1			
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE		
4			
5	MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140		
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA		
7	PUBLIC HEARING		
8	GLOBAL ECONOMY		
9			
10	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2012 9:32 A.M.		
11			
12	BEFORE:		
13	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR.,		
14	Majority Chairman HONORABLE JOHN BEAR		
15	HONORABLE MARTIN CAUSER HONORABLE JIM CHRISTIANA		
16	HONORABLE GARY DAY HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER		
17	HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH		
18	HONORABLE GLEN GRELL HONORABLE THOMAS KILLION		
19	HONORABLE DAVID MILLARD HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO		
20	HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER		
21	HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY HONORABLE SCOTT PETRI		
22	HONORABLE TINA PICKETT		
23			
24	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR P. O. BOX 278		
25	MAYTOWN, PA 17550 717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX		

```
BEFORE: (cont'd)
1
     HONORABLE JEFFREY PYLE
     HONORABLE THOMAS OUIGLEY
3
     HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO
     HONORABLE CURT SONNEY
4
     HONORABLE JOSEPH MARKOSEK, Minority Chairman
     HONORABLE MATT BRADFORD
5
     HONORABLE MICHELLE BROWNLEE
     HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
     HONORABLE PAUL COSTA
6
     HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
7
     HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
     HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN
8
     HONORABLE JOHN SABATINA
    HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
     HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
9
     HONORABLE RONALD WATERS
10
11
     ALSO PRESENT:
12
     HONORABLE KERRY BENNINGHOFF
     HONORABLE JOHN EVANS
13
     HONORABLE RON MILLER
     HONORABLE BRENDAN BOYLE
14
     HONORABLE VANESSA LOWERY BROWN
     HONORABLE WILLIAM DEWEESE
15
     HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL
16
     ED NOLAN, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
    MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
17
     DAN CLARK, COMMITTEE CHIEF COUNSEL
18
19
20
21
                            BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
                            REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH	4	
4	OPENING REMARKS BY REP. MARKOSEK	6	
5	INTRODUCTIONS	7	
6	ALAN H. VAN NOORD, CFA	11	
7	CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER PENNSYLVANIA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM		
8			
9			
LO			
L1			
12			
L3			
L 4			
15			
L6			
L 7			
L 8			
L 9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good morning, everyone. I'd like to welcome everyone.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Today's the beginning of three weeks of budget hearings in the House of Representatives, obviously hosted by the House Appropriations

Committee.

These hearings are designed to allow House members and our constituents the opportunity to gain a more detailed understanding of the governor's budget proposal and how it will impact state government and the residents of PA.

While the budget proposal encompasses both fiscal and policy items, we must remember our primary focus is to look at the fiscal aspects of this budget.

Our task over the course of the next three weeks is to look at this proposed spending plan and other related economic factors to make sure the final budget enacted by this legislature is one that is balanced, financially sustainable and one that meets the needs of PA residents given the available resources.

We must take on this task, understanding the current economic landscape is one

1 | that is very different from the years past.

2 PA, like many other states, this country is

3 struggling to climb out of the financial hole

4 created by the great recession, states who are very

5 | much insulated by the impact of this economic

6 crisis due in large parts by the billions of

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

7 dollars of federal stimulus funds handed down.

Now, stimulus funds are history, and the states are forced to confront reality.

Fortunately here in PA, we started the process of bringing state spending in line with expected revenues last year. This has brought us closer to a more sustainable budget.

Despite the hard work of last year, we still find ourselves in a situation where state revenue is lower than expected. This should remind us all we are not out of the woods. We need to be innovative, and it is our responsibility, as the Appropriations Committee, to engage the departments that will come before us to find ways to be more productive with taxpayer dollars.

We should work to see what efficiencies can be gained and how new partnerships with the private sector can deliver state government services in the most cost effective manner.

In the end, these hearings will help us understand how we can mold this budget into a final spending plan that sets PA on a course of economic stability and prosperity.

1.3

2.3

I'd like to turn over now to Chairman Markosek for opening remarks.

REP. MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

And welcome everybody to the -- this year's version of our budget hearings.

As we all know, the governor has done his duty and proposed a state budget about a month ago now, and it's our job, over the next several weeks, to bring before us many of the folks who are part of the budget, who require spending from the budget, to come in front of us, tell us about what they do, justify what they do, answer the tough questions. And so that we, in the legislature, can sit down and put together a budget that is good for all Pennsylvanians.

As the Chairman mentioned, we do have tough times. That's nothing new. It's been that way now for a while. Last year, we saw a situation where we actually ended up with a lot more money on hand than was previously expected. We don't know

what will be this year. We see revenues coming in 1 2 roughly about or slightly above where they were last year. Although, certainly not to the extent 3 that those revenues have been expected to come. 4 It will be a tough budget, but we will 5 work together. We, the Democrats, pledge to work 6 7 with the Republicans, in a -- in as much of a bipartisan fashion as we can, to be part of the 8 9 budget, to be part of the budget negotiations, and 10 to move forward and represent all Pennsylvanians in 11 the best way that we know how. 12 With that, Mr. Chairman, I appreciate 1.3 the opening remarks. And I'll turn it back to you. 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman. 15 I would now like all the members of the 16 committee as well as staff that are sitting at the 17 tables to identify themselves, starting with my left. 18 19 DR. NOLAN: Ed Nolan, executive 20 director, Appropriations Committee. 21 MR. CLARK: Dan Clark, chief counsel, 22 Republican Appropriations Committee. 2.3 REP. KILLION: Tom Killion, Delaware

25 REP. CHRISTIANA: Jim Christiana,

and Chester Counties.

24

```
Beaver County.
1
2
                 REP. PYLE: Jeff Pyle, Armstrong and
3
     Indiana Counties.
                 REP. SONNEY: Curt Sonney, Erie County.
4
5
                 REP. ELLIS: Brian Ellis, Butler
6
     County.
7
                 REP. CAUSER: Good Morning. Marty
     Causer, McKean, Potter, Cameron.
8
                 REP. BEAR: John Bear, Lancaster
9
10
     County.
11
                 REP. PEIFER: Good morning. Mike
     Peifer, Pike County.
12
                 REP. O'NEILL: Yeah, Bernie O'Neill,
1.3
14
     Bucks County.
15
                 REP. GRELL: Good morning. Glen Grell,
16
     Cumberland County.
                 REP. DAY: Gary Day, Lehigh and Berks
17
18
     County.
19
                 REP. MILLARD: Dave Millard, Columbia
20
     County.
                 REP. GINGRICH: Good morning. Mauree
21
22
     Gingrich from Lebanon County. Welcome.
                 REP. SCAVELLO: Good morning. Mario
23
     Scavello, Monroe County.
24
25
                 REP. DENLINGER: Good morning. Gordon
```

```
Denlinger from eastern Lancaster County.
1
2
                  REP. BOYLE: Brendan Boyle,
3
     Philadelphia and Montgomery Counties.
                  REP. MARKOSEK: Yeah. State
 4
     Representative Joe Markosek, Allegheny,
5
6
     Westmoreland County.
                  MS. FOX: Miriam Fox, executive
7
8
     director, House Democratic Appropriations
     Committee.
9
10
                 REP. KULA: Deberah Kula, Fayette and
     Westmoreland Counties.
11
12
                  REP. SABATINA: John Sabatina,
13
     Philadelphia County.
14
                 REP. CONKLIN: Scott Conklin, Centre
15
     County.
16
                 REP. MAHONEY: Tim Mahoney, Fayette
17
     County.
                  REP. COSTA: Good morning, everybody.
18
19
     I'm Paul Costa from Allegheny County.
20
                  REP. O'BRIEN: Good morning.
                                                Mike
     O'Brien, Philadelphia.
21
22
                  REP. BROWNLEE: Good morning. Michelle
     Brownlee, Philadelphia.
2.3
24
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you,
25
     members and staff.
```

I just want to go over some ground rules. I want all the members to understand that we'll try to get everybody's questions in, so keep in mind it's a large committee, and keep your questions short and precise. And I had would ask the testifiers to do the same.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Sometimes a follow-up question is necessary. I understand that. And for the most part, we will go along with that. However, if we get bogged down on one question, I might have to interject to try to move on.

We will come to a second round of questions, so keep that in mind. Keep your -- keep in mind that you have colleagues that are waiting.

For the Democrats, please get your -whoever wants to ask a question to Chairman
Markosek, and for the Republicans, get them over
here to Dr. Nolan, so we can go in some type of
order. And I think we'll have a very successful
budget hearing.

Putting things in perspective so the constituents out there know, that we don't even have light bulbs in these things this year. So I hope everybody can see in front of you. I went to turn the light on, and there's no light bulbs in

here, so there's cost cutting going on -- well,

see, the Democrats have lights, so the Republicans

do not have light bulbs here on our side.

1.3

1.5

2.3

So -- but our first testifier, ladies and gentlemen, is Alan Van Noord, chief investment officer of the PA state employees' retirement system.

We invited Mr Van Noord to come and provide us with his take on the economy. Mr. Van Noord has a very unique perspective, given his role as an investment officer who oversees over \$50 billion worth of assets and makes investment decisions on how best to grow the value of the portfolio he manages.

It is imperative to remember that

Mr. Van Noord is here to talk about -- not here to

talk about the pension system, as we will hear from

that group later on this week. However, Mr. Van

Noord is here to share his perspective on the

economy and how the economy is reacting to current

economic circumstances before us.

I'd now like to welcome Mr. Alan Van Noord. Good morning.

MR. VAN NOORD: Thank you.

As was mentioned, my name is Alan Van

Noord. I am the chief investment officer for the PA Public School Employees' Retirement System.

With me is Jeff Clay, our chief executive officer.

Both Jeff and I will be back on Thursday for the budgetary hearings.

1.5

I was asked to give a global economic perspective, and what you have in front of you is the handout I would like to go over. This is typically the handout that the PSERS board would see. And Rep. Grell and Rep. Markosek are on that board.

But, basically, I start out with a market psychology, and it's a pendulum. It's Irrational despair and irrational exuberance, indicating undervalue and overvalue. And right now, it's sort of in the middle.

But some of the issues that we do talk about at each meeting, on the positive side, we are seeing leading economic indicator improving. This is very important for the equity market, because there's a very close correlation between the two.

We also are experiencing low interest rates, productivity is up with the corporations.

Corporations also have strong profits. They have lots of cash, and with lot of cash you can do

mergers, acquisitions, and buy backs.

1.5

2.3

We have an extension of current tax rebates incentives -- rates, I should say, and we are seeing, in the aggregate, state revenues increasing.

However, there are a number of negatives out there, and we will address a number of these as the presentation goes on, but commodity price inflation is one. Sovereign debt issues. We are dealing with housing woes yet. Unemployment does remain high.

We are dealing with political uncertainties, in particular Iran and what's happening right now with oil supplies.

De-leveraging and restructuring.

De-leveraging means reducing your debt profile,

going into an austerity mode. And we're seeing the

consumer, both voluntarily and involuntarily, being

delivered.

State and local budgets are under pressure in spite of rising revenues. The budget deficit at the national level is expected to be about \$1.3 trillion. We've seen a downgrade of U.S. debt securities this year. The debt ceiling was recently increased. We were at \$15.2 trillion.

They moved that up \$1.2 trillion.

1.3

2.3

We are experiencing a global economic slowdown. And we are experiencing a European bank uncertainty.

If you turn to the next page, a little background is necessary in looking at emerging markets versus developed market. First, in terms of population, developed markets represent about 15 percent of the total population out there, with emerging markets representing 85 percent. The largest emerging markets out there would be China, India, and Indonesia. The developed markets are generally considered to be the U.S., Canada, many European countries, Australia, et cetera.

When we look at Gross Domestic Product, the United States is the largest, at about 19.7 percent of total world-wide gross domestic product. The emerging markets, though, are really picking up a lot of speed. Right now, it's estimated that it's about 47.7 percent comes from emerging markets. The largest right now is China. China represents about 15 percent of total worldwide global domestic product. It's estimated that within five years China will surpass the U.S. and become the largest producer of goods and services.

In terms of market cap, this is, basically, the markets of each individual country. And, basically, market capitalization means number of shares times the price. U.S. is the largest right now, at 42.8 percent. The other markets represent about 57.2 percent. This by way of a little bit of background.

2.3

Now, on the next page is a chart which we do talk about, leverage along with the twin deficits. And there's been a lot written about Greece recently, but if you look at the other countries, in particular Ireland and Portugal, Spain, Italy, and Greece, there is a lot of debt relative to their gross domestic product.

Greece just had a marathon session and they came through with some sort of negotiation that I'm not completely aware of this morning what the details are, but apparently they -- it's a very fluid situation, and I can tell you that the European markets are a little soft this morning so it wasn't the greatest thing. Everybody sort of anticipated that Greek would come with some sort of resolution.

But this is a second time that the Greeks have received a bailout. This will be well

over a hundred thirty billion Euros, and it will be supplied by the IMF.

1.3

1.5

2.3

But you look at another country like Ireland, they have a hundred and eight percent of their sovereign debt, meaning their government debt relative to GDP. Portugal has a hundred and two percent. Spain, 70 percent. Italy, a hundred twenty-one percent. But Greece definitely stands out at a hundred sixty-three percent.

Then you look at the budget deficit relative to the GDP, which is the far column on the left. Ireland has a budget deficit relative to their GDP of 10.3 percent. You look at Greece right on the bottom, their budget deficit relative to their GDP is 8.9 percent.

Now, I can't help but notice also that the U.S. has a budget deficit right now of 10 percent of their GDP, and the debt represents 100 percent of real GDP. And this is the issue that we're talking about on the national level.

The budget deficit is estimated to be at \$1.3 trillion. We just raised the debt ceiling by \$1.2 trillion. So that means our new debt ceiling is at \$16.4 trillion.

Japan is sort of very unusual. They

have a hundred -- 200 percent of debt -- government debt relative to GDP, but most of that is financed internally.

1.3

2.3

On the next page, who really owns the debt, the sovereign debt? Most of the sovereign debt is owned by the banks. The problem you have here is the banks do not market the way they do it in the U.S. They keep it at par. So a Greek issue that's going to be marked down to 70 percent generally is hailed at a hundred percent on the books of the banks, and that's problematic in and of itself, because their central banking system has required that they increase their reserves. So in order to do that, they are curtailing lending in the European area.

Right now ECB, or the European Central Bank, is providing the necessary liquidity. In fact, they came out with about a 650 billion Euro loan to the banks at 1 percent. They, in turn, can loan it at 3 percent, so they are increasing their reserves through that mechanism.

Now, in the -- in the forth quarter, a number of the countries released their real GDP figures. And in the fourth quarter, the Euro zone did contract by three-tenths of 1 percent, or

annualized at a rate of 1.3 percent.

1.3

1.5

2.3

now that have two quarters of negative real GDP, which technically does put them into recession.

They were Portugal, Belgium, Italy, the

Netherlands, and Greece. And actually Greece's real GDP contracted by 18 percent in that particular quarter. So this is an ongoing problem that we continue to look at all the time.

On the next page, a positive is U.S. short-term interest rates. Chairman Bernanke has indicated that we're going to have low interest rates through 2014. This is very good news if you're a borrower, if you're looking to buy a house and you need a mortgage. It's bad news if you're a senior citizen looking to put money into a certificate of deposit.

It's good news if you're the state of -- or the Commonwealth of PA and you need to borrow money. A ten-year taxable right now is about 2.9 percent. A ten-year tax exempt can be floated at about 1.95 percent. Something to consider.

On the next page, corporations have a lot of cash on their balance sheet. And you can

see by this chart it keeps moving higher. They have approximately \$2 trillion. Now, a company that we're all very familiar with, Apple Computer, just reported that they have on their balance sheet \$97.2 billion in cash.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Corporations are holding cash for a lot of different reasons. Some of them that I do hear are, number one, this is cash in the aggregate.

Some of this cash is overseas. They are not going bringing it over here because they would be taxed on it.

Number two, a lot of corporations do have excess capacity so they're not building new factories.

And number three, the chief executive officers are still very conservative. They have fresh memories of the 2008 financial crisis.

On the next page, what we are seeing is they are adding to their debt level, and it's sort of a conundrum right now. With cash positions as high as they are, why would they be adding to their debt? Well, take into consideration a company like Verizon, which now has a dividend yield of 5.3 percent. They can go out into the debt market and borrow money at 3.5 percent. Interest is tax

deductible. Dividends are after tax. So they can actually do a pretty good arbitrage by buying back their stock and floating debt.

1.3

We're also seeing a lot of companies buying equipment because they have very, very favorable depreciation rates at the present time. So you can put equipment in, depreciate it to a hundred percent, and we're seeing companies do that.

We're also seeing companies borrowing
U.S. dollars and invest internationally. What
we're not seeing is a tremendous amount of increase
in plant equipment, but we are beginning to see
increases in employment.

On the next page, to understand inflation, I think, basically, you have to look at the composition. The last three quarters of last year, the CPI basically was unchanged, the Consumer Price Index. The Producer Price Index was actually down just a little bit.

But look at the composition of inflation in the U.S. "Other," at 47 percent, pertains primarily to wages and benefits. And what's happened to wages and benefits is they're just not going up. Thirty percent is derived from

rent, and the rent equivalent of your home is in there also. And home prices generally are not going up.

1.3

2.3

What we are seeing are increases in rental income. And we're also seeing a vacancy rate decrease.

Finally, food and energy, groceries are up. Crude oil is up. It's crossed over a hundred dollars a barrel. At service stations right now, it's about 3.70 for a gallon of unleaded gas.

Offset to that is we've had a pretty good winter, a very mild winter, so that's been a pretty good offset.

But bottom line, inflation affects people differently. Every family's situation can be different. To compound it, if you do have a youngster that's going to higher education, generally you're seeing big increases there.

On the next page, year-over-year change in food prices. Anybody that's going to the grocery store realizes that food prices have moved up.

But from a global standpoint, we saw a lot of global unrest in Arab nations last year. A lot of that was attributed to inflationary factors.

Food prices dramatically going up. So this is something that's very, very important. And it affects people at different income levels.

1.3

2.3

On the next page, in employment-topopulation ratio. We all have seen the employment
statistics. We've seen employment move from 9.1
percent in September of 2011 down to January's
number 8.3 percent. We've seen the four-week
moving average of unemployment claims move down
from 420,000 to 366,000. Private sector is adding
jobs. Public sector is actually reducing jobs,
whether at the federal level, the states, or at the
local level.

What this shows is back in January of 2000, we had 82 percent of those in the 24-to-54 age bracket actually working. What we're seeing right now is 75 percent of those in the 24-to-54 age group working. This is the employment-to-population ratio.

We are seeing people that are dropping out of the system. We are seeing people that are taking part-time work rather than full-time work.

We are seeing people that are unemployed.

To put this into perspective, we have, in Detroit, over 40 percent of those have been

unemployed for over fifty-two weeks. Same statistic for Atlanta. And in Philadelphia, the latest statistic I saw was 34 percent of those out of work have been out of work for fifty-two weeks or more.

1.3

1.5

2.3

On the next page is the employment cost index. You can see that it is positive, but it's very low. We've seen very low wage growth. And any offsets here have been offset by benefit increases that the employee has to pay. Then when you adjust it for inflation, you actually are pretty flat to probably down.

On the next page, the consumer is getting better. The consumer -- but the consumer still is behind on its bills. You look at this, this is as of 2011, still had \$96.8 billion in bills that were at least sixty days late. Seeing an improvement, but this is still a big problem.

On the next page, how are the consumers paying for the bills, we are seeing many, many consumers take out loans against their 401(k). This is sort of a new phenomena, and it's much different than past recessions, because past recessions you actually could -- you could actually get money out of your home equity loan. This time

around, with the house prices as weak as they are, you cannot tap your home equity loan anymore.

1.3

2.3

The other thing, the IRS has changed some of the regulations, in that you will not have a penalty if you pull money out of your 401(k) and use it for education expenses for your son or daughter. If you just use it for daily necessities, you do have a 10 percent penalty plus it's considered ordinary income. So we are seeing this.

We don't have the data yet for 2011, but I would imagine you'd see that move a little bit higher.

On the next page, the number of properties being foreclosed, it definitely is moving down. But we're still expecting about 2.75 million units that are either three months delinquent or being foreclosed on this year. Lots of homeowners are under water.

And we have talked to a number of mortgage servicing people, and they tell us that people tend to walk when their loan-to-value equals about a hundred forty percent. And we are starting to see more and more people at that level.

In many states, you can still live in

your house for six hundred and seven hundred days without paying a mortgage. And that is also another source of income that consumers can use to pay down debt or buy goods and services. But I do not believe that we are out of the woods yet with housing. I think we're going to see lower housing prices this year yet.

1.3

We are seeing a shift from owning to renting. And if you look at this chart, the blue would be home ownership, the red would be the renters. And, basically, the left-hand side would be the home ownership. The right-hand side would be the percent of renters.

Back in 1980, we had 66 percent of the households out there own their own home, 34 percent of them rented. We saw a bottom back in 1995, with home ownership at 64 percent and rentals at 36 percent.

We saw a peak, and pretty much coincidental with a run-up in home prices in 2004, with home ownership representing 69 percent of total units, with rents at 31 percent. And right now, that has come back down to about 66 percent home ownership, 34 percent rental income.

We are seeing a sharp increase in

rental rates, where we're seeing increases in new housing. It happens to be in multi-family.

2.3

I will say, though, that conditions are ripe for a turnaround in housing. The number of household formations being -- has increased significantly over the last couple of years.

Number two, when you look at the price of the house, it is substantially cheaper than it was once, and if you look at the interest rates, they're very, very low. So it is ripe for a turnaround.

On the next page, monetary policy worldwide has been very, very accommodative.

Central banks have lowered interest rates. They've repurchased fixed income securities, but it's fiscal policy that is very, very tight at the present time.

We're seeing the federal government -their stimulus programs have sort of exhausted, and
you bring forward things, and now we're into a sort
of a drag. We're starting to see austerity
programs pop up in Europe in particular. And in
the latest debt negotiation with Greece, the
Germans really demanded a lot of austerity from the
Greeks. So anybody that's looking to get a loan

from the International Monetary authority is going to be under pressure to increase austerity measures.

1.3

1.5

2.3

On the next page, mentioned before that, from a labor standpoint, the federal government, the states in the aggregate and locals are reducing their employment. And we do not expect federal governments to be a stimulus going forward. Rather, they're going to be a drag.

On page nineteen, this is sort of a different chart, and it needs a lot of explanation. If we consider each vertical bar and look at it in 2007, prior to the recession, and then look at it at the bottom in terms of the trough, this would be a percentage increase off of the trough. The -- the chart is a little bit outdated because it's as of the third quarter of 2011 -- we haven't received the fourth quarter statistics yet -- but what you can see is only high-end consumer retail is back to where it was prior to the start of the recession. The rest of these items are much below where they were.

This is a much, much different recovery than we've ever seen before. Typically, you'd see every one of these vertical bars towards the top,

rather than where they are right now.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Finally, on the last page, I do think we're into a new normal. I think we're looking at real GDP this year of 2 percent. We're looking at inflation of about 2 percent. And we're looking for ten-year treasuries to trade in the area of 2 percent to 3 percent.

There are some concerns that I have.

2012 is starting out much like it did in 2011. We had pretty strong economic growth the last part of 2010. The markets basically peaked in March, April of 2011 and trailed down in the second and third quarter. We saw employment actually bottom in March, and then it picked up till it hit a high again in September of 9.1 percent.

The market thus far has been very strong. We've had six good weeks. Two weeks have been relatively flat, the last two weeks. But I think, looking into -- forward, looking at 2013, there are two things out there that I think we have to be aware of.

Number one is the expiration of the Bush tax cuts, which means about \$240 billion. And we are going to be starting spending cuts.

I already mentioned that Europe, I

```
believe, is in a recession. China, the very large
1
2
     economic power out there, has experienced a policy-
3
     induced slowdown, but I don't think that that's
     going to a big consideration because they are going
 4
     to change leadership come this fall, and I think
5
     they're going to do everything they can to prop up
6
7
     that economy.
                  So this concludes my remarks.
8
9
     you.
10
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                    Thank you, Mr. Van
11
     Noord, for your presentation.
12
                  I have a very quick question that maybe
1.3
     you can help explain it to me regarding the payroll
     tax cut that we hear so much about. This is
14
15
     actually the percentage of the Social Security
16
     contribution rate that employees have been paying.
     Is that correct?
17
                 MR. VAN NOORD: That's correct.
18
19
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. And so there's
20
     X amount of dollars now no longer going into the
     Social Security fund. Is that correct?
21
22
                 MR. VAN NOORD: That's correct.
2.3
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                    Okay. On a macro
24
     level, what do you think they're thinking of with
25
     that? I was always under the impression, the last
```

couple years, that our Social Security fund was kind of underfunded, and I know previous administrations have been trying to figure out a way to get this stabilized, so when we get to 2024, that folks will have Social Security to look forward to. And I'm trying to think of this philosophy where the -- it's better off not to contribute into this fund for the economy.

1.3

2.3

MR. VAN NOORD: The intent of the payroll tax is to try and prod the consumer to spend more. Theoretically, and only theoretically, if the consumer spends more will it spur the economy to higher levels. If the consumer decides to save or the consumer decides to pay down debt, it does nothing to increase the -- the rate of economic growth.

And you are absolutely correct, you're borrowing from Peter to pay Paul. And at some point in time, you have to pay the piper.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: It's kind of interesting, you know, during this entire debate -- and the debate's been going on for about a year now in Washington -- I'm trying to keep this on a macro level -- and when you talk to so many of our constituents, you know, whether they are retired or

approaching retirement age, you know, they're 1 2 always concerned about Social Security. 3 And it seems like to me, that during this debate, no one's talking about where this 4 payroll tax cut, which in my opinion is just a 5

decrease in the defined benefit program, is 7 hurting. And that subject never comes up. And I

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know you, that deals with the pension plans, would 8 9 have a perspective on this.

MR. VAN NOORD: It sounds vaquely familiar to what we've experienced here in PA with the pension system. And it sounds vaguely familiar to the resolution that the House and Senate came to in 2010, but, yes, if you underfund, whether it's a Social Security system or a pension system, for an extended period of time, you have to put more money into it at a later point in time, so, in essence, it is sort of like kicking the can down the road.

> CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you.

Chair Markosek.

REP. MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

A couple of housekeeping things here, first. Rep. Bradford from Montgomery County is

with us. Rep. Matt Smith from Allegheny County and Rep. Ron Waters from Philadelphia County are also present.

I really -- I've heard the presentation before, as you know, as you mentioned being on the PSERS board, and it is very good information to hear.

And I think, if we can just assume, for a second -- and I know that's maybe a bad word to use around economists -- but that we don't have a major crisis in Europe of either economic or political crisis with Iran or, you know, worldwide, how is the economy perking along as we move forward? You know, assuming that anything major doesn't happen, do you predict that the economy is getting better? To what extent? Are we kind of holding our own? Are we getting slightly better?

It seems like more people are working, although certainly nowhere near what we'd like to see there.

And just would like your thoughts on all of that.

MR. VAN NOORD: If you look at the economic growth in the United States last year, the first quarter of last year, we were up four-tenths

of one percent. We increased each quarter until the fourth quarter, we actually were up 2.8 percent.

1.3

1.5

2.3

However, 1.9 percent of that actually came from inventory building, and at some point in time, people will draw down on that inventory. I personally think that we are into what's called a new normal, in that we will have slow economic growth for several years yet. We will be dealing with some subdued inflationary pressures, under 2 percent, and we will be dealing with low interest rates.

The economy definitely has the look and feel like it is getting better. The leading economic indicators out there would verify that.

The stock market itself has been doing quite well.

Remember we bottomed in October. The four quarter was strong. The first quarter thus far is pretty strong. But there still are major concerns out there.

REP. MARKOSEK: The interest rates currently that we see have really been pretty much next to zero for many of the bonds and borrowing. And you just mentioned that, you know, some analysts and economists had indicated that they

would remain pretty much the same through 2014, I 1 2 believe you said. Am I correct in that? 3 MR. VAN NOORD: Correct. The best economist out there right now is Chairman Bernanke 4 5 of the federal reserve system. And the chairman has indicated that the economy is not strong. It's 6 7 weak. We have to do everything we can to support it. So he has indicated that interest rates will 8 stay low for -- until 2014. We are talking about a 10 couple years yet. 11 REP. MARKOSEK: Okay. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman. 1.3 I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Rep. Quigley of Montgomery County, Rep. Bear of 14 15 Lancaster County, and Rep. Mustio of Allegheny 16 County. At this time I'd like to acknowledge 17 18 Rep. Dave Millard for questions. 19 REP. MILLARD: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. 21 Mr. Van Noord, excuse my back here, 22 but, two questions for you, and I'm going to, in an 2.3 effort to save time here, ask both of them, and ask

25 First of all, slide seven of your

you to expand upon your answers.

24

presentation states that corporations continue to cling to their cash. And then slide eight follows this up with a statement that corporations are also adding to their debt. Now, these two statements contradict each other. And can you explain why cash reserves and debt levels of corporations are rising simultaneously?

1.3

2.3

And the second question, I guess, you know, our air waves and the print media are all flush with economists and forecasters reporting that corporations are flush with cash, and your slide seven indicates that at a level of about 2.1 trillion, and you mentioned also that the national debt deficit is 1.3 trillion.

A lot of articles all over the places, again going back to these two slides, that businesses are flush with cash, and I guess the big question is why they're not spending it in investing in production or hiring back workers.

And, you know, given your experience, can you give us some insight with regards to these two concerns?

Thank you.

MR. VAN NOORD: I think that's a very, very good question. And each corporation is going

to have a different reason why they would want to have their own particular cash position on hand.

So it's difficult to go corporation by corporation.

1.3

1.5

2.3

But I think that there are a couple reasons. Number one, when you look at the cash position, it's cash in the aggregate, so it includes foreign subsidiaries. A lot of the corporations are not moving their cash from their foreign subsidiaries to home corporation levels because of a tax that they would have to pay. If that tax was not there, I'm sure you'd see a lot of them move their cash from foreign subsidiaries to their home corporation.

Number two, I think that there still is a lot of excess capacity, so a lot of the corporations are not doing big, big, big projects.

I also should mention that the reciprocal of adding to the debt would indicate that the cash positions have moved up. So that would be another reason.

But I hear from some corporations that the chief executive officer generally has not been there more than, you know, two, three, four years. There's been a lot of turnover in that executive

office. And they just basically want to be conservative. They're listening to the financial officer that went through a financial crisis in 2008, in which he did not have liquidity, and he wants to have as much liquidity as possible out there to pay bills. And he's listening to the marketing person who says, you know, I'm seeing business increase. But they still want to be very, very conservative.

1.3

There are also tax reasons why a corporation might want to add to its debt level. And I mentioned Verizon as an example. Their common stock yields 5.3 percent. They can go into the market and loan money at 3.5 percent. The interest payable is tax deductible whereas the dividend payment is an after-tax expense. So they're -- you can create a nice arbitrage with a high-yield stock.

The other thing is, the depreciation rules are such that basically you can write off all your equipment in one year, so you might want to consider using debt for that.

But it is one of these questions that remains on everybody's mind, why all the cash? Why does Apple need to have \$97.8 billion on hand?

