1			
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3		HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE	
4			
5		MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140	
6		HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA	
7		BUDGET HEARING	
8		DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	
9			
10		WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2014 2:57 P.M.	
11	_		
12	BEFORE:		
13	HONORABLE	WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., Majority Chairman	
14		KAREN BOBACK JIM CHRISTIANA	
15	HONORABLE	GARY DAY BRIAN ELLIS	
	HONORABLE	GLEN GRELL	
16		SETH GROVE ADAM HARRIS	
17		THOMAS KILLION DAVID MILLARD	
18	HONORABLE	MARK MUSTIO	
19		DONNA OBERLANDER BERNIE O'NEILL	
20		MICHAEL PEIFER SCOTT PETRI	
	HONORABLE	JEFFREY PYLE	
21		CURT SONNEY JOSEPH MARKOSEK, Minority Chairman	
22	HONORABLE	MATTHEW BRADFORD MICHELLE BROWNLEE	
23	HONORABLE	MIKE CARROLL	
24		H. SCOTT CONKLIN MADELEINE DEAN	
25			

1	BEFORE: (cont'd)
2	HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
3	HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN HONORABLE JOHN SABATINA
4	HONORABLE STEVEN SANTARSIERO HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY
5	ALSO PRESENT:
6	HONORABLE MARTY CAUSER
7	HONORABLE SHERYL DELOZIER HONORABLE ROBERT GODSHALL
8	HONORABLE DAVE MALONEY HONORABLE RON MILLER
9	HONORABLE JERRY STERN HONORABLE J. P. MIRANDA
10	HONORABLE GREG VITALI DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
11	MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR P. O. BOX 278
17	MAYTOWN, PA 17550 717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX
18	y = x = = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 =
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			3
1	I	NDEX	
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	C. ALAN WALKER	4	
4	SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNIT	Y AND	
5	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
6			
7			
8			
9	_		
10		TTEN QUESTIONS	
11		* *	
12		with included questions	
13	onl	ine.)	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: afternoon, everyone. I'd like to reconvene the 3 House Appropriations Committee. 4 In front of us is now the secretary of 5 DCED, C. Alan Walker. 6 7 Mr. Secretary, good afternoon. SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. Good to 8 be here. 9 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Nice to have 11 you here. 12 Just -- I know we just kind of changed 13 a lot of folks here in the audience, and I just want to remind everyone, if you have a cell phone 14 15 on you, if you have an iPhone or an iPad on you, if you would turn it off, put it on vibrate, it would 16 be appreciative, because of the live coverage that 17 18 we're having here by PCN. And, Secretary Walker, if you would 19 20 like a brief statement, opening remarks, and introduce your staff. 21 22 Thank you. 23 SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you very 24 much. Thank you.

25

First, I would like to introduce to my

right, and your left, Fritz Smith, who is the deputy secretary for administration with our department; and on my left is Helen Hammerschmidt, who is our policy director at DCED.

Thank you very much.

Chairman Adolph and Chairman Markosek,

I would like to start with a brief statement, and

members of the committee, thank you for the

opportunity to provide some testimony today. It

has truly been an exciting journey at the

Department of Community and Economic Development

since 2011. And the department, like the

commonwealth, has honed in on our core mission, and

we are well positioned to capitalize on the

opportunities that are in front of us.

Governor Corbett is building a stronger Pennsylvania centered on three core priorities: effective public schools to educate the next generation of thinkers and innovators, a private sector where businesses large and small can grow and hire, and a health care system where Pennsylvanians have choices.

At DCED, our mission is to foster opportunities for businesses to grow, help communities succeed and thrive in the global

economy, and improve the quality of life for

Pennsylvania citizens, and assure transparency and
accountability in the expenditure of public funds.

Under Governor Corbett's leadership, we have a renewed focus on our mission. We continue to cross train our employees for efficiency without sacrificing our reputation of providing excellent service to businesses and communities.

We've streamlined economic development programs to focus resources on initiatives, to provide the strongest return on investment both in private funds leveraged and jobs created and retained.

Our strong monitoring and accountability standards allow us to state with confidence that DCED, through its economic development initiatives, is helping to create and retain or pledge to create or retain more than a hundred fifty thousand jobs during the last fiscal year. Many of those jobs will be created over the next three years. However, if a company fails to meet this contractual obligation, the states reclaims those funds, whether they are grant moneys or tax credits.

We continue to improve our monitoring

and compliance of grant awards, restoring more than
2 2.3 million in funds last fiscal year through
monitoring.

In 2012, Governor Corbett launched Jobs First PA as a comprehensive road map to economic recovery that harnessed the state's resources and talents to prioritize private-sector job creation and retention. The policies enacted by Governor Corbett have spurred investment in Pennsylvania's future.

Fiscal stability and improved business climate and a growing abundance of low-cost, domestically produced energy has our economy growing.

Pennsylvania is now a more than six-hundred-billion-dollar-a-year economy, and it's the sixth largest economy in the United States.

Today, Pennsylvania's unemployment rate stands at a five-year low. And jobs have been growing on a consistent basis for nearly four years.

As of December 2013, Pennsylvania has recovered 99.3 percent of its pre-recession jobs.

The governor's action team is seeing its highest level of activity since before the recession, with

one hundred and two successful projects last year, up from seventy-nine successful projects the previous year.

Recently, the governor announced Bell and Evans will create more than three hundred and eighty jobs in Lebanon County; Nordstrom, Inc., will establish the operations of its east coast online fulfillment center in Lancaster County, creating six hundred sixty-nine full-time jobs; Maple Donuts, Inc., has expanded operations in Erie County and will create sixty new jobs; Computer Sciences Corporation will establishing a new delivery center in the strip district in Pittsburgh that will create at least five hundred new technology jobs over the next three years.

And Comcast's new 1.2-billion-dollar, fifty-nine-story tower, to be named the Comcast Innovation and Technology Center -- excuse me -- will generate 2.75 billion in total economic impact within Pennsylvania and support more than twenty thousand direct and indirect jobs during the construction phase. The direct and indirect ongoing impact is projected to create nearly thirty-four thousand permanent jobs in Pennsylvania.

We are also growing in the international front. Pennsylvania exports grew by 5.4 percent, from 37.8 billion in 2012 to 41 billion in 2013.

FDI Magazine reported that Pennsylvania was one of only three states in 2012 that had positive growth in foreign direct investment and ranked fourth among the fifty states for total number of in-sourced jobs.

The governor's success of bringing jobs to Pennsylvania continues, with more announcements of projects that were a collaboration of the Office of International Business Development and the governor's action team in 2014.

Australian-based Ecosave selected the Philadelphia Navy yard for the location of its U.S. headquarters and first location in North America, which will create a hundred twenty-five new jobs.

PRA, one of the world's largest clinical trial organizations, will expand operations in Montgomery County, creating two hundred fifty full-time jobs.

Noise Solutions, headquartered in Calgary, Alberta, has expanded to a new manufacturing site in Mercer County, creating a

hundred twenty-six new jobs over the next three years.

And headquartered in Austria, Greiner
Packaging Corporation, a manufacturer of packaging
in the food and nonfood sectors, has established
its U.S. headquarters in Luzerne County, creating a
hundred and twenty-eight full-time jobs.

Fibria Celulose S.A., of Brazil, the world's largest producer of Bleached Eucalyptus
Kraft Pulp, will move its current shipping
operations from the Port of Baltimore to the Port
of Philadelphia. Additional tonnage will generate
more than forty million in economic activity
annually and result in two hundred twenty-eight
direct jobs and more than three hundred eighty
total jobs in Pennsylvania.

Second only to agriculture in terms of economic impact and job creation, Pennsylvania's tourism industry continues to thrive and be a major employer across the commonwealth, supporting over four hundred seventy thousand jobs directly and indirectly.

This budget directs additional funds to the state tourism office, to bolster travel, tourism, and film-related economic development

statewide and is an addition to the hotel room tax directed to support tourism promotion throughout the commonwealth.

The hotel room tax generated more than 143.8 million dollars in 2012, of which an estimated 67.5 million went directly to tourism promotion agencies to attract domestic and international tourists to Pennsylvania.

This also does not include the governor's launch of Enhance Penn's Woods, a two-year, more than two hundred million effort via DCNR to repair and upgrade our natural treasures.

But it's not just strong economic development initiatives that support job creation. Economic progress requires strong communities, and nobody recognizes that more than Governor Corbett. The governor's budget continues to support funding increases in previous years to support communities and local governments.

As we move forward, the Department of Community and Economic Development will continue to support the governor's efforts to build a stronger Pennsylvania. With a strong leader paving the way, a clear mission of putting jobs first, and tremendous opportunities for growth in front of us,

```
I have no doubts that the best days for
1
2
     Pennsylvania are ahead of us.
                  Thank you. And I will be happy to take
 3
     questions.
 4
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
 5
                                              Thank you,
     Mr. Secretary.
6
7
                  With us today, which is customary, is
     we invite the chairmen of the standing committee,
8
     and we are certainly privileged to have with us
9
     today Chairman Jerry Stern, House Tourism
10
     Committee.
11
12
                  Welcome, Chairman Stern.
                  I don't know if you left this out,
13
14
     Secretary, but I didn't hear any reference made to
15
     the refineries in your opening statement.
16
                  SECRETARY WALKER: You know, I should
    have brought that up just because I like seeing
17
     your expression.
18
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Well, I'm
19
20
     not going to let it go by without a mention.
     was two years ago probably today, you know, at this
21
     Appropriations hearing, where things were pretty
22
23
     gloomy regarding three refineries in the
24
     southeast.
```

And I have said this publicly, and I

25

will continue to say this publicly, Secretary
Walker, if there's a single person who, at the
department, from Washington D.C. to Harrisburg, had
more to do with saving those refineries, I don't
know the person. And, you know, it's very
difficult for me, as I was receiving phone calls
from Secretary Walker about all this good news,
that I wasn't even allowed to tell my wife that,
you know, uncles and cousins will not be losing
their jobs as a result of this.

I asked the secretary of Labor and Industry the other day about what type of revenue would have been lost and what was really saved, and you talk about our economy today, but just let me just run these figures by you. Two thousand direct jobs, thirty-six thousand indirect jobs or indirect businesses, five hundred sixty million dollars in lost state and local tax revenue, and over two billion dollars in lost wages. That's what was saved. And two hundred fifty million dollars in unemployment benefits alone.

I know this goes, whether it's -- it's not a Republican issue. It's not a Democrat issue. This is -- this was something that just saved our economy. And I don't think we'd have

ever recovered, to be honest with you, if those refineries were not saved.

And I want to thank you and your staff and all the people involved, from Governor Corbett all the way down, that, you know, took off the gloves and did everything possible to make that happen. And I know that people who are going to work down there every single day, and I think there's some big, big news coming again regarding a Delaware River port, and I want thank you personally and publicly for your help.

SECRETARY WALKER: I really appreciate that. And one of the happiest days of my life was whenever I was able to call you to tell you that we were saving the refineries.

And if I may add, a lot of people don't realize that 40 percent of the middle distillate for the middle Atlantic region and New England was made at those three refineries. Had they gone down -- and that's 20 percent of the home heating oil in the middle Atlantic and northeast was made at those three refineries. Can you imagine the crisis we would have had, in addition to the numbers you were talking about, had we not been able to make home heating oil at three

I agree.

1 refineries this winter?
2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:

Chairman Markosek.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

Secretary, any time you make Chairman Adolph happy, that makes me happy. It makes my job easier. And for very good reason, too, and I'm very happy for Pennsylvania, that we were able to have those things.

For the information of the members, Representative J. P. Miranda has joined us as a guest of the committee.

And, Mr. Secretary, Chairman Curtis
Thomas, who is our Democratic chairman of the
Commerce Committee, could not be here today, but he
has sent along some questions for you and your
staff to be entered into the record, and I've given
a copy to the stenographer. So, when you can, if
you and your staff could take a look at these and
answer these questions and send Chairman Adolph and
I a copy of your response to him, we would
appreciate that, so we can distribute it to the
rest of the committee.

SECRETARY WALKER: We'll be happy to do

that. 1 2 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank 3 you. Mr. Chairman, I don't have any 4 questions. When the appropriate time does come, I 5 would ask that you would recognize Representative 6 7 Santarsiero, who has some travel issues this evening, and we want to make sure that he gets home 8 safely. So at the appropriate time, if you would 9 10 recognize Representative Santarsiero for 11 questioning. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 13 Representative Santarsiero, since you 14 have a time commitment, you can go first, not that 15 I want you to leave here, by no means. But you 16 certainly may go first. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: That would 18 never occur to me, Mr. Chairman. But I will --19 although I appreciate the courtesy, I will defer to 20 the chair of the standing committee first. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Well, he --21 22 you can go first. He's waiting 'til last. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: That's 24 great. 25 Mr. Secretary, I'd like to ask you

about an issue that we actually raised with PEMA last week. I understand this is really more in departments bailiwick, and that has to do with the Biggert-Waters Act.

SECRETARY WALKER: Pardon me?

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: The

Biggert-Waters Act, the federal law that will

ultimately result in flood insurance premiums

increasing fairly dramatically over the next few

years.

As I'm sure you're aware, there is a bill that's currently on its way through congress that would at least delay the implementation of the act for a few years, and that's a help, but it's not a long-term solution.

We have many residents in my part of Bucks County, as well as other river areas throughout the commonwealth, that are being drawn into new FEMA floodplain maps, in many cases, many of us feel, unfairly, but nonetheless that's happening. And as a consequence of that, they and others who were already in the floodplain are looking at astronomical increases in their insurance premiums down the road. That, of course, is going to have an impact not only in their

ability to pay in the short term but, ultimately, the marketability of their homes.

And so, we could be faced, in some of our communities, with large neighborhoods where, you know, essentially, the neighborhoods would be devastated.

I can see, on a going-forward basis, with new construction, I get that. Where we have developments that were built many, many years ago, we have people who bought homes with one set of expectations. To change that set of expectations midstream is, I think, fundamentally unfair.

But, in any event, I'd like to know what your department's assessment of the situation is, and what actions, if any, the department plans to take.

SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah. We're very concerned about it. And although it's a federal issue, it probably affects Pennsylvania more than any other state, and we are working with the governor's office and the congressional delegation to try to get support for more reasonable legislation.

You're probably aware of this, but Pennsylvania has eighty-eight thousand miles of inland waterways, which is second only to Alaska in number of streams. We have many, many homes that are built on the floodplain. And, you know, the comment you get, "Well, it only affects the wealthy people who have a second home at the shore," that's not true. And in Pennsylvania, it really affects people who have older homes, because most of our communities are built on the floodplain. In fact, I'm from a community that's built almost entirely on the floodplain.

So, we are really concerned about it. We're going to do everything we can.

In the end, it involves the federal government understanding the problem and maybe helping to come up with a better solution. And, ultimately, if they don't change course, then we're going to have to work with the insurance industry here, to make sure we have something that is palatable to the citizens of Pennsylvania.

We can't accept things the way they're going to be imposed on us now, so we'll do everything we can to influence that.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: I'm certainly happy to hear that response.

May I ask, have you had any at least

preliminary discussions with the insurance industry 1 2 about this? SECRETARY WALKER: As of right now, 3 we're still hoping that we can work through the --4 our two senators and our congressional delegation 5 to come up with a more realistic solution. We have 6 not had direct discussions with the insurance 7 industry, although they're very aware of it. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: 9 Thank you very much. 10 11 Mr. Chairman, thank you. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 13 Representative. At this time, I'd like to acknowledge 14 15 the presence of Representative Maloney, who has 16 joined us. Also like to acknowledge a constituent 17 of mine, the Pennsylvania executive director of the Travel Tourism and Film Office, Diane McGraw. 18 She's doing an outstanding job and nice to see her 19 20 here. 21 Representative Mark Mustio. 22 REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: Thank you, 23 Mr. Chairman. 24 And welcome, Mr. Secretary. I did note 25 in your opening comments that you missed a lot of

the communities around the airport area in

Pittsburgh, where we are seeing a significant

amount of growth and new businesses come in. And I

would say, I think that's directly related to

Pennsylvania becoming an improved area on a lot of

national site selectors' radar screens.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Prior to being elected, one of the complaints I got in my volunteer capacity in the Chamber of Commerce was, Pennsylvania just didn't have the infrastructure or the tax policy in place to attract businesses. I think, from an infrastructure standpoint in my district, some missing ramps to some interstates were put in, under the Rendell administration, which was a significant help, and I think this administration has really built upon those successes and really exceeded it. And I like to tell people that if they were selling stock in my district and in the region, which really includes Allegheny, Washington, Beaver, and Butler, and Westmoreland Counties, that we'd be selling a lot of stock and seeing some really great returns on those investments.

So, I have two budget questions. The first is of a very serious nature; the second

probably is not as serious. The first relates to the Base Relocation and Closure commission. 911th is in my legislative district, and the previous two BRACs have seen that being on the target list. Senator Smith and myself wrote letters to yourself, the governor, and through our respective Appropriation chairs, requesting, last budget, some funding to be proactively looking out for any changes that may be coming along those lines. And I notice there's a fiftythousand-dollar increase in this budget. just wanted to get your comments on the fifty thousand level and what flexibility may be there for all the other bases, should Pennsylvania be facing targeting in those areas. SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. You know, as I've gotten to know the state, I used to say to my friends back home, Pennsylvania could probably make anything or do anything except support a military operation. However, since I visited Letterkenny and Tobyhanna and the 911th, I've changed my opinion on that. We could probably support a pretty good military operation if we wanted to, because, we -- 5 percent of our

population is involved in defense employment.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are being very aggressive and proactive about BRAC because we know there's another round coming; we don't know exactly when. We have temporarily the 911, but just the fact that it's been on the list before, it's probably going to be on the list again. And we are asking for an increase from three hundred fifty thousand dollars to four hundred thousand dollars to support personnel in our department to -- we have to do some upgrading at the bases. For example, Letterkenny, there has to be an upgrade to the rail Tobyhanna, there has to be a little bit of system. So, we have to show the federal people an upgrade. that the state is willing to put money in to really upgrade these operations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And also organizing local communities to be able to react should there be any inkling of these bases being under scrutiny foreclosure, we have to be able to anticipate that. Because once the announcement's made, it's too late.

I do know that the way we saved

Tobyhanna the last time was through a local

community effort and local involvement that showed

how important that base was and what they do there

and what they make there. So, it's extremely

important. Because we know, within the next couple of years, there will be another round of BRAC.

And I say this as a very proud

Pennsylvanian, I want to keep a hundred percent of
those operations here, but we know we're going to
have very, very stiff competition from the southern
states. They have great seniority in congress.

They know how to play this game. So, we have to be
ready. And in the end, unfortunately, it will
probably be a political decision.

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: I can tell you that the volunteer military affairs council in our area still meets. I mean, they don't stop, because they recognize this is a target area. And it's not just where the base is located.

Representative Christiana's district borders mine, and, you know, we have residents in our communities that we see at church and wherever that are employed there, whether they are servicemen or civilians. So, it's critical for us.

Now, back to your budget. I did notice one item that is missing. With all this good news that many of us have heard today and also spoken of ourselves, there's nothing in here about public

relations. 1 2 SECRETARY WALKER: Well, there's marketing to do business, where we are going to 3 focus on marketing the new story about Pennsylvania 4 to specific businesses, and we will target trade 5 publications and things like that, people who read 6 7 it. We will have some money to support 8 trade shows. I met with a group of business people 9 10 and investors in New York in December to test out 11 the idea of, hey, this is -- this is the new 12 Pennsylvania. We're not a rust belt state anymore. 13 It was received extremely well. So, we know we 14 have a very good story to tell. So, we've asked for -- I think it's about a million and a half 15 dollars for marketing to businesses to tell the 16 17 Pennsylvania story. REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO: 18 The site 19 locators, I mean, that's a niche community, and to 20 move from where we were to, what was it? Was it second or --21 22 SECRETARY WALKER: Third.

REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO:

Third.

That's

25 SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah.

23

24

incredible.

```
REPRESENTATIVE MUSTIO:
                                          And that's
1
2
     significant development dollars, and in many cases,
     that means, you know, trade people at work in my
3
     district.
 4
                  So, we need to get that story out.
 5
                                                       And
     I appreciate all you've done. Means a lot.
6
7
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you.
     Appreciate it.
8
9
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                              Thank you.
10
                  Representative Dean.
11
                  REPRESENTATIVE DEAN:
                                        Thank you,
12
     Mr. Chairman.
13
                  Good afternoon. Welcome.
14
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
                                     Thank you.
15
                  REPRESENTATIVE DEAN:
                                         I wanted to
16
     follow up on your testimony, your opening
17
     testimony, about Governor Corbett's plans for the
     future. And you opened with three big things that
18
    he's very interested in: effective schools,
19
20
     private-sector jobs, and health care choices.
                  And so, I think we're all in favor of
21
     effective schools, and we've had lot of
22
23
     conversation about that. But if I could focus on
24
     the second two pieces of that tripod.
25
                  In terms of jobs, and you've quoted the
```

number of 99 percent restoration of lost jobs. Can you tell us what was lost private sector and public sector and what has been regained in both of those?

SECRETARY WALKER: Actually, we've -we've regained more private-sector jobs than
public-sector jobs, because a lot of the losses in
the public sector were actually federal jobs. So,
we are doing very well in the recovery of the
private-sector jobs.

I think governments everywhere are cutting back. Local governments are cutting back, state has cut back some. But the federal government has had big cutbacks, too. So, that's where the biggest numbers are. But as a percent of our work force, that's not nearly as big of a percentage.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And how many education jobs have been lost in Pennsylvania?

SECRETARY WALKER: You know, I can't specifically answer that because that doesn't come under my jurisdiction, so I really don't know. I do know that, under the new budget, the state of Pennsylvania will be spending more on education than they've ever spent before.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: We've had lots of conversation about that, that's for sure.

Could you give us an update on the Shell cracker plant? As you know, we passed a very large tax credit for the development of the Horsehead Corporation site that hasn't happened to date. I think in the budget now, it shows we're not going to be capturing any of either jobs or the giving away of 1.6 million dollars worth of tax credit, it looks like, for the foreseeable several years.

So, what's the status of that agreement of sale and development?

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, I hope before I leave office, whenever that is, I'm able to call Representative Adolph again and Representative Jim Christiana with some really good news. I would -- I continue to be very optimistic about it.

Royal Dutch Shell is a very deliberative company, and they are really studying this process, but all of the signs are very positive. They have extended the option on the property as of December 31st. They have contracted to move State Route 18 about a thousand feet, which will allow more site at the plant. They have

contracted with Horsehead for demolition of the smelter that's already there. They're doing studies on workforce and labor in the area that's available. They are signing contracts for natural gas and the raw materials they need. They are working on the permits that they will need, although they have not submitted any permits yet.

So, I hope -- and I'm going to say this -- this is the same answer I gave Representative Adolph two years ago -- I'm cautiously optimistic. So far, all the news is good. They have spent a lot of money doing their due diligence looking at that site. To me, it looks very positive.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And what other sites are they comparing against the Pennsylvania site?

SECRETARY WALKER: At this point in time, they're not comparing any. I mean, if they do a site in Appalachia, this will be the site.

But we do know that Shell, internationally, has cut out one North American project, which would have been a chemical plant on the Gulf coast, which actually works in favor of this plant in Appalachia. So, it's moved us up.

But this is a multi billion dollar investment. It's -- even for a company like Royal Dutch Shell, it's a very large investment. And they want to make sure everything's in place.

But, in all the meetings I've had with them, they are a very professional company. I -- I'm impressed with the way they are approaching this. But all of the signs are very positive.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Do you have any sense of the timeline? I know you said during your tenure, but do you have any sense of the timeline when we might get that positive phone call?

SECRETARY WALKER: They are very noncommittal about that because it's going to be on their timeline, not our timeline. But, again, if I had to guess, I would say it would be sooner rather than later. I don't think they want to drag it out.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And what's the estimate for direct and indirect jobs if they say yes to the development there?

SECRETARY WALKER: The jobs during the construction phase would be a minimum of ten thousand. Once the plant is built, it would be, at the plant, between four and five hundred. But it's

not the plant itself that's the big job creator; it's the downstream companies, because they'll be making the pellets, the raw material and raws for plastics. So, we expect a very big plastics industry to develop -- to develop around that plant. We don't have a handle on that. But I can tell you it's going to be a significant number.

Representative Christiana, Beaver County will never look the same.

REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: And my final piece was really following up on the third leg of the stool, the health care choices, and this may not entirely be within your department. But I'm wondering if you have some opinion as to our failure to opt in to Medicaid expansion because, certainly, that would be a jobs engine. It's estimated that it would bring about thirty-five thousand jobs to Pennsylvania.

Of course, it would bring health care choices to half a million uninsured

Pennsylvanians. We have forty-seven thousand uninsured veterans, and it would get insurance to half of those folks.

But the dollars that that would bring, the jobs that that would bring in, the revenues

that would bring in -- and we're not talking about tax credit. We're talking about the federal dollars that would be flowing in.

SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. Well, I mean, our goal is to make sure we have the best system possible. I mean, a lot of things from the federal government were thrown on the states in pretty short order, and, you know, when the prime sponsors of the bill say they didn't even read the legislation, you worry a little bit.

But I think what we want to make sure of is that it's implemented in Pennsylvania so that we don't end up with a situation like Medicare or Social Security, where down the road, it's not sustainable, and that's why, I think, we're very deliberative in what we're doing in an approach to health care. But it's our goal to have the best health care system in the country for the state of Pennsylvania and for the citizens of Pennsylvania.

I just don't think people yet are exactly sure of the best way to do that, although it's being studied. And I know some alternative plans have been presented to the federal people, and we are waiting for a response back from the federal people.

Now, again, that's my input. 1 2 said, this doesn't fall under my jurisdiction. REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Yeah. 3 And, to be clear, we have not yet -- the governor's not yet 4 submitted his proposal. I know it's probably 5 within the next couple of weeks. And 6 sustainability, I'm sure, is going to be important, 7 but that hasn't kept us from being a part of the 8 Social Security program or Medicare program. 9 10 Thanks very much. 11 SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 13 Representative Scott Petri. 14 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 And thank you, Secretary, for being 17 here today. I wanted to ask you about the tourism 18 19 I heard recently that -- let me back up. 20 The last time we had the national biotechnical -or should say international show, we had learned 21 22 that probably wouldn't come back until the 23 convention center was expanded, and, of course, we 24 know that's now complete. I heard recently that, 25 in fact, the international expo for the bio

industry is, in fact, coming back to Philadelphia. 1 2 Can you tell me what the economic value And then is your department involved 3 of that is? in assisting in any way, by way of dollars, in 4 attracting the exhibitors and businesses? 5 SECRETARY WALKER: Yes, it will be in 6 7 Philadelphia in June of 2015. My people went to the convention in Chicago this year just to see 8 what it was all about and to make sure that we can 9 make improvements on it. There'll be one in San 10 Diego, early summer. We are extremely involved in 11 12 that. 13 I can't tell you what the exact impact 14 will be on Philadelphia, but it's major. 15 probably -- it will probably be one of the biggest conventions that they can have down there. 16 It will be well attended. We want to make sure it's done 17 18 right because it will make the state of 19 Pennsylvania and the city of Philadelphia look very 20 good if it's done right. It's a well-attended convention. 21 22 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: If you could try 23 to obtain the estimated financial figures, I 24 believe -- I heard some numbers floating around,

25 but --

SECRETARY WALKER: We know what the impact was in Chicago. We can at least get their number, and we think it's going to be bigger in Philadelphia, because Philadelphia is actually more convenient to get to than Chicago. REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Well, congratulations on that good news. I know that will be a major influx of dollars for the southeast. With regard to the CFA, it's my

With regard to the CFA, it's my understanding that the Commonwealth Finance Agency does have some -- a low interest loan program for renewable energies or alternative energies. And I know that the CFA payments are within your budget. Do they give you information on the number of loans they have outstanding, both residential and commercial, for alternative energies and how that program is going?

SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah. I think we have that.

We'll get you the exact number.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Yeah. If you could just identify how many residential loans are outstanding, what the commercial loans are outstanding, and what the general repayment terms.

I've heard that they're very low interest rate loans, somewhere around 1 percent, and I think it's an important program, but we want to make sure those dollars are revolving and coming back in.

SECRETARY WALKER: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Last question on this topic. Is it fair to say that you really don't have, as an agency, any authority over the CFA with regard to what they do or don't do? That they're following whatever parameters we created in a program, and you don't really -- you can't really change the program without some assistance from us, if necessary.

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, a lot of the applications come in to our department, and we have the storing mechanism, but we really don't control how the money goes out. It's a -- as you know, each of the caucuses have a vote, and it's -- it has to work its way through the system. So, we don't have total control.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Generally, do you attempt to score or analyze how the CFA is doing in any economic sense?

SECRETARY WALKER: We certainly do.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: And what's the

```
department's scoring or position on how they're
1
2
     doing as far as stimulating the economy?
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Stimulating the
 3
     economy and meeting the objectives of the CFA, I
 4
     think we're doing very well.
5
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Very good.
6
7
                  Thank you.
8
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
                                     Thank you.
                  MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you,
9
     Representative. Our next person is -- were you
10
     finished?
11
12
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I was going to
13
     go ahead and recognize Representative Wheatley.
14
     Were you, too?
15
                  MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                I'm the
16
     chairman; I thought I was.
                  But, anyway, Representative Wheatley,
17
18
     from Allegheny County.
19
                  REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY:
                                             Thank you,
20
     Mr. Chairman.
21
                  And good afternoon, Mr. Secretary.
                  I wanted to first start with the
22
23
     Municipal Financial Recovery Program, Act 47.
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Yes.
24
25
                  REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: I noticed
```

that the last two years we've seen a drop in the amount of funds that have been going to that. Is that because -- what's the rationale? Are we seeing less municipalities in danger of financial distress, or is it the fact that this program, for whatever reason, is so great that municipalities and counties, boroughs don't need it anymore?

intervention program a couple of years ago, so we have an early warning system that we know if a community is headed for Act 47. We've been able to keep several communities out of Act 47, because the last thing we want to do is to have a community go in, because it's very expensive for the state, because we have to come up with a lot of money to help them through the process.

Right now, we have twenty-one communities in Act 47. We expect, within the next several months, that number will be reduced a little. But our early intervention system and early warning system is working tremendously well. So, I don't think we will have as many communities going into Act 47 in the future as we've had in the past.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: And out of

those twenty-one that're in the recovery program right now, five are from Allegheny County from what I'm counting on this. And the total, out of twenty-seven that have come in, I guess, from your chart, I think there were only, like, nine from Allegheny County, four that have come out.

Are you seeing something in Allegheny
County municipalities and townships and boroughs
that lends itself to this distress happening? Or
is this just a -- an occurrence that this happens
because of the climate that we're in, the economics
that we're in, just so happens nine of these
twenty-seven cities just tend to be lumped together
in one county?

SECRETARY WALKER: No. I think the ground work for this started when the steel industry went down in Allegheny County. And, you know, it takes a while for it to really have impact. So, in a lot of those communities, you have out-migration of population. You have loss of jobs. You have an aging population, so it's very hard to raise property taxes. And then you have growing legacy costs through pension funds and pension obligations, and I think it just all came to a head.

Unfortunately, Allegheny County, because of what happened to the steel industry, they were in a bad place. But they've done a good job trying to work out of it.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So, that leads me to my next question, which is specific to Pittsburgh.

SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Because

Pittsburgh is one of those cities in Allegheny

County that is currently in Act 47. I know that

there are some on the side who believe we can come

out of Act 47, and then the new administration

there, of course, wants to keep us in Act 47.

I'm wondering, from a department's perspective, do you actually have boots on the ground? Your funding, our intergovernmental cooperation authority. Where do you see us in this discussion? Do you think we are ready to come out? Or should we stay in based off of what you're seeing?

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, I think the city of Pittsburgh has made good progress. There are concerns about the legacy cost. There's still a pretty big number of unfunded pension liability

out there, but they are making progress. So, it's a matter of what is the right timing to allow Pittsburgh to come out of Act 47. We're certainly studying it.

And the concern, if you let them out too early, there's a danger that they'll go back in. If you keep them in too long, there's expenses that you don't like to have. So, it's kind of a fine line and a balancing act. But, I mean, our goal is not to keep any community in Act 47 any longer than they have to be in, because it's -- it's a success for us if they come out.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So, what indications would you look for internally that identifies if a city is ready to come out or not?

Quite frankly, we read, seems like every week, Pittsburgh is being highlighted as a city that's growing, that is one that is on the rise, that has been recognized as one of the best places for people to live, so on and so forth. So, what indications do you need to see in order for you to make that determination? Are there hard things that you look for that tells you when a city is ready to come out or not?

SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah. It's

sustainability. And, again, I'm a big fan of the city of Pittsburgh, and they've done a lot of things right, but they still have a huge unfunded pension liability that we have to make sure, moving forward, doesn't overwhelm the city. They're headed in a very positive direction.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Now, if I can, Mr. Chairman, just a couple of questions that in a different -- I want to -- last year, we kind of had a back and forth around Ben Franklin's Innovation Works and Discovered in PA, Developed in And I was really interested in learning why we needed the new program and why we couldn't use our Ben Franklin Innovation Works to drive out what the governor was trying to achieve. And you talked a lot about the difference that the Innovation Works with Ben Franklin, they work directly with the universities to kind of bring innovation from creativity and thought, but that this program, Discovered in PA, was more a flexible program that will work right with businesses to take thoughts and concepts and really expand them.

So, can you tell me -- I mean, last year you talked about the success of the culinary program in Philadelphia. Are there other things

that you have done with that program? And how has that, you know, start-ups and bringing them to market, how has that been going?

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, yeah, there are a lot of good examples in there, and they are two very different programs.

For example, yesterday I was at York
College in York to present a Discovered and
Developed grant for investing in the region's
knowledge resources. They have a very good lab
there where they're developing some very creative,
innovative solutions to problems, but their
ultimate goal is to try to establish an industrial
park around the campus of York College, which I
think is a great concept, because our universities
and colleges in Pennsylvania have tended to think
in silos and not reach out to the surrounding
community, where they could really have a huge
impact. So, that's something Ben Franklin can't
do.

Also, in western Pennsylvania, the Cray Youth and Family Services, Inc., which is a Bill Strickland project, has really helped to get people involved, to train them with skills that they wouldn't have otherwise to be prepared for the job

market.

A group from the eastern part of the state has come in to develop a search site for people who are laid off in the pharmaceutical industry, to try to get matched up with companies who are looking or companies who need highly trained people with Ph.D.s or whatever, to work in that area. It may not necessarily be in the pharmaceutical area. Ben Franklin doesn't have the flexibility to do that.

So, there is just a lot more flexibility in Discovered and Developed in Pennsylvania to do these programs that are outreach.

me, Mr. Secretary, I'll tell you, I'm not one who was fearful of the terminology of WAMs or anything like that. So, I'm all for new money and helping drive money into the economy and helping grow businesses and so on and so forth; I just wanted to make sure I'm getting my fair share of it.

With that being said, I'm glad you mentioned Bidwell, because one of the last questions that I had was really focused around job training or working with our job workforce

development centers that are doing great work and really training folks and attaching them to a job. And Bidwell, of course, is one of those centers that's been doing it for years. And there are others.

Are there programs in your department that -- centers who are doing great training and the ability to attach to a job, can look for for support, and where would they start? They might not be in the system now. Where would they enter into your system to try to get into your pipeline?

SECRETARY WALKER: We have a couple different programs. One would be Webnet, where the company actually approaches us and says, We need to training for X number of welders or whatever; how can you help us with the training? Well, we'll hook them up with an institution that can do the training, and then we will fund the individual. It's on an individual basis, to get that type of training.

Webnet, this year, has trained its one millionth employee since the system was put into place.

So, that's one route.

We also have programs that are done at

the local level through the technical schools and community colleges. The cleverest one I've seen was Mercer County, where they were having a hard time finding welders, and they actually went out into the community to help raise some money, and they ran a program during the summer, and they got enough money from the business community in Mercer County that they -- if a student had a hundred percent attendance and became a certified welder at the end of the six-week training program, they were given a thousand-dollar stipend for attending the program. The other thing is, they were guaranteed a job.

So, lots of times, the local communities, themselves, are better at solving their problems than coming to the state and saying, Hey, give us some money to solve the problem. But that's a great model that can be duplicated elsewhere.

I think you hit on a real good point. The key to the Pennsylvania economy moving forward isn't creating jobs, it's creating a workforce that's there for the jobs that are going to be available, because we're going to create a lot of jobs, moving forward.

1 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: I appreciate 2 that.

that.

And then, finally, I just want to -because if I'm a nonprofit that is doing workforce
development and I'm connecting people to jobs, can
I -- how will I access -- and maybe I can talk off
line with some of your people, because there are
some programs that are doing a wonderful job, but
they come to me all the time and say, can you
support me? And, quite frankly, we don't have the
ability to support them. But I would like to turn
them over to someone and say, Look, if you're doing
a good job, here are the criteria, here's the
pipeline on how you can get supported. So, I would
love to find out more about that.

SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah, and I think, probably, like you said, it's best to have a conversation off line, because there are a fair number of programs, and, look, our goal is to get a trained workforce.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you.

SECRETARY WALKER: We want every Pennsylvanian to have a good job.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Appreciate

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Representative Christiana. 3 REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 While the gentlelady from Montgomery 6 7 County started the conversation about Beaver County, I'd like to continue it but in a different 8 way. Many of us here in Harrisburg think of 9 Representative Jim Marshall as the pride of Beaver 10 11 County, but the real pride of Beaver County today is Lauryn Williams. Just for the committee's 12 13 knowledge, Lauryn is a Beaver County resident, and 14 she won a silver metal in the bobsled today. And 15 she's only the fifth Olympian ever to win a metal 16 in the summer Olympics as well as the winter 17 Olympics. And Beaver County is pretty proud of her 18 today. 19 Mr. Chairman, I just have two 20 questions, if I may. And, Mr. Secretary, I would like to 21 talk about the line item World Trade PA. I heard 22 23 from a Pennsylvania business that was at a 24 international trade show not too long ago, and they

mentioned that the presence of Pennsylvania at that

25

international trade show was the best representation of the United States out of all fifty states. Clearly, you are -- have created the standard bearer in these trade shows, and, clearly, you're an international leader with the presence of Pennsylvania as it relates to the other fifty states of these trade shows, but it does seem, by your proposed budget, that there's an 8.3 percent proposed increase. And if we're already the standard bearer, if you could just comment on what additional opportunities are out there and the need for almost a double digit increase.

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, the problem is that we were getting a very nice grant each year from the federal government, from the Small Business Administration, which was helping us to run our international trade program. That has been totally cut. So, the six-hundred-thousand-dollar increase will be used to help us add missions in three different markets: Indonesia, Czechoslovakia, and Russia, which are rapidly developing markets. It will also help us do a number of trade shows like you're referring to.

If we did not get that money, we would have to cut back, which would not be a good thing,

because of -- exports in Pennsylvania are on a very
positive growth.

The other thing that's important that a lot of people don't realize, that our return on investment for our dollars that we spend on international trade is very high. It's a hundred and fifty-seven to one. Pretty good ratio.

pust as a follow up to Representative Mustio's questions about the BRAC, because it is not only important to Beaver County but the surrounding counties, and as well as that line item is important to a lot of people in not just the western part of the state but all across Pennsylvania because of the number of jobs associated with the military presence here in Pennsylvania.

Is it -- do you believe that the fifty-thousand-dollar increase is adequate enough, considering how many jobs are impacted by the military presence in Pennsylvania?

SECRETARY WALKER: For this year, I would say yes. Moving forward, I would certainly hope we increase it. Because as time goes on, BRAC is going to get a lot more serious, and the longer

we postpone it, the bigger the hit is going to be. 1 2 Now, I think we're safe for next year, so the four hundred thousand dollars will take care 3 of us, but moving forward, we're probably going to 4 5 have to step that up. REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Appreciate 6 7 your insight. And thank you for your work. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. 10 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Representative Bradford. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, 13 Chairman Petri. Real quick, I just wanted to follow up 14 15 on an issue we had talked about a little bit with 16 the Labor secretary, talked about the interaction with the job market. There's a lot of talk in 17 states, including Pennsylvania, about doing 18 19 something about the minimum wage. Obviously, a lot 20 of working people haven't gotten a raise in quite a bit of time, and the distance between haves and 21 22 have-nots has expanded quite a bit in terms of 23 income equality. What is your opinion, from the business 24

perspective, of getting to a 10.10 minimum wage,

25

either, you know, phased period -- or what would your thoughts be on that?

SECRETARY WALKER: I think that's something that has to be studied very carefully, because -- I don't know whether you've seen today's Wall Street Journal or not, but their projection from the Office of Budget is if we go up to 10.10, it would eliminate five hundred thousand jobs. So, it's a tough dilemma.

I mean, there's no doubt current minimum wage is not a family-sustaining wage. But, on the other hand, if you're going to eliminate jobs by raising the minimum wage, that's a difficult thing, too.

I think the problem is -- it's such a broad issue, that our economy is really absorbing what's happening in the international market. And as you know, a lot of good jobs have gone overseas. And, unfortunately, that leaves a lot of jobs that aren't so good. And I think we have to have a national strategy of how do we really revamp manufacturing here so that we can pay more wages for these good jobs, and then the minimum wage can follow that. But you have to make sure the jobs are there before you raise the minimum wage too

much.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I

actually agree with you about how we've lost so

much of our manufacturing jobs. And, you know, I

don't want to use the term "good" or "bad" jobs,

but the jobs -- the family-sustaining jobs that we

need, and we're left with the service economy for a

lot of folks who, frankly, aren't making the wage

anywhere near the poverty line. Minimum wage has

been a way to push those folks, to give dignity to

the work that they do. And I think that

continually delaying this has only created probably

the largest gap we've had in thirty years between

what those who make the minimum wage can see in

their paycheck as opposed to the middle class. And

I think, as a country, that's very alarming.

I do think we need a national strategy, but I think at the state level, several states have taken the leadership in doing this. I don't see any reason why Pennsylvania, with our cost of living, shouldn't be doing the same. I think that may make sense for business as well.

And I know you mentioned the Wall Street Journal article, which I think cites, actually, the CPO report that came out yesterday,

while it does talk about that half a million job
number, I think, as it is explained in quite depth,
it actually pushes sixteen million folks out of -wages up and actually about a thirty-onebillion-dollar impact in terms of dealing with
income equality in those, quote, unquote, lost jobs
or some of those jobs that you talked about as not
being the good jobs.

So, I think, you know, there is a balance that needs to be struck. And I think there's a time to do it, and I think now might be the time where we have a real hard look about getting something done.

One other thing. You mentioned, under the Affordable Care Act and the governor's proposal for Healthy PA, you mentioned about sustainability in term of jobs. Don't you think having the workers covered by insurance, especially, you know, some of those workers on those tough jobs that don't pay very well, getting them insured will create, frankly, a more stable force for a lot of your employers?

SECRETARY WALKER: I think the goal is to cover them. The issue is, what's the best way to do it.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Right. But you talked about the sustainability. I didn't know where you were going with that because you mentioned Social Security, Medicare, and a couple other things.

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, I think we know that on the projection we're on right now, that, ultimately, the Social Security fund is not sustainable and the Medicare fund is not sustainable. And I think that's what we have to be very careful of at the state level, that we don't opt into a program that's not sustainable for the state of Pennsylvania.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I wouldn't want to quibble with what you're saying, but I think you're -- the idea that Social Security is not sustainable, Social Security's not sustainable because we raid the Social Security fund. It's not because we've -- Social Security's a good program. It's moved millions of American seniors out of poverty. I don't think anyone disagrees with that. Do you, Secretary?

SECRETARY WALKER: No. I'm on Social Security, so I hope it last forever.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I hope, for

```
all of our sakes, it lasts forever.
1
2
                  Secretary, I appreciate your answer.
3
     Thank you so much.
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI:
                                         Before I
 4
     recognize the next speaker, not to be totally
5
     outdone by my colleague from Beaver county, but
6
     Bucks County's own Jamie Greubel ended up with the
7
    bronze today in women's bobsled. She, like the
8
     Beaver County individual, is the pusher. And we
9
     know from basic physics that the maximum potential
10
     energy, under Newton's second law of physics,
11
12
     starts with the pusher.
13
                  So, let's hope -- let's hope that
14
     Pennsylvania ends up being the pusher of the rest
15
     of the country.
16
                  Representative Grove.
                  REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
17
                                         Thank you.
18
                  Mr. Secretary, I just want to thank you
19
     for your recent visit to York College to announce
20
     their award for an entrepreneurship grant.
                  Just curious what you thought of the
21
22
     J.D. Brown Center for Entrepreneurship.
23
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Very, very
24
     impressive and a great model for other colleges.
25
                  REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                         Did you get to
```

see the NASDAQ center while you were there? 1 2 SECRETARY WALKER: I saw everything 3 there. REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: 4 It's impressive. Completely biased. 5 SECRETARY WALKER: And I also 6 compliment you, because they have a very strong 7 president there, very visionary leader. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: 9 Yeah. She, hopefully, will be great. Completely biased on my 10 11 part. I'm an alumni of York College. Obviously, 12 they didn't have the NASDAO training center stuff while I was there. But always impressive. 13 14 I want to hit on some local government 15 aspects in Pennsylvania. One, the CRIZ program, 16 which was just recently established. Your thoughts 17 to date, and, obviously, there's been some movement to, I guess, speed up the process, and whether DCED 18 19 has an opinion on whether authorizing the two new 20 ones next -- or, I guess, this upcoming budget 21 year, instead of waiting two years. 22 SECRETARY WALKER: I think the CRIZ 23 program is a great program. I think the cities 24 that are in it are going to see accelerated 25 revitalization. I think it's up to the Budget

office to decide how many communities we can afford to bring in when, because it does have some impact on the budget. But it's a good enough program that I ultimately think, as it plays out, it will become the model for revitalization of core cities, moving forward.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Since 1987, twenty-seven municipalities entered into the commonwealth's Act 47 status.

SECRETARY WALKER: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: With only six designations being rescinded. Representative Ross has introduced House Bill 1773, to modernize and significantly enhance the law. What is your thoughts on the legislation, and will this ensure that municipalities have a path out of Act 47?

SECRETARY WALKER: Yes. I think the act does need to be opened. I think some changes need to be made. I hope our department will have input into the type of changes that need to be made to strengthen the act and to make it better.

I mean, Act 47, in itself, is a good act that protects communities from bankruptcy, but if it's tweaked a little bit, it will be even better.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: 1 Great. 2 One final question. Municipal pension reform, obviously we're dealing with it at the 3 state government level. A lot municipalities with 4 legacy costs are the cost drivers locally. 5 Does DCED administration have any 6 stance on municipal pension reform, moving 7 forward? Have you looked at legislation? Could 8 you comment on that? 9 10 SECRETARY WALKER: Well, one statistic I learned fairy early on in the job was whenever I 11 12 met with the city officials at Lancaster, and 13 they're pretty good at strategic planning and 14 charting their future. And they said, under the 15 current pension system in the state of 16 Pennsylvania, not one class-three city in the state 17 is sustainable, so there has to be pension reform. REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: I appreciate 18 19 it. Look forward to working with you on that. 20 SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you. 21 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman. 22 23 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Representative 24 Grove, you're getting enough bills, but, 25 nonetheless, I can see you plugging for it.

The next speaker will be Representative 1 2 Peifer. REPRESENTATIVE PEIFER: 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 Thank you, Secretary, for being here 6 today. 7 Mr. Secretary, I represent a portion of northeast Pike, Wayne, and Monroe Counties, 8 beautiful areas in the northeast, a little bit 9 under snow right now, but we need that in 10 moderation. And this week, I attended a Pike 11 12 County Economic Development banquet, where we 13 discussed some of the pros and cons of marketing 14 Pike County to outside businesses for growth and industries. And we talked about some of the 15 challenges that we have, like in the competitive 16 17 market that we have against, basically, New York and New Jersey, but I understand the world-wide 18 market is out there. But, really, we are, you 19 20 know, competitive against New York, New Jersey, 21 and, again, there's pros and cons. 22 But one of the issues was New Jersey, 23 Stronger than the Storm. I mean, they came out and 24 just barraged our area with the campaign.

the ads in Harrisburg. I see them in the

25

northeast. New York, less touristy, but they have a very pro-business, pro-manufacturing ad, which, again, is very tastefully done. Some of our Appropriations staff said once you see that commercial, you want to go live in New York.

There's people welding; there's people working.

It's a good feeling commercial. It's done very well.

And it just happens that four people looked at me across the aisle and said, Mike, you know, why are we not doing that in Pennsylvania? Why are we not, you know, promoting our energy resource? Why are we not promoting our quality schools? Why are we not promoting our low individual income tax rates so we can bring those pass-through businesses and small corporations to the Pocono region?

I just want to, you now, kind of get your response to that and basically ask you if there's any money in that PA First, if there's any ad campaign such as that taking effect in the near future.

SECRETARY WALKER: You know, when I first saw that New York ad, I thought they've copied our KOZ. My second reaction was the tax

climate is so bad in New York state that they have to do ads like that. They have to give a ten-year tax abatement to get anybody to even look at New York state.

And in my perspective in this job, if
New York state and New Jersey are our competition,
we're going to win every time, because the tax
structure in those states is so bad. I'm surprised
that New York would subsidize a campaign like that.
I think their ad campaign is fifty million
dollars. But it's targeted. And in Pennsylvania,
we're trying to really target messages like you're
talking about, the site-selection people and people
who can really make a difference. Because, you can
spend a pile of money on a TV campaign, and it's
not targeted.

The interesting thing will be, what's their success rate going to be.

REPRESENTATIVE PEIFER: Right. And I think that's -- you were talking to Representative Mustio before about that this is the new Pennsylvania. We're no longer the old rust belt Pennsylvania. We're the new Pennsylvania.

I think we need to get that out there.

But, again, it -- we don't know the outcomes. And

to hear you say that you're focusing on the industries which are, you know, favorable in our environment, I think that's important to us.

Along those lines, those ads will also promote tourism. I mean, another way of reaching people to say, Look, there's state parks in Pennsylvania. There's battlefields. There's beautiful cities. There's sports venues.

There's a really good, happy feeling from in that New York commercial, and maybe -- again, maybe because their policies are so poor and they need to do that, but I'll tell you what, it makes people think pretty highly of New York, when you watch it.

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, if somebody would give me fifty million dollars to run a campaign like that, I could add an awful lot of jobs in Pennsylvania. I'm just not sure it's the best use of money.

But we are going to come up with a new slogan. Mine was, There's a New Energy in Pennsylvania, but nobody liked that. So, I think we're going to focus on Pennsylvania is Built to Advance, because we have an incredible infrastructure, and with our new energy, I mean,

we're really ready to move forward.

And Pike County and Wayne County,

Monroe County are beautiful counties. And they
have to figure out how to, collectively, market
that region.

REPRESENTATIVE PEIFER: Well -- and that's some of the challenges we face. We face those challenges that we don't have the infrastructure in place. And a lot of that is local issues, I understand that. A lot of the infrastructure you're talking about, we don't have. And, you know, that's something that we have to work through.

But, you know, again, competing against New York, New Jersey -- I mean, you watch that commercial and you think, wow, this is the place I want to be, this is the place where I want a raise my family, this is the place I want to grow and get a job. And I see Pennsylvania as that state. I mean, we are missing the boat here, because whatever they're promoting, I think we can promote it better. Part of that's also tourism. I know our local tourism dollars from the hotel tax go to promote tourism. And we do it on a regional basis. And we do it on more of a direct marketing

campaign. But, boy, I think you could put a
commercial together in thirty seconds promoting
Pennsylvania that would just be fantastic.

SECRETARY WALKER: If there were a
painting done of Washington crossing the Delaware

today, it would be him bringing jobs from New

Jersey over into Pennsylvania, because we are

really getting our share.

I would -- I would -- I guess, maybe the governor of Pennsylvania and Governor Christie are friends, but Governor Christie probably has reason to really dislike us because that giant sucking sound right now is Pennsylvania taking jobs out of New Jersey. We're doing very well against New Jersey, because, if you look at their tax rate, especially their property tax rate, it's just out of sight.

So, if that's our competition moving forward, we're going to do extremely well.

REPRESENTATIVE PEIFER: Well, we've got to work hard locally, too.

But, thank you, Mr. Secretary. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I'm just going to add, representing Washington Crossing, if you

want to deliver that painting, we'll hang it. 1 2 We'll hang it. Representative Boback. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 Hello, Mr. Secretary. 6 7 Frankly, my favorite was, Pennsylvania, America Starts Here. And I -- that's my favorite. 8 That is my favorite. 9 10 Anyway, I serve on the Tourism Committee, and, of course, tourism is the second 11 12 largest industry in the state. So, I will follow 13 up to Representative Peifer. 14 When I went through your materials, I 15 noticed, with much dismay, that we have fallen from number four to number seven in the nation over the 16 17 past three years, and that's when we're celebrating Gettysburg and Gettysburg national heritage, of 18 course, Philadelphia, et cetera. 19 20 Why do you think that's happening? then, in the same question, I'm going to also 21 22 mention -- and I hope my chairman gets into this, 23 Chairman Stern -- there's legislation in the Senate 24 that we thought, as the committee, would help

25

rectify this.

So, first, I'm going to pose the question to you, why do you think we fell like we did from number four to number seven?

SECRETARY WALKER: Well, number one, the recession did hit tourism in this area pretty hard. And, I think -- here's the irony of it. We have the dollars to spend on tourism with the hotel tax. You know, they're collecting a hundred and forty-seven million dollars, and about half of that goes into tourism, tourist promotion.

My concern is that it's not quite focused enough, and it really doesn't market Pennsylvania, and it doesn't say, Come here for three days or five days. And, again, I'm a very proud Pennsylvanian, born here, raised here. There's no more interesting state than Pennsylvania. We have a more interesting history than any other state. We have incredible geography. We have the Pennsylvania wilds. We have it all. But I don't think that we're really focused the way we need to be focused.

Were I rewriting the legislation on the hotel tax, I would have taken a portion of that and set it aside for a state program, so it could really focus on the whole state.

```
Now, I know Representative Stern has
1
2
     some good ideas about ways to attack that, and I'm
     sure you have a couple questions, but we are hoping
 3
     for a private-public partnership, which we will get
 4
     more private dollars involved, you know. The Pure
 5
     Michigan, a lot of private money involved in that.
6
7
     And I think, if we can get some private money
     involved from the people who will really benefit,
8
     it will help us, because one thing about the
9
     private sector, they know how to focus.
10
11
                  So, I mean, we're hoping for some
12
     really positive things, moving forward.
13
                  REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK:
                                           Thank you,
14
     Mr. Secretary.
15
                  Any time left, I would give to my
     chairman Stern.
16
17
                  Thank you.
18
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Representative
     Millard.
20
21
                  REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD:
                                            Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
22
23
                  Secretary, welcome.
24
                  My question for you is a follow up to
25
     some of the talk on tourism. Act 55 of 2007
```

```
created the film production tax credit in
1
2
     Pennsylvania. Now has an annual cap assigned to it
     of sixty million dollars. The demand for these
3
     credits outweighs the availability at the current
 4
     time. We know that it didn't -- the Act did not
5
     provide for a recapturing of the film credits for a
6
7
     prior year, and I understand there might be some
     money left over in this account.
8
9
                  Do you have an estimate of the amount
     of film tax credits that have not been recaptured
10
11
     at this point?
12
                  SECRETARY WALKER: You know, the sixty
13
     million dollars is fully subscribed almost
     immediately. And if the money is turned back
14
15
     within that year, we are able to turn around and
     use it again.
16
17
                  You want to know what hasn't been used
18
     from the past?
19
                  REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Right,
20
     exactly.
21
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Since 2007, it's
22
     twenty-two million dollars.
                  REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Twenty-two
23
     million.
24
25
                  Is there something legislatively or
```

anything that you would recommend that we would be 1 2 able to make those dollars available? I mean if they're sitting there, they're not doing anyone any 3 If we can capture those dollars and use 4 them, then we'd get something positive. 5 SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah, we would 6 7 certainly be supportive of that. REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Okay. Thank 8 9 you. 10 I will switch gears here and ask you 11 one other thing. I notice that in the -- in your 12 annual budget, that the Keystone Communities line 13 item is shown as being proposed at a 4.4 percent 14 decrease. Can you provide an update for this 15 committee on the Pennsylvania accessible housing program that's contained within that line item? 16 17 mean, is there a waiting list? And how many might 18 be on that waiting list? SECRETARY WALKER: 19 Yeah. It's 20 definitely oversubscribed. 21 REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Do you have --22 does your department have a list that could be made 23 available to this committee who is on a waiting list --24

SECRETARY WALKER:

Yes.

25

```
REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: -- and perhaps
1
2
     what the wait time would be?
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
 3
                                     Yes.
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: When you say
 4
     that it's overprescribed, that's interesting to me,
5
     because the line item, itself, has been decreased.
6
7
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Yeah.
                                            There are
     actually more applications than money to fund it.
8
     It has not been decreased.
9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Okay. Because
     I'm showing 4.4 percent here.
11
12
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Oh.
                                          There was a
13
     five-hundred-thousand-dollar grant -- one-time
14
     grant last year for a project in Centre County that
15
     is not in this year. That's the change.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Okay.
17
                  SECRETARY WALKER: It's level funded.
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Okay.
18
                                                  I'11
19
     look forward to that report. Thank you. If you
20
     share that with our chairmen.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Chairman
21
22
     Markosek.
23
                  SECRETARY WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Vice
24
     Chairman.
25
                 And, Mr. Secretary, my question about
```

promoting employment across Pennsylvania program, 1 2 PEPP, I believe, is the acronym. That's a tax incentive program implemented a couple of years 3 I think there was a five million dollars -it shows anyway, from our research, that there's 5 five million dollars this year in funding for it. 6 And it looks like the request is five million for 7 next year. But apparently, at least as far as we 8 can see, it's gone unused. And I guess the 9 question is, if we've had this program in place for 10 several years, why has it gone unused? 11 12 SECRETARY WALKER: What happened is, it 13 was legislated last year, and we are working with 14 the Department of Revenue to develop the 15 guidelines. The guidelines have not been posted 16 yet, which is why it hasn't been used. Okay. 17 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: So, the five million that was in for this year has not 18 been touched. 19 20 SECRETARY WALKER: Apparently. MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: And I see 21 22 the ask is, if I interpret it correctly, for five 23 million for next year. Is that the same five million, or is that an additional five million? 24 25 SECRETARY WALKER: Same.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 1 So, it 2 wouldn't be an accumulative number, then. It would just continue to be five million. 3 So, any indication, if the Department 4 5 of Revenue and your department are working together to get this going, any indication that it might be 6 finished before the end of this fiscal year? 7 SECRETARY WALKER: That's certainly our 8 goal, yeah. 9 Yes. MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 10 Well, we're already over halfway through the fiscal year, 11 12 of course, and not a whole lot of fiscal year 13 left. Would it be fair to say that it really looks 14 like something that might be ready for the next 15 fiscal year? 16 SECRETARY WALKER: Oh, definitely. Because it 17 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: is five million for promoting jobs or job creation 18 in Pennsylvania, which, of course, we are all in 19 20 favor of, and it's money that's there. 21 SECRETARY WALKER: Right. 22 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: And it 23 should be spent if it's already there. 24 So, I guess, my only message would be 25 to encourage you -- now, we've already had the

```
secretary of Revenue in here, but we can also,
1
2
     through other means, try to encourage him, but I
     would also urge you, when you're speaking with
3
     him -- and I assume you talk occasionally.
 4
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
                                     We do.
 5
                  MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                That, you
6
7
     know, this was brought up. It's important to
     Pennsylvania. The money is there, and it should be
8
     spent, you know, in an expedited fashion.
9
10
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
                                     I agree.
11
                  MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                Okay.
12
     Thank you.
13
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: We're going to
14
     go to Chairman Stern.
15
                  REPRESENTATIVE STERN:
                                          Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
16
17
                  Secretary, welcome.
18
                  Been some discussion today about
19
     tourism, and I appreciate your testimony today,
20
     acknowledging tourism, number two industry in
     Pennsylvania, four hundred and seventy thousand
21
22
     jobs, and the importance it is to our economy,
23
     driving about thirty-nine billion dollars to our
24
     economy.
```

And I appreciate your comments, as

25

well, as far as the room tax and moneys that are available through that.

maybe it would have been rewritten in a different manner, but that was from back -- originally from '77, and I wasn't here then. Then it changed in the '90s. And, of course, then it transformed, once again, around year 2000. So, it has changed, over the years to, you know, basically create the system of tourism promotion agencies throughout the commonwealth and in one metropolitan planning area that Mr. Smith is aware of down in Philadelphia, that counts the five counties and the City of Brotherly Love.

And I appreciate, also, you agreeing to be supportive of using back film tax credit as well. I just so happen to have a bill that I'm going to be introducing to do that, so I was glad to hear you're supportive of that.

And I think the thing -- I appreciate, you know, the new moneys that the governor is proposing in the budget this year for tourism, the one million two hundred fifty thousand dollars, and I know that, you know, we're working, your department, your office, is working under Secretary

Newhouse's leadership under private-public partnership, you know, to promote those private-sector dollars that you mentioned.

And I think it's very important that that is the key component, moving forward, that we use those public -- or those private-sector dollars to contribute, to advertise for tourism. It's a win-win for business. It's a win-win for the tourism industry. And it's a win-win for the commonwealth of Pennsylvania and also for a recoupment of tax revenue. Because of our economy, our tax revenues are dependent upon that 3.2 billion in the Commonwealth.

And so, I appreciate, you know, where you're moving with this.

And, you know, we've passed several pieces of legislation. We have a couple of them waiting in the Senate over there. One of them actually had a tax credit for just tourism promotion. And I still think it's the responsibility of the commonwealth to promote Pennsylvania.

It's one thing for, you know, the regional tourism groups to promote the Poconos, but when we have local regional promotional agencies

promoting or putting more money into advertising their area, not Pennsylvania, but the Poconos or Philly or Pittsburgh or Erie, I find that we're not on the same page. And I think the actual -- the public part of this partnership has to be commonwealth's investment as well.

And so, that's the idea that we're moving from on the Tourism Committee. And the members of my committee, along with Chairman Kirkland, have all been very supportive of this.

But there will be some legislation to free up those film tax credits, because I know, currently, there's more of a need than we have to give -- we know the importance of the film industry to Pennsylvania, not only in the southwest -- or southeast but also the southwest, the Pittsburgh office and the Philadelphia office. And these are all jobs in Pennsylvania.

I just noticed the other day,
California is worried because they're losing the
film industry.

SECRETARY WALKER: That's right.

REPRESENTATIVE STERN: And they're losing it to states like Pennsylvania, and rightfully so, because they've neglected it.

And my whole thing isn't really a question today. I just wanted to thank you for that investment that you're adding to tourism this year, but also, as we move forward, to sometimes think outside our box and be thinking forward down the road.

And so, that's what we try to do, and I've been doing this as long as you've been doing it. This is my first time, four years now, for tourism chairman, so -- but it's a very exciting industry, and I'll continue to promote it. And, please, forgive me if I'm out there advocating very loudly for tourism in Pennsylvania, because it is our second biggest industry, and I want it to grow.

SECRETARY WALKER: I, personally, appreciate your expertise and your willingness to get involved. And we want to partner with you to come up with the best way to approach tourism, moving forward. It's a big industry, but it has the potential to be a much bigger industry. And I look back over what was spent in Pennsylvania for tourism ten years ago --

REPRESENTATIVE STERN: Right.

SECRETARY WALKER: And I'm envious, but, I guess, right now we have to deal with the

```
reality that is there. But we do have to find a
1
2
     better way to fund it in the future.
                  REPRESENTATIVE STERN: You could do
 3
     your commercials, if you had the money that was
4
    here back in the previous budgets, couldn't you?
5
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
                                    Yes.
6
7
                  REPRESENTATIVE STERN: You would have a
     fun a commercial then, wouldn't you?
8
9
                  SECRETARY WALKER: We would.
10
                  REPRESENTATIVE STERN:
                                          That's what I
11
     thought.
12
                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                  SECRETARY WALKER:
13
                                     Thank you.
                  REPRESENTATIVE PETRI:
14
                                          This committee
15
     will stand adjourned until 9:30 on February 20th,
     which is tomorrow, at which time we will reconvene,
16
17
     and we will hear from the State System of Higher
18
     Education.
19
                  Thank you all. Be safe.
20
                  (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
     4:20 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public