1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE HEARING
3	STATE CAPITOL
	HARRISBURG, PA
4	MAIN BUILDING
5	ROOM 140
6	TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2016 11:54 A.M.
7	BUDGET HEARING
8	PENNSYLVANIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY OFFICE OF THE STATE FIRE COMMISSIONER
9	OFFICE OF THE STATE FIRE COMMISSIONER
	BEFORE:
10	HONORABLE WILLIAM ADOLPH, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN
	HONORABLE KAREN BOBACK
11	HONORABLE GARY DAY
	HONORABLE GEORGE DUNBAR
12	HONORABLE KEITH GREINER
4.0	HONORABLE SETH GROVE
13	HONORABLE SUE HELM
1 1	HONORABLE WARREN KAMPF
14	HONORABLE FRED KELLER
1 [	HONORABLE TOM KILLION
15	HONORABLE JIM MARSHALL HONORABLE KURT MASSER
16	HONORABLE DAVE MILLARD
Τ.Ω	HONORABLE DUANE MILLARD
17	HONORABLE MARK MUSTIO
<b>1</b> /	HONORABLE MIKE PEIFER
18	HONORABLE CURT SONNEY
10	HONORABLE JOSEPH MARKOSEK, MINORITY CHAIRMAN
19	HONORABLE LESLIE ACOSTA
10	HONORABLE MATTHEW BRADFORD
20	HONORABLE TIM BRIGGS
	HONORABLE DONNA BULLOCK
21	HONORABLE MARY JO DALEY
	HONORABLE MADELEINE DEAN
22	HONORABLE MARIA DONATUCCI
	HONORABLE STEPHEN KINSEY
23	HONORABLE MICHAEL O'BRIEN
	HONORABLE MARK ROZZI
24	HONORABLE KEVIN SCHREIBER
	HONORABLE PETER SCHWEYER
25	

1	ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:
_	HONORABLE BERNIE O'NEILL
2	HONORABLE STEVE BARRAR
_	HONORABLE JUDY WARD
3	HONORABLE DAVE ZIMMERMAN
	HONORABLE MATT BAKER
4	HONORABLE RICK SACCONE
_	HONORABLE MIKE TOBASH
5	HONORABLE WILL TALLMAN
	HONORABLE CRAIG STAATS
6	HONORABLE CRIS DUSH
7	HONORABLE STAN SAYLOR
7	HONORABLE KRISTIN PHILLIPS-HILL
8	HONORABLE KERRY BENNINGHOFF HONORABLE MICHAEL DRISCOLL
O	HONORABLE BRYAN BARBIN
9	HONORABLE FLO FABRIZIO
J	HONORABLE VANESSA LOWERY BROWN
10	HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL
10	HONORABLE WILLIAM KORTZ
11	HONORABLE DOM COSTA
	HONORABLE CHRIS SAINATO
12	HONORABLE MIKE LONGIETTI
	HONORABLE JAMES ROEBUCK
13	HONORABLE STEVE McCARTER
14	COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:
14	DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
15	RITCHIE LaFAVER, MAJORITY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
10	CURT SCHRODER, MAJORITY CHIEF COUNSEL
16	MIRIAM FOX, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
	TARA TREES, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL
17	
18	
19	
2.0	
20	
21	
Z T	
22	
23	* * * * *
24	SUMMER A. MILLER, COURT REPORTER
	SMCOURTREPORTING@gmail.com
25	

1	INDEX	
2	TESTIFIERS	
3	NAME	PAGE
4	RICHARD FLINN	5
5	DIRECTOR OF PEMA TIMOTHY SOLOBAY STATE FIRE COMMISSIONER	11
6	JEFFREY THOMAS  EXECUTIVE DEPUTY DIRECTOR	64
7	ROBERT MATEFF  DEPUTY DIRECTOR 9-1-1	21
8	DEFOIT DIRECTOR 3 I I	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Hello and
3	welcome everyone. I would like to reconvene the House
4	Appropriations Committee. We are in the 2016-17 budget
5	hearings and with us to testify is the Pennsylvania
6	Emergency Management Agency as well as the State Fire
7	Commissioner.
8	Director of PEMA is Richard Flinn. Good
9	morning.
10	DIRECTOR FLINN: Good morning, sir.
11	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: No stranger to
12	this committee, former Representative, former State
13	Senator, now the Pennsylvania State Fire Commissioner
14	Timothy Solobay.
15	COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Chairman, good
16	morning.
17	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: And with you
18	gentlemen are Jeffrey Thomas, the executive deputy
19	director of PEMA.
20	MR. THOMAS: Good morning.
21	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: As well as
22	Robert Mateff, deputy director of 9-1-1 PEMA.
23	MR. MATEFF: Thank you. Good morning.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Good morning,
25	gentlemen.

Also joining us is Representative Bill
Kortz and Representative Dom Costa. And it's the custom
of Representative Markosek and I, we invite the standing
chairs of the House standing committees and we will
introduce those gentlemen after your opening remarks, so
the mic is yours.

2.1

DIRECTOR FLINN: Thank you, sir.

I know you have my testimony, but I wanted to take a perspective and focus in on some of the things we've done to identify efficiencies since, again, this is an appropriations meeting.

So Chairman Adolph, Chairman Markosek, and members of the committee, I am Rick Flinn, director of the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency. Thank you for the opportunity to provide a statement and appear before this committee to discuss PEMA's budget request for 2016-2017.

Although we are a small agency, we have a very large mission. Our mission is to direct and coordinate state agency response and to support county and local governments in the areas of disaster preparedness, planning, and response to and recovery from manmade and natural disasters.

My ultimate goal is to have the Commonwealth's Emergency Management Agency be the best

in the country. I am focused on the need to ensure that we are utilizing taxpayers' funds in the most prudent and effective manner to achieve our critical public safety mission to the citizens of the Commonwealth.

2.1

appointed me, I've taken his theme of government that works and conducted a comprehensive review of the organization, our business practices, and tasked each bureau with identifying efficiencies and improving our customer service processes. We have implemented cost-saving measures as small as turning off the lights in vacant offices to reducing travel costs by utilizing webinars and conducting conference calls for meetings with our stakeholders. We centralized our office supply system to reduce duplicate inventories, and maximized efficiencies related to smart phone usage to reduce our mobile phone costs by 2 1/2 percent.

In addition, PEMA is working on a project with the State Fire Commissioner to reduce the overall cost of training to the Commonwealth and counties.

We're in the process of reengineering our entire training exercise program to continue to meet the needs of our stakeholders while lowering costs.

For example, all county and municipal emergency management coordinators are required to take

courses and conduct exercises for emergency management certification. We're in the process of identifying those courses that can be converted to either all or partial classroom time to online training. We are working with the Fire Commissioner, again the Department of Health and the Office of Administration to find an enterprise solution that will be more consumer-service oriented and save time and money.

As you can see from our line items in the budget, PEMA's a unique organization. Unlike many other state emergency management agencies the majority of our 173-plus highly trained staff not only have their normal day-to-day job in the area of preparedness, response, recovery, and managing, administrating the 12 federal and state grant programs, they also serve as an emergency manager to either respond to the State Emergency Operations Center, the county EOCs, or to the site when a statewide emergency or disaster occurs. So we all have two hats, if you will.

The majority of the funding PEMA receives comes in the form of state and federal grants designed to support state and local efforts to, again, prevent and prepare for the threats and hazards we face. PEMA serves as the administrative agency of these grants that are passed to county and local governments.

In an effort to improve our grant process, we are working with the Office of Administration and the Department of Community and Economic Development to develop and implement an enterprise E-grant system. Currently most of the state and federal grant programs we administer are done by paper which is time-consuming and not as efficient as it could be for processing the grant application. initiative will not only save money and time, but improve our overall customer service to our county partners.

2.1

In the new fiscal year, we will be completing the transition to the new PEMA headquarters. This new headquarters will improve coordination with state agencies during emergencies. We are moving from a building that has been leased at a cost of over \$1 million a year since 1996, when we were displaced from our location in the basement of the old PennDOT building after a fire, to the new Commonwealth-owned building. The new facility will incorporate state-of-the-art technology to enhance the Commonwealth's coordination. It will provide robust redundancy and enhance situational awareness in our State Emergency Operations Center.

To improve operational coordination and

reduce overall cost, we will have other state agencies occupy the space, which includes PennDOT, the Department of Human Services, and possibly, we are currently working with the Department of Health to have elements of their office of Public Health Preparedness co-located with us.

2.1

As part of the comprehensive review of our organization, I realized that the way it was structured was not only inefficient, it was neither mission-focused nor disaster centric. We developed a reorganization plan to focus our efforts in four general categories, which includes mission support, preparedness, response, and the 9-1-1 program. We are realigning staff expertise in the response areas and identified experienced emergency management professionals to lead our teams. We have developed this proposed reorganization without increasing our overall complement.

We also have and will continue to focus on the reality that preparedness, response, and recovery to disasters cannot be government-centered. We are expanding our public outreach efforts to include the private sector and enhancing engagement with the volunteer organizations active in disaster.

I would be remiss if I did not take this

```
1
     time to thank members of the general assembly and the
 2
     numerous stakeholders who were involved in passing Act
 3
     12 of 2015, the comprehensive rewrite of the 9-1-1 law.
 4
     The legislation created a uniform surcharge fee,
 5
     established a uniform fund for collecting the
 6
     surcharges, and updated the procedures for the
 7
     collection and distribution of the funds to the 69
 8
     public-safety answering points throughout the
 9
     Commonwealth.
10
                    October 2015 through December 2015 was
11
     the first full quarter under Act 12 and it's the best
12
     indicator of projected revenue to date. During that
13
     time, $79.8 million was remitted to the Commonwealth.
14
     Should the quarterly average remain consistent, we will
15
     meet the estimated revenue collections in the 9-1-1 fund
16
     to support the 9-1-1 systems across the Commonwealth.
17
                    Again, on behalf of Governor Wolf, I
18
     thank you, Chairman Adolph, Chairman Markosek, and
19
     members of the committee, for your continued support of
20
     PEMA and our partners in public safety across the state.
2.1
     I believe that with the continued commitment of Governor
22
     Wolf and your continued support of our mission, PEMA
23
     will move forward and become a premier emergency
24
     management agency in the nation. And again, I
```

appreciate the opportunity to appear today and I will

25

try to answer any questions you have.