```
So, interesting question.
1
                                              Very, very
2
     good question.
 3
                  REP. MILLARD:
                                 Thank you.
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
 4
                  Rep. O'Brien.
 5
                  REP. O'BRIEN:
 6
                                 Thank you,
7
     Mr. Chairman.
                  Thanks to Rep. Millard's line of
8
     question, my question's going to be rather
9
10
     truncated. And I am just taken back that there's
11
     $2 trillion liquid. And I'm absolutely taken back
12
     that Apple has $97.8 billion in reserve, cash.
     Cash.
1.3
14
                  Now, it's my understanding that Apple
15
     does most of their manufacturing in foreign
16
     markets; correct?
                  MR. VAN NOORD: I don't know what the
17
18
     exact percentage is, but I would have to assume
19
     that, yes.
20
                  REP. O'BRIEN: So, my truncated
21
     question, on the government policy side of the
22
     equation, what would the Commonwealth of
2.3
     PA have to do to stimulate that manufacturing?
24
     What would be sound government policy to bring
25
     those jobs home?
```

```
MR. VAN NOORD: Well, I think that's a
1
2
     very, very difficult question for me to answer.
3
     The Commonwealth does not have monetary authority,
     so it cannot print money. It has to create
 4
     incentives that might bring that money back, but
5
     what specifics are out there, I think that's a
6
7
     question reserved more for the fiscal agency and
     for the revenue commission.
8
                  REP. O'BRIEN: Take a stab.
9
10
                  MR. VAN NOORD: I can't answer the
11
     question.
12
                  REP. O'BRIEN:
                                 Thank you very much.
1.3
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                    Thank you.
14
                  Rep. Gordon Denlinger.
15
                  REP. DENLINGER:
                                   Thank you,
16
     Mr. Chairman.
                  And thank you for your testimony,
17
18
     Mr. Van Noord.
19
                  One question, kind of relates to Rep.
20
     O'Brien's question that he just asked. In your
21
     discussion about the growth -- or the stagnation of
22
     wages, in fact, some declines, even considering
2.3
     benefits and so forth, it sparks some curiosity
24
     with me over rates of wage and thinking about sort
25
     of that trilateral comparative between Europe, the
```

North American economy, and Asia, China, which is, of course, coming online here in a powerful way.

We know we're going through a time when the Chinese economy is expanding, growing, and Chinese employees are going to expect higher wages and a better style of life.

1.3

1.5

2.3

I'm wondering where are we in the continuum of seeing, I guess, the wage comparative between the North American economy and China starting to balance out in such a way that companies like Apple or whoever else might, you know, relook at those economics and say, you know, it makes a lot more sense to bring those manufacturing jobs back home, less people transferring them out.

I'm just wondering, are we, you know -within the next five year, are we going to see
enough equalization that we might start to see the
reverse of that outsourcing of jobs?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VAN NOORD: Very, very good question.

I do not have specifics in terms of numbers in terms of what the actual wage rates are between the different countries. It's my understanding, however, when talking with corporate

leaders, that more of them are considering moving manufacturing capacity back in the United States. We've seen that particularly with the automotive industry, where a lot of the European companies have moved production over here, based on, you know, a whole host of factors, including currency valuations.

1.3

So there definitely is a trend to move more and more jobs here, as we become more and more competitive. And I think that is a trend that will continue going forward.

REP. DENLINGER: That's positive.

One other quick question, if I may, and it relates to our role as policy makers here. You mentioned some things that are, frankly, concerning long-term trends, move toward more renting as opposed to home ownership. People tapping into their retirement savings on a more significant way, potentially for college education or whatever else, maybe to get by. Bad decision, long term. Just a number of things, you know, less people in the job market, as your slide indicated, less productive employment going on.

Of those major negative long-term trends, which one or two do you think we, as policy

makers, would be best, most wise to attack or go after? Is it the home ownership issue longer term? Is it the tapping into retirements? Where do you think the big bar is in terms of our policy directions in the future?

1.3

2.3

MR. VAN NOORD: Again, those are very, very good and thought-provoking questions. Home ownership has always been sort of like the cornerstone of a family's balance sheet, and once you stop that decline, I think the consumer is going to have confidence. And once the consumer has his confidence back, I think that you'll see increases in spending patterns and you'll see growth in the economy. So whatever could be done to help on the home front.

Now, quite frankly, PA never went through the rapid increases like a state -- like California or Florida. So the fall has not been as intense as it has been in California or in Florida. It is my understanding that there still are about 25,000 homes in PA that are being foreclosed or in the process of being foreclosed, but that's a small number compared to a state like Florida, which is experiencing probably 500,000 homes being foreclosed on.

But I think that home ownership has 1 2 always been the cornerstone of a family's wealth, and once they feel like their wealth is increasing, 3 they're going to be more confident about spending. 4 5 REP. DENLINGER: Very good. Thank 6 you. 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 8 Thank you. 9 Rep. Matt Smith. 10 REP. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Van Noord, for your 11 12 testimony today. 1.3 Just a few questions as it relates to 14 the various contraction and austerity measures that 15 you detail both in this country and in other countries. And I'm just wondering, what is your 16 17 opinion on sort of the general contraction at the 18 federal government level as it relates to GDP 19 growth or GDP decrease in growth? Is there a 20 connection there between the austerity and contraction measures and GDP growth? 21 22 MR. VAN NOORD: The one chart that I 2.3 did have would indicate that the federal government 24 is going to be a drag on real GDP growth. So there 25 is a very good connection between austerity

programs.

1.3

1.5

2.3

You know, you can look to Greece as now being the example. They have gone through five years of contraction. And every time they get a loan from the International Monetary Fund, they are required to go through another austerity program.

Once you keep pulling back like that, it does present sort of like this stone that's rolling downhill. And now in the latest quarter, the Greek GDP contracted at 18 percent, so that's a very large number. And yet, in the most recent budget or loan negotiations, it is my understanding that the Germans have decided that the Greeks need more austerity.

It's one of the issues you run into when you have seventeen nations that have shared the common currency, and you have some nations that are very good export nation, like Germany, but then you have a nation like Greece, which basically doesn't have an export economy. It's another problem when you have an European Union, which includes twenty-seven countries. So you have twenty-seven countries in a European Union. You've got seventeen countries in a Euro base.

REP. SMITH: It's sort of taking that

example, and in some ways you can almost look at the independent EU countries as independent states of the larger EU, Greece being one of them, Italy, et cetera, et cetera.

1.3

1.5

2.3

And so that sort of brings me to my next question as it relates to the states here at home.

applicable to the states, where there's state contraction and austerity measures, that there would be sort of a drag in the state's economy? So that if a state drastically reduces spending and expenditures and that causes a net results in loss of jobs, particularly on the public sector side, that that would then be a drag on that particular state's economy.

MR. VAN NOORD: That would be correct.

REP. SMITH: You had mentioned earlier one thing that I thought was interesting, that your forecast and the chairman -- Chairman Bernanke's forecast on future interest rates is that for the foreseeable future they would be, obviously, very low, and that that would, obviously, be bad for savers, good for borrowers.

Can you elaborate on -- you know, the

timing of borrowing I think is something that gets
lost in the shuffle in our debate on debt -- and
whether, in your opinion, it makes sense for -- if
there is a need to borrow, that that borrowing
occur within this window where we're going to have
drastically reduced interest rates?

1.3

2.3

MR. VAN NOORD: I mentioned, in particular, where the Commonwealth right now should be able to borrow money. I mention that only in the context of having another arrow or -- in your quiver in case you might need to do something along that line.

And when you can borrow at 1.95 percent for ten years tax exempt or 2.9 percent on PA taxable issue, it is something that you at least should have in the back of your mind.

Whether you decide as a group to issue more debt, you know, that is an Appropriation Committee decision. And I can't recommend.

REP. SMITH: Sure. What is -- where does PA fit in right now in terms of its debt rating to other states?

MR. VAN NOORD: PA right now is a AA.

There are three groups: Moody's, S and P, and

Fitch. And all of them have AA plus or minus. So

it's a AA. 1 REP. SMITH: Which is -- where does 2 3 that fit in among other states and on the scale of --4 MR. VAN NOORD: AAA is the best rating, 5 so it's a notch below that. And I honestly don't 6 7 know what all the other fifty states, I can't give But I can easily get you that 8 you that. 9 information if you would like that. REP. SMITH: That would be great. 10 MR. VAN NOORD: 11 Sure. 12 REP. SMITH: You can certainly direct 1.3 it through Chairman Adolph and Chairman Markosek. 14 I appreciate that. Sure. 1.5 MR. VAN NOORD: 16 REP. SMITH: Just one final question. You had mentioned the debate in 17 18 Washington on the extension of the payroll tax cuts and extension of unemployment compensation 19 20 insurance. 21 In your opinion, what would be more 22 stimulative to the economy? That combination of 2.3 immediate payroll tax cuts, where the individuals 24 are saving more money through their biweekly 25 paycheck and/or they're continuing to receive those

unemployment benefits, versus the stimulative effect of something like the Bush tax cuts, that you've mentioned are set to expire in 2013, I think you had mentioned to the tune of 240 billion a year as a cost to the federal government? What would be more stimulative as a short-term jolt to the economy?

1.3

MR. VAN NOORD: In general, economists feel that unemployment -- actually, you would get probably a factor of 1 to 1.2 stimulative effect to the economy. Basically, if you're on unemployment, you are going to spend a hundred percent of what you get. A payroll tax, in order for it to work, you need to spend it. But a lot of people, I think, are going to save it, or they're going to pay down debt with it. If the latter two occur, you really do not stimulate the economy.

REP. SMITH: And how about with respect to the Bush tax cuts, because the thing that worries me there is, that something similar to what Rep. O'Brien asked about is -- is corporate -- corporations are sitting on cash reserves. Is that a possibility with those particular tax cuts for those making over \$200,000 that, like the payroll tax cut example you mentioned, that that money will

not actually be spent, it will actually be saved or put into some form of a restricted access account?

1.3

2.3

MR. VAN NOORD: I think that the important thing right now is confidence. And the two items I mentioned, the Bush tax cut along with the spending cuts, are both policy decisions.

Policy decisions can be changed at any point in time. But how do you have confidence that it's not going to change or that it will change next year?

You don't know for certain if you're going to be paying a higher tax rate, the same tax rate, or something lower. It's — there's going to be a lot of debate on those items this year.

So I think if corporations had more confidence in the tax policies at the federal level, I think they would be more free to invest their cash because they can think more long term. One year from now, things could be entirely different than where they are.

REP. SMITH: And just one final question, Mr. Chairman.

Do you -- do you think that -- correct me if I'm wrong -- but one of the things I'm taking away from your testimony is that we sort of have to move on two sort of mutually exclusive tracks, one

of which is short-term stimulus, short-term dollars 1 2 into the market, creating demand, and the other track is a longer term, a five-, ten-, fifteen-year 3 plan that provides some certainty with respect to 4 tax rates, with respect to reducing the debt and 5 that sort of thing, and moving on those two tracks, 6 7 I think is difficult, but that seems to be what you're saying, that there's a need for a short-term 8 jolt, but, also, at the same time, a need for a 9 10 longer term certainty to hopefully remove some of 11 that cash from the corporate balance sheets. 12 MR. VAN NOORD: That would be correct. 1.3 The positive thing right now is, I don't think 14 there are many economists or any economists out 1.5 there that are looking for contraction in our Gross 16 Domestic Product this year or next year. It's -but it's basically very, very slow growth, and 17 18 that's much different than any other recovery that

REP. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Rep. Ron Miller of York County, chairman of the

we've had in the past. And I think it's going to

a normalized growth rate.

be -- it's going to take time for us to get back to

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Labor Committee. Thank you for joining us. 1 2 Rep. Brian Ellis has the next question. REP. ELLIS: Thank you very much, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 Mr. Van Noord, thank you very much for 5 coming in today. 6 7 At the very beginning of your presentation, you started touching on the 8 geopolitical factors that enter into the market 9 10 psychology, specifically with Iran. Were you 11 referring to gas prices at that point? 12 MR. VAN NOORD: Yes. There's a lot of 13 tension right now. And the European Union has put 14 sanctions out there. They're curtailing their 15 purchases from Iran in the tune of 600,000 barrels a day. We're hearing that Lloyd's of London has 16 17 stopped insuring tankers in that particular area. 18 So there's a lot of tension right now, and we've seen that reflected in gasoline prices. 19 20 Higher gasoline prices do act as a 21 tax. So the higher the gasoline price is going, 22 and, basically, it's become an evening news item. 23 Every night they talk about where gasoline is and where people project it's going to go. 24

REP. ELLIS: I guess, specifically,

25

what I'm asking, you know, four years ago, when the Obama administration took over, gas was a little under 1.80 a gallon. Now we're looking at it going upwards of 4 and beyond.

1.3

At that point -- when -- is there a trigger point where gas prices are so high that this slow growth trend could be reversed, where folks will say, like, Oh, my gosh, it's \$4 a gallon, I'm not spending it on anything because I need to do that? Is there a trigger point in your mind where PA's economy is really going to suffer because of the gas price specifically?

MR. VAN NOORD: There definitely has to be a trigger point in which that takes place. With each individual, it's going to be little bit different. I can't give a good feel. But what I can tell you, that gasoline is like a tax. You have X number of dollars in personal disposal income. If you see a reduction in that, that means you can't spend it some other place. So if you have increases in fuel cost, definitely you have to readjust your balance.

Now, fortunately, we, in PA, have experienced a relatively mild winter, so that is a nice offset. But higher gasoline prices definitely

are a concern that I have.

1.3

2.3

If I can just touch on one other area, if you can help me understand a little better. On slide thirteen, you list it as behind on the bills. How does that affect the economy in PA, the fact that, you know, nationwide, \$96.8 billion is sixty days late on mortgages and other bills? How does that translate and affect us? And what can we do to try to curb that in PA? And I guess also, is PA one of the worst states? Are we in the middle? Or do you have any information along those lines?

REP. ELLIS: Okay. I appreciate that.

MR. VAN NOORD: I'm sorry. I do not have specific information. It's very possible the next two groups will have very, very specific information. It's my understanding that we were going to go from the global, the macro, to the micro. So very likely they would have very specific information.

But if you're sixty days behind, generally, you're going to start, you know, working with a bank or working with a work-out group to bring your payments up to where they should be.

You're not going to be granted extensions on your credit. So any time you pull back on credit, you

are going to impact the economy. 1 2 Now, fortunately, this is going in the 3 right direction, but, you know, it's still not where it should be or where it was prior to the 4 start of the financial crisis that we had in 2008. 5 REP. ELLIS: But, overall, you think 6 7 we're going to continue on that number going down. Yes. MR. VAN NOORD: 8 9 REP. ELLIS: Okay. Thank you very 10 much. 11 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 12 Representative. 1.3 Next question is Rep. Mauree Gingrich. 14 REP. GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Van Noord. I think your 17 presentation was excellent and obviously has 18 generated a lot of discussion and a lot on the 19 corporate level, which was important, and thank you 20 for answering all those. 21 I'm inclined to ask a little bit more 22 about what's going on with the 401(k) plans, and 2.3 I'll tell you why and share your thoughts with me. 24 We -- we have an escalating older 25 population here in PA. And, of course, we know

there's a price to longevity. When we look at 1 2 people accessing their 401(k) plans, which, in the private sector is their retirement plan in this 3 particular time and framework, people are living 4 5 longer. This, I guess, is going to require them to work longer as well, if you're going to be 6 7 borrowing against the 401(k) and having an opportunity now to do it, in some cases, without 8 9 penalty, I would assume that, with most students 10 wanting to go on to higher education and areas that 11 are accessible to these funds, we may see more of 12 that. 1.3 I'm looking at this bar graph, and it's a significant increase. 14 15

What is your thought, long term, on that piece of the economy? Aside from everything that's going on -- economy at large and corporations and their decisions and building jobs -- access to the 401(k)s in the numbers we're looking at on the chart, do you see that continuing? And do you agree with me on that having a significant impact?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. VAN NOORD: I would agree with you that it does have a significant impact. You mentioned the senior citizen out there. With CD

rates where they're at right now, the senior citizen is really being harmed greatly. It affects his current income level.

1.3

When we see increases in drawdowns from the 401(k), that's very disturbing, which means -- it means you're not saving enough for retirement.

And if you're not saving enough for retirement, you can't retire. So, therefore, you're in the work force longer, and that affects unemployment.

The statistics we're seeing right now in terms of cash balances or balances in a 401(k) are very disturbing. I mean, they're under \$50,000. And when you have \$50,000 in a 401(k), and you're looking for a fixed income investment, you're just -- you're just not able to live the lifestyle that you had once hoped you would live.

So it is a very alarming statistics. The statistics I showed in there were for the year ending December 31 of 2010. Every indication we've seen is that 2011 will be very, very similar, and it will be higher.

I would hope at some point in time that would reverse, but, you know, you are right on in the statement that you made.

REP. GINGRICH: I'm concerned about

that cycle, because it's a cycle that you just described. Can't retire because you can't afford to retire, have to keep the jobs, so the jobs are not available, and, ultimately, we end up with a very poor elderly population, which comes back, of course, on the government as we make our policies decisions and how we're going to care for those people.

So I really appreciate you having that chart in there along with all the other good information.

Thank you, Mr. Van Noord.

MR. VAN NOORD: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

15 Representative.

1.3

2.3

Seeing no other questions, I want you thank you, Mr. Van Noord, for a very interesting and informative presentation and looking forward to be working with you during this budget process.

MR. VAN NOORD: Thank you. We do thank you for the opportunity to be here. We consider ourselves a resource for you. If there's ever anything that we can do, please call. And we look forward to seeing you on Thursday.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

1	For the members' knowledge, the next
2	hearing will start immediately at 11 o'clock.
3	Thank you.
4	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded
5	at 10:44 a.m.)
6	
7	* * * *
8	
9	
L 0	
L1	
12	
13	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public

1					
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA				
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE				
4					
5	MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140				
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA				
7	PUBLIC HEARING				
8	INDEPENDENT FISCAL OFFICE				
9					
10	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2012 11:02 A.M.				
11					
12	BEFORE:				
13	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR.,				
14	Majority Chairman HONORABLE JOHN BEAR				
15	HONORABLE MARTIN CAUSER HONORABLE JIM CHRISTIANA				
16	HONORABLE GARY DAY HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER				
17	HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH				
18	HONORABLE GLEN GRELL HONORABLE THOMAS KILLION				
19	HONORABLE DAVID MILLARD HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO				
20	HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER				
21	HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY HONORABLE SCOTT PETRI				
22	HONORABLE TINA PICKETT				
23					
24	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR P. O. BOX 278				
25	MAYTOWN, PA 17550 717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX				

```
BEFORE: (cont'd)
1
     HONORABLE JEFFREY PYLE
     HONORABLE THOMAS OUIGLEY
3
     HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO
     HONORABLE CURT SONNEY
4
     HONORABLE JOSEPH MARKOSEK, Minority Chairman
     HONORABLE MATT BRADFORD
5
     HONORABLE MICHELLE BROWNLEE
     HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
     HONORABLE PAUL COSTA
6
     HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
7
     HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
     HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN
8
     HONORABLE JOHN SABATINA
    HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
     HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
9
     HONORABLE RONALD WATERS
10
11
     ALSO PRESENT:
12
     HONORABLE KERRY BENNINGHOFF
     HONORABLE JOHN EVANS
13
     HONORABLE RON MILLER
     HONORABLE BRENDAN BOYLE
14
     HONORABLE VANESSA LOWERY BROWN
     HONORABLE WILLIAM DEWEESE
15
     HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL
16
     ED NOLAN, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
    MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
17
     DAN CLARK, COMMITTEE CHIEF COUNSEL
18
19
20
21
                            BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
                            REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH	4	
4	MATTHEW KNITTEL	5	
5	DIRECTOR INDEPENDENT FISCAL OFFICE		
6			
7			
8			
9			
LO			
L1			
12			
L3			
L 4			
15			
L6			
L 7			
L 8			
L 9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

The next hearing will be with the Independent Fiscal Office. It's my pleasure to introduce Matthew Knittel, the director of the Independent Fiscal Office as well as Mark Ryan, the deputy director, and Jennifer Boger, senior budget analyst.

