COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

LABOR RELATIONS
COMMITTEE HEARING

EAST WING

ROOM 60

HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2010 9:00 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON
HOUSE BILL 19 & HOUSE BILL 2515
PROVIDING FOR THE
REGULATION OF CHILD LABOR

BEFORE:

HONORABLE MARC J. GERGELY, MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE JARET GIBBONS

HONORABLE TIM SEIP

HONORABLE GENE DIGIROLAMO, MINORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE SHERYL DELOZIER

HONORABLE KAREN BOBACK

HONORABLE SCOTT BOYD

HONORABLE JIM COX

HONORABLE JOHN R. EVANS

HONORABLE THOMAS R. MURT

HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL

HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY

HONORABLE ROSEMARIE SWANGER

KELSEY DUGO REPORTING
71 Willow Mill Park Road * Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
Phone: (704) 996-9514

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	MAJORITY COMMITTEE STAFF:
3 4 5 6	VICKI DiLEO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MARYANN ECKHART LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANT MINORITY COMMITTEE STAFF:
8	PAM HUSS ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
9	
10	
11	KELSEY J. DUGO, COURT REPORTER
12	NOTARY PUBLIC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	I N D E X		
2	TESTIFIERS		
3	NA ME	DAGE	
4	<u>NAME</u>	<u>PAGE</u>	
5	MARC GERGELY Chairman	4	
6 7	JARET GIBBONS Prime Sponsor of House Bill 19	5	
8	THOMAS MURT Prime Sponsor of House Bill 2515	6	
9	Executive Deputy Secretary BERNARD ORAVEC	16	
10		10	
11		37	
12	DEBORAH L. MUSSELMAN Director of Government Affairs	37	
13	CYBELE DALEY Vice President		
14		45	
15	PAUL PETERSEN President & Founder	54	
16	ALISON ARNGRIM	60	
17	JON PROVOST	60	
18	NANCY FOX		
19	National Director	92	
20	DIANE HEERY	101	
21	President of Heery Casting Company	101	
22	JOHN J. BELL Government Affairs Counsel	110	
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

2.1

* * *

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Good morning and welcome to the House of Labor Relations public hearing. This hearing will be on House Bill 19 and House Bill 2515. As the tradition of the House of Labor Relations Committee, I would ask that you please rise and say the Pledge of Allegiance lead by Rep. Boback.

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Starting with Rep. Perry, would you please introduce yourself and the county that you're from.

REP. PERRY: Rep. Scott Perry, Northern York and Southern Cumberland.

REP. BOYD: Rep. Scott Boyd from the 43rd District, which is a portion of Lancaster County.

REP. SWANGER: Good morning. I'm Rep. RoseMarie Swanger and I represent a portion of Lebanon County and House District 102.

REP. BOBACK: Good morning. I'm Rep. Karen

Boback, House District 117, portions of Wyoming, Luzerne and

Columbia Counties.

REP. O'NEILL: Good morning. I'm Rep. Bernie
O'Neill from the 29th Legislative District in the center of
Bucks County. I actually bud up right next to my neighbor
there, Rep. Murt and represent the famous community of New
Hope.

CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Rep. Gene DiGirolamo. I'm the Republican Chairman of the Committee from Bucks County and the 18th Legislative District.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Good morning. I'm Rep. Marc Gergely, Democratic Vice Chairman from Allegheny County.

REP. SEIP: Rep. Tim Seip, representing part of Schuylkill and part of Berks Counties, the home of the 1925 NFL champion Pottsville Maroons.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I will now hand the mike over to Rep. Murt and Rep. Gibbons for opening remarks respective to their legislation.

REP. GIBBONS: Thank you, Rep. Gergely. I want to thank the Committee for coming together today to discuss House Bill 19 and House Bill 2515.

This is certainly an important issue as we're working to update our Child Labor Law to really meet the changing employment markets that are going on and the employment opportunities that have come about for young people and make sure that we allow them to learn, to engage and to be part of the work communities so that they can really learn for their future, but also not be taken advantage of by unscrupulous employers who might take advantage of their youth and naivete.

So I think the most important thing here is that we work together to craft a bill that is going to protect children while allowing them to continue to grow and learn and be a part

of our work force, as they have been for many years.

2.1

The legislation that I have introduced, House Bill 19, is a result of a bipartisan effort that has produced a bill that, really, from hearings that have take place throughout the past several years on previous legislation and the Department has brought that together and produced this bill, which I was proud to introduce on their behalf so that we can work to really bring together, along with Rep. Murt and his legislation, a great final product that will be able to help all of the young people in our future and help out the business communities.

So I am looking forward to working with all of the stakeholders that we're going to hear from today so that we can get this to be a final product that we can get through the House and hopefully to the Governor's desk sometime. If not, I know we're near at the end of this session, but if not at the end of this session, certainly quickly into the next session.

I thank you very much and I look forward to hearing all the testifiers today.

REP. MURT: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for the opportunity to testify today. Before I make my remarks, I just want to express my gratitude to the Executive Director of the Labor Relations Committee, Vicki DiLeo, for doing such an outstanding job of coordinating this hearing and bringing together any diverse stakeholders who have an interest

in this legislation. Vicki is certainly an asset to the House of Representatives.

2.1

Mr. Chairman, my district, the 152nd, includes parts of both Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties.

Mr. Chairman, due to the outstanding resources that we have here in the Commonwealth of PA, our state has become home to a growing number of television and film productions. As more productions come into our Commonwealth, we have a responsibility to discern our laws to ensure they are sufficient to enable these productions to occur safely and that the interests of all involved are protected.

According to the Internet Movie Database, which aggregates data on feature films, television episodes, made-for-TV movies, TV series, direct-to-video movies, and live-action video games, 922 productions took place all or in part in PA during the period between 2002 and 2008. In 2002 alone, 31 films were released, which took place in PA. By 2008, that number increased to 175. That represents an average annual growth rate of 33 percent over that period.

As we are all well aware, a great deal of attention has been paid to one particular production here in PA, a production that features several children. While my bill would impact that production, I want to make it clear to the Committee that my legislation was not designed with one particular productions, television network, or group of people in mind.

Rather, my legislation is aimed at correcting the deficiencies in PA's Child Labor Law as it pertains to the entertainment industry.

2.1

A few months ago, the Republican Policy Committee held a hearing on this issue in my district. At that hearing, we heard testimony from officials at the Department of Labor and Industry, as well as from a number of experts and individuals who have been involved in television productions. Among them was Paul Petersen, who is here with us today. As you know, Paul was a very well-known child actor himself, having starred on the Donna Reed Show, and he also was an original Disney Mouseketeer. He knows first-hand, the pitfalls of involving a child in this kind of work without adequate protection for the child.

Based on testimony from that hearing and in consultation with a number of experts on this issue, I have developed House Bill 2515. This bill is aimed at addressing several holes in the current law. Specifically, my legislation would require all minors involved in television or film productions to have a work permit issued by the state Department of Labor and Industry, to ensure all adequate provisions have been made for the minor's educational instruction, supervision, health and welfare.

Second, it would restrict minors involved in television or film productions to work between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and

10:00 p.m. and set guidelines for amount of hours for work, recreation, school work, and activities per workday.

2.1

Third, it requires the presence of a "set teacher" on the set of any production. This person will serve as an advocate for the minor to ensure the minor's health, education, and moral safety on the set is assured. In addition, this person will monitor the working conditions, the minor's mental health, and the demands placed on the minor to ensure they are appropriate to the minor's age, strength, and stamina.

Finally, my bill will require that 15 percent of a minor's gross earnings from work in television or film productions be set aside by the employer in a trust fund for the minor. At least one parent or legal guardian shall be trustee of these funds, unless otherwise determined by a court.

Mr. Chairman, I am certainly willing to work with the Committee and other stakeholders to ensure the interests of PA's children are protected. As a matter of fact, I have already met with some concerned parties to discuss their specific areas of interest and also to identify common ground. Since drafting my legislation, I have heard from a number of people with an interest in this issue.

After consulting with them, I am already in the process of drafting an amendment that addresses the hours during which children under the age of seven may work in movie and television productions; clarifies the trust fund provisions to

ensure that the money is the property of the child; and, finally, adjusts the description of the set teacher to allow a certified teacher from another state already working with a production company to continue in that role here in PA.

2.1

Mr. Chairman, essentially, it's my conviction that PA's law must evolve to address current conditions of the entertainment industry. As the number of films and television shows produced in PA continues to increase, it is only sensible that we amend our laws to ensure that they address the particular issues associated with this industry. Nowhere, is that more important than on issues which have an impact on our children. We must ensure our child labor laws provide appropriate protections for the children involved. I believe my legislation will do that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I certainly would be willing to entertain any questions at this time.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you, Rep. Murt. Chairman DiGirolamo.

CHAIRMAN DIGIROLAMO: Thank you and I want to commend the both of you for taking on an issue which I think we all agree is really important here in PA. Maybe a question for both of you. I kind of get a sense that you're close to coming to a compromised bill. And, Rep. Murt, you said that you are willing to make some changes. Are you getting close to, from your talks with all of the stakeholders, in getting a bill that

maybe everyone will be to support?

2.1

REP. MURT: I would answer affirmative on that,

Mr. Chairman. We have met with some individuals who have

expressed concerns about our bill. We believe we've identified

a fair amount of common ground. We've also identified some

issues that we believe that we need to work out.

But we are talking, we are communicating, and we are certainly negotiating — if you want to call it that — in good faith. So I am confident and optimistic that we believe that we can find common ground without surrendering our position and certainly without compromising the welfare of the children involved and without compromising our legislative intent.

CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Okay. And how did you select the proposed work hours that the children would be allowed to work?

REP. MURT: That's a good question, Mr. Chairman. We selected those work hours based on the California model. California has some very, very strong and some very effective child labor laws as it relates to children that participate in the entertainment industry. And we have attempted to model much of what they do here in PA. So to answer the question, we selected that number from the California model.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN DIGIROLAMO: That's all, Mr. Chairman.} \\$ Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you. Rep. O'Neill.

REP. O'NEILL: Thank you. Rep. Murt, I have a question. In your opening remarks, you said that you're adjusting the description of a state teacher to allow certified teachers from another state or are you working with the production company to continue here in PA? Why is that?

2.1

REP. MURT: Very good question, Rep., and the answer is that there are some certified teachers that already provide oversight and educational instruction to child performers in other states. And we believe that there should be some accommodations in a legislation that allow these certified teachers that might come into PA for a period of, assuming that they have the proper credentials and that they are qualified to do so, to work on the set and so forth. And this was actually a suggestion that we received from one of the stakeholders. Someone had expressed a concerned that some of the production companies have very, very qualified and very good teachers, certified teachers in the other states that sometimes follow the show around and they wanted to be able to bring those teaches into PA to stay with the program and that's where that came from.

REP. O'NEILL: I guess my concern is that the qualifications and certifications to be a teacher in PA, the standards are higher than a lot of states. So I guess my concern is where these teachers would be certified and if they have the background and knowledge of the standards that are

required in PA to teach and what they are teaching because these children are PA residents and they are required to meet PA standards by the Department of Education.

2.1

So I guess that would be my concern with all of that. But I just wanted to bring that point up.

REP. MURT: That's a very legitimate concern and I know that you are a certified teacher representative and you have taught for many, many years and I am also a certified teacher and I would absolutely share those concerns.

And as we work out that particular issue, I think that, as you mentioned, it would be important to assess the certification requirements, perhaps, in another state to ensure that they are at least as strong as PA's in terms of curriculum instruction, educational theory and policy, educational psychology and so forth.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Boback.

REP. BOBACK: Rep. Murt or Rep. Gibbons, are you saying then that our child labor laws, as they pertain to child actors, children to perform, are much weaker than states, for example, like New York or California?

REP. MURT: That is a good question, Rep. Boback, and the answer is that every industry evolves, every industry changes and the entertainment industry evolves and changes as well. When our child labor laws were written many, many years ago, there was no such thing as reality TV. Of course, now,

reality TV is very poplar, very lucrative genre and entertainment and we believe that this needs to be identified in the child labor laws.

So I think to answer your question, I don't believe our laws are as strong as California or New York. I think that was your question. And the answer is yes, we are not as good as California or New York in terms of our child labor law as it relates to the entertainment industry.

REP. BOBACK: Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Outside of the scope of the entertainment industry, how much effect do you have broadly on child labor law in PA?

REP. GIBBONS: For his bill or this bill?
CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Both bills.

REP. GIBBONS: I think you're going to see a comparison coming up later from the Department. I know House Bill 19 does go beyond the entertainment industry to touch any industry, really, every industry in the state that utilizes child labor, whether that be your local paperboy or papergirl, the convenience store clerk or anyone else. It goes beyond just the entertainment industry looking at the changes that are necessary and I think we'll be seeing some of those changes and we'll be hearing from a lot of those industries, like I said, newspapers, farms, everything utilizes that child labor. So we're looking at doing all of that, but certainly the

entertainment industry is probably one of the larger ones that where I think some of the concerns have arisen. So I think that's certainly one of the important topics, but not the only one.

2.1

2.4

REP. MURT: Just briefly, Mr. Chairman, our bill is specific to the entertainment industry. We don't get into agriculture or anything like that. It's specific entertainment.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: The specificity is enough from the bill that there won't be any spinoff that would have impact on other industries then?

REP. MURT: No. We do not believe -- we believe that the legislation was crafted in such a way that it is specific to address the concerns and some of the problems that have evolved in the entertainment industry in the Commonwealth.

If I could just add, Mr. Chairman, PA, of course, has a film tax credit. We have outstanding resources, historical resources, architecture, geography. And the Commonwealth is a great place to film productions and to have those kinds of productions take place. And we believe that the number of productions coming to the Commonwealth will increase. And we think that that's one of the reasons that 2515 is very relevant.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Seip.

