1	PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ADOLPH, CHAIR
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	
4	WIDENER UNIVERSITY
5	CHESTER, PENNSYLVANIA
6	
7	
8	Proceedings held at Widener University,
9	Alumni Hall, 521 East 14th Street, Chester, Pennsylvania,
10	on Friday, January 27, 2012, commencing at 10:02 a.m.,
11	before Jennifer L. Bermudez, a Registered Professional
12	Reporter, and Notary Public, pursuant to notice.
13	
14	
15	BEFORE REPRESENTATIVES:
16	WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., MAJORITY CHAIRMAN
17	JOSEPH F. MARKOSEK, MINORITY CHAIRMAN
18	ED NOLAN, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
19	MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
20	DAN CLARK, CHIEF COUNSEL
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Representative Stephen Barrar
3	
4	Representative Thomas Killion
5	Representative Joseph Hackett
6	Representative Mario M. Scavello
7	Representative Bernie O'Neill
8	Representative Glen Grell
	Representative Scott A. Petri
9	Representative Gary Day
10	Representative Maria Donatucci
11	Representative Steve Samuelson
12	Representative Matthew D. Bradford
13	Representative H. Scott Conklin
14	
15	Representative Michelle Brownlee
16	Representative Michael H. O'Brien
17	Representative James Roebuck
18	Representative Thaddeus Kirkland
19	INDEX OF SPEAKERS
	BY MR. MARKS
20	BY MS. MARIANO
21	BY DR. WATSON
22	BY MS. ZORANSKI 98 BY MS. ADAMS-DIXON 99
23	BY MR. GOTTLIEB
24	BY SECRETARY TOMALIS 134 BY DR. O'SHEA
25	

1	LIST OF SPEAKERS
2	Mr. Thomas E. Marks, Deputy Auditor
3	General for Audits Office of the Auditor
4	General
5	Ms. Danielle Mariano, Director Bureau of
6	School Audits, Office of Auditor General
7	Dr. Tom Persing, Chester Upland School
8	District Acting Deputy Superintendent
9	Dr. Tony L. Watson, Chester Upland School
10	District Acting Superintendent
11	Mr. Bob Bruchak, Chester Upland School
12	District Chief Financial Officer
13	Ms. Gloria Zoranski, President, Chester
14	Upland Education Association
15	Ms. Roslyn Adams-Dixon, Chester Upland
16	Teacher
17	Paul E. Gottlieb, PSEA, Region Field
18	Director
19	The Honorable Ron Tomalis, Secretary
20	Pennsylvania Department of Education
21	Dr. Lawrence O'Shea, Executive Director
22	Delaware County Intermediate Unit
23	
24	
25	

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you all for being 2. here today. My name is Bill Adolph. I'm the Republican 3 Chair of the House Appropriations Committee. Before we 4 have opening comments, I would like to turn the mic over 5 to Representative Kirkland for some welcoming remarks. Representative Kirkland, some welcoming 6 7 remarks. REPRESENTATIVE KIRKLAND: 8 Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman, caught me off guard there. First of all, let me just thank you, 10 11 Chairman Adolph and Chairman Markosek and the entire 12 members of the Appropriations Committee, for coming here 13 to beautiful downtown, 159th Legislative District, here 14 at Widener University as well. 15 We appreciate your interest and your 16 energy concerning our school district, and we are very 17 hopeful that by working together and collectively 18 positive outcomes will happen here for the young people 19 of Chester, so we just want to say thank you -- I'm 20 sorry, Chester Upland and Chester Township, so we just 21 want to say thank you and welcome once again. 22 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 23 Representative. 24 What I would like to do first is starting 25 to my right, I would like each member of the committee to

1 identify themselves, and then we also have other 2. legislators that are also at this hearing to identify 3 themselves and the district that they represent. 4 Chairman Markosek. 5 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you very much, 6 Chairman Adolph. 7 I'm Representative Joseph Markosek. I'm the Democratic Chairman of the House Appropriations 8 9 Committee, 25th Legislative District, which includes 10 parts of Allegheny County and Westmoreland County. 11 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Scott Conklin, 12 77th District, Center County, Penn State University, the home of Joe Pa. 13 14 REPRESENTATIVE BROWNLEE: Michelle 15 Brownlee, Philadelphia County, 195th Legislative District. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Good morning. 18 Mike O'Brien, 175th District, Philadelphia, here in a 19 joint role as a member of the Appropriation and Education 20 Committees. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ROEBUCK: Good morning. 22 Jim Roebuck, Democratic Chair House Education Committee, 23 188th Legislative District, Philadelphia. 24 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Good morning. 25 Representative Glen Grell from the 87th Legislative

1 District, which is part of Cumberland County. 2 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Good morning. 3 Representative Bernie O'Neill with the 29th Legislative District, which is the center of Bucks County, and I 4 5 serve both on Appropriations and Education. REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Good morning. 6 7 Representative Mario Scavello from Monroe County. 8 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Good morning. 9 Representative Joe Hackett from Delaware County, proud representative of Ridley, Wallingford-Swarthmore, and 10 Penn-Delco School District. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Representative 13 Tom Killion, Delaware and Chester County. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Representative 15 Steve Barrar from Delaware and Chester County. 16 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. I'm sure there 17 are going to be other members of the committee as well as 18 other members of the General Assembly that may come in 19 today. Obviously, the weather has folks a little backed 20 up today. 21 Thank you all for being here today. 22 you know, the Chester Upland School District financial 23 situation has reached a critical point that requires 24 swift, decisive, and deliberative intervention to address some very serious financial problems. 25

What we know right now is that these problems were not caused by the children or the families of Chester Upland, and they should not have to endure the stress and uncertainty that the situation has allayed upon the community.

The students of Chester Upland are entitled to a public education in their community, and that is why we are all here today, to work together in a bipartisan manner to identify both short-term and long-term solutions to the problems that have hindered this Chester Upland School District for quite some time.

I'm happy to point out that a bipartisan group of legislators from this region and Governor Corbett met on Monday, and that Governor Corbett recently committed to doing all that is necessary to keep Chester Upland School District open for the remainder of this school year, as we all work together to identify solutions for long-term stability.

I have called this hearing today to accomplish two goals: To help understand what we can do to assist the Administration to keep Chester Upland School District open for the remainder of this year; and also to identify what long-term steps are required to allow Chester Upland School District to educate the children of this community in a financial, sustainable

2.

manner.

As the Appropriations Committee, our charge focuses on dollars and cents of state government. We are not here today to debate education policy direction, but rather to understand the financials of this particular district and other districts that may be close to financial crisis of their own.

I have put together a diverse group of state and local officials that will help us better understand these issues. As we start to shape the solutions for tomorrow, we need to understand how we got to this point so the same mistakes are not made.

We also need to understand that this situation that took place took many years to develop and was not caused by one person, one budget, or one group.

And it is irresponsible and unproductive to point fingers as we work out the facts and develop solutions.

We have a full agenda today and a lot of material to cover, so I have established some ground rules that we will follow for this hearing today.

Individuals presenting testimony will have a few minutes for opening comments, and we will have an opportunity to review their testimony. So that everyone has an opportunity to ask questions and participate with our members, we have a maximum of five minutes to ask the

1 questions or make comments.

Members of the Appropriations Committee, both Republicans and Democrats will have the first opportunity to ask the questions.

Then I will ask for members from the Delaware County delegation and from the surrounding legislative districts if they have questions or comments. All will be held to five minutes because of time.

Chairman Markosek, any opening comments?

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Yes. Thank you,

Chairman Adolph.

First of all, I want to thank you for extending the opportunity for all of us here to attend this hearing, to call this hearing and to attend this hearing because this is a very, very important problem, certainly not only in the region but statewide.

As you mentioned, besides Chester Upland, there could be many other school districts that will soon see the same problem or similar problems, particularly related to funding. It is hard to ignore the fact that we do have a funding program in place here in Pennsylvania now that, in many ways, is not necessarily fair to school districts such as Chester Upland.

It's interesting to note that some of the

1 funding per student this year, the cuts -- and we all know there was approximately a billion dollars' worth of 2 3 cuts for basic education this year -- that those cuts are not necessarily spread around fairly. 4 5 Schools like Chester Upland, schools like Sto-Rox in Allegheny County or Duquesne in Allegheny 6 7 County or Harrisburg, where I live and where our capital building is, suffered cuts that are far greater than some 8 9 more wealthier districts, and that is just simply unfair. 10 So while we are focusing today on Chester 11 Upland, I think we all should keep in mind that this is 12 really a greater problem than just what we are seeing 13 here in Chester Upland, and the budget decisions that we 14 make in Harrisburg, the current budget here and the future budget here coming up, will affect all children in 15 all of Pennsylvania, and we need to do the right thing 16 and have fair funding for every student in Pennsylvania. 17 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman. 20 Thank you, Chairman Markosek. 21 I would like to acknowledge the presence 22 of Representative Bradford from Montgomery County and 23 Representative Day from Lehigh Valley. 24 Thank you for your attendance. At this time, I would like to start with 25

1	our first testifiers today. Today we have with us from
2	the Auditor General's Office Mr. Thomas E. Marks, CPA,
3	Deputy Auditor General for the Office of the Auditor
4	General, as well as Ms. Danielle Mariano, Director of
5	Bureau of School Audits and Office of the Auditor
6	General.
7	Good morning and welcome to Delaware
8	County.
9	MR. MARKS: Good morning, Chairman.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: The time is yours.
12	MR. MARKS: Thank you.
13	Good morning, Chairman Adolph, Chairman
14	Markosek, members of the Appropriations Committee, and
15	other members. My name is Thomas Marks. I'm Deputy
16	Auditor General for Audits with the Department of the
17	Auditor General. I will be providing testimony on behalf
18	of Auditor General Jack Wagner, who unfortunately could
19	not attend today because of a scheduling conflict.
20	As you said, Mr. Chairman, with me today
21	is Danielle Mariano, who is Director of our Bureau of
22	School Audits.
23	Thank you very much for the opportunity to
24	appear before you to provide testimony on our most recent
25	audit of the Chester Upland School District.

As Pennsylvania's independent fiscal watchdog, the Department of the Auditor General is responsible for making sure the tax dollars of hardworking Pennsylvanians are spent efficiently, effectively, and for their intended purpose.

The Department of the Auditor General audits government agencies and programs at the state and local level that receive state funds or that receive federal funds that pass through state government.

The Department of Auditor General issues thousands of audits each year, many of them mandated by law.

We audit all of the state's 500 school districts, 163 charter and cyber charter schools, as well as the Commonwealth's 650 liquor stores, 24 correctional facilities, 14 universities under the state system of higher education, and thousands of municipal liquid fuel and pension funds, volunteer fire relief associations, local government offices, such as magisterial district judges that collect funds from half of the state, as well as all of the departments of state government.

Under the tenure of Auditor General
Wagner, the Department has upgraded our routine school
audits to determine whether every school building in the
state has basic safety measures, such as having a single

point of entry to buildings, a registration policy for visitors, a memorandum of understanding with local law enforcement, which helps track and reduce school violence.

At the Department of the Auditor General, our main concern is that children receive a quality education and that taxpayers receive maximum value for their tax dollars.

Our Bureau of School Audits conducts

performance audits of school districts, charter schools,

vocational/technical schools, alternative education

schools, and intermediate units. During their review,

auditors analyze an array of activities in those entities

and conclude on their effectiveness, their efficiency,

and their compliance with statutes and regulations.

Topics examined through the Bureau -through the Bureau of School Audits include determining
whether teachers are properly certified and bus drivers
are appropriately qualified, as well as assessing basic
safety practices.

Our audits also examine depositing state funds, school board minutes, pupil membership records, reimbursement applications, as well as records retained of pupil transportation, professional employee certification and state ethics forms.

We released our latest audit of the Chester Upland School District in January, 2011. The scope of that audit covered August 7th, 2003, through May 4th, 2010. It's important to note that during our audit period, the School District was under the control of the State-appointed Empowerment Board of Control.

By way of background, the Chester Upland School District was declared financially distressed by the State Department of Education on July 1st, 1994, when a special board of control assumed control of the financial affairs of the School District and operated the School District in place of the publicly-elected school directors.

On May 3rd, 2000, the Education

Empowerment Act was enacted into law under which the

Department of Education appointed a new Empowerment Board

of Control that assumed the day-to-day operations of the

District.

On July 30th, 2010, this is after our latest audit was concluded, the Education Empowerment Act expired and the publicly elected board assumed leadership in the District.

Again, as I said, our audit was released in January, 2011 and in that report we included four findings and three observations, most related to improper

2.

2.2

recordkeeping. There were three significant findings related to the financial condition of the District.

We cannot verify the School District's entitlement to state funding because of inadequate documentation. District administrators could not provide our auditors with the Department of Education's basic education funding formula report for the '04-'05 school year, or the special education funding report for '04-'05 and '05-'06.

Without those reports, we could not verify the School District's entitlement to millions of dollars in state subsidies.

We also cited the School District for internal control reasons as related to records retention; the District failed to retain records necessary to audit the safe schools grant and alternative school program.

As a result of citizen inquiries, our department received about Chester Upland's state school grant money, we made an attempt to audit it.

We asked for grant applications, approval letters, and expenditure reports to determine if the monies received were expended according to grant requirements. However, District personnel could not produce the documents, so again we were unable to complete the audit of these funds.

With regard to an alternative school program, the School District officials stated the District's policies were followed when placing students in the program and also the assignment for teachers. However, District officials could not provide our auditors with copies of those policies.

We also attempted to determine if membership in the program was recorded correctly, but, again, District officials were unable to produce the documents we requested.

Our audit also found that for school years '02-'03, '03-'04 and up through '08-'09, the School District's budgets exceeded their total by over \$25 million.

The State Board of Control violated
Section 609 of the Public School Code, which says in
part, No work shall be hired to be done, no materials
purchased and no contracts may be made by any board of
school directors which will cause the sums appropriated
to specific purposes in the budget to be exceeded. In
other words, ladies and gentlemen, you can't overspend
your budget.

Although our last finding was not related to finances, it did pertain to lack of documentation. We determined that Chester Upland School District officials

cannot verify the qualifications of 15 bus drivers, and it could not provide our auditors with information to determine whether two bus drivers possessed the valid S Endorsement driver's license.

In addition, the School District could not verify if eight drivers had undergone a required criminal history background check, and if nine bus drivers had retained the required Child Abuse Clearance Statement.

These are serious safety issues that should be of grave concern in the Chester Upland School District and the parents. It's the responsibility of the Department of Education, school boards, and all public administration officials in the state to do everything possible to keep our children safe while they are on their way to and from school and while attending school.

During his tenure, Auditor General Wagner has made it a point to determine whether an auditee has adopted the recommendations contained in previous audits. We determined that the Chester Upland School District's State-appointed Empowerment Board of Control did not implement all of the recommendations contained in prior reports.

In the January, 2011 audit, we determined the School District implemented recommendations related to one previous finding and partially implemented the

recommendations of another, but it did not implement recommendations on two other findings. We are always concerned when an auditee ignores our recommendations from previous audits.

Although we didn't discuss it in our audit of Chester Upland School District, the State's flawed charter school funding formula played a role in the School District's financial problems.

In a separate special report entitled The Commonwealth Should Revise its Charter and Cyber Charter School Funding Mechanisms, which was released in September, 2010, that report found that the current charter school funding formulas for nonspecial and special education students as codified in state law resulted in tuition inequities that were unfavorable to school districts, charter schools, and taxpayers.

These tuition inequities have never been resolved, because unlike school districts, state law does not require charter schools to reconcile tuition payments with actual costs at year end, nor does the law limit charter school General Fund reserves.

Because these inherent financial inequities have never been corrected, the current funding system places additional strains on school districts, charter schools, and the Commonwealth's taxpayers.

2.2

Auditor General Wagner has repeatedly called on the Governor and the General Assembly to fix the charter school funding formula. In the case of Chester Upland School District, the proliferation of students in charter schools has hurt the District financially, because 45 percent of its students are now attending charter and cyber charter schools.

This has decreased enrollment and reduced state funding because the current charter school funding formula requires the cost of educating the child at the sending district to follow the student to the charter school.

Auditor General Wagner supports alternatives in education, and he voted for the charter school laws as a State senator. However, the cost of educating a child at a charter school must reflect only the true cost of educating the child, and that simply does not exist in the current funding formula.

We appreciate the opportunity to testify today on behalf of the children and the taxpayers of Pennsylvania. It is important to note that we are currently engaged in a new audit of Chester Upland School District that began in the summer of 2011 that will focus specifically on our previous findings and recommendations related to the financial condition of the School

2.

1 District.

Moving forward, we are open to suggestions and ideas from this Committee relating to the seriousness of the issues pertaining to the Chester Upland School District, and I would be very happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Mr. Marks. I will lead off the questioning.

In going through the 2011 audit, you find that there is in a period of five budget years a \$25 million budget that exceeded its expenses and that there is inadequate documentation to support funding for over \$79 million.

I have to ask this macro question because you audit many school districts: This type of finding in Chester Upland, how does that compare with the other 499 school districts? Is this the type of finding in a typical school district audit that you are charged with?

MR. MARKS: Representative, clearly we do not see this type of finding in the overwhelming majority of school districts that we audit, but I will say that we do see it in other districts. Chester Upland is not the only district that has these types of problems.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. We have 500 school districts in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

1 Are you finding this type of inadequate bookkeeping and 2 unsupportive documentation in how many of the 500 when 3 you audit, to this extent? 4 MR. MARKS: I really couldn't put a 5 specific number on how many we have. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Take a quess. 6 7 Is it 10 percent of our school districts? Is it 50 percent of our school districts? 8 9 MR. MARKS: No, I would -- again, I would have to get back with specifics, because we could go 10 11 through our reports and look, but I would think it is no 12 more than ten. 13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Because I need 14 that for some type of relief, okay, as an appropriator 15 and someone who has no experience in education as far as running a school district, but one who is very 16 17 responsible for the number of dollars that is 18 appropriated to these school districts. 19 We, as members of the Appropriations 20 Committee, have to have faith that, when we appropriate

Committee, have to have faith that, when we appropriate state tax dollars to the 500 school districts, that proper documentation and bookkeeping is supporting, so our state auditors can go in there and know that there is accountability in our system.

So, 10 percent is too much, okay, and I

21

22

23

24

1 say that as my personal opinion. We have to correct that 2 system. Okay. And, as you can see, this didn't happen 3 in the last year; this didn't happen in the last four years; this has been a decade-plus problem here in this 4 5 particular School District. So do you make recommendations after these 6 7 audits? 8 MR. MARKS: Yes. Clearly -- and, again, I 9 wouldn't say the number was 10 percent, Representative, I would say it is more like, 10, the number. 10 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Ten school districts? 11 12 MR. MARKS: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm glad you clarified 14 that. That makes me feel a lot better. 15 Now, to be clear, when we do MR. MARKS: find this situation, we write findings and make 16 17 recommendations to hopefully fix the problem. And also, 18 please know that our reports go to the Department of 19 Education, and it's the Department of Education's duty to 20 monitor and make sure that those recommendations are 21 implemented as is the responsibility of the District 22 itself. 23 MS. MARIANO: And I would just add that 24 every time we go in to do another audit, we follow up on

the status of the prior recommendations, and I think it

1 was stated in the testimony, to try to ensure, to 2 determine what if any progress has been made on those 3 recommendations. 4 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Because we all have opinions of formulas, but no matter what type of formula 5 we determine up in Harrisburg through our Education 6 7 Committee and legislation, none of that formula would be fiscally responsible if the locals are not keeping track 8 9 of what's coming in and what's going out. 10 And I'm trying to make this as simple as 11 possible so the folks understand that this is a very 12 serious matter that's been going on for guite some time. 13 I want to remind members that we are going 14 to limit our questions and comments for five minutes. Ι have Dr. Nolan to my left, who is the timekeeper, and I 15 will try to get everybody's question in. But we have 16 17 some very important folks that want to give testimony 18 today. 19 Mr. Marks, Ms. Mariano, thank you. 20 Chairman Markosek. 21 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman 22 Adolph. 23 Just very briefly, Mr. Marks. Thank you 24 for your testimony. In Page 2 of your testimony, it 25 indicates that the scope of the audit that you did

1 covered August 7th, 2003, through May 4th, 2010, and 2 correct me if I'm wrong and just help me walk through 3 this, in 2001 there was a State-appointed board of control assigned to Chester Upland. 4 5 In 2005 and '06, the State sought control of the District, and in October of 2006, the court placed 6 7 the District in receivership and appointed the Pennsylvania Secretary of Education as the receiver. 8 9 Would this, would your audit, then, cover 10 the activities of Chester Upland under the time that it 11 was under the control of the Secretary of Education? 12 Yes, that -- the time period MR. MARKS: 13 of our audit was when the Secretary of Education and the 14 Empowerment Board was in place. 15 Okay. You also CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 16 mentioned the charter schools, and just a basic 17 question: Do you have the ability to audit charter 18 schools? 19 MR. MARKS: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Have you done 21 audits on the charter schools that are involved here at 22 Chester Upland? 23 MR. MARKS: There is -- and, Danielle, 24 correct me if I'm wrong, there's approximately 12 or 13 25 different charter schools that are utilized by students

1 of the Chester Upland School District. We have audited, 2. I believe, three of those. 3 MS. MARIANO: Five. MR. MARKS: Five. 4 5 The average cost to CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: educate one student at Chester Upland, would you happen 6 7 to know that figure, by any chance? Not off the top of my head. 8 MR. MARKS: 9 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. We had some 10 discrepancies to what we thought that was and what the 11 Pennsylvania Department of Education had said it was, and 12 I thought I would ask you while you were here if --13 MR. MARKS: I can certainly look and 14 provide you with that. 15 Okay. If you can CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 16 provide what you find to the Committee. 17 MR. MARKS: Sure. 18 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: It also appears that 19 more funding per student is being paid to charter schools 20 than the District is actually receiving. Is that true? 21 MR. MARKS: I'm not aware currently, 22 Mr. Chairman, of what that percentage is. I know I have 23 seen numbers like 45 percent of the students are in 24 charter schools, but I don't specifically know at this 25 time what that percentage is and how much money would be

1 going -- but it clearly is a substantial amount of money. 2 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: What do you mean by 3 inequities in funding charter schools? 4 MR. MARKS: Well, in our report that we 5 put out a number of months ago related to charter schools, we showed that the funding of a charter school 6 7 is not based on the cost to educate the child at the charter school. It's based on the cost of the school 8 9 district that's sending the child to the charter school. So you can have a situation where one 10 11 charter school is receiving a certain amount of dollars 12 for the Student A, but for Student B, it's receiving 13 maybe a significant amount more money. So the cost to 14 educate the student is not being paid to the charter school. 15 16 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: And these charter 17 schools are profit centers. Correct? 18 MR. MARKS: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. So if they are 20 profit centers educating Pennsylvania children, shouldn't 21 there be some audits or additional audits of all charter 22 schools, I quess, for that matter, I mean it's a broad 23 question, but, and what are the plans of the Attorney 24 General if there are any relative to that -- Auditor 25 General, excuse me?