Good morning.

1.3

2.3

By way of background for the members, the Independent Fiscal Office was created by Act 120 of 2010. The Independent Fiscal Office was created to provide a nonpartisan fiscal analysis of economic, revenue, and budget issues to assist the general assembly and the governor.

The 2011-2012 budget made an appropriation of \$1.9 million to this office. The director was hired on September 19th, 2011, and there are currently three employees. The office expects to be fully staffed by this fall, with total a total of ten to eleven employees.

The major mandatory obligations of this office are, number one, publish a five-year outlook; number two, convene a revenue conference; and, number three, produce an initial revenue

estimate by May 1st of each fiscal year and produce
an official estimate by June 15th of each fiscal
year.

Thank you. And without further ado, Mr. Director, the time's yours.

1.3

2.3

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Thank you.

Chairman Adolph, Chairman Markosek, and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today.

The Independent Fiscal Office was created to provide revenue projections for the annual budget process and impartial fiscal analysis of economic, revenue, and budgetary issues. The office seeks to provide the public and the general assembly with information that can be used to evaluate the potential fiscal and economic implication of policy decisions.

Within that role, the office might estimate the economic or fiscal impact of a proposed policy, but will not recommend, support, or oppose any specific proposal.

For all analysis, the office will explain the methodology used as well as any assumptions or research that are incorporated therein.

I'd like to direct my brief comment towards three issues: the current status of the office, the economic outlook for 2012, and the revenue outlook for the rest of the fiscal year.

1.3

2.3

Turning to the office status, I started in my capacity as director of the Independent Fiscal Office on September 19. Two additional staff joined in November and December so that the office currently employs three individuals.

We anticipate hiring two more staff during the next few weeks. And we expect that the office will be fully staffed by the end of the fall, at which point the office will have ten to eleven individuals.

For FY '12-'13, the executive budget proposed an appropriation of 1.805 million. And after taking into account expected operating costs and miscellaneous, one-time expenditures, we do anticipate that that appropriation will be sufficient to fund the office for the current fiscal -- the next fiscal year once we're fully staffed.

Since its establishment a little more than four months ago, the office has published a five-year outlook report, convened a revenue

conference, and established an office website, and we view those achievements as basic templates for future activity, and we will expand upon those efforts once the office if fully staffed and acquired the necessary data and statistical packages to perform more rigorous analysis.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Turning to the economic outlook, the table submitted with this testimony details selected series from the economic forecast provided by IHS Global Insight for January 2012. That forecast was used for the recent release of the executive budget.

The 2012 forecast has weakened since the official estimate for the current fiscal year was released last June. The weaker economics explain much of the decline in expected revenues for the year.

For both the U.S. and PA, real output and employment were revised down for most years, while unemployment rates were revised up.

The January economic forecast calls for modest real growth for the Commonwealth for 2012 of 1.6 percent and modest real growth in nominal wage income of 3.5 percent. The projected unemployment rate remains at 7.9 percent for the year, and

average unemployment -- average employment levels increased by 66,000 jobs.

1.3

1.5

2.3

The forecast also suggests that the housing market bottomed out in 2011, as existing home sales and new homes sales increase in 2012.

Overall, we believe that the economic forecast is plausible but leans slightly pessimistic. Some very recent economic reports suggest that growth could be a bit more robust than the current forecast.

At the revenue conference the office hosted last month, several presenters commented on the improving outlook for states. The presentation by the National Conference of State Legislatures show that the state revenue collections are now generally in line with projections. Another presentation from the Philadelphia Federal Reserve show that leading economic indicators for the Commonwealth are positive, suggesting a guardedly optimistic outlook.

We believe that if the labor market continues to improve, then it will bolster consumer confidence and imply additional spending, hiring, and investment.

Turning to the year-to-date revenues,

through January, year-to-date general fund tax revenues have fallen short of the levels projected at the time the budget was enacted, by \$497 million, approximately 3.5 percent. However, they have shown a modest increase year over year of \$326 million, approximately 2.4 percent.

1.3

2.3

Looking forward to the remainder of the fiscal year, we expect a modest final payment for April personal income taxes; continued growth in sale taxes, especially motor vehicle taxes, which have shown strong growth for the first half of the fiscal year; a weak final payment for corporations; and realty transfer tax payments that slowly reflect an improving housing market.

Overall, we do not anticipate a spring surprise similar to the one that occurred last year when we had a surge in personal income and corporate income tax final payments; however, we do anticipate that revenues will reflect an economy that is gradually gaining strength.

We also anticipate significant head winds from the scheduled expiration of many federal tax provisions in 2013. The expiring provisions include the payroll tax cut; reduced capital gains and dividend tax rates; lower tax

rate brackets; higher child tax credits; the reinstatement of limitations on the itemized deductions and personal exemption phaseout, known as PEP and Pease; and the elimination of all federal bonus depreciation and higher Section 179 expensing thresholds.

1.3

1.5

2.3

Automatic spending cuts are also scheduled to occur. If current law remains unchanged, these actions will have a significant impact on the disposable income of Commonwealth residents and general fund tax revenues. The office is currently working to quantify that impact as part of its preliminary revenue estimate on May 1st.

Thank you. And I'd be happy to answer any questions that you might have.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

I have just a couple short, little questions regarding the Independent Fiscal Office itself. Where are you located?

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We're currently located in the North Office Building, on the first floor.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: North Office Building.

```
DIRECTOR KNITTEL: And we're scheduled
1
2
     to move next week to the Rachel Carson Building, on
3
     the second floor.
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. And we had
 4
     appropriated $1.9 million to your office for the
5
     current fiscal year, and based upon -- we're
6
7
     looking at the entire Independent Fiscal Office
     right now?
8
9
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct.
10
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You three folks?
11
            The $1.9 million, I'm sure, is not being
12
     divided among you three; is that correct?
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That is correct.
1.3
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: That's correct.
14
15
     Okay.
16
                  So we should have some excess money
17
     left over in the Independent Fiscal Office come
     June 30th?
18
19
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct.
                                                       Wе
20
     do have some large, one-time expenditures coming up
21
     for our office furniture, computers,
22
     infrastructure, but we do anticipate there'll be
2.3
     moneys left over.
24
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. And I'm
25
     looking forward to your first report to the general
```

assembly. 1 2 Chairman Markosek. 3 REP. MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman. Just the question that you asked, 4 Chairman, was one of the best ones I've heard here 5 since we've been doing these: 6 Where are you 7 located. Your fiscal report is due in May? 8 9 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct. May 10 1st. 11 REP. MARKOSEK: Okay. What do you --12 what do you base that on, and -- how do you get 1.3 your information, I quess, is a better way to ask that question. You have -- I think I know the 14 1.5 answer, but perhaps for the -- just some general 16 information here, what kind of consultants do you use or don't use? And where else do you get your 17 information from? 18 19 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yeah. Our main data 20 will be of two varieties. One will be nonpublic data. This would be tax data, that the office is 21 22 currently work with revenue department to secure, 2.3 that we will be using for purposes of projections and for scoring out proposed changes to tax 24 25 policy. The other ones are publicly available,

```
things that would be on the Bureau of Labor
1
2
     Statistics BEA websites, things of that nature,
     that we'll be using to -- constructing the models
3
     and projecting revenues forward.
 4
                  REP. MARKOSEK: Has the revenue
 5
     department been cooperative?
6
7
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yes.
                                            So far we've
     met several times with the revenue department,
8
     three or four times, and we've had good discussions
9
10
     with them and are making good progress.
11
                                  Okay. They're going to
                  REP. MARKOSEK:
     be in this afternoon, any questions that you have
12
     for us to ask them?
1.3
14
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Not immediately, no.
                  REP. MARKOSEK: All right.
15
16
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman.
18
                  Rep. Gordon Denlinger.
19
                  REP. DENLINGER: Thank you,
20
     Mr. Chairman.
21
                  Thank you for your testimony.
22
                  Two questions that are somewhat
2.3
     unrelated, but as we're getting to know you and
24
     you'll be a key part to this whole budget process,
25
     first, could you give us -- we're not the Senate
```

side so we don't do the whole approval process -could you give us a brief kind of biographical
snapshot of your professional background?

1.3

2.3

And I'm going to go ahead and give the second question right away. You touched on the subject of the bonus depreciation issue. We're obviously very interested in that from a policy standpoint. It effectively ended, I guess, at the end of 2011. Do you have a metric that you can share with us on what the impact to PA tax collections and revenue will be as we're now getting into this next cycle?

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Um-hum. Sure.

Responding to your first question, I receive my masters and doctorate from Michigan State University. Shortly thereafter, I worked for four years at the Michigan Department of Treasury, which doubles as their revenue department. In 1998, I accepted a position with the U.S. treasury department, where I was an analyst mainly for corporate income taxes and business taxes generally. And I worked there until 2011.

I have also taught at George Washington and Johns Hopkins Universities periodically.

Regarding your second question on bonus

depreciation, yes, our office is tracking that very closely, and moving forward, it does make a big difference how one characterizes what's occurring in this fiscal year, because if a lot of the shortfall is due to bonus depreciation, those revenues will be made up in the next three to four years. However, if it is really a shortfall in profits, then we won't make those moneys up.

