COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HEALTH COMMITTEE HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

IRVIS OFFICE BUILDING ROOM G-50

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 2012 1:00 P.M.

PRESENTATION ON HB 2290
CENTRAL SUPPLY TECHNICIAN
CERTIFICATION ACT

BEFORE:

HONORABLE MATTHEW E. BAKER, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE RYAN P. AUMENT

HONORABLE BRYAN CUTLER

HONORABLE KEITH GILLESPIE

HONORABLE MAUREE GINGRICH

HONORABLE KURT A. MASSER

HONORABLE JERRY STERN

HONORABLE MARCY TOEPEL

HONORABLE JOHN MYERS, DEMOCRATIC CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE PAMELA A. DeLISSIO

HONORABLE JOHN P. SABATINA, JR.

HONORABLE KEN SMITH

HONORABLE RONALD G. WATERS

* * * * *

Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

1	COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT: JANELLE M. LYNCH
2	MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PHYLLIS E. GOULD
3	MAJORITY RESEARCH ANALYST GINA M. STRINE
4	MAJORITY LEGISLATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
5	ABDOUL R. BARRY
6	DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR APRIL K. RUCKER
7	DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT REBECCA A. SAMMON
8	DEMOCRATIC RESEARCH ANALYST
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	TESTIFIERS
3	* * *
4	NAME PAGE
5	REPRESENTATIVE MAUREE GINGRICH PRIME SPONSOR OF HB 22905
6 7	ANNA MARIE SOSSONG DEPUTY SECRETARY FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE, PA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
9	MICHELE DeMEO FORMER CENTRAL SERVICE TECHNICIAN32
10 11	ANNA GRAYSON, MS, RN, CRCST MANAGER, STERILE PROCESSING CASE CART, THOMAS JEFFERSON UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL
12 13	LAUREN LLOYD DIRECTOR OF RECRUITMENT, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH MEDICAL CENTER60, 62
14 15	KATHLEEN MEBUS VICE PRESIDENT, STATE LEGISLATION, THE HOSPITAL AND HEALTHSYSTEM ASSOCIATION OF PA60
16 17	DAWN VOCKE UNIT DIRECTOR, OPERATING ROOM, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH MEDICAL CENTER ST. MARGARET73
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Good afternoon, everyone.
4	The hour of 1 o'clock having arrived, the Health
5	Committee will come to order.
6	If the Members would be so kind as to introduce
7	themselves, and we'll then proceed.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MASSER: Representative Kurt Masser,
9	107th District, Northumberland County.
10	REPRESENTATIVE TOEPEL: Marcy Toepel, 147th
11	Legislative District, Montgomery County.
12	REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Jerry Stern from the
13	80th District in Blair County.
14	REPRESENTATIVE SABATINA: John Sabatina, the 174th
15	District in Philadelphia.
16	REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Pam DeLissio, the 194th,
17	representing parts of Philadelphia and Montgomery Counties.
18	MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: John Myers, Philadelphia
19	County, Democratic Chairman.
20	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Matt Baker, representing
21	Tioga and Bradford Counties, Majority Chairman.
22	To my left is Janelle Lynch, the Executive Director
23	of the Health Committee.
24	REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	I know Janelle has a voice.

And I'm Representative Mauree Gingrich from Lebanon County and the sponsor of the bill we're discussing today, HB 2290. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And Representative Gingrich, would you like to make some opening remarks on your legislation?

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In fact, thank you very much to both Chairmen for scheduling this hearing. Thank you to the staff who are always there for us -- Janelle for helping to arrange it, and Gina in patience. Thank you, and thank you all for coming.

To talk a little bit more in depth about HB 2290.

2290, as you can see from the language of the bill, requires certification and continued education for central supply technicians -- some people refer to them as "sterile processing technicians" -- and those are the people who are responsible for the sterile processing of all the durable medical instrumentation in our health-care facilities.

And this bill is totally and completely about patient protection. We feel that certification will lead to a higher level of competency and patient care, and continuing education, of course, will ensure that those high standards are maintained. With the cost, the high cost of infections being so high, prevention definitely is the key.

In the past decade, I think we've all recognized and certainly awareness has been elevated for those of us that were professionals or are professionals in the health-care field and the public at large. The intricacy and the advancements that have revolutionized surgery also come with it some more elaborate processes necessary to maintain, clean, and sterilize the equipment.

Strange things happen that as laypeople we probably don't think about or recognize, but in the complicated, sophisticated mechanisms that are used in surgery instrumentation now, we're dealing with a term that I knew from my college days, but never referred to it commonly, but is "bioburden." To you and I, that's blood and tissue. And part of the process and the responsibility of the individuals doing this job is to make sure that the instrumentation, all this instrumentation, is clean, sterile, and functioning before it gets to the area, you know, where it's being used.

So it's a very complicated role that they play, much more so now than it was in the past for sure, and our awareness level has really risen according to some of the infection rates, which I think in Pennsylvania we are doing a good job with it.

But in talking about the demands on that personnel and what kind of training should be in place and how we can best deal with that, this is a good factfinding venture,

Mr. Chairman. I thank you. I'm looking forward to hearing from our professional presenters with us today.

Thanks.

2.0

2.5

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you, Representative Gingrich, for your leadership and bringing this important patient safety legislative initiative to our attention.

Our first testifier this afternoon is Anna Marie Sossong.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Very good.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you.

She is the Deputy Secretary for Quality Assurance with the Pennsylvania Department of Health, and you may proceed when you're ready.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Thank you very much.

Good afternoon, Chairman Baker, Chairman Myers, and Members of the Health Committee. I wanted to first thank you for extending an opportunity to provide you testimony on the bill, which, if enacted, will provide for certification of central supply technicians who perform sterilization procedures in health-care facilities.

The Department of Health has statutory authority and contractual authority via CMS to oversee the compliance with State law of various licensed health-care facilities in the Commonwealth. As a point of information, we do not oversee or inspect doctor's offices or urgent-care centers.

The Quality Assurance Deputate carries out the statutory mandates of the Health Care Facilities Act and other State laws that regulate the delivery of patient care in hospitals, nursing homes, ambulatory surgical facilities, abortion clinics, home health agencies, hospices, and cancer treatment centers.

2.0

2.5

As part of our licensure, certification, and enforcement functions, we survey health-care facilities to ensure that they are in compliance with the State regulations outlined in PA Code Section 28 and with the Conditions of Participation established by the Federal Government's Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, CMS, and I referred to them earlier. Any facility that desires to accept Medicare or Medicaid payments for patient care must comply with the CMS Conditions of Participation.

The Department of Health's Quality Assurance

Deputate is responsible for inspecting the facilities and reporting their compliance status to CMS. Regardless of whether our inspections are done to ensure compliance with State law or with the CMS Conditions of Participation, the regulations and conditions are in place to promote the delivery of quality, safe health care for Pennsylvania citizens.

In addition to collecting and reviewing our own data, the department also reviews and publishes healthcare-associated infections, known as HAI data, reported

by Pennsylvania hospitals as required by statute, Act 52 of 2007, which is known as the PA Health Care-Associated Infection and Control Act.

2.0

2.5

Since the focus of HB 2290 is infection control, I will limit my remarks to selected relevant aspects of the Department of Health and, in particular, the Quality Assurance Deputate's role and activities related to infection prevention and control.

Our current regulations require facilities to have a documented infection control plan and policies, including identification of the nationally recognized guidelines the facility chooses to follow for the establishment and conduct of its infection control program. The department reviews compliance with these regulatory obligations during our licensure surveys and any other surveys we may conduct where infection control is a potential issue.

The Centers for Disease Control 2008 Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities presents evidence-based recommendations on the preferred methods for cleaning, disinfection, and sterilization of patient-care medical devices and for cleaning and disinfecting the health-care environment. Health-care facilities are expected to incorporate this guideline in their infection control policies and practices, and the department surveyors look for evidence of this compliance during our surveys.

Our staff also review facilities' infection control policies and procedures, adherence to nationally accepted evidence-based practices related to prevention of infection, and procedures related to training of their personnel about infection control standards and practices. The department surveyors also observe personnel onsite in facilities as they carry out their day-to-day patient-care activities and carefully scrutinize the care environment.

2.0

2.5

Our current regulations require that the person in charge of infection control has specialized infection control training. However, we do not specify the content or teaching methods for the education and training that facilities are required to provide to personnel who hold any position directly responsible for sterilizing instruments and devices used in surgery or other procedures.

Our 2011-12 survey data reveal very few -- 11 -
deficiencies in hospitals related to infection control. All

11 deficiencies cited were due to behavior of personnel in the

patient-care setting and did not involve any instrument

sterilization process. We identified deficiencies such as the

failure to follow policies for handwashing, handling soiled

linens, and IV procedures; or we observed unsanitary conditions

in a nursing unit such as stains in a refrigerator, or failure

to label stored breast milk as a potential biohazard, and used

laryngoscope blades found in a sink.

Nursing homes reported slightly more deficiencies. The bulk of these were violations of policies related to handwashing and handling of linen, with a few related to the storage of supplies, ice machine functioning, and screening of patients and/or staff for tuberculosis. Again, violations in nursing homes were not related principally to the sterilization process.

2.0

2.5

Ambulatory surgical facilities were cited for 24 deficiencies related to infection control of the same general nature as those for hospitals and nursing homes; for example, failure to follow stated policies for hand hygiene and disinfection of equipment, improper surgical attire, and lack of awareness of infection control guidelines. There were a few deficiencies cited related to sterile techniques in ASFs, ambulatory surgical facilities, but those reflect actions by clinic staff such as the failure to sterilize an IV tubing port before injecting medication. These numbers were still small in comparison to the universe of deficiencies or the totals of all infection control deficiencies cited in ASFs.

Hospitals and nursing homes are subject to Federal guidelines, regulations, and special programs focusing on prevention of infection and reduction of rates of infection that patients acquire from being in that facility. Many of the facilities we regulate are accountable to other entities for their patient-care outcomes, including infection rates.

The prevention of infection is one primary focus of National Patient Safety Goals, CMS Conditions of Participation, and standards established and enforced by accrediting bodies such as the Joint Commission.

2.0

"investigate, control and prevent infections." Many of the PA nursing homes are involved in the nationwide "Advancing Excellence in America's Nursing Homes" campaign, which has "prevent and manage infections" as one of its goals.

Overall, Pennsylvania has experienced a decline in healthcare-associated infections, and this decline has continued every year since we started to measure these outcomes.

If enacted, the Quality Assurance Deputate will bear responsibility to ensure compliance with HB 2290 through examination of the education and training records of all facility personnel designated as "central supply technicians." We will also ensure that the required continuing education is maintained and documented by the facility. Individuals who perform the same function as central supply technicians within the scope of their current licensure but who are not designated as "central supply technicians" by the facility will not be reviewed by the department and are not currently covered by the act.

HB 2290, if enacted, will have minimal impact on the

Quality Assurance Deputate's inspection and survey role with 1 2 the affected facilities. However, the department believes that the existing laws and regulations enacted by this General 3 Assembly, coupled with private accrediting body rules and CMS 4 5 compliance standards, are addressing many of the policy points 6 HB 2290 intends to regulate. 7 That's the extent of my comments. If you have any 8 questions. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you very much for 10 your testimony on HB 2290. 11 I'm curious, with respect to the infections 12 themselves, what are the most common infections that you find 13 prevalent? 14 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Of the ones that we 15 track, the most common is the catheter, let's call it 16 catheter-acquired infections. That is also one that is a 17 priority track for CMS. It is a priority for the Joint 18 Commission. It is, as these things go, it is the number-one 19 issue of every organization that is reviewing 2.0 healthcare-acquired infections. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And what does that result 22 What I'm looking for is the actual diagnosis. 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: In most cases, it's going 24 to be a urinary tract infection.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER:

UTT.

2.5

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yes; right.

2.0

2.5

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: And the infection is not -- I can't speak across the board, but generally the infection is not related to a nonsterile piece of equipment. It's just the nature of the thing. It's a UTI problem.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: So hospital-acquired infections -- MRSA, staph infections, et cetera -- I'm just trying to get a feeling for how prevalent that is in a medical setting.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: In terms of?

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Of either human error,
equipment, sterilization issues.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: It's far less -- far, far less prevalent than it was as recently as 4 or 5 years ago. There has been a nationwide, not only in Pennsylvania, but a nationwide move to attack the healthcare-acquired infection problem because it increases the cost of health care; it increases the payouts from insurance companies to the extent that, at least in the nursing-home arena and the hospital arena both, the CMS Conditions of Participation, soon CMS will be imposing -- well, they're not going to pay if they decide that a person is in the facility longer than they should be because of a healthcare-acquired infection. They are not going to pay for that care. They have told facilities that far enough in

```
advance that the knowledge that they will not be paid for this
 1
 2
      has really put this right on front and center of everybody's
      radar to make this problem go away.
 3
 4
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: It's a new policy that
 5
      they---
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, not really new but
 6
 7
      relatively. They haven't started it yet. I think it kicks in
 8
     next year.
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER:
                                          Okay.
10
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: In other words, they gave
11
      everybody---
12
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: They're proposing it.
1.3
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Right. They told
14
     everybody it's coming, and they've given everybody time to put
15
      the ball in motion, so to speak.
16
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you.
17
                 Chairman Myers.
18
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
                 Thank you for your testimony.
2.0
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Sure.
21
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: I have a couple of
22
      questions, but I guess I wanted to make a comment that, you
23
      know, the need for this legislation just baffles me, that we
24
      need this kind of legislation, you know? I mean, people know
2.5
      when they go in the hospital they aren't supposed to come out
```

sick. People who work in the hospital know they aren't supposed to make people sick. Everybody knows the way to wash their hands. Folks know -- I mean, you know, this is like, I don't know, like kindergarten or something, you know? People that do this, I mean, they really know what they should be doing, but now we've got to pay for them to, you know, be re-educated about cleaning your hands and brush your teeth and clean underneath your arm and wash your sneaks and socks. And, you know, it just amazes me that we're even here at this point.

2.5

However, having said that, I guess in the context of it being weird, I wanted to see how weird it really is: How many cases have been reported?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: In terms of the actual subject of this, which is specifically---

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Infectious diseases.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, the specific thing here is the cleaning of medical equipment that has resulted in some sort of a deficiency. It is extraordinarily rare. In our deficiency citations, we found virtually none. I can't tell you exactly how many, but I'm thinking it was less than 10 total last year that were specifically related to the topic of this bill, which is machinery and equipment and medical devices that require cleaning.

The context that we found, healthcare-acquired infection or infection control prevention issues, were not the

matters that would be addressed by this bill. They were things that you were speaking of earlier -- handwashing and cleaning linens and things that have nothing to do with this bill. Those are the more common and, quite honestly, more difficult to control, because they're personal. You know, whether you remember to wash your hands or I remember to wash my hands or what happens -- did I drag the sheet on the floor before I put it on the bed? -- those are different issues not covered by this.

2.0

2.5

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Okay. Well, then I guess there is some reason why they're not covered, you know, in this context. I mean, we decided to target a specific segment of health care, those who actually have the responsibility of moving mechanisms and instruments that are involved in the health care. So that's specifically where this bill is, I understand that.

I guess, like I said, part of my amazement was that we had to deal with it. So I wanted to find out, in addition to this specific area of concentration, if there was any thought given to broadening our oversight of this so that it covers a whole spectrum of "keep things clean".

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, we do have, you know, the Health Care Facilities Act, which does include the obligation to file the infection control plan and the obligation for the facility to maintain the plan that, you

know, when the surveyors from the department go in, they survey and make sure that there is compliance with that plan, and if there is not compliance, we cite them for a deficiency and they have to file corrections to do that. So there is some existing regulatory authority for us to go in and make sure that they are doing whatever they have devised as their plan now.

2.0

2.5

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Let me ask you this question here: How much does it cost? And on many occasions, you know, I try not to be like so pressurized that when we leave out of here we've got to go get an aspirin or something, you know? So I'm not approaching this from that context.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Okay.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: But actually I was thinking about -- I don't know why -- but I was thinking about meat cutters, you know, people that slaughter meat. They know they're supposed to keep the utensils clean, you know?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: And I guess I'm trying, the question I want to ask is, how much does it cost for us to send these technicians back to school to tell them that, you know, your knives have got to be clean before you can cut somebody?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: I actually did look at this, because this was a question I had been asked previously. And there are a lot of different programs, but we just picked

```
one of the sort of national trade association, and the programs
 1
 2
      are running just a shade around $500. There are some that are
     more, there are a few that are very slightly less, but that's
 3
      about what it seems.
 4
 5
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: All right.
 6
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: You know, it could be any
 7
      one of anything, but that was one of my questions because we
     were curious.
 8
 9
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS:
                                          That's a ballpark. Okay.
10
                 Now, I have one question, one remaining question
11
      about the meat cutter not cleaning his knife: Who does it get
12
     reported to?
13
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: The meat cutter?
                                                              Who
14
      knows?
15
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Is it reported to you all?
16
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: No; I'm thinking that's
17
      the Department of Agriculture.
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: What?
18
19
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: I'm thinking that's the
2.0
      Department of Agriculture, because they do food.
21
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: No, no, no. I know.
22
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: They do food.
23
     me.
24
                 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Yes; I know. Okay. Well,
2.5
      you know, in the realm of what we're talking about. I know you
```

can follow me on this here.

2.0

2.5

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Right.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: If a health-care facility fails to report, you know, that the equipment is not being cared for properly, what's the consequence, or is there a consequence?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Certainly.

an obligation to report what are known as infrastructure failures under the reporting system, so they would have an obligation to report that. Let's say an autoclave broke or something and they would have an obligation to report that to us, if they either did not report it or continued to use it even though it was broken, we would cite them. If they didn't fix it, ultimately that could end up, after some time that could result in CMS pulling their participation in the Medicare program, which means they're not going to be able to accept Medicare patients. So there is a consequence.

And in the instance, using your meat-cutter analogy, there are also, of course, real practical liability issues that have nothing to do with the Department of Health or CMS, that if they're not doing what they should be doing, presumably it will come back to haunt them.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: All right.

Representative Gingrich, I think this is a great

bill, and, you know, if we just keep tweaking it out, then 1 2 we're going to get it where it needs to go. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you, Chairman Myers. 3 Deputy Secretary, I'm just curious, my mother 4 5 currently is hospitalized in isolation. I have to wear latex 6 gloves and I have to put a gown on because of previous UTI and 7 MRSA issues associated with her underlying conditions. Is it 8 CMS, is it the hospital, is it the Department of Health, is it 9 a combination of all of them that governs the patient's safety 10 infection control protocols? 11 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: The protocols themselves 12 are mostly driven by the hospital in how they choose. The obligations to have those protocols are driven both by the 13 14 Department of Health and CMS. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. Thank you. 16 I would like to recognize the presence of 17 Representative Aument, Representative Cutler, Representative 18 Smith, and Representative Gillespie, who are here with us and 19 are also good Health Committee Members. 2.0 Representative Gingrich. 21 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman 22 and Chairman Myers. 23 No meat cleavers working on me, okay? 24 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: Okay. 2.5 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you for your

questions on the bill.

2.0

2.5

Specific to your role, and there will be a lot of questions and a lot of dialog, let us know when we're going out of your realm of responsibility.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: I will.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: One of the things in my search, because this is of great interest to me, that despite all the modern infection control practices and so on, from what I've read and read even in the Pennsylvania Safety Authority reports, that SSIs, which is the surgical site, which I'm most interested in, the surgical site infections have been estimated to be the number one or at least the one or two leading cause of infections. This data is really hard to break down, and first of all I commend the Department of Health. I think you're doing a great job, and I think the hospitals are doing a great job also working with us on that. The data part of the initial source of the infection is an obstacle here for us, so therefore, I keep bringing this back to the ounce of prevention on the front side of it so that we don't even risk having this happen with surgical site infections.

How much would the Department of Health know -- I guess this is all I would want to ask you. Out of that data, how would you ever know, the Department of Health, if equipment is coming through the sterilization process and literally not being totally clean and sterile by virtue of, and I'm being

technical here, but the bioburden in the tiny little clog, the tubing and robotic instruments that we're now using, they don't function or they come through that way and of course are tossed in the operating room, and screaming the surgeon has got the patient on the table and the equipment is not functional, not ready, it's literally carrying old, sterilized tissue and blood. Would you know that, and how would you know that?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: It would be difficult for us to know it at the time that it happens. We have had instances where equipment was being used, the autoclave example I gave earlier where we had autoclaves being used that were actually not functioning. That we did know. We make people turn the stuff on, and when it's clear it's not working right, we cite them. But something that might have occurred at the time of the event, the surgical or whatever, the odds of us identifying that are pretty slim.

The only reason that we might know that is because the people in, let's assume it's a surgery room, the people in the room, somebody might report it. And don't underestimate how many times that happens. That's probably our number-one source of complaints to the department, is employees reporting something that they believe is not acceptable to them or in compliance with our State or CMS regs. So the odds are, in my estimate, we would hear about that.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Yeah; the diligence on the

1 part of the employees---2 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Right. REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: --- is the factor there. 3 4 One of the things we all need to recognize, and I 5 know that we do, is that with specialized instrumentation that 6 is no longer just steel and glass that you threw in an 7 autoclave when you used autoclaves -- that's almost like, not antiquated, but autoclaves are not like autoclaves used to be, 8 9 and you can't clean them with a hot shot of steam and expect 10 them to be clean. So they're very intricate. And again, this 11 isn't for you, so I'm going to hold the questions for people 12 who do the job and how would you actually clean that, because you know a lot---13 14 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yeah; I have no clue. 15 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: ---but you probably don't 16 know that. 17 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: That I don't know. 18 Thank you. Thank you very REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: 19 much. 2.0 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Sure. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Representative DeLissio. 22 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Thank you. 23 Deputy Secretary, I just want a point of 24 clarification. When you talked about the Department of Health 2.5 doing a record review, this legislation is requiring people to

carry a certification. I just want to clarify that you're 1 2 team, surveyor team, does not go in and review every HR record 3 first? 4 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: For central supply 5 technicians we would, yes. 6 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: You would. 7 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yes. 8 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: You would pull and review 9 every---10 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yes. If they were 11 carrying a position description that was "central supply 12 technician," as this bill is written, we would go to a facility 13 and we would ask to see the personnel records of every central 14 supply technician, or at least their educational records, not 15 their personnel records, you know, complete personnel record, 16 but their educational record and their CE, continuing 17 education, records. Yes, we would. 18 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Do you do that for any 19 other type of certification that's required? 2.0 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: If it's required as part 21 of a regulatory obligation, yes, we do. 22 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Give me an example. DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: So we do credentialing, 23 24 for example, physicians' credentialing. We review whether or 2.5 not a particular physician has been credentialed in accordance

with the requirements of the facility. They are required to have a credentialing procedure. We look at the procedure, and then we take a random sample of the physicians and make sure that their credentialing meets the procedure that the facility has put in place. Yes, we do.

2.0

2.5

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO:} So you take a random sample for that.$

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, in the case of physicians, because their tend to be a lot of them. We wouldn't look at every one. I suspect with central supply technicians, there aren't going to be that many.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Okay. That was one of my questions, at what point do you do a sampling and at what other points do you look at---

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: That's largely a function of numbers, how many we may be looking at. But if there were 10, I would guess we would look at them all, and I would suspect that that would be about right, 10, 15 people.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Do you happen to know if other States, is this similar? Is this required by CMS at all?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Personally, I haven't looked at it. Now, Representative Gingrich has indicated to me that there are other States that do credentialing of some sort. Whether it's similar to this, I don't know, and I haven't spoken to any of the other States to ask.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: To do that. 1 2 Some of my other questions have been answered through the dialog here. 3 Nothing more at the moment, Mr. Chair. Thank you. 4 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you. 6 Representative Stern. 7 REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Thank you, Chairman Baker. 8 Good afternoon, Secretary. A couple of questions that Representative Gingrich 9 10 was bringing up. With reporting, from hospitals especially, most of it was all behavioral personnel, right? 11 12 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Most of what we saw, yes. 13 REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Okay. And nothing really 14 with instrument sterilization. So this was all like human 15 error, the 11 cases that you documented. 16 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: 17 REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Also, the same thing with the 18 ambulatory surgical facilities, a few deficiencies cited. 19 that where you talked about maybe somebody was in an operating 2.0 room or someplace and may have reported something? 21 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, that could be 22 anywhere. I think that was in response to Representative 23 Gingrich's discussion. Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Okay. 2.5 When you mentioned in your closing testimony that

you believe existing laws and regulations enacted already by
the General Assembly, coupled with private accrediting body
rules and CMS compliance standards, are already addressing many
of the policy points that this bill tends to regulate, is some
of that the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council?
Would they be retrieving information from---

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: They can.

REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Okay.

2.0

2.5

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Yeah. Now, they don't regulate it. I mean, they're an after-the-fact reviewer of information certainly. They will have the data; you know, they will be able to identify that sort of.

REPRESENTATIVE STERN: I think that's our number-one resource that we use as Legislators a lot of times, that we get those reports from the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council. We get those reports on infections and so forth. Would these same infections be reported to them as well?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Well, if the behavior here results in an infection. I mean, what we're talking about is central supply technician sterilization procedures.

Assuming that it results in an infection, it will be reported first, well, as part of the PHC4 exit data, you know, their discharge data reporting, yes. It would also, in this case, it would also be reported as part of the PSA, either a serious

event, depending on how it goes. If it's an actual infection, it would be reported as a serious event under that reporting system. If the sterilization process failed but they have no objective evidence that anybody was actually harmed by that failure, they just picked up the fact that they didn't do something right, they would report it as an infrastructure failure. But the department would know in either instance, yes. Very shortly after it occurred, PHC4 would know. Upon discharge data, they would know.

2.0

REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Okay. Thank you. That answered my question.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: I noticed that the highest number of deficiencies existed within ASFs, ambulatory surgical facilities. I believe we have approximately 200 in the Commonwealth.

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: A couple more than that; yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And one of the things that was mentioned as an example, disinfection or lack of disinfection of equipment, and that is very, very troubling, because that can result in some very nasty infections. Could you clarify what kinds of equipment?

DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: I don't know that they're necessarily the sorts of things, though some of them might certainly be the kinds of things that we'd be talking about

```
here. Other ones may be things like not cleaning the IV port
 1
 2
      that's in a patient's, you know, that's already hooked up. So
      that's not really a central supply technician issue. But, you
 3
      know, if I'm about ready to put some sort of medication in your
 4
 5
      IV, there's a protocol that you swab it before you do that,
 6
      that sort of thing. Or, you know, the example that I had given
 7
      earlier is a patient on a gurney on their way into the
      operating room and, you know how they strap you in, they
 8
 9
      haven't strapped you in yet and the strap is dragging on the
10
      floor as they're rolling you in. The strap, you know, now,
11
      that's equipment. It's a problem.
12
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: One of the things that I
13
     personally noticed in both nursing homes and even in hospitals,
14
     oxygen masks or the leads---
15
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Cannulas.
16
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Yes -- lying on the floor.
17
      Would that also be classified as part of the equipment
18
      category?
19
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: I would guess. It's hard
2.0
      for me to -- I don't really know.
21
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay.
22
                 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: It would certainly not be
23
      covered by this, because most of that equipment is prepackaged,
24
      sterilized, you know, once-and-done use, not recleaned.
25
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. So they don't---
```

1 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: You know, there's an 2 enormous amount of what used to be cleaned and reused, you know, when I was young, that is now plastic and prepackaged and 3 shrink-wrapped, and as soon as you open it, it's toast. You 4 5 know, there's an awful lot of that now. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: So if you were to see something like that lying on the floor, and I don't want to get 7 anyone into trouble here, but let's say a nurse's aide picked 8 9 that up and put that on the patient. 10 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: We'll cite them. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: That would be a cite. 12 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: And that kind of thing we 13 may very well see, in response to the, you know, 14 would-I-catch-it question. Those sorts of things we 15 occasionally do see, because people just do it instinctively. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. Thank you. 17 you very much. 18 Representative Gingrich, anything else? 19 I do not see any more questions at this point. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you very much. 21 DEPUTY SECRETARY SOSSONG: Thank you very much. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Our next testifier is 23 Michele DeMeo, former central service technician, and Michele has a very thought-provoking testimony to give us today. 24

2.5

Welcome.

MS. DeMEO: Thank you. Thank you very much. Car you hear me okay? Wonderful.

2.0

2.5

I also managed sterile processing functions.

Mr. Chair, Members of the committee, good afternoon.

My name is Michele DeMeo. I started my career in sterile

processing as a teenager by applying at a local hospital and

was hired. No previous hospital experience was necessary.

I thought at the time that I would be issuing out
Band-Aids, supplies, general surgical instruments to the
nursing floors in a hospital. I was completely wrong. These
were complex instruments even then, 22 years ago. They needed
to be sterilized correctly in order to be used on patients.
For example, these are some of the issues that I faced as a new
technician that still hold true today, 22 years later:

- 1: Thousands, thousands of uniquely different instruments all needing unique processing. I must stress that.
- 2: Complex instrument setups that have to be memorized and sometimes do not match what is required by the manufacturer but rather physician preference.
- No structured training. I was trained by different people with little or no educational experience, all who learned from someone else with the same or less experience. And believe me, these techniques vary from facility to facility. I later

became a consultant and can speak to that.

2.0

2.5

- A lack of proper competency measures. Rather, any means to improve competency in a consistent manner, that's key. It's pivotal.
- A lack of continuing education. I took the personal time and effort to research and learn on my own because it was not offered where I worked. You learned on the job -- if there was time, if the person knew what they were doing, if someone wasn't screaming for something fast.

While improvements have been made over the years -there have been -- technicians need a foundation of knowledge
that will only come from certification. Poor techniques result
in poor quality outcomes. You cannot sterilize something that
is not properly cleaned. You cannot properly clean with just
any old detergent. You cannot sterilize everything by a
standardized manner or with the same method. You must follow
manufacturer's instructions. They must be understood. They
must be compared and contrasted to the machines, to the
devices, and to the method, period. That takes knowledge.

There are core cleaning, disinfection,
sterilization, and storage principles that must be taught,
understood, refreshed, and adhered to in order to produce
instruments for every surgical case that is as safe as possible
for every surgical patient. To accomplish this, certification

is necessary combined with continuing education so that a trainee understands, retains, executes the correct information that they are provided. It is a complex role with complex organisms with serious, serious ramifications if a single mistake is made.

2.0

2.5

I have worked hard to try to improve our profession for 22 years, and I'm 38, and I have tried to lead by example, all the while trying to elevate the role to a level it truly is: meaningful education, competency, and proper quality-assurance measures.

Certification provides the means to close a gaping hole in our profession. Certification provides a means for accurate course material -- accurate course material.

Extensive testing to measure competency. You have to be able to measure it.

Required continuing education that matches technical advances our patients deserve, our hospitals must have, to reduce the potential for extremely costly infections or other harm -- 97,000-plus a year surgical site infections in the U.S.

There was a question earlier about the types of surgical site infections. Open abdominal, minimally invasive, and total joints rank high. All require complex instruments, not scissors and needle holders.

I believe in this so much that I am here while actively dying. I missed my hospice appointment to be here.

It's that important. It's that important to me. It's important to me that each of you, each of your friends, each of your family members, are not only in the hands of a safe operating room or operating room personnel or pre-op staff members that are inserting IVs or post-op-care technicians that are caring for IVs or putting on nasal cannulas or other devices, but rather that those same trusted surgical hands hold safe-to-use instruments.

I'd like to close on one thought, if I may. Just because an item goes through a sterilizer and the tests for the sterilizer show that it "sterilized" properly, it does not mean the device was sterile. It means that the process by which it was put through was capable for sterilization to have occurred, not that it did. That's where proper technique and knowledge is critical, because failures are often unnoticed through a sterilization cycle. There's no way to see an error. The printout on the sterilizer will say it got sterilized, the biological will say it passed, but if that technician put it 2 inches too close to the next, you have deviated from one out of hundreds of principles necessary to sterilize it properly, and no one will have known.

Thank you.

2.0

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you very much, Michele, for your very compelling and relevant testimony.

Before we take questions of Michele, I'd like to go

to Anna Grayson, MS, RN, CRCST, Manager, Sterile Processing
Case Cart, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, and then if
the Members don't mind, we'll then proceed with questions for
both.

You may proceed, Anna.

2.5

MS. GRAYSON: Mr. Chairman, Members of the committee, my name is Anna Grayson, and I am a registered nurse and have been in positions as a Manager and Director of sterile processing departments for over 20 years in New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

Currently I'm responsible for 72 technicians at 2 hospital sites, the daily operations of the departments as well as providing education and training to technicians. Today I'm testifying on behalf of myself in support of HB 2290, which requires certification of central sterile supply technicians and maintain continuing education credits.

Central sterile supply department professionals are those responsible for ensuring that instrumentation and equipment used in medical and surgical procedures is properly cleaned, disinfected, inspected, and sterilized prior to patient use. The central sterile supply department of a health-care facility is the heart of all activity surrounding supplies and equipment required for operating rooms, endoscopy suites, ICUs, neonatal ICUs, birth centers, labor and delivery, and other patient-care areas. Central sterile supply

technicians are responsible for first-line processes to prevent patient infections.

2.5

The central sterile supply profession continues to evolve at a rapid pace, with new surgical items being introduced regularly. The processing of robotics, endoscopes, joint replacement, and related instruments and equipment requires advanced technical knowledge that only certification will provide.

The Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation Standards recommends certification for individuals responsible for sterilization activities as well as those who manage central sterile supply processes. It is paramount that the central sterile supply department technicians receive ongoing, formal training, including certification, in order to perform their daily duties safely, effectively, and consistently. Certification will promote health-care quality, reduce the risk of healthcare-associated infections, and ensure successful patient care.

Currently, a person only has to have a GED or a high school diploma to qualify for the job. However, the job requires knowledge in the following subjects: microbiology, medical terminology, anatomy and physiology, infection control, decontamination, et cetera, and sterilization. Allowing undertrained or inappropriately trained health-care professionals to sterilize medical instruments used in surgical

procedures places the patient at risk of unintended consequences that may include physical harm or even loss of life.

2.0

2.5

I have seen how uncertified technicians view the job as merely a paycheck. These technicians take shortcuts to get the work done -- use the wrong detergents, place dirty or broken instruments in surgical trays, overload sterilizers to cause wet trays, and other types of unacceptable processes that affect patient outcomes. Certification through education changes behavior, attitude, and processes, and it also boosts the morale of the individual. Continued education reinforces these changes in behavior, attitude, and processes and is the pathway for assuring better patient outcomes.

Surgical site infections are the most common type of healthcare-associated infection. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that the direct costs associated with healthcare-associated infections are as high as \$45 billion each year. In 2002, there were 1.7 million healthcare-associated infections and 99,000 deaths. Surgical site infections result in an estimated 290,485 infections per year, 13,088 deaths per year, cost a hospital \$25,546 per event per year, and cost a hospital \$7.4 million per year.

According to the "Healthcare-Associated Infections in Pennsylvania 2010" report, surgical site infections were the most common type at 26.8 percent. SSIs are linked to

significant health-care costs and frequent hospital readmissions. The 2010 Pennsylvania report focuses on six benchmark operations such as cardiac surgery, coronary artery bypass graft with one incision, coronary artery bypass graft with two incision sites, hip replacements, knee replacements, and abdominal hysterectomies.

The Pennsylvania data indicates that in the last half of 2008, there were a total of 44,640 operations performed on these six benchmarks. There were a total of 608 SSIs identified and reported from these procedures. This produced an overall SSI rate of 1.36 infections per 100 procedures.

For 2009, there were a total of 94,179 benchmark procedure operations, which produced a total of 1,269 SSIs.

This produced an overall SSI rate of 1.35 infections per 100 procedures.

Overall, hospital-acquired-infection data through 2010 indicates that SSIs increased in 2009 and 2010. The Pennsylvania report concludes that "this supports the idea that SSI rates are either not declining or are declining less rapidly than other HAI categories. Thus, there are enough signals in the available data to indicate that greater efforts are needed to produce reductions in preventable SSIs."

This bill is about patient safety. Patients of surgical services will benefit from a more qualified and competent health-care workforce. The patient can pick the best

physician and the best health-care facility but does not pick 1 2 the central sterile supply technician that sterilizes his or her medical instruments used for surgery. The education, 3 training, and assurance of competency of this vital member of 4 5 the surgical team will reduce the incidence of surgical site infections, resulting in a reduction of readmissions and 6 7 surgical complications. 8 I urge you to support HB 2290. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you very much, Ms. Grayson, for your testimony.

We'll at this time take some questions.

I would just like to ask a couple of questions first, and it goes back to your point, Michele, about sterilization does not necessarily mean that it's totally clean or sterile.

MS. DeMEO: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And to most of us, especially laypeople, this is a stunning revelation, because we just assumed sterilization means it's sterile, it's clean, it's good to go.

MS. DeMEO: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: What you're saying, though, is if it's not properly cleaned in the first place, it's not necessarily going to be sterile.

MS. DeMEO: One example out of many, yes.

2.5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. Would you like to elaborate?

MS. DeMEO: I would love to. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay.

2.0

2.5

MS. DeMEO: I would like to first disclose, if it's needed, I'm the only sterile processing expert on the FDA's overarching committee for the Center for Devices and Radiological Health. I'm being replaced due to my health, but I'm listed as an expert for sterilization.

My comment was hospitals are required to test and do biological monitoring of sterilizer functioning. So one would assume that if a biological test tests the function of a machine and it "passes," that anything that goes into the machine would come out sterile. What it means is that the machine was capable for sterilization to have occurred, not that it did.

What could happen are the following things: There's a continuum for processing an instrument. There's a start point and an end point, and for the purposes of this discussion, I'll just say the start point will be end of use and cleaning it through to reuse. And anywhere along that continuum, something can occur that breaks the chain required to keep the link together to maintain sterility.

So one or two examples is that we can make an assumption that we have the best technician cleaning an

instrument, the absolute finest. He cleans it impeccably. We can make an assumption one day a different technician packages that same instrument precisely as the manufacturer indicates. They go to break. The next best tech covers for the break, doesn't have enough knowledge, looks up at the clock and says, oh, my break is in 15, 20 minutes; I've got to ram this stuff in the sterilizer. They pack it too close together. The steam has no way to penetrate the sterilization container or wrapper. Hence, the item was not adequately exposed to the sterilant to produce a quality product at the end of the cycle.

2.0

However, that tech goes on break now. The good technician comes back. The sterilizer ends its cycle. The printout from the cycle says it met all of its parameters.

Nothing looks out of the ordinary. It met its temperature, its timing, and its exposure. He pulls it out. This technician looks for visual clues. There is indicator tape on every surgical basket or tray. Oh, it turned black or blue or whatever the company might have. That visual clue says not that it's sterile, but rather, I was exposed to a sterilant; I was processed. The technician pulls it out, cools it appropriately, sends it to the operating room, it's used.

Inside, they go to open it. There's an indicator, yes. An indicator shows that it was or had gone through a process. They aren't necessarily sensitive enough to say that it went through the "appropriate" exposure to kill all of the

organisms on the instrumentation.

2.0

2.5

That's an example. No one would have any idea.

MS. GRAYSON: I could give an example that happened to me in a facility at one time.

A technician, not certified, was running a prevacuum sterilizer and went off to break, then came back, started doing another sterilizer, and realized that the first sterilizer was still in exhaust. Opened it up, finally got it to open up, and left it to cool — never said anything to anyone. Someone did say to this technician, don't you think you should test the sterilizer, run an air test, because the parameters of one type of sterilization method include the exposure time, the temperature, and the pressure of the chamber. That's vital to the process. The technician didn't do it.

The next morning, the supervisor that came on noticed the long exhaust time on the printout and recalled every single tray that was on that load -- 23 trays. Some of them were on case carts for surgery. Thank God none of them were used. We managed to get them all back, we opened them up, and sure enough the integrator on the inside on the majority of them did not turn black, which meant they were not sterile. If they had been opened in a rush and used, you can only imagine what could have happened to that individual patient.

So that is another good example, I believe, of why technicians need to be educated and certified and then the

education reinforced.

2.0

2.5

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Is there an accountability component to that? Is there proper supervision to make sure that these things are going on?

MS. GRAYSON: The supervisor that was on that shift was busy trying to put, we call them fires, putting fires out, and was told but never got back to it, and then the next supervisor that came on caught it.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: You had proffered in your testimony an amazing array of statistics: 10 years ago, almost 2 million healthcare-associated infections and nearly 100,000 deaths, which I presume are interconnected, related, there's a nexus between the two. I guess I'm looking for a more specific etiology in that was this because of the lack of sterilization or is there a whole panoply of reasons and different causes of infections here?

MS. GRAYSON: I would assume that that would be the case, that there would be multiple reasons for the amount of infections that were reported and the amount of deaths. I don't think they're all related to surgical site infections.

But until now, we weren't really looking at data on a bioburden of instruments and how to prevent it and what harm it can cause a patient.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Yes? Michele.

MS. DeMEO: May I add to that, Anna made a good

point up to this point. However, it is on the radar of major organizations at the moment. I'm heavily involved with AAMI, which stands for the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. The FDA, CDC, APIC, various other entities, are voting members. I'm a voting member for understerilization, soon to be replaced. My name may still be on there.

They currently have a product that is in beta testing in several hospitals. I helped to develop a tool that they acquired to capture error rates associated with mistakes with instrumentation. AAMI is looked to by the Joint Commission, the FDA, the CDC, the World Health Organization, countless other entities. They lead the way for medical instrumentation and are highly regarded, as most of you know.

So while it's hard to decipher what exact causes are related to instrumentation with SSIs, in the future and currently, there are developments in the works and in beta sites to be able to capture data, and in the future we will have that because it is recognized that there is a link and we need data to support it, and hence, a major organization is working to help capture that.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you.

MS. DeMEO: You're welcome.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Chairman Myers.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MYERS: I really don't have any

2.5

2.0

questions, but I certainly can feel you, you know? And this just further adds to my belief that this whole scope of accountability around surgical instruments in nursing homes, it's important that we address that. I'll certainly agree with that.

2.0

2.5

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Representative Gingrich.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you both for taking us into the "trenches" of the actual role of a central supply technician, sterile processing technician. Clearly it's a great opportunity if somebody wants to get involved in that field, come in with no experience and learn, but right now I need to get a better understanding of really how we're doing that in any consistent or standard method.

Also, before we get into that, I know that in my discussions with people on this topic, Pennsylvania is not the only State looking at this. I know New Jersey has actually been able to move something forward and has that legislation in place. I know New York has been looking at it. Have you all been involved in other States, and is there a heightened awareness as we definitely have here in Pennsylvania?

MS. GRAYSON: I was involved in New Jersey in the beginning of their trek and New York.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Both.

MS. GRAYSON: Yes; yes. And New York's just passed.

They're just waiting for the Governor to sign.

2.0

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Okay. So both our neighbors then have dealt with this already.

MS. GRAYSON: Yes. Maryland and Connecticut are moving forward.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Okay.

MS. DeMEO: And as for heightened awareness, to this day I know, even with ALS and cancer and brain tumors, I have published over 200 articles for columns mostly centering on both the technical aspects of sterilization but the management and education and imperative nature of this.

If you were to Google "Michele DeMeo sterile processing," you can read some of that work. Or I'm very active, I volunteer my time to make sure people are aware and provide a free means to learn on their own through trade magazines for technicians and management. That's just a small little piece that I personally do that is circulated nationally and internationally in various trade magazines and journals, as well as contributions in textbooks for IAHCSMM and various other things.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: That's a good segue for me, because part B to my question was what we are seeing done in that education mechanism. As we heard stated by the Department of Health and from both of you even testifying, it appears to me that the training itself is up to the discretion,

I'm this individual applying for the job and I have no background whatsoever, so I'm coming into this position as a sterile processing technician. Who's going to train me, and is there consistency in that? How long am I going to be trained? Because people I've talked to, they'll say, well, there's like a 6-week orientation, and I go, whoa, wait a minute. Other ones say we have a 3-month program. Other hospitals say, we have certification; we choose to have certification. Other hospitals say, no, we don't have a certification; the process is, we do our own in-house training and there's no structured competency assessment.

So am I understanding that correctly, that I'm going to be hired in a specific facility, health-care facility, and they're going to train me in whatever design that meets the Department of Health going in and checking and making sure of whatever curriculum or information they're sharing? Because this is a pretty complicated position now. This isn't that now I'd be scared to stick something in an autoclave, not even knowing they can't be that close together, of course. But what happens over the board when you're being trained as a central processing technician?

MS. GRAYSON: Well, you're right, it is very inconsistent from hospital to hospital, probably even from State to State. It depends, again, on the management of the

department or the administration of the hospital. Sometimes in some facilities that I first went to, the new individual would be trained by you today and by Janelle tomorrow and by someone else the following day. There was no consistency. It was whatever they did, you would watch and you would repeat, without any type of theory behind what it is that they were doing.

In some other facilities where the manager was concerned about the education of the technicians, they would have an orientation program outlined for the individual. Hopefully they would partner them up with a certified technician, or at least someone that they felt was able to train an individual from decontamination all the way through to the storage of sterile supplies.

And in some facilities, when I first got there, they thought that training would only take 4 weeks, 6 weeks, some thought 8 weeks. One did agree that it needed to be a minimum of 3 months with follow-up thereafter, because there are so many, so many complicated instruments, especially today, and they need to follow device manufacturers' written instructions for how to take them apart, how to clean them, whether to put them in an ultrasonic, not put them in, how long to put them in, the temperature of the water, the type of detergent. It's a very complex process. It's not just taking a piece of metal, wiping it down, and putting it in a machine to "cook" it, as

some places say.

2.0

2.5

MS. DeMEO: If I may add to Anna's comment, which is so, so, so true, certification would complement the on-the-job training that would have to still occur in a hospital. What it does is it provides a standard method of delivering principles and knowledge in a consistent manner. It would require continuing education, an even playing field, and a foundation.

Any person in any new job needs training specific to their facility. That would not change, but rather, this would interlock the two. It closes that gap. Then our technicians have the foundation of principles, and then they can get trained specific to their facility.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: That's very helpful.

Yes? Anna.

MS. GRAYSON: One thing I'd like to bring up at this point as far as training and education of individuals is how important it is to train the people and educate and mentor the individuals that are doing this process. It not only brings the skills to the forefront and their knowledge, but it boosts their morale. They seem to show more pride in doing what they are doing when they know why they are doing it, and I've noticed that.

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Yes; I can certainly understand that.

Gone are the days when it should be looked at as a

housekeeping job or, you know, a factory job. It's more like a laboratory process, a laboratory structure, to my mind.

MS. GRAYSON: Yes.

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Having to know, understand chemicals, the microbiology of it all. So that foundation, I'm really subscribing to that. Thank you.

MS. DeMEO: Well, I liken it -- and I wrote an article at some point on it -- I liken it and I have strived for this: The counterpart of a surgical technician in the operating room, they are the clinical counterpart in the OR, and the sterile processing technician is the technical counterpart, period.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: I do have a couple of follow-up questions, but the gentleman from Philadelphia, Mr. Waters, is with us.

You know, I've got to say, I am still somewhat stunned that this is such an important aspect of patient safety and yet there is no real set—in—stone training requirements.

And, you know, you've testified \$45 billion a year in hospital—acquired infections; the potential for medical malpractice, the potential for people's lives and disability being impacted. I am still rather stunned that people with a GED, with virtually no training whatsoever, it's very disconcerting to me that there is no solid requirement that proper training necessarily be in place.

Now, it seems to me that all of these health-care 1 2 facilities, it would be in their best interests to do this, 3 obviously, and hopefully they are doing this internally, some probably better than others. But I just think you've made a 4 5 very compelling argument for certification for this very, very 6 important position, because we really don't stop and think how 7 a lot of patients' lives could be at stake here if it's not 8 done properly, this role. So thank you very much for your 9 testimony. 10 MS. DeMEO: Well, especially since more States 11 require dog-grooming licenses and manicure licenses that don't 12 invade the body. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Good point. 14 MS. DeMEO: Thank you. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Good point. 16 Representative DeLissio. 17 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 A couple, a variety of questions here. 19 Ms. DeMeo, do you know how long this certification 2.0 takes? The legislation refers to two different certifying 21 bodies. Is this a course that somebody goes to full time? Ιs 22 it on line? Is it---23 MS. GRAYSON: All of the above. 24 MS. DeMEO: It's actually all of the above.

are several options for technicians -- I'm sorry for my voice.

25

There are several options for technicians: Purdue University;

IAHCSMM has a course; there's self-study. All are quantifiable
with exams, and Anna can speak in more depth also to that.

2.0

2.5

They all are founded on Spaulding principles and Seymour S. Block's "Disinfection, Preservation, and Sterilization," also a spinoff of Spaulding's work. But Anna can talk about the options; there are numerous.

MS. GRAYSON: The options for training and education are through the IAHCSMM, the international association and the national association. Those are the two associations nationwide that provide education and training. You can get it on line. You can do self-study. Some IAHCSMM instructors are now providing actual online courses for not only the technical certification but the instrument-specialist certification and the health-care-leader certification.

It can vary in time as far as how long a course would take. Usually it's 16 weeks. It's like a semester of college. I don't know offhand if colleges here in Pennsylvania offer the program yet, but I'm sure they're going to be looking into it. They do offer them in the other States. And again, some of them are more extensive than just the technical aspects, involve a course in anatomy and physiology and microbiology. So it varies.

MS. DeMEO: And just to add to that, both of the major two organizations that Anna spoke of do have content that

covers anatomy and physiology, instrumentation, sterilization, 1 2 disinfection, every element that ---MS. GRAYSON: Microbiology. 3 MS. DeMEO: Microbiology. ---every element 5 necessary for the gamut of potential tasks asked of an 6 individual in a health-care facility. As far as the timing also, there are approved 7 8 instructors. You have to be approved. I'm an approved 9 international instructor. My course I based off of IAHCSMM's 10 course material. They have an instructor's guide, and I'm 11 required to use the instructor's guide. So there is a 12 consistent method of delivery for the course material. Every instructor might have a slight nuance, but they are required to 13 14 use the instructor's guide issued by IAHCSMM. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Thank you. 16 These 12 hours of CEUs to maintain the certification, is that a requirement of the certification or is 17 18 that a requirement of the legislation? 19 MS. GRAYSON: Certification. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: It's a requirement of the 21 certification. 22 And who do you envision would be responsible for the 23 costs of this certification, initial and ongoing? 24 MS. DeMEO: Well, I believe it will vary from 2.5 facility to facility. Most already pay for continuing

education for technicians. Most are free.

My articles, many of my articles I put posttests in, and I do the pre-work and submit them to the organizations for continuing educational credits. So the technician reads the information, takes the posttest, submits it, obtains credits for free.

So the credits are rather easy to get. Companies and manufacturers offer them to come to your facility for free to give in-services and then do an actual test. You have to pass the test to get the credit. So they're rather inexpensive or free, and most facilities offer that. And if they don't, there's enough out there to obtain them for free.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: So that's the CEUs.

MS. DeMEO: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: But in terms of the original certification, that would probably be on a facility-by-facility basis.

MS. DeMEO: Correct; correct.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: I get that, and if I understand this correctly, this SSI data, it is not currently tagged to how many of these infections actually are the result of sterilization or equipment issues. I understood that correctly, did I not?

MS. DeMEO: You did.

MS. GRAYSON: Yes.

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Okay. And that's where you said they're looking to focus in on that more perhaps to produce some of that.

MS. DeMEO: Yes.

2.0

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Hospitals by nature are kind of risk averse and are always looking to minimize risk and liability -- and always striving to do that. It's an evolving thing. The more technology evolves, the more they have to evolve in terms of the risk side of it.

Do you know if any -- we'll talk about hospitals. I think there are about 270 of them licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. My guess is, most long-term-care facilities don't have such a position in their facilities any longer, probably haven't had for decades, so this is probably focused on primarily hospitals and maybe ambulatory surgical facilities as well. Do they currently require some type of certification of their staff? Do we happen to know that piece of data?

MS. DeMEO: We don't have an exact number, but most don't require it. And you are correct about the long-care facilities. This would impact facilities that handle semi-critical and critical devices, which would be anything that goes into an oral cavity or a mucus-membrane cavity or sterile cavity.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: So, Michele, as I listened to your testimony, I want to make sure I extrapolate this

accurately. There is always going to be human error. We're human; there's always going to be error. I know like when you administer meds, there is a med error rate. If it's too high or too low, you know, there is an acceptable error rate type of thing, and I think it's important that we know that that's out there, unfortunately. So is it fair to say that this certification addresses the concern that you and Anna have: because the more training, and the training heightens the awareness, the awareness will mitigate the risk?

2.5

MS. DeMEO: Yes. And if we talk about mitigating risk, and I love that, because I love failure-mode analysis in general in mitigating risks. If you were to look at the continuum of types of risk, the purpose of any facility would be to mitigate as many potential risks as possible. An uncertified or improperly trained technician is a risk. So the hope would be that a facility would identify as many potential risks in the spectrum of a patient entering its building, through its facility, through its discharge, and on that continuum of a person's stay, a central sterile processing technician currently is a risk. So if we can reduce one risk, we're working our way through the chain of entering into the facility and exit of a facility with less potential of obtaining an issue or a problem or an infection.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: And my final question.

I don't know if you were part of the drafting of the

legislation, but in Section 3, number (2) -- I might be reading this incorrectly -- on page 2, lines 20 through 25, it talks about, the upper paragraph, "...unless the person meets one of the following:..." and the second following is, "provides evidence that the person was employed or otherwise contracted for the services as a central service technician in a health care facility on or within the two-year period immediately prior to the effective date of this section...." It sounds like if somebody is already on the job, they're going to be grandfathered in. Am I reading that correctly? And that may be a question for either Representative Gingrich or somebody who was more involved in drafting the legislation, but I am not clear on what that means. To me, it looks like anybody on the job would be grandfathered in, which could then, at some other point I think I read where they had a 2-year window to get certified. So I may not be reading this in proper sequence or---

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Pam, the way I am interpreting the language of the bill myself is that, yes, that 2-year window after hire, okay? I imagine what we meant here, and I can doublecheck on that, is that as long as that is within the 2-year window of working professionally and having been through the education and continuing education process, unless you're reading it the opposite.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: To me, it almost looks as

```
if it's grandfathering anybody in who is currently on the job,
 1
 2
      and I don't know if that was the intent. If that's not the
 3
      intent---
                 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: That's not the intent.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: That's not the intent, and
      that's what I'm concerned with more now. Language can always
 6
 7
     be tidied.
 8
                 MS. DeMEO: I would not envision that, no.
 9
                 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: That this 2 years is a
10
     window for folks who are currently on the job to go get that
11
      certification and be compliant going forward.
12
                 MS. DeMEO: Yes.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Okay. That was my last
14
                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
     question.
15
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER:
                                           Thank you.
16
                 Representative Toepel. Oh, very good. Thank you
17
     very much.
18
                 Members, any other questions of our panelists?
19
      Seeing none, we thank you very much for your testimony and
2.0
      time.
21
                 MS. GRAYSON: Thank you.
22
                 MS. DeMEO:
                             Thank you.
23
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And sorry you missed your
24
      appointment today.
2.5
                 MS. DeMEO: Oh, that's okay. It's a pleasure.
```

1 Thank you for having us. 2 MS. GRAYSON: She's glad to be here. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you very much. 3 We're almost on time, just a few minutes late for 4 5 our last testifier: Lauren D. Lloyd, Director, Recruitment, 6 University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. 7 Welcome, Lauren. 8 MS. LLOYD: Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: And it looks like you have 9 10 some company with you. 11 MS. LLOYD: I do. I am the Director of Recruitment 12 for UPMC. And with me I also have Dawn Vocke, who is the Unit 13 Director at UPMC St. Margaret. She is responsible for the 14 operating rooms at that facility as well as sterile processing. 15 MS. MEBUS: And I'm Kathy Mebus from the Hospital 16 Association. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: It's nice to see you all 18 here. 19 MS. MEBUS: Mr. Chairman, if I may clarify a little 2.0 bit about surgical site infections. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Yes. 22 MS. MEBUS: The report that Anna cited was the 2010 23 report that is actually 2009 data from the Department of 24 Health. It takes them awhile to get the information. 2.5 There are currently three areas that they look at

very carefully. One is central line infections, one is urinary tract infections, and the third, which she mentioned, there are six or seven categories of surgical site infections.

2.0

The first two infections, urinary tract and central line infections, there is evidence that there has been a 40-percent decrease in those infection rates. The surgical site infections is baseline data. Even though it says it went from 1.36 to 1.35, we are still collecting baseline data. The difference is that the other two infections are reported while they are in the hospital. The surgical site infection gets reported up to a year after they have been discharged from the hospital.

So we control the environment for the first two infections; we only semi-control the environment for the third infection. And as I think Michele said, they are now looking at how we can see which of those infections are actually from the instrumentation and things like that.

But we do not currently have that data. So I just want you to be aware that because of that lag of 1 year in reporting, the data is different than the central line or the urinary tract infections.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Do you know if there is any longitudinal data on this issue of surgical instrument contamination, infections, patient illness?

MS. MEBUS: No, because even at the Federal level,

that's how this is required to be reported, that any infection within a year gets reported as a surgical site infection.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay.

MS. MEBUS: I just wanted to clarify that so that you were looking at it not as apples and apples, because it's really not.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. Thank you.

Lauren.

2.0

2.5

MS. LLOYD: Well, thank you again.

And just for background, UPMC is headquartered in Pittsburgh, and we are Pennsylvania's largest employer with over 55,000 employees. We're one of the leading nonprofit health systems in the country and are comprised of more than 20 hospitals, over 400 clinical locations, including long-term-care and senior-living facilities and a health plan with over 1.8 million members.

Currently, UPMC employs approximately 200 staff-level central sterile supply technicians, and nearly 10 percent of those central sterile technicians maintain certification through the International Association of Health Care Central Service Material Management, aka IAHCSMM, or the Certification Board for Sterile Processing and Distribution, which is CBSPD.

UPMC appreciates the opportunity to provide comments to the House Health Committee on behalf of The Hospital and

Healthsystem Association of Pennsylvania regarding the development of HB 2290, which would provide for certification of central supply technicians.

2.0

2.5

UPMC does not support the proposed requirement of certification for central supply technicians. Our organization provides quality patient care and goes to great measures to ensure low rates of hospital-acquired infections.

While we share the Legislature's desire to eliminate these infections, we do not believe that a central supply technician certification requirement will decrease those hospital-acquired infection rates. In fact, the national and State infection rates are decreasing without widespread certification requirements for those roles.

The 2010 Pennsylvania Department of Health report, released in September of 2011, on the occurrence and patterns of healthcare-associated infections, demonstrates a continued decline in the overall incidence of HAIs in Pennsylvania. The reports required under Act 52 are based on scientifically demonstrated interventions to reduce those hospital-acquired infections.

Both the Joint Commission and the Department of
Health provide regulatory oversight to hospitals. This
oversight requires organizations to report hospital-acquired
infections to the State regulatory agencies. In fact,
Pennsylvania is a leader in this reporting and has set the

standard for this level of oversight. Additionally, compliance with policies and procedures as well as the physical space of the central sterile supply department is inspected by these agencies during onsite reviews. UPMC believes that the proposed legislation is unnecessary given the current oversight by the two regulatory agencies.

2.0

2.5

Given our commitment to excellence, quality, and safety, UPMC places focus on processes, procedures, and protocols in many areas. For example, our central sterile supply processing employee training program is rigorous and ensures staff competence to avoid risk to our patients. It is our belief that hospital-acquired infection rates will continue to decrease as organizations ensure compliance with these types of processes. The oversight provided by the Joint Commission and the Department of Health provides accountability for hospitals to ensure compliance.

In the hospital setting, all employees are responsible for infection control. UPMC has focused additional training in areas that are at high risk of spreading infections. Professional certification is not the standard for many of these roles. Hospitals have developed training programs for these positions to ensure competence in all aspects of the role, and specific emphasis is placed on infection control procedures. In many cases, these internal training programs surpass the learning objectives of the

outside certification process.

2.0

2.5

UPMC believes that in many cases, internal training programs for central sterile supply processing techs surpass the education obtained through external certification programs because they allow for hands-on experience with the exact equipment that is used to sterilize those many instruments. If hospitals were to rely on the certification process alone, the opposite effect of the bill's intent may occur. For these reasons, UPMC is unable to support HB 2290.

In addition to our overall concern for this legislation, we would like to present our views about the following specific topics within the bill:

- The definition of "student" or "intern" and their certification requirements.
 - Certification requirements of incumbent workers.
- Continuing education credits and the proposal to have them submitted to the Department of Health.
- As well as the hospital's overall credentialing process.

Specific to the student and intern certification requirements, in Section 3(3), the proposed legislation states that a student or intern may work under the direct supervision of an appropriately licensed or certified health-care practitioner and is functioning within the scope of the student's training. "Student" and "intern" are not clearly

defined in the legislation, nor is a time restriction set for the student or intern to work without certification. Neither IAHCSMM nor the CBSPD require the completion of a formal education program in order to obtain certification.

2.5

Due to a consistent shortage of candidates with formal education in central sterile supply processing, UPMC currently provides on-the-job training to develop and ensure competency in these roles and responsibilities of central supply technicians. This internal training program has been a valuable recruitment tool for these hard-to-fill positions and has provided inexperienced candidates with a gateway to health-care careers and compensation during the training window. These are entry-level positions that provide family-sustaining wages and benefits. Certification may create a barrier to those job opportunities that currently exist.

Immediately following hospital orientation, central sterile supply processing technicians begin department-specific orientation. The timeframe for completion of the orientation period and competency checklists vary based on experience but generally take 60 to 90 days. The training content includes but is not limited to policies and procedures related to sterile processing; workflow of the department; infection control; decontamination processes and methods; preparation and handling of instruments; sterilization cycles; documentation standards; sterile storage; and inventory management. This

on-the-job training, shadowing, mentoring, and preceptorship are facilitated by coworkers as well as senior staff and departmental leadership.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

The department manager or director is responsible for ensuring competency in decontamination, sterilization, prep and pack, case cart assembly, and daily operations before a new staff member may work independently. Decontamination competency includes usage of correct personal protective equipment; manual cleaning requirements; automated washing equipment, including an ultrasonic washer, usage; and cleaner selection. Sterilization competency includes operation of steam autoclaves, HvP Sterrad, ETO, and scope reprocessing units for high-level disinfection; proper readout of all quality assurance checks; and coinciding recordkeeping. Prep and pack competency includes instrumentation familiarization; quality assurance checks; tray assembly according to content sheet; and assembly. Case cart assembly competency includes case cart preparation; quality assurance checks; and case cart delivery. Finally, competency in daily operations includes organizational skills; time management and prioritization; customer service; troubleshooting; departmental policies; and safety quidelines. There is a validated written process that outlines the key elements of this precepted new-hire experience.

UPMC respectfully requests that the definition of a

"student" or "intern" include acknowledgement of internal training programs and self-study preparation for the certification in addition to formal education programs that are offered through external educational institutions.

2.0

2.5

In Section 4(a) the proposed legislation states that a new employee "...may be employed or contracted to practice as a central" sterile "supply technician during the 12-month period immediately following successful completion of certification...but may not continue to be employed or under such contract beyond that period without documentation that the employee or contractor holds and maintains the certification required...." The intention of this language is unclear to UPMC.

If the intention is to allow an uncertified individual a 12-month period to work preceding certification, the language would only support certification through IAHCSMM. For an inexperienced individual, IAHCSMM requires 400 hours of related experience prior to testing for certification, whereas the CBSPD requires a year of experience or completion of a sterile processing training course prior to testing. Assuming the intention of the legislation is to provide a timeframe for individuals to work to become certified, this window does not provide enough experience for the individual to be eligible for and complete the CBSPD exam. UPMC respectfully requests that this timeframe be revised to allow 2 years to complete

certification.

2.0

2.5

As it relates to certification requirements for incumbent workers, in Section 4(b) the proposed legislation states that "A person who is employed or contracted to practice as a central supply technician on the effective date of this section must be certified within two years of the effective date of this section." The proposed legislation does not allow for the exemption of current staff with significant years of experience, as is allowed in other States.

of our 200 staff in UPMC sterile processing, nearly half have been working in the field for more than 10 years and have been consistently deemed competent through annual performance evaluations and through ongoing observations by our sterile processing leadership teams. The proposed legislation would require these staff members to successfully complete the certification exam in order to keep their jobs. UPMC respectfully requests the consideration of an incumbent grandfathering clause in the legislation to ensure that experienced staff are able to maintain their positions.

Relating to continuing education credits. In Section 4(c) the proposed legislation states that "A person who qualifies to function as a central supply technician in a health care facility under section 3(1) and (2) shall annually complete 12 hours of continuing education to maintain the person's certification as a central sterile supply technician."

Section 4(d) goes on to state that "A health care facility that employs or contracts with a central supply technician shall verify to the department and maintain documentation that the person is properly certified and meets the continuing education requirements of this section."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Hospitals do not currently submit information regarding continuing education credits achieved by its hospital personnel to any other State agency. For example, a pharmacist must independently provide evidence of continuing education credits to the State Board of Pharmacy at the time of license renewal. Organizations such as IAHCSMM that certify the central sterile supply technicians set the criteria for continuing education requirements, and each certifying body sets different continuing education requirements. As a result, these criteria may change according to the evolution of the trade or profession. For example, IAHCSMM does require 12 hours annually of continuing education. However, the CBSPD requires 60 hours over a 5-year period. So there are inconsistencies in how those are reported. The intent of this legislation, however, is to require that experts in sterile supply provide certificates, and the State requires certification as a requirement for employment.

Health-care practitioners who achieve certification in the specialty area assume the responsibility for meeting requirements for recertification on an ongoing basis. In

Pennsylvania, many of the licensed health-care occupations do not require continuing educations to renew licensure.

2.0

2.5

Hospitals are required to verify the credentials of the individual, such as the validated certificate or license, but do not keep record of continuing education programs for all practitioners. To impose that specificity would set a precedent for future practitioners.

To expect that the hospital would require certification is sufficient for the Department of Health licensure process as well as the Joint Commission accreditation process. Requirements beyond recertification would impose administrative burdens on hospitals that would be inconsistent with the typical recertification process. Because hospitals do not submit information regarding continuing education credits achieved by the hospital personnel to any other State agency, UPMC respectfully requests that this requirement be reconsidered.

And finally, regarding UPMC's process for verifying credentials. Hospitals are required to verify the credentials of staff on a regular basis. At UPMC, we verify license, certification, and/or registration for more than 300 individual job titles. This is a resource-intensive process that requires numerous staff hours as well as access to hundreds of external databases for primary-source verification of the credentials.

To ensure consistent compliance with licensing

policy, UPMC verifies the required credentials for newly hired staff, tracks expiration dates of the credentials on at least a monthly basis, ensures that staff members renew credentials, verifies renewal, and updates tracking of expiration dates.

Failure on the part of the staff member to renew the license, certification, or registration and then present the required documents prior to expiration results in his or her immediate suspension without pay. Employees who do not provide evidence of licensure renewal during the suspension period are terminated.

2.0

Credentials are primary-source verified with the appropriate issuing board or registry to ensure that the credential is in good standing. A printout of the verification is maintained. This process requires navigation of various State and issuing-board Web sites, which lack consistency in information required and the level of verification detail that is provided.

UPMC recently has automated verification with the State of Pennsylvania for registered nurses, occupational therapists, physical therapists, and pharmacy licenses, but this is not available for many of our job titles, particularly those with credentials that are not issued by the State.

Newly obtained sterile processing certifications are currently verified by reviewing the document provided from the issuing board or registry. Our sterile processing leaders

dedicate numerous hours to coordinating continuing education opportunities, in-services, and providing documentation for staff to submit to the issuing boards. After completion and submission of the required continuing education credits, that staff member is required to submit proof of recertification.

2.0

2.5

In order to facilitate tracking of the credential,

UPMC respectfully requests that the sterile processing

certifications be somehow added to an online verification

resource similar to the State of Pennsylvania's license Web

site. We're unable to easily operationalize the verification

of this credential because of the process used and the lack of

the standard.

So in conclusion, thank you for the opportunity to share our perspective as it relates to this proposed legislation, and I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Thank you very much, Lauren.

Before we go to questions, any other comments? Would you like to make a statement or observations?

MS. VOCKE: I would like to make many statements, but I'm going to wait.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: You're going to wait. Okay; all right.

Members, questions?

Representative Gingrich.

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Naturally I would have a question since this is a topic I'm really enthusiastic about discussing.

Thank you so much for being here.

First off, I want to commend UPMC for the fine job they're doing in this area. That, however, is no surprise to me. As I mentioned to you earlier, I worked with the university medical systems out in Pittsburgh many years ago. So high quality has always been, you know, their cornerstone. So this was no surprise to me, and what I want is for all of our health-care facilities to be like UPMC. So I go back to the consistency in the training. Your training, obviously, is extremely effective, well monitored, tested for competency, continued. Many hospitals are not able, do not, or for whatever reason match your quality and the status of your training.

So I'm looking at a combination of the type of in-house training that is currently being done very well in some areas, maybe not quite as well in other areas, bringing it to a more consistent and a basic foundation of understanding why you're doing what you're doing and then the how, how to do it, because it really does vary according to the kinds of work that goes on in your facility -- right? -- the equipment that is used, the ever-changing equipment cycle that clearly most

recently I've recognized as not quite so easy to maintain -keep clean, keep sterilized, keep functional. So what's the
real problem? If we're talking about the financial aspect -which you can't get around. You know, we talked about risk
management. Well, some of that is finance as well. You look
at it as an investment, but there's really no measure, I think,
to the cost of pain and suffering on the part of a less than
high quality outcome, you know, surgical outcome.

So if more hospitals did what you did, wouldn't we be looking potentially at some financial savings being realized with the proper training, with certification, with a strong base of the employee doing the central processing work? Never mind, you know, decreased turnover rates and potentially less infection and expensive readmissions, and not to mention saving lives and decreasing mortality rates, all those good things.

I love what I hear you say, because you're doing it right, but I'm only hearing you say that about UPMC. So you understand where I'm coming from, at least me having worked on the bill. So it's probably not as much a question as a wow, pat you on the back, because you're doing a great job, and I'd like to see that happening across the board in more facilities.

Kathy.

MS. MEBUS: Thank you, Representative Gingrich.

Because the Joint Commission, and because most of our hospitals are Joint Commission accredited, has a higher

level of evidence-based outcomes, we believe that most of the hospitals are doing some kind of a program. Whether that program exactly meets the needs of the certification process is another question.

2.0

2.5

What our fear is is that if you require certification prior to them being hired, we have no way to recruit except from other States, because they have to have experience in order to get the certification to begin with. So we were looking at at least 2 years' experience because that then allows them to use the hospital in-service and the hospital training programs in order to help them get that certification.

We currently have hospitals that do require certification, and we have hospitals that do not require certification. As you can well imagine, the larger facilities in some of our areas are requiring the certification.

The cost of certification may become a barrier to some of the individuals, particularly those who have been working for 10 and 20 years. They're questioning, why do we need this now when the hospital has been educating us all along?

The continuing education that Michele mentioned, there are ample opportunities for continuing education. Every manufacturer who brings in a new piece of equipment does continuing education for our central sterile staff, and so I'm

not as concerned about the 12 hours as I am about the actual 1 2 certification process itself. REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: Well, and I appreciate 3 that being brought up and the points that you make, legitimate 4 5 discussion on at least those four items that we'll be happy to 6 work with you on. 7 And again, I thank you very much, and bring back my 8 greetings to work well done at Pitt. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: If I may, is it your 10 opinion that most hospitals provide adequate training, 11 continuing education, some certification, some not? 12 MS. MEBUS: They're infection rates would be a lot higher if they weren't doing something to curtail that. 13 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: So they're doing something 15 but not necessarily is there evidence that there is a 16 consistent model or paradigm of training, whether it be on the 17 job or continuing education. 18 MS. MEBUS: No, it's facility based at this point. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: It's all facility based. 2.0 Okay. 21 I don't want to put you on the spot, but do you 22 think the hospitals are doing a better job of this than nursing 23 homes or ambulatory surgical centers? And you can take the

MS. MEBUS: No; I think we do five-star work.

24

2.5

fifth, if you'd like.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. That's quite an answer there. Well done.

Members? Questions?

2.0

2.5

Representative DeLissio.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Just real quick and to reiterate behind your comment there again, I think long-term care is probably out of the discussion by nature of the service delivery, so I can't speak to ambulatory surgical facilities.

Lauren, you had said about 10 percent of your complement are currently certified. Is that a voluntary certification?

MS. LLOYD: It is. We actually are in the process right now of rolling out a new career ladder for central sterile processing that would actually provide promotional opportunity for someone who immediately obtains certification, but it is completely voluntary at this point. And we're doing that because we do believe that promoting certification is the right thing to do, it's just that we don't believe that requiring it is necessary.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: And then my follow-up question was, is there additional compensation as a result of somebody achieving that certification either currently in place or, as you're indicating, a career ladder to be rolled out?

MS. LLOYD: Yes, there will be next month when the new career ladder is rolled out.

We actually did have a higher percentage of our staff that were certified, but they have chosen not to recertify because the cost is, in some cases, prohibitive and there wasn't a financial incentive to maintain it.

2.0

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: I believe that.

Sort of let's turn this around a little bit the other way. And given that there are some details in the legislation that need to be sort of worked out and worked through, would there be an adverse impact to this legislation other than, you know, it's mandates; there are lots of mandates already out there? Would there be an immediate adverse impact that you think UPMC would have a concern about?

MS. LLOYD: I think it would drastically -- I think it would depend on whether some of these details were worked out. But as it's written currently, it would drastically impact our ability to recruit staff. We do feel that our training program is better than any of the local training programs -- or actually, there aren't that many local training programs, and they don't produce that many students, so we just don't have a pool of candidates that are ready to take these jobs.

And, you know, it does very much concern me that we have over 100 staff members that have over 10 years of experience and that they are not grandfathered in and they would have to test for their jobs, and that's just very

concerning to our organization.

2.0

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: And is this position, I guess in an organization as large as yours, is it 24/7? Are they scheduled around the clock?

MS. LLOYD: It depends on the facility, but in some facilities, yes, they are around the clock.

REPRESENTATIVE DeLISSIO: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Representative Waters had a question, but he had to step out for a moment.

Any other Members?

I'm just curious about your comment with respect to recruitment. What is the nature, what is the typical, prototypical employee that works in this arena? Is it the person with a high school diploma? a 2-year degree? a 4-year degree? Could you describe that person that works in this medical arena.

MS. LLOYD: Yes. Actually, I just went through the exercise of looking at all of our staff's credentials and educational background. So the typical candidate for employment would have a minimum of 6 years of experience in a health-care environment -- that could mean really anything in a hospital or health-care environment -- and a high school diploma. We do have staff that have associate's degrees as well as bachelor's degrees in unrelated fields, but the vast majority of our staff do have high school diplomas and at least

6 months of experience prior to starting this role. 1 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Within your organization; 3 okay. MS. LLOYD: Within our organization; yes. 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Or is it across the field? 5 6 MS. LLOYD: That is within our organization. 7 Correct. 8 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Okay. Thank you. Representative Waters. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Thank you, ladies, for being here. 12 The question I want to ask is dealing with maybe a financial cost that may be associated with any hospital-borne 13 14 infections or diseases. In your opinion, do you think that 15 this could have any implications in terms of insurance rates 16 for hospitals or medical facilities that require this 17 certification as opposed to people who might make it optional? 18 You don't think it would? Because what happens if a person has 19 a longer stay in the hospital as a result of them getting sick 2.0 by being contaminated or infected by some kind of medical tools 21 or equipment? 22 MS. MEBUS: If you're talking about whether there's 23 a financial impact. 24 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Yes. 2.5 MS. MEBUS: Because the patient has to stay longer,

```
In terms of insurance, right now if they get a
 1
 2
      hospital-acquired infection, the insurer is not paying for it.
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Insurance---
                 MS. MEBUS: Will not pay the hospital.
                 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Who absorbs that cost?
 5
 6
                 MS. MEBUS: The hospital.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: The hospital absorbs the
 8
      cost.
 9
                 MS. MEBUS: So our objective is to have a minimum
10
      number of hospital-acquired infections.
                 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Right. Well, I guess that's
11
12
     what this legislation is intending to do, too. So there will
     be no insurance interest in this at all in terms of the people
13
14
     who are here, if they have certifications or not.
15
                 MS. MEBUS: No. That's what I meant when I said no.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE WATERS: Okay. All right. That's my
17
      question.
18
                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: Seeing no other questions,
20
      the sponsor of the bill has a closing comment or remark.
21
      Representative Gingrich.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE GINGRICH: A big thank-you to my
23
      fellow Members. It's so good to see you again, and thank you
24
      for coming in for the hearing and from all those who
2.5
      testified.
```

I did want to acknowledge quickly one of my employees who is sitting out here now. Carissa Mellinger now works in my district office. But Carissa was a member of our Bipartisan Fellowship Program when she was in college at Lebanon Valley College. And I was just beginning to work on this topic at the time, and she did a tremendous amount of background research on this issue, and I just want to commend her also, because I don't like to ignore employees. Anne Yanikov, who takes care of everything here in Harrisburg for me, is sitting with us, too.

2.0

Thanks so much for talking about this. I was hoping this would be a think tank, and it really was. It brought to the table a lot of information we all need to know about.

There are issues to consider. The points you brought forth I think we need to bring into play as we move forward dealing with this particular issue.

There are a lot of medical professionals across

Pennsylvania that I have personally talked to who very strongly support the concept, and these are the medical professionals themselves from doctors to nurses to OR people to surgical supply individuals. They want to see us do something here like is being done in some of the other States for all those reasons that we could interpret as obstacles. So we need to think about that as we move forward.

But I do want to close with a quote that really

stuck with me as I was doing my research on this, and it's from a Dr. Bertha Litsky, who was a specialist. I'm sure someone like Michele knows her. Her famous quote was, "A nonsterile instrument in the OR is like a loaded gun," and that has never left me. And I know we all, every one of us, will think about that and care about that and work together to put together the best plan we can for the future of health care in Pennsylvania. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN BAKER: I would like to thank all the Members for their attendance. And also the panelists, thank you very much for your testimony. The Health hearing is now adjourned. (The hearing concluded at 3:15 p.m.)

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio on the said proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Debra B. Miller Committee Hearing Coordinator/ Legislative Reporter Notary Public Nedra A. Applegate Transcriptionist