1	MR. MARKS: That's all right.
2	We certainly have the intent to audit all
3	charter schools. As I'm sure this Committee knows, when
4	Auditor General Wagner took office, we had approximately
5	750 employees. We now have about 600.
6	So we are clearly challenged to meet our
7	goals of auditing charter schools, cyber charter schools
8	and all of the entities that we look at, and the numbers
9	of charter schools and cyber charter schools continue to
10	grow daily.
11	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: All right. Thank you.
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	MS. MARIANO: Might I add something?
14	I would just say also that our concern is
15	the formula and that everyone is receiving the correct
16	amount of money, both the School District and the charter
17	schools, because it's from the limited education money.
18	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
19	Representative Bernie O'Neill.
20	REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman.
22	A couple of quick questions. My first one
23	is just kind of like a follow-up. When you talk about
24	when you do your audits for school districts and you find
25	deficiencies in the audit, and you find problems and so

forth, of course, the School District is informed, but you inform the Department of Education and you said it is the Department of Education's responsibility to follow through.

I guess my question is, do you ever follow through with the Department of Education or the School District before you do another audit to see if they have been met or if they have attempted to make the corrections that needed to be made?

MR. MARKS: Let me just clarify: It is clearly the responsibility of the School District to implement our recommendations. The Department of Education, however, receives our reports and has oversight authority of those school districts, so it certainly could chime in with its opinion, but it is a standard procedure for us to follow up on the findings of recommendations that were previously reported on.

MS. MARIANO: In addition, the School Board is required to provide a response to the Department of Education to our audit explaining what process of corrective action they plan to take, and we are copied on that letter, so we would have that response before we go in to do the next audit.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. Great.

Then this question may not be for you, but I'm going to

ask it anyway: What happens when the School District is not following through with the plan they submitted or is accepted or are not following through to try to correct the deficiencies in the audit?

MR. MARKS: Well, there's two things that can happen. One is, in certain cases the Department of Education can withhold future subsidies depending on what it is that we find, but if, especially like in a safeschools type of finding, we don't have the authority to - the Department of the Auditor General does not have the authority to enforce or to issue some type of penalty, that authority would rest with the Department of Education.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. Great.

MS. MARIANO: However, as I mentioned, we do follow up in each of our audits on prior recommendations that were made by our office to determine what action, if any, has been taken, and if we find no action has been taken, we will issue a repeat finding.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Great. And I guess my last question, it may just be a comment, and you may not be able to address it, my dear friend, the Minority Chairman made a statement about the amount of money going to the charter schools versus staying here at the home school and the average per child.

1 I did some homework before I had gotten 2. here, and I have a list of the payments out to all the 3 charter and cyber schools from the Upland School District, and if you average it out, based on my math, 4 5 and I was pretty good because I taught math in high school, so I know a little bit of math, but it comes out 6 7 to a little under \$12,000 per student, which is almost \$5,000 less per student that Upland School District is 8 9 claiming that they are spending on per student that are 10 still in their system. 11 So I think there are a lot of 12 discrepancies here with what is going on financially, not 13 only in the school system, but what is going on and what 14 is just being paid out to the charter schools. 15 you. 16 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 17 At this time, I would like to acknowledge 18 the presence of Representative Donatucci from 19 Philadelphia and Representative Samuelson from Lehigh 20 Valley. Welcome. 21 At this time, Representative Bradford. 22 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you for 23 being here today. 24 I just had a question based on the 25 timeline of these different forms of government that

seems to have, at one point or another, been either imposed by PDE, the court system, and overriding local control.

It doesn't seem like what you are saying is any of them have made any real differences in terms of moving the bar or getting things better.

I guess I just have a 30,000-foot question: Based on the audits you have done, what worked, what didn't work?

Which one of these many different iterations, it looks like the timeline goes back to '94, and then it looks like every two or three years someone throws their hands up and says, Okay, the State is now going to use a privateer, a charter school, you know, different ways of saying we are going to attack this, but there doesn't seem to be a sustained effort.

Have any of these different iterations worked? What works, what doesn't?

MR. MARKS: Representative, that's a very good question. It's one I don't think I have an answer to, however. But I do know that we have written reports and we have written findings that have been repeated and those recommendations have not been implemented. As to what type of system works and doesn't work, I'm not sure, but I know our recommendations have not been implemented.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. So what 2. you are saying is regardless of whether it is local 3 control or State control, the level of mismanagement has been the one constant? 4 5 MR. MARKS: The recommendations that we have made have not been implemented. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. And those 8 recommendations go to mismanagement of district funds. 9 Is that pretty much your testimony? 10 MR. MARKS: Yes. But it's important to 11 note that we are currently doing an audit, and this 12 audit, we're -- we'll see what happens if our 13 recommendations are implemented by this new locally 14 controlled board. 15 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Got you. 16 Kind of looking on the optimistic side, have you seen any improvements, have there been areas 17 18 where you can point out a success story where you feel 19 like you have made a recommendation and it was followed 20 through? 21 MR. MARKS: I believe we did not have a teacher certification finding in our most recently issued 22 23 report as we had in the past. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: And that's about 25 having unqualified or uncertified, I shouldn't say

1 unqualified, but a teacher that didn't have appropriate certification in the classroom? 2 3 MR. MARKS: Correct. 4 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. 5 Another question I have going back to the governance issue: My understanding is, since the '06 6 7 lawsuit, where, I guess, the Rendell Administration intervened, PDE sitting as basically the receiver, the 8 9 Secretary has been in a position to approve or disapprove all expenditures over, I think, the number was \$5,000. 10 11 Is that accurate, has that improved the 12 situation? 13 MS. MARIANO: That was not within the 14 scope of the audit that we looked at, and we looked 15 specifically at the budgets and the compliance with the 16 law that's required there. 17 We also examined whether or not there is a 18 General Fund deficit, and we did note that there were 19 expenditures exceeded revenues during the period that we 20 looked at. 21 But in terms of improvement, you know, we 22 are a post audit agency, so we would have been looking 23 back in time and I don't think that our audit findings 24 really speak to that question. 25 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Got you.

Going to kind of the bottom line analysis, and I will wrap up real quick, the General Fund deficit, is the bottom line that we are saying these schools are mismanaged, they are getting all this money and they should be able to make AYP, or are we saying -- or are we not really making any delineation between schools that are woefully underfunded, don't have a tax base, don't have the ability to provide the necessary resources or are we saying the funds are there, the State is doing more than its fair share?

MR. MARKS: I believe that based on the work that we have done and the reports that we have issued, the charter school funding formula really needs to be addressed.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. Solely the charter school? I mean, obviously there were pretty substantial cuts in state funding this year under Governor Corbett's budget; did that exacerbate -- that was another \$8 million hit, I think, to the bottom line of the School District.

If we were to have a magic wand and deal with charter schools and deal with them with an actual cost reimbursement, all these other problems are pennies on the dollar in terms of what the cost of this problem is?

1	MR. MARKS: I don't think, Representative,
2	that we have really studied that issue enough to be able
3	to definitively answer that question. But what we have
4	looked at, the management of this particular school
5	district and the charter school formula, there's issues.
6	MS. MARIANO: I would just add also that,
7	again, the audit that we issued in January, 2011 did not
8	cover the period when those cuts would have taken place.
9	That period is included in the current audit that we are
10	now conducting.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: And obviously
12	the charters
13	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative, time is
14	up.
15	Representative Scavello.
16	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Thank you,
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	I would like to follow up on some of those
19	prior questions and some of your comments.
20	It seems that this School District has had
21	problems since 1994. And we keep saying that the answer
22	is the problem has been the funding: the cyber and
23	the charter school funding. It seems to me there's a
24	record of problems here in this School District prior to
25	that charter school audit.

It could have added to it, but there's some other problems here. And I'm not a fan, I have to tell you, I'm a fan of public education. So I don't want to just throw the, you know, the darts one way. I think we need to find what the problem is, and if you guys are going in there doing audits and you are finding improper recordkeeping, you don't know where the money is being spent.

And just to say the problem is cyber and charter school, I don't think is doing the constituency in that School District and the Commonwealth any justice.

MR. MARKS: I would agree with you,
Representative. It's not just a matter of charter school
funding. The question that I was answering related to
funding, however, and I don't have an opinion on the
other, just funding in general. I know related to the
funding, we are seeing the charter school issue.

But I would agree with you this has not happened overnight and the Department of the Auditor General has issued numerous audit reports outlining these deficiencies.

MS. MARIANO: And I would add also that our report on the charter school funding issue, again, is not on one side or the other in terms of public education, but simply point out that the formula that

- used to dole out those dollars is not being based on
 actual costs.

 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I agree with
 - that, but that's not one school district, that's school districts across the Commonwealth. Not all of them are having this problem, however.

You know, the problem in front of us here, you have a school district, just look at last year before the \$8 million supposed cut, which in my mind was candy that was no longer there from D.C. last year, this school district had a problem three months into the Corbett Administration's term, and it was basically off of the prior year's funding, not the Corbett budget. Am I correct?

MR. MARKS: Well, could you rephrase -- I'm not sure I understand your question. I agree that this didn't happen overnight.

REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: My comment was that there was a comment made that there was an \$8 million shortfall in dollars this year to that school district. Okay.

March of last year, I believe, February or March of last year, I was watching the testimony of the Senate Committee on Education and it came out that the school district was in trouble prior to the Corbett

2.2

1 They were short -- what was it? -- 12 million or 2 something, last year. 3 MS. MARIANO: And I believe I pointed out earlier, that is part of our current audit. Our previous 4 5 audit did not cover that period. REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. So you 6 7 don't have that yet. All right. So even with all those other dollars -- I looked at the cost per child in the 8 9 School District. I wish I had that in mine, you know, 10 the money that they are receiving from the State. 11 be very honest with you, we have approximately \$2,000 per 12 student, it is killing us. 13 And I'm looking at this, and I bet you our 14 records are right on target. And I think the records 15 need to be adjusted. If you are going to take state 16 taxpayer dollars, you've got to get your records in line, 17 and if they are not in line, somebody needs to go to 18 jail. I'm sorry, somebody needs to go to jail. 19 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Thank you. 20 Are there any other members of the 21 Appropriations Committee that have comments? 22 Okay. Representative O'Brien. 23 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 25 In your testimony, you did audits.

1 Obviously, a large impact on the Chester Upland District has been the cost of charter schools. Correct? 2 3 MR. MARKS: We believe charter school -yes, charter school funding is an issue, yes. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: In today's Inquirer, it was reported that the Administration is 6 7 drafting a plan for distressed districts, and as part of that plan, they are floating the idea of creating along 8 the lines of a school reform commission in Philadelphia 9 with the authority to take wholesale schools in a 10 11 district or even a whole district and put them out as 12 charters. 13 Now, based on the work that the Auditor 14 General has done on charter schools, talk to us for a 15 moment on what you would project would be the long-term 16 fiscal impact on the Commonwealth. 17 MR. MARKS: Well, I'm not sure I'm 18 prepared to talk about the long-term fiscal effect on the 19 Commonwealth. I do know that based on the study we have 20 done, there's an inadequacy in the formula. 21 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: And in your 22 audit, were there recommendations on how to right that 23 inadequacy? 24 Yes. We made recommendations MR. MARKS: 25 to the Governor, the Department of Education, to the

1 Legislature to change the law, the charter school funding 2 formula so that it adequately funds charter schools based 3 on the cost of the charter school. 4 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Okay. Based on 5 the cost of the charter school. MS. MARIANO: The cost of educating the 6 7 child at the charter school. 8 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Okay. So, if 9 this draft legislation moved forward and if entire districts were turned into charter, under your proposal 10 11 it would require an entire recalculation of the funding 12 for that district. Is that correct? 13 MR. MARKS: What legislation are you 14 referring to? 15 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: What I referred 16 to at the beginning of my comments, a draft legislation 17 according to the Administration. 18 I have no knowledge -- I have MR. MARKS: 19 not seen that proposed legislation, so I wouldn't really 20 be able to comment --21 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Could I ask you 22 to give that some thought and maybe get back to us on 23 that? 24 MR. MARKS: Sure. 25 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

At this time, for the record, and this Committee's budget house went to great lengths in the last two weeks to try to come up with accurate figures that this School District received in the last two years from all sources, and I couldn't give every member a copy of this, some of this information was not available until very late last night, so I will give each member of the Committee, as well as any member of the public, this information.

But for your information, the total revenue from all sources for the 2010-2011 school year was \$110 million. For 2011-2012, it was \$96 million. At the local level, from one year to the next, 20.5 million to 22.5 million. At the state level, \$69.7 million came from the State of Pennsylvania in 2010-2011 from all state sources. 65.6 million in 2011-2012, a decrease of \$4 million.

At the federal level in 2010-2011 was \$18.7 million. In 2011 and 2012, \$6.7 million. Other sources, 1.3 million to 1.4 million. A total decrease in funding for Chester Upland School District from one year to the next of 12.7 percent; a 5.9 decrease at the state level, a 63.9 percent decrease at the federal level. They are the facts, they are the correct figures, from

1 all sources. 2 Representative Barrar. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 4 5 that. Can I ask you a question, do you have the 6 7 authority to audit the federal funding that comes into schools; is that under your scope of authority? 8 9 MR. MARKS: We have the authority to audit 10 the federal funding that flows through the state 11 treasury, so if it flows through the state treasury to 12 the School District, yes. If it goes directly from the 13 federal government to the School District, then no. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: So the funding 15 that the Chairman just spoke of, you are not auditing 16 where that money is spent, then? 17 MR. MARKS: I would have to know how that 18 money flowed from the federal government to the School 19 District to answer that question. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Do you know if 21 that funding is included in the charter school formula; 22 do the charter schools get a piece of that? 23 MS. MARIANO: No. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: So that's not 25 affected at all by charter school funding?

1	MS. MARIANO: Well, they may get some
2	federal funding, but typically the tuition formula that
3	the School District calculates removes certain items
4	before it makes that calculation that the charter
5	school programs that they don't have, transportation,
6	for example.
7	So there may be some federal funding in
8	that, but in terms of, again, which specific funding we
9	are talking about, I think we need that information in
10	order to make that conclusion.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you.
12	Are you aware well, in 2006 it was
13	mentioned that the School District was placed into
14	receivership. Are you aware of the powers of
15	receivership that are given to the Secretary of
16	Education?
17	MR. MARKS: I wouldn't call myself an
18	expert on that, no.
19	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Okay. And the
20	cause how is that power why were they placed into
21	receivership and who actually did that? Was that by a
22	Governor's Order or Court Order?
23	MR. MARKS: It's my understanding they
24	came from the courts and the government, the state
25	government itself. I can't really answer that,

1 Representative. 2 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: You had mentioned 3 in your testimony in 2010 that the Educational 4 Empowerment Act, the takeover by the State ended in 5 2010. Did that also then cause the status of the receivership to also expire at the same time? 6 7 MR. MARKS: I don't know. I know it. caused the locally-elected school board to take over the 8 9 District. REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: But we don't know 10 11 if the receivership is still in place there? 12 MR. MARKS: I'm not aware. I'm not sure. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Maybe we can find 14 that out later on. 15 Do you audit then the -- you audit the 16 local tax effort, is that part of the performance audit 17 that was done, the local tax effort? 18 MR. MARKS: In terms of collecting taxes, 19 no, we do not. 20 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: That's all I have, 21 Mr. Chairman. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. MARKS: If I could, Mr. Chairman, as 24 we said a couple of times, we are in the District 25 auditing now. If anybody has any suggestions, please

1 give them to us in writing and we would be happy to take 2 a look at any ideas that you have. 3 We had a very productive meeting with the Auditor General and Representative Kirkland the other 4 day, and those types of discussions and information that 5 were being received are very helpful to us in conducting 6 the audit so that you can get answers to your questions. 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 8 Thank you. 9 Representative Samuelson. 10 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 I just wanted to follow up on the overall 13 state funding, and I appreciate Chairman Adolph giving 14 that analysis talking about federal funds going down, state funds overall going down, and local funds actually 15 16 going up. 17 The net loss was \$14 million for this one school district. Four million less in state funds, 12 18 19 million less in federal funds, that's 16 million; offset 20 by a \$2 million increase in local effort, so that's the 21 \$14 million loss. 22 I know one of my colleagues from Monroe 23 County talked about the candy from D.C. drying up. I 24 think he is referring to the stimulus, and sometimes our

education funding is mischaracterized by some because

some people pretend that the only loss of state funds last year was the stimulus.

In the overall picture, we lost about \$600 million of stimulus money, but the cuts that the Governor and the majority of the Legislature approved were about \$900 million to school districts, all school districts across the state, so if we lost 600 million of stimulus, but the cuts were 900 million, you can't blame all of the cuts on the loss of the stimulus money.

Now, in the case of Chester Upland, something very interesting happened, when the stimulus money went away. Most districts in the state went down in the basic education line item, because the Governor talked about, you know, the stimulus being earmarked for the basic ed. I think there are 498 school districts where the basic education line item went down.

There are two where the basic education line item went up: the Duquesne School District out in Allegheny County and Chester Upland School District here in Delaware County. So this District is one of the very, very, very few that actually got an increase in basic education.

The reason we are talking about an overall loss of state funding is because that rare increase in basic education funding was offset by other line items.

Sixty-one percent cut in accountability grants, \$10 million cut in charter school reimbursements, and that's, I guess, the basis of my question.

When the Governor and the legislative majority eliminated state funding for charter school reimbursements, these are funds that were helping school districts pay the cost of the charter schools.

It was \$219 million last year, this year it's zero. So the State, under our new governor, has reduced its commitments to provide funding to pay for charter schools. In this district, they lost \$10 million.

Now, I realize Chairman Adolph said overall the loss of state funding was 4 million, but a big component of that, the largest component, is the loss of that charter school reimbursement funding from Harrisburg.

So if this District lost \$10 million from Harrisburg to pay the charter school bill, did the amount they had to pay the charter schools go down by \$10 million, or did somebody else have to make up -- who had to make up the rest of that payment?

MR. MARKS: Representative, the only thing
I can say to that is the charter school funding formula
is a situation where the payment is based on the costs to

educate the student at the School District and then, this is before, a year or two ago, and then there was a reimbursement to the School District from the Commonwealth, and that is the part that was eliminated.

When we made our recommendations to fix the charter school funding formula, we said you have to fix both, both of those elements; you can't fix one without fixing the other. So I don't know if that gets to your point, but you can't fix just the refund back to the School District. You have to fix the first part, which is making sure the cost to educate the child at the charter school that's reimbursed, that's paid for.

MS. MARIANO: In addition, I would just iterate that that time period you are discussing is part of our current audit and we haven't made any conclusions or published any findings, you know, about those issues.

REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Maybe just one last question: How much did the District have to make in charter school payments in the 2010-'11 school year versus how much does the district have to pay in the '11-'12 school year?

MR. MARKS: That's information that we are in the process of obtaining now. I don't have that information right now. It's part of our audit, but, again, we can look for that.

1 Thank REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Okay. 2 you. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 5 I just want to go over a couple of figures, and my good friend and colleague from Lehigh 6 7 Valley, I agree with Representative Samuelson regarding Chester Upland School District, because of the decreases 8 9 in state funds and federal funds, Chester Upland received a decrease larger than other school districts throughout 10 11 the Commonwealth because of what I call categorical 12 grants, okay, and not basic education. 13 Over the years, because of the diversity 14 of our school districts statewide, there have been accountability grants, charter school reimbursement 15 16 grants, empowerment grants, all types of categorical 17 grants added and subtracted over the years. 18 particular year, because Chester Upland was the recipient 19 for many years of most of those categorical grants, 20 received a decrease. 21 Now, to correct my colleague from Lehigh 22 Valley, the total state dollars in all grants and all

basic education was a slight increase from 2010 to 2011

does not mean that all school districts receive an

increase in state tax dollars. Chester Upland, in

23

24

particular, is one that definitely did not. And that I
wanted to make perfectly clear.

And at this time as the hour of 11:00 having arrived, I would like to introduce a long-time chairman of the Education Committee in the House, my colleague from Philadelphia, Chairman Jim Roebuck.

REPRESENTATIVE ROEBUCK: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

I wonder, if I could, looking at the testimony you gave, talk about process. I'm having trouble understanding. You detailed a series of things that went wrong. You talk about the inability of the School District to verify their entitlement to state funding that goes back to 2004.

You talk about the inability of the School District to verify spending for safe school grants and alternative school programs. You talk about overspending the budget that goes back to 2002 and is consistent in almost every two-year period except for one thereafter.

If this is happening, why didn't a red flag go off someplace? How can a district continually not do what it is supposed to do and nothing happen?

MR. MARKS: It's a very good question,
Representative. As you know, our reports, which I think
do a very good job of outlining many of the problems,

1	goes to the District, the Department of Education, key
2	members of the Legislature, and I would hope that the
3	Committee, if it hasn't already done so, will read our
4	report, read our charter school report, and read audit
5	reports that frankly go back 20 years that were issued by
6	the Department of the Auditor General.
7	REPRESENTATIVE ROEBUCK: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I would like to thank
9	the auditors for coming down today and presenting
10	testimony. We will digest what we heard today, and I'm
11	sure we will be back in touch with you.
12	This Committee is determined to correct
13	this situation. We want to assure that these families
14	and these kids know that the School District will be open
15	through the school year, and we have that commitment from
16	the Governor, and I know you have a commitment from every
17	one of these legislators. However, we have to get to the
18	bottom of this once and for all. And I appreciate the
19	input that the Auditor General has given us.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. MARKS: Thank you, Representative.
22	Pleasure.
23	MS. MARIANO: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We'll take about a
٥-	

two-minute break before we hear our next testifiers.

1 Thank you. 2. (Recess taken.) 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, ladies and 4 gentlemen. Please take your seats. If you want to 5 continue your conversations, please take them outside. Thank you very much. You can continue your conversations 6 7 outside. The last testimony lasted about 15 minutes 8 9 longer than we wanted, but I thought the conversation was 10 very good and very informative. 11 Our next group of testifiers are 12 individuals from the Chester Upland School District. 13 Dr. Tom Persing, Tom, raise your hand, Chester Upland 14 School District Acting Deputy Superintendent. Is that a 15 correct title? 16 DR. PERSING: That is correct. 17 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Now, from what I 18 understand, you just told me about 30 seconds ago, that 19 Wanda J. Mann, the Chester Upland School Board President, 20 is hospitalized. 21 Is that correct? DR. PERSING: To the best of my knowledge, 22 23 it is. 24 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. We wish Ms. Mann 25 all the best while she is recovering from her illness.

1	Now, could the other gentlemen identify
2	themselves and their position with the Chester Upland
3	School District.
4	DR. WATSON: My name is Dr. Tony Watson.
5	I'm the newly appointed Acting Superintendent of the
6	School District and I proudly sit before you in
7	deposition. Dr. Tony L. Watson.
8	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Tony L. Watson?
9	DR. WATSON: That's correct.
10	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Mr. Watson.
11	DR. WATSON: You're welcome.
12	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: The next gentleman.
13	MR. BRUCHAK: My name is Robert Bruchak.
14	I'm the newly appointed Chief Financial Officer of the
15	District.
16	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: How do you spell your
17	last name, sir?
18	MR. BRUCHAK: B-R-U-C-H-A-K.
19	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
20	And I want to thank all three of you for
21	being here today.
22	Mr. Persing, you can begin. I understand
23	that you are going to be the spokesperson?
24	DR. PERSING: Well, we have an opening
25	statement, if you don't mind, Mr. Chairman, by Wanda

1 She wanted that read into the record, if that's Mann. 2. all right with you. 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: That's certainly fine. 4 Again, my name is Dr. Tony DR. WATSON: 5 I will be reading that statement that was Watson. prepared by Mrs. Mann. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. DR. WATSON: Good morning, Chairman Adolph 8 9 and all distinguished members of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives Appropriations Committee. 10 11 Again, I'm reading for her. 12 My name is Wanda Mann and I am the 13 President of the Chester Upland School District Board of 14 School Directors. 15 It is my pleasure to offer testimony to you today regarding the financial crisis currently 16 plaquing our School District. My objective is to provide 17 18 you with pertinent background information and a brief 19 overview. 20 Following my testimony, Acting Deputy 21 Superintendent Tom Persing will provide you with a 22 thorough financial breakdown. July the 1st, 2010, was a 23 very special day for me. It was a 16-year dream that had 24 finally come true. It was the day that the local-elected

School Board returned to governance of the Chester Upland

1 | School District.

2.2

As fellow public servants, I am sure you can imagine the joy and delight that filled my heart that day. The School Board that the voters of Chester City, Chester Township, and Upland Borough elected to represent them as leaders of their School District finally had a meaningful and significant voice at the table.

No longer were we limited to only having a voice on matters pertaining to taxes and bond issues. We now had a key voice in determining the way our children and our neighbors' children would be educated. The expectations that voters set for us were extremely high, but they paled in comparison to the expectations we set for ourselves.

We returned to govern, determined and devoted, committed and concerned to have a substantial impact on our students' education. Our very first order of business was to conduct a comprehensive review and analysis of the financial state of affairs we had just inherited for the outgoing Education Empowerment Board, which had governed the District for three years.

We immediately discovered that we inherited extremely difficult financial conditions requiring significant corrective action. For example, we inherited an inflated budget for the 2010-'11 school year

with substantial overspending and projected revenues that were never implemented.

Shortly thereafter, Governor Corbett's proposed \$20 million cut in public education in Chester Upland forced us to take drastic measures just to keep the District afloat.

The School Board steadily voiced its objections in Harrisburg to the state budget cuts, and upon learning the good news, Senator Pileggi successfully restored about half of the funding we were slated to lose. We worked around the clock with the Superintendent and team staff to develop a plan of action to best cope with the limited resources that had become our new fiscal reality.

We merged two high schools into one, we relocated an elementary school, we furloughed more than 100 teachers, and we eliminated educational programs such as arts and music, among making other undesirable decisions.

We worked in conjunction with the Pennsylvania Department of Education on financial matters.

In fact, we acted on the recommendations set forth by the Corbett Administration, such as providing PDE with any and all requested financial

- information and records, including the PDE's
 representative in our regularly scheduled Finance
 Committee meetings and changes in the Administration of
 the District during an active school year.

 We also acted on PDE's staffing
 - We also acted on PDE's staffing recommendations. When PDE's first recommendations for Acting Superintendent Dr. Francis Barnes changed his mind about accepting the position based upon medical advice, we stated and offered to the Department's second recommendation, Dr. Levi Wingard.

We also brought in Dr. Persing, who came highly recommended by the State, as Acting Deputy Superintendent. Additionally, we hired Robert Bruchak as our Chief Business Officer, who was another PDE recommended candidate, and PDE also approved the appointment of our currently Acting Superintendent, yours truly, Dr. Tony Watson.

To avert the payroll crisis that grabbed headlines around the nation, I personally wrote a detailed letter to Governor Corbett, seeking an advance on our June subsidy. The School Board also made a public appeal to the Governor in an attempt to prevent any disruption to our educational programming.

We engaged in discussions with the teachers' union, where we asked for and received the

patience and total cooperation during this financial crisis.

Fortunately for the parties involved, our teachers and support staff plan to selfishly work for delayed compensation, if need be, for as long as they are individually able. We are tremendously grateful to them for making such a huge sacrifice to our students. We salute them, we thank them, and we value them incredibly.

We ultimately filed a lawsuit against the State, seeking the monetary infusion we desperately need from the Commonwealth to keep our schools open.

We were very fortunate that Federal Judge
Mason ordered the State to advance the District \$3.2
million for now. However, that allotment would not last
long enough for us to meet payroll on February the 15th,
2012, and our staff would not be able to work for delayed
compensation indefinitely.

This is why we need your help. We come to you asking for your support in our effort to secure funding to sustain the operation of the School District. Our students want to learn; our teachers want to teach. We cannot be defined by this unfortunate circumstance, which is very much beyond our control. There is so much more to Chester Upland than state budget cuts and financial difficulties.

2.

1 Our School District offers some of the 2. most diverse, engaging, and invigorating academic 3 programs available; such as automotive, business, 4 culinary arts, cosmetology, dental hygiene, and 5 marketing. Our Youth Court program, the only one in 6 7 Pennsylvania operated by students, has become a state 8 model. 9 This school year, many of our elementary 10 school students enjoyed as part of their school lunch an 11 assortment of vegetables they personally grew over the 12 summer to support First Lady Michelle Obama's Healthy 13 Eating Initiative. 14 However, these and other wonderful 15 educational opportunities are all in jeopardy because we 16 are not on sound financial footing. 17 In closing, it is important to note that the District's financial crisis is the inevitable result 18 19 of a 15-year buildup that just happens to have come to a 20 head during this elected School Board's period of 21 governance. 2.2 As I wrote to Governor Corbett, at least 23 15 years of various boards of control, including the

recent Empowerment Board and private management at

Chester Upland resulted in sizable deficits that were

24

never corrected, but just transferred from one government body to the next.

In fact our auditors determined that the consistent transfer of debt totals \$36.8 million through June the 30th of 2010, just one day before we, the elected School Board, returned to governance. Chester Upland needs a commitment to a long-term sustained effort both financially and academically.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today on this urgent matter which is affecting the education of 3,700 innocent students and the livelihoods of hardworking and generous teachers and support staff.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. Watson, and we all wish Ms. Mann well.

We know that Chester Upland School
District must deal with many challenges that are
different from other school districts, and the State
tries to distribute its state tax dollars to the school
districts that need it the most, and that's why Chester
Upland School District receives approximately 70 percent
of their total budget from the State.

If you heard my opening comments, one of our first short-term solutions will be to keep Chester

Upland School District open until June 30. Obviously to do that, it's going to take state tax dollars.

Gentlemen, what can you tell this

Appropriations Committee has been put into place in

Chester Upland School District to assure that the money
that would be appropriated by the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania will go to educate the children, to pay the
teachers of Chester Upland School District?

Don't all jump at that question at once.

DR. PERSING: Allow me, please, to begin.

First off, I want to thank you all for this opportunity, and what is more is that I'd like to set the record straight insofar as time is concerned.

I have been aboard this School District since all of October 24th, 2011, which constitutes almost three months. My colleague to the right has been here approximately two weeks. My other colleague to the far right has been here since November. So any of the answers that we give you, we are obviously in the process of making sure that we do the right thing.

So one of the first things that I did when I came here was to do a, for want of a better term, two things; one, the Secretary of Education was kind enough to forward an allotment immediately to do an audit of our current educational program. We had eight retired and

still active educators of superintendents, assistant superintendents, principals, teachers, come in to do that. That gave us a basis of where we are.

The second, we had at one time three parttime financial people in the School District which we contacted, I contacted. We sat down for over three or four weeks and came up with an analysis of where we think we are.

Each day that we examine or I personally also looked at the record of what had happened over the last several years and what we think will happen in the future always revealed frankly a surprise.

So we are in the process of generating an answer to your question. Right now, I feel reasonably confident that we are on the right track.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. And I understand the position that you are in and the period of time, and I thank you for allowing us to know when you started your employment there.

Do either of you know what your monthly or quarterly or yearly debt expense is from a long-term debt?

When I was reading that report, I was astonished that Chester Upland in the year 2000 had a long-term debt of \$15 million and in a short five years

1	that long-term debt increased to \$85 million, 15 million
2	to 85 million. I don't know what it is right now, but I
3	can imagine that the yearly debt payment on that long-
4	term debt is very high.
5	Can you tell us what that yearly debt is?
6	Can any of you three gentlemen tell us what that yearly
7	debt payment is?
8	DR. PERSING: May I read this statement
9	first, and I would be happy to answer that question.
10	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I don't know how long
11	that statement is, Tom.
12	DR. PERSING: It's very brief.
13	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm looking for your
14	yearly debt, because when we appropriate this money from
15	Harrisburg, we want to know whether it is going to long-
16	term debt or getting into the classroom for the children.
17	DR. PERSING: Okay. Let me address that,
18	since it's part of my testimony. Also, in June, 2010,
19	the State and Receiver were going to increase the debt
20	service of the Chester Upland School District to
21	approximately \$9 million a year. The elected Board
22	stopped this action and reduced the debt service to
23	currently \$5,400,000 a year.
24	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 5 million
25	DR. PERSING: 400,000 per year.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: \$5.4 million a year. 2. Thank you for that information, Tom. Thank you. 3 Chairman Markosek. 4 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. When I was, you know, reading about 6 7 Chester in preparation for this hearing today, I was almost startled by just the number of students that you 8 9 have that are in charter schools, and maybe this is a 10 question for the Business Manager. 11 According to the figures that we have, 12 there's roughly 6,000, a little over 6,000, 6,500 13 students that actually live within the catchment area of 14 Chester Upland, and about 3,000 of those go to charter schools and do not go to the public schools. It's about 15 16 45 percent of that population. 17 That's a huge cost, and I guess I would 18 just like to get some idea of what that cost you -- what 19 that cost you this past budget when the reimbursement 20 stopped. 21 And also can you talk a little bit about 22 the special ed money that goes along with that or 23 payments that are made relative to also some of those 24 students that, you know, are now in charter schools that

are Chester Upland students.

MR. BRUCHAK: It's my understanding that we have the largest charter school in the state in our backyard. Chester Community Charter School, from what I understand is the largest charter school in the whole state of Pennsylvania.

That is having a huge financial impact on the School District to fund that charter school. We are probably spending \$36 million just to that charter school. All charter schools, including cyber charter schools, were in the tune of about \$43 million. Tuition pay per student right now stands at \$9,800 for regular education. If they get an IEP for special education, we are over \$24,000 per student.

Looking at Chester community, the special education population, there is almost 25 percent, much higher than the state average. So instead of spending \$9,800 per student, we are spending closer to \$25,000 per student per year to sustain that nearly 25 percent special ed ratio that the charter school has.

Now, it's my understanding, looking at the financials, that we are only getting about \$5 million from the State for special education. Knowing that the charter school reimbursement has been eliminated to the Chester Upland School District, \$5 million versus probably roughly \$17 million just for special ed, just

1	for charter schools is probably impossible for this
2	community to sustain that type of funding.
3	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: You were going through
4	the numbers there, and just so I'm clear in my own mind
5	here, if I heard you correctly, it was \$24 million that
6	you spent for special ed in the charter schools.
7	Did I hear that correctly?
8	MR. BRUCHAK: No. No.
9	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay.
10	MR. BRUCHAK: I think just on the one
11	charter school when I ran the numbers, it was about 17
12	million, looking at the numbers and the students and the
13	ratios.
14	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: So your total for
15	charter school expenditure for both charter schools, and
16	I think you have two main ones, if I'm not mistaken, that
17	you send students to, what would that number be?
18	MR. BRUCHAK: Yes.
19	For all charter schools, it's about 43
20	million. That includes cyber schools, but by far,
21	probably in the neighborhood of 40, 41 million is just
22	for the local ones that are brick and mortar, if you
23	will.
24	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. And as I
25	mentioned in my previous questions to the previous

1 testifiers, charter schools are profit centers, those 2. people that run them for profit. Correct? 3 MR. BRUCHAK: In this situation, yes. 4 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Any idea of 5 that 43 million how much of that would you happen to know, you know, would be for profit? 6 7 MR. BRUCHAK: That, I don't know. Τ haven't looked at their financial books and records or 8 9 anything. I have hardly enough time getting a handle on 10 our own. 11 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. All right. 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman 14 Markosek. 15 Representative Mario Scavello. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Thank you. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good -- I guess it's still 18 morning. 19 Good morning, gentlemen. I think you are 20 faced with a really difficult challenge, and I want to 21 wish all three of you well. 22 I think hearing your situation, I guess 23 for me the easiest would be to try to attract some of 24 those students back to Chester Upland School District. 25 You have the facility, you have everything there. And to

1 do that you really must -- I don't know what's happened 2 there, you had a mass exodus for some reason or another. 3 What are your plans to try to do that? I know it might be an early question, 4 5 but --DR. WATSON: I will respond to that, but 6 7 before I do, I ask each of you to keep in mind today will conclude my first full week on the job. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I know that. 10 Okay. But I think one of the DR. WATSON: 11 things that we must focus on, and I'm very seriously 12 charged with this, is to increase our academic 13 performance within the School District. 14 That is something that we will focus on as 15 long as I'm employed in the School District, increasing academic achievement. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I don't know if you can answer this one, but there was a question made 18 19 with the lack of funding, a comment made earlier with a 20 lack of funding from the corporate budget. 21 However, Chester Upland, early last year, 22 prior to the corporate budget, was in trouble, without 23 these cuts that we have been talking about in the last 24 few hours, am I correct? Are you familiar with that or not? 25

1 Could you answer that? You are. What was 2. the shortfall at Chester Upland prior to these cuts that 3 we have been talking about in this last budget? MR. BRUCHAK: I can't say I have all the 4 5 numbers memorized in my head. At the end of '09-'10, I believe we had a deficit of about three and a half 6 7 million dollars. We are still going through the audit for '10-'11. 8 9 Nothing was done basically when I first 10 arrived in November, so we are working frantically to 11 bring the audit up to speed and get all those numbers. 12 I can tell you that it will be another 13 deficit for '10-'11, and it will be much higher than the 14 3.5 million that we experienced here before, probably closer to 9 and 10, but don't quote me on that directly. 15 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: The Chairman 16 17 mentioned that there is an 85 million debt service, total 18 debt that the School District -- did they build a brand 19 new school? How did you come up with -- where did that 20 come from? Do you have any idea where that debt might 21 have been incurred? 22 DR. PERSING: Well, to give you some 23 example, when the Empowerment Board was here they also 24 put a lot of money into one building, Showalter, 9.6

million, but that 9.6 million came out of the general

1 operating budget, so that -- and we did not then, at that 2 time, the Empowerment Board did not file what we call a 3 plan con and, therefore, would have been able to recruit 4 perhaps 60 percent of that, so that contributes to the 5 ongoing debt. But as I remember in looking over the 6 7 records, part of that debt, if not most of it, has been refinancing the bonds and then putting into that money 8 9 that is going to be recirculated, recirculated. to use a cliché, but it is kicking the can down the road. 10 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: 11 It sounds like 12 when a business is borrowing money to pay the employees, 13 pretty much is what it sounds like. 14 Just one last --15 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: No. No. Thank you. 16 Thank you. 17 Representative Scott Conklin. 18 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. And I will try to do this speed round. 20 (inaudible) I represent State College, Pennsylvania, when I'm on the East Side. I don't tell you I'm actually from 21 22 Philipsburg because everyone will think I'm from New 23 Jersey. 24 And I want to just get my hands around it,

because what is happening here is a little bit different

than what I normally see in an education process.

Normally, for instance, a charter school is opened up -- we have a few very good charter schools out my way, very good public charter schools -- it goes through the School Board and the School Board is made up of elected officials and each represent a certain segment of the population within their parts and district and those people take a voice of the community and then they make that decision on whether that charter school is acceptable to their neighborhood or not acceptable to their neighborhood.

Who made the decision on the charter schools?

DR. PERSING: Who made the decision in order for the charter schools to come in?

REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Yes.

DR. PERSING: That would have been the Board of Control and the Empowerment Board.

REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: And how were they elected? Were they from the community?

DR. PERSING: No, they were installed through the State of Pennsylvania, depending on what the law stated; one could have been a representative of the Secretary of Education, the other two could have been appointed by a judge in Delaware County, and that was one

1 of the main mechanisms by which they were put into 2 office. 3 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: So the debt incurrence, that was not by the community, that again was 4 5 by the State Empowerment Board? DR. PERSING: Yes, basically -- not only 6 7 basically, yes, sir, that's correct. REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: When your funds 8 9 are brought back from -- when federal, state, whoever 10 decides to make the decision that we can't fund you at a 11 level which you need, how much of a cut went to the 12 charter schools, as well, per pupil? 13 DR. PERSING: Well, the charter schools 14 get approximately 45 percent of the amount of money that we have coming in to the charter schools. That's 15 16 approximately what we immediately send in. 17 In fact, as you may know, our situation was so dire that we don't get subsidy from the State of 18 19 That subsidy goes directly to the charter Pennsylvania. 20 schools. A consequence of that, the money that we have 21 to run the School District is essentially that which 22 comes from local and federal funding. 23 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: When we are 24 talking about our special needs students in the school,

how many -- when you look at your overall population,

1	special needs students, everything from disruptive to
2	mentally challenged to physically challenged how many
3	of those students do the charter schools accept per
4	year?
5	DR. PERSING: To my knowledge, the bulk of
6	all these students that go to the charter school are in
7	two fundamental categories, which would be approximately
8	85 percent-plus up there. Of those two categories, one
9	would be hearing and speech and the other one would be
10	learning-disabled, both of which have variables in
11	costs?
12	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: So, it is
13	basically safe to say that over the last few years, and I
14	will offer this, Mr. Chairman, since 1994 to the present,
15	a lot of the decisions made that has your School District
16	in the problem it is today was made because of government
17	intervention of the state rather than the local community
18	being involved?
19	DR. PERSING: I would like to agree with
20	that, but I must say I don't want to rush to judgment.
21	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Thank you.
23	Representative Bernie O'Neill.
24	REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Thank you,
25	Mr. Chairman.

1 Thank you for being here today and sitting in the hot seat in such a short amount of time. 2 3 First of all, I'm glad that the whole special ed funding form of situation came up, because we 4 5 actually have legislation sitting in both the House and Senate that would certainly correct that problem, not 6 7 only with statewide distribution and how it is done, but also with the charter and charter cyber schools, so 8 9 hopefully we can push that forward. 10 A real quick question: You have only been 11 here a short time. I'm kind of disappointed that you 12 couldn't find somebody who was within the District, who 13 works in the District with knowledge who could have came 14 and maybe answered some questions for us on what's going 15 on. 16 But very quickly, where did you come from, 17 each of you? Did any of you come from within the District or you came from outside of the District? 18 19 DR. PERSING: All three are from without the District. 20 21 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: From 22 Pennsylvania, close by, I'm just wondering where you 23 all came from? Just tell us where you came from

(inaudible) --

MR. BRUCHAK:

24

25

I spent seven years at

1 Daniel Boone School District. After that I was at 2 Souderton Area School District for the past, like, two 3 and a half years and recently just came to Chester. 4 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Great. 5 close. I spent 18 years as an 6 DR. WATSON: 7 administrator in the Downingtown Area School District. REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Great. 8 Thank 9 you. DR. PERSING: Started in Allentown School 10 11 District as a teacher of biology and chemistry, went to 12 Wyomissing School District as the high school principal 13 and superintendent, have been Superintendent of Schools 14 for seven years, Superintendent of Schools at Upper 15 Perkiomen for 20 years in Montgomery County, and I have 16 been the Interim Superintendent or Acting Superintendent 17 for financially-distressed school districts for about --18 if I remember correctly, 11 of them. 19 The last one I was with was Bethlehem Area 20 School District, the sixth largest school district in 21 Pennsylvania, for 15 months. 2.2 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okav. Great. 23 Thank you. I appreciate that. 24 So you, yourself, are probably going to be 25 here short-term until you help get the District on the

1 right track, is that kind of how it happens as the 2 Superintendent? 3 DR. PERSING: That's correct. We are only 4 here for a short time until such time as they find 5 permanent people. REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. 6 Thank you. 7 You made the comment in your statement here that the State has been telling people that Chester 8 9 Upland School District's cost per pupil is around 17,000 per student, but you are saying it is truly just 14-5, 10 11 can you explain that and where those numbers came from. 12 DR. PERSING: I can explain to you where 13 our numbers came from. 14 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. 15 DR. PERSING: But I can't speak for anyone 16 else. 17 In order to determine the cost of a pupil 18 in your School District, what one must do is to take the 19 cost of educating the children that are within your 20 School District. 21 So what we do is we take away the total 22 cost for charter schools and we take away the total cost 23 of any child that is educated out of the School District, 24 so, therefore, we are only talking about the costs within the School District. 25

That cost then is divided by the number of students that are present, are in the School District, and that's how we come up with our costs.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. Just for the record -- and that's why I brought it up -- because this is why so much of what is going on down here is so confusing. And I think with numbers running around, is because, you know, my records are showing, and research shows, that Chester Upland School District, if you include the charter school kids in their daily average ADM, it's around 15-4, and this was going on the audit of the 2009-'10, the most accurate records.

But if you take out the Chester -- or not the Chester, the charter school students, then it's jumping up to 17-6.

So that's why it is all very confusing and that's why I'm questioning your numbers, because it doesn't seem at all accurate with -- you may be basing yours on 2011-'12 or last year, which hasn't been audited yet.

But it kind of doesn't make any sense to me that it would go up \$2,000 per student when you are losing half your population to charter schools.

It just doesn't make sense, especially when you are sitting here in front of us and telling how

1 much money is going towards special ed, which I probably 2 agree on, but I just wanted to bring that out. 3 DR. PERSING: Might I add that also according to the Department of Education figures, the 4 5 Chester Upland School District cost, per total spending per student in the year '09-'10 was \$14,198. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Okay. Great. 8 Thank you. I appreciate it. 9 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 10 Representative O'Brien. 11 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Mr. Chairman, as 12 Chairman Roebuck has little time and needs to leave 13 shortly, may I yield my time to him? 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes. I'm sure members 15 appreciate that, and we are very happy to have Chairman 16 Roebuck with us today, and I will certainly go along with 17 his scheduling conflict. 18 REPRESENTATIVE ROEBUCK: Thank you, 19 Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the courtesy. 20 I want to ask the question that if it were 21 not for the carryover debt from last year and the 22 previous years, could you balance your budget? 23 DR. PERSING: First off, if I could read 24 this statement, it would help a lot because your 25 questions are all pertaining to this statement, but

1 nonetheless --2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: How long is your 3 statement, Tom? 4 DR. PERSING: It will take approximately 5 seven minutes. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Seven minutes, is that 6 7 okay, Chairman? I'm sure that's okay with the Committee. 8 9 Let's get your statement on the record. 10 DR. PERSING: Chester Upland School 11 District has been under State control since '94 by virtue 12 of Board of Control, Empowerment Board until July 1st, 13 2010, because of financial and academic distress; 14 however, financial receivership was with the State until June 30th, 2011. 15 It should also be noted that the Chester 16 17 Upland School District was also under the management and 18 control of Edison, an education management organization 19 which was endorsed by the State from September 11, 2001, 20 until July, 2004. 21 The elected Board on July 1st, 2010, 22 inherited a budget created by the Education Empowerment 23 Board which was unrealistic. Under the Education 24 Empowerment Board, the budget of the Chester Upland School District increased from 85 million to 113 million. 25

The number of employees increased from 590 to 755, while student enrollment decreased from 4,609 students to 3,717 students. The elected Board decreased employees to 535 and the budget to 96 million by July 1st, 2011, in one year because of loss of federal and state revenues.

From the revenue side, the Education

Empowerment Board was funded with state and federal money which began to disappear in July, 2010, when the elected Board resumed governance. By July, '11, state and federal revenues to the School District was reduced by 23 million.

Also, the State left the elected Board with a 3,600,000 deficit from the 2009-'10 school year. Further, the State and Receiver left the elected Board with unpaid bills of 6,070,000, including bills to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit of 1,700,000. The money would have gone back to other school districts in Delaware County.

Also, in June of 2010, the State and Receiver were going to increase the debt service of the Chester Upland School District to approximately \$9 million a year. The elected Board stopped this action and reduced the debt service to 5,400,000 a year.

The budget adopted by the Education

Empowerment Board for budget year '10-'11 was dependent on cuts of \$5 million which the State failed to make before it left and failed to communicate same to the elected Board.

In order to balance the '11-'12 budget, the elected Board cut 23 million from the budget, but soon found out the education and support staff remaining would not allow the District to provide special education and mandated programs, they were forced to re-call some staff. This cost us 6,500,000; however, the net reduction cost of 17,750,000 for the school year '11-'12, by virtue of further cost cutting.

Also, the State has been quoting Chester Upland School District a cost of 17,000 and the amount allotted per pupil cost is about 14,500. As a matter of record, the elected Board reduced the cost per pupil from 15,300 while the District was under State control.

As we look to the rest of the school year, Chester Upland School District will need an excess of \$20 million to keep functioning and will accumulate approximately a \$21 million debt.

Further, my personal view is that the current funding situation for the Chester Upland School District will not in any way provide a thorough and efficient education for the children in the School

1 District.

Immediate possible remedy for next fiscal year is to correct the flaw in the funding for charter school that is as follows: The Chester Upland School District receives a special education subsidy of approximately \$5 million to support 1,387 special ed students, which amounts to about 3,605 per student.

Chester Upland School District is required to pay the charter schools \$14,670 per education student, special education student, which is the case of the Chester Community Charter School, which has 650 special education students, 9,535,000.

Also, Chester Upland School District is capped at 16 percent of its students that are funded for special education, while Chester Community has no cap.

Chester Upland School District has 20 percent special education students, Chester Community has 28 percent. This would immediately make available about \$8 million to the Chester Upland students.

Make the law equitable and fair and it will not cost taxpayers a single penny more, and the Chester Upland School District would make a giant step towards being on sound financial ground.

It is now imperative that we all move forward. Let us determine together a sound financial and

1 educational plan that will improve the future 2 opportunities for Chester Upland School District. 3 Let us put aside any differences that would hinder our joint obligation to ensure a far better 4 5 future for the children of our School District than what was afforded to them in the past. 6 7 Thank you. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. Persing. 8 9 Chairman Roebuck. 10 MR. BRUCHAK: To answer your question, 11 balancing our budget, from what I have seen it is hardly 12 unlikely, we would be extremely challenged. 13 Based on the funding that the School 14 District receives, the mandates that are in place, 15 charter school, special education, all the variables that are affecting our School District, we would be extremely 16 challenged to come up with a balanced budget. 17 18 REPRESENTATIVE ROEBUCK: So it's not that 19 in your mind the debt that you carry from year to year 20 that has been created, it's rather the ongoing policies 21 in reference to special education and charter schools 22 that are a problem? 23 MR. BRUCHAK: Yes, it would be several variables. You would have to look at everything that is 24

affecting the District. It's not just the debt.

make a concluding comment. I am dismayed when I hear that Chester Upland has cut art and music from the curriculum, and I say that not only because my wife is a music teacher, but also because art was one of the things that kept me focused while I was in high school particularly and was an incentive to do well.

And I wonder as you move forward certainly one of the things that's worked well in my district in part is the creation of schools that focus on things like performing arts and creating schools that draw kids in because they offer excellent academic opportunities, not only in that area but also in terms of academics, so I would hope as you try to reclaim students you might focus on that kind of successful model.

I know that Philadelphia, and we get beat up a lot in the Legislature and other places, but we get students from every surrounding county to the city:

Montgomery, Bucks, and Delaware, who come into our city for our special schools, and that might be a way that Chester City could draw kids back in.

DR. PERSING: Well, thank you. We do have a Chester Upland School of the Arts, which is very successful; however, I couldn't agree with you more. As we progress, and we are just starting our hearings on the

2.

1	budget for '12-'13, that is going to be a primary
2	requisite that we fund art and music in our schools.
3	Because I agree with you wholeheartedly,
4	even though I'm a science major, it is the arts and music
5	that really renders the soul restful.
6	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. Persing.
7	And Chairman Roebuck, it was nice having
8	you here.
9	And the Chair gave much leeway to both
10	Chairman Roebuck, as well as Dr. Persing, to make sure
11	that his testimony, which was very helpful to this
12	Committee, that it be put into the record.
13	I'm going to, and I had said this to
14	members earlier, that we are going to allow five minutes
15	for questions and comments. I'm going to reduce that to
16	three minutes for the sake of time because we have people
17	that are traveling to, you know, to testify and I want to
18	get back on schedule.
19	Keeping that in mind, it's now three
20	minutes comments and questions, so get to the point with
21	your questions, get to the point with your answer.
22	Representative Gary Day.
23	REPRESENTATIVE DAY: Thank you,
24	Mr. Chairman.
25	First, I want to thank you three for

1 stepping forward and coming here so new to the job, we 2 really appreciate it, and also taking on this great 3 challenge. 4 You had said in Mrs. Mann's comments that 5 at least 15 years of issues have led to this situation, just want to thank you for those comments and pass 6 7 it on to her as well. She really resisted the temptation to just 8 9 zero in on a hot political issue. And a lot of people, a lot of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle, we 10 11 really want to get to that point, how do we help kids in 12 the District. 13 You are the perfect testifiers for today. 14 A colleague of mine also told me we have to look forward, 15 how do we help the kids going forward, how do we finish 16 the year, how do we set up for next year. 17 So you guys are the perfect people to be here, as far as I'm concerned. I don't want you to sell 18 19 yourself short because you have only been on the job so 20 long.

My first question, Dr. Watson, why did you take this assignment?

DR. WATSON: Again, I spent 13 years as a building administrator from assistant principal to a principal. I spent five years as a central office

21

22

23

24

administrator followed up with the Director of Secondary
Education, and two and a half years as an Assistant
Superintendent. Naturally, from an Assistant
Superintendent to a Superintendent is the next move.

I think I'm greatly qualified, educated, and prepared to be a Superintendent. Chester needs someone with that kind of background, and I think my experience will help us move forward in the future, and I think there's a lot -- let me rephrase that, I don't think, I know there's a lot of potential in Chester and I want to tap into that potential.

REPRESENTATIVE DAY: When handed a deficit spending budget, I'm curious, I'm going to ask you some questions and make a quick brief comment: My questions are, what management actions have you taken as a team or as an individual, and what do you plan to do?

And I will give you a chance to think about that by just making a brief comment: When handed with a deficit spending budget, and it happened to me when I worked in the City of Allentown, the third largest municipality, there were certain steps we took in managing a municipality.

Managing a school district is different, but there's certain things you can do in a public budget, very few, so I will ask you again, what management

1	actions have you immediately taken in your first three
2	weeks, and what do you plan to do in the next three
3	weeks?
4	DR. WATSON: Okay. I will go first.
5	Again, from another question, my interest
6	is to increase the academic achievement within the School
7	District. I think that, taking care of both points of
8	your question, that is something I have done immediately,
9	I began going into the buildings so that I could meet all
10	of the teachers, so I could have the students know a face
11	and name going into the classes and talking with kids and
12	explaining to them the importance of focusing in on their
13	education. I believe long term that will help increase
14	some revenue for this District.
15	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. Watson.
16	Representative O'Brien.
17	REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: I yielded
18	Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
20	Representative O'Brien.
21	Representative Barrar.
22	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	In your testimony, Dr. Persing, you had
25	mentioned that the receivership, the people in charge of

1 that, were going to increase your debt service for the 2 Chester Upland School District from 9 million -- to \$9 3 million -- the newly elected board made a decision to 4 take that debt service down to 5.5 million. How was that 5 accomplished, do you know? DR. PERSING: Yes. What they did was take 6 7 the current debt that's there and to not fund, first off, but not fund the items that were asked in the original 8 9 bond issue, but take the debt that is currently there and 10 refinance it for a period of 20 years as opposed to 11 approximately 16 or 17 years that was there. 12 In addition to that, it brings down the 13 rate of interest that they were paying on those bonds 14 because of the current market. 15 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: In your 16 testimony -- okay. You talked about the need for an 17 additional 20 million this year to keep the School 18 District funded, okay, and you said you would still 19 accumulate another \$21 million in debt at the end of this 20 school year. Is that correct, or am I reading that 21 wronq? 22 DR. PERSING: No, you are correct. 23 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: What is that 21 24 Is that just an accumulation of the years past

and added to the top of the 80 million that you currently

1 have? 2 DR. PERSING: Well, don't confuse the \$80 3 million with an operational deficit. That's two 4 different --5 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: But the 80 million is an accumulation, from my understanding, of operating 6 7 deficits. No. The \$80 million 8 DR. PERSING: 9 happens to be an accumulation also of the debt that is 10 owed on the buildings; it is not an operational budget. 11 Don't get the two confused. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Where did the debt 13 involved in past deficits 90? 14 DR. PERSING: The past deficits are, if 15 they are bonding that they did for buildings or they refinanced their debt, that's where some of that goes, 16 17 but what we are concentrating on is the operational 18 budget that goes from year to year, so partial answer to 19 your question, \$3,224,000 were salaries from 2010-'11 20 that have to be paid in '11-'12. 21 \$8,500,000 of advances on our subsidy from 22 '11-'12 was advanced in '10-'11 to pay the '10-'11 23 That money is not money that's going to be bills. available for this current fiscal year. 24

In addition, \$4 million in accounts

1 payable were from prior years. Also, because we laid off 2 almost 200 employees, about 185 to 200 employees, we have 3 an accumulation of unemployment compensation for this 4 next year. 5 Even though you lay off people, you got to pay that amount of unemployment compensation. 6 7 amounts to \$2,200,000. 8 That's a total negative cash balance, when 9 they started in '11-'12, this school year, of 10 \$19,994,000. 11 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: That's 12 incredible. Your legal fees --13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative. 14 Representative Bradford. 15 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman. 16 17 Dr. Watson, I want to let you know I share your sentiments about the City of Chester. Actually, my 18 19 father grew up on 4th Street, 4th and Penn, and my 20 grandmother, as a child, lived on 21st Street, so I know 21 it's a special community, but I also understand it is 22 facing huge problems. 23 Superintendent, everyone is talking about 24 the finances, and rightfully so in light of the 25 predicament, but on the student test scores and what we

1	are really here about, and why the buildings are there,
2	is, are we making AYP, what percentages of children are
3	college bound, how are we doing, by any measure?
4	DR. WATSON: Every time I lean toward this
5	microphone and begin to talk, I talk about student
6	achievement, one simple statement. I am not satisfied
7	with the academic ruckus that has occurred in this
8	District over the years. My intent is to improve student
9	achievement.
10	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Are we making
11	safe harbor under AYP, are we closing the gap, as we
12	should be, under No Child Left Behind?
13	DR. WATSON: I'm in the process of
14	analyzing all the data in reference to our student
15	achievement over the past several years, but at this
16	point, I would say to you, I think the answer to that
17	would be no.
18	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: How many of our
19	buildings are making AYP?
20	DR. WATSON: Two.
21	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: How many
22	buildings are there total?
23	DR. WATSON: Is it six? Eight. Six are
24	elementary.
25	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Are we missing

1 AYP in one or two subgroups and we are close, or are we 2 just getting the barn doors blown off? 3 DR. WATSON: That's the analysis that I'm currently working on. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. Unfortunately, to go to the funding side of things, have 6 7 you guys done a preliminary budget under Act 1 yet? you have to use Act 1? What does your preliminary budget 8 9 show in terms of a tax increase? 10 MR. BRUCHAK: I shook my head yes only to 11 respond to the second part of your question. We do have 12 to adhere to Act 1. I have such a daunting task of 13 cleaning up last year and this year we are not done with our budget for '12-'13 yet. We are just starting it. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Do you expect a tax increase, and what is your increase pension 16 contribution? 17 18 MR. BRUCHAK: I don't know the increase to 19 the pension contribution off the top of my head. 20 recommend a tax increase to the Board. 21 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: When was the 22 last time there was a property tax increase? 23 MR. BRUCHAK: Many, many years. 24 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Are we talking, 25 like my school district sometimes we do it every year, is

this seven years, is it last year, two years ago, or a 1 decade? 2. 3 MR. BRUCHAK: Probably over a decade, from 4 what I hear. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: One of the things I'm struggling with and this is on --6 7 DR. WATSON: Can I just add a little bit to that? 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Let me just get this in. 10 11 The thing I'm struggling with, especially 12 on the revenue side is there is a Receiver that's 13 supposed to approve anything over \$5,000, yet we are 14 talking about blowing budgets by \$20 million. 15 Are these expenditures -- PDE is approving 16 these expenditures, so why are they approving 17 expenditures that are tens of millions of dollars beyond 18 budget and then if we have a revenue issue and there is a 19 local Board of Control, why aren't -- and I hate to say 20 why aren't we raising taxes, but what are we missing 21 here? 22 I know, Dr. Persing, this isn't your first 23 tour of duty here. What is going on here? 24 DR. WATSON: Well, this is part of what I 25 wanted to respond to. I recently read that one of the

1	issues that we are dealing with is, number one, that
2	there are some individuals within the community have a
3	difficult time meeting the current payment of their
4	taxes, and when they don't pay those taxes, they lose
5	their property and the properties go up for short sale.
6	In addition to the property going up for
7	short sale, they are not moving, so you can increase the
8	taxes and the result may be more people not able to pay
9	and the property is sitting there, and they can't move
10	them.
11	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative, just
12	trying to stay on time here. Okay.
13	Okay. Chairman Markosek.
14	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	Very briefly, this is relative to the
17	special education charter school money for special ed.
18	Mr. Bruchak, you had mentioned, very briefly, it was
19	24,000 roughly per student that you were spending.
20	And, Mr. Persing, in your written
21	testimony you have 14,670. It's a difference of \$10,000.
22	Can you clarify that, please?
23	MR. BRUCHAK: If you look at the PDE Form
24	363, which is what calculates the tuition rate for
25	charter schools, you come up with a regular education

rate, which for our School District was \$9,800, you come up with a special ed piece which is 24,000, I don't remember the exact amount, but a little over 24,000, part of that 24,000 is regular AYPs. He is backing out the regular AYPs off of the 24,000 and that's where you come up with the 14.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.

Thank you, gentlemen, and thank you for your testimony. It's apparent that you have a tremendous job ahead of you. The information that you gave us today we will take back and analyze it.

As Representative O'Neill said, there are several bills in the House Education Committee, I believe it is, regarding special ed funding. I know many members are going to be looking at the charter school funding payments, so we are going to take a look at that as well.

The one thing that I have to bring to the attention of everyone here is whether these charter schools exist or not, those expenses for those children do not go away. Okay. And I don't want anybody in this audience to be fooled that because that charter school does not exist that those expenses for those children to be educated goes away.

They would be the same expenses,

approximately 80 percent, and this special ed part of this charter school funding, we will look into, I promise you. But, however, that bottom line won't change. You will still have those types of expenses for those children.

And, finally, I also want to mention that Widener University also runs a nonprofit charter school right here in the City of Chester on this campus, and not all charter schools are profit, and I don't think that's a deterrent whether there is a for-profit or nonprofit.

The bottom line is we have to find out whether the funding method is correct, because our higher education system is all based upon for-profit, nonprofit, State system, okay, so we all have to take a look at that.

So, we are going to take a look at these items that you have brought up to us, and we will do our best to correct some of the problems that exist for you, but obviously we have to have -- we have to have the confidence that we are going to appropriate money that is sent down here, which represents 70 percent of your budget, that it is being held accountable and spent wisely and put the money into the classroom for the children.

Thank you.

1	We will continue this hearing in two
2	minutes.
3	(Recess taken.)
4	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you so much
5	everyone for your understanding. Before we get started,
6	I would like to acknowledge the presence of
7	Representative Ron Waters of Philadelphia, a member of
8	the House Appropriations Committee.
9	REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: And Delaware
10	County.
11	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes, and Delaware
12	County. Make sure you spend your money here in Delaware
13	County, please. Thank you.
14	REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Especially in Chester
16	Upland.
17	Okay. With us today are Representatives
18	of the Chester Upland Teachers Association. Ms. Roslyn
19	Adams-Dixon, a special ed teacher, I understand, with the
20	Chester Upland District, as well as Gloria Zoranski,
21	President of the Chester Upland Education Association.
22	And I understand, Gloria, you have opening
23	comments that you would like to read, and would you
24	identify the fine gentleman sitting with you.
25	MS. ZORANSKI: This is Paul Gottlieb. He

1 is our PSEA agent for our local in the Southeast Region. Well, welcome. 2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 3 And, Roslyn, I noticed your reaction when I said special ed teacher. Did I misspeak? 4 5 MS. ADAMS-DIXON: Actually, in the District, I have been a special education teacher. 6 7 Currently, I am a fourth grade teacher. 8 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Fourth grade teacher, 9 Thank you. okay. 10 Gloria. 11 MS. ZORANSKI: Good afternoon, Chairman 12 Adolph and members of the Appropriations Committee. My 13 name is Gloria Zoranski, and I am a business education teacher at Chester High School. And I have been with the 14 15 District for over 35 years. On behalf of the teachers and the 16 17 education support professionals who do their best each 18 and every day to educate our students, I thank you for 19 the opportunity today to testify before you. 20 Today, we offer our collective voice for 21 our students who have been cheated out of their right to 22 a quality and effective education. Our first concern is 23 that this District offers every student who lives within 24 its borders a quality education. This, too, should be a 25 primary concern of the Commonwealth.

Unfortunately, for the past several years, our District has been in financial distress. We hear there are many reasons for the situation, but attempting to assign blame will not resolve our situation here.

Instead, we need long-term solutions to make this District vital for years to come.

Every child in this District is entitled to a quality education. For that to happen, this District needs the revenue and resources. Yet education funding cuts have diminished our ability to provide the quality programs we desire. Millions of dollars of funding cuts forced this District to furlough over 160 teachers out of 320 last June.

While some of our individual teachers were recalled to work at the start of this school year, the District still has lost 104 teachers. This means our students walk into their school with fewer adults to aid and assist them. The impact has been we have only two school nurses to service eight school buildings and only one assistant.

The pre-K program was cut from full day down to a half a day. In some elementary schools, they combined pre-K with kindergarten. Our class size has increased throughout the District, they average anywhere from 30 to 35, and in some situations, like at the high

2.

school, our science classes are over 45 and our PE classes are close to 60.

The art and music programs have been completely cut throughout the District. Courses our students need to continue on to higher education and compete with students throughout the United States were cut and eliminated, as well as including our advanced classes in math and science, our AP and honor classes. Foreign languages went from two down to one.

There are no elective courses offered at the high school, just the minimum that the State requires. There's a lack of teaching materials, and our technology is outdated and has been for a few years.

There are absolutely no librarians or guidance counselors in any of the buildings except the high school, and our after-school programs and tutoring programs have been eliminated.

Anyone can see that the cuts in education have had and will continue to have devastating consequences for all the students in our communities.

We are at a point where public education is being threatened by a group of people who are looking for their own financial gain and political careers.

I am saying that the first job of this State is to ensure that our public schools have a safe

1	learning environment, sufficient number of teachers, and
2	adequate supplies and books and programs to help our
3	students to achieve.
4	Our teachers continue to persevere because
5	they want the best for students in Chester Upland, but
6	our hands are being tied. Please help us get back to
7	doing our jobs. Untie our hands that the anti-public
8	school interests have tied them behind our backs for
9	years. Public monies should not go to private gain. We
10	need resources and programs for students to learn and be
11	competitive.
12	Unite our hands and give us the resources
13	we need for our students. I and my colleagues were
14	encouraged and appreciative of your desire to hear our
15	thoughts and concerns today.
16	Thank you for this opportunity.
17	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Gloria. You
18	didn't sound too nervous. Okay. You did a very nice job
19	there.
20	Roslyn, did you have a statement?
21	MS. ADAMS-DIXON: Yes, I do.
22	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Please.
23	MS. ADAMS-DIXON: Good afternoon, Chairman
24	Adolph and members of the House Appropriations
25	Committee. My name is Roslyn Adams-Dixon and I have been

an educator in the state of Pennsylvania for 24 years.

The first 12 years of my teaching career were spent in the Philadelphia School District. The past 12 years, I have been an educator in the Chester Upland School District. Currently, I am a fourth grade teacher.

I moved from the Philadelphia School

District to the Chester Upland School District, hoping to form a more personal connection with the students and their families. My hopes have been fulfilled. Our community is strong, and we will continue to do what it takes to instruct, enrich, and support our children.

As a teacher and member of the Chester Upland community, I see the future of our students being threatened. When educational funding is reduced, the quality of education is negatively affected. Classroom sizes in our District have soared. Basic educational supplies, such as paper, pencils, erasers, and other daily essentials are nonexistent.

These cuts have resulted in having to continue to use long-outdated books, computers, and other classroom staples for the foreseeable future. Our students should be afforded a comprehensive, balanced education.

Unfortunately, the most common cuts have been in the area of art education, early childhood

education programs, before-and-after-school programs, and enrichment programs.

Chester Upland has been devastated with all of these cuts. Currently, our students do not have art classes and only one school in our District has music classes. Prekindergarten and kindergarten are now cut to half-day schedules.

Some schools even have been forced to combine kindergarten and first-grade classes. Students, including our students, should be getting the necessary materials they need to help them succeed.

They need current books and technology.

They need programs that can offer support and help before and after the school day. They need classes that enrich and educate beyond the State minimum in order to allow them to achieve all they can.

Our focus should now be on the future of our students. We must be committed to fostering the process of learning. We must continue to positively promote each student's desire to learn. Every student deserves a quality education without the worry and stress of whether their schools will remain open.

All students need a sense of stability, a sense of trust, a sense of community. With this security comes a connection to their learning environment and a

1 deepened engagement to achieve at a higher level. Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 4 Let me start by thanking both of you for 5 your commitment to the students of Chester Upland School District. I know that your commitment through these 6 7 trying times has made a huge difference. I think your profession is somewhat and 8 9 sometimes misunderstood, and I know when I was speaking to my Committee members yesterday -- because I have 10 11 friends that teach in the Chester Upland School District 12 and I know what you go through every single day, and the 13 kids of this community need a good education. 14 We all worry about decreases in funding and so forth and so on, but it's hard for me to sit here 15 and listen to the previous testifiers and wonder is it 16 only the decreases in funding that's the problem? 17 18 It seems to me, that with a hundred 19 million dollars in funding, that we have to take a look 20 at why this money is not getting into the classrooms. 21 And I think this is so important, because 22 that's what this is all about. And to just say we need 23 more funding and more funding, and that may be -- it may 24 be proven, but without a doubt we heard 14,000 per

student, we heard 15,000 per student, we heard 17,000 per

1 student, you can attend some of the best private schools 2 in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for \$15,000. 3 We have to take a close look at why this money is not getting into the classrooms. I, for one, do 4 5 not like to micromanage any industry. An education should be run by the educators. 6 7 But when the money runs short and the problem is now on us to keep the School District open, 8 9 and that's what every one of us wants to do, we have to 10 take a look at the system itself. 11 And I don't want the teachers of Chester 12 Upland to think that we are trying to turn that School 13 District upside down, but the District itself has to be 14 held accountable, because there's an awful lot of state 15 tax dollars being sent to Chester Upland, past, present, and the future. 16 17 So just keep that in mind, and let's work together. And, once again, thank you for the job that 18 19 you are doing. 20 Representative Scavello. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 23 I just want to follow up on, were you here 24 earlier for the Auditor General's report? 25 MS. ZORANSKI: Yes.

1	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: When you heard
2	those deficiencies in the audit, what were you
3	thinking because I know the problems aren't in the
4	classrooms, the problem is somewhere else in the School
5	District where they couldn't justify some of the
6	dollars into the programs where they were being spent?
7	MS. ZORANSKI: We are not surprised by
8	it. I mean, since I have been there, you know, our
9	Administration, I mean, we are on our fifteenth
10	superintendent. Okay.
11	REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: In how many
12	years?
13	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: In how many
14	years?
15	MS. ZORANSKI: In 37 years.
16	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: So it's like
17	every two years, you have another superintendent.
18	MS. ZORANSKI: When the state took over,
19	that's when a turnover was beginning in the District and
20	it seemed like people would only stay on two years and
21	then leave. So who knows what happens with that money
22	with the different types of management.
23	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I was appalled
24	after I heard the class sizes. How many students are in
25	your School District right now, is that 3,600?

1	MS. ZORANSKI: About 3,600.
2	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. And how
3	many teachers are there?
4	MS. ZORANSKI: We have approximately 193
5	teachers, but if you subtract the nurses and the one
6	counselor, the one librarian, so we have about maybe 175
7	teachers that are actually in the classrooms.
8	REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Okay. Thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
11	Representative Conklin.
12	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you.
13	Just you may not know the question, but
14	just for curiosity sake for myself, out of the 160
15	teachers that were let go, how many were retired and how
16	many were
17	MS. ZORANSKI: There were exactly eight
18	retires, okay, and it was a total of 18; eight retired
19	and ten resigned, and they were not replaced.
20	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: And you talk
21	about, you have how many teachers now presently
22	teaching?
23	MS. ZORANSKI: 193, but it's 180 teachers.
24	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: For how many
25	students?

1	MS. ZORANSKI: 3,600 students.
2	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: So what does that
3	come out to
4	MS. ZORANSKI: It's averaging about 30 to
5	35. You have to subtract out the emotional support where
6	they are limited in the number.
7	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Yes. And I was
8	asking earlier about special needs. Are you able to
9	offer different school districts call them different
10	names for the more disruptive students, the ADHD
11	students, we call them wraparounds, some call them class
12	assistants, some call them others are you able to
13	offer those in the system for students that need extra
14	care or is that something that just isn't anywhere in the
15	budget?
16	MS. ZORANSKI: We used to have a mental
17	health team and that was eliminated a couple of years
18	ago. Are we in need of it, yes, we are. It was
19	eliminated. Wraparounds are
20	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: It's an old term.
21	MS. ZORANSKI: Right, I know.
22	What are they called now?
23	MS. ADAMS-DIXON: PCAs.
24	MS. ZORANSKI: PCAs, they are coming
25	privately from the State, I believe.

1	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Because when I
2	look at the number of students you have and the increase
3	of special needs students because they are the ones that
4	are left behind.
5	Just another question, and I really don't,
6	you know, I just know where the schools are, but for
7	instance, I'm looking at your school districts compared
8	to maybe a Haverford Township or a Radnor Township, is
9	there a difference in pay for the teachers between
10	these
11	MS. ZORANSKI: Yes, there is.
12	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: I take it it is
13	less at Radnor. Is it Radnor? I take it Radnor teachers
14	get paid less than yours do?
15	MS. ZORANSKI: No, they get paid more.
16	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: What's the class
17	size there; do you know?
18	MS. ZORANSKI: No, I do not know that. I
19	want to say 20, maybe 25.
20	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Because I was
21	looking at state funding and they got cut \$38 dollars and
22	you got cut 1,144, and I thought, well, but I can see if
23	they make more money, they would get more pay, I guess,
24	so they wouldn't get cut as much, but that was my
25	sarcasm.

1 MS. ZORANSKI: I understand. REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Mr. Chairman, 2. 3 after that remark, I apologize --4 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You won't get away with 5 that. REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: I was just 6 7 picking. As anyone can tell you, special needs 8 9 program, special needs students are dear in my heart, in all seriousness, off of the wisecracks. 10 11 Is there any way, as a teacher, that you 12 know, especially with the diminished amount of resources 13 you have, what we would have to do from a state or from 14 an organization within the school system to help you get 15 these children through, because many of what brings your 16 test scores down, much of what brings down your overall performance is due to the fact that you are heavily 17 18 numbered with special needs students? 19 MS. ADAMS-DIXON: When you look at our 20 special ed population and you look at our itinerant 21 support teachers and you look at their caseload of 22 responsibility, some of them may have a caseload of 50 23 children; our resource from teachers have a caseload of 24 30 children. This is a huge, huge responsibility. 25 I know that the State tells us what their

1	caseload should be, but when you have an itinerant
2	support teacher at Chester High School and she is
3	responsible for 50 students who are in maybe 15 to 20
4	different regular education classrooms, it's hard for
5	that teacher to get to those students and get to them
6	with quality, support, and enrichment.
7	MS. ZORANSKI: And to chime in on this, we
8	are down to one psychologist. At one time, we had eight,
9	okay, and we are down to one now, which means for
10	students who are being referred or being serviced, one
11	psychologist cannot get to everyone in a school year.
12	REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: So
13	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
14	Representative Barrar.
15	REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Thank you,
16	Mr. Chairman.
17	I had the opportunity to watch the
18	education, Senate Education Hearing the other day, and
19	Anthony Williams had mentioned an interesting fact about
20	two school districts in Chester: One being William Penn
21	and one being Lee, that had totally different results.
22	One was considered a very successful school; the other
23	one was considered a failing school.
24	Have you looked into these two districts

to see, and I think if you look the community is the

1 same, the peers are the same, at-home life, popular level 2. were pretty similar. 3 There was really nothing much different 4 between the two schools except for probably, my 5 understanding from his testimony, and I don't know this for a fact, was that some of the work rules were very 6 7 different for the employees and teachers in those school districts. 8 9 Have you looked into that? What would create so much, a successful school district versus a 10 11 failing school district right within a mile of each other 12 in the same school district? MS. ADAMS-DIXON: You mentioned William 13 14 Penn? REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: William Penn and 15 16 Lee. 17 I'm sorry, they are in the Philadelphia 18 School District. I thought it was this district. 19 Okay. But are you looking into the 20 classroom, I quess you call it a classroom analysis, to 21 see how that is so different? 22 MR. GOTTLIEB: If I may respond, 23 Mr. Chairman. 24 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Absolutely. 25 MR. GOTTLIEB: Thank you very much.

My name is Paul Gottlieb. I am the Union representative from Penn. I also have been the Union representative for the teachers and support staff at the William Penn School District, and I would suggest to you that the rules of operation, conditions of employment are basically the same in those two places. There isn't anything -- if I understood your question.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Okay. I was just going by what Senator Williams had stated in the Senate Hearing.

Can I ask you, with 40-some percent of your students leaving the School District, when a student that may have in one year who was a fairly good student leaves and opts out for a charter school, do you try to do a follow-up with that student or the parents to find out why the parents would decide then to pull a child out of the Chester School District and opt into a charter school versus staying here?

MS. ZORANSKI: Well, one of the reasons why they register their children to the charter schools is because the District does not have a school on the West End of the city. And a lot of parents like that neighborhood school, so that's why that charter school is growing, because of the population on the West End. We do not have any school on the West End, middle or

2.

1 | elementary.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: So your thoughts are that 40 percent of your students have left because of the location of the charter school versus where we are today?

MS. ZORANSKI: That's part of it. Not all of it, and I don't have the rest of the answer for you.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: It would be nice to hear from the parents to find out their exact reason. I would think in a school district where 40 percent of the children have left the school and, I guess you could say, voted with their feet to leave, there would be, I mean, a scream coming from the people that work there to find out what's the reason, why 40 percent. I think that's unprecedented anywhere in the -- probably in the State of Pennsylvania, that children would leave like that.

I know when I'm out campaigning, which I have to do every two years, and I see someone who had my sign on their lawn one year and next year has my opponent's sign, I knock on their door and I say, Why have you lost faith in me.

I think the same thing needs to happen here. I think you need to talk to these parents and say why have you lost faith in us, why did you go somewhere

1 else.

And just a real quick comment on the school district analogy of school districts losing \$34 versus \$1,100. Okay. Several of my school districts get less than \$350 per year and we are cut S30, but I think if you took all my school districts combined, probably with Representative Adolph and Representative Killion's, the Chester School District receives in subsidies more than all of them combined and probably with a huge surplus after that.

So it's really an unfair and very disingenuous analysis to make that the rich school districts were cut more or less than the poorer school districts.

You know, I think the cut that came to them was probably more than a student -- I think Fern Valley, which I represent, gets about \$350 per student, which in my opinion is very, very unfair, but the funding formula for all of education, I think everybody at this table agrees, has to change. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Brownlee.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNLEE: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman, and good afternoon.

First of all, I want to thank you for the

jobs that you have done. You teachers have sacrificed for the students here at Chester Upland, and I just want to thank you for that.

I have a couple of questions. The first one is, is the Chester Upland Education Association and the teachers involved in a discussion on how to resolve the problems at the School District?

MS. ZORANSKI: At the present time, no.

That has been one of our concerns, that we are never part of everything. The last Administration -- I have to say that the last Administration was right on board in asking input, we were there for every step of the way, but not all the way.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWNLEE: Well, I think because you are the ones in the trenches and with your boots to the ground, I would suggest that you strongly urge, and if we can, we can strongly urge that you become a part of the discussion.

The other question I have you may or may not know, maybe somebody here does or I can get an answer to.

I'm trying to find out, because of everything that's going on, what are the current fees of the attorneys that represent the School District, and exactly where does that money come from? Is that money

2.

1 coming from the School District's money? 2 MS. ZORANSKI: I believe it's coming from 3 the General Fund. We do have very high legal fees. we have a Solicitor now. Last year or the last three 4 years, we have had a full-time lawyer, too, lawyers that 5 were hired by the District, and plus another lawyer just 6 7 to handle the special ed, another lawyer to handle 8 hearings. 9 So, yes, I do not know what the legal fees 10 are for us, but I'm sure it's over 300,000. 11 REPRESENTATIVE BROWNLEE: That's at least 12 five lawyers I counted. Right? 13 MS. ZORANSKI: Right. 14 REPRESENTATIVE BROWNLEE: Thank you. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative Brownlee, 17 for your information, in the Auditor General's report, 18 and I can't really remember the exact year, but there was 19 a three-year period where legal fees in the School 20 District was in excess of \$2 million, a little over 21 \$800,000 a year. 2.2 There was no explanation in the audit 23 report what those legal fees were for. And in talking to 24 some of the superintendents of the school districts that

I represent, the special ed issue is a very costly one in

1	court, and I don't know whether that was the reason
2	why I don't know if \$800,000 is normal for the School
3	District, but that was mentioned in the Auditor General's
4	report, for your information. Okay.
5	Representative Samuelson.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman.
8	I wanted to try to get three quick
9	questions in. I wanted to close with asking about some
10	of the success stories among your students and alumni at
11	Chester Upland School District. But first I want to ask
12	about some of the extraordinary challenges faced by the
13	students.
14	I think you said there is only one foreign
15	language currently being offered in the high school?
16	MS. ZORANSKI: Yes.
17	REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: And also the AP
18	and honors classes have been cut. How many AP and honors
19	classes are still up there?
20	MS. ZORANSKI: There's none.
21	REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: All of the AP
22	and honors classes have been cut?
23	MS. ZORANSKI: Yes.
24	REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: That is
25	extraordinarily troubling.

I want to ask about specifically science education. I think I heard you say there is 45 students in a high school science class.

MS. ZORANSKI: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Last night, I should have been reading these budget charts, instead I watched the Presidential debate, and folks were talking about a couple of different things: Cutting a trillion dollars on the one hand but increasing science and math education. In fact, one candidate even said we should establish a colony on the moon at a time of cutting a trillion dollars.

The rhetoric did not seem to match the reality, and I wanted to ask you about the extraordinary challenge of a student in a class of 45 students, how does that student perform, can the teacher communicate with all the parents, what are the extraordinary challenges with a class size that large?

MS. ZORANSKI: In science, it's extremely extraordinary for the teacher. The teachers who teach science at the high school are doing the best they can. Some students are frustrated, they know that the class sizes are large.

Some students, you know, very few give up, they try to stay in there, but not only do they have that

2.

1 many but you don't have enough textbooks, not enough 2 computers, not enough science materials to go through 3 everything that a science teacher needs. So, yes, it's 4 frustrating all around, by all parties. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: And my closing question would be on some of the success stories. 6 7 Despite all of these obstacles and some of this that has 8 gone on, I'm always troubled when the newspaper 9 highlights only the sports stars who go on to college, 10 but they never talk about the academic stars that go on 11 to college in that kind of format. 12 MS. ZORANSKI, there are many. We have had 13 students that have left us and gone on. I mean, one of 14 my former students is a neurosurgeon in Texas. We have one that does the news on Channel 3, I don't even know 15 who that was, Dray Clark. We have -- you know, we do 16 17 have them, we don't braq like we should, but, yes, we 18 have them. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Any chance to 20 get some of those alumni back here to work with the 21 current students? 22 MS. ZORANSKI: We are trying. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

1 Representative. 2. Representative Hackett. 3 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 Gloria, just one question. Do the teachers have any input in the budget process here --6 7 MS. ZORANSKI: No. REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: You answered that 8 9 one quickly. No input at all? 10 MS. ZORANSKI: None. 11 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Everybody is 12 raising their hands here. 13 If I may, Representative. MR. GOTTLIEB: 14 The budget process is inherently managerial and is 15 excluded from our interaction. The labor law excludes us 16 from any formal participation in the budget process. 17 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: The labor law 18 excludes you? 19 MR. GOTTLIEB: Act 195, the Public 20 Employee Relations Act specifically notes that the budget 21 is inherently a managerial subject and not part of our 22 capability to bargain as are wages, hours and terms and 23 conditions of employment. 24 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: So we have a law 25 where, if you talk to the School Board members in the

1 Wawa over a cup of coffee with some input for our 2 students, that would be illegal? MR. GOTTLIEB: No, sir, I don't think it 3 would be illegal. I'm just suggesting we don't have any 4 formal way to input into that process. We might have the 5 Wawa informality, which would be fine. It wouldn't be 6 7 illegal at all, and in fact, I'm quite sure people have always talked to board members in the neighborhood, but 8 9 for us as an association, as a union, to engage the budget process would be out of line for us. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you very 12 much. 13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 14 Representative Donatucci. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 I don't know if you have an answer to this 18 question: You have been there for a while. Back in 1994 19 there was a special Board of Control appointed, and in 20 2000 an Empowerment Board, and in 2003 a Special Board, 21 2006 the Secretary of Education was a receiver. 22 Did you see any difference under any of 23 these special boards, and if so, what were they? Did 24 anything work better? 25 MS. ZORANSKI: In 1994 was when the start

the State took over, and I believe, and I might be wrong on that, what we did see was our budget increased. But the teachers, students, we didn't see that. The increase we didn't see; it didn't get handed down to us.

I believe in 1993, I believe we had like a \$40 million budget and by 2000, we were up to 80, 90 million. So with the start of the takeover, no, we didn't see much change.

In 2006, we were starting to improve. And if you look at our test scores for 2006, we were seeing, plus we had the stimulus money, and we are increasing, the students are doing better on the tests, but nothing happens overnight when you are dealing with students. Everybody works at their own pace, per union.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Bradford.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you again for what you are doing, and I understand you are doing it under very difficult circumstances.

My question, again, is kind of just making sure we are making progress in the classroom. As educators, what are the -- and I realize there's no crystal ball and if it was this simple, you know, the President would do it tomorrow, or the Governor, what do we have to do in these schools to make sure we are

1 meeting AYP, to make sure we are sending kids off to
2 college?

What is it, you know, what is the five, six, seven things, ten things, a hundred things maybe, most of them probably out of your control, but what would you like to see done to help student achievement in Chester?

MS. ADAMS-DIXON: If I may. I have had the honor of being a teacher in the two schools who have received AYP, and in looking at both of the schools, they are the two schools in our District with the smaller class sizes. They are the two schools in our District with classroom assistance and with more support staff available. That, I think, is a major thing we should all look at.

As I said, I have had the honor of working in both schools, in both schools, and these are two of the schools that consistently make AYP, and it's only obvious that smaller class sizes, the teachers work one-on-one. There are classroom assistants, more support staff.

The picture's there, I just don't understand why anyone has not addressed it other than our teachers; my colleagues are fully aware.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I quess on that

1	very point, a lot of the folks who pushed competition in
2	education in prior administrations, to push the voucher,
3	they pushed at that point for charters and the
4	privateers, Edison and so forth, now we are seeing
5	vouchers and there's additional competition.
6	Do you think that vouchers and having more
7	for-profit entities are part of the solution as to what
8	you are dealing with in the classroom every day?
9	MS. ADAMS-DIXON: No.
10	MS. ZORANSKI: Vouchers, no.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Why has
12	competition not worked? What is it not in your opinion
13	again
14	MS. ZORANSKI: Competition has not worked
15	for us because we are not competing; our students do not
16	have what the other students in other districts have.
17	Our students cannot take home a textbook.
18	We are lucky if we have a textbook for every child in the
19	classroom.
20	We don't have a simple thing as copy
21	paper. Teachers have to go and buy their own copy paper,
22	and if we have a copier that works, that's nice. Okay.
23	If you only have a few textbooks and the
24	teacher spends all their preparation time making copies
25	of the material, so every student will have something to

1 learn from. Our students, they can't even take a book home to study or to review. I, as a student, I can't 2 3 imagine how they are doing it. I'm one that had to take a textbook home. 4 5 Small class sizes, you are dealing with class sizes 40, 45. I mean, in the beginning in June, 6 7 they were cutting out the PE program. They laid off all the PE teachers. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Just as kind of a question I always wonder, in school districts that are 10 11 really struggling, what would you think of longer school 12 year, longer school day? 13 MS. ADAMS-DIXON: If I may. I have had 14 the experience of teaching in Philadelphia for 12 years 15 and teaching in Chester Upland for 12 years. While in Philadelphia, although it was 12 16 17 years ago, I did work at a school where we consistently 18 had Saturday school and some summer school, and what I 19 saw was a big improvement in the achievement of the 20 students, not only because they were there for more 21 hours, but because they felt a sense of community. 22 They felt a sense of someone cares. 23 were there with a smaller number of students and they got more one-on-one attention. I agree with you. 24

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD:

25

Thank you for

- 1 the time, Chairman.
- I just want to say I think it's really
 great that teachers, who have already sacrificed,
 understand that, in order to do this, to solve all the
 problems, the financial and the student achievement, that
 everyone is going to have to contribute, and it is not
 going to be based on the orthodoxies that everyone may
 have wanted in the past.
- 9 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
- 10 Representative.
- In closing, I just want to get a couple facts into the record.
- Representative O'Neill, did you want to say something?
- REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: I thought I was on the list.
- CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: No, you were not on the list, but you certainly can be put on the list. And you are up right now.
- 20 | REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Thank you.
- Actually, I just wanted to reiterate a lot
 of what the Chairman said earlier. I feel like screaming
 that movie, "Show me the money." I think it's all coming
 down to -- I don't understand, none of this makes sense
 to me.

Whether we argue over \$17,000 a student or 14-5, the bottom line is that's around what my school district, that's what my school district pays for a student when I was a teacher for 26 years.

And we don't have 40 kids in a class, we don't have a lot of these programs being cut, so there is something seriously wrong in the School District in the handling of the funds. And I think that's what we are trying to drive here.

The question I wanted to ask earlier is, and I applaud you for the conditions you are working under, I truly do, have you ever looked at the ratio of some of the charter schools that the kids are going to from your District, how many kids might be in that classroom versus how many in yours and that sort of thing?

Have you guys ever looked into that as an association or anything, so that you can maybe compare apples to apples, oranges to oranges, and if they are making AYP, and so forth and so on?

MS. ZORANSKI: No. In the one charter school, we don't know what's going on in that charter school, we don't know the class size, we don't know how many teachers. It's like forbidden territory. The other --

1 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Before you go on, 2. it's a public school, so isn't that public information? 3 If I call your Superintendent up and ask him what's the average number of people in your classroom, I would think 4 5 he's obligated to tell me. This is public information. Isn't it the same with the charter schools? 6 7 Then, I won't put you on the spot, Okav. But I don't know, I think we just have to scream 8 then. 9 "Show me the money," what the -- excuse my language, what 10 the hell happened to it. 11 Thank you. 12 And I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Not at all, 15 Representative. 16 Whether you are a, for sake of labeling, a 17 regular public school or a public charter school, all 18 that information regarding classroom size is available at 19 the Pennsylvania Department of Education. 20 So Representative O'Neill, we will get 21 that information, okay, and spread it throughout the 22 Committee. 23 Just a couple of things that I need to get 24 on the record. During this financial crisis, and I have 25 read in the local papers that the Chester Education

1	Association, did they vote to continue to work without
2	pay?
3	MS. ADAMS-DIXON: Yes, we did.
4	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes, you have.
5	MS. ZORANSKI: We made a resolution at the
6	chair membership meeting.
7	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Was that for a
8	specific period of time, Gloria?
9	MS. ZORANSKI: No, it wasn't.
10	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: No, it was not. Okay.
11	MS. ZORANSKI: It was based on an
12	individual basis. Some would be able to work longer than
13	others.
14	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. The second part
15	of that question: Have you, as of today, received all
16	your paychecks from the Chester Upland School District?
17	MS. ZORANSKI: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes, you have. So no
19	pay has been missed?
20	MS. ZORANSKI: No.
21	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. All right.
22	MR. GOTTLIEB: May I, Mr. Chairman?
23	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes.
24	MR. GOTTLIEB: We have not missed a pay
25	date, but we have been short in terms of the District's

1 | contractual obligations.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Clarify that for me,

3 | please.

MR. GOTTLIEB: Yes, sir. We have a threeyear contract that's in place, and for the first year of
the contract, which was '10-'11, all of our employees
received a raise that we had negotiated on their behalf.
For '11-'12, they have not. No employee has received a
raise that has been negotiated for them, either as
support staff or at the teacher's level, which represents
the blue collar workers.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

I want to thank you for the job that you are doing. We will try to do our best to, number one, come to a short-term resolution of your financial crisis, and then we will continue to dig in to what has caused this financial crisis here in Chester, because, to echo the comments of Representative O'Neill, who is a former public school teacher in Bucks County, it's my opinion that it's not the amount of money that is coming into the School District, it's how it was managed.

So, with that, I'm going to take a break, and we will resume this hearing at 1:45. 1:45. We have the Secretary of Education, Ron Tomalis, who will be our

1 next testifier, and following Secretary Tomalis, we will 2 have the Delaware County Intermediate Unit testifying. 3 So we will see everybody back here at 1:45. Thank you very much. 4 5 (Thereupon, at 1:07 p.m. a luncheon recess was taken until 1:47 p.m., at which time the following 6 7 proceedings were had:) 8 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Ladies and gentlemen, 9 could you please take your seats. 10 Members of the House Appropriations 11 Committee, could you please have your seats. I want to 12 thank everyone for their cooperation in working with the 13 very tight time constraints that we have. Thank you, 14 ladies and gentlemen. 15 I would like to acknowledge the presence from Bucks County, the Vice President of the Committee, 16 17 Representative Scott Petri. 18 Good afternoon, Representative. 19 The first testifier we have this afternoon 20 is Secretary of Education of the Commonwealth of 21 Pennsylvania, Secretary Ron Tomalis. I want to 22 personally thank the Secretary for making his appearance 23 and presenting his testimony to the House Appropriations Committee. 24 25 Secretary Tomalis was appointed by

1 Governor Corbett in January, 2011. I'm not quite sure 2 when he was confirmed. There was a period he was called 3 Acting Secretary, but I know that during his first couple of months of being Secretary of Education, he received 4 5 letters and phone calls from the local officials here at Chester Upland School District. 6 7 Secretary Tomalis will help this House Appropriations Committee in understanding some of the 8

financial ins and outs of this District.

Hopefully he will have some suggestions for the local officials, and, also, I want Secretary Tomalis to know, because I know he was at the meeting with the Governor and the local legislators, that we are going to try everything that we can do to keep this School District open through the remaining parts of this year, and obviously we are going to be asking Secretary Tomalis what that will take.

Without further ado, it is my pleasure to introduce Secretary Ron Tomalis.

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Thank you,

- Mr. Chairman, Representative Markosek, members of the panel, this is indeed a great honor to be here with you today and talk with you about this issue.
- In the spring of 2011 -- let me preface this by saying that I want to engage with you as much as

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

possible into a dialogue about some of the issues.

As you may know, we have three ongoing court cases involving litigation involving the Chester Upland School District, and the Chester Community Charter School, in particular, that's pending, and so I will try and be cautious and cognizant of what I can and can't say about those proceedings, but in the meantime, if that's the reason that there's an issue, that's the reason why.

But in the spring of 2011, the Chester Upland School District was facing some serious financial challenges. The District's financial position was such that it was not making payment to its vendors, was behind in its payment for health insurance premiums, and was not meeting its financial obligations to the charter schools.

The District was also projecting that by the end of the school year, it would not be able to make payroll and/or would miss a mandated payment on a \$14 million bond.

While we were in office only a few months when confronted with this situation, the Corbett Administration took some extraordinary steps to assist the District in addressing these issues. By using funds from accounts to support education programs across the State, the Department of Education provided the District with a one-time infusion of \$5 million, assistance

clearly communicated to the District that it would not be reoccurring.

The District also provided \$4.5 million in state revenue reserved for districts identified under the former Education Empowerment Act, even though the District was no longer an empowerment district because that law had expired in the summer of 2010.

The Department also provided an advance of \$6.2 million to the District out of the anticipated '11-'12 school year subsidy payment with the agreement that it was to be paid during the '11-'12 school year. Despite this clear understanding, the District did not account for this repayment in its current budget.

In addition to this financial assistant, the Department recognizing the weaknesses in the existing management of the District brought in outside assistance to help the District get its financial books in order, as well as to provide critical leadership direction.

The Department provided the District with a full-time district finance expert with more than 30 years of experience in Pennsylvania with the goal of ascertaining the District's actual cash flow and financial condition.

At one point in the spring of 2011, for example, the District had held more than \$8 million in

checks written to service providers in the District bulk because the District could not determine if it actually had the funds to cover that \$8 million.

Since the District did not file its application for federal funds until June, 2010, a step most school districts accomplish in the fall, the Department's Division of Federal Programs assisted Chester Upland in making sure that a viable, legitimate application was submitted to the district to access approximately \$5 million in federal funds. This year, we were able to help them and get their application in time in October.

Because of concerns about the District's special ed program, the Department has employed experts to assist the District in complying with requirements of the federal law and the Department's Office of School Services worked with Chester Upland's leadership team in the spring and summer of 2011, activities, by the way, that we have done for a number of school districts, to identify programs that could be altered due to declining enrollment and financial conditions; however, the District did not adhere to those changes that we discussed and recommended.

I wish to emphasize that all of these activities, all of this action was taken to address a

budget crisis before the start of the current year. In fact, the current -- the last spring or many of the issues that we are seeking this year come to play in Chester was actually taking place last year, but not in the press as it is this year. It would not have made payroll, the staff would have been on the street, they were not making bond payments, they were not making payments to charter schools all last spring.

And while much has been said about the impact of the '11-'12 State budget on the School District and the requests by the District for the State to forward \$18.7 million from an anticipated June payment, a few facts should be brought to light.

First, while it's true that given the State's financial fiscal conditions, some line items in the budget had to be eliminated, Chester Upland's basic ed line item in state dollars increased \$35.9 million in 2010-'11 to \$49.2 million in '11-'12.

Second, while the District claims that it will be able to make payroll for the remainder of the year if the Department merely advanced the \$18 million payment from June, this would only happen if the District abandons its obligations to pay most of its other commitments.

In fact, the District has requested not

just an \$18 million advance, but a \$20 million from the State just to meet an obligation, \$20 million that is nowhere in the current pipelines for education funding.

And I just want to amend my comments: As of last night, the District, in a letter that I received, or our counsel received, cosigned by the Chester Community Charter School, that number that they presented to the court two weeks ago as 20 million, that number is now 24 million.

However, I wish to point out that just -some have asked, given these obvious issues why I do not
declare the District in fiscal distress and return
Chester Upland School District to court oversight. The
difficulty lies in the statute to trigger a designation
of fiscal distress.

Simply put, the existing law is weak on two fronts. First, none of the seven triggers in the law has actually been met. Triggers such as not making payroll for 90 days; or a district holding a deficit for two consecutive years equal to 2 percent of its assessed property value; or a district not being able to make its bond payment, or not having made its bond payment, excuse me.

Second, but more importantly, even if a trigger was met, the tools the new authority would have

1 to address the problems in Chester, I believe, would be 2 insufficient to do the job. 3 It is clear that this is a very difficult situation, but I would be remiss if I did not express the 4 5 fact that those caught in the middle are the students who attend and the staff who work in the District schools. 6 7 The Corbett Administration's main concern has been the educational opportunities for every child in 8 9 the District, which is why for nearly a year countless resources, financial and otherwise, have been dedicated 10 11 to helping Chester Upland. 12 My focus, as well as the focus of the 13 Administration, is to develop solutions for the children 14 so that the problems in Chester Upland and other 15 districts that are not managing their educational systems 16 appropriately do not cause children to suffer. 17 The Governor has stated his commitment to 18 work to keep Chester Upland School District open through 19 the end of the school year. However, we cannot and will 20 not kick the can down the road any longer. We need better tools to develop better solutions. 21 2.2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 24 Mr. Secretary. 25 Before we get started with the questions,

I made a statement earlier and I'm going to make it again regarding the revenue that Chester Upland School District received in the fiscal year 2010 and 2011 and what it is receiving in 2011 and '12.

And I say that because when we start comparing basic education funding from one year to the next, increases, decreases, it can be very deceiving.

And a lot of the folks that work in the Department of Education, they know about all these categorical grants for empowerment and charter school reimbursement, but back home, back home the locals deal in the total money that's received, and this particular Committee looks at the bottom line, and I will go over it one more time.

The total revenue in 2010 and 2011 from the local district, local sources, 20.5 million; 2011-'12, 22.5. From the State, 69.7 million, 65.6 million, a decrease of \$4 million or 5.9 percent, total state revenue.

Total revenue from the federal sources,
18.7 million down to 6.7 million, a decrease of 63.9
million. Other finances, 1.3 to 1.4. Total revenue
appropriated to Chester Upland School District in 20102011 from all sources, 110,377,000. 2011 to 2012,
96,392,000, a decrease of close to \$14 million, a 12.7

1 percent decrease.

I say that because they are the facts. We received this information with the cooperation of the Department of Education, U.S. Department of Education, and the local district here. These figures are the correct figures, backing out all the federal stimulus money that is no longer here.

The first question will be that of Representative Tom Killion.

REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, this morning we heard from several teachers talking about how they are faced with using outdated textbooks. There aren't enough textbooks to go around, students can't take books home, lack of computers, oversized classrooms, all this all with deficit spending

I really have two questions. First, there were several testifiers that indicated that they think part of the problem for the funding problems here at Chester Upland School District is due to charter school reimbursements. We want to know, A, do you agree with that?

The second question, charter schools receive approximately 75 percent of what the School

1 District receives per student.

Do you see charter school deficit spending and do they also lack resources like the teachers indicated they lacked in the Chester Upland School District?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: I do not believe that the charter schools, particularly as it relates to Chester Upland, is the reason why this is an issue. Because, if you look at the increases and the money that's actually staying with the District itself and the decisions that are made with the District itself, you have seen a vast amount of increase of dollars that are going to the District.

So I don't think -- that, to me, is not the issue. And I would agree that charters, which spend about 75 cents on the dollar, that the resident school district where the child is coming from are having to make ends meet, at the same time, with less money.

I'm not dealing with a pressure point of any charter school that I'm aware of like I am right now with Chester Upland School District and some of the other school districts that are happening around the State, some of the concerns.

The other thing that I would note, on the way that the finances are set up with charter school

reimbursement, because of the difficulty in getting the data in time, charter schools are actually a year behind in their allocation of what the rate will be, and the decreases that some school districts are receiving this year will actually manifest themselves in charters next year.

So I think that certain school districts, for example, Philadelphia, which has a very large number of charters, is not having the same crisis because of its charter school issue. I don't think that that's the reason why we are here today.

I would want to say, if I could, in part,

I want to read to you just a couple of sentences out of

the Complaint that was actually filed by the School

District against me, against actually you in the Senate

in federal court a couple of weeks ago. One paragraph in

particular that I think gets to the heart of the matter.

"During the fiscal year 2006 to 2011, the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through its appointed
Education Empowerment Board and the Secretary of
Education as receiving the Chairman of Education
Empowerment Board increased the budget of Chester Upland
School District from \$85 million to \$113 million,
increased the number of employees in the School District
from approximately 590 to 735, yet while at the same time

1 the enrollment in the School District was declining from 2 4,600 to 3,700." 3 That speaks more to how the District 4 actually got to this point, I believe, than what happened 5 in this current year or what's happened in the charters. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 6 7 Chairman Markosek. CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you very much, 8 9 Chairman Adolph. Mr. Secretary, thank you for testifying 10 11 here and appearing before the Committee this morning, or 12 this afternoon, I should say. 13 And, of course, you did mention at the top 14 of Page 3 of your testimony that you are looking at the 15 District's special education program and have deployed an 16 expert to assist you. And I have a question about 17 special ed funding and how it relates not only to Chester 18 Upland but to the charter schools that are involved in 19 Chester Upland. 20 In the past, there was a cap of 16 percent 21

on the special ed reimbursements for the population for Chester Upland and other public schools that has since gone away, but there is some concern that the percentage of students who Chester Upland sends to charter schools that then become eligible or are identified, I should

22

23

24

say, as special ed students, goes much higher.

It appears, for example, that the speech and language impairment identity is far higher in the charter schools than the same disability identity in Chester Upland public schools.

So I guess really the bottom line question here is, is it possible that the charter schools are accepting general education students from the District and then identifying them as special needs students?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Well, yes, it's possible. It's also -- but they have to meet certain criteria of which they need to be identified.

I think the issue is not the identification. I think the issue is the reimbursement rate and how you drive the reimbursement, which is something I have talked about in the past in very public forums to say that's something we should take a look at, because you have different categories as to what this special ed issue is.

And we, because of what the statute mandates, we tend to reimburse at a rate to the charter schools at a much higher category. So it's not just the identification, it's also the reimbursement rate.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: It seems here in this case, you know, with that particular identity of speech

and language impairment, which there is a significant reimbursement, that once the children opt or their parents opt them into the charter school system as opposed to Chester Upland Public Schools, that there is far, far higher number and percentage of those students that, all of a sudden, become identified with that.

And as a result, that charter school receives quite an additional funding addition subsidy for that, and it does raise the question of someone like myself who doesn't have the education background that someone like you has, but is more of a layman when it comes to education funding, but nevertheless, I think it's a fair question and it does, in my case, raise an eyebrow.

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Well, we do do audits of the school districts and do periodic audits in the charter schools to make sure that the identification process is correct, and part of it is, frankly, Representative, is that maybe they should have been identified in their sending district and they weren't identified.

So it works both ways. But it is an issue of concern that I think we need to look at, not just for this particular situation but all situations.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Well, there is a huge

1 difference in the identification. I mean, that almost 40 2 percent of the students in the charter schools are 3 identified as such and the statewide average is 16 percent, so it's not even close. 4 5 And when you say Chester Upland, their own 6 identification is about 6 percent, 6.3 percent, as 7 opposed to 39.6 when these same students go to one of the charter schools. 8 9 And, again, just as a casual observer, and 10 I will take my chairman's hat off here for a second, 11 I mean, it just seems like to me, as a reasonable person, 12 that is way out of whack and far out of line. 13 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Those are issues that 14 we agree are needed to be looked at. We will concur with 15 those numbers with the Representative. I know the prior Administration did the same thing and they looked at it 16 17 as well. 18 I will just end by CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 19 saying, again, in your testimony that you have assigned 20 somebody to look at this, and I would suggest that they 21 look at this, and these particular things that I brought 22 up, very seriously. 23 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Thank you, 24 Representative. The purpose was trying to help the kids

that are currently attending Chester Upland School

1 District to make sure they were getting their benefit of 2 IEP services, but I understand your concern. 3 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative Scott 4 5 Petri. And, Secretary Tomalis, since your back is 6 7 to the audience, if I could ask you to speak louder or closer to the mic, I would appreciate that. And I'm sure 8 9 the folks in the audience and the auditorium would as well. 10 11 SECRETARY TOMALIS: My apologies, sir. 12 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Good afternoon, 13 Mr. Secretary. And thank you again for being here today. 14 I'm going to go through a set of facts, at 15 least findings by the Auditor General and talk a little bit about the financial aspect of -- as you know, this is 16 17 the Appropriations Committee, and so we look at things 18 like funding, controls, accountability. 19 There may be lots and lots of educational 20 questions that can be asked, but I think they are more 21 appropriate for the Education Committee, so what I would like to do is just go through some things to make sure 22 23 that I understand the history of what has led us here. 24 According to the Auditor General, in March 25 of 2007, the then-Secretary of Education, Secretary

1 Zahorchak, decided that the Chester Upland School District was in sound financial structure and eliminated 2 3 the court-imposed control and established an Empowerment Board of Control, if I understand that correctly. 4 5 SECRETARY TOMALIS: That's correct. REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: And he did so 6 7 apparently even with some knowledge of internal audits that had shown that the School District had overspent in 8 9 '02-'03, '04-'05 -- '03-'04, '04-'05, '06-'07, so, in other words, all but three consecutive years and then 10 11 another year, of \$15 million. 12 The audit goes on to identify that when 13 this audit took place there was \$80 million of 14 inaccurately documented expenditures. It goes on to find 15 that with regard to charter schools, the District was 16 unable to provide charter school reports to us. 17 Without these reports, we were unable to 18 determine how many students attended. Vocational 19 educational subsidies, same thing. Transportation 20 expense, couldn't identify mileage or pupil count. 21 Rentals, sinking fund, no documentation. 22 I mean, the report goes on for four or 23 five pages. District personnel were unable to identify 24 miscellaneous grants totaling 213,000 for '05-'06.

As a result, we couldn't verify where the

1 District used the money. As a result of frequent turnover in the District's business office and lack of 2. 3 adequate record retention, the 79 million was not 4 available for audit. 5 And the audit management response is, There have been a number of changes with the 6 7 administrative staff in the business affairs department. As a result, current Administration was 8 9 able to locate reports substantiating state funding. However, they are not the specific documents that were 10 11 requested. 12 Further response is Current Administration 13 is in the process of re-designing file systems. 14 You got to be kidding me. You got to be -- does that strike you as wholly inappropriate, 15 16 completely without any accountability or lack of control, and if you can answer this, who in the heck are these 17 18 people that are on the Empowerment Board of Control? 19 SECRETARY TOMALIS: That was an issue, a 20 big issue as far as the management of the business 21 operations and the finances of the District. 2.2 The Empowerment Board is no longer around, 23 and all the authority under the Empowerment Board under 24 the Act that the court imposed that trustees or others

actually expired when the Empowerment Board went.

25

Some

of those concerns actually continued from our perspective.

As I said, two weeks ago, the District made a representation to the court that it needed \$20 million through the end of the year and two weeks later, we are now up to \$24 million.

Just this week, one of the District business officials testified in the court that last year they had a teaching staff of approximately 340 individuals last year.

340 individuals would represent a class size with 3,600 kids in the District and a teaching staff of approximately 10 to 1. And that they laid off -- their statement was -- they laid off 115 teachers.

Math says that the remaining teaching staff are 225, which then would be approximately a class size of about 18 to 1, yet I believe there is testimony that the class size is much higher and that the number of teaching staff is much different.

It's very difficult to get arms around the finances of this District. It has been for a number of years. It is a very frustrating part for us. The controls have not been put in place.

The Empowerment Board that was in place for a good portion of the last eight years, in addition

1 to the Board of Control that was in place, appointed two 2 members by the court, one member by the Secretary of 3 Education, frankly led up to a certain -- made certain 4 decisions that I believe led us to this point. 5 One of those decisions actually you talk about, and I think it was mentioned before, about the 6 7 debt of the District. This District has about an \$80 million debt for 3,600 kids. 8 9 They actually came, the District proposal in the spring was to come in and make 15, take a \$15 10 11 million loan, again, on one of its buildings in order to 12 finance its short-term \$15 million hole. 13 We put a stop to that and introduced these 14 other extraordinary areas that we had talked about 15 But even in the part that we are at right now, 16 where we are seeing all these numbers fly around, that's 17 very concerning to me. 18 Representative, and I CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 19 know you were not here earlier this morning, but we are 20 going to try to limit the questions to five minutes, 21 questions and answers, and we will catch you on the 22 second round. 23 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:

25

Representative Bradford.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, 2. And I also want to thank you for -- after the 3 Secretary's remarks for kind of clearing the record on 4 the cuts that were made in the Corbett budget for 5 education. I will tell you, I'm from Montgomery 6 7 County, and I represent some of the more affluent school 8 districts and some that are also financially struggling and share much of the same issues that Chester does. 9 And for our local school boards to hear 10 11 that the basic education support went up when we are 12 talking about one line item is a little more than 13 disingenuous, respectfully. 14 These people work very hard in a lot of 15 these school boards and they are trying to explain the troubles that they are dealing with when it has to do 16 17 with pension and charter school obligations and they are 18 trying to be fair to their local constituencies. 19 I think it's an obligation of us not to 20 use Alice-In-Wonderland accounting, but admit that there 21 were cuts to the basic education support in this year's 22 budget, which were required by financial realities. 23 We all understand that, what the choices that were made in the Administration, but I think when we 24

throw local electives under the bus needlessly, I think

we do ourselves a disservice, and I for one thank you, Chairman, for noting that there were real cuts and Chester Upland suffered them.

But I think we talked again a lot about finances, numbers, the impact on this District, and clearly there are huge problems and they go back 15 years, but I think the context is one of the things that is someone who is relatively new to the Legislature just doesn't understand.

This has been going on in some form or another for close to 20 years, and all of the court documents, and I was looking at some of the factual assertions, in fact, I was looking at the allegations -- actually, the numbered factual recitals in the Complaint that was made leading up to this today against PDE and, again, against the Commonwealth for failure to fund.

And I know you chose one factual assertion, but this goes back talking about, in very clear terms, the controls that PDE allegedly has at its disposal to rein in some of the issues that have been delineated.

And my question is, is PDE unable, unwilling, and frankly, in fairness to PDE, are the locals not providing the information so that you can get controls?

It looks like you are the receiver by operation of your title in the Commonwealth. Why can't we just say no, there's a budget, this is where we stand?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: So, a couple of things. The controls that local school districts have over its own budget, autonomous school districts are absolute. They develop their own budget, they pass their own budget, they decide what spending decisions that they make and all those other things.

I am not a receiver for the District. The receivership for the District actually terminated at the expiration of the end of the empowerment law. The District actually wrote a letter in July of last year to the Department saying that we believe that the District Solicitor is saying the trusteeship is over.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I see in the allegation, and I know you cite paragraph 22 where you talked about the increase from 85 to 113 million over a period of years, and I would refer you back to paragraph 19 where it says, The Chester Upland School District has been under the supervision of receiver, pendent lite receiver appointed by the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania in October of 2006.

The Secretary of Education of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania was appointed as a receiver by the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania on October 16th, 2006, and given financial oversight of the School District, including full authority to monitor, assess, and report on the fiscal condition of the School District, approval of all expenditures in excess of \$5,000 and contracts entered equal in an amount in excess of \$5,000, so the Secretary unilaterally voluntarily relinquished these duties in June of 2011.

So I guess you are correct as of June of this year, but up until that point, and understanding the Corbett Administration is only one player, and this just goes back to the Ridge, Schweiker, Rendell Administrations, why is nobody hitting the red button?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: I disagree with what they asserted here in this position. As a matter of fact, that was the issue related to the court, a case and the court discussion this past week in another court case.

The judge issued the order that you correctly cited in October of 2006, but this, not surprisingly, doesn't reference the judge's, the subsequent judge's order based upon a settlement agreement that occurred in the summer of 2007, which led to the creation of the empowerment law or the empowerment

law -- excuse me, the empowerment designation for the School District.

At that time, at that time, the settlement agreement was that at the expiration of the empowerment law that the receivership would be terminated, and the judge agreed and he issued the order in 2007 to that end. The Department -- excuse me, the School District wrote the Department back in July of last year and said that the receivership is terminated, based upon the settlement agreement that was entered into in 2007.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: We already have like \$110 million or we are hearing allegations of \$100 million of debt that have already been incurred.

SECRETARY TOMALIS: That's under the prior Administration? Under the prior receivership. Exactly right. And so the point -- I think you are --

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Are the monies being spent, are they necessary, I mean, are they going to educate kids?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: That's the difficulty of trying to get a hold of this District's budget. We are a 3,600-student School District. Even if you were to look at the numbers that would be allocated to the charter schools that are currently in the District, the per-pupil expenditure is still relatively high for the

1 | Commonwealth.

We are, Representative, as you know, education. Private, public, doesn't matter, it's a labor-intensive business, and almost all of the decisions associated with that labor is decided at the local level. And so it's hard for the State to get in there and get our arms around these kind of things.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: And I will just sum it up by saying but we are funding 70 to 80 percent of this School District's education costs. We are not some outside observer. And we have a right to ask.

And I guess I would just summarize by asking some very simple questions. We have a Constitutional obligation to provide a free and appropriate education to every child, not \$17,000, not \$14,000, I don't know what that number is. There was a costing-out study that was thrown aside that tried to come up with that number, I just want to know are we providing every child the appropriate education here in Chester?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: I think it's difficult to say that just when you are looking at the numbers. If you are looking at the numbers, then I think it is difficult just to say on the numbers.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Not even the

1 numbers, just in general. 2. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 3 Representative Scavello. 4 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. 6 And good afternoon, Mr. Secretary. 7 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Good afternoon, 8 Representative. 9 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I want to thank 10 you for your testimony. 11 In your earlier testimony, you stated that 12 there was approximately 15.7 million that was funneled to 13 this School District last year. Am I correct, 5 million, 4.5 and 6.2? 14 SECRETARY TOMALIS: 15 Yes. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I have asked 17 that question numerous times to different folks who 18 testified earlier in the day and the highest I got was 19 about 3.1 million or so. It's amazing, where is this 20 money going? It's definitely not hitting the classrooms. 21 SECRETARY TOMALIS: The District has a 2.2 series of bills that it has to pay. Some of them they 23 haven't paid in a while. 24 Some of them, like I mentioned before, 25 they had the fiscal control, they had money, checks in a

1 | vault that they hadn't mailed out to cover.

The other thing that happened at the end of last year that was a big payment was a TRAN, a tax revenue anticipation, that they were not going to make payment on the TRAN, and the ramifications of a School District not making payment on a bond are not just limited to the School District.

It could have a statewide ramification, and that's why we acted so aggressively as we did in the fall -- or, excuse me, in the spring.

In addition to that, the charter schools were not receiving payments from the School District.

The law requires that the School Districts pay the charter school a portion, 75 percent of the amount of money. The School District stopped making those payments to the charter school in the spring as well.

So there were some issues involved in that. The 3.2 million, we reached -- back in the spring, we reached, and I don't think the Department has ever done this. That's why I continually refer to the extraordinary measures that we did.

We reached into the future and pulled the BEF funding back, so that they can make payroll in the spring. They were not going to make payroll in the spring.

1 But at the same time, we were saying you 2. have to prepare for the '11-'12 school year, you have to 3 reallocate your resources for the '11-'12 school year. So all these things were difficulties that 4 5 we experienced in the spring. REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: At 12.2 percent 6 7 increase in the '11-'12 year, it's not that they got -you know, if I compare this School District to my school 8 9 districts who are getting the absolute reverse, we're 10 funding locally 8 percent from property taxes, and it's 11 killing us. 12 At any point, is the Attorney General 13 going to look into this School District and see where 14 these dollars are going; is that a possibility? 15 SECRETARY TOMALIS: I can't speak for the 16 Attorney General. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: Is someone going 18 to ask the Attorney General to look into this? If we 19 look at these numbers, the audit reports, and he just 20 read some of those findings, somebody is doing something and those dollars aren't going where they need to be 21 22 I just, I strongly recommend that someone has the 23 Attorney General look into this. 24 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Representative, aside 25 from the Attorney General, I would say that we have to

1 get a better handle on the -- the most simple thing is 2 the number of employees in the District. 3 The School Board was in my office a few weeks ago and the management of the Administration was in 4 5 my office a few weeks ago and I asked a simple question: How many teachers do you have? 6 7 Because there were accusations in the press that 40-to-1 class sizes or other things like that, 8 9 and I got a range of answers of anything from 125 to 10 almost 300. 11 And in addition to that, we see different 12 representations in court documents and other things about 13 the number of people that are actually being employed by 14 the District. 15 I did look at the Business Manager and 16 asked the Business Manager a very simple question: 17 many paychecks do you cut? And the answer I got was 600. 18 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I had a teacher 19 today and I asked the teacher and she had said there was 20 193 employees and 175 teachers. SECRETARY TOMALIS: Well, if there is 175 21 22 teachers, that would make a class size of about 21 to 1. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I did the math. 24 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Now, it could be in 25 some classes, it would be higher. And it would be higher

and high school tends to be higher, and I understand the 1 2 dynamics of all public education, we want lower class 3 sizes for --REPRESENTATIVE SCAVELLO: I did the math. 4 She's a high school teacher, and I'm assuming that maybe 5 the high school classes might be a little fuller and the 6 7 kindergarten classes and the first and second, elementary might not be. 8 9 But that's -- what they are telling me, 193 employees, 175 are teachers. I hope, you know, that 10 could be checked out. 11 12 If they are cutting 600 checks, you know, 13 where are the other folks, I don't know. 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 15 Representative. 16 Representative Samuelson. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 And just to follow up on the finances, and 20 I appreciate Chairman Adolph giving an overview of all of 21 the state and federal line items added together in 22 which -- and local sources of funding in which this 23 District is down about \$14 million. I realize that 12 million of that is 24 25 federal, 2 million increase in local effort, but an

1 overall 4 million reduction in state funding.

Now, all of the line items added together going from 69.7 to 65.6, a \$4 million reduction. I do realize that several line items across the Department of Education budget, whereas in this District, basic education funding went up, in this District, as in all districts in Pennsylvania, state funding for accountability grants went down.

In this District, as in all districts across Pennsylvania, state funding for charter school reimbursement not only went down, it was totally eliminated. And so I wanted to ask about the impact of those last two line items, realizing it is part of a larger picture.

But on the accountability grants, this morning we had two of the teachers testify that afterschool programs and tutoring programs in this District have been eliminated.

Now, I know in my own case, in my own district in Bethlehem, some of that accountability block grant had been used in the past for after-school programs, tutoring, pre-K, smaller class size.

So that source of funding, which was reduced by about 61 percent, was one of the sources of funding that a lot of districts used for programs like

tutoring and after-school programs.

I just wanted to ask whether that decision to reduce that accountability of programs is really putting additional pressure on a district like Chester Upland that is already facing significant fiscal challenges?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: I do not question the fact that if a School District sees that a line item has been reduced that it makes them think differently and forces them to think in ways that they were not prepared to think because of that issue. I don't have any question about that.

As a matter of fact, you brought up school districts across the State, and that's an issue that was -- school districts across the State were put in a couple of years ago when the state funding of the BEF was cut so dramatically and replaced with federal stimulus dollars, because the federal stimulus dollars and the funding cliff was inevitable.

As a matter of fact, Secretary Arne Duncan was saying at the time in 2009, Whatever you do with the federal stimulus dollars, do not put it into programs that you are going to necessarily need years from now because you won't -- because the cliff is coming, and that's what happened in part this year with our macro

1 | budget at the State level.

So that's one of the reasons, and
Representative Bradford, you mentioned before about the
BEF. I mean, it's one of the reasons why so much money
was put into the BEF, and that's because that's the most
flexible pot of money to be able to use, not just on
after-school tutoring programs but to pay for salaries of
the employees in the District.

REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: And I do realize that the stimulus money has been used within the Department of Education budget. I was asking about accountability block grant line item where there was no federal stimulus money.

My second question was about another line item where there was no federal stimulus money, and that's the charter school reimbursement line item. This is one, as we all know, that was 219 million statewide, now zero. In this District, it was about 10.8 million of lost funding in that one line item.

Going back to the Chairman's overall picture, where this District lost 4 million, causes me to think if that line item hadn't been eliminated, this District would have actually had a 6-million-dollar increases in overall state funding. But zeroing in on that charter school reimbursement funding, which was

state funding that helped school districts to pay the charter school obligation.

The Business Administrator Chief Finance
Officer testified this morning that this district has to
pay \$43 million in charter school payments in the current
year. The year before there was 10.8 million of state
funding to help with that payment. This year there is
not. Who has to pick up the slack? Who has to step up
and pay that when the State makes a decision in the
latest budget not to include that line item?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Remember, this was a

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Remember, this was a conversation before the Committee last year during the Appropriations Hearing.

REPRESENTATIVE SAMUELSON: Yes.

SECRETARY TOMALIS: And at that time, in recognizing the budget situation, the over-four-billion-dollar hole that Governor Corbett inherited and that we all in the Legislature and the Executive Branch needed to address.

The question was in what areas are we able to fund. Now, remember what the charter school reimbursement originally was put in place a number of years ago to help cover transitional costs; that one-time transitional cost it seems when they leave the School District that they will transition over to a charter

1	school. But the money, the money is not to pay for the
2	child's education twice.
3	So I understand that you are paying for
4	the education of a student who is no longer in that
5	School District. That charter school reimbursement line
6	item, when the money 25 percent of the funds actually
7	still stay in the School District.
8	They don't go with the child to the
9	others, and then another 40 percent of the money that
10	leaves is backfilled to pay for an education of a student
11	who is no longer in that School District.
12	So that was the, as we had talked about
13	last spring during the appropriations hearings, that was
14	the genesis of the thinking behind why are we paying for
15	that education twice.
16	And if we need to fund some programs,
17	let's put the money into BEF, because a basic education
18	formula is the one that's most flexible for school
19	districts.
20	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Time, Representative.
21	Representative Hackett.
22	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you,
23	Chairman.
24	Thank you, Mr. Secretary, for hearing us
25	this afternoon.

1	SECRETARY TOMALIS: Thank you,
2	Representative.
3	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Just a common
4	sense question here: Has the Department come down to
5	Chester physically, maybe, and got a teacher count at the
6	schools? Have we been down?
7	SECRETARY TOMALIS: Yes. We have been
8	down to the School District on numerous occasions. We
9	have actually received recently a list of documents from
10	the Solicitor in relation to the \$3.2 million advanced
11	payment that we had on the number of teachers that they
12	say that they need in order and the other bills that
13	they need in order to make emergency in order to cover
14	the costs that the \$3.2 million would cover.
15	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Okay. So you are
16	down and you take a teacher count and all, do we have a
17	number on how many teachers they really have?
18	SECRETARY TOMALIS: The number, I was told
19	of it and I just don't want to say off the top of my
20	head. I don't remember what it is.
21	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Okay. But you
22	can get it to us?
23	SECRETARY TOMALIS: Yes.
24	REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I'm not real
25	familiar with Accountability Grants, I will be very

1 honest with you. So Chester is applying for an 2 Accountability Grant where it appears I don't see 3 accountability just yet, haven't seen it over the years. Am I crossing something up there or does that pertain? 4 5 SECRETARY TOMALIS: The Accountability Block Grant Program was a program that was initiated a 6 7 few years ago, and I don't remember which year it was, I think it's three or four years old. 8 9 I apologize, it was before my time, but it was a program that goes out to school districts on a 10 formula basis in which a school district, I believe has 11 12 17 or so different things that they can -- or 12 or so 13 different issues that they can use the money for, the 14 accountability -- everything from early childhood education that some school districts use, to increase 15 16 professional development, to lowering class size. 17 very flexible at the local level, but they title the 18 Accountability Programs, but it is not -- it's more of a 19 formula-driven program. 20 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I think that's 21 it, Mr. Chairman, for me. 2.2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 23 Chairman Markosek. 24 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: To clarify, 25 Mr. Secretary, the State Department has used the figure

1 \$17,000 per student is what Chester Upland spends to 2 educate a student. The gentlemen before you, you weren't 3 here, but the Acting Superintendent, Mr. Persing had written testimony that indicated that the cost per 4 5 student was about 14,000. That's a difference of \$3,000 per student. Can you clarify that --6 7 SECRETARY TOMALIS: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. 8 9 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: No, that was pretty 10 much the question, you know, we are hearing from the 11 Department 17,000 and we heard from the School District 12 14,000. SECRETARY TOMALIS: It all has to do with 13 14 at what time of year you take the picture, it really They don't have their financial data in order for 15 They have been trying to figure out what this 16 this year. 17 year's data is. 18 Now, the \$17,000 per year also includes 19 the amount of money that's left under the control of the 20 District. So, I think this year when the numbers -- we 21 will see what happens with any type of additional payment 22 to the School District, but it will all depend on what 23 that final dollar is as to what it's going to be. 24 I have heard everything from 13,000; I

have heard as low as eleven or twelve thousand dollars

1 per year coming out of representatives from the 2 District. I have heard much higher as well. 3 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. But your 17,000 is based on --4 SECRETARY TOMALIS: It's based on the most 5 recent numbers that we have, which is the '10-'11 data. 6 7 It might come down a bit because of the cuts that we have seen in the budget. It would come down -- if it would 8 9 come down, it wouldn't come down much farther than 15. 10 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Representative Scott Petri. 13 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 One of things I'm trying to do is separate 16 in my own mind what is fact and fiction, so I would like 17 to go over this memorandum that we have that's the report 18 of the Chester Upland School District financial team 19 dated January 25th of 2011. I assume you have a copy of 20 this or you have seen it as well, generally? 21 SECRETARY TOMALIS: January 25th of -- the 22 last couple of days. I don't believe I have seen that. 23 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Okay. Well, let 24 me just go through some things, and you may or may not be 25 able to answer it off the top, and if you can't, that's

1 okay, but --2. SECRETARY TOMALIS: 2011, I'm sorry. Ι 3 was thinking 2012. Yes, okay. I'm sorry. 4 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Yes. The financial team notes that in '09 and 2010, the Education 5 Empowerment Board overspent the budget by 2.8 million. 6 7 Do you have any reason to doubt that that is correct? 8 9 SECRETARY TOMALIS: No. 10 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Okay. They also 11 found that the Education Empowerment Board depleted 3.7 12 million out of the operating reserve for unspecified 13 General Fund expenditures. 14 Do you have any reason to doubt that? 15 SECRETARY TOMALIS: I do not. REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: The financial team 16 17 also found that the School District spent \$2.4 million on 18 lawyers -- 800,000 a year in legal fees. 19 By the way, has anybody looked at those 20 legal bills to find out if they were fair or appropriate 21 and who did the work? 2.2 SECRETARY TOMALIS: They were prior to my 23 time. 24 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I understand that. I understand that, Mr. Secretary, I understand all of 25

this is prior to your time. It's also prior to this new board. And I'm just trying to identify, as you are, for everyone clearly the mess that was left for both the State and the locals to try to deal with.

They also found that the Educational Empowerment Board overestimated its revenue by 6.25 million and broke it up 1.2 million in delinquent taxes that couldn't be supported by the county tax claim records, 1.3 million for the sale of the William Penn Elementary School, which had been listed for sale apparently for three years and budgeted for three years, and a \$1.3 million collection in lieu of taxes, which I think you mentioned earlier, and then \$2.4 million of overestimated reimbursement for charter schools.

Do you have any reason to doubt that any of that is true?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: I have no reason. I have mentioned some of those things we have actually seen within the past six to ten months, and that they continue to budget for buildings that aren't sold, revenue generated from those things and other things.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Then there's a list of expenditure reductions that apparently the Education Empowerment Board directed to be done but then never followed through. One was a million dollars for

2.2

- out-unionized bus transportation; 60,000 for elimination of support staff; 100,000 for elimination of administrative positions; one staff attorney at \$125,000, and other items that weren't implemented.
 - Do you have any reason to believe that these were recommended and then not followed through with?
 - SECRETARY TOMALIS: I believe the report would be accurate.
 - REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Okay. And then lastly, the financial team indicates that from '06 to the 2010-2011, the budget went from 85 million to 113 million or a 33.7 percent increase. This is really -- with an accompanying decrease in student population and an increase of a hundred students or a hundred persons in employment.
 - Does any of this, Mr. Secretary, strike you as reasonable, responsible to either the taxpayer or to the student or to state government?
 - SECRETARY TOMALIS: It's difficult to see when you -- as we all do every spring, as we all do with our own agencies, the projections on where the revenue may or may not be in the years ahead, and it's difficult to see when you see the enrollment numbers that we have that this was a wise projection.

1	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Well, I guess, and
2	I will finish with this, I'm joining Representative
3	Scavello and wondering whether some criminal prosecution
4	and investigation should be looked into into the conduct
5	of certain individuals who are on the Education
6	Empowerment Board, because it seems to me that if there's
7	so many documents and records that are missing, maybe
8	they didn't just take the money or misappropriate money,
9	you took all the records, so nobody could convict you.
10	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And thank you,
12	Representative.
13	Representative Donatucci.
14	REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, and
15	thank you for being here.
16	SECRETARY TOMALIS: Thank you,
17	Representative.
18	REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: I'm pretty much
19	echoing Representative Petri and Scavello. I mean, this
20	didn't happen overnight. We have had appointments from
21	both sides of the aisle, okay. We have no
22	accountability, we have missing reports.
23	Has anyone been approached on this? Have
24	you talked to members of these boards? Is it time maybe
25	to have a forensic audit to see where did every penny

1 go?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Representative, I agree with you that the Auditor General, we need to go back in there and get as quick of an audit as we can. We are in there trying to get -- working with the District, trying to get ahold of its cash flow.

Now, I share some of the concerns about the past, and part of the issue has to deal with the fact that this District took back control of itself in July of 2010, and there are a lot of things that were dealt this District that it has to deal with.

We are trying to help that District get control of the present. We need to also take a look at what happened in the past.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Right. And also, could you elaborate on your last statement that we need better tools to develop --

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Yes, Representative. In the fiscal distress language that's currently under the law that talks about my ability or the Secretary of Education's ability to declare a district in distress, we don't have the ability to make that declaration and go into it at the point before it's at a place where it can't be helped. And I use the analogy as a person is walking towards a cliff, a person is leaning over the

1 cliff, and a person is falling off the cliff.

We have tools currently under the law that you are basically leaning over, you are almost off, you have almost fallen off financially. And we need to get a better trigger system, and then the tools, the way that the law is currently structured is that the courts appoint a three-member panel that will oversee the operations of the School District.

Two of those members are actually appointed by a court, one is appointed by the Secretary of Education who will serve as the chair.

So they talk about -- the word is the State is in control of the District, even the State Department of Education doesn't have a majority vote on that order control. It's court appointees who actually oversee the operation. It's a three-member board but the State doesn't have the majority of the votes. I think that's something that we should take a serious look at.

The other issue that we just have to acknowledge, as I mentioned before, is that we are a labor-intensive business.

And one of the things that we are seeing take place in Pennsylvania schools -- God bless them, the teachers that are working in these kinds of situations, I wish -- you know, we could do as much as we can for them

financially, but there are certain issues that are locked into the contract that now translate into mandated costs for two or three or four years down the road.

And when the revenue changes in any given year because of loss of federal funds or other issues it's hard to adjust those mandated costs and move the --pivot the District into a place where it can be a viable alternative. I think that's something we have to take a look at.

And, finally, I would ask the Legislature to take a serious look at the ability for economic furloughs and giving school districts a clean economic furlough bill and giving school districts the ability to reallocate its existing staff in such a way that, when they have declines in enrollment, when they have declines in revenue, that they can make those adjustments in time that will have a minimal impact on students.

Those are some of the tools that I think we can adopt that would help. It may not help every school district; it may not help a school district in this situation. Maybe there's more radical things that need to take place.

And that's one of those areas where I would be very cautious about talking in too great a detail, but I think that's something that we should look

1	at for other school districts as well.
2	REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative Bradford.
4	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you.
5	Secretary Tomalis, I know in the past
6	under the Ridge and Schweiker Administration, Edison was
7	tried as one of these radical solutions here in Chester.
8	Was that a positive experience?
9	SECRETARY TOMALIS: I wasn't here at the
10	time during that, when Edison was brought in to Chester
11	Upland, and most of the time that Edison was here, I
12	believe, was after the Schweiker Administration left. I
13	think, I have heard different things. I have heard in
14	some cases it was, in some cases it wasn't.
15	REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: What do you hear
16	on each side?
17	SECRETARY TOMALIS: Well, I hear that
18	there was I think I had heard on the negative side
19	that the organization lost focus, and it didn't continue
20	to give it the attention that it needed to give. There
21	was it was never able to get control of some of the
22	personnel issues that it needed to get control of.
23	It wasn't like they came in and they ran
24	the entire district and they had carte blanche control of
25	every single aspect, so they dealt with some legacy

1 issues that they inherited that they had to deal with, 2 which is, I think, one of those tools that we have to 3 talk about. We have to have a discussion about, if any other action was taking place. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Do you think charters has had a positive legacy in Chester? 6 7 SECRETARY TOMALIS: I think if you ask the parents of the people who are in charters, yes, I think 8 9 that's one of the important issues that you have to take 10 into account. 11 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: In light of the 12 charter reimbursement issue, and you talked about charter 13 reimbursement as a line item that brought about \$10.8 14 million as being a phaseout. 15 As the Administration looks to ramp up 16 vouchers, is there going to be a voucher reimbursement 17 line item, and what do you think the impact of vouchers would be on the Chester School District? 18 19 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Well, I would leave it 20 to another day to talk about what the Administration 21 would or wouldn't propose as far as budgets were 22 concerned related to that program. 23 I would say this about choice, in 24 general: I'm a parent of a nine-year-old and 12-year-

old, Representative, and I tend to look at that program,

1 that initiative from the prospect of the parent, and 2 wonder from the prospect of a parent if we would find 3 that the best educational opportunity for our nine-yearold or 12-year-olds, any of our kids, would be at another 4 5 school. The current law says that I, as Secretary 6 7 of Education, must go to that parent and say, I know the circumstances in this school aren't best for your 8 9 daughter. I know the circumstances in certain situations 10 that your son or daughter would have less of a chance of 11 walking across that stage in June of their senior year 12 than they would have, potentially, being somewhere else, 13 so --14 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: As a father of a 15 four- and six-year-old, one in public and one in private, 16 I think that's a great choice to have. SECRETARY TOMALIS: Both of mine are in 17 public school. 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Preschool. Ι 20 was --21 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We could be here all day 22 on education policy, and I said in my opening comment 23 that we would try to stay with the fiscal matter. 24 enjoyed the conversation, but we need to move on.

Secretary Tomalis, the next group to

testify is the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, and I have heard all day how necessary it is for special education services here in Chester Upland, and I know you are aware of that, and I also know that you are aware that the Delaware County Intermediate Unit provides the services for special ed for Chester Upland School District and for the other school districts in Delaware County.

I also know you are aware that the Delaware County Intermediate Unit has not received payments from the Chester Upland School District for a good part of the 2010-2011 school year, and has not received a single payment for the fiscal year 2011-2012. This impacts not only the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, but the other school districts in Delaware County.

As part of this solution, this short-term solution that we are trying to work on here today, can I have your thoughts about payments that will not only help the intermediate unit, but also the other school districts in Delaware County.

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Yes, sir. I want to preface my comment by saying that I applaud the leadership and the efforts of the Delaware County Intermediate Unit in this regard. They are in a very, very difficult situation, and I understand that, and we

have had some discussions with them over the months, both from the issue involving the finances, but also the issue as to what would happen in the situation as to the requirements to provide special ed services.

I think that any solution that we bring to the table has to seriously take a look to make sure that the minimal impact for all students is addressed, both students who are in the Chester Upland School District, students who are at the charter schools, and students who may be benefiting from services provided by the Delaware County Intermediate Unit.

So they haven't been paid, I believe the last year's number was 1.6 million, I believe it was. It is a substantial number for the IU, it is something that we have to take very serious -- we will take into consideration in our calculation when we find the solution.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Just a suggestion: If these services are so necessary, and in order to make sure that the Delaware County Intermediate Unit can provide these services, would it be possible for a direct payment, and have you thought about that, instead of this in and out through the School District here?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: Yes, sir, we have thought about that, particularly if the District fails to

1	be able to either provide the services themselves because
2	of other issues or some financial issue.
3	But I have to be very clear of what I'm
4	able to do and not able to do. When money is
5	appropriated through the Legislature, it's directed to
6	certain entities, and I have to follow the law first, but
7	we have brought that up in discussion.
8	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: So what you are
9	suggesting is possibly some legislation may be necessary
10	for this direct payment to the IU?
11	SECRETARY TOMALIS: If that is an issue
12	where a school district is failing to make payments to an
13	IU, we think we should discuss the potential that IUs, if
14	they are providing services to kids, that maybe they
15	should be folded into that type of arrangement as well.
16	But that currently is not an avenue that I can utilize
17	right now.
18	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.
19	Chairman Markosek.
20	CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman.
22	Just briefly. Mr. Secretary, you probably
23	were aware there was a test cheating incident here with
24	the charter schools. Do you know if they have been
25	cleared of those allegations?

SECRETARY TOMALIS: They have not been cleared of that investigation, Mr. Chairman. Right now we are currently engaged in follow-up data collection and analysis and investigation for a number of school districts and charters around the Commonwealth.

About three weeks ago, we sent out letters to a large number of school districts that the data analysis, and I should be very clear that when this first popped up in the summer, we had been in contact with school districts and charters all across Pennsylvania, talking to superintendents or officials of those school entities and saying, Can you provide us this data, can you provide us this data.