Looking at the provision, we do think, generally, that the bonus provision has contributed to the shortfall this fiscal year. We cannot exactly quantify that until we get the tax return data in; however, we do think it was a bit more than the official revenue estimate.

REP. DENLINGER: Are you hearing any indications from your sources that the Obama administration's considering a re-up of that provision?

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I have heard talk about it. At the federal level, of course, we do have 50 percent bonus depreciation for 2012. How it will turn out, I'm unsure.

REP. DENLINGER: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

1.3

2.3

Rep. Mario Scavello. 1 2 REP. SCAVELLO: Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. And thank you for your testimony. 4 The first question that I had, when the 5 chairman asked where you're located, you know, in 6 7 the Irvis Building, all the judicial moved out and moved across the street. There's plenty of room up 8 9 there. Is there any inquiries about possibly 10 coming in-house and saving taxpayer dollars, rather 11 than renting? Was that a possibility? Did you 12 talk to anyone about that? 1.3 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We're not paying --14 in the Rachel Carson Building, we won't be paying 15 rent. 16 REP. SCAVELLO: You won't be paying rent? 17 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct. 18 19 REP. SCAVELLO: What is your projection 20 for -- for revenue for this -- this coming year, in dollars? 21 What would you project added dollars to 22 the state budget? DIRECTOR KNITTEL: The official 2.3 projection right now is a shortfall of about \$719 24 25 million, and our take on the economic assumptions,

```
we're a bit more optimistic moving forward.
1
2
     think there's some reports that have come out that
     suggest a bit more of an optimistic outlook.
3
     in fact, if you look at the global insight forecast
 4
     for February versus the one that was used in
5
     January for the budget, things have gotten a little
6
7
     better.
                  So we do think that the shortfall will
8
     be somewhat less than $719 million.
9
10
                 REP. SCAVELLO: Okay. In our
11
     projection for 2012-2013, what could we estimate in
12
     increased revenue? Do you have an idea?
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We haven't looked at
1.3
14
     that year yet. We'll do that for our May 1st
1.5
     release.
16
                  REP. SCAVELLO: Because for the
     governor's budget, his spending numbers, we'd like
17
     to see what the revenues would be to offset that.
18
19
                  I think -- I would have loved to have
20
     your office for the past eight years, would have
21
     been great for us. Unfortunately, you weren't here
22
     then.
23
                  But thank you very much, and I wish you
24
     the best.
```

DIRECTOR KNITTEL:

Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 2 Rep. O'Brien. 3 REP. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Welcome. 5 Thank you. 6 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: 7 REP. O'BRIEN: As you know, your office had a bit of a rocky birth. 8 9 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I've learned that, 10 yes. 11 REP. O'BRIEN: Little bit of a rocky But I have to say, the three of you are --12 1.3 come with good reputations and good regard. 14 But on the flip side, it has been said 15 that your office is tasked to do what the four 16 appropriation staffs have done over the years. So let's take a moment and be prospective. 17 18 Where do you see your office in five 19 years, doing what? What will be your asset to the 20 Commonwealth five years down the road? 21 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Long term, the way I 22 view the office, again, very broadly, as an information provider. And I would fall back on the 2.3 federal model, where I view the office as combining 24 25 the duties of the joint committee on taxation and

```
the congressional budget office.
                                        So anything that
1
2
     has to do with economic or fiscal or budgetary
 3
     issues, that our office would be able to provide an
     analysis of that, both to the general assembly and
 4
     to the public.
5
                  And we will also have access to data
 6
7
     that are not currently available to the caucuses,
8
     in particular tax data, to do those analyses.
9
                  REP. O'BRIEN:
                                 Thank you.
10
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                     Thank you,
12
     Representative.
1.3
                  Rep. Mauree Gingrich.
14
                  REP. GINGRICH:
                                  Thank you,
15
     Mr. Chairman.
16
                  Welcome aboard.
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL:
                                      Thank you.
17
18
                  REP. GINGRICH: You're going to find it
19
     an interesting ride with all of us, I'm sure.
20
                  We talked a little bit just now about
21
     your projections. I don't expect you to be a
22
     soothsayer, but part of your job, of course, is the
2.3
     revenue projections.
24
                  This morning, before this session, we
25
     talked a lot about the global economy in general
```

and the factors there. So there's a differential, obviously, in what you stated again this morning, and I read before, the numbers that you project versus what the governor shared with us in his budget proposal.

1.3

2.3

So we're all kind of curious about where that difference lies. For one thing, whether this is the governor's floor, and, you know, an expectation. As you said, things can get better, but things don't necessarily have to get better.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: True

REP. GINGRICH: There's a flip side to that.

On the heels of what we discussed this morning, and my filling up my tank on the way to Harrisburg today, and everything I've heard this past week from all the pundits on the news and our projections for gasoline prices, how do you anticipate things like that, and maybe even just specifically the impact of gasoline cost, which is a major driver in the economy at every level, individually and on the business side? How confident are you or can you continue to be -- tell me how you're going to do this without firm numbers. Or we just have to wait until you get

those firm numbers? We've got to make decisions
posthaste here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yeah. Absolutely.

REP. GINGRICH: Thanks.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Sure.

Regarding what we assume in all the models that we're going to construct, of course we'll be using the input from global insight, the -- our economics providers, which is the same as the Office of the Budget, the same as the revenue department. So what their assumptions will be about the price of gas or disposable income or wage income will be fed into our models. And we will be checking them closely to make sure they look reasonable and plausible and to make sure that they're lining up with what we're observing in the receipts. And if they don't line up with what we see in the receipts, then, of course, you want to make some adjustment, a technical adjustment, to your models, to adjust the output of it. So we'll be watching those closely.

And as you noted, certainly gasoline, the rising price of gasoline, is a major concern moving forward, taking less -- having -- consumers have less disposable income.

But broadly speaking, we do think that the consumer outlook is very important. The expectations, the sentiment appears to be improving. We do hope that we'll get some more wealth effects moving forward, both from the stock market and from improving house prices, and that will lead to more spending. We do think that businesses are ready to expand, and they have the liquidity to do so.

1.3

2.3

REP. GINGRICH: Thanks.

We talked this morning about confidence in major industries, too, and how they're holding on to an awful lot of their cash, and one of the reasons it stuck with me is they have fresh memories of what happened just a couple years ago. So does the consumer.

And when the gas -- just, for instance, when the gasoline prices go up, that's going to alter how many times I go to the store to buy and how many decision we make per vacations. And all of those wonderful things that we were projecting will continue to increase on the sales tax and consumer side. So, I think we're all paying close attention.

Thanks.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 2 Representative. 3 Rep. Paul Costa. REP. COSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 And thank you all for testifying here 5 today. 6 7 I'm just curious, May 1st your first report comes out. What happens if there's a 8 9 disparity between the four caucuses and your 10 group? Whose numbers do we follow? 11 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We do hope to meet 12 with the caucuses on a regular basis and to share information about what we're seeing, and there's a 1.3 14 lot of expertise out there, and we want to take that into consideration. 1.5 16 I would anticipate that numbers would differ, both between us and the caucuses, the 17 18 numbers that they're carrying, as well as the 19 Office of the Budget. And we view that not as a 20 bad thing, because then you can work through the 21 numbers and the differences and understand what's 22 driving them. Is it technical assumptions? 2.3 economic assumptions? Are there behavioral 24 parameters therein? 25 So I would anticipate that the numbers

would be somewhat different, but as long as you can 1 2 pin them down to the reasons, reasonable people can be looking at the same sets of figures and come up 3 with somewhat different numbers. 4 REP. COSTA: But there's no hierarchy 5 you would have to work it out. You don't have the 6 7 authority over the caucuses or vice versa? DIRECTOR KNITTEL: 8 No. We'll publish our numbers on May 1st and again on June 15th. And 9 10 what the governor signs off on, our numbers can be 11 used or they need not be used. 12 REP. COSTA: So the governor has the 1.3 last say. DIRECTOR KNITTEL: 14 Yes. 1.5 REP. COSTA: Okay. 16 Thank you, very much. 17 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 18 Rep. Gary Day. 19 REP. DAY: Thank you. 20 Thank you for being here today. 21 My questions are along the lines of 22 revenue forecasting as well. Can you explain --2.3 I'll ask you the questions and then you can 24 answer.

Can you explain the methods for the

forecasting? You mentioned in your comments just now that you intend to use the same data input or variables in your forecasting.

1.3

1.5

2.3

The other specific question I want to ask is, do you see in the future using a different set of variables or data than we have been using or that -- that maybe each of the caucuses would be using different data than you?

possible. Again, I think there's some value to all parties using the same underlying economic assumptions, as long as they're plausible and reasonable, because there's going to be a lot of differences, even holding those assumptions constant moving forward. Again, there's technical parameters or behavioral assumptions that would be built into the estimates. And if you add different economic forecasts in there as well, then the numbers, it's hard to compare them, to make an apples—to-apples comparison.

However, again, we will be looking at our economics provider very closely, making sure that things look reasonable. We have had some questions with them. They've been very responsive to this point.

Regarding the models, there's a number of different models one could use moving forward for these projections. You can use a simple time series model, you can use a structural equation model, some extrapolations procedures. So there's a lot of room for differences, depending on the model one chooses and the explanatory variables used with them.

1.3

1.5

2.3

mentioned time series. That was kind of -- my next question is, judgmental, consensus, or time -- you know, what methods -- have you seen that the caucuses have used time series or -- and, you know, whatever information you have about what current methods are used and what which ones you're willing to explore.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yeah. I'm not sure what methodology the caucuses use right now. Of course, the revenue department puts out their methodology guide. We have looked at that.

My experience has been, for very shortrun forecast, maybe one or two years out, that time
series models do very well. They performed -- it's
hard to beat them.