2.1

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you.

Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Chairman Adolph and Markosek, thank you very much. I appreciate the opportunity to share with you the activities of our agency and you've all got the written statements and I will just kind of paraphase through most of those.

Commissioner's main responsibility is to train and educate not only the firefighters, but the career volunteers across the Commonwealth, but also make sure we have ample instructors, fire instructors to handle that position and that job. Also, we -- as you also know -- are the administrators of the \$30 million grant program that through the casino revenue dollars, it goes back to fire departments across the Commonwealth and ambulance services across the Commonwealth.

To date, we had this past year a pretty much record number of applicants, over 2400, of which about 1600 of those already have put in their grant agreement paperwork and we're still waiting on the remaining 800 or so folks to do that. We will be getting you those names of those fire departments and ambulance services that have not processed their

paperwork yet, so they can make sure they get their funds.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, one of the other components of the office is dealing with the 2-percent loan program. in 2013, we had changed some of the legislation around dealing with the amount of money that our fire departments are able to borrow and ambulance services are able to borrow to update capital projects within their purview. And we have doubled the amount that they can borrow, as well as doubled the amount of time that they get to pay it back. So that's also been a very well-received, well-used program that the office has, and I could say that the hundreds of millions of dollars that have been loaned out since that program began quite a few years ago, the payback schedule and the payback participation of the fire departments has been very well. They have been very good stewards of the money we have lent them and made sure that it comes back.

There's a handful that we deal with that may either be late in payments or in some cases through companies closing or are going out of business, we've had to do some chasing to get some of those funds back, but for the most part the program is very, very successful. It's very viable at this point in time and there still exists in the initial legislation that

```
1
     allowed for the hundred-million-dollar bond issue to
 2
     make those moneys available. About $50 million worth of
 3
     bonds yet to have even been sold out to add to the
 4
     program and we're probably sitting on a balance of about
 5
     49, $48 million right now available for loaning out.
 6
     And each year, we probably loan out between 15 and
 7
     $18 million. And the payments that come back, generally
 8
     are a little higher than what's been loaned out.
 9
     that program also is going very well.
10
                    We also have the public education fire
     prevention portion of things where we make sure that the
11
12
     messages of good fire prevention gets not only to the
     fire departments across the Commonwealth, but also to
13
14
     the residents of the Commonwealth.
15
                    And of course, in the case -- and we hope
16
     that this doesn't happen very often -- we deal also with
17
     the line-of-duty deaths that occur within the
18
     Commonwealth. Last year nationally, 86 individual
19
     firefighters died in the line of duty. Unfortunately,
20
     in Pennsylvania we had 10 that passed, so we had a
2.1
     pretty high percentage in the overall. And uniquely
```

22 because, I think, of the training and the things that

23 have gone on, the incidents that did occur, the

24

unfortunates that did occur were those because of

25 health-related issues and not because of fire -- on a

fire scene itself.

We also, along with the director, have been doing different things. The overall budget is much smaller than some of the ones that have come and talked to you, but this past year alone, over \$100,000, I think, of savings from things of — trying to go electronically when we can, minimize paper, postage, and those types of things, when it comes to loan agreements, as well as the grant agreements, also some of the other technology available with our instructors as far as being able to do things via webcast as opposed to bringing them into the academy or to some other site where the costs are much higher.

And two of the big things we're really trying to work on this year, not only reemphasizing and reestablishing the Academy on the Road Program, which also helps local departments get some of the same type training and education that goes on at the academy, but at a more local level and saving costs on both sides of that equation. But as the director was saying earlier, trying to develop an online training format that we can utilize for firefighters.

We've found out that the younger folks as we traveled across the Commonwealth, the millennials if you would, like to do training and education from their

```
1
     computers as opposed to sitting in a classroom.
 2
     over a 159 different programs, both certificate and
 3
     national certified programs that are available to be
 4
     done, so it will be a little bit of a challenge to get
 5
     this program started, but I think in the long run, it
 6
     will save both taxpayer dollars as well as our
 7
     firefighter dollars in getting them more engaged in the
 8
     training and education. And I, too, stand ready for any
 9
     questions you may have.
10
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank you,
11
     gentlemen.
12
                    Chairman Markosek for opening comments.
13
                    MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                 Thank you,
14
     Chairman.
15
                    And Director Flinn, Commissioner Solobay
16
     deputy directors, thank you for attending today. I
17
     don't have any questions.
18
                    You know, I have to point out to every
19
     former House member that comes before us that they --
20
     once a House member, always a House member, I hope you
2.1
     remember that. But I'm glad to see that --
22
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: I take it then the
23
     questions will be very simple and easy to answer.
24
                    MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                 We give the
25
     House members the most difficult ones. But I'm glad to
```

```
1
     see there is life after.
 2
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Thank you.
 3
                    MINORITY CHAIRMAN MARKOSEK:
                                                  So welcome,
 4
     all of you and I'll let the members ask questions.
 5
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                                Thank you,
 6
     Chairman.
 7
                    I would like to acknowledge the presence
 8
     of Representative Saccone and Tobash.
 9
                    And now, as I mentioned that we've
10
     invited Chairman Barrar and Chairman Sainato. And the
11
     first question will be asked by Chairman Steven Barrar.
12
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                             Thank you,
13
     Chairman Adolph.
14
                    Thank you for being here today,
15
     gentlemen. If I could start with Fire Commissioner
16
     Solobay.
17
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Yes, sir.
18
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: We had talked in
19
     the past and I know I've heard you talk many times about
20
     your efforts for retention and recruitment of new
2.1
     volunteers. Can you give us an idea of what you're
22
     currently working on?
23
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Sure. As some you
24
    may know or not know, the biggest issue we're dealing
25
     with for recruitment of new volunteers are family
```

requirements and more people are working, it gives them
too much time away from their home area of being able to
possibly volunteer in their community to time spent on
training. But the biggest key we're finding out is the
fact that they have had to become professional
fundraisers to maintain their department and be able to
make the purchases necessary to do the job that they are

doing and to volunteer for their community.

We spent a lot of time dealing with our local municipal officials because at the end of the day, the feds, the state government does help and make participation into the overall operations of fire departments and fire service, but at the end of the day, it's the local municipal governments that have the biggest responsibility for help in that case.

really trying to talk to them and convince them of the importance of their financial participation because spending some money with their volunteers now could, down the road, save them a whole lot of money, a whole lot of local tax dollars if they have to increase their local tax dollars because they have to bring a career department in. You know, it's estimated -- the volunteer fire service across the Commonwealth saves taxpayers locally almost -- now, almost \$10 billion a

year by the services they provide. And that's billion with a "B," and you can imagine, that's almost one-third of the state budget that you're talking about right now. So if that tax burden came onto local governments and the local taxpayers, I think they would be somewhat upset if they didn't take the opportunity now to help their folks. There are some pieces of legislation that would allow for the expansion of the relief funding bill that will open up the door for some opportunities, whether it be tuition assistance, other types of benefit programs that can be paid for out of relief funds that would enable folks and encourage them to maybe participate and want to consider volunteering. I know here in the House, you have the legislation I think with the -- I'm sorry, it came from the Senate first with the wage tax, being able to forgo a volunteer's wage tax at a certain percentage. I believe you also have a bill in the House that is considering that. The only provision that I had asked for and was hoping it would change is it's a may provision versus a shall provision. So I don't know how many municipalities would consider looking at that. In the past year and two months that we've been out there talking with folks, we have seen a lot more increased participation from local governments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I think they are understanding, I think they are being made aware of the fact that the cost of what it is to run a fire department and looking at that big ticket item of having, you know, replacing a volunteer with a career has also made them understand it as well.

So you know, we ask you to continue looking at some of the incentive things legislatively that could be done, that would give a benefit to volunteers for giving their time, and of course, as I mentioned with the relief funding, looking to do some things that would hopefully expand the amount of money that local companies would receive which would be able to let them offer these incentives.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Have you had any discussions with any of the people in the Governor's Office about increasing the \$30 million grant program? Have you any ideas of where we might be able to get additional funding? We are looking at legislation to reauthorize that for another four years and I would love to see if there was any way we could increase that.

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Well, you know, we hear constantly from firefighters that those that did fundraising, especially things like bingo and other types of games of chance that, you know, it would be ideal if they could get some more of the gaming money

1 that would come across. 2 I know there's some bills being looked at 3 that would expand gaming that may get some additional 4 revenue to put in place. I know there's a bill being 5 looked at right now also dealing with the expansion of 6 fireworks in the Commonwealth, for consumer fireworks. 7 Although from a fire service perspective and an EMS 8 perspective, we're not real happy to see that expansion 9 because of the increased fires and/or increased injuries 10 that could occur from that, but if it does become a 11 reality, we want to make sure that there's a carveout 12 somewhere in there that the emergency services get some 13 additional funding because of the increased activity 14 that they're going to see if we expand the fireworks. 15 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: They're here 16 anyway, so we ought to take a look at that. 17 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: That's correct. 18 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I agree with you. 19 Director Flinn, Act 12 you mentioned, and 20 I know we had that signed into law back in -- I quess it 2.1 was near the end of June that was signed into law. 22

DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: And one of the tasks that Act 12 empowered you with was the organization of the board and also to come up with a

funding formula. I think you have until, what, December
funding formula. I think you have until, what, December
funding formula. I think you have until, what, December

2.1

DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Can you give us an update on where you are? And another question to throw in there, what are the counties receiving now, as far as funding, are they receiving money under the old formula currently?

DIRECTOR FLINN: Sure. And I'm going to ask our director of 9-1-1 program to give the specifics, he has the exactly information for you, sir.

MR. MATEFF: Good morning.

Since Act 12 was signed into law in June, we've been able to establish the board, we had our initial meeting in December, we have our next board meeting, quarterly meeting at the end of March. So in this meeting is where we are going to begin to form the subcommittees and do the funding formula research, and most importantly, the activity that we've been working on currently is the inventory of all the PSAPs.

It was a mandate that we have a report to you by the end of March, which we're on schedule to deliver to the general assembly, which will identify our current state of 9-1-1 preparedness across the entire Commonwealth. So we've been collecting that information

and analyzing it, and we'll be able to prepare a report
to the board and then subsequently to the general
assembly for your consideration.

