## COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL
MAIN BUILDING
ROOM 140
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

MONDAY, MARCH 5, 2012 2:50 P.M.

## PRESENTATION FROM STATE SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION

## BEFORE:

HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE JOHN BEAR

HONORABLE MARTIN T. CAUSER

HONORABLE GARY DAY

HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER

HONORABLE BRIAN L. ELLIS

HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH

HONORABLE GLEN R. GRELL

HONORABLE TOM KILLION

HONORABLE DAVID R. MILLARD

HONORABLE MARK T. MUSTIO

HONORABLE BERNIE T. O'NEILL

HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER

HONORABLE SCOTT PERRY

HONORABLE SCOTT A. PETRI

HONORABLE TINA PICKETT

HONORABLE JEFFREY P. PYLE

HONORABLE THOMAS J. QUIGLEY

HONORABLE MARIO M. SCAVELLO

HONORABLE CURTIS G. SONNEY

JEAN DAVIS REPORTING

7786 Hanoverdale Drive • Harrisburg, PA 17112 Phone (717)503-6568 • Fax (717)566-7760

**-**1 -

1	BEFORE (cont.'d):
2	HONORABLE JOSEPH F. MARKOSEK, MINORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE MATTHEW D. BRADFORD
3	HONORABLE MICHELLE F. BROWNLEE
4	HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN
4	HONORABLE PAUL COSTA HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
5	HONORABLE MICHAEL H. O'BRIEN
	HONORABLE CHERELLE L. PARKER
6	HONORABLE JOHN P. SABATINA
7	HONORABLE STEVE SAMUELSON HONORABLE MATTHEW SMITH
,	HONORABLE GREG VITALI
8	HONORABLE RONALD G. WATERS
9	
9	ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:
10	
	EDWARD J. NOLAN, REPUBLICAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
11	MIRIAM FOX, DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HONORABLE MATT BAKER
12	HONORABLE VANESSA LOWERY BROWN
	HONORABLE PAUL CLYMER
13	HONORABLE DOM COSTA
14	HONORABLE PAMELA DeLISSIO HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR
	HONORABLE JOHN EVANS
15	HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL
1.6	HONORABLE MARK GILLEN HONORABLE JOE HACKETT
16	HONORABLE JOE HACKETT HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI
17	HONORABLE HARRY READSHAW
1.0	HONORABLE RICK SACCONE
18	HONORABLE SAINATO HONORABLE WILL TALLMAN
19	HONORABLE MIKE TOBASH
	HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY
20	
21	
21	
22	JEAN M. DAVIS, REPORTER
2.2	NOTARY PUBLIC
23	
24	
٥٢	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIFIER:	S
3		
4	<u>NAME</u>	PAGE
5	JOHN C. CAVANAUGH	4
6	GUIDO PICHINI	5
7	JAVIER CEVALLOS	13
8	JOANNA CATALANO	17
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

-3

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good afternoon,
4	everyone. I would like to call to order the House
5	Appropriations Budget Hearing. This hearing is on the
6	State System of Higher Education.
7	With us this afternoon testifying is Dr. John
8	Cavanaugh, Chancellor of the State System of Higher
9	Education.
10	Good afternoon, Chancellor.
11	MR. CAVANAUGH: Good afternoon, Chairman Adolph.
12	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I first want to
13	apologize for the hour. The morning session went a little
14	longer than expected. I appreciate your understanding.
15	MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.
16	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Prior to making an
17	opening comment, Chancellor, would you please identify the
18	folks that are sitting at the table with you?
19	MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. On my far right is
20	President Javier Cevallos from Kutztown University. On my
21	immediate right is Joanna Catalano, who is a senior pre-med
22	major at Clarion University. And to my left is the
23	Chairman of our Board, Mr. Guido Pichini.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
25	Would you like to start with an opening comment,

Chancellor?

1.3

2.2

2 MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.

And with your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like to turn it first to Mr. Pichini.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Sure

MR. PICHINI: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Chairman Adolph and Committee members. Thank you for this opportunity to appear before you today to discuss something I care very deeply about, the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education.

As a proud graduate of Kutztown University of Pennsylvania, where I am also a member of the Council of Trustees, I can speak from personal experience how much each of these universities mean to our students.

It's because of the education I received at
Kutztown that I'm where I'm at today. I am here also
representing PASSHE's Board of Governors, which is
responsible for overseeing the State System in developing
overall policies that help guide the 14 PASSHE
universities.

The Board is committed to ensuring each of our nearly 120,000 students receives a quality, affordable education, the same kind of education that I received and that many of you received as well, the kind of education that will benefit both them, as individuals, and the

Commonwealth, as a whole.

2.2

As we face what is without a doubt the most challenging time in our history, there have been those who have raised questions about whether all of these campuses can survive. As Pennsylvania's public universities, each of our 14 institutions plays a vital role in Pennsylvania's economic future. All are essential to our communities and their regions and their entire Commonwealth.

Allow me to cite two examples to hopefully put rumors to rest. Mansfield University remains the central provider of higher education in the northern tier. In addition to many other programs, Mansfield is working closely with the shale industry to develop programs for this rapidly growing industry.

Clarion University, through both its main campus and its branch campus in Oil City, is continuing to offer essential programs in fields such as Allied Health and also is working closely with industries throughout the region to meet vital workforce needs.

Although PASSHE looks closely at all of our university performance, I can assure you that there have been no conversations concerning the possible closure of either of these two universities.

The Board has been very actively engaged both in efforts to control costs to assure the affordability of our

institutions and to continually enhance the quality of our educational offerings.

2.2

Under the Board's direction, the PASSHE universities participate in strategic sourcing of goods and services, which has resulted in significant savings through our volume purchasing.

We have encouraged the universities to better utilize State contracts for the purchase of electricity, natural gas, and other projects. We have directed universities to undertake a variety of projects that have made campus buildings more energy efficient, which has produced even more savings.

An example is West Chester University, which is in the process of converting all of its buildings' heating and cooling systems to GO thermal, which not only will result in significant cost savings in the future but also will significantly reduce its carbon footprint.

The Board of Governors more than a year ago directed the universities to complete a comprehensive review of all their academic programs to ensure the programs being offered meet the needs of both students and Commonwealth employers. This review identified programs that needed to be updated as well as some that were no longer relevant and were either placed in moratorium or discontinued. All students are given the opportunity to

complete their degree before any program is discontinued.

1.3

2.2

As a result of this and other programs being used, PASSHE universities in the last four years have reorganized more than 60 programs and either placed in moratorium or discontinued more than 130 others. They also have introduced 23 new programs and another two dozen at various stages of development.

Most of these are in the areas of science technology, engineering, mathematics, and Allied Health where the workforce demand is the greatest.

Under recently adopted Board policy, all new programs must be designed specifically to address workforce needs and must involve collaboration between and among universities to take advantage of our outstanding faculty across the system, which makes the best use of available resources.

I'm sure you are aware PASSHE was one of the first public university systems in the nation to voluntarily adopt performance funding. The Board initiated that program more than a decade ago and remains firmly behind it, endorsing the recent changes that were developed under Chancellor Cavanaugh's leadership that will place an even greater emphasis on student learning outcomes.

Performance matters, especially in these challenging fiscal times, and we need to encourage our

universities to keep getting better. And this program has done that. Certainly, great challenges are ahead.

1.3

2.2

Nearly 75 percent of our budget is in personnel, including salaries and benefits. Not all of our costs are directly under our control. Most are contained within contracts within our various labor unions. With the cooperation of several of those unions, we have begun to make changes in contract language that will result in significant long-term savings.

We must continue to do more. All of us must share in this effort if we are to continue to meet our mission on behalf of our students in the Commonwealth.

With 90 percent of our students being Pennsylvania residents and 80 percent of our graduates remaining here to take their first jobs or to continue their education, PASSHE must remain strong if the Commonwealth is to move forward. Your continuing support of the State System is appreciated and needed.

I will be happy to respond as the hearing goes on to any questions you might have about the Board's role in guiding and assisting universities in achieving the vital mission on behalf of all the citizens of Pennsylvania.

Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Chancellor, do you have anything that you want to

add to it or would you like to get right into the questions?

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Let me just add a couple points, Mr. Chairman, if I might.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Sure.

MR. CAVANAUGH: I'd just like to emphasize

Mr. Pichini's remarks about the challenge of our fiscal

future under the proposed cuts.

It's been said that what we see as a serious risk to our historic affordability, which is part of our core mission, access and affordability, is actually little more than a marginal reduction.

But the \$192 million actual and proposed reduction equates to 12.4 percent of our total operating revenue. And that actual or proposed loss would happen within only an 18-month period. That amount of loss over that short a period of time would challenge any business in tough times or not. And it's certainly not marginal.

Over the last decade, the Commonwealth's appropriation per FTE student in constant inflation control dollars has declined by 44 percent. Even in the tough times we're experiencing, no business could easily weather a loss of 44 percent of key revenue per unit if it's a business or per student in higher ed without taking some action to stabilize revenue in some other way. Worse yet,

in nominal dollars, our proposed funding per FTE student
will be lower next year than it was in 1983.

1.3

2.2

Add to that the fact that the programs we are implementing, the very programs the Commonwealth needs the most, are among the most expensive to deliver.

STEM programs, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math, and Allied Health, for example, need modern teaching labs. So the challenges that we face are not marginal at all and go exactly, in effect the most exactly, where the Commonwealth needs to go and represents the heart of our rapid expansion in academic programs and in proportion of students getting degrees in the STEM disciplines over the last few years.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I believe you have the rest of my testimony in writing anyway, so thank you for the opportunity.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chancellor.

And thank you, Chairman.

I guess my first question would be, you know, this time last year the Governor had a proposal on the table of decreasing the funding to the State System -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- about 30 percent.

MR. CAVANAUGH: The proposal was 50.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: It was 50. Okay. And what did it end up, 18 percent?

1 MR. CAVANAUGH: Eighteen.

1.3

2.2

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. All right. You made my point a little bit better than I started out.

I'd like to hear from you. I know you stay in contact with the presidents throughout the system. How did the universities do this past year considering the 18 percent decrease?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, first of all, Mr. Chairman, I would like to express our appreciation to you and to all the members of the House for all that you did last year to change it from a 50 percent proposal to an 18 percent reality. That two-thirds restoration made an enormous difference for our students.

To get to your question about what the campuses did, let me speak in general and then ask President Cevallos for a couple specific examples from Kutztown.

In general, what we did is not hire. And at the present time, we have over 900 vacancies either in positions that have been eliminated or ones that are relatively permanently, at the present time anyway, on hold or being held vacant.

Secondly, you heard Chairman Pichini talk about the number of programs that we've either eliminated or put in moratorium. We've been very cautious in adding new programs. And almost all of them have been in STEM or

Allied Health to meet the needs that we talked about earlier.

2.2

Third, we've increased class size and reduced the number of sections which have put pressure on the faculty, have put pressure on students to get the courses that they need. And we have continued along the lines that we have that Mr. Pichini also outlined in terms of efficiencies and economies of scale in our areas of purchasing and energy and shared administrative services and things of that sort.

So let me turn it over to President Cevallos for some specific examples from Kutztown University about how one university dealt with that.

MR. CEVALLOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for the opportunity to be here and to talk about what it meant to us.

Over the last couple of years, we have had to do a lot of things that have been very painful. Last year in particular we eliminated 49 positions. We tried to manage vacancies, so we try not to replace people to minimize the impact on the community. But nevertheless, we had to actually lay off 14 people that were in positions that were all managers. We tried to protect our academic core as much as possible. So we eliminated as many managing positions as we can.

At this point, I have eliminated, for example,

the vice presidency, the Vice President for Student Affairs and consolidated that under provost. We eliminated an office of diversity. And we eliminated the Dean of the Graduate School.

1.3

2.2

All these things are consolidated to deliver service to the students in a different way. We really are making it much more difficult for us to be able to continue to provide the excellent quality that we provide for our students.

We, in addition to that, had to eliminate some academic programs. We eliminated French and we eliminated Theatre, which is a very sad thing to do. And we also closed our nursing program. And in addition to that, we had to close or eliminate two athletic programs. So we eliminated men's soccer and men's swimming over the last couple of years.

So those are some of the things that we have had to do to react to the realities of the budget.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. That was what you've done to exist under an 18 percent decrease from last year. The Governor has proposed another decrease, 20 percent.

Chancellor, you can comment. The President of Kutztown, how would this 20 percent decrease affect the system across the board or Kutztown University in

particular?

1.3

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, I think in terms of across the board, Mr. Chairman, we would certainly need to continue doing the things that we have before.

President Cevallos spoke specifically about position elimination. We would try to minimize the impact on people. But I think another significant decline, let's say 20 percent, would mean a fairly significant number of positions. I'm not sure how much longer we would be able to completely protect the academic side. We would hold out as absolutely long as possible on that. But if the vertical drop continues, eventually it's going to hit that as well.

We now would be at the point -- you heard about some program elimination. We would now be at the point of having to look at programs that have significant numbers of students in them. Those are things that you can't close overnight. You heard Mr. Pichini talk about the requirements to finish students in the pipeline.

And we would be highly unlikely to add very many new programs, particularly in areas that are in high demand. We would not be able to increase our ability to deliver Allied Health programs, which are among the highest demand programs that we offer.

Let me turn it over to Javier to speak more

specifically about what would continue to happen at Kutztown.

1.3

2.2

MR. CEVALLOS: Once again, we would have to look at everything we do. The majority of our budget is tied up in the academic side. We are a university. So it is logical. About 70 percent of our budget is in the academic side. And to absorb a cut of this magnitude, there is no way we can do it without affecting the academic programs that we have on campus.

So we would have to look at the possibility of closing additional programs that at this point are benefiting our students and benefiting the Commonwealth.

And certainly, that would be very sad too.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Tuition. Tuition is about 6,200 for a full-time Pennsylvania resident,
Chancellor?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Right.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. What type of increase took place last year with an 18 percent decrease?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Last year we had a decline in funding of about \$800 per student. We raised tuition \$436 per student. So we made good on our continuing promise not to balance the cuts on the backs of students.

Having said that, one of the difficulties that we face is that because of the cycle and the conversation that

we always have with the General Assembly, our decisions are made late June, July. So it puts a lot of pressure on students. That was one of the highest tuition increases we've had in quite some time. We had been around CPI for a number of years.

1.3

2.2

Actually, let me ask Joanna to comment on what she and her colleague students experienced with the timing of the decision and the pressure on students.

MS. CATALANO: Hello. Can everyone hear me?

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You can move that
microphone closer to you.

MS. CATALANO: Okay. Thanks.

In response to the budget cuts from last year, a lot of the student fees were raised. And this was done very late in July, leaving students only several weeks to scramble for that extra money. And a lot of the answers the student turned to was take out additional loans on top of the loans they already have, which is disappointing to go further into debt.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.

Thank you, Chancellor. There's a lot of members that have some questions and some comments. This is a period of time that gives the State System an opportunity to have a dialogue with the Appropriations Committee while we put these numbers together.

1 So feel free to give us as much information as 2 possible regarding the effects of a proposed 20 percent 3 decrease. Chairman Markosek. 5 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman. 6 You asked my question. I was going to ask the 7 young lady how this affected her. And I think we got that 8 answer. I think it's true with, you know, all of our not 9 only State Systems but State-relateds and community 10 colleges, etc. And we're also cutting PHEAA. At least the budget proposes to cut PHEAA as well. They're going to be 11 12 here next. So I just wanted to point that out. 1.3 I really didn't have any other questions. I will 14 allot my time for the members. 15 Thank you. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman. 17 I'd like to acknowledge the presence of Reps. 18 John Evans, Matt Baker, and Todd Stevens. Thank you for 19 joining us. 20 The first question will be by Rep. Dave Millard. 21 REP. MILLARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2.2 Chancellor Cavanaugh, as we look at the past 23 decade, we see enrollment at our universities increase

really by leaps and bounds. When you look ten years ago

and look at today, it's what we hoped our economy would

24

25

look like as far as a graduated scale.

1.3

2.2

And I think that that tells us something. That tells us that we have got a good education in our State

System. It's affordable. And we have got a lot of students who are interested in furthering their education.

And I know that for myself personally, I'm a graduate of Bloom University. It took me 12 and a half years of night school to obtain my degree because of the financial situation within my family. When I started out, it was \$33 a credit hour. Of course, we can't make that claim today, obviously.

But the point that I'm trying to make here is that the system is known for having first-generation college students of which I'm one. I'm hoping someone can tell us, if you can tell us, what the percentage of first-generation college students are that attend our State universities.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. We still are around 40 percent of our students are first generation. That's a very proud point for us. It goes to our mission of access and affordability and the reason that the institutions were created in the first place, in Cheney's case, 175 years ago, to give people an opportunity to further their education who might not otherwise have it.

**-**19 -

REP. MILLARD: And I know that right along the

lines of accessibility and affordability, that many of the students that attend, and certainly, again, myself a prime example, work one or two jobs. You know, it makes it difficult when they're in that situation to graduate in a four-year period of time.

2.2

And if they have to go into the next year, you know, there's always, in addition to the credit cost, all the other fees and everything that are attached to that.

It becomes a burden to them.

I'm wondering if you have any percentage on students today that work part time.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. The most recent statistics we have indicate that 60 percent of our students work part time. That number has doubled since 2008 I think in large part because of the pressures on -- even though we've tried to keep -- and I think the Board has done a great job -- tuition down. Particularly last year, even though we only covered about half of the -- a little bit more than half the cut, it still puts a lot of pressure on students of modest financial backgrounds. And that is the bulk of our student population.

REP. MILLARD: And do you know how many work full time?

MR. CAVANAUGH: That I'd have to look up. We could certainly get that information to you.

REP. MILLARD: I'd be interested in having that if you could share that with the Chairman.

2.2

One final question. Chairman Adolph went into what it would equate to as far as tuition and highlighted the fact that, you know, this is a blueprint in process. This is a proposal. We're going to work our way through it.

But the Governor's budget cuts not only your education in general appropriation, but it also eliminates all the funding the system receives for maintenance of its facilities. And it severely reduces capital funding as well.

How in the world will you cope with that? I'm, again, visioning Bloom University. And growing up as a teenager, I saw all the -- in addition to the increase in enrollment but the buildings that were put up to be supportive of expanding what the university offered as far as degrees and expanding being able to offer those classrooms for the students.

With this being eliminated, what are you going to do to address that?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, that's a very good question. Of our roughly \$5 billion assessed value, if you will, of our physical plant, we've got about a \$2 billion deferred maintenance issue. And in the back of our

appropriations request book, there's a chart that shows you that more than half of our buildings are over 25 years old.

And you figure the usual life cycle and everything, 30 years, you need to do something significant.

2.2

With the loss of the Key 93 money, that
maintenance money that you referred to, we now have to make
choices out of our operating budget whether to, for
example, replace the badly outmoded electrical grid, if you
will, at Lockhaven University or do we do something else in
terms of student services with those funds?

Because if the only place left is your operating budget, then those are the choices that we're going to be faced with. And those are very, very difficult choices.

Are you going to renovate the teaching lab so pre-med students like Joanna can get the latest experience on equipment or are you going to fix a leaky roof? Those are the choices that we're going to be increasingly faced with.

REP. MILLARD: And, Chancellor Cavanaugh, when we see these improvements, as a member of the community in which Bloom University is located, you know, it gives me a sense of security that one of the big economic engines, and it really is in our community, when we see these improvements, we, as members of the community, know that it's going to be there for a long time. So thank you for your response.

Mr. Chairman, thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

Rep. Scott Conklin.

REP. CONKLIN: I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to thank the folks for coming here today.

And as someone who just had another child graduate from the State-run universities, I'm glad I got him out before the tuition increases hit even higher. So there is an upside.

And he got a job the week before he graduated because of what you've done, which brings me to something that I think gets totally lost on higher education.

I think we're beginning to get a debate going that is missing the point that some folks running for higher office don't need higher education. Some folks saying that we need strictly trades. And that somebody who went to trade school but paid for other folks to go to four- and five-year degrees.

Just tell us a little bit about those hands-on jobs. I think people are totally missing some of the aspects of our State-run universities, is that they aren't equating with those hands-on jobs that you're teaching these young people.

Could you just give us a few of those what most folks don't consider those two- and four-year degrees but

as a day-to-day operation for you?

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. And then I want to ask
Mr. Pichini because he's had the perspective of not only
being a graduate but seeing many of his classmates and as
a member of the Council and the Board an even broader
perspective on this.

The system offers a very wide range of opportunities for citizens of the Commonwealth. We offer workforce certificates. We offer job training skills, particularly above I-80 where there are no community colleges. As you are well aware, the branch campuses of our institutions up there are doing a phenomenal job with that 80-plus-thousand course registrations just for workforce education courses alone.

We have a number of two-year degree programs that we offer. Some of those are stand-alone. Some of those articulate quite nicely into four-year degree programs when the student is ready. So we do an awful lot of that. In fact, a lot of people won't think of us for truck driving, but we actually do that, too, as part of our mission in the northern tier.

So you're right, we do an awful lot of the hands-on things. And we've also had graduates come out of the system to go on and do things that people wouldn't normally associate with us.

I'm sure that many of you have clicked once or twice at least on You Tube. You Tube, of course, was invented by a fine arts grad from IUP, Chad Hurley. And XM Satellite Radio was invented by a grad from East Stroudsburg. So while you're driving around in your car listening to XM, that's a system graduate. And we've have students and faculty and others who have gone on to wonderful things.

1.3

2.2

So let me turn it over to Mr. Pichini for some personal issues and also his experience on the Board.

MR. PICHINI: Well, the Board is committed to listen to the needs of the community and industries in our communities. We meet on a regular basis with the industries in our area. We've met with people in the Marcellus Gas industry outside of Mansfield University. And we've created some unique programs where we call them two, two, and twos.

So you go to a technical school and you might have a really good interest in a technical field. And that might be what you're real interest is at that point in your life. And then when you graduate from the technical school, you have some credits that automatically transfer to an Associate degree program at a community college.

And when you graduate from there, you can then get a job in your community, but you may want to go on to

own your own business with your technical background. And as you mature in life, you may want to become a manager within the industry itself. So now we have an Applied Science Degree program within the system.

So you get the two years at the technology school that transfers into credits at the community college which then become credits that you can use to gain an Applied Science Degree and become a manager or supervisor or a company owner.

Looking back at the people who graduated with me from Kutztown, they're all over the place. And they're doing all kinds of jobs, from owning electric companies, power companies, to doing plumbing, to teachers, college professors. I think that we have a small scope of what the system really produces.

And if somebody would have told me I'd be doing what I'm doing now when I went to Kutztown University and I went there to become a political science teacher in high school and to coach tennis -- I know that's tough to realize. That's 100 pounds ago and 100 years ago to coach tennis -- and come out to be a business owner and a former Mayor, I think that I would have said, you're crazy.

But once you get an education in a background, you can do many things with it. Thank you.

REP. CONKLIN: And just finish up by telling us

2.2

2.2

what's the average income level of the family, not just the individual attending, but the family themselves.

And maybe even the young lady can explain that's why it's so important for us to keep those tuitions low enough to keep them attainable.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. Let me first say that our average family income is still below \$60,000. And let me turn it over to Joanna for comments from a student perspective on the decisions that families and students have to make on financing.

MS. CATALANO: Yeah. It's really hard to try to pull together all this money within a short period of time to pay for it without taking on additional loans. And so I know me and my fellow senior peers, the loan was more of an option just because it was like a one-time thing.

However, incoming freshmen are a little worried about it because if this trend continues, it will soon become a point where they can't afford to go to school anymore. They'll probably have to take off a year and work and then come back. We don't want to see that.

REP. CONKLIN: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

Rep. Gordon Denlinger.

REP. DENLINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, everyone.

Chancellor, I guess I would like to move over to the topic of labor negotiations. I believe that last year when you met with us, recalling the contracts within the system expired on June 30th of 2011. And you were not, for reasons of propriety, wanting to comment too much on the progress of negotiations at that point.

There was a push last year within education for some of our public schools to attempt to negotiate a one-year freeze or moratorium on increases, if you will.

I'm wondering within the State System, was that push also occurring at some of the universities? And the second part of the question, can you bring us up to date on negotiations? I realize they expire June 30th. Have they been put in place with new contracts? And what transpired in the interim there?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. Actually, we have some contracts that are finished and some that are not. Of those that are finished, as Mr. Pichini noted, there is some net cost savings in those contracts.

The AFSCME contract, the financial parts of it, are negotiated by the Commonwealth, not by us. But we also have addenda to those contracts that we actually do negotiate.

We were able to work with AFSCME. And they

1.3

2.2

agreed to some significant work rule changes that will save us some substantial amounts of money as well as some other things in part of the contract negotiated by the Commonwealth. So the net cost of the AFSCME contract per employee over the life of the contract is a little over \$600, which is quite modest.

2.2

Our police union contract, we negotiated the entire contract ourselves. Again, through conversations around work rule changes, we have substantial savings that offset salary increases with savings in other areas.

For health care and the police contract, there's what's called a me too. So whatever ends up being the health care plan for managers, that's what they will get. So that piece isn't entirely finished yet.

And with our other two major unions, APSCUF and SCUPA, faculty and the professional staff, those negotiations are ongoing. We've had a number of meetings since the contracts have expired. The meetings, I believe, are appropriately characterized as cordial. Progress has been made in some areas. And we look forward to continuing discussions.

REP. DENLINGER: For those unions where you have no contract in place, what happens with regard to increases? Do they remain at a flat level or do they move?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. In the Commonwealth, the

1 way it works is that the benefits package continues. 2 whatever cost increases that are associated with health 3 care, for example, are locked in. Nothing changes in the 4 benefits package. So that's the important part. Whatever the benefits were when the contract expired continue. 5 6 On the salary side, nothing happens. So no 7 salary increase, no benefits changes. It's essentially 8 status quo. And as long as both sides are continuing to 9 talk, that's where we stay. 10 So I just want to make it clear for everybody that in the Commonwealth, there's no right for management 11 12 to impose any changes in terms after a contract expires. 13 That is, in fact, what happens in many other states. It is 14 not what happens in Pennsylvania. 15 REP. DENLINGER: Okay. And then one other quick 16 question, if I may. 17 MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. 18 REP. DENLINGER: Over the last number of these 19 20

hearings, we have been having a discussion about the implementation of an enterprise software system within PASSHE, SAP, I believe, is what it was.

Can you share with us the status of that implementation and how many of the 14 schools are participating in it?

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CAVANAUGH: Okay. The SAP system was

implemented a number of years ago for Finance and Human Resources. And several years ago, we moved away from SAP on the Student Information System implementation and working with the presidents went to more of a decentralized approach in which institutions helped each other implementing primarily two different platforms.

1.3

2.2

Eight of our campuses went with a product called Banner. Four of our campuses went with PeopleSoft. And then the other two campuses, one went with GensetBar and the other went with Datatel.

The support that the campuses give each other keeps the cost of implementation down, the cost of consulting down because once one campus becomes expert, they then help others.

When the process of taking that the next step, the implementation of the Student Information Systems, is drawing to an end and should be finished in the not-distant future, that will allow us to take a look at perhaps regional operational centers to provide even more, I guess the best way to call it as in-sourcing into the system because we've developed the expertise.

We also are in the final stages of moving the data center that used to be at the office of the Chancellor. We're moving that to Millersville. And that will now be the prime data center instead of the office of

the Chancellor. That allows some significant cost savings there. So again, that's all part of taking a look at where the expertise is and moving to those models.

2.2

We're in conversations with the presidents and the campuses on some additional ways of doing that to assist at the system level of data collection, data analysis, data mining, to make our reports to the Federal Government and to you more effective and efficient. And we're talking with a couple of campuses about how we might do that again, at very significant cost savings.

REP. DENLINGER: I appreciate that update. In regard to this budget and in our consideration today, do you view this budget, which is, you know, I mean, some tough numbers here frankly, as severely limiting your ability to upgrade your IT systems?

MR. CAVANAUGH: It's going to put pressure on everything. At this point, if we need to invest in technology, we have to figure out a way to generate that money from someplace. Usually that means eliminating something else.

And so particularly in looking at another 20 percent cut scenario, we really are at a point where if we need to invest, which we continue to need to do, then we're going to have to find savings from someplace else in order to invest to upgrade.

REP. DENLINGER: Very good. Thank you for that update. And thank you, Mr. Chairman. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. The next question is from Rep. Matt Smith. REP. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you all for your testimony today. I just had a couple follow-up questions. First a statement. I think the most appropriate way to look at the cut that 

2.2

the Governor has proposed this year is really on a two-year basis. And if the Governor's proposal goes through as submitted this year, it will be about a 34 percent cut over a two-year period for the State System, a total of \$170 million. And as was alluded to earlier, Chairman Adolph discussed the 50 percent disastrous cut that the Governor proposed last year and hopefully we'll be able to work through that again this year.

But I think that level of two-year cut, 170 million, 34 percent, you know, threatens the affordable and accessible nature that you talked about earlier of your institutions.

And I'm just wondering -- I know last year's education investment cut resulted in a 7 and a half percent tuition increase. Have you gone through any model or forecasting as to what kind of tuition increase we'd be

looking at if this year's 20 percent budget cut goes through?

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, certainly the Board will have those deep conversations at their summer meeting as they always do. And in large part, those conversations are deeply formed by what happens in the General Assembly and what ultimately the budget that the Governor signs. So at this point, nothing more than an arithmetic exercise worse-case scenario.

Like we did last year, we absolutely don't want to balance the budget on the backs of students. I mean, you've already heard from Joanna the pressure that that put on students this past year.

We're trying to get a handle on other ways that we can do this. Looking at, as we pointed out, other places where we can extract some additional savings through collaboration or consolidation. But, you know, those are starting to hit the wall in many areas. But at this point, nothing more than a straight arithmetic exercise.

REP. SMITH: And have you gone through any analysis? One of your, I think, great strengths is the wonderful and talented professors and faculty leaders you have at all of your institutions. And at the same time Pennsylvania is decreasing higher-ed investment. We have almost all of the states around us, Maryland, New Jersey,

West Virginia, increasing investment in higher education.

2.2

Have you gone through any analysis or can you offer any thoughts, any of you, on how much this two-year 34 percent cut will hinder your ability to attract and retain those top-flight faculty and professors that you have right now?

MR. CAVANAUGH: I can tell you that it's going to become increasingly difficult to do that. And it's also going to be difficult to retain the managers and administrators for the same reason.

And it's not so much from other public sectors. It's the private institutions that are coming in and cherry picking, not just in Pennsylvania but across the country, as, in general, State support of public higher education declines, the private sector has taken advantage of that, shall we say, and come and lured individuals away to their institutions because they can provide better compensation packages.

REP. SMITH: I don't know if anyone else wants to speak to that particular issue or not.

MR. CEVALLOS: Sure. We have been very fortunate, as you mentioned, to be able to recruit some outstanding faculty. And certainly we are pleased to have people that come from some of the best universities in the world that are teaching for us.

As the Chancellor indicates, we are starting to lose some of them. We have had some people that come and spend two or three years with us and they are lured by other places. So we are going to be losing some of the faculty. We're also going to be losing a lot of the managers because, quite frankly, a lot of people are offering incentives to move to other states and to other institutions.

Again, it's something that concerns me quite a bit. This is something that we have to pay attention to.

REP. SMITH: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

And one last question. I think one of the understated benefits that the State System schools offer to their particular communities, whether it's Indiana, Kutztown, California, and Slippery Rock, is really serving as an economic engine in those particular regions and towns. I know in Indiana, the development of the Kovalchick Center up there was a tremendous economic boom as well as a lot of other capital investments that were made were a tremendous economic boom for Indiana.

Can you go through a little bit of that briefly, what we might lose in economic development through some of these cuts?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. Certainly because of where we are located, most of our campuses are either the biggest

1 or one of the top two or three employers, not just in the 2 community but in the county, particularly in the north and We are the dominant economic force in those regions. 3 The loss of whether it's construction jobs with 4 5 the reduction of capital or impacts that you have been 6 hearing in terms of leaving positions vacant, these are 7 good-paying jobs in these communities. 8 And when you think about the multiplier effect, 9 people talk in terms of \$3, the \$4 return for every dollar 10 invested in jobs like these, it has enormous impact on small businesses on Main Street, you know, the coffee 11 12 shops, the bookstores, the other shops around town. 13 And so we're very concerned about the overall 14 economic impact not only on our campuses but on Main 15 Street. 16 REP. SMITH: Thank you, all. 17 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 19 Representative. 20 Rep Jeff Pyle. 21 REP. PYLE: Thank you, Chairman Adolph. 2.2 Chancellor, thanks for being here with us today. 23 As Rep. Smith alluded to, Indiana is in my 24 backyard. My question pertains to Marcellus development. 25 And there's been a lot of attention paid to Mansfield and

As you're well aware, Indiana, Clarion, and 1 whatnot. 2 everything in the central west has the very same thing. 3 My question is this: Have you ever done a deed search to find out who exactly does own your gas and 4 mineral rights underneath these campuses? 5 6 I know Leo Pandeladis is MR. CAVANAUGH: 7 somewhere in here. And the last -- Leo is in the corner --8 conversation we've had, the best information that I have -and I'm looking to Leo to either cut me off or tell me I'm 9 10 wrong -- is that the Commonwealth does unless the land is 11 owned by a foundation. 12 REP. PYLE: So should like a Clarion or a 13 Slippery Rock have a big open field that they feel is a 14 good candidate for a Marcellus well, which would provide 15 free heat for your buildings and a little royalty, that 16 explorer would actually come to the State to make that 17 deed. Is that correct? 18 MR. CAVANAUGH: I'm going to ask if Leo would 19 come up to the microphone here. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: If you would, sir, 21 state your name for the record. 2.2 MR. PANDELADIS: Leonidas Pandeladis, chief 23 counsel for the State System of Higher Education. 24 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. 25 MR. PANDELADIS: The mineral rights that are

underneath the properties that are owned by the system, we don't have any legislative authority for us to actually utilize them. So that if we were to try to enter into an agreement with an exploration company or a gas company to extract the proceeds of that, without specific statutory authorization, that would be deposited into the General Fund.

1.3

2.2

There actually are legislative proposals for those proceeds to benefit the individual campuses and to the system. So there is already legislation that has been introduced. But without specific legislative authority, if we enter into an agreement, the proceeds get deposited in the General Fund because there's no specific statutory authority to direct them to the system.

REP. PYLE: That's good to know. Thank you.

The next question, Chancellor, earlier you alluded to that you are -- you didn't use the word hamstrung, but that's how I heard it. You're hamstrung by all these contracts that you have to achieve. And you mentioned you had a number of unions you had to deal with.

Who negotiates these on your behalf?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, for AFSCME, it's the Commonwealth. They negotiate a master contract for --

REP. PYLE: All 13?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, actually for the entire

1 Commonwealth, us and whoever else has AFSCME employees.

1.3

2.2

In our case, the rest of the ones that are not negotiated by the Commonwealth, we do that. We have a bargaining team. Vice Chancellor Gary Dent coordinates that. And we have a chief negotiator that we have. The Administration provides some assistance in helping us identify that individual. And so we have a team with representation from the campuses on it that we bring to the table.

REP. PYLE: Who would that bargaining unit do business with, like what sector of your campus?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, we've got eight bargaining units altogether. APSCUF represents the faculty and the coaches and athletic directors.

REP. PYLE: Does the Commonwealth do that contract?

MR. CAVANAUGH: We do that.

SCUPA, which is the professional staff, we do that. The physicians, I believe that's the Office of Administration, so that's the Commonwealth. Nurses is us. Who am I leaving out? Oh, and the police is us. So the two largest ones that are not done yet are SCUPA and APSCUF.

REP. PYLE: Those are the two the Commonwealth does not insert itself. That's who you guys, you guys

1	being PASSHE, negotiate yourself?
2	MR. CAVANAUGH: Right.
3	REP. PYLE: Now, three-quarters of your costs are
4	driven by personnel?
5	MR. CAVANAUGH: Correct.
6	REP. PYLE: Okay. It's going to take me a while
7	to put all that together.
8	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
10	Representative.
11	Rep. Cherelle Parker.
12	REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	And, Chancellor and guests, welcome. Thank you
14	for being here.
15	MR. CAVANAUGH: Thank you.
16	REP. PARKER: Chancellor, recently the U.S.
17	Secretary of Education was quoted as stating that someone
18	with a Bachelor's degree earns \$1 million more over a
19	lifetime than someone with a high school diploma.
20	And when we think about education in that
21	context, I'm thinking to myself, I want everyone making
22	that \$1 million over a lifetime to stay here in the
23	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
24	Tell us, for the record, how many students in the
25	State System, how many actually stay in the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania and how many students of color as it relates
to diversity are in the State System.

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. We have a little under 120,000 students. And 90 percent of them come from the Commonwealth. And after graduation, more than 80 percent stay. So we can do the arithmetic to figure out exactly how many it is. And depending on which year you want to look, about 12 to 15 percent are students of color.

REP. PARKER: Okay. How many of our 120,000 students are Pell Grant recipients and PHEAA Grant recipients?

MR. CAVANAUGH: We have a little bit more than a third of our students, around 35 to 40 percent, depending on the year that you look, receiving Pell Grants and about the same number receiving PHEAA Grants.

REP. PARKER: Okay. You briefly mentioned earlier, Chancellor, when the concept of the economic impact of the State System schools came up, you know, you heard individual members talk about their impact to respective communities.

It's always pretty powerful for me when I hear the State-relateds sort of come together. And they note that State-relateds generate over 22 billion in total economic impact and support over a 1,000 jobs in the Commonwealth.

If you have that information, it would be great to give it to us. But if not, can you sort of send it to the Chairman in its totality because, you know, we know that we get our best bang for our buck with you-all.

2.2

When we compare you all to the private institutions, it's pretty shameful. It's about thirty-five to forty-five thousand dollars difference between our State System schools and our private institutions.

So when we think about this cut for those
Pennsylvanians who are fortunate to attend some of those
private institutions and their parents and/or just
individually independently wealthy, the proposed cuts
really don't mean anything to them because they can simply
pull out their checkbooks and write a check. But for
you-all, it does matter.

And the economic impact and the jobs that you create are extremely important because that -- you know, our U.S. unemployment rate, we're at 8.3 and we're at 7.6 here in the Commonwealth. So I don't want us to just think about our State System schools as sort of a great educational institution, but clearly as some of the hallmarks of economic development and just helping to keep our economy going.

Finally, if you will, if you could just comment on this issue. We've heard a lot of people talk about some

of our institutions of higher learning not preparing

Pennsylvanians for jobs, high-tech jobs, that are

available. Well, they're graduating. They're graduating

with a lot of debt. But they're not prepared for the

different industries that are searching for qualified

people.

2.2

And with that in mind, we have seen technical schools pop up sort of overnight all over the place. Our students enroll and all of a sudden a year later the school is closed. I think one of my colleagues mentioned that earlier today. The schools are not accredited. Students are left with a big bill.

How are you sort of combating that in the State System? I know you have to see it.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. Let me go back to your previous question about economic impact.

REP. PARKER: Sure.

MR. CAVANAUGH: We're about the 13th largest employer in the Commonwealth, about 14,000 employees altogether. And although it's been a number of years since we've taken a really deep look at our economic impact, several years ago it was \$7 billion. Now it's probably higher than that now. But that gives you at least a ballpark idea.

On the are our students prepared, in addition to

the examples that we gave before, the fastest growing enrollments we have are in the science, technology, engineering, and math areas and Allied Health. Let me give you some examples.

2.2

Our robotics program at Cal U, done in partnership with Carnegie Mellon, indicates that some of our programs actually cross important sectors of higher education.

We have some of the very best computer security programs in the United States sanctioned and approved by the National Security Agency and the FBI.

We just rolled out at the doctorate level a program to educate people on how to deal with the threat of weapons of mass destruction. It's a doctorate program that we are offering at IUP in conjunction with the FBI. The FBI came to us to help develop that program. That shows some of our connections there.

On the Allied Health front, our physician assistant program, offered in four different locations across the State, administratively housed at Lock Haven University, is in the top 25 in the United States. Our nursing programs are widely regarded as among the very best. IUP again has one all the way from RN all the way up to Ph.D.

So between that and the success of our graduates,

1 I think we're very well positioned to continue to grow. 2 But we need investment capital to do that. We've got 3 outstanding faculty in those areas. It's the fastest growing area we have in terms of the percentage of our 4 5 graduates over the last three to five years. So I think we 6 are doing an outstanding job in preparing our students. 7 Certainly the companies that come and hire our 8 students are among the tops in the country, whether it's 9 tops in terms of start-up or traditional Fortune 100. We 10 have a very strong track record of satisfied employers. 11 REP. PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chancellor. And you 12 promote STEM, but don't forget about the social and human 1.3 services. You prepare our teachers and our social workers. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

Rep. Mario Scavello.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

REP. SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And good afternoon, Chancellor.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Good afternoon.

REP. SCAVELLO: You've said this a couple of times. You say that you didn't make cuts on the backs of the students. However, you know, I'm in East Stroudsburg University, the black and red. Rep. Millard with his maroon and gold, we compete all the time. He loves his

university and I love mine. You talk about economic engines. We probably have the most graduates that stay locally after they graduate because of jobs that they produce, you know, the training that they produce at the university that they can walk into many of the businesses that we have down there and get work.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Right.

2.2

REP. SCAVELLO: But this is the stuff that, you know, when you say we haven't cut them on the backs of students -- I'm going to give you a couple of -- we went from 20 to 22 to 24 to 30.

But let me give you this one here. General Chemistry went from 40 to 70. Organic Chemistry went from 40 to 80. They are actually putting maximum seats into classrooms up to 40, 45, in a fire code. General education courses, Chemistry 106, fingerprinting, went from 40 students to 120.

Abeloff Auditorium, we put that in for 250 students. It's called a mega class. And you've got some freshmen taking three to five mega classes.

You know, my daughter went to Millersville.

About ten years ago she graduated from Millersville. Today she has her doctorate. Why? Because she got a great education at a great price. I was able to take care of that. And she was able to pay for her Master's and her

doctorate. Paid for. Debt free.

2.2

She went to the State System schools because it was a small school. She wasn't a number. We're making these schools like you're a number. Like, the big Penn States and all.

I think it's going to hurt your enrollment down the road. And it's really hurting the quality of education that we're getting.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, I want to be clear that when I was referring not on the backs of students, I'm referring to the tuition.

REP. SCAVELLO: I know. But I'm looking at what you're getting for your money.

MR. CAVANAUGH: I understand. There is no doubt that our class sizes have increased. That's one way we have had to cope with declining State support. There is no question about that. You're absolutely right.

And so the conundrum that the Board is in when they have their conversation about tuition, we know that there's a point at which our tuition will become too high for people to afford. And by the same token, we also understand that increased class size may have some impact on quality.

What we are trying to do is to reach out to the faculty to provide opportunities for faculty to learn about

different teaching techniques. There are ways to use technology effectively. And I want to commend the many, many faculty that do that on a daily basis, to look at ways of maintaining the quality of that instruction.

1.3

2.2

But, Rep. Scavello, you are absolutely right.

Our class sizes are bigger than they were ten years ago.

And that's due, at least in part, to the declining levels of State support.

REP. SCAVELLO: A teaching degree in the State

System schools, to me, was one of our strengths. And now

the graduating teaching assistants are gone. I'm just

really worried. I'm worried about what's happening. These

cuts aren't acceptable.

I see it firsthand what's happening at my school.

And I'm sure it's happening at the others as well. Somehow or other, we have to find the dollars to put back into the State System schools.

Doctor Cevallos, you cut the office of diversity.

How are you handling that in your school?

MR. CEVALLOS: Well, we had a number of offices that deal with diversity with student services. We have a multicultural center. We have a number of offices that help with the students.

We had an office that managed what we used to call diversity and some of the ADA requirements. So we

1 moved the ADA office under social equity. We do have an 2 office of social equity that monitors affirmative action, 3 etc. And so we have to -- that was one of those offices that became important, but it was not as crucial as the 4 5 student support services that we provide to our students. 6 By the way, just for a note, our diversity is 7 among the highest in the PASSHE system. Our entering class 8 last fall was about 18 percent students of color. And this 9 year is going to be higher than that. We are quite proud 10 of the enrollment that we have in terms of African-American 11 and Hispanics in particular in Kutztown. 12 REP. SCAVELLO: Best of luck, Joanna. MS. CATALANO: Thank you. 13 14 REP. SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you.

MR. CAVANAUGH: You're welcome.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

Representative.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Rep. Steve Samuelson.

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I also want to ask you about the cuts that are proposed by Governor Corbett. I disagree strongly with the Governor over whether we should be cutting our investment in higher education. And I also disagreed last year in terms of the impact of the 18 percent cuts. I thought the

18 percent cut was significant.

2.2

My questions are going to be about the loss of course offerings at Kutztown and other colleges. And also asking you about maybe a more philosophical question about the 100-year history of the State System schools and the value of our investment in higher education.

I want to start by saying that I'm astonished by some of the questions. One of my colleagues just asked about drilling for natural gas on the college campuses, kind of extracting a natural resource from the college campuses.

I think we should be less focused on the natural resources on the college campuses and more focused on the human resources on the college campuses. And I'm talking, of course, about our students.

When I heard about the drilling -- when I heard that question, I thought, that's next? Advertisements on the exam booklets. I mean, let's focus on the investment in education.

I'm dismayed that courses are being cut back at Kutztown and elsewhere. Loss of French, elimination of all French classes, loss of theatre. No theatre classes at Kutztown University anymore.

I thought -- it caused me to think a little bit about Sweeney Todd. And some might suggest that the

Governor might be cutting a little bit too deeply from higher education. Bye-bye birdie might be bye-bye budgets.

I mean, I don't mean to make light. If you're cutting with an 18 percent cut -- I mean, we talked earlier about how some of the --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative, is there a question here?

REP. SAMUELSON: There is.

2.2

And my question is based on the cuts that happen with an 18 percent cut. When the Governor first came out last year, 50 percent cut, that's significant. If I take your budget presentation, a 50 percent cut is huge. Even after you restore the money, an 18 percent cut is still significant. And a lot of programs were cut. So if you cut French and theatre last year, what kinds of programs would be cut this year if the Governor gets his way and another 20 percent is slashed from higher education?

MR. CEVALLOS: Well, I'm hoping not to have to cut any additional academic programs. We certainly would have to look at a lot of the student services. We're going to have to look at a lot of the things that we do. And there's some things that we do that make a university a nicer place to be. Like, you bring speakers once in a while to campus. All those things would have to be put on the table because we're not going to be able to afford

1 those.

1.3

2.2

REP. SAMUELSON: Were there also program cuts at the other 13 State System schools last year?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Oh, absolutely. And I think to pick up on President Cevallos's comment, you know, the enrichment of having a university in a community is at risk here. Not only the speakers, but part of the economic engine is at risk. Our ability to provide services to the community is at risk in addition to the academic programs.

REP. SAMUELSON: Well, I agree that these cuts that are proposed by the Governor are unacceptable. I thought last year's cuts were unacceptable.

And my closing question would be to ask you to comment about the value of a higher education at our State System schools, speaking to the Legislature, speaking to the Appropriations Committee, about why we should restore funding and why we should continue to invest in higher education.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.

The State System was created to serve the Commonwealth. If you go back in history, more or less, everybody started as a place for people to go to learn how to be a school teacher. So from the beginning, this was a partnership between the Commonwealth and higher education to meet a strategic need of the Commonwealth.

Unless we figure out a solution, broadly speaking, not just one year, but looking into the future, you know, two years, three years, five years, ten years from now, unless we figure out what the relationship needs to be between the Commonwealth and the universities that the Commonwealth built and owns, then we are not going to be able to keep this Commonwealth as thriving as it has been for the last 100 years nor will we have an opportunity for students from modest means to make something of themselves greater than what they came from.

2.2

If you look at the history of the system, that's really what we were. We were the way up. And the mothers and fathers and grandparents who worked hard at whatever they were doing, whether it was in the mines or in the mills or whatever it was that they were doing, saw a way for their sons and daughters and granddaughters and grandsons to get better and have it better than them.

And that's really what this conversation is all about. How do we continue to live that heritage and make the State System stronger and better than it has been for the last 100 to 175 years.

**-**54 **-**

REP. SAMUELSON: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:majority} \textbf{MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:} \quad \textbf{Thank you},$  Representative.

Rep. Mauree Gingrich.

REP. GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1.3

2.2

Thank you, Chancellor and to your associates, for being with us here today.

As a mother of three out of four children who used a State university, happened to be Bloomsburg, I have a strong appreciation for what you do. Clearly, we are dealing with a financial challenge without a doubt. And clearly one of the things that we've seen increase probably as an outgrowth of that fiscal challenge is the affordability of education for more students.

And we've seen a growth our in community colleges probably in the past three or four years as a result of that to some degree.

Now, last year when we talked at Budget hearings, there was some frustration and consternation expressed with regard to the State mandate to articulate these students and transfer them out of community colleges with some ease and fluid transition into our State System.

How are we making out with that, with the increased numbers using community colleges, really for a variety of ways but still with an interest in making a transfer to the State university of their choice? Is it really that complicated or is it just not as simple as we'd like to think it may be?

**-**55 -

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, certainly our community

college transfers are among the fastest-growing segment of our population along with transfers from other sectors of higher education into our system.

2.2

We've made a great deal of progress in the program-to-program articulations. We're very happy as a system with the 60-credit issue. Our faculty in our system and the faculty in the community colleges have been working through these program-to-program issues. And as I mentioned, quite a number of them have been completed.

We're looking for additional ways to provide students who have life experience, work experience, maybe have taken certificate programs in other ways, either through the military or otherwise. There are national associations that can provide portfolio assessments that are done by national panels of faculty that gets translated into credit. We'll accept that transfer credit as well.

So we're doing everything we can to work with and articulate with our community college partners. We are especially interested in working with them on very specific program-to-program transfers so that students know from Day One if they go to a community college, they take this specific program of study, achieve a certain level of excellence, they can transfer into our system because our faculty have worked out these arrangements, cannot miss a beat and come.

So we're very optimistic about where we're headed with our transfer agreements. REP. GINGRICH: I take that to mean it's working. Go ahead, sir. MR. PICHINI: I would also like to say -- and I applaud the Governor on the creation of his Higher Education Task Force to look at higher education and how we can all work together better and more efficiently, both privately, publicly, with the community colleges. 

2.2

I think it's a long time coming that we all sit down in the same room and talk about how we can collaborate to use the taxpayers' money in the best method we can so we can meet the educational requirements of our students for the future.

So I applaud the Governor on that. And the Chancellor and I both serve on that Task Force. And we look forward to our input into those meetings.

REP. GINGRICH: That's a perfect segue to the second part of my question, which was with regard to that new organization of professionals here.

We have a highly integrated education system in Pennsylvania. So therefore, I think it's extremely timely that we are taking this intense look. And I just glanced at the names and the backgrounds of the people involved in this new organization of folks.

We talked a little bit about it earlier with Secretary Tomalis. What do you see as the specific goal? And how do you plan to help implement it rather than just let it be another study? Because I think it's far too important for it to be just that with fancy names on the list. Thank you.

1.3

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, let me say a couple of words and then turn it over to Mr. Pichini.

First of all, since the panel hasn't yet met, we have not received an official charge or what have you. So I think it's a little premature to speak specifically about what their goal is since we haven't seen the charge yet nor have we had our organizational meeting.

But having said that, I'm hopeful that what we'll be able to do is to talk both about a master plan, if you will, for postsecondary education in the Commonwealth and to make sure that whatever the needs are broadly defined of postsecondary education, that out of this comes an understanding that somebody in some sector has it covered, that we don't end up with Swiss cheese, with holes in the system, and too many institutions doing the same thing and nobody's doing some important aspects of it.

MR. PICHINI: I think that what you said is basically my feeling also from the standpoint of if somebody does something well, why would we create

1 competition with the people who do it well? Why would we 2 have duplicity of courses right next door to each other? 3 Why can't we work together to meet the educational 4 requirements for our students in the Commonwealth? REP. GINGRICH: That's probably going to be the 5 6 easier part of it. And, of course, it's our hope, we 7 discussed earlier, that when we're looking at education, 8 although this is a focus on higher ed, that we don't miss 9 the foundation at a very early age so that the transition 10 starts in the beginning and is a success at the end. So I really appreciate that effort, your part and 11 12 the Governor's. 1.3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 15 Representative. 16 Rep. Mike O'Brien. 17 REP. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 Good afternoon, all. 19 MR. CAVANAUGH: Good afternoon. 20 REP. O'BRIEN: Like Rep. Millard, I went to 21 school at night. And my post-secondary educational 2.2 experience was measured in geological time. So where it is 23 important to have access to education, for me, it's more 24 important to have the ability to conclude an education.

So talk to us for a moment about what your

25

percentage was of non-returning students prior to the cut?

Was there an increase in non-returning students last year
as a result of the cuts? And do you anticipate that number
to grow next year?

2.2

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, in terms of the non-returning students, the data that we typically track are primarily students returning after freshman year to sophomore year. And we're over 70 percent on average across the system.

We have not really taken a close look, to be quite honest with you, before and after last year's cut.

All this data have not yet been analyzed. We'll move that up on the list and get you that comparative analysis.

REP. O'BRIEN: Best guess anecdotally?

MR. CAVANAUGH: I really wouldn't want to make one. President Cevallos might know on his campus. But I haven't seen enough of the data from the different campuses and level of detail. I would be wrong if I just pulled a number out of the air.

MR. CEVALLOS: It's really hard to attribute the reason why a student wouldn't come back to any specific thing. Because it is very hard to conduct a very serious exit survey when we don't know if the students are coming back or not. We certainly had a decrease in the number of students overall on the campus. So we lost a few students.

1 And it is anecdotally -- because I don't have any basis to 2 say other than anecdotally, we probably can say that the 3 whole cut to the increasing costs and the timing of the whole thing affected the number of students who returned to 4 5 us. MR. CAVANAUGH: I think what we can say -- and 6 7 you heard from Joanne earlier -- is the fact that we made a 8 number of very significant decisions in June and July last 9 year and because of the budget cycle, it made it difficult 10 for students to put together the extra finances in that 11 short a period of time. 12 I think an interesting question will be if we go 1.3 through that again, what's the two-year cycle look like? 14 REP. O'BRIEN: And I would appreciate it if you 15 could let us know. 16 MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. 17 REP. O'BRIEN: Thank you very much. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 20 Representative. 21 Rep. Scott Petri. 2.2 REP. PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 And thank you for being here today. I want to 24 continue on a couple questions because it appears that

choices are things that we have to make. And I believe in

25

1 informed choices. 2 The number of full-time faculty, I know you said 3 you had 14,000 employees. How many of them are full-time 4 faculty and how many are adjunct professors? MR. CAVANAUGH: I know that we have over 6,000 5 6 faculty. I'd have to get the breakout for you on full 7 time, part time. REP. PETRI: Okay. And what is the average class 8 9 size? 10 MR. CAVANAUGH: Average class size across the 11 board would be 30. 12 REP. PETRI: 30-ish? 13 MR. CAVANAUGH: That would be all in. 14 REP. PETRI: What is your normal annual 15 maintenance budget for total maintenance across the 13 schools? 16 17 MR. CAVANAUGH: In my office, we don't aggregate 18 at that level. So I'd have to get you that data. 19 REP. PETRI: Okay. 20 MR. CAVANAUGH: The only money that we allocate 21 is in block grants. So we don't line item those budgets. 2.2 So I don't have that data with me. But we can pull that 23 out. REP. PETRI: Traditionally -- and now I'm focused 24 25 on the Key 93 money. Traditionally, have you been able to

1 fully fund your maintenance budget?

MR. CAVANAUGH: No.

2.2

REP. PETRI: So there's always a shortfall?

MR. CAVANAUGH: There's quite a large shortfall.

We have a \$2 billion deferred maintenance. And Key 93 has
been averaging around fourteen, fifteen million dollars.

REP. PETRI: Okay. So if you could give the Appropriations staff that information, I think that might be helpful so we can see sort of historical what the normal maintenance is.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.

REP. PETRI: And I will compliment you for at least having a maintenance budget. I've talked to and asked this same question of other agencies. And they don't all have a maintenance budget. And the reason is because there's no maintenance money, so why have a budget?

But I guess the other thing I'd be interested in, this 6.8 million, to see what percentage that is of your total maintenance budget.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. And for the record, I just want to go back and say that the two sources of maintenance money for relatively small projects is the Key 93 money that we've spoken about. And what we'll do is we'll go back and disaggregate our operating budget because a lot of small maintenance projects, as we talked about before, are

1	now paid for out of our operating budget. So we'll go back
2	and get that for you.
3	REP. PETRI: Very good. And what is the average
4	salary of a full-time professor?
5	MR. CAVANAUGH: Average salary for a full-time
6	professor at that rank is about \$104,000.
7	REP. PETRI: Is that with or without benefits?
8	MR. CAVANAUGH: Without.
9	REP. PETRI: Okay. And what is the typical
LO	teaching load for a full-time professor?
L1	MR. CAVANAUGH: Our teaching loads are among the
L2	highest in the country at four courses per semester.
L3	REP. PETRI: Okay. Four per semester?
L 4	MR. CAVANAUGH: Yes. And that's very much on
15	if you look at the distribution across higher education, a
L 6	four/four load is considered a heavy load.
L7	REP. PETRI: And what about the typical
L8	compensation for an adjunct professor?
L 9	THE WITNESS: Adjunct would depend on the rank
20	that you bring people in. It's a sliding scale.
21	REP. PETRI: Can you give us a range or is it too
22	wide?
23	MR. CAVANAUGH: It would really depend on the
24	rank. So what we'll do is we'll give you a chart showing
5	if it's at the lecture level assistant professor

1	professor level, and so on. It's all specified in our
2	labor contract. We will get you that article out of the
3	contract.
4	REP. PETRI: Thank you for your candid answers.
5	MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
7	Representative.
8	Chairman Markosek.
9	MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chairman.
11	For the information of the members, we have a
12	special guest. Rep. Dom Costa of Allegheny County is
13	present.
14	Thank you.
15	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you for that
16	announcement.
17	Rep. Glen Grell.
18	REP. GRELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	Thank you, Chancellor, for being here.
20	MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.
21	REP. GRELL: In the interest of full disclosure,
22	you're aware that I've been a trustee at Shippensburg for
23	about 15 years. And I'm the parent of a State System
24	student.
25	Chancellor, last year we spoke at this hearing.

And I advocated, with many others, for substantial restorations in your funding. And I think we talked about four things that I was sort of hoping for or looking for in the ensuing year to justify our effort to try to restore as much of that money as we could.

1.3

2.2

One of those we talked about already was a reasonable tuition increase. I'm glad to hear that you didn't put the entire burden of the funding on students. I mean, \$436 is \$436. But it could have been much higher. I think the State System is still an excellent bargain.

The second item we talked about was controlling the central office expenses at the center. Could you bring us up to date on what's happening there?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. As you recall from our conversation last year, there are actually two parts to that funding. The one set of the statute is the one half of 1 percent out of which we do the policy and analysis and a number of those things.

The rest of it is what are called sort of like consolidated operations, things that universities believe can be delivered less expensively and more efficiently by pooling their resources.

So, for example, we have one treasurer for all 14 institutions rather than 14 treasurers and the rest of the operations along with a number of other things that we do

in common.

1.3

2.2

We've set up a Review Committee chaired by former Presidential Greenawalt last year with representation from across the system. Actually, the chair of the Shippensburg's counsel represented the trustees on that.

That group made a number of recommendations that we are now following up. We've established -- the we being the President at Shippensburg as the convener of the President's commission and I have set up a number of follow-up groups to peel apart each one of those agreements to see if we can increase the cost savings or efficiency, if you will.

The bottom line of that review was that the end users, as it were, Presidents or the Vice Presidents, the payroll people, what have you, all agreed that this is a direction that they want to keep. They didn't recommend getting rid of any of them. They did make some recommendations for improvement of services and things of that sort.

As all of those groups have been put together.

They've all been charged. They're all meeting. And all of them will have some sort of report or additional set of recommendations by June.

As I indicated earlier, on the IT side, for example, we're finishing up the moving of the data center

that used to be heavily staffed as part of that CUONIT to

Millersville. And that's one that the cost has dropped

several million dollars since I arrived in 2008.

And I would also say that in certain areas, we're looking to have campuses step up and be administrative agents, if you will, and, again, look for opportunities to in-source even more and to set up regional centers around the system rather than have everything housed in Harrisburg.

REP. GRELL: I am encouraged by that process.

The third item we talked about was doing something to address the four-year graduation rate.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Right.

1.3

2.2

REP. GRELL: And I'm not looking for the explanation of why it is what it is. What positive steps have been taken since last year when we convened this committee?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. This is a good news/bad news. We'll start with the bad news. The fact that students have to have more part-time jobs balances all of the additional support systems that we have established.

I might draw your attention to a brand-new tool

The Chronicle of Higher Education rolled out today. What

The Chronicle did is to look at every possible major way to

measure rates, whether it's the traditional four-year rate,

which only looks at first-time, full-time freshmen, or whether it looks at the percentage of the number of students per 100 students that get a degree.

1.3

2.2

It makes a huge difference what metric you look at. And the great thing about this chronicle tool is it puts all the statistics side by side.

So in our case, for example, although if you look at the four-year traditional graduation rates, we don't necessarily compare well with some of our private institutions. But if you look at -- if you want to call it the through-put rate, how many students per 100 actually get a degree, we are within 1. So I would highly recommend that.

But we continue to look for ways to provide support to students. We are working on the issue of remediation and looking for better ways to solve that problem. And we're working very closely with Secretary Tomalis on the bridge issues of ensuring that students who graduated from high school are ready for college.

REP. GRELL: And the fourth item we talked about -- and I guess this if the most disappointing to me -- is why you haven't been able to reach labor agreements that have somehow helped to control costs especially with your largest represented body?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, certainly with the two

1	unions that we have, AFSCME and the police, we were able to
2	do that.
3	REP. GRELL: Why can't we get an agreement with
4	APSCUF?
5	MR. CAVANAUGH: We're in negotiations.
6	REP. GRELL: Well, I mean, we're seven months
7	past the contract. I mean, I wouldn't be as concerned
8	well, first of all, I have a question to clarify. When you
9	say status quo continues as far as salary, what
10	specifically happens to what's commonly called step
11	increment?
12	MR. CAVANAUGH: There aren't any.
13	REP. GRELL: No steps. Okay. Do they typically
14	go retroactive once you have an agreement?
15	MR. CAVANAUGH: That depends on the contract.
16	REP. GRELL: Okay. I'm concerned about this
17	because I'm sure you're aware that the Moody's gave you a
18	negative outlook on your bond rating just recently.
19	MR. CAVANAUGH: Yes.
20	REP. GRELL: And there were other factors,
21	including State funding. But one of them that was
22	mentioned was the uncertainty and the restriction of your
23	union contract obligations.
24	It seems to me that that makes it more pressing

that there be at least agreement with all of your

25

1 bargaining units. And I would certainly hope that that can 2 be accomplished before we put the ink on this budget this 3 year. 4 MR. CAVANAUGH: We do, too. 5 REP. GRELL: Is that likely? I don't make odds on labor 6 MR. CAVANAUGH: 7 negotiations. 8 REP. GRELL: Okay. With the Chairman's 9 indulgence, let me ask you, we just talked about the 10 Governor's Commission or Task Force. Why did it take the action of the Governor to form this Task Force to get you, 11 12 as Chairman Pichini said, in the same room to sit down? 13 I thought he sent a pretty clear message last 14 year that he wanted the State-relateds and the community 15 colleges and the State System to talk about ways that they 16 can deliver higher education in a more effective manner. 17 Why does it take a Governor's Commission to get 18 you to sit down and talk about some of the issues in a 19 meaningful way? 20 MR. CAVANAUGH: I'm sure that it takes the 21 Governor to get us to talk. I think it takes the Governor 2.2 and the gravitas of the position to point out the 23 importance of creating a master plan. That's different. 24 I think if you look at other States around the

country, it makes an enormous difference if the leadership

25

of that particular State puts their weight behind the effort like that.

2.2

Certainly, we have ongoing meetings with community college presidents. For example, we meet at least once a year with the community college presidents. It was through those meetings, in fact, that the support for the legislation to move the transfer credits from 30 to 60 actually happened.

We have continuing conversations. I've met with the presidents of the State-Related Institutions. And I think outside of specifically higher ed, but the Kimber Board that put together the new broadband network actually is a perfect example of how all the sectors of higher education came together and created something that wasn't there before that will serve the entire Commonwealth.

So the conversation, per se, happens. I think coming together for the master plan and the fact that I believe both Penn State and Pitt have tried to make moves around consolidation before and were not allowed to do it.

REP. GRELL: Just my final question. When you go to those meetings, what would you think would be your most out-of-the-box idea that you can take to the table to fundamentally change the way we deliver higher education so that we don't have duplication overlap, whether it's geographic or not, whether it's to move from, you know, the

1	bricks and mortar and more into the online? What's the
2	most out-of-the-box idea that you plan to take to that
3	meeting and advocate on behalf of higher education
4	generally?
5	MR. CAVANAUGH: Well, I think there's a Part A
6	and a Part B. I know I've had conversations with the
7	Chairman and others at PHEAA, the policy against providing
8	financial aid to students who do 51 percent or more of
9	their program online, not to provide financial aid for
10	that, is a disincentive for us all to develop.
11	REP. GRELL: Well, we're a long way from that. I
12	think your appropriations said that you have about 35
13	percent of your students who even take one course. Why
14	isn't that 100 percent?
15	MR. CAVANAUGH: Because if they took 51 percent
16	of their courses online, PHEAA doesn't provide the
17	financial aid.
18	REP. GRELL: But if they just take one course,
19	that wouldn't
20	MR. CAVANAUGH: It's a chicken and egg. If we
21	develop more online and our students take more, then it
22	impacts their financial aid.
23	REP. GRELL: Okay. We can continue that.
24	MR. CAVANAUGH: Part of the issue.
25	REP. GRELL: Okay.

1 Actually, if you look at Carnegie MR. CAVANAUGH: 2 Mellon, for example, much of their marketing is outside the 3 State for that reason. 4 The other issue is, Chairman Pichini pointed out, let's bring what we're each good at to the table and figure 5 In order to do that, we're going to need to level 6 7 the playing field, the rules of engagement, which goes back 8 to my earlier remarks about the relationship that the State 9 System has to the Commonwealth, and rules by which we all 10 play. Level that playing field and I think some pretty creative things can emerge pretty quickly. 11 12 REP. GRELL: When you take that list of things 13 that the State System is good at to those meetings, are you 14 also prepared to step up and identify the things that you 15 might not be as good at and give those up to other 16 institutions that might be better? 17 MR. CAVANAUGH: It cuts both ways. 18 REP. GRELL: Okay. Thanks for your answers. 19 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 21 Representative. 2.2 Rep. Gary Day. 23 REP. DAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 Thank you all for being here today. I appreciate

all your answers so far. Some of mine just drill down on

25

some things that have already been asked.

2.2

Mr. Chancellor, the head count question, could you just provide that information to our Chairman later? The information I'm looking for is however you break it down. You don't need to make a new report for me or what I'm looking for. But the obvious breakdown would be between probably APSCUF professionals and other service personnel or management or however the schools break that down. I would be interested in the head count today, a year, two years ago, if you have that, and then by the universities would be great. I would appreciate it if you would provide that to our Chairman or our staff.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure.

REP. DAY: The other thing I wanted to ask you is, could you clarify your comments regarding -- I wasn't sure I understand that. You made comments about not being able to change provisions that were in the CBA after the CBA is expired.

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. The way that it works in the Commonwealth is when a contract expires, all right, management is not allowed to impose any changes in the terms and conditions of the contract. That's not true in most other States. So if you reach impasse or whatever the definition is in that State, management can impose a temporary contract.

2.2

In the Commonwealth, there is a case in

Commonwealth Court referred to as the Philadelphia Housing

Authority case that ruled that management does not have any right to impose any changes in the contract until a new contract is reached.

REP. DAY: Is it assuming that expired CBA continues and you operate under the provisions of the expired CBA?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Yes.

REP. DAY: My next question, where do you see the role of the State System? We've talked about it a little bit. I'm going to really talk about the relationship between community colleges and State System schools.

I want to know what your thoughts are, maybe the Chairman as well. But what are your thoughts for how these two systems integrate, because I think it's changed a lot over the 20 years since I was in school, and specifically which should be the access point for our lower-income folks or people who have a tougher time paying for our higher education bills?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. First, the 14 community colleges are independent institutions. They are not a system. And Pennsylvania is in the position of not having total geographic coverage of the community colleges.

If you think of what's referred to as the T,

pretty much in the T there are no Pennsylvania community colleges. There's a Maryland Community College around Somerset and Bedford that has branch campuses.

2.2

But our community colleges are largely concentrated around Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, and HACC, in sort of the area we are here. There are large parts of the State where there are no community colleges.

So the notion that students, no matter where they live in the Commonwealth, could perhaps save money or start their education at community colleges is made difficult because of the coverage issue.

We certainly believe very strongly in the partnerships that I spoke of earlier with our community colleges and our transfer matrices and articulation agreements and all that. So we have absolutely no problems with partnering with them in those parts of the State where there are no community colleges. We have number of our institutions, particularly with branch campuses, that can provide those workforce certification programs, provide those two-year degree programs and the kinds of things that community colleges would normally do if they were there. But they're not.

So we're, in a sense, in deep partnership with the community colleges. And where they're not, in many meaningful ways, we're providing that opportunity for

students.

1.3

2.2

One difficulty that we are in dialogue with the Board and others about is we don't have the price difference for those two-year degree programs that community colleges might in the districts that support them. And that's an important distinction. Another interesting aspect of community colleges in Pennsylvania, they have different pricing structures depending on where you live and whether or not you're in a district that supports them.

So let's turn it over to Chairman Pichini for the Board's perspective.

REP. DAY: Thank you.

MR. PICHINI: I think the Board has looked at the success rates of the community colleges and the transfers from community colleges to the State System. And we've had great relationships. And the success rate of the people coming out of the community colleges had been very good.

A lot of the people who come out of community colleges are innercity kids who are students -- a terrible expression -- innercity students who are driven. And the drive continues in their third and fourth year when they get into the State System.

I think it becomes stronger and stronger as we do the programs like I discussed before. The two, two and two

meets a lot of the training needs and works well with the community colleges and also the trade schools.

2.2

REP. DAY: I have within an hour of my district office three community colleges. Kutztown University is in my district. I also have Lehigh, Lafayette, Muhlenberg Colleges within an hour of my office.

So the ability for all levels of higher ed to be able to work together -- oh, and Penn State as well. They moved out of my district but with the campus, which 20 years ago it was a lot different. I think the lines have blurred and we've pushed together even more probably because of what you just mentioned.

In this master plan that we've talked about, you've talked about it, some members have talked about it as well, do you see where there would be a case where maybe Kutztown University -- you know, it's sandwiched right in between two community colleges -- would react differently than maybe another State System School that have no community colleges so there you'd be with what Rep. Grell was talking about. Would you be willing to take a position but maybe a flexible position where you give some things up but take some responsibilities on?

MR. CAVANAUGH: I think, as I indicated earlier, you know, as long as you can get everybody -- and I mean everybody -- to the table, which is -- you know, it's not a

given. Because you mentioned some very important private
players in this equation. It's not going to work unless
everyone is at the table.

2.2

We'll be at the table. And we're open to these conversations. We have already introduced models here at the Dixon Center. And we're working in Philadelphia to do the same thing. Institutions bring their best programs and we avoid duplication that way.

REP. DAY: And I'm very glad you said at the table. Because I thought you were going to say get everyone to agree. I know that would be almost impossible.

MR. CAVANAUGH: We can be optimistic, can't we?

REP. DAY: Yes, from everyone.

But the other thing is those private universities, those private schools, are a much higher access point than our community colleges and State schools. So I think it's more important to if we do only focus on a particular area, is how we're interacting together between the two. I think both -- I use the term system because I'm trying to be as tight with my questioning as possible. And I look at it as a system, even though it's not, and I appreciate you pointing that out as well.

Another question. President Cevallos, you had talked about the first question that the Chairman asked was what changes -- and maybe you can just reiterate it or

answer this question again. I wanted to make sure that my understanding and your answer was in alignment.

2.2

What changes did you make resulting from last year's 18 percent reduction? It's my understanding that some of the things that you had mentioned was prior to last year. So I just wanted to give you the opportunity to answer that question again. What changes did you make resulting just from last year's 18 percent reduction?

MR. CEVALLOS: I believe that I answered the same the last two years. Because it was actually a combination of two years. We have reduced budgets, as you know, for a number of years.

We did a number of things. Part of it was we actually closed down the alumni office. We moved that function out of the university. The Foundation is doing that alumni function. We reduced our IT operations. We actually had to eliminate the advice center for undeclared students that really distributed the advice function on the campus. It's a number of things that we were forced to do. They weren't the best things to do but we had to do.

REP. DAY: Thank you. And I wanted to ask you a follow-up question. One of the things you had talked about -- and this might have been in the two-year period -- was the need to stop offering French as an example of some of the things. When that happens -- I'll ask you specifically

and maybe generally across the system.

2.2

Mr. Chancellor, you can answer as well. When that happens, are we looking at alternative ways to deliver -- and you started to talk. My question was going to be about a cyber alternative. Just when we get into that point, every year your team at Kutztown makes a decision how many students are interested in the majors in classes and then we do that.

And you do that just like in the private sector when you're deciding what kind of services to provide?

When you get into that area where we might be just in a dip this year, do you look at a cyber alternative just to get us through?

MR. CEVALLOS: Yes. As a matter of fact, language instruction is an excellent example of the way that we are collaborating across the system. At Kutztown we have a very good German program. It makes sense. We are delivering German.

East Stroudsburg is delivering Chinese.

California is doing Arabic. So we're all buying from each other these courses through cyber learning because it's a great opportunity.

Now, I just have to tell you about French. It's particularly painful because my wife is French Canadian.

Coming to live in a French family is a crisis.

REP. DAY: We all have those problems sometimes, who you lay your head on the pillow next to every night.

I'm sure you had to deal with that at home as well.

2.2

My last question centers around individual student debt, individual student debt to fund an education.

And I certainly understand and support and defend many times the public benefit to aid students in achieving an education.

Would you please comment on the difference of an education, our tuition, and the ability for that education to generate enough compensation income in a job that you receive from that to pay off those tuition rates through loans?

Could you comment? I think I'm worried and concerned. Do we look at that enough? Sometimes we shouldn't. In education, we should just continue to educate people. But sometimes I think our business managers or someone else should look at that.

Could you give us some input on that disparity?

MR. CAVANAUGH: Sure. And then I'm going to ask

Joanna to talk from a student perspective on the whole

issues of loans and debt and all.

For those students in our system who graduate with debt -- I have to preface it that way. The student graduates with an average of twenty-two to twenty-three

thousand dollars of debt. How that translates into salary and earnings is going to depend obviously on what profession they go into.

2.2

There's a big difference, as we all know, in what sector of the labor market and the economy you go into as to what your starting salary is going to be. And so our students experience that in their own lives.

Some are in professions that are in high demand and you make an arrangement with a future employer that they pay so much off your loans and in exchange you owe them a certain number of years.

So it's difficult to say on a one-to-one basis. You'd have to look at it case by case. Did they graduate in social work? Did they graduate in engineering technology? Did they graduate in robotics? Did they graduate in accounting? What was their debt? and so on.

But as I said, of those students who graduate with debt, the average across the entire system of all these graduates is between twenty-two and twenty-three thousand dollars.

MS. CATALANO: I really don't have that much to add except that obviously the more loans you take on, the longer it takes to pay them off and the more interest they build up. And especially with the government not offering subsidized loans after July, I believe, the amount of debt

that the students in the PASSHE system could be getting could be a lot larger than what we are seeing now.

2.2

REP. DAY: Thank you. I appreciate your comments. And I appreciate you being here as well.

When I was in your position, I thought the same way. I made all my higher ed decisions based on just finances, just financial. I should say not all of them, not my major, but where I went to school, how I achieved my degree and everything. So that was what it was.

And when I graduated, I also said, I'll be happy.

Just pay me a lot of money and I'll be happy. And I've

come to find out that that's not the truth. And that's not

really what you'll find 20 years from now.

You'll realize that if you select something that you enjoy, you won't work a day in your life. So I'll just pass that on to you and hope that we instill that in our students throughout the system, that any amount of debt, you know, I tell people go where your brain takes you, whatever school that is, and try to get the most education that you can and it will pay off. And that loan, whatever it is, was one of the biggest things that I learned from my college education was the loans that I brought out. Don't be afraid of them. They will pay off and you will be able to pay them off as well.

So thank you for your answers today and your

comments and everyone's answers today.

2.2

And thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

The last questions of this hearing will be by the Chairman of the Education Committee, Rep. Paul Clymer.

REP. CLYMER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, everybody. Here's my question.

Each year the college that I graduated from does a

fundraiser. And some of that money that they collect is

dedicated toward the tuition for the students.

My question is, of the 14 State universities -we'll take Kutztown since President Cevallos is with us
here today -- how much money is collected each year when
you do a fundraiser from your dedicated and committed alum?
And how much money of that is used for tuition and for
other services on the campus?

MR. CEVALLOS: Every year is different. But on the average, I would say that in our case, it's somewhere between three and a half to four million dollars that we can raise for different purposes.

Of course, part of that is restricted. It goes into specific that donors give you for the endowment or whatever. Our foundation returns around \$1.2 million or so or \$1 million in scholarships to the university, to students to help them pay for their education.

1	So in our case, it's around \$1 million.
2	REP. CLYMER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the
3	opportunity to speak here.
4	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman
5	Clymer.
6	Chancellor, I want to thank you and the President
7	and Joanna and the Chairman of the Board. Thank you so
8	much for your testimony. We're looking forward to working
9	with you over the next several months in order to balance
10	your budget. Obviously, you have an awful lot of support
11	here. And we'll do the best we can.
12	Thank you very much.
13	And the Budget Hearing will be reconvened in five
14	minutes. And it's with the Pennsylvania Higher Education
15	Assistance.
16	(The hearing concluded at 3:55 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	I hereby certify that the proceedings and
2	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes
3	taken by me on the within proceedings and that this is a
4	correct transcript of the same.
5	
6	
7	
8	Jean M. Davis
9	Notary Public
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

**-**88 -