1			
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE		
4			
5			
6	THE MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140		
7	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA		
8			
9	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2012		
10	9:00 A.M.		
11			
12	PUBLIC HEARING		
13	STATE-RELATEDS (UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURG, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY,		
14	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, AND LINCOLN UNIVERSITY)		
15			
16	BEFORE:		
17	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., CHAIRMAN HONORABLE JOSEPH F. MARKOSEK		
18	HONORABLE JOHN C. BEAR HONORABLE MARTIN T. CAUSER		
19	HONORABLE JIM CHRISTIANA HONORABLE GARY DAY		
20	HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER HONORABLE BRIAN L. ELLIS		
21	HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH HONORABLE GLEN R. GRELL		
22	HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD HONORABLE T. MARK MUSTIO		
23	HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE MIKE PEIFER		
24	HONORABLE SCOTT A. PETRI		
25			

1	CONTINUED:
2	HONORABLE JEFFREY P. PYLE
3	HONORABLE THOMAS QUIGLEY HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO
4	HONORABLE CURTIS G. SONNEY HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD
5	HONORABLE MICHELLE F.BROWNLEE HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
6	HONORABLE PAUL COSTA HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
	HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
7	HONORABLE MICHAEL H. O'BRIEN HONORABLE CHERELLE L. PARKER
8	HONORABLE JOHN P. SABATINA, JR. HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
9	HONORABLE SIEVE SAMUELSON HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
10	HONORABLE GREG VITALI HONORABLE RONALD G. WATERS
	HONORABLE KONALD G. WATERS
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	
13	HONORABLE KERRY A. BENNINGHOFF HONORABLE JOHN R. EVANS
14	HONORABLE MARK M. GILLEN
14	HONORABLE PAUL I. CLYMER HONORABLE WILL TALLMAN
15	HONORABLE DICK HESS
16	HONORABLE WILLIAM C. KORTZ, II HONORABLE VANESSA LOWERY BROWN
1 7	HONORABLE H. WILLIAM DEWEESE
17	HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY
18	HONORABLE PETER J. DALEY
19	
20	EDWARD NOLAN, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
21	
22	
23	BRENDA S. HAMILTON, RPR REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	OPENING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH	4	
4	OPENING REMARKS BY REP. MARKOSEK	5	
5	MARK A NORDENBERG, CHANCELLOR UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH	9	
6 7	RODNEY A ERICKSON, PRESIDENT, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY	9	
8	ANN WEAVER HART, PRESIDENT, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY	11	
9	ROBERT R. JENNINGS, ED.D., PRESIDENT, LINCOLN UNIVERSITY	11	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good morning, everyone. 4 5 THE AUDIENCE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good morning. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'd like to call to order the House Appropriations budget hearing. 8 Today's first testifiers are the presidents of the 9 four state-related universities. 10 11 And before we get started, I just want 12 to go over some groundwork for those that were not here yesterday. And this is to ensure that these 13 14 hearings are productive and that all members can 15 participate. We will follow a very similar format 16 that we had last year. We will have a first round of 17 questions for each member. I would ask the members to be short with their questions and if the -- a 18 19 follow-up question is necessary, that's fine. But we 20 have scheduled approximately two hours for this 21 hearing. We have the State Treasurer coming in at 22 eleven o'clock. We'd like to try to stay on time. 23 So there will be a second round of questioning, if 24 necessary. 25 And I would ask the university

```
presidents to try to be brief with -- with their
1
                This is a budget hearing. I'd like the
 2
      answers.
      questions to be budget related. Obviously there will
 3
      be some policy questions because some policy
 4
 5
      questions get into the -- into the budget, but for
      the most part we will keep it related to the budget.
 6
7
                   My name is Bill Adolph.
                                             I'm the
      Republican chair of the House Appropriations
 8
      Committee. I come from Delaware County.
9
                    I'd like the -- the other members to
10
11
      identify themselves and the county that they
12
      represent starting with the Democratic chair.
                   REP. MARKOSEK:
                                    Thank you, Chairman.
13
14
      State Representative Joe Markosek. I represent parts
      of Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties.
15
16
                   MR. NOLAN: Ed Nolan, executive director
17
      House Appropriations Committee.
                   MR. CLARK: Dan Clark, House
18
19
      Appropriations Committee's chief counsel.
20
                   REP. CAUSER: Good morning.
21
      Representative Marty Causer, McKean, Potter and
22
      Cameron Counties.
23
                    REP. GRELL: Hiding -- hiding back
24
      here. Good morning. Glen Grell, representative from
25
      part of Cumberland County.
```

```
REP. KILLION:
                                   Representative Tom
 1
 2
      Killion of Delaware and Chester Counties.
                    REP. QUIGLEY: Representative Tom
 3
 4
      Quigley, Montgomery County.
                    REP. PEIFER: Good morning.
 5
      Peifer, Pike County.
 6
 7
                    REP. ELLIS: Brian Ellis, Butler
      County.
 8
 9
                    REP. O'NEILL: Good morning.
10
      Representative Bernie O'Neill, Bucks County.
11
                    REP. MUSTIO: Mark Mustio, Allegheny
12
      County.
13
                    REP. PYLE: Good morning. Jeff Pyle,
14
      60th District, Armstrong and Indiana Counties.
15
                    REP. MILLARD: David Millard, Columbia
16
      County.
17
                   REP. GINGRICH: Good morning.
18
      Gingrich, Lebanon County. Welcome.
19
                    REP. DAY: Good morning. Gary Day from
20
      Lehigh and Berks County.
21
                    REP. SCAVELLO: Mario Scavello, 176th
22
      District, Monroe County.
23
                    REP. DENLINGER: Gordon Denlinger from
24
      Lancaster County.
25
                    REP. SMITH: Matt Smith, 42nd District,
```

```
Allegheny County.
 1
 2
                   MS. FOX:
                              Miriam Fox, executive
      director, House Democratic Appropriations Committee.
 3
 4
                    REP. PARKER: Cherelle Parker,
 5
      Philadelphia County.
                    REP. KULA:
                               Good morning. Deberah Kula,
 6
 7
      Fayette and Westmoreland Counties.
                    REP. CONKLIN: Scott Conklin, Centre
 8
 9
      County, home of Penn State University.
10
                    REP. O'BRIEN: Mike O'Brien,
11
      Philadelphia County.
12
                    REP. PAUL COSTA:
                                      Good morning,
      everybody. I'm Paul Costa. I represent the 34th
13
14
      District which is Allegheny County.
15
                    REP. MAHONEY: Good morning, everyone.
16
      Tim Mahoney from Fayette County.
17
                    REP. WATERS: Good morning.
                                                 Ron Waters
18
      from Philadelphia and Delaware County.
19
                    REP. SABATINA: Good morning.
20
      Representative John Sabatina from Philadelphia
21
      County.
22
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'd also like to
23
      acknowledge the presence of the Republican chair of
24
      the House Education Committee, Representative Paul
25
      Clymer from Bucks County.
```

And I believe Chairman Markosek would like to make some announcements as well for other members.

REP. MARKOSEK: Yes. We have
Representative Michelle Brownlee from Philadelphia
County who has arrived, as well as Dan Frankel from
Allegheny County and Representative Bill Kortz from
Allegheny County and Representative Jake Wheatley
from Allegheny County.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. As members come and go, we'll acknowledge them as well.

It's certainly my pleasure to introduce to the committee Mark Nordenberg, chancellor of the University of Pitt; Rodney Erickson, acting president, Penn State University; Ann Weaver Hart, president, Temple University; and Dr. Robert R. Jennings, president of Lincoln University.

Lady and gentlemen, thank you so much for being here. I'm not sure if each of you would like to have an opening statement. You're certainly entitled to.

We've -- we've received your written statements and I'm sure all the members have read them. So if you'd like to just say hello and make a brief statement, that -- I think that would start the

hearing off fine.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Just a correction,

Mr. Chairman. I -- I am not the acting president. I

am the president of Penn State University. Thank

you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Very good. I just read what's put -- put in front of me.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Would you like us to make brief statements?

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes, please.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: We -- we did have a chance to submit written statements, and I'm not sure any of us anticipated this opportunity, but I really do appreciate it.

I want to say in a very general way that this is an extraordinarily difficult time for the universities that we represent and the many varied constituencies that we serve.

It really is not an overstatement from my perspective to say that in certain respects what we're seeing is the dismantling of a long, long commitment by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to public higher education and particularly to its public research universities. So I do welcome the chance to engage in an exchange with you about the

value of our institutions and the work that we do to the Commonwealth and its people today and moving into the future.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would add as the state's land grant university that Penn State has always had a -- a very special and unique relationship to the Commonwealth.

So viewing the -- the proposed budget for the coming year, on top of many other budgets' cuts, and this proposed budget would take us back to a level of funding that was in nominal dollars equal to what we had in the mid 1980s and inflation adjusted dollars back into the 1960's.

This is a very, very difficult situation for us that will clearly have significant long-term impacts on the relationship of your land grant university to the Commonwealth and the kinds of education and the sorts of services that we're able to provide.

So we look forward to the opportunity to share with you some of the -- the preliminary implications of these potential cuts as well as the many positive things that our universities, our state-related universities are contributing to the

Commonwealth. 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 3 Thank you. PRESIDENT HART: Thank you, 4 5 Mr. Chairman. I would echo what my colleagues have already said and simply add that one of the things 6 7 that difficult times present to us is how we preserve value while we are economical and remind all of us in 8 9 the room that the unemployment rate of graduates is 10 less than half the unemployment among high school 11 graduates and that our state really does need to 12 preserve its seed corn, to steal a metaphor from my land-grant-college colleague, and that our 13 14 institutions represent that future. 15 And if we can find a way in difficult times in the Commonwealth to -- to maintain that 16 17 commitment to our future, I think it will be critically important to the citizens of the 18 19 Commonwealth. 20 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Thank you, 21 Mr. Chairman. I would echo what my colleagues have already said, but I would add a further statement to 22 23 say that Lincoln University is unique in its mission 24 along the institutions in our state.

We play a major role in preserving a

core of the state that I believe must be given special attention if we're going to maintain the quality of life that many of us have come to know and expect and experience.

Fifty-four percent of our graduates remain in this state after they graduate, and they help this economy to be sustained. And I would hope that we would consider that as you are making your deliberations.

I would also echo what one of my colleagues has said. I've looked at the kind of appropriation that we have received last year and what is being proposed for this year and would say to you that it is equal to what we got in the 1980s.

1986 to be exact.

And you know, as well as I do, that we just can't operate off of that level of funding and provide the quality that is expected to maintain people who stay in this state after they graduate and help build this state and make us the leader that we are in education.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you all very much for those opening remarks.

I feel today is a real good opportunity to -- for the members of the Appropriations Committee

to have dialogue with you and ask questions and -- and you respond to the questions and make your case.

Obviously the administration is -- feels they should -- we should be going in a different direction and -- today, and between now and June 30th the General Assembly will see if we -- if we agree with the administration on the direction of funding for higher education or we're going to -- to continue what we have been doing here in -- in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So this will bring a real good opportunity to all.

And the first question I have is, you know, in the Governor's budget address he talked about forming a commission on post education, post secondary education.

And he stated in his budget address that he wanted the universities to come to a realization of training their students for the jobs that are available here in Pennsylvania. And this is going to be one of the charges that the commission will have.

I know that the -- the chairman of that new commission is the president of the Chamber of Commerce of Philadelphia and represents many of the -- many of the companies that are the job creators

throughout the Commonwealth.

I would be interested in what your universities are doing regarding getting your graduates job ready when they graduate and especially keeping them here in the Commonwealth, as Dr. Jennings had stated in his -- in his opening -- opening comments.

So you all have a short comment or, you know, if one says it all for you, you know, but I'd be interested to find out what each university is doing as far as making sure that their -- their graduates are -- are -- are prepared for the workforce.

PRESIDENT HART: I'm going to jump in if I could and -- and point out that, as with Lincoln, two-thirds of Temple students, even those from out of state, say that they want to stay in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania after they graduate and as many as 65 percent of them within five years are right in the Commonwealth.

Temple does, in fact, educate citizens who stay in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

It's little details about what kinds of jobs those folks have an opportunity to -- to get and then to attain is what, I think, Mr. Chairman, your

question addresses.

One of the things that is really critically important about the state-related institutions that sit before you is that of the 11 comprehensive universities in the Commonwealth where one is able to -- to pursue an engineering -- an engineering degree, the three institutions here, Pitt, Penn State, and Temple, are the only publicly related institutions where you can take a comprehensive engineering curriculum.

And the STEM disciplines in -- in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, we believe are disciplines that will be critical to the future of the good jobs in our Commonwealth.

And when you add the critically important biomedical educations that are available at our institutions and the jobs in big pharma and in the emerging medical and health services industries, I mean we are critical to the future of this state.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: You know, I -- I think that what President Hart was saying in a very nice way is that there was a fundamental disconnect between the Governor's expressed desire to ensure that there was a strong workforce for the innovation economy of the 21st century and the action items

embedded in his budget.

In fact, the very week that the proposed budget was released, there was a study from the National Science Foundation entitled Science and Engineering Indicators 2012 and what it indicated was that a national problem was the diminished funding for public research universities, in part because more than half of the basic research done in this Commonwealth today, research that is essential to innovation, is done within research universities, and also because we are the single biggest provider of students who have focused on the STEM subjects at the baccalaureate level, at the master's level, and at the Ph.D. level.

To respond more directly to what I think was the thrust of your question, Mr. Chairman, we do have well-developed policies that even before a student arrives on campus as a Pitt freshman coordinate their career planning with their academic planning so that they do have a sense of the range of opportunities that exist and the educational foundation that is going to be necessary for them to successfully pursue those opportunities.

And inconsistent with what our national trends, but that may be mirrored by the programs of

my colleagues here today, we find that there is a strong and rising trend within our student body of students who are, in fact, studying in these areas.

Our undergraduate engineering enrollment has gone up 75 percent in the last 15 years.

And I also think I should say that the public research universities have, in fact, enjoyed strong support from the business community. We see that in specific examples in western Pennsylvania where -- when Westinghouse was being courted to relocate to the Carolinas and take thousands of jobs with it, its CEO said, one of the most important factors in our decision to stay in western Pennsylvania was the presence of the University of Pittsburgh as a provider of qualified engineers.

It's one of the few institutions that got back into nuclear engineering after everyone else got out of it after Three Mile Island, and as a research partner as we try to find ways to improve our business model.

But also both the Allegheny Conference in Pittsburgh and the Greater Philadelphia Chamber of Commerce have been very supportive of the role played by research universities in driving today's economy.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman, I

would add to that that Lincoln University has looked very closely at the areas where minorities, in particular, are underrepresented and the bulk of our graduates, the bulk of our enrollees, as well as the graduates, are those who are in the STEM areas, particularly science, mathematics, and technology. That's the bulk of our -- our graduates.

When we look at what the nation is saying that it needs -- I recently had a meeting with Congressman Meehan who was meeting with us to say that there is a problem because employers in this state keep coming to him saying that there is a lack of individuals to fill the jobs that they need in computer science, in technology areas, and in the hard sciences.

Well, we have taken a very serious look at the fact that that exists, and we have tried to pair what we do academically in terms of the workforce development needs of this state, as well as this nation.

And we believe, again, we play a unique role because we are supplying to the marketplace a number of individuals, minorities in particular, though we graduate majority individuals as well, but we are supplying a -- a great number of the

individuals who need to be in those jobs and kept off -- kept on the payrolls of this -- of this state and building this economy.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would simply add that if you look across the campuses of Penn State, our programs are -- are really oriented very strongly to -- to job ready graduates.

Half of our graduates stay in

Pennsylvania. Many, many more would like to stay

here if we had those opportunities.

Penn State and Georgia Tech always rank as the two top producers of engineers in the country. We have one of the largest undergraduate business programs, science, earth and mineral science, the only public college of agriculture in the Commonwealth.

These are the things that make us unique, but they also -- we need to understand, they are very often the higher cost programs. It costs more to deliver engineering programs and business programs than it does many other kinds of liberal arts education.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you.

I -- I'm sure, as the commission is
established and we hear from them, because you know,

as a father of three college graduates, you know, you understand the cost of it, but I think, you know, every dollar was worth it once they get out and get into the work field and, you know -- and they have their own success stories.

And I think it's great. But for every success story there's one or two graduates that maybe it was a bad choice of majors. You know, if you're a Greek mythology major, you know, I don't know what -- what that leads to.

You know, it's -- it's great to have those folks out there, but I think what the Governor was really trying to say is we have -- he tied it in with the Marcellus shale, and I know that the -- I know the need, you know, for those specialized engineers and so forth with this industry, which I think is going to be around for the next century.

And I know some of your universities have started to specialize in this -- in this gas drilling and so on and so forth.

I just wanted to see where the universities are and what type of effort they're doing to make sure their graduates are preparing themselves for the -- for the jobs and -- because I know it's great to be educated, but -- and -- and

it's nice to talk about certain areas, but really with the cost of education and the loans that these students are graduating with, you know, you have to pay them back and it's nice to have a job waiting for you when you -- when you graduate.

Chairman Markosek.

REP. MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman.

My degree in Greek mythology is really helping in this job.

Thanks very much. I -- I don't really have a whole lot here and I know we have other members that want to speak. But just, very briefly, with the 19 percent cuts that most of you took last year and -- and with the proposed cuts this year, I just wanted to talk about each one of your facilities and -- and your entities relative to the workforce in Pennsylvania, not only in training the workforce as you all mentioned, but the numbers of employees that you yourselves have and the economic centers that you all are in your communities and throughout the Commonwealth.

And I was just wondering if you had any statistics, or late statistics relative to now, the cuts last year, along with the proposed cuts this year, what that will mean to your workforces and

number of -- of people that you may or may not have to let go?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Well, we're a -- a major employer in the state. Penn State, including the Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, employs between 24 and 25,000 full-time employees. Including part-time employees, it's about 33,000.

Our economic impact in the Commonwealth, direct, indirect, and -- and related approaches \$20 billion a year.

This proposed cut will certainly mean that at the very least vacancies in the faculty and staff will go unfilled. There will continue to be programs that are cut as a result.

We've had about 20 years now of -- of a very strong cost cutting recycling program that's gone on where we've internally saved and reprogrammed about \$230 million, particularly over the course of the last seven to eight years as budgets have become tighter and tighter.

We will continue to cut costs where -wherever we can because we don't want to lay the
impact of -- of any further cuts any more heavily
upon our -- our family -- the students and their
families than we have to.

But at some point with -- with continuing cutting we -- we simply can't -- we can't do business as usual year in and year out. We need to know where this is heading.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Yeah.

Mr. Chairman, I would echo something very similar to that. We are one of the largest employers in southern Chester County, 575 full-time employees and about a hundred part-time employees.

Every time we have to increase tuition, with the kind of student population that we attract to our campus, we lose between five to seven percent of the student body because they can't afford to return because of the increase in tuition, because of the size of the loans that they take.

96 percent of our students are Pell grant eligible and are on loans. And so every time we do that we have a residual effect in terms of the number of people that we lose.

If we have to take a cut this year, I've already done the -- the analysis, we're going to lose -- we're going to have to lay off people, and that's going to be between 60 to 78 people.

And that may sound like a small number, but in a county like ours where those people live,

that's a huge number because it's going to have a devastating impact not only on those individuals, but also on the businesses that depend on those people to buy goods and services.

So we've kind of done that analysis and know the impact that it's going to have on the population that we serve.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: In southwestern Pennsylvania, as I think you know, Mr. Chairman, the two largest employers are the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center and the University of Pittsburgh.

We sit at the heart of the education and health services supersector, and that is a U.S.

Department of Labor designation which is by now the -- by far the largest source of employment in western Pennsylvania.

That supersector is responsible for more than one in every five jobs in the Pittsburgh metropolitan statistical district.

We also are viewed as the best hope for the jobs of the future through the companies that are spun out of our technol -- technology management operations.

Just looking at last year's cuts, and I

said this to people on our campus, if we had dealt with those cuts simply by eliminating jobs, it would have been a thousand jobs at the University of Pittsburgh. A thousand jobs.

Well, we didn't do it that way. In part, because we continue to be in an area of, quote, business where the demand for our services is very strong, whether that's in education or in research.

And we also didn't do it because in some ways we thought by maintaining employment we were helping the region move through this jobless recovery from the great recession in ways that were food for the community as a whole.

But with these added cuts, deep dramatic disproportionate cuts, we can't keep escaping some of those actions.

And I would say, too, that, you know, there are very few things that President Obama and the second President Bush have agreed upon. One of them is the dramatic transformation of the economy of western Pennsylvania.

President Bush came in and he said, what used to be called Steel Town ought to now be called Knowledge Town. President Obama brought the G20 there so the leaders from around the world could see

what could be done in terms of a transformation to a more diversified economy. And in each case they were pointing right at the University of Pittsburgh.

PRESIDENT HART: I --

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

I just want to put this on the record. This time last year the Governor was proposing a 50 percent decrease in funding and this legislature and the men and women on this committee had an awful lot to do with restoring the -- the funding and the final decrease was the 19 percent. A big difference between 50 percent.

And so I -- I just want that on the record because I notice people forget where -- where you started, where we ended up, and then obviously I understand, you know, there was the mid-term decrease as well, and I -- you know, which was a five percent.

So, you know I like to talk about the percentages and dollar amounts and I know with the -- the list is getting longer so I'm going to move to Representative Tom Killion.

And I ask the members, if you have a specific president of a university that you -- that you would like to answer, please direct your question

to that president. 1 2 Thank you. CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: And I don't mean 3 to jump in, but can I say on behalf of all of us, we 4 have not forgotten what you did for us last year. 5 PRESIDENT HART: Absolutely. 6 7 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Right. CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: And that ought 8 to be on the record, too. We are deeply grateful. 9 10 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm glad to hear that, 11 yes. 12 Representative. Representative Tom Killion. 13 14 REP. KILLION: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 I too look forward to the debate on the 16 role of the legislature in the funding of higher 17 education. For to -- I graduated from Penn State. have a daughter -- Chairman Adolph talked about 18 19 jobs. I have a daughter that graduated from Penn 20 State last year. Gainfully employed. Finally off my 21 payroll. Very happy about that. And I have a 22 sophomore there now. 23 As we all know, this has been a very 24 difficult year for Penn State. A lot of negative 25 press.

And I just -- I don't really have a question. I just want to point something out. I'm sure most of you are aware of it, but I think it needs to be on the record what happened in State College last weekend, with the 39th year of the dance marathon.

Those students, student-run -- student-run event, raised \$10.7 million for children cancer -- for childhood cancer.

And, you know, I Googled it yesterday because I was involved with my fraternity, I'll have to state, back in the late '70s, and I looked back to see what we raised back then. It was \$72,000. And then the first year, in 1973, they raised \$2,000.

And I think it was summed up best by an Enquirer article where they talked about a father named Michael Deckman walking through the dance marathon with his son Caleb, who is four years' cancer free and four years -- and four years ago he was -- couldn't sleep at night because he didn't know how he was going to pay his bills.

Well, the students raised the money to help him and his son and I just want to thank Penn State. And please pass our thanks on to all those students for the hard work.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: 1 Thank you, Representative Killion. It is indeed an awesome 2 experience. I invite you all to -- to participate 3 and experience it some year when you can. 4 5 REP. KILLION: Thank you, Chairman Adolph. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you for those 8 comments. 9 Representative Parker. 10 REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Good morning to each of you. 12 You, you know, sort of all don't have to respond, but I mean if you feel so led, you know, 13 14 please, please jump in. 15 I just want to start by putting my 16 comments in perspective and reflecting back on what 17 the chairman mentioned. So proposed 50 percent, '11/'12. 18 We end up with 19 percent. I know Dr. Jennings, from 19 20 Lincoln, we thought you were going to run back out 21 the door when you receive -- came to Lincoln 22 University and you received that letter noting that 23 there was a five percent freeze --24 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Right. 25 REP. PARKER: -- that was coming. So

that's 19 percent, plus the five, you were at 24 1 percent. And although this is just sort of 2 introduced, we're talking about a proposed for the 3 three, in particular, 30 percent. 4 That would bring within -- but two 5 years, that would bring your cut to a proposed 54 6 7 percent, 54 percent for state-related institutions in two years. You know, unbelievable. 8 9 But now I need you to justify why. And 10 when I say that, I mean I think Lincoln and, President Hart, you -- you mentioned it earlier, I 11 12 would like for you to tell us similar to what PASSHE does when they come. Chancellor Cavanaugh now does 13 14 outstanding job. A 120,000 students in PASSHE schools. 15 16 Ninety percent of them are PA residents. 17 Eighty-three percent stay in Pennsylvania. percent are students of color. 18 Lincoln and Temple, I know you did just 19 mention in previous discussions what that was like 20 21 for you. 22 For Penn State and -- and Pitt, tell us

and how many stay?

about your student body. How many are Pennsylvanians

23

24

25

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I can -- I can lead

things off. Among our undergraduate student population, 75 percent of our students are from -- are Pennsylvania residents.

The -- the appropriation that we receive from the -- the Commonwealth is -- is really in a -- in a few parts and I want -- I want to make reference to -- to one particular part of it before I answer your question. And that relates to the funding that we receive for cooperative extension and agricultural research.

I was very pleased to see in the Governor's proposed budget that these two lines would be -- be held flat, that the funding would be -- be moved through the resource development fund but that the funds would still be available for this important area of service to the Commonwealth.

Now, our other lines, our general support line, or what we called our educational in general, would be dropped under this proposal to under a hundred -- just under a hundred and fifty million dollars.

The proposed -- the appropriation that we receive as general support from the Commonwealth really is used to lower the -- the tuition rate for Pennsylvania residents. At the University Park

campus nonresidents pay 27,000 in tuition, resident students pay 15,000. At our Commonwealth campuses they pay 12 or 13,000.

So the appropriation is critically important for keeping that tuition rate for our students as low as possible.

I want to give you some information about the situation, the demographics among our 19 Commonwealth campuses. These are our regional campuses. The students at those campuses come from families in which their median income is 10 percent lower than the state median income. So they're below average in terms of income.

Almost 40 percent of them are eligible for a federal Pell grants. Sixty-two percent of them work at least 22 hours a week. These are the students that we're going to lose as the costs inevitably increase regardless of what we do and as appropriation goes down, and these are people who are absolutely critical to the future of the Commonwealth.

We established those campuses fifty and in some cases a hundred years ago where we were asked by the Commonwealth -- by the communities, by the General Assembly, to establish campuses there. But

we can't continue to offer that kind of a -- a tuition break for Pennsylvania residents as the appropriation continues to fall.

So this will fall disproportionately on students from lower income families scattered across the Commonwealth, many of whom are committed, working part-time already, they are long-term residents of the Commonwealth. They will be the most hurt by all of this.

REP. PARKER: Can you all -- just for me immediately, after you finish, can you also tell us if you had to make up the 30 percent cut, if it was instituted as is, can you give us a dollar amount that that would translate into as it relates to tuition? If it was solely made up by tuition increase because of the 30 percent cut, what would it mean?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: If it -- if it was made up totally by Pennsylvania residents, it would be 9.37 percent. But I assure you we will not do that.

REP. PARKER: Okay.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I'd like to begin where you began. I thought the way you framed our situation was very telling; and that is, we came

in for our hearings last year, we were looking at a proposed 50 percent cut to our education in general appropriation, plus for some of us a hundred percent loss of our academic medical center lines, and people said, how can this be?

And today, if you just lump what happened last year with the proposal that is now before us, all we've done really is to spread it out over an additional year. The cuts, when combined, are as dramatic as those that were proposed for last year and that you did, in so effectively discharging your responsibilities, help us moderate.

So that really is a good way to look at it. At the University of Pittsburgh we're not quite an enterprise the size of Penn State's. We do have four regional campuses, so we have a campus in Pittsburgh and we have campuses in Bradford, Greensburg, Johnstown and Titusville.

Our undergraduate enrollment system-wide is close to 80 percent Pennsylvania graduates. Our total enrollment system-wide, that is, with graduate and professional programs included, is over 70 percent Pennsylvania residents. And close to 70 percent of our graduates do stay in Pennsylvania and live and work and contribute here.

And I think that is an important point to note. Because while it's not dispositive, one of the factors that is most influential in where a young person ultimately does work and live and contribute is where he or she went to college.

And so if you lose Pennsylvanians to other geographic destinations as students, there's a pretty good chance you're going to lose them more permanently and they're not going to come back and be contributing citizens in the 21st century.

PRESIDENT HART: Thank you,

Representative Parker. I would -- if we -- if we were to make up all of the 30 percent just on undergraduate, in-state tuition would be almost \$4,000. So it's a -- it's a significant amount.

And I would add that there's a cost to extending the time it takes a young person to finish their degree that is deeply embedded in their futures related to the amount of money that they borrow, and that graduation rate is directly affected by the number of hours they work per week.

So, for example, for our students at Temple who do not work, we have over 70 percent graduation rate here as our six-year graduation. If you go down to more than 25 hours, it's all the way

down to 36.4 percent.

And so everything that we do that makes it more difficult for young people to complete their educations and go into the workforce, not only affects their immediate finances, but stretches out for -- for years to come in their ability to become productive members of -- of the workforce.

I think those are very, very telling and very, very important numbers.

I also think it's important to know that the -- that the average, the median family income of our students declines dramatically as we -- as we move into underrepresented groups in our -- in our community.

And Temple is one of the top ten universities in the entire United States in the number of bachelor degrees that we award to African-Americans. Those ahead of us are all either H -- HCB -- BCUs or distance education institutions.

So we're right at the heart of making sure that -- that they have access to a world quality higher education.

But I would just note that among

American Indians the median family income is under

\$50,000 for the entire family. Among Hispanics it's

only -- it's also under \$50,000. Among Asian

Americans, because we have so many Asian Americans in
the Philadelphia area who are first generation
families, it's 36,000. These are family incomes of
the students that attend Temple.

And so these are disproportionate impacts on the least advantaged working and lower working -- middle working class people.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Representative

Parker, we have similar numbers in that if we have to take a cut, we would have to pass an additional \$1,350 on to our students in tuition.

That's a lot of money because, again, 51 percent of the student body are the first in their families to ever go to college and in most instances the whole family has sort of band together trying to put what dollars and cents they can come up with together to send Johnny off to college.

And so this is going to be an additional strain. What we have seen historically happen at the university is every time we've increased tuition we've lost between five to seven percent of the student body, those students who would be returning. Not to mention the fact that we have more than 40 percent of the students who are currently working

what is equivalent to a full-time job trying to go to college

And so it takes them longer to get out of school, plus they're struggling to try to, you know, maintain the kind of grade point average that they want to maintain over a four- to six-year period.

So this is going to be devastating to our population in particular.

It goes without saying that, while we may be historically a black university, we train also all students. We've targeted the Latino population in our community because in southern Chester County that's a growing population that nobody seems to be trying to pick up on to get into a four-year institution.

And so we -- we've made a special effort to try to reach out to those individuals to draw them in as well.

So we have a fairly good dynamic on the campus of all races, all creeds, all colors.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes. Thank you.

REP. PARKER: I know my time is up,

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative, I just

want to remind the members and -- and -- and the presidents that -- to try to be as concise as possible in your answers.

REP. PARKER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: But I -- because there's an awful lot of members that want to ask questions and there will be a round two.

Representative Martin Causer.

REP. CAUSER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning and welcome, everyone.

My question, I'm going to direct my question to Chancellor Nordenberg and perhaps

President Erickson would also like to talk about this topic.

And my question deals with the -- the impact of the Governor's proposal on the regional branch campuses of the -- of your universities.

I come from one of the most rural parts of the state, you know, an area where there are no community colleges, there are no state system universities. We have one fine educational institution, and that's the University of Pittsburgh at Bradford and, you know, looking at the -- the cuts that have been proposed in the Governor's proposal, I'd be interested in your thoughts on how that might

affect the regional branch campuses of your universities.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, as you know, the branch campuses have been a very important part of the Pitt system, and as I think you know, I've always had a special place in my heart for the University of Pittsburgh at Bradford.

That's what happens when you marry someone from that area.

And -- and it is a campus that in some ways doesn't square with people's perceptions of Pitt, a city university, because Pitt-Bradford serves a sparsely populated geographic area about the size of the state of Connecticut.

If you look at what is happening through these accumulated cuts, basically we're being pushed in the direction of being private institutions, which has implications for the question just asked in terms of tuition, too.

You know, at Pitt, if we absorbed all of this cut through tuition alone, it would be an 18 increase for our in-state students or nearly \$3,000.

But you can look at it another way, and that is, if somebody is telling us we ought to be private, well, private school tuition at a comparable

university would be 40 or \$45,000 a year. So we could be going up \$25,000 a student.

Another thing that you see about private research universities is that they don't have branch campuses. I mean if you think about institutions that are roughly equivalent to Pitt, city-based, major-research universities, they don't have branch campuses. And so that becomes a key question for us.

And I know that President Erickson and I have talked about this, and he already has alluded to it. There are going to be particular challenges attracting and supporting students who really need those educational programs on the campuses that are not located at the center of the university.

I pledge to you that we're not looking to do anything to those regional campuses. We love all four of them. But we're being pushed in a way where thinking about that becomes inevitable.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I think President Nordenberg has described the situation very well. We, too, are -- are very strongly committed and have been for decades and decades to our -- our Commonwealth campuses, to our regional campuses, which serves such an important role in the overall

mission of -- of Penn State.

But as -- as President Nordenberg indicated, it's -- it's increasingly challenging.

All of our regional campuses, our Commonwealth campuses, are viable at this point. But the question is really for the future.

As funding continues to decline, students will no longer be able to attend. So it's not a matter of what we do to the campuses. It's really a matter of the -- of the students making choices on the basis of what they can possibly afford.

And increasingly they will be unable to afford a Penn State education, either to stay for -for four years at a campus in a -- in a -- in a program that's -- that's very much oriented to local workforce needs or to move to another campus, including University Park where they have access to a -- a couple hundred different -- different majors.

But either way it's going to be increasingly challenging. And as -- as President Nordenberg indicated, one only has to look around at -- at private research universities to see the difference in the -- the mission and structure.

We've always been very strongly

committed to our land grant mission, to our role as a 1 public research university, but it's getting more and 2 more difficult every year to see that model be 3 sustained over the -- over the years ahead. 4 5 We really need to have a better understanding of where we're going. 6 REP. CAUSER: I appreciate your 7 response, and I think these regional campuses are 8 9 vitally important for access to people in rural 10 areas. So I appreciate those comments, and -- and 11 it's very obvious to me looking at the Governor's 12 proposal that we -- we certainly have some work to do. 13 14 So thank you very much for your 15 comments. 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 18 representative. 19 I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Representative Gillen, Representative Tallman and 20 21 Representative John Bear. 22 Chairman. 23 REP. MARKOSEK: And also Representative 24 Steve Samuelson and Representative Matt Bradford have 25 arrived.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: The next question will be from Representative Scott Conklin.

REP. CONKLIN: I want to thank you,

Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank what your

universities do for the state of Pennsylvania.

You -- you really are a treasure and one that myself

and my colleagues are very proud to be associated

with, and I hope the day never comes to where we lose

that association with you.

But I want to talk just a little bit about some figures that have been put out and numbers. And I think there's a little misleading concept that's done with numbers that folks like to use them to their own discretion to back their own case.

And recently I read a report to where they said, you know, these cuts are anywhere from one-and-a-half percent to three percent of your total operating budget, is all that the state is doing.

What I want you to do is explain to the public that the money that we give to your universities, how it goes directly to the students, and, two, is that your budget is made up of research contracts, military contracts, private entrepreneurs that come in and pay to have research done.

Because I really believe that the public is being buffaloed a little bit when we're talking about cuts and where this comes from when it's actually hurting those students, especially those of limited needs.

If you could just respond to that a little bit.

PRESIDENT HART: Well, let me jump in very quickly. The -- the low number that you've heard thrown around is, I think, 1.8 percent of our total operating budgets. But as -- as you pointed out -- and thank you so much for doing so -- the -- the appropriation is for general and education and is a direct subsidiary to the in-state tuition rate.

Temple has less of a research budget than -- than my two colleagues to my right, but as you have pointed out very, very succinctly, the -- the money that comes in from grants and contracts is absolutely targeted to those activities that are part of that grant.

And you would be joining us all in prison if you instructed us to spend that money otherwise, most particularly, NIH and NSF and other federal grants, in federal prison which I'd just as soon avoid. It's never been a family aspiration.

But we also have auxiliaries. We have food services. We have resident halls that all float on their own bottom, and their revenue and their operating costs are -- are directly tied together.

And so the appropriation is a proportion of what you heard referred to earlier as general and education which is the portion of our operating budget that directly relate to the delivery of the education to our students, and that very low number also includes our health science centers and our academic medical centers and -- and that's direct medical care and also biomedical research and -- and other expenditures.

So we really have to be careful, as you pointed out, to separate those -- where the money comes from to where it actually has been targeted, and it -- it -- that money is not fungible.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Yeah. And, you know, it is an interesting spin, as you put it, because if you look at the budget sheets that were released, there it is, 30 percent. Then you pick up the paper and you see, well, it's only two percent or something like that.

Pitt has a huge research enterprise. \$800 million in research expenditures last year,

expenditures that are a sign of institutional strength, that support pioneering work, but that also are critical to the local economy.

But as President Hart says, if I tried to divert an NIH grant that was awarded to target Parkinson's disease to some kind of general educational mission, there'd be a U.S. attorney knocking on my door. I don't have the freedom to move those funds around freely.

And so in a way whoever is doing that calculation is punishing us for being effective at bringing other funds into the institution for the good of our mission, for the good of our communities, and seems to be suggesting that we can take monies from those pots to make up for what we do not receive from the state. That simply is not true.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman, I -I would echo that as well. But I would also add that
if we did not bring in the research dollars that our
institutions bring in, they probably would not be the
competitive institutions that cause students, both
in-state and out-of-state, to be attracted to those
institutions.

So we have to do that and not be penalized for doing that. Just to give you an

example, to make it real clear, of the \$11 million that we received last year in appropriation from the legislature, \$4.5 million of that money was used in scholarships alone, just to maintain students, to attract students, and to keep students matriculating at the university.

If we had not those dollars, I can almost guarantee you that that wouldn't be the case of maintaining those students.

The other funds were used for general operations, just to keep the place afloat, so that we can actually be the viable institution of higher learning that we are.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would simply add that -- that the Penn State situation is -- is very similar. Out of our roughly \$4.1 billion budget 1.2 billion is in the medical center. There's another 805 million of research expenditures. Our housing and food service and intercollegiate athletics are tubs on their own bottom.

So the cut is really \$64 million as proposed out of 1.65 billion in terms of our educational in general. And similarly to -- in the same manner as my colleagues here, we're not allowed to divert those funds.

One other point I would make that -that is often brought up is what about all that money
that these universities have in endowment? Can't you
just take some of that?

The answer is no. Those funds were given -- were entrusted to us as endowment to continue to grow those funds and to use the proceeds off of them for specific purposes.

ever given the university money to pay the electric bill. Those are monies, the most significant amount of that is for scholarships for our students, both merit and need based, for faculty and program kinds of support, but between 98 and 99 percent of all of those funds in our endowment are directed to specific purposes. They are not unrestricted. We can't use them for things that we simply might want to.

And that's in contrast to many of the -the older private universities that have large,
unrestricted endowments. We do not.

REP. CONKLIN: Thank you.

And I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank the folks for coming, and, remember, please, strive for excellence and don't allow the call for mediocrity to rule your

universities. Thank you. 1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 2 Thank you, 3 representative. Representative Millard. 4 5 REP. MILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, panel, for appearing here 6 7 today. As the father of two children who are 8 military veterans, one recently, a daughter, having 9 10 come out of Afghanistan and finishing up her service 11 in the Air Force with an eye toward higher education, Pittsburgh specifically, I'd like to ask this 12 question and, Chancellor Nordenberg, if you could 13 14 answer first. An issue that's been gaining attention 15 nationally is the number of veterans that are and 16 17 will continue to leave military service in the future and will be seeking higher education. 18 19 Have your universities seen an increase 20 in student veterans and do you have any special 21 programs to, A, recruit and, B, support them? 22 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Thank you. That 23 is a tremendously important question. 24 And when you think about the general 25 circumstances that we're in today, I -- I sometimes

am reminded that when our veterans returned from World War II there was nothing that this country thought it could do that would be a greater reward than providing them with access to a higher education through the GI BILL.

We have wonderful programs at the University of Pittsburgh and in the broader community. When he was still the chairman of the joint chiefs of staff, Admiral Mullen came to Pitt because he thought it was a -- a center that could provide inspiring examples to others in terms of the educational opportunities that we're providing, in terms of the links that we have in terms of employment opportunities in the community for returning veterans, and also because of the biomedical research work that we're doing that is tied directly to the needs of wounded warriors.

So we do have a separate office that provides broad-ranging services to returning veterans. It is well staffed. We are regularly recognized as one of the most veteran-friendly universities in the country, and we also do have both an Air Force ROTC unit and an Army ROTC unit on our campus. Also are the major provider of students for the Naval ROTC unit headquartered at Carnegie

Mellon.

So we're trying to do our part through effective partnerships at both ends.

REP. MILLARD: Thank you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We also are participating in -- in all possible programs for -- for returning veterans, for veterans, and I would just note, again, the -- the special role that our Commonwealth campuses are playing.

Many returning servicemen and women go back to their home communities and take advantage of the opportunities at our regional campuses.

We have about 1,400 veterans now at our -- our campuses. And we -- we are very grateful to them for the sacrifices that they've made for the country and we certainly want to do everything that we can to support their educational goals.

PRESIDENT HART: What my colleagues have said is also true of Temple. I would add that I -- we all participate in the Yellow Ribbon Program, and we have -- our Temple student government group has put together a new student government's organization for adults who have other responsibilities and many of our veterans certainly fall in that category.

And, uniquely for Temple, a large number

of vets are increasingly attending Temple 1 University's Japan campus because of their being 2 stationed in -- in Asia and seeking careers in Asia, 3 they are increasing attending Temple in Tokyo. 4 5 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: We too have special outreach to veterans. We have a sizable number of 6 7 veterans who we have recruited and are retaining on 8 the campus. Certainly not as many as we'd like to have, but we have a special initiative reaching out 9 10 to them, trying to attract them back into college. Doubling back to 11 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: 12 your daughter just for a moment, on Friday we will honor three distinguished alums at our honors 13 14 convocation. One is Lieutenant General Patricia 15 Horoho, who is the first woman ever and the first 16 nurse ever to be named the surgeon general of the 17 army and -- and that goes back to her ROTC days at 18 Pitt. 19 REP. MILLARD: Thank you. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 22 Representative Matt Smith. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REP. SMITH: 24 And thank you all for testifying today. 25 I really appreciate it and I think it's very

important to the committee to get your insight.

And I do want to commend, particularly Chairman Adolph, on -- on offsetting a lot of the Draconian cuts last year that Governor Corbett proposed -- proposed in his '11/'12 budget, particularly as it relates to the state-related universities.

But I do think it's important to provide some context as to the negative impact from a funding standpoint that each of your institutions have incurred over the last couple years.

And the graph we put up, the chart we put up, shows that in the '10/'11 budget year you were funded at around 635 million, of which about 31 million was federal stimulus funds.

Then in last year's budget you were funded at the level of about 514 million overall. So that that was about a 90 million to a hundred million dollars cut in just education funding from state dollars.

And then when I think you take the Governor's proposal this year, it brings you all down to about 370 million, for an overall cut, just in terms of state dollars -- and I think that's important to emphasize. This has nothing to do with

the federal stimulus dollars that your institutions received.

That \$31 million was received in '10/'11 and gone after that point, and -- and I don't think any of you, unless you correct me, expected that that funding would be sustainable. That was a one-time shot of revenue.

And so I think it's important to emphasize that the cuts that the Governor has instituted and he proposes to institute again would cumulatively total about 230 million over the course of two years. And I think it's important to not take a look at this in a one-year snapshot but to look at it as a two-year snapshot, as I think Chancellor Nordenberg stated, and that -- that being said, the overall cut would be about 230 million.

Can you -- and specifically, Chancellor Nordenberg, you talked a little bit about the national science study which came out, I think, about two months ago or so which basically said that we're not investing enough in our higher education institutions, particularly as it relates to the STEM subjects, science, technology, education and math.

Can you talk a little bit about what these sorts of cuts over a two-year period -- and I

think the message has been sent by the Governor that this will continue in year three, year four of the Corbett administration. Can you talk a little bit about the detrimental impact, not so much in terms of direct employment but in terms of that -- that indirect multiplier of employment and economic development and innovation and research that this will cause to your institution?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: It's a -- it's a wonderful question and -- and, again, provides an opportunity to maybe counter what are some of the impressions, and, that is, again, that if you're able to bring in all of this other money, what do you need the state for?

When, in fact, if you don't have a strong university and you don't have a strong school of engineering or department of chemistry or department of biological sciences, then no one else is going to invest in your programs.

So this has an effect that does go beyond the kind of tuition increases that we're talking about. It affects our ability to maintain both instructional and research facilities, labs. It affects our ability to reinvest in people who we believe are going to be able to attract dollars from

other sources.

You know, we had one good example just last week, Representative Smith, and that is that the Richard King Mellon Foundation announced that it was making a \$22 million grant to our Center for Energy.

PRESIDENT HART: Yes.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: And everyone in this state, from the Governor on down, says that energy is going to be the future.

And certainly in western Pennsylvania Pittsburgh is positioning itself to be the energy capital of America. We've got coal. We've got nuclear. We've got Marcellus shale. We've got the National Energy Technology Lab, and we've got great university strengths.

But if the university isn't strong, then the foundation is not going to invest in our programs. And without that investment, the ripple effects that you've just described begin and they become more pronounced over time.

You know, there was a sentence in the Governor's budget address that really touched my heart when he said, we can't let current debt crowd out future dreams. Well, that is exactly what's going to happen here in terms of the impacts of these

dramatic cuts over time.

REP. SMITH: If anyone else wants to address the issue as well.

PRESIDENT HART: Absolutely I agree with Chancellor Nordenberg, and I would add that it even affects the rate of recovered indirect costs that our institutions receive from the grants and contracts that are a part of this incredible seed corn. I referred to it earlier.

So the less we invest the less we're able to recover as well.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: One -- one additional quick comment here. I agree entirely with Chancellor Nordenberg and President Hart.

The -- the other aspects of this that -that are very difficult and have long-run
implications is -- is the competitiveness of these
Commonwealth state -- or public -- publicly funded
research universities.

Our faculty and staff have gone two of the last three years holding the line on -- on salary increases. We're going to be challenged again this year in terms of that budget.

But as that continues, it makes our institutions and the faculty who form really the

heart of our universities much more susceptible to -to moving to other places. Retaining and recruiting
faculty, the best quality faculty is absolutely at
the heart of everything that we do.

Facilities are as well. And as we kick this can down the road on deferred maintenance, it's only going to multiply over time.

REP. SMITH: President Jennings.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Well, I would certainly ditto what my colleagues have said here. I think we cannot lose sight of the fact that the research dollars we bring to our university helps to strengthen teaching, and we want to be able to use that in a way to produce the best and the brightest that the state and the nation has to offer.

Every time we take a cut, as I said earlier, it has a residual effect on almost everything that we do. Our faculty is complaining right now that their salaries are not competitive with others who's -- we are similarly situated with.

And what -- what ultimately ends up happening is you lose some of your best people because they can go elsewhere and -- and command the kinds of salaries that they expect and need.

REP. SMITH: But -- and that was

actually a great transition to my final question, which is related to the ability to attract and retain high quality professors and researchers.

And I'm encouraged and -- and discouraged at the same time by the fact that there is a Governor from a northeastern state who gets the value of higher education, happens to be across the border in New Jersey.

And it was interesting yesterday where Governor Christy unveiled his budget and in that -- and in that budget address he referred to higher education as, quote, the key to advancement in life, and also said about New Jersey's universities, that we cannot compete, we being New Jersey, cannot compete economically with, quote, good but not great universities, and he's striving to make New Jersey the national leader in medical education and biomedical research.

And he really in his budget proposal put dollars behind the words where -- and he increased Rutgers' budget by six percent, \$26 million. He increased tuition assistance by ten percent, an increase of \$37.5 million. He increased Rowan University's budget, and he actually proposed creating a -- regional higher education research

hubs.

And -- and with that, just right across the river, particularly for our friends on the eastern side of the state, you know, tell us a little bit about how that makes it that much more difficult to compete for these higher quality researchers and -- and also retaining the high quality researchers that you have right now when we're seeing an obvious de-emphasis and deceleration in higher education funding at the same time that New Jersey is actually increasing and investing in their universities and Governor Christy is -- is touting the benefits of higher education, the need for higher education, and the fact that in order to stay competitive economically they have to invest in their higher educational institutions.

PRESIDENT HART: Well, I'm going to jump in from Philadelphia, because, as you know, that's a direct challenge to the eds and meds across the river.

And -- and we already have a huge movement across between New Jersey and -- and the greater Philadelphia region. And it's not just in education. It's in research and big pharma and in -- and, frankly, in the delivery of medical services.

\$8 billion a year crosses the river to seek direct 1 medical care in Philadelphia, and we've been told 2 that that challenges a direct attempt to keep all of 3 that revenue in New Jersey and to compete with the 4 5 greater Philadelphia region. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 6 Just 7 trying to move on. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 REP. SMITH: 9 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I appreciate your 10 questions, representative. 11 Representative Scott Petri. 12 REP. PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Presidents, for being 13 14 here today. 15 I -- first, if I might, Mr. Chairman, I 16 would like to acknowledge President Hart. For those 17 of you who don't know, she is retiring and moving 18 on. 19 And I would just like to state for the record that Arizona's good fortune is our tremendous 20 21 loss, and I just want to compliment you on the vision 22 you have developed with your team at Temple and --23 and all the great work you've done for the 24 Commonwealth. And thank you. 25 PRESIDENT HART: Thank you.

REP. PETRI: Now for the tough questions. Sorry. That was the softball.

One of the struggles that we have in this process is that this is really a three-party discussion, and one of the parties doesn't get to participate in this discussion, and that's our students and parents.

And what I mean by that -- and I know you know this, but for those who are listening -- we can set an appropriation and you -- and that may or may not relate to what your tuition number will be. It has an impact, but we never know when we set that appropriation what that tuition number would be.

Likewise, until we set the appropriation number, it's very difficult for you to say what that tuition number would be.

So the question I have is, can we, as institutions and as the General Assembly, agree that whatever is allocated to general support, that line item, could go a hundred percent towards student tuition, in-state tuition reduction, at least for this year in order to help these students stay in school and -- and attend your schools?

And maybe you can't answer that without going to your board. But that's where I would like

to be at the end of this process, and then I know that I can do my level best, as all my colleagues will, to drive home where 97 percent of my students want to go.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: You know, I'll maybe take the bullet first and say, I don't think I could responsibly answer that question today.

I think that there is a history, both recent and long term, demonstrating that we have had the interests of our students at heart.

Certainly that was true in terms of the increases that were imposed last year that did not come any place close to making up for the gap in funding.

And -- and as you can imagine, it makes a difference whether you're talking about restoring from a 30 percent cut, whether you're talking about restoring from where we left off last year.

There are so many variables that come into play because we also all do have other costs that are rising on our campuses and we also have big employment bases of people who work hard, who have already been through a succession of freezes, and with respect to whom we also have to pay attention.

And what I would say is you will have a

chance to interact with our students, I think, before this process is over. Pitt Day in Harrisburg is coming up about March the 12th or the 13th, and I know the Pitt students from your region or from your district would like to meet with you.

The other thing, if I can say one more thing and I -- okay. I won't.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You're -- you're fast with your hands, because the president of Penn State University is ready to say something and you went -- you know, so I'm -- keeping everybody engaged.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I -- I would -- I would say that -- that we can't specifically say at this point, but I would tell you that -- and other members of the Appropriations Committee, that we will do our level best to turn over every rock to find the cost savings where we can, to use every possible dollar of -- of any amelioration in the -- the cut to -- to pass that on as lower tuition rates to our students.

We have the same interests that you have in terms of keeping our tuition affordable and keeping access open to students.

PRESIDENT HART: I would say that is, in fact, the foundation of the difference between

in-state and out-of-state tuition and between the difference you will see between the many wonderful private institutions in the Commonwealth and your publicly supported universities.

At Temple we have cut \$83 million in the last three years. We also have had salary freezes.

And we -- and we truly -- if you look at the last ten years and grasp the -- decrease -- the declining real dollars in the appropriation with the increase in tuition that has followed it, that they are directly correlated.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: I would say something very similar in that I would also just ask you to look at what we've done with the dollars in the past.

As I mentioned earlier, of the \$11 million, almost \$5 million of those dollars were spent just for tuition reduction, to maintain the -- the low tuition that our students have to have.

Every time that there's a tuition increase, we lose five to seven percent of the students because of the kind of student we attract. So if we have to pass that kind of cost on to students, you can almost be guaranteed that we're going to lose students.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1 REP. PETRI: 2 Thank you for your answers. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 3 Thank you. Representative Paul Costa. 4 5 REP. PAUL COSTA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 To the presidents, you guys can take a breather. My questions are directly for Chancellor 8 9 Nordenberg. 10 Chancellor, yeah, congratulations. Ιf the support continues to decline year after year, how 11 12 does Pitt change as a university? CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, again, I 13 14 think the best way to answer the question is to say if you look at the line on the chart that was 15 16 prepared by Representative Smith, we're being pushed 17 in the direction of becoming private universities. If you look at the major distinguishers 18 19 between private research universities and public research universities, the first thing that catches 20 21 everyone's attention is the tuition is, you know, 22 three times what it is for an in-state student, or in 23 that neighborhood. 24 Second, to go back to the question that 25 was put to us earlier, if our current student

in-state/out-of-state ratio is 75 percent in-state and 25 percent out-of-state, at comparable private research universities you'd see them flipped around. 25 percent in-state students and 75 percent coming in from other places.

You see a -- a compression of programs. You don't see regional campuses. And -- and I would say you also see less of a commitment to the community and the public good.

And I don't say that to be critical. I think that most colleges and universities try to do things for their communities, but I think there is a marked difference between a public university and a private university and -- and you can see that in some of the other funding decisions that have come down in the last year.

You know, last year we lost 50 percent of the funding from the Commonwealth for our special needs dental clinic which serves the needs of an otherwise underserved population for a wide range of territory around Pittsburgh.

Well, what are we supposed to -- to do if -- if we don't have the funding for delivering those services to the citizens who need them?

So I think that it is a change that can

in some ways be measured in dollars and cents. It is 1 a change that can be measured in terms of composition 2 of student body, but there also is a markedly 3 different tone. 4 5 REP. PAUL COSTA: Thank you, Chancellor. I actually had a follow-up question but 6 7 I think you answered it pretty well. 8 My follow-up was going to be what kind of impact is it going to have on our students, you 9 10 know from western Pennsylvania and the Commonwealth as a whole? You've pretty well addressed it. 11 12 Increase in tuition, fewer opportunities, and less community involvement. 13 14 And I hate to see that that's the direction we're going because, as you mentioned 15 16 earlier, the University of Pittsburgh is a huge 17 employer for our region and I'd hate to see that -that that starts to decline and it has a major impact 18 on southwestern Pennsylvania, and ultimately the 19 Commonwealth. 20 21 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 22 representative. 23 Representative Mauree Gingrich. 24 REP. GINGRICH: Thank you, 25 Mr. Chairman.

Thank you all for being here this morning. And, of course, we want you to know that not only do we share in your commitment to higher education but the quality, the access, and the affordability are key. So I'm so glad that we're talking about that today.

Obviously, and very clearly, in this current economy that we face it -- it does affect all levels of society and higher levels of education most certainly.

But in this time of prolonged unemployment, many people are looking to fill their time and advance their opportunities by furthering their education in -- in many different capacities, oftentimes to train for something else that may be in the marketplace as more viable to them.

I've noticed having a community college in my district that many folks are choosing community colleges in order to save money so that they can then matriculate, you know, to their further plans, whatever that may be.

I'm curious to know. And this can be a two-part question. Hopefully it -- they won't be elongated answers. You can tell us something really positive.

I've seen the enrollment in my area, and I'd like to know if it's happening at your universities and how you are maximizing, capitalizing on that, or facilitating those transfers? Because I think we're going to be seeing that for a while, certainly in the -- the economic situation we're in now, affordability and maybe it breaking down into pieces, I think we're going to see more often.

Your -- your thoughts, please.

PRESIDENT HART: Thank you,

Representative Gingrich. At Temple University 45 percent of our graduates graduate with some transfer credits and we have articulation agreements with community colleges in our region and in -- even in New Jersey and that grows every year. We added two last year. We have six community colleges with whom we have dual admission agreements.

And -- and those articulation agreements tell the students exactly what they need to take in their two-year associate degree curriculum to transfer directly into Temple University, and we're very proud to say that our community college transfers from our dual enrollment closely articulated graduate from Temple at the same rate and

in the same time as first-time, first-year students.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We have long provided a lot of associate degree education at Penn State. We've been out across the Commonwealth doing that. In fact, provided some of the role that community colleges might typically have had in other states.

We graduated last year over a thousand associate degrees. So we're one of the largest producers of associate degrees that are targeted toward like engineering, technology, and so forth.

But similar to President Hart's comment, we have over 60 articulation agreements. We -- with the 14 community colleges, as well as over half of the State System of Higher Education universities, we transferred over 65,000 credits last year into Penn State and we have a number of programs that are -- that are really tailored to specific needs.

Just a couple quick examples. As the Marcellus shale industry has developed, we introduced an associate degree program at our Fayette campus in mining engineering.

At many of our campuses now we're offering a program that will take individuals who have R.N. degrees in nursing to a B.S. degree.

So we're very much in tune to -- to 1 2 helping reach their educational goals by taking what they have and building on that. 3 4 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I'm looking at the chairman to see if I stay on his good graces --5 REP. GINGRICH: Just speak quickly. 6 7 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: -- to see if I don't answer --8 9 REP. GINGRICH: He won't get nasty if 10 you talk fast. 11 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I'd just say 12 last year the Community College of Allegheny County gave me their Legends in Leadership Award. 13 That was 14 not a personal tribute to me but really was a credit to the way that Pitt has worked with the community 15 16 colleges to develop and implement articulation 17 agreements. 18 So we do see those trends. working to manage them to the good of the students, 19 and information technology really makes a big 20 21 difference. You know, we actually used to pay to 22 have a Pitt employee physically present at CCAC to 23 advise students. Today they can get most of what 24 they need online and they're doing it.

REP. GINGRICH: Thank you very much.

25

And I'm glad to see that you agree in the value, and this is another opportunity to make it more affordable to more people. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

I'd like to recognize Representative Benninghoff who is here today.

 $\label{eq:And the next question will be by } $$ $$ \end{array}$  Representative Ron Waters.

REP. MARKOSEK: Excuse me, chairman, before we do that, Representative Vanessa Brown from Philadelphia is here and Representative Greg Vitali from Delaware County is here as well. Thank you.

REP. WATERS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, I just want to say to all the presidents who are here from -- from Penn State, Pitt, Temple, and Lincoln, that you have all come and presented a very strong case as to why proper funding for your institutions is critical to the -- to the -- to the good of this Commonwealth and -- and beyond.

A couple of points that were made, I heard -- I believe it was the president from Pitt talked about the public good, and I heard the president of Temple, Ms. Hart, mention the -- she don't want to go to prison. Okay.

But the public good and prison, from those two perspectives right there, there's something that always -- I'm always conscious of, is that people who -- I believe who know better will do better.

And in order for us to be able to address the concerns of public safety, I believe people who are gainfully employed will be less likely to get into trouble or harm anyone.

So -- and as I hear President Jennings, as he speaks about the -- the effect of a tuition increase will mean less students entering into the Lincoln University, I just -- I just can't help but to -- want to know on the flip side of what we've been talking about, tuition increases, what would happen, in your opinion -- I'd like to start with President Jennings, what would happen in your opinion if we was able to realize a decrease in tuition?

I know it's wishful thinking. But we are here to fight, like we did last year, for our interests, which is public -- which is education.

What would a decrease in your opinion mean towards opportunities for people entering into your universities and colleges?

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Well, in short

order, it would mean less students because we would have to increase tuition.

REP. WATERS: No. A decrease in tuition?

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Oh, if we --

REP. WATERS: At this -- the funding so that the tuition costs would be decreased.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Oh, if the tuition was decreased, I could almost guarantee you that we would have an increase in students. We could attract more students, many of those who say that they can't come because even the amount of tuition that we're paying — that they're paying right now is too much. So we would more than likely have an increase in tuition [sic].

We could probably also have some funds by which we could continue to attract some of the best and brightest faculty that we have not been able to attract because we can't afford to pay those salaries.

And we would more than likely be able to sustain some of those that we currently have who may be looking elsewhere because they can't get the type of raises that they've expected over the last three to four years.

So that's probably what would happen.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: The other thing that would happen is that these hard working, worthy young people would be moving into the workforce with less of a debt load, and that means that not only would they be better positioned to start their own lives, but they'd be better positioned to actually spend money in ways that would help elevate the economy for all of us rather than making those loan payments, big loan payments every month.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Not to mention that they would probably be able to give back a contribution much earlier to the institution which would help to grow our endowments or provide more scholarships to students. That would certainly be something that we could -- could look forward to.

REP. WATERS: So in the -- in the long run the ripple effect will be more -- will be a positive ripple effect, because as -- I heard you -- all of you speaking earlier about ripple effect of what tuition costs would mean in terms of losing people from staff and people who don't enter into the -- the colleges in the first place.

If we don't have a workforce where people who are looking to maybe land in -- their

product or to develop their product in certain 1 regions, they look -- they may look elsewhere, 2 outside of Pennsylvania, because they don't see the 3 work -- the developed workforce. 4 5 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Right. PRESIDENT HART: Sure. Absolutely. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 8 Representative Mario Scavello. 9 REP. SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 11 And good morning. Thank you for all of 12 your testimony and -- and thank you for preparing our young future leaders for the challenge of tomorrow. 13 14 It's really appreciated. 15 I'm probably going to have the most 16 difficult question here, because I -- I think it's 17 something that should be said. Four years ago the General Assembly passed the Right to Know legislation 18 19 that provided openness and transparency in state government for information and records. 20 21 However, state-relateds, as you know, 22 are not subject to its requirements. Now, 23 legislation has been introduced that would change --24 making your universities comply with the Right to

25

Know.

I'd like to know, do you support or oppose the change and what it would mean for your universities?

But before you answer, you know, over the years it's billions and billions of dollars over the last 50 years that have gone into the -- into the schools, the state-related schools, and, you know, especially in these tough economic times where, you know, taxpayers really want to know what's happening with their dollars, where those dollars are being spent.

Now, in many cases you've explained some of your issues -- you know, where some of the dollars are being spent, but truly, you know, like the state system schools, for example, every dollar needs to be counted and then it's available for anyone that wants to see.

What's your views on it if you don't mind?

PRESIDENT HART: Well, let me jump in since that's our favorite question, as you can imagine.

First of all, I -- I think that it's important to remember that the state relateds are included in the legislation in a special category and

that we -- we do, in fact, participate, even though it's not on the same -- under the same basis as -- as the rest of state government.

It's also important to note that we prepare very, very, very detailed reports about how we spend our state dollars, and those reports also come to the state every year.

And -- and so I -- I don't want to leave the impression that as state relateds we don't participate openly and as full partners in sharing with the citizens of the Commonwealth where -- how we use those state dollars and -- and I would encourage everyone who hasn't had a chance to see those reports, they're very, very, very extensive.

So it isn't a matter of -- of hiding but a matter of the nature of our participation in that full legislation.

I think that we also know that there are -- because of the different provisions that there could be, in fact, some pretty serious economic impacts for our institutions if we were to shift from our current participation in Right to Know into the -- the system used by the state government.

And I think, at least for Temple, that we're interested in talking with you about it, but

that we don't want to leave the impression to the citizens of the state that we don't re -- that we don't report openly and fully on the use of the appropriation that is provided.

REP. SCAVELLO: President Hart, you mentioned economic conditions if you complied. What were you referring to?

PRESIDENT HART: Well, we would certainly need an officer. As you looked in state government, but also in the -- in the state system, that depending on the kinds of requests that come in, there is a significant cost related to some of the kinds of requests and -- and we -- we estimate several million dollars of direct impact on developing an office and responding to the requests that might come in.

And I can't tell you exactly what those numbers are, but it's a bit different than the reporting that we currently participate in.

REP. SCAVELLO: I've just totaled the four requests from the four state relateds. It's over six hundred -- \$605 million, that that state taxpayer dollars will be going into your universities.

You know, if you're talking a couple

million dollars to set the office up, that's a 1 one-time set. Then after that, you know, it's just 2 the staff getting the office set up is your -- and 3 it's a one-time cost. Correct? And then you're just 4 5 PRESIDENT HART: No. No. There are 6 7 employees involved. REP. SCAVELLO: Yes. 8 PRESIDENT HART: It's not --9 REP. SCAVELLO: Yes. 10 PRESIDENT HART: Yeah. No. 11 But it's 12 not a one-time cost. It's a recurring cost. CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: 13 Just to 14 underscore President Hart's basic response, those are our disclosures made during the last year. 15 16 So that very typically when we're 17 dealing with people in government in particular, they say, well, we'd like to know this or we'd like to 18 19 know that and we say, well, we sent it in. 20 And people don't realize just what we 21 are required to produce under the Right to Know law 22 and under other provisions. 23 And -- and I would say I think this is a 24 topic that always is a fair topic for discussion. 25 PRESIDENT HART: Uh-huh.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: But, you know, if we're heading toward being made private institutions, then should we be made to set up these offices and invest those dollars along the way?

REP. SCAVELLO: I can understand that.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would add that we would certainly look forward to conversations with members of the General Assembly about this and hopefully ending up at a -- at a good place that would meet everyone's needs.

We have certain aspects, for example, with respect to private philanthropy, with research contracts, with industry and -- and sensitive information and things of that nature that -- that really don't -- don't involve public funding whatsoever.

And, of course, if this budget were to be passed, we would be looking at something in the neighborhood of -- of five to six percent of our total budget that would be contributed by the Commonwealth.

But I would also say that, as I've taken the reins of the presidency at Penn State, I've also committed to -- to much more openness. I've generated a new website, openness.psu.edu, where

we're providing a lot of information and -- and really ways to access information that might not have been as apparent as possible.

For example, in addition to all of the -- the information that -- that we provide, as Chancellor Nordenberg indicated, we produce about 3,000 web pages of information every year on Penn State's budget and how the money is spent.

But a lot of people don't know that apparently, but we're trying to direct people. And I've had a new kind of primer or a road map for how to navigate that information.

And what I've said is -- is we would provide, to the extent that we can and with the resources that we have, is requests for information where it doesn't violate law, where it doesn't violate prior contract, or where it doesn't intrude on individual's privacy rights.

And I will continue to operate under that principle during the time that I serve as president at -- at Penn State.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: I think you will discover we are as open and as transparent as we can possibly be in the confines of not being able to divulge what restricted donors have asked us not to

divulge about their private gifts, but we have always been open in this administration, my administration plans to maintain that same kind of posture.

REP. SCAVELLO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Steve Samuelson.

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you. And I appreciate Chairman Adolph giving us each the opportunity to ask these questions and to state our -- our views about what Governor Corbett has proposed.

And I know some of the committee members have said we have work to do and there will be time to see whether or not the House agrees with Governor Corbett on this proposed 30 percent cut to Penn State, Pitt and Temple.

Well, I want to say very clearly I disagree with that approach that Governor Corbett has -- has put forward, and I think we have to state for the record that -- I know many of the Democrats on this committee have said strongly that we do not agree with Governor Corbett and his significant cuts to higher education.

I hope that by the end of this budget process we reverse this cut and restore the funding.

Last year Governor Corbett wanted a 50 percent cut, and in the end it was 19 percent. Now, I know that lessened the impact of what Governor Corbett had in mind, but I still have to say 19 percent was a significant cut to higher education and it had consequences.

One of the consequences I noticed is after the budget was voted on June 29th and June 30th, very shortly after that tuition increases were announced.

I wanted to just have the -- the college presidents state for the record what, even with a 19 percent cut, that had significant consequences, what were the tuition increases last year?

PRESIDENT HART: The blended increase across Temple was 7.9 percent.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: It was six percent at Lincoln University.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Our institutions are more complicated. Our tuition increases, as I recall, ranged from two percent for certain students on the regional campuses to eight-and-a-half percent for some of the students in Oakland.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Our overall blended rate was 4.9 percent, including some variations in

different categories.

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you. And I fear what would happen if Governor Corbett gets his way and state funding is cut another 30 percent.

I mean there was -- there's been talk of a 50 percent cut that didn't happen. Well, a 19 percent cut followed by 30 percent, that's pretty darn close. And I -- and I fear that if this cut goes through, there will be additional consequences for students and families.

There's been some talk about what if the Governor's real motivation is moving us in the -- in the direction of private institutions with no state funding.

Now, I know that flies against 150 years of history. It flies against governors of both political parties, but some suspect that what he's -- what he's proposing is the state gradually withdrawing its commitment to -- to higher education.

Some might ask, what does Governor

Corbett want to give up for lent? Well, the state's commitment to higher education.

I want to ask this question. If the state really withdrew all funding -- and I know you

have out-of-state students that don't benefit from a tuition discount that's with the state funding, what is the differential at each -- at each of the four colleges between what is an in-state tuition and out-of-state tuition?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Again, it varies a bit from program to program, but I think at the undergraduate level you're talking about a differential that is closer to \$10,000 a year.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: It -- it varies across Penn State as well. At our -- our regional campuses it's in the neighborhood of a \$6,000 differential. At University Park it's a \$12,000 differential.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: It -- at Lincoln University there is a differential of \$4,966.

PRESIDENT HART: At Temple we've actually seen a change. As recently as five years ago out-of-state tuition was double in-state tuition, but because of these -- dramatic decline in the proportion in the general and education budget that comes from the state appropriation, our trustees have actually reduced that differential and it's now about 80 percent.

REP. SAMUELSON: And I --

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Thank you.

2 Representative Gordon Denlinger.

REP. DENLINGER: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

And thanks to each of you for joining us today.

I was a little hesitant to ask this question because of the timing of it, but I'll go ahead anyway.

In the recent days the United States

Supreme Court signaled a willingness to review the

University of Texas case entered by a student who is

asserting, I guess you'd say, discriminatory practice
in their admissions process.

To the extent that we will, I guess, hear the results of that later this year, I'm wondering have you opened a discussion with your boards or with your financial advisors in terms of what a -- a significant change in federal guidelines with regard to admissions might mean for your institutions and as it relates to our deliberations as an Appropriations Committee, is there anything that you'd like to share with us in terms of the -- of changes and impacts that could result from a set aside or certain preferences?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We -- we have a completely level playing field in terms of admissions, you know, regardless of -- of particular characteristics or even residency. It's -- it's simply a level playing field.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: As the law professor in the group, I'll probably be the most cautious about answering. What I have read about the Supreme Court's agreement to review this case, which I think just came yesterday.

PRESIDENT HART: Yesterday.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: It did.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: The predictions from the true experts run from about here to here, that is, it -- it may not have much of an impact or it could be -- used as a vehicle for sweeping change.

So I haven't had time to discuss it with the board or anyone else and don't know what we'll be able to predict until the arguments are made and the decision comes down.

PRESIDENT HART: Well, I agree with Chancellor Nordenberg. I think it's also, however, important to remember in the case of Temple that we have always worked very, very hard to be the access

to excellence for the American dream from all newcomers to -- to American higher education.

And we are very, very proud of our diversity university and work hard in recruitment, not just in terms of admission, but we go out of our way to recruit a diverse student body.

And some of the more dire predictions of this kind of -- of change in federal law actually speculate that we could lose our ability to have targeted scholarships even provided by private donors and that our very, very intense recruitment in our neighborhoods and among the diverse population of our Commonwealth actually might also no longer be allowed.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: I -- I would just say that we have always had a strong history of being open to all and everyone who is interested in receiving a higher education. While we are historically a black school, we've always had an open door, and we've always tried to recruit all students and make them feel welcome and educate them.

REP. DENLINGER: Thank you for those comments.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would just add that we -- we use many of the same approaches that

President Hart has indicated, aggressive recruitment, 1 strong programs for retention, and that's what's been 2 the key to our success in terms of a more diverse 3 student body. 4 5 REP. DENLINGER: I appreciate your comments, and I appreciate your consistent concern 6 and -- and -- and dedication to diverse 7 student body in -- in each case. 8 9 As this could again have earthquake-size 10 impacts to higher education, please stay in touch 11 with us on the Appropriation Committee. As we move 12 forward, I think we want to walk through with you any significant changes that could be in the future. 13 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 16 representative. 17 For the members' information, we have moved the state treasurer back to 11:45. 18 19 Representative Matt Bradford. 20 REP. BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman. 21 I have a question, I quess, for -- for 22 the whole panel. One of the issues that's been

secretary and I think the budget -- the sec -- the

Governor himself has touched upon it on a couple of

raised by some of those -- Governor's budget

23

occasions, is that over a period of years support for our state universities continues to go up but increases in tuitions out-paced the increases, and basically the logic was that increases in state aid did nothing to suppress tuition increases.

And a kind extrapolation and a little bit of perverse logic was made, but what has been stated a lot of times by the administration is, well, since increases didn't keep tuition down, let's see if we dramatically cut state aid to our state-relateds, will that then result in cuts in tuition?

Is there any basis in economics or practical logic to lead you to believe that there's any basis in this theory?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I don't think there's any basis in logic or practical experience for the theory, and I think that also the historic trends may be quite difficult.

I am attentive to statements like those when they are made. If you go back and look at the dollar increases to tuition at the University of Pittsburgh, I think that they would fit into the modest category, as labeled by most, until we got to about 2000 and then the -- kind of the pattern of freezes and decreases hit, and then you did see

tuition going up.

But we've been able to graph it from 1967 to 2012, and basically what you see is a very direct correlation. If state support goes up, tuition increases are more moderate. If state support goes down, tuition increases are more dramatic.

Now, you can't expect that there will be no increases as our cost base goes up. And if you've got two revenue streams that are designed to support that cost base, tuition and state appropriation, they're probably both going to go up some. The question is how much they're going to go up.

And, again, the patterns of the past show that increased state support does, in fact, have a moderating impact on tuition.

REP. BRADFORD: On that similar topic -- and I know Representative Petri raised the issue of it being a multi-party discussion when we talk about tuition and where costs are being picked up between parents, between the university, the Commonwealth, and students in terms of grants and loans into the future.

And one of the things -- and I think

President Obama has spoken about this, too -- is the

long-term costs that we're saddling our students with in terms of these loans they're now -- you know, from my own law school experience, in some cases 30-year loans that are going out there.

What in total does indebtedness look
like for your students right now? What -- what
portion of tuition is being picked up by parents in
terms of either cash outlays or PLUS loans? What is
being already felt by the average parent and student
at your universities?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: About 80 percent of our Penn State students are on some form of financial aid. The average debt upon graduation for our most recent graduates is right about \$33,000, which is somewhat ahead of the national average, but that national average is moving very quickly towards \$30,000. Some have described it as a home mortgage without a home.

But -- but clearly the -- the returns to education are also great. As President Hart indicated earlier, college graduates can expect to earn at least a million dollars more than a -- than a high school graduate during the course of their -- their -- their lifetime earnings.

But clearly it's -- it's more -- it's

more complex than that even, in the sense that -that the prospect of a lot of that debt also does
have a influence on the particular career -- career
paths that students are -- are taking.

And I think that's -- that's unfortunate when students feel less able to follow their particular dream in terms of their -- their future.

So it affects many, many different facets of student life and the student experience.

And -- and obviously it's something that we're very concerned about and why we want to keep our tuition increases as low as we possibly can. Especially as we're getting into this zone where it's -- it's becoming clearly -- it's clearly impacting students' ability to access and affordability.

REP. BRADFORD: To follow up on your comment and to make it grounded in the state issue, what role do PHEAA grants play at your specific universities and what does the Governor's proposal mean to students who rely on PHEAA grants to attend your universities?

PRESIDENT HART: The average PHEAA grant at Temple is \$2,400. But recall that there are private universities in the Commonwealth with tuition and fees of \$42,000 a year. And so if you place us

```
in that context, I think this is a huge investment
 1
 2
      in -- in our future and we don't want to go down that
      path of not -- not committing as a Commonwealth to
 3
 4
      higher education.
                    REP. BRADFORD: One of the ideas
 5
      that the admin --
 6
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
 7
                                      Representative.
                    REP. BRADFORD: Is that my ten minutes?
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      No.
                                           There's no --
      there's no minutes involved.
10
                    REP. BRADFORD: Okay.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      There's one question
      with -- with a comment; and if the other presidents
13
14
      would like to answer that question, that's fine. But
15
      there will be second rounds. Okay?
16
                    Did anyone else want to answer the
17
      question regarding PHEAA grants to their
18
      universities?
19
                    PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We're similar.
20
                    PRESIDENT HART: Similar.
21
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      No? Okay. All right.
22
                    Representative Jeff Pyle.
23
                    REP. PYLE: Thank you, Chairman.
                                                      Much
24
      appreciated.
25
                    Presidents, thanks for being here
```

today. You know, when you're at the one-hour mark and you guys are sitting there at the table feeling a lot like an entree, I understand you want the questions simple and -- and quick.

A few comments before I start,

Mr. Chairman. First of all, I think we share our

concern with higher education in Pennsylvania. It

was the best six years of my life.

It's a joke. I got two degrees, Chairman.

Anyway, there's a statement given here that I have to ask, and it -- I think it lends to the perspective of the state. Nobody wants to see our students suffer from this. And my sisters and -- and my family, my mother and my father are all Pennsylvania college grads.

Diminished levels of state support stand as the primary contributor to rising of public university tuition and its impact on access and affordability. Virtually everyone who has seriously examined these issues has fairly called for colleges and universities to do even more to control their costs in challenging times, but it's also recognized that the key culprit is reduced state funding.

I'm not calling anybody out on that, but

I think part of that equation was glossed over a 1 little much. We're doing our best to control costs 2 knowing -- President Erickson, you said that you cut 3 \$200 million in your budget over the last few years. 4 5 How much has your state appropriation been that you've been able to cut \$200 million? 6 7 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Over the course of 8 the last ten years the total appropriation has been just over 300 million. 9 10 REP. PYLE: Over the last ten years the state has given you 300 million and --11 12 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: No. I'm saving 13 that's been our annual appropriation. 14 REP. PYLE: So you're talking 3 billion 15 and you managed to carve out 200 million of it. 16 that right? 17 I'm just trying to understand what 18 you're telling us. PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Yeah. 19 We've had 20 typically cut out -- last year, for example, you 21 know, we -- we cut out funding by -- by not giving 22 salary increases the year before. 23 And we -- we figured out other ways to 24 cut funds. For example, we -- we changed some of our 25 benefit packages.

REP. PYLE: Let's talk about that if that -- I'm sorry to interrupt. What I've noticed -- and I've only been here eight years -- is that every time there's a need for money you come, but you don't call us to negotiate your contracts, which I imagine are a lot of your cost driver.

And this brings up what the President from Lincoln brought up. Earlier he was able to quote that out of the \$11 million that we made available to Lincoln University 4 million of it went directly to student aid assistance.

Is it possible for me -- and I don't need it now. I'm not trying to call you out. Is it possible for me to get a breakdown --

PRESIDENT HART: Uh-huh. Sure.

REP. PYLE: -- from your universities like the gentleman from Lincoln has done?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Sure. Sure.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Yes.

REP. PYLE: Great. And, Chancellor

Nordenberg, if I may specifically, earlier you

brought up a -- a question about the University of

Pitt Medical School could suffer if this tuition goes

into -- into effect and President Erickson brought up

that their endowment funds that are specifically

earmarked by federal or by private donor accession. 1 Now, being a western Pennsylvanian, I'm 2 well, well, well aware of the little shoot-out we had 3 last year between UPMC and Highmark. 4 It was understood at the time when I 5 asked you last year what is the relationship between 6 7 the University of Pittsburgh and UPMC and you said they were totally separate entities, that UPMC was 8 9 used to fund your medical school training. 10 Now, if UPMC is a separate entity from 11 Pitt, how can Pitt cut the medical school funding if that's funded out of UPMC, a separate entity, as I 12 was told previously? 13 14 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: The UPMC is a legally distinct --15 REP. PYLE: Understood. 16 17 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: -- separately managed entity where there are relationships that 18 relate to both the delivery of clinical care --19 20 REP. PYLE: Sure. 21 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: -- and the basic 22 research. 23 I'm not sure what I said earlier -- and 24 I say this respectfully -- that was confusing. University of Pittsburgh's School of Medicine is a 25

```
part of the university. It's not a part of UPMC.
 1
 2
                    REP. PYLE: University of Pittsburgh but
      not UPMC?
 3
 4
                    CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG:
                                            That's right.
 5
                    REP. PYLE: Thank you.
                    CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: So the School of
 6
 7
      Medicine and all the health science schools are in
 8
      the university.
 9
                    REP. PYLE: Perhaps that's where I
      misunderstood. I -- I'd like to --
10
11
                    CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG:
                                            I may have
12
      misspoke so --
                   REP. PYLE: Well, I'd love to talk to
13
14
      you about it --
15
                    CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Okay.
                    REP. PYLE: -- sometime.
16
17
                   And to close, Mr. Chairman, with due
      respect to Temple, I loved Arizona. I hope you have
18
19
      a great time.
20
                   And, Lincoln, you have my upmost
21
      respect.
22
                   And, President Erickson, there's an
23
      elephant in the room, and I'm not going to try to
24
      dwell on it. I appreciate that you're dealing with
25
      adverse circumstances right now in State College. My
```

sister is heartbroken. 1 Without being very specific, can you 2 look me in the eye and tell me that none of the 3 state's appropriation is going towards your legal 4 defense funds? 5 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Yes, I can. 6 As 7 I've stated repeatedly, there will be no use of state tax dollars, no use of tuition money or no use of 8 9 donor money, no use of philanthropy. 10 REP. PYLE: Thank you very much. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 13 representative. 14 For the members' knowledge, we have three more members that have not asked the first 15 16 round of questions. So before I go to the second 17 round, we're going to stay on the first round members. Okay? 18 19 Representative O'Neill. 20 REP. O'NEILL: Thank you. Thank you. 21 Thank you. Good evening or afternoon or morning. 22 Whatever it is. 23 I just have some questions for -- for 24 Pitt and Temple, and then I just have a comment I

want to make and maybe you can respond to the comment

25

very quickly. 1 2 But, first, I want to tell you, Representative Killion was talking about THON 3 4 earlier. I was actually at the very first THON 5 so...so, yeah. Can you explain to me at Temple and Pitt 6 7 why your tuition ranges? You know, like, for example, Penn State has a specific amount for 8 9 students as does Lincoln, but yours -- we're getting 10 ranges from like, for example, I think Pitt is 14 11 something to 19 something or whatever. 12 PRESIDENT HART: Actually Temple doesn't. We have an in-state tuition and an 13 14 out-of-state tuition. REP. O'NEILL: So it doesn't range 15 then? 16 17 PRESIDENT HART: No. 18 REP. O'NEILL: Okay. The information I 19 got was it ranged. Okay. 20 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: We have 21 in-state, out-of-state. We have lower tuition on the 22 regional campuses and then obviously there is a 23 different tuition rate as you move from professional 24 school to professional school. But within schools 25 there are no ranges.

1 REP. O'NEILL: Okay. Great. Thank
2 you. I appreciate that.

PRESIDENT HART: I'm going to jump in and clarify that there are some programs that have been -- traditionally have a differential tuition because of cost of delivery. So I don't want to -- for example, the Tyler School of Art has had an add-on for years and years because of the -- the special programs.

REP. O'NEILL: Good.

PRESIDENT HART: Yeah.

REP. O'NEILL: Okay. Great. And --

PRESIDENT HART: Yeah.

REP. O'NEILL: And while you're there, I want to thank you. Because I sit on the board of trustees for -- for Bucks County Community College, and I really appreciate the agreement that we have with -- the long standing agreements. It's been a long time. Several.

PRESIDENT HART: Yes.

REP. O'NEILL: And it's great. I took the -- the advantage of it when I was up at State College a couple weeks ago, of meeting with the president's staff, because I wanted to learn more about tuition because it's -- you know, we hear all

the time and it's always been a big argument here of how the state dollars impact the actual tuition of students. Particularly, you know, urban state students. And it's almost dollar per dollar we're hearing all the time.

So I sat down with your staff and they literally -- I wanted to learn how higher education is actually funded, how higher education actually comes about, and figuring out their tuition, you know.

And I was amazed because they were, you know, comparing private to public with me, and everything, and I was really amazed at that.

And I was amazed once I went through the process and saw that process how it really does impact student tuition. And -- and I -- and I think to your advantage, to help educate people around here and educate people in the state and in the Governor's office, I think it would really be advantageous if maybe somehow you got that same message out as it was presented to me.

Because I was really shocked at how it really does impact based on that and, you know -- and they were sitting down and showing me formulas and the whole nine yards, and I think that's something

1 that we maybe need to see.

Because I know some people were always saying, oh, well, tuition went up even though we gave you money, and yada yada yada, and -- and I think it's important for you to get that message out.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Our doors are open.

REP. O'NEILL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. As is customary, we allow the chairs of certain House committees to testify or to make a statement at the Appropriations budget hearings.

So with us today is Chairman Paul Clymer of the House Education Committee. He'd like to ask a question or make a statement.

REP. CLYMER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And good morning, everyone. Glad -- glad to see you're here this morning, and I do have a question.

President Obama in his state of the union address made higher education an important part of his -- of his policies, and in a recent article by the Associated Press it talks about President Obama and then it's -- and the administration and it makes these statements.

It says about 40 percent of college

students at universities, at four-year schools are not graduating and in the two-year programs only 40 percent of students graduate or are transferred.

And that's according to an analysis by a group called College Measures -- I'm not sure what they are -- but then it goes on and says, college dropouts are expensive, not just for the individual, but it is costing taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars annually. And that's according to a report by the American Institute For Research.

So here's my question. Is the dropout rate at the four universities in that 30 to 40 percent category? And if it is, that means we're losing hundreds of millions of dollars because those students, if they could be in school, in the classroom, would be paying tuition. So we're losing money that -- that -- that could be brought into the universities and the colleges as well.

If that is the case, does that beg the question, if we're losing that 30 to 40 percent of dropouts, does that beg the question then that our -- our students from our public schools or other institutions and other places of education are not being properly trained, are not being trained adequately to -- to undertake schooling at our

university and colleges?

So that's my question. And you're -your -- your -- your thoughts, appreciate it. Thank
you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: There -- there is certainly increasing interest in that particular question from a lot of quarters, including -- including Congress and the Administration in Washington.

For our part at Penn State we do a very good job of graduating students. For students who start at the University Park campus, for example, the six-year graduation rate is about 87 percent. The average time to graduation is 4.2 years.

But you really have to -- to put that in the context that at our other campuses there's a very different -- different demographic. You may not have been here early in the -- earlier today, but I explained that -- that 62 percent of our students at -- at our Commonwealth campuses work 20 hours, 22 hours or more a week.

So many of them are part-time. They take much longer to graduate. They have families. They stop in and stop out of the educational process.

And unfortunately the -- the data that are provided by most of our institutions do not adequately reflect the fact that many students start at one of our community colleges, for example, and move on or vice-versa.

And once they drop out of our system, it really -- they're -- they're kind of lost to our data. So much of the data that you see I think underestimates the extent to which students actually persevere in a train of -- of different institutions.

Some students come to us with the intent that they're not seeking a degree. They're simply seeking additional education as a nondegree student.

And, furthermore, I think most of the -when you lump all of these data together in one -one big mass, it -- it does tend to bring down the
total because the -- the largest problem in terms of
graduation and persistence tends to be with
proprietary colleges.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I would say, too, that it is an issue that is deserving of attention. If you looked at the records of the institutions at this table in terms of retention, in terms of graduation, in terms of placement, they

would stand out as positive models.

And I know that Temple and Pitt were singled out last year. There was a study about declining rates of retention and graduation amongst American's universities, and -- and we were each in the top five in terms of improvement in those rates, which really is a very never-ending job.

PRESIDENT HART: I would second what my colleagues have said, but -- and also emphasize that, unlike many forms of data, we really don't know where students go when they transfer from one institution to the other.

So we only can track the students that are -- that start with us and then graduate. But that it is critical -- it is clearly a critical issue, and I would emphasize, again, that when a student leaves and does not transfer to another institution, but has accumulated debt, they have a much more difficult problem, because without the degree, it's much more difficult to pay off that debt.

And I do want to emphasize what

Chancellor Nordenberg said, those of you who watched

Frontline last night may have seen the challenge that

we have with for-profit schools and the tuition they

charge and their huge dropout rate.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: There was another part to your question or your comment when you started, and that was whether or not the products that we're getting on the front end are, in fact, not of the quality we need in order to matriculate on our campuses.

I would be the first to say to you that, yes, we could receive a better product. And so what we're doing at Lincoln is to try to bridge that relationship by having stronger partnerships with K through 12, to start talking early on in the process about what it is we're not seeing so that when young people arrive on our campus we can do a better job of making certain that they are prepared.

But I would venture to say to you that all of your institutions here have fairly strong tutorial programs that lead toward better retention of those individuals, but we are still seeing nationwide a number of individuals who come to college who need some extra assistance and some preparatory work to kind of help them get through the process.

REP. CLYMER: Well, I think it's an issue that you want to keep on the -- on the radar

screen.

And thank you, Mr. Chairman, for this opportunity to participate. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman.

We're going to go to the second round now. I'm going to ask the members to see if they can speed the questions up, and I would ask the Presidents and Chancellor of the universities to do the same. Thank you.

Representative Parker.

REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And Chairman Clymer and I were actually looking at the same article. You know, I, too, want to just sort of reference President Obama's remarks during the state of the union, sort of putting higher education on notice.

But -- but sometimes I think when elected officials, politicians, attempt to provide a legislative prescription for something that we could do via policy if we -- if we had a conversation about what we were trying to accomplish.

And one of the things that the administration proposed is this sort of scorecard for the universities across the country whereupon they include school statistics, graduation rates, sort of

a shopping sheet for U.S. students where you talk about how much it not only costs to attend, but how much debt you will possibly incur if you attend this university over four years.

And unlike what we usually get, is we find out about the debt when we graduate upon our exit interviews, can we do that?

And I want to ask the same thing of our state system schools and we could do a scorecard for all Pennsylvania schools.

In addition to that -- and I'm a little biased here -- I've heard a lot of conversations about STEM, science, technology, engineering and math. I think it's great and it's wonderful and we should focus on those areas.

But let's not forget about the humanities. I was an English education major, certified secondary English teacher.

Dr. Jennings, you mentioned K through 12. You know the challenges that we have in basic education today. We need you to work extremely hard to produce qualified teachers who can go in urban Pennsylvania, go in rural Pennsylvania, and who are doing an -- an outstanding job.

And, finally, because I don't want to

forget anything, I want you to talk about minority participation in contracting on your universities.

I know that DGS monitors this. I know it is DGS's responsibility. But I just want to know if you have any systems in place at each of your respective institutions that say, you know, yes, we make sure that when we are working on building, you know, a new facility that minority participation is actually included.

PRESIDENT HART: I'm going to jump straight --

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I knew there was going to be a question in there somewhere.

PRESIDENT HART: All right. We're averaging 28 percent minor -- minority- and women-owned businesses. We're shooting for 35 and currently are meeting with the unions on our -- on our projects.

So, yes, we do, and it's an ongoing part of Temple University and we report to the facilities committee on the minority contracting.

I want to remind every -- reinforce your comment about the humanities, as a history undergraduate major, and remind us all that the average American now changes careers, not jobs,

careers, four times in their lives.

And so being well educated in general is, in fact, one of the most important things that -- that we can do.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would -- I would say to your comment about the humanities, here here.

I couldn't agree with you more. We -- we -- we must continue to -- to keep them strong.

In many ways the -- the arts and humanities and social sciences are very much a core of our -- our universities.

The information you talk about, graduation rate, all of that is really available currently on our -- on our websites and that's actually guite easy to find.

PRESIDENT HART: There's also a scope -- a scorecard that the members of the Association of Public Land Grant Universities all belong to that's on its website as well, and it -- it could be that we just need to do a better job of sharing with people how to access it.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Or it could be a matter, too, of looking at particular institutions within a much broader higher education community.

Because I think all of us have

participated in the development of scorecard-like reporting devices and do have them up.

And when you talk about the humanities and the social sciences or professor -- President Erickson expanded it to social sciences, I mean, again, this is another characteristic of public universities.

You know, we have these disciplines. We do have schools of education and schools of social work and schools of nursing and have a breadth of programs that are designed to meet the broader needs.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Matt Smith.

REP. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I will be brief.

Thank you all again.

The one area I wanted touch on and just sort of follow up on is -- is something that Chancellor Nordenberg conveyed in -- in your testimony, and that is that the -- the cut that you are receiving, all of you are receiving, that last year and this year combined are relative to the overall state of the Commonwealth's budget.

And Chancellor Nordenberg says in your testimony, for instance, last year the state-relateds

in this fiscal year were cut -- Pitt was cut 22 percent. At the same time, the overall state appropriation was only reduced four percent. This year Pitt's going to be cut around 30 percent. At the same time, the overall state appropriation will be reduced about one percent.

So there is a specificity with the Draconian cuts that you all are going to incur that is disproportionate relative to the overall state budget.

And in that context, I'm just wondering, I think each of you in your own way has -- has stated today that you are being -- each of your institutions are being asked in a way by your respective communities to do more for the community than you did even five or ten years ago, and it may take the form of research, it may take the form of community activity, community leadership, economic development, but you're clearly being asked more and you're doing more than you did five, ten years ago.

Can you just describe a little bit, number one, you know, how do your institutions function differently today versus five or ten years ago?

And, two, the detrimental impact that

these cuts will have on those outside activities that 1 you're going to mention in the first part? 2 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Let -- let me 3 just give one example that I think will be telling, 4 5 and that is the example of policing. I'm sure that Temple has a similar 6 7 The University of Pittsburgh supports the third largest police department in Allegheny County. 8 9 It's the city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, the University of Pittsburgh. 10 11 We do a great deal of the policing in 12 Oakland, which is the third busiest commercial district in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 13 14 You know, those are expenses that really get passed on to us. They're services that we need 15 16 to provide to our students. 17 But more than 80 percent of our policing interactions have nothing to do with our students. 18 19 It's just that our police are there and there is a 20 community need. 21 So we are being asked to do a lot of 22 other things. We do them willingly, but they do cost 23 money. 24 Thank you, Chancellor REP. SMITH: 25 Nordenberg.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just hope 1 2 that Governor Christy would convey the importance of higher education funding to Governor Corbett this 3 budget cycle. 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 6 Thank you, 7 representative. Representative O'Brien. 8 9 REP. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 In round one I'd withdrawn my question, 11 but as the -- as the conversation moved forward the 12 talk of a move towards privatizing your universities deeply disturbed me. 13 14 You know, as -- as a father who -- who drowned in educational debt for eight years, it's --15 16 it's -- it's really an awful place to be. 17 So being as it's round two, do me a favor. Make the case why you are a good return on 18 19 investment. How much money do each of you put into 20 the regional economy where you are? Salaries, buying 21 paper, hotel rooms that are rented for conferences, 22 all of the expenses or all of the revenue that your 23 institutions bring in, please make that case. 24 PRESIDENT HART: Just -- just in the 25 greater Philadelphia area a couple of years ago the

estimate was \$4 billion, and there is a greater impact across the state.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: In southern Chester County, I mentioned earlier that we are one of the largest employers.

I also mentioned that 54 percent of our graduates remain in -- in the state, and not to mention the fact that we pass more than \$65 million a year through the -- the local economy.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We did an economic impact across the state, including our campuses, three or four years ago, and we'd be delighted to provide that information to the committee.

At that time our statewide economic impact was about \$17 billion. It's a huge impact across the Commonwealth from one end of the state to the other.

I would also indicate that -- that one other area that we haven't talked a lot about is -- is the public service mission that all of our universities engage in. And I'm talking about not just things like cooperative extension where there's -- there's a clear and direct funding line, but many of us also provide a lot of other services to the Commonwealth.

For example, public broadcasting. Penn State reaches one out of every two households in the Commonwealth in one form or another through the -- the courses that we provide through the rural campus. All of these things contribute to the -- the economic well being of the Commonwealth and the educational base.

And those things are going to become increasingly difficult to provide in a privatized kind of world.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: We, too, have a document that I will get to you. So let me just focus briefly on one distinctive form of contribution.

The University of Pittsburgh, our research expenditures last year exceeded \$800 million. Our appropriation was under \$140 billion. So if you do the math, which I'm trying to do quickly here at the table, that's more than five dollars in research support imported into the Commonwealth for every dollar of the appropriation. That's quite a return.

REP. O'BRIEN: Even a guttersnipe like me can see that. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

2 representative.

Representative Samuelson.

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

opportunity to talk to this legislature about the value of investing in -- in higher education. I know families across Pennsylvania are struggling to -- to pay the bills to send their children to college, but they still recognize the value of that investment and they are sacrificing a lot because they know that that investment is a wise one for their -- for their children.

I wanted to ask -- I know, as I'm reading through the materials that you presented, I see some examples of -- hist -- historic examples that Chancellor Nordenberg used in the founding of the University of Pittsburgh in colonial times, when there were a lot of other things to worry about, a university was founded in western Pennsylvania.

And President Hart talks about the number of jobs that are going to need a college -- a bachelor degree in the future.

I just wanted to give you one

opportunity, that we have a budget on the table presented by our Governor that would cut 30 percent from these appropriations. I hope we change that. A lot of my colleagues have talked about the value of higher education today.

I wanted to give the presidents one more opportunity to convince this legislature that we should change that proposal from the Governor and we should not be cutting higher education by 30 percent.

PRESIDENT HART: Well, I'm going to jump in and talk about the land grant, the original Morrill Act.

If this nation can commit to its public universities in the middle of the Civil War, we can certainly take the challenge now.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I think it's absolutely essential that the partnership that has grown up over the years between the Commonwealth and our state-related universities be strengthened.

It's important to our students. It's important to the well-being of the economy. It really is in many ways the future of Pennsylvania.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: I certainly think that if the Commonwealth is going to remain a leader in education, which it is known in several circles

that I have been in prior to my arriving here, that you would want to maintain the support at appropriate levels and certainly continue the kind of support you've been giving, but to look seriously at increasing that support in light with where our country is and where we're going as a nation.

Seems to disagree about the levels of quality and accomplishment and impact that are associated with our institutions, and the steps that have been taken to reduce our state support over the course of the last two years now really are dramatic and -- and disproportionate.

And I would say that most people would sit back and say, this is something awfully good.

We've worked to put it together for a long time. It looks as if it's being dismantled in front of our eyes. How about a year when we don't have any more cuts and we get our bearings and we see where we want to go?

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Curt Sonney.

REP. SONNEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I thank all of you for your patience

25 here this morning. You know, when we get right down

to it, we're -- we're talking about, you know, if there's a reduction that -- how is that going to affect the students? How is it going to affect the tuition?

And, you know, my question is pretty simple. You know, all of the universities, you know, have a lot of other functions besides the education to the students. Obviously through the research and the -- the many other avenues that -- that all of you undertake.

But when it comes right down to it,
what -- when -- when you look at tuition, how much of
the total bill is that tuition paying? In other
words, is tuition paying for all of the
administration, all of the professors, all of the
buildings, you know, all of the upkeep, all of the
utilities?

You know, what percentage of -- of your total operating cost is the tuition paying for?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: As I recall, and we can get you the exact figures there, but tuition makes up over three-quarters of your educational and general budget, now 77 or 78 percent.

The -- the cost structures are -- are -- are walled off so that we don't use tuition money to

support the medical center, the hospital operations, intercollegiate athletics, or -- or things like that.

So the tuition and the support that we receive from the Commonwealth really goes to our core academic mission of -- of instruction and scholarship and to a very small extent outreach and service.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Yeah. And we would view it the same way; that is, the state appropriation and the tuition dollars paid by students basically support the educational mission, supplemented obviously by private fundraising, endowments that are directed to the support of educational programs, and with the recognition that the research enterprise, though it is distinct in some ways, really adds richness to the experience of the students and in that sense really is a contributor to what they get at our university.

REP. SONNEY: I would -- I would really appreciate it if -- if all four of you could -- could get that breakdown to the committee chairman. I would be very interested to see that.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Okay.

REP. SONNEY: And just as a quick follow-up, you know, the universities all seem to not be lacking in -- in students wanting to attend. You

know, in the world that we live in today, the higher education is stressed so much amongst all of our high school graduates and -- and with that being said, you know, your -- your ability to expand, you know, has -- has continued to grow.

You know, I have a Behrend College in -in -- in my area and it's -- it's expanded a lot, you
know, over -- over the last 20 years and -- and which
is a good thing. You know, obviously it's -- it's -it's a major employer in our area, and -- and it
serves an absolute vital function in our area.

But has there been any discussion, you know, throughout these last few years of difficult budgets of -- of slowing down your growth at all?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Well, virtually all of our net student growth in the last several years has been in the rural campus. We've added about a 10,000 head -- head count enrollment in the rural campus which is fully online. That's where our growth has been and, in fact, that's where we expect our growth to be in the future, serving both Pennsylvania students as well as students outside the Commonwealth and outside the country.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, we have had campus growth. We're particularly pleased with

the growth that has come in places like
Pitt-Bradford. And, of course, if you've ever been
to Oakland, you will know that there's a constraining
force called challenging topography in the middle of
an urban area, which means that we don't have great
expanses of space within which to expand.

PRESIDENT HART: We actually -- Temple actually experienced most of its growth prior to the last five years, and we've had to work very, very hard to keep our overall enrollment from -- from slipping up over 39, 40,000 because we really do believe we have a limited capacity.

The net impact of that increase in demand with very, very modest growth over the last few years is that we are more selective and there are more students who are not able to attend Temple.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: We've had a -- a decline in growth and that's been largely due to the fact we have not been able to keep tuition as low as we'd like for it to be and we've not been able to offer the scholarship support that we'd like to be able to offer in order to attract and retain students.

We have had more students who have had to stop out or drop out just to go get a job to try

to make some money to be able to return to pay that tuition, and they still leave with a ten-year loan that they have to repay after they graduate, and that's a sizable amount.

So our growth has not been over the last three years what we saw prior to the -- the -- that period of time, and we don't believe that it's going to continue to increase if we have to continue to increase tuition and fees and -- and the like.

REP. SONNEY: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Matt Bradford.

REP. BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman.

And actually I wanted to start on an issue that I know is near and dear to your -- to the Chairman, and last year obviously when there was a cut to PHEAA, because of the work of Chairman Adolph and others on the PHEAA Committee, that was able to be largely absorbed by PHEAA's own abilities, its own money that it put away through proper management, and that's appreciated.

And obviously this year Governor Corbett has proposed, I believe, a 19 million or five percent cut to PHEAA and obviously it's still to be

determined how that works out.

And I know that Chairman Adolph has always worked diligently on that issue. So I wanted to point that out.

And before Representative Smith runs off to New Jersey to support Governor Christy for president, I'd point out that TAP grants are actually going to be increased ten percent by our Governor to the east and I think that shows the difference of those of us in the southeast who believe in a model where we need to compete and win for high wage, high tech jobs that really grow our economy in the southeast. That's why we're able to continue to have the job growth we are.

And I want to thank Temple in particular in the southeast for being a large engine for our community.

One of the things, though, because of the, multi-layered nature of these cuts -- and I know Temple in particular will deal with this also operating a urban hospital, is what does this budget mean not just in terms of students -- and we've spoken about that at length in terms of tuition and support for Temple University, but in terms of Temple health system and -- and in part in terms of Temple,

what it means to Temple Ambler, to the hospital, the health network? What does this mean for Temple as a body? What does it mean for the southeast?

PRESIDENT HART: Well, it's critically important -- thank you for your question -- for me to first emphasize that -- that Temple University health system is also a separate business entity.

But because the university is so interdependent with the health system and the hospitals, while they may be legally separated entities, our ability to continue to educate doctors and other health care professionals for the broader community is affected by -- by this -- the appropriation.

Outside of the -- the -- the general appropriation, the general and education that we're talking about, we're still running the only psychiatric hospital, behavioral health hospital in the region that's accessible unless you're a cash-paying customer.

And so the -- the deeply suffering members of our community who need a hospitalization have no where to go but Episcopal, and we still don't have this year's appropriation to support that hospital and yet we have young men and women who's

parents are taking out second mortgages on their homes to pay undergraduate tuition while we are unable to pay for health care for the poor.

Philadelphia has no public hospital, and we have a built-in structural deficit that ranges from 30 to 50 million and we still don't have the 2012 fiscal year appropriation for some of that care.

So when you combine the DPW questions related to our -- our core mission with the -- the incredible need for the education of doctors and other health care professionals in our community, which we cannot do if we don't have clinical settings, this is a perfect storm that -- that could result in a huge crisis of the available -- of the availability of health care for the citizens of the southeast.

And -- and at some point, how do you look at a blue collar family and say, we're going to take your tuition and pay for health care for the poor? You can't. You won't.

So I -- I don't have an answer for you other than to thank you for your question and encourage all of us to continue that dialogue.

REP. BRADFORD: Thank you.

And thank you, Chairman. 1 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 2 Thank you. Representative Deb Kula. 3 REP. KULA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 Just -- I think this came to me from Chairman Clymer's question about the quality of the 6 7 education you're seeing coming out of our public schools into your institutions. 8 9 And we've not only seen the cuts that 10 are occurring to you, but we are also seeing cuts in 11 the budget to public schools where advanced placement 12 programs, there is -- there is no funding for that program, which gave high school students the 13 14 opportunity to take those college placement classes 15 and maybe have a little jump start in furthering their education. 16 17 Are you looking at what it will mean to higher education because of the destruction that 18 we're seeing in secondary, elementary education, preK 19 20 programs, all-day kindergarten, larger class sizes, fewer teachers? 21 22 Can you see a correlation in what's 23 going to happen down the road to our higher education because of the cuts to basic education? 24

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: I -- I most

25

definitely can see a correlation. I think what is 1 going to happen is we're going to get a student who 2 is not as well prepared for college entrance as we'd 3 like to have, which means we're going to have to do 4 5 more even on our end through tutorials to remain at the retention rates that we all aspire to have. 6 7 We've got to --Which could possibly mean 8 REP. KULA: more cost to that student --9 10 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: That's exactly 11 what it's --12 REP. KULA: -- because they would have to take the extra credits before they can enter --13 14 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: That's right. 15 REP. KULA: -- your institution. 16 PRESIDENT JENNINGS: And it's -- in a --17 in all likelihood it's also going to mean that the student is going to be there much longer. And 18 19 students are in a posture today where they're not 20 looking to stay at the college longer. They are 21 hoping to be able to get out sooner. Just because 22 they need to get into the world of work and start 23 trying to earn some dollars to make a decent living 24 to pay back the loans and -- it's -- I mean it's just 25 a domino effect.

And so you're right. It's going to mean that we're not going to get the kind of product coming into our institutions that we once had and that we certainly want to have. Faculty is going to have to work harder, not just smarter, but they're going to have to work harder.

And it often means that where office hours were two to three days a week might have to be five days a week, just to try to accommodate the different kind of student that we see coming on college and universities campuses today.

REP. KULA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

representative.

Chairman Markosek.

REP. MARKOSEK: Yeah. Just briefly to kind of close the remarks here. I -- I just want to congratulate all four of you on the very wonderful and enthusiastic, very powerful presentation that you've all made about how important your institutions and higher ed are to our Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

We came in here with questions about some of the obvious things of tuition costs and layoffs of employees, but I think through your

testimony you've educated us -- all of us in how deep and how much of a part of the fabric that you all are of our great Commonwealth here.

And I just want to say this is -- it's been a very impressive hearing, and it's been -- there have been wonderful questions from both sides of the aisle here today, of the -- of the members of the committee, but the four of you have just done a wonderful job of indicating how important you are to our great Commonwealth. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Thank you.

PRESIDENT HART: Thank you.

PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman.

I'd also like to acknowledge your

presentation and the information that you gave us.

I want to remind everyone that every governor's budget address is a proposal. It's a starting point, a blueprint. And we will take a look at each line item in the Governor's budget, and we will do our best to make sure that the people of Pennsylvania are represented in the Governor's budget.

I need to say this, because sometimes

this is often overlooked. I would -- I just want to remind your institutions that the Pennsylvania revenues are still at the 2007/2008 figures. So we all are facing these type of realities.

As one that is a tremendous supporter of higher education here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, I want to congratulate your universities for what you do for our children and -- and what you do for the areas that you are located in. It's -- it's a big factor.

And we will certainly do our best to make sure that your appropriation is correct and -- for this year.

And, President Hart, good luck.

PRESIDENT HART: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I echo Representative Petri's sentiments. Arizona's gain is our loss, but I'm sure Temple is going to be out looking for your replacement as we --

PRESIDENT HART: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: As we speak now. So thank you very much. And, please, cooperate with us during these very difficult times, and we're going to be communicating with your offices.

Thank you.

```
1
                    PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you.
 2
                    CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG:
                                             Thank you.
                    PRESIDENT HART: Thank you.
 3
                    PRESIDENT JENNINGS: Thank you.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We're going to take
 6
      about -- about a five-minute break and then we'll get
7
      right back with the state treasurer.
 8
                    (The hearing was adjourned at
9
      11:49 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Brenda S. Hamilton, RPR Reporter - Notary Public