What that report will do, it will allow us to identify the gaps and our current strengths and weaknesses as it relates to 9-1-1 and 9-1-1 going into the next generation as we transition away from our Legacy Equipment. That will allow us, in consultation with the board, to set plans and priorities for the funding of the 15 percent that was set aside for statewide interconnectivity and shared services.

So it's been, as the director indicated in his opening remarks — the fund currently in its first full quarter generated around \$79 million. If we amortize that over the full year, we're going to be around 319 million which is slightly above the fiscal note that was in the bill. So we've been able to distribute that money within the time frame prescribed by law, getting out currently 83 percent under the internal formula to all the countries.

REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: You know, there were a lot of people pushing for a \$2 fee, a lot of people were skeptical, a lot of stakeholders were skeptical of the \$1.65 surcharge going forward and it's great to see that that's bringing in actually above what

```
1
     was projected. We're very happy about that.
 2
                    The 15 percent that PEMA is authorized to
 3
    maintain and use for consolidation efforts and things
 4
     like that, what exactly -- now what will that go towards
 5
     in the future?
 6
                    MR. MATEFF: Again, as we meet at the end
 7
     of the month, we're going to begin to analyze our gaps
 8
     and certainly look for all the interconnectivity issues
 9
     that the fund was dedicated toward.
                                          There are a number
10
     of PSAPs that we have been facilitating conversations on
11
     consolidation. And once we have the board's
12
     recommendation on the plans and priority, we'll be able
13
     to facility those conversations and fund those projects
14
     and then build out statewide interconnectivity and the
15
     shared services.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                            And that 15,
17
     there was also some skepticism towards that 15 percent.
18
     That 15 percent can only be spent with the board
19
     approval, right?
20
                    MR. MATEFF: Consolidation, PEMA's
21
     approval in consultation with the board, I believe, is
22
     the language in the act.
23
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                            Can I do one more
24
     question, Mr. Chairman?
25
```

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:

Yes.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I understand the 2 Pennsylvania State Police is in the process of 3 evaluating an alternative to the statewide public safety 4 radio system. Are you involved in that at all, 5 Director? 6 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, we are. 7 participate with the STARNet program. We work very 8 closely with the major that's in charge of that and we 9 are in fact -- we are a consumer of the product, but 10 we're also very interested in, obviously, what's going 11 to eventually happen in relationship to that. I've 12 mentioned in the hearings that we talked about, for the 13 9-1-1 Act, the reality of it is we want to go ahead and have a comprehensive public safety communication system 14 15 in the Commonwealth. 16 We have -- are on our way very much in 17 relationship to the 9-1-1 program. We need to link that 18 with a comprehensive radiocommunication system. 19 REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: I know our last 20 radio system try cost us, what, about \$750 million and 21 turned out to be somewhat of a debacle. I think it was 22 scheduled to cost about three, four hundred million, 23 ended up costing us 800 million and never really worked

Are there safeguards in place to make

24

25

anyway.

sure that we're not on the hook here again for another, you know, \$800 million project that's going to end up costing us, you know, a billion dollars or something like that? You know, it was very disheartening. I know -- I've heard about the statewide radio system since I've been elected, which is 20 years, and it seemed never to have caught on or work the way it should.

DIRECTOR FLINN: I think one of the biggest challenges we have is in its relationship and in conversations with the 9-1-1 system, you know, we are chasing technology. You know, technology improves so significantly. Sometimes the costs come down, sometimes they go up.

The reality of it is that we know that as a consumer of the radio program -- because we have quite a few 800 megahertz radios and we haven't had any issues with them, I will say to you. But from our perspective, we know there's been issues from troopers on the field and from a state police perspective. But I would say to you that one of the concerns is if we have to replace all those radios. But I do know, as they describe the P25 radio, it's a radio that will solve a lot of the issues.

So again, that's a challenge that we face

```
1
     with the fact that we want to go to new technology,
 2
     which would be a better system, but it's a cost
 3
     associated with replacing all those radios, will be a
 4
     challenge. And we're going to be, in answer to your
 5
     question, certainly intimately involved in discussions
 6
     relating to whatever new system occurs.
 7
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR: Great.
 8
                    Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If there's a
 9
     chance for later on, I would love to do a few more
10
     questions.
                 Thank you.
11
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank you.
12
                    Chairman Sainato.
13
                    REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Thank you,
14
     Chairman Adolph, Chairman Markosek.
15
                    Commissioner Solobay, Director.
16
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Sir.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: We appreciate
18
     you guys' testimony, and Chairman Barrar took some of my
19
     questions.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE BARRAR:
                                            We think alike.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: I know, we do.
22
     We do.
23
                    We have a very good committee and we want
24
     to thank all of your offices for working closely with
25
     our committee. I think we're one of the most active
```

committees, I think, in Harrisburg. We've had a very busy year, especially working on Act 12.

DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: And with your input, we do appreciate your efforts.

Just a follow-up, Commissioner Solobay, we were talking about recruitment and training, things like that, it just seems there's just been so much placed on a volunteer fireman today. And you know, I know our committee is always trying to look for ways to encourage recruitment, to encourage training, and I know it's not easy, but -- to raise the money, to generate the money.

And I have 10 volunteers and I also have a paid department in my district, which is the city of New Castle. And it's just so critical, the need. And Chairman Barrar brought up the point, you said the cost of \$10 billion if we did not have those volunteers out there.

But the question of bingo came up and I'm starting to see that and where I'm at -- you have a lot of bingo, we had a lot of bingo. But with the onset of the casinos in Pennsylvania, it's really had a dramatic effect on local bingos. And what effect are you seeing with our volunteer fire companies and bingo? Because

some of our fire companies, that's their main source of fundraising.

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Well, you know,
Representative Sainato, one of the phrases I've been
using across the Commonwealth in a lot of my meetings
with folks is fundraising now for the volunteers should
be because they want to, not because they have to.

When you look at the overall cost of equipment -- and I'll go back 40 years ago almost, whenever I first got involved in the fire service. For example, a class A engine pumper that we all have and used to go to a fire call, it roughly cost about \$75,000 to be equipped and manufactured and the whole works. Several months ago, I signed a contract for my home department for a new engine very similar, only 40 years newer and newer technologies for 10 times that amount of money, \$737,000.

It takes a lot of fundraising or fish fries, chicken dinners, whatever the case may be to make that. It was tough back then, you know, when you had 300,000 volunteers helping make all this happen. Now we're down to one-sixth of that number, 50,000. So the pressure on them to try to raise the money or get the money has become very tenuous, if you would. And that's why a lot of people are walking away from it, plus given

the fact it's a dangerous position.

2.1

You know, we've talked about the fact that after the disasters that occurred back in 2001, there would be a big rise in volunteerism, things like that. But when people realized that those folks didn't come back out of the buildings, that went in to help people -- why are they going to want to put that commitment on their family and themselves to disrupt their lives? So again, going back to my earlier comments of local participation by municipalities, it's very, very important for the existence of making things happen.

We're trying to do other things, you know, to increase the amount of money that they get.

You know, there was a conversation about doing some things with the relief funding, the formula has been at 2 percent for quite a while. We wanted to try to see if we couldn't bring it up to a 3-percent figure.

The cost per an individual, the impact roughly -- we're hearing that your fire property casualty insurance policies that we all have on our homes -- the average in Pennsylvania is anywhere between five and seven hundred dollars. That 1 percent increase would have been either five or seven dollars increased to your insurance, but yet, the benefits received by

that funding, not only from the fire service perspective, but also even on the municipality pension fund would have received almost \$100 million and the general operating fund also would have received about 40 to 50 million. It would have then entitled us to an additional 30-some million dollars to share with those communities. There's a lot of them -- more than half of them across the Commonwealth receive less than \$10,000 a year from the relief funding. We would have been able to add an additional 10 on what they were receiving, but also that was -- the combo we were hoping to do with the online training program that we want to establish in conjunction with PEMA and several other agencies here in the Commonwealth.

And thirdly, the remaining portion of that money that didn't go into those two other items would have been able to recoup the costs the fire departments pay when they send folks out for traditional training. There still are those folks that are going to continue to do that regardless.

When we changed the formula of funding community colleges several years back, where fire training classes received the full-time equivalent for reimbursement to community colleges, that went away, and now fire departments have to pay for a lot of the

```
1
     training that they go to or have done back in their
 2
     local areas. You know, it's still free if they go to
 3
     the academy, but then they still have room and board and
 4
     everything to worry about if they go up there. So that
 5
     was also going to create some moneys, be able to
 6
     reimburse companies back for any physical training they
 7
     went to.
 8
                    We had some components from a statewide
 9
     perspective and we've constantly been working on the
10
     local governments to also pick up their share of things
11
     as well.
12
                    REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO:
                                             All right.
13
     Thank you, Commissioner.
14
                    I know it's not an easy task what our
15
     local fire chiefs have to deal with. I see it
16
     firsthand, I talk to them, we work with them.
17
     telling us they have a lot of concerns and I know that
18
     our committee is concerned for them. And even our paid
19
     firemen, I think most of the paid fire companies are
20
     third-class cities and they're all in either Chapter 47
2.1
     or they're close, so I mean it's not where they have
22
     tons of money out there to be able to put in it as well.
23
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY:
                                           That's correct.
24
     It's funding for both from the online training and the
```

physical training, it would also aid our career

25

```
departments as well, so it captures both entities.
 1
 2
                    REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO:
                                             Thank you.
 3
                    Director Flinn, Winter Storm Jonas, it
 4
     caused approximately $55 million in damage. How's the
 5
     federal declaration going with that?
 6
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Going well, I believe.
 7
    And I say that from the perspective that I have been in
 8
     contact with FEMA. We have -- we put in for 31 counties
 9
     that met the record or near record snow.
                                               That was the
10
     first caveat, and the second caveat was they had to meet
11
     the thresholds defined for per capita. We -- as of
12
     about three days ago, I think they had validated 14 of
13
     those counties and we were up to 21 million, so we met
14
     the Commonwealth threshold.
15
                    What their intent is, obviously, is to
16
     get as many counties in that initial declaration and
17
     then that those counties that still will, in fact, have
18
     the opportunity to add more costs, then they will be
19
     added later on.
20
                    So we're close, sir. I know that all of
21
     the states surrounding us -- I should say the FEMA
22
     region, three states with the exception of West
23
     Virginia, did get declared. So I'm pretty optimistic
24
     that it's going to happen in the next week or two.
25
```

REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO:

Okay, that's

1 great. 2 And just finally, the new building, how's 3 things going with that? I know we had that opportunity, 4 the committee did, to take a tour of that --5 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir. 6 REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: -- state of the 7 art, it was very impressive. When is it going to be 8 operational? 9 DIRECTOR FLINN: We have a phased-in 10 approach. It's going to be in the first week of May, 11 we'll begin to having individuals start to go over. And 12 it's going to take about eight weeks to get the entire 13 organization over. The last man standing will be myself 14 in the State Emergency Operation Center and I'll watch, 15 if you will. And again the intent would be, at the very 16 latest, an end of June time frame. 17 REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Hopefully we 18 don't have too many disasters between now and then. 19 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir. I hope that 20 too. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Yeah. Because I 22 mean, that's a great facility and I think it's really 23 going to be helpful especially as we said with Jonas and 24 some of the disasters we saw this winter. I mean, we 25 need all the help we can get.

1 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir. That's true. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SAINATO: Thank you. 3 Thank you all for your service. 4 DIRECTOR FLINN: Thank you very much. 5 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 6 Chairman. 7 Representative Karen Boback. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, 9 Chairman. 10 Director Flinn --11 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am. 12 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: -- would you 13 please explain your efforts to work with other state and 14 local officials regarding the Safe School programs? 15 Specifically I'm looking for is PEMA the primary agency responsible for coordinating this effort and developing 16 17 the emergency operation plan for schools? And number 18 two, what funding sources are used for and available for 19 this program? 20 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am. We work 21 very closely with the Department of Education. 22 received through the Department of Education a grant 23 from the federal Department of Education, and we're 24 actually at the end of that grant year, that contract 25 year.

But we have been very aggressive in working with the schools. In fact, we developed an online grant developing program — or excuse me, plan developing program. And I've gone around to the schools educating the superintendents, educating the schools themselves to be able to facilitate an emergency operations plan within their own schools. So it's not that they have to start from scratch, it's an online tool that we have used.

Again, we work very closely. We recognize -- and frankly, I have children in schools -- how important it is for schools to have emergency plans. And it's not just fire evacuation plans, we're talking about everything from, obviously, the horrific thing of a shooting incident, too, what we have seen before with tornadoes that have come through. So it begins from the standpoint of identifying what are the threats to their community, what are the actions that need to be taken, and a plan for that as well as a response.

And the other catch is working very closely with their local emergency responders and their local emergency management teams because they can't be islands of their own. They have to actually work very closely, especially if you are talking about evacuations or shelters.

1 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: When they do a 2 program, do they submit a report to you or do they 3 notify you that they are going to do -- I think there is 4 a program called Shooter in the Schools Program; is that 5 correct? 6 DIRECTOR FLINN: We have that information 7 in the database, if you will, but I'll have to get back 8 to you on specifically whether we actually get a report 9 from the school on those type of specific courses. 10 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: I think that 11 would be interesting. 12 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: And also, do you 14 have access to how many school systems have an armed 15 officer on their premises? 16 DIRECTOR FLINN: I don't. I can do some 17 research to try to find that out, ma'am, but I don't 18 normally -- we don't normally get that information. But 19 I will certainly do some research and find out if we can 20 get it through PSB or some other agency. 21 REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: Thank you, and I 22 appreciate that. 23 Commissioner Solobay, this one's for you. 24 Has the development of Marcellus Shale 25 changed how you address training or the equipment needs

1 | for volunteer fire companies and your EMS?

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: It has. And through Act 13, there's an annual appropriation that we receive that has established an alternative energy program up at the academy. We have established different types of programs for emergency responders on how they would handle or deal with a situation, whether it be on a well site itself or dealing with other incidents that occur with that.

Along with that program, this year we're going to be starting a smaller grant program that will allow the fire departments located within the Marcellus region or the Utica region to be able to get some small monitoring equipment that they may need to use for air quality and things like that as they come onto the scene.

Also working in conjunction with PEMA on delivering some of the other training opportunities that are out there. I'd have to say that a lot of the energy companies have been great partners in helping establish regional areas for folks to do training and education from. I know in Williamsport, back in my home county, in Washington County and I believe in Crawford County, a lot of the energy companies have donated different types of equipment that we can work with and see how they

1 operate.

Knowing though that there's never the intent for a full out well blowout fire-type situation that the local responders are going to deal with. That would be -- they're going to kind of handle the area, the surroundings around any area, the components, making a perimeter or whatever, and watching that type of thing. There is a company that stores equipment here in the Commonwealth and has specialized teams that can be within the Commonwealth in a matter of hours that would handle that directly.

We had a situation several years ago in Greene County where a well fire had occurred. And the equipment is stored in, I believe it's in Cambria County and we had those folks in to meet with the Governor. He was very concerned, not only for the Marcellus side of things, but also with the Bakken crude, making sure that we had entities working in conjunction with our emergency service providers that would help them in the case of a problem.

So the company that a lot of the energy companies are using is called Wild Well and they bring a team up that handles the actual intricate thing. Again, the local emergency responders cover the exposures and the perimeter that they form around any particular area

```
1
     and then these other more specialized groups that do
 2
     that -- that's all they do -- comes in and handles
 3
     things with the well.
                    So the Act 13 has been very, very helpful
 4
 5
     from both agency perspectives for providing us funding
 6
     for training, education, and now hopefully soon, to
 7
     implement this small equipments grant program.
 8
                    REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK:
                                             I thank you for
 9
     that.
10
                    And off the cuff, do you know where the
11
     closest entity would be for the northeastern part of the
12
     state?
13
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY:
                                           Williamsport --
14
     yeah, Williamsport at Penn College has a training
15
     facility that they have set up in conjunction with a lot
16
     of the energy companies.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK:
                                             So they would,
     like, be the first on the scene, you know, on the
18
19
     periphery --
20
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Well, first on the
21
     scene would still be the local responders, I mean, they
22
     should all have been taking time to train and educate.
23
     We've had -- the programs we have in place, I know this
24
     is since Act 13 came into play and even prior to that,
25
     there's been several thousand emergency service
```

```
1
     providers that have gone through some of this basic well
 2
    pad training education.
 3
                    So in each company, you know, hopefully
 4
     especially if they have a lot of that activity in their
 5
     area, they have sent folks for some of the preliminary
 6
     training. But again, it's like any other emergency, you
 7
     kind of look at the exposure problems that you have, see
 8
     where evacuations, if necessary, need to occur and
 9
     what's actually necessary. And working in conjunction
10
     with a lot of the counties and the EMA coordinators, you
11
     know, they also have been very well versed on this. And
12
     the right calls -- everybody has the right numbers of
13
     where to call to get the help and aid that they need.
                    REPRESENTATIVE BOBACK: I do thank you
14
15
     for that.
16
                    Thank you, gentlemen.
17
                    Thank you, Chairman.
18
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank you,
19
     Representative.
20
                    Representative Mike O'Brien.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN: Thank you,
22
    Mr. Chairman.
23
                    And good afternoon, gentlemen. Thank you
24
     for joining us.
25
                    Certainly a number of questions that I've
```

```
1
     had today, that you've been so thorough in your
 2
     responses that you've answered them, but let me
 3
     piggyback on the previous question.
 4
                    Certainly, Commissioner, I know your
 5
     commitment over the years with our conversations as
 6
     colleagues on continuing training and staying up with
 7
     the co-leagues. And certainly we have questions and
 8
     concerns about Marcellus Shale across the Commonwealth,
 9
     and I want to say that word again, across the
10
     Commonwealth.
11
                    Here, where I represent, the city of
12
     Philadelphia, in the port of Philadelphia, there's
13
     ongoing movement for shipping natural gas out, you know,
14
     warehouses on it. So now we have a situation of
15
     transporting natural gas across the Commonwealth.
16
     this question is directed to both agencies.
17
                    What is the response for rail bringing
18
     the gas across? What if there is an unfortunate
19
     circumstance where the rail cars would blow? How does
20
     the state respond to this?
```

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: You want to start?

DIRECTOR FLINN: I can. And you know,

again, from I think -- within a month after I got the

job, the Governor wanted a cabinet-level exercise. The

very first exercise was the Bakken crude train incident

2.1

22

23

24

in the city of Harrisburg at 3 o'clock on a Friday afternoon. And we engaged all the cabinet and really, the issues were the shock and awe to say, this is what could go wrong and to focus in on each state agency to see what their roles and responsibilities would be. In relationship specifically to that, obviously, as you're aware the Governor asked and had a comprehensive study done, a number of recommendations came out and PEMA had — there were specific recommendations for PEMA.

We'll tell you what we've done.

We work very closely with both CSX and Norfolk Southern, the two main carriers. We've done a couple things. One, you know, the first question is how do first responders know what's being carried? And so both have developed applications that are vetted to additional — to official first responders, okay, that they can get on to these, if you will, called SecureNow and AskRail are these two types of apps. First responders — designated official first responders can get this information. We have that information in the State Emergency Operations Center 24/7, so we know what in fact if there's an incident, each train is carrying.

The second part of that is we have been working with, again, those -- and I'm going to do a -- conduct a comprehensive survey of those communities that

these rails, these trains go through. I will say to you that the number of trains has dropped considerable over the last few months. There has been, I think, an estimate of 30 trains a day, if not higher and then it actually has dropped down significantly, that's come through.

But having said that, it is a combination of working with the Fire Commissioner's Office and having the emergency management community understand from a planning perspective if this in fact happens — and we're talking about evacuation, we're talking shelters, and such — then there's the first response training. I'll turn that back over to the Commissioner who's been heavily engaged with training and in fact sending folks out over in the Midwest to get this training.

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Yeah

Representative, not only -- you think about it, for obviously many, many years we've had this type of activity going on, but maybe not at the volume it has been with the natural gas being shipped by rail as well as the crude oil.

One of the things that's minimized that, as the Director said, is the pipelines have made their way across the Commonwealth. That's taken a lot of the

hazard away from the surface issues with both rail and vehicle traffic hauling both of those materials. But again, training and education of flammable liquids and/or flammable gases have always been a major component of the fire service from the academy perspective as well as local level training.