REP. SEIP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just very

quickly on the point of teacher qualifications. It was mentioned earlier that a child who is living here in PA would be typically or would have to be schooled by someone who meets our standards. I understand that.

You may have an actor, let's just say for today's discussions, that's seven years old that's filming a movie maybe in North Carolina or somewhere else. They come here to PA, it would be a shame if they couldn't continue that same student-teacher relationship with someone that they're already familiar with, someone they're already half way into the school year. I'm glad that you've given that consideration in your bill.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you, Representatives.

And I invite you to join us on the Committee here for the additional testifiers.

REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: I would like to ask Robert
O'Brien, the Executive Deputy Secretary of the Department of
Labor & Industry to please step forward.

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: Good morning, Chairman DiGirolamo, Chairman Gergely and members of the House Relations Committee. I am Robert O'Brien, and I am Executive Deputy Secretary of the Department of Labor & Industry. On behalf of Secretary Sandi Vito, thank you for the opportunity

to provide comments on House Bill 19 and House Bill 2515, both of which would repeal PA's current Child Labor Law and replace it with a new Child Labor Act. With me are Deputy Chief Counsel James Holzman and Assistant Counsel Kathryn McDermott Speaks.

2.1

2.4

To allow more time for questions and because of your schedule this morning, I will merely summarize my testimony.

House Bill 19 includes the Department's recommended updates for the legislature's consideration. And we thank Rep. Gibbons for introducing it. We also acknowledge and appreciate Rep. Murt's concern on the issue as evidenced by his introduction of House Bill 2515.

The enforcement of child labor standards is an important Department function. We hope we can work together to create a more comprehensive Child Labor Law that suitably reinforces child labor protections, and clarifies and strengthens the Department's ability to enforce its provision for the benefit of minors and industry in the Commonwealth.

Current law, which dates from 1915, is antiquated, confusing, and has not evolved along with PA's occupational diversity. Updates are needed because the Department's ability to regulate the employment of minors has been constrained by deficiencies with the current law.

The approval process and enforcement tools under the existing law are outdates, not to mention inconsistent with the

Federal Fair Labor Standards Act. Stricter criminal penalties for violations of the Child Labor Law will help discourage potential violators if its requirements in all types of employment scenarios. The establishment of administrative prosecution capabilities will also streamline the penalty process and aid the Department in prosecuting violations in a more efficient manner.

2.1

We hope that discussions on updates to the current Child Labor Law will be crafted to protect minors in all industries.

Strengthening the protections for all working minors -not just those in the entertainment industry -- has been a
shared goal of the Department and the General Assembly through
prior sessions, focusing on a single sector unjustly neglects
the need for a comprehensive overhaul. In 2005, former
Representative, David Steil, introduced legislation which
passed the house, only to die in the Senate. Last session, he
introduced House Bill 2369, which was the subject of hearings
before this Committee.

House Bill 19 and House Bill 2515 draw much from last session's bill. As a demonstration of bipartisan intent, I also testified at the House Republican Policy Committee hearing on the need for updates to the law and expressed the Department's willingness to work with all legislators to improve on the current law.

There are significant problems with House Bill 2515 that must be corrected. In particular, this bill's definition of "employer" is specifically limited to the entertainment industry and does not address minors employed in all other industries.

2.1

House Bill 2515 also omits relevant language from the definition of "establishment" and Employ" that would allow the Department to prevent the exploitation of minors. House Bill 2515 is overly restrictive because its definition of employment only performed to minors who receive money. However, another party — such as a parent or guardian — is often paid for the minor's work.

In general, both House Bill 19 and House Bill 2515 are a big step in helping to alleviate the confusion often created by the current Child Labor Law. The current law is not well-organized and contains language that is often overly-wordy, difficult to follow and contradictory.

Both of these proposed bills are better organized, divided into sections governing the same subjects, have more explicit headings and are more clearly written. On this alone, both bills would allow for improved compliance and enforcement.

The bill's streamline of process for improving and issuing permits, particularly for entertainment, both fails to create a number of regular working permits from three to one and eliminate affidavits and physicals. Our Department annual

offices routinely receive questions concerning PA's child labor law in compliance with different state and federal child labor requirements.

2.1

2.4

Many well-intentioned employers are confused with complying with two different laws. Uniformity between federal and state child labor laws will benefit businesses and minors.

Both bills should also prohibit occupations deemed hazardous or prohibited under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act.

Current sanctions in the Child Labor Law are remarkably light and offer little deterrence. Violations are a criminal summary offense with a fine of \$200 to \$400 for a first offense. We must prosecute these cases fore the District Justice in the location where the violation occurred and extensive resources are expended to obtain these minimal penalties.

To remedy this, each bill grants the Department with the authority -- usually held by Commonwealth agencies -- to impose authority for Labor & Industry to issue corrective orders where appropriate.

House Bill 19 also provides for greater criminal penalties that are appropriate where an employer places a child in peril or violates other laws for child labor violations.

Criminal violations would be a misdemeanor of the third degree with a fine up to \$2,500 and for imprisonment up to 180 days.

While both bills give more access to records, House
Bill 19 also allows the Department to enter and inspect an
establishment to review the working condition for minors and to
examine and inspect information. These provisions, included in
the enforcement of other wage and hour laws, are not
specifically provided to the Department under the current Child
Labor Law.

2.1

The current Child Labor Law establishes maximum hours in non-school periods at 8 hours per day and 44 hours per week. House Bill 19 keeps these same hours as a reasonable limitation on the hours a minor may work. We disagree with House Bill 2515 in its expansion of these hours to 10 hours per day and 48 hours per week, and not setting restrictions on the time of day for employment.

Additionally, House Bill 19 provides for a clearer definition of "school vacation", allowing it to be established by the school district where the minor resides.

House Bill 2515 would allow minors who are at least 11 years old to be employed in the delivery of newspapers at 5:00 a.m. Employed this early in the morning is not in the best interest of a minor. House Bill 19 appropriately restricts delivery to the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

The Department regularly confronts difficulties concerning interpretation of provisions in the current law regarding the entertainment industry that hampers compliance

and enforcement, along with the new host of reality television, however, House Bill 19 would better address movies scripted television, commercials, theatre, modeling and more recent media forms such as the internet and pod casts in future entertainment. House Bill 2515 focuses on reality TV.

2.1

Furthermore, House Bill 2515's description of reality television is vague, are too legally enforced and may require permits, even for traditional news broadcasts.

House Bill 19 allows the Department to impose restrictions on permits that are necessary for the health, safety and welfare of minors, and to specifically address individual and unique situations. House Bill 19 allows permits to be revoked, especially if there is danger to the minor's well-being.

Consistent with current law and addressing situations such as fights and/or internet sites, House Bill 19 prohibits minors from engaging the fighting and from participating in acts that are hazardous to the minor's safety or well-being, which violates obscenity and sexual abuse laws. House Bill 2515 should also contain these restrictions.

House Bill 2515 contains new requirements for "Studio Teachers" to act as an advocate for minor employees and provide for the education and well-being of minors. While this proposal is worth examination, the current provisions present certain issues.

As proposed in House Bill 2515, studio teachers would be necessary for all entertainment forms, not just for reality television, scripted television and movies. And, studio teachers would be required for performances that last only a few short hours. Performances or rehearsals such as advertising for local businesses, semi-professional or community theatrical performances, radio voiceovers and appearances on weekends would require a studio teacher for the minor employee. This is not a practical requirement for short-term productions occurring after school or when school is not in session, particularly when a parent or guardian is present with their child.

2.1

House Bill 2515 does not require teachers to report information on alleged child labor violations to the Department. If studio teachers are utilized, there should be clearly stated penalties for an employer who prevents a studio teacher from performing their duties or complying with the teacher's direction. There is no authority to revoke these teacher's permits.

Another concern is that productions may be delayed, especially in the period after the bill takes effect, because of unavailability of studio teachers and restricting studio teachers to PA school teachers.

While both House Bill 2515 and House Bill 19 require conservation of at least 15 percent of a minor's earning from

performances in a trust account, House Bill 19 requires conservation of earnings in an irrevocable trust subject to requirements. This provides more security for the minor employee's earnings until they are able to manage their own affairs.

2.1

Interestingly, given the recent discussion about the ability to issue permits for minors under seven in the current law, is that House Bill 2515 does not clearly address the minimum age that a minor may perform. As written, Section 8 of House Bill 2515 allows the Department to issue permits to minors in performances, including reality television, without stating any ages. Section 8(c) then discusses limitation of hours for 7 to 11 year-old minors at all times.

It is possible for these provisions to be interpreted that permits cannot be issued to minors under seven years of age or there does not need to be restrictions for minors under seven because they may be too young for school. This ambiguity needs to be removed.

Both bills contain requirements on obtaining background checks on crew or cast members. House Bill 2515 does not prohibit crew or cast members from working on the set if they are convicted for certain crimes, but requires the employer to provide information on criminal background checks required under the Child Protective Services Law. It does not apply to a child labor law. It should be noted that the statute cited

in House Bill 2515 relates to child care service providers and cannot be used unless it is expressly incorporated into this

2.1

House Bill 19 provides more protection by enumerating the crimes prohibiting employment in the crew or cast and allowing the Department to prosecute an employer who employs crew members convicted of certain crimes by denying a permit if background information is not provided to the Department upon request.

House Bill 2515, once passed, would take effect in 90 days. The bill should become effective no sooner than 180 days, as in House Bill 19.

This would afford time for education and preparation for implementation. This would also enable the Department to distribute materials and meet with employers and other interested parties to prepare for the new law, as we did with the Prohibition of Excessive Overtime in Health Care Act -- Act 102 of 2008 -- and the Minimum Wage Act amendments from 2006.

As I have indicated, the current Child Labor Law is simply inadequate and hinders our ability to protect PA's minors.

House Bill 19 is clearly more comprehensive than House Bill 2515 and provides superior protection of both minors and the industry in PA. But, to advance a bipartisan effort to improve the current law, we are not opposed to taking the best

concepts from both bills to incorporate them into a workable bill for the legislature's consideration.

2.1

Again, I want to thank Rep. Murt and Rep. Gibbons for their hard work on this and in time -- at this time, we'll entertain any questions that the Committee may have.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you, Chairman O'Brien.

Before we begin questioning, I would like to welcome Rep.

Delozier and Rep. Cox to the hearing.

I do have a question related to the broad scope of the child labor law. Can you define any recent any child labor law violations in PA and the effect of the prosecution from them?

most recent prosecutions, one involved a chicken catching business where the principal of the school called the Department and I spoke to her, we got the information. She was concerned that the students were fully asleep in school, their arms and hands with pecked up. We prosecuted that case in multiple child labor violations.

In Schuylkill County, we prosecute two contractors on a construction site. We had a 15 and a 16-year-old working.

Here, again, multiple violations we've received convictions for.

In western PA, we had a 15-year-old working on a plumbing project. We pursued the child labor violations and got a victory there. Plus, it was a prevailing wage project,

so we actually debarred the contractor from doing work in PA for three years because of his action.

2.1

We recently prosecuted a case in a hotel where they were requiring the minors to work well past 11, more than 50 hours per week. We got a conviction in that case.

We also have a very good working relationship with the PA State Police, our Pittsburgh Bureau of Labor Law Compliance Office and our Altoona office get a lot of tips. I, myself, have been contacted several times by the state police with tips to look into things, which has lead to violations and prosecutions.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Any specific industry where they seem to be most predominate?

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY: It's across the board. I mean, we get between 3 and 400 child labor complaints a year. A lot of them are simply the work permits are not done right or perhaps the minor didn't get the proper break. We settle that with just a warning letter.

We're finding it across the board, whether it be construction, whether it be chicken catching -- I didn't even know such a thing existed until I got a phone call from that principal of the school -- it's across the board. It's not specific to one region of the state.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Last question. I have Kennywood Park in my district. Would this effect Kennywood's

ability to hire their minors in any sense? I don't see anybody 1 2 from the amusement park industry on the list to testify, so I just maybe wanted to clarify. 3 EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: 4 believe it would. I don't believe it would at all. 5 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Everything currently would 6 7 stand --EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: I would think 8 9 -- we've had a lot of businesses who have contacted the Department because they are confused between the Federal Fair 10 11 Labor Standards Act and PA's child labor law. By us mirroring 12 in many instances, the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, I think it would be easier on business, not harder. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Could you provide to us the 15 information, not every detail, but where the prosecutions have occurred, the penalties have been enforced? The construction 16 17 industry obviously peaks my ears and makes me pay attention a 18 lot more when you have skilled trained craftsmen, especially on a prevailing rate job, and you have 15 year-olds doing the work 19 20 that should be done by somebody that has an opportunity to make 2.1 a living wage. That would inspire me to pursue this in a lot 22 of ways. 23 EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: I would be 24 happy to share that information with the Committee. 25 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you. Rep. Boyd.

REP. BOYD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.1

The legislative district that I represent has a substantial Amish community. And, I guess, as kind of a broad-based question, would the provisions in either of these bills have an impact on their traditional way of life and the traditional methodology of young people working on a family farm or working in a family business that's associated with the farm?

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: I believe that the family farm would be exempt from this legislation because as it currently is --

REP. BOYD: Right, but if you follow the tradition of the family farm in PA, it's next to impossible to make a living as a family farmer these days. So most of them, particularly in the Amish community will have ancillary businesses that support the farm.

Maybe they'll make gazebos, maybe they'll make utility sheds, maybe they'll have a small repair shop that services the Amish community.

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: The best way that I could answer that is, I think that we would have to address that as it comes up. And we are certainly willing to work with you so those folks would have a comfort level.

