees and making a park where four homes existed. I'm sure that that does increase the property values of surrounding property, but it would be really neat to be able to rebuild homes on those vacant lots.

MR. BURNS: With all due respect, I might

- - - -

2.0

disagree with you. Because given the supply-and-demand issue that I talked about before, I'm not sure we need those additional homes. So let's maybe focus instead on eliminating the blight, stabilizing the neighborhood, creating some wellness, whether it be a community garden, whether it be an open space, forested area, get the quality of life back up, and if some day there is a demand for the housing, I'm sure somebody is going to cede their -- an ironic term, cede their garden. C-e-d-e their garden is what I meant by that, give up their garden in order to create a home. In all reality, I don't think we necessarily have to rush in and build another home.

MR. MILLER: What about rehab? I know a lot of these properties --

MR. BURNS: I think a lot of these properties -- and the MVI is a classic example of an agency which is working hard to do -- the Mon Valley Initiative, I'm referring to, that is going in and taking a home that isn't quite so far gone that it can't be saved. And many of these are classic older homes that you couldn't build them again today, and they are going in and renovating them. I salute that. I think that's wonderful where it can occur, but I

- - - -

2.0

don't think we should be too upset about the reality of eliminating two or three homes and turning that into a community garden or a small park, because given the hard figures of population and housing stock, there frankly is not a demand. So keeping the supply down drives the price up, so you really sustain the community better by not replacing each and every home.

MR. MILLER: All right. I appreciate your observation about that.

MR. ALTERICI: Can I make one comment to add to that, also? One thing we've also looked at -- and Bracken is right as far as we don't need additional houses, but if it's easier to tear them down so we can get them back on the tax rolls, in most cases, these properties are adjacent to a property owner that's going to buy them. It's going to be a minimal price, sure, but it's going to remove the responsibility of the borough having to try to maintain it and it's going to put it back on the tax rolls. It still gets back to getting them cleared out as quickly as possible.

We're going through that, as I mentioned, on the three we have tonight in Charleroi Borough that we're going to clear. Two of the properties, we already have people who live next to it who have

- - - -

approached us that they want to buy the properties. They are not going to build on the properties. Just because they live right there, they want to enlarge their yards and they'll maintain it.

So that kind of thing will make it a little easier to maintain and remove some of this blight.

Thank you.

2.0

MR. BURNS: That's an idea. Our tax lady is here, Debbie Bardella, and I know I've hounded her for the last several years on this very issue. We've got hundreds of properties that are sitting next to somebody's house, and I said to her, let's give them to somebody, you know. Give me a hundred dollars for it and you can have the vacant lot next to your home for two reasons. Number one, you're going to maintain it, and number two, you're going to own it, and number three, you're paying taxes on it versus it sitting down in some file box in her office that she can't even find the file on it.

The problem -- and I'll ask Debbie to speak to it. The problem is the legal complications.

MR. MILLER: What do we need to do to cut through that? I'd like to understand a little bit more about that.

MR. BURNS: Debbie, can you go there?

- - - -

I'm sorry to put you on the spot.

MS. BARDELLA: You're talking --

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Debbie, come on down.

MR. MILLER: She did not want to do this

today, by the way.

to be torn down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BARDELLA: I did not.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Debbie, could you please

identify yourself for the record.

MS. BARDELLA: I'm Debbie Bardella, and I'm actually the director of tax revenue, which includes tax claims.

MR. BURNS: You're not the tax lady?

MS. BARDELLA: Here is the first problem: The tax claim bureau deals with a tax law, real estate tax law of 1947, and so everything is so antiquated, it's so hard to get through the system, as Bracken was saying. We go through three sales before we get to a repository sale. That takes three years, so that house is just sitting there deteriorating. Nobody is taking care of it, so in three years, it might have been something that somebody could have done something with three years prior, and now this house just needs

We have people that don't want to buy these, and they do go for minimal -- we used to sell them at

- - - -

2.0

judicial sale for 150, because not too many people buy them at the upset sale. You have to take on the liens, the judgments, everything.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Most people do not know what a judicial sale is. It's a free-and-clear sale; is that right?

MS. BARDELLA: It's free and clear of everything but federal and state liens. Those never go away. So a judicial sale, we can get them out the door for maybe 150, and that was always -Mr. Lignelli's concern was these people from New York are buying these properties and then they are an absent landlord. The borough has to cut the grass, because these guys aren't going to come from New York to cut the grass. So he has no way of getting to them. He can send them notices.

The law is just so antiquated. It really needs to be looked at. I mean, I had asked legislators about five years ago to look at this law and I'm still waiting for that.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Excuse me. Can we do something to say that they cannot purchase the property? Under Right to Know, you would think -- even though the law is 1947, you would think that we have the ability to say you can't purchase this

- - - -

2.0

property. Pete, you have a legal background. Is this something we could add into the bill that can say, hey, you can't buy this. You have to show some sense that you're going to take care of this property. Is that too naive?

MS. BARDELLA: It's not in the law, as far as I'm concerned.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Debbie and I have talked about changing the law for the last couple of years.

MS. BARDELLA: We have, and we have something Pete has out there to take the liability off the county for these properties. We got hit by a gigantic lawsuit.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: We had a had property that took six years from the time I was on council to my last year as mayor, and I'll never forget this property as long as I live. The house was like a shoebox, and it had, like, a \$135,000 federal lien and \$80,000 state, all these liens. And where, how, why --

MS. BARDELLA: But you'll never sell that property.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: As soon as they forgave it, the next day the guy was there with his thing tearing it down. That's how bad it is. I think

- - - -

you understand that we understand what we need to do in the way of a change.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: The law is now that you can do this three-year sale, but there is the notice that you go through, the tax sale, and if that doesn't go, then you do the free-and-clear sale. And the whole process takes you up to three years and maybe longer; is that correct?

MS. BARDELLA: Correct.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: And so that is a procedure outlined in the real estate tax law of 1947, so that's where we need to go.

