HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * * *

House Bills 1725 & 1878 Workforce Development Legislation

* * * *

House Labor and Industry Committee

Main Capitol Building Room 60, East Wing Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Thursday, December 5, 2013 - 10:06 a.m.

--000--

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Honorable Mario M. Scavello, Majority Chairman

Honorable Stephen Bloom

Honorable Sheryl M. Delozier

Honorable Seth M. Grove

Honorable Ryan E. Mackenzie

Honorable Dan Truitt

Honorable Maria P. Donatucci

NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Honorable Eli Evankovich

1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA, 17401 717.764.7801 877.747.2760

		Page 2	2
1	STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:		
2			
3	Juanita Hoffman Majority Legislative Assistant II		
4			
5	Noah Karn Majority Research Analyst		
6			
7	Vicki DiLeo Minority Executive Director		
8			
9	Joanne Manganello Minority Research Analyst		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			- 1

			Page 3	1
1	INDEX OF TESTIFIERS			
2	TESTIFIERS	PAGE		١
3	Opening remarks by Maj. Chairman Scavello	4		١
4	Opening remarks by Rep. Mackenzie	5		١
5	Opening remarks by Rep. Evankovich	9		1
6 7	Jack Pfunder, President & CEO	13		
8	David Taylor, Executive Director Pennsylvania Manufacturers' Association	22		
9	Jeff Kelly, CEO Hamill Manufacturing Company	28		
11	Jan Klevis, Director of Post-Secondary Education and Workforce Development Lehigh Career & Technical Institute	60		
12	Tim Rushton, DirectorLehigh Career and Technical Institute	69		
14	Dr. C. Russell Mayo, Superintendent Allentown School District	88		
15 16	Dr. Patrick Gerity, Vice President Westmoreland County Community College	96		
17 18	William J. Bartle, Education Policy Director PA Partnerships for Children	106		
19	Nancy Dischinat, Executive Director Lehigh Valley Workforce Investment Board	132		
20	Scott Sheely, Executive Director Lancaster Co. Workforce Investment Board	139		
22	Jesse McCree, Government Relations Associate PA Workforce Development Association	149		
23				
24	SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY			
25	(See submitted testimony & handouts online).			

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Good 2 morning, everyone. Before we begin, I'd like us to 3 rise and cite the Pledge of Allegiance, please. 4 (Pledge of allegiance off the record). 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: T would 6 like to thank the members of the House Labor and 7 Industry Committee, as well as our panel of expert 8 testifiers, who have generously taking time out of 9 their busy schedule to join us. 10 Today we have before us two workforce 11 development proposals, House Bill 1725 introduced 12 by a colleague and Committee Vice Chair, 13 Representative Ryan Mackenzie, and House Bill 1878 14 introduced by colleague Representative Eli 15 Evankovich. I look forward to gather invaluable 16 input and perspectives from our expert panels. 17 With that being said, at this time I'd 18 ask the rest of our members to please introduce 19 themselves, starting to my right. 20 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Dan Truitt, 21 representing the West Chester area in Chester 22 County. 23 REPRESENTATIVE BLOOM: Stephen Bloom, 24 the 199th District which is most of central and

western Cumberland County.

25

Page 5 1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Chairman 2 Mario Scavello representing Monroe County. 3 REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: 4 Representative Ryan Mackenzie representing parts of 5 Lehigh and Berks counties. REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Good 7 morning. Eli Evankovich representing parts of 8 Westmoreland and Armstrong counties. 9 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Good morning. 10 Maria Donatucci from Philadelphia and Delaware 11 counties. 12 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Sheryl 13 Delozier. I represent 88th District, Cumberland 14 County. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Okay. I'd 16 like opening remarks from Representative Mackenzie 17 in regard to the House Bill 1725, Vice Chair of the 18 Committee. 19 REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Good morning. 20 Thank you again, Chairman Scavello, and thank you 21 to all who are in attendance today. I'd like to 22 thank the Chairman and the members of the Committee 23 for joining us. This is an important piece of 24 legislation, House Bill 1725. It's a measure that 25 I believe will serve as an important component to

strengthening Pennsylvania's future workforce.

This legislation will create the CareerBound program, which is an initiative focused on building new collaborative partnerships between the state's

business, education and workforce development

communities.

As a member of this Committee and the former policy director at our state's Department of Labor and Industry, I've maintained a long-standing focus on efforts to ensure Pennsylvania employers have access to a talented, skilled and prepared workforce. In speaking with employers in my district and throughout the state, we know full well how emphatically job creators stress the importance of a qualified and skilled workforce.

This message was clearly delivered when my colleagues and I held a July 2012 policy meeting in the Lehigh Valley. We heard from employers in the area and others who stressed the severe disconnect that exists between skills that employers have -- or employers need and those that employees have in the workforce. Since then, I've heard from other employers time and time again that Pennsylvania is facing a growing skills gap that is adversely affecting their ability to compete in

today's global economy.

As we move forward, House Bill 1725 and the CareerBound program is designed to change that. CareerBound provides a framework for the creation of a new partnership between local Workforce Investment Board schools and employers. These partnerships will develop curriculum targeted to provide students with career exposure and skills development.

The program is broken down into three areas of focus. We have early exposure activities which could provide things such as access to career expos, local business tours and in-class presentations; practical exposure activities which could include hands-on experience through job shadowing, training or donated equipment and in-classroom demonstrations, and extended exposure. Extended exposure could include things like apprenticeships, internships, co-op programs, and other on-the-job training activities.

The goal of the program is simple; to engage students and give them a chance to explore different career opportunities so that they can obtain a greater sense of focus when it comes to making career or education plans beyond high

school.

As it is proposed in House Bill 1725, CareerBound is constructed as a four-year pilot program, where, up to seven partnerships will be selected through a competitive process. We felt that a four-year program was enough time to substantiate the work, and also make sure that we can show clear evidence of success through the program.

The program will be funded in a manner similar to that of the state's highway effective Education and Improvement Tax Credits, also known as EITC. Our career-bound pilots are identified and partnerships are formed. Businesses may then elaborate and contribute funds to a designated program, or the fund in general, and businesses that participate in the partnership and actively engage students in career-exposure opportunities will receive 90 percent tax credits for any funds they contribute to the program. This mechanism ensures that employers continue to play a role in the program, which is essential to its success.

The state will allot in the proposal \$10 million in tax credits to the program, which would generate between 11 and \$13 million for the

Page 9

program to be used over the four years. This legislation represents a re-commitment by the Commonwealth to ensuring that students are given the career exposure and practical skills necessary for them to compete in the careers of the future.

Again, I want to thank the Chairman for having this hearing today. I thank all the testifiers who are with us here today, and I look forward to hearing from all of you. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO:

Representative Evankovich.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Members of the Committee, I want to
thank you for taking this opportunity to discuss
not just House Bill 1725, CareerBound, but also
House Bill 1878 which would enact the Pennsylvania
workforce investment strategy, or PA Wins proposal.

In short, what PA Wins does is, it utilizes the existing EITC tax credit model to encourage new investments and workforce training through existing education infrastructure and would also provide a better coordination of workforce training needs for incumbent employees. As my good colleague, Representative Mackenzie, noted, CareerBound would deal with high school, K through

Page 10

12 students, introducing them to careers in the workforce. PA Wins would deal with incumbent employee training.

Currently, many workforce training programs, which are funded by local, state and federal governments, are offered in our state.

While many of these programs are valuable, conversations that I've had with literally dozens of businesses, trade groups and business chambers have made it very clear to me that the existing framework that we have in our state is not addressing the workforce training needs that we need for our state economy.

As such, many companies in Pennsylvania have a workforce that is under-prepared, and they lack the individual -- those companies lack the individual resources to address the problems on their own. Critical skills such as welding, machining, electrical and mechanical maintenance and engine mechanics, to name a few, are being lost as our current generation of workers reach retirement age.

In short, here are the mechanics of how PA Wins would work. The local workforce investment boards, or the WIBs, would be required to integrate

1 with a local business community to encourage 2 businesses to come together and form Cooperative 3 Workforce Investment Partnerships, or what we're calling CWIPs. These CWIPs would be organized by 5 skill need. That's an important distinction from the way that many programs today operate. 7 focus would be on the skill need rather than an 8 industry. These CWIPs would be a partnership 9 represented by the participating businesses and the 10 The CWIP would identify the specific training WIB. 11 needs and the existing educational infrastructure that could provide those needs. 12 13 We don't want this program to turn into the 14 creation of new education that's not currently 15 being offered. We want to use existing education 16 infrastructure, which could be in the form of a 17 community college, a career and technical school, a 18 union hall or a private business training center. 19 These businesses would enroll their incumbent 20 workers into those educational programs based on 21 their need, and those businesses would then be 22 responsible for paying the tuition as a result of 23 that training. 24 The workforce investment that the

25

company would then make in that training and the

Page 12

administration of the CWIP would be eligible for up to a 60 percent tax credit. In the case where a company did not have a tax liability, that tax credit would be transferable at a discounted rate. I thought this was an important aspect to include in the bill because many of our small companies do not have high profitability, and they would not be able to utilize the tax credit if it was not transferable.

The program as set out would have a three-year sunset and would be distributed in a 3-million-dollar initial offering in year 1, \$7 million in year 2, and \$10 million in year 3; with any hope that, if there is success in the program, that it would be continued. The program would also require the WIBs to report performance of the CWIPs to the Department of Labor and Industry for evaluation and effectiveness.

This program by itself will not fix all of our workforce training problems, but it will provide an additional tool to address some of the workforce deficit that we have in Pennsylvania.

I appreciate your attention. I appreciate your willingness to come and testify, and look forward to hearing the testimony. Thank

1 you, Mr. Chairman. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you, 3 Representative. Our first group of presenters, 4 Jack Pfunder from the Manufacturers Resource 5 Center. He's the president and CEO; David Taylor, Executive Director of Pennsylvania Manufacturers 7 Association; and Jeff Kelly, the CEO of Hamill 8 Manufacturing Company. We have three seats and mic 9 set up for you, gentlemen. 10 Jack, would you like to start? 11 MR. PFUNDER: Sure. My name is Jack 12 Pfunder. For the last eight years, I've been the 13 CEO of the Manufacturers Resource Center, one of 14 the seven IRCs within the State of Pennsylvania. 15 We're located in the east central part of 16 Pennsylvania, and I've been involved in 17 manufacturing for over 45 years. I've worked in a 18 variety of different-sized companies; founded two. 19 I'm the CEO of three others. During that time I've 20 learned an awful lot about the importance of 21 well-trained and good workers. In manufacturing 22 today, we have a problem finding those workers. 23 I'm here today to emphasize the 24 importance of these two bills for the growth and

25

future of manufacturing in Pennsylvania. Companies

Page 14

need to -- need workers that have the right talent, education and skills to fill these technical, high-value jobs in today's manufacturing.

Three weeks ago I spoke at the National Governors' Association Economic Policy Meeting in Chicago and talked about some of the unique things that are happening today in the Lehigh Valley to introduce manufacturing to the younger generation. One thing that surprised me was the uniform concern that states have in finding talented workforce for their manufacturing base, and the importance of a growing manufacturing base to the economic stability of almost every state.

These two bills address these problems, and will make Pennsylvania proactive in finding solutions to solve them. We must open channels of funding to develop these new initiatives and provide assistance in replicating these new ideas throughout the state.

Today I'd like to talk about an initiative we call Skill Up the Student Pipeline, which was funded by DCED from their Discovered in Pennsylvania and Developed in Pennsylvania Grant, and to show how this new bill -- these new bills can be used to grow and sustain these kinds of

Page 15

ideas throughout Pennsylvania.

Much has been written lately about the skills gap in manufacturing, and the lack of a talented pipeline of skilled workers entering the industry. This problem is and will continue to slow down growth of many of our manufacturers. Solving this problem first requires changing the outdated negative stereotypes about manufacturing that impedes students or job seekers from being introduced to the clean, transferable, family-sustaining careers that today's manufacturing offers. These mid-levels skilled jobs include machinists, welders and the high-tech maintenance jobs to keep the automation running.

There is no silver bullet that's going to fix some of these outdated images that parents, teachers and students have, but we must start by making everyone aware of what a job in manufacturing is like today and the great benefits that are available.

This is exactly what this PA Grant did
that was awarded to the Lehigh Valley team that was
made up of Lehigh Valley Workforce Investment
Board, the LCTI, the Leigh Career and Technical
School, the DaVinci Science Center and

Page 16

Manufacturers Resource Center. The mission of this grant was to address the critical need for a pipeline of middle-skilled workers to support the growth of advanced manufacturing sector in Pennsylvania. The early successes over the first six months are changing people's impressions of manufacturing throughout the valley.

The first thing we need to do is take a look at the schools, and how do we address the image of manufacturing throughout the schools and the image of career and technical schools. Our first thought was, we needed to develop a program that started from the inside out; not the outside in. We needed to work with the schools to make sure that we knew how it would fit within the school district and then expand from there. We came up with the idea that we needed to talk to the superintendents, which I call the corner office first.

In manufacturing, if I'm going to a company that has a major problem that affects the policy of the company or the image of the company, and so forth; if I don't have a commitment from that corner office, it just isn't going to work. So that's what we've done. Our team has talked to

Page 17

15 of the 17 superintendents within the Lehigh Valley over the last six months, and got nothing but positive response from those superintendents.

We then got involved with the guidance counselors, with the concept of how do we get them involved? We conducted manufacturing tours and educational meetings with 150 guidance counselors throughout the Lehigh Valley, and this was both through the Lehigh and Northampton counties.

After this exposure to manufacturing, it pretty much unanimously agreed that a viable career choice and the use of the three career and technical schools in the valley can provide the tools needed for a successful career.

Another key that we had when we started this was, we needed to work through the career and technical schools to get to the superintendents and to get to the guidance counselors. That's what we have done. It's been a very important part in getting this accomplished.

I have enclosed a questionnaire that was given on one of these tours that we gave to, in this case, 35 guidance counselors back in October of this year. We went to two companies, Nestle Waters and Avago, which used to be -- just got

Page 18

sold. They're about a 400-person company. Both companies, very high-tech companies. Nestle Waters shows what animation is like. It's the largest water bottling company I think in the country right now. Avago was a very high-tech; used to be called CyOptics; a lot of clean-room-related activities and so forth.

We asked them three questions at the end of these tours. One had to do with, in general, on both tours of manufacturing, I noticed, and these are some of the answers. It would be good to go yourself to read through these answers. Some of the things that highlighted to me was, I noticed how willing employers are to interact with schools. The employers place a premium on strong problemsolving skills.

The second question that we were having them fill out was, I was surprised by, and one person said, the level of manufacturing has evolved because, as mentioned, it's not like 10 years ago. They are encouraging slash requiring the higher level of thinking, problem-solving approach to the technical math and science. Technical math and science is needed.

The last had to do with, what

Page 19

observations of the tour would you recommend to our schools? The one said, I would recommend our schools to take a career exploration out of the school and expand it to taking kids on tours so they can see firsthand the successfulness of what a career in manufacturing is like.

Another one said, find a way to allow parents to have the same experience to receive the information so they understand the opportunities for their students. This is what our mission is; is really to try to open the eyes of people that age.

The real question then became, how do we make manufacturing attractive and cool in the eyes of the 8th and 9th graders? Career and technical schools needs to become an option, an education option. A lot of cases it's not even on their radar at that level -- or at that age. So the idea came about this summer we were gonna develop a, what's so cool about a manufacturing video contest, which was a program -- that's the first of its kind. It's featuring 8th grade teams made up of four or five students and a teacher coach. These teams will plan, shoot and edit a 1-to-2-minute video of a manufacturing company in their region on

Page 20

areas that they think is cool about that company.

It could be the job; it could be products; it could be the culture of the product. But the key is, we wanted the teams to kind of let their own impressions and experience go through to come up with these videos.

Each team was matched with a manufacturer within their region, so we have 19 manufacturers and 19 teams. These teams right now are fully engaged. They are shooting and editing their videos as we speak. We've got 16 of the 17 school districts within the Lehigh Valley involved in this, and the competition is now becoming kind of exciting between school districts. The competition will be concluded on an award ceremony—we call it Video Academy Award—this February at the DaVinci Science Center where students, parents, schools, manufacturers and sponsors will all be there at this gala event.

The video competition already has started changing some of the images of manufacturing throughout the region. As these teams recognize the relevance and the innovative jobs in the world today, they are now communicating little bit more accurate view of what manufacturing

Page 21

is like to their peers, their parents and their teachers.

There are two outcomes that we're trying to get from this contest. First is just the awareness of the younger generation about manufacturing and what a life is like at a manufacturing company. Second, though, is, this is being used as an ice breaker to adopt schools by these manufacturing companies. It helps to develop this partnership between the school district and the manufacturing company. Industry must become a full partner in preparing youth, young adults for their success.

Another thing we've learned from this grant, the schools want industry to be deeply engaged in many ways at the earlier stages of the education cycle. In the middle schools, companies can do job shadowing, tours and career counseling. In the high schools, industry can work hand in hand with schools on work-based learning and problem solving in the development of internship programs.

There's been a lot done and it needs to be a lot more, but there still are issues, and the key is, we must find a sustainable model to take over after this grant expires in about six months.

Page 22

That's the issue that I'm coming today, is that, that's what these bills will do. Through the use of tax credits, these awareness programs can be developed to improve the image of manufacturing and the image of career and technical schools. Also, companies can use this training program to internally continue to train their new and existing workers, which is critical, and also for some of the smaller companies it gives them an opportunity of playing the game because a lot of the tax credits now seems to be are more for the larger companies.

The new model will be a win-win solution as students engage in the real world situations, and as industry has assessed a training pipeline to future employees with real job-related experience. Once companies can be shown that a pipeline can be produced, they will fully engage both in time and money for the long haul. And they have to because this is their future.

Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you.

Dave. I'm sure you've got to be excited about this.

MR. TAYLOR: I certainly am, and I

1 just -- Mr. Chairman, I want to assure the 2 Committee, while Jack and I did not coordinate our 3 testimony, allow me, please, to re-echo many of the 4 themes --5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Go right 6 ahead. 7 MR. TAYLOR: -- he just shared with you. 8 Certainly thank you for the opportunity to testify 9 this morning. 10 My name is the David N. Taylor, and I'm 11 the Executive Director of the Pennsylvania 12 Manufacturers Association. We're the statewide, 13 non-profit trade organization that represents the 14 people who make things here in the Commonwealth, 15 generating \$72 billion annually in gross state 16 product, employing 575,000 hard-working 17 Pennsylvanians on the plant floor, and supporting 18 supply distribution and retail networks that 19 sustain millions of additional Pennsylvania jobs. 20 Manufacturing is the lifeblood of our 21 Commonwealth's economic well-being. 22 With that said, manufacturers are facing 23 an alarming problem. Our workforce is aging and 24 retiring, and there is a shortage of skilled hands

25

to succeed them. Already there are over 8,000

Page 24

positions on the production line that remain unfilled because of a lack of available talent, coupled with our current shortage with the fact that some companies have more than half of their current workforce within just a few years of retirement, and we could consider this workforce gap problem to be a workforce gap crisis.

The two pieces of legislation in front of you today approach the issue from two complimentary angles, and I want to thank Representative Mackenzie and Representative Evankovich for their leadership on this.

The first bill, House Bill 1725, provides for a bottom-to-top approach in partnering our schools with area employers; to engage our young learners in the opportunities that exist in manufacturing. House Bill 1878 embraces a top-to-bottom approach that will help current manufacturing employers and their incumbent workforce. Both bills address critical voids in our current workforce. And our hope is that when they work synchronously together, that we may better address the skill needs of Pennsylvania's manufacturing sector.

The strongest asset of these two

Page 25

important pieces of legislation is that they
empower and engage the employers of our
Commonwealth. They incentivize the employer to
reach out to new and developing talent by
showcasing, educating and providing hands-on
experience for our high school students, while
providing opportunities to enhanced training for
current employees to upgrade their skills and keep
up with best practices. This is exactly the cycle
that we must cultivate to address our skilled
workforce shortage.

As Jack said very well, there is currently a negative image that unfairly stigmatizes manufacturing as a career choice. In our schools we measure success by the number and percentage of those who matriculate to a four-year baccalaureate-granting institution. Many school counselors, advisors, and parents view manufacturing in their mind's eye as dirty, hot and strenuous manual labor and often do not encourage the pursuit of this career choice.

However, modern manufacturing is advanced, collaborative, empowering, safe and rewarding. The immediate earning potential for a machinist, sheet metal certified worker, or welder

Page 26

remains much higher than that of many four-year degree holders.

The only way to remove this stigma is to directly involve each school in the opportunities offered by local manufacturers. This is exactly why House Bill 1725 is so important. Better known as CareerLink, House Bill 1725 would pilot a program that partners local businesses with the area school districts by showcasing the opportunities that exist in the current workplace. Educators, students and parents will begin to clear away the outdated image of manufacturing.

From the businesses' perspective, a tax incentive becomes necessary because that business must invest time and resources in talent that is one, two, or often three years removed from recruitment in hiring. While this is a worthwhile investment in establishing a better workforce development cycle, manufacturers especially need those skilled employees now, which is why Representative Evankovich's bill is so critical.

PA Wins moves away from broad industry clusters and focuses on specific training needs so that the graduate can fully integrate into a new position with minimal additional preparation.

Page 27

While some who are new to the manufacturing sector will benefit from this program, it is the current employees who will be better able to gain new skills, hone those skills in a familiar and realistic environment, and then fully reintegrate those skills back into their industry, advancing their knowledge and earning potential, all the while employers are better able to identify, target and train based on the immediate needs that they must fill to remain competitive.

By utilizing the framework and oversight which is already in existence via the regional Workforce Investment Boards, local businesses would be more likely to share common interests and have similar training needs. Only by investing in qualified program set-up costs, equipment purchases, and program tuition will a business then be eligible for the tax credits associated with the program.

It is essential that we change the conversation on job training and workforce development. We must create and nurture a cycle where manufacturers are able to recruit new talent into the manufacturing workforce while continually upgrading the talents of those already working

Page 28

there. Working together, House Bill 1725 and House Bill 1878 will get this chain reaction started.

On behalf of Pennsylvania's manufacturing employers, I thank the Committee for allowing me to testify in support of these bills today, and I'll do my best to answer your questions.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Jeff Kelly, CEO of the Hamill Manufacturing Company. Good morning.

MR. KELLY: Good morning. Thank you.

Before I begin my testimony, I wanted to emphasize this point. What I'm going to talk about are a couple of programs that already are in existence that actually do what these two bills would like to accomplish. The point I want to make is that, please don't reinvent the wheel when there's some existing things that do work, and they need to be used as templates for these bills.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on House Bill 1725 and House Bill 1878. Before I begin, I want to establish my credibility as a leader in the struggle to find and develop the next generation of skilled workers for manufacturing and other related industries that rely on such skilled

Page 29

people. My company, Hamill Manufacturing, located in Penn Township in Westmoreland County, has been involved in the naval nuclear program since the company was found in 1952. This program requires manufacturing of highly precise complex equipment who runs our Navy submarines and aircraft carriers. We have the skilled machinists, welders and inspectors and other support technicians, 125 strong, that do that. The greatest threat to our future will be the inability to attract, retrain and retain the skilled workers that we need.

The demographics of the baby boom generation are a reality. That means many, and in most cases, the majority of the highly-skilled workers are now and in the near future leaving the workforce. Replacing them is a huge challenge.

Hamill has and continues to run a highly successful apprenticeship program that we operate in conjunction with the Pittsburgh Chapter of the National Tooling and Machining Association. We currently have seven enrolled and we graduated five in June. The program is expensive, but we realize that someone has to train. Perhaps, the greatest threat to our program is the losing of the trained apprentices to larger companies that refuse

to train.

For many large manufacturers have as their workforce development strategy poaching.

Hamill and its NTMA colleagues have largely been trying for years to get the poachers to develop their own training programs; largely to no avail.

PA Wins, through the use of tax credits, just might help get companies that now poach to consider in-house apprentice programs.

Hamill, because of its extensive involvement in the community, has developed a wonderful relationship with the three career and technical centers in Westmoreland County. As a result, we are able to keep our pipeline of young people interested in machining and welding full. The problem is, there aren't enough young people in these programs to fill all the needs of the companies in the Commonwealth.

We recognize that the essential components of any workforce development strategies are recruiting, training and retention. It's like a three-legged stool. Training is one of the components that most companies have mastered. It's the one area that the public sector and the education system seem to understand. They do well

Page 31

at providing the needed services to facilitate such.

Retention is entirely the responsibility of a company. What can get complicated is a market where the shortage of skilled labor would drive companies to poach from competitors and vendors. This disruption is best overcome by eliminating the shortage. That is the role the public sector can play in providing a supply of skilled talent to an industry. In my view, that can be best accomplished by the private sector doing the training, and the public sector providing the incentive through tax policy to encourage the private sector to train.

The most problematic leg of the tool is recruiting. Most young people in our society, careers in manufacturing and the skilled trades are not even on their radar. They are completely unaware of the existence of such careers. So, any priority of recruiting is a factor that we call awareness. I perceive that CareerBound will attempt to address the awareness issue.

Hamill has long recognized the aspect of awareness when it comes to recruiting. How do you get young people to even know you exist and that

Page 32

you offer a wonderful career of opportunity for them? You have to make them aware.

Nine years ago I was serving on the National Executive Team of NTMA. I witnessed an event in Orlando where hundreds of young people were participating in a combat robot program called BattleBots IQ. What I saw was hundreds of young people being exposed to the STEM-related subjects and manufacturing disciplines through the milieu of building and competing a 15-pound robot.

Pennsylvania and challenged a group of NTMA members, WIB members and the schools to try to have a Bots program of our own. Next March and April, 2014, the southwestern Pennsylvania BotsIQ Program will hold its 9th competition. It has grown to over 50 schools and 72 teams from 13 counties. As a matter of perspective, in the first year we had five schools, seven teams from two counties. We've grown this program largely through the private sector without any funding from anybody other than some foundations. Now we have over 700 young people involved in our program.

The power of the BotsIQ is that it was started by a private industry and is aided and

Page 33

supported by our WIB, some school districts,
several industry groups, the foundation community,
and highly resourceful and dedicated group of
volunteers. The children who participate get an
in-depth exposure to all the STEM-related subjects;
plus, industry-related experience such as
machining, welding, assembly of electronics,
pneumatics, design, procurement, budgeting,
engineering and teamwork. The program creates a
synapse between education and industry as each team
must be matched with a company -- with a school.

My hope is that the CareerBound bill will incorporate the BotsIQ program as a template for building a successful industry-education collaborative that will make our young people aware of many successful careers that can be had in manufacturing and those industries requiring skilled workers.

So, that's what I'd like to say. I'm open to any questions you might have. My point again is that, let's not reinvent the wheel. Let's take existing programs that are highly successful. Let's scale them across the Commonwealth, and I think we'll be on our way to creating the success that we need. Thank you.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you, 2 gentlemen. Representative Truitt. 3 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you, Mr. 4 Chairman. Thank you, gentlemen, for your 5 testimony. I have two questions for you. One, as you described this, it sounds 7 like both of these bills are a really good thing 8 for manufacturing. In fact, it almost sounds to me 9 like, even if there was no financial incentive, it 10 would still be a good thing for the manufacturing 11 sector. 12 I applaud these bills. I'm totally 13 support of them. What I'm curious about is, if we 14 took down all of the other barriers, do you think 15 businesses would still be interested in doing these 16 things if there wasn't a tax credit available to go 17 with it? 18 MR. KELLY: I think it might be, in many 19 cases, it's the tipping point. What I see in a lot 20 of -- Larger companies -- I would say I'm a 21 middle-sized company. We have the resources to do 22 what we do, but there's a lot of companies that 23 have 10, 15, 20, 30 people that really don't have 24 the resources to start their own program.

25

The collaborative aspect of the program

Page 35

or the bill makes a lot of sense. The tax credit could be the thing that puts them over the top, really. It's a very -- I believe people understand the use of tax credits can be very effective.

My company has been a supporter of the EITC since the beginning of the program in 2001. We found it to be very, very effective in doing the things that we want to do in the community and not cost us a whole lot of money in the end, but really accomplishing some really significant changes in what we see in education.

I think that tax aspects of it are very critical. I think they really are, because in many cases they will push somebody over the point of, do I do it, or I don't do it if I have the tax incentive, perhaps, that really makes it easy to justify the financial commitment that I'm going to make.

MR. PFUNDER: I think the results have to be shown in a lot of cases first. I think these tax credits and these bills we're talking here is a good way of priming the pump, let's call it.

I have 14 manufacturers on my board, and we talked about this concept exactly about a year, year and a half ago. Would you put money in to do

Page 36

these? Some will, some won't. Because some say, well, we hear these kinds of programs a lot and they never really work. They're forgotten about after a year and so forth.

So, I think you need to establish some continuity first. I think that's what this would do, and then this money could be used for other programs; you know, other initiatives. But I think to get in the case what I've got, or the case that Jeff was talking about, there's existing programs out there that models theirs.

We use the Discovered and Develop in Pennsylvania money to develop the program. But the problem is, I've got people in Reading right now that would love to go take it now and move it down to Reading. But, where's that money going to come from? Because there is coordination issues. It's not going to be as nearly as much to do it somewhere else, but you're still going to have to have some groups organizing it and keep track of it and make sure it's done correctly.

I think that's where this bill is needed as to, as you say, don't reinvent things that are working. I think the Discovered and Developed funds could be used to come up with new ideas, but

Page 37

then how do you keep them going the next year, or how do you move them to other parts? I think that's what this -- to me this would be used for.

MR. TAYLOR: If I may, again, the association we're not huge fans of government tax policy guiding, private-sector decisions, but the thing I admire about this, not only is it fairly modest, but also that the employers don't qualify for it until after they've actually made the investment and done the work. I think that makes it much less disruptive.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: That's good.

The other question I had is about -- I'm actually on another Committee, the Education Committee.

I've got a bill in there to try to enable school districts to hire or bring in teachers who aren't certified, a certain percentage, like charter schools do. The vision I had behind that was to enable it so that businesses could send in professionals to teach, perhaps, electives or something like that.

I was curious to know if you thought businesses would be interested in getting involved that deeply, where they actually commit to sending someone in to teach for an entire quarter or a

Page 38

semester or something like that.

For example, if a pharmaceutical plant is in an area that could go into the local high school and teach a class on pharmaceutical manufacturing to 9th and 10th graders to get them interested in that. Do you think that's something that the --

MR. PFUNDER: We already have that going. B. Braun in the Lehigh Valley works with Catasauqua School District, and for the last few years have had a class in 9th grade to talk about education and talk about careers and these kinds of things. They actually have people coming in and doing that.

I really do think that companies would have some interest. I think it's such an important factor of the future of manufacturing, I think. I kind of deal with manufacturing, but I think there's, obviously -- Other industries is the same way. I think there's a concept that has shown, it seems to be working, and I know Air Products is thinking right now of doing something similar in some other areas. I think it's a viable option.

MR. KELLY: I think the point of certification is important. I know it's in the PA

Page 39

Wins' bill. I don't want to you restrict people from participating as a mentor or as a teacher because they're not certified, because a lot of people are gonna come out of industry with a lot of capability. They may not have a certificate, but they can certainly do what needs to be done.

I'll tell you, our apprenticeship
program in southwestern Pennsylvania, and we have
over 60 companies involved, most of the teachers
are shop people. They're not certified, but they
know -- they have a wealth of experience. They do
the teaching. There's a curriculum that they
follow. So, you don't necessarily need
certification to be an effective teacher in these
programs. I think you need to make that point; you
need to make that clarification in your bill,
Representative Evankovich.

MR. TAYLOR: Representative Truitt, I think that's the very definition of a good idea. There's tremendous talent, human capital in the manufacturing sector, and to be able to share that directly in the classroom with students to give them a first-person example of what success in the manufacturing sector looks like, I think that would be exceptionally helpful.

1 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you. 2 Thank you for your testimony. 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: 4 Representative Donatucci. 5 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman, and thank you for your testimony. I 7 want to preference my questions with the fact that, 8 I do believe that the school districts need to 9 start bringing trades back into their curriculum. 10 I don't believe that every student is destine to be 11 a scholastic scholar or, you know, do a four-year 12 program, or whatever, and be scholastic. 13 My question to you, though, is: Do any 14 of your companies already participate in any 15 workforce development programs or tax credit 16 programs that are to create jobs? And if so, how 17 are they working? 18 MR. KELLY: Yes, yes and yes. We do all 19 those things, and working very well. The story of 20 the BotsIQ Program in our community is really a 21 booming success. Every year, starting in 22 September, a school will be linked up with a 23 company. For the next nine months, they build a 24 robot. They work with a company that kids come 25 into the company. They build the robot at the

Page 41

company or at the school. They're learning all kinds of information. They're competing them in April and in May. We do it at -- Cal University is where the competition -- the finals are held. We actually have to have two competitions because we have so many young people involved now.

The important thing is that kids -We've done surveys. Foundation communities have
asked -- They've given us a substantial amount of
money for these programs. They've asked us to tell
them what impact is these programs -- this BotsIQ
had on these kids. The big thing is, a lot of them
are now opening their eyes to opportunities in
engineering; to working in manufacturing.

The awareness they had before now is -it comes home to roost that there is, in fact, a
career opportunity and things like that. That's
what's so important about a program that already
exists and it's working and is demonstrating that
it is getting young people to rethink the whole
aspect of manufacturing as a potential career.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: My next question I don't know if I should be addressing you or my colleagues on this. I can understand the tax credits for new students to get into the industry.

1 But when we talk about educating your current 2 workers, you'll be paying for them to be educated 3 and then get a tax credit for it? Is that what I'm 4 understanding? I'm a little confused on that 5 issue. I just need clarification on that. REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you, 7 Mr. Chairman. Your question was, for incumbent 8 workers will the company be paying for their 9 training and then receiving a tax credit? 10 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Um-hm. 11 REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: The issue, 12 if we look at maybe the crux of the reason why many 13 employees don't have the skills that they need is, 14 perhaps, in some ways initial failure of our 15 overall education system from the beginning. That 16 failure may have occurred 10 years ago; may have 17 occurred five years ago for that particular 18 employee. 19 The idea is that, this isn't a tax

credit that the company is putting in their pocket.

This is a tax credit that they are using to pay for something that, arguably, government may have or should have provided in the past. That's kind of the origination of the tax credit model.

Right now we pay for a myriad of line

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 43

items at both the local, state and federal level for job-training programs. What this particular PA Wins is designed to do is to get that company to put initial skin in the game; leverage their investment with a tax credit so that we're having a better coordinated, more of a grassroots-type program rather than a line-item-type program that may or may not have varying levels of participation and success.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO:

Representative Donatucci, I couldn't agree with you more in your first comments in regard to not every student go to a four-year school. Unfortunately, in many cases, parents and guidance counselor seems to be pushing them that way. If we can reach kids early, and you mentioned 8th or 9th grade, or whatever, and get them interested in the trade and get them into the trade school, into the Vo-Tech, and get them trained in a field that they walk out of high school making 30, 40, \$50,000 to start, rather than put themselves to two years of college bills on their shoulders and realize it wasn't for them.

I think it's so important that we look at that, especially with natural gas in our

Page 44

Commonwealth. Manufacturing is going to be growing leaps and bounds in Lehigh Valley, in the Poconos and Bradford County and across the state. Plastic manufacturers are going to be in the western part of the state. I think it's so important that we really reach out to these young men and young ladies at an early age.

And what really bothers me -- And I know there's some educators in the room and they're gonna hear it later. We bus kids to the high school, and then we put them on another bus and send them to the career and technical institute. Then they have to wait for that bus to come back. Some Kids are there for an hour. We need to figure out a way that we can give them everything they need at the one building. Save it on busing and don't have kids going back and forth spending half a day on buses. And if we do that; if we do that, I think we're gonna do the right thing by these kids.

Thank you very much. We have a couple more. Sorry. Representative Mackenzie.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I did just want to thank all the participants who have joined us here from the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 45

manufacturing industry. I think all of you clearly articulated the problem that I was talking about in my opening remarks.

To Mr. Kelly, I did want to point out, the CareerBound initiative is one that is intentionally broad in statutory language that we constructed here. It's intentionally broad so that programs across the Commonwealth that are successful already would be able to apply and qualify for the program. In the bill, the Department of Labor and Industry would screen those applications and make the final determination on who would actually qualify on the program. again, it was intentionally constructed to be broad so that individuals like Mr. Pfunder and like yourself who have different programs would be able to compete, and then we can actually see which ones are most successful at the end of the four-year period. So I appreciate those comments. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO:

Representative Evankovich:

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. My question really is for the entire

Page 46

panel. If you could each give your interpretation and your thoughts. It's a two-part question.

The first part is, how important is it to you that as we move forward with workforce development programs that we start making sure that we don't create new educational programs; that we don't create new educational pathways by paying additional employees and things of that nature, but rather use existing educational infrastructure?

We have existing career and technical schools. We have existing community colleges. We have existing private institutions like union halls and corporate training centers. How important do you think it is that we not just create a new program, but that we make sure that what we do use the resources that are here; number 1.

Number 2. What are your thoughts on how effective industry-cluster training is versus skills-clustered training? I'll give an example. If you have industry-clustered training, you have electrical maintenance employees that need to be educated for steel, for tool and die, for hospitals; every industry across the board. So, if you focus on industry, you're really duplicating that training across each one of those industry

Page 47

clusters. Whereas, if you focus on the skill, you only need to have that training for the skill once.

So, if we focus on the skill, does it, in your opinion, make more sense than focusing on the industry?

MR. TAYLOR: I'll take the first crack at that, if I may. In response to your first question, I think it's critically important that in Pennsylvania, that the taxpayers expand -- federal state and local it's about 27 billion on public K through 12. When it comes to job training and workforce development, federal and state money, it's like a billion six year over year. That is in dozens of programs that are run by multiple state cabinet departments, and each one is sort of in its own silo.

Representative Donatucci, to speak to your point, it's our hope to have a greater connectiveness between the training that imparted, that billion six that we spend year over year with the actual skills that are needed for actual jobs that exist in the marketplace. Right now we're not sure how much of that training is imparted, how meaningful it is, and whether it actually connects that individual worker with a job.

Page 48

So I would say, yes; that making that connection and getting the full value out of that money that the taxpayers are spending on K through 12, and the money that the taxpayers are spending on job-training workforce development, we need to make that work. We need to render that effective. I think that this is a way of doing that.

And to respond to your second point, I think that your comment is insightful; that the industry-cluster approach is in many ways duplicative, and thereby, inefficient. And that focusing on skills I think is a more direct way to go.

MR. PFUNDER: To answer your first question, I agree that we shouldn't open new lines up. I think what we need to do is make the existing lines a lot more consistent.

I'm kind of spoiled in the Lehigh Valley with LCTI, which I think is probably one of the best career and technical schools in the country.

I know across the regions and so forth, there's a lot of career and technical schools that have a long way to go to come up to that level.

But I think we need to be emphasizing at the state level to be more consistent in the career

Page 49

and technical schools in terms of the kinds of certifications they have in terms of using industry; in terms of making decisions on curriculum, and ordering equipment with a group of manufacturing base, let's call it, to assist in the things they're needing. I think we need to -
There's just a lot of -- There seems to be a very large disparity in some of the career and technical schools that need to me is, why don't we concentrate on so we know what we get when we're done. I'm a manufacturer I know somebody comes from A, I know what they're gonna be compared to C.

I say the same thing about community colleges, too. There's an awful lot of work I think that needs to be done at community colleges, especially to assist the trades and to assist the kids that graduate from the career and technical schools to give them a leg up when they get through the community college. Right now I think there's some fluff there, but I don't think there's a lot of substance. There needs to be a lot more.

On the second, there's some advantages with clusters. Obviously, there's more people within that industry that can shift jobs and things likes that, that's good. But I strongly feel also

that the skills --

I'm an old manufacturer and I've been from making clothes, to making gears, to making things that went to Mars. I've been able to be working in all those industries because of the training I had was universal. I think now, you know, we don't have a Bethlehem Steel anymore, so the art of pouring iron isn't really much of an art anymore. But a machinist, a welder, a maintenance, to keep the automation going, that can go anywhere. That can go across plastics; it can go across machining; it can go across a variety of industries. Therefore, I think the skills is very important to be pushing right now.

MR. KELLY: To answer your first question, I absolutely agree that the existing infrastructure is inadequate but it should not be scrapped. Pennsylvania has but billions into the CTC system over the years. As you know from our initiative to try to improve CTCs in Westmoreland and Fayette counties, we --

The CTCs could provide all the skilled workers Pennsylvania needs for all of its industries, if they were really good and competent entities. There are wonderful places like the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 51

Lehigh Valley. A lot of the CTCs just are not up to snuff.

And to Representative Scavello's comment about the busing issue, that's a huge problem. The schools are considered -- If you're a techer, you're going to a Vo-Tech, that's a pejorative. That's not a good thing, and we have to change that. It's crazy to throw that system away. We need to completely revamp it; make it attractive. I guarantee you, with the kind of jobs; the money that kids can make in the skilled trades all across the Commonwealth, especially in the natural gas, they really saw that, they understood that, they'd flock to CTCs. That's really something we need to We need to improve the existing infrastructure; make it attractive, because it could provide the skilled workers that Pennsylvania need.

The second question, I absolutely agree that a skills focus as opposed to an industry-cluster focus makes a lot more sense. Because you're right. There's a commonality that a guy that's fixing -- a person that's fixing a machine tool or whether it's a piece of automated equipment, if they have electrical capabilities and electronic capabilities and mechanical

capabilities, that's the kind of things you want.

That's the kind of skills that's going to keep that

person employed for their life.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Let the record show we've been joined by Representative Seth Grove and Representative Sheryl Delozier, and Sheryl Delozier has a couple questions.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Some of my question was asked by Representative Donatucci dealing with what the other programs are that are out there.

So my question, also to expand on that, Cumberland-Perry Technical in our area is extremely strong, and the students, I've been really amazed at what they've come out and been able to do, and their graduations and their projects, and everything that they have been trained to do. I think we need to build on that.

When we move through -- We have our community colleges as have been mentioned. Do we have a best practices? What is the best -- We talk about the ones in the southwest. You talked about the ones that have worked the Bots program and it's been expanded. Is there a need, or do we have the resources to understand --

Page 53

You know, these bills that are being presented can be a resource. Do we have best practices or something that we need to be expanding in our community colleges, in our trade schools to educate and bring in -- that we can be emulating; that we can be spreading across the state?

Granted, these are tax credits to build and educate you mentioned; getting students to understand what the possibilities are of having a career in this. Are there others that are out there, and maybe you would be aware more statewide, of programs that we can educate more; that we can expand into 67 counties, not just 13 that you mentioned in your testimony? I'm just looking for --

You mentioned not reinventing the wheel when you first started, and I wholeheartedly agree. Where is it that we can do as a state to do better in having bills like this that encourage people that maybe haven't been doing that training and haven't been doing that career building; what can we do better? Where are those best practices that we can expand to 67 counties rather than just 13?

MR. PFUNDER: I think there's some of those out there, there's no question, I mean, what

Page 54

they're doing there. I think mine, potentially, what's happening in Lehigh Valley could be the same situation. Again, how do you go about taking it from a prototype stage to a production stage? It's going to require some dollars. There's some effort that has to be done to go from A to B.

I have not had an answer for that. That was one of the biggest issues I had when we started this dream of do-it; or the program we're doing in Lehigh Valley right now is, what's going to happen in May when it's over with, how do we keep it going? Hopefully, my thought was, we'll have enough pipeline going and the manufacturing base might assist in it to get it to the next step. But, it takes a lot of time, and a lot of people are very busy these days with cutbacks and all these other aspects.

So, I think there's got to be -- That's what I like about these bills. There's at least a pipeline of potential funds that could be used for those expansions. I think Ryan's bill is for seven regions, or whatever we're talking about. I think that has to happen, because right now there is no answer to that. People have an idea and, unfortunately, a year later it's gone, because

1 they've spent the money and they did it, but they didn't have any resources to go to the next level. 3 That's where the assistance to me is needed. There are some good ideas out there, but 4 5 how do you expand them to other regions? Right now 6 there's no pilot for that. There's no prototype 7 for that. 8 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Do you see 9 these tax credits and the dollars that are coming 10 from those tax credits doing just that? 11 MR. PFUNDER: I do --12 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: My concern 13 would be in the sense --14 MR. PFUNDER: -- if you can get to them. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: -- would they 16 be the year and then go away, or would you see it 17 being an impact that would be long term versus the 18 ones that just kind of go away? 19 MR. PFUNDER: I feel in the case here, 20 as I said before, I think you need to purge the 21 pump here and this would get it started. If you 22 can show that there is, like in my case a pipeline 23 of youth, or in your case more children getting 24 involved in the trades and so forth, the

25

manufacturing base will come in and help. I think

that I'm aware of.

Page 56

you've gotta show the success first and --

MR. TAYLOR: And that's part of the issue. But to speak directly to your question,
Representative Delozier, there is no central coordinating entity that's cataloging best practices or sharing information among stakeholders

MR. KELLY: I totally agree. You need to find some organization to do that; whether it's a quasi-public organization as a contract from the state to assemble that information. That needs to be done. That's the problem we have. All these things happen in a fragmented world. Somebody's off doing something that was really effective and it works great, but it's in this little corner of the Commonwealth or there's something up in the northeast, and nobody is talking amongst themselves because they're not even aware of what's going on. So finding a way --

For example, I served on the Governor's Manufacturing Advisory Council, and it was an interesting process because the Governor decided that we wanted everybody in the state in manufacturing to comment about where our problems are, so we put together an organization. We had

Page 57

six monthly meetings, and we had a very, very effective report when we were done.

But, who follows up? Who takes that information and who manages the information that is coming in? You need a clearing house to bring all that stuff together, and then somebody has to sit there -- a group of people has to say, this is the best practice. This really works. This is what we want to spread throughout the Commonwealth. That's really what you have to do.

MR. TAYLOR: And actually, not to editorialize, all three of us had the honor of serving on the Governor's Manufacturing Advisory Council. Just by consensus, organically, this workforce challenge issue emerged as the top priority. For all of the other challenges facing the sector, and there are significant and multiple challenges, this one just -- everyone recognized, this is the biggest concern that we have.

REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: I thank you for that. Especially with the bills, I just -- the money and I support the idea because we need a workforce. We need to recognize as has been stated. Some kids, there's so many other jobs out there, and we need them to know that and we need

Page 58

them to look for other options if that's what they need.

The bottom line is, as the tax dollars get spent, I want them to be the longevity; to be out there and not be done in a year, and to keep them counting for our kids, for our students.

MR. TAYLOR: And to be able to look down the road a little bit. If Pennsylvania can succeed at doing this pioneering work of recognizing best practices; coming up with a dynamic that is shown to be effective, I would love nothing more than for the Commonwealth to be able to go to the federal government, to the U.S. Secretary of Labor and ask for waivers to take those dozens and dozens of programs under multiple cabinet departments and to be able to direct those funds and re-purpose them towards these new best practices that we've been able to identify.

MR. PFUNDER: In manufacturing, I think that became very efficient over the years because we've learned how to make this product right the first time, and we don't go through rework after it's made and all these very inefficiencies. I think in careers we need to start doing the same thing. We need to have these kids do their career

1 right the first time so they don't become a 2 25-year-old. Now I'm gonna shift from this over to 3 this, and they don't have the right math and 4 science background in order to do it, and they're 5 so limited. That's why STEM, I think, is so 7 important is almost, I won't say a requirement, but 8 more kids need to get into those kind of education 9 so they have the ability to go left and right. 10 Right now they just don't -- It's not being 11 emphasized enough right now. 12 REPRESENTATIVE DELOZIER: Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you, 14 Representative. Mr. Kelly, do you take advantage 15 of WebNet for training for employees? 16 MR. KELLY: Yes, we do. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: It's a 18 great program; isn't it? 19 MR. KELLY: Yes. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you 21 very much for your testimony, gentlemen. 22 Next presenters, Career and Technical 23 Institute representatives, Doctor Tim Rushton is 24 the Director and John Klevis, Director of Post-

25

Secondary Education. (Pause). We've got to stop

the buses.

MS. KLEVIS: We'll work on that, too.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: My county
is going to hear it. And he was right with
techies. They're family-sustaining jobs. You

6 could walk out of high school and make a decent

7 | living. We've got to do it.

MS. KLEVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Committee. I'm honored to be here today to testify in favor of House Bill 1725. My name is Jan Klevis. I'm the Director of Post-Secondary and Workforce Education at Lehigh Career and Technical Institute.

We are a career and technical school serving about 2700 students from nine districts in Lehigh County. Lehigh County serves 49,000 students in grades K to 12, with about 15,000 in grades 9 to 12. We'd love to say that all of our students exit with diplomas already knowing what their future will bring them. Unfortunately, that doesn't happen for everyone.

The Pennsylvania Department of Education's statistics show that in 2011-12, 17,000 students dropped out of school in Pennsylvania.

The youth unemployment rate in 2012 for the

Page 61

students ages 16 to 19 was 16.8 percent. Our students who are going on to post-secondary education are not faring much better.

According to the National Center For Education Statistics, only 39.7 percent of our Pennsylvania's students seeking a bachelor's degree in one of our public universities, in four years — will be graduating in four years and only 62 percent in six years. According to the same report for students attending two-year public colleges, the numbers are even worse. Of the nearly 17,000 students counted, only 13.9 percent of those students completed.

In addition, Pennsylvania's ranked second in the nation for student debt, where 70 percent of our students are leaving college with student loans to pay.

I believe we can improve all of these statistics if we provide strong career planning for our students. They need to make career choices based on solid knowledge of the labor market aligned to their abilities and their interest, and this has to happen while they're still in school; not after they already are in college.

But college is not the only way for a

Page 62

student to gain the skills they need for a job that will pay family-sustaining wages. We hear every day from employers across the Lehigh Valley that they cannot find enough skilled labor, particularly in the manufacturing arena. Sadly, most students and their parents do not know these great paying jobs exist.

Each month our Lehigh Valley Workforce
Investment Board post the labor and job market
outlook. It provides an overview of what the job
market looks like in the valley. The October 2013
report, 16 manufacturers were looking for skilled
workers. In the same document that provides wage
information, the electro-mechanical technicians can
earn an average way of over \$52,000. A machinist
can earn over 41,000, and those with CNC
programming skills can earn much more. These are
jobs that rival a first-year teacher's salary, and
students can learn these skills while they are
still in school, in a place like Lehigh Career and
Technical School, and leave with no student debt.

A common misperception is that a student attending a career and technical program will not be able to go to college. To the contrary, the Pennsylvania Department of Education Programs of

Page 63

Study, SOAR, Students Occupationally and
Academically Ready, provide statewide articulation
to community colleges allowing students to earn
college credits while still in high school and
creating a seamless transition to college.

Many career and technical schools have developed additional articulation agreements with numerous colleges. Upon graduation, students are prepared to enter the workforce while advancing their education at a post-secondary institution.

Many companies offer tuition reimbursement programs encouraging their employees to pursue a higher career pathway.

In 2012, the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education, PASSHE, approved the Bachelors of Applied Science in Technical Leadership degree, a collaborative program with Lehigh Career and Technical Institute, Lehigh Carbon Community College at Bloomsburg University. The impetus for the creation of this new major was to address the needs of business and industry, scaffolding technical skills with supervisory and management competency. The program set a benchmark for school statewide, and it is designed to translate into partnerships with other Pennsylvania's community

Page 64

colleges and technical schools. This exemplifies a true career pathway to a high-wage, high-demand, high-skilled job.

House Bill 1725 empowers school districts to work with local Workforce Investment Boards and business and industry to develop school-to-work programs that will provide students and their parents with viable knowledge about the job market. It will also facilitate the expansion of existing programs geared toward work-based learning, allowing more students to participate, and encouraging more businesses to become involved. Clear, well-defined career pathways are the key to effective workforce development utilizing early exploration in a practical way to make the connection between school and work.

Drop-out prevention is a critical issue for schools as well. A positive outcome of connecting the workforce system to in-school youth is the impact CareerBound can have on our at-risk students. Implementing innovative school-to-work experiences can be the impetus to jump-start student re-engagement in school.

Our school counselors are very busy on a daily basis dealing with the social and emotional

Page 65

needs of today's youth. They do not have the time or the expertise to advise every student in their school about potential career choices. They may be able to provide information about college placement for those students who have an established career path, but those undeclared students, there's very little advice available for them. House Bill 1725 will address that issue; providing CareerBound counselors in school who will have time to talk about careers, particularly in high-priority occupation such as manufacturing.

This person will connect with the business and industry partners to provide visits to their facilities, send in guest speakers in the classrooms and talk about the jobs and the skills that are needed to be successful. Companies want to work with schools, and schools are opening their doors. We have an excellent example in Lehigh County where B. Braun Medical has partnered with the Catasauqua School District to teach career awareness to 9th grade students in the classroom, as well as at their medical device manufacturing plant.

There is no negative here. Students will get much needed career advice to help direct

Page 66

them in making better career choices, thereby, saving time and money. Armed with career knowledge, students will enter the pipeline for the high-paying, high-skilled jobs and high-priority occupations.

Companies will receive tax credits as a bonus for working with the school districts.

Lehigh County have many partnership models already in place, and they are working well. We would like to see this expand across our county and across our state, and we believe that CareerBound can help to do just that.

Now I'd like to comment on House Bill —
the PA Wins program as well. Across the board the
message heard by education training and the
workforce community is that business and industry
needs help to upscale their workforce while
continuing production and remaining competitive in
the market. The existing industry partnership
structure has been successful in the Lehigh Valley,
bringing together businesses for the purpose of
collaboration and providing a venue for dialogue
and information sharing. Through this system, an
effective framework for workforce development has
been established by working directly with the

Page 67

companies, the Workforce Investment Board, career and technical education and community colleges.

The need exists for this type of structure to continue to incentivize companies to invest in their current workforce.

While funding for Industry Partnerships has been diminishing, it is critical to remain cognizant of the challenges faced by business and industry, and their quest to balance training with production. Therefore, providing tax credits, coupled with the continuation of industry partnership funds will provide dual options for companies of any size. Schools such as Lehigh Career and Technical Institute has developed a solid reputation for delivering targeted outcome-based technical training for business and industry. We do that in tandem with our Workforce Investment Board.

A common thread of technical training needs has emerged among numerous companies over the years especially in the area of manufacturing, transportation and logistics. However, the challenge is how to deliver consortium-based training. Although training needs, skills and competencies may be the same, each company's

Page 68

scheduling needs and proprietary intellectual property may prohibit consortium-based classes in some instances.

Engaging employers to form a consortium to be known as the cooperative workforce investment partnership may help to further define like skill sets within each industry sector by creating smaller, more-focused employer groups. One of the challenges, which has become evident over time, is the absence of talking the same talk in regards to job titles, duties and competencies, especially in manufacturing. Some industries, like machining and welding, for example, lend themselves well to identify commonalities, while others, like electromechanical are not so easily delineated even though the commonality exists.

In the pursuit to leverage resources in the most efficient manner, it is critical to be keenly aware of the need to continually update the much talked about skills gap that exist in the workforce. The model in the Lehigh Valley is here and it is working. Let's try to work to expand, improve it through more dedicated resources, tax incentives and industry partnership funding as we have seen success in these sector initiatives.

1 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Committee. 2 MR. RUSHTON: Good morning, Mr. 3 Chairman, and members of the Committee. I'll let 4 go my colleague's word and state that it's always 5 an honor to testify on behalf of career and tech 6 ed, and in this case, in favor of House Bill 1725 7 and 1878. I'm going to take my glasses off, 8 because, folks, I refuse to get bifocals thus far. 9 So I'm having trouble reading my script. I'll pop 10 them back on so I can your faces when I'm --11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: You can 12 shorten the script, if you'd like, and just 13 summarize and that will be just as fine. 14 DOCTOR RUSHTON: Sounds good. 15 My name is Doctor Tim Rushton, and I'm 16 the Director of Career and Technical Education at 17 Lehigh Career and Tech Institute in Lehigh County. 18 When I begin my script, ladies and gentlemen, it's 19 going to sound like Mr. Pfunder, Mr. Taylor, Mr. 20 Kelly, myself and Jan coordinated our script last 21 night because you're gonna hear a lot of common 22 themes, but that just to me shows the significance 23 of these thematic issues across the state. 24 We're talking a lot about statistics

25

this morning. I have one as well. I use this

Page 70

whenever I speak on behalf of career and tech ed, and this comes from the Pew Research Center.

United States will see roughly 10,000 baby boomers turn 65 years old today, and about 10,000 more will cross that threshold every day for the next 19 years.

Currently, the average age of a machinist, a plumber, electrician and carpenters in the United States is 55, and that's the case with most of our skilled trades. What this speaks of, ladies and gentlemen, is, the current and future demand to fill these positions and the urgent need to replace these skilled trades people just to keep our economy going; not to expand it.

Now, where are we going to get these people from? How are we going to plan for this shortfall? I've served as a director of career and tech ed in three career and technical schools in this state. One serves the Columbia-Montour counties in central Pennsylvania, and that's a comprehensive model school, by the way, 9 through 12; one was serving Monroe County, Monroe Career and Tech Institute, in which Representative Scavello has been very active in that school, and I thank him. I'm now the Director of Career and Tech

Page 71

Ed at the Lehigh Career and Technical Institute in Lehigh County. What I have seen, ladies and gentlemen, are a number of constants no matter where I was as administrator in career and tech ed across the state.

And three overwhelming emergent themes come to mind. One, no matter where I was, no matter which school I was in, we continued to have difficulty filling the demand for these skilled trades, whether it's central Pennsylvania, eastern Pennsylvania or southeastern.

Number 2, there's a great deal of misinformation and misunderstanding about what career and tech ed is. So I'm going to take you on a journey from the student's eyes; what the students in career and tech schools -- Or even before we get there, what they see, what they believe.

And thirdly, students, guidance counselors, sending school administrators and teachers, and most importantly parents, are the persons directly responsible for the decision making in the households and for those decisions to be made for students to pursue a career and technical education.

Page 72

What I've done in every school I've been in, and we'll start at Lehigh, are student-focused groups, student-climate groups. I started it in central Pennsylvania. We did it very well in Monroe, and I plan to bring it to LCTI, Lehigh Career and Tech Institute; bring students in like we're going here and talk to them, from their perspective.

I've asked them, in all three schools, what has made them to choose an education in career and tech ed. What brought you to our doorstep?

Here's what I get. These are the responses I get, and they range from, I came here because of family members in the trades; or family member told me to do so; or we have a family business or mom or dad; sometimes a high school guidance counselor; sometimes a friend. Others say they became interested because of the school tour. They happened to tour the school; saw something really, in their words, mad cool, and they wanted to go and pursue that. But these students were swayed by real-world experiences; sneakers on the ground, onsite experiences.

Now, I also ask students who are thinking about coming to a career and tech school

Page 73

or, more importantly, those who chose not to. Tell me why? Why didn't you want to come here? Here's the concerns that they gave. Overwhelmingly, the students from all three counties would say, they just didn't see the possibilities after graduation in a career and technical school.

Big one, you've heard it already between myself and the previous Committee and Jan, they can't go to college. I want to go to college.

Career and tech ed stops after high school, right?

They didn't see the trades as progressive. They saw them as dirty, as old. They didn't see them as advanced. They didn't see them as futuristic.

Their vision of a career in manufacturing is antiquated. It's like post-World War II era.

To cloud this issue even further, many explained that they were told by parents, school personnel and friends that they need to go to college to get a good job. Far too many of these students never reach our doors and fail to see the potential of career and tech ed.

So, what does all this mean? Ladies and gentlemen, it means that information, exposure and involvement are the keys of bringing students in greater numbers to career and technical education,

Page 74

specifically in those high-demand fields in manufacturing. It also points to the fact that we need to reach these students and their parents at a much younger age.

Ben Franklin once said, tell me and I forget; teach me and I remember; involve me and I learn. To me that's the basis of House Bill 1725 and 1878; student-centered involvement in business and industry.

Now, we have a current delivery model in career and tech ed. How are these bills going to serve us? How could we serve these bills? We look at two points: Collaboration among schools, business and workforce, and the other will be focus on curriculum. Let's look at the focus on the collaboration effort.

This allows our bill connection between all three entities and students, ladies and gentlemen, need to see the connection and bridge between school and the real world, as they like to say. Career and tech schools have close relationship with industry because we need to for 339 for occupational and advisory council meetings, and we also work very closely with our web so that the infrastructure, if you will, is already in

Page 75

place. Also, students can read about something; they can hear it from their friends, they can see it online, but nothing creates or stirs their interest more dramatically, in my opinion, than being on site at a business or industry. Focus the real-world experiences that we all remember most, whether you're a student, a child, an adult.

Also curriculum. We asked career and technical educators to continue to fight the battle to inform students of opportunities in the skilled trades. Much has been centered on the high school-aged student, but I would argue the following, ladies and gentlemen; we need to get to middle school and to elementary-grade levels.

Because if we don't get down to those lower-grade levels, by many accounts their decisions are already made before they reach high school.

There is a vital need then to change the cycle and dramatically increase exposure to these opportunities at a much younger age. Once again, ladies and gentlemen, it's about exposure to the opportunities. And as you know, knowledge is power.

The framework for these bills already exists in our career and tech schools, so the

Page 76

structure is already there. However, none of this will bear fruit unless we focus on student interest, increased information, and promote the opportunities of a career and tech education, coupled with the business industry experience. The earlier in the process, the better.

As I begin to wrap up my script, I have a unique perspective, ladies and gentlemen. I came into education from the corporate world, so I've been on the other side. I've also been in the academic setting and crossed over into career and tech ed, so I've been in all three areas.

Since I come from the academic side of education, I can tell you firsthand and from my own experience of the misconceptions and misinformation that exists concerning a career and technical education. There are deeply engrained perceptions about the skilled trades and career opportunities that can only be overcome by accurate information, exposure and connections to business and industry, and connecting to the students themselves, their counselors, but most importantly, their parents.

Therefore, we support House Bill 1725 and 1825, and I'm gonna close with the following few statements: Many of you have already been to

Page 77

your career and tech schools in your districts.

2 But I would invite you at your earliest opportunity

3 to go visit them once again; talk to the staff,

4 | talk to the students. Once you do so, I guarantee

5 | you will leave the facility with a much greater

6 understanding of what's offered; the incredible

potential that exists and is not fully embraced,

8 and that you will leave as a more informed

9 stakeholder and advocate of career and technical

10 education.

11

18

25

Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you,

13 Tim. Tim, what's the farthest in your area school

districts among the 39,000 students that they

travel to your school? Do they first go to the

main building, take whatever courses, and then they

come to you? You don't give them everything in

your school; am I correct?

DOCTOR RUSHTON: We do and we don't,

Mario. We have comprehensive programs where

students are there all day, and we also have the

programs where they're there for an a.m. or p.m.

session, much like Monroe, and they spend the other

 24 session in their sending school for academics.

Now, if you'll recall, at Monroe there

Page 78

was a comprehensive 9th grade program where students were there all day long.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Right.

DOCTOR RUSHTON: We have similar programs much large at LCTI. But you do have -- What you see at LCTI and Monroe is just at a larger, more grand scale.

I think you're alluding to busing. I remember at Monroe, the furthest students were up near Bushkill, and it took almost an hour by bus ride to get to Monroe and then an hour back, so that's two hours for one session and a two-and-a-half-hour session.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Worse than that, he took -- that same student might have gone from his home to the first school, 40 minutes, and then -- You know what I'm saying. He's on a school bus three hours a day at least; three or four hours a day. I won't want to go there either. Just think about that. After a while you lose the interest for reasons you stated, but also from the busing issue; waiting for the bus; getting on the bus; an hour -- We've got to be able to keep the kids there and give them good training in a field.

I'm going to push it in Monroe, and I

Page 79

hope our guys can go back and look at their setups in their career and technical schools because, I think we're missing the boat.

DOCTOR RUSHTON: There is a lot of instructional time lost to transportation and logistics, but that's the nature of what we have. We have to get the kids to and from. You'll hear from one of the superintendents of one our districts shortly, Doctor Mayo, and he comes from the Allentown district. I think as the crow flies, they're about eight miles away from our school, but with traffic it takes 25, 30 minutes to get there sometimes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Sure

DOCTOR RUSHTON: So that's always going to be an issue no matter where the career and tech school is. No matter where I've been, I've seen that. In a comprehensive school, sure, it's the initial bus ride; they're there for the day and then they return. So, logistically speaking, you probably have the best use of instructional time there, but the vast majority of our models in the state are the half-time about models.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you. Representative Truitt.

Page 80

1 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you, Mr. 2 Chairman. I thank you both for your testimony. I 3 think I'll address a little bit of the Chairman's 4 questions about busing kids around with an idea I 5 want to pitch to you. First, I'm curious to know how these 7 technical schools are funded. If I understand 8 correctly, you charge the resident school district 9 a tuition rate for a student to come to your 10 technical school? 11 DOCTOR RUSHTON: We are funded by our 12 sending districts. 13 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: By the sending 14 districts. 15 DOCTOR RUSHTON: Majority of it, yes. 16 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Because you're 17 funded by the sending districts, would you say the 18 districts regard you as competition and an expense, 19 and therefore, they don't encourage students to go 20 to your school? Or do you think they genuinely 21 market for you and help you find students that are 22 a good fit for your program? 23 DOCTOR RUSHTON: With one of my 24 superintendents sitting right behind me and to my

25

right, that's a loaded question. And I would never

Page 81

speak for them or for him, and that would be a great question to ask Doctor Mayo. But having come from the academics, I have things I could speak from my own perspective.

And much like yourselves when you're buying something, you want to get bang for buck. If I am spending "x" amount of dollars, what am I getting in return? I guess I could answer it in that regard. There's a lot of variety in career and tech schools. You've heard that from the previous committee that they're not all created equally, and a lot of that has to do with funding. But what they need to do, districts need to see the return they're gonna get on their investment of what they're spending. I can't speak to whether they think for what they spend they get their money's worth. They can speak to that degree.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: I mentioned earlier, I'm on the Education Committee as well, and I hear a lot the school districts regard charter schools, for example, as competition. This is where I'm going to scare a lot of people, but I just want to throw an idea out there.

Have you ever considered becoming a learning center for a cyber charter school? So, a

Page 82

student would come to your facility and stay there all day. They would take academic courses like algebra and literature and history, cyber from a classroom, and then spend the rest of the day attending your core program. Is that anything that's ever been considered or knocked around?

Maybe you're not familiar with the learning center concept for a cyber charter school.

DOCTOR RUSHTON: Yes. It's almost like a hybrid model what you're talking about.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Right.

possibilities. I have not worked with that model personally. But, I believe, as time goes on and with technology, you're going to see a lot more morphing of the current model that we use into something that's a little more dynamic and changeable and more accommodating to the various logistics we deal with.

For example, busing is always going to be an issue. We have to look for ways to try and increase our instructional time. So, I can't say for sure right now that model would work everywhere, or I'd have to see it more in-depth.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: It certainly

Key Reporters

Page 83

wouldn't work for every student. Some students just won't learn through a cyber model. But I'm wondering if that wouldn't cut down the need for some kids to spend three or four hours on a bus if they are a good fit for cyber education and could take those classes from your facility.

about some interesting opportunity. As a matter of fact, when I was at Monroe, because of that hour bus ride, there was even talk of putting video screens on buses to try to get a social studies class in as you're driving. I mean, it sounds crazy, but we're trying to look for ways to make up that instructional time loss.

So, there are going to be, in my opinion, a lot of opportunities in the future to try to recoup that instructional time. A one-size-all model will probably be very difficult based on a dynamic range of how career and tech schools operate in this state.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: As a person who spends two hours a day reading on the train, I like your idea of the videos on the bus, actually.

That's out-of-the-box kind of thinking that I think we need to incorporate wherever we can. Thank you

Page 84

for your --

MS. KLEVIS: For your consideration, there are some schools in the state, career and technical schools that are on a semester-about basis. So students leave their sending school and attend career and technical school for a full semester, and then the other semester they're doing all of their academics versus most of the schools are at a half day. They spend half day in academics and half day in career and technical. But there are several schools in the state that do the semester-about.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: That's a good idea, too. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: You know,
Dan, I agree with you. The four school districts
in Monroe all have cyber, and they all send to the
Career and Technical Institute. Why not give them
that education there rather than -- The four school
districts have it. Go to a classroom in your area
and get on the computer and get it done.

Representative Evankovich.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you both for your testimony. My question is primarily for Miss Klevis.

Page 85

You had mentioned Industry Partnerships in your testimony. As I understand the industry partnership model, it follows that industry-cluster concept. From your experience with the Industry Partnerships that you've been involved with, how is that money spent? Who ultimately provides the training, the workforce development, training for those Industry Partnerships, and how is it facilitated?

MS. KLEVIS: Actually, in Lehigh Valley, we do very well with the Industry Partnerships because the community colleges and the career and technical schools, in particular LCTI where I work, we provide much of the training through the industry partnership funding. The trick is the consortium-based training sometimes because of intellectual proprietary information and scheduling, and so forth, for companies.

But the process is pretty much -- The companies that are involved in the Industry Partnerships are there at the meetings. They know about it. The information is presented to them. The folks at the WIB help them develop their training plans, or I help them to develop their training plans. So they look to see who has which

Page 86

courses running, and that is the way they come into the programs. And it works very well. And it is, as you know, matching dollars. It's not free money for the companies.

So I think, for us, they're working very well. I totally get what you're saying about the skill sets, and I do agree with the skill sets, but that's sort of a subset of what we're already doing.

So, in the diversified manufacturing
Industry Partnership, we have companies that are
looking to primarily to do the electro-mechanical
work; primarily looking to do the machining. But
they sort of separate themselves out and come
together in that way already.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Right. I thank you for that. I understand that some folks have had very positive experiences with the Industry Partnership Program. I would just share with the Committee and with those testifying is that, I had visited literally dozens and dozens of businesses, trade associations, groups across the State of Pennsylvania, and I had never even heard of Industry Partnerships until the Pennsylvania

Department of Labor and Industry brought them to my

Page 87

attention. So I think there is a very big gap in what that program has been able to provide; not discounting what it's doing. I think it's part of what we see where we have horses pulling our workforce training cart in this kind of direction rather than this kind of direction (demonstrated).

I wanted to understand what Lehigh
Valley's experience was with the Industry
Partnerships, and also just make it clear that the
tax credit in the PA Wins' proposal would be a 60
percent tax credit. So, for every dollar spent,
there would be \$1.67. For every dollar of tax
credit, that's be a dollar 67 cents of expenditures
on workforce training programs.

So that initial three years -- That initial first year \$3 million would really be \$5 million of overall spending towards workforce developing programs. In the third year that \$10 million would be roughly \$17 million. I'm not sure what the current level of funding is for the Industry Partnership Program, but I think it's important to leverage. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Tim and Jan, thank you so much for your excellent testimony.

Page 88

1 MS. KLEVIS: You're welcome. Thank you 2 for having us. 3 DOCTOR RUSHTON: Thank you for having 4 us. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: The next 6 panel is Doctor Russell Mayo, Superintendent of 7 Allentown School District; Doctor Patrick Gerity, 8 Vice President, Westmoreland Community College; and 9 William Bartle, the Educational Policy Director of 10 Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children. 11 Doctor Mayo, you can begin when you're 12 ready. 13 DOCTOR MAYO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 I appreciate you having me and members of the 15 Committee, Vice Chairman Mackenzie. I appreciate 16 the opportunity to speak. My comments are more 17 directed toward the House Bill 1725, which I have 18 incorrectly put on here PA Wins; confusing the two 19 bills. CareerBound is really what I'm speaking to. 20 I also am encouraged to see that two 21 Allentown state representatives are supporting the 22 Representative Justin Simmons is a sponsor, 23 of course, along with the Vice Chairman and 24 Representative Mike Schlossberg is the co-sponsor.

25

Joining me today is Keith Falco, sitting back here

Page 89

behind me, who is our Director of Instructional

Initiatives for the Allentown School District.

3 He's our lead administrator implementing our

4 | Graduation Initiative Grant, which has a similar

5 purpose to the House Bill 1725.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also would be remiss if I didn't recognize partnerships that already exists between the Lehigh Valley WIB; with Nancy Dischinat who's here to testify a little bit later, and with Sandy Himes and the folks that have just spoken at Lehigh Career and Technical Institute.

I'm pleased to be here to represent at least one urban perspective on the bill. What I want to do is just give a quick summary of just some initial thoughts in looking at the bill and the summary before listening to any of the testimony today. After those three initial thoughts, four recommendations for the Committee's consideration.

First of all, the bill is timely in addressing an overwhelming business and industry need for skilled workers, of course, as you've heard from previous testimony and in addressing the assistance needed by public school districts in preparing our kids for jobs. Graduation rates

Page 90

we're sure will be affected positively by bringing a laser focus and seamless transition to these careers, especially by including a first-hand job access for our students.

Secondly, this bill attracts the financial will of business and industry with tax breaks in exchange for investing in kids and their careers, and the muscle of business and industry has its own reputation for getting things done once they get behind it, especially with their personnel needs.

The third observation before the recommendations, I want to comment on one thing that's already been a theme through this testimony; and that is, one of two unfavorable realities of our American culture, I think, and that is the promotion that's done and has been done over the years recently in a continuing shift from this narrow culture of college that we operate in. Educators are probably the most guilty for perpetuating that culture of college.

Of course, that implies that only college really counts after high school, at least traditionally it has. What we're wanting to see is a shift to a culture of career customization which

Page 91

does not necessarily mean you have to go to college or it doesn't count. But looking at careers as a total package, including if you want to go the college first, and not just either/or.

So, it's unfortunate that we have such a history that's been alluded to already and spoken to specifically, but I think the parodyne will shift in this cultural perspective, but it is going to take some time, but a bill like this would certainly help that shift.

The second of the two unfavorable realities is that, I'm afraid that most of our young people focus on their present and precious little time on their future. You don't have to go any further than your own home if you have kids or grandkids or you know your own history in raising kids. We have -- Among educators often state that most of our young people are thinking no more than 20 minutes into the future at any given time. So this bill should help bring not only that long-term focus on careers, but also real-time preparation for the kids.

In Allentown School District, of course, that's what we're committed to is trying to change these two perspective, which is a monumental shift

Page 92

in the culture. I mean, a culture is so embedded and engrained. We're doing that now with a grant. We're doing it in several ways, but probably a bright spot is our career-counseling centers, which I hope you have an additional handout that's a supplement to this text. It gives a summary of the staffing there and what they are doing, and this bill certainly would support that effort.

Finally, some recommendations for this consideration of the Committee is the curriculum should -- or I should say must, really, includes consideration of what would be known as soft skills, generally-accepted behaviors relating to work ethic, integrity, respect for each other; basically the soft skills, what we refer to as soft skills.

I emphasize that because I'm now superintendent of Allentown, but I've in this business for 44 years in five states and in all kinds of school districts. I've seen the evolution of the students that are coming into public education now. There's a great need for learning these soft skills; how to do teaming, how to work together, how to show respect for the worth and dignity of one another, of your fellow man, for

Page 93

property, et cetera. So that would be an important part of the curriculum.

I would also say, a second part of that curriculum idea is a report that I don't think has received very much attention, at least not in the places I've been. In 1991, there was a SCANS report. That's an acronym for Secretaries

Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills. And what happened with that report is, a commission was put together by the U.S. Secretary of Labor of CEOs, business people from business and industry. The key question was, what do you want high school kids be able to do when they finished high school? What kind of things do they need to know?

It's a very interesting document that was updated in 2000. It has, you refer to by skill area, you're referring to Representative little bit earlier I think doing things by skilled area. If you're wondering what many of those skills would be, this is the compendium of that list of skills that, nationally, CEOs and business and industry have felt strongly kids should come out of high school ready to know.

It's broken into basic skills which, generally, reading, writing, arthritic, et cetera.

Page 94

It speaks to about, I think it's five categories of skills that are really required, one, of which, I remember in particular is teaming; being able to work in teams; being able to get along, et cetera. That's a very important document I think to consider in the curriculum that's suggested by this bill.

Also, a second recommendation would be to give consideration to greater emphasis, perhaps, than seems to be implied, by at least my reading of the summary of the bill, to the middle-school level that's been mentioned a little bit earlier, and even elementary levels for raising awareness. It's interesting in my tenure in public education that how many things seem to have -- maybe another way of putting it is, how quickly kids in the tour compared to when earlier generations came along such as mine. There are skills; there are things that are happening in schools now that once happened in the 9th grade, now they're being taught in the 5th grade.

Unfortunately, there's also societal kinds of considerations and problems that once only occurred in the 9th and 10th and 11th grade that now occur at 4th, 5th and 6th grade, too. So the

Page 95

whole package comes down in grade level and agewise.

I think, as Jack mentioned it earlier, it's very important that the middle school get a lot of emphasis and awareness here and an opportunity for exploration so they can actually begin to get pretty serious by the 9th grade, 10th grade once they get in high schools.

The last two are somewhat related recommendations, and that would be that there be a consideration for an enticement. This, I guess, would overlap with the two bills. For employers to actually hire these students if they're successful in their internships, to actually employ them for at least a year to give them a leg up on experience. It's one thing to have internships to entice the kids and to actually have them have the experience, and then kind of -- If it stops at that point, that's a problem. That's a great discouragement, of course. It would be nice if there were incentives for employers to hire them, actually, for at least the first year.

The final thing would be a tax incentive or some kind of incentive to establish some fund for tuition, which relates, it sounds like,

Page 96

similarly to the other bill for training of these same kids and to actually get them matriculated into the work area skills fields so that they would remain. That might help the retention that was referred to a little bit earlier as part of the problem.

Again, thank you for this opportunity. That's my initial thoughts and comments.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you, Doctor Mayo. Doctor Gerity.

and thank you for the opportunity to address your Committee on these two bills that will support workforce development in Pennsylvania. I've been actively engaged in workforce development for the past 28 years. I'm currently a member of the Governor's Manufacturing Council's Implementation Team and a board member of Southwestern Pennsylvania's Industrial Resource Center, Catalyst Connection for the past five years.

Mr. Chairman, you were very kind in recognition for WebNet PA. I was charged by the chancellor of the state system in 1998 and 1999 to facilitate the development of WebNet PA. WebNet PA been going on -- it's been existing 14 years and

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 97

has trained over a million Pennsylvania workers.

Just recently in the last three years,

in southwestern Pennsylvania, north central

Pennsylvania, we created the ShaleNET Program.

5 I've facilitated the application to labor. We

for that project, so I have a

7 | little experience with workforce development.

Also, the program that I'll address first is the 1878 -- House Bill 1878, the PA Wins program. I have to let you know that I've been actively engaged in developing that program through the Institute of Politics at the University of Pittsburgh. Representative Evankovich vetted the program through a group. University of Pittsburgh had this workforce development committee for the last 14 years. We work with it through the WIBs, the foundations, the higher ed, University of Pittsburgh, CMU, community colleges, CTCs and with the local politician's involvement. So, we do endorse this program.

As we are in the last month of 2013, we're facing a shortage of skilled workers for the manufacturing and technology industries in PA.

According to Georgetown University's Center on Workforce Education and Workforce's research, we

Page 98

are suffering from skills mismatch, or what happens when people in the labor pool lack the skills to do what the market demands. Skills mismatch is a symptom of structural employment, where jobs are lost in one sector of the economy, such as manufacturing and construction, for example, disappear for good, while other sectors such as health care and education expand so fast the pool of the qualified labor can't keep up. It's time we address the skills gaps in manufacturing and technology industries.

Blue-collar occupations represent a substantial fraction, 17 percent, of projected middle-job openings through 2020. Across the board, the highest paying blue-collar jobs are in the manufacturing sector. The top manufacturing positions are often supervisors and managers within a technical field, which suggests the need for even more specialized training. Workers in these supervisory jobs average annual salaries of \$53,700 from 2007 to 2009. The PA Wins program will grow the talent in this manufacturing center for these good jobs.

In southwestern Pennsylvania, Mr. Dennis Yablonsky, a former DCED Secretary under Governor

Page 99

1 Rendell and is currently the CEO of the Allegheny 2 Conference for Community Development, addresses the 3 skills gap challenge stating: In the aftermath of the Great Recession with unemployment at 5 unacceptably high rates, employers in our region 6 have largely been able to find skilled workers they 7 have needed to grow their organizations. But, that 8 is changing and a skills gap is emerging. 9 Thousands of jobs are unfulfilled across 10 10 counties in a recent report by the Allegheny 11 Conference and the Energy Alliance of Greater 12 Pittsburgh indicates that tens of thousands more 13 jobs may become available between now and the end 14 of the decade in 14 critical energy-related 15 occupations. Industry must create awareness of 16 this opportunity and partner with schools engaged 17 in workforce development if increasing demand is 18 not met. 19 The first House Bill 1878 establishes 20 that Pennsylvania Workforce Investment Strategy 21 Program within the Department of Labor and 22 Industry. PA Wins proposes to incentivize business 23 to organize and collaborate with each other to 24 address the common personnel needs and training

25

shortfalls. PA Wins is a collaboration of the

Page 100

Workforce Investment Boards and those employers who are interested in developing employee-training programs using local training providers, like community colleges, unions, and career and technical centers. The participating businesses will be eligible for a tax credit equal to 60 percent of their qualifying workforce development expenditures.

PA Wins program calls for an action plan to be developed by the employers, approved by the WIBs for the implementation of an employee-training program. The value of the PA Wins program is that the employers are actively involved in developing the action plans that defines these training programs. They identify the most critical elements in this action plan, and that is, identifying employees to be trained; identifying training providers with certified instructors; identifying curriculum for the training; location of where training programs will be conducted; frequency and duration of the employee-training program, and identify any or all resources needed to implement the employee-training program.

The real strength of the bill is in the employer's involvement and willingness to

Page 101

participate with other employers to improve their workforces by forming the Cooperative Workforce Investment Partnerships. The CWIPs will be coordinated by the local WIB, and they will assist the CWIP to define and establish their goals and objectives. And once again, this bill identifies the most important goals and objectives that would affect the CWIPs in the regions that they reside, and they are listed.

The PA Wins bill will support employee training and will promote the industry collaboration through the formation of the CWIPs. These regional businesses, WIBs, and training providers will all be working together to address the skills gap that are hindering the industries growth and the financial health of our communities. Concerns regarding the program is the selection process for the training providers. If they can provide the industry or college credentials necessary to get and keep a family-sustaining job and help the participants fall into a career pathway. I also recommend that Labor and Industry define eligible and ineligible costs for the use of the funds generated by the tax credit dollars.

The second bill is CareerBound. The

Page 102

bill also addresses the skills gap dilemma facing PA job creators. The common theme from a dozen hearing conducted across the Commonwealth was that there is a significant gap between the skills produced by the state's education system. This theme has been echoed by the 2012 Governor's Manufacturing Advisory Council report.

CareerBound will provide students with early exposure to an array of in-demand career opportunities through programs designed by schools, local businesses, educational institutions, and business support groups. This initiative will focus on providing students with access to career exposure opportunities and help grow new interest in the state's workforce for new job creators.

Many of the other states have yielded significant benefits from developing cooperative relationships between the business community and local schools.

At Westmoreland County Community

College, we form occupational advisory boards,

which are comprised of local industry

representatives who review existing and new

programs and make recommendations on how they can

best serve their hiring needs, and we do listen to

their recommendations.

Page 103

CareerBound will focus on collaboration among schools, businesses, and the workforce system. The local WIBs will establish these partnerships with the local businesses, business-support groups, schools, and other educational institutions such as community colleges and career and technical centers, to apply for the CareerBound program. This partnership will plan a curriculum and submit their proposal to Labor and Industry, which will be approved, and there will be seven pilot programs across the Commonwealth. WIBs will manage the program, and their partners will have the ability to tailor the CareerBound programs to fit the needs of their community.

They address the CareerBound curriculum, and this is intended to guide students toward fulfilling family-sustaining careers. All programs must gear its training to the skills needed for L&I's designated high-priority occupations. The high-priority occupations have been in existence for over 10 years here. And through Labor and Industry, they provide jobs -- High-priority occupation is 150 percent of minimum wage with benefits.

This curriculum will focus on one of

Page 104

three primary components, all of which are different opportunities by partnering schools and students with local businesses. Earlier exposure is most critical. The sooner the students are aware of careers in the technical, the manufacturing, the technology fields, the better. It gets on their radar screen.

The practical exposure will enable the students to gain hands-on experience through job shadowing, training on donated equipment, in-class demonstrations and skill applications. And most importantly, the extended exposure enables students to intern with local businesses and earn wages or classroom credit, helping them to be fully integrated into a professional environment and gain the tangible skills needed to enter the workforce.

Funding for this program will be providing the PA businesses the opportunity to contribute to the new CareerBound program and receive tax credits and return for their contributions. Contributing businesses will fall into one of two categories: Business partners who contribute, and they can receive up to 90 percent of their tax credits; and the other contributors, businesses can receive up to 75 percent. This

Page 105

funding structure will be similar to the state's successful EITC program, and an application process for the credit would be developed by the state's Department of Community and Economic Development.

The true benefits of CareerBound are career exposure at a young age for students; creating partnerships between local schools and businesses who create jobs; opportunities for internships, apprenticeships, job shadowing, career expos; expanding awareness of more career opportunities in local businesses; and stronger business-school relationships will benefit the employers.

One recommendation would be for Labor and Industry to identify best practices from the CareerBound programs and share them with PDE in hopes that they will share these best practices with school districts in Pennsylvania. If the other school districts begin to form relationships with local businesses and establish occupational advisory groups, this program will have been successful.

Second recommendation is that, according to the Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce, almost one-third of job openings

Page 106

- between 2010 and 2020 will require a post-secondary
- vocational certificate, industry-based
- 3 certification, some college credits, or an
- 4 associate degree. I recommend that in all of the
- 5 | CareerBound partnerships include two-year
- 6 institutions and community colleges in these
- 7 partnerships. I also recommend including the
- 8 career and technical centers that provide industry
- 9 certifications and adult-learning programs.
- These are two programs -- Just to put
- things in perspective, I've been around a long
- 12 time. The CareerBound is very similar to school-
- to-work type of program and Tech Prep which we've
- lost through federal funding. This can only
- benefit and get the word out to the younger
- students and create that pipeline that's necessary.
- Thank you for your time.
- 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SCAVELLO: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. William Bartle, Education Policy Director.
- Before you begin, unfortunately, I have to leave.
- I have a council trustees meeting at ESU in my
- district so I have to go back. My Vice Chair, Ryan
- Mackenzie, will take over for me.
- MR. BARTLE: Good afternoon, I guess,
- Mr. Chairman and Committee members and staff. I'm

Page 107

Bill Bartle. I'm the Education Policy Director for Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children. For those of you who may not know who PPC is, we are a statewide, independent, nonpartisan and nonprofit child advocacy organization committed to improving the education, health, and well-being of the children in the Commonwealth.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify before the Committee today in support of House Bill 1725, and I'm going to limit my remarks to House Bill 1725.

The bill establishes seven CareerBound pilot programs that allow for the creation of partnerships among students, educators and employers to provide school-to-work programs, including career awareness and work-based learning. This will provide students early exposure to an array of high-priority occupations, and help employers develop a pipeline of future workers with the education and skills needed for the businesses to compete and to succeed.

As you heard from a number of testifiers today, there is a shortage of qualified workers in Pennsylvania and across the nation. Many Pennsylvania employers, even in the recent

Page 108

difficult economic environment, have job openings that they're unable to fill because they cannot find workers with the skills to fill these jobs.

The U.S. Bureau of Statistics estimates that by the year 2020, the nation will face a shortage of more than 20 million qualified workers for the fastest-growing job sectors, including health care, manufacturing and STEM careers.

Today in Pennsylvania, 70 percent of the jobs require post-secondary education; whether that is a two-year degree, a four-year degree, an industry credential, an apprenticeship or technical certification program, or a workforce training program, but some education after high school. Yet, only about half of our current workforce in the Commonwealth possess that level of educational attainment.

To compete successfully in today's global, technology-driven economy, Pennsylvania needs a highly-educated, highly-skilled workforce to prepare our young people both in school and out of school for the realities of the marketplace, and to ensure our employers have the pipeline of qualified workers they need, we must ensure that all of our young people have a sound academic

Page 109

foundation, including some post-secondary education and the career and labor market information they need to make informed decisions about their futures.

Career and labor market information should include the vast array of occupations available today; what the high-priority occupations are in Pennsylvania; what the education and skills are required to secure those occupations, and pathways that students can take to obtain the required education and skills.

There are multiple pathways to a successful career for our young people, only one of which is a four-year bachelor's degree. The job market still has a clear place for those with a four-year degree, but the fastest growing segment of our economy is for highly-skilled, highly-compensated gold-collar workers. Gold-collar workers have job-appropriate skills that are obtained by education beyond high school, but often less than a four-year degree.

But too many young people and their families don't know about the jobs of the new economy or the high-priority occupations in their region. They don't understand the day-to-day

Page 110

activities associated with jobs. They don't know what level of education they need to secure the jobs, or how and where to get the necessary education or, quite frankly, how to pay for it.

Clearly, it is critically important for both in-school and out-of-school young people to participate in meaningful career awareness and work- based learning experiences so they can explore careers and gain exposure to the world of work. This will provide students the information they need to make informed decisions about a career path.

Providing these experiences to young people can also help keep them in school and on track to graduation, or re-engage those young people who have already dropped out of school, by connecting the relevance of what they're learning in the classroom to their future life success. It also helps to motivate students to pursue post-secondary education to obtain the education and skills they need to achieve their career goal.

An important aspect of the CareerBound program is the inclusion of a continuum of work-based learning activities for students from middle school through high school. This begins

Page 111

with early exposure, career awareness activities such as career presentations, workplace tours, field trips, career fairs and career clubs to inform young people about the high-priority occupations available today.

Then in late middle school or early high school, career exploration activities that provide young people the opportunity to learn more about a high-priority occupation that is related to their individual career goals are provided. These activities such as job shadows and career mentoring, students work with workplace professionals and participate in developmentally-appropriate, hands-on work experiences.

And finally, career preparation activities, such as internships and apprenticeships are provided to high school students to gain in-depth knowledge about a high-priority career through a monitored work experience, in which a young person links skills used in the workplace with his or her academic learning.

PPC has appreciated the opportunity to work closely with staff on the development of this legislation. We would like to end our testimony by sharing a few ideas for some minor improvements

Page 112

which could be made to House Bill 1725.

First, PPC believes that the curriculum for the pilot program should be aligned to Pennsylvania's career education and work academic standards. These standards describe the knowledge and skills which students will be expected to know and be able to demonstrate at specific grade levels. Therefore, it makes sense to ensure the pilot's curriculum adhere and align to these standards.

Secondly, the language should be clearer that the Workforce Investment Boards are responsible for convening the school and business partners. I think the whole process of convening and managing these partnerships is a key component to the success of the pilot programs. And adding this language will ensure there is a clear expectation of which entity is responsible for the organization and assembling of partners to meet the pilot goals.

Next, PPC recommends language to require the Department of Labor and Industry to coordinate information to employers and to schools to help them create effective career awareness and workbased learning experiences. I've seen where a

Page 113

business may decide that they will to a job shadow or take an intern, and they aren't prepared for that student to come into their place of business. It really ends up not necessarily being that effective of an experience for the student.

The PPC over the last few years developed a free-tool kit that is on our website that provides how-to information to help employers really conduct meaningful work-based learning opportunities for students.

And finally, we recommend the pilot application to Labor and Industry include clear objectives, measurable goals and a timeline for the project. This will provide a clear path for pilot programs to follow, and for Labor and Industry to hold pilots accountable.

I appreciate you taking these suggestion into consideration for the opportunity to testify today. We are pleased Representative Mackenzie introducing such legislation and that the Committee is studying it. We believe the pilot will help both the students and businesses and provide valuable information for making future progress in ensuring all Pennsylvania youths are college and career-ready when they graduate from high school.

Thank you.

1

8

13

15

20

25

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Great. Thank
you, gentlemen, for your testimony. We do have

4 several questions. We will start off with

5 Representative Donatucci.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you.

7 And I'm going to plead ignorance before I ask these

questions because I am a little confused, because

9 I'm hearing so much about Pennsylvania,

Pennsylvania, and very little about Philadelphia in

11 Pennsylvania. I know that we have a huge union

force in Philadelphia. I know a lot of these jobs

are probably union apprenticeships in Philadelphia.

14 Am I correct in assuming that?

DOCTOR GERITY: Same in Pittsburgh.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Same in

Pittsburgh, okay, because I'm not hearing too much

either way. One of my questions, and I believe it

was your testimony, I'm not sure, about the WIBs

being involved in it, and they can be involved I'm

seeing because I was going to ask, can they be

involved. It was already said that they could.

My question is, are they going to

receive or need additional funding to participate

in an administrative oversight of CareerBound or

PA Wins?

DOCTOR GERITY: That has to be determined by Labor and Industry on how the funds will be utilized; eligible.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: I can answer part of that for you, Representative Donatucci.

House Bill 1725 does fill out a portion of the funds that would be generated through the tax credits would be allocated for administrative needs for the WIBs. So that is already included in the legislative language.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: So my next question is, are the WIBs not being, like they're not effective?

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: No. I think we're going to hear from the WIBs in the next presentation here. I think they certainly are being effective. I think this just gives them additional resources to amplify some of the successful offers they are undertaking.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: I will comment that hearing all the testimony I heard so far, I think all of us need to have career fairs to let people in our districts know that all these jobs do exist. Is there a problem in Philadelphia

Page 116

or Pittsburgh with filling these jobs? Like, is it the way it is across the state, or are we pretty much in control of people taking these jobs in the cities?

DOCTOR GERITY: There's difficulty in finding the right skills set for jobs, especially manufacturing and technology-based businesses. In southwestern Pennsylvania, with the emerging natural gas industry, there's going to be thousands of new jobs that will be created, and we have to prepare and find people with that skill sets. In addition to people who will be retiring and leaving the workforce on a regular basis over the next 10 years, there's a major challenge there.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Because I have a very large refinery in my district.

DOCTOR GERITY: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE:

Representative Donatucci, it did come to mind, also in the language of the bill, as far as the administrative dollars go, it's 5 percent of the funding. That's in line with other practices; for instance, Industry Partnerships here in Pennsylvania which is another existing model that's

Page 117

utilized that has that 5 percent administrative dollar cap as well. Great.

Moving on. Next question comes from Representative Truitt.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I still feel like I'm in an Education Committee hearing here; not Labor and Industry. This is a great merging of those two areas. I'll start with Doctor Mayo. I think you probably know where I'm going already because I asked the question earlier.

Can you tell me a little bit about how your school district perceives the technical schools? Do they perceive them as competition or partners or -- I mean, can you describe the nature of that relationship there? I'm wondering how your school district markets these options to its students?

partner for our kids; particularly our clientele of kids in the inner cities. They're very effective in what they do. I was thinking a little bit earlier as I was listening to the testimony that it would be very hard--Chairman Scavello is gone--but his reference to putting things back in the high

school.

There would be no way we could possibly fund the level of skills that kids are taught at LCTI and the equipment that is congregated out there in that one place that benefits 12, 13 different school districts with our budget and our economic situation. In an urban center, you'd never have anything close to that in a high school. Maybe at some of the suburbs would.

They're partners. They're important partners with us. We actually have 47, 48 percent, I think it is, of the kids in LCTI are from our school district alone, so we don't see them as competition at all. In fact, probably we have, I think, maybe as high as 200 kids there in the all-day program.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: How do students in your school district find out about LCTI? Is that something that you actively push out to them, or you tell them about it? I got the impression from the earlier testimony it's almost like they find out about it through word of mouth or whatever.

DOCTOR MAYO: That's the interesting thing about testimony. It's an education for me

Page 119

setting here listening to it, too, because we're all looking at it through different lenses, of course; some of which are foreign to others as they're listening.

Our kids know about LCTI. They know well about it. They do have this somewhat of a stigma in their minds about some of it, just like kids do in every school district I've been in. But a large number of our kids go out to LCTI. As I mentioned, almost half of the kids out there are from Allentown School District alone.

We're still trying to deal with making sure they know about the program. Counselors, people from LCTI in the spring annually visit during class signup for the next year when kids are registering for their courses for the next year. There have been some tours; buses that we've carried kids out to actually see it firsthand. There's quite a bit that goes on even beyond what I know. I just happen to know of those two things in particular.

There's a great deal of active recruiting in our district, in part, because I believe the folks at LCTI also know that the potential is very great in the Allentown School

Page 120

District for having kids come out and benefiting and taking advantage of these jobs.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: The school tours they were mentioned earlier, do the parents usually come along on those tours as well, or is it just the students?

DOCTOR MAYO: It's just the students because it's usually done during a school day when we have the students. Parents are at work, so it's very difficult in our particular situation. A lot of our parents have two and three jobs. They're working so they can't get away.

The thing that is done with career awareness through our Graduation Initiative Grant is a program we do at LCTI where kids come out for a day that are seniors and sometimes juniors, and they are given an opportunity to talk a variety a of recruiters, industry recruiters, at Lehigh Carbon Community College.

There's quite a few things that go on that probably the Committee is not aware of, of course, because you wouldn't be in the day-to-day operations of it. In fact, there's enough going on that I'm not sure I'm aware of all of it. Our district is the third largest urban in the

Page 121

Commonwealth, and we're 17,000 kids. For me to know every detail of what's being done is a challenge.

But, there's a great deal of recruitment that goes on, and there's word of mouth from student to student also. Personally, we'd like to see more of our kids taking advantage of it, and we continually work toward that.

Just a casual observation about this culture of college thing. Over the years, sometimes I go in elementary classrooms and a part of just talking with the kids, you ask, who's going to college? Everybody will raise their hand. We all know that's not true. We don't know who will and who won't at that point, but we know that's not true. But that shows you how part -- how much -- part of the thread and fiber of our culture, this idea you're going to college. But that's changing. It's slowly making a shift here, but it's not shifting fast enough.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: That's a perfect segue to my last question, which is kind of for all three of you. I see the same thing. Families are pushing their kids to go to college. I have two boys that are approaching college age, and I just

Page 122

always assumed they're going to go to college. It's probably a bad assumption that whole time.

I'm wondering at what point do we get parents involved, and let children know that when they're in middle school, you've got these different opportunities out there. You could go and spend four years in college. And depending on what degree you come out with, you can end up making a lot less money than if you just pursued a technical career. I don't know when --

I feel like we're reluctant to push kids these days in any specific direction. We want them to find what's best for them on their own so we don't push them, and we kind of missed that window when we should have, maybe, given them some advice about maybe college isn't right for you. You might want to consider this, and college as a backup or something like that.

DOCTOR MAYO: And you have to be careful with that. Even as educators, we try to be careful about not trying to play God on this thing and tell you, you really ought to do this, because that's part of the problem we've had for the last couple generations is, we start pigeonholing you based on your grades, your attendance or whatever. What if

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 123

that's a stage? Kids go through stages. You know what I'm saying? They come through those things and -- So you have to be really careful about giving that advice.

I agree with you, you have to leave it a little bit open-ended, which is why I would emphasize with this bill and other career kinds of opportunities that -- serious look is taken at what's being done in middle schools because the consent of a middle school is exploratory. It's certainly the fundamentals, the three R's, but it's also transition period in the life of a child between the childhood and the teen years. It's a wonderful opportunity to help them discover what are the things, the skills, the kinds of things out there you might like so that they, in fact, by high school or at least by 10th grade, say, can hit the ground running. We do tend to focus a lot on high school because of age and tradition and a variety of things. But there also needs to be a focus on catching them much earlier; at least catching their imagination with what they would want to do.

Just a quick observation relating to the college thing, too. I think there's an advantage here in this bill, as well as this across the

Page 124

country kind of push for career education. It's like a second push it seems to me. We just come through a very difficult economy. The urban school districts haven't come through it yet. We're out of the recession officially as of June '09. I'm still trying to figure out how we determine that.

The fact that so many college-educated folks are out of jobs or couldn't get them when they came out of college, they still owe their loans. And these opportunities; these gold-collar opportunities are great opportunities for folks. That's gonna help make that shift, I think; that parodyne shift from this culture of college to the culture of customized careers, which can include college.

Unfortunately, in society, we've looked at the two as mutually exclusive. One doesn't have anything to do with the other, when, in fact, there could be a seamless operation going on from high school into business and industry, or into college and then business. You see what I'm saying? It's not, you go this way or this way. You can go that way and end up at similar places.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Very good. Do you want to add something?

Page 125

MR. GERITY: Just that there's an interesting fact that Westmoreland Community College we have 25 percent of the students enrolled have bachelor's degrees and were unable to find any family-sustaining job, and they come back to engage in occupational education. Our enrollment has 60 percent involved in occupational education and 40 percent in the transfer to the four-year institutions. That's very common across the country with community colleges enrollments.

MR. BARTLE: And I just recently, from the Department of Labor and Industry, saw data on the educational requirements for the jobs in Pennsylvania and the educational attainment level of our workers. If you look at that, you see the four-year degree or better, we have a few more people with that degree than we do jobs for that to fill. When you look at the two-year industry credential of some college, we have not that many people and lots of jobs. There's a lot of opportunity there.

Then when you look at a high school degree or less only, we've got a substantial number of folks with that credential level and very few jobs that don't necessarily pay family-sustaining

wages or offer benefits.

But, the other thing to look at along with that data is the average Pennsylvania earnings by educational attainment level, and that kind of tells a different story, because the more you learn, the more you earn. They just kind of go down from the advance degrees, earn the most, then bachelor's degrees, then some college, then high school and then less than high school.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: We still have kind of an unclear answer as to when's the right time to start pushing a kid in a certain direction.

DOCTOR MAYO: I can give you the answer. There isn't one. I mean, that's the truth. It depends on the child; it depends on the circumstances. That's the challenge of any of these things. Some of this -- This bill will apply to some kids; it won't work for others. There's no silver bullet that's going to apply to the whole population of kids.

But, there really isn't an answer to say, there is a certain point at which you do it.

I think middle school you start trying to get them to focus, or at least look at these five things based on whatever you've observed as a parent or a

guardian as to their interest.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: I'm probably one of the last people in the General Assembly that would encourage us to become more like Europe. But in Europe they do start much earlier to push kids in certain directions --

DOCTOR MAYO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: -- and I sometimes think about the potential upside of that.

DOCTOR MAYO: In fact, I made the observation to staff yesterday as they were briefing me on some of this and I was preparing comments that this is -- some of what we're looking at in Allentown and moving toward, which is consistent with this, is not different from the German structure where they have the gymnasium, and then they have a technical kind of track, and they do it 7th and 8th grade. At least they did. I haven't looked at it in the last few years.

They go ahead and commit one way or the other. They make strong recommendations to parents and kids about it, which that has traditionally flown in the face of our Democratic society and our free choice and free will.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you.

Key Reporters

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Thank you, 2 Representative Truitt. Next up, Representative 3 Evankovich. REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. Very briefly, a question for Mr. Gerity. I'm not sure how much experience you have 7 with dealing directly with the WIBs in Westmoreland 8 County, but I think a fair amount. We've heard 9 this industry partnership program brought up 10 several times today. Is it a program that you're 11 intimately familiar with locally in Westmoreland 12 County? Is it something that is utilized? 13 DOCTOR GERITY: Yes, I'm aware of it. I 14 was around when the program started. And, 15 unfortunately, it's lost a lot of the funding that 16 the Industry Partnerships have had. Some have been 17 very successful. And due to the fact that they're 18 not funded at a good rate, they -- one of the 19 problems we've had as being engaged as a training 20 provider where the WIBs in southwestern 21 Pennsylvania rely on private vendors over the 22 community colleges and the CTCs. 23 REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: So your 24 interpretation is that, when you did see the

25

industry partnership programs in place, it tended

Page 129

to go towards the private vendors rather than the community colleges? Is that what I --

DOCTOR GERITY: In southwestern Pennsylvania, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Okay. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE MACKENZIE: Thank you,
Representative Evankovich. Very quickly. I do
want to thank Doctor Mayo for coming today. Part
of the genesis of CareerBound and this idea and
this legislation came about after a visit. I
joined the folks from the Workforce Investment
Board that we're going to hear from shortly, but
attended CareerFORCE as it's called at Allen High
School. The stories that the students told, who
were so passionate about what they had learned at
CareerFORCE and how they were going to improve
themselves and their lives was very moving.

That program is one of the things we do want to highlight and amplify across the state. If you can, just be, very briefly, Doctor Mayo, about the funding for that program and how long you have that funding for and what happens when that funding does expire?

DOCTOR MAYO: I might have to get a

Page 130

little help from Mr. Falco here and Nancy because she's involved in this, too. I can tell you, there's a handout that was supplemented with my remarks.

We have three staff folks involved in the college and career centers in both of our high schools. You see a description there of their responsibilities. There's a number of activities.

The Graduation Initiative Grant is now three years old, is it Keith? We're in our fourth year and we have one more year of it. And it is how many million? \$2.4 million, and that's from the federal government. We think it's been very effective, and you've heard some of the testimony, as you mentioned from the kids when we were going through there.

It's a hands-on. It's a station right there where the kids can be sent. They can be counseled, et cetera. It's not a traditional counseling kind of situation, which I'm afraid we've fallen into a rut sometimes with our counseling. We get used to signing kids up. It's time for you to look at college applications, et cetera. This takes it out of that kind that routine. You saw how the center is decorated with

really proud of.

Page 131

pendants from all the colleges; career opportunities are listed on the computer. It's a pretty elaborate situation for the kids that we're

I appreciate Mr. Falco's effort. He's a former high school principal, so he knows exactly what you've got to do and what you've got to make happen with the high school kids to get them focused on those things.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: And I appreciate that. To Representative Donatucci's point earlier, this is something that can really help the urban areas. As you mentioned, you're the thirst largest urban school district in the state. The grant that you received is actually a drop-out prevention grant.

DOCTOR MAYO: That's correct.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: That is a very helpful and successful tool that we can utilize if we continue that at the state level, because, obviously, federal dollars are tight. We can help prevent dropouts in especially those urban areas where it's a prevalent problem.

Thank you for the work you've done, and thank you for coming to testify. I appreciate all

Page 132

three of the gentlemen's testimony. Thank you.

DOCTOR MAYO: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Next up we have the Workforce Development representatives. We have Jessie McCree from the Pennsylvania Workforce Development Association; Nancy Dischinat, Executive Director of the Lehigh Valley Workforce Investment Board; and Scott Sheely, Executive Director of the Lancaster County Workforce Investment Board.

Mrs. Dischinat, if you would like to start first.

MS. DISCHINAT: Good afternoon members of the Pennsylvania House Labor and Industry
Committee. I respectfully acknowledge our Lehigh
Valley legislator, Representative Ryan Mackenzie.
Thank you so much for all you do for us. I'm Nancy
Dischinat. I'm Executive Director of the Lehigh
Valley Workforce Investment Board. And on behalf
of the Lehigh Valley Board, we're pleased that
you're holding this session on innovative House
Bill 1725 and 1878, although I'll not be commenting
at length on 1878 since our association, the
Pennsylvania Workforce Development Association will
be providing testimony shortly, as did our
workforce partners from Lehigh Career and Technical

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 133

Institute. We are partners with them.

First I'd really like to say thank you, because we asked you and you delivered. On July 31st, 2012, I testified before the House Public and Policy Committee, along with our business partners from B. Baun Medical, Nestle Waters North America and ABEC, Incorporated and made an important request for one of you to step forward to be a champion and introduce legislation that would propose that every high school in Pennsylvania be staffed with a youth workforce coordinator under the auspices of the Workforce Investment Board; to provide students with real-time career exploration and awareness, career pathways development, identifying current and future skill gaps, training demands and high-priority occupations, and to be a continuous link to business and industry.

It's my pleasure to provide input from business, education, economic development and community leaders through a 46-member private sector-led Workforce Investment Board on House Bill 1725. To educate, inform and get members of the business community knowledgeable about this legislation, we have already engaged the Greater Lehigh Valley Chamber of Commerce, which is the

Page 134

largest chamber in Pennsylvania, and the ninth largest chamber in the United States with over 6,000 members. The chamber is an important example of the relationships WIBs have with the private sector in identifying current and future jobs, the skills gaps, and the education and training required for Pennsylvania's current workforce and their future pipeline.

The workforce system is already under the administration of the Department of Labor and Industry, and really will be empowered by House Bill 1725 to further develop innovative school-to-work programs and initiatives. Many relationships built through a regional career education partnership that was around around 2005 can easily be reinstated to provide the infrastructure for WIBs to work with the educational system across Pennsylvania, and many of us already are.

For example, the Lehigh Valley has a business education partnership as a subsidiary of the WIB and is currently working within the halls of education funded through member contributions and with EITC tax credit resources. We were designated an as improved EITC tax organization by the Department of Community and Economic

Page 135

Development, and we have three youth initiatives already on the EITC tax-funding list. Just last week, Air Products, PPL and UGI provided EITC tax-credit resources to fund some of our school-to-work initiatives. Tax credits do work. We are proof.

WIBs have been educating our communities and our educational partners for years on high-priority occupations on real-time jobs, on labor market information, occupational and career pathways, connecting youth to work experiences, linking business and industry to education, providing in- and out-of-school youth programming and serving as an operator of the state's workforce system called PA CareerLink. House Bill 1725 will provide WIBs with the funds to implement, support, and sustain innovative school-to-work programs outside the mandates of the Workforce Investment Act and other state programming.

Building on the collaborative

partnerships already developed by WIBs, this

legislative authority to enter education with the

resources, innovative strategies, and the strong

business support we already have is a solution to

channeling the workforce pipeline into high
priority occupations as defined by employer base.

Page 136

And hallelujah on providing schools with the funds through tax credits to develop a relevant and rigorous curriculum. Legislators, every curriculum coordinator in the State of Pennsylvania should be required to work in concert with a team of private sector members to help frame a curriculum; to expose students to high-priority occupation through job shadowing and tours and field trips and practical knowledge needed for work in these occupations.

This legislation will give us some tools to continue to partner with industry leaders, to strengthen existing educational partnerships, and to create new business education partnerships statewide, especially through a proposed multiprogramming cycle fueled by tax credits.

House Bill 1725 will allow WIBs across
Pennsylvania to seed business education
partnerships and youth career awareness programming
like Lehigh Valley's B. Braun Medical partnership
with Catasauqua High School, and its 45-day
awareness course where they literally go into the
high school; teach the students and have the
students come to their facility and learn what
happens on a manufacturing floor.

Page 137

WIBs can build on strategic initiatives like the Lehigh Valley Rotational Internship Network pilot with Nestle Waters, B. Braun Medical and Dynalene and ATAS International, who are sharing a cohort of career and technical students right now from Lehigh Career and Technical School, giving these young learners direct and practical electro-mechanical experience right in the manufacturing plant, creating a rigorous, relevant, credit-based and hands-on learning experience, and they're paying them \$12 an hour, also.

CareerBound will provide tax credit resources to develop and sustain career awareness programming across Pennsylvania similar to Lehigh Valley WIBs' youth workforce coordinators stationed at high schools in the Allentown and Bethlehem School Districts and at Lehigh Career and Technical Institute.

In the Allentown School District, a youth workforce coordinator is known as the jobs lady. She's funded by the U.S. Department of Education High School Graduation Initiative, and she provides employability skills, connection to business and work experiences, career pathways, job and labor market information, training options in

Page 138

high-priority occupations, linkages to community-based organizations and much more. And oh, yes.

She helps students find a part-time job so they can stay in school; all, of which, Representative

Mackenzie has certainly observed many times firsthand.

We have been instrumental in embedding the PA Academic Standards for career education and work into the school districts through many programs like CareerLinking academies funded through the EITC tax program; a comprehensive career exploration program that includes business and education tours, work readiness development, career pathways planning; providing more than 4,000 students with the solution to the constant complaint that young adults do not have the employability skills needed to be successful in the global marketplace.

We're pleased that CareerBound will provide tax-credit funding to support innovative career awareness programs. WIBs are more than happy to share our successes; share how we measure our accomplishments and overcome the challenges in making a career-bound model a success throughout Pennsylvania.

Page 139

In these times of fiscal constraints when there is great need to increase efficiency and eliminate duplicative programs, we must move towards integration of services rather than creating a new bureaucracy. I thank you for your confident in naming WIBs in this legislation to implement this innovative school-to-work vision. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Thank you.

Representative Evankovich commented on your energy,

Ms. Dischinat, and that is to be commended. I am

always excited to see that energy and passion when

I'm in the Lehigh Valley as well. So thank you for

coming today.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: It was a positive comment, by the way.

MR. SHEELY: Nancy is a tough act to follow. Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to testify about the CareerBound legislation that's currently being considered by the Committee. I'm Scott Shelly, Executive Director of the Lancaster County Workforce Investment Board.

I want to make sure that you understand that I am here representing two roles today. One

Page 140

is as the Executive Director of the Lancaster

County Board. In that capacity, I oversee the

operation of the public workforce system in

Lancaster County in close collaboration with a

private sector-led board of directors that

represents the diversity of industry in our region.

But secondly, I'm also the current Chair of the Pennsylvania Workforce Development

Association. In that role, I represent the interests and concerns of the entire workforce -- public workforce system of the Commonwealth, and actually, I'm trying to reflect some of the comments from our members across the Commonwealth in the testimony.

Parenthetically, I've been a workforce practitioner for more than 12 years; making the transition from running our family wholesale distributorship. I've been in the private second for the 12 years before that.

Most of the time I've been involved with workforce, I have also been engaged in using a sector or a cluster approach. I'd love to talk to the Representative about his concerns about cluster concept. But using that in the economic and workforce development world in which I work every

Page 141

day. Ultimately, I'm a sector-oriented practitioner of workforce development because it works, a fact that I believe has relevance to the legislation at hand and to other legislation being considered by the Committee.

As the Executive Director of Lancaster County Workforce Investment Board, I couldn't agree more wholly with my colleague Nancy from the Lehigh Valley, and the others who have presented written testimony about the overwhelming need to engage young people in career pathways that lead to family-sustaining jobs, and which keep the talent pipeline flowing to the employers that are part of our regional economies.

There is no doubt in my mind that the skills gap about which we hear from employers is very real, and will no doubt get worse as companies suffer through the gradual retirement of the baby boom work force, which is already happening. There will be a huge talent gap that threatens the competitiveness of companies in the Commonwealth in the global marketplace. I think here we need to understand that this is not an education issue so much as an economic development competition issue, which really makes economic development an

Page 142

important part of the considerations for this legislation.

For me, the CareerBound legislation is the right legislation at the right time. I believe that all of us in the public workforce system in Pennsylvania can get behind the problematic goals of CareerBound.

In my role as Chair of the Pennsylvania Workforce Development Association, however, I would like to offer some ideas about how to make the proposed legislation stronger and more adaptable to the current system. First of all, career pathways, which is actually the counter point to cluster concepting, really depend on a broad group of partners that typically include education and others.

To that end, we suggest that the definition of school partner, which is page 2, line 29, at least of the version that I had, be expanded to include technical and community colleges and other institutions of higher education. There's such variety in the various areas that we need to make sure we have the whole array of education partners at the table.

Secondly, the collaborative arrangements

Page 143

suggested by the legislation are very local, if you really look at them. They will develop with unique sets of actors and address issues that are specific to the local area. Because of that, we suggest that expecting the department, which is page 7, line 2, to administer these local projects is probably not going to be workable. We suggest a tier approach where the Department of Labor and Industry oversees the local partnerships which, in turn, manage the local relationships that would be more compatible with the way the system works currently, really keeping the focus local in terms of the relationship building.

In looking over the legislation, we also did not see a set of metrics upon which decision makers will rely upon to determine whether the proposed pilot program will be successful. We propose that those metrics need to be determined at the beginning of the project by the department and in consultation with local business and workforce leaders so that we kind of know what we're shooting for down the line.

A few of us have had experience with tax credit funded program such as the Educational Improvement Tax Credit, but for the most part the

Page 144

public workforce system, the people do that day in and day out, are really unaware of the requirements of this kind of funding mechanism. We suggest, and whether you do that in the legislation or in some other way, that before a request for a proposal is released, that there be some sort of technical assistance to the staff people who are a part of these Workforce Investment Boards around the Commonwealth just to kind of even the playing field in terms of people's knowledge about how tax credit programs work.

We also want to call the attention of the Committee to the idea that a tax-credit funded program tends to favor those communities that have a larger business base than others. The larger the concentration of businesses, the more potential to raise donations for CareerBound programs and tax incentives. While that may be very good for metro areas, for some of our more rural areas, that may be a problem in terms of their actual access to the funding stream.

To that point, there is a question of equity in the disbursement of the tax-credit funds that are available. It would be very easy for a larger metro with lots of employers to gobble up

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 145

more than their share of the funds available. So the question is, is there any notion of an equitable distribution of funds, or will the distribution be competitively based? I think we can all go with either one. It's just people need to know up front kind of where they are and what to expect.

So, in general, I think we like the project and would be happy to provide additional feedback as it moves forward to implementation.

I also have a few comments on the Wins bill as well, if that's okay. In the sense that this is a program that's also funded using tax credits, and many of the same issues and concerns we raised in the earlier testimony would also apply. The additional concern, and this gets a little bit into the weeds, but, would be, if the contribution of a company toward the program applies that that contribution can only be used for that company rather than for the good of the Cooperative Workforce Investment Partnership as a whole; if this is the case, it significantly changes the focus of training away from the model of the shared training, which has really been the model that we have used over the last 10 to 12

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 146

years for these kind of regional training partnerships. It goes back to a more customized job-training model or rather training for just one company.

Again, theoretically, what we have been trying to do is raise the skills of the pool of manpower; not just the skills of one company. There is a significant, kind of philosophical difference here in terms of where this might take us. A move in this direction takes us away from these local goals of upgrading a skill pool and not concentrating on the needs of just one company. This change in policy would be contrary to our experience, and the best practices identified with sector work nationally which says that shared training, which fits directly into the intent of the CareerBound program, really should be our I'm not sure how to fix that, but we raise focus. that as a question going forward.

We also believe that we need to see a program that is proposed in the context of a larger workforce system which has used a similar service and delivery model for years as a tool for business engagement. There's a bigger role here for these locally-defined and employer-driven collaborations

Page 147

than just training to the point of economic development and all the rest of it. And again, we've heard a lot from educators today, but there's a bigger piece to this. There's more of a context. And to have the economic development folks weigh in would be really, really good.

Our experience over the years is that these partnerships evolve into vehicles to address not only skill development needs, but also a wide array of economic issues which several of the partnerships around the Commonwealth over the years have been into; things like increasing exports, enhancing supply chains, encouraging entrepreneurship and a variety of other more traditional economical development roles. Again, if you see it in a broader context, you kind of see the other applications and the other connections that this kind of legislation would make to the bigger picture.

The question is whether you, in the Commonwealth, see these functions as important and willing to include them in the mission of these local groups. Including them in the plan ultimately involves whether the program will allow those functions to be funded with the kind of tax-

Page 148

credit support that you're envisioning.

A related question involves the amount of time it takes to organize these local groups. They are considerable sales organizational and administrative duties involved in the draft proposal. I think you kind of answered that earlier today, will the program provide a way to pay for the time involved in these functions? It is labor intensive to get these things done.

Finally, a question was raised earlier, why reinvent the wheel Industry Partnerships in Pennsylvania which began under the Ridge-Schweiker administration and moved through the Rendell Administration and have been recognized nationwide as best practices examples of sector work. Even after budget cuts, there are still more than 30 Industry Partnerships around the Commonwealth; some funded through the Department of Labor and Industry, and some funded through the contributions of the industries that they serve with no monies from the Commonwealth.

Would it be possible to add tax-credit funding to the funds already committed by the legislature to create a larger funding pool? This is similar to an idea presented earlier in

Page 149

testimony, and not reinvent a structure and programming that has been working for more than a decade. I know the Representative was talking about people he has talked to has never been heard of it. We'd be happy to introduce you to some people who really have heard of it. I'm sure Nancy would do the same thing, in our areas, and who have really been totally engaged in the process.

We realize this initiative is in the process of being framed and would be happy to work with those involved to answer some of these questions that have been posed above. In the meantime, we thank you for the opportunity to comment, and at some point we'll be happy to take your questions.

MR. McCREE: Good afternoon, Vice
Chairman Mackenzie. Thanks for this opportunity to
speak. I recognize since I'm the last testimony
between us and lunch, I will keep these comments on
point and concise to the best of my ability.

Thanks again for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Jesse McCree. I'm the Government Relations Associate for the Pennsylvania Workforce Development Association, or PWDA. We at the association appreciate this opportunity to

Page 150

speak about House Bill 1725, as well as 1878, the PA Wins program.

PWDA represents the Commonwealth's Local Workforce Investment Boards, and we serve as the voice of the Pennsylvania workforce investment system. We're also a clearing house for the workforce development information statewide. PWDA develops and provides professional development training to local WIBs while continuing proactive advocacy efforts on behalf of the workforce development system.

One note before I begin, a couple other testimonies have referenced sort of collecting best practices or sites or resource for a clearing house for best practices. That is something PWDA does. There are a number of initiatives throughout the state. I was just speaking with Scott before. Youth councils, there's 1.8-million-dollar Make It In American Grants, industrial maintenance, initiatives throughout the state. There's still 30 Industry Partnership programs happening. We can provide comments and best practices throughout the state on any of these initiatives or programs. That's what PWDA does. We can absolutely serve as a resource to anyone moving forward on that.

Page 151

Specific to House Bill 1725,

CareerBound, PWDA strongly supports the CareerBound legislation. The association believes that these proposed programs would provide a strong and effective investment in the development of Pennsylvania's workforce and help support and expand the school-to-work programs that many WIBs are currently operating.

Programs that bring increased awareness to students about viable career pathways at an early age are extremely important to prepare the workforce of the future. These programs help young people to establish educational goals that will lead to careers that are in demand and provide a sustainable living. Furthermore, when employers become actively engaged stakeholders in the educational system, labor market needs are better identified and met through innovative partnerships between educators, businesses and the workforce system.

The CareerBound bill would provide

flexible funding for WIBs to expand the

school-to-work activities that are currently

happening to a larger population of youth, not just

to those who meet the low-income eligibility

Page 152

requirements of the federal Workforce Investment

Act. In addition, the Association believes that
these school-to-work programs promoted by the

CareerBound bill exemplify a type of linked
learning, which can be a motivator for students who
are potential dropouts.

Importantly, many WIBs already have the infrastructure in place to expand and improve innovative school-to-work initiatives in their local area, and this legislation allows for and promotes local flexibility instead of overly prescriptive programmatic requirements. I believe Representative Mackenzie mentioned it was intentionally open-ended, and that local flexibility is something that the WIBs support.

As my colleague Scott mentioned a couple of the recommendations, which I won't go into too much detail about, would be, I'd like to see some clarification on the measures of success and the specific measurable outcomes of this program which will certainly drive the program itself.

In addition, some of the complications with the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry coming up with a contract with each of the program partners, we believe that having one fiscal

Page 153

agent, preferably the local WIB, should be the contractor and coordinate with all the program partners to facilitate this process, in addition to broadening the definition of school partners to include other post-secondary educational institutions such as community colleges and technical schools.

Finally, the bill should also, we believe, include language to provide technical assistance, as Scott was mentioning, to areas that may not have as much experience with tax-credit funding programs.

In general, and overall, the CareerBound bill would be a tremendous help to the workforce system, educators and businesses as well in promoting a viable career pathway to students at an early age. We believe this bill will increase Pennsylvania's competitiveness by strengthening the emerging workforce, and thus, help Pennsylvania's economy continue to grow into the future.

In terms of House Bill 1878, the PA Wins, the association really supports the concepts of the PA Wins bill. There are some concerns in some areas of clarification about how the program would be implemented and how it would align with

Page 154

that have proven to be successful in Pennsylvania. The PWDA, we would like to see more information in a continued process of communication about how the program would be implemented and be aligned with what's currently happening with incumbent worker training.

The stated purpose of this bill is to incentivize businesses to organize and collaborate around common personnel needs, regardless of industry. And according to the bill summary, quote, employee-training programs must emphasize the utilization of preexisting infrastructure and must be focused on providing employees with skill-specific occupational training.

We would argue that almost all of the Pennsylvania local Workforce Investment Boards currently have industry-centric business partnership training programs in place through the IP program, and the leveraging of these existing Industry Partnerships and training materials would align well with the requirement of using the preexisting infrastructure.

We believe, though, the current model for incumbent worker training has been shared

Page 155

training, as Scott was saying, whereby, multiple
businesses within an industry sector pool resources
to address common training needs to advance
employee skills or training in new technology. PA
Wins appears to promote a model that's more closely
aligned to customized-job training, or WebNet.
We'd like to see a little bit more information
about that.

Overall, the Industry Partnerships have proven to be the most popular and successful model for business engagement and incumbent worker training across the country. We believe the PA Wins program could provide an effective means to expand and support Industry Partnerships and expand this incumbent worker training that they provide.

We thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on both bills, and we'll be happy to take any questions that you have. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Thank you for all of your testimony. We do have a question from Representative Evankovich.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to start by saying that the two bills that are being reviewed today certainly are

Page 156

not in competition with one another. The two bills are collaborative with one another. I think that they both address two separate needs.

I'm extremely supportive of the
CareerBound proposal. Through some of the
testimony we just heard, it seemed like maybe there
was an interpretation it was one or the other. I
just wanted to make that clear.

Mr. Sheely, it appears as though we have a little bit of off-line work to do, so we'll try to do as much of that off-line as we can. I just wanted to comment a little bit on some of the things you said, and I have a couple very brief questions.

First is that the PA Wins proposal is, as we said before, designed to match training needs with those who provide training. The notion that there would not be shared costs I think is somewhat incorrect. There would certainly be shared costs; otherwise, why would one of the business partners even participate? If they're not seeing an economy of scale, they wouldn't participate at all. The notion that it would be just one business specific benefiting is, I think, not the intent, and I don't think the way the bill would play out if it became

Page 157

law. The whole point is collaboration.

The second brief point that I wanted to make is that, this bill, if it became law, would not redefine any mission of WIBs or workforce training. It would simply be another tool. The notion that only skilled-based training and not industry training works isn't what I'm trying to say. It's not one or the other, but I do think there is a place for skill-specific training.

I think, my personal belief is that, in many ways, skill-based training transcends industry-based training. That does not mean that it transcends it everywhere; just that, in certain instances, and in many instances across our state, I believe that providing the base skill is what a lot of employers are missing.

It would still have to be made specific for their own company, and they would not be -They would still be responsible for that. But it would give their incumbent employee, they take a -By example, if you have a general maintenance employee, and you need to get them -- they want to become an electrical maintenance employee, that you could group together with a bunch other companies that had that same need and you could provide a lot

of that base training.

The question that I have, I guess it's for Mr. Sheely, is, we've kind of -- I heard a lot about concern with PA Wins; that it would be company specific. One of the things I'm trying to understand is, if we look at the PA Wins proposal, and your interpretation that it may become company specific, my question is, how does that differ from the CareerBound proposal being company specific? It seems to me like they both center on the same concept, which is, the CareerBound concept brings the high school students into a skill-specific area to help them receive training, and the PA Wins proposal brings incumbent workers into a skill-specific training. I'm having a hard time understanding the difference.

MR. SHEELY: I think I'm wearing my salesman hat, which basically is, how do I go out and sell these two businesses; how do I sell these tax credit ideas. I think the idea of, in general benefit for students coming through school is, it's a big generic kind of thing. It relates to the good feeling that people have or want to have of about the K through 12 system and how we move kids on, and all the rest of it. Companies love to get

Page 159

into that. That's like mom and apple pie.

But, when you're actually selling training for the incumbent workforce, are they going to expect that a certain amount of dollars that they contribute get spent on their workforce? That's the question. I mean, I don't know that. I'm sure there are great corporate citizens out there, the companies that will give dollars for the benefit of everybody. But I also know that many times, in terms of running these partnerships in the past, we actually have people really asking that question of, what's the benefit back to me, to my company.

I think that's the edge. That's kind of where I'm trying to basically sound a warning, that it may get more idiosyncratic to my company if I'm giving the dollars specifically for training. That may not happen at all, and we may find it's fine and they give to the broader purpose.

We found, I think, in the past the other way if we can offer some funding and challenge the company to match the funding for the good of the whole industry, that that actually is an easier sell.

My comments are really more from being a

Page 160

practitioner and having to do this kind of work, and also having a long history with companies and kind of knowing what they're willing to go with and what they're not. It's really at that level that I'm talking about it. Ultimately, down the line, there could be some issues.

REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: It's a brief response, and I completely appreciate that. I think your perspective is completely valued.

The question becomes is, as we put taxpayers' money into training programs, one of the concerns that industry has brought to me -- businesses have brought to me is that, when you may have 30 people come will out of a welding program, none of those 30 people might meet the needs of that company. And so, all that training, all that money went into those 30 employees, and they may not be a good fit for any broad range of companies.

The intentional design behind PA Wins was to provide a conduit that companies could take employees that are proven -- they're already on payroll. I'm sorry. Employees that are already proven, they're already on payroll, so there won't be this issue of spending money on training and now you have -- the company has to pick and choose from

Page 161

a set of employees that may not be a good fit for their business.

think that's part of the reason why we involved the WIBs to begin with, was to provide that type of oversight to make sure that it wasn't company-centric; that it was a broad -- the broader interest was involved. So while the companies are putting the monies up, they're not solely dictating where that money is going; who that money is going to. It's more of a partnership and agreed-upon facility that could be used and kind of that matchmaking -- that matchmaking is what the WIBs are providing in the oversight. I appreciate the patience.

MR. SHEELY: If I could offer, I think one way to kind of resolve that would just be to ask the companies what they would be willing to do. We have probably between us right here about 750 companies that we deal with. It would be very easy to ask that question, would you give to the benefit of the broader partnership? See what happens.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Great.

Thank you to our workforce development testifiers.

Our schedule to wrap up at 1:15, so we're almost

Page 162

right on time. I will turn it to over

Representative Evankovich. Do you have any final

comments or anything to -
REPRESENTATIVE EVANKOVICH: No.

ACTING CHAIRMAN MACKENZIE: Thank you.

In conclusion, I would like to just highlight and thank all of our testifiers who came today. I think the manufacturing representatives really articulated the problem that we face in a specific industry, but that's prevalent across a number of industries here in Pennsylvania.

We heard from the Career and Technical
Institute representatives and education
representatives who talk about some of the things
that are already in practice and are already
working, and the workforce development
representatives who really highlighted the need for
an expanded statewide program going forward. I
think that both of our projects that we've been
working on, Representative Evankovich and myself
have been working hand in hand on CareerBound and
PA Wins. They certainly fill that void.

Here in Pennsylvania there is currently no statewide program to provide career exposure and skills development for students who are, in the

Page 163

case of PA Wins, really helps incumbent workers and expands their program.

So, we will be moving these bills forward. Representative Scavello shared with us before he left that he will be moving the bills. So we appreciate all the comments and recommendations that you've offered today so we can help improve these bills as they move through the process.

Thank you very much for joining us today. Thank you to the staff who put it together; the representatives who were here; all the testifiers, and everybody watching at home as well. Thank you again. Have a nice day.

(At 1:11 p.m., the hearing concluded).

* * * *

Page 164

CERTIFICATE

I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary
Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for
the County of York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, of
a public hearing taken from a videotape recording
and reduced to computer printout under my
supervision.

This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under my direct control and/or supervision.

Karen J. Meister

Reporter, Notary Public