Page: 1 HEARING, 10/5/2016

HOUSE FINANCE COMMITTEE
PUBLIC HEARING
PHILADELPHIA NON-RESIDENT WAGE TAX COLLECTION

Public hearing held at the Bensalem

Township Building, 2400 Byberry Road, Bensalem,

Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, October 5, 2016, commencing at

10:05 a.m., before Suzanne Walinsky, a Court Reporter and

Notary Public, pursuant to notice.

Page: 2 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Chairman Bernard T. O'Neill
3	Chairman Jake Wheatley
4	Representative Tina M. Davis
5	Representative John Lawrence
6	Representative Mary Jo Daley
7	Representative Stephen Kinsey
8	Mark Foreman, Executive Director
9	Jeremy Kiehl, Esquire, Executive Director
10	Representative Todd Stephens
11	Representative Scott Petri
12	Representative Daniel Truitt
13	Representative Becky Corbin
14	Representative Gene DiGirolamo
15	Representative Frank Farry
16	Representative Jerry Knowles
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page: 3 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you for coming 2 out this morning for the hearing by the House Finance 3 Committee. 4 Before we begin, I just want to let 5 everybody know that we are being taped for PCN to be 6 broadcast across the Commonwealth, and we do have a 7 stenographer. So I ask that you turn -- first of all, 8 9 watch what you say because you'll be heard, but also if 10 you'll please turn off or silence your phones and any 11 other electronical devices moving forward. Thank you. 12 I want to welcome everybody here for the 13 House Finance Committee meeting here in Bensalem. 14 We're here to discuss two bills by 15 Representative Petri and Representative Stephens -- and 16 you didn't write down the bill numbers. You're fired. 17 Okay. House Bill 2142 by Representative 18 Stephens and House Bills 2256 and 2257 by Representative 19 Petri. And they deal with -- which is known as the 20 Sterling Act, but most people know it as the wage tax, the 21 Philadelphia wage tax. 22 First of all, before we begin, I want to 23 thank some people. 24 First, I want to thank Bensalem for 25 hosting this meeting today, particularly Mayor DiGirolamo,

Page: 4 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	who went out of his way to make sure that we were all
2	taken care of, and his staff, including Barbara, who
3	really helped us out a lot to put this all together.
4	I also want to thank Dan Rattigan, who
5	is the is your title president?
6	MR. RATTIGAN: I think so.
7	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: You think so? Okay.
8	Oh, there's a third choice for president. No, I'm
9	kidding.
10	He's president of BCATO, which is the
11	Bucks County Association of Township Officials, who
12	brought this to the Committee's attention.
13	And I want to thank Mayor DiGirolamo, who
14	brought it to BCATO's attention and really was the person
15	who really worked hard behind this to get moving on this
16	subject.
17	And I also want to welcome you all to
18	Chairman DiGirolamo's district here in Bensalem.
19	So we're going to begin. But
20	Representative Chairman Wheatley, our Minority Chairman,
21	is on his way, so he'll be here shortly. He called to let
22	us know that he would be here.
23	So before we begin, I want to introduce
24	Mayor DiGirolamo to welcome everybody and say a few words
25	here in Bensalem.

Page: 5 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: Thank you, Chairman.
2	Excuse my back.
3	We welcome you here today
4	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Should we use the mic
5	because we're
6	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: He's done work in here.
7	I don't
8	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Yeah.
9	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: Taking too much time.
10	Good morning, everybody. Thank you,
11	Chairman, for bringing your Committee here, the Finance
12	Committee, on a very important subject to all of us here
13	in Southeastern Pennsylvania.
14	We welcome all the testimony. Hopefully,
15	we can see where it this meeting is very, very
16	important to everyone.
17	I know Philadelphia here, very important
18	to them. And, hopefully, we can prove and make some kind
19	of progress to where reciprocity can take hold here for
20	all of Southeastern Pennsylvania.
21	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for being here.
22	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you for having
23	us, Mayor.
24	Also, I'd like to introduce Representative
25	DiGirolamo, as we're in his district, just to say a few

Page: 6 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 words. 2 REPRESENTATIVE DIGIROLAMO: I think this 3 is working. 4 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Yeah, they work. 5 REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Are they? They told us ours wouldn't work. 6 7 All right. Good morning, everybody. I'm very excited to have you here in the 18th 8 Welcome. 9 Legislative District, Bensalem Township. 10 And for those of you who don't know, 11 Bensalem Township is actually my whole legislative 12 district, the only one in the whole state where one 13 township -- one representative represents one township. 14So we're excited about having you here 15 today. The mayor did not tell you. We're very, very 16 proud of Bensalem. 17 Bensalem was voted by Money Magazine last 18 year one of the 50 best places to live in the United 19 States of America. Not just Pennsylvania, 50 best places 20 to live in the United States. So we're very, very proud 21 of Bensalem. 22 And besides the issue, we're very -- we 23 really have a very historical background. We were 24 incorporated in 1692. So over 300 years we've been here 25 as Bensalem Township.

Page: 7 HEARING, 10/5/2016

And something else that I think's going to
make Philadelphia a little angry also, a little bit of
history, our historical society here in Bensalem Township
believes that Benjamin Franklin's kite experiment actually
happened here in Bensalem, in Bucks County, way long ago.
Now, I know that's going to make
Philadelphia angry also besides the issue that we're here
to talk about. But our historical society does believe
that.
So I want to thank the mayor and the
members of Bensalem Council Councilman Joe Knowles is
here today, as is Councilman Bryan Allen for bringing
this issue to the forefront.
This is really, really an important issue
for not only the surrounding suburbs, but also for the
City of Philadelphia.
So good to have you.
And, Representative O'Neill, welcome and
welcome your Committee.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
And for those of you who may not know,
Representative DiGirolamo is the majority chairman of the
House Human Services Committee and does a wonderful job on
behalf of the residents of not only Bensalem, but the

Page: 8 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	entire state, dealing with a lot of our opium and drug
2	problems throughout the Commonwealth. He's the go-to guy
3	in the House when it comes to that topic.
4	Before we begin, I'm going to ask the
5	members to identify themselves that could make it.
6	What we did was we not only invited all
7	the committee members, but we invited the members from the
8	Southeast since this does concern the five surrounding
9	counties in the Southeast here.
10	So when you introduce yourself, if you are
11	a member of the Finance Committee, would you identify
12	yourself as being a member of the Finance Committee.
13	Thank you.
14	We'll begin down there with Representative
15	Davis.
16	REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS: Good morning, Tina
17	Davis, 141st. I'm right next to Gene and Joe. Hi. I
18	love Bensalem.
19	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: John Lawrence, I
20	serve the 13th Legislative District, which is southwestern
21	Chester County and a part of Lancaster County, and I serve
22	on the Finance Committee.
23	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Good morning. I'm
24	Mary Jo Daley. I represent the 148th District in
25	Montgomery County, and I am a member of the House Finance

Page: 9 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Committee.
2	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Good morning,
3	everyone. My name is Stephen Kinsey. I represent the
4	201st Legislative District, which is part of Philadelphia
5	County, and I am also a member of the Finance Committee.
6	MR. FOREMAN: Mark Foreman, I'm the
7	Democratic Executive Director of the Finance Committee.
8	MR. KIEHL: Jeremy Kiehl, Executive
9	Director for Bernie O'Neill.
10	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Good morning again.
11	I'm Bernie O'Neill. I represent the 29th Legislative
12	District, which is the center of Bucks County, just a
13	little bit up the road there.
14	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: I'm Todd
15	Stephens. I represent a portion of Montgomery County,
16	Horsham Township, Montgomery Township, piece of Upper
17	Dublin, and Lower Gwynedd.
18	And I am not a member of the Finance
19	Committee, but I'm happy to be here today to discuss this
20	important subject.
21	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: I'm Representative
22	Scott Petri, and I represent Solebury, New Hope,
23	Wrightstown, Northampton, and Upper Makefield.
24	I want to thank the Chairman for being
25	willing to take on a tough issue and have a hearing.

1 Thank you. 2 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Good morning. 3 Dan Truitt from the greater West Chester area in Chester 4 Country. I'm not on the Finance Committee. And I want to 5 thank the Chairman for including non-committee members in this event. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE CORBIN: Good morning. Becky Corbin, 155th District in Chester County. I, too, 8 9 am not a member of the Finance Committee, but I am the 10 subcommittee chair on the First Class Cities and Counties 11 on the Urban Affairs Committee. 12 REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Gene 13 DiGirolamo again, from Bensalem and the 18th Legislative 14 District. 15 REPRESENTATIVE FARRY: Representative 16 Frank Farry, 142nd District, Bucks County, just to the north of here. 17 18 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: And we have 19 representatives here from Representative Steve 20 Santarsiero's office as well as Congressman Fitzpatrick's 21 office. Where is he? Well, as members come in, we'll 22 introduce them. 23 Oh, yes. And I apologize. And from 24 Senator Tommy Tomlinson's office is here, too. Bald head 25 guy right there, which is more than one of us.

Page: 11 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 I say that because he's newly bald-headed. 2 I mean, literally, I just saw him a couple weeks ago and 3 he had hair on his head, so... 4 Yeah, you're looking good. Thank you. 5 With that, I'll begin. And we will begin by having Representative Stephens talk a little about the 6 7 bill he introduced, which is House Bill 2142. 8 REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. And, again, thanks so much for convening 10 this hearing and for bringing this subject to light and 11 really digging into what could be a difficult subject. Although, frankly, I don't think it should be. 12 13 You know, I became aware of this issue --14oddly enough, my personal accountant happens to be a 15 school board member in Montgomery County and he brought this issue to my attention. He said, You know, you guys 16 17 really need to tackle the Sterling Act. 18 And I began digging into it and then, you 19 know, started looking at the impact that it was having on 20 the municipalities and school districts in Montgomery 21 County. 22 And, in particular, our municipalities in 23 Montgomery County lose about \$19 million. That's the 24 impact on our municipalities. And our school districts, 25 the impact is about \$18.6 million. So this is a

Page: 12 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 significant, significant impact on the taxpayers in 2 Montgomery County. 3 And I happen to live in a household where 4 my wife works in Philadelphia and, you know, she pays the 5 city wage tax. And our local municipality and our local 6 school district don't -- you know, don't receive the 7 benefit of the income that she makes in Philadelphia by virtue of this uneven playing field that was established 8 9 so many years ago. 10 So I set out to try to remedy that. 11 know Representative Petri also has a couple bills. 12 I've got to tell you, I think that the end 13 result of both bills is the same; it's just the mechanics. 14 And, frankly, I'm indifferent. You know, 15 if there are experts that think, you know, either one of our proposals is the best way to accomplish this or even 16 17 an alternative way to accomplish this, I'm certainly all 18 ears and willing to consider anything that remedies 19 this -- the situation and levels the playing field for our 20 suburban municipalities as it relates to our residents 21 that work in the city of Philadelphia and pay wage taxes 22 there. 23 So thanks again, Mr. Chairman, for 24 bringing this to the forefront. 25 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Great. Thank you.

Page: 13 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 And Representative Petri with his two 2 bills, 2256 and 2257. 3 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman, and thank you committee members. 5 Before we get to my opening comments about 6 the Sterling Act, I do want to bring the members's 7 attention to a slight issue that's appeared in the newspapers dealing with reciprocity and, in particular, in 8 New Jersey. And being Southeast members, we've certainly 9 10 heard from our constituents about their feeling about this 11 proposal. 12 Now, why is that important? 13 important because if that reciprocity agreement continues 14to be revoked by the governor of New Jersey, we will have 15 a little bit of a civil war, so to speak, because, as I 16 understand it, if you earn more than 75,000, because of 17 the graduated tax in New Jersey, our municipalities will 18 also lose the EIT. In other words, the EIT will be phased 19 out because it's a rate more than 4.07. 20 So many of our workers, of course, make 21 more than that in household income and travel to New 22 Jersey. 23 And so that makes this issue, again, if 24 the governor doesn't change the reciprocity piece, even 25 more critical as far as loss of revenue. And I'm sure

Page: 14 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 some of them are scrambling to calculate what that would 2 be. 3 On to the issue of the Sterling Act with 4 regard to Philadelphia. You know, obviously, this was 5 established a long time ago when we were coming out of the first great depression. I'll call the recent event the 6 second great depression. And the mechanics of it, I 7 think, are going to be important for the Committee. 8 9 If you're going to consider it, one of the 10 things you want to balance is the likelihood of keeping 11 the money, under one approach, which is you pay it in your 12 township and the township keeps it versus the other 13 approach is you pay it to the City of Philadelphia and you 14hope to get it back someday, somewhere after, you know, 15 wrangling. 16 Now, obviously, on that score, we know our 17 municipalities would settle in favor of you pay it locally 18 and you get a credit in Philly. 19 I'd be interested to hear from the 20 practitioners about the paperwork associated with this. 21 I think one of the things the Committee 22 has to consider in its deliberation is the small 23 businessman, the medium-sized businessman, how much 24 paperwork is involved and how do you do it, what are the 25 mechanics, and what's the best way of proceeding?

Page: 15 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 You know, obviously, in the end, I prefer 2 my township to be able to keep the EIT and the taxpayer to 3 get a credit, but I'm interested to hear what the 4 practitioners say about the complications and the 5 paperwork to do that. 6 So I look forward to a robust hearing. 7 thank you for even being willing to, you know, consider this. 8 9 We know that in the end this is also a 10 tough issue for the City of Philadelphia, and I think 11 we've got to look at numbers and --12 But in the end, to me, our townships are 13 struggling to pay their police, their fire, to keep their roads in good condition. 1415 And where are our children educated. 16 Remember, the EIT is largely for education. We're 17 certainly not educating our children in Philadelphia. 18 how do we make this all work? And interested in hearing 19 what the practitioners have to say. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you. And we are actually on time, so hopefully 22 23 we'll keep it that way. 24 So we're going to start with our first 25 testifiers, David Caplan and James Newhard from the

Page: 16 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
2	So just identify yourselves, gentlemen.
3	And whoever would like to begin.
4	MR. CAPLAN: Is this on? Yes? No? Is
5	this on? Yes.
6	Good morning, Chairman O'Neill, and all of
7	you other folks. Welcome to Bensalem, the gambling mecca
8	of Bucks County.
9	I am David Caplan, and I am a sole
10	practitioner in Lafayette Hill, Pennsylvania. And I am
11	also the chairman of the Earned Income Tax Task Force for
12	PICPA.
13	MR. NEWHARD: Yes. Good morning.
14	Are we on here?
15	MR. CAPLAN: Yeah, I think it is. You got
16	to lean in.
17	MR. NEWHARD: Okay. Yeah. I should ask
18	my son who's a performer.
19	My name is Jim Newhard. I am a I am a
20	CPA, a sole practitioner, in Chester County, and, yes, I
21	pay earned income tax as well.
22	And I am also on the Earned Income Tax Act
23	32 Task Force on behalf of the Pennsylvania Institute.
24	Thank you for having us here, Chairman,
25	and Representatives and all other constituencies.

Page: 17 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 On behalf of the Pennsylvania Institute, 2 we'd like to thank you for this opportunity to speak. 3 And we're going to speak mostly as folks 4 who have a certain expertise in the two pieces of 5 legislation that impact us; that is, the Act 511 and the Sterling Act. 6 7 To use a colloquialism, don't really have a dog in the race. We're going to try to present the 8 9 facts and then give some basic information. And we assume 10 that the meat of what you would want us to provide is the 11 answer to questions because you're going to have specific 12 scenarios that you're going to want to delve into. 13 Specifically, the Pennsylvania Institute is the fourth largest CPA organization in the United 1415 States, and we have in excess of 22,000 members that we 16 represent and -- in both individuals and in the business 17 community as well, so all aspects of the taxes here in 18 Southeastern Pennsylvania for sure. 19 So we're here basically to MR. CAPLAN: 20 explain how crediting works, and there are three types of 21 crediting procedures that affect EIT. One is what is known as the "super credit" 22 23 with Philadelphia; the second is the crediting between Act 511 jurisdictions; and the third is out-of-state crediting 24 25 against the EIT.

Page: 18 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 So we're going to take them one step at a 2 Jim's going to cover the out-of-state credits, and 3 I'll handle the other two. 4 The super credit, as you've stated, 5 Philadelphia's covered by the Sterling Act and all of the other communities in Pennsylvania are covered by the Local 6 7 Tax Enabling Act of 1965, otherwise known as Act 511. As far as the super credit is concerned, 8 if -- as an example, if someone lives in a 1 percent 9 10 jurisdiction outside of the city and they have two jobs, 11 one job is outside the city where they earn \$50,000 and 12 the other is inside the city where they earn a hundred 13 thousand dollars, in a normal crediting provision, they would be able to use the city wage tax to offset the local 1415 tax on the hundred thousand. That would be a normal 16 crediting procedure. The reason why this is a super credit is 17 18 because they can use the amount paid to Philadelphia to 19 offset their entire tax liability, including the liability 20 on the 50,000. 21 So in a case like that, in a 1 percent for 22 150,000 total, that's \$1,500: 500 on the out-of-city job 23 and a thousand for the city job. 24 But in the City, they would pay \$3,500 25 because it's approximately 3 and a half percent.

Page: 19 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 So instead of using the 3,500 against the 2 thousand and still paying the 500, they can use the 3,500 3 against the entire \$1,500 liability, and the \$500 that has 4 been withheld from the local job they would get as a refund. 5 They cannot get a refund for excess city 6 7 wage tax that they pay. But they can get a refund for local tax that is withheld that they don't need to cover 8 9 their liability. So that's how the super credit works. 10 As far as the inner Act 511 communities 11 are concerned, the way it works is as follows: You have a 12 tax -- a non-resident tax where you work and a resident 13 tax where you live. Act 32 required that the employer withhold 1415 the higher of the two. In most cases, the non-resident tax does not exceed 1 percent, although there are many 16 17 instances where it does. 18 In many cases, the resident tax does 19 exceed 1 percent; for example, open space for an extra 20 quarter, there are distressed communities, Act 47 and Act 21 205, where the resident is higher. So, let's say, for example, you work in a 22 1 percent and you live in Whitemarsh, where I live, which 23 24 is 1 and a quarter percent. You would have 1 and a quarter percent 25

Page: 20 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 withheld from your pay. The entire 1 and a quarter would 2 be sent from the work jurisdiction back to the home 3 jurisdiction because it's higher than the work 4 jurisdiction. 5 Now, let's take another example where you live in King of Prussia, which has a zero rate, and you 6 7 work in Abington, let's say, which has a 1 percent rate, you would have 1 percent taken out, which is the higher of 8 the two, but nothing would get sent back to King of 9 10 Prussia because they don't have one. 11 Another example, if you work in a 1.3 and 12 you live in a 1, you would have 1.3 taken out, as it's the 13 larger of the two, and 1 percent of that would be sent 14home, and the other .3 percent would stay where it is. 15 Now, it's important to understand that 16 this differs from the Philadelphia situation, where in 17 Philadelphia, you pay where you work but it stays there. 18 And that's the whole purpose of these 19 bills, that in the Philadelphia situation, because it's 20 covered by the Sterling Act, the 3 and a half percent 21 stays there and you take a credit for your EIT at home, 22 but they don't actually get the money. They just get a 23 credit off of the liability. 24 So hopefully that describes those two 25 types of crediting procedures.

Page: 21 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	And now Jim will cover out-of-state
2	credits.
3	MR. NEWHARD: Okay. We're going to try to
4	lay this out. And since I don't have pictures, you have
5	to picture in your mind all these different things. So I
6	apologize for being in the numbers world, so to speak.
7	But for a simplicity point of view, we're
8	looking at different tax laws. So we're going to call
9	where there are tax laws that impact income taxes, we're
10	going to call those legal jurisdictions.
11	And I'm going to use, for example, nine
12	specific legal jurisdictions that we might encounter in
13	this part of the world.
14	In Pennsylvania, we have all five Act 511
15	jurisdictions, which, surprisingly it is not
16	surprisingly is everyone except Philadelphia. So
17	that's one Act 511's legal jurisdiction.
18	Philadelphia, covered by the Sterling Act,
19	is the second legal jurisdiction.
20	The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is a
21	third.
22	We have and then we look at our
23	neighbors to the north, we have three jurisdictions there:
24	New York State, New York City, and Yonkers are taxing
25	jurisdictions.

Page: 22 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 And to our south, we have Delaware, and we 2 have Wilmington city, two legal jurisdictions. 3 And then across the river, we have New 4 Jersey, and a little south/southwest, we have Maryland. 5 I'm not going to get too much into those aspects. Basically, the situation is that each of 6 7 those legal jurisdictions is required to have consistent application within that jurisdiction. 8 9 But there's not a requirement for there to 10 be consistency between legal jurisdictions. So how 11 crediting is done between one or another may be different. 12 In our area, as Representative Petri 13 mentioned, we have states that are in a reciprocal 14agreement. Currently New Jersey and Maryland are two 15 parties of that, in which -- in that -- in that situation, 16 reciprocal states ignore the tax in their own 17 jurisdictions for workers who reside in another 18 reciprocating state. 19 And, just for example, if you live in 20 Pennsylvania and you work in New Jersey, they don't 21 withhold New Jersey tax, for the rest of this year anyway. 22 And either as an accommodation, they'll 23 withhold Pennsylvania, or you're on your own to take care 24 of Pennsylvania, the 3.07. 25 We also have non-reciprocal states which

Page: 23 HEARING, 10/5/2016

include both Delaware and New York, which basically is the majority of states who say, If you work in our state, you pay tax in our state.

Interestingly, both of those have some very unique calculations. I'll just point out in both earned income taxes and Pennsylvania income taxes there's no such thing as a true joint return.

They're put together as an accommodation, but each spouse stands on their own as a taxpayer. So there's no offsetting. Your wife's Philadelphia wage tax cannot offset your local tax liability.

In the case of non-reciprocal legal jurisdictions, they ignore the crediting. They really don't care how Pennsylvania treats it. You're going to pay tax where you work. And then that is going to come back to a special calculation in your home jurisdiction.

In Pennsylvania, to the extent that the tax is paid in another legal jurisdiction exceed your Pennsylvania liability on the same income taxed twice -- as Dave mentioned, you're looking -- you know, that this is the typical crediting situation -- only the income that's double taxed is eligible for crediting between legal jurisdictions, except for the other situation that we've -- with Philadelphia -- with the super credit, pardon me.

Page: 24 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then if there's anything left over, for example, most people who work in New York, well above the 40 -- well above the 3.07 and whatever's above that can be claimed against the earned income tax liability. And, again, there's no refund that is available in that situation. Interestingly, I mentioned about consistency within a legal jurisdiction. New York City, if you work in New York City, you pay the tax. don't work in New York City, you don't -- you're not subject to the tax. However, if you work in Yonkers, Yonkers has a non-resident tax. They give a little bit of an exemption, and then they -- but then they assess a tax on non-residents. So just because they're all within the same state of New York doesn't mean that they have to be legally consistent between the two. So that's a little -- so that same concept applies, you know, that Philadelphia and Act 511 jurisdictions are separate legal jurisdictions. So they have different rules. Obviously, if there's going to be changes, that's great. We'd be happy to help in that area. In Pennsylvania there is some -- the

Page: 25 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 earned income taxes and wage taxes affect the jurisdiction 2 and school districts, so that's an issue. 3 And I know there are some subsidies that 4 come back from Pennsylvania to those school districts that 5 are impacted by the Philadelphia wage tax credit. You have final words, Dave? 6 7 MR. CAPLAN: Yeah, I wanted to touch on 8 the paperwork issue. 9 The -- right now if you live in 10 Philadelphia or you work in Philadelphia, you have 11 Philadelphia tax taken out, but in most circumstances 12 there is no tax returns due. 13 It's taken out at the rate that it's 14supposed to be taken out. And it is only in situations 15 where, for example, if you live in Philadelphia and you 16 work for Rutgers University in Camden, they do not take out Philadelphia tax, and you're on your own. 17 18 So there is a tax return called an 19 earnings tax return that you are required to file, and 20 you're also required to file quarterly estimates 21 throughout the year and pay Philadelphia. 22 But in most cases, people who work in 23 Philadelphia that are taxed are taxed at the actual rate 24 and there is no tax return to file. 25 If this goes through where Philadelphia

Page: 26
HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 will be forced to allow a credit for local taxes, that 2 will require them to have a tax return for every single 3 person impacted, which means that where 95 percent of the 4 people affected don't even know that an earnings tax 5 return exists, that will change substantially. So as far as paperwork is concerned, 6 7 you're talking about a huge amount of paperwork change. MR. NEWHARD: Quick thing, just -- I'm 8 9 I don't want to take up too much time. sorry. 10 apologize. 11 Just Representative Petri talked about the 12 situation with New Jersey. One of the things that are 13 concerning people is if you work in -- if live in 14Pennsylvania and you work in New Jersey, they don't 15 withhold Pennsylvania and you do not have to file a New 16 Jersey return. 17 Where there's non-reciprocity in 18 jurisdictions, there has to be returns filed for all 19 jurisdictions. So that everybody who lives in 20 Pennsylvania who works in New Jersey -- there's 120,000 21 that they estimate -- they will all have to file New 22 Jersey returns to calculate a tax. 23 And, again, it would be the same if 24 we have a -- if that is -- that's the resolution that's 25 happening with Philadelphia, there would have to be a

Page: 27 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	return.
2	And whether you work in Philadelphia or
3	you work or you live in Philadelphia, there would have
4	to be returns filed for there as well.
5	MR. CAPLAN: We'd be happy to open it up
6	to any questions that you have.
7	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
8	First, I want to let everyone know we've
9	been joined by my dear friend Representative Jerry Knowles
10	from Schuylkill County, who's also a member of the Finance
11	Committee.
12	I have a real quick question when I was
13	listening to you. I just need a scenario to make this
14	clear.
15	If I live in Bensalem and work in
16	Philadelphia, is my Bensalem EIT tax deducted from my
17	wages? Do you still have to pay it?
18	MR. CAPLAN: No, it is not.
19	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Okay. I want to make
20	that clear.
21	MR. CAPLAN: Yeah. If you have
22	Philadelphia tax withheld, you do not have local tax
23	withheld. Yeah. That's it.
24	So in that case, if that's your only job,
25	you take the Philadelphia tax as a credit and there is no

Page: 28 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	refund because you haven't had anything withheld.
2	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: All right. Okay.
3	Great.
4	Does any of the members have a
5	Representative Truitt.
6	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, gentlemen, for your testimony.
8	I have a question to open the can of worms
9	up just a little bit farther about a sole proprietor.
10	Let's say you have a sole proprietor who
11	lives in one of the counties surrounding Philadelphia and
12	works in the city 10, 15 days a year, how does that affect
13	things?
14	MR. CAPLAN: Okay. So are you talking
15	about a sole proprietor in Philadelphia as well or a wage
16	earner in Philadelphia and a sole proprietor outside?
17	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: That's I'll use
18	a plumber who lives in Chester County who goes and does a
19	job in Philadelphia.
20	MR. CAPLAN: Yeah. So
21	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Who's based in
22	Chester County, he lives in Chester County, but he works
23	for a handful of days in the city.
24	MR. CAPLAN: Okay. So in that case,
25	you're talking about the plumber filing a net profits tax

Page: 29 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 return in Philadelphia, which is essentially the same as 2 an earned income tax return or city wage tax. It's just 3 for an independent business as opposed to a wage earner. 4 So the term "net profits tax" you can 5 substitute for "city wage tax." 6 In a case like that, that person would 7 file a net profits tax return, pay net profits tax to Philadelphia, and just like the city wage tax, would take 8 9 a credit for that tax against local liability. 10 And in cases like that, you're talking 11 about in many cases -- my own case, in particular, as a 12 sole proprietor -- you are talking about a city wage tax 13 paid or a net profits tax paid that is less than your total earned income tax liability outside of the city 1415 because most of your business is outside the city, you 16 just do a little bit in the city. So in a case like that, let's say your 17 18 total tax liability outside the city is a thousand dollars 19 but you pay \$350 to the City, you would now still over 20 \$650 outside, but you would be paying the 350 to the City. 21 Does that answer your question? 22 It does. REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank 23 you. 24 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you. Representative Daley. 25

Page: 30 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Thank you.
2	So I just have a question about the
3	reciprocity. So you just explained that there are nine
4	legal jurisdictions, although I did I thought that I
5	heard you say that Pennsylvania, the state of
6	Pennsylvania, was also
7	MR. NEWHARD: Yeah. I realized that as I
8	said it, I didn't actually put it into my original report.
9	So that's what happens when you it's when my wife
10	doesn't let me ad lib it, so
11	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: So it is
12	MR. NEWHARD: It is actually.
13	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: So there's actually
14	ten
15	MR. NEWHARD: Yes.
16	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: legal?
17	MR. NEWHARD: Yes.
18	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Okay. Just want to
19	be sure.
20	So my understanding of this bill is that
21	we're looking at Philadelphia, so the Sterling Act legal
22	jurisdiction, and then the Act 511 jurisdictions.
23	So if we're going to go if we're going
24	to make this applicable to Philadelphia, why wouldn't it
25	also then be applicable to the other legal jurisdictions?

Page: 31 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MR. CAPLAN: I have no idea.
2	MR. NEWHARD: My only assumption is I
3	would imagine we have no legislative authority over
4	non-Pennsylvania jurisdictions. That would be my own
5	MR. CAPLAN: I would open that up to the
6	two representatives to ask to them.
7	MR. NEWHARD: Obviously, the current
8	reciprocity agreement between the six states, there is a
9	provision and we've all read it in newspapers lately
10	that any state can unilaterally back out of it.
11	But I don't you know, that's just an
12	agreement. That's not a legislative process.
13	MR. CAPLAN: So I guess the question is,
14	why Philadelphia and not New York and Delaware?
15	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: That is actually
16	the question.
17	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Well, I would
18	welcome the opportunity if we had authority over any of
19	those governors.
20	Can you imagine the loss of revenues to
21	the Pocono region as everybody gets on the highway and
22	heads towards New York City.
23	You know, I'd love to have an agreement
24	with New York City. I have a lot of constituents who
25	travel to New York City every day.

Page: 32 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Love to have reciprocity with Delaware.
2	And you've asked an excellent question,
3	Representative. If you look at the list of cities and
4	jurisdictions that have reciprocity, there are not as many
5	as I would have thought.
6	And some are really peculiar. An example,
7	California has reciprocity with Guam. I don't know why.
8	Don't know, you know, how that works. But they do.
9	In the area of D.C., D.C., Maryland, and
10	Virginia have reciprocity. That makes abundant sense
11	because of the interchange of the economies and movement.
12	I think it makes sense to have more
13	reciprocity because of the way work forces move today.
14	But unless you can encourage the governor of Delaware and
15	the governor of maybe New Jersey and certainly New York
16	who I'm sure don't want to give up the revenue
17	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: I think you said
18	that New Jersey was potentially moving towards being
19	non-reciprocal.
20	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Certainly the
21	governor
22	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: That's what I've
23	read.
24	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: has made that
25	announcement, but he's indicated that if certain

Page: 33 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 legislative agendas get accomplished in the next few 2 weeks, days, that he may back off of that. 3 So hopefully he will because that is something -- I'm sure our phones have all lit up about the 4 5 decision to do away with New Jersey reciprocity. Let's face it, it costs our residents 6 7 another 3 percent. REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: My concern I quess 8 9 is, you know, looking at Pennsylvania -- well, two legal 10 jurisdictions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania: the 11 Sterling and the Act 511. 12 And I recognize how important it is 13 because I'm struggling with this because I represent 14boroughs and townships that do not have an EIT and school 15 districts and boroughs and townships that -- and school 16 districts that do have an EIT. 17 So -- and, quite honestly, I -- for most 18 of my life before coming to the legislature, I paid the 19 non-resident wage tax in Philadelphia because that's where 20 I worked. 21 And, quite honestly -- and where I live 22 does not have an EIT. So I'm looking at a -- you know, 23 I'm trying to look at it in that perspective. 24 But I'm also looking at it as a regional 25 issue in that, you know, New York and Yonkers and

Page: 34 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Delaware, all these other legal jurisdictions are not
2	within the Commonwealth. Philadelphia and the Act 511
3	municipalities and school districts are within the
4	Commonwealth.
5	We have a letter from the City saying that
6	potential impact on their budget would be \$180 million.
7	As a representative who represents
8	first-string suburbs, that worries me. That worries me.
9	And because I think that, you know, as
10	much as we have to look at our districts and how it
11	impacts our districts, I think it's also really
12	important our districts are within a region. We're in
13	Southeastern Pennsylvania. And, quite honestly,
14	Philadelphia is a major driver of the economy in
15	Southeastern Pennsylvania.
16	So I'm just that's you know, that
17	worries me a lot about this legislation. And I know that
18	we're only at the beginning of talking about it, but I
19	think that that's something we would definitely have to
20	consider.
21	And I had one other fleeting thought that
22	has flown, I think.
23	But if I think of it, I'll raise my hand
24	again.
25	Thank you.

Page: 35 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
2	Representative Stephens.
3	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Thank you.
4	And just to follow up a little bit on
5	Representative Daley's points, you know, as Representative
6	Petri said, if we were able to achieve reciprocity with
7	all these other entities and put everybody on a level
8	playing field, I think that that would be the most
9	desirable resolution.
10	Unfortunately, we're limited in what we
11	can do. And I know I brought my bill forward because I
12	was trying to get as much parity as possible, I mean, and
13	level the playing field. To the extent that we have
14	municipalities in Pennsylvania and one of them has a
15	different set of rules, that's what struck me, and really
16	what prompted me to introduce my legislation was I just
17	wanted to level the playing field.
18	And I wanted to pivot off of that and get
19	back to a comment made about the paperwork and the issues
20	there.
21	Could you walk me through the
22	differences and I mean, right now we have a situation
23	where you know, so I represent Montgomery County, and,
24	you know, two of my municipalities have the 1 percent and
25	the half percent school district and everything else like

Page: 36 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 that. 2 And so, you know, right now you have folks 3 who might live in Horsham Township and work in Montgomery 4 Township, which is the North Penn School District and the 5 Hatboro-Horsham School District, and, obviously, the Montgomery Township and Horsham Township. 6 7 So how is what would need to happen as it 8 relates to folks having to file that return in 9 Philadelphia any different than what is currently 10 happening for folks who work in a municipality other than 11 the one in which they live and both municipalities have 12 that earned income tax in place? 13 MR. CAPLAN: Okay. So the first issue 14that's important to understand is it depends upon how your 15 bill is structured. 16 If your bill is structured for 17 Philadelphia to just write a check that is equivalent to 18 the amount lost, there is no tax return in Philadelphia 19 necessary. 20 However, if the method of doing it is to 21 instead of right now filing a local tax return and taking a credit for Philadelphia, instead you file a Philadelphia 22 tax return and take a credit for local, if that's the 23 24 methodology, then you have a change in paperwork because 25 you now have a return in Philadelphia that is not

Page: 37 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 currently being done. 2 Outside of the city, everybody files local 3 tax returns no matter what the situation. You could work 4 and live in the same township and you file one. You could 5 work and live in two different townships and file one. You could live in one and work in Philadelphia and you 6 7 file one. Any way you look at it, if you are subject 8 to local earned income tax, you're filing a tax return. 9 10 However, the opposite does not apply as far as 11 Philadelphia is concerned. 12 And one other thing I think is important 13 to understand -- and Jim mentioned it briefly -- is I know 14you had mentioned about the school districts losing money, 15 but there is a provision in the allocation of school 16 district funds from the State that the ones that are 17 losing, based upon the super credit, get more money. 18 So as it stands right now, they are 19 somewhat compensated for that. 20 REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Well, my 21 understanding is in Montgomery County that average 22 compensation is about 79 percent of what they lose of the Sterling Act funding. 23 24 That's possible. MR. CAPLAN: 25 REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: And, in essence,

Page: 38 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	that money was supposed to be directed towards property
2	tax relief, if I'm not mistaken. I think that's where the
3	funding structure comes from, if I'm not mistaken there.
4	MR. CAPLAN: Well, in the sense that
5	school tax as we know it, which is a property tax, is a
6	very large part of the property tax that's paid that is
7	earmarked for the school district.
8	If the school district gets more money for
9	this, then the property taxes don't have to be as high.
10	So, yes, you're correct.
11	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Right.
12	And but so I guess mechanically
13	what's happening is our residents who work in Philadelphia
14	are sending their earned income tax dollars to
15	Philadelphia.
16	And then what's happening is the State is
17	backfilling that revenue into 80 percent or 79
18	percent in Montgomery County's case back into the local
19	school districts.
20	And so it just seems we have this big
21	we have this big shift of money going around to
22	accommodate, again, one municipality that has a different
23	set of rules.
24	And that's, to me, what was troubling and
25	what I was trying to address.

Page: 39 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MR. CAPLAN: You're absolutely right.
2	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Thanks.
3	MR. CAPLAN: That
4	MR. NEWHARD: That it's different.
5	MR. CAPLAN: It is different, yes. It is
6	a it feels like a shell game. The money kind of gets,
7	you know, circled around, and Philadelphia does do it
8	differently.
9	However, that doesn't mean that they're
10	not allowed to or that they shouldn't be. It's just the
11	fact is, yes, you're correct.
12	So, I mean, obviously, that's up to you
13	guys to figure out whether it's right or not. But but,
14	yeah, what you say is correct, absolutely.
15	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: So just to close
16	the loop, there is a way to achieve this without requiring
17	all of those additional filings, and, alternatively, even
18	if we did do something that required those additional
19	filings, that would be no more of a burden than every
20	local taxpayer faces in the suburban counties today.
21	MR. CAPLAN: Well, actually it would be
22	because if they're filing an EIT return and now on top of
23	that they have to file a Philadelphia return, it would be
24	an added burden.
25	Of course, we wouldn't complain because

Page: 40 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
    we'd make more money, so --
 2
                     REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS:
                                               Sure.
 3
                     MR. CAPLAN: Yeah. They both would get
 4
    our fees.
                Yeah.
 5
                     MR. NEWHARD: I would point out the
 6
    problem with the one idea of bypassing any local -- any
 7
    tax return from Philadelphia, it creates a loss of
    oversight, auditability. Okay.
 8
9
                     And that's a really -- that's a
10
    significant part. Even about Act 32, one of the things is
11
    getting all these consolidated tax collection and then
12
    somebody making sure, you know, the 2,900-plus
13
    jurisdictions that are EIT jurisdictions in Pennsylvania
    are all actually getting what's due to them.
14
15
                     If -- so that I would -- I would suspect
16
    that we may need a tax return for Philadelphia just so
17
    it's auditable for transparency, verification, and
18
    oversight.
                                  Good point.
19
                     MR. CAPLAN:
20
                     REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS:
                                               Is there -- I
21
    promise this will be the last question, Mr. Chairman.
                                                             Ι
22
    apologize.
23
                     Is there a reason why your local tax
24
    return couldn't be -- I mean, is there a reason why a copy
25
    of that couldn't just be --
```

Page: 41 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MR. CAPLAN: Thank you.
2	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: transmitted
3	to Philadelphia for
4	MR. CAPLAN: Yes.
5	MR. NEWHARD: 66 mile-an-hour fastball.
6	MR. CAPLAN: That it couldn't be given to
7	Philadelphia?
8	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Yeah.
9	MR. CAPLAN: Or that it couldn't be part
10	of the Pennsylvania return? See, that's what I thought
11	you were going to ask.
12	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Whichever one
13	you think is great. I like
14	MR. CAPLAN: Well, that's
15	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: I liked your
16	MR. CAPLAN: was waiting for, so I
17	don't know.
18	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Reaction, so
19	I'll take it.
20	No, but, I mean, in all honesty, if you
21	know
22	MR. NEWHARD: Combined return.
23	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Isn't there
24	already a return that you are
25	MR. CAPLAN: Combined return.

Page: 42 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Submitting that
2	could be just provided to Philadelphia and the information
3	could be used from there?
4	MR. CAPLAN: It could be. It's a little
5	tricky for a lot of reasons.
6	First of all, you're looking at a turf war
7	because you've got a Philadelphia Department of Revenue
8	that is not going to want to combine themselves with the
9	EIT jurisdictions.
10	That's I mean, that's just a turf war
11	issue.
12	MR. NEWHARD: Collections.
13	MR. CAPLAN: I think yeah, collections,
14	as far as collections are concerned, you're looking at two
15	different types of collections. You've got the
16	Berkheimers and the Keystones of the state versus a
17	Philadelphia, you know.
18	You're looking at it's difficult to
19	combine the two. I'm not saying it can't be done or that
20	it shouldn't be done. I'm saying from a logistical
21	perspective, I think you're looking at a difficult
22	situation.
23	MR. NEWHARD: And Governor Rendell signed
24	Act 32 in 2008. In 2010, we came up with statewide
25	unification of forms.

Page: 43 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	It's 2016 and every jurisdiction is not
2	using those forms exactly as they
3	MR. CAPLAN: Yeah.
4	MR. NEWHARD: Well, that's our hope.
5	So you're always going to have holdouts
6	and potential problems and
7	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Actually and I'll
8	address that this Committee spent a year and a half
9	working on Act 32 to make it more uniform and to force and
10	put authority behind the State to make our collection
11	agencies more uniform.
12	And if they're not going to, they'll be
13	held accountable. Those bills are currently we
14	actually divided it between a Senate and a House bill, and
15	they're currently waiting.
16	The House bill just was moved last week
17	out of if I'm not losing track yeah, last week out
18	of the Senate Finance Committee and it's going to the
19	Senate floor and it will be moving the Senate bill over.
20	And so hopefully before the end of
21	session, the governor will be signing that into law.
22	MR. CAPLAN: Yours and my hope as well.
23	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Yes.
24	Representative Petri.
25	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Thank you for your

Page: 44 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 testimony. 2 So a question: How many cities across the 3 country have something similar to the Sterling Act? As I 4 understand it, it's very few or Philadelphia may even be 5 the only. 6 MR. CAPLAN: The Sterling Act applies to 7 first class cities and, obviously, there's only one in Pennsylvania. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Right. 10 MR. CAPLAN: I think that it's easier to look at the fact that I believe there are 12 states in the 11 12 country that have any kind of local tax. 13 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: That's what I 14 thought. It was similar --15 MR. CAPLAN: Yeah. 16 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: -- in the dozen 17 range. 18 MR. CAPLAN: Most of them are ones and 19 twos. Like Delaware is Wilmington. New York is New York 20 City and Yonkers. 21 There are four that are really major, 22 those being Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, and I believe 23 Kentucky. 24 Other than that, the rest of them are very 25 minor.

Page: 45 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 And if you look at Pennsylvania, we have 2 twice the number of jurisdictions that have a local earned 3 income tax than the entire rest of the country put 4 together. 5 MR. NEWHARD: Maryland has a local tax, but they have consolidated that onto their state return, 6 7 every county. 8 MR. CAPLAN: And it's a county tax. 9 MR. NEWHARD: It's a county. 10 REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: So one of the 11 debates that always occurs in Appropriations when revenue 12 comes in, and members ask it frequently, is -- and you 13 addressed part of the issue -- why do we have to file all 14 these different returns? 15 Why can't there be some sort of 16 consolidated return and the information's reported and the 17 funds are shared? 18 But I wanted to get your reaction on one 19 Let's assume for a moment that the legislature 20 decides that the Philadelphia School District should have 21 its own taxing authority, just like all the other school 22 districts, that the funds are segregated from city 23 council, wouldn't we need a formal process similar to what 24 we're talking about, and isn't it much more transparent 25 and audible -- auditable -- still not said right --

Page: 46 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	subject to audit than our current situation?
2	You know, it seems to me that this
3	we're going to
4	MR. CAPLAN: Good question.
5	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: we're going to
6	use the funding formula and kick some back some money
7	to the schools isn't very transparent.
8	And, certainly, when we're making
9	decisions isn't obvious to us. We don't see those
10	numbers.
11	MR. CAPLAN: I think you make a good
12	point. I think if you look at tax practice throughout the
13	country, there are lots of things that could be done
14	better or could be done differently.
15	I would not argue that that would
16	certainly make it better. Yes, it would be more
17	paperwork, but it would be auditable.
18	And, you know, I guess right now there is
19	no separate authority for the school district, so it's all
20	under city council, and they do their black magic and
21	figure it out.
22	But, yeah, I certainly think it would be
23	preferable to do that. Yeah.
24	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Just a last
25	comment. I know that we're starting to extend time, but

Page: 47 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	this was an important panel so we can understand the
2	mechanics.
3	To your comment, Representative Daley, I
4	think Philadelphia and how we make this up to them is an
5	important discussion item, and a tough one, in a budget
6	process, but thanks.
7	MR. CAPLAN: Representative Daley, do you
8	have another question for the members?
9	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: No, I'll hold it
10	because I think we're close to time.
11	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Okay. Thank you. I
12	appreciate it. Great.
13	Gentlemen, thank you for being with us
14	here today. We really appreciate it.
15	MR. CAPLAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: And I'm sure we'll be
17	reaching out to you again.
18	We've been joined by Chairman Wheatley.
19	Chairman Wheatley is here.
20	Also, we've been joined in the audience by
21	former representative Melissa Murphy Weber. Welcome.
22	MS. MURPHY WEBER: Thank you,
23	Representative.
24	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Our next panel is the
25	Pennsylvania School Board Association. Stuart am I

Page: 48 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	saying it right Knade?
2	MR. KNADE: Knade. That's correct, sir.
3	MR. CAPLAN: Thank you.
4	Mark Miller and Jason Confair.
5	Gentlemen, if you'll introduce yourselves
6	and someone may begin. And while you're doing that, I'm
7	going to run to the
8	MR. MILLER: Mark Miller, President-Elect
9	of the Pennsylvania School Boards Association and a school
10	director in Centennial School District here in Bucks
11	County.
12	MR. KNADE: Chairman O'Neill, Chairman
13	Wheatley, I'm Stuart Knade, the Senior Director of Legal
14	Services for the School Boards Association.
15	MR. CONFAIR: Hi, I'm Jason Confair. I'm
16	a partner with the Lancaster law firm of Kegel Kelin Almy
17	& Lord. I'm here helping PSBA as an expert witness.
18	I do a lot of EIT work throughout the
19	state, including as solicitor of the Chester County Tax
20	Collection Committee, which obviously has a stake in this
21	here.
22	MR. KNADE: And my role here is to give
23	you a brief overview of what we how we see the problem.
24	I think a lot of that work has already
25	been accomplished, so that'll save us a lot of time. I

Page: 49 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

won't need to repeat what the previous panel did insofar as explaining how the super credit works, which is really our main focus today. And in terms of technical questions, that's what Jason's here for. He does a lot of work in this area in representing tax collection committees and school districts in these kinds of matters. Mr. Miller, as a school director in the Centennial School District, has information that I think you'll find very enlightening about the specific financial impact in his school district and others like it in the state. So I can skip over the part where I explain how the super credit works and where the Sterling Act came from and how they interplay because we've already covered that ground. So I want to kind of go to straight to our concerns. House Bill 2257 does three distinct

things. The first thing it does is it fixes the super credit problem by clarifying that it's the same wages, that the credit can only be taken against the same wages, so that the credit for what is the wage tax paid in Philadelphia does not wipe out the resident tax in the place of residence completely unless it's, you know -- where it wouldn't have otherwise without the super credit.

Page: 50 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 I just want to take an aside here. The 2 previous panel commented that there's a -- you know, an 3 extra reimbursement from the State to offset the wage tax 4 lost by the surrounding municipalities and school districts. 5 I'm not sure that actually applies to the 6 7 super credit. And I'm saying I'm not sure, I don't know. But the super credit's a relatively recent 8 9 anomaly, so I'm not sure of the mechanism. And while it 10 may compensate for other wage tax that surrounding 11 municipalities lose because of the Sterling Act, but I'm 12 not sure it accounts for the super credit. I would have 13 to check on that. So I would ask you to leave that as a 1415 question mark. I'm not sure -- I don't think districts 16 are being made entirely whole for what the super credit 17 costs them. So it is important to fix it, even 18 19 without, you know, the concern about shuffling money, the 20 shell -- I think somebody referred to it as a shell game. 21 And there's an awful lot of that in this line of work. 22 So it does that by clarifying that it's 23 the same wages. Great. It does two other things. 24 The first other thing it does is it 25 eliminates the paragraph of Section 317 of the Local Tax

Page: 51 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 Enabling Act which has, since 1947, sort of grandfathered 2 taxes levied under prior laws, specifically the Sterling 3 Act. And that's why the primacy works 4 5 differently when it comes to the Philadelphia wage tax than it does in any other taxing Act 511 jurisdiction, 6 7 which -- where the resident tax takes first dibs on a 8 payment. 9 Again, and anything you pay where you work gets credited against the resident tax -- or I'm sorry --10 11 the other way around. What you pay in the resident tax 12 gets credited against any wage tax due where you work 13 except in Philadelphia. So by deleting that paragraph, it 1415 basically eliminates the Sterling Act dominance or primacy, as I -- I'm used to calling it. 16 17 More technical level, Jason's your man in terms of that. And as far as dollar impact, Mark's your 18 19 man. 20 So let me -- and that's not something 21 that -- for all the reasons I think that have been 22 illustrated so far in the discussion today, that question 23 about the Sterling Act primacy we think is going to be very, very vigorously debated, and contentiously. 24 25 Although, it doesn't directly impact the

Page: 52 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 School District of Philadelphia. One of the members of 2 the School Boards Association, a very important member of 3 the School Boards Association, the School District of 4 Philadelphia does get about \$100 million from the City. 5 So if the City takes a -- I forget what the number was earlier -- about \$160 million hit as a 6 7 result of that, there's -- certainly very possible it 8 could have some indirect impacts. So we have that 9 concern. 10 But, more importantly, the concern is that 11 if the fix of the super credit is tied to that other 12 issue, we're worried that the super credit might not get 13 done. And so our really -- our real bottom line 1415 before you today is if we could separate the two so 16 that -- the debate about the Sterling Act primacy in 17 general can happen separately and the reasons and the 18 reasoning on each side debated separately without 19 necessarily holding up the fix of the super credit, which 20 can be done very simply the way House Bill 2257 does. 21 We have one other worry about 2257, and that's where it removes the words "under this chapter" in 22 23 multiple places throughout. 24 And what that does is probably not 25 something that -- I'm not sure that's fully understood,

Page: 53 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	but that would change that would expand the crediting
2	base, which means the types of taxes and they were
3	alluded to earlier. Some for open space, some for
4	distressed municipalities and so on that right now are
5	not subject to the crediting offset for taxes paid in
6	other jurisdictions.
7	By expanding that crediting base, that has
8	the potential to cause further revenue loss to
9	municipalities and divert further money out of state.
10	And Jason can explain that far better than
11	I can at a technical level.
12	So with that and I and we've laid it
13	out pretty well in our written testimony, including some
14	technical observations about the other two bills, 2256 and
15	2142, where we think there's some more kind of technical
16	wording issues that might defeat what they're trying to
17	do, and we'd be happy to work with staff to go into those
18	further on that.
19	So with that, I will invite your questions
20	to my colleagues here. And we thank you very much for
21	your attention today.
22	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Great. Thank you,
23	gentlemen.
24	I apologize. I had to step out of the
25	room.

Page: 54 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 I do have one question. Do you have any 2 idea of the financial impact on the school districts in 3 the five-county area? 4 MR. MILLER: Yes. I'll handle that one. 5 Speaking for Centennial School District, 6 which is typical of most of the districts surrounding 7 Philadelphia, we're not the largest, we're not the smallest. 8 9 We are comprised of three townships: 10 Warminster Township -- actually, it's two townships and a 11 borough, so we're Warminster Township, Upper Southampton 12 Township, and Ivyland Borough. 13 Ivyland Borough has 65 residents who work 14in Philadelphia; Upper Southampton has 1,407; Warminster 15 Township has 1,547, a total of 3,019 residents working in 16 Philadelphia. 17 And we calculate that the earned income tax loss for the district is \$821,430. And you have to 18 19 remember that that is split with the Township, so it's an 20 equal impact for the three townships collectively. 21 I thought it would be interesting just to 22 look to what that money would do in Centennial School 23 District --24 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: But before you go on to 25 that, do you know what the impact -- does anybody have any

Page: 55 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
    idea what the impact would be for all the
 2
    school districts? I mean, like, there's 13 in Bucks
 3
    County. What, there's -- I forget how many are in
 4
    Montgomery County.
 5
                     MR. KNADE: I took a look at what it would
    take to put that together, given all the different --
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: I can only imagine.
 8
    It's probably --
9
                     MR. KNADE: How many people work in the
10
    city, how many don't.
11
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL:
                                        Yes.
12
                     MR. KNADE: And it would be a rather
13
    gargantuan task. But --
14
                                        Is there a ballpark?
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL:
15
                     MR. KNADE: -- I worry that any number
16
    that you look at also has to be -- it should also take
17
    into account the 24 of the 62 school districts in
18
    Montgomery, Bucks, Delaware, and Chester Counties that
19
    don't even levy a tax because of this. And you've got to
20
    think about what they're losing, with air quotes there,
21
    because they have foregone the levying of the tax.
22
                     And, by the way, if the Sterling Act -- as
23
    we point out in our written testimony, if the Sterling Act
24
    primacy is undone, they wouldn't immediately benefit
25
    because they would have to go through a vote of referendum
```

1 in order to levy for the first time. 2 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Right. 3 MR. CONFAIR: Mr. Chairman, just directly 4 to your question and Chester County, which I had explained 5 when you left the room for a moment, I'm the solicitor for the Tax Collection Committee there. 6 7 And according to our collector, the school district loss is approximately \$3,221,153 a year. 8 The 9 municipal loss is 4,800,357 per year. 10 With the super credit, based on our 11 estimations, a subset of that problem being roughly 12 between 1 and 2 million, as Stuart had alluded to, if that 13 problem is fixed and separated from what I'll call the primacy, or the dominancy problem, that fix would bring 1415 about 1 to 2 million back to Chester County and it 16 wouldn't cost the City a penny. 17 So there's really kind of two issues here we're trying to work on. But at least in Chester County, 18 19 that's the aggregate impact. 20 Back when we litigated the super credit 21 case a few years ago, the data indicated that the losses 22 in Montgomery and Bucks County were actually far greater 23 than those in Chester County. 24 So I think in terms of looking at an 25 overall picture, the data that you're seeing in Chester

Page: 57 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	County is perhaps at a lower end.
2	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: All right. Thank you.
3	I interrupted you. So are you guys
4	finished?
5	MR. MILLER: I was only going to
6	illustrate the impact of the incoming funds, that like
7	most districts, we have a wish list of things that we want
8	to do, but we can't because we don't have the funding for
9	it.
10	In Centennial School District, we
11	consolidated six school districts into six elementary
12	schools into three with the purpose in mind of being able
13	to implement full-day kindergarten.
14	The last of those schools came online in
15	2013 and we still haven't been able to do that yet.
16	So taking that \$821,430 and looking at
17	some of the things on the want-to-do list, we would take
18	300,000 of that and hire three full-time employees in one
19	of the elementary schools.
20	It's not the total cost, but it is one of
21	the items in one of the buildings.
22	400,000 would allow us to purchase 1,800
23	devices, Chromebooks or similar, and that would get us
24	into our future-ready position, which is something we've
25	been wanting to do since 2012. Again, didn't have the

Page: 58 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 money. 2 Two years ago we stalled some of our bus 3 purchases. We routinely replace buses on a ten-year 4 cycle; some buses each year. Over the decade, we replace 5 the whole fleet. 6 So we didn't buy one twenty-passenger 7 wheelchair lift bus because our current -- one of our current vehicles is long past its useful life. 8 It's 9 probably a propane version. 10 And we would take \$24,430 of the balance 11 and we would apply that toward curriculum materials for 12 our ESL program, which, again, is only a partial amount. 13 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Great. Well, as a resident and taxpayer in 1415 Centennial School District, what you're telling me is 16 you'll be adding services and you won't be raising my 17 taxes? 18 MR. MILLER: I wish I could. 19 MR. KNADE: Well, and to that point --20 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Well, you know, you'll 21 get an extra million dollars, I mean, you know. 22 MR. MILLER: With some other help, we 23 could say that. We have PSERS. We have the funding for 24 charter schools. 25 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Right. Thank you.

Page: 59 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MR. KNADE: And, Chairman O'Neill, that's
2	a very good point that I was hoping to underscore before
3	we concluded.
4	And that is, we're grateful to the
5	Committee for looking at ways to take some of the pressure
6	off property taxes, and I know all of our member school
7	districts would love to have some resources available to
8	allow them to do to take some of the pressure off
9	property taxes, and that's kind of what it's all about
10	here today.
11	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
12	Chairman Wheatley, question.
13	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	Thank you.
16	Can you hear me now?
17	So I want to thank you all for being here
18	this morning. And as I was listening to the prior panel,
19	a question popped in my head and I just want to pose it
20	for you all.
21	Do you see the Philadelphia City of
22	Philadelphia as vital to your region?
23	MR. MILLER: Absolutely.
24	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: In what ways?
25	MR. MILLER: They create jobs that are

Page: 60 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 not -- the people who work in Philadelphia live in our 2 districts. They're still a benefit to our own districts. 3 The jobs that -- let's take Comcast for an My neighbor works for Comcast in Philadelphia, 4 example. 5 but he's still a vital contributor to our own district and to our own municipality. He wouldn't be there if Comcast 6 7 weren't drawing jobs to Philadelphia. 8 CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: So would you say 9 Philadelphia just as a job center, or is there other 10 benefits that the City gives as a vital entity for your 11 region? Well, I think that your 12 MR. KNADE: 13 questions are -- illustrate exactly what I was trying to 14allude to before, which is there are -- this is going to 15 be something that is to be vigorously debated, the value 16 of Philadelphia as a center of the economy, which I think 17 Representative Daley pointed out earlier. 18 Probably explains why it was grandfathered 19 back in 1947 in the first place when similar taxing 20 authority to the Sterling Act was extended to other 21 municipalities, and for all the reasons that your 22 questions point to. 23 So that's exactly why we'd like to see the 24 super credit problem get fixed, which doesn't cost the 25 City anything, and done separately, and then let's have

Page: 61 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 that other debate because there's a lot to talk about. 2 CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: And I appreciate that 3 because one of the things as I have become the Democratic 4 Chair of the Finance Committee and I've been very 5 interested in doing is for us to take a real serious look at our taxing policies because I don't think we've 6 7 modernized them since they were created. I think we've just added on. We've kind 8 of maneuvered some things. But our economy has 9 10 drastically changed since we institutionalized a lot of 11 these things. 12 And simultaneously while we are trying to 13 figure out what are some of the changes that need to be happening or modernizations, we need to have a serious 1415 dialogue about what are the things that we are raising money for. 16 17 Because I think if we did that in a combination, a lot of these issues we can resolve in a way 18 19 that benefits everyone. 20 But as we try to do this piecemeal, when 21 we move one piece or change one piece, we automatically 22 are going to impact another piece in a way that is 23 sometimes unintentional and sometimes is intentional. 24 I don't believe that we should move things 25 that will critically hurt the center of our economic

Page: 62 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 engines and cultural lifestyles. 2 Now, I'm not from the Southeast, so I can 3 say that without worrying about any political blowback. 4 But it's the same throughout our state. 5 Because, just like you have Philly and your surrounding 6 suburbs that are around there, we have Allegheny County and the city of Pittsburgh. And the taxing policies don't 7 support -- in my general belief -- do not support our new 8 9 economy in a way that is sustainable. 10 And so I would give the prior panel some 11 of the points that you made, some of the validity that it 12 has. But I don't know if changing right now without doing 13 a broader discussion -- now, the super credit issue is something that I think we can look at and see what are 1415 impacts and what are some changes that could be possibly 16 had. 17 But I think if we're talking about moving 18 money that's going to have financial impacts to either the 19 City or the surrounding region, it needs to be done in an 20 overall modernization of our taxing policy that fits our 21 new economy and what we're trying to achieve as a 22 Commonwealth. 23 So that's just the points I wanted to 24 make --25 MR. MILLER: I think that's very

Page: 63 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 important. You know, as you say, when you talk about what 2 the money's raised for, it should be what it's used for. 3 If you ask the City of Philadelphia what they really want, if Stuart is correct as to a hundred 4 5 million dollars they would lose, there's other money that's being spent in Philadelphia that unjustly enriches 6 7 operators of charter schools. 8 If you asked the School District of 9 Philadelphia, you know, that would be an area where no 10 more money has to be raised, but if it's directed into the classroom where it belongs, that relief would be probably 11 12 \$400,000,000. 13 So it -- you know, every piece affects something else. And I think your idea of having a larger 1415 discussion would be welcomed by the School Boards 16 Association, at the very least. 17 MR. KNADE: And in terms of your 18 observation about the antiquity of our tax system and its 19 complex nature and the value of a more -- I don't want to 20 use the word "holistic" because I think that word gets 21 overused -- but a more comprehensive approach, if that's 22 achievable -- of course, that's always the big question 23 mark -- we couldn't agree with you more. 24 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you. 25 Representative Kinsey.

Page: 64 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Good morning, 2 gentlemen. You have to excuse me, I actually have a 3 little cold, so I sound a little differently. I used to 4 sound like Jake, but I sound different now. 5 I think my question really relates to just trying to understand the complexity. And I know that this 6 7 hearing will not allow the totality of comprehending what's being proposed. 8 9 But I heard the gentleman talk about the 10 school district, your particular school district. But 11 then I thought I heard earlier that the State sort of compensates some of the various school districts through 12 13 state taxes or the money that's given back to the school districts, if I'm not incorrect. 1415 So I quess my question alludes to, you 16 know, the Sterling Act has been enacted I guess since the 17 '30s and then maybe the '40s, but -- so how -- and I'll 18 use you, sir, for an example, your Colonial School 19 District. Over the past, let's just say, five years, have 20 you simply been losing money? I mean --21 And, again, recognizing the Sterling Act 22 has been in place for a while, but I guess the problem 23 that you talked about if there was additional dollars. 24 guess what I'm trying to ask is, has the State been given, 25 for instance, your district, substantial money to sustain

Page: 65 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	or are you just simply seeing a loss because of the wage
2	taxes that are being paid in Philadelphia?
3	MR. MILLER: Well, what the wage we've
4	never had the income from the wage tax.
5	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay. So
6	MR. MILLER: So that's not a factor in our
7	district.
8	I think you said Colonial. We're
9	Centennial
10	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay. I'm sorry.
11	Centennial.
12	MR. MILLER: School District.
13	Not a problem.
14	But there are things that go on every
15	year. Let's go back to 2011. In that year the State
16	pulled \$500,000,000 out of public education. Okay.
17	School districts had to make up that money
18	to continue to be current with the services that we were
19	already providing. That eliminates the ability to bring
20	in new services.
21	And in many districts, it caused the
22	districts to either spend down their fund balance or to
23	curtail services.
24	We were fortunate at that time that we
25	were able to spend down some of our fund balance, keep our

Page: 66 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 services level, but we didn't add services. 2 Another \$500,000,000 was pulled out in 3 2012. So the same process happened. All the time, PSERS 4 costs were beginning to escalate higher. 5 So -- but this would not change our 6 current structure. But if the money were coming in, 7 Centennial School District and all of the others surrounding Philadelphia certainly would find a way to use 8 9 it. 10 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay. And, you 11 know, I appreciate you sharing that. And I actually did 12 not join the legislature until 2013. 13 So some of those things you talked about, maybe I could go back and review. 14Those were prior to me 15 even being elected, but thanks for sharing. 16 MR. KNADE: I think it's also worth 17 keeping in mind that in a lot of this, you know, 18 allocation to replace lost revenue and all that operates a 19 lot -- greatly in theory rather than in practice, because 20 until just recently -- and thanks to the hard work of this 21 Committee and others -- we now have a basic education funding formula. 22 23 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: You're welcome. 24 MR. KNADE: But only -- thank you very 25 much.

Page: 67 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Now, it's only right now applying to new
2	money. But we operated for a lot of years where the
3	bottom line number that Mark's district and others got
4	really wasn't based on a whole lot of formulaic
5	calculations.
6	So it's very hard to answer your question,
7	which is a good one.
8	MR. MILLER: Yeah, let me put one other
9	item into your thought process.
10	If everything remained the same, status
11	quo, in our income, our district would still have an
12	additional \$600,000 expense this year, this coming year,
13	that we don't have the previous year just for PSERS.
14	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Sure. Okay.
15	Thank you.
16	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
18	Representative Truitt.
19	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	So I put my process engineering hat on
22	here and I drew a diagram. And I was thinking about the
23	fact, you know, an employer sends some money to the State,
24	they send some money to a local tax collection agency.
25	That local tax collection agency sends some money to the

Page: 68 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 school districts and some money to municipalities. The 2 State sends some money to school districts. 3 It's a complex chart and it's a web that 4 seems to me it could be simplified. And I think we have 5 an opportunity simultaneously with the legislation that's going on here. 6 7 We -- we're compensating 499 school districts, potentially, for money they lose through the 8 9 Sterling Act. 10 So if we eliminated the Sterling Act and 11 compensated one school district for what it loses by 12 eliminating the Sterling Act, it seems to me that would 13 simplify things greatly. But I was also wondering if we had a --1415 and this came from a conversation I had with 16 Representative Petri. 17 Suppose that the state tax return was 18 modified so that we could collect all state and local 19 taxes on one form since the State's already sending money 20 to school districts and municipalities. 21 And then on that form, you know, the 22 State's computer system would just make an adjustment to 23 the -- your subsidy that you get from the State and your 24 basic education subsidy. Another line item, here's your 25 local tax remittance that came through the Department of

Page: 69 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 Revenue. 2 How much money would that save school 3 districts if you didn't have to collect your own taxes and 4 it just came in? 5 MR. KNADE: I have to -- and PSBA's kind 6 of been -- as much sense as that makes from a process --7 and I'll borrow your hat -- your process engineering hat, 8 Representative Truitt -- as much sense as that makes, 9 there's wariness about that that -- some of which -- I 10 understand to a certain degree there's a wariness that the 11 money will go to the State, but it isn't going to come back the way it's supposed to. 12 13 And that's really -- I don't think anybody 14questions the logic of -- and the savings that that might 15 produce. 16 But I know that among our membership, 17 there's a wariness about that. 18 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Before 19 Representative O'Neill's funding formula was enacted, I 20 would have had the same concern. 21 But now things are a lot more predictable 22 in terms of your other line items. And this would be a separate line item in your -- you know, your payment from 23 24 the State, saying, This the amount of local tax that we've 25 collected on your behalf. Just like a business collects

Page: 70 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 sales taxes from its customers, we remit that exact amount 2 to the State. 3 But -- so I understand your wariness 4 there. But do you have a sense of how much money a school 5 district spends on tax collection efforts? 6 And I know the guys from Keystone 7 Collections and Berkheimer are probably going to be waiting for me in the parking lot, but --8 9 MR. CONFAIR: I mean, typically rates or 10 costs to collection, it depends how a county's collection mechanism is structured. There's really two fundamental 11 12 options. 13 One would be you hire a Keystone or a Berkheimer and pay them a fixed commission, which 1415 typically ranges from 1.3 in the more populous, prosperous 16 counties, to perhaps 1.71 -- 5, 1.8 in more rural, less 17 populous areas. 18 And then you have basically tax bureaus that are government agencies; they're run by the school 19 20 districts and municipalities on the local level, and they 21 operate on a cost-to-collection basis, they don't charge 22 a, per se, commission. 23 But typically if you try to compare apples 24 to apples, they're around 1.3, 1.4, 1.5. So I really 25 think the relevant calculus would be, you know, you'd have

Page: 71 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	to take kind of a rate across the state, 1.5, 1.6,
2	calculate that, and then weigh that against the cost of
3	what it would entail to build up the Department of Revenue
4	to handle that additional work.
5	Now, from the taxpayer's perspective,
6	obviously, it's a bit cleaner because you're just putting
7	it in one return.
8	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Sure.
9	MR. CONFAIR: Where the state and local
10	level, of course, local businesses, that's right.
11	In terms of aggregate savings, though, I
12	mean, I'm that's beyond my skill set to kind of
13	calculate that. But I would assume there'd be some.
14	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: If you're saying
15	it's in the 1.5 percent range, and I think we collect on
16	the order of \$12 billion of school taxes locally, so 1 and
17	a half percent of \$12 billion is a lot of money.
18	MR. CONFAIR: Oh, absolutely.
19	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Okay.
20	MR. CONFAIR: Absolutely.
21	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you.
22	MR. CONFAIR: Absolutely. Absolutely.
23	MR. KNADE: And I think, you know, when
24	you're talking about the earned income tax collection
25	system, it's one thing, and then we have an even more

Page: 72 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	even more fragmented system of real estate tax collection
2	that goes back to before the Civil War, I think.
3	So those costs are
4	MR. CONFAIR: Astronomical.
5	MR. KNADE: vary wildly from place to
6	place and
7	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: One of the things this
8	committee did in Act 32, that's before the legislature
9	right now, is that we made all the forms in the state
10	uniform, with a grace period, I think, until 2020.
11	And the idea behind it is that all the
12	collection agencies are using the same form for every
13	municipality, every school district.
14	And if you live in Butler County and you
15	move to Bucks County, that's not going to change for you.
16	So it'll be familiar to you.
17	And you wouldn't believe the pushback
18	we're getting from the collection agencies. It's huge.
19	MR. CONFAIR: I can speak to that
20	MR. MILLER: Oh, I would.
21	MR. CONFAIR: because I have a huge
22	pushback.
23	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: That's for another
24	debate.
25	MR. KNADE: Yeah. Well.

Page: 73 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Okay. But anyways
2	MR. KNADE: Remember, Mr. Confair is
3	represents the Tax Collection Committee, he's not
4	necessarily PSBA, but his response to this could certainly
5	shed some light on your reservation.
6	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Okay. Thank you.
7	Next is Representative Lawrence.
8	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman. It's a little boomy there.
10	My question is, is that you said several
11	times that if the super credit issue is fixed, there will
12	be no impact to the City of Philadelphia.
13	MR. CONFAIR: Correct.
14	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: From a revenue
15	perspective.
16	MR. CONFAIR: Correct.
17	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So just to
18	for the benefit of the Committee, there will be additional
19	monies going to the municipalities
20	MR. CONFAIR: Correct.
21	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: But there would
22	be no impact to the money going to the City of
23	Philadelphia.
24	MR. CONFAIR: Correct.
25	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: My assumption

Page: 74 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 is, is that -- you know, where does that money come from? 2 Just I think it's, perhaps, worth stating 3 for the record that what that represents is a significant 4 increase in taxes for the taxpayer. 5 Am I --6 MR. CONFAIR: Some taxpayers. 7 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: -- incorrect? MR. CONFAIR: Well, I think -- here's kind 8 9 of the analogy I would use to make the super credit make 10 sense. 11 Say, I earn income in Canada and I earn 12 income in the United States and I pay Canada taxes. I 13 don't pay Canada for what I earn in the United States. 14And then I come back home and on my United 15 States income, I tell the Internal Revenue Service, Could 16 I please use the money that I paid in tax in Canada on my 17 Canada income to wipe out my liability to the United 18 States on my U.S. source income that's not been taxed? 19 And I think the IRS would probably laugh 20 and hang up the phone. 21 And that's the identical analogy for what 22 the super credit is on the local level. It's basically 23 taking a tax payment on another income stream and wiping 24 out the tax liability on a residential income stream 25 that's not subject to any other tax.

Page: 75 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 And so that's why Stuart referred to it as 2 an anomaly. Really in no other system of tax that I'm 3 aware of at a national, state, local, anywhere is there 4 anything such as the so-called super credit. 5 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So I quess my thought would be -- I mean, I see the value in your 6 7 analogy. I do think there's a little bit of apples with 8 some oranges there. 9 I think very few people live in 10 Pennsylvania and work in Canada. And those that do -- my 11 father-in-law was one of them -- certainly recognize 12 there's going to be interesting tax repercussions. 13 Whereas, there's plenty of people who work in Philadelphia and live in one of the suburban areas. 1415 I guess what my thought would be is this: 16 That if I were a taxpayer in that situation, right, I 17 would feel -- I probably think the average person who's in 18 that situation would feel something along the lines of 19 this stupid wage tax I've got to pay the City of 20 Philadelphia, but I've got to pay it. It's part of the 21 deal of working in the city. 22 MR. CONFAIR: Sure. Right. 23 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: And so that's a 24 crime. 25 And you know what? It's really a shame

Page: 76 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
    that Bensalem Township doesn't get what they should be
 2
    getting, or Centennial School District or whatever it
 3
    would be.
               That's a shame. I think, you know, as a
 4
    regular taxpayer, I think that's terrible.
 5
                     But now wait a minute here. You're going
 6
    to tell me that through this fix that's being proposed I'm
 7
    still going to pay this crummy wage tax, which I hate, and
 8
    now I'm going to pay on top of that the local tax.
9
                     That's the fix that's being proposed
10
    today; am I correct?
11
                     MR. CONFAIR:
                                   Kind of. I mean, I think in
12
    some respects you're conflating the two issues with that
13
    analysis. The two issues being the super credit versus
14
    the primacy issue.
15
                     REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE:
                                               Right.
                                                       Right.
16
                     MR. CONFAIR: With the primacy issue --
17
                     REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE:
                                               Right.
                                                       But
18
    let's say --
                     MR. CONFAIR: -- with the super credit --
19
20
                     REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I earn $50,000
21
    in Bensalem and 50,000 in Philadelphia.
22
                     MR. CONFAIR: Correct.
23
                     REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Right now my
24
    3.5 -- is 3.5 the Sterling Act?
                     MR. CONFAIR: 3.49 something.
25
```

Page: 77 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Okay. 3.5 wipes 2 out my 1 percent, let's say it is in Bensalem, liability. 3 MR. CONFAIR: Philly -- because the home 4 jurisdiction can tax both the Philly income source and the 5 local income source. REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: 6 Right. 7 MR. CONFAIR: So the 3.5 not only wipes out the Philly income liability, which makes sense, okay, 8 9 because that's a double tax situation, you then above and 10 beyond that get to use that Philly payment to wipe out 11 your local liability on a local income tax stream that's 12 otherwise not subject to that tax. So in that sense it 13 creates a tax exemption. From the taxpayer's perspective, certainly 1415 I get the fact that they could say, Hey, look, in my 16 personal situation, as an aggregate bottom line I'm going 17 to be paying more tax. 18 But the fundamental fact is right now 19 those taxpayers are earning income streams in their 20 residences of jurisdiction that are not taxed in Philly 21 because the Philly -- where a non-resident tax is 22 territorial within the city, and they're not taxed at 23 home. 24 So while all their neighbors are earning 25 money right around them in their home and they're paying

Page: 78 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 taxes, these folks aren't paying any tax on their 2 residential income stream. 3 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So --4 MR. KNADE: And as we point out in our 5 written testimony, the neighbors are now having to 6 subsidize the other fellow's wage tax that he pays in 7 Philadelphia. And that's a fairness issue. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I mean, I can 10 only speak for myself. But I think the likelihood of the 11 legislature addressing that small issue which would, in 12 essence, result in a net tax increase for a lot of people, 13 without addressing the other issues at bay here, I can only speak for myself, but I think that's extremely 1415 unlikely just because the net effect will end up being 16 that a number of individuals will see what amounts to a 17 significant tax increase. So, I mean, certainly I have -- I have a 18 19 number of folks who fall into this category. I have folks 20 in my district, a lot of folks work in Wilmington. Right. 21 And certainly a lot that work in Philadelphia. 22 So I appreciate very much your answers to 23 those questions. 24 I appreciate your patience, Mr. Chairman. 25 Thank you.

Page: 79 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	MR. CONFAIR: And just to rebut one point.
2	I mean, I don't necessarily think it's a small issue. I
3	mean, in terms of the analysis we did when we litigated
4	this issue, it's millions in revenues per county just for
5	the super credit.
6	So if Chester is losing 8 million, like I
7	said earlier, roughly, you cut that down, say, 2 million
8	with state reimbursement to schools, it's a \$6 million
9	problem. If you wipe out the super credit, that takes the
10	6 to 4.
11	So it is a significant issue. And it's a
12	significant issue in a number of counties. And the way we
13	view it is a way to kind of reach a compromise to fix part
14	of the problem and then leave a larger debate for a much
15	longer time period.
16	MR. KNADE: And nobody's being taxed twice
17	in the same income.
18	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
20	We have a couple more questions, and I ask
21	that everybody make them direct because we're a half an
22	hour behind schedule.
23	Representative Daley.
24	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: You'll be relieved
25	to know that Representative Lawrence just asked the

Page: 80 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	question I was going to ask.
2	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Did you get your
3	answer?
4	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Yes. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
6	Representative Knowles.
7	REPRESENTATIVE KNOWLES: Thank you Mr
8	thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	I will not have a question, but I will
10	have a very brief comment.
11	Chairman Wheatley had mentioned the idea
12	about our tax system in Pennsylvania being antiquated.
13	That I could not agree with him more in
14	terms of particularly where I come from, when you talk
15	about property taxes, it's the most important issue that
16	we have in Berks, Schuylkill, and Carbon Counties.
17	Each of us has that one friend who we
18	believe that God put on earth to drive us crazy. Okay.
19	That friend of mine's name is John.
20	And when I was county commissioner, he
21	comes into the courthouse one day, and I think he made
22	his you know, he drove those 17 miles just to come and
23	bust on me.
24	And he began to complain about taxes.
25	What the hell's wrong with you guys? When are you going

Page: 81 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	to do something? Taxes, taxes.
2	And I get frustrated. And I said, John,
3	the government needs to provide services, and there's no
4	such thing as a perfect tax.
5	Oh, he said, there is.
6	I said, Well, tell me what it is.
7	He said, One that I don't have to pay.
8	I mean, doesn't that say it all? And
9	that's why, in terms of the good representative from out
10	west in terms of needing to change the system, I agree
11	with him wholeheartedly. But because of that reason, I
12	don't see that ever happening.
13	I just wanted to share that story with
14	you. I know it has no direct connection with your issue,
15	but people don't want to pay taxes.
16	So thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
18	Last is Chairman Wheatley.
19	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	I just wanted to clarify something so I'm
22	clear on what we're talking about.
23	The super credit, if we're talking about
24	creating a fair system for all taxpayers and one that
25	could potentially put money back into the surrounding

Page: 82 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	counties without necessarily taking money away from
2	Philly, would and using an example from someone's
3	testimony, written, if I'm an individual and I'm making
4	\$300,000 a year and a hundred thousand of that is coming
5	from my taxable income from Philly wages and the other
6	200,000 is from wherever I live, then what happens under
7	the super credit is, once Philly assesses me, I can't I
8	can then, as a taxpayer, claim that wipes me away from my
9	200 that I should rightfully be paying to my home
10	municipality or township, correct?
11	MR. KNADE: That is exactly right.
12	MR. CONFAIR: Exactly right.
13	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Okay. So it's not
14	like this it's not like I'm getting taxed twice
15	necessarily.
16	MR. CONFAIR: You're not.
17	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: It's really under
18	this super credit situation, I have found a loophole
19	MR. CONFAIR: An exemption.
20	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: that gives me a
21	benefit versus my neighbors
22	MR. CONFAIR: Yes. Correct.
23	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: right?
24	MR. CONFAIR: Precisely.
25	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: So what you all are

Page: 83 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 kind of asking for that wouldn't necessarily hit anyone 2 other than create a fair system for the neighbors who live 3 in the surrounding counties of Philadelphia who happen to 4 have people work in Philly but aren't paying their fair 5 share back home is for us to try to fix that right away and then look at a longer-term solution around these other 6 7 issues that are regional and probably going to be 8 longer-term fixes. 9 That's right. MR. CONFAIR: 10 And just to kind of dovetail the super 11 credit issue legally, legally we refer to it as creating 12 an unconstitutional tax exemption, and when we litigated 13 this about four years ago -- back in a prior life for me. 14I'm getting older now -- we made that argument and the 15 courts wouldn't address it, wouldn't address it. 16 And one judge wrote to it, and he wrote an 17 And he said, You're right. It's an 18 unconstitutional tax exemption. And that judge is Chief 19 Justice Saylor. 20 So, you know, the argument has not only a 21 fairness component, but a legality component and a revenue 22 component. 23 CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you, gentlemen. 25 We appreciate your time and effort today.

Page: 84 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	And I apologize to the people in the
2	audience and our next panel for our tardiness.
3	The next panel is the Township and Borough
4	panel, and that's the Honorable Mayor DiGirolamo; Dan
5	Rattigan, the President of the Bucks County Association of
6	Township Officials; Ernie Holling, the President of the
7	Chester County Association of Township Officials; the
8	Honorable Melissa Weber, the 1st Vice President of
9	Montgomery County Association of Township Officials; and
10	Elam Herr, who is the Assistant Executive Director
11	Pennsylvania State Association of Township Elam, I said
12	it wrong. I apologize Officials.
13	So if you'll all go down the aisle, and
14	make sure you speak into the mic because of it being
15	broadcast. And you may begin, but please introduce
16	yourselves.
17	MR. RATTIGAN: Ladies first.
18	MS. MURPHY WEBER: All right, then. Good
19	morning still, members of the House Finance Committee.
20	My name is Melissa Murphy Weber, and I
21	currently serve as a board of on the Board of
22	Supervisors for Whitpain Township, a Class II Township.
23	I am the 1st Vice President for the
24	Montgomery County Association of Township Officials.
25	So thank you very much for inviting me

Page: 85 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 here today to speak on an issue that I actually have to 2 commend Bucks County for taking the lead on and 3 encouraging its neighboring counties to get together and 4 work as a cohesive voice. 5 So thank you again. Thank you to Bucks. MR. RATTIGAN: My name is Dan Rattigan, 6 7 and I am President of BCATO, which is the Bucks County version of the associations across the state. I am also 8 9 the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Upper 10 Makefield Township. 11 Just wanted to tell you that we really, 12 really appreciate you taking the time to hear our side on 13 this. This is what makes Pennsylvania great, and 1415 we're hoping that we're successful in getting our point 16 made. 17 MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: Thank you, 18 Mr. Chairman, both Chairmans here today, and the panel. 19 appreciate everyone being here today. Very, very, very 20 important to Bensalem Township. 21 And I hope you get to read my written 22 testimony which will take you through that a little better 23 probably than the time that I have here today to give 24 testimony out loud. 25 We are a community of 60,000.

Page: 86 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 Mr. Wheatley, I'd like to touch on something you said that 2 was really informative to me about changing the way we 3 tax, we understand that. 4 Just to give you some representation, I 5 was thinking of what you had said. When my mom and dad came here to Bensalem from Italy, right, and there wasn't 6 7 a Sterling Act yet, they came in the '20s. Right. 8 So in Bensalem, there were 2,500 people. 9 It was a farm town. We didn't have people working Okay. 10 in Philadelphia. These weren't any issues back in those 11 days. 12 And when you look and you -- Bucks County 13 of how many people live there, our surrounding counties, today it's enormous numbers, more than live in the city of 1415 Philadelphia if you add up the southeastern counties that 16 border Philadelphia. 17 So things have dramatically changed, they 18 have, and I understand that. And in our case, this 1 19 percent, if we put in, after many years -- I've been mayor 20 here for 22, it'll be 23 years at the end of this year, we 21 never raised taxes. 22 We came to the bottom line that we 23 couldn't make it anymore for our police, for our fire, for 24 our EMS, all the people that give and serve. We were out 25 of money. We couldn't do it anymore and serve our

Page: 87
HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 community. 2 So we, myself and council, put in an 3 earned income tax. When we did that, the reasons I didn't 4 do it before is because of Philadelphia. 5 5,300 of our residents work in 6 Philadelphia. 5,300 of them. That comes down to 2.7 7 million, that 1 percent. Just my community. I'm only 8 speaking for Bensalem now. I'm not speaking for all the 9 surrounding communities here in Bucks and the other 10 counties. It's an enormous hit to us. 11 We understand Philadelphia -- look, we 12 work very, very well with Philadelphia, our police 13 department; we're good brothers. We work really good 14 together. 15 This is not something out here to bash 16 Philadelphia. I want you to understand that. 17 This is something that we have problems 18 with. And if we -- whatever bill they can work out, the 19 two bills -- there's actually three bills in there --20 whatever can be worked out in something like that to make 21 it equal, make it equal. 22 I don't even want to tell you what 23 happened -- you all know what happened in the Supreme 24 Court last week with the casinos. They wiped out our host 25 fees.

Page: 88 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	I mean, we're at point as a we're a big
2	community. We're the 9th largest in the state of
3	Pennsylvania. The largest police force in all of Bucks,
4	one of the largest.
5	We have major problems. So I'm speaking
6	for myself I'm not being selfish but this is what
7	brought us to this latitude of what do we do next? How do
8	we try to remedy this problem?
9	So I just wanted to make everybody
10	understand when you take the surrounding communities, so
11	that or the counties, it's an enormous number. Bucks
12	County is almost 700,000 alone, just Bucks County.
13	So I just and hopefully, again, if
14	you'll read my written testimony because I know we won't
15	get a long time to give a verbal here.
16	But I thank you again for all being here
17	today, and I hope to have another opportunity to address
18	you.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
21	MR. HOLLING: Hi, Mr. Chairman and members
22	of the Committee, additional representatives.
23	My name is Ernie Holling. I am the
24	President of the Chester County Association of Township
25	Officials, and I'm an elected Supervisor in West Pikeland

1 Township. 2 I did want to make one comment about 3 something earlier. The relationship between Guam and 4 California may well have to do with defense contractors 5 and the Navy with residents living in both places, working 6 in one and living in the other. So you might find the 7 reciprocity linked to that. 8 I grew up in Southern California, so it's 9 a slightly familiar thing for me. 10 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Interesting. 11 Elam, if you just want to introduce 12 yourself. I apologize. 13 MR. HERR: Real quick. Elam Herr, Assistant Executive Director of the State Association of 1415 Township Supervisors. 16 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: I quess we can begin 17 with Mr. Rattigan's --18 MR. RATTIGAN: Hi. 19 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: -- testimony. 20 MR. RATTIGAN: Well, I submitted my 21 statement to you guys. There -- there's a lot of 22 repetition in what our statement said versus what's been 23 said so far this morning. 24 A couple statistics I want you to think 25 about. In Bucks County, Bucks County loses about \$6.4

Page: 90 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 million to the City of Philadelphia. 2 To give you an idea of the size separate 3 from Bensalem, Northampton Township alone loses \$1.3 4 million. 5 My community, which is a very small community in Bucks County, loses \$235,000 a year. 6 7 that is one mil for our taxpayers. The question isn't a matter of the value 8 9 of the city of Philadelphia. The city of Philadelphia, 10 growing up here in Pennsylvania, it is a wonderful city. 11 I'm going to use a Pennsylvania term. 12 is a keystone city to our state, just like the city of 13 Pittsburgh. It's the municipality's feeling that 1415 there's no question that keystone cities need support if 16 they have financial difficulties, which it's very clear to 17 us over the years, the City of Philadelphia has its own 18 issues they have to deal with. 19 It is the municipality's feeling that it 20 is the State's obligation to consider that subsidy to that 21 city that needs the help. 22 To charge the four surrounding counties an 23 extra tax to assist and make the claim that because our 24 residents that work in the city of Philadelphia use their 25 roads and that's the tax for those roads, what about the

Page: 91 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 city of Philadelphia residents that work in our 2 communities? They use our roads that we also, by the way, 3 pay for. 4 I think in reality when you look at it, 5 when EIT tax was started, it was started because your elected officials, some of which some of you were 6 7 supervisors at one point, did not want to charge retirees 8 higher taxes because they're on fixed incomes. 9 So the thought was charge the people that 10 are working whose incomes, hopefully, are going up every 11 year and can absorb that tax. 12 The problem is, is that the municipalities 13 today are running into trouble financially. We are looking at unfunded mandates for not only our services, 1415 but also fire, EMS. I don't have to tell you about the 16 pension crisis that we're all paying into, and the need 17 for police as we grow, and the higher and higher salaries 18 that we're having to pay to retain good staff. 19 So what we're asking of you is to consider a fair playing ground, a ground that would be fair for 20 21 So that if you want to take the state tax that we're 22 paying as individuals and you want to subsidize parts of 23 Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, fine by us. 24 But when it comes to our municipality and 25 the tax that we collect specifically for our municipality,

Page: 92 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	we need your help.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: All right. Thank you.
4	Ms. Weber.
5	MS. MURPHY WEBER: Thank you.
6	Unlike Representative Kinsey, my voice
7	probably is typically more like Representative Daley's,
8	but it is a little bit more like Representative Wheatley
9	today, so I do apologize.
10	And for your benefit, that will probably
11	abbreviate my comments because, like every rogue member of
12	local government, I did not submit to you any written
13	comments because I tend to absorb information and then
14	like to just talk freely about it.
15	And I think that this is one of those
16	issues that you're all getting pummeled with information.
17	We're privileged to have Representative
18	Daley here; she serves Whitpain Township. She is serving
19	in the seat that I had the honor of serving, with a few of
20	my freshman members here, on the Finance Committee.
21	And I understand and appreciate the task
22	that all of you have. The challenge, though, is to find
23	that balance because the city of Philadelphia, yes, we do
24	respect what the city provides to all of our residents.
25	We respect what it provides from a

Page: 93 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 historical, from a cultural, and, certainly, from an 2 economic engine as a driver significantly employing 3 members of our towns. 4 The flip side, however, is the balance 5 comes in that we are being restricted in our tax abilities. 6 7 For example, in one of the articles Philadelphia commented about their opposition to any move 8 9 with respect to the Sterling Act because it reduces their 10 taxability as well as reducing their tax revenue. Well, with all due respect to 11 12 Philadelphia, we all, as local governing officials, have a 13 tax authority that we all have to manage in conjunction with the tax burden. 1415 And we want to, as Representative Petri 16 and Representative Stephens' bills are trying to suggest, 17 level that playing field so that we're all budgeting 18 within the money that is generated within our respective 19 tax circles. 20 We're giving, in a way, money to the City 21 of Philadelphia that should be being brought back to the 22 Townships. 23 We have -- the Townships are aware the 24 workers are spending their money for their businesses or, 25 really, for their day-to-day needs, but, more importantly,

Page: 94 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 it's where they're receiving the services that are costing 2 the municipalities so much money. 3 And I think all of us can say, first and 4 foremost, it's our law enforcement. The number one 5 service that -- and the number one budget item for all of our local governments are our law enforcement officials. 6 7 I'm married to a member in law I understand the balancing act and the needs 8 enforcement. of that and the pension obligations. And I certainly 9 10 understand what they do for a living. 11 I also understand how critical they are to 12 your town. And we all, as your elected officials in those 13 towns, recognize that and try to satisfy those needs. We have -- we don't want to cut police 1415 officers. We don't want to cut the number of officers 16 that we can have on staff because we need to afford it. 17 But those are some of the most critical 18 avenues of funding that our tax dollars go to. 19 Another aspect that it goes to are our 20 roads and bridges. And I think Bucks County's hit on it. 21 We're not coming before you -- shockingly, we're not here with our hands out, saying, Give us State money. 22 23 To the contrary, we are here with our 24 brains and our pens ready to work with you to come up 25 with, as Representative Wheatley suggested, a

Page: 95 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	comprehensive rewrite of how the Philadelphia tax has to
2	integrate with what the residents in the suburban counties
3	are paying into Philadelphia.
4	There is a way to do it. I'm not sitting
5	here today to suggest to you that we have the answer, that
6	any of one of us.
7	But I think that the fact that we're all
8	now talking about it, this is what you all can do for us.
9	Yes, you give us a great deal of unfunded
10	mandates. Yes, you give us ones that we want to
11	absolutely throw our budget books out the door.
12	I have the benefit, in a way, of kind of
13	coming at this in the reverse. I was privileged to serve
14	as a state representative at a very young age.
15	I'm now privileged, at an equally young
16	age, to serve as a
17	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Get my calculator out.
18	MS. MURPHY WEBER: to serve in the
19	local way.
20	And so I've had that chance to kind of
21	know the outside from the inside. And so we can all work
22	together on this. I think that it can be done.
23	I don't think that Philadelphia can stand
24	back and say, Oh, no, this isn't going to work for us.
25	I think that Philadelphia has to recognize

Page: 96 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 that we too have burdens and obligations to the taxpayer 2 and to our residents, even though those residents may also 3 work in the confines of the city. And for statistical purposes, I would like 4 5 to share, so that I do keep my hat as the 1st Vice 6 President of BCATO, but it also actually drives home the 7 point, because I know that some of these were carried in 8 various newspaper articles, but in the numbers alone, you 9 do have that Whitpain -- and we're all using 2014 numbers 10 because those are the last numbers that Berkheimer has 11 been able to assemble that we all -- when we were working 12 on the resolutions to encourage our different 13 municipalities to come forward, that was the source. So in 2014 Whitpain, only Whitpain, not 1415 Montgomery County as a whole, lost nearly \$1 million, 16 \$929,000. 17 And that is actually only five-tenths of 18 the percent -- a .5 percent, I should say, half a percent 19 that was to Whitpain because we share the other half with 20 the Wissahickon School District. 21 So in a Whitpain's general fund of \$12.7 22 million worth of revenue, that is a significant amount of 23 money. 24 Adding revenue from the Sterling Act would 25 actually be an 8 percent funding injection into our

Page: 97 HEARING, 10/5/2016

budget.

But now on the county as a whole, the numbers are much more staggering because of the number of residents in Montgomery County that do work inside the city of Philadelphia.

Berkheimer has estimated that the total loss of revenue to all the municipalities across

Montgomery County for the tax year of 2014 was \$19.2

million. That's just a single year.

So, again, we want to balance what we recognize could be the fiscal impact on the City of Philadelphia, but that doesn't necessarily mean that we stop the conversation.

There's a solution out there. And that's what we all here are today to encourage that dialogue and tell you we're all game. We're here to sharpen our pencils and roll up our sleeves and work with you to come up with that solution to how we can get this balanced because we can't keep going back to those same residents and increasing the millage for their property taxes because most of it, of course, as we know, goes to the school district.

Now, the flip side is we all have become very clever at sharpening our pencils locally and being fiscally constrained by what we have to work with.

Page: 98 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	But we've also learned how to be creative
2	in reaching out to form the many private/public
3	partnerships.
4	And Whitpain, in particular, and many
5	municipalities, and I know as I share at the table, we've
6	been very creative in doing that.
7	And I think that's something that
8	Philadelphia can start to look at as well. I can't
9	imagine and I'm not going to sit here to profess to
10	know about the ins and outs of the Philadelphia revenue
11	streams, but it's dialogue that is worth having.
12	So thank you very much for your time.
13	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: Thank the very, very
14	young lady articulating that
15	MS. MURPHY WEBER: Thank you, Mayor.
16	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: so well.
17	You know, in the state of Pennsylvania
18	there's over 2,500 municipalities. There's only 104 that
19	doesn't have the earned income tax out of all of that.
20	So for many years it didn't affect me and
21	us here in Bensalem. But when you think of trying to
22	raise property taxes and we're on old community. We
23	have a lot of people that aren't working, that are retired
24	and they can't take another dime. That's why we had to go
25	to the earned income tax.

Page: 99 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 Having said everything that we're talking 2 about here -- and, again, I speak for a very large 3 community -- we had no place to go. So when you think of losing \$2.7 million 4 5 that we lose from Philadelphia from the Sterling Act -think about that, 2.7 million to a community like this --6 7 that's a lot of money that we -- I don't know how we could 8 ever make that up. 9 So we're going to -- this fight has to continue as far as I'm concerned. And I think all of us, 10 11 Bucks County, surrounding counties, we understand it. 12 I hope that you do. 13 And, again, I don't want to keep -- I know 14you guys are over. And if we were in the House right now, 15 you'd probably hit the hammer. 16 Anyway, but we want to continue this. 17 I know you still have people that want to come up. 18 I have a lot to say, but I -- really, I 19 thank you again, and hopefully I have an opportunity to do 20 that. 21 MR. HOLLING: I'll grab next. 22 I think the concept of the synergies that 23 were discussed are the ideal way to approach this. 24 We all have perspectives on this and bring 25 it to the table. We did some simple exercises following

Page: 100 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 the Bucks lead and circulated the resolution to the 2 municipalities in Chester County. We have 56 townships, 3 16 boroughs, and one city. Out of those, 31 people 4 returned the resolution. 5 I promised that I would speak for the minority. One of the resolutions was modified so that it 6 7 didn't say that it brought harm to the city of Philadelphia. Okay. Good idea. 8 9 An adjacent community said, No, we don't 10 want to do it at all because of the same reason, but they 11 didn't come back and modify it. 12 It also looked at we have four 13 municipalities that don't have EIT, and I talked with two of them, and they said simply it's because of 1415 Philadelphia. All right. 16 So they're stuck with all of their income 17 being based on real property taxes contrasted with the 18 model that we're all looking for of blending it between the workers and the property owners, which we can't do. 19 20 I mean, our worst-case scenario in the 21 region is Delaware County. They have 29 municipalities 22 that do not have an earned income tax. So they are 23 totally driven by real estate revenues. 24 And, again, that's not the model we're all 25 looking for. We talked about school districts and saying,

Page: 101 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 No, we want to lower that. 2 I am a little confused on the school 3 districts and the money. I spent some time with the 4 Downingtown people on the money they get from the State. 5 And that was -- my understanding was under the Tax Relief Act, which is casino-related revenues, equally distributed 6 7 among school districts across the country, and it amounts 8 to a net, no gain. 9 I get \$200 from the State. I credit the 10 taxpayer \$200, and we end up with a net, no gain revenue. 11 So I'm not sure what the exercise is 12 except for leaving the quy at the far end like me, I don't 13 have to pay as much tax. But net effect to the school district is no increase in revenue, no change. All right. 1415 I do follow along with the point that 16 maybe in the concept of how we allocate revenues at the 17 state level, that more focus should be placed on 18 Philadelphia and its school district. 19 I do have to commend Philadelphia because 20 this year they won a Blue Ribbon at the Penn School from 21 the U.S. Department of Education, which indicates one of 22 the problems that Philadelphia is facing. 23 Between 2008 and 2013, the millennial 24 population increased from 300,000 to 400,000, a one-third 25 increase in millennials.

Page: 102 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 The drawback is, is as the millennials 2 produce children, they withdraw from the city, and the 3 reason is education. So it's an item that needs to be 4 focused on. 5 In terms of revenue, Philadelphia is a little bit different than everywhere else. 6 7 Philadelphia, real estate taxes amount to 17 percent of 8 the revenue. All right. 9 However, in New York, it's 41 percent, and 10 the District of Columbia is 36 percent. 11 And then according to an economic report 12 done for the City of Philadelphia by the Wharton School, 13 they're basically saying they're taxing the wrong thing. It's built on a model that was created 1415 during the industrial era, basically, and we need to 16 change that model. 17 So to the comments about looking 18 holistically -- and I use the word that was put out 19 later -- taken out later -- we have to look at the whole 20 thing. And I think all of us are committed to 21 22 working and helping you any way we can to focus on those 23 kinds of items and would appreciate the opportunity to do 24 that. 25 With regards to the three acts that are

Page: 103 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	before you, Chester County concurs that those are all
2	actionable items and should be addressed and followed up
3	on because they're solid moves in the right direction.
4	They may not be perfect moves in that
5	direction, but they're solid and we need to continue that
6	process.
7	MR. HERR: Mr. Chairman, you have my
8	testimony, so I'm not going to read it.
9	And just to highlight a couple parts from
10	a different perspective, you've heard from the different
11	counties and from the mayor from Bensalem.
12	I cannot go back to 1932 when this act was
13	passed, but I can go back to the mid-'70s.
14	And in the mid-'70s, I worked with then
15	former senator from this area, Craig Lewis, who
16	implemented a piece of legislation that put a cap on the
17	non-residents in the Philadelphia area.
18	Today, the rate that the income for a
19	resident is 3.9004 percent, and the rate for a
20	non-resident is 3.4741.
21	That's because at that time we wanted
22	although it didn't necessarily help the municipalities, it
23	did help stop the bleeding for future tax-related issues.
24	The act that was passed back in '77 says
25	that a non-resident cannot be any more than 75 percent of

Page: 104 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 the resident -- Philadelphia resident tax. At the time, 2 as I said, to stop the bleeding because the resolutions 3 that were passed back prior to my starting with the 4 association in '76 dealt with the Sterling Act. 5 And recently, in the last couple years, resolutions have been passed to address this issue. 6 7 So, again, it's from the statewide 8 perspective. Although it only really deals with the five 9 counties in this area, our members do understand the 10 concern and the effects that it has on the townships, the 11 boroughs in the surrounding communities and has supported 12 that position. 13 The Sterling Act, from the position that has been taken over those years from the resolutions that 1415 were passed, basically are saying -- again, what the lady 16 and the gentlemen are saying is that we don't want to hurt 17 Philadelphia. It isn't that we want to take everything 18 away -- and excuse me for my back being towards you --19 from Philadelphia. 20 But there's -- needs to be a fairness to 21 get some of the money back into the communities. 22 Representative Knowles talked about 23 property tax earlier. One of the reasons, you know, you 24 cannot just do away with a property tax is because of the 25 Sterling Act and what it would do to the surrounding

Page: 105 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	communities, which was basic, their only tax.
2	Other parts of the state have a similar
3	issue.
4	So what the Association and, again, as
5	we worked with Craig Lewis back in the '70s, we're willing
6	to work with the Committee and the representatives to say
7	we need some type of tax reform, a comprehensive tax
8	reform that addresses the issues that the surrounding
9	communities are bringing up, and also Philadelphia.
10	And to Mr. Knowles, just one quick story.
11	In 1982, to the chairman of the Finance Committee not
12	the same one we have here today
13	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Just his younger
14	version.
15	MR. HERR: Yes. Younger, I'll go with
16	that.
17	The chairman asked me at a hearing on the
18	issue of tax reform, What is the fairest tax?
19	And very similar to your constituent, I
20	said, The fairest tax is you pay everything, I pay
21	nothing.
22	Only I went one step further, and I'll ask
23	you today for the same thing in 2016, write in a provision
24	in the legislation that says Elam Herr is excluded from
25	paying the taxes, and that would be the most fair piece of

Page: 106 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	legislation that you could pass.
2	With that, Mr. Chairman, we will take
3	questions.
4	MR. RATTIGAN: Yeah, just one quick
5	comment.
6	If I'm sitting up there with you guys,
7	it's clear, obviously, what we're asking for.
8	But, more importantly, maybe it's
9	worthwhile to at least discuss a couple ideas that may be
10	solutions. Right?
11	Because you guys have a really, really
12	difficult job. And you've got a difficult budget. You've
13	got the political environment you have to deal with. You
14	have the reality of your pension system, which is an
15	issue.
16	And we're simply asking you to give us our
17	fair share of our tax money and then figure out how to pay
18	Philadelphia back if Philadelphia justifies the need for
19	the finances.
20	And that's a really difficult task to take
21	back to Harrisburg and convince everybody else in
22	Harrisburg of that need.
23	So what I would ask you to think about is
24	if you believe that this is actually a reasonable request,
25	then one such idea might be to consider a phaseout,

Page: 107 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 because none of us in our communities would want to see a 2 revenue stream come to a halt immediately. 3 If we're going to lose a revenue stream, 4 the best scenario is a phaseout. Think about that. 5 That's a reasonable approach, at least from our standpoint, if you agree with what we're asking 6 7 is a reasonable ask, so that the City of Philadelphia has 8 time to adjust, the State has time to adjust financially. 9 And whatever that phaseout period is would 10 be more than reasonable to us because right now we're not 11 seeing revenues from anything additional, we'd be thrilled 12 to death. 13 Thank you. 14MR. HOLLING: If I may. To concur on 15 that, we had the same thought about an evolution of this 16 solution contrasted with a start 4 o'clock tomorrow 17 afternoon, everything changes. So we endorse that. 18 I did want to mention because Elam 19 mentioned resolutions in the past at PSATS, and I think 20 most of you are familiar with the process. The body as a 21 whole votes on resolutions that are submitted by 22 individual counties and then adopts those. 23 become quiding principles for PSATS for the next four to 24 five years. There are two resolutions, one from 2014, 25

Page: 108 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
    one from 2015, that speak to the Sterling Act specifically
 2
    and were adopted by the body of the whole, recommending
 3
    that PSATS work to change the Sterling Act.
 4
                     So this is not just the four counties
 5
    coming to you, but the entire Commonwealth has said this
 6
    needs to be looked at. All right. And they, obviously,
 7
    are unimpacted by it today.
 8
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: That's a good point.
9
    Thank you.
10
                     I just have a couple questions and
11
    comments.
12
                     Mr. Rattigan stated that it was 6.4
13
    million lost in revenue to the townships in Bucks
14
    County --
15
                     MR. RATTIGAN: Yes.
16
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: -- as a whole.
                                                        That's
17
    not including the loss to the school districts?
18
                     MR. RATTIGAN: Correct.
19
                                        That's just the
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL:
20
    townships.
21
                     MR. RATTIGAN:
                                    That's just the townships.
22
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: So you probably can
23
    double that --
24
                     MR. RATTIGAN: Yep. Yeah.
25
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: -- I would assume.
```

Page: 109 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
                     Mayor, question. How many -- do you know
 2
    how many wage earners there actually are in Bensalem,
 3
    roughly, who would be paying either the Sterling Act or
 4
    the EIT?
 5
                     MAYOR DiGIROLAMO:
                                        I can't give you an
 6
    answer, Mr. Chairman, for the simple reason we're in our
7
    first year of the earned income tax, and we're in our
    third quarter --
8
9
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Oh, so it's so new,
10
    yeah.
11
                     MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: I can't give you any
12
    results of that. I apologize for that.
13
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: But you said there's
14
    50 -- how many --
15
                     MAYOR DiGIROLAMO:
                                        5,300 of our residents
    work in Philadelphia.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL:
                                        Okay.
                     MAYOR DiGIROLAMO:
18
                                        And that was back in,
    again, 2014.
19
                  That was --
20
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: So that's a huge
21
    portion of your working population that is not
22
    contributing to where they live?
23
                     MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: Oh, a huge, 2.7
24
    million.
25
                                                Thank you.
                     CHAIRMAN O'NEILL:
                                        Great.
```

Page: 110 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Any Chairman Wheatley.
2	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you.
3	And, first, and one of you or all of you,
4	if we were to address the super credit issue, how much
5	would that go towards your financial burdens right now?
6	MR. RATTIGAN: Assuming I totally
7	understand that, the super credit really doesn't affect
8	us. It affects the school districts.
9	And my understanding of the super credit
10	goes something like this: Whatever EIT revenue the
11	districts were to receive, there's a credit that comes
12	back to them after a one- or two-year period. So they're
13	receiving some of that revenue back.
14	Municipalities receive none.
15	So if another concept might be a super
16	credit to municipalities with a delayed payment. It's
17	another option to think about because, again, it's more
18	than what we have today.
19	Does that make sense?
20	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: A delay in the
21	payment?
22	MR. RATTIGAN: Yes. Because by the time
23	the numbers are calculated, as you can hear, we have 2014
24	numbers, so there is a delay in that in that revenue if
25	it goes through the State versus locally.

Page: 111 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Locally we see it on a monthly basis
2	through the employers.
3	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: And my final question.
4	Has the four counties, have you all engaged in any
5	conversation with Philadelphia as an entity to talk about
6	possible solutions together?
7	MR. RATTIGAN: Good question. I'll take
8	that one.
9	We we've talked to reporters. And,
10	naturally, both sides have very good reasons for wanting
11	to change or keep it the way it is.
12	We're coming to you because you guys are
13	the ones that decide what the law is and actually have the
14	power to make that change.
15	We'd be happy we'd be thrilled to sit
16	down with Philly and come to you and say, Okay, let's talk
17	about a solution so that everybody's taken care of the
18	right way.
19	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Sure.
20	I mean, I'm just going to make a comment
21	now, Mr. Chairman.
22	And one of the things that I would be very
23	interested in because I just one, we have a plan around
24	phasing out, hopefully, property taxes. It hasn't been
25	adopted by anybody other than us, but we have one.

Page: 112 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in doing that, we also talk about modernization. We talk about regional taxing and sharing the resources in a way that's very much different than how we do it today, which is a huge change in culture and process and requires folks like you all working with folks like the Philadelphia City and County and Allegheny and all working together because sometimes if we just leave it to us in the General Assembly, we will never do anything. MR. RATTIGAN: Well, to answer your comment as in regionalization, I can tell you that BCATO, or the Bucks County municipalities, are looking at something similar. So that when we look at services like fire services, EMS services, which are very, very expensive, and if you know Frank Farry, Frank Farry has made it very clear they're reducing volunteer population with the fire companies. So we're being forced from a municipal level to look at regionalization and how to make sure that communities receive the services they truly need for the most cost effective way. CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you. MR. HOLLING: As a follow-up on that same comment, I think that this Committee could be the facilitator of us getting together with Philadelphia, all

Page: 113 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	right, because it brings it under your jurisdiction, and
2	it's a lot it's a little bit difficult for us to
3	arrange those kinds of meetings and have them be
4	constructive.
5	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: That's one of the
7	reasons why we're having the hearing.
8	Thank you.
9	Representative Petri.
10	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Yeah. And I want
11	to thank the Chairman again for holding a hearing because
12	I think that is really the way you send the shot over the
13	bow that something might be coming.
14	I want to thank all of you for your data
15	collection, because until you had the data, we didn't
16	really know what the size of the problem is.
17	And until you know the size of the
18	problem, you can't figure out solutions. So it does
19	this hearing does start the process.
20	I want to be very clear on a couple
21	comments. Number one, I am not looking to hurt the City
22	of Philadelphia.
23	But I don't like I've been a member of
24	the Appropriations Committee, up until this last term, for
25	eight years. I do not like offline manipulations such as

Page: 114 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 with the school district because then when we're making decisions, we don't really see the full impact and we 2 3 don't know the full impact, for instance, in education if there's, you know, some money being refunded and we 4 5 don't -- we don't see it. So while I appreciate the fact that we get 6 7 part of that back, it really isn't transparent, and that's 8 part of the problem. 9 And this process is not transparent. 10 You -- in the suburban counties you've done a phenomenal 11 job, and I want to compliment you, for paying your pension 12 obligations. 13 That's not true across the state. There 14are some areas that have substantially severely distressed 15 pensions. Don't use that as an option. It's a bad 16 option, just so we're clear. 17 But I think, as a legislator, one of the 18 things we ought to be considering as part of this process 19 is autonomy of the Philadelphia School District for its 20 own taxing revenue, its own source. 21 I can think of two instances where city 22 council did something that hurt the School District of 23 Philadelphia: One was failure to sell PGW when the mayor 24 had negotiated a deal that would have protected all the 25 employees and put 400,000,000 into pensions.

Page: 115 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 And the one more recent is the soda tax. 2 I thought the soda tax was going to be for additional 3 revenue for Philadelphia, and it doesn't seem like that's 4 going to be the case. 5 So as a suburban legislator, one of the 6 things that irks me the most -- and it's just a political 7 reality -- is a constituent comes up to me, says, The 8 State's running the Philadelphia School District. 9 really don't get any reports, we have no input, and it's 10 all your fault. 11 And so I think autonomy to the school 12 district, its own taxing authority, sets that a little bit 13 straight that they have the ability to fix their own 14 problems. 15 And we should be wanting to help that 16 educational system by segregating some of the taxes so --17 the existing revenue so it goes direct and not through 18 this complicated maze that none of us can figure out. 19 Just a couple comments. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you. I just want to thank you all for being 22 23 here today. Thank you for bringing this to our attention. 24 And as a former supervisor, I want to 25 thank you for your service because I personally know the

Page: 116 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	time and effort you put in on behalf of your communities,
2	and it's truly appreciated.
3	So thank you.
4	MR. HOLLING: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: I was just told by the
6	IT gurus that someone's cell phone is very close to the
7	mic and that's what's causing the noise everybody's
8	hearing.
9	So if someone everybody can pull
10	their I think it's Representative DiGirolamo down
11	there. We'll blame him because he'll just laugh.
12	Our last testifier is from the City of
13	Philadelphia is Rob Dubow. He's here. Thank you. He is
14	the Finance Director for the City of Philadelphia.
15	And I did disperse to all of the members
16	of the Committee the letter that I received from the a
17	joint letter from the mayor and the president of city
18	council, so they have that as well.
19	MR. DUBOW: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: You're more than
21	welcome.
22	Introduce yourself and then
23	MR. DUBOW: Good afternoon, Chairman
24	O'Neill and members of the Committee. Thank you for
25	inviting us to testify today.

Page: 117 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 My name's Rob Dubow. I'm the Director of 2 Finance for the City of Philadelphia. 3 As the Chair said, the mayor and council 4 president of the City submitted a joint letter to express 5 their opposition to these bills. My testimony will echo their concerns 6 7 about how these bills would have a devastating impact, we think not only on Philadelphia, but on the entire 8 9 southeastern region. 10 I won't go into the details of the bills 11 because I think they've been described pretty well so far. 12 Our Department of Revenue estimates that 13 the City would lose about \$180 million of existing tax revenue under these bills if every jurisdiction enacted a 1415 1 percent earned income tax. 16 This approximately 180 million in revenue 17 loss would force the City to either make painful cuts or 18 substantially increase taxes. 19 Either of those actions would damage not 20 only Philadelphia, but the entire region. 21 For example, the amount of lost revenue 22 would exceed Philadelphia's combined spending for arts and 23 culture programs, commerce and economic stimulus 24 activities, parks and recreation facilities, libraries, 25 museums, and the Convention Center, all of which drive

Page: 118 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 growth, attract visitors, and benefit the larger region. 2 The potential, approximately, 180 million 3 loss in revenue is more than double our \$79 million annual subsidy to SEPTA. 4 5 If the City were to impose tax increases 6 in an attempt to compensate for the lost revenue, those 7 increases would likely drive away jobs and businesses to help generate economic activity for the entire region. 8 9 Research from the Wharton School economics 10 professor Robert Inman demonstrates that the City and 11 surrounding counties are economically linked. Improving the economic health of the City helps create suburban jobs 12 13 and wages leading to economic growth and increased home 14 values. 15 Philadelphia has more than one-third of 16 the five-county region's jobs. We're home to leading 17 institutions for patient care, medical research, legal 18 services, and higher education. 19 A \$180 million revenue loss to the City 20 and the service cuts through tax increases that would have 21 to follow, again would have a negative impact for the 22 whole region. 23 For these reasons, we oppose the bills and 24 urge the House Finance Committee to consider the 25 significant negative implications.

Page: 119 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	This concludes my testimony. And I'd be
2	happy to answer any questions.
3	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Okay. Does anybody
4	have any questions?
5	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chairman.
7	And thanks for your testimony.
8	So
9	MR. DUBOW: Sure.
10	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: just to make
11	sure I'm clear on what you're saying, if we could find
12	some way to make up the \$180 million, everything else is
13	okay with the bills?
14	MR. DUBOW: Yeah. If we are held harmless
15	and, you know, get the growth that we would get from the
16	tax going forward, right. I mean, obviously, if we're
17	held harmless, then we're fine.
18	REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Okay. That's a
19	simple question.
20	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
22	Representative Kinsey.
23	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Good morning,
24	Mr. Dubow.
25	MR. DUBOW: Good morning.

Page: 120 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I live in the city 2 of Philadelphia, so obviously this is a great concern to 3 me. And, really, the previous testifiers, it 4 5 was more of an educational piece for me. So I just want to ask you some questions as it relates to the wage tax 6 7 burden. Has the City of Philadelphia done anything 8 to lessen the burden for residents as well as 9 10 non-resident? 11 MR. DUBOW: Yeah. We've -- we started 12 reducing wage tax rates back in '96 and have reduced them 13 every year with the exception of a couple of years during 14the great recession. 15 Overall, they've gone down by over a 16 percent, both for residents and non-residents. And, in 17 part, that's with help from gaming money that the State 18 provided. 19 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I guess my other 20 question is -- and I'm just thinking about, you know, the 21 city that I live in -- as we talk about individuals who 22 live outside of the city -- and this might be an outside 23 question, but I just want to throw it out there -- because 24 we talked about the jobs that are created within the city 25 of Philadelphia, but then I'm also thinking about -- I

Page: 121 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	heard somebody mention earlier about the roads getting to
2	and from and, you know, monies that's paid to improve the
3	roads here I mean in the city of Philadelphia, as well
4	as a need to improve roads outside of Philadelphia.
5	So then I start thinking about even the
6	modes of transportation. Like, I know SEPTA's a main I
7	guess a main entity that provides trailways to and from
8	inside the city as well as outside the city.
9	So as I started drilling down with, let's
10	just say, SEPTA, doesn't the City pay monies into SEPTA?
11	And then I also think about the impact of
12	the maybe the regions right outside. So does everybody
13	pay a fair share because, again, you know, that's a
14	two-way travel?
15	MR. DUBOW: So Philadelphia pays \$79
16	million to SEPTA annually.
17	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I'm sorry. Do you
18	know what SEPTA's annual budget is roughly?
19	MR. DUBOW: I don't.
20	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I sit on the
21	Transportation Committee also, and I had it somewhere
22	about 1.4 billion.
23	Does that sound right?
24	MR. DUBOW: That sounds about right.
25	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay.

Page: 122 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	So the City, therefore, pays how much
2	annually?
3	MR. DUBOW: 79 million.
4	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: I would assume
5	that that's probably much more than any of the other
6	surrounding counties which
7	MR. DUBOW: It is, yes.
8	REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay.
9	Mr. Chairman, thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
11	Representative Lawrence.
12	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	And, Mr. Dubow, I appreciate you coming to
15	testify today on a very controversial topic. So I
16	sincerely appreciate you being here today.
17	MR. DUBOW: Thank you.
18	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: What is the
19	generally speaking, the general fund budget of
20	Philadelphia, \$180 million, what is that as a percentage
21	of the general fund budget? What's the total budget of
22	the City of Philadelphia?
23	MR. DUBOW: Total budget is about \$4
24	billion. In some ways it makes it seem like we have more
25	flexibility than we do.

Page: 123 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	We have a high percent of fixed costs.
2	About a quarter of our budget goes to things like
3	pensions, contributions to the school district, which, as
4	you know under state law, we can't reduce, debt service.
5	So we actually have limited flexibility in
6	our budget, but the total budget's about 4 billion.
7	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So this amount
8	of \$180 million, so you said it was \$4 billion, so we're
9	looking at, you know, is it 2 percent? 4 percent?
10	MR. DUBOW: 4.
11	But then, I mean, again, you're if you
12	look at our total budget, it's not like we have
13	flexibility over all of that budget.
14	25 percent's just straight fixed cost.
15	Another 25 percent is public safety. So there's not so
16	there's limited flexibility within our budget.
17	REPERSENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Certainly, and I
18	recognize that.
19	And similar on the State level. Right.
20	As we face challenging
21	MR. DUBOW: That's correct.
22	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Budget issues on
23	the Commonwealth level, there's a limited number of places
24	we can go that I would term as truly discretionary
25	funding. A lot of it is fixed costs.

Page: 124 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 I quess -- my fundamental issue -- and I 2 don't want to be -- you know, I don't want to resort to 3 cliches, but my fundamental issue is that at end of the 4 day for residents who do not work in the city of 5 Philadelphia, the wage tax, in my view at least, is the ultimate taxation without representation. 6 7 You are paying a not insignificant tax. 8 It's not a head tax of \$50 a year. It could be 9 substantial. And, yet, you do not have the ability to 10 vote for somebody who's on city council or whatever it 11 might be who, at the end of the day, has the decision on 12 how to spend that money. 13 Do you have any thoughts on that? 14MR. DUBOW: No. I mean, for us, it's 15 people who come into our city, consume our services, and that's the rationale for imposing the tax. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Right. 18 guess -- and I see that -- you know, that argument can be taken to its logical nth degree. You know, I mean, as I 19 20 drive to Florida, I've driven through how many states 21 where unless I stop to, you know, buy a gallon of gas, you 22 know, in essence I'm getting something for free by driving 23 on their roads. 24 You know, if I live in one municipality in 25 Chester County and I drive up a township road to another

Page: 125 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 township, in essence, I'm not paying for that road. 2 some way -- although, you know, you could say the liquid 3 fuels tax pays for it to some extent. And I appreciate -- you know, I don't want 4 5 to get bogged down on SEPTA. You know, I am mindful of the fact that we are borrowing -- the Pennsylvania 6 7 Turnpike is borrowing \$450 million a year to fund mass transit under Act 89 and previous legislation enacted 8 under the Rendell administration. 9 10 I would argue the Turnpike Commission has 11 absolutely nothing to do with mass transit and they are 12 borrowing that money. 13 I believe we are looting the Turnpike to fund mass transit that way. It is, I believe, wrong. 1415 But just to -- if we were going to have a 16 conversation about the funding for SEPTA, I don't know 17 that this is the proper forum; that's a very broad 18 conversation in general. 19 I guess my -- I have a couple other 20 questions, but I'm sure there are other members who'd like 21 to ask, so I'll leave it at that. 22 So I appreciate very much your testimony. 23 MR. DUBOW: Thank you. 24 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you, 25 Mr. Chairman, for your patience.

Page: 126 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you,
2	Representative.
3	Representative Daley.
4	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chairman.
6	And, Mr. Dubow, thank you for being here
7	today.
8	I'm just curious, how does the population
9	of Philadelphia change during a typical workday?
10	In other words, how many people are coming
11	into the city for jobs?
12	MR. DUBOW: There are, you know, tens of
13	thousands of people who come in every day. I think the
14	number from the it may be like 160,000, I think.
15	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: And what's the
16	population of Philadelphia?
17	MR. DUBOW: Philadelphia's population is
18	about 1.5 million.
19	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: 1.5 million.
20	And 160,000, you said?
21	MR. DUBOW: Just from the five-county
22	area.
23	REPRESENTATIVE DALEY: Okay. Thanks.
24	And I just want to add my appreciation for
25	your being here today because clearly it's a discussion

Page: 127 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	that requires Philadelphia's input, and so thank you
2	for what you do.
3	MR. DUBOW: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
5	Representative Corbin.
6	REPRESENTATIVE CORBIN: Thank you for your
7	testimony this morning.
8	Since you referred to this letter that we
9	all received a copy of, I want to ask a question about it.
10	The statement in here is if the City were
11	to impose tax increases in an attempt to compensate for
12	the lost revenue, those increases would likely drive away
13	jobs and businesses that help generate economic activity
14	for the entire region.
15	Is that a statement that's specific and
16	unique to Philadelphia or is that something you would say
17	would apply to anywhere in the Commonwealth?
18	MR. DUBOW: I think it's probably true
19	anywhere. I think Philadelphia, we have a relatively high
20	tax burden, so I think our taxes are already high.
21	So I think probably increases for us have
22	a bigger impact than other places.
23	REPRESENTATIVE CORBIN: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
25	Representative Stephens.

Page: 128 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Thank you,
2	Mr. Chairman.
3	Thank you for taking the time to share
4	your perspective on this.
5	As we during your testimony, a couple
6	things prompted a few questions from me because and to
7	follow up with Representative Corbin's question, I think
8	the point is the issues that you might have financially in
9	absorbing this \$180 million loss are the same issues that,
10	frankly, all of our municipalities and school districts
11	have to face as well.
12	Yet, they are foregoing that revenue
13	because of this one single provision that the State has
14	applied to Philadelphia and only Philadelphia.
15	So, you know, when you look at that, I
16	think you said one-third of the five-county five-county
17	jobs is in Philadelphia? Was that your quote there?
18	MR. DUBOW: Yes.
19	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Okay. So
20	two-thirds of the jobs are in the four collar counties.
21	Along those lines, you know, to follow up
22	on Representative Daley's question, the population of the
23	city only increases by 160,000 in terms of employees?
24	That's how many suburban
25	MR. DUBOW: From the five from the five

Page: 129 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	counties, that's right.
2	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Right.
3	Just by way of comparison, I represent
4	entirely two municipalities: Horsham Township and
5	Montgomery Township. Each of them has more employees than
6	residents.
7	So, you know, the number of folks that are
8	coming in is, as a percentage, you know, dramatically
9	higher if you're only talking 160,000 out of 5 million.
10	And if I'm not mistaken, I think more
11	people from Philadelphia work in Montgomery County than
12	the reverse.
13	MR. DUBOW: One thing. You said out of 5
14	million. Out of 1.5 million.
15	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: I'm sorry. Out
16	of 1.5 million. Okay.
17	So I think more people commute from
18	Philadelphia to Montgomery County for work than the
19	reverse, if I'm not mistaken.
20	Do you happen to know if that's
21	MR. DUBOW: I'm not I'm not sure.
22	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: All right. Do
23	the surrounding counties contribute to SEPTA as well?
24	MR. DUBOW: They do.
25	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Do you know what

Page: 130 HEARING, 10/5/2016

```
1
    those figures are?
 2
                     MR. DUBOW:
                                 I don't.
                                           Those are,
 3
    obviously, much smaller than Philadelphia's contribution.
 4
                     REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS:
                                               Right.
 5
                     Do you know the percentage of SEPTA riders
    that are Philadelphia residents versus each of the
 6
 7
    counties?
                     And I guess really the crux of that
 8
9
    question is, is the payment proportional to ridership in
10
    terms of the residency?
11
                     MR. DUBOW: Right. And I'm not -- I'm not
12
    sure of the answer to that.
13
                     REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS:
                                               Okay.
14
                     You know, I guess just to close it out,
15
    one of the things that I just -- I hope we can impress
    upon the City is that all of our municipalities are facing
16
17
    all the same financial pressures that you are; yet,
18
    they're not getting the favorable treatment that the state
19
    law is providing the City of Philadelphia.
20
                     And so in terms of leveling the playing
21
    field, which is the aim of my legislation, that was the
22
    impetus behind it.
23
                     And so that I hope that the City will work
24
    with the stakeholders. You know, there was a
25
    conversation, I think an olive branch extended, in an
```

Page: 131 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 effort to try to come up with a resolution that might 2 blunt some of the impact to the City of Philadelphia. 3 And I would just hope that -- and 4 encourage the City to maybe give some thought to ways that 5 we might be able to help level this playing field in a 6 manner that might be acceptable to the City of 7 Philadelphia. Yeah. And we understand that 8 MR. DUBOW: 9 other governments are facing, you know, real financial 10 challenges, and we're happy to have, you know, ongoing 11 discussions. 12 We just can't face legislation that costs 13 us \$180 million a year. 14REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: I quess just to 15 close, back in the day when the Sterling Act was implemented and all these other provisions came about, I 16 17 guess those municipalities probably said the same thing, 18 but they ended up taking the hit which today results in a 19 \$180 million hit to those municipalities. 20 I don't think that at the time MR. DUBOW: 21 in the 1930s, that it had that kind of impact. 22 We've over time -- we've had this tax for 23 almost 90 years; it's something that, you know, has been a 24 part of our budget so that it would be a major change. 25 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Representative Petri.

Page: 132 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Have you had a
2	chance to determine the financial impact of the
3	Governor Christie's proposal to eliminate the reciprocity
4	to the City of Philadelphia in your budget?
5	MR. DUBOW: Yeah. So our analysis is that
6	while it would have impact on taxpayers in Philadelphia,
7	on the government we think the impact would be pretty
8	small.
9	We don't think that would be an impact on
10	our government. It would be an impact on our taxpayers.
11	REPRESENTATIVE STEPHENS: Okay. So you
12	don't anticipate a loss of revenue?
13	MR. DUBOW: No.
14	REPRESENTATIVE PETRI: Okay.
15	Just one comment for Representative
16	Kinsey. I agree with some of your thoughts on SEPTA.
17	There's an interesting study you want to
18	grab, a University of Penn professor. He basically says
19	that our first of all, all transportation systems are
20	subsidized at some level. The airlines are subsidized by
21	government. The roads and bridges are subsidized.
22	But he also says that the lack of cohesive
23	fiscal policy between the Bridge Commission and the
24	parking authorities create a disincentive to use of
25	ridership for SEPTA. And so I think there's some

Page: 133 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	solutions there that I'd love to explore with you to
2	We should follow the example of New York.
3	New York has a system that really encourages ridership,
4	which then, of course, reduces the cost to all taxpayers.
5	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
6	Representative Wheatley.
7	CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	I want to thank you for being here and for
10	providing testimony.
11	As I'm sitting here, I'm trying to figure
12	out how best we could work together to improve the
13	situation.
14	And one of the things that I just keep
15	going back to in my own mind is a few years ago,
16	Philadelphia was able to convince national parties, both
17	the Republicans and just recently the Democrats, to come
18	into the region and hold their conventions.
19	I'm assuming they had regional
20	implications when that happened. It wasn't just a benefit
21	to Philadelphia; it was a benefit to the whole region and
22	to the Commonwealth.
23	We had the Pope visit. That was a major
24	boom, or, some would say, not so much. But it was a
25	regional attraction; it wasn't just a Philadelphia

Page: 134 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 attraction. And it wasn't just regional; it was the 2 Commonwealth that benefited. 3 So when we get into these discussions, rarely do I take the stance that it's a either/or case. 4 5 Right. It's really trying to figure out how do we 6 7 make sure what we do strengthens Philadelphia and the region because ultimately Philadelphia being strong helps 8 the region to be strong, and the region being strong helps 9 10 the Commonwealth to become stronger. 11 And this is why I keep going back to our 12 current ways of taxing. Right. I mean, we're picking 13 on -- I'm not going to say picking. We're focusing in on an issue that is an issue, and it happens to be that 1415 Philadelphia is, in some belief, benefiting from a system 16 that was developed in 1932, a policy that was done in 17 1932, and then just been added onto since then. 18 Instead of us saying, Okay, let's look at 19 how we tax in general and let's see -- because your needs 20 are not just going to be the 180 million that you 21 currently get from this taxing policy. Because I'm assuming you expect that you 22 will continue to grow economically, there'll be more and 23 24 more people coming in, so this number could grow over 25 time, or maybe not.

Page: 135 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 But I don't think you want to -- I don't 2 think you want us to resolve this 180 million for you --3 MR. DUBOW: Correct. 4 CHAIRMAN WHEATLEY: -- and then change the 5 system and then it goes away because I think that could be problematic in the future. 6 7 So if we're going to do a change and it's going to be a substantial change -- like you said, this 8 9 has been a part of Philadelphia's budget since 1932 -- and 10 it's going to be a substantial change, then we should do 11 it in a holistic approach or an approach that tries to 12 resolve as much of the total taxing challenges that we 13 have and not just done in a way that will eventually get 14us back here five years from now anyway, in my opinion. 15 Because if we do this next year and we 16 create instantly, or phased in in three years, and we 17 don't also figure out how we deal with the regional 18 challenges that are happening -- that first happens in 19 Philadelphia, it's going to be a problem anyway. 20 So I'm not saying that we don't need to 21 engage in a conversation. And I'm hoping that you all, 22 from a local perspective, are talking and figuring out 23 from a regional approach what are the things that you all 24 can come to Harrisburg jointly and say we need as a 25 region, one that fills in Philadelphia's needs and one

Page: 136 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	that allows for other municipalities that surround
2	Philadelphia to deal with its four critical things:
3	pensions, fire, EMS, the growth of your administrations
4	and your staffing. All that should be something that, as
5	a region, you come to Harrisburg and ask us to do.
6	But we should do it in the concept or a
7	context of an overall change in our taxing policies that's
8	going to be sustainable and growing over time and it's not
9	going to be pitting the surrounding areas versus its heart
10	in Philadelphia as a final solution.
11	That's just all I'm saying. And I'm
12	hoping that with the energy that we have in this Committee
13	and the will that we have on this Committee that those can
14	be some conversations that we help and a course of action
15	that we can undertake that can get us to a regional
16	solution and not one that pits Philly against its suburban
17	brothers and sisters.
18	So thank you for being here.
19	MR. DUBOW: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you.
21	I just have one, I guess, question or
22	comment.
23	I live barely a mile from a little over
24	a mile from the Warminster train station, the SEPTA
25	station, and I'm amazed at the number of people coming out

Page: 137 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	of Philadelphia to that train station to work in my area
2	in Warminster.
3	And if I'm not mistaken, the County
4	actually provides a bussing service for them as well I
5	forget what it's called so that they can get to their
6	jobs because of the difficulty being in suburban areas of
7	not having a car when they're driving up.
8	They pay the city wage tax; they don't pay
9	a tax to my local because they're residents of the city.
10	So they pay the 3.9, whatever it is, and
11	none of that is that correct so none of it comes
12	back to
13	MR. DUBOW: That's right.
14	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Yeah. Okay.
15	MR. DUBOW: Is the question you had
16	before.
17	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Yeah.
18	So basically what we have is a
19	double-edged sword. And, you know, I've kind of taken in
20	everything that has been said.
21	We deal in our area with the complaints of
22	the taxes going up so high because our schools have never
23	gotten their fair share, the hold harmless and all these
24	other things.
25	Now with the new funding formula, that may

Page: 138 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 change a little, but that may take seven years before it 2 even becomes whole. 3 We also deal with the large issue with the 4 police and fire, that you heard from the Townships and the 5 mayor and everyone. So when I look at 180 million and I'm look 6 7 at comparing that to 4 billion, and then I'm looking at what we're losing and comparing it to the percentage of 8 what our townships are losing and what our local residents 9 10 are picking up to support your 180 million, that 11 percentage is much higher. 12 So that there is, I guess, great argument 13 on both sides for this --14MR. DUBOW: And I just --15 CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: -- legislation. 16 MR. DUBOW: -- want to emphasis \$180 17 million is a lot of money for us. 18 It's a significant portion of the 19 discretionary part of our budget. And there would be --20 you know, we'd have to take really severe actions to 21 compensate for that. 22 No, and I fully CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: 23 understand that. And some of my closest friends in the 24 House are members of the Philadelphia delegation and --25 you know, so I've already heard from them about this as

Page: 139 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	well, so
2	But I thank you for your testimony.
3	MR. DUBOW: Thank you for having me.
4	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: I just want to I
5	guess no one Gene, you have a comment?
6	REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Yeah, real
7	quick.
8	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Go ahead.
9	REPRESENTATIVE DiGIROLAMO: Off subject,
10	on something a little bit different.
11	A story just came out in the newspaper
12	about a little 7-year-old girl who got on a school bus and
13	told the bus driver that she had to dress herself this
14	morning because she couldn't wake her mom and dad up.
15	And the bus driver called the police. And
16	they went there, and both her parents were dead in the
17	bedroom from a drug overdose.
18	I know there are discussions in the City
19	about holding these drug companies accountable for the
20	mess that they've created.
21	And I just want to implore upon you to do
22	everything you can to make that possible in reality
23	because we've got to do something about this epidemic.
24	So I know the mayor is very interested in
25	that. So I just want to implore upon you, we need to do

Page: 140 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 something about that to hold these drug companies 2 accountable for this heartbreaking tragedy that's 3 occurring all across the state of Pennsylvania. 4 Thank you. Thank you. 5 MR. DUBOW: CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Thank you, Gene. 6 7 I just want to thank everybody. Mayor, I 8 want to thank you again for hosting the Committee. 9 I want to thank Dan Rattigan and the 10 members of the Bucks County Association for bringing this 11 to our attention, and the members who came here today, as 12 well as the two members who authored the bills. 13 We have a lot of work to do on this. 14plan is to move forward to see what we can come to and to 15 bring the City on board and see what we can work out. 16 I intend to address it much like I did Act 17 32 by bringing all of the parties together and -- because 18 the local townships are being hurt greatly by this. But at the same time, we -- as many 19 20 people have said, we don't want to hurt the city because 21 we are -- I remember when I was 21, 22, 23 years old and I used to love to go down to the city. 22 23 Now I don't want to drive home at night 24 anyway, so... 25 But thank you much.

Page: 141 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1	Yes, Mayor?
2	MAYOR DiGIROLAMO: There's a lunch for
3	your representatives right behind this chair.
4	CHAIRMAN O'NEILL: Oh, thank you.
5	Appreciate that.
6	Does anybody else have any questions or
7	comments?
8	Seeing none, I thank everybody for coming,
9	and I thank all the testifiers.
10	(Hearing concluded at 12:42 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page: 142 HEARING, 10/5/2016

1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 4 I, SUZANNE WALINSKY, a Court Reporter and 5 Commissioner of Deeds, hereby certify that the foregoing 6 is a true and accurate transcript of the deposition of 7 said witness who was first duly sworn by me on the date 8 and place herein before set forth. 9 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither 10 11 attorney nor counsel for, not related to nor employed by 12 any of the parties to the action in which this deposition 13 was taken; and further that I am not a relative or 14 employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this 15 action, nor am I financially interested in this case. 16 17 18 19 20 21 garse Walersky 22 23 24 SUZANNE WALINSKY Court Reporter and

25

COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS