1			
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE		
4			
5			
6	THE MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140		
7	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA		
8			
9	MEDNECDAY EEDDIADY 20 2012 A M		
10	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 29, 2012 A.M. 11:52 A.M.		
11			
12	PUBLIC HEARING THADDEUS STEVENS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY		
13	THADDEOS STEVENS COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGI		
14			
15			
16	BEFORE:		
17	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., CHAIRMAN HONORABLE JOSEPH F. MARKOSEK		
18	HONORABLE JOHN BEAR HONORABLE MARTIN T. CAUSER		
19	HONORABLE GARY DAY HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER HONORABLE BRIAN L. ELLIS HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH HONORABLE GLEN R. GRELL HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD HONORABLE T. MARK MUSTIO HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL		
20			
21			
22			
23	HONORABLE MIKE PEIFER HONORABLE SCOTT A. PETRI		
24	HONORABLE TINA PICKETT		
25			

```
1
      CONTINUED:
 2
      HONORABLE JEFFREY P. PYLE
      HONORABLE THOMAS QUIGLEY
 3
      HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO
      HONORABLE CURTIS G. SONNEY
 4
      HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD
      HONORABLE MICHELLE F.BROWNLEE
 5
      HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
      HONORABLE PAUL COSTA
 6
      HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
      HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
7
      HONORABLE MICHAEL H. O'BRIEN
      HONORABLE CHERELLE L. PARKER
 8
      HONORABLE JOHN P. SABATINA, JR.
      HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON
9
      HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
      HONORABLE GREG VITALI
10
      HONORABLE RONALD G. WATERS
11
      ALSO PRESENT:
      HONORABLE KERRY A. BENNINGHOFF
12
      HONORABLE MATTHEW E. BAKER
13
      HONORABLE MARK M. GILLEN
      HONORABLE PAUL I. CLYMER
      HONORABLE MATT GABLER
14
      HONORABLE DICK L. HESS
15
      HONORABLE GENE DIGIROLAMO
      HONORABLE MARK K. KELLER
16
      HONORABLE JERRY STERN
      HONORABLE ELI EVANKOVICH
17
      HONORABLE DOYLE HEFFLEY
      HONORABLE RICHARD A. GEIST
18
      HONORABLE KATHARINE WATSON
      HONORABLE WILLIAM C. KORTZ, II
19
      HONORABLE JOHN MYERS
      HONORABLE PAMELA A. DeLISSIO
20
      HONORABLE BABETTE JOSEPHS
      HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY
21
      HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI
22
      EDWARD NOLAN, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
      MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
23
24
                            BRENDA S. HAMILTON, RPR
                            REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
25
```

1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	WILLIAM E. GRISCOM, Ed.D.,	PRESIDENT 4	
4 5	WILLIAM R. THOMPSON, Ed.D, VICE PRESIDENT	64	
6	VICE INESIDENI		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 3 I'd like to call to order the House Appropriations budget 4 5 hearing. The next testifier will be representatives from Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology. 6 7 Certainly a pleasure to introduce Dr. William Griscom, Thaddeus Stevens College of 8 9 Technology President. 10 And welcome, doctor. 11 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And I'm sure we're going to receive a brief comment, and then I'd like 13 14 you to introduce your panel. 15 DR. GRISCOM: Try to get everybody done before lunch. 16 17 I'm William Griscom, president of Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology. I'm 18 19 accompanied today by Ms. Betty Tompos, our vice 20 president for finance and administration; Dr. William 21 Thompson, our vice president for academic affairs; 22 and Mr. Alex Monro. Our executive director of the

24 The handout -- does everyone have a copy 25 of the handout? The handout that you've been

alumni association and the foundation.

23

provided and that we have on these slides to my right and left include a bullet point summary of our essential facts about the college and our key performance measures of which we measure our institutional effectiveness. In other words, how well does our performance match our purpose?

I've got to get this working. With this first slide, we talk about our key performance measures. We measure essentially everything that we do. In terms of the demand, we have 2,815 students apply to be accepted at Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology. We accepted one in five, or 20 percent, of those students.

That wasn't because the other 80 percent were unqualified, but we simply didn't have the capacity to -- to accept them.

A number of those students were financially disadvantaged. They were Pell eligible. We were their only means of access to a post-secondary education.

Our graduation rate in two years, not three years, but 150 percent of graduation time, within two years, which is what we feel an associate's degree should be, was 60 percent. Sixty percent of our students who came to us walked across

the stage, received a degree, got a job in
Pennsylvania in two years.

The number of graduates that we had last year was 282 which is the highest number in our history. And we would suggest that that's probably the most important statistic that you should look at.

A lot of institutions, institutions that I've been employed at before, have talked about their enrollment. To me, that would be analogous to a company talking about the number of raw materials they used.

Investors aren't really concerned about that. They're concerned about the profit. The profit for us is the number of students who graduate, go out, and get jobs.

Our placement rate for those students is really over 95 percent. Of the students who come to us, walk across the stage in two years, 95 percent of them -- a small percentage, less than 10 percent, continue their education at a four-year institution. The rest of them get jobs immediately in the marketplace in Pennsylvania.

This last graduating class, where we had a record number of graduates, 282 over 95 -- I think

95.6 percent of those students are employed successfully in Pennsylvania at sustaining wages.

They are the internal performance measures that we use about the institution. We do those things ourselves. We keep those statistics. We measure them. We change our behavior and act accordingly based on those -- on those numbers.

There are external measures of the quality of Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology.

Two of them that I'm citing here are IPEDS. IPEDS is the institutional performance -- IPEDS is -- is the Department of Education's post-secondary education statistics, which we're required and every institution of higher education is required to report.

Based on that information, we benchmark ourselves against 28 two-year colleges in Pennsylvania, New York, Maryland, and New Jersey. And we outperformed them by a factor of 300 to 500 percent.

Last year we were selected by a nonprofit organization in Washington, D.C. called the Aspen Institute. We were selected as the best two-year college, the only two-year college in Pennsylvania, and one of the top 120 in the nation.

That wasn't a popularity contest. That was based on performance. What were your completion outcomes? How many of your students that started progressed and graduated in two years? How many of those student -- labor outcomes. How many of those students got jobs? How many of those students -- in terms of equitable outcomes, did you serve a diverse student body? We did. And what were the learning outcomes?

Based on national standards, when you pretest your students when they come in and you post test them when they get out, how much did they learn? What was the value that Thaddeus Stevens College of Technology added to those students?

And based on those criteria, we were selected as the only two-year college in Pennsylvania and one of the top 120 in the nation in terms of our performance.

We're not concerned about the SAT scores or ACT scores of the students coming in, the size of enrollment. We're concerned about when the student comes to us, we take them at this point and then we measure them and we measure what we do to them in two years and what is the outcome at the end of two years. And based on that, that's -- that's what we

call learning outcomes.

I thought I'd provide you with some other relevant information in case you were not aware of it. And I know, Mr. Chairman, you are.

But we are owned by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and we have been since our founding in 1905.

We are a preferred appropriation of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Our mission is to provide a bridge through technical education for under-resourced students, financially disadvantaged, and under-resourced in many other ways at little or no cost, as well as tuition-paying students, and to support the technical workforce needs of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

We had 51 percent of our students who were Pell eligible. Forty-six percent of those students walked across the stage at graduation.

So we're breaking the cycle of poverty. Some of those students come to us and are coming from abject poverty. They've lived in three generations or more of poverty.

And to try to determine what the value of that is would be hard for me to do, but these students have come again from -- from three

generations of poverty. They leave Stevens. They go out. They get jobs. They raise families. They buy homes. They pay taxes. And 300 of them that I know of have started businesses in Pennsylvania.

Minority students, 27 percent of our students are minority students. Our student body come -- we're not just -- sometimes we're reviewed as a Lancaster-only institution. That's not the case. We draw students from 57 of the 67 counties in Pennsylvania.

Our students performed over 8,000 hours of community service. And that doesn't count the times -- we built 150 affordable homes in the City of Lancaster that are now on the -- the tax rolls since 1950. And we do that with our students.

That doesn't count -- that's not counting the 8,000 hours of community service at the -- at the Water Street Mission and a number of other nonprofit institutions.

Fifty-six percent of our students are the first generation in their family that ever attended college.

Over 125 employers attended our annual career fair, which we held two weeks ago. The career fair is only one means that we use for placing

students.

But I'd like to share with you a little bit about that career fair. I spent two hours walking around that career fair talking to employers.

And you need to understand this. I have a list of all the employers here. These are high tech companies, Alcoa, large companies from -- that have international interests.

And they're 125 companies just in our career fair. They filled up the equivalent of three collegiate basketball courts with booths and with representatives there, coming to our college, trying to recruit.

They are desperate for a machine technology graduate, tool and die makers, HVAC technicians, plumbers. I could go across the board of the different programs we have.

There wasn't a program we have that
we're offering -- of the 17 associate degrees, there
wasn't probably 15 opportunities where -- I talked to
these companies for two hours. I said, what is the
-- he said, listen, we'd give anything for a machine
-- we have 15 graduates in the machine technology
this year. I had 30 companies there trying to

recruit those students. Starting salaries of \$50,000 60, \$70,000.

And they said we have been advertising in our local paper and the regional papers for over a year to two years and we can't find -- nobody even applies anymore that's -- that's qualified. We get elementary school teachers, but we don't get people who are tool and die makers. We don't know what to do. We wish you would triple the size of your -- your program.

We wonder why we're 49th out of 50 in job creation in Pennsylvania, but we're not filling the jobs that these folks have right now.

If you understand -- I'm talking, these are high tech companies. I can give you the list.

You read through these companies. These are significant companies.

And in today's -- this is a global economy. These companies in Lancaster, in Lebanon, and Pittsburgh that are at our job fair, and all over the state, from Philadelphia and so forth, they're competing in a global economy.

In a global economy, the -- everything turns -- ends up being equal. Eventually the cost of labor will be equal. Right now they have the -- the

-- the other countries have an advantage.

But in terms of transportation costs, material costs, equipment costs, maintenance, and so forth, those things pretty much equal out. The only sustainable competitive advantage that you have as a company, if you're competing with companies around the world, is the quality, the competence, the innovation, the creativity, the productivity of your workforce.

And if we can't even fill these positions within these companies -- they weren't trying to get the best graduate we had. They were trying to get any graduate that we had.

So how can we be -- move ahead in job creation, how can we be any better if we're not providing the workforce that they need? And that was the -- they said, we'll stand up in line. We will -- I wish the legislature could have been there and listened and talked to those employers.

So that's -- that's a significant issue.

We understand as a two-year technical college and -- and probably representative of a lot of community colleges and other institutions in the state that are trying to provide for the needs of

employers in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

The pie is not going to get any bigger. We've accepted that fact. I know it's -- we know it's not going to get any bigger.

But we would suggest that for the investment that you made -- we think you made a significant investment in higher education last year. You spent approximately \$2 billion, and for that \$2 billion you got 87,287 baccalaureate degrees. You got 9,348 associate degrees.

And I suggest that half those associate degrees are in programs trying to remediate their math and English deficiencies so they can get into baccalaureate degrees. Because we tell everybody in this country the only way to be successful is to go into a -- a four-year or more program.

The fact of the matter is -- the United States Department of Labor, since 1950, only 20 percent of the jobs in the workforce require a four-year degree. And as we look into the future, all the studies that have been done, it's accepted that there will be the need for more post-secondary education, but the projection for four-year degrees remains to be 20 percent, even into the future.

So if we send everybody to four-year

schools to get these degrees, you know, what are the effects? Well, we feel there's a mismatch in the Commonwealth between the needs of the economy and the output of our higher education system.

What is the return on our investment?

Look at the number of underemployed and unemployed college graduates today. Look at the number of graduates who moved to other states. We talk about the brain drain. There are not opportunities for them because there aren't jobs for them.

Last year in Pennsylvania we created -we created 12,000 teachers for 3,000 jobs, and we
continue to do that year after year after year.

The number of companies who cannot find qualified workers -- anywhere in the Commonwealth look at the classified ads in the Sunday paper and look at the demand that they have for skilled employees. It's incredible.

But yet we have a number of graduates that have four-year degrees that can't find any types of employment opportunities. Look -- again, look at our state's low job creation rate over the last number of years.

Again, we understand the pie is not going to get any larger and -- but we're saying that

you need to -- it's -- it's -- in tough times sometimes it's an opportunity to -- to create change. Sometimes in affluent times, in good times, we can get by, we can move on, and so forth and so on.

But in difficult times, just in -- like in your personal family household, you have to set priorities and you have to make decisions.

What we're suggesting is it's time to fund higher education in Pennsylvania, as many other states are starting to do, based on performance measures that match the needs of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Don't spend more money, maybe spend less, but invest it more wisely.

To me -- and I've been in this business for over 40 years now. I was at a large four-year college for 25 years. I was an assistant, associate, a tenured full professor, assistant division chair, division chair, an assistant to the president for economic development, and I've been at this college for 15 years as president.

Let's take a look at what the graduation rate is. That's -- it's almost consumer fraud to bring students in, sign them up for student loans that sometimes could go almost to \$200,000 in some

cases without any -- having a job.

The average student loan debt upon graduation is \$40,000. To bring those students in, we are telling them that, listen, there is a job for you at the end of this pipeline. You do all the things you're supposed to do, there's a job. You're going to have the resources you need to pay off whatever student loans that you have.

To me, that's unacceptable. We need to be accum -- in the post -- in the secondary education and the high schools, as a result of right to -- the -- every -- every child -- No Child Left Behind legislation, which we can argue about back and forth, but one of the good outcomes of No Child Left Behind is we have created a culture of evidence in the K through 12 system. They understand that. They accum -- they develop and they create and accumulate information on -- on -- on how they're informing.

Don't have that in higher education. We don't accumulate very much information about everything. There needs to be a culture of evidence.

It seems to me irresponsible to bring students into a program without telling them, listen, the people that just came before you, this is their

progression rate, this is their graduation rate, this is their placement rate, this is their average starting salary, this is how they did five years, ten years from now.

We accumulate that information. We are -- are surveying our students. We're trying to accumulate information. But that's not out there.

So these are the kind of criteria, graduation rate, placement rate, placement rate in Pennsylvania, this is Commonwealth money. And what are the starting salaries? Are you getting family-sustaining wages when you graduate?

We're saying the pie doesn't need to get any bigger but we need to take a look at the way we do business. Maybe change a new paradigm and find something that supports the economic needs of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Our problem is we admitted one -- we admitted 20 percent of the students that apply to our college. That's not because the other 80 percent weren't qualified. Many of them were qualified. Many of them came from difficult circumstances. This would have changed their lives and made them a productive citizen.

But we don't have the capacity to accept

them. So we only took 20 percent of the students.

I've got employers standing in line. They'll hire
every student out of every class. It is incredible.

The bottleneck is that we don't have the capacity to meet that need, and that need would stimulate economic development in Pennsylvania.

One outcome of a paradigm shift would be what we should be at Thaddeus Stevens College. We should have at least 2,000 students. We should be graduating 600 a year based on the need. We should double our current programs.

I have employers say, we'll come to the legislature, we'll stand in line and tell them that we need to triple or quadruple that machine technology that you have, the electrical program.

Mr. Chairman, we have electrical graduates that come from our program that go to work for utility companies and within four years they're making over a hundred thousand dollars. They're working overtime, but they're making over a hundred thousand dollars.

I've got plumbers, HVAC technicians that are easily making \$70,000 a year. We have over 300 companies in Pennsylvania started by our graduates at this current time. Metals fabrication, welding.

You got Marcellus shale coming down.

What are people going to do with Marcellus shale if

3 they can't get welders, they can't get metal

fabricators, and we're not beginning to meet that -that need.

We need new programs. We -- we have a community advisor council. We work with industry hand in glove. We talk to them all the time. We meet twice a year with the -- with the movers and shakers in the industry in this region.

And what they're telling us is we need diesel mechanics, we need welders, we need building maintenance, technology technicians.

We met with an expert in Pennsylvania on -- on water and wastewater just the other day. He said, we're going to need -- in the next few years we're going to need 20,000 technicians that you could prepare and they're going to start out at \$42,000 a year, and there's not one program in the Commonwealth that addresses that issue.

We're going to try to address it but with limited resources. Computer engineering, construction supervision, residential remodeling, facilities management, again, for us to do those things we're going to need a significant increase in

our operating budget and we're going to need at least two \$10 million facilities.

And you say, geez, that sounds egregious. Well, I understand the capital budget. I've seen this one. I've seen all the ones in the past.

If you look historically, before we invest in cultural centers and -- and in -- and student centers and a number of other things, I would suggest give me a decent technology center to triple or quadruple of the size of my machine technology.

Right now that machine technology program, that has 15 students in it, that had 30 companies out there that haven't been able to fill their positions for two years, is in a building built in 1949 that we cobbled the electrical system together so it would support the machines that are in there. It's on an asphalt floor that looks like the ocean.

What does that say to students that are looking for these kinds of jobs? It's just -- it's -- it's inadequate.

Our current funding this year we've had to give a half million dollars back, so we're at \$9,815,000. That was a reduction. Plus our mandated

increases, the legacy cost, which you just heard from the Secretary of Transportation, about -- we're about 1.5 short.

What did we do? We're lean to begin with. You won't -- I have about seven positions reporting directly to me that used to report to the vice presidency, which is gone. We have no deans. I have two vice president, and I have directors, some of which are part-time.

We have three people in our maintenance department, a maintenance director -- a facility maintenance director who has two jobs. He's also our construction maintenance project [sic] on our housing project. And I have an all-purpose carpenter, and I have a boiler plant operator.

That's it for over 500,000 square feet. DGS gave us a report, said you've got to be out of your mind trying to operate this facility based on this type of -- of -- of staffing. But that's what we do.

We've deferred maintenance. I have 20 less positions on campus this year than I had last year. We've requested a 12.7 percent increase just to stay even.

We got equal funding -- I think us and

Lincoln University, and maybe Penn College of
Technology, were the only ones that received that,
and we take that as evidence that the Governor
appreciates what we're doing and understands the
value of this.

But you're going to have to redivide the pie. You're going to have to change the way things are done if we're going to move forward in terms of economic development.

We need two -- we need at least two buildings. We're not talking about extravagant facilities for anyone. Come and look at my office. There's a window air-conditioner in there. I've got a boiler system that was made -- that makes noise. I got kids that are changing classes overhead. Sounds like a stampede.

We're -- we're not doing those types of things. I don't have a state car. I don't have a house or anything like that. We are trying to be good stewards of the money that we are given in the Commonwealth.

But we need two technology buildings to meet the needs that the Commonwealth and the industry has and to meet the needs for economic development.

The last slide that I show you there is

simply our historical appropriations. We're showing that we received 10,332,000 this year, which we did, but, again, we had to give a half million dollars of those funds back.

That's essentially my presentation. We appreciate the support we've received over the years on both sides of the aisle. It's been good even in bad times and so forth.

I'll be honest with you. We feel like we're doing God's work. Okay? But we're not like a lot of institutions that I've been affiliated with in the past where you say, we're doing God's work, give us money, and leave us alone.

We are saying we will be transparent.

We will tell you how we're spending your money. We will show you our outcomes. We think that that's important. We're as transparent as anybody can be.

Vice President Tompos is right now putting all of our information -- what's that called?

MS. TOMPOS: Which one?

DR. GRISCOM: That program you're working with, the new program to put -- no. No. To put the money into -- the information -- all of our --

MS. TOMPOS: PennWatch.

DR. GRISCOM: PennWatch. We're putting all of our information in PennWatch. We're in the other program that's -- that's -- it's Right to Know.

We're more than happy to show anybody how we spend every penny that we receive from the Commonwealth and feel that's our responsibility. We have no problem with it.

And to be accountable, to show how -- we don't feel that it's important to measure the SAT scores of students coming in and brag about how we have the highest class coming in and so forth and this is what our enrollment is.

I can't imagine a private sector company talking about how much money they spend on raw materials or everything. They talk about what is their profit. What did you make at the end of the day?

For us our profit is our number of graduates, who graduate, get jobs, go out, they're employed, they -- they raise families, they pay taxes, and they give something back to their communities. That's -- that's what we feel is -- is important.

So we're -- we're more than happy to report that information. We are constantly trying to develop data systems that -- that provide that information.

But, again, at this point what we feel that is -- that's needed is a new paradigm, one that's based on limited resources and what can we do best for the Commonwealth.

I -- I think it -- for me it's hard to imagine. Given the situation -- I'm sitting here listening to those employers for two hours talk about how desperate they are for guys and how they want to expand and how they want to grow and how they don't have the employees to do it.

You know, I know from -- from my own experience. I spent 25 years at a large four-year college. I was assistant associate, full professor, assistant division chair, division chair, and assistant to the president.

We're graduating 12,000 teachers in Pennsylvania for 3,000 jobs, and we do it year after year. At any time in Lancaster County, for example, there's 3,000 people seeking nine -- 90 jobs and that's projected for the next ten years.

Why would we make that investment when I

can't create a machine technology program and double 1 its size or an HVAC program or plumbing or metals 2 fabrication program? 3 4 So, again, between Dr. Thompson, Vice 5 President Tompos and myself, you're looking at about -- close to 140 years of -- of higher education 6 7 experience at many different levels. And we're not bragging about that, but I mean we -- we have seen a 8 9 lot what goes on and we feel this is -- is an important shift that needs -- that needs to take 10 11 place. I appreciate your time and we would 12 13 welcome any questions. 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, doctor, and -- and -- and we can feel your passion. We hear 15 16 your passion. I've had the opportunity to visit your 17 campus on several occasions and, you know, it's --18 it's a bare -- bare bones operation. There's no 19 question about that. 20 21 The only thing I disagree with you with 22 is that I think between you and Dr. Thompson there's 23 about 120 --24 DR. GRISCOM: Yeah. We'll leave her out

25

of it.

```
CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yeah.
                                             That would
 1
 2
      leave -- I would leave Betty --
                    DR. GRISCOM: Good idea.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- Tompos out of
 4
 5
      that -- those years of experience.
                    But other than that, specifically you
 6
 7
      talk about these two new buildings --
                    DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- that -- that you
10
      would like to have on campus. Do you have any
11
      specific cost involved there?
                    DR. GRISCOM: $20 million.
12
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      Excuse me?
13
14
                    DR. GRISCOM: $20 million.
15
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: $20 million. And I
16
      know an awful lot of Pennsylvania residents do not
      realize that Thaddeus Stevens is owned by the
17
18
      Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
19
                    Does the college have any money
20
      themselves regarding this? Would there be any type
21
      of -- type of match involved? Is there any type
22
      of --
23
                    DR. GRISCOM: We have no funds
24
      whatsoever.
25
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You have no endowment?
```

We spend every money that 1 DR. GRISCOM: 2 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You have no capital --3 DR. GRISCOM: No. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Capital budget --DR. GRISCOM: No. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- plan? No, we don't. 8 DR. GRISCOM: And you 9 have to understand that we provide a very low cost education for over 50 percent of our students, who 10 11 come from -- from poverty. And I think it's 200 and some come from the 100 percent poverty level in the 12 13 United States. 14 So we have to provide them with whatever support. I mean those kids come into my office, I 15 16 say maybe four or five a week, and we have a 17 president's fund that we have raised through external 18 funding that sits over in the found -- foundation that Alex administers, and I'm giving those kids 19 20 grants and loans five a week. 21 I had a kid come in just yesterday, I 22 need to get back to Pittsburgh and I don't have any 23 money to get back to Pittsburgh. Spring break is 24 coming on and so forth. And it's \$40, \$50, so forth

25

and so on.

So we spend all our money on the students. Fifty percent of them we almost completely --

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes.

DR. GRISCOM: -- subsidize. So we don't have those types of resources. We don't have any hidden fees. To me, fees are nothing but a way of hiding tuition increases.

So we have two fees. We have a \$15 a year parking fee, and we have a \$30 student activity fee which goes purely to the students to do whatever things the residents like, decides that they should could.

We have no other fees. We don't have technology fees. We don't have transportation fees. We eat all those things.

We say this is what our costs are and bill that in tuition. So we have opposed any types of $\mbox{--}$ of fees.

So, again, we see those kids every day. We know what they're dealing with. Some of them aren't kids. Some are adults that have children and so forth and so on. But we're trying to help them break the cycle of poverty, to go out and -- and change the nature of our -- I can't imagine what

those costs would be to break the cycle of poverty.

The -- the social costs that are involved.

I mean if you look at people that come from poverty, a significant number end up incarcerated and so forth. When you take a student who comes from three generations or more of poverty, not situational poverty where there was a divorce in the family or there was a tragedy, but who come from generational poverty and they come to Stevens and they get the skills that they need and they go out and they get a job and they raise a family and they buy a home and they pay taxes. And 300 of them started companies.

I don't know how you put a dollar sign on that. But it -- it's -- it's incredible. I think it's one of those -- I mean I was looking at some statistic the other day from the -- from the Commonwealth about the cost of incarcerating people in Pennsylvania and -- and so forth and so on, which doesn't take into account the social cost that the family has to deal with.

So, you know, we've been doing that for a hundred years. And from the coal regions, from Pittsburgh, from Erie, from Philadelphia, and from -- from central Pennsylvania. So it's -- it's an

incredible value. 1 And it's what gets you up in the 2 morning. It's why we come to work everyday. Like I 3 4 said, we got a lot of -- both of us could retire 5 tomorrow, but -- and there's probably no good reason that we don't, but -- except that we -- we love what 6 7 we do. We see -- it's like social engineering. 8 You see these kids come. You see their lives 9 10 change. And you see -- you see generations in the future change. It's -- it's -- it's an incredible 11 12 experience. We're lucky to have --CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We -- we -- we 13 14 understand. Just take a deep breath. 15 DR. GRISCOM: I am. 16 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Take a deep breath. 17 You know, I know Representative Parker can understand. You know, you get --18 19 REP. PARKER: Not fair, Mr. Chair. Not fair. 20 21 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You get an 22 opportunity, and this gentleman has been in my office 23 many times, and -- but you have an awful lot of 24 support here. 25 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you.

```
CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      Is there a bill that
 1
      has been introduced regarding this project?
 2
                    DR. GRISCOM: There is one bill that's
 3
      been introduced that is one technology center, I
 4
      think, for -- it would have been like $12 million.
 5
             And we continue to put it on our priority
 6
      Yeah.
 7
      list.
                   But what we were trying to share with
 8
      you today is what's really needed.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
10
                                      We under --
                   DR. GRISCOM: And I'm sure we can
11
      introduce the bill.
12
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We -- we understand.
13
14
      I know you mentioned Pell grants.
15
                    DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.
16
                   CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. And a good
17
      percentage of your students receive Pell grants.
      your students qualified for the PHEAA grants?
18
                    DR. GRISCOM: Yeah. A -- a large number
19
      of them get PHEAA grants.
20
21
                                      Okay. So they -- they
                   CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
22
      qualify for the Pell grants as well as the PHEAA
23
      grants. And I know in the Governor's budget address,
24
      you know, he's very concerned about students going
25
      into school, coming out with great debt, and no
```

jobs.

And in his budget address he is talking about giving an incentive to these type of trade schools and trying to expand the PHEAA grants into -- into those trade schools as well. And, of course, you get an associate degree from Thaddeus Stevens.

You've been level funded. That's what the Governor has recommended. And I'm trying to get -- get from you -- and I know -- I know you lost some federal funds two years ago and -- which is a large percentage.

If -- if there's anyway -- and I don't know if there is a way -- the capital budget, what is -- what is your -- your budget request as far as the operational?

Can you -- can you live within this proposal? Are you looking for an increase in the Governor's proposal? Are you -- are you requesting a movement on this capital project bill?

DR. GRISCOM: Both.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Both?

DR. GRISCOM: What we're -- what we're saying is that, based on what I just talked to you about, if we're going to meet the need that's out there, we're going to need more facilities. We're

going to need a significant increase in our operating budget.

And we understand the pie is not getting any bigger, but you're going to have to make some decisions. You're going to have to tie appropriations to accountability, to performance measures.

I am not in any way, shape, or form denigrating four-year education. I'm a product of that system. I've worked in it for 25 years, and there is a critical need for four-year engineering technology graduates, engineering graduates. You heard the Secretary talk -- the previous Secretary talk about the needs in the transportation area.

There's a need for business graduates and health care and so forth and so on. But why are we taking Commonwealth money and subsidizing students either through PHEAA grants or through direct appropriations in their operating budgets or in their capital budgets to go into programs where there isn't -- they're not going to get a job? They have no marketable skills.

These kids -- these -- these students that are graduating right now I think are becoming disillusioned. They've done everything we asked them

to do.

I mean the American dream is to what?

Go on -- that's what we tell everybody. Go to college. Get a degree. Go out, own a home. Go to college, own a home, and -- and have a higher standard of living than your parents do.

These students graduating, they are not going to have a higher standard of living than their families. They're going to have a lower standard of living because they don't have marketable skills. They've done everything that they've done. They're bright, young, intelligent people who have gone out there and they're working for \$9 an hour at a lot of menial jobs that normally were done by people that did not have college degrees, just to try and survive.

So what we're saying is there's no reason to fund those type of programs. Fund engineering technology programs, business programs, health care programs, other programs where there is a marketable set of skills when they're done.

But what's in the best interests of the Commonwealth? What's in the best interests of the Commonwealth is to support the needs of industry.

And I've just walked through 125 employers just in

central Pennsylvania, come from Maryland and Allegheny County that -- that -- that were at that career fair, and from Philadelphia, who are saying, you're not meeting our needs. We -- we can't -- we can't operate right now, let alone expand, so we need more people.

So we're saying we need an operating budget. Long term, we need a operating budget. Not just us. Other schools who do the same thing. We need an operating budget and a capital budget that reflects our needs.

You all have the capital budget. I've looked at the capital budget. I've seen it for several years, and you see where the funds are going.

Why would we continue to invest capital money and operating money in programs? Why wouldn't we start by just asking programs -- if I -- when I talked about consumer fraud before, as a parent and as a student going into a program, if the Commonwealth is supporting that program, especially if it's a public institution, the presumption would be in my mind that at the end of the day -- and -- and you're giving them loans, all kinds of loans, student loans as well as PHEAA and -- and -- and

other things, my presumption would be at the end of the day there's going to be a job for you and you're going to be able to pay off those loans and you're going to make a good living and you're going to have a higher standard. That's not the case at all. They're unemployed. They're underemployed. They're leaving They're living at home with mom and this state. And they go to -- and I see a lot of those students coming to college because they can only make \$9 with no benefits and they want to get -- I've got graduates from Ivy-League-type schools coming to our institutions, from a lot of prestigious institutions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, coming to our

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I understand. Ι understand. And I -- I really, really appreciate your passion.

support their family, buy a home, pay for a car,

raise a family, and so forth and so on.

college because they want to get the skills that they

need so they can go out and make \$50,000 a year and

I apologize for --DR. GRISCOM: CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: That's okay.

Passion --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. GRISCOM: But I see it every day.

CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Passion -- passion --1 passion is good. Passion is good. 2 The -- the -- I made a comment at the 3 higher education budget hearing about graduating with 4 5 a Greek mythology degree, and the chairman, my good friend, Joe Markosek, you know, teased me about it. 6 7 But I'm -- I'm with you on this. mean, you know, I'm with you on this and, you know, 8 9 it's important and the kids that are going to your 10 school, you know, come out of the lower income areas 11 and it's a fantastic story and I'm very proud of 12 Thaddeus Stevens' success and what they're doing for Pennsylvania residents. 13 14 I'm going to ask you this. I'm going to ask you this. We have about a good six questions of 15 16 you. Okay? And I want you to get on record. 17 But we're going to ask you budgetary Okay? And see if we can keep the 18 questions. 19 answers --DR. GRISCOM: I'll control --20 21 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- nice and concise. DR. GRISCOM: I'll control it. 22 23 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay? 24 DR. GRISCOM: Okav. 25 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And it's an

```
opportunity to be on PCN and -- and broadcast what a
 1
      great job you're doing. I know that's what you're
 2
 3
      doing.
 4
                    You know, I -- I know there's a reason
 5
      why you have this passion out there. You're --
      you're recruiting, doctor, at the same time here.
 6
 7
                    DR. GRISCOM: I've got plenty of
 8
      students.
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- good for you.
      for you. But I'd like to start with Representative
11
12
      Ron Waters.
                    REP. WATERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
14
                    Thank you Mr. -- or Dr. Griscom for --
15
      for being here. And, as you remember, I took a tour
16
      of your facility, too, with Chairman Frank
17
      Sturla [sic] a couple years ago and I -- and I agree
      with our chairman that it is a fine facility, doing
18
19
      an outstanding job with bare bones.
20
                    You -- you spoke with a lot of passion,
21
      but you -- you spoke in a way that makes a lot of
22
      sense, too, common sense on top of it.
23
                    The -- the -- the school that you run --
24
                    DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.
25
                    REP. WATERS: -- I believe it could
```

```
easily accommodate more students if it had more
 1
              There -- Philadelphia -- the Philadelphia
 2
      area would greatly benefit from a school like this.
 3
      And -- and I would support it in any way possible
 4
 5
      to -- to -- to see it happen.
                    The -- I had a chance to take a -- a
 6
 7
      tour of a couple other schools. One was the Lehigh
      School of -- Technology Institute, I believe it was
 8
 9
      called.
10
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                 The Lehigh Carbon --
11
                    REP. WATERS:
                                 Yes.
12
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                  -- Community College?
13
      Sure.
14
                    REP. WATERS:
                                 Yeah.
                                         The one out there.
15
                    DR. GRISCOM: Good school.
                    REP. WATERS: And had a chance to see
16
17
      how they were able to attract funders.
                                               They -- which
      is the issue that you are -- are addressing right now
18
19
      today with the budgetary issue.
20
                    They have businesses that -- who know
21
      what kind of workforce that they need.
                                               So the
22
      corporations and businesses supply the revenue by way
23
      of either manpower or financial or supplies to help
24
      produce a workforce that they know will benefit their
```

25

operation.

And I just wanted to ask you -- but when
I was there, I talked about Thaddeus Stevens.

DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.

REP. WATERS: Because I saw so much of a similarity in -- in the -- in the operation. And have you had -- have you had a chance to look into -- and I don't know if it's possible, because you're state-owned, but have you had a chance to look into the possibility of getting direct funding to promote the -- rather of brain drain, but the brain gain for Pennsylvania? Have you had a chance to look at that?

DR. GRISCOM: Absolutely. We get EITC money from a large number of companies. We get direct grants. We got \$25,000 the other day from a private sector company that wanted us to increase the -- the -- the welding program that we have.

But unfortunately we need \$250,000 to do that, not just 25,000. But that was a step in the right direction. That person has a hundred thousand dollar scholarship for students at our institution.

So, yeah, we go out there in -- into the -- into the private sector, and they partner as much as possible.

But I need to be honest with you. Our

private sector partners, all the companies that we deal with, they say, why is it like this in Pennsylvania? If we're in North Carolina, we've got a system that comes in and provides us with all the workforce training we need, all the stuff and so forth and so on. Why aren't we on a level playing Why aren't we like North Carolina? aren't we like West Virginia? Why aren't we like all these other states that provide this robust system of workforce training and technical support and so Why is it here that we have to -- that we forth? have to fight for it so much? And why do we have to take so many of our own dollars? We're not on a level playing field. So that's from our bottom line and makes us uncompetitive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we're trying to attract companies from New Jersey and from Ohio and -- and so on and so forth, we need a level playing field. I think part of that is our workforce training system.

We should be able to produce the number of metal fabricators and welders that industry needs. We should be able to produce the machinists and tool and die makers that companies need.

They shouldn't have to -- we shouldn't have to have 125 companies, and a lot of them have

personal relationships. They come other times. But these are just 125 that come to career fair that try to attract -- to try and recruit 15 students. It's like an NFL combine, so to speak.

So I'm saying we certainly want to have jobs for our students and so forth and so on. But we'd like to create an environment where employers have more than enough of the human resource they need.

Steve Jobs -- I was at a conference one time that Steve Jobs gave before he passed -- obviously before he passed away, and he talked about economic development.

And he said, in the past the magnet that attracted economic development was access to transportation and natural resources. And if you look at Pittsburgh and you look at St. Louis and you look around this country, you can see that that's where the economic development occurred. Where you had the nexus of those two elements.

But he said in the future that's not going to be the case. That's unimportant. In the future the magnet that's going to attract economic development is going to be human capital.

Do you have a trained, skilled,

competent workforce that can -- that can provide you the competitive edge you need into the future?

And that's where I think we're -- we're missing the boat. It's not in my best interests, but to be honest with you, we don't fund community colleges in Pennsylvania the way that we should. A third local match, a third from the state, and a third from tuition.

If I'm a president of one of those colleges, I'm not incentivized to invest in programs that have expensive capital needs and that have -- need full-time faculty and -- and operating expense.

I'm going to do what they do rationally. I'm going to invest in general education courses and produce more people that go to four-year colleges. Yet only 20 percent of the jobs since 1950 have required a four-year degree.

It's just not a system that's -- that's working. And if you look around the United States, you're going to see other states are understanding this and they're starting to make some changes in their paradigm.

And what I'm suggesting here is in the best interests of the Commonwealth we've got to change that paradigm as well.

I'm not in any way, shape, or form denigrating four-year colleges. Programs that there's a need for the graduates, especially the graduates in Pennsylvania to go out and make a family-sustaining wage, then -- then we should have more of those programs.

But why should we be investing in programs that are only graduating 20 or 30 percent of the students that start there and they're -- none of them are getting jobs or less than 10 percent get jobs in Pennsylvania, at unsustaining family wages?

To me, when you have lean times, you make hard decisions. And that's not a decision that I would be making in my personal life, and I wouldn't think that we should make it as a Commonwealth.

REP. WATERS: It's amazing that what you said also addresses a call that I got from a constituent about a half hour before you -- your -the panel came before us and it was someone looking for a job that has a doctorate degree and has great student loans to pay back.

> DR. GRISCOM: Okav.

REP. WATERS: About \$150,000 in student loans to pay back.

> DR. GRISCOM: Yep.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

They're even looking to 1 REP. WATERS: find something paying way below what someone of that 2 academic achievement should be being paid. 3 DR. GRISCOM: Sure. 4 5 REP. WATERS: But nonetheless, because they went to school and they got an education, but, 6 as you said earlier, 12 -- what did you say? About 7 1,200 people graduate with teacher's degrees and 8 9 there's only 300 positions to fill. So something is wrong with how we're 10 planning and educating people towards the jobs that 11 are in demand. 12 The -- also I went out to another school 13 14 called Penn School of Technology. 15 DR. GRISCOM: Penn College of 16 Technology. 17 REP. WATERS: Yeah. And they are trying -- they have a similar job placement, about 95 18 19 percent job --20 DR. GRISCOM: Yes. 21 REP. WATERS: -- placement, too. 22 the Marcellus shale operation, that is definitely 23 taking a lot of students that are graduating from 24 there. They've got -- they got -- because Marcellus 25 shale --

DR. GRISCOM: 1 Sure. REP. WATERS: -- based on what I've been 2 told, they can't hire enough people --3 DR. GRISCOM: Exactly. 4 5 REP. WATERS: -- to handle the demands with that industry in Pennsylvania. 6 7 So has the Thaddeus Stevens school had any concerns or interests in getting involved with 8 preparing students for that technology? 9 10 DR. GRISCOM: Absolutely. Yeah. 11 REP. WATERS: Okay. DR. GRISCOM: And our graduates are --12 are fully qualified to -- to work in those jobs, 13 14 whether it be metal fabrication and welding, plumbing, and, you know, across the board. And we 15 16 have students that go there. 17 But also those -- those -- those demands of Marcellus shale are also pulling students from --18 19 and -- and employees from our area, from our 20 companies to that area, and we're filling those --21 backfilling those programs as well. 22 But we've been in direct conversations 23 with Penn College -- Dr. Thompson has been in direct 24 conversations with Penn College of Technology and we 25 feel that we are completely congruent with the -- the

```
needs of that industry and -- and -- and the programs
 1
      that we have.
 2
                    REP. WATERS: Thank you. I just want to
 3
      say that I support it. I think it's cost effective.
 4
 5
      I think it's great in terms of family building,
      family-sustaining jobs, and we should do everything
 6
 7
      in our power to make sure that we support programs
      like yours. And that's my -- my say --
 8
 9
                    DR. GRISCOM: Thank you, Representative
10
      Waters.
11
                    REP. WATERS: -- Mr. Chairman.
12
                    CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                      Thank you,
13
      representative.
14
                    Representative Gordon Denlinger.
15
                    REP. DENLINGER:
                                     Thank you,
      Mr. Chairman.
16
17
                    Dr. Griscom, welcome, and --
                    DR. GRISCOM: Thank you.
18
19
                    REP. DENLINGER: -- your capable staff.
      It's good to see all of you here today.
20
21
                    My comments will be in the form of a
22
      statement, Mr. Chairman. Just about a -- I believe a
23
      month ago, the Lancaster delegation and the broader
      counties around were welcomed to Thaddeus Stevens
24
25
      where much of this update was presented to us at that
```

And so they very capably answered my questions 1 time. 2 at that point. But, as you know, Mr. Chairman, I've 3 been a consistent advocate for this institution and I 4 want to share that with this committee today. 5 Beyond the obvious tremendous numbers in 6 7 terms of hiring rates and the costs of providing an excellent education, the transformation of lives 8 9 moving people from chronic poverty to successful 10 citizenship, I think that just stands on its own 11 merits. And I can't say enough for what these fine 12 folks are doing and -- and the school behind them. And so throughout this budget process it 13 14 is my desire to see them fully supported, and I'll be sharing those thoughts with you directly. 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 18 representative. Chairman Markosek. 19 20 REP. MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chair. 21

Just for the information of the members,
Representative Steve Samuelson, Representative Scott
Conklin, Representative Michelle Brownlee, members of
the committee, have arrived; and also we have a
guest, Representative Jake Wheatley from Allegheny

22

23

24

25

1 County.

And I -- Mr. Chairman, if it's okay, I believe Representative Steve Samuelson has a question.

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, and I commend Thaddeus

Stevens College of Technology for the wonderful work

that you do. And I think you'll find that members of

both parties strongly support this appropriation.

We're glad to see that this appropriation is being

maintained.

We have discussed -- we'll be discussing higher education funding three different weeks during these budget hearings, and at today's hearing we're seeing a budget that's actually maintained at the \$10.3 million level. No cut.

Unfortunately, last week we talked about state-related schools which were -- the Governor has proposed for a 30 percent cut. The next week we have the state system schools, the West Chesters, the Kutztowns and they're being proposed for a 20 percent cut in the Governor's budget.

So my hope is that the Governor would see the light and maintain funding for all levels of

higher education.

I think -- I -- I appreciate -- you commented about the value of four-year degrees and talked about engineering and business and health care and so many important -- important majors.

I -- I do want to add that the arts and science graduates of the world also make important contributions to our society, and today I'm thinking of two, an English major named Mitt Romney and a political science major named Rick Santorum.

Now, while they're -- right now they're both looking for work. They have been employed for much of their adult lives. And I mean there are millions of arts and science graduates around the country, and they do make very important contributions to our society.

I -- we talked a lot at the budget last week about the impact of budget cuts on tuition and if some of the colleges were cut back in funding what would happen to tuition.

So here I'm going to ask that question a different way. You're having a budget where the funding is maintained, which, as I said, should be the goal of our -- of our state.

What happens with your tuition? I

```
realize a lot of students get the Pell grants and
 1
 2
      the --
                    DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.
 3
                    REP. SAMUELSON:
                                     And the PHEAA grants.
 4
 5
      But what happens with your tuition rate last year and
      this year?
 6
 7
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                 It goes up $200.
 8
                    REP. SAMUELSON:
                                     Okay.
 9
                    DR. GRISCOM: A hundred dollars a
10
      semester.
11
                    REP. SAMUELSON:
                                     Is there a
12
      percentage --
                    DR. GRISCOM: That -- that doesn't begin
13
14
      to touch what our mandated costs -- you heard the
      Secretary of Transportation costs -- transportation
15
16
      talk about legacy costs.
17
                    Legacy costs are one of the most
      significant things that we have to do. Vice
18
      President Tompos can -- can address that.
19
20
                    But for our retired employees, our
21
      health care benefits, our pension benefits, our
22
      raises are going to come into effect next year.
23
                    We lost probably close to a million
24
      dollars in a mandated increase based on legacy costs
25
      and so absolutely -- that resonates with us. So we
```

understand what those costs are.

And, again, we reduced 20 positions to compensate for that -- for those mandated increases. So all we've done is increase tuition 200. So tuition costs next year will be \$7,000 for those students that pay tuition, which is roughly 49 percent of our students. The other ones don't pay that.

So that's -- that's what we're proposing. We think that's modest compared to anybody else. And, again, we're not hiding any fees. We're not increasing fees. We're not implementing any technology fee.

Again, we have \$15 a semester for parking and we have \$30 for student activities, which they use for student activities. We don't touch that money. So that's it.

REP. SAMUELSON: And if I'm doing the math right, a \$200 tuition increase would come out to about 2.8 percent, which is a modest tuition increase.

I'm asking the question because in the context --

DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh.

REP. SAMUELSON: -- of what the Governor

has proposed for most of the other higher educational 1 institutions in our state --2 DR. GRISCOM: Uh-huh. 3 REP. SAMUELSON: -- they're talking 4 5 about tuition increases a lot higher than 2.8 percent. So I -- I do think that you do some very 6 7 important work in our state. You have a wonderful 107-year history and some success -- the success of 8 9 the individual graduates of your school is to be 10 commended. 11 I -- I just hope that we take a broader 12 view and fund higher education -- maintain funding for higher education at all levels. 13 And I -- I thank the Chair. 14 15 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 16 representative. 17 Another member of the Appropriations Committee that resides in Lancaster County, 18 19 Representative Bear. 20 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REP. BEAR: 21 And actually, Mr. Chairman, my -- I just have a 22 comment I want to share. 23 So, you know, to Dr. Griscom and his 24 team, you guys do a bang-up job. We're very proud of 25 you. And in my estimation you really offer the

taxpayers of this Commonwealth a very unique value 1 proposition. So keep up the good -- good work. 2 My statement is I really think there's a 3 value to having a capital budget for Thaddeus Stevens 4 5 College and it would be my hope that maybe we could include that, because that could go a long way, 6 7 whether it just being up -- updating the facilities to even raising monies for this facility. 8 9 So I want to thank you for your work and 10 thank you for joining us. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And thank you, representative. 14 15 Representative Bernie O'Neill. 16 REP. O'NEILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 One of my questions was just answered, but I just have a couple of -- a few ones that you 18 19 can just give me a direct answer. 20 You had mentioned earlier during your 21 presentation about -- and I might have the number 22 wrong, 40,000 technician jobs. Was that correct? 23 DR. GRISCOM: I talked about 20,000 24 water and --25 REP. O'NEILL: 20,000.

```
DR. GRISCOM: -- wastewater firms.
                                                         Just
 1
 2
      -- just let me update you on that. There was a
      recent study that just came out the other day from
 3
      the National Manufacturers Association.
 4
                                                There's
 5
      600,000 jobs right now that are open in manufacturing
      in the United States of America which are unfilled.
 6
 7
      Just representing what I talked about what I
      experienced when I went through our -- our career
 8
 9
      fair with 125 employers.
                    REP. O'NEILL: And -- and what -- what
10
11
      type of technician jobs are they? There's 20,000 out
12
      there that you could --
                    DR. GRISCOM: The 20,000 I was talking
13
14
      about were water and wastewater treatment plants.
15
                    REP. O'NEILL: Wastewater. Oh, I got
16
      you.
17
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                 That's -- that relates to
      the Chesapeake Bay --
18
19
                    REP. O'NEILL: Right.
20
                    DR. GRISCOM: -- Commission and all the
21
      things that are --
22
                    REP. O'NEILL: Right.
23
                    DR. GRISCOM: -- going on there.
24
                    REP. O'NEILL: Right
25
                    DR. GRISCOM: And our wastewater plants
```

across the Commonwealth having to comply with that --1 with that court decision. 2 REP. O'NEILL: Thank you. In your 3 presentation you have something called double --4 5 double current programs. What is a double current 6 programs? 7 DR. GRISCOM: We -- with double current 8 programs, what that means is instead of having 25 9 students enter our machine technology program, we'd have 50 or 75 or 100. 10 11 Right now, the only reason I can't do 12 that -- it's not because I don't have enough demand on the input side or the output side. I just don't 13 14 have enough capacity. I need to expand that facility 15 and have a larger budget to -- to operate it. 16 REP. O'NEILL: And do you offer any 17 four-year programs? 18 DR. GRISCOM: No. None. 19 REP. O'NEILL: None at all. 20 DR. GRISCOM: And we won't. 21 REP. O'NEILL: Okay. Great. I quess my 22 last question is -- and then I have a little comment 23 to make is --24 DR. GRISCOM: Sure. 25 REP. O'NEILL: Are your employees

```
considered state employees and then they're in the
 1
      state system?
 2
                    DR. GRISCOM: Within the institution?
 3
      Are all Commonwealth employees.
 4
 5
                    REP. O'NEILL: Okay.
                                          Great.
                                                  Thank
 6
      you.
 7
                    I just want to thank you for all the
 8
      work you do.
 9
                    DR. GRISCOM: Thank you.
10
                    REP. O'NEILL: I actually have a
11
      personal story. I ran into one of my former students
12
      who went to Thaddeus back in the fall. He actually
      stopped by to see me, to tell me how well he's
13
14
      doing. And I'd like to think it's because of me, but
      it's not. It's because of him. And he -- he was
15
16
      very proud to tell me now he owns his own business.
17
                    DR. GRISCOM: Sure.
                    REP. O'NEILL: Because of what, you
18
19
      know, you guys --
20
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                  Thank you.
                    REP. O'NEILL: -- did for him and what
21
22
      he learned there. And -- and the one thing he did
23
      learn from me though was he married a good woman.
24
                    DR. GRISCOM:
                                  Okay.
25
                    REP. O'NEILL: And she is -- and she
```

does his bookwork. So it's working out very well 1 2 also. Thank you. DR. GRISCOM: 3 REP. O'NEILL: But thank you. 4 5 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 6 Thank you, 7 representative. The next question is from Representative 8 9 Parker. 10 REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ι 11 promise 15 seconds. 15 seconds. Hello and just ditto to everything that 12 13 my --14 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. 15 REP. PARKER: -- colleagues have said 16 about the great work that you do at Thaddeus. 17 But I wanted to comment on the Chairman's opening remarks. He talked about the 18 19 Governor's interest in seeing more Pennsylvania 20 students attend institutions of higher education that 21 were much more career driven and industry focused. 22 And while I think it's admirable, I just 23 wanted to note for the record that all career and 24 technical promoted higher education institutions are 25 not created equal and they are not --

DR. GRISCOM: That's true. 1 REP. PARKER: -- all in the category 2 like Thaddeus Stevens. 3 DR. GRISCOM: Right. 4 5 REP. PARKER: I think the federal government really put us on notice that we had many 6 7 students across the country that were sort of enrolling in these fly-by-night, for-profit --8 9 DR. GRISCOM: Absolutely. 10 REP. PARKER: -- being promoted higher 11 educational institutions that had absolutely no 12 accreditation. They were sort of drawing down on, you know, the very small pot of grants and loans that 13 14 were available for students who should be at a 15 Thaddeus Stevens, but they attended these other 16 institutions, finished, didn't get a job, and had a 17 ton of -- of debt. So I believe in sort of the skilled 18 19 I wish -- like Rep. Waters, I wish you were trades. 20 in Philadelphia, but we make sure we export as many 21 students as we can to you. 22 But I just want to caution us to not 23 think that those for-profit entities that are sort of 24 coming online overnight, that they are like Thaddeus

Stevens. They have issues with accreditation.

25

```
They're not respected in --
 1
                   DR. GRISCOM:
 2
                                 Thank you.
                   REP. PARKER: -- in -- in many
 3
      industries, and we should keep that in mind.
 4
 5
                   DR. GRISCOM: Some of the proprietaries
      are made -- are taking advantage of the demand that's
 6
 7
      out there from industry, and I couldn't agree with
 8
      you more.
 9
                   And we would like nothing better, if we
10
      had the resources, to put a branch in -- in
11
      Philadelphia. We think -- we have -- a lot of our
12
      students come from Philadelphia. We've been in touch
      with Youth Build. We hope to have a meeting with
13
14
      Mayor Nutter pretty soon.
15
                   We're -- we're partners with Youth
              I've talked to Youth Build about Thaddeus
16
      Build.
17
      Stevens College. We bring those students in in the
      summer. We just met with them recently, the
18
19
      leadership. So that's something that we certainly
20
      want to do.
21
                                 Do you have one of the
                   REP. PARKER:
22
      trades unions?
23
                   DR. GRISCOM:
                                 Pardon me?
24
                   REP. PARKER:
                                 The trades.
25
                   DR. GRISCOM:
                                 The what?
```

REP. PARKER: The trade unions? All the 1 2 different trades in Philadelphia. Do you have that? DR. GRISCOM: Yeah, we work with the 3 trade unions. Yes, we do. 4 5 REP. PARKER: Okay. DR. GRISCOM: And we work with the 6 7 nontrade unions. We work with ABC and other 8 companies, certainly. 9 REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And thank you very 12 much, doctor and staff, for joining us today. DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. 13 14 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: There's an awful lot 15 of support for Thaddeus Steven's budget request, and 16 I'm looking forward to working with my colleagues to 17 see if we can find a way to help you out. 18 DR. GRISCOM: Representative Adolph, we 19 appreciate your generosity and support over the years 20 and this opportunity for us to -- to share our message. Thank you very much. 21 22 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. And thank 23 you for your passion. 24 DR. GRISCOM: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you

1	DR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: For the members, the
3	next hearing will begin at two o'clock. We moved it
4	back a half hour, two o'clock, and it's the
5	Department of Health.
6	Thank you.
7	(The proceedings were adjourned at
8	12:55 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Brenda S. Hamilton, RPR Reporter - Notary Public