REP. BOYD: Okay. I appreciate that and it would be essential that we make certain, Mr. Chairman, that those

folks that live the quiet, peaceable life and have traditional values. And in part, I mean, their educational system, their young people go to school until eighth grade and most of them that I know are smarter than I'll ever be.

So I want to make sure that what we do doesn't affect that specific community. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Seip.

2.1

REP. SEIP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for your testimony today. You talked about working collaboratively with the PA state price and some school officials and so forth.

What role does the County Children and Youth Office have in working collaboratively with you or to what level do they help to participate in your investigation.

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: There have been when we have reached out to them and there have been a few times when they have brought things to our attention. And anybody that wants to bring anything to our attention, we're more than happy to look at it, sit down and listen to them, but certain counties we seem to do more with it than other counties.

REP. SEIP: Is it your understanding that the legislation that we're reviewing that the background checks that we're referring to would be the ChildLine checks along with criminal background checks through the state police?

DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: Well, there's a 1 2 mechanism where members of the public can do a criminal 3 background check, generally called a criminal history record information check that I know is currently available to any 4 5 employer. But my limited experience would also be employers in the entertainment industry also to other background checks. 6 7 REP. SEIP: I worked at the county mental health 8 office. Anybody working with children in that capacity or in a school setting would also be subject to a ChildLine background 10 check for abuse or suspected abuse and I just want to make sure 11 that we include that in the legislation. I think that that 12 would be a very important element to have in the bills. 13 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: I would agree. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REP. SEIP: 15 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Murt. 16 REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I first want 17 to answer Rep. Boyd's question. 2515 would have no impact on 18 the Amish or the Mennonite communities, Representative. 19 I have a question for Secretary O'Brien, if you don't 20 mind. 2.1 EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: Sure. 22 REP. MURT: At the current time, what is the age 23 -- is there an age under which a child may not participate in a 24 television production in PA? 25 EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: The

Department is deemed that a child from infancy can request the 1 2 appropriate permit to appear in a film or television. REP. MURT: Does that permit require any kind of 3 special circumstances, conditions, dispensation? 4 EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: 5 correct. 6 7 REP. MURT: What are the conditions? DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: We usually have --8 9 it often depends on the particular circumstance, the age of the child. I'll trying to think of a few. There's hour time 10 11 restrictions --12 REP. MURT: I guess my question is --13 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: I'm sorry. 14 REP. MURT: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt 15 you, Counsel. I guess I want to be more specific with my inquiry. The question is, under what conditions, under what 16 17 grounds would a permit be issued to an infant or toddler to 18 participate in television production? 19 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: If they made an 20 application and -- I mean, it doesn't have to be a specific 2.1 type of television or movie production, but if the permit is 22 issued, we put numerous conditions and restrictions on time of 23 filming, number of hours of filming, for certain ages of 24 children, the lighting that can be used, providing access to us 25 to a set.

If they have to be on the set in the evening, they have 1 2 to have a facility where the child can rest between scenes. 3 Parental, the parents or the guardians have to be there. They can't film nudity. The situation you asked, there cannot be 4 5 liquor dispensed. 6 And I believe there are numerous other items. Those 7 are the few that I can think of off the top of my head. REP. MURT: Are children permitted to be filmed 8 9 24/7? 10 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: We will consider, 11 depending -- and it's in the law -- if the movie or the 12 television production needs a line for a certain time, we will 13 look at some -- we will, at certain times, allow it early in 14 the morning. 15 It depends on the situation and we put a lot of restrictions and -- but it's not a given. It depends on 16 17 whether the production needs that and any other condition, we 18 look at that on a case-by-case basis. 19 REP. MURT: Would it be a violation to film 20 children going to the bathroom, toilet training? 2.1 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: We do put in our 22 permits now that there cannot be nudity, so --23 REP. MURT: So that would be a violation? 24 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: -- that would 25 likely fall within that.

REP. MURT: How about children changing their 1 2 clothes? Would that be a violation? 3 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: Well, if there's 4 I mean, if they're taking off their jacket and they're 5 wearing their shirt, obviously --REP. MURT: I'm talking about bear above the 6 7 waist. DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: We would have to 8 9 look it at it and we would also look at the child obscenity laws to see if it violated that as a guide. 10 11 REP. MURT: Are there many children -- I'm still 12 looking for an age -- under which these special permits are 13 required? Is there, off the top of your head? Do you know 14 what the age would be that would require these special permits? 15 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: Well, there's no minimum age for special permits for movies in television. For 16 17 other performances, the minimum age is seven. But for movies 18 and televisions, the law allows minors seven and under. 19 REP. MURT: With the special permit? 20 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: With a special 2.1 permit. 22 REP. MURT: How many children under the age of 23 seven do we know in PA that are performing in television 24 productions? 25 DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: That, I do not know off the top of my head.

2.1

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: We could try to get that information and give it to the Committee. We would have to go back and go through the permits.

REP. MURT: One final question, Mr. Chairman.

Counsel, you mentioned a background check that the entertainment industry utilizes. Now, I suspect that you're referring to cameramen, professionals, videographers and so forth. Could you describe that background check to us? Is it a state police background check? Is it a child abuse clearance.

DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: All I know second hand is that they perform a background check. In all honesty, I don't know.

REP. MURT: Is that done in the Commonwealth of PA?

DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: It's not required under the Child Labor Law. I believe -- I mean, we've been informed that granting permits that many production companies do a background check. But the current law does not require us to require them to do that. And that's something that we want --

REP. MURT: So at the present time, there could be cameramen working in PA being alone with children without adequate background checks?

DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL HOLZMAN: Well, parents and the guardians are supposed to be with the children. But there may be people without background checks because it's not required in the present law. But the present law does require a parent or guardian to be with the child during filming or production.

2.1

REP. MURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Any additional questions from the Committee? (No audible response.)

Thank you, Secretary. We appreciate your time today.

EXECUTIVE DEPUTY SECRETARY O'BRIEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: And before we call our next testifiers, there has been testimony submitted for the record. I would like to read that in, at least who did submit it. That would be Timothy Allwein, Assistant Executive Director of Governmental & Member Relations for the PA School Boards Association, as well as Dr. Jay Shankman, Certified Forensic Safety & Health Engineer.

We would like to call the PA Newspaper Association forward, Bernard Oravec, Publisher of the Williamsport Sun-Gazette and Deborah L. Musselman, Director of Governmental Affairs for the Association. Thank you for your time today. We look forward to your testimony. We are running about 15 minutes late, so we're doing pretty well. Thank you.

DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MUSSELMAN: Good

morning. I'm Deborah Musselman. I know most of you. We really appreciate the opportunity to be here today. I would like to introduce you to our publisher from Williamsport Sun-Gazette, Bernard Oravec. He is here to talk about the impact of these two bills on newspaper businesses in PA.

2.1

PUBLISHER ORAVEC: Good morning, Chairman

DiGirolamo, Rep. Murt, Rep. Gibbons, and members of the House

Labor Relations Committee. My name is Bernard A. Oravec and

like Rep. O'Neil, I go by Bernie. That's the driver's license

name. I am currently the Publisher for The Williamsport

Sun-Gazette, located in Williamsport, PA, birthplace of little

league baseball and home of the little league World Series.

The Williamsport Sun-Gazette, long with our sister

publications, The Altoona Mirror, Lewistown Sentinel, Lock

Haven Express and Warren Times Observer, are owned by Ogden

Newspapers, and have been serving Central PA readers since

1801.

With me today is Deborah Musselman, Director of
Government Affairs for the PA Newspaper Association. We
appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to
express our views on a comprehensive revision and reenactment
of the Child Labor Laws.

The bills before you today, governing the employment of minors under the age of 18, reflects society's interest in fostering a positive work ethic in young people, and in

protecting their health safety. The Newspaper Association

Foundation and the member -- newspapers that I represent

support these goals. The PA Newspaper Association Foundation

honors outstanding youth carriers each year and outstanding

service as a youth carrier.

2.1

The two pieces of legislation include several current and longstanding provisions of the Child Labor Law with regard to youth carriers. Minors engaged in newspaper delivery at a minimum age of 11, are excluded from the definition of "youth peddling," and minors over age 16 who deliver newspapers are not required to obtain a work permit. These provisions have appeared in the law for many years and, like the Youth Labor Law in many other states, reflect federal law.

Our main concern involves the start time revisions that have been proposed. The two bills differ in one respect only, the "start time" permitted fro youth carriers. House Bill 2515 reflects longstanding provisions of current law and authorizes a 5:00 a.m. start time, while House Bill 19 would change the start time to 6:00. We understand that this proposal stems form a concern for the safety of youth carriers, but must respectfully note that the safety issue has never been a problem; there is no documentation of any youth carriers coming to harm by virtue of early morning delivery hours, especially in our areas. Now that's not to say that it wouldn't happen and we understand why this type of law is being discussed.

Our members that utilize youth carriers are certainly mindful of the safety issue and ever our afternoon papers that use youth require written parental approval and co-signatures of contractor agreements. Some parents, in fact, accompany their children on their delivery routes. Our members tell us that they would seriously consider discontinuing the use of youth carriers, should a 6:00 a.m. start time become law, because home delivery subscribers want to get their paper before leaving for work.

2.1

Currently, throughout PA, especially in the areas that I'm affiliated with, the daytime delivery guarantee is 6:00 a.m. The Sunday delivery is 7:00 a.m. and this revolves, again, around the need for people to be at work at a certain time and to get their news. It is critical that the start time remain 5:00 a.m. Parents and their children must be the ones to decide whether this works for their individual family.

The legislation also incorporates several important amendments to the Act regarding newspaper carriers. The law currently prohibits minors from "employment" for more than six consecutive days in a single week, and the two bills each allow minors engaged in newspaper delivery to work seven days per week.

For daily papers that publish a Sunday edition, such as a Sun-Gazette, a seven-day work week is necessary and permits a youth carrier to complete his or her entire route. This

recognizes the practical reality involved in having a "paper route" and supports the availability of newspaper delivery as a work opportunity for our youth. The seven-day language supports youth who want to deliver newspapers and should be enacted into law.

2.1

Finally, the two proposals each address the independent contractor status of newspaper carriers. The PA Department of Labor and Industry posted a Statement of Policy on its website in 2004 that provides guidance on this issue. Minors under age 16 do not need to obtain a work permit if they can demonstrate that they are working as independent contractors. This interpretation and criteria, reflecting federal law, appear in both bills, and are consistent with the Department's 2005 recommendation to enact that policy into law. A copy of the interpretation is included with this testimony and can be found at the Department of Labor & Industry's website.

In addition to their earning, young people who work as newspaper carriers gain a strong sense of community, learn about job responsibilities, and gain a strong work ethic.

Newspaper carriers also develop character, self esteem and pride in their accomplishments.

As a brief example of what a youth carrier can earn, on average, a youth carrier will deliver to roughly 50 households, in most cases, in a suburban area or in some urban areas. This normally takes about 45 minutes from pickup time to actually

finishing the route, unless there's snow or rain or some weather problem related.

2.1

On average, a youth carrier earns about \$150 to \$175 per month. It's not considered, in our view, to be an actual full-time job or even a part-time job. In most cases, this money is used for personal spending or to pass on to other family members.

Just this month, NBC's Today Show showcased a PA youth carrier, in a segment based on Matt Lauer's first job, which was a newspaper carrier. Rachel Denny, 15, who delivers the Beaver County Times in western PA, is a two-time PA carrier of the year and 2009 national finalist. She taped a segment in which she and Today Show hose Matt Lauer competed in a newspaper delivery contest. Not only is Rachel Denny an outstanding newspaper carrier, but she saved enough from delivering newspapers to buy a car, before she can even drive. On behalf of the PNA, we appreciate your support for all of PA's youth carriers and their local newspapers.

The specific points I have addressed today have come before this committee in legislation that passed the House of Representatives with no negative votes in 2003 and again in 2005. We urge the Labor Relations Committee to advance legislation that incorporates our specific concerns to the full House of Representatives and ultimately to the Governor's desk. We appreciate your attention and interest, and will be please

to respond to any questions you have, especially in regards to the early start time. Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Before I go to Rep. Boyd, you need to add one more title to Williamsport, it's now the new Marcellus capital of the world -- Marcellus Shale capital of the world. Rep. Boyd.

REP. BOYD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Really quick question. How do you define carrier as an independent contractor? I'm just sort of interested in that process.

PUBLISHER ORAVEC: Basically, anybody who delivers our newspaper is signed up as an independent contractor on an independent contractor contract. We do not set any regulations in how or when they deliver the newspaper.

They can deliver multiple products, they can deliver ours, they can deliver a competitor. We don't set the actual routine. We don't set the order in which they deliver to what homes they deliver to. All we do is request that they have papers to their location at around 6:00 a.m. That's the extent of our actual involvement or direction.

REP. BOYD: Thank you.

DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MUSSELMAN: If I may supplement that, Representative, the Department's criteria that appears on their website should be included with your testimony. I would be happy to get it to you if it's not.

25 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Murt.

REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Quick question, Bernie. Approximate number of youth carriers in the Commonwealth, do we know that?

2.1

PUBLISHER ORAVEC: I don't know in the Commonwealth, but I know in the five newspapers that represent, we have under 100, and that would be under 100 under the age of 18. Years ago, it was primarily kids under the age of 18, but as times have changed and things change, people begin moving.

We have noticed less and less carriers in that age group, but they still provide a very viable and important service to us because in many cases, in some of those territories where we have youth carriers, they're close suburban territories where there might be 40 or 50 homes. And in many cases, there's not enough money to be made for adult carriers to take on those responsibilities.

Now, there are rural routes, which sometimes may take an hour or an hour and a half to complete, which we begin deliver with adults as early as 3:30 in the morning, but in those cases, there's opportunity to make more money for an adult.

REP. MURT: Thank you.

DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS MUSSELMAN: I would say, based on surveys that we have done over the years with our papers, and this includes afternoon as well as morning papers, it's probably about 1,000 overall, statewide. I could get more

detailed information if you're interested.

2.1

2.4

REP. MURT: I appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Seip.

REP. SEIP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you just touched on this a little bit. I would have to believe that your subscribers live in all different types of areas, rural, urban, suburban. Is there a different start time in those three types of areas for a youth carrier?

PUBLISHER ORAVEC: There's normally -- the youth carriers that we have and the youth carriers that you see at most newspapers traditionally have a smaller route and in most instances, they can deliver between 5:00 and 6:00 a.m.

We're not aware of any youth carriers in our organization and our five papers that deliver before that time. If they would, that would be between they and their parents.

We would rather not see anybody at that age go before 5:00 a.m. and in most cases, it doesn't occur.

We're basically looking at that 5:00 a.m. start time. It's something that works well for us because that gives the individual a one-hour window to actually complete the 45-minute route and it helps them from a safety concern. They're not rushing and it also allows them to get back and get ready for school if they haven't done that already.

REP. SEIP: Just lastly, very quickly. Average age of a youth carrier working for the Sun-Gazette?

PUBLISHER ORAVEC: The majority of our youth carriers of the -- we actually only have 16 youth carriers under of 18 as of today. Their age, for the most part, is 14 to 16.

2.1

REP. SEIP: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you for your testimony.

I may have to call my carrier to remind him that he can have that paper earlier on Sunday morning. I would appreciate it.

Cybele Daley, Vice President of the Federal & State Government Affairs for the Motion Pictures Association of America. Thank you very much.

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: Thank you. Good morning to the Chairs and Members of the Committee. Thank you so much for providing me this opportunity to discuss HB 19 and 2515.

My name is Cybele Daley. I'm the Vice President of Federal & State Governmental Affairs for the Motion Pictures Association of America.

MPAA is the voice and advocate for American motion picture, home video and television industries in the United States and across the world. The MPAA member companies are the leading producers and distributors of filmed entertainment, whether it be Disney, Time Warner, Sony Pictures, Fox, Paramount — did I say Sony? I'll get in trouble with somebody here. Yeah, trust me, trade association.

Before I address then pending legislation, I do want to

talk a little bit about the impact on the economy and that was raised obviously earlier in testimony today. Clearly, our industry is coming to PA more and more and that's a wonderful thing. But let me talk about little bit about the other side of it.

2.1

Production employs almost 300,000 people in this country, directly employs. Indirectly it's over 2 million, but directly employs almost 300,000 people. These are quality jobs in front of the camera, behind the camera, paying an average annual salary of about \$72,000, 72 percent higher than the national average. These are good jobs.

In this state, the Commonwealth of PA, almost 4800 people are employed directly by production, paying wages and salaries of about \$250 million. I think that's an important thing to think about during this tough economic times. 1400 small and medium sized business in this great state have services and goods that are procured by productions located in this state.

In 2008, about \$550 million was spent procuring those services from those small and medium sized businesses. And, of course, one of the statistics that I think is really interesting because it goes back to my law enforcement time, is that -- I used to like to say that the Department of Justice, 90 percent of the police departments in this country employ less than 10 officers or 25 officers. It's probably changed a

little bit since I was at the Department of Justice. I'm a little older now. It's almost the same with production when it comes to indirect employment. Most of these small businesses have less than 15, maybe less than 10 people in their employment. That's meaningful for those businesses.

2.1

Again, \$550 million spent in 2008. I believe that I included a truck and crane company in southeastern, Pa, as well an adventure company in Spring Mountain. As your experience in this state so clearly demonstrates, we are not in this industry exclusive to Hollywood and New York. We are not. We are mobile, we are competitive and, yes, states do compete for our business. And that's sometimes tough to talk about. States do compete for our business, maybe now more than ever, but that doesn't mean that we don't care about protecting child performers.

MPAA and the member companies are committed to protecting child performers, we want to work with you. I'm please to hear that there's been a lot of dialogue since introduction. I hope that's ongoing and I would point to you one area to consider in an area in which you never have to delve into. But, please, please when you're going forward with your process, consider the differences in motion picture productions, feature films, scripted television programs, unscripted programs such as reality television and documentaries. Please keep that in mind as you're moving

forward. I know some of those things are currently on the tail of being discussed.

2.1

I'll highlight a couple of the areas that we've already gone over earlier today. I'll try not to be too redundant, but, again, they're ongoing discussions and they're important to us, so I do want to highlight them and take a little bit of time.

Obtaining a permit. Both bills require that a child performer before obtaining a permit had a job with the production company and provide specific and detailed information about that production. This provision would prevent a child who wanted to enter into the entertainment industry from securing a permit in advance of any child law. And, yes, we are a business, it could delay reduction and that's particularly tough that the child is only going to work for one day.

We would recommend highly that you would have the permit be self executing and that the Department have authority to provide a six-month permit upon presentation of academic information, birth certificate verifying the age, as well as parental permission. In that instance or, to put it bluntly, a minor would be able to audition with permit in hand.

Another very, very important issue: Safeguarding the earnings of a child performer. Hugely important and as we have unfortunately have seen in our national press over the last

several decades. I would point you to several states,

California, New York and Louisiana, who require that the parent
or guardian establish the trust account to which the production
company would then transfer funds, generally, 15 percent. In

HB 19, it requires the production company to establish the
account. In 2515, I think it's less clear who is going to be
-- establishes the account.

2.1

As you can imagine, this can create tremendous confusion for, not only the families, but the production companies, and ultimately the child performer who is going to be the recipient of that account. And we would hope that — although you may not be an opponent of model legislation — that you would consider those as models and look to having the parent or guardian establish the trust account, the production company satisfy the amount that you specify and transfer with that into the account.

Minor's education. Obviously a very important issue and we recognize that it's not also easy to solve the problems of a educating a child when you have to balance that against their normal regular hours and school calendar. We have discussed this issue over the last several years with the Screen Actor's Guild, as well as the American Federation of television and Radio Artists and we jointly support requirements ensuring that child performers receive their required education. We also want to be very clear that studio

teachers, or teachers who educate child performers, are not overburdened with responsibilities for which they are not trained. We think it's important that PA consider -- and I believe that you are considering this in your deliberations -- allowing studio teachers who are authorized in other states to continue to work with performers on productions located in the Commonwealth. In the coming weeks, we will look forward to working with you on those discussions.

2.1

Finally, the hours of work. We agree and understand that we need ensure the child performers do not work unreasonable hours and that they are allowed to work in a way that's consistent with their age and, of course, the school calendar. As both bills move forward, with all do respect, the great State of California and home of the MPAA's LA office, I would point you to the Screen Actors Guild contract. I think that that, again, is a great model, delineates a very well number of hours that a child is allowed to work. It is a very, very good frame of reference. So, please, I hope that you'll take the time to look at that.

Those are just four of the areas of concern to MPAA and member companies, as well as others in the audience. We look forward, again, to working with you. We applaud your efforts. We want to work with you. And, again, please, in your deliberations, consider traditional film or the unscripted documentary.

I am pleased to answer any questions.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Any members? Please to here that California did get something right.

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: They can't do everything right.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Couldn't resist, sorry.

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: I'm in DC so we fight with the LA office all of the time.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: I do want to ask, you referenced effectively, twice, the model legislation. One referring to the California model on the way their money is deposited. House Bill 19 does have similar language to that I suppose to what is --

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: It sounds to me as if that that's under discussion and we really are looking at that already. So in deference to the ongoing dialogue, I think some of the things that I've highlighted, while they're still important to us and we want to work with you, it sounds like they're already being addressed.

Again, it's hard, as a former federal employee, it's hard for me not to talk about model state legislation. But that's an area that I've worked in and it can be very useful when you're really talking about nation, nationwide. I mean, certainly PA should do it and it's right for it and there are some things to look at when considering -- I believe that

that's already being done. So thank you so much for considering that.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you. We look forward to hearing from the Screen Actors Guild, who is going to be testifying, and the fact that their contract could possibly go through a lot of the issues that need to be resolved.

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: And I think -- yeah, it's something that's in use and is, again, well thought out and I will not steal Nancy's thunder, but it has a lot of input and has a lot of people involved and it has been well thought out and it's in use.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Any state recently enacted child labor laws where you've worked collectively with them and you believe that they've kind of hit the mark in implementation for both sides?

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: I don't recall anything that's recently. I would have to check. I know that there's been a couple of areas that are under consideration in these two bills that have been in discussions and maybe hours of work. I would have to check my notes to see, but I don't recall anything very recently.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Chairman DiGirolamo.

CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Thank you and welcome,

Cybele. I appreciate your testimony this morning. Just real
quickly. You mentioned that Screen Actors Guild contract when

it comes to child performers. Tell us, how is that enforced from maybe going from state to state or is --

2.1

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: I'm going to let Nancy answer that question. I think that the reason why I raise it is because I think it's an important area. It is one that has received — that we've worked on a lot as an industry with our colleagues. And so I really would point to that as a potential model for what you're going to hear.

Again, it's not to say that, for example, California which might be a model that you would look at. It is not one to consider, but I think that I would, respectfully, on behalf of the member companies of MPAA, would ask you to strongly consider the work that SAG has done with production companies.

CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Any additional questions? (No audible response.) Thank you very much for your testimony.

VICE PRESIDENT DALEY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: I would like to welcome John Evans to the committee hearing today.

Next, I would like to call up Mr. Paul Petersen,

President and Founder of "A Minor Consideration," Alison

Arngrim and Jon Provost. Thank you for coming today, folks. I appreciate that.

And we are at 10:20, so we're pretty much on time. If anyone could not read the whole written testimony. You're

actors, so you're pretty much prepared to do it off the top of your head. If you can do it for a timely consideration so we can get to as many questions and we possibly can. Thank you.

2.1

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We shall be brief and open to any questions you might have.

Members of the Committee, my name is Paul Petersen.

I'm the Founder and President of "A Minor Consideration," which is a tax exempt organization, the only one of its kind in the nation that consists primarily of former child stars.

I've I have come to you today, along with my friends,
Ms. Alison Arngrim, whom you will remember as "Nellie" on
"Little House on the Prairie," and Mr. Jon Provost, who, like
me, grew up on a fondly remembered television show, "Lassie."

We have some experience in this field. We literally grew up in front of America. If you recall the setup of the Donna Reed Show, Carl Betz, the man who played Dr. Alexander Stone, was a pediatrician. Over the eight years of the Donna Reed Show, we worked with babies all the time.

Contrary to misconceptions, I support the work of children in the entertainment industry. We've work closely with all the major players in the traditional mainstream production and we have made advances over the past 15 years. So I have no enemies in this room and I look forward to the process of creating a model bill for, perhaps, national

attention.

2.1

Now, let me briefly explain that in California, a body of law came into existence in 1922 to protect the high-profile youngsters of the entertainment business. This is the silent era now. The workplace had limited hours and after the disaster, Jackie Coogan's exploitation, provisions were made in California to protect a portion of the child's income. That's called the Coogan Accounts.

With the origin of the theatrical unions, both Screen Actos Guild and, let me say quickly, AFTRA as well, American Federation of Radio and Television Artists and the start of a collective bargaining system. We developed what I'm going to call best practices and this process involved to best protect minors and it actually found it's way into many of our collective bargaining agreements, most notably in 1974 when special provisions for minors were actually codified in the basic agreements.

Along the way, a perception grew in our nation that all kids in movies and television were protected from the known risks of overwork and financial exploitation. The audience, merely all of America, including people in this room, came to believe that all kids were in all places and at all times protected by the industry that profited by the children's participation. Sadly, that is not true. We have referred several times to the California work rules. Let me explain to

you why it is important.

2.1

Back in 1938 when the Fair Labor Standards Act was passed, several exemptions were granted to federal standards, children in agriculture, children in the entertainment business and also children who delivered newspapers. In fact, the exemption list, about 42 in number, is called the Newsie Provision.

The understanding back in 1938, since Hollywood was, in fact, the center of the production universe, that states would, as circumstances arose, passed meaningful statutes and regulations. The problem is, that didn't happen. We still have 19 states without any meaningful child labor laws for entertainment. This is what this means: If a kid worked in a different state and worked on, what we call non-union or non-traditional productions, in fact, they are at the mercy, not only of their employer, but that stereotypical thing called a stage parent.

You see, in 49 states, children do not own the money that they earn. In family law across the entire country, excepting California where we effected a meaningful change. Let me tell you how parents and children are related in law when it comes to work. This is the language that we changed in California. Parents of a working child are entitled to its custody, income and services. That is, in fact, the law, family law based on common law.

Within this context, the spread of the entertainment business throughout the nation and the world, the Industry has maturely and, with much conversation, come to an understanding. And let me quote to you language that's in the basic agreement in both Screen Actors Guild, many agreements, and AFTRA's many agreements across the country because we recognize that children work in many jurisdictions. And that language is as follows: "In the absence of child labor laws, or where there is a conflict in child labor laws, the strictest interpretation shall apply."

2.1

Nobody is exempt. The entertainment business has long known that there are jurisdictions that are much more flexible and provide less oversight when it comes to children in the workplace.

Briefly, a little person story. In 1957, I did a major motion picture called "Houseboat" with Cary Grant and Sophia Loren. And I have never worked out of Los Angeles County.

Yet, when we were sent to Washington D.C., we went with the power and the provisions of the Screen Actors Guild contract.

I had with a studio teacher from California who could teach both secondary and elementary education, who was with us at all times and in all places for the next seven months. My parents didn't have to think about provisions to protect me because they were in place.

And now, let's jump forward to today's world and the

format known as "reality television." It's only before around for about ten years, although there were historical precedents, which if time permits, I'll remind you of. At first there were games shows like "Survivor" and there were contests that featured polished performances from America's most talented artists. These reality show formats were well outside the mainstream of production, usually non-union, shot on location, and deliberately conveyed the impression that they were documentaries or a taped version of "reality."

2.1

My friends, nothing can be further from the truth. These reality shows are conceived, packaged, cast and sold to content providers. They employ writers, producers, production crews and publicists. The cast members are subject to the direction and control of the producers. None of which would be a bad thing only if we were talking about adults, adults with the power to disobey, if that's required, but more importantly, adults who can provide informed consent.

When family shows featuring whole batches of children came on to the scene, often multiple birth families. Suddenly the landscape changed. Underlying these presentations, understand, was the incorrect assumption that the children being displayed on national television were protected by the Industry's best "custom and practice." We now know that that presumption is incorrect.

Reality show producers were trying to tell the nation

that children were merely "participants," as if a living breathing little human being was unaware of the presence of camera or that children were somehow oblivious to the fact that conflict and controversy were becoming the staple of reality shows.

2.1

Alarm bells have gone off all over the nation from viewers who found their interest turning to concern as dozens of children -- scores of children -- were suddenly exposed to this dangerous thing called fame.

As the nation learned that the children were not being individually compensated, that they were working in an unsupervised workplace — often their home, or the very concept of a call time disappears — and we learned that the people surrounding them were too often unmindful of the present and future welfare of these children, a groundswell of concern started to rise. Which brings us here today.

The difference between working on a mainstream television show and a so-called reality show is, for a child, a distinction without a difference. All of the predictable influences are the same: The loss of privacy, the separation from peers, the potential or certain potential for humiliation and damaged character. They are all the same. And to endure this without compensation and a promise that something has been set aside for the child's future, thankfully, is beyond the pale.

I would like you to hear from Jon Provost and we would certainly be available for questions.

2.1

MR. PROVOST: Mr. Chairman, Committee members, I think it's safe to say that we all care about safety and protection of children in the workplace. In my 15 years as a child actor, I was protected by California's Child Labor Laws and for seven of those years, I've had some extra protection from my four-legged friend, Lassie.

During those seven years, we have worked with every conceivable animal from alligators and zebras, and they were protected. The American Humane Association since 1941 has been protecting animals in all forms of media. I'm not talking just dogs and cats and horses, I'm talking fish, insects, birds.

As a matter of fact, just covering insects and spiders, there are ten pages of rules and regulations. There are 131 pages covering animals in media. In the PA Child Labor Laws, there's about a page and a half covering children in media. And I think that this bill, 2515, is due. And if we can protect insects and spiders more than we can protect our children, something needs to be changed. Thank you.

MS. ARNGRIM: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, I am Alison Arngrim and, as they said, I am the one who was Nellie or the extremely unlikable person on "Little House on the Prairie." So as opposed to, hi, you all remember me and love me, no, you all hate me. Good morning.

We are very much focused on what is the personal real life impact of workplace regulations on a real life child in most circumstances because I've also spent several years on a television series and in my case, I've had a great experience because it was "Little House on the Prairie" with Mike Landon and a lot of other wonderful people. But I believe that my successful outcome was not a matter of luck, but was due do certain structures being in place, which, in my case, were adhered to religiously.

2.1

For starters, I went to school, everyday. And when I worked, I still went for three hours, with a real teacher, everyday. There were other child actors my age who worked on productions that circumvented this. At the time, they thought it was great that they didn't have to go to school everyday. But today, none of them can use the word "circumvent" in a sentence.

I did have regular hours. I sometimes started as early as 4:30 in the morning because "Little House on the Prairie" was filmed on location. Sometimes it was 110 degrees and I was in petticoats and wigs and getups, so sometimes it was very physically challenging and difficult. But I knew that when my nine hours were up, I was going to go home to my family's air conditioned apartment. I know that I was going to get a break for lunch. I knew that I was going to have three hours for school.

My child stardom, as I said, although very successful, was not without drawbacks. One of the complications specific to being a child on TV -- and this is something that many people don't think about, including even people that put their own children happily into the business -- they don't realize sometimes that when they sign their kids up, this can be a permanent condition or life sentence.

2.1

Our shows are all on reruns. My shows are in 140 countries and on DVD. I'm still recognized. It's on YouTube. These images are there for ever and ever. The children who are working today already are on the internet. Their images will already be out there for ever and ever.

We are all very recognizable. We had the advantage, all three of us. We played characters that were not ourselves. If we were judged by strangers, we had the option to of say, it wasn't me, it was my character. In my case, that was of rather particular importance. I don't know what -- I've never been in reality TV. I don't know what children in reality TV who are showing their actual homes, their actual lives, their actual identities, their real names, where they live to millions of strangers everyday. I can only attest what I went through.

Famous has its consequences. When I was about 16 years old, I was in the Hollywood Christmas parade. We were just talking about the Hollywood Christmas parade the other night. This is one of the great things of being a famous kid, you get

to be in parades. It was great until a large plastic cup of McDonald's orange soda came flying out of the crowd and hit me in the face. Due to my character, someone judge me based on what they saw on television. Over the last 30 years, I have been screamed at, sworn at, hit, spat upon and literally kicked in the butt and knocked to the pavement. Now, I would consider this all a riot because it was my character, it wasn't me.

2.1

I also laughed because I was laughing all the way to the bank. I was paid decently for my trouble and had both a savings account and a checking account and was fully self-supporting by the time I was 12. I paid for my own orthodontics and braces and all of my medical care. I bought a bike at 13 and a car at 16. My parents didn't spend a penny. And when there were employment issues in my family and we were short of money, i went to the store and bought everyone food and fed them all in the house. It was very good that I worked as a child because we actually needed the money.

But 15 percent was put in my trust fund until I was 18. So when I turned 18, I took the money, bought a condominium and the services of a very good psychiatrist. So I've done very well for myself, but I was curious to see what other child stars have done. I've seen a lot of serious problems. Many of them on the 11 o'clock news.

I went to a meeting with Paul, the Screen Actors Guild.

I talked about them in my remarks. We were being interviewed

to see what could be done better for kids in Hollywood. What I noticed was a lot of the people had really severe stories, child abuse and all of the stuff that you hear in the tabloids.

2.1

I finally got curious because half of the room had become doctors and plumbers and have their own businesses and half of the room had been to rehab. And I finally said, I got to know, show of hands, how many people have big serious stuff with drugs. And it was half the room. And I said, can I ask how many people in this room had a trust fund and got some of their money or all of it. And it was half the room, but it was the other half.

And I was floored because it was literally unanimous to a man, to a woman, the people who became the TMZ people had not gotten their money. The parents had stolen their money and it gotten lost, bad investments, they had no trust fund, did zip. The ones who got something when they turned 18 did okay. They had the resources to go back to school if they were very recognizable and seemed unemployable, they could find another job, they could move to another town. If it went really wrong, they could go to a psychiatrist. And they seemed unscathed. There was a palpable difference.

Many child stars I have met, even late in life, they sometimes talk to me and sound like the people who had their pensions stolen. They have worked for years and had nothing to show for it and they don't know why. There is something about

working as a child and have nothing to show for it, but has a very specific terrible psychological effect on the mind. I don't know what it is, but it's not good.

2.1

The moral of the story that I've taken from my experience and the experience of others, is this: To put it bluntly, if you're going to take your childhood, which only comes once, which you will never have again — if you're going to take it and sell it, you should make sure to get a very good price. I did.

These rules that are being suggested in both of these bills, as a matter of fact, were all in effect when we were on TV. They have been used for decades in California because they work, the specific hours, the specific hours for much younger kids, the having the teacher, the having the oversight, the having the money. All of these work. You do not need to perform a social experiment because we did one in California and we have the results and this is essentially the prescription.

As I said, I have done very well for myself. And I will be eternally grateful that someone had the good sense to put these rules in place. And I would like to see the same kindness be extended to your children. But in light of previous testimony, if you want to make the much, much more stringent or stricter as some people suggested, even California, I would totally support that.

Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you folks for your testimony. Rep. Murt.

REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Paul, you made reference to reality TV and I just have a question. HB 2515 made a specific reference to the hours that a child should be working. My question to you is, on a reality television show, how do we delineate that? If a family is the subject of the reality TV show and they're going to McDonalds or Barns and Noble or the mall or the playground, and they're on camera, isn't that work time?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: It is to me and certainly to the children, whose behavior, remember, is being altered, not just by the presence of cameras, but because they are being asked to do things at the time and place, they might not want to do.

It's not so much where this work takes place. It's the accumulative effect. And so long as children in reality shows know that there's an end to the workday, and people are careful around them -- and, remember, we always presuppose the parents will do what's right. That's just not the case. But so long as the rules accumulatively address this issue, we can handle this. Frankly, people of good will, when they consult with one another and honestly address the potential for harm, can come to agreements that will do a pretty good job of protecting the

children. Paramount among these issues is recognizing that the children are working, that they will be impacted by this endeavor and that care must be taken for their future. It's not so mch that early call. I mean, you've heard Alison say 4:30 in the morning. I had a lot of 5 o'clock calls too when there was travel. It's the accumulative day and the work rules need to be age appropriate and based on common sense and known to everybody. When the producers of the Donna Reed Show wanted extra time, my teacher could extract incredible penalties from them because she knew that they were breaking the trust between producer and child.

2.1

The only prohibition that I have for children working in the entertainment business, as members of the industry will attest, is the hiring of premature infants. In California, a baby can work as young as 15 days. It's strictly limited to two-hour windows, no more than 20 minutes accumulatively at 20 seconds at a time.

And let me tell you, our studio teachers stood there with a stopwatch and timed the scenes and there's a call in the industry that we all recognize. And it is this: When the baby's time is done, the teacher says, baby is done, and the lights are turned off and the baby is taken from the set.

That's a reality that we grew up with.

REP. MURT: One more question, Mr. Chairman. With so many former child actors, high-profile child actors, getting

into trouble, ending up in the tabloids, why is there not more of a fever pitch to advance this issue?

2.1

MS. ARNGRIM: I think a lot of people -- since a lot of people don't live in that world, they don't understand. They see the money and the fame. And, well, they assume when they see the paychecks of children on television shows that those children are actually receiving those paychecks. They presume that since an adult would find it fun to be famous, they presume that the child would feel the same way. And the psychological impact at different levels from development. From birth, age three is different than being six, which is different from being nine to have the impact of giving up of one's privacy.

We talked about all the different child labors in areas you have here. My husband delivered papers as a boy, but people who deliver papers and work on a farm and a factory usually don't have good personal lives discussed on TMZ. So there are bizarre differences for children in entertainment and a difference for children than adults because they are simply developmentally different. And so things can impact them in ways that we don't really predict.

REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you, Rep. Murt. I have been told that I have a celebrity status myself. I have a face for radio. Chairman DiGirolamo.

CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Could I disagree with that, Mr. Chairman? Jon, Alison and Paul, welcome. For me, personally -- and I think I can speak for everybody -- it's just a pleasure to have you here and it's really a thrill for you to come here in PA. I want to commend you because I was able -- I had the pleasure to talk with the three of them yesterday in my office for about a half hour.

2.1

All three of them live in the State of California, have taken time out of their schedule, at least two days or maybe three days to be here in PA because you're really, really passionate about the issue.

At your own expense, I understand also. I just want you to know how much I appreciate it and I think the members of the Committee here appreciate you taking time to be here today on this really important issue. And just to let the three of you know, I think all of us here today in this room are committed to fixing the problems here in PA.

We might not all be on the same page right now, but I just want to let you know that because of your advocacy and you being here, I think it's really important to move this issue forward. So I want to thank you for personally being here.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: On behalf of all three of us, this, for us, is yet again another opportunity to work closely with all interest and what I hope, and I've shared with Rep. Murt, I hope to come out of this with some model

legislation that is inclusive because the true fix is at the federal level. That's where children were exempted. That's where all the confusion started.

2.1

It's a wonder to us that the Department of Labor and Industry thankfully, after examining the situation, came to the conclusion we had long since reached. Those kids are working here on the well-known show taped in PA. And that recognition sent shock waves across the country because there are lots of reality shows being filmed in all sorts of jurisdictions, which just happened to have very relaxed child labor laws. We need to fix this.

Thousands of children are exposed to an unsafe workplace. Oh, and by the way, if we open up the federal exemption in the Fair Labor Standards Act, then the five and a half million children who go to work everyday in America right now will have better protections. It's really important to us. Thank you very much.

Of your children involved in the entertainment industry at all?

MR. PROVOST: I have two children and neither one of them. If they wanted to be, they — they just don't have any interest.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I have three and the answer, as children, was absolutely not. I only know of six genuine former kid stars who have ever put their children

in the entertainment business. Only six. 1 2 CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: That's pretty compelling. PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Yeah, that's the 3 truth. When we did child stars, there were 38 participants. 4 5 Everything from Jane Withers to Diana Serra Carey to Tony Dow and Melissa Gilbert. And each of us was asked that question 6 7 and 38 people, many of whom had very positive experiences, all 8 said the same thing: Absolutely not. CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Pretty compelling. 10 PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Yeah, the proof is 11 in the number. 12 CHAIRMAN DiGIROLAMO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Boyd. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REP. BOYD: I have a 15 number of questions. First of all, Alison, I just want you to know that I'm the Nellie of the legislature. They don't like 16 17 me very much. So I can relate and I've had sodas thrown at me 18 and things like that. So I avoid parades at all costs. 19 And also, just another little side comment, as a young 20 lad growing up when you guys were on TV, it was really tough to 2.1 live up to your standards because you were really good on TV 22 and I wasn't. So I'm kind of resentful, so I'll be -- no, I'm 23 just teasing. 24 I do have -- I'm glad, actually, that you brought up federal legislation. One of the questions that I have is, as 25

California had a standard in, whether it was, we'll call it a strict standard or not, did production companies exist

California and go to other states to avoid those standards? In other words, if PA adopted something like this, do we run the risk of losing business? Not that that should be a compelling reason, but I think it's something that we might hear that from people who would advocate against this. What would our answer to that be?

2.1

2.4

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I have, in fact, heard that threat from producers, and there are people in this room who can back me up on that. But I'll tell you something interesting about that threat, it's never made in public. And I have invited many opponents to legislation that we've proposed to come on Oprah Winfrey with me and explain how they think it's a cool thing to take Drew Barrymore, age nine, to North Carolina to do the movie "Fire Starter," where her drug and alcohol problems began and worked her 18, 19, 20 hours a day.

They won't do it publicly. One of the reasons national legislation is called for in this instance is that no states should be disadvantaged. I support competition. I think that producers have the right to look for the most conducive atmosphere, but not on the backs of children. That's the deal here.

Those of us who are fathers and grandparents, do you

remember the restrictions in little league that our children can only pitch seven innings a week? How careful we were at AYSO or full-contact football? No industry should be exempt, and here in the Commonwealth, with its history of coal production. When I talk to you about children in the workplace, you should instinctively react. Kids haven't been in coal mines in decades, but they used to be until some mature people said, enough, enough. The rules for children must be different. I hope that sort of answers your question.

2.1

REP. BOYD: No, it does. It's a very good answer.

MS. ARNGRIM: I'm just going to say that I can tell you that from my experience with people that I've talked to, what they really like is those tax incentives and those breaks you offer. The production companies absolutely do pack up and fly the coop if they think there's a tax incentive or price break. We do see that in California with mass production going to Vancouver and other cities. That seems to be the compelling reason to move. When you have many, many series, films, reality shows that have filmed and continue to film in Los Angeles, NBC didn't go broke making "Little House on the Prairie" with a cast of 40 kids and enforcing those rules.

Obviously they are doing it all the time in California with those rules and in New York and those states where most production is. The only people we've really seen fly to other states specifically with children are the non-union or reality

shows, lower budget, not the big studios, what you would call legitimate straight up productions.

2.1

REP. BOYD: Another question I had is, at this point, I hadn't seen any representation. I haven't heard anybody mention, I believe it's called, the Equity Actors Guild, the live theater folks. I would imagine that they would be in this loop, too, particularly, with -- I have some knowledge of that industry with what we would call, tech week, that the week before a show goes up, the hours, how would something like this impact that industry?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: It has some impact, but you've got to understand, equity grew out of british equity. And, frankly, in the United Kingdom, they have along since addressed these issues. A person is assigned to be the wrangler, if you will, for all of the children. They are directly responsible for their welfare.

Now, the hours are odd in theater, of course.

Sometimes the hours can be long. But we have role sharing for our younger players, 12 years and under. Instead of doing eight performances a week, we have two children sharing the same role, much less burdensome. The parents who drop their children off are actually placing them in charge of the producers, who take their responsibility seriously.

And on touring companies, a certified teacher -- whether union or not, that's not the point -- but a certified

teacher, able to provide the educational instruction, travels with the company. And it is true that equity and Screen Actors Guild and AFTRA and AGMA and AGVA, we do all work together because we have recognized the potential for harm.

2.1

REP. BOYD: Mr. Chairman, if I might, I would just like to encourage Rep. Gibbons and Rep. Murt as this process unfolds and you guys are trying to work out. Make sure that we reach out to that industry and have conversations with them because, while it's similar, there are differences with the live theater and we want to make sure that that's considered.

One last question that I have on the revenue side, the 15 percent of California. On reality TV and the show in particular that brought this kind of to light, I guess the question is, you guys each had sort of individual contracts.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Individual contracts which were approved by a court.

REP. BOYD: Right. I'm trying to figure out how, in a reality show, where you have a mother and father, now a mother and eight children and -- does each individual -- do you conceive that each child would have their own contract?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Of course.

REP. BOYD: Okay. I'm just asking.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: This really does wind me up. They are individuals. Each one of them has a specific social security number. Their contracts should be

individually negotiated. Now, you can do it in a bunch.

2.1

Look, in family law, if a child is injured in an automobile accident, the court can provide an advocate to weigh in on the welfare of the child and look to the future, independent of parents, may be heavily compensated and/or the interest of the production. We've been doing this for decades. It's possible to do the trick, is a hurdle that we've had to pass in just April of this year.

A recognition that the children on reality shows — which are not real at all — are, in fact, working. They are not lions on the plains of Africa or meerkats in a little colony. Kids, your own kids, you pull a camera out and see how they start to mug. They know what's going on. So let's recognize it in the workplace.

REP. BOYD: Well, you ought to see what we do when the cameras come out.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: You mean politicians will alter their behavior in the presence of a camera?

REP. BOYD: Never.

MS. ARNGRIM: I paid taxes as a child. I got my first job at six and I went and had to file a tax return. So those individual children, they are all taxpayers.

REP. BOYD: One last question. This might be a hard one. Would you recommend just having a prohibition on

children in reality television? 1 2 PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: REP. BOYD: Okay. I just wanted to make sure. 3 4 MS. ARNGRIM: Strict is all get out. That's what 5 we're looking for. REP. BOYD: Thank you very much. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you for your time today. 8 It is great to have you here and your advocacy and the 9 commitment that you've made to protect the children in this 10 industry. When you talked to Chairman DiGirolamo about so little 11 12 of children actors having their children participate. I said 13 to Gene, that that's not necessarily the case. You were all on 14 TV sitcoms; is that correct? 15 PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Motion Pictures seemed to be 16 17 that children follow their parents if an actor was a child 18 actor in Motion Pictures. Their children follow them into the industry. My question is, is it different environment or 19 20 culture with Motion Pictures as opposed to TV production? 2.1 PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I think. T know 22 the public figures as well as you. Those children of famous 23 parents, participants in the industry, have, in fact, 24 participated. I can name you lots. You got to remember what the tale of the story is, the headlines that ensued, the 25

difficulties encountered. I have found in my experience -- and I have been around for 55 years. You noticed that I got away with this without mentioning the Mouseketeers. In my experience, the offspring of prominent people in the entertainment industry come to the business when they have graduated college. That there's a certain threshold below which prominent people in the industry do not let their children participate. They say what I said as a father, you show me that sheep skin and I'll support you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Fair enough. I was more along the lines of Will Smith. His son is now in one movie -- well, two. I was hoping maybe that you were going to tell me because of advocacy, it has started to change and it has become --

MS. ARNGRIM: Well, I think we know that he's working 9-hour days.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Right. Rep. Seip.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I will say,
progress has been made and it has always been with the
unbelievable support of the Motion Picture Association of
America, the AMPTP, the interest and provision of resources
from Screen Actors Guild and after -- these are genuine things.
We have made meaningful changes.

And when a show like "Kid Nation" -- remember that one? Where they took 40 kids with no supervision, no teacher, in a middle of a school year to New Mexico and lied to Mexican

officials that they were just a summer camp. Promptly after that happened, child labor laws were passed in New Mexico because it was a travesty.

2.1

REP. SEIP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think the comments of both Chairman DiGirolamo and Chairman Gergely are certainly well on the mark about your compassion and your investment on this issue and I appreciate you being here today.

We've talked a little bit about backgrounds checks and so forth. And, certainly, everybody thinks about, well, what about the other people on the show, the people that I'm seeing on camera. But we certainly know this is quite an issue for the people behind the scenes and on the crew and so forth. Have you known child actors or performers that have been fearful for the members or people that have been working on the set?

MS. ARNGRIM: As in all cases of child abuse and especially sexual abuse, it's almost always someone known to the child. We're very lucky on "Little House on the Prairie." I have heard from other girls growing up in other series, that as soon as they hit puberty, the crew became a serious problem. Sexual harassment of girls as young as 14. So this does happen.

Where they have someone to go to that -- we talk about the welfare worker or the teacher on the set. Because that's sort of the stopgap.

Now, we talk a lot about doing to background checks and looking for people who are convicted sex offenders. That's not done. Really sort of the gatekeeper is the loan person, the mandated reporter, that is to protect our kids. Now, of course, if you wanted to go stricter here and have background checks on everyone on the set, I would totally be into that. I'm all for stricter.

As I said, the thing about reality TV is that all of the things that we object to about reality TV for kids would be eliminated if they were simply following entertainment child labor rules. Our problems with reality TV, we complain about these shows and freak out and say, well, they are working too long, they're working under horrible conditions, they're not getting — etcetera, etcetera, etcetera, so all of that would come to a grinding halt.

I would like to see better protections. I have heard horror stories. Well, I think Corey Haim was quite public, as were Lauren Chapin. Well, Corey Haim was people on the set. Lauren Chapin was — several child stars have come out very publically about being sexually molested by people in the Industry. So, absolutely. This is an enormous risk. This happens all of the time.

REP. SEIP: Did anyone of the production companies themselves have internal policies on background checks?

MS. ARNGRIM: Not really.

2.1

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I wear many hats.

Let me put on my union hat, as a kid that was raised and trained by the Industry. When there was a problem on the set and it was reported up the chain, that person who caused the problem disappeared. It came down to sometimes foul language and I don't mean in a funny sense. I'm talking about something that's just awful and you wouldn't permit in the classroom.

2.1

The unions, the below the line unions, IATSE members, guys that push the dollies, grips, sound operators, they have a self policing mechanism like most folks in organized labor.

The bad apples are segregated and mostly gotten rid of.

I have never heard of a producer saying, we can't take any action on this because that person is too important to the production and that's up to including stars of television shows who misbehave in front of children. I commend you to think about the show "Grace Under Fire."

REP. SEIP: Thank you again for being here today. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. O'Neill.

REP. O'NEILL: Thank you very much. Thank you for traveling here today. I was at the hearing in Montgomery

County. It was back in the early spring, I believe, and I had said, because of my background as a behavior specialist, that sometimes it's unfortunate that the government has to protect children from their parents and their guardians. And it's just

not in your industry.

2.1

I've worked with kids who have had a lot of problems because they were forced into sports that they didn't want to be in or shows that they didn't want to be in. And you see these parents getting their kids at the age of three into beauty contests and stuff like that. So I can see where you're coming from.

I wanted to settle the questions around, I guess, the trust. And I bring this up because I actually have a relative that lives right around the corner from Jay North. The ones who don't know who Jay North is, he was Dennis the Menace. He just retired not long ago as a police officer. And I might be wrong, but from what my relative had told me, his experience after the fact, wasn't very good, especially on the financial end and he was taken grossly advantage of.

You talked about, first of all, about the contracts and their negotiated individually. You made a statement that I didn't realize. A parent negotiates a contract, I guess in California, for their child to be on a TV show or whatever or in a movie. You said that that contract has to be approved by the courts so the parents aren't taking advantage of it?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: It's far more important than just the potential for disadvantage on the chance that the parents will exploit their children. I'll take a minute because this is very important. And I hope every

Pennsylvanian hears this. Children who are subjected to unfair contracts that are not court approved may disaffirm that contract the moment they turn 18 years old. The law permits a child to seek redress to moment they become an adult.

2.1

And not to put too fine of point on this, the reported profits from the show that has frankly brought us all together because it has crystalized the issue, "Kate Plus 8" has reportedly earned \$200 million in profit. And what I'm telling you is to make sure -- and I'm going to state the obvious -- these children will have recourse because those contracts were not taken before a superior court judge who could review it and weigh and measure.

You know, this is really a sign. And this is to all reality show producers. You put a child in a bad light — we're not going away. These former kid stars are here to stay. And we share a commitment to this. If somebody is going to harm a child, then come age 18, there's going to be gigantic class action lawsuits unless the entire industry pays attention. The downside risk is significant. Even your court here in PA addressed this issue. In fact, I cited it in my testimony on April 13th.

REP. O'NEILL: My next question deals with -- when you came up with the trust, how did they come up with 15 percent because that seems like a low percentage to me?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Yeah. It sounds

low, doesn't it, except children who earn a wage are subjected to precisely the same with holding patterns as you are. 36 percent for the feds, 10 percent for the state, about 11 percent or social security deductions.

2.1

And then they have a kid in show business, they pay 10 percent for an agent. Some of them pay 15 percent to a manager. That's why the number is 15 percent, believe me.

REP. O'NEILL: Is it 15 percent on the gross?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: 15 on the gross,
that's correct. Believe me, I have had these discussions in
Washington D.C. with treasury department officials asking why
on earth are you taxing children. Let me take this alleged
obligation and put it in a vile of trust and not only will the
children be better served, but so will society because the
children will not have had to pay the penalty for the sin of
working. I mean, imagine the circumstance.

Remember the little boy on "The Courtship of Eddie's Father," Brandon Cruise? His parents did not pay his taxes, his mother specifically. The IRS came after him because they go after the social security number, not the adults that were in charge and he was paying into his late 30s.

MS. ARNGRIM: Now, I did pay taxes and a manager and an agent, etcetera, etcetera, and so that's why it was 15 percent. But, for instance, the judge in the hearing of my contract put in a clause saying, you are signing this at 11,

I'm going to put in a clause that at 14 you can get out because you all are too young to be signing a contract or other children were at the time.

2.1

He also reminded that the producers and the parents, he said, we're all going to sort of agree to do this here, but you realize that these people are minors, so they can't really agree to this contract. At 18 they can validate it.

I did pay my taxes as a child. As I said, self supporting since I was 12. I didn't mind it, but, you know, one of the problems for a minor that people forget is that they don't really have deductions. Having a good account now -- and I'm someone who goes, oh, yes, I have this and my mortgage and that and I keep every receipt and I do deductions.

As a child, you can't own property, you don't have an interest payment, none of the things that adults routinely take for granted as, well, I'll do such and such because that's good deduction. Most children don't have that. So at tax return time, they really don't get anything back. So it is very, very difficult for the child employee.

REP. O'NEILL: I guess my last question is -- and I have just a quick comment -- residuals. Was that built into your contracts or was that something --

MS. ARNGRIM: That's a whole separate hoojie magoojie. Well, at least up -- for long, no one got residuals. And then there was a period to the 60s you got it and it was

for six showings. I always use "The Waltons" versus "Little House on the Prairie" example.

2.1

"The Waltons'" contract came before "Little House on the Prairie". They started about a year before us. So people on that show, if an episode was aired six times, they got paid six times. Seventh time, they really didn't receive anything at all. And the show could be aired endlessly.

"Gilligan's Island", they didn't get anything, did they?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: No.

MS. ARNGRIM: No, they didn't. Where as, "Little House on the Prairie," we were, just after the in perpetuity clause -- and this was a Screen Actors Guild, this was the union matter -- so indeed, I am still receiving residuals today. I'll remind you they become smaller each time. As a result, I received a check from my episode of "Fantasy Island" the other day for two sets.

MR. PROVOST: And I haven't gotten a residual check in over 40 years.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: How about the dog?

MR. PROVOST: No, I don't think the dog --

REP. O'NEILL: And I also apologize because I grew up with Jon and Paul and, of course, we had a collie when I was growing up, so she was very popular in our house. But I'm

25 being told by Pam that your character truly represented a

person in my family.

2.1

I have one question that I have to ask you. It's been killing me for 30 years. I go to the movies, I watch the credits and what is the key grip?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: A key grip is the guy the runs the -- basically the electricians who set the lights and everything. There are lots of industry terms that are interesting.

We also have a good one called best boy, which actually came out of the 20s at Republic Studio when a cinematographer, even then, because people hung at the studio gates, he would say to the producer, go out and get me the best boy. And it became part of the crew lexicon.

I know that we have way overextended our time. And I thank you so much for providing us an opportunity to sort of fill in the place spaces between the formal lines.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: And I've been very lenient on the questioning. It's important that -- your testimony is very important today, as well as getting it on the record from the perspective where you came from. Rep. Perry.

REP. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you for your perseverance in this and for your diligence, your sacrifices are noteworthy and your testimony is all particularly compelling to me. I can tell you that I have seen probably maybe one of each of your shows. I'm not a TV guy.

Maybe that's a good thing or maybe it's not.

2.1

I'm particularly interested in the trust fund as Rep.
O'Neill is. My question, however, regards, who's setting it
up, the parent or the producer? And I've got to tell you that,
as I think about it, as a parent myself and any parent that
puts their child in this thing in the first thing is already of
questionable reputing my opinion, but that's just my opinion.

But even with this clause, that after you're 18, you can seek redress if the parents or the production have spent all of the money or if the production company is out of business, what does that mean? So in your perception, what's the best way in doing this and why?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I believe the obligation falls on the parent to establish the Coogan Account. This is not the Industry's obligation. The parent should open a savings account for the child.

And, you know, there's something beneficial about a parent contemplating, getting their child in the entertainment business to suddenly confront -- the reality is, you know what, we've got rules. It's a good thing.

And any of you who have gone to a little league game on a Saturday afternoon or an AYSO soccer game, you've seen parental misconduct -- you have -- the language, the abuse.

And we take steps to protect ourselves. And most of the sports parents come to understand that you've got to operate within a

recognized guideline.

2.1

And that's a good thing for potential show business parents to know that you've got open the Coogan Account, you've got to go get a work permit, you have to insist that your child's grades are appropriate and their health is good. And then you must be present on the set to make sure those rules are followed. That's positive.

MS. ARNGRIM: One thing that the Screen Actors
Guild can address is that the Screen Actors Guild actually does
a packet for young performers with instructions and which banks
are good to open a Coogan Account at, etcetera, and the parents
are expected to open it and then the producer sends 15 percent
to that account. And so, yeah, there is a structure in
existence that is used California, absolutely. There's an
instruction packet that people can get.

REP. PERRY: It seems to me that the parents or the guardian and every other facet of the child's life — the minor child's life, that would be the appropriate place. But, at the same time, I question their intentions in the first place regarding placing the minor child in this position.

But I appreciate your testimony and your answer. I did want to say that, with one exception of watching TV, I watch the news. I've been watching that since I was about six years old. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Boback.

REP. BOBACK: Thank you so much for gracing us with your presence. I grew up with all three of you and I want to thank you for your contributions to wholesome family entertainment. Thank you so much.

2.1

I'm also concerned with this 15 percent. Should perhaps the two pieces of legislation address oversight for this 15 percent to make sure that there is something for the child actor upon their retirement? And I'm also concerned with the back of paying taxes, as you said the mom -- Jay North's mother -- did not pay the taxes.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: I can name you hundreds.

REP. BOBACK: Okay. So perhaps that, too, should be involved in that. No child actor is left hold the bag, if you will, upon their requirement, that everything is paid up to date. I don't know who or what we could do, but it sounds like that would be lacking. I don't know, Rep. Murt, Rep. Gibbons, maybe that should be addressed through this legislation. Is that something good?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: The two successful models -- well, actually, we have three. In New York, it's the circuit court, which they oversee these things and they may open any trust account on good cause shown and anyone is permitted to raise a question in court.

In California, the superior court, in fact, handles

that. And if you remember, I'm the person who sued "Octomom" to get that nonsense to stop. We were successful, even at the Appellant level, that anyone on good cause can report to the court that they see trouble.

2.1

The model in British Columbia, where we've successfully passed meaningful legislation with the cooperation, I have to tell you again, with all parties, there is actually an office of the trust, which oversees these accounts and is very aggressive at protecting the children's income. So we have some successful models.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Gibbons.

REP. GIBBONS: You've mentioned real briefly, you commented about the background checks. And I have thought is it my understanding that that is not something that is taking place elsewhere, that that would be something new to the industry here in PA if we did that?

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Completely at -it's important to remember in this -- thank goodness -- modern
world of ours, background checks are thankfully a few key
strokes and they are very inexpensive. Most employers do this
routinely and they should do it routinely.

And if any of you have sent your children to summer camp -- I serve as a camp counselor and every year I am fingerprinted. I sit through a 90-minute orientation meeting so that the parents who send their children to us are reassured

that they are in good hands. I don't mind it. I don't think it's burdensome and nowadays, it's not expensive.

2.1

REP. GIBBONS: And my other question is, when we talk about the set teacher and I realize I guess in the model that you guys were talking about, this is going beyond just teaching, but also being more of an advocate. Is that the experience that you had with the teachers? I know you talked about the teachers that you had on set. Was that their jobs as well, but more beyond educational, but more of safeguarding the child?

MS. ARNGRIM: Absolutely. Isn't it to guard the safety, health, welfare and murals of the child was actually written into their job description and they were often referred to as the welfare worker in the old days, even though they were also the set teacher.

REP. GIBBONS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Again, thank you for your time today. It is severely appreciated. Safe travels back to California. You're always welcomed back to PA.

PRESIDENT & FOUNDER PETERSEN: Thank you all.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Next is Nancy Fox. She's the National Director of Government Relations & Policy of the Screen Actors Guild. Thank you for your time today.

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Well, thank you very much. I'm happy to be here. And I want to thank the Chairman and the

members of the Committee for hosting this hearing. It's very important to the Screen Actors Guild. I'll try not to go over many things that have been talked about before.

2.1

We are very, very supportive of both Rep. Murt's bill and Rep. Gibbons. And this is also my colleague, Marsha Fishman. She's the executive director in covering PA and a couple of other surrounding states.

So I just want to talk a little bit about the history

Screen Actors Guilds and the legislative advocacy of young

performers. We have helped create numerous laws in California,

New York and Louisiana and, as Paul Petersen has mentioned, and

the MPAA representative, we all work together --

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Can you check your mike?

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Sorry. I'm not an actor.

We work together with Paul, with the MPAA, we have been very successful in California and New York, Louisiana in creating these bills we have a lot of experience with it. And what we hope is that every time we do this, it gets better and better and we hope that PA will be a model bill for the rest of the country, particularly dealing with the new issues with reality television. So we think that this is a very exciting and it's a very good opportunity for us.

Protecting children in this industry also with it brings a number of really complexed hurdles and challenges.

And so we want to make sure that this bill is written very

precisely. There are issues, such as local school district restrictions, state government department's requirements and capacities. You want to make sure people that something you put in there, people are able to carry it through because the last thing we want is a bill that doesn't work.

2.1

We want to make sure individual child needs, parental responsibilities and realistic considerations for productions are all contained in this bill. It's so important that they be written careful and precisely to make sure that they are enforceable, practical and truly accomplished what we intended to do here.

So while this bill goes a long way in protecting children and navigating the challenges we face, there are several important changes. Many people have touched on them and I won't go too deeply into of them.

The work hours, obviously, people have mentioned tat the Screen Actors Guild has very strong contract, very strong provisions protecting young performers and their work hours and I'm going to kind of pass this around. But the work hours that everybody else has mentioned, they are well established, safe for children and acceptable to productions. So we're very comfortable. They are also codified in California, New York and Louisiana in those bills that was recently passed.

New York's was just passed in 2003. So that is still a fairly recent development. But we've learned a lot. Frankly,

in New York, passing that bill, we have learned the devils and the details. And you have to be very careful about how you write this and making sure that the Department's view -- asked to carry out these functions, actually have the capacity to do it and are on board and everything is in place.

2.1

Issues, such as permitting, for example. Someone mentioned that. It's very -- it's more often than not actors have no advanced notice of actually being hired in many cases a job is for one day or less. So creating a flexible permitting system is really, really important if you want to make this work.

We have seen a new one in New York that has an online permitting system, that seems to work very well. That still requires the parents -- and we agree with Paul. A lot of the responsibilities absolutely should be on the parents. The permitting process is a very important part of this, setting up their trust account. Having all of those responsibilities should be responsibility of the parent and it's a very important thing.

Also, trust account information. I know you might have some questions about that. We want to make sure that that is functioning properly. 15 percent of the parents should set up the account, absolutely. We want to make sure that the production company has that. You want to make sure that that number is transferred to the production company properly so

that the production company can actually cut that 15 percent and then send it into that trust account. If that trust account isn't there, we want to make sure that there's some place for that money to go. It might be a state holding account.

2.1

So all of these details are very important. So we are willing to work with Rep. Murt and Rep. Gibbons and this committee to craft all of that. Whether it be in regulation or in this bill, there are some very detailed things that should be addressed.

And we just want to thank you. I mean, obviously, this is very important to us and we really do want to see if we can make PA a model place to work. If it works for a production, production still wants to come here, we agree with what everybody says. This is a great industry and it's a great business and we can do this and protect the children at the same time.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you for your time today. A question is, from a Screen Actors Guild's perspective, how many reality shows have you engaged in? We were just discussing — I would say reality series where there's different segments, where there's different people, like the "Hoarders", where each person is different and then there's reality shows, like "Jon and Kate" where the people are followed their whole life.

How many of you engaged -- what's the tipping point where you say, as the Guild, you are now an actor and you need to be engaged with us with respect to your contract?

2.1

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Very important and very interesting question. The Screen Actors Guild does not cover reality television. No union covers the actors on reality television.

AFTRA, American Federation of Television and Radio
Artists, does cover the host sometimes of those reality shows,
but they are essentially non-union, which is actually all the
more reason for this bill. Screen Actors Guild and AFTRA have
done a great a job codifying this. So when it's a union
production, you can pretty much be sure that the kids will be
protected.

But reality television is largely a non-union area.

And the fine line is whether something is scripted or not and I think there's an interesting debate going on, whether or not reality shows are scripted programs and so there's a lot of grey in there. But right now, it's non-union. And that's all the more reason for this.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: So no members of the Guild are from reality shows?

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Eventually, they may go on to become one, which is probably 15 minutes of fame and then they go on to get something else. So, generally, no, they are

not at the time.

2.1

2 CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Okay. Rep. Murt.

REP. MURT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nancy, is scripted versus non-scripted, is that a criteria as to whether or not someone should be members for Screen Actors Guild?

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Yes. It would actually follow the contracts. So Screen Actors Guild wouldn't organize in general an unscripted show at this point. The union may decide to change that direction or after may change that direction, but, no. It would follow the contract first.

So a child would have to obtain a job under a union contract. So the Screen Actors Guild has already signed a contract with the production company for. So they wouldn't even be eligible, no.

REP. MURT: Is it your belief that reality TV is scripted? Are you prepared to --

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: It's a judgment call.

Personally, yeah. I look at it and think sometimes, for a lot of this, well, that seems pretty orchestrated. I guess the question is, are lines being fed to somebody or are they being given a general outline of what they should be doing. You know, play with your toy over here and when you play with your toy do this. It's a fine line. I'm not sure that I'm actually qualified as my opinion, that it walks a fine. But I'm not sure.

REP. MURT: Relative to the television show -reality television show in question -- the Christmas segment
was shot, I believe, in August or September. So under that
criteria, it is scripted. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Rep. Boyd.

2.1

REP. BOYD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to focus on the trust issue and the 15 percent. In the prior testimony, it strikes me as a bit odd that we would designate a trust for 15 percent of the gross wage, gross revenue, if you will and then the balance — the balance goes to whom? The parent?