2.1

with the advent of these two other -with the Marcellus gas, Utica gas, and the Bakken crude,
we've had to ramp things up because of the fact the
volume we're seeing being transported is higher than it
used to be in the traditional. So as the Director said,
the class I railroads have been very actively involved
with not only working with our instructors from the
academy and making sure that we have the opportunity to
go to some of their training facilities outside of the
Commonwealth to get more specialized training, but also
enabled local emergency responders to also get that same
type training if and when they ask for it.

But there's a special -- the federal government came out, after some of the incidents with the Bakken crude, came out with a new training program as well as guidelines for construction of tank car vehicles and things like that. And the same kind of follows over with the Marcellus gas as it's moving through.

```
1
                    But truthfully, and I know it sometimes
 2
     is a very tenuous conversation, but the existence of the
 3
     pipelines have truly decreased the amount of concern
 4
     from surface issues by transporting it that way as
 5
     opposed to rail and/or truck.
 6
                    REPRESENTATIVE O'BRIEN:
                                              Thank you,
 7
     gentlemen, for being on top of that, appreciate it.
 8
     Thank you for your service.
 9
                    And thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
11
     Representative.
12
                    Representative Keith Greiner.
13
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Thank you,
14
    Mr. Chairman.
15
                    Good afternoon, gentlemen.
16
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Sir.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Commissioner
     Solobay, I have a couple questions for you, just quick
18
19
     follow-ups.
20
                    I'm actually an officer back in my
21
     hometown at the fire company and was happy to hear you
22
     give a positive status report on the volunteer
23
     companies' loan fund. And just recently a fire company
24
     in my area, they were under the impression that because
25
     of the budget impasse that they couldn't get any money,
```

so we clarified that. So it was nice to know we have 1 2 50 million, I think, in that fund available to still --3 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Sure. 4 additional 50 that's available to float for bonds, if 5 and when it becomes necessary. 6 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: I think that's 7 great for our volunteer fire companies. I think we are 8 blessed here in Pennsylvania to have a large number, you 9 know that of course. 10 How many loans right now are outstanding? 11 How many take advantage of that program or even like at 12 this point in time, how many loans are outstanding? 13 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: On the average -and I know the numbers, but not necessarily the actual 14 15 or the total amount. There's roughly 15 to 18 million 16 dollars per year that's loaned out. And that's anywhere 17 from, you know, as low as maybe 30,000 on a used piece 18 of equipment to up to the max of 300,000 for some of the 19 newer pieces of equipment that are out there. I can get 20 the actual number of loans that are out there. 21 As far as outstanding loans that we're 22 maybe having concerns with or late payments, I bet 23 there's less than 10 or 12 right now that we are dealing 24 with on that, so everybody is paying. I believe up

until we changed the formula and allowing for a little

```
1
     bit more money and a little longer payback period, we
 2
     were maybe lending out 10, 12, 14 million and getting a
 3
    probably 3 or 4 million more than that back in reloan
 4
     payments back. So even with the increase, I'm hoping
 5
     it's going to stay pretty standard.
 6
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER:
                                            So like with the
 7
     grants program, you said 2400 fire companies, and I
 8
     quess ambulance associations --
 9
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: That's correct.
10
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: -- applied, and
11
     I mean, would it be 10 percent? I mean, I just think
12
     for our own fire companies in Lancaster County, I don't
13
     know how many people -- there are a few that have
14
     outstanding loans right now. I'm just saying is it
15
     10 percent of that, in other words is it 240? I was
16
     just kind of -- and maybe you can get that number.
17
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: I can do that.
18
    mean you have to understand, if they borrow, they get,
19
     you know, up to 20 years in some cases to get to pay
20
     that back. So you know, they could have that payment
21
     that's out there. So again, we're getting a return of
22
     about 10 to 14 million dollars a year coming back from
23
     loan payments, you know, that's a healthy number.
24
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: And ambulance
25
     associations can apply for that?
```

1 That's correct. COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: 2 Yes, they can. 3 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Now let me ask 4 you, do they have, like, paid drivers or paid staff? know the ambulance -- that's a discussion I know we've 5 6 had too. Moving forward, things are changing in that. 7 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: As long as they 8 are a nonprofit entity, even if they have career folks 9 there, they are still eligible. 10 REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Okay. 11 appreciate that and then let me just see, I think you 12 had talked about the grants program and of course, the 13 eligibility. And fire companies, am I mistaken, have 14 one year to spend that money when they apply or what's 15 the --16 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Yeah. The normal 17 application period is the first part of September, the 18 grants need to be -- the application needs to be within 19 a 45-day period. We then have a couple months usually 20 towards near the end of the year to verify that all the 2.1 applications that have come in are true; the statements, 22 and find the total number out so that then we can take 23 that number and that entity, divide it into the 24 30 million and make a determination of what each grant

25

is going to be worth.

This year the base grant on the fire departments was right around \$12,000, they have the opportunity for an additional almost 2,000 if they have up to 10 firefighters within their department that have some type of national certification -- firefighter I, II, EMT, things like that -- and that entitles them. So I think the max out was almost \$14,000 this year for the fire departments.

Obviously, from the ambulance services that figure is a little bit less, their base figure and then with the bonus that they may be able to obtain.

But you know, all \$30 million does get distributed.

And the problem we do have is sometimes once that grant agreement -- and right now we're in the process of the grant agreements being released. Out of the 2400, about 1600 have turned in their paperwork with the banking information of where the money needs to be forwarded to. We still have about 800 that have not done that. They've got till about the end of May to get that information in. And we will be sending out to all of your offices, those departments that have -- that are eligible to get their grant that haven't turned their paperwork in yet, so we can meet that.

Then by -- the closeout report needs to be done sometime within the first part of August. And

```
1
     then again, as long as we get the legislation passed to
 2
     reauthorize the grant, again in September we'll be
 3
     starting the new grant application all over again.
 4
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: I do appreciate
 5
     that list. I mean, I've used it to make sure that my
 6
     fire companies get their paperwork in and --
 7
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Last thing we want
 8
     is somebody not to get their money when it's there
 9
     sitting for them.
10
                    REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Exactly, so I
11
     appreciate your service.
12
                    And thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
14
     Representative.
15
                    Representative Dean.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Good afternoon --
17
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Good afternoon.
18
                    REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: -- and welcome.
19
     Thank you, Commissioner and Director and colleagues, for
20
     being here.
                 I have one quick comment and one quick
21
     question.
22
                    In terms of a comment, I'm hoping we can
23
     do whatever we can at the state level to support the
24
     grants program and other programs to support volunteer
25
     firefighters.
```

In my community, we're serviced by many really terrific volunteer firefighters and companies and also paid EMS. And so that they can get the money — the things that they talk to me about — truly the EMS is the carrying of naloxone, but also the funding for the Lucas CPR compression device. What a lifesaver that is and if they could only get more funding for that so that they could have more of those available. They literally, you know it better than I, are saving lives with those things. So I'm in favor of anything we can do to make sure our grant program is as robust as is necessary.

On the question side, I live in

Montgomery County, Abington, and Upper Dublin Township,
so it's one of the older ring suburbs of Philadelphia.

We have a number of high-rise older buildings, 60-,
70-year-old buildings. And we have a variety of levels
of safety and fire security and I am worried about that.

We have some landlords and owners of properties that sprinklered their building and brought it up as high-tech as it possibly can. We have others who are reluctant, I guess based on cost. We have a very large high-rise in our community that has undergone a complete renovation, but has avoided sprinkling the building.

What can we do at the state level to make sure that we retrofit older buildings so that they are fire-safe, not only for the people living there, but for the firefighters coming in to help?

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: It's a combination of several things. Obviously, we could, you know, mandate certain things -- I know people get nervous when they hear that word -- through Labor and Industry. There are certain codes and zoning things that tie into that. Normally, if they're doing a major renovation, I'm kind of surprised they were able to get around that because normally in a multilevel building with a lot of residential components to it, if they go through a major renovation, they need to bring it up to existing code. So it all depends, too, what the local community has in place for zoning and codes as well.

But you know, there were some things tied back before. Several years ago, that had a residential component to it, which obviously, I was very much in favor and kind of fought hard to try to keep it in place, but that didn't occur. But usually in a multistory buildings, both commercial, industrial, or residential, there already is an existence of a lot of regulations tied to that. It's just a matter of local government enforcing those.

1 Well, my local REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: 2 government has tried as hard as they possibly can, this 3 has been litigated, this is a major 11- or 12-story 4 complex, a full gut and renovation. But they managed to 5 piece it together. So that they're appearing to do it 6 in pieces and have gotten around doing that. 7 So I just think we need some more uniform 8 code. They played the local ordinance off the state 9 code, off this, and it's just a real shame. 10 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: I agree. 11 REPRESENTATIVE DEAN: Thanks. 12 DIRECTOR FLINN: Ma'am, if I may, you 13 know, one of the things we look for from an emergency 14 management perspective is called resiliency. And 15 being -- doing whatever you can do to prevent or reduce 16 the damages associated with any kind of disaster 17 including fires. There's a program called 100 Resilient 18 Cities across the nation. Pittsburgh actually was part 19 of that, I think it is part of the Robert Wood Johnson 20 Grant. 21 But the idea of having cities and being 22 totally engaged, not only from the business community, 23 but obviously government and volunteer organizations, to 24 be able to go ahead and do a serious look at what their 25 community is, what the threats are. And in fact,

```
1
     whether it be codes, whether it be getting folks out of
 2
     harm's way from a hazard mitigation program, elevating
 3
     those kinds of things, to be able to build a community
 4
     that whether it's an earthquake, whether it's a fire,
 5
     whether it's tornadoes, that that community not only can
 6
     have the number of damages reduced, but come back.
 7
                    Unfortunately, across the nation -- and
 8
     we haven't had too many in Pennsylvania, but if a
 9
     catastrophic event occurs, there's small communities,
10
     especially from a business perspective, that if they get
11
     wiped out, the community is dead. And so from an
12
     emergency management perspective and again, from working
13
     with the fire service -- but that idea of a resilient
14
     community getting that information out. And I would say
15
     to you that whatever the legislature can do to help to
16
     get that information out, we would definitely be willing
17
     to work with you to get those major communities or any
18
     community, whether it's small or a large city, to be
19
     able to jump on that bandwagon.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE DEAN:
                                          Well, I appreciate
21
     that. Thanks for the suggestion.
22
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: You're welcome.
23
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank you,
24
     Representative.
```

25 Representative Jim Marshall.

1 REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: Thank you, 2 Mr. Chairman. 3 Good afternoon, gentlemen. 4 My question today is for Director Flinn. 5 DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir. 6 REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: Many small 7 communities in Pennsylvania are impacted by floods. 8 I'm aware of what your department does in reacting to 9 those situations. But could you give me more 10 information on how you can be proactive and more in 11 flood prevention for those communities? 12 DIRECTOR FLINN: Absolutely. Now the 13 good news is there's a couple different programs that 14 exist. If in fact we get a federal disaster 15 declaration, it's typically by county. 16 Now, again hoping to get the snow 17 disaster declaration -- but then you get all 67 counties 18 eligible for what's called hazard mitigation. And 19 hazard mitigation is an outstanding, good news story 20 where in fact you actually, as mentioned, take residents 2.1 out of harm's way. You build the houses up or you in 22 fact buy them out. And there's other things, including 23 we bought 20-some generators last year using those kind 24 of funds. So there's the pre-disaster mitigation 25 program that exists, as well as the mitigation program

1 | associated with the post-disaster.

2.1

And we work very closely with the communities. The caveat to it is each community, working through their county, has to have a hazard mitigation plan and you're talking about individuals getting together -- and there's a lot of technical assistance that we provide -- to get those plans in place to be able to identify what are the risks, what are the things we can do to reduce those risks, and then apply for those grants, those federal grants that come into play.

There's only a certain dollar amount that's given. So there's a prioritization that happens, but again, every time we get a disaster, we're eligible for additional funds.

REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: What about a historic downtown area?

One area in particular that I represent is the historic downtown Harmony Borough in Butler County. And we really can't raze the buildings and folks really don't want to move away. Their concern is maybe if activity, whether it's growth and buildings and earth movement and municipalities surrounding them, would impact them. And one of their top concerns is flooding. What can we do --

```
DIRECTOR FLINN:
 1
                                     Sure.
 2
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: -- to help,
 3
     really help them to be more comfortable with the growth
 4
     in their area?
 5
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     That's a tough question
 6
     from the standpoint of emergency management perspective.
 7
     I will tell you that every time there's been a
 8
     mitigation programs that's been defined, there's a
 9
     requirement that both the environmental and cultural and
10
     historical review that occurs at FEMA headquarters.
11
     they actually go in and send a team in to look at
12
     what -- we don't want to do anything that's going to
13
     destroy that cultural or historical perspective, that's
14
     all part of the grant process.
15
                    But in relationship to what happens
16
     surrounding that, sir, again looking at if -- whether it
17
     be, again, aggressive flood mitigation program that
18
     surrounds those communities, that certainly would be
19
     something that we would want to take a look at.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL: And is FEMA
21
     more involved in clearing debris in streams or is that
22
     more of a DEP issue?
23
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: That's more of a DEP
24
     issue. And I will tell you that's a challenge always,
25
     especially when you talk about federal reimbursement for
```

```
it because the question is, was the debris there before?
 1
 2
     And I have actually been involved in some disaster
 3
     responses and that's a challenge associated with it,
 4
     when you talk about who's going to pay for that. But
 5
     PEMA is engaged with FEMA when you get those
 6
     declarations.
 7
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Also kind of rolls
 8
     into the stormwater management plans --
 9
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Absolutely.
10
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: -- that counties
11
     would encompass for a pre-disaster situation.
12
                    So those municipalities that are affected
13
     downstream, you know, it's a very important -- again,
14
     that's usually working with the DEP to get those type of
15
     plans in place, but again, there's a cost factor
16
     associated with that. But again, everybody can do
17
     everything right in this community, but if upstream,
18
     they do nothing, you know, then those downstream get the
19
     effects of what the inactivity or the inability for
20
     those upstream communities to do something properly.
21
                    But that would be through stormwater
22
     management and the county plan is usually very helpful
23
     in that sense.
24
                    REPRESENTATIVE MARSHALL:
                                              Okav.
25
     you, Commissioner and Director, and Mr. Chairman.
```

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you, 2 Representative. 3 Representative Maria Donatucci. 4 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Thank you, 5 Chairman. 6 And good afternoon. 7 Good afternoon. DIRECTOR FLINN: 8 REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: My first 9 question is for Commissioner Solobay. 10 A few months ago, the Veterans Affairs 11 and Emergency Preparedness Committee held a hearing on 12 Act 46, the firefighter cancer presumption law, which of 13 course designates cancer as an optional disease for 14 firefighters and extends the period for making claims. 15 As we approach the five-year anniversary, 16 can you provide us with a brief update on the status of 17 this law? 18 COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Sure. I was -- I 19 believe I was still in the House whenever we did pass 20 this legislation. It was very encouraging first to get 21 it to happen. And I know the career firefighters were a 22 major thrust and push to see that this happens, both in 23 Philadelphia and from the city of Pittsburgh. 24 You know, when we had that conversation, 25 the biggest concern was what impact was it going to have on local municipalities' workmen's comp rates and how it was going to be challenged. At the time we were told there wouldn't be an issue, but we have come to find out very quickly afterwards that -- and the look-back scenario that was tied to the legislation. That first year we had about 60 or 70 folks that filed claims, which all of a sudden, I think, added a concern to the insurance industry, is this going to be the normal situation, which I don't think it has been.

There was a change though in the legislation. I just recently, within the past few days, have found out information dealing with it from a volunteer perspective -- is that the presumption, again, from the volunteer side -- obviously, from the career quys, it's presumed through the reporting system and everything else they use, that it -- their proof of burden isn't as great as it has been. Now on the volunteer side -- and if there's a PennFIRS, which is our Pennsylvania Fire Information Reporting System that all fire departments put information in. What we're finding out now is that on the volunteer side of things, first of all, if they're not doing reporting -- and we changed the company that we've been using last year or this -- yeah, last year, and actually they have a lot more. Up over 50 percent of the fire companies that are

```
reporting and using the PennFIRS System, which is good.

Our goal, obviously, is 100 percent. And because of the
```

- 3 cancer presumption, it's very important that they do
- 4 | that because if they're not reporting, then that
- 5 | individual -- if it's especially in a volunteer
- 6 | firefighter -- has nowhere to be able to get the
- 7 | benefits. They're denying the benefits because they're
- 8 | not doing the reporting.

2.1

volunteers.

The other thing we found out in place also is that, again, be very specific in the narrative of the incident, what the fire officer puts in the narrative of that incident to make sure they make comment of the fact that class A carcinogens were probably in the incident. You know, that the person was subjected to some type of class A carcinogen. If that is not listed, then their insurance companies are fighting the claims to give the benefits to the

So we're constantly trying to impress on volunteers that they need to move forward to get into the reporting system and also make sure they document things the right way of who's been on a call and the fact that there's certain carcinogens probably in the atmosphere of the fire itself. From the career perspective, it's been a little bit easier for them to

```
be able to receive benefits if -- God forbid -- they end
 1
 2
     up contracting some type of cancer or disease.
 3
                    The key now with this five year is to
 4
     evaluate truly how many incidents have been brought
 5
     forth, how many folks have filed petitions with
 6
     workmen's comp, and see if we can't get the rates back
 7
     under control from where they were because they've all
 8
     skyrocketed. A lot of companies won't even write
 9
     workmen's comp insurance anymore because of it.
10
     Companies have had to return to the state system, the
11
     SWIF product, and even that, the premium costs have been
12
     somewhat increased because of this legislation.
13
                    REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI:
                                               Thank you.
14
                    My next question is directed to Director
15
     Flinn.
16
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: I have a
18
     question regarding the PEMA meteorologists.
19
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Has there been
21
     any discussion with state agencies about everyone using
22
     the same weather person and reducing the amount of
23
     contracts we have with AccuWeather? And also what is
24
     the meteorologist's yearly salary and how is it paid?
25
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Sure.
                                            When -- and just
```

```
1
     to go back real quick. This was my idea and I take full
 2
     responsibility for it, but I worked with meteorologists
 3
     when I was at FEMA. We had one FEMA meteorologist in
 4
     the National Hurricane Storm Prediction Center as well
 5
     as at headquarters. And the value of having an
 6
     individual for emergency management-related purposes and
 7
     understanding emergency management is just absolutely
 8
                 I can tell you numerous examples since he
     tremendous.
 9
     has been brought on board.
10
                    When the idea came, I said, you know,
11
     first of all, let me do the research. So I looked at
12
     across the Commonwealth -- across the country, worked
13
     with the National Emergency Management Association of
14
     what states actually hire meteorologists, and there were
15
     about a dozen that, in fact, in the emergency management
16
     world that do, and those are states that have very
17
     leading forward type of emergency management programs.
18
     Additionally, I went to the other state agencies to say,
19
     "hey, would you be interested in helping, if you will,
     to share the costs?"
20
21
                    I went to PennDOT. Obviously, PennDOT is
22
     in a contract with AccuWeather, so they couldn't -- and
23
     it begins from January to December -- they couldn't do
24
     anything in relationship to coming on board with us.
```

