1	
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
4	and VETERANS AFFAIRS AND
5	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
6	VALLEY FORGE MILITARY ACADEMY
7	EISENHOWER BUILDING VALLEY FORGE, PENNSYLVANIA
1	VALLEI FORGE, PENNSILVANIA
8	
9	WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011
10	9:42 A.M.
11	
1.0	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE RON MARSICO, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN,
13	JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
14	HONORABLE TOM C. CREIGHTON HONORABLE BRIAN L. ELLIS
	HONORABLE KEITH GILLESPIE
15	HONORABLE MARK K. KELLER
16	HONORABLE RICK SACCONE HONORABLE WARREN KAMPF
10	HONORABLE STEPHEN BARRAR, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN,
17	VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
18	HONORABLE MARK M. GILLEN
	HONORABLE JOSEPH T. HACKETT
19	HONORABLE THOMAS R. CALTAGIRONE,
20	MINORITY CHAIRMAN, JUDICIARY COMMITTEE HONORABLE CHRIS SAINATO,
20	MINORITY CHAIRMAN,
21	VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY
0.0	PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
22	HONORABLE TINA M. DAVIS HONORABLE BRYAN BARBIN
23	HONONADDE DITAN DANDIN
24	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
25	P. O. BOX 278 MAYTOWN, PA 17550
	717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX

1	ALSO	PRESEN	1T:	
2	RYAN	BOOP,	ESQ.,	MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
3	RICK	O'LEAF	RY,	MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
4				VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
5				COMMITTEE
6	JENN	TYLER,		MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR VETERANS AFFAIRS AND
7 8				EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
	SEAN	HARRIS	5,	RESEARCH ANALYST
9				VETERANS AFFAIRS AND EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COMMITTEE
11				
12				
13				BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
14				REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

			3
1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	OPENING REMARKS AND INTRODUCTIONS		
4	WESLEY R. PAYNE, IV		
5	PENNSYLVANIA BAR ASSOCIATION CO-CHAIR, MILITARY AND VETERAN		
6	AFFAIRS COMMITTEE		
7	LIEUTENANT COLONEL STEPHEN C. MILLER, ESQUIRE DIRECTOR, PENNSYLVANIA VETERANS		
8	MUSEUM LAW CLINIC		
9	BRIAN COURTNEY GRADUATE, PHILADELPHIA VETERANS		
10	COURT		
11	HONORABLE PATRICK F. DUGAN JUDGE, PHILADELPHIA MUNICIPAL COURT		
12	HONORABLE WILLIAM J. FURBER, JR.		
13	JUDGE, MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS		
14	HONORABLE SEAMUS P. MCCAFFERY		
15	SUPREME COURT JUSTICE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	±
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Good morning,
4	everyone.
5	Can you hear me? Pretty good
6	sound system we have here.
7	I'm Representative Ron Marsico,
8	chairman of the House Judiciary Committee.
9	Call the meeting to order.
10	I want to note that this is a
11	meeting of the Judiciary Committee of the
12	House of Representatives and the committee on
13	Veterans Affairs and Emergency Preparedness.
14	Thank you very much for being
15	here, and I'd like to introduce the Chairman
16	of the Veterans Committee and Emergency
17	Preparedness Committee, Chairman Barrar.
18	I'd like to introduce have each
19	representative of the panel, each member,
20	introduce themselves, and we'll start down to
21	my right, including staff.
22	MR. HARRIS: Sean Harris, research
23	analyst for the committee.
24	REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF: I'm Warren
25	Kampf of the 157th District, which is just up

1	the road, part of the VMA is in my district.
2	REPRESENTATIVE CREIGHTON: Tom
3	Creighton, Lancaster County, 37th District.
4	REPRESENTATIVE SACCONE: Rich
5	Saccone, representing parts of Allegheny
6	County and Washington Counties.
7	REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Mark
8	Keller, the 86th District, Perry and Franklin
9	County.
10	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Tom
11	Caltagirone, 127th District.
12	MR. BOOP: My name is Ryan Boop.
13	I'm the executive director for the House
14	Judiciary Committee.
15	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
16	Representative Steve Barrar.
17	MR. O'LEARY: Rick O'Leary,
18	executive director of the majority, Veterans
19	Affairs and Emergency Preparedness.
20	REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO:
21	Representative Chris Sainato from Lawrence and
22	Beaver County. And I'm the minority chair of
23	Veteran Affairs.
24	MS. TYLER: Jenn Tyler, executive
25	director, minority, veteran affairs.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Bryan 2 Barbin, representative from Cambria County. REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Joe 3 4 Hackett, representative from the 161st 5 District, Delaware County. REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: Representative Mark Gillen from the 128th 7 Legislative District, southern Berks County. 8 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Brian Ellis 10 from the 11th District, Butler County. 11 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Today we're 12 holding a joint public hearing about the 13 development of veterans courts in the 14 commonwealth. We are looking forward to 15 witnesses who will be presenting on this 16 important subject, hearing from those 17 witnesses. 18 The idea of using frequency-based 19 alternatives to traditional courts is nothing new in the United States and in the 20 21 commonwealth. Drug courts have been around 22 for more than twenty years. However, only 23 recently have we come to a realization that 24 many veterans of wars, from Vietnam, Iraq, 25 Afghanistan, and other conflicts, soldiers who

have served their country honorably, have paid a heavy price for their service. They've had their lives impacted while after being discharged.

The stress of combat and just being in a war zone has, in some cases, led former members of the military to become involved in alcohol and other forms of substance abuse and other antisocial behaviors. Sometimes that behavior lands that vet in the criminal justice system. Most often it does not involved any violence.

We do a disservice to those services if we don't recognize the unique cause of their problems.

While we can't ignore
accountability for their crimes, we have an
obligation to get them the help they need and,
more importantly, the help they deserve and
have earned through their service to our
country. Having a court specifically focus on
the veterans, their crimes, and their special
needs, offer these men and women the best
chance for a healthy, productive, and happy
life.

I want to thank everyone for coming today. Before we begin the testimony from the list of speakers for today, I'd like to turn it over to Representative Barrar for his comments on this hearing.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you,
Chairman Marsico and also Chairman Sainato,
for being here today with us.

This -- the idea of veterans

courts have been around just for a couple

years at this point. And this was brought to

my attention by one of my constituents, who's

going to testify next, Lieutenant Colonel

Miller. I serve on the Penn State Veterans

Museum board with him, and he talked to me

quite a bit about this.

I took his idea to Chairman

Marsico, the chairman of the Judiciary

Committee, and asked him about holding on a

hearing this so we could see whether or not

there was a need to do any type of legislation

to help this along, whether we need to codify

this into a law and have the general assembly

act on it, or if there's a need for funds, to

find out how we can promote the idea of

veterans courts even more around the state of Pennsylvania.

I think it is such an important idea that we have here, and it's becoming very popular. I know in Delaware County, our county council and our judges, our president judge, have gotten out front on this issue, and I know in Montgomery County, they have been very successful for the past couple years with this.

We have more and more veterans coming home that are suffering from post traumatic stress. Maybe we're just getting better at identifying than we had during the Vietnam War, but it's so important that we get ahold of these veterans before they're either incarcerated, maybe the first bite at the apple, should be some type of special treatment for veterans, whether it's through drug programs or job training, whatever they need, to try to make sure that we can do everything we can for these veterans that are coming home.

So I want to thank everyone for taking time to be here today. And traffic

coming out here was a little tough with the recent weather, and I just want to take a few minutes and ask Chairman Sainato if he wanted to make any brief comments before we introduce our speakers.

REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Thank you, Chairman Barrar.

I just would also like to thank my colleagues, Chairman Barrar, Chairman Marsico, and Chairman Caltagirone, and everyone else who's taken time out of their schedule, to join us as we hear more about veterans courts in Pennsylvania.

A special thank you to Justice Seamus McCaffery for all of his hard work, dedication, and leadership on this very important issue.

Veterans courts are designed to assist the former service member by providing a treatment program that will help them on their path toward recovery. I'm a member of the Veterans Justice Partnership Task Force, and I look forward to working with Justice McCaffery and direct the board on this important issue as it continues to evolve.

1	So, again, I thank all of our
2	chairmen and all of the committee members for
3	being here today on this very important
4	subject.
5	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you,
6	Chairman Sainato.
7	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: I want to
8	recognize and thank Chairman Caltagirone, who
9	is here, and thank him for his interest in
10	this very important issue as well.
11	Chairman Caltagirone, thanks for
12	being here.
13	REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank
14	you.
15	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: All right.
16	I believe we're ready for our first
17	testimony. And we have a distinguished group
18	of speakers that we are anxious to hear from
19	each of them.
20	We have roughly set aside twenty
21	minutes per speaker, and we'd ask that our
22	speakers spend, maybe, no more than ten
23	minutes on their testimony, and then that
24	gives the committee a chance to ask questions
25	for a few minutes.

1	Our first set of speakers, our
2	first panel is going to be Wesley Payne, with
3	the Pennsylvania Bar Association and co-chair
4	of the Military and Veteran Affairs Committee
5	for the bar association, and also Lieutenant
6	Colonel Stephen Miller, the director of the
7	Pennsylvania Veterans Museum Law Clinic.
8	If you can come up to the podium
9	here.
10	And I'd just ask the members and
11	anyone in the audience if they'd quickly look
12	at their cell phone and make sure that it's
13	put on totally silent so it's not vibrating or
14	interfering with the microphones. Thank you.
15	Gentlemen.
16	Wesley, proceed whenever you're
17	ready.
18	MR. PAYNE: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	As you stated, my name is Wesley
21	Payne. And to members of the House Judiciary
22	Committee and the Veterans Affairs and
23	Emergency Preparedness Committee, the
24	Pennsylvania Bar Association greatly
25	appreciates the opportunity to submit its

written testimony and the opportunity to speak before you with regards to the establishment and viability of veteran courts programs throughout the state of Pennsylvania.

Veteran court programs are currently operating in several counties in Pennsylvania, and although each of these programs works slightly differently from county to county, overall the programs have proven over the last several years to be an effective tool for the courts.

Over the last two years, veteran court program have been established in Lackawanna, Philadelphia, Allegheny, Berks, Chester, Montgomery, and Washington Counties.

Based upon the success of these initial veteran court programs, Lehigh,

Northumberland, York, Dauphin, Delaware,

Luzerne, and Beaver Counties initiated veterans court programs, which are anticipated to be up and running within the next six months or so.

The PBA supports providing funding to counties to plan, implement, and expand initiatives that increase public safety, avert

increased spending on criminal justice, and
improve the effectiveness of treatment
services for individuals with mental
illnesses, substance abuse issues and

5 disorders who are involved with or at risk of

6 involvement with the criminal justice system.

The veteran court programs which have been initiated on a county-by-county basis, thus far, are achieving each and every one of these goals. Hence, the veteran court programs are generally supported by the PBA, and we are hopeful that their ability is --viability are used in the future as well in other counties.

the growing trend, as noted by the PSAR committee. Veterans appearing before courts face charges stemming from substance abuse and/or mental illness. Drug and mental health courts have always served veteran populations and have been very useful for them and shown that traditional services do not always actively meet the needs of the veteran, and that is what is special about veterans court.

Further, most veterans are

entitled to treatment through the Veterans

Administration, and these courts are

specifically designed to provide that needed

connection between the veteran and those

services that are available, especially when

you're look at such conditions such as post

traumatic stress disorder.

Veteran courts are not only used for that connection point but also give that veteran a second chance in life.

The facts demonstrate that there are approximately 23 million veterans in the United States. There are approximately 1.7 million veterans of the Iran (sic) and Afghanistan wars. Of these veterans, one in five report symptoms of mental disorder.

With respect to substance abuse, the effect of the recent wars in Iraq and Afghanistan are evident. In the veteran population of ages between eighteen and twenty-five, one in four reports meeting the criteria for substance abuse disorder.

In total, 1.8 million veterans meet the criteria for having a substance abuse disorder. Therefore, the need for the courts

are established by these statistics.

Further, it's estimated that as many as one-third of the adult homeless population has served in the military and that at any given time there's 107,000 or so homeless veterans.

This population mirrors the general homeless population, in that 45 percent suffer from mental illness and 75 percent from substance abuse. Therefore, the courts specifically designed to target the veteran population and deter future criminal activity and to get these veterans on the right course are needed and just makes sense throughout.

Although veterans are not more likely to be arrested for committing a crime than the general population — than the general population, veterans are becoming more involved in our criminal justice system. Many of these veterans struggle with mental health and/or substance abuse issues.

In fact, it's estimated that 81 percent of all veterans involved in the criminal justice system had a substance abuse

problem prior to incarceration. Thirty-five percent were identified as suffering from alcohol dependency, 23 percent were homeless at some point in the prior year, and 25 were -- excuse me, 25 percent were identified with some form of mental illness. Therefore, the need to identify these individuals and these veterans and obtain access for the VA benefit that they may be entitled to is a necessity. It's what we need to do for them.

As a result, the first veterans courts were established in Buffalo, New York, and similar programs were created in other states, such as Nevada, Oklahoma, California, and Alaska. There are currently twenty-seven either state or federal jurisdictions that maintain a veterans court in some form. I have listed them for you. I won't read them all.

However, no state has expanded its veteran court programs to include each and every county within that state or jurisdiction. And that is an initiative that needs to be addressed as well, especially in Pennsylvania, since we have a very high

veteran population.

What makes these veteran courts work is, as I alluded to, utilizing a holistic approach to dealing with our justice-involved infractions. The court creates a special docket within the court system so that individual's still brought in to be -- to address the specific crime with individuals that are identified as veterans, and if they're charged with non-violent offenses, they are given the opportunity to participate in the veteran court programs.

They are still afforded the opportunity to speak with attorneys, and they are also given the opportunity to speak with the VA to determine what would be the best program for each individual soldier. So it's not much of a cookie-cutter as it is an evaluation process to make sure that the veteran fits the needs of the program and that the veteran is willing to comply with those programs -- the program's needs.

Once that veteran is identified as a potential candidate for the program, the veteran is not only required to participate

but must make an affirmative gesture that he's going to no only participate but do what is, quite frankly, many times more than what is required of probation.

And once this veteran is found suitable, there are an array of VA benefit programs that may include all types of things such as alcohol or substance abuse treatment, which the veteran is available to utilize.

Additionally, there are mentors assigned to these veterans. And the mentors assist the veterans in working toward a successful resolution of the criminal charge, including the change in lives that they will have to meet to get back on the right track.

The mentors are not -- although many of them may be attorneys, are not there for legal advise. They're not there for social counseling advice. They are there to provide support for the veteran. The voluntary mentors do not provide legal advice or any type of therapy whatsoever.

And PBA supports the use of these mentors, because we find that veterans have a tendency to be able to relate better to other

veterans and assist the program immensely.

As mentioned before, these are not the first types of specialty courts that have been looked at. And like other diversionary criminal programs, the goal of veterans court is to have the record of the veteran expunged, to help them move past their substance abuse and mental health illness, which lead to or contributed to the veteran's involvement in the criminal justice system and to get their lives back on track.

not a free pass for the veteran involved in a program, as we discussed earlier. They're usually required to do a little bit more than someone just being placed on probation. There is more of an affirmative action or affirmative thought process they must be engaged in.

The effectiveness of veterans courts, albeit based on limited results of the last few years, has shown the reduced amount of recidivism among veterans has been successful. This success may, in the long run, save taxpayers substantial funds.

As we've noted earlier, it is estimated that between 62 and 80 percent of inmates in Pennsylvania prisons are incarcerated for some drug-related crimes. Each prisoner costs approximately \$97.72 per day, or approximately \$35,000 a year, to be incarcerated. However, the cost of diverting each veteran to a -- that is successfully selected into a veterans court program, the cost is only \$7,000 per year, which is a savings of approximately \$25,000 per individual.

As a result, veteran courts programs put veterans back on the right track, prevents future crimes within the community and saves the taxpayer money. In short, the veteran court programs are basically a win/win for everyone concerned.

In closing, the Pennsylvania Bar
Association thanks the committees for the
opportunity to testify and submit this
testimony. And should you have any questions,
I am more than willing to address them.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Colonel Miller.

We will take questions at the end.

LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: Good

morning.

Chairman Marsico, Chairman Barrar, panelists, thank you for inviting me to speak this morning.

My name is Lieutenant Colonel

Steve Miller. I'm a drill and guardsman and attorney. I am the director of the Veterans

Law Clinic at the Pennsylvania Veterans Museum in Media, Delaware County, in the armory.

I'm a member of the Veterans

Justice Initiative Task Force in Delaware

County, which has the stated goal of starting

veterans court in Delaware County by the end

of the year. I'm a former naval aviator,

still a guardsman with the 177th Fighter Wing,

where I do pro bono for our troops. And I do

work for airline pilots who are members of Air

Line Pilots Association, who have issues

regarding their guard or reserve status as it

relates to their civilian employment.

I came this morning to speak in favor of legislation that would support the veterans court system in Pennsylvania. I

realize that our current legal structure

allows for problem-solving courts

administrated by our supreme court, but I

think that the veterans court has some unique

attributes and requirements that warrant

specific legislation.

I will talk about four general reasons briefly as to why I believe that and then a few substantive issues that I'd like to see incorporated in that scheme.

The general reasons would be a show of legislative support, a mechanism for funding, codifying policy and procedure, and standardizing best practices. And the substantive issues are a requirement for education for all the involved parties and also having a veteran in the decision-making or the adjudicative loop.

As far as the general reasons, the legislative support, the Pennsylvania general assembly has a long legacy of veteran support. There is already legislation or pending legislation for preferential hiring of veterans or military child care assistance.

There is pending legislation

sponsored by Chairman Marsico and Chairman

Barrar and others that would require veteran

membership on the Civil Service Commission.

That arose out of the fact that, over a period

of time, the auditor general had determined

that twenty-five agencies had filled five

hundred sixty-nine positions through the Civil

Service Commission without taking into account

any veterans who had applied.

According to Chairman Marsico's memorandum reintroducing the legislation, the memo states that there is a need for an advocate who understands the challenges faced by veterans and that a fellow veteran would better serve the needs of our veteran community.

Essentially, the chairman and his co-sponsors identified that there's a system that was designed to aid veterans, and that system failed chiefly because it didn't have a veteran in the decision-making loop, and they're acting to remedy that.

So I would suggest that legislation would further demonstrate the Pennsylvania general assembly has a long

tradition of supporting veterans.

As far as being a mechanism for funding, I met with Chairman Marsico and Barrar and Mr. O'Leary and Mr. Boop in April, and we discussed this. And at the time, U.S. Congress had the SERV Act, which eventually died in committee, which would have provided for funding for veterans courts. That's gone.

Congressman Patrick Meehan has announced that he is going to introduce legislation. In his press release, to that effect, to quote him, he says — the press release is quoted as: Congress Meehan plans to introduce legislation that will give communities across the country access to federal assistance to create veterans treatment courts in their area and improve existing veterans treatment courts. The funding will come from federal dollars already appropriated, and the bill will not require any new spending.

Other states, in addition to the federal funding and a conduit to funding by having legislation supporting veterans courts

in Pennsylvania, other states which have legislation have incorporated funding schemes in them.

In your materials, I included the legislation and summaries of the legislation from, I think six states, which, by the way, was compiled by the students of Widener's law clinic. I'm grateful for their help.

I'll direct your attention, on your own time, to those of Illinois and Texas, who each attack the aspect of providing funding, indigenous funding, through the legislation for the courts.

So legislation would provide both a conduit for their seeking distribution of federal funds and could also establish other funding schemes.

As far as legislation codifying policy and procedure, currently Pennsylvania has a veterans court system and a terrific advocate in that system in our Supreme Court Justice McCaffery, himself a combat veteran. He has this program up and running or about to be up and running in about a half dozen different counties.

And right now, we have big support. Our supreme court, I think every member, from our chief justice throughout the court, is either a veteran or has an immediate

family member who's a veteran.

positions.

We have tremendous public support right now. We're engaged in two wars. We're coming up on the tenth anniversary of 9/11.

But that enthusiasm and that public support may not last. If we codify our current policy and procedures, we can capture the current brain thrusts, the current passion, the current motivation that exits now for posterity to ensure that the public service

that these people are providing now survives

the current public servants who are in these

And regarding standardization, my county, Delaware County, has a rich tradition of uniformed service in our bench and our bar. I don't know that all of Pennsylvania's counties share that privilege.

Standardization would help us and legislation would help us capture the best practices that are going on right now, not just in

Pennsylvania but in the four veterans courts that have been identified as mentor veteran courts throughout the country, the American Bar Association's recommendations for best practices for veterans courts, and it would also make the system more defensible. It's a legal entity, therefore there are potential challenges ahead.

There have been challenges to it in other states, usually based on a perception of a status-based benefit or special treatment for veterans. Victims' rights groups who argue for retribution for the victims versus rehabilitation for the defendants or just a misunderstanding of the costs. As Mr. Payne discussed, it is an economic benefit to have these courts. And that's not always immediately apparent to those to whom the idea is introduced.

Standardization will enable us to capture the best practices, and it's our insurance against potential challenges to the system, because it will allow us to put our best mind in the development of the system, and it will give the individual courts the

quality of quantity in defending their systems.

Those are the general rationale that I believe support having legislation to codify a veterans court in Pennsylvania.

The two substantive issues I'd like to see in that legislation would be education and need for a veteran in this decision process.

First of all, I think any legislation ought to be permissive. It ought to allow each jurisdiction to use its current practices to the degree that that would be permissible, and it ought to require, to the least degree, that they adhere to any particular pro forma.

Regarding the education, first of all, it's a key component -- in fact, it's key component number nine of the Buffalo policy and procedures manual. And as Mr. Payne alluded to, Buffalo was one of the first veterans courts. They have a very mature, very well developed veterans court. The National Drug Courts Institute has identified Buffalo as one of the four mentor veterans

courts in the nation. And their key component number nine talks about education.

There was talk about the volunteers and the necessity for veteran volunteers to mentor these defendants through the program. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court, the administrator of problem solving, has already begun developing an education program for those mentors. That should be released on the 19th of September, is the target date for that.

I'd like to see education as a requirement for all of the other participants, for the DAs and public defenders, the private practitioners, the judges, in the form of continuing legal education. As I said, some counties are richly steeped in uniformed service, some are not. I think you need the education as a unifying factor.

I'd like to relay a brief story, a personal experience, that highlights this need for education. I talked about that I direct the veterans law clinic out at the Pennsylvania Veterans Museum. I had a client in that capacity, pro bono client, who was a

veteran of Iran and Afghanistan. He had
returned having suffered through five IED
attacks. He had been discharged and
undergone -- had undergone treatment through a
VA treatment facility, had been diagnosed with
post traumatic stress disorder and also a
traumatic brain injury and had run into, on
the civil side, the kind of legal troubles
that you might expect of a young man who had
been through these kind of issues.

In my dealings with opposing counsel, at one point, opposing counsel made the comment, you know, "You claim he's been through five IED attacks. You claim he's a wounded veteran. He doesn't look like a wounded veteran." I was, obviously, taken aback by the comment.

As I thought about it and considered it, I realized -- and this is a very competent, very conscientious, very well-respected lawyer. It wasn't a cheap shot at all. That attorney was absolutely correct. This young man did not -- he had two arms, two legs, both eyes -- did not appear to be a combat-wounded veteran. I think that

highlights the need for education for all the parties involved.

As far as having a veteran in the adjudicative loop, a veteran decision maker, it's consistent with veteran membership on the civil service committee, as I quoted earlier, Chairman Marsico's memo, that the need for an advocate who understands the challenges faced by veterans and their fellow veteran would better serve the need in the veteran community.

It would help alleviate, head off at the pass, the problem of trying to set up a system that's designed to aid veteran and have it fail only because there is not a veteran in the decision-making loop.

And to really -- a little bit of a personal experience on that. Like I mentioned, I help airline pilots out who are guardsmen and reservists who are dealing with their civil employers. In that capacity, civil employers are sort of analogous to the adjudicative decision maker. And where I see problems is a lack of understanding by that decision maker, where the manager or the

first -- the employer, and in many cases, the veteran, typically not a post-9/11 veteran, and doesn't recognize the vast changes that have taken place in our military in the last decade.

The guard and reserves are carrying a much larger burden of the war efforts, that it's no longer a weekend a month, two weeks a year. At my guard unit, we just returned from Iraq last year. I can tell you, we have a requirement to go fly six times a month. We have a number of training deployments throughout the course of the year. It's extremely onerous on these civilian employers. And those decision makers are, through a lack of understanding of what the current guard and reservist are going through, often make bad decisions regarding that.

I think that helps highlight the need to have a veteran in the decision-making loop of any veterans court scheme.

With that, I thank you for your time. I offer my continued service to the process.

I want to publicly get on the

record that I thank our Widener students -Sloka (phonetic), Jim, and Dan -- who compiled
the information for me.

I'll direct your attention

specifically to some of the material. Like I

said, Illinois's law and Texas's law are both

included in the materials. They provide good

schemes for both whether or not you want to

include a funding component to the

legislation. Illinois also has a very robust

layout of the procedure and how the court

would work.

I'll direct your attention to Buffalo's policy and procedure manual that's in the material.

And I included two articles
written by Widener's professor Justin

Holbrook. The first one, Veterans Court Early
Outcomes and Key Indicators for Success, has a
great survey of each of the components of a
veterans court: who would be eligible, how
you would take that person in, what crimes
would qualify or not, what kind of treatment
programs you would use or not, and then
whether you expunge it, consider it a guilty

```
1
       plea, or how you -- what the ultimate
2
       determination of the situation is.
                     And then the table at the end of
3
4
       the second article is the American Bar
5
       Association's keys to success for a veterans
       court system.
6
                     So, again, thank you. And I'll
7
8
       entertain any questions at this time.
9
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. Before
10
       we go to questions, I want to recognize
       Representative Keith Gillespie and
11
12
       Representative Tina Davis, who have joined the
13
       panel. They're down this way.
14
                    Welcome and thanks for being
15
       here.
16
                    Any questions from the committee
17
       members of the panel?
18
                     Coming from the right, I don't see
19
       any.
20
                    Representative Ellis.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS:
                                            Thank you
22
       very much for coming today, gentlemen.
23
                    Colonel Miller, I just have a
24
       questions, and I'm sure, in the packet, we
25
       could find it. Just to get a general feeling,
```

you say that Texas and Illinois have very 1 2 successful programs or models. LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: 3 4 They -- like I said, I'm speaking -- they have 5 a legislation that's a good model legislation to look at. 6 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Do you know 7 8 when they started the courts, veteran courts 9 in those states? LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: 10 those states, I don't know. The very first 11 12 one was actually Anchorage, Alaska, in '04. 13 Buffalo started theirs up in '08. So it's 14 more recent than that. It is in the current 15 period of financial austerity. 16 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: I would 17 assume there's a bunch of other states looking 18 into it as well. 19 LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: 20 are -- yes, there are a number of states who 21 have veterans court systems. There are only a 22 handful that have legislation on it. 23 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: And the 24 last question I had, you emphasized how 25 important the education component is. And

1 what I'm trying to understand, does that 2 education component include the veteran or education to the courts and the DAs and 3 4 everybody so they understand how to administer 5 the programs? What did you mean by that? LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: 6 specifically, I meant the -- not the veterans, 7 8 in what I was referring to, but the personnel 9 involved in the court, the lawyers. 10 We have twelve continuing legal 11 education credits that are required of us 12 every year. I think in that scheme, there 13 ought to be a requirement, if you're going to 14 participate in this system, you have an hour 15 or so that would count towards that 16 specifically on these issues, and I 17 highlighted that with the example of the 18 lawyer who is very good at what she does, but 19 through a lack of education on the issues, 20 reached a very bad conclusion in our case. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Thank you 22 very much. 23 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Any other 24 questions?

Representative Barbin.

25

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you.

I had a question for Mr. Payne.

The -- when these systems are set up in the other -- there were, maybe, twelve counties that have started on this path. When you say "veterans court," isn't that a misnomer? Because under our constitution, don't we have the power in each of the county courts to have different divisions? Because it sounded like, when you provided testimony before, that what you really needed to have a veterans court was to have a separate docket and a separate way for the judges and those counties to deal with the system.

Would it require a separate court or a separate judge? Or could we use the judges that are assigned in a different division?

MR. PAYNE: If I misstated that, I will rephrase it slightly. No, you do not need a separate judge or a separate system. A separate docket is just what it is, a docket.

Anyone who's participated in any court proceedings realizes that any judge has a number a dockets that he'll run, whether

it's a criminal, divorce docket, civil

docket. And creating a special veterans court

docket only isolates the cases into a

grouping, just like any other grouping that

our courts are allowed to create. That is

correct. And that's why most specialty courts

are started at county level, for that very

reason.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: With the mentors that are suggested for this type of program, are they compensated at all?

MR. PAYNE: Mentors? No. Mentors are not compensated. They are volunteers.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: And given the numbers that you provided in the earlier part of your testimony, we have big problems, highest rates of suicide rates, PTSD, traumatic brain injury, homelessness. Doesn't it make sense that maybe our resource that normally go for bonuses, things — war bonuses, things like that, couldn't we use those for — to license people as mentors? Or what would be your comment on that? Would that be an effective way that we could use our resources to get people trained?

MR. PAYNE: My first comment is that the PBA has not taken a specific position on that. Looking into it, how to budget or the resources are used within the system are going to be the decision by the courts, so the decision on how to do that.

I would agree with you that an ounce of prevention is truly worth a pound of cure. And that's what these courts provide. They provide the intervention to prevent future issues. And those mentors are a key component.

And I think you might actually lose something along the line, because most of these veterans -- I mean, most of these mentors are veterans, and that is the connecting point between the veteran that's involved in the criminal justice system and this mentor. They have that sort of similarity.

If you can bring that through with other forms of mentors, who are paid or unpaid, that would be great. But you just can't lose that element.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: What I'm

```
1
       thinking of is, we have something called
2
       foster grandparents. And while it isn't a
3
       job, it is a stipend to those people that were
4
       the best suited to help kids. I don't know
5
       why we couldn't do the same thing with
       veterans.
6
                    MR. PAYNE: I'm not aware of this
7
8
       program.
9
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: That's
10
       it.
11
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Chairman
12
       Barrar.
13
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                            Thank
14
       you.
15
                    Colonel Miller, I want to thank
16
       you for your collection of data here for us.
17
       This is very helpful.
18
                    In one of your testimony -- I'm
19
       not sure, I think it might have been
20
       Mr. Payne -- you had mentioned that violent
21
       criminals are excluded from acceptance in this
22
       program, or is that yet to be determined?
23
                    MR. PAYNE: I did not say violent
24
       criminals are excluded. I said nonviolent are
25
       usually what you're looking to target. That
```

is -- so sort of a basic premises is looking for someone that -- essentially along these -- there are drug-related-type incidents or mental-illness-type issues that assistance can be granted.

So you're -- normally, those are going to be your nonviolent type of incidences. There are different programs in different states that may be very different, vastly differently, and within Pennsylvania itself, each different county gets to draw its own line, because we have specialty court dockets. So what may qualify in Delaware County may not qualify in Philadelphia County.

Miller, in the five -- I think five or six states that you basically had given us as how to model legislation, do the other states all accept violent criminals into the -- into that program, or do any of them exclude them?

do, some don't. I'll draw your attention to the first Widener article that's in there, The Veterans Courts Early Outcomes, and on page twenty-six, it actually addresses this. This

is a survey of which type of offenses are included or not. It talks about the different percentages of courts that include and exclude things.

get into not necessarily violent crimes, but violent crimes that have victims' rights groups -- domestic abuse, crimes against children -- there have been challenges to these court systems by those groups. So most of the courts leave those things out.

And other things, like drug use, that's in. Drug manufacturing and distribution, in many courts, that's out. You know, that's not really a product of post traumatic stress.

So there is a -- it depends on how each state defines its different crimes. Most of them include some degree of even violent crimes and felonies, most of them exclude little specific parts, like felony domestic violent or crimes against children.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Okay.

Thank you.

That's all I have.

1 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you. 2 Thank you to both of you for being here, your information and being here today. 3 4 For the information of members, 5 members of the public, we have testimony on the back table under the flags, your 6 testimony, but also Colonel Miller's very 7 8 comprehensive and extensive testimony is 9 available electronically as well. This is, 10 what I've seen so far, it is a very wellprepared report. We thank you very much for 11 12 your leadership on this issue. 13 LIEUTENANT COLONEL MILLER: You're 14 welcome, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 Moving on to our next speaker, we 18 have Mr. Brian Courtney. 19 Mr. Courtney is a veteran who has 20 recently graduated from the Philadelphia 21 veteran court. 22 Mr. Courtney, welcome. You may 23 proceed. 24 MR. COURTNEY: Thank you. 25 Ladies and gentlemen of the

committee, I was asked to speak here today, and I prepared something. And I'm a little bit nervous here, so I'm going to read this and see how well it goes.

I have been asked to speak here before you on my experience with Philadelphia veterans court. Let me first say that upon receiving an e-mail asking me of my interest in appearing before you, my first thoughts were that I'd be delighted to try and help in any way that I could.

However, after replying to the e-mail and talking on the phone with Mr. Kane, I think reality set in and I had to ask myself, What have you done now? What could you possibly have to say to these distinguish people?

In truth, it's really very simple. What I've gotten from my experience with veterans court is a profound hope. And I'm expressing this to you in a way that may benefit others is the challenge that I see set before me.

In talking with Mr. Kane, I believe it best to start with what brought me

1 to veterans court in the first place.

Basically, it was my long-term struggle with addiction. Today I'm aware of some of the

4 events that played a part in my self-

5 medication.

Besides the turmoil and drug culture of the '60s and early '70s, I enlisted in the Navy at the age of seventeen. Becoming a Navy diver, my duties at times exposed to me what all could I say at this time were traumatic events.

I know now that I dealt with these by suppressing my emotions at the time, thereby creating a struggle within myself. A struggle that at different periods of my life I sought help through various treatment programs and also twelve-step groups. Several times I was able to attain a few years abstinence but always I would end up relapsing.

I believe it was in March of 2004
that I was first introduced to the VA medical,
where I went to SATU, and that's Substance
Abuse Treatment Unit, at VA medical,
Coatesville, Pennsylvania. At this time in my

life, I was homeless, as in other times.

Homelessness being one aspect of addiction.

From SATU, one could go on into a domiciliary program for homeless veterans, this program being geared towards teaching job search skills along with further addiction recovery groups. It was at Coatesville that I first became aware of PTSD, post traumatic stress disorder. Honestly, at first, I believed it was some kind of scam that vets were using in an attempt to get paid by the government.

However, I would attend a separate twelve-step group for PTSD facilitated by now my good friend Rabbi Phillip Goodman. This group was not a part of the doms program but available to all that were interested in seeking help. Rabbi Phil would also take time out of -- out by himself and counsel me, as would my own catholic chaplain.

I had long known that addiction is really a symptom of some underlying -- underlying cause, and I was beginning to believe that maybe, in fact, psychiatry understood what mine might be in PTSD. I

found out that the VA offers treatment in this area, that is for post traumatic stress disorder, at Coatesville and other facilities throughout the country.

I put in a request for help
through the dom treatment team program to go
to New York. I was turned down. And I
remember being told by the administrator of
the dom, "Brian, I believe you have PTSD, but
we believe it best you stay here." I felt
betrayed and equated their solution as to
putting a Band-Aid on a wound requiring
sutures.

Needless to say, I left

Coatesville and returned to Philadelphia to do

things my own way. I was still homeless and

lived on the streets and would go to the

non-profit organization, the Philadelphia

Veterans Multi-Service and Education Center

during the day.

At that time, VA medical rented space from them on the second floor, and I could see a therapist and attend recovery groups there.

The third floor is called the

perimeter and is a day center for homeless

vets. The fourth floor has computer education

and job counselors for out-of-work vets.

My sobriety was precarious at best. I would make half-hearted attempts but never anything more than a few weeks.

Ladies and gentlemen, to make a long story short, my addiction to illegal drugs graced me with the opportunity to get room and board at the Philadelphia Prison System at State Road in Philly to await trial on a possession charge.

During one appearance at regular court, I remember my lawyer talking to the judge about some program that had a long waiting list. Now, with the time I already had in jail -- spent in jail, I don't think that if I was sentenced, I would have done but maybe a couple -- an additional two months. It was at this hearing that the bailiff had a word with the judge, and His Honor then stated that he was transferring my case to veterans court.

I went back to PPS, where, for me, the strangest thing happened. I received

a visit from Rebbecca Hicks of the

Philadelphia VA medical, who was to be my

liaison to veterans court.

Now, addiction and PTSD have one thing in common, and that is isolation.

Having someone to show up at jail, telling me they were there to assist me in my court appearances was quite a shock to me.

I still remember my first

appearance in front of Judge Dugan and him

asking me how I liked jail. I could only tell

him truthfully that they were treating me

better than I had been treating myself.

He had me released from PPS on the condition of court supervision and VA direction. Through the help of Mrs. Hicks -- Miss Hicks, I have gotten help for both my addiction and PTSD.

There is one incident of great importance I would like to relay to you concerning one of my court visits. I remember waiting my turn to be called and seeing this one young man who had what I call a "don't give a damn" attitude all about him, something of which I'm well acquainted. I knew by his

age he was a vet of one of our most recent conflicts, either Iraq or Afghanistan. could tell by the conversation going on in front of the bench that this young man was in hot water with the judge for missing appointments or something like that. Experience told me judges don't tolerate bad attitudes.

Then the strangest thing happened. There was a recess or what I thought to be a recess. When court resumed, I realized Judge Dugan had taken this young man back, maybe to his chambers, and had a talk to him. I don't think it was a scolding but a "one vet to another" type talk, because this young man's whole demeanor had changed and became positive.

At PVMSEC, the motto is Serving
Those Who Served. Here it was in action in
our city government. Also, for me,
personally, veterans court allowed me the
freedom to take responsibility for my actions
and my own recovery.

Ladies and gentlemen of this esteemed committee, today I'm not only

volunteering in computer classes at PVMSEC, I attend weekly meetings of a group named Adeodtus, a spiritual support group for ex-inmates. In this group, we are starting to explore programs about restorative justice. I told them that if they wanted to see restorative justice in action, visit Philadelphia veterans court.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not an educated man. I think of the nickname of our great state, the Keystone State. I never really understood this until my experience with the law. To me, the three branches of government — the executive, legislative, and judicial — it is the judicial, the judiciary that is keystone. It is the branch that makes the others work.

Ladies and gentlemen, today I
maintain a modest room one block away from
Independence Hall. On the corner of my block
is where Thomas Jefferson penned the greatest
document to date. This Sunday, our Commanderin-Chief will honor, in a field in western
Pennsylvania, men and women who were our first
combatants in our ongoing struggle for

freedom.

May your work here today continue to bring hope to veterans in their personal struggles.

Thank you for the honor and the privilege of appearing before you today.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Brian, thank you for being here. And God bless you for your testimony and your honesty. And I know that was not easy. (Applause.)

Can I ask you a quick question?

From the time you were discharged from the

Navy to when you first got in trouble, what

was that trail like? What was your life like

coming to that point?

MR. COURTNEY: Yeah. I always looked -- it was always a hard time adjusting, you know.

And I didn't know Judge Dugan was going to be here today. I'm a little bit embarrassed. But I can remember him saying early on, listening to this man, and seeing what was going on here before me, but he brought up the statement that what he'd like to do for all the veterans is to give us back

our sense of pride that we had, you know. And that hit me a lot, about the sense of service, you know. And I had lost that.

I try not to live with regrets today, but I know how much I loved being in the military. I did it extended times. And it was hard to adjust outside. I -- even today, I continue with my struggles, but I have hope. And, especially, with veterans court, I'm looking to get into the mentoring program in November, go through the training for that, to give back.

And also, through -- with the

Adeodtus, when I saw that in court there, I

saw the opportunity to take it in to other end

of the court besides the veterans, and often

guys that are just struggling out there, you

know, that aren't into violent crimes just

with addiction or things like that, there is

hope, you know.

So it's been a tough road. But, you know, through the groups that I attend and the support I get, veteran court and other things -- I wanted to mention, again, the Philadelphia Veterans Multi-Service and

Education Center.

They have a program that members, right there, called the -- I brought the information to give to you all -- incarcerated veterans transition program. And this organization is a wonderful thing. It started years ago in the '80s, you know. A couple veterans were down at the Philadelphia -- when they were dedicating the memorial to Vietnam and now they have so many vets, and they're in the courts, every week, at veterans court, and they have programs that help guys out.

So --

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Your

treatment at Coatesville was pretty good?

MR. COURTNEY: Well, I'll tell

you, I -- Miss Hicks, you know, when judge

released me, Miss Hicks was going to have me

go into the Philadelphia outpatient program.

I was on the streets, and I went to it and I

said, "Rebbecca, maybe you'd better get me up

to Coatesville."

But the last time I was there, they just started SATU, and the first SATU, and then -- that's 39A, but 39B was dual

```
1
       diagnosis. And VA being what it is, I said,
2
        "Now, make sure they're getting me in dual
       diagnosis, " you know. And the day I got up
3
4
       there, that's not where they had me. And you
5
       know, my first thought was to turn around and
       leave. And I said, "You made a commitment.
6
       Give it a try. One day at a time."
7
8
                    And through going there and then
9
       coming back to Philly and with Rebbecca, you
10
       know, doing outpatient for my PTSD at
       Philadelphia VA, this was an ongoing thing.
11
12
       So, yeah.
13
                    I know that Coatesville does have
14
       a PTSD dom up there, you know, so it's going
15
       on. I found that I'm getting everything I
16
       need on an outpatient basis. So right now
17
       everything's great.
18
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                            Okay.
19
       Thank you for being here today.
20
                    I'm going to ask the other
21
       chairman, does he have any questions?
22
                    Any of the members, questions?
23
                    I want to thank you for being
24
       here. It means a lot for us to hear your
25
       testimony. It was exactly what we were
```

1 looking for, and I thank you for that. 2 MR. COURTNEY: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Our next 3 4 testifier is the Honorable Patrick F. Dugan, 5 judge on the Philadelphia Municipal Court. Judge Dugan, welcome. 6 JUDGE DUGAN: Thank you. Thank 7 8 you for having me. 9 Mr. Chairman, members, this is 10 awesome that you're having this hearing. And I probably couldn't have said it any better 11 12 than Brian just said it. He kind of laid out 13 what we try to do in Philadelphia veterans 14 court. 15 Little bit about my background. 16 have many titles, and one of them you just 17 said, Honorable, but the title that I cherish 18 the most is sergeant. I'm still in the 19 military. I'm a commissioned officer now in 20 the JAG Corps. But I had been in civil 21 affairs, enlisted, when I went to Iraq. I was 22 also an enlisted sergeant back in '80s with 23 the 82 Airborne Division, as an infantryman. 24 So the title sergeant means more 25 to me than any other title. I've actually

asked my children to please put that on my tombstone.

And that's what I try to bring into the courtroom, because we bring in a docket of veteran defendants. These are folks who now have allegations of criminal activity and are brought in front of me. And in Philadelphia, we have obviously a high volume of cases. As a municipal court judge, I probably oversee two hundred fifty to three hundred cases a week. So, in a year, we're talking about eight thousand cases. So we have to learn to do things in a very quick manner, to look at people.

When these defendants are in front of me, every day I have people slouching, sleeping, wanting to read their paper. So a big struggle for a judge is to get control of the courtroom, when you have folks who are used to the system.

In veterans court, when I open up and I start speaking to the veteran defendants, I tap into their military background. I remind them, and I like to remind everyone in society, that at some point

prior to these allegations, these are the best that we have in our nation. These are folks who have stepped up, put the uniform on, and said to United States government, "My name is on a blank check. And if you want to cash it, cash it."

Whether you served in Fellujah or
Vietnam or Afghanistan or Korea or South

Dakota or New Jersey, it doesn't matter. If
you weren't called to go overseas to fight in
a conflict, okay, you were lucky that that
didn't occur. You are still here. Everyone
that's put the uniform on, military service,
has said to the U.S. government, "I'm willing
to give my life for this nation."

And that's what I tap into these guys. I say, Think back to when you graduated from basic training, boot camp, or whatever service you were in, and the pride that you had. And that's what I try to tap into.

And the changes. In all my other courtrooms, it's extremely rare to see somebody come up and actually stand at attention or parade rest, or say "yes, sir" or "no, sir" to me as a judge. And that

infuriates ne in other rooms. It absolutely does.

But these guys, after they come into the room, and I explain to them what we're there for, the attitude changes. It's amazing the transformation.

So that's what I see goes on in what we call veterans court.

And the question about the dockets, I agree. It can be -- it really is truly a docket of just grabbing these folks who have military background and putting them on the same docket so that we can then streamline whatever the outcome of the case is.

We have the VA in the room. In no other criminal courtroom do I have services in my room. But I have the VA in the room. So if the guy's going to get some type of services, some kind of drug treatment, right there in the room is Miss Hicks or Cynthia. They're right there. They make the connections with people like Brian right away. It's not three weeks later. It's not a month later, make an appointment where it's

somebody they don't know. The folks from the VA get to know the veteran defendants, and they get to know them on a personal basis.

That is the big key for me.

in the room, when he gets in the program at the VA, that's the federal government who's picking up the tab now. It's not the county. It's not the commonwealth. It's not the city. It's the federal government. And it's not a handout. It's benefits earned. They've already earned them.

I see defendants who come in my courtroom more who are recent -- who recently returned from Iraq or Afghanistan and they're lost. They have the arrest. "What are you doing with your life?" No job. "You going to school?"

"No. Why?"

"Because you have VA educational benefits that will pay you \$1700 a month to go to college full time. The light goes off.

Some of them don't know it. So they get connected through the VA with education benefits. So just the fact that they come in

my room, whether the outcome is guilty or probation or an ARD or some other type of diversion, whatever occurs, that veteran has been connected to the benefits that he's earned, regardless of the outcome. And that's not happening anywhere else in the system. Or it's a very proactive judge who's getting that to happen, but I'll tell you that most judges will say that it's very difficult to deal with the VA. It truly is.

We've cut the red tape and bureaucracy out. They're now in our room and they're assisting us. I'm not saying they were ever hurting anybody, but it's just a huge bureaucracy.

The folks that Brian mentioned,

Multi-Service Center, these are all the

private organizations that come into my -
they're in my courtroom every week. They are

there to assist the veterans.

Some folks are not eligible for the VA, whether it's discharge, whether it's -- they served in the reserves, but they didn't go overseas ten years ago. Prior to the current conflict, reservists aren't

eligible for the veterans to use the Veterans

Administration facilities, et cetera. So

there are certain categories.

We still take them into vet court and we find them other programs. And one of them is the Department of Behavioral Health.

They step up and they take our non-eligible veterans, non-eligible VA veterans, and assist them in a program. Generally speaking, they're drug or alcohol or an anger management type case.

The -- and I apologize, I didn't have anything prepared. I was actually on vacation, and today I was scheduled to be in the homicide room, so I didn't know I was going to be here. But when my president judge got word, she said, "No, go out." So, if you allow me, I will send you some more-prepared statements.

But, the -- these folks -- these other organizations that come and help us have been fantastic. The mentors, volunteers.

One of the things I wanted to ask for was, Yes, I would love funding to pay some

mentors. And, obviously, it would be best if they're veterans, because it's the veterans connection, that's what works in veterans The veteran lingo, it's that you understand each other. You both served. You've been there, you've done that. And now, the veteran defendant will open up more to a veteran than he will a non-veteran. It's in any walk of life. If you're a dentist, you're going to sit around and talk about what happens at a dentist office. It's the same thing.

As a former paratrooper, I can talk to guys who served in the Army. It's just -- it's common sense. And mentors are fantastic with that. They come in and help us out.

funding that I could have a couple of guys get paid, that I could have them full time down there, as opposed to volunteers who -- sometimes it's difficult for them to come to court all the time. Again, it's volunteer. How much time can they give us? There's only a few people that can give it all the time.

So I would love to have money for -- for veteran mentors.

We're just -- we're just starting to get a dedicated probation officer, which will certainly help us a lot.

The -- I can't say enough about the VA staff. Miss Hicks and Cynthia, what they do is amazing, but they're getting overwhelmed.

Philadelphia, I believe, serves some other counties, and we're getting to the point where -- we have over, maybe, two hundred fifty active cases right now, so pretty much the young ladies from the VA have two hundred cases that they have to handle just from my courtroom alone, plus their other jobs that they have out there. So they're getting overwhelmed.

And I see it getting more and more, because as this gets known, there's more attorneys who are saying, "Hey, I have a defendant who served. Can we get him in your courtroom?"

The district attorney, in reference to the violence question, while we

are not out there seeking the crimes that are violent, they can come into our courtroom, but the district attorney will talk to the victim, get the victim's input before they come in and say, "Okay. Judge, we want the case in that room."

Sometimes we will have a conversation with the victim off to the side saying, These are things that we can do. And generally speaking, the folks will come around. And if there are issues that come up, then we will move that defendant out of veterans court into a regular docket, if there becomes a lot of issues with it.

The supreme court, I mean, I know that they were brought up, but Chief Justice Ron Castille, as everyone knows, is a Marine Corps veteran who lost a limb in Vietnam.

Justice McCaffery served decades in the military. They've just been outstanding.

They've been pushing from the top down, and I love it because we're getting as much support as we possibly can to continue the veterans court.

The counties that are now adding

it, they've come into our courtroom. They're picking up some things that we're doing.

Judge Russell, from Buffalo, came down, was outstanding with some of the points that he gave us, his guideline. Somehow we had actually adopted many of the things that he had already had out there, so we feel we're still going in the right direction.

We still have a lot of kinks to work out. We've been going seventeen months, and, again, we've had well over three hundred fifty cases that we've actually done. We've had a hundred and three graduates. We have another twenty-four that are slated to graduate in November.

that -- on that line whether or not veterans courts should continue to be an epidemic, then I'd invite you to come to our graduation, the day before Veterans Day, November 10th, at 10:00 a.m., in the Criminal Justice Center, and you will see why, when I was listening to Brian, I teared up, and why I tear up at a graduation, because of somebody standing up and saying, "Judge, I got in trouble. I came

to court with an attitude. And then you hooked me up with my VA benefits, and, while I'm still on probation, and I still have to come to your court, I still have to urinate in a bottle, but I'm now going to Temple. And I'm getting \$1700 a month."

And when there's folks like that are standing there saying how much you changed their life, I don't get to hear that a lot, so it's something that I certainly advocate and hope that, you know, we can continue to push this throughout the commonwealth.

Any questions?

And I appreciate you for letting me come out and speak.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Questions from the members?} \\$

JUDGE DUGAN: You know what -- I'm sorry -- there's one other statistic that -- I had to ask one of the administrators to give me some statistics about the court.

Of the cases that go to the VA, she's telling me 91 percent have either mental health, substance abuse, or dual diagnosis issues. Ninety-one percent of the folks that

are going to the VA from my courtroom have 1 2 those issues. Forty percent of our defendants 3 4 are combat veterans. Of those, 44 percent are 5 Vietnam veterans, 31 are the more recent conflicts; Persian Gulf, 18; Korea, 2 percent. 6 And I will tell you that I 7 8 definitely look at a veteran differently, but 9 I expect more out of him. I am more likely 10 to -- to kick them out of the program or to do something else to them because they're a 11 12 veteran, because I know they have it in them. 13 I know they can stand up and do more than a 14 typical defendant that I have. 15 And, again, I thank you for having 16 me here this morning. 17 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Representative 18 Hackett. 19 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank 20 you, Chairman. 21 Sergeant, thank you for your 22 testimony here today. 23 Just have one question, and kind 24 of open-ended. Do you see the veterans court 25 docket and its success helping to steer maybe

other court dockets down the road?

and I'm not sure if this is what you're asking me -- if I had a -- if I had a mentorship program in every criminal courtroom, if you gave me a -- let's say, an Irish American male in my docket when I have Irish American males as my defendant, and they can relate to that young man that's in front of me, I think that would be a super success. It would definitely help the recidivism go down, because many of the defendants coming in are lacking direction, lacking somebody steering them.

If we could link them -- link the typical defendant up with a mentor who can show you how to go back to school to get your GED -- now probation officers, they're overwhelmed. They really are. And I know it's set up that they should be trying to do that and they do, but they're still the man, they're still the law. If we can have a friend or a mentor that is like the typical defendant that comes in my courtroom, I think we would have fantastic success in terms of recidivism.

And I'm not sure if that's --1 2 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: So, in your opinion, the mentorship is the basic 3 4 success. 5 JUDGE DUGAN: The mentorship, The mentorship and the services in the 6 yes. 7 room. 8 Same thing, typical case of some 9 kind of drug possession case in Philadelphia, 10 and you are on probation. You know, you plead or whatever it is. You get probation. And 11 12 getting through -- you have to go to a drug 13 program. So, all right, sign up for a drug 14 program. You're going to go to Gaudenzia 15 House or whichever other program there is. 16 They're not in the room with me. They're 17 setting it up through probation through their

attorney. So that's three weeks later.

I don't say, "Here's the social worker right here now. Link up with that

person." Or, "Here's the mentor." If they

were in my room, it would go much smoother, I

believe.

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you, Sergeant. Thank you for your service.

JUDGE DUGAN: Thank you.

2 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Representative

3 | Barbin.

4 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you,

5 | Sergeant.

The program that you have in Philadelphia, it sounds like it works because you've got the federal government, at least — for the people that are coming out, we know that there's three hundred some thousand people in Iraq and Afghanistan that are going to have some problems with PTSD or traumatic brain injury, and those people can end up being the ones that have drug addiction problems and bring them into the court.

What I'm wondering about is, I've heard in the past that the drug court model is the type of model that we're using in veterans court, where you basically suspend whatever the charges are on the idea that you meet whatever the program is that your mentor or the VA come up with. And at the end of the process, the charges don't hang like a stigma to keep the veteran from getting a job.

What happens in your program to make

sure that a person who does make it to

November 10th and graduates doesn't have the

stigma problem keeping him from getting the

next job?

JUDGE DUGAN: Well, unfortunately, some of them still have the stigma. Some of them do, based on their record or the nature of the crime, they will actually plead guilty. If they want a trial, the trial won't be in front of me. They'll just go on the regular docket. But, unfortunately, there are some that -- it's based -- again, based on the nature of the history that there's not many options, and the district attorney and sometimes myself won't go along with just allowing somebody to come in.

Even those who we turn away, we still connect them with the VA or the Multi-Service Center or the other programs, so we still, before we, again, send them down the hall or somewhere else, we make sure that we connect these veteran defendants with some type of services.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: What I am asking, though, is more specific. There are

74 some that are going to come into your program 1 2 and you're going to not sentence them to probation, to hold it open. 3 4 JUDGE DUGAN: Sure. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: With those people, is expungement a possibility? 6 JUDGE DUGAN: 7 Oh, yes. 8 Absolutely. Absolutely. Yes. Expungement is 9 definitely -- and the district attorney -- the 10 district attorney of Philadelphia is on The gentleman who handles the cases 11 board. 12 for the district attorney is actually a Marine 13 Corps veteran. The public defender is not. 14 So, you know, slotting veterans in these 15 positions is a good idea. But it's not --16 it's not mandatory. 17 The person that, you know, I want 18 to follow is Judge Russell. And Judge Russell 19 would be the first person, from Buffalo, who 20 has not served in the military, but his right-21 hand man is a person who's in charge of the 22 mentors, and he's an 82nd Airborne veteran. 23 So he's able to pull the judge to the side and

say, Hey, this guy's -- he's real or he's

not. So it helps.

24

25

1 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Counsel Boop. MR. BOOP: Judge, as a municipal 4 judge in Philadelphia, do you have 5 jurisdiction over the entire county or is it just that particular area? 6 JUDGE DUGAN: Have jurisdiction 7 8 over the entire county. Those of us who are 9 in -- because I've done drug treatment and 10 mental health, I'm certified to be CP judge. But in terms of jurisdiction, the municipal 11 12 court is entire Philadelphia. Basically, in 13 municipal court, we do all the trials for 14 misdemeanors. And we do every prelim, felony, 15 et cetera. 16 And because I'm certified to take 17 felonies because I have done these other 18 courts -- there's a few of us that are 19 certified as CP -- we can take the felonies 20 and dispose of them in veterans court. 21 hoping that we can actually start getting more 22 felonies into municipal -- into the veterans 23 court. 24 MR. BOOP: The reason I'm asking

is because some of the counties that are

25

trying to work on a model where they deal with it at the magisterial district justice level and try to get services initiated at that level and have run into jurisdictional issues where they have cases from all over the county that they have to have the defendants waive their jurisdiction issues, and we heard about that. That's why I'm asking.

JUDGE DUGAN: Yeah. And, no, we're good throughout the city.

MR. BOOP: Thank you.

JUDGE DUGAN: I'm sorry. In terms of -- I know one of issues are, the counties, the size and whatnot, I don't know if the commonwealth would ever do regional, but perhaps there's some assets can be shared in certain counties where they could group together if they wanted to run a docket like this.

much, Judge, Sarge. Well done, well said.

Thank you, on behalf of the veterans, for your passion for our veterans. We need to have a number of sergeants around the commonwealth like you, representing our veterans.

1	So we thank you very much. We
2	would certainly welcome your prepared
3	statement as well, so if you want to send
4	that.
5	JUDGE DUGAN: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Once, again
7	thank you for your comments. Appreciate your
8	being here today.
9	JUDGE DUGAN: Thank you for having
LO	me, and thank you for doing what you're doing
L1	here today.
12	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: The fourth
13	speaker on our agenda here today is William J.
L 4	Furber, Junior, judge, Montgomery County Court
15	of Common Pleas.
16	JUDGE FURBER: Good morning.
L 7	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Good morning,
L 8	Judge.
L 9	JUDGE FURBER: Ladies and
20	gentlemen, good morning.
21	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: You may proceed
22	when you're ready.
23	JUDGE FURBER: Thank you very
24	much.
25	I'm Judge William Furber of the

1 Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County.

And I'd like to begin by offering my sincere thanks for your having invited me to come.

I also would like to express my appreciation to those speakers that preceded me, because it never ceases to amaze me that although our veterans Court in Montgomery County is relatively new, when I had been asked to attend functions that involve veterans courts and I listened to those that have testified, such as Judge Dugan and the gentleman that preceded him -- just a perfect example of what can happen when these veteran courts are successful -- and then our association representatives in the county, I always learn something.

A little bit about me. I've been on the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas for eighteen years. I have spent most of my time -- not all, I've been in other positions, but most of my time in the courtroom, in the courts. Prior to that, I was assistant district attorney for three or four years. So I'd like to think that I do have experience with our criminal system.

In 1969, I volunteered and entered the Marine Corps in the midst of my college.

And I served in the military until 1975. So I suppose you might say that I have that unique experience of having been a sitting judge in the criminal division and also having served in our military, so that I think I have some feel for what the ladies and gentlemen who are seeking entrance into the veterans court are experiencing.

I mention the fact that I've had experience in criminal court because I think it needs to be abundantly clear that -- and I'll explain our model in a moment, I think the model that we have would be helpful. But I'd like to make it abundantly clear from the outset that when our team in Montgomery County considers an individual for entry into veterans court -- please understand that every veteran who has, unfortunately, gone astray is not admitted for a variety of different reasons. So, it's not an automatic, if you will, acceptance in the veteran court.

Ultimately, when a person is admitted into veterans court and we admit them

into the program, I make it abundantly clear to them, as I did when I was in the service -- and they understand this -- this is no free lunch. We are offering you the opportunity to turn your life around and be successful, law abiding, contributing members to our society.

They have been screened. We think that the persons that we admit have the best opportunity to be successful, but I hold their feet to the fire.

Let me back up for a moment,

before I get into the actual model -- which I

hope, in some ways, will help you. Please

understand something. Judge Dugan preceded me

by at least a year. He's the veteran of the

veterans courts, just as Seamus McCaffery, who

also has recently arrived, has been very, very

instrumental in having our court in Montgomery

County up and running. So I owe a debt of

gratitude to both of them.

I wish that I had the opportunity to visit with Judge Robert Russell in Buffalo. I haven't yet had that opportunity, but I intend to do that.

And let me digress for a moment.

The wounds of war are not always visible. The American soldier is trained to deal, on a daily basis, with enemy gunfire, harsh weather conditions, and the grim reality of death.

Ironically, though, the most difficult task a soldier will ever encounter is simply

returning home to a regular life.

In today's world, the American military is comprised of approximately three million people, several thousand of whom are legal professionals. The tough re-acclamation to home life from life on the battlefield or life within the military, not just on the battlefield, can be a major strain on the family, friends, and co-workers of the returning vets.

Those who are part of the life of the returning veterans have to understand the issues their fathers, sisters, friends, or colleagues could be facing. People have to be able to identify the problems a veteran may be facing before those problems reach a crisis point. Many such problems await a veteran when he or she leaves the battlefield and returns home.

I mentioned a moment ago that we are a relatively new veterans court in

Montgomery County. I've really learned a lot by listening to others, watching others. And,

of course, I try to employ my own style.

I happen to like people. And I happen to have a huge appreciation for those men and women who served our country, many of who are putting their lives at risk on a daily basis. And when those people come home, and they come before a judge who has been handling criminal cases for the best part of two decades, almost two decades, darn it, I feel, in my heart, that many of them deserve an opportunity for me and for my team to take a special interest in what's troubling them, how we can help them, how to make them more productive. And I have learned that that's what this system does.

Understand something: I still am a criminal judge in Montgomery County. I have a criminal docket that every criminal judge has. This is something that I do in addition to my criminal responsibilities, because I think it is so worthwhile.

Briefly, we have patterned our court largely after the model that did come from Buffalo, state of New York. And we patterned it, in some respects, after the

model that was put in place by Judge Russell.

