# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

URBAN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

MAIN MEETING ROOM
MIDDLE SMITHFIELD TOWNSHIP BUILDING
EAST STROUDSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 2016

INFORMATION HEARING - HB 1774

### BEFORE:

HONORABLE SCOTT PETRI
HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN
HONORABLE HARRY LEWIS
HONORABLE DAVID PARKER

\* \* \* \* \*

Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

COMMITTEE	STAFF PRESENT:
	ASHLEY SCHAEFFER
	RESEARCH ANALYST - REPUBLICAN SIDE

## INDEX

## TESTIFIERS

\* \* \*

NAME	PAGE
EDWARD BANZ  Resident of Private Community	11
THOMAS RYAN  Delaware Township Supervisor	. 17
MR. & MRS. JOHN DENOOYER  Community Residents	32
FRANK DeLUCA Community Resident	. 38
JOSEPH BARCA Community Resident	. 45
MARSHALL GRANOR, ESQ., CMCA, CCAL President, Community Management Services	. 55
JOHN CARNEY General Manager, Wallenpaupack Lake Estates	s71
ROBERT FENLON Community Resident	. 79
BASIL MERENDA Chief Deputy Attorney General	85
ALYSSA WEINHOLD  Legislative Director, Attorney General	. 92

### PROCEEDINGS

2 \* \* \*

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Ladies and gentlemen, I would like to call this meeting to order. It's an informational meeting of the House Urban Affairs Committee.

The first thing I should do is thank our hosts, Middle Southfield Township. It is wonderful to be here; wonderful facility.

I would like to talk to you a little bit about the committee and we are going to do some introductions.

The committee consists of members that are located across the state. We have some of the members of the committee here. We also have some local representatives, and, obviously, we have our prime sponsor. My co-chairman, Tom Caltagirone, could not be here today and he expresses his sorrow for that. He wanted to be involved. He knows how important this is. But I can tell the members of the audience that he and I work together very well. Our goal is to try to pass any bill out of committee unanimously, which means that I really believe in the hard work of this committee. And I will have some comments in a moment and I am sure our prime sponsor will.

But why don't we start with self-introductions.

All the way to my left, well-known to everybody locally,

would you introduce yourself?

```
1
                REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: State Representative David
2
    Parker from the 115th District right next door to Rosemary
    Brown's district here.
 3
 4
                REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Good morning. My name is
 5
    State Representative Harry Lewis and I am from Chester
 6
    County. That's Downingtown, West Chester -- not West Chester
 7
    but Coatesville and in that area, in the middle of the
    Chester County area, 74th District.
8
 9
                ASHLEY SCHAEFFER: My name is Ashley Schaeffer.
10
    am the research analyst for the Urban Affairs Committee,
11
    Republican side.
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: I am Scott Petri. I am the
12
1.3
    majority chairman for the committee. I am from Bucks County,
14
    and in Bucks County, we have 10 state representatives; so, I
    am interested in hearing what you folks think about planned
15
16
    communities.
17
                REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Good morning.
18
    Representative Rosemary Brown, Monroe and Pike Counties and
    the 189th District.
19
2.0
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: We are going to hear from our
    prime sponsor about her bill, but I wanted to do some
21
22
    introductory comments.
23
                Good morning. Thank all of you for joining us to
    discuss House Bill 1774 and the issues that surround
2.4
```

oversight of common interest ownership communities. I would

25

like to thank all the testifiers who have come today and we have a great panel of experts to hear their thoughts about the house bill.

1.3

2.0

2.4

While we do not know the exact numbers of communities that have been formed in Pennsylvania under Title 68, we know that it is common, growing, and it is a system of living and governing that is becoming more and more significant in Pennsylvania.

The expansion of public facilities in common elements necessitates that there be an entity to maintain those facilities. Many homeowners look for communities that are organized in a manner that allows them to have some say in their neighborhood, and in many cases, a community that comes with alluring common elements and advantages.

These communities are largely self-governed. And, as we all know, we have a federal system, a state system, a local system, and then a very local system. And those are organized by homeowners' associations of elected board members who help to navigate and set the bylaws and declarations that the community follows.

Title 68 set up guidelines on how to form, operate, and govern those communities, but it is still largely up to the homeowners' association to create the laws that will impact their community.

With this leeway, it is not hard to imagine that

1 there are times when there are disagreements, and even abuse 2 of governing documents can occur in some circumstances and by unit owners. As State Representatives, we hear these 3 complaints from constituents. Often, when disagreements or 4 5 complaints are in these communities, unit owners and board 6 members feel that there is not enough oversight or assistance 7 in handling the complaints. I personally found, in listening to some of my residents' personal frustration, too often I 8 find myself inclined to say I guess you just got to go see a 9 10 lawyer.

I commend Representative Rosemary Brown for bringing other possible solutions to this committee and to the forefront. I know, personally, how hard she has worked on this issue to try to create a proactive solution. She is well-versed in these issues, as I understand she also serves on her own homeowners' association board. Obviously, you know, because you are local, her District contains portions of both Pike and Monroe County.

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

While common ownership, interest ownership communities exist around the state, both of these counties, in particular, have a high density of common interest ownership communities and that's one of the reasons the committee wanted to come to the Poconos to discuss this issue, because you folks in this audience have some unique perspectives and knowledge that we want to hear.

Our best estimate is that Pike County has approximately 200 and Monroe about 100. The solution we fashion in this committee will not and is not intended to solve personality issues between community members or aesthetic disputes; however, insuring proper governance, full disclosure, openness, transparency, and fair elections is certainly within our wheelhouse as State Representatives.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Let me just say, though, no matter what we enact, there will still be circumstances where the answer will be with the judicial system to resolve a dispute.

With that said, I turn over the platform to our prime sponsor to talk about her bill.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Well, good morning. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. He covered almost everything I was going to say, so, I am going to still do my comments, but he did such a great job. And I do want to thank Chairman Petri for coming up this way and the staff of the Urban Affairs

Committee and Representative Parker and many of you for testifying, and, of course, Middle Smithfield Township for allowing us to have the hearing here.

I don't serve on my private community association, which I should be thankful for because I know it is not an easy job. And one of the most difficult pieces of bringing this legislation forward, I think, is the respect for the people that do serve as board members. It is not an easy

job. It is a volunteer position and I know it affects a lot of people. It's just difficult; we know that.

1.3

2.0

I was raised pretty much in a private community, lived in a private community most of my life except for my first home and then those college years when I left the area, so, I am very well aware of what it's like. And, currently, I live, as most of know, in a private community; so, I am very well aware. I remember being at board meetings with my father laying on the ground during board meetings and kind of rolling around as a kid saying, okay, let's go, are we going to be done yet and the differences that had happened.

and I refer to it often and some of you may have heard me say this -- is I grew up in a resort. I grew up where I learned to ski, where I learned to play tennis, which I then did in high school and college. I rode motorcycles. I had family events with bingos and picnics and everything on the weekend, all the great things that a community association was meant to do. So, I had the best of the best, I feel, growing up in a community. So, we know that that is the environment that is really supposed to be created with a community. So, there is a lot of good happening.

But I have to say that in the last couple of years serving, I have had a lot of frustration in my position because I feel that there have been complaints that have been

coming through the office that, really, I haven't had a lot of authority to help with. And I think there is a lot of validity to the complaints. I think that we have sent people to the Attorney General's Office under the assumption that they had the power to do further investigation and to realize after some time that they don't have the powers necessary to address some of the issues that we are dealing with.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, again, to just reiterate what Chairman Petri said, these aren't complaints of whether, you know, your door is red or pink or blue or whatever color it would be or, you know, some of the bylaws that are in your private community, but these are the illegal nature of following the framework of what the Planned Community Act creates as framework of how our communities are supposed to be run and are they being followed, are those laws being followed, and is there illegal natures happening under established bylaws and your voting procedures and everything else to protect, really, the rights of the members of these associations.

I feel very strongly about this legislation. I feel it has a very big impact to our communities in Pike and Monroe County. And the reason for the hearing today is to make sure we believe as legislators, especially I feel strongly that we have to look at all angles and make sure it is written well to the best that we can. And I know it is not an easy issue but we need to make it as clean as we can.

And I appreciate your testimony and your honesty.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

2 And one request that I have, out of respect for everyone and for my comments before about the wonderful 3 nature of our communities but also the struggles we have with 4 5 our communities, is if you could please leave out, if you are 6 testifying, the names of the private community and any other 7 personal names of board members or members, because we are trying to really look at the issue and we are trying to look 8 at the circumstances that you have, but we definitely don't 9 10 want this to be a personal attack on any individual, any 11 community. We know how hard people are working in many ways. 12 So, thank you very much. Thank you for all being 13

here. And I thank you for your honesty and testimony, as well.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Well said. I was going to have the same reminder. I am glad you did that.

So, first, we are going to hear from Edward Banz, a resident of a private community.

EDWARD BANZ: Thank you, Representatives, for hearing our plight.

I am here to talk about some events that occurred in our community that began back in June of 2013. A new board consisting -- not a new board but a group of like-minded individuals who, through the process of election, obtained a majority on the board, not a new board. Once they

received that majority, they proceeded to remove a director on a bogus charge and nominate in an unprecedented interpretation of the bylaws another one of the like-minded people. This, on the surface, may appear benign, but two of those people, the person that was nominated by the board and the chairman of the board was subsequently arrested the following year for voter fraud and identity theft and things of that nature. So, there is a lot of doubt that the outcome of that 2013 election could be a result of things they had done wrong.

The removal of the person -- I mean, the person that was nominated had been previously removed from the board in 2010. That decision was held by the Courts; so, there was really no reason for this board to reinstate that person.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, subsequently, because of that, there were a series of events. They interpreted the bylaws to suit their needs. They created situations where, if you wanted to appeal a decision by the hearing board or the board, you either had to go before the board for the appeal, which, basically, put you before your accuser, or you had to go to a Court of Common Pleas, which put a financial burden on the membership. Which was a double whammy, because you not only had to lay out the money for your case, as being a member of the association, you were funding the board members' legal fees. So, basically, it put you in a position of being an

unarmed citizen against a 21st century military that had the high ranking. There was not much you could do. So, it led to thousands of dollars being spent by the association.

1.3

2.0

2.4

The removal of the board member in 2013, that situation came to Court. That was overturned. That person should not have been removed. So, everything they did in prior decisions were found either unjustified or decided it was moot. However, there were no damages awarded, so that money was still absorbed by the individual members.

The real damage, though, is the wedge this group drove between two social groups in the community. They were two groups that were really trying to get along. I mean, as of 2001, I am sure a lot of communities in the area witnessed an influx of people because of everybody trying to get away from New York with the bombing attacks and terror attacks.

A lot of understanding had to be done, there were different cultures and we were making progress. But this group just drove this wedge and kept dividing this group further and further.

We have since, in October of 2014, for the first time in many years, the community was so outraged that we were able to get a quorum in order to -- the last time we got a quorum, we had to give away turkeys with a bylaws change made -- but to remove that board, institute a now board, and there has been a lot of healing and mending going since then.

So, in reading the changes, proposed changes to this, I feel it should go a long way to preventing rogue boards from abusing their power. And if there is such a situation, the membership and property owners has the ability to have some sort of recourse.

I thank you for your time.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Any members have questions?

Comments?

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: In your situation, do you feel that the bylaws -- was that part of the problem, that it gave the board too much power?

EDWARD BANZ: The problem with the bylaws that we had was depending upon what solicitor the directors hired, they can interpret the bylaws in a number of different ways. And most of the situations that came up, they were 25 plus years of precedent, they just threw that out the window and just interpreted it the way they did. They had meetings without having quorums. It was just a mess.