So we rely -- this issue of paying the taxes, the withholding, which kind of ties into -- and I know it's an evolving question because it's evolving in my mind, but it goes to the fact that is the individual seen as a subcontractor, then are they responsible to pay both sides of the social security, is there withholding done, does the production company -- because the production company should be withhold the 36 percent tax. They should be withholding FICA, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. But it didn't sound like that was going on with the 15 percent. So help clarify that for me.

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Sure. A child is paid, the 15 percent is taken out of the gross, the production company, the taxes are paid on gross, all of them is paid on gross. So what happens, the reason that it is 15 percent --

so, yes, all taxes are paid, as far as I know. I know Paul mentioned something else and I was curious to how the conversation was, if there was something else.

2.1

But all taxes should be paid at the time. So when the child receives the check, it will be less 15 percent and less the taxes. But then, what you have is you will have 10 percent to an agent to a manager. Another 15 -- sometimes kids have agents and managers and that's 20 percent out of it. So the idea of 15 percent, frankly, the child might end up with 40 percent of what they earned in their check.

The parent will also acknowledge, it takes some work other than those payments to have a child in the industry. You might have to get head shots, taking the child to and from the audition all of the time. So I think there's some recognition that a child in the business, there is some layout and we -- it's a sensitive issue, but we thought 15 percent -- we thought -- it was established a long time ago, but it seems like a reasonable amount.

REP. BOYD: And as a follow-up to my prior question, does your organization have any connection at all to the screen — the Equity Actors Guild? I mean, is there any discussions in trying to work them into the loop? I mean, I'm really thinking of more to help these two guys as they finalize this.

NATIONAL DIRECTOR FOX: Absolutely. We work very

closely with Actors Equity. We're very close with all of their executives. We talk about this all of the time. We lobby with them in New York and I'm sure that they will be here next time. And, frankly, when we propose these work hours, I think it's very important. We have work hours from Actors Equity that would address their issues and so, yes, they are involved in this issue and they should be brought into the conversation.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FISHMAN: In fact, I was at the after office yesterday to make sure that they knew that we were all on the same page and in support. So, yes, we work together.

REP. BOYD: Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you time today and we appreciate your testimony. Diane Heery, President of Heery Casting Company and she is also the Secretary of the PA Film & Television Industry Association. Thank you for coming today.

PRESIDENT HEERY: Thank you. Well, good morning, everybody. As the Chairman said, I am Diane Heery. I am the Secretary and a member of the PA Film Industry Association.

I'm also President of my own company, Heery Casting.

The PA Film Industry Association has a coalition of over 800 business owners and individuals in the State of PA who are involved in this growing entertainment and advertising industry here in the state. Since the tax credit program was started in 2006, we've seen huge economic activity grow here in

the Commonwealth. When movies, television shows, and television commercials are shot here, the services that are used are crew, hotels, rental cars, carpentry, staff, agents, film processing, accounting, the multiplier involved is fairly huge.

2.1

In the past couple of years, over \$150 million have been invested in the film industry in PA. So we're a very growing industry here and we're trying to keep real dollars here in the Commonwealth, not New York, not California, not Canada.

The Film Industry Association, we believe that minors should be regulated by the Department of Labor for the protection of those minors and for their families and the producers as well. Well, we do think that the common law are adequate. Yes, they can be improved, but we also would like to see stronger enforcement of the current laws that we have that pertain to minors in the film industry.

Let me explain a little bit of what I do. I'm the casting director. I've been in casting for over 20 years in the Commonwealth. I cast actors for movies, television shows, commercials, training videos, anything where they need an actor. On the average, my office will submit over 50 child labor permit applications a month to the State Office. And that's just my office Philadelphia. That's not counting what's going on in Pittsburgh, Harrisburg or anywhere that's going on

in the state. And, yes, we did the casting for Kennywood's commercials.

2.1

2.4

So I reviewed the two goals that we're discussing with the members of our association and I do have a few comments to it. Just wording issues, when we get down to who is required to have these permits and in both bills, they talk about children having — being exempt if they're in educational, theatrical performances and I think the intent of the bill is to exempt them if they are in a school play.

But, currently, that wording would exempt in educational video, as in, oh, a training video for a company — that's educational — or a nonprofit. Well, then if they're going to do a public service announcement for the American Red Cross, that's a nonprofit. So right now, I feel that the wording of that particular phrase is inadequate and needs to be adjusted.

In my office, our policy has always been, if ever any production comes to us and the crew is getting paid and it's a profit-making business, anybody is getting any money, even if the child is getting zero, we enforce it and we make sure the children processed through our office have permits, no matter what kind of production it is and I think that that's an important point that should be clarified because I feel that there's a little muddiness with the bills in there.

As other people have discussed, the working hours are

very appropriate and I feel that we need to look at the Screen Actors Guild because they have set the standard for the working hours. And currently, HB 2515 does list working hours and are very specific to certain ages, but they're not in sync with the national standard of the Screen Actors Guild, could easily just confuse a producer coming to the Commonwealth. Well, the SAG contract says this and this says this. And, yes, we know that the state law would prevail, but if we're going to change it, let's be in sync with everybody else.

2.1

The studio teacher is a very necessary person to accrue, the welfare worker. But, again, right now I feel that the law is a little -- it needs to be clarified because if you have a child who's working for a half a day on a television commercial to require a small little local production company to hire a studio teacher if the child is missing two hours of school that day, is fairly onerous to a small production company. I'm not talking about the major studios.

But there are hundreds of production companies based in the State of PA who are making everyday jobs, television commercials, training videos, and this also effect them. We can't lose sight of the fact that there are other productions happening in the state, other than big movie and television shows.

The other thing that I feel is unclear is -- the bills for the studio teachers right now is unclear because it states

that the teacher needs to pass a written test certifying them as a studio teacher. And that is more specific as opposed to just a regular teacher in the State of PA. So my reading of it is implying that there's an additional test and certification now to be passed for a teacher to be a studio teacher. So I think we need clarification of that because, again, if you're going to ask for an extra test, now, what if only two teachers in the State of PA have passed this test? We only have two certified teachers — set teachers. So, again, I just think it's a clarification in the bills.

2.1

The trust funds have been a lot of discussion today.

And we totally agree with the children's funds need to be protected. I was a child actor myself. I'm not on the big scale as the other folks were, but I have been acting since I was eight years old. But, things to keep in mind is Paul Petersen and everybody else, they're talking about, they were major roles in major TV shows.

Again, 50 permits a month that aren't applying for, for permit applications for children, they're extras. They are working one day and they are getting \$50 or they are getting minimum wage and if they only work three hours on set, they are only making \$21 and then taxes are taken out. So to ask for 15 percent of that to go into a trust fund and then go through an entire procedure of trust fund for a child that is going to make \$20, I think is a little over the top.

I think a threshold is very appropriate. The State of New Mexico now has a threshold, an earnings threshold of \$1,000 is required before a trust fund is required to be opened. The State of Louisiana has a \$500 threshold. My personal feeling is that it should be -- and this is not a random figure -- but I'm saying an \$800 figure as a threshold because the Screen Actors Guild minimum for a day player, for a speaking part in a TV show or a movie is \$800.

2.1

So I feel that that is a place to begin for having that trust fund. I think it's a sensible place because -- and, again, it's not putting on a special responsibility for a producer. Again, a small production company who is making a little commercial for a little car dealership and he's got a kid waving from his car and the kid got \$50 for it. And to ask a producer to go through all of that for a small little production where he's -- the producer is only making a few thousand dollars on it.

They are requiring the child to have a permit and they are also requiring the production company to have a permit. And something to consider is, again, in the big world of the major movies — yes, they have time for this type of issue — and the average television commercial will come to me today, we will cast it tomorrow and they will be shooting three days later. And that's how quickly most of these things turn around. And

to now ask the production company to go through the process of a permit for a small little production is something to be considered

2.1

We have been doing a lot of talk about film and television. And to put this in perspective, you also have to remember that the Screen Actors Guild contract for extras only exists in the eastern part of the State of PA. Anything west of Harrisburg, the Screen Actors Guild does not exist for extras. They exist for principal players, but not extras. So, again, everybody west of Harrisburg, they are getting minimum wage for almost anything.

75 percent of the work that goes through my office are commercials. And, yes, we do almost all of the film productions in the Philadelphia area, but our day-to-day bread and butter are commercials. And, like I said, the average commercial is only casting two or three days before shooting.

The current process -- and the State Labor Office has been wonderful, I have to say this -- but the current process, the parent -- once the child knows they have a job, they now have to get their parent's -- the parent's signature has to be notarized, the parent now has to go to the school and get the school principal's signature and then the parent has to go to the school district offices and get a signature from a school district official as well.

And many times, the school district official will

refuse to sign it because they don't know the child personally. So then we go through hoops to get the second signature that's required and then we have to submit it to the State for approval. So it's a longer process than you may think and we squeeze it in to get their permit in before, but we get it done.

2.1

But, with that said, if you're going to require a smaller production company to have a studio teacher for only one day of shooting to establish trust accounts and background checks and to get a permit for their own production company, I'll be honest, in Philadelphia, I can walk to New Jersey and that's just the reality. The permitting process in New Jersey is fairly easy. And they'll say, well, you know what, we can find that location right there.

Now, I'm not saying the big Hollywood movies will do that because they're coming to PA because of our tax credits. But I'm talking about the PA companies. Our producers who live here and work here who are here all of the time and to put extra things on when they're just trying to earn a living, it makes it difficult for them.

The other issue that I really feel is enforcement. All of the current production companies who are abiding by the current regulations are not our problem. It's the ones who are operating without the permits that we'll never know about. I mean, again, "Jon and Kate Plus 8," how many years did they

shoot without a permit and they just kept on shooting and they never got caught. How did this happen?

I know of many producers who will come to me having cast their projects other places. And I'll say to them, oh, well, let's get your child permits and they look at me like I'm a little crazy and I'll say we always get permits. And they say to me, oh, I've never gotten permits in PA before and if I go so-and-so, and so-and-so tells me that I don't need to bother. They're the people that we need to reach out to and find because they're the problem children here. How do we find them? They're the phonebook. And we need education and enforcement, is a real issue.

Also, one of the bills is proposing a fee -- and I apologize, I can't remember which one -- an application fee for the process, which I totally understand, but, again, we also have to understand how much a child is making. If you're going to have a \$25 application fee and the child only works three hours and only made \$21 -- and, again, our problem in PA -- not necessarily a problem -- but our permits are good for each job.

So our child works today on this commercial. Great.

And then maybe, for some reason, books something else in a month, they need another permit. It's another permit every job. Now, maybe if you're going to charge a fee, but then that permit has to be good for multiple jobs in a certain time period and then that would make more sense. But, right now,

one permit per each job, when the child is not making that much money, needs to be considered.

I'm trying to see what else I have. I guess that's basically it and other points. Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Thank you for your time today and much of it is going to be very helpful. It makes a lot of sense for teachers and permits and enforcement. A lot of it is enlightening because you're from PA.

Rep. Murt and Rep. Gibbons, I think you're aware that one of our colleagues has a daughter that is a child -- I'm not going to say who -- she's a star in "Army Wives." And they should tap to him and talk to him about his own experiences with his daughter being in the industry and his own perspectives. Any questions?

REP. MURT: No, thank you for your testimony.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Okay. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT HEERY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: Last is Mr. John Bell. He's the Government Affairs Counsel of the PA Farm Bureau.

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COUNSEL BELL: Good morning,

Members of the Committee, Mr. Chairman. I am John Bell. I am

Counsel for Government Affairs with the PA Farm Bureau. We are

a farm organization with more than 50,000 families in the

Commonwealth. We appreciate the opportunity to speak with you

today on these two bills, which attempt to recodify the Child

Labor Law.

2.1

I think in the interest of time and maybe hunger, I would ask that my prepared statement be treated as if read into the record and I'll try to summarize what our issue is.

Essentially, the theme of our and precisely the same theme that we offered in 2008, that any recodification of the Child Labor Law tried to be as close as possible to be consistent with the standards related to child labor that do apply under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act.

We would recognize and express appreciation at least for the attempt made in House Bills 19 and 2555 (sic) to try to tie the standards that would apply in agricultural labor to that of FLSA. I think, more specifically, we do have concerns with the specific language that attempts to do that. And to understand our concerns, I think you need to generally understand the amalgamation that really exists under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act with respect to coverage or non coverage of child labor. There are issues of age, there are issues of activity and there are issues of wages that specifically apply to child labor.

In my prepared testimony -- and I would certainly encourage you to read it -- we've come up with six potential interpretations of the exemption of coverage provision that are included in the house bills, any one of which could lead to divergent results in the determination of what farmers need to

do or not need to do.

2.1

In addition, there are issues that are addressed in the bills related to the administration of child labor and regulation and requirements that may apply that aren't addressed in the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act. And those issues, which largely have been interpreted to not apply to employment in agriculture are left open, I think, in our opinion under the bills.

In the past, we have recommended specific language to address our concerns. We would respectfully ask that we would be happy to share that language again with the Committee and with the House and we would respectfully ask that that would be considered and incorporated in the final bills.

With that, I will entertain any questions.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: We have none, Mr. Bell. Thank you.

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COUNSEL BELL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GERGELY: That concludes our hearing. I want to thank everyone for taking the time to attend and for those that traveled for giving them our insight in PA.

The timeliness of this year is difficult, as session is almost over, but I think we've set the table for next session with both parties and the fact that we've had success with legislation in the past to make a sensible good bill come

```
forward has been said in testimony, model legislation for the
 1
     nation with respect to the child labor laws. Thank you very
 2
 3
     much.
                   (The hearing concluded at 11:53 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Kelsey J. Dugo Notary Public ANY REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED