Page 1

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

BUDGET HEARING

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

House Appropriations Committee

Main Capitol Building Majority Caucus Room 140 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

Tuesday, February 18, 2014 - 1:33 p.m.

1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404 717.764.7801 877.747.2760

```
Page 2
1
      COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
      Honorable William Adolph, Jr., Majority Chairman
2
      Honorable Ryan Aument
      Honorable Karen Boback
3
      Honorable Jim Christiana
      Honorable Gary Day
4
      Honorable Brian Ellis
      Honorable Glen Grell
5
      Honorable Seth Grove
      Honorable Adam Harris
6
      Honorable Tom Killion
      Honorable David Millard
7
      Honorable Mark Mustio
      Honorable Donna Oberlander
8
      Honorable Bernie O'Neill
      Honorable Scott Petri
9
      Honorable Jeffrey Pyle
      Honorable Curt Sonney
10
      Honorable Mike Turzai
      Honorable Joseph Markosek, Minority Chairman
11
      Honorable Bryan Barbin
      Honorable Brendan Boyle
12
      Honorable Matthew Bradford
      Honorable Michelle Brownlee
13
      Honorable Mike Carroll
      Honorable Scott Conklin
14
      Honorable Madeleine Dean
      Honorable Deb Kula
15
      Honorable Michael O'Brien
      Honorable Cherelle Parker
16
      Honorable John Sabatina
      Honorable Steven Santarsiero
17
      Honorable Jake Wheatley
18
      REPUBLICAN NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
19
      Honorable Robert Godshall
      Honorable Daryl Metcalfe
20
      Honorable Matthew Gabler
      Honorable Rick Saccone
21
      Honorable Hal English
      Honorable Mike Tobash
22
      Honorable Paul Clymer
      Honorable Will Tallman
23
      Honorable Mario Scavello
      Honorable Steve Mentzer
24
      Honorable Marquerite Quinn
      Honorable Mark Gillen
25
```

	Pag	e 3
1	DEMOCRATIC NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:	
2	Honorable Pam DeLissio Honorable Dan Frankel Honorable William Keller	
4	STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	
5		
6	David Donley Majority Executive Director	
7		
8	Ritchie LaFaver Majority Deputy Executive Director	
9	el Clark, Esquire jority Chief Counsel	
10	Majority Chief Counsel	
11	Miriam Fox Minority Executive Director	
12	Beryl Kuhr, Esquire Minority Chief Counsel	
13	Introducty Chief Country	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
۷ ک		

							Page 4		
1	INDEX TO TESTIFIERS								
2	TESTIFIERS								
3	DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION								
4	Caroline Dumaresq, Acting Secretary								
5	Nichole F. Duffy, Deputy Secretary								
6									
7									
8									
9	INDEX OF REQUESTED INFORMATION								
10	Page	Line	Page	Line	Page	Line			
11	92	22-23	104	14-16	124	10-12			
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

Page 5

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good afternoon, everyone. I would like to reconvene the House Appropriations Committee budget hearing. With us this afternoon is the Secretary of Education, Carolyn Dumaresq. She is actually the Acting Secretary of the Department of Ed, and with her is Ms. Nichole Duffy.

Ladies, if you would bring those mikes as close as possible so everyone in the room can hear you, as well as our viewing audience.

For your information, members of the Appropriations Committee that have joined us, Representative Ryan Aument, Representative Gary Day, and also joining us is Representative Will Tallman. Thank you for joining us.

And as customary, we always invite the committee chairs of the standing committees, and with us this afternoon is the Republican Chair of the House Education Committee, Chairman Paul Clymer. Thank you, Chairman.

Secretary, would you like to start with an opening comment?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes, please.

Thank you. Chairman Adolph and Chairman Markosek

and members of the House Appropriations Committee,

Page 6

I would like to thank you for giving me the opportunity today to present some comments regarding Governor Corbett's '14-'15 executive budget for the Department of Education.

The Governor's proposal represents \$12.01 billion in funding for education or a \$387 million increase from the prior year. This number accounts for over one-third, actually 41 percent, of the entire State budget. Included in this investment is a \$368.6 million increase for support of our public schools, \$20 million of which will be driven for students in special education, the first in six years that he will be able to add money to the special education budget.

Since taking office, the Governor has, in fact, increased state support for public schools by 1.55 billion or 18 percent, as well as increased the State's investment in early childhood education by 72 million or 24 percent. Over the past three years, Governor Corbett's Ready to Learn Education agenda has been transforming public education through increased accountability and transparency.

Increased accountability, and as we've raised our standards and our assessments as

2.1

Page 7

represented in Chapter 4, increased accountability in our new teacher and principal evaluation system, and I thank you for your support in making that pilot, that three-year pilot, in fact, into regulation and into law. And then focusing also in transparency in our school performance profile, which we launched this year.

The Governor's Ready to Learn agenda includes the following initiatives:

Ready to Learn block grant, and this budget provides 341 million in total funding. Of that, 241 million is new, and the Ready to Learn funding for public schools. That is a block grant that is spread out on a student focused funding formula, to enhance early learning opportunities and for students to provide resources for our students in improving education at the local level, and I'll be happy -- I'm sure there will be some questions on that later. So I won't go into any more of that detail.

The one million of that is called a

Governor's Expanding Excellence Program, which is
a mentoring program. It's a million dollars in a
competitive grant for Pennsylvania high achieving
public schools to analyze and publish best

Page 8

practices, and then to share them with those who could use the extra support and help.

Pennsylvania Hybrid Learning grant, a ten million dollar new funding for competitive grants for schools to develop and implement new educational strategies or teaching techniques to help raise student achievement.

And then Governor's Schools for Excellence, \$350,000 to support three Governor's schools this summer in agricultural sciences, science and technology, and engineering. And I'm sure we'll have questions about that later.

Ready to Succeed, this budget provides 25 million through Pennsylvania's Higher Education Assistance Agency, PHEAA, for Ready to Succeed scholarships to make post-secondary education more affordable for middle-income families. This will be a merit-based system, and to say that we've had an excellent pre-meeting with PHEAA last week to talk about implementation of this, and I thank our sister agency for their support.

These initiatives along with the proposed budget, I believe, show a commitment to our students in education and puts us in the right direction. I thank you for allowing me to briefly

Page 9

share those, and I will be happy to answer any questions that you have.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you very much, Madam Secretary.

Chairman Markosek?

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

Madam Acting Secretary, Madam Deputy
Secretary, welcome. Although I'm happy to see
that the Governor is proposing a modest increase
in education funding in this budget year, I am, I
have to tell you, disappointed that it is not in a
form of an increase to the basic education
subsidy, which, as you know, is the largest most
flexible appropriation within the education
budget.

I would much rather see an increase in the basic education subsidy, which would give school districts more flexibility in how best to direct those sources, rather than a new block grant program which I think you've titled Ready to Learn or other programs that may have strings attached.

Is it the intention of the Corbett

Administration to no longer provide increases in the basic education subsidy?

Page 10

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Let me answer that in a couple of different ways. I think there were multiple questions in there. One is where did that block grant come from in the design of that? And what we did is when we received the first school profile for each school building, we did look at those school districts or those school buildings that had very large increases in growth and those that had less than a year of growth or low increases.

So we called superintendents and we said for those that had great achievement, what did you do? What would you account for that achievement? What program did you put into place? And for those who did not have the growth we said, well, if you had additional funding what would you spend it on to increase student achievement?

The results of those steps inside that block grant reflect the comments that we got back. By and large, superintendents were saying -- who didn't have a great growth, I wish I had time or money for staff development to bring the staff together to do a mapping, a good curriculum mapping of our K to 3 curriculum to the state standards and to get children ready to learn by

Page 11

grade 3.

And, conversely, those superintendents who said they had great growth said they had good articulation or curriculum mapping up to grade 3. So we've put together and others said I wish I had money to do tutoring for Keystone exams, for supplementary services. So we put together this block grant, focused at those initiatives that we believe raise student achievement.

Inside that block grant there is

flexibility and is built in as part of a waiver.

So that if a school district, by their school

district profile now, would be in one of the lower

tiers and they believe that they've already

accomplished that this year, they, in their

application, can ask for a waiver to move up to

use it for additional resources for different

topics because I don't think any of us have a

handle on truth. I would be responsive to

superintendents to say, look, I think I covered

that, but this is an idea that I believe will move

student achievement. So inside the block grant is

flexibility for superintendents to ask for

different things.

Let me address your question about basic

Page 12

instructional subsidy. I just wanted to tell you a little bit about how that was developed. The basic instructional subsidy, as we look at that, if you remember the formula really hasn't been run since the '90s, and it was based on ADMs back then and poverty factors. And I think we all know that since the '90s, school districts, economies, local economies have changed. School districts have grown and they have shrunk. So the formula that drove out the basic instructional subsidy is one that is not sensitive to the new realities that we are facing in school districts.

And, in fact, everyone that at least I speak with has been calling for a new formula. And so I'm very encouraged that, in fact, the legislature through Representative O'Neill is at least suggesting that maybe we get together like we did with special education and take a look at basic instructional subsidy, because before I would encourage us to put more money into that system, I would encourage us to make sure that it is a formula that we all agree with, that it is sensitive to the current realities of student population and poverty, and then go in and put more money into the flexible use.

Page 13

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: So the

Governor is not going to put more money into the

basic education?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Not this year, no.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Okay. Just to change gears a wee little bit. This morning we had the retirement systems here, PSERS particularly, which would effect school districts, and the Governor is proposing to reduce the State and the school districts' pension payments, as I'm sure you know, presumably in order to provide spending increases in next year's budget, although reducing payments will increase the debt in the long run by billions and billions of dollars, as we know.

If the legislature does not go along with the Governor's proposal to reduce payments, is the Governor still committed to increasing education funding?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I believe the Governor is interested and dedicated to increasing school district funding. I think, however, that if the collars go in, it's not just the State that reaps, but it's the local school district that

Page 14

would reap the benefit. So I would hope that the legislature, again knowing that the largest increases that we have been having in the State System of support for public education is coming from mandatory pension contributions. This Governor has kept his promise in doing that, and I would hope that we would, again, work together to look at pension reform that, in fact, makes sure that our retirees are not hurt and that no current employee has a detriment to what they've already earned, but to do good pension reform so that it is, in fact, the collars are temporary relief. It is not a permanent solution.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: We had just, in the conversations we had earlier, and perhaps you didn't see any of it, just this morning, I think maybe not unanimously but a lot of us, I think on both sides of the aisle understood that the word reform relative to what the Governor wants to do is really a misnomer. We're in a sense just creating more debt for the Commonwealth by some of the proposals that he's made relative to paying off the pension obligations. So, it would seem to me then, and I guess this is really the basis of my question, we're basing your

Page 15

budget, or he's basing your budget, on projected savings that really, you know, there's a huge question of will it actually come about, and if they do not come about as he has projected, then how does that affect your budget? Will some of these increases on the Ready to Learn program and some others, will they have to be curtailed? And, again, what will happen to the basic ed formula? Will he cut that even further than he already has? It just creates a lot of issues, and I would really be curious to hear your thoughts on it.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, first I don't believe that the Governor's budget and the proposal that is before you would claim this is pension reform. I think the Governor has put out his belief on what pension reform would look like. I know there are multiple bills that are going through the House and the Senate being discussed on pension reform. I think that is true pension reform. I would characterize what is in this budget as temporary relief as we move forward to pension reform. And I have to say that, again, as in my position, I would argue that the 170-million-dollar savings that we would get from that temporary relief if, in fact, not approved by

Page 16

the legislature would have to be renegotiated across all the budgets in the Commonwealth. I'll argue very strenuously to hold onto what we have.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Well, I appreciate what you said, and temporary relief really is what it is, and that's what we have been complaining about, because it essentially just kicks the can down the road, and we're looking at an additional 13 billion in long-term debt and pension obligations added to what we already have which is significant, so that's the basis for my question here. It sounds like the Governor's plan including where he's finding money for education is very weak, and it depends a lot upon what we do here in the legislature.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes. And I would hope that this just points to the fact that we need pension relief. We need serious pension changes as we go forward in the future, and I think that the collars would be more than paid for with pension relief.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Finally,
there's another 225 million in tobacco settlement
funds that will be given to PSERS to help with the
-- as a one-time payment for temporary relief, as

Page 17

- you say, from the pension payments, which again,
  is very short-sighted. And I think it's just
  going to create a lot of problems for the
  Commonwealth, not only in education, but just
  across the board.
  - ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I would have to go back and check my data, which I will do, but I believe that it wasn't funds. It was a portfolio that is being transferred over, investments that are matching what currently is being used by PSERS, so it would be a portfolio. It wouldn't be just one-time money, but I'll check that.
    - MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: It's just one time. That's the problem. What do we do after that? We get past the election year and then what do we do?
    - Okay. Thank you, Madam Acting Chair, I appreciate it. Thank you.
  - MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

    Chairman Markosek. We just spent about

    two-and-a-half hours with the two pension boards,

    and a lot of these questions have been discussed.

    And I think what the Governor has done with the

    education budget proposal is he put in front of us

Page 18

what lowering the collar will do. He's also charging the legislature to come up with a reform package that the increasing the debt, that the lowering the collars will result in. He's charging the legislature to come up with the reform measures that will come up with the savings to offset the debt and be very similar to Act 120.

The only question I have for you right now, Madam Secretary, as a former educator is that -- and I hear this all the time regarding the education budget. Do you believe that pension contributions should be included as a education expense? I know how I feel about it. Okay. And pension is included in all the other general government operation line items, but for some reason, when we include pension costs in education, they say it's not an education cost.

As an accountant by profession, I'm always including employee benefits in the cost of labor, whatever the industry may be. So I don't want to lead you to the answer I want. I would never do that. But I saw my good friend, Representative Dean, laughing over there. I'm learning an awful lot from her as she probes the testifiers here.

Page 19

You know my question.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes, I do.

And as a former superintendent of two different school districts, one very poor small school district and one -- I wouldn't say wealthy, but better off and very large school district, again, as I built my budget, pensions and Social Security and healthcare were always part of the labor costs and part of the education budget.

I would say that the support for pensions is even more important for school districts whose aide ratio is above 5 -- .5. As you know, when we reimburse for pensions and Social Security, we reimburse at least 50 percent, regardless of how wealthy the school district is, of those costs. But for school districts whose aide ratio is above .5, we reimburse up to those school districts. That's a critical piece of their budget and a critical piece of their revenue, especially school districts who have aide ratios of .8 and above. So 80 percent of that cost is being reimbursed by the Commonwealth. Yeah, I would definitely include that as part of my labor costs.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

25 Chairman Paul Clymer.

Page 20

1 REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: Thank you, 2 Mr. Chairman, and welcome, Secretary Dumaresq. 3 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you. 4 Nice to see you. 5 REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: It's good to see 6 you as always. 7 I have two questions, some observations, 8 and then I'll ask the question. While financial 9 investment in education is an important priority, 10 and we heard about that this morning, and we'll 11 continue to hear it throughout the course of the 12 coming months, what is taught in the classroom is 13 equally important. For years, I've always 14 championed American History and Civics. They are 15 my passion. 16 Understanding how government works at all 17 levels is important. If we are to retain our 18 identity as a constitutional republic or a 19 democracy this, indeed, is critical.

For example, at the federal level, students should be learning the meaning of the separation of powers. The executive branch, the President, does not have the power to make or change laws, but to enforce the legislation which he, the President, signed into law and to uphold

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21

the constitution, which the President has taken a sworn oath to do.

The judiciary, the federal courts, but in particular, the nine-member Supreme Court have the awesome responsibility to determine the constitutionality of laws that are brought before the Court for that purpose to determine whether or not they are constitutional.

The legislative branch, members of

Congress make the laws of the land and are

responsible for spending the taxpayer's money.

Students need to understand the depth and meaning

of our constitution in order to appreciate our

freedoms and our liberties. Because we are a

nation of laws, we have become an exceptional

nation.

Madam Secretary, here's my question: In our present system of education, do you think we are adequately fulfilling this mission?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Let me just restate to make sure I'm answering your question.

Do I believe that we are adequately fulfilling the mission in delivering in our public schools the standards by which we are holding those students accountable included of which are US history,

Page 22

which is part of our standards.

REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: Yes.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I can say we have standards which the State sets, and we work with educators in Pennsylvania to develop Pennsylvania standards for Pennsylvania students. We worked very hard on that. We then have, in certain subjects we have state assessments, and they tend to be in reading and math and science to measure, in fact, whether those standards are being met.

Pennsylvania is a local controlled state especially when it comes to curriculum and materials, local school districts, in fact, have the freedom to develop the curriculum and the pacing and what it is taught. Our measure of knowing whether the standards are, in fact, on those state assessments that we measure. We don't have a measure statewide for U.S. History, but I can tell you in the standards that are published on our standards line system for U.S. History, the government, the functions, the separation of powers, in fact, are part of those standards. I just don't have a test that I can say measures

Page 23

that, so that I could answer you directly, but I can measure those other standards that we have.

REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: And that's very adequate. Thank you for that response.

My final question is this: Public education is an evolving process, we know that from our many meetings. We have the traditional public schools. We have the faith-based private schools. We have the secular private schools. We have charter schools, cyber charters, and we have home schooling.

As Secretary of Education, which is a tough and challenging responsibility, you do have some oversight on these educational alternatives so that abuse and misuse of state dollars is not permitted. And yet, it's your awesome responsibility to allow each of these groups to reach their full potential in teaching their students without excessive state involvement.

So my question is this: I know that other state agencies are involved when it comes to exposing and curbing corruption and abuse. That I recognize. But in your opinion, as best as you can formulate it, do you think that these alternative schools, this evolving process in

Page 24

education, that that mission is being fulfilled?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I can only speak to what I know about public entities, which would include traditional public schools, charters, and cybers because, in fact, they are part of the school -- and our vocational schools, part of the school performance profile. So that's a public document that folks can see.

I would caution that inside that school performance profile there is a compare feature, so that when people would like to see how am I doing as compared to similar schools or how is this charter school doing in comparison to its feeder patterns, there is the ability to go on and to look at that and to draw judgments from that.

As far as religious schools, other than the 180 days or the 990 hours of instruction, home schoolers still take the tests so school districts who are really the oversight, the superintendents who are the oversight of the home school programs get to see those test results. Otherwise, there is limited ability in parochial schools, although I do encourage that some of our parochial schools do take the keystones. We've offered that as an opportunity, and they do take those tests. But we

Page 25

really only have authority over public entities other than minimal registration numbers of days, number of hours.

REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: Thank you. I'll just conclude with these remarks in that so often within these evolving numbers of alternatives in education, there are so many good things that are happening across the board, and we need to stop being so critical. The criticism is important. We need to uphold those teachers and administrators and students who do well. I think they get lost in the shuffle, and that's really disturbing. There are bright spots, and we need to make those bright spots shine brighter. So I'll just end there.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you.

And thank you for that. I think we often forget that our public schools are doing wonderful things, and our private -- and we have a very rich private and parochial school system that do wonderful things for children. And I would encourage folks to come out as we are doing those awards, those Governor's Awards for Excellence to see the wonderful things that are happening out

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 26

1 there in the classrooms and in our school buildings because there is a lot to be proud of.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good. Thank you. I would like to acknowledge the presence of Representative Barbin, Representative Gillen, and the Majority Leader Mike Turzai. Thank you for being with us.

The next question will be by Representative Matt Bradford.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

I actually wanted to follow-up on a point that the Chairman made, and I think you concurred with, about the component cost of the pension cost of teachers as part of the cost of educating today's kids in today's classroom. And I think, while I know the chairman is a fine accountant, I think it misses one component, one important component of the pension cost, which is the cost of prior decisions to not pay current year pension costs and to kick the can, and we're obviously seeing the spike that continues to increase in pension costs at about half of the pension costs that we will pay this year for, quote/unquote, teacher costs are not about educating today's

Page 27

kids, but are about the failure of past
legislators to fully fund the pension, and now we
are seeing the carrying costs plus interest costs
coming into full force and now we're dealing with
that. So I think we do a little bit of a
disservice to our local school boards that are
dealing with the pension issue, and I think we
oversimplify the cost of educating kids when we
act like it's just the normal cost of today's
pension contribution.

I would also say, furthermore, in light of the Governor's proposal, to artificially lower the actuarially already reduced pension contribution, that what we are doing is we are saying to future students, future Pennsylvanians, our future taxpayers that their education will be jeopardized because we are not going to either raise the revenue or make the cuts in this year's budget, but they will have to pay even higher pension costs because we didn't pay the cost this year, that we put off today at the cost of their education tomorrow, just unfortunately as our kids today are feeling.

So at some point it is pay me now, pay me later; and I fear as though that when we say oh,

Page 28

well, this is just the cost of educating a kid, so when we manipulate pension costs, and I think underestimate the true cost of doing nothing on the pension, I fear as though we do a disservice.

So I wanted you to comment, if you could, or at least do you recognize that at least 50 percent of the pension costs that we're paying this year have nothing to do with educating today's kids? It's about the cost of past inaction to fund the pension.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think that part of the costs that we are realizing now in those spikes have come from a history of not putting in the minimal contribution. I think we all understand that, and I think there are folks on both sides of the aisle that can recognize that, perhaps, things that we did in the past weren't, in fact, the best choices we could have made. However, I'm hopeful, that folks on both sides of the aisle, as we go forward, will, in fact, commit themselves to doing real pension reform and capture some of that future kicking the can down the road. It's time to stop that, and it's time to do good pension reform.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: And, Secretary,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 29

I agree. And I actually agree on the need to do pension reform. I think you nailed it right on the head, though, when you say not making minimal contribution is how we ended up here. Remember we are proposing in this Governor's budget to not make the minimum contribution. In fact, we are doubling down on the strategy that got us into this predicament.

You know, I agree completely that we need to do something, but doing something that exacerbates the problem, in fact, we actually will be going on the same cycle really worries me. And I also think it's particularly problematic because obviously we are going to do it at the state level, but we have much more flexibility in terms of funding options. In terms of our local school districts when we are going to say to them, look, you are going to have additional funding because we are going to loosen up your pension contribution. We talked about the structural deficit in the State's budget. What we are going to say is we are going to deal with the structural deficit because they are practically borrowing because of this pension debt against future -they are basically going to increase their

2.1

Page 30

unfunded pension liability for these local school districts as well. So what we do to ourselves at the state level will also be passing down to our school districts. So I think it's very problematic that if we continue to double down on a failed policy --

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I think if we do nothing, it's very problematic. I think, again, what we would like to see for this year's budget is some relief that is critical for the State and the local school districts with this collar recommendation. I just am hopeful that, in fact, we can come to agreement and we look forward. I commit myself to any amount of hours we can do to look forward to coming up with true pension reform so that we can recapture the savings and not have those future children paying the price.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Right. Thank you, Secretary.

I want to move on to one thing real quick because I know I used up a bunch of my time. The accountability block grant in the Governor's prior budget was zeroed out. I know Chairman Adolph and others fought long and hard to get the hundred

Page 31

million restored from the prior over 250 million kind of a down payment.

This budget proposes to expand a grant program similar to the accountability block grant. At the time that Secretary Tomalis defended the decision to zero out the accountability block grant, he said that is the wrong way to go. I was reading some of his testimony, but to paraphrase, basically block grants are silos, and we need to give school districts basic education funding formula increases. And I know you touched on this with Chairman Markosek. Is this a reversal in the Administration's policy?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, it sounds like it is from your comment. But I would say, again, as a superintendent, I think we need to be focusing the money at educational programs that improve student achievement. So there is enough flexibility in that block grant if superintendents think they have better ideas, but it should be used for programs that we know increase student achievement, whether that be preschool programs, whether that be curriculum alignment, whether that's tutoring, whether it's

Page 32

lowering class size. There's so much flexibility in the system, that in focusing academics and student achievement, that I believe this is the right way to go, and I encourage the use of the money that way.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Just to followup on that, one of the other programs that was
zeroed out by the Governor was the charter school
reimbursement line item, and again, Secretary
Tomalis at the time said that that was being put
into the basic ed formula because it allowed
greater flexibility.

What we've seen, especially in the southeast, and we live next to the school district of Philadelphia and really see what is just a heartbreaking experience there, which frankly is coming into our suburban districts as well, but when you see the impact of what zeroing out these line items have had on certain districts, is the Administration also reviewing charter school reimbursement as one way to help these districts that have been so disproportionately impacted by the policies of the Administration?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think when we talk about looking at basic instructional

Page 33

subsidy and we look at reform, that basic instructional subsidy, that would be where we want to talk about funding and reform.

Also, when you discuss charter school reform and the way the 363 is calculated and what those components are in the 363, again, I would welcome the opportunity to sit with you to discuss true reform in charter school funding.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Um-hum.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Jeff Pyle.

REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: Thank you, Chairman, Madam Secretary, thank you. Jeff Pyle, 60th legislative District, Armstrong and Indiana Counties.

Before I was elected I taught for 13

years, so I have a couple of specific questions.

Of great, great concern back home is common core.

Can you please address that? A lot of people

don't know that phraseology. For those in the

game they kind of have an outline understanding of

what is happening. Maybe you can share with the

committee what exactly this is.

Page 34

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I do appreciate the House resolutions that were given to me to help ensure and comfort folks who had concerns about common core.

Common core is nationally an effort by governors and chief secretaries of education to take a look at standards that we were referring to before to say, are there basic or minimal standards that, as children move around the United States that, in fact, are needed and so that we stabilize what is third grade math, what is fifth grade reading. So there was an effort to develop what they call the common core -- national common core standards.

Pennsylvania was in the throws of reviewing our standards before this movement came. And, in fact, we had a very good set of academic standards for Pennsylvania students. We did take a look -- previous state boards did take a look at the national common core, but felt that there were things actually in the Pennsylvania academic standards that were more rigorous and in some cases not, and were taught earlier than the national common core. So we brought together Pennsylvania educators, teachers, elementary

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 35

teachers and secondary teachers to say, take a look at the academic standards. Here's the national common core state standards. What is the best for Pennsylvania students? So Pennsylvania educators developed the Pennsylvania core standards to reflect what they believed was necessary for Pennsylvania students, and that is what the State Board adopted.

In addition to that, there were national tests that were being developed for three to eight and for graduation. So anticipating that question, again, Pennsylvania educators came in and we looked and said, you know, we think we have some very good three to eight, we call that the PSSA, and we have alternatives for our at-risk students, our special need students. So we can raise the rigor because obviously the state assessments matched the standards, and if we raise the rigor of our standards we need to raise the rigor of our test, our Pennsylvania test, and we were already launched down the road with our keystones, which we were using to replace the 11th grade PSSA test. Because as educators, we know that closest to instruction testing should occur if you want to measure knowledge.

Page 36

So we've changed the 11th grade PSSA test to our keystone exams, the three that we have, and decided no to national testing, yes to Pennsylvania testing, developed by the Pennsylvania educators for Pennsylvania students.

REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: Thank you very much. My follow-up question to that is how much national input are we taking in formulating our test vehicles?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: None.

REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: None. That's the best news I've gotten all day, Chairman. That's good stuff.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: You were pretty clear in the message that you sent us before as we were working on Chapter 4 about data collection and national tests and standards and so are the Pennsylvania educators that we brought in to work on this.

REPRESENTATIVE PYLE: As hard as it may seem to believe, some of us in the more rural areas don't have a lot of trust for what is coming out the of the beltway right now. Local control is paramount to us. Our school boards are elected of our peers, and we trust in them to develop

curricula that is synonymous for transitioning our
young people into productive lives of citizenry,
and I'm real happy to report my school board
doesn't -- and they'll tell you--and I'm real
proud of these guys--their job is not to teach
kids. Their job is to teach future citizens,
which I think is the right focus.

On that note, I'll close, Chairman, with one answer for Chairman Clymer. The reason they haven't developed social studies standards is because there are six different disciplines every social studies teacher is entitled to teach, and in the time it would take to develop psychology, economics, history, sociology, we just haven't gotten to that stage yet.

But thank you, Madam Secretary, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

At this time, I would like to announce the presence of Representative John Sabatina of Philadelphia, and the next question will be asked by Representative Mike Carroll.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Madam Secretary, welcome.

Page 38

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: You know, in addition to those of us who serve in the Capitol, our partners back in the district, the administration, our teachers, and our school board, I deal with them and interact with them like most House members on a regular basis, and they are very concerned. And, you know, a headline that struck my notice and got my attention last week in the Scranton Times, I'll read the first two sentences of the story, Madam Secretary, because I think they're telling, and I'll try to go slowly for the stenographer.

On its current course, the North Pocono School District will probably be broke in three to five years. If something doesn't happen at the State level, it's not if we will go broke, but when we will go broke is what the business manager told the school board.

And the business manager outlined two different scenarios, the one scenario with tax increases to the index and drawing down the fund balance and one scenario where they don't even increase the taxes to the index and draw the fund balance down.

Page 39

And, this scenario, as I understand it, is a scenario that is on the horizon for about 496 out of our 500 school districts, according to PSBA, that when you contemplate the obligations that they have on the horizon with respect to their pension and raising taxes to the index, they can't do it.

And I understand -- at least I've deduced myself that it seems to me the policy of the Administration up until now is to force the districts to use the fund balances to augment the financial problems that we have in the Commonwealth.

But, Madam Secretary, what do I tell the

North Poconos School Board and the administration

with respect to what is on the horizon when now we

have a new Ready to Learn program that forces the

school districts to spend money on new programs

and doesn't address at all the prospects on the

horizon with respect to the fact that they can go

broke?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I would first say that the flexibility that's in that block grant to either support and continue programs is there. We would hope that they would

1 focus the money in the block grant on initiatives 2 that would raise student achievement. Again, as 3 I've said, that could be extra learning 4 opportunities in kindergarten and K4 or in 5 tutoring that the superintendents had asked for, 6 for supplementary services for Keystones. But if 7 they have a program that they believed would 8 accomplish that better for raising student 9 achievement, there is the waiver to come in to 10 look at that.

So we tried to give a focus for those programs that we believe are on target for raising student achievement but provide internal flexibility for those to ask and present their own ideas.

So I would hope that the 240 million plus opportunities for hybrid, opportunities for mentoring, special education funding again, the new money, the 20-million-dollar special ed funding that will come out will help to give us a softer launch, but I would agree if we do not tackle the pension problem, and if we don't get true pension reform, we are going to be in very difficult times going forward.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: I just think that

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.1

Page 41

it's overly optimistic to suggest that the \$10 million for hybrid learning or the Ready to Learn block grant program offers a real solution for the school boards across the state. And North Pocono School District is a wonderful school district by every measure that the Department has, you know, better than 50 percent of the other school districts or in the upper 50 percent, better way to say it, in terms of their ability to educate our children.

But their plight is a plight that is shared by many, at least in Northeastern

Pennsylvania that I'm familiar with. So it just seems to me that the prospect of a Ready to Learn block grant is contrary to everything that I hear with respect to the Administration and a lot of members of the other party with respect to mandates because now we are going to give -- provide a block grant to the 500 districts and tell them what they can do with the money.

When the fact of the matter is that the school boards, members, their business managers, and superintendents know what has to happen with the money. They need more money with flexibility and not with prescribed uses as is being

Page 42

contemplated by this grant program. So, I don't expect you to answer that. It's just the reality is that we are on the brink of a financial catastrophe in this state with respect to the 500 districts. And, you know, there will be a growing list of the lucky 20. In June, when we do the budget, for 20 districts meet some special category to help bail them out of a financial catastrophe, and we'll have a growing list of districts that will qualify for that.

I'll stop there and shift, if I can, to
the access program, Madam secretary. Again, most
of the -- the three things that I wanted to talk
about all really have a common theme, and that is
to try to address the financial catastrophe that
is on the horizon for the districts. The
Department of Education and Department of Public
Welfare and the districts that have been around
the block over and over with respect to the access
reimbursement.

Can you please tell me if there is any hope or if there is any solution on the horizon with respect to access?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: For those who may not know what the issue was, we did have a

Page 43

financial audit of the federal government at this time over access program, federal dollars you can access for early learning opportunities for children who are special needs children.

They disallowed through that fiscal audit a number of things that we used to bill the federal government for. Most importantly, for one of the things they disallowed was not direct services. So that when we charge or we ask for reimbursement for IEP planning and preparation, they disallowed that. They said the only thing they would reimburse now is direct service.

Obviously it was something that was given to us.

The other issue that caused concern is that they no longer would accept just a denial by someone not paying. I'm talking third-party providers.

By someone just not paying. I'm talking about Blue Cross Blue Shield, Aetna, the health providers. They would no longer take what we had in the past, by them not paying that as a reason to deny. They said you must have letters saying they won't reimburse. So they shifted the burden back on us, and we have been working very hard with the Governor's office, with our sister agency

2.1

Page 44

and welfare and with our Department of Education to one, get those letters. And I'm happy to say that we have now over 75 percent of those letters coming in. Money starting to flow. Aetna was the most recent one that we received. And I can get you the details of the ones we have, how much money that has released in payments, and how much is still left to go as we work through the last of those providers, but it wasn't something that we welcomed. It was something that was given to us, and we have been struggling to catch up to pay those bills.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: My message, Madam Secretary, is that there is still a problem with the access disbursements. The districts and superintendents continually hound me with respect to payments that they haven't received, and I would urge you to work with DPW folks and anybody else to get to the finish line with respect to the access problem.

And then finally, the PlanCon, again, you know, reimbursement to school districts, similar to the access discussion, similar to the basic ed subsidy, PlanCon level funded again for this year. What do I tell the school districts with

Page 45

respect to PlanCon and what's on the horizon there? How much longer are we going to have to wait on that front?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Okay. PlanCon when we got here three years ago had been overcommitted by \$30 million. So we have been trying to climb out of the hole between those programs that were approved and the line items that we had the revenue to repay those.

We have over 300 still in the pipeline, and we are working our way through based on the revenue source that we have for PlanCon. I would say as we have been saying as debts -- as those projects have their debt realized and come off the books, we'll reach back in and start to pull first those that are the longest in the pipeline to start to reimburse those, but we are working our way through the list and are working very hard.

I guess I just have to say I hear you as a superintendent. I feel what you are saying as a former superintendent. But I also worry that we talk about not enough money for basic instructional subsidy. We talk about not enough money for PlanCon. We talk about not enough money for pensions, and what is enough? And where is it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

going to come from and in a very fragile economy that is just starting to grow itself out. And the taxpayers are going to have to take the burden, so again, I look at it as here's the revenues that we have. Here's what we can reasonably expect to occur, and then all the list of the things that I wish I had money for, what can we afford and what are those priorities? And for me it was raising student achievement.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Madam Secretary, that's our job though to sort out. The reality is we have put the shackles on the school directors with respect to what they can do in terms of raising taxes even if they could round up the five votes on the school board. So they can't raise taxes above the index if they wanted to. They're drawing down their fund balances because it's the only choice, they laid off teachers and closed schools, so it's incumbent upon us to try to solve that problem. So the reality is that the obligations that we face, especially the pension obligation, is a responsibility that is accrued to us because we chose to postpone the payments. Now our school boards and our school districts and our children are going to pay for that decision.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 47

the reality is that we have no choice but to try and solve this financial problem for the school districts, and I dismiss the suggestion that these are the revenues that we have. The reality is the solution to this problem might be additional revenues. It really might be because I'm not sure that if we are going to suggest that it's an alternative of A or B, you know, spend the money we have to solve the problem or don't solve it, kick it down the road, I would prefer option C, and let's see what option C is with respect to actually solving the problem in a responsible way. We should probably send our school districts and our school directors a thank you note for subsidizing the Commonwealth with respect to PlanCon and access and the basic ed subsidy. Thank God they have been partners with us. I think that partnership is about to come to an end because I think they are, as the Scranton Times reported, almost broke. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

The Chair will just remind members that --

it's very important subject matter. You know, if

we can just keep our questions to the questions,

Page 48

so we can get through, because I know everybody wants to be able to ask some questions, and we are almost exceeding the Senate's five minute button that they have over there. So please keep that in mind.

Representative Jim Christiana.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, Madam Secretary. Thank you for being here. As the Chairman alluded to, no subject is more emotional than educating our kids, and sometimes the emotion gets the best of us, and I'll try to keep my emotions aside today and just talk about the facts and ask a few questions.

First, I was actually planning on talking about the reserve balances of the public schools in Pennsylvania, and the last gentleman had mentioned about the schools needing to draw down their fund balances, but I looked at a statistic this morning that showed that over the last year the fund balances for the public schools in Pennsylvania actually grew by \$300 million to an estimated 3.5 or 3.8 billion depending on what you look at.

Page 49

Are there any strings -- Does Harrisburg dictate to the school districts how much fund balances -- reserve balances they must hold or what that money can be used for?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: It would depend on how that money was put into reserve. There are superintendents and school boards who decide at some designated fund balance or unreserved fund balances, so you have to go back and look at the board action when, in fact, that money was encumbered to put into the fund balance to see if there was strings attached to that, but not from Harrisburg.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: And one of my frustrations as we continue to have the debate about whether or not we are spending enough -- And I think you're right, how much is too much? We bicker back and forth whether the last year of the Rendell Administration when the State invested \$8.9 billion versus today we are looking at a proposal of \$10.3 billion. We can talk about all kinds of things. If we look over the last 15 years and we look at the increase of administrative cost and professional staff over that period has increased by 40 percent, while the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50

increase to teachers has only increased at 14 percent. As we go through these difficult times and the frustration from my standpoint is that a lot of times the first decision in tough economic times is to layoff teachers. And when we are looking at school districts, every school district that has a superintendent and a business manager and a solicitor in spite of all the technology over the last 15 years, can you comment as to whether or not school districts are looking at consolidating administrative services? still have 500 superintendents, 500 business managers, 500 solicitors, executive assistants for the majority of those positions? Have school districts looked at consolidating their central offices?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think there have been efforts, at least I know back when I was sitting in the seat that we were looking at consolidated services. So we did both purchasing. We looked at transportation services that we could work together so we didn't have buses just especially to nonpublic schools crossing each other's lines. We looked at consolidating services for again, as I said,

purchases for technology.

There again, one of the strengths that we have in Pennsylvania is local control, but it's also probably one of the constraints that we have in addition to football teams and mascots.

So I would say, again, it depends on the willingness of those local communities to look at merger. The Department helps and supports those who are honestly interested in looking at that, and there are -- As we know, there are school districts that have -- when they were created had very robust economies, and those economies, because of either steel mills or coal mines, have disappeared or changed, and we stand ready at the Department to help those who would like to look at mergers or consolidations to help them through that.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: In my 15th
District, we are very proud of having the first
voluntary merger. The school districts -- the
number of school district is one thing, but from
the number of superintendents and business
managers and some of the most expensive positions
and ones that have the least interaction with the
kids in the classroom, I feel like Harrisburg

Page 52

should be incentivizing or studying the cost, the impact of those expenses, as well as should be encouraging school districts to not just layoff teachers. And because of the seniority rules in Pennsylvania, sometimes we may be laying off some of the best and the brightest and most talented teachers because they have the least amount of seniority. So thank you for your commitment to looking at that.

We talk a lot about testing nowadays and the number of days that kids are testing. I'm not sure how many days my parents tested when they were in K through 12. I don't even remember how many days we were subject to standardized test, but clearly it's increased over the last few years, a decade.

Can you comment on the average number of days that educators are having to not prepare for, but specifically to do the test?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: To prepare for is a year-long process.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Sure, I get that. Right.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: So, I would say that we often -- people will give me charts

Page 53

It's two week's worth of testing. What we try to do is to provide school districts with flexibility on when to give those tests. So those two weeks and now we've obviously extended based on districts asking us to push back the testing window that it will look like now it's four weeks of testing, but you pick those days in between.

Since the tests aren't timed, and I think that people don't realize that our PSSA tests are not timed tests, so students can have as much time as they need to finish the test, especially if there are accommodations being given to students. It's hard to say how long does the test last. But, for example, in PSSA tests, there usually are three modules of math and three modules of reading and usually they are 45-minute modules.

Again, it would depend on the accommodations that were being given or students who would need more time. So it's hard to say, average, what it is, but it's usually a few days of testing that people go through.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: And I have heard the number of 11 to 12 days on average. Two weeks of instructional days that are lost. My

1 concern is that those numbers of days, ten, 2 twelve, eleven, eight days we are losing of 3 instructional days that used to be in the 4 calendar, so if it's still 180 days a year and now 5 we have ten less instructional days, is the 6 Department looking at extending the school year to 7 cover that loss of instructional days? 8 I know that Governor Christie recently 9 talked about kids going to school more hours a 10 day, more days a year. Is the Department looking 11 at the benefits of what that decision would mean? 12 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Let me make 13 sure that I understand what you are asking me. 14 Are you asking me if this year, because of --15 REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: No, no, no. 16 I'm sorry. This year is an exception. I saw the 17 local article about the extension this year, but 18 based on previous years, we are losing about eight 19 to 12 days of instructional days per year due to 20 additional testing. Would you be -- Have you 21 looked at extending the school year to recoup 22 those loss of instructional days due to the 23 increased testing days? 24 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, first of

25

all, I believe testing is part of assessment and

Page 55

part of the curricular process, so I'm not sure it's lost instruction. It's, in fact, the measure of whether we know whether the delivery of instruction works. So that written, taught, and tested is all part of the instructional cycle.

I do want to go back -- Before I give you an answer on the eight to 12 days of testing, I would really like to go back and check that data to see, in fact, whether that's what we are talking about is the calendar or the actual time that students are sitting taking the test, but I'll check that data for you.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: I think it's an important clarification.

Mr. Chairman, one final point I would like to ask the Secretary is recently in the Beaver County Times if I could just quote them. They said, there's gigantic holes that permeate the laws, and efforts in the state level seem to be working towards more restrictive access of information rather than less restrictions on folks in the media or the public accessing data, and I'm specifically looking at the financial data of school districts. The State now puts every single penny which the State spends online, which I think

was a huge reform.

The right to know law has been manipulated by some on a local level in all different settings. We've read about all kinds of misuse of taxpayer funds, in cyber schools and public schools in Beaver County. Is the Department committed to getting away from the antiquated right to know process and supporting an effort to put all school spending on-line so that folks don't have to jump through hoops and the media doesn't have to wait 30 days to see just traditional spending of taxpayer money?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think that this Administration is committed to transparency. I think we've proven that in our school performance profile where we've made a very transparent building by building comparison for achievement, graduation, all the components of what makes a healthy school building.

I think if, in fact, we could agree on what are those common factors that everyone has so that we could report those and without a lot more data mining or data requests from school districts that we have this -- our school performance profile, which could, in fact, include financial

information.

The key that I would like to say is we need to make sure that it's data that is common across all districts, just like we did with the academic score, if you will, that they're common items that we could post and then things that we already collect and we could format, so I would be happy to have that conversation continue with you.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: I think that's a fair request on your behalf, and I would agree that this Administration has been very committed to financial data transparency, and I look forward to working with you on the other initiatives.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you,

17 Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. I would like to acknowledge the presence of Representative Mark Keller who joined us. And the next question will be by Representative Santarsiero.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Madam Secretary, welcome. It's good to

Page 58

see you. Thank you for spending your time with us here today. I wanted to follow-up on a couple of different issues, and I'm going to be respectful of the Chairman's admonition and try to move it along as quickly as I can.

First to follow-up on the issue of the pension, I think you said earlier in your testimony that the lowering of the collars would be paid for by pension relief, if I understood you correctly.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Pension reform.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Pension -- well, okay. Whatever we want to call it.

What if that -- The only thing the Governor has proposed so far is the lowering of the collars. What if the second piece of that doesn't happen in this legislative session? In other words, the pension reform doesn't happen and all we have is the lowering of the collars, which as Representative Bradford pointed out earlier is really foisting a financial burden on future generations of school students.

Is it the Administration's position that these new initiatives will continue in this budget

Page 59

because they are largely paid for by the cost savings associated with lowering the collars?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, first of all, I don't know that the cost savings from the state savings from that is largely funding the 240 million in the block grant, the one million for the mentoring, the 20 million for special Ed, the ten million for hybrid, so there's a lot more that is in the Governor's education budget proposal than the collar savings that we would have.

I would say that the commitment to continue with the proposal that we have, as I answered before, I will certainly be very aggressive in trying to hold onto what we have in the budget. But if, in fact, the legislature does not approve that relief, then the Governor will need to sit down and the secretaries sit down with all the secretaries to see how do we accommodate that loss of revenue that we have.

It is also our hope that, in fact, while it may not happen in this legislative session, but before we have to sit here again next year, that we would have true pension reform, and we would see how those savings would be realized, and we would not be asking school districts to continue

2.1

Page 60

to fund those large cliffs that we have.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: And on the numbers, I'm looking at the money as fundable. If there's \$300 million going into the overall education budget, and that's the savings associated with lowering the collars, then money is fundable.

So I take your answer to mean that you are not sure ultimately whether these new initiatives would continue to go forward if there is no pension reform as you're describing it?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: The collars or true pension reform?

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Well, the collars -- Let's break it out. If there is no true pension reform would be presumably somehow diminishing the cost of the pensions aside from playing around with the collars. If that doesn't happen and all you've got are the collars, is it the Administration's position that they are going to continue on with funding these new initiatives?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I have been given no other direction than that the 240 million the ten, the 20, the 25 is the education budget, and that it is dependent on the 170-million-dollar

savings from the collars. This is the proposal --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative,
I think the Secretary has answered that question.
Actually, we're the ones that are going to decide
what goes in and what stays in the budget. So, I
think the Secretary has stated numerous times that

she would like to see these programs stay in the

8 budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESO: All of it. REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: And I appreciate that, Mr. Chairman, and I'm not trying to belabor the point. I'm certainly not trying to badger you. I'm trying to better understand the Administration's position from my standpoint, because as I have heard this discussion unfold today, you know, there seems to be two parts. one part is the reduction in the collars, and that's the Governor's proposal right now. That's the only thing the Governor has proposed. And the other part is this very ambiguous pension reform, which no one seems to be able to define. So, you know, the basis of my query is, well, if that second piece, that ambiguous pension reform, doesn't occur because it didn't happen last year,

it hasn't happened so far since Act 120, then the

Page 62

issue is well, are we still going to go forward with the lowering of the collars? And if the answer to that question is no, then what happens with the new initiatives? If the answer to that question is yes, well, then it implicates what Representative Bradford said earlier, which is now we are foisting this financial burden on future generations of students.

Let me move on to charter school reform.

You talked about your commitment to tackle that issue, and I appreciate that. When Auditor

General DePasquale was in front of us last week, he identified another issue of charter reform that I think is fairly significant, and that is what I'll describe as this charter of lease double dip. Where the charters have related entities that they lease their building from, and then they still seek reimbursement from the State.

He wasn't yet sure what the total amount of State funding associated with that double dip might be, but he thought it could be as much as \$20 million, I think, is the number he used.

Is the Administration as interested as it seems the Auditor General is in trying to close that loophole as well?

Page 63

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes, I believe so. We can focus that there is \$8.2 million that is given out in charter school lease reimbursement. Just to kind of reflect back when I came into this chair, we and the secretaries before me were operating under what the previous administration had operated on in improving charter school lease reimbursement, so we have not changed any of the approval process.

I think if you'd look at the law that talks about charter school lease reimbursement, it is very broad. It says what the secretary approves. So, if we didn't change the process, and it's pretty vague on what that process would be, the conversations I have been having with the Auditor General is what it is, that most recently as two weeks ago when they came to discuss this, what is it that we believe has crossed the line between true lease reimbursement and mixing of something that we wouldn't consider to be good practice. So we need to sort that issue out first to say when is it not really a true lease reimbursement and then discuss the regulation of the process that would go into place there.

All of the ones that have been made have

Page 64

been made in good faith following, again, the previous administration to this administration in approving lease reimbursement. So what we are not talking about is going back and taking money away from things that have already been approved.

I think what we need to do is go forward to say, how do we untangle those that have crossed the line and then how do we move forward with a better set of regulations? And that's an ongoing conversation.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: So when you are talking about the untangling, you're talking about delving into what the corporate relationship might be between the property owner and the lessee?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Okay. Let me move to PlanCon, if I could, just briefly. A year ago when your predecessor, Secretary Tomalis, was in front of us, I asked him whether the State had any survey of the condition of school infrastructure across Pennsylvania. And his response at that point was, no, there really didn't -- He wasn't aware of it. And he followed up with a letter saying that that was, in fact,

the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A few months later, of course as you're aware, the Department issued a report on PlanCon, and it acknowledged the fact that there really was no survey, and it was going to embark on that at that time.

Where are we with that survey at this point?

MS. DUFFY: I'll take that one. What we are doing right now is we are putting together a survey that will go out to the school districts to answer about the state of their facilities as they are today. Based on responses from that survey, I think the intention is then to go out to certain types of districts that would fall into different catchment areas and actually look at the buildings. And then taking all of that information together, and I think we're due a report to you in May as far as where we are in the process. Whatever we get as far as answers, we want to then look at where education is going in the future, to make sure that the way the PlanCon system is currently working in the current formulas in law are the ones that need to be there, so that we're ensuring that we are keeping

Page 66

up with innovation in the classroom.

So I think right now what we are doing is -- we've -- you know, we had surveys I think maybe five, six years ago are the latest surveys we've ever done in the Department.

We are resurrecting some of those questions. We are really working the questions. The districts will probably get them in a week or two.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: When do you see some final report in terms of what you believe the needs are statewide?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: I think it would depend on what we see in the survey responses.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: So you really don't have a time frame for that at this point?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: I do not.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: You know, I would get back to what Representative Carroll was talking about before. I actually have a bill that would fund -- put another \$300 million in the PlanCon, and there is revenue out there, and in this case it's based on a 29 cent per MCF severance tax that we could enact in this state. So there is revenue out there that we could do

Page 67

that and meet our needs, even our existing needs.

But there is one other point I want to make about that because that report, it ended on a very disturbing note from my perspective. And that note was that the Department could not itself say whether it believed that the condition of our school infrastructure had an impact on children's learning.

And I have to tell you, the superintendents in my district were completely flabbergasted by that comment. And I hope, Secretary, that's not your view. I mean, you were not the secretary at that time, I don't believe. So I hope that that's not where you are, because I think any of us who have taught in a school room knows that the environment in which the children find themselves does, in fact, have an impact on their learning. Would you agree with that?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I would agree that children need to feel safe. They need to be in safe environments. I think there's probably a large description between what some people think is the proper environment and what others would think it is, but I would not dismiss that the school environment doesn't have an impact on

Page 68 1 children learning. No. REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: I appreciate 3 that. One last thing, the 180 day --MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: There will be a 5 second round. You hold the record right now, 6 Representative. For those that were keeping it over and 8 under on the word pension, if you had over, you 9 won. 10 Next question will be asked by 11 Representative Boback. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 Good afternoon, Madam Secretary. 15 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Good 16 afternoon. 17 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: I noticed that the 18 Governor proposed 350,000 to re-establish the 19 Governor's School on Excellence which was cut in 20 the previous administration, and I want to applaud 2.1 the Governor and the Department for this 22 proposal. And the three schools would be 23 sciences, agricultural sciences, of course,

24

25

state, and technology and engineering.

agricultural being the number one industry in our

Page 69

My question is how will the Department choose the site selections and the specific colleges or universities to host the three Governor's schools?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Good. There are a number of different factors that go into that. One is we have Governor's school in the western part of the state, obviously for the sciences. So we would like to have the other two central eastern. We would like to have a nice dispersion of those. We also need universities or colleges that have an expertise or are known for the content that we would like to deliver. And then thirdly, we need the colleges and university to have summer dorms available because it is a residential program.

So they're the things that we are looking at as we look at the two future ones this summer.

much. I am very enthused about the re-establishing of this.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Me too.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: This is great.

Thank you.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 70

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

Representative Parker.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I wanted to go back to the question that Chairman Markosek opened up with in regards to the Administration's decision to establish the Right to Learn block grant and to distribute dollars to school districts via that vehicle versus use of the basic education formula. And I wanted you to just clarify for me for the record, and I wanted to make sure I understood you correctly, you were very clear in noting challenges you thought were sort of in place as it related to the old formula. You basically talked about it being antiquated and outdated with regards to economic current status, economically as a Commonwealth along with sociofactors and other issues; is that correct, Madam Secretary?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: That's correct with either driving it through basic instructional subsidy which has factors that were back in the '90s or, in fact, the ABG, the 100 million that is still being funded under an older formula, which is actually reflective of, I think it's 2002-3,

Page 71

student counts, and PSSA results. So the new 240 would be driven out based on current ADMs, current aid ratios, ELL students, and poverty students.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: And I guess with that being said, Madam Secretary, because you were so specific in noting what the sort of areas that you magnified and focused on when you communicated with the superintendents when developing what the formula would be to drive out the Right to Learn dollars, you were very specific. The Governor has said that he supports adequate fair funding formula for public education statewide. You've seen the legislative action that we have taken as a body on each side of the aisle.

Why is it that the Administration, the Department of Ed could not have worked together using the same sort of rubric that you just described with the current data, since we have the old formula and it's sort of serving as a foundation, using all of the current elements that you just so eloquently described for us to establish a school funding formula now instead of saying that we supported a study of another study with the current data and we already have a foundation. Just help me there.

Page 72

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I think it's a matter of readiness to work on this, and I know that and I'm hopeful that both the House and the Senate, and I know the Administration is ready to work on this. I think we tried to model the beginning through the block grant, a student-focused formula, and I look forward to a speedy commission to look forward at bringing basic instructional subsidy.

Again, there's a number -- I made it sound too simple. So let me just say that while we know that there are certain things we want to attribute current ADMs, it should be current aid ratios. There are pieces of the formula that should recognize that some children are more difficult to bring to grade level because of the deficits that they come to us with. We also need to be mindful that any rapid change in the formula could destabilize some school districts.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Madam Secretary,
I'm thinking, and I appreciate your response, but
I'm thinking about this Chairman. He sounds like
he's ready to pick up a gavel, and I do want to
get through to the rest of the questions.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Okay. I'm

Page 73

ready to work on it.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I understand where you are going. I'm just saying with the same specificity that you were able to outline, what the criteria was in describing how you are going to distribute those Right to Learn dollars. I believe that after having watched what this General Assembly and Administration has the ability to do when we just passed the 2.5-billion-dollar transportation plan that people thought was impossible for us to do, I think anything is possible when you have the political will to do it, and I just wanted to get that on the record.

Next, Madam Secretary, I just also wanted to note why I am really passionate about that funding formula, because when I look at data, which reflects that, via the '10-'11 fiscal year through 2014-'15, if we were simply flat funded to the 10 and 11 years compared to where we are being funded now, and I'm referring to the School District of Philadelphia. We have lost \$1.1 billion, and I know when you immediately hear that number, you're saying, Rep, yeah, I know what numbers you are looking at. That's great. But you are including stimulus, and you are absolutely

Page 74

right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But if we take a stroll back to the '08-'09 through '14-'15 years, if we were just flat funded at the '08-'09 year, Philadelphia wouldn't be at a loss of \$591 million. So when I look at the School District of Philadelphia, for example, that last year had a 304-million-dollar structural deficit that we recently learned what we feared in November, that we would have additional cost that the School District of Philadelphia did not budget for from increased enrollment in charter schools because that charter school reimbursement line item that we have come to depend on because of the expansion of the charter school saw the movement in our region. This was \$25 million that we did not budget for, and because we are at a loss for that funding, we couldn't budget for because the School District of Philadelphia cannot control the charter school enrollment process because charters do not have to report to the district or CAP, as the district would request, where that enrollment is. have to pay, and if they don't pay, the charter school can just directly bill the Department of Ed.

Page 75

So, in the end, the School District of Philadelphia on an annual basis is in crisis mode coming back saying we need more and then we hear you're being fiscally irresponsible when, because of the charter school expansion in our region, we can't effectively budget for it. I just wanted to know if you could just talk about that discrepancy in the school district's financial accounting practices along with the growth of charter schools in our region.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Wow. I would say that to your last point about the charter schools and not having caps, and then the Department upon request of the charter school having to withhold and pay. Whatever side you are on on that issue, the reality is that's the law.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: And I support charters wholeheartedly for the record.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I'm administering the law. And if that's not the way we are -- For dispute resolution, if there's different ways, give me something else to administer. I am administering the way the law is set on caps and pulling those funds out.

I think the issue on funding for

Key Reporters

Page 76

Philadelphia schools is one that, again, the amount of money that we have put in is looking, again, toward next year is about 56.8 million in the major subsidies. We are looking at money for, again, in addition to that, special ed, the reimbursement for Social Security and pension is probably, because of the aid ratio, The highest that we give. So that again, those monies that are going into Social Security and in particular into pension is supporting Philadelphia in the 80 percent of the cost.

So, again, we are working hard. I think, again, one of the issues that we can look to in the future is if we can get the basic instructional subsidy reformed. If we can get pension reform, we will be able to, perhaps, answer some of your concerns in a fashion that you may like a little better.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I just wanted to note for the record, Madam Secretary, Philadelphia has lost \$440 million. That is just in charter school reimbursement. And again, I know that you have a constitutional obligation to uphold the law, and our job is to work in creating the policy, but it's also extremely important that the

Page 77

Department clearly recognizes when Philadelphia comes and tells you that we have this annual consistent budget shortfall, it is not because of fiscal mismanagement in the School District of Philadelphia.

When we talk about the flexibility that we need and you hear us say, Right to Learn doesn't work for Philadelphia because of the number of counselors we've lost, the nurses that we've lost, the librarians that we've lost. We need the flexibility to be able to address those issues. It's not because of the management by the School District of Philadelphia, and the school district is also controlled by the State.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Can I just respond?

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Sure.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I want to make sure that -- and the only thing I would take issue with is that Philadelphia has lost. Philadelphia children still are funded through the charter schools and the public charter schools, so the money has gone to Philadelphia. It hasn't gone to the traditional public schools. It's gone to public schools where parents have made a different

Page 78

choice in the funding that goes.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Madam Secretary,
let me just say for the record that I support high
performance seats. This sort of illusion of
debate between charters versus traditional
publics, I support high-quality seats regardless
of where they were. But you can't have a separate
and unequal district by placing one against
another, when one is responsible for funding the
other, and you don't say, well, this money is
missing out of this budget because you have to
take care of this structure then we tell you that
you're failing. I needed that to be on the
record.

The last thing, and I'll ask to be placed on the second round is I want to talk about this issue of accountability. I want to know where is the performance data for charter schools in the State? I have not seen any data that reflects so, and I know Philadelphia, for example, we have 83 charter schools, and someone just gave me a note that said, do you realize that that would make you one -- just the charter schools it would make it one of the second largest districts in the Commonwealth.

Page 79

Tell me, how are we measuring the effectiveness and whether or not charter schools are up to par with where we would like them to be in the Commonwealth?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: On the school performance profile that was published in September, and I'd be happy to send you the list of the scores, that is all public information, charter schools and cyber schools are held accountable to the same formulas and the same data and transparency as traditional public schools, so that's on there, and I'll make sure to send you the link that you can take a look at that.

In fact, the Department is the authorizer of cyber charter schools, and because of my commitment to having quality choices for parents in those other alternatives for public schools, we have closed two cyber schools this year while under our watch, and I would encourage school districts who are the authorizer of the brick and mortar to use the school performance profile as they are renewing charters to put those academic measures in those charters.

Again, as I think I mentioned earlier, we

Page 80

1 need to be careful on the use of those comparisons 2 because when you go in, and you can do this right 3 on the school performance profile, you can take a 4 charter school and you can say, okay, what are the 5 feeder systems, where are those students coming 6 from? Take a look at their building score and 7 then take a look at the feeder system scores, and 8 then at least you would get, I think, an honest 9 comparison of the quality of the charter and the 10 rigor and the journey they are on by the students 11 that are being fed in. So are they doing at least 12 as well as their feeder system? That is all on 13 that school performance profile. I'd be happy to 14 come over and work with you to show you how you 15 get in and take a look at that, but I think it may 16 answer some of your questions that you have. 17 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you, Madam 18 Secretary. I hope to see you in the second 19 round. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I hope there is 21 a second round. 22 Representative Aument. 23 REPRESENTATIVE AUMENT: Thank you, 24 Mr. Chairman.

25

Madam Secretary, good to see you.

Two

2.1

Page 81

questions I want to talk about, the hybrid learning line item in your budget. I also want to talk about the implementation of the teacher evaluation as well as the school performance profile, which we've discussed a little bit this afternoon. But before I do so, I just want to compliment you on the work that you did with regard to championing the IRC approval for the revised Chapter 4 regulations.

I know that was a difficult -- some difficult work this summer with regard to the approval of the revised Chapter 4 regs, and we discussed, Representative Pyle earlier today talked a little bit with you about common core. And we recognize that the failure to adopt the revised Chapter 4 regulations would have resulted in the approval of the national common core standards, which would have been adopted back in 2010, would have required the development, the implementation of Keystones exams in ten subject areas.

It would have required the Department to realign PSAAs and Keystone exams to the national common core state standards, which would have resulted in significant costs. So just at the

Page 82

outset, I just want to thank you for your work with regard to the adoption of the new Pennsylvania core standards, the Keystone exams, the revised Chapter 4 regulations.

First, if I could just ask you your sense of the implementation of the teacher evaluation, could you provide this committee with an update with regard to the implementation of the new teacher evaluation system as well as the school performance profile, and how the school performance profile is being used to replace the AYP model?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Okay. The teacher evaluation, for classroom teachers, Act 82, went into effect this school year. So, we are fully implementing the first year, as you know it's a three-year implementation, after a three-year pilot that, in fact, was put into law by Act 82. We worked with thousands of educators as we were implementing and developing that program. So this year, for classroom teachers, teachers who deliver direct instruction in the classroom, we have a new teacher evaluation system. It will be 85 percent the traditional way and then the school building profile, which will be published in

Page 83

September of next year, we then add the elective process in the third year for those teachers who have individualized testing, that piece will go in, so we will be fully implemented in three years.

Next year the principal evaluation and the vice principal evaluation and specialist will go into effect and their cycle. So we are fully implementing. We have been training for three years. This will be our fourth year of training principals. We've developed supports for our teachers on our Standards Align System, professional development for overviews again for Act 48 credit, free to the teacher and to the school systems and for each of the components in Danielson which is the observation model for each of those 22 components there.

Staff development, again, it's been developed if a teacher, through their dialogue with the principal has a weakness or an area that needs improvement, there is staff development for all those components on the Standards Align System.

So I think we have a very robust support system that improves the quality of instruction

Page 84

that is in the classroom because we all know how critical an effective teacher is for being in that classroom. We are training now on what we call the student learning objectives, which is the elective piece. We have found one of the needs that we have for our educators is something we call data literacy, which is how do you use student test scores or achievement to, in fact, then instruct and change instruction. So we are out there working and doing that piece of training as we move forward.

The school performance profile as you know we've launched the first one which was based on '12-'13 student performance. That was launched in September. And for every building, that is over 3,000 buildings in the Commonwealth, which are your traditional public schools, brick and mortar, charters, cybers, and vocational schools. So that's all up there for folks to see. And we are working on that. Again, that was over 4,000 educators interaction saying what should be. If you want your building to be measured for quality, what would you include as a measure of that? It's a very robust multiple measure of student achievement.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 85

So I fully launched into those two major issues really raised the transparency in the rigor of the profession.

REPRESENTATIVE AUMENT: Thank you for that. I was extremely pleased to see in the Governor's budget request the \$10 million for hybrid learning. For those that may not be familiar with hybrid learning on the committee, I'm fortunate to come from Lancaster County where we have had a number of our local school districts that have been extremely innovative in the use of technology in the classroom to individualize and customize learning experience for Pennsylvania students. I have been in numerous classrooms where I've had the opportunities to see this -witness this in person just to see a level of engagement with young people and a classroom that really empowers students and empowers teachers.

If you could sort of describe for the committee, for those that may not be familiar what hybrid learning is, and can you talk with us a little bit about do we have early student achievement results with regards to hybrid learning that speak to the benefits with regard to student achievement. And then finally do we have

Page 86

any feedback that the Department has received,
perhaps, from parents, from students, from
educators their level of satisfaction with hybrid
learning?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: First let me describe hybrid learning or digital classrooms, if you will. It's a different instructional strategy. It's a different way of presenting content or curriculum to students.

One way that they do it is called a flip classroom where, in fact, a student may take direct instruction and then go home and through digital content learn and support what happened in that classroom.

Another model of hybrid learning is what we call dividing the classroom up so that a third of the students are delivering direct instruction, then they go into what we call cooperative learning groups where, in fact, they work together on projects that reinforce the content that the teacher taught, and then for another third of the classroom they move into individualized learning using technology, not only digital content but the hardware.

The benefit of that is that when they are

2.1

Page 87

doing their individual learning, if a student needed enrichment or remediation, you can really tailor that individual instruction for the student's needs.

I visited classrooms that have hybrid learning, and the level of engagement in the students in their education is amazing to watch. Being an old math teacher, I would visit classrooms and say, you know, do you like this? Is this an interesting way to learn? I can't tell you one student that didn't say, yes. They prefer this. I think when we know that the students are engaged in their learning, their achievement increases.

So the more we can deal with the different learning styles of children, some like that interaction with teachers. Some like to work alone. Some like to work in groups. That type of instruction and classroom organization, I think, increases the student engagement and increases student achievement.

So do we have data that would suggest, yes, those schools that are kind of pioneers into the hybrid learning have looked at their PSSA scores and they've kind of compared those hybrid

Page 88

classes to the non-hybrid classes and student
achievement has significantly increased,
significantly in math terms of significant
difference.

We find it mostly, it's interesting, in the Keystones in those increases that we've had in students who engaged in those hybrid classes and then taken those Keystones at the end of instruction.

So we do have student achievement from those pilots that we have. We've also, through those pilot schools, asked for parents and teachers to say, what do you think? Did it work? And we're getting very positive feedback from -- again, if you are interested, I can give you where to find -- or anyone where to find those results on-line as they're published.

So we are looking through this hybrid learning grant, the ten million, to start planning grants for new folks, implementation for new folks, but also expansion grants for those that are already in there.

So, again, it's a different instructional strategy. Teachers need to be given the tools of how to diversify that classroom because it's

Page 89 1 really three lesson plans versus one lesson plan 2 because of the nature of the learning. But it has 3 some very promising results that we've seen so far. 5 REPRESENTATIVE AUMENT: Thank you very 6 much, Madam Secretary. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 8 Representative Dean. 9 REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Thank you, 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 Good afternoon, Madam Secretary Acting, 12 Deputy Secretary. 13 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESO: Good 14 afternoon. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I thank you for this 16 conversation. I love all of these different 17 hearings, but maybe there is no more important 18 department to come before us than the Department 19 of Education. I'm a former teacher. I'm a 20 mother. And I taught at a higher ed level before 2.1 I came to the House. 22 So maybe I want to just frame my comments 23 and my brief questions in our constitutional 24

25

really are testing, are we living up to our

obligation, because I think by talking with you we

Page 90

constitutional obligation, which I know you take seriously and we take seriously.

I'm just going to read it because we all know it, but it's critical. It is Article 3
Section 14. The public school system. The
General Assembly shall -- the obligation is ours
-- provide for the maintenance and support of a thorough and efficient system of public education to serve the needs of the Commonwealth.

testing, are we doing that; whether we are talking dollars or programs, and it's exciting to see some of the new dollars that the Governor proposes. I thought the place I would start because I'm very interested in it. I'm a grandmother too. I have a little two-year-old granddaughter.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I'm jealous.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: It's wonderful. Is the PreK Counts program. I'm happy to see new investment in the PreK Counts program. And also, you know, the launch of PreK for PA public private partnership to do more of that kind of work.

Can you detail for us what the PreK Counts program will do? Who's in it? How many are in it? Those kinds of things.

Page 91

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, let me talk first about the -- it's a ten-million-dollar increase in that line item. We determine that it will be about 1670 more spots. It services three to four year olds. I believe that it's 100 percent of the poverty rate that makes you eligible for those, and it's really focused at students at risk for being on age-appropriate levels as they come into public schools or K-12 institutions. It's focused at closing that gap for those children.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And is it only based on poverty? I know our other programs, for example, the Head Start has to do with economic advantage or disadvantage. Is this also the same for PreK?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think the eligibility is different in Head Start. I think it's 300 percent of the poverty level, but, yes, it's focused at children most at risk.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And some of these slots will be for full day and some will be for half day. Do we have an idea of how that's going to break down?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: No, but I can

Page 92

get you that information.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And I guess it's wonderful to hear 1670 kids will get this. What is the eligible population? Who are we not including in this program? Because we all know and our neighboring states are investing in it very, very heavily. Smart states know that a dollar invested in birth to five years of age at that very important cognitive development level, save something like \$7 down the road, and they're seven sadder dollars in dropouts or incarceration or not living up to your economic potential with good jobs, good paying family-sustaining jobs.

So do we have any sense of how many kids are not going to be served by this additional funding?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I think that at some point we stop with the waiting list when, in fact, all the funded spots are open. So, I'm not sure I could give you that information because they don't keep that.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: If it's something that we could find out.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I can find out estimates for you. I can do that. But we have --

Page 93

over the course of the last few years, we've increased that line item by 24 percent. So we made in Pennsylvania I think a significant investment in early learning.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I think it is important, and I think we are going to find that it's only going to enrich us more and more. Our neighboring State of New Jersey, I think, invests about four fold what we're talking about in Pennsylvania. So I think it's very worthwhile. I just want to encourage us to do more.

To follow-up on something Representative

Santarsiero asked us about, which was the circular

lease, I wanted to ask specifically about the one
school that the Auditor General found the

inappropriate -- more than a million dollars worth

of inappropriate lease payments, which was Chester

Community Charter.

As a result of that finding, what does the Department of Education do to go back and talk to that charter school?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: As I said before, we first have to decide what is an inappropriate relationship, and that is what we are sitting down with the Auditor General about to

Page 94

say those lease reimbursements were approved in previous administrations, so how do we define a bright line between an appropriate relationship between the non-profit and then the owner of the building, if you will. And that we still need to develop those guidelines. Once we develop those guidelines, then we need to communicate clearly going forward because some people have taken mortgages or they have debt on the buildings that they are now renting. So we need to make sure that we are very clear on how we go forward when we find that, perhaps, there is an inappropriate relationship. But we are working very hard on that.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: So the Department and others may have some ability to look back, but the Department has no ability to look back and survey and say, wait a second, we made inappropriate payments?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I believe that the definition of inappropriate payments is yet to be defined. If, in fact, people were approved under guidelines that currently exist then --

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I'm referring to the Auditor General's report. In his report, he very

Page 95

firmly -- he doesn't hedge.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I know he is very direct. He has been very direct with me.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And then I think the last thing I would really like to speak about is just to respectfully ask that the Administration be a little more transparent in terms of actual dollars to education.

We can talk about whether or not pensions are costs or an expense of education. Surely they are. And we can talk about how much -- what percentage of our pension obligation right now is really old debt that we failed to meet when we should have met it, but if you really look at what we are spending on education, and I'm now talking through 12. I'm not talking higher ed.

Under the proposed budget of the Governor, it will be 9.283 million actual dollars -- excuse me, billion, losing a whole set of zeros. And if you look back at 2008-2009 actual dollars, it was 9.361. We will be under by several hundred thousand dollars, the actual dollars spent. I'm not talking pension dollars whatsoever.

So my question is -- Back to my threshold question, are we meeting our constitutional

2.1

Page 96

obligation? If all these years later there is no increase in real dollars going toward the classroom, going toward the children? With the exception of understanding pension is part an obligation earned by the people who are teaching our children, are we really meeting our constitutional obligation?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, I believe we are. I think that, again, when we talk about what is sufficient and what is needed, that's a conversation that, again, I would go back and look at the basic instructional subsidy. It's one thing to say what are the components that should be in there. It's another thing to say what would the factor per student be that you put in there. What is a sufficient factor per student? And I think that's, again, a conversation we need to have as we sit down together, both Republicans and Democrats and the Administration and say what is sufficient, and that's a conversation we need to have.

I do want to go back and say that -because I don't want you to think that by not
responding to what was in the State System of
Support for Public Education that the Governor was

2.1

Page 97

not being transparent. One of the things that I try to do as an old math teacher, statistics teacher, is to make sure that when I look at comparisons that I'm comparing apples to apples.

And what I see is back in the previous administration, what they defined as state system of support and the line items that they used, I used the same line items to look at that. And, again, I see a difference and more money in those line items.

Again, we can do different charts and we can look at different does it count or doesn't it count? But I think in order for me to be an honest broker of the data, I need to go back and what the previous administration called state system support, and I think there is a different story in there.

It doesn't get to your question about sufficiency, and I think that's a question we need to have going forward.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And I would argue it is not a sufficiency. I think the accrued pensions is part of the picture, but if we want to talk and pull out that portion of it, we have something else to compare. There are other places

Page 98

we can look to for revenues. And is it sufficient? In light of some of what our colleagues have talked about, and we've only touched the surface of some of the struggling school districts. The districts that are going to be broke in a matter of a couple of years, and the fact that a child in this zip code gets a very good education and a child in this zip code is failing, and we'll never capture those years again.

So are we meeting our constitutional obligation? I think we're not. Let me say that respectfully to say, I admire your work, and I admire the work of the Department; but I think we have an obligation to make sure we not only do a thorough and efficient system, we do an excellent system for our children.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you for that. I think one of the things that I take very seriously is that when we look at things that are sufficient, and we look at the things that are important for student achievement, it's not just about money. I know that's important. As a superintendent, I thought that was really important, too, but it's also about the quality of

Page 99

the educator that we put in front of our children. We've worked very hard to give districts the tools that they need to ensure that quality, and we have some wonderful teachers in the classroom, and we have teachers that need support. So I think that is part of making sure that you've got a thorough and efficient educational system. We need transparency so school districts and the school report card gives us that.

Where is it that you can improve in looking at the educational performance of the student or of that building? So I think altogether between those increased rigors and accountability which provide efficiency, the staff development that we deliver for our teachers and the classroom and principals and then sufficient funding and reforms to put money back into the system. It's all part of that conversation, and I welcome that conversation and that work.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: I think your point is absolutely the truth. It's the teachers, too. And that's what's exciting about the preK program. It's not just day care. It's to put educated educators in front of our very young

Page 100 1 children. 2 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Absolutely. 3 REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Thank you. 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Did 5 anybody ever receive a text from someone and then 6 you just text back to them good-bye. Every once in a while --8 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Are you 9 telling me good-bye? 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Every 11 conversation has to end sometime. I just didn't 12 know when that conversation was ever going to 13 end. 14 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I'm sorry. 15 was having fun talking about important stuff. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. I 17 thought we came to an agreement about ten minutes 18 ago. 19 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Oh, I'm sorry. 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Representative 21 Grove. 22 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I'm sorry. I 23 don't know the rules. I'm sorry. I told you, do 24 this for me. 25 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And please just

Page 101 1 refer to yourself as an experienced math teacher. 2 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Not an old 3 one. 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Not an old one 5 by no means. 6 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you, 8 Mr. Chairman. 9 Thank you, Madam Secretary, for coming. 10 was going to wear my common core tinfoil hat 11 today, but I lost it. 12 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: And you're 13 orange shirt. 14 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: We got rid of it. 15 So I don't need it anymore. I sent it to my other 16 friends in other states who egregiously screwed up 17 their standards. 18 I appreciate you coming here. I want to 19 drive down our PlanCon a little bit. Obviously 20 the current line item 30 rentals and seeking fund 21 requirements has been flat lined for this upcoming 22 budget. I assume the Administration is planning 23 another moratorium moving forward? 24 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes. And, 25 again, because there's 300 some in the pipeline I

2.1

Page 102

think we need to move those forward.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: To date, since the start of the moratorium, how many projects has the Department held at Part G and how many projects have moved on to Part H for reimbursement?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I'd have to go back and get that information. Okay. She's pointing at something that maybe I can tell you right away. Here we go. There are 203 projects that are being held in G, if you will.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Do you know how many we've moved out since the moratorium on the age for reimbursement?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: We haven't moved any out since the moratorium began.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: None. Okay. How many school districts have received the extra requirements, like, for LED, lead building, prototypical school construction design in the past decade or whenever those reimbursements started?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: We've had about 25 schools with the lead, and for prototypical we know of about two.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Two. And how much

Page 103

is a reimbursement on those? Do you know off the top of your head?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: I don't know off the top of my head. And it would depend probably on the different factors and the formula would be different.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. And do you know how many schools would do the LED requirement without even doing the reimbursement? Because I know it's a pretty hot topic to get LED to do cost savings anyway on the environmental. Have you gone back to school districts and asked?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: We haven't, but I believe that is part of the questioning that we'll be looking at when we are surveying the schools, dealing with energy efficiency and other topics.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Good. If we were to allocate additional 25 million, 50 million, 75 million or a hundred million dollars to the authority rentals fund line item. How many projects could the department approve and start reimbursing?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: So we can't really answer that question, and the reason is when we approve a project -- so if I approve a

Page 104

1 project right now, the initial approval would 2 include also all the back payments that are owed 3 on that project. So I could approve a project 4 today, and it could be a four-million-dollar 5 project, but going toward maybe only 250,000 6 annually. Then I could have another project that 7 the initial approval could be \$500,000, and since 8 we've been kind of stuck without funding, and once 9 funding is available -- once we start reviewing 10 the agents we will have a better idea about that. 11 Typically when money is available, you approve the 12 projects you can until there is no more money 13 available. 14 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Can you give the 15 committee a breakdown for 25, 50, 75, 100? Can 16 you go back and try to analyze that? 17 DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: I don't know if 18 we could do that. We would have to go through all 19 the Hs that are there and keep going back. I 20 mean, once we start --21 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Is it normally 22 done, first in first out?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: Yes. So the process is a first in first out. So there's really no way to change the order of projects.

23

24

25

Page 105

The one exception would be that if a project would be first in and we would contact the district to get more information or you have to turn in more paperwork and it would be a significant delay, the school district, there could be a possibility of someone moving ahead of them. They would not bump to the end. They would just bump out until they then would comply with the requirements.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: But you know the projects in line, though, correct? So you know the amount. So you could go back and say with 25 million we could drop down this list?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: You would have to go back and do the reviews. So we haven't done the reviews since they haven't had G approval or H approval. When you actually complete the H approval, that's what tells you how much it costs because, I know this starts to get a little difficult, a project stops being a project in G and it becomes leases. So that one project could have five bond issues that support that one lease. So that project then turns into leases. So a school might have a project, and if they only send out two of the bond issuances and they are waiting to do two more.

Page 106 1 So they could actually be in H three 2 different times for the same project, which makes 3 that answer very difficult. 4 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Could you try to do 5 your best? 6 DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: We can try to do 7 our best, Representative. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: I'd appreciate it. 9 Does the Administration support overhauling the 10 PlanCon process to reduce mandates on school 11 districts, simplify the process, and reduce the 12 Commonwealth's obligations moving forward? 13 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes, we do. 14 That is part of the study. 15 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Good. Thank you. 16 Appreciate it. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 19 Representative Jake Wheatley. 20 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, 21 Mr. Chairman. 22 And good afternoon, Madam Secretary. 23 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Good 24 afternoon.

25

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: One question --

Page 107

well, more than one, but the first question is did we receive Race to the Top money?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: We received three Race to the Top grants. The first one was the literacy that we received and the second one was -- I think they were in 2010 was the Race to the Top that help to fund a basic ed one that funded a lot of the teacher and principal evaluation work that we were doing and a lot of the training. And then we just received the most recent one, which is the Early Learning grant that we have.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And what's the total or what is the amount?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I know I have a piece of paper here that I can -- the Striving Readers grant, which was the first one was 190 million over five years. That was back in September of 2011. The Race to the Top 41 million in that December, and that was over a course of five years, and in fact, and it would as I said, support teacher and principal evaluation implementation. The last one, which was the Early Learning Challenge, which was just this past December, was 51.7 million.

Page 108

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And where is that reflected in our budget, this early learning. Is that part of the amount that we're using to expand preK?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: No. The funding agent for that Early Learning is DPW, so it would show up in their budget, but it will fund activities that will increase opportunities for children, staff development for those early learning teachers, community collaborations, but the funding agent is the Department of Welfare.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So these other two grants, are they in your budget or somewhere else?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: They're in our -- the first two are in the Department's budget.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So this literacy grant from 2011 the 190 over five years, that's in what line item in your budget? What is that supporting?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: That has been -- Being a fiscal agent is one of our intermediate units, so that would show up in their budget. The Race to the Top grant would show up

Page 109 1 in the Department's budget. The in training 2 it's --3 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Okay. I'm 4 sorry. 5 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: It's a federal 6 line item in our budget. 7 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So the 2011 8 literacy grant is a federal line item in your 9 budget? 10 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Yes. All of 11 those are federal line items. 12 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: In your budget? 13 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESO: Yes. We can 14 point that out to you. 15 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Yeah, I just 16 want to make sure because I know before there was 17 a lot of conversation around one-time budget fixes 18 from DC that we used as stimulus money. It seems 19 to me like these grants -- I just want to make 20 sure that these grants are showing up as if they 21 are long-term solutions, but that they are, in 22 fact, one time or a little bit over five-year time 23 supports for things. 24 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: The Striving 25 Readers grant was for the development of

Page 110

curriculum and training. So again, one-time costs. The Race to the Top grant that helped fund the teacher and principal evaluation system was for help to develop the training that we've done to get ready for this year and the implementation. It helped to pay for courses that we purchased that are now ours on the Standards Aligned System that I mentioned about supporting Danielson, the 22 component courses. We now own them. We paid for the development of those so that we can use them for free.

It's paid for training for the principals, but it's training money and staff development money, one-time costs.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And so, can you also tell me how many districts we currently have that are listed as distressed districts? And how do you define distressed districts? Are they in correlation with no child left behind and not meeting those requirements, or do we have separate determinations for what is a distressed district?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: We followed the law that was passed on how to identify distressed school districts. There are currently four, and it was the criteria that was in the law

2.1

Page 111

that would name severely distressed or moderately distressed.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So those districts that are near distressed but haven't met the determination of the law distressed, but the academic performance is underperforming and/or they're financially at that point where they are going to fall off a cliff. What do we do as the planning process for them?

there's -- separate out the two issues. There's a fiscal watch for when districts are fiscally starting to demonstrate or when they call and say, we need some help. We send out folks from the Department, contractors to go out and look at is there a different way to refinance a bond issue? Is there a different staffing pattern that you could look at? Have you missed applying for your federal monies that you're due? So there are -- we send folks out to help in the business offices for those fiscal watch districts, and we have monthly phone calls with those folks.

For academically distressed school buildings, we have -- we call them focus schools, and then priority schools. We have folks that

1 were called our academic recovery liaisons that 2 are part of the federal Title 1 monies that we get 3 that go out to, in fact, support writing to the 4 buildings, working with the principals for the 5 lowest performing buildings in the Commonwealth. 6 There is an academic recovering liaison. And they 7 network resources that are in our Standards 8 Aligned System. They help train the principal and 9 the faculty to look at the school performance 10 profile. 11 What can we do to move student achievement 12 here? They make sure that teacher training and 13 those types of things. So it's a partnership 14 between the Department and the school building. 15 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And I don't want 16 to cut you off, but I want to try to get some real 17 quick ones in. 18 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESO: Okay. 19 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So how much --20

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So how much -where in your budget can I find the allocation
that supports the fiscal watch and the academic -the focus -- I forget what you called it again.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Fiscal watch.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Fiscal watch.

And the other was the academic --

21

22

23

24

25

Page 113

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Recovery liaison.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: -- recovery liaison. How much do you allocate for those tasks? And are you planning, or do you have a plan in place that if it requires a state takeover --

Before I go there, how many of your schools that were on that verge have you prevented and turned around from going off the edge, or are they still teetering on the edge?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, the four that we have --

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Not the ones that we already identify as distressed, but those that you are trying to support to not go over.

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: I can answer the financial issue. What the legislature passed in last session was the ability for the Department to use \$4.5 million of unencumbered funds to be used towards these school districts, and so those would be the monies that are allocated towards the Department for helping the districts.

In addition, there is a loan account and what is put in that loan account, per the school

Page 114

code last year, was about \$10 million. There were monies that were in the basic education subsidy that were appropriated. The basic education subsidy was appropriated a little bit higher than the need for the allocations. So extra money was then in the school code put into the loan account.

The loan account monies go out to school districts that are declared in fiscal recovery, and those districts have to account for the loan in their approved plans, that they submit to the Department or to the court depending on what stage of recovery they are in. So those are the monies that are used for that program.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So they would have to take out a loan and then pay it back?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: Well, it's an interest-free loan from the Department, and they're in their plan, they restructure how they would pay that back. So the length of payment terms and things like that could be easily stretched out or shortened. It helps schools so that they don't have to go and borrow with interest if they were going to do that as well.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: My final question is essentially -- it is my belief, at

```
1
     least in the west I've been told that out of the
2
     41 school districts maybe nine possibly ten now
3
     are on the verge of either academically falling
4
     off the cliff or financially falling off the
5
     cliff, and I'm sure across the Commonwealth there
6
     are probably numerous others. I'm really hoping
7
     that you have a plan when we have four districts
8
     that you say are distressed. Are you including
9
     Philadelphia?
10
            ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: No.
11
            REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Philadelphia is
12
     already taken over, right?
13
            ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESO: Yes.
14
            REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: The state is
15
     already really a state district? Philadelphia is
16
     now a state district; is that correct?
17
            ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: It's under the
18
     SRC, yes.
19
            REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So the state
20
     controls Philadelphia School District. Duquesne
21
     has been partially taken over. What are the other
22
     four that you consider distressed?
23
            ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Three.
24
     There's York, Harrisburg, and Chester Upper.
```

25

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And so as these

Page 116

school districts that are under our control and others start to fall, what is the plan, the academic and fiscal plan to maintain these districts?

that we have, we have what we call chief recovery officers or receiver depending on the nature of the board approval of the plan that actually goes in and works with, again, resources that we bring into the district to take a look at the budget and say, what is it that we can do to restructure debt, to look at right sizing the district, and how does that impact the education program, and what resources do we need to bring in to stabilize the education program. So that there are two different initiatives that come in to develop the plan for those schools.

I can say that in a number of cases that we've been working, the fiscal house has been stabilized, in the academic -- well, we'll see again this year when we get the test results come out, whether, in fact, we are continuing to move academically in those four schools that we're in.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Madam Secretary.

Mr. Chairman.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 2 would like to acknowledge the presence of 3 Representative Scott Conklin who's joined us. 4 the next question will be by Representative Scott 5 Petri. 6 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, 7

And thank you, Madam Secretary.

First question I want to ask comes from one of my superintendents who has been in the snow belt, and he's wondering is there going to be any flexibility for meeting the 180-day requirement, and can he do something by way of hours to make up the time so the kids aren't in through all of June?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: All of June. Right. In fact, there was -- I believe it's going out this afternoon -- quidance within the parameters of control of the Secretary of Education, which is we can't waive 180 days, but we can offer an alternative. Only the legislature can waive 180 days. But, in fact, we can offer the alternative of going 900 hours for elementary and 990. They are called act 80 days. I think what most superintendents thought is that you had

Page 118

to come in at the beginning of the school year to ask for that trade off.

In fact, the regulation says you have until the end of July of the school year to, in fact, ask for an Act 80 day. So we're reminding them of the flexibility, offering to open up again to see if they want to trade off 180 days for the hours.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: That's good. The sooner you get that word out the better so that they can make plans particularly with regard to parents and the like.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Next question I had, you know, one of the gentleman that spoke earlier, my esteemed colleague from Montgomery County was very, very concerned, as I am, with regard to the pension circumstance and the fact that it's been delayed and we keep kicking it down the can. And we have been hearing it all day today.

Isn't it true that we don't pay, and we have no fund for retiree health? Aren't we just kicking the can down the road? We don't have a fund or a place to pay that. In fact, that's paid

Page 119

by -- you know, if you have a retired teacher here in the room somewhere, their fund will be paid by a current employee effectively it's allocated to the current employee; is that correct?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Well, the health care is, in fact, a fringe benefit that is bargained at the local level. And the level of support whether employees pay a copay or whether they pay a premium share is bargained locally. And whether, in fact, there is retiree health care is bargained locally. The State does not fund health care.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Well, exactly.

And, you know, that, of course, just brings to light one of the problems that we are faced with as a legislature in that we are not the ones creating the bargaining rights and the benefits.

But often times, we are the ones that have to come in and somehow pick up the pieces and pay for it whether it's directly through the front door or indirectly through the back door. So that's why I raised that issue that if we really are paying for things as we go, we ought to be paying for them as we go. But that's not how it's ever worked.

Last question I want to ask about is

Page 120

audits and audit findings. There are audits of every school district every year, and often times there are serious findings. If you as a Department receive a number of audits with the same serious financial or other finding, what authority and power do you have as the Department to demand remedies? And do you think you have enough remedies available to you to effectuate a sound business program?

And obviously that question is asked because in most of the school districts, it's the local school board that controls and decides whether to follow those audit findings.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Exactly. We do have a very rigorous review process inside the Department, which is headed by the Deputy for Administration, so I want to ask her to respond to that.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Sure.

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: We do review all the findings that come into the Department, but as you point out, there are little to no remedies given to the Department as far as authority and the school code to look especially at financial situations. What we would do is, especially with

Page 121

the development of the financial watch system, we would take any recurring financial findings or anything that we would find maybe alarming and alert the people in the Department who also work in that area and kind of maybe send out feelers to those school districts, or make a further review of their financial information. But beyond that, depending on the specificity of the finding, we have limited, you know, ability to do anything according to law.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: So, for instance, if you had a school district that reported it sold the building three successive years, spent the money, but never sold the building, you know, that would obviously be a serious finding and yet that may be in three audit reports and nothing happened. She can't remove the school board under current law?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DUFFY: Not to my knowledge.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: No.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Do you think that you should have that authority?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: No. I think the school boards, again, in Pennsylvania -- I

2.1

Page 122

would like more authority to implement some penalties, but I think school boards are functions of local taxpayers, and local taxpayers need to decide who is going to represent them. It's not a perfect system, but it's a system we have.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Well, I'm going to work on a bill. I'll offer it for some suggestion. The idea occurred to me the Chairman held a meeting at Chester Upland, and when I raised some of the issues about whether, with Secretary Tomalis, whether he thought that there may have been criminal activity and it should have been turned over, and obviously he couldn't comment on whether it had been turned over, hadn't been turned over, but one of the parents in the gallery followed me out crying and said, we have been asking the same questions and we get no answers, and finally we are getting answers as to what happened. And that really is a very, very unfortunate situation.

I think you need more authority. I think you need the ability to demand the school board put up a specific vote on what remedies they are going to do and if they don't follow through or they don't do so, then I think there has to be

some sort of recall or removal. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Glenn Grell.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thank you,

5 Mr. Chairman.

2.1

Thank you, Madam secretary, for your testimony. I wanted to try to get a little better understanding of the allocation of dollars under the PreK Counts Program.

I think it's admirable that the Governor's budget proposal proposes to take that line from 87 million to 97 million, I believe, and I've supported funding for that in previous years, but I was a little disappointed last year to see how that money actually got pushed out.

I'm from Cumberland County. We have kids who are under 300 percent of the poverty level, and I was just surprised to see how little of that money actually went to facilities in Cumberland County.

So my question is, what is the criteria for determining how that money gets allocated? I believe facilities have to apply for it, but I just need a better understanding of how those decisions are made and whether there is a plan to

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 124

try to reach more of those kids and families who are eligible within that 300 percent of poverty level.

as I understand it, there are criteria for use for PreK Counts, and then it's done through an RFP that comes out and people respond and then the RFPs are judged, and if there are specific providers that you need information on, I'd be happy to show you.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. Could you at least share with us the criteria that is used in evaluating the RFPs, or is that something --

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I can. Not right now, but I can absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Yeah, but if you can supply that to the committee chairman and he can share it with the rest of the committee. Then if I have further questions, I'll follow-up with that.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Absolutely.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thanks very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Representative Donna Oberlander.

REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: Thank you,

1 Chairman.

Good afternoon, Madam Secretary. I'm going to change gears a little bit. I've been impressed with the First Lady Susan Corbett's interest in preventing dropouts. And in line with that concern I see that the adult and family literacy program has taken a cut, and these programs serve those who have dropped out, helping them to get more education, and hopefully their GEDs. My concern is that with that reduction, will we be able to meet those needs?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think what was removed was a legislative addition that went in last year, and that will be for you to decide. The Department was level funded.

REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: I have been told that there is a waiting list for those services. Are you aware of that?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I've been briefed by the providers, some of the providers, especially in the Philadelphia area that there is a waiting list. And, again, additional revenues will absorb more of the waiting list.

REPRESENTATIVE OBERLANDER: Okay. Thank you very much.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank 2 vou. That's the end of the first round. 3 up. Take some deep breaths. We have four 4 altogether that would like to ask questions on the 5 second round. We have ten minutes left of this 6 hearing. I would ask that each member to ask the 7 question, please consider the others that are 8 waiting to ask the second round, and if the 9 Secretary, if it's going to be a question that is 10 going to take some time, you can get back to us on 11 the answer. Okay? 12 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I hear what 13 you're saying. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We have the 15 Labor and Industry coming in, in a couple of 16 minutes. Okay. One just disappeared. Okay. 17 That's good. Okay. Representative Matt Bradford. 18 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Real quick. You 19 had mentioned the SRC in Philadelphia. I just 20 wanted to get your opinion, is it working, the SRC 21 system in Philly, the chief recovery officer in

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: I think the -- I have been intimately involved in four of them;

It seems like we never really talk about

Chester?

22

23

24

25

are these -- is any of this working?

- 1 Chester, York, Harrisburg, and Duquesne, and I
- think in various ways they have been working.
- 3 They've stabilized the schools. And we are
- 4 | working on increasing the academic programs. So I
- 5 think the outside intervention sometimes can move
- 6 the board to do things that they may not have
- yanted to do on their own. It opens up other
- 8 opportunities for funding.
- 9 So I think in some respects, yes. It's,
- again, one of those issues of how much authority
- does the Department have within that law to force
- certain changes, and it's more of a cooperative
- dance than it is a direction from the Department.
- 14 | I'll leave it at that.
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: All right.
- 16 Thank you, Secretary.
- MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.
- 18 Representative Jim Christiana.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you,
- 20 Mr. Chairman.
- Madam Secretary, just one question about
- the community college capital line item. While
- that line item hadn't been a focus of the previous
- administration, this administration has been in
- tough budget times willing to work with the

2.1

Page 128

legislature. I know that the Republican Chairman, myself, Representative Jim Marshall and Senator

Vogel have worked to see that increase because we are at a time when we have new industries coming to Pennsylvania. We have displaced workers that the community college is the best setting for them to get up to speed. That line item is really important and just if you had any initial comments about the reduction in that line.

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Again, what some of the projects that we have, have sunsetted, so at least on our initial look, there will be no impact on that, as I understand it.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Well, I look forward to working with you over the next few weeks to make sure if there is need there, we can revisit that line because as new industries come in, the economy struggles, displaced workers. That has been a great link between our trades and our community colleges, and I would like to see that we maximize that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative.

Representative Jake Wheatley.

Page 129

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Madam Secretary, a really specific question. Pittsburgh had the waiver for the teachers' effectiveness. I know that there are some questions about if it will be continued, and I'm specifically asking about the student performance -- I mean the student evaluation observation as part of their total mix.

Do you know when your department will respond to them? And can you give us a sense if, in fact, they will be allowed to continue as that as part of their matrix?

ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: We haven't gotten a request for a waiver for next year. So I'm not sure what Pittsburgh will be sending us, and we'll respond there. We'll provide the maximum flexibility that we can within the law; that we have, and I know they have been working very hard and Dr. Lane is a great superintendent so we would be as supportive as we can.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Thank you, Madam Secretary. Thank you, members, for the way you handled yourself professionally, the

Page 130 1 courtesy that you showed the Secretary. We 2 certainly appreciate your professionalism. I can 3 see why you were a successful math teacher, 4 superintendent, and we are certainly very 5 fortunate to have you as our Secretary of 6 Education at this present time, in these tough 7 economic times. 8 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you. 9 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And I'm looking 10 forward to working with you and your staff between 11 now and June 30th so we can put together the best 12 possible budget for the children of Pennsylvania. 13 ACTING SECRETARY DUMARESQ: Thank you so 14 much, and thank you for your kind attention. 15 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: We'll reconvene 16 right around 4:00 for the Labor and Industry. 17 Thank you. 18 (The hearing concluded at 3:52 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my hand this 15th day of March 2014.

Amy J. Spangler

Court Reporter