Most of the bylaws are old. Our first edition was back in the late '70s, and because you need a quorum to make changes to bylaws, it is difficult to make the changes when they are needed. So, something like this is an added tool for us. The bylaws were clear enough to where the Court upheld — the old board took us to Court saying that the recall of that board was done illegally because the numbers

didn't gel, there were a thousand people and a guorum of 150 1 2 people was enough to have an election of another board. the Judge said that's the bylaws. If you don't like them, 3 4 change the bylaws. 5 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Any other questions? 6 Representative Brown. 7 REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you for your 8 testimony. 9 So, the one thing with a quorum, which I always 10 think of just the area is a communal population, the amount 11 of people are running back and forth, so getting the 12 attendance at the meetings is an issue, right? 1.3 EDWARD BANZ: Yes, it is. REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: So, I am always looking at 14 15 that fact to say -- it's like any election, unfortunately. 16 Unfortunately, you don't have as many people voting and being 17 involved as we would like to see. But do you have any 18 recommendations on that quorum issue, on other options, on 19 maybe an out-of-the-box thinking on a quorum, whether it is 2.0 in a different nature or you have to be present? Is there 21 any suggestion on that way? 22 EDWARD BANZ: I am sort of a local government kind 23 of guy, so I think in this case if the community was outraged 2.4 enough to where they finally did get together to get a 25 quorum, if the system had tools so that individual members

could seek other help besides having to just rely on that, I 1 2 think it should be left up to the communities to deal with that quorum issue. They need to realize that maybe you need 3 more than a minimum number, because back when the number was 4 5 set, there were maybe only 400 homes in our community and now 6 there is 1100, you know. And although we are primarily a 7 full-time community now, it is still difficult to get people 8 to, when they come up for weekends, to take time out to go to a meeting and things of that nature. 9

But people need to realize -- I mean, our community is a microcosm of the country. People don't go out to vote unless they have an ulcer. And that's what happened.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do you have any idea what kind of legal fees were spent between the community association and your group in this battle?

EDWARD BANZ: It was over \$50,000. That was just the members' end; so, the board spent at least that much.

And one of the cases they actually went to appeal it to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court after being turned down twice. But, fortunately, because we overthrew that board, that case wound up becoming moot.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: That's a darn shame.

EDWARD BANZ: That's a lot of money.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: That's a lot of money that could be used for the community in a lot of other ways.

Well, thank you for your testimony, thank you for your insight, and you will have an opportunity to participate more.

EDWARD BANZ: Thank you. And members of our association thank you.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: We are going to hear from Mr. Thomas Ryan, Delaware Township Supervisor.

THOMAS RYAN: I represent Delaware Township. I have some history being president of a board. Currently, Delaware Township has 19 separate community associations. We are a residency of about 8500 people, 5400 homes, and I would expect that 85 to almost 90 percent of those are community associations.

It is our job to sit back and manage our community but we feel like, as Ed spoke before, you know, we are not allowed to touch the communities. Basically, that's what it boils down to.

What we constantly hear is all the stories that you just heard from Ed. We have multiple communities that we hear that from. I can't do anything. My roads -- I have a corrupt board. I have a corrupt voting process. It is constant. We have a community that doesn't allow residents to come to a meeting. So, you know, that has been constant. We hear it. And the answer is exactly as the Chairman said, go get a lawyer. I don't know what to tell you or go see the

Attorney General.

1.3

2.0

2.4

That, in my view, is not productive at all because the Attorney General sleeps most of the day. So, it is a frustration of ours that we can't help our residents who are paying taxes, and not only paying taxes, they are paying double taxes. They are paying our taxes at the township level, they are paying an assessment or their maintenance, their fees, but, also, we have community associations that just now levied a \$5,000 assessment, \$5,000. That's \$50 a month or something to that effect. That's what is going into effect.

Those are the kinds of things that the communities are facing that we can't help them with. And there is no grant money for them, either.

So, we hear it across the board, you know, who is throwing the litter out, who is speeding. It is just constant. And there is no enforcement. They can't. They don't have the ability to enforce. They can assess. And what happens, what we hear, is when they do finally get before their grievance committee, if they do, most times, most counsel or some of the counsel we know that represent, they recommend that they use an independent counsel for the grievance committee. Communities don't do that. I think maybe the one -- maybe one does it now.

What happens is you go before a selected group. I

mean, come on. You know, they are elected and are appointed to positions that are like-minded as the board is like-minded. So, if you get assessed -- and I have heard some crazy assessments, believe me when I tell you -- \$500 for you tried to run me off the road or something to that effect.

1.3

2.0

2.4

We have communities with constables almost acting as police officers. They are pulling people over, giving them assessments. We hear it all the time. And assessments are \$500, \$400, \$600. I mean, we had one young man, a family was killed because he was going to see his lawyer because the community association had gone after him so hard because he had a Boy Scout event at his house. He crashed his car into a tree going to see his attorney. He left a young family and a young wife.

That's the kind of stuff that frustrates us to no end. How do you solve that problem? You are the only guys that can do it. At the township level, we have no authority. You are the only people that can sit back and legislate to protect the communities, the residents of the communities, not the communities.

Most of these people move into a community, they have no idea that there are bylaws and covenants with the community. They have no clue. We have people come down and ask us about paying the maintenance fee, what is that all

about. Well, didn't the real estate tell you; no. Well, they are supposed to tell you. No, they didn't tell us. Well, you are supposed to get a packet; didn't get a packet. They don't explain to them the covenants. They don't explain to them the bylaws. They don't explain to them that they are subject to another government, not only our government, another government. They don't explain that. And their government is more powerful than our government. 

It's just a process. It doesn't work well. And most residents -- Rosemary was here and I was here 25, 28 years ago when we were a resort community, we raised our children or Rosemary was raised there. She is a little younger than my kids. But that was all fine and dandy because we had community associations that provided skiing and all of these other things that you did and it was a resort community. That's changed.

1.3

2.0

2.4

The complexion of the Poconos is very different now. We are a full-time suburb of New York and New Jersey. And the people who come here, they come here with these ideas that they are moving into these wonderful resorts and all of a sudden they do something silly like don't put their garbage out or put their garbage on a curb when it's actually supposed to go in a dumpster and they are assessed \$300, I mean like nothing. There is no guideline for the assessment, it is kind of what they feel like at most points.

Some of the bylaws, the bylaws are not consistent, they are different. Every one is different. So, there is no consistency in the bylaws.

1.3

2.0

2.4

The other thing, there is no remedy in the bylaws. Other than a grievance committee or going to the Court of Common Pleas, people are not going to be able to afford going to the Court of Common Pleas. There has to be a remedy from the legislature to give them relief or give them an avenue for a solution. That's what they need.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Questions from members?

Representative Parker.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Well, I did have a question on the \$5,000 assessment you mentioned, was that everyone in the community got that assessment?

THOMAS RYAN: That's their intention. What has happened in that particular community is they have a salt issue. The salt has migrated down into the aquifer and it has contaminated, I think, 68 homes. They have to rebuild their salt sheds. They have to redo their configuration of the water, centralized water, which they never had any intention of doing.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Well, I guess my real question was the approval of the assessment. Like my community, we have had a \$5,000 assessment because we had to build a new dam and it was approved by the membership. Was

this assessment approved by the membership then and not just the board?

1.3

2.0

THOMAS RYAN: I don't have complete familiarity with the bylaws, but my understanding is its a board decision, not a membership decision. And most of them are like that, if the board agreed to assess, they are going to assess.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: But the community could agree to change their bylaws.

THOMAS RYAN: If that's part of their bylaws. You heard testimony just before me how difficult that is to do. It is very difficult to get enough people to change their bylaws or to even come to a meeting, you know, and sit down. You have to energize that base. And the system in the communities' associations is more political than even yours or mine.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I mean, it requires participation by the members, and if they don't come out, obviously, that won't happen. But it's important to get them out and get them involved in their communities.

THOMAS RYAN: But the assessments that are assessed, that's a community maintenance assessment. The assessments that are assessed for \$500 because you put your garbage out incorrectly, that's an arbitrary decision by the board. Actually, one community it is by one person, whatever

he kind of feels like doing that particular day. It is the same person that doesn't let you in to board meetings or has a constable that he thinks is State Police.

1.3

2.0

2.4

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I am somewhat baffled by these bylaws and attendance at these meetings. I am assuming are a lot of proxy votes that come in for certain things.

THOMAS RYAN: We hear about that constantly.

That's one of the things -- you know, the township is interesting because I never thought -- you think being a township supervisor, you hear everything, I mean, literally, everything, every single thing. You walk in, just like you guys walking in the store, you see your friends or random people or someone writes you a note or E-mail or something to that effect.

The system of the proxy vote is corrupt. It is as simple as that. What will happen is they will send out their votes, they will get them back, and before you know it, you can't get -- I just heard another story where we had one community that was sending out, say, 1,000 proxy votes, they never got back more than 10; all of a sudden, something came up on the board and they had 500. Where did that come from? Where did it come from? And one person is counting. There is not a board counting.

The proxy note and the mail-in vote -- the mail-in vote, specifically, is a corrupt system. It just doesn't

work, I think, because those are the things that lead to what Ed spoke about. Those are the things that I have heard in multiple communities. It is not the first community.

1.3

2.0

2.4

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Are there terms of the executive boards or boards? There is no control over that, how long you stay in?

THOMAS RYAN: There are terms. My understanding, when I lived in one, I was the president of one, there are terms. There is a 2-year, 4-year, 6-year term, but it is constant. You can stay on the board as long as you want. There is no such thing as term limits, which I am a firm believer in, by the way.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Representative Brown.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: So, Tom, you mentioned the mail-in proxy and I have heard that all across many years with different communities. So, what would be your recommendation on the mail-in process proxy? Because, obviously, I think you still have to have that availability to do a proxy, to do a mail-in at some point. But what do you think can be strengthened to help validate that process? Because part of the legislation and one of the amendments that is in the legislation deals specifically with giving the AG power among the voting process if there is a question or an illegal nature of the voting process within a private community, that a complaint could go in and mediation and

then investigation. But because of that, I would like to see, you know, in that process looking at the proxy of the mailing and is it because of how they are received or where they go or who opens them. You know, what do you think could be strengthened?

2.0

2.4

THOMAS RYAN: They come in differently. Some communities, they come in by mail. Some communities they go through the CPA. And, specifically, the one I spoke about goes to a CPA but, yet, the count was crazy. How do you figure? I can't figure that, who is sending them to the CPA, that's the interesting part.

How do you strengthen it? It is again back to a bylaw change on how you fix that system. But the best thing for it to do is to go to an independent organization, an independent CPA. But you have to handle it like an election board, independent CPA, but that CPA has to have the authority to open and count and it has got to be independent. That's the key to it. It has got to be an independent CPA, I think, anyway, someone outside the system.

And the same thing with the proxy votes, you give me your vote, I will give you my vote. I got four votes, I got three votes. The proxy system should be gone. You need to vote. If you are going to vote, you need to vote. There is no reason for you to proxy your vote to anyone, you know. You are the person -- and give them the opportunity to do it.

As an absentee ballot, same way, do it the same way. That's the part about it that is difficult.

2.0

2.4

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I would say, essentially, that's what it is. But on your association, do all members have access to the membership directory?

THOMAS RYAN: When I was the president, no. If they came and asked, they would get it, but there is no -- like even for me to get as township supervisor sometimes to figure out how many -- I had to ask my office to send me a list of my community associations. And in that list, 9 out of the 19, there is no contact information, absolutely none. We don't even know who to get in touch with.

And that was difficult during Hurricane Sandy. It was very difficult to reach out and get these guys organized.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: The reason I ask is in my association, we do have that. And when I felt a certain member was being mistreated by the board, we had an election coming up, so I called everybody on the directory, I went to visit them, and I got them to vote for me, got their proxies, and we had the highest participation ever that year and I was able to get elected to the board and make some changes.

So, I think it is not necessarily bad that you are able to go out and campaign and get proxies, but as long as everybody has equal access to it. And if you, as president, had an association that didn't have a membership directory, I

think it's important that everybody would have access to that.

1.3

2.0

THOMAS RYAN: I also think that your outlook is based on your political career. You are a politician, just as I. You are able to go out and muster votes and gather people to your side. Understand very clearly here in the Poconos that a great majority of our community association residents commute. They leave here 4:30 in the morning, come home at 6:00 at night. They commute to make a living.

So, now, to get that vote on Saturday, they don't want to go rolling around shaking hands and kissing babies, they want to be with their families. That's what they do.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I didn't necessarily want to be doing that, either. I wasn't a politician at the time. But if it's important to you, you get involved and you do it.

But, okay, I just want to make sure everybody has equal access to the information because if you don't know who to contact, that is not fair.

THOMAS RYAN: I do not believe they do. It is subject -- you know, they will claim there is privacy acts and all kinds of things that they don't make that available. There is all kinds of ways that they can protect it and keep it.

The best thing they do is go knock on doors, go from house to house. But then the community association has

a bylaw that says you can't do that, especially if you are an outsider, you can't do that.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Right.