REP. DAY: And the last question is,

could you explain a revenue conference that's one 1 2 of things that you have to do, and could you just, for my education, what is written, and also if you 3 plan to expand on what -- whatever you think it is, 4 and whatever you think it will be? 5 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Absolutely. So this 6 7 past year, in January, we hosted our first revenue estimating conference, and we -- in addition to our 8 9 office there were four other groups making 10 presentations. And the idea was to get a general 11 sense of the outlook of the -- the economic outlook 12 for the U.S. and the Commonwealth and see what the 1.3 revenue trends were like moving forward, to 14 exchanges ideas. And we do hope to expand on that 15 moving forward, and -- but, again, it would be 16 hosted in January. By statute, we have to host it by January 31st. 17 18 REP. DAY: Thank you for your answers. 19 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 20 Thank you. 21 Rep. Matt Bradford. 22 REP. BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman. 2.3 I just had a question in follow-up on

the question of capital -- of bonus depreciation,
the accelerated depreciation.

```
My understanding is that the federal
1
2
     law, obviously at the federal level, said -- or
3
     basically allowed the states to opt-in, and
     Pennsylvania chose or elected to opt in to that.
 4
     How did that exactly work?
5
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: My understanding is
 6
7
     that with the -- it was a technical interpretation,
     and with the hundred percent, the interpretation
8
     was that PA could conform to the federal
9
10
     provision.
11
                  REP. BRADFORD:
                                  Okay.
                                         So that was the
12
     secretary of Revenue and the governor's decision,
     basically, to elect into that?
1.3
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I'm not sure whose
14
15
     ultimate decision it was, but my understanding, it
16
     was a technical interpretation.
                  REP. BRADFORD: What was the cost of
17
18
     that tax expenditure to the Commonwealth? I know
19
     you said it was higher than what was budgeted, but
20
     do we have any idea what the final cost of that
21
     corporate tax cut was?
22
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: For conforming to
     the 100 bonus?
2.3
24
                  REP. BRADFORD: Yes.
25
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Again, let me
```

emphasize we don't have the tax data yet to exactly quantify that, but using some extrapolation from existing data, we think it might be roughly 300 to 350 million in total. Again, most of those moneys will be made up in the next three to four years.

1.3

2.3

REP. BRADFORD: And what was the -- do you recollect what was the budgeted amount? Was it like 200 million was what the governor expected the cost of that tax break?

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I believe 200 was the official, the original estimate. Correct.

REP. BRADFORD: Okay.

Similar -- similar issue, I was wondering about, one of your projection for modest wage growth was 3.5 percent.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Correct.

REP. BRADFORD: One of the things that's coming up a lot now is the issue of wage and equality, and as we're talking about wage growth is who's actually seeing more dollars in their bank, who's seeing more dollars in their payroll. We're seeing — and the numbers earlier indicated that corporations are seeing high bankrolls and are sitting on that cash, and we're seeing modest wage growth.

Even among that wage growth, have you started to look at who's going to see that growth?

Is it the bottom? The top? Who's seeing the wage growth in terms of our earners? Is it being evenly distributed?

1.3

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We haven't looked at that issue yet. What we do see in the data, and, again, the jobs data, payroll, employment numbers, where most of the gains and the jobs are coming are in the service sector. And those are generally a bit lower paying than other jobs, say, in the manufacturing sector. Although manufacturing is also showing some gains moving forward, but, disproportionately, the gains are in the service sector, and that's just a trend of past job gains.

REP. BRADFORD: So the actual employment gains are in the service sector?

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Most of them.

REP. BRADFORD: Are we actually seeing wage increases, though, at all levels in all sectors, or are we seeing it --

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That, we haven't examined yet, whether it's being -- there's an even distribution over all professions and income distributions, whether they're all gaining in a

```
similar fashion, I'm not sure.
1
2
                  REP. BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman.
     Thank you for the questions.
3
                  CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
 4
                  I believe that's the last question on
 5
     the first round. And second round, we'll start
6
7
     with Rep. Mario Scavello.
                 REP. SCAVELLO:
8
                                  Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
9
10
                  I want to go back to bonus
     depreciation. I know that the governor had
11
12
     estimated 200 million in the first year. And that
     350 you mentioned is over the life, correct, in
1.3
14
     which we get that back?
1.5
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yeah.
                                            The 350 would
     be what we call a liability effect.
16
17
                  REP. SCAVELLO: Exactly. And, you
18
     know, I was receiving e-mails all last year that
     that number was like 750, 800 million, in that
19
20
     area, and I knew that those numbers weren't
21
     accurate. So it's money that we're going to get
22
     back anyway, so 200 that first year was accurate,
2.3
     that budget number was accurate.
24
                  DIRECTOR KNITTEL: My understanding was
25
     that there was 70 million in last fiscal year and a
```

hundred thirty in this fiscal year, so --

1.3

2.3

REP. SCAVELLO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Mr. Director, I guess my question would be, regarding the gross receipts tax, something specifically in the governor's budget that caught many of our eyes. He calls for about a 30 percent increase in the growth receipts collection for the fiscal year '12-'13, which is about a 30 percent increase. The last several years the gross receipts tax has declined about -- maybe 15 percent, 16 percent. Your thoughts?

with revenue department on Friday of last week and -- excuse me, the previous Friday, and we were talking through that issue with them. And they walked us through their reasoning for the large increase. And it does appear to be that there's some timing issues going on, some safe harbor issues as well as customers switching providers.

And, clearly, when you look at the tax data, there is a decline in the remittances, mainly by telecom firms. So it does look a little strong to us, and we're looking at it a bit more closely and working with the revenue department to see if

that will hold up. 1 2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Can you explain to 3 the committee members as well as the viewers out there exactly what the gross receipts tax is? 4 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: It's just a levy on 5 telecom and electric utility providers to -- of 59 6 7 mills or 50 mills on the annual value of the production. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you for that 10 explanation. 11 Next question is Rep. Matt Smith. 12 REP. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1.3 Thank you for your testimony today. 14 Just a quick question on the timing as 1.5 it relates to your office's revenue estimates. 16 It's my understanding that on May 1st, annually, you'll submit sort of a preliminary initial review 17 18 of the revenue estimate for the upcoming fiscal 19 vear. Is that correct? 20 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct. 21 REP. SMITH: And then on June 15th 22 every year you'll submit what is the official 2.3 revenue estimate from your office. Is that 24 correct? 25 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: That's correct.

REP. SMITH: Is there a material 1 2 difference, in your estimation, between what you're 3 able to submit on May 1st versus June 15th, and if so, what is the difference? 4 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: There shouldn't be 5 too much difference in terms of the economic data 6 7 that are fed into our models. We'll only have one more month of data. It really won't change things 8 too much. 9 10 What could be a bit different are some 11 of the tax payments that come in. In particular, 12 we've been told that we could take the June 15th final -- excuse me, estimated payment for 1.3 14 corporations into consideration. So that could 15 move things a bit. 16 REP. SMITH: So the June 15th estimate would obviously be the -- I don't want to 17 18 overstate, but the much more accurate view of what 19 the revenue intake will be for the coming fiscal 20 year. 21 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Yes. But I wouldn't 22 think things change too much between the May 1st 2.3 and the June 15th.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

REP. SMITH:

Okav.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 2 Rep. Gary day. 3 REP. DAY: One more question about projections. 4 Is there a measure of accuracy of 5 projections, like percent error or something like 6 7 that that you plan to use to monitor your own performance? 8 9 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Most definitely. 10 We'll be tracking those, much like the 11 congressional budget office does. They have a 12 methodology to track their forecast accuracy. REP. DAY: What is that called? What 1.3 14 do you call that? 15 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I'm not sure the 16 official term they give to it. 17 REP. DAY: Okay. 18 Do you plan to publish that measure for 19 your own office? The governor's going to give you, 20 in his budget, right, the governor and the four 21 caucuses. Is there a way that you can publish the 22 error difference on the estimates for everyone? 2.3 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Sure. If there's 24 interest in that, we'd be happy to do so. That's 25 easily done.

REP. DAY: Thank you very much. 1 2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you for that, Representative. 4 Seems to be all the questions for 5 todav. 6 I have one final question. 7 I know everybody that was on this committee last year and 8 9 was in this general assembly remembers the debate 10 that was going on regarding the amount of surplus 11 versus -- that was left over from last year. 12 Have you had an opportunity to analyze 1.3 last year's revenues over expenses, and could 14 you -- could you explain that to the members of 1.5 this committee briefly. 16 DIRECTOR KNITTEL: We haven't had a 17 chance to look at it too closely. I do know, 18 looking at the pattern of payments, there was some 19 very unusually large payments that one wouldn't 20 have expected last year, both on the corporate and the individual side. They looked very usual. 21 22 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: If I may, I would 23 appreciate if you could go into further detail for

this committee, because, you know, obviously, it's

a reaction that legislators will have that, you

24

know, coming out of the recession, and all of a sudden you have one good month, that to react in a way that, hey, happy days are here again.

And I think we can learn from just last year that you still have to be very careful in this fragile economy. And, I know, Rep. Smith, and I would agree him, you know, the longer you go towards the end of the fiscal year, you get a better look at the revenue because you actually know what came in, instead of projecting it. And I can understand that.

But sometimes, current revenue is not always what's going to be in next month and -- or six months forthcoming, and I would look -- I'd like, in your reports to us, I'd like you to discuss that a little bit.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Sure

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And then, finally, how does PA's economy compare to the surrounding states, if not nationwide? If you could comment on that a little bit for us. Thank you.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: Sure. Be happy to.

Oh, right now?

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Right now. Right

25 now.

DIRECTOR KNITTEL: I do think the PA economy has been performing well and outperforming many of the surrounding states, and, certainly, if you look across the nation, has been doing much better than a number of states, and there are various factors for that, both on the demographic makeup and, of course, with the certain industries that are experiencing very significant growth, mining being one of them. But there's a number of factors that are helping the Commonwealth moving forward.

1.3

2.3

And I would anticipate, much like when we went down into the recession, PA didn't suffer as much as some others, coming out of it, we might not have the growth that some of the others experience for the same reasons.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.

At this time, I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Rep. Scott Petri of Buck County, who joined us earlier today.

Any other questions of any members?

Seeing none, hearing none, I'd like to thank the Independent Fiscal Office for your first appearance at testifying before the House

Appropriations Committee. I appreciate your

1	insight and looking forward to working with you.
2	For the members understanding, our next
3	presentation will be at 1:30 p.m.
4	Thank you.
5	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded
6	at 11:38 a.m.)
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public