1	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES						
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA						
3	* * * *						
4							
5	Budget Hearing						
6	State-Related Universities						
7							
8	University of Pittsburgh Pennsylvania State University						
9	Lincoln University Temple University						
10							
11	House Appropriations Committee						
12							
13	* * * *						
14	Main Capitol Building						
15	Majority Caucus Room 140 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania						
16							
17							
18	Tuesday, February 25, 2014 - 2:10 p.m.						
19							
20	000						
21							
22							
23	1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404						
24	717.764.7801						
25							
	Key Reporters						

```
1
      COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
2
      Honorable William F. Adolph, Majority Chairman
      Honorable Karen Boback
3
      Honorable Jim Christiana
      Honorable Gary Day
4
      Honorable Gordon Denlinger
      Honorable Brian Ellis
5
      Honorable Garth Everett
      Honorable Glen Grell
6
      Honorable Seth M. Grove
      Honorable Adam Harris
7
      Honorable Tom Killion
      Honorable David Millard
8
      Honorable Mark Mustio
      Honorable Donna Oberlander
9
      Honorable Bernie O'Neill
      Honorable Mike Peifer
      Honorable Scott Petri
10
      Honorable Jeffrey Pyle
11
      Honorable Curtis G. Sonney
      Honorable Joseph F. Markosek, Minority Chairman
12
      Honorable Brendan Boyle
      Honorable Matthew Bradford
13
      Honorable Michelle Brownlee
      Honorable Mike Carroll
      Honorable Scott Conklin
14
      Honorable Madeleine Dean
15
      Honorable Deberah Kula
      Honorable Tim Mahonev
      Honorable Michael H. O'Brien
16
      Honorable Cherelle Parker
17
      Honorable John Sabatina
      Honorable Steven Santarsiero
18
      Honorable Jake Wheatley
19
      REPUBLICAN NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
20
      Honorable Steve Barrar
21
      Honorable Sheryl Delozier
      Honorable Mark Keller
22
      Honorable Joe Hackett
      Honorable Marquerite Quinn
23
      Honorable Rick Saccone
      Honorable Kerry Benninghoff
24
      Honorable Paul Clymer
      Honorable R. Lee James
2.5
      Honorable Mike Turzai, Majority Leader
```

```
1
       DEMOCRATIC NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
 2
      Honorable Paul Costa
      Honorable Dom Costa
 3
      Honorable Chris Sainato
      Honorable Tom Caltagirone
      Honorable Bill Kortz
 4
      Honorable Bryan Barbin
 5
      Honorable Greg Vitali
      Honorable Jaret Gibbons
      Honorable James Roebuck
 6
 7
 8
 9
      STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:
10
       David Donley
11
         Majority Deputy Executive Director
12
       Daniel Clark, Esquire
13
         Majority Chief Counsel
14
      Miriam Fox
15
        Minority Executive Director
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF TESTIFIERS							
2								
	TESTIFIERS							
3	STATE-RELATED UNIVERSITIES					PAGE		
4								
5	Chancellor Mark Nordenberg 8 University of Pittsburgh							
6	President Rodney Erickson 10							
7	Pennsylvania State University							
8	Dr. Neil Theobald, President 11							
9	Temple University							
10	Dr. Robert Jennings, President 12 Lincoln University							
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION							
18	Page	Line	Page	Line	Page	Line		
19	38	13-16	4 6	9-11	70	12-14		
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good

afternoon, everyone. I'd like to call to order and reconvene House Appropriations budget hearing.

Today in front of us we have the state-related universities and the four fine leaders of those universities.

Since there's so many new folks in here that may not have heard the housekeeping rules,

I'll go over them one more time. I'll go over them several times before the end of the budget hearings, but it does help. If you could turn off your cell phones, your iPhones, your iPads and all the other devices that may interfere with the television coverage, I would appreciate it.

I would also ask the four university leaders to move the mikes as close to you as possible. Sometimes they go in and out, not only for the folks present in the room, but also for the PCN live coverage. I would ask the members that are asking the questions, since there's four university leaders at the table, if you could please address the question to the university president or chancellor that you want to answer the question.

That being said, I'm going to take a

little privilege and make a statement before we get started because this hearing is the last time we'll have the pleasure of talking with President Erickson and Chancellor Nordenberg, as both of these gentlemen will soon be retiring. I want to thank you, President Erickson and Chancellor Nordenberg, for your leadership, for your vision and your dedication to the students of your universities.

Pennsylvania, as a state and the students of the Commonwealth, are better off for having both of you lead these universities. Thank you.

(Applause).

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I want to wish both of you good luck. I don't know where you'll be heading. Hopefully it's retirement for you; a little less pressure, a little bit more relaxation with your families. But you certainly did a fantastic job in educating the students of Pennsylvania.

That being said, I will now move it over to my good friend, the Democratic Chair Markosek.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, Chairman. I want to echo the Chair's -- especially

1.3

for President Erickson and Chancellor Nordenberg.

It reminds me, a couple decades ago when I first got to know the then not-yet chancellor, he was, I guess, Chancellor Wanna-Be might have been a good way to put it; came and actually asked me if I would write him a letter of recommendation. So, even way back then, they knew the power of a letter of recommendation from me.

Anyway, I'm sure he had plenty of other ones. He got the job, and here we are almost a couple of decades later. A lot of good things have happened at Pitt and Penn State since the career of these gentlemen, since they took over in the lofty positions that they have.

I also just want to say to the other presidents that are here, President Theobald and President Jennings, you have great folks to look at and, perhaps, see how they did it and take heed from them and learn from them and, as we move forward, to provide really great education for our students here in Pennsylvania from all four of these institutions.

I'd just add one other thing. One of them, before the meeting as we were chatting, said, you have all softball questions for us here today,

right? I said, we did all of the drilling questions, the shale-drilling questions in the last go-around earlier today. At least I don't want to predict that you're not gonna get that question, but I don't know that they'll be softballs. But I'm sure the talent here in front of us will be able to give us good answers. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Chairman.

Gentlemen, first of all, welcome. If you'd like to give an opening statement to the committee, you certainly are entitled to. I know we received an awful lot of written information from you, which we have all digested. I guess Chancellor Nordenberg is the senior leader out there; if you have a brief comment that you would like to make on behalf of your budget request to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Let me say first that I have been attending these hearings for 19 years now, and I do want to thank everyone who has participated on behalf of the House of Representatives. Each of the sessions that we've had together has been constructive, and I'm grateful for the opportunity that you've given me

1.3

to speak for Pitt and for higher education.

2.5

I would say, more personally, President Erickson and I arrived at Penn State and at Pitt in the same year, 37 years ago, so I don't think either of us is going to go very far. You'll probably be able to find him in State College and me in Pittsburgh involved in some kind of university-related activities or another.

I should also say that, as constructive as our discussions have been, we've been through some tough times together, and in the last several years, Mr. Chairman, have been particularly challenging. I think we're all grateful for the support that we have received from the House.

We're all hoping that maybe for our successors and in the professional lifetimes of our two colleagues to my left, we're about to turn a corner in terms of funding support for higher education.

There is a report that was issued last month that has said now that the economy is picking up. Basically, most states are reinvesting in higher education. Last year, I believe there were only 10 states that did not increase their appropriations for higher education. We had some challenges here. We were not one of them, but we

do hope that we're reaching the point that there can be more investments in our institutions, in our students and in the future of Pennsylvania, because we do think we play a big part in building strength within the Commonwealth. And I do thank you all again.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I join Chancellor Nordenberg in thanking you for the support that you've provided over the years, for the courtesies that you've extended as I and my colleagues have met with you on behalf of the state-related universities. I wouldn't be doing my job if I didn't push for higher education and the state-related universities and Penn State.

We are, of course, the Commonwealth's land-grant university with a special relationship that has endured for more than a century and a half. We struggle increasingly to fulfill that mission with limited funding, limited public funding from the Commonwealth, while serving 98,000 students.

We hope that the Commonwealth will be able to, as Chancellor Nordenberg said, turn the corner. Both of us served on the Governor's Advisory Commission on Postsecondary Education a

year ago. I believe that commission document, that report, provided an excellent road map to move Pennsylvania back on the track where we're adequately supporting public higher education and our students, and all the other things that emerge from our universities: The research, the contributions, the economic development and, generally, the betterment of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Again, thank you very much for your support. Hopefully, in 20 years, we'll look back and see a great deal of progress that's been made in the Commonwealth. Thank you.

DR. THEOBALD: This session is likely to be my final opportunity to share a public platform with two of the finest leaders in American higher education, Chancellor Mark Nordenberg and President Rod Erickson.

Much is written about the competition that occurs among Pennsylvania's public universities on the playing field and court. Such competition ends, though, at the sideline. Mark and Rod could not have been more welcoming to me as the new kid on the block in the state last year. And I don't believe any conversation that I've had

with them in the last 14 months has not included their offer to help me in any way they possibly can.

I want to congratulate both of them on their fabulous careers, and I look forward to working with President Barron and Doctor Gallagher to build on the extraordinary contributions that have been made to the wonderful Commonwealth by these two outstanding presidents. Thank you.

DR. JENNINGS: Good afternoon. I, too, join my colleague in congratulating both of these gentlemen. I'm a little bit envious of them because they are doing what I would like to do. They're going off and probably drink mint juleps by the pool every chance they get, and I won't be able to do that.

I want to express my thanks to both of them, because I arrived on the scene 27 months ago as the 13th president of Lincoln, and both of them extended to me courtesies and made me feel very much welcome and indicated they would be more than willing to help. I had the opportunity of serving on the Governor's Postsecondary Commission with both of these gentlemen and got to know them much better than I did prior to that experience. So I'm

going to miss them, but the good thing is that they're going to be in the Commonwealth, and I'm going to be calling them for their support.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, gentlemen. First of all, the greatest asset that Pennsylvania has is the diversity of our state, and your universities certainly represent that here today.

With us in the room, number 1, I'd like to recognize the House Majority Leader, Mike

Turzai, from Allegheny County, is present. Thank you for joining us. Also with us is the Chairman of the House Education Committee, Representative Paul Clymer from Bucks County.

Now, since I live in the Philadelphia area, you put on the news the last couple days, and if you're a sports fan, it's hard not to feel for the kids that were notified that their sport at Temple University was being dropped, for the sake of a word.

I know Temple University, being an urban city university, has tried as hard as they can to keep the tuition and expenses down at that university to make it as affordable as possible.

When I first met the new president, he also

mentioned to me that he's also worried about the graduates and making sure that their education is lining up with their career paths, and that really meant a lot to me.

I know there's been some recent changes regarding a couple of the programs. I woke up to ComcastSportsNet this morning and heard about the rowing programs. I think it's important because -- And I'm the father of former college athletes, some big schools, and I know the sacrifices that these athletes make; the difficulties they make in choosing their universities, and how painful it is when their sport is dropped and they have to make the decision, do they stay with Temple or do they transfer, or where do they go.

So, if you don't mind --

DR. THEOBALD: Sure.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: -- President Theobald, would you please expand a little bit about this tough decision that had to be made by the board and yourself?

DR. THEOBALD: There has not been a more gut-wrenching day, certainly in my 14 months at Temple University and unlikely in my 30 years in higher education, than telling the 70 student

athletes we were eliminating their sport.

2.5

Situation was that our undergraduate student body is about 50/50; half men, half women. When I arrived in January of last year, I discovered our athletic scholarships were being allocated 40 percent to women and 60 percent to men. That's not allowed legally, but it's also not fair. So we've spent a year looking. The options were to add more women's sports.

We're already the only mid-major athletic program in America that has even 20 sports; we have 24 sports. The one women's sport we do not have would be women's swimming and diving. We don't have a diving area, so we'd have to build a diving platform, hire a coach. The cost would be a couple million dollars a year. Given our revenue sources, that would have to come from tuition.

In discussions with the board chairman, we decided that we would not increase tuition to cover this, so we would direct the athletic director that, within his existing budget, he would need to reallocate scholarships from male sports to female sports; and, therefore, we eliminated baseball, men's gymnastics, men's indoor track and

women's indoor track, and we're reallocating those scholarships to women's field hockey, women's lacrosse and, now, women's rowing.

The reason we were able to bring back rowing was, five years ago the boathouse that we were using was condemned. They've been boating out of Quonset hut tents and using porta potties as their locker room. I find that unacceptable for our student athletes to be in that type of facility. One of our trustees, Gerry Lenfest, stepped forward, along with the city, and has donated the funds to remodel the boathouse and, therefore, we're able to win/win. We're bringing back a historic boathouse that's a hundred years old, and we're bringing back the rowing program to Temple.

It was delightful yesterday to inform
the rowing athletes that they were coming back, but
again, it was heart-wrenching to tell those others
that this has been their life since they were
little children. I was a college athlete myself.
It was extremely difficult, but it is the correct
decision in the final regard.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, and I appreciate that, sharing that with the

committee. It's actually a budgetary decision on your part and a very difficult one, to say the least. I, for one, believe part of the college life is the extracurricular activities. Whether it be a band or whether it be the arts or whether it be the athletics, I think it's all part of the education of higher education. I wish those students well. I know it's a very difficult decision. I hope they all proceed the best way they can.

DR. THEOBALD: One thing I would add, we are keeping all their scholarships for the remainder of their time at the university. We're going to keep all of their academics. We are offering outplacement to any of them that want to transfer. We're doing everything we can to smooth what is a very difficult decision for them to accept.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Chairman Markosek.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you,
Chairman. I don't really have any specific
questions. I would just ask the Chair, when it's
the appropriate time, to recognize Representative
Parker, who will be our first when it's time.

1 Thanks. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. As is the custom of Chairman Markosek 3 and I, we always invite the chairman of the standing committee. In this case it will be 5 6 Chairman Clymer of the House Education Committee. He'll be invited to ask the first question. 8 Chairman Clymer. 9 REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. Welcome, all. It's good to see you 11 gentlemen here this afternoon. 12 Just a bright note, and I think I have 13 it right for Penn State University, you had your 14 marathon dance; did you not? 15 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We did. REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: 46 hours and you 16 17 raised \$13.3 million. Do you want to make a 18 comment? PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you very much 19 20 for recognizing that achievement. 21 Our students are just absolutely 22 magnificent. There are 15,000 Penn State students 23 who are involved in Dance Marathon. It's a 48-hour 24 dance marathon, but there's actually a full year of

preparation that goes in for every dance marathon.

Our students have just done an awesome job. The support for the Four Diamonds Fund at Hershey Medical Center now totals about \$115 million; absolutely remarkable. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: That was just more of an observation. I have two questions that are coming.

One of the things that concern all of us is that drug and alcohol and prescription drug abuse can be very devastating to the students not only at our four major universities but to colleges and universities across Pennsylvania, across the United States. This is a concern to all of us.

Are there any specific strategies that are being used to try to warn students? I know this is not your responsibility per se, but I know you're interested in it because you're interested in the students who attend your schools. So this is something that has to be addressed. How do you deal with this issue of letting students know that these abuses are harmful and can be very devastating?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: It's a major challenge, because we know that so many students who do not achieve, who do not continue on in the

1.3

university, alcohol or other kinds of substance issues are often related to that.

1.3

2.5

For many years we've been engaged in a lot of different kinds of programs. The responsibility is really educational; to try to continue educating students. And, obviously, we have thousands of new students who come in every year, between fifteen and 16,000 students; to educate them about alcohol and other substance abuse and the negative effects that that could very well have on their educational career. But, it's a continuing challenge.

Many students come to universities like ours already well-experienced in the use of alcohol or other substances. We just have to continually work hard to educate and to, I would say, take appropriate disciplinary action when that's required as well.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: This has been both a personal and an institutional priority. I do stand in front of those 4,000 new freshmen every year. One of the first things I say to them is, don't ruin your opportunities; don't abuse your newfound freedom by running afoul of the abuse of alcohol and of drugs.

It really is something that affects health in so many different ways, so we have proactive educational programs. We intervene to the extent that we can. I'm sure all of us have invested dollars in counseling and health services that are related to this area that would not have been a part of a budget 20 years ago but are very important today.

DR. JENNINGS: At Lincoln University, we take it very seriously. When I arrived 27 months ago, I declared the campus a dry campus. It was not that prior to my arrival. But I specifically did that, having been a president of another institution, and saw what alcohol and drugs will do to young people. They think they can control themselves, but they can't.

Of course, students did not like it.

They talked about protesting. They called me for all kinds of debates and wanted me to reconsider, but I did not, and I explained to them why I would not. I can tell you that the incidents of drugand alcohol-related incidents on our campus have been reduced by more than a hundred percent, and we are real pleased with that.

We're also pleased that we have two

grants that we recently acquired; one from the Pennsylvania alcohol control board and one from the U.S. Justice Department that are prevalent on our campus just as we speak. Both of these are designed to help us to engage young people, not just on a one-time basis, but monthly to talk about the issues of alcohol and substance abuse. We believe that this is something that you have to keep putting before them to keep it down.

DR. THEOBALD: Yeah, very similar at Temple. We look at it as a health issue and as a discipline issue. Starting with orientation, we want to make sure students are aware of what the impact of this behavior is, not only on them as a person, but on them in their status as a student at Temple University, which we follow up through the health center. Then if they come in contact with either the Philadelphia police or with our campus police, we are quite clear of what the consequences are of that behavior.

much. Mr. Chairman, just one brief question, and that's on foreign students.

Because of the great academics of the universities, you receive many foreign students

1.3

that come in each year. What are the reasons that they come in? Is there a particular engineering or medical research that drives them into our outstanding universities? I was curious about that, and I never knew why. Maybe a brief answer from each one would be appropriate.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, from institution to institution, there may be programs that are magnets. So, we do have strong programs in medicine and engineering that attract international students.

But I think, more broadly, the American research universities in particular continue to be recognized as the finest in the world. An education from one of our institutions really is a first step towards success as they return to their home countries.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We have about 6,000 international students among our 85,000 students who are on campus. They come for a lot of different reasons. But I would agree with Mark that they're really looking for high-quality education and an opportunity to succeed on the basis of that education.

What I've seen over the years is that,

some years ago, international students tended to be -- first of all, more of them tended to be graduate students. But about five, six years ago, we saw a flip, where now, more of our international students are actually undergraduates.

Years ago, they used to come for a relatively targeted set of programs. Now it is much more broadly-based. We find international students that are not only in traditional areas like business and engineering and science, but in areas such as communications and the liberal arts. It much more broadly-based now.

DR. THEOBALD: I would agree with everything that's been said by the first two. I would add something to it.

We have a campus in Tokyo. Temple is the only American university with a campus in the country of Japan. And I visited several universities in China last summer when I went over to do the commencement at Temple University of Japan. When we look at the money the Chinese government is investing in higher education, this lead that both of them talk about is in danger.

I visited a university in Macau, which, the only university I've ever seen that was that

2.0

grand is Stanford, and this is a public university in southern China. So, flat funding for higher education, we have a lot of other things that we need to be using money on. Our competitors abroad are investing vast sums of money in higher education, and we really need to be alert to that, that they don't pass us in this area.

DR. JENNINGS: We build ourselves at Lincoln as a global institution. We believe that we have a responsibility to produce a student who can operate both in this country, as well as outside of this country, because that's the kind of world that we're living in.

We have students from 17 foreign countries on our campus today. We send students to eight foreign countries to study abroad. We teach five different languages on the campus, including Arabic, Japanese, Chinese, French and Spanish, and students are enrolled in all of those programs. Again, that goes in line with our mission of being a global institution; trying to produce that student who can operate anyplace in the world.

REPRESENTATIVE CLYMER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

At this time I'd like to acknowledge the presence of the Democratic Chair of the House Education Committee, Representative Jim Roebuck.

Thank you for being here.

The next question will be asked by Representative Cherelle Parker.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good afternoon, gentlemen. It's great to see each of you again.

turned on the computer to look at a last minute of news. What I found was extremely troubling, but it was not surprising, and that was the Inquirer article based on the Pew report, which documented the sort of rapid decline of the coveted middle class in Philadelphia and the increase in what the report deemed as the lower-class Philadelphians.

Right after that I turned to a speech that I keep near my computer desk that was actually given six years ago, 2008. That was the beginning of our economic recession. It was given by the then chair of the Greater Philadelphia Chamber of Commerce, David L. Cohen.

During this speech, Mr. Cohen notes that Philadelphia remains, as coined by Charles Dickens,

a tale of two cities; one that's populated by the haves and the other populated by the have-nots. He recommends that, if we wanted to see this phenomenon change, we should, 1, recognize that it wasn't isolated in Philadelphia. Philadelphia wasn't an isolated case. And that, if we wanted to address the issue, we had to tackle education, because the business community deemed it necessary to get skilled workers.

Our students and young people having the ability to compete in a global economy that you just referenced, along with the economic viability of neighborhoods across the Commonwealth and Philadelphia depended on it. It's with that in mind that I'm going to direct questions specifically, because I want to maximize my time as much as I possibly can.

And the first thing I want to do, with that premise in mind, I want to ask you, Doctor Jennings, to respond to what I've considered to be a federal fiasco, and that is the issue of the Parents PLUS loan, and the impact that it has had on the declining student body at the Lincoln University.

Immediately after that, Doctor Theobald,

I would like for you to respond to what we learned, again, from the Independent Fiscal Office. This is the second year I've asked the question and nothing in the answer has changed, and that is that

Pennsylvania has ranked second in the nation in the amount of student debt that our students in the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania actually carry. Talk about what Temple is doing with the Fly in 4 program. Talk about work; talk about what it means and the process that is being used and what population this is geared to and why.

Doctor Jennings, if you could respond first.

DR. JENNINGS: You may be aware of the fact that, last February, the federal government changed the requirements of the Parent PLUS Loan, which has been a loan that most students, certainly minority students or underprivileged students, for a great deal of time, have used to help finance their college education. The parent could go into a lending institution and sign his or her name and get a part of the money that they needed to help finance the child's education.

Well, the federal government decided in February that, if there was any indentation of a

1.3

negative sort on your credit report, even if it was a bill that you had paid 30 days late, that you will automatically be denied the loan. This was devastating for the Lincoln University because, we had 1,159 students whose parents applied for the loan, and we had 849 who were denied the loan. That's about 71 percent; meaning that, they would have to find another means by which to come up with the funds to help finance their child's education. As a result, we took a decline in enrollment because students have to pay in order to stay.

We had some 414 students who, at the last minute, could not come up with the funds. So, we started scuffling and calling around and doing everything we possibly could. We went down that list and tried to help as many of them as we possibly could. And as a result, we were able to help all of them with the exception of about 200. And so, those 200 students had to dis-enroll. They could not be enrolled.

So, that one particular program that many of our students, in particular, have depended upon, it was devastating because they had no means by which to get those funds. And certainly, from February to August, that may sound like a long

period of time, but when 64 percent of your students are the first in their families to go to college and about 61 percent come from single-parent, mostly female head of households, they don't really have a lot of infrastructure back home to be able to call and pull together that kind of money at the last minute. So, the Parent PLUS Loan and the changes in that program really devastated many schools, certainly Lincoln in particular, and it impacted our enrollment.

DR. THEOBALD: Representative Parker, thank you for raising the issue of student debt.

It is the most important problem we face in higher education. We have got to find a way to not burden this next generation with such extraordinary levels of debt.

The primary determinant at Temple
University of your debt level is your time to
degree; how long it takes you to get to degree. If
you look at our students that graduate, about 43
percent of our students graduate in four years.
They have the lowest debt level of any university
in Philadelphia, and most of the other
universities -- in fact, all of the other
universities in Philadelphia are private. Most of

those students, they graduate in four years.

2.5

But if you look at Penn's debt level, it's higher than what Temple's is for those students who graduate in four years. So, we have got to find a way to get students to shorten that time to degree.

As I talk with students across campus as to why they weren't going full time; why were they not on track to graduate in four years, I got the same answer repeatedly, I have to work. Given the cost of higher education, I cannot afford to attend even a public university like Temple without working 30, 35 hours a week. I worked when I was in college, but I worked about 10 hours a week.

The ability of a student to work a substantial amount of time off campus, the commuting time with that, and go to school full time and graduate in four years is nearly impossible. So, we came up with the idea that, if students would commit to off-campus employment of no more than 10 hours a week, and they would commit to staying on track to graduate. They only get the Fly in 4 scholarship if they stay on track to graduate within four years.

So, each spring we'll check to make sure

they're on track that we would provide them a scholarship that's the equivalent of the number of hours our average student works times \$10.00. So we'll pay them \$10 an hour to refocus their attention from going off campus to work at McDonald's or at Bed, Bath and Beyond, or wherever it is they work; take that time, focus on your academic work, get out in four years. That way you graduate with the least amount of debt, and it also gets you out into the higher-paying, collegeeducated job market quicker.

As far as who's eligible for it, we're going to start with the neediest students first.

Clearly, those are the students that have the greatest amount to work. We do 500 a year in each class, so we'll have 2,000 students in four years that we will be subsidizing their education in return for them agreeing to not work more than we believe is appropriate and researched—not just we believe, but research will show is appropriate—and stay on track to graduate in four years.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you.

Finally, let me just ask. And, Doctor Jennings, I
think I heard you make reference to the other two
presidents. Doctor Theobald, I don't know if you

were here during that time when the Governor's Commission on Postsecondary Education was created.

Obviously, higher education, the state-relateds being proposed flat funding, obviously, I and many others would like to see that change. Tell me, if you will--I think three of you were members of the Governor's Commission--did you expect higher education or state-relateds to be flat-funded this year, or did you anticipate or expect, based on that Commission's findings, that there would be an additional investment in higher ed this year?

DR. JENNINGS: My understanding is that this would be the year that we would see an increase in our funding; that we would start trying to get back on the right track to support higher education. That was what the commission's report came forward with. That is what we agreed to.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Any other comments?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: I think it's quite clear that the commission's report indicated that we were at what they felt should be rock bottom and that the current levels of funding were creating great pressures, both in terms of the

1.3

2.0

affordability of higher education for students and their families, which ties into the debt load that you just highlighted, and also created real challenges for institutions to continue delivering the levels of quality that students and their supporting family members have the right to expect; and that this would be the year where we would see a move up in the direction of higher levels of funding.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Thank you all for your response. Let me thank you for what you do. It is my hope that we will work in a bipartisan manner within this chamber in the House to do our best to see an increase in the funding for higher ed, with our state-relateds included.

I think, Doctor Jennings, what you just described and how Lincoln students who work with a very unique constituency that's not served at the level in which Lincoln works with those students that have been sort of the foundation of the student body that's carried Lincoln's tradition for so many years.

Doctor Theobald, what you've done with Temple's new innovative program to encourage students to get finished in four will help us in

efforts to ensure that whether or not you're born in a wealthy family should not dictate whether or not you have access to a quality education; whether or not your parent is fortunate enough to pull out his or her checkbook and said, tuition has increased, let me write the check; it's no problem; versus the student, Doctor Jennings, who's actually saying, my parents don't have perfect credit; that they paid their bill 90 days late, so now they're ineligible for Parents PLUS.

So, please keep advocating and keep working with the students that you're serving, and make higher education as affordable as possible.

PRESIDENT THEOBALD: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:

Representative Seth Grove.

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, great to see you this afternoon.

I want to drive and discuss kind of remediation courses that your universities need to provide students. Do you have any data showing how many students, specifically coming from Pennsylvania schools, have to go into remediation courses prior to going into what their primary

focus of study would be?

1.3

2.5

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: At Pitt, we have very little of that. That does not mean there are not students coming out of high school who need remediation, but they are not the students who are enrolling at the University of Pittsburgh.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: It varies across our campuses. At University Park, there's almost no remedial work at all. At some campuses we have some remedial math and some remedial English, but that has declined significantly over the years as well. And we're also working very hard with preplacement kinds of activities to make sure that students who are able to surpass the remedial work are encouraged to do so.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Great.

DR. THEOBALD: Yeah, we have minimal remedial work. We really work very hard to tie our curriculum to the high schools in the local areas. So if you're a graduate of a local high school and you're able to get admitted to Temple University, for the most part, you will not have any remediation work you need to do; same thing with students coming in from the Community College of

Philadelphia.

2.5

To the extent students do need remedial work, we generally encourage them to go to the Community College of Philadelphia. It's much less expensive than it is to come to Temple University. So if you're going to take a course that isn't going to count towards your degree, you're much better to do that at the community college rather than coming into Temple and doing it.

DR. JENNINGS: There was a time in our history that we had a large core of students who needed remediation. But in 2009-10 school year, the board insisted that we increase the academic standing for students coming into the institution, and so, now we bring in a higher caliber of student. We still have a few students who have to take remedial math and reading, but that number is very low.

We do have something exciting going on on the campus this year. This year we started a relationship with Delaware County Community College. So, the students are jointly enrolled. They live on the Lincoln University campus. The Delaware County Community College faculty comes to our campus, teaches them their core courses in

English and math. And as soon as they meet the Lincoln University standards, they become a full-fledged Lincoln University student. It's called a two-plus-two program.

2.5

So, we are trying to encourage community college students to understand that you're going to really need a bachelor's degree, you're probably going to need a master's degree. But you really need to be focused on trying to get a bachelor's degree so you don't kind of get stuck. You can continue to move up, even whatever discipline you choose even at the two-year level.

REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: I appreciate that. If you could provide any data going back to maybe 1990 up to current date on remediation rates for universities. I'm a big proponent that, the better job we do K through 12 preparing students for the next step, less remedial costs being incurred by the universities and, obviously, driving down student debt. If they're taking courses that don't count towards their major, they're not incurring that debt at the next level.

So, thank you, gentlemen. I appreciate

it, and some great news. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,

1 Representative. Representative Jake Wheatley. 2 REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Thank you, Mr. Good afternoon, gentlemen. 3 Chairman. I wanted to start off with a question 4 that I asked the state system when they were before 5 6 me. From your opinion, and one or two of you can answer this; not necessary all of you have to. 7 8 We have a funding system that, in my opinion, is not rational and not based on anything. 9 In your opinion, do you think our current funding 10 11 system is adequate, and does it have a rational 12 basis by which you, as state-relateds, can understand how you receive funds and for what we 13 14 are asking you for the value of those funds? 15 Since, Chancellor Nordenberg, you are going out, I will direct it to you so you can be 16 the most honest. Not that the rest won't be. 17 18 (Laughter). 19 In your 19 years, can you kind of give 20 me a suggestion around our funding system for 21 higher education? 22 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, I would 23 say that the system, principally, is a product of 24 history as far as I can tell, and a history that

extended back beyond even my 19 years. So, each

year we're building, or attempting to build or attempting to protect, a base that most of us didn't have a particular role in creating.

2.5

So, it's a system that has worked reasonably well over the course of some periods of time, but I don't think it is a system that has the kind of rationale framework of inputs and expectations that you were describing.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: So, as you talked about possibly moving on to staying involved in educational issues, have you thought about a way for us to be more rational?

relateds, one, can you say all state-relateds are created equal; meaning, you all should receive the same finances the same way; or, our structure of system of how we fund--we have state-relateds; we have a state system; we have community colleges; we have privates that get money through us--do you have a suggestion how we can become more rational?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Of course, at Pitt, we'd like to be more equal with Penn State when it comes to funding.

But, this is what I would say first. If you look at the overall system of higher education

in Pennsylvania, if there is one part of it that really does make sense, it is the state-relateds, and that, too, may be just a product of history.

But when you look at the four institutions at this table, Lincoln has a historic and very special mission. Temple is in the southeast. Penn State is in the middle of the Commonwealth. Pitt is in the southwest, and we actually are quite distinctive in our academic strengthens. So, you don't see a lot of duplication. You don't see a lot of wasteful competition.

I think when you look at our successes, whether measured in the marketplace of attracting students or whether you look at our successes on the national stage in attracting research support, this is a part of the system that works.

My hope always had been that there would be some reason, attempt, to invest in quality and to invest in returns. I think if we were given the opportunity to make that kind of case, we could make a very compelling case that we are a very worthwhile investment for the Commonwealth and its people.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I have to take the

opportunity to say, Chancellor Nordenberg looks at our entire appropriation, and I look at his per-student appropriation, and I see that our -
CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Oh, now, let's not get competitive on them here.

(Laughter).

2.5

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: No. We collaborate a great deal, and we understand -- Our per-student appropriation at \$2,600 per FT student is just about at the lowest and may be the lowest of any institution in the country.

But we understand that Lincoln
University has a special mission. We understand
that the research universities of the Commonwealth,
of which we are a very important part of that core;
we are the public part of that core, have special
needs as well. We're not only educating a lot of
students, but we're driving the economic engine in
many ways of the Commonwealth with -- Really, right
here at the table, we probably have something in
the neighborhood of -- well, it's in excess of
\$2 billion of research activity every year right
here that's driving a lot of that.

We need help with facilities; we need help with the operating budget if we're going to

continue to be among the very best universities in the country and truly global universities and playing in that particular field.

DR. JENNINGS: I just want the record to show that we, at Lincoln University, would be willing to accept just 50 percent of what these two get. That would certainly help us.

I would have to say that I've been in the state now for 27 months, and I have not been able to discern what the formula is or how the allocations are being made, and the rhyme or reason that's used in terms of allocating dollars to our institutions. I've been asking that question, I think, since I've been here. What is the formula, or how do we derive at the numbers? It would help me to be able to explain, even to my own constituents, how that's done.

I do think there needs to be some more thought given to this; that it does need to be a more rational process. I would have to go on record of saying, no, it is by no means adequate.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: I'm going to ask one more question for you to respond to. Then I'm going to ask the second question that you don't have to respond to now, but you can respond in

writing if the Chairman would allow me to do that that way.

Recently, I was shown by the staff of the House Democratic Appropriation a map of our community colleges and where some of our state-relateds are. It looks very packed and full of names of institutions. When we overlay where the state-relateds are and some of your satellite campuses, and then we put other institutions of higher learning on the map, it seems as if we have a very scatter-type of approach for how we do higher education in this Commonwealth.

So, one question I have is, how do you make determinations when you expand -- And I know we never want to get rid of things. But when you've expanded your colleges to these satellite institutions, do you look at what else is provided in that region; if the need is there for what you are giving? How do you make those decisions and determinations?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, at Pitt, those decisions were last made in 1963. That was the last time we added any campuses outside of Pittsburgh, and we only have four. At that point, it was felt that there were unmet needs in the

three communities in which those campuses were located.

1.3

2.5

But if I can respond very briefly to the broader question that you have raised, I do think that's where there is overlap; that is, when you get away from our principal campuses, and there probably is some sorting out that needs to be done over time.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Sure.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Again, our

Commonwealth campuses are a product of history. We haven't established a new campus that I'm aware of since about 1965. But these campuses, some of them have been in existence for a hundred years.

They're a very important part of the fabric of the community. They provide a means of access to a high-quality education, either a four-year degree at a campus or to move to University Park or one of the other campuses to complete; in the case of University Park, more than 200 degrees to choose from.

I should also say that they provide a very important role in terms of access. The students who attend our Commonwealth campuses have a median family income in the high 50,000's, just

under the median income of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Very high proportion of first-generation students, about 70 percent of those students, on average, work at least 22 hours a week. They're very much a part of the fabric of those communities, and we're very supportive of the role that they play in the Commonwealth.

REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLEY: Sure.

Again, you don't have to respond to these questions. You can definitely send it in writing to us.

How much business are your institutions doing with MBEs, WBEs and veteran-owned businesses, and do you have policies as it relates to the utilization of these entities? Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Representative Jim Christiana.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen, thank you for being here.

The previous gentleman and I were on similar paths last week having this conversation with the State System chancellor. I think we share a growing concern, Representative Wheatley and I, that the marketplace is robust and complicated as

2.0

it relates to higher education in Pennsylvania, and times are changing.

As you mentioned, we invest in community colleges. Private schools receive state dollars through their students, the state-related flagships, branch campuses and the state school system. I would like to ask my question directed to Penn State and Pitt, if I may, as it relates to the branch campus discussion that we just started.

Could you, for us, define today's mission and goal for your branch campuses? I know they had a rich history back in 1963 and '65. But, the marketplace is changing. What is the mission of your branch campuses?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: The mission of our campuses is to provide a high-quality educational experience for students in those communities predominately, although some students do come from outside of those service areas of the campuses; to provide them an opportunity to, in many cases, stay close to home, keep the cost of education relatively low; and to, if they so desire, move on to another university campus and have the opportunity to complete a degree.

For a student, for example, who is

studying at, let's take a campus like Fayette, the tuition there is about \$12,700 if they're able to commute from home. They're able to complete a degree in many fields that are very much oriented to workforce; degrees such as mining engineering, for example, which is very important to southwestern Pennsylvania; degrees in business; degrees in other kinds of engineering; degrees in health services, so that our graduates can get well-paying jobs and, in many cases, not have to leave the area. Some simply can't leave because they're tied to the local area for various reasons. Some already have jobs and are simply looking to complete their baccalaureate or other degree.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: We have four regional campuses, as you probably know, in Bradford, Greensburg, Johnstown and Titusville.

All of them are established parts of the community. Each of them, I think, still serves distinctive needs in their homes.

certainly, Bradford and Titusville are in the Northern Tier, which tends to be underserved by higher education institutions generally. The programming at each of those campuses does tend to reflect the needs of the community that it serves,

and they also are campuses on which we deliver graduate-level instruction as it is needed in the community. So, the graduate programs in nursing and social work and areas like that, we have a place to deliver them.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: Since 2014 is going to be a transition year for both of your institutions, and we have two legislators on opposite sides of the issue looking at, potentially, the need to reexamine the Commonwealth's approach to investing in higher education because we have so many different options, do you believe that your successors will have the same mission that the two of you have as it relates to the branch campuses, or is this something that the new administration may be looking at a change?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, I think that there will be some continuity in thinking about these issues. I would say, even within the University of Pittsburgh today, we are rethinking some of these issues. And I'm also confident that my successor would be interested in discussing those issues with you and with Representative Wheatley.

1.3

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would say that you're likely to see continuity at Penn State, in as much, President-Elect Barron spent 20 years at Penn State in an earlier part of his career and was involved with the Commonwealth campuses in terms of various programmatic and other kinds of issues.

But as Chancellor Nordenberg indicated, we're working that issue constantly in terms of changing programs to respond to student needs.

We're sharing faculty among multiple campuses.

We're sharing administrators among multiple campuses, because we need to bring that cost structure into line, too, so that we can deliver the education as effectively and as efficiently as possible there.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: One thing I was really refreshed to hear you say is that your branch campuses are tailored to job placement. I think the more we can strengthen the lines between higher education and job creators in the 21st Century here in Pennsylvania, rather than exporting kids to other parts of the country, I'm refreshed to hear.

I was refreshed to hear, though, the new chancellor of the State System is looking at their

academic catalogs and finding ways to streamline that. But I will ask a pretty direct question, and hopefully you can give a direct answer.

From the branch-campus perspective, is the State System, the 14 state schools, a direct competitor in the sense of admitting a lot of the same kids or targeting the same kids from Pennsylvania to their schools? Are they a direct competitor to your mission and to your objective from the branch campuses?

there's probably some competition but less than you would think. The tuition, for example, that is charged at one of our branch campuses or at a Penn State branch campus is more than a state-system campus. So a student that decides to go to Pitt-Johnstown or Greensburg is making a decision about investing in an education there.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would say the same thing. We tend to compete more with each other than we do with the state system of higher education.

REPRESENTATIVE CHRISTIANA: From a flag-ship perspective, I understand that competition. From an incubator standpoint of a

local incubator trying to tie higher education and job creation, your experience speaks for itself, and I'm pretty refreshed to hear that.

What we heard last week, unfortunately, I had heard we're coming to the party a little late, in that, we're losing a lot of kids and spending money even if it's less than what they would be spending at your institutions, they're not necessarily getting the success in terms of landing

I know I probably went past my lotted time. I thank you. I also would like to thank you, as the Chair mentioned, about the dance marathon and congratulate you. One of my constituents, Mia Rendar, is a proud supporter and organizer of that, and what you've done in the recent weeks has made a lot of us proud. So, thank you, and thank you for your commitment.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
Representative Christiana. If we all kept to seven
and a half minutes, we'd be in good shape here.

Representative Mike Carroll.

REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you, Mr.

a job placement.

Chairman. To your left, gentlemen. I'll do my best to keep it under the seven and a half minutes.

Last June, my wife and I experienced the wonderful joy of having our first of three children graduate from high school in our state. And the good news with respect to the process in selecting higher education is that, in Pennsylvania we have great options. We have a wonderful community college system, wonderful private schools, great State System schools and a great state-related program.

Chancellor Nordenberg, our son today is at Pitt and just loves it. So, we're thrilled about the prospects for our two daughters who are on the horizon, both in high school.

Not a question, but just a comment. I hope you'd contemplate it as you move forward for all four of you.

I'm hoping that each of the universities, whether the state-relateds or the universe of higher ed, when students engage in a major course of study, that we have enough course offerings within that major to allow them to graduate within the four years. I don't have any particular experience I'll point to, other than to

say that, some anecdotal stories that I've heard from parents that, sometimes, there's a problem with a student being able to complete their course of study in four years because a course might be oversubscribed.

So I would ask you to contemplate and make sure, in the world of course offerings, that we have enough courses and seats available for students to be able to complete the courses in their major to keep them on track for a four-year degree and not have it extend beyond the four years, and not have the situation where a student might end up with not being able to complete their course of study in four years because of the lack of an offering, or enough seats is a better way to say it than an offering. I'll stop there. I don't expect an answer from each four of you.

But just to contemplate that to make sure we do get done in four years. The Fly in 4 Program at Temple sounds wonderful. To the extent that we can keep the students on a four-year track, from a parent who gets the tuition bill, we would appreciate that. I think it's also helpful for the student to get them to the finish line so they can either move on to additional education or move off

into the workforce.

2.5

Thank you for all you do. Thank you for all that's done in the world of higher ed. We have an obligation here in the House to try and augment what happens in the world of higher ed, and I look forward to working with all of you in the future to do that. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative Carroll. Two minutes and 30 seconds.

The Chair was remiss in recognizing

Representative Marguerite Quinn from Bucks County
is here. The next question is by Representative

Glen Grell.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Does that mean I can use Representative

Carroll's extra five minutes? I'll try not to do

that.

Thank you very much, gentlemen, for being here. I want to ask you some questions, get some information, about the impact of your employee pensions, on your operations and on your budgets. According to the research that I have, three of the institutions are not involved at all in our state pension plans. I believe Pittsburgh is not, Temple

is not and Lincoln is not. You all have either a 1 2 combination of a defined benefit, defined contribution option, or I believe in the case of 3 Lincoln, it's purely a defined contribution plan. 5 So, I'll ask the three of you: 6 your current offerings to your employees impair 7 either your budget or your ability to attract qualified personnel, either instructional or 8 9 non-instructional? CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: No. Our defined 10 11 contribution plan works well. It actually is a 12 positive factor in recruitment and retention. We do have a very small defined benefit 1.3 14 plan, mainly for the lowest-paid employees who 15 might not be able to contribute as they see it to a 16 defined contribution program, and our defined 17 benefit program is funded, so we do not have the 18 kind of problems that are hanging over the heads of 19 so many these days. 20 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: The problems 21 you've read about, yes. 22 The same with you, gentlemen? 23 DR. JENNINGS: Same with us. We've not 24 had a problem.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL:

2.5

Okay.

In that case, let me ask President

Erickson, your employees are eligible either for
the SERS state employees' program or a private
third party. I believe the most significant one,
and maybe the only one, is the TIAA-CREF Program.

Do you know what percentages of your employees,
either overall or by instructional versus
non-instructional, make either of those options.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Right. Among our

employees, 34 percent are members of SERS;

66 percent are in TIAA-CREF.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Does that vary between instructional and non-instructional?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Yes, it certainly does. A much higher proportion of our faculty are in TIAA than SERS. Many of them have come from other institutions and already have investments in their TIAA-CREF retirement plans. TIAA-CREF is pretty much the industry standard in terms of, there are relatively few universities with whom we compete that are not TIAA-CREF in terms of their plans.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I would think the portability of that pension, should they decide to move elsewhere in their careers, is an advantage of

being in that kind of system?

2.5

2 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: That's exactly 3 right.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I'll just throw this one out. If you have any suggestions or concerns to share with us as we collectively look for how we're going to address our State System pension issues, is the SERS option a valuable option to have and, equally, is the TIAA-CREF option a valuable option to continue to have?

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Well, certainly, choice has been the hallmark of the situation we have. It would be very nice to continue to have choice.

Let me respond to that, Representative

Grell, in two ways. One is, it's a very, very

complex set of issues. We understand that. We

understand the challenge that you have in trying to

deal with this issue because it is so complicated.

It plays out over such a long period of time.

Changes in one aspect often have some very negative

implications for other aspects of the program.

It's become very costly for us. Last year our additional recurring costs for SERS at 34 percent of our employment was about \$11 million.

This year it's 13.2 million. Next year it's forecasted to go over 14; into the 14-and-a-half-million-dollar additional recurring costs.

So, when all of these costs are added together, it will be over a 50-million-dollar recurring cost that we have related to SERS. To put that into context, the \$13 million this year in terms of our recurring cost is about equivalent to a one-and-a-quarter-percent tuition hike just to pay the SERS bill.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: And that's just on 34 percent of your --

percent. Now, I have seen proposals that are related to a level of contribution and a defined contribution plan of 4 percent. That would be devastating for us in terms of our competition, especially for the 120 so research universities in the nation that we primarily compete with. We compete with institutions, including my colleagues here, who are paying probably 8 to 12 percent into programs. If our retirement programs in that defined contribution part of SERS were only, say, at 4 percent, that would put us at a serious competitive disadvantage.

So, I would ask you, please bear that in 1 2 mind on any changes; that unintended consequences can be very significant here for the university. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: I appreciate the answer, and I will take you up on the suggestion 5 6 that we stay in touch with you and your representatives as the issue percolates. 7 8 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Please do. REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thank you all for 9 10 your answers and for everything you do. But 11 particularly, President Erickson, Chancellor 12 Nordenberg, thank you so much for everything you 1.3 have done for your institutions and for the people 14 of the Commonwealth. 15 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you. REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: And best wishes 16 17 and happy trails. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 18 19 Representative Scott Conklin. 20 REPRESENTATIVE CONKLIN: I want to thank 21 you. Chairman Adolph, start the clock. We're 22 going to have a world record here. 23 I want to thank all you gentlemen for 24 what you do. Chairman Clymer asked my questions; 2.5 and on Thon, he already thanked you for it.

I just wanted to take a couple seconds to thank President Nordenberger. It's been a pleasure. You're a gentleman; someone I'll remember forever. And most of all, I want to thank President Erickson. I don't think the public really got to see how hard you worked and what a positive influence you've been.

I can tell you, out of all the years of being in elected office in Centre County and at the legislature here, I had more contact face to face, one on one than I ever had with anyone. I want to thank you all, but most of all, President Erickson, I want to thank you for all the hard work you have done. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you, Representative Conklin.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. Representative Karen Boback.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for being with us, gentlemen.

My question has to do with curriculum and how it aligns itself with student success after graduation. I think the only real complaint I've ever had, as far as higher education goes, is from parents who say their children have graduated from

an institution in Pennsylvania; sometimes they were the top of the class but can't get a good-paying job, which always has me go back, well, what did they major in?

Many times, many times their major was something that was wonderful liberal arts, but if you're getting a degree in ballet and you intend to stay in northeastern Pennsylvania, where is the good-paying job for this particular person? They could start their own business. It's something they love. I get it. I get it. But we're talking, after four years of college/university and then looking for a good-paying job in, perhaps, a liberal arts field where there are no job in the area.

That goes along with those who have graduated, or they get into their junior year and they start fishing for different kinds of good-paying jobs, and they realize there's nothing there, and they go back or they'll stay in school. Two cases in point were in marketing, two different institutions, and these two young ladies graduated with marketing degrees and realized they would have to go to another state or a big city, which they did not want to do, and they went back to school to

1 become teachers. Luckily, one stayed in state and 2 one went out of state and they did get jobs, because we know there are very few teaching jobs. 3 My question is, where does the 4 counseling start? Should it begin in the high 5 6 school, the middle school? Do you counsel them on 7 a college/university level? Do you try to align 8 your students with jobs, because if your institution guaranteed that my son or daughter 9 would have a job, 90 percent job upon graduation, 10 11 that's the institution I would send my child to. 12 We know Temple has a neighbor that does just that; 13 guarantees that, upon graduation, through their 14 internships, there will be a job waiting for those 15 students. 16 So, I hope I was succinct. I'm sorry I 17 went around the mulberry bush, bit I'm sure you get 18 the same compliments --We do. 19 DR. JENNINGS: 20 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: -- complaints, 21 concerns that I get. Who would like to address 22 that? 23 DR. JENNINGS: We try to start as early 24 as possible. The first part of your question is,

how soon do we start? The counseling should start

as early as middle school; trying to help students to identify what it is you think you really want to do in life, and then start trying to expose them to any and everything possible in that particular field so that they really start to get a feel for whether or not this is something I really want to do.

Shadowing programs ought to start as early as high school, the first year of high school, so that, if they can go shadow somebody in that job so that they can really see and hear what you really do, that would be a great help.

But we are also finding that once they arrive on the university campus as a freshman, we still have a small core of students -- not as large as it once was, but we still have a small core of students who come saying, I don't know what I want to major in. I don't know what I want to do. So we have a counseling program now that says to you --

And when I speak to freshmen during freshman week, I say to them, you must decide and you must decide early on, hopefully by the end of this semester, what it is you really want to do, because then we can start helping you to buckle

down and focus on whether or not this is something you really want to do; exposing you to internships, people, speakers, the whole gamut.

We also say to each of our professors that, you have an obligation and a responsibility to describe to students in your major all of the jobs, the array of jobs that they have a possibility of being able to do, and explain to them where those jobs are likely to be, because you do have some students who don't want to go out of state.

But you have to be realistic with them. If you're looking to get a job and want to move up in this particular discipline, there's not a need for that in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, but there is a need in New Jersey; there's a need in Delaware, so you might have to drive here, there, or those kinds of places.

So, I think it all has to do with what level and kind of counseling are students being given and how soon are they getting that.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you.

PRESIDENT THEOBALD: We group all of those under what we call financial literacy. When we interview students or have group sessions with

students, they do understand the return to a bachelor's degree. The return to a bachelor's degree is higher than it's ever been. What they don't understand is the difference in the return by major.

And so, we have very much focused on not telling you what major you should be in. People need to make their own choices, but they need to make those choices with information as, what are the likely labor market outcomes of being a ballet major as opposed to being an engineering major.

Not every ballet major is going to do one thing, every engineer the other; but whatever you're likely to end up.

really also kind of began to focus on entrepreneurship and innovation across the curriculum. Whether you're going to go into the arts, there are lots of business parts of the arts that you can work in. You don't have to be on the stage. The labor market is going to change. The situation is going to change while you're out there. The economy is going to change while you're out there. You need to be able to be an entrepreneur; how do I respond to the changes in

the market so that Temple graduates can succeed regardless of what the labor market is, what changes in their profession and so on. So, making sure they have good knowledge but also have those entrepreneurial innovation skills they need.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Success regardless, I like that. Thank you, sir.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: First of all, I think we all provide a lot of information. Our majors do because they're in the business of attracting students and recruiting the best and the brightest students to their programs. But I also feel compelled to rise up in defense of the liberal arts. Students vote with their feet, and they are passionate about various things.

I will sit here and tell you, I'd be the last person to tell a student who is really passionate about majoring in music or majoring in political science or anthropology that you shouldn't do this because it's not good for you.

Part of the role of universities has always been to produce an educated citizenry; a citizenry that helps to enrich far more than the workplace. There's a new study that just came out that showed that liberal arts' graduates, while

they don't earn as much in the first few years after graduation, by 10 years they've caught up.

2.5

I would challenge everyone to look at the educational background of the CEOs of top companies and how many liberal arts graduates you will find among them. So, I still champion the liberal arts unabashedly and hope that students who are really passionate about it will pursue them.

REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: And I agree with you. Perhaps as a minor, if they want to go out, though, and pay their debt off, because I truly love liberal arts. That's part of my life as far as academia goes. But still, when I see these debts and I hear complaints from parents, and sometimes they're taking six years instead of four, it's that kind of economy.

So, I think we're all agreeing. We're all on the same page. It's just to align them with a good-paying job after they graduate.

I was going to ask, and I'm sorry,

Chancellor, do you put statistics out there, like,

how many of your students have jobs upon

graduation? Do you help them get jobs from your

institution upon graduation?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Yes. We counsel

1 from the start. We combine career counseling with 2 academic counseling. We do produce statistics with 3 respect to placement. We do emphasize things like internships that often are an avenue to a good-paying job. 5 6 But I do want to second what President 7 Erickson said. That is, once the students are 8 informed, they do have choices to make. And there are studies that show this gap closes --10 And I'll just say, I was a child of 11 Sputnik. If you were good in math or science, you 12 were pushed in math or science. I was a math major. I would not know a complex differential 13 14 equation if it walked through the door today. But 15 I did get an education that permitted me to keep learning and growing and taking on new 16 17 responsibilities, and I think we all try to do that 18 for our students. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: I appreciate 20

your answers. Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative. Representative Mike O'Brien.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon, gentlemen.

21

22

23

24

We've spent some time now talking about the intangible worth of a good education and what your institutions bring to that. But I like to ask the same question every year, and I think we need to turn for a moment and talk about the tangibles.

Can you tell us, each of your universities, how much money you produce every year outside of the university; what the university pays for paper, what the kids pay for pizzas or Burger King? How much money does your university put into your immediate geographic area?

estimates of those figures, but it's a tremendous amount, obviously. We are across the Commonwealth, literally, with our campuses. We have about a billion-three-hundred-million-dollar operation in our academic health center down the road here in Hershey.

operating budget, the vast majority of that is spent within the Commonwealth because, for most of us, we're a people industry, so we're probably spending roughly about 70 percent. Some of us, maybe more in terms of our overall budgets in people costs, and those are almost exclusively

local.

1.3

2.5

In addition to that, we buy a lot of various kinds of supplies in operating things. We purchase transportation services, utilities and on down the line. The vast majority of that 4.4-billion-dollar annual operating budget stays right here in the Commonwealth.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: So, it would be very reasonable to say, in both the category of tangible and intangible, state-related universities give a hell of a return on the investment of the Commonwealth. Thank you, gentlemen.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative. Representative Scott Petri.

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Gentlemen, I would say for the record, being a father doing a search of colleges, you do represent an affordable opportunity for Pennsylvanians. I know that, oftentimes, we look at other states and we're jealous of the in-state tuition, as I would be if I was a resident of certain other states. But, compared to some of the other options at least my young man is considering, they look pretty good.

So, having started there, I'm going to set up this question so that I don't get skewered by the Chairman in going over the time. I'm going to have eight questions for you, and I don't expect you to answer them today. We can deal with your folks off-line or in writing, however you want to handle it. But let me just set up the question a moment.

According to statistics, including, recently, the President of the United States indicate that 1 in 19 female students during their term is either sexually assaulted or there's an attempted sexual assault, and 95 percent go unreported. There may be a lot of reasons why the victim chooses not to report. But, as we all know, there's a hearing process involved if someone does report and there's a situation.

I'm going to use this context in a private university. So we're clear, it's none of you. It's a private college of the highest degree. I'll tell you what has been reported to me happened in that hearing process, and then I'll go through just a quick line of questions.

According to the information I received, when the complaint was filed, the person was

assigned an advocate, but they did not appear at the hearing, so they went unrepresented. The hearing lasted eight hours with no dinner break. The victim was not allowed to present all of her testimony because, quote, time expired.

And, most shocking of all, the hearing officer made the young lady describe, even though she initially refused to do so, her prior sexual experience. Ultimately, the record was destroyed within a short time period, like a week.

So looking at that, one could obviously think that, if that occurred at a university that I attended or my child attended, particularly a daughter, that might be a disincentive, if you will, for anyone to file to have to go through that process. So here's the questions, generally. And again, we can do this off-line.

In your hearing process, does the student get an advocate? And if they don't have one, the hearing is delayed until they could?

Are questions asked of victims about their prior sexual experience? I would hope the answer would be no.

Are students generally dissuaded from filing? In this case, apparently, the university

or college continually said, oh, you shouldn't file; you shouldn't file.

2.5

Are records retained for whatever the appropriate time period is under the Clery Act?

Does the board regularly review the data to determine whether there's a problem?

Of course, the ultimate question: What are we as universities doing about educating our students when they enter the campus so they understand what their conduct should be and what the consequences of failing to conduct --

If you want to comment on that part of the question, I think that's probably the most salient piece of information to convey to people. Thank you.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, that is a horror story. We would hope that, perhaps, there are things about the report that are not accurate. We would be very glad to provide information with respect to the specifics on the process that you raised.

But let me begin where you ended and simply say that, we have very proactive processes within the university intended to reach out, both to the young men and the young women who are

students, to make clear what some of the risks might be; how they can best be avoided; and then actually do have a process that is designed to encourage reporting and to provide appropriate guidance and protections if reports are made.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I would say the same is true of Penn State. In fact, I would say, if this report was accurate, the legal authorities should have been contacted. This is really a legal matter. It sounds to me like there's a high probability that a crime was committed here and should have been dealt with in that particular manner.

I'd also like to come back to

Representative Clymer's comment at the beginning of
the hearing, and that related to alcohol and
substance abuse. We often find that many of these
instances of sexual assault are also related to
excessive use of alcohol. The two are often
closely linked, and we have to really deal with
both issues in terms of our educational
programming.

DR. THEOBALD: Yeah, I agree with all of that. I mean, very much the focus of our orientation when students come to campus is on both

1.3

of these issues. We try to make aware immediately, what are the services provided, what is this going to do to you; and know the consequences as well, if they do choose to partake.

DR. JENNINGS: I would say ditto to what's been said previously. But, we do have a very strong orientation program. I have gone a step further, in that, every August I have a separate meeting of all men on campus; every male employee, every male student, and I talk about what it means to be a man, first of all, and then I describe what it means to be a Lincoln man; how we carry ourselves, how we treat women, what we do to them, what we don't want to do to them.

Then I have a meeting the next day with all women on campus. I'm only in that meeting for a short period of time to describe to women what men look for in a decent woman. Then I sort of leave them to the dean of students who sort of takes it further, and she talks to them about women kinds of issues. We found that it helps; the incident, again, of those kinds of problems and concerns. And we can do that because we're smaller than these three institutions. So, we can have that kind of family-type conversation with young

people, but we found that it helps.

1.3

2.5

REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I thank all four of you for your responses. I was fairly confident that this is something a major university would be focused on. For me, it's a fairness issue. You're expected under the Clery Act to report certain things, and it's well beyond sexual assault. It's any incident that occurs on campus.

Every campus I go to now has a blue light of some kind for emergency situations, and students actually look at safety information. But if you're fairly reporting and someone is not, that's also not a fair comparison for those. I thought these statistics were startling.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative. Representative Matt Bradford.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

I just want to follow up. I know
there's been some talk about the Governor's
Commission on Postsecondary Education. I was
wondering, based on that commission, has there been
any action, concrete or otherwise, that's come down
from the Administration, or just advisory they're

1 hoping you guys will enact or work upon? 2 CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: To my knowledge, there has been no follow-up action. There was some 3 discussion for a period of weeks after the report was first released, but if more has been done, I'm 6 unaware of it. 7 DR. JENNINGS: I don't know of any action that has been taken as a result of the 8 9 report being completed. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: The other two 11 presidents? 12 DR. THEOBALD: I was not here, so I 1.3 don't know about the report. REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: That's a good 14 15 reason. PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I'm not aware of 16 any specific actions. I don't believe anyone has 17 18 come back to any of us as commissioners. REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: One of the 19 20 things that was discussed about the floor in terms 21 of funding and where we go from there; obviously, I 22 quess, in the Governor's first budget, there was proposed a 50 percent cut. I think that was 23 24 brought to 19 and then, subsequently, that's been

flat-funded since. I guess there was a mid-term

5 percent cut, too, that compounded some of those cuts.

One of the responses that is often given is that, basically, state aid can be divorced from tuition increases and that, therefore, can be divorced from student debt. I'm just wondering if any of the presidents would like to talk about that notion or dispel that, or is there any logic to that theory of school funding?

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Well, I'm not sure I understand the theory, but I think we can clearly demonstrate that there is a very direct relationship between levels of state funding and levels of tuition charges. You can make a graph and you can show, when one goes down, the other goes up. It's almost a perfect relationship.

And I do want to say, too, because the commission report did talk about the other aspects of institutional contribution; that the initial cuts were 19 percent to our general appropriation, but they were 50 percent to our academic medical support. They were 50 percent to our capital project support and, of course, we also have now lost tobacco settlement monies that were very important in leveraging our ability to attract

federal grants from outside of the Commonwealth and into our institutions. So, it was a multi-phased cut when all was said and done.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: I'd just like to

add to Mark's comment that there is a very
noticeable inverse relationship between
appropriation increase and tuition increase. But
also to point out that, over the years,
appropriation has fallen to such a low level of our
educational and general budget that, now, a
5 percent increase in appropriation is equivalent
to a 1 percent increase in tuition.

So it takes a huge amount of appropriation increase to be equivalent to just one percent of tuition, because the proportion of appropriation now in our educational and general budget is down to about 13 percent. That's that core instructional budget.

DR. THEOBALD: I have nothing to add to that; about the same.

DR. JENNINGS: Same.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: I appreciate you taking the time. I'll be honest, President Erickson, I didn't even realize that. That's a really interesting way of realizing, how to dig out

1.3

of this now would really have a big impact on 1 2 student tuition and, subsequently, debt. PRESIDENT ERICKSON: That said, every 3 4 dollar helps. 5 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, 6 President. Thank you, Chairman Adolph. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 8 Representative Tom Killion. 9 REPRESENTATIVE KILLION: Thank you, Mr. 10 Chairman. And, gentlemen, thank you for what you 11 do; the two retirees, best of luck. 12 Bill mentioned that President Erickson 13 needs to maybe get a little less stressed. I don't 14 know how you could have had any more stress than 15 you've had. I, as a Penn Stater and a father of a daughter that graduated in 2010 and a daughter 16 17 that's there now, a senior, I want to thank you for what you did for the university. Your leadership 18 19 really got us through some tough times. I know we 20 have a ways to go, but thank you. We really mean 21 that. 22 I do want to talk about Thon, and I did 23 last year. I was fortunate enough this year to go. 24 I was there on Saturday, and I was there for the

last five hours on Sunday. It was just amazing.

My daughter, as I mentioned, is a senior. People think it's just the kids dancing. It's the stands dancing. My daughter was there, even though she wasn't a dancer, the entire time, from the beginning to the end. I stopped over to see her at one point; she was exhausted.

And what they go through to get there.

We hosted her sorority, Pi Beta Phi, at our home.

They came out for their canning, where they go out throughout the Commonwealth to raise money. You'll see them standing on the corners. And just watching these girls. It was a very cold day, and they came back and dumped all that money on the floor, and they were so excited about counting it.

It's just amazing.

And then being there in person this year -- Now, the last time I was there was in 1979 when my fraternity was involved, and the entire event raised about \$45,000 or something; the whole thing. Obviously, it's come a long way.

But I would encourage anyone that's never seen it or can't get there, go on YouTube and look at why we dance; the history, the story of Thon. First, get some tissues because it's a real tearjerker. I think it's a 2012 one they talk

1 about.

2.5

Then also, I think next year when you come to an intersection and you see those kids on the corner with their white cans and their white sign, you're gonna dig a little deeper in your pocket. And I just want to congratulate the students.

We heard from Representative Clymer about the concerns with alcohol and substance abuse and all the bad things we think about with our kids. You go to this event or watch that video, you will have a whole new perception of what our kids are doing at these schools. I'm just so proud of Penn State for what they've accomplished with Thon. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:

Representative Santarsiero.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Chastened as I am by the last hearing, I'll try to be as quick as I can, Mr. Chairman.

Gentlemen, welcome. I want to wish both

President Erickson and Chancellor Nordenberg the

best in the future and, obviously, to Doctor

Theobald and Doctor Jennings, we look forward to continue to work with you in the future.

2.5

Kind of picking up on the line of questioning that Representative Bradford began, I noticed, in doing some research, that the National Conference of State Legislatures published a report that said, on average, last year states increased funding to higher ed by about 5 percent.

Obviously, we didn't do that here in Pennsylvania.

But picking up on what you said,

President Erickson, about the relationship between

state funding and tuition, what kind of a state

funding increase would we need in order to help

stabilize tuition; to avoid increases and stabilize

it over a longer period of time?

Could each of you, perhaps, address that? I'd be grateful.

PRESIDENT ERICKSON: We've put ourselves in a position over many years. I want to make that clear. It's not just in recent years. It's really several decades now where the public funding for our universities, for public universities, has declined very significantly as a proportion of the total and relative to personal income or per capita funding, or however you want to measure it.

None of us here are Pollyanna-ish about that, all of a sudden, the world is going to turn around. We know we've been in a great recession, and the Commonwealth has been relatively slow coming out of that. But I think, again, we were cognizant of that when three of us here were members of that Postsecondary Advisory Commission; that I thought we put together a good path, a good map for how, year by year, we increased that so that at the baseline we get up to the average of where we were before things really began to decline in the early part of the past decade.

I thought it was a very realistic kind of approach. I seriously doubt that the General Assembly is going to have the resources to change what has been decades in the making. I think what we need is to follow a plan where we're saying, look, we're going to make an investment on the part of the people in Pennsylvania, and we're going to stick with that until we at least get back to some area where we need to be so that we can keep our tuition increases very moderate in the future, inflationary kinds of increases; keep the doors of opportunity open, be affordable. And that, I think, in the long run will pay big dividends for

the Commonwealth. But I think it needs a long-term commitment now to really recover from, basically, 40 years of underinvestment.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: All right. Thank you.

DR. JENNINGS: I would just add to that. I certainly concur with what he just said. But I think we spent a lot of time on that commission working through the issues, and I think what we came forward with was a realistic plan. I was there for every meeting. I did not miss a one, and I can tell you that there were people who felt that we had to take under consideration the constraints under which the Commonwealth and the whole nation had been operating. So what I think we came forward with was a realistic plan.

I was certainly hopeful of the fact, and I'm still hopeful of the fact that this is going to be the year that we start to implement what we recommended and which was accepted by the Governor who came to hear the final report and thank the committee for its work.

CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: To be clear, this was not a commission that was limited to higher education leaders. It did include business

2.0

leaders and others who look at the system from a different perspective but still said, this is one of the great assets of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We really need to make certain that we don't fall so low that we lose the advantages that it brings. And we have fallen pretty low.

It is true that this has been a problem years in the making, but the last few years have been particularly difficult, and we are now back to mid-'90s levels of funding, in absolute dollars, unadjusted for inflation. If there are inflationary adjustments made, we now are funded at a lower level than any level since we became state-related.

Still we said, we've been in it with you all along. We know, when there are tough times, everyone has got to contribute. I think most of us were expecting or hoping what would be relatively modest increases, you know, a 5 percent stepback or something like that; not that anything could be turned around overnight.

And I do want to make clear that, without the support of people in this room, we know we would have been in even worse shape today, and so we're grateful for that. We work hard every day

to make certain that the returns on the investments you make in us are great returns for Pennsylvania and its people.

DR. THEOBALD: I was not on the commission, and it was before my time.

2.5

Another way to look at this, in terms of what the return is to the state investing in higher education is, what are the tax payments made by college graduates? If you look at any data that the census department puts out on that, the return, the college graduates pay somewhere between eight to \$10,000 more per year in taxes than the average citizen does. You're getting that returned. That's local, state and federal. It doesn't all come to the state government.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Right.

DR. THEOBALD: But you're looking at where we're investing 3,000, 4,000, \$5,000 a year, for four years, and yielding 8,000 a year for 30 years, 35 years. That's a phenomenal return on investment for a state.

REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Thank you.

We often talk about accessibility to higher education and the importance of that, and that was a very good take on that piece in terms of

impact on the rest of the economy and our revenues. But it seems to me that, if we really want to make it accessible, we really want to avoid high levels of debt for our kids, we do have to have that long-range plan, and we have to be serious about it.

I know there's bipartisan support for that, but it does trouble me because, as you just said, Chancellor Nordenberg, you thought perhaps a modest 5 percent cut would have been something under the economic conditions that you would have expected, and we had something more than three times that. And it still hasn't been remedied, and we're still not proposing a remedy now, and it's really high time that we did.

Thank you both very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative. Representative Bernie O'Neill.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Thank you, gentlemen, for being here. I apologize. I'm like the winter; this cold doesn't want to go away, so i apologize for that.

First of all, I want to thank you all for what you do. In my family we have at least three of their graduates from all three schools.

Doctor Erickson, I want to thank you because, as an alum, I can honestly tell you, I don't think people realize in this Commonwealth, or the alumni, what you've done for the university over the last three or four years given the situation. I would just want to personally thank you for standing up and doing the right thing.

Chancellor, there's an old saying, happy

wife is a happy life. I think that's how it goes.

I want to thank you on behalf of my wife, and I

don't know if you remember, she's a Pitt grad. We

have the house divided. But my wife,

unfortunately, when she was in college had to work.

Given her major, she was always working on

Saturdays, so she never attended a Pitt game. This

year--I won't say how many years later--she finally

attended her very first Pitt football game.

And I want to thank your very able government relations guy, Charlie McLaughlin, because he guaranteed the chancellor guaranteed a victory. It was against a team that very little of us know about in the Midwest somewhere. I think Notre Dame or something other.

(Laughter).

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: So I want to

thank you. You made her a very happy woman.

Though parking is tough around there, let me tell
you.

I just want to talk very quickly about the appropriations. Someone can correct me if I'm wrong, but this is my 12th year here in the legislature, and as best I can remember, your line items and the appropriation have either been flat-lined or reduced through that entire time. I think you're hearing a lot from a lot of people about the importance of higher education and the impact it has on Pennsylvania. So, you've really been doing a lot with less over the years.

I just want to drive home one thing, and
I just want you all to address it. And that's
simply that, your appropriations, every penny that
you get from the Commonwealth and the taxpayer,
goes to student tuition for in-state students; is
that correct?

DR. THEOBALD: Much more than, yes. Our discount for resident students is far beyond what we receive in the state appropriation. It would be almost double.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: Oh, great.

DR. JENNINGS: The same would be the

1 case at Lincoln, yes. 2 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Yes. CHANCELLOR NORDENBERG: Yes. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: So our appropriations are helping you to keep the tuition 5 down for students. Great. 6 One last thing. I had the opportunity 8 last year to sit down with your staff here at Penn State, and they showed me, basically, the formula; the system that you use and how you come up with 10 11 your tuition for your students every year. 12 really believe that's something you really need to 13 educate the Appropriations Committee and the people 14 here in Harrisburg to see. I think they would have 15 a better understanding why your tuition is where it's at and the impact that we have on your tuition 16 17 for in-state students. 18 PRESIDENT ERICKSON: Okay. 19 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEILL: But thank you, 20 gentlemen, and happy retirement. I'm sure we'll be 21 seeing you around. Thank you. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, Representative O'Neill. Chairman Markosek was not 23 24 happy with that result of that Pitt-Notre Dame

game.

I want to thank each and every one of you for your testimony today. At times, you can see an awful lot of support on both sides of the aisle for our state-related universities. I personally want to thank each and every one of you for coming here. With your professionalism, it could have been a lot tougher hearing.

I understand the appropriations have been stymied for many, many years. We'd like to turn that around as the economy gets better, as Doctor Jenkins had indicated that the commission had in mind, and the Chancellor as well.

We're going to take a look at that commission report. We're going to work with it. I can't promise you anything right now, but the more information that you give us regarding the cost-saving elements that you're doing to try to make higher education more affordable and accessible --

I know the Governor did put in this budget, that we didn't go over a lot with today, is the \$25 million new grant; middle class they were talking about. It will affect each one of your student bodies a little differently, I understand. But it's something that we hear from our constituency throughout the Commonwealth.

Without a doubt, you are an asset to our Commonwealth, and we do appreciate it. We will work with you to try to keep these state-related universities a world-class university. Thank you very much, and best of luck to you two. And good luck to you two. Thank you very much. We will reconvene in about five minutes for the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency. Thank you. (At 4:05 p.m., the budget hearing concluded).

CERTIFICATE I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the County of York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, of the budget hearing stenographically taken by me and reduced to computer printout under my supervision. This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under my direct control and/or supervision. Karen J. Meister Reporter, Notary Public