Let me see if I can kind of put
the legalese aside and get right to the heart
of this. As Judge Dugan suggested, I believe
what makes this work is this: Once a week, on
Thursdays, at noon, I will meet around a huge
conference table with members of the adult
probation department of Montgomery County,
with a member of the district attorney's
office, with a member or members of the public
defender's office, sometimes private attorneys
that I invite to come into the room so as to
advocate for their clients, members of our
prison system, and perhaps, most importantly,
there is a rep, a VJO from the Veterans
Administration, in that room.

When we receive an application for an individual to be considered for veterans court, we are receiving them from many places. We have found that early intervention is really important to a lot of these men and

women, because the vast majority of them 1 2 suffer from drug and/or alcohol problems. Therefore, we have devised a system, whereby 3 4 the local police departments are aware of the 5 fact that we have a veterans court, and sometimes the local police departments will 6 indicate on the face of the criminal 7 8 complaint, you know, that this is a veteran, 9 might be considered for entry into your 10

program.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Person doesn't make bail. wind up in the Montgomery County correctional facility. Warden Algarin, who attends my meeting every Thursday with me, along with the others, has set in place a program where he, upon receiving his information, will review charges, will review vital statistics of the individuals who have arrived, and, again, may very well be the entity from which I receive applications.

Obviously, defense attorneys, they now know that for approximately six months, we have a veterans court in Montgomery County, and they're anxious to have their clients considered.

The adult probation department, very, very important in my county, because they serve as the initial screen. And they sit down and interview these people along with the veterans -- excuse me, yes, the Veterans Administration representative, and if they think a person fits the bill, that will be another individual.

We talk about a lot of people on Thursday afternoons at noon to 2 o'clock. I leave that session, and I go right into my courtroom session.

We're in our infant stages

compared to Judge Dugan's situation. And as

you can imagine, those that are familiar, of

course, with the outlying counties as opposed

to Philadelphia -- Philadelphia is inundated.

And they do such a wonderful job. We're

starting to begin to feel like we're

inundated, yet compared to perhaps two hundred

fifty people, three hundred in the system,

I've got ten people in my veterans court, but

we only started at the end of April. By the

end of the year, I think that number will at

least double, and then you can see

geometrically how that's going to increase.

Thursday afternoon, I have every one of the admittees come back and see me once a week.

Our program consist of three stages. Stage one, they must all come back once a week and see me. Every week. They move on to stage two, successfully -- and so far, all of our vets have been successful -- they will come back and see me once every two weeks. And then they move into a third stage, where they may come and see me once a month.

here? We're talking about my having a handle on what's happening to these individuals for a year and a half. And it is so important to have the Veterans Administration there, because, you see, early on, we recognize --we'll have someone go up to Coatesville.

We'll have the physicians there determine whether or not an individual's suffering from post traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury, and we do have the SATU programs that help them, those that have alcohol and/or drug addiction problems. And they come see me

every week.

And I make it very clear to them every week, as -- as a commanding officer might to one of his or her soldiers. "You need to listen to what I have to say. You have a mission. I have a mission. Let's get it done. Let's do it the right way." And if you've been in the military, you understand that.

That's why it is so important to have these mentors. And in our county, they've all been former vets. Just so happens that we're -- I'm the only vet on our -- on our bench, which surprised me. So when they asked me to do it, I jumped at the opportunity.

But I can't begin to explain -perhaps there are not words that can
explain -- that those who have been in the
military and those can identify with another
individual in the military, whether it be me,
whether it be their mentor or others. Just so
happens Julio Algarin is a prior Marine,
person in our veterans court. It's that
bond. It's the bond that's so important,

because there is that feeling of not wanting to let you down. And, therefore, it's so important that we meet with these people regularly, as we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I've given you an overview. To answer some of the questions that were asked of other individuals, our program so far has been -- runs like this. When we decide a person does have a chance of great success, we will allow them into the program. And when they come into the program, they enter what is known as an open plea of quilty before me. Sentencing is necessarily deferred. Depending upon the nature of the charge, ultimately, it might very well be. If they're successful, their charge is dismissed. If it's a serious crime, but, nonetheless, one -- an individual that we think will still benefit, it may be that that individual's case is not dismissed outright, but the charges may be decreased.

We let them know. You've got to work for this. And it's not for two weeks.

You'll be here for a year and a half. I think
I know enough about character to know who is

trying to hoodwink me and who's sincere.

And, by the way, if they're not sincere and I learn that they're not, there are also sanctions that we place. It may not be that we toss them out of the program the first time they run astray, but if it happens more than once, you can almost guarantee that they're not going to be in my court anymore, at least as far as the veterans court is concerned. That's how we operate.

I hope that I have touched on issues that are helpful to you. And, by the way, I also apologize. This is not from a war wound, fortunately. I had my hip replaced about a month ago, and I was sitting at home when I received a call and was asked to come, and I jumped at the opportunity to be with you.

I haven't been back to the courthouse for a while, so I don't have written materials, but I have in front me our policy and procedure manual, and I'd be more than happy to have that copied and sent to every one of you.

CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Thank you, Judge.

1 We certainly welcome the 2 testimony, written testimony. Thanks for your 3 being here. Going to go to questions. I just 4 want to say thanks for the excellent 5 description that you gave to us of the operation of your courtroom. 6 Any questions? 7 8 Representative Barbin. 9 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Sure. 10 Judge Dugan mentioned that he had mentors. 11 your program, do you have volunteer mentors as 12 well? 13 JUDGE FURBER: Yes, sir, I do. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: The OVA? 15 JUDGE FURBER: Yes, sir, I do. 16 And we're looking for new ones all the time. 17 One of the things that we have 18 done is we've reached out to the local bar 19 association. There's a lot of lawyers in our 20 county that were in the service. And, you 21 know, they are responding. We are -- we're --22 we would always like to have more. REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: 23 Would you 24 also agree that the mentor that has veteran 25 background is going to be much more helpful to

	91
1	the overall effectiveness of the program?
2	JUDGE FURBER: I would agree with
3	that.
4	REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you
5	JUDGE FURBER: Because I think it
6	tends to cement that bond that I mentioned,
7	veteran to veteran, if you will.
8	REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank
9	you.
10	JUDGE FURBER: Thank you so much.
11	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Judge, I think
12	we have one more question.
13	JUDGE FURBER: Oh, I beg your
14	pardon.
15	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Representative
16	Saccone.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SACCONE: Yes.
18	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	Thank you, Judge, and thank you
20	for all the presenters. I've really been
21	moved by what you've shared with us today.
22	I'm also a veteran so both
23	commissioned and noncommissioned officer, and
24	I represent two of the seven counties that are
25	listed, Washington, Allegheny County that have

1 veterans courts. And I really knew nothing 2 about this. And I'm curious, can we observe 3 4 what goes on in the veteran court? Are we 5 allowed to go down and watch? I'd like to do that now, after hearing this testimony, and 6 get more involved in this. 7 8 JUDGE FURBER: Be more than happy 9 to have you. The answer to that is 10 absolutely. It's open to anyone. It's open to anyone. I've had grandmothers come in, 11 12 mothers of people. I've had other vets that 13 have come in to observe. 14 And, by the way, in our county, 15 and I'm sure in others, we're very open. If 16 anyone ever has a suggestion to make something 17 better, we will certainly try it. 18 So, yes, by all means, you're welcome to come down. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SACCONE: 20 Thank 21 you. 22 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Once again, 23 thank you, Judge. 24 JUDGE FURBER: You're very 25 welcome. Thank you for having me.

1	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: We mentioned a
2	couple of times mentors. We have with us, in
3	the back, a volunteer mentor from Lancaster
4	County. Ken Gardner, who is an attorney, who
5	served as a veterans coordinator, I guess, as
6	well, for the veterans newly created
7	veterans court in Lancaster County. He also
8	served in both Iraq wars, and, recently,
9	started they're own veterans veterans court
10	program in Lancaster.
11	So thanks for your involvement
12	with this very important issue as well. And
13	welcome and thanks for being here.
14	MR. GARDNER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Our final
16	speaker today is Justice Seamus McCaffery, of
17	the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.
18	Justice McCaffery, welcome. And,
19	you may proceed at we have that's fine.
20	And welcome.
21	JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN MARSICO: You may
23	proceed.
24	JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: You guys need
2425	JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: You guys need to stretch, stand up? You guys are looking a

little tired here.

1

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 If I see you do this --

3 CHAIRMAN MARSICO: I'm sure

4 they'll wake us up.

JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: Again,

6 Representative Marsico, thank you so much.

7 This is -- this was an unexpected, wonderful

8 | thing, and I got caught -- I shouldn't say

caught. When I heard about this, it was kind

of like in a roundabout way.

We've been toiling in the vineyards out there for several years. Just went to Pittsburgh several months ago, where we did, with Mr. Mike Moreland, who's the VISN4 director. Public service announcements are now shown all over Pennsylvania. We've done a lot of telecasting. We've done video, radio, everything. Thousands and thousands of hours of us trying to get the word out, and yet you folks have not really heard a lot about us. I don't know if that's a good thing or a bad thing.

Let me just start out by saying a few things. One, the one document I just stated, the Veterans Justice Partnership,

gives you pretty much a time line of what we have done so far to date. Okay? And on the very last page, it lets you know which courts are up and operational, which courts are about to be operational, and which courts are in planning.

with you, Mr. Chair, I'd like to just step
back a little bit to give you a bigger picture
of what's going on here. Maybe you don't
know, for those of you who don't, I was -- I
spent twenty years of my life as a
Philadelphia police officer. When I was going
to law school, I was assigned to the trans
unit, which covered six and a half miles of
underground in downtown Philadelphia.

One of the things I found down there was the homeless, just the incredible number of homeless. As my friends from Allegheny County tell me, one of our mental health courts out there, these people are not a bad people; they're a mad people. And that's their coin phrase. And I agree with that. These are homeless people who suffer from mental illness.

One of the things that I found when I was walking the beat down there as a sergeant was that an awful of them were veterans, and immediately I was intrigued by this. Why?

Marine Corps. When I got off active duty, I stayed in the reserves. I, like you, was, once upon a time, enlisted. I was a mustang, they called in Marine Corps, as a gunnery sergeant, second lieutenant.

In the same month -- I stayed in the Marine Corps to the rank of captain, was given the opportunity to take over as a squadron commander for security forces, United States Air Force. I jumped at that opportunity, and became a captain, went on to become a Lieutenant Colonel. As a full colonel, I retired in 2008. I served our nation's military for forty years, 1968 to 2008.

So I've worn two different uniforms. My sister unit, the 911th out of Allegheny County. I'm sorry. I've stayed in touch with a lot of veterans across the

state.

I left the Department of Homeland Security, again, as an 0-6, for working with now Major General Jim Joseph of the Pennsylvania National Guard.

I say that because, in those forty years, I've had the opportunity to serve along side of World War II veterans, Korean War, obviously Vietnam, all the way up through Operation Desert Storm, Desert Eagle,

Desert -- what was the other one? There's a couple of these deserts.

And last but not least, I was activated due to 9/11 and, again, served during Hurricane Katrina, et cetera, et cetera.

In my many years and my many experiences, the thing that really bothered me was how many war veterans are homeless and how many of them are sick. When I was the administrative judge of Philadelphia court, municipal court, I tried to create a mental health court because I really felt strongly that too many of our people with mental illness are in jail.

As you all know as legislators,

one of -- the second largest budget item right

now, I believe, is prisons. Okay? Right now,

a large percent of our folks that are

currently incarcerated in our state prison

system are there because they suffer from

mental illness.

Now, if you're interested, there are two books I really recommend you read.

One is called The Insanity Offense. The Insanity Offense. It is an absolutely wonderful book. It gives the whole history of what went wrong in our nation's forensic hospitals with mental illness. So many of our citizens that suffer from these mental diseases have now literally been thrown out on the streets.

I believe, and, again, I'm not sure of the exact number, but we went from 1950, 60,000 to 500,000 forensic beds nationally. We're down to something like 40,000 beds nationally. What does that tell you? As a former police officer, that tells me that that's why we have people out there that are so called 302 committee frequently,

domestic violence. We see it day in, day out.

How many times do we see anybody with a mental illness with a gun? How many times do we just see families collapse and we just can't do anything more? I'm sure everybody's heard -- either has or knows someone that suffers from Alzheimer's or dementia. My father, we just lost my dad not quite a year ago, suffered from Alzheimer's.