And I think they have a little different -- I don't know

```
1
     the exact wording in the contract, but their contract is
 2
     a little different from the perspective of what they do
 3
     with that information, what AccuWeather provides.
 4
                    I went to the Department of Environmental
 5
     Protection, Department of Conservation and Natural
 6
     Resources, and the Department of General Services.
 7
     all agreed to participate. So of the 62,000 annual
 8
     salary, 50 percent of that is by federal funds and the
 9
     rest is shared by four separate agencies.
10
                    REPRESENTATIVE DONATUCCI: Okay. Thank
11
     you.
12
                    And thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
14
     Representative.
15
                    Representative Seth Grove.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Thank you,
17
    Mr. Chairman.
18
                    To follow up on the state weatherman, at
19
     what point was he hired?
20
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: At what point?
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                           Yeah.
22
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: I'm trying to think what
23
     was the exact date here.
24
                    MR. THOMAS: Probably April -- March,
25
     April.
```

```
1
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: March, April time
 2
     frame -- we can get that exact date for you, sir.
 3
     just don't know off the top of my head.
 4
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                           That was prior to
 5
     the hiring freeze, correct?
 6
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.
                                                It was.
 7
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So that was -- he
 8
     was actually hired not during the impasse. Correct?
 9
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: That's correct.
10
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: But it was
11
     reported during the impasse that he was hired.
12
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes.
13
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                           That's a very
    helpful time frame because that I don't think was
14
15
     reported in the notice.
16
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Okay.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: I want to pull up
18
     your Act 146 waivers. You had applied for about
19
     $3 million for local municipal emergency relief funds, a
20
     total of 3 million. So there was a September 4th or an
21
    August 12th waiver request for 2 million, a
22
     September 4th request for 1 million, and then a
23
     September 14th request for $3 million. The total was
24
     $3 million, correct?
25
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Just to go back, we're
```

```
look at the '15 time frame, it was $2 million that was
 1
 2
     in that particular budget specifically for that
 3
     nonfederally declared disaster portion. The other
    million I think was associated with -- I'll turn it to
 4
 5
     the director here.
 6
                    MR. THOMAS: It was a project that I
 7
    believe was in Marcus Hook area that was not -- never
 8
     went through.
 9
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: All right. All
10
     those dollars, were they hangover to go to local
11
    municipality payments? Between the '14 -- the waiver
12
     request for fiscal year 2014, so those were hangover
13
     dollars that weren't appropriated in '14?
14
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Okay. I'm not sure
15
     exactly what the hangover dollar question is.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So a lot of these
17
     waivers are for contracts. Contracts that were
18
     executed --
19
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Oh, I see.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: -- in 2014.
                                                        Thev
21
     weren't fully executed, so they moved them to the '15
22
     year.
23
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yeah.
                                            What exactly
24
     is -- so we didn't have any applications for that fund
25
     in the previous year, so that money was taken over.
```

```
1
     Then we actually had the disaster in the summer, that --
 2
     primarily Delaware County -- the five counties in the
 3
     southeast had severe storm damages. They -- we went
 4
     ahead and initiated -- just like we would for a FEMA
 5
     declaration -- meeting with the municipalities, getting
 6
     the costs, and we are now -- we are in the process of
 7
     gathering all that information together to be able to go
 8
     ahead and release those funds to those counties and
 9
     municipalities, and it's related to the specific costs
10
     that they incurred.
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So all those local
    municipality relief funds that were brought in '14 and
12
13
     '15 were used in that storm?
14
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Will be used.
15
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Will be used.
16
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.
17
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. I
18
     appreciate that.
19
                    Your other waiver was for your GGO line
20
     items pulling from the 2012 fiscal year and 2014 fiscal
2.1
     year. Your 2012 waiver request to support extraordinary
22
     requests during an emergency or event that does not
23
     elevate to the level of a state or federally declared
24
     disaster. This funding will provide some assurance that
25
     there is a fiscal capability to manage and respond to a
```

```
1
     specific emergency where alternative funding is
 2
     available.
                 Included in that request would be dollars --
 3
     unknown amount of dollars allocated towards expenses for
 4
     PEMA's new headquarters facility which do not meet the
 5
     requirements of the capital project facility fund to
 6
     receive or are in direct support of PEMA and would be
 7
     transitioned to the new facility. And $140,000 to
 8
     facilitate creating a, quote, statewide plan for
 9
     domesticated animals, end quote.
10
                    Can you breakdown those GGO allocations
11
     for your 2012 waiver between those three requests?
12
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: I would have to get back
13
     to you specifically on that survey because that was --
14
     it was obviously before my time, but we will go ahead
15
     and get back to you on that.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: When did you
17
     start?
18
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Beginning of this past
19
     year, in January of 2015.
20
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: '15? Okay.
                                                        This
21
     request came in June 29th, 2015.
22
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Okay. But you're
23
     referring to 2012 funds?
24
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Well, 2012 funds
25
     that were expended in 2015.
```

```
1
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Well, what I do know for
 2
     sure is, obviously, what we are doing -- I'm sorry, hang
 3
     on one second.
 4
                    MR. THOMAS:
                                Here you go.
 5
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Thank you.
 6
                    Okay. So we have -- they're not
 7
     contracts, okay, first of all. And again, we're waiting
 8
     actually for the '15-'16 budget to be passed because
 9
     they were at the add-ons. And the money for the new
10
     building was for EOC operations and the number in
11
     relationship to the animals is for the Pennsylvania
12
     State Animal Rescue Team, PASART. Again, that money has
13
    not been released, again, because of waiting for the
14
     '15-'16 passing.
15
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: So all that money
16
     from the 2012 line item is still available?
17
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, sir.
18
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: None of it was
19
     spent?
20
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     I'm sorry?
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: None of it was
22
     spent and you're waiting for the --
23
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: That's correct.
                                                      We're
24
     waiting for the passage of the '15 budget.
25
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Was your GGO line
```

```
1
     item for the '15-'16 budget approved or vetoed by the
 2
     Governor?
 3
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: The line item itself was
 4
     approved.
 5
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                          Approved.
 6
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes.
 7
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
 8
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: But these add-ons were
 9
     not, if that's what you're referring to. I'm talking
10
     about the GGO funds specifically for operations.
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE: Okay. And your
12
     2014 GGO waiver request, that was for -- you waived all
13
     the commitments and available funds.
                                           The funds are
14
     being requested to be waived to support extraordinary
15
     requests during an emergency or event that is not to the
16
     level of state or federally declared disaster. And then
17
     a hundred thousand dollars of it is to be used for the
18
     PEMA Special Deployment and Response Office. This money
19
     will be utilized to support maintenance and operational
20
     costs of the warehouses and inventory for state-owned
21
     equipment for emergency situations.
22
                    Is that the same, where that money was
23
    not expended as of yet?
24
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Has not been spent.
25
                    REPRESENTATIVE GROVE:
                                           Okay.
                                                  So all that
```

```
1
    money is currently available in prior years' lapses.
 2
     Thank you very much.
 3
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: You're welcome, sir.
 4
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank vou.
 5
                    In case no one has noticed, we have been
 6
     joined by a group of Pittsburgh youth that are guests of
 7
     Representative Wheatley. This group is called NEED.
 8
     NEED provides career and college access services which
 9
     empower youth in the Pittsburgh region to aspire to
10
     learn about and prepare for higher education.
11
                    Welcome, folks.
12
                    The next question will be asked by
13
     Representative Warren Kampf.
14
                    REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF: Director Flinn --
15
                    DIRECTOR FLINN:
                                     Sir.
16
                    REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF: I'm sorry, I'm
17
     sorry, Fin.
18
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: It's Flinn. It's okay.
19
                    REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF: Forgive me, that's
20
     terrible.
21
                    Director Flinn, we received federal
22
     dollars for domestic preparedness, roughly $130 million,
23
     and my understanding is that about 80 percent of that
24
     goes out through task forces, regional task forces, nine
25
     of them.
               Could you explain to me how that money is
```

1 spent? Also, I guess 20 percent of it you have access 2 to how that money is spent. 3 DIRECTOR FLINN: Sure. 4 REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF: And then, so I 5 don't have to come back at you, do we need all nine task 6 forces? 7 DIRECTOR FLINN: Okay. Yeah. Again, 8 it's a Federal Homeland Security Grant program. 9 will tell you that its inception, obviously, after 10 the -- prior to actually the 9/11 event, the focus is 11 really being -- the initial focus was relationship to 12 terrorists. They changed it over the years to be 13 all-hazards focused. 14 The funds are required to be only used 15 for planning, training, equipment, and exercises. And 16 we have, in Pennsylvania -- and it's probably been very, 17 very cost efficient by organizing it through, as we call 18 these, task forces. They were originally called counter 19 regional terrorism task forces, but they're regional 20 multicounty organizations that -- and I will say to you 2.1 that the funds have to be spent on what we call those 22 priorities defined in the State Preparedness Report. 23 FEMA identifies 31 capabilities that 24 you've got to be able to be prepared for, to respond to

any kind of, all hazards in the early days, again,

terrorist-type events. Each year, there is a state preparedness report that's developed after a statewide threat assessment is done. So each year -- and again, it's a self-assessment that the areas do, as well as a comprehensive assessment that the state conducts. The funds are in fact provided for -- there's an authorized equipment list, so they can only purchase those pieces of equipment that's on that list itself, again, authorized training, and again it's for planning purposes.

And I will tell you that unfortunately, the money -- depending on one's perspective -- the money has gone down significantly. When the program first started, the Commonwealth received over \$30 million.

This past year, this 2015 year, we're looking at \$10 million for the State Homeland Security Grant Program itself. And again, now we actually are having a homeland security symposium today, as we speak, to bring in all the task forces to work on their applications and their investment justifications to reduce that threat or enhance the capabilities.

Answering your question in relationship to whether there's nine -- or whether there is a need for nine. The Commonwealth needs to be covered, again, in recognizing that threat exists higher in municipal

```
1
     areas or large cities from a terrorist perspective, but
 2
     it doesn't eliminate the threat that could exist in a
 3
     smaller community, whether it be -- and we've just
 4
     noticed one of the biggest issues that we have to work
 5
     on closely with the Office of Administration is cyber
 6
     issues.
 7
                    Just last night a sewage and water
 8
     treatment facility was attacked from a cyber attack
 9
     perspective which could be a significant issue in any
10
     community in the Commonwealth, in Pennsylvania.
11
                    But whether there's a need for nine or
12
     whether there's a -- the key is that all of them are,
13
     all 67 counties are included in this process.
14
     important. I think they broke it up and years ago, this
15
     was really not done by a state mandate as much as the
16
     locals getting together. And for example, the southwest
17
     region has 13 counties and actually are adding another
18
     county moving from another task force. So I think the
19
     key is not so much the number of task forces, as much as
20
     the Commonwealth is covered, all 67 counties.
21
                    REPRESENTATIVE KAMPF:
                                           Thank you,
22
     Mr. Chairman.
23
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Representative
```

25 REPRESENTATIVE HELM: Thank you,

24

Sue Helm.

Mr. Chairman.

And welcome.

