1				
2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA			
3	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE			
4				
5	MAIN CAPITOL ROOM 140			
6	HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA			
7	BUDGET HEARING			
8	DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES			
	11771777777			
9	WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2014 4:01 P.M.			
10				
11	BEFORE:			
12	HONORABLE WILLIAM F. ADOLPH, JR., Majority Chairman			
13	HONORABLE KAREN BOBACK HONORABLE JIM CHRISTIANA			
14	HONORABLE GARY DAY HONORABLE GORDON DENLINGER			
15	HONORABLE BRIAN ELLIS			
16	HONORABLE GARTH EVERETT HONORABLE GLEN GRELL			
17	HONORABLE SETH GROVE HONORABLE ADAM HARRIS			
18	HONORABLE THOMAS KILLION HONORABLE DAVID MILLARD			
19	HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO HONORABLE DONNA OBERLANDER			
20	HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL HONORABLE MICHAEL PEIFER			
21	HONORABLE SCOTT PETRI HONORABLE JEFF PYLE			
22	HONORABLE CURT SONNEY HONORABLE JOSEPH MARKOSEK, Minority Chairman			
	HONORABLE MATTHEW BRADFORD			
23	HONORABLE MICHELLE BROWNLEE HONORABLE MIKE CARROLL			
24	HONORABLE H. SCOTT CONKLIN			
25				

```
BEFORE: (cont'd)
1
2
     HONORABLE MADELEINE DEAN
     HONORABLE DEBERAH KULA
3
     HONORABLE TIM MAHONEY
     HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN
4
     HONORABLE CHERELLE PARKER
     HONORABLE JOHN SABATINA
5
     HONORABLE STEVEN SANTARSIERO
     HONORABLE JAKE WHEATLEY
6
     ALSO PRESENT:
7
     HONORABLE MATT BAKER
8
     HONORABLE PAUL CLYMER
     HONORABLE GENE DIGIROLAMO
9
     HONORABLE MATT GABLER
     HONORABLE MARK KELLER
10
     HONORABLE STEVE MENTZER
     HONORABLE DARYL METCALFE
11
     HONORABLE NICK MICOZZIE
     HONORABLE MARGUERITE QUINN
12
     HONORABLE MIKE REGAN
     HONORABLE DOM COSTA
13
     HONORABLE PAM DELISSIO
     HONORABLE WILLIAM KORTZ
14
     HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTE
     HONORABLE DAN MILLER
     HONORABLE MIKE SCHLOSSBERG
15
     HONORABLE KEVIN SCHREIBER
16
     DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
17
     DAN CLARK, MAJORITY CHIEF COUNSEL
     MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
18
19
20
21
22
                     BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR
23
                         P. O. BOX 278
                       MAYTOWN, PA 17550
24
                    717-426-1596 PHONE/FAX
25
```

			3
1	INDEX		
2	NAME	PAGE	
3	SHERI PHILLIPS	5	
4	SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
LO			
L1			
L2			
L3			
L4			
L5			
L6			
L7			
L8			
L9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you. 3 Good afternoon. I'd like to reconvene the House Appropriations Committee budget hearings. 4 Our next hearing is the secretary of 5 the Department of General Services. 6 7 Good afternoon, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. 8 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Nice to see 9 10 you. 11 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Good to see you, 12 too. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I hope we 14 did not keep you waiting too long. 15 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: It's worth the 16 wait. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Worth the 17 18 wait. I'm glad to hear that. 19 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Hopefully, you'll 20 say the same. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Secretary 22 Phillips, would you like to make a brief opening 23 statement and introduce to the committee the 24 gentleman at the table with you? 25 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I will do that.

```
And if you would like, I can just enter my
1
2
     statement into the record, if you would prefer.
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
 3
                                              Whatever you
     prefer.
 4
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
5
                                       Okay.
                                               I'm Sheri
     Phillips, the secretary of General Services, and I
6
7
    have James Henning with me, our deputy secretary
     for admin.
8
                  Can you hear me?
9
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
10
                                              Yes.
11
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Closer.
12
                  So, if you would like to -- I
13
     appreciate you having me here today. And, as I
     said, I have remarks, but if you would prefer just
14
15
     to enter them, I'd be fine with that.
16
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay.
                                                     Thank
17
     you.
                  As is the custom of Chairman Markosek
18
19
     and I, we also invite the chairmen of the standing
20
     committee, and with us today is Chairman Daryl
21
     Metcalfe, of the State Government Committee.
                  Good afternoon, chairman.
22
23
                  REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE:
                                             Good
24
     afternoon.
25
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                              Thank you.
```

Chairman Markosek. 1 2 MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: Thank you, 3 Chairman Adolph. Welcome, Secretary. 4 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: 5 Thank you. MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK: 6 7 Mr. Henning, thanks for being here today. We were thinking we might have to get 8 extra chairs in here for the -- we had to do that 9 for the last group, but, thank you. Thanks for 10 11 being here. 12 I do not have any questions at this 13 time, Mr. Chairman. And I'll just save my time; 14 the other members can use my time for questions. 15 Thank you. 16 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. Ι 17 just have a quick question that came up with some other hearings. I know the general assembly is 18 19 giving the authorization to the Department of 20 General Services to sell some surplus properties. 21 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Yes. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Could you 23 give us and update on how that is going and what 24 you feel the future is? 25 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Again, we

currently have fifty surplus properties listed on our list that we have that we're looking at selling. Nine of them are under agreements of sale. There's another -- of the other properties, there's seven that are very close to coming -- that are getting finalized. And the other properties are in various aspects of the process, getting surveys or appraisals, some may be waiting for some special legislation. So, they're moving along very well.

We have taken great steps, I think, to try and push these properties through to reduce the carrying costs for the agencies as well as get the income into the budget.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Okay. A brief description, what type of properties are they?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We have a lot of armories. We have the one omnibus bill that had a lot of armories approved on there, so we've been working through those properties. We have two prison projects -- or two properties. We have a multitude of other buildings. I can go through a list if you want more specifics.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: No. That's

fine. Thank you. 1 2 Chairman Metcalfe. REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE: 3 Thank you, Chairman Adolph. 4 And good afternoon. 5 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE: My question's similar to what Chairman Adolph had asked. A 8 little more specific related to the Act 56, which 9 we passed in 2013. And we had given permission to 10 DGS to sell twelve armories. 11 Can you tell me the status of those 12 twelve armories and what's been sold or what's 13 still -- where we're at with those? 14 15 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I can. T can. 16 They're in various stages. We have one, two, 17 three, four, five, six, seven of those -- we have seven armories. I don't know if they were all part 18 of that act, actually. One, two, three, four --19 20 five of the seven that we have under agreement of sale already of those twelve. 21 In addition, we have some other 22 23 properties that -- and pretty much the rest of 24 them, I think, are out for bid. Yes. 25 majority of them are out for bid. So, they're

either under agreement of sale or out for bid, for the most part.

REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE: And related to the same type of question, can you tell me, of the properties that have been sold in 2013, what has -- what has the state realized in financial gain from those properties being sold during 2013? Do you have a number for us?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We do. We actually -- I had a total number on the properties sold since we've been in here completely, but in 2013, we were just under seven million dollars in sales, and since 2011, our properties are just over thirty-four million dollars.

REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE: Thank you. Thank you.

The last question, Mr. Chairman, my final question, related to some properties up in Erie, there's several properties there that a community group has had an interest in. The representatives from that area have had an interest in it. Senators, over time, have had an interest in those properties. In fact, in October I went and visited the properties and met with some of the community folks there related to those properties

and had a couple of my colleagues joining me there. Representative Sonney and Representative Roae, I think Representative Bizzarro had all met me there; we toured those properties.

I was told, and we've had meetings with your staff and other staff, other state employees that were involved in the meetings. We were told that they were going to be developing RFIs on those three properties, and that, originally, we were given a time frame of September of last year that those RFIs would be available to put out and see what type of interest was there in the community in actually purchasing those properties with the various restrictions that might be on each of those properties.

September came and went, and we had no RFIs. Continued to work with your staff, and we were told November. November should be the month that the RFIs would be released. November came and went; no RFIs.

Of course, we come into the new year.

January, I'm being questioned by members of my

committee. We have a specific senator that's very

interested in these properties being dealt with,

and I have no answers for them other than, you

know, the commitments that have been made by your department have not been fulfilled. September, November, now we're end of February, looking at March.

Today, we finally get some information from your department that gives us a template for an RFI, but still no RFIs for these three specific properties.

So, almost half a year passing since we've been discussing this. And, actually, we got together last summer and talked about this, because this was an issue that came up amongst the membership back before the last budget was complete. So, we're now approaching this year's budget, and we still have no progress on this.

And it was conveyed to me that part of the sentiment that was shared from staff at some point was that this was kind of a low priority and other things had taken a priority. I have an issue with that because commitments were made to the members of this general assembly -- myself and others -- on dealing with this, and we have no progress from our perspective.

Can you tell me what your thoughts are on that, what your response is? Or if you don't

have any knowledge of this and the problems we've been having, then maybe you could follow up with us at a later time to help us get some resolution there.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We have made progress on it. I apologize if it's not to the timing that you had been told in the past. But we have developed the RFI, and it's going to be going out, at the latest, by March 15th. We're including the properties that are on there that PHMC currently has leases on those properties, so the costs that they're incurring are lower.

We have some other large properties that we have agreements of sale on, as we've said, which were trying to push through the -- we're trying to get the biggest bang for the buck, so a commitment shouldn't have been made to you, that I'm not aware of, as far as actual dates being given to you. But -- we have a limited staff as far as our sales people, but they've been working on it. And like I said, the RFI has been completed. And I know they wanted to take the time to give the general assembly a chance to review it and discuss it with the other agencies involved.

So, that could have been some of the reasons why it

```
was wasn't issued yet, but it is ready to go out
1
2
     and should be out by March 15th, at the latest.
                  REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE: So, March
 3
     15th, we have a commitment from you that we will
4
    have the RFIs in hand.
5
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Yes.
6
7
                  REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE:
                                             Great.
     Thanks.
8
9
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       Yes.
10
                  REPRESENTATIVE METCALFE:
                                            Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
11
12
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
13
     Chairman Metcalfe.
14
                  The chair was remiss in acknowledging
15
     the presence of Representative Gabler.
16
                  Representative Mike O'Brien.
                  REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:
17
                                            Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman,
18
19
                  And I'm over here, Madam Secretary.
20
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       Thank you.
                  REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: And welcome
21
22
     today.
23
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       Thank you.
24
                  REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:
                                            I also serve
25
     on the State Government Committee. And we had a
```

```
matter that came before us that wasn't dealt with
1
2
     because of peripheral issues, but, nonetheless, I
     think it raised a series of interesting questions.
 3
     It was the matter of the Temple Ambler campus.
 4
                  Now, the land that state-related
 5
     universities sit on, Commonwealth own it?
6
7
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       It can vary.
     Sometimes the state-related universities use their
8
     own money to buy property. So, if they use their
9
     own money, it could be under their name, if they
10
     use their own raised money. If the Commonwealth of
11
12
     PA puts money into a project, then it is under our
13
     name. We delegate those projects to larger
14
     universities to build or buy. So, it could be
15
     both.
16
                  REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:
                                           So, in this
17
     case, we would venture to guess that, because a
     piece of legislation needed to be done to convey
18
     this, that it was, in fact, owned by the
19
20
     Commonwealth.
21
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       The property at
22
     Temple?
23
                  REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:
                                           Yes.
24
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Just to clarify,
25
     which property are you referring to? I think I
```

know but --

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Temple Ambler. Sorry I don't have the metes and bounds.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Okay. Yeah, I -I'd say yes, that was a property that had a clause
in it that said if they wanted to buy out the
restriction on that property, that they could pay
us the fair market value for it and then they would
have the ability to sell that property.

market value, how do we come up with this? Do we come up with this as an existing use, an educational institution? Or do we come up -- or do we come up with that amount for the perspective use, the highest and best use? What's the criteria that's used?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We get an appraisal done based on -- well, the appraiser can look at it. Sometimes he gives various options within the appraisal, so we can get it broken down different ways. Depending on the property or what it is, sometimes we may not look at a proposed use unless there is a definitive -- if we know we have a definite buyer for a proposed use, then we may actually ask them to do that in the appraisal. So,

1 | it can be done a variety of ways.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: So, in essence, it becomes the discretion of the department.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Well, it's still based on an outside appraisal. But, as far as how the appraisal's done, we talk to the appraiser about that and then they give their feedback on it and that's how it's determined.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: So, if we're looking at an appraisal for a continuation of an educational process, if we're looking at a township wants to take that for park land or if we're thinking that a developer wants to take that for housing units, so now you have three appraisals in front of you.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: No, we don't pay for -- we don't ask for three different appraisals. It's an appraisal and then, like I said, within there, they can sometimes do different -- as in many appraisals, they can look at various aspects, and they can come up with an average. I mean, it can be spelled out either way. But when we look at it, if we're asking for how it's done, we're obviously looking at it in what is the best respect

for the commonwealth and what's going to bring the 1 2 most money for the taxpayers. REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Let's move on, 3 if we can, with land owned by education 4 institutions or Commonwealth. Under Act 147 of 5 2012, the Indigenous Mineral Resource Development, 6 it authorizes DGS to sell or lease oil, gas, 7 mineral rights held by Commonwealth in the State 8 System of Higher Education. 9 10 Has there been any interest in that? Has any of the companies come forth and said, We'd 11 12 like to do this? 13 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Yes, there has. 14 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Could you share with the committee? 15 16 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Well, what we did, 17 initially, when the act was passed, we sat down and developed procedures with DCNR. We talked to them 18 19 and went through the process as far as how would we 20 look at each of these properties, how would we go through and determine which had OGM rights 21 22 underneath it. And what process would we use to 23 determine the value and things like that. Obviously, DCNR has done this in the 24 25 past with land that they have owned. We have never

done that, so we met with DCNR, and we came up with a MOU to determine what DGS would do and what DCNR would do, as was in the legislation. It said that we can work them.

So, we determined that first. And then what we did is an outreach to all the agencies that it could affect that have buildings that may have OGM rights related to them as well as the State System of Higher Education. We met with them. And we also met with the operators that already have wells or things with coal or whatever in the area.

One of the issues and one of things that we came up with in our procedures to help move things along, the research on whether we own the OGM rights or any of them can be very costly, and it can be very time consuming. So, what we looked at with the operators, if they have something that's right close by where they could actually benefit from Act 147 and using that, they've come forward to say, Okay, this is what we would like to talk to you about. And here's the research we've already done, and then we can verify that.

We've had one property -- we have almost -- we've been in negotiations with right now, that's -- and it's actually, ironically -- our

first deal was actually for coal, not gas, which was surprising, but that one is under discussion now. And we also have another one under -Torrance State Hospital, that we are looking working with them also. So, we've had at least two come forward. Some others have expressed interest, but they haven't pursued it at this point.

REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Do you have a sense of the percentage of land that is under the control of DGS that is a prospective development site?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We do not. Part of the issue -- and that's why we developed the procedures with DCNR that we did. We know where there may be -- because of the maps that DCNR, that they have, we know where there may be a possibility that there's something under the ground there. The problem is, some of our properties that we own were bought back in the 1800s. There were various deals made that could have excluded or included the OGM rights.

So, to actually figure that out, like I said, for each piece of property -- in certain ones, like if you look at the state hospital properties, some of them were bought under various

parts and parcels as they have grown over the 1 2 years, so each one of those has to have separate research done on their titles and the agreement 3 from when it was bought to research to deeds to see who actually owns it. So, it's a long, drawn-out 5 process, which is why we decided to look at the 6 low-hanging fruit first, to say, okay, if there's 7 somebody that has one nearby, come forward and talk 8 to us about it, show us what information you have, 9 and then we'll verify that and work through it with 10 11 them. 12 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: And my final. 13 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Okay. 14 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: So, as the 15 department prepares a Rule 32 -- which, by the way, 16 as an aside, we'd greatly appreciate if we got that 17 a little bit sooner than we have -- but, as the department is doing that, do they take into 18 19 consideration in the sale price if there's mineral, 20 gas, coal rights involved with this? SECRETARY PHILLIPS: 21 We do. 22 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: In short, are 23 you giving up a source of revenue? 24 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We, normally, if

we -- we usually condition it on the fact that we

25

would retain those rights. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you, 3 Madam Secretary. Appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: You're welcome. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 6 7 Representative. 8 Representative Glen Grell. 9 REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 10 11 Thank you, Madam Secretary. 12 I wanted to ask you a couple questions 13 about emergency medical services within the capitol I'm looking for some education on how 14 complex. 15 those services are provided to the commonwealth. Т understand there was a situation a couple months 16 17 ago that sort of called into question how that's 18 done and you have made some changes, I want to hear 19 about those. But I'm bringing it up in the context 20 of the line item in your budget, and I think also DCED, to provide for some reimbursement to the city 21 22 of Harrisburg for the value of fire and police 23 services that are provided to the capitol complex. 24 And I don't want to lose sight of emergency medical 25 services if that's something we should also be

taking into consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I guess the first question is, how are emergency medical services provided to the capitol complex?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: When there is a call to 911, when there is something serious where a call is made to 911, those calls go to the county, so Dauphin County would actually respond to They turn around and call the capitol police them. and inform them also. So, they're responding as quickly as possible. And by their phone calls to the capitol police, the capitol police work with them, as a result of one of these where there was an issue where they had an ambulance come to the wrong place and there was a delay. They've changed the procedures to say, okay, when you make that call to the capitol police, they walk them through it and say, "Okay, here's where you should come, and here's where we'll met you, " and make it more specific so that Dauphin county knows where to come to respond. And that was in the example of an ambulance that was coming for someone.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. But where are the ambulances coming from? My understanding is that the city of Harrisburg doesn't have an

ambulance service, so these are private providers or other providers that are actually sending the ambulances.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Dauphin County would make that determination when 911 is called.

REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Okay. The reason I'm asking, you know, there is this line item for fire and police service reimbursement, and I'm fully supportive of providing the city with a reasonable reimbursement for the actual costs of those services. I'm not sure I agree with just pulling a number out of the air and sticking it in the budget, but whatever those costs are, I think that's appropriate and the state should be including that in our general fund budget, whether it's in your line item or somebody else's line item, as long as it gets there.

But I would ask you to give some thought to whether we should also be providing some sort of payment to these emergency medical service providers that also have the same sort of standby costs and things like that, to provide services to the capitol complex. It would certainly be a benefit to the employees here at the capitol complex, because, I assume that if an ambulance

```
call comes to the capitol complex, the person
1
2
     receiving the services is responsible for a co-pay
     or some charge that their insurance may not cover.
3
     So, I just don't want that issue to be lost as
 4
     we're discussing what the reasonable value of those
5
     services are to our host community here.
6
7
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Okay.
                  REPRESENTATIVE GRELL: Thank you,
8
     Mr. Chairman.
9
10
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
11
     Representative.
12
                  Representative Deb Kula.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Thank you,
    Mr. Chairman.
14
15
                 Good afternoon.
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Good afternoon.
16
17
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA: You had
     mentioned, I believe, when you were talking about
18
19
     the armories, you had mentioned, also, two prisons.
20
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Yes.
21
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Now, those
22
     prisons, would that be SCI Cresson and SCI
23
     Greensburg?
24
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: That's correct.
25
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Okay. And you
```

have the -- I mean, they are listed for sale now?
Is that what you're doing? Or trying to sell them?
SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We received

4 approval to be able to sell them.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Okay?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: There's a lot of steps that go in front of actually putting it out for bid or whatever's going to happen with the property.

What we did, when we got the approval on that is, we started the work for appraisals and surveys, which can take months on larger properties. So, we have that in process and are getting close to finalizing those.

And then, we've also been working with -- on this property specifically, we've met with the local officials that are near each prison to try and get their feedback, to encourage them to let us know of any points of interest. And we've also met with the general assembly to try and find the people in the area to say the same things: If there's anybody you know, if there's any interest in something, here's what we've -- you know, please let us know.

We've actually gotten some in interest

```
in both of those properties, and we've talked to
1
2
     the people in their districts to say, hey, here's
     the interest of somebody, and is this something
 3
     that you're excited or -- because we want to try
 4
     and work with the communities, because on
 5
     properties especially that large, anything we can
6
     do for job creation in the communities is extremely
7
     important. So, we've been doing that up-front work
8
     first, and we've followed up on any and all leads
9
     that we've gotten so far. And we do have some
10
     viable leads that we are following up on and
11
12
     working through some details on currently.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        And are you
14
     permitted to indicate, like, what type of people or
15
     what type of a business is looking to purchase a
16
     prison?
17
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       I would be glad to
     talk to you about that off line.
18
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        Okay.
                                               That would
20
     be great.
21
                 And you don't have the appraisals as
22
     yet, but would you be able to provide us with those
     appraisals on each of those institutions?
23
24
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We are looking at
25
    how we handle the appraisals in a way that we don't
```

want it to affect a sales price of what happens 1 2 going forward. So, we have -- so, as we get them, we may not share them right away, but we would be 3 glad to talk to you about them and what our thought process is as far as -- prisons are very different. 5 It's not like they have a whole lot of comps out 6 there because they don't sell every day, so, we 7 don't want it to affect our final deal with someone 8 going forward. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE KULA: T wasn't interested in trying to find or use that appraisal 11 12 for anything. I'm just interested in what those 13 properties, what they are appraised at, what they 14 are worth. 15 And even going it one step further --16 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: And if I can just 17 add to that from what you're saying from what they're worth, I don't know that the appraisal is 18 the bottom line --19 20 REPRESENTATIVE KULA: I understand. Ι 21 know. And maybe it was a poor choice of words. 22 But, also, can you -- what does it cost 23 to maintain those properties? I assume DGS must

25 | SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Actually, the

maintain them.

24

Five million?

Department of Correction pays the maintenance costs
on those. And the two of them are close to five
million dollars a year, so --

REPRESENTATIVE KULA:

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Yes. It's just over two million, I think, for each one of them, give or take on the two. But it's about, in total, about five million.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA: And what is your responsibility for those buildings?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We -- Department of Corrections actually takes care of maintaining them, but our responsibility's related to the sales process, to talk to the people locally, and to talk to anybody that has leads, and to market them and go forward, and whatever we can do to try and sell those properties.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA: And when you're talking -- and I don't know if you know, but the Department of Corrections, you're saying five million dollars a year to maintain that property, I mean, that is everything, structurally? I'm sure they have to have someone on site to protect the property. Is that everything? Do you know?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: That includes any

maintenance, security, taking care of the lawns, you know, mothballing the buildings and still providing some minimal heat to make sure they're not destroyed because of freezing in the winter.

And so it includes everything related to keeping the property at the lowest level but still keeping it from being destroyed because of heat issues if it gets too cold.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA: I believe at the one there was some talk initially about a water tank type of system that was just recently purchased before they were closed. Now, that is also part of the Department of Corrections.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: The issue -- any costs or anything related to that, that is part of Department of Corrections.

REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Okay. Because it seemed to me that, when I asked the question to Secretary Wetzel, it was indicated that that was DGS's problem, not the Department of Corrections.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: If there's anything that would be impacting the sale -REPRESENTATIVE KULA: I meant the whole

thing. The maintenance and everything.

25 I believe Secretary Wetzel indicated --

```
and I will look back -- but I thought that he
1
2
     indicated that, when I asked the question of what
     it would cost to maintain, he indicated to me that
 3
     it was DGS's.
 4
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: There may have
5
     been some confusion there, I'm not sure, but I
6
7
     think he knows that because it's in his budget.
    knows the costs of carrying them.
8
9
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        Okay. We'll be
10
     checking on that.
11
                  Thank you so much.
12
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Thank you.
13
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                         Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
14
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
15
                                              Thank you,
16
     Representative.
17
                  Representative Seth Grove.
18
                  REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
19
20
                  Secretary, good to see you again.
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Good to see you,
21
22
     too.
23
                  REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                          Thank you.
24
                  DGS has recently begun managing the
25
    bidding negotiation and valuation of the
```

Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board leases. 1 2 Are there terms in the new leases that would allow for the possibility of liquor 3 privatization in the future? 4 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: There are terms 5 that we could cancel those leases, yes. It's 6 7 different, depending on the year the lease was done, yes, there are terms in there. 8 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. And a 9 colleague of mine wanted to follow up with a 10 11 Is DGS looking at putting liquor stores question. 12 within or next to grocery stores to increase their 13 profitability? SECRETARY PHILLIPS: 14 That's still 15 handled by the LCB. 16 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. 17 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: They are still -we handle the actual process, but LCB still 18 19 handles, like, where they're going to put a store 20 and look at the market research and where the value is to them. So, that would still be handled by 21 LCB. 22 23 REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. Thank 24 you. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

```
SECRETARY PHILLIPS: And if I can just
1
2
     add one thing. As my deputy secretary pointed out
     here, on that water tower, what he may have been
3
     referring to is a request to our Public Works
 4
     department to take down the tower. So, if you were
 5
     referring to that instead of any cost to maintain,
6
     that might have been where he was saying DGS was --
7
     I was looking at it as far as the cost to
8
     maintain. But if he was talking about a project to
9
     actually take it down, that would fall under our
10
11
     Department of Public Works.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        Thank you.
                                                    Can I
13
     ask --
14
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
15
     ahead, Representative.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        So, I mean, has
17
     that tower been removed, and was that your
18
     responsibility?
19
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: It's not yet, I
20
     don't believe. It's under contract, but it's not
21
     taken down yet.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        And would you be
23
     able -- and that is your cost, correct, to tear it
24
     down, to take it down?
25
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: That's part of our
```

```
capital plan, so that would be public improvement
1
2
     project, PIP project.
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA: Okay. And there
 3
     would be costs involved, though; correct?
 4
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
5
                                       Yes.
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA: And could you
6
7
     provide us with that amount?
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We could provide
8
     that to you.
9
10
                  REPRESENTATIVE KULA:
                                        Thank you.
11
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                            Thank you.
12
                  Representative Santarsiero.
13
                  REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
14
15
                 Over here. Madam Secretary, welcome.
16
                  I want to follow up on the discussion
17
     about the potential leasing of state system lands
     for nature gas drilling, because, forgive me, I
18
19
     didn't quite understand your testimony previously.
20
                  Did you say that there are actually
     companies with which you are having discussions now
21
22
     about potential leases?
23
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       Yes.
24
                  REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO:
25
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We have two that
```

```
are out there right now. Well, actually, I take
1
2
     that back. You said regarding State System of
     Higher Education. The two that we were looking at
3
     right now currently were not on State System of
 4
     Higher Education properties.
5
                 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Where were
6
7
     they?
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: One was a Torrance
8
     State Hospital, and one was in Armstrong County.
9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Any state
11
     system lands you're looking at right now?
12
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Nothing at this
     time.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: Nothing at
14
     this time.
15
                 Okay.
16
                 Have you had any inquiries at all about
17
     the state system lands?
                                       No.
18
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                 REPRESENTATIVE SANTARSIERO: None.
19
20
     Okay.
21
                 Great. Thank you.
22
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS:
                                       Thank you.
23
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
24
     Representative.
25
                 Representative Bradford.
```

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you, Chairman Adolph.

Real quick, Secretary, I just wanted to ask you about the Phoenix project, the building project in Montgomery County. We've gotten some -- people have said things on both sides about how that bidding process has played out, going back, I guess, to the Rendell administration.

Can you kind of explain to me kind of the choreography of how that's played out so far and why it's morphed so many times?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Well, I can tell you, since I've been here, it had been bid out -- actually, it's been bid four times, three of them were -- or two of them before me and one was kind of right as I was starting. But when this administration came in, they wanted to make some changes in the project, to improve it. They wanted to add a female housing transition -- housing unit for transitioning females to get back into the nonprison life, as they were moving out of prison. They wanted to change the layout of the prototype that they use, which has been extremely successful because it takes an area of kind of blind sights and changed that. So, they've changed the

prototype of how it would be laid out, and they 1 2 made some other changes in it to improve it and show -- make it better -- I guess, a better 3 facility for the actual Department of Corrections. 4 So, once those changes were made, we 5 put it out for the final bid, which is what we had 6 7 followed, and that's what we're operating under 8 now. The legislation for that project 9 specified that it would be a design/build project, 10 11 and that's how it was bid. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Final bid was 13 design/build? Wasn't there an issue with project 14 labor agreement at some point? 15 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: In the past, there 16 had been a project labor agreement in the bids, but 17 in the final bid, there was no project labor 18 agreement. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: There was 20 not. SECRETARY PHILLIPS: 21 There was none. 22 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: One of the --23 one of those companies that, I think, bid on the 24 project had mentioned an inspector general report 25 or an investigation. Are you aware of that?

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I am not. 1 2 REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: All right. 3 Thank you, Secretary. SECRETARY PHILLIPS: 4 Thank you. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 6 Representative. 7 Just a couple quick questions regarding some of the sidewalks on the capitol complex. 8 know it's been a tough winter. 9 10 Can you give us an explanation why the 11 center sidewalk that leads to the bridge over 12 Market Street into the Strawberry Square area, for 13 some reason, isn't shoveled? And what you have to do for all the state employees, you have to go down 14 one of the other sidewalk in order to cross Market 15 16 Street. 17 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: The bridge over 18 Market Street isn't our responsibility. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm not 19 20 talking about the bridge. I'm talking about the 21 sidewalk that starts right outside the Ryan 22 building. There's three sidewalks that take you 23 down to, you know, Market Street. I guess, it's 24 Market Street, okay, or Walnut Street. Okay, 25 Walnut, I think it is, Walnut Street.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: On the capitol grounds there.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Yes. Yes. And they have been doing a fantastic job with the two sidewalks. Okay. But if you're heading over into the Strawberry Square area, where the bridge is, okay, they don't -- they don't do that middle sidewalk. And, you know, there' a barricade there, and there's cones there, and it says Department of General Services.

Is there any reason why that center sidewalk doesn't get shoveled? If you don't have the answer, you can get back to me.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I'm not sure that I can give you that answer to that. I do know there are --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: You know, it's probably melted now, but I'm just telling you, as of last night, it wasn't. And I'm just going to tell you why. I'm going to tell you why. Because, when you get down to the bottom of the sidewalk down there, okay, there's a traffic signal that has been knocked down for about two years now. Okay. And there's a base of that traffic signal down there that many state employees and many

pedestrians have tripped on. Okay.

And I've put in requests in the past
that someone should take care of that, because, I
bet you, on a daily basis, someone trips. Okay.
Thank God they haven't tripped into ongoing
traffic. Okay. It's a very serious condition.
And I've tried, in the past, to see if we could get
it fixed.

I don't know if that's our department or not, as far as the traffic signal that was knocked over. But it's been down there for at least two years. Okay.

You avoid that whole problem by going over in the middle sidewalk and go over into the Strawberry Square area. Just something I'd like you to look into, have somebody look into for us, because I think it is a safety problem around the capitol complex.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I'll be glad to look into that.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: I'm sure it won't affect your budget that much.

SECRETARY PHILLIPS: The traffic signal is not ours, but I will look into that as far as the sidewalk.

And just as an aside to that, and I 1 2 appreciate your comment about them doing a great job, that was in my comments that I put into the 3 record here, and I didn't say --4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 5 Fantastic. SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I need to thank 6 7 them, because they have --MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: 8 They're really working hard, and, you know, no matter what 9 time it starts snowing, they're out there. 10 11 I'm just curious why that middle 12 sidewalk doesn't get taken care of, because it 13 leads to the bridge. And maybe -- you know, maybe 14 that's the reason, okay, because there's a --15 because they don't want you crossing the bridge 16 because it's slippery. 17 SECRETARY PHILLIPS: I was going to say, maybe it's a safety issue. 18 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: But there is 19 20 a problem I'd like you to look into. I hope it's not our responsibility is that base of this traffic 21 22 signal that's been out there for about two years.

And I know many, many folks that work here that

hasn't been a serious injury. But I think it's an

have tripped over it, and, fortunately, there

23

24

25

```
injury waiting to happen there.
1
2
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: We'll be glad to
     follow up on that with you.
3
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Chairman
 4
     Markosek.
5
                  MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
6
                                                Just a
7
     final comment, maybe. That's the toughest question
     we have had this entire budget season. I want to
8
     congratulate the chairman for -- but it's an
9
     important thing. I don't mean to make light of it.
10
11
                  MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                              I think
12
     Medicaid expansion will take care of that.
13
                  I hope I get a smile. I hope I get a
     smile from folks.
14
15
                  Madam Secretary, thank you so much.
16
     I'm looking forward to working with you and your
17
     staff as we work on your budget request between now
     and June 30th.
18
19
                  Thank you very much.
                  SECRETARY PHILLIPS: Thank you very
20
     much.
21
22
                 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                             Members,
23
    before you leave, I'd like to let you know that
24
     we will reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:30, and we
25
     will have the budget secretary here at 9:30.
```

```
Thank you very much for your
1
2
     cooperation. Thank you.
                   (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
3
     4:41 p.m.)
 4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic notes. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public