And then us going to them and showing them the evidence of what we had, particularly as it relates to -- most school districts and charter schools have been absolutely cooperative in providing us that data. If they have not received a letter, then we still work with them to look at where the data is taking us.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Secretary,

Tomalis, I want to thank you for appearing before our

Committee this afternoon. Your information was very

informative. I'm looking forward to working with you in

trying to attain our goals regarding the education of the

2.

1 children in Chester Upland. 2 I know the amount of money that has been 3 requested, and I know the amount of money that is available, and we are going to have to work very hard to 4 5 reach this goal. So I know members of this Committee, members of the Delaware County delegation are willing to 6 7 work with you and make sure that this School District can finish the year so these families know what's going on 8 from one week to the next. 9 So thank you for your testimony and have a 10 11 safe trip back to Harrisburg. 12 SECRETARY TOMALIS: Thank you, Chairman. 13 Thank you very much. 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. 15 The next testifiers will begin in exactly two minutes. 16 17 (Recess taken.) 18 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Back on the record. 19 For those not familiar with the traffic in 20 Delaware County on Friday afternoons, no problem 21 whatsoever. 22 Okay, the last testifier today is 23 Dr. Lawrence O'Shea, the Executive Director of the 24 Delaware County Intermediate Unit. 25 Dr. O'Shea, the time is yours, and thank

1 you for your patience, and I apologize for the Committee 2 hearing running a little late. 3 DR. O'SHEA: Good afternoon, 4 Representative Adolph and the members of the House 5 Appropriations Committee. My name is Lawrence J. O'Shea. I am the 6 7 Executive Director of the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, an educational service agency headquartered in 8 9 Morton, PA. The mission of the Delaware County 10 11 Intermediate Unit is to provide leadership for the 12 development and delivery of innovative and cost effective 13 programs and services to meet the needs of our Delaware 14 County educational community. 15 DCIU services reach more than 75,000 16 school-age students and more than 6,000 educators on a 17 regional basis. Direct instruction is provided to more 18 than 15,000 students through the Delaware County 19 Intermediate Unit's school-aged special education 20 program, career and technical education, early intervention, Head Start, fiber optic network, services 21

With nearly 40 years in the business of serving the needs of Delaware County, DCIU has grown to

to nonpublic schools, professional development, and other

specialized programs.

22

23

24

provide more than \$120 million each year worth of services through the management of 60 programs. We are pleased to partner with our 15 local school districts in meeting the needs of all students, families, staff and administrators, and we strive to ensure that all operations and services are effective, efficient, and transparent as possible.

The Delaware County Intermediate Unit's mission is carried out through three main strategic priorities: financial stewardship, student-centered learning, and a market-based business model.

I come before you this afternoon to voice the concerns of our board of directors, comprised of elected school board directors of the 15 public school districts in the county regarding the impact of the financial crisis facing the Chester Upland School District on the Delaware County Intermediate Unit.

Specifically, I am asking that, as you work towards a solution to the District's financial situation, you ensure that the Delaware County Intermediate Unit is paid for the services rendered to Chester Upland's students in the 2010-'11 school year and those services that we are continuing to provide without payment in the 2011-'12 school year.

The core mission inherent to intermediate

units is to prescribe the authorizing language of the Pennsylvania School Code. It's to build consortium among school districts in order to provide efficient and cost effective systems for education and support services.

We demonstrate our value by working with school districts in the delivery of multidistrict early intervention, preschool, special education, alternative education and career and technical education services.

We further demonstrate our value by managing consortia that make bulk purchases of services and commodities, such as health insurance, fuel and heating oil, electricity, school and office supplies. Through these consortia, the school districts saved over \$6 million in 2010-'11 alone.

Two of our most effective educational shared service consortia are special education and career and technical education services. Under special education, we provide services to some of the most socially, emotionally, and educationally needy students in the county.

The students we serve are children and young adults with autism, hearing and vision impairments, speech and communication disorders, intellectual and physical disabilities.

These students require extraordinary

levels and quality of service in order for them to benefit from a free and appropriate public education.

Due to the nature of the services required and the relatively low incidences of the types and levels of disability presented by these students, the most effective means to deliver services is through a consortium of school districts.

Likewise, we oversee a consortia for the provision of career and technical education. As you are aware, career and technical education programs are expensive to deliver, often due to the expenses associated with maintaining state-of-the-art equipment.

Again, the most effective means for delivering these services is through a cooperative arrangement of shared services among a set of school districts.

In Delaware County, such an arrangement exists among the 15 school districts and several nonpublic high schools. Not only is our CTE consortium cost effective, last year it produced the highest percentage in the state of students achieving competent or advanced on the National Occupational Competency Testing Institute exams.

All of these very effective consortia depend on the cooperative efforts of its member to

2.

2.2

support them financially and otherwise. When one or more of our districts are unable to contribute to the financial support system, then the costs are deferred to the other consortia members.

In the current situation, the Chester Upland School District owes the Delaware County Intermediate Unit \$1.08 million for 2010-'11 and is projected to owe the Delaware County Intermediate Unit an additional \$2.2 million for services that are continuing to be provided during the 2011-'12 year without payment.

Approximately 80 percent of Chester
Upland's debts to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit
involve payment for special education services, for
students with disabilities, and for services for career
and technical education.

All 15 public school districts in Delaware County contract with the Delaware County Intermediate
Unit to provide these services as part of a shared services program.

After the close of the prior fiscal year, the DCIU conducts a reconciliation process with the districts. After the close -- the process begins in October when the actual costs for each year and for each school district are determined, using audited calculations for the prior year's expenses and services.

2.

Each school district either owes the

Delaware County Intermediate Unit payment for services

above what was estimated, or the DCIU owes the school

districts payment for services and charges that were less
than estimated. Any excess in the pool of funds that are

collected from the school districts based on estimates is

divided among those school districts, based on each

district's actual cost of services for the prior year.

For the 2010-'11 school year, three districts owed funds to the pool and 12 districts are owed funds from the pool. The pool of funds managed by DCIU owed 3.05 million to the remaining 12 school districts. Of that amount, the DCIU has rebated to the districts \$2.2 million to date.

Due to the Chester Upland's failure to pay all of its invoices, there is a total of \$851,000 dollars owed to the pool of 12 school districts and an additional 225,000 owed to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit for other services.

With the current financial crisis in Chester Upland, we are facing the same situation in 2011-'12.

Without payments from Chester Upland, we expect to be owed \$2.2 million by year's end. Our board of directors is now faced with a dilemma of whether or

not to terminate services to the Chester Upland School
District.

In terms of special education and career and technology education services alone, 250 students with disabilities, who require frequent and intense special education services in order to benefit from a free and appropriate public education that is mandated by law and a common moral conviction, will go without services.

These students are children with autism, intellectual, learning, and emotional disabilities.

These are students who struggle to learn basic skills for self-sufficiency, such as eating, dressing, and going to the bathroom.

These are students who struggle with basic communication skills necessary to express their needs.

These are students who are incarcerated and, without educational services, surely will be doomed to lives of crime.

These students will be the primary casualties of the collapse of the Chester Upland School District. Did these students cause the financial crisis in Chester Upland? Should they be the ones directly impacted by the lack of available funds to deliver the services they need?

Despite not having received payment, DCIU has been committed to ensure that these DC students receive the education services that they need and deserve.

A secondary casualty very well may be the collapse of a shared services consortia in Delaware County and elsewhere. This current situation has undermined the trust among school districts that they can work together in a consortia arrangement to shared expenses and realized cost savings.

If their cost savings is eroded by the fact that one or more of the districts in the consortia can't pay its share, then districts will drop out of consortia and go it alone.

The legislature designed a system of intermediate units for the Commonwealth and codified their operations through amendments to the School Code in 1970.

As an insightful legislature in 1970 realized, intermediate units can be and are a platform for creating cost savings and greater efficiencies for the operation of local school districts.

Through the shared service consortia managed by intermediate units, local school districts are able to maintain their unique identities and

2.

simultaneously reap the benefit of working with other school districts to increase their cost effectiveness and the quality of the services they provide.

But if the crisis in Chester Upland is not resolved and the DCIU is not provided with the funds for services rendered and continuing to be rendered, Delaware County School District's penchant for working in consortia arrangements will be undermined.

And if the financial crises in other school districts, such has Duquesne, Reading, Harrisburg, and Allentown are not abated, the other districts in those intermediate units will likely view consortia arrangements as too risky and not financially worthwhile.

The end result is a collective decrease in cost effective shared service consortia and an increase in the overall cost of delivering public education.

The Delaware County Intermediate Unit has no taxing authority and no source of funds to use to recoup the Chester Upland debt. The DCIU board of directors and administration have been working with the Chester Upland Administration and officials at PDE since July to seek a resolution to the debt owed to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit.

We have met with our local legislators, including you, Mr. Chairman. Our mantra has been we

2.

don't want to be a part of the problem, we want to be a part of the solution.

Although we have had constructive discussions, the DCIU was left off the list of essential payments that the District and PEE negotiated with Judge Baylson.

As stated above, the services provided by the Delaware County Intermediate Unit are critical, and without payment, the absolute needlest students in the District will be denied care.

The Delaware County Intermediate Unit is not asking for more money, just the money that is owed it and continues to accrue as we continue to provide critical services without payment.

I am here today to apprise you of the impact that the Chester Upland financial crisis is having on the Delaware County Intermediate Unit and the other school districts in Delaware County, and I ask your assistance to developing a solution to the District's financial crisis, and in so doing, developing a means by which the Delaware County Intermediate Unit can be paid in full for services that we have rendered in 2010-'11 and are rendering in 2011-'12.

In the meantime, the Delaware County

Intermediate Unit board of directors and administration

2.

2.2

will continue to work with you and all other interested 1 2 parties to ensure the solvency of the District, and most 3 importantly the continuity in providing quality educational services to the students of the Chester 4 5 Upland School District. Mr. Chairman, thank you for the 6 7 opportunity to come before you. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. O'Shea, 8 9 for that very powerful statement, very alarming 10 statement, but I want to thank you for the job that the 11 Delaware County Intermediate Unit has done other the 12 years for the residents of Delaware County. 13 For the record, I want to get information 14 from you of how special education funding affects 15 Delaware County Intermediate Unit. Could you please explain to the committee 16 your source of revenue? 17 18 DR. O'SHEA: The source of revenue for our 19 services, and special education in particular, are by and large from our local school districts. 20 21 There are federal funds, the IDA funds 22 that flow through the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, 23 and we receive approximately 15 to \$16 million in federal 24 funding, but that funding does not reside with us. Approximately 12 million of that money is 25

passed through to the local school districts to pay for their services. And they have the option of delivering those services themselves, their own staff or contracting with the intermediate unit or other providers to do so.

The remainder of those funds are used, in part, for the early intervention program that we provide for children with disabilities between the ages of three to five.

There's another portion of those funds that are used to provide technical assistance and professional development to our school districts through those funds, and there is another portion of that for other shared services that we can deliver to the school district.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And these individuals with special needs, where do these services take place?

DR. O'SHEA: The services that we provide can take place either in a school district building of one of our 15 school districts or they can be housed in other facilities.

For instance, we lease a building from the Garnet Valley School District, the Pennington campus; we also lease space from our vocational technical program that we manage on behalf of the Vocational Technical Authority in Delaware County.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: How many employees does 2. the Delaware County Intermediate Unit employ? 3 DR. O'SHEA: We employ approximately 900 employees in contract with a variety of private vendors. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Now, as you have heard that this financial crisis in Chester Upland has 6 7 been around for quite some time. This lack of payment to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, is this a new 8 9 payment missing or is this something that's been going on for a decade? 10 11 DR. O'SHEA: What we have experienced has 12 been some variation in terms of how the payments have 13 been made by the Chester Upland School District. We have 14 not had the same experience with our other 14 districts 15 in the county. 16 We invoice them, as I referenced in my 17 testimony, on a quarterly basis, and those payments are 18 made to us. And at the end, we reconcile those costs. 19 For Chester Upland School District, we 20 have had -- in past years, intermittently, the District 21 has not made those quarterly payments but by the 22 mid-August, end of August, they have made those payments 23 to us. 24 We have consistently withheld the passthrough of those federal IDA dollars to the District and 25

1 used those to offset the invoices for the services that 2 we have rendered. 3 This was the first year where they have failed to make full payment by the end of August. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. We have heard all during the day special education funding from the State 6 7 regarding charter schools. My question to you is, is the Delaware County Intermediate Unit providing any services 8 9 to any charter school pupils? 10 DR. O'SHEA: Yes. We do provide services 11 to charter schools, not only in our area, but from time 12 to time there may be a cyber charter school that can be 13 located anywhere in the state that has a student with 14 special needs attending that cyber charter school who resides in Delaware County, and that cyber charter school 15 may contract with us to provide services, such as speech 16 17 and language therapy. 18 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Now, I want to 19 see if I can follow the money, okay. You provide the 20 services, the contract is with the charter school? 21 DR. O'SHEA: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Whether it be bricks and 23 mortar charter school or a cyber charter school. Do they 24 pay you directly? 25 DR. O'SHEA: Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Now, do they receive 2. their funding for the extra special ed funding from the 3 local school district? 4 DR. O'SHEA: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: So, when the local Yes. public school does not pay the local charter school and 6 7 you have a contract with the local charter school, they don't have the money because they haven't received the 8 9 money from the local public school and you haven't 10 received the money, or have these charter schools 11 continued to pay you out of their own funds? 12 To the best of my knowledge, DR. O'SHEA: 13 we have received payment from the charter schools. 14 amount of work that we do with them proportionately is 15 very small, but we have not had an instance that I am aware of from our business office. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: All right. Do you 18 believe, based upon the current two-year crisis, that a 19 direct payment to the Delaware County Intermediate Unit 20 from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania would be the answer 21 to correct this situation?

DR. O'SHEA: It certainly would be helpful in correcting the current situation. I would have to think through whether that, on a longer term basis, would be desirable.

2.2

23

24

1 There are some issues around liability for 2. the delivery of those services, and we don't want to 3 assume the role of the local education agency, i.e., school district, in that capacity. 4 5 We would like to be able to deliver those And in order to rectify the current situation, 6 7 yes, that would be desirable. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And last question, and I 8 thank the Committee members for their indulgence: If you 9 10 stopped providing services here in Delaware County, in 11 particular to Chester Upland's students, who is 12 responsible for providing those services to those 13 children? 14 DR. O'SHEA: Ultimately, it's the home school district is the LEA, and it's their 15 responsibility. We function as a vendor. There has been 16 17 some case law debating our role and responsibility in 18 that, but by and large, it's the local school district. 19 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes. And this would 20 then fall again on the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as 21 well? 2.2 DR. O'SHEA: Yes. Ultimately, the State 23 of Pennsylvania does have that requirement under its own 24 statutes, as well as federal statute. 25 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Dr. O'Shea.

1 Representative Hackett. 2 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 Thank you, Doctor, for showing up this 5 afternoon. It's good to see you. DR. O'SHEA: Good to see you. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: I just have one, maybe two questions. But one, and quickly, would be who 8 9 performs the testing for special needs children? 10 DR. O'SHEA: That can be done either by -the local school district can do that themselves or they 11 12 can contract with us to provide those services. 13 So typically, what happens is that a child may be identified who has not been identified at all 14 through the local school district. They will go through 15 the evaluation process, write up an individualized 16 education plan for that student and then, in that 17 18 process, determine how to best deliver those services. 19 And they can either do that themselves 20 within their own buildings or they may find that the 21 needs are so great for that individual student they would 22 go to the intermediate unit to deliver those services. 23 REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Okav. Thank 24 you. With special needs children, there is, I quess, from one end to the other end of the rainbow. 25 Do they

1 | get re-evaluated every three years?

DR. O'SHEA: Every three years, they are required to either have a re-evaluation or review of extant data on that student, because there can be a value to data that has been collected over that three-year period, the most informal ways through classroom teachers and other therapists that are working with the child.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: So schools can re-evaluate, they know that that person is still a special needs another three years, and another three years?

DR. O'SHEA: That's correct, and actually they must do that re-evaluation.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: But there is no oversight or accountability in looking at those evaluations?

DR. O'SHEA: The accountability really comes from the Department of Education, the Bureau of Special Education. They do cyclical monitoring of the districts, and they will come in and work with the district and look at their files and their records in terms of the processes and procedures that they have followed and the results of those processes and procedures.

REPRESENTATIVE HACKETT: Thank you,

1 Doctor, for clearing that up. 2. No more questions, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And thank you, Representative. 4 5 Chairman Markosek. Thank you, 6 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 7 Mr. Chairman. Just to follow up on Chairman Adolph's 8 9 line of questioning, you had mentioned that you get 10 reimbursed from the people that you do the services for, 11 the school district or the charter schools, and you get 12 some federal help as well. Do you get any state help, 13 any direct state help? 14 DR. O'SHEA: We do get core funding, what is referred to as core funding. It's a percentage of the 15 16 total special education allocation to the 15 school 17 districts that we serve. Those funds are to be used to 18 cover some of our administrative costs in the delivery of 19 the special education services that we provide. 20 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Those are state 21 funds? 2.2 DR. O'SHEA: Those are state funds, yes. 23 CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Just to see if you 24 have a comment about this, and I don't know if you were 25 here earlier, and I had some questions for the Secretary,

and I think even before that, relative to the charter schools here with special ed identifying students and, you know, perhaps a follow-up to Representative Hackett's questions as well.

It seems that there is a high requirements of special ed students once they get to the charter schools or those that are designated for charters as opposed to those that are -- and it's really not even all that close in my estimation, just from some of the statistics that I have seen.

Do you have any comment on that, anything that you would like to offer?

DR. O'SHEA: The percentage of students with disabilities nationally has been fairly constant. There's been some fluctuation. Some particular disability areas, such as autism, have seen changes in those numbers. But overall those numbers are relatively constant.

It's the best first place to look, I think, in getting a rough picture as to what's happening within a district.

If you are seeing an extraordinary number of children percentage-wise being diagnosed, either with special education in general, special education needs in general, or within a specific disability area, that's a

red flag to review those data and to do some checks and balances on what's the evaluation process.

Are they overidentifying students, and, likewise, in some cases where districts may be underidentifying, and the first clue in that with regard to what's going on is just by those percentages.

I think that statistical analysis is what led, you had mentioned the PSSA issue. Well, that was the first glance that the PDE saw and the company that they had contracted with. They saw these statistical numbers that just didn't seem right, and that was the first level of concern where the red flag goes up and then you investigate from there.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: There's red flags just in my mind, you know, when I look at the statistics, you know, whereby the charter school, there's 3,000 -- 3,600 students in the Chester Upland School District, and I think they have about 6 percent of those that are actually in the speech and language therapy, which is a relatively high reimbursement, special education line item, and then those other 3,000 students who are in the charter school, it's almost 40 percent, that those students have all of a sudden been identified to have this need, again a pretty high reimbursement.

So that's the red flag in my mind that I'm

trying to grapple with, and just, you know, I asked the Secretary and now I will just ask you.

I know that, you know, you have your own entity to worry about and perhaps this isn't something that you are directly involved with, but, you know, you are an expert in special ed and those areas, and again, I'm a layman when it comes to providing those services, so I guess I'm asking you, you know, does that raise a red flag in your mind that there's 40 percent versus 6 percent?

DR. O'SHEA: That absolutely would raise a red flag in my mind. I think this isn't an issue in terms of just special education funding in general that I think warrants a re-examination. And districts ever since 1990, when the funding formula and system changed, we moved from an excess cost funding system in Pennsylvania down to the census-based formula we have now.

earlier by Dr. Persing, that's based on that very stable number that I referenced nationally. About 15 percent of your student population you would expect, under normal conditions, would have relatively mild-to-moderate disability. Another 1 percent would have some fairly serious disabilities, which would obviously require

1 | significantly more funds.

And the original funding system that basically, by all intents and purposes, has gone by the wayside, there was a dollar amount associated with that 15 percent.

You had 15 percent, whatever that generated among the number of students in the district, times a dollar amount, and there is a 1 percent as well.

The difference there was very large. It was somewhere around anywhere from like \$2,000 for that 15 percent of the population and about \$12,000 for the 1 percent, but that has been replaced by just annual 3 percent across the board increases.

CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you for your testimony. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. I want to thank you, Dr. O'Shea, for your testimony, and I promise you that this delegation will work with you, will work with the Administration.

We understand the fiscal impact this has on the Delaware County Intermediate Unit, which also goes to the other 14 school districts involved.

So I can't thank you enough for your testimony, but once again, I want to thank you for the services that you provide to the residents of Delaware

1 County. 2 DR. O'SHEA: Thank you, Mr. Adolph. 3 I just want to point out that we have, if not all, most of the superintendents from those school 4 5 districts have been here throughout the day or a portion of the day, and they are here because they are concerned 6 7 not only in terms of the dollars and cents, but this is an educational community that is very united, and we try 8 9 to work together in a collegial fashion to assist each other when we can. 10 11 I think they are here as a symbol of their 12 support for the Chester Upland School District and hoping 13 that we can all come to some resolution for the school 14 system. 15 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Chairman Markosek, for any closing 16 17 comments? 18 No. Just again, thank CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 19 you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity. I thought it was 20 a very good hearing, a lot of good questions from both 21 sides of the aisle, and we look forward to working to 22 solve the Chester Upland problem. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman. I want to thank all the Committee members 24 25 for their attendance, but also their participation in

1 | this hearing today.

I would be remiss if I did not thank our staffs for preparing us for this hearing today, and for the unbelievable amount of hours that they spent trying to gather the information for us for this hearing.

This, without a doubt, is a very, very difficult situation. If my math is correct, the short-term solution here is that after the court ordered \$3.2 million, I know the Commonwealth has another \$15 million in a payment due the School District in April, and we would need to advance that \$15 million to the School District probably in a week or so. And then, as you heard Secretary Tomalis state in his testimony that they are requesting another \$24 million.

This is not a drop in the bucket. This is very, very difficult to find up in Harrisburg. I just want to remind everyone that the state revenue this year is still sluggish. We are behind in our projections by about one and a half percent.

In the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the first six months is experiencing about a \$500 million deficit. We do not print money in Harrisburg. We try to live within our means.

We understand that Chester Upland School
District needs help from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

1 and the families and the children need to be educated. 2 This delegation will work in a bipartisan 3 way to see if we can find the necessary funding to keep 4 the School District afloat until the end of the year. 5 But I will make sure that every single 6 dollar that comes down from Harrisburg, hard-earned tax 7 dollars into this School District will be held 8 accountable, will be held accountable and put into the 9 classroom where it should be put in. Long-term solution, a lot of work yet, a 10 11 lot of work yet. But I want to thank everyone. I want 12 to thank Widener University for opening their doors to 13 our Committee here. 14 Thank you very much. 15 (Thereupon, at 3:35 p.m. the meeting 16 concluded.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	T TENNITEED I DEDMIDEZ a Courat Danastora
5	I, JENNIFER L. BERMUDEZ, a Court Reporter
6	in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby
7	certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
8	transcript of the deposition of said witness who was
9	first duly sworn by me on the date and place hereinbefore
	set forth.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
11	attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by,
12	any of the parties to the action in which this
13	deposition was taken, and further that I am not a
14	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed
15	in this action, nor am I financially interested in this
16	·
17	case.
18	
19	
20	
21	 JENNIFER L. BERMUDEZ
22	Court Reporter and Notary Public
23	
24	
25	