MS. BARDELLA: I understand why it's that way. It was to give that homeowner every opportunity to be able to come up with their money and be able to pull themselves out of that hole, but what I'm finding now is that's too long. These people now, they are two years behind. They can't dig themselves out of two years of taxes. Then they are into their third year by the time we're ready to sell. Even if they come up with two years, they didn't pay the third year, so they're back in that cycle again.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Maybe what we can do is carve out an exception for those buildings or properties that have been declared by a community as

- - - -

2.0

blighted, and if we could set up a category of blighted and maybe we could provide an exception that we could accelerate that process so that the landowner, the homeowner that lives there and is trying to pay their taxes, they still get the opportunity to do that. But the person that's abandoned ship, the thing is falling down and it's got rats running in and out of it and Mayor Hall is getting complaints all the time, day and night -- when I was mayor, we got calls all the time. They don't give a crap. Midnight, 2 o'clock in the morning, they'd call you and they'd tell you about this problem, we saw a rat. We just saw a rat a half-hour ago. It's 3 o'clock in the morning.

But maybe we could carve out an exception to that law and provide some accelerated processes for blighted or deteriorated properties that the borough or municipality could designate as such. We can come up with a definition of blighted.

Chris, you had your hand up.

MS. HAINES: Instead of making an exception for the blighted areas, why not change the law to make the exception for the homesteads, to keep those at the same three-year period, but the places that are not someone's residence, they get

- - - -

1 fast-tracked. 2 MR. MILLER: That's an idea, because I 3 think the law from '47 wasn't designed to protect the 4 speculators. 5 MR. BURNS: It didn't exist in '47. 6 Every home had somebody living in it and probably had 7 been there for three generations. 8 MR. MILLER: That law was designed to 9 protect that property owner. 10 Totally different ballgame. MR. BURNS: 11 MS. HAINES: Because the blight criteria, 12 that's a whole 'nother ballgame that you've got to go 13 down to road five, six, seven years before you reach 14 that criteria, and at that point, as you pointed out, Mr. Harhai, the property isn't worth anything. 15 16 CHAIRMAN DALEY: If it's habitable, it 17 falls into the three years. 18 MS. HAINES: No, if it's occupied. If it 19 falls under the homestead exemption for your real 2.0 estate taxes as your primary residence --21 MS. BARDELLA: It has to be owner 22 occupied. 23 MS. HAINES: Owner occupied.

The young lady was Chris

MR. MILLER: That makes sense.

CHAIRMAN DALEY:

24

25

- - - -

1 Haines that was just speaking concerning the question 2 regarding the homestead exemption. The gentleman in 3 the front --MR. ZOSKY: Bill Zosky. There is a 4 5 difference between a landowner and a house -- you 6 know, a homeowner. I'm a homeowner. 7 CHAIRMAN DALEY: You may also own 8 property. 9 MR. ZOSKY: Right. Do you want to treat 10 my home different than my other property? 11 REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: I think the key 12 word was an "inhabited" property. That's the 13 difference. 14 MR. ZOSKY: I could live in this house, 15 but I could own 20 other ones, treat those as 16 something different than my own home, which they do. 17 MR. PURCELL: One of the problems is --18 REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Identify yourself 19 for the young lady. 2.0 MR. PURCELL: My name is Pat Purcell. 21 I'm from Brownsville. 22 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Pat Purcell? 23 MR. PURCELL: P-u-r-c-e-l-l. The problem 24 is we have a guy in town, he owns 125 properties.

118.

CHAIRMAN DALEY:

25

- - - -

2.0

MR. PURCELL: He is an absentee landlord, almost everything that these guys said. What he did was he hasn't paid taxes. He is going into his sixth year right now. He is in his sixth year. And what happened was in September, he was supposed to be on a tax sale, but a judge in Uniontown pulled him off the tax sale. Now it's not going to go on the tax sale maybe -- she says it's going to be in May. I don't believe it. It might go in September. That's going to be six years, and then the thing is, he owes over \$250,000 on his properties. Nobody is going to buy the properties because of the taxes he owes.

So now you got to wait another two years to go on a free-and-clear. So the guy won't have to pay taxes for almost eight years before it even gets to the thing, and that's what she's talking about, the antiquated system that you got. It should be two years and, boom, you get -- what he gets, he made a payment one time. They pulled him off the tax list for it. That's what these people do, and they are absentee landlords.

And talk about cutting grass or doing anything, these guys don't do anything. Whenever he does go to the court system, like a perfect example, they fined him \$29,000 last year in May. He appealed

- - - -

2.0

it in June. It didn't get heard until February 17<sup>th</sup> of this year, and then the judge who was supposed to do it was out of town. And then they go another month and they get another judge. The judge turns around and knocks off \$20,000 of the fine, and they gave him another 60 days to appeal. He could keep on appealing it. This guy hasn't paid any fines.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: I understand what you're saying, but we're not here to criticize the idiots on their properties in Brownsville.

MR. PURCELL: I know I'm out of place telling you about Brownsville. I'm just listening to what she's saying about an antiquated system. And, like, you're trying to bring something in here, and to me, like this guy here says bring in parks and places to grow groceries or something. That's not the solution to this thing.

My solution is that you got to get rid of the properties and you got to get rid of these absentee landlords. You shouldn't have a landlord that you can't get ahold of. He's got a problem. If he's got a problem, you should be fining that guy and he shouldn't be able to go to court and appeal it for three years before everything gets done. That's the bottom line.

- - - -

2.0

MS. BARDELLA: Can I add that there are homes that people live in they haven't paid taxes for 10, maybe 20 years, some people. They are still living in those homes because there is nothing in the law that tells them they have to leave. Even though I haven't sold them in three sales in three years, but they do not have to move. They stay there and they continue not to pay their taxes and we have no teeth whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: So you're saying that a place comes up for sale, and if it's sold, the people still live in the property, they are not ejected from the property?

MS. BARDELLA: I'm saying if it's not sold. Then it goes to the judicial sale, it is not sold. It goes to repository and it sits there for 10 or 20 years. Those people can live in there, because nobody evicts them. We don't own them.

MR. BURNS: I don't think you want to advertise that, Deb.

