1	
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
4	MAIN CAPITOL
5	ROOM 140
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
7	BUDGET HEARING
8	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
9	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 2014
10	2:36 P.M.
11	BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., Majority Chairman
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HONORABLE KAREN BOBACK HONORABLE JIM CHRISTIANA HONORABLE GARY DAY HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS HONORABLE GARTH EVERETT HONORABLE GLEN GRELL HONORABLE SETH GROVE HONORABLE ADAM HARRIS HONORABLE THOMAS KILLION HONORABLE DAVID MILLARD HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER HONORABLE SCOTT PETRI HONORABLE JEFF PYLE HONORABLE CURT SONNEY HONORABLE JOSEPH MARKOSEK, Minority Chairman HONORABLE BRENDAN F. BOYLE HONORABLE MATTHEW BRADFORD HONORABLE MICHELLE BROWNLEE
24	
25	

1	BEFORE: (cont'd)						
2	HONORABLE MIKE CARROLL HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN						
3	HONORABLE MADELEINE DEAN HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA						
4	HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY						
5	HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN HONORABLE CHERELLE PARKER						
6	HONORABLE JOHN SABATINA HONORABLE STEVEN SANTARSIERO HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY						
7							
8	ALSO PRESENT:						
9	HONORABLE KERRY BENNINGHOFF HONORABLE PAUL CLYMER						
10	HONORABLE JOE HACKETT HONORABLE RON MARSICO						
11	HONORABLE RICK SACCONE HONORABLE BRYAN BARBIN						
12	HONORABLE TOM CALTAGIRONE HONORABLE DOM COSTA						
13	HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL HONORABLE JARET GIBBONS						
14	HONORABLE BILL KORTZ HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI						
15	HONORABLE CURTIS THOMAS						
16	DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR						
17	RITCHIE LAFAVER, MAJORITY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DAN CLARK, MAJORITY CHIEF COUNSEL						
18	MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR						
19							
20							
21							
22	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR						
23	P. O. BOX 278 MAYTOWN, PA 17550						
	717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX						
24							
25							

					3
1			INDEX		
2	NAME			PAGE	
3	KATHLEEN	KANE		5	
4	ATTORNEY	GENERAL			
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

PROCEEDINGS

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'd like to reconvene the House Appropriations Committee.

Certainly my pleasure to have before this committee the Pennsylvania Attorney General, Kathleen Kane.

Good afternoon.

2.3

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Good afternoon.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Apologize for running a little late. The hearings always seem to run a little longer than we planned.

12 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Quite all right.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.

Before we get started, I see we have a big group of new people here, and I just want to go over some housekeeping with you.

If you have iPhones and iPads with you or any type of electronic devices, please turn them off. It interferes with the PCN television.

Also, with us today, it's certainly my pleasure to introduce the Republican and the Democratic chair of the House Judiciary Committee, Representative Ron Marsico and Representative Tom Caltagirone. Welcome, chairmen.

Also with us is Representative Joe

Hackett, Representative Dom Costa, so the former

police officers of the House are well represented

here, as well as Representative Rick Saccone.

So -- also with us is, from Bucks County, Chairman

Paul Clymer, of the House Education Committee.

Welcome.

Any time that you are ready, Attorney General, if you'd like to give a brief statement. I know you don't have any written statements, and we've all received your handout, but if you'd like to make a couple short early comments, it's certainly your pleasure.

 $\label{eq:attorney} \mbox{ ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you,} \\ \mbox{ Mr. Chairman.}$

Thank you, everyone, for being here.

And thank you for allowing us the opportunity to

let you know what we've done with your money and

the taxpayer money for the past year as well as how

we need to go forward to make Pennsylvania safer.

We've had incredible successes last year. With the appropriation of 2.5 million dollars for the child predator unit and almost three million dollars for mobile street crimes unit, we have made Pennsylvania safer for our

6

children and for our families. 1

2 We are here today because we can't stop 3 We are asking for an additional 13.9 percent, which is about nine million dollars, to 4 cover the cost of the salary and benefits increases 5 to keep the complement that we have in the Office 6 7 of Attorney General, to keep fighting the fight that we already, I believe, are doing a good job at 8 9 doing, and, also, to add sixteen new agents in the 10 area of drug law. Those agents are important to 11 Pennsylvania because we have a surge in 12 prescription drug abuse in this commonwealth as 13 well as across the country, and we need those 14 agents, we need those boots on the ground to fight 15 that fight. 16 So, I will look forward to answering 17 your questions here today, and thank you for 18

allowing me the opportunity.

19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank 20 you.

21 Chairman Markosek, any opening 22 comments?

REPRESENTATIVE MARKOSEK: 23 Yes. Thank 24 you.

25 Madam General, welcome, again. Ι remember last year, you were just brand new, almost, when you came to this committee, and I think most of us on this committee, both bipartisan fashion, were very, very impressed with your testimony then. And we look forward to your testimony today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

you.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank Okay.

My first question is regarding tobacco settlement. And I also understand that there's probably areas that you cannot discuss because you may be negotiating with those folks. However, this is a budget hearing, okay, and, unfortunately, we're going to be without approximately a hundred and eight million dollars of tobacco settlement money we normally receive I think it's sometime in the spring, if my memory serves correct.

For the members of the committee and for the viewers, General, if you don't mind, could you please explain to this committee what exactly went down regarding the decision -- your decision to -- not to accept a settlement, and what's the future outlook look like regarding these funds?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Pennsylvania

started litigating the master settlement agreement for the year of 2003. And as you well know,

Pennsylvania is not only charged with litigating the matters but also charged with enforcing the contract provisions of due diligence and enforcement.

In 2003, the award -- the arbitration decision recently indicated that Pennsylvania was not diligent for their enforcement efforts in 2003, and as such, Pennsylvania stands to lose approximately between a hundred seventy and a hundred and eighty million dollars for that one year.

After -- prior to the decision coming down, the arbitration decision coming down, the tobacco companies went to a number of states with a settlement offer. That offer was at a certain amount of money, up-front money, that then would be cut into the -- into the amount that Pennsylvania would receive thereafter. So, in other words, they offered a lump sum, basically, to dangle the carrot in front of us, and then it also indicated that, for the next couple of years, Pennsylvania's award would be cut after that.

The problem with it was two-fold. The

first problem was, that number was a moving target. We could not verify those numbers. We went -- we called the National Association of Attorneys General to help to us do the math and verify those numbers. Our tobacco litigation section could not verify that that was the actual amount. And tobacco companies would not guarantee that that was the actual dollar amount. That was our first problem.

The second problem was even -- was larger than that. The agreement would have bound Pennsylvania into imposing a tax on roll-your-own cigarettes or -- and tobacco product. You know, we as the attorney general's office, we act as the lawyer for the commonwealth. We could not enter into a settlement agreement whereby we bound the general assembly to imposing a tax that Pennsylvania does not have. We did not have that authority. So, therefore, we -- even if we wanted to, even if the dollar amount was a fixed amount, we could not enter into that agreement because I did not have that authority.

As such, we went to members of the general assembly. We told them of the settlement offer, what the problems were, and how we could

proceed from there. I believe that we have been very diligent in representing the interests of Pennsylvania in partnering with the general assembly at that point as well as going forward.

As you know, leadership has agreed and has participated in hiring an outside law firm to litigate the arbitration award. We believe that it is incorrect and erroneous, and it is presently being litigated in a court of law. It is in the early stages, obviously. We are going to try to recoup that hundred seventy million.

that our tobacco litigation section has the proper number of people to make sure that we diligently enforce, because we are now going into the process of the 2004 year, whether Pennsylvania was diligently enforcing in 2004. If it's found that we were not, we could stand to lose even more money after that. We have to show that we are enforcing the provisions of that agreement.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you very much for that explanation. And I certainly hope that you are successful in -- in arbitration with these folks. I don't know who they are, who makes the decisions. Is this a

federal level? 1 2 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: It is, sir. 3 It's a group of retired federal judges that were agreed upon between the working group of the 4 National Association of Attorneys General, all of 5 the states are together on it, as well as, then, 6 7 the tobacco companies. So, for 2004, it will be a different panel than the 2003. 8 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Because 9 10 these funds have been put to very good use over the 11 years, you know, whether it is cancer research or 12 cessation programs, et cetera, et cetera. So, best 13 of luck to you --14 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- regarding that. 16 17 And I'm going to turn this over to Chairman Markosek. 18 19 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, 20 Chairman Adolph. 21 And General Kane, again, welcome. 22 It's been about a year now that you've 23 been on the job, and it's been quite a year for

you. And I have to say, it's been a very

impressive year, in my opinion, on how you've taken

24

25

over the job and how you run your office there.

And you've had some successes.

And one of those successes that I'm aware of is your success in arresting child predators. Now this current fiscal year, your office was given an increase of three million dollars to support your efforts and taking these dangerous individuals who prey on our children off the streets.

My question relative to that is two part. Can you share with us the most recent statistics related to those efforts? And, also, can you explain how you can continue to achieve those kind of goals of child predator unit with less or flat funding in the upcoming fiscal year?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes, sir. And thank you for your comments. We -- I have a wonderful, wonderful dedicated team who -- a lot of them are here today, and I can't take the credit for it. I have to pass it along to them as well.

The child predator section received an appropriation last year, and with that money, we were capable of staffing that department to nineteen people: five deputy attorney generals, who are experts in the field of sexual predators,

twelve special agents and two analysts. The year prior to me taking office, that section made nine child predator arrests. Every single one is important. As of today, there are a hundred twenty-eight child predator arrests, with two search warrants being served today as well, which should bring the number up to about a hundred thirty.

2.3

We have regional offices: a central, eastern, and western, in Harrisburg, Norristown, and Pittsburgh. We have a dedicated unit. We took a storage facility in our Harrisburg office, and we made it into a dedicated unit.

We used the money that also gave enough just as staffing but for technical upgrades, for computers for our agents. And all they do all day long is track child predators.

Last year, I came before you, indicated that we had approximately identified twenty-eight hundred child predators in Pennsylvania. This year I'm happy to say, it's about two thousand. So, we believe that we've reduced that risk. There's still plenty of work to do.

Going forward, if we have a cut in funds or a flat-funded budget, which actually is a

cut in funds because of the increases in salary and benefits due to union and nonunion contracts, then we will be -- we will lose money, and we will have to cut positions. We'll to have cut positions in almost all areas, particularly drug -- the drug law appropriation. And it just -- we can't have that. We have to have -- we've already lost numerous, numerous positions over the past couple of years, too many, and we're down to a skeleton crew at this point. We can't afford to lose anymore. And the only way we can keep Pennsylvania safer is to keep those boots on the ground, keep those agents out there, and keep those prosecutors in the courtroom.

2.3

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you for that answer. And I think all of us in the room here understand the need -- the needs that your office has.

It is a tight budget year. There's no getting around that. We understand that, and there's plenty of really good needs out there, and, obviously, they all aren't going to get funded, but I, for one, and I would hope for other members here in the committee, would understand the importance of what you do, and we'll redouble our efforts to

```
try to get you the appropriate finances that you
1
     need to get your job done.
3
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you very
     much.
 4
 5
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                             Thank you.
                  Chairman Ron Marsico.
 6
7
                  REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
8
                 Good afternoon, General.
9
10
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Good afternoon.
                  REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Good to see
11
12
     you.
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you.
13
14
     Good to see you.
15
                  REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Just to follow
     up on the point that Chairman Markosek -- in fact,
16
17
     I have a series of questions, if it's okay,
    Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the committee and the
18
     committee members that are here.
19
20
                  Just to follow up on that child
     predator unit that you have. You have done a
21
22
     really good job with that. I think you touched on
2.3
     this, but you said that there was a reduction in
     the number of child pornography images that have
24
25
     been traveling over the Internet. Did you say
```

that?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: That is correct. From twenty-eight hundred to about two thousand. We gather that from our intelligence as -- from our agents as well as our national partners.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Okay. And as you know, you testified at the House Judiciary Committee hearing on the heroin epidemic, and we appreciate your doing that and your input.

Addressing that growing epidemic, we've examined -- been examining the law enforcement response to that epidemic. And could you tell us how your office is dealing with this epidemic?

aware of it, and it was one of our intelligence agents out there in the west who saw a pattern developing, we immediately gathered our agents from regions four, five, and six, which are out in the west, our drug diversion agents as well as narcotics agents, and they immediately partnered with the local police departments as well as the DEA. We partnered with Washington County drug task force, Greene County drug task force, Allegheny

County police, Greene, Armstrong, Washington,
Clarion, and Allegheny County DA's offices. We
also partnered with the local police departments,
Pittsburgh, Clarion, Duquesne, Monessen, just to
name a few of the others.

2.3

Right now, we have taken -- there have been a few arrests. A lot of the local police departments have made those arrests. We have participated in with them. Our office has also made a number of arrests.

On January 30th, a search warrant was executed in Clarion, where fifty bricks of heroin stamp bags with a "sky high" were seized along with money as well.

We also -- direct OAG results, found one hundred twenty-five bricks of heroin that were seized, thirty thousand in cash, and we've made about twenty-one arrests so far.

We have put together a -- we have put an analyst dedicated out into the west to start tracking. We've contacted all of the hospitals, the coroners, as well as EMT personnel to let us know what the information is so that we can better target our enforcing of efforts.

We've also tried to trace back the

drug, which we believe may be coming some place from Detroit.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: So, how do you coordinate, then, with -- are you coordinated with other states and federal levels?

are. We are working very closely with the DEA.

They are helping us coordinate with the other states. And they have the jurisdiction, then, to trace it back to -- as we said, we think it's coming from Detroit. But we have excellent partnerships out in the west. Everyone is working together. We now have a saturation patrol out there, so to speak, with everyone working in tandem and with the correct information.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: You're asking, then, for sixteen new drug agents, is that what I heard?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Okay. Then, at the committee hearings, we heard that there was a direct connection between prescription drug abuse and eventual heroin use. And what efforts are -- I guess, I would imagine what those new request,

agents -- sixteen, I think you said.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Sixteen, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: You would use those folks, those agents to combat the -- that

5 | connection?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes, sir. As you are well aware, prescription drug abuse is on the rise. It is, according to the CDC, an epidemic.

Prescription drug abuse leads to heroin abuse, because it's too expensive and the user needs the high but can't afford it any longer.

Heroin is much, much cheaper, probably at least a quarter of the cost of what prescription drug abuse is.

The sixteen new agents would be put under our drug law appropriation, and they would be used in diversion efforts that we have, education and outreach efforts that we have, to teach children who the new drug dealer looks like. It's not just the heroin dealer on the street anymore. It's their friends who are taking medicine out of their mother's cabinet and passing it along to his friends.

So, we have a three-fold approach.

We're also working with the medical community, to make sure that they are helping us. And we've also supported legislation that would give law enforcement the tools that we need to combat prescription drug abuse.

2.3

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO:} \mbox{ That was going}$ to be my next question.

So, let's just shift gears a little bit then and go to -- tell us about your Mobile Street Crimes unit and how that initiative works.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Mobile Street

Crimes Unit, as you know, was formed last year with
the appropriation from the general assembly. That
is a comprehensive approach. It's not a regular
task force. It's not just a saturation patrol.

But it is all-encompassing.

We have twelve agents who are dedicated just to the Mobile Street Crimes Unit. As you well know, it was operating in a clandestine fashion until about two or three weeks ago. It is up in the Hazleton area, which, according to our threat assessment, has a very high influx of drugs and very low cost measures to be able to fight that.

They have been incredibly successful, and not only in the number of statistics. And they

will be wrapping up in approximately two more weeks. And I think that you will be astounded by the number of arrests. But the best thing for us is that we have reached children who are being used as mules, good kids from, you know, good schools, straight A students, and they're being used as mules. We were able to identify them, pull them out of those gang-related drug deals and make sure that, you know, their parents knew what happened and that we were able to make sure that they can go forward with a healthier lifestyle.

We were able to identify a number of gangs in the Hazleton area. A number of drugs were taken off of the streets. But the best thing about it is that we have reports from the neighbors and the citizens of the Hazleton area that there seemed to be a calm in their areas, and there was a peacefulness, and they saw a lot more police activity.

Chief DeAndrea is the Hazleton police chief, who is a wonderful, dedicated public servant, been in law enforcement for almost thirty years. He wrote a letter that we'll share with all of you that indicates that -- the people now have hope. They understand that they're not forgotten

```
by all of you and all of us, that they have hope
1
     that they can live a life that they used to live
2
     and it can be safer in the Hazleton area.
3
                  We will be ending that deployment, as I
 4
     said, and moving that Mobile Street Crimes Unit to
 5
 6
     another area. We are assessing that threat right
7
     now.
                  REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Okay.
8
                                                   Thank
     you very much.
9
10
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                           Thank you.
11
                  REPRESENTATIVE MARSICO: Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
12
13
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
14
                  Chairman Caltagirone.
15
                  REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE:
                                                Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
16
                  Congratulations on your first year,
17
18
     Attorney General Kane.
19
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                           Thank you.
20
                  REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Nice to be
21
     working with you.
22
                  As you know, I represent Reading, and
2.3
     I've seen several high-level arrests of doctors in
     my area who were flooding the streets of my
24
25
     district and county with prescription drugs.
```

have been around a long time, and I've never, ever seen the saturation of prescription drugs being abused as they are.

And let me just share this with you and the members here today. Last year, we had a crimes summit in Reading hosted by the governor, the attorney general, with the US attorney's office there, the FBI, DEA, state and local police, local law enforcement, the DA. And we're trying to do a coordinated effort with all of those agencies to stop the flow of heroin, drugs coming up the corridor, and, of course, the prescription situation that we have in Reading, in Berks County.

And, of course, you did a fine job with that one doctor. I think they confiscated over seven and a half million and another ten million plus went out of country, and God knows, that might be just the tip of the iceberg.

Can you comment on this problem? What do you think we, in the legislature, can help to do to fix it?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: We have -there are certain legislative fixes that -- as you
know, we've supported the prescription drug

monitoring, which would give law enforcement access to schedules two, three, four, and five drugs.

It's a proactive approach. We don't ever believe in waiting until we have an epidemic of heroin overdoses, fentanyl-laced overdoses, or prescription-drug overdoses until we do something about it. It is a proactive approach. It's an unbelievable tool for law enforcement. It's been successful in a number of other states. That would be a legislative fix.

2.3

Also, Senator Rafferty has proposed to have a prescription form that would be used by all doctors and pharmacists as well as nurse practitioners, and that would be almost counterfeit proof. That would be another -- another great fix and another great tool for law enforcement. But we have to put the money behind it. We just have no choice.

We have to have those troops on the ground. We must have those agents out there. Our education and outreach department is a vital, vital tool for children and their families and schools.

We've made thousands -- we've reached thousands and thousands and thousands of people across

Pennsylvania over the past year.

We need education. We need enforcement. We need prosecution.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We also need to make sure that the addicts get the help that they need so that they don't reoffend and that we cut off that supply and that demand.

And we also need to make sure that we have the tools necessary.

REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Just one real follow-up was Representative DiGirolamo had a piece legislation in our committee, Judiciary. was voted out. And that would try to tag and target the pharmacies with a record that would be kept as to the prescription pills, the hard narcotic-type pills that are being utilized, to see who may potentially be abusing them and to track that somewhat, so that we still can get a handle on doctors who are abusing the privilege with the hard drugs that they can write scripts for, like this one in Reading, over three thousand scripts. mean, it's just mind boggling to think they would do something like that, especially professional doctors who are taking oaths to do no harm.

Can you comment on that?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Absolutely.

Our diversion unit, which is under the drug law 1 2 appropriation, that's exactly what they do. They monitor the hospitals, long-term care facilities, 3 as well as the doctors and pharmacists to make sure 4 that they're not over prescribing. But the 5 prescription drug monitoring bill would do that for 6 7 us as well. It would indicate to us whether a doctor or pharmacy is overprescribing. It would be 8 an indicator that, then, we can go out and 9 10 investigate before people lose their lives. It's a 11 very important tool. It's an important bill that 12 we support wholeheartedly. And I think that you 13 would see the results next year if we had that 14 legislation enacted. 15 REPRESENTATIVE CALTAGIRONE: Thank you, 16 Attorney General Kane. 17 And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 19 Representative Mark Mustio. 20 REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: Thank you, Chairman. 21 22 Welcome, Attorney General. 23 First, let me say, I appreciate your staff reaching out to members as far as what our 24 25 interested subject is, because many times, we'll

ask questions of a testifier, and they'll have to get back to us, so I appreciate you respecting the legislative body enough to come prepared for questions. So, thank you.

2.3

Last year, I asked a question related to Highmark UPMC issue in southwestern

Pennsylvania. That has not been resolved.

Clearly, from a budget standpoint, that is going to have some impact on health care costs for state employees, particularly in southwestern

Pennsylvania, but also for family budgets of many of our constituents.

I am not asking you for a silver bullet today. I don't think there is one, or we would have already shot that one. But what I am asking is to see if there is a possibility that you would see a scenario where your involvement would be needed -- if there is a scenario in this process where you think the attorney general's office would be needed to intercede.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: As you know with UPMC and Highmark, they are charitable institutions, nonprofit institutions, of which we have oversight over. We also have a health care division of which we also have oversight over.

We are very aware of the situation out in western Pennsylvania. I travel there all the time. I see the commercials on television. I talk to people out there. It is something that is definitely affecting the west, too, in a negative way.

We are making sure that we keep our eye on the situation. We know what our authority is.

And we -- I will tell you that we will use our resources appropriately, if need be, but at this time I can't let you know whether we have any investigation going or whether we will be filing any actions in the near future.

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: Representative Christiana and Representative Frankel have introduced legislation in the House. And Representative Christiana and I have made several visits to employers, employer organizations. And I think that they want to make sure that, one, if a solution is not going to be found soon, then let them know so they can plan. What they don't want to see happen, from a business perspective, is for something to take place in the third quarter of the year, because decisions need to be make quickly here in April. So, from your perspective and if

```
your staff has -- if you have staff assigned to
1
2
     this, if there's anything that can be done, that
3
     you see a scenario arising, it needs to be done
     quickly.
 4
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
 5
                                          Thank you.
                                                       Ι
 6
     understand.
7
                  REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO:
                                          Thank you very
     much.
8
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
9
                                              Thank you.
10
                 Representative Carroll.
11
                  REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
12
13
                  General, thank you so much for your
14
     fine work in northeastern Pennsylvania. You
15
     referenced already the outstanding work in
16
     Hazleton. As somebody who represents a district
17
     very close by, I can tell you that those of us in
18
     northeastern Pennsylvania greatly appreciate that
     effort on behalf of the citizenry.
19
20
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: I'd like to
22
    move back, if I could, to Chairman Adolph's
     discussion relative to MSA and the hundred and
2.3
     eighty million dollars in lost funds -- potential
24
25
     lost funds for our commonwealth. And you mentioned
```

in your response that the attorney general, of course, does not have the capacity to impose a tax on roll-your-own cigarettes.

2.3

My first question is, Madam, if such a tax were in place, what sort of flexibility would that provide to you with respect to your ability to proceed?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: It allows us to locate the roll-your-own tobacco companies that we are, then, charged with enforcing. So, right now, since we don't have a tax, we almost don't know where they are. And, you know, we go out, and we make inspections and we do what we can. We rely upon tips. But if there was an excise tax imposed, then we would know where they are. That would certainly have been our diligent enforcement.

And, also, let me make clear that we believe that for 2003, that that arbitration award was incorrect. We believe that Pennsylvania was a diligent enforcer. We believe the same thing for '04 all the way up to the present day. But it would make it a bit easier for us if that was the case.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: So, can I just characterize that answer to believe that the

imposition of such a tax would insulate us in a much more sincere way with respect to the potential loss of a hundred eighty million dollars?

2.3

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Taking away the policy decision of, you know, taxing, which is not my bailiwick, I will tell you that from a law enforcement perspective, when we know where they are, it's easier for us to enforce.

mentioned just a -- you mentioned just a second ago that the hundred eighty million dollars that's at risk is the result of the arbitration related to 2003. I assume that there are -- there is a potential for additional risk and losses moving forward beyond 2003. Is that a safe characterization?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: That is correct. In fact, as I speak, they are going through the process of putting together an arbitration panel and getting the rules for the mediation between the states on 2004.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: All right.

So, thank you for the answers. I think it

highlights the importance for those of us in the

general assembly to contemplate future actions

relative to the roll-your-own cigarette policy and whether or not the imposition of a tax on those sorts of activities and, you know, the contemplation that we must have relative to future losses beyond just a hundred and eighty million -- potential hundred and eighty million dollars from 2003 activities.

2.3

Madam General, I'll wrap up with just a quick shift relative to a subject that's near and dear to my heart. You and I have talked about this and your staff has been helpful. I'll remind you again about the desire that I have and many folks in the world of higher education relative to community colleges and higher education private universities with respect to campus police departments and the desire to try and move forward so that we can have some -- a level of protection for students at the community colleges and private universities equivalent to what exists at the state-related and state system schools.

I'd ask you to continue your efforts with me in an effort to try and reach a resolution to what, admittedly, is a very complex matter.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 1 2 Representative Brian Ellis. REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. 4 Attorney General, thank you for coming 5 It's always a pleasure to have you in front 6 today. 7 of us. We, the many different committees, have 8 many questions for you all the time. And I'm going 9 10 to keep mine very short today. 11 Recently, you decided your office was 12 going to go ahead and take a look at XTO 13 Corporation, and you decided to go ahead and 14 prosecute them criminally for an accident that they 15 had incurred. And the accident, itself, was 16 investigated by both the EPA and Department of Justice under the Obama administration, and they 17 18 thought that charges were not warranted, and yet 19 you went ahead and processed them criminally. 20 I guess my understanding -- what I need to understand is, what made you decide that? 21 who specifically -- was it anybody specific that 22 was guilty of something that you thought -- or 23 presumed quilty, that you decided to go after? 24

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:

To answer your

25

```
last question first, we charged the corporation,
1
     which we are allowed to do under the criminal
2
3
     statutes of Pennsylvania. So, whether there was --
     no individual person was charged in that case.
 4
                  The federal government had entered into
     a civil settlement with XTO. Speaking with the
 6
     United States attorney for the middle district,
7
     Peter Smith, he indicated that they did not have
8
9
     the jurisdiction. They did not have the criminal
10
     statute under which to charge.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: So, did they
12
     advise you to criminally charge, or was that still
13
     your decision?
14
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          It was my
     decision. Yes.
15
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Okav. And he
     was with the Department of Justice, or with the
17
     EPA?
18
19
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          Department of
     Justice.
20
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Who did you
22
     speak with at the EPA? Did they make any
2.3
     recommendations at all what you should do?
24
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I, personally,
25
     did not speak with anybody, although our
```

investigators received the case from the Department of Environmental Protection.

REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Okay. I appreciate that.

2.3

I just -- you know, you came here today, and you're asking for the largest increase of anybody that's going to come before us in my six years on the Appropriations Committee. I think it's certainly understandable the things that could be done if we had the money, but as Chairman Markosek indicated, we are in a tight budget year.

But I guess what I want to know is, how much money is your office spending on things like prosecuting businesses that are providing services to community, jobs, family-sustaining jobs here in Pennsylvania, growth, keeping energy prices down? Was it a one-time incident with XTO, or are there other companies that you're looking at to go after and use your resources that could be used for some of these other things that you indicated you need increases for? Was this a one-time thing, or do you think you'll continue, even down the road, to go after companies that have entered into civil agreements with the federal government?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: As you know, as

the chief law enforcement officer, I am discharged 1 with the duties of enforcing the laws of the 2 commonwealth. Where the laws are broken, I will 3 enforce those laws, whether it's a company, whether 4 it's an individual, whether it's a drug dealer. 5 That's my job. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Okav. I appreciate that. 8 How much have we spent so far looking 9 at the criminal -- the civilly settled suit by 10 11 XTO? How much has your office spent? 12 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: On the criminal 13 prosecution? REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Yes. 14 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: It falls under the criminal law division, of which we don't -- we 16 17 can't pull up those numbers specifically. We have, obviously -- we haven't hired any outside counsel 18 to do that prosecution, so it all falls under the 19 20 criminal division appropriation. REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Is it available 21 for us, a list of everything that's being handled 22 2.3 under that? I mean, not specifically breaking down case by case, but how many different cases are 24 25 being handled in that?

```
ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          Under the
1
     criminal law division?
2
3
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Yeah.
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes.
 4
                                                We can
 5
     give you the to-date. We can't give you the amount
 6
     of investigations or the names of the
7
     investigations, obviously.
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Sure.
                                                But how
8
     much were allocated specifically for that?
9
10
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: For that line
     item.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Yes.
12
                                                That
13
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes.
     falls under our GGO, which is our general operating
14
15
     fund, and it -- which encompasses the civil law
16
     division, the criminal law division, and the public
     protection division.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE ELLIS: Okay. Thank you
18
19
     very much.
20
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: You're welcome.
21
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                             Thank you.
22
                 Representative Parker.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you,
24
     General.
25
                 And thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

General, I want to start with one of the questions that I asked this department every year on an annual basis in regards to the issue of witness relocation.

I notice that there's a one hundred thousand dollar additional increase that you are requesting for witness relocation. In addition to that, I believe your department recently filed some witness intimidation charges against some individuals.

Talk to us, if you will, about this program. And I would be particularly interested in how valuable it is outside of the city of Philadelphia. Because what traditionally happens is, you mention witness relocation and people just think, Well, the only place that program is used is in the city of Philadelphia. If you could talk to us about how it benefits your office overall.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes. First,

let me answer your question of that we have used

that money outside of Philadelphia on numerous

occasions. Nine cases were in Allegheny county,

eight of them were gun related; three cases were in

Chester County, one gun related; three were in

Lancaster County, also all three were gun related;

and there were six OAG cases, two of them were gun related. Almost the same statistics for the prior year, 2012-2013.

There is no doubt that the witness relocation program is a vital use to prosecutors. If we don't have a witness who shows up for court, we cannot prosecute that case.

And as you saw, it was, I believe, not last summer but the summer before, there was a -- a violent criminal who, the witness did not show up for court, the courts and the district attorney had no, had -- you know, they couldn't do anything but dismiss the case. And then a couple of months later, that criminal went out and shot and killed a police officer. We can't have that.

We have to make sure that the witnesses know that they are safe. We depend upon them for the prosecutions.

In 2013, our office opened up a hundred twenty-four cases under the witness relocation program. In fiscal year '12 to '13, our office opened up a hundred fifty-one cases. Of the hundred and twenty-four I just indicated, one hundred one involved the use of a gun, fifty were homicide related. That's a large number.

We need to make sure that the witnesses are safe. We're asking for that extra money because when we get towards the end, we have to -- we don't ever turn anyone away, but where they may need three thousand dollars, we're only capable of giving them one thousand dollars. We want to make sure they have the resources necessary to carry out through the end of the year to the degree that -- to the degree that's necessary.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Finally,

General, I notice here from the joint local/state

firearms task force, there is a request for an

additional one hundred seventy-seven thousand

dollars.

Talk to us, if you will, about the value of this task force to the department. And with that in mind, from a legislative and a policy perspective, issues that we've heard here in our general assembly and across the nation being bandied about. And one, obviously, lost and stolen reporting of guns, something that I have been working on for a very long time, along with the universal background checks. Talk to us about what your position is in thinking and how, if those two pieces of public policy, in particular, were

successfully followed through as it relates to this legislative body, how would that impact what you do, particularly with that local and state firearms task force.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: The Gun
Violence Task Force in Philadelphia is also another
incredibly successful program. Since its
inception, the task force has opened over twentyseven hundred cases, investigations, arrested nine
hundred and seventeen individuals, recovered over
eighteen hundred illegal firearms.

In 2013 alone, the task force opened three hundred forty investigations, resulting in a hundred and thirty-five arrests, and recovered a hundred forty firearms.

We are requesting the additional increase so that we can -- we can keep our complement in that Gun Violence Task Force that only is for the benefit and the union salary increases.

To get to your position regarding lost and stolen, lost and stolen would be a tool that prosecutors and police officers can use for straw purchasers. So, in other words, a straw purchaser really only has to get up on the stand and say,

"Well, I don't know where my gun is. It was lost or it was stolen."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All lost and stolen does is indicate that, you know, if your gun is lost or stolen within a certain amount of time, you just have to report it, just so that -- for tracking purposes and for prosecutorial purposes. It also would serve to protect the innocent, really.

I believe that universal background checks, the way I see it is, if you're going to do a background check, do it right, you know. A gun is a gun. It doesn't matter whether it's a handgun or if it's a long gun. It doesn't matter. Thev both shoot the bullets. Do a universal background. It's really -- to my eyes, it's a comprehensive background check. There shouldn't be There shouldn't be one person has to do a background check and another one doesn't. Now, certainly the exceptions for familial transfers, absolutely, that should be excluded. exclusions, I think, are very appropriate. But, if we're going to do a background check, just do it right.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you, General.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1 2 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank vou. 3 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Representative Karen Boback. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 Good afternoon, General Kane. Thank you for being with us. 8 9 When I perused your legislative budget 10 for the '14-'15 year, I didn't see a line item for 11 elder abuse and fraudulent practices against our 12 elderly, and yet I know that your office is 13 dedicated to protecting our seniors. Did I miss it? 14 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: You did not. The elder abuse unit is located in two separate 16 divisions: the Bureau of Consumer Protection as 17 well as the criminal law division. We decided 18 19 that, because of the nature of elder abuse, whether 20 it's neglect of a care-dependent person, which also falls under our Medicaid fraud section, that we had 21 22 to separate them out. 23 As you know, most of the issues relating to the elderly are either neglect, abuse, 24 25 or consumer protection issues. And, in fact, some

of the top complaints from our seniors that have come into our consumer protection division are the "do not call" list, the home improvement, telecommunications and broadcast, consumer credit, and money issues. So, we decided, to best serve the elderly, we had to break it into the two separate divisions.

We have an elder abuse hotline. All of those calls go into one person. She then farms them out to exactly where they need to go, whether it's consumer protection or whether it's to an agent in the criminal law division.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Okay. And thank you for that.

And regarding the hotline, several of the constituents that I have had spoken to me about it. They called the hot line, but, of course, if they've been scammed through the telephone, where they, themselves, give out personal information and the scammer happens to be on a foreign land somewhere, then, of course, they have no recourse.

So, what I'm looking for, then, is there something that this branch of your office, along with, perhaps, our offices, can do as a preventative measure? Most of us have senior

expos. We have elder abuse task forces, senior days, elderly senior days.

What else can we do to bring this to awareness? Because, as hard as we try, there's a new scam on the market. Once we solve one problem, there's another. So, any suggestions that we can help you and you can help us?

already do is of vital importance, too, and what I'm sure all of you do in your districts and what our office does as well. The most that we can do -- the problem with international scammers and spoofers, no, we can't catch them. We can't. And it's unfortunate. We don't have the jurisdiction. We don't have the technology. And, you know, even if we call Interpol, their laws aren't the same as ours, and it may not even be illegal where the scammers are located.

So, the best that we can do is our education and outreach. Our education and outreach department had one dedicated individual, agent, who was assigned to senior issues. When I took over, we expanded our education and outreach, and we cross-trained all of the agents. So, we now have nine, and we're hoping to add three more agents, so

that they can blanket the state.

They've done thousands of seminars.

They've talked to the elderly all the time. We

And we do the best that we can to keep ahead of it.

But, unfortunately, that's the most that we can do.

send out news alerts. We send out text messages.

7 Education is a vital key.

2.3

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Wheatley.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And good afternoon, Madam General.

I want to start by -- I wasn't going to ask this question, but I guess the previous speaker asked a question related to the corporate investigation, and I'm hoping, as you calculate how much you've spent to go after these companies, maybe compared to when your predecessor used a lot of resources to come after elected officials. So, maybe there's a correlation between what it costs to investigate corporations and what it may cost previous administrations to investigate other

elected officials. So, I would like to see that comparison, too, if you're going to submit something. Not that you have to respond to that, just -- that was my statement off of if you are wasting or spending money to go after corporations that might not be warranted.

The other thing I have is just a clarity, because the question came about the Highmark UPMC issue, what has become very serious for constituents of mine, especially as the American -- I mean the Affordable Care Act has started to become real, a lot of my citizens are taking and selecting Highmark's plan because it's just a cheapest one in the market so far, but the reality of the situation is, there might come a time where they are not going to be served by some of the closest hospitals in the area.

So, at that point, what happens? Do we have a recourse, legally, to challenge to be seen?

And, again, I know that you kind made mention to it. I'm not sure if you can talk about it at all, but, I think, for us in the west, especially in Pittsburgh, it's a real serious issue for people, that they may not be aware, as they're selecting their health plans, that there might come

a time if something is not done, close to what is being proposed here in the House, that these people will not be able to be seen. So, that's a real issue for us.

vital importance to us that we also -- we monitor the accessibility of health care as well as the affordability of health care, which is within our jurisdiction. And as I said, we are monitoring the situation of what is occurring between all of the health care companies, not just UPMC or Highmark, that it's something that is important to us. It's within our jurisdiction. And while I can't comment specifically on UPMC and Highmark, I can tell you that we will use the resources that we have if the time is appropriate and if it is the appropriate action in any instance.

Whether your constituents can file a legal suit, that, I can't give you a legal opinion on, I can only tell you what the Office of Attorney General can or cannot do.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Sure.

And my last -- two quick ones,

Mr. Chairman, if I may, is I've been getting a lot

of social media contacts from constituents who are

interested in us moving towards the legalization of 1 2 marijuana, and I know that it's a very dicey issue But the decriminalization of marijuana is 3 what I'm most interested in, because 4 African-American or low-income folk tend to be 5 sentenced at different levels as it relates to 6 7 Wanted to get your opinion, your take on the decriminalization of marijuana. 8 9 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: First, let me 10 address the disparity in sentencing. That is 11 unacceptable. And I believe that everyone is 12 working to -- hopefully, to eradicate the 13 disparity. It should never be like that. 14 Sentencing should never depend upon which group is 15 affected the most. So, I am -- we will do whatever we can to make sure that that doesn't happen. 16 17 With regard to the decriminalization of 18 marijuana, I am opposed to it. 19 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Sure. 20 Now -- again, this is my final 21 question, Mr. Chairman. 22 Because of the incident of where most 2.3 of these individuals tend to come from, by nature 24 of how you even pose the task force that you have

as it relates to violence. It's focused on large

25

urban areas. We've tried to look at innovative ways to take from the seizure money or forfeiture money and reinvest it in neighborhoods in a way that prevents people from going down the wrong paths and not necessarily just focusing on law enforcement. So, I know a lot of what we do focuses on buying of equipment or using it for law enforcement to reinvigorate themselves.

Have you thought of maybe a partnership to change that so that maybe those investments can come back into the neighborhoods, either through youth activities -- I know, at some point, that's what Weed and Seed was used for. I'm not sure if you're still partnering in those things, but we would really like to work with your department and agency to look at more creative ways to maybe prevent folks from making wrong decisions, by using the forfeiture money not just for law enforcement but in other ways to reinvest in those communities.

So, again you don't have respond unless you want to, but we would love to follow up with you.

And thank you, Mr. Chairman.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Very quickly, I will. We are bound by law as to what we can spend

the seizure money on, but we are always willing to 1 2 work with anybody who will help us in bettering 3 neighborhoods and making communities safer. REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: 4 Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 5 Thank you. Representative Scott Petri. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for recognizing me. 8 And thank you, General, for being here 9 10 today. 11 Some of my colleagues asked already 12 about prescription drugs, but, I believe -- and I 13 just want to make sure I'm clear on this -- your 14 office and most law enforcement believe that you 15 should not now be required to get a subpoena in order for you to see the information. 16 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: 17 18 correct. We believe that the most appropriate 19 burden of proof would be reasonable suspicion 20 rather than probable cause. 21 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I agree with 22 you, and I would share with that I think it's 2.3 probably the number one crime-related problem in my district, and I saw a fiscal note that indicated --24

I thought the number was somewhere around four

25

hundred million dollars in cost to the state just in managed care organizations. So, I'm glad to hear you say that. Hopefully, the Senate will send that bill back over so that the governor can sign it into law.

2.3

One of my other colleagues asked about elder abuse, so I'm going to skip that.

I wanted to talk a little about the third area that I do see quite a bit of activity in the papers, and I hear a lot the complaint about, and that is, crimes related to nonprofits. Can you tell us, how many investors and prosecutors you have in those areas and the type of cases you're seeing?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: In the public protection division -- in the public protection division, it is made up of the charitable trust and organization section as well as the antitrust section. We have the authority, obviously, under the Commonwealth's Attorneys Act.

We have -- our complement is made up of -- I don't think I have that here. However, we do make sure that we have oversight over the financial conditions of all nonprofits. We want to make sure that they're spending the money with

regard to the mission that they're supposed to, as well as spending it properly. Right now, we have approximately ten major investigations going. And we have fourteen people who make up the charitable trust and the antitrust division under the public protection division.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Okay. And the final question I would have for you, as state prosecutor, would you have any advice to fellow board members who may not be -- you know what happens with these nonprofits. A lot of times they're participating, but you don't really have the time. Do you have some advice on their responsibilities and duties vis-a-vis the money that they're receiving and watching over their fellow board members?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: That is an excellent question, and I sat on a few nonprofit boards myself, and I know that, at times, the meetings get daunting and you tend to move it, move it past, move it past.

My best advice is, is that, you know, those days are over. Money needs to be well spent. Money is tight. People give up, you know, their ten dollars every month just to go to a

charity that they believe in. We have an obligation and the officers of the board have an obligation to spend it wisely. So, the days of rubber stamping and not paying attention, I believe, are well over.

And I believe that people sit on

And I believe that people sit on nonprofit boards for a good reason. They do care about the -- they do care about what they're doing, and they do care about the mission. All they have to do is watch the mission, watch the money, ask the questions, and speak up if they see irregularities.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Scott Conklin.

REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

I want to thank you, Madam General, for being here. Most of all, I must say, you've made Pennsylvania proud with your ability to take on individuals who most folks would be afraid to question. So, congratulations on that.

I've been working -- and, normally, I
don't bring up a personal matter at something like

I've been working with a constituent that just called me today for actually a couple hours, that they were called at their place of business, solicited to change their electric -- as you know, under deregulation, it's gone out -- asked to change their electric basically a half a cent less than what they were paying. They agreed to it on the phone. They just got their second bill -- and it's small business -- rather than being eighteen hundred dollars, which it would have been under the old price, it's forty-five hundred dollars, and they have another bill coming in, because I worked with them today and switched them.

2.3

Is there anything legally that we could implement as a legislature? I mean, this is worse than spoofing. This is worse than scamming. This actually may put a small business out of business, just because they were solicited, changed over on the telephone, which I found out, calling, that it's legal because they did it. Is there anything that we could legally to stop this kind of scamming from going on?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I believe that the best approach would be to refer to our Bureau

of Consumer Protection. As you also know, we have a consumer advocate who is under the Office of Attorney General but is an independent advocate.

2.3

I would suggest referring the case to our office, and let us see what we can do to help out. And if we can go -- move, going forward, and if there is any proposed legislation, we can certainly get back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you.

Through our office, I'm not going to say their name, but it was American something of Pennsylvania. You'll find out that they're out of Florida. I called the number and got a gift card number for Wal-Mart. So, we're still trying to track them down.

Just one last follow-up and, again, it's personal. I'm going to see if I can get your thoughts on it. I recently introduced a piece of legislation, and it has to do with PFA orders. I have a friend who was murdered a year ago by her husband, who she requested to be locked up. They left him on the street. Because of his position, they did not lock him up.

So, we actually have a piece copying other states that would put a -- basically, a

monitoring device, if the judge so deems it appropriate that they have one, and give the individual with the PFA a beeper type of device so they would know that they were in the area. Is that, logistically, able to do? And would there be a problem with the attorney general's office if we were able to push that piece of legislation through?

logistically, capable of doing. In fact, that technology has been around for a very long time. Even in the '90s, when we had a violent criminal who was out on bail, and we had one case where he kidnapped an ex-wife, sexually abused her and beat her, dropped her out of a moving car. Well, he made bail, and we put a monitoring device around his ankle. We set up hot spots around she and her child, and we monitored that. So, whenever he broke that hot spot, whenever he broke his radius, we were all alerted, and we were able to get she and her child to safety.

So, that technology is there. It's very effective, and it would not cause any problems for our office.

REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you.

With the chairmen of Judiciary here, 1 2 maybe I can put a plug in for that to be moved forward. 3 Thank you. 4 5 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: And I'm sorry for the loss of your friend. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 8 Thank you. 9 Representative Donna Oberlander. 10 REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: Thank you, Chairman. 11 Good afternoon. 12 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Good afternoon. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: As you 16 know, the governor's budget included funds to 17 correct the pay disparity between mid-level managers and those that they supervise. I'm 18 wondering, does your office have that same 19 20 experience in those pay disparities? 21 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes. Wе 22 absolutely do. And we have also been working to 23 correct those pay disparities. However, the 24 increases that we have due to the union contracts 25 are contracts not covered under the governor's

budget proposal. They're covered -- the governor has the same problem. It's covered for his management employees as well as his union and nonunion, but it's not covered for our employees. That is why we've requested the 13.9 percent increase, almost seven million dollars of that is just to go to the increases that we are mandated to put into place that occurred prior to my administration. The other two million would be for

the sixteen agents that we talked about.

2.3

But, yes, every agency has those problems. Every agency has the problem of covering those costs. It's just unfortunate that the governor's budget did not fund our agency with the increase in costs.

REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: I understand that you said that you have started to address that. Can you tell me, have you given increases across the board in your management? If so, was it on a case-by-case basis, and what was the total amount of those increases?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: In the fiscal year of 2013, we had a service increment of 2.25 percent, management increase of 3 percent, and so the aggregate total was 8.55 percent. Those -- so,

```
I stand corrected. The total that we gave was only
1
2
     3 percent. But prior to that, the management
3
     employees had not had that increment or that raise
     in a number of years. So, we tried to correct the
 4
     disparity. We need people in the leadership
 5
     positions, obviously, just as everyone did.
 6
7
                 REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER:
     percent, what was that total amount? What did that
8
     amount to?
9
10
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I don't have
11
     that information available, but I will get it to
12
     you.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: That would
14
    be great. Thank you.
15
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: You're welcome.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER:
                                              Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
17
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
18
19
                 Representative Santarsiero.
20
                  REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
21
22
                 And, Attorney General Kane, welcome.
23
                 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          Thank you.
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: You're
25
     doing an excellent job and keep up the good work.
```

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank vou. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Very happy 3 to have you. I just wanted to start by following up 4 on a couple of issues that were touched on 5 earlier. One of them was the Representative 6 7 DiGirolamo's prescription drug bill. I would urge, if you have not yet been in front of the Senate of 8 Appropriations Committee --9 10 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I was there 11 this morning. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: I thought 13 we would have an opportunity for you to advocate for that, but hopefully we can move it forward in 14 the Senate. 15 16 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I did do that, 17 sir, because it is important to us. Yes. REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Great. 18 19 Thank you. Thank you very much. 20 And, briefly, on the other issue that I think was raised by Representative Parker earlier, 21 22 and that is my bill, House Bill 1010, universal 23 background check bill, and to the point that you raised before, it only closes the loophole with 24 25 respect to long guns. The familial transfer

exception would remain under my bill, and I do appreciate your support on that bill as well.

2.3

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: You're welcome.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: I just want to add a voice. There are now, I think, nearly a hundred of us in the House who, at long last, support civil rights for all Pennsylvanians, including gay and transgender people, and specifically House bill 300, which would provide those rights, which exist in many other states throughout the country but not yet here in Pennsylvania. And I just wanted to get your comment on that.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: As you well know, I am the defender and the protector of the United States Constitution as well as the Pennsylvania Constitution. That's the oath that I took on January 15th. It's part of my duties.

We have to make sure that all the rights of all Pennsylvanians are always protected, whether it's, you know, gay and lesbian rights, whether it's color of your skin, whether it's your gender. It doesn't matter. That's part of my job. And to me, it's not a personal decision. It's a legal decision.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Well, I 1 2 thank you for your comment on that. 3 And as I said, you know, in this day and age, it's 2014, many of us do believe it's time 4 that these rights exist for all Pennsylvanians, 5 regardless of those issues, and so, I encourage my 6 7 colleagues to move that bill and, hopefully, when it is finally passed, it's one other aspect of our 8 legal framework here in Pennsylvania that your 9 10 office will do a great job enforcing. 11 Thank you. 12 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 14 Representative Jeff Pyle. 15 REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 Madam General, good to see you again. 17 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Good to see 18 19 you. 20 REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: I have a mixed bag here from listening to all the other 21 22 questions. Just as Representative Wheatley pointed 23 out, what's going in the health care system in southwestern Pennsylvania, in my mind -- I know, 24

literally, it's not criminal, but never in my life

25

have I ever seen nonprofits arbitraging and picking off other hospitals and demanding exclusivity and still keep their nonprofit status. That's just an observation.

Second one, I appreciate the attention your office has paid to what we discussed last year, which is the serious, serious problem with heroin in western Pennsylvania. I'd like to say we've bit into it. We got good news last night, and this is my good news for you. Sitting on the evidence desk at the Kittanning Borough police station are a hundred thirty more bags of Theraflu they picked off last night. I know the state was involved with that. I know your office was involved with that. And we thank you very, very much.

In that light, I have a query for you. We have a little problem back home, as we discussed out in the hallway, which basically is an armed robber knocking down convenience stores during girls' basketball games. They call him the Newport bandit, because he walks in and asks for one pack of Newports, and when the clerk turns around, he sticks his hand in his pocket and says, "Give us all your money." That's happened eight times over

the last three weeks.

2.3

Think you might be able to help out a little bit?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I would be happy to. All we need is -- we will work with the local police, and, you know, we don't have original jurisdiction over robbery. Any case that's referred to us for prosecution must come from the district attorney. But any police department can request our assistance and we will be right there.

REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: We're not splitting hairs about who catches them. You just go right ahead if you can. All right?

Finally, I was watching the Senate hearing on the tobacco settlement. And I know the state -- when you microcosm and boil down what the budget is, it's basically a collection of revenue versus how you expend. I know we took a pretty big hit in the court's rule on the tobacco settlement moneys. I also know that the roll-your-own tobacco companies are an area we've never gone for taxation, yet, in many of those cases, they meet the legal definition of cigarette.

In the Senate hearing, you said you didn't want to proceed because you didn't have

```
statutory authority given to you by the
1
2
     legislature. I'll buy that. But I also know that
 3
     you have the power to promulgate rules on this.
     And I'm wondering, is that something you want to
 4
     consider?
               Because I'm aware there is an offer on
 5
     the table right now, and if we're going to lose
 6
7
     everything on the tobacco settlement, something's
     better than nothing.
8
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          The settlement
9
10
     that the tobacco companies propose would bind
11
     Pennsylvania into imposing an excise tax. I don't
12
     have the authority to enter into that agreement
13
     because I don't have the authority to impose a tax.
                  REPRESENTATIVE PYLE:
                                        That's fair.
14
15
     didn't understand your perspective, and I just read
16
     the black letter, and it said right there you can
17
     do this. But from that perspective, it makes much
18
     greater sense.
19
                  Chairman, thank you very much.
20
                  Madam General, appreciate your time.
21
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE:
                                          Thank you.
22
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                              Thank you.
23
                  Representative Dean.
24
                  REPRESENTATIVE DEAN:
                                        Thank you,
25
    Mr. Chairman.
```

Hello, General Kane. Welcome. And thank you for your testimony. Thank you for the good work and the work of your whole department.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Obviously,

vitally important.

I wanted to start with the issue of heroin addiction and overdose, opiate addiction and overdose, illegal trade of drugs, addiction treatment, education, that whole world. And it's so serious and so grave, and actually your budget request, I think, reflects that. You've asked for, I think, the largest single line item, the six-million-dollar line item, is for sixteen new agents, among other things.

Could you give us a sense of what that kind of investment would mean in your department, to put sixteen new agents across this commonwealth?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Right now, we have a drug diversion unit which falls under the drug law appropriation. We don't have enough people out there. We have lost, over the past couple of years, almost fifty agents out on the street. That's too many. We can't afford to lose

any more, and we have to start adding people.

2.3

enforcement present out on the streets and having a proactive approach to prescription drug abuse and having the legislation enacted for the prescription monitoring bill is of vital importance to law enforcement. We can't cut into the prescription drug abuse, we can't cut into the heroin use, we can't cut into the deaths unless we have those people out on the street.

As you know, our increase, as somebody said, is the largest that anyone is asking for.

We're not a regular row office. What we do affects people's lives. We save lives. We affect their lives. We save parents from burying their children. There's a difference.

So, when we put sixteen agents out on the street, you know, we're not paper pushers out there. We're making sure that we are solving crime. We're making sure that we're taking the drugs off the street.

And like you said, this is a -- we must have a comprehensive approach. We also make sure that our education and outreach department, they're well trained, they reach as many people as

possible. We want to be the resource for parents and children and schools when a problem comes up where they suspect their child of having a drug problem. We need them to know where to go.

So, we need treatment. We need prosecutions. We need agents out on the street. And we need education. And unless we tackle all four of those problems, we are merely taking a small bite out of the apple. And it's not good enough. And it is all of our duty to make sure that we tackle this problem that really is killing our children. And it's killing our citizens.

And like I said, we're not the worst state in the country. But if we turn our heads or we bury our heads in the sand, we will be. And we can't afford for that to happen.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I really appreciate that you're a tireless advocate about this, because it is a life and death issue, what we're talking about.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And it's growing exponentially. I think everybody in this room knows somebody affected by opiate addiction, somebody who has suffered an overdose, somebody who

has lost their child. It doesn't take a movie star to die of a heroin overdose. It can be a student at my school, where I taught. A sophomore, a bright, talented, young man, died recently of an overdose.

So, we've had all kinds of misinformation about who this affects. It affects every population in our commonwealth. So, I appreciate your tireless advocacy.

To the point of your education programs, can you tell us a little bit about the education programs, not only on drugs, the other one I'm interested in is on the senior scams. I believe your department is doing education outreach.

absolutely are. The senior scams are something that we also take very seriously. You know, seniors have amassed the most amount of wealth in the commonwealth. And they are the target for that reason, number one. So, we are trying to, first of all, change their mindset in reporting. We don't want them to be embarrassed, or we don't want them to feel like they're senior citizens and, therefore, somebody's going to put them away or

take over their finances because they report it.

So, that is part of our education and outreach program.

2.3

Our senior scam program, though, is unbelievably successful. And in Philadelphia, we've gone to the second annual Scam Jam, which I think is -- it's a great program. But our education and outreach department is -- they take -- they take their job very seriously. We have -- our consumer protection division has taken in over one hundred ten thousand reports, most of those are from seniors who have been scammed.

Of those reports, most of the seniors are complaining -- six thousand complaints about the Do Not Call list; one thousand four hundred thirty-two complaints of home improvement; telecommunications and broadcast, thirteen hundred complaints; consumer credit and money handling, thirteen hundred complaints; seven hundred complaints on utilities; four hundred seventy-six complaints on debt collection. We are working with them, not only in solving those problems, our Bureau of Consumer Protection has opened up numerous cases and are attempting to resolve the problems, but the education component is equally as

important.

2.3

Our press department works very hard to make sure that that information gets out as quickly as possible so that we don't have victims of scams, but it's a daunting task. You know, as you know, we are the second highest population of elderly citizens in the entire country. So, we take our job very seriously, but the consumer protection division works very, very hard, and the amount of complaints that it receives is just unbelievable.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I think the education is so much more important, too, because so many of the times you can't track back who made that call to tell Aunt Millie, "You're overdue on five hundred dollars. Please meet me here with your check." You can't go back and trace that number and find out legitimately who it was.

that you can, but most times you can't. You know, criminals are extremely smart. They try and stay one step ahead of law enforcement at all times. By the time we hear about the scam, they've moved on to another one. That's why we try and get the information out as quickly as possible. But there is always another scam right behind it.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I'd like to 1 2 partner with members of your team and bring that 3 education to my community, both for the seniors and also for the prescription drug epidemic. 4 And then, finally, I want to thank you 5 for your really clear-eyed statement as to House 6 7 Bill 1010, which would close the background check, and also House Bill 1515, as Cherelle Parker and 8 9 Dan Frankel and many people here for years have 10 tried to do lost and stolen legislation. We have 11 it in front of us, so I really appreciate your 12 clear-eyed vision and discourse as to what those 13 two pieces of legislation would mean. 14 Thank you very much. 15 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Certainly. Thank you. 16 17 Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 18 Representative Jim Christiana. 19 REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you, 20 Mr. Chairman. 21 General, good afternoon. 22 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Good 2.3 afternoon. 24 REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thanks for 25 spending some time with us today.

I just have a question as it relates to the million dollars that is designated to your department from the gaming fund. And what specifically -- obviously, that goes for your work, what -- that you do on behalf of the gaming fund, but from an accounting perspective and a safeguard for those resources, can you just, maybe, talk a little bit about your responsibility in that department and what those funds go to?

absolutely. The unit uses informants and cooperating witnesses to -- as well as physical surveillance and the state-wide grand jury to make sure that we ensure the integrity of the casino gaming industry and also, then, to enforce the laws of the commonwealth.

Right now, we have seven personnel in the gaming enforcement unit, which, as you know, is funded by the gaming control board. We have five in the western regional office and two in the eastern regional office. The gaming unit has jurisdiction through the gaming act, and it's complemented by, as I said, three agents, one supervisory agent, and one deputy attorney general.

We are a watchdog for monitoring potential criminal infiltration and/or potential corruption of the Pennsylvania gaming industry.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: I guess, are there safeguards in place that designate that that million dollars, and increased to 1.1 in this proposal, specifically goes towards those employees and that operation and doesn't get used for any other type of operational cost in your budget or in your department?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Yes, sir.

We're always very conscious of using the money
appropriately through any specific line item. That
money comes directly from the gaming control unit
into our office, into our gaming unit. We make
sure that that is only used for gaming.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you. Thank you for that.

And one final question, in your handout here today, you had, for the total filed and committed employee numbers, you have the number of seven hundred seventy-seven employees for the total department. But, in your testimony, in your budget, if you add up all the filled positions currently, there's six hundred thirty filled

positions.

That hundred -- those hundred thirtyone vacancies and the sixteen new employees you
mentioned seem to be -- you're requesting funding
for those in this budget. Can you -- are there -I guess, is this an area of opportunity for us to
work as we finalize a final budget, that this area
may be an area where we can look to reduce some of
those vacant positions that you're hoping to fund?
Are you open to that?

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Let me tell you the situation from six years ago, from 2008, to what it is today. In 2008, we had eight hundred twenty-six employees. Today, we have, in 2014, seven hundred twenty-one employees. Those employees cover all areas of the civil, public protection, as well as the criminal law division.

We cannot cut any more people. We just can't. Our office handles thousands and thousands of contracts. We defend the commonwealth and its agencies in all of the -- all of the actions that are filed either for them or against them.

Our public protection division has charities, antitrusts, and the largest bureau of consumer protection. Our criminal law division

covers Medicaid fraud, insurance fraud, child predator unity, a whole bunch of other, you know, criminal prosecutions that are referred to us from the DAs. We can't possibly cut any more people.

And as Governor Corbett, even as attorney general, indicated even back then, they could not make any more cuts. It's a matter of public safety. We cut any more, we are now taking people off the streets and the public is at risk.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: And first of all, thank you for the commitment to the reduction. As I mentioned, I totally understand the rationale for the six hundred thirty current employees you have and the need for the sixteen additional that you mentioned for the drug law enforcement, but the other hundred thirty-one vacant — the positions that are currently vacant that you're looking to fund over the next twelve months, I would just say, let's work together. Let's continue to work together to maximize the resources that are allocated while still letting you do the wonderful job you are currently doing.

ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: I will tell you, they are vacant but committed, which means that we already -- we are making those hires

```
because we have to. We have to keep that
1
2
     complement up. We have already reduced our
3
     complement from the years prior to the point where
     we can't actually reduce anymore.
 4
                  REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA:
 5
                                               And I
     understand.
 6
                  And if I may take fifteen seconds to
7
     just echo the words that my colleague,
8
     Representative Mustio, said. Your commitment to
9
10
     reaching out to the legislature on both sides of
11
     the goes -- does not go unnoticed. I thank you for
12
     that commitment and respecting the legislative
13
     process.
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14
15
                  ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you, sir.
16
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                              Thank vou.
17
                  Representative Sabatina.
18
                  REPRESENTATIVE SABATINA:
                                             Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
19
20
                  Thank you, General, for your testimony
     today.
21
22
                  I just -- I was going to ask you --
     here I am.
2.3
24
                  I was going to ask you questions but
25
     you answered it six times, so I just -- I just
```

wanted to -- the reason I'm speaking is I wanted to 1 2 let you know, it's an important issue. I also had a friend who, in an automobile accident, addicted 3 to -- was prescribed painkillers, when the 4 painkillers stopped, he switched to heroin, and he 5 overdosed, and he's no longer with us. But I just 6 7 wanted to thank you for acknowledging that this is It's an ongoing problem, and I don't 8 a problem. know if the legislature has fully grasped that 9 10 situation of what a problem it is. But I want to 11 thank you for observing that and doing something 12 about it with the request of the sixteen additional 13 officers. 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you very 15 much. 16 REPRESENTATIVE SABATINA: Thank you. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 18 Representative Bradford. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, 20 Chairman. 21 Thank you, General Kane. 22 I just wanted to follow up on two 23 pieces of litigation that have received quite a bit 24 of attention. Not to get into the substance of it, 25 but just the status. The Voter ID case that's

currently pending, where does that currently stand?

2 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Right now,

Voter ID has been appealed. As you know, the Commonwealth Court ruled that there is a permanent injunction, and that -- they further comment regarding that the Voter ID -- the implementation

7 of Voter ID was unconstitutional.

2.3

The governor's office has decided that they will appeal that decision. Our office is one of the, I believe, three counsel serving to represent the governor and the commonwealth.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: You mentioned three counsel. Can you tell us who those counsel are and what the cost of the private counsel is and how much resources your office has devoted so far to this effort?

you a definite number because we don't keep track of how much a particular case costs for prosecution. But I can tell you that the other counsel is outside counsel as well as OGC, which, as you know, is the governor's -- represents the governor's office.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Does -- the outside counsel cost, does that -- is that the

governor's -- whose line is that going to be found 1 2 Yours, the governor's? 3 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: The governor's. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Okay. Another litigation in the commonwealth, 6 7 as you know, I'm from Montgomery County, and we had a hundred seventy-four same-sex couples legally 8 permitted to marry in Montgomery County before 9 10 there was an injunction issued. Nobody at this 11 point that I'm aware of has challenged the validity 12 of those marriages. 13 Has the commonwealth asked for the 14 advice of your office in terms of how these folks 15 who are legally married are to proceed in terms of 16 taxes, single file, joint file, how they handle 17 those things, and has your office given any 18 quidance? ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: No one has 19 20 asked for a legal opinion from our office, which, as you know, can only be given to the commonwealth 21 22 agencies.

Our office, I believe -- if it's the same case we're talking about, our office was also -- I was a named defendant, and we were

23

24

25

released by the judge from the lawsuit. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: So, no departments in the -- in the commonwealth have 3 asked for how to handle these issues? 4 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Not to my 5 knowledge, no. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: You know, with that, thank you so much, General. I 8 9 appreciate your time. 10 ATTORNEY GENERAL KANE: Thank you. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 12 Thank you, General. Thank you very 13 much for your testimony. We certainly found it to 14 be very informative and looking forward to working 15 with you, as always, during this budget process. 16 For members of the committee. We will reconvene tomorrow at 9:30. Tomorrow we have the 17 18 Department of Corrections and Probation and Parole before us. 19 20 I will also be keeping a very close eye on another winter storm coming our way, and we will 21 22 let you know first thing tomorrow morning whether 2.3 we're going to be here on Thursday. Give us plenty of time to get back to our families. So, I'll let 24

you know tomorrow morning whether we are going to

25

```
be here on Thursday.
 1
 2
                   Thank you. And have a good day.
 3
                    (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
 4
     3:59 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public