Mental disease and mental illness is one of the great problems in our society right now. What are we doing with them? Our law enforcement community can't do anything other than take them in front of the courts. What are our courts doing with them? When you're struck with nothing else, no other help, we end up putting them in jail cells. So that being said, that is the big picture of mental illness.

But let me drop back a second and tell you a little bit about veterans court.

When I tried to create the mental health court in Philadelphia County, I kept running into a brick wall. And that brick wall was money.

Everything's about money. Where are we going to get the money?

The beauty of veterans court -and I found this out after hearing about Judge
Russell in Buffalo. He has the federal
government, the Veterans Administration,
coming to our courts, into your counties, and
they provide us with the funding that we need
to help our citizens get back on track.

What do I mean by that? Mr. Mike Moreland, who, again, as I mentioned earlier, is the VISN4 director. He has partnered up with me to basically create this justice partnership where the Veterans Administration will evaluate, help us interdict, help us determine what type of treatment that veteran needs. He or she -- by the way, ladies, there are a lot of women out there right now suffering from PTSD.

These individuals are evaluated before they go into the courtroom. The VA determines that they need mental health treatment. They determine that they need job training. They determine that they may need homes. The VA provides this service to us.

1 I mean, think about that. 2 Delaware County just announced recently, they are now creating their own veterans court. 3 4 Why is that interesting? Because the 5 president judge of -- I'm sorry -- of Delaware County announced that they had a hundred 6 twenty-three veterans on their docket. 7 hundred of them were eligible for veterans 8 9 court. 10 Now, the county commissioners were 11 all there. And every one of your counties has 12 county commissioners. And one of the things 13 they found of extreme interest was that the 14 VA, federal government, is going to take one 15 hundred -- or can take, I should say, one 16 hundred veterans off of their docket, out of 17 their county budget, to get them job training, 18 housing, mental health treatment, et cetera, 19 et cetera. 20 Ladies and gentlemen, that's a 21 windfall for the commonwealth. It's never 22 been done before. 23 When I first heard about Judge 24 Russell, I approached our chief justice,

Ronald D. Castille. Now, for those of you who

25

don't know, Chief Justice Castille is a combat

Marine Corps veteran, Vietnam. On his 23rd

birthday, he lost his leg. Okay? Chief

Justice Castille knows a little bit about

combat, knows a little bit about post

traumatic stress.

The chief justice said, Seamus, this is good stuff. We want our courts to be involved.

And just to give you another aside, in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania right now, Justice -- Chief Justice Castille, Marine Corps; Justice Max Baer's son, Anthony, now flies KC-10s back and forth from Afghanistan, captain, United States Air Force; Justice Tom Saylor's son's flying C17s in the United States Air Force; Madam Justice Debra McCloskey Todd's husband, Steve Todd, United States Army; and last but not least, we have Mr. Justice Michael Eakin, United States Army. So you can see we have a supreme court that's very in tune with the needs of our veterans community.

The chief justice basically assigned me to create these veteran courts

around Pennsylvania, and I have traveled all over the state doing everything and anything I can to talk to and convince our president judges, our county commissioners, that this is the right thing to do, because we feel strongly on our supreme court that no veteran should be left behind. This is our way of helping.

These men and women, folks, they go off to serve our nation perfectly healthy. They are strong, fine young men and women, Pennsylvania citizens. So many of them come back changed.

There's an article I just dropped off for you, recent edition of the American Legion. If you get a chance, read that article. It speaks volumes to what's going on out there for these young men and women.

Our Pennsylvania National Guard -I'm sure some of you won't remember us here or
remember the days when, if you were in the
reserves, they were called weekend warriors.

Let me tell you something, folks, there are no
more weekend warriors. Our men and women in
the reserves right now are taking over 51

percent or maybe more of the active duty
missions. Our guard, our Pennsylvania guard,
28th Stryker Brigade -- 28 Division, I should
say, these men and women are deployed not
once, like Vietnam, they're going back and
forth, four deployments, five deployments.
This does not bode well for our society.

We have military that continually sends our citizen soldiers, men and women, into harm's way. They come home on a Friday. They're back to work on Monday as a firefighter, as a police officer, as a truck driver, as a lawyer, whatever, pick a profession. These young men and women that take their civilian clothing off and put that uniform on, go off, serve, come back, and the next thing you know, do they have a chance to really decompress? No.

We've heard stories right now about police officers in upstate Pennsylvania, talking about the heart of an individual, driving down the highway, center line's in the middle of that car. They light him up. They pull him over. This is a young guy just back from Afghanistan. Why is he driving down the

middle of the road? IEDs. In his mind, he's still scared. Think about that.

We have young men who come home and they self medicate -- and women, by the way -- self medicate on drugs, street drugs, cocaine, heroin, you name it, marijuana, or they self medicate on alcohol. They go home to their wives, their spouses, and the next thing you know, we have cases of domestic violence. Okay? They're not acting a way they normally would. That's not your son or your daughter that went off in uniform. That young man, that young woman is suffering from an invisible wound.

Chief Justice Castille, if he would walk in here now with his crutches, he has one leg. It's easy for us to see that he's suffered a traumatic wound. Every year we honor our dead. We know who they are. But you know something, if it's your son, your daughter, your husband, your wife, your brother, your sister who came back, and just because they took their uniform off and just because he or she is a firefighter or a cop or whatever, you don't know what's going on

behind those eyes. You don't know that thousand-year stare.

Some years ago, I was campaigning up in Lackawanna County -- I'm sorry, strike that -- Luzerne County, and I ran into an older gentleman who came up to me, heard I was a Marine, we started talking. He's a Korean War Marine.

I want you to listen to this.

Korean War, that's 1950, '51, '52. Great guy.

We talked and talked about the Marines. We are like, you know -- whatever. We are a cult, some people say. We gravitate to one another. And this man starts telling me all about being overrun at Chosin Reservoir. Now, to me, as a Marine, that was history. And I'm looking at this guy, and I'm just thinking, Wow.

And another man who is in

Normandy. I read about this in history. And
there I was talking to these people. They're
real human beings. These are people that I
could touch. They're somebody's husband,
somebody's father.

And yet, that Korean War Marine

was standing in front of me, he had his -- he actually had his hand on his cover. Marines, we call our hats a cover. I know you Army guys may call it something else. We call a hat a cover. And he says to me, he says,

"Your Honor, every night I dream about being overrun. Every night I dream about my friends being killed."

What do you say? This guy is classic post traumatic stress. Sixty years he's been sleeping, waking up with nightmare and cold sweats, and yet he's a productive citizen. He's never been arrested. But he is one of the individuals out there that you have to say to yourselves, at a moment's notice, he could click. He says to me, "As long as I have this cover, I'm okay."

So, my point is, this is something that's part of our society. And now, our nation at war, this is now -- September 11th is on us. Our nation's been at war for ten years. Think about that. World War II wasn't that long. Korea wasn't that long. Vietnam wasn't really that long. And I'm talking about major redeployments.

1 So, again, at the court, we felt 2 it really, really important that we do something for these veterans, because, as I 3 4 said before, they're Pennsylvanians. They're 5 our family. They're our friends, our neighbors. And we just feel that whatever way 6 7 we design these programs right now -- by the 8 way, a lot of them are being designed 9 differently. I'm sure you'll see from Judge 10 Furber, Judge Dugan, each county's right now doing something different. Judge Barrasse, 11 12 who started in Luzerne -- Lackawanna County. 13 I always confuse the Lacks and the Luzernes, 14 but don't worry, I don't forget Berks. 15 You know, the reality is, we, as a 16 system right now, need to look at this and 17 incorporate it as the court of the future. 18 Okay? 19 And I know there's some 20 legislation pending right now to create this 21 mental health division or different types of 22 programs. I'm a big advocate of problem-23 solving courts. What do I mean by that?

Veterans court, mental health court, DUI

court, gun court, drug treatment court.

24

25

come under the umbrella of problem-solving courts because of their uniqueness.

Berks County is looking at the creation, I believe, of domestic violence court. Something that's unique, that fits a specific type of a problem, a diversion program.

And that gets back to what we're talking about here with veterans court. It's about diversion. We have an intercept model, ladies and gentlemen, that I can provide you copies of so you'll see that our police officers are going to be trained to identify veterans, intercept at the beginning. Find out, one, are you a veteran? Two, do you qualify for VA treatment? Three, can we get you into vet court? Because we -- you know something, folks, when you have a veteran judge, veteran mentors, veteran staff, it works.

The recidivism rate is like 1 percent. Way, way better than anything else you've ever seen nationally. Veterans know the discipline. They understand it. They respond to it. They do.

1 And by putting these programs 2 together, we're allowing them to get back with their family, to get back into society. 3 4 the beauty of it is, for the most part, these 5 cases are being discharged, and they are now getting the treatment they need. 6 But -- but, what do we need? 7 need your help. Okay? Now it's time -- you 8 9 heard the speech. Now it's time for the sales 10 pitch. Okay? 11 Here's what I need. You saw 12 Delaware's doing something with driver's 13 licenses. Right? Fill out a piece of paper. 14 Why is that important? You would 15 be surprised to find that a lot of our 16 veterans, when they're stopped by police and 17 they're asked, "Are you a veteran?" 18 "Oh, no. I'm not a veteran." 19 What do you mean by veteran? I 20 didn't spend twenty years in the military. I 21 didn't go to combat. Think about that. Some 22 of them actually feel that because they spent

two years stateside, or whatever the case may
be, that they were not veterans. That's not
true.

We need a way to identify these
individuals. Right now, in Philadelphia
County, we're developing software that's going
to allows us, in the actual arrest reports, a
drop down, to ask the question, "Were you ever

in the military?"

And by the way, just so you're all familiar, the VA will not provide services for people that were less-than-honorably discharged. In our state right now, we are trying to get funding, and we have some, that's going to allow us to address all veterans.

And let me tell you why. A lot of our veterans, some of these men and women, while they're on active duty, were self medicating on drugs, were self medicating with alcohol, were doing stupid stuff, getting arrested, and they were discharged with a less-than-honorable discharge. Why? Directly related to post traumatic stress.

I also ask, and you'll read in that American Legion magazine, that we no longer refer to it as PTSD, D meaning disorder. Why? Because our veterans should

not be labeled as having a disorder. They suffer from post traumatic stress. Okay?

It's something that we can help them with. I don't think that these men and women deserve to be labeled as having a disorder.

We need veteran mentors. Right now, I need somebody who can be a full-time, paid coordinator in western Pennsylvania, in the middle of Pennsylvania, and the eastern part of Pennsylvania, coordinated through an over-all umbrella section. Why is that important? Because without the mentors out there acting as big brothers, these programs are iffy. I need to make sure that I have a mentor there that's going to ensure that that veteran shows up for treatment, shows up for court appearances, and is there with the shoulder he or she needs. It is real important.

We have training. We pay for it out of pocket. We have a sad situation. When we started the Philadelphia veterans court, I wanted a flag for every one of our branches of service: Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine

Corps. Okay? I wanted a flag put up in the

courtroom, so when those men and women appeared, they saw their branch of service there. We had no money.

Do you know where I got the money for flags? Had a beef and beer with celebrity bartenders, and I was a celebrity bartender, so was Judge Dugan. And I think that's a little pathetic, don't you, that we have to do this?

veterans coin. Now, you're saying to
yourself, what's a coin? In the military,
coins are real important. It designates your
unit, designates your branch of service, et
cetera. When you graduate, we give you a
coin. We give you a graduation certificate.
Okay? You can't believe the pride that these
men or women have when they receive that.

We had to go and we had to basically beg for money for this stuff, and yet we're saving the county. Think of the money that Philadelphia County is saving, Delaware County, Berks County, all different counties, once this is up and running.

Allegheny County, Luzerne County. We're

saving tens of thousands -- millions of dollars, and yet there's no designated funding. Nothing.

You know, we need right now something I think that is real important, and that is to have post traumatic stress as a mitigating factor for purposes of sentencing. I mean, let's step back to where you can help us.

I went last year to Graterford prison. Supreme court justice, probably the first one that ever walked in there unhandcuffed. Okay? That was a joke. That was a joke. I went in to visit the veterans community at Graterford. And you're probably saying to yourself, what's that? Veterans have an actual unit up at Graterford. These men, so many of them, suffer from PTSD. A hundred percent certified by the VA. They've committed crimes while suffering from post traumatic stress. They could not have that mental illness as part of their mitigating factor.

People are up in jail right now that we can get treatment for, get them out,

create reentry programs. You talk about
freeing up cells, okay, saving money, let's
look at reentry. Let's look at identifying
these veterans. They're there because of
what? A mental illness.