I would like to talk about flooding. My district -- the Susquehanna River wraps around it and you did talk about the role that PEMA plays as it pertains to hazard mitigation planning, you also talked about stormwater management. But talking about the flood maps, they are changing and more people are becoming mandated to be incorporated into the flood insurance programs. Can you explain to the committee the reason, the purpose for these changes? Like we've had a lot of talk about property taxes, but now I'm getting so many calls because flood insurance rates are going up.

DIRECTOR FLINN: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE HELM: The people that get these maps and I see that they're in the floodplain, but you know, they don't want to be paying these high rates for their insurance.

DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am. And you know, again, that's one of those issues that we're faced with as the consumer because the federal government defines -- FEMA defines the flood mapping and it's certainly a challenge that we've seen. But recently we actually have been working with the insurance -- the

state insurance commissioner on looking at alternatives
that exist for lower cost flood insurance. We
actually -- the insurance commissioner released a news
release on the options that exist and we're working with
them to get that information out and put it on our
website.

2.1

Unfortunately, as I said, that's a congressional issue that needs to be addressed in Washington and we don't have any control over what FEMA -- that flood mapping side of it.

REPRESENTATIVE HELM: I did ask the insurance commissioner about commercial flood insurance and she really wasn't looking at that because commercial buildings are affected just as much as residential.

DIRECTOR FLINN: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE HELM: But let's talk about the historic snowstorm that hit on January 22nd and 23rd of this year. I just -- could you explain how the agency interacted with state and local responders and what was your overall impression of the response to this storm and, in your assessment, what worked and what didn't? Like I felt that everything was handled pretty well. I know there were a few major things, but on the whole, I thought you did a really good job and would just like you to talk about that little.

DIRECTOR FLINN: Thank you.

Yeah. Again, you know, you go through the process and one of the very first things in the value of having our meteorologist on board and looking at it from a perspective of being able to go ahead and see a week ahead, 10 days ahead where the models are coming, what's happening. And then identifying where the high risk or the critical areas are going to be affected.

So we go through this planning process and we go through the very first thing is public information and preparedness. And we started our preparedness message very early on, including with the Governor having preparedness messages over at PEMA, telling folks it's coming, be prepared, stay off the roads, those kinds of things.

Then we work with our counties. Our counties are our primary customers, if you will. And so we support them and any particular needs that they may have. We initiated a -- and we have a warning operations brief, but we started weather calls then that week ahead and talked to the counties and asked from their perspective what the preparedness activities were going to be.

Then you go through, in fact,

prepositioned assets if you need to. We went ahead and requested a pre-declaration of emergency. I call it a pre-dec, but it was a declaration, but we did it before the event happened. So we are able to use the resources that would be available through that declaration, one of which was having the Pennsylvania Army National Guard

stood up and identified a number of soldiers.

2.1

So then we go ahead and have the event happen. And the event itself, again, I can tell you that, it's a record snowfall in 31 counties, very significant across the state. But I will tell you both the resiliency and the patience — and from the public's perspective, they did well. People did stay off the

The number of incidents were fairly small.

We worked with the -- two days ahead and got on the power outage utility calls with the Public Utility Commission. And the reason behind this is because we really anticipated there were going to be a lot of power outages. The good news is there were very few power outages. But we were prepared to work with both the utilities as well as the critical infrastructure if the power was going out.

So in answer to your question, you know, you go through the preparedness and response side, and then obviously now we're doing the recovery side in

```
1
     relationship to, hopefully, getting that federal
 2
     declaration.
 3
                    REPRESENTATIVE HELM:
                                          Thank you.
 4
     your new building is in my district, so I hope I get an
 5
     invite for a tour.
 6
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Absolutely, ma'am.
 7
     Anytime, we'd be happy to get you over there.
 8
                    REPRESENTATIVE HELM:
                                          Thank you.
 9
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH:
                                               Thank vou.
10
                    Representative Bullock.
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:
                                             Thank you,
12
     Chairman.
13
                    Good afternoon, gentleman. I'm over
14
     here.
15
                    I, too, share the concerns of my
16
     colleagues of particularly the oil trains that are
17
     traveling through our Commonwealth and the concerns that
18
     many of our residents have around the potential for a
19
     disaster there as well as other potential disasters
20
     across our Commonwealth. Could you share with us your
21
     department's outreach and public outreach activities,
22
     particularly around ReadyPA?
23
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Well, again, from the
24
     public side of the house, you know, the focus
25
     obviously -- a lot of effort in -- and the Commissioner
```

```
mentioned it in relationship to training first
responders and emergency managers. On the public side
of the house, obviously we are -- we focus in on all
hazards. And we ask, you know, the communities to
really work with their local communities to determine
what their threats are. I think one of the common
statements I hear is that the general public just
```

doesn't know.

I will tell you though, although the -and I think the Commissioner will agree with me having
been involved in the fire service and as a fire chief
for quite a few years -- the threat of the Bakken crude
is, although very significant, there are a significant
number of other threats that travel in all the
transportation roads throughout the Commonwealth every
single day. So being aware of what's traveling in your
community is important.

Now having said that, there's things that are very important in relationship to not only being prepared before an incident happens, but making sure that you have the capability to know that an incident is going on.

And we all have cell phones, you can turn off your emergency alerts on your cell phones. We don't want people to turn off their emergency alerts. You

```
1
     know, in fact, what was very evident in the tornadoes
 2
     that struck Lancaster a few weeks ago, those warnings
 3
     occurred and people were saved because the warnings
 4
     initiated.
 5
                    So going back to that, you know, from a
 6
     public perspective our emphasis on ReadyPA is in fact
 7
     preparedness not only from the perspective of having a
 8
     plan, being ready to respond if something happens, but
 9
     also being tuned into your situation and situational
10
     awareness.
11
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:
                                             Thank you.
12
     I agree with that, in addition to the preparedness, we
13
     must also have awareness. And you're absolutely right,
14
     many residents aren't even knowledgeable of the
15
     potential disasters that could happen in their
16
     communities.
17
                    Shifting gears a little bit, can you tell
18
     me what your current complement levels are and do you
19
     feel, in both of your departments, whether those
20
     complement levels are sufficient to address your
21
     agency's mission?
22
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Globally it's 198, so
23
     PEMA has 173 and the Fire Commissioner --
24
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: 25.
```

DIRECTOR FLINN:

25.

```
1
                    And to answer your question, yeah.
 2
     mentioned in the opening statement, obviously I think
 3
     everyone would love to have more staff, but I recognize
 4
     the realities, but I also recognize we need to find
 5
     efficiencies. And I've said that when I came in, my
 6
     first effort was to look at the organization, look at
 7
     the agency, look at the folks we have, and we have got a
 8
     tremendous staff, tremendous team. And I'm sure the
 9
     Commissioner can say the same for his.
10
                    But in the way the organization was
11
     structured, I had planners in three different bureaus.
12
     I had the idea of trying to deal with the public in,
13
     again, three different organizations. So I'm proposing
14
     and I'm waiting for interim approval to get a
15
     comprehensive reorganization and I'll tell you it
16
     follows the FEMA model where -- focusing on the mission,
17
     so I have mission support and again, preparedness
18
     response and recovery and 9-1-1.
19
                    But the idea is being able to take the
20
     individuals who have the knowledge and skills and put
2.1
     them in the right positions and making sure that
22
     everyone is gainfully employed all the time and that's
23
     my efforts.
24
                    So having said that, I'm comfortable with
```

25

the complement level I have.

COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: And likewise from our end, presently I have one opening up at the academy that we're in the process of doing interviews and things. It was part of the complement that was approved before any of the bans.

You heard me maybe mention earlier about the online training. Obviously there is curriculum specialists we may be going back and asking for some help and assistance to try to tie into that.

Likewise, with some of the efficiencies, we're trying to approve going electronically on a lot of things, will hopefully help speed up the process of the grants and loans and how that works. But you figure different times of the year they get inundated with a lot of things and we've been able to use some of the annuitants to pick that up.

But I also, when I'm out talking, encouraging folks to try to process things the right way the first time, so it's not reworked, which obviously takes away from folks being able to get things done quickly. That's why oftentimes we'll reach out to you as well and ask, you know, to make sure the folks are getting their things in in a timely manner so that it doesn't delay things out and make it tough on the folks that are there trying to do the job.

```
1
                                             Chairman, I have
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:
 2
     one last question, I'll wrap up here.
 3
                    In regards to your workforce, could you
 4
     please share your current diversity levels and any
 5
     efforts that you are undertaking to increase diversity
 6
     and particularly -- I understand the need to have
 7
     qualified personnel when we're talking about the safety
 8
     of our Commonwealth, but could you expand a little bit
 9
     about where you are today and what you are doing to
10
     improve your percentages in the future?
11
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: From a male/female
12
     perspective, we're almost 50/50 male/female in our
13
     complement. Maybe slightly higher on the male
14
     component.
15
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: And we have 64 percent
16
     of our employees are female and in general supervisory
17
     33 percent are in general supervisory; and 16 percent of
18
     our complement is minority.
19
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:
                                             That was
20
     16 percent?
21
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Yes, ma'am.
22
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: And Fire
23
     Commissioner, what was your minority percentages?
24
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Minority
25
     percentages, 25 -- it depends if, you know, you are not
```

```
1
     considering females as minority or just --
 2
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Racial/ethnic
 3
    minority.
 4
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Racial/ethnic
 5
    minority, we have 1 minority out of the 24 presently.
 6
                    REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:
                                             Thank you,
 7
     gentlemen. Have a great day.
 8
                    Thank you, Chairman.
 9
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: Thank you,
10
     Representative.
11
                    Gentlemen, I want to thank you for being
12
     here today and testifying before this committee.
13
     Obviously, public safety is a big concern for everyone
14
     on this committee and we're looking forward to working
15
     with you between now and the end of June.
16
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Thank you, sir.
17
                    COMMISSIONER SOLOBAY: Thank you,
18
     Chairman.
19
                    DIRECTOR FLINN: Appreciate it.
20
                    MAJORITY CHAIRMAN ADOLPH: For the
21
     members' information, the House Appropriations Committee
22
     will reconvene at 2:30 with the Department of Education.
23
                    Thank you.
24
                    (The hearing concluded at 1:27 P.M.)
25
```

## CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that I was present upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and there reported stenographically the proceedings had and the testimony produced; and I further certify that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. Dated in Lebanon, Pennsylvania this 7th day of April 2016. Summer A. Miller, Court Reporter Notary Public