1.3

2.0

2.4

THOMAS RYAN: But with our people moving and going home, they come home, they want to be with their families.

They don't have time for this. They expect the people that they elect to the board, just as we expect the legislators and everyone else, to represent our view.

What happens, I think, when they get elected, as in most positions or a very lot of the positions, they lose focus. They lose their vision and they become self-centered with some self-importance and they gather power amongst them, and all of a sudden you next see a different community and a different board that's operating differently than what you anticipated.

And, again, I go back to my original testimony is the fact that people do not understand the bylaws and the covenants, especially. They have no idea. So, that is big. I think it is one of the bigger problems. They don't know what they are getting into, especially with fees and assessments and those kinds of things and what the limit is. They will come to the township and will sit there and I have to say, sorry, can't help you, just can't help you, you know. And, again, they get angry, they really get angry. What am I supposed to do? We point them to go see the Attorney

General, go to Court of Common Pleas, go to the District Attorney.

1.3

2.0

2.4

But it is a frustrating thing for townships and for the community associations. It is very difficult for them, the really good ones. And we have a community association that is bigger than the township. It has 1700 homes in it alone. Their budget is bigger than ours. Their road system is bigger than ours. We can't do anything to help them, nothing.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Mr. Ryan, it strikes me that one of the things that I was surprised -- and when you come to a hearing, you always get surprises -- but it just never occurred to me the frustration that a township official might have about not being able to control, if you will, or to provide a reasonable governance for someone who is theoretically underneath you. I know they have different roles and responsibilities, but I thought that was a really valid point to bring home that you, yourself, are frustrated with the inability to help individuals who you feel are being mistreated.

THOMAS RYAN: We hear it constantly. It's just the fact that we cannot interfere, is the word. They have autonomy, basically, with us. The only thing we are able to do is tax them. That's it. I mean, most of our communities don't even live on our roads. We have communities that never

access the township roads. It's all state roads. It's all state roads. So, you know, it is very frustrating to sit back and say, well, I am going to tax you but I can't give you any services. There is nothing I can give you except tax.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: The other point I thought you made that is very very valid, and some of the other members shared that, is the fact that people really don't read their documents. They really don't go into this understanding what they are buying into. And more and more we have communities with different bylaws and different procedures, and I get that, but when it is so important -- what do you believe, though, is the primary reason you hear complaints? Is it about when someone is being assessed or the budget or is it just about what I would call bad behavior?

THOMAS RYAN: Bad behavior.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: That we can fix.

THOMAS RYAN: And I stress it, one of the comments that you made is that the people don't read their documents. And that's a lot of people. But it is an obligation of the association or us to be sure to understand the documents. Don't let them walk in blind and that's what they are doing.

Now, I think Act 180 was supposed to deal with that with real estate, but it doesn't happen. They don't get a detailed explanation. It is kind of breezed over. Hey,

come look at this community, look, they got pools, they got skiing, and, oh, by they way, they do have bylaws. Don't worry about it. They are very loose.

2.0

CHAIRMAN PETRI: We may want to take a look at the resale certificates. As an attorney, unfortunately, at closing, that's one of the last documents you get. You know, you are looking at it. You have looked at mortgages and notes, which is what you are thinking about, and HUD 1, do I have enough money. And you get this packet, oh, I will read it later and you never do.

THOMAS RYAN: It falls on real estate or the seller or real estate. Someone needs to inform them very clearly. And then, if they don't read it, guess what, it is on you.

And some of our answers at times are, listen, you moved into a community association, your eyes should have been wide open. And a lot of times I feel so sorry for them because it is not the case.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Maybe we ought to take a look at that and make sure the disclosures are really really tight and maybe even require that they get the document --

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I think they do have --

THOMAS RYAN: They have to provide a packet.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I know they have to have it at settlement, but maybe at the time you sign an agreement, you

should have it so at least you can decide do I sign. The realtors won't be happy with that.

Thank you.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Next we have Mr. and Mrs. Denooyer.

JOHN DENOOYER: I want to thank Rosemary Brown for bringing this to light and this committee.

Now, I want to discuss several issues that are of major importance. We have the Planned Community Act which organizations like the private communities have to follow. The community that I live in, they refuse to follow those mandates, and they refuse to follow them based on the fact that they claim that we were an association before this Act went into effect.

In 1996, the Act went into effect. My community went through a transition period between 1995 and 2000 with a developer. It was finalized in 2000. That is when we became an association, not before. The entire community was under the domain of the developer.

What I have here I have submitted to this committee to substantiate what I am saying is truthful.

Now, we have had two statements, one from a secretary on the board and one from the president stating we are not required to follow all of the requirements stated in the Planned Community Act. Okay, that's my first issue.

Now, the second issue is the bylaws. We

constantly hear from the board that we have bylaws, like it is the holy grail, okay, we have to follow them. But they have a tendency to overlook that when it deals with an issue that the board is involved in.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Give you an example. In 2000 -- I can't recall the exact year, but the board at that time decided that they wanted to build a maintenance building, okay. The bylaws at that time stated that you cannot authorize any expenditures over 100,000 without going to the community. That being said, they took two buildings, homes that were assessed at \$90,000 a piece and demoed them, okay. That's \$180,000 right there that's an asset if you sell those homes.

No. 2, when they put up the building, the building supposedly was to be less than \$100,000. Yeah, the building, but how about the two buildings that you took down.

In that project, the grading wasn't included, the engineering studies weren't included, the electrical wasn't included, the plumbing wasn't included. I have got those facts for you right here.

Now, that being said, don't come to us and tell us and lecture us on the bylaws when you flagrantly are violating them yourself. Boards, since I have been here, I have lived here over 30 years, since this association has been formed, they take an attitude it is either our way or the highway and pay your dues and be good little girls and

boys and don't correct us.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Now, the next thing I want to address is the denying of documents. I requested documents regarding the building of this maintenance building. I got a letter back that says we don't have to provide. And I stated that according to the Planned Community Act, I am entitled to that. I got a letter back stating that, well, we don't have to comply with the Planned Community Act because we were an association before. Hello. Come on. Give me a break.

Now, I asked for financials; they denied it. Now that I am on financials, let me emphasize something else. In the transition between the developer and the association, we were given \$3.7 million to bring the community back to its original state. 1.5 was put aside for 5 years for any litigation that may be brought against the developer. Just before that expired, Middle Pond brought a lawsuit against the developer because the developer didn't maintain their properties that they had an agreement.

Now, in my thinking, they were entirely entitled to that. When it was settled, those people in Middle Pond, they got an understanding of what the settlement was about or else they would have never agreed. There was nobody in this community who can tell you what that settlement contained. It was never revealed, okay. And to this day, I defy anybody in this association to say different.

Now, we are talking about finances. In our bylaws it states that once you reach a certain expense of a project, you have to send it out for bid. Okay. Sounds good, right? Oh, wow, when the bids come back, they are not opened in front of the members, they are opened in closed doors by a select few. There is something wrong with that picture.

Now, my wife and I, we went to a meeting, and when you challenge the board -- and I don't mean challenge them just to break horns, I am talking about legitimate complaints which I believe I have here -- my wife and I go to a meeting and the president makes a statement at the meeting that we are sending a letter to our attorney to prevent Mr. and Mrs. Denooyer from speaking at any future meetings. Okay. Is there something wrong with that?

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Seems like it.

JOHN DENOOYER: Now, any time, I don't care who it is, if they are going to do it to me, they can do it to somebody else. And they have used my wife and I as an instrument to demonstrate that if you step out of line, you got a big problem because we are going to shut you down.

And that's about the whole situation. Something has to be done.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Any questions? Representative Lewis?

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I am really baffled a

1.3

2.0

2.4

1 little bit with the documents and this kind of thing being 2 filed in the right to know documents. 3 JOHN DENOOYER: I did with the association. They didn't. 4 5 If you are fined or REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: assessed a certain amount of money and you just don't pay, 6 7 how do they enforce that assessment? 8 JOHN DENOOYER: Court, I quess. 9 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: You don't have to appear 10 before the board or pay the fine? 11 JOHN DENOOYER: No, not that I am aware of, not 12 that I am aware of. I have lived in this community since it 1.3 is a homeowners' association, 16 years. Now, if you think I 14 am a rebel, I have lived here without a warning, without a 15 citation. I don't like what I see in the bylaws but I bite the bullet and I follow it and I expect everybody else to do 16 the same thing. 17 18 But to suppress somebody's right to speak -- in 19 the meantime, I get this from the lawyer who says, well, the 2.0 bylaws state that members don't have the right to speak. If 21 you go to any meeting, they are lined up. They are allocated 22 three minutes to view their concerns or their objections and 23 my wife and I, we have been disenfranchised.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Anybody else? Representative

2.4

25

Brown.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I just want to say, Mr. and Mrs. Denooyer, thank you very much for your testimony. I am very familiar with your story, as you know, so I thank you for providing the documentation or exactly what you mentioned over the several years and I appreciate your feedback.

1.3

2.0

2.4

JOHN DENOOYER: I take the philosophy that you can't make accusations or innuendos because you are a whacko. But here it is right here, it's all here. So, I mean, let the documentation determine who is the villain and who is not the villain. Thank you very much.

MRS. DENOOYER: I received a letter from their attorney when my husband was very ill, okay, we couldn't get out. John was going to have chemotherapy and what happened was the cycle had to be broken. And I called up the manager of Saw Creek who refused to get -- I am sorry, I apologize for that. I called up the manager and said I need to speak; they wouldn't get on the phone with me. I had to E-mail their lawyer. Their lawyer said -- I live on a secondary road, I am not on a primary, so I couldn't get out for three days. The chemo had to be cancelled.

I would like to also mention that my husband was a township supervisor -- a zoning officer chairperson for 10 years with Lehman Township, very fair, highly respected.

And I would like to also mention as the last thing my first amendment rights and my husband's was taken away

from both of us. I received a letter that I am not allowed to talk to any employees, any board members, any staff. I have no constitutional rights. I was born on American soil, okay, we have no rights whatsoever.

1.3

2.0

I would like to also, as my last statement, mention that my husband is a Navy veteran. My whole family served in this country and we have no rights whatsoever.

That's all I have to say. I thank everybody for listening and, Rosemary, thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Thank you both for your story.

We are certainly going to take that into strong

consideration.

And next we are going to hear from Mr. Frank DeLuca, a community resident.

FRANK DeLUCA: We appreciate you having us here this morning. This legislation is very timely for us. The past few months our community has really been in turmoil. Same kind of story, violations of the bylaws, specific bylaws, seems to us to be clear violations, and no recourse about it.

Also, you know, opposing the board is very difficult. They have the money, they have our money, they have the legal opinion, they have the attorneys, and we say that we can't write, we can't speak, we can't vote, we can't

They control the media. They have the monthly 1 petition. 2 newsletter, weekly events paper and E-mails, and they do E-mail blasts. We can't write. Even to write a letter to 3 4 the editor, if you oppose the view of the board, your letter 5 doesn't get in. If a letter does get in, it has an editorial 6 comeback that tries to dispute what you said. And they 7 always call to try to change your words. We can't vote. 8 They violate the bylaws. And we will talk specifically about 9 that. And we can't petition. They ignore the petition or 10 they get a legal opinion and view it insufficient, even 11 though what we do is completely to the terms of the bylaws.

Specifically now, the issue is a storm water project that the board introduced. Our bylaws call for any project, any capital project over \$25,000 to be put up for a vote of the membership. It has to have the approval of the membership. This project is \$40 million.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN PETRI: That's a little over 25.

FRANK DeLUCA: A little over 25. Actually, they already spent over \$2 million for the plans for the project and feasibility studies and permits, all without a vote. And that is the main issue in the community, the violating of that bylaw.

Clearly, the bylaw spells out that any capital expenditure over 25,000 is to be voted upon. They get around this, they hurdle the barrier of the bylaw by claiming that

it is maintenance, that it is an operating expense, and, therefore, you don't need the approval of the community.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I am reading the document, operating expenses is defined as something other than Subsection B and C. B is capital expenses, which includes construction, reconstruction, or improvement or association buildings and structures and purchase of machinery over 2. And capital project means construction of the facility involving expenditures over more than 25; so, I get your point.

FRANK DeLUCA: Now, this project contains many facilities. I don't want to say hundreds but a large number of facilities, retention ponds, enormous retention ponds.

Each one of them costs, I would guess, I am guessing over \$100,000 for each one. There are 20 large retention ponds.

There are 280 swales that they are constructing. So each of those facilities should go to a vote.

Some of the reasons why this community is really against these projects is these retention ponds alone, some of them cover over an acre, run 220 feet along the roadway, along main roadways, are 8 feet deep, require blasting; so, that is some of the reasons why we are against it.

We are also against it because they intend to use -- they want to have a municipal authority, and with a municipal authority, they have eminent domain and they want

1 to take properties from people to construct these facilities. 2 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Just a quick footnote, one of the 3 lawyers in the room that is familiar with this area, do they have the right to under the Act -- we won't talk about it now 4 5 but when you do your presentation -- do they have the right to create a municipal authority under the Planned Community 6 7 Act? ATTORNEY CARL WEINER: 8 CHAIRMAN PETRI: I wouldn't think so. Go ahead. 9 10 FRANK DeLUCA: Also, another reason for being 11 against this project is they are taking down 60,000 to 12 \$100,000 trees for the project and it is far too expansive. 1.3 One of the board members, one of the board members 14 would not vote for this project. He has since resigned. 15 called it the Emerald City of storm water projects. This is 16 just so overblown. 17 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Have you had a lot of flooding in 18 the community? Is that what is driving this? 19 FRANK DeLUCA: We don't really feel so. 20 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Obviously, we have had a lot of 21 floods along the Delaware. 22 FRANK DeLUCA: Part of the problem -- one of the 23 main parts of the problem is that the community feels, a vast 2.4 majority of the community feels that the board is not being 25 straightforward with us; that this storm water project they

1 portray as being to solve problems that people have with 2 flooding. 3 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Let me ask you a technical issue so we can understand the interplay, because we don't have the 4 5 ability to debate whether it is a proper project or not, 6 that's an internal decision. But when the project was 7 proposed, has there been an intervening election of the board? 8 9 FRANK DeLUCA: Yes, since. 10 CHAIRMAN PETRI: What happened? 11 FRANK DeLUCA: Well, not since it was actually 12 proposed but, I mean, the project has been in the works for 1.3 years and there have been several different boards. 14 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do they regularly present to the 15 community the scope of the project designs like you would at a township meeting? 16 17 FRANK DeLUCA: They present their version of it. 18 CHAIRMAN PETRI: So they present --19 FRANK DeLUCA: What we see, just reading the minutes of ad hoc committees that are set up and the E-mails 2.0 21 between people that we have looked into, we see how they 22 carefully tailor what the community gets. And that's part of 23 the problem. 2.4 CHAIRMAN PETRI: So, there hasn't been an

intervening election since people now know what the cost is

25

1 going to be? 2 FRANK DeLUCA: No. CHAIRMAN PETRI: When is your next election? 3 JOSEPH BARCA: It has only been three months. 4 5 CHAIRMAN PETRI: And, generally, do people participate in your community in elections? 6 7 FRANK DeLUCA: I would say yes. Not full 8 participation. 9 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Okay. I am just trying to get a 10 sense of --11 FRANK DeLUCA: We comprise kind of a steering 12 committee. And we have a website. We are trying to get --1.3 there is such great sentiment, but everyone is independent. CHAIRMAN PETRI: Another question just popping 14 15 into mind. Have you talked to your township about whether they can perform this project without township approval? 16 17 FRANK DeLUCA: Well, here is what happened. At 18 this meeting, the board decided, again, on its own to form a 19 municipal authority to handle the construction of the project. Again, the community was strongly against this. 2.0 21 The board sent a memorandum of agreement to the Blooming 22 Grove Township to form the authority. You need the township 23 to sponsor the authority. 2.4 This memorandum included in it a provision that it 25 remain confidential from the public, that it be secret from

```
1
     the public until the agreement was finalized. The secrecy of
 2
     it was in the cover letter sent to the township and the
 3
     memorandum, itself. We learned about it through the Freedom
 4
     of Information Act. And the first night that it was
 5
     presented to the Blooming Grove Township by the Hemlock
 6
     board, we were there present, filled the room and voiced our
 7
     objection to it.
                       The Blooming Grove Township heard us, they
 8
     listened. We had at one meeting 5- to 600 people.
 9
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: How big is your community?
10
                FRANK DeLUCA: There are over 3600 properties.
11
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do you know how many residents
12
     there are in the township?
1.3
                FRANK DeLUCA: Well, I think there are less
     residents in the township than in the community.
14
                JOSEPH BARCA: We are the dominant.
15
16
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Your community spans more than
     one township?
17
18
                FRANK DeLUCA: Yes, it is three.
19
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Okay, that didn't sink in.
     don't have that in my neck of the woods, okay.
2.0
21
                FRANK DeLUCA: There are three townships, Blooming
22
     Grove, Dingman's, and Porter Township.
23
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: What do the other townships say?
2.4
     Don't they also have to participate?
25
                JOSEPH BARCA: They don't want any part of the
```

1 authority. 2 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Okay. What solutions do you have or suggestions? I know we have to come up with them but do 3 4 you have ideas? 5 JOSEPH BARCA: We think this bill is great. We have no recourse other than the Court. We hope this bill 6 7 will provide an alternative for us. CHAIRMAN PETRI: I didn't want to cut you off, I 8 wanted to kind of figure out. 9 10 FRANK DeLUCA: We have some other problems other 11 than the storm water and the authority that come out of this 12 basic problem. 1.3 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Would you mind entertaining some questions from members? Representative Lewis. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: I have one question. is really baffling. The members of this authority or these 16 17 boards, are they residents of the very private communities? 18 I mean, do you live next door to them or are they all 19 community --2.0 FRANK DeLUCA: They are our neighbors. The nine members of the board are members of the Hemlock -- I am 21 22 sorry, they are members of the community. 23 And, actually, two of the members, if this is 2.4 important, two of the members of the Blooming Grove Township

supervisors board are Hemlock residents.

25

JOSEPH BARCA: My name is Joe Barca and I feel like I am at a focus group. I am a community member. And the problems that we are having are part and parcel, and we are sitting here listening, it is almost like the other people that have spoken live in our community. We all share a common bond. And we thought we were out here all by ourselves, but I got to tell you, I have been involved with bylaws my entire life, my entire professional life.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: What do you do?

JOSEPH BARCA: I used to be the president of a union in New York. And in order to conduct business in a union, we would have Robert's Rules.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Sure.

1.3

2.0

2.4

JOSEPH BARCA: We have a thing in our community called Modern Rules of Order, which almost mirror Robert's Rules, except they don't allow us to speak at board meetings, binding us. They give us two minutes. They give us 120 seconds to get off our chest what we think are problems in the community. And it is called one half hour member talk time; so, they give our entire community, 3600 homes, 30 minutes to come once a month to speak for two minutes at a time. And they sit and they listen and, next, nothing is binding, nothing can get done.

The problem that I see, coming from an environment that we dealt with years of order, is if you don't understand

```
1
     something, you say point of order, point of order. They look
2
     at you when you say point of order. They don't recognize
 3
    you. You are not supposed to speak as a member. You can
 4
    talk at member time but now sit down and that's it and they
 5
     cut you right off. That's a problem.
 6
                We have a right to petition in our bylaws. Now,
7
    each lot in our community has two votes. So, we ran a
8
    petition drive to recall the president of the board. 1,089
9
    votes, that's an awful lot in our community. They took the
10
    petition and after 10 days sent me a letter and said the
11
    petition is insufficient because you don't mention a reason.
12
     So, I said I did mention a reason, I mentioned a reason when
1.3
     I got up to the microphone and I have everything here. And
    they said it is insufficient and that was the end of it.
14
15
     It's like we are going to go away.
16
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do you have your petition with
17
    you?
18
                JOSEPH BARCA: Yeah, I got it.
19
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do you mind?
2.0
                JOSEPH BARCA: I am going to give you the whole
21
    package.
22
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: You know, when you say these
23
    things, obviously, we are trying to discern credibility.
2.4
    are trying to discern --
25
                JOSEPH BARCA: In there, I have the petition, I
```

have the actual bylaw that says how you petition, and there is nothing in the bylaw that says we have to give a reason, but I stated what the reason was the day I submitted the petition at our board meeting on the 19th.

But, conveniently, when we got the minutes back and I checked them, my statement was not part of the minutes. So, I quick sent an E-mail to the community manager and I said a very big part of my statement is missing in the minutes, I want it included. Heard back from them, he said I submitted your request to the board and then we got to the board meeting that we just had Saturday. So, they came out and they said we are going to approve the minutes of the meeting. I said, point of order, and they said sit down. I said, point of order, you want to approve minutes that are incomplete. My statement was -- sit down.

So, I was never able to correct the minutes. And a very big part of the minutes were the reason why we wanted to recall the president of the board. We had a requisite number of signatures, 733, we had 1,089.

So, I know it is a long way of saying that this is how boards take the members' rights away from them when you have the right to petition and they don't listen to you.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I don't know how recent this is.

I am just curious, did you resubmit a petition?

JOSEPH BARCA: No, because there is nothing wrong

1.3

2.0

1 with that petition. 2 CHAIRMAN PETRI: I understand. 3 JOSEPH BARCA: I mean, they would have -- every time you give them something, they will think of another 4 5 reason why it doesn't apply. It is like it is their candy 6 store and their rules. 7 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: On this petition, submission, was that in a membership meeting or a board 8 9 meeting? 10 JOSEPH BARCA: They are called open board 11 meetings. They have them once a month. 12 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Like, in my association, 1.3 if you get enough members, you can call a membership meeting. 14 JOSEPH BARCA: You mean have a special meeting? 15 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Yes, which is a meeting that all members speak at and participate in just like the 16 17 annual membership meeting. It would seem to me that there 18 would be that type of meeting that you would want to call. 19 JOSEPH BARCA: To answer your question, we are in 2.0 the process of calling a special meeting because they didn't 21 let us speak at that meeting. 22 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Right. At a board 23 meeting, I mean, we were at the East Stroudsburg School Board 2.4 meeting and just school board members speak and vote. As an 25 audience member, even though I am a taxpayer, it doesn't

mean -- I mean, they let you speak but, really, it is the board's meeting. But I think if you have a membership meeting, that's what you need to do.

1.3

2.0

JOSEPH BARCA: Following that logic, the only time that we would have a real voice is once a year.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Correct. The gentleman who testified earlier said we elect these board members to do what we want and that's the type of representative government that we have throughout the Commonwealth and at these association meetings.

JOSEPH BARCA: Do you think you would have the opportunity to clear something up by raising your hand?

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Look, I agree that things could be done better and addressed based on the testimony we are getting today. I just mean for the purpose of conducting that meeting, it is a board meeting. But, yeah, we would all like the boards to listen to their members and all forms of government to listen.

JOSEPH BARCA: I would also like to submit this.

This is just a part that says that they have to go by the

Modern Rules of Order as per Pennsylvania Bar Institute; so,

that's in there, as well.

The boards that I heard today are very controlling, much in the same as our community. The board likes to control who can run for office. So, they have

something in the rules that say -- and I have it here -- that you can only run for a board position if you served on a committee or are presently serving on a committee. Well, it is the board who approves you to be on a committee.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, I would think in America, being that I am a member in good standing and I pay my dues, that should be interest enough for me to step out and say I want to run for a board position.

They have another rule in my particular case that says I can't serve as a board member. I can run, I could win, but I can't serve because my wife works part time as a secretary, and it says in the rules that you can't. Now, I understand it is a rule and I am probably going to have to abide by it, but I don't like abiding by it because I think that's just another way to stop people from coming forward to wanting to serve on the board.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: It's because she is an employee of that organization; is that what you are saying?

JOSEPH BARCA: Right. I can understand that. I don't agree with it. But it is a problem, because they just came up with this rule three years ago -- two elections ago to prevent some other poor sap from running because his daughter worked for the community and they knew if they put this rule in the book, it makes it nice and proper. It just doesn't make it right. That's my point.

You did say something before that piqued my interest.

1.3

2.0

2.4

I sent a letter to the Attorney General's Office and I got back a letter yesterday. And it was a nice letter and it came from Deputy Attorney General Thomas Cummings and it says we have no power to intervene on your behalf. You should go out and get a lawyer and seek the Courts.

And the same point I am going to make, I have to drive it home, we would be paying twice then. We would be paying for our own attorney and we would be paying for the association's attorney to beat us in Court. So; it is a no-win situation for us. It's like we are bad because we are now speaking up for members' rights.