Let's look at bringing felonies into these programs. I went to Washington DC, where I had supreme justices from other states there also creating veteran courts. And everybody, the judges and justices all said the same thing, especially those of us who were in the military. We are trained to be violent. You may know violence. You may like to just watch it on television. But you know something, ladies and gentlemen, I tell a lot of folks that a large portion of my life I was trained to save lives and to take lives.

Think about that. Save lives and take lives.

And when a veteran who suffers from post traumatic stress is overmedicated, street drugs, cocaine, marijuana, alcohol, drunk, and he's in a bar, gets into a fight, he is going to revert to what he's trained to do.

Now he's in front of a judge who

is putting him in jail five, ten, twenty
years, depending on the course of crime. That
man or that woman is there why? Normally,
they wouldn't be that active. Normally, they
wouldn't be doing that stuff, but they weren't
there.

The Graterford prisoners, I talked to them, they relate stories to me, I just cringe. And you say to yourself, Would they be up there are on life without parole? You know -- and, by the way, they're not saying that they didn't do it. Because, oftentimes, in the courts we always get, "I didn't do it." But you know something, folks, these men up there, they'll say, "Yeah, I did do it, but it wasn't me that did do it."

But, anyway, I know I'm probably well over my time. Again, I just want to thank you all. I wish you'd go back to the House, talk to your friends in the Senate, and do anything that you can to get people to understand that this is a win/win situation for our cities, our counties, our state as a whole.

And by the way, Pennsylvania,

because we're a unified judiciary, we are now
on the cutting edge of being the top state in
the nation for veterans courts. In the
nation. I want you to think about that.

Something good to be proud about.

Karen Blackburn from AOPC is doing
a magnificent job. All of our magisterial
district court judges start training in

a magnificent job. All of our magisterial district court judges start training in September. We will have veterans court training programs going on for all of them. They will identify -- and by the way, if your county doesn't have one, if you don't have one and you want one, call me. The chief justice has given me permission to talk to all your president judges. You have every VA hospital in Pennsylvania and every Veterans Administration clinic, they're there at your disposal.

I will come to your county, and
I'll do anything you need me to do, because as
far as I'm concerned, there is nothing we
can't do -- we can't do enough for our
veterans.

Again, thank you.

Any questions, I'm ready.

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO:
                                        Thank you very
2
       much, Justice McCaffery.
                    I want to give you a special
3
4
       thanks, too, for cutting your vacation short.
5
       I understand you cut your vacation short by
       one day. So I especially thank you for your
6
       time and your insight. Thank you.
7
8
       appreciate it.
9
                    JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: My pleasure.
10
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO: But also Chief
11
       Justice Castille, for bringing his leadership
12
       in bringing these veterans courts to
13
       Pennsylvania.
14
                    It's only fitting that staff
15
       orchestrated and you would be the last
16
       testifying today, to give the final pitch.
17
                    So I congratulate that staff as
18
       well. Well done.
19
                    Any questions from the members?
20
                    Representative Barbin.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Hackett.
22
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Representative
23
       Hackett.
24
                    REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT:
                                              Thank
25
       you, Mr. Chairman.
```

Thank you, Your Honor, for your testimony today.

JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: My pleasure.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: It's always good to see you. You help out in Delaware County Law Enforcement Memorial Foundation over the years. And as a twenty-six-year veteran of law enforcement, I do share your concerns about the mental illness and the strain it puts on the beat cop and the courts. I look forward to working with you and anyone else that would be willing to jump in and see if we can address that problem.

And, as always, you've answered most of my questions through your testimony. I do have one question. That question is, would you be able to provide for us, maybe, a dollar value -- not now, in the future -- a dollar value of what -- you know, paying the mentors seems to be a key here -- paying those mentors across the state as we develop this program, what kind of money will we need to free up, and, I guess, that would go to the judiciary.

JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: Well,

Representative, the actual volunteers are unpaid. Unpaid. The most that we give them is pizza and soda when they come to training class.

The coordinators are important, and we're talking, again, a western coordinator, a center state coordinator, and a eastern state coordinator, that -- because I think one coordinator statewide's going to be tough.

And just as an aside, the center part of Pennsylvania, you know, Warren County, for example -- anybody from Warren here? Warren County has one of the largest veterans per capita of any county in Pennsylvania. Think about that. I mean, Allegheny County's one; Philadelphia, two; Montgomery County's three, but Warren County's a small county. But the surrounding counties really don't have a lot, but we're trying to look at a region, to create a regional veterans court, combining those small counties.

That being said, I think a coordinator that can basically be able to run up and down the state, one -- three, maybe,

statewide, and I can get you that information. But cost savings, we can get you

that as well.

You know, ladies and gentlemen, that is something -- I tell this to everybody.

We're working on this in Philadelphia,
judicial reform. Every time I keep a police
officer out of the courtroom, it saves
overtime. Every time I keep a police officer
in the community, it's public safety. You and
I know that when a police makes an arrest,
they're coming down town. They're not taken
off the street. They're being paid overtime,
et cetera, et cetera.

The new and innovative stuff that
we're doing is really fantastic. And it's
saving money and public safety, but the
question always comes up: What is the cost
savings? That is something we're trying -we're working on right now, because I know
darn right well that's very important to you,
as elected citizens, that you can go back and
tell your constituents that these programs are
saving us money.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Judge,

```
you would not be opposed, to -- if we're able
1
2
       to do that, to actually stipend or give some
       type of funds or pay to those mentors, because
3
4
       I'm thinking that those volunteers are
5
       eventually going to fizzle out that. That's
       what I'm concerned with.
6
                    JUDGE MCCAFFERY: Yeah.
7
8
       And that's why we need a coordinator. Yes.
9
       And I agree.
10
                    You know, as the representative
11
       said, we have to be sensitive to overworking
12
       these folks. There's always going to be a few
13
       that are going to step up and want to do the
       most, but you're right, I think with a stipend
14
15
       of some sort, that could go a long way.
16
                    And guess what, folks, probation
17
       officer, they would go a long way if we could
18
       hire -- our probation officers are depleted
19
       like you wouldn't believe. And if we could
20
       get those folks to come out and help us, and
21
       funding for that as well.
22
                    REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT:
23
       you.
24
                    CHAIRMAN MARSICO: Are there any
25
       other questions?
```

Representative Barbin.

REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: Thank you,

Justice, for your testimony.

I was wondering if Delaware, where they have the designation for the DD-2 -- their number that identifies them as having -- does this identify them as being honorably discharged?

JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: Yes. What you're talking about here is, their license serves a purpose. Our reason for licenses is because we want that police officer, that EMT, anybody who comes in contact with a veteran, when they want your drivers license, you pull it out, it will designate right on it that you are a veteran.

What the DD-214 is, anybody that serves in our nation's military, upon discharge, gets a DD-214. That's just a number on the bottom. That DD-214 will describe your entire -- pretty much your military background, including whether or not you're discharged honorably, less than honorably, or dishonorably.

What they're talking about here

is, in the state of Delaware, there are 1 companies and programs out there for the 2 benefit of the veteran. So rather than the 3 4 veteran having to carry a document around, he 5 has his license. It just makes a lot of sense. 6 Now, I understand, PennDOT is 7 8 giving some pushback on having the veterans --9 I mean, come on. What's the big deal? 10 REPRESENTATIVE BARBIN: That's my 11 question. To me, it seems like the governor, 12 with a stroke of a pen, could say, The 13 application form, from now on, will require you to fill this out. Now, if he doesn't, I 14 15 am sure we can get it through legislation. 16 But I'm wondering, in Delaware, 17 isn't this just done by the administration? 18 JUDGE MCCAFFERY: I can't honestly 19 say to you how it came about. There are eight 20 states right now that have a veteran 21 designation on their license. 22 General Gould, from the guard, 23 has been working very hard, along with all the 24 major veterans communities. I believe that

PennDOT's explanation was, they would be

25

inundated. Well, think about it. Every

veteran shows up with their DD-214 form when

they go to get their license renewed. Okay?

And on their license, there's just a little

block. It says, United States military

veteran.

I don't see how it's a problem, and it would actually serve a great purpose for those of us in law -- for the law enforcement community out there. And by the way, any other options, you know, that somebody needs to show that they're a veteran, because you can't get the license unless you have a DD-214.

And if you can push the governor on that or talk to him, I should say, and if the governor's -- and look, Governor Corbett I know very well. He's a 28th Division alum, if you will. So, I mean, he's served in our nation's military. I'm sure he'd be receptive as well.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I think we have enough Marines in the room right now that we could probably storm the PennDOT building and get it done.

1 JUDGE MCCAFFERY: I had nothing to 2 do with that. I wasn't advocating it. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: We have 4 some Army veterans here and a lot of Navy 5 veterans, too. If there are no other questions, 6 Justice McCaffery, I want to thank you for 7 your testimony today, and take what we've all 8 9 been hearing -- I can't remember a public 10 hearing that we've had where we have had more 11 information disseminated, but also the passion 12 amongst the testifiers has been incredible. Ι 13 thank you for your testimony today. 14 JUDGE MCCAFFERY: Ladies and 15 gentlemen, I want to thank you all for your 16 service. Being a pubic servant is something that most of us -- it's a bad word anymore, 17 18 but I know what goes into it, and I want to 19 thank you all. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank 21 you. This concludes our testimony for today. 22 And I wanted to take a moment to 23 thank the committee staff on the Judiciary Committee and the Veteran Affairs Committee 24

for putting this meeting together. We've had

25

```
a very, very, very well run meeting. I want
1
2
       to thank you for that.
                     I also want to thank the
3
4
       administration here at the Valley Forge
5
       Military Academy for allowing us to have this
       hearing today in such a beautiful setting here
6
       in Chester County. I tell you, in all the
7
8
       places that we've traveled on the road, it
9
       really is such a gorgeous setting. Thank you
10
       for your hospitality.
11
                    And Chairman Caltagirone.
12
                    REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE:
                                                  Ι
13
       just want to thank everybody that participated
14
       today, and I know that we've been on the
15
       march, trying to get this effectuated
16
       throughout the whole state.
17
                    God bless you for your service to
18
       the country.
19
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                              Thank
20
       you.
21
                     Thank you.
22
                    Representative Tina Pickett,
23
       comment?
                  I mean Davis, I'm sorry.
24
                    REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS: Thank you.
25
                    And it's been a honor for me to be
```

here today.

Bucks County this weekend. We are hosting
Stand Down for homeless vets, starting Friday
morning, 11 o'clock, and if anybody would like
to be there. And I see that Bucks doesn't
have a veterans court so, if the Honorable
Judge can come and talk and beg. Help me up
there.

So it's Friday morning till
Sunday, and you can walk around, and we'll be
having a ceremony at 11 o'clock on Friday, and
closing, 4 o'clock on Sunday.

Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Chairman Sainato.

REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Thank you, Chairman Barrar.

I, too, would like to echo

comments. I think this was a very

informational meeting to understand the

issue. I'm from Lawrence County, and there's

a veterans court. I didn't understand exactly

as much as, you know, it goes. And after

today's meeting, to our three judges who came

before us, they all did an excellent job, very thorough and explained this issue.

And I support this a thousand percent there, because I think it's very effective, what I learned today. And I have a very high number of veterans in western Pennsylvania, especially who's served, and I'm working with our judges. I think this would be a great thing to do.

So I thank each and every testifier.

The gentleman, I have a lot of respect for you for commenting. Your testimony was very important to us, as legislators, to understand, you know, a success story, you know, what you've gone through. Because veteran serve our country, as one of our testifiers said, you put that uniform on, you know, you're called to give up your life for our freedoms, and we do owe that respect to every man and woman who's done that. So I thank each and every one of you.

Thank you, Chairman Barrar.

JUDGE MCCAFFERY: Chairman?

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Yes, sir.

1	JUSTICE MCCAFFERY: I want to
2	mention, for the record, veteran service
3	officer from the VFW is currently sitting in
4	Philadelphia County. He's here in the room
5	right now. The VFWs, the American Legions,
6	VBA are also a very integral part of this
7	whole program, and we want to thank them and
8	make sure they get recognition.
9	The VFW, they're just fantastic
10	folks who understand the problems.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you,
12	Justice.
13	The meeting stands adjourned.
14	Thank you.
15	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded
16	at 11:56 a.m.)
17	
18	* * * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was
3	present upon the hearing of the above-entitled
4	matter and there reported stenographically the
5	proceedings had and the testimony produced;
6	and I further certify that the foregoing is a
7	true and correct transcript of my said
8	stenographic notes.
9	
10	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
11	Court Reporter Notary Public
12	Nocary rabire
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	