COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
MAIN BUILDING
ROOM 140
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2012 11:30 A.M.

PRESENTATION FROM
OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE/SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE

BEFORE:

HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE MARTIN T. CAUSER

HONORABLE GARY DAY

HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER

HONORABLE BRIAN L. ELLIS

HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH

HONORABLE GLEN R. GRELL

HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD

HONORABLE MARK T. MUSTIO

HONORABLE BERNIE T. O'NEILL

HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER

HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY

HONORABLE SCOTT A. PETRI

HONORABLE TINA PICKETT

HONORABLE JEFFREY P. PYLE

HONORABLE THOMAS J. QUIGLEY

HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO

HONORABLE CURTIS G. SONNEY

JEAN DAVIS REPORTING

7786 Hanoverdale Drive • Harrisburg, PA 17112 Phone (717)503-6568 • Fax (717)566-7760

1	BEFORE (cont.'d):
2	HONORABLE JOSEPH F. MARKOSEK, MINORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD
3	HONORABLE MICHELLE F. BROWNLEE
4	HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
4	HONORABLE PAUL COSTA HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
5	HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
	HONORABLE MICHAEL H. O'BRIEN
6	HONORABLE CHERELLE L. PARKER HONORABLE JOHN P. SABATINA
7	HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
	HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
8	HONORABLE RONALD G. WATERS
9	
J	ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:
10	
11	EDWARD J. NOLAN, REPUBLICAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRIAM FOX, DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
+ +	HONORABLE JOHN D. PAYNE
12	HONORABLE JOHN TAYLOR
13	HONORABLE KATHARINE M. WATSON HONORABLE ROBERT GODSHALL
13	HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR
14	HONORABLE MIKE TOBASH
1 -	HONORABLE H. WILLIAM DEWEESE
15	HONORABLE DANTE SANTONI, JR. HONORABLE PAMELA A. DeLISSIO
16	
1 0	
17	JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER
18	NOTARY PUBLIC
1.0	
19	
20	
0.1	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 TESTIFIERS 3 NAME PAGE 5 SONNY POPOWSKY 4 STEVEN GRAY 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1	I	N D E X
NAME PAGE SONNY POPOWSKY 4 STEVEN GRAY 6 7	2	TE	STIFIERS
SONNY POPOWSKY STEVEN GRAY STEVEN GRAY SONNY POPOWSKY STEVEN GRAY STEVEN GRAY	3	NAME	DACE
6 STEVEN GRAY 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	NAME	PAGE
7 8 9 110 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 220 221 222 233 224	5	SONNY POPOWSKY	4
8 9 110 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	STEVEN GRAY	6
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	8		
11	9		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16		
19 20 21 22 23 24	17		
20 21 22 23 24	18		
21222324	19		
222324	20		
23 24	21		
24	22		
	23		
25	24		
	25		
•			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'd like to call to
4	order the House Appropriations Committee Budget Hearing for
5	the Office of Consumer Advocate as well as the Small
6	Business Advocate.
7	Good morning, gentlemen.
8	MR. POPOWSKY: Morning.
9	MR. GRAY: Good morning.
10	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you for
11	appearing before us. A man who needs no introduction is
12	Sonny Popowsky, long-time Consumer Advocate for the
13	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
14	And someone who certainly needs an introduction,
15	I'd like to know a little bit about Mr. Steven Gray, acting
16	Small Business Advocate.
17	Good morning, gentlemen. We'll start with
18	Mr. Popowsky for some brief opening comments. And then
19	I'd like to hear from Mr. Gray.
20	MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you, Chairman Adolph and
21	Chairman Markosek and members and staff of the Committee.
22	My name is Sonny Popowsky. I'm the Consumer
23	Advocate of Pennsylvania. I've worked at the Office of
24	Consumer Advocate since 1979. And I've been the head of
25	the office since 1990.

2.2

As always, it's an honor and a pleasure to appear before this Committee. Our request this year is for a budget of \$5,000,173. That budget request represents a decrease, a slight decrease, of \$16,000 from our current year's budget authorization, which, in turn, represented an \$11,000 decrease from the budget authorization for the prior fiscal year 2010-2011.

On February 7th, the Governor announced his proposed budget for all Commonwealth agencies. And I'm pleased to report that the Governor's proposed budget for our office is \$5,000,173, which is the amount we requested.

I'll just briefly state that, as you know, our budget is separate and apart from the General Fund. It's also separate and apart from the Public Utility

Commission's. So our funding does come from an assessment on the public utilities that are regulated by the PUC.

We feel that we have done a very good job over the years representing millions of Pennsylvania consumers in matters involving literally billions of dollars. We look forward to continuing our work.

And we certainly appreciate the support that this Committee and the members of the General Assembly have always provided to our office over the years.

And if you have any questions about our office or about our budget or any other matter regarding public

1 utilities, please feel free to ask them. 2 Thank you very much. 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 4 Mr. Gray. 5 MR. GRAY: Thank you. 6 Good morning. My name is Steve Gray. I'm the 7 Acting Small Business Advocate. My boss, Bill Lloyd, 8 retired in October. And I have been with the Small Business Advocate Office since '94. I served under Bernie 9 10 Ryan first and then Mr. Lloyd. I have been doing litigation for the OSBA now for 11 12 all these years. And so I've turned out to be the most 1.3 senior individual there on the staff. And so I have been 14 temporarily promoted to the intriguing position of Acting 15 Small Business Advocate. So I will do my best to give you 16 the update of how our office is doing. 17 We have submitted a budget proposal, which the 18 Governor, I understand, adopted. And it's for \$1.286 19 million. That is the same amount as my office asked for in 20 the last fiscal year, which was approved. 21 And like the office of Consumer Advocate, we are 2.2 not paid out of the General Fund. We are paid separately 23 through assessments on utilities. We also do some workers' compensation work. 24

it's a fairly minor and, frankly, specialized part of our

practice. Most of our time is spent on public utility matters.

We are very happy with our track record. This year, this last year, we were involved in your sort of classic rate cases, which happens every year. But that kind of ebbs and flows with what the companies are filing.

We were also involved in a lot of litigation with the access-charge issues. We were working on the default services issues, which are very, very complicated for small businesses, because what constitutes a small business can vary widely. It can be the Wendy's up on North Front. It could be the drycleaner down on North Second. It can be the Boch Snowplow Manufacturing Company down on Route 11. So we have a very broad class. And, frankly, that induces some level of complexity to our litigation.

So thank you for having me here. I will do my best to answer any questions you have.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

I guess my first question -- and really kind of obvious -- is, the small businesses part for consumers, why do we need a small business advocate and a consumer advocate?

And I guess you can start, Sonny, with that.

MR. POPOWSKY: I can take a crack at that.

The reason that -- our office was created in the

2.2

late '70s, 1976, '77. Offices like mine were created all around the country, probably 40 states and the District of Columbia, to represent consumers. We represent all consumers when it comes to trying to make sure that any rate increase is as reasonable as possible overall.

2.2

Now, on the other hand, there comes a point in every case where you have to divide the pie. And at that point, the interests of residential customers are not the same as the interests of small business customers which are not the same as the interests of industrial customers.

Industrial customers, because they use so much energy, have traditionally had their own representation in these cases. Residential customers are represented primarily by our office. But the feeling in the General Assembly was -- and I can't remember if it was 1988 -- that we needed a representation, you know, frankly, a smaller office, to make sure the interests of small business customers were represented.

So I'll let Steve take a shot at why that's so.

MR. GRAY: Thank you.

That's an excellent summary. As a matter of fact, when I was prepping for this, I talked to my three expert witnesses. And my telephone witness, who testifies all over the country, based in Virginia, pointed out to me, he said, don't forget to tell them -- historically, it's

just like Sonny described it.

2.2

Historically, consumer advocate, outstanding representation for the residential customers. Large industrials, deep pockets, excellent private attorneys. What's left? If PPL comes in for a \$70 million rate increase and Sonny's doing his job and Morgan Lewis is doing their job, where's the money going to go?

And so the answer historically, was the small businesses got hammered. And as my telephone witness told me, he said, look, Pennsylvania is one of the few states in the country that has a small business advocate. Where it's not in existence, small businesses still get hammered.

And depending upon whose statistics you listen to -- like, there was an American Express commercial a year or so ago that said 99 percent of businesses in Pennsylvania are small businesses.

Now, I don't know what their metric was to make that decision. But if that is really a legitimate makeup of the business community, then it would seem protecting them is a very wise idea. And frankly, we have been very busy.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Chairman Markosek.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

Maybe this question is a little bit of a reach. But we're in the era of all things Marcellus. And we've seen from Act 13 where the PUC is now involved with the operations and collections and also some of the local ordinances and whatnot concerning the Marcellus Shale industry in Pennsylvania.

1.3

2.2

Is there any way that you gentlemen see that either the definition of consumers would extend to perhaps municipalities or the definition of businesses, small businesses, might extend to drillers or drilling operations?

And I know you deal primarily with utilities.

But again, do you see any expansion of perhaps the

definition of utilities to somehow overlap into some of the
things that you do or is your office somehow involved with
these kinds of disputes?

MR. POPOWSKY: Well, I can take a first crack at that. We already are involved somewhat at the utility level. Each of our natural gas utilities is purchasing gas every day, every year. And several of them have made an effort to include Marcellus Shale gas in their purchases. And we've certainly tried to be supportive of that in our annual purchased gas costs filings.

And let me say, as Chairman Powelson said, this has just been incredible for consumers, frankly, the

reduction, not just for natural gas consumers, that is, the lower price of natural gas, but also for electric consumers.

2.2

One of the reasons we have not seen those terrible rate increases we were all worried about a few years ago for electric is because the price of natural gas has driven down the cost of producing electricity. So I think the benefits have been enormous.

Looking at the new law, I don't see a place where we would get directly involved on behalf of a municipality or on behalf of a driller. They are not participating as consumers. Our statute specifically says we represent the consumers of Pennsylvania. And we've tried to stick with that.

Certainly the statute can be amended. But right now I think our office represents -- I know our office represents the consumers of Pennsylvania, the people who use the gas, who burn the gas, not the people who drill for it and not the municipalities that have to worry about zoning and these other important issues.

MR. GRAY: The answer is similar because just like our statute says, small business consumer. And right now we probably could not expand our work to include those entities. But you do touch on an interesting point because of the diversity of, who is a small business? It varies

business to business. It varies utility company to utility
company. This has always been a headache for us, quite
frankly. Who do we represent?

1.3

2.2

And so if our statute was amended, we're used to that sort of headache. We would handle it. But right now we are certainly limited just to the consumers. And businesses are clearly benefiting from Marcellus Shale.

And I'll pass along what I've always heard from businesses. When we go out and do public input sessions and we talk to businesses and they show up, they have always said to us consistently what they care about is consistency in their bills.

If gas prices are going to be up, they don't like it. It hurts them. But if they know they're going to be up, it's much easier then to run their business. What drives them crazy is the volatility. And of course, we've seen a lot of that in electric and gas prices.

So I pass that along because Marcellus Shale is certainly helping them in those two areas.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Just one other question.

And since, Sonny, you brought it up -- maybe this was a question that would have been better asked to the previous panel, the PUC. Do you happen to know what percentage of our electric generation is produced from

natural gas as opposed to either nuclear, coal, or oil?

2.2

MR. POPOWSKY: I'd say it's probably less than -- and I don't know the exact number. But I think it's still probably less than 20 or 25 percent.

But what's critical about natural gas -- and most of it is still nuclear and coal. But the way our -- it's a little complicated. But the way our market works -- and we have competitive restructured markets -- the price at any given hour of the day is set by the most expensive unit. And that is very often during peak times. So even though natural may only be 20 or 25 percent of the gas, it is often setting the price.

Now, two summers ago back in 2008, it was setting the prices at 10 cents a kilowatt hour because gas was so expensive. Now it's setting the price at 3 or 4 cents a kilowatt hour. So it's a tremendous impact. The bang for the buck of these natural gas prices is just tremendous.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Most of the natural-gas-produced electricity would be -- correct me if I'm wrong -- peaking?

MR. POPOWSKY: It used to be just for peaking power. But now we have plants that are called combined-cycle plants that operate more fully. They're very efficient. They tend to be cleaner, more efficient. And when the price of gas goes from \$15 an MCF down to \$3

an MCF, they are really economical. And they do operate more than just during the peaking periods.

2.2

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: So due to the drop in the price of natural gas, Pennsylvania electric consumers should see some relief then from their rates?

MR. POPOWSKY: We already are. If you look at the latest PPL prices, for example, I think our default service price has gone from, I think, about 10.4 cents when the rate caps came off down to 6.9 cents next month. These are tremendous benefits.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Any thoughts on where it might go?

MR. POPOWSKY: No. Again, no one can predict the price of gas. But this is the most persistent gas reduction that I have seen. I mean, if you look at a chart of the price of natural gas, it's all over the place. But since the summer of 2008, it has gone down and stayed down very persistently.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Mr. Gray, even though your clients don't like volatility, I would guess they would be happy with the volatility when it's edging downwards?

MR. GRAY: Absolutely. In this direction, they like it. And by the way, there are many analytical firms out there across the nation who spend their life analyzing

1 the natural gas market and making projections. 2 course, every three days, I get e-mails saying, oh, here's 3 what we've had. 4 Within the last six months, I've seen it twice 5 They have a picture of Pennsylvania. And then they 6 say, at the various delivery points, here's how much we've 7 sold gas for. And a couple of times in the last six months 8 they sold gas for under a dollar. 9 And that is not only shocking, but to give you an 10 idea, when we were talking \$14, \$15 gas a couple of years 11 ago, how much of a reduction. And, of course, this is 12 filtering in to the businesses. And I'm sure they're very 13 happy with that. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Gentlemen, thank you. 15 MR. GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 17 I would like to acknowledge the presence of Rep. 18 Mike Tobash, who has joined us. 19 Chairman Markosek. 20 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: I'd like to 21 acknowledge the presence of Rep. Pam DeLissio from 2.2 Philadelphia. 23 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Welcome. 24 The next question will be by Rep. Gordon 25 Denlinger.

REP. DENLINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1 2 And good morning, gentlemen. 3 MR. POPOWSKY: Good morning. MR. GRAY: Good morning. 4 REP. DENLINGER: A question with regard to the --5 6 I'd like to move back over to electricity and the supply 7 there. 8 Obviously, your engagement is at the point of 9 tension between the suppliers and the consumer. 10 have gone through some significant changes where in the free market, if you will, now and the bridge of 11 12 electricity, I'm wondering, can you share with us, I quess, 13 the flash points, if you will, of tension in that 14 marketplace? 15 As people are contacting you and asking for your 16 advocacy, what would you like to share with us about 17 weaknesses in the marketplace that have been created or 18 potentially to advise us in terms of future policy needs? 19 MR. POPOWSKY: I'll start. 20 Let me start by talking about the success, if I 21 could, real briefly. 2.2 What people may not realize is that even though, 23 let's say, 25 percent of residential customers here have 24 actually switched their retail electric supplier, the fact 25 is that 100 percent of those customers are getting power

today from competitive wholesale markets.

2.2

In other words, we're no longer being forced to buy our power from our local utility at whatever price they want to charge us. Because they have to go out -- under Act 129 of 2008, they have to go out and buy the lowest cost wholesale power to serve their customers.

So 100 percent of residential and, for that matter, small business customers are getting their power from competitive sources. That's a great success.

I think the biggest flash point now is, there are many customers who don't frankly want to be bothered. They don't want people coming knocking at their door to say, hey, we can get you a better deal. They might not want to get phone calls.

And the good thing again about the Pennsylvania model is that they don't have to buy those. They can just say, hey, we're happy continuing to get our service from PECO or PPL or Duquesne because they're out there going and getting the power for us as cheaply as they can.

So I think the biggest tension going forward is to try to make sure that all of our consumers understand their choices, you know, because a lot of people don't. They've never shopped for electricity.

We want to make sure they all understand their choices and that those customers who want to shop or switch

are able to do so. And like I said, I think we have the tools in place right now to succeed at that.

2.2

MR. GRAY: And I would agree with everything that Sonny said. Getting the drycleaner on Second Street interested in shopping when she's very busy -- she's working 12-hour days; she has a fairly steady bill -- it is a challenge, frankly, to get her interested in saying, okay, I'm going to go switch. So that's one issue. And the Public Utility Commission themselves are very aware of that.

The other issue is what I touched on before. The small business class from utility to utility is very different. Residentials, we all kind of painted a picture in our head what a residential consumer would look like. A large industrial, maybe same sort of thing. But small businesses are all over the map.

So part of the difficulty is when you've got -just like Sonny said. The companies are getting the
electricity in the competitive marketplace. Now, let's say
you're an EGS, a for-profit electric generation supplier,
and you want to come in and you want to supply customers in
the PPL area.

There's a tension right there. They want to come in and they want to beat PPL's price. Large industrials are very attractive because they're very high leverage.

Residential are attractive in their own way because they're kind of a consistent known load.

And then you have the small commercial industrial. Boch Manufacturing is a great example. They build snowplows versus our Second Street drycleaners. They have two entirely different usage habits, couldn't be less similar.

Ans so I know Bill Lloyd walked up and down our halls a lot this last year talking about the fall service, frustrated with this. This is very hard to get across to the EGSs. They want to come in here and offer one easy price and it's like you have to understand our constituency. So I'd say that's the second biggest issue.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

REP. DENLINGER: If I may do a quick followup,

Mr. Chairman.

The marketing of electric choices, have you run into many instances of what you would consider false advertising or scam operators?

MR. POPOWSKY: I wouldn't say a lot. We have seen a couple of problems where I'd say customers have bought into a low introductory price without realizing that that price was only good for a month or two and then they get hit with a much higher price.

We had one case where one company -- and I think

mistakenly, not intentionally, but was charging a sales tax
to residential customers that wasn't applicable. We got
that straightened out.

I wouldn't say it's a lot. But I think the

Commission is doing an excellent job monitoring the

complaints that do come in. And when consumers or

legislators call us, we always try to resolve those issues
as well.

MR. GRAY: I would say there have been one or two complaints to our office about that exact topic. And I recall probably last year, we had to do a little work on one because it was close to false advertising. There was a very low initial rate and then the lock-in rate for the subsequent years was like ten times the market or something like that.

And Bill and a couple of our attorneys worked on that to get that straightened out. But fortunately the good news is that's the exception. We haven't heard many problems. And, frankly, the PUC is doing a pretty good job of policing this.

REP. DENLINGER: Very good. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

24 Representative.

2.2

Next question is from Rep. Tom Quigley. But

1 before Mr. Quigley asks his questions, I would like to 2 acknowledge the presence of Rep. Bernie O'Neill from Bucks 3 County. 4 REP. QUIGLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My question is for Mr. Popowsky. Looking at the 5 materials, I quess right now you say you currently have 28 6 7 employees and 7 vacant positions? 8 MR. POPOWSKY: That's correct. 9 REP. QUIGLEY: And so the request for the funding 10 of \$3 million, is that for everything? Is that for the 11 full 35 or just for the current people you have? 12 MR. POPOWSKY: It's actually 28 full employees 13 and the other 7 are only put in for one-half year. That's 14 what we've been told to use. 15 REP. QUIGLEY: Okay. And of those 28 employees, 16 how many are attorneys, would you say? 17 MR. POPOWSKY: There's 13 attorneys plus me. 18 REP. QUIGLEY: And what are the general 19 responsibilities of the attorneys? Can you anecdotally 20 describe what they do? 21 MR. POPOWSKY: We primarily represent consumers 22 in hearings before the Public Utility Commission. So let's 23 say if a company comes in and says they want a \$20 million 24 rate increase, our attorneys are responsible for putting

together a case against that increase, bringing in expert

witnesses and cross-examining witnesses, doing discovery, and appearing before Administrative Law Judges at the PUC.

1.3

2.2

We also participate in cases at the Federal level. We participate in matters at the PJM, which is our beginner connection. We are members of PJM. We take appeals. One of the reasons we have to be attorneys is we take appeals to the Commonwealth Court and the Supreme Court.

I even got to argue a case in the United States
Supreme Court where we established a very important
constitutional principle on a case that's cited every day
in courts and Public Utility Commissions around the
country. So that's what attorneys do.

REP. QUIGLEY: Okay. So of the seven vacant positions, you say you'll probably fill them just for the half-year positions?

MR. POPOWSKY: Well, the idea is that we've tried to always live within our budget. And we have managed to do that. We would like to fill at least some of those positions. And depending on how much of the budget we get, that will determine how many of the positions we can actually fill. But the idea is that we wouldn't fill them all as of the first day of the fiscal year. But we would hopefully be able to fill them over time over the course of the year.

1	REP. QUIGLEY: All right. Thank you.
2	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you.
4	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
5	Representative.
6	I would now like to introduce the Chairman of the
7	Consumer Affairs Committee, Rep. Bob Godshall, for some
8	questions and comments.
9	REP. GODSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	Our committee does work closely with the Sonny
11	and also the Small Business Advocate. In fact, Bill Lloyd,
12	I've served with him in the Legislature for quite a few
13	years.
14	I have a question that I was specifically asked
15	to ask Sonny. Your office is actively involved in rate
16	cases, PUC opposing rate increases, requests to mitigate
17	increased costs to customers, which is your primary
18	responsibility. However, the Office of Consumer Advocate
19	has not publicly taken a position on at least solar
20	initiatives even though they will increase customer bills.
21	Would you please comment on how the Office of
22	Consumer Advocate picks and chooses the issues that it will
23	become involved in?
24	MR. POPOWSKY: That's a tough question.
25	We will certainly if you ask me to testify, I

have never turned down an invitation to testify. I will certainly do it if you ask me to.

2.2

There's some issues that I think are clear where the consumer's position is very clear. There are some issues that are more difficult.

I wasn't actually called on to testify in the 2004 Act on the Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards.

But I think in retrospect that has been a positive development for Pennsylvania consumers.

Because even though the cost of the kilowatt hours from sources tend to be higher up front, the hope at least, the goal at least, is that by diversifying our resources, in the long run, that will be better for consumers, particularly as we have environmental costs added to some of our other sources, like coal, for example.

We know that a lot of coal plants are probably going to have to shut down in the next eight or nine years. So we need other resources to replace that.

And I think I wrote a letter to you last year on the -- I'm sorry. It was a letter to Speaker Sam Smith on the pros and cons of the solar legislation that was coming up back then.

I thought on one hand there were issues that could raise costs to consumers. On the other hand, there were things that we could do to lower costs to consumers.

And I've talked to members of the General Assembly about that. But I believe certainly, you know, if you want me to come and testify at your committee, I certainly would do that.

REP. GODSHALL: I was specifically asked to ask you the question. The other thing we do know, I do have a study, as I was mentioning to the PUC, that was done by Penn State pertaining to the Alternative Energy Portfolio Act which we passed in 2004. They didn't start that from inception. They started from '12 forward.

So I can supply you with that. And that Act, hundreds of millions of dollars is the cost of that to the consumer until it's finalized.

So we do have another bill at this point right now about changing the solar requirements in the Alternative Energy Act, which we looked at. It's about a three- to three-and-a-half-billion-dollar cost to the consumer.

So I will be in touch with you. And hopefully we can get, you know, some support on where we're going on some of this legislation. And I do want to say, you have always been supportive in the past when I've asked for things. It's been timely responses. And I say thank you for that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1.3

2.2

Thank you, Chairman 1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 2 Godshall. 3 I guess it could be appropriate to ask the Consumer Advocate, what type of heating system do you have 4 5 in your house? 6 MR. POPOWSKY: I have natural gas, actually, now. 7 I actually recently moved to Philadelphia. I'm actually 8 commuting right now. I take the train every morning. But 9 we have natural gas heating. 10 REP. GODSHALL: He must have known somebody to 11 get that. 12 MR. POPOWSKY: I know Chairman Godshall has been 13 trying to get natural gas in his neighborhood for a long 14 time. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Mr. Gray? 16 MR. GRAY: I'm a proud consumer of PPL Electric. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I guess the question 18 out there -- and you may or may not know -- what's it cost to convert from, say, oil heat to gas heat nowadays for the 19 20 average homeowner? 21 MR. POPOWSKY: The key question there is whether 22 you have gas coming right by your home. In other words, if 23 you've got the gas company out there ready to serve you, 24 then it's basically the cost -- depending on what kind of

It's a

furnace you have and what kind of vents you have.

few thousand dollars.

2.2

But let's say your furnace breaks down. You have oil heat. Maybe I shouldn't say this. But if you have oil heat and your furnace breaks down and you have natural gas available, natural gas is a real bargain right now.

And I think for a lot of people, needless to say, you're not going to just go out -- or a lot of people can't afford to just go out and spend thousands of dollars to replace their furnace and all their vents and all that.

But if the opportunity presents itself, natural gas really is a good deal right now.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm sure, Mr. Gray, you agree with that?

MR. GRAY: I agree particularly because I have a friend who lives in New Tripoli, Pennsylvania. And if you're familiar with that little burg, it's kind of in the middle of nowhere on a mountain. And he actually looked into this about two weeks ago.

He's an oil delivery service customer. And I kept reminding him about how natural gas prices are going down. And the fellow wouldn't even give him a quote because there's no natural gas service local to him. So he doesn't have the option. It's prohibitively expensive.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Chairman Markosek.

1	MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: I just want to thank
2	the gentlemen for their testimony. And also indicate that
3	Rep. Ron Waters from Philadelphia and Delaware Counties has
4	arrived.
5	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I want to thank both
6	of you for your testimony. It was very informative. I'm
7	sure we will be working with you. And as I tell all the
8	testifiers, please try to consider the taxpayers and the
9	ratepayers when you prepare your budget.
10	Thank you very much.
11	MR. POPOWSKY: Thank you.
12	MR. GRAY: Thank you.
13	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: The next hearing will
14	begin at 1 o'clock this afternoon. Before us is the Liquor
15	Control Board at 1 o'clock.
16	Thank you.
17	(The hearing concluded at 12:05 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

—28 **–**

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes
3	taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a
4	correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	
8	Jean M. Davis
9	Notary Public
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-29