We don't even have rights to absentee ballots. I wish we had that problem; we don't have it. We don't have access to voters' lists. We don't have access to bulletin boards, E-mail lists, and newspaper. We just don't have access. And if we are going to mount a serious campaign to put people on the board that have the interest of the community, as long as they have access to it, we should have access. We should have equal access, I believe, under the law. And that's a very important point.

We had to go out and start our own website. We had to go start our own newsletter because we are prevented from putting our message out there.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I think it is getting out there now.

1.3

2.0

2.4

JOSEPH BARCA: Our bylaw system in our community is so complicated. And I don't want to offend any lawyers in the room, because my son is one, he is a DA in Manhattan, but you have to be a Philadelphia lawyer to understand the bylaws in our community. It's unbelievable. You can look at it and come up with ten different ways it can be interpreted and that is crazy.

Our package, when you move into our community, our package is that thick (indicating). It is unbelievable. And that's why no one opens it up is because they are afraid. We also have codes and policies that we can't change.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: When you say can't change, why can't you change them?

JOSEPH BARCA: Because if you can't speak at a board meeting, you can't even get your point across on what rules should be changed for what the rationale is. We just put in another petition and we are waiting for the denial on this one and that's to limit the amount that they could raise our dues.

The project that my buddy, Frank, talked about is the largest storm water project in the country, the largest, and it's going to be right here in the Poconos. Not if we have anything to do with it, though. So, we have forced the

issue upon them. We have experts. We have engineers. We have lawyers. We have people that are in construction that do storm water projects and we have said to the board we want to sit with you with our experts and come up with a plan that is feasible, one that is affordable, and a little downsized.

So, we are trying to do that.

1.3

2.0

But I appreciate you giving us the time to speak today because before today, I felt that we were an island all by ourselves. But it is comforting to know -- it is not comforting to know that everybody is going through what we are going through, but it is comforting to know that we have a body of government that is trying to come up with something that will work for everybody, the association, management, and the members. So, I really appreciate the time. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Would you entertain a question from Mr. Lewis?

JOSEPH BARCA: As many as you want.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Mr. Barca, one quick question. When you get your reports or your members, when you get your report from a treasurer, I am assuming there is a treasurer, are there any line item expenditures as to where all this money is going?

JOSEPH BARCA: There are. And, again, the only time you can ask questions are when you are at an open board

```
1
     meeting. And unless it is member time, you can't speak.
 2
                REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: But you don't get any kind
 3
    of written reports?
                JOSEPH BARCA: We do, we do.
 4
 5
                FRANK DeLUCA: They make them available on-line.
                JOSEPH BARCA: We are an $11 million a year
 6
 7
     operation.
                That's our budget. It is a premiere community.
8
     I want to say it's almost like a resort and pretty soon we
     are not going to be able to afford to live in the resort.
9
10
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: The Chair is going to take, for
11
     the benefit of our court reporter and everybody else, just a
12
     break. We will reconvene at 11:30 and then we are going to
     hear from Marshal Granor.
1.3
                (At this time there was a recess taken.)
14
15
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: Call the meeting back to order.
     Mr. Granor.
16
17
                MARSHAL GRANOR: Good morning. And thank you for
18
     this opportunity to speak to you this morning. My name is
19
     Marshal Granor. I am the president of Community Management
2.0
     Services Group. We are an association management company
21
     that handles about 85 communities of varying sizes in
22
     Southern Pennsylvania and in Southern New Jersey. We have
23
     about 11,000 homeowners that we manage.
2.4
                But I am also here on a number of other capacities
25
    and it maybe makes me unique to speak about the House Bill
```

1774.

1.3

2.0

2.4

For many years, I was in a family home building business; as such, we built about 3,000 community association homes. And as the youngest one, because the company was my father and my grandmother and me, as the youngest one, I was placed on the board of each of 26 associations, often as the president. And that means that over a period of about 35 years, I have been in that position and understand very well what it's like to be on the board.

Each of those 26 associations went through a smooth transition with developer to homeowners, no lawsuits, no upsets about finances and things like that.

I am an attorney and I am listed as one of the principal draftsman of the Uniform Planned Community Act, which somebody testified earlier today was passed in 1996. We actually began working on it in 1988. I was told it would be a summer job, it turned out to be 8 years.

I do represent developers currently and I write lots of association documents. I am a member of the Real Property Probate and Trust Law section of the Bar Association Governing Council. I am the treasurer and also editor of the newsletter.

Aside from that, my father and I own two condominium units as investor owners. We all live there.

And I am here today mostly as a member of CAI's Pennsylvania

Legislative Action Committee and member of the College of Community Association Lawyers. So, lots of different hats that I am wearing and sometimes they conflict a little bit.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Just one sentence on CAI. Community Association
Institute is, perhaps, the most unusual organization I have
been involved in because we take a 360-degree view of the
world. We are made up of homeowners, board members, property
managers, attorneys, engineers, other professionals who work
with the industry. And so we get to see and debate many
different sides of the issues even before we come before you
today.

When I first saw House Bill 1774, my reaction was, no, just no. Why? Because we don't need government stepping into private organizations that run themselves and, in my experience, almost always run really well. But we also understand that there are conversations that need to be had and we heard from some of those today.

And so Representative Brown was kind enough to organize a conversation with the Attorney General's Office and CAI and the bar association and members of this community where we were able to share ideas and talk about both limitations and corralling the organization of the Attorney General's Office into a way that would hit on the most important issues that community members have, some of which you heard today, things that deal with the governance of the

community and leaving the Attorney General's Office out of the size of hats and colors of doors and things like that.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, in that regard, CAI put together with this
Legislative Action Committee a compromise based on the
conversation that we had that would allow the internal
dispute resolution process to take place in an association,
because most have that, use alternative dispute resolution if
an association has gone out and hired a third party to handle
those kinds of things, then to go to the Bureau of Consumer
Protection last. But, certainly, at that point people have
had an opportunity to speak, to talk, to compromise, and if
that compromise is not available and if people are not being
given the opportunity to speak, you get to that level of the
Attorney General fairly quickly.

The compromise language limits the authority of the Attorney General's Office to define the areas, but these are the areas that we all talked about as being most important, elections, meetings, quorums, and access to public records. And since Section 5316 of the UPCA already does bring in the AG's office for financial books and records, it makes sense to expand on that the way we have spoken about.

So, I guess my closing thoughts are good legislation is achieved through compromise and thoughtful exchange. That thoughtful exchange is taking place here today. And I think that by working together, we can create

these opportunities for people to work together first, and if they can't, then we bring in the additional authority to get proper results.

1.3

2.0

2.4

That's basically my thoughts about it. I have changed.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Questions? Comments?

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I have one.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Go ahead, Representative Brown.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Mr. Granor, thank you very much. And I do appreciate all your conversations, even, like you said, prior to today's hearing and your ability to work with me on the legislation.

I do have a question which has gone back and forth depending on what community and what association and which member I am talking to, you know, where they live.

The internal resolution piece is very important to me because, of course, we would try to keep things at bay as much as possible from going into the Attorney General's Office. We would like to try to resolve things before they get to any level, no matter what we are dealing with. But can you help me understand a little bit better, is there a pretty consistent nature of that internal resolution process that CAI sort of recommends for each community that they have membership to, or is it very different? How would you consider the resolution? Is there a time frame of 30 days,

60 days that a resolution would have to try to be addressed?

MARSHAL GRANOR: So the answer is, no, yes, and

maybe. Typical lawyer answer.

1.3

2.0

2.4

No, there is no set methodology for an internal dispute resolution. And as some people currently pointed out, because the UPCA was passed in 1996, there are many associations that predate that law and there are only certain sections of the law that apply retroactively. A little constitutional issue that you are not supposed to have ex post facto laws, so that UPCA was worked on very carefully to figure out those areas where you could apply backwards and now you can't. So, if you have one of these older associations, and there are some here in the Pocono area that go back 100 years or more, we have no idea what that mechanism might be.

Should the legislature or should an association impose a carefully crafted concept of dispute resolution, absolutely. But some of our associations are 20 homes, some of them are 1,000, and, of course, there are many larger ones, as well. So, a 20-unit association is not going to spend the time and money to update their bylaws to deal with dispute resolutions. They may not have an internal dispute in the last 20 years or maybe they have a problem every day.

So, no, there is no set methodology. And that is a problem that makes every one different. The bylaws are

written by lawyers. Sometimes they are not written by lawyers and they will say whatever they say. Somebody might have taken them off the shelf somewhere or found them on the Internet. I have one association in Pennsylvania that got their bylaws from an island community in Florida. It refers to alligators. So, you know, we can't control that kind of problem as much as we would like to. So I hope that answers.

1.3

2.0

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you. I just wanted some clarity on that, because I pretty much assumed that was going to be the answer based on what I have heard because it is such an important piece of the legislation before we go any further; so, thank you.

ASHLEY SCHAEFFER: As a follow-up to that, since by the very nature these internal dispute resolutions would be the person going to the very board that they are having an issue with, do you think that there would be a certain way we could set, hey, if this isn't resolved within 30 days, it is time for you to go ahead and go to the AG because this is not going to be resolved as it is two parties that are having the dispute? Do you feel like there is a time period that could be put into the legislation to kind of limit how long that could be drug out?

MARSHAL GRANOR: I think, yes. But standing here on my two feet, I don't know that it is 30 days, 15 days, 60 days, or, for that matter, you know, a hearing might take two

or three meetings like a zoning hearing sometimes does. So, I don't know that you can pigeonhole it in and say it has to be 30 days from the date of "X".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

But, yes, there should be some control and that should not go on forever. And, again, reasonable people can come up with reasonable solutions to these things. When you are dealing with some unreasonable folks, it becomes much more difficult. There should be so much time to have -- you make the request, there is a response, you have a hearing, you get a decision. Sometimes you go to a committee of the board and then your right is to go up to the full board as the review. And, yes, I understand that it is sometimes uncomfortable to go before a committee of your peers, but then, again, that is what associations are. And in our communities, I wouldn't say that there is 100 percent agreement on everything; there is not. You are dealing with human beings. But we get to Court once a year with 11,000 people, maybe twice. So, usually, you are able to come up with a resolution.

REPRESENTATIVE LEWIS: Is there any time for arbitration or arbitrators involved with these disputes?

MARSHAL GRANOR: There can be. Some states have arbitration arrangements. Pennsylvania has a few private companies that will do arbitration, for the most part. I don't know that associations avail themselves of that. And

CAI has an organization that has been looking at the idea of setting up a statewide arbitration arrangement where inexpensively and quickly you could have people from the industry who are disinterested but interested in getting a proper resolution, who could be available. And that's something that is pending and, hopefully, we will be able to roll that out some day.

2.0

2.4

ASHLEY SCHAEFFER: One other question. The communities that you work with, do you suggest, when you suggest the dispute resolution program within their community, do you suggest a third party be a part of that and do they utilize that suggestion? I mean, how many do you see actually using third party dispute resolution?

MARSHAL GRANOR: Okay, it has been used a few times for really sticky situations. Again, we don't have that many really dramatic disagreements. The disagreements that we have are mostly noise, pets, trash, fences, things that the Attorney General's Office probably does not want to be involved in and we probably don't want their resources involved in. So, it is less likely that those kind of disputes are going to go to arbitration.

But, yes, we have had some arbitrations. And people, in general, like it because the attorney's bills are less. The formality is less. The money that is being spent -- as a homeowner, you are paying both sides, you are

1 paying the defense and prosecution. That's a double whammy. 2 So, this is an easier way, in my opinion, for most situations. 3 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Representative Parker. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Yeah, for community association, is there a law that would state that all members 6 7 must have access to contact information in the membership? MARSHAL GRANOR: Contact information is interesting. 8 And we have debated that. We have some homeowners who 9 10 absolutely, positively do not want their contact information 11 out. We have one woman who has a protection from abuse order 12 and she doesn't want anybody in the world to know where she 1.3 lives. But we have had people in the community say I am entitled to phone number and E-mail address and names of all 14 15 of the occupants of the homes. 16 So, we are put in, as a manager, in a funny position of not wanting to release that information but, yet, 17 18 do we have to release that information. Homeowners are 19 entitled to financial data and any of the books and records 2.0 of the association, except for the communications, privileged 21 communications with your attorney. So, other than that, 22 yeah. 23 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: So, they are entitled to

MARSHAL GRANOR: They are absolutely entitled to

2.4

it?

1 the information. If there is a really good reason to 2 withhold it, we will shield somebody if there is a protection 3 order or something like that. REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: 4 Okav. 5 MARSHAL GRANOR: It doesn't come up that often. REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Well, the disputes we are 6 7 talking about are elections and that's where I see it. 8 MARSHAL GRANOR: Names and addresses, yes; cell phone numbers and E-mail address we don't give out. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: But as long as you can 11 make contact with all the members if you want to run or you 12 wanted to call a membership meeting. MARSHAL GRANOR: Books and records of the 1.3 14 association are available to any owner. 15 REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: So, if they are being compelled, that is a violation of law and that could be 16 17 remedied with the Attorney General? 18 MARSHAL GRANOR: I don't think it can. Actually, 19 it can for a planned community and cannot for a condo, which 2.0 is a strange dichotomy in the law. 21 ASHLEY SCHAEFFER: I would like to clarify the UPC 22 does say that if they are withholding financial documents 23 that you have requested, you can file currently with the 2.4 Attorney General's Bureau. 25 MARSHAL GRANOR: Correct.

ASHLEY SCHAEFFER: So, I do want to clarify that. When it comes to other documents that the law states that you are entitled to, there is no recourse at this time if they refuse to give those to you, which is what House Bill 1774 is looking at.

1.3

2.0

2.4

MARSHAL GRANOR: Just to comment on that, there are many areas in the Uniform Condominium Act, the Cooperative Act, and the UPCA that say thou shalt but they don't say what if you don't. There are things directed at the developer, there are things directed at the board, and there are no consequences for many of the requirements in the Act. That's a conversation for another day.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I noticed in the comments that we had in the packet that there was one particular complaint about a circumstance -- and I don't remember all the details -- but, essentially, it was that somebody had lost an election but refused to vacate the seat. So, I was trying -- I have never filed -- I have been a practicing attorney for 30 years, but for those in the audience that are interested in that issue, this bill would not address it, it couldn't address it. It is a Quo warranto action. You have to figure out how to file it. I have never filed one. But I am sure there is a form somewhere where if somebody has lost their position and they refuse to vacate the seat, you probably have to go to Court.

And I offer that only because I started off with comments that we won't solve every situation; we are not trying to. And, certainly, I think I agree with your comments about the aesthetic pieces. Like we don't want to tie up the Attorney General's hands on those issues. think it would be possible. I do like your idea of trying to force a resolution through internal procedures. But I also agree with the maker that there ought to be some sort of framework that if the parties don't extend because they are making progress, that somebody has the right to say, okay, you know. And one of the things that strikes me, you were talking about the types of complaints you see in your communities, and what we heard today were completely different. And I think we would all agree that they raise constitutional questions, it raised questions about fairness and decency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

One of your counsel and I were talking about how many times we even see in non-profits -- boards that function in an unhealthy sense exist in our Commonwealth in non-profits. Those unhealthy circumstances are some of the things we heard where you can't be on the board unless you have been nominated and the nominating committee is appointed by the board; so, if they don't nominate you, then they run the slate. I have seen in my own practice non-profits, somebody goes in the back room and rigs the vote. It

happens. It is shameful. But I don't think legislation is going to change that because it would appear to be illegal already.

1.3

2.0

2.4

But to have an outlet where somebody could raise that inexpensively and quickly and expose it for what it is, what I would call shameful acts, whether they are legal, not legal but they are certainly shameful, I think that's what the author is trying to get to to provide a reasonable solution. So, I really appreciate your comments, but I do have a question.

You practice in New Jersey, as well. Does New Jersey do it better, worse, or is there anything we could borrow from our neighbor?

MARSHAL GRANOR: I have lived my entire life in Pennsylvania. I know Pennsylvania law better than I know New Jersey law. I am not an attorney in New Jersey or anything else. So, there is a Department of Community Affairs that watches over condominiums. They don't touch homeowners' associations and planned communities.

The law in New Jersey is the wild west of cobbled together pieces of legislation that were never done in an organized way. So, from that point of view, you get no guidance. There is a huge amount of case law in New Jersey about associations. We don't have anywhere near that in Pennsylvania. They love to litigate and the Courts there are

not consistent, in my opinion. So, I think we do it better, but that doesn't mean we can't do it better than we are currently doing it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

And I want to add that there are sometimes where you have an illegal activity going on in a community where somebody's life is being threatened or where money is being stolen, the police and the District Attorney have to get involved in that right away. That's not something for this legislation or the Attorney General's Office. criminal. And, so, if that does happen, criminal response has to be made.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Do you think there is adequate training for lawyers who seek to represent community associations? I mean, obviously, we have no mandate. I don't know much about the law, but I quess I could be hired by some community. God bless you if you did that.

MARSHAL GRANOR: There are a limited number up here. You have got Alan Young, who is the Dean of Pennsylvania community associations.

But you have some people who have been doing this for a very long time. Training? CAI offers that. legal symposiums. I mentioned I am a member of the College of Community Association Lawyers. We have an annual law seminar from around the country and many of us attend that. But it is a very small bar. There are very few people who

25

want to spend their lives devoted to community association questions. You don't make big bucks like you do doing asbestos cases and things like that.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I know the fees are a little lower, from what I have seen. But, on the other hand, I have seen, I have to say in Bucks County I have seen cases where the board seems to almost retaliate against people that complain. And, so, we heard some of those stories with excessive fines.

I will give you an example of one thing that really bothered me. So, the guy is complaining and he has bought a unit. He has owned it for 10 years, never made any changes, and suddenly there are violations. So, he has an acceptance when he takes it over that it conforms, and once he starts complaining, you know, the association and management company start piling on fines and all. It even went so far, which I thought was wrong but maybe you think it's okay, went so far as to say that his patio was non-conforming and violated his property line. He never changed the patio. And the board's position and counsel's position was he had to get a survey to prove that he was correct, as opposed to they should get a survey to prove that he was wrong. We worked it out, but it can get expensive.

MARSHAL GRANOR: I was an expert witness in Bucks County in a case that a homeowner brought against the board.

1 The gentleman lived there for 17 years and he claimed that he 2 was being charged too much. The total he was looking for for 17 years of overcharges with interest was \$1300. He spent 3 4 three hours in Common Pleas Court time, the Judge threw it 5 out because there was no basis for it. But if you have the time and money, you can bring a case. It just happens. 6 7 CHAIRMAN PETRI: We are going to hear next from 8 John Carney, general manager Wallenpaupack Lake Estates. 9 JOHN CARNEY: Good morning. Your opening 10 statement on communities was very good, and, as always, 11 Representative Brown, we really appreciate your dedication to communities. 12 1.3 I don't want to be redundant on what Marshal said. 14 I think you have basically an idea of where the CAI is coming 15 from. But just a little bit of my background. I have 16 been general manager of Wallenpaupack Lake Estates for 17 18 26 years. It is a large scale community, almost 1400 homes. 19 We have central sewer and water, 18 miles of roads, 2.0 clubhouses, pools, and we have the biggest marine on Lake 21 Wallenpaupack. 22 So, being with one community for 26 years, I have 23 to admit I can't relate to some of the things that have 2.4 already been said. And right now, I think I feel very

fortunate that I can't relate to some of them. But I do

25

appreciate the previous speakers on what they are going through and what they had to say.

1.3

2.0

2.4

But with the CAI, you know, being a nationwide organization, you talk about education, and I believe this is where between the attorneys and managers, volunteers, you know, the leaders of the community and other professionals, that's where they can get this education. And, you know, listening to people talk, I think maybe it is time that with the CAI we need, maybe, to develop some type of mediation or ways of getting the word out with education.

And I know, with us, we have fairly strict rental rules. And as far as now, because of seasonal renting, that kind of becomes a little bit of a problem in these areas that are considered resort areas around Lake Wallenpaupack, so, we have instituted some different rules that, with membership approval, went out to vote, it was membership approval.

The thing is communication is key. And many of the realtors, they are not going to know what is going on. We had to educate the realtors. We sent out letters. And when realtors came into the office, we prepared a package for the renters so they knew what was going on. Because we actually now just established a two-year moratorium for renting. You build or purchase your home, you cannot rent that house for two years. And not everybody likes that, but the board, we had reasons for doing it. The membership voted

on it and the membership approved it. And that always helps, when you get the membership to approve something, that always helps.

1.3

2.0

2.4

And I feel that the provisions the communities have to offer between rules and regulations, bylaws, covenants, and now with state statute, there are recourses for the membership. They are property owners' associations. The property owners are the ones that own this. Yes, they elect that board to manage their community or hire the managers, but, for the most part, as one gentleman already mentioned and they are already doing, for the most part, there are provisions to recall that president or that board or, you know, like he mentioned, a special meeting, you can call for a special meeting.

And, in my opinion, if a community is having those types of problems and it can only be making the minority happy, it cannot be making the majority of the people happy in that association, they need to band together, get that special exception, and do whatever they need to do.

And, you know, Marshal already touched on it and I don't want to be redundant, but the Uniform Planned Act, between Section 5308, 5309, 5310, and 5316, you know, relating to meetings, quorums, voting proxies, and association records, which we already said association records you can already contact the Attorney General,

listening to many people talk, quite a few of the complaints dealt with those sections. And the CAI suggestions to the amendment of the bill, they would be included where the property owner could then go to the Attorney General.

1.3

2.0

2.4

I am very adamant with the association that I run we have a review committee. We have a person gets a citation, fine, or whatever, there is a review committee.

Not the Board of Directors, this is of their peers. They meet with them first. If they do not like their decision, then, yes, they would have to appeal to the Board of Directors. So, there is a process. And there is a process in between the common core, there is a magistrate's level, which is on a smaller scale and also a cheaper scale. So, there are other alternatives.

You have got the lawyer's perspective of the bill and now you are getting a property manager's perspective.

And when I first read the bill, which Representative Brown has already answered this question, when I first read the bill, to me, it seemed really wide open and I was really kind of wondering what kind of complaints do they really want to deal with. Because in my experience, 26 years, if I look back at my records, the most complaints that I get are barking dog, noisy neighbor, speeding, unkempt properties.

And I really wasn't sure if the bill was intended to be able to handle something like that. Because, if it was, then I

really have to say a person needs to go through their community for all avenues for them to resolve the issue first.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I think we are talking about due process type issues.

JOHN CARNEY: Yes. Then when I looked at the

bill, my opinion of what I consider major complaints would be allegations of embezzlement, fraud, discrimination, harassment, rigging elections, violating fair housing. Now, these are all criminal offenses. Where would they be better suited for? Would it be to the Attorney General's Office or would it be into the county in which the community is in?

CHAIRMAN PETRI: My sense is it could be both, because you have a DA who just decides whether they have evidence and whether they are going to prosecute and not everything -- you know, it may be serious, it may be criminal, but they may just decide not to use their resources that way. So, to me, both could work, as long as we keep it narrow.

JOHN CARNEY: That's exactly what the CAI is looking to do is narrow the bill. And if that can be done, I can see the CAI supporting this bill. And I think with the different people that have spoken today, you know, with compromise, hopefully, we can make all groups happy.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Let me share one thing with you

and the audience, Mr. Carney. The first bill I ever passed really never needed to be a law. It is basically a copy of the fire hydrant law and said you can't park in front of a sign notifying the neighbor that there is a blind or deaf child. I had a family that had a daughter who was both legally blind and legally deaf. Under the law, they pay for their own sign. They put it up. And they had a neighbor, even though they had plenty of room to park their van anywhere else, refused to park it in the driveway and parked it on the road and refused -- they could have moved -- they had 150 feet of frontage, they could move anywhere.

2.0

2.4

You shouldn't have to pass a law to tell somebody that you are ignorant, but, apparently, sometimes we do.

JOHN CARNEY: Common sense goes a long way. And listening to the one gentleman speak and things that he has heard at the grocery store or whatever, believe me, in my 26 years of managing a community, I have had property owners come to me and tell me some things where I am saying, no way. Like I don't know where you heard that, but no way.

So, from one thing, the property owners need to get facts.

With talking to property owners who do not know what they are getting themselves into when they come into a community, well, you know, what group can educate people to know what you are doing before you get into it. For one

thing, really, buyer beware. I think that buyer needs to do their homework and their research. But through the communities, themselves, and through the realtors, through the township, you got to have a good relationship with the salespeople, with your municipality, with the township supervisors, and also then with the commissioners on a County level.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: So, let me share with you the typical complaint I get at my office. The board won't allow me to go door to door to campaign because they say that is solicitation. They won't give me financial documents even though I have asked for them. The same things we have been hearing today. So, I know what Representative Brown is trying to do because she is getting the same complaints. I don't get the complaints from constituents that, you know, they picked on me for this or that, generally; I mean, occasionally, but we usually then refer them to a lawyer or somebody to talk to.

So, we know we have a problem. We know it is not the vast majority of boards, but we do have to create, I think, an outlet for somebody to be able to try to get their complaints heard.

There has always been a great town hall tradition.

I love town halls where people come in and can freely speak.

But, apparently, sometimes on the board -- and I know we

talked about it even among members here -- you have to have control over of a meeting. You have to an assembly. But you should be able to speak your opinion and I am sure you can in your community.

1.3

2.0

2.4

JOHN CARNEY: We can, and that's why it is hard for me to relate to this. I do look forward to continuing the conversation.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Representative Parker.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: I had a constituent stop in, have a complaint about neighbors running businesses out of their home in a private association, if that's against the bylaws.

JOHN CARNEY: That's against covenants. It is a sticky situation because with our covenants, it basically says the home has to be a single family dwelling. So, we are in a residential community, so, if it is a business, what kind of business. Are they selling Am way products out of their house or somebody bringing traffic into the house? And that could very easily be a township violation.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: In which case the township could resolve it.

JOHN CARNEY: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: So, if they are fixing cars in the driveway and basically running a garage.

JOHN CARNEY: Thank you. Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN PETRI: For the audience's benefit, we do have a number of experts in the room from Community 2 Association who can answer questions. We are running a 3 little late, so I am not going to have them answer questions, 4 5 necessarily, unless they want to take them. But at the end 6 of the meeting, there are a number of people here who have this background and experience, and if you have a particular 7 question, I wouldn't ask them about things that are factually 8 related, but legally related, is it in the law, should it be 9 10 in the law, those kind of things.

We do have a resident who arrived late who wanted to speak, so we are going to recognize Bob Fenlon and then we are going to go right to the Attorney General who is going to talk to us about this legislation.

My name is Bob Fenlon. I am the president of a local homeowners' association consisting of 1256 homes. My involvement with the association goes back to 2007 when I joined the finance committee. In my tenure, I had been mostly in the capacity of a president. I have been involved with overthrowing two corrupt boards.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: When you say corrupt, can you be a little -- not as to what they did but types of things. Is it embezzlement? What is it?

ROBERT FENLON: It was a long list of things,

25

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

including not allowing people to run for election. That was something that really --

CHAIRMAN PETRI: So it was election fraud, potentially.

1.3

2.0

ROBERT FENLON: Well, I was the subject of election fraud later. I have documentation for that. But disqualifying people from running because of shaky rules, so to speak. They were in arrears at one point in the year. We later changed the bylaws to that any member in good standing can run.

But I have been involved in numerous Court proceedings all the way up the Appellate Division of the Pennsylvania Courts. And I guess there is a lot to be said about associations. They are democracy at its, really, finest level, you know. These are people, homeowners that live in these communities. And while you will see oftentimes that there is problems, there are certain rules and regulations you have to have to run these.

Originally, my concern with this bill was that certain fines like -- typically, the fines, the problems that we have that we issue fines for would be ATVs, firing guns within the association. We are having a very big problem with short-term rentals, which is a little bit of a problem here. And things that, typically, we get complaints from people that live there, they call the association office to

say do something about this.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, I guess in the history that I have had with the association, I have a couple of points where it reached a concern with what I was hearing. One was that we received a complaint from the Pennsylvania Human Rights Commission. The complaint after it was reviewed, which started off very badly with the state investigator calling our bookkeeper and telling her to settle with this person for \$7600, I thought that was very inappropriate. But as we got further into how a complaint with the Pennsylvania Human Rights Commission works, we were very disadvantaged in the fact that we had to go to Harrisburg to address this and she had the benefit of, basically, a state investigator and we had to spend association funds. We spent over \$5,000. And in the end the complaint was dismissed.

And what the woman's real issue was she didn't want to pay her dues, but a very crafty attorney sent her in this direction and it really hurt us.

So, my concern here is that we don't become burdened. It's hard enough to get good people to serve on these boards now. They are not all corrupt. There is a lot of people that do this for free. I am taking a day off from work today to come here, I thought it was that important. But I think the most important thing that you can deal with from the legislative perspective is the elections, because

```
1
     what you really need to do is these elections need to be
 2
     audited by CPAs. We had a election, I was the target of it.
 3
     And I have some materials here for you to look at. We were
     fortunate to have an ombudsman that was pretty sharp. He was
 4
 5
     a printer in a former job he had, but we had 134 counterfeit
     ballots cast by mail that changed the course of the election.
 6
 7
     We went to Court and the Judge ran the election again and the
     results of the election were reversed.
8
 9
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: So, you are saying the Judge
10
     found that the ballots were forged?
11
                ROBERT FENLON: Sure, so she added a new election.
12
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: But no financial damages?
1.3
                ROBERT FENLON: Well, here is the problem that you
14
     run into with elections -- and this is something you really
15
     need to take a look at -- different District Attorneys look
     at this stuff differently. Monroe County District Attorney
16
     looked at this like that is a civil battle. We don't want
17
18
     anything to do with homeowners' associations.
19
                I recently saw in Pike County where the District
2.0
     Attorney took an entirely different approach and the people
21
     were charged. I think, really, when you take away the
22
     people's right to a fair election, you know, that's the worst
23
     thing that can happen.
2.4
                CHAIRMAN PETRI: It is the core of our democracy.
```

ROBERT FENLON: It really needs to be a felony

25

1 because a lot of this is done through the mail. It should be 2 criminal. The cost of re-running an election because you 3 find out -- and many of them people probably never know. there needs to be a legislative review and a place where 4 5 people can go with election complaints. It's very very -you know, it's like you said, the core issue here. 6 7 have that for you, the ombudsman report that we had with 8 that. CHAIRMAN PETRI: Who paid for the ombudsman? 9

ROBERT FENLON: He is an elected official within our association. This would be the file regarding the Pennsylvania complaint.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Light reading.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

ROBERT FENLON: There is always two sides to this. But if we have a government agency that is reviewing complaints, we can't go to Harrisburg and send -- it's going to be prohibitive cost-wise and we would have to just throw in the towel.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Any questions or comments?

ROBERT FENLON: The other issue we have is short-term rentals. This is something we do issue fines. But you have Internet air bed and breakfast. These people are renting out and it is causing tremendous problems within the association. And we created rules because we have fireworks shooting off in residential areas and 35 people at

a house. We fine these people, they say we didn't do it, okay.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: It is a real problem and it is occurring in residences and neighborhoods where it's not allowed even under local zoning. I would encourage you to contact Pennsylvania Association of Township Supervisors and all the other associations, because they are focused on this, as well.

ROBERT FENLON: It's a big problem. I sat through five hearings with the township. It is really a nightmare.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I am not sure now you police it.

ROBERT FENLON: It is very problematic because people are going to say they are my friends. They have no qualms about lying. And this is where the boards, if they issue a fine for fireworks or for registrations or ATVs, they can't go to Harrisburg.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: You remember the Pope came to Philadelphia, right? What do you think all of those were, those rentals?

ROBERT FENLON: I am going to keep my remarks brief. This is a little bit of -- you know, people have these things registered on Internet sites, but when you fine them, they say I am not doing it.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: This is proof of violation of short-term rentals.

1 ROBERT FENLON: To me, it is.

1.3

2.0

2.4

2 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Thank you. It is another subject 3 that somebody is working on.

ROBERT FENLON: There was one other thing, I don't know if it comes into play with this committee, but if it is at all possible, we have to be able to pay our dues. We have to collect the dues and we can't pay our staff with IOUs or widgets. One of the things that has come to our attention in looking at taking people to Court for dues is there is something called a Planned Unit Development Rider. And in these homeowners' associations, they should all be required to do it. They should also be a mechanism for the associations to be able to contact the banks so that they can start escrowing homeowners' association dues before they are \$40,000 behind.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: The bankers are going to love you.

ROBERT FENLON: Thank you very much for your time.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: We are looking into that.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Next we are going to hear from
Basil Merenda, Chief Deputy Attorney General and Director of
the Office of Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer
Protection. This is the guy that really heads the department
that would be involved in this legislation, so we are
interested in your comments and you may proceed.

BASIL MERENDA: Good afternoon, Chairman Petri,
Representative Parker, Representative Lewis, Representative
Brown.

For the record, my name is Basil Merenda. I serve
as a Chief Deputy Attorney General in the Pennsylvania

1.3

2.0

2.4

as a Chief Deputy Attorney General in the Pennsylvania

Attorney General's Office, Director of the Consumer

Protection Bureau. I am responsible for directing the

Bureau's staff of 83 lawyers, investigators, and clerical

staff working out of six regional offices across the

Commonwealth.

I began my tenure in the office of the Attorney General in April of 2014 when I was brought on to restructure, refocus, and reinvigorate consumer protection efforts in the state.

Mr. Chair and committee members, I can assure you that the AG's Bureau of Consumer Protection Division and Bureau will not be sleeping during my watch.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: I was hoping you would say something about that.

BASIL MERENDA: With that background, I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you to provide some insight on the Bureau's mediation, investigation, and litigation efforts in consumer protection and how that information can possibly provide valuable insight into issues you are hearing from your constituents about the associations that govern

Pennsylvania's planned communities.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Simply put, the powers and duties of the Bureau boil down to four basic functions, education, mediation, investigation, and litigation, all of which are to serve at and to protect consumers in Pennsylvania.

Please note that the Bureau does not accept complaints about businesses, referred to as so-called business to business disputes, because our thing is to protect consumers first and foremost. So, please, permit me to focus in on our mediation function.

The vast majority of complaints filed within the Bureau proceed to a voluntary mediation process. As I will explain, this process may be useful in addressing the issues that the homeowners' associations have been identified in House Bill 1774.

The Bureau's mediation process begins with a review of the complaint to determine whether the matter implicates consumer protection laws. We are responsible for enforcing over 30 different consumer protection statutes in the Commonwealth and the centerpiece is the Consumer Protection Act. If the Bureau has jurisdiction over any complaint pursuant to those laws, the complaint is assigned to a consumer protection agent to begin the mediation process. It is a simple and straightforward process. Agents first forward the complaint for the business and request a

written response to the consumer's allegations. When a response is received, it is reviewed by the agent and forwarded to the consumer for their review and response.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Now, this is important. Our mediation process doesn't usually include the face-to-face session which many attorneys are familiar with in the simple action context. Be that as it may, we have directed our agents to be more proactive and continue the process as long as there is a basis to agreeably resolve a complaint. In fact, in more urgent matters, agents mediate complaints over the telephone or, in special circumstances, visit the business, itself.

Now, the end result of our mediation process is equally straightforward. Mediations are closed when the complaint has been successfully resolved or it is determined that an agreement between the two parties cannot be reached.

codified internally to allow the Bureau to track patterns of consumer protection violations and other illegal practices.

When patterns are discovered, we review those patterns for potential further investigation. In appropriate situations, these investigations could possibly lead to the filing of a legal action in Common Pleas Court, in Commonwealth Court, and even in Federal District Court.

That brings us to the litigation function. The

legal actions are only pursued when they are found to be in the best interest of the Commonwealth. Now, there is one important caveat the Bureau faces in every investigation we open and every lawsuit we bring. In order to proceed to Court, we must have a proof of a pattern or a continuing practice of illegal conduct that impacts a group of consumers, as opposed to an individual consumer.

1.3

2.0

2.4

The Bureau is prohibited by law and limited by resources from providing legal representation to one single person or one single consumer.

Finally, if the Bureau is unable to resolve the complaint and is not a matter chosen for further investigation or litigation, the consumer is free to pursue their own private legal action under the consumer protection law.

Now, moving to the Bureau's current involvement in homeowner association complaints, I would like to note for the record that the Bureau's jurisdiction and authority pursuant to the Uniform Planned Community Act, UPCA for short, is very limited. Specifically, the Act permits members of a homeowners' association to file a consumer complaint with the Bureau when the association has failed to provide within 30 days of a written request a copy of the annual financial statement. In fact, the Bureau has received a limited number of complaints related to this particular

provision. We have only received 41 complaints in 2015 and 27 complaints in 2014. However, the provisions of House Bill 1774 would significantly increase the Bureau's duties and responsibilities regarding homeowner association disputes.