MS. BARDELLA: We don't own it. We just are the trustees of the property. We have no jurisdiction to move those people out of there. They live in that house, and usually it's a deplorable house that nobody wants to buy and liens are piling up

- - - -

on them.

2.0

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: They watch the sale knowing it's not going to happen. I was going to say I apologize for implying that you were bellowing when you spoke. Continue to bellow, and I think we need to change that.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Ed, do you want to say anything before you leave?

MR. LYONS: Fortunately, in North Belle Vernon, we don't have that serious of a problem. By the way, my name is Ed Lyons from North Belle Vernon. We have one, in particular, that's sort of rang a bell with Mr. Garber and myself. We've been trying to get this individual prior to me, I'd say in the last ten years, and his elusiveness is actually -- he probably should have went for an attorney, because without going to law school, he knows all the angles that you can get.

MR. BURNS: As elusive as an attorney.

MR. LYONS: We're going through that process now of the next phase is a judicial sale, and we're not -- that doesn't sound very promising there, either, but like I say, we came here today because we thought maybe we could pick up a few pointers as to how we can deal with the limited amount of blighted

- - - -

2.0

conditions we have in our community, but I've often said that your blight begins with the first house, and if you don't really land on that with the best of your ability to resolve it, you're going to be dead in the water in a few years.

So to make a long story short, I think the 1947 law has to be changed. We have to have some laws that gives us quicker access to eliminate these problems, because as Bracken was kidding around about, people are becoming aware of the looseness in the system. They are aware and they don't care. We've had some problems with people that own property in Maryland, Philadelphia. We have two homes that three, four years ago would have been good dwelling places, but now the doors are open, windows are open. They are now becoming a nuisance. This individual lives in Naples, Florida, and he's not coming back to Pennsylvania. He can care less.

So in any case, I wasn't prepared to speak today, but I appreciate being here and we did pick up a few points, and hopefully our good man, Representative Harhai, we'll be in touch with one another and thanks for the invitation.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: It's my pleasure.

REPRESENTATIVE HARHAI: Mr. Chairman, I

- - - -

2.0

think that adding that Mayor Lyons is from North Belle Vernon, which is in Westmoreland County, and we have the same problems in Westmoreland that you have in Washington, and I also serve a little bit of Fayette County, so we have those additional problems there. So it's not a unique thing to any county. As Representative Evans said, it's all over 67 counties. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you. If there is no other commentary, I want to thank everyone for their testimony today, and thank you, Debbie, for coming up front, which I told you I'd get you.

MR. BURNS: She'll never come with me again.

MR. ZOSKY: I was going to ask you a question.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We're not done with our hearing yet, but if you want to ask a question, go ahead.

MR. ZOSKY: Bill Zosky. I want to ask a question. Why can't we do something about code enforcement officers, period? I mean, if you think about it, I don't think those guys have enough teeth to do anything. It's like when my brother was a cop, he used to arrest people, you remember, and he'd go up

- - - -

to the judge and the judge went like this (demonstrating), so quit arresting people.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We'll look into it.

MR. ZOSKY: Seriously, those guys need the laws to be enforced.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We will absolutely look into that issue.

The next panel will be the regional and statewide perspective, Doug Van Haitsma, Joanna Deming, and Aggie Brose.

MS. DAVIDSON: Bethany Davidson, actually.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Bethany Davidson, replacing Aggie Brose.

MR. MILLER: Wasn't Aggie -- I'm sorry.

I was just trying to -- I thought she was replacing you initially.

MS. DAVIDSON: It went back and forth because she had a conflict.

MR. MILLER: That's all right. It doesn't matter. We appreciate that you're here.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Doug, we can start with you because we have two ladies and we can't say ladies go first.

MR. VAN HAITSMA: I appreciate you

- - - -

2.0

allowing me to go first, because I am going to have to leave shortly after because I am due to a closing to buy two foreclosed properties in Charleroi at 2:30, so it actually worked out great that I could be here at the same time as that closing.

Again, my name is Doug Van Haitsma, and I'm here on behalf of the ten Mon Valley communities that are members of the Mon Valley Initiative. I also am on the board of the Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, a statewide organization made up of housing developers, both nonprofit and for-profit, local government officials, bankers, lawyers, and community residents. They are a rich resource for housing policy, and I'm grateful for them for some of the points I'm going to make today.

The Mon Valley Initiative was founded in 1988 to restore the economic vitality of the Mon Valley, obviously a very challenging mission. We currently serve ten communities that border Pittsburgh, all the way down to Charleroi, and we have developed over 350 units of housing, including 125 rehabs that were all vacant and/or abandoned. In my capacity as the director of real estate development, I am dealing daily with the challenges and opportunities that blighted property has on our communities.

- - - -

2.0

There is a great deal of variety in the different communities we're serving. Several communities have traditionally been very stable with population loss of only about 20 percent over the last 50 years. However, our coalition also includes communities with far greater population loss, and with that, of course, far greater problems with abandoned and blighted structures. The Borough of Braddock, for example, located just six miles from downtown Pittsburgh, went from 26,000 residents in the 1950s to just 2600 residents today.

With such divergent communities, we've developed a unique development strategy to deal with the blight with each community. For example, in Braddock, where there is 90 percent population decline, we focus on reducing the number of structures and properties so that for every house we develop or rehab, we try to combine three vacant or blighted lots. This creates a competitive advantage for Braddock and the other communities like it in that it's possible to create large lots, a real selling point for young families and others that want larger yards.

In our stable communities, such as Turtle Creek, Swissvale, and East Pittsburgh, our strategy

- - - -

2.0

focuses on defining strategic residential areas in each of those communities and then aggressively pursuing any vacant property that becomes available. We believe this strategy is fundamental to keeping our communities stable, not the just in the Mon Valley, but throughout PA. As Commissioner Burns had stated, blight is a cancer on communities and it is worrying me to see some of these historically stable communities dealing with these skyrocketing foreclosures. We need to address this before they cross that tipping point to become a rapidly declining community.

While MVI's programs of developing housing and purchasing, rehabbing, and selling vacant houses are important to stem the spread of blight, we have found it's equally important to keep properties from sliding into blight. Municipalities need to have tools that will allow them to enforce code violations. In considering the different ways municipalities handle code violations, I have several observations:

First, small municipalities vary greatly in what they believe they are allowed to do in addressing code violations. While some communities have been very successful in aggressively going after code violations, other communities have had very little

- - - -

2.0

success. The knowledge base of the code enforcement officers, municipal officials, and legal counsel also vary greatly. I would recommend the state create a comprehensive website on code enforcement and blighting laws that would allow municipalities to quickly get up to speed on what tools are available to them. The website could also contain a statewide database that would allow municipalities to find out if a potential buyer of municipal property has code violations elsewhere so a slum landlord can't spread blight.

Other recommendations that can be enacted at a state level that would greatly assist local efforts at fighting blight are a private asset attachment which would allow local governments to seek reimbursement for money expended to board up or demolish a building, and not just by placing a lien on the property, which as one of the mayors had stated, they'll never get back. It would instead attach it to the owner's assets. In especially cash-strapped communities, this is a big issue.

Another aspect is corporate responsibility.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: One second. How would you do that, attach it to the owner's assets? Tell me how you envision that occurring.

- - - -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VAN HAITSMA: It would be similar to how credit companies work. If you don't pay your credit card bill, it sticks with you. CHAIRMAN DALEY: They can come and get your car. MS. HAINES: They can go after other assets. It stays with the person, not the property. It follows the person. CHAIRMAN DALEY: What happens with a corporation? MR. VAN HAITSMA: Well, with corporate responsibility, we would require corporate owners to list all the corporate officers' names and addresses on the deeds and file a copy of their driver's licenses, so that would give local government a way of finding the people behind the corporate name. CHAIRMAN DALEY: Members of the corporation are under the corporate --MR. VAN HAITSMA: Well, that's true. Ιf they are limited liability, it's hard to go past that. MR. MILLER: Take their license. MR. VAN HAITSMA: I certainly don't have --CHAIRMAN DALEY: I don't think that would

be constitutional. I'm trying to think through the

- - - -

solution. That's what I'm trying to do.

2.0

MS. DEMING: It's a way to contact people. It's less of an enforcement, but it's a way to contact people and start here, if they're still interested in the property, and start to negotiate. I'm not sure how the asset attachment fits, but that's more where the corporate responsibility is.

MR. LUCI: It would lose the anonymity of these corporate officers.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Corporate officers can change every year. If you're a shareholder of a corporation, that could change. You don't necessarily stay.

MR. VAN HAITSMA: That is a problem, however. Obviously, one of the big issues that the municipalities are facing is that you've got a nameless corporate entity that has picked up a pile of properties and you can't contact them, they don't have anyplace to send letters. They can operate in the anonymity, and we've got to figure out a way to get beyond that.

And then related to that, as I think one of the mayors had also mentioned, we've got to have an ability to reach out-of-state owners. The nature of property ownership has changed in the last decade.

- - - -

2.0

The problem of out-of-state owners is no longer limited to people who once lived in Pennsylvania and moved away, nor is it a problem with border communities, such as Philadelphia properties that are owned by New Jersey residents. Right here in the Mon Valley, we have dozens of properties being sold and bought on eBay by owners who never see the property but hope to make a profit by simply flipping it.

In Charleroi, an investor from New York

purchased a handful of properties out of tax sale and

is now listing them for sale without having done a

single thing to them. Local officials must have a way

of bringing these owners to PA for prosecution if they

don't fix their properties.

And so I would also like to say a few words with tools that can help us deal with the existing vacant and blighted buildings. Addressing blight is largely a local responsibility, yet state law provides the framework for the acquisition and disposition of blighted and abandoned property, especially when code enforcement fails or is inadequate. State law sets out the range of allowable practices under state tax law, eminent domain, property donation, urban redevelopment, and spot condemnation.

In Allegheny County, we have developed a

- - - -

2.0

wonderful relationship with the Redevelopment
Authority of Allegheny County. This entity is
empowered to use eminent domain to take abandoned
property and transfer it to our nonprofit. They also
use this for their side yard program, which has been
very successful. Usually it cost them about \$4,000 to
run it through all the legal costs, and they can
transfer property free and clear to a qualified owner.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: This is called the side yard program?

MR. VAN HAITSMA: Actually, it's called the vacant property recovery program.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Can you get us some information on that?

MR. VAN HAITSMA: Sure. I can get you a contact person for it.

In the last five years, we have acquired approximately 150 abandoned properties in our communities, almost all of which were properties that had long been abandoned and owner could not be found. Unfortunately, of course, there are tens of thousands of abandoned parcels in our region that fit this description. This tool allows us to acquire those in key areas for redevelopment. Without this option for public taking, we would not have been able to make the

- - - -

high impact in our neighborhoods.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

In our non-Allegheny County communities, we generally have to deal with tax sales and repository The tax sale laws date back to the 1920s when Pennsylvania was still an industrial power. Tax sale is one of the main ways that property transfer takes place when something goes wrong and taxes end up being Tax foreclosure and mortgage foreclosure, for unpaid. example, go hand in hand, but the tax sale laws are blind to the interests of the next-door neighbors or the community. The highest bidder can get the property, whether or not that person has dozens of unabated code violations outstanding, whether or not they have the wherewithal to fix up the property, or even if they have the desire to fix up the property. Yet the law says the property must go to the highest bidder, regardless.

While Pennsylvania's tax sale laws provide a sound revenue generating mechanism, it unfortunately has become a big tool for the proliferation of blight. Without being modernized, it is hamstringing the ability of local officials to get a handle on a problem property or a problem owner. I would recommend amending this law so that local government has the right to review a sale before it is finalized

- - - -

2.0

and they can deny the high bidder if they have a high history of being a flipper or have outstanding code violations.

These, of course, are all acquisition tools.

It doesn't make sense for us or anyone else to acquire these properties without continued funding for housing and redevelopment activities to bring these properties back to productive use. The state has been a powerful partner in our efforts to do comprehensive redevelopment programs.

PFHA's home ownership choice program, which provided funds to revitalize concentrated areas in a neighborhood to create a new stable market, has been an incredibly powerful tool for us to do comprehensive redevelopments in Homestead, Braddock, North Braddock, and Swissvale. This allowed us to leverage additional millions of dollars of funding to create over 70 units of new and rehabbed housing and return over 150 vacant properties back to the tax rolls.

We have had similarly strong success with DCED's HRA funding. However, as you know, with a downturn in the economy, funds for these programs have greatly been cut back.

Finally, I want to thank you, Representative Daley, for your leadership in moving legislation that

- - - -

would create a statewide housing trust fund that could be administered by PHFA. I strongly support this legislation. I think PHFA has a proven track record of administering funds and would provide a reputable vehicle for distributing National Housing Trust Funds and future funding that may become available for the state's housing needs.

MVI is encouraged that progress is being made to modernize the blighted property system. Our organization is proof that blight can be addressed, properties can be fixed up and returned to the market, communities can be revitalized, but it takes a lot of leadership, vision, and innovation. These changes cannot happen without a strong partnership of state, local, private investment, and nonprofit community contributions.

Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you. Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Our next testifier will be Joanna Deming.

MS. DEMING: Good afternoon. My name is Joanna Deming. I am here on behalf of the Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, which Doug is on our board.

- - - -

The Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, as Doug mentioned, was founded in 1958, and we work for a safe, decent, affordable, accessible home for every Pennsylvanian.

2.0

We are all here this afternoon to discuss the issue of blighted property and to look for solutions to that problem. But before I turn to specifics, I'd like to step back and look at how blight relates to the larger issue of a well-functioning housing market. Clearly, at the moment we do not have a well-functioning housing market. It is our belief that the problems in the housing market run much deeper than those caused by exotic mortgages or high unemployment. As we see it, the housing market is out of balance and has been for a long time.

From our perspective, a well-functioning housing market is one that offers quality homes in reach of all Pennsylvanians, whether it's market-rate home-ownership opportunities, low-cost home-ownership opportunities, market-rate rental opportunities, or low-cost rentals, and supportive housing for those who can't live independently. It should be part of a larger marketplace that integrates residential, commercial, social, and cultural opportunities.

This is our vision of strong communities,

- - - -

2.0

places where people of all walks of life can live, work, go to school, raise families, and retire. But this is not what we have. Our housing market does not provide for low-wage workers or people on fixed incomes. Nor does it support a variety of communities, including rural, suburban, and urban. Our housing market is out of balance economically and geographically.

Of course, there is good reason why the market does not provide affordable homes to people with low incomes and why it does not support our older communities as well. The reason is economics. It simply costs more to build or rehab a home than a low-wage worker can pay. Similarly, rehabbing a blighted property costs way more than its after-rehab market value. There is no incentive for private developers to do the work. I have enough confidence in the private market that if the private market could address blight and abandonment, then we would not be here today discussing these problems.

So what can we do? As a state, we can adjust our public policies to provide incentives to the private market and create balance in the marketplace. We can address barriers to development. We can set priorities for targeting resources and we can invest

- - - -

2.0

more resources. Every dollar invested will see a return, not only in the creation of more affordable homes, but also in dollars back to the communities and back to the state. The Housing Alliance released a report, "Rebuilding Pennsylvania's Housing Market," which showed that every dollar invested generates 1.62 to \$2.08 in the state's economy. It is essential for the well being of our Commonwealth and all its residents that we invest in our communities and in homes within reach of all.

Over the past ten years, the General Assembly has taken steps to help eliminate blight and tip the scales toward revitalizing our older communities. You have in front of you our "New Tools for Old Problems" outline. It lists many resources of which communities can avail themselves, and everyone in the room has a copy and it may be useful.

We're working with Sustainable Pittsburgh to help educate people concerning these tools and implement a regional strategy to address blight. I will not read through the entire list, but I would like to highlight a few that may be useful to folks in the room.

The first is conservatorship. We now have a process for the court appointment of a third party to

- - - -

2.0

handle the rehabilitation of a property when the owner has disappeared or refuses to act. This is a very significant step forward. Communities across the Commonwealth are beginning to use it. Actually, the Borough of St. Clair in Schuylkill County used it last summer, and Pittsburgh and Philadelphia are working on implementing it as well. We have staff dedicated to assist in implementing the law and a resource page on our website, housingalliancepa.org.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Can I ask you a question? The Borough of St. Clair, for most people, at least from western Pennsylvania who haven't been to St. Clair, which is off Interstate 81 in Schuylkill County, St. Clair is an old coal mining community, very much like what we consider patches in our area. St. Clair is very much like that with a little downtown, am I correct? And how did that turn out for them?

MS. DEMING: They ended up actually using it for demolition. They transferred the property to the neighbor and the neighbor demolished the site.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Once they demolished the site, did they take ownership of the site?

MS. DEMING: The neighbor took ownership, yeah. The neighbor had ownership of the site. So

- - - -

similar to a side yard program that they used it for.

2.0

The municipal housing code avoidance:

Repeated housing code violations are now a criminal offense, so that's one way we have of holding people accountable, that it is a criminal offense now. It can be used to motivate recalcitrant owners.

The elimination of the redemption period:

Purchasers at tax sale no longer have to wait years

before beginning work on the property they bought if

it's vacant. Under the real estate tax sale law,

there is no longer a right of redemption. Someone

can't come back and get the property. Under the

Municipal Claims and Tax Lien Law, it has been reduced

to nine months for occupied properties, so if it is

occupied, there is still nine months. As a practical

matter, title companies wait that nine months to make

sure that nobody is in the property.

Right of first refusal is a law that passed; however, it was put into the wrong part of the law.

But it does allow municipalities and redevelopment authorities to acquire properties needed and keep properties from slum landlords. So it was passed, but it's just in the wrong part of the law, and Representative Perzel introduced another law to put it in the right part of the law. It's kind of what we've

- - - -

been talking about, giving the municipality kind of control to make sure that properties aren't getting, you know, to an eBay person or somebody who owns all these other properties.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Do you know the House Bill number?

MS. DEMING: Yes. It's House Bill 1917.

Prohibited purchasers: The General Assembly has set forth instances where a purchase at sale can be avoided because the purchaser has outstanding code violations, has lost a rental license, or is tax delinquent. So you can prohibit certain people from buying properties in your municipality if they are a known offender or if they have code violations.

So we have these new laws and they are all useful, but they obviously haven't solved the problem. For one thing, people need to be educated about them. For another, as you've heard today, each story has its own situation, its own problem, and we really need a diverse set of tools to get at these different problems.

A couple initiatives in the works right now in this session: Representative Wheatley introduced a bill that would allow someone who lived in a home for ten years after the owner of record has disappeared to

- - - -

2.0

petition the court for title. It's House Bill 1322. And then House Bill 1917, that's the one we mentioned before about the right of first refusal. House Bill 712, Representative Taylor introduced the bill to create land banks to hold vacant property that is not purchased at tax sale and ready it for development. So the land bank law would help people be able to clear title and be able to market that property for development.

However, Pennsylvania is now getting some technical assistance from the Center for Community Progress in DC, working with experts in Flint, to have actually turned the land banking model on its head and been able to make the land bank self-supporting and raise revenue. Dan Kildee was in the Capitol for a brief last month.

There is also the blight bills. The Housing Alliance was invited by Senator Rhoades to be a part of the blight task force which helped craft last session's Senate Bill 1291 and House Bill 2445, known generally as the "blight bills." These bills proposed a number of initiatives, one, allowing municipalities to attach the assets, which Doug talked about, of the property owners, not just lien the property; allowing the state municipality to deny permits to persons with

- - - -

2.0

outstanding code violations; placing greater
responsibility for property maintenance on lenders who
initiate foreclosure, because right now, lenders
initiate foreclosure and never take the deed, so there
is no one to maintain the property, they don't have a
liability, and it's a real problem; creating a
statewide database of properties with code violations;
providing grants to municipalities for code
enforcement to really vamp that up; and allow for
specialized housing courts so there is one point
person on housing that tracks the progress of the
person fixing up their property and holds them
accountable.

And then this session, Senator Argall has continued the Blight Task Force and introduced Senate Bill 900, which is a shorter version of Senate Bill 1291. And, Representative Daley, we acknowledge your interest in a House bill.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Our bill is the one you just described. Our bill is sort of like 1291.

MS. DEMING: The Rhoades bill.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: What we're trying to do, as you can see from these hearings, is to fortify it with some other language.

MS. DEMING: Make sure it's

- - - -

comprehensive.

2.0

MR. MILLER: Exactly. I haven't really talked to Pete too much about this. Just generally speaking, I think we need to get the draft back on the website so more people can see it. I'm reluctant to have a bill number assigned right now, because I think the amendment process would be so cumbersome, because there are so many different ideas out there about how we address blight, that in order to build -- I'd rather spend a little bit of time building a better draft and then perhaps not have it amended as much on the floor or in committee, so that's what our thoughts are there.

MS. DEMING: Getting some more input so you can make sure it's what you want.

MR. MILLER: Right, that the draft is as close to perfect as it can be before we put a bill number on it.

MS. DEMING: I'm just going to wrap up.

I just wanted to mention House Bill 60, which is your bill, Mr. Chairman, and Senate Bill 599 introduced by Senator Yaw. As I said earlier, none of this work, not the provision of affordable homes or the remediation of blight can happen without an investment from the state, and we are working to establish a

- - - -

state housing trust fund now, even though it will be funded by federal dollars for now. When Congress approves the proposed \$1 billion nationally to capitalize the fund, it will mean 34 million for Pennsylvania that can go towards redeveloping housing, which is, you know --

CHAIRMAN DALEY: I'm not shaking my head because I'm saying no. You do not know the aggravation we've been through with this bill. We had it on the floor ready to go and our leaders pulled the plug on it two weeks ago. We sent it, as a compromise, back to our committee to do some drafting, and they said they didn't want any amendments after we agreed to put amendments in, and we are bringing the bill back out and we're going for a touchdown. We're going to put everyone's feet to the fire.

MS. DEMING: I understand there has been some issues with amendments, and, actually, the Housing Alliance is meeting with (inaudible) as we speak.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: That's wonderful.

MR. MILLER: That occurred yesterday, I

believe, right?

2.0

MS. DEMING: It's right now.

MR. MILLER: Right now?

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Oh, good. We've been 2 trying to educate them on the issue. 3 MS. DEMING: Hopefully, we'll see some

progress and it won't be a discussion. The only discussion we'll have to have is down the road with state investment.

But thank you so much for this opportunity to address you, for your continuing efforts to eliminate blight, strengthen our communities, and really address the needs of lower income Pennsylvanians.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you. Any questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you very much, Joanna. And now we have Bethany.

MS. DAVIDSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Please identify yourself for the record, Bethany.

MS. DAVIDSON: My name? Bethany Davidson. I'm the deputy director of the Pittsburgh Community Reinvestment Group, and I am also the staff person to the City of Pittsburgh's Vacant Property Working Group.

> CHAIRMAN DALEY: Naked property? MS. DAVIDSON: Vacant. Slightly

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- - - -

different topic areas.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: I was going to say, that's a whole definition I hadn't found. That happens when you hit 55 and start losing your hearing.

MS. DAVIDSON: To give you a little bit of background, PCRG is a membership group of Pittsburgh's low-to-moderate income neighborhoods, and right now, we represent 40 of the 90 neighborhoods, which is just about half of the city's population. The Vacant Property Working Group was convened 15 years ago and includes additional non-PCRG members, other neighborhoods in the city and outside of the City of Pittsburgh, so we do have coverage in all of Allegheny County.

This has been a coalition of community stakeholders, both neighborhood -- both individuals in neighborhoods, neighborhood-based CDCs and community-based organizations and government agencies that work collectively towards the eradication of vacant and nuisance properties in the City of Pittsburgh and Allegheny County.

Some facts that I know you've heard, but it's good to keep some of the numbers in the forefront of our mind, is that the City of Pittsburgh has lost over 50 percent of its population in the last 50 years. We

- - - -

2.0

can talk about the region and we can talk about the urban areas, but urban/suburban/rural areas across

Pennsylvania all have common issues and we are all losing population here in southwestern Pennsylvania.

Some of our neighborhoods, as much as 90 percent of the population has gone in the last 50 years, for various reasons. They've moved away, aging populations have passed, they've gone into the suburbs, but they've left the city. And then you compound that with the recent foreclosure crisis and you have a lot of abandoned structures. And what do you do with that infrastructure that was built 70 years ago to house a population of 600-, 700-, 800,000 people that now is 330,000.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Bethany, let me ask you a question. With your experience nationally, do you see this type of phenomenon like a Braddock phenomenon, the 90 percent loss, this is sort of like a ghost town in the silver mines and nickel mines and the gold mines that were out West.

Do you see that anywhere else in modern

America that we've seen in the last 50 years, this

absolute --

MS. DAVIDSON: Sure. There is definitely a commonality in what you call the "rust belt cities,"

- - - -

2.0

the Detroits and Clevelands and Buffalos and St.

Louis, when industries that were flourishing in the
'40s and '50s started to leave and the jobs left and
the people went with them. So we are not alone in
this problem, but I can't speak as much to the West
Coast. I don't know if Joanna or anyone else can, but
certain industries --

CHAIRMAN DALEY: So you're saying it's really northeast United States?

MS. DAVIDSON: Kind of northeast/midwest corridor.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: I heard a figure the other day that absolutely baffled me that in Harrisburg, 75 percent of Harrisburg's growth has been over the last 25 -- the Harrisburg region, Harrisburg, Lancaster, Carlisle. Seventy-five percent growth over the last 25 years. And I'm flabbergasted, because I've been there over 25 years and I remember when there were not as much as there is now, but that is so untypical for anywhere else.

MS. DEMING: The northeast tier has gotten a lot of influx from Jersey.

MS. DAVIDSON: We're considered a New York suburb at this point.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: That's another

- - - -

2.0

phenomenon that I know our committee has dealt with, all this new housing starts in the Pocono region and that whole area because it's so close to New York and a lot of people are coming into that region for homes that are 300,000, but you buy them in Jersey near the city, it's 600,000. But that's a whole other issue that we have to deal with on foreclosures and things.

MS. DAVIDSON: Southwestern Pennsylvania and some of the other rust belt cities or midwest cities have seen not the spike in foreclosure that the rest of the country has seen, but a steady increase. But our abandonment is the same or higher. Other places have just kind of joined us recently because they have had that spike.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Ohio has been as much or higher than we have been. As a matter of fact, their foreclosure rate was twice of Pennsylvania's. We don't have the numbers -- I think the last thing I saw was 11,000 foreclosures this year, being 2009, but it was like 22,000 or 21,000 in the state of Ohio.

MS. DAVIDSON: Exactly. We've just had -- we've been above them for longer. We've had a higher foreclosure rate for 40 years, so they have a higher rate now because they have more occupied structures to be foreclosed on, essentially.

- - - -

CHAIRMAN DALEY: We've been experiencing the pain for a longer period of time than anyone else.

MS. DAVIDSON: Exactly.

MR. BURNS: We're precocious in foreclosures. We're ahead of our time.

2.0

MS. DAVIDSON: So we do have over 20,000 abandoned lots and structures in the City of Pittsburgh, which is 12 percent of our housing stock. The national average is just under 10 percent, so we are above the abandonment. And that number is actually just a couple of years old, so you add to that the foreclosures and we're even higher at this point.

Fifty percent of our housing stock was built before 1940, which means that it's 70 years old plus at this point, and some of it is wearing out of its life span and needs to be retrofitted and revitalized. Some of it should be demolished and there is the opportunity for that, but you have to prioritize.

And I wanted to thank you for your leadership, Chairman Daley, and for the committee members here today for hearing and listening to us. Blight is far from a sexy topic, but it affects us all -- I think that went on the record -- and there are factors that affect blight that you've heard of today, whether it's

- - - -

2.0

tax delinquency, neglect, crime, foreclosure, abandonment, code violations, and we have to deal with it in a multi-tiered way. We have to deal with it when there is structures, when there is not structures, when there is a known owner, an unknown owner, occupied, not occupied. You understand the scope of the problem, so no one tool is going to solve this problem.

Right now in the City of Pittsburgh, we have a statute on the book that currently provides for permit denials if someone has code violations. Let me tell you, that piece of the code, that statute is really hard to enforce. One of the biggest gaps we have is an information resource gap. We're trying to get online and up to speed so the different departments can be integrated, but it comes down to data and it comes down to information.

And one of the benefits of where this code registry legislation could address the problem is when you have a known point of contact. You can deal with the structures where you know that there is an owner and you know someone that's present. It doesn't necessarily get to the abandonment issue, or they might have an abandoned piece of their portfolio, but if there is someone that's there that's present that's

- - - -

2.0

knocking at the door that wants a permit to do something in one part of the state or in one part of the city and is ignoring their responsibilities as a landowner -- because let's be clear. Land ownership is a privilege, but it's also a responsibility to the rest of the public, and if they are not making good on that responsibility, we should have the tools in place to hold them accountable. And this code violation/code permit registry can get us to that, where there is someone that is known.

I'll give you a brief story and I'll just close with this story, and this is a very timely story that's happening right now in the City of Pittsburgh. There is a landowner that owns 80-plus properties in about seven neighborhoods concentrated in the east end of the city crossing low-, medium-, and high-value markets, and you can go on the county assessment page and look at the most recent assessment or market value or, you know, the ones that are A rated, B rated, C rated, D rated, F rated, and they very much correlate to the neighborhood's corresponding market values.

And this landowner has, in the past, gotten permits and done rehabilitation work and kept the properties that he owns in the higher value markets up to date, attractive, and out of code violation, but

- - - -

2.0

the other bulk of his portfolio, 65 of the 80-plus, are concentrated in four neighborhoods with low market values and he has done no work on them. They are all tenant or abandoned and has recently gone belly-up on the entire portfolio. So now you have 80 structures in foreclosure, 12 different banks that hold the mortgages, and a community that's now facing what do we do with these 80 properties.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Which community is that?

MS. DAVIDSON: He is in the east end of

Pittsburgh, so it's the Garfield/Lawenceville/East

Liberty/Highland Park/Shadyside/Squirrel Hill

neighborhoods, and it kind of stretches from the

Allegheny down to closer to the Monongahela.

So now you have a community that's dealing with -- or four communities trying to work together to deal with the bulk of the delapidated housing part of his portfolio that is in their neighborhoods and you have people that live in some of them. And by no means does nonprofit community groups or the municipality want to create additional homelessness by forcing people out of their homes, but communities and the City of Pittsburgh are very reticent to recycle property or to take property and transfer it into another community group or public name if it is

- - - -

occupied, because there is a public perception that they are creating homelessness, and it's very expensive with the Federal Relocation Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So if we talk about additional resources or tools in the toolbox, maybe we can learn a lesson from the foreclosure crisis, because one thing that banks have done now that they have gotten -- they have these huge portfolios of land or houses. Some banks in other states are actually being forced to take them into their name, so they are now a liability on their books and they don't want them there. They are allowing people to stay in their homes and become tenants or renters. So to provide that additional opportunity for municipalities or community groups to become property managers of these properties where there are tenants that live in them, but it's tax delinquent and code delinquent and we can't get the owners to come forward to do their public responsibility and get it up to code, is there a way to transfer that ownership and the management of that property into responsible hands?

So I think it's a multi-tiered problem, and I thank you for your leadership and I look forward to working with the committee and yourself,

Representative Daley, on this bill for any further

- - - -

comments or help we can provide as you take it to the next step, because we do see it as an important piece in filling the gap to provide the information to municipalities to really be able to stop delinquency and abandonment in our communities.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Thank you very much. Any questions from the committee?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN DALEY: As we do at all our public hearings, is there anyone in the public that wishes to state something on the record, please come forward.

I ask you to use the microphone, identify yourself, and state your name and where you live.

MS. URICK: Can I drop these off?

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Sure. Is this up on

Zulu?

2.0

MS. URICK: Yes. My name is Rosemary Urick, California Borough, 1201 Zulu Street.

As you're looking through those pictures, I want to tell you I have dealt with this situation since 2005. The former code enforcement officer did nothing to help resolve this problem. Now current council members are saddled with this problem. I also have Washington County Housing Authority, California

- - - -

1 Terrace, plus there is a home on Peach Street that is 2 filled with trash and junk cars. I did not take 3 pictures of these places due to legal issues. Mention 4 was made --5 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Rose, what would be the 6 legal issues you have to be concerned about? 7 MS. URICK: People don't like you taking 8 pictures. 9 CHAIRMAN DALEY: You can take pictures. 10 That doesn't fall under some privacy issue. You're 11 not invading their privacy if it's a nuisance or 12 health hazard. Those are from 2009. Can you take 13 some pictures for me, more recent pictures? 14 MS. URICK: Sure. 15 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Because is Zulu still 16 like that? We're going to try to do something to help 17 you out. 18 What house on Peach Street, above you on Peach 19 Street? 2.0 MS. URICK: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Near the playground? 22 MS. URICK: No. It's 1011 Peach Street. 23 It's actually -- the owner is not living there. 24 CHAIRMAN DALEY: Who is the owner?

MS. URICK: Gaskel, Bill Gaskel

25

- - - -

2.0

(phonetic). It started with his stepdaughter moving in a couple years ago. They more or less, I guess, forced Bill out of the house and she was is there with who I'm assuming is her husband. I'm not going to say definitely it is. I don't know, but that's not an issue here. The house continually got worse. There is junk cars there, the inspections are run out. The porch is full of all kinds of garbage, trash, inside furniture. It's a mess.

And the park, I was going to mention there was mention made of putting in parks. Well, the park, you're aware of the issue?

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Yeah, right.

MS. URICK: It's a mess. It turned into a drug haven. And people go up there, there is trash, and they fly up and down Peach Street. We're constantly picking up everybody else's beer bottles and their trash.

Let's see here. The Cross Street property was sold to -- am I permitted to give names here?

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Yeah. That's public information, right, Debbie? That's public information. Debbie gives me the thumbs up.

MS. URICK: The Cross Street property was sold to a William Chip Dolfi in 2007. He paid

- - - -

 $\parallel$  1.3 million for the apartment building.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DALEY: I know Zulu very well.

I used to live -- I understand the area very well.

MS. URICK: He did absolutely nothing.

He made no payments, and Debbie can verify that they've not paid their taxes. And the last I checked, it was, I think, around \$5,000 they owe for taxes on that, and Huntington Bank took the property back now. There is people over there working. What they are doing, I have no idea.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: As chairman of the banking committee, I have very close contacts with the vice president of Huntington Bank in Ohio. We will contact them. What I need, because it's a local issue, I need you, number one, to give me some pictures of what the problems are, and I will contact Huntington Bank as soon as you get me those immediately, and we'll talk to the vice president for governmental affairs. I will get that problem cleaned up for you, instead of us hearing about this local problem.

Just give it to Lorraine or Ron or bring it down to my office in California and come on down and see me down there. We'll work on it right there and we'll resolve the problem for you. You should not

- - - -

have to live with that crap on Zulu Street. That's baloney. Okay?

MS. URICK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN DALEY: Any other comments or questions?

Ladies, I want to thank all three of you for testifying, and for the elected officials that came, I want to thank you, all the people who testified.

Our next hearing is going to be tentatively scheduled for April 22<sup>nd</sup> in Philadelphia on economic development and some other issues. That's not set in stone, but it looks like it will be. Maybe not.

God bless you all. Meeting is adjourned.

(Hearing concluded at 2:56 o'clock p.m.)

- - -

- - - -

 $\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{N}$ 

I hereby certify pursuant that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the House Commerce Committee Public Hearing on Neighborhood Blight on Thursday, April 8, 2010.

The foregoing certification does not apply to any reproduction of this transcript in any respect unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.

\_\_\_\_\_

Lisa Ann Bauer Certified Realtime Reporter