1.3

2.0

2.4

become a witch hunt.

you might know where I am going with this. I must note -
CHAIRMAN PETRI: You are right. It is always

about scope. And the other thing is we don't want this to

But I must make a cautionary warning, and I think

How do you think the amendment would impact you?
We know the bill is a little broader and the amendment is
surgically designed to deal with some of the complaints we
heard about today. Would that be something you think you
could handle?

BASIL MERENDA: Absolutely. I agree that the amendment goes a long way in focusing our efforts pursuant to our limited resources and what we can and cannot do and what we can do best and what we can't do. For example, the board is well suited to assist in complaints related to transparency, governance, and direct violations of the UPCA. With additional resources, it is possible the Bureau could effectively address governance and transparency matters and issues related to meetings, quorums, voting, and records.

In contrast -- and this needs to be put on record -- we believe it would not be appropriate for the

Bureau to entertain complaints about the inner workings of the association. For example, the Bureau does not have the expertise to effectively address issues about aesthetic guidelines that homeowners must follow and also like it is described as the subjective disputes over requirements for the purchase or lack of purchase of a specific good or service by the association for a homeowner for a unit in that regard.

1.3

2.0

2.4

Therefore, it comes full circle. And consistent with our recommendations, I submit that the Bureau could mediate association matters in the same way the Bureau mediates consumer protection complaints that we receive. And I can make that assertion if the reach of the legislation is amended as explained.

In short, the Bureau of Consumer Protection has the experience, the expertise, the skill to effectively mediate specific types of disputes involving transparency, governance, and things of that nature. That's our bailiwick. That's what the CP does best. And with some additional resources, we can make a difference for homeowners and their associations throughout the Commonwealth.

So, on behalf of the Office of Attorney General, thank you, Mr. Chair, thank you, committee members, for this opportunity to present testimony on 1774. I hope I was able to provide the committee with some useful information related

to the functions of our Bureau and I look forward to working with Representative Brown and members of the committee to better protect Pennsylvania consumers. And I welcome any questions or comments.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Representative Parker.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: We had some issues brought up today and if you would be able to, knowing the legislation and looking at that situation, how would the Attorney General's Office approach that and resolve it?

BASIL MERENDA: Well, a lot of the issues that, I am assuming from the audience, a lot of the issues involve transparency, records, and things of that nature. And that's a set of issues that we could probably address through the mediation process. It's going to be a strain on our resources, but we will try to work around that.

REPRESENTATIVE PARKER: Then you would render a decision which one party may not be happy with and then they could, I guess, appeal or legally challenge your decision?

BASIL MERENDA: Yes, I am not sure about the appeal procedures that are included. Maybe that's something that we could address in subsequent amendments.

ALYSSA WEINHOLD: If I may, we often reopen complaints. So, if they would file a complaint with our office and we wouldn't be able to reach a resolution, they could ask us to reinitiate the mediation. Or, like Basil

said, if we decide that we really can't do anything, the 1 2 board is not willing to work with us, they could file their own private complaint as they can under current law. 3 In the context of our 4 BASIL MERENDA: 5 run-of-the-mill consumer protection complaint, if our mediation process can't resolve the dispute between the 6 7 business and the consumer, and if it doesn't involve a pattern, then the case would be filed, we keep it on file to 8 9 see if a pattern emerges. 10 However, if in that situation that particular 11 mediation can't be resolved and it is a part of a larger 12 pattern, then we proceed to the next step, which is 1.3 investigation and ultimately litigation under our consumer 14 protection laws. 15 So, in this situation, if we find that it involves an egregious violation, if it involves a similar type of 16 17 complaints, we might be able to see if we can probably bring 18 a legal action under our Consumer Protection Act. 19 CHAIRMAN PETRI: Which you have the right to do 2.0 now, anyway. 21 BASIL MERENDA: Correct, under the Consumer 22 Protection Act. 23 CHAIRMAN PETRI: We are not changing that part of

BASIL MERENDA: For the record, that is Alyssa

2.4

the law.

Weinhold. She is our legislative director for the Attorney General's Office.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Representative Brown.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: I appreciate your testimony and your willingness to work with us, especially in regards to the amendment. So, the amendment is kind of, for everybody, if it has been a little confusing, focusing in on some specific provisions and measures to make sure we are really dealing with the right complaints and still giving the freedom that is necessary for the private communities under the Planned Community Act. So, I appreciate your willingness to work with us on the amendment and, hopefully, we can address the constituent needs that I think are very very large here.

I am very surprised by the numbers that I see.

They seem very minimal to me, compared to what I get even from a very small district that I have. The amount of complaints that I get, the numbers that you reference in here for the financial ability that you have is much smaller than I thought.

However, I think there might be some issues, because one of the reasons I began this legislation and started to dig and drove Miss Ashley here crazy was my constituents were not getting responses from the AG's Office. And not in any -- with all due respect, it wasn't within your

powers to be able to respond to these.

1.3

2.0

2.4

So, earlier, someone mentioned that they actually received a letter from the AG's office that said, I am sorry, we don't have the ability, the powers to address this. So, I was very pleased to hear that. And I know, like I said, this was not your responsibility, so that's why they were not getting responses. But that's what urged me to dig further and start to say where are these complaints going into a black hole. They were going into a black hole because there was no ability to deal with it. So, that's where we started.

We don't have any recollection of those numbers of complaints that came in to your office, do we?

BASIL MERENDA: No, just the hard numbers. For the most part, kind of a general review indicates that there were complaints that we just didn't have jurisdiction over, many of the same complaints that came up in the hearing today. But we are limited in our jurisdiction to just issues involving that annual financial report that's issued.

I attribute to the fact that many of the consumers really don't even realize that there is a governmental agency, the Bureau of Consumer Protection, that is out there to address consumer protection complaints. And, you know, we are trying to address that. And that letter that was mentioned earlier, that was from Tom Cummings, one of our young lawyers out of our Scranton office who does an

excellent job.

1.3

2.0

2.4

And during my watch, I want our folks to be accessible, responsible, and accountable. And even if we don't have jurisdiction, I want them to explain to the consumer in a letter why we don't have jurisdiction and what possible alternatives there are.

So, like I said, during my watch, I want to try to change that.

REPRESENTATIVE BROWN: Thank you. And I think from the one testimony today, I have seen that that has changed. So, I appreciate that.

BASIL MERENDA: I just want to note we had a very productive session to go over the amendment that you participated in with the other folks; so, we are here to work with the committee on anything that you folks need from us to try to put together a good piece of legislation.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Two quick questions.

No. 1: Do you feel that the amendment is crafted now in a way that your agents would understand exactly the demarcation of their jurisdiction or do you think it still needs some more work?

BASIL MERENDA: I would ask to give us a little more time to look at it and see if we can -- I would like to sit down, quite frankly, with some of our agents who are not attorneys but who we can -- our tactical folks and maybe we

can see something that we missed. So, just maybe a couple weeks.

1.3

2.0

2.4

CHAIRMAN PETRI: That's more than adequate. I just need some sort of feedback so we can run the bill out of committee.

BASIL MERENDA: We will work around your timelines.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Soon. The other question I had that gave me a little pause, you talked about if you saw a pattern; how does the agent determine there is a pattern or not if you have a change of boards over a period of time? Ir other words, do you think you have the ability to analyze, okay, well, yeah, it is the A, B, C community but, oh, they are different boards members, so, is that really a pattern

BASIL MERENDA: I think that would depend on the facts of that situation. And, in fact, I would hope that our agents and our attorneys are resourceful and creative enough to kind of look beyond those specifics and drill down and get some good information and reach out directly to consumers, homeowners to get that background and maybe they could kind of craft something together that indicates there is a pattern.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Because the reason I ask that is we heard from a couple of people, well, the Court ruled that that election was okay and this one wasn't and they reversed

that, you know. So, obviously, at least by the Judge, there was some wrongdoing and there was some okay, based upon the bylaws.

1.3

2.0

2.4

BASIL MERENDA: Taking it on face value, yeah, you could probably make an argument there was no pattern. But, like I said, I like to have our folks drill down a little bit and get some good information and speak directly to the homeowners that are involved and maybe they could get that piece that maybe the Court didn't have that we might be able to craft into a pattern.

CHAIRMAN PETRI: Well, I want to thank everybody for attending and your patience. I know we went over our time, but to me, the clock means nothing if we had to stay here until 5:00 o'clock in order to resolve the issue.

The information you have given the committee will enable us to make intelligent decisions. We never know if amendments are going to be offered and people will head in different directions; so, for the members of my committee to be able to go back and talk to other members and say we talked about that and this is what we heard makes the process better.

Probably the worst part of the legislative process is when amendments are offered at the last moment after a long time period and those in the room that are involved in the process know sometimes it is difficult because people

aren't always exactly truthful about their letters and the like. So, the committee work and the work that you are doing, Representative, on this bill is much appreciated because she has really done all the hard work, along with staff. I am just steering the ship right now.

1.3

2.0

2.4

And I want to thank the community association lawyers for being willing to look at this as an issue that we can improve on. And I like your idea of offering a mediation service. I think for you to have that as an outlet would be extremely helpful. The litigation costs we heard earlier, \$50,000 on one side, I mean, I am a lawyer, \$50,000 is a lot of billable hours. That's drawers and drawers of documents and it is needless and it is not helpful.

Remember, these are your neighbors. These are people you have to live with and you, hopefully, want to respect. And we have to earn respect, but we have to give respect. And for your communities to be tearing each other apart on these issues -- you know, the one example I heard was, well, yeah, we may need some storm water but maybe we don't need this plan. You know, we could handle this plan. And for that not to be publicly debated -- and I accepted your word as truthful that it is not -- just seems wrong to me. The communities ought to be able to get together and debate, agree where they can and disagree where they can't.

But back to my example, sometimes we have to do

1 things when people won't act reasonably. Go back to my 2 analogy of the sign. I never should have had to pass that 3 law. It was interesting, when the law was almost signed, when the reporter knocked on the door and said are you going 4 5 to move your van, the response outside the door, according to 6 the reporter, was when it is law, I will move it. Really? 7 Really? That's how you are going to treat your neighbor? 8 Okay. So, a lot of work to do. Keep talking. I want to commend you on all your good work and let's get this 9 10 over the finish line. But we want it narrow. We don't want 11 this to be become an ability to use public resources for a witch hunt. 12 13 Remember, mediation and arbitration are 14 different. Arbitration, in theory, uses the law as a 15 backdrop to get to a solution. Mediation tends to disregard what the law is and say can the two sides fashion their own 16 17 remedy. And I think that's what you need for your 18 communities. 19 And, again, I commend you for doing the hard work 2.0 and being brave. You have to be brave to enter this field. 21 JOSEPH BARCA: Can I say it is refreshing to be in 22 a meeting where you are allowed to exchange ideas. 23 very new to us. We really appreciate it. I am not trying to 2.4 make a commercial. I can't tell you how wonderful today was. 25

CHAIRMAN PETRI: It is our pleasure.

1 JOSEPH BARCA: On the mediation piece, if both 2 parties go to a mediator and one wants to walk away after the 3 process is over, then that's the end of that? CHAIRMAN PETRI: That's the end of that. 4 5 yeah, you have other remedies. You have other remedies. 6 The advantage of this bill is that with the 7 Attorney General involved, if there has been a clear 8 violation of you didn't give me the financial records, despite requests, you didn't hold a fair meeting, a fair 9 10 hearing, it is certainly a lot better than putting together 11 all the litigation and trying to bring a packet in front of a 12 Judge and get everybody to come in and testify. I think it's 1.3 going to have an impact. And if the community association creates a mediation opportunity, they could do it with 14 15 independent experts who are from different areas who are in 16 the field. You would have the ability to select who you want 17 as your mediator and at least have an independent person, 18 hopefully, look at the community and say you are not doing 19 this right. 2.0 This meeting is now adjourned. 21 (At this time the hearing 22 in the above-captioned matter 23 was concluded.)

2.4

25

## 

## CERTIFICATE

I, Teresa A. Crossin, do hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately to the best of my ability, in the stenographic notes taken by me in the proceedings of the above case and that the copy is a correct transcript of the same.

Teresa A. Crossin, RMR

Keystone